

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

RUSSELL L. VINSON, on behalf)
of himself and on behalf of)
all persons similarly situated,)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

GUARANTY NATIONAL BANK OF Tulsa)
Oklahoma, and E. BRYSON d/b/a)
Dales Used Cars,)

Defendants.)

No. 73-C-165

FILED

JAN 31 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER SUSTAINING DEFENDANT'S MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

On the 31st day of August, 1973, the Court entered its Order herein dismissing this action as to the Guaranty National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma.

On December 4, 1973, E. Bryson d/b/a Dales Used Cars filed his Motion for Summary Judgment together with affidavits of Elizabeth Bryson and Patrick C. Ryan, Administrator of the Department of Consumer Affairs of the State of Oklahoma together with an exhaustive Brief in support of defendant Bryson's Motion for Summary Judgment.

Thereafter the Court extended the time for plaintiff to file a responsive Brief until the 15th day of January, 1974. On January 21, 1974, the plaintiff filed his Brief in response to defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, and on the same day plaintiff filed his Brief in Support of Certification of Class Action.

In the present posture of the case the plaintiff seeks judgment against E. Bryson d/b/a Dales Used Cars in the sum of \$908.38, being twice the amount of the finance charge of \$454.19 and prays that damage be awarded the other members of the class similarly situated to the statutory damage of twice the amount of the finance charges but not less than \$100 nor more than \$1,000 as to each member of the class, and further that the defendant be enjoined from continuing to make credit sales without disclosing the requirements of the Federal Truth in Lending Act all of which the defendant vigorously denies.

The Court, having carefully reviewed the entire file, the pleadings, the Answers to Interrogatories and the exhaustive Briefs on the part of plaintiff and defendant, and from all such study and examination finds that the plaintiff has not stated a cause of action upon which relief can be granted under the Truth in Lending Act, and in so finding the Court concludes that the question of class action becomes moot.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this action as against E. Bryson d/b/a Dales Used Cars should be, and the same is hereby dismissed at the cost of the plaintiff.

Dated this 30th day of January, 1974.

Arthur Robinson
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

JAN 28 1974

js

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SIDNEY I. SHUPACK (FORMERLY CHUPACK),)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-)
)
 MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER &)
 SMITH, INC., a Corporation, and)
 the Undisclosed and Unknown Trustee)
 or Trustees of the Merrill Lynch,)
 Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.)
 Employees Profit Sharing Plan,)
)
 Defendants.)

No. 74-C-29 ✓

VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(i) FRCP, the Plaintiff
hereby dismisses this action, without prejudice.

Dated January 28, 1974.

GABLE, GOTWALS, RUBIN, FOX, JOHNSON
& BAKER


By 

 JAMES M. STURDIVANT
 2010 Fourth National Bank Building
 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119
 (918) 582-9201

Attorney for Plaintiff.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, James M. Sturdivant, do hereby certify that a
true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Voluntary Dis-
missal Without Prejudice was mailed to John S. Athens, 2400 First
National Tower, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103, Attorney for Defendants,
with postage fully prepaid, this 28 day of January, 1974.



 JAMES M. STURDIVANT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MADELEINE FAITH KARN,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 WILLIAM R. SCHUG,)
)
 Defendant.)

FILED
NO. 73-C-257 ✓ JAN 28 1974 JG
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

ON this 28th day of January, 1974, upon the written application of the parties for a Dismissal with Prejudice of the Complaint and all causes of action, the Court having examined said application, finds that said parties have entered into a compromise settlement covering all claims involved in the Complaint and have requested the Court to dismiss said Complaint with prejudice to any future action, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds that said Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to said application.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the Complaint and all causes of action of the plaintiff filed herein against the defendant be and the same hereby is dismissed with prejudice to any future action.

Coleman E. Barron
JUDGE, DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

APPROVAL:

CARLE & DOUTHITT

By: John K. Carle
Attorney for the Plaintiff

ALFRED B. KNIGHT
Alfred B. Knight
Attorney for the Defendant

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CAROLYN J. GLASS; MARY E. GLASS, as)
Mother and Next of Kin of KENNETH)
ELDON GLASS, Minor,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)
)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Defendant and Third-Party)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
REBECCA FRANCES GLASS,)
)
Third-Party Defendant.)

No. 71-C-145 ✓

E I L E D

JAN 30 1974 /

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

AMENDED JUDGMENT

I.

Based on the findings of fact and conclusions of law, judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiffs and against the Defendant, United States of America, for the National Service Life Insurance proceeds herein sued upon, the same to be paid to the Plaintiffs by the Veterans Administration, in accordance with the terms of the actual statutes and regulations; and the Veterans Administration shall deduct an amount, equal to ten (10) percent thereof, from each such payment and shall pay the same to Todd, Aston & Crockett, 3733 East 31st Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74135, counsel for the Plaintiffs, which amount the Court finds to be a reasonable fee to be allowed for their services. 38 U.S.C.A. Section 784 (g).

II.

Judgment is further rendered in favor of Rebecca Frances Glass, the Third-Party Defendant, and against the Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff, United States of America.

III.

The judgment entered herein on November 13, 1973, is hereby vacated and set aside, and this present Amended Judgment is entered in its stead. The findings of fact and conclusions of law filed in this Court on November 13, 1973, are to remain identically the same.

Entered this 30th day of January, 19 74.

Allen E. Brown
CHIEF, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

H. J. Arthur
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Robert P. Santee

ROBERT P. SANTEE
Attorney for Defendant and
Third-Party Plaintiff

Edward O. Merrill
Attorney for Third-Party Defendant

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JOSEPH J. SMITH, individually,)
and as father and next friend of)
VIRGINIA LEE SMITH and JOSEPHINE)
LOUISE SMITH, minors,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)
)
HARDWICK STOVE COMPANY,)
INCORPORATED, a corporation,)
)
Defendant.)

No. 72-C-431 ✓

FILED

JAN 29 1974 3

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DIRECTED VERDICT

NOW on this 21st day of January, 1974, there came on for jury trial the above styled case before the undersigned judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma; plaintiffs appearing in person and by their attorneys, Ben Burdick and Clyde Muchmore, and the defendant Hardwick Stove Company appearing by its attorney, Jack M. Thomas and the Wheeling Pittsburg Steel Company, Third Party Defendant, appearing by its attorney, Don Hopkins, and all parties having announced ready for trial evidence was offered by the plaintiffs in support of their Complaint. After testimony had been received and the plaintiffs rested in open court the defendant Hardwick Stove Company moved for a directed verdict in its favor and against the plaintiffs.

After argument of counsel for plaintiff and defendant, the Court finds that the defendant Hardwick Stove Company's Motion for a Directed Verdict should be sustained for the following reasons, to-wit:

(A) The plaintiffs' evidence failed to establish that the minor child Virginia Lee Smith consumed any poison in the food she ate.

(B) That the blood test performed on the said Virginia Lee Smith failed to reveal any unusual or high amount of lead content in her blood system, but revealed that the lead content was normal and that there was no evidence of zink oxide poison in the blood.

(C) That there was no showing of any causal connection between the allegations of negligence and/or breach of warranty on the part of Hardwick Stove Company and the illness and resulting damages or injuries sustained by Virginia Lee Smith.

(D) That the plaintiffs' medical testimony was totally absent of proof that her complaints were attributable to any negligence and/or breach of warranty on the part of the Hardwick Stove Company.

(E) That the plaintiffs' evidence failed to show that any contamination allegedly caused by the defendant's stove got into any of the food eaten by the plaintiff.

