

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

MAY 30 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MANUEL HERNANDEZ-HERNANDEZ,

Defendant.

No. 75-CR-80

M O T I O N

Comes now the United States of America, by the Government attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and respectfully requests the Court for leave to dismiss the above-entitled cause and as grounds therefore, states:

That an improper application for dismissal was filed by a Government officer, not the United States Attorney; and that said dismissal was, therefore, improper.

That the present dismissal will correct such error, and can work no undue hardship against the defendant, but to the contrary, can only work to his benefit.

WHEREFORE, Government prays that the above-entitled cause be dismissed.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

By Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

3/ Allen S. Bansen
Chief Judge
United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
vs.
CONCEPCION J. MARTINEZ,
Defendant.

FILED
MAY 30 1975
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 75-cr-77

M O T I O N

Comes now the United States of America, by the Government attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and respectfully requests the Court for leave to dismiss the above-entitled cause and as grounds therefore, states:

That an improper application for dismissal was filed by a Government officer, not the United States Attorney; and that said dismissal was, therefore, improper.

That the present dismissal will correct such error, and can work no undue hardship against the defendant, but to the contrary, can only work to his benefit.

WHEREFORE, Government prays that the above-entitled cause be dismissed.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

By _____
Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

3/ Allen E. Barnew
Chief Judge
United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 RUBEN SANCEN-MORENO AND)
 ANTONIO PEREZ GARZA, JR.,)
)
 Defendants.)

FILED

MAY 30 1978

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 75-CR-78

M O T I O N

Comes now the United States of America, by the Government attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and respectfully requests the Court for leave to dismiss the above-entitled cause and as grounds therefore, states:

That an improper application for dismissal was filed by a Government officer, not the United States Attorney; and that said dismissal was, therefore, improper.

That the present dismissal will correct such error, and can work no undue hardship against the defendant, but to the contrary, can only work to his benefit.

WHEREFORE, Government prays that the above-entitled cause be dismissed.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

By _____
Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

5/ Allen E. Barrow
Chief Judge
United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 RUBEN MARTINEZ-GAITAN,)
)
 Defendant.)

FILED
MAY 30 1975
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 75-CR-79

M O T I O N

Comes now the United States of America, by the Government attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and respectfully requests the Court for leave to dismiss the above-entitled cause and as grounds therefore, states:

That an improper application for dismissal was filed by a Government officer, not the United States Attorney; and that said dismissal was, therefore, improper.

That the present dismissal will correct such error, and can work no undue hardship against the defendant, but to the contrary, can only work to his benefit.

WHEREFORE, Government prays that the above-entitled cause be dismissed.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

By Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

5/ Anne E. Barrow
Chief Judge
United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
vs.
JAVIER LOPEZ-VALDEZ,
Defendant.

FILED
MAY 30 1975
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 75-CR-74

M O T I O N

Comes now the United States of America, by the Government attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and respectfully requests the Court for leave to dismiss the above-entitled cause and as grounds therefore, states:

That an improper application for dismissal was filed by a Government officer, not the United States Attorney; and that said dismissal was, therefore, improper.

That the present dismissal will correct such error, and can work no undue hardship against the defendant, but to the contrary, can only work to his benefit.

WHEREFORE, Government prays that the above-entitled cause be dismissed.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

By _____
Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

s/ Allen E. Barrow
Chief Judge
United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
vs.
MARCELO RODRIGUEZ, JR.,
Defendant.

FILED

MAY 30 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 75-CR-73

M O T I O N

Comes now the United States of America, by the Government attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and respectfully requests the Court for leave to dismiss the above-entitled cause and as grounds therefore, states:

That an improper application for dismissal was filed by a Government officer, not the United States Attorney; and that said dismissal was, therefore, improper.

That the present dismissal will correct such error, and can work no undue hardship against the defendant, but to the contrary, can only work to his benefit.

WHEREFORE, Government prays that the above-entitled cause be dismissed.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

By Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

Allen E. Barrow
Chief Judge
United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 J. GUADALUPE GUERRERO-HERRERA,)
)
 Defendant.)

FILED

MAY 30 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 75-CR-72

M O T I O N

Comes now the United States of America, by the Government attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and respectfully requests the Court for leave to dismiss the above-entitled cause and as grounds therefore, states:

That an improper application for dismissal was filed by a Government officer, not the United States Attorney; and that said dismissal was, therefore, improper.

That the present dismissal will correct such error, and can work no undue hardship against the defendant, but to the contrary, can only work to his benefit.

WHEREFORE, Government prays that the above-entitled cause be dismissed.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

By Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

5/ Allen E. Barrow
Chief Judge
United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
vs.
JESUS HERNANDEZ-CASADA,
Defendant.

FILED

MAY 30 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 75-CR-71

M O T I O N

Comes now the United States of America, by the Government attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and respectfully requests the Court for leave to dismiss the above-entitled cause and as grounds therefore, states:

That an improper application for dismissal was filed by a Government officer, not the United States Attorney; and that said dismissal was, therefore, improper.

That the present dismissal will correct such error, and can work no undue hardship against the defendant, but to the contrary, can only work to his benefit.

WHEREFORE, Government prays that the above-entitled cause be dismissed.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

By Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

5/ Allen E. Barrow
Chief Judge
United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
vs.
PEDRO ROSALES-URIZAR,
Defendant.

FILED

MAY 30 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 75 CR-69

M O T I O N

Comes now the United States of America, by the Government attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and respectfully requests the Court for leave to dismiss the above-entitled cause and as grounds therefore, states:

That an improper application for dismissal was filed by a Government officer, not the United States Attorney; and that said dismissal was, therefore, improper.

That the present dismissal will correct such error, and can work no undue hardship against the defendant, but to the contrary, can only work to his benefit.

WHEREFORE, Government prays that the above-entitled cause be dismissed.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

By _____
Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

5/ Allen E. Barrow
Chief Judge
United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 ESEQUIEL VILLANUEVA-GARCIA,)
)
 Defendant.)

FILED
MAY 30 1975
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 75-CR-68

M O T I O N

Comes now the United States of America, by the Government attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and respectfully requests the Court for leave to dismiss the above-entitled cause and as grounds therefore, states:

That an improper application for dismissal was filed by a Government officer, not the United States Attorney; and that said dismissal was, therefore, improper.

That the present dismissal will correct such error, and can work no undue hardship against the defendant, but to the contrary, can only work to his benefit.

WHEREFORE, Government prays that the above-entitled cause be dismissed.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

By _____
Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

31 Allan E. Barrow
Chief Judge
United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
vs.
MACARIO GARCIA,
Defendant.

FILED

MAY 30 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 75-CR-66

M O T I O N

Comes now the United States of America, by the Government attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and respectfully requests the Court for leave to dismiss the above-entitled cause and as grounds therefore, states:

That an improper application for dismissal was filed by a Government officer, not the United States Attorney; and that said dismissal was, therefore, improper.

That the present dismissal will correct such error, and can work no undue hardship against the defendant, but to the contrary, can only work to his benefit.

WHEREFORE, Government prays that the above-entitled cause be dismissed.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

By _____
Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

5/ Anna E. Barrow
Chief Judge
United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

MAY 30 1975

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 VICTOR IGNACIO RIOS-TERRAZAS,)
)
 Defendant.)

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 75-CR-65

M O T I O N

Comes now the United States of America, by the Government attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and respectfully requests the Court for leave to dismiss the above-entitled cause and as grounds therefore, states:

That an improper application for dismissal was filed by a Government officer, not the United States Attorney; and that said dismissal was, therefore, improper.

That the present dismissal will correct such error, and can work no undue hardship against the defendant, but to the contrary, can only work to his benefit.

WHEREFORE, Government prays that the above-entitled cause be dismissed.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

By _____
Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

Nathan G. Graham
Chief Judge
United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 MARIO OCAMPO-MAZARI,)
)
 Defendant.)

FILED

MAY 30 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 75-CR-63

M O T I O N

Comes now the United States of America, by the Government attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and respectfully requests the Court for leave to dismiss the above-entitled cause and as grounds therefore, states:

That an improper application for dismissal was filed by a Government officer, not the United States Attorney; and that said dismissal was, therefore, improper.

That the present dismissal will correct such error, and can work no undue hardship against the defendant, but to the contrary, can only work to his benefit.

WHEREFORE, Government prays that the above-entitled cause be dismissed.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

By Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

5/ Allen E. Barrow
Chief Judge
United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 REYNALDO VALENZUELA-FLORES,)
)
 Defendant.)

