

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Darrell Howard Harger,
Plaintiff,

vs.

Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad
Company, a corporation,
Defendant.

Carol Ann Harger,
Plaintiff,

vs.

Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad
Company, a corporation,
Defendant.

Consolidated

C 68-210
and
C 68-211

FILED

NOV 7 1969

M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Comenow the plaintiffs in person and by their attorneys
and move the court to dismiss the within causes with prejudice
at the cost of the defendant.

Darrell Howard Harger
Darrell Howard Harger

Carol Ann Harger
Carol Ann Harger

Frank R. Hickman and Booth & Jay
Frank R. Hickman and Booth &
Jay, their attorneys

APPROVED:
BONDS, MATTHEWS & MASON

By [Signature]
Attorneys for Defendant

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that the above entitled cause is dismissed
with prejudice.

[Signature]
U. S. District Judge

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THERESA R. MURPHY,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 ETTA STAVRES,)
)
 Defendant.)

NO. 69 - C - 15

NOV 7 1969

M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

The above matter coming on to be heard this 7 day of November,
1969, upon the written application of the parties for a dismissal of
said action with prejudice, the Court having examined said application
finds that said parties have entered into a compromise settlement cover-
ing all claims involved in the action and have requested the Court to
dismiss said action with prejudice to any future action, and the Court
being fully advised in the premises, finds that said action should be
dismissed pursuant to said application.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that
the Action of plaintiff filed herein against the defendant be and the
same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to any future action.

Lee B. Knight
JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

APPROVAL:

SAVAGE, O'DONNELL AND McNULTY

By: [Signature]

Attorneys for the Plaintiff,

ALFRED B. KNIGHT,

[Signature]

Attorney for the Defendant.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. H. O. ALFALFA MILLING COMPANY

Plaintiff,

vs.

Mr. Barry Reynolds,
d/b/a/ AG. SALES, INC.

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION
No. 69-C-224

FILED

NOV - 7 1969

M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

CONSENT DECREE AND DISMISSAL

The parties to the above identified controversy, having agreed to settlement of their differences without need for trial, consent to the following decree and dismissal.

THEREFOR, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

1. This Court has jurisdiction of this cause of action and over the parties hereto under the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Sections 13338 and 1400.
2. United States Patent No. 2,650,745 was duly and lawfully issued to Walter H. Oberwortman, assignor to W. H. O. Alfalfa Milling Company, plaintiff in this action.
3. Defendant has infringed said letters patent by the sale of forage grinders disclosed and claimed in said patent.
4. Defendant is hereby permanently enjoined from the future sale or offer of sale, of forage grinders of the type described and claimed in said letters patent, and of the type manufactured by Charles Moeller, d/b/a/ Moeller Manufacturing.
5. Each party shall bear its own costs.

DATED: Nov. 7, 1969

Allen E. Benson
United States District Judge

W. H. O. ALFALFA MILLING CO.

BARRY REYNOLDS

X

By Flora L. Linder, Secretary
Whiffard & Tolson
Robert G. Tolson
Attorney for Plaintiff

Barry Reynolds
J. M. [unclear]
Attorney for Defendant

Robert L. [unclear]
Local Counsel for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

JIM L. GARRISON,

Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 69-C-240 ✓

FILED

NOV -7 1969 *m*

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

COMES NOW the United States of America by and through its attorney,
Robert P. Santee, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District
of Oklahoma, and hereby gives notice of its dismissal with prejudice of the
captioned action. The Plaintiff, United States of America, and the Defendant,
Jim L. Garrison, have entered into a compromise settlement wherein the Plaintiff
accepts and has accepted the sum of \$1,500.00 in full settlement of the amount
due from this Defendant as claimed in this action.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

Robert P. Santee
ROBERT P. SANTEE
Assistant U. S. Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JOE HEDRICK)
)
 Plaintiff)
)
 vs)
)
 MARY ALICE BALDWIN)
)
 Defendant)

NO. 68-C-146

FILED

NOV 10 1969

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE M. W. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Comes now the plaintiff, through his attorney, Bert M. Grigg,
and the defendant, through her attorney, Joseph F. Glass, and stipulate
that the above captioned cause of action be dismissed with prejudice
to filing a future action herein.

