

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America,

Plaintiff

vs

School District No. 40, Osage County,
Oklahoma; Clyde Huskey, President;
Ted Plummer, Vice-President, and W. L.
Callahan, Clerk,

Defendants.

No. 2840 Civil

JUNE

1951

DECREE

ROYCE H. SAVAGE
Judge of the United States District Court

This case came on for trial on the 13th day of June, 1951, and the Court heard the evidence and argument of counsel, and on the 19th day of June, 1951, made findings and conclusions of law in writing, which are filed in this case and made a part hereof by reference.

It is, Therefore, Ordered and Decreed by the Court that the injunction sought by the plaintiff against the defendants be, and the same is hereby denied and the case dismissed and the temporary restraining order hereinbefore issued is vacated and set aside.

Made and entered this 19th day of June, 1951.

/s/ Royce H. Savage
Judge of the United States District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Farmers Insurance Exchange, by and through)
Farmers Underwriters Association, Attorney-)
in-Fact, organized under the laws of Nevada,)
Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 2859 Civil
Lonnie Clinton Palmer, Eugene Palmer, and)
Carson C. Perdue,)
Defendants.)

J U D G M E N T

This cause came on to be heard this 15th day of June, 1951, pursuant to regular assignment for trial, the said plaintiff being present by its attorney, Draper Grigsby, and the said defendants by their attorneys, George Campbell and Earl Youree; and both parties announced ready for trial, and the court proceeded to hear the evidence of witnesses and argument of counsel. And the court, being fully advised, on consideration, finds that the plaintiff has sustained the allegations of its complaint and is entitled to judgment accordingly.

IT IS, THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED by the court that the insurance policy No. 9874666 issued by plaintiff to the defendant, Carson C. Perdue, which covered the 1947 Chevrolet automobile registered in the name of Carson C. Perdue but owned by Eugene Palmer is void; and that the plaintiff is not obligated under said policy to participate in the defense of the case of Palmer vs. Palmer, District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Case No. 81538; and that plaintiff is not obligated under said policy to pay any judgment rendered against the defendant, Eugene Palmer, in said action; and that plaintiff recover the costs of this action.

/s/ Royce H. Savage
J U D G E

FILED
JUN 15 1951
NOBLE C. HOGAN
Clerk U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Petitioner

vs.

No. 2437-civil

1.718.07 acres of land, more or
 less, situate in Creek County,
 Oklahoma, and Mattie Abbett, et al

Respondents

FILED

JUN 22 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
 Clerk U. S. District Court

JUDGMENT CONFIRMING STIPULATIONS AS TO
 TRACT NO. B-7 Rev.

Now on this 22nd day of June, 1951, this matter comes on
 to be heard, and the Court, being fully advised, finds stipulations
 filed in this proceeding, fix the value of Tract No. B-7 Rev. invol-
 ved herein, as agreed upon by and between the petitioner and the re-
 spondent owners of said tract, and that said stipulations and agreed
 value should be confirmed and approved in every respect by this Court.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the
 agreed value and stipulations be, and the same are hereby confirmed and
 approved in every respect by this Court as to Tract No. B-7 Rev and in
 the following amount, to-wit:

Tract No. B-7 Rev.

Agreed Value 139,500.00
 Deposited 240,225.00

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said amount is
 final in all respects as to the fair, cash, market value of said Tract
 No. B-7 Rev., including interest and all damages of whatsoever nature.
 The Judgment on the Declaration of Taking heretofore entered herein is
 hereby reaffirmed.

(s) Rayne H. Savage
 Plaintiff

G.P.
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, Petitioner

(s) Curtis W. Harris (Trial Attorney - Inst. of Justice)

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION,
Complainant

vs

TED STAMPER,

Defendant

No. 2823 Civil

FILED
in open court
JUN 27 1951

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

NORLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

Now on this 27th day of June, 1951, the above entitled cause came on for hearing on a motion of Complainant, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, to dismiss this cause with prejudice and it appearing to the Court that the issues herein have been composed and settled, and Complainant appearing by one of its attorneys, T. Austin Gavin, and the defendant by Luther Lane, and the Court being well and truly advised in the premises

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above entitled cause be and the same is dismissed with prejudice.

Raymond H. Savage
United States District Judge

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Southern Kansas Greyhound Lines,)
a Corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 2740 Civil
)
R. E. Richey and Elton Andrews,)
)
Defendants.)

FILED

JUN 27 1951

WPALE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court


JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Now on this the 4th day of May, 1951, this cause having been heretofore consolidated for the purpose of trial with Cause No. 2762 Civil, the court proceeded to the trial of said cause upon its merits. The parties appeared by their respective counsel of record and the court having impaneled a jury, the parties having introduced all of their evidence and the jury having heard the argument of counsel and the charge of the court on the 9th day of May, 1951, returned their answers to the interrogatories submitted by the court and their verdict, and the jury by their answers to the interrogatories and their verdict found the issues of fact in favor of the plaintiff, Southern Kansas Greyhound Lines, a Corporation, on the cross-complaint filed by the defendant Elton Andrews. And the jury further by said verdict and answers to said interrogatories find in favor of the defendant Elton Andrews on plaintiff's complaint and in favor of the defendant R. E. Richey upon his cross-complaint against the plaintiff, Southern Kansas Greyhound Lines, a Corporation, and the jury by said verdict finds that said cross-petitioner, R. E. Richey, should recover against plaintiff, Southern Kansas Greyhound Lines, a Corporation, in the sum of \$22,951.00.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendant Elton Andrews take nothing upon his cross-complaint as against the plaintiff, Southern Kansas Greyhound Lines, a Corporation, and that the plaintiff


take nothing upon its petition or complaint as against the defendant Elton Andrews.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the cross-petitioner R. E. Richey have and recover judgment upon his cross-complaint against the plaintiff, Southern Kansas Greyhound Lines, a Corporation, in the sum of Twenty-two Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty-one and No/100 (\$22,951.22) Dollars. That the matter of the costs as between cross-petitioner R. E. Richey and plaintiff is reserved and will be hereafter determined by the court.



U. S. District Judge

C. K.



Attorney for Plaintiff



Attorney for Defendant

rdh/r r

page two

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION
Complainant

vs.

R. L. STAMPER,

Defendant

No. 2833 Civil

FILED

JUN 28 1951

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

NORLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

Now on this 28th day of June, 1951, the above entitled cause came on for hearing on a motion of Complainant, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, to dismiss this cause with prejudice and it appearing to the Court that the issues therein have been composed and settled, and Complainant appearing by one of its attorneys, T. Austin Gavin, and the defendant by his counsel, Dyer and Powers, and the Court being well and truly advised in the premises

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above entitled cause be and the same is dismissed with prejudice.

Royce H. Swager
United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Eva Miller Greer,
 Plaintiff,
 vs.
 United States of America,
 Defendant.

No. 2694-Civil

FILED
 MAR 10 1951

JUDGMENT

NOBLE C. HOOD
 Clerk U. S. District Court

Now on this 7th day of March, 1951, this cause came on for hearing, the plaintiff appearing in person and by Russell L. Morgan, her attorney of record, and the defendant, United States of America, appearing by Whit Y. Mauzy, United States District Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the Court having heard the evidence and testimony and having taken the same under advisement and being fully advised in the premises finds the issues in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant and that the plaintiff is entitled to recover against the defendant, Three Thousand and no/100 Dollars (\$3000.00) in accordance with the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law filed herein on the 23rd day of June, 1951, and for her costs herein expended in the amount of _____.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the plaintiff have and recover judgment against the defendant, United States of America, for the sum of Three Thousand and no/100 Dollars (\$3000.00), and her costs herein expended in the amount of _____.

W. H. Wallace
 United States District Judge

APPROVED:

Russell L. Morgan
 Attorney for Plaintiff
 OK as to form:
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

By Whit Y. Mauzy
 Whit Y. Mauzy
 United States District Attorney
 for the Northern District of
 Oklahoma.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Mamie Kerr, administratrix of the
Estate of Samuel Kerr, deceased,

Plaintiff,

vs.

United States of America,

Defendant.

No. 2732 Civil

FILED

JUN 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

This matter coming on for hearing this 21st day of June, 1951, upon the motion of the plaintiff to dismiss this cause with prejudice and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds that the parties have stipulated to compromise said cause of action and that said compromise has been approved by the Attorney General of the United States and by this court.

The court further finds that plaintiff has received from the United States of America the sum of \$20,000.00, the amount of said compromise offer, and that said cause should be dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this action be and the same hereby is dismissed with prejudice.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

George H. Savage
JUDGE

O.K.

Don H. Powers
Dyer & Powers
Attorneys for plaintiff

Phit I. Maury
United States Attorney for the
Northern District of Oklahoma
Attorney for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Dorothy Young, Administratrix of the
Estate of Alma Eiger Buckett, deceased,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 2743 Civil

United States of America,

Defendant.

FILED

JUN 11 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

This matter coming on for hearing this 4th day of June, 1951, upon the motion of the plaintiff to dismiss this cause with prejudice and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds that the parties have stipulated to compromise said cause of action and that said compromise has been approved by the Attorney General of the United States and by this court.

The court further finds that plaintiff has received from the United States of America the sum of Thirty Six Hundred (\$3,600.00) Dollars, the amount of said compromise offer, and that said cause should be dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this action be and the same hereby is dismissed with prejudice.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

John H. Young
JUDGE

O.K.

Gilmer & Kennon
Gilmer & Kennon
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Walter H. Mauzy
Walter H. Mauzy
United States Attorney for the
Northern District of California
Attorney for Defendant

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JOHN W. BROWN,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 3874
)
ST. LOUIS-SAN FRANCISCO RAILWAY)
COMPANY, a corporation,)
)
Defendant.)

FILED
NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER REMANDING CASE TO STATE COURT

Plaintiff's motion to remand heretofore heard and submitted to the Court for consideration and plaintiff appearing by Geo. P. Striplin and defendant appearing by Doerner, Hinchert & Stuart by H. B. Moreland, and the Court having considered said motion and the argument of counsel and being of the opinion that said cause should be remanded;

IT IS ORDERED that said motion be, and the same is hereby granted that this cause be, and the same is hereby remanded to the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, for further proceedings.

Royce P. ...
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,

Defendant,

vs.

No. 2834 Civil

One 1947 Chevrolet Panel Truck,
Motor No. APCA581682, One 1950
Chrysler Club Coupe, Motor No.
C-49-14571; 75.7750 gallons of
assorted taxpaid liquor and Leo-
nard C. Reynolds,

Claimants.

FILED

JUL 5 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

AMENDMENT TO JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOW on this 5th day of July, 1951, it being shown to the court that in the Journal Entry of Judgment herein filed on the 19th day of June, 1951, the court ordered the 1947 Chevrolet Panel Truck, Motor No. APCA581682, delivered to the administrator of General Services for its official use, upon their application heretofore filed herein and it being shown to the court that in said application the administrator of General Services made application to the court that said Chevrolet Panel Truck be delivered to the District Supervisor, Alcohol Tax Unit, Bureau of Internal Revenue, Treasury Department, Kansas City, Missouri, the Journal Entry of Judgment should be amended and corrected reflecting delivery of the forfeited Chevrolet Panel Truck.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court that a forfeiture herein bearing the same is hereby allowed as to the 1947 Chevrolet Panel Truck, Motor No. APCA581682, and the administrator of General Services of the United States having heretofore filed herein application for delivery of said seized automobile pursuant to Title III, Section 202 of the Liquor Law Federal and Enforcement Act, 48 Stat. 860 (U.S.C. T. 40, Sec. 3041), and the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, 63 Stat. 377 (48 U.S.C. (Suppl. III) 201), the Chevrolet Panel Truck herein is ordered delivered to the District Supervisor, Alcohol Tax Unit, Bureau of Internal Revenue, Treasury Department Kansas City, Missouri.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

W. H. ...
JUDGE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Petitioner

vs.

823.94 acres of land, more or
less, situate in Geage County,
Oklahoma, and Earl Boulanger,
et al,

Respondents

NO. 2726-Civil

FILED

JUL 5 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JUDGMENT CONFIRMING COMMISSIONERS' AWARDS
AS TO CERTAIN TRACTS

Now on this 5th day of July, 1951, this matter comes on to be heard upon the application of petitioner herein for confirmation of the Report of Commissioners filed in this proceeding as to certain tracts involved herein, and the Court, being fully advised, finds that more than sixty days have elapsed since the commissioners filed their report herein and that all demands for jury trial have been dismissed as to said tracts and that said Commissioners' Awards should be confirmed and approved in every respect by this Court as to the hereinafter set out tracts of land.

The Court further finds that deposits were made under a Declaration of Taking filed in this proceeding, and that deficiencies exist, all as set out hereinafter.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Report of Commissioners be, and the same is, hereby confirmed and approved in every respect by this Court as to the hereinafter set out tracts of land involved in this proceeding and in the following amounts, to-wit:

Tract No. P-8

Commissioners' Award	\$ 775
Deposited	775

Tract No. P-11

Commissioners' Award	\$ 850
Deposited	750
Deficiency	\$ 100

Tract No. F-19

Commissioners' Award	\$ 4720
Deposited	4500
Deficiency	\$ 220

Tract No. F-21

Commissioners' Award	\$ 7500
Deposited	7250
Deficiency	\$ 250

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said amounts are final in all respects as to the fair, cash, market value of said tracts, including interest and all damages of whatsoever nature. The Judgment on the Declaration of Taking heretofore entered herein is hereby reaffirmed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the United States of America, petitioner herein, deposit the sum of \$570.00 into the Registry of this Court to cover the deficiencies all as set out hereinabove.

Raymond H. Savage
JUDGE

O.K.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Petitioner
By Curtis F. Harris
Trial Attorney, Department of Justice

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ERLON ROYBY, Special Agent Roy E.
MARTIN, an insane and incompetent
person,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT IRVING OLK, ,

Defendant.

No. ²⁸⁸²~~4887~~ Civil

ORDER REMANDING CASE TO STATE COURT

NOBLE D. LECHE
Clerk U.S. District Court

On the twenty-ninth day of June, 1951, the motion of plaintiff to remand this suit to the Superior Court of Creek County, State of Oklahoma, Bristow Division, came on for hearing pursuant to regular setting; and the Court, having been advised by the pleadings, affidavits, argument of counsel, upon consideration finds that the defendant, Robert Irving Olk, has been since 1947, and at the time of the institution of this suit, a resident and citizen of the State of Oklahoma, and that said motion to remand should be sustained.

It is, therefore, ordered that the motion of plaintiff to remand this case to the Superior Court of Creek County, State of Oklahoma, Bristow Division be, and the same is, hereby granted and this cause is hereby remanded to the Superior Court of Creek County, State of Oklahoma, Bristow Division for further proceedings.

Raymond H. Swage

ROYCE H. SWAGE
JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

To: Tom Wallace
Mopulpa, Oklahoma

Lawrence Jones,
Bristow, Oklahoma

Cheek, Cheek & Cheek
707 Commerce Exchange Bldg.
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

City of Tulsa, a municipal corporation,)
)
) Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) No. 2762 Civil)
)
 Southern Kansas Greyhound Lines, Inc.,)
)
) Defendant.)

FILED

JUL 9 1951

NOBLE G. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Now on this 4th day of May, 1951, this cause having been heretofore consolidated for the purpose of trial with Cause No. 2740 Civil, the court proceeded to the trial of said cause upon its merits. The parties appeared by their respective counsel of record and the court having impaneled a jury, the parties having introduced all of their evidence and the jury having heard the argument of counsel and the charge of the court, on the 9th day of May, 1951, returned in open court their answers to the interrogatories submitted to the jury by the court and their verdict finding the facts in this case in favor of the defendant Southern Kansas Greyhound Lines, Inc.,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff, City of Tulsa, a municipal corporation, take nothing and that the defendant, Southern Kansas Greyhound Lines, Inc., have judgment and its costs herein expended.

C. K.

W. R. Wallace
U. S. District Judge

Max J. Jackson
Attorney for Plaintiff

R. D. Hudson
Attorney for Defendant

rdh/mr

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Alco Lead Corporation,
a corporation,

Plaintiff

vs

Leo Bennett, Roy M. Hunter, Sue D.
Hunter, A. C. Thomas, Randall
Drilling Company, a corporation,
Toby Harper, J. E. Brown, Willis T.
Sperry, Louis H. Martin, J. W.
Blackenship,

Defendants

Civil No. 2881

FILED

JUL 16 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court


ORDER TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE

This order came on to be heard on Plaintiff's motion for voluntary dismissal WITH PREJUDICE of the cause of action against Willis T. Sperry, one of the defendants in the above named cause, and it appearing that the Defendant, Willis T. Sperry, has not pleaded any counterclaim, it is ordered that the plaintiff's motion be granted. The action against Willis T. Sperry, one of the defendants in the above mentioned cause is hereby dismissed on the merits and WITH PREJUDICE to any further suits on the claims of the plaintiff, and with costs to the plaintiff.

