

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED
OCT 28 1982
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

METZ ENGINEERING AND ASSOCIATES,)
INC.,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
MASTER TRUCK, a division of)
Hallamore, Inc.,)
)
Defendant.)

No. 79-C-535-E

ORDER

NOW on this _____ day of October, 1982, upon Plaintiff's Application, the above styled and numbered cause is hereby dismissed without prejudice toward the refiling thereof.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

PARTHEINA L. RAPER, Administratrix)
and Personal Representative of)
the Estate of JAMES DONALD RAPER,)
Deceased; PARTHEINA L. RAPER,)
Mother and Next Friend of JANA)
DENISE RAPER, A Minor; PARTHEINA)
L. RAPER, Individually; NEVADA)
LOIS RAPER KRAVIS, Mother and Next)
Friend of LEONDA M. RAPER, a minor,)
and Mrs. Mineola M. Raper, Mother)
of Decedent,)

Plaintiffs,)

vs.)

PUROLATOR COURIER CORPORATION,)
a foreign corporation, and ROYAL)
INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, a)
foreign corporation, and NATIONAL)
INDEMNITY COMPANY, a foreign)
corporation,)

Defendants.)

FILED

OCT 29 1982

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

No. 82-C-799-E

O R D E R

The Court has now before it the motion of the Defendants Purolator Courier Corporation and Royal Insurance Company of America, to transfer this cause to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Amarillo Division. Plaintiffs in the above styled action have responded to the motion of the Defendants, and the Defendants have replied to Plaintiffs' response.

Defendants assert in their motion that this cause should be transferred for the convenience of the parties and witnesses, and in the interest of justice, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). Defendants point out that the traffic accident out of which this action arises, occurred in the Northern District of Texas, and that all material evidence and witnesses to the acts and events sued upon, is also located in that district. Defendants further state that the Plaintiffs reside in the Northern District of Oklahoma, and that no other basis for the exercise of jurisdiction and venue by this Court exists. Defendants finally assert that they do business in both the Northern District of Oklahoma and the Northern District of Texas, and are therefore amenable to suit in either district.

Plaintiffs respond that a transfer of this cause would greatly inconvenience them, and that the witnesses listed by the Defendants

who are located in the Northern District of Texas, will be called at trial by the Plaintiffs and will be brought to this district at Plaintiffs' expense.

As is recognized by the parties in their pleadings on this motion, wide discretion is granted this Court in considering a Motion to Transfer under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). In support of the Court's discretion, the Defendants cite Wm. A. Smith Contracting Company, Inc. v. Traveler's Indemnity Company, 467 F.2d 662, 664 (Tenth Cir. 1978).


This Court is concerned about the potential problem of material witnesses in this action residing outside the subpoena power of the Court. While Plaintiffs assure the Court that they will call all the witnesses listed by the Defendants in their Motion to Transfer, and will bear the cost of bringing the witnesses to this district, the fact remains, that the Court would have no way of enforcing compliance with demands made on the non-resident witnesses.

It is also the opinion of this Court that it is desirable to maintain the greatest possible access to material evidence and sources of proof. In the instant case, it appears clear that all relevant evidence as to the liability of the parties lies outside the jurisdiction of this Court, in the Northern District of Texas.

Clearly, the transfer of this case would stand to inconvenience the Plaintiffs, and while that is regrettable, such an inconvenience pales when compared to the potential for inconvenience to witnesses and the possibility of injustice to all parties resulting from trial of the issues in this district.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER OF THIS COURT, that the Motion to Transfer of the Defendants is granted, and that this action shall be transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Amarillo Division.

Dated this 29th day of October, 1982.



JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

OCT 29 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 81-C-694-E
)	
JOHN P. FARMER,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 28th day of October, 1982.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney

Philard L. Rounds, Jr.
PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true copy of the foregoing pleading was served on each of the parties hereto by mailing the same to them or to their attorneys of record on the 28th day of October, 1982.

Philard L. Rounds, Jr.
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 JACKSON D. HUDDLESTON,)
)
 Defendant.)

No. 81-C-737-E

FILED
OCT 29 1982
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

O R D E R

The Court has now before it a Motion sua sponte to Dismiss the above styled cause of action.

On July 13, 1982, this Court entered a Minute Order granting the Plaintiff until August 27, 1982 to perfect service upon the above named Defendant. The Order specified that failure to so perfect service would result in dismissal of the action.

The record indicates service has not yet been perfected.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the above styled action is hereby dismissed.

Dated this 29th day of October, 1982.



JAMES P. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) No. 81-C-662-E
)
 HUGH FRANKLIN WEIN,)
)
 Defendant.)

FILED
OCT 29 1982
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

O R D E R

The Court has now before it a Motion sua sponte to Dismiss the above styled cause of action.

On July 13, 1982, this Court entered a Minute Order granting the Plaintiff until August 27, 1982 to perfect service upon the above named Defendant. The Order specified that failure to so perfect service would result in dismissal of the action.

The record indicates service has not yet been perfected.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the above styled action is hereby dismissed.

Dated this 29th day of October, 1982.



JAMES P. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
HENRY A. BRENT,)
)
Defendant.)

No. 81-C-614-E

FILED
OCT 29 1982
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

O R D E R

The Court has now before it a Motion sua sponte to Dismiss the above styled cause of action.

On July 13, 1982, this Court entered a Minute Order granting the Plaintiff until August 27, 1982 to perfect service upon the above named Defendant. The Order specified that failure to so perfect service would result in dismissal of the action.

The record indicates service has not yet been perfected.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the above styled action is hereby dismissed.

Dated this 29th day of October, 1982.



JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

_____)
In re)
)
HOME-STAKE PRODUCTION COMPANY)
SECURITIES LITIGATION)
_____)
LELAND L. LEACHMAN, et al.,)
)
	Plaintiffs,)
)
	-vs-)
)
McAFEE, TAFT, MARK, BOND,)
RUCKS & WOODRUFF, a professional)
corporation and its professional)
employees and attorneys and)
partners, their successors and)
assigns,)
)
	Defendants.)
_____)

M.D.L. Docket
No. 153

FILED

OCT 28 1982

74-C-176 Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER AND FINAL
JUDGMENT

A Stipulation of Settlement dated November 12, 1981, having been entered into by certain parties herein, and the Court having expressly determined that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of final judgment, and that a final judgment should be entered as, and be deemed, a final judgment in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 54(b),

And the defendants set forth in Appendix A hereto having expressly denied any liability and any wrongdoing of any description or any deficiencies, faults, errors or omissions of any nature whatsoever; having entered into the Stipulation of Settlement solely for the purpose of terminating this litigation as to them and to avoid the cost, expense and effort required to continue to participate in such complex and protracted litigation; and not admitting or conceding the validity of any of the claims asserted against them, any liability to any of the plaintiffs or others, or any wrongdoing, deficiencies, faults, errors or omissions of any nature whatsoever,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. The complaint in the above-captioned action is hereby dismissed in its entirety as against each of the defendants set forth in Appendix A hereto and with prejudice to the plaintiffs, each party to bear his own costs.

2. To the extent that any of the defendants whose names are set forth in Appendix A hereto has asserted or presently is asserting a counterclaim or cross-claim against any plaintiff or defendant set forth in Appendix A, such counterclaims or cross-claims are hereby dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear his own costs.

3. Jurisdiction is hereby reserved by the Court over the consummation of the compromise and settlement provided for in the Stipulation of Settlement and all matters related thereto.

Dated: Tulsa, Oklahoma

October 28, 1982



H. Dale Cook
United States District Judge

JUDGMENT ENTERED:



Clerk

APPENDIX A

McAfee & Taft A Professional Corporation and McAfee, Taft,
Mark, Bond, Rucks & Woodruff, a partnership, collectively
"McAfee & Taft"

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

In re)
)
HOME-STAKE PRODUCTION COMPANY)
SECURITIES LITIGATION)

IVAN A. ANIXTER, et ano.,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
-vs-)
)
HARRY HELLER, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

M.D.L. Docket
No. 153 **FILED**

OCT 28 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

74-C-244

ORDER AND
FINAL JUDGMENT

A Stipulation of Settlement dated November 12, 1981, having been entered into by certain parties herein, and the Court having found the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement to be fair, reasonable and adequate, and the Court having expressly determined that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of final judgment, and that a final judgment should be entered as, and be deemed, a final judgment in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 54(b),

And the defendants set forth in Appendix A hereto having expressly denied any liability and any wrongdoing of any description, or any deficiencies, faults, errors or omissions of any nature whatsoever; having entered into the Stipulation of Settlement solely for the purpose of terminating this litigation as to them, and to avoid the cost, expense and effort required to continue to participate in such complex and protracted litigation; and not admitting or conceding the validity of any of the claims asserted against them, any liability to any of the plaintiffs or others, or any wrongdoing, deficiencies, faults, errors or omissions of any nature whatsoever,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:


1. The above-captioned action is hereby dismissed in its entirety as against each of the defendants set forth

in Appendix A hereto, with prejudice to the plaintiffs and all other members of the class who have not been excluded from the class, and without prejudice to each of the persons set forth in Appendix B, each party to bear his own costs.


2. To the extent that any of the defendants whose names are set forth in Appendix A hereto has asserted or presently is asserting a counterclaim or cross-claim against any plaintiff or defendant set forth in Appendix A, such counterclaims or cross-claims are hereby dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear his own costs.

3. Jurisdiction is hereby reserved by the Court over the consummation of the compromise and settlement provided for in the Stipulation of Settlement and all matters related thereto.

Dated: Tulsa, Oklahoma
October 28, 1982


H. Dale Cook
United States District Judge

JUDGMENT ENTERED:


Clerk

APPENDIX A

Harry Heller

Simpson Thacher & Bartlett

McAfee & Taft A Professional Corporation and
McAfee, Taft, Mark, Bond, Rucks & Woodruff, a
partnership, collectively "McAfee & Taft"

William Blum

APPENDIX B

J. Lindsay Ware
John Orefice
Grant Balding, M.D.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

In re)
)
HOME-STAKE PRODUCTION COMPANY)
SECURITIES LITIGATION)
)
LELAND L. LEACHMAN, et al.,)
)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
-vs-)
)
WILLIAM BLUM, et al.)
)
)
Defendants.)
)
)

M.D.L. Docket
No. 153

FILED

OCT 28 1981

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

74-C-208

ORDER AND FINAL
JUDGMENT

A Stipulation of Settlement dated November 12, 1981, having been entered into by certain parties herein, and the Court having expressly determined that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of final judgment, and that a final judgment should be entered as, and be deemed, a final judgment in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 54(b),

And the defendants set forth in Appendix A hereto having expressly denied any liability and any wrongdoing of any description or any deficiencies, faults, errors or omissions of any nature whatsoever; having entered into the Stipulation of Settlement solely for the purpose of terminating this litigation as to them and to avoid the cost, expense and effort required to continue to participate in such complex and protracted litigation; and not admitting or conceding the validity of any of the claims asserted against them, any liability to any of the plaintiffs or others, or any wrongdoing, deficiencies, faults, errors or omissions of any nature whatsoever,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:


1. The complaint in the above-captioned action is hereby dismissed in its entirety as against each of the defendants set forth in Appendix A hereto and with prejudice to

the plaintiffs, each party to bear his own costs.


2. To the extent that any of the defendants whose names are set forth in Appendix A hereto has asserted or presently is asserting a counterclaim or cross-claim against any plaintiff or defendant set forth in Appendix A, such counterclaims or cross-claims are hereby dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear his own costs.

3. Jurisdiction is hereby reserved by the Court over the consummation of the compromise and settlement provided for in the Stipulation of Settlement and all matters related thereto.

Dated: Tulsa, Oklahoma
October 28, 1982


H. Dale Cook
United States District Judge

JUDGMENT ENTERED


Clerk

APPENDIX A

William Blum

Harry Heller

Simpson Thacher & Bartlett

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

DELMER B. GARRETT and)
RUTH V. GARRETT,)
)
 Plaintiffs,)
)
 vs.)
)
 RAYMOND LUCAS, d/b/a)
 LUCAS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY,)
 BARON PIPELINE, INC. and)
 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS)
 WASHINGTON COUNTY, OKLAHOMA,)
)
 Defendants.)

FILED

OCT 28 1982

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

No. 82-C-506-C

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

NOW, on the 13th day of October, 1982, argument was heard before this Honorable Court on Motions of Defendants, specifically Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction, Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State Claim on Which Relief Can Be Granted, Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction Due to Failure to Allege Standing, Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings or in the Alternative for Summary Judgment.

WHEREUPON, the Plaintiffs appeared personally and by and through their attorney, Mr. William Dale; the Defendant, RAYMOND LUCAS d/b/a LUCAS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, appeared through his attorney, Garrison, Brown & Carlson; Defendant, BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, appeared through its attorney, Mr. Wendell Boyce; Counsel for Defendant, BARON PIPELINE, INC. appeared by way of Counsel.

And the Court having heard the arguments from Plaintiff's Counsel and Defendants' Counsel, Mr. Brown and Mr. Boyce, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction is granted and the Complaint of Plaintiff is dismissed.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the Complaint of the Plaintiffs is hereby dismissed on the basis that the Court has no jurisdiction to hear the claims asserted therein.

W. Dale Cook

JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

RECOVERY OIL & GAS CO., INC.,)
a corporation; and GMC)
PRODUCTION CO., a partnership,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)
)
C-E NATCO CHEMICALS, INC.,)
a corporation,)
)
Defendant,)
)
and)
)
C-E NATCO CHEMICALS, INC.,)
a corporation,)
)
Third-Party Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
PROCESS MANUFACTURING CO.,)
INC., a corporation,)
)
Third-Party Defendant.)

No. 81-C-71-E

FILED

OCT 28 1982

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

NOW on this 27 day of October, 1982, the Plaintiffs, Recovery Oil & Gas Co., Inc. and GMC Production Co., having filed a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint against C-E Natco Chemicals, Inc., it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Complaint of Recovery Oil & Gas Co., Inc. and GMC Production Co. be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice.

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
JAMES O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 28 1982

CHAMPLIN PETROLEUM COMPANY,)
 INC., a Delaware corporation,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 THOMPSON OIL COMPANY OF)
 TULSA, INC., a corporation,)
 THOMAS J. THOMPSON and)
 JANET K. THOMPSON,)
)
 Defendants.)

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

No. 82-C-147-C

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Now on this 28^m day of October, 1982, the above styled numbered cause comes for consideration by the Court on the Joint Stipulation For Dismissal filed herein by the Plaintiff, Champlin Petroleum Company, Inc., and the Defendant, Janet K. Thompson. The Court, having examined the Joint Stipulation For Dismissal, finds that the Plaintiff and the Defendant have entered into a compromise agreement in settlement of the claims set forth in the Complaint filed by the Plaintiff and that the Causes of Action set forth therein should be dismissed with prejudice as against Janet K. Thompson pursuant to the Joint Stipulation For Dismissal.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the Causes of Action filed by the Plaintiff, Champlin Petroleum, Inc., against the Defendant, Janet K. Thompson, being the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice as to future filing.

131 H. Dale Cook
H. Dale Cook
United States District Judge,
Northern District of Oklahoma

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-170-E
)	
MICHAEL E. CRITES,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 27 day of October, 1982, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the Defendant, Michael E. Crites, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, Michael E. Crites, was personally served with Summons and Complaint on February 18, 1982. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against Defendant, Michael E. Crites, for the principal sum of \$312.23 (less the sum of \$250.00 which has been paid), plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 18 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JET EAST, INC.

Plaintiff,

-vs-

ESTEE OIL & GAS, INC

Defendants.

Case No. 82-C-670-E

JUDGMENT

This action comes on to be heard this _____ day of October, 1982, and the parties hereto having submitted an agreed judgment and application of approval of said Judgment, it is hereby ordered and adjudged:

That Plaintiff, Jet East, Inc., recover of the defendant, Estee Oil & Gas, Inc., the sum of \$11,961.18 including interest at 6% from January 20, 1982 to September 15, 1982, costs of \$76.23 and attorney fee of \$450.00.


It is further ordered that, by agreement of parties, defendant shall satisfy this judgment by payment to Plaintiff in the following manner:

Payment of \$1000.00 upon entry of this judgment and equal monthly payments of \$1,000.00 for 11 months, due on the 5th day of each month, and a final payment of \$803.61 on the 5th day of ___ November, 1983. Plaintiff's judgment shall be secured by a security interest in the following property:

1968 New Cummins 350 Diesel (Custom Oil Field Bed)
VIN: 55 9 443 Y O 14212
Valued: \$35,000.00

Dated this 27 day of October, 1982.

Judge of the United States District Court
Northern District of Oklahoma



Attorney for Plaintiff



Attorney for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 JEFFERY D. FINNEY,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 81-C-650-E

FILED

OCT 27 1982

Jack G. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 27th day of October, 1982.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney

Philard L. Rounds, Jr.
PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true copy of the foregoing pleading was served on each of the parties hereto by mailing the same to them or to their respective attorneys of record on the 27th day of October, 1982.

Philard L. Rounds, Jr.
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE COMPASS GROUP, INC. and
DRS, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. 82-C-212-E

B. B. GRAHAM AND ASSOCIATES,
MAURICE E. GRAHAM, MeMe GRAHAM,
CALVIN J. GRAHAM, RICHARD H.
URICH, BRENDA URICH, LEVOID G.
GOFF, T-EMCO ENERGIES, INC.,
TITAN PIPELINE OF OKLAHOMA,
INCORPORATED, JOHN DOE (WHETHER
ONE OR MORE), X PARTNERSHIP
WHETHER ONE OR MORE) AND Y
PARTNERSHIP (WHETHER ONE OR
MORE) (THE CORRECT NAMES OF THE
LAST NAMED THREE DEFENDENTS ARE
PRESENTLY UNKNOWN TO THE
PLAINTIFFS AND WILL BE SUPPLIED
BY AMENDMENT); AND OTHER
CONTROLLING PERSONS OF B. B.
GRAHAM AND AFFILIATES, T-EMCO
ENERGIES, INC., FORMERLY
KEYSTONE ENERGIES, INC. AND
TITAN PIPELINE OF OKLAHOMA,
INC. AND OTHER PERSONS WHO
PARTICIPATED WITH OR AIDED
AND ABETTED THE ABOVE NAMED
DEFENDANTS IN THE ACTS AND
OMISSIONS COMPLAINED OF HEREIN,

Defendants.

FILED
OCT 27 1982

ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Upon the Motion For Default Judgment filed herein on
September 3, 1982 by the Plaintiffs, DRS, Ltd., and The Compass
Group, Inc., and upon the hearing held on October 8, 1982, and for
good cause shown it is the finding of the Court that:

1. The Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter and personal jurisdiction of the Defendants, B. B. Graham and Associates, Maurice E. Graham, Barry Graham, Calvin J. Graham, and T-Emco Energies, Inc.

2. The Court duly issued its Order And Notice Of Hearing dated September 13, 1982 setting for hearing the Plaintiffs Motion For Default Judgment at October 8, 1982 at 9:00 a.m..

3. A copy of the Order and Notice Of Hearing issued by the Court was duly delivered to the Defendants, B. B. Graham and Associates, Maurice E. Graham, Barry Graham, Calvin J. Graham and T-Emco Energies, Inc.

4. The Defendants, B. B. Graham and Associates, Maurice E. Graham, Barry Graham, Calvin J. Graham, and T-Emco Energies, Inc., have failed to comply with certain orders of the Court as more fully reflected in the record in these proceedings and have failed to defend or otherwise plead within the meaning of Rule 55(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to judgment against the Defendants, B. B. Graham and Associates, Maurice E. Graham, Barry Graham, Calvin J. Graham and T-Emco Energies Inc., jointly and severally, on each Cause of Action set forth in the Complaint of the Plaintiffs filed herein.

5. The amount of compensatory damages sustained by the Plaintiffs in this action are as follows:

First Cause of Action

DRS, Ltd.	\$55,000.00
The Compass Group, Inc.	\$585,000.00

Second Cause of Action

DRS, Ltd.	\$55,000.00
The Compass Group, Inc.	\$585,000.00

Third Cause of Action

DRS, Ltd.	\$55,000.00
The Compass Group, Inc.	\$585,000.00

Fourth Cause of Action

DRS, Ltd.	\$55,000.00
The Compass Group, Inc.	\$585,000.00

Fifth Cause of Action

DRS, Ltd.	\$55,000.00
The Compass Group, Inc.	\$585,000.00

Sixth Cause of Action

DRS, Ltd.	\$55,000.00
The Compass Group, Inc.	\$585,000.00

Seventh Cause of Action

DRS, Ltd.	\$55,000.00
The Compass Group, Inc.	\$585,000.00

Eighth Cause of Action

DRS, Ltd.	\$55,000.00
The Compass Group, Inc.	\$585,000.00

In addition, the Plaintiffs are entitled to interest, pursuant to the Plaintiff's First Cause of Action, on all sums invested with the Defendants from the date of such investment to the date of judgment at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum. The total amount of compensatory damages, including interest sustained by the Plaintiffs and to be assessed against the Defendants, B. B. Graham and Associates, Maurice E. Graham, Barry Graham, Calvin J. Graham and T-Emco Energies, Inc., jointly and severally, are as follows:

DRS, Ltd.	\$ 61,432.00
The Compass Group, Inc.	\$708,436.00

6. Plaintiffs are entitled to a reasonable attorneys' fee and costs as follows:

DRS, Ltd.	Attorneys' Fees	\$ 1,400.00
	Costs	\$ 77.85
The Compass Group, Inc.	Attorney's Fees	\$10,000.00
	Costs	\$ 570.95

7. In addition to the compensatory damages set forth above, the Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages pursuant to Plaintiff's Fifth Cause of Action and Sixth Cause of Action, to be assessed against the Defendants, B. B. Graham and Associates, Maurice E. Graham, Barry Graham, Calvin J. Graham and T-Emco Energies, Inc., jointly and severally, in the amounts set forth below:

DRS, Ltd.	\$ <u>7,050</u>
The Compass Group, Inc.	\$ <u>67,950</u>

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that judgment be entered in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the Defendants, B. B. Graham and Associates, Maurice E. Graham, Barry Graham, Calvin J. Graham and T-Emco Energies, Inc., jointly and severally, in the amounts set forth below:

1. Compensatory damages (including interest):

DRS, Ltd. \$ 61,432.00
The Compass Group, Inc. \$708,436.00

2. Attorneys' fees and costs:

DRS, Ltd. \$ 1,477.85
The Compass Group, Inc. \$ 10,570.95

3. Punitive damages:

DRS, Ltd. \$ 7,050
The Compass Group, Inc. \$ 67,950

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the total amount of compensatory damages, including interest, attorneys' fees, and costs, equals \$781,916.80, that the total amount of punitive damages equals \$ 75,000 and that both compensatory and punitive damages shall bear interest at the rate of ~~fifteen per cent~~ ^{ten percent plus} ~~forty-one hundredths percent (10.41%)~~ ^{cent (15%)} per annum from the effective date of this Judgment until the date paid.