Bert M. Grigg
Bert M. Grigg, Attorney for Plaintiff

Joseph F. Glass
Joseph F. Glass, Attorney for Defendant

ORDER

And now on this ^{10th} day of October, 1969, there came on for
consideration before the undersigned Judge of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, stipulation of the parties
hereto of dismissal, parties hereto having advised the court that all
disputes between the parties have been settled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the
above styled cause be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice
to the right of the plaintiff to bring any future action arising from said
cause of action.

Charles E. Anderson

Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

KAISER ENGINEERS & CONSTRUCTORS,)
INC., a corporation,)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

No. 69-C-136

E. RICHARD ALBERT, JR., SHOVEL)
CRANE SUPPLY EUROPA, S.p.A.,)
an Italian Corporation, EDUARDO)
NOYA di LANNOY,)

Defendants.)

FILED


NOV 14 1969

M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

MOTION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE

The above Plaintiffs respectfully show the court that Plaintiffs and Defendants have entered into a Settlement Agreement, under which Plaintiffs claim herein has been fully compromised and settled.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that an Order of Dismissal, with prejudice, be entered herein, at cost of Plaintiff.



CLYDE J. WATTS
219 Couch Drive
Okla. City, Okla. 73102

Of counsel:
WATTS, LOONEY, NICHOLS & JOHNSON

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

THIS CAUSE being heard on November 13, 1969, upon Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss, with prejudice;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action be dismissed, with prejudice to the filing of further action upon the claims set forth in Plaintiff's Complaint herein, at cost of Plaintiff.


U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Copy of above Motion and Order mailed to James L. Kincaid, 510 Oklahoma Natural Building, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119, attorney for Defendants, on November 12, 1969.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "C. J. Watts", written over a horizontal line.

CLYDE J. WATTS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THE HOUSE OF SEAGRAN, INC.,
a corporation,
Plaintiff,
vs.
GLEASON ROMANS COMPANY,
a corporation,
Defendant.

FILED
NOV 17 1969
M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JUDGMENT

On November 17, 1969, this case came on for trial or disposition before the undersigned District Judge, pursuant to previous Order of the court. Plaintiff through counsel, informed the court that its answer and best offer for Gleason Romans and that the case had been pending for a number of months because of bankruptcy proceedings that were initiated against the defendant, Gleason Romans. The court was also advised and also took judicial notice that Gleason Romans was denied a discharge in bankruptcy on July 17, 1968, in bankruptcy No. 68-0749 in the Northern District of Oklahoma. The court also took judicial notice that Gleason Romans, in that proceeding, received that the amount claimed to be due and owing by plaintiff from Gleason Romans was true and correct. The court, upon oral motion of plaintiff for summary judgment and because of defendant's failure to answer herein, was of the opinion that plaintiff should have judgment against Gleason Romans as set forth below.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ALONG AND BY THE COURT, that plaintiff, The House of Seagrain, Inc., hereby is hereby granted judgment against the defendant, Gleason Romans, dba Gleason Romans Company, in the principal sum of \$107,631.34, with interest thereon at 6% per annum from and after April 14, 1969, to November 17, 1969, and interest on the principal of \$107,631.34 plus accrued interest, at 10% per annum from and after November 17, 1969 until fully paid, plus an attorney fee in the amount of \$10,000.00 and the costs of this action.

[Signature]
District Judge

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

WALTER J. LONGLEY, ATTORNEY AT LAW

Attorney for the House of Seagrain, Inc., Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

vs.

698.00 Acres of Land, More or Less,
Situate in Osage County, Oklahoma,
and Orville A. Barnes, et al, and
Unknown Owners,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 68-C-113

Tracts Nos. 144-1 and 144-2

FILED

NOV 17 1969

M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

J U D G M E N T

1.

