

petitioner
 vs.
 700.00 acres of land, more or less,
 situate in Creek County, Oklahoma, and
 Jay Harbord, et al.
 respondents

No. 3440-Civil

FILED

AUG 2 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
 Clerk U. S. District Court

U. S. District Court
 Tulsa, Oklahoma
 Case No. 3440-Civil

On this 2nd day of August, 1950, this matter came on to be heard, upon the motion of the petitioner to confirm the commissioners' award as to tract No. 103 involved herein, and the court having been fully advised in the premises, finds that more than sixty days have elapsed since the filing of said commissioners' report and that all demands for jury trial have been dismissed as to said tract of land and said commissioners' report should be confirmed and approved in every respect as to said tract.

The court further finds that a deposit has been made under the declaration of taking, as to said tract and that a deficiency exists as hereinafter set forth.

It is therefore ordered, that the commissioners' report be, and the same is hereby, confirmed and approved by the court as to said tract and in the following amount, to-wit:

<u>Tract No. 103</u>	
Commissioners' award	\$1,000.00
Expenses	500.00

Deficiency	\$500.00

That said amount is final in all respects as to the fair, cash, market value, including all expenses of whatsoever nature as to said tract of land. The judgment on the declaration of taking is therefore hereby affirmed.

... that the United States, petitioner
herein, deposit the sum of two hundred (by an 00/100 dollars, \$200.00)
into the register of this court to make up the deficiency as hereinabove
set out.

once to be done

...
... petitioner
Curtis Harris
Special Attorney, Department of
Justice

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

J. C. KENNEDY,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

SEAFORD OPERATING COMPANY
and HILAND PATTER,

Defendants.

No. 2637 Civil

FILED

AUG 4 1950

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

Now on this the 4th day of August, 1950, this matter comes on for decision on plaintiff's motion for New Trial. In open court the defendants filed their consent for the court to increase the judgment herein rendered on the jury verdict from Twelve hundred fifty and No/100 (\$1,250.00) Dollars to Two Thousand and No/100 (\$2,000.00) Dollars and in open court the plaintiff consents and agrees thereto, and the court finds that the judgment of this court heretofore rendered on the verdict should be vacated and a new judgment rendered on consent of both the plaintiff and the defendants for Two Thousand and No/100 (\$2,000.00) Dollars and same is so ordered.

BE IT, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the judgment of the court heretofore rendered on the jury's verdict in this cause be, and the same hereby is, vacated and a judgment for Two Thousand and No/100 (\$2,000.00) Dollars is hereby rendered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants, and each of them, and that a copy of judgment shall be placed of record in plaintiff's favor against the defendants, and each of them, in the sum of Two Thousand and No/100 (\$2,000.00) Dollars and the costs of this action, for all of which let execution issue.

C. K.

Chas. K. Kennedy
Attorney for the Plaintiff

C. P.

Chas. P. ...
Attorneys for the Defendant

George H. ...
Judge

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,
vs.
Defendant.

FILED
AUG 2 1950
NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court
Room 209 Civil.

FINAL JUDGMENT

On the 4th day of August, 1950,
the Court in the above entitled cause, after hearing the parties and
reading the evidence, has rendered the following judgment:
That the defendant is liable to the plaintiff for the sum of
\$10,000.00, with interest thereon from the date of the
judgment to the date of payment, at the rate of 6% per annum,
and for the costs of the suit, to be paid by the defendant to the
plaintiff within 30 days of the date of this judgment, subject to
the right of the defendant to appeal therefrom.

- (1) The plaintiff (parties) of the above cause
- (2) Plaintiff: (Name) (Address)
- (3) Defendant: (Name) (Address)

It is the order of the Court that the defendant pay to the plaintiff
the sum of \$10,000.00, with interest thereon from the date of the
judgment to the date of payment, at the rate of 6% per annum,
and for the costs of the suit, to be paid by the defendant to the
plaintiff within 30 days of the date of this judgment, subject to
the right of the defendant to appeal therefrom.

Witness my hand and the seal of the Court at the City of San Diego,
California, this 4th day of August, 1950.

... considered, ...

... Yuan ... acknowledge

... of course ...

... considered, ...

... 200 ...

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

RECONSTRUCTION FINANCE CORPORATION,
a corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

GILBERT S. MORTON, ET AL

Defendants

No. 2 6 4 3 - Civil

FILED

AUG 4 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This cause came on for hearing on the 2nd day of June, 1950, on plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings against the defendant Gilbert S. Morton. The plaintiff appeared by its attorney, Wm. Don Dow of Kerr, Catlett, Lambert & Conn, and the defendant Gilbert S. Morton appeared by his attorney Jack C. Brown, and the court upon consideration of said motion finds that the motion should be sustained but that judgment thereon should be deferred until this case is ready for final judgment as to all defendants.

Now, on this 4th day of August, 1950, the above entitled cause comes on for hearing upon the plaintiff's motion for default judgment. The plaintiff appeared by its attorney _____ *W. D. Dow* of Kerr, Catlett, Lambert & Conn, and the defendants Gilbert S. Morton and Richard E. Morton, partners, d/b/a Sunbeam Ice Cream Palace and Sunbeam Ice Cream Company and Tulsa Paper Company, a corporation, came not but made default.

After examining the records in this cause and being fully advised in the premises the Court finds that the defendants Gilbert S. Morton and Tulsa Paper Company have each been duly served with a copy of the Complaint and Summons in this action, and that the time within which the said defendants might answer or otherwise move has expired and that neither of said defendants has

on file herein any answer or pleading which presents any issue for consideration by the court. That the defendant Richard E. Morton who is a non-resident of this District and the State of Oklahoma, has been duly served with notice of this action in accordance with the Act of Congress approved June 25, 1948, (28 U.S.C.A. 1455); that the time within which said defendant might answer or otherwise move has expired and that said defendant is likewise in default. The Court further finds from the affidavit of Howard Davis that neither of the defendants Gilbert S. Morton or Richard E. Morton is engaged in the Military Service of the United States within the meaning of the Soldiers' and Sailors Civil Relief Act of 1940 as amended, and that neither of said defendants is an infant or incompetent person. The Court further finds that this is a proper case to proceed to judgment, and it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that each and every one of the defendants in this action is in default and the allegations of the plaintiff's Complaint are hereby adjudged to be true and confessed against them.

Accordingly, the Court finds that the defendant Gilbert S. Morton is indebted to the plaintiff herein upon the note described in the Complaint of the plaintiff herein in the principal sum of \$21,709.25 with interest thereon at the rate of 4% per annum from June 15, 1949, to this date amounting to \$988.55; and for advances for taxes and insurance amounting to \$389.14 with interest thereon to this date amounting to \$10.42, and for abstract expenses of \$201.92; and for an attorney's fee which shall be fixed by the Court at a later date.

It is THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the plaintiff, Reconstruction Finance Corporation do have and recover of and from the defendant Gilbert S. Morton a personal judgment for the total sum of \$23,299.28 with interest thereon at the rate of 4% per annum from this date until paid, and for all the costs of this action, including an attorney's fee to be fixed by the Court at a later date; and that the plaintiff have judgment in rem against the defendant Richard E. Morton that there is due the

plaintiff under its said note and mortgages the total sum of \$23,299.28 with interest thereon at the rate of 4% per annum from this date until paid, and for the costs of this action, including an attorney's fee to be fixed by the Court at a later date.

The Court further finds that whatever right, title or interest the defendant Tulsa Paper Company may have in or to the property involved in this action is subject, junior and inferior to the mortgages of the plaintiff herein.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff's note herein sued upon is hereby cancelled in judgment.

The Court further finds the plaintiff is entitled to have its real estate mortgage reformed as prayed for in plaintiff's petition and that the plaintiff has a first and prior lien against the real estate set out and described in plaintiff's petition, to-wit:

Lots 7 and 8, in Block 118, in the City of Miami, according to the Official Recorded Plat thereof; also a strip of ground along the north side of Lot 9, in said Block 118 three-fourths of an inch wide at the east end of said lot and tapering to zero at the west end thereof, and not occupied or covered by the building on said Lot 9,

to secure the payment of said indebtedness, interest and costs.

The Court further finds that plaintiff has a first and prior lien against the personal property set out and described in the chattel mortgages described in the Complaint of the plaintiff herein, which chattel mortgages are dated July 17, 1947, September 18, 1947, and November 4, 1947, and upon the Party Wall Contract described in the Complaint of the plaintiff.

It is FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that the lien of the plaintiff upon the real property above described and upon the personal property covered by the said chattel mortgages and upon the said Party Wall Contract be, and the same is hereby ordered foreclosed and the said mortgages and assignment of Party Wall Contract cancelled in judgment, and the above described real and personal property of the said defendants as hereinbefore des-


cribed is hereby ordered to be sold to satisfy the judgment herein, and upon the filing of a written Praecipe a Special Execution and Order of Sale shall issue commanding the Marshal to levy upon the property therein described and to proceed to advertise and sell the same according to law, and to apply the proceeds of said sale as follows:

FIRST: In payment of the costs of said sale and of this action.

SECOND: In payment to the said plaintiff of the sum of \$23,299.28 with interest thereon at the rate of 4% per annum from this date to the date of the sale.

THIRD: That the residue, if any there be, be held to await the further order of this Court.

It is FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that from and after the sale of the said property under and by virtue of this judgment and decree, that the defendants herein, and any and all persons claiming under them, or any of them, since the commencement of this action be and are forever barred and foreclosed of and from any and all rights, title, lien, interest, estate or equity in or to the real and personal property involved in this action.



Judge of the District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Petitioner

vs.

1,872.19 acres of land, more or
less, situate in Osage County,
Oklahoma, and Evelyn Ross Benson,
et al,

Respondents

No. 2642-Civil

FILED
In Open Court

AUG 11 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER FIXING TITLE

Now on this 11th day of August, 1950, this cause came on to be heard, pursuant to due notice given, and the Court having been fully advised in the premises, finds that the full fee simple title in and to the lands involved in this proceeding, subject to existing easements for public roads and highways, public utilities, railroads and pipe lines, excepting however, all oil, gas, coal and other minerals owned by the Osage Tribe of Indians pursuant to the Act of Congress approved June 28, 1906 (34 Stat. U. 539) and Acts amendatory thereof and supplementary thereto, and excepting an outstanding gas lease with respect to Tract No. 3-1, was, at the time of taking by the petitioner, vested as follows, to-wit:

Tract No. C-11

Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4; and South Half of North Half ($S\frac{1}{2} N\frac{1}{2}$), and Southeast Quarter ($SE\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 1; AND Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 12, all in Township 28 North, Range 10 East of the Indian Base and Meridian; AND

Part of the Southeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter ($SE\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4}$) described as: Beginning at the southeast corner thereof; thence West 1089' m/l to a point; thence North 825' m/l; thence East 1089' m/l to East line of Southeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter ($SE\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4}$); thence South 825' m/l to point of beginning, of Section 31, Township 29 North, Range 11 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, all situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 689.43 acres, more or less.

Title is vested in Frankie Crane Day, B. H. Day, Virgil Crane and Agnes Crane.

Tract No. C-20

Southwest Quarter ($SW\frac{1}{4}$); and South Half of Northwest Quarter ($S\frac{1}{2} NW\frac{1}{4}$), and Lots 3 and 4, of Section 4; AND Southeast Quarter ($SE\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 5, all in Township 28 North, Range 11 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 491.58 acres, more or less.

