

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America

vs.

JOE CHARLES RHODES

Criminal No. 77-CR-29

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses the Indictment against Joe Charles Rhodes defendant.
(indictment, information, complaint)

FILED
AUG 31 1977
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

HUBERT A. MARLOW
Acting United States Attorney

Ben F. Baker
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

R. W. Walcott
United States District Judge

Date:

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DEFENDANT

BRENDA JOYCE PIERCE

DOCKET NO.

77-CR-90

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 8 30 77

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Paul E. Garrison, Court Appointed

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

FILED NOT GUILTY

AUG 30 1977

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged. GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 657, as charged in Count One of the Indictment.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The imposition of sentence is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Two (2) Years from this date.

The imposition of sentence is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Two (2) Years from this date.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 8-30-77

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
) Plaintiff,)
)
VS)
)
LARRY DEAN JESZENKA,)
)
) Defendant.)

76-CR-76-C

FILED

AUG 30 1977

O R D E R

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

On June 23, 1976, came the attorney for the Government, Hubert H. Bryant, and the defendant appeared in person and by counsel, Larry L. Oliver.

IT WAS ADJUDGED that the defendant, upon his plea of guilty to Count 10, was convicted of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., §495, as charged in Count 10 of the Indictment.

IT WAS ADJUDGED that the imposition of sentence be suspended and the defendant be placed on probation for a period of Three (3) Years as to Count 10 from July 23, 1976. In addition to the usual conditions of probation, the defendant was ordered to make restitution in the amount of \$285.00 in regular monthly payments, the amounts of which were to be determined by the probation office.

Thereafter, on the 21st day of June, 1977, there having been filed an application by the supervising probation officer Robert Boston that the defendant's probation be revoked and the grounds therefor being set thereon, and upon approval of the Court, Warrant for Arrest of Probationer was issued.

Thereafter, on the 27th day of June, 1977, pursuant to said warrant, the probationer, Larry Dean Jeszenka, was arrested, and pursuant thereto, said probationer, Larry Dean Jeszenka, appeared before the Court with his attorney and counsel, Larry L. Oliver, on the 3rd day of August, 1977. The Government was present and represented by its attorney, Hubert H. Bryant. Thereafter, the Court directed that the Probation Officer, Robert Boston, recite and advise the Court and defendant the grounds of revocation, and after evidentiary hearing held on August 23, 1977, the Court makes the finding that the defendant violated the terms and conditions of his probation, and that the probation should be revoked.

urt for
NA

United States of America vs.

United States District Court

DEFENDANT
ARLIE E. POTTS

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DOCKET NO. **77-ER-77**

245 (6/74)

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY
8 29

WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel
 WITH COUNSEL **Bruce Harlton, Retained**
(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, NOLO CONTENDERE, NOT GUILTY

FILE
AUG 29 1977

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged
 GUILTY.
Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S. Section 922(m), as charged in Counts 2 and 4 of the Indictment.**

Jack C. Silver, CL
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that:
~~The imposition of sentence in Counts Two and Four is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Two (2) Years from this date, as to each Count; said probation in in Count Four to run concurrently with the probation imposed in Count Two.~~
The imposition of sentence in Counts Two and Four is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Two (2) Years from this date, as to each Count; said probation in in Count Four to run concurrently with the probation imposed in Count Two.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set forth on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant for the arrest of the defendant for a violation of the conditions of probation.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk of Court file a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY
 U.S. District Judge
 U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY
THIS DATE _____
By _____

Date **8-29-77**

YEAR **77**
desired to

D

rk
RT
S.,

the contrary
judgment

posed
ment

out on the
on, and at
and revoke

deliver
gment
S. Mar-
er.

OPY ON

ERK
DEPUTY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
RAYMOND JOSEPH KNIGHTEN,)
)
Defendant.)

NO. 76-CR-48-C ✓

FILED

AUG 25 1977

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

O R D E R

The Court has before it for consideration the motion of the defendant, Raymond Joseph Knighten, for a reduction of sentence pursuant to Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The defendant entered a plea of guilty to an indictment charging him with a violation of Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 495 and 1708 and was placed on probation by the Court on June 18, 1976. On May 13, 1977, the defendant's probation was revoked by the Court; and he was sentenced to two concurrent eighteen-month terms of imprisonment. It is this sentence which the defendant now asks the Court to reduce.

In considering defendant's motion for reduction of sentence, the Court has carefully reviewed the entire record and finds that the sentence imposed was appropriate, just and reasonable under the circumstances of this case. Therefore, the motion for reduction of sentence is hereby overruled.