(F) The Court, having considered plaintiffs' evidence and all inferences which could fairly be drawn therefrom, and having considered such evidence in the light most favorable to the plaintiffs, is convinced that reasonable men would not differ as to the conclusion drawn by the Court from said evidence; that is, that the pain and discomfort suffered by Virginia Lee Smith, the daughter of the plaintiff, Joseph J. Smith, was not in any way attributable to the use of the stove made by the defendant. There was no proof that the food she ate on Thanksgiving Day was contaminated by lead or zink oxide poisoning, which it was the burden of the plaintiff to prove by a preponderance of the evidence before the case could be submitted to the jury. Kippen v. Jewkes, 258 F.2d 869 (C.A. 10, 1958) opinion written by Judge Phillips; United States v. Fenix and Scisson, Inc., 360 F.2d 260 (C.A. 10, 1966) opinion written by Judge Hill; Wilkin v. Sunbeam Corporation, 377 F.2d 344 (C.A. 10, 1967) opinion written by Judge Hickey.

At the beginning of the trial plaintiff counsel moved the Court to dismiss plaintiffs' action as to his daughter Josephine Louise Smith, a minor, stating that she had fully and in all things recovered from her illness, however, reserving plaintiffs' cause of action for Josephine Louise Smith's medical costs and expenses, which Motion was at that time sustained by the Court.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendant Hardwick Stove Company's Motion for a Directed Verdict be, and the same is hereby sustained and the cause dismissed and judgment entered in favor of the defendant Hardwick Stove Company and against the plaintiffs. If trial courts cannot use Rule 50 such as the trial court has used it in this case, then the rule should be abolished.

Dated this 28th day of January, 1974.

Luther Bohanan
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CARL EUGENE CLARK,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-)
)
 DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY,)
 INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE,)
 et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)

Case No. 73-C-196 Civil

FILED

JAN 29 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

O R D E R

Upon consideration of Defendants' "Supplemental Motion To Dismiss" and Plaintiff's Response thereto, the Court finds that the Motion should be granted and Plaintiff's Complaint dismissed for the following reasons:


(1) Plaintiff, an inmate of the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas seeks a tax refund in the amount of \$133.00 for 1972 on behalf of his wife, Lois Ann Clark. As Lois Ann Clark is the Plaintiff that should seek her own tax refund Plaintiff's suit on her behalf is improper and fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted to Plaintiff.

(2) As to Plaintiff's claim relating to a deficiency assessment made against him by Internal Revenue Service for the year 1968 and satisfied per section 6402(a) Internal Revenue Code of 1954 from a later tax overpayment by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has failed to produce the claim for refund as to such taxes as required by 26 U.S.C. §7422(a) before the Government has consented to be sued therefor. Herrington v. United States, 416 F. 2d 1029 (Tenth Cir. 1969); Mulcahy v.

United States, 388 F. 2d 300 (Fifth Cir. 1968); United States v. Rochelle, 363 F. ^{2d} 225 (Fifth Cir. 1966); England v. United States, 261 F. 2d 455 (Seventh Cir. 1958). The Defendants deny receipt of any such claim from the Plaintiff. The Court has given the Plaintiff ample opportunity to produce and file such a claim for refund herein and has in fact been ordered that the same be filed herein. The Plaintiff has not filed a copy of such claim and has failed to comply with the Order of the Court which was designed to give Plaintiff an opportunity to show that the Court has jurisdiction herein to grant him relief on his claim for tax refund. Therefore, in this state of the record, Plaintiff has not stated, though given every opportunity by the Court, a claim against the Defendants upon which relief may be granted. United States v. Alabama, 313 U.S. 274 (1941); Soriano v. United States, 352 U.S. 270 (1957); Harkins v. United States, 375 F. 2d 239 (Tenth Cir. 1967); Dugan v. Rank, 372 U.S. 609 (1963) (under which plaintiff's case is one against the sovereign United States).

Plaintiff's request for the Court to appoint him an attorney in his civil action is denied in the discretion of the Court. 28 U.S.C. §1950(d).

It is ordered that the Complaint of the Plaintiff herein is dismissed this 25 day of January, 1974.



Fred Daugherty
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

LUCY BEARD,)
)
Plaintiff,)

-vs-)

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY,)
)
Defendant.)

HERBERT L. BEARD,)
)
Plaintiff,)

-vs-)

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY,)
)
Defendant.)

No. 73-C-144 ✓

FILED
JAN 28 1974 J.
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 73-C-150

ORDER DISMISSING ACTIONS ON STIPULATION

The above causes of action came on for consideration on the voluntary motion for dismissal of the cases, as stipulated to by the plaintiffs, and defendant through their respective counsel of record and the Court being fully advised in the premises;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that said ^{*said causes of action & complaints*} cases be, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear his own costs.

Dated: January 28, 1974.

Allen E. Garrison
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
)
)
 LINDA LOU JENNINGS,)
)
 Defendants.)

E I L E D

JAN 23 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Civil No. 74-C-32 ✓

O R D E R

This day came on for consideration the petition of the United States in this cause; and it appearing to the Court that the patient, after having been fully advised of his rights as set forth in Title 42, U.S.C., Section 3411, et seq. (Title III, Section 301, et seq. Public Law 89-793), has in open Court waived all such rights and has again expressed his desire to obtain treatment for his addiction; and the Court having determined that there is reasonable cause to believe that the patient is a narcotic addict, and that there are not any appropriate State or other facilities available for his treatment pursuant to said law, it is hereby

RECOMMENDED that the patient be committed to the custody of the Surgeon General for examination under Title 42, U.S.C., Section 3413 (Title III, Section 303, Public Law 89-793), to determine whether or not he is a narcotic addict who is likely to be rehabilitated. The written report required of each examining physician shall be filed with the Court and copies thereof furnished to the patient, not later than twenty (20) days after the patient is received at the facility hereinafter designated, and the patient shall be detained for an additional period of ten (10) days at the institution, pending further order of the Court. Provided, however, in the event both examining physicians conclude in their respective written reports that the patient is a narcotic addict who is likely to be rehabilitated through treatment, and, if the patient by written instrument filed with the Court along with, and at the same time as, the reports of the examining physicians, waives any right he may have to notice and hearing on the issue as to whether or not he is a narcotic addict who is likely to be rehabilitated through treatment, and requests that he be forthwith committed to the care and custody of the Surgeon General for treatment in a hospital of the Service, rather than be returned to this Court for further proceedings, he shall be detained at said institution for a reasonable time after the expiration of thirty (30) days from the date he is received at said facility, pending further order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the patient be remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, at 9:00 a.m., January 23, 1974, for transportation to the institution at Phoenix, Arizona.

Signed the 18th day of January, 1974.

M. P. B. D. D.
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE

APPROVED:

Ben F. Baker
Assistant U.S. Attorney

Recommendations of U.S. Magistrate reviewed and approved
this 23rd day of January, 1974.

Allen E. Barrow
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,) CIVIL ACTION NO. 73-C-333
)
vs.)
)
JESSIE H. DOSS and JOANN)
DOSS, husband and wife,)
)
Defendants.)

FILED
JAN 23 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

THIS MATTER COMES on for consideration this 23 day
of January, 1974, the plaintiff appearing by Robert P. Santee,
Assistant United States Attorney, and the defendants, Jessie H.
Doss and Joann Doss, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined
the file herein finds that after diligent effort the whereabouts
of the defendants, Jessie H. Doss and Joann Doss, cannot be
ascertained; that these defendants were served by publication as
appears from the Proof of Publication filed herein on January 17,
1974, and

It appearing that the said defendants have failed
to answer herein and that default has been entered by the Clerk
of this Court.

The Court further finds that this is a suit based
upon a Promissory note and foreclosure on a real property
mortgage securing said Promissory note and that the following
described real property is located in Osage County, Oklahoma,
within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma:

Lot Four (4), BILLVILLE ADDITION, a sub-division
in Osage County, Oklahoma, according to the
recorded plat thereof.

THAT the defendants, Jessie H. Doss and Joann Doss, did,
on the 8th day of September 1971, execute and deliver to the United
States of America, acting through the Farmers Home Administration,

their mortgage and Promissory note in the sum of \$14,500.00 payable in 34 annual installments, with 7 1/4 percent interest per annum until paid, and further providing for the payment of annual installments of principal and interest; and

The Court further finds that the defendants, Jessie H. Doss and Joann Doss, made default under the terms of the aforesaid Promissory note by reason of their failure to make the annual installment due thereon for more than one year last past, which default has continued and that by reason thereof the above-named defendants are now indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of \$14,915.65 as unpaid principal, with interest thereon at the rate of 7 1/4 percent interest per annum from August 31, 1973, and daily interest accrual thereafter of \$2.9627 until paid plus the sum of \$733.82 default charges and advances by the plaintiff for insurance, taxes, title opinion, and \$12.00 for cleaning trash from the yard, \$8.75 for changing entry lock and \$10.00 for winterizing the property for a total of \$30.75, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff have and recover judgment against defendants, Jessie H. Doss and Joann Doss, ^{in rem,} for the sum of \$14,915.65 as unpaid principal with interest thereon at the rate of 7 1/4 percent interest per annum from August 31, 1973, plus daily interest accrual thereafter of \$2.9627 until paid, plus the sum of \$733.82 default charges and advances by the plaintiff for insurance, taxes, title opinion, and \$12.00 for cleaning trash from the yard, \$8.75 for changing entry lock and \$10.00 for winterizing the property for a total of \$30.75, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that upon the failure of said defendants to satisfy plaintiff's money judgment herein, an Order of Sale shall be issued to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell with appraisalment the real property

and apply the proceeds thereof in satisfaction of plaintiff's judgment. The residue, if any, to be deposited with the Clerk of the Court to await further order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that from and after the sale of said property, under and by virtue of this judgment and decree, all of the defendants and each of them and all persons claiming under them since the filing of the complaint herein be and they are forever barred and foreclosed of any right, title, interest or claim in or to the real property or any part thereof.


United States District Judge

APPROVED.


ROBERT P. SANTEE
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

GLENN BERRY MANUFACTURING, INC.,)
)
 Plaintiff)

vs.)

HARTFORD FIRE INSURANCE CO.,)
of the Hartford Insurance Group,)
)
 Defendant and)
 Third-Party Plaintiff,)

NO. 72-C-203 C-11 ✓

vs.)

LEE INSURANCE AGENCY, INC.,)
formerly Lee-Qualls Agency, Inc.,)
a corporation,)
)
 Third-Party Defendant.)

FILED

JAN 23 1974 ✓

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

ON this 23 day of January, 1974, upon the written application of the parties for a Dismissal with Prejudice of the Complaint and Cross-Complaint of Hartford Fire Insurance Co., of the Hartford Insurance Group against Lee Insurance Agency, Inc., formerly Lee-Qualls Agency, Inc., a corporation, and all causes of action, the Court having examined said application, finds that said parties have entered into a compromise settlement covering all claims involved in the Complaint and Cross-Complaint and have requested the Court to dismiss said Complaint and Cross-Complaint with prejudice to any future action, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds that said Complaint and Cross-Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to said application.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the Complaint and Cross-Complaint and all causes of action of the plaintiff filed herein against the defendants be and the same hereby is dismissed with prejudice to any future action.

Robert E. Bennett
JUDGE, DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THAT the defendants, Wade H. Cochran and Kathy A. Cochran, did, on the 28th day of July, 1972, execute and deliver to the Administrator of Veterans Affairs, their mortgage and mortgage note in the sum of \$12,500.00, with 7 1/2 percent interest per annum, and further providing for the payment of monthly installments of principal and interest.

The Court further finds that the defendants, Wade H. Cochran and Kathy A. Cochran, made default under the terms of the aforesaid mortgage note by reason of their failure to make monthly installments due thereon for more than 12 months last past, which default has continued and that by reason thereof the above-named defendants are now indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of \$12,694.04 as unpaid principal, with interest thereon at the rate of 7 1/2 percent interest per annum from September 1, 1972, until paid, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff have and recover judgment against defendants, in personam, for the sum of \$12,694.04, with interest thereon at the rate of 7 1/2 percent interest per annum from September 1, 1972, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing, plus any additional sums advanced or to be advanced or expended during this foreclosure action by plaintiff for taxes, insurance, abstracting, or sums for the preservation of the subject property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that upon the failure of said defendants to satisfy plaintiff's money judgment herein, an Order of Sale shall be issued to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell with appraisement the real property and apply the proceeds thereof in satisfaction of plaintiff's judgment. The residue, if any, to be deposited with the Clerk of the Court to await further order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that from and after the sale of said property, under and by virtue of

this judgment and decree, all of the defendants and each of them and all persons claiming under them since the filing of the complaint herein be and they are forever barred and foreclosed of any right, title, interest or claim in or to the real property or any part thereof.

United States District Judge

APPROVED.

ROBERT P. SANTEE
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

VAN ST. JOHN, Individually and as
Father and Next Friend of TERRY G.
ST. JOHN, MARK S. ST. JOHN and
SUSAN E. ST. JOHN,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MISSOURI-KANSAS-TEXAS RAILROAD
COMPANY and WILLIAM H. GALE,
an Individual,

Defendants.

No. 73-C-266

FILED


JAN 17 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

STIPULATION OF
DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

It is hereby stipulated that the above-entitled action may
be dismissed without prejudice against the Defendant William H.
Gale.

DATED January 4, 1974.


FLOYD L. WALKER, Attorney for
Plaintiff,


R. DOBIE LANGENKAMP, Attorney for
Defendant

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 17 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Case No. 72-C-434 ✓

EVERETT E. BROWN,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
)
SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE)
COMPANY, A Corporation,)
)
Defendant.)

STIPULATION AND
DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Comes now the Plaintiff and Defendant in the above styled action and pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, No. 41(a)(1)(i)(ii), hereby stipulate to the dismissal of the above styled action by Plaintiff, with prejudice, and the case is hereby dismissed with prejudice.

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

BY William J. Free
WILLIAM J. FREE, Attorney

EVERETT E. BROWN

BY Don Dees
DON DEES, Attorney

O R D E R

This matter having come before me, pursuant to a Stipulation and Dismissal With Prejudice, filed by both parties hereto;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action be dismissed with prejudice as stipulated by the parties hereto.

Ellen E. Barrow
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 15 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JAMES R. LUTZ, a minor by
UTICA NATONAL BANK & TRUST
COMPANY, guardian of his estate,
Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 72-C-247

C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC.,
JACKIE B. ROBERTSON, and
COMMERCIAL STANDARD INSURANCE
COMPANY,
Defendants.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This cause came on to be heard this 15th day of January, 1974 pursuant to regular assignment for trial, the minor plaintiff being present in person and through his guardian, Utica National Bank & Trust Company, and his counsel, R. Dobie Langenkamp. The defendants appeared through their counsel of record, Thomas R. Brett. All parties announced ready for trial and a jury was waived in open court. The Court heard the evidence of witnesses and after the Court being fully advised finds the minor plaintiff, James R. Lutz, through his duly appointed guardian, Utica National Bank & Trust Company, properly commenced this action and the plaintiff has sustained the material allegations of the complaint filed herein and is entitled to judgment as hereafter provided.

IT IS ORDERED the said James R. Lutz, by and through his guardian, Utica Square National Bank & Trust Company, have and recover a judgment against the defendants, C & H Transportation Co., Inc., Jackie B. Robertson, and Commercial Standard Insurance Company in the total sum of Thirty Two Thousand Five Hundred (\$32,500.00) Dollars, interest at the rate of 10% from the date hereon, and the costs of this action.

Lee Dougherty
United States District Judge

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

R. Dobie Langenkamp
Attorney for Plaintiff

Thomas R. Brett
Attorney for Defendants

United States District Court

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 73-C-246

The Central Bank & Trust Company,
 a Colorado Banking Corporation,
 vs. Plaintiff,
 Marcus Ebenhack, Sr., Defendant.

JUDGMENT

This action came on for trial before the Court and a jury, Honorable Fred Daugherty
 , United States District Judge, presiding, and the issues having been duly tried and

the jury having ~~only rendered its verdict~~ been instructed to render a verdict in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant,

It is Ordered and Adjudged that Judgment be entered in favor of the Plaintiff, The Central Bank & Trust Company, a Colorado Banking Corporation, and against the Defendant, Marcus Ebenhack, Sr., in the sum of \$12,000.00 with interest thereon at the rate of 8% from November 20, 1972, and an agreed attorneys fee in the amount of \$1500.00.

FILED

JAN 15 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
 U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 15th day
 of January, 19 74.

Jack C. Silver
 Clerk of Court

by *Rosanne J Miller*
 Deputy Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

E I L E D
JAN 15 1974
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 170.00 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR)
 LESS, SITUATE IN NOWATA COUNTY,)
 STATE OF OKLAHOMA, AND LEWIS)
 PETROLEUM COMPANY, ET AL., AND)
 UNKNOWN OWNERS,)
)
 Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 71-C-112
Tract Nos. 1512M, 1514M,
1662M, and 1664M
WORKING INTEREST ONLY
(INCLUDING OIL PAYMENT)

FD

Supplemental J U D G M E N T

1.

Now, on this 15 day of January 1974, this matter comes on for disposition on application of the Plaintiff, United States of America, for entry of ^{a supplemental} judgment on the Amended Report of Commissioners filed herein on August 6, 1973, and the Court, after having overruled the Objections of Plaintiff and those of Defendant S. R. Evans to the Amended Report of Commissioners ^{+ entering judgment on said objections +} on December 26, 1973, having examined the file in this action and being advised by counsel for the Plaintiff, finds that:

FD

FD

2.

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of this action.

3.

This judgment applies only to the working interest, which includes the oil payment of S. R. Evans, in the estate taken in Tract Nos. 1512M, 1514M, 1662M, and 1664M as such estate and tracts are described in the Complaint filed in this case because judgment as to the Lessor (Royalty) Interest was filed herein on May 17, 1973.

4.

Service of Process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure, on all parties defendant in this cause who are interested in the subject tracts.

5.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint filed herein give the United States of America the right, power and authority to condemn the subject property for public use. Pursuant thereto, on April 2, 1971, the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of a certain estate in such tracts of land, which was the date of taking thereof. Simultaneously therewith, Plaintiff deposited \$10,400.00 in the Registry of this Court as estimated compensation for the taking of said estate, none of which has been disbursed. Therefore, title to such property should be vested in the United States of America as of April 2, 1971.

6.

The Amended Report of Commissioners filed herein on August 6, 1973, is hereby accepted and adopted as findings of fact as to the subject tracts, wherein the amount of just compensation as to the working interest (including the Evans oil payment) in the estate taken therein is fixed by the Commission at \$30,563.00.

7.

The Defendants named in paragraph 11 as owners of the working interest in the estate taken in the subject tracts are the only Defendants asserting any interest in such estate; all other Defendants having either disclaimed or defaulted. The Court further finds that there was a subsisting oil and gas lease on these tracts on the date of taking. Said named Defendants were the owners of various interests in the estate condemned herein as of the date of taking and, as such, are entitled to receive the just compensation awarded by this judgment according to their respective interests as set out in paragraph 11 below.

2.

8.

This judgment creates a deficiency between the amount deposited as estimated just compensation for the working interest (including the Evans oil payment) in the estate taken in the subject tracts and the amount fixed by the Commission and adopted by the Court as just compensation; therefore, a sum of money sufficient to cover such deficiency should be deposited by the Government. This deficiency is set out below in paragraph 11.

9.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the United States of America has the right, power and authority to condemn for public use the subject tracts, as they are described in the Complaint filed herein, and such property, to the extent of the working interest (including the Evans oil payment) in the estate described in such Complaint, is condemned and title to such working interest (including the Evans oil payment) is vested in the United States of America, as of April 2, 1971, which was the date of taking thereof, and all Defendants herein and all other persons are forever barred from asserting any claim to such estate.

10.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that on the date of taking in this case, the owners of the various interests in the working interest (including the Evans oil payment) in the estate taken herein in the subject tracts were the Defendants whose names appear below in paragraph 11 with the interest owned by each also shown therein and the right to receive the just compensation for such estate is vested in the parties so named; and, there was a subsisting oil and gas lease on these tracts on the date of taking.

11.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Amended Report of Commissioners filed herein

3.

on August 6, 1973, is hereby confirmed and the \$30,563.00 therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the working interest (including the Evans oil payment) in the estate taken in the subject tracts, which is allocated and should be disbursed according to the following schedule:

TRACT NOS. 1512M, 1514M, 1662M, & 1664M

AWARD OF JUST COMPENSATION:

Total award for estate taken (Working Interest and Oil Payment) \$30,563.00

ALLOCATION OF AWARD:

(pursuant to Commissioners' Amended Report)

To Working Interest \$28,269.00
 To Oil Payment Interest \$ 2,294.00

DEPOSIT OF ESTIMATED COMPENSATION \$.10,400.00

DEPOSIT DEFICIENCY \$20,163.00
 Plus 6% Interest from
 April 2, 1971.

OWNERSHIP, DISTRIBUTION OF AWARD AND DISBURSAL:

Owners	Interest	Share of Award	Previously Disbursed	Balance Due
<u>WORKING INTEREST: (7/8 less Oil Payment)</u>				
Lewis Petroleum Company	All	\$28,269.00	None	\$28,269.00 Plus 6% Interest from 4-2-71.
<u>OIL PAYMENT INTEREST:</u>				
S. R. Evans	All	\$ 2,294.00	None	\$ 2,294.00 Plus 6% Interest from 4-2-71.

12.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States of America shall pay into the Registry of this Court for the benefit of the owners the deposit deficiency for the subject tracts as shown in paragraph 11 in the amount of \$20,163.00 together with interest on such deficiency at the

rate of 6% per annum from April 2, 1971, until the date of deposit of such deficiency sum; and such sum shall be placed in the deposit for the subject tracts in this action.

13.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that when the deposit required by paragraph 11 above has been made by the Plaintiff, the Clerk of this Court shall then disburse, from the deposit in this case, the balance due the respective owners with their accrued share of the interest, according to the schedule in paragraph 11 above.

/s/ Fred Daugherty

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

/s/ Jack M. Short

JACK M. SHORT
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 14 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

BOARD OF TRUSTEES, PIPELINE)
INDUSTRY BENEFIT FUND,)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

WHC, INC.,)

Defendant.)

No. 72-C-119

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

NOW, on this _____ day of January, 1974, Plaintiff's Motion for Dismissal coming on for consideration and counsel for Plaintiff herein representing and stating that all issues, controversies, debts and liabilities between the parties have been paid, settled and compromised,

IT IS THE ORDER OF THIS COURT that said action be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to the bringing of another or future action by the Plaintiff herein.

