

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America

vs.

BUDDY DAVID HILBURN

Criminal No. 76-CR-31-C

FILED

MAY 28 1976

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal

Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States

Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma

hereby dismisses the Indictment against
(indictment, information, complaint)

Buddy David Hilburn defendant.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

Ben F. Baker

Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. J. Walbrook
United States District Judge

Date: 5-28-76.

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DEFENDANT

BERNA JOYCE JOHNSON

DOCKET NO. 76-CR-55

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 5 DAY 28 YEAR 76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

O. B. Grabam, Court Appointed

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FILED MAY 28 1976

Jack C. Simpson, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T. 18, U.S.C., Section 495, as charged in the Indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

The imposition of sentence is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Three (3) Years from this date.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that in addition to the usual conditions of probation, the defendant shall seek gainful employment and retain such employment. She is further ordered to make restitution in the total amount of \$95.29, which is the difference between the amount (\$800.00) the defendant Godfrey Washington, Jr., Case No. 76-CR-35, gave to the U.S. Court Clerk on March 29, 1976, for safekeeping, and the amount of the forged check (\$895.29).

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

[Signature]

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 5-28-76

DEFENDANT

PAMELA SAMUEL

DOCKET NO.

76-CR-58

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 5 DAY 27 YEAR 76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Michael P. Atkinson, Court Appointed

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FILED

MAY 27 1976

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T. 18, U.S.C., Section 371, as charged in Ct. 1 of the Indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

One and one-half (1 1/2) Years, to run concurrently with the sentence imposed in the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the defendant may become eligible for parole at such time as the Board of Parole may determine as provided in T. 18, U.S.C.A., Section 4208(a)(2).

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

[Signature]

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 5-27-76

DEFENDANT

JOE GLENN INSCO

DOCKET NO. ➔

76-CR-12

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date ➔

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
5	26	76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

R. Hayden Downie & Brign Gaskill, Ret.
(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a ~~XXXX~~ verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 2113(a), as charged in the indictment.**

FILED

MAY 27 1976

Jack C. Silver, Clerk

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Ten (10) Years

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the imposition of sentence is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Five (5) years from this date.

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that defendant is to pay a fine of \$2,500.00 unto The United States of America.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

The condition of probation is that defendant make restitution in the amount of \$2,542.43, payable to the U. S. District Court Clerk beginning July 1, 1976, in regular amounts of no less than \$100.00 per month until fine and restitution are paid in full.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE _____

By _____

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date **5-26-76**

DEFENDANT

PAUL DURANT

DOCKET NO.

76-CR-43

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 5 DAY 26 YEAR 76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Robert B. Copeland, Court Appointed (Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

FILED NOT GUILTY

MAY 26 1976

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T. 38, USC., Sec. 3501, as charged in Cts. 1,2,3,4,5,6,7 & 8 of the Indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

The imposition of sentence in Counts One, Two, Three, Four, Five, Six, Seven and Eight is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Four (4) Years from this date, as to each count; said probation imposed in Counts Two, Three, Four, Five, Six, Seven and Eight to run concurrently with the probation imposed in Count One.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that in addition to the usual conditions of probation, the defendant shall make restitution in the total amount of \$1,322.00, the terms of payment and monthly amounts to be determined by the probation office.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date

5-26-76

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

vs.

ORA MAE WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)

NO. 75-CR-154

FILED

MAY 2 1976

Jack C. Silver, Clerk

DISTRICT COURT

ORDER

The Court has for consideration a letter from the Defendant seeking a reduction of sentence which is being treated as a motion pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, for discretionary modification of sentence.

After review, study and reflection, and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that the sentence imposed was most lenient under the circumstances and the motion for modification of sentence should be denied.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the motion for reduction of sentence of Ora Mae Williams be and it is hereby overruled.

Dated this 25th day of May, 1976, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.



CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America)
vs.)
Curley Gordon, Jr., et al)

Criminal No. 76-CR-58 ✓

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

MAY 24 1976 *hmc*

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 43(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses ~~the~~ Count III of the Indictment against (indictment, information, complaint) Curley Gordon, Jr., defendant.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

Hubert H. Bryant
Asst. United States Attorney
HUBERT H. BRYANT

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. J. L. Ladd
United States District Judge

Date: May 24, 1976

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

MAY 19 1976

Jack G. Sizer, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America)

vs.)