It is so Ordered this 25th day of August, 1977.


H. DALE COOK
United States District Judge

DEFENDANT

ROGERE KING

DOCKET NO. ➔

77-CR-27

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date ➔

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
8	24	77

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Art Fleak, Jr., Court Appointed
(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

FILED

There being a ~~guilty~~ verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

AUG 24 1977

FINDING & JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **Sections 1702 and 495, as charged in Counts 1, 2, 3 & 4 of the Indictment.**

having violated Sections 1702 and 495, as charged in Counts 1, 2, 3 & 4 of the Indictment.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: ~~The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General for his own protection for a period of~~

The imposition of sentence is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation as to each of Counts 1, 2, 3 & 4 for a period of Three (3) Years from this date; said probation imposed in Counts 2, 3 & 4 to run concurrently with the probation imposed in Count 1.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the general conditions of probation, the defendant shall participate in a special mental health program as will be directed by the Probation Department for such period of time as deemed necessary and beneficial.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE _____

By _____

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date **8-24-77**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
) Plaintiff,)
)
) VS)
)
) DORIS IMOGENE ABERNATHY,)
)
) Defendant.)

76-CR-102-C ✓

FILED

AUG 24 1977 *hmm*

O R D E R

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

On August 27, 1976, came the attorney for the Government, Ben F. Baker, and the defendant appeared in person and by counsel, Phil Frazier.

IT WAS ADJUDGED that the defendant, upon her plea of guilty, was convicted of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., §495, as charged in Counts 1 and 2 of the Indictment.

IT WAS ADJUDGED that the imposition of sentence be deferred and the defendant be placed on probation for a period of Three (3) Years from August 27, 1976, as to Counts 1 and 2; said probation imposed in Count 2 to run concurrently with the probation imposed in Count 1. In addition to the usual conditions of probation, the defendant was ordered to make restitution in the amount of \$277.00 in regular monthly payments, the amounts of which were to be determined by the Probation Office, to take affirmative action toward joining an association to assist the defendant with her alcoholic problem, and to seek gainful employment.

Thereafter, on the 5th day of August, 1977, there having been filed a petition by the supervising probation officer Rod Baker that the defendant's probation be revoked and the grounds therefor being set thereon, and upon approval of the Court, Warrant for Arrest of Probationer was issued.

Thereafter, on the 12th day of August, 1977, pursuant to said warrant, the probationer, Doris Imogene Abernathy, was arrested, and pursuant thereto, said probationer, Doris Imogene Abernathy, appeared before the Court with her attorney and counsel, Phil Frazier, on the 24th day of August, 1977. The Government was present and represented by its attorney, Ben F. Baker. Thereafter, the Court directed that the Probation Officer, Rod Baker, recite and advise the Court and defendant the grounds of revocation, and after hearing, the Court finds that the probation should be revoked.


IT IS ADJUDGED that the order of probation entered on August 27, 1976, be revoked and set aside and the defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative as to Count 1 for a period of Fourteen (14) Months. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant may become eligible for parole at such time as the U. S. Parole Commission may determine as provided in T. 18, U.S.C.A., §4205(b)(2). On Count 2, the defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for a period of Fourteen (14) Months, and the defendant may become eligible for parole at such time as the U. S. Parole Commission may determine as provided in T. 18, U.S.C.A., §4205(b)(2). The sentence imposed in Count 2 shall run concurrently with the sentence imposed in Count 1.

THE COURT REQUESTS that the defendant be sent to the Federal Correctional Institution in Fort Worth, Texas, for treatment for her alcoholic problem and that she be given a full and complete medical examination for such medical treatment as is necessary.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the execution of sentence is deferred until Friday, August 26, 1977, at 9:00 a.m., at which time the defendant shall report to the United States Marshal's office.

IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the United States Marshal or other qualified officer and that the copy serve as the commitment of the defendant.

Dated this 24th day of August, 1977.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DEFENDANT

CLAUDIA SUE PARKINSON

DOCKET NO.

77-CR-673

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 8 23 77

COUNSEL

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

David H. Sanders, Jr., Appt.

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

FILED NOT GUILTY AUG 23 1977

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 1792, as charged in the indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Thirty (30) months.

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the Court be furnished a 90 day report.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK () DEPUTY

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

Date 8-23-77

DEFENDANT

WILLIE PAUL SMITH

DOCKET NO.

77-CR-62-B

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 8 DAY 23 YEAR 77

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

N. Crommore Wallace, III, Appt.

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

FILED NOT GUILTY AUG 23 1977

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 922(1), as charged in Count One of the indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Three (3) years under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 4205(a).