787 Royce H. Savage
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

J. W. Hastain
First National Building
Tulsa, Oklahoma

Attorney for Plaintiff,
Alco Lead Corporation



Albert G. Kulp
512 South Cheyenne
Tulsa, Oklahoma

Attorney for Defendant,
Willis T. Sperry

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

INDIAN OILERS COMPANY, a corporation,
Plaintiff,

vs.

ELSON BUCKLE, INC., a corporation,
and J. W. ...
Defendants

No. 2628-Civil

FILED

JUL 16 1951

NORLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 13 day of July, 1951, plaintiff and
defendants herein, submitted to the Court and filed herein their
Stipulation for Dismissal of plaintiff's action, and of defendants'
counterclaim, and the Court being fully advised in the premises.

It is ORDERED that plaintiff's action against
defendants, and defendants' counterclaim against plaintiff in-
corporated in defendants' answer, each be, and the same is
hereby dismissed.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the costs of this action be
assessed one-half against plaintiff and one-half against
defendants.

Boyce H. Savage
JUDGE OF UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT OF NORTH DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

APPROVED:
[Signature]
Plaintiff's Attorney
[Signature]
Defendants' Attorney

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, SS:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

SEAL/

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

(Civil No. 2662)

GREETING:

Whereas, lately in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between United States of America, plaintiff, and Mrs. George Lework, defendant, No. 2662, Civil, the judgment of the said district court in said cause, entered on November 3, 1950, was in the following words, viz:

* * * * *

"Wherefore, It Is Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed by the court that the plaintiff herein take nothing by reason of said action, and that the defendant pay the court costs incurred herein."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by United States of America, agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears:

AND WHEREAS, at the May Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty-one, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from the said district court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this court that the judgment of the said district court in this cause be and the same is hereby reversed and that this cause be and the same is hereby remanded to the said district court on authority of United States vs. Fogaley, decided by this court June 7, 1951.

--June 7, 1951

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such further proceedings be had in said cause, in conformity with the opinion and judgment of this court as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had.

WITNESS, the Honorable FRED M. VINSON, Chief Justice of the United States, the 13th day of July, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty-one.

/s/ Robert B. Cartwright
Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals,
Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
TENTH CIRCUIT
MAY TERM, 1951

United States of America, Appellant,

vs.

Mrs. George Lework, Appellee

M A N D A T E

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, SS:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

/SEAL/

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

GREETING:

Whereas, lately in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between United States of America, plaintiff, and Mrs. Louis Fogaley et vir, Louis Fogaley, defendants, No. 2672, Civil, the judgment of the said district court in said cause, entered on November 6, 1950, was in the following words, viz:

* * * * *

"It Is Therefore Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed by the Court that the defendants are hereby directed to make restitution to Don F. Williams in the sum of \$45.00, and the costs of this action are hereby taxed against the defendants."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by United States of America, agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears:

AND WHEREAS, at the May Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty-one, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from the said district court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this court that this cause be and the same is hereby remanded to the said district court with instructions to vacate the judgment, permit the Fogaleys to introduce evidence of damages wrongfully caused by the tenant and enter an order of restitution in accordance with equitable principles and practices and giving due consideration to the public interest.

--June 7, 1951.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such further proceedings be had in said cause, in conformity with the opinion and judgment of this court as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had.

WITNESS, the Honorable FRED M. VINSON, Chief Justice of the United States, the 13th day of July, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty-one.

/s/ Robert B. Cartwright
Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals
Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
TENTH CIRCUIT

May Term, 1951

United States of America, Appellant

vs.

Mrs. Louis Fogaley et vir,
Louis Fogaley, Appellees

MANDATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, SS:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

/SEAL/

GREETING:

Whereas, lately in the United States District Court for
t
the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you
in a cause between United States of America, plaintiff, and
Evelyn Turk et al., defendants, No. 2673, Civil, the judgment
of the said district court in said cause, entered January 21,
1951, was in the following words, viz:

* * * * *

"It is therefore ordered, adjudged and
declared that this cause be and the same is
hereby dismissed, and plaintiff shall take
nothing by virtue of its complaint filed
herein."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said
District Court, which was brought into the United States Court
of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by United
States of America, agreeably to the act of Congress, in such
case made and provided, fully and at large appears:

AND WHEREAS, at the May Term, in the year of our Lord one
thousand nine hundred and fifty-one, the said cause came on to
be heard before the said United States Court of Appeals, on the
transcript of the record from the said district court and was
argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and
adjudged by this court that the judgment of the said district
court in this cause be and the same is hereby reversed and
that this cause be and the same is hereby remanded to the said
district court on authority of United States vs. Fogaley, de-
cided by this court June 7, 1951.

--June 7, 1951.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such further proceedings be had in said cause, in conformity with the opinion and judgment of this court as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had.

WITNESS, the Honorable FRED M. VINSON, Chief Justice of the United States, the 13th day of July, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty-one.

/s/ Robert B. Cartwright
Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals
Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
TENTH CIRCUIT

May Term, 1951

United States of America, Appellant

vs.

Morrie Simons, et al., Appellees.

MANDATE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NO. 100

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Robert Stewart,

Defendant.

Number 1014 CIVIL.

ORDER OF DISCONTINUANCE

ROBERT L. COOPER
Chief U. S. District Court

On, and this 18th day of July, 1960, the plaintiff appeared, by
Walter Y. Brady, United States Attorney, and John L. Sedore, Assistant U. S.
Attorney, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and stated to the Court
that The Attorney General of the United States has directed that said action
be dismissed, and the defendant having appeared said the costs to the clerk
of this court,

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED BY THE COURT that said action be discontin-
ued.

J. E. Kemmerer
District Judge.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 PETITIONER,)
)
 -vs-)
)
 JOE HODGES MOVING & WAREHOUSE COMPANY,)
 ET AL.,)
)
 RESPONDENTS)

NO. 1382 - CIVIL

FILED

JUL 18 1951

COURT ORDER VACATING PORTION OF JUDGMENT

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ON THIS 17 DAY OF JULY, 1951, THE COURT HEARS A MOTION FILED HEREIN BY BERTHA HODGES, SURVIVING WIDOW OF JOE HODGES, DECEASED, FROM WHICH IT APPEARS THAT IN CONNECTION WITH THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF THIS COURT IN THIS CAUSE ON JANUARY 15, 1945, FORFEITING 375 BAGS OF CHERRY BLOSSOM SOY BEAN FLOUR, AN ORDER WAS ALSO ENTERED WHICH APPEARS TO REQUIRE THE JOE HODGES MOVING & WAREHOUSE COMPANY TO PAY THE COSTS OF THIS PROCEEDING IN THE SUM OF \$29.24; AND IT APPEARING FROM THE MOTION FILED BY BERTHA HODGES, AND FROM ALL OF THE RECORDS IN THIS CASE, AND IT BEING AGREED BY THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, THAT JOE HODGES, AT THE TIME OF SAID PROCEEDING AND JUDGMENT, THE SOLE OWNER AND PROPRIETOR, AS AN INDIVIDUAL, OF JOE HODGES WAREHOUSE IN TULSA, OKLAHOMA, WAS NEVER SERVED WITH SUMMONS OR PROCESS OF ANY KIND IN SAID PROCEEDINGS, AND THAT THE COURT HAD NO JURISDICTION IN SAID CASE TO ENTER JUDGMENT FOR COSTS, OR PERSONAL JUDGMENT OF ANY KIND AGAINST JOE HODGES OR AGAINST JOE HODGES MOVING & WAREHOUSE COMPANY; AND THEREFORE, THE COURT FINDS THAT THE SAID JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF THE COURT, IN SO FAR AS IT PRETENDS TO CONSTITUTE A JUDGMENT AGAINST SAID PERSON OR COMPANY, WAS INADVERTENTLY ENTERED AND SHOULD BE VACATED.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT SUCH PORTION, OR PORTIONS OF THE JUDGMENT HERETOFORE ENTERED HEREIN BY THE COURT, ON JANUARY 15, 1945, OR AT ANY OTHER TIME, APPEARING OR PRETENDING TO CONSTITUTE A PERSONAL JUDGMENT AGAINST JOE HODGES OR JOE HODGES MOVING AND WAREHOUSE COMPANY FOR COSTS, OR OTHERWISE, IN ANY AMOUNT, OR AN ORDER REQUIRING SUCH PERSON OR COMPANY TO MAKE PAYMENT OF ANY SUCH SUM, IS BY THE COURT VACATED, ANNULLED AND SET ASIDE.

15p John M. McCune
Asst U. S. Atty.

14 J. E. Kinnamer

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Petitioner)

-vs-)

823.94 acres of land, more or less,)
situate in Chase County, Oklahoma,)
and "vart Boulanger", et al,)

Respondents)

No. 2728-Civil

FILED

JUN 24 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JUDGMENT AS TO TRACT NO. 2-28

Now on this 15th day of June, 1951, this matter comes on to be heard, pursuant to regular assignment; the petitioner, United States of America, appearing by and through Curtis W. Harris, and Joan M. Crue Trial Attorneys for the Department of Justice; and the respondent owner of said tract, No. 2-28, Ben H. Hurst, appearing in person and by and through his counsel, Ross and Ross;

Both parties announcing ready for trial, and a jury being duly waived by both sides; evidence was introduced and arguments of counsel heard; and the Court, being fully advised in the matter, finds that the fair, cash, market value of said Tract No. 2-28 is in the total sum of \$6,000.00.

The Court further finds that the road marked on Exhibit "A" attached to the Declaration of Taking filed in this proceeding, as "air road" crossing Tract No. 2-28 is treated and regarded in this suit as a public highway for all purposes with standard width of 66 feet.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the fair cash, market value of Tract No. 2-28 involved in this proceeding be, and the same is hereby fixed in the total sum of \$6,000.00, including interest

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STANDARD STEEL FABRICATING COMPANY,
a corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE M. W. KELLOGG COMPANY, a
corporation, and

THE TEXAS COMPANY, a corporation,

Defendants.

No. 2513


NOBLE C. SCOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

D E C R E E

Pursuant to the mandate received from the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, which mandate has been filed and spread of record in this Court,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the judgment heretofore entered in this cause be and the same is hereby vacated and set aside; that the plaintiff Standard Steel Fabricating Company, take nothing in this action against the defendants, The M. W. Kellogg Company and The Texas Company; that the defendants, The M. W. Kellogg Company and The Texas Company, do have and recover judgment against the plaintiff, Standard Steel Fabricating Company; and that the defendants, The M. W. Kellogg Company and The Texas Company, have and recover of and from the plaintiff, Standard Steel Fabricating Company, their costs herein and have execution therefor.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this the 25 day of July, 1951.


United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Lillian Calvert,

Plaintiff,

v.

Mildred Pugh, an individual and
Mildred Pugh, Executrix of the
Estate of Joel Sawyer Pugh,
deceased,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 2801

~~FILED~~

JUL 25 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

J U D G M E N T

This cause came on for decision on the 25 day of July, 1951. The Court having heretofore heard the evidence and argument of counsel and having filed herein Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, it was ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff have and recover judgment against the defendants for possession of the following United States Savings Bonds, to-wit:

U. S. Bond Series E dated June 27, 1945, maturity value \$100.00 issued to Joel S. Pugh payable on death to Woodford B. Calvert,

One U. S. Savings Bond Series E dated June 15, 1944, maturity value \$50.00 issued to Joel S. Pugh, payable on death to Mrs. Lillian Calvert,

One U. S. Savings Bond Series E dated September 13, 1943, maturity value \$50.00 issued to Joel S. Pugh payable on death to Mrs. Lillian Calvert,

Four U. S. Savings Bonds Series D dated December 20, 1939, maturity value \$1,000.00 each issued to Joel S. Pugh, payable on death to Mrs. Lillian Calvert,

Four U. S. Savings Bonds Series D dated May 17, 1939, maturity value \$1,000.00 each, issued to Joel S. Pugh, payable on death to Mrs. Lillian Calvert,

Two U. S. Savings Bonds Series C dated August 1938, maturity value \$1,000.00 each issued to Joel S. Pugh, payable on death to Mrs. Lillian Calvert.

and the defendant, Mildred Pugh, an individual and Mildred Pugh, as Executrix of the Estate of Joel Sawyer Pugh, deceased, is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED forthwith to deliver possession of

said bonds to the plaintiff.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED and DECREED that concurrently with the delivery of said bonds to plaintiff, plaintiff shall pay to the defendant, Mildred Pugh, as Executrix of the Estate of Joel Sawyer Pugh, deceased, the sum of \$65.84, the amount of estate tax due the State of Oklahoma on said bonds.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED and DECREED that the counter-claim heretofore filed herein by the defendants be and the same hereby is dismissed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED and DECREED that plaintiff have judgment against the defendant, Mildred Pugh, as Executrix of the Estate of Joel Sawyer Pugh, for the costs of this action.

/s/ ROYCE H. SAVAGE
District Judge.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) Number 2854 Civil.
)
)
Leonard Burgess,)
)
Defendant.)

FILED

JUL 25 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JUDGMENT

Now, on this 25th day of July, 1951, plaintiff appearing by writ
Y. Maury, United States Attorney, and John E. McCune, Assistant United States
Attorney, for the Northern District of Oklahoma; and the defendant, Leonard
Burgess, appearing by his attorney, Earl Boyd Pierce, having advised the
court that said defendant had no defense to said action and having consented
to the rendition of judgment in favor of the plaintiff; the court, after hav-
ing heard the evidence and having examined the files and records, finds:

That the following described property, located in LeFlore County,
Oklahoma:

The SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$, the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$, and
the E $\frac{1}{2}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$, less about 4.5 acres
in the Northeast Corner, in Section 7; and the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of
the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$, less two acres; and 12 acres in the North-
west Corner of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 8; all being in
Township 41 North, Range 20 East,

was purchased in trust for the Cherokee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma under
provisions of the Indian Reorganization Act and the Oklahoma Indian Welfare
Act, with the title to the said property being taken by the United States.

The Court Further Finds that the defendant, Leonard Burgess, without
permission of the Secretary of the Interior or the Area Director, Mustang
Area Office, or the Executive Committee of the Cherokee Tribe of Indians, is
now trespassing on the above described lands, and is unlawfully holding

possession of the same and interfering with the supervision and control thereof by the Secretary of the Interior and his agents.

The Court further finds that said defendant should be removed from said premises and restrained from interfering with the supervision and control thereof by the Secretary and his agents.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECIDED BY THE COURT that the United States of America have judgment against the defendant, George Burgess, for the immediate possession of:

The NW¹/₄ of the NE¹/₄, the SE¹/₄ of the NE¹/₄ of the NE¹/₄, and the E¹/₂ of the NE¹/₄ of the NE¹/₄, less about 4.5 acres in the Northeast Corner, in Section 7; and the SE¹/₄ of the SW¹/₄ of the NE¹/₄, less two acres; and 16 acres in the NE Corner of the NW¹/₄ of the SW¹/₄ of Section 8, all in Township 21 North, Range 22 East, Delaware County, Oklahoma.

that said defendant be permanently enjoined and restrained from interfering in any way with the possession, management, use and control of said property, and that the Clerk of this Court issue a writ of assistance to the United States Marshal to put the plaintiff in possession of said land, and that plaintiff have judgment for the costs of this action.

Royce H. Savage

District Judge.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

SHELL PIPE LINE CORPORATION,
a corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

E. D. WOFFORD,

Defendant.

No. 2907 CIVIL

FILED

JUN 2 1961

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

TO E. D. WOFFORD:

Please take notice that the above entitled action is hereby dismissed.

Jesse M. Davis

JESSE M. DAVIS
P. O. Box 1191
Tulsa 2, Oklahoma

Gordon Watts

GORDON WATTS
P. O. Box 1191
Tulsa 2, Oklahoma

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF,
SHELL PIPE LINE CORPORATION.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Number 2347 Civil.

Hell Davidson; the Heirs,
Executors, Administrators,
Devisees, Trustees and
Assigns, Immediate and
remote, of W. C. Davidson,
deceased, H. B. Davidson;
and the State of Oklahoma,

Defendants.

FILED

AUG 6 1951

NOBLE G. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

NOW, on this 3rd day of August, 1951, the plaintiff having moved the court to dismiss said action, and it appearing to the court that the attorney general of the United States has directed that said action be dismissed;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED BY THE COURT that the above-styled action be dismissed at the cost of the plaintiff.

(S) F. S. Kennerly

DISTRICT JUDGE.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Petitioner

vs.

No. 2844-Civil

113.26 acres of land, more or less,
situate in Rogers County, Oklahoma,
and Joe Wickliffe, et al,

Respondents

FILED

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

J U D G M E N T

Now on this ^{1st} ~~27th~~ day of ^{Aug} ~~July~~, 1951, this matter comes on to be heard, and the Court, being fully advised, finds that an option contract, introduced in evidence, fixes the value of a certain tract involved in this proceeding, as agreed upon by and between the petitioner herein, and the respondent owner of said tract and that said option contract and agreed value should be confirmed and approved in every respect by this Court.