James Edward Leach)

Criminal No. 75-CR-165

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses the Indictment against (indictment, information, complaint) James Edward Leach, defendant, because of the inability to locate necessary Government witness Thomas Lawrence Lewis.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

Asst. United States Attorney
HUBERT H. BRYANT

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

(Signed) ALLEN E. BARROW

United States District Judge

Date: May 19, 1976

DEFENDANT

ELMER HALL

DOCKET NO.

72-CR-52

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 5 18 76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Eric E. Anderson, Appt.

(Name of counsel)

FILED

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

MAY 18 1976

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 2314 & 2, as charged in Count One of the indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that:

The imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Five (5) years pursuant to T. 18, U.S.C., Section 5010(a), under the provisions of the Youth Correction Act.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

The conditions of probation are that the defendant avoid criminal activity; avoid association with criminals; stay employed and care for family.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 5 18 76

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAY 18 1976

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

JERRY BRUCE SHERMAN

)
)
)

73-CR-139

JUDGMENT OF
REVOCATION OF PROBATION

On the 11th day of January, 1974, came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared in person and was represented by counsel, Lloyd G. Larkin.

It was adjudged that the defendant, upon his plea of guilty, had been convicted of the offense of having violated Title 18, U. S. C., Section 2313 in that on or about October 10, 1973, at Sapulpa, Oklahoma, Northern District of Oklahoma, he did receive and conceal a stolen motor vehicle, ie a 1970 Chevrolet Corvette, vehicle ID No. 194370S403805, which was a part of and constituted interstate commerce, knowing the motor vehicle to have been stolen, as charged in the indictment.

It was adjudged that the defendant was guilty as charged and convicted.

It was adjudged that the imposition of sentence be suspended and the defendant was placed on probation for a period of Three (3) years from this date. The special conditions of probation were that the defendant continue his association with the Athletes for Christ organization; that he avoid association with known criminals; and that he maintain his employment.

On the 27th day of January, 1976, came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared in person and was represented by counsel, Carl A. Back. And it being shown to the Court that the defendant had violated the terms and conditions of said probation,

IT WAS ADJUDGED that the order of probation be revoked and sentence will be pronounced when the defendant appears for definitive sentence in case No. 75-CR-150.

Now, on this 18th day of May, 1976, the defendant returns for sentencing.

IT IS ADJUDGED that the imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Five (5) years, to run concurrently with the sentence imposed in case No. 75-CR-150. The conditions of probation are that the defendant seek group counseling at the Tulsa Psychiatric Clinic if it is deemed necessary; avoid criminal activity and association with criminals.

IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court deliver a certified copy of this Order to the U. S. Probation Officer.



Chief United States District Judge

DEFENDANT

MALCOLM PIERRE STEED

DOCKET NO.

75-CR-146

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 5 18 76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Larry Golekson, Ret.

(Name of counsel)

FILED

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

MAY 18 1976

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged. GUILTY.

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 472, as charged in the indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Four (4) years, and It Is Further Adjudged that the Court be furnished a progress report within 90 days.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

institution which offers treatment for alcoholism.

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

U.S. Magistrate

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 5-18-76

DEFENDANT

JERRY BRUCE SHERMAN

DOCKET NO.

75-CR-150

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
5-	18	76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Carl A. Beck, Ret.

(Name of counsel)

FILED

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that
there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

MAY 18 1976

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING &
JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 26, U.S.C.,
Section 5861(d), as charged in the indictment.**

SENTENCE
OR
PROBATION
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: ~~the defendant be~~
her ~~imposed a sentence of five years imprisonment.~~

The imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Five (5) years.

SPECIAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

The conditions of probation are that defendant seek group counseling at Tulsa Psychiatric Clinic if it is deemed necessary; avoid association with criminals and criminal activity.

ADDITIONAL
CONDITIONS
OF
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT
RECOMMEN-
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

U.S. Magistrate

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 5-18-76

DEFENDANT

DONALD LEE COLBERT

DOCKET NO.

75-CR-156

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 5 DAY 18 YEAR 76

WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL Ronald H. Hook, Appt. (Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, NOLO CONTENDERE, NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a verdict of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged. GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 495, 2, as charged in Counts One and Two of the indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Count 1 - Five (5) years, and further adjudged that the Court be furnished a progress report on the defendant within 90 days.

Count 2 - The imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of five (5) years, to commence at expiration of incarceration.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

FILED

MAY 18 1976

Jack O. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 5-18-76

DEFENDANT

BOBBY RAY BARKLETT

DOCKET NO.