Dated effective the 8th day of October, 1982.

FOR ALL OF WHICH LET EXECUTION ISSUE.

James O. Ellison
James O. Ellison
United States District Judge
Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE **FILED**
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

10/27/1982 *W*

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE)
COMPANY OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
)
JANICE MEFFERD and)
SALLIE MEFFERD,)
)
Defendants.)

No. 82-C-517-C ✓

O R D E R

The within-styled cause comes on for hearing this 27th
day of October, 1982, before me the undersigned, upon
the joint motion of Janice Mefferd and Sallie Mefferd, the sole
and only defendants in this cause. The defendant Janice Mefferd
appears in person and by and through her attorneys of record,
Ben Butts of Erwin, Butts & Lenora and Jim F. Gassaway of Jones,
Givens, Gotcher, Doyle & Bogan, Inc., and the defendant Sallie
Mefferd appears in person and by and through her attorney of
record, O. A. Cargill, Jr. The plaintiff, Prudential Insurance
Company of America, does not appear. By its motion to sustain
interpleader as to insurance proceeds and for costs and attor-
ney's fees filed herein on the 10th day of September, 1982, and
by reason of the action taken by the Court in the instant order,
the Court finds that the appearance of Prudential Insurance
Company of America and its joinder in the present motion is not
necessary.

The Court further finds as follows:

1. That heretofore the plaintiff, Prudential Insurance
Company of America, has deposited into the registry of this
Court the sum of One Hundred Fifty-four Thousand One Hundred
Sixty-two and 72/100 Dollars (\$154,162.72), in interpleader, to
abide the judgment of the Court in this action.
2. The plaintiff, Prudential Insurance Company of America,
has filed in this Court its motions to sustain interpleader as

K-ARM

to insurance proceeds and for costs and attorney's fees in the sum of Eight Hundred Fifty-two and 50/100 Dollars (\$852.50). Each of the defendants in this action has filed response agreeing to the relief sought by Prudential Insurance Company of America.

3. The defendants, Janice Mefferd and Sallie Mefferd, being the sole and only defendants in this action, have negotiated a settlement, that is subject to approval of this Court, the terms of which are as follows:

(a) That the defendant, Sallie Mefferd, will withdraw her application for removal of the defendant, Janice Mefferd, as Administrator of the Estate of George Mefferd, Deceased, which is Cause No. P-81-95 and which is pending in the District Court of Lincoln County, Oklahoma.

(b) The defendant, Sallie Mefferd, will execute a covenant not to sue Janice Mefferd individually, or the Administrator of the Estate of George Mefferd, deceased, as a result of the death of George Mefferd.

(c) Both defendants hereby stipulate that they are the sole and only heirs at law of George Mefferd, deceased, and that the defendant, Sallie Mefferd, will disclaim any claim of right, title, interest, or estate in and to the estate of George Mefferd, deceased, and will execute such assignment as is appropriate in favor of the defendant, Janice Mefferd.

4. The sum of Eight Hundred Fifty-two and 50/100 Dollars (\$852.50) should be awarded to Prudential Insurance Company of America as and for its attorney's fees and costs in this action with the Clerk of this Court being directed to disburse such sum to Prudential Insurance Company of America from the One Hundred Fifty-four Thousand One Hundred Sixty-two and 72/100

Dollars (\$154,162.72) on deposit in the registry of this Court.

5. The balance of One Hundred Fifty-three Thousand Three Hundred Ten and 22/100 Dollars (\$153,310.22) should be divided equally between the defendants, Janice Mefferd and Sallie Mefferd, for the sum of Seventy-six Thousand Six Hundred Fifty-five and 11/100 Dollars (\$76,655.11) each, and the Clerk of this Court should be ordered to make disbursement of the same.

6. That after disbursement of the fund as set forth herein, this Court should enter its order dismissing this cause.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED by the Court that the Clerk of this Court is hereby authorized and directed to pay over to Prudential Insurance Company of America from the fund on deposit in the registry of the Court, the sum of Eight Hundred Fifty-two and 50/100 Dollars (\$852.50) as agreed to by all of the parties to this action. *CO 10/27/82*

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that the Clerk of this Court is authorized and directed to pay over to Janice Mefferd from the sum on deposit in the registry of this Court the sum of Seventy-six Thousand Six Hundred Fifty-five and 11/100 Dollars (\$76,655.11) and to Sallie Mefferd from the same fund the sum of Seventy-six Thousand Six Hundred Fifty-five and 11/100 Dollars (\$76,655.11). *CO 10/27*

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED by the Court that the negotiated settlement between the defendants herein is approved by the Court as a fair, just, and equitable settlement of the matters at issue in this cause.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED by the Court that the motion to sustain interpleader as to insurance proceeds and for costs and attorney fees filed herein by the plaintiff, Prudential Insurance Company of America, on the 10th day of September, 1982, is hereby sustained and that by reason thereof Prudential Insurance Company of America is discharged and relieved from further responsibility in this action as to

to the proceeds of the policy of insurance on the life of
George Mefferd, deceased.


JUDGE OF THE U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 LENARD K. STEVENS,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-387-E

FILED

OCT 27 1982

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 27th day of October, 1982.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney

Nancy A. Nesbitt
NANCY A. NESBITT
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true copy of the foregoing petition was placed on each of the parties books by mailing the same to them or to their attorneys of record on the 27th day of October, 1982.

Nancy A. Nesbitt
Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 RICKY P. BRYANT,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-430-B

FILED

OCT 27 1982

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank

Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of
Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant
United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal,
pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this
action without prejudice.

Dated this 27th day of October, 1982.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney

Nancy A. Nesbitt

NANCY A. NESBITT
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true copy
of the foregoing pleading was served on each
of the parties herein by mailing the same to
them or to their attorneys of record on the
27th day of October, 1982.

Nancy A. Nesbitt
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE **FILED**
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

OCT 27 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

L. B. JACKSON COMPANY and)
NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSUR-)
ANCE COMPANY,)

Plaintiffs,)

-vs-

Case No. 82-C-48-C

N. L. INDUSTRIES, INC.,)
d/b/a N. L. ACME TOOL,)

Defendant.)

ORDER

NOW on this 27th day of October, 1982, there comes on for consideration the joint Application for Dismissal with Prejudice.

The Court having reviewed the Court and being fully advised in the premises finds that as a result of a complete settlement, accord and satisfaction having been reached between the parties the Application for Dismissal with Prejudice herein should be granted. The Court therefore orders that the above entitled case is dismissed with prejudice to refileing.



H. DALE COOK,
CHIEF UNITED STATES
DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

JUDGMENT ON JURY VERDICT

CIV 81 (7-83)
~~11-20-1982~~

United States District Court

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 79-C-613-E

Facet Enterprises, Inc.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

International Patent Development Corporation,
a Nevada corporation, and Lawrence G. Brown,
an individual,

Defendants.

JUDGMENT


This action came on for trial before the Court and a jury, Honorable James O. Ellison
, United States District Judge, presiding, and the issues having been duly tried and
the jury having duly rendered its verdict.

It is Ordered and Adjudged having found in favor of the Plaintiff and against
the defendants', assesses damages in the sum of \$73,450.63.

It is Further Ordered and Adjudged that having found in favor of the
defendants, and against the plaintiff, assesses damages in the sum of
\$2,500.00.

It is Further Ordered that each party bear their own costs.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 26th day
of October, 1982.


Clerk of Court
e

4

FILED

SEP 2 1982

J. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 MICHAEL A. GRUTTADAURIA, JR.,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-775-E ✓

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 23rd day of September, 1982, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the Defendant, Michael A. Gruttadauria, Jr., appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, Michael A. Gruttadauria, Jr., was personally served with Summons and Complaint on August 23, 1982. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against Defendant, Michael A. Gruttadauria, Jr., for the principal sum of \$415.31, plus interest at the legal rate (15%) from the date of this Judgment until paid.

[Signature]
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

RECOVERY OIL & GAS CO., INC.
a corporation; and GMC
PRODUCTION CO., a partnership,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

C-E NATCO CHEMICALS, INC.,
a corporation,

Defendant,

and

C-E NATCO CHEMICALS, INC.,
a corporation,

Third-Party Plaintiff,

vs.

PROCESS MANUFACTURING CO.,
INC., a corporation,

Third-Party Defendant.

No. 81-C-71-E

FILED

OCT 22 1982

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

ORDER DISMISSING DEFENDANT'S
COUNTER-CLAIM WITH PREJUDICE

Now on this 22nd day of Oct., 1982, Defendant
C-E Natco Chemicals, Inc. having filed a Motion to Dismiss its
Counter-Claim with Prejudice, the Court finds that said motion is
made for good cause shown.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that
Defendant C-E Natco Chemicals, Inc. Counter-Claim filed herein be
and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

James O. Ellison
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 22 1982

**Jack P. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

ROBERT D. SEELYE,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	
)	
METROPOLITAN LIFE)	
INSURANCE COMPANY,)	NO. 81-C-273-E
)	
Defendant,)	
)	
-vs-)	
)	
ESTELLA SEELYE,)	
)	
Third Party Defendant.)	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On this 22nd day of Oct, 1982, upon written application of Plaintiff Robert D. Seelye and the Defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company for an Order allowing Plaintiff to dismiss his complaint against this Defendant with prejudice, the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that said parties have entered into a compromise settlement of all claims involved in the complaint of Plaintiff against this Defendant, and finds that Plaintiff's complaint against this Defendant should be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that Plaintiff's complaint and all causes of action of the Plaintiff filed herein against this Defendant be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice to any further action and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice to any further action.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON,
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 22 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FLOYD C. FIELDS,

Plaintiff,

vs.

VAN DORN CO., an Ohio
corporation, et al,

Defendants.

NO. 80-C-387-E


ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Now on this 22 day of October, 1982, the Court upon Stipulation For Order of Dismissal With Prejudice filed herein, finds that this case should be dismissed with prejudice.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above styled and numbered cause of action is dismissed with prejudice to the refiling of same with the party litigants to bear their proportionate share of the costs herein expended.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
United States District Judge


APPROVED AS TO FORM:



James E. Frasier
Attorney for Plaintiff



Michael P. Atkinson
Attorney for Defendant
Van Dorn Company



William F. Peters
Attorney for Defendant
Plastiline, Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 22 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
and ANITA M. VAUGHN,)
Special Agent, Internal)
Revenue Service,)
)
Petitioners,)
)
vs.)
)
FIRST NATIONAL BANK, Tulsa,)
Oklahoma, and BARBARA ORR,)
)
Respondents.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-861-E

ORDER DISCHARGING RESPONDENTS AND DISMISSAL

ON THIS 22nd day of October, 1982, Petitioners' Motion to Discharge Respondents and for Dismissal came for hearing and the Court finds that the Taxpayer has now complied with the Internal Revenue Service Summons served upon the Respondents on February 16, 1982, that further proceedings herein are unnecessary and that the Respondents, First National Bank, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and Barbara Orr, should be discharged and this action dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Respondents, First National Bank, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and Barbara Orr, be and they are hereby discharged from any further proceedings herein and this cause of action and Complaint are hereby dismissed.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED
OCT 12 1982

Jack P. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-687-E
)	
RICARDO M. SANCHEZ,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 12th day of October, 1982, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the Defendant, Ricardo M. Sanchez, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, Ricardo M. Sanchez, was personally served with Summons and Complaint on July 8, 1982. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against Defendant, Ricardo M. Sanchez, for the principal sum of \$4,018.50, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

S/ JAMES C. HIRSHON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 12 1982

Frank Keating
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 JAMES R. FRANCIS, BARBARA J.)
 FRANCIS, COUNTY TREASURER,)
 Osage County, Oklahoma, and)
 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,)
 Osage County, Oklahoma.)
)
 Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-626-E

JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

THIS MATTER COMES on for consideration this 12 day
of October, 1982. The Plaintiff appearing by Frank
Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of
Oklahoma, and the Defendants, County Treasurer, Osage County,
Oklahoma, and Board of County Commissioners, Osage County,
Oklahoma, appearing by their attorney, Larry D. Stuart, District
Attorney for Osage County, Oklahoma, and the Defendants, James R.
Francis and Barbara J. Francis, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the
file herein finds that Defendants, James R. Francis, Barbara J.
Francis, County Treasurer, Osage County, Oklahoma, and Board of
County Commissioners, Osage County, Oklahoma, were all served
with Summons and Complaint on June 16, 1982, as shown on the
United States Marshal's Services filed herein.

It appears that the Defendants, County Treasurer, Osage
County, Oklahoma, and Board of County Commissioners, Osage
County, Oklahoma, have duly filed their Answer herein on June 24,
1982, and that the Defendants, James R. Francis and Barbara J.
Francis have failed to answer and that default has been entered
by the Clerk of this Court.

The Court further finds that this is a suit based upon
a mortgage note and for a foreclosure of a real property mortgage

securing said mortgage note upon the following described real property located in Osage County, Oklahoma, within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma:

Lot 1, Block 2, Rustic Hills
Second Addition to Town of
Skiatook, Osage County, Okla-
homa, subject, however, to all
valid outstanding easements,
rights-of-way, mineral leases,
mineral reservations, and mineral
conveyances of records.

THAT the Defendants, James R. Francis and Barbara J. Francis, did, on the 9th day of June, 1977, execute and deliver to the United States of America, acting through the Farmers Home Administration, their mortgage and mortgage note in the sum of \$20,000.00 with eight and one-half percent (8½%) interest per annum, and further providing for the payment of monthly installments of principal and interest.

The Court further finds that Defendants, James R. Francis and Barbara J. Francis, made default under the terms of the aforesaid mortgage note by reason of their failure to make monthly installments due thereon, which default has continued and that by reason thereof the above-named Defendants are now indebted to the Plaintiff in the principal sum of \$19,861.49, plus accrued interest of \$2,225.46 as of September 8, 1982, plus interest thereafter at the rate of \$4.6252 per day, until paid, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing.

The Court further finds that there is due and owing to the County of Osage, State of Oklahoma, from Defendants, James R. Francis and Barbara J. Francis, the sum of \$ 3.87, plus interest according to law for personal taxes for the year(s) 1981 and that Osage County should have judgment for said amount, but that such judgment is subject to and inferior to the first mortgage lien of the Plaintiff herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover judgment against Defendants, James R. Francis and Barbara J. Francis, for the principal sum of \$19,861.49 plus accrued interest of \$2,225.46 as of September 8, 1982, plus interest thereafter at the rate of \$4.6252 per day,

until paid, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing, plus any additional sums advanced or to be advanced or expended during this foreclosure action by Plaintiff for taxes, insurance, abstracting, or sums for the preservation of the subject property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the County of Osage have and recover judgment against Defendants, James R. Francis and Barbara J. Francis, for the sum of \$ 387 as of the date of this judgment, plus interest thereafter according to law for personal taxes, but that such judgment is subject to and inferior to the first mortgage lien of the Plaintiff herein.


IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the mortgage and lien of the Plaintiff herein be adjudged foreclosed and that upon the failure of said Defendants to satisfy Plaintiff's money judgment herein, an Order of Sale shall be issued to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell with appraisement the real property and apply the proceeds in satisfaction of Plaintiff's judgment. The residue, if any, shall be deposited with the Clerk of the Court to await further order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that from and after the sale of said property, under and by virtue of this judgment and decree, all of the Defendants and all persons claiming under them since the filing of the Complaint herein are forever barred and foreclosed of any right, title, interest or claim to the real property or any part thereof.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:


FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney


LARRY D. STUART, District Attorney
Attorney for Defendants, County
Treasurer and Board of County
Commissioners, Osage County

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 28 1982

Jack A. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FREDERICK M. CUTLER and)
CECILIA E. CUTLER,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
-vs-)
)
NATIONAL CRANE CORPORATION,)
a foreign corporation,)
)
Defendant.)

No. 81-C-490-E

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

NOW on this 12th of Oct 82, 1982, comes on for consideration the stipulation for dismissal of plaintiffs and defendant herein in the above-entitled cause. The Court finds that said cause has been settled and that defendant has this date paid to plaintiffs and their insurer the sum of Thirteen Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$13,500.00) in full settlement, release and satisfaction of plaintiffs' cause of action set forth in the complaint herein, and that plaintiffs have accepted said sum in full satisfaction, release and discharge of their cause of action and claim against the defendant, and the Court, after due consideration, finds that said dismissal should be approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear their own costs.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

HERBERT RAY LEWIS,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 QUIK TRIP CORPORATION, et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)

No. 80-C-599-BT

FILED

OCT 21 1982

ORDER

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff was allowed to file this action on October 23, 1980, in forma pauperis, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915. His pro se Complaint was filed November 14, 1980.^{1/}

Plaintiff was a customer in a Quik Trip store located at 56th Street North and Lewis in the City of Tulsa, on March 18, 1980, where he was arrested by officers of the Tulsa Police Department for no allegedly apparent reason.

Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 alleging defamation and slander.^{2/}

^{1/} On June 30, 1981, Judge James O. Ellison dismissed plaintiff's Complaint without prejudice for failure to prosecute, plaintiff having failed to issue summons directed to defendant. The dismissal was without prejudice.

^{2/} Defendant Quik Trip filed an answer to plaintiff's complaint on July 24, 1981, wherein the following defenses were raised: (i) defamation and slander do not involve constitutional right, privileges or immunities; (ii) federal jurisdiction cannot be invoked due to lack of diversity; (iii) the action is barred by the Statute of Limitations because the case was not commenced under the statutes of the State of Oklahoma.

On January 8, 1982, Judge Ellison entered an Order dismissing this case without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction sua sponte.

Plaintiff has now filed a Motion to Rehear and Reopen.

On October 6, 1982, this case was transferred to the undersigned Judge.

The Court has for consideration the plaintiff's Motion to Rehear and Reopen and the Court finds the Motion to Reopen should be sustained.

In Ellinburg v. Lucas, 518 F.2d 1196 (8th Cir. 1975) it was held threats or defamation by a guard to a prisoner were not cognizable under 42 U.S.C. §1983. See also Boston v. Stanton, 450 F.Supp. 1049, 1055-56 (E.D. Mo. 1978); Freeman v. Trudell, 497 F.Supp. 481 (E.D. Mich. 1980); Collins v. Cundy, 603 F.2d 825 (10th Cir. 1979).


After thoroughly reviewing relevant legal authorities and the pleadings in this case, the Court concludes the Complaint fails to allege a colorable deprivation of any right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States. At most, plaintiff might have a state law claim for defamation and slander and/or malicious prosecution.^{3/} There is no diversity of citizenship in this case and the Court thus lacks subject matter jurisdiction.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen is sustained and this case is reopened.

2. This complaint and cause of action are dismissed since the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction due to lack of diversity of citizenship.

ENTERED this 21st day of October, 1982.


THOMAS R. BRETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

^{3/} There are limits to the liberal construction of pleadings requirements of Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519 (1972); Knowles v. Department of Justice, No. 80-1927, unpublished opinion (10th Cir., June 8, 1981).

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR ~~THE~~ **21** 1982
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 81-C-748-B
)
C. S. SCHARNHORST,)
)
Defendant.)

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 21st day of October, 1982, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the Defendant, C. S. Scharnhorst, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, C. S. Scharnhorst, was personally served with Alias Summons and Complaint on August 23, 1982. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against Defendant, C. S. Scharnhorst, for the principal sum of \$789.66, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

1982

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	CIVIL ACTION NO.82-C-125-E
)	
OSCAR WATKINS, JR.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 30th day of October, 1982.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney

Philard L. Rounds, Jr.
PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true copy of the foregoing pleading was served on each of the parties hereto by mailing the same to them on the 30th day of October, 1982.

Philard L. Rounds, Jr.
Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

1982

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 PHILIP G. CLEARY,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-72-AE

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 20th day of October, 1982.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney

Philard L. Rounds, Jr.
PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true copy of the foregoing pleading was served on each of the parties hereto by mailing the same to them on the 20th day of October, 1982.

Philard L. Rounds, Jr.
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JOANNE E. WATT and JAMES
W. WATT, Husband and Wife,

Plaintiffs,

vs.


PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY,
a foreign corporation, et al.

Defendant.

APR 20 1982
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT
No. 81-C-111


STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Come now plaintiffs and defendants and stipulate to the
dismissal of this action and all claims asserted therein with
prejudice, each party to bear his own costs.


Ernest B. Day, Jr.
100 University Club Tower
Tulsa, OK 74119

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS

FILED
APR 20 1982
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT


Harry A. Woods, Jr.
CROWE & DUNLEVY
1800 Mid-America Tower
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS

ORDER

It is so ORDERED. *Case dismissed with prejudice*


THOMAS R. BRETT
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
JIMMY W. PUETT,)
)
Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-664-C

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 20 day of October, 1982, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the Defendant, Jimmy W. Puett, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, Jimmy W. Puett, was served with Alias Summons and Complaint on August 3, 1982. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against Defendant, Jimmy W. Puett, for the principal sum of \$833.00, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this Judgment until paid.

151 H. Dale Cook
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

WILBURN AMES,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 DEAN AUSTIN, et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)

No. 82-C-586-C ✓

FILED

1982

Jack G. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER SUSTAINING
MOTION TO DISMISS

Now before the Court for its consideration is the Motion of all defendants except Dean Austin to dismiss plaintiff's complaint, pursuant to Rule 12(b)(1), F.R.Civ.P., for lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter of the complaint, for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted under Rule 12(b)(6), F.R.Civ.P., and because the complaint is barred by res judicata and/or collateral estoppel.

The Court has granted defendant several extensions of time in which to respond to defendants' Motion to Dismiss. The last Order of this Court on September 21, 1982 required plaintiff to respond to this motion by October 11, 1982. No response has been received and no further extensions have been requested. Rule 14(a) of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma provides as follows:


(a) Briefs. Each motion, application and objection filed shall set out the specific point or points upon which the motion is brought and shall be accompanied by a concise brief. Memoranda in opposition to such motion and objection shall be filed within ten (10) days after the filing of the motion or objection, and any reply memoranda shall be filed within ten (10) days thereafter. Failure to comply with this paragraph will constitute waiver of objection by the party not complying, and such failure to comply will constitute a confession of the matters raised by such pleadings.

Therefore, since no response has been received within thirteen (13) days after filing of the Motion to Dismiss herein,

in accordance with Rule 14(a) the failure to comply constitutes a confession of the Motion to Dismiss.

It is the Order of the Court that the defendants' Motion to Dismiss, as to all defendants except Dean Austin, for failure to state a claim should be and hereby is sustained.

It is so Ordered this 19th day of October, 1982.


H. DALE COOK
Chief Judge, U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED
OCT 15 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

DYNASAUER CORP.,)
a Missouri corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
STEVEN M. BROWN, a/k/a MIKE)
BROWN and JON BROOK,)
)
Defendants.)

No. 82-C-651-B

ORDER

It appears to the Court that the above entitled action has been fully settled, adjusted and compromised and based on stipulation; therefore,

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the above entitled action be and it is hereby dismissed without cost to any party and with prejudice to all the parties.

Dated October 15, 1982.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	CIVIL ACTION NO.82-C-479-E
)	
JOHNNY D. HALLMARK)	
)	
Defendant.)	


NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 19th day of October, 1982.


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney


PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true copy of the foregoing pleading was served on each of the parties hereto by mailing the same to them or to their attorneys of record on the 19th day of October, 1982.


Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED
OCT 10 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ARMCO, INC., an Ohio Corporation,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 81-C-671-B
)	
RAM EXPLORATION COMPANY, an Illinois Corporation,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

STIPULATION AND DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Fed. Rules Civ. Proc., Rule 41, Plaintiff, Armco, Inc., an Ohio Corporation, and Defendant, Ram Exploration Company, an Illinois Corporation, stipulate that the above captioned cause be Dismissed with prejudice.

PRAY, WALKER, JACKMAN,
WILLIAMSON & MARLAR,

By *John S. Zarbano*
JOHN S. ZARBANO
Attorney for Plaintiff
2200 Fourth National Building
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119

By *James R. Gotwals*
JAMES R. GOTWALS
Attorney for Defendant
507 South Main, Suite 201
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

ORDER

Pursuant to the above stipulation it is so Ordered.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT.
THOMAS R. BRETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE **FILED**
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

OCT 19 1982

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
CHARLES M. COWEN, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Civil Action No. 81-C-857-C

O R D E R

NOW, on this 19th day of October, 1982, there came on for consideration the Motion of the Plaintiff, United States of America, to dismiss this action with prejudice and to vacate the Judgment of Foreclosure and Order of Sale herein.

Good cause being shown and there being no objection from the other parties claiming an interest in the subject real property, it is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed that this action is hereby dismissed with prejudice and the Judgment of Foreclosure and Order of Sale herein are hereby vacated.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

OCT 18 1982

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JAMES L. DARBY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

READD METALS CO.,

Defendant.

NO. 80-C-129-E

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE


Now on this 18th day of October, 1982, upon the Stipulation For Order of Dismissal With Prejudice filed herein, the Court finds that this case should be dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above styled and numbered case be dismissed with prejudice to the refiling of same with the party litigants to bear their proportionate share of the costs herein expended.


United States District Judge

APPROVED-AS TO FORM


~~James E. Frazer~~ PAUL D. NAYLOR
Attorney for Plaintiff


Michael P. Atkinson
Attorney for Defendant

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

THE GREAT WESTERN SUGAR COMPANY,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.)
)
 LAKE COUNTRY BEVERAGES, INC.,)
 d/b/a SEVEN-UP BOTTLING COMPANY,)
)
 Defendant.)

OCT 18 1982

Jack G. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

NO. 82-C-180-C

O R D E R

The above-styled matter coming on for hearing on this 30th day of September, 1982, on the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, and the Plaintiff being represented by its counsel, David E. Bath and Martha A. Rupp, and the Defendant by its counsel, Gerald G. Stamper and S. M. Fallis, and

The Court having read and reviewed the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Brief in support thereof with its attached affidavits and exhibits, and further having read the depositions of parties and witnesses filed herein as well as the Answers to the Defendant's Interrogatories and Requested Admissions, and

The Court having read the Plaintiff's Response Brief in opposition to the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and its attached affidavit, and

The Court further having examined the pleadings filed on behalf of both Plaintiff and Defendant herein, and,

The Court having heard oral argument of counsel for both parties and being fully advised in the premises finds that no genuine issue of any material fact remains and the uncontroverted facts existing show:

As to Count Number One (1) of the Complaint, the contract was for the purchase of 950 hundredweight of liquid invert and the evidence clearly shows that more than that amount was delivered by the Plaintiff and accepted and paid for by the Defendant. Therefore, the existing contract was fully performed by both parties. The only discrepancy was on the part of the Plaintiff in misbilling the Defendant, thereby leaving a balance of \$695.44 owed by Defendant to the Plaintiff, which sum the Defendant has acknowledged and will pay to Plaintiff.


The Court, therefore, finds in regard to Count Number One (1) of the Complaint, that there was no breach of contract by the Defendant and Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment should be and is hereby granted. The Court does find, however, that the Defendant owes, as acknowledged, the sum of \$695.44 to Plaintiff, which Defendant has offered to pay and is hereby ordered to pay.

As to Count Number Two (2) of the Complaint, the Court finds that the evidence establishes that no contract would have been created between the parties until and unless the Defendant accepted the Plaintiff's offer by signing the document presented by Plaintiff and that the creation of any contract was so conditioned upon the Defendant's accepting by signing. The evidence, without dispute, shows the Defendant did not sign, did not accept and, therefore, no contract was created, and, therefore, no breach thereof could exist.

The Court further finds that, even if a contract had been created, there was a mutual recision of same as evidenced by the conduct of the parties.


The Court, therefore, finds that the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment as to Count No. Two (2) should be and is hereby granted.

The Court further awards the Defendant the costs of this action, and said Defendant shall present its Bill of Costs within ten (10) days of this Order.




H. DALE COOK,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED AS TO FORM:



Attorney for Plaintiff



Attorney for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED
OCT 18 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
JIMMIE D. WILLIS,)
JOHN F. WHITE,)
KEVIN R. TYLER,)
CORBETT F. BRATTIN,)
)
Defendants.)


CIVIL ACTION NOS. 82-C-26
82-C-224
82-C-252
82-C-396 ✓

APPLICATION FOR DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the Plaintiff United States Attorney,
pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2), and moves the Court to dismiss the
above-captioned cases on the grounds that defendants have not yet
been served and that Plaintiff believes defendants' residences
are outside the Northern District of Oklahoma.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney



DON J. GUY
Assistant United States Attorney

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, upon terms and conditions the
Court deems proper, that the above causes are dismissed without
prejudice.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

FILED UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

OCT 18 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 13 1982

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
JIMMIE D. WILLIS,)
JOHN F. WHITE,)
KEVIN R. TYLER,)
CORBETT F. BRATTIN,)
)
Defendants.)


CIVIL ACTION NOS. 82-C-26
82-C-224
82-C-252 ✓
82-C-396

APPLICATION FOR DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the Plaintiff United States Attorney,
pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2), and moves the Court to dismiss the
above-captioned cases on the grounds that defendants have not yet
been served and that Plaintiff believes defendants' residences
are outside the Northern District of Oklahoma.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney



DON J. GUY
Assistant United States Attorney

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, upon terms and conditions the
Court deems proper, that the above causes are dismissed without
prejudice.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED
OCT 13 1982
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 10 1982

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 JIMMIE D. WILLIS,)
 JOHN F. WHITE,)
 KEVIN R. TYLER,)
 CORBETT F. BRATTIN,)
)
 Defendants.)


CIVIL ACTION NOS. 82-C-26
82-C-224 ✓
82-C-252
82-C-396

APPLICATION FOR DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the Plaintiff United States Attorney,
pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2), and moves the Court to dismiss the
above-captioned cases on the grounds that defendants have not yet
been served and that Plaintiff believes defendants' residences
are outside the Northern District of Oklahoma.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney



DON J. GUY
Assistant United States Attorney

O R D E R

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, upon terms and conditions the
Court deems proper, that the above causes are dismissed without
prejudice.

~~S/ THOMAS R. BRETT~~
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED
OCT 10 1982
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 13 1982

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
JIMMIE D. WILLIS,)
JOHN F. WHITE,)
KEVIN R. TYLER,)
CORBETT F. BRATTIN,)
)
Defendants.)


CIVIL ACTION NOS. 82-C-26 ✓
82-C-224
82-C-252
82-C-396

APPLICATION FOR DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the Plaintiff United States Attorney,
pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2), and moves the Court to dismiss the
above-captioned cases on the grounds that defendants have not yet
been served and that Plaintiff believes defendants' residences
are outside the Northern District of Oklahoma.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney


DON J. GUY
Assistant United States Attorney

O R D E R

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, upon terms and conditions the
Court deems proper, that the above causes are dismissed without
prejudice.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

OCT 13 1982

**Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CHARLES O. BELL, JR.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY,
a foreign corporation, and SAM H.
SCULLEY,

Defendants.

TANK TRUCKS, INC., a corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

BURLINGTON NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY,
a Delaware corporation, and SAM H.
SCULLEY,

Defendants.

NO. 82-C-703-C. ✓

(CONSOLIDATED)

FILED

OCT 15 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER REMANDING CASE TO STATE COURT.

Now on this 5th day of October, 1982, the above entitled cause comes on for Hearing upon the Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand, and the Motion to Dismiss and alternatively for Summary Judgement of the Defendant, Sam H. Sculley. The Plaintiffs appear by their counsel, Mr. Mike Parks and Mr. Richard L. Gossett. The Defendants appear by their counsel, Mr. Ben Franklin. The Court finds that the Motion to Dismiss and alternatively for Summary Judgment of the Defendant, Sam H. Sculley, should be overruled because the Plaintiffs have alleged facts sufficient to constitute a claim for relief against such Defendant, and further because genuine

-Page 2-

issues of fact exist concerning the control of said Defendant over the subject locomotive, and his liability to the Plaintiffs. Further, there is not complete diversity between the party Plaintiffs and the party Defendants, in that both Plaintiffs and the Defendant, Sam H. Sculley, were citizens of the State of Oklahoma at the time of the filing of the Petition, and Petition for Removal. The Court further finds that the Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand be, and the same is hereby granted, and the Court remands this consolidated action to the District Court of Creek County, State of Oklahoma, Sapulpa Division.