The Court further finds that deposit has heretofore been made under a Declaration of Taking filed herein and that no deficiency or over-deposit exists as to said tract.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said option contract and agreed value be, and the same are hereby, confirmed and approved in every respect by this Court as to the following tract of land and in the following amount, to-wit:

Tract No. A-4

Agreed value. \$525.00

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said amount
is final in all respects as to the fair, cash, market value of said tract
of land, including interest and all damages of whatsoever nature.

Loyce H. Savage

JUDGE

O.K.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Petitioner

By *W. Curtis P. Harris*

Trial Attorney - Dept. of Justice

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Petitioner

vs.

113.26 acres of land, more or less,
situate in Rogers County, Oklahoma,
and Joe Wickliffe, et al.

Respondents

No. 2844-Civil

FILED

AUG 1 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER FIXING TITLE

Now on this ^{7th} ~~27th~~ day of ^{July} ~~July~~, 1951, this cause came on to be heard, pursuant to due notice given, and the Court, having been fully advised in the premises, finds that the full fee simple title in and to the lands involved in this proceeding, subject, however, to existing easements for public roads and highways, public utilities, railroads and pipe lines, was, at the time of taking by the petitioner, vested as follows, to-wit:

Tract No. A-2

10.00 acres in a square in the SE corner of Lot 1, SE/4 NE/4 and 1/2 SW/4 NE/4 of Section 2, Township 22 North, Range 15 East of the I.M., situate in Rogers County, Oklahoma, and containing 70.00 acres, more or less.

Title vested in Joe Wickliffe and Joan Wickliffe Scuggins

Tract No. A-4

Lot 1 of Section 2, Township 22 North, Range 15 East of the I.M., situate in Rogers County, Oklahoma, and containing 21.12 acres, more or less.


Title vested in Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma

Tract No. A-5

Lot 1 less 10.00 acres in a square in the SE corner of Section 2, Township 22 North, Range 15 East of the I.M., situate in Rogers County, Oklahoma, and containing 22.24 acres, more or less.

Title vested in Kenneth Wallis, Russell Wallis, F. J. Wallis and Gertrude Wallis

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the title to the above described real estate and estates therein taken by these proceedings, was at the time of taking by the petitioner herein vested in the persons hereinabove set out, and said persons are entitled to receive just compensation for the taking of said lands in these proceedings, Such right to receive the just compensation is subject to the paramount lien and payment of any and all taxes due, assessable and payable, of whatever nature.



JUDGE

That the said defendant, having been duly sworn to
admit to the truth of the facts and circumstances of the
above-captioned case and that he is a resident of the
County of ... State of ... and that he is duly qualified to
be sworn to the truth of the facts and circumstances of the
above-captioned case, being the only person who is the
sole owner of the ... and that this case is and should be
duly heard as to all parties.

151 Royce H. Savage
July 11, 1934

Approved:

July 11, 1934

Signed: B. W. GRIFFIN

Attorney for Plaintiff,
The ... Company

William Bovee & Mc Dermott
By H. Fenlon Bovee
Attorneys for Defendant,
The ... Company

Doernel Rinehart & Stuart
by Dickson M. Sanders
Attorneys for Defendant,
The ... Company

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE FIDELITY AND CASUALTY COMPANY OF
NEW YORK, a Corporation,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

J. M. O'REILLY, JOHN JAMES IRVINE,
ROBERT PITCHER, and SCRIVNER-STEVENS
COMPANY, a corporation,

Defendants.

No. 2946-Civil

FILED

SEP 4 1951

WOLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This cause came on for trial in its regular order on this 25th day of June, 1951, at which time the parties appeared by their respective attorneys of record. The plaintiff put on its evidence and rested, and the defendants put on their evidence and rested, and thereupon the Court took this matter under advisement for decision to the ^{4th} day of ~~August~~ ^{Sept.}, 1951, at which time the Court, after being fully advised in the premises and having given due consideration to this cause, finds that the plaintiff's Complaint states a good and sufficient cause of action against the defendants, and finds that the allegations thereof are true, and that this Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter hereof and of the parties hereto. The Court further finds the issues in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants and each of them, and plaintiff is entitled to have and recover a declaratory judgment, decreeing that there is no liability whatsoever on the part of the plaintiff arising out of its policy No. WF 966537, to the defendants and each of them.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the plaintiff have and recover a declaratory judgment against the defendants and each of them, and it is hereby and by these presents adjudicated and determined that there is no liability arising out of plaintiff's policy No. WF-966537 to defendants and each of them, and

that there is no obligation or duty whatsoever upon the part of the plaintiff to defend the defendant, JOHN JAMES IRVINE, on his behalf and in his name against the claims and suits of the defendant, J. M. O'REILLY, and that there is no liability upon the part of the plaintiff to pay any judgment, if any, recovered by the defendant, J. M. O'REILLY, against the defendant, JOHN JAMES IRVINE.

done in open Court this 4th day of Sept, 1951.

JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

O. K. AS TO FORM:

David H. Sanders
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Carl H. Livingston
CARL H. LIVINGSTON

Attorney for Defendant, J. M. O'REILLY

GABLE, GOTWALS & HAYS

By: G. Ellis Gable

Attorneys for Defendant, JOHN JAMES IRVINE

PIERCE, RUCKER, MOCK, TABOR & DUNCAN

By: [Signature]

Attorneys for Defendant, SCRIVNER-STEVENS
COMPANY, a Corporation.

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

3
4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

5 Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 2866

6
7 KEEF, INC.; DRESSER INDUSTRIES,
8 INC.; and CLARENCE J. COBERLY,

9 Defendants.

ORDER FOR CHANGE **FILED**

OF VENUE

SEP 4 1951.

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

10
11 WHEREAS, it appearing that for the convenience of parties and
12 witnesses, and in the interest of justice, the above-entitled civil action
13 should be transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern
14 District of California, Central Division, where the action might have been
15 originally brought, and for good cause shown,

16 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECIDED THAT:

17 In accordance with the provisions of Section 1404(a) of Title 28,
18 United States Code, the above-entitled civil action be, and it hereby is,
19 transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District
20 of California, Central Division;

21 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of this Court shall
22 forthwith remove from the file of said action and return to counsel for
23 defendants "Defendants' Motion to Transfer Action" and "Defendants' Brief
24 in Support of Motion to Transfer", and promptly thereafter certify a copy
25 of all other pleadings, documents and papers on file herein, including this
26 Order, and transmit the same to the clerk of the United States District
27 Court for the Southern District of California, Central Division.

28 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants' time to plead or
29 otherwise move with respect to the Complaint on file herein be and thereby
30 is extended to and including a date twenty (20) days after this action shall
31
32

HARRIS, KIECH, FOSTER & HARRIS
321 SUBWAY TERMINAL BUILDING
417 SOUTH HILL STREET
LOS ANGELES 13, CALIFORNIA
MADISON 6-8251

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

have been transferred to and on file in the United States District Court for
the Southern District of California, Central Division.

Dated: Sept 4th, 1951

Rayne H. Savage
United States District Judge

HARRIS, KIECH, FOSTER & HARRIS
321 SUBWAY TERMINAL BUILDING
417 SOUTH HILL STREET
LOS ANGELES 13, CALIFORNIA
MADISON 8-5251

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Petitioner)

-vs-

No. 2791-Civil

94.16 acres of land, more or less,
situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma,
and Clyde H. Kurlibert, et al,

FILED

Respondents)

SEP 1 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER FIXING TITLE AS TO
TRACT NO. 1923

Now on this 4 day of Sept., 1951, this matter comes on to be heard upon the application for an order setting aside the order fixing title entered by the Court on May 14, 1951, as to Tract No. 1923 involved in this proceeding, and the Court, being fully advised in the matter finds that said order fixing title should be set aside and vacated insofar as same applies to Tract No. 1923; and that the title to said Tract No. 1923 should be fixed as follows: Louise Grass, now Jones - 2/3; Isaac Grass - 1/6; and Ella Mae Grass now Toolate - 1/6;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Order fixing Title heretofore entered by this Court on the 14th day of May, 1951, be, and the same is hereby set aside and vacated insofar as it applies to Tract No. 1923 involved in this proceeding;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that title to Tract No. 1923 is vested as follows; to-wit:

- Louise Grass, now Jones. 2/3
- Isaac Grass. 1/6
- Ella Mae Grass now Toolate . . 1/6

Joyce H. Savage
JUDGE

G.K.
United STATES OF AMERICA, Petitioner

By Carter C. Harris
Trial Attorney-Dept. of Justice
Clyde Bussey

(U.S. Trial Attorney, Indian Affairs)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

NOBLE JONES,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NELSON CHEVROLET SALES, Inc.
a corporation,

Defendant.

No. 2405 Civil

FILED

SEP 6 1951

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clark U. S. District Court

Came now the plaintiff, Noble Jones, and the Defendant, Nelson Chevrolet Sales, Inc., a corporation, and hereby stipulate that the above and foregoing action be dismissed, with prejudice to any further action, for the reason that the same has been fully settled and compromised between the parties.

Henry W. Brown Jr.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Robert T. Shepherd
Attorney for defendant

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Royce H. Savage
District Judge

File No. 1549-Civil

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Appellant,
v.
JOSEPH F. BREYER, JR.,
Appellee.

No. 4251
Our Case
No. 1549-Civil

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Appellant,
v.
JOHN P. RHODES,
Appellee.

No. 4252
Our Case
No. 1554-Civil

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Appellant,
v.
JOHN R. BOLING,
Appellee.

No. 4253
Our Case
No. 1640-Civil

STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL

The parties hereto, through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate that:

WHEREAS, an offer in compromise of the issues involved in these proceedings has been accepted by the Attorney General; and

WHEREAS, the issues in these proceedings are fully compromised and settled and the questions have become moot;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS AGREED that:

1. These appeals filed on behalf of the United States may be dismissed, with prejudice; and

SEAL

SEP 1 1951 *JH*

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

2. That costs be not assessed or taxed against any of the parties to these appeals.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Appellant.

By *W. C. Clegg*
Assistant Attorney General

Jay W. Whilney
Attorney for Appellee

Frank Little
Attorney for Appellee

A. Roenke
Attorney for Appellee

A true copy,
TESTE:

Robert B. Brantingham
Clerk.

Filed August 31, 1951.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Charles L. Reed,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Petroleum Engineers Producing
Corporation,

Defendants.)

NO. 2921
CIVIL

FILED

SEP 7 1951

ORDER

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

This matter came on for hearing on September 7th, 1951, upon plaintiff's motion to remand, with the parties present by their attorneys of record. After argument of counsel and the court being fully advised in the premises, it is,

ORDERED that plaintiff's Motion to Remand be and it is hereby sustained and said cause is remanded to the District Court of Nowata County, Oklahoma.

Royce H. Savage
DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. R. GRIMSHAW, SR.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

H. C. JONES, COLLECTOR
OF INTERNAL REVENUE,

Defendant.

No. 2701 Civil

FILED


SEP 3 1951

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE


NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court


The above case having been compromised,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the same be dismissed with
prejudice.


JUDGE

APPROVED:


Attorneys for Plaintiff


Attorney for Defendant

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CIVIL CASE NO. 2791

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff

vs.

JUDGMENT CONFIRMING COMMISSIONERS'
AWARDS AS TO CERTAIN TRACTS

FILED

94.16 acres of land, more or less,
situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma,
and Clyde H. Harlburt, et al,

Defendants

SEP 17 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

Now on this 17th day of September, 1951, this matter comes on to be heard upon the Application of plaintiff herein for confirmation of the Report of Commissioners filed herein as to certain tracts of land involved in this proceeding, and the Court, being fully advised in the matter, finds that no demands for jury trial have been filed and that more than 60 days have elapsed since the commissioners' report was filed herein as to said tracts and that said Report of Commissioners should be confirmed and approved in every respect by this Court as to said tracts.

The Court further finds that deposits were made under a Declaration of Taking filed herein and that no deficiencies exist as to said tracts as set out hereinafter.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECIDED that said Report of Commissioners be, and the same is hereby, confirmed and approved in every respect as to the following tracts and in the following amounts, to-wit:

Tract No. 1923

Commissioners' Award \$750.00
Deposited \$750.00

Tract No. HR-1777-A

Commissioners' Award \$150.00
Deposited \$150.00

IT IS TO THEM ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said amounts are final in all respects as to the fair, cash, market value of said tracts, including interest and all damages of whatsoever nature. The Judgment on Declaration of Taking heretofore entered in this proceeding is hereby reaffirmed.

(s) George H. Savage
JUDGE

O.E.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff
by Curtis P. Davis
Trial Attorney - Department of Justice

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FEDERAL LIFE-INSURANCE CORPORATION,
Complainant

vs.

VERNIE WILLIS,

Defendant

No. 2825
KRM:Q

SEP 13 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clark U. S. District Court

JOURNAL ENTRY

This cause coming on to be heard on the 7th day of September, 1951, being one of the regular court days of this Court, on the Motion of the Complainant for a default judgment, and having been regularly set for hearing on this date, and Complainant appearing by one of its counsel, T. Austin Gavin, and the defendant appearing in person, and having informed the counsel for Complainant that he had no defense and that he did not desire to make any statement or explanation to the Court, and the Court finding that he has jurisdiction of said cause and of the defendant, and that said Motion should be sustained, and being well and truly advised in the premises,


IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Motion of Complainant for a Default Judgment be, and the same is hereby sustained.

IT IS, ACCORDINGLY, FURTHER THE ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE OF THE COURT that the Complainant do have and recover of and from said defendant, Vernie Willis, a judgment in the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars, together with interest thereon at the rate of six (6%) per cent per annum from the

28th day of February, 1949 until paid, and for all of costs accrued and accruing, for all of which let execution issue.


United States District Judge

A copy of the foregoing was on this 5th day of September, 1951 deposited in the United States Mail with postage prepaid, addressed to Mr. Vernie Willis, Pryor, Oklahoma.


T. Austin Gavin
One of Complainant's Attorneys

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EDITH LEONARD HATCH,

Plaintiff

-vs-

LEMOINE S. HATCH, JR.,

Defendant

NO. *244 Civil*

FILED

SEP 13 1951

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

NOW on this 7th day of September, 1951, this cause comes on for hearing before the undersigned Judge on plaintiff's motion for default judgment. Plaintiff appeared by Robert J. Woolsey, one of her attorneys, and the defendant appeared not, and the Court having heard statement of counsel, finds:

That defendant was personally served with summons in the above action on the 3rd day of July, 1951, and has not filed an Answer or pleading in said cause. The Court further finds that all the allegations in plaintiff's Petition are true and that this Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of the action and that diversity of citizenship exists. The Court further finds that on the 23th day of June, 1945, the defendant, Lemoine S. Hatch, Jr., in a case in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, where he was plaintiff and the said Edith Leonard Hatch was defendant, procured a Judgment for absolute divorce and that under said judgment there is due Edith Leonard Hatch, present plaintiff, the sum of Seven Thousand and Seventy-Five (\$7,075.00) Dollars principal, together with interest at the rate of 6% per annum on the unpaid monthly payments due under the judgment.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that plaintiff have and recover judgment against the defendant in the sum of Seven Thousand and Seventy-Five (\$7,075.00) Dollars, together with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum as follows: 6% interest on the monthly payment due

November, 1947, to the date of this judgment and then interest at 6% on each installment thereunder as said payments for each month and each month thereafter until paid, and for her costs herein laid out and expended.

(s)

Spence H. Savage
JUDGE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

LuVelle Didiak, a minor, by
her mother and next friend,
Joyce Shaw,

Plaintiff,

vs.

The Standard Insurance Company,
a corporation,

Defendant.

No. 2876 Civil.

(RECORDED)

SEP 28 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JUDGMENT.

This case comes regularly on for hearing on this 7th day of September, 1951, upon the motion of the plaintiff for a summary judgment in her favor and against the defendant and upon the motion of the defendant for a summary judgment in its favor and against the plaintiff. Both parties appear by their respective counsel of record.

The Court, upon consideration of the allegations and admissions of the pleadings herein, the deposition of the plaintiff in support of her motion and in opposition to defendant's motion, defendant's affidavit attached to its motion in support thereof and in opposition to plaintiff's motion, and the written stipulation of facts of the parties on file herein, together with the written briefs filed prior to this date by the respective attorneys for each party and the oral argument of counsel this day, finds and concludes that there is no material and

genuine issue of fact between plaintiff and defendant and that defendant is entitled to judgment in its favor as a matter of law upon the issues herein; that plaintiff's motion for summary judgment should be overruled and defendant's motion for summary judgment should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that:

(1) The motion of plaintiff for summary judgment in her favor and against the defendant be and the same is hereby overruled.

(2) The motion of defendant for summary judgment in its favor and against the plaintiff be and the same is hereby sustained.

