

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE **FILED**
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 31 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
 U. S. DISTRICT COURT

MIDWESTERN INVESTMENT CORPORATION,
 an Oklahoma corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

JACOBSON & JACOBSON, a Colorado
 general partnership,

Defendants.

No. 82-C-660-E

Defendants
 ORDER SUSTAINING ~~PLAINTIFF'S~~ MOTION TO DISMISS
 PURSUANT TO F.R.C.P. 12(b)(2)

NOW on this 28th day of December, 1982, there came on for hearing before the Honorable James O. Ellison, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Both parties having furnished Briefs for consideration by this Honorable Court appeared by and through their attorneys of record, the Plaintiff by and through Gassaway, Green & Harris by Steven A. Harris and the Defendants by and through Carson, Rayburn, Hirsch & Mueller, by Mark E. Gautreaux. The Court, being fully advised of the premises, and having heard representations of counsel, both for Plaintiff and Defendants, finds that Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss, Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure should be and is hereby sustained and Plaintiff is given twenty (20) days from this date to further amend his Complaint to allege facts sufficient to show the minimal contacts of the Defendant in the State of Oklahoma necessary to vest this Court with in personam jurisdiction over the Defendant.

The Court further finds upon request of counsel appearing on behalf of Defendant, that Mark E. Gautreaux be and is hereby temporarily admitted to practice law in the United States District Court in the Northern District of Oklahoma in the

above captioned case so long as it is pending in this Court and in any hearing or other Court appearance necessary to adequately represent the Defendants herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by this Honorable Court that the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is sustained;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by this Court that the Plaintiff have twenty (20) days from this date to further amend its Complaint to allege facts sufficient to show the minimal contacts necessary to vest in personam jurisdiction of the Defendant in this Court;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by this Court that Mark E. Gautreaux be and is hereby temporarily admitted to practice before this Court in this case until its completion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



JAMES O. ELLISON
United States District Judge

APPROVED:

CARSON, RAYBURN, HIRSCH & MUELLER

BY: 
MARK E. GAUTREAUX
3727 N.W. 63rd Street, Second Floor
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73116
405/947-8702

GASSAWAY, GREEN & HARRIS, P.A.

BY: 
STEVEN M. HARRIS
P.O. Box 14070
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74104

9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR **FILED**
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 31 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JULIE NEWMAN,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 SAFEWAY STORES, INC., a)
 foreign corporation,)
)
 Defendant.)

No. 82-C-891-B ✓

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

The Plaintiff and Defendant having compromised and settled all issues in the action and having stipulated that the complaint and the action may be dismissed with prejudice, it is therefore,

ORDERED, that the Complaint and this cause of action are dismissed with prejudice to the bringing of another action upon the same cause or causes of action.

Entered this 31st day of January, 1983.

Thomas R. Brett
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 31 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

GREAT WESTERN LAND COMPANY, INC.,)
an Oklahoma corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
LANDMARK PETROLEUM CORP.,)
a Texas corporation,)
)
Defendant.)

No. 82-C-890-B

JUDGMENT

This action comes on for hearing this 25th day of January, 1983, before the undersigned United States District Judge pursuant to Plaintiff's Application for Entry of Default Judgment.

The Court finds that the Defendant, Landmark Petroleum Corp., a Texas corporation, was served with summons and a return was made as required by law and that the Defendant has failed to answer or otherwise appear within twenty (20) days. The Court further finds that the Certificate of Entry of Default filed herein on the 7th day of December, 1982, is in the form provided for by law.

The Court having reviewed the files and records herein; having heard the testimony of witnesses sworn and examined in

open court and being fully advised in the premises finds that Plaintiff is entitled to money Judgment against the Defendant, Landmark Petroleum Corp., in the sum of \$150,000.00 as liquidated damages for breach of contract; the additional sum of \$100,000.00 for loss of Plaintiff's logs, records, and production history; the sum of \$7,500.00 for abstracting fees and costs; and the sum of \$250,000.00 as and for actual damages suffered to Plaintiff's business as set forth in Plaintiff's First Cause of Action for a total money judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant in the sum of \$507,500.00.

The Court further finds that Plaintiff's claims for punitive damages should be set down for evidentiary hearing on April 5, 1983, at 2:00 o'clock P.M., at which time Plaintiff shall present its evidence, if any there might be, in support of claims for punitive damages. The Court further finds that if no such evidence is presented the Court will make proper disposition of Plaintiff's claim on the Court's own motion.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Defendant, Great Western Land Company, Inc., an Oklahoma corporation, recover of the Defendant, Landmark Petroleum Corp., a Texas corporation, the sum of \$150,000.00 as liquidated damages for breach of

contract; the additional sum of \$100,000.00 for loss of its logs, records and production history as set forth in Plaintiff's First Cause of Action, and the sum of \$7,500.00 for abstracting fees and costs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff recover of the Defendant, Landmark Petroleum Corp., a Texas corporation, the additional sum of \$250,000.00 as and for actual damages suffered to Plaintiff's business as set forth in Plaintiff's First Cause of Action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Plaintiff is entitled to recover interest on the Judgment entered herein, which interest is at the rate of 15% per year from the date of Judgment until paid in full. Plaintiff shall also have Judgment for its costs accrued and accruing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages from Defendant is set for evidentiary hearing on the 5th day of April, 1983, at 2:00 o'clock P.M.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

United States District Judge

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 31 1983

DOLLY HICKMAN and
CLYDE HICKMAN,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CORNING GLASS WORKS, a
corporation; CECIL WARE
CORPORATION; FARMER BROTHERS
COFFEE COMPANY, a corporation;
and SAMBOS RESTAURANTS, INC.,
a corporation,

Defendants.

No. 81-C-222-E

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE


NOW on this 31 day of January, 1983, upon the Motion of the Plaintiffs for an Order to dismiss the action without prejudice as to SAMBOS RESTAURANTS, INC., a corporation, and it appearing that there is no objection to the motion:

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED by the Court that the Motion of the Plaintiffs to dismiss their action without prejudice against SAMBOS RESTAURANTS, INC., a corporation, should be granted.

DATED this 31 day of January, 1983.

~~S/ JAMES O. SIMMONS~~
JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT

APPROVAL:


C. D. NORTH CUTT
Attorney for Plaintiffs

RDW/bk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MARC W. GORGES,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.)
)
 AMERICAN GENERAL COMPANIES,)
)
 Defendant.)

NO. 82-B-1145-B

FILED
JAN 31 1983
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

ON this 31st day of January, 1983, upon the written application of the parties for a Dismissal with Prejudice of the Complaint and all causes of action, the Court having examined said Application, finds that said parties have entered into a compromise settlement covering all claims involved in the Complaint and have requested the Court to dismiss said Complaint with prejudice to any future action, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds that said Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to said Application.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the Complaint and all causes of action of the Plaintiff filed herein against the Defendant be and the same hereby is dismissed with prejudice to any future action.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

JUDGE, DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CHERYL FIELDS,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) No. 82-C-979-B
)
 BARBARA LEE,)
)
 Defendant.)

STIPULATED ORDER OF DISMISSAL

It is hereby stipulated by and between counsel for all parties hereto subject to the approval of the Court as follows:

All claims presented by the Complaint shall be dismissed with prejudice as to all parties pursuant to Rule 41(a) Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Each party shall bear his or its own cost and attorney fees.

DATED this ___ day of January, 1983.

By _____
Attorney for Plaintiff

By _____
Attorney for Defendant

SO ORDERED:

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 31 1983

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE)
PIPELINE INDUSTRY BENEFIT FUND,)
4845 South 83 East Avenue,)
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74145,)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

BEECH CONSTRUCTION, INC.,)
3880 North M-18,)
Gladwin, Michigan 48624,)

Defendant.)

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 82-C-580-E

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 31 day of January, 1983, plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss coming on for consideration and counsel for plaintiff herein representing and stating that all issues, controversies, debts and liabilities between the parties have been paid, settled and compromised;

IT IS THE ORDER OF THIS COURT that said action be, and the same is, hereby dismissed with prejudice to the bringing of another or future action by the plaintiff herein.

[Handwritten Signature]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 28 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 CLAY B. FAUST,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-143-E

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 28 day of Jan., 1983, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Peter Bernhardt, Assistant United States Attorney, and the Defendant, Clay B. Faust, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, Clay B. Faust, was served with Alias Summons and Complaint on November 24, 1982. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against Defendant, Clay B. Faust, for the principal sum of \$494.24, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

S/ JAMES C. [Signature]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court

FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CAROLYN PINKLEY,
Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 81-C-391-E

vs.

WAL-MART STORES, INC.,
Defendant.

JUDGMENT

This action came on for trial before the Court and a jury, Honorable James O. Ellison, United States District Judge, presiding, and the issues having been duly tried and the jury having duly rendered its verdict.


It is Ordered and Adjudged that having found in favor of the Plaintiff Carolyn Pinkley and against the Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., awards damages in the amount of \$9,000.00. Plaintiff to be awarded costs of action.

FILED

JAN 28 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 28th day
of January, 19 83


Clerk of Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 28 1983

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 JACK BARLOW and SANDRA BARLOW,)
)
 Defendants.)

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

CIVIL ACTION NO. 80-C-272-E

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

This matter comes on before the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma for the purpose of Pre-Trial. The United States of America is present by its attorney Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney and the Defendant, Jack Barlow, does not appear personally but is represented by counsel, T. E. Drummond. After examining the pleadings and hearing the statements of counsel the Court finds as follows:


Based upon the statements of the United States Attorney the Court dismisses the case with prejudice as to the Defendant, Jark Barlow.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that this case be dismissed with prejudice as to the Defendant, Jack Barlow.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON,
JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

APPROVED AS TO FORM:


Philard L. Rounds, Jr.,
Assistant United States Attorney


T. E. Drummond,
Assistant United States Attorney

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 28 1983

WILLIAM R. PRIM,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
DEAN WITTER & CO.,)
INCORPORATED and DEAN DAY,)
)
Defendants.)

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

No. 75-C-131-E

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Upon application of the Plaintiff herein, and the concurrence of counsel for the Defendants, and for good cause shown;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, that the Complaint of the Plaintiff herein be, and is hereby, dismissed with prejudice to a subsequent refiling.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

Judge of the District Court

First Bank Dallas maintains its main office in Dallas, Texas, located in the Northern Judicial District of Texas. Therefore, proper venue lies only in that district.

Defendant Central and Southwest urges dismissal for failure to properly join an indispensable party under Rule 19 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court has considered the following factors, and has determined that the action should not proceed among the parties properly before it:

- (1) Since InterFirst Bank is the trustee of the fund out of which Plaintiff wishes to be paid, a judgment rendered in its absence would be prejudicial;
- (2) The prejudice could not be avoided by shaping the relief, and still allow any relief to the Plaintiff;
- (3) A judgment rendered in the absence of InterFirst would be inadequate;
- (4) Plaintiff would have an adequate remedy in another district.


Having decided not to proceed without InterFirst, the Court must decide whether to dismiss the action, or to transfer under 28 U.S.C. § 1406. § 1406 allows a choice between dismissal for improper venue, or "if it be in the interest of justice, transfer ... to any district or division in which it could have been brought." Diversity jurisdiction would exist in the Northern District of Texas, since Defendant Central and Southwest is a Delaware corporation, Defendant InterFirst is a Texas bank, and Plaintiff is a resident of Oklahoma. Transfer would work less hardship on the Plaintiff than dismissal by keeping his cause of action alive, avoiding any limitations problems, and avoiding the additional burden of duplicate complaints and service of summons. If the Plaintiff is in need of additional time to obtain local counsel to proceed, it would be a simple matter to obtain an extension from the

Texas court. In the interest of justice and the convenience of the parties, so far as allowed by law, the Court will transfer this action to the Northern District of Texas.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the motion of Defendant InterFirst Bank Dallas to dismiss for improper venue be, and hereby is, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion of Defendant Central and Southwest Corporation to dismiss or in the alternative to transfer be, and hereby is, granted. This action will be transferred to the Northern District of Texas.

ORDERED this 26th day of January, 1983.



JAMES C. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ALEX THULIN, JR.,
Plaintiff,

-vs-

CENTRAL & SOUTHWEST
CORPORATION, a foreign
corporation; INSURANCE
COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA,
a foreign corporation;
and FIRST NATIONAL BANK
IN DALLAS, a Texas
corporation,

Defendants.

No. 82-C-292-E

FILED

JAN 28 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

J U D G M E N T

NOW on this the 14th day of January, 1983, the above entitled cause came on for hearing upon the summary judgment motion by the defendant, Insurance Company of North America. Plaintiff was present and represented by its counsel, Steven R. Hickman. The defendant, Insurance Company of North America, was present and represented by its counsel, Gable & Gotwals by Theodore Q. Eliot. Upon consideration of the pleadings, briefs and affidavits on file, the court finds that the Motion for Summary Judgment filed by the defendant Insurance Company of North America should be sustained herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the Motion for Summary Judgment filed by the defendant Insurance Company of North America be, and it is hereby sustained, and that

defendant, Insurance Company of North America, have judgment on all claims against it herein by the plaintiff and for the recovery of its costs incurred herein.