NOW, on this 17th day of November, 1969, this matter comes on for disposition on application of the Plaintiff, United States of America, and the defendant landowners, for entry of Judgment on the Second Report of Commissioners filed herein on May 23, 1969, and the Court, after having examined the files in this action and being advised by counsel for the parties, finds:

2.

The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of this action.

3.

This Judgment applies to the entire estate taken in all of the property involved in this action as such estate and property are described in the Complaint and the Declaration of Taking, filed herein.

4.

Service of Process has been perfected either personally or by publication notice, as provided by Rule 71A of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on all parties defendant in this cause, who are interested in subject tract.

5.

The Acts of Congress set out in paragraph 2 of the Complaint filed herein give the United States of America the right, power and authority to condemn for public use the subject property. Pursuant thereto, on May 9, 1968, the United States of America filed its Declaration of Taking of a certain estate in such tracts of land, and title to such estate should be vested in the United States of America as of the date of filing such instrument.

6.

On the filing of the Declaration of Taking, there was deposited in the Registry of this Court as estimated compensation for the taking of the subject property a certain sum of money, and all of this deposit has been disbursed as set out below in paragraph 10. Likewise the deficiency between the deposit of estimated compensation and the amount of the Commissioners' award has been deposited and disbursed to the owners as shown below in paragraph 10. The interest on such deficiency has not been deposited and an amount sufficient to pay the same should be deposited by the Plaintiff, as shown below in paragraph 10.

7.

The Second Report of Commissioners filed herein on May 23, 1969, hereby is accepted and adopted as a finding of fact as to subject tracts. The amount of just compensation for the estate taken in the subject tracts, as fixed by the Commission, is set out in paragraph 10 below.

8.

The defendants named in paragraph 10 as owners of subject tracts are the only persons asserting any interest in the estate condemned herein, all other defendants having either disclaimed or defaulted. The named defendants, as of the date of taking, were the owners of the estate condemned herein, and, as such, are entitled to receive the award of just compensation for the estate taken.

9.

It Is, Therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the United States of America has the right, power, and authority to condemn for public use the property described in the Complaint and Declaration of Taking filed herein, and such property, to the extent of the estate described in such Complaint and Declaration of Taking, is condemned, and title thereto is vested in the United States of America, as of the date of filing the Declaration of Taking, and all defendants herein and all other persons are forever barred from asserting any claim to such estate.

10.

It Is Further ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the right to receive the just compensation for the estate taken herein in subject tracts is vested in the persons named in the schedule below; the Second Report of Commissioners of May 23, 1969, is hereby confirmed and the sum therein fixed is adopted as the award of just compensation for the estate taken in subject tracts as shown by the following schedule:

TRACTS NOS. 144-1 and 144-2

Owners:

Orville A. Barnes and
Retta M. Barnes

Subject to mortgages held by:

1. The Equitable Life Assurance Society
of the United States
2. First National Bank and Trust Company
of Ponca City

Both of which mortgages now have been paid in full
and released.

Award of just compensation pursuant to Commissioners' Report - - - - -	\$375,000.00	\$375,000.00
Deposited as estimated compensation - - - - -	<u>\$282,200.00</u>	
Deposit deficiency created by award - - - - -	\$ 92,800.00	
Interest on deficiency:		
(Computed at 6% per annum from May 9, 1968 to June 3, 1969) - - - - -	\$ 5,949.37	5,949.37
Total deficiency and interest - - - - -	\$ 98,749.37	<hr/>
Total award and interest - - - - -		\$380,949.37
Deposited in payment of Deficiency on June 3, 1969 - - - - -	<u>\$ 92,800.00</u>	
Present deposit deficiency - - - - -	\$ 5,949.37	
Disbursed to owners:		
By Order June 11, 1968 - -	\$282,200.00	
By Order June 12, 1969 - -	<u>92,800.00</u>	
Total - - - - -		<u>\$375,000.00</u>
Balance due to Owners - - - - -		\$ 5,949.37

11.

It Is Further ORDERED that the Plaintiff, United States of America, shall pay into the Registry of this Court for the benefit of the owners the present deficiency in the deposit in this case in the sum of \$5,949.37.