FILED

MAY 30 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 75-CR-67

M O T I O N

Comes now the United States of America, by the Government attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 48(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and respectfully requests the Court for leave to dismiss the above-entitled cause and as grounds therefore, states:

That an improper application for dismissal was filed by a Government officer, not the United States Attorney; and that said dismissal was, therefore, improper.

That the present dismissal will correct such error, and can work no undue hardship against the defendant, but to the contrary, can only work to his benefit.

WHEREFORE, Government prays that the above-entitled cause be dismissed.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

By Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

5/ Allen E. Barrow
Chief Judge
United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DEFENDANT

SHIRLEY ANN COLE

DOCKET NO.

75-CR-52

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 5 27 75

COUNSEL

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

BRIAN S. GASKILL

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

FILED

MAY 27 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clerk

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T. 48, U.S.C., Section 500, as charged in Count Two of the Indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

The imposition of sentence as to Count Two is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Four (4) Years from this date.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

Special conditions of probation are that the defendant make restitution in the amount of the loss sustained, or \$195.00, at the rate of no less than \$30.00 per month; the defendant care for her children and the defendant abide by all of the standard conditions of probation.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

[Signature]

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date

5-27-75

Y

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

United States of America)

vs.)

FLOYD S. COLLINS)

Criminal No. 75-CR-49

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

MAY 27 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses ~~XXX~~ Count V & Count VI of the Indictment ~~XXXX~~ (indictment, information, complaint) against Floyd S. Collins, defendant.

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

Ben F. Balaban
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

W. J. Walcott
United States District Judge

Date: May 27, 1975

DEFENDANT

RONALD D. WILLIAMS, A/K/A
RONALD DENEK PETERSON

DOCKET NO. 75-CR-46

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government
the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR
5 27 75

COUNSEL

WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL ALLEN E. BARROW, JR.
(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, NOLO CONTENDERE, NOT GUILTY

MAY 27 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding of ~~guilt~~ of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged
 GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated T. 18, U.S.C., Sections 1708 and 495, as charged in Counts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 of the Indictment.**

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: ~~The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of~~

The imposition of sentence in Counts One, Two, Three, Four, Five and Six is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Four (4) Years from this date, as to each count; said probation imposed in Counts Two, Three, Four, Five and Six to run concurrently with the probation imposed in Count One.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

It is not the intention of the Court to impede such proper action as the State of Oklahoma may institute. In the event the defendant is not incarcerated by State authorities, then a special condition of probation is that the defendant is ordered to make restitution in the amount of \$629.05. If the defendant is incarcerated by State authorities, then the Court will reconsider the condition of resitutation.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

W. Dale Cook

Date 5-27-75

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By () CLERK
() DEPUTY

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DEFENDANT

ELLA OLETA HOLMES

DOCKET NO. 75-CR-43

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 235 (5/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 5 27 75

COUNSEL

WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL R. NORVELL GRAHAM, JR. (Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, NOLO CONTENDERE, NOT GUILTY

FILED

MAY 27 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clerk

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T. 18, U.S.C. Section 371, as charged in Count 1 of the Indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for the maximum period of Five (5) Years, for a study as described in T. 18, U.S.C., Section 4208(c), the results of such study to be furnished this Court within 90 days, whereupon the sentence of imprisonment herein imposed may be subject to modification in accordance with T. 18, U.S.C., Section 4208(b).

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge U.S. Magistrate

[Signature]

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

BY () CLERK () DEPUTY

Date 5-27-75

DEFENDANT

SAMMIE LEON DAVIS

DOCKET NO. 75-CR-43

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (9-74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 5 27 75

COUNSEL

WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL AINSLIE PERRAULT, JR. (Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, NOLO CONTENDERE, NOT GUILTY

FILED

MAY 27 1975

JUL 6 1975

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of HAVING violated 7.18, U.S.C., Sections 2113(a), 2113(d) and 371, as charged in Counts 1, 2 and 3 of the Indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Count One - Five (5) Years
Count Two - Three (3) Years
Count Three - Eight (8) Years

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that Count Two shall begin at the expiration of and run consecutively to the sentence imposed in Count One.