JAMES O. ELLISON,
United States District Court Judge

APPROVED AS TO FORM:



THEODORE Q. ELIOT
GABLE & GOTWALS
20th Floor, Fourth National
Bank Building
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119
(918) 582-9201
Attorneys for Defendant
Insurance Company of North
America



STEVEN R. HICKMAN
FRASIER, FRASIER & GULLEKSON
717 South Houston
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74127
(918) 584-4724
Attorneys for Plaintiff

United States District Court

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ROBERT A. WACHSLER, INC.,
Plaintiff,

vs.

FLORAFAX INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 80-C-641-E

JUDGMENT

This action came on for trial before the Court and a jury, Honorable James O. Ellison, United States District Judge, presiding, and the issues having been duly tried and the jury having duly rendered its verdict,


It is Ordered and Adjudged that having found in favor of the Plaintiff Robert A. Wachslar, Inc., and against the Defendant Florafax International, Inc., awards damages in the amount of \$240,000.00. Plaintiff to be awarded cost of action.

FILED

JAN 27 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 27th day
of January, 19 83


Clerk of Court
e

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ROBERT E. GRAY, JAMES VAN
GREVENHOF, KELLY LAWRENCE,
GEORGE BAIRD, MICHAEL J.
SIMPSON, AND DAVID A.
DEARING, individuals,

Plaintiffs,

vs.


Case No. 82-C-627-E

BOATMAN AND HARDGRAVES OIL
COMPANY, a general partner-
ship, DAN BOATMAN and EDDIE
L. HARDGRAVES, general
partners of Boatman and
Hardgraves Oil Company,

Defendants.

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

COME NOW the Plaintiffs and the Defendants and by Stipulation pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure hereby dismiss the above captioned action, with each party to bear his own cost of court.



Jack D. Bryant

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Ten East Third Street
700 Holarud Building
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

OF COUNSEL:

HOLLIMAN, LANGHOLZ, RUNNELS
& DORWART
700 Holarud Building
Ten East Third Street
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103
(918) 584-1471

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 GARNEY COMPANY, INC., TRANSPORTATION)
 SYSTEMS, INC., and PRICE BROTHERS)
 COMPANY,)
)
 Defendants.)

No. 82-C-343-E

JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT

This matter comes on before me, the undersigned Judge, for hearing this 18th day of January, 1983, upon plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment filed herein, upon the grounds that the defendant Transportation Systems, Inc., has failed to answer or otherwise plead to the Complaint filed herein, as required by law.

The Court finds that the defendant Transportation Systems, Inc., was duly served with Summons in this case on the 19th day of October, 1982, and is wholly in default herein, and that the plaintiff should have judgment as prayed for in its Complaint filed herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff be, and is hereby, awarded a judgment of and from said defendant Transportation Systems, Inc., in the principal sum of \$86,520.00, together with interest thereon at the rate of 12% per

annum from the date of judgment until paid in full, plus an attorney's fee in the amount of \$8,000.00, and the costs of this action that have accrued and will continue to accrue.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 26 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff)	
)	
v.)	CIVIL NO. 82-C-765-B
)	
INTERNATIONAL SYSTEMS &)	
CONTROLS, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant)	

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

Plaintiff, United States of America, and defendant,
International Systems & Controls, Inc., hereby file this
Stipulation of Dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1),
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

FRANCIS A. KEATING II
United States Attorney

By: Michael E. Greene
MICHAEL E. GREENE *by wwg*
Attorney, Tax Division
Department of Justice
Room 5B31, 1100 Commerce Street
Dallas, Texas 75242
(214) 767-0293


ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Mark Blongewicz
MARK BLONGEWICZ
4100 Bank of Oklahoma Tower
One Williams Center
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74172

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, Mark K. Blongewicz, hereby certify a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Stipulation of Dismissal was mailed to Mr. Michael E. Green, Attorney, Tax Division, Department of Justice, Room 5B31, 1100 Commerce Street, Dallas, Texas 75242, on the 26th day of January, 1983, with proper postage thereon fully prepaid.



Mark K. Blongewicz

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE)
PIPELINE INDUSTRY BENEFIT FUND,)
4845 South 83 East Avenue,)
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74145,)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

No. 82-C-581-C)

GENERAL PIPELINE CONSTRUCTION, INC.,)
P. O. Box 99,)
Amma, West Virginia 25005,)

Defendant.)

FILED

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This matter comes on before me, the undersigned Judge, for hearing this 24 day of January, 1983, upon argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises,

The Court finds that the defendant, General Pipeline Construction, Inc., is indebted to the plaintiff herein in the sums prayed for in plaintiff's Complaint filed herein and that said plaintiff should have judgment as prayed for.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff be, and is hereby, awarded a judgment of and from said defendant, General Pipeline Construction, Inc., in the principal sum of \$1,425.63 for contributions and \$9,322.00 in late charge

penalties, or a total of \$10,748.23, with interest thereon at the rate of 12% per annum from the date of judgment until paid in full; plus an attorney's fee of \$1,500.00, costs of this action which have accrued in the sum of \$69.20, and costs which will continue to accrue.

151 H. Dale Cook
H. Dale Cook
United States District Judge

APPROVED:

George H. Ramey
George H. Ramey
3 South Fifth Street
Yukon, Oklahoma 73099
Attorney for Defendant

Joe M. Feats
Joe M. Feats
DYER, POWERS, MARSH, TURNER & ARMSTRONG
525 South Main, Suite 210
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103
Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 27 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 HOLLIS H. JONES,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-297-B

O R D E R

Now on this 25th day of January, 1983, it appears that the Defendant in the above-captioned case has not been located within the Northern District of Oklahoma and therefore attempts to serve him have been unsuccessful.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the Complaint against Defendant Hollis H. Jones is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Court Order date November 24, 1982.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CRAGER FORD TRACTOR COMPANY,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY and)
AMERICAN ROAD INSURANCE COMPANY,)
)
Defendants.)

No. 80-C-715-E

FILED

JAN 25 1983

W. C. ELLISON, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT DISMISSING ACTION
BY REASON OF SETTLEMENT

The Court has been advised by counsel that this action has been settled, or is in the process of being settled. Therefore it is not necessary that the action remain upon the calendar of the Court.

IT IS ORDERED that the action is dismissed without prejudice. The Court retains complete jurisdiction to vacate this order and to reopen the action upon cause shown within sixty (60) days that settlement has not been completed and further litigation is necessary.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk forthwith serve copies of this judgment by United States mail upon the attorneys for the parties appearing in this action.

Dated this 24th day of January, 1983.



JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 25 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ROBERT JOSEPH ZANI,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 DAVID LYLE MOSS, RONALD EARLE,)
 JOE TURNER and PERSONS UNKNOWN,)
)
 Defendants.)

No. 82-C-438-E

O R D E R

The Court has before it for consideration Motions to Dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.Proc. 12(b)(6), filed by Defendants David Moss, Ronald Earle, and Joe Turner. This action was brought by Plaintiff Robert Joseph Zani under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for deprivation of his civil rights under the U.S. Constitution.

Defendants' Motions are based upon the absolute immunity of prosecutors from suit under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 while performing their prosecutorial functions.

The Supreme Court in Imbler v. Pachtman, 424 U.S. 493, 96 S.Ct. 984 (1976), held that prosecutors have absolute immunity for their prosecutorial actions in suits for money damages. The Court affirmed the holding of the Court of Appeals that the complained of activities of the defendant prosecutor were each an "integral part of the judicial process", and accordingly stated:

"... in initiating a prosecution and presenting the state's case, the prosecutor is immune from civil suit for damages under § 1983." (at page 995).

The Courts have developed two very different types of immunities for officials - absolute immunity and qualified good faith immunity.

The absolute immunity is a complete defense to all actions taken by the official within the scope of his jurisdiction. See Stump v. Sparkman, 435 U.S. 349, 98 S.Ct. 1099 (1978). In Kostal v. Stoner, 292 F.2d 492 (10th Cir. 1961), the Court stated that

"The prosecuting attorney is a judicial, or quasi judicial officer, and when performing his official duties he enjoys the same immunity from liability for damages that protects a judge who acts within his jurisdiction over parties and litigation." (at p. 493).

Absolute immunity does not require inquiry into the motivation of the defendant, but defeats the suit at the outset, as long as the defendant is acting in the capacity of prosecutor. See Briggs v. Godwin, 569 F.2d 10 (D.C. Cir. 1977), cert. denied, 98 S.Ct. 3089 (1978). Plaintiff's claims in this action center on his prosecution both in Travis County, Texas, and Tulsa, Oklahoma. Suit was filed while case number CRF-81-3065, State of Oklahoma v. Irma Serrano Zani and Robert Joseph Zani, was still pending in the Tulsa County District Court. Plaintiff Zani has since been tried and convicted in that action.

Defendants Moss, Earle, and Turner were all prosecutors in their respective counties when the alleged conspiracy to violate Plaintiff's civil rights occurred, and were acting in their capacities as prosecutors.

Having met the threshold requirement of a showing that actions were within their official jurisdiction, the Defendants assert that absolute immunity prevents the Plaintiff from recovering for any alleged violations of 42 U.S.C. § 1983. I agree. Considering such immunity, it is apparent that Plaintiff's complaint fails to set forth a claim upon which relief can be granted as to his prayer for damages. Defendants' Motions to Dismiss should both be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendant David Lyle Moss pursuant to Fed.R. Civ.P. 12(b)(6) should be granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants Ronald Earle and Joe Turner should be granted.

It is so Ordered this 24th day of January, 1983.



JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ROBERT JOSEPH ZANI,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 DAVID LYLE MOSS, RONALD EARLE,)
 JOE TURNER and PERSONS UNKNOWN,)
)
 Defendants.)

No. 82-C-438-E

FILED

JAN 25 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT

This matter came on for hearing before the Court pursuant to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss. The issues having been duly considered, and a decision having been duly rendered,

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED

That Plaintiff take nothing, and that the action be dismissed pursuant to this Court's Order of January 24th, 1983.

DATED this 24th day of January, 1983.



JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 CHARLES E. MCHENRY,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. ~~82-C-520-E~~ 80-C-739-E

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 24 day of January, 1983.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney
Philard L. Rounds Jr.
PHILARD L. ROUNDS JR.
Assistant United States Attorney
460 U.S. Courthouse
Tulsa, OK 74103
(918) 581-7463

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true copy of this notice of dismissal was served on each of the parties to this case by first class mail on this 25th day of January, 1983.

Philard L. Rounds Jr.

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 24 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 JERRY W. WESTER,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-689-C

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 24th day of ~~December~~ ^{January}, 1982, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the Defendant, Jerry W. Wester, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, Jerry W. Wester, was personally served with Summons and Complaint on July 10, 1982. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against Defendant, Jerry W. Wester, for the principal sum of \$2,000.00, plus the accrued

interest of \$386.77 as of April 8, 1982, plus interest on the principal sum at 7% per annum from April 8, 1982, until paid.

s/H. DALE COOK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

VICKI L. CRANOR,
Plaintiff,
vs.
THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE
COMPANY OF AMERICA,
Defendant,
vs.
FLOYD WALKER, guardian
ad litem for DAVID CRANOR,
JOHN CRANOR and J. R. CRANOR,
Third Party
Defendant,
and
STUMPF FUNERAL HOME, INC.,
Third Party
Plaintiff,

JAN 24 1983

Clk: C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Case No. ~~C-81-414-E~~ ✓
80-C-414-E ✓

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOW on this 24th day of January, 1983, comes on for hearing the above styled and entitled cause. Plaintiff is represented by and through her attorneys of record, FRASIER, FRASIER & GULLEKSON; Defendant is represented by and through his attorneys of record, GABLE & GOTWALS; Third Party Defendant is appearing pro se; and Third Party Plaintiff is represented by and through its attorneys of record, HESKETT, HESKETT, DANIEL & ESSER.

The Court finds that the parties have entered into a settlement agreement for the disbursement of the funds on deposit with the Court Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma

in the above styled and numbered cause as well as a settlement agreement of all actions included herein. The Court after hearing the statements of counsel finds that the settlement agreement as entered into should be approved on behalf of the minor children of Larry G. Cranor, deceased, namely, David Cranor, John Cranor and J. R. Cranor, and that the settlement agreement is in their best interest.

The Court finds that the settlement agreement is to be as follows:

That the sum of Two Thousand Three Hundred Seventy-Nine Dollars and 38/100's (\$2,379.38) should be disbursed to Stumpff Funeral Home, Inc., and its attorney, Rick Esser, in complete satisfaction of the claim of Stumff Funeral Home, Inc., against Vicki L. Cranor and that said action should be dismissed.


That the action of Vicki L. Cranor against The Prudential Insurance Company of America which is now pending before this Court be dismissed with prejudice to any future action.

