

DEFENDANT

KEVIN MICHAEL BATES

DOCKET NO.

77-CR-34

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
4	29	1977

COUNSEL

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Denzil Garrison and Alan Carlson, retained

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted of lesser included offense(s) of having violated Title 26, Section 5861(d) as charged in Count IV of the Indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative.

for treatment under the Youth Corrections Act.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the imposition of sentence is suspended, and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Two (2) Years as to Count IV.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

FILED

APR 29 1977

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

[Signature of H. Dale Cook]

H. Dale Cook, U. S. District Judge

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE 4-29-77

By [Signature] CLERK

DEPUTY

DEFENDANT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JERRY ALAN BROWN

DOCKET NO.

77-CR-45

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 04 DAY 29 YEAR 1977

COUNSEL

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

DAVID L. PETERSON, court appointed

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of lesser included having violated Title 18, Section 2313, as charged in Count II of the Indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

The imposition of sentence is hereby deferred and defendant is placed on probation for a period of Three (3) years as to Count II of the Indictment.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

FILED

APR 29 1977

Jack G. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

XX

U.S. Magistrate

H. Dale Cook, U. S. District Judge

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE 4-29-77

By [Signature] CLERK

DEPUTY

DEFENDANT

CHARLES LEON WATSON

DOCKET NO.

77-CR-28

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR  
4 28 77

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Pat Malloy

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of ~~NOT GUILTY~~  GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 922 (a) (6), as charged.**

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: ~~THE COURT ORDERED THAT THE DEFENDANT BE FINED \$100.00 AND COSTS OF \$50.00.~~

**The imposition of sentence is deferred and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of One (1) year from this date.**

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

H. DALE COOK, JUDGE

Date

4-28-77

FILED

APR 28 1977

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

CLERK  
DEPUTY

DEFENDANT

JAMES EARL LEWIS

DOCKET NO.

77-CR-35

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government  
the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
4	28	77

COUNSEL

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

**Kenneth Stainer, Court Appointed**

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that  
there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING &  
JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S.C.,  
Section 2115, as charged.**

SENTENCE  
OR  
PROBATION  
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

**Three and One-Half ( 3 1/2 ) Years**

SPECIAL  
CONDITIONS  
OF  
PROBATION

FILED

APR 28 1977

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ADDITIONAL  
CONDITIONS  
OF  
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT  
RECOMMEN-  
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

**H. DALE COOK, JUDGE**

Date **4-28-77**

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE **4-28-77**

By **D. Hargrave**  
( ) CLERK  
( ) DEPUTY

**FILED**  
IN OPEN COURT

APR 27 1977

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America )

vs. )

John A. Webster )

Criminal No. 77-CR-47 ✓

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses ~~the~~ Count II of the Indictment against (indictment, information, complaint) John A. Webster, defendant.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM  
United States Attorney

*Ben F. Baker*

Asst. United States Attorney  
BEN F. BAKER

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

*W. Dale Cook*  
United States District Judge

Date: April 27, 1977

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America

vs.

BOB GENE BOLLES, ET AL.

Criminal No. 77-CR-36-C

**FILED**  
**IN OPEN COURT**

APR 25 1977

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses ~~xxx~~ Counts 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, & 12 of Indictment (indictment, information, complaint) against Stevan Ned Brown defendant.

Kenneth P. Snoble  
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. J. Salebrook  
United States District Judge

Date: April 25, 1977

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

**FILED**  
IN OPEN COURT

APR 25 1977

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America

vs.

BOB GENE BOLLES, ET AL.,

Criminal No. 77-CR-36-C

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses ~~the~~ Counts 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, & 12 of Indictment (indictment, information, complaint) against Bob Gene Bolles defendant.

*Kenneth P. Erbe*  
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

*W. J. Salebook*  
United States District Judge

Date: April 25, 1977

DEFENDANT

JAMES G. HARTMAN

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DOCKET NO. 77-CR-32

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION COMMITMENT ORDER AO-245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 4 DAY 15 YEAR 77

WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel. WITH COUNSEL Donald A. Edwards, Retained (Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, NOLO CONTENDERE, NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a verdict of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged, his bond is exonerated, and the indictment is dismissed. GUILTY.