Upon receipt of such deposit, the Clerk of this Court shall disburse from the deposit in this case, to Orville A. Barnes and Retta M. Barnes, jointly, the sum of \$5,949.37.

ALLEN E. BARROW

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

Hubert A. Marlow

HUBERT A. MARLOW
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DUNLOP TIRE & RUBBER CORPORATION,)
a corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
DOROTHY L. TRIPLETT, Administratrix)
of the Estate of Robert L. Triplett, Deceased,)
)
Defendant. .)

Civil Action
No. 68-C-240

FILED

NOV 17 1969

M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

J U D G M E N T

Now, on this 17 day of November, 1969, there

having been presented to the Court a Stipulation for Entry of Judgment,

THE COURT FINDS AND IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED,

ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Stipulation for Entry of Judgment be and the same is hereby approved and Judgment is hereby entered thereon in favor of the plaintiff, Dunlop Tire & Rubber Corporation, a corporation, and as against the defendant, Dorothy L. Triplett, Administratrix of the Estate of Robert L. Triplett, Deceased, adjudging and establishing and determining that the plaintiff has a valid Creditor's Claim in Probate No. 44604 in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, against the Estate of Robert L. Triplett, Deceased, in the sum of \$10,456.78, plus the costs of this action, which, when taxed, shall become a part of this Judgment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that, as provided by law, the defendant, as Administratrix of the Estate of Robert L. Triplett, Deceased, pay, in due course of administration, the aforesaid sum of \$10,456.78, plus the costs taxed in this action.

Dated this 17 day of November, 1969.

LAW OFFICES
UNGERMAN,
GRABEL,
UNGERMAN
& LEITER
SIXTH FLOOR
WRIGHT BUILDING
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

APPROVED:

Ungerman, Grabel, Ungerman & Leiter

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Outchins & Quethorage

Attorneys for Defendant

Fred Daugherty
Fred Daugherty,
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

U. G. Savage,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 Henry Augustin and)
 Glen Augustin, doing business)
 as Augustin Bros.,)
)
 Defendants.)

Civil Action
No. 68-C-126

FILED

NOV 17 1968

**M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

On this 17th day of November, 1968, upon the application of the parties hereto and to implement the agreement reached by the parties, it is

ORDERED by the Court that the above entitled cause be, and the same hereby is dismissed without prejudice.

Allen E. Zarrow
United States District Judge

Approved as to form

Robert Kelly
Attorneys for Plaintiff

William J. ...
Attorneys for Defendants

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 69-C-10

vs.

ROY H. HINMAN and BERNICE L. HINMAN,
husband and wife,
RUSSELL L. SIGLER;
COUNTY TREASURER, OSAGE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA;
COUNTY ASSESSOR, OSAGE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA;
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, OSAGE
COUNTY, OKLAHOMA,

Defendants.

FILED
IN OPEN COURT

NOV 17 1969

M. M. EWING
CLERK, U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOW on this 17th day of November, 1969, this cause came on for disposition, the plaintiff, United States of America, appearing by its attorney, Hubert A. Marlow, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma; the defendant, Roy H. Hinman, appearing by his attorney, the defendant, Bernice L. Hinman, appearing by her attorney, the defendants, Russell L. Sigler; Board of County Commissioners, Osage County; County Treasurer, Osage County and County Assessor, Osage County appearing not.

The Court finds that the defendants, Roy H. Hinman, Bernice L. Hinman, Russell L. Sigler, Board of County Commissioners, Osage County, County Assessor, Osage County, and County Treasurer, Osage County, have been duly served with process. The Court further finds that Roy H. Hinman, Bernice L. Hinman, Board of County Commissioners, Osage County, and County Treasurer, Osage County, have answered herein and that Russell L. Sigler has answered and disclaimed any interest in and to the property which is the subject of this foreclosure suit.

The Court further finds that it has jurisdiction of this matter by virtue of 28 U.S.C. 1345.