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that Count Three shall run concurrently with the sentence imposed in Counts One and Two.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

Handwritten signature of U.S. District Judge

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 5-27-75

DEFENDANT

FLOYD AUGUST DAVIS

DOCKET NO. 75-CR-43

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 5 27 75

COUNSEL

WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL ROBERT G. BROWN (Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, NOLO CONTENDERE, NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding of GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T. 18, U.S.C., Sections 2113(a), 2113(d) and 371, as charged in Counts 1, 2 and 3 of the indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Count One - Five (5) Years
Count Two - Twenty (20) Years
Count Three - Twenty (20) Years

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that Count 1 shall begin at the expiration of and run consecutively to the sentence imposed in Count Two.

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that Count 2 shall run concurrently with the sentence imposed in Count Three.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

[Handwritten signature]

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 5-27-75

DEFENDANT

JAMES DAVID OLIN

DOCKET NO.

75-CR-13

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 243 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 5- DAY 20 YEAR 75

WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL Ainslie Ferrault, Jr. (Name of counsel)

FILED

MAY 20 1975

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding of GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T. 21, U.S.C., Section 846, as charged in the indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that:

The imposition of sentence is suspended and Defendant is placed on probation for a period of Thirty (30) months, pursuant to the Federal Youth Correction Act, T. 18, U.S.C., 5010(a).

Defendant is granted permission to travel to Arkansas.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

The conditions of probation are that: (1) Defendant seek and find employment, (2) avoid association with drug users and use of drugs.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

Signature

By

() CLERK

Date

5-20-75

() DEPUTY

DEFENDANT

JIMMY ROGER STEELY

DOCKET NO.

75-CR-13

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR 5 20 75

WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL James D. Bass (Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, NOLO CONTENDERE, NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a verdict of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T. 21, U.S.C., Section 846, as charged in the indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that:

The imposition of sentence is suspended and the Defendant is placed on probation for a period of One (1) year, pursuant to the Federal Youth Correction Act, T. 18, U.S.C., 5010(a).

FILED MAY 20 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

The conditions of probation are that: (1) Defendant complete GED, (2) seek and find employment, (3) avoid association with drug users and use of drugs.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By () CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 5-20-75

DEFENDANT

THEODORE BERT YORK

DOCKET NO.

75-CR-13

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 5 DAY 20 YEAR 75

WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL Kenneth L. Stainer (Name of counsel)

FILED

MAY 20 1975

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea, NOLO CONTENDERE, NOT GUILTY

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T. 21, U.S.C., Section 846, as charged in the indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that:

The imposition of sentence is suspended and Defendant is placed on probation for a period of Thirty (30) months, pursuant to the Federal Youth Correction Act, T. 18, U.S.C., 5010(a).

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

The conditions of probation are that: (1) Defendant complete G.E.D., (2) and learn a trade, (3) seek and find employment, (4) avoid association with drug users and use of drugs.

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

U.S. Marshal

By

() CLERK

() DEPUTY

Date 5-20-75

✓

FILED

MAY 15 1975

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
)	Plaintiff,
vs.)	
)	No. 13,722 Cr.
)	
JAMES EDWARD YEAGER,)	
)	
)	Defendant.

O R D E R

Pursuant to hearing on a 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion of James E. Yeager, Case No. 73-C-103, and the Court's Order therein dated and filed April 29, 1975, the conviction herein should be set aside.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the conviction herein of James E. Yeager on his plea of guilty on August 15, 1961, and sentence imposed August 22, 1961, be and they are hereby set aside and held for naught, and no disabilities or burden of any kind shall flow from said conviction, judgment and sentence.

Dated this 15th day of May, 1975, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.


 CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT
 COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
 OKLAHOMA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

MAY 13 1975

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
)
BILLY BOB CUNNINGHAM,)
)
Defendant.)

NO. 74-CR-125 ✓

ORDER

The Court has for consideration a motion on behalf of the Defendant for modification or reduction of sentence pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and a 90-day report from the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas. After review, study, and reflection, and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that this is a discretionary matter and that there is no necessity for a hearing, and that the motion should be sustained.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Judgment and Sentence entered herein on January 14, 1975, be and it is hereby modified to read as follows:

The defendant Billy Bob Cunningham, Jr., is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of six years.

The Court recommends that the defendant be confined in a minimum security institution as near his home in Glenpool, Oklahoma, as possible; and if he continues to progress as well as indicated in the 90-day report from Leavenworth, that he be given, as earned by his attitudes and conduct, the earliest feasible consideration for parole.

Dated this 13th day of May, 1975, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Allen E. Barron
CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA