

United States District Court
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED
MAR 30 1971
JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

No. 71-CR-32

Henry Edward Brown

On this 30th day of March, 1971, came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared in person, and with counsel, James Fransien.

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant upon his plea of guilty,

has been convicted of the offense of having violated T. 18, U.S.C., 656, in that on or about May 6, 1969 to August 1, 1970, at Jay, Oklahoma, Northern District of Oklahoma, defendant, Henry Edward Brown, did wilfully, knowingly and in violation of law, while employed as a Cashier at Delaware County Bank, Jay, Oklahoma, which bank is insured by Federal Deposit Insurance Corp., embezzle monies, funds, and credits of said bank in the amount of \$2,169.92, to be converted to his own use and benefit, as charged in the Information.

and the court having asked the defendant whether he has anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced, and no sufficient cause to the contrary being shown or appearing to the court,

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant is guilty as charged and convicted.

IT IS ADJUDGED that imposition of sentence is hereby suspended and the defendant placed on probation for a period of Two (2) years from this date.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that during the period of probation the defendant shall conduct himself as a law-abiding, industrious citizen and observe such conditions of probation as the Court may prescribe. Otherwise the defendant may be brought before the court for a violation of the court's orders.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk deliver three certified copies of this judgment and order to the probation officer of this court, one of which shall be delivered to the defendant by the probation officer.

Approved as to forms:

ALLEN E. BARROW

United States District Judge.

Ben F. Baker

Clerk.

Ben F. Baker, Asst. U.S. Attorney

A True Copy. Certified this

30th day of March, 1971.

(Signed)

JOHN H. POE

Clerk.

(By)

Muriel Hamra

Deputy Clerk.

United States District Court
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED
MAR 30 1971
JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

No. 71-CR-49

Jose Cervantes-Guzman

On this 30th day of March, 1971 came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared in person, and with counsel, Ray Wilburn.

It is ADJUDGED that the defendant upon his plea of guilty,

has been convicted of the offense of having violated T. 18, U.S.C., 1546, in that on or about March 9, 1971, at the State Line Terminal of the Will Rogers Turnpike near Miami, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, he did wilfully, knowingly and in violation of law, possess a document required for entry into the U.S., an alien registration receipt card (Form 1-151) and did attempt to use the document near Miami, Oklahoma, on or about March 9, 1971, for admission, knowing the document was falsely made, as charged in the information, and the court having asked the defendant whether he has anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced, and no sufficient cause to the contrary being shown or appearing to the court,

It is ADJUDGED that the defendant is guilty as charged and convicted.

It is ADJUDGED that the imposition of sentence is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Six (6) months from this date, pursuant to the Federal Youth Correction Act, Title 18, U.S.C.A. 5010(a), and the condition of probation is that the defendant be turned over to the Immigration Authorities to be returned to his home in Mexico.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that during the period of probation the defendant shall conduct himself as a law-abiding, industrious citizen and observe such conditions of probation as the Court may prescribe. Otherwise the defendant may be brought before the court for a violation of the court's orders.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk deliver three certified copies of this judgment and order to the probation officer of this court, one of which shall be delivered to the defendant by the probation officer.

Approved as to form:

ALLEN E. BARROW

United States District Judge

Ben Baker

JOHN H. POE

Ben Baker, Asst. U.S. Attorney

Clerk

A True Copy Certified this 30th day of March, 1971

(Signed) JOHN H. POE

Clerk

(By)

Muriel Hamya

Deputy Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 vs. )  
 )  
 JAMES WESLEY BUTLER, )  
 )  
 Defendant. )

Criminal No. 71-CR-15

**E I L E D**

MAR 26 1971

JOHN H. POE, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

O R D E R

This matter coming on for hearing this 23rd day of March, 1971, upon the motion of the defendant, James Wesley Butler. The defendant, James Wesley Butler, being present in person and represented by his counsel, Mr. Lawrence A. McSoud, and the plaintiff being represented by Hubert Bryant, Assistant United States Attorney, and the Court finds:

That there is now pending before this Court a two count indictment against the defendant alleging various violations of Title 18, USC 2314.

That on February 4, 1971, the defendant filed by and through his counsel, a motion pursuant to Title 18, USCA, §4244, to determine (1) if the defendant is mentally competent to stand trial and assist in his defense to the charges contained within the indictment pending against him, and (2) to determine the mental responsibility of the accused at the date of the alleged offense.

That on the 11th day of February, 1971, this Court granted a hearing on the motion of the defendant for determination of mental competency and ordered that the defendant, James Wesley Butler, submit himself for examination pursuant to Title 18, USCA, §4244, to Dr. James O'Carroll, M. D. at his offices at 1145 South Utica, Tulsa, Oklahoma, for the purpose of making the determinations set forth in the defendant's motion.