(3) That judgment be and hereby is rendered in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff, and that plaintiff take nothing by this action.

(4) It is declared, adjudicated and decreed that the contract of insurance made by the defendant with Earl S. Lothrop under date February 15, 1951, covering a 1941 Oldsmobile, Model 94 Fordor Sedan, Motor No. L-395088, for a term of one year, be and the same is hereby declared void as of date of issue and that defendant be and hereby is declared to have no liability thereon to the plaintiff.

(5) The costs of this action are assessed against the plaintiff.

(s) James H. Savage
JUDGE.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

Also Lead Corporation,
a corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs

Civil No. 2981

Leo Bennett, Roy M. Hunter,
Sue D. Hunter, A.C. Thomas,
Randall Drilling Company, a
corporation, Toby Harper,
J.H. Brown, Willis T. Sperry,
Louis H. Martin, J.W. Blanken-
ship,

Defendants

FILED
~~In Open Court~~
SEP 12 1951
NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

D I S M I S S A L

Comes now the above named Plaintiff, Also Lead Corporation, a corporation, and dismisses the above entitled action with prejudice as to all of the above named defendants, except Leo Bennett and as to said Leo Bennett dismisses said action without prejudice.

J. W. Hasbain
J.W. Hasbain,
Kennedy Bldg.,
Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Robert W. Reynolds
Robert W. Reynolds,
Braniff Bldg.,
Tulsa, Oklahoma

The foregoing dismissal is hereby approved and ordered, with costs to the plaintiff.

Dated September 12, 1951.

/s/ Royce H. Savage
United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CIVIL ACTION NO. *24-74*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

PLAINTIFF

vs.

398.45 ACRES OF LAND, MORE
OR LESS, SITUATE IN MAYES
COUNTY, OKLAHOMA, AND TONY
BARGAS, T AL, AND UNKNOWN
OWNERS,

DEFENDANTS

JUDGMENT ON THE DECLARATION OF
TAKING NO. 1

(SEAL)

SEP 14 1957

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

This day comes the plaintiff, the United States of America, by Curtis P. Harris, Special Attorney for the Department of Justice, and moves the Court to enter a judgment vesting in the United States of America, a perpetual and assignable right of way and easement as to Tract No. K10. & G. 17, for the purpose of constructing, maintaining, using and patrolling a railroad in, over, upon and across said tract, subject, however, to existing easements for public roads and highways, public utilities, railroads and pipe lines; which said property is hereinafter described, and described in the Declaration of Taking and in the Complaint filed herein.

Thereupon the Court proceeded to hear and pass upon said motion, the Complaint and Declaration of Taking, and finds that:

1. Each and all of the allegations in said Complaint and Declaration of Taking are true, and the United States of America is entitled to acquire property by eminent domain for the purposes set forth in said complaint;

2. In said Complaint and Declaration of Taking a statement of the authority under which, and the public use for which said lands and estate therein were taken is set forth;

3. The Complaint and Declaration of Taking were filed at the request of Frank Pace, Jr., Secretary of the Army, the person duly authorized by law to acquire the lands and estate therein taken as described in said documents for the purposes therein set forth, and at the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, the person authorized by law to direct the institution of such proceedings;

4. A proper description of the lands sought to be taken, sufficient for the identification thereof, is set out in said Declaration of Taking and Complaint; and a statement of the estate or interest in said lands taken for said public use is set out therein;

5. A statement is contained in said Declaration of Taking of the sum of money estimated by the acquiring authority to be just compensation for the estate taken in said lands, in the amount of One Hundred and No./100 Dollars (\$100.00), and said sum of money was deposited in the Registry of this Court for the use of the persons entitled thereto upon and at the time of the filing of said Declaration of Taking;

6. A statement is contained in said Declaration of Taking that the estimated amount of compensation for the taking of said property in the opinion of Frank Pace, Jr., Secretary of the Army, will probably be within any limits prescribed by Congress as the price to be paid therefor;

7. And the Court, having fully considered the Complaint, the Declaration of Taking, the Act of Congress approved February 26, 1931, (46 Stat. 1421; 40 U.S.C. 258a), and Acts supplementary thereto and amendatory thereof; and under the further authority of the Acts of Congress approved April 24, 1868 (25 Stat. 94-33 U.S.C. 591), and March 1, 1917 (39 Stat. 948-33 U.S.C. 701), June 28, 1938 (52 Stat. 1215) and August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C.A. 701b, et seq.), and the Civil Functions Appropriation Act approved September 6, 1950 (Public Law 759-81st Congress), and the Act of Congress approved August 1, 1868 (25 Stat. 357), is of the opinion that the United States of America was and is entitled to take said property and have the title thereto vested in it.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED BY THE COURT, AND IT IS THE ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE of the Court that a perpetual and assignable right of way and easement as to Tract No. K.O. & G. 17, for the purpose of constructing, maintaining, using and patrolling a railroad in, over upon and across said tract, subject, however, to existing easements for public roads and highways, public utilities, railroads and pipe lines; which said property is hereinafter described, were vested in the United States of America upon the filing of the Declaration of Taking and the depositing in the Registry of this Court the sum of One Hundred and No/100 Dollars (\$100.00), and said lands and estate therein taken are deemed to have been condemned and taken for the use of the United States of America, and the right to just compensation for the same thereby vested in the persons entitled thereto, the amount of said compensation to be ascertained and awarded in this proceeding and established by judgment herein pursuant to law.

The lands aggregate 0.40 acre, more or less, and are described as follows, to-wit :

Declaration of Taking No. 1

Tract No. K. O. G.-17

A ROW for the K.O. & G. RR in the SE/4 NE/4 NE/4 described as: Beginning at a point 20', more or less, West of the SE corner thereof; thence Northeasterly on a curve to the right whose radius is 2232', to a point on the East line of said SE/4 NE/4 NE/4, said point being 30', more or less, North of the SE corner thereof; thence North 298', more or less; thence Southwesterly on a curve to the left whose radius is 2352' to a point on the South line of said SE/4 NE/4 NE/4, said point being 110', more or less, West of the SE corner thereof; thence East 120', more or less, to P.O.B. of Section 19;

Part of the N/2 SW/4 NW/4 described as: Beginning at the NW corner thereof; thence South 15', more or less; thence Northeasterly on a curve to the right whose radius is 2217' to a point on the North line of said N/2 SW/4 NW/4; thence West 10', more or less, to P.O.B. of Section 20;

All in Township 19 North, Range 19 East of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 0.40 acre, more or less.

~~Declaration of Taking No. 2~~

Tract No. 1774-A

~~NE diagonal one-half of NE/4 SE/4 SE/4 NW/4 of Section 32, Township 20 North, Range 19 East of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 1.25 acres, more or less.~~

Tract No. 1815 Revised

~~Part of the SW/4 SW/4 described as: Beginning at a point 660', more or less, East of the SW corner thereof; thence West 660'; thence North 625', more or less; thence Southeasterly on a straight line to P.O.B. of Section 14; E/2 E/2 SE/4 of Section 15;~~
All in Township 20 North, Range 18 East of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 46.25 ~~acres, more or less.~~

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that any and all persons now in possession of or claiming any rights whatsoever to the possession of the lands hereinabove described, and all and singular the rights, privileges and appurtenances thereunto belonging are hereby ordered and directed to deliver up and surrender forthwith full and complete possession of the lands hereinabove described, to the extent of the estate herein taken, to the United States of America, and the United States of America is hereby granted leave to take immediate possession of said lands.

This cause is held open for such other and further orders, judgments and decrees as may be necessary.

Entered this 14th day of September, 1951.



JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2424

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
PLAINTIFF

vs.

JUDGMENT ON THE DECLARATION OF
TAKING NO. 2

398.45 ACRES OF LAND, MORE
OR LESS, SITUATE IN MAYES
COUNTY, OKLAHOMA, AND TONY
BARCAS, ET AL, AND UNKNOWN
OWNERS,

DEFENDANTS

SEEN

APR 14 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

This day comes the plaintiff, the United States of America, by Curtis P. Harris, Special Attorney for the Department of Justice, and moves the Court to enter a judgment vesting in the United States of America, the full fee simple title, subject, however, to existing easements for public roads and highways, public utilities, railroads and pipe lines, in and to the property hereinafter described, and described in the Declaration of Taking and in the Complaint filed herein.

Thereupon the Court proceeded to hear and pass upon said motion, the Complaint and Declaration of Taking, and finds that:

1. Each and all of the allegations in said Complaint and Declaration of Taking are true, and the United States of America is entitled to acquire property by eminent domain for the purposes set forth in said complaint;

2. In said Complaint and Declaration of Taking a statement of the authority under which, and the public use for which said lands and estate therein were taken is set forth;

3. The Complaint and Declaration of Taking were filed at the request of Frank Pace, Jr., Secretary of the Army, the person duly authorized by law to acquire the lands and estate therein taken as described in said documents for the purposes therein set forth, and at the

direction of the Attorney General of the United States, the person authorized by law to direct the institution of such proceedings;

4. A proper description of the lands sought to be taken, sufficient for the identification thereof, is set out in said Declaration of Taking and Complaint; and a statement of the estate or interest in said lands taken for said public use is set out therein;

5. A statement is contained in said Declaration of Taking of the sum of money estimated by the acquiring authority to be just compensation for the estate taken in said lands, in the amount of Thirty-Three Thousand Seven Hundred Forty and no/100 (\$33,740.00) Dollars, and said sum of money was deposited in the Registry of this Court for the use of the persons entitled thereto upon and at the time of the filing of said Declaration of Taking;

6. A statement is contained in said Declaration of Taking that the estimated amount of compensation for the taking of said property in the opinion of Frank Pace, Jr., Secretary of the Army, will probably be within any limits prescribed by Congress as the price to be paid therefor;

7. And the Court, having fully considered the Complaint, the Declaration of Taking, the Act of Congress approved February 26, 1931, (46 Stat. 1421; 40 U.S.C. 256a), and Acts supplementary thereto and amendatory thereof; and the Acts of Congress approved April 24, 1888 (25 Stat. 94-33 U.S.C. 591), and March 1, 1917 (39 Stat. 948-33 U.S.C. 701), June 28, 1938 (52 Stat. 1215) and August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C.A. 701b, et seq.), and the Civil Functions Appropriation Act approved September 6, 1950 (Public Law 759-81st Congress), and the Act of Congress approved August 1, 1888 (25 Stat. 357), is of the opinion that the United States of America was and is entitled to take said property and have the title thereto vested in it.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED BY THE COURT, AND IT IS THE ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE of the Court that the full fee simple title, subject, however, to existing easements for public roads and highways, public utilities, railroads and pipe lines, in and to the property hereinafter described, was vested in the United States of America upon the filing of the Declaration of

Taking and the depositing in the Registry of this Court the sum of Thirty-
Three Thousand Seven Hundred Forty and no/100 (\$33,740.00) Dollars, and
said lands and estate therein taken are deemed to have been condemned and
taken for the use of the United States of America, and the right to just
compensation for the same thereby vested in the persons entitled thereto,
the amount of said compensation to be ascertained and awarded in this
proceeding and established by judgment herein pursuant to law.

The lands aggregate 398.05 acres, more or less, and are described
as follows, to-wit:

~~Declaration of Taking No. 1~~

~~Tract No. K.V.O. G.-17~~

~~A 1/4 of the K.V.O. G.-17 in the SW/4 NE/4 NE/4 described as: Beginning at a point 20', more or less, West of the SE corner thereof; thence Northeasterly on a curve to the right whose radius is 2232', to a point on the East line of said SE/4 NE/4 NE/4, said point being 30', more or less, North of the SE corner thereof; thence North 298', more or less; thence Southwesterly on a curve to the left whose radius is 2352' to a point on the South line of said SE/4 NE/4 NE/4, said point being 140', more or less, West of the SE corner thereof; thence East 120', more or less, to P.O.B. of Section 19;~~

~~Part of the N/2 SW/4 NW/4 described as: Beginning at the NW corner thereof; thence South 15', more or less; thence Northeasterly on a curve to the right whose radius is 2217' to a point on the North line of said N/2 SW/4 NW/4; thence West 10', more or less, to P.O.B. of Section 20;~~

~~All in Township 19 North, Range 19 East of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 0.40 acres, more or less.~~

Declaration of Taking No. 2

Tract No. 1774-A

NE diagonal one-half of NE/4 SE/4 SE/4 NW/4 of Section 32, Township 20 North, Range 19 East of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 1.25 acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1815 Revised

Part of the SW/4 SW/4 described as: Beginning at a point 660', more or less, East of the SW corner thereof; thence West 660'; thence North 825', more or less; thence Southeasterly on a straight line to P.O.B. of Section 14; E/2 E/2 SE/4 of Section 15; All in Township 20 North, Range 18 East of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 46.25 acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1827-A & 1835-A Comb.

SW/4 SE/4 NE/4 SW/4, W/2 E/2 SE/4 SW/4, SE/4 SE/4 SE/4 SW/4,
S/2 SW/4 SW/4 SE/4 of Section 19;
NE/4 NW/4 NE/4 NW/4, N/2 NE/4 NE/4 NW/4 of Section 30; all in
Township 21 North, Range 19 East, of the Indian Base Meridian,
situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 27.50
acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1830 Revised

E/2 E/2 SE/4 SW/4, SW/4 SE/4 SE/4 SW/4 of Section 30, Township
21 North, Range 19 East, of the Indian Base Meridian, situate
in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 12.50 acres, more
or less.

Tract No. 1866 Reappraised

SE/4 SW/4 SE/4 of Section 34, Township 21 North, Range 19 East,
of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma,
and consisting of 10.00 acres, more or less,

Tract No. 1870 Revised

E/2 SE/4 SE/4 of Section 33;
S/2 SW/4 SW/4 of Section 34; all in Township 21 North, Range 19
East, of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County,
Oklahoma, and consisting of 40.00 acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1872-A

Lot 6, Block 12 in the Townsite of Gateway City, of Section 33,
Township 21 North, Range 19 East, of the Indian Base Meridian,
situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 0.13 acres,
more or less.

Tract No. 1872-B

Lot 5, Block 12; Lots 5, 9 and 10, Block 13, all in Townsite of Gateway City, of Section 33, Township 21 North, Range 19 East of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 0.43 acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1873-C

Lots 1 and 2, Block 13, Townsite of Gateway City, Oklahoma, of Section 33, Township 21 North, Range 19 East, of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 0.23 acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1880-A

Lot 3, Block 13, Townsite of Gateway City, Oklahoma, of Section 33, Township 21 North, Range 19 East, of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 0.14 acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1880-B

Lots 22 and 23, Block 12, Lots 12 and 13, Block 13, and a parcel of land in Block 15 described as follows: Beginning at the SW corner of Lot 23 in Block 12; thence West along the North line of East Lewis Street, 149.6' to the East side of Dupont Street; thence North to a point due West of the NW corner of Lot 22 in Block 12; thence East to the NW corner of Lot 22; thence Southerly on and along the West boundary of Lots 22 and 23 of said Block 12 to P.O.B., Townsite of Gateway City, of Section 33, Township 21 North, Range 19 East, of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 0.88 acre, more or less.

