

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
 ) Plaintiff, )  
 )  
VS )  
 )  
 ) ANDRE MAURICE BUTLER, )  
 )  
 ) Defendant. )

76-CR-54-C ✓

**FILED**

OCT 27 1977 *hmn*

O R D E R

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

On July 23, 1976, came the attorney for the Government, Ben F. Baker, and the defendant appeared in person and by counsel, David L. Peterson.

IT WAS ADJUDGED that the defendant, upon his plea of not guilty, and a verdict of guilty after trial by jury, was convicted of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 472, as charged in the Indictment.

IT WAS ADJUDGED that the imposition of sentence be suspended and the defendant be placed on probation for a period of Three (3) Years from July 23, 1976. The defendant was advised of his right of appeal from the judgment and sentence.

Thereafter, on the 7th day of January, 1977, there having been filed an application by the supervising probation officer Jerry B. Baines that the defendant's probation be revoked and the grounds therefor being set thereon, and upon approval of the Court, Warrant for Arrest of Probationer was issued.

Thereafter, on the 12th day of January, 1977, pursuant to said warrant, the probationer, Andre Maurice Butler, surrendered to the Probation office and the United States Marshal's office, and pursuant thereto, said probationer, Andre Maurice Butler, appeared before the Court with his attorney and counsel, David L. Peterson, and the Government being present and represented by its attorney, Ben F. Baker. After the Court heard the probation officer Jerry B. Baines recite the grounds of revocation, and after hearing, the Court declined Revocation of Probation, and with the consent and agreement of all parties, modified the defendant's probation with certain conditions.

Thereafter, on the 19th day of September, 1977, there having been filed an application by the supervising probation officer Jerry B. Baines


that the defendant's probation be revoked and the grounds therefor being set thereon, and upon approval of the Court, Warrant for Arrest of Probationer was issued.

Thereafter, on the 23rd day of September, 1977, pursuant to said warrant, the probationer, Andre Maurice Butler, was arrested, and pursuant thereto, said probationer appeared before the Court with his attorney and counsel, David L. Peterson, on the 7th day of October, 1977. The Government was present and represented by its attorney, Ben F. Baker. Thereafter, the Court directed that the probation officer Jerry B. Baines recite and advise the Court and defendant the grounds of revocation, and after hearing, the Court finds that the probation should be revoked.

NOW, on this 27th day of October, 1977, the Court orders that the order modifying the probation entered on January 12, 1977, be revoked and set aside, and the defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for a period of Eighteen (18) Months.

IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the United States Marshal or other qualified officer and that the copy serve as the commitment of the defendant.

Dated this 27th day of October, 1977.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DEFENDANT

JOHN ANTHONY TANGRADI, a/k/a  
JOHN HOFFMAN

DOCKET NO.

77-CR-83

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government  
the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
10	27	77

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Don McCorkell, Jr., Court Appointed  
(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that  
there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY **E D**

FINDING &  
JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

OCT 27 1977

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 2312, as charged in Count One of the Indictment.**

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SENTENCE  
OR  
PROBATION  
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

**Count One - Three (3) Years**

**On motion of the Assistant U. S. Attorney, Count Two is hereby dismissed.**

SPECIAL  
CONDITIONS  
OF  
PROBATION

ADDITIONAL  
CONDITIONS  
OF  
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT  
RECOMMEN-  
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

( ) CLERK

( ) DEPUTY

Date **10-27-77**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

FILED  
IN OPEN COURT

OCT 27 1977

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America )

vs. )

JOHN HOFFMAN, )

Criminal No. 77-CR-83-C ✓

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses ~~xxxx~~ Count 2 of the Indictment against (indictment, information, complaint) John Hoffman defendant.

*Kenneth F. Soble*  
Asst United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

*W. Dale Cook*  
United States District Judge

Date: *Oct 27, 1977*

DEFENDANT

RONALD SECOY EVANS, III

DOCKET NO.

77-CR-84

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 10 DAY 27 YEAR 77

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Don J. Dees, Retained

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

F I L L E D

OCT 27 1977

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 26, U.S.C., Section 5861(d), as charged in the indictment.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for treatment and supervision until discharged by the Federal Youth Correction Act as provided by Title 18, U.S.C., Section 5010(b).

The imposition of sentence is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Eighteen (18) Months from this date.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

[Signature]

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

CLERK

DEPUTY

Date 10-27-77

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

OCT 27 1977

Northern District of Oklahoma

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America )


Criminal No. 77-CR-115

vs. )

Dovell B. Battle )


ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses the complaint against (indictment, information, complaint) Dovell B. Battle, defendant, because of a superseding indictment having been filed.



United States Attorney  
HUBERT H. BRYANT

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.



United States District Judge

Date: October 27, 1977



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

ROY ALLEN STEDMAN, )

Defendant. )

No. 76-CR-59-C

**FILED**

OCT - 5 1977


Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

O R D E R

The Court has before it for consideration the motion of the defendant, Roy Allen Stedman, for a reduction of sentence pursuant to Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The defendant entered a plea of guilty to an indictment charging him with a violation of Title 18 U.S.C. § 2113(a) and was sentenced to four (4) years' probation on July 23, 1976. On June 21, 1977, the defendant's probation was revoked, and he was sentenced by the Court to a three and one-half (3-1/2) year term of imprisonment. It is this sentence which the defendant now asks the Court to reduce.

In considering defendant's motion for reduction of sentence, the Court has carefully reviewed the entire record and finds that the sentence imposed was appropriate, just and reasonable under the circumstances of this case. Therefore, the motion for reduction of sentence is hereby overruled.

It is so Ordered this 5<sup>th</sup> day of October, 1977.

  
H. DALE COOK

United States District Judge