The Clerk of the Court is hereby directed to take the necessary action to remand this case without delay.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 15th day of October, 1982.


THE HONORABLE H. DALE COOK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUILEASE CORPORATION,)
A New York Corporation,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
RONALD L. STANHOPE, TED)
WALLIN, JOHN LONGACRE d/b/a)
LONGACRE ENTERPRISLS,)
FREEMAN HOLMES a/k/a FREEMAN)
HOLMES d/b/a S & H DRILLING)
COMPANY and BOB WILLOW,)
Creek County Sheriff,)
Defendants.)

Case No . 82-C-656-C ✓

FILED
OCT 10 1982
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOW ON the 15th day of October, 1982, the above case comes on to be heard, plaintiff appearing by it's attorney, Bradford S. Baker, defendant Ted Wallin appearing by his attorney, Joe S. Vassar and defendants John Longacre and Freeman Holmes appearing by their attorney, Mike Jones.

The Court finds that it has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter; and that judgment should be entered for plaintiff against said defendants pursuant to agreement of the parties.



IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that plaintiff's security interest lien foreclosed by it's judgment herein on September 7, 1982 in the Cardwell Model A150A 4,000 foot capacity oil well drilling rig (SN:101) together with all ancillary equipment and the appurtenances thereon is first and superior to any interest in said property claimed by the defendants Ted Wallin, John Longacre and Freeman Holmes.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that said personal property may be sold and the proceeds distributed as set forth in plaintiff's judgment entered herein on September 7, 1982 against Ronald L. Stanhope and that said sale and said distribution of the proceeds shall not be subject to any interest of the defendants Ted Wallin, John Longacre, and Freeman Holmes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

Done this 15th day of October, 1982.


H. DALE COOK, JUDGE

APPROVED:

Joe S. Vassar
Attorney for Ted Wallin

Mike Jones
Attorney for John Longacre
and Freeman Holmes

Bradford S. Baker
Attorney for Plaintiff

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

OCT 14 1982

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
)	U S DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 81-C-764-B
)	
RICHARD L. ELLIOT,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

AGREED JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this 14th day of October, 1982, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney, and the Defendant, Richard L. Elliot, appearing pro se.

The Court, being fully advised and having examined the file herein, finds that the Defendant, Richard L. Elliot, was personally served with Summons and Complaint on August 22, 1982. The Defendant has not filed his Answer but in lieu thereof has agreed that he is indebted to the Plaintiff in the amount alleged in the Complaint and that Judgment may accordingly be entered against him in the amount of \$330.00, plus 15% interest from the date of this Judgment until paid.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against the Defendant, Richard L. Elliot, in the amount of \$330.00, plus 15% interest from the date of this Judgment until paid.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney

Nancy A. Nesbitt
NANCY A. NESSBITT
Assistant U.S. Attorney

Richard L. Elliot
RICHARD L. ELLIOT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BERNARD W. NICHOLAS,
Plaintiff,

v.

RICHARD S. SWCHWEIKER,
Secretary of Health and
Human Services,
Defendant.

No. 81-C-896-C

FILED

OCT 14 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT


J U D G M E N T

The Court has for consideration the Findings and Recommendations of the Magistrate filed on September 29, 1982, in which it is recommended that Plaintiff's claim for benefits under the Social Security Act be denied and that Judgment be entered for the Defendant. No exceptions or objections have been filed and the time for filing such exceptions or objections has expired.

After careful consideration of the matters presented to it, the Court has concluded that the Findings and Recommendations of the Magistrate should be and hereby are affirmed.

It is hereby Ordered that Judgment be and hereby is entered for the Defendant.

Dated this 14th day of October, 1982.


H. DALE COOK
CHIEF JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

NELLIE KERN,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) NO. 82-C-385-E
)
 AMERICAN GENERAL FIRE AND)
 CASUALTY CO., an insurance)
 company; A & W TRUCK SERVICE,)
 INC., a foreign corporation,)
 and JOHN PICKETT,)
)
 Defendants.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On this 13 day of Oct, 1982, upon the written application of the parties for a Dismissal with Prejudice of the Complaint and all causes of action, the Court having examined said application, finds that said parties have entered into a compromise settlement covering all claims and have requested the Court to dismiss said Complaint with prejudice to any further action, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds that said Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to said application.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the Complaint and all causes of action of the Plaintiff filed herein against the Defendants be and said hereby are dismissed with prejudice to any future action.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE


APPROVALS:

WALTER D. HASKINS,


Attorney for Plaintiff

KNIGHT, WAGNER, STUART, WILKERSON & LIEBER
Attorneys for the Defendants

By:


John Howard Lieber

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

AMOCO PRODUCTION COMPANY,)	CIVIL ACTION
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	NO. 82-C-985-E
)	
JAMES G. WATT, Secretary,)	
Department of Interior; HAROLD)	
DOLEY, Director, Minerals)	
Management Service, Department)	
of Interior; NICK L. KELLY,)	
Chief, Royalty Compliance)	
Office, Minerals Management)	
Service, Department of)	
Interior,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

JOINT STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the undersigned parties hereby stipulate that the complaint in the above-captioned action be dismissed without prejudice, each party to bear its own costs. This stipulation of dismissal is based upon the parties' agreement that (1) the defendants hereby grant an administrative stay of the challenged agency action, which suspends the effect of that action pending the issuance of a final agency order upon the administrative appeal from that action and (2) plaintiff will promptly post a bond or letter of credit with the Minerals Management

Service in the amount of \$1,457,847.00 to secure payment of the disputed royalty amounts in the event that the challenged agency order is ultimately and finally upheld upon completion of all lawful administrative and judicial proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

HALL, ESTILL, HARDWICK, GABLE,
COLLINGSWORTH & NELSON

By: 

Kent L. Jones
Mark K. Blongewicz
4100 Bank of Oklahoma Tower
One Williams Center
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74172
(918)588-2700

Gene W. Lafitte
George J. Domas
LISKOW & LEWIS
One Shell Square - 50th Floor
New Orleans, Louisiana 70139-5099
(504)581-7979

H. Edward Weidlich, Jr.
Amoco Production Company
1340 Poydras Street
Post Office Box 50879
New Orleans, Louisiana 70150

Attorneys for Plaintiff



Philip Rounds
Assistant United States Attorney
Office of the United States Attorney
for the Northern District of
Oklahoma
333 West 4th Street
Tulsa, Oklahoma
(918)581-7463

Attorney for Defendants

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA

EMPIRE INDEMNITY INSURANCE)
COMPANY,)
Plaintiff,)

v.)

No. 82-C-166-E ✓

MOSSELLE ELLIOTT, FRANCES P.)
KING, FRENCH VILLA PROPERTIES,)
INC., d/b/a FRENCH VILLA)
APARTMENTS, TERESA HERON,)
MARK TAYLOR, DIANE C.)
DiGRAZIE, ROBERT LUTTRELL,)
LEE TUBLIN, B. H. ROSENTHAL)
TARTOF, HERMAN TARTOF, NEIL E.)
FLOTA, ROSA DANA, ZELDA)
LUDMAN, LILLIAN PARKS, WESTERN)
INSURANCE COMPANY, MID-AMERICA)
PREFERRED INSURANCE COMPANY,)
LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY,)
UNITED STATES FIDELITY AND)
GUARANTY INSURANCE COMPANY,)
VALLEY FORGE INSURANCE GROUP,)
FARMERS INSURANCE COMPANY,)
INC., INTERNATIONAL INSURANCE)
COMPANY,)

Defendants.)

OCT 13/1982 *ll*

ORDER

NOW on this 13th day of October, 1982, this matter comes on for hearing on the Stipulation of Dismissal of the defendant and crossclaimant, Lillian Parks.

The Court being advised in the premises finds that the parties have stipulated to dismiss said crossclaim.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the defendant's, Lillian Parks, crossclaim be and the same is hereby dismissed.

James S. Williams
United States District Court
Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICAN FOR THE]
USE OF RMC, INC., A Corporation,]
Plaintiff,]

-v-

No. 80- C-644 -E ✓

OKLAHOMA SURETY COMPANY, A Corporation]
EMPLOYERS REINSURANCE CORPORATION, A]
Corporation, and WILBURN GUSLEY, A]
Sole Proprietor, doing business as]
WILBURN GUSLEY CONSTRUCTION,]
Defendants.]

OCT-13, 1982 y

J U D G M E N T

THIS ACTION came on for trial before the Court and a jury,
Honorable James G. Ellison, District Judge presiding, and the issues having been
duly tried and the jury having duly rendered its verdict,

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Plaintiff, RMC, Inc., A
Corporation, recover of the Defendant, Wilburn Gusley, A Sole Proprietor, doing
business as Wilburn Gusley Construction, the sum of \$20,600.13 with interest
thereon at the rate of twelve per cent (12%) as provided by law together with
the additional sum of \$4,500.00, attorney fees, and the additional sum of \$871
of litigation expense, for the use and benefit of Plaintiff's attorney.

DATED this 13th day of October, 1982.

James G. Ellison
JAMES G. ELLISON, United States District
JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE *OCT 13, 1982*
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-773-C
)
MILDRED MADISON,)
)
Defendant.)

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 12th day of October, 1982.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney

Philard L. Rounds, Jr.
PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true copy of the foregoing pleading was served on each of the parties herein by mail, and also to them or to their attorneys of record on the 13th day of October, 1982.
Philard L. Rounds, Jr.
Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
) Plaintiff,
)
vs.)
)
La JUANA J. HARRISON,)
) Defendant.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 81-C-265-E

O R D E R

For good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the above-referenced action is hereby dismissed without prejudice against the United States of America.

Dated this 6 day of May, 1982.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MID-CENTURY INSURANCE COMPANY,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
INSRUANCE COMPANY OF NORTH)
AMERICA,)
)
Defendant.) No. 80-C-5 30-C

FILED
OCT 12 1982
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

O R D E R

ON this 12 day of Oct, 1982, the Joint Application for Dismissal with Prejudice came on before the Court for hearing. The Court finds that the parties have mutually agreed as to their respective rights and obligations under the insurance policies issued by each of the parties and insuring John Michael Dew for the accident of March 24, 1978. The Court further finds that pursuant to said settlement agreement the Mid-Century Insurance Company, Incorporated, has paid \$45,000.00 to the Insurance Company of North America as a full and complete settlement of all claims either company may have against the other as a result of the accident in question and the settlements arising therefrom.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that all claims each party may have against the other as set forth in the above-captioned matter are dismissed with prejudice.

s/H. DALE COOK
Judge of the United States
District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT - 8 1982

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and)
ANITA M. VAUGHN, Special Agent,)
Internal Revenue Service,)

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff,)

v.)

No. 82-C-860-BT

PHILLIPS PETROLEUM COMPANY CREDIT)
CARD CENTER and BRUCE MARKLE,)

Defendants.)

O R D E R

On the 6th day of October, 1982, this matter came before the Court on an Order to Show Cause issued to defendants as to why they should not be compelled to answer the plaintiff's summons.

Having heard the evidence presented by the parties and finding the defendants to be in compliance, the Court finds defendants' request for dismissal of the action should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is dismissed.

ENTERED this 8 day of October, 1982.



THOMAS R. BRETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 21 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
and Anita M. Vaughn,)
Special Agent, Internal)
Revenue Service,)
)
Petitioners,)
)
vs.)
)
PHILLIPS PETROCEUM COMPANY)
CREDIT CARD CENTER and)
BRUCE MARKLE,)
)
Respondents.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-860-B

ORDER DISCHARGING RESPONDENTS AND DISMISSAL

ON THIS 21st day of October, 1982, Petitioners' Motion to Discharge Respondents and for Dismissal came for hearing and the Court finds that Respondents have now complied with the Internal Revenue Service Summons served upon them February 11, 1982, that further proceedings herein are unnecessary and that the Respondents, Phillips Petroleum Company Credit Card Center and Bruce Markle, should be discharged and this action dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Respondents, Phillips Petroleum Company Credit Card Center and Bruce Markle, be and they are hereby discharged from any further proceedings herein and this cause of action and Complaint are hereby dismissed.

S/ THOMAS R. BAET

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ROBERT E. COTNER, pro se,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
OFFICERS LEEDY, GARDNER, MATNEY,)
and et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

No. 80-C-500-E

FILED

OCT 7 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

O R D E R

The Court has before it for consideration the Motion for Default Judgment filed on April 16, 1982, by the Plaintiff, and the Motion for Summary Judgment filed on April 22, 1982, by the Defendant B. D. Gardner. This is a pro se civil rights action in which the Plaintiff alleges that his civil rights were violated by the Defendant and others by virtue of an alleged conspiracy to murder the Plaintiff and steal his property.

First, the Court notes the insufficiency of Plaintiff's motion. There has been no failure of the remaining Defendant in this action to answer or otherwise plead. Consequently, a motion for Default Judgment pursuant to Rule 55, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, is inappropriate and should be denied.

As to Defendant Gardner's Motion for Summary Judgment, the Court notes that an identical motion filed by two former co-defendants in this action was sustained on September 14, 1981. At the time the first motion was considered, service had not yet been perfected as to Defendant Gardner, and there was no reason for him to join in the motion. Defendant Gardner was properly served on February 17, 1982, and subsequently filed an answer to the complaint and the motion now under consideration.

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provide that summary judgment shall be rendered if the pleadings and other documents on file with the Court show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Fed.R.Civ.P. 56(c). While it is the duty of the Court to grant a motion for summary judgment in an appropriate case, the relief contemplated by Fed.R.Civ.P. 56 is drastic and should be applied with caution so that litigants will have an opportunity for trial on bona fide factual disputes. Redhouse v. Quality Ford

Sales, Inc., 511 F.2d 230, 234 (Tenth Cir. 1975); Jones v. Nelson, 484 F.2d 1165, 1168 (Tenth Cir. 1973); Machinery Center, Inc. v. Anchor National Life Insurance Co., 434 F.2d 1, 6 (Tenth Cir. 1970).

Pleadings must therefore be liberally construed in favor of the party opposing summary judgment. Harsha v. United States, 590 F.2d 884, 887 (Tenth Cir. 1979); Harmon v. Diversified Medical Investments Corp., 488 F.2d 111, 113 (Tenth Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 425 U.S. 951 (1976). Summary judgment must be denied unless the moving party demonstrates entitlement to it beyond a reasonable doubt. Norton v. Liddel, 620 F.2d 1375, 1381 (Tenth Cir. 1980); Madison v. Deseret Livestock Co., 574 F.2d 1027, 1037 (Tenth Cir. 1978). If there is any indication of a genuine issue as to any material fact, summary judgment should not be granted. Exnicious v. United States, 563 F.2d 418, 424 (Tenth Cir. 1977); Phillips Machinery Co. v. LeBlond, Inc., 494 F.Supp. 318, 324-325 (N.D. Okla. 1980).

The facts before the Court establish that, on September 13, 1979, the Defendant Gardner, who is a police officer employed by the Tulsa Police Department, was ordered by his superiors to accompany other officers of the Tulsa Police Department and deputies from the Tulsa County Sheriff's office in an attempt to serve the Plaintiff with an outstanding felony arrest warrant for the felony crime of unlawful delivery of marijuana. Defendant was also issued a lawfully executed warrant to search a Tulsa County residence in which Plaintiff was believed to be staying (the residence was Plaintiff's mother's home). The Defendant Gardner has filed numerous affidavits and exhibits which describe his course of action on September 13, 1979, the evidence that was obtained that date during the search, and also the disposition of said evidence by the Police Department.

Defendant's basic argument in support of his summary judgment motion is that the record reflects Defendant was only doing his job, as required by law, in a proper manner and that he consequently is entitled to good faith immunity in this lawsuit. See Pierson v. Ray, 386 U.S. 547 (1967); Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232 (1974).

Plaintiff has filed his response to the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment. Giving Plaintiff's response a liberal con-

struction, Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972), as this Court must, the Court is convinced that under the circumstances of this case summary judgment should and must be rendered in favor of Defendant Gardner. Plaintiff's response fails to refute the notion that good faith immunity is proper in this case.

After a careful review of the record in this case and the applicable law, the Court finds that Defendant Gardner is entitled to good faith immunity for the actions he performed. Since Plaintiff has not been deprived of any constitutionally protected rights, it follows that this Defendant cannot be held liable for conspiracy to deprive Plaintiff of his rights. See Holmes v. Finney, 631 F.2d 150, 152 (Tenth Cir. 1980).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Defendant Gardner should be and the same is hereby sustained.

It is so Ordered this 7TH day of October, 1982.



JAMES O. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STANLEY A. MARKS, individually)
and as Custodian for CINDI)
MARKS and JEFFERY MARKS,)
Minors,)

Plaintiffs,)

vs.)

DALCO PETROLEUM CORPORATION,)
an Oklahoma Corporation, and)
DALCO PETROLEUM, INC., a)
Nevada Corporation,)

Defendants.)

No. 81-C-892-B

FILED

OCT - 7 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P., Rule 41, Plaintiff,
Stanley A. Marks, individually and as custodian for Cindi
Marks and Jeffrey Marks, minors, and Defendants, Dalco
Petroleum Corporation, an Oklahoma Corporation, and Dalco
Petroleum, Inc., a Nevada Corporation, stipulate that the
above captioned cause be dismissed with prejudice. .

William Lane Pennington
William Lane Pennington
Attorney for Plaintiffs

John S. Zarbano
John S. Zarbano
Attorney for Defendants

ORDER

Pursuant to the above stipulation, it is so Ordered.

Thomas R. Brett
THOMAS R. BRETT
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

OCT 14 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT - 7 1982 *alo*

JIM A. NORTON and)
MARY M NORTON,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)
)
CHEROKEE INSURANCE COMPANY,)
)
Defendant.)

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 82-C-316-E ✓

STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

It is hereby stipulated, pursuant to Rule 41 (a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and subject only to the approval of the Court herein, that the above-styled and entitled action and all claims and causes of action of the plaintiff herein be dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear his own costs accrued or accruing herein.

Dated this 27 day of September, 1982.

Richard D. Gibbon
Richard D. Gibbon
Gibbon, Gladd, Taylor, Smith &
Hickman, P.A.
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Coy D. Morrow
Coy D. Morrow
Wallace and Owens, Inc.
Attorney for Defendant

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

This case came on before the Court upon the Stipulation of the parties for a voluntary dismissal of said cause with prejudice; and the Court being fully advised, it is:

ORDERED, the above-styled and entitled action and each of the claims and causes of action of the Plaintiff, be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to the filing of a future action; and it is further:

ORDERED, that each of the parties hereto bear his
own costs accrued or accruing herein.

DATED, this 13th day of October, 1982.

James D. Ellis
U. S. District Judge
United States District Court for
the Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

RONNY LYNN OSBURN,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
) No. 80-C-304 E
GEORGE SHENOLD, JAMES BUCKNER,)
JEFFREY JOHNSON, JACK FRIDAY,)
RUDY McCARTY, and BRUCE H. HAR-)
LTON,)
Defendants.)

FILED

SEP 10 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT


O R D E R

This action was heard in open court on September 3, 1982, on Motion of plaintiff for Default Judgment against the defendant, RUDY McCARTY.

Defendant, RUDY McCARTY, has defaulted in this action. His Default was entered on August 23, 1982. Plaintiff is awarded damages against the defendant, RUDY McCARTY, in the sum of \$236.00 as reasonable medical expenses, \$900.00 as reasonable attorney's fees, and \$2,500.00 as loss wages. The court reserves the fixing of the remainder of the damages until trial is had in this matter.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, AND ADJUDGED that plaintiff recover of defendant RUDY McCARTY, the sum of \$3,636.00, together with interest thereon at the legal rate, and such other damages as may be set by the Court following trial of this cause.

DATED 6th day of ^{October} ~~September~~, 1982.


James D. Ellison
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN RE:)
)
CLARENCE HOWARD PUTNAM, a/k/a)
C. HOWARD PUTNAM,)
)
Bankrupt,)
)
ROSEMARY PUTNAM,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
CLARENCE HOWARD PUTNAM, a/k/a)
C. HOWARD PUTNAM,) Case No. 82-C-882-B
)
Defendant.) Bankruptcy No. 79-B-1207

Notice of
DISMISSAL

Comes now the plaintiff and hereby dismisses the above
cause without prejudice.

Dated this 7 day of October, 1982.

BLACKSTOCK JOYCE POLLARD
BLACKSTOCK & MONTGOMERY

By *Craig Blackstock*
Craig Blackstock
515 South Main Mall
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103
(918) 585-2751
Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on this 7 day of October, 1982,
I mailed a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing
Dismissal to Mr. Conrad C. Lysiak, 707 South Houston, Tulsa,
Oklahoma, with sufficient postage thereon.

Craig Blackstock
Craig Blackstock

FILED

OCT - 7 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

GERALD KELLY,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

TRAVELINK TOURS INTERNATIONAL,
INC., a foreign corporation,

Defendant.

)
)
)
) No. 82-C-8-B
)
)
)
)

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above cause be dismissed
with prejudice, each party to bear his or its own costs.

ENTER:

Thomas R. Grest

Judge

Date: October 7, 1982

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT - 7 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

THE HUGHES GROUP,)
an Arizona corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
PERRY A. MORGAN and)
MRS. PERRY A. MORGAN,)
)
Defendants.)

No. 81-C-231-B ✓

J U D G M E N T

Pursuant to the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law entered herein on May 26, 1982, and the Order of Dismissal Without Prejudice filed this date, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED the plaintiff, The Hughes Group, an Arizona corporation, is hereby granted judgment against the defendants, Perry A. Morgan and Mrs. Perry A. Morgan, the Court having determined said plaintiff has a valid oil and gas lease from the owner of the minerals dated September 25, 1979 covering the North Half of the Northwest Quarter (N/2 NW/4) of Section Thirty-Five, Township Seventeen North, Range Eleven East, containing 80 acres more or less, in accordance with its terms; IT IS FURTHER ORDERED judgment is entered in favor of the plaintiff, The Hughes Group, and against the defendants, Perry A. Morgan and Mrs. Perry A. Morgan, enjoining and restraining said defendants from obstructing or otherwise interfering with

the plaintiff's development of its said oil and gas leasehold estate pursuant to said oil and gas lease. The costs are assessed against the defendants.

ENTERED this 7th day of October, 1982.



THOMAS R. BRET
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT - 7 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

THE HUGHES GROUP,
an Arizona corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PERRY A. MORGAN and
MRS. PERRY A. MORGAN,

Defendants.


No. 81-C-231-B

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE
AND DENIAL OF PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION
TO PAY MONEY INTO COURT OR FILE SURETY
BOND

Pursuant to the application for dismissal without prejudice of plaintiff and defendants filed on September 30, 1982, IT IS ORDERED plaintiff's Second Cause of Action and all causes of action and claims not determined by the Court's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law of May 26, 1982 and the defendants' claims remaining not determined by the Court's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law of May 26, 1982 are hereby dismissed without prejudice. The parties have reserved the issue of a claim for attorney's fees herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED plaintiff's application to pay money into Court or file surety bond is denied.

ENTERED this 7th day of October, 1982.



THOMAS R. BRETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

10 OCT - 7 1982

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U.S. District Court

DUCKETT MANAGERMENTS, LTD., a)
Canadian corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
HARMAN DRILLING CO., INC. an)
Oklahoma corporation, LYMAN F.)
HARMAN, LYMAN W. HARMAN and)
LEE HARMAN,)
)
Defendants.)

No. 81-C-794-E

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

THIS MATTER comes before the Court upon the Stipulation for Dismissal signed by counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for all Defendants and the Court being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED that this civil action be dismissed without prejudice, each party to bear its own costs and fees.

DATED this 7th day of October, 1982.

BY THE COURT:

James Allison
United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-523-C
)
 DONALD R. ESTES, a single)
 person, COUNTY TREASURER,)
 Craig County, Oklahoma, and)
 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,)
 Craig County, Oklahoma,)
)
 Defendants.)

JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

THIS MATTER COMES on for consideration this 7th day
of Oct 1982. The Plaintiff appearing by Frank
Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of
Oklahoma, through Don J. Guy, Assistant United States Attorney,
and the Defendants, County Treasurer, Craig County, Oklahoma, and
Board of County Commissioners, Craig County, Oklahoma, appearing
by their attorney, Terry M. McBride, Assistant District Attorney;
and the Defendant, Donald R. Estes, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the
file herein finds that Defendants, Donald R. Estes, County
Treasurer, Craig County, Oklahoma, and Board of County
Commissioners, Craig County, Oklahoma, were served with Summons
and Complaint on May 10, 1982, as shown on the United States
Marshal's Services herein.

It appears that Defendants, County Treasurer, Craig
County, Oklahoma, and Board of County Commissioners, Craig
County, Oklahoma, have duly filed their Answer herein and that
the Defendant, Donald R. Estes, has failed to answer and that
default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court.

The Court further finds that this is a suit based upon
a mortgage note and for a foreclosure of a real property mortgage
securing said mortgage note upon the following described real
property located in Craig County, Oklahoma, within the Northern
Judicial District of Oklahoma:

Lot Seven (7), in Block One (1), in
NORTHGATE, an Addition to the City of

Vinita, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof on file and of record in the Office of the County Clerk of Craig County, Oklahoma.

THAT the Defendant, Donald R. Estes, did, on the 16th day of January, 1976, execute and deliver to the United States of America acting through the Farmers Home Administration his mortgage and mortgage note in the sum of \$21,300.00 with 8 3/4 percent interest per annum, and further providing for the payment of monthly installments of principal and interest.

The Court further finds that Defendant, Donald R. Estes, made default under the terms of the aforesaid mortgage note by reason of his failure to make monthly installments due thereon, which default has continued and that by reason thereof the above-named Defendant is now indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of \$23,731.83 as unpaid principal, plus accrued interest of \$4,028.54 as of July 14, 1982, plus interest thereafter at the rate of \$5.6892 per day, until paid, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing.

The Court further finds that all personal taxes due and owing to the County of Craig, State of Oklahoma, from Defendant, Donald R. Estes, have been paid.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover judgment against Defendant, Donald R. Estes, for the principal sum of \$23,731.83, plus accrued interest of \$4,028.54, as of July 14, 1982, plus interest thereafter at the rate of \$5.6892 per day, until paid, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing, plus any additional sums advanced or to be advanced or expended during this foreclosure action by Plaintiff for taxes, insurance, abstracting, or sums for the preservation of the subject property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the mortgage and lien of the Plaintiff herein be adjudged foreclosed and that upon the failure of said Defendant, Donald R. Estes, to satisfy Plaintiff's money judgment herein, an Order of Sale shall be issued to the United States Marshal for the Northern District


of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell with appraisal the real property and apply the proceeds in satisfaction of Plaintiff's judgment. The residue, if any, shall be deposited with the Clerk of the Court to await further order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that from and after the sale of said property, under and by virtue of this judgment and decree, all of the Defendants and all persons claiming under them since the filing of the Complaint herein are forever barred and foreclosed of any right, title, interest or claim to the real property or any part thereof.


s/H. DALE COOK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney



DON J. GUY
Assistant United States Attorney



TERRY H. MCBRIDE
Assistant District Attorney
Attorney for Defendants,
County Treasurer and
Board of County Commissioners,
Craig County

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

RECEIVED
OCT 11 1982
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
A

FACET ENTERPRISES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY
CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Civil Action File No.
81-C-190-C ✓

ORDER OF DISMISSAL
WITH PREJUDICE

The parties having advised the Court that this matter has been settled and having agreed pursuant to such settlement that this action may be dismissed with prejudice, it is hereby ORDERED:

This action hereby is dismissed with prejudice.

Ren Wakebook
United States District Judge

Dated October 5, 1982

FILED

OCT - 6 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ROY B. TWILLING,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	NO. 81-C-543-E
)	
NORTH AMERICAN VAN LINES,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL


ON this 5 day of Oct, 1982, upon the written application of the parties for a dismissal with prejudice of the Complaint and all causes of action, the Court having examined said application, finds that said parties have entered into a compromise settlement covering all claims and have requested the Court to dismiss said Complaint with prejudice to any future action, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds that said Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to said application.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the Complaint and all causes of action of the Plaintiff filed herein against the Defendant be and the same hereby are dismissed with prejudice to any future action.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JUDGE, DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

APPROVALS:


Rick Hoffman, Attorney for the Plaintiff


Alfred B. Knight, Attorney for the Defendant

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR ~~007~~ - G 1982
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
DOUGLAS M. MILLER,)
)
Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 81-C-699-E

O R D E R

For good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered,
adjudged and decreed that the above-referenced action is hereby
dismissed without prejudice against the United States of America.

Dated this 5TH day of October, 1982.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 ANDREW W. WINTERS,)
)
 Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-870-E

FILED

OCT - 6 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Don J. Guy, Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 4th day of October, 1982.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney

Don J. Guy
DON J. GUY
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true copy of the foregoing pleading was served on each of the parties hereto by mailing the same to them or to their attorneys of record on the 6th day of October, 1982

Don J. Guy
Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JOHN EDGAR HOOVER, Plaintiff,
vs.
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Defendant.

Memorandum

Re: [Illegible]

1. [Illegible]

2. [Illegible]

3. [Illegible]

4. [Illegible]

56⁷⁷

1300⁰⁰

Oct 5

s/H. DALE COOK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT - 4 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

T-WOOD EXPLORATION, INC.,)
GHK HOLDINGS CORPORATION,)
QUODDY INVESTMENT COMPANY,)
VELABOND RESOURCES, LTD. and)
VIVIAN ROBINETTE,)

Plaintiffs,)

-vs-

HARMAN DRILLING COMPANY, INC.)
and LYMAN F. HARMAN,)

Defendants.)

Case No. 82-C-3-E

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

TO: George L. Mothershed, Esq., 8801 South Western,
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73139

Please take notice that the above entitled action is
hereby dismissed with prejudice.

James M. Sturdivant
James M. Sturdivant
Terry M. Thomas
GABLE & GOTWALS
20th Floor, Fourth National
Bank Building
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119
(918) 582-9201

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

This is to certify that on the 4th day of October, 1982,
a true and correct copy of the foregoing Notice of Dismissal
was deposited in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to:

George L. Mothershed, Esq.
8801 South Western
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73139
Attorney for Defendants

James M. Sturdivant

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT - 4 1982

JACK G. SILVER, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

J. G. CRYAN,

Petitioner,

v.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, and
DAVE FAULKNER, Tulsa County
Sheriff,

Respondents.

No. 82-C-204-B

J U D G M E N T

In keeping with the Memorandum Opinion and Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law entered this date, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED AND DECREED the petition for writ of habeas corpus of the petitioner, J. G. Cryan, is hereby granted.

ENTERED this 4th day of October, 1982.



THOMAS R. BRETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT - 4 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
REGINALD WADE POWELL, dba,)
OKMULGEE DISCOUNT SHOES, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-791-B

O R D E R

For good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered,
adjudged and decreed that the above-referenced action is hereby
dismissed without prejudice against the United States of America.

Dated this 1st day of Oct, 1982.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

OCT - 4 1982

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
CLARENCE T. POWELL,)
)
Defendant.)


CIVIL ACTION NO. 82-C-774-B ✓

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this ^{15th} day of ~~September~~ ^{Oct}, 1982, the Plaintiff appearing by Frank Keating, United States Attorney, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the Defendant, Clarence T. Powell, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, Clarence T. Powell, was personally served with Summons and Complaint on August 18, 1982. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover Judgment against Defendant, Clarence T. Powell, for the principal sum of \$543.44, plus interest at the legal rate (15%) from the date of this Judgment until paid.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

OCT - 4 1982

Jack C. Sauer, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	CIVIL ACTION NO.82-C-559-E
)	
DONALD L. WHITE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Nancy A. Nesbitt, Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 4th day of October, 1982.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney

Nancy A. Nesbitt

NANCY A. NESBITT
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true copy of the foregoing pleading was served on each of the parties hereto by mailing the same to them or to their attorneys of record on the 4th day of October, 1982.

Nancy A. Nesbitt
Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) CIVIL ACTION NO.82-C-554-E
 LARRY G. COLEMAN,)
)
 Defendant.)


NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the United States of America by
Frank Keating, United States Attorney for the Northern District
of Oklahoma, Plaintiff herein, through Philard L. Rounds, Jr.,
Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby gives notice of its
dismissal, pursuant to Rule 41, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,
of this action without prejudice.

Dated this 1st day of October, 1982.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FRANK KEATING
United States Attorney


PHILARD L. ROUNDS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true copy
of the foregoing pleading was served on each
of the parties hereto by mailing the same to
them or to their attorneys of record on the
1st day of October, 1982.


Assistant United States Attorney