The Court further finds that this is a suit upon two promissory notes and for the foreclosure of two mortgages upon real estate securing said notes; that the real estate is located in Osage County, Oklahoma, and is described as follows:

The Southeast Quarter (1/4) of Section 26,
Township 20 North, Range 10 East of the Indian
Meridian, Osage County, Oklahoma.

The Court further finds that the defendants, Roy H. Hinman and Bernice L. Hinman, executed a promissory note dated March 11, 1965, in the original amount of \$39,000.00 payable to the United States of America, acting through the Farmers Home Administration, Department of Agriculture; that as security for said promissory note, the defendants, Roy H. Hinman and Bernice L. Hinman, executed and delivered to the United States of America, acting through the Farmers Home Administration, Department of Agriculture, a real estate mortgage covering the above-described property; that said real estate mortgage is dated March 11, 1965, and is recorded in Book 193, Page 14 of the records of Osage County, Oklahoma.

The Court further finds that the defendants, Roy H. Hinman and Bernice L. Hinman, executed a promissory note dated October 6, 1965, in the original amount of \$4,000.00 payable to the order of the United States of America acting through the Farmers Home Administration, Department of Agriculture; that as security for said promissory note, the defendants, Roy H. Hinman and Bernice L. Hinman, executed and delivered to the United States of America acting through the Farmers Home Administration, Department of Agriculture, a real estate mortgage covering the above-described property; that said real estate mortgage is dated October 6, 1965, and is recorded in Book 206, Page 252, of the records of Osage County, Oklahoma.

The Court having heard all of the evidence, including the notes and mortgages of the plaintiff, United States of America, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that all of the material allegations in plaintiff's Complaint and Amended Complaint are true and that the defendants, Roy H. Hinman and Bernice L. Hinman, are in default of said notes and that the plaintiff is entitled to recover judgment against the defendants, Roy H. Hinman and Bernice L. Hinman, in the amount of \$39,527.95, with accrued interest through November 8, 1968, of \$1,687.70, and interest thereafter at 5% per annum until paid, which is the amount now due and in default on the promissory note dated March 11, 1965, aforesaid; that the plaintiff is further entitled to judgment against the defendants, Roy H. Hinman and Bernice L. Hinman, in the amount of \$3,990.65 with accrued interest through November 8, 1968, of \$161.26, plus interest thereafter at 5% per annum until paid, which is the amount due and in default on the promissory note dated October 6, 1965, aforesaid; that the plaintiff is further entitled to

recover judgment against the defendants, Roy H. Hinman and Bernice L. Hinman, in the amount of \$1,002.75 for ad valorem taxes paid by the plaintiff on the subject property, and for the further sum of \$66.00 for abstracting costs, and for the costs of this action accrued and accruing.

The Court further finds that the plaintiff has a first valid and prior lien upon the real estate above described by virtue of said real estate mortgages aforesaid given by the defendants, Roy H. Hinman and Bernice L. Hinman, to secure the indebtedness due the plaintiff including interest, tax payments, and costs; and that said mortgage liens are superior to the rights of any of the defendants herein and each of them.

The Court further finds that by the terms of the mortgages aforesaid the defendants, Roy H. Hinman and Bernice L. Hinman, have waived the right to have said real estate sold with appraisement, notwithstanding the plaintiff elects to have the real estate sold with appraisal.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the plaintiff have and recover from the defendants, Roy H. Hinman and Bernice L. Hinman, a judgment for the sum of \$39,527.95 with accrued interest through November 8, 1968, of \$1,687.70, plus interest thereafter at 5% per annum until paid; and for the further sum of \$3,920.65 with accrued interest through November 8, 1968, of \$167.26, plus interest thereafter at 5% per annum until paid; and for the further sum of \$1,002.75 for ad valorem taxes, and \$66.00 for abstracting expenses, and for the costs of this action accrued and accruing; and that the plaintiff has first and prior liens against said real estate hereinabove described as security for payment of said sums.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that upon the failure of the defendants, Roy H. Hinman and Bernice L. Hinman, to satisfy plaintiff's judgment with interest and costs herein awarded, that an Order of Sale shall issue to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma commanding him to have said real property appraised, as provided by law, and to advertise and sell the same according to law and to apply the proceeds derived from said sale as follows:


- (1) To the payment of the costs of said sale and of this action.

