

... of said defendants, Enzo Pickett and Everett S. Collins, the Bill of Particulars, the Affidavit sworn by Paul Sims, Assistant United States Attorney, on the defense of Enzo Pickett, as sworn by Joe S. Rosewood, his attorney, and the defense of Everett S. Collins, sworn by Eben L. Smith, his attorney.

And in specifying that the motions of said defendants were identical in substance and form, the said court advised counsel that both motions should be heard at any one time, the court proceeded to hear said motions together and after hearing the same, and the court being well and sufficient advised, that each of said motions should be granted, and that it is so ordered, that the plaintiff should be required to furnish each of said defendants with a Bill of Particulars setting forth each and every affirmative act alleged and alleged by the plaintiff to have been done and performed by said respective defendants in the formation and furtherance of the respective conspiracies alleged in the first and second counts of the indictment herein and the court further finds that any motion as to any further particulars should be overruled.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED BY THE COURT that the Bill of Particulars, which, with the copy herefrom, furnished and deliver to each of said defendants, namely, Enzo Pickett and Everett S. Collins, a Bill and statement of particulars informing each of said respective defendants of each and every affirmative act which the plaintiff claims and alleges was done and performed by said defendant in the formation and furtherance of the respective conspiracies alleged in the counts and charges of the indictment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the said motions of said defendants herein and the said Bill of Particulars are required to be furnished to, and may be taken, corrected or amended by the respective defendant; to which ruling and order of the court the said defendants, Enzo Pickett and Everett S. Collins, respectively, except and still acquiesce in and consent to and assent.

F. H. KEMMELER
JUDGE.

J. E. PAUL C. SIMS
Asst. Dist. Atty.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 8 1930
H. F. Griffith, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

Enzo Pickett,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Everett S. Collins,

United States Attorney,

Defendant.

C R D - 1

For motion and order of January 8, 1930, this matter came on before the Court on the motion of the plaintiff to passers the court in said case, and the Court being fully advised and the parties being present and in agreement that the motion should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COURT that the said motion be, and the same is hereby overruled.

J. E. PAUL C. SIMS, Assistant United States Attorney

F. H. KEMMELER
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Jan 8 1930
H. F. Griffith, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

WILLIAM W. HARRIS, Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 1475-1944

CHARLES W. HARRIS and CHARLES W. HARRIS, Defendants.

WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

The motion of Plaintiff to present this writ to the District Court of this County, Oklahoma, and to have this writ of January, 1944, set aside, for setting, on the ground that the writ was issued in violation of the provisions of the Constitution of the United States, should be granted.

It is not necessary to set aside the writ of the District Court of this County, Oklahoma, because the writ was issued in violation of the provisions of the Constitution of the United States, and the writ of the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, is not set aside.

F. E. WENDEL
Judge of the United States District Ct.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 5 1944
H. F. McNeill, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

WILLIAM W. HARRIS, Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 1475-1944

DAVE MCCONNELL; CHARLES W. HARRIS, Defendants.

WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

On January 1, 1944, the writ of the District Court of this County, Oklahoma, was set aside, and the writ of the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, is not set aside.

It is not necessary to set aside the writ of the District Court of this County, Oklahoma, because the writ was issued in violation of the provisions of the Constitution of the United States, and the writ of the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, is not set aside.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 5 1944
H. F. McNeill, Clerk
U. S. District Court

F. E. WENDEL
Judge of the United States District Ct.

I. AND II. CASE OF THE UNITED STATES F. CHAS. HENRIE DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

Att. L. ALPHEA, Administrator of the
Estate of President W. Weidner,
Plaintiff,

vs.
H. H. HULL, Law.

WILLIAMSON; CHARLES F. BAILEY,
Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma;
and MARTIN CASABIAN COMPANY, a Corporation,
Defendants.

C O R D E R

Now on this 3rd day of January, 1938, the above entitled case came on for hearing
and the defendant Dave McCannell's motion to strike certain of the amendments filed with
the petition and certain company's motion to make more definite and certain, all amendments
to said petition, respectively, were heard; and, after argument, the Court directed said amendments
to be stricken.

It is HEREBY ORDERED, RETURNED AND FORWARDED BY THE COURT that the amendments to and
the case are hereby corrected and exceptions allowed said amendments to be filed with the
and to be heard a new case on this date in which to file amendments; and, in addition to answer.

F. E. HULLMAN
JUDGE

J. M. STEPHENSON & KENNEDY
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

RELEASED: Filed Jan. 1938.
H. H. HULLMAN, Clerk.
U. S. District Court.

CHAS. C. HENRIE,
Plaintiff,

-vs-

H. H. HULL - Law

WILLIAMSON,
Defendant.

Now on this 3rd day of January, A. D. 1938, it is ordered by the Court that the
amendments to the petition be made more definite and certain and if it is made amended,
it is allowed. Done at Tulsa, Okla. this 3rd day of January, 1938. (F.E.H. Judge).

HARRY E. DELONG, Attorney and
next friend of E. Delong,
Plaintiff,

vs.
H. H. HULL - Law

WILLIAMSON,
Defendant.

Now on this 3rd day of January, A. D. 1938, it is ordered by the Court that the
amendments to the petition be made more definite and certain and if it is made amended,
it is allowed. Done at Tulsa, Okla. this 3rd day of January, 1938. (F.E.H. Judge).

W. B. CLOPER, et al., Plaintiffs,)

-vs-

No. 3334 - Law.

THE OKLAHOMA OILFIELD CO., Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of January, A. D. 1938, it is ordered by the Court that action to quash of Defendant be and it is hereby sustained. Plaintiff is granted leave to amend petition and obtain new service herein. Given five (5) days to amend. (F. H. Judge).

JENNIE B. CLAY, ADMX. ETC., Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 3347 - Law.

SISTERS OF THE SORROWFUL MOTHER, ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of January, A. D. 1938, it is ordered by the Court that action of Defendant Sisters of the Sorrowful Mother to strike from the file be and it is hereby overruled. Exception allowed. It is further ordered by the Court that action of International Petroleum Exposition to strike and to separately state and number causes of action be and it is hereby overruled and exceptions allowed. Defendant, Sisters of the Sorrowful Mother, granted five (5) days to file herein or twenty (20) days to answer. Defendants of International Petroleum Exposition to stand exhibit in briefs. Granted five (5) days for each side to file brief. (F.H.H. Judge).

RICHARD JOSEPH WALSH, et al., Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 3348 - Law.

SISTERS OF THE SORROWFUL MOTHER, ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of January, A. D. 1938, it is ordered by the Court that the above case be and it is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution. (F.H.H. Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

A. W. COPE, Plaintiff,)

vs.

No. 3355 Law.

Phillips Petroleum Company, Defendant.)
corporation.

D E C R E E

Now on this 8th day of January, 1938, in the case of A. W. Cope, et al., versus Phillips Petroleum Company, before me, the Honorable Franklin E. Lammere, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, the action of the defendant Phillips Petroleum Company to quash the petition and to dismiss the same for want of due diligence, the defendant's motion by J. E. Hansen of his attorneys, and the defendant's motion by R. B. Harmon of his attorneys, the Court having read the affidavits and the evidence filed and heard in the above case, it is hereby ordered that the petition be and it is hereby sustained. (F.H.H. Judge).

J. H. COLLINS,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 2537 - 1st. ✓

SATWAY STARK, et al.,

Defendants.)

Now on this 3rd day of January, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the order of Lefkowitz et al. in this case be hereby overruled and confessed and judgment (as) here to appear herein. (E.A.M. 344 e).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Spring Opert, et al., by Lizzie Lewis,
her mother and next friend,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 2538 - 1st. ✓

Be Mac Transport Company, Incorporated,
a corporation; John Luman and Bill Lee,

Defendants.)

C O R D E R

The motion of the plaintiff to remove this case to the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, coming from this 3rd day of January, 1931, and this court being in its regular order by the clerk of this court; the plaintiff appearing by her attorney, Robert W. Little, and the defendants, Be Mac Transport Company, Incorporated, a corporation, and John Luman appearing by their attorneys, Short & Pierson, and Norman Wootton, and the court having heard the argument of counsel, and being fully advised, upon consideration of all the facts, it is ordered that the motion should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the motion of the plaintiff to remove this case to the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, be, on the same is hereby granted, and this cause be, and to go to the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, for further proceedings.

F. S. KEMMERER
Judge of the United States District Court.

RECORDED: Filed Jan. 17, 1931
H. F. McFadden, Clerk
U. S. District Court - Tulsa

LENN LEVINE, et al.,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 2539 - 1st. ✓

AMERICAN MILITARY ACADEMY, et al.,

Defendants.)

Now on this 1st day of January, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the order of Lefkowitz et al. in this case be hereby overruled and confessed and judgment (as) here to appear herein. (E.A.M. 344 e).

The Court is referred for the Court's consideration of the motion of the Plaintiff for summary judgment, filed January 2, 1934, in Case No. 2334 L.

The Court is advised that the Plaintiff has filed a motion for summary judgment, and that the Court is advised that the Plaintiff is ready to proceed with the trial of the case.

F. E. HENNINGER
District Judge.

Wm. H. CARROLL
Attorney for Plaintiff

Wm. C. JULIEN
S. L. HANLEY
Attorney for Defendant.

RECORDED: FILED Jan. 2, 1934
H. P. [unclear], Clerk
U. S. District Court E.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Henry C. Equipment, Plaintiff,
vs.
Against National Insurance Company and
G. H. [unclear], Defendants.

ORDER REMANDING SUIT TO STATE COURT

The Court is advised that the Plaintiff has moved this case to the District Court of Payne County, Oklahoma, and that the Court is advised that the Plaintiff is ready to proceed with the trial of the case.

IT IS ORDERED REMOVED that the Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment be granted, and that this case be remanded to the District Court of Payne County, Oklahoma, for trial, and that the Plaintiff be advised of this order.

F. E. HENNINGER
Judge of the U. S. District Court for the
District of Columbia.

RECORDED: FILED Jan. 2, 1934
H. P. [unclear], Clerk
U. S. District Court E.

On the 31st day of January, A. D. 1933, the following petition was filed in the District Court of the District of Oklahoma, sitting in Tulsa, Oklahoma, to wit: *[Name]*, Plaintiff, vs. *[Name]*, Defendant.

H. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court,
John V. Moran, United States Attorney,
John P. Moran, United States Marshal.

For the purpose of giving to the above named defendant, *[Name]*, notice of the filing of the within petition:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, SITHIN AND FOR THE DISTRICT
DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF HARRY C. UNKWOOD,)
Plaintiff, vs. *[Name]*, Defendant.) No. 2881 LA.

CHINA WARRANT WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS.

That the petition of Harry C. Unkwood, stating that he is being detained in custody by one Honorable John P. Logan, United States Marshal, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, and is confined as a Federal prisoner in the City Jail in Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, with a habeas corpus is granted and directed to John P. Moran, United States Marshal, commanding him to have the body of the said Harry C. Unkwood, before the Judge of this court on the 8th day of January, 1933, at 11:00 o'clock in the afternoon of the said 8th day in the State of Oklahoma, to wit: in the Federal Court of his district and territory.

Dated January 1, 1933.

F. D. HERRICK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE IN THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FILED AND:
H. F. Warfield, Clerk,
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, SITHIN AND FOR THE DISTRICT
DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)
LAWRENCE A. WILSON, Plaintiff, vs. *[Name]*, Defendant.) No. 2882 LA.

CHINA WARRANT WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS.

That the petition of Lawrence A. Wilson, stating that he is being detained in custody by one Honorable John P. Logan, United States Marshal, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, and is confined as a Federal prisoner in the City Jail in Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, with a habeas corpus is granted and directed to John P. Moran, United States Marshal, commanding him to have the body of the said Lawrence A. Wilson, before the Judge of this court on the 8th day of January, 1933, at 11:00 o'clock in the afternoon of the said 8th day in the State of Oklahoma, to wit: in the Federal Court of his district and territory.

IT IS ORDERED HEREBY BY THE COURT that the defendant, Harry C. Underwood, be discharged from custody of the United States Marshal and allowed to return to his home for medical treatment, this order to have full effect.

F. E. WHEELER,

CLERK

RECORDED: Filed Jan. 1, 1901
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court E

HARRY C. UNDERWOOD, Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 2071 - L.)

UNITED STATES MARSHAL, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of January, A. D. 1901, the above entitled case is called for trial. The Government is represented by the U. S. Attorney and the Plaintiff, Harry C. Underwood is represented by counsel, Louis A. Justice. Thereupon, the court is advised by the Plaintiff's attorney, Ward Justice, W. E. Roston, Louis A. Underwood and Harry C. Underwood that the case was examined by the Court. And hereafter, it is ordered by the Court, after being fully advised of the premises, that unless objection be made in writing to the Court and filed for the record that Harry C. Underwood be held for the Grand Jury in January, 1901. If no objection is filed by the Court that Harry C. Underwood be discharged on the above stated terms and conditions, subject to the Main Act. (F.E.W. Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

RE: APPLICATION FOR HABEAS CORPUS OF)
HARRY C. UNDERWOOD,) 2071

C A L I F O R N I A

This cause came on for hearing before me, F. E. Wheeler, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, sitting in Trial on the 30th day of January, 1901, upon the petition of Harry C. Underwood for writ of Habeas Corpus. The defendant appeared by his Attorneys, Ward Justice and Ward of the United States and by Paul L. Sims, Assistant United States District Attorney, and the Court having heard the evidence offered on the petition finds that the petition for Harry C. Underwood should be granted and he is hereby ordered discharged on the conditions herein set forth in so far as the charge of conspiracy to violate the Main Act or White Slave Act is concerned, and said order is hereby affirmed.

IT IS MY FURTHER ORDER BY THE COURT that the defendant, Harry C. Underwood be held to await the action of the Grand Jury in the case of violation of the White Slave Act or Main Act.

F. E. WHEELER,

CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

RECORDED: Filed Jan. 1, 1901
Ward Justice, U. S. Atty.

RECORDED: Filed Jan. 1, 1901
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court E

LEONARD A. UNDERWOOD,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 3801 - 1941

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

The writ of Habeas Corpus of January, A. D. 1941, in Case No. 3801 - 1941 is called for trial. The Government is represented by the U. S. Attorney, William A. Rife and the Plaintiff, Leonard A. Underwood is represented by Louis A. Justice. Thereafter, evidence is made by Louis A. Justice. After conference, W. E. Hutton, Louis A. Underwood, and Henry I. Underwood are present. The Court, after hearing, is ordered by the Court, the writ of Habeas Corpus is granted and the Plaintiff, Leonard A. Underwood is discharged and ordered to appear before the Court on January 17, 1941, at 10:00 A.M. and the Court will be held as a material witness to the Plaintiff, Leonard A. Underwood, (P.E.H. Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

RE: APPLICATION OF LEONARD A. UNDERWOOD, FOR
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS,

No. 3801

C A F E E

This case comes on for hearing before me, F. E. HULL, Chief Judge of the United States District Court, for the Northern District of Indiana, at Indianapolis, Indiana, on January 17, 1941, in Case No. 3801 - 1941, in which the Plaintiff, Leonard A. Underwood, seeks a writ of Habeas Corpus. The Plaintiff is represented by her attorneys, Ward Justice and Henry I. Underwood, United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, and by a witness, evidence offered in open Court in support of said writ, and the Court of the United States, the Court finds that the application for writ of Habeas Corpus should be granted and the Plaintiff, Leonard A. Underwood, discharged and ordered to appear before the Court on January 17, 1941.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the Plaintiff, Leonard A. Underwood, shall appear in the United States District Attorney's office at Indianapolis, Indiana, at 10:00 A.M. on January 17, 1941, and shall be held as a material witness to the Plaintiff, Leonard A. Underwood, (P.E.H. Judge).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the United States Marshal, John F. Logan, do hereby advise and direct the Plaintiff, Leonard A. Underwood, to appear before the Court on January 17, 1941, at 10:00 A.M. and shall be held as a material witness to the Plaintiff, Leonard A. Underwood, (P.E.H. Judge).

F. E. HULL,
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 18 1941
H. F. Griffith, Clerk
U. S. District Court

That in January of January, A. D. 1938, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1938 Term, do hereby certify and forward to Attorney in Law, F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present in Oklahoma,

H. P. McField, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
Walt V. Kemp, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been made in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, to wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 2285 - Cr. 1938. ✓
Alford, Defendant.)

ORDER OF COURT

That on this 5th day of January, 1938, this Court do hereby certify and forward in addition to John P. Logan, United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, a copy of the writ of habeas corpus with Adams has been held in jail and material witness in the above captioned case since October 2, 1937, and is entitled to his discharge from the custody of the said Alford for the time and has been incorporated and reading the Court do hereby certify to pay to the sum of \$17.00 as witness fees, and the cost being satisfactorily paid by the parties, public proclamation being made.

It is therefore the ORDER OF THE COURT that the United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, do and he is hereby ordered to pay witness fees to the said Alford, in the sum of \$17.00, being at the rate of \$1.00 per day for each day of confinement, to wit:

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

J. W. HOWARD
Attorney U. S. Attorney

W. P. McFIELD
H. P. McFIELD, Clerk
J. P. LOGAN, U. S. Marshal

Court adjourned on January 7, 1938.

That in January of January, A. D. 1938, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1938 Term, do hereby certify and forward to Attorney in Law, F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present in Oklahoma,

H. P. McField, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
Walt V. Kemp, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been made in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, to wit:

WILSON, L. MILLER, Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 8848-1st.

WILSON JOE MACHINE CO., Defendant.

On or about the 1st of January, A. D. 1932, it is alleged that the defendant... (The text is partially illegible due to blurring and low resolution.)

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA
AT TULSA

HENRY LEVINE, an individual doing business as HENRY'S TYPE & PRINTING COMPANY, Plaintiff,

No. 8855 - 1st.

vs.

KANSAS MILITARY ACADEMY and H. TOM HIRSH, JR., H. W. LABELINE, and H. CLAY FISH, as the Board of Regents of said military academy. Defendant.

ORDER DISMISSING CASES AT ACCORD

On this 1st day of January, 1933, upon reading the report of the referee, appearing by his attorneys of record;

It is by the court ordered that this case be dismissed with prejudice, and the cost of the printing.

F. L. HERRING
Judge

Attorneys: FISH JAN 1 1933
H. B. Gifford, Clerk
U. S. District Court D

Continued from January 1, 1933.

On or about the 1st of January, A. D. 1932, the defendant... (The text is partially illegible due to blurring and low resolution.)

H. B. Gifford, Clerk, U. S. District Court,
Tulsa, Oklahoma, United States Attorney,
John P. Long, Church Street Methodist,

Full records of this case have been filed with the clerk of the court and the same are on file in the office of the clerk of the court.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT
SITTING AT DENVER, COLORADO
ANDREW BENTLEY LAY, APPELLANT, vs. WELLSBURY, INC., A. I. 1937.

FORWARD: Honorable Robert E. Lewis, Senior Circuit Judge,
Honorable Orin L. Phillips, Chief Clerk,
Assistant Officers of Court, U.S. District Court,
City of Denver, Colorado.

Report of the Honorable Robert E. Lewis and Honorable Orin L. Phillips, Senior Circuit Judge, Chief Clerk, U.S. District Court, Denver, Colorado.

Report of the Honorable Robert E. Lewis and Honorable Orin L. Phillips, Senior Circuit Judge, Chief Clerk, U.S. District Court, Denver, Colorado.

This case was heard in the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, sitting at Denver, Colorado, on the 1st day of June, 1937.

The appellant, Andrew Bentley Lay, is a resident of the State of Colorado, and the appellee, Wellsbury, Inc., is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Colorado. The appellant is the owner of the rights in the invention of a certain article of apparel, and the appellee is the manufacturer and distributor of such article.

The appellant brought this suit in the District Court of the United States for the District of Colorado, at Denver, Colorado, to recover damages for the infringement of his rights in the invention of the article of apparel, and to obtain an injunction against the appellee from continuing to manufacture and distribute such article.