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that defendant be given vocational training.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court be furnished a 90 day report.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date

8-23-77

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

AUG 22 1977 *pt*

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
VS)
)
CARL EUGENE CLARK,)
)
Defendant.)

76-CR-103-C

O R D E R

It having come to the Court's attention that the amount of restitution ordered to be paid by the Defendant Carl Eugene Clark in the Judgment and Order of Probation filed September 10, 1976, was incorrectly computed, the Court, under Rule 36 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure,

ORDERS, ADJUDGES and DECREES that the total amount of restitution owed by the Defendant Carl Eugene Clark be amended to the amount of \$1,953.80.

Dated this 22nd day of August, 1977.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DEFENDANT

HENRY J. WOODS

DOCKET NO.

77-CR-92

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 8 19 77

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Lewis Parrault, Court Appointed (Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY FILED

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged. GUILTY.

AUG 19 1977

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Section 2312, as charged in the Information.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Three (3) Years

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

that at such time as the defendant comes into the custody of the Attorney General, a full and complete physical and mental evaluation shall be conducted as to his condition so that the proper treatment be rendered at the earliest possible time.

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

CLERK

DEPUTY

Date 8-19-77

DEFENDANT

LYNDA SUE MALONE

DOCKET NO.

77-CR-71

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 8 18 77

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

James C. Lang, Court Appointed

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FILED

AUG 16 1977

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T. 18, U.S.C., Section 495, as charged in Count 3 of the Indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that:

The imposition of sentence is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation as to Count 3 for a period of Eighteen (18) Months from this date.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the general conditions of probation, the defendant is to make restitution in the amount of \$300.73 in regular monthly payments, the amounts of which are to be determined by the Probation Office, and the total amount to be paid within 18 months.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 8-18-77

FILED

AUG 18 1977

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
	Plaintiff,)
v.)	NO. 75-CR-21
)	
PAUL WAYNE JACKSON, et al.,)	
	Defendants.)

ORDER

The Court has for consideration a motion pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, for modification of the sentence of Paul Wayne Jackson, timely filed by counsel on the Defendant's behalf.

The Court, as stated upon the imposition of sentence at revocation of probation, has carefully studied the motion, reviewed the file, and reflected on the sentence, and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that the motion should be granted and the sentence modified.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Judgment and Sentence entered herein against Paul Wayne Jackson on June 8, 1977, be and it is hereby modified to the following:

It is adjudged that the Defendant, Paul Wayne Jackson, a person eligible for treatment under the Federal Youth Corrections Act, does not need commitment, and that the imposition of sentence is suspended, and the Defendant, Paul Wayne Jackson, is placed on four (4) years probation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 5010(e).

Dated this 17th day of August, 1977, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Allen E. Baran

 CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT
 COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
 OKLAHOMA

2 copies to Prob. & P.A. 8/18/77

FILED

AUG 18 1977

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
	Plaintiff,)
v.)	NO. 74-CR-128-B
)	
JOE BYRON SHOEMAKE, ET AL.,)	
	Defendants.)

ORDER

The Court has for consideration a motion pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, for modification of the sentence of Joe Byron Shoemake, timely filed by counsel on the Defendant's behalf following his dismissal of his appeal.

The Court, as stated upon the imposition of sentence, has carefully studied the motion, reviewed the file, and reflected on the sentence, and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that the motion should be granted and the sentence modified.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Judgment and Sentence entered herein against Joe Byron Shoemake on February 14, 1977, be and it is hereby modified to the following:

The Defendant, Joe Byron Shoemake, is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of three (3) years. Said sentence to run consecutively to the sentence imposed upon the Defendant in the State of Oklahoma Case No. CRF-74-187.

Dated this 17th day of August, 1977, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Allen E. Barrow

 CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT
 COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
 OKLAHOMA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

AUG 17 1977

United States of America,)
)
vs)
)
REGINALD EUGENE ROBINSON)

75-CR-155-B

REVOCATION OF PROBATION

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

On December 3, 1975, came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared in person and by counsel, Brian Gaskill.

IT WAS ADJUDGED that the defendant, upon his plea of guilty had been convicted of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Sections 1708 and 495, as charged in the Indictment.

IT WAS ADJUDGED that the defendant was guilty as charged and he was convicted.

IT WAS ADJUDGED that the defendant be and he was committed to the custody of the Attorney General for a period of Nine (9) months under Count 1. The imposition of sentence was suspended and the defendant was placed on probation for a period of Three (3) years under Count 2. The condition of probation was that the defendant make restitution in the amount of \$197.50, payable to the Court Clerk, at not less than \$10.00 a month to begin the first month after release from incarceration.