75-CR-161

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 5 18 76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Alvin Floyd, Jr., Ret.

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged. GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 922(a)(6), as charged in the indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Three (3) years, and further ordered that the Defendant may become eligible for parole at such time as the board of parole may determine as provided in T. 18, U.S.C., Section 4205(b)(2).

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

U.S. Magistrate

By

() CLERK

Date 5-18-76

() DEPUTY

FILED MAY 18 1976 Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

DEFENDANT

DONALD LEE COLBERT

DOCKET NO.

75-CR-182

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
5	18	76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Ronald H. Monk, Appt.

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a ~~verdict~~/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 751(a), as charged in the indictment.**

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Five (5) years, to run concurrently with sentence imposed in case No. 75-CR-156, Count One.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date

5-18-76

DEFENDANT

JACK LEROY KELLOGG

DOCKET NO.

76-CR-15

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 5 18 76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Kenneth L. Stainer, Appt.

(Name of counsel)

FILED

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

MAY 18 1976

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 2113(a), as charged in the indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that:

The imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Three (3) years.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

U.S. Magistrate

By

() CLERK

Date 5-18-76

() DEPUTY

DEFENDANT

MALCOLM PIERRE STEED

DOCKET NO.

76-CR-21

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 5 18 76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Phil Fraiber, Appt.

(Name of counsel)

FILED

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

MAY 18 1976

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 3150, as charged in the indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Four (4) years, to run consecutively with the sentence imposed in Case.No. 75-CR-146.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

institution which offers treatment for alcoholism.

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 5-18-76

MAY 14 1976

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
vs.) Plaintiff,)
)
HARRY ANSON REYNOLDS, et al.,)
) Defendant.)

NO. 75-CR-129 - B ✓

O R D E R

The Court has for consideration the motion of the Defendant Harry Anson Reynolds for new trial wherein he asserts newly discovered evidence as grounds. In support thereof, he presents an affidavit of the manager of the Holiday Inn West in Tulsa, Oklahoma, that the check-out card for the said Defendant indicates that the "check out" was accomplished by a cleaning lady employed by the motel who had found the room vacant while making her rounds to clean. Defendant claims this evidence supports his trial testimony and would show that the Defendant departed from the motel room sometime earlier than that appearing on the motel registration card. Further, Defendant presents an affidavit by one Jimmy Benton stating that while Mr. Benton was incarcerated in the Creek County Jail on or near August 15, 1975, in a conversation with the prisoner, Police Officer Joe Collins stated, "I am out to get Pat Reynolds."

The Court finds that the affidavit of the motel manager was matter clearly discoverable as evidence during cross-examination of the witness at trial, and, regardless of the length of time he remained in the room, the affidavit does not refute the trial testimony of the Defendant, himself, or the deposition of the illegal alien involved, that the Defendant did check into the room for the illegal purpose charged. Further, the affidavit of Jimmy Benton is solely a matter of impeachment of the witness Joe Collins and could have been gone into at the trial. Impeachment evidence merely affecting the credibility of a witness is insufficient to justify grant of a new trial.

This Court, with a clear recollection of the trial, finds that the newly discovered evidence asserted as grounds for the motion under consideration was clearly discoverable with reasonable diligence prior to and during trial; it is no more than impeaching or cumulative; it is not material to the issues involved; and it is not such that would probably produce an acquittal. Under these circumstances, an evidentiary hearing

is not required, and the motion for new trial should be overruled.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the motion of Harry Anson Reynolds for new trial on the grounds of newly discovered evidence be and it is hereby overruled and denied.

Dated this 11/14 day of May, 1976, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.


CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
ROBERT S. TRIPPET, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

No. 76-CR-23

FILED

MAY 13 1976

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

MOTION FOR ORDER OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW William R. Hawes, Chief Trial Counsel and Special Attorney, United States Department of Justice, for the government in the above entitled cause and moves the Court, pursuant to Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, for leave to dismiss Count Ten of the government's indictment as against HARRY L. FITZGERALD and DAVID C. DAVIES, and as grounds therefore, movant states that this Count should be dismissed against the named parties by reason of the fact that it has now come to the attention of the government that HARRY FITZGERALD and DAVID C. DAVIES were named in said Count Ten by mistake and that, therefore, this dismissal is in the interests of justice.