A true copy as of record,
TESTE:

Clerk of Appeals: ALBERT WHEAT
Clerk,
Attorney: \$ 8.00
Clerk,
(SEAL) \$ 20.00
\$ 28.00

RECORDED: 1937 Jun 1 1937
U. S. District Court
U. S. District Court

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT
SITTING AT DENVER, COLORADO
ANDREW BENTLEY LAY, APPELLANT, vs. WELLSBURY, INC., A. I. 1937.

FORWARD: Honorable Robert E. Lewis, Senior Circuit Judge,
Honorable Orin L. Phillips, Chief Clerk,
Assistant Officers of Court, U.S. District Court,
City of Denver, Colorado.

Report of the Honorable Robert E. Lewis and Honorable Orin L. Phillips, Senior Circuit Judge, Chief Clerk, U.S. District Court, Denver, Colorado.

Report of the Honorable Robert E. Lewis and Honorable Orin L. Phillips, Senior Circuit Judge, Chief Clerk, U.S. District Court, Denver, Colorado.

The case was heard in the court of the Republic of China, Tainan District Court, on January 1, 1981.

On the day of the hearing, it is reported that the Plaintiff, [Name], has been employed by the Defendant, [Name], since [Date]. The Plaintiff claims that the Defendant has not paid the Plaintiff's salary for the period of [Date] to [Date].

It is further stated that the Plaintiff has filed a lawsuit with the Court of the Republic of China, District Court, Tainan, for the purpose of recovering the unpaid salary.

A true copy as of record,
TAINAN

Costs of Plaintiff:		Amount Paid:
Clerk,.....	0.10	
Attorney,.....	10.00	
(Total)	10.10	

RECORDED: FILED Jan 1 1981
H. P. [Name], Clerk
U. S. District Court E

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
NORTHERN DIVISION, SITTING AT WASHINGTON, D.C.

The [Name], a [Nationality] Oil Producing Company,
a corporation; for its own use and benefit
and for the use and benefit of [Name] Oil
Company, a corporation, its assignee,
Plaintiff,

vs. [Name] - [Nationality] ✓
Defendant.

Filed [Date], Defendant.

C O R D E R

On the 1st day of January, 1981, the Court of the Republic of China, District Court, Tainan, in the case of [Name] vs. [Name], the Plaintiff, [Name], and the Defendant, [Name], the Plaintiff's counsel, [Name], and the Defendant's counsel, [Name], appeared in court and the Plaintiff's counsel, [Name], requested leave to file his second amended petition in order to [Name] [Name], in the case of [Name] [Name].

F. P. [Name]
CLERK

RECORDED: FILED Jan 1 1981
H. P. [Name], Clerk
U. S. District Court EA

On the 14th day of January, A. D. 1937, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois, sitting in Chicago, Illinois, did cause to be read the following judgment, to-wit: *James J. E. Hansen, Plaintiff of Illinois.*

vs.
W. F. Hoffmann, Defendant, U. S. District Court.
John H. Wynn, United States Attorney.
John P. Lynch, United States Marshal.

Public accountants have been only authorized collectors of excise taxes and are not authorized to collect:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

James J. E. Hansen, Plaintiff,
vs.
W. F. Hoffmann, Defendant.

W. F. Hoffmann, Plaintiff

C R B E F

On the 14th day of January, 1937, the court being duly organized, do hereby adjudge and decree that the United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Illinois, James J. E. Hansen, is and for said District, do hereby certify that the following sum, to-wit: *James J. E. Hansen, Plaintiff of Illinois.* *vs.* *W. F. Hoffmann, Defendant, U. S. District Court.* *John H. Wynn, United States Attorney.* *John P. Lynch, United States Marshal.* *Public accountants have been only authorized collectors of excise taxes and are not authorized to collect:*

It is, therefore, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Illinois, James J. E. Hansen, is and for said District, do hereby certify that the following sum, to-wit: *James J. E. Hansen, Plaintiff of Illinois.* *vs.* *W. F. Hoffmann, Defendant, U. S. District Court.* *John H. Wynn, United States Attorney.* *John P. Lynch, United States Marshal.* *Public accountants have been only authorized collectors of excise taxes and are not authorized to collect:*

W. F. HOFFMANN
PLAINTIFF

W. F. HOFFMANN
PLAINTIFF
John H. Wynn, United States Attorney
John P. Lynch, United States Marshal

to be returned to the Government from the Court roll.

There is, in the case of said group of grand jurors, no objection to their being sworn and admitted to the Court as to their qualifications.

There is, in the case of said group of grand jurors, no objection to their being sworn and admitted to the Court as to their qualifications.

There is, in the case of said group of grand jurors, no objection to their being sworn and admitted to the Court as to their qualifications.

WITNESSETH: I, J. Edgar Hoover,
Jan 12 1938
U. S. District Court
U. S. District Court

U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs.
BANK OF AMERICA, Defendant.

C O M P L A I N T

That on this, the 12th day of January, A. D. 1938, the undersigned, of part
first in the captioned case, do hereby certify that the transcript of the United States Commission, there
is now held in the custody of the United States Marshal in storage and the United States Marshal, Empire B.
of the District of Columbia, is in the possession of the undersigned, and the value of said transcript is in the sum of \$500;
and that the undersigned is the owner of said transcript and is entitled to the same and is entitled to the same
and is entitled to the same.

That the undersigned, of part first in the captioned case, do hereby certify that the transcript of the United States Commission, there
is now held in the custody of the United States Marshal in storage and the United States Marshal, Empire B.
of the District of Columbia, is in the possession of the undersigned, and the value of said transcript is in the sum of \$500;
and that the undersigned is the owner of said transcript and is entitled to the same and is entitled to the same
and is entitled to the same.

U. S. District Court
U. S. District Court

WITNESSETH: I, J. Edgar Hoover,
U. S. District Court
U. S. District Court

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 35-101-1001.

Ed M. Riley,

Defendant.

Now on this 10th day of January, A. D. 1938, the above title case is called for trial. The Government is represented by the U. S. Attorney and the Defendant is present in person and by counsel, Paul H. ... Both sides waive a trial by jury. And there- upon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that the case be heard and tried by the Court, the Government being unable to produce necessary witnesses. It is therefore ordered by the Court that Defendant Riley be and he is hereby ordered, and for those authorities. (F.R.C. 306).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 35-101-1001.

H. S. Carson, Administrator of the Estate of William Elmer, Deceased, et al,
Defendants.

C O R D E R

Now on this 10th day of January, 1938, in the above titled case, Plaintiff, United States of America, in the above entitled cause, good cause being shown to the Court, it is ordered by the Court for signing, allowing and filing of the bill of exchange of the above named Plaintiff, is hereby extended for a period of 90 days from this date.

J. W. ...
CLERK

RECORDED: FILED JAN 10 1938
H. S. Carson, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

United States,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 35-101-1001

United States of America,

Defendant.

C O R D E R

Now on this 10th day of January, 1938, in the above titled case, Plaintiff, United States of America, in the above entitled cause, good cause being shown to the Court, it is ordered by the Court for signing, allowing and filing of the bill of exchange of the above named Plaintiff, is hereby extended for a period of 90 days from this date.

On January 14, 1964, the following information was received from the Chicago office of the FBI:

I. On January 14, 1964, ALVIN KARP and RONALD WYLLIE, both of Chicago, Illinois, advised that they had information regarding the activities of the Chicago office of the FBI.

II. On January 14, 1964, ALVIN KARP and RONALD WYLLIE advised that they had information regarding the activities of the Chicago office of the FBI.

F. B. I.
CHICAGO

RECEIVED: CHICAGO JAN 14 1964
F. B. I.
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

ORDER OF RECEIPT

On January 14, 1964, the following information was received from the Chicago office of the FBI:

I. On January 14, 1964, ALVIN KARP and RONALD WYLLIE, both of Chicago, Illinois, advised that they had information regarding the activities of the Chicago office of the FBI.

F. B. I.
CHICAGO

CHICAGO, ILL. OFFICE
RECEIVED: CHICAGO JAN 14 1964

RECEIVED: CHICAGO JAN 14 1964
F. B. I.
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FRANKFURT, MO.

JOHN J. ...

Defendant.

... of ... of ...

IN RE: ...

United States ...

Frankfurt, Mo.

... and ...

Defendant.

... OF ...

... of ... this ...

... UNDER ...

... COURT ...

... COURT ...

First main paragraph of text, starting with a capital letter and containing several lines of faint, mostly illegible characters.

Second main paragraph of text, continuing the narrative or report with multiple lines of faint text.

Third main paragraph of text, appearing as a distinct section with faint, illegible content.

Fourth main paragraph of text, continuing the document's content with faint, illegible text.

Fifth main paragraph of text, showing another section of the document with faint, illegible text.

Sixth main paragraph of text, continuing the sequence of faint, illegible text.

Seventh main paragraph of text, appearing as a section towards the bottom of the page.

Eighth main paragraph of text, the final section of the document with faint, illegible text.

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (100-442655)
FROM : SAC, NEW YORK (100-100000)
SUBJECT: [Illegible]

RE: [Illegible]

[Illegible]

DATE: [Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

TO: SAC, NEW YORK (100-100000) FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (100-100000)

RE: [Illegible]

DATE: [Illegible]

PHOENIX, ARIZONA

PHOENIX, ARIZONA

TO: SAC, NEW YORK (100-100000) FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (100-100000)

RE: [Illegible]

DATE: [Illegible]

PHOENIX, ARIZONA

PHOENIX, ARIZONA

[Illegible body text]

[Illegible body text]

[Illegible body text]

TO: SAC, NEW YORK (100-100000) FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (100-100000)

RE: [Illegible]

DATE: [Illegible]

PHOENIX, ARIZONA

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

TO: THE SECRETARY OF THE ARMY
FROM: THE CHIEF OF STAFF
SUBJECT: [Illegible]

THE SECRETARY OF THE ARMY
WASHINGTON, D. C.

1. [Illegible]
2. [Illegible]
3. [Illegible]

GENERAL ORDER

1. [Illegible]
2. [Illegible]
3. [Illegible]

GENERAL ORDER

1. [Illegible]
2. [Illegible]
3. [Illegible]
4. [Illegible]
5. [Illegible]

2. [Illegible]
3. [Illegible]
4. [Illegible]
5. [Illegible]

3. [Illegible]
4. [Illegible]
5. [Illegible]

4. [Illegible]
5. [Illegible]
6. [Illegible]
7. [Illegible]

TO THE CHIEF OF POLICE
FROM THE CHIEF OF POLICE
RE: [Illegible]

[Illegible text follows, appearing to be a list or report of activities.]

TO THE CHIEF OF POLICE
FROM THE CHIEF OF POLICE
RE: [Illegible]

[Illegible text follows, appearing to be a list or report of activities.]

(CAPTIONED ABOVE)

[Illegible text follows, appearing to be a list or report of activities.]

[Illegible text follows, appearing to be a list or report of activities.]

Faint header text at the top of the page, possibly including a title or reference number.

Second block of faint text, appearing to be a list or series of entries.

SECTION THREE

Text block following the section header, containing several lines of faint text.

Text block continuing the content, with some lines appearing to be indented or part of a list.

Text block, possibly a sub-section or a specific entry.

Text block, continuing the sequence of information.

Text block, possibly a transition or a new section start.

Text block, containing faint text that is difficult to decipher.

Text block, continuing the document's content.

Text block, possibly a list or a set of instructions.

Text block, continuing the sequence of text.

SECTION FOUR

Text block following the section header, containing faint text.

Text block at the bottom of the page, possibly a footer or concluding text.

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

١٩٥٥

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This ensures transparency and allows for easy verification of the data.

2. The second section covers the process of reconciling accounts. It explains how to compare the internal records with the bank statements to identify any discrepancies. Regular reconciliation is crucial for catching errors early and preventing them from escalating.

3. The third part of the document addresses the issue of budgeting. It provides a framework for setting realistic financial goals and monitoring progress against them. This involves tracking expenses and adjusting the budget as needed to stay on track.

4. The final section discusses the importance of financial reporting. It outlines the key metrics that should be tracked and how to present this information in a clear and concise manner. Regular reporting helps management make informed decisions about the company's financial health.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

REPORT

CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF THE ARMY
SUBJECT: [Illegible]

DATE: [Illegible]

1. SUMMARY

[Illegible text block]

- 1. [Illegible]
- 2. [Illegible]
- 3. [Illegible]
- 4. [Illegible]
- 5. [Illegible]
- 6. [Illegible]
- 7. [Illegible]
- 8. [Illegible]
- 9. [Illegible]
- 10. [Illegible]

[Illegible text block]

[Illegible text]

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

[Illegible header text]

[Illegible paragraph of text]

[Illegible text]

PL 480

[Illegible paragraph of text]

[Illegible paragraph of text]

[Illegible paragraph of text]

[Illegible paragraph of text]

... of the ...
... the ...
... the ...

... of the ...
... the ...

... of the ...
... the ...
... the ...

... of the ...
... the ...
... the ...

CHIEF OF POLICE
CITY OF NEW YORK
100-100-100

RECEIVED

CHIEF OF POLICE

RECEIVED

CHIEF OF POLICE

RECEIVED

CHIEF OF POLICE

CHIEF OF POLICE

RECEIVED

CHIEF OF POLICE

RECEIVED

CHIEF OF POLICE

RECEIVED

CHIEF OF POLICE

RECEIVED

CHIEF OF POLICE

CHIEF OF POLICE

RECEIVED

CHIEF OF POLICE

RECEIVED

Section 1: Introduction

Section 2: Methodology

Section 3: Results

Section 4: Discussion

Section 5: Conclusion

Section 6: References

Section 7: Appendix

Section 8: Acknowledgements

Section 9: Contact Information

On this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1938 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
Whit Y. Mauzy, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ADMISSION TO BAR.

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, it being made satisfactorily to appear that Emmett V. Rossier, Jr., is qualified for admission to the Bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by law is administered and said attorney is declared admitted to the Bar of the Court. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 8343 - Criminal. ✓

CALEB HODGE and DORSIE LAY,

Defendants.)

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the Defendant Dorsie Lay, for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, and upon Revocation of Probation, and upon recommendation of Probation Officer and U. S. Attorney, is sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary for a period of:

Five (5) Years

It is further ordered by the Court that this order shall be the authority of the Marshal to deliver the Defendant to the Warden, or other proper Custodian of the Institution to which the Defendant has been sentenced, and causesaid order to be executed without delay.

APPROVED:

PAUL O. SIMMS

Ass't U. S. Attorney

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 8704 - Criminal. ✓

MOSES BOWIE,

Defendant.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, it is ordered by the Court that Count One be and it is hereby dismissed as to said Defendant and the above case closed. (F.E.K. J.)

No. 8934 Cr. Cont'd.

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein and the Defendants Lew Wilder appearing in person and by counsel Moss & Young and Sebe Christian; Harry Stein appearing in person and by counsel, C. A. Warren; John E. Whitwell appearing in person and by counsel, Coffey & Coffey and each waive the reading of the Indictment herein. And thereafter, Defendant Ben E. Chandler appears in person and by counsel, Homer Chandler and W. C. Henneberry, Curtis Brumley appearing in person and by counsel, Homer Chandler and W. C. Henneberry; D. B. Jones appearing in person and by counsel F. L. Patrick, Herbert Bean appearing in person; George Joseph appearing in person and by counsel Johnson & Jones and L. R. Cook, appearing in person and by counsel Johnson & Jones. And thereafter, each of the above Defendants are arraigned. And now at this time, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that case be and it is hereby dismissed as to Defendants Everett S. Collins, Enos Pickett, Jack Ary and S. P. Smith.

And now at this time, Defendants Lew Wilder, Harry Stein, John E. Whitwell, Jesse E. Thompson enter their pleas, which pleas are as follows: Lew Wilder enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2; Harry Stein enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2; John E. Whitwell enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2 and Jesse E. Thompson enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2; all as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein, the Court granting said Defendants and each of them, permission to withdraw pleas for the purpose of filing demurrers. And thereafter, Defendant Ben E. Chandler enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2; Curtis Brumley enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2; D. B. Jones enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2; Herbert Bean enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2; George Joseph enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2 and L. R. Cook enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2; all as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that trial of said cause be assigned to the Week of February 8, 1938. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
) No. 8936 - Criminal.
KENNETH BEARS and CHARLES E. PRICE,	Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Charles E. Price appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be continued to February Criminal Docket. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
) No. 8937 - Criminal.
WILLIAM CLARK,	Defendant.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant appearing in person. The Defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that Defendant be and he is hereby placed on probation for a period of Eighteen (18) Months during good behavior or until the further order of the Court. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 8936 - Criminal.

JOE CARR, SAMUEL HOLMES and
EDDIE WESBY,

) Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendants Joe Carr appearing in person and by counsel, Hickman & Ungermann, Eddie Wesby appearing in person and by counsel, Hickman & Ungermann and Samuel Holmes appearing in person. The Defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Joe Carr and Eddie Wesby each enter a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2; Samuel Holmes enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2; all as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. And thereafter, Defendants Joe Carr and Eddie Wesby each withdraw their former pleas of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2 and now enters pleas of guilty to Counts 1 and 2. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, after being fully advised in the premises, that each of the above Defendants be placed on probation for a period of Eighteen (18) Months during good behavior or until the further order of the Court. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 8939 - Criminal.

HENRY GLASS and REX BUFFINGTON,

) Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendants Henry Glass and Rex Buffington appearing in person and by counsel, C. W. Mason. Defendants each waive arraignment and each enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, after being fully advised in the premises, that each Defendant be placed on probation for a period of Eighteen (18) Months during good behavior or until the further order of the Court. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 8940 - Criminal.

J. T. GOWER, WALTER GOWER, and
THOMAS LEE AUSTIN,

) Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein and the defendants J. T. Gower, Walter Gower and Thomas Lee Austin appearing in person. The Defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: J. T. Gower and Thomas Lee Austin each enter a plea of not guilty; Walter Gower enters a plea of guilty; all as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence of Walter Gower be continued to the trial of the other Defendants. Trial of cause set for the next Criminal Docket. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 8942 - Criminal.

ROBERT MAY,

Defendant.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Robert May appearing in person. The Defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that Defendant be and he is hereby placed on probation for a period of Eighteen (18) Months during good behavior or until the further order of the Court. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 8943 - Criminal.

DUNCAN C. PARKER,

Defendant.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein and the defendant Duncan C. Parker appearing in person and by counsel, Harry Seaton. Defendant waives arraignment and enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that case stand for trial on next criminal docket of this Court. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 8944 - Criminal.

GEORGE ROBINSON and ARTHUR BLACKWELL,

Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein and the defendants George Robinson and Arthur Blackwell appearing in person and each of said defendants are arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence as to each defendant, George Robinson and Arthur Blackwell, be deferred and each Defendant is placed on probation for a period of Eighteen (18) months during good behavior or until the further order of the Court.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 8945 - Criminal.

MAURICE ABERNATHY,

Defendant.)

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the

Defendant Maurice Abernathy for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment, and upon plea of guilty to Counts 1 & 2, is adjudged guilty and sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count One, Sixty (60) Days and a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on execution, and an assessed penalty in the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars on execution.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant, Maurice Abernathy, be and is hereby probated for a period of Two (2) Years on Count Two (2) during good behavior or until further order of the Court.

It is further ordered by the Court that this order shall be the authority of the Marshal to deliver the Defendant to the Jailer, or other proper Custodian of the Institution to which the Defendant has been sentenced, and cause said order to be executed without delay.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

APPROVED: JOE W. HOWARD
Ass't U. S. Atty.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 8946 - Criminal.

EDNA ARCHER and FRANK AMENT,

Defendants.)

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the Defendant Edna Archer for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment, and upon a Plea of Guilty, is adjudged guilty & sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Eight (8) Months.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant, Edna Archer, be and she is hereby probated for a period of Two (2) Years, during good behavior or until the further order of the Court.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED: PAUL O. SIMMS
U. S. ATTORNEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 8949 - Criminal.
)
ORVILLE DODD and WILLIAM T. SHIRLEY,	Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein and the defendants Orville Dodd and William T. Shirley appearing in person, and by counsel, W. N. Maben. The Defendants each waive arraignment and each enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

ORVILLE DODD

At Tulsa, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the Defendant Orville Dodd for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment, and upon plea of guilty to Counts 1 & 2, is adjudged guilty and sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count Two, Six (6) Months.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the defendant, Orville Dodd, be and is hereby probated for a period of Two (2) years on Count One (1) during good behavior or until further order of the Court.

IT is further ordered by the Court that this order shall be the authority of the Marshal to deliver the Defendant to the Jailer or other proper Custodian of the Institution to which the Defendant has been sentenced, and cause said order to be executed without delay.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED: PAUL O. SIMMS, ASS'T ATTY.

WILLIAM T. SHIRLEY

At Tulsa, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the Defendant William T. Shirley, for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment, and upon plea of guilty to Counts 1 & 2, is adjudged guilty and sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count Two, Ninety (90) Days.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the defendant, William T. Shirley, be and is hereby probated for a period of Two (2) Years on Count One (1) during good behavior or until further order of the Court.

No. 8949 Cr. Cont'd.

It is further ordered by the Court that this order shall be the authority of the Marshal to deliver the Defendant to the Jailer or other proper Custodian of the Institution to which the Defendant has been sentenced, and cause said order to be executed without delay.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED: PAUL O. SIMMS, ASS'T ATTY.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
CHARLIE EDWARDS & WILLIE CURRY,	Defendants.)

No. 8950 - Criminal.

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants Charlie Edwards and Willie Curry appearing in person and by counsel, W. N. Maben. Each Defendant waives the reading of the Indictment and each enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred as to Defendant Charlie Edwards and Defendant Edwards placed on probation for a period of Eighteen (18) Months during good behavior or until the further order of the Court. It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on Defendant Willie Curry as follows:

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the Defendant Willie Curry for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment, and upon a Plea of Guilty to Counts 1 & 2, is adjudged guilty & sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count Two - Six (6) Months.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the defendant Willie Curry be, and he is hereby probated for a period of Eighteen (18) months on Count One during good behavior or until further order of the Court.

It is further ordered by the Court that this order shall be the authority of the Marshal to deliver the Defendant to the Keeper or other proper Custodian of the Institution to which the Defendant has been sentenced, and cause said order to be executed without delay.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED: PAUL O. SIMMS, ASS'T ATTY.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 8961 - Criminal.

WILLIAM E. TEDFORD,

Defendant.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant, William E. Tedford appearing in person and by counsel, Harry Seaton. The Defendant waives the reading of the Indictment and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

At Tulsa, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the Defendant William E. Tedford for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment, and upon plea of guilty, is adjudged guilty and sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Eight (8) Months.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the defendant, William E. Tedford, be and is hereby probated for a period of Eighteen (18) Months during good behavior or until further order of the Court.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

APPROVED: PAUL O. SIMMS
U. S. ATTORNEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 8962 - Criminal.

JIM WARD,

Defendant.)

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the Defendant Jim Ward for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, and upon a Plea of guilty to Counts 1 & 2, is adjudged guilty and sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Count Two - Fifteen (15) Months, and a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on execution.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the defendant, Jim Ward, be and he is hereby probated for a period of Fifteen (15) Months on Counts One and Two, during good behavior or until further order of the Court.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED: JOE W. HOWARD, U. S. Attorney

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 8974 - Criminal.
)
EARLE BAILEY and RAY CROWELL,	Defendants.)

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the Defendant Earle Bailey for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment, and upon a Plea of guilty is adjudged guilty & sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary for a period of:

Five (5) Years

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the defendant, Earle Bailey, be and he is hereby probated for a period of Five (5) Years, during good behavior or until the further order of the Court upon recommendation of the Officers making the case.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

APPROVED: JOE W. HOWARD
U. S. ATTORNEY

RAY CROWELL

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the Defendant Ray Crowell for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment, and upon a Plea of Guilty is adjudged guilty & sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary for a period of:

Five (5) Years

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE DEFENDANT RAY CROWELL, be and he is hereby probated for a period of Five (5) Years, during good behavior or until the further order of the Court, upon recommendation of Officers making the case.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED: JOE W. HOWARD
U. S. ATTORNEY

No. 8978 Cr. Cont'd.

NAOMI KING

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the Defendant Naomi King for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment, and upon a Plea of guilty to Counts 1 & 2, and not guilty to Counts 3 & 4, is adjudged guilty & sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a Federal Institution for Women for a period of:

Count One - Fifteen (15) Months

Count Two - Fifteen (15) Months. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One.

Count Three - Dismissed by the Court.

Count Four - Dismissed by the Court.

It is further ordered by the Court that the order shall be the authority of the Marshal to deliver the Defendant to the Superintendent, or other proper Custodian of the Institution to which the Defendant has been sentenced, and cause said order to be executed without delay.

APPROVED: WHIT Y. MAUZY
U. S. ATTORNEYF. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGEWARREN McDONALD

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the Defendant Warren McDonald for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment, and upon a Plea of Guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3 & 4, is adjudged guilty & sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary for a period of:

Count One - Four (4) Years

Count Two - Four (4) Years

Count Three - Four (4) Years

Count Four - Four (4) Years. It is further ordered by the Court that the sentence of confinement in Count Two shall begin at the expiration of and run consecutively to the sentence in Count One; that the sentence of confinement in Count Three shall run concurrent with the sentence in Counts One and Two; and that the sentence of confinement in Count Four shall run concurrent with the sentence in Counts One, Two and Three.

It is further ordered by the Court that this order shall be the authority of the Marshal to deliver the Defendant to the Warden or other proper Custodian of the Institution to which the Defendant has been sentenced, and cause said order to be executed without delay.

APPROVED: PAUL O. SIMMS, ASS'T ATTY.F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

to which the Defendant has been sentenced, and cause said order to be executed without delay.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED: JOE W. HOWARD
U. S. ATTORNEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 8988 - Criminal.
)
LOGAN COTTINGHAM, A. R. RILEY and JOSEPHINE ELAM,	Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendants Logan Cottingham, A. R. Riley and Josephine Elam appearing in person and by counsel, Tillman & Tillman. Each and every Defendant waives arraignment and each Defendant enters a plea of not guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that trial of cause be set for the next Criminal Docket. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 8989 - Criminal.
)
RALPH LUNSFORD and OTHA LUNSFORD,	Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendants Ralph and Otha Lunsford appearing in person and by counsel, I. F. Long. The Defendants each waive arraignment and each defendant enters a plea as follows: Ralph Lunsford enters a plea of guilty; Otha Lunsford enters a plea of not guilty; all as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on defendant Ralph Lunsford as follows:

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938
(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the Defendant Ralph Lunsford for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, and upon a Plea of Guilty, is adjudged guilty & sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Six (6) Months, and a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on execution.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the defendant, Ralph Lunsford, be and he is hereby probated for a period of Eighteen (18) Months, during good behavior or until the further order of the Court.

APPROVED: PAUL G. SIMMS
U. S. ATTORNEY

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

No. 8989 Cr. Cont'd.

It is further ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney that case be and it is hereby dismissed as to Defendant Otha Lunsford. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
IVAN KENRECK,	Defendant.)
) No. 8990 - Criminal.

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the Defendant Ivan Kenreck for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment and upon a Plea of Guilty to Counts 1 & 2, is adjudged guilty & sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary for a period of:

Count One - One (1) Year and One (1) Day, and a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on execution; and a penalty of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars on execution.

Count Two - One (1) Year and One (1) Day, and a fine of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars on execution. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One.

It is further ordered by the Court that this order shall be the authority of the Marshal to deliver the Defendant to the Warden, or other proper Custodian of the Institution to which the Defendant has been sentenced, and cause said order to be executed without delay.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED: JOE W. HOWARD, ASS'T U. S. ATT'Y.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
GEORGE DAVIS,	Defendant.)
) No. 8992 - Criminal.

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant George Davis appearing in person. The Defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that Defendant be and he is hereby placed on probation for a period of Eighteen (18) Months during good behavior or until the further order of the Court. (F.E.K.)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 9001 - Criminal. ✓
)
GERALD ANDERSON,	Defendant.)

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the Defendant Gerald Anderson for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment, and upon a Plea of Guilty, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Six (6) Months

It is further ordered by the Court that this order shall be the authority of the Marshal to deliver the Defendant to the Keeper, or other proper Custodian of the Institution to which the Defendant has been sentenced, and cause said order to be executed without delay.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED: PAUL O. SIMMS, ASS'T ATTY.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 9001 Cr. ✓
)
Gerald Anderson,	Defendant.)

ORDER OF COURT

Now on this 27th day of January, being one of the Regular January A. D. 1938 Term of said court, this matter is presented to the Court on motion of John P. Logan, United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, showing to the court that one Wilma Ney has been held in custody as a material witness in the above case since November 21, 1937, and is now entitled to witness fees at the rate of \$1.00 per day for the time she has been thus incarcerated, and asks the court for authority to pay witness fees to said witness; and the court being sufficiently advised in the premises, finds that said motion should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER OF THE COURT that the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma be, and he is hereby ordered to pay witness fees to said Wilma Day, at the rate of \$1.00 per day from November 10, 1937, to January 27, 1938, or a total amount of \$79.00.

O.K. PAUL O. SIMMS
Assistant U. S. Attorney

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

ENDORSED: Filed Jan 27 1938
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court EA

Defendant Zeke Parris for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment, and upon a Plea of Guilty is adjudged guilty & sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

One (1) Year and One (1) Day, and a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on execution.

It is further ordered by the Court that this order shall be the authority of the Marshal to deliver the Defendant to the Warden or other proper Custodian of the Institution to which the Defendant has been sentenced, and cause said order to be executed without delay.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED: PAUL O. SIMMS, ASS'T ATTY.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

-vs-

JOE SMITH AND MARY SMITH,

Plaintiff,)

No. 9009 - Criminal.

Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein and the defendants Joe Smith and Mary Smith appearing in person and by counsel, L. A. Justus. The Defendants are each arraigned and each Defendant enters a plea of not guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that trial of said cause be set for next criminal docket. (F.E.K.)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

-vs-

WALTER STRATE,

Plaintiff,)

No. 9010- Criminal.

Defendant.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Walter Strate appearing in person. The Defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred and Defendant placed on probation for a period of Eighteen (18) Months during good behavior or until the further order of the Court. (F.E.K. Judge).

No. 9013 Cr. Cont'd.

appearing in person and by the Defendant Frank E. Whitney appearing in person and by counsel, Fred Tillman. The Defendant waives arraignment and enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that trial of said cause be set for next criminal docket. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
)
ARTHUR H. BRANNON and E. B. MCKEE,	Defendants.)

No. 9014 - Criminal.

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the U. S. Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendants Arthur H. Brannon and E. B. McKee appearing in person and by counsel, C. S. Fenwick for defendant McKee and I. F. Long for defendant, Arthur H. Brannon. Each Defendant waives arraignment and each Defendant enters a plea as follows: Defendant McKee enters a plea of guilty; Defendant Brannon enters a plea of not guilty, all as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be continued as to Defendant E. B. McKee. It is further ordered by the Court, that Defendant Brannon's trial be set for the next criminal docket. (F.E.K. J.)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
)
RAYMOND L. CROSSLING, HAROLD L. WHITAKER, JAMES AUD, FRANTZ B. MIDDLETON and KERMIT L. NEUMAN,	Defendants.)

No. 9015 - Criminal.

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendants Raymond L. Crossling appearing in person and by counsel, E. B. Taylor; Harold L. Whitaker appearing in person and by counsel, Mr. Howser James Aud appearing in person and by counsel, W. L. Coffey, Frantz B. Middleton and Kermit L. Neuman appearing in person. Defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Defendant James Aud enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2; Harold L. Whitaker pleads true name to be Harold F. Whitaker and enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2; Raymond L. Crosslin, Frantz B. Middleton and Kermit L. Neuman each enter a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2; all as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence of Defendants Raymond L. Crosslin, Frantz B. Middleton and Kermit L. Neuman be continued to trial of Defendants Aud and Whitaker. Trial of said cause set for February criminal docket. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
)
MELVIN FAULKNER,	Defendant.)

No. 9016 - Criminal.

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court there CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the

defendant Melvin Faulkner, for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment, and upon plea of guilty, is adjudged guilty and sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Five (5) Years

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the defendant, Melvin Faulkner, be and is hereby probated for a period of Five (5) Years during good behavior or until further order of the Court.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

APPROVED: JOE W. HOWARD
U. S. ATTORNEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 9018 - Criminal.

FRANZEL ROBERSON,

Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Franzel Roberson appearing in person, and by counsel, Hickman & Ungermann. The Defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereafter, the Court refuses to accept the guilty Plea and appoints Mr. Ungermann to represent said defendant. Cause to stand for trial on February Criminal Docket. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 9022 - Criminal.

ALFRED YOUNG,

Defendant.)

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District, on this 27th day of January, A. D. 1938.

(CAPTION OMITTED)

It is thereupon by the Court here CONSIDERED, ORDERED, and ADJUDGED that the Defendant Alfred Young, for the crime by him committed as charged in the Indictment, and upon a Plea of guilty to Counts 1 & 2 is adjudged guilty & sentenced, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count Two - Sixty (60) Days
Count One - Dismissed by the Court

It is further ordered by the Court that this order shall be the authority of the Marshal to deliver the Defendant to the Keeper, or other proper Custodian of the Institution to which the Defendant has been sentenced, and cause said order to be executed without delay.

APPROVED: JOE W. HOWARD, Ass't U. S. Att'y.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