That the action between Plaintiff Vicki L. Cranor and Third Party Defendant Floyd Walker, guardian ad litem, be settled and dismissed with prejudice upon payment by the Court Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00) which is deposited in the above styled and numbered cause to the First Pyramid Life Insurance Company of America to be used as hereinafter set out and the remainder of funds held by the Court Clerk on deposit in this action be paid to Vicki L. Cranor and FRASIER, FRASIER & GULLEKSON, her attorneys, and that the actions by and between Plaintiff and Third Party Defendant be thereupon dismissed with prejudice to any future action.


That the funds paid to The First Pyramid Life Insurance Company of America be used to set up a plan whereby interest thereon shall be paid quarterly to Vicki L. Cranor for a period of sixteen (16) years and then the principal sum is to be paid to Vicki L. Cranor at the end of said sixteen (16) years; further, that in the case of the death of Vicki L. Cranor, said interest payments shall be made to her children, David Cranor, John Cranor and J. R. Cranor in equal shares, or to their guardians if they are minors, until said sixteen (16) years have elapsed, at which time the principal sum of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00) will be divided equally among said three (3) children.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the settlement agreement as above described be approved by the Court and that the Court Clerk for the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma be, and he is hereby ordered to disburse funds as above provided.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUGED AND DECREED by the Court that upon disbursement of said funds as above provided by the Clerk of the Court, the actions herein brought by the respective parties be, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice to any future action.


 JAMES O. ELLISON, Judge of the
 District Court

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENTS:


 STEVEN R. HICKMAN, Attorney for
 Plaintiff

1-25-83	2,379.38
1-25-83	50,000.00
1-25-83	50,031.29

Elsie Draper
ELSIE DRAPER, Attorney for Defendant

Floyd S. Walker
FLOYD WALKER, guardian ad litem
for DAVID CRANOR, JOHN CRANOR
and J. R. CRANOR

Rick Esser
RICK ESSER, Attorney for Third
Party Plaintiff

FILED

JAN 24 1992

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

SOUTHWESTERN ART ASSOCIATION,)
a corporation,)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

No. 82-C-1081-C

THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY)
OF NEW MEXICO, a public)
corporation,)


Defendant.)

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

Plaintiff, Southwestern Art Association, hereby dismisses its cause of action against Defendant, The Regents of the University of New Mexico, without prejudice, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(i) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This dismissal is filed with the Clerk of the Court before service by the Defendant of an answer or motion for summary judgment.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN E. BARRY
JAMES L. KINCAID
TONY L. GEHRES

BY 
James L. Kincaid

CONNER, WINTERS, BALLAINE,
BARRY & MCGOWEN
2400 First National Tower
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103
(918) 586-5711

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I did on this 24th day of January, 1983, mail a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing NOTICE OF DISMISSAL, with proper postage thereon fully prepaid to:

Charles C. Baker, Esq.
Gable, Gotwals, Rubin, Fox,
Johnson & Baker
20th Floor, 4th National Building
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119



A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Charles C. Baker", is written over a horizontal line.

FILED

JAN 24 1983

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 JOEY M. BELCHER,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-807-B


NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 21st day of January, 1983.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney



NANCY A. NESBITT
Assistant United States Attorney

FILED

JAN 24 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 THERESA E. SUTTER,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-133-E


NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 21st day of January, 1983.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney



NANCY A. NESBITT
Assistant United States Attorney

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA JAN 24 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-562-C
)	
GEORGE M. WINTERS,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 21st day of January, 1983.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney

Nancy A. Nesbitt

NANCY A. NESBITT
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This certificate certifies that a true copy of the foregoing pleading was served on each party by mailing the same to the clerk of the court on the 24th day of record on the January, 1983.

Nancy A. Nesbitt
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 24 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

L. M. BERRY AND COMPANY, an)
Ohio corporation and L. M.)
BERRY AND COMPANY - NYPS,)
an Ohio corporation,)
Plaintiffs,)

No. 82-C-262-C

vs.)

MILLER ASSOCIATES, INC.,)
and M.K.&O. TRANSIT LINES,)
INC.,)
Defendants.)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Now on this 24 day of Jan, 1983, this
cause comes on to be heard in its regular order; the Plaintiff
appears by its counsel, Holliman, Langholz, Runnels & Dorwart
by Laurie N. Lyons.

The Court finds that the Defendant, Miller Associates, Inc.,
has been duly served with Summons herein within this State more
than twenty (20) days prior to this date, and has failed to
answer or otherwise plead herein, and is in default.

It is therefore ordered that the Defendant, Miller Associates,
Inc. ("Miller") is hereby adjudged to be in default and that the
allegations of the Plaintiff's Petition be taken as true and
confessed as against it.

Thereupon, the Court being fully advised in the premises,
and on consideration thereof, finds that all the allegations of

the Plaintiff's Petition are true as therein set forth; and that:

1. The Court has jurisdiction of the parties hereto and the subject matter hereof, and that the issuance of service of process herein is in full compliance with the laws of the State of Oklahoma and the Rules of the Federal District Court.

2. On or about the 16th day of July, 1976 Miller entered into a written contract in Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma with Berry, wherein Berry promised to place certain advertisements in various telephone directories as specified on National Yellow Pages Service ("NYPS") orders placed by Miller and wherein Miller promised to pay Berry's charges for all adds placed thereafter.

3. Miller has on a continuing basis through June of 1981, placed NYPS orders with Berry and Berry has placed the advertising specified in such orders. Berry has duly performed all other conditions of the contract on Berry's part to be performed.

4. By various invoices to Miller, Berry has demanded payment of the charges due and owing.

5. There is now due, owing and unpaid from Miller to Berry the sum of \$15,747.71, together with interest at the rate of 15% per annum.

6. By virtue of the necessity of institution of this action, Miller is bound and liable to pay a reasonable attorneys fee incurred by the Plaintiffs in the collection of this account.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the Plaintiff is entitled to judgment of and from the Defendant, Miller Associates, Inc. in the principal sum of

\$15,747.71, together with interest at the rate of ^{8.65}~~5~~% per annum and reasonable attorney fees in the amount of \$ 1500⁰⁰ and the cost of this action. Default Judgment entered against Miller in no manner affects the Plaintiff's right to pursue all claims against the Defendant, M.K.&O. Transit Lines, Inc. or any other party jointly and severally liable in the instant action. All rights of L.M. Berry and Company and L.M. Berry and Company - NYPS against the Defendant, M.K.&O. Transit Lines, Inc. or any other party with respect to this action are hereby reserved.

s/M. DALE COOK

Judge

FILED

JAN 27 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 BOBBY L. HAM,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-136-C


NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 21st day of January, 1983.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney



NANCY A. NESBITT
Assistant United States Attorney

FILED

JAN 21 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 NORMAN C. WEEKLY,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-1034-C

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 21 day of January, 1983.


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney


PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true copy of the foregoing pleading was served on each of the parties hereto by mailing the same to them or to their attorneys of record on the 21 day of January, 1983.


Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED
Jack G. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

vs.

JAMES L. STEVENS,
Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-27-B

AGREED JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 21 day of January, 1982, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and the Defendant, James L. Stevens, appearing pro se.

The Court, being fully advised and having examined the file herein, finds that the Defendant, James L. Stevens, was personally served with Summons and Complaint on January 5, 1983. The Defendant has not filed his Answer but in lieu thereof has agreed that he is indebted to the Plaintiff in the amount alleged in the Complaint and that Judgment may accordingly be entered against him in the amount of \$816.66, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against the Defendant,

James L. Stevens, in the amount of \$816.66, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney



PHILARD I. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant U.S. Attorney



JAMES L. STEVENS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

TOWN OF FAIRFAX, OSAGE COUNTY,)
 OKLAHOMA, a Municipal Corpora-)
 tion,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 Ten (10) acres of land, more or)
 less, described as the NE/4 of)
 the SE/4 if the NE/4 of Section)
 13, Township 24 North, Range)
 5 East, Osage County, Oklahoma,)
 the Secretary of the Interior)
 of the United States of America,)
 et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 80-C-743-B

FINAL JUDGMENT
 (as to 59/72 Interest in The N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$
 of Section 13, T.24N., R.5E.)

NOW on this 21 day of January, 1980, this matter comes on for disposition of an application for judgment based upon a certain stipulation executed by the Plaintiff and certain Defendants, named below, and filed in this action. The Court, having reviewed the record, and having considered the said stipulation, and being fully advised in this matter, finds:

1. This Court has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to Title 25, U.S.C., Section 357, and 1346a(2).
2. This judgment applies to a 59/72 interest in the fee simple title, less and except all oil, gas, coal or other minerals, in a certain tract of land described as the N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 13, T.24N., R.5E. of the Indian Meridian, Osage County, State of Oklahoma, containing approximately five (5) acres.
3. The owners of the above described property are:
 Edward Paul Beartrack, Jr.,
 Fannie M. Donelson,
 Billie Irene Hazen, now Wheeler,
 Rose Mary Bighorse, now Rothamer, and
 Freddie Joe Davis, Jr.

These owners are of Osage Indian blood and have never received certificates of competency, and the land is held in a restricted

status. The Secretary of Interior, and the United States of America have an interest in said land because of their guardian relationship with the Indians.

4. Service of Process has been perfected personally, as provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on each of the defendants named hereinabove.

5. The Oklahoma Statutes cited in Plaintiff's Supplemental Complaint, together with Title 25, Section 357, U.S.C., give the Plaintiff the right, power and authority to condemn the Defendants' interest in the subject property for public use, which in this case is for cemetery purposes of the Plaintiff. And public necessity requires the taking of subject property for such purposes.

6. A Stipulation as to Damages and Just Compensation has been executed by the Plaintiff and the above named Defendants and was filed herein on January 18, 1983. Such stipulation resolves all outstanding issues, between the Plaintiff and the above named Defendants, raised in this action, and therefore should be approved by the Court.

It Is Therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the Stipulation as to Damages and Just Compensation, executed by the Plaintiff and the Defendants named below, and filed herein on January 18, 1983, hereby is approved.

It Is Further ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the Plaintiff is forever barred from urging a claim against the Defendants named below for recovery of the condemnation award, and interest thereon, paid to said defendants in case No. 13770, District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma.

It Is Further ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the Defendants named below are forever barred from urging a claim against the Plaintiff herein for wrongful occupation (trespass) of the property described below.

It Is Further ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the Plaintiff herein has the right, power and authority to condemn

for public use the following described property:

59/72 undivided interest in the fee simple title, less and except all oil, gas, coal or other minerals, heretofore reserved by Act of Congress, unto the Osage Tribe of Indians, in the real property described as:

The N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 13, Township 24 North, Range 5 East of the Indian Meridian, Osage County, State of Oklahoma.

And such described property is condemned and title thereto is vested in the Plaintiff, Town of Fairfax, Osage County, Oklahoma, a municipal corporation.

It Is Further ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the owners of the above described property are:

Edward Paul Beartrack, Jr.,
Fannie M. Donelson,
Billie Irene Hazen, now Wheeler,
Rose Mary Bighorse, now Rothamer, and
Freddie Joe Davis, Jr.

The right to receive on behalf of such owners, the award of just compensation for the taking of subject property, is vested in the Area Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Muskogee Area Office, United States Department of Interior.

It Is Further ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the sum of \$8,610.00, inclusive of interest, is awarded as just compensation for the condemnation of the above described property, and the Plaintiff shall make payment of such sum to: Area Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Muskogee Area Office, for the benefit of the above named Indian Defendants.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

(S) Dean Daniel
Attorney for Plaintiff

(S) Hubert A. Marlow
HUBERT A. MARLOW
Assistant United States Attorney
Attorney for Defendants

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA JAN 21 1983

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

vs.

RAYMOND E. TURNER,
Defendant.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-44-E

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 21 day of January, 1983.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney


PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

MS JAN 21 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ELIZABETH PAIGE JONES, by)
and through Virgil Jones, her)
father, natural guardian and next)
friend; VIRGIL JONES,)
individually; and SHERRY KAY)
JONES, individually,)

Plaintiffs,)

vs.)

No. 79-C-53-BT

HOBART CORPORATION,)
a corporation,)

Defendants.)


ORDER


Upon application by the parties and by stipulation that this case has been settled to the satisfaction of parties, IT IS HEREEY ORDERED that this case be dismissed with prejudice to the future filing thereof.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this case be dismissed with prejudice and both parties bearing the expense and costs of their own litigation and no assessment of costs being made by this Court as against either party.


JUDGE THOMAS BRETT
United States District Court Judge

APPROVED:


John Baum, Attorney for Plaintiffs


Burton J. Johnson, Attorney for
Defendant

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ELIZABETH PAIGE JONES, by and through)
VIRGIL JONES, her father, natural)
guardian and next of friend, VIRGIL)
JONES, Individually, and SHERRY KAY)
JONES, Individually,)
Plaintiffs,)
vs.)
HOBART CORPORATION, a corporation)
Defendant.)