Tract No. 1888 Reappraised

Part of No 9.99 acres of Lot 1 described as: Beginning at a point 825' North of the SW corner of said Lot 1; thence Northerly to NW corner of Lot 1; thence East to a point 990' west of NE corner of Lot 1; thence Southwesterly to P.O.B., of Section 26, Township 20 North, Range 19 East, of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 1.86 acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1900 Revised

SW diagonal half of S/2 SW/4 NE/4 NW/4, Part of Lot 1 described as: Beginning at the SW corner thereof; thence East 1090.16', more or less; thence North 330', more or less, thence Northwesterly on a straight line to a point on the West line of said Lot 1, said point being 990', more or less, North of the SW corner thereof; thence South 990', more or less, to P.O.B. of Section 19, Township 20 North, Range 20 East of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 18.77 acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1911

W/2 SE/4 NE/4 SW/4 of Section 13, Township 20 North, Range 19 East, of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 5.00 acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1913 Revised

SW/4 NE/4 NW/4 of Section 13, Township 20 North, Range 19 East, of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 10.00 acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1939 Revised

Part of Lot 9 described as: Beginning at a point 1160', more or less, South of the NW corner of said Lot 9; thence South to the northerly Bank of the Grand (Neosho) River; thence Easterly along said northerly bank to the East line of said Lot 9; thence Northerly to a point 660' more or less South of the NE corner of said Lot 9; thence Southwesterly on a straight line to P.O.B., of Section 6, Township 20 North, Range 20 East, of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 4.19 acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1940 and 1941 Combined Revised

W/2 SW/4 SW/4 NE/4, SE/4 SE/4 NW/4, E/2 SW/4 SE/4 NW/4, Lot 8, Part of Lot 6 described as: Beginning at the NE corner thereof; thence West 990', more or less; thence South 330', more or less; thence West 330', more or less, to the West line of said Lot 6; thence South to the South line of Lot 6; thence Easterly along the South line of Lot 6 to the East line thereof; thence North to P.O.B. Part of Lot 7 described as: Beginning at the NW corner thereof; thence East 330', more or less; thence South 330', more or less; thence East 990', more or less; thence South to the South line of said Lot 7; thence Westerly along said South line to the West line of said Lot 7; thence North to P.O.B. all of Section 6, Township 20 North, Range 20 East, of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 73.30 acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1953

SW/4 SW/4 NW/4, W/2 SE/4 SW/4 NW/4, SW/4 NW/4 SE/4, S/2 NW/4 NW/4 SE/4, part of the N/2 NW/4 NW/4 SE/4, and SW/4 SW/4 NE/4, described as: Beginning at the SW corner of said N/2 NW/4 NW/4 SE/4, thence East 660', more or less, thence North 990', more or less, thence Southwesterly on a straight line to P.O.B., Part of Lot 5, described as: Beginning at the NW corner thereof, thence East 990', more or less, thence South 330', more or less, thence East 330', more or less, thence South to the Southerly line of said Lot 5, thence Westerly along said Southerly line to the West line of Lot 5, thence North to P.O.B., Part of Lot 6, described as: Beginning at a point 330', more or less, South of the NE corner thereof, thence West 1320', more or less, thence South to the Southerly line of said Lot 6, thence Easterly along said Southerly line to the East line of said Lot 6, thence North to P.O.B., Part of Lot 8 described as: Beginning at the NW corner thereof, thence East 660', more or less, thence South to the Southerly line of said Lot 8, thence Westerly along said Southerly line to the West line of said Lot 8, thence North to P.O.B. of Section 5, all in Township 20 North, Range 20 East of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 96.35 acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1962

North 0.00 acres of Lot 4, Less RR ROW, of Section 9, Township 20 North, Range 20 East, of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 3.93 acres, more or less.

Tract No. 1963

North 23.20 acres of Lot 3, less 6.06 acres R.O.W., SW 7.30 acres of Lot 1, Lot 2 of Section 9, all in Township 20 North, Range 20 East of the Indian Base Meridian, situate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 15.34 acres, more or less.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that any and all persons now in possession of or claiming any rights whatsoever to the possession of the lands hereinabove described, and all and singular the rights, privileges and appurtenances thereunto belonging are hereby ordered and directed to deliver up and surrender forthwith full and complete possession of the lands hereinabove described, to the extent of the estate herein taken, to the United States of America, and the United States of America is hereby granted leave to take immediate possession of said lands.

This cause is held open for such other and further orders, judgments and decrees as may be necessary.

Entered this 14th day of September, 1951.



JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION,)
 Complainant)

vs.)

A. E. LaMANN, et al.,)
 Defendants)

No. 2889

SEP 11 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
 Clerk U. S. District Court

JOURNAL ENTRY

This cause coming on to be heard on this the 11th day of September 1951, being one of the regular court days of this court on the motion of plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings against the defendant A. E. LaMann and Raymond M. Gabel, and complainant being present by its counsel, T. Austin Gavin, and Allen E. Barrow, and said defendants, A.E. LaMann and Raymond M. Gabel appearing by their attorney, Ben Murdock, thereupon the attorney for said named defendants having conceded that said motion was good and that complainant was entitled to judgment, and the court being well and truly advised in the premises

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation be and it is hereby decreed to be entitled to judgment for the sum of \$387.51 together with interest thereon from September 1st 1951 at the rate of 10% per annum until paid, together with all costs accrued and accruing, and for the further sum of \$43.64 as an attorney's fee, for all of which sums let execution issue.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE OF THE COURT that said cause is continued as to the defendant V.D. Herrington for the reason that no service has been had upon said defendant and judgment is not entered herein against him at this time since the Court does not at this time have jurisdiction of said latter named defendant.

OKEH AS TO FORM

T. Austin Gavin
 T. Austin Gavin
Allen E. Barrow
 Allen E. Barrow,
 Attorneys for Complainant

Ben T. Murdock
 Ben Murdock
 Attorney for defendants

Boyer H. Savage
 United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Guy A. Thompson, Trustee,
Missouri Pacific Railroad
Co., Bankrupt,

Defendant.

No. 2896 Civil

1951

1951


JOURNAL ENTRY

WOMBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

This matter coming on for hearing this 18th day of September, 1951 in its regular order and the United States of America appearing by Whit Y. Maury, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendant, Guy A. Thompson, Trustee of the Missouri Pacific Railroad Co., Bankrupt, appearing by its attorney, Thomas Harper, and the court after being fully advised in the premises finds that the defendant confined certain animals for a period of more than thirty-six (36) consecutive hours and that the plaintiff is entitled to recover from said defendant the sum of One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00).

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the plaintiff have and recover judgment against the defendant in the sum of One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00) and the costs of this action.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.



JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, <div style="text-align: right;">Libellant,</div>	}	
vs.	}	No. 2903 Civil
One 1940 Ford Sedan, Motor No. 18-5827026, Lula Belle Hendricks and National Bank of Commerce, Pawhuska, Oklahoma, <div style="text-align: right;">Claimants.</div>	}	FILED 1951 ROBERT C. HOOD Clerk U. S. District Court

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, and
JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOW, on this 16th day of August, 1951, the above-entitled cause came on for trial pursuant to regular assignment, the libellant appearing by Whit Y. Manzy, United States Attorney, and Robert Brown, Assistant United States Attorney, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the above described 1940 Ford Sedan, Motor No. 18-5827026, having been seized by the Alcohol Tax Unit, on March 26, 1951, from Lula Belle Hendricks, 502 1/2 East Sixth Street, Pawhuska, Oklahoma, for violation of the Internal Revenue laws and appraisement of said car having been entered on the appraisement list at a value of \$250.00, and the claimant, Lula Belle Hendricks, having filed a claim and cost bond under Section 3724 of the Internal Revenue Code, for the transfer of forfeiture proceedings to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the claimant, Lula Belle Hendricks, having heretofore entered her appearance and having filed her answer herein and being present and represented by her attorney, Fred Tillman, of the firm of Tillman and Tillman, of Pawhuska, Oklahoma, and the claimant, National Bank of Commerce of Pawhuska, Oklahoma, having filed herein its answer and being present by and through its attorneys, Hamilton and Kene on Johnson, Oklahoma, the court proceeded to hear the evidence and testimony and being fully advised in the premises, makes the following findings of fact, conclusions of law and judgment:

The court finds that the 1940 Ford Sedan herein was seen on more than one occasion in the vicinity of the stillhouse located about 22 miles north of Pawhuska, but that the evidence of transporting materials and supplies used in the distillation of nontaxpaid spirits or the participation or intent to participate in the distillation of nontaxpaid spirits is insufficient in law and the libellant has not sustained its burden of proof by a fair preponderance of the evidence of such transportation of materials or participation or the intent thereof to violate any of the Internal Revenue Laws of the United States of America.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court that a forfeiture be and the same is hereby denied as to the 1940 Ford Sedan, Motor No. 18-5827026, and the same is ordered delivered over to the claimant, Lula Belle Henricks, and the bond heretofore referred to is ordered exonerated and released, and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court that the storage charges incident to the seizure herein be and the same are hereby ordered paid by the United States Treasury Department.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

WARRANT OF HABEAS CORPUS

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Ray Billings,

Defendant.

No. 2661 Civil

SEAL

SEP 29 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

DISMISSAL

That, on this 28th day of September, 1951, it being represented to the Court by the attorneys for the plaintiff that the Attorney General of the United States has directed that this action be dismissed,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED by the court that said action be dismissed at the cost of plaintiff.

Royce H. Savage
JUDGE

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1888

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

United States of America,)
Libellant,)
vs.)
One 1949 Oldsmobile sedan,)
Motor No. 849549, John P. Camp;)
Marie Camp, Bob Cleveland and)
The Sharp Investment Company,)
Defendants.)

No. 1888 Civil

FILED

SEP 28 1951

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOW, on this 15th day of September, 1951, the above-entitled cause having come on for trial pursuant to regular calendar, the libellant appearing, by Carl Y. Camp, United States Attorney, and Robert Jones, Assistant United States Attorney, for the Northern District of Delaware, and the above-described 1949 Oldsmobile Sedan, Motor No. 849549, having been heretofore seized by the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Delaware under warrant issued by this court; and the defendant, Marie Camp, having heretofore entered her appearance in said action and been duly served with answer herein; and the other defendant, John P. Camp, Bob Cleveland and the Sharp Investment Company, having been three times cited to appear in court, and not having appeared in said suit, and the libellant and the defendant, Marie Camp, by and through their respective attorneys having submitted the evidence upon agreed statements of fact and stipulations, and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds all issues in favor of the defendant, Marie Camp. The court finds that the 1949 Oldsmobile Sedan herein was on one occasion present at the site of an unregistered sale of the site of the defendant, but that such evidence is insufficient in law to constitute a violation of the intent thereof to violate the Internal Revenue Laws of the United States of America.

It is the court's order, judgment and decree by this court that a forfeiture herein of the 1949 Oldsmobile Sedan, Motor No. 849549, and the same is hereby declared to be said automobile is ordered returned and delivered to the defendant, Marie Camp.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Stearns Construction Company, etc.,
Plaintiff,
vs.
United States of America,
Defendant.

No. 2715 CIVIL

FILED

OCT 5 1951

WOMIE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JOURNAL ENTRY

This matter coming on for hearing this 5th day of October, 1951 and the plaintiff appearing by its attorneys, Garner, Rinshart and Stuart, Jack Campbell, Harry E. Moreland and Sam Clamer, and the defendant, United States of America, appearing by Phil T. Mouzy, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the court having made its findings of fact and conclusions of law, which have been filed with the clerk of this court, finds that the plaintiff should recover from the United States the sum of One Hundred Thousand (100,000.00) dollars.

IT IS THE COURT'S ORDER, ADVICE and WRIT that the plaintiff recover from the United States of America the sum of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,000.00) dollars.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certified copy of the court's findings of fact and conclusions of law and of this Journal Entry be furnished to the Treasurer of the United States.

SO ORDERED.

Raymond Savage
1951

D. K.

Garner, Rinshart & Stuart

Jack E. Campbell & Sam Clamer
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Phil T. Mouzy

United States Attorney
Northern District of Oklahoma
Attorney for Defendant

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ROSE WLODAMER,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

MAUDE M. TAYLOR,

Defendant.

No. 2832 Civil

FILED

OCT 6 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

WHEREAS, plaintiff has filed her Dismissal with Prejudice in the above entitled action, and defendant has filed her Dismissal with Prejudice of her Cross-Complaint in the above entitled action, the Court finds that same should be dismissed with prejudice.

BE IT, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the above entitled action and Cross-Complaint filed therein be dismissed with prejudice.

Witness my hand and seal this 5 day of October, 1951.

Wayne S. ...

JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

APPROVED:

W. H. ...

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

HUDSON, HUDSON & WHEATON

BY: *Germa ...*

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

It further appearing to the Court that the scope and purposes of the investigation had been defined and that no adequate or authoritative data are or was available from any Federal Agency or other responsible Agency.

It further appears that the refusal of defendant to allow such inspection defeats and retards the enforcement of the Stabilization Act, and for protection of our national economy such inspection authorization should be executed and carried out or this plaintiff will suffer immediate and irreparable injury for which there is no other adequate remedy.


Therefore, it is by the Court on this 9th day of October, 1951, on ERSD

1. That the defendant allow the inspection of their records as follows:

- (1) Purchase invoices from each supplier covering purchases during base period.
 - (a) Purchase invoices from all suppliers covering purchases made on and after the effective date of the price raise.
- (2) Daily station reports for each station effective on and after date of raise for each particular station.
- (3) Page from annual audit report which reflects general and administration expense for last annual audit.
- (4) Transportation records and any other such records pertinent to the establishment of laid-in costs as per provisions of Ceiling Price Regulation 13;

by the Office of Price Stabilization as an agent of the Plaintiff, United States of America.

ALL COPIES DESTROYED.



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ROY L. JONES,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 2936-Civil
CLEO STILES and HAROLD R.)
STILES,)
Defendants.)

FILED

OCT 13 1951

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

W. C. HUNT
CLERK & MASTER

Comes now the Plaintiff, Roy L. Jones, and
dismisses each of the above causes of action asserted
by said Plaintiff in the above styled and numbered action.

/s/ Roy L. Jones
Roy L. Jones,
Plaintiff

/s/ Milton W. Hardy
Milton W. Hardy
2nd Fltz Building
Tulsa, Oklahoma
Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FREDDIE EWING,)
)
) Plaintiff,)
)
) vs.)
)
) THE ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE)
) RAILWAY COMPANY, a Corporation, and)
) MRS. FRANK SANTINO,)
)
) Defendants.)

No. 2882-Civil

FILED

OCT 17 1951

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

W. C. HOOD
CLERK OF COURT

The plaintiff herein having this day filed her dismissal
of the above action with prejudice at the cost of the defendant
The Atchison, Topeka And Santa Fe Railway Company:-

IT IS ORDERED that said cause be and the same is hereby
dismissed with prejudice at the cost of the defendant The
Atchison, Topeka And Santa Fe Railway Company.

DATED October 17th, 1951.

Donald H. Savage
District Judge

W
Wren, Turner & Hooley
By J. B. Bailey
Valjian & Addison

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MARY GRAHAM,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE
RAILWAY COMPANY, a Corporation, and
MRS. FRANK SANTINO,

Defendants.

No. 2384-Civil

FILED

OCT 17 1951

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

REC 1000
OCT 17 1951

The plaintiff herein having this day filed her dismissal
of the above action with prejudice at the cost of the defendant
The Atchison, Topeka And Santa Fe Railway Company:-

IT IS ORDERED that said cause be and the same is hereby
dismissed with prejudice at the cost of the defendant The
Atchison, Topeka And Santa Fe Railway Company.

DATED October 17th, 1951.

Joseph H. Savage
District Judge

OK
Green, Farmer & Spoolery
Key & Co. Realty
Lillian Davidson

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ELLEN KENNETH,
Plaintiff,

- 3 -

SAFEWAY STORES, INC., a
corporation, and DR. PEPPER
TULSA BOTTLING CO., a
corporation,

Defendants.

NO. 2797 - Civil.

FILED

9

OCT 21 1951

WALTER C. HOOKER
Clerk of District Court

O R D E R

On application of the plaintiff, the above cause is hereby
dismissed with prejudice as to both defendants, with costs to be
assessed against defendant Safeway Stores, Inc., the latter being
by agreement.

Dated this 22nd day of October, 1951.

J. R. Wallace

JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 2829 Civil
)	
LeRoy Day,)	1951
)	
Defendant.)	1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now, on this 24th day of October, 1951, there coming on for hearing the above-entitled action pursuant to assignment for trial, and the plaintiff appearing by Carl E. Henry, United States Attorney, and John W. McGuns, Assistant United States Attorney, Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendant appearing not and the court having heard the evidence offered on behalf of the plaintiff finds that the defendant not only and repeatedly served with summons more than twenty days prior hereto and that the plaintiff has filed a proper affidavit of non-military service, which is true.

The court further finds that the defendant did, on February 11, 1947, execute a written promissory note in the sum of \$75.68 to the Burns Lumber Company, in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Housing Administration Act, which note was thereafter assigned by means assignments to the plaintiff and that there is now due thereon the sum of ~~75.68~~ 23.92, with interest at 6% per annum from June 15, 1947, and that the defendant did, on May 17, 1946, execute to the First Footing Construction Company, his promissory note in the sum of \$353.15, in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Housing Administration Act, which note was by means assignments assigned to the plaintiff and there is now due upon that note the sum of \$309.00, with interest at 6% per annum from October 17, 1946.

The court further finds that the plaintiff insured each of said notes under the terms of the Federal Housing Administration Act; that said defendant became in default and refused to pay the same and the plaintiff is entitled to judgment thereon.

It is, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court that the plaintiff have judgment against the defendant LeRoy Day, in the sum of ~~434.83~~ 307.94, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from this date and for its costs.

Royce H. Savage
JULIE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

vs.

B. A. Colbert, Myrtle Colbert
and Raymond Colbert,

Defendants.

No. 2911 Civil

FILED

OCT 22 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now, on this 22nd day of October, 1951, there coming on for hearing the above-styled action pursuant to assignment for trial, the plaintiff appearing by Unit Y. S. Gray, United States Attorney, and John A. McCune, Assistant United States Attorney, Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendant appearing, not by the court having heard the evidence offered on behalf of the plaintiff, and that the defendant was duly and regularly served with summons more than twenty days prior hereto and that the plaintiff has filed a proper affidavit of non-military service, which is true.

The court finds that the defendants, B. A. Colbert, Myrtle Colbert and Raymond Colbert, did on December 9, 1947, execute and deliver to the Tulsa Improvement Company a written promissory note in the sum of \$293.19, under the provisions of the Federal Housing Administration Act, which note was thereafter assigned by certain conveyances to the plaintiff. That said note was given for the erection of permanent improvements by putting siding upon the house located upon Lots 7 and 8, Block 36, Original Town of Jenks, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

The court further finds that said defendants did on November 4, 1948, execute and deliver their written promissory note to Tulsa Improvement Company, in the sum of \$185.71, in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Housing Administration Act, which note was thereafter assigned by certain conveyances to the plaintiff. The court further finds that said note was given for the purpose of placing permanent improvements in the nature of a new roof on the house located upon Lots 7 and 8, Block 36, Original Town of Jenks, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

The court further finds that said defendants have breached the terms of said notes by failure to make the stipulated monthly payments thereon and that there is now due and owing by the defendants to the plaintiff upon the first note the sum of \$165.31 and on the second note the sum of \$255.10.

The court further finds that by reason of the fact that said notes were given for the placing of permanent improvements upon said premises that the plaintiff is entitled to levy execution upon said premises.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court that the plaintiff have judgment against the defendants, W. J. Colbert, Myrtle Colbert and Raymond Colbert, in the sum of \$420.51, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from date of judgment and its costs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the plaintiff be authorized to have the United States Marshal levy execution upon Lots 7 and 8, Block 36, Original Town of Jenks, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, for the collection of said judgment.

Raymond H. Sawyer
JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ELCIA PALMER,

Plaintiff

vs.

TULSA CITY LINES, INC.,
a corporation,

Defendant

No. 2872

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

WOLFE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

On this, the 24th day of October, 1951, this matter comes on for trial and at the time of trial the plaintiff files a dismissal with prejudice and requests the Court to make an order dismissing said cause with prejudice and at plaintiff's cost, and this Court finds that said case has been fully settled and should be dismissed with prejudice and at plaintiff's cost.

BE IT THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that plaintiff's cause of action be, and the same is, hereby dismissed with prejudice and at plaintiff's cost.

M. R. Wallace
JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

C. E. REYNOLDS,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

C. S. CARNES,

Defendant.

No. 2887

FILED

OCT 24 1951

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This action came on regularly for trial on the 22nd day of October, 1951, Jack Bailey and Sam Glass, appearing as counsel for plaintiff, and Ralph C. Thomas appearing as counsel for the defendant. A jury of twelve persons was regularly empaneled and sworn to try said action, and witnesses on the part of the plaintiff and defendant were duly sworn and examined. After hearing the evidence, the arguments of counsel and the instructions of the court, the jury retired to consider their verdict and subsequently returned into court with the verdict signed by the foreman and being called, answered to their names and say:

"We, the Jury, find for the defendant and no damages.

(Signed) Opie Dimmick
Foreman

WHEREFORE, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed, that defendant have judgment in his favor and against the plaintiff, and that plaintiff take nothing by his complaint, and defendant take nothing by his cross-petition.

This the 24th day of October, 1951.

H. S. Killace
DISTRICT JUDGE

*Approved as to form only:
J. L. Bailey*

RCT:kg

*Approved as to form:
Ralph C. Thomas*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Mercy R. Rose,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 3868 Civil
)
Toni I. Hughes and)
E. J. Phillips,)
)
Defendants.)

STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL

NOBBE CLERK
Mark L. S. Nobbe, Clerk

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties to this action that the plaintiff, Mercy R. Rose, may and he does hereby dismiss the above styled and numbered cause of action with prejudice to the bringing of a future action, at the cost of the defendants.

Dated this 23 day of October, 1931.

Mercy R. Rose
Plaintiff

Rollis P. Clark
Attorneys for Plaintiff

[Signature]
Attorney for Defendants

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above styled and numbered action be dismissed with prejudice this 5th day of October, 1931.

W. R. Wallace
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHEAST
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Ella C. Rose,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Tom L. Hughes and
E. W. Phillips,

Defendants.

No. 1067 CIVI

RECEIVED 1951
Clerk U. S. District Court

STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties to this action that the plaintiff, Ella C. Rose, do hereby dismiss the above styled and numbered cause of action with prejudice to the bringing of a future action, at the cost of the defendants.

Dated this 23 day of October, 1951.

Ella C. Rose

Plaintiff

W. H. Anderson

Rollie F. Clark

Attorneys for Plaintiff

[Signature]
Attorney for Defendants

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above styled and numbered action be dismissed with prejudice this 25th day of October, 1951.

rdh/nir

J. B. Wallace

United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DON LOGAN, a minor by Steve R.
Logan, next friend

Plaintiff

vs.

BETHLEHEM SUPPLY COMPANY, a
corporation, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2836 civil ✓

NORBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Now on this October 24 1951, the above entitled matter came on for trial on regular setting before the Honorable W. R. Wallace, District Judge, plaintiff being present in person and by his next friend and by his attorneys Amos J. Nichols and Earl Truesdell, and the defendant being present by its representatives and attorneys, Doerner, Rinehart and Stuart and Harry D. Moreland.

A jury was duly empaneled and sworn to try the action. Whereupon, the parties introduced evidence and argued the case to the jury, after which the Trial Judge instructed the jury and the jury retired to consider of its verdict. The jury, after due consideration, returned into court its verdict and answers to interrogatories submitted, finding for the defendant and against the plaintiff in all respects.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that judgment be and it is hereby rendered in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff in all respects, upon the verdict and answers of the interrogatories of the jury, that the plaintiff take nothing by his cause of action and the defendant go hence with its costs.

DATED this October 25 1951.

W. R. Wallace

DISTRICT JUDGE

OK
Harry D. Moreland
Attorney for
Bethlehem Supply Company,
a corporation

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JEWEL WARD,)
)
) Plaintiff,)
 vs.))
))
 TULSA CITY LIGHTS, INC.,)
))
) Defendant.)

NOBLE C. BOON
Clerk U. S. District Court
No. 2891-Civil

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This action came on regularly for trial on the 25th day of October, 1951, plaintiff appearing in person and by her attorneys, Allen & Allen, and Truman B. Rucker and Joseph M. Best appearing as counsel for the defendant. A jury of twelve persons was regularly empaneled and sworn to try said action, and witnesses on the part of the plaintiff and defendant were duly sworn and examined. After hearing the evidence, the arguments of counsel and the instructions of the court, the jury retired to consider their verdict and subsequently returned into court with the verdict signed by the foreman, and being called, answered to their names and say:

"We, the jury, find for the defendant.

(Signed) Ople Dimmick
Foreman"

WHEREFORE, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that this defendant have judgment in its favor.

Done in open court this 25th day of October, 1951.

W. R. Wallace
United States District Judge

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

DORIS STONE,)
)
 PLAINTIFF)
)
 VS.) NO. 2006-Civil
)
 PERCY E. CUNNINGHAM,)
)
 DEFENDANT.)

ORDER DISMISSING CAUSE
WITH PREJUDICE

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

It appearing to the Court that plaintiff, Doris Stone, has indicated her desire to dismiss the above entitled action with prejudice, and that she has to that effect filed a Dismissal With Prejudice, now, therefore, it is the Order of this Court that said action shall be and is dismissed with prejudice to future action.

Rayne H. Savage
DISTRICT JUDGE

RCT:mc

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff

vs.

Civil Action No. 2673

EVELYN TURK
c/o Morrie Simon
800 South Main Street
Tulsa, Oklahoma

MORRIE SIMON, et ux
FLORENCE SIMON
1712 South Florence Place
or c/o the Indian Store
800 So. Main Street
Tulsa, Oklahoma

Defendants

FILED

OCT 30 1951

CLERK OF COURT
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

J U D G M E N T

In conformity with the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law
filed herein, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. That the Plaintiff herein take nothing against the Defendants,
Evelyn Turk and Morrie Simon.
2. That the Defendant, Florence Simon, pay forthwith to the
Treasurer of the United States, for and on behalf of Asa C. Hambert, the sum
of \$273.25; this refund to be made through the Office of Rent Stabilization,
321 Old Customs Building, 815 Olive Street, St. Louis, Missouri, for which let
execution issue.
3. All costs herein are taxed against the Defendant, Florence
Simon, for which let execution issue.
4. All other relief not expressly granted herein is hereby
denied.

Dated this 7 day of Sept. 1951.

W. J. ...
United States District Judge.

1. The maximum legal rent which might be charged during the period involved herein is \$30 per month. The defendants rented the premises to Don F. Williams for the sum of \$35 per month and collected that amount of rent for the period from February 17, 1947, to August 1, 1949. The tenant, Don F. Williams, did not commit any waste during his period of occupancy of the premises and did not cause any damage to the premises beyond the normal wear and tear which would be expected during the period herein involved.

2. The defendants have paid to Don F. Williams the sum of \$10, which is the amount of restitution ordered by the Court in the former judgment entered herein.

3. The plaintiff abandoned its cause of action for an injunction and did not seek to recover a judgment against the defendants for interest.

Costs and Damages

4. The defendants have violated the Housing and Rent Act of 1947, as amended, by demanding and receiving rents and housing accommodations in excess of the maximum rents authorized by law.

5. It would be permissible for the Court to enter an order requiring the defendants to cease collection of the monthly sum of \$35, which is in excess of the amount collected during the entire period of tenancy. While the Court has decreed restitution of all excess rent collected, it has not followed the usual Court practice in all cases. The Court should enter a decree in conformity with existing law, which would require the defendants to pay the monthly sum of \$30, the maximum permitted by law, in addition to the \$10 which has already been paid by the defendants to the plaintiff in interest.

Court concludes, in the exercise of discretion, that the defendant should not be required to make restitution to the tenant in excess of the amount of \$45, the amount heretofore paid to the tenant by the defendant pursuant to the above judgment entered herein.

A judgment should be entered against the defendant for the costs of this action.

A U T H O R I T Y

In conformity with the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law entered herein, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff's claim here remains against the defendant by way of award of restitution in favor of Don A. Williams, and the costs of this suit are hereby taxed against the defendant.

Done this 10th day of October, 1951.


United States District Judge

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff

vs.

CIVIL ACTION No. 2662

MRS. GEORGE LAWONK
802 North St. Louis Street
Tulsa, Oklahoma

Defendant

FILED

OCT 30 1951

J U D G M E N T

CLERK OF COURT
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

In conformity with the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law
filed herein, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. That the Defendant pay forthwith to the Treasurer of the United
States, the sum of \$481.25, for and on behalf of the tenants listed below:

Eddie Thulin	\$ 7.50
C. E. Allen	63.75
Jim Ibison	212.50
Virgil Cooper	7.50
Ira J. May	190.00

This refund to be made through the Office of Rent Stabilization, 321 Old
Customs Building, 815 Olive Street, St. Louis, Missouri, for which let execution
issue.

2. All other relief not expressly granted herein, is hereby
denied.

3. All costs are taxed against the Defendant, for which let
execution issue.

Dated this 30 day of Oct 1951.

[Handwritten signature]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FILED

OCT 28 1951

Marion Dale, nee Duncan,

Plaintiff

vs

Rex R. Moore,

Defendant.

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

No. 2752-
Civil.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF DISMISSAL OF ACTION

Now on this the 28th day of October, 1951, there comes on before the court the stipulation for dismissal of action of the plaintiff and the defendant on file herein, and Whit Y. Mauzy, the United States District Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, appearing in his official capacity, and thereupon said Whit Y. Mauzy, the United States District Attorney, withdraws the petition in intervention of the United States of America herein, and the court having examined said stipulation for dismissal of action on file herein and having heard the evidence offered in support thereof and being fully advised finds:

That said stipulation has been duly executed by both the plaintiff and the defendant herein, and that the same has been duly approved by J.H. Finley, the U.S. Supervising Attorney and the Probate Attorney for the district in which Nowata County, Oklahoma, is situated, and the court is of the opinion that this the above entitled cause, including the petition of the plaintiff and the answer of the defendant, should be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this the above entitled action and cause, including the petition of the plaintiff and the answer of the defendant on file herein, be and the same is hereby dismissed.

(5) George H. Savage
U.S. District Judge.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

G. H. HARDY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.,
a corporation,

Defendant.

CIVIL
No. 2878.

6488

10/30/51

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION ON MOTION OF PLAINTIFF
AND DEFENDANT.

This cause came on, on motion of plaintiff and defendant,
for voluntary dismissal of the action;

IT IS ORDERED that said action be, and is hereby, dismissed,
with prejudice.

Dated this 30 day of October, 1951.

Wm. H. Savage
Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Union Iron Works, a corporation,
Plaintiff,
vs.

Continental Casualty Company,
a corporation,
Defendant.

No. 2877 Civil

FILED

OCT 31 1951

D E C R E E

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

N O W, on this 31 day of Oct, 1951,
it is ordered that the plaintiff have and recover judgment
against defendant in the amount of \$21,481.29 with interest
at the rate of six per cent. (6%) per annum on \$19,489.19
from and after October 1, 1951 and the costs of this action.

131 Royce H. Sawyer
JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Ethel Mae Cockrell,)
)
 Plaintiff)
)
 vs.) No. 2939 Civil
)
 Sinclair Oil & Gas Company, a)
 corporation; Sinclair Pipe Line)
 Company, a corporation; and)
 James Locke,)
)
 Defendants.)

FILED
NOV 1 1951

O R D E R

Now on this the 1st day of November, 1951, this cause coming on for hearing upon the Stipulation of Dismissal in the above entitled cause, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this action be dismissed at the cost of the plaintiff.

/s/ Royce H. Savage
Judge of the U. S. District Court

O.K.

/s/ Ralph W. Ganett
Atty for Sinclair O & G Co.
James Locke

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2924

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
PLAINTIFF

vs.

JUDGMENT CONFIRMING
STIPULATION

398.45 ACRES OF LAND, MORE
OR LESS, SITUATE IN MAYES
COUNTY, OKLAHOMA, AND TONY
BARGAS, ET AL, AND UNKNOWN
OWNERS,

DEFENDANTS

WESLEY C. BROWN
CLERK U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Now on this 6th day of November, 1951, this matter comes on to be heard, and the Court, being fully advised, finds that a stipulation, introduced in evidence, fixes the value of Tracts Nos. 1827-A & 1835-A Comb. involved in this proceeding, as agreed upon by and between the plaintiff herein, and the defendant owners of said tracts and that said stipulation and agreed value should be confirmed and approved in every respect by this Court.

The Court further finds that deposit has heretofore been made under a Declaration of Taking filed herein and a deficiency exists as to said Tracts.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said stipulation and agreed value be, and the same is hereby, confirmed and approved in every respect by this Court as to the following tracts of land and in the following amount, to-wit:

Tracts Nos. 1827-A & 1835-A Comb.

Agreed Value	\$3750.00
Deposited	\$3500.00
Deficiency	\$ 250.00

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said amount is final in all respects as to the fair, cash, market value of said tracts of land, including interest and all damages of whatsoever nature.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the United States
of America, plaintiff herein, deposit the sum of \$250.00 into the
Registry of this Court to cover the deficiency as hereinabove set out.

George H. Savage
JUDGE

O.K.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff

By Charles F. Harris
Trial Attorney-Dept. of Justice

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,
a corporation,

Plaintiff

vs.

HARRY P. HEWLETT, JOYCE McCLAIN,
WILENA M. NANNY, SOUTHWESTERN
FIRE & CASUALTY COMPANY of Dallas,
Texas, a corporation,

Defendants)

No. 2941 Civil

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

NOBLE C. BOON
Clerk U. S. District Court

This matter comes on for hearing before this Court on this the 7th day of November, 1951, on the request of the plaintiff for dismissal for the reason that the matters involved herein are now moot and the Court, having examined the dismissal and request for order of dismissal and having heard statement of counsel, finds that said request for order of dismissal should be sustained.

BE IT THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the complaint in the above entitled cause be dismissed at complainant's cost for the reason that the matters involved in said action are now moot.

DONE AND DATED in open court this the day and year first above written.

Rayce H. Savage
JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

WILLARD WARREN

PLAINTIFF

VS.

HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY
ET AL

DEFENDANTS

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 2860

JUDGMENT

On this the 12 day of November A.D. 1951 came the parties in the above numbered and entitled suit, Willard Warren, plaintiff, and Humble Oil & Refining Company and Anchor Petroleum Company, both corporations, as defendants, the plaintiff appearing in person and by his attorneys and the defendants appearing herein by their attorneys, and announced to the Court that all matters in dispute between them had been fully, finally and completely settled, and thereupon in open court requested that judgment be entered herein that plaintiff take nothing by his suit and the defendants go hence without day and with their costs.

Whereupon the Court having considered the request of the parties and being of the opinion that the request should be granted enters the following decree:

It is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the Court that plaintiff, Willard Warren, take nothing by his suit and that the defendants, Humble Oil & Refining Company and Anchor Petroleum Company, go hence without day and recover their costs.

49831
NOV 15 1951
ROBERT G. MOORE
Clerk U. S. District Court

All costs are adjudged against the plaintiff, for which
the officers of court may have their execution.

Raymond Savage
United States District Judge
Presiding

APPROVED AS TO SUBSTANCE

AS WELL AS FORM:

Willard Warren
Willard Warren, Plaintiff

Herbert S. Brown
Herbert S. Brown

R. L. Davidson, Jr.
R. L. Davidson, Jr.

James G. Davidson
James G. Davidson

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

ANGLIN, STEVENSON & MUSER

By Alfred Stevenson
Alfred Stevenson

Felix A. Raymer
Felix A. Raymer

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY

HUDSON, HUDSON & WHEATON

By R. D. Hudson
R. D. Hudson

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
ANCHOR PETROLEUM COMPANY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR AND
IN THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America,)
)
 Libellant.)
)
 vs.) No. 2888 Civil
)
 One 1949 Oldsmobile Sedan, Motor No.)
 8A9549; John P. Camp; Marie Camp; Bob)
 Cleveland and the Sharp Investment)
 Company.)
)
 Claimants.)

1951

1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ASSIGNED JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Now, on this 24th day of September, 1951, the above-entitled cause having come on for trial pursuant to regular assignment, and libellant appearing by Whit Y. Mauzy, United States Attorney, and Robert Brown, Assistant United States Attorney, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the above described 1949 Oldsmobile Sedan, Motor No. 8A9549, having been heretofore seized by the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma under monition issued by this Court; and the claimant, Marie Camp, having heretofore entered her appearance in said action and having filed her answer herein; and the other claimants, John P. Camp, Bob Cleveland and the Sharp Investment Company, having been three times called in open court, came not and are wholly in default; and the libellant and the claimant, Marie Camp, by and through their respective attorneys having submitted the evidence upon agreed statements of fact and stipulations, and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds all issues in favor of the claimant, Marie Camp. The court finds that the 1949 Oldsmobile Sedan herein was on one occasion present at the site of an unregistered still at the site of the Campfarm, but that such evidence is insufficient in law to constitute a violation of the intent thereof to violate the Internal Revenue Laws of the United States of America.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court that a forfeiture herein of the 1949 Oldsmobile Sedan, Motor No. 8A9549, be and the same is hereby denied and that said automobile is ordered released and delivered to the claimant, Marie Camp.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court that
all the storage charges incident to the seizure herein be and the same
are hereby ordered paid by the United States Marshal for the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

WITNESSETH MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE.

151 Royal H. Savage
JUDGE.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America,)
)
 Libellant,)
)
 vs.)
)
 One 1940 Ford Sedan, Motor No.)
 18-5827026, Lula Belle Hendricks)
 and National Bank of Commerce,)
 Pawhuska, Oklahoma,)
)
 Claimants.)

No. 2903 Civil

38400

1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

APPENDED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,
AND JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

NOW, on this 16th day of August, 1951, the above-entitled cause came on for trial pursuant to regular assignment, the libellant appearing by Whit Y. Mausy, United States Attorney, and Robert Brown, Assistant United States Attorney, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the above described 1940 Ford Sedan, Motor No. 18-5827026, having been seized by the Alcohol Tax Unit, on March 26, 1951, from Lula Belle Hendricks, 502 1/2 East Sixth Street, Pawhuska, Oklahoma, for violation of the Internal Revenue laws and appraisal of said car having been entered on the appraisal list at a value of \$250.00, and the claimant, Lula Belle Hendricks, having filed a claim and cost bond under Section 3724 of the Internal Revenue Code, for the transfer of forfeiture proceedings to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the claimant, Lula Belle Hendricks, having heretofore entered her appearance and having filed her answer herein and being present and represented by her attorney, Fred Hillman, of the firm of Tillman and Hillman, of Pawhuska, Oklahoma, and the claimant, National Bank of Commerce of Pawhuska, Oklahoma, having filed herein its answer and being present by and through its attorneys, Hamilton and Gene of Pawhuska, Oklahoma, the court proceeded to hear the evidence and testimony and being fully advised in the premises, makes the following findings of fact, conclusions of law and judgment:

The court finds that the 1940 Ford Sedan herein was seen on more than one occasion in the vicinity of the stillhouse located about 22 miles north of Pawhuska, but that the evidence of transporting materials

and supplies used in the distillation of nontaxpaid spirits or the participation or intent to participate in the distillation of nontaxpaid spirits is insufficient in law and the libellant has not sustained its burden of proof by a fair preponderance of the evidence of such transportation of materials or participation or the intent thereof to violate any of the Internal Revenue Laws of the United States of America.

IT IS, WHEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court that a forfeiture be and the same is hereby denied as to the 1940 Ford Sedan, motor No. 18-5827026, and the same is ordered delivered over to the claimant, Miss Belle Henricks, and the bond heretofore referred to ordered exonerated and released, and

IT IS, FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court that the storage charges incident to the seizure herein be and the same are hereby ordered paid by the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

W. Royce H. Savage
JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION,
Complainant

vs.

W. G. BRYANT, C. D. MARTINDALE, and
PRYOR COMMUNITY SALE,
Defendants

No. 2675 Civil

FILED

NOV 21 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JOURNAL ENTRY

This cause coming on for trial on this the 19th day of November, 1951, the same being one of the regular court days of this Court, and having regularly been set for trial on this date, complainant, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, appeared by its counsel, Allen E. Barrow and T. Austin Gavin, and the defendants W. G. Bryant and Pryor Community Sale appearing not, and it appearing to the Court that judgment by default has heretofore been taken against the defendant C. D. Martindale and that under the pleadings heretofore filed in this cause the defendant Pryor Community Sale is a partnership composed of W. G. Bryant and C. D. Martindale, and said defendant W. G. Bryant and said Pryor Community Sale, said co-partnership, having been called three times in open court and appearing not, said latter named defendants are hereby declared to be in default.

Thereupon counsel for Complainant introduced his evidence and at the conclusion thereof rested, and the Court finding that it has jurisdiction to entertain said cause and enter a judgment herein, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that all of the material allegations of Complainant's complaint are taken as true and confessed, and that said Complainant, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, shall be and it is hereby decreed to be entitled to the judgment prayed.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that on its first count or cause of action, said Complainant is entitled to recover, and is hereby granted judgment against the defendant W.G.

Bryant in the sum of One Thousand One Hundred Twenty-four Dollars (\$1,124.00) together with interest thereon at the rate of 10% per annum from the 1st day of November 1948, and in the further sum of One Hundred Thirty-five Dollars and Eighty Cents (135.80) as an attorneys' fee, and all costs accrued and accruing.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE OF THE COURT that the said Complainant is entitled to the foreclosure of its chattel mortgage securing the note shed upon in said first cause of action, and in the event said money judgment shall not be paid to Complainant, the said Complainant shall be, and it is hereby, decreed to have a foreclosure of its chattel mortgage, and to sell any property embraced therein, as provided by law, and to apply the proceeds thereof against the indebtedness hereby found to be due, for all of which let execution issue.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE OF THE COURT that, on its third cause of action, the said Complainant shall be, and it is hereby decreed, judgment against the defendant Pryor Community Sale in the sum of Twenty-one Thousand, Five Hundred Ten Dollars and Fourteen Cents (\$21,510.14), and against the defendant W.G.Bryant in the sum of Two Thousand Six Hundred Fifty-eight Dollars and Sixty-eight Cents (\$2,658.68) with interest on each of said sums at the rate of 6% per annum from the 1st day of November 1948, and for all costs accrued and accruing, for all of which let execution issue.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE OF THE COURT that Complainant shall have judgment against the defendant W. G. Bryant and Pryor Community Sale for the sum of Two Thousand Six Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$2,650.00) with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from the 1st day of November, 1948, until paid, and for all costs accrued and accruing, for which let execution issue.

W. R. Wallace
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

NOBIT G. BOGG
Clerk U. S. District Court

Civil Action, File Number 2956

MARGARET STELL, vs. JENNIE HENRICH BERGMAN, Defendant.	}	ORDER DENYING MOTION
--	---	----------------------

BE IT REMEMBERED, that on this 28th day of November, 1951, the above entitled matter comes on before the court upon the motion to dismiss filed herein by defendant, Arthur Hendrick Bergman, by his attorneys Banker, Loda & Wilcoxen, Attorneys at Law, Muskogee, Oklahoma. Plaintiff appears by and through her attorney of record, Alvin J. Grauerholz. There are no other appearances.

WHEREUPON, the court examines the files and records of this action, considers defendant's Motion to Dismiss, and, being fully advised and informed, finds:

1. That plaintiff admits the allegations made in defendant's Motion to Dismiss are true;
2. That defendant is a resident of the City of Muskogee, Muskogee County, Oklahoma, in the eastern district of Oklahoma;
3. That plaintiff has filed a complaint in civil action No. 3096, in said eastern district, which said complaint is based upon the facts alleged herein; and,
4. That neither plaintiff nor defendant is a resident of the Northern District of Oklahoma.

WHEREFORE, it is the order of the court that said Motion to Dismiss be and the same is hereby denied and plaintiff's Complaint filed herein be and the same be continued at her costs.

191 Royce W. Dunge
 United States District Judge

APPROVED BY:
Alvin J. Grauerholz
 Coffeyville, Kansas
 Attorney for Plaintiff

APPROVED BY:
Clément H. Hall
 Coffeyville, Kansas
 Attorney for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 2901 Civil

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF
MAYES COUNTY, OKLAHOMA; JOHN O.
BAKER, County Treasurer of Mayes
County, Oklahoma, or his successor
in office; CHARIE BELL, County
Assessor of Mayes County, Oklahoma,
or his successor in office,

Defendants.

WALTER C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
JUDGMENT

NOW on this 3rd day of December, 1951, the above cause having been set down for hearing and the plaintiff, the United States of America, being represented by Whit V. Mauzy, United States Attorney, and Hobart Brown, Assistant United States Attorney, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendants, Board of County Commissioners of Mayes County, Oklahoma, John O. Baker, County Treasurer of Mayes County, Oklahoma, and Charie Bell, County Assessor of Mayes County, Oklahoma, having filed herein their answer by and through their attorney, Jack Burris, County Attorney for Mayes County, Oklahoma, and being thrice called in open court appeared not and are wholly in default and the court being fully advised in the premises finds all the issues in favor of the plaintiff.

The court finds that the lands involved herein were purchased from restricted funds of Emma Swimmer Weigert, an unenrolled full-blood Cherokee Indian, by virtue of which said lands were restricted against alienation without the consent and approval of the Secretary of the Interior, by pertinent acts of Congress. The court further finds that said real estate has been duly certified to be exempt from taxation, but that notwithstanding such fact the duly constituted authorities of Mayes County, State of Oklahoma, placed said real estate upon the tax rolls of said county for the years 1937 to 1944, inclusive, and thereafter collected from Emma Swimmer Weigert, un-enrolled full-blood Cherokee Indian, a sum in the amount of \$129.54, for taxes during the years 1937 to 1944, inclusive.

The court concludes as a matter of law that the real estate described herein was tax exempt in the hands of Emma Swimmer Veigart, unenrolled full-blood Cherokee Indian, and was wrongfully assessed for taxation during the years referred to and that taxes in the amount of \$129.54 were wrongfully collected from Emma Swimmer Veigart, in violation of the acts of Congress pertaining thereto.

The court further concludes that the County Assessor of Mayes County, Oklahoma, should be enjoined from assessing said property for taxation in the future and the duly authorized officials of Mayes County should be restrained and enjoined from attempting to sell said property for nonpayment of taxes.

The court further concludes that the plaintiff should have a refund for taxes wrongfully collected for the years 1937 to 1944, inclusive, in the amount of \$129.54.

WHEREFORE, it is by the court ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the real estate herein is not subject to taxation by the State of Oklahoma for the years 1937 to 1944, inclusive, and the County Assessor of Mayes County, Oklahoma, should be and is hereby restrained and enjoined from assessing for taxation the property described as follows:

Southeast quarter of Southeast Quarter of Section Eight (8), and West Half of Northwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Section Sixteen (16), and Northeast Quarter of Northeast Quarter and Northeast Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Northeast Quarter of Section Seventeen (17), all in Township Twenty-two (22) North, Range Nineteen (19) East, containing 110 acres, more or less, in Mayes County, State of Oklahoma, according to the government survey thereof,

and the County Treasurer of Mayes County, Oklahoma, be and he is hereby restrained and enjoined from collecting taxes on the property during such time as it is exempt from taxation under pertinent acts of Congress.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the plaintiff have and recover from Mayes County, Oklahoma, a sum in the amount of \$129.54, together with interest at 6% from the date of this judgment until paid and for costs.

DATED this 3rd day of ^{December} November, 1951.

12/3/51
JUDGE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE SOUTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

VERNELL BARNETT,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TULSA CITY LIGHTS, Incorporated,

Defendant.

No. 2922-Civil

MAILED

JUN 5 1961

DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

ROBLE C. HOOB
Clerk U. S. District Court

Comes now the plaintiff, Vernell Barnett,
and dismisses the above cause with prejudice to the bringing
of any further action.

Plaintiff

Attorney for Plaintiff

*It is hereby ordered by the Court that
this cause may be dismissed on the
grounds and for the reason that
the parties hereto have agreed and
settled their differences between
themselves.*

Royce Savage

Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2944

-vs-

113.26 acres of land, more or
less, situate in Rogers County,
Oklahoma, and Joe Rickliffe, et al,

Defendants

JUDGMENT CONFIRMING
COMMISSIONERS' AWARDS
AS TO CERTAIN TRACTS

NOBLE C. BOGGS
Clerk U. S. District Ct.

Now on this 7th day of November, 1951, this matter comes on to be heard upon the application of plaintiff herein for confirmation of the Report of Commissioners filed herein as to certain tracts of land involved in this proceeding, and the Court, being fully advised in the matter, finds that more than sixty days have elapsed since the commissioners filed their report herein, that no demands for jury trial have been filed as to said tracts, and that said Report of Commissioners should be confirmed and approved in every respect by this Court as to said tracts.

The Court further finds that deposits were made under a Declaration of Taking filed herein and that no deficiencies or overdeposits exist as to said tracts.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Report of Commissioners be, and the same is hereby, confirmed and approved in every respect as to the following tracts of land in the following amounts, to-wit:

Tract No. A-2

Commissioners' Award	\$ 1,750.00
Deposited	\$ 1,750.00

Tract No. A-5

Commissioners' Award	\$ 560.00
Deposited	\$ 560.00

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said amounts are final in all respects as to the fair, cash, market value of said tracts, including interest and all damages of whatsoever nature. The Judgment on Declaration of Taking heretofore entered in this proceeding is hereby reaffirmed.

Joseph H. ...
JUDGE

O.K.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff

By William T. ...
Trial Attorney-Department of Justice

... to the ...

... 19-125128, ...

... the ...

Raymond H. ...

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION,)
Complainant)
vs.)
PETE STAMPER,)
Defendant)

Civil No. 2746

FILED

DEC 10 1951

WORLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

JOURNAL ENTRY

This cause coming on for trial on this the 19th day of November, 1951, the same being one of the regular court days of this Court, and having been regularly set for trial on this date, Complainant appeared by its counsel, Allen E. Barrow and T. Austin Gavin, and the defendant in person and by his counsel, Messrs. Norman and Wheeler.

Thereupon both sides announced ready for trial, and the Complainant introduced its evidence and at the conclusion thereof rested. Whereupon defendant introduced his evidence, and at the conclusion thereof rested, and said cause having been regularly submitted to the Court, and the Court being fully advised in the premises and finding that it has jurisdiction over said cause and of the parties, and finding that Complainant is entitled to judgment as in its complaint prayed.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that on its first cause of action Complainant shall be and it is hereby decreed to be entitled to a judgment in the sum of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3000. together with interest thereon at the rate of 10% per annum from the 27th day of March, 1948, and the further sum of Three Hundred Seventy-seven Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$377.50) together with interest thereon at the rate of 6 % per annum from the 19th day of November 1951 until paid, as an attorneys' fee, together with all costs accrued and accruing, and in the event said judgment so decreed shall not be paid, Complainant shall be, and it is hereby decreed to be, entitled to the foreclosure of its chattel mortgage securing the note sued upon in said first count of cause of action, and to sell any of said property as may be in possession

of the said defendant, all as provided by law, and from said sale shall apply any proceeds so derived to the payment of Complainant's judgment.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE OF THE COURT that on its second cause of action, said Complainant shall be entitled to the recovery of the sum of Two Hundred Dollars(\$200.00) together with interest thereon at the rate of 10% per annum from the 27th day of July, 1948, as well as an attorneys' fee in the sum of ^{Twenty Dollars}(\$20.00) together with interest on the latter sum at the rate of 6 % per annum from the 19th day of November 1951, together with all costs accrued and accruing, for which let execution issue.

W. J. Wallace
United States District Judge

OKEH AS TO FORM:

Chester Norman

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR AND OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OREGON

AUDIE T. LEJEUNE,
Plaintiff,
vs.
VINTA HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION,
Defendant.

NOV 24 1951

NOV 24 1951

WORLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER PERMITTING WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL AND DISMISSING COMPLAINT AND CROSS COMPLAINT.

This cause coming on to be heard on this the 24th day of November, 1951, being one of the regular court days of this Court, and having been regularly set for trial on this date, and counsel for complainant, T. Austin Gavin, appearing, and counsel for the defendant and crosscomplainant, Truman B. Rucker and F. L. Wheatley, appearing, and counsel for complainant having expressed his desire to withdraw as counsel for complainant, and having suggested that the cause be continued to permit complainant time to procure new counsel, and the Court being well and truly advised in the premises,

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the application of T. Austin Gavin to withdraw as counsel for complainant in the above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby, granted, and the said T. Austin Gavin shall be and he is hereby permitted to withdraw as such counsel.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER, JUDGMENT, AND DECREE OF THE COURT that the complaint of the said Audie T. Lejeune be, and the same is hereby, dismissed without prejudice, and IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER, JUDGMENT, AND DECREE OF THE COURT that the crosscomplaint of the defendant, Vinta Hospital Association, be, and the same is hereby, dismissed without prejudice.

DEFEY AS TO FORM:

W. R. Wallace
United States District Judge

T. Austin Gavin
Attorney for Complainant

F. L. Wheatley

Truman B. Rucker

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

E. K. ROTH,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 2943-Civil

CARL W. GILLETTE,

Defendant.

FILED

DEC 14 1951

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION WITH PREJUDICE NOBLE C. ROOD
U.S. District Court

IT APPEARING from the stipulation of the plaintiff and the defendant filed herein that all claims involved in this action have been compromised, settled and paid, and that this action should be dismissed with prejudice to a future action against the defendant, Carl W. Gillette,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that this action be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to a future action against the defendant, Carl W. Gillette.

DATED this 14 day of December, 1951.

13 Clayton H. Lawrence
DISTRICT JUDGE.

APPROVED:

121 W. J. Lawrence
Attorney for Plaintiff

121 William F. Lawrence
121 William H. Martin
Attorneys for Defendant.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2976

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff)
)
 vs.)
)
 292.50 ACRES OF LAND, MORE)
 OR LESS, SITUATE IN CREEK)
 COUNTY, OKLAHOMA, AND)
 S. MAX BIER, ET AL.,)
)
 Defendants)

JUDGMENT ON THE DECLARATION
OF TAKING NO. 1
NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

This day comes the plaintiff, the United States of America, by Curtis P. Harris, Special Attorney for the Department of Justice, and moves the Court to enter a judgment vesting in the United States of America, the fee simple title as to Tract No. B-90, save and except the oil and gas minerals therein, reserving to the owners thereof the right of ingress and egress for the purposes of drilling for and producing said oil and gas in a careful and lawful manner; and further subject to existing easements for public roads and highways, public utilities, railroads and pipe lines; which said property is hereinafter described, and described in the Declaration of Taking and in the Complaint filed herein.

Thereupon the Court proceeded to hear and pass upon said motion, the Complaint and Declaration of Taking, and finds that:

1. Each and all of the allegations in said Complaint and Declaration of Taking are true, and the United States of America is entitled to acquire property by eminent domain for the purposes set forth in said complaint;

2. In said Complaint and Declaration of Taking a statement of the authority under which, and the public use for which said lands and estate therein were taken is set forth;

3. The Complaint and Declaration of Taking were filed at the request of Frank Pace, Jr., Secretary of the Army, the person duly authorized by law to acquire the lands and estate therein taken as described in said documents for the purposes therein set forth, and at the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, the person authorized by law to direct the institution of such proceedings;

4. A proper description of the lands sought to be taken, sufficient for the identification thereof, is set out in said Declaration of Taking and Complaint; and a statement of the estate or interest in said lands taken for said public use is set out therein;

5. A statement is contained in said Declaration of Taking of the sum of money estimated by the acquiring authority to be just compensation for the estate taken in said lands, in the amount of Two Thousand Five Hundred and no/100 (\$2,500.00) Dollars, and said sum of money was deposited in the Registry of this Court for the use of the persons entitled thereto upon and at the time of the filing of said Declaration of Taking;

6. A statement is contained in said Declaration of Taking that the estimated amount of compensation for the taking of said property in the opinion of Frank Pace, Jr., Secretary of the Army, will probably be within any limits prescribed by Congress as the price to be paid therefor;

7. And the Court, having fully considered the Complaint, the Declaration of Taking, the Act of Congress approved February 26, 1931, (46 Stat. 1421; 40 U.S.C. 258a), and Acts supplementary thereto and amendatory thereof; and under the further authority of the Acts of Congress approved April 24, 1888 (25 Stat. 94-33 U.S.C. 591), and March 1, 1917 (39 Stat. 948-33 U.S.C. 701), July 24, 1946 (Public Law 526 - 79th Congress, 2d Session), and the Civil Functions Appropriation Act approved September 6, 1950 (Public Law 759-81st Congress), and the Act of Congress approved August 1, 1888 (25 Stat. 357) and such other Acts of Congress which are declaratory or amendatory thereto, is of the opinion that the United States of America was and is entitled to take said property and have the title thereto vested in it.

143

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED BY THE COURT, AND IT IS THE ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE of the Court that the fee simple title as to Tract No. B-90, save and except the oil and gas minerals therein, reserving to the owners thereof the right of ingress and egress for the purposes of drilling for and producing said oil and gas in a careful and lawful manner; and further subject to existing easements for public roads and highways, public utilities, railroads and pipe lines; which said property is hereinafter described, were vested in the United States of America upon the filing of the Declaration of Taking and the depositing in the Registry of this Court the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred and no/100 (\$2,500.00) Dollars, and said lands and estate therein taken are deemed to have been condemned and taken for the use of the United States of America, and the right to just compensation for the same thereby vested in the persons entitled thereto, the amount of said compensation to be ascertained and awarded in this proceeding and established by judgment herein pursuant to law.

The lands aggregate 52.50 acres, more or less, and are described as follows, to-wit:

Tract No. B-90

W/2 SW/4 SW/4 SE/4, SE/4 SE/4 SW/4, E/2 SW/4 SE/4 SW/4, SW/4 NE/4 SE/4 SW/4, NW/4 SE/4 SW/4, SW/4 NE/4 SW/4, E/2 SE/4 NW/4 SW/4, E/2 NE/4 SW/4 SW/4, of Section 25, Township 18 North, Range 9 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Creek County, Oklahoma, and containing 52.50 acres, more or less.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that any and all persons now in possession of or claiming any rights whatsoever to the possession of the lands hereinabove described, and all and singular the rights, privileges and appurtenances thereunto belonging are hereby ordered and directed to deliver up and surrender forthwith full and complete possession of the lands hereinabove described, to the extent of the estate herein taken, to the United States of America, and the United States of America is hereby granted leave to take immediate possession of said lands.

This cause is held open for such other and further orders,
judgments and decrees as may be necessary.

Entered this 14 day of December, 1951.



JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2976

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff

vs.

292.50 ACRES OF LAND, MORE
OR LESS, SITUATE IN CREEK
COUNTY, OKLAHOMA, AND
S. MAX BEER, ET AL.,

Defendants

JUDGMENT ON THE DECLARATION
OF TAKING NO. 2

NOBLE C. SOOCH
Clerk U. S. District Court

This day comes the plaintiff, the United States of America, by Curtis P. Harris, Special Attorney for the Department of Justice, and moves the Court to enter a judgment vesting in the United States of America, the outstanding undivided one-half (1/2) interest in all oil, gas and mineral rights as to Tracts Nos. B-11 and B-12, subject to existing easements for public roads and highways, public utilities, railroads and pipe lines; which said property is hereinafter described, and described in the Declaration of Taking and in the Complaint filed herein.

Thereupon the Court proceeded to hear and pass upon said motion, the Complaint and Declaration of Taking, and finds that:

1. Each and all of the allegations in said Complaint and Declaration of Taking are true, and the United States of America is entitled to acquire property by eminent domain for the purposes set forth in said complaint;
2. In said Complaint and Declaration of Taking a statement of the authority under which, and the public use for which said lands and estate therein were taken is set forth;
3. The Complaint and Declaration of Taking were filed at the request of Frank Pace, Jr., Secretary of the Army, the person duly authorized by law to acquire the lands and estate therein taken as described in said documents for the purposes therein set forth, and at the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, the person authorized by law to direct the institution of such proceedings;

4. A proper description of the lands sought to be taken, sufficient for the identification thereof, is set out in said Declaration of Taking and Complaint; and a statement of the estate or interest in said lands taken for said public use is set out therein;

5. A statement is contained in said Declaration of Taking of the sum of money estimated by the acquiring authority to be just compensation for the estate taken in said lands, in the amount of Four Hundred Fifty-Six and 25/100 (\$456.25) Dollars, and said sum of money was deposited in the Registry of this Court for the use of the persons entitled thereto upon and at the time of the filing of said Declaration of Taking;

6. A statement is contained in said Declaration of Taking that the estimated amount of compensation for the taking of said property in the opinion of Frank Pace, Jr., Secretary of the Army, will probably be within any limits prescribed by Congress as the price to be paid therefor;

7. And the Court, having fully considered the Complaint, the Declaration of Taking, the Act of Congress approved February 26, 1931, (46 Stat. 1421; 40 U.S.C. 258a), and Acts supplementary thereto and amendatory thereof; and under the further authority of the Acts of Congress approved April 24, 1888 (25 Stat. 94-22 U.S.C. 591), and March 1, 1917 (39 Stat. 940-33 U.S.C. 701), July 24, 1946 (Public Law 526 - 79th Congress, 2d Session), and the Civil Functions Appropriation Act approved September 6, 1950 (Public Law 759 - 81st Congress), and the Act of Congress approved August 1, 1888 (25 Stat. 357) and such other Acts of Congress which are declaratory or amendatory thereto, is of the opinion that the United States of America was and is entitled to take said property and have the title thereto vested in it.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED BY THE COURT, AND IT IS THE ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE of the Court that the outstanding undivided one-half (1/2) interest in all oil, gas and mineral rights as to Tracts Nos. B-11 B-12, subject to existing easements for public roads and highways, public utilities, railroads and pipe lines; which said property is hereinafter

described, were vested in the United States of America upon the filing of the Declaration of Taking and the depositing in the Registry of this Court the sum of Four Hundred Fifty-Six and 25/100 (\$456.25) Dollars, and said lands and estate therein taken are deemed to have been condemned and taken for the use of the United States of America, and the right to just compensation for the same thereby vested in the persons entitled thereto, the amount of said compensation to be ascertained and awarded in this proceeding and established by judgment herein pursuant to law.

The lands aggregate 240.00 acres, more or less, and are described as follows, to-wit:

Tract No. B-11

NE/4 of Section 14, Township 17 North, Range 9 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Creek County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 160.00 acres, more or less.

Tract No. B-12

N/2 NE/4 of Section 23, Township 17 North, Range 9 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Creek County, Oklahoma, and consisting of 80.00 acres, more or less.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that any and all persons now in possession of or claiming any rights whatsoever to the possession of the lands hereinabove described, and all and singular the rights, privileges and appurtenances thereunto belonging are hereby ordered and directed to deliver up and surrender forthwith full and complete possession of the lands hereinabove described, to the extent of the estate herein taken, to the United States of America, and the United States of America is hereby granted leave to take immediate possession of said lands.

This cause is held open for such other and further orders, judgments and decrees as may be necessary.

Entered this 14 day of December, 1951.

JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	NO. 1914 CIVIL
)	
LEONARD H. MCCULLOUGH and)	
MAGGIE MCCULLOUGH,)	
Defendants.)	

J U D G M E N T

WALTER H. BRADY
Clerk U. S. District Court

Now, on this 18th day of December, 1931, the above entitled matter coming on for hearing, and the plaintiff appearing by Wirt Y. Souzy, United States Attorney, and John W. McGone, Assistant United States Attorney, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendants, Leonard H. McCullough and Maggie McCullough, appearing not and the court having heard the evidence of plaintiff and examined the file and record in the case, finds that the defendants were served with summons herein more than twenty (20) days prior to this date and are in default. That the plaintiff has filed herein a affidavit of non-military service, which is found to be true and that the allegations of plaintiff's complaint are all found to be true.

The court further finds that the defendants are indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of \$361.10, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% from April 27, 1931 and on account of a note executed by the defendants under the provisions of the Federal Housing Administration Act, which note is now owned by the plaintiff; that the defendants have neglected to pay said note and give for the purpose of paying for permanent improvements upon Lot 4, Block 86, Original Town of Collinsville, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, which permanent improvements were part of the homestead incurred by reason thereof the plaintiff is entitled to levy execution upon said premises for the collection of its judgment.

It is, therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court that the plaintiff have judgment against the defendants, Leonard H. McCullough and Maggie McCullough, in the sum of \$361.10, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% from April 27, 1931 and for its costs and that the United States Marshal do

authorized and directed to levy execution upon Lot 4, Block 86, Original
Town of Collinsville, White County, Arkansas, for the purpose of enforcing
the said judgment.

Royce H. Howard
Jury

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

C. S. Phillips,

Plaintiff,

vs.

H. T. Burns,

Defendant,

No. 2933 Civil

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Comes now the above named plaintiff and hereby dismisses
the above entitled action with prejudice.

Dated this 19th day of December, 1951.


James E. Grigsby,
Colcord Building,
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma,

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Southland Life Insurance
Company, a corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. 2938

Frank D. Fitchie, Administrator
et al.,

Defendants.

In Open Court
1951

ROBERT C. STODOL
Clerk of District Court

FINAL DECREE

Now on this 21 day of December, 1951, this
cause came on to be heard at this time on bill and answer
and it appearing that process has been issued according to
the previous order of the Court and served on the defendants,
and each of them, according to law, and that plaintiff has
paid into the Registry of the Court, there to abide the judg-
ment of the Court, the sum of \$582.52, the amount due under
Policy of Insurance No. W-2160N, issued upon the life of
Frances Fitchie, now Frances Fitchie Taylor, also known as
Frances Marie Fitchie Taylor, deceased.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that
the defendants Frank D. Fitchie, administrator of the estate
of Thomas Lee Fitchie, deceased, and Harvey T. Taylor, admin-
istrator of the estate of Frances Marie Fitchie Taylor,
deceased, interplead herein that it may be ascertained and
determined to whom the said sum of \$582.52 belongs; that
defendants above named be perpetually enjoined and restrained
from instituting or prosecuting any suit or proceeding against
this plaintiff in any state court or in any other Federal
court on account of said policy of insurance.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said policy of insurance is hereby cancelled and of no further force or effect as to the defendants herein, and the Soutland Life Insurance Company is hereby relieved of all further liability thereunder and is hereby discharged from all further liability with respect to the proceeds of said policy of insurance.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall pay to plaintiff out of the fund already deposited with him the sum of \$ 29.30 for costs in his behalf expended and allowable under the rules of this court, and the sum of \$ 100.00 for counsel fee for the service of counsel for the prosecution of this suit.

Royce H. Savage
Judge of the United States
District Court

IN THE
DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

DEC 28 1951

CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY)
Plaintiff)

VS)

HOUDRY PROCESS CORPORATION,
a corporation)

Defendant

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2822

CLERK OF COURT
COURT HOUSE

ORDER TRANSFERRING CAUSE

On November 5, 1951, this cause came on to be heard as to defendant's motion to dismiss and thereupon, the parties appeared by their respective attorneys and evidence was introduced and arguments heard and briefs then and subsequently submitted by counsel for the respective parties, and upon consideration thereof, the court finds on this day that the venue of the cause is not in this District and that the suit could have been brought in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia Division.

It is, therefore, ORDERED on this day that this cause be, and the same is hereby transferred to said Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia Division.

THIS December 17, 1951.

Royce H. Savage
United States District Judge

O.K. as to form
W. B. Handley for plaintiff
Garrett Logan for Deft.

IN THE
DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED
DEC 28 1951
PUBLIC DEPT
CIVIL ACTION NO. 2822

CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY)
Plaintiff)
VS)
HOUDRY PROCESS CORPORATION,)
a corporation)
Defendant

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2822

ORDER TRANSFERRING CAUSE

On November 5, 1951, this cause came on to be heard as to defendant's motion to dismiss and thereupon, the parties appeared by their respective attorneys and evidence was introduced and arguments heard and briefs then and subsequently submitted by counsel for the respective parties, and upon consideration thereof, the court finds on this day that the venue of the cause is not in this District and that the suit could have been brought in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia Division.

It is, therefore, ORDERED on this day that this cause be, and the same is hereby transferred to said Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia Division.

THIS December 17, 1951.

Royce H. Savage
United States District Judge

O.K. as to form
W. B. Handley for plaintiff
Garrett Logan for Deft.