- (2) To the payment of the judgment of plaintiff as hereinabove set out.
- (3) The balance remaining, if any, shall be held by the Clerk of this Court to await further order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that from and after the sale of the lands and tenements under and by virtue of this judgment that the defendants, Roy H. Hinman, Bernice L. Hinman, Russell L. Sigler, Board of County Commissioners, Osage County; County Treasurer, Osage County; and County Assessor, Osage County, and each of them, and all persons claiming under them, be and are forever barred and foreclosed of and from any and every lien, right, title, interest, estate or equity of, in or to said lands or tenements or any part thereof.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED AS TO FORM:


HUBERT A. MARLOW
Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DUNLOP TIRE & RUBBER CORPORATION,)
a corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
DOROTHY L. TRIPLETT,)
)
Defendant.)

Civil Action
No. 69-C-25

FILED

NOV 17 1969

M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Now, on this 17 day of November, 1969, there having
been presented to the Court a Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice in the
above action, without taxation of cost to either party,

THE COURT, Having duly considered said Stipulation, approves
the same, AND IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND
DECREED that the above cause be and the same is hereby dismissed with
prejudice to any future action thereon.

The costs shall not be taxed as against either party.

Dated this 17 day of November, 1969.

(5) Fred Daugherty
Fred Daugherty
United States District Judge

APPROVED:

Ungerman, Grabel, Ungerman & Leiter

By [Signature]
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Hutchins & Deatherage

By [Signature]
Attorneys for Defendant

LAW OFFICES
UNGERMAN,
GRABEL,
UNGERMAN
& LEITER

SIXTH FLOOR
WRIGHT BUILDING
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
vs.
RICHARD A. SMITH and HELEN B. SMITH,
Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 69-C-166

FILED

NOV 17 1969

M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

COME NOW the Plaintiff, United States of America, by and through its attorney, Robert P. Santee, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the Defendants, Richard A. Smith and Helen B. Smith, by and through their attorney, Jack C. Brown, and hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

A compromise settlement has been effected in this matter wherein the Plaintiff has agreed and agrees to accept the sum of \$350.00 in full settlement of its claim against the Defendants, Richard A. Smith and Helen B. Smith, in this matter. The parties further stipulate and agree that this action may be dismissed with prejudice based on such compromise settlement.

Dated this 12th day of November, 1969.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NATHAN G. GRAHAM
United States Attorney

Robert P. Santee
ROBERT P. SANTEE
Assistant United States Attorney

Jack C. Brown
JACK C. BROWN
Attorney for Defendants,
Richard A. Smith & Helen B. Smith

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, based on the above Stipulation, this action is dismissed with prejudice.

Nov 17, 1969.

Lee D. ...
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

GLENN M. PERRY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

)
)
) 69-C-229
)
)
)
)
)

FILED

NOV 17 1969

M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER SUSTAINING MOTION TO
DISMISS

The Court has for consideration the Motion to Dismiss filed by the defendant herein, and, the brief in support thereof, and, being fully advised in the premises, finds:

That on November 14, 1969, the plaintiff filed herein a response to the Motion to Dismiss, wherein plaintiff states that he did not submit an administrative claim to a Federal Agency, and, further, that a review of the recent cases discloses that an administrative claim is required. Plaintiff further states in said response that he does not object to a dismissal of this action, provided that same is dismissed without prejudice.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss filed by the defendant herein be and the same is hereby sustained, same having been in effect confessed by plaintiff, and that said dismissal is without prejudice to the right of plaintiff to refile this action at a later date.

ENTERED this 17th day of November, 1969.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FIRST NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST
COMPANY OF TULSA, OKLAHOMA,
TRUSTEE FOR THE JOHNSON,
DILLMAN AND WARD EMPLOYEES TRUST,

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 68-C-28

FILED

NOV 18 1969

M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

J U D G M E N T

THIS CAUSE having come on for hearing on the plaintiff's motion for summary judgment and the Court having heretofore entered its decision granting the plaintiff's motion, therefore, in conformity therewith, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff have and recover judgment of and from the defendant in the amount of \$321.64, plus interest according to law from April 17, 1967. It is

FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff shall have and recover all properly taxable costs in this matter.

ENTERED this 18th day of ^{November}~~September~~, 1969.

S/Allen E. Burrow
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank W. Abbott
FRANK W. ABBOTT

DALE OSSIP JOHNSON

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

LAWRENCE A. MCSOUD
United States Attorney

By: *Ben A. Douglas*
BEN A. DOUGLAS
Attorney, Tax Division
Department of Justice
Fort Worth, Texas 76102

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IMOGENE HOLT and)
RALPH HOLT,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)
)
DIANA STORES CORPORATION,)
)
Defendant.)

NO. 69-C-98

FILED

NOV 20 1969

M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

The above matter coming on to be heard this 20th day of November, 1969, upon the written application of the parties for a dismissal of said action with prejudice, the Court having examined said application finds that said parties have entered into a compromise settlement covering all claims involved in the action and have requested the Court to dismiss said action with prejudice to any future action, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds that said action should be dismissed pursuant to said application.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the Action of plaintiffs filed herein against the defendant be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to any future action.

s/ Allen E. Barrow
JUDGE, DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

APPROVAL:

Wayne C. Evans,
Thomas R. Brett,

By: Wayne C. Evans

Attorneys for the Plaintiffs,

Alfred M. Knight,
Alfred M. Knight

Attorney for the Defendant.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

NOV 21 1969

M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

McCULLOUGH TOOL COMPANY,)
ROBERT W. PRINGLE,)
KENNETH I. ROULSTON,)
GEORGE M. BROWNELL AND)
PHILLIP W. MARTIN,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)
)
DRESSER INDUSTRIES, INC.,)
and DRESSER SIE, INC.,)
)
Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3956

JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS

It appearing to the Court that the Special Master appointed by the Court found that McCullough Tool Company was entitled to judgment against Dresser Industries in the amount of Five Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-Nine Dollars and fifty-four cents (\$5,729.54), plus interest totaling One Thousand Two Hundred Fifty-Six Dollars and eight cents (\$1,256.08) to November 4, 1968, or a total of Six Thousand Nine Hundred Eighty-Five Dollars and sixty-two cents (\$6,985.62), for infringement of United States patent No. 2,686,266 at issue in said action, and that the Special Master made additional findings in regard thereto as follows:

"Dresser is entitled, at its election to apply the judgment rendered against it as a credit on the judgment in its favor" (in Cause No. 4271).

and further that:

"McCullough is entitled to judgment against Dresser for all damages for infringement of Patent No. 2,686,266 (being the patent involved in said Cause No. 3956) since August 10, 1954, and no others, but shall account to Pringle, Roulston, and Brownell for their share, if any, of the amount recovered."

It appearing further from a compromise agreement approved by the Referee in Bankruptcy, Arthur L. Moller, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division, in a cause entitled In the Matter of McCullough Tool Company, Debtor, in proceedings for an arrangement, No. 68-8-103, that as a full and final compromise, settlement and discharge of all claims asserted or which could properly have been asserted by McCullough in Cause No. 3956, Dresser Industries agreed to promptly deposit in the Registry of the Court in which said Cause No. 3956 is pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, the sum of Six Thousand Nine Hundred Eighty-Five Dollars and sixty-two cents (\$6,985.62) plus interest on Five Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-Four Dollars and fifty-four cents (\$5,724.54) from November 4, 1968, until deposited, which sum is Seven Thousand Two Hundred Eighty-Four Dollars and fifty-four cents (\$7,284.54), which is to be deposited for the use and benefit of the plaintiffs. Further, Dresser Industries, Inc., and Dresser SIE, Inc. (formerly Well Surveys, Inc.) have consented to the entry of judgment in the amount of Seven Thousand Two Hundred Eighty-Four Dollars and fifty-four cents (\$7,284.54), the amount agreed to be deposited.