Title is vested in Rachel Shaw Cushman, V. E. Cushman, Mary Jane Shaw.

Tract No. D-4

East Half of Southeast Quarter of Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter ($E\frac{1}{2} SE\frac{1}{4} NE\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 11, Township 28 North, Range 10 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 5.00 acres, more or less.

Title is vested in the Estate of Charles F. Stuart: Donald L. Stuart, Executor, D. J. Stuart, Trustee.

Tract No. D-9

North 28.68 acres of Lot 1, described as: Beginning at the northeast corner thereof; thence West 1320'; thence South 969.04'; thence East 1320'; thence North 960.30' to point of beginning, southeast 10.00 acres of Lot 1 being 660' by 660' square; and Northeast Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Northeast Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4} NE\frac{1}{4}$), and East Half of Northwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Northeast Quarter ($E\frac{1}{2} NW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4} NE\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 3, Township 28 North, Range 10 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 53.68 acres, more or less.

Title is vested in Martha Ross Whiteman, C. F. Whiteman, Frances Carlene Ross, Mary Ann Ross, Jannie Sue Ross.

Tract No. D-12

West Half of Northwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($W\frac{1}{2} NW\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$), and Northwest Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$); and West Half of Northeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($W\frac{1}{2} NE\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$); and Southeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($SE\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$); and West Half of Southwest Quarter of Northeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($W\frac{1}{2} SW\frac{1}{4} NE\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$); and Northwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$); and North Half of Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($N\frac{1}{2} NE\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 9, Township 28 North, Range 10 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 57.50 acres, more or less.

Title is vested in Donald L. Stuart, Executor of the Estate of Charles F. Stuart, deceased.

Tract No. E-1

Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4}$) and North Half of Southeast Quarter ($N\frac{1}{2} SE\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 35; AND West Half of Southeast Quarter ($W\frac{1}{2} SE\frac{1}{4}$), and East Half of Southwest Quarter ($E\frac{1}{2} SW\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 36, all in Township 29 North, Range 10 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 280.00 acres, more or less.

Title is vested in Frankie Crane Day, B. H. Day, Virgil Crane and Agnes Crane.

Tract No. E-8

North Half of Northeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($N\frac{1}{2} NE\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$); and North Half of Northeast Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($N\frac{1}{2} NE\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$); and Southwest Quarter of Northeast Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($SW\frac{1}{4} NE\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$); and North Half of Southeast Quarter of Northeast Quarter of Northeast Quarter ($N\frac{1}{2} SE\frac{1}{4} NE\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$); and North Half of Northwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($N\frac{1}{2} NW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$); and Southeast Quarter of Northeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($SE\frac{1}{4} NE\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$); and East Half of Southeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($E\frac{1}{2} SE\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$); and Northeast Quarter of Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4} NE\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 21; AND West Half of Northwest Quarter ($W\frac{1}{2} NW\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 27; all in Township 29 North, Range 10 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 135.00 acres, more or less.

Title is vested in Estate of Charles F. Stuart, deceased; Donald L. Stuart, Executor and D. J. Stuart, Trustees.

Tract No. E-14

Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4}$); and Northwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$), and East Half of Southeast Quarter ($E\frac{1}{2} SE\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 22, Township 29 North, Range 10 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 160.00 acres, more or less.

Title is vested in Frankie Crane Day, B. H. Day, Virgil Crane and Agnes Crane.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the title to the above described real estate and estates therein taken by these proceedings was, at the time of taking by the petitioner herein vested in the persons hereinabove set out, and said persons are entitled to receive just compensation for the taking of said lands in these proceedings. Such right to receive the just compensation is subject to the paramount lien and payment of any and all taxes due, assessable and payable, of whatever nature.



JUDGE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
) Petitioner)
)
 vs.)
)
 1,372.19 acres of land, more or)
 less, situate in Osage County,)
 Oklahoma, and Evelyn Moss Benson,)
 et al,)
)
) Respondents)

No. 2642-Civil

FILED
In Open Court

AUG 11 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

J U D G E M E N T

Now on this 11 day of August, 1950, this cause comes on to be heard, and the Court having been fully advised in the premises, finds that written contracts introduced in evidence, fix the value of certain tracts, including all damages and interest, as agreed upon by and between the petitioner and the owners of said tracts.

The Court further finds that said agreed values should be confirmed and approved.

The Court further finds that a deposit has been made under the Declaration of Taking filed herein as to said tracts, and that no deficiency exists.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the agreed values be, and the same are hereby, confirmed and approved in all respects by this Court, as to the following tracts and in the following amounts, to-wit:

Tract No. C-11

Agreed Value \$61,850.00
Deposited \$61,850.00

Tract No. C-20

Agreed Value \$36,250.00
Deposited \$36,250.00

U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF KANSAS

WILLIAM J. HARRIS, et al.,
Petitioner

-vs-

450.00 acres of land, more
or less, situate in Osage
County, Oklahoma, and H. and
H. Supply Company, et al.,

Respondents

No. 8866-Civil

FILED
In Open Court

AUG 11 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER SIGNED TIME

Now on this 11th day of August, 1950, this cause came on to be heard, pursuant to due notice given, and the Court, having been fully advised in the premises, finds that the right, title and interests of the H. and H. Supply Company and of H. Jaggoner in and to the land hereinafter described, which interests are vested in them by virtue of three oil leases each executed under date of 17 December 1938 by the Osage Tribe of Indians in Oklahoma in favor of the Charles Petroleum Corporation and each subsequently assigned under date of 15 April 1940 to H. and H. Supply Company and H. Jaggoner, was, at the time of taking by the petitioner, vested as follows, to-wit:

The Southwest quarter (SW/4) of Section 9; and the Northwest quarter (NW/4) and the Southwest quarter (SW/4) of Section 10, all in Township 28 North, Range 11 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, and containing 450.00 acres, more or less;

Title vested in the H. and H. Supply Company and H. Jaggoner.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the title to the above described real estate and estates therein taken by these proceedings was, at the time of taking, by the petitioner herein vested in the persons herein above set out, and said persons are entitled to receive

just compensation for the taking of said lands in these proceedings.
Such right to receive the just compensation is subject to the amount
lien and payment of any and all taxes due, assessable and payable, of
whichever nature.

/s/ ROYCE H. SAVAGE

JUDGE

U. S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

The Capital City Building, a corporation,

Plaintiff

vs.

W. S. ...

Defendants

No. 221 - Civil

FILED

AUG 11 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

This cause came on to be heard at the place aforesaid, and the court heard evidence presented on behalf of all the parties hereto. Thereafter the cause was argued by counsel and, upon consideration thereof, the court says findings of fact and conclusions of law, and

and on this 11th day of August, 1950, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

1. The agreement dated March 1, 1946, between defendant, W. S. ... and plaintiff, The Capital City Building, a corporation (now by change of name a Capital City Building Company), as second party, and defendant, ... being "Exhibit A" to the complaint, is in full force and effect and is binding upon each and all of the defendants.

2. Defendants, ... and Capital City Building Company, pay five any and all amounts required or permitted to be given under the said contract of March 1, 1946, under its consent to the drilling of additional wells (other than necessary and proper offsets) on paying wells on plaintiff's properties and consent to the making of expenditures in the amount of ... in excess of ... thousand dollars ...

3. None of the defendants ... participated in ... against the plaintiff ... and the plaintiff ...

Company, and hereinafter specifically described.

The following described oil and gas leasehold estates, together with the oil produced therefrom to the credit of the leasehold estate concerned, subject to existing unitization agreements, overriding royalties and oil payments, are hereby sold without cost (0%) by plaintiff and twenty per cent (20%) share of production, Delaney and Zepher, Drilling Company, except where a different ownership is indicated by the note following the descriptions, and defendant Mills has no right, title or interest in any of said leases or the oil produced therefrom:

OIL AND GAS LEASES IN WHICH A PRT AGREEMENT
WAS MADE BY CARTER, CO., DELAWARE 20%, AND
ZEPHER 20%, UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED IN
NOTE AT END HEREOF

in Township 2 North, Range 1 West, Garvin County, Oklahoma:		Sec.	Gross Acres	Net Acres
No.	Description			
1.	J. W. Scheidt 50 1/2 Sec.	31	50	40
2.	Susan Powell 50 1/2 Sec.	31	50	40
3.	A. Fyeatt 50 1/2 Sec.	31	50	40
4.	H. E. Cottrell* 50 1/2 Sec.	31	50	40
5.	W. B. Embert* 50 1/2 Sec.	31	50	40
6.	Johnston Estate 50 1/2 Sec. and 1/4 Sec. 31	32	50	40
7.	Mary E. Poci (Miller) 50 1/2 Sec.	32	50	40
8.	Tim Perry* 50 1/2 Sec. and 1/4 Sec. 31	32	50	40
9.	J. W. Curtis 50 1/2 Sec. and 1/4 Sec. 31	32	80	65
10.	G. H. McKinzie 50 1/2 Sec. and 1/4 Sec. 31 and 50 1/2 Sec. 31	32	75	60
11.	Wesley Denton 1/4 Sec. 31, 50 1/2 Sec. 31 and 1/4 Sec. 31, and 50 1/2 Sec. 31 and 1/4 Sec. 31, and 1/4 Sec. 31	32	125	100
12.	J. A. Nicholas 50 1/2 Sec.	31	50	40
13.	W. B. Embert* 50 1/2 Sec.	31	50	40
14.	Ellis Shackleton 50 1/2 Sec.	31	77.50	62.00
15.	L. A. Shipley 50 1/2 Sec.	31	50	40
16.	H. E. Eckels 50 1/2 Sec. and 1/4 Sec. 31 and 1/4 Sec. 31	32	75	60

In Township 1 North, Range 1 West,
Garvin County, Oklahoma

<u>No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Sec-</u>	<u>Gross Acres</u>	<u>Net Acres</u>
17.	John Crowl	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
<u>In Township 1 North, Range 1 West, Garvin County, Oklahoma</u>					
18.	John Crowl	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
19.	Robert Field	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
20.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
21.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
22.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
23.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
24.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
25.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
26.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
27.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
28.	2.40 acre mineral interest in land described in No. 27*			2.40	2.40
29.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
30.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
31.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
32.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
33.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
34.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
35.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
36.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
37.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
38.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
39.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00
40.	John Perry	SW 1/4 Sec 10	12	10	10.00

THE AFO HAS LEASES IN WHICH "NET ROYALTY"
ARE OWNED BY DELANEY, JR. and
ZEPHYR CO.

In Township 2 North, Range 1 West,
Garvin County, Oklahoma:

<u>No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Sec.</u>	<u>Gross Acres</u>	<u>Net Acres</u>
46.	Robert W. Rose	Net 1/2	32	40	20

In Township 1 North, Range 1 West,
Garvin County, Oklahoma:

47.	J. J. Rose	1/2 NE1/4 SW1/4 and 1/2 SW1/4 NW1/4 and 1/2 NW1/4 NW1/4 acres NW1/4 SW1/4	6	37.5	37.5
48.	Knabersch	1/2 NE1/4 NE1/4 and 1/2 SW1/4 NE1/4 and 1/2 SW1/4 NW1/4	9	35	35
49.	Olson	1/2 SW1/4 SW1/4	9	20	20

7. The following described mineral or royalty interests are owned by defendant, Zephyr Drilling Company, and defendant Bille has no right, title or interest therein:

MINERAL OR ROYALTY INTEREST
OWNED BY ZEPHYR

<u>No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Fractional Interest</u>
50.	Stavensco	SW1/4 SW1/4 Sec. 1-1-10	1/8 of 1/8 N. I.
51.	Stavensco-Richard	1/2 SW1/4 NW1/4 Sec. 1-1-10	9/80 of 1/8 N. I.
52.	G. A. Christian	SW1/4 SW1/4 Sec. 5-1-10	1/8 of 1/8 N. I.
53.	Mary F. Pool	SW1/4 SW1/4 Sec. 32-2-10	1/8 of 1/8 N. I.

8. That the defendant, Glen S. Bille, took nothing by his answer, counterclaims and cross-claims herein as against plaintiff or defendants, W. A. Delaney, Jr. and Zephyr Drilling Company, a corporation (now by change of name Zephyr Petroleum Company), and that all claims of defendant Bille for affirmative relief be and are dismissed on the merits.

9. That the titles and possession of the defendants, W. A. Delaney, Jr. and Zephyr Drilling Company, in and to the properties hereinabove described be and the same are hereby forever settled and quieted in them as against all claims or demands by the defendant Bille and those claiming by, through or under him, and the defendant Bille and

Under claim in, heretofore are hereby, respectively assigned and re-
assigned from defendant's right, title, interest or estate in and
to the above described properties, hostile or adverse to the posses-
sion and title of the defendants, Delaney and Zephyr Drilling
Company.

10. Plaintiff have and recover its costs herein against defen-
dants, and defendants, Delaney and Zephyr Drilling Company, have
and recover their costs herein against defendant, Glen A. Hillie.

11. Each and all the foregoing, defendant, Glen A. Hillie, excepts
and its exceptions are allowed.

Eugene Rice
DISTRICT JUDGE

12. It is so ordered.

Garett Logan
Attorney for Plaintiff

W.D. Price
Gentry Lee
Attorney for Defendants,
Delaney, et al.

Gentry Lee
Attorney for Defendants, Zephyr
Drilling Company and Zephyr Petroleum
Company

R.D. Hudson
Attorney for Defendant,
Glen A. Hillie

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BARNABUS D. WILMS,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
THE AETNA CASUALTY AND SURETY COMPANY,)
a corporation, and WESTERN CASUALTY)
AND SURETY COMPANY, a corporation,)
Defendants.)

No. 2693

FILED

AUG 11 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 11th day of August, 1950, this cause comes on for hearing on the Motion of the plaintiff for dismissal with prejudice and it appearing to the court that plaintiff and the defendants above named have fully settled and compromised their differences, the court finds that said cause of action of the plaintiff should be dismissed.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that plaintiff's cause of action be, and the same is, hereby dismissed at the cost of the plaintiff.

George H. Savage
Judge

O.K. as to form.

E. J. Noonan by C. F.
C. F. Noonan
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Green + Turner
W. H. Green
W. H. Turner
Attorneys for Defendant

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

L. O. BELMONT, Administrator of
Darlene K. Shannon,
Plaintiff,

vs.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
Defendant.

No. 2616 Civil

FILED

AUG 11 1958

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

Final Order

The above entitled and numbered case coming on for trial on the 26th day of June, 1958, the plaintiff shall have judgment is hereby given against the defendant in the sum of Ten Thousand (\$10,000.00) Dollars, together with interest thereon at the rate of six (6) per cent per annum from the 14th day of December, 1957, together with costs.

Raymond H. Savage
Raymond H. Savage, Judge.

OK as to form
R. M. Shannon
atty for Plaintiff
OK as to form
R. M. Shannon
atty for Plaintiff

NOBLE C. HOOD, Clerk U. S. District Court

Walter F. ... Petitioner

-vs-

No. 1048-Civil

1,670.14 acres of land, more or less, situate in ... Residents

FILED In Open Court

AUG 11 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER OF THE COURT

Now on and in full force of a writ, 1890, this matter comes on to be heard, and the Court, being fully advised in the premises, it is the distribution made be made as to certain tracts involved in this proceeding.

It is, therefore, ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court issue checks, payable as follows, to-wit:

Tract No. D-4

Donald S. Stuart, Executor of the Estate of Charles F. Stuart, deceased and D. J. Stuart, Trustee. . . . \$100.00

Tract no. D-12

Donald S. Stuart, Executor of the Estate of Charles F. Stuart, deceased. . . . \$2135.00
Archie Williams. . . . 500.00
Clerk of United States District Court. . . . 75.00
(\$1000.00 to be paid)

Tract No. E-2

Donald S. Stuart, Executor of the Estate of Charles F. Stuart, deceased and D. J. Stuart, Trustee. . . . \$500.00

Walter F. ... Petitioner
Attorney-in-Fact

Total amount distributed by this order: \$3,500.00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,

Libellant,

vs.

No. 2658 Civil

One 1940 Chevrolet Pickup Truck,
Motor No. K-2769297, Oscar N. Wicker,
and L. L. Greer, doing business as
Greer Motor Company,

Claimants.

FILED

AUG 14 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court


JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOW, on this 11th day of August, 1950, this cause of action having come on before the court pursuant to regular assignment, the libellant appearing by Whit Y. Meury, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and Hobart Brown, Assistant United States Attorney, and the claimant, L. L. Greer, doing business as Greer Motor Company, appearing in person and by attorney, Earl Truesdale, and the court having jurisdiction of the parties and subject matter and having heard the evidence and testimony presented, finds all issues in favor of libellant and against the claimants herein.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED and DECREED BY THE COURT that a forfeiture herein be and the same is hereby allowed as to the 1940 Chevrolet Pickup Truck, Motor No. K2769297, and the truck is ordered delivered to the United States Treasury Department for the use of the Bureau of Internal Revenue, Alcohol Tax Unit.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED and DECREED that mitigation of forfeiture claimed by L. L. Greer, doing business as Greer Motor Company, be and the same is hereby denied.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.



JUDGE

DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

For The

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Coin Machine Acceptance Corporation,
a corporation

Plaintiff

- vs -

Nick Bourlis,

Defendant.

Number E 6 1 8

FILED

AUG 14 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

J U D G M E N T

This cause came on to be heard on 11th August, 1950, and it was argued by counsel and thereupon, upon consideration thereof, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that plaintiff have judgment against the defendant in the amount of \$6,407.18, and costs of this action.

Royce H. Savage

*OK
Jos. N. Shidler
Att'y for Plaintiff*

U.S. District Court
District of Columbia

John Doe, Plaintiff,
vs.
Jane Smith, Defendant.

FILED

AUG 15 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

Comes now the Plaintiff, John Doe, and moves the Court for an order that the Defendant, Jane Smith, be enjoined from further disseminating the information contained in the attached exhibits, and that she be required to pay the Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant has obtained the information in the attached exhibits from the work of a confidential source of the Plaintiff, and that the Defendant has disseminated the same to the press and other persons. The Plaintiff claims that the Defendant's actions have caused the Plaintiff to suffer irreparable harm and that the Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction. The Plaintiff's motion is supported by the following affidavits: [illegible]

The Plaintiff further alleges that the Defendant has disseminated the information in the attached exhibits to the press and other persons, and that the Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction. The Plaintiff's motion is supported by the following affidavits: [illegible]

The Plaintiff further alleges that the Defendant has disseminated the information in the attached exhibits to the press and other persons, and that the Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction. The Plaintiff's motion is supported by the following affidavits: [illegible]

The Plaintiff further alleges that the Defendant has disseminated the information in the attached exhibits to the press and other persons, and that the Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction. The Plaintiff's motion is supported by the following affidavits: [illegible]

The Plaintiff further alleges that the Defendant has disseminated the information in the attached exhibits to the press and other persons, and that the Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction. The Plaintiff's motion is supported by the following affidavits: [illegible]

The Plaintiff further alleges that the Defendant has disseminated the information in the attached exhibits to the press and other persons, and that the Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction. The Plaintiff's motion is supported by the following affidavits: [illegible]

having the same conditions as the will and the estate
of the testator. In the case of the will, the testator
is the person who makes the will and the estate is the
property which he leaves behind him. In the case of the
estate, the testator is the person who makes the will
and the estate is the property which he leaves behind
him.

It is the duty of the executor to see that the
will is carried out in accordance with the wishes of
the testator.

The executor must see that the property is
distributed in accordance with the will. He must also
see that the debts of the testator are paid. He must
also see that the property is preserved and that it is
not dissipated.

At Aug. 15, 1911, A.D.

121 Royce H. Savage

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff

vs.

Civil Action No. 2391

LARKIN BAILEY
c/o Tulsa Abstract Company
Tulsa, Oklahoma

Defendant

FILED

AUG 16 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

O R D E R

On August 14, 1950 this cause having been regularly set for pre-trial conference came on to be tried. The parties appeared by their respective attorneys of record and agreed to submit the cause to the Court for his disposition on the sole issue of whether or not the premises were decontrolled during the time involved in the suit.

The following facts were submitted by counsel for Court's decision:

1. That in the year of 1948 the premises located at 1610 East 6th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, were rented by the Defendant to R. L. Shelton.
2. That subsequent to the renting of the premises above described, the Defendant did certain work which resulted in the six-room house being converted into two premises, capable of being rented separately. This described work being completed on or about December 10, 1948.
3. That the tenant, R. L. Shelton, subsequently rented a portion of the premises and received the rents therefrom and continued to live in that portion of the premises which he had not sub-rent.

The Court after careful consideration of the above facts finds that the entire premises became decontrolled upon the completion of the conversion above-described and were no longer subject to the Housing and Rent Act of 1947, as amended nor of any of the regulations issued pursuant thereto, and that the Order of the Area Rent Director of the Tulsa Defense Rental Area dated November 21, 1949 was a nullity and that this lawsuit should be and is hereby dismissed.

Dated this 16 day of Aug., 1950.

Raymond Savage
United States District Judge

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

IN RE: [Illegible]

Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

J. HODGES
1223 South [Illegible]
Indianapolis, Indiana

Defendant

FILED

AUG 16 1951

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER

On the 14th day of August, 1950 this court having been regularly set for trial as aforesaid, the plaintiff and the defendant appeared by their respective attorneys of record and announced to the court they had conferred upon a settlement of this case. The terms of such settlement being:

1. That the plaintiff dismisses his cause of action against the defendant insofar as the same is in favor of J. Lucas and J. J. Lucas are concerned.

2. That the defendant was to refund to Charles Avery \$50.00. This refund to be made by the defendant at the office of the Publishing Editor, 321 1/2 South Indiana Building, 115 1/2 West Street, Indianapolis, Indiana.

The proposed settlement terms being satisfactory to the court, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

1. That the claim made by the plaintiff against the defendant for J. J. Lucas and J. Lucas be dismissed.

2. That the defendant refund to Charles Avery \$50.00. This refund to be made by the defendant at the office of the Publishing Editor, 321 1/2 South Indiana Building, 115 1/2 West Street, Indianapolis, Indiana. A check to be payable to the Treasurer of the United States in the amount of \$50.00.

3. All of or other charges for this case to be finally decided.

Done this 14th day of August, 1951.