Respectfully submitted,



WILLIAM R. HAWES
Chief Trial Counsel and
Special Attorney,
United States Department of Justice

ORDER

Motion allowed and it is the ORDER of this Court that Count Ten of this Indictment be and it is hereby dismissed as to defendants, HARRY L. FITZGERALD and DAVID C. DAVIES.

Dated this 17th day of May, 1976.

(Signed) ALLEN E. BARROW

CHIEF JUDGE
United States District Court

DEFENDANT

JIMMY WAYNE GOLDEN

DOCKET NO.

76-CR-38

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 5 DAY 6 YEAR 76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Brian S. Gaskill, Court Appointed

(Name of counsel)

FILED

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

MAY 6 1976

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T. 18, U.S.C., Sections 1708 and 495, as charged in Cts. 1 and 2 of the Information.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Ct. 1 - Two and one-half (2 1/2) Years

Ct. 2 - Two and one-half (2 1/2) Years, to run concurrently with the sentence imposed in Ct. 1.

It is Ordered that the defendant may become eligible for parole at such time as the Board of Parole may determine as provided in T. 18, U.S.C.A., Section 4208(a)(2).

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the execution of sentence be deferred and the defendant placed on probation as to Cts. 1 and 2 for a period of Two (2) Years from this date.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

X

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 5-6-76

DEFENDANT

BILLY MARTIN RENFRO

DOCKET NO.

76-CR-32

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 5 DAY 5 YEAR 76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Kenn Bradley, Retained

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T. 18, U.S.C., Sections 371 and 659, as charged in Cts. 1 and 2 of the Indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Ct. 1 - Two (2) Years

Ct. 2 - Two (2) Years, to run concurrently with the sentence imposed in Ct. 1.

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the defendant may become eligible for parole at such time as the Board of Parole may determine as provided in T. 18, U.S.C.A., Section 4208(a)(2).

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

FILED

MAY 5 1976

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

X

U.S. Magistrate

[Handwritten signature]

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date

5-5-76

DEFENDANT

ERNEST DWAYNE AGEK

DOCKET NO.

76-CR-32

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 5 DAY 5 YEAR 76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Kenn Bradley, Retained (Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T. 18, U.S.C., Sections 371 and 659, as charged in Cts. 1 and 2 of the indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Ct. 1 - Two (2) Years

Ct. 2 - Two (2) Years, to run concurrently with the sentence imposed in Ct. 1.

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the defendant may become eligible for parole at such time as the Board of Parole may determine as provided in T. 18, U.S.C.A., Section 4208(a)(2).

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

FILED

MAY 5 1976

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

X

U.S. Magistrate

[Handwritten signature]

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 5-5-76

DEFENDANT

FLOYD EARL VINSON

DOCKET NO.

76-CR-39

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 5 DAY 5 YEAR 76

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Thomas D. Frasier, Court Appointed (Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T. 18, U.S.C., Section 2312, as charged in the Information.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that the defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Three (3) Years

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant may become eligible for parole at such time as the Board of Parole may determine as provided in T. 18, U.S.C.A., Section 4208(a)(2).

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the sentence imposed shall run concurrently with the sentence imposed by the State of Oklahoma.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

FILED

MAY 5 1976

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

[Signature]

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 5-6-76

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America
vs.
RAYMOND JOSEPH KNIGHTEN

Criminal No. 76-CR-48-C

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

MAY 5 1976

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses ~~XXX~~ Count III of the Indictment against Raymond Joseph Knighten defendant.
(indictment, information, complaint)

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

Ben F. Fisher
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. J. [Signature]
United States District Judge

Date: May 5, 1976

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
vs.) Plaintiff,)
)
CHARLES EDWARD HOLMES, JR.,)
) Defendant.)

NO. 76-CR-2

FILED
MAY 3 1976
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

O R D E R

The Court upon its own motion pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, after review, study and reflection, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that the sentence imposed on the Defendant, Charles Edward Holmes, Jr., January 27, 1976, should be modified and reduced.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Judgment and Sentence entered herein on January 27, 1976, be and it is hereby modified to read as follows:

IT IS ADJUDGED that the Defendant, Charles Edward Holmes, Jr., does not need commitment, and on:

Count 1 - The imposition of sentence is suspended.

Count 2 - The imposition of sentence is suspended and the Defendant is placed on probation for a period of three (3) years, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 501.0(a).

It is the intent of this order that the Defendant, Charles Edward Holmes, Jr., be released from custody on May 5, 1976.

Dated this 2nd day of May, 1976, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.


CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA