CIVIL DOCKET

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

BARROW

73-C-152

Jury demand date:

D. C. Form No. 106A Rev.

TITLE OF CASE	ATTORNEYS
FRED DOUGLAS DAVIS, VS. MC DONNELL-DOUGLAS CORPORATION, FORMERLY DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT COMPANY,	For plaintiff: Waldo E. Jones, II 1440 N. Lansing Tulsa, Oklahoma 74106 582-2341 For defendant: Richard W. Gable 2010 Fourth National Bank Bldg. Tulsa, OK 74119 582-9201

STATISTICAL RECORD	COSTS		DATE	NAME OR RECEIPT NO.	REC.	DISB.
J.S. 5 mailed 6-1-73	Clerk	15 00	5/15/73	Jones	15 00	
			5-17-73	C.D.No.49		15 00
J.S. 6 mailed 1-1-77	Marshal					
Basis of Action: Title VII Civil Rights	Docket fee					
	Witness fees					
Action arose at:	Depositions					

FPI MI—1-3-72-100M-7199

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Date Order or Judgment Noted
5-14-73	Complaint filed, summons issued. jj	
5-14-73	Return on U.S. Marshals Service, Summons, filed. Served R. P. Kasbaum in Tulsa, Okla., on 5-14-73, with fees of $5.00.	
6-4-73 6-4-73 6-4-73	Motion to Dismiss, filed by Deft., McDonnell Douglas Corp. v Brief in Support, filed. v Ordered by the Court that Pltf. is granted 10 days from this date to respond to Deft.'s Motion to Dismiss. (AEB-J)v	
6-13-73	Appl. of pltf. for ext. of time to respond to def. mo/dismiss,filed. rm	
6-19-73	Order filed that plaintiff granted until 6-24-73 in which to answer. (AEB-J)h	
6-22-73	Brief of pltf.in response to def.'s mo/dismiss, filed. rm	
8-20-73	Dep. of Fred Douglas Davis taken on behalf of deft. before Millie Ballard, LSR, 6-16-73 at Tulsa, Okla.jj	
11-8-73	Pltf.'s suppl. to responsive brief previously filed to deft.'s mot. to dismiss, filed. v	
11-8-73	Transcript before the Arbitration Board, U.S.A., In the matter of: FRED D. DAVIS, Grievant, taken by Rita Fulton Hunnicutt, CSR, filed. v	
11-14-73	Amended suppl. to responsive brief to def. mo/dismiss, filed. rm	
5-24-74	Order, filed, overruling defendant's motion to dismiss, and directing defendant to respond to plaintiff's complaint with 15 days of this date. (AEB-J)g cpies mailed.	
6-10-74	Answer of Defendant, McDonnell-Douglas Corp., filed.s	
7-30-74	MO: Parties are directed to hold a pre-trial conference on or before September 10, 1974 & file PT order on or before 9-17-74. (AEB-J)k copies mailed.k	
8-1-74	Notice of Deft. of taking deposition of William Y. Rose filed. Subpoena issued. b	
8-21-74	Application of Pltf. to file an Amended Complaint, filed.b	
8-21-74	Order, filed, grant pltf. leave to file amended complaint. (AEB-J)g	
8-21-74	Amended Complaint, filed. g	
9-12-74	Answer to amended complaint, filed. k	
9-20-74	Appl. of Pltf. for extension of time prepare PT order, filed. v(o)	
10-9-74	Order, filed, that pltf. is granted to 10/15/74 to submit Pre-Trial Order. (AEB-J)rm - copies mailed	
11-7-74	Pretrial Order, filed. (AEB-J)v -copies mailed.	
12-9-74	MOTION for Summary Judgment, filed. lm	
12-9-74	BRIEF in Support of Deft's Motion for S/J/ filed, lm	
12-9-74	MO: Pltf. given 10 days from this date to file response to deft's Motion for S/J. (AEB-J) copies mld. lm	

10-4 PT Order to J

D. C. 110A Rev. Civil Docket Continuation

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Date Order or Judgment Noted
12-17-74	APPLICATION of pltf for extension of time of 20 days from 12-19-74 to respond to deft's Motion for S/J, filed. lm (o to J)	
12-17-74	Order, filed, that Pltf. is granted until 1-7-75, to respond to Deft.'s Motion for Summary Judgment. (AEB-J)v -copies mailed.	
1-7-75	Appl. of pltf. for ext.of time from 1/7/75 to respond to def. mo/SJ, filed. rm 0 6 9	
1-10-75	Order, filed, that Pltf. is granted until 1-22-75 to respond to Deft.'s Motion for Summary Judgment. (AEB-J)v -copies mailed.	
1-22-75	Brief of pltf. Fred Douglas Davis in response to deft's brief in support of his mot.for S/J, filed.k	
2-12-75	Reply to Response Brief of Pltf., filed. v	
3-19-75	MO: Case referred to Mag Bradford for hrg/ rec re pending mots. (AEB-J)k	
4-16-75	Case called for hearing on deft's motion for S/J. Attorneys for pltf & deft. waived their right to oral argument and agreed that the motion for S/J be ruled on summarily. Motion for S/J is sustained for the reason that the Pltf's action was not filed within the statutory limitation provisions of the applicable statutes. Deft. to prepare order & Findings & recommendations in 5 days.(MLB-Mag) b	
4-28-75	Findings & Recommendations of Magistrate, filed.(MLB-Mag) b cps mld	
5-1-75	Pltf's mot. to reject F&R of Magistrate, filed.k	
5-1-75	Pltf's appl. for 20 days to file brief in support of mot. to reject F&R of Magistrate, filed. k O to J	
5-5-75	Order, filed, granting pltf. until 5-28-75 to submit brief in support of mot. to reject F&R of Magistrate. (AEB-J)g	
5-23-75	Brief of Plaintiff Fred Douglas Davis in support of motion to reject findings and recommendations of Magistrate, filed. v	
1976		
1-30	MO: It is ordered by the Court that the Deft. is granted 10 days to respond to pltf's mot. to reject F&R of Mag., filed 5-1-75, and brief in support, filed 5-23-75. (AEB-J)k cps mld	
2-6	Response to Pltf.'s Mot. to Reject Findings & Recommendations of Magistrate and Response Brief, filed. v	
9-27	Memorandum and order, filed. Ordered that deft's mot. for S/J be overruled, further that pltf's objections be and are hereby sustained. s (AEB-J) cps. mld.	
10-26	MO: Case set for Non-Jury on 11-29-76 at 10:00 a.m. (AEB-J)n nts by cert. ml w/affid.	
11-23	Deft's trial brief, filed.s	
11-23	Pltf's trial brief, filed.s	

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Date Order or Judgment Not
11-24	MO: Case is stricken from non-jury docket of 11-29-76, to be re-set.(AEB-J)b nts by phone	
12-2	Settlement and dismissal with prejudice by both parties, filed.s	
12-2	Order, filed and entered, that this case is dismissed with prejudice to bringing any future actions based upon the claims asserted or facts alleged herein.s (AEB-J) cps. mld.	