It further appearing that McCullough has assigned to Dresser Industries its rights in such deposit, subject to the obligation of McCullough to account to the plaintiffs, Pringle, Roulston and Brownell for their share of 50% of said deposit.

It further appearing that the Court Clerk gave notice on the 16th day of September, 1969, by mailing two copies of the various motions, stipulations and proposed judgment to Robert W. Pringle, Kenneth I. Roulston, George M. Brownell and Phillip W. Martin and to the Receiver for McCullough Tool Company, and

It further appearing that Phillip W. Martin, on behalf of himself and on behalf of Robert W. Pringle, Kenneth I. Roulston, George M. Brownell, stated in a letter to the Clerk of this Court they have no objections to the proposed order but do object to any compromise agreement approved by the Referee in Bankruptcy for the United States District Court at Houston, Texas, and have requested that any orders made in the above captioned causes reflect the fact that Pringle, Roulston, Brownell and Martin have not approved or consented to the compromise agreement approved by said Referee, and

It further appearing to the Court that no other objections have been filed and that Messrs. Pringle, Roulston, Brownell and Martin do approve the entry of the judgment and the order for disbursement of funds,

THE COURT, THEREFORE, FINDS that judgment should be rendered against Dresser Industries, Inc., and Dresser SIE, Inc., as above set forth, and said funds having been deposited,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to said assignment by McCullough to Dresser that the Clerk of this Court be and he is hereby ordered to pay one-half (1/2) of said sum to Dresser Industries, Inc., and Dresser SIE, Inc., namely the sum of Three Thousand Six Hundred Forty-two Dollars and twenty-seven cents (\$3,642.27), and that he is to hold the remaining one-half, or the sum of Three Thousand Six Hundred Forty-Two Dollars and twenty-seven cents (\$3,642.27) to be paid upon further order of the Court to the plaintiffs Pringle, Roulston and Brownell.

DONE IN OPEN COURT this ____ day of October, 1969.

JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA


NOV 26 1969
M. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

RUTH J. MARTIN,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
)
LEON V. SCHMUTZ,)
)
Defendant.)

NO. 69-C-85 /

MOTION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE

COMES now the plaintiff, Ruth J. Martin, and her attorneys,
Heskett & Heskett, and Lawrence Elder, and state to the Court
that this case has been settled to the satisfaction of the parties
and moves the Court to dismiss this cause with prejudice to any
further action.

RUTH J. MARTIN
HESKETT & HESKETT
LAWRENCE ELDER
By 
Lawrence Elder
Attorney of Record
411 Mayo Building
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

ORDER DISMISSING WITH PREJUDICE

On motion of the plaintiff and her attorneys to dismiss this
cause with prejudice, advising the Court that the cause has been
settled, the Court does hereby sustain the motion of plaintiff
and orders the cause dismissed with prejudice.



Judge of the U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

NOV 26 1969
J. M. EWING, CLERK
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JACQULYN P. BRANNAN,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-)
)
 LEON V. SCHMUTZ,)
)
 Defendant.)

NO. 69-C-86

MOTION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE


COMES now the plaintiff, Jacquelyn P. Brannan, and her attorneys, Heskett & Heskett, and Lawrence Elder, and state to the Court that this case has been settled to the satisfaction of the parties and moves the Court to dismiss this cause with prejudice to any further action.

JACQULYN P. BRANNAN

HESKETT & HESKETT

LAWRENCE ELDER


BY



Lawrence Elder
Attorney of Record
411 Mayo Building
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

ORDER DISMISSING WITH PREJUDICE

On motion of the plaintiff and her attorneys to dismiss this cause with prejudice, advising the Court that the cause has been settled, the Court does hereby sustain the motion of plaintiff and orders the cause dismissed with prejudice.


Judge of the U. S. District Court