FILED

APR 15 1977

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY U.S. District Judge U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By ( ) CLERK ( ) DEPUTY

Date 4-15-77

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America )  
vs. )  
BOB GENE BOLLES, ET AL., )

Criminal No. 77-CR-36-C

**FILED**  
**IN OPEN COURT**

APR 14 1977

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal

Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States

Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma

hereby dismisses the Indictment against  
(indictment, information, complaint)

Darlene Court defendant.

*Herbert P. Eide*  
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

*W. Dalebrook*  
United States District Judge

Date: April 12, 1977

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT JERRY LEE,

Defendant.

)  
)  
) NO. 76-CR-142-B ✓  
)  
)

O R D E R

The Court has for consideration the pro se motion of the Defendant entitled "Motion to Reconsider Ruling and Submit Newly Discovered Facts on Motion for Modification of Judgment and Sentence."

After carefully reviewing the motion and file, the Court finds that the Defendant pled guilty to a Dyer Act in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2312, and he was sentenced November 3, 1976, to three years imprisonment. Since that date, the Court has overruled two timely filed Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, motions for discretionary modification of sentence by Orders dated November 22, 1976, and December 9, 1976. The 120-day period within which the Court may consider such a motion expired March 2, 1977. This 120 days is jurisdictional, and the pending motion filed the 29th day of March, 1977, is out-of-time and must be denied.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the untimely motion for reduction of sentence of Robert Jerry Lee be and it is hereby overruled.

Dated this 13<sup>th</sup> day of April, 1977, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

  
CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
OKLAHOMA

**F I L E D**

APR 13 1977 *D.*

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
v. Plaintiff, ) NO. 76-CR-148 ✓  
 )  
ALTA KIRK MANAHAN, SR., et al., )  
 )  
Defendants. )

O R D E R

The Court has for consideration a motion filed by counsel on behalf of the Defendant Alta Kirk Manahan, Sr., seeking discretionary modification of sentence pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

After review of the motion and brief, study of the file, and reflection, and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that the sentence imposed February 1, 1977, was lenient and proper under the circumstances before the Court and the motion for modification of sentence should be denied.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the motion for modification of sentence of Alta Kirk Manahan, Sr., be and it is hereby overruled.

Dated this 13<sup>th</sup> day of April, 1977, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

  
CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
OKLAHOMA

FILED

APR 13 1977 J.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
v. ) NO. 76-CR-148 ✓  
 )  
JAMES H. CUNNINGHAM, et al., )  
 )  
Defendants. )

O R D E R

The Court has for consideration a motion filed by counsel on behalf of the Defendant James H. Cunningham seeking discretionary modification of sentence pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

After review of the motion and brief, study of the file, and reflection, and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that the sentence imposed February 24, 1977, was proper under the circumstances before the Court and the motion for modification of sentence should be denied.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the motion for modification of sentence of James H. Cunningham be and it is hereby overruled.

Dated this 13<sup>th</sup> day of April, 1977, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

  
CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
OKLAHOMA

FILED

APR 13 1977 J.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America )  
vs. )  
DARRELL WAYNE SEITZ )

Criminal No. 77-CR-25 ✓

**FILED**  
**IN OPEN COURT**  
APR 11 1977  
Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses ~~xxx~~ Count II of the Indictment against (indictment, information, complaint) Darrell Wayne Seitz, defendant.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM  
United States Attorney

*Bert F. Baker*

Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

*[Signature]*  
United States District Judge

Date: April 11, 1977

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America )

vs. )

JUDY LEE JAMES )

Criminal No. 77-CR-26 ✓

**FILED**  
**IN OPEN COURT**

APR 11 1977 *hm*

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal

Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States

Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma

hereby dismisses ~~the~~ Counts I and III of the Indictment against  
(indictment, information, complaint)

Judy Lee James defendant.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM  
United States Attorney

*Ben F. Baker*

Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

*W. J. [Signature]*  
United States District Judge

Date: April 11, 1977

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America )  
vs. )  
BOB GENE BOLLES, ET AL., )

Criminal No. 77-CR-36 -C ✓

**FILED**  
**IN OPEN COURT**

APR 11 1977

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal  
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States  
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma  
hereby dismisses the Indictment against  
(indictment, information, complaint)  
Lon Skipper defendant.