District Judge

C
O
P
Y

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
) Plaintiff,)
 vs.)
)
)
 DONALD E. WHITE a/k/a DONNIE)
 WHITE, et al.,)
)
) Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION No. 73-C-156

FILED

JAN 14 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

THIS MATTER COMES on for consideration this 14 day of January, 1974, the plaintiff appearing by Robert P. Santee, Assistant United States Attorney, the defendant, Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa, appearing by its attorney, Robert S. Rizley, and the defendants, Donald E. White a/k/a Donnie White, Lanell White, Oklahoma Osteopathic Founders Association d/b/a Oklahoma Osteopathic Hospital, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Donald E. White a/k/a Donnie White and Lanell White were served by publication, as appears from Proof of Publication filed herein on October 23, 1973; that Oklahoma Osteopathic Founders Association d/b/a Oklahoma Osteopathic Hospital was served with Summons and Complaint on May 22, 1973; that Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa was served with Summons and Complaint on May 23, 1973, both as appears from the Marshal's Return of Service herein, and

It appearing that the defendant, Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa, has duly filed its Answer herein on June 28, 1973, and it appearing that the defendants, Donald E. White a/k/a Donnie White, Lanell White, and Oklahoma Osteopathic Founders Association d/b/a Oklahoma Osteopathic Hospital, have failed to answer herein and that default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court.

The Court further finds that this is a suit based upon a mortgage note and foreclosure on a real property mortgage

securing said mortgage note and that the following described real property is located in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma:

Lot Twenty-three (23), Block Five (5),
SHARON HEIGHTS ADDITION to the City of
Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma,
according to the recorded plat thereof.

THAT the defendants, Donald E. White a/k/a Donnie White and Lanell White, did, on the 5th day of July, 1972, execute and deliver to Administrator of Veterans Affairs, their mortgage and mortgage note in the sum of \$11,250.00 with 4 1/2 percent interest per annum, and further providing for the payment of monthly installments of principal and interest; and

The Court further finds that the defendants, Donald E. White a/k/a Donnie White and Lanell White, made default under the terms of the aforesaid mortgage note by reason of their failure to make monthly installments due thereon for more than 12 months last past, which default has continued and that by reason thereof the above-named defendants are now indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of \$11,250.00 as unpaid principal, with interest thereon at the rate of 4 1/2 percent interest per annum from July 5, 1972, until paid, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing.

The Court further finds that the defendant, Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa, has a judgment against the defendant, Donald E. White a/k/a Donnie White, in the sum of \$123.00, plus costs and interest at the rate of 10 percent per annum from the date of said judgment, but that said judgment is junior and inferior to the mortgage lien of the United States of America being foreclosed herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff have and recover judgment against defendants, Donald E. White a/k/a Donnie White and Lanell White, in rem, for the sum of \$11,250.00 with interest thereon at the rate of 4 1/2 percent interest per annum from July 5, 1972, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing, plus any additional sums advanced or to be advanced or expended during this foreclosure

action by plaintiff by taxes, insurance, abstracting, or sums for the preservation of the subject property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff have and recover judgment, in rem, against the defendants, Oklahoma Osteopathic Founders Association d/b/a Oklahoma Osteopathic Hospital, and Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that upon the failure of said defendants to satisfy plaintiff's money judgment herein, an Order of Sale shall be issued to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell, with appraisement the real property and apply the proceeds thereof in satisfaction of plaintiff's judgment. The residue, if any, to be deposited with the Clerk of the Court to await further order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that from and after the sale of said property, under and by virtue of this judgment and decree, all of the defendants and each of them and all persons claiming under them since the filing of the complaint herein be and they are forever barred and foreclosed of any right, title, interest or claim in or to the real property or any part thereof.

(S) Fred Daugherty
United States District Judge

APPROVED.



ROBERT P. SANTEE
Assistant United States Attorney
Attorney for Plaintiff,
United States of America



ROBERT S. RIZLEY
Attorney for Defendant,
Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa

FILED

JAN 11 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 73-C-149
)	
)	
JAMES MICHAEL STRIPLING and)	
MARGARET RICHARDSON STRIPLING,)	
a/k/a MARGARET STRIPLING,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

THIS MATTER COMES on for consideration this 11/7/74 day of January, 1974, the plaintiff appearing by Robert P. Santee, Assistant United States Attorney, and the defendants, James Michael Stripling and Margaret Richardson Stripling a/k/a Margaret Stripling, appearing by their attorney, Waldo E. Jones, II.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that James Michael Stripling and Margaret Richardson Stripling a/k/a Margaret Stripling were served with Summons and Complaint on May 15, 1973, both as appears from the Marshal's Return of Service herein, and

It appearing that the defendants, James Michael Stripling and Margaret Richardson Stripling a/k/a Margaret Stripling, have duly filed their Answer herein on July 19, 1973.

The Court further finds that this is a suit based upon a promissory note and foreclosure on a second real property mortgage securing said mortgage note, which mortgage is upon the following real property located in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma:

Lot Five (5) in Block One (1) of ROCKFORD ARMS ADDITION, an addition to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.

THAT the defendants, James Michael Stripling and Margaret Richardson Stripling a/k/a Margaret Stripling, did,

on the 28th day of April, 1971, execute and deliver to the North Side State Bank, Tulsa, Oklahoma, their mortgage and mortgage note in the sum of \$35,000.00 with 8 1/2 percent interest per annum, and further providing for the payment of monthly installments of principal and interest, which mortgage was duly assigned to the Small Business Administration, an agency of the United States of America, by instrument dated July 25, 1972, and recorded in Book 4031, Page 1346, records of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

The Court further finds that the defendants, James Michael Stripling and Margaret Richardson Stripling a/k/a Margaret Stripling, made default under the terms of the aforesaid mortgage note by reason of their failure to make monthly installments due thereon, which default has continued and that by reason thereof the above-named defendants are now indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of \$32,726.87, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 1/2 percent interest per annum from January 2, 1973, until paid, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing.

The Court further finds that a first mortgage exists in favor of Mercury Mortgage Company of Tulsa in the approximate amount of \$9,800.00, which mortgage is superior to the mortgage lien of the United States of America, plaintiff herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff have and recover judgment against defendants, James Michael Stripling and Margaret Richardson Stripling a/k/a Margaret Stripling, in personam, for the sum of \$32,726.87 with interest thereon at the rate of 8 1/2 percent interest per annum from January 2, 1973, plus the costs of this action accrued and accruing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that upon the failure of said defendants to satisfy plaintiff's money judgment herein, an Order of Sale shall be issued to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell, with appraisement the real property and apply the proceeds thereof in satisfaction

of plaintiff's judgment. The residue, if any, to be deposited with the Clerk of the Court to await further order of the Court. Such sale shall be subject to the first mortgage of Mercury Mortgage Company of Tulsa.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that from and after the sale of said property, under and by virtue of this judgment and decree, all of the defendants and each of them and all persons claiming under them since the filing of the complaint herein be and they are forever barred and foreclosed of any right, title, interest or claim in or to the real property or any part thereof.


Allen E. Baron
United States District Judge

APPROVED.



ROBERT P. SANTEE
Assistant United States Attorney
Attorney for Plaintiff,
United States of America


WALDO E. JONES, II
Attorney for Defendants
James Michael Stripling and
Margaret Richardson Stripling
a/k/a Margaret Stripling

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
JOEL LEE DOUGLAS, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 73-C-155 ✓

FILED

JAN 10 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

THIS MATTER COMES on for consideration this 9th day of January, 1974, the plaintiff appearing by Robert P. Santee, Assistant United States Attorney, and the defendants, Joel Lee Douglas, Leilani Nadine Douglas, Ernest W. Long, Margaret E. Long, John H. Long, Myrtle L. Long, Ralph C. Morgan, Wanda M. Morgan, Max D. McCormick and Gladys V. McCormick, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that defendants, Joel Lee Douglas and Leilani Nadine Douglas, were served with Summons and Complaint on May 18, 1973, and with Summons and Amendment to Complaint on June 1, 1973; that defendants, Ralph E. Morgan and Wanda Morgan, were served with Summons, Complaint and Amendment to Complaint on June 1, 1973; and defendants, Max D. McCormick and Gladys V. McCormick, were served with Summons and Complaint on May 18, 1973, and with Summons and Amendment to Complaint on June 4, 1973, all as appears from the Marshal's Returns of Service herein; that the defendants, Ernest W. Long, Margaret E. Long, John H. Long and Myrtle L. Long, were served by publication as appears from the Proof of Publication filed herein on December 5, 1973, and

It appearing that the said defendants have failed to answer herein and that default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court.

The Court further finds that this is a suit based upon a mortgage note and foreclosure on a real property mortgage

securing said mortgage note and the following described real property is located in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma:

Lot Sixteen (16), Block Sixteen (16), VALLEY VIEW ACRES ADDITION to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof,

THAT the defendants, Joel Lee Douglas and Leilani Nadine Douglas, did, on the 26th day of August, 1964, execute and deliver to the Administrator of Veterans Affairs, their mortgage and mortgage note in the sum of \$9,300.00 with 5 1/2 percent interest per annum, and further providing for the payment of monthly installments of principal and interest.

The Court further finds that the defendants, Ernest W. Long and Margaret E. Long, the grantees in a deed from Joel Lee Douglas and Leilani Nadine Douglas, dated August 31, 1966, and filed in Book 3754, page 429, records of Tulsa County, wherein Ernest W. Long and Margaret E. Long assumed and agreed to pay the mortgage indebtedness being sued upon herein, and


That the defendants, Ralph C. Morgan and Wanda M. Morgan, the grantees in a deed from Ernest W. Long and Margaret E. Long, dated September 16, 1966, and filed of record in Book 3756, page 601 of the records of Tulsa County, wherein Ralph C. Morgan and Wanda M. Morgan assumed and agreed to pay the mortgage indebtedness being sued upon herein.

The Court further finds that the defendants, Joel Lee Douglas, Leilani Nadine Douglas, Ernest W. Long, Margaret E. Long, Ralph C. Morgan and Wanda M. Morgan, made default under the terms of the aforesaid mortgage note by reason of their failure to make monthly installments due thereon for more than 12 months last past, which default has continued and that by reason thereof the above-named defendants are now indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of \$8,303.79 as unpaid principal, with interest thereon at the rate of 5 1/2 percent interest per annum from January 1, 1972, until paid, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff have and recover judgment against defendants, Joel Lee Douglas and Leilani Nadine Douglas, in personam, Ralph C. Morgan and Wanda M. Morgan, in personam, and Ernest W. Long and Margaret E. Long, in rem, for the sum of \$8,303.79 with interest thereon at the rate of 5 1/2 percent interest per annum from January 1, 1972, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing, plus any additional sums advanced or to be advanced or expended during this foreclosure action by plaintiff for taxes, insurance, abstracting, or sums for the preservation of the subject property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that upon the failure of said defendants to satisfy plaintiff's money judgment herein, an Order of Sale shall be issued to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell with appraisalment the real property and apply the proceeds thereof in satisfaction of plaintiff's judgment. The residue, if any, to be deposited with the Clerk of the Court to await further order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that from and after the sale of said property, under and by virtue of this judgment and decree, all of the defendants and each of them and all persons claiming under them since the filing of the complaint herein be and they are forever barred and foreclosed of any right, title, interest or claim in or to the real property or any part thereof.


United States District Judge

APPROVED.


ROBERT P. SANTEE
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

-----X
: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :
: Plaintiff, : Civil Action No. :
: : 73-C-394 :
: -against- :
: :
: Articles of Food consisting of the :
: following: :
: 6 cartons more or less... : ORDER
: labeled in part... :
: "Biosta Glass Sprouter..." :
: etc., : **FILED**
: Defendant, : JAN 10 1974
: MIRACLE EXCLUSIVES, INC., : Jack C. Silver, Clerk
: Claimant. : U. S. DISTRICT COURT
-----X

Upon the annexed motion of Bass & Ullman, attorneys for claimant, Miracle Exclusives, Inc., it is hereby

ORDERED, pursuant to §304(b) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. 334(b), that the above-entitled action be removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, transmit a certified copy of this order, and the records in this action, to the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, pursuant to §304(f)(1) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. 334 (f)(1).

Dated: January 10, 1974

Enter


United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BUILDING MATERIAL DISTRIBUTORS,)
INC., a Missouri corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
)
H. HAROLD BECKO,)
)
)
Defendant.)

Case No. 72-C-343

FILED

JAN 10 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ACCEPTANCE OF OFFER OF JUDGMENT

TO:

H. Harold Becko, Defendant and
his attorney, Lloyd K. Holtz

Building Material Distributors, Inc. plaintiff herein
does hereby accept the defendant's offer of judgment made this 7th day
of January, 1974.

Dated this 10 day of January, 1974.



Robert L. Shepherd
SHEPHERD & MANER
Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 10 day of January,
1974, I did mail a true, correct and exact copy of the above and fore-
going Acceptance to Lloyd K. Holtz, Attorney for Defendant, Wright
Building, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 74103, with proper postage thereon fully
prepaid.


Robert L. Shepherd

BUILDING MATERIAL DISTRIBUTORS, INC.
a Missouri Corporation

vs

H. HAROLD BECKO

No. 72-C-343

FILED

JAN 10 1974


Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

J U D G M E N T

Pursuant to Rule 68 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, an offer to allow judgment to be taken against the defendant having been made by defendant and plaintiff having accepted said offer in writing, and said offer and notice of acceptance with proof of service thereof having been filed with the Clerk of this Court;

It is ordered and adjudged that plaintiff have judgment against the defendant in the amount of \$50,000.00.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, This 10th day of January, 1974.


Clerk of Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

LINE CONTRACTORS, INC.,)

Plaintiff,)

-vs-)

No. 72-C-459

MANNFORD STATE BANK,)

Additional Plaintiff,)

UNITED STATES FIDELITY &
GUARANTY COMPANY,)

Additional Plaintiff,)

-vs-)

WICKES TELEPHONE COMPANY,
INC.,)

Defendant.)

FILED

JAN 10 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

J U D G M E N T

This cause came on for hearing by agreement of the parties on this 10th day of January, 1974, at which time the plaintiff, Line Contractors, Inc., appeared by their attorney, Jesse Swift, and plaintiffs, Mannford State Bank and United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company, appeared by their attorney, David B. Sanders, and the defendant, Wickes Telephone Company, Inc., appeared by its attorney, Andrew Coats. All parties waived their right to trial by jury. The Court, after having heard and considered the statements of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds that judgment should be entered in favor of the plaintiff, United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company, and against the defendant, Wickes Telephone Company, Inc., for the sum of \$46,709.93 and in favor of Mannford State Bank and against the defendant for the sum of \$22,067.04.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the plaintiff, Line Contractors, Inc., is not entitled to recover anything from the defendant, Wickes Telephone Company, Inc., whatsoever.


BE IT FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the plaintiff, Mannford State Bank, have and recover judgment of and from the defendant, Wickes Telephone Company, Inc., for the sum of \$22,067.04.

BE IT FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the plaintiff, United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company, have and recover judgment of and from the defendant, Wickes Telephone Company, Inc., for the sum of \$46,709.93.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED AS TO FORM:


JESSE SWIFT, Attorney for
Plaintiff, Line Contractors, Inc.


DAVID H. SANDERS, Attorney for
Plaintiffs, Mannford State Bank
and United States Fidelity &
Guaranty Company.


ANDREW COATS, Attorney for
Defendant.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED
JAN 9 1974
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

OKLAHOMA GALVANIZING COMPANY, INC.,)
A corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) NO. 71-C-153
)
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Defendant.)
)
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) NO. 71-C-350
)
OKLAHOMA GALVANIZING COMPANY, INC.,)
)
Defendant.)

ORDER APPROVING DISBURSEMENT OF
FUNDS, SETTLEMENT OF CASES, AND
DISMISSAL OF SUITS

NOW, this 9TH day of January, 1974, there comes on for consideration the joint Application of the United States of America and Oklahoma Galvanizing Company, Inc., plaintiffs and defendants in the above captioned cases, which Application was filed on January 9th, 1974. Said Application seeks an Order approving the disbursement of funds deposited and presently held by the Clerk of this Court, approving settlement of the above captioned cases and the dismissal with prejudice of such causes. The Court finds that said joint Application is well taken, and the disbursement of funds in the manner and to the persons set out in said Application should be approved and ordered, that upon such disbursements the above causes should be deemed settled and dismissed with prejudice to the bringing of any further action with respect to the subject matter thereof.

NOW, IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the joint Application of the parties aforesaid filed in the above matters, be and the same is hereby approved; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, in accordance with said Application, the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma is hereby authorized and directed to disburse the funds deposited with said Clerk in the above causes as follows:

- (a) To Pat Walker, the sum of \$3,576.27, and
- (b) To Coleman Robison, the sum of \$1,200.00 as attorneys' fees, and
- (c) The United States Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, the sum of \$13,723.73, and
- (d) To Sand Springs Home, Sand Springs, Oklahoma, the sum of \$2,500.00, and
- (e) To Lewis Walker, the sum of \$1,700.00, and
- (f) To Coleman Robison, the sum of \$3,000.00 as attorneys' fees; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that on disbursement of said funds as above set out that the above styled and numbered causes shall be deemed settled and dismissed with prejudice as to the bringing of any further action with respect to the subject matter of said causes.



Allen E. Barrow
United States District Judge

APPROVED:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

BY: _____

Robert P. Santee
Assistant United States Attorney
for the Northern District of
Oklahoma

OKLAHOMA GALVANIZING COMPANY, INC.

BY: _____

Coleman Robison
FOR ROSENSTEIN, FIST & RINGOLD

Attorneys for Oklahoma Galvanizing
Company, Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

LEROY V. STEPHENS,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

BEST FORM FOUNDATIONS, INC., and
EDWIN W. GLUCKIN,

Defendants.

NO. 73-C-98

FILED

JAN 8 1974

Jack C. [unclear] Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER

The above matter comes on for hearing on the application of both plaintiff and defendants for dismissal. The Court finds that all issues have been compromised and settled between the parties and that said action is hereby dismissed with prejudice to any further or future action.



JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and)
CHARLES BEIBEL, an officer)
of the Internal Revenue Service,)
Petitioners,)

vs.)

J. B. WILSON,)

Respondent.)

Civil No. 73-C-399

FILED

JAN 8 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER DISCHARGING RESPONDENT
AND DISMISSAL

On this 8th day of January 1974, Petitioners' Motion To Discharge Respondent And For Dismissal came for hearing and the Court finds that Respondent has now complied with the Internal Revenue Service Summons served upon him September 27, 1973, that further proceedings herein are unnecessary and that the Respondent, J. B. Wilson, should be discharged and this action dismissed upon payment of \$41.96 costs by Respondent.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Respondent, J. B. Wilson, be and he is hereby discharged from any further proceedings herein and this action is hereby dismissed upon payment of \$41.96 costs by said Respondent.

151 Allen E. Barron
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

/s/ Jack M. Short
JACK M. SHORT
Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 8 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 70-C-171
)
 261.25 Acres of Land, More or) Tracts Nos. 533M & 538M
 Less, Situate in Rogers County,)
 State of Oklahoma, and Forest) (5/32 of 8/8) Lessor Interest
 Oil Corporation, et al., and) Only
 Unknown Owners,)
)
 Defendants.)

J U D G M E N T

1.

NOW, on this 7th day of January, 1974, this matter comes on for disposition on application of the Plaintiff, United States of America, for entry of judgment on a stipulation of the parties agreeing upon just compensation, and the Court, after having examined the files in this action and being advised by counsel for Plaintiff, finds:

2.

This judgment applies only to the (5/32 of 8/8) lessor interest in the estate condemned in Tracts Nos. 533M and 538M, as such estate and tracts are described in the Complaint filed in this action.

3.

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and subject matter of this action.

4.

Service of Process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on all parties defendant in this cause who are interested in subject tracts.

5.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint herein give the United States of America the right, power and authority to condemn for public use the property described in such Complaint. Pursuant thereto, on June 4, 1970,

the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of such described property, and title to the described estate in such property should be vested in the United States of America as of the date of filing the Declaration of Taking.

6.

Simultaneously with filing the Declaration of Taking, there was deposited in the Registry of this Court, as estimated compensation for the taking of a certain estate in subject tracts a certain sum of money, and all of this deposit has been disbursed, as set out below in paragraph 12.

7.

On the date of taking in this action, the owner of the lessor interest in the estate taken in subject tracts was the defendant whose name is shown in Paragraph 12 below, and such named defendant is entitled to receive the just compensation awarded by this judgment.

8.

The owner of the lessor interest in the estate taken in subject tracts and the United States of America have executed and filed herein a Stipulation As To Just Compensation wherein they have agreed that just compensation for such interest in subject tracts is in the amount shown as compensation in paragraph 12 below, and such Stipulation should be approved.

9.

This judgment will create a deficiency between the amount deposited as estimated compensation for the lessor interest in the estate taken in subject tracts and the amount fixed by the Stipulation As To Just Compensation, and the amount of such deficiency should be deposited for the benefit of the owner. Such deficiency is set out below in paragraph 12.

10.

It Is, Therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the United States of America has the right, power and authority to condemn for public use the tracts named in paragraph 2 herein, as such tracts are particularly described in the Complaint filed herein; and such tracts, to the extent of the lessor interest in

the estate described in such Complaint, are condemned, and title thereto is vested in the United States of America, as of the date of filing the Declaration of Taking, and all defendants herein and all other persons are forever barred from asserting any claim to such interest.

11.

It Is Further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that on the date of taking, the owner of the lessor interest in the estate condemned herein in subject tracts was the defendant whose name appears below in paragraph 12, and the right to receive the just compensation for the taking of such interest is vested in the party so named.

12.

It Is Further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the Stipulation As To Just Compensation, described in paragraph 8 above, hereby is confirmed; and the sum therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the lessor interest in the estate condemned in subject tracts as follows:

TRACTS NOS. 533M and 538M
(5/32 of 8/8) Lessor Interest Only

Owner: Wiser Oil Company

Award of just compensation, pursuant to Stipulation -----	\$17,000.00	\$17,000.00
Deposited as estimated compensation -----	6,924.00	
Disbursed to owner -----		<u>6,924.00</u>
Balance due to owner -----		<u>\$10,076.00</u>
Deposit deficiency -----	\$10,076.00	

13.

It Is Further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the United States of America shall deposit in the Registry of this Court, in this Civil Action to the credit of Tracts Nos. 533M and 538M, the deficiency sum of \$10,076.00, and the Clerk of this

Court then shall disburse from the deposit for the subject tracts to Wiser Oil Company, the sum of \$10,076.00.

/s/ Allen E. Barrow

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

/s/ Hubert A. Marlow

HUBERT A. MARLOW
Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 8 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 70-C-177
)
60.00 Acres of Land, More or) Tracts Nos. 821M-1 and
Less, Situate in Nowata County,) 821M-2
State of Oklahoma, and The)
Forest Oil Corporation, et al.,) (5/64 of 8/8) Lessor
and Unknown Owners,) Interest Only
)
Defendants.)

J U D G M E N T

1.

NOW, on this 7th day of January, 1974, this matter comes on for disposition on application of the Plaintiff, United States of America, for entry of judgment on a stipulation of the parties agreeing upon just compensation, and the Court, after having examined the files in this action and being advised by counsel for Plaintiff, finds:

2.

This judgment applies only to the (5/64 of 8/8) lessor interest in the estate condemned in Tracts Nos. 821M-1 and 821M-2, as such estate and tracts are described in the Complaint filed in this action.

3.

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and subject matter of this action.

4.

Service of Process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on all parties defendant in this cause who are interested in subject tracts.

5.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint herein give the United States of America the right, power and authority to condemn for public use the property described above in paragraph 2. Pursuant thereto, on June 4, 1970,

the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of such described property, and title to the described estate in such property should be vested in the United States of America as of the date of filing the Declaration of Taking.

6.

Simultaneously with filing the Declaration of Taking, there was deposited in the Registry of this Court, as estimated compensation for the taking of a certain estate in subject tracts a certain sum of money, and all of this deposit has been disbursed, as set out below in paragraph 12.

7.

On the date of taking in this action, the owner of the lessor interest in the estate taken in subject tracts was the defendant whose name is shown in paragraph 12 below, and such named defendant is entitled to receive the just compensation awarded by this judgment.

8.

The owner of the lessor interest in the estate taken in subject tracts and the United States of America have executed and filed herein a Stipulation As To Just Compensation wherein they have agreed that just compensation for such interest in subject tracts is in the amount shown as compensation in paragraph 12 below, and such Stipulation should be approved.

9.

This judgment will create a deficiency between the amount deposited as estimated compensation for the lessor interest in the estate taken in subject tracts and the amount fixed by the Stipulation As To Just Compensation, and the amount of such deficiency should be deposited for the benefit of the owner. Such deficiency is set out below in paragraph 12.

10.

It Is, Therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the United States of America has the right, power, and authority to condemn for public use the tracts named in paragraph 2 herein, as such tracts are particularly described in the Complaint filed

herein; and such tracts, to the extent of the lessor interest in the estate described in such Complaint, are condemned, and title thereto is vested in the United States of America, as of the date of filing the Declaration of Taking, and all defendants herein and all other persons are forever barred from asserting any claim to such interest.

11.

It Is Further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that on the date of taking, the owner of the lessor interest in the estate condemned herein in subject tracts was the defendant whose name appears below in paragraph 12, and the right to receive the just compensation for the taking of such interest is vested in the party so named.

12.

It Is Further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the Stipulation As To Just Compensation, described in paragraph 8 above, hereby is confirmed; and the sum therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the lessor interest in the estate condemned in subject tracts as follows:

TRACTS NOS. 821M-1 & 821M-2

(5/64 of 8/8) Lessor Interest Only

OWNER: Wiser Oil Company

Award of just compensation, Pursuant to Stipulation -----	\$7,576.00	\$7,576.00
Deposited as estimated compensation -----	6,576.00	
Disbursed to owner -----		<u>6,576.00</u>
Balance due to owner -----		<u>\$1,000.00</u>
Deposit deficiency -----	\$1,000.00	

13.

It Is Further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the United States of America shall deposit in the Registry of this Court, in this Civil Action to the credit of Tracts Nos. 821M-1 and 821M-2, the deficiency sum of \$1,000.00, and the Clerk of this

Court then shall disburse from the deposit for the subject tracts to Wiser Oil Company the sum of \$1,000.00.

of Allen E. Berrow

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

of Hubert A. Marlow

HUBERT A. MARLOW
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

JAN 7 1974

OZARK-MAHONING COMPANY,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 ENZEE TRADING COMPANY, INC.)
)
 Defendant.)

No. 72-C-394

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice submitted by the parties in the above captioned action, the Court does hereby enter its order of dismissal with prejudice.

SO ORDERED this 7th day of January, 1974.



United States District Judge

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

.....
JEFFERSON D. SOUTHERLAND . CIVIL ACTION ✓
versus . NO. 73-C-283
SEARS, ROEBUCK & COMPANY .
.....

ORDER

Considering the foregoing motion,
IT IS ORDERED that the complaint ^{AND CAUSE OF ACTION} of the plaintiff,
Jefferson D. Southerland, be, and it is hereby, dismissed,
with prejudice.

Tulsa, Oklahoma, this ^{JANUARY, 1974} 3rd day of ~~December, 1973.~~

Allen E. Barron
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

BERRY & BERRY

By James Bill Berry
623-626 Cravens Building
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102
235-4381

and

KIERR, GAINSBURGH & BENJAMIN

By Vincent J. Glorioso Jr.
VINCENT J. GLORIOSO, JR.
1718 National Bank of Commerce
New Orleans, Louisiana 70112
504, 522-2304

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CHAFFE, McCALL, PHILLIPS, TOLER & SARPY

By Harry McCall, Jr.
HARRY McCALL, JR.
1500 National Bank of Commerce
New Orleans, Louisiana 70112
504, 529-3121

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

FILED

JAN 3 1974

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

WESTRANS PETROLEUM, INC.,)
a corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
SITRIN PETROLEUM CORPORATION;)
EMPIRE OIL & GAS COMPANY;)
THOMAS V. SITRIN and LAWRENCE)
A. SITRIN, Executors of the)
Estate of Morris Sitrin, Deceased;)
THOMAS V. SITRIN, individually;)
LAWRENCE A. SITRIN, individually;)
KEPLINGER & ASSOCIATES, INC.; and)
RALPH W. JACKSON,)
)
Defendants.)

NO. 72-C-354

FILED
JAN 2 1974
Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

This cause came on to be heard upon the joint stipulation for voluntary dismissal filed by the plaintiff and defendants in the captioned cause. The Court finds that this Dismissal with Prejudice should be granted with the plaintiff, Westrans Petroleum, Inc., bearing its costs of the action and the defendants each bearing their costs of this action.

The Court further finds that the Order of Attachment issued on October, 1972, should be withdrawn and cancelled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is dismissed with prejudice to the filing of a new cause and that the plaintiff, Westrans Petroleum, Inc., and the defendants, Sitrin Petroleum Corporation, Empire Oil & Gas Company, Thomas V. Sitrin and Lawrence A. Sitrin, individually and as Executors of the Estate of Morris Sitrin, deceased, Keplinger & Associates, Inc. and Ralph W. Jackson should bear their own costs of this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Order of Attachment issued in October, 1972, is rescinded.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Orders of Attachment which issued out of and as a part of this action, dated October 12, 1972, to


- (A) United States Marshall - Northern District of Oklahoma
- (B) United States Marshall - District of Kansas at Hutchinson
- (C) United States Marshall - District of Kansas at Wichita

should be and the same are hereby withdrawn and cancelled and the Attachment Bond which was filed by the plaintiff should be and is hereby cancelled and the respective United States Marshalls in each of the districts in which the Order was served are directed to release the Order and take no further proceedings as to said Order.



JUDGE ALLEN E. BARROW
United States District Court
Northern District of Oklahoma

APPROVED AS TO FORM:



Gene L. Mortensen
Attorney for Westrans Petroleum, Inc.



John Tucker
Attorney for Sitrin Petroleum
Corporation, Empire Oil & Gas Company,
Thomas V. Sitrin and Lawrence A.
Sitrin



Robert J. Woolsey
Attorney for Keplinger & Associates
and Ralph W. Jackson