

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TRINITY UNIVERSAL INSURANCE)
COMPANY, A Corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
PUTTY TIRE & RECAPPING SERVICE,)
INC., an Oklahoma Corporation,)
Leonard F. Dirks, Myrthe M. Dirks, Ora)
Morris, and Leonard F. Dirks, Administrator)
of the Estate of H. E. Morris, deceased, a)
co-partnership, d/b/a Paris Rubber & Supply)
Company, and United States of America,)
Director of Internal Revenue,)
)
Defendants.)

NO. 71-C-227 ✓

E I L E D
OCT 27 1971 R
JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This action came on for hearing and trial before this Court on this 27th day of October, 1971, before the Court sitting without jury, all parties having made appearance herein agreeing to waive jury and to this judgment. This Court finds that the allegations of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader are true and correct, and that the Defendants named herein were all duly and properly served with summons and notice of this suit. Further, this Court finds that the Defendant, Putty Tire and Recapping Service, Inc., has filed a Disclaimer; that the United States of America, Director of Internal Revenue, is entitled to ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED TWENTY SIX AND 02/100 dollars (\$1,526.02); which includes all principal, interest, penalty; and the Court further finds that the remaining Defendants, although duly served with summons, have filed no pleadings nor have any claim within the time allowed by law to the monies deposited by Plaintiff with this court clerk. The Court further finds that Plaintiff is entitled to, and is hereby awarded the sum of ONE HUNDRED SIXTY ONE AND 48/100 dollars (\$161.48) in payment of their costs and attorney fees incurred in this action.


IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF THIS COURT that the Defendant, United States of America, Director of Internal Revenue is awarded that portion of the funds deposited with this Court, that amount to ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED TWENTY SIX AND 02/100 dollars (\$1,526.02, and the balance of said fund be awarded to Plaintiff


for its costs and attorney fees incurred herein. The remaining Defendants herein are adjudged not to be entitled to any amount due from said fund deposited by the Plaintiff, and that Plaintiff be discharged from all liability from any of the Defendants in these premises; that each Defendant herein is restrained from instituting any action against the Petitioner for the recovery of any monies due and owing by said Petitioner in these premises.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of this Court disburse said funds in accordance with the above Judgment of this Court.

APPROVALS:


Richard D. Wagner, Attorney for
Plaintiff


JUDGE


Robert Santee, Attorney for
United States of America


Hobert Brown, Attorney for
Putty Tire

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 20 1971

JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

-v-

Ronald L. Crockett, et al,

Defendants.

Civil No. 71-C-261

JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

This matter comes on for consideration this 22nd day of October 1971, the defendants, Ronald L. Crockett and Ronda M. Crockett, Bill Silver and Frances E. Silver, Long Investment Company, Donald T. Bankston, Jeannette M. Bankston, Fred A. Losey and Irma S. Losey, and Margie Markham, appearing not; and

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that due and legal personal service was served on Jeannette M. Bankston on July 20, 1971, that Bill Silver and Frances E. Silver were served with Complaint and Summons on July 21, 1971, that Mallie M. Norton and Ronald H. Mooks were served on July 26, 1971, as shown by Marshal's Service Form; that defendants, Ronald L. Crockett, Ronda M. Crockett, and Margie Markham, were served by publication service pursuant to and in accordance with the Order of this Court and shown by Proof of Publication filed herein on October 12, 1971.

The Court further finds that this is a suit based upon a mortgage note and foreclosure on a real property mortgage securing said mortgage note on the following described real property located in Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma:

Lot Thirty-nine (39), Block Forty-five (45), Valley View Acres Second Addition to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof,

The Court further finds that the material allegations of Plaintiff's Complaint are true and correct; and


That defendants, Ronald L. Crockett and Ronda M. Crockett, did, on the 6th day of March 1964 execute and deliver to the Administrator of Veterans Affairs, their mortgage and mortgage note for the sum of \$10,500 with interest thereon at the rate of 5½ per cent per annum, and further providing for the payment of monthly installments of principal and interest; and