The Court has before it the report and findings resulting from the examination conducted by Dr. James O'Carroll, M. D., which report is dated March 3, 1971.

The Court further finds that the plaintiff, The United States of America, and the defendant, have stipulated and agreed that the written report of Dr. James O'Carroll, M. D. may be introduced into evidence, offered as defendant's Exhibit "1", and be considered by the Court as the testimony of Dr. James O'Carroll, M. D.

That the Court has accepted the stipulation of the parties and has accepted into evidence the report of Dr. James O'Carroll, M. D., and finds from a review of the report that it is the opinion and diagnosis of Dr. O'Carroll that the defendant is paranoid schizophrenia and is presently in a state of regression.

The Court further finds it is the recommendation and opinion of the examining physician that the defendant would be unable to brief an attorney, nor would he be able to assist in his own defense to the pending charges against him in this Court.

The Court further finds that it is the recommendation and opinion of the examining physician that at the time of the alleged crime the defendant did not know the nature and quality of his act.

The examining physician further recommended that the defendant could be given proper care and treatment at a Veterans Administration Hospital and should be admitted there.

The Court further finds that the examining physician Dr. James O'Carroll, has made proper application which would allow the defendant to be immediately hospitalized at a facility operated by the Veterans Administration should the Court so order such commitment in such hospital.

The Court finds that the Assistant District Attorney, Mr. Hubert Bryant, has stated in open court that the plaintiff will move for dismissal of the pending indictment against the

defendant upon verification that the defendant has been admitted and accepted in a Veterans Administration Hospital, or any other medical facility operated by the Veterans Administration.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the defendant, James Wesley Butler, did not know the nature and quality of his acts at the time the alleged crime was committed and is not mentally competent to stand trial on the alleged indictment, nor is he mentally competent to assist an attorney in the defense of the charges against him as set forth within the indictment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant provide his own transportation and commit himself to the authorized Veterans Administration Hospital for the purpose of receiving treatment and care as recommended by the examining physician.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon verification that the defendant has been accepted and admitted in the Veterans Administration Hospital that the pending charges set forth within the indictment against the defendant are, and be, hereby dismissed.

Dated this 26 day of March, 1971.

151 Allen E. Barron  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**E I L E D**  
MAR 19 1971  
JOHN H. POE, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FRANCES COLEEN DOWDA,

Defendant.

NO. 70-CR-127

ORDER MODIFYING JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE

The Court has for consideration an Application, and an Amendment thereto, for Reduction or Modification of Sentence pursuant to Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

After careful consideration of said application and amendment, the Court finds that no benefit would be gained by further imprisonment of this defendant and that continued incarceration might prove detrimental, and that the Motion for Reduction or Modification of sentence should be sustained.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the judgment and sentence entered herein on February 23, 1971, be and it is hereby modified to read as follows:

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of thirty-four (34) months and twenty-four (24) days, and on the condition that the defendant be confined in a jail type or treatment institution for a period of twenty-four (24) days, the execution of the remainder of the sentence of imprisonment is hereby suspended and the defendant placed on probation for thirty-four (34) months.

It is the intent of this Order that this defendant, Frances Coleen Dowda, be released from jail-type or institutional custody upon the filing of this Order dated the 19th day of March, 1971, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

MAR 17 1971

JOHN H. POE, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Juan Manuel Quintanilla

No. 71-CR-30

On this 17th day of March, 1971, came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared in person, and with counsel, Ray Wilburn.

It is ADJUDGED that the defendant upon his plea of guilty,

has been convicted of the offense of having violated T. 8, U.S.C., 1324(a)(2), in that on or about March 3, 1971, at the State Line Terminal of the Will Rogers Turnpike, near Miami, Oklahoma, the defendant did wilfully, knowingly and in violation of law, transport by means of an automobile five citizens of Mexico, from Eagle Pass, Texas to Miami, Oklahoma, knowing the five persons were in the United States in violation of law knowing their last entry occurred less than 3 years prior, as charged in Cts. 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5 of the Inform. and the court having asked the defendant whether he has anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced, and no sufficient cause to the contrary being shown or appearing to the court,

It is ADJUDGED that the defendant is guilty as charged and convicted.

It is ADJUDGED that imposition of sentence in Counts One, Two, Three, Four and Five is hereby suspended and that the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Two (2) years from this date, as to each count, concurrently.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that during the period of probation the defendant shall conduct himself as a law-abiding, industrious citizen and observe such conditions of probation as the Court may prescribe. Otherwise the defendant may be brought before the court for a violation of the court's orders.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk deliver three certified copies of this judgment and order to the probation officer of this court, one of which shall be delivered to the defendant by the probation officer.

Approved as to form:

*S/Allen E. Parsons*  
United States District Judge.