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

JAN 21 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

NO. 79-C-53-B

O R D E R

NOW on this 21st day of January, 1983, this Order is made pursuant to 12 O.S. § 83(1971). The Court being advised that the parties have entered into a settlement in the amount of One Hundred Twenty Five Thousand Dollars (\$125,000.00) lump sum, plus an annuity for the minor plaintiff, ELIZABETH PAIGE JONES. Pursuant to Motions of the parties to dismiss the above styled case with prejudice and the Court after hearing the evidence and for just cause, finds that the check or draft for the benefit of the minor plaintiff shall be deposited in Republic Bank & Trust of Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the amount of Twenty Two Thousand One Hundred Eleven Dollars and Nine Cents (\$22,110.09), and shall not be withdrawn without Order of the Court authorizing withdrawal.

The Court, after hearing the evidence, and for just cause finds that the attorney of record, JOHN BAUM, is entitled to attorney fee in the amount of Seventy Five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000.00), and immediate disbursement and withdrawal of that amount is hereby authorized out of the up-front settlement money and that the attorney of record, JOHN BAUM, is entitled to reimbursement for expenses incurred in prosecuting this case in the amount of Nine Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty Nine Dollars and Ninety-One Cents (\$9,889.91). The Court further finds that the parents and natural guardians and next of friend, VIRGIL JONES and SHERRY KAY JONES, mother of the minor plaintiff, are entitled to reimbursement for medical expenses and for future medical expenses in the amount of Eighteen Thousand Dollars (\$18,000.00).

Said money may be immediately dispersed by the attorney of record, JOHN BAUM.


It is further directed that VIRGIL JONES and SHERRY KAY JONES, the parents and natural guardians of the plaintiff, ELIZABETH PAIGE JONES, a minor, shall have the responsibility to deposit said sum in the above named depository and of delivering a copy of this Order to the above named depository, at the time the deposit is made.

It is further directed that this case be transferred to the Juvenile Division of the District Court of Tulsa County for further supervision concerning withdrawals of the fund from the depository. It is further ordered that when said minor reaches her eighteenth (18th) birthday, this Trust Account shall cease and said funds may be dispersed to her upon her eighteenth (18th) birthday.


THOMAS BRETT
United States District Judge

APPROVED:


JOHN BAUM
Attorney for Plaintiffs


BURTON J. JOHNSON
Attorney for Defendant

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA **JAN 21 1983**

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 MICHAEL L. SMITH,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-79-E


NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 21 day of January, 1983.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney


PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

FILED

JAN 21 1983

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 CARLEEN SCOTT,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-267-E

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 21 day of January, 1983.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney


PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

LONGACRE INTERNATIONAL, INC.,)
an Oklahoma corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
NORTHLINE WELL SERVICING, LTD.,)
a Canadian corporation, and KIN)
RIG MANUFACTURING, A division)
of Northline Well Servicing, Ltd.,)
)
Defendants.)


No. 82-C-1077-E

FILED
JAN 23 1983
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT

ON THE 20th day of January, 1983, the above matter comes before the undersigned Judge of the District Court pursuant to F.R.C.P. 55 for Judgment by Default. The records herein show that said Defendants were properly served with process and have failed to answer within the times proscribed. That Plaintiff's attorney has filed an Affidavit for Entry of Default and the Clerk of the Court has made Entry of Default. Pursuant to Plaintiff's Application for Default Judgment, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff, Longacre International, Inc., and against the Defendants, Northline Well Servicing, Ltd. and Kin Rig Manufacturing, both jointly and severally for the sum of \$54,400.00 (U.S.), interest thereon as allowed by law, and for the costs of this action.

DATED this 20th day of January, 1983.



JAMES G. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TED J. ESKRIDGE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

WILLIAM H. DOUGAN and
EVERETT JONES, d/b/a
JONES METALS,

Defendants.

No. 81-C-455-E

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

On the 20th day of January, 1983, upon the written stipulation of the plaintiff for a dismissal with prejudice of the plaintiff's Complaint, the Court having examined said stipulation, finds the parties have entered into a compromise settlement of all the claims involved herein, and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that the plaintiff's Complaint against the defendants should be dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED by the Court that the Complaint of the plaintiff against the defendants be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to any future action.

BY JAMES C. [Signature]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

CRAIG BOTHWELL and PATTI)
BOTHWELL, Husband and Wife,)
)
) Plaintiffs,)
)
)
vs.)
)
)
MARK H. EVERSON and)
FRANCINE EVERSON,)
Husband and Wife,)
)
)
) Defendants.)

No. 82-C-246-B

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

The parties having so stipulated and agreed, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this action be dismissed with prejudice, with each and every party to bear his or her own costs.

DATED this 21 day of January, 1983.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
DARRELL E. SULLIVAN,)
NANCY L. SULLIVAN,)
COUNTY TREASURER, Ottawa)
County, Oklahoma, BOARD OF)
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, Ottawa)
County, Oklahoma, J. R.)
HALL, JR., PATRICK J. MALLOY)
III, Trustee, and STATE OF)
OKLAHOMA, ex rel.,)
COMMISSIONERS OF THE)
LAND OFFICE,)
)
Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-604-E

FILED
JAN 9 1982
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

THIS MATTER COMES on for consideration this 20th day
of January, 1982. The Plaintiff appearing by Frank
Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of
Oklahoma, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States
Attorney, and the Defendants: Darrell E. Sullivan, pro se, Nancy
L. Sullivan, pro se, County Treasurer, Ottawa County, and Board
of County Commissioners, Ottawa County by Thomas H. May, District
Attorney, J. R. Hall, Esquire, pro se, Patrick J. Malloy III,
Trustee, pro se, and State of Oklahoma, ex rel., Commissioners of
the Land Office by Clyde E. Fosdyke.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the
file herein finds that the following Defendants were served with
Summons and Complaint on the respective reflected dates:
Darrell E. Sullivan was served on June 9, 1982, Nancy L. Sullivan
was served on June 9, 1982, County Treasurer, Ottawa County, was
served on June 9, 1982, Board of County Commissioners, Ottawa
County, was served on June 9, 1982, J. R. Hall, Jr., was served

on June 9, 1982, Patrick J. Mallow III, Trustee, was served on June 11, 1982, and State of Oklahoma, ex rel., Commissioners of the Land Office was served on June 11, 1982.

The Court further finds that Defendants, J. R. Hall, Jr., on July 15, 1982, and Patrick J. Malloy, Trustee, on July 6, 1982, have disclaimed any interest to the real property described in the Complaint which is the subject matter of this action.

The Court further finds that the priority of liens on said real property is as follows:

I.

State of Oklahoma, ex rel., Commissioners of the Land Office has a valid first and prior lien upon the real estate, described by virtue of a mortgage executed by the Defendants, Darrell E. Sullivan and Nancy E. Sullivan, husband and wife, in favor of the Commissioners of the Land Office, said mortgage being dated June 9, 1978, in the amount of \$24,300.00 at 7 1/2 percent interest, and filed of record on June 15, 1978, in the office of the County Clerk of Ottawa County, Oklahoma. That there is due and owing on the Promissory Note secured by said mortgage as of the 15th day of November, 1982, the sum of \$27,700.94, together with interest at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum for judgment purposes, or at the rate of \$7.5893 per day until paid and costs of this action. Further, the Court finds that the Defendant, State of Oklahoma ex rel., Commissioners of the Land Office, as part of its first and prior lien, is entitled to recover attorneys fees from the Defendants, Darrell E. Sullivan and Nancy E. Sullivan, husband and wife, in a sum representing ten percent (10%) of the amount due on the Promissory Note set forth above; the total amount due as of the 15th day of November, 1982, is \$2,770.09, plus ten percent (10%)

of the accrued per diem interest, or at the rate of \$0.7589 per day until paid.

II.

County Treasurer, Ottawa County, advalorem taxes in the amount of \$185.81.

III.

United States Department of Agriculture, Farmers Home Administration, Promissory Note dated June 22, 1978, in the amount of \$46,700.00 @ 5 percent secured by a Real Estate Mortgage filed of record June 22, 1978. Further, two Promissory Notes executed August 3, 1978, and February 16, 1979, for \$7,850.00 @ 8 percent and \$15,380.00 @ 8.5 percent respectively, and all secured by a Real Estate Mortgage filed of record April 3, 1980. Also an additional Promissory Note executed September 5, 1980, in the amount of \$10,160.00 @ 11.5 percent. There being due and owing the United States of America \$62,666.58, plus interest of \$5,708.32 as of November 29, 1981, and interest thereafter.

IV.

The remainder, if any, shall be held by the Court for determination of the right to participate in the equity after the sale, if any, between Darrell E. Sullivan and Nancy L. Sullivan.

The Court further finds the above-referenced mortgages and liens to be valid and sustaining liens on the property and that said mortgages are found to be in default.

The Court further finds the parties agree and consent that judgment be entered in accord with the above-referenced priorities.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment of Foreclosure on said

Mortgages against all Defendants herein. Said Judgment against Darrell E. Sullivan to be in rem only, and:

IT IS ORDERED THAT said mortgaged premises be sold to satisfy the indebtedness due the Plaintiff, secured by a valid second mortgage, and the Defendant, Commissioners of the Land Office of the State of Oklahoma, by a valid first mortgage, and the County Treasurer, Ottawa County, for advalorem taxes assessed. The distribution is ordered as follows:

First: Costs of the action.

Second: State of Oklahoma, ex rel., Commissioners of the Land Office Promissory Note dated June 9, 1978, in the sum of \$27,700.94, as of the 15th day of November, 1982, together with interest at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum, or at the rate of \$7.5893 per day until paid, and as attorneys fees, the sum of \$2,770.09, as of the 15th day of November, 1982, and ten percent (10%) of the accrued per diem interest, or at the rate of \$0.7598 per day until paid, all of which is secured by the real estate mortgage filed of record on June 15, 1978.

Third: County Treasurer, Ottawa County, advalorem taxes in the amount of \$185.81.


Fourth: United States Department of Agriculture, Farmers Home Administration, Promissory Note dated June 22, 1978, in the amount of \$46,700.00 @ 5 percent secured by a Real Estate Mortgage filed of record June 22, 1978. Further, two Promissory Notes executed August 3, 1978, and February 16, 1979, for \$7,850.00 @ 8 percent and \$15,380.00 @ 8.5 percent respectively, and all secured by a Real Estate Mortgage filed of record April 3, 1980. Also an additional Promissory Note executed September 5, 1980, in the amount of \$10,160.00 @ 11.5 percent. There being due and owing the United States of America \$62,666.58, plus interest of \$5,708.32 as of November 29, 1981, and interest thereafter.

Fifth: Equity, if any, between Darrell E. Sullivan and Nancy L. Sullivan.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

State of Oklahoma, *ex rel.*,
Commissioners of the Land Office

BY: 
CLYDE E. FOSDYKE


County Treasurer, Ottawa County

By: 
THOMAS H. MAY
District Attorney

United State of America

By: 
PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant U.S. Attorney


NANCY L. SULLIVAN


DARRELL E. SULLIVAN

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

R. LAWRENCE ROBERSON,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No. 82-C-737-E
)	
LEGAL SERVICES OF EASTERN)	
OKLAHOMA, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Now on this 26 day of Jan, 1983, this matter comes on before this Court, and the Court finding that a Motion For Partial Summary Judgment granting Plaintiff's Cause of Action No. 1 for damages in the sum of Four Thousand Dollars (\$4,000.00), as well as pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest and attorney's fees, was entered on the 13th day of January, 1983, and the Court being further advised that the Plaintiff has dismissed, with prejudice, his claim for liquidated damages appended to his First Cause of Action, and the remaining Causes of Action No. 2, and 3, being dismissed, with prejudice, this Court enters judgment for the Plaintiff in the following amounts:

1. For the sum of \$4,000.00, plus \$484.92 in pre-judgment interest to the date of January 14, 1983, and post-judgment interest at the legal rate until said sums are paid.


2. Attorney's fees and costs in the sum of \$1,954.13, that amount being agreed upon by the parties as a reasonable attorney's fee.

IT IS ALL SO ORDERED.

BY JAMES G. ELISON

JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:



PHYLLIS DEWITT, Attorney for
Plaintiff

THOMAS D. ROBERTSON, Attorney
for Defendant

FILED

JAN 21 1983

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-345-E
)	
DALLAS R. MOORE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 2 day of January, 1983.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney


PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

FILED

JAN 21 1983

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 80-C-55-E
)	
RONALD L. TERRIAN,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 2/day of January, 1983.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney

Philard L. Rounds, Jr.
PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JUL 20 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
JIMMY H. WAGGONER,)
)
Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-445-E

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter came on for hearing on the 13th day January, 1983, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and the Defendant, Jimmy H. Waggoner, appearing not, after due Notice having been served.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, Jimmy H. Waggoner, was served with Alias Summons and Complaint on July 19, 1982. Thereafter the Defendant filed his Answer on July 22, 1982. The Court after being fully advised, having examined the file herein, and exhibits which were made a part of the record finds that the Plaintiff, United States of America, is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against the Defendant, Jimmy H. Waggoner, for the principal sum of \$1,042.76, plus

interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

Dated: 1/20/53.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE CHIEF REALTY COMPANY,)
an Oklahoma corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
HILL & HILL TRUCK LINES,)
INC., a Texas corporation,)
)
Defendant.)

82-C-1083B ✓

FILED

JAN 20 1983 *A*

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

The Plaintiff, The Chief Realty Company, hereby dismisses
with prejudice its claims against Hill & Hill Truck Lines, Inc.
in the above captioned matter.

Craig A. Stokes

Lance Stockwell
Craig A. Stokes
Of BOESCHE, McDERMOTT & ESKRIDGE
320 South Boston, Suite 1300
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103
(918) 583-1777

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the
above and foregoing was placed in the U.S. Mail on this 20th
day of January, 1983 addressed to the Oklahoma
Secretary of State, Corporation Division, State Capitol
Building, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105; and to Charles
Whitchurch, 14942 Talcott, Jacinto Port, Texas 77049 with
sufficient postage attached thereto.

Craig A. Stokes

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

20, 1983
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TIMOTHY B. JACOBS,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-111-B

O R D E R


For a good cause having been shown, it is hereby
ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above-referenced action is
hereby dismissed without prejudice against the United States of
America.

Dated this 19th day of January, 1983.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE


APPROVED AS TO FORM:



JAMES BARLOW
Attorney for Plaintiff



DALE R. MARLAR
Attorney for Plaintiff



RAY H. WILBURN
Attorney for Defendant

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 20 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

STATE FARM FIRE & CASUALTY
COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HERBERT H. HELLEN; and
KATHLEEN E. KIME, and
KATHRYN NICOLE KIME, a
Minor,

Defendants.

No. 82-C-150-E

J U D G M E N T

This matter came on before the Court, Honorable James O. Ellison, presiding and the issues having been determined by the Court granting the plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and a decision having been duly rendered that plaintiff, State Farm Fire & Casualty Company, a corporation, is entitled to the declaratory relief prayed for in its Complaint.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:

1. That the incident which occurred on November 5, 1979 which caused the death of Carl Vance Kime should be excluded from coverage under a certain insurance policy issued to the defendant, Herbert H. Hellen, by State Farm Fire & Casualty Company, said policy being No. 36-055-9841-8.

2. That the plaintiff, State Farm Fire & Casualty Company, is under no obligation or duty to appear and defend any law suit growing out of the incident which occurred on November 5, 1979 for the reasons that the incident on that date is excluded from coverage under this insurance policy by virtue

of the acts of Herbert H. Hellen being intentional acts and his being on a business pursuit at the time of the incident and excluding this occurrence from coverage under said policy.

3. That in particular the plaintiff, State Farm Fire & Casualty Company, is under no duty or obligation to defend or indemnify the defendant, Herbert H. Hellen, in a law suit under case No. CT-81-877 in the District Court in and for Tulsa County filed by the defendants, Kathleen E. Kime and Kathryn Nicole Kime, or any other subsequent action that may be filed by the defendants, Kathleen E. Kime and Kathryn Nicole Kime, against the defendant, Herbert H. Hellen, as a result of the incident of November 5, 1979.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON


James O. Ellison, United States
District Judge

5. At the time Food Specialties abandoned the premises, Food Specialties was in default under the lease with plaintiff's predecessor in interest, and Food Specialties is indebted to Homart in the sum of \$37,346.59, for rent and other charges due and owing as of May 4, 1982, under the terms of the lease.


IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that plaintiff Homart Development Company have judgment and is hereby awarded judgment against Food Specialties, Inc. in the amount of \$37,346.59.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
THOMAS R. BRETT, Judge of the
United States Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND
SUBSTANCE:



Terry M. Thomas
Gable & Gotwals
20th Floor, Fourth National Bldg.
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119
Attorney for Plaintiff



James W. Sargent
Sargent, Klenda, Haag & Mitchell
Suite 1000, One Main Place
100 North Main
Wichita, Kansas 67202
Attorney for Defendant

je

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 19 1983

br

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 MARILYN K. LANE,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 83-C-39-B ✓


NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 19th day of January, 1982.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA


FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney



PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing notice of dismissal was served on each of the parties herein named on the same day as that the same was filed on the

19th day of January 1983

Assistant United States Attorney

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 DELL E. PENTECOST,)
)
 Defendant.)

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-100

FILED

JAN 19 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 19th day of January, 1983, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the Defendant, Dell E. Pentecost, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, Dell E. Pentecost, was personally served with Alias Summons and Complaint on August 18, 1982. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against Defendant, Dell E. Pentecost, for the principal sum of \$375.39, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 83-C-10-B

FRED JACKSON; CHRISTINE JACKSON;
PRESTON A. HUMPHREY; MRS.
PRESTON A. HUMPHREY; ROY LEE
WARRIOR; SHIRLEY WARRIOR;
DANIEL J. ALEXANDER, M.D.;
GENERAL CREDIT COMPANY;
COUNTY TREASURER, TULSA COUNTY
OKLAHOMA; and BOARD OF COUNTY
COMMISSIONERS, TULSA COUNTY
OKLAHOMA;

Defendants.

FILED

JAN 19 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 19th day of January, 1983.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true copy of the foregoing pleading was served on each of the parties herein by mailing the same, then on to their offices of record on the 19th day of January, 1983.

Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney

Philard L. Rounds, Jr.
PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 19 1983

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 JAMES E. BRIGHTMAN,)
)
 Defendant.)

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-1014-C

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 18 day of January, 1983, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the Defendant, James E. Brightman, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, James E. Brightman, was personally served with Summons and Complaint on November 17, 1982. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against Defendant, James E. Brightman, for the principal sum of \$579.27, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

s/H. DALE COOK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 19 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FREDA F. NIXON and)
RICHARD NIXON,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
JOHN B. BARBOUR TRUCKING)
COMPANY and EARLY AMERICAN)
INSURANCE CO.,)
)
Defendants.)

Case No. 82-C-454-B

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

NOW ON THIS 19th day of January, 1983, there comes on for hearing the Application for Order of Dismissal With Prejudice of plaintiffs' cause. The Court finds that a settlement has been reached by the parties and that this case should be dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 19 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 ORVELESTER OWENS,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-980-B

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 19th day of January, 1983, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and the Defendant, Orvelester Owens, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, Orvelester Owens, was personally served with Summons and Complaint on November 24, 1982. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against Defendant, Orvelester Owens, for the principal sum of \$1,660.00, plus the accrued interest of \$137.96 as of September 15, 1982, plus interest on

the principal sum of \$1,660.00 at 7 percent from September 15, 1982, until the date of Judgment, plus interest on the Judgment at the legal rate until paid.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 19 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 JOHN M. DWYER,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 81-C-130-B ✓

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 19th day of January, 1983, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney, and the Defendant, John M. Dwyer, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, John M. Dwyer, was served with Alias Summons and Complaint on October 1, 1982. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against Defendant, John M. Dwyer, for the principal sum of \$822.03 (less the sum of \$100.00 which has been paid), plus the accrued interest of \$113.42 as of May 30, 1980, plus interest on the principal sum of \$822.03 (less

the sum of \$100.00) at 7 percent from May 30, 1980, until the date of Judgment, plus interest on the Judgment at the legal rate until paid.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

39

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 19 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SALLY HERD, Individually and as)
surviving spouse of Leslie Paul)
Herd, Deceased, and SALLY HERD, as)
parent and next friend of PAUL)
HERD, DARRIS HERD and STACY HERD,)
all minors,)

Plaintiffs,)

vs.)

No. 81-C-472-E ✓

CRANE MANUFACTURING AND SERVICE)
CORPORATION, a Wisconsin corpora-)
tion, and OZARK ENGINEERING CO.,)
a Missouri corporation, and JONES)
BROTHERS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, a)
Missouri corporation,)

Defendants.)

ORDER

NOW on this 18th day of January, 1983, comes on to be heard
the Motion of Defendant Jones Brothers Construction Company
to dismiss the Amended Complaint. The Court, being well advised
in the premises, finds that the Motion should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by this Court that Defendant's Motion
to Dismiss the Amended Complaint be granted.


JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

LARRY LEROY REED,
Plaintiff,

vs.

BOB WHITWORTH, CREEK COUNTY
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

Defendants.

No. 82-C-634-C

FILED

JAN 19 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

J U D G M E N T

Pursuant to the Order filed simultaneously herein, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of defendant and against plaintiff, and the action herein is in all respects dismissed.

It is so Ordered this 18th day of January, 1983.


H. DALE COOK
Chief Judge, U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

PETROMARK EXPLORATION, INC.,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.)
)
 READD METALS COMPANY, d/b/a)
 READD SUPPLY,)
)
 Defendant.)

FILED
MAY 18 A
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Case No. 82-C-876-B ✓

STIPULATION ^{OF} ~~FOR~~ DISMISSAL

COME NOW the parties, plaintiff and defendant, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and stipulate that the above-styled and numbered cause shall be and hereby is dismissed without prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,
HALL, ESTILL, HARDWICK, GABLE,
COLLINGSWORTH & NELSON, P.C.

By Mark Blongewicz
Claire E. Barrett
Mark K. Blongewicz
4100 Bank of Oklahoma Tower
One Williams Center
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74172
(918) 588-2700

and
BEST, SHARP, THOMAS, GLASS & ATKINSON

By John S. Sharp
John S. Sharp
507 South Main, Suite 300
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103
(918) 582-8877

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, Mark K. Blongewicz, hereby certify a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Stipulation for Dismissal was mailed to Mr. John S. Sharp of Best, Sharp, Thomas, Glass & Atkinson, 507 South Main, Suite 300, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103, on the 18th day of January, 1983, with proper postage thereon fully prepaid.


Mark K. Blongewicz

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CITY OF BARNSDALL, OSAGE COUNTY,)
OKLAHOMA, a municipal corporation,)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

TEN ACRES OF LAND, more or less,)
et al.,)

Defendants.)

No. 82-C-716-E

FILED

JAN 19 1983

JUDGMENT DISMISSING ACTION
BY REASON OF SETTLEMENT

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

The Court has been advised by counsel that this action has been settled, or is in the process of being settled. Therefore it is not necessary that the action remain upon the calendar of the Court.

IT IS ORDERED that the action is dismissed without prejudice. The Court retains complete jurisdiction to vacate this order and to reopen the action within 90 days upon cause shown that settlement has not been completed and further litigation is necessary.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk forthwith serve copies of this judgment by United States mail upon the attorneys for the parties appearing in this action.

Dated this 18TH day of January, 1983.



JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 20 1983

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 JOHN W. BROWN,)
)
 Defendant.)

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-339-E

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 18th day of January, 1983, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the Defendant, John W. Brown, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, John W. Brown, was personally served with Alias Summons and Complaint on June 23, 1982. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against Defendant, John W. Brown, for the principal sum of \$465.36 (less the sum of \$265.36 which has been paid), plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

S. JAMES O. ELISON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 18 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
LARRY G. HILLIARD,)
)
Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-663-E

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 18th day of January, 1983, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the Defendant, Larry G. Hilliard, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, Larry G. Hilliard, was served with Alias Summons and Complaint on December 2, 1982. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against Defendant, Larry G. Hilliard, for the principal sum of \$753.00, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 10 1983

INTERNATIONAL PATENT)
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
WYOMONT PARTNERS, a)
partnership, and NORMAN)
J. HAYES, GEORGE COOKE,)
and JAMES CASTBERG,)
)
Defendants.)

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 80-C-279-E

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement between the parties,
and the Dismissal With Prejudice filed herein on December 7,
1982, it is hereby ordered that this action be, and the same
hereby is, dismissed with prejudice as to all claims and causes
of action of all parties. All parties shall bear their own
costs.

It is so ordered this 18th day of January, 1983.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

HELICOMB INTERNATIONAL, INC.,)
a corporation,)
v.)
INDUSTRONICS, INC., a corporation,)
and INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT, INC.,)
a corporation,)
Defendants.)

NO. 81-C-421-E ✓

FILED


JAN 13 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

ON this 12th day of January, 1983, upon the written application of the parties for a Dismissal with Prejudice of the Complaint and Cross-Complaint and all causes of action, the Court having examined said application, finds that said parties have entered into a compromise settlement covering all claims involved in the Complaint and Cross-Complaint and have requested the Court to dismiss said Complaint and Cross-Complaint with prejudice to any further action, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds that said Complaint and Cross-Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to said application.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the Complaint and Cross-Complaint and all causes of action of the Plaintiff and Defendants by the the same hereby are dismissed with prejudice to any future action.




Judge, District Court of the United States,
Northern District of Oklahoma


Approvals as to form:



Robert H. Taylor, Attorney for Plaintiff



Patrick H. Kethan, Attorney for
International Transport, Inc.



Richard D. Wagner, Attorney for Industronics
Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 13 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

FOURTH NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA,)
a national banking association,)

Plaintiff,)

-vs-)

FRANK L. BEAM,)

Defendant.)