The Court further finds that defendants, Ronald L. Crockett and Ronda M. Crockett, made default under the terms of the aforesaid mortgage note by reason of their failure to make monthly installments due thereon for more than 6 months last past, which default has continued and that by reason thereof the said defendants are now indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of \$9,348.44 with interest thereon from December 1, 1970, at the rate of 5½ percent per annum, until paid plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff, United States of America, have and recover judgment against the defendants, Ronald L. Crockett and Ronda M. Crockett, have and recover judgment for the sum of \$9,348.44 with interest thereon at the rate of 5½ percent per annum from December 1, 1970, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing, plus any additional sums advanced or to be advanced or expended during this foreclosure action by Plaintiff for taxes, insurance, abstracting, or sums for the preservation of the subject property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that upon the failure of the above named defendants to satisfy Plaintiff's money judgment herein, an Order of Sale shall issue to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell, with appraisal, the above described property and apply the proceeds thereof in satisfaction of Plaintiff's judgment. The residue, if any, to be deposited with the Clerk of the Court to await further order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that from and after sale of property, under and by virtue of this judgment and decree, all of the defendants and each of them and all persons claiming under them since the filing of the Complaint herein be and they are forever barred and foreclosed of any right, title, interest, or claim in and to said property or any part thereof.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED

ROBERT P. SANTEE
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 21 1971


JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

HARRY HOUSTON HUBBELL and)
DAVID L. FIST, Co-Executors)
of the Estate of Andrew)
Jackson Hamel, deceased,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)
)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

NO. 71-C-170

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Upon the stipulation of the parties hereto, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above entitled action is dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear its own costs.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 20 1971 ✓

JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 71-C-154 ✓

SUE PIGMAN,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
BUSINESS MEN'S ASSURANCE)
COMPANY OF AMERICA,)
)
Defendant.)

DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

The plaintiff herein acknowledges full and complete settle-
ment of all disputes with Business Men's Assurance Company of
America relating to the policy in question (to-wit: Life
Insurance Policy No. L4121948) and acknowledges that said policy
has been terminated and canceled and is no longer in force and
effect.

The plaintiff hereby dismisses this action with prejudice
to the bringing of any future actions.

Sue Pigman

Sue Pigman
George Forbes

George Forbes
Attorney for Plaintiff

*agreed to:
Richard W Noble
atly for Defendant*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ROVETTA FERGUSON,
Plaintiff,
vs.
LESLIE ALLEN ROGERS,
Defendant.

No. 70-C-359

FILED
OCT 20 1971
JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

MOTION TO DISMISS

COMES now the Plaintiff, Rovetta Ferguson, by and through her attorneys of record, Buehner & Thomas, and Elroy S. Thomas, and upon the consideration of full, final and complete compromise and settlement of all issues of both law and fact between Plaintiff and Defendant, Leslie Allen Rogers, and moves the Court to dismiss her action with prejudice to the bringing of any future action.

ROVETTA FERGUSON
BUEHNER & THOMAS

By Elroy S. Thomas
Elroy S. Thomas

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

FOR good cause shown, it is hereby Ordered that the above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to the bringing of any future action.

Luther Bohanon
LUTHER BOHANON, United States District
Judge for the Northern District of
Oklahoma.

United States District Court

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Public Service Company of Oklahoma,
a Domestic Corporation; Underwriters of
Lloyds and Associated Companies, Great
American Insurance Company, The Phoenix Insurance
Company and National ~~WX~~ Surety Company,
Plaintiffs

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 69-C-51

JUDGMENT

VS

Black & Veatch, Consulting Engineers, a partnership,
Defendant

This action came on for trial before the Court and a jury, Honorable Fred Daugherty
, United States District Judge, presiding, and the issues having been duly tried and
the jury having duly rendered its verdict, for the defendant and against the
Plaintiffs.

It is Ordered and Adjudged that the plaintiffs take nothing, that the
action is dismissed on its merits, and that the defendant, Black &
Veatch, Consulting Engineers, a partnership, recover of the plaintiffs,
its costs of action.

FILED
OCT 21 1971
JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 21st day
of October, 1971.

John H. Poe
Clerk of Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

REX CRUMPTON,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs)
)
SECRETARY OF THE NAVY, THE)
HONORABLE JOHN H. CHAFFEE)
COMMANDANT EIGHT NAVAL DISTRICT)
U. S. NAVY, RADM. ROBERT A)
MACPHESON, COMMANDER OF TULSA)
NAVAL RESERVE UNIT, TULSA,)
OKLAHOMA, LT. COMMANDER KNOX)
LEWIS,)
Defendants.)