Boyer H. Savage
United States District Judge

Attorney for defendant

U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Petitioner

-vs-

81.01 acres of land, more or less, situate in Creek County, Oklahoma, and Jack Abraham, et al,

Respondents

No. 2234-Civil

FILED

AUG 18 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JUDGMENT AS TO TRACT NO. A-12

Now on this 18th day of August, 1950, there comes on for hearing the matter of determining the value of the oil and gas leasehold estate as owned by the Harris Drilling Company and William Shibley on Tract No. A-12, involved in this proceeding, and the entering of judgment confirming stipulations and agreements entered into herein. The Court finds that the Harris Drilling Company and William Shibley had a valid oil and gas lease on the land at the time the Government took title thereto and that said oil and gas lease has a reasonable fair, cash, market value of \$530,000.

The Court further finds that all other parties interested in any other part of the land involved have stipulated and agreed that the fair, cash, market value of the remaining interest in fee title is \$4,730.00 and judgment should be entered on said tract in the total amount of \$5,060.00 as just compensation therefor.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the just compensation, and the fair, cash, market value of Tract No. A-12 involved in this proceeding is hereby fixed in the amount of \$5,060.00, the amount deposited by the United States of America as the just compensation for said tract.

Boyd H. Savage
JUDGE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Petitioner
by Durtis L. Harris
Trial Attorney-Dept. of Justice

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES TRUST CO.,
Plaintiff
Petitioner

-vs-

16.81 acres of land, more or
less, situate in Creek County,
Oklahoma, and Jack Braden, et al.
Respondents

No. 2234-Civil

FILED

AUG 18 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

FILED IN OFFICE OF CLERK OF COURT
TO EFFECT NO. 2-11

Now on this 18th day of August, 1950, this matter comes on to be heard, and the Court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that stipulations heretofore filed in this proceeding fix the value of Tract No. 2-11 involved herein, as agreed upon by and between the petitioner herein and the respondent owners of said tract; and that said stipulations and agreed values should be confirmed and approved in every respect by this Court.

The Court further finds that a deposit has been made under a Declaration of Trust, filed herein and that no deficiency exists as to said tract.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said stipulations and agreed value be, and the same are hereby, confirmed and approved in every respect by this Court as to the said Tract No. 2-11, and in the following amount, to-wit: \$7,500.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said amount is final in all respects as to the fair, cash, market value of said tract, including interest and all charges of whatsoever nature. The Declaration of Trust heretofore entered is hereby reaffirmed.

Boyer H. Savage
Clerk

UNITED STATES TRUST CO.,
by Curtis P. Harris
Trial Attorney-Department of Justice

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

NO. 2610 - Civil.

MRS. ANNA L. NIXON
1939 South Florence
Tulsa, Oklahoma,

Defendant.

FILED

AUG 14 1950

JOURNAL ENTRY

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

Now on this 14th day of August, 1950, the above case came on for trial after due notice of setting, at which time the Plaintiff was represented by Frederick C. Ward and the Defendant was present in person and by J. H. Covington, at which time all parties announced ready and the court, after hearing the evidence of both parties, makes the following findings.

1. That the premises described as apartment #3, 124 South Cheyenne, Tulsa, Oklahoma, were in use for business or non-housing as aplied to this action, on and after February 1, 1947 and thereafter converted to housing.
2. That the premises described as apartment #5, same location, were converted to business use in the month of March, 1947 and has so continued; prior thereto it was controlled housing.
3. That the premises described as apartment #1, same location, were used as controlled housing but plaintiff has failed to sustain the burden of proof in showing rent in excess of the established maximum rent has been collected.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that on motion of the defendant this cause be dismissed as the same applies to apartments #3 and #5 of said premises and a judgment is hereby rendered for the defendant as to

apartment "1" of said premises. If no further orders of a judge
tion be and the same is denied.

1st Ryan H. Savage
JUDGE

O.K.: Frederick G. Ward
Attorney for Plaintiff

O.K.: W. W. Wynn
Attorney for Defendant.

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff

vs.

Civil Action No. 100

G. A. BROWN
3 South Pacific
Columbia, Kansas

(FILED)

Defendant

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
J U D G M E N T

On August 14, 1950 this cause having been regularly set for disposition came on for hearing. The plaintiff appeared by his attorney of record, Frederick G. ... and the defendant, ... did not appear. It appearing from the records of this case that had been duly served and properly searched in this case on the 2nd day of ... 1950, and wholly failed to appear or answer herein, and in default, the court proceeded to hear the evidence adduced by the plaintiff, both oral testimony and documentary evidence, and in conformity with the court's findings of fact ... it is therefore:

- (a) That the defendant ...
- (b) That the defendant refused ...
- (c) That the defendant refused ...
- (d) These refusals are to be made ...
- (e) If ...
- (f) All ...

Dated this 21st day of August, 1950.

George H. Savage
United States District Judge

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

A. B. CAMPBELL,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROADWAY EXPRESS, INC.,

Defendant.


No. 2579-Civil

MAY 24 1950
NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Co

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

This cause came on to be heard the 16th day of May, 1950, pursuant to regular assignment for trial, said plaintiff not being present, defendant being present by its attorney, Truman E. Tucker; the court ordered this cause continued, and said cause came on to be heard on the 17th day of May, 1950, plaintiff not being present, defendant being present by and through its attorney, Truman E. Tucker, the court then and there ordered this cause dismissed for failure of the plaintiff to prosecute.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court that this cause is dismissed for failure on the part of the plaintiff to prosecute, and is dismissed with prejudice to the plaintiff's right to bring any future action in his behalf.



District Judge

IN SENATE
 SENATE COMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATION
 OF SENATORS

WALTER E. ...)
 G. ...)
 Co. ...)
)
)
)
)
)

No. 2639

FILED

JUN 23 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
 Clerk U. S. District Court

FINDINGS OF FACT

2. Complainants, Walter E. Stewart and G. ... , are citizens and residents of the State of Texas and are at the time of this hearing in Texas. The complaint, filed herein, is a result of the actions of The amount involved exceeds the sum of \$3,000.00 exclusive of interest thereon. The transaction described hereinafter was consummated on June 1, 1949.

3. On May 1, 1949 the complainants each did own and controlled one-half (1/2) interest in the certain oil and gas lease described as follows:

4 1/2 acre tract (1/2) of the East Hill (10) and the Southeast Quarter (1/4) of Section Twenty-nine (29), and the West Half (1/2) of the West 1/4 (1/4) of the Southeast Quarter (1/4) of Section Twenty-eight (28), all in Township Twenty-two (22) North, and of Range (13) East, Tarrant County, Texas.

3. On and before June 1, 1949 the complainant, Walter E. Stewart, represented to complainants that he owned a business known as ... and a fleet of oil derricks.

4. The following is a brief description of the oil and gas lease, dated May 1, 1949 and ending August 9, 1950, the complainants agreed to execute. The lease contained oil and gas for the aforementioned oil and gas business. That a value of \$20,000.00 was placed upon the oil lease and that a value of \$15,000.00 was placed upon the gas business. That the complainants agreed to assign an oil and gas lease upon the oil lease which was payable to the United National Bank of Dallas, Texas, from the proceeds from the oil and gas lease, which

wilful misrepresentations made to them.

3. The fraud shown in complainants petition removes this claim from bankruptcy proceedings and the claim herein is not dischargeable in bankruptcy.

JUDGMENT

Now, on this 23rd day of August, 1950, the undersigned judge of said court having announced in said cause his Finding of Facts and Conclusions of Law; and the Court having found therein the complainants were entitled to judgment against Fred Mickle;

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECIDED by this Court that the said complainants have and recover from the defendant, Fred Mickle, SIXTEEN THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED THIRTYSEVEN DOLLARS AND SIXTY-SEVEN/HUNDREDTHS (\$16,813.67) together with the costs of this action.

Royce H. Long

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FLOEY WOODARD,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NATIONAL SURETY MARINE INSURANCE
CORPORATION OF NEW YORK, a Stock
Company,

Defendant.

No. 2664 Civil

FILED

AUG 28 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Comes now Floey Woodard, plaintiff, in person, and by
Hickman & Hickman, his attorneys of record, and voluntarily
dismisses the above entitled action with prejudice, the subject
matter thereof having been fully settled, adjusted and paid.

Dated this 28th day of August, 1950.

Floey Woodard
Plaintiff

HICKMAN & HICKMAN

By *D. H. Hickman*
His Attorneys of Record

Leave given to file this 28th day of August, 1950, & the
case is dismissed

/s/ Royce H. Savage
U. S. District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

COIN MACHINE ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION,
a corporation,

Plaintiff

Vs.

CLIFF WILSON, d/s/a
CLIFF WILSON DISTRIBUTING COMPANY,

Defendant.

No. 2526

FILED


AUG 29 1950

JUDGMENT

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

Pursuant to setting the plaintiff appeared by its counsel. The defendant appeared neither in person nor by counsel. Thereupon, the clerk was ordered to enter a default against the defendant and the defendant was defaulted. Thereafter, upon hearing ~~of~~ statement of counsel, the court finds the issues for the plaintiff and against the defendant.
ORDERED

Plaintiff have and recover judgment against the defendant in the amount of Thirteen Thousand Twenty Eight Dollars and Fifty-five cents (\$13,028.55) with interest at the rate of six (6%) per cent per annum from and after April 16, 1948, attorneys fees of Twenty Five Hundred and Eighty-four Dollars (\$2584.00) together with the costs of this action.



Judge

STATE OF WISCONSIN,

Plaintiff,

vs

No. 2692.

M. L. WILSON,

Defendant.

John H. Savage
Clerk of the Circuit Court

WHEREAS, this is an action by an individual to recover damages for rental overcharge for less than \$3,000.00, and, this Court held that to be without jurisdiction,

DO, THEREFORE, ORDER THAT, THIS COURT ORDER BE SET ASIDE that this cause be set aside same as hereby dismissed for want of jurisdiction and without a trial on any merits.

John H. Savage

JOHN H. SAVAGE
CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
COUNTY OF MILWAUKEE
STATE OF WISCONSIN

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FED CONSTRUCTION FINANCE CORPORATION,
a corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

LESHER CONCRETE MATERIAL COMPANY
a corporation; BOARD OF COUNTY COMMIS-
SIONERS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, OKLAHOMA;
and COUNTY TREASURER OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY, OKLAHOMA.

Defendants.

No. 5687 CIVIL

FILED

AUG 28 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Now on this 22nd day of August, 1950 the above entitled cause comes on for trial pursuant to regular assignment. The plaintiff appeared by its attorney,

W. M. Kern of Kerr, Catlett, Lambert & Conn and the defendants, Board of County Commissioners of Washington County, Oklahoma and the County Treasurer of Washington County, Oklahoma, appeared by J. D. Plunkett, County Attorney of Washington County, Oklahoma. The defendant, Lesher Concrete Material Company, a corporation, came not but made default.

After examining the records in this cause and being fully advised in the premises The Court finds that the defendants, Lesher Concrete Material Company, Board of County Commissioners of Washington County, Oklahoma and the County Treasurer of Washington County, Oklahoma, have each been duly served with a copy of the Complaint and summons in this action and that the defendant, Lesher Concrete Material Company, has not filed any pleading or answer herein although the time within which such defendant was required to plead or answer has long since expired and said defendant is adjudged to be in default.

Thereupon, the plaintiff and the defendants, Board of County Commissioners of Washington County, Oklahoma and the County Treasurer of Washington County, Oklahoma, announced ready for trial and the Court proceeded to hear the evidence submitted. The plaintiff introduced its notes, chattel mortgage, supplemental

chattel mortgage, real estate mortgage and real estate second mortgage in evidence together with the assignments thereof and other instruments, and the Court, being well and fully advised in the premises, advises that the material allegations of plaintiff's Complaint and Supplemental Complaint are true and that the defendant, Leshex Concrete Material Company, is indebted to plaintiff upon the notes sued on herein in the following sums:

Note A, principal balance \$5,465.50, interest from 7-25-49 to 8-29-50, \$215.41

Note B, principal balance \$8,097.10, interest from 7-25-49 to 8-29-50, \$369.18

Note C, principal balance \$4,031.12, interest from 7-12-49 to 8-29-50, \$181.93

Note D, principal balance \$2,752.16, interest from 7-12-49 to 8-29-50, \$116.66

together with the sum of \$428.16 advanced by plaintiff for taxes on January 30, 1950 with interest thereon at 4% per annum to 8-29-50 in the sum of \$1.85 and the sum of \$50.50 advanced for payment of lease rental and \$7.00 for cost of supplemental abstract, making a total of \$21,726.48, and for an attorney fee which shall be fixed by the Court at a later date.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the plaintiff, Reconstruction Finance Corporation, do have and recover of and from the defendant, Leshex Concrete Material Company, judgment for the total sum of \$21,726.48 with interest thereon at the rate of 4% per annum from this date until paid and for all the costs of this action, including an attorney fee to be fixed by the Court at a later date.

The Court further finds and adjudges that the defendants, Board of County Commissioners of Washington County, Oklahoma and the County Treasurer of Washington County, Oklahoma, have no rights, title, claim or interest in or to the property involved in this action.

The Court further finds that the notes, chattel mortgage, supplemental chattel mortgage, real estate mortgage and real estate second mortgage sued on herein were and are part and parcel of one transaction and that all the property,

both real and personal, covered by said mortgages and chattel mortgages was intended to be and was pledged as security for the payment of all sums due and payable under the terms of the notes sued on herein.

The Court further finds that the plaintiff has a valid first lien upon the following described real estate situated in Washington County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

West 145.7 feet of Lot 1 and all of Lots 2 and 3 of Block 8,
Arlington Addition to the City of Bartlesville, Oklahoma,
according to the recorded plat thereof

under and by virtue of the real estate mortgages dated December 24, 1946 and described in the Complaint of the plaintiff herein to secure payment of all sums due plaintiff under the terms of the notes sued on herein.

The Court further finds that plaintiff has a valid first lien upon the chattels described in the chattel mortgage and supplemental chattel mortgage dated December 24, 1946 described in the Complaint of the plaintiff herein to secure payment of all sums due plaintiff under the terms of the notes sued on herein.

It is, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the real estate mortgages and chattel mortgages described in the Complaint of the plaintiff be, and they are hereby, ordered foreclosed and the real and personal property covered to be sold according to law after the appraisal of the real property to satisfy the judgment rendered herein, and upon the filing of a written Praecipe, a Special Execution and Order of Sale shall issue commanding the Marshall to levy upon the property therein described and to proceed to advertise and sell the same according to law and to apply the proceeds of said sale as follows:

FIRST: In payment of the cost of said sale and of this action.

SECOND: In payment to the said plaintiff of the sum of \$21,736.48 with interest thereon at the rate of 4% per annum from this date to the date of the sale.

THIRD: That the residue, if any, be held to await the further order of this Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, AND ADJUDGED by the Court that from and after the sale of said real and personal property under and by virtue of this judgment and decree that the defendants herein and any and all persons claiming under them, or any of them, since the commencement of this action be and are hereby forever

barred and foreclosed of and from any and all rights, title, lien, interest, estate or equity in or to the real and personal property involved in this action.

Wayne H. Savage
Judge of the District Court

OK:

Kerr, Catlett, Lambert & Conn
By: W. M. Lane
Attorney for Plaintiff.

OK:

J. D. Plunkett
J. D. Plunkett, County Attorney
of Washington County, Oklahoma.
Attorney for Board of County
Commissioners of Washington
County, Oklahoma and County
Treasurer of Washington County,
Oklahoma.

Edward Earl ...
vs.
...

No. 10
Civil Division
FILED
SEP 1960

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

On this 14th day of October, 1960, this cause
came on for trial by the court, and the parties
presented the following evidence: ...
The court, after hearing the evidence and the
arguments of the parties, is of the opinion that
the plaintiff has established his case by a
preponderance of the evidence.

The court, therefore, finds in favor of the
plaintiff and awards to him the sum of \$10,000.
The court also awards to the plaintiff the
costs of this action.

It is so ordered. Dated this 14th day of
October, 1960. Noble C. Hood, Clerk of the
Court.

Edward Earl ...
vs.
...

The following is a list of the names of the persons who
September, 1860, and of the amount of the contributions
to the fund.

Roger H. George

as follows:

John M. Wheeler
John Wheeler

J. B. Pinkerton
G. A. Lamb

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

DECLARATION OF DISCOVERY

2nd
I, the undersigned, do hereby declare that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original as the same appears in the files and records of the U. S. District Court at Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and that the same are the property of the U. S. District Court at Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and that the same are being furnished to you for your use and information.

Royce H. Savage

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EVAN L. DAVIS,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

No. 2591 Civil

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

ROBERT C. BOOD
Chief U. S. District Court

THIS MATTER coming on for hearing this 13th day
of September, 1950, upon the motion of the
plaintiff and defendant for dismissal of the above-entitled
action, with prejudice, and it appearing from said Motion that
the issues between the parties have been compromised and settled,
and that the defendant has paid to the plaintiff a sum of money
in full settlement of said cause of action, the Court finds that
the said Motion should be granted and that the action should be
dismissed with prejudice;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that
this action shall be and the same is hereby dismissed with
prejudice.

121 Royce H. Savage
Judge

APPROVED

CONNER, WINTERS, LEE & RANDOLPH

By 121 Royce L. Randolph
Attorneys for Plaintiff

121 John G. Mangan
United States Attorney
Attorney for Defendant

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Eugene Searcy,

Plaintiff,

vs

No. 2698 Civil

Eagle-Picher Mining & Smelting Company,
a Foreign Corporation,

Defendant.

FILED

SEP 16 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER

Now, on this 18th day of September, 1950, the above en-

titled matter coming on for hearing upon the stipulation to
the parties for dismissal with prejudice and it appearing to
the court that the parties have settled said cause out of court
and have filed their written stipulation herein for dismissal
with prejudice to a new action at the cost of the defendant
but without attorneys' fees to either side, and the court
being well and sufficiently advised in the premises,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above entitled
matter be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to a
new action at the cost of the defendant but without attorneys'
fees to either side.

W. H. Savage
Judge

APPROVED:

H. G. Searcy
Miami, Oklahoma.

Attorney for Plaintiff.

APPROVED:

A. C. Wallace
Miami, Oklahoma.

John H. Wallace
Miami, Oklahoma.

Ben C. ...
Miami, Oklahoma.

Attorneys for Defendant.

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

To the Honorable the Judges of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma

GREETING:

SEP 18 1953

Whereas, lately in the District Court of ~~North~~ ^{North} ~~District~~ ^{District} ~~Court~~ ^{Court} ~~of~~ ^{of} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~United~~ ^{United} ~~States~~ ^{States} for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between Phillips Petroleum Company, a corporation, et al., plaintiffs, and Shelly Oil Company, a corporation, et al., defendants, No. 2149, Civil, the judgment of the said district court in said cause, entered on May 21, 1948, was in the following words, viz:

"JUDGMENT IS HEREBY ENTERED for the plaintiff against each of the defendants in conformity with the findings of fact and conclusions of law filed herein on this date adjudging and decreeing that the contract between the plaintiff and each defendant has not been effectively terminated and that each of such contracts remain in full force and effect and the parties should be governed accordingly.

"This judgment shall be without prejudice to any rights which the defendants may have hereafter to terminate the contracts in event the order of the Federal Power Commission of November 30, 1946, issuing a certificate of convenience and necessity to Michigan-Wisconsin Pipe Line Company should be vacated by the United States Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia or by the United States Supreme Court, or in the event the order should be set aside by the Federal Power Commission.

"The costs are taxed against the defendants."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Shelly Oil Company, a corporation, Stanolind Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, and Magnolia Petroleum Company, a corporation, agreeable to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears:

AND WHEREAS, at the November Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and forty-eight, the said cause came on to be heard before the United States Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from the said district court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this court that the judgment of the said district court in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed.

-- March 28, 1949.

AND WHEREAS, at the May Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty, a mandate from the Supreme Court of the United States having been filed herein on the 1st day of August, 1950, it is now here ordered that the said mandate be spread upon the records of this court, which is accordingly done in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, SS:

The President of the United States of America,

(Seal of the
Supreme Court of
the United States.)

To the Honorable the Judges of the
United States Court of Appeals
for the Tenth Circuit,

GREETING:

Whereas, lately in the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, before you, or some of you, in a cause between Stelly Oil Company, Stanolind Oil and Gas Company, and Magnolia Petroleum Company, Appellants, and Phillips Petroleum Company, Appellee, No. 3751, wherein the judgment of the said Court of Appeals, entered in said cause on the 23th day of March, A. D. 1949, is in the following words, viz:

"This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this court that the judgment of the said district court in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said Court of Appeals, which was brought into the Supreme Court of the United States by virtue of a writ of certiorari, agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears.

And whereas, in the present term of October, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and forty-nine, the said cause came on to be heard before the said Supreme Court, on the said transcript of record, and was argued by counsel:

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court as follows: In respect to Magnolia Petroleum Company the judgment of the Court of Appeals is vacated. As to Stelly Oil Company and Stanolind Oil and Gas Company the judgment is reversed and the cause as to them is remanded with directions to dismiss. Five-sixths of the costs in this Court shall be taxed against Phillips Petroleum Company and one-sixth against Magnolia Petroleum Company.

It is further ordered that this cause be, and it is hereby, remanded to the said Court of Appeals for further proceedings not inconsistent with the opinion of this court.

--June 5, 1950.

You, therefore are hereby commanded that such further proceedings be had in said cause, not inconsistent with the opinion and judgment of this court, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said writ of certiorari notwithstanding.

Witness, the Honorable Fred M. Vinson, Chief Justice of the United States, the twenty-fifth day of July, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty.

CHARLES ELMORE CROPLEY

Clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States.

By Hugh W. Berr
Deputy.

Costs of all parties in this Court.

Clerk	\$	_____
Printing	\$	_____
Record	\$	_____ Paid _____
Attorney	\$	_____
	\$	_____

File No. _____ Supreme Court of the United States
No. 221, October Term, 1949 Skelly Oil Company
et al., vs. Phillips Petroleum Company Mandate

It is now further ordered that the judgment of this court entered on March 23, 1949, be and the same is hereby reversed as to Skelly Oil Company and Stanolind Oil and Gas Company and the cause as to them is remanded to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma with directions to dismiss; and that the judgment of this court of March 23, 1949, as to Magnolia Petroleum Company be and the same is hereby vacated and this cause as to Magnolia Petroleum Company be and the same is hereby remanded to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma with directions to vacate the judgment as to Magnolia Petroleum Company and to proceed further in accordance with the opinion of the Supreme Court of the United States filed June 5, 1950, in Supreme Court case No. 221, October Term, 1949, Skelly Oil Company et al., petitioners, vs. Phillips Petroleum Company.

It is further ordered that the costs in this court shall be assessed five-sixths against Phillips Petroleum Company and one-sixth against Magnolia Petroleum Company.

--- August 10, 1950

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such further proceedings be had in said cause, in conformity with the judgment of this court entered August 10, 1950 as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had.

WITNESS, the Honorable FRED M. VINSON, Chief Justice of the United States, the 15th day of September, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty.

COSTS paid by appellants:

Clerk: Flat Fee \$ 25.00

Preparation
of printed
records

\$ 193.00

Printing records \$1668.16

\$1886.15

Five-sixths assessed against
Phillips Petroleum Company and
one-sixth assessed against
Magnolia Petroleum Company.

ROBERT B. CARTWRIGHT
Clerk of the United States Court
of Appeals, Tenth Circuit

No. 3751
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
Tenth Circuit
May Term, 1950

Skelly Oil Company, a corporation,
et al.,

Appellants,

vs

Phillips Petroleum Company, a
corporation,

Appellee.

MANDATE

~~Filed September 21, 1950~~

FILED

OCT 19 1950

ROSE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

19th

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

121 Royce H. Savage

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

LUCEY PRODUCTS CORPORATION,
a corporation,
Plaintiff

vs.

WILLIAM C. JACKSON

0
0
0
0
0
0

No. 2454 Civil

57882

SEP 26 1950

ORDER OF REVIVOR OF JUDGMENT

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

The above titled cause coming on to be heard before Honorable Royce Savage, Judge of the above named court, on the 20th day of September, 1950, upon motion and application of Lucey Products Corporation, a corporation, plaintiff in said cause, for an order reviving said judgment in the name of, and against, Pauline P. Jackson, Administratrix of the estate of William C. Jackson, Deceased; and the plaintiff appearing by its attorney, and the said Pauline P. Jackson, Administratrix of the estate of William C. Jackson, Deceased, appearing by her attorney, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that due notice of the filing of said motion and application for revivor and of the hearing of the same on this date before the Honorable Royce Savage, Judge of said court, was duly and legally served upon the said Pauline P. Jackson, Administratrix of the estate of William C. Jackson, Deceased, in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, on the 14th day of September, 1950.

And the court being further satisfied that William C. Jackson the judgment debtor in this cause departed this life on or about the 25th day of May, 1950, at Tulsa, Oklahoma and that the judgment rendered in this cause is one which survives to the estate of William C. Jackson, Deceased, and that Pauline P. Jackson is the duly and legally appointed and acting Administratrix of the said estate and that said judgment ought to be revived in the name of, and against, Pauline P. Jackson as Administratrix of said estate.

It is, Therefore, Ordered and Adjudged that said judgment be and the same is hereby revived in the name of, and against, Pauline P. Jackson as administratrix of the estate of William C. Jackson, Deceased and that all further proceedings thereon be in the name of subject Administratrix.

Royce Savage
Judge of the District Court of the United States
for the Northern District of Oklahoma

IN SENATE
JANUARY 1950

JAMES H. HAYES, JR.,
a corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 1019 Civil

WILLIAM H. HAYES, JR.,
a corporation
et al.

Defendants.

1950

1950

ROBERT L. MOORE
U. S. District Court

1950

and where coming on to to state on this the 10th day of
September, 1950, on the application of the plaintiff, filed by its president,
Mr. W. H. White, of Pryor, Oklahoma, to dismiss the above entitled cause with
prejudice, and the court being well and truly advised in the premises;

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, Adversely and finally, that the
above entitled cause be dismissed with prejudice.

Robert L. Moore
United States District Judge.

A copy of the foregoing is mailed to the following
people on the 10th day of September, 1950:

- W. H. Martin, Attorney at Law, Pryor, Okla.
- Mr. W. H. White, Pryor, Okla.
- Herb N. Taylor, Pryor, Oklahoma
- Mr. W. H. White, Pryor, Okla.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MINNIE NOBLES, Administratrix of the
Estate of FRANK NOBLES, deceased,

Plaintiff

vs

THE BANFIELD COMPANY, a co-partnership,
composed of CHARLES E. BANFIELD and
LENA M. BANFIELD, and the EQUITY MUTUAL
INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendants

No. 2696 Civil

FILED

SEP 20 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER OF DISMISSAL AND REMANDING CAUSE

THIS CAUSE came on to be heard before me the undersigned Judge within and for the United States District Court of the Northern District of Oklahoma, this the 20th day of September, 1950, upon the motion of the defendant, Equity Mutual Insurance Company, to dismiss the above entitled and numbered cause as to it, and the Court having read said motion and having heard statement of counsel, finds that said cause as to said defendant, Equity Mutual Insurance Company, should be and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said cause as to the defendant, the Banfield Company, a co-partnership, composed of Charles E. Banfield and Lena M. Banfield, be and the same is hereby remanded to the District Court within and for Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.

Francis H. Savage
JUDGE

Oked as to form:

B. C. Hamilton
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

By

W. E. H. ...

...

IN SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE UNITED STATES

U. S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Petitioner)
)
 vs.)
)
 625.04 acres of land, more or)
 less, situate in Deage County,)
 Oklahoma, and part Boulanger,)
 et al,)
)
 Respondents)

No. 2726-Civil

FILED

SEP 20 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

DECLARATION OF TAKING

This day comes the petitioner, the United States of America, by Curtis R. Harris, special attorney for the Department of Justice, and moves the Court to enter a judgment vesting in the United States of America, the fee simple title, subject to existing easements for public roads and highways, public utilities, railroads and pipe lines, excepting, however, all oil, gas, coal and other minerals owned by the Assate Tribe of Indians pursuant to the act of Congress approved June 28, 1906 (34 Stat. 1, 839), and acts amendatory thereof and supplementary thereto, in and to the lands hereinafter described and described in the Declaration of Taking and in the Petition for Condemnation filed herein.

Thereupon, the Court proceeded to hear and pass upon said motion, the Petition for Condemnation and Declaration of Taking, and finds that:

- (1) Each and all of the allegations in said Petition for Condemnation and Declaration of Taking are true, and the United States of America is entitled to acquire property by eminent domain for the purposes set forth in said Petition;
- (2) In said Petition and Declaration of Taking a statement of the authority under which and the public use for which said lands and estate therein were taken is set forth;
- (3) The Petition and Declaration of Taking were filed at the request of Frank Pace, Jr., secretary of the Army, the person duly authorized by law to acquire the lands and estate therein taken as described in said documents for the

purposes therein set forth, and at the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, the person authorized by law to direct the institution of such proceedings.

(4) A proper description of the lands sought to be taken, sufficient for the identification thereof, is set out in said Declaration of Taking and Petition for Condemnation; and a statement of the estate or interest in said lands taken for said public use is set out therein.

(5) A statement is contained in said Declaration of Taking of the sum of money estimated by the acquiring authority to be just compensation for the estate taken in said lands, in the amount of fifty-eight thousand eight hundred twenty-five and 60/100 Dollars (\$58,825.00), and said sum of money was deposited in the registry of this Court for the use of the persons entitled thereto upon and at the time of the filing of said Declaration of Taking;

(6) A statement is contained in said Declaration of Taking that the estimated amount of compensation for the taking of said property in the opinion of Frank Stone, Jr., Secretary of the Army, will probably be within any limits prescribed by Congress on the price to be paid therefor;

(7) And the Court having fully considered the Petition for Condemnation, the Declaration of Taking, the Act of Congress approved February 26, 1931 (46 Stat. 1421; 40 U.S.C. Sec. 258a), and Acts supplementary thereto and amendatory thereof; and the Acts of Congress approved April 24, 1888 (25 Stat. 94-33 U.S.C. 591), March 1, 1917 (39 Stat. 948-35 U.S.C. 701), June 22, 1936 (49 Stat. 1570), June 28, 1938 (52 Stat. 1215), and October 15, 1949 (Public Law 365-81st Congress), is of the opinion that the United States of America was and is entitled to take said property and have the title thereto vested in it.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED BY THE COURT, AND IT IS THE ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE OF THE COURT that the fee simple title, subject to exist-

ing easements for public roads and highways, public utilities, railroads and pipe lines, excepting, however, all oil, gas, coal and other minerals owned by the Ojibwa Tribe of Indians pursuant to the act of Congress approved June 28, 1906 (34 Stat. c. 439) and acts amendatory thereof and supplementary thereto, in and to the lands hereinafter described, was vested in the United States of America upon the filing of said declaration of taking and the depositing in the Registry of this Court of said sum of fifty eight thousand eight hundred twenty-five and 00/100 dollars (\$58,225.00), and said lands and estates therein taken are deemed to have been condemned and taken for the use of the United States of America, and the right to just compensation for the same thereby vested in the persons entitled thereto, the amount of said compensation to be ascertained and awarded in this proceeding and established by judgment herein pursuant to law.

The lands aggregate 23.94 acres, more or less, and are described as follows:

Tract No. D-31

Part of Lot 1 described as: Beginning at the northeast corner thereof; thence South 954.36', more or less; thence West 1320', more or less; thence North 957.0', more or less; thence East 1320', more or less, to point of beginning. Part of Lot 2 described as: Beginning at the northeast corner thereof; thence South 957.0', more or less; thence West 660', more or less; thence North 330', more or less; thence West 660', more or less; thence North 629.97', more or less; thence East 1320', more or less, to point of beginning. Part of Lot 3 described as: Beginning at the northeast corner thereof; thence South 299.97', more or less; thence West 660', more or less; South 660', more or less; thence West 660', more or less; thence North 962.28', more or less; thence East 1320', more or less, to point of beginning, in Section 5, Township 28 North, Range 10 East of the Indian Base and Meridian.

AND

Southwest Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($SW\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$), and Southwest Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Northeast Quarter ($SW\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4} NE\frac{1}{4}$), and East Half of Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter ($E\frac{1}{2} NE\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4}$), and Southeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter ($SE\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4}$), and South Half of South Half of Southwest Quarter of Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter ($S\frac{1}{2} S\frac{1}{2} SW\frac{1}{4} NE\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4}$), and West Half of Southeast Quarter ($W\frac{1}{2} SE\frac{1}{4}$), and Southeast Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($SE\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$), and South Half of Northeast Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($S\frac{1}{2} NE\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$), and South Half of North Half of Northeast Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($S\frac{1}{2} N\frac{1}{2} NE\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$), and Northwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Northeast Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4} NE\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$), of Section 32, Township 29 North, Range 10 East of the Indian Base and Meridian.

All situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 293.60 acres, more or less.

Tract No. E-28

West 26.22 acres of Lot 2, and West Half of Southwest Quarter of Northeast Quarter ($W\frac{1}{2} SW\frac{1}{4} NE\frac{1}{4}$), and Southeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($SE\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$), and South Half of South Half of Southwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($S\frac{1}{2} S\frac{1}{2} SW\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$), and Northwest Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$), and West Half of Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Northeast Quarter ($W\frac{1}{2} NE\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4} NE\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 16, Township 29 North, Range 10 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 103.72 acres, more or less.

Tract No. E-29

Northwest Quarter of Northeast Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4} NE\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$), and North Half of Northwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($N\frac{1}{2} NW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$), and Southwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($SW\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$), and North Half of Southeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($N\frac{1}{2} SE\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$), and Southwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($SW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 16, Township 29 North, Range 10 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 47.50 acres, more or less.

Tract No. F-1

Lot 6, and Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4}$), and North Half of Southeast Quarter ($N\frac{1}{2} SE\frac{1}{4}$), and Northwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4}$), and Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 18, Township 29 North, Range 10 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 171.50 acres, more or less.

Tract No. F-8

North Half of North Half of Northwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter ($N\frac{1}{2} N\frac{1}{2} NW\frac{1}{4} SE\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 13, Township 29 North, Range 9 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 10.00 acres, more or less.

Tract No. F-11

Part of the Northwest Quarter of Southwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4}$) described as: Beginning at the northwest corner of said Northwest Quarter of Southwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4}$); thence East 990', more or less; thence southwesterly on a straight line to a point on the West line of said Northwest Quarter of Southwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4} SW\frac{1}{4}$), said point being 1320', more or less, south of the point of beginning; thence North 1320', more or less, to point of beginning, in Section 13, Township 29 North, Range 9 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 15.00 acres, more or less.

Tract No. F-19

Southeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($SE\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$), and East Half of Southwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($E\frac{1}{2} SW\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$), and East Half of West Half of Southwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($E\frac{1}{2} W\frac{1}{2} SW\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 13, Township 29 North, Range 10 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 70.00 acres, more or less.

Tract No. F-21

Lot 4 of Section 13; AND Lot 3, and the Southeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter ($SE\frac{1}{4} NW\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 14, all in Township 29 North, Range 9 East of the Indian Base and Meridian, situate in Osage County, Oklahoma, containing 112.62 acres, more or less.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

William Maurice Hurley,
Administrator of the Estate
of J. D. Hurley, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. 2641 - CIVIL

National Bank Company,
a corporation.

Defendant.)

WALTER H. FLOOD
District Judge

JUDGMENT

This cause came on to be heard on the 21 day of
September, 1950, and a jury being waived it was heard by the
Court; and thereupon, upon consideration thereof, it was
ordered, adjudged and decreed that plaintiff have and recover
judgment on his first cause of action in the sum of One Thousand
Dollars (\$1,000.00), on his second cause of action in the sum
of Seven Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$750.00), the total amount
of said judgment to be recovered from said defendant being the
sum of Seventeen Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$1,750.00), together
with the costs of this action.

Walter H. Flood
District Judge

O.K:

MONNET & HAYS

By Jack Hayes
Jack Hayes, Attorneys for
Plaintiff.

O.K:

EMBRY, JOHNSON, CPOWE, TOLBERT
& SHELTON

By Ben L. Burdick
Ben L. Burdick,
Attorneys for Defendant.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Carl Ames,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) No. 2660 Civil
)
 S. B. Evans Construction Company,)
 a corporation,)
)
 Defendant.)

RECEIVED
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties to this action that the plaintiff, Carl Ames, may dismiss the above styled and numbered action with prejudice to the bringing of a future action.

Dated this 26th day of September, 1950.

C. C. Lassiter

Attorney for Plaintiff

R. D. Hudson

Attorney for Defendant

It is hereby ordered that the above styled and numbered cause of action be dismissed with prejudice, this 27th day of September, 1950.

W. R. Wallace
U.S. District Judge

rd/mr

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Margaret Claudine Bush, and Dur Dene Bush,)
and Jan Kristy Bush, by their mother and)
next friend, Margaret Claudine Bush,)

Plaintiffs,)

vs.)

No. 2661 Civil

S. E. Evans Construction Company, a)
corporation,)

Defendant.)

APPROVED AND FORWARDED:
C. C. Lassiter

STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties to this action that the plaintiffs, Margaret Claudine Bush, and Dur Dene Bush, and Jan Kristy Bush, by their mother and next friend, Margaret Claudine Bush, may dismiss the above styled and numbered action with prejudice to the bringing of a future action.

Dated this 26th day of September, 1950.

C. C. Lassiter
Attorney for Plaintiffs

R. D. Hudson
Attorney for Defendant

It is hereby ordered that the above styled and numbered cause of action be dismissed with prejudice, this 27th day of September, 1950.

H. R. Wallace
U. S. District Judge

rdh/ar

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

SEP 22 1956

JOHN SMITH
STATUTE
ST. LOUIS AND SAN FRANCISCO
TRUST AND SAVINGS CORPORATION
DEFENDANT

NOBLE C. HOOP
Clerk U. S. District Court
100, 000, 0000

O R D E R

Now on the 21st day of September, 1956, the above titled and numbered case of certain law, before me, the undersigned Federal District Judge of the Southern District of California, plaintiff herein represented by the law firm of Allen, S. Hill, by Robert A. Booth and defendant herein represented by the law firms of Deames, Rinkoff and Herat of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and Hattisford, Hurdle and Hoop, by Paul A. Yalton, and the case being called for on my court, the Court was advised that the parties had stipulated that the plaintiff could stipulate his waiver of notice against the defendant without prejudice, provided, however, that if within the stipulated period the plaintiff was not to receive the summons against the defendant, that he would apply to the Federal Court as if the plaintiff applied to the State Court, plaintiff would deposit in official custody the expenses of removal to the Federal Court if said removal was allowed and the Court, being advised by the parties, also that the plaintiff should be allowed to withdraw his and a co-defendant's motion for summary judgment.

It is, therefore, ORDERED BY THE COURT that the plaintiff may stipulate to his waiver of notice against the defendant, it being and so ordered by the Court, a permanent order of jurisdiction of this Court and of September, 1956.

It is, therefore, ORDERED BY THE COURT that in the event the plaintiff was not to receive the summons against the defendant within the stipulated period, that he should apply to the Court for the least that the plaintiff could stipulate his waiver of notice

~~IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLA.~~

Horace Baker, Plaintiff,
vs.
Anderson Hotels of Oklahoma, Inc., a corporation, et al., Defendants.

Case No. 2597. FILED

NOV 2 1951

~~ERNEST TAYLOR, Foreman~~

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

On this the 13th day of September, 1951, and again on this the 17th day of September, 1951, came plaintiff in person and by his attorneys, Ernie L. King, Leif Martin, and Louis H. King, and also were the defendants Nick Douvas and Lorraine Douvas, and came in person for said defendants by their attorney, J. H. Hulse, and also came in person for said Anderson, Inc., a corporation, by their attorney, Ernest Taylor, and Joseph and Louis H. King, and this case came on for trial in its regular order before a jury of twelve good men who being duly impaneled and sworn, and truly to try the issues joined between the plaintiff and defendants, and to give what they see according to the evidence, and law, heard the evidence, the charges of the court, and the oaths of counsel upon their oaths respectively.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLA.

Horace Baker, Plaintiff
vs.
Anderson Hotels of Oklahoma, Inc., a corporation,
Nick Douvas and Lorraine Douvas, Defendants.

Case No. 2597.

We the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the plaintiff, and assess for damages at \$11,000.00 Dollars, against Anderson Hotels of Oklahoma, Inc., a corporation, Nick Douvas and Lorraine Douvas. \$1000. of the above Damages is allowed to reimburse the plaintiff for Doctor and Hospital Bills.

C. L. Taylor, Foreman.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLA.

Horace Baker, Plaintiff
vs.
Anderson Hotels of Oklahoma, Inc.,
a corporation, et al., Defendants

Case No. 2597

We the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the plaintiff, and assess for loss of clothing damages at \$250.00 Dollars, against the Anderson Hotels of Oklahoma, Inc., a corporation,

C. L. Taylor, Foreman.

IT IS HEREBY CONSIDERED, AND SO IT IS ADJUDGED by the court that the said plaintiff have and recover from the said defendants Dick Lewis and Lorraine Lewis, and the Anderson Hotels of Oklahoma, Inc., a corp., jointly and severally, the sum of eleven thousand and no/100 dollars (\$11,000.00), together with costs of this action taxed at _____, for which let execution issue.

IT IS FURTHER CONSIDERED, AND SO IT IS ADJUDGED by the court that the said plaintiff have and recover from the said defendant the Anderson Hotels of Oklahoma, Inc., a corp., the sum of \$250.00 in addition to the above order and judgment, for which let execution issue.

Rayce H. Savage
District Judge.

*Ok. as to form:
B.W. Labov*
*Ok. as to form:
Glen A. Young*

[Faint, mostly illegible text, possibly a header or introductory paragraph. Some words like "THE" and "OF" are visible.]

[Faint, mostly illegible text, possibly a paragraph of the main body.]

[Faint, mostly illegible text, possibly a paragraph of the main body.]

Noted this 4th day of Oct., 1950.

Royce H. Savage
District Judge

Of

Signed

Tayburn D. Foster

Harry D. Turner

Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Phillips Petroleum Company

H. F. German

Hawley D. Kerr

Attorneys for Defendant,
Kelly Oil Company

Charles L. Black

Dan Moody

Attorneys for Defendant,
Stanolind Oil and Gas Company

Dan Moody

Attorneys for Defendant,
Magnolia Petroleum Company

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Dola Tyler, Jennie Tyler, Alma
Kaywood and Tyler Boat Works,
Inc., a corporation,

Plaintiffs,

vs

Insurance Company of North
America, a corporation,

Defendant.)

No. 2674 Civil

FILED

OCT 5 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JOURNAL ENTRY

NOW on this 5th day of October, 1950, the
above cause comes on for trial, pursuant to regular
assignment, the plaintiffs, Dola Tyler, Jennie Tyler,
Alma Kaywood and Tyler Boat Works, Inc., a corporation,
appearing by their attorneys, Wheatley & Evans, and the
defendant, Insurance Company of North America, a corpora-
tion, appearing by its attorneys, Rittenhouse, Webster,
Hanson & Rittenhouse, and the parties thereupon advised
the court that the plaintiffs have agreed to accept and
the defendant has agreed to pay the sum of \$1500.00 in
full settlement of the cause of action herein sued upon
and that judgment should be entered accordingly.

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COURT CONSIDERED, ORDERED
AND ADJUDGED, upon stipulation of the parties, that the
plaintiffs, Dola Tyler, Jennie Tyler, Alma Kaywood and Tyler
Boat Works, Inc., a corporation, have and recover judgment
of and from the defendant, Insurance Company of North America,
a corporation, in the sum of \$1500.00, with interest thereon
at the rate of six percent per annum from this date, together
with the costs of this action.


District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

The UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
for the use of L. E. Lewis)

Plaintiff)

vs.)

O. J. LEWIS, Otherwise known as)
ORLEN J. LEWIS, A sole trader d/b/a)
O. J. LEWIS LUMBER AND CONSTRUCTION)
COMPANY, OSCAR L. REEVES and J. A.)
NOLEN)

Defendants)

Civil Action
No. 2599

FILED

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

J U D G M E N T

This cause came on for trial on September 19,
1950, and was argued by counsel, and thereupon, upon
consideration thereof, it was

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff,
for the use and benefit of L. E. Lewis recover of the
defendants Oscar L. Reeves and J. A. Nolen, jointly and
severally, the sum of \$22,837.00 with interest from date
of judgment at the rate of six per cent (6%) per annum
and costs.

Royce H. Savage

ROYCE H. SAVAGE, JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Associated Indemnity Corporation,
a corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

Process Engineers, Inc.,
a corporation, and Tide Water
Associated Oil Company,
a corporation

Defendants.

No. 2637 - Civil

FILED

NORRIS C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

O R D E R

Upon motion of plaintiff the within cause is hereby
dismissed with prejudice at the cost of plaintiff.

DATED this 9th day of October, 1950.

/s/ ROYCE H. SAVAGE
United States District Judge

U. S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTH DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

W. W. ...
Plaintiff,
vs.
No. 2633 Civil
A. M. Lockhart Company, Ltd.
a corporation, and The Babcock
& Wilcox Company, a corporation,
Defendants.

W. W. ...
U. S. District Court

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Now on this 1st day of September, 1950, this cause comes on for hearing on the motion to dismiss filed by the defendants. Plaintiff and defendants appeared by counsel of record and plaintiff in open court moved that her cause of action be dismissed without prejudice, and on consideration of said motion the court finds that plaintiff should be allowed to dismiss her cause of action without prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that plaintiff's complaint be, and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice, at the cost of the plaintiff.

Joyce H. Savage
U. S. District Judge

W. W. ...
Attorneys for Plaintiff

James Wheaton
Attorney for Defendants

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Vertie Mae Wood, Deceased, by John H. Wood,)
surviving spouse and heir at law, and)
John H. Wood,)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

The Travelers Insurance Company, et al,)

Defendants.)

No. 2704 Civil

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NO. 2704 CIVIL
FILED IN DISTRICT COURT

Now on this 6th day of October, 1950, this matter comes on to be heard upon the defendants' motion for summary judgment.

And the court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that said motion should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by this court that the motion for summary judgment filed herein by the defendants, The Travelers Insurance Company and the Texas Corporation, be and the same is hereby sustained and judgment is hereby rendered in favor of said defendants and against the plaintiff, John H. Wood.

Royce H. Savage
U.S. District Judge

Copy of the above order was sent to
George Campbell, attorney for plaintiff,
by the undersigned by U.S. mail, postage
prepaid, on this 5th day of October, 1950.

Norman Wheaton

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Helen Becker,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 2712 CIVIL

Baron's, Inc.,
an Illinois Corporation,

Defendant.

ROBERT C. ROUSE
Chief U. S. District Court

GENERAL VERDICT

This case came on regularly to be heard on September 28, 1950 and the trial thereof was thereafter recessed until the morning of Friday, September 29, 1950.

On September 29, 1950 in pursuance of previous adjournment, this case came on for further hearing and thereupon the plaintiff, in open court, dismissed this action with prejudice at the cost of the defendant.

Thereupon, the jury impaneled for the trial of the issues in this case was discharged; and

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT that this action be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice at the cost of the defendant.

Enter.

United States District Judge.

O.K.

Karl Jones
Karl Jones

Doerner, Minchert & Stuart

By Harry D. Minchert
Attorneys for Plaintiff

✓ Helen Becker
Plaintiff

O. K.

Smith & Rogers

By James M. Rogers
Attorneys for Defendant.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jewel Smith, as administratrix of
the estate of Lonow F. Smith,
deceased - - - - - Plaintiff,

vs

The E. F. Goodrich Company, a
corporation, Wynn T. McEncley
and Henry Boyd - - - - - Defendants.

No. 2682-Civil

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now this 21st day of August, 1950, same being a judicial day
of said court, this case having duly come on for hearing on the
plaintiff's Motion to Remand. The court, having considered the
arguments and the briefs submitted by counsel for the respective
parties litigant, and being well advised in the premises:

It is ORDERED that the above styled and numbered action be
and the same hereby is remanded to the District Court of Ottawa
County, Oklahoma, for further proceedings.

Royce H. Savage
Judge

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

GERALDINE DOWNEY,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 CENTURY GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION,)
 a corporation,)
 Defendant.)

No. 2688 -Civil

FILED

NOBLE C. HOOD
U. S. District Court

D E C R E E

This matter coming on before the court on Plaintiff's complaint and the parties having filed herein their stipulation as to certain of the facts at issue, the court being fully advised in the premises finds that during the period from October 1, 1948 until March 13, 1950, Plaintiff employed at an hourly rate of \$1.34 per hour and that during such period she worked hours in excess of 40 hours per week in the total amount of 547.5 hours and upon the basis of such overtime work she is entitled to compensation in the amount of Eleven Hundred Dollars and Forty-seven cents (\$1,100.47).

IT IS WHEREFORE, ordered and decreed by the court that Plaintiff have judgment against the Defendant in the total sum of Eleven Hundred Dollars and Forty-seven cents (\$1,100.47) and for the further sum of Two Hundred Dollars (\$200.00) as attorneys fees for the use and benefit of her attorney, Jack W. Hays.

IT IS FURTHER ordered and decreed by the court that the Defendant shall pay Plaintiff Nine Hundred Thirty-five Dollars and Forty cents (\$935.40) and shall withhold One Hundred Sixty-five Dollars and seven cents (\$165.07) and pay said latter amount to the Collector of Internal Revenue as income taxes on the amount of this judgment, and that this judgment shall be released and satisfied upon the payment of Nine Hundred Thirty-five Dollars and Forty cents (\$935.40) by the Defendant to the Plaintiff, the payment of the attorneys' fees above specified, and the filing of an affidavit by an officer of the defendant of the payment of such income taxes.

(5) *Boyan H. Savage*
District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MILDRED LEACH,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALLEN W. JOHNSON,

Defendant.

NO. 2640-Civil

FILED

OCT 16 1950

MARCE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

ORDER

Upon the motion of the Defendant to dismiss for improper venue, it is ordered that said motion be sustained, and this action is dismissed at the cost of the Plaintiff.

Entered at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 16th day of October, 1950.

Loyce H. Image
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DONALD H. LEACH,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALLAN W. JOHNSON,

Defendant.

NO. 2657-Civil

FILED

OCT 17 1950

ROBERT C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

O R D E R

Upon the motion of the Defendant to dismiss for improper venue, it is ordered that said motion be sustained, and this action is dismissed at the cost of the Plaintiff.

Entered at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 16th day of October, 1950.

Royce H. Savage
United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America,

Libelant,

vs.

No. 2703 Civil.

One 1946 Chevrolet Pick-up Truck,
Motor No. BD 813801, Mack Scott,
E. L. Johnson and Noble Bruton,

Claimants.

FILED
In Open Court

OCT 19 1950

NOBLE C. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOT on this 19 day of October, 1950, this cause of action having come on before the Court pursuant to regular assignment, the libelant appearing by Whit Y. Mauzy, United States Attorney, and John S. Athens, Assistant United States Attorney, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and it appearing to the Court that said 1946 Chevrolet Pick-up Truck, Motor No. BD 813801, has heretofore been taken into custody by the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma under condition issued by this Court; and the claimants, E. L. Johnson and Noble Bruton having heretofore entered their appearance in said action and having filed their disclaimers herein; and the claimant, Mack Scott, having been duly served with notice of the pendency of this action on July 27, 1950, and having failed to file his answer or other pleading herein and appearing not in open Court on this date, the Court finds that said claimant is wholly in default; and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds all issues in favor of the libelant and against the claimants herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that a forfeiture herein be and the same is hereby allowed as to the 1946

Chevrolet Pick-up Truck, Motor No. BD 213801, and that said truck is ordered delivered to the Area Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Muskogee, Oklahoma, for its official use, pursuant to Title III, Section 304 of the Liquor Law Repeal and Enforcement Act, 49 Stat. 880 (U.S.C., Title 40), Section 3041, together with all of its equipment and accessories.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that all the storage charges incident to the seizure herein be and the same are hereby ordered paid by the United States Treasury Department.

W. H. Savage
JUDGE.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Herbert Rothstein,

Defendant.

No. 2702 Civil.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

NOTED & FILED
Clerk U. S. District Court

Now on this 12 day of October, 1950, this matter coming on before the court on application of John S. Athens, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, to dismiss said cause, and the court being advised in the premises and for good cause shown finds that said cause should be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

O. K.

John S. Athens
Assistant U. S. Attorney.

James H. ...
DISTRICT JUDGE.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Max Smith,

Defendant.

Number 2707 Civil.

FILED

NORRIS G. HOOD
Clerk U. S. District Court

JUDGMENT

NOW, on this 19th day of October, 1950, there coming on for trial the above entitled action pursuant to regular assignment, and the United States of America appearing by Whit V. Mauzy, United States Attorney, and John W. McCune, Assistant U. S. Attorney, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendant, Max Smith, appearing not, the Court proceeded to hear the evidence of the plaintiff and from such evidence finds that the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff upon the flax crop insurance contract in the sum of \$175.74, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from June 30, 1946.

The Court further finds that a proper affidavit of non-military service has been filed herein.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the plaintiff, United States of America, have judgment against the defendant, Max Smith, in the sum of \$220.96, with interest thereon from

this date, and for its costs, for all of which let execution issue.

Royal H. Savage
DISTRICT JUDGE.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

vs

A. L. Ezell, being one and the
same person as Albert L. Ezell,

Defendant.

Number 2711 Civil.

FILED

1936

NOBLE C. HOGG
Clerk U. S. District Court

JUDGMENT

NOT, on this 19th day of October, 1936, there to be for
trial the above entitled action pursuant to regular assignment, and
the United States of America appearing by Whit Y. Mauzy, United States
Attorney, and John W. McDune, Assistant U. S. Attorney, for the North-
ern District of Oklahoma, and the defendant, A. L. Ezell, being one and
the same person as Albert L. Ezell, appearing not, the Court proceeded
to hear the evidence of the plaintiff and from such evidence finds that
the defendant, A. L. Ezell, being one and the same person as Albert L.
Ezell, did, on August 27, 1934, execute to the Governor of the Burn
Credit Administration of the United States of America his note in the
sum of \$80.00; and, on October 19, 1934, execute to said Governor his
note in the sum of \$60.00; and did, on November 20, 1934, execute to
the said Governor his note in the sum of \$50.00, and, all of said notes
being due and unpaid, that there is now due upon said notes the sum of
\$259.44, upon which the plaintiff is entitled to judgment.

The Court further finds that a proper affidavit of non-military service has been filed herein.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the plaintiff have judgment against the defendant, A. I. Ezell, being the same person as Albert A. Ezell, for the sum of \$359.44, together with interest thereon at the rate of 5-1/2% from date and for its costs, for all of which let execution issue.


DISTRICT JUDGE.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,

Libelant,

vs.

No. 2622 Civil

One 1949 International 3/4 Ton Truck,
Motor No. GRD-214-271578, Raymond O.
Jones and The American National Bank
of Sapulpa, Oklahoma,

Claimants.

NOBLE C. MCARD
Clerk U. S. District Court

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND JOURNAL
ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOW, on this 19th day of October, 1950, this cause having come on before the court pursuant to regular assignment for trial, the libelant appearing by Whit K. Mauzy, United States Attorney, and Hobart Brown, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the above described 1949 International 3/4 Ton Truck, Motor No. GRD-214-271578, having been seized heretofore by the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma under monition issued by this court; and the claimants, Raymond O. Jones and the American National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, having heretofore entered their appearance in said action by and through their respective attorneys and no answer having been filed herein by either claimant and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds that the claimants Raymond O. Jones and the American National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, after being duly called, are in default and the court finds all issues in favor of the United States and against the claimants, as alleged in the libel of information herein filed.

The court further finds that the Director of the Bureau of Federal Supply of the General Services Administration of the United States has filed herein its application for delivery of the property seized herein, pursuant to Title 3, Section 304, of the Liquor Law Repeal and Enforcement Act, 1949 Stat. 880 and that the application requesting delivery of the property herein to the Public Buildings Service, General Services Administration, for official use should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court that the One 1949 International 3/4 Ton Truck, Motor No. GRD-214-271578, be and the same is hereby ordered forfeited to the United States.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that the United States Marshal deliver the above described truck to the Public Buildings Service, General Services Administration, for official use, delivery under this order to be made to the Deputy Commissioner for Buildings Management (or his duly authorized representative), in Washington, D.C.



JUDGE