Now, on this 17th day of August, 1977, came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared with counsel, William Berger. It being shown to the Court that the defendant has violated the terms and conditions of said probation,

IT IS ADJUDGED that the Order of probation entered on December 3, 1975, be revoked and set aside and the defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General for a period of Six (6) months in the Halfway House, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and upon release from custody the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Three (3) years. The condition of probation is that the defendant is to resume payments on restitution in equal monthly payments, beginning the first month after release from the Halfway House. The balance on the restitution of \$157.50 is to be paid in full within thirty-five (35) months.

IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the United States Marshal or other qualified officer and that the copy serve as the commitment of the defendant.



Chief Judge, United States District Court
For the Northern District of Oklahoma

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America)

vs.)

LAWRENCE EARL REID)

Criminal No. 77-CR-73 ✓

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

AUG 15 1977

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal

Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States

Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma

hereby dismisses ~~the~~ Count 1 of Indictment against
(indictment, information, complaint)

Lawrence Earl Reid defendant.

HUBERT A. MARLOW
Acting United States Attorney

Ben F. Baker
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. Daleback
United States District Judge

Date: 8-15-77

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of District

United States of America)
vs.)
GREGORY LAWRENCE WILLIAMS,

Criminal No. 77-CR-83 ✓

FILED
IN OPEN COURT
AUG 11 1977 *hm*
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States Attorney for ~~the~~ the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses ~~the~~ Count Two of the Indictment against (indictment, information, complaint) Gregory Lawrence Williams defendant.

Kenneth P. Swales
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. Dale Cook
United States District Judge

Date: August 11, 1977

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America)

vs.)

LAWRENCE EARL REID)

Criminal No. 77-CR-92

FILED

AUG - 9 1977 *rm*

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal

Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States

Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma

hereby dismisses the Information against
(indictment, information, complaint)

LAWRENCE EARL REID defendant.

HUBERT A. MARLOW
Acting United States Attorney

Ben F. Baker

Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. Dale Book
United States District Judge

Date: *August 9, 1977*

DEFENDANT

JACK DON TURNER, JR.

DOCKET NO. →

77-CR-62-B

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date →

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
8	9	77

WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL Fred L. Boss, Appt.

(Name of counsel)

FILED

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

AUG - 9 1977

There being a finding/ ~~of~~ of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged
 GUILTY.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 922(1), as charged in Count 1 of the indictment.**

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Three (3) years, as provided under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 4205(a).

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, **placement in the U. S. Medical Center for Federal Prisoners, Springfield, Missouri.**

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

Allen E. Brown

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE _____

By _____

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 8-9-77

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America)

vs.)

DOUGLAS EUGENE SANDERS)

Criminal No. 77-CR-76 ✓

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

AUG 4 1977

JACK C. SILVER, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal

Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States

Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma

hereby dismisses the Count II of Indictment against
(indictment, information, complaint)

Douglas Eugene Sanders defendant.

HUBERT A. MARLOW
Acting United States Attorney

Ben F. Baker

Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. J. Walcott
United States District Judge

Date:

8-4-77

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America)

vs.)

HENRY J. WOODS and
LAWRENCE EARL REID)

Criminal No. 77-CR-73

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

AUG 4 1977 *jm*

JACK C. SILVER, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal

Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States

Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma

hereby dismisses the Indictment - Counts I & II against
(indictment, information, complaint)

Henry J. Woods and defendant.
~~Lawrence Earl Reid~~

HUBERT A. MARLOW
Acting United States Attorney

Ben F. Baker

Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

[Signature]
United States District Judge

Date: *August 4 1977*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America)

vs.)

GREGORY LAWRENCE WILLIAMS
JOHN RHOADES

Criminal No. 77-CR-81

FILED

AUG - 3 1977 *hm*

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal

Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States

Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma

hereby dismisses the Information against
(indictment, information, complaint)

John Rhoades a/k/a John /Hoffman defendant.

Kenneth P. Snobe
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. Dalebook
United States District Judge

Date: 8-3-77

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America

Criminal No. 77-CR-81

vs.

GREGORY LAWRENCE WILLIAMS
JOHN RHOADES

FILED

1977-8-3
hm

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal

Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States

Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma

hereby dismisses the Information against
(indictment, information, complaint)

Gregory Lawrence Williams defendant.

Kenneth P. Smoke
Asst United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. J. Walbrook
United States District Judge

Date: 8-3-77