NO. 81-C-247-B

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This cause comes on for consideration upon the application of plaintiff for the entry of a default judgment. The court hereby finds as follows:

1. Complaint and Summons in this action were served on defendant on November 18, 1982.

2. The time within which the defendant may answer or otherwise move has expired.

3. The defendant is therefore in default and the clerk has entered the defendant's default.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that plaintiff the Fourth National Bank of Tulsa have judgment against Frank L. Beam in the sum of \$15,211.37, which represents the principal and interest to date accrued on the obligations sued on, plus interest to accrue at the rate of \$4.14 per diem from the date of the entry of the default judgment, plus costs in the sum of \$350.00.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

Judge of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of
Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

HAMMERMILL PAPER COMPANY,)
a foreign corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
UNITAC ADHESIVE PRODUCTS,)
INC., an Oklahoma)
corporation and GARY)
BURGER, individually,)
)
Defendants.)

FILED

JAN 13 1983

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Case No. 82-C-518-C

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Upon the application of the plaintiff and for good
cause shown, this action is dismissed with prejudice.

s/DALE COOK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

HAMMERMILL PAPER COMPANY,)
a foreign corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
UNITAC ADHESIVE PRODUCTS,)
INC., an Oklahoma)
corporation and GARY)
BURGER, individually,)
)
Defendants.)

FILED

JAN 15 1983

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Case No. 82-C-518-C

ORDER OF DISMISSAL
OF DEFENDANTS' COUNTERCLAIM

Upon the application of the defendants and for good
cause shown, this action is dismissed with prejudice.

s/H. DALE COOK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

JAN 12 1983 **A**

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE NORTHERN TRUST COMPANY,)
)
 Plaintiff,) (formerly No. 82-C-3501)

vs.)

CHASE EXPLORATION CORPORATION,)
 et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)

- - - - -) Consolidated No. 82-C-1047-B ✓

THE NORTHERN TRUST,)
)
 Plaintiff,) (formerly No. 82-C-3502)

vs.)

RALPH JACKSON, et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)

ORDER

Having considered the Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss Chase Exploration Corporation and Chase Gathering Systems, Inc., as Parties-Defendant, filed by Plaintiff in these consolidated actions pursuant to Rule 41(a), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court finds and concludes that the dismissal of Defendants Chase Exploration Corporation and Chase Gathering Systems, Inc. from these consolidated actions is appropriate, and that they should be so dismissed, without prejudice and without costs.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that these actions be, and the same hereby are, dismissed, without prejudice and

without costs, as to Defendants Chase Exploration Corpora-
tion and Chase Gathering Systems, Inc.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 12 day of January, 1983.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

Thomas R. Brett
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MID-STATES AIRCRAFT ENGINES,
an Oklahoma corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF DETROIT and
JAMES MORTON,

Defendants.

No. 82-C-699-C

FILED

JAN 13 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

ORDER

Now before the Court for its consideration is the motion of the defendant, City of Detroit, to dismiss for the reason that this Court lacks in personam jurisdiction over said defendant and that the Northern District of Oklahoma is not the proper venue for this action under 28 U.S.C. §1391, or, in the alternative, to transfer this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C., §1406(a) or §1404(a) to the United States District Court for the District of Michigan. The Court has no record of a response from plaintiff.

Rule 14(a) of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma provides as follows:

(a) Briefs. Each motion, application and objection filed shall set out the specific point or points upon which the motion is brought and shall be accompanied by a concise brief. Memoranda in opposition to such motion and objection shall be filed within ten (10) days after the filing of the motion or objection, and any reply memoranda shall be filed within ten (10) days thereafter.

Failure to comply with this paragraph will constitute waiver of objection by the party not complying, and such failure to comply will constitute a confession of the matters raised by such pleadings.

On September 21, 1982 the Court entered an Order granting enlargement of time to the plaintiff to respond to the motion of defendant. By the terms of that Order the plaintiff was required to take the deposition of an Officer Dabrowski within 30 days of September 21, 1982 and to respond to defendant's motion within 15 days beyond the taking of said deposition. The record in this case does not reflect that the plaintiff has complied with the September 21, 1982 Order and the time for plaintiff to respond to the defendant's motion to dismiss has long since elapsed.

Therefore, since no response has been received within 131 days after filing the motion to dismiss herein, in accordance with Rule 14(a), and the plaintiff has failed to respond as previously Ordered by the Court, the failure to comply constitutes a confession of the Motion to Dismiss.

It is the Order of the Court that defendant's Motion to Dismiss should be and hereby is sustained. This action is in all respects dismissed as to defendant City of Detroit.

It is so Ordered this 12th day of January, 1983.



H. DALE COOK
Chief Judge, U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

RICHARD W. WALKER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 80-C-586-C ✓

THE NORTHWESTERN MUTUAL LIFE)
INSURANCE COMPANY, THE)
NORTHWESTERN MUTUAL LIFE)
INSURANCE COMPANY AGENTS)
RETIREMENT INVESTMENT FUND,)
FRANCIS E. FERGUSON, ROBERT)
E. TEMPLIN, and RICHARD W.)
WRIGHT, individually as)
Trustees of The Northwestern)
Mutual Life Insurance Company)
Agents Retirement Investment)
Fund, and DONALD E. CHAPPIE,)
individually and as an Officer)
of the Northwestern Mutual)
Life Insurance Company,)

Defendants.)

FILED *br*

JAN 13 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT

This matter came on for non-jury trial before the Court, the parties agreeing to submit the case on the record herein, and the issues having been duly tried and a decision having been duly rendered in accordance with the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law filed simultaneously herein,

It is Ordered and Adjudged,

that the plaintiff take nothing, that the action be

dismissed on the merits and that the parties bear their own attorney fees and costs of this action.

It is so Ordered this 12th day of January, 1983.



H. DALE COOK
Chief Judge, U. S. District Court

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 19 1983

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DAVID R. WILLIAMS and PAULINE B.)
WILLIAMS, husband and wife,)
)
Plaintiffs,)

v.)

ALLIED VAN LINES, INC., a)
foreign corporation,)
)
Defendant.)

NO. 82-C-500-E

STIPULATION ^{OF} ~~FOR~~ DISMISSAL

The undersigned attorneys of record for the parties plaintiff and defendant in subject action hereby stipulate and agree that an Order of this Court may be entered forthwith dismissing the action with prejudice.

Original Signed By DONALD E. HERROLD.

DONALD E. HERROLD
MORREL, HERROLD & WEST, INC.
600 Southland Financial Center
4111 South Darlington
Tulsa, Oklahoma, 74135
(918) 664-2424
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

William B. Selman

WILLIAM B. SELMAN
RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES
TUCKER & GABLE
2900 Fourth National Building
Tulsa, Oklahoma, 74119
(918) 582-1173
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

JAMES O. ELLISON,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA JAN 11 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 BILLY G. VESPER,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-1160-B

AGREED JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 11th day of January, 1982, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Peter Bernhardt, Assistant United States Attorney, and the Defendant, Billy G. Vesper, appearing pro se.

The Court, being fully advised and having examined the file herein, finds that the Defendant, Billy G. Vesper, was personally served with Summons and Complaint on December 22, 1982. The Defendant has not filed an Answer but in lieu thereof has agreed that he is indebted to the Plaintiff in the amount alleged in the Complaint and that Judgment may accordingly be entered against him in the amount of \$278.40, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against the Defendant,

Billy G. Vesper, in the amount of \$278.40, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.


S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney


PETER BERNHARDT
Assistant U.S. Attorney


BILLY G. VESPER

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 11 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

TOWERY PRESS, INC.,
a Tennessee corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NATIONAL REPORTER PUBLICATIONS,
INC., an Oklahoma corporation,
et al.,

Defendants.

No. 82-C-551-B

JUDGMENT

This action comes before the Court pursuant to regular setting for trial by the Court. Plaintiff, Towery Press, Inc., appears by and through its counsel, James C. Lang of Sneed, Lang, Adams, Hamilton, Downie & Barnett. Defendants, National Reporter Publications, Inc., Pope Investments, Gerald W. Pope and Gregory M. Pope, appear by and through their attorney, Bert C. McElroy, Jr., of Pray, Walker, Jackman, Williamson & Marljar. The parties announce in open court the settlement of the dispute entered into between the parties and request the Court to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants as follows:

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that Plaintiff Towery Press, Inc., is granted judgment against Defendant National Reporter Publication, Inc., on Count I of the Complaint in the amount of \$291,141.43 (consisting of \$225,000.00 principal, together with interest thereon in the amount of \$41,116.43 and attorneys' fees of \$25,025.00), together with interest accruing thereafter from the 28th day of December,

1982, at the rate of twenty percent (20%) per annum on the principal balance of \$225,000.00, until paid in full; and court costs in the amount of \$92.40.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant National Reporter Publications, Inc., on the Counterclaim of National Reporter Publications, Inc., as contained in the Answer of said Defendant filed July 7, 1982.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that Plaintiff has a valid and existing lien against the real property described as follows:

An undivided six (6) acres interest in the below-described twenty (20) acres, to-wit:

The West Half (W/2) of the Southwest Quarter (SW/4) of the Southwest Quarter (SW/4) of Section 27, Township 18 North, Range 14 East, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma,

such lien securing the payment of the judgment awarded Plaintiff in Count I, and that Defendants, and each of them, are in default of the obligations secured by said mortgage and that Plaintiff is entitled to foreclose its mortgage upon the above-described real property.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that Plaintiff has elected not to waive appraisalment according to the terms of the mortgage, and upon application of Plaintiff, an order of sale shall issue to the Marshal of the Court commanding him to advertise and sell according to law with appraisalment the lands and tenements in said mortgage as described above situated in the County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, and to apply the proceeds arising from said sale as follows:

1. In payment of the costs of said sale of this action;

2. In payment of any taxes due or other liens upon the property at the time of the sale;

3. In payment to Plaintiff of the judgment entered in Count I, together with interest and attorneys' fees and costs all as set out therein;

4. That the residue, if any, be paid to the Clerk of this Court to avoid the further order of this Court.

If the amount derived from said sale is insufficient to satisfy said judgment, interest, attorneys' fees and costs, let execution issue against the said Defendant, National Reporter Publications, Inc., for the remainder unpaid.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by this Court that from and after the sale of said real property under and by virtue of this judgment and decree, said Defendants, and each of them, and all persons claiming under them or either of them since the filing of the Complaint herein be, and they are, forever barred and foreclosed of and from any and every lien upon, right, title, interest, estate or equity of, in or to said real estate or any part thereof.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants, Pope Investments, a partnership, and Gerald W. Pope and Gregory M. Pope, upon the Counterclaim of Pope Investments, a partnership, as presented in its Answer filed July 7, 1982.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that pursuant to the agreement and stipulation of all parties stated in open court, this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this action and execution upon the property above described shall be deferred pending further application by Plaintiff. It is the desire of Defendants to avoid foreclosure and sale of said property and Defendants are found to expressly waive any right or interest they have to sale of the property prior to application of Plaintiff or further order of the Court and thereafter to a deficiency judgment against National Reporter Publications, Inc., in the event that sale of the property fails to satisfy in full the amount of the judgment entered in Count I hereof.


DONE this 11th day of January, 19 83.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT


HONORABLE THOMAS R. BRETT
United States District Judge

APPROVED AS TO
FORM & CONTENT:

SNEED, LANG, ADAMS,
HAMILTON, DOWNIE & BARNETT

By 
James C. Lang,
Attorneys for Plaintiff

PRAY, WALKER, JACKMAN,
WILLIAMSON & MARLAR

By 
Bert C. McElroy
Attorneys for Defendants

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED
JAN 11 1983 *hm*
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

EXPERTISE, INC., d/b/a)
CHAMPION WAREHOUSE)
DISTRIBUTORS,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
AETNA FINANCE COMPANY,)
)
Defendant.)

No. 82-C-552-B ✓

J U D G M E N T

Pursuant to the agreement of the parties made at the time of trial, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for One Thousand Nine Hundred Seventeen and 85/100 Dollars (\$1,917.85), plus interest from this date at the rate of 8.75% per annum. Pursuant to the Order Sustaining Defendant's Motion for Judgment Notwithstanding the Verdict of this date, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the defendant, Aetna Finance Company, and against the plaintiff, Expertise, Inc., d/b/a Champion Warehouse Distributors, on the rest or balance of any cause of action or claim by plaintiff against the defendant herein.

The parties are to pay their own respective attorneys fees and costs.

ENTERED this 11th day of January, 1983.



THOMAS R. BRETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE **FILED**
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 11 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SAN FRANCISCO-OKLAHOMA PETROLEUM)
EXPLORATION CORPORATION,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
CARSTAN OIL COMPANY, INC.)
COURTNEY G. ROGERS, AN INDIVIDUAL,)
AND WILLIAM R. ROGERS, AN)
INDIVIDUAL,)
)
Defendants.)