Case No. C-71-366 ✓

FILED
OCT 21 1971 m
JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT


ORDER FOR CONSOLIDATING CASES


This matter coming on before the Honorable Allen
E. Barrow, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern
District of Oklahoma for consolidating case No. C-71-366 with Case
No. C-71-365 upon application of the Plaintiff and there being no
objection by the defendants:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Case No. C-71-366 is consolidated
with Case No. C-71-365 for trial



JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT


ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF


ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

KARL G. ZSCHACH,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	71-C-179
)	
vs.)	
)	
NATIONAL OIL & SUPPLY CO.,)	
INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER DISMISSING

The Court has for consideration the Stipulation of Dismissal filed by the parties hereto, and, being fully advised in the premises, finds:

That by virtue of said stipulation this matter has now been compromised, agreed upon and settled.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that this cause of action and complaint be and the same is hereby dismissed, the parties by stipulation having compromised, agreed upon and settled the matter.

ENTERED this 20th day of October, 1971.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

HELICOPTEROS ALIADOS DE PANAMA, S.A.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

)
)
) 69-C-37 ✓
)
)
)
)
)
)

) **FILED**
) OCT 20 1971 ✓
) JOHN H. POE, Clerk
) U. S. DISTRICT COURT


ORDER DISMISSING

The Court has for consideration the Stipulation of Dismissal signed by all parties to this litigation, and, being fully advised in the premises, finds:

That said cause of action and complaint should be dismissed.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the cause of action and complaint be and the same is hereby dismissed.


ENTERED this 19th day of October, 1971.




UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE


APPROVED AS TO FORM:


Assistant United States Attorney
For Petitioners


James G. Ellison


G. Douglas Fox
For Respondent

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA



REX KNOX, a minor, by)
VELMA ELLEN KNOX, Mother)
and Next Friend,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
GARY M. PARKER, and)
STEEL HAULERS, INC.,)
a Foreign Corporation,)
)
Defendants.)

NO. C-71-100

FILED
OCT 18 1971
JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On this 18th day of October, 1971, upon the written applica-
tion of the parties for a Dismissal with Prejudice of the Complaint and
all causes of action, the Court having examined said application, finds
that said parties have entered into a compromise settlement covering all
claims involved in the Complaint and have requested the Court to dismiss
said Complaint with prejudice to any future action, and the Court being
fully advised in the premises, finds that said Complaint should be dismissed
pursuant to said application.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that
the Complaint and all causes of action of the plaintiff filed herein
against the defendants be and the same hereby is dismissed with prejudice
to any future action.



JUDGE, DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA


APPROVAL:

LAPAN, BRADLEY & HARRIS

By: 

Attorneys for the Plaintiff,

ALFRED B. KNIGHT



Attorney for the Defendants.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 -v-)
)
 Robert V. Woods, et al,)
)
 Defendants.)

FILED
OCT 18 1971
JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Civil No. 71-C-254 ✓

JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

This matter comes on for consideration this 15 day of October 1971, the defendants, Robert J. Deffinger, Anna B. Deffinger, Robert V. Woods, Launell Lewis Woods, Jaunita Y. Woods, Donald R. Woods, Patricia Swartz, Lucille L. Young, David Swartz, Velma Florence Young, Harold E. Young, appearing not; and

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that due and legal personal service of summons has been made on Patricia Swartz, Lucille L. Young, David Swartz, Velma Florence Young on July 15, 1971; that Harold E. Young was served on July 15, 1971; that Robert J. Deffinger, Anna B. Deffinger, Robert V. Woods, Launell Lewis Woods, Jaunita Y. Woods, and Donald R. Woods were served by publication service pursuant to and in accordance with the Order of this Court and shown by Proof of Publication filed herein on October 12, 1971.

The Court further finds that this is a suit based upon a mortgage note and foreclosure on a real property mortgage securing said mortgage note and foreclosure on a real property mortgage securing said mortgage note on the following described real property located in Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma:

Lot Twenty-eight (28), Block Six (6), Suburban Acres Third Addition to the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, according to recorded plat thereof.

The Court further finds that the material allegations of Plaintiff's complaint are true and correct; and

That defendants, Robert V. Woods and Jaunita Y. Woods, did on the 6th day of July 1964 execute and deliver to the Administrator of Veterans Affairs, their mortgage and mortgage note for the sum of \$10,500 with interest thereon at the rate of 5½ percent per annum, and further providing for the payment of monthly installments of principal and interest; and