*Kenneth P. Snook*  
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

*W. Dale Cook*  
United States District Judge

Date: April 11, 1977

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America  
vs.  
WILLIAM PAUL DAVIS

Criminal No. 77-CR-38 ✓

**FILED**  
IN OPEN COURT

APR 11 1977 J.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal

Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States

Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma

hereby dismisses the Indictment against  
(indictment, information, complaint)

William Paul Davis defendant.

*Kenneth P. Sruke*  
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

*[Signature]*  
United States District Judge

Date: April 11, 1977

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America  
vs.  
JERRY ALAN BROWN

Criminal No. 77-CR-45

**FILED**  
**IN OPEN COURT**

APR 8 1977 *[Signature]*

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal  
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States  
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma  
hereby dismisses ~~the~~ Count 1 of the Indictment against  
(indictment, information, complaint)  
Jerry Alan Brown defendant.

*[Signature: Kenneth P. Snobe]*  
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

*[Signature: W. J. Lebeck]*  
United States District Judge

Date: April 8, 1977

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

APR 7 1977

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LARRY CHANCE, ET AL.,

Defendants.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

NO. 76-CR-3

O R D E R

The Court has for consideration a motion for modification of sentence pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, filed on behalf of the Defendant, Larry Chance. Said Defendant has been at liberty on appeal bond herein until his motion to dismiss appeal was granted and the Tenth Circuit mandate received December 9, 1976.

Being fully advised in the premises, after review, study and reflection, the Court finds that the motion should be sustained.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Judgment and sentence entered herein on March 18, 1976, be and it is hereby modified to the following:

The Defendant, Larry Chance, is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for Thirty-six (36) months, and on the condition that the defendant be confined in a jail-type institution for a period of six (6) months, the execution of the remainder of the sentence of imprisonment is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for thirty (30) months.

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the defendant is sentenced to a special parole term of two (2) years to commence at the expiration of the sentence imposed herein.

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED and recommended that this sentence should run concurrently insofar as the six (6) months jail-type confinement is concerned with the sentence imposed upon this Defendant under case number 76-03-Thomasville, in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia.

Dated this 7<sup>th</sup> day of April, 1976, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

*Clemon E. Barnew*

CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
OKLAHOMA

United States of America vs.

# United States District Court for NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DEFENDANT

**BOBBY JACK LEWIS**

DOCKET NO.

**76-CR168-B**

## JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government  
the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH **4** DAY **5** YEAR **77**

COUNSEL

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

**Randolph P. Stainer, Appt.**

(Name of counsel)

**FILED**

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY  
**APR 5 1977**

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING &  
JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 2314, as charged in the indictment.**

SENTENCE  
OR  
PROBATION  
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for ~~aggravated~~

**Treatment and supervision until discharged by the Adult Federal Youth Correction Act as provided by Title 18, U.S.C., Section 4216:5010(b).**

SPECIAL  
CONDITIONS  
OF  
PROBATION

**The defendant is to make restitution in the amount of \$162.00, to the Court Clerk within One (1) year after release from institution for payment to Woolco Department Store, 9797 E. Admiral Place, Tulsa, Oklahoma.**

ADDITIONAL  
CONDITIONS  
OF  
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT  
RECOMMEN-  
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, **Federal Correction Institution, Tarrant, Texas, so defendant may learn a trade.**

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY

THIS DATE

By

( ) CLERK

( ) DEPUTY

Date **4-5-77**