Case No. 82-C-190-B

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This matter came on for hearing on September 17, 1982, on the parties' cross motions for partial summary judgment. After consideration of the Briefs and oral arguments of counsel this Court issued on order on the 28th day of September, 1982, denying Plaintiff partial summary judgment in Counts IV and V of its Complaint and granting Plaintiff Partial Summary Judgment on Count I of its complaint. The parties, having stipulated to the amount of restitution to which Plaintiff is entitled, this Court finds there is no just reason for delay and directs judgment be entered accordingly.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the sale of the security sold by Defendants to Plaintiff be rescinded and Plaintiff have judgment as against the Defendants and each of

them in the amount of Sixty Eight Thousand Two Hundred Forty and 51/100 Dollars (\$68,240.51), plus the costs of this action.

s/ THOMAS R. BRETT
United States District Judge

Approved as to form this
6th day of January, 1983.

SNEED, LANG, ADAMS,
HAMILTON, DOWNIE & BARNETT

By Melinda J. Martin
Melinda J. Martin
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Approved as to form this
_____ day of January, 1983.

PRAY, WALKER, JACKMAN,
WILLIAMSON & MARLAR

By J. Warren Jackman
J. Warren Jackman
Attorneys for Defendants

FILED

JAN 11 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. D. STEELMAN)
Plaintiff)
v.)
LOUIS GANT)
Defendant)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-795-E

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

TO: THOMAS E. SALISBURY
Co-Counsel for Defendant
P. O. Box 519
Sand Springs, OK 74063

Please take notice that the above-styled
action is hereby dismissed.



J. D. STEELMAN
Plaintiff
P. O. Box 1915
Tulsa, OK 74101

I hereby certify that on this 11th day of
January, 1983, a copy of the foregoing Notice of Dismissal
was mailed to Thomas E. Salisbury, Co-Counsel for
Defendant.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 11 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 JOHN P. PADDOCK,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-279-E

JUDGMENT

This matter came on for further pre-trial hearing on January 5, 1983, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Philard A. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and the Defendant, John P. Paddock, appearing not.

The Court, being fully advised and having examined the file herein, finds that the Defendant, John P. Paddock was served with Summons and Complaint on March 11, 1982. The Defendant filed his Answer herein on May 26, 1982, alleging that he did not receive the educational benefits that Plaintiff seeks to recover herein for the period November 11, 1980, to November 30, 1980. Defendant received due notice of the pre-trial hearing set herein for October 4, 1982 but did not appear. Defendant received due notice of the further pre-trial hearing but did not appear.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against the Defendant John P. Paddock in the amount of \$218.00, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

HHP:slb
12/27/82

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

THE NEOGARD CORPORATION,)
a corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
NELSON JOHNSON,)
)
Defendant.)

NO. 82-C-1048-C

FILED

JAN 11 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

J U D G M E N T

The Defendant, Nelson Johnson, having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint filed herein, and the default of said Defendant having been duly entered, and it appearing that this Defendant is not an infant, incompetent, nor a member of any military service, and it appearing by the Affidavit of Harris H. Prescott, Plaintiff's attorney, that the Plaintiff is entitled to a judgment herein,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THIS COURT that the Plaintiff have and recover from the Defendant, Nelson Johnson, a judgment in the sum of \$69,196.66, with interest thereon at the rate of 9.6% per annum from July 28, 1982, until date of judgment, and thereafter at the rate of 15% per annum from date of judgment until paid, plus an attorney's fee in the sum of \$2,500.00, plus all the costs of this action.

Dated this 10th day of Jan., 1983.

LAW OFFICES

UNGERMAN,
CONNER &
LITTLE

MIDWAY BLDG.
2727 EAST 21 ST.
SUITE 400

P. O. BOX 2099
TULSA, OKLAHOMA
74101

DALE COOK
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DAVIDSON OIL COUNTRY SUPPLY CO.,)
INC., a Delaware corporation,)

Plaintiff,)

-v-)

GREAT AMERICAN OIL CO., INC.,)
an Oklahoma corporation,)

Defendant.)

No. 82-C-873-C

FILED

JAN 11 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT

This matter came on before me, the undersigned judge, on this 11th day of January, 1983, plaintiff appearing by and through its counsel, Andrews Davis Legg Bixler Milsten & Murrah, and the defendant, Great American Oil Co., Inc., appearing not.

The Court having examined the Court file and being fully advised in the premises, finds:

That the defendant was duly served with summons in this case, has failed to enter an appearance, plead or otherwise defend, and is wholly in default.

That the Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of this case; and that plaintiff should have judgment as prayed for in its Complaint filed herein, including a reasonable attorneys' fee as based on the evidence presented pursuant to the provisions of Title 12 Okla. Stat. § 937.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that plaintiff be, and is hereby awarded a judgment of and from the defendant, Great American Oil Co., Inc., in the principal sum of Sixteen Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty-six and 72/100 (\$16,866.72), together with interest on the judgment at the rate of ~~eighteen~~ ^{9.75%} percent ~~(18%)~~ per annum, attorneys' fees in the amount \$ -0-, and the costs of this action.

DATED this 11th day of January, 1983.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 11 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FEROLD G. AREND, an)
individual,)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

DAVID DILLION, an individual,)
and RIVERBASIN DEVELOPMENT)
CORPORATION, an Oklahoma)
corporation,)

Defendants.)

No. 82-C-682-C .
No. 82-C-683-B
(Consolidated Under
Case No. 82-C-682-C)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Pursuant to application and stipulation for dismissal with prejudice filed herein by the parties, the Court finds that said application and stipulation should be granted and that this matter should be dismissed with prejudice.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that complaints & counterclaims are hereby dismissed with prejudice.

Dated this 10th day of January, 1983.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 11 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FEROLD G. AREND, an)
 individual,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 DAVID DILLION, an individual,)
 and RIVERBASIN DEVELOPMENT)
 CORPORATION, an Oklahoma)
 corporation,)
)
 Defendants.)

No. 89-C-682-C .
 No. 82-C-683-B
 (Consolidated Under
 Case No. 82-C-682-C)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Pursuant to application and stipulation for dismissal with prejudice filed herein by the parties, the Court finds that said application and stipulation should be granted and that this matter should be dismissed with prejudice.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that complaints & counterclaims are hereby dismissed with prejudice.

Dated this 10th day of January, 1983.

(Signature)
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CCI CORPORATION,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Defendant.)

No. 78-C-261-BT ✓

FILED

JAN 11 1983 *A*

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

The above cause comes before the Court on the Joint Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice, and the Court, being well advised in the premises, finds that an Order of Dismissal should issue.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the above entitled cause be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear its own costs and attorney's fees.

ENTERED this 11th day of January, 1983.



THOMAS R. BRETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 11 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

MIDWESTERN HOMES, INC.,)
corporation)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
FRONTIER DEVELOPMENT OF)
OKLAHOMA, LTD., a corporation,)
and DAVID L. DILLION,)
)
Defendants.)

No. 80-C-729-E

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Upon good cause shown and based upon the Joint Stipulation for a Dismissal With Prejudice filed herein by the Plaintiff and all Defendants to this action pursuant to Federal Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Plaintiff's action and the Defendants' crossclaim are hereby dismissed with prejudice as to all parties to this suit.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 11 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

ELBERT WHITSON, d/b/a)
OZARK TRUCK PLAZA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 80-C-716-E
)
CUMMINS SALES & SERVICE, INC.,)
a foreign corporation,)
)
Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOW on this 29th day of December, 1982 this matter comes on for hearing before the undersigned Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma for hearing on the defendant's Motion for Attorney Fees. The plaintiff appears by and through his attorney, A. Craig Abrahamson and the defendant, appears by and through its attorney of record, Gregory D. Nellis. The Court recognizing that judgment was had on behalf of the defendant in this action at the conclusion of the trial of this matter on August 30, 1982 and after hearing argument by both counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, this Court finds that the defendant as the prevailing party in this action shall be entitled to a reasonable attorney fee in the amount of \$8,000.00. This Court further recognizes that the Court Clerk for the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma has assessed costs in favor of the defendant, and against the plaintiff herein in the amount of \$1,252.70.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendant, Cummins Sales and Service, Inc., have judgment against the plaintiff, Elbert Whitson doing business as Ozark Truck Plaza in the amount of \$9,252.70 as a reasonable fee and cost in this matter.

~~S/ JAMES O. ELLISON~~
Honorable James O. Ellison
Judge of the U.S. District Court

APPROVED AS TO FORM:




A. Craig Abrahamson
Attorney for the Plaintiff



Gregory D. Nellis
Attorney for the Defendant

Anderson, 200 Civic Center, Room 1012, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103, with proper postage thereon full prepaid.


WALTER D. HASKINS


ORDER

NOW ON this 11th day of January, 1983, comes on to be heard the Dismissal Without Prejudice of Plaintiff herein. The Court, being well advised in the premises, finds that said application should be and hereby is Sustained, and said action is dismissed without prejudice to the filing of another.

FILED


JAN 11 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT


Judge Thomas Brett

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:


WALTER D. HASKINS


DAVID M. ANDERSON

134
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ASSOCIATED BEAUTY COMPANIES, INC.,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
LANGE LABORATORIES, INC., et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

No. 79-C-208-E ✓

FILED

JAN 10 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT DISMISSING ACTION
BY REASON OF SETTLEMENT

The Court has been advised by counsel that this action has been settled, or is in the process of being settled. Therefore it is not necessary that the action remain upon the calendar of the Court.

IT IS ORDERED that the action is dismissed without prejudice. The Court retains complete jurisdiction to vacate this order and to reopen the action upon cause shown that settlement has not been completed ^{within 90 days} and further litigation is necessary.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk forthwith serve copies of this judgment by United States mail upon the attorneys for the parties appearing in this action.

Dated this 7th day of January, 1983.



JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

PEPSICO, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TULSA INVESTMENT PROPERTIES,
LTD., et al.,

Defendants.

No. 82-C-86-E ✓

E I L E D

JAN 10 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT DISMISSING ACTION
BY REASON OF SETTLEMENT

The Court has been advised by counsel that this action has been settled, or is in the process of being settled. Therefore it is not necessary that the action remain upon the calendar of the Court.

IT IS ORDERED that the action is dismissed without prejudice. The Court retains complete jurisdiction to vacate this order and to reopen the action upon cause shown that settlement has not been completed ^{WITHIN 90 DAYS} and further litigation is necessary.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk forthwith serve copies of this judgment by United States mail upon the attorneys for the parties appearing in this action.

Dated this 7th day of January, 1983.



JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

C-1-83-0045

JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION
FILED

DOCKET NO. 486

DEC-3 1982

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE RICHARDSON-MERRELL, INC. "BENDECTIN" PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. II)

Sam Barlow, et al. v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, et al.,
N. D. Oklahoma, C. A. No. 82-C-1067C

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER

On February 9, 1982, the Panel transferred 47 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. Since that time, more than 45 additional civil actions have been transferred to the Southern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Carl B. Rubin.

It appears from the pleadings filed in the above-captioned action that it involves questions of fact which are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Rubin.

Pursuant to Rule 9 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 89 F.R.D. 273, 278-79, the above-captioned action is hereby transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Southern District of Ohio for reasons stated in the order of February 9, 1982, 533 F. Supp. 489, and with the consent of that court assigned to the Honorable Carl B. Rubin.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed fifteen days from the entry thereof and if any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this fifteen day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FILED

JAN 10 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

THIS IS A TRUE COPY

Edna M. Harris
Deputy Clerk

Patricia D. Howard
Clerk, Judicial Panel on

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted and this order becomes effective

DEC 21 1982

Patricia D. Howard
Clerk of the Panel

UNIONIC 1A10 1982
CHICAGO

EB, Hd 0h 9 p. HW

ABSTRACTS RELAT'G NRO.
87111

FOR THE PANEL:

Patricia D. Howard

Patricia D. Howard
Clerk of the Panel Original

1/4/83

Re Anderson

1/4/83

FILED

JAN 7 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**


IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BETTY J. CONLEY,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
RIDGE TOOL CO., INC., a)
Corporation, d/b/a P & S)
ENGINEERING DIVISION,)
)
Defendant.)

Case No. 82-C-659-B ✓

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

In consideration of the Stipulation entered into between Plaintiff and Defendant, Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed with prejudice to the refiling of same. It is further ordered that the parties will bear their respective costs.


THOMAS R. BRETT
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 7 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

CCI CORPORATION,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Defendant.)

No. 78-C-261-BT

JOINT STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

The plaintiff, CCI Corporation, and the defendant, United States of America, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(ii), F.R.C.P., jointly stipulate that the plaintiff's action be dismissed with prejudice, the parties to bear their respective costs, including all attorney's fees and expenses of this litigation.

ROSENSTEIN, FIST & RINGOLD


By


A. F. Ringold
ROSENSTEIN, FIST & RINGOLD
525 South Main, Suite 300
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103
(918) 585-9211

Attorneys for Plaintiff

HUBERT H. BRYANT
United States Attorney

By


M. Bruce Peele
Attorney, Tax Division
Department of Justice
Room 5B27, 1100 Commerce Street
Dallas, TX 75242
(214) 767-0293

Attorneys for Defendant

FILED

JAN 7 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

PAUL A. BROCKWELL, WALTER P. BRYCE,)
ROY G. CARTWRIGHT, LLOYD M. CREEKMORE,)
MORRIS B. FELL, WARREN G. GWARTNEY,)
MELBA D. MANHART, WILLIAM M. MARTIN,)
PATRICK L. MURRAY, and LOGAN A. SPANN,)

Plaintiffs,)

vs.)

FIDELITY & DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND,)
a Maryland corporation,)

Defendant.)

No. 82-C-600-B

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

The Court, upon motion of plaintiffs, dismisses without prejudice the Complaint (filed as a Petition in state court), and the actions therein alleged, with plaintiffs and defendant to each suffer their own costs.

Entered: January 5, 1983

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

Thomas R. Brett
United States District Judge

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 ONE THOUSAND, FOUR HUNDRED)
 FORTY-FIVE DOLLARS (\$1,445.00)
 IN UNITED STATES CURRENCY,)
)
 Defendant.)

No. 82-C-990-B

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

COME NOW FRANK KEATING, by and through GERALD HILSHER, Assistant United States Attorney, and STANLEY D. MONROE, Attorney for CURTIS FRANKLIN KELSEY, JR., Claimant, and hereby stipulate that the above entitled action may be dismissed with prejudice upon a division of the proceeds (\$1,445.00) as follows: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA - \$725; CURTIS FRANKLIN KELSEY, JR. and STANLEY D. MONROE, his attorney - \$720, plus a refund of the \$250 cost bond heretofore posted and held in U.S. Attorney's Office.

The parties hereto waive any further claim, action or defense to the proceeds herein except as hereinabove set forth.

WITNESS our hands this 28th day of December, 1982.

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney
By Gerald Hilscher
GERALD HILSHER
Assistant United States Attorney
Stanley D. Monroe
STANLEY D. MONROE
Attorney for Claimant,
CURTIS FRANKLIN KELSEY, JR.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BUSINESS INTERIORS, INC.,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 THE AETNA CASUALTY AND SURETY)
 COMPANY,)
)
 Defendant, Third Party)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 THE BARTON AGENCY, INC.,)
)
 Third Party Defendant.)

No. 81-C-323-E ✓


FILED
JAN 5 1983 *A*
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT

Pursuant to the Order of this Court dated the 20th day of December, 1982, it is ordered and adjudged that the Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff for \$10,000 under the subject insurance policy.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff shall account to the Defendant insurer for any and all sums recovered by Plaintiff pursuant to claims against the Plaintiff's former employee Deborah K. Ashe, any bank against which the Plaintiff may have an action arising out of the facts herein presented, or any other person or entity from whom Plaintiff has recovered sums arising from the facts herein presented.

Dated this 4th day of January, 1983.



JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 5 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 HENRY N. FRAZIER,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-120-E ✓

AGREED JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 4th day of January, 1983, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and the Defendant, Henry N. Frazier, appearing pro se.

The Court, being fully advised and having examined the file herein, finds that the Defendant, Henry N. Frazier, was served with Summons and Complaint on February 11, 1982. The Defendant has filed his Answer but has agreed that he is indebted to the Plaintiff in the amount alleged in the Complaint and that Judgment may accordingly be entered against him in the amount of \$443.75, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against the Defendant,

Henry N. Frazier, in the amount of \$443.75, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE


APPROVED:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney



PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant U.S. Attorney


HENRY N. FRAZIER

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAN 5 1983 *hm*

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
AUDREY A. McCONNELL,)
)
Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-1122-E ✓

AGREED JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 4th day of January, 1983, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney, and the Defendant, Audrey A. McConnell, appearing pro se.

The Court, being fully advised and having examined the file herein, finds that Defendant, Audrey A. McConnell, was personally served with Summons and Complaint on December 2, 1982. The Defendant has not filed her Answer but in lieu thereof has agreed that she is indebted to the Plaintiff in the amount of \$2,473.39, plus the accrued interest of \$627.02 as of June 20, 1980, plus interest at 7 percent per annum from June 20, 1980, until the date of this Judgment, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against the Defendant, Audrey A. McConnell, for the principal sum of \$2,473.39, plus the accrued interest of \$627.02 as of June 20, 1980, plus interest at 7 percent per annum from June 20, 1980, until the date of this


Judgment, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this
Judgment until paid.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney


NANCY A. NESBITT
Assistant U.S. Attorney


AUDREY A. McCONNELL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN -4 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

BUSTER HURLEY,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 HARRY STEGE, individually)
 and as Chief of Police of)
 the police department of)
 the City of Tulsa, et al.,)
)
 Defendants,)

No. 81-C-196

CONSENT DECREE

Plaintiff's complaint to redress alleged violations of plaintiff's civil rights and the occurrence of pendent jurisdiction tortious misconduct by defendants Dennis Baker, Debbie Deardorff and D. O. Lindsey having been filed herein and

WHEREAS the individual defendants, and each of them, have denied each of plaintiff's allegations of misconduct and affirmatively alleged the good faith performance of their duties as police officers for the City of Tulsa at all relevant times, such circumstance thereby entitling them, as a matter of law, both individually and collectively, to good faith qualified immunity upon plaintiff's allegations; and

WHEREAS plaintiffs and defendants, by and through their respective attorneys consent, without adjudication of any issue of fact or law herein, to the entry of this Decree, and without this Decree constituting any evidence or admission by and party hereto with respect to any issue of fact or law

herein, and

WHEREAS, plaintiff and defendants by and through their respective attorneys, have agreed that plaintiff is entitled to recovery in the sum of \$5,000.00 and, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988, plaintiff's attorneys are entitled to recover as costs and attorney fees herein the additional sum of \$6,449.00

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT FINDS:

I.

That plaintiff's complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983, and the doctrine of pendent jurisdiction.

II.

That the answer filed herein by defendants Dennis Baker, Debbie Deardorff and D.O. Lindsey, and each of them, denies plaintiff's allegations of misconduct and it affirmatively alleges the good faith performance of their duties as police officers for the City of Tulsa as an affirmative defense to plaintiff's allegations.

III.

That the parties consent, without adjudication of any issue of law or fact, to the entry of this Consent Decree upon the consideration that it shall not constitute any evidence or admission by any party hereto with respect to any issue of fact or law as raised by either plaintiff's complaint or by defendant's answer.

IV.

That the parties have mutually agreed that plaintiff is entitled to recovery in the sum of \$5,000.00 and, pursuant to the provisions of 42 U.S.C. §1988 relating to attorney fees, plaintiff's attorneys, Oliver & Evans, Inc., are entitled to recover as cost and attorney fees herein the additional sum of \$6,449.00.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that plaintiff be awarded judgment against Dennis Baker, Debbie Deardorff and D. O. Lindsey in the sum of \$5,000.00 and that plaintiff's attorney's Oliver & Evans, Inc., be awarded the sum of \$6,449.00 as judgment upon their costs and attorney fees.


DATED this 4 day of December, 1982.

(Signed) H. Dale Cook

H. Dale Cook, Chief Judge

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:


Oliver & Evans, Inc., attorneys
for plaintiff, Buster Hurley
2121 S. Columbia
Tulsa, OK
(918) 745-6084


David L. Pauling, Attorney for
defendants, Dennis Baker, Debbie
Deardorff and D. O. Lindsey
200 Civic Center, Room 1012
Tulsa, OK 74103
(918) 592-7717

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN - 4 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

OIL CAPITAL VALVE COMPANY,)
an Oklahoma corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
A-1 ENTERPRISES, INC., a/k/a)
A-1 TURF IRRIGATION SALES, INC.,)
a California corporation, and)
A-1 ENTERPRISES, INC., a/k/a)
A-1 TURF IRRIGATION SALES, INC.,)
a Texas corporation,)
)
Defendants.)

Civil Action No. 82-C-987-B


DEFAULT JUDGMENT

The Defendant, A-1 Enterprises, Inc., a/k/a A-1 Turf Irrigation Sales, Inc., a Texas corporation, having failed to plead or otherwise defend in this action and its default having been entered;

Now upon Application of the Plaintiff and upon Affidavit that the said Defendant is indebted to Plaintiff in the sum of Fifty-Two Thousand Six Hundred Twenty-Two and 70/100 (\$52,622.70), together with interest thereon at the rate of six percent (6%) per annum from March 18, 1982, until judgment, and at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum from judgment until paid, and for the further amount of One Thousand Eighty and No/100 Dollars (\$1,080.00), together with interest thereon at the rate of six percent (6%) per annum from June 3, 1982, until judgment, and at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum from judgment until paid, reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs of this action; that the said Defendant has been defaulted for failure to appear and that said Defendant is not an infant or incompetent person, and is not in the military service of the United States, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff recover of said Defendant the sum of Fifty-Two Thousand Six Hundred Twenty-Two and 70/100 Dollars (\$52,622.70), with interest thereon at the

rate of six percent (6%) per annum from March 18, 1982, until judgment, and at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum from judgment until paid, and for the further sum of One Thousand Eighty and No/100 (\$1,080.00), with interest thereon at the rate of six percent (6%) per annum from June 3, 1982, until judgment, and at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum from judgment until paid, reasonable attorneys' fees of \$5,370.00 and costs in the sum of \$87.30.



THOMAS R. BRETT
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: JANUARY 4, 1983

UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN - 4 1983

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
MICHAEL E. HEARD,)
)
Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-151

O R D E R

Now on the 1st day of December 1982, the above-captioned case came on for non-jury trial. The Plaintiff, United States of America, appeared by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney. The Defendant did not appear.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the Complaint against Defendant is dismissed without prejudice.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Respondents have furnished the Affidavit of John S. Kuehner, Assistant Administrator of Central Records, Division of Programs and Services, Oklahoma Department of Corrections which states, inter alia, that Manuel was discharged from the custody of the Department of Corrections on November 1, 1982.

The Magistrate has entered a Minute Order herein on December 30, 1982 in which he states that he had a telephone conference with Manuel and that Manuel has no objection to this case being dismissed upon the ground that the issue raised by the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is now moot.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Petition of Kenneth Dorris Manuel for Writ of Habeas Corpus be and the same is hereby dismissed.

DATED this 31 day of January, 1983.



JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

ROMEO J. ALFORD JR. and)
WILLIAM A. LANE,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)
)
THE OKLAHOMA COLLEGE OF OSTEO-)
PATHIC MEDICINE AND SURGERY,)
et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

JAN - 4 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk

No. 82-C-852-B U. S. DISTRICT COURT

C-82-2246

O R D E R

Pursuant to the Application for Order to Dismiss filed herein on December 29, 1982, the federal constitutional claims of the plaintiffs against the defendants are hereby dismissed with prejudice. Therefore, no federal claims remain before this Court, and the matter is remanded to the State Court, the place of its original filing, for the plaintiffs to pursue their alleged common law, Oklahoma statutory law, or Oklahoma state constitutional claims.

ENTERED this 4th day of January, 1983.



THOMAS R. BRETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

POWELL ENTERPRISES INCORPORATED,)
d/b/a Powell Construction Co.,)
a corporation,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
SKAGGS SUPERCENTERS, INC.,)
a Texas corporation,)
Defendant.)

JAN - 4 1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 79-C-236-B ✓

ls

STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL

Come now T. H. Eskridge, counsel for plaintiff, and Murray E. Abowitz, counsel for defendant, and show the Court that the issues between the plaintiff and defendant have been resolved pursuant to a compromise settlement.

WHEREFORE, these parties pray that an Order of Dismissal with Prejudice be entered herein as the issues between them are now moot.

T. H. Eskridge

T. H. Eskridge
Attorney for Plaintiff

Murray E. Abowitz

Murray E. Abowitz
Attorney for Defendant

ORDER

Now on this 4th day of Jan, 1983, the Court being advised that a compromise settlement having been reached between the plaintiff and the defendant and those parties stipulating to a Dismissal With Prejudice, the Court orders the captioned case dismissed with prejudice.

Thomas R. Brett
JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DR. RAYMOND W. BRYANT, Executor)
of the estate of NATALIE W. BRYANT,)
Deceased, and DOROTHY WARREN KING)
and THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK and TRUST) Civil No. 80-C-379-E
COMPANY of TULSA, a National Banking)
Association, as Co-Trustees of the)
NATALIE WARREN BRYANT TRUST A)

Plaintiffs)

v.)

UNITED STATES)

Defendant)

FILED

JAN 3 1983


Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL

It is hereby stipulated and agreed that the complaint and counter-claim in the above-entitled case be dismissed with prejudice, the parties to bear their respective costs, including any possible attorneys' fees or other expenses of litigation.


G. DOUGLAS FOX, Esquire
Cable, Gotwals, Rubin, Fox
Johnson & Baker
20 Floor, Fourth National Bank Bldg.
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119

Attorney for Plaintiffs


GLENN L. ARCHER, JR.
Assistant Attorney General
Department of Justice
Tax Division
Washington, D. C. 20530

Attorney for Defendant