The Court further finds that defendants, Robert V. Woods and Jaunita Y. Woods, Donald R. Woods and Launell Lewis Woods, David E. Swartz and Patricia Swartz, Harold E. Young and Lucille L. Young, Robert J. Deffinger and Anna B. Deffinger, and each of them, made default under the terms of the aforesaid mortgage note by reason of their failure to make monthly installments due thereon for more than 6 months last past, which default has continued and that by reason thereof the said defendants are now indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of \$9,525.01 as unpaid principal, with interest thereon at the rate of 5½ percent per annum from December 1, 1970, until paid plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff, United States of America, have and recover judgment against the defendants, Robert V. Woods and Jaunita Y. Woods, Donald R. Woods and Launell Lewis Woods, David E. Swartz and Patricia Swartz, Harold E. Young and Lucille L. Young, Robert J. Deffinger and Anna B. Deffinger, have and recover judgment against the defendants for the sum of \$9,525.01 with interest thereon at the rate of 5½ percent per annum from December 1, 1970, plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing, plus any additional sums advanced or to be advanced or expended during this foreclosure action by Plaintiff for taxes, insurance, abstracting, or sums for the preservation of the subject property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that upon the failure of the above named defendants to satisfy Plaintiff's money judgment herein, an Order of sale shall issue to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell, with appraisement, the above described property and apply the proceeds thereof in satisfaction of Plaintiff's judgment. The residue, if any, to be deposited with the Clerk of the Court to await further order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that from and after the sale of property, under and by virtue of this judgment and decree, all of the defendants and each of them and all persons claiming under them since the filing of the Complaint herein be and they are forever barred and foreclosed of any right, title, interest, or claim in and to said property or any part thereof.

Approved,

Robert P. Santee
Assistant United States Attorney


United States District Judge

United States District Court for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 18 1971

United States of America vs. Robert V. Woods, et al,

JOHN H. POE, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 71-C-254

Judgment having been entered in the above entitled action on the 15th day of October, 1971, against Robert V. Woods, Jaunita Y. Woods, Donald R. Woods, the clerk is requested to tax the following as costs: Launell Lewis Woods, David E. and Patricia

BILL OF COSTS

Harold E. Young, Lucille Swartz, L. Young, Robert J. and Anna B. Deffinger.

Table with 2 columns: Description of costs (Fees of the clerk, Fees of the marshal, etc.) and Amount (\$ 15.00, 18.24, 20.00, 57.57, Total \$ 110.81)

State of Oklahoma County of Tulsa

ss:

I, ROBERT P. SANTEE, Assistant U.S. Attorney do hereby swear that the foregoing costs are correct and were necessarily incurred in this action and that the services for which fees have been charged were actually and necessarily performed. A copy hereof was this day mailed to Above named defendants with postage fully prepaid thereon.

Please take notice that I will appear before the Clerk who will tax said costs on 10/19/71

ROBERT P. SANTEE

Attorney for Plaintiff

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 18th day of October A. D. 19 71 at Tulsa, OK

Notary Public.

My commission expires: 5-26-75

Costs are hereby taxed in the amount of \$ 110.81 this day of October, 19 71, and that amount included in the judgment.

By

John H. Poe Clerk. Larry D. Beach Deputy Clerk.

NOTE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR AUTHORITIES ON TAXING COSTS.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ELMER DAVIS, Regional Director of the
Sixteenth Region of the National Labor
Relations Board, for and on behalf of
the NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED ASSOCIATION OF JOURNEYMEN AND
APPRENTICES OF THE PLUMBING AND PIPE
FITTING INDUSTRY OF THE UNITED STATES
AND CANADA, AFL-CIO, PLUMBERS LOCAL
UNION NO. 176,

Respondent.

Civil No. 71-C-290 ✓

FILED
OCT 18 1971
JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO RULE 41

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal
Rules of Civil Procedure, the above-entitled proceeding is hereby dismissed.

DATED at Fort Worth, Texas, this 15th day of October, 1971.

J. Joe Harris
Counsel for the General Counsel
National Labor Relations Board
Sixteenth Region
Room 8A24, Federal Office Building
819 Taylor Street
Fort Worth, Texas 76102

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

HOERNER WALDORF CORPORATION,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
SAND SPRINGS RAILWAY COMPANY)
and B & B LINES, INC.,)
)
Defendants.)

No. 71-C-281 ✓

FILED

OCT 18 1971

JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

O R D E R

This matter comes on for pre-trial this 9th day of September, 1971, pursuant to regular notice and setting. The parties are present by their respective counsel, being Kothe and Eagleton by Jerry R. Nichols for the plaintiff, Doerner, Stuart, Saunders, Daniel & Langenkamp by William C. Anderson for the Defendant Sand Springs Railway Company, and Martin E. Wyatt for the Defendant B & B Lines, Inc. And the Court having been fully advised in the premises by counsel, finds that this action should be dismissed with prejudice to its refiling.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this action be and the same hereby is dismissed with prejudice to its refiling, each party to bear its own costs.

October 14 - 1971

Arthur Bohannon
United States District Judge

APPROVED:

Jerry R. Nichols
KOTHE AND EAGLETON
Attorneys for Plaintiff

William C. Anderson

William C. Anderson

DOERNER, STUART, SAUNDERS, DANIEL & LANGENKAMP
Attorneys for Defendant Sand Springs Railway Company

Martin E. Wyatt

Martin E. Wyatt
Attorney for Defendant B & B Lines, Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FARMERS ALLIANCE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY

Plaintiff

vs

BILLY J. BRADSHAW, GERALD ELLIS, JACK T. EUBANK and AMERICAN STATES INSURANCE COMPANY

Defendants

NO. 71-C-78

FILED

OCT 18 1971

JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Comes now the plaintiff, FARMERS ALLIANCE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, through their attorney, Richard D. Wagner, and the defendants, BILLY J. BRADSHAW, through his attorney, George S. Thompson, GERALD ELLIS, through his attorney, Stan P. Doyle, JACK T. EUBANK and AMERICAN STATES INSURANCE COMPANY, through their attorney, Joseph F. Glass, and stipulate that the above captioned cause of action be dismissed with prejudice to filing a future action herein.

FARMERS ALLIANCE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY

By; Richard D. Wagner
Richard D. Wagner, Their Attorney

BILLY J. BRADSHAW

By; George S. Thompson
George S. Thompson, His Attorney

GERALD ELLIS

By; Stan P. Doyle
Stan P. Doyle, His Attorney

JACK T. EUBANK and AMERICAN STATES INSURANCE COMPANY

By; Joseph F. Glass
Joseph F. Glass, Their Attorney

ORDER

And now on this 18th day of October, 1971, there came on for consideration before the undersigned Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, stipulation

of the parties hereto of dismissal, parties hereto having advised the court that all disputes between the parties have been settled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above and foregoing cause be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to the right of the plaintiff to bring any future action arising from said cause of action.


Judge

WS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

-v-

Louis Leo Hopkins, Sr, et al,

Defendants.

Civil No. 71-C-318

JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

This matter comes on for consideration this ____ day of October 1971,
the defendants, Louis Leo Hopkins, Sr. and Carol Ann Hopkins, appearing not; and

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein
finds that due and legal personal service of summons has been made on said
defendants on September 2, 1971, and

The Court further finds that this is a suit based upon a mortgage
note and foreclosure on a real property mortgage securing said mortgage note
on the following described real property located in Tulsa, Tulsa County,
State of Oklahoma, within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma:

Lot Nineteen (19), Block Three (3), Chandler-Frutes Fourth
Addition to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma,
according to the recorded plat thereof.

The Court further finds that the material allegations of
Plaintiff's complaint are true and correct; and

That the above-named defendants did on July 16, 1968, execute and
deliver to the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs, their mortgage and mortgage
note for the sum of \$10,000, with interest thereon at the rate of 7 percent
per annum, and further providing for the payment of monthly installments of
principal and interest; and

The Court further finds that the said defendants made default under
the terms of the aforesaid mortgage note by reason of their failure to make
monthly installments due thereon for more than 12 months last past, which
default has continued and that by reason thereof the defendants are now
indebted to the Plaintiff in the sum of \$9,840.77 as unpaid principal, with
interest thereon at the rate of 7 percent per annum from September 16, 1970,
plus the cost of this action accrued and accruing.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff
have and recover judgment against the defendants, Louis Leo Hopkins, Sr. and
Carol Hopkins, for the sum of \$9,840.77 with interest thereon at the rate of
7 percent per annum from September 16, 1970, plus the cost of this action


accrued and accruing, plus any additional sums advanced or to be advanced or expended during this foreclosure action by Plaintiff for taxes, insurance, abstracting, or sums for the preservation of the subject property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that upon the failure of the defendants to satisfy Plaintiff's money judgment herein, an Order of Sale shall issue to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell, with appraisement, the above-described real property and apply the proceeds thereof in satisfaction of Plaintiff's judgment. The residue, if any, to be deposited with the Clerk of the Court to await further order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that from and after the sale of said property, under and by virtue of this judgment and decree, all of the defendants and each of them and all persons claiming under them since the filing of the complaint herein be and they are forever barred and foreclosed of any right, title, interest or claim in or to the real property or any part thereof.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED.


ROBERT P. SAFFEE
Assistant United States Attorney

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
2 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

3 AKIN DISTRIBUTORS, INC.,)
4 Plaintiff,)
5 vs.)
6 KENNETH R. EICHENAUER, et al.,)
7 Defendants.)

FILED
OCT 13 1971
JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 71-C-175

8 ORDER


9 NOW on this 15th day of October, 1971 the Court consid-
10 ered the Application of the Plaintiff requesting leave that this
11 matter be Dismissed Without Prejudice to further refile for the
12 reason that the Plaintiff has elected and wishes to refile this
13 action in the state of Arizona which is the residence of the De-
14 fendants. Court being fully advised of the premises

15 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, DECREED, AND ADJUDGED that this
16 action should be and the same as hereby Dismissed Without Prejudice
17 to refile in the state of Arizona.

18 
19 _____
20 JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
21 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLA-
HOMA

22 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

23 I, Lawrence A. Johnson do hereby certify that I mailed a
24 true and exact copy of the foregoing Order to Joel L. Wohlgemuth,
25 1414 National Building, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103, by depositing same
26 in the U. S. Mails on this the 15th day of October, 1971.

27 
28 _____
29 Lawrence A. Johnson
30
31
32

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JOSEPH BENN RIZZUTO,

Defendant.

NO. 71-CR-33
FILED
OCT 12 1971
JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

O R D E R

The Court has for consideration a letter from the defendant, Joseph Benn Rizzuto, which the Court is treating as a motion for modification or reduction of sentence pursuant to Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. After careful consideration of said motion, the Court finds that the sentence imposed was fair, (as admitted by the Defendant) lenient and proper and that said sentence should not be modified or reduced.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the motion pursuant to Rule 35 be and the same is hereby overruled.

Dated this 12th day of October, 1971, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

WAYNE HARRIS,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

RAYMOND L. COOK, DONALD L.
COOK, MARVIN COOK and JIMMY
DALE COOK, each individually and
as co-partners doing business as
COOK MANUFACTURING CO., C. J.
RICHARD and FRANK STEPP,

Defendants.

No. 71-C-328 ✓

FILED
OCT 8 1971 *W*
JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

The plaintiff having filed herein his application advising the Court that all issues of law and fact heretofore existing between the plaintiff and Raymond L. Cook, Donald L. Cook, Marvin Cook, and Jimmy Dale Cook, each individually and as alleged co-partners doing business as Cook Manufacturing Co., have been settled, compromised, released and extinguished and there remains no issue of law or fact to be determined between the parties, and applying for an Order of Dismissal with prejudice, and the Court having examined records and files in the cause, and having heard the statements of counsel for plaintiff and defendants, and being otherwise advised in the premises finds that the application should be granted.

BE IT THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that all issues of law and fact heretofore existing between the plaintiff and any and all of the defendants have been settled, compromised, released and extinguished and there remains no further issue to be determined between the parties in this cause.

BE IT FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that
plaintiff's cause or causes herein be and the same are hereby dismissed
with prejudice to all future action thereon.


JUDGE

WL:kb
10-7-71

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

LUMASIDE INC.,
a corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

H. HAROLD BECKO,

Defendant.

No. 70-C-217

FILED
OCT 8 1971
JOHN H. PGE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION WITH PREJUDICE

NOW, on this 8th day of October, 1971, upon stipulation of the parties, IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED that the above action be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice, without assessment of costs as against either party, each party to bear costs incurred in this action.



Allen E. Barrow
United States District Judge

APPROVED:

UNGERMAN, GRABEL, UNGERMAN & LEITER

By 
Attorneys for Plaintiff

WHITEBOOK & RASKIN

By 
Attorneys for Defendant

LAW OFFICES
UNGERMAN,
GRABEL,
UNGERMAN
& LEITER
SIXTH FLOOR
GHT BUILDING
A, OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ROGER R. SCOTT, BERM M.
JONES, and MRS. ANDREW B.
CARNEY

Plaintiffs,

vs

NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC
ASSOCIATION, an Association
and Joint Venture, WALTER
BYERS, WARREN BROWN and
TOM HANSEN

Defendants,

CASE NO. 71-C-357

FILED
OCT 7 1971
JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER REMANDING CASE

Upon consideration of the motion to remand herein filed by Plaintiffs, the court finds that this case has been improperly removed to this court and should be remanded to the State Court from which removed.


Defendants have removed alleging that Plaintiffs seek relief under Amendment XIV to the United States Constitution which provides that no state shall deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

Plaintiffs denied in open court that its complaint seeks relief under XIV Amendment. And examination of the complaint supports this position. Moreover, the prohibitions of the XIV Amendment are directed to the states and not private individuals. *Adickes v. S. H. Kress & Co.* (1970) 90S. Ct. 1598, 398 U.S. 144, 26 L. Ed (2d) 142.

It does not appear that this litigation involves state action denying equal protection the law but rather involves the action of private individuals.

The court finds that the controversy does not involve a federal question as claimed by the defendants in their removal petition; that this court is without jurisdiction of the controversy and the same should be remanded,

AND IT IS SO ORDERED, this 7th day of October, 1971.


U. S. District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

NORMAN WAYNE WILSON,

Petitioner,

vs.

RAY H. PAGE, Warden, Oklahoma
State Penitentiary, McAlester,
Oklahoma,

Respondent.

NO. 71-C-208

FILED

OCT 5 1971

JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

O R D E R

Habeas Corpus Petitioner, Norman Wayne Wilson, is confined in the Oklahoma State Penitentiary at McAlester, Oklahoma, serving a sentence of 40 years imprisonment upon conviction by jury of the crime of robbery with firearms after former conviction of a felony. The said conviction was affirmed on appeal and the sentence of 50 years imprisonment reduced to 40 years imprisonment, reported, Wilson v. State, Okl. Cr., 480 P.2d 938 (1970), incorporating by reference Gonzales v. State, Okl. Cr., 480 P.2d 930 (1970).

Petitioner alleges in his pro se petition for writ of habeas corpus before this Court that his United States Constitutional right to due process of law was abridged in the State proceedings in the following particulars:

1. His in-court identification was tainted through use of unduly prejudicial photographs in pre-trial identification;
2. The prosecution used improper and prejudicial trial tactics when reading the information to the jury and during the trial by referring to aliases used by the defendant; and
3. The trial Court's instructions to the jury concerning good-time credits on sentence was prejudicial.

On appeal, the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals found, based on a detailed examination of the testimony in the record, ". . . that the evidence affirmatively discloses that the courtroom identifications of the defendants were made as a result of observations by the witnesses on the night of the robbery rather than on the basis of photographs shown to them at a later date." That Court further stated ". . . we find nothing to support the conclusion that the procedure employed in

showing the photographs of the defendants was so impermissibly suggestive as to give rise to a very substantial likelihood of irreparable misidentification; . . ."

This Court has also reviewed the transcript of the trial and concurs fully with the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals in the findings set out above. The in-court identification by the witnesses had a sound basis in personal observation since each had an opportunity to view the defendant in a face to face, or close proximity, confrontation, over a period of five or more minutes in well-lighted surroundings. The record makes it clear that at trial the witnesses retained in their memories the image of the defendants in person, not of a picture. This Court finds that the identification procedure used was not such as to deny the petitioner, Norman Wayne Wilson, due process of law. *Simmons v. United States*, 390 U.S. 377 (1968); *Belton v. United States*, 429 F.2d 933 (10th Cir. 1970); *United States v. Patrick Gordon Patterson*, ___ F.2d ___ (10th Cir. 1971) 71-1044 filed August 25, 1971.

Petitioner further alleges that the prosecution used improper and prejudicial trial tactics by referring to aliases used by the defendant. Evidence of the name or names by which an accused is known may be admissible as bearing on his identity; and, as has been stated by Professor Wigmore (*Wigmore on Evidence*, 3rd Ed., § 276), "It is today universally conceded that the fact of an accused's flight, escape from custody, resistance to arrest, concealment, assumption of a false name, and related conduct, are admissible as evidence of consciousness of guilt, and thus of guilt itself." The Court finds that any prejudice to the petitioner by references in trial to aliases used by the defendant is clearly belied by the trial record, and that such references did not result in a denial of due process or a fair trial in the federal constitutional sense providing habeas corpus relief in this Court.

The Court finds, as clearly supported by the transcript of the trial, that the petitioner, Norman Wayne Wilson, was found guilty of the crime of robbery with firearms in the first stage of a jury trial. Thereafter, in the second stage of the trial regarding the sentence to be imposed, after guilt had been established, the trial Court instructed the jury of the good time credits provided for prisoners under the predecessor T. 57 O.S.A. § 138 (1970) and permitted comment thereon by counsel in the argument.

The Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals has frequently dealt with this problem, arising under the predecessor statute prior to its amendment, and has consistently held the instruction is error, but not reversible error, and that the ends of justice are served by a modification of the sentence. This Court concurs and finds that the challenged instruction on good time credits occurred in the second stage of the proceedings after guilt had been established. Therefore, the instruction did not deprive petitioner of due process, a fair trial, or equal protection of the law in the federal constitutional sense. The modification of the sentence on appeal served to correct any error that may have been committed, i.e., any increase in punishment that may have been assessed because of the instruction. The sentence as originally imposed, as well as the reduced sentence, are both within the statutory limits of punishment fixed for the crime of which the defendant had been found guilty. The punishment prescribed is not cruel and unusual. Therefore, the sentence is not a basis for habeas corpus relief in this Court. *Linebager v. State of Oklahoma*, 404 F.2d 1092 (10th Cir. 1968); *Pierce v. Page*, 362 F.2d 534 (10th Cir. 1966); *Ortiz v. Baker*, 411 F.2d 263 (10th Cir. 1969).

This Court has carefully perused the pleadings herein plus the transcript of the trial and sentence and finds that an evidentiary hearing is not required and that the petition for writ of habeas corpus of Norman Wayne Wilson should be denied.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus of Norman Wayne Wilson be and the same is hereby denied and dismissed.

Dated this 4th day of October, 1971, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MISSOURI, KANSAS AND OKLAHOMA)
COACH LINES, INC.,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
THE GREYHOUND CORPORATION AND)
GREYHOUND LINES, INC.,)
)
Defendants.)

Civil No. 68-C-59 ✓

FILED

OCT 15 1971

JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

On this 1st day of October, 1971, comes the plaintiff by its attorney, John L. Arrington, Jr., and the defendants by their attorney, R. Dobie Langenkamp, and the parties having filed a Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice of even date,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that all causes of action herein, be dismissed with prejudice, at the cost of the plaintiffs.

Arthur Behannon
Judge of the District Court

APPROVED:

John L. Arrington, Jr.
John L. Arrington,
Attorney for Plaintiff

R. Dobie Langenkamp
R. Dobie Langenkamp,
Attorney for Defendants

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

OCT 4 1971

JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JAMES D. HODGSON, Secretary of Labor)
United States Department of Labor,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
UNIVERSITY CLUB TOWER, INCORPORATED,)
MANSION HOUSE, INCORPORATED and)
KIN-ARK COMPANY, INCORPORATED,)
Defendants.)

Case No. 70-C-125

C I V I L

J U D G M E N T

The issues in the above-entitled action having been submitted, by agreement of the parties, upon their written stipulation of facts, their briefs and arguments, and due deliberation having been had, and the Court having made and filed its Memorandum Opinion on the 14th day of September, 1971, containing findings of fact and conclusions of law thereon, and denying the prayer of the plaintiff for injunctive relief and dismissing the action of the plaintiff, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the prayer of the plaintiff for injunctive relief is denied in all respects, and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the action of the plaintiff be and the same is hereby dismissed.

Dated this 5 day of October, 1971.

Fred Daugherty
FRED DAUGHERTY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED AS TO FORM:


Peter G. Nash
Peter G. Nash, Solicitor of Labor

M. J. Parmenter
M. J. Parmenter, Regional Solicitor


James E. White, Attorney

ATTORNEYS FOR JAMES D. HODGSON
SECRETARY OF LABOR,
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,
PLAINTIFF.


G. Douglas Fox


W. Thomas Coffman

GABLE, GOTWALS, HAYS, RUBIN & FOX
ATTORNEYS FOR UNIVERSITY CLUB TOWER,
INC., MANSION HOUSE, INCORPORATED,
and KIN-ARK COMPANY, INCORPORATED,
DEFENDANTS.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

VIRGIL L. SITSLER,
441-20-7249,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE SECRETARY OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION AND WELFARE,

Defendant.

No. 71-C-211 ✓

FILED

OCT 1 1971 W

JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

J U D G M E N T

This cause came on for consideration by the Court upon the Complaint of Virgil L. Sitsler complaining of the decision of the Appeals Council in reversing the decision of the Hearing Examiner entered on October 20, 1970, deciding that the plaintiff was entitled to a period of disability commencing on September 2, 1964, and to disability insurance benefits, under Sec. 216(i) and 223 respectively, of the Social Security Act, as amended.

The Court having carefully examined the pleadings and the transcript of the record and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that the decision of the Appeals Council and of the defendant, The Secretary of Health, Education and Welfare, should be remanded to the Secretary for a rehearing so as to permit and allow the plaintiff, Virgil L. Sitsler, to present such additional and further evidence in support of his claim as he may have or care to present to show himself entitled to benefits of the Act, and the Secretary after hearing and reviewing such evidence shall make such further decision as is appropriate after consideration thereof.

Dated this 30th day of September, 1971.

Arthur Robinson
United States District Judge