*S/Hubert H. Bryant*  
Hubert H. Bryant, Asst. U.S. Atty.

Clerk.

\* Insert "by [name of counsel], counsel" or "without counsel; the court advised the defendant of his right to counsel and asked him whether he desired to have counsel appointed by the court, and the defendant thereupon stated that he waived the right to the assistance of counsel."

\* Insert (1) "guilty, and the court being satisfied there is a factual basis for the plea," (2) "not guilty, and a verdict of guilty," (3) "not guilty, and a finding of guilty," or (4) "nolo contendere," as the case may be.

\* Insert "in count(s) number" if required.

\* If sentence is imposed but execution suspended, and probation ordered, enter here (1) sentence or sentences, specifying counts if any, (2) whether sentences are to run concurrently or consecutively, and if consecutively, when each term is to begin with reference to termination of preceding term or to any outstanding or unserved sentence, (3) whether defendant is to be further imprisoned until payment of fine or fines and costs, or until he is otherwise discharged provided by law, (4) the facts regarding the suspension of the sentence or sentences and (5) the period of probation.

If sentence is suspended and probation ordered, enter here the following: "The imposition of sentence is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of \_\_\_\_\_ years from this date."

United States District Court
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America

v.

Robert Carl Rancillio

No.

71-CR-13

FILED

MAR 17 1971

JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

On this 17th day of March, 1971 came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared in person and with counsel, Rick Loewenherz.

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant upon his plea of guilty,

has been convicted of the offense of having violated T. 18, U.S.C., 2312 and 1202(a)(1), in that on or about November 15, 1970, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, he transported in interstate commerce from San Pedro, California, to Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, a stolen 1962 Mercury, he then knowing such automobile to have been stolen; and having been convicted of a felony by the Criminal Court of Record, Hillsborough, Florida, that is possession of a firearm after conviction of a felony, on March 10, 1970, did wilfully and knowingly receive and possess a firearm, that is a .12 gauge Savage automatic shotgun, Model 755, Serial No. 525639, as charged in Counts One and Two of the Indictment.

& his atty. xxxxxxxx

and the court having asked the defendant whether he has anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced, and no sufficient cause to the contrary being shown or appearing to the Court,

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant is guilty as charged and convicted.

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Count One- Five (5) years.
Count Two- Two (2) years; to run consecutively with
sentence imposed in Count One.

NOT ADJUDGED

IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the United States Marshal or other qualified officer and that the copy serve as the commitment of the defendant.

Approved as to form:

Hubert H. Bryant, Asst. U.S. Attorney

Allen E. Barron, United States District Judge

Hubert H. Bryant, Asst. U.S. Attorney

Clerk.

1Insert "by [name of counsel], counsel" or without counsel; the court advised the defendant of his rights to counsel and asked him whether he desired to have counsel appointed by the court, and the defendant thereupon stated that he waived the right to the assistance of counsel. 2Insert (1) "guilty and the court being satisfied there is a factual basis for the plea," (2) "not guilty, and a verdict of guilty," (3) "not guilty, and a finding of guilty," or (4) "nolo contendere," as the case may be. 3Insert "in count(s) number" if required. 4Enter (1) sentence or sentences, specifying counts if any; (2) whether sentences are to run concurrently or consecutively and, if consecutively, when each term is to begin with reference to termination of preceding term or to any other outstanding unserved sentence; (3) whether defendant is to be further imprisoned until payment of the fine or fine and costs, or until he is otherwise discharged as provided by law. 5Enter any order with respect to suspension and probation. 6For use of Court to recommend a particular institution.

United States District Court

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

MAR 17 1971

JOHN H. POE, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Lamuel Ray Oliver

No. 70-CR-158

On ~~the~~ the 26th day of January, 1971, came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared in person, and with counsel, Kenn Bradley.

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant upon his plea of guilty,

has been convicted of the offense of having violated T. 18 USC 2312, in that, on or about March 23, 1970, he did transport in interstate commerce two stolen motor vehicles, one Oldsmobile from Baton Rouge, Louisiana, to Miami Springs, Florida, and one Ford from Alexandria, Louisiana, to Miami Springs, Florida, and at the time of said transportation, he then knew the said motor vehicles were stolen, as charged in Counts One and Two of the Indictment.

~~as charged~~

and the court having asked the defendant whether he has anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced, and no sufficient cause to the contrary being shown or appearing to the court,

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant is guilty as charged and convicted.

IT IS ADJUDGED that the imposition of sentence in Counts One and Two is hereby suspended and that the defendant is placed on probation for a period of Three (3) years from this date, concurrently, pursuant to the Federal Youth Correction Act, Title 18, U.S.C.A. 5010(a). IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the period of probation in this case shall begin at the expiration of sentence in Criminal Case No. 70-CR-157.

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the execution of probation is hereby deferred.

NOW, on this 17th day of March, 1971, came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared in person and represented by Kenn Bradley. IT IS ADJUDGED that the probation imposed on January 26, 1971 is executed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that during the period of probation the defendant shall conduct himself as a law-abiding, industrious citizen and observe such conditions of probation as the Court may prescribe. Otherwise the defendant may be brought before the court for a violation of the court's orders.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk deliver three certified copies of this judgment and order to the probation officer of this court, one of which shall be delivered to the defendant by the probation officer.

Approved as to form:

*[Signature of Ben Baker]*

Ben Baker, Asst. U.S. Attorney

*[Signature of Allen E. Burrow]*  
United States District Judge.

Clerk.

\* Insert "by [name of counsel], counsel" or "without counsel; the court advised the defendant of his right to counsel and asked him whether he desired to have counsel appointed by the court, and the defendant thereupon stated that he waived the right to the assistance of counsel."

\* Insert (1) "guilty, and the court being satisfied there is a factual basis for the plea," (2) "not guilty, and a verdict of guilty," (3) "not guilty, and a finding of guilty," or (4) "nolo contendere," as the case may be.

\* Insert "in count(a) number" if required.

\* If sentence is imposed but execution suspended, and probation ordered, enter here (1) sentence or sentences, specifying counts if any, (2) whether sentences are to run concurrently or consecutively, and if consecutively, when each term is to begin with reference to termination of preceding term or to any outstanding or unserved sentence, (3) whether defendant is to be further imprisoned until payment of fine or fines and costs, or until he is otherwise discharged provided by law, (4) the facts regarding the suspension of the sentence or sentences and (5) the period of probation.

If sentence is suspended and probation ordered, enter here the following: "The imposition of sentence is hereby suspended and the defendant is placed on probation for a period of \_\_\_\_\_ years from this date."

United States District Court
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

MAR 17 1971

JOHN H. POE, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America

v.

Lamuel Ray Oliver

No. 70-CR-157

On the 26th day of January, 1971 came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared in person and with counsel, Kenn Bradley.

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant upon his plea of guilty,

has been convicted of the offense of having violated T. 18, U.S.C. 659, in that on or about August 5, 1968, in the Lake Charles Division of the Western District of Louisiana, he unlawfully, wilfully, and knowingly did have in his possession certain shattels of a value in excess of \$100, that is, four magnavox TV sets, which had been stolen from Houston, Texas, while moving in interstate commerce from Greenville, Tennessee, part of an original shipment from Magnavox Corp., consignor, to Color T.V. City, Houston, Texas, consignee, having been transported by the Missouri-Pacific Railway, the said defendant then knowing that the aforesaid TV sets had been stolen, as charged in the Indictment.

& his attorney

and the court having asked the defendant whether he has anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced, and no sufficient cause to the contrary being shown or appearing to the Court,

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant is guilty as charged and convicted.

IT IS ADJUDGED that the defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for treatment and supervision until discharged by the Youth Correction Act as provided by Title 18, U.S.C., Section 5010(b).

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the execution of sentence is hereby deferred.

IT IS ADJUDGED THAT

NOW, on this 17th day of March, 1971, came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared in person and represented by Kenn Bradley. IT IS ADJUDGED that the sentence imposed on January 26, 1971 is hereby executed.

IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the United States Marshal or other qualified officer and that the copy serve as the commitment of the defendant.

Approved as to form:

[Signature of Ben Baker]

[Signature of Allen E. Barron]

United States District Judge.

Ben Baker, Asst. U.S. Attorney

Clerk.

Insert "by [name of counsel], counsel" or without counsel; the court advised the defendant of his rights... (1) sentence or sentences, specifying counts if any; (2) whether sentences are to run concurrently or consecutively and, if consecutively, when each term is to begin with reference to termination of preceding term or to any other outstanding unserved sentence; (3) whether defendant is to be further imprisoned until payment of the fine or fine and costs, or until he is otherwise discharged as provided by law. Enter any order with respect to suspension and probation. For use of Court to recommend a particular institution.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
 ) Plaintiff, )  
 vs. ) No. 71-CR-9  
 )  
 JACK MONROE COLLINS, )  
 )  
 ) Defendant. )

**FILED**  
*March*  
~~12~~ 12 1971  
JOHN H. POE, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

O R D E R

After reviewing the statements of counsel for plaintiff and defendant, and after a review of the facts and circumstances in this case, the presentence report, the defendant's plea of guilty and the Court's refusal of the guilty plea, the Court finds and believes that the ends of justice would best be met by the Court's dismissal of this action.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice.

Dated this 11<sup>th</sup> day of March, 1971.

*Victor Bohannon*  
United States District Judge