

For all of which let execution issue.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E

. FRANK NESBITT,
N. C. BARRY
Attorneys for Plaintiff

EM & FARMER
Attorneys for Defendant.

RECORDED: Filed Dec 30 1933
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

CELLANEOUS - ORDER ADJOURNING MIAMI TERM OF COURT.

It is this day ordered in pursuance of Section 12 of the Judicial Code, that the
Regular November 1933 Term of said Court at Miami, Oklahoma, be adjourned Sine Die.

CELLANEOUS - ORDER ADJOURNING SPECIAL MARCH TERM.

It is this day ordered in pursuance of Section 12 of the Judicial Code, that the
Special March 1933 Term of said Court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, be adjourned Sine Die.

ULAR JANUARY 1934 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, JANUARY 2, 1934

On this 2nd day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States
of the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pur-
suant to the adjournment of the Special March 1933 Term, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and
siding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and
ordered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

LAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, (OKLAHOMA

TUESDAY, JANUARY 2, 1934

ED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6792 - Criminal. ✓
)	
. JOHNS,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 2nd day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that the case be and it is hereby closed, probation terminated and defendant discharged, all upon motion of Assistant U. S. Attorney, Joe Howard.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

ed States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 7389 - Criminal. ✓
)	
ey S. Ary, et al,	Defendants.)	

O R D E R

Now on this 9th day of December, 1933, the same being one of the regular judicial sessions of the Special March A. D. 1933 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court for the application of the above named defendant, Wesley S. Ary, for an order of court placing in execution the fine of \$200.00 heretofore assessed against said defendant herein, and it appearing to the court that on the 28th day of June, 1933, the said defendant Wesley S. Ary, pleaded a plea of guilty to the indictment herein and was thereupon sentenced by the court to a term of six months in jail and to pay a fine of \$200.00, and it further appearing to the court that the said defendant Wesley S. Ary has now completed the service of his jail sentence,

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the fine of \$200.00 heretofore assessed against the above named defendant, Wesley S. Ary herein, be placed on execution.

C. E. BAILEY
United States Attorney.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Jan 2 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

On this 3rd day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

of Oklahoma, ex rel Margaret Jennings,)	
izen, resident and taxpayer of Creek)	
y, State of Oklahoma,	Plaintiff,)
)	
vs.)	No. 1799 At Law ✓
)	
Ray and the Texas Company, a corporation,)	
oard of County Commissioners, County of)	
, State of Oklahoma,	Defendants.)

O R D E R

Now, on this 4th day of December, 1933, the above matter comes on to be heard before the Court; plaintiff appeared by her counsel, George H. Jennings and L. O. Lytle, and defendants appeared by B. W. Griffith.

Thereupon, said cause comes on to be heard on plaintiff's motion to remand said cause, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds that plaintiff and defendant should defend their authorities on said motion to remand, and that plaintiff should file her brief on or before ten days from this date; that thereafter, defendants be allowed and granted ten days in which to file their brief, and memorandum of authorities.

WHEREFORE, IT IS CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that on or before expiration of ten days from this date that plaintiff file her brief and memorandum of authorities in support of her motion to remand in the above styled cause, and that thereafter defendants, within ten days from said filing by plaintiff, file their brief and memorandum of authorities in opposition thereto.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District Court for
the Northern District of Oklahoma.

GEORGE H. JENNINGS
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

B. W. GRIFFITH
J. M. HILL
Attorney for Defendants.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 3 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

LAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 3, 1934

L. KERSHAW, REC.,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1880 - Law. ✓
)	
E MADEWELL, ET AL,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 3rd day of January, A. D. 1934, the above styled cause comes on for trial. Plaintiff present in person and announces ready for trial. Defendants not present and before by the Court, declared to be in default. Thereafter, the Plaintiff introduces evidence in and rests. Testimony of witness, L. R. Kershaw is heard. And thereafter, after being advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that judgment for Plaintiff be entered, or journal entry to be filed.

Court adjourned until January 4, 1934.

LAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

THURSDAY, JANUARY 4, 1934

On this 4th day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

Re: Receivership of the First National)	
of Fairfax, Oklahoma,)	No. Miscl. ✓
E. S. Shidler, Receiver.)	

ORDER APPROVING THE COMPOUNDING OF DOUBTFUL DEBT BY THE RECEIVER OF THE
FIRST NATIONAL BANK, FAIRFAX, OKLAHOMA.

This cause coming on regularly for hearing on this, the 4th day of January, 1934, on the petition of E. S. Shidler, receiver of the First National Bank of Fairfax, Oklahoma, asking this court to approve the compounding of a doubtful debt claimed to be due from the First National Bank of Fairfax, Oklahoma to the Incorporated Town of Fairfax, Osage County, Oklahoma.

It appearing to the court that the Incorporated Town of Fairfax, Oklahoma has brought suit against the First National Bank of Fairfax, Oklahoma and against the receiver appointed by the Comptroller of the Currency for said bank against C. E. Ashbrook, which cause was later revived against the administrator of the estate of C. E. Ashbrook, seeking to recover from the First National Bank of Fairfax, Oklahoma the sum of \$105,236.38 claimed to be due from the First National Bank of Fairfax, Oklahoma on account of a deposit liability claimed to be due by reason C. E. Ashbrook being treasurer of the Town of Fairfax and having deposited said amount of money in said bank, out of which no part of the amount claimed had been withdrawn.

It further appearing from the petition of the receiver herein, that while he denies liability for said deposit, that there is in the said case an issue both of law and of fact of liability of said bank and of the receiver thereof.

It further appearing that the said Town of Fairfax has and does submit a proposition of settlement to the receiver of said bank to the effect that said Town of Fairfax, plaintiff in said cause, will accept in full settlement of all of its claims and demands against the First National Bank of Fairfax and against the receiver thereof the allowance of an unsecured claim against the said bank and against the assets in the hands of the receiver in the sum of \$25,000.00 and that when said unsecured claim in the sum of \$25,000.00 is allowed by the receiver that suit No. 14720 on the appearance docket of the court clerk of Osage County, Oklahoma will be dismissed with prejudice and said unsecured claim so allowed will be accepted by the Town of Fairfax in full payment, settlement and satisfaction of its claim set up in the said cause now pending in the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma.

It further appearing to the court that said proposed settlement and compromise has heretofore been submitted to the Comptroller of the Currency and has been approved by him subject to the approval of this Court, and now on consideration thereof the court finds that said compromise and settlement should be approved.

It is by the court duly considered, adjudged and decreed that E. S. Shidler, Receiver of the First National Bank of Fairfax, Oklahoma, be and he is hereby authorized to enter into a compromise and settlement between himself as receiver of the First National Bank of Fairfax, Oklahoma and the Incorporated Town of Fairfax, in which the said E. S. Shidler shall allow the Town of Fairfax an unsecured claim in the sum of \$25,000.00 against the First National Bank of Fairfax, Oklahoma and that same be paid pro rata and in the same proportion with all other unsecured claims allowed against the assets of the said First National Bank of Fairfax, Oklahoma, and it is so ordered to the effect that the Town of Fairfax, Oklahoma will accept and approve said unsecured claim in the sum of \$25,000.00 in full settlement of all claims and demands as set forth and pleaded in its petition and amended petition filed in said cause No. 14720 now pending in the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma and conditioned further that upon the allowance of said claim as aforesaid said plaintiff, Incorporated Town of Fairfax and its President and Board of Trustees, shall dismiss said cause No. 14720, District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, with prejudice to a new action.

F. E. KERNAMER
Judge

RECORDED: Filed Jan 4 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7541 - Criminal. ✓
)	
PUTNAM & DOCK SMITH,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 4th day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court, upon application of John P. Logan, United States Marshal, that capias be and it is hereby issued for defendant John Putnam.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

County of Oklahoma, ex rel Margaret Jennings,)
resident, citizen and taxpayer of Creek)
County, Oklahoma, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1799 at Law
The Texas Company, a corporation,)
Board of County Commissioners, County of)
Creek, State of Oklahoma, Defendants.)

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS ADDITIONAL TIME TO FILE BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO REMAND.

Now on this the 4 day of January, 1934, upon application of the defendants for additional time within which to file brief in opposition to the motion to remand in this case, it is ordered that the defendants be allowed five days time in addition to the time heretofore allowed within which to file their said brief herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of U. S. District Court.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 4 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA GASOLINE COMPANY, a Corporation, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) NO. 1802 LAW
SHELL PETROLEUM CORPORATION, a corporation,)
Defendant.)

O R D E R

Upon application of the plaintiff it is ordered that it be allowed to file the amended petition in place of the petition and amended petition heretofore filed, and that the petition and amended petition may be withdrawn.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

4, 1934

RECORDED: Filed Jan 4 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

F. WILKERSON, SUCCESSOR TO L. R. KERSHAW)	
RECEIVER OF THE PRODUCERS NATIONAL BANK)	
TULSA, OKLAHOMA, A NATIONAL BANKING)	
SITUATION,	Plaintiff,) No. 1807 - Law. ✓
)	
vs.)	
)	
I. EMERY & COMPANY, a corpn.,	Defendant.)

PETITION FOR APPEAL.

HONORABLE FRANKLIN E. KENNAMER,
DISTRICT JUDGE FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA:

The above named defendant, B. V. Emery & Company, a corporation, feeling itself grieved by the judgment made and entered in this cause on the 10th day of October, 1933, does hereby appeal from the said judgment to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, for reasons specified in the Assignment of Errors which is filed herewith and it prays that its appeal be allowed and citation issue as provided by law, and that a transcript of the record, proceedings and papers upon which said decree was based, duly authenticated, may be sent to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, sitting at Denver, Colorado.

Your petitioner further prays that the proper order issue, touching the security to be required of it for costs incurred in this action and costs upon appeal, and your petitioner hereby tenders bond as the court may require for such purpose.

W. E. DISNEY & GLENN ALCORN
R. W. RAYNOLDS
Attorneys for Defendant.

The above and foregoing petition for appeal is hereby granted and the appeal allowed, upon giving bond conditioned as required by law, in the sum of Two Hundred and fifty (250.00) Dollars, which said bond shall be security for the costs incurred herein and in the appellate court.

Given and January 4th, 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 4 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until January 5, 1934.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

FRIDAY, JANUARY 5, 1934

On this 5th day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

George Franklin Taylor, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1560 ✓
United States of America, Defendant.)

O R D E R

Now on this 5th day of January, 1934, same being a regular judicial day of a regular term of this Court, there coming on for hearing, the Motion of Plaintiff to withdraw the exhibits introduced for and on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above styled and numbered cause, particularly those exhibits which are peculiarly essential to the Plaintiff, in preparing a claim for his compensation, such as his discharge and other necessary papers; this Order not to return any exhibits introduced by the Plaintiff which were taken from the private files of the United States Veteran's Bureau, if any such were introduced, but only those introduced by the Plaintiff which personally belonged to him.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the exhibits which belonged personally to the Plaintiff; this not including the exhibits introduced by the Plaintiff which were taken from the private files of the United States Veteran's Bureau, be returned to the Plaintiff.

Dated this 5th day of January, 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 5 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

WELLS STEEL COMPANY, INC., a corporation,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) NO. 1791 LA. ✓
WELLS STEEL CORPORATION, a corporation, and)
AMERICAN ROLLING MILL COMPANY, a corporation,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R

On application of H. C. Doerner, Trustee in Bankruptcy of Wells Steel Company, Incorporated, it is by the Court

ORDERED that said H. C. Doerner, as such trustee in Bankruptcy, be and he is

by substituted as plaintiff in the above action for Tulsa Steel Company, Inc.

ENTERED this 5th day of January, 1934.

ORSED: Filed Jan 5 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

E. Doerner, Trustee of Tulsa Steel Company,)
Corporation, Bankrupt, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1791 - Law ✓
Warfield Steel Corporation, a corporation, and)
American Rolling Mill Company, a corporation,)
Defendants.)

ORDER APPOINTING SPECIAL COUNSEL

On this 5th day of January, 1934, it having been ordered by the Court that E. Doerner, Trustee in Bankruptcy of Tulsa Steel Company, a corporation, bankrupt be substituted as plaintiff in the above entitled cause, and it appearing to the Court that R. A. Kleinschmidt and Marvin T. Johnson, attorneys at law filed this action, and have prosecuted the same to present time, and that said Trustee necessarily must have counsel in said cause, and that R. A. Kleinschmidt and Marvin T. Johnson are proper persons to be appointed to represent said Trustee as plaintiff in this cause.

It is ordered that R. A. Kleinschmidt and Marvin T. Johnson be and they are hereby appointed as special counsel, and as attorneys for said Trustee as plaintiff in this cause, to file and prosecute this cause to a conclusion, and any settlement of the cause to be approved by the Court.
F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ORSED: Filed Jan 5 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until January 6, 1934.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

SETTING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between Elizabeth Rentfrow et al., plaintiffs, and United States of America, defendant, No. 1153, Law, the judgment of the said District Court in said cause entered on October 28, 1932, was in the following words, viz:

"It is therefore the order, judgment and decree of the court that the plaintiffs take nothing as a result of this suit, and that the defendant recover its costs herein, to which order and judgment of the court plaintiffs except and exceptions are allowed."

By the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Elizabeth Rentfrow, Administratrix of the Estate of Jesse Rentfrow, deceased, and Elizabeth Rentfrow, respectively to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the September Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-three, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed and that the United States of America, appellee, have and recover of and from Elizabeth Rentfrow, appellants, costs herein.

- - November 29, 1933.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause, according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said judgment notwithstanding.

WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-four.

COPIES OF	Appellee:
Book	\$5.50
Printing Record	\$-- --
Attorney	\$20.00
	<u>\$25.50</u>

ALBERT TREGO
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals,
Tenth Circuit, By H. A. McIntyre, Deputy.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
Tenth Circuit

Costs taxed in favor of appellee, in the case of Elizabeth Rentfrow, Administratrix, et al., vs. United States of America, No. 856

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

E. CLEAVES, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1745 Law ✓
BUR T. FUNK, Defendant.)

ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR ALLOWANCE AND FILING OF BILL OF EXCEPTIONS

UPON application of the plaintiff in the above entitled cause duly made, and good
reason being shown therefor, the time for the allowance, signing, and filing of the Bill of Ex-
ceptions of the above named plaintiff in the above entitled cause is hereby extended to the 7th
of February, 1934, including that date.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 6th day of January, 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

CORSED: Filed Jan 6 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

E. CLEAVES, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1745 Law. ✓
BUR T. FUNK, Defendant.)

O R D E R

For good cause the plaintiff in the above entitled cause is hereby given forty
days from this date in which to prepare and file his Transcript of Record in the above cause.

Dated this 6th day of January, 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

CORSED: Filed Jan 6 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

LET RILEY, ET AL, Plaintiffs,)
-vs-) No. 1853 - Law. ✓
M. F. W. SORIANO, Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of January, A. D. 1933, it is ordered by the Court, that the
above case be dismissed upon motion of the Plaintiffs, without prejudice, at the cost of the
Plaintiffs.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Ed A. Staton, Executor of estate of
 Ed Staton, deceased, Plaintiff,)
 vs.) No. 1868 Law
 United States of America, Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME

Now on this 6th day of January, 1934, it being shown to the Court that the above named defendant, The United States of America, does not have the necessary information with which to make its answer to plaintiff's petition in this cause, and that it is necessary that said defendant have an extension of time in which to file its answer herein;

It is by the Court ordered that said defendant, The United States of America, is hereby granted an extension of 30 days from this date in which to file its answer in this cause.

A. E. WILLIAMS
 Assistant United States Attorney

F. E. KENNAMER
 JUDGE

ORSED: Filed Jan 6 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court DC

A. PRESBURY, ET AL, Plaintiffs,)
 -vs-) No. 1948 - Law.
 D OIL CO. A CORP., ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 6th day of January, A. D. 1934, hearing is had on motion to remand in the above styled case. Thereupon, the following witnesses are examined by the Court: Harveison, J. A. Presbury and M. C. Bozarth. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court, that motion to remand be, and it is hereby, overruled. And thereafter, testimony of the following is heard by the Court on the merits in addition to the testimony of motion to remand: George Madie, W. L. Eagan. And thereafter, testimony is closed and both sides rest. Thereafter, after being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be awarded a sum of \$125.00 and costs, ordered paid out of funds on deposit.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

A. Presbury, Versa Presbury and Jack Adlum)
 Betty Adlum, minors, by their Guardian, Verna)
 Stey, Plaintiffs,)
 -vs-) No. 1948 Law
 Ed Oil Company, a corporation, and Elford Beck,)
 Defendants.)

D E C R E E

Now on this 6th day of January, 1934, the same being a judicial day of a regular

of the above entitled court, this cause came on for trial upon the motion of the plaintiffs and the cause to the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, and upon the motion of the defendant, Mead Oil Company, to vacate the restraining or injunctive order issued by the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, the plaintiffs appearing in person and by their attorneys of record, Holcombe, Lohman & Barney, and the defendant, Mead Oil Company, appearing by its attorneys, Holcombe, Campbell & Biddison.

The plaintiffs presented their said motion to remand, and after argument of counsel before the court, being fully advised in the premises, overruled said motion to remand, whereupon, by agreement of counsel, the cause was submitted to the court for final determination as to the right of the defendant, Mead Oil Company, to lay water lines, maintain water pumps and use water from the running stream on the lands claimed by plaintiffs, to-wit:

The North Half (N $\frac{1}{2}$) of Section Ten (10), Township Twenty-five (25) North,
Range Six (6) East, in Osage County, Oklahoma,

to make any necessary use of the surface of said land for so doing, and to use the established roadway across said land as a means of ingress and egress to its oil mining leasehold estate in the Southwest Quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of said section, all for the sole and only purpose of exploring, developing and operating said oil mining leasehold, and for the further purpose of determining the rights of plaintiffs to compensation for such use.

Whereupon, the said parties each introduced their evidence on said issues and read the same to the court, after argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds the evidence so submitted in favor of the defendant, Mead Oil Company, as to the right to such use and the right to lay water lines, but further finds that said plaintiffs are entitled to reasonable compensation for such use and that such reasonable compensation is the sum of \$125.00, and the court further finds that the plaintiffs should recover the costs of this action.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the defendant, Mead Oil Company, do, and it is hereby decreed to have the right to the use of said lands claimed by plaintiffs for the purpose of laying and maintaining water lines and water pumps thereon for the purpose of procuring water from the running stream on said lands for use in exploring, developing and operating the said oil mining leasehold, and to take and use water from the streams and natural water courses on said lands to the extent that such use does not diminish the supply of water therefrom below the requirements of the surface owner from whose land the water is taken, and to use the surface of said lands so claimed by plaintiffs as may be necessary to the enjoyment of said rights, and to the use of the established roadway across the same lands as a means of ingress and egress to its said oil mining leasehold.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiffs have and recover of the defendant, Mead Oil Company, as for the reasonable value of said use and right the sum of \$125.00, together with the costs of this action, and the clerk of this court is hereby authorized and directed to pay the plaintiffs out of the sum of \$300.00 heretofore deposited with said clerk for the use of said defendant under order of this court to indemnify plaintiffs, the said sum of \$125.00, and to pay therefrom the costs of this action, and to pay the remainder of said fund to the said Mead Oil Company.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 10 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

Court adjourned until January 8, 1934.

On this 8th day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January, 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER EMPANELING PETIT JURY - TULSA.

On this 8th day of January, A. D. 1934, comes the Marshal and makes return on the writs heretofore issued out of this court for Petit Jurors for this Regular January 1934 Term of Court, at Tulsa, Oklahoma. Thereupon, the Clerk calls the names of the Jurors so summoned, as follows:

Dave Trundle	Earl Roberts
Marion Lofton	L. M. Torbert
Ed Just	Guy Belt
Walter Maytum	Ivan Miller
G. D. Layman	J. M. Thedford
C. W. Crichton	Gene Chancellor
George Mars	Harry Taylor
O. W. Weaver	J. H. Morris
H. C. Payne	Earl Elliott
George Kennan	George West
Chas. V. Malson	E. A. Berkman
Clarence Munch	O. O. Tucker
R. M. Bordeaux	Joseph T. Lantry
Jake Meyers	L. W. Grant
O. E. Heffner	C. H. Terwilliger
R. D. Cooper	C. W. Eaton
H. L. Standeven	W. E. McIntosh
Herbert Ray	C. E. Dawson

Thereupon the Court examines said Jurors as to their qualifications, and for good cause shown

G. D. Layman	H. L. Standeven
C. W. Crichton	L. M. Torbett
R. D. Cooper	W. E. McIntosh

excused from service as Jurors for the term.

And thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that the following is a list of those not returned

Ivan Miller	Gene Chancellor
J. H. Morris	

and they are, hereby stricken from the jury roll.

Thereupon, the balance of said array are accepted as Petit Jurors for this Regular January 1934 Term of Court.

RECORDED: Filed In Open Court
Jan 8 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Y OF TULSA, A MUNICIPAL CORP.,	Plaintiff,)	
))	
-vs-))	
))	No. 1293 - Law. ✓
THE WESTERN BELL TELEPHONE CO. CORPORATION,)) Defendant.)	

Now on this 8th day of January, A. D. 1934, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Defendant challenges H. C. Payne and O. E. Heffner for implied bias. And thereafter, the Court overrules said challenge and exception is allowed. Thereafter, the Plaintiff challenges O. W. Weaver. And thereafter, the Defendant challenges H. C. Payne and O. E. Heffner. Thereafter, J. M. Thedford is excused by the Court, for cause. Thereupon, the jury is sworn to try said cause and a true verdict rendered is as follows: Dave Trundle, Ed Just, Walter Mumford, Geo. Kennan, C. V. Malson, Clarence Munch, R. M. Boreaux, Jake Meyers, Herbert Roy, Earl Roberts, Guy Belt, Harry Taylor. All witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed until 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. Both parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present, and in the box. Thereafter, the Plaintiff continues with his introduction of evidence and proof with witness Mumford Steen. And thereafter, deposition of T. P. Boone is read. And thereafter, the Plaintiff continues with the following witnesses: W. R. Wooten, W. P. Clark, and thereafter, the deposition of H. D. McBride is read. Thereafter, testimony of the following witnesses is heard: J. T. Tritt, P. M. Dombest, R. P. Neovils. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, court is recessed to 9:30 o'clock A.M., January 9, 1934 and said jury is duly admonished.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

West Chevrolet Company,	Plaintiff,)	
))	
vs.))	No. 1442 ✓
))	
General Motors Acceptance Corporation,)) Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Now on this 8th day of January, 1934, there comes on for hearing the application of the plaintiff for an order of this court requiring defendant to return to the reporter of this court defendant's Exhibit No. 31, or in lieu thereof a photostatic copy of said exhibit, and for said cause shown it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that said application should be sustained;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, That said defendant's representatives and counsel be, and they are hereby required, on or before the 12 day of January, 1934, to return and deliver to the reporter of this court, George H. Lessley, defendant's exhibit No. 31, introduced at the trial of the above styled cause, or in lieu thereof to furnish said reporter a photostatic copy of said exhibit on or before the last mentioned date.

Done in open court the day and year first above written.

ORDERED: Filed Jan 8 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

RUDE L. BOND, Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-) No. 1706 - Law. ✓
)
 TRAL LIFE INSURANCE CO., Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of January, A.D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that Defendant be given five (5) days to plead or ten (10) days to answer herein.

 . FRIEBERG, Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-) No. 1816 - Law. ✓
)
 . AND RUTH L. PIERCE, Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that demand of Defendant be, and it is hereby, overruled. Exception allowed. Given fifteen (15) days answer thereto.

 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ed Hall, Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) 1840 L ✓
)
 n Cohen and the British American Oil)
 ucing Company, a corporation, Defendants.)

O R D E R

Now on this 8th day of January, 1934, the motion of the plaintiff to remand the above entitled cause to District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, having heretofore been submitted to the court on written briefs of the parties, and the court having been fully advised in the premises, ORDERS,

That the motion of the plaintiff to remand the above entitled cause to the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, be and hereby is overruled and denied, to which order and ruling of the court the plaintiff excepts and his exceptions are by the court allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER
 Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 9 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court.

 Court adjourned until January 9, 1934.

On this 9th day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

REASSIGNING JUDGES.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

In my judgment the public interests require the designation and appointment of a member of this Circuit to hold and assist in holding the District Court of the United States for the Western District of Oklahoma, in place or in aid of the District Judge of that District:

I do, therefore, by these presents, designate and appoint the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Oklahoma, to hold or assist in holding the District Court of the United States in and for the several divisions of the Western District of Oklahoma, at the times and places appointed by law for holding said Court, from January 6, 1934, until December 31, 1934, inclusive, in place or in aid of the Honorable Edgar S. Starnes, United States District Judge for the said Western District of Oklahoma.

Witness my hand this 6th day of January, A. D. 1934.

ROBT. E. LEWIS
Senior Circuit Judge.

Case file - See Sec. 17, Tit. 28, U.S. Code)

RECORDED: Filed Jan 9 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

In my judgment the public interests require the designation and appointment of a member of this Circuit to hold and assist in holding the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, in place or in aid of the District Judge of that District:

I do, therefore, by these presents, designate and appoint the Honorable Edgar S. Starnes, United States District Judge for the Western District of Oklahoma, to hold or assist in holding the District Court of the United States in and for the several divisions of the Northern District of Oklahoma, at the times and places appointed by law for holding said Court, from January 8, 1934, until December 31, 1934, inclusive, in place or in aid of the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, United States District Judge for the said Northern District of Oklahoma.

Witness my hand this 8th day of January, A. D. 1934.

ROBT. E. LEWIS
Senior Circuit Judge

Case file--See Sec. 17, Tit. 28, U. S. Code)

RECORDED: Filed Jan 9 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

In my judgment the public interests require the designation and appointment of a
e of this Circuit to hold and assist in holding the District Court of the United States for
Northern District of Oklahoma, in place or in aid of the District Judge of that District:

I do, therefore, by these presents, designate and appoint the Honorable Robert L.
iams, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, to hold or assist
olding the District Court of the United States in and for the several divisions of the North-
District of Oklahoma, at the times and places appointed by law for holding said Court, from
ary 8, 1934, until December 31, 1934, inclusive, in place or in aid of the Honorable Franklin
ennamer, United States District Judge for the said Northern District of Oklahoma.

Witness my hand this 8th day of January, A. D. 1934.

ROBT. E. LEWIS
Senior Circuit Judge

ase file--See Sec. 17, Tit. 28, U. S. Code)

RSSED: Filed Jan 9 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

In my judgment the public interests require the designation and appointment of a
e of this Circuit to hold and assist in holding the District Court of the United States for
Eastern District of Oklahoma, in place or in aid of the District Judge of that District:

I do, therefore, by these presents, designate and appoint the Honorable Franklin
ennamer, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Oklahoma, to hold or as-
: in holding the District Court of the United Sta es in and for the several divisions of the
ern District of Oklahoma, at the times and places appointed by law for holding said Court,
January 8, 1934, until December 31, 1934, inclusive, in place or in aid of the Honorable
rt L. Williams, United States District Judge for the said Eastern District of Oklahoma.

Witness my hand this 8th day of January, A. D. 1934.

ROBT. E. LEWIS
Senior Circuit Judge

ase file--See Sec. 17, Tit. 28, U. S. Code)

RSSED: Filed Jan 9 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

ED STATES OF AMERICA,
-vs-
LES EARL ASHBROCK,

Plaintiff,--
Defendant.)

No. 6709 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 9th day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the court, upon motion
he U. S. Attorney, that Counts Two (2) and Four (4) herein be, and they are hereby, dismissed

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES TO the use of GENERAL ELECTRIC)
 SUPPLY CORPORATION, Plaintiff)
)
 -vs-)
)
 PER R. HEATH, an individual trading as THE)
 R. HEATH CONSTRUCTION COMPANY; A. R.)
 GRIFFITH, an individual trading as GRIFFITH)
 ELECTRIC COMPANY and UNITED STATES GUARANTEE)
 COMPANY, a corporation, Defendants.)

No. 1,871 Law. ✓

DISMISSAL OF INTERVENING PETITION OF WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC SUPPLY COMPANY.

Comes now the intervener, Westinghouse Electric Supply Company, and shows to the Court that its claim as set forth in its intervening petition herein has been settled, in consideration of which this intervener does dismiss with prejudice the cause of action stated in its intervening petition, all costs being paid.

PIERCE, McCLELLAND, KNEELAND & BAILEY
 By BRUCE McCLELLAND, JR.
 Attorneys for Intervener, Westinghouse
 Electric Supply Company.

It is so ordered this 9th day of January 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
 U. S. District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 9 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court DC

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES to the use of GENERAL)
 ELECTRIC SUPPLY CORPORATION, Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-)
)
 PER R. HEATH, an individual trading as THE)
 R. HEATH CONSTRUCTION COMPANY; A. R. GRIFFITH,)
 individual trading as GRIFFITH ELECTRIC COM-)
 PANY and UNITED STATES GUARANTEE COMPANY, a)
 corporation, Defendants.)

No. 1,871 Law. ✓

DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

--:--

Comes now the plaintiff, United States to the use of General Electric Supply Corporation, and shows to the Court that its claim involved in this cause has been settled, in consideration of which the plaintiff dismisses this cause with prejudice at the cost of the plaintiff.

PIERCE, McCLELLAND, KNEELAND & BAILEY
 By: BRUCE McCLELLAND, JR.
 Attorneys for Plaintiff.

On this 11th day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
 C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
 John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

OF TULSA, A municipal Corp., Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-) No. 1293 - Law. ✓
)
 WESTERN BELL TELEPHONE CO.)
 P., Defendant.)

Now on this 11th day of January, A. D. 1934, court is in session. All present as ofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Now at this time, it is ed by the Court that motion for directed verdict herein be, and it is hereby sustained and tion allowed. Thereupon, said verdict for the defendants is entered and filed:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
 OF OKLAHOMA

City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, a)
 Municipal Corporation, Plaintiff,)
 vs.) Case No. 1293 Law.
 Southwestern Bell Telephone)
 Company, a corporation, Defendant.)

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the defendant.

FILED In Open Court R. W. BORDEAUX
 Jan 11 1934 Foreman.
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

upon, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of case. Thereafter, the Plaintiff herein excepts to the verdict, which exceptions are over- by the Court and exception allowed. Plaintiff given ten (10) days to file motion for new . And thereafter, Plaintiff gives notice of appeal.

 IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.
 OF TULSA, a municipal corporation, Plaintiff,)
)
 Vs) No. 1293-Law. ✓
)
 WESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY,)
 corporation, Defendant)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

The above styled cause came on regularly for hearing on the 8th day of January,

same being a regular day of the January, 1934, term of the United States District Court, for Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting at Tulsa. The plaintiff appeared by its attorneys of record, H. O. Bland, City Attorney, N. E. McNeill, W. I. Williams and R. L. Davidson, special counsel, and the defendant appeared by its attorneys of record, J. R. Spielman, John Cantrell, J. Biddison and Harry Campbell, and both sides having announced ready for trial, a jury of five good and lawful men was impaneled and sworn to try the issues.

Thereupon, opening statements were made to the jury by the plaintiff and the defendant, and the plaintiff began the introduction of its evidence, and the same not being concluded on said day, and the hour of adjournment having arrived, a recess was taken until the 9th day of January, 1934, when court re-convened with the parties appearing as before. Plaintiff resumed the introduction of its evidence, and having completed the same in chief, rested.

Thereupon, the defendant moved the court to require the plaintiff to elect as between its first and third causes of action, which motion, upon consideration, was, by the court, ruled and denied, to which ruling of the court the defendant, at the time, excepted, which exception was, by the court, allowed.

Thereupon, the defendant entered a demurrer to the evidence, separately, to each and every cause of action contained in the third amended and supplemental petition of the plaintiff, for the reason and upon the ground assigned, which demurrers to the evidence, and each of them, were, by the court separately overruled, to which rulings of the court the defendant, at the time, excepted, which exceptions were, by the court, allowed as to each.

Thereupon, the defendant began the introduction of its evidence, and the same not being concluded on the 9th day of January, 1934, and the hour of adjournment having arrived, a recess was taken until the 10th day of January, 1934, when the court re-convened, with the parties appearing as before, and the defendant resumed the introduction of its evidence, and having completed the same in chief, rested.

Thereupon, plaintiff demurred separately to the evidence offered on behalf of the defendant to sustain each of its affirmative defenses pleaded in its second amended answer and amendment thereto, which demurrers, and each of them, were overruled by the court, to which ruling of the court, the plaintiff, at the time, excepted, which exceptions were, by the court, allowed, the court, however, reserving his final ruling thereon until the close of all the evidence.

Thereupon plaintiff introduced its evidence in rebuttal and rested, and at the close of all the evidence, the defendant renewed its motion to require the plaintiff to elect between its first and third causes of action contained in its third amended and supplemental petition, which motion, upon consideration by the court, was overruled, to which ruling of the court, the defendant duly excepted, which exception was, by the court, allowed.

Thereupon, the defendant moved the court, separately as to each cause of action contained in the third amended and supplemental petition of the plaintiff, to direct the jury to return a verdict in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff for, and on account of, the reasons and grounds cited. Said motion was argued to the court by counsel for plaintiff and defendant, and the court took the matter under advisement until January 11, 1934, and recessed the court until that day, and on the 11th day of January, 1934, upon the re-convening of said court on said day, the parties appearing as before, and upon due consideration of such motions for directed verdict, and each of them, the court stated in open court his opinion of the law, before the court ruled upon the defendant's motions for directed verdict, the plaintiff rested the court to pass finally upon the separate demurrers of the plaintiff to the evidence offered on behalf of the defendant in support of its plea of estoppel, plea of limitations and adverse possession and res adjudicata, which rulings the court had reserved until the close of all the evidence, and the court, upon due consideration, thereupon sustained said demurrers, holding that said evidence was insufficient to support a judgment for the defendant upon said pleas, either of them, to which ruling of the court the defendant, at the time, excepted, which exception was, by the court allowed.

Thereupon, after due consideration, the court sustained the defendant's motion for directed verdict in favor of the defendant and against the Plaintiff under the three affirmative defenses pleaded in the second amended answer, to-wit:

(a) That under the Act of Congress of March 3, 1901, the approval of the map of Pioneer Telephone & Telegraph Company by the Secretary of the Interior on June 8, 1907, constituted a valid grant of a right to said telephone company to conduct a telephone business upon streets and alleys of the town of Tulsa, as constituted at the time of the approval of the

(b) That section 11976 of the 1931 Statutes of Oklahoma, as it existed prior to adoption of the Constitution, was put in force and effect upon the admission of the State of Oklahoma into the United and constituted a grant of an easement to telephone companies in and to use of the highways of the state and the streets and alleys of the towns in the State of Oklahoma to conduct telephone business.

(c) That the provisions of the Charter of the City of Tulsa relative to the payment of compensation by public utilities for the use of streets and alleys is not self-executing as applied to telephone companies, is, and was, invalid as an unreasonable burden upon, and interference with, interstate commerce, to which rulings of the court, and each of them, plaintiff at the time, duly excepted, which exceptions were, by the court, duly allowed.

Thereupon, the court directed R. M. Bordeaux, as Foreman of the Jury, to sign the prepared verdict in favor of the defendant, and against the plaintiff, upon each of the plaintiff's causes of action, which verdict being signed in open court by said foreman, under order of the court, was, omitting the caption, in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

"We, the jury in the above entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find for the defendant.

R. M. Bordeaux, Foreman."

Thereupon, said verdict was received by the court, and ordered filed by the clerk, to which verdict and the direction of the court to return the same, the plaintiff, at the time, duly excepted, which exceptions were, by the court, duly allowed.

It is, therefore, on this, 11th day of January, 1934, considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the motions of the defendant for directed verdict be, and they, each of them, are hereby sustained, and the verdict of the jury as above set out is hereby affirmed.

Judgment of the court is hereby rendered on said verdict that the plaintiff take nothing of and from the defendant upon, or under, any of its causes of action, and that the defendant have and recover of and from the plaintiff its costs herein expended, to all of which plaintiff, at the time, excepted, which exceptions were, by the court, allowed.

Plaintiff is allowed ten days within which to file motion for new trial.

F. E. KENNEDY
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Jan 20, 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CHARLES E. MULLEN, Plaintiff,)
Vs)
CONNECTICUT GENERAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation, Defendant.)

No. 1837 - Law.

J U D G M E N T

This day came plaintiff Charles E. Mullen, by his attorney of record, B. A. Hamilton, and defendant Connecticut General Life Insurance Company, a corporation, by its attorneys of record, Davidson & Williams, and in open court plaintiff's attorney moved, orally, to dismiss, with prejudice, this action, but at the cost of the defendant. To this, the defendant's attorneys of record, consented in open court.

It is now, therefore, ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff's cause of action be, and it is hereby, dismissed with prejudice, but at the cost of the defendant.

This January 10th, 1934.

F. E. KERNALNER
J U D G E

B. A. HAMILTON Atty for Plaintiff
DAVIDSON & WILLIAMS, Attys for Defendant.

FORGED: Filed Jan 11 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JAMES E. DEVILLE, Plaintiff,)
Vs)
CONNECTICUT GENERAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation, Defendant.)

No. 1854 - Law.

J U D G M E N T

This day came plaintiff James E. Deville, by his attorney of record, B. A. Hamilton, and defendant, Connecticut General Life Insurance Company, a corporation, by its attorneys of record, Davidson & Williams, and in open court plaintiff's attorney moved, orally, to dismiss with prejudice, this action, but at the cost of the defendant. To this, the defendant, by its attorneys of record, consented in open court.

It is now, therefore, ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff's cause of action be, and it is hereby, dismissed with prejudice, but at the cost of the defendant.
This January 10, 1934.

F. E. KERNALNER
J U D G E

B. A. HAMILTON Atty for Plaintiff
DAVIDSON & WILLIAMS Attys for Defendant

FORGED: Filed Jan 11 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BY B. SMITH, Plaintiff,)
)
 Vs.) No. 1858 - Law. ✓
)
 CONNECTICUT GENERAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,)
 Corporation, Defendant.)

J U D G M E N T

This day came plaintiff Corby B. Smith, by his attorney of record, B. A. Hamilton, and defendant, Connecticut General Life Insurance Company, a corporation, by its attorneys record, Davidson & Williams, and in open court plaintiff's attorney moved, orally, to dismiss this action with prejudice, but at the cost of the defendant. To this the defendant, by its attorneys of record, consented in open court.

It is now, therefore, ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff's cause of action be, and it is hereby, dismissed with prejudice, but at the cost of the defendant.

This January 10, 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
 J U D G E

B. A. HAMILTON Atty for Plaintiff
 DAVIDSON & WILLIAMS Attys for Defendant.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 11 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court DC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-) No. 1889 - Law. ✓
)
 T. LOHMAN, CLARENCE LOHMAN and)
 J. WISE, Defendants.)

Now on this 11th day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court, that Defendants be given thirty (30) days from date to answer herein.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-) No. 1893 - Law. ✓
)
 T. LOHMAN, C. LOHMAN and M. L.)
 COMBE, Defendants.)

Now on this 11th day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court, that Defendants be given thirty (30) days from date to answer herein.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Josephine Dunigan, Admx. of the Estate of Richard O. Dunigan, Deceased, and W. H. Dunigan, Plaintiffs,)
 vs.) NO. 1555 LA7 ✓
 United States of America, Defendant.)

PETITION FOR ALLOWANCE OF APPEAL

before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, United States District Judge:

Come now the Plaintiffs, Josephine Dunigan, administratrix of the Estate of Richard O. Dunigan, deceased, and W. H. Dunigan, Plaintiffs in the above styled and numbered cause, through their attorney, Paxton Howard, and feeling aggrieved by the decision and judgment entered and rendered herein by the court on the 18th day of October, 1933, and does hereby appeal from said judgment and decree to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the 10th Circuit because and for the reasons set forth in the assignment of errors herein.

Wherefore, said Plaintiffs prays that an appeal in the above cause be duly allowed

PAXTON HOWARD
 Attorney for Plaintiff.

The within and foregoing appeal above prayed for is hereby duly allowed this the 12th day of January, 1934, and cost bond fixed in the sum of \$100.00.

F. E. KENNAMER
 Judge of the United States District Court
 for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 12 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Josephine Dunigan, Admx. of the Estate of Richard O. Dunigan, Deceased, and W. H. Dunigan, Plaintiffs,)
 vs.) NO. 1555 LA7 ✓
 United States of America, Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHICH TO PREPARE, SERVE, AND FILE RECORD

Now on this 12th day of January, 1934, the above named Plaintiffs, Josephine Dunigan, administratrix, et al., having filed their petition praying an appeal of the within cause to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the 10th Circuit, and upon allowance thereof said Plaintiffs having requested an extension of time in which to prepare, serve, and file record in said cause, after statement of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, the court finds that a sufficient cause exists for such extension of time.

It is, therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED, that said Plaintiffs, Josephine Dunigan, Admx. et al., be and hereby are allowed an extension of time of ninety days from this

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARSH F. THOMAS, et al,	COMPLAINANT,)
)
VS.) NO. 1752 Law. ✓
)
WILBUR T. FUNK,	DEFENDANT.)

O R D E R

This matter coming on for hearing on this 7th day of December, 1933, upon the demurrer of the defendant, Wilbur T. Funk, to the petition of the plaintiff herein, and the Court on this date acted upon and sustained the demurrer of the said defendant to the petition of the plaintiff in cause No. 1745 Law entitled S. W. Cleaves v. Wilbur T. Funk, and same being an companion case to this cause, and involving the same question; and the plaintiff in that case having taken exception to the order of the court and having been granted time to prepare and file a bill of exceptions for appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and the plaintiff and defendant having stipulated and agreed in open court that the motion in this cause upon said demurrer shall await and abide by the decision of said Circuit Court of Appeals in said cause No. 1745 Law;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the demurrer of the defendant herein to the petition of the plaintiff be, and the same is taken under advisement and shall await and abide by the decision of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit in said cause No. 1745 Law.

ORDERED: Filed Jan 13 1934
H. P. Tarfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

F. E. WEINMAYER
UNITED STATES JUDGE.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WILBUR T. FUNK,	COMPLAINANT,)
)
VS.) NO. 1753 Law. ✓
)
WILBUR T. FUNK,	DEFENDANT.)

O R D E R

This matter coming on for hearing on this 7th day of December, 1933, upon the demurrer of the defendant, Wilbur T. Funk, to the petition of the plaintiff herein, and the Court on this date acted upon and sustained the demurrer of the said defendant to the petition of the plaintiff in cause No. 1745 Law entitled S. W. Cleaves v. Wilbur T. Funk, and same being an companion case to this cause, and involving the same question; and the plaintiff in that case having taken exception to the order of the court and having been granted time to prepare and file a bill of exceptions for appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and the plaintiff and defendant having stipulated and agreed in open court that the motion in this cause upon said demurrer shall await and abide by the decision of said Circuit Court of Appeals in said cause No. 1745 Law;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the demurrer of the defendant herein to the petition of the plaintiff be, and the same is taken under advisement

wait and abide by the decision of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit in said cause No. 1745 Law.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES JUDGE.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 13 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. BELDING, Complainant,)
)
VS.) No. 1754 Law.)
)
WILBUR T. FUNK, Defendant.)

O R D E R

This matter coming on for hearing on this 7th day of December, 1933, upon the demand of the defendant, Wilbur T. Funk, to the petition of the plaintiff herein, and the Court on this date acted upon and sustained the demurrer of the said defendant to the petition of the plaintiff in cause No. 1745 Law entitled S. W. Cleaves v. Wilbur T. Funk, and same being a union case to this cause, and involving the same question; and the plaintiff in that case having taken exception to the order of the court and having been granted time to prepare and file a bill of exceptions for appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and the plaintiff and defendant having stipulated and agreed in open court that the parties in this cause upon said demurrer shall await and abide by the decision of said Circuit Court of Appeals in said cause No. 1745 Law:

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the demurrer of the defendant herein to the petition of the plaintiff be, and the same is taken under advisement and the parties shall await and abide by the decision of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit in said cause No. 1745 Law.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES JUDGE.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 13 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. HALL, ET AL, COMPLAINANT,)
)
VS.) NO. 1755 Law.)
)
WILBUR T. FUNK, DEFENDANT.)

O R D E R

This matter coming on for hearing on this 7th day of December, 1933, upon the demand of the defendant, Wilbur T. Funk, to the petition of the plaintiff herein, and the Court on this date acted upon and sustained the demurrer of the said defendant to the petition of the plaintiff in cause No. 1745 Law entitled S. W. Cleaves v. Wilbur T. Funk, and same being a union case to this cause, and involving the same question; and the plaintiff in that case

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1867 Law ✓
French, et al,)
Defendants.)

ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION

Now on this 13th day of January, 1934, this matter being before the Court, in open court, on the stipulation entered into between Sam French by his attorney, O. F. Mason, and H. Andrews, Superintendent of the Quapaw Indian Agency, said stipulation together with affidavits verifying same, having been examined by the Court and the Court being advised in the presence of the parties, finds that said stipulation should be adopted by the Court and an order made releasing said property from attachment and allowing the United States Marshal and the Superintendent of the Quapaw Indian Agency to sell certain other property mentioned in said stipulation, at private sale, said stipulation being attached hereto and made a part of this order.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER OF THE COURT that one Jersey Bull, 3 yrs. old, one Jersey Heifer, 2- $\frac{1}{2}$ yrs. old, one Jersey yearling Heifer and one bay mare 13 yrs. old, all property of Sam French; 3 hogs, 65 mixed chickens, property of Ed Ballard; 25 bales of hay, property of Charles Holt, all of which property had been seized under attachment in this cause, be released and use of it is not the property of Sam French, as shown by said stipulation.

It is the further order of the Court that all other property seized by the United States Marshal in this cause may be sold by said Marshal and the Superintendent of the Quapaw Indian Agency, and with the consent of Sam French, at private sale, a return of said sale to be made by said Marshal on or before 30 days from this date, and that further proceedings herein be deferred pending said report.

A. E. WILLIAMS
Assistant United States Attorney

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Jan 13 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until January 15, 1934.

SPECIAL MARCH 1934 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, JANUARY 15, 1934

On this 15th day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

WEST CHEVROLET CO. A CORP., Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-) No. 1442 Law. ✓
)
 GENERAL MOTORS ACCEPTANCE CORP., Defendant.)

Now on this 16th day of January, A. D. 1934, at 9:30 o'clock A.M., court is in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. The Defendant continues with its introduction of evidence and proof with the following witnesses: C. N. Hefner, W. J. Austin, Joe Drennan, C. H. Hunt, L. L. Lucas, Mr. Hulitt, T. R. ... And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Defendant continues with its introduction of evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Hulitt, Donald McGinnis. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. Thereupon, the Plaintiff offers in rebuttal testimony of C. T. Thompson. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Defendant moves for a directed-verdict herein. Plaintiff moves for a directed-verdict herein. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that each of the above motions be overruled and exceptions allowed. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. And thereafter, the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, said jury returns into open court. Thereupon, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is adjourned to January 17, 1934, at 9:30 o'clock A.M.

ROLLING MILLS CO., Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-) No. 1679 - Law. ✓
)
 FIELD STEEL CORP. ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 16th day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that the above case be stricken from the assignment of this date, pending settlement.

Court adjourned until January 17, 1934.

On this 17th day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
 C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
 John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

Re:)
))
Receivership of the) Miscellaneous ✓
PRODUCERS NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA,)
OKLAHOMA,)

O R D E R

On this day came on to be heard the Receiver for the compromise and settlement
the judgment heretofore obtained by the Producers National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, against
Newton Barrett in cause No. 6676 in the Superior Court of Pottawatomie County, Oklahoma, the
final judgment being for the sum of \$2656.25, an attorney's fee of \$260.00, and interest at
percent per annum from February 5th, 1931, and costs.

And the court being of the opinion, after investigation, that the said Newton
Barrett is insolvent as well as the said L. J. Milburn, and that it is for the best interest of
the bank and all parties that said compromise and settlement be made, the said application is
by granted and approved and the Receiver, through his attorney, L. N. Houston, is hereby au-
thorized to make said compromise and satisfy said judgment upon the receipt of said \$450.00, and
said L. N. Houston is hereby authorized to receive said \$450.00 and fully discharge said
judgment against said Newton Barrett in said cause.

Dated this 17th day of January, 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 17 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
))
- vs -)
) No. 7582 - Criminal. ✓
))
AMOS T. HALL, ELMER ELLSWORTH BOWSER,)
W. TYLER & COMMYE GRAY, Defendants.)

Now on this 17th day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court, that
the supplemental motion of Defendant Amos T. Hall for new trial be, and it is hereby, taken under ad-
judgment. Each are granted five (5) days to file brief herein.

WEST CHEVROLET CO. A CORP., Plaintiff,)
))
vs.)
) No. 1442 - Law. ✓
))
GENERAL MOTORS ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION, Defendant.)

Now on this 17th day of January, A. D. 1934, the above cause comes on for con-
siderance of hearing. Court is in session, all parties present as heretofore and the jury, each
every member present and in the box. Thereupon, the jury retires and continues to deliberate
on their verdict herein. And thereafter, the jury returns into open court and through their
foreman announces they are unable to agree upon a verdict herein. And thereafter, the noon hour

istrict of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between Mary Halliburton, plaintiff,
The Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States, a mutual Company, defendant, No.
8, Law, the judgment of the said District Court in said cause entered on December 3, 1932,
; in the following words, viz:

"It is therefore on this 3rd day of December, 1932, ordered,
adjudged and decreed by the court that the plaintiff, Mary M. Halliburton,
have and recover judgment against the defendant, Equitable Assurance Society
of the United States, the principal sum of \$12,000.00 with interest at the
rate of 6% per annum from December 21, 1931, until paid, and for all costs
herein, taxed at the sum of \$ _____, for which let execution issue."

* * *

the supplement to said judgment entered December 17, 1932, was in the following words, viz:

* * * *

"It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that defendant has and here-
by is allowed a credit of \$11,891.34 on the sum of \$12,000.00 adjudged to be due
to the plaintiff from the defendant on the 21st day of December, 1931, and that
the remainder of said judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the defen-
dant is hereby adjudged and decreed to be the sum of \$108.66, with interest
thereon at the rate of 8% per annum from the 21st day of December, 1931, and the
costs of this action taxed at \$ _____, and execution thereon is stayed until the
further order of this court."

by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brou-
into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by
itable Life Assurance Society of the United States agreeably to the Act of Congress, in such
e made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the September Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hund-
d and thirty-three, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit
rt of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by coun-

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that
: judgment of the said District Court in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed and that
y Halliburton, appellee, have and recover of and from Equitable Life Assurance Society of the
ted States, appellant, her costs herein.

- - December 12, 1933.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause,
according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said
eal notwithstanding.

WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the
h day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-four.

COSTS OF Appellee:
Clerk, \$-- --
Printing Record \$-- --
Attorney \$20.00
\$20.00

ALBERT TREGO, Clerk
of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals,
Tenth Circuit.
By H. A. McINTYRE Deputy Clerk

FORSEED: Filed Jan 18 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1770 - Law. ✓
)	
M. LEE, R. J. DIXON, and FRANK WRIGHT,)	
	Defendants.)	

Now on this 18th day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court, that motion of Defendants Frank Wright to strike be and it is hereby, overruled. It is further ordered the Court, upon motion of U. S. Attorney, that judgment on pleadings be entered as per journal entry to be filed. It is further ordered by the Court that execution be stayed for a period of thirty days.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 1770 - Law. ✓
)	
James Lee, R. J. Dixon and Frank Wright,)	
	Defendants.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 18th day of January, 1934, the same being one of the regular judicial sessions of the Regular January A. D. 1934 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court on the motion of the Plaintiff for a judgment on the pleadings herein, and it appearing to the court that on the 29th day of November, 1932, the said defendants, James Lee, R. J. Dixon and Frank Wright made, executed and delivered an appearance or bail bond in the sum of Twenty-five hundred (\$2500.00) Dollars conditioned for the appearance of the defendant James Lee, before the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, in Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the day of December, 1932, and from time to time thereafter as ordered and directed by the court, answer to a charge then pending against the said defendant James Lee in said court, and it further appearing to the court that on the 14th day of March, 1933, at the Regular March, 1933 Term of said court being held at the city of Vinita, Craig County, State of Oklahoma and in the Northern District of Oklahoma, the case against the said defendant James Lee having theretofore been regularly set for trial, the said defendant James Lee came not but made default, and thereupon the said defendants R. J. Dixon and Frank Wright were each called three times in open court to produce the body of the said defendant James Lee, but that the said defendants R. J. Dixon and Frank Wright failed to produce the body of the said James Lee in open court to answer the said charge, and thereupon a forfeiture was declared upon the said bond and it further appearing to the court that on the 30th day of March, 1933, a scire facias was issued out of said court ordering and directing the said defendants to appear before the District Court of the United States in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, on the 29th day of April, 1933, to show cause if any they have, why judgment nisi should not be made absolute; that on the 29th day of April, 1933, and at all times thereafter the said defendants James Lee, R. J. Dixon, and Frank Wright and each of them, have failed to appear to show cause why judgment nisi as aforesaid should not be made absolute against them, and it further appearing to the court that on the day of April, 1933, service of the said scire facias was had upon the said defendants Frank Wright and R. J. Dixon as provided by law; that on January 18, 1934, a motion was filed by Plaintiff asking for judgment on the pleadings in said cause; that after a hearing on said motion the same was by the court allowed.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the United States of America have and recover from and against the said defendants R. J. Dixon

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ULAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

THURSDAY, JANUARY 18, 1934

Frank Wright and each of them in the sum of Twenty-five Hundred (\$2500.00) Dollars with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent (6%) per annum from this date and the costs of the suit.

It is the further judgment of this court that execution on this judgment shall be stayed for a period of thirty (30) days from the date hereof.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

CHESTER A. BREWER
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed Jan 18 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until January 19, 1934.

ULAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

FRIDAY, JANUARY 19, 1934

On this 19th day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States in the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

R. G. RAGEN,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1815 - Law. ✓
)
SHELLS PETROLEUM COMPANY,)
Defendant.)

Now on this 19th day of January, A.D. 1934, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Plaintiff challenges E. A. Berkman, Earl Roberts, C. H. Terwilliger. The Defendant challenges C. E. Dawson. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: Clarence Minch, R. M. Bordeaux, Jake Meyers, O. E. Heffner, Herbert Taylor, Harry Taylor, George West, O. O. Tucker, J. T. Lantry, C. W. Eaton, Dave Trundle, Ed Just. witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff produces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: R. G. Ragan, W. H. Allen, Paul McCreary, A. L. Dade, R. O. Dutler. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is adjourned and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. Both parties present as heretofore and the jury each, and every member present and in the box. Thereupon, the Plaintiff continues with the testimony of E. A. Bacon. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendant demurs to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff and asks for a directed verdict herein. And thereafter, the Plaintiff moves to dismiss the above case, without prejudice, which motion of said Plaintiff is, by the Court, sustained and said case ordered dismissed without prejudice at the cost of the Plaintiff. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA, STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

. Regen,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.) No. 1815 - Law. ✓	
)	
lips Petroleum Company, a)	
oration,	Defendant.)	

ORDER ON DISMISSAL

BE IT REMEMBERED, on this 19th day of January, 1934, this cause came on for trial pursuant to regular assignment, the plaintiff being present in person and by his attorney, G. C. [unclear], the defendant being present, by and through its representatives and its attorney, R. B. [unclear], both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury was impaneled and the plaintiff introduced his evidence, whereupon the defendant presented a demurrer to the evidence of the plaintiff a motion for a directed verdict, which was considered by the court, and prior to any ruling upon the plaintiff in open court moves to dismiss his cause without prejudice, which motion granted at the cost of the plaintiff.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, by the court, that the above entitled case, and the same is, hereby dismissed, without prejudice, upon payment of the cost by the plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT

RECORDED: Filed Jan 23 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until January 20, 1934

On this 20th day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
 OKLAHOMA.

of Tulsa, a municipal cor- poration,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1293 - Law. ✓
)	
western Bell Telephone Company, poration,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

NOW, on this 20th day of January, 1934, there came on regularly for hearing in the styled cause, the motion of the plaintiff for a new trial. The plaintiff appeared by its attorneys of record, H. O. Bland, City Attorney, N. E. McNeill, W. I. Williams and R. L. Davidson, the defendant appeared by its attorneys, J. R. Spielman, John Cantrell, A. J. Biddison and Campbell. After due consideration, the court is of the opinion that said motion for new trial should be overruled.

It is, therefore, hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the plaintiff's motion for new trial in the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, overruled, to the ruling of the court the plaintiff, at the time, excepted, which exception was, by the court, duly allowed.

Thereupon the plaintiff in open court gave notice of its intention to appeal from the judgment of the court, to the Circuit Court of Appeals of the Tenth Circuit, and upon application of the plaintiff City of Tulsa, a municipal corporation, on the 20th day of January, 1934, within the term at which the above styled and numbered cause was tried and judgment therein rendered, and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered that the plaintiff, City of Tulsa, a municipal corporation, be, and it is hereby, granted and allowed an extension of time until the day of April, 1934, within which to prepare, present and settle its Bill of Exceptions for the purpose of appeal, and it is further ordered by the court that the present January, 1934, term of this court be, and the same is hereby, extended until the 19th day of April, 1934, for the purpose of settling said Bill of Exceptions and taking all proper or necessary proceedings and entering all other proper and necessary orders in the above styled cause in perfecting plaintiff's appeal therein.

F. E. KENNAMER
 United States District Judge.

C B C Attys for Deft.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 22 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

City of Tulsa a municipal Corporation)
Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 1293 Law. ✓
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company,)
Corporation,) Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PREPARE, PRESENT AND SETTLE BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

NOW on this 20 day of January, 1934, and within the term at which the above styled numbered cause was tried and judgment rendered therein, and for good cause shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the defendant, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, a Corporation, be and it is hereby granted and allowed an extension of time of ninety (90) days and after the 11th day of January, 1934, within which to prepare, present and settle bill exceptions for the purpose of appeal or cross appeal in the above case.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 20 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until January 22, 1934.

On this 22nd day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

sa Rolling Mills Company,)
 orporation,) Plaintiff,)
 vs.) No. 1679 Law. ✓
 ffield Steel Corporation, a corporation,)
 The American Rolling Mill Company, a)
 poration,) Defendants.)

O R D E R

Now on this 22nd day of January, 1934, being a regular day of the January term of s Court, comes on for hearing motion of the plaintiff to dismiss this action with prejudice at intiff's cost, and it appearing that all matters and things in controversy herein have been promised and settled, it is by the Court.

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this action be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with prejudice at plaintiff's cost.

MADE AND ORDERED ENTERED the day and year above written.

HAGAN & GAVIN
 C. A. COAKLEY
 F. E. KENNAMER
 J U D G E

ORSED: Filed Jan 22 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court ME

 IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

C. Doerner, Trustee in Bankruptcy)
 Tulsa Steel Company, Inc., substituted)
 Tulsa Steel Company, Inc.,) Plaintiffs.)
 vs.) No. 1791 Law. ✓
 ffield Steel Corporation, a corporation, and)
 American Rolling Mill Company, a corpora-)
 m,) Defendants.)

O R D E R

On this 22nd day of January, 1934, being a regular day of the January term of this irt, comes on for hearing motion of H. C. Doerner, Trustee in Bankruptcy of Tulsa Steel Company ., plaintiff herein, to dismiss this action with prejudice at plaintiff's cost, and it appear- that all matters and things in controversy herein have been compromised and settled, it is the Court

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this action be, and the same is hereby, dis-ssed with prejudice at plaintiff's cost.

MADE AND ORDERED ENTERED THE day and Year above written.

FILED: Filed Jan 22 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

BERTHA WILSON, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1827 Law. ✓
SINCLAIR AUTOMOBILE SERVICE CORPORATION,)
Defendant.)

Now on this 22nd day of January, A. D. 1934, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and the verdict rendered, is as follows: Clarence Munch, R. M. Bordeaux, Jake Meyers, O. E. Heffner, Bert Roy, Earl Roberts, Harry Taylor, Geo. West, E. A. Berkman, O. O. Tucker, L. W. Grant, C. Berwilleger. Opening statements of counsel are made and all witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Bertha Wilson, Baldridge, Harold Ricks, E. A. Aindenstadt. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. Both parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Plaintiff continues with the testimony of the following witnesses: E. A. Aindenstadt, Bertha Wilson. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendant demurs to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer is, by the Court, overruled. Thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: H. A. Balla, W. G. Chestnut. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. Thereupon, the Plaintiff offers in rebuttal, testimony of Bertha Wilson. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, the Defendant moves for a directed verdict herein, which motion is, by the Court overruled and exception allowed. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereupon, the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their Foreman presents their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

Bertha Wilson, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
Sinclair Automobile Service Corporation, A Corp. Defendant.) Case No. 1827 L.

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the defendant.

FILED In Open Court L. W. GRANT foreman.
Jan 22 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk

Whereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be entered accordingly. It is further ordered that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

IE HELMICK, for and on behalf of herself)
as natural guardian and next friend of)
RGE C. HELMICK, et al, Plaintiffs.)
vs.) No. 1844 - Law. ✓
le-Picher Mining & Smelting Co. a Corp.,)
Defendant.)

Now on this 22nd day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the Plaintiff, that the above case be dismissed, without prejudice, at the cost of the Plaintiff.

Court adjourned until January 23, 1934.

On this 23rd day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States in the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

ANNEN CHEVROLET CO., Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1758 - Law. ✓
CHEVROLET MOTOR CO. A CORP., Defendant.)

Now on this 23rd day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that defendant answer to amended petition be, and it is hereby, submitted upon briefs.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

let Riley, a minor, by S. G. Riley, her)
next friend, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1853 Law. ✓
. M. F. W. Soriano, Defendant.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now, on this 6th day of January, 1934, upon application of the plaintiff in open court, it is ordered that the above entitled action be dismissed without prejudice at the cost of the plaintiff.

F. E. Kennamer
Judge

RECORDED: Filed Jan 23 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

----- Court adjourned until January 24, 1934.

On this 24th day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER FOR GRAND JURY.

On this 24th day of January A. D., 1934, it is ordered by the Court, that there be legally drawn by the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, or one of his deputies, in presence of the Clerk, or one of his deputies, in accordance with law and the rules of this Court, the names of twenty-three (23) persons, good and lawful men, from said District, duly qualified to serve as Grand Jurors at the Regular January 1934 Term of this Court, to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

It is further Ordered by the Court that a writ of Venire Facias be issued out of said Court, in due form as provided by law, commanding the Marshal to summon by Registered Mail Grand Jurors, drawn as aforesaid, to be and appear before said Court, to be held in Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, on Monday, the 5th day of February, 1934, at 9 o'clock A.M., then and there to serve as Grand Jurors of the United States in and for said District at the Regular January 1934 Term of said Court.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 24 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

A KELCH,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1869 - Law.
LES KROIS,)
Defendant.)

Now on this 24th day of January, A. D. 1934, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to their qualifications. The Plaintiff challenges O. W. Weaver, Herbert Roy. The Defendant challenges W. Eaton, Harry Taylor and Geo. West. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict to render is as follows: Dave Trundle, Ed Just, Walter Maytum, H. C. Payne, Geo. Kennon, W. Malson, C. Munch, R. M. Bordeaux, Jake Meyers, O. E. Heffner, Earl Roberts, E. A. Berkman. Opening statements of counsel are made and all witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff produces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Lydia Kelch, Dr. H. Farris, Mary Smith, W. C. Sanderson. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and the trial is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. Both parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Plaintiff continues with the testimony of Wade Sisler. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendant demurs to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer is, by the Court overruled and exception allowed. Thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence and

f with the following witnesses: Dr. S. R. Cunningham, Charles Krois. Defendant rests. sides rest. And thereupon, the Defendant moves for a directed verdict herein, which motion by the Court overruled. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the as to the law in the case. Thereupon, the jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to delib e upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open t and through their Foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as ows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Lydia Kelch, Plaintiff,)
vs.) Case No. 1869 Law.
Charles Krois, Defendant.)

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find for the defendant.

O. E. HETFMER Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
Jan 24 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk

thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

A KELCH, Plaintiff)
Vs.) No. 1869 Law.
Charles Krois, Defendant.)

J U D G M E N T

On the 24th day of January, 1934, the same being a juridical day of the January , 1934, Present, Honorable F. E. Kennamer, District Judge, plaintiff appearing by Moss & g and John L. Ward by Herbert R. Young and John L. Ward, and in person, and the said defend- a person and by his attorneys Hudson & Hudson by R. D. Hudson, and this cause having come on larly for trial upon the pleadings filed herein, this cause came on regularly for trial by a of twelve good and lawful men who were duly sworn to well and truly try the issues joined assess the damages of plaintiff against said defendant. And the jurors having heard the ence adduced as well on the part of said defendant as the said plaintiff and the arguments of sel, were duly orally charged by the Court, and said jurors upon their oaths, returned the owing verdict; to-wit:

"We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the defendant.

O.E. DEFFNER, Foreman.

WHEREFORE, it is considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that said plaintiff nothing herein and that defendant may be discharged with his costs herein expended, to be

ed by the Clerk of this Court on a verified cost bill and have execution therefor.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

AS TO FORM
Jno. Ward
Moss & Young
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

Hudson & Hudson
R. D. Hudson
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 30 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until January 25, 1934.

On this 25th day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

State of Oklahoma, ex rel Margaret)
Jennings, a citizen, resident and tax-)
payer of Creek County, Oklahoma,) Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1777 At Law. ✓
)
Wyn Ray, Sinclair-Prairie Oil Company, a)
corporation, and Board of County Commissioners of)
Creek County, Oklahoma,) Defendants.)

O R D E R

Now, on this 4th day of December, 1933, the above matter comes on to be heard before the Court; the plaintiff appeared by her counsel, George H. Jennings and L. O. Lytle, and the defendants appeared by Summers Hardy.

Thereupon, said cause came on to be heard before the Court on the motion of plaintiff for permission to re-plead on the equity side of the Court's docket, and on the dismissal by plaintiff of her motion to remand, and the demurrers of the defendants' to plaintiff's petition.

Thereupon, the court being fully advised in the premises, finds that plaintiff's motion to remand should be dismissed; that the motion of plaintiff to re-plead on the equity side of this Honorable Court's docket should be granted, and that the law action as filed and docketed in this court, and all further proceedings thereunder, including the demurrers filed thereunder, be stayed until the further order of this Court.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the motion of the plaintiff herein to remand should be, and the same is hereby denied.

That this action and all further proceedings therein be stayed until the further order of this Court; that plaintiff be allowed to re-plead in equity, and that such complaint in equity be filed with the Clerk of this Court instanter, and the defendants herein are granted ten (10) days thereafter within which to plead to said complaint.

F. E. KENNAUER

Judge of the District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

EDWARD H. CHANDLER
SUMMERS HARDY
Attorneys for Defendants.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 25 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

State of Oklahoma, ex rel Margaret Jennings, a citizen, resident and taxpayer of Creek County, Oklahoma, Plaintiff,
vs.
Alvin Ray, County Treasurer, County of Creek State of Oklahoma, The Pure Oil Company, a corporation, and the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Creek, State of Oklahoma, Defendants.

No. 1779 At Law. ✓

O R D E R

Now, on this 4th day of December, 1933, the above matter comes on to be heard before the Court; the plaintiff appeared by her counsel, George H. Jennings and L. O. Lytle, and the defendants appeared by Alvin Richards.

Thereupon, said cause came on to be heard before the Court on the motion of plaintiff for permission to re-plead on the equity side of the Court's docket, and on the dismissal of her motion to remand, and the demurrers of the defendants' to plaintiff's petition.

Thereupon, the court being fully advised in the premises, finds that plaintiff's motion to remand should be dismissed; that the motion of plaintiff to re-plead on the equity side

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ULAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

TURSDAY, JANUARY 25, 1934

this Honorable Court's docket should be granted, and that the law action as filed and docketed this court, and all further proceedings thereunder, including the demurrers filed thereunder, stayed until the further order of this Court.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the motion of the plaintiff herein to remand should be, and the same is hereby denied.

That this action and all further proceedings therein be stayed until the further order of this Court; that plaintiff be allowed to re-plead in equity, and that such complaint in equity be filed with the Clerk of this Court instantler, and the defendants herein are granted ten days thereafter within which to plead to said complaint.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the District Court for the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

I: _____

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

Attorneys for Defendants.

RECORDED: Filed Jan 25 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

State of Oklahoma, ex rel Margaret)
Jennings, a citizen, resident, and)
Mayor of Creek County, Oklahoma, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1803 Law. ✓
Ray and Skelly Oil Company, a cor-)
poration, and Board of County Commissioners,)
County of Creek, State of Oklahoma, Defendants.)

O R D E R

Now, on this 4th day of December, 1933, the above matter comes on to be heard before the Court; the plaintiff appeared by her counsel, George H. Jennings and L. O. Lytle, and defendants appeared by Robert M. Turpin.

Thereupon, said cause came on to be heard before the Court on the motion of plaintiff for permission to re-plead on the equity side of the Court's docket, and on the dismissal by plaintiff of her motion to remand, and the demurrers of the defendants' to plaintiff's petition.

Thereupon, the court being fully advised in the premises, finds that plaintiff's motion to remand should be dismissed; that the motion of plaintiff to re-plead on the equity side of this Honorable Court's docket should be granted, and that the law action as filed and docketed in this court, and all further proceedings thereunder, including the demurrers filed thereunder, stayed until the further order of this Court.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the motion of the plaintiff herein to remand should be, and the same is hereby denied.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ULAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

FRIDAY, JANUARY 26, 1934

ID F. SNIDER,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 1785-Law. ✓
)
A. BODOVITZ and J. A. FRATES, Receivers Union)
nsportation Co. a corp.,	Defendants.)

Now on this 26th day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court, and by agreement of all parties, that this case be and it is hereby, consolidated with and tried with Case No. 1786 Law. (For record of trial see Case No. 1786 Law). And thereafter, the following verdict was duly returned and filed herein, as follows, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

David F. Snider,	Plaintiff,)
vs.)
F. A. Bodovitz and J. A. Frates,) Case No. 1785 Law.
Receivers of Union Transporta-)
tion Company, a corporation,)
	Defendants.)

The jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon their oaths find for the defendants.

FILED In Open Court	ED JUST,
Jan 26 1934	Foreman.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk	
U. S. District Court	

thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be entered on verdict accordingly. It is further ordered that said jury be discharged from further consideration of the above cases.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ID F. SNIDER,	PLAINTIFF)
)
VS.) No. 1785 Law. ✓
)
A. BODOVITZ and J. A. FRATES, Receivers of)
UNION TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a corporation,)
	DEFENDANTS.)

J U D G M E N T

On the 26th day of January, 1934, the same being a juridical day of the January 26, 1934, Present, Honorable F. E. Kennamer, District Judge, plaintiff appearing in person and his attorneys Biddison, Campbell, Biddison & Cantrell by Harry Campbell, and the defendant in person and by their attorneys, Hudson & Hudson by R. D. Hudson, and this cause having come on regularly for trial upon the pleadings filed herein, this cause came on for trial by a jury of five good and lawful men who were duly sworn to well and truly try the issues joined and assess damages of plaintiff against the defendants. And the jury having heard the evidence adduced well on the part of defendants as the said plaintiff and the arguments of counsel, were duly and lawfully charged by the Court, and said jurors upon their oaths, returned the following verdict, to-wit:

"We, the jury in the above entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the defendants.

Ed Just, Foreman."

WHEREFORE, it is considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that said plaintiff receive nothing herein and that the defendants and each of them may be discharged with their costs herein expended, to be taxed by the Clerk of this Court on a verified cost bill, and have execution therefor.

F. E. KENNAUER
JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

AS TO FORM:
Biddison, Campbell, Biddison & Cantrell,
By Biddison, Campbell & Biddison
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

Hudson and Hudson
By R. D. Hudson
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS.

FORSEED: Filed Jan 30 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

NELLIE SNIDER, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1786 - Law.
A. BODOVITZ and J. A. FRATES, Receivers of)
on Transportation Company, a corp., Defendants.)

Now on this 26th day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court, upon agreement of all parties, that this case be consolidated and tried with case No. 1785 Law. And hereafter, said cases are called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Plaintiff challenges Joe Lantry. The Defendant challenges Geo. West, C. W. Eaton. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: E. A. Berkman, O. O. Tucker, Dave Trundle, Ed Just, Walter Maytum, C. Payne, Geo. Kennon, C. V. Malson, Clarence Munch, R. M. Bordeaux, Jake Meyers, O. E. Heffner. Witnesses are sworn in open court and the rule invoked and opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: S. G. Pender, Nellie Snider, Mr. Lane, M. H. East, Mr. D. H. Duncan, E. L. Rhodes. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session, all parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. And thereafter, the Plaintiff continues with the reading of the City Ordinances. And thereafter, Plaintiff Nellie Snider rests. Thereupon, the Defendants demur to the evidence introduced by Plaintiff Nellie Snider, which demurrer is, by the Court overruled. Exception allowed. And thereafter, Plaintiff David Snider continues with witnesses in No. 1785 Law, And thereafter, Plaintiff, David Snider, rests. Thereupon, the Defendant demurs to the evidence introduced by David Snider, which demurrer is, by the Court overruled and exception allowed. Thereupon, the Defendants introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Rolland Midiffer, Dr. Glass, Roy Wiley, Albert Wallon, Mr. Goety. And thereafter, the Defendants rest. And thereafter, the Plaintiffs offer in rebuttal testimony of Nellie Snider. And thereafter, both sides rest.

1786 Law - Cont'd.

upon, the Defendants move for a directed verdict herein, which motion is by the Court, over-
d and exception allowed. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the
as to the law in the case. And thereafter, the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff
to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, the jury returns into open court and
through their Foreman present their verdicts, which verdicts are in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

David F. Snider, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
F. A. Bodovitz and J. A. Frates,) Case No. 1785 Law.
Receivers of Union Transportation)
Company, a corporation,)
Defendants.)

We, the jury in the above entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon
our oaths find for the defendants.

ED JUST

FILED In Open Court Foreman.
Jan 26 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

IE SNIDER

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

Nellie Snider, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
F. A. Bodovitz and J. A. Frates,) Case No. 1786 Law.
Receivers of Union Transportation)
Company, a corporation, Defendant.)

We, the jury in the above entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon
our oaths find for the plaintiff, and assess her damages at \$1500.00 DOLLARS.

ED JUST

FILED In Open Court Foreman.
Jan 26 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said judgment be entered accordingly. It is fur-
ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.
ndants except to verdict rendered in Case No. 1786 Law.

Court adjourned until January 29, 1934.

GE FRANKLIN TAYLOR, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1560 - Law. ✓
 ED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 29th day of January, A. D. 1934, it is, by the Court ordered, that the
 file and spread certified copy of the Order of Dismissal from the United States Circuit
 of Appeals, same being in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
 SITTING AT OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA
TENTH DAY, JANUARY TERM, THURSDAY, JANUARY 18th, A. D. 1934.

PRESENT: Honorable Robert E. Lewis, Senior Circuit Judge, ✓
 Honorable Orie L. Phillips, Circuit Judge.
 Honorable George T. McDermott, Circuit Judge,
 Honorable Sam G. Bratton, Circuit Judge,
 And other officers as noted on the eighth day of January, 1934.

Before Honorable Orie L. Phillips, Honorable George T. McDermott and Honorable Sam G.
 Bratton, Circuit Judges.

ge Franklin Taylor, Appellant,)
 vs.) Appeal from the District Court of the
) United States for the Northern District
) of Oklahoma.
 ed States of America, Appellee.)

This cause came on to be heard on the motion of appellee to dismiss the appeal
 in and was submitted to the court.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered by the court that said motion be
 the same is hereby granted and that the appeal in this cause be and the same is hereby
 issued out of this court, at the costs of appellant, for failure diligently to prosecute the

It is further ordered by the court that the clerk of this court forthwith trans-
 to the clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma a cer-
 ed copy of this order.

AL)

A true copy as of record,
 TESTE:

s of Appellee:
 Clerk..... 8.35
 Attorney..... 20.00
 \$28.35

ALBERT TRIGO
 Clerk

ROED: Filed Jan 29 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

LAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

MONDAY, JANUARY 29, 1934

Y. L. LEMONS, INC., A CORP.,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 1591 - Law. ✓
RAL GAS PIPE LINE CO. OF AMERICA, RP.,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 29th day of January, A. D. 1934, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Plaintiff challenges Ed Just. The Defendant challenges E. A. Berkman and T. Lantry. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: Walter Maytum, H. C. Payne, Geo. Kennon, Clarence Munch, Jake Meyers, O. E. Heffner, Her Roy, Earl Roberts, Harry Taylor, Geo. West, O. G. Tucker, L. W. Grant. All witnesses are sworn in open court and the rule invoked and opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Henry L. Lemons, Thomas Franklin Brown, and thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session, parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Plaintiff continues with the testimony of Thomas Franklin Brown. And thereafter, the hour for argument having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is adjourned to 9:30 o'clock A.M., January 30, 1934.

BY JAMES MOODY,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 1672 - Law. ✓
ED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 29th day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that the clerk file and spread certified copy of Order of Dismissal from the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, same being in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

SITTING AT OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA.

TENTH DAY, JANUARY TERM, THURSDAY, 18th, A. D. 1934. ✓

PRESENT: Honorable Robert E. Lewis, Senior Circuit Judge,
 Honorable Orie L. Phillips, Circuit Judge,
 Honorable George T. McDermott, Circuit Judge,
 Honorable Sam G. Bratton, Circuit Judge,
 And other officers as noted on the eighth day of January, 1934.

Before Honorable Orie L. Phillips, Honorable George T. McDermott and Honorable Sam G. Bratton, Circuit Judges.

ew James Moody,	Appellant,)	
vs.)	Appeal from the District Court of the
ed States of America,	Appellee.)	United States for the Northern District
		of Oklahoma.

This cause came on to be heard on the motion of appellee to dismiss the appeal heretofore and was submitted to the court.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered by the court that said motion be granted and the same is hereby granted and that the appeal in this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed out of this court, and the costs of appellant, for failure diligently to prosecute the

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ULAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

MONDAY, JANUARY 29, 1934

e.

It is further ordered by the court that the clerk of this court forthwith transmit the clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma a certified copy of this order.

REAL)

A true copy as of record,

WESLEY:

ts of Appellee:

ALBERT TRIGO

Clerk.

Clerk.....\$ 8.35
Attorney 20.00
\$28.35

POSED: Filed Jan 29 1934
H. P. Farfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

WEL A. REED,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 1731 - Law. ✓

ED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.)

Now on this 29th day of January, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that the clerk file and spread certified copy of the Order of Dismissal of the United States Circuit Court Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, same being in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH JUDICIAL
CIRCUIT

SITTING AT OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA.

TENTH DAY, JANUARY TERM, THURSDAY, JANUARY 18th, A. D. 1934.

PRESENT: Honorable Robert E. Lewis, Senior Circuit Judge,
Honorable Oris L. Phillips, Circuit Judge,
Honorable George T. McDermott, Circuit Judge,
Honorable Sam G. Bratton, Circuit Judge,

And other officers asnoted on the eighth day of January, 1934.

Before Honorable Oris L. Phillips, Honorable George T. McDermott and Honorable Sam G. Bratton, Circuit Judges.

ted States of America,

Appellant,)

vs.

) Appeal from the District Court of the
) United States for the Northern
) District of Oklahoma.

el A. Reed,

appellee.)

This cause came on to be heard on the motion of appellant to dismiss the appeal and was submitted to the court.

On consideration whereof, and for good cause shown, it isnow here ordered by the court that said motion be and the same is hereby granted and that the appeal in this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed out of this court.

It is further ordered by the court that the clerk of this court forthwith transmit the clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma a

certified copy of this order.

A true copy as of record,

WAL)

TESTE:

ALBERT REGO
Clerk.

DORSED: Filed Jan 29 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until January 30, 1934.

On this 30th day of January, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States
of the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant
to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and
ordered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

THE MATTER OF)
)
THE APPOINTMENT OF PROBATION OFFICER,)

O R D E R

WHEREAS, it appearing proper and expedient that a United States Probation Officer
appointed, as provided by an Act of Congress approved March 4, 1925, as amended by Act of Con-
gress of June 6, 1930, entitled "An Act to provide for the establishment of probation system in
the United States Courts, except in the District of Columbia", be appointed for the Northern Judi-
cial District of the State of Oklahoma and that William F. Jones of Tulsa, Oklahoma, is a suitab-
le person to serve as Probation Officer within the jurisdiction of this Court.

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that William F. Jones be appointed Probation
Officer for the Northern Judicial District of the State of Oklahoma for a period of One (1) Year
and that his compensation be fixed at the rate of Fifteen Hundred (\$1500.00) Dollars per annum,
subject to the approval of the Attorney General of the United States.

DATED at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 30th day of January, A. D. 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

DORSED: Filed Jan 30 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

BY L. LEMONS, INC., A CORP., Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1591 - Law. ✓
 RAL GAS PIPE LINE CO. OF AMERICA,)
 RP., Defendant.)

Now on this 31st day of January, A. D. 1934, the above styled cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties are present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. The Plaintiff continues with his introduction of evidence and proof with the following witnesses: R. K. Rogers, Henry L. Lemons. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Thereupon, the Plaintiff continues with the testimony of the following witnesses: Henry L. Lemons, T. M. Prather, Henry Tatum, J. C. Lewis, T. F. Brown, E. C. McBirney, Grant Gibson, Henry L. ... And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is adjourned to February 1, 1934 at 9:30 o'clock A.M. And thereafter, Defendant herein excepts to evidence introduced by the Plaintiff.

Court adjourned until February 1, 1934.

On this 1st day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Farfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
 C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
 John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

BY L. LEMONS, INC., A CORP., Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1591 - Law. ✓
 RAL GAS PIPE LINE CO. OF AMERICA,)
 RP., Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of February, A. D. 1934, the above styled cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be excused while arguments are had on Defendant's demurrer to Plaintiff's evidence. And thereafter, arguments of counsel are made by Mr. Maxey. And thereafter, after being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that demurrer be sustained except to delay, capricious and arbitrary inspection. Exception allowed. And thereafter, said jury is recalled. Thereupon, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Charles Blair, Robt. C. Graham. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M. on this same day, court is again in session. parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Upon, the Defendants continues with the following witnesses: Mr. Kesinger, Mr. Paul, H. E. Sh, Mr. Strogberg, Ed Warren, C.S. Foreman, F. C. Brown. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. thereafter, the time for the evening meal having arrived, the jury is admonished and court recessed to 7:00 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 7:00 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Plaintiff offers in rebuttal testimony of Henry L. Lemons, Tom Brown, Mr. Rogers, John Lewis, Natum, Mr Prather. And thereafter, both sides rest. And thereafter, said jury is admonished excused to 9:30 o'clock A.M., February 3, 1934. And thereafter, the defendant herein moves a directed verdict. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, court is adjourned to 9:30 o'clock A.M., February 3, 1934.

IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
COUNTY OF TULSA: SS

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

E. BARK, M. J. WARD AND G. M. PARK,)
MEMBERS OF BARK AND COMPANY, a corporation,)
dissolved, Plaintiffs,) NO. 1953 LAW. ✓
EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ASSURANCE)
CORPORATION, LPD., a corporation,)
Defendant.)

O R D E R

For good cause shown, the defendant above named, is heroby granted an extension fifteen days from this date within which to plead or answer to the petition of the plaintiffs as in the above numbered and styled action.

Dated this 1st day of February, 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
DISTRICT JUDGE

ORSED: Filed Feb 2 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until February 3, 1934.

On this 3rd day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John F. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

ant to regular assignment, and the plaintiffs are present by their respective officers and
eir attorneys, J. H. Maxey, Wilbur J. Holleman and J. C. Pinkerton, and the defendant is pre-
by its officers and by its attorneys, B. A. Ames, C. B. Cochran, J. J. Hedrick and Robert D.
r, and a jury is regularly selected, empanelled and sworn, well and truly to try the issues
d between plaintiffs and defendant, and a true verdict render according to the evidence, and
upon the plaintiffs introduce their evidence and rest, and defendant demurs generally to the
nce offered by the plaintiffs and demurs specially to the evidence offered by the plaintiffs
nnection with Section 7 of the amended petition as amended by Paragraphs 1, 2, 4, 9 and 11 o
tion "B" of the amendment to the amended petition and to Section 13 of the amended petition
ended by Paragraphs 4, 5, 6 and 7 of Section "F" of the amendment to the amended petition, a
Section 16 of the amended petition as amended by Section "I" of the amendment to the amen-
petition, and to Section 17 of the amended petition as amended by Paragraphs 2 and 3 of Sect-
J" of the amendment to the amended petition and to Section 18 of the amended petition as am
by Section "K" of the amendment to the amended petition, and to Section 9 of the amended
ion as amended by Section "C" of the amendment to the amended petition, and the Court, having
the arguments of counsel on said demurrers to the evidence, overrules the general demurrer
e defendant to the evidence and sustains the special demurrer of the defendant to the eviden
nd to the Court's action sustaining said special demurrer as to paragraph 11 of Section "B"
e amendment to the amended petition and to Paragraph 18 of the amended petition and Section
f the Amendment to the amended petition, the plaintiffs except and their exceptions are by
ourt allowed; and thereupon the defendant introduces its evidence and rests, and plaintiffs
duce their rebuttal evidence and rest, and thereupon defendant moves the Court to instruct
ury to return a verdict for the defendant, and the Court, after hearing the argument of
el, and being fully advised in the premises, sustains said motion of the defendants to di-
the jury to return a verdict for it and against the plaintiff on all of the issues, matters
hings presented by the pleadings and evidence, except as to four items, which the Court ann-
s it will submit to the jury, to-wit:

- Item 1. Damages due to delay at White Breast Creek Crossing.
- Item 2. Damages due to delay and expense caused by the alleged arbitrary acts
of George Carruthers, one of the defendant's inspectors.
- Item 3. Damages occasioned to the plaintiff by building around straw stack on
instruction of defendant, as alleged in Section 12 of plaintiff's amended
petition.
- Item 4. Damages to plaintiff occasioned by the alleged restriction of defendant's
inspectors on the amount of pipe that could be primed from day to day.

ithdrew from the consideration of the jury all other issues, matters and things contended
y the plaintiffs, to which action of the court in instructing the jury to return a verdict
he defendant and withdrawing from the consideration of the jury all items except the four
above named, the plaintiffs at the time duly except to the ruling on each and every issue,
and thing, separately and individually, which exceptions are allowed by the Court.

Said proceedings hereinabove set out, having required the 29th, 30th and 31st days
nuary, and the 1st, 2nd and a portion of the 3rd day of February, 1934, and on, to-wit: the
lay of February, 1934, said items of said cause which were submitted to the jury by the Court
g been argued by counsel, and the jury having been instructed by the Court thereon, it retir
consider its verdict on said four items submitted to it, and thereafter on said date, re-
d into Court the following verdict on said four items:

"We, the jury in the above entitled case, duly impanelled and sworn, upon our
oaths find for the defendant.

(Signed) L.W. Grant, Foreman."

WHEREFORE, it is considered, ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiffs
nothing herein and the defendant go hence with its costs, and that the costs of this action

On this 5th day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and reported, to-wit:

SCCELLANEOUS - ORDER EMPANELING GRAND JURY.

On this 5th day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Marshal into open court and makes his return on the Venire heretofore issued out of this court for Grand Jurors for this Regular January 1934 Term of Court. Thereupon, on order of the Court, the Clerk calls the names of the Grand Jurors so summoned as follows:

J. R. Small	Tom Degraffinreid
H. R. Crews	F. F. Tryon
H. B. Lawson	Ed Hobough
J. P. Fisher	Wm. E. Tucker
I. W. Shannon	N. C. Davids
Neil E. Templeman	Lee Justus
C. L. Alderson	Z. D. Adams
M. M. Stewart	J. P. Byrd
Robert Jenkins	D. T. Roark
L. C. Shank	Wise Quinton
Geo. E. West	A. M. Laws

J. L. Cunningham

And thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that the following names of those not received

Robert Jenkins Tom Degraffinreid
and J. L. Cunningham (deceased)

and of those excused in open court, this date

J. R. Small	L. C. Shank
M. M. Stewart	Geo. E. West

and they are, hereby stricken from the jury roll.

Thereupon, the balance of said array of Grand Jurors are sworn by the Clerk upon their Voir Dire and are examined by the Court as to their qualifications.

Thereupon, the Court offers the entire array to any and all persons or their counsel for challenge and no challenge being offered, the Court offers each individual of said array to any and all persons or their counsel for challenge, and no challenge being offered, it is ordered that said array be accepted as the Grand Jury for this Regular January Term of Court.

Thereupon, the Court appoints J. P. Byrd as Foreman of the Grand Jury, and the oath as such is administered to him by the Clerk, and thereupon, the oath is administered to the members of the Grand Jurors by the Clerk, and the Court instructs the Grand Jury as to their duties and the law and the Grand Jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to their Grand Jury room to consider their presentments.

FORSEED: Filed In Open Court
Feb 5 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	} No. 7662 - Criminal. ✓
- vs -)	
HALE BROWN,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 5th day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney and
files an oral complaint against the above defendant for the charge of contempt of Court.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	} No. 7662 ✓
v.)	
Hale Brown,	Defendant.)	

ORDER FOR ATTACHMENT

This matter comes regularly on before the court on this 5th day of February A. D. 1934, a regular court day of the January A. D. 1934 Term thereof, on the application of the above named plaintiff, the United States of America, alleging that the above named defendants, Mrs. Hale Brown, was regularly served with subpoena on or about the 3rd day of February, 1934, command and directing her to appear before the United States Grand Jury in session at Tulsa, Oklahoma on the 5th day of February, 1934, as a witness for and on behalf of the United States, in certain matters then and there to be investigated by said Grand Jury, and that the said defendant, Hale Brown, wilfully failed and refused to comply with said subpoena by then and there failing and refusing to appear as directed before said grand jury; and praying that an order of this court directing the Clerk of said court to issue an attachment directing the United States Marshal for said district to attach the above named defendant to bring her forthwith before the Judge of this court to answer for such disobedience to said subpoena, and it appearing to the court that such order should issue,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, and the Clerk of this court is directed to issue an attachment directing the United States Marshal for this district to attach the above named defendant, wherever she may be found, and bring her forthwith before the Judge of this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, to answer for her wilful failure to appear before said grand jury in response to said subpoena.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Feb 5 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

W. BILLINGTON,	Plaintiff,)	} No. 1743 - Law ✓
vs.)	
OKLAHOMA POWER & WATER CO. A CORP.,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 5th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that the case be passed. It is further ordered that said case be taken up by agreement herein.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ULAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 1934

MAS C. EDGMAN, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1787 - Law. ✓
SOURI STATE LIFE INS. CO., Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that de-
rer of Defendant herein be, and it is hereby, overruled, exception allowed. Defendant given
teen (15) days to answer.

R. READ, minor, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1811 - Law. ✓
H. REUTER, ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 5th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that mo-
n of Plaintiff to remand be, and it is hereby, overruled.

N PRODUCTS INVESTMENT CO., Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1819 - Law. ✓
Y OF PICHER, OKLAHOMA, Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that mo-
n of Plaintiff to amend be, and it is hereby, sustained. Defendant given ten (10) days to
wer herein. It is further ordered that case to stand submitted then on demurrer which is
sidered re-filed. (Case to be heard at Miami, 1934).

L. BRUCE, ET AL, Plaintiffs,)
-vs-) No. 1830 - Law. ✓
BE INDEMNITY COMPANY, Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that mo-
a of Defendant to dismiss be and it is hereby, overruled. It is further ordered that motion
substitute Plaintiffs by Defendant be, and it is hereby overruled. Defendants given fifteen
) days to answer herein.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

n E. Leslie as Receiver of the Farmers National)
k of Wewoka, Oklahoma, a corporation, Plaintiff,)
vs.) #1832 - Law. ✓
L. Harris, Defendant.)

O R D E R

On this 5th day of February, 1934, this cause coming on to be heard in its regular

r on the motion docket upon the motion of plaintiff to require defendant to make his amended
er more definite and certain the defendant requests permission to amend said amended answer
nterlineation, alleging the time and place and terms of the oral agreement between the defen-
and W. C. Bunyard as alleged in paragraph 3 of said amended answer.

It is therefore Ordered and Adjudged by the Court that defendants be and is here
llowed one day within which to amend said amended answer by interlineation, alleging the time
place of the execution and the terms of the oral contract between said W. C. Bunyard and the
adant, as alleged in paragraph 3 of said amended petition, and that plaintiff's motion to
more definite and certain be and same is hereby overruled.

It is further Ordered and Adjudged that plaintiff be and hereby is allowed 10
after having been furnished with said amended answer as amended by interlineation within
1 to file reply.

RECORDED: Filed Feb 7 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA, TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

SHELL PETROLEUM CORPORATION, a Corporation,)		
	Plaintiff,)	
)		
vs.)	In Law No. 1836.	✓
)		
BRIGGS LUMBER COMPANY, a Cor- poration,)		
	Defendant.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 5th day of February, 1934, a regular judicial day of the Spec. March
of said Court, and said Court being in regular session, this matter comes on for hearing up-
on the motion of the plaintiff to make paragraph numbered 11 of the cross-petition of the defen-
more definite and certain. The plaintiff was represented by Joe T. Dickerson and Ralph G.
Harder, and the defendant was represented by Darrough & Foster and Joe Chambers, its attorneys of
counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that said motion should be sus-
tained, and the defendant be given time to amend its cross-petition in compliance with said mo-

IT IS THEREFORE, by the Court, ordered that the motion of plaintiff to make the de-
fendant's cross-petition more definite and certain be, and the same is hereby, sustained.

It is further ordered that the defendant, The Briggs Lumber Company, be, and it is
hereby, given fifteen (15) days from this date within which to amend its cross-petition, and it is
further ordered that the plaintiff, Shell Petroleum Corporation be, and it is hereby, given
(10) days thereafter within which to plead further to the cross-petition of defendant.

JOE T. DICKERSON RALPH G. HARDER
Attorneys for Plaintiff
DARROUGH & FOSTER JOE CHAMBERS
Attorneys for Defendant.
RECORDED: Filed Feb 8 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. J. Drew,	Plaintiff.)
)
vs.) No. 1855 Law. ✓
)
South West Box Company, a)
corporation,	Defendant.)

O R D E R

This cause coming on to be heard on this 5th day of February, 1934, plaintiff appearing by his attorney, John Goldesberry, and the defendant appearing by its attorney, Edgar A. Meules,

WHEREUPON the defendant orally prayed for permission to withdraw its demurrer filed herein on November 27, 1933, and to file instanter its motion to require plaintiff to comply with Rule 2 of the rules adopted by the Supreme Court of the United States for practice and procedure under Section 25 of the act of Congress of March 4, 1909, entitled "An Act to Amend and Consolidate the Acts Affecting Copyright"; and the Court being fully advised

IT IS ORDERED that defendant be and it is hereby allowed to withdraw its demurrer, which is accordingly done.

WHEREUPON THE defendant instanter filed its motion to require plaintiff to comply with Rule 2 of the rules adopted by the Supreme Court of the United States hereinbefore referred

WHEREUPON the court upon hearing the argument of counsel upon said motion and being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion of the defendant be and it is hereby sustained and that the plaintiff be, and is hereby, ordered to attach to his petition a copy of the label used by the defendant which is alleged to be an infringement of the registered label of the plaintiff within 15 days from the date hereof; the defendant to have 10 days thereafter to plead or 15 days to answer.

DATED this 5th day of February, 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

CORSEED: Filed In Open Court
Feb 6 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

ELIZABETH RIGGS MOORE,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 1862 - Law. ✓
)
THE BROTHERHOOD OF AMERICAN YEOMAN, a Corp.,)
	Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the court that Demurrer to amended petition be submitted on briefs herein. Given five (5) days to file briefs.

WARD, a minor, by and through his)	
friend and mother, LILLIE P. WARD, Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1864 Law. ✓
)	
L. BODOVITZ and J. A. FRATES, Receivers)	
of the Union Transportation Co., a Corp.,)	
Defendants.)	

Now on this 5th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Defendants for security of costs be, and it is hereby, overruled.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

L. McRoberts, Guardian of Jim Yow,)	
competent,)	Plaintiff,
)	
vs.)	No. 1872 Law. ✓
)	
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railroad Company,)	
Corporation,)	Defendant.

ORDER REMANDING CAUSE

Now, on this 5th day of February, 1934, the above entitled cause comes on for hearing upon the motion of plaintiff to remand the cause to the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma, for which court such action was removed; plaintiff being present by his attorneys, Speakman Speakman, of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, and the defendant, Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railroad Company Corporation, being present by its attorneys, Rainey, Flynn, Green & Anderson of Oklahoma City;

Thereupon, the defendant offered in evidence, in opposition to the motion to remand, the depositions heretofore taken and filed herein. The court, after consideration of such evidence, and having heard the argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds the motion should be sustained.

It is Therefore, Ordered that the above entitled action be, and is hereby remanded to the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma, to which the defendant, Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railroad Company, excepts.

RECORDED: Filed Feb 5 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

F. E. KENNAMER
 JUDGE.

LOTTA E. EDINGTON,)	
)	Plaintiff,
)	
-vs-)	No. 1881 - Law ✓
)	
UNION TRANSPORTATION CO. A CORP.,)	
Plaintiff,)	Defendants

Now on this 5th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that all motions to quash herein be, and they are hereby, withdrawn. Given ten (10) days to answer.

the motion of the defendant to require the plaintiff to make its petition more definite and plain, both parties appearing by their respective attorneys, the defendant in open court with the second paragraph or ground stated in its motion, but submits the first and third, and court, after hearing argument of counsel for the defendant, finds that said paragraphs one three should be sustained, but counsel for the plaintiff announces that he will amend the petition by interlineation to conform to the requests made in paragraphs one and three of the motion, and thereupon, the court grants to the plaintiff leave to amend the petition instanter to comply with said requests set out in paragraphs one and three of the defendant's motion.

The petition having been amended by interlineation, in the particulars above mentioned, the defendant is, upon application and for good cause shown, given 10 days to plead or answer to answer said petition as amended.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

NORMAN BARKER Attorney for Plaintiff.
GIBSON MAXEY & HOLLEMAN Attorneys for Defendant.

RECORDED: Filed Feb 6 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

SE JACKSON, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 1949 - Law. ✓
)
. T. CORPORATION and PHIL CALLAN, Defendants.)

Now on this 5th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that each of the motions to make definite and certain be, and they are hereby, overruled. Exception allowed. Given fifteen (15) days to answer.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Leob Simon, Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) At Law Number 1951. ✓
)
York Life Insurance Company, a corporation,)
Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF ORDER OF THE COURT ON DEMURRER OF DEFENDANT

NOW, on this 5th day of February, 1934, the same being a regular juridical day of the United States District Court sitting within and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, came this cause on for hearing upon the demurrer of the defendant to the plaintiff's petition; said plaintiff appearing by Monnet & Savage, his attorneys, and the defendant appearing by Wilson and Wilson, its attorneys; and the court, after hearing the argument of counsel says:

That said demurrer to the first count of said plaintiff's petition should be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the court the defendant then and there excepted.

The court further finds that the defendant's demurrer to the second count and the same is hereby sustained, to which

ling of the court the plaintiff then and there excepted.

Thereupon, plaintiff asked the court for an extension of time in which to amend his petition, and the court, for good cause shown, granted to the plaintiff ten (10) days from this date in which to file his amended petition, the defendant to have ten (10) days thereafter in which to plead, or twenty (20) days in which to answer.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of said United States District Court

: MONNET & SAVAGE
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

WILSON & WILSON
Attorneys for Defendant.

FORSEED: Filed Feb 15 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

S. F. M. MARGASON,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1958 - Law. ✓
)	
KANSAS STAGE LINES CO., ET AL,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 5th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiff to remand be taken under advisement. Defendant given five (5) days to file brief. Plaintiff given five (5) days thereafter to file answer brief.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel A. F. SWEENEY, a taxpayer,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 1960 - Law. ✓
)	
OKLAHOMA NATURAL GAS CORP., a corp. et al,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 5th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the Plaintiff, that the above case be dismissed as to Oklahoma Natural Gas Corporation, without prejudice. It is further ordered that said case be remanded to the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma. Exception allowed.

Court adjourned until February 6, 1934.

On this 6th day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States of the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7662 - Criminal. ✓
)	
W. HALE BROWN,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 6th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that writ of attachment herein be, and the same is hereby, taken under advisement.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

AMERICAN NATIONAL ASSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	NO. 1527 - LAW ✓
)	
WILLIAM F. YOUNG,	Defendant.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

THIS CAUSE came on regularly for trial on the 7th day of February, 1933, pursuant to regular assignment and notice; plaintiff appeared by its representative and attorneys of record, and the defendant appeared personally and by his attorneys of record. Both parties, in open court, announced ready for trial and waived a jury and agreed to submit the case to the Court without the intervention of a jury. Plaintiff introduced its evidence and rested. Whereupon defendant demurred to the plaintiff's evidence, which demurrer was, by the Court, heard and overruled, and defendant excepted. Defendant then introduced his evidence and rested. At the close of all the evidence both plaintiff and defendant moved for judgment upon the evidence, and after hearing argument upon the evidence and upon the law applicable thereto, the Court took the case under advisement and the cause was continued to such later date as should be announced by the Court for announcing decision and rendering judgment.

THEREAFTER, on the 5th day of February, 1934, pursuant to previous order of the Court continuing this cause and pursuant to regular assignment and notice to the parties, this case again came on for further hearing and for decision and entering of judgment herein.

Plaintiff appeared by its representative and attorneys of record, and the defendant appeared personally and by his attorneys of record, and both parties announced ready to proceed with the cause.

After hearing some argument, the Court announced his findings and rendered judgment thereon:

THE COURT FINDS that the allegations of fact, as set out in plaintiff's petition and herein, are true; that the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of Three

ousand, Three Hundred Fifteen and 76/100 (3,315.76) Dollars, with six (6) per cent, interest
ereon from October 5, 1931, until paid, and for all costs of this action; and that the plain-
f is entitled to recover judgment herein against the defendant for said sums.

IT IS THEREFORE, BY THE COURT, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff
re and recover of and from the defendant the sum of Three Thousand, Three Hundred fifteen and
/100 (3,315.76) Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of six (6) per cent. per annum,
m the 5th day of October, 1931, until paid, and for all costs of this action, for all of
ch let execution issue.

To which findings, judgment, and decree of the Court, the defendant excepted and
: exceptions were allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E.

1. ABY & TUCKER
Attorneys for said Plaintiff.

2. as to form:
MONNET & SAVAGE
Attorneys for said Defendant.

FORSEED: Filed Feb 8 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

Ben McCollum, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1539 - Law. ✓
United States of America, Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

This cause came on to be heard this 29th day of September, 1933, pursuant to re-
gular assignment heretofore had, the plaintiff, Ben McCollum, being present in person and by his
attorney, Lewis Raba, and the defendant, United States of America, being represented by A. E.
Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, and Daniel Dillon, Attorney for the Veterans Adminis-
tration, both sides announced ready for trial, jury trial having been waived in writing and it
was agreed by the parties to this action that special findings of fact and conclusions of law
made by the court, the plaintiff introduced his evidence and rested; and the defendant in-
troduced its evidence and rested and after hearing the arguments of counsel, the defendant is
given ten days to file brief and the plaintiff is given five days thereafter to file a reply
brief, and the Court takes to rendition of judgment in this case under advisement, judgment to be
rendered at a future day to suit the convenience of the Court.

And now on this 6th day of February, 1934, this cause comes on to be heard, pur-
suant to regular assignment for judgment of the court upon the evidence adduced at the trial,
the plaintiff being present by his attorney, Lewis Raba, and the defendant being represented to
by attorney, A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, and the court being fully ad-
vised in the premises, and on consideration thereof, the court finds that plaintiff enlisted
in the United States Army on the 17th day of September, 1919, and was honorably discharged on the
10th day of February, 1919, and that while in the military service he applied for and was issued
a Life Insurance in the sum of \$10,000.00, and that said insurance contract provided that in

event the plaintiff became totally and permanently disabled while said insurance was in full force and effect, the United States of America would pay said insurance to said plaintiff at the rate of \$57.50 per month, and that while in the military service and while said insurance contract was in full force and effect the plaintiff suffered burns and injury from corrosive gas in his eyes, throat and lungs, the court further finds that said insurance contract was in full force and effect on the 1st day of February, 1919, and that on the said 1st day of February, 1919, as a result of such gas burns and injury and while said insurance was in full force and effect said plaintiff became totally and permanently disabled and that ever since said date to the present time said plaintiff has been unable to follow continuously any gainful occupation and that his permanent and total disability will continue throughout his natural life, and that by reason of such total and permanent disability, plaintiff is entitled to recover undersaid insurance contract at the rate of \$57.50 per month, commencing the 1st day of February, 1919, according to the terms of said insurance contract, and that by preponderance of the evidence the plaintiff has sustained all the material allegations in his petition and is entitled to have and recover judgment against said defendant accordingly.

The court further finds that Lewis Raba, a duly licensed and practicing attorney, has been duly employed by the plaintiff herein to represent him in this cause, and that he has defended and prosecuted this action on behalf of the plaintiff and is entitled to have allowed and paid to him, as provided by law, for his service in this behalf ten per cent of the amount awarded to the plaintiff under the terms of this judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER, JUDGMENT, AND DECREE OF THE COURT that the plaintiff, McCollum, have and recover of and from the defendant, the United States of America, the sum of \$57.50 per month, from and after the 1st day of February, 1919, to the date of this judgment, the total amount of said monthly installments or payments to be computed by the Veterans Administration.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Lewis Raba, attorney for plaintiff herein, being a regularly admitted and practicing attorney in this court, be and he is hereunto allowed ten per cent of all amounts paid and recovered as a result of this judgment, as a reasonable attorney's fee on behalf of the plaintiff herein, and that said attorney's fee be paid in full to him by the Veterans Administration, to which findings and judgment of the Court the defendant excepts and exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

C. LEWIS RABA
Lewis Raba, Attorney for Plaintiff.

A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney.
Attorney for Defendant.

RECORDED: Filed Feb 8, 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
 OKLAHOMA

l Zuniga, Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) No. 1766 Law /
)
 ed States of America, Defendant.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 6th day of February, 1934, this cause coming on for hearing on the
 ial Appearance and Motion of the defendant to dismiss said cause because of no service made
 he defendant, the United States of America, and the Court being advised in the premises, sus-
 s said motion, and said cause is dismissed, at plaintiff's costs, without prejudice.

A. E. WILLIAMS
 Assistant United States Attorney

F. E. KENNAMER
 JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Feb 14 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court DC

ON THOMPSON, Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-) No. 1809 - Law.
)
 LOUIS & SAN FRANCISCO RY. CO., ET AL.,)
 Defendants.)

Now on this 6th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that mo-
 to remand herein be, and it is hereby, overruled. Exception allowed.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

. STEINBERG'S INC., a corporation, Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-)
) NO. 1848 LAW /
)
 N DRUG COMPANY, a corporation, STEINBERG)
 STORES, INC., a corporation, and WORLD)
 LISHING COMPANY, a corporation, Defendants.)

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 6th day of February, 1934, there having come on for hearing the motion
 he above named plaintiff to remand the above styled action to the District Court of Tulsa
 ty, State of Oklahoma, the plaintiff appearing by its counsel Hickman and Ungerman and the de-
 fendants, Crown Drug Company, a corporation and Steinberg Drug Stores, Inc., a corporation, ap-
 ping by its counsel Phillip N. Landa and the Court having heard the argument presented by
 sel and being fully advised in the premises finds that the motion to remand the above styled
 to the District Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, should be sustained.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED by the Court that the motion of the plaintiff above

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 1934

ed, J. E. Steinberg's Inc., a corporation, to remand the above styled case and all proceedings
rein to the District Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, be and it is hereby sustained.

ROVED AS TO FORM:
HICKMAN & UNGERMANN
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

HUDSON & HUDSON
HAL CROUCH & P. N. LANDA
Attorneys for Defendants.

ORSED: Filed Feb 19 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

OLD HURLEY, as Administrator of the)
ate of ALBERT (TED) WHITE, deceased, Plaintiff,)
vs) No. 1851 Law ✓
SOURI-KANSAS-TEXAS RAILROAD CO.,)
ORP., Defendant.)

ORDER REMANDING CAUSE TO STATE COURT

The motion of the Plaintiff to remand this suit to the District Court of Osage
nty, Oklahoma, coming on for hearing this 6th day of February, 1934, pursuant to regular set-
g, the Court having heard the argument of counsel and being fully advised, upon consideration
is that the said motion should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the motion of the Plaintiff to remand this case to
District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, be and the same is hereby granted and this cause
and the same is hereby, remanded to the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, for further
eedings.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ORSED: Filed Feb 14 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

R. HARTZOG, Plaintiff)
-vs-) No. 1882 - Law. ✓
ON TRANSPORTATION CO. A CORP. ET AL, Defendants)

Now on this 6th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that motio
f Plaintiff to remand be overruled and exception allowed. It is further ordered that motion
efendants to make definite and certain be overruled and exception allowed. It is further or-
ed that demurrer of Defendant Union Transportation Co. be overruled and exception allowed.
en ten (10) days to answer.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

ted States,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 1901 Law ✓
)
ence Fox, et al,	Defendants.)

ORDER OVERRULING MOTION.

Now on this 6th day of February, 1934, this cause came on for hearing on the
ular motion docket, on the motion of W. J. Barnett, Bank Commissioner of Oklahoma, to dissolve
attachment herein, and because the return of said attachment showed approximately 175 bushels
sane seed seized by the attaching officers, and the Bank Commissioner's motion to dissolve
l attachment sets up a mortgage covering numerous other described property, and there is no
of determining whether or not the said mortgaged property is sufficient to satisfy the indeb-
ness of the mortgage creditor without proper proof, the Court overrules said motion to dis-
re the attachment herein, and said Bank Commissioner is allowed exceptions to said order of th
ourt, and is allowed 10 days to plead or answer.

A. E. WILLIAMS
Assistant United States Attorney

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

ORSED: Filed Feb 8 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until February 7, 1934.

On this 7th day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States
the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pur-
it to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. BAILEY, U. S. Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and
ared, to-wit:

IA MANLEY, ET AL.,	Plaintiffs,)
)
-vs-) No. 701 - Law. ✓
)
ES A. CHAPMAN, ET AL,	Defendants.)

Now on this 7th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that judg-
: on cross petition herein be entered, as per journal entry to be filed.

IE MCKAY,)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-) No. 702 - Law. ✓
)
 NOLIA PETROLEUM CO. ET AL,)
 Defendants.)

Now on this 7th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be given ten (10) days to file reply herein. It is further ordered that said case be set on next docket.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CE HAYES,)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-)
)
 IX A. BODOVITZ and J. A. FRATES, Receivers)
 the UNION TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, and) NO. 1 6 5 6 LAW ✓
 TE COON and D. W. COON, Partners doing)
 iness under the name of NEON SALES AND)
 VICE COMPANY and NEON SALES AND SERVICE)
 PANY, a co-partnership consisting of MONTE)
 N and D. W. COON,)
 Defendants.)

O R D E R

BE IT REMEMBERED, that on this 7th day of February, 1934 there came on for consideration by the Court the matter of the failure of plaintiff to comply with the order of the Court entered herein on the 5th day of January, 1933, in which order the plaintiff was directed and ordered to make a deposit with the Clerk for costs, the plaintiff appearing by her attorney Irvine Ungerman, and the defendants appearing not, either in person or by attorney and it having been ordered that she appear to the Court by counsel for plaintiff that the plaintiff has a meritorious cause of action herein, but that by reason of her poverty she is unable to comply with said order by making a deposit for costs, by reason whereof counsel for plaintiff moved the Court to vacate and set aside that part of the order of January 5, 1933, wherein plaintiff was directed to make deposit for costs, and the Court being satisfied in the premises, finds that said part of said order should be vacated and set aside, and that the plaintiff should be permitted to maintain her action on the pauper's oath filed in the State Court and contained in the transcript for removal.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that that part of the order of the Court of January 5, 1933, directing plaintiff to make a deposit for costs be and the same is hereby vacated and set aside, and the plaintiff is permitted to maintain this action on her pauper's oath heretofore filed in this action,.

F. E. KENNAMER
 United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Feb 8 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 1820 Law. ✓
)
Ralph Grey, Mrs. Margaret Grey, and)
Willis Brookes,	Defendants.)

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 7th day of February, 1934, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the regular January A. D. 1934 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court on the motion of the Plaintiff for a judgment on the scire facias issued herein on the 8th day of July, 1933, and it appearing to the court that on the 1st day of March, 1933, the said defendants Ralph Grey, Mrs. Margaret Grey, and Willis Brookes made, executed and delivered an appearance or bail bond in the sum of Twenty-five Hundred (\$2500.00) Dollars, conditioned for the appearance of the defendant Ralph Grey before the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, in Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the 1st day of April, 1933, and from time to time thereafter as ordered and directed by the court to answer to a charge then pending against the said defendant Ralph Grey in said court, and it further appearing to the court that on the 6th day of July, 1933, at the Special March, 1933 Term of said court being held at the city of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma and in the Northern District of Oklahoma, the case against the said defendant Ralph Grey having theretofore been regularly set for trial, the said defendant Ralph Grey came not but made default, and thereupon the said defendants Mrs. Margaret Grey and Willis Brookes were each called three times in open court to produce the body of the said defendant Ralph Grey, but that the said defendants Mrs. Margaret Grey and Willis Brookes failed to produce the body of the said Ralph Grey in open court to answer to the said charge, and thereupon a forfeiture was declared upon the said bond and it further appearing to the court that on the 8th day of July, 1933, a scire facias was issued out of this court ordering and directing the said defendants to appear before the District Court of the United States in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma on the 7th day of August, 1933, to show cause if any they have, why judgment should not be made absolute; that on the 7th day of August, 1933, and at all times thereafter the said defendants Mrs. Margaret Grey and Willis Brookes and each of them, have failed to appear to show cause why judgment nisi as aforesaid should not be made absolute against them, and it further appearing to the court that on the 17th day of July, 1933, service of the said scire facias was had upon the said defendants Willis Brookes and Ralph Grey as provided by law.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the United States of America have and recover from and against the said defendants Willis Brookes and Ralph Grey and each of them in the sum of Twenty-five Hundred (\$2500.00) Dollars with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent. (6%) per annum from this date and the costs of this

CHESTER A. BREWER
Asst. United States Attorney.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Feb 8 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1821-Law ✓
Ralph Grey, Mrs. Margaret Grey, and)
Willis Brookes, Defendants.)

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 7th day of February, 1934, the same being one of the regular judicial sessions of the Regular January A. D. 1934, Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the motion of the Plaintiff for a judgment on the scire facias issued herein on the 8th day of July, 1933, and it appearing to the court that on the 1st day of March, 1933, the said defendants Ralph Grey, Mrs. Margaret Grey, and Willis Brookes made, executed and delivered an appearance or bail bond in the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred (\$2500.00) Dollars, conditioned on the appearance of the defendant Ralph Grey before the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, in Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the 1st day of April, 1933, and from time to time thereafter as ordered and directed by the Court to answer to a charge then pending against the said defendant Ralph Grey in said court, and it further appearing to the court that on the 6th day of July, 1933, at the Special March, 1933, Term of said court being held at the Court House of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, and in the Northern District of Oklahoma, the said charge against the said defendant Ralph Grey having theretofore been regularly set for trial, the said defendant Ralph Grey came not but made default, and thereupon the said defendants Mrs. Margaret Grey and Willis Brookes were each called three times in open court to produce the body of the said defendant Ralph Grey, but that the said defendants Mrs. Margaret Grey and Willis Brookes failed to produce the body of the said Ralph Grey in open court to answer to the said charge, thereupon a forfeiture was declared upon the said bond and it further appearing to the court that on the 8th day of July, 1933, a scire facias was issued out of this court ordering directing the said defendants to appear before the District Court of the United States in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma on the 7th day of August, 1933, to show cause if any they have, why judgment nisi should not be made absolute; that on the 7th day of August, 1933, at all times thereafter the said defendants Mrs. Margaret Grey and Willis Brookes and each of them have failed to appear to show cause why judgment nisi as aforesaid should not be made absolute against them, and it further appearing to the court that on the 17th day of July, 1933, execution of the said Scire facias was had upon the said defendants Willis Brookes and Ralph Grey provided by law.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the United States of America have and recover from and against the said defendants Willis Brookes and Ralph Grey and each of them in the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred (\$2500.00) Dollars with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent (6%) per annum from this date and the costs of the

C. E. BAILEY
United States Attorney.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

RECORDED: Filed Feb 8 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ted States of America, Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 1822 - Law. ✓
)
eral Casey, J. E. Grigsby, and Claude)
b, Defendants.)

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 7th day of February, 1934, the same being one of the regular judicial s of the Regular January A. D. 1934, Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court n the motion of the Plaintiff for a judgment on the scire facias issued herein on the 13th day July, 1933, and it appearing to the court that on the 20th day of December, 1932, the said endants General Casey, J. E. Grigsby and Claude Webb made, executed and delivered an appear- e or bail bond in the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred (\$2500.00) Dollars, conditioned for th earance of the defendant General Casey before the United States District Court for the North District of Oklahoma, in Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the 2nd day of January, 1933, and from time to e thereafter as ordered and directed by the court to answer to a charge then pending against said defendant General Casey in said court, and it further appearing to the court that on 7th day of July, 1933, at the Special March 1933 Term of said court being held at the city Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, and in the Northern District of Oklahoma, the case a- nst the said defendant General Casey having theretofore been regularly set for trial, the said endant General Casey came not but made default, and thereupon the said defendants J. E. Grig- and Claude Webb were each called three times in open court to produce the body of the said duce the body of the said General Casey in open court to answer to the said charge, and there n a forfeiture was declared upon the said bond and it further appearing to the court that on 13th day of July, 1933, a scire facias was issued out of this court ordering and directing said defendants to appear before the District Court of the United States in and for the Nor- in District of Oklahoma on the 12th day of August, 1933, to show cause if any they have, why gment nisi should not be made absolute; that on the 12th day of August, 1933, and at all es thereafter the said defendants J. E. Grigsby and Claude Webb and each of them, have failed appear to show cause why judgment nisi as aforesaid should not be made absolute against them, it further appearing to the court that on the 17th day of July, 1933, service of the said re facias was had upon the said defendants J. E. Grigsby and Claude Webb as provided by law.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the ted States of America have and recover from and against the said defendants J. E. Grigsby and ide Webb and each of them in the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred (\$2500.00) Dollars with in- est thereon at therate of six per cent. (6%) per annum from this date and the costs of the t.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

CHESTER A. BREWER
Asst. United States Attorney.

ORSED: Filed Feb 8 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

ed States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 1823 - Law. ✓
)	
Johnson, J. F. Shinpo, and C. A.)	
in,	Defendants.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 7th day of February, 1934, the same being one of the regular judicial of the Regular January A. D. 1934, Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court the motion of the Plaintiff for a judgment on the scire facias issued herein on the 13th day of July, 1933, and it appearing to the court that on the 29th day of March, 1933, the said defendant Gene Johnson, J. F. Shinpo and C. A. Fallin made, executed and delivered an appearance or bond in the sum of One Thousand (\$1000.00) Dollars, conditioned for the appearance of the defendant Gene Johnson before the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, in Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the 1st day of the next term, 1933, and from time to time, there- as ordered and directed by the court to answer to a charge then pending against the said defendant Gene Johnson in said court, and it further appearing to the court that on the 7th day of July, 1933, at the Special March, 1933 Term of said court being held at the city of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, and in the Northern District of Oklahoma, the case against the defendant Gene Johnson having theretofore been regularly set for trial, the said defendant Johnson came not but made default, and thereupon the said defendants J. F. Shinpo and C. A. Fallin were each called three times in open court to produce the body of the said defendant Gene Johnson but that the said defendants J. F. Shinpo and C. A. Fallin failed to produce the body of said Gene Johnson in open court to answer to the said charge, and thereupon a forfeiture was made upon the said bond and it further appearing to the court that on the 15th day of July, 1933, a scire facias was issued out of this court ordering and directing the said defendants to appear before the District Court of the United States in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma on the 12th day of August, 1933, to show cause if any they have, why judgment nisi should not be absolute; that on the 12th day of August, 1933, and at all times thereafter the said defendants J. F. Shinpo and C. A. Fallin and each of them, have failed to appear to show cause why judgment nisi as aforesaid should not be made absolute against them, and it further appearing to the court that on the 19th day of July, 1933, service of the said scire facias was had upon the defendant J. F. Shinpo, as provided by law.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the said States of America, have and recover from and against the said defendant J. F. Shinpo in the sum of One Thousand (\$1000.00) Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent. per annum from this date and the costs of the suit.

CHESTER A. BREWER
Asst. United States Attorney.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

RECORDED: Filed Feb 8 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

No.	Name of Defendant	Amount of Bond
7663	N. L. Barber	\$2000.00
7664	Harry Burton	2500.00
7665	Joe Baker	3000.00
7666	Alvin Caffey	4000.00
	Jack Halliburton	4000.00
7667	Frank Davis	2000.00
7668	Earl A. Fisher	4000.00
7669	Roy Hayden Good, alias R. H. Saundres	4000.00
7670	George Hagleberg	4000.00
	John Binsfeld	4000.00
7671	Harry Benjamin Howard	2500.00
7672	Loyd Smith	4000.00
7673	Clarence L. Smith	1000.00
	Ada Smith	1000.00
	Jim E. Wallace	1000.00
7674	Arthur Tharp	1000.00
7675	Raymond Tullis	2500.00
	Ed Isaacs	2500.00
7676	Omer H. Teenor	2500.00
7677	Joe Williams	3000.00
7678	Joseph Oscar Yount	2500.00
7679	Joseph Oscar Yount	2500.00
7680	Joseph Oscar Yount	2500.00
7681	Roy McGee	1500.00
	Garney Wilson	1500.00
7682	Albert Adams	1000.00
7683	Melva J. Anderson	4000.00
7684	Art Chase	2500.00
7685	Charles R. Cross alias Charles James	4000.00
7686	Pearl A. Carroll	3000.00
7687	Clarence David Fink	3000.00
7688	Morris Green	1000.00
7689	Art Groves	1000.00
7690	J. C. Holland	2500.00
7691	Steve Kaczinski	3000.00
7692	Walter Moore	1500.00
7693	Myrtle Fendergrass	1000.00
7694	Clarence Short	1500.00
7695	Clarence Smith	1000.00
	Mrs. Sadie Smith	1000.00
7696	Chris Scott	2000.00
7697	Yandell O. Smythe	4000.00
7698	R. T. Tolbert	1000.00
7699	Louie Vann	1000.00
7700	Monroe Younger	1000.00
7701	Arthur Alred	500.00
7702	Harley J. Bratton	6000.00
	Homer Good	1500.00
7703	Harley Bratton	2500.00
	Homer Good	2500.00
7704	William H. Bull	3000.00
7705	Minnie Marie Cooper	4000.00
	James Bridges	4000.00
7706	Sterling Coonce	1000.00
	Jake Hines	1000.00
7707	James N. Cornwell	1500.00
7708	Floyd Coffey	2000.00

7709	Harry Hicks	7000.00
	George Earl	7000.00
7710	Floyd H. Higgins	7000.00
7711	Orville Humble alias Jake Humble	1000.00
7712	Homer Jones	3000.00
	Rachel Northup	3000.00
	Lorene Daugherty	3000.00
7713	R. L. Lawrence	1500.00
7714	D. C. Lawrence	1500.00
7715	Simon Loho	1500.00
7716	Barton I. McPherson	1500.00
7717	Leslie I. Moore	2500.00
7718	Roy Dee Mann	4000.00
7719	Arthur Michelle	1000.00
7720	Mrs. L. E. Payne	2500.00
7721	Vernon Ramsey	1000.00
7722	Joseph Calimes Smith	1500.00
7723	Burl Smith	1000.00
7724	Lee Tyler	1500.00
	Burlin Holmes	1500.00
	Dick Doyle	1500.00
7725	Frank Upshaw	1500.00
7726	James Williams	1000.00
7727	Joe Cefus Wilson	1500.00
7728	Clyde P. Whitmire	5000.00
7729	Tandy W. Henry	1000.00
7730	Chessie Walker Jackson	1000.00
7731	V. L. Oliver	2500.00
7732	Wade Thomas	1000.00
7733	Roy Lopez	1000.00
	Willis Newton	1000.00
7734	Steve Tripp	1000.00
7735	L. M. Osborne	1000.00
7736	N. Carter alias Whitey Carter	2000.00
	Sam Mason	2000.00
	Buster Maggard	2000.00
7737	Noble Hemphill	1500.00
7738	George A. Jones	1500.00
7739	L. M. Brim	1500.00
7740	Mrs. Edith Garrard	1000.00
7741	J. D. Mahoney	1500.00
7742	I. V. Mitchell	3500.00
7743	Birdie Miller	1000.00
7744	Thomas B. Howell alias Tom Newton alias L. R. Davidson alias R. L. Davidson alias C. E. Harper Joe Howell, alias Mrs. L. R. Davidson alias Jane Nolan	5000.00 5000.00
Van A. Larson	Bob Lane	5000.00
	A. L. Blackburn	5000.00
	Harold LaGrone	5000.00
	Herman L. Stiles	5000.00
	Sam Worth	5000.00
	Vern Wilder alias Joe Shannon	5000.00
	George Dixon	5000.00
	Angelo Palmasino	5000.00
	Henry Christensen	5000.00
7745	Richard Jackson	1500.00
7746	Robert Jackson	1000.00
	Mrs. Robert Jackson	1000.00

OTIS RIDGE,

JOHN WILLIAM RHODES,
 CHARLES FL. STUART,
 T. E. STACY,
 CHARLES BYERS
 FRANK ROGERS
 ANDY HENRY
 SAM SCOTT
 JEWELL OLIVER
 FLOYD AUPREY
 FRED L. PARKER
 DELMAR STANFORD
 OSCAR "BLACKIE" SHERMAN,

Respectfully submitted.

N. C. DAVIDS
 H. R. CREWS
 J. P. FISHER
 D. T. ROARK
 N. E. TEMPLEMAN
 C. L. ALDERSON
 Z. D. ADAMS
 ED HOBOUGH
 H. D. LAWSON

I. W. SHANNON
 A. W. LAWS
 WM. E. TUCKER
 F. F. TRYON
 LEE JUSTUS
 QUINTON WISE

J. P. BYRD, JR.
 Foreman of the Grand Jury.

RECORDED: Filed In Open Court
 Feb 8 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-) No. 1562 - Law. ✓
)
 E. WILLIAMS, STELLA L. JONES and)
 WHITAKER, Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that defendants be given sixty (60) days additional time in which to pay fine herein, in the amount of \$50.00 Dollars.

D. A. JONES, Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-) No. 1681 - Law. ✓
)
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of February, A. D. 1934, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, testimony of the following witnesses is heard: Dr. Adams and Dr. C. S. Summers. And thereafter, both sides rest. And thereafter, each side moves for judgment herein. And thereafter, after being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiff be and it is hereby sustained. It is further ordered that motion of Defendant be overruled and exception allowed. And thereupon,

It is the further order of the court that out of the moneys herein awarded and to be paid by virtue of this judgment and said insurance so issued to said David A. Jones, Glenn Young, plaintiff's attorney, be and he is hereby allowed ten per cent as his reasonable attorney's fee, which said amount shall be paid to Glenn O. Young by the authorized agency of the defendant and deducted from the amount awarded and to be paid by virtue hereof.

To all of which the defendant prays and is allowed an exception.

GLENN O. YOUNG Atty for Plaintiff
A. E. WILLIAMS, Assist U. S. Atty.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Feb 13 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

F. WILKINSON, SUCCESSOR TO L. R. KERSHAW)
RECEIVER OF THE PRODUCERS NATIONAL BANK)
TULSA, OKLAHOMA, A NATIONAL BANKING)
ASSOCIATION,) PLAINTIFF,) No. 1713 Law ✓
vs.)
MYRTLE TROPE, ET AL.,) DEFENDANTS.)

O R D E R

NOW on this 8th day of February, 1934, the above entitled cause came on regularly for trial, the parties appearing by their respective counsel of record, and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds:

That the plaintiff is ready for trial, but that the defendants are not ready for trial, and that counsel for defendants stated that the defendant L. A. Trope is ill and unable to attend court, and defendants request a continuance on account thereof, which was granted by the court.

The court further finds that the defendant, L. A. Trope, by his counsel of record, requested leave to file an amended answer, setting up, in addition to the allegations contained in his original answer, the allegations set forth in the amended answer of the defendant Myrtle Trope filed herein, which leave was granted by the court, without prejudice to the trial of the

IT IS THEREFORE ordered that this cause be and the same hereby is stricken from the trial assignment of this date and hereby is re-assigned for trial for the 21st day of February, 1934, and the defendant L. A. Trope hereby is granted one day within which to file an amended answer setting up the allegations contained in his co-defendants amended answer, in addition to the allegations contained in his original answer, all to be without prejudice to the trial of the case on the 21st day of February, 1934, and plaintiff is granted leave to plead to said amended answer of the defendant L. A. Trope, without prejudice to said trial.

RECORDED: Filed Feb 9 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER DISCHARGING GRAND JURORS.

On this 8th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that all Grand Jurors be, and they are, hereby discharged for this Regular January 1934 Term of this Court at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

ORDER TO PAY GRAND JURORS AND WITNESSES MILEAGE AND PER DIEM.

On this 8th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that the Marshal of this District pay the Grand Jurors and witnesses for this Regular January Term of Court, their mileage and attendance as shown by the Record of Attendance.

Court adjourned until February 9, 1934.

REGULAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 1934

On this 9th day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States in the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER RELEASING NO BILLS.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

RE: REGULAR JANUARY, 1934, U. S. GRAND JURY.

O R D E R

And now on this 9th day of February, A. D. 1934, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the Regular January A. D. 1934 Term of said Court, sitting at Tulsa, Oklahoma, the Court comes on for hearing the motion of the United States Attorney, showing to the Court that the Grand Jury, sitting in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, completed its labors on the 8th day of February, A. D. 1934, said session of the Grand Jury being the Regular January A. D. 1934 Term of said Court, and submitting to this Honorable Court its report, and among other things, reported to this Honorable Court that it had returned "NO BILLS" in respect of the following named persons, to-wit:

JACK ROGERS
VASCOE GOINS
JOE MANOVER
FRANK JAMES
JOE SMITHERS
GEORGE BAKER
NELL WILLIAMS
MABEL RAMSEY
EARL GABBARD
CHARLEY BRANDON

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MIDWEST CHEVROLET COMPANY,
Corporation, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1442 - Law. J
GENERAL MOTORS ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION,
Corporation, Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

On this 15th day of January, 1934, being a regular judicial day of the regular term of the court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this cause came on regularly for hearing, pursuant to an adjournment, before Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the above court, the plaintiff, Midwest Chevrolet Company, a corporation, being present by its attorneys, Stuart & Doerner, Philip N. Crouch and Merrill S. Bernard, and the defendant, General Motors Acceptance Corporation, being present by its attorneys, Pierce, McClelland, Kneeland & Bailey and Westwoodson.

Thereupon the plaintiff and the defendant announced ready for trial, the parties being present as hereinabove stated, and a jury was regularly impanelled and sworn to try the case under the issues former by the pleadings herein filed.

Thereupon the plaintiff introduced its evidence and rested. Defendant demurred to the evidence of the plaintiff as failing to establish a cause of action, which said demurrer was overruled by the Court, on consideration thereof, overruled and to which action of the Court the defendant excepted and its exception was allowed.

The defendant thereupon began the introduction of its evidence, which was continued to the adjourned session of the court pursuant to recess and which was completed on the 16th day of January, 1934. The plaintiff introduced its rebuttal testimony and rested, and the evidence on both sides being closed, the defendant moved the Court to direct the jury to return a verdict in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff. The plaintiff thereupon moved the Court to direct the jury to return a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant. Thereupon, before the Court ruled upon either of the motions for a directed verdict, and while the jury was still in the box, defendant informed the Court and gave it to understand that by its motion for a directed verdict, it submitted to the Court only the questions of law and did not submit to the Court any questions of fact or inferences to be drawn from the facts and did not reserve its right of trial by jury, but in the event the Court overruled its motion for a directed verdict, that it reserved the right to submit to the Court further requests of instructions to be submitted to the jury. Thereupon the Court overruled the motions for directed verdict of both parties, to which action of the Court the defendant excepted and its exception was allowed and the plaintiff excepted and its exception was allowed.

The cause was thereupon, on January 16, 1934, argued to the jury and the jury was discharged by the Court and they thereupon retired to consider their verdict.

On January 18, 1934, the jury returned into Court with their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures, to-wit:

"We, the jury in the above entitled case, duly impanelled and sworn upon our oaths, find for the plaintiff and assess the amount of its recovery at \$47,301.84.

(Signed) C. E. Dowson, Foreman."

The said verdict was read by the Court and filed.

Now on this 18th day of January, 1934, the court being sufficiently advised, and in accordance with said verdict:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
) No. 1894 - Law. ✓
H. LOHMAN, CLARENCE LOHMAN and)
M. WISE,	Defendants.)

Now on this 9th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that Defendants be given thirty (30) days from this date to plead or answer herein.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
) No. 1895 - Law. ✓
H. LOHMAN, CLARENCE LOHMAN & M. L.)
COMBE,	Defendants.)

Now on this 9th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that Defendants be given thirty (30) days from this date to plead or answer herein.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
) No. 1896 - Law. ✓
H. LOHMAN, E. S. SHIDLER and)
CLARENCE LOHMAN,	Defendants.)

Now on this 9th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that Defendants be given thirty (30) days from this date to plead or answer herein.

Court adjourned until February 10, 1934.

On this 10th day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
 C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
 John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings are had and read, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

J. LEE, Plaintiff,)
vs.) NO. 1964 L. ✓
CONTINENTAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,)
Louis, Missouri, Defendant.)

ORDER TO REMAND TO STATE COURT

Now on this 10th day of February, 1934, this cause coming on to be heard upon the motion of the plaintiff to remand the same to the State Court, and the Court, having read the pleadings of plaintiff and the petition for removal of the defendant, and having heard the evidence and having considered the same, and having heard the said parties by their respective counsel being fully advised in the premises, grants said motion to remand made by the plaintiff upon ground that the amount or matter in dispute herein does not, and at the time said cause was removed from the State Court did not, exceed the sum or value of Three Thousand (\$3,000.00) dollars, exclusive of interest and costs, and that this Court is without jurisdiction.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said cause be remanded to the District Court in and for Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, from which it came and that the plaintiff recover the costs in this court against the defendant.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

RECORDED: Filed Feb 10 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until February 12, 1934.

LAR JANUARY 1934 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 1934

On this 12th day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

SETTING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you, in a cause between Eulalie Halliburton Young, incompetent, by Bankers Trust Company of Little Rock, Arkansas, as the statutory guardian and/or curator of the estate of Eulalie Halliburton Young, plaintiff, and The Travelers Insurance Company Corporation, Defendant, No. 1616, Law, the judgment of the said District Court in said cause rendered on February 25, 1933, was in the following words, viz:

"It is therefore ordered that judgment be entered for the defendant, and that the costs be taxed against the plaintiff."

* * *

By the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Eulalie Halliburton Young, incompetent, by Bankers Trust Company of Little Rock, Arkansas, as the statutory guardian and/or curator of the estate of Eulalie Halliburton Young, agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the September Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-three, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that judgment of the said District Court in this cause be and the same is hereby reversed; that the said cause be and the same is hereby remanded to the said District Court for a new trial; and Eulalie Halliburton Young, incompetent, by Bankers Trust Company of Little Rock, Arkansas, the Statutory Guardian and/or Curator of the Estate of Eulalie Halliburton Young, appellant, and recover of and from The Travelers Insurance Company, a corporation, appellee, her costs in and have execution therefor.

- - January 2, 1934.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such further proceedings be had in said cause, in conformity with the opinion and judgment of this court, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had.

WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 12th day of February, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-four.

3 OF	Appellant:	
Book,	\$35.70	
Printing Record,	-- --	
Attorney,	\$20.00	
	<u>\$55.70</u>	

ALBERT TREGO
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
 Tenth Circuit

Costs taxed in favor of appellant, in the case of Eulalie Halliburton Young vs. Travelers Insurance Company No. 887

ing record and docketing cause,	5 00
ing 24 copies of printed record,	6 00
ing and entering 1 appearance for appellant	50
ing and entering 1 appearance for appellee	50
rk, preparing record for printer, etc.,	-- --
ter, for printing record,	-- --
ng 6 papers,	1 50
ring 1 order, 1 folio	20
ring continuance,	-- --
ng briefs for appellant	10 00
ng briefs for appellee	5 00
ng opinion,	25
ing and entering judgment	1 25
ng petition for a rehearing,	- --
ng and entering order on petition for a rehearing	- --
ing mandate to District Court,	5 00
ng receipt for mandate,	25
ng receipt for balance of deposit,	25
rney's docket fee,	20 00
	<u>55 70</u>

Attest:
 ALBERT TREGO
 Clerk U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals,
 Tenth Circuit.

RECORDED: Filed Feb 12 1934
 H. P. Warfield, clerk
 U. S. District Court

 Court adjourned until February 14, 1934.

On this 14th day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to its adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
 C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
 John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and certified, to-wit:

MELLANEOUS - ADMISSION TO THE BAR.

On this 14th day of February, A. D. 1934, it being made satisfactorily to appear
; C. D. Cund, Lee G. Gill, W. M. Fleetwood, Jr., and Geo. M. Otey, are qualified for admission
the Bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorneys are
lared admitted to the Bar of the Court.

Court adjourned until February 15, 1934.

On this 15th day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United
tes for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met
suant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and
ered, to-wit:

TE OF OKLAHOMA, EX REL, ETC., Plaintiffs,)
)
-vs-) No. 1699 - Law. ✓
)
RICAN SURETY CO. OF NEW YORK, Defendant.)

Now n this 15th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that
ion to Plaintiff to remand be, and it is hereby sustained and it is ordered that said case be
anded to the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma.

HEB SIMON, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 1951 - Law. ✓
)
YORK LIFE INSURANCE CO. A CORPI, Defendant.)

Now on this 15th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that
intiff be given ten (10) additional days in which to file amended petition herein.

Court adjourned until February 19, 1934.

On this 19th day of February, 1934, the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

DEILANEUS - ADMISSION TO BAR.

Now on this 19th day of February, A. D. 1934, it appearing to the Court that Travis I. Milsten inadvertently failed to sign the Attorney's Roll on April 1, 1925, it is now ordered by the Court that said Travis I. Milsten be now permitted to sign said Roll as of that date, the date of taking oath.

And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that David R. Milsten inadvertently failed to sign the Attorney's Roll on July 26, 1930, it is now Ordered by the Court that said David R. Milsten be now permitted to sign said Roll as of that date, the date of taking oath.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

IN RE: REGULAR JANUARY 1934, U. S. GRAND JURY.

O R D E R

And now on this 19th day of February A. D., 1934, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the Regular January A. D. 1934 Term of said Court, sitting at Tulsa, Oklahoma, there comes on for hearing the motion of the United States Attorney, showing to the Court that the Grand Jury, sitting in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, concluded its labors on the 8th day of February, A. D. 1934, said session of the Grand Jury before the Regular January A. D. 1934, Term of said Court, and submitted to this Honorable Court a report, and among other things, reported to this Honorable Court that it has returned a "BILL" against the following named person, to-wit:

JENKS L. RAMSEY.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the abovenamed defendant or person who is in custody be released and discharged, and that said defendant and his bail be discharged, and the United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma is hereby ORDERED and directed to execute this order in accordance with its terms.

C. E. BAILEY United States Attorney.
ORDERED: Filed Feb 19 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. Judge.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause, according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said seal notwithstanding.

WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES Chief Justice of the United States, 15th day of February, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-four.

TS OF Appellees:
erk,
inting Record
torney
\$20.00
\$20.00

ALBERT TREGO
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court
of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

FILED: Filed Feb 19 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

CURTIS CALVIN HODGE, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1765 - Law.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 19th day of February, A. D. 1934, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. All witnesses are sworn in open court opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with following witnesses: Curtis Hodge, H. S. Langston, B. F. Vaughn, O. C. Coppedge. And thereafter, the defendant moves for judgment herein, upon the pleadings, which motion is, by the court, overruled. Thereafter, the Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: R. E. Hodge, Dr. C. E. Bates, C. W. Roberts, S. R. Langston. And thereafter, the defendant rests. And thereafter, Defendant moves for judgment herein. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said case be taken under advisement.

L. BRUCE, ET AL, Plaintiffs,)
-vs-) No. 1830 - Law.
THE INDEMNITY COMPANY, Defendant.)

On this 19th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that Defendants herein granted fifteen (15) days additional time to answer herein.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. DEW, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1855 Law.
SOUTHWEST BOX COMPANY, a corporation, Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING PLAINTIFF'S TIME TO PLEAD

Now on this 19th day of February, 1934, same being one of the term days of the regular January, 1934 Term of this Court, for good cause shown, the plaintiff is hereby given and

anted fifteen (15) days additional time, to-wit: fifteen (15) days from the 20th day of February, 1934, to comply with the order of court of February 5th, 1934, by attaching copies of alleged infringing label to his petition or to file an amended petition in compliance with said order

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E.

DORSED: Filed Feb 19 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

R. Hartzog, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1882 Law ✓
ion Transportation Company, a corporation, Defendant.)

O R D E R

An application having been made by the plaintiff in this case asking that the depositions of E. B. Jones and Mrs. Jewell Jones which are now on file in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma be removed to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma for the purpose of completing the record in said case, and the court being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby ordered that the said defendants remove the said depositions from the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

F. E. KENNAMER
Federal Judge.

HUDSON & HUDSON
Attys for Defts.

DORSED: Filed Feb 19 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until February 21, 1934.

On this 21st day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ADMISSION TO BAR.

On this 21st day of February, A. D. 1934, it being made satisfactorily to appear that P. W. Holtzendorff, is qualified for admission to the bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorney is declared admitted to the bar of the Court.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER FOR PETIT JURY.

On this 21st day of February A. D. 1934, it is Ordered by the Court that there be a list to be drawn by the Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, or one of his deputies, in the presence of the Clerk, or one of his Deputies, in accordance with the law and the rules of the Court, the names of forty (40) persons, good and lawful men, from said District, duly qualified to serve as Petit Jurors at the Special March 1934 Term of this Court to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

It is further Ordered by the Court that a writ of Venire Facias be issued out of the Court, in due form as provided by law, commanding the said Marshal to summon by Registered Return said Petit Jurors, drawn, as aforesaid, to be and appear before said Court, to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, on Monday, the 5th day of March A. D. 1934, at 9 o'clock A.M., then and there to serve as Petit Jurors of the United States in and for the Northern District at the Special March 1934 Term of said Court.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge

RECORDED: Filed Feb 21 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER OF REMOVAL.

Now on this 21st day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that Russell Downing alias Russell Frazier be, and he is ordered removed from the Northern District of Oklahoma to the Western District of Oklahoma.

G. HUGHES, Receiver, 1st NATIONAL BANK OF BRISTOW, OKLA.,	Plaintiff,)	
)	No. 1712 - Law.
-vs-)	
EVE BOUCHER, ET AL,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 21st day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that judgment for Plaintiff be entered as per journal entry. It is further ordered that notes be cancelled and merged in judgment.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

M. F. WILKINSON, SUCCESSOR TO L. R. KERSHAW RECEIVER OF THE PRODUCERS NATIONAL BANK TULSA, OKLAHOMA, A NATIONAL BANKING ASSOCIATION,	Plaintiff,)	
)	No. 1713 Law
vs.)	
WILEY TROPE, ET AL.,	Defendants.)	

O R D E R

Now on this 21st day of February, 1934, the above entitled cause came on regularly for consideration by the court upon the stipulation of the parties filed herein, and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds:

That said stipulation should be approved, and said cause should be stricken from the trial assignment for February 21, 1934; that the plaintiff should be and hereby is given leave to file instant a motion for judgment on the pleadings, and that said motion should be sustained.

That after the filing of said stipulation and the approval thereof, containing waivers of the defendants therein set forth, the defendants have requested that the entering of judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants be withheld, and the court finds that the entering of such judgment should be withheld pending the final determination of case No. 1716 Law in this court now on appeal from a judgment entered therein in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in said cause, for the reason that the only defenses reserved by the defendants in this cause are identical with those alleged and attempted to be asserted by the defendant in said cause No. 1716 Law in this court.

IT IS THEREFORE ordered by the court that the plaintiff be and he hereby is permitted to file instant his motion for judgment on the pleadings, which has been done, and that said motion be and the same hereby is sustained as to each of the defendants herein, and it is further ordered that the entering of judgment against the defendants and in favor of the plaintiff be withheld pending and until after the appeal now being prosecuted by the defendant Roy Hays in said cause No. 1716 Law appealed from this court.

GEO. B. SCHWABE Attorney for Plaintiff	F. E. KENNAMER Judge
JEROME FISCHER Attorney for Defendants.	

FORSEED: Filed Feb 21 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until February 23, 1934.

On this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

SCCELLANEOUS - ADMISSION TO BAR. ✓

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, it being made satisfactorily to appear that Theodore F. Duke is qualified for admission to the Bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorney is declared admitted to the Bar of the Court.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7160 - Criminal. ✓
)	
FRANK DAVIS and BOB CARLTON,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed on defendant Frank Davis, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:
Three (3) years.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7322 - Criminal. ✓
)	
GEORGE TRAMMELL, ELLIS BADGWELL, and MATHA WHINERY, nee BADGWELL,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendants Ellis Badgwell and George Trammell appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty to the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that each defendant be sentenced as follows:

GEORGE TRAMMELL

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:
Five (5) years.

It is further ordered that said defendant be placed on probation for a period of five years, during good behavior.

7322 Cr. Cont'd.

ELLIS BADGWELL

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Five (5) years.

It is further ordered by the Court that said Defendant be placed on probation, during good behavior, for a period of five years.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7537 - Criminal. ✓
)	
RAY KING,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on said defendant as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary for a period of:

Count One (1) - Two (2) years and a fine of \$100.00 placed on execution.

It is further ordered that Count Two (2) be dismissed.

It is further ordered by the Court that said Defendant be placed on probation for a period of two (2) years, or during good behavior.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7601 - Criminal. ✓
)	
L. E. PAYNE,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days, and a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on execution.

Said sentence of confinement in this case shall run concurrent to the sentence in Criminal Case No. 7720.

ULAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 1934

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7682 - Criminal. ✓
)	
ALBERT ADAMS,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Albert Adams, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:
Sixty (60) days, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars and in default thereof stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7685 - Criminal. ✓
)	
CHARLES R. CROSS, alias CHARLES JAMES,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Charles R. Cross alias Charles James appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned, pleads true name to be Charles R. Cross and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Reformatory, for a period of:
Two (2) years.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7686 - Criminal. ✓
)	
PEARL A. CARROLL,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Pearl A. Carroll appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:
Twelve (12) months, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars. Said fine placed on execution.

It is further ordered by the Court that said Defendant be probated for a period of five months, or during good behavior.

7691 Cr. Cont'd.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Four (4) months, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 7696 - Criminal. ✓
)
CHRIS SCOTT,	Defendant.)

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Chris Scott appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Six (6) months and a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on execution.

It is further ordered by the Court that said sentence be suspended for a period of months, during good behavior.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 7700 - Criminal. ✓
)
MONROE YOUNGER,	Defendant.)

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Monroe Younger appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Six (6) months, and a fine of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars on execution.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 7701 - Criminal. ✓
)
ARTHUR ALRED,	Defendant.)

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Arthur Alred, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred for a period of (6) months during good behavior.

ULAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 1934

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 7708 - Criminal. ✓
FLOYD COFFER,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Floyd Coffe, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:
Sixty (60) days, and a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on execution.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 7710 - Criminal. ✓
FLOYD H. HIGGINS,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Floyd H. Higgins, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Count One - Five (5) years
 Count Two - Five (5) years
 Count Three - Five (5) years. Said sentence of confinement in Counts
 Two and Three shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 7712 - Criminal. ✓
HOMER JONES, RACHEL NORTHUP and LORENE DAUGHTERY,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein and the defendants Homer Jones, Rachel Northup and Lorene Daughtery appearing in person. Now at this time Defendant Homer Jones enters a plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. And thereafter, Defendants Rachel Northup and Lorene Daughtery enter a plea of Nolo Contendere, which pleas are accepted by the Court, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, jury is waived and statements submitted. And thereafter, after being fully advised and considering the evidence herein, it is ordered by the Court that said Defendants be adjudged guilty.

Now at this time it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on Homer Jones as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:
 Ninety (90) days, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars and in default thereof, stand committed until

7712 Cr. Cont'd.

said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence of Defendants Rachel Northup and
ne Daugherty be deferred for a period of twelve (12) months, during good behavior.

ED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No.7713 - Criminal. ✓
)	
. LAWRENCE,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, re
senting the Government herein and the Defendant R. L. Lawrence appearing in person. The de
ant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed
in. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his
authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:
Six (6) months, and a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on execu-
tion.

It is further ordered that said Defendant be placed on probation for the term of
(1) year during good behavior.

ED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7714 - Criminal. ✓
)	
. LAWRENCE,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, re
senting the Government herein and the defendant D. G. Lawrence appearing in person. The de-
ant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed
in. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his
authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary for a
period of:
Two (2) years, and a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on execution.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ed States of America,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 7736 - Criminal.
)
arter alias Whitey Carter,	Defendant.)

O R D E R

Now on this 26th day of February, 1934, the same being one of the regular judicial of the Regular January A. D. 1934 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court at the application of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, for an order of court disposing of the 1926 Model Packard Club Sedan automobile, Motor No. 85145-A, seized by George F. Carver, Investigator and Deputy Collector of the Internal Revenue Department from the above named defendant on or about the 5th day of February, 1934, in the unlawful transportation of seven pints of whiskey, and it appearing to the court that the said defendant N. Carter was arraigned and entered his plea in the above numbered case in this court on February 19, 1934, and was duly sentenced by the court, and it further appearing to the court that said automobile is now in the custody of the Investigator in Charge of the Alcoholic Beverage Unit at Oklahoma City, and is stored at the Central Garage in the city of Tulsa, Oklahoma,

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Investigator in Charge of the Alcoholic Beverage Unit at Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered and directed to deliver the above described automobile to Pearl Carter upon the demand by the said Pearl Carter of the storage charges due against said automobile.

CHESTER A. BREWER
Assistant U. S. Attorney.

F. E. KENNAUER
JUDGE.

RECORDED: Filed Feb 26 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

ED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 7739 - Criminal.
)
L. BRIM,	Defendant.)

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant L. M. Brim appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed here- Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Two (2) years, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars and in default thereof stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

7746 Cr. Cont'd.

Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., comes the Defendant Mrs. Robert Jackson and enters her plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. And thereafter, is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count One - Twelve (12) months, and a fine of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars on execution.

Count Two - Twelve (12) months, and a fine of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars on execution.

Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

It is further ordered by the Court that said Defendant be probated for a period twelve (12) months, during good behavior.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7748 - Criminal.
)	
W. ANDREW COMBS,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Jack Andrew Combs, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Count One - Four (4) years,

Count Two - Four (4) years. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7749 - Criminal.
)	
RUSSELL OFFICER,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Russell Officer appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred for a period one year during good behavior.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7758 - Criminal. ✓
)	
JOHN SANDERS and ROBERT CLINE,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 23rd day of February, A. D. 1934, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendants John Sanders and Robert Cline appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: John Sanders enters plea of guilty; Robert Cline enters a plea of not guilty; all as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on Defendant John Sanders as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:
 Count 1- Six (6) months, and a fine of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars on Execution.
 Count 2- Six (6) months, and a fine of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars on Execution.
 Count 3- Six (6) months, and a fine of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars on execution.
 Count 4- Six (6) months, and a fine of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars on Execution.
 Said sentences of confinement in Counts 2, 3 and 4 to run concurrent with sentence imposed in Count 1.

It is further ordered by the Court that Defendant John Sanders be probated for a period of six (6) months, during good behavior.

 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

C. CONNELLY,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 1885 - Law ✓
)	
DEWEY EXPRESS COMPANY, a corporation,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Now on this 23 day of February, 1934, there comes on for consideration the application of the plaintiff in the above styled and numbered case for leave to file his amended petition and to make the United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company, a corporation, an additional party defendant, and after being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, the court finds that said application should be granted.

IT IS ORDERED that the plaintiff be and is hereby permitted to file an amended petition as set forth in the application filed herein and the clerk of the District Court of the United States within and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, is hereby authorized and directed to issue proper summons to the United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company, a corporation, upon giving of proper praecipe by the plaintiff herein.

FILED: Filed Feb 23 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

F. E. KENNAMER
 Judge.

 Court adjourned until February 24, 1934.

On this 24th day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kenamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

CELLANEOUS - ORDER FOR DESTRUCTION OF LIQUOR.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ORDER TO DESTROY SEIZURES

Now on this 8th day of Feb., 1934, it appearing to the Court that proper disposition has been made of the cases hereinafter listed and that said cases have been closed; and it then appearing to the Court that quantities of whiskey and other materials were seized in each said cases and are now being held by Robert S. Krause, Acting Investigator in Charge, Alcohol-Beverage Unit, Division of Investigation, for the District of Oklahoma and Arkansas, the Northern District of Oklahoma comprising a part thereof;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the aforesaid Acting Investigator in Charge, Alcohol-Beverage Unit, destroy the seizures hereinafter listed in each of the following cases, except the fruit jars in which said liquors are contained, which are authorized delivered to the National Prohibition Administration at Tulsa, Oklahoma:

Walt Willis, 906 East 9th, Tulsa, Oklahoma 1-25-32 - $\frac{1}{2}$ pint whiskey	O.N. 1298
Ed James, Shidler, Oklahoma 1-22-32 - $1\frac{1}{2}$ pints whiskey	O.N. 1274
Janie Gardner, Camp Carter, Oklahoma 1-22-32 and 2-3-32 - $1\frac{1}{2}$ pints whiskey	O.N. 1276
Edith Stokes, Denoya, Oklahoma 1-22-32 - $\frac{1}{2}$ pint whiskey	
John Doe, Bartlesville, Oklahoma 12-7-32 - $\frac{1}{2}$ gallon whiskey	
Joe Kenney, Bartlesville, Oklahoma 12-7-32 - $\frac{1}{2}$ gallon whiskey	
Johnie Carson, Mannford, Oklahoma 9-6-32 - 2 pints whiskey	
Brady & West, Sapulpa, Oklahoma 2-25-32 - 1 quart whiskey	
Unknown - W.W., Tulsa, Oklahoma 11-14-32 - 1 pint whiskey	
Mrs. Crawford, Tulsa, Oklahoma 1-8-32 - 1 pint whiskey	

FEBRUARY 24, 1934 TERM-

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 1934

Marie Rose, Sapulpa, Oklahoma
8-31-32 - 1 pint whiskey O.N. 1091

Jay and Charles Mines, Tulsa, Oklahoma
9-8-32 - 1 pint whiskey

W. M. Duke, Tulsa, Oklahoma
9-8-32 - 1 pint whiskey

Orlie Hoover, Sapulpa, Oklahoma
2-25-33 - 1 quart whiskey O.N. 1356

Unknown W. M., Nowata, Oklahoma
8-23-32 - 1 pint whiskey

"Brownie" address unknown
9-2-32 - 1 quart whiskey

Clarence -----, Tulsa, Oklahoma
11-11-31 - $\frac{1}{2}$ gallon whiskey

Neal Johnson and Norval Hogard, Sapulpa and Kiefer, Oklahoma,
7-21 and 7-22-33 - 1 shot gun, double barrel O.N. 1451

Virgil L. Oliver and Jewel Oliver, 1328 East 33rd Place,
Tulsa, Oklahoma. O.N. 1483
10-7-33 - 27 gallons whiskey, 12 quarts Gilbey's
London Dry Gin, 1 gallon Bacardi Rum, 1 Gallon,
1 pint alcohol, 2 bottles Picadilly Club London Gin,
10 bottles Bacardi Rum, 1 pint whiskey in two plain
bottles, $3\frac{1}{2}$ -pint bottles containing a small quantity
of alcohol, except half pint sampe of each kind of
liquor.

C. E. BAILEY
United States Attorney

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E

RSED: Filed Feb 24 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until February 26, 1934.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. CLEAVES,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 1745 Law ✓
)
W. T. FUNK,	Defendant.)

ORDER ENLARGING TIME TO FILE TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD AND FOR RETURN OF CITATION AND APPEAL IN THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT.

Upon application of the plaintiff for enlargement of time of the return day and citation and appeal in this cause, and for enlargement of the time in which to docket said case file the record thereof with the clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and upon due consideration of said application by the court, and it appearing to the court that such an enlargement of time is necessary to complete the making up and printing of record in said case under the supervision of the clerk of this court, and it further appearing that the time heretofore allowed in the citation has not yet expired, and that it is proper that such extension and enlargement of time to be granted;

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COURT CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the time heretofore allowed for the return of said citation and appeal and for docketing said cause and filing the transcript of record thereof with the clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, be and the same is hereby enlarged to and including the 20th day of March, 1934.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 26th day of February, 1934.

RECORDED: Filed Feb 26 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

W. E. BALCH, ET AL,	Plaintiffs,)
)
-vs-) No. 1928 - Law. ✓
)
STORS ROYALTY CO. INC. ET AL,	Defendants.)

Now on this 26th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiffs to transfer the above cause to the Equity docket be, and it is hereby, sustained and said case is ordered transferred to the Equity side of the docket.

RECORDED AND INDEXED FEBRUARY 27, 1934

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

LEE BALCH, et al.,	Plaintiffs)
vs.)
) No. 1928 Law
)
VESTORS ROYALTY COMPANY, INC., et al.,	Defendants.)

O R D E R

THIS CAUSE COMING on to be heard on this the 26th day of February, 1934, on the motion of Plaintiff to transfer said cause from the law side to the equity side of said Court, and after hearing counsel, and it appearing to the Court that this case is erroneously set and docketed by the Clerk of this Court on the law side of the docket;

IT IS NOW ORDERED that said cause be set on the equity side of said docket, being docket number 947, for the reason that the relief therein prayed for is wholly equitable.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

DORSED: Filed Mar 14 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until February 27, 1934.

On this 27th day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
- vs -) No. 7758 - Criminal.
)
JOHN SANDERS and ROBERT CLINE,	Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence heretofore imposed on defendant John Sanders be now set aside and modified and read as follows: That a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars be imposed on each Count in lieu of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars, it being called to the attention of the Court that the minimum fine on each Count be fixed in the amount of \$100.00.

REGULAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 1934

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ET AL,	Plaintiffs,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1676 - Law.
)	
OKLAHOMA NATURAL GAS CORP. ET AL.,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 27th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be granted leave to amend petition by interlineation herein. And thereafter, motion of Defendants to make definite and certain and to strike be, and they are hereby overruled and motion allowed. It is further ordered by the Court that said Demurrer be taken under advisement. Defendant given three (3) days to file brief. Plaintiff given One (1) days to file answer brief.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, EX REL,	Plaintiffs,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1954 Law.
)	
OKLAHOMA NATURAL GAS CO. ET AL,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 27th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be granted leave to amend petition by interlineation herein. And thereafter, motion of Defendants to make more definite and certain and to strike is, by the Court, overruled and motion allowed. It is further ordered by the Court that said Demurrer be taken under advisement. Defendant given three (3) days to file brief. Plaintiff given One (1) day to file answer brief.

Court adjourned until February 28, 1934.

REGULAR JANUARY 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 1934

On this 28th day of February, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
 C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
 John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

MAYES SMITH,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1689 - Law.
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 28th day of February, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiff for new trial be, and it is hereby, taken under advisement.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

State of Oklahoma, ex rel., W. J. Barnett,)
Commissioner, Plaintiff,)
vs.) NO. 1699 LAW.
American Surety Company of New York, a)
corporation, Defendant.)

O R D E R

The American Surety Company of New York, defendant herein, having filed herein petition for reargument on the motion to remand, and the court being doubtful as to whether motion to remand should be sustained or denied, and desiring to hear further argument on the questions of law involved in said motion to remand, it is hereby ORDERED that said re-argument be held and the motion to remand is hereby set down for further argument (hearing) on the 28th of February, 1934.

Done this 28th day of February, 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

RECORDED: Filed Feb 28 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Pearl B. Jackson, Plaintiff,)
Vs.) No. 1700 Law.
Texas Company, a corporation, Defendant.)

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL

On reading the petition of Pearl B. Jackson for an appeal from the final judgment of this court herein in favor of defendant and against plaintiff, entered December 5, 1933, dismissing plaintiff's petition, and on consideration of the assignment of errors filed therewith,

It is ordered that the appeal herein be allowed as prayed for, and that plaintiff, Pearl B. Jackson, is allowed an appeal from the judgment rendered herein in favor of The Texas Company, defendant, and against plaintiff, on December 5, 1933, sustaining defendant's motion to dismiss or demur and dismissing plaintiff's petition, that citation issue to the defendant, Texas Company, a corporation, as provided by law, that plaintiff's bond on appeal be and the same is fixed at \$100.00 and that a certified transcript of the record and all proceedings be transmitted to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

Done in open court this 28 day of February, 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
DISTRICT JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Feb 28 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until March 1, 1934.

On this 1st day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

EXCERPTS - ORDER APPOINTING JUDGES.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA - TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

In my judgment the public interests require the designation and appointment of a member of this Circuit to hold and assist in holding the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, in place or in aid of the District Judge of that District:

I do, therefore, by these presents designate and appoint the Honorable T. Blake Bradley, United States District Judge for the District of Wyoming, to hold or assist in holding the District Court of the United States in and for the several divisions of the Northern District of Oklahoma, at the times and places appointed by law for holding said Court, from March 1, 1934, to July 1, 1934, inclusive, in place or in aid of the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, United States District Judge for the said Northern District of Oklahoma.

Witness my hand this 27th day of February, A. D. 1934.

ROBT E. LEWIS
Senior Circuit Judge

Case file--See Sec. 17, Title 28, U. S. Code)

RECORDED: Filed Mar 1 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

In the Matter of the Appointment of United States
Commissioner, at Bartlesville, Oklahoma.

Now on this the 1st day of March, 1934, the term of office as United States Commissioner at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, having expired, and it appearing to the Court that the appointment of a United States Commissioner at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, is advisable because of the vast amount of business in this section of said Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, and Frank M. Overlees possesses the requisite integrity, ability and qualifications for the discharge of the duties of said office, as provided by law.

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, that said Frank M. Overlees, do, and he is hereby appointed United States Commissioner at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, for the term of four (4) years commencing with this the 1st day of March, A. D. 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge, United States District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 1 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

ED STATES OF AMERICA,)
SS
NERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.)

I, Frank M. Overlees, do solemnly swear that I will administer justice without
sect to person, and do equal right to the rich and to the poor, and that I will faithfully
impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as a United States Com-
sioner, agreeable to the Constitution and Laws of the United States.

I further solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the
ed States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true allegiance to the
; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation and purpose of evasion;
I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to en-
SO HELP ME GOD.

F. M. OVERLEES

scribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of March, A. D. 1934.

RECEIVED: Filed Mar 1 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

OF TULSA, a municipal corporation, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) NO. 1293 - Law. ✓
WESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY,)
orporation, Defendants.)

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL

The petition of the City of Tulsa, Plaintiff in the above styled and numbered cau-
for appeal from the judgment of this court heretofore rendered on January 11, 1934, and filed
entered herein, to the United States Circuit of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, is hereby
ted, and that said appeal is allowed upon condition of the filing of the cost bond on appeal
he sum of \$200.00. The petitioner having forthwith filed the bond condition as required by
the same is now approved by the court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certified copy of the record, assignments of error
proceedings upon which the judgment herein was rendered be transmitted to the United States
uit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

DATED this 1st day of March, 1934.

RECEIVED: Filed Mar 1 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

F. E. KENNAMER
JJUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Court adjourned until March 2, 1934.

On this 2nd day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

TED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 6277 - Criminal. ✓
VER D. BEARD, Defendant.)

Now on this 2nd day of March, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that period of probation of the above defendant be, and it is hereby, extended to March 1, 1935.

Court adjourned until March 3, 1934.

On this 3rd day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

TED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
vs.) Miscellaneous.-Criminal. ✓
IS BROWNING, Defendant.)

Now on this 3rd day of March, A. D. 1934, there comes on for hearing application for removal of Ennis Browning from the Northern District of Oklahoma to the Eastern District of Oklahoma and the intervention of the State of Kansas. Thereupon, the following witnesses are sworn and examined by Kansas Officers: Richard A. Floyd, L. L. McHolm, E. E. Hespe and J. A. [son]. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said hearing be continued to March 6, 1934. Defendant to remain in custody.

On this 5th day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment of the Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa. Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judge, present presiding.

M. M. Ewing, Chief Deputy Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
A. R. Cottle, Chief Deputy United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

On this 5th day of March, A. D. 1934, it is ORDERED by the Court, that there be publicly drawn by the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, or one of his deputies, in presence of the Clerk, or one of his deputies, in accordance with law and the rules of this Court, the names of Twenty-five (25) persons, good and lawful men, from said District, duly qualified to serve as Petit Jurors at the Special March 1934 Term of this Court, to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

It is further ordered by the Court that a writ of Venire facias be issued out of said Court, in due form as provided by law, commanding the Marshal to summon by telephoning said Jurors drawn as aforesaid to be and appear before said Court to be held in Tulsa, Oklahoma, the Northern District of Oklahoma, on Wednesday, March 7th, A. D. 1934, at 9 o'clock A.M., and there to serve as Petit Jurors of the United States in and for said District at the Special March 1934 Term of said Court.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY
U. S. District Judge

RECORDED: Filed Mar 5 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

CELLANEOUS - ORDER EMPANELING PETIT JURY.

On this 5th day of March, A. D. 1934, comes the Marshal and makes return on the Venire facias heretofore issued out of this court for Petit Jurors for this Special March 1934 Term of this Court, at Tulsa, Oklahoma. Thereupon, the Clerk calls the names of the Jurors so summoned, as follows:

H. J. Allison	Ed Lunday
J. E. Bruin	M. O. Mason
M. H. Collier	Clyde McCown
John Vincent	R. R. Gillette
Sam Gwartney	D. J. Holland
Russell Doss	Joe H. Britten
E. W. Purdy	F. S. Miller
Fred H. Murdock	Harry Buzan
W. D. Tharel	J. G. Mitchell
Walter Sheldon	Lesslie R. Newmark
John Lawson	Frank Shufeldt
Clint Evans	Edward Phelps
J. E. Hillery	Orville Ramsey
I. N. Fornell	R. L. Huggins
E. A. Graves	Claud Simmons
J. H. Edmundson	Joe Peters

J. Leahy, an attorney of Pawhuska, Oklahoma, as his counsel for the trial of said cause, and on Saturday, March 3rd, the said Leahy died; that previous to the death of said Leahy his partners had notified the Judge assigned for the trial of said cause of the serious illness of Leahy and to the effect that he would not be able to proceed with the trial on the date heretofore set, to-wit: March 5, 1934, 10 o'clock in the forenoon; that the defendant Mason had not been advised by the law partners of Leahy that they would not be able to undertake the defense until sometime on Sunday, March 4, 1934; that thereupon he immediately proceeded to secure other counsel and retained Horace G. McKeever as counsel for said cause; that said McKeever was not familiar with said cause and was not in a position to enter upon a trial of the same and do justice to the rights of the defendant in the premises on short notice; that the trial Judge thereupon recited receiving notice by wire of the serious illness of Leahy on February 26, 1934, at Denver, Colorado, and on February 27th, wired the law partners of Leahy they would be expected to conduct the defense of the defendant at the trial unless said defendant elected to retain other counsel, and further advising that the case would proceed to trial on March 5th, in accordance with the original order of setting; that the trial Judge thereupon observed that there had been many continuances in said cause before three or four different Judges who had previously been assigned for the trial of the same; that the government had already been put to great expense on account of bringing witnesses from different parts of the country to testify at said trial; that there are already retained in said cause eight or ten counsel for other defendants with whom the defendant, Mason, had been jointly indicted, who would under the circumstances be an aid to an attorney for the defendant, Mason, in conducting the trial in his behalf; that the personal engagements of the trial Judge who was the fourth in line to sit in said cause were such that a continuance for a long period of time would make it impossible for the present presiding Judge to hear the trial of said cause; and that the indictment in which the defendant is charged was returned on June 20, 1932, and the trial had already been delayed by a number of continuances at the instance of the defendant, Mason.

Thereupon, a continuance of thirty days prayed for was overruled and denied, but was sustained to the extent that a continuance was granted in the trial of said cause until March 7th, 1934, until 9:30 o'clock A.M., and it is hereby ORDERED that the said cause be continued until said time, and is hereby set for trial on March 7th, 1934, 9:30 o'clock A.M., to the ruling of the Court the defendant, Mason, excepted and his exception was allowed.

And thereafter, it is further ordered by the Court that motion of Defendant Mason for severance be and it is hereby, overruled and exception allowed. It is further ordered that demurrer of Defendant George Whitcomb be and it is hereby, overruled. Exception allowed. It is further ordered that demurrer of Defendant T. B. Cornell be and it is hereby overruled, exception allowed. It is further ordered that Motion of Defendant Whitcomb for continuance be and it is hereby, overruled and exception allowed. And thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that motion of T. B. Cornell be continued to March 6, 1934 for further hearing. And thereafter, it is further ordered that motions of Defendants A. E. Kessler and David Manesse be, and they are hereby, overruled and exception allowed. It is further ordered that demurrer of Cornish Beck be and it is hereby, overruled and exception allowed.

And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that Defendants A. E. Kessler, David Manesse, Cornish Beck, George Whitcomb and T. B. Cornell be permitted to withdraw their former plea of not guilty for the purpose of filing the above ruled on demurrers. And thereafter, after overruling of said demurrers, said defendants again enter their former plea of not guilty.

And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said case be continued to March 1934, for trial.

Now on this 5th day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular March 1934 Term at Vinita, met pursuant the adjournment of the Regular January 1934 Term at Tulsa. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, sent and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

THE HONORABLE FRANKLIN E. KENNAMER, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District Oklahoma.

In accordance with the law, I hereby respectfully report the condition of the Registry Fund of this Court, at the close of business March 3rd, 1934.

Balance in the First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma, at the close of business November 4th, 1933.	\$55,116.69
---	-------------

Received, since November 4th, 1933

Nov. 7, 1933 Paul A. McNeal,	2,500.00
Dec. 13, 1933 New York Life Insurance Co.	9,736.41
Dec. 13, 1933 New York Life Insurance Co.	14,632.75
Dec. 23, 1933 Mead Oil Company,	300.00
Jan. 13, 1934 Collis P. Chandler, Receiver	901.97
Feb. 9, 1934 John P. Logan, U. S. Marshal,	3.80
Total.....	\$83,191.62

Disbused, since November 4th, 1933

Nov. 9, 1933 Ike Smith	\$ 5.94
Nov. 9, 1933 H. P. Warfield, Clerk	.06
Nov. 28, 1933 P. A. McNeal,	2,475.90
Nov. 28, 1933 H. P. Warfield, Clerk	25.00
Jan. 25 1934 J. A. Presbury, et al.,	125.00
Jan. 25, 1934 J. A. Presbury, met al.,	10.00
Jan. 25, 1934 Clerk, District Court Osage County,	5.55
Jan. 25, 1934 H. P. Warfield, Clerk	27.10
Jan. 25, 1934 Mead Oil Company,	132.35
Jan. 25, 1934 Collis P. Chandler	562.18
Jan. 26, 1934 H. P. Warfield, Clerk	5.62
Feb. 9, 1934 Mona P. Dickens,	9.12
Feb. 9, 1934 H. P. Warfield, Clerk	.09

Balance in Cash Book and in the First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma, at the close of business March 3rd, 1934	79,808.61
	\$83,191.62

DESEED: Filed in Open Court
Mar 5 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Respectfully,

H. P. WARFIELD
H. P. Warfield, Clerk

ED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7510 - Criminal.
)	
ENCE PUTNAM,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 5th day of March, A. D. 1934, there comes on for hearing application
evoke the parole herein. And thereafter, witnesses are sworn and examined by the Court and
r being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said Defendant be ad-
ed guilty and that judgment and sentence be now imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his
duly authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:
Count Two - Sixty (60) days.

It is further ordered by the Court that Count One be dismissed.

ED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7541 - Criminal.
)	
PUTNAM & DOCK SMITH,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 5th day of March, A. D. 1934, there comes on for hearing application
evoke parole of defendant John Putnam herein. And thereafter, witnesses are sworn and exami
y the Court and after being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that
Defendant be adjudged guilty and that judgment and sentence be now imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his
authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for
a period of:
Count One - Fifteen (15) months, and a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00)
Dollars on execution.

It is further ordered that Counts Two and Three be dismissed.

ED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 7548 - Criminal.
)	
ER SMITH, STARLIN SMITH, JEFF ARMSTRONG)	
RS. MARLE SMITH,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 5th day of March, A. D. 1934, there comes on for hearing on motion
e U. S. Attorney, to have sentence imposed as to defendant Palmer Smith. And thereafter, al
endants are sworn and examined by the Court and after being fully advised in the premises,
ordered by the Court that said defendant be adjudged guilty and that judgment and sen-
e be now imposed as follows:

7548 Cr. Cont'd.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:
Count One - Sixty (60) days.

It is further ordered that Count Two be dismissed.

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER RECESSING COURT.

Now on this 5th day of March, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that the Regular March 1934 Term at Vinita be recessed to the Third Monday in March, 1934, or until further order of the Court.

On this 6th day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. T. Blake Kennedy and Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	Miscellaneous - Criminal.
)	
ENOS BROWNING, and intervention of the	Defendant.)	
State of Kansas.)	

Now on this 6th day of March, A. D. 1934, the above cause comes on for hearing on behalf of the above defendant. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The State of Kansas introduces the following witness: R. Floyd. And thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence and affidavits of the following witnesses: Geo. Williams, Dr. C. R. Williams, W. H. Freeman, J. H. Stykes, Wilson, V. G. Lewis, Webb Fulks, Mrs. V. G. Lewis, H. Forester and Enos Browning. And thereafter the following evidence is offered in rebuttal: Harve Ball, Spalding. And thereafter, after being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said defendant be committed to Clayton Libby, Sheriff of Ness County, Kansas, all as per journal entry to be filed.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 re matter of the removal of ENOS BROWNING to the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

Now on this 6th day of March A. D. 1934, the same being one of the regular days of the Regular January A. D. 1934 Term of said court, at Tulsa, this cause comes on for furthering pursuant to order on the 3rd day of March 1934, herein continuing same until this date, the application of the authorities of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma to answer an indictment therein pending against him, charging violation of the Federal liquor laws and the intervening application for custody of said Enos Browning instituted in by the State authorities of the State of Kansas pursuant to a requisition therefor by the Governor of the State of Kansas, which requisition was honored and approved by the Honorable William H. Murray, Governor of the State of Oklahoma on March 1, 1934, commanding that said Enos Browning, fugitive from justice of said State of Kansas, charged with a crime of intent to kill with a deadly weapon, by apprehending and delivering to Hubert Libby, the agent of the Governor of the State of Kansas, in order that said Enos Browning might be conveyed to the said State of Oklahoma to be tried upon such charge, which intervening application was by the Court permitted and allowed to be filed herein; and all evidence having been submitted, heard and considered by the Court in support of each of the respective applications and in support of the said Enos Browning defendant herein, and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds that the crime of intent to kill with intent to kill with a deadly weapon was committed as alleged, in Ness County, Kansas on February 9, 1934, and that there is probable cause to believe that said Enos Browning participated in such felonious assault as alleged and that he is a fugitive from the State of Oklahoma and that the aforesaid claim of the State of Kansas should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that said ENOS BROWNING be by the United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma delivered to Hubert Libby, the agent of the Governor of the State of Kansas, authorized by warrants of authority duly executed and signed by the Honorable William H. Murray, Governor of the State of Oklahoma, to be conveyed to the State of Kansas, to be tried there for the said crime charged against him, and thereafter to be returned by the Governor of Kansas to the United States District Court in and for the Eastern District of Oklahoma for trial upon the indictment there pending, after the satisfaction of the judgment of the court of the State of Kansas.

JOE W. HOWARD
 Assistant U. S. Attorney

F. E. KENNAMER
 JUDGE

FILED: Filed Mar 6 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court ME

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-)
) No. 6320 - Criminal. ✓
)
 E. H. CURRIER, HERBERT D. MASON, ROBERT)
 CHE, ANDREW J. BARRETT, T. B. CORNELL,)
 E. WHITCOMB, DAVID MANESSE, A. E.)
 W. W. BAKER and CORNISH BECK, Defendants.)

Now on this 6th day of March, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that motion of B. Cornell for continuance herein be, and it is hereby, sustained and said case is ordered to be continued for the term.

Court adjourned until March 7, 1934.

On this 7th day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kemmamer, and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

MELIANEOUS - ORDER EMPANELING PETIT JURY.

On this 7th day of March, A. D. 1934, comes the Marshal and makes return on the venire heretofore issued out of this court for Petit Jurors for this Special March 1934 Term of Court at Tulsa, Oklahoma. Thereupon, the Clerk calls the names of the Jurors so summoned, as follows:

- | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| Leonard Kline | Jack Henderson |
| C. E. Christianson | W. W. Banks |
| W. Lee Johnson | E. A. Streeter |
| W. R. Sapp | Harry R. Carpenter |
| Casey Fine | M. A. DeVinna |
| Talbert Taylor | W. N. Carner |
| H. S. Condon | C. H. Horning |
| A.M. Ballard | Frank Mason |
| K. C. Henley | R. E. Campbell |
| W. O. Buck | Wm. P. Jones |
| Virgil Theis | N. S. Allen |
| E. W. Weeder | George Sayre |

Thos. J. Harrison

Thereupon the Court examines said Jurors as to their qualifications, and for good cause shown

- | | |
|----------------|--------------|
| E. A. Streeter | W. N. Carner |
| E. H. Horning | |

excused from service as Jurors for the term.

And thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that the following names of those who served but not reporting

- | | |
|--------------|--------------------|
| K. C. Henley | Harry R. Carpenter |
|--------------|--------------------|

and they are, hereby stricken from the jury roll.

Thereupon, the balance of said array are accepted as Petit Jurors for this Special March 1934 Term of Court.

RECORDED: Filed In Open Court
Mar 7 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-)
)
 ROBERT H. CURRIER, HERBERT D. MASON, ROBERT S.) No. 6320 - Criminal. ✓
 ROCHE, ANDREW J. BARRETT, T. B. CORNELL, GEORGE)
 WHITCOMB, DAVID MANESSE, A. E. KESSLER and)
 CORNISH BECK,)
 Defendants.)

Now on this 7th day of March, A. D. 1934, the above styled case comes on for trial. Defendant George Currier, at this time, withdraws his former plea of not guilty to all counts and enters his plea of guilty to all counts as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Defendants, Herbert D. Mason, Robert S. Roche, Andrew J. Barrett, George Whitcomb, David Manesse, A. E. Kessler and Cornish Beck present in person and by counsel and announce ready for trial. Government is represented by counsel and announces ready for trial. Thereafter, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. And thereafter, the following jurors are excused by the Court for cause: W. O. Buck, J. H. Edmunson, Harry Buzan and Edward Phelps. Thereafter, the Government challenges W. D. Tharel, M. O. Mason, John Lawson. The Defendants challenge J. E. Perry, C. A. Fellows, T. R. Sapp, George Sayre, E. W. Purdy, C. McCown, J. G. Mitchell, N. S. Brown and Fred H. Murdock. Thereafter, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict rendered as follows: R. P. Gillette, H. J. Allison, W. Lee Johnson, Clint Evans, Walter Sheldon, Frank Eldt, J. H. Vaught, M. H. Collier, T. N. Formell, M. A. DeVinna, Thos. J. Harrison and L. R. Ark. Alternate Jurors duly sworn: W. W. Banks and Leonard Kline. And thereafter, the noon having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 2:00 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 2:00 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Alternate Jurors present and in the box. Opening statements of Government are made. Statements of Herbert D. Mason, Robert S. Roche, Andrew J. Barrett, George Whitcomb, David Manesse and A. E. Kessler and Cornish Beck are made. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the court is admonished and court is recessed to 9:30 o'clock A.M., March 8, 1934.

 IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

City Motor Company,)
 Corporation,)
 Plaintiff,)
) No. 1780 Law. ✓
 vs.)
)
 . T. Corporation, a corporation,)
 Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY

On this 7th day of March, 1934, the above cause comes on for hearing on the demurrer of defendant to the amended petition of the plaintiff and the Court having heard the argument of counsel thereon and being well and sufficiently advised doth overrule said demurrer, to which ruling of the Court defendant excepts and the exception is by the Court allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER
 Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 28 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. DREV, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1855 Law.
SOUTH WEST BOX COMPANY, a corporation,)
Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING PLAINTIFF'S TIME TO PLEAD.

Now on this 7th day of March, 1934, same being one of the term days of the special term, 1934 Term of this court, for good cause shown, the plaintiff is hereby given and granted (10) days further additional time, to-wit: Ten (10) days from this day, March 7, 1934, to comply with the order of court of February 5th, 1934, by attaching copies of alleged infringing labels to his petition or to file an amended petition in compliance with said order.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 7 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

Court adjourned until March 8, 1934.

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 7, 1934 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, MARCH 8, 1934

On this 8th day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

EXHIBIT - ORDER APPROVING ADDITIONAL PER DIEM FOR JOHN R. PEARSON, U. S. COMMR.

The following cases included in the account for the quarter ending February 28, 1934, and which is hereto attached, required more than one per diem. The following is a list of cases.

- Page 2, Case No. 349, U. S. vs. I. V. Mitchell
- Page 5, Case No. 352, U. S. vs. Mrs. Ross Miller
- Page 10, Case No. 357, U. S. vs. Noble Hemphill
- Page 11, Case No. 358, U. S. vs. Burl Smith
- Page 16, Case No. 363, U. S. vs. Homer Jones, et al
- Page 23, Case No. 370, U. S. vs. Lloyd Stout
- Page 24, Case No. 371, U. S. vs. Alex Trammell
- Page 28, Case No. 375, U. S. vs. Clyde White
- Page 29, Case No. 376, U. S. vs. Jack Minett
- Page 32, Case No. 312, U. S. vs. Frank Upshaw

It was impossible to conclude any of the hearings in the above entitled cases in one day, due to the absence of material witnesses for the prosecution.

JOHN R. PEARSON
United States Commissioner
Northern District of Oklahoma

scribed and sworn to before me this 28th day of February, 1934.

Commission expires Jan 17, 1936.
EAL)

LORENA FEATHERSTON
Notary Public

The extra per diem in each of the above listed cases is hereby approved.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District Court for
the Northern District of Oklahoma

RECORDED: Filed Mar 8 1934
H. P. WARFIELD, CLERK
U. S. District Court

ORDER FOR ADDITIONAL PER DIEM FOR F. M. OVERLEES - U. S. COMM.

The following cases for quarter ending February 28th, 1934 required more than one day in order to complete and obtain the necessary evidence.

Docket Page 43, Case number 958, U. S. vs. Morris Green
Docket Page 53, Case number 963, U. S. vs. Otis Bowling

It was impossible to conclude the hearing in the above listed case in one day due to the absence of material witnesses for the prosecution also the right of the defendant to bring in witnesses to be heard.

F. M. OVERLEES
United States Commissioner, for the Northern
District of Oklahoma

read and subscribed to before me Ed Dunaway, a Notary Public, This 1st day of March, 1934.

My commission expires Aug 17 1937

E. S. DUNAWAY
Notary Public

extra per diem in the above mentioned case is hereby approved

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the District Court of the
United States For The Northern District of
Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 8 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

R ALLOWING ADDITIONAL PER DIEM OF N. C. BARRY - U. S. COMMR.

LIST OF CASES IN WHICH AN ADDITIONAL PER DIEM IS CLAIMED

No. 832 The United States vs. W. H. Commons.

Defendant was brought before me at 3.00 P.M. Feb. 14, 1934. He did not waive examination, entered a plea of not guilty and asked for a hearing on a later day. The witnesses the United States could not be produced that day. Geo. W. Walker, Operative, U. S. Secret Service, had returned to Oklahoma City. This witness was to appear at the hearing and produce a photostatic copy of the check alleged to have been forged by defendant. He was not in Miami on Feb. 14 and could not be produced that day. The hearing was therefore continued to a later day.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA: SS

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 21 of the Act of May 28, 1896, 29 Stat. 184 that no more than one per diem shall be allowed a commissioner in a case unless the account shall show that the hearing could not be completed in one day, when one additional per diem may be especially approved and allowed by the Court, the additional per diem claimed in the case herein set forth is hereby specially approved and allowed, it being shown with respect to said case that the hearing could not be completed in one day, except as follows:

F. E. KEMAMER
 DISTRICT JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Mar 8 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

R ALLOWING ADDITIONAL PER DIEM OF W. P. SMITH-U. S. COMMR.

At the Special March, 1934 Term of the District Court of the United States, in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, at the City of Tulsa, on the first day of March, 1934.

Present, the Honorable F. E. Kemamer, Judge.

Among the proceedings had are the following, to-wit:

W. P. Smith, United States Commissioner in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, having presented an account for his official services for the quarter ending February 28, 1934, duly certified and pursuant to the provisions of Section 21 of the Act of May 28, 1896, 29 Stat. 184, that no more than one per diem shall be allowed a Commissioner in a case unless the account shall show that the hearing could not be completed in one day, when one additional per diem may be especially approved and allowed by the court, then additional per diem claimed in the cases herein below listed is hereby especially approved and allowed, it being shown with respect to each of said cases that the hearings could not be completed in one day.

Page #1, Case #17, United States vs. Harry Hicks.

Case was continued from Dec. 7, 1933, to Jan. 4, 1934, on motion of the Government for the reason that Government was not ready for trial.

Page #4, Case #20, United States vs. George Martin and Valley Kuykendall.

Case was continued from Dec. 16, 1933, to Dec. 22, 1933, on motion of the Government to secure the attendance of witnesses.

Page #12, Case #28, United States vs. T. E. Stacy.

Case was continued from Jan. 5, 1934, to Jan. 9, 1934, on motion of the Government to secure the attendance of witnesses.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff)
)
 v.)
) No. 7744 Cr. ✓
 Thomas B. Howell, Jo Howell, Van A.)
)
) son, Bob Lane, A. L. Blackburn, Harold)
) rone, Herman L. Stiles, Sam Worth, Vern)
) der, George Dixon, Angelo Palmasino and)
) ry Christensen, Defendants.)

ORDER OF COURT

Now on this 8th day of March A. D. 1934, the same being a regular day of the Special March A. D. 1934 Term of said court, at Tulsa, this matter comes on before the court upon demurrers filed by the above named defendants, and after hearing arguments relative to such demurrers and being fully advised in the premises, the court finds that the law under which the indictment was brought herein has been repealed and is inoperative and of no effect, and that on such reason the demurrers should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said demurrers be and the same are hereby sustained and this cause is hereby dismissed as to all defendants and their bail exonerated, to which ruling of the court the plaintiff excepts.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY
U. S. District Judge, Specially assigned to
the Northern District of Oklahoma.

FORSEED: Filed Mar 10 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until March 9, 1934.

SPECIAL MARCH 1934 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, MARCH 9, 1934

On this 9th day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judge, present and presiding

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

COLLATERAL - ORDER TO PAY ADDITIONAL PER DIEM TO LUTE H. ALLEN, U. S. COMM.

UNITED STATES vs FRANK JAMES: Continuance taken to subpoena United States witnesses: Dempsey son, Freeman Batt, both of Miami.

Defendant arraigned December 7th continuance taken to December 11th.

No per diem charged December 7th, another hearing that date.

e set for hearing defendant changed plea to "guilty". witnesses did not appear not witness s or mileage.

REAS: Lute H. Allen, a United States Commissioner in and for the Northern District of Okla- a has presented an account for his official duties for the quarter ending February 28th 4, duly certified by oath attached to said account. The United States District Attorney has mitted said account for approval in open court, in so far as more than one per diem is charg- on cases listed therein and now on this ___ day of March, 1934, the same being one of the term s of said court, in pursuant to the instructions of Section 21 of the Act of May 28th, 1896 Stat. 184, with reference to more than one per diem being charged in one day, the Court having nined said report, so presented by the District Attorney finds the additional per diem claim and charged in case above listed should be and hereby is especially approved and allowed, it ng shown in respect to this case that the hearing could not be completed in one day.

F. E. KENNAMER
DISTRICT JUDGE.

ORSED: Filed Mar 9 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

ED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

GEORGE H. CURRIER, HERBERT D. MASON, ROBERT)
ROCHE, ANDREW J. BARRETT, T. B. CORNELL,)
GEORGE WHITCOMB, DAVID MANESSE, A. E. KESSLER)
CORNISH BECK, Defendants.)

No. 6320 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 9th day of March, A. D. 1934, at 9:30 o'clock A.M., the above styled se comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore, Defendants present Person and all fourteen members of the jury present in person and in the box. Thereafter, Government continues with the further introduction of evidence and proof with witness george H. Currier. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and t is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session parties present as heretofore and all fourteen members of the jury present in person and in box. Now at this time, the Defendants cross examine witness George H. Currier. And there- ar, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is adjourned 9:30 o'clock A.M., March 10, 1934.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DENBACH'S, a corporation, Plaintiff,)
vs.) NO. 1977 L ✓
BERMAN & ROSENTHAL, INC., a corporation,)
CONSOLIDATED RETAIL STORES, INC., a cor-)
poration, Defendants.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

IT APPEARING to the Court that the plaintiff has moved and has filed a dismissal said cause, without prejudice,

IT IS ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said cause of action be and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice.

THIS 9 day of March, 1934.

FORWARDED: Filed Mar 9 1934 T. BLAKE KENNEDY
H. P. Warfield, Clerk DISTRICT JUDGE
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until March 10, 1934.

On this 10th day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and recorded, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 6320 - Criminal. ✓
GEORGE H. CURRIER, HERBERT D. MASON, ROBERT S.)
WHEE, ANDREW J. BARNETT, T. B. CORNELL, GEORGE)
WILCOMB, DAVID MANESSE, A. E. KESSLER and)
NISH BECK, Defendants.)

Now on this 10th day of March, A. D. 1934, the above styled cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore and all fourteen jurors present in court and in the box. And thereafter, the Defendants herein continue with their cross examination witness George H. Currier. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury admonished and court is adjourned to 9:30 o'clock A.M., March 12, 1934.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff)	
)	
v.)	
)	
Thomas B. Howell, Jo Howell, Van A. Larson,)	No. 7624 Cr. ✓
Lane, A. L. Blackburn, Harold LaGrone,)	
Walter L. Stiles, Sam Worth, Vern Wilder,)	
George Dixon, Angelo Palmasino and Henry)	
Stensen,	Defendants.)	

ORDER OF COURT

Now on this 9th day of March A. D. 1934, the same being a regular day of the Special March A. D. 1934 Term of said court, at Tulsa, this matter comes on before the court upon demurrers filed by the above named defendants, and after hearing arguments relative to such demurrers and being fully advised in the premises, the court finds that the law under which the indictment was brought herein has been repealed and is inoperative and of no effect, and that for this reason the demurrers should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said demurrers be and the same hereby sustained and this cause is hereby dismissed as to all defendants and their bail exonerated, to which ruling of the court the plaintiff excepts.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY
U. S. District Judge, Specially assigned to
the Northern District of Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 10 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff)	
)	
v.)	
)	
Thomas B. Howell, Jo Howell, Van A. Larson,)	No. 7634 Cr. ✓
Lane, A. L. Blackburn, Harold LaGrone,)	
Walter L. Stiles, Sam Worth, Vern Wilder,)	
George Dixon, Angelo Palmasino and Henry)	
Stensen,	Defendants.)	

ORDER OF COURT

Now on this 8th day of March A. D. 1934, the same being a regular day of the Special March A. D. 1934 Term of said court, at Tulsa, this matter comes on before the court upon demurrers filed by the above named defendants, and after hearing arguments relative to such demurrers and being fully advised in the premises, the court finds that the law under which the indictment was brought herein has been repealed and is inoperative and of no effect, and that for this reason the demurrers should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said demurrers be and the same hereby sustained, and this cause is hereby dismissed as to all defendants and their bail

nerated, to which ruling of the court the plaintiff excepts.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY
U. S. District Judge, Specially assigned to the Northern District of Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 10 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff,)
vs.) 7649 - Criminal. ✓
Etta Bailey, Defendant.)

O R D E R

Now on this 10th day of March, 1934, the same being one of the regular judicial sessions of the Special March A. D. 1934 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court on the application of the defendant Etta Bailey for an order of court permitting her to attend funeral of her son, and it appearing to the court that said defendant is now serving a sentence in the Tulsa County jail heretofore imposed by the court, and the court being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma be and he is hereby authorized to release the above named defendant from jail for a period of five days from this date for purpose of attending the funeral of her son.

C. E. BAILEY
United States Attorney.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 10 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until March 12, 1934.

On this 12th day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March A. D. 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

CELLANEDON'S - RE: FOURTH NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE MASTER OF RELEASING UNITED STATES *
TREASURY BONDS *
TO *
FOURTH NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA *

ORDER DIRECTING UNITED STATES COURT CLERK TO RELEASE UNITED STATES
TREASURY BONDS TO THE FOURTH NATIONAL BANK OF
TULSA, TULSA, OKLAHOMA

It appearing to the Court that The Fourth National Bank of Tulsa has United States usury bonds on deposit with the Clerk of the United States District Court of the Northern District of Oklahoma, to the extent of Forty-five Thousand Dollars for the protection and guarantee United States Bankruptcy Funds deposited with said Bank, and the total funds deposited with bank amounting to Fifteen Thousand Ninety-four and 06/100 (\$15,094.06) Dollars, and said having made application to withdraw United States Treasury Bonds to the extent of Twenty-thousand Dollars (\$20,000.00).

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER OF THE COURT, that the United States Court Clerk deliver forthwith to THE FOURTH NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, United States Treasury Bonds to the extent and value of Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000.00).

DATED this 12 day of March, 1934.

F. E. HERDMAN
Judge of the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 12 1934
H. P. Farfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
)
-vs-) No. 6320 - Criminal. ✓
)
GEORGE H. CURRIER, HERBERT D. MASON, ROBERT S.)
E. ANDREW J. BARRETT, T. B. CORNELL,)
GEORGE WHITCOMB, DAVID MANESSE, A. E. KESSLER and)
JOHN BECK, Defendants.)

Now on this 12th day of March, A. D. 1934, the above styled cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore, the Defendants present, and the fourteen members of the jury present in person and in the box. Thereupon, the Defendants continue with the cross examination of witness George H. Currier. And thereafter, the noon hour having expired, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore. Defendants present in person and the fourteen members of the jury present in person and in the box. Thereafter, the Defendants continue with their cross-examination of witness George H. Currier. And thereafter, the Government continues with their production of evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Mrs. Jno. Garrett, J. C. Adams, W. E. Powell, Clara Hower, D. M. Hower, Emma Deloney, Mrs. Elenor Bisbee, W. E. Seright, J. W. Wick, O. K. Herndon, J. M. Hoyner, L. E. Dunaway, H. E. Phipps, Ralph V. Knudsen. And there-

6320 Cr. Cont'd.

er, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is adjourned to 9 o'clock A.M., March 13, 1934.

Court adjourned to March 13, 1934.

On this 13th day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in special March A. D. 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judge, present presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
) No. 6320 - Criminal. ✓
RALPH H. CURRIER, HERBERT D. MASON, ROBERT S.)
HE, ANDREW J. BARRETT, T. B. CORNELL,)
RICHARD WHITCOMB, DAVID MANESSE, A. E. KESSLER,)
MORNISH BECK, Defendants.)

Now on this 13th day of March, A. D. 1934, the above cause comes on for continuance of trial. Defendants present in person and all fourteen members of the jury are present in person and in the box. Thereupon, the Government continues with the testimony of Ralph F. Knudsen and R. S. Mathews. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. Parties and defendants present in person and all fourteen members of the jury present in person and in the box. Thereupon, the Government continues with the further testimony of R. S. Mathews, Marion Russell, J. A. Savage, Roy Koch, W. B. Laycock. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is adjourned to March 14, 1934.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
SPECIAL MARCH 1934 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, MARCH 13, 1934
SHELL GASOLINE COMPANY, a corporation Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
) No. 1802 - LAW ✓
SHELL PETROLEUM CORPORATION, a corporation Defendant.)

O R D E R

On this 13th day of October, 1933, this matter coming on to be heard upon the application of C. F. Talbot to withdraw as attorney for the plaintiff, and it appearing to the court that said plaintiff has duly consented, in writing, to the same and that plaintiff is represented herein by co-counsel;

IT IS ORDERED that said G. W. Talbot be, and he is hereby, given leave to withdraw as attorney of record for the plaintiff, Victor Gasoline Company.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 13 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

F. E. KENNAMER
 JUDGE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. DUMAS,	PLAINTIFF)	
)	
VS.)	
)	No. 1978 LAW
UNION TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a corporation and)	
J. FRATES AND F. A. BODOVITZ, Receivers.)	
	DEFENDENTS)	

ORDER FOR REMOVAL AND WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

Upon reading the verified petition for removal of J. A. Frates, F. A. Bodovitz Union Transportation, and upon motion of R. D. Hudson, counsel for said receivers and said corporation,

IT IS ORDERED that cause No. 2298, in which Sarah Dumas is plaintiff and Union Transportation Company, a corporation and J. A. Frates and F. A. Bodovitz, Receivers are defendants, pending in the Justice Court Court of the Hon. A. M. Kirkpatrick, Justice of the Peace in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, District No. 4, be removed into this court for trial, and that a writ of Certiorari issue herein to the said A. M. Kirkpatrick, Justice of the Peace as aforesaid, directing him and said court to transmit the record and proceedings in said cause to this court in ten days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that said writ be served by delivering to the said A. M. Kirkpatrick, Justice of the Peace as aforesaid a certified copy thereof.

F. E. KENNAMER
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 13 1934
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. MAYLOR	PLAINTIFF)	
)	
VS.)	
)	No. 1979 LAW
J. FRATES AND F. A. BODOVITZ as Receivers for)	
Union Transportation Company, a corporation,)	
	Defendants.)	

ORDER FOR REMOVAL AND WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Upon reading the verified petition for removal of J. A. Frates and F. A. Bodovitz Receivers of Union Transportation Company, and upon motion of R. D. Hudson, counsel for said receivers,

On this 15th day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

ED STATES OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
) No. 6320 - Criminal. ✓
E. H. CURRIER, HERBERT D. MASON, ROBERT S.)
E. ANDREW F. BARRMIT, T. B. CORNELL,)
W. W. THICOLEB, DAVID WAINES E, A. E. KESSLER)
BORNISH BECK, Defendants.)

Now on this 15th day of March, A. D. 1934, the above cause comes on for continuance trial. All parties and Defendants present in person, and all fourteen (14) members of the jury present in person and in the box. Thereupon, the Government continues with the following testimony: P. J. Winkler. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties and defendants present as heretofore, and all fourteen (14) members of the jury are present in person and in the box. Thereafter, the Government continues with the further testimony of P. J. Winkler, John Sheedy, J. W. Dyke, A. L. Ackers. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is adjourned to 9:30 o'clock A.M., March 16, 1934.

Court adjourned until March 16, 1934.

On this 16th day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER FOR PETIT JURY.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
ORDER FOR PETIT JURY

On this 16th day of March, A. D. 1934, it is Ordered by the court that there be published and drawn by the Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, or one of his deputies, in the presence of the Clerk, or one of his Deputies, in accordance with the law and the rules of this court, the names of twenty-four (24) persons, good and lawful men, from said District, duly qualified to serve as Petit Jurors at the Regular March 1934 Term of this Court to be held

Okita, Oklahoma.

It is further Ordered by the Court that a writ of venire facias be issued out of Court, in due form as provided by law, commanding the said Marshal to summon by Registered said Petit Jurors, drawn, as aforesaid, to be and appear before said Court to be held at Okita, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, on Monday, the 26th day of March, A. D. 1934, at 9 o'clock A.M., then and there to serve as Petit Jurors of the United States in and for said District at the Regular March 1934 Term of said Court.

F. E. KENNAMOR
J U D G E

FILED: Filed Mar 16, 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
)
) No. 6320 - Criminal. ✓
JOSE H. CURRIER, HERBERT D. TASON, ROBERT S.)
E. ANDREW J. BURGENT, T. B. CORNELL,)
GEORGE W. GIBSON, DAVID WATSON, A. M. KROSSLER and)
ISIDOR BECK,)
Defendants.)

Now on this 16th day of March, A. D. 1934, the above cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties and defendants present in person and all fourteen (14) members of the jury present in person and in the box. Thereupon, the Government continues with the introduction of evidence and proof and recalls Mr. Dyke for further cross-examination. And thereafter, testimony of H. Albrice, G. J. Berend, D. A. Brander and E. J. Highfill is heard. And thereafter, the hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session with all parties and defendants present as heretofore. All fourteen (14) members of the jury are present in person and in the box. Now at this time the Government recalls Mr. Ackers, P. J. Winkler and Paulson to further testify. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the court is admonished and it is ordered by the court that court be adjourned to 1:30 o'clock P.M., on March 19, 1934.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
) No. 7704 - Criminal ✓
William R. Bull)
Defendant.)

O R D E R

Now on this 16th day of March, A. D. 1934, the same being one of the regular days of the Special March A. D. 1934 Term of said Court, this matter comes on before the court upon motion of the plaintiff for forfeiture to the government of the proceeds derived from the sale of the automobile seized from the above named defendant on the 5th day of October, 1933, by William Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, and it appearing to the court that the above named defendant entered a plea of guilty in this court on the 23rd day of February, 1934, to the indictment herein and was duly sentenced by the court, and it further appearing to the court that at the time of the arrest of the defendant the automobile in question was seized from the defendant by the said William G. Giddens, and at the time of the seizure of said automobile the same was

used by the defendant in transportation of intoxicating liquor; and it further appearing to court that the General Motors Acceptance Corporation at the time of the seizure of said automobile held a lien against said car in the sum of \$78.00, and it appearing to the court that said automobile has heretofore been delivered to the General Motors Acceptance Corporation under their lien against the same, and that said automobile has been sold by the General Motors Acceptance Corporation for the sum of \$92.50, and that the expenses in connection with the foreclosure of the lien of said General Motors Acceptance Corporation amount to the sum of \$6.71, and that the General Motors Acceptance Corporation is holding the sum of \$5.54, derived from the sale of the automobile over and above the amount of their lien against the same and the expense incident to the foreclosure of their lien and the storage and expense against said automobile, said automobile being described as Ford Roadster, Motor No. 3337624.

IT IS THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the sum of \$5.54 being the proceeds of the sale of the equity of the above named defendant in automobile, be and the same is hereby forfeited to the government of the United States of America, and the General Motors Acceptance Corporation is hereby ordered and directed to pay into the Clerk of this Court the said sum of \$5.54, and the Clerk of this court is hereby ordered and directed to accept said sum of \$5.54 and credit the same in accordance with the law in such case and provided.

CHESTER A. BREYER
Assistant U. S. Attorney.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

FILED: Filed Mar 16 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Ma Manley, nee Sullivan, Timmie)		
Ivan and William Sullivan,)	Plaintiffs,	
)		No. 701 Law ✓
vs.)		
)		
A. Chapman, et al.,)	Defendants.	

FINAL DECREE

NOW, on this 7th day of February, 1934, the above entitled cause coming regularly for hearing, and the above named plaintiffs appearing by their attorney, W. A. Chase, and the defendants, Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation, Harry H. Rogers, F. V. Faulkner, Mabel Stephens, C. Long, Magnolia Petroleum Company and Prairie Oil & Gas Company, appearing by their attorney John Rogers; and the defendant and cross petitioner, Montfort Jones, appearing not, and it coming to the Court that no service was had or could have been had upon the defendant, Montfort Jones, who departed this life during August, 1927, long prior to the institution of this cause, and that he was named as a party in the answer and cross petition through error and misapprehension of the facts, it is ordered that his name be stricken from said answer and cross petition and that the action of the plaintiff be dismissed as to him; and it appearing to the Court that the above named plaintiffs have heretofore filed herein a dismissal of their petition in said cause, but that prior to the filing of such dismissal said appearing defendants filed herein their answer and several answer and cross petition, and W. A. Chase, attorney for the above named plaintiffs, having requested in open Court that the petition of said plaintiffs be dismissed, and said appearing defendants having requested a hearing and judgment upon their aforesaid cross petition in said cause, and it appearing to the Court that each of the defendants to said cross petition has who failed to plead thereto, and is in default by reason thereof, and the Court having heard the evidence offered in support of said cross petition, and being fully advised in the premises,

:

That Ullie Eagle was duly enrolled upon the final roll of Creek Indian by blood, site No. 4338, as a full blood Creek Indian. Her enrollment was approved by the Secretary of Interior on March 12, 1902. She was identified by the officers making the enrollment, at the application for her enrollment was made, to-wit: January 11, 1900, as being of the age eight years, a member of Artussee town, in the Creek Nation, and the daughter of David Eagle Tochee Eagle, both identified as members of Artussee town.

That on June 30, 1902, the Commission to the Five Civilized Tribes, commonly known as the Dawes Commission, arbitrarily selected for and set aside to the said Ullie Eagle, as and her distributive share of the unallotted lands of the Creek Nation, the following described lands situate in the territory now comprising Creek County, in the State of Oklahoma, to-wit:

The North Half ($N\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) and the Southwest Quarter ($SW\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) and the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northeast Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 27, Township 17 North, Range 7 East,

certificates of allotment were duly issued on June 30, 1902, thereafter, on October 19, 1902, patents were duly executed in due form by the Principal Chief of the Creek Nation, and approved by the Secretary of the Interior, evidencing the conveyance of title thereto, to the heirs of Ullie Eagle, which said patents were duly filed for record on December 3, 1904, in the office of the Dawes Commission.

That Ullie Eagle died intestate, unmarried and without issue, in that part of the Creek Nation which is now Creek County, State of Oklahoma, on June 8, 1902.

That the devolution of the above described allotment of Ullie Eagle was governed by the Creek Indian Law of descent; and that Nellie Fish is and was the nearest relation and the sole and only heir at law of Ullie Eagle, and inherited her said allotment.

That the plaintiffs, Martha Manley, nee Sullivan, Fimmie Sullivan and William Sullivan, or any of them, were not the nearest relations or heirs at law of Ullie Eagle and never acquired any right, title, interest, equity or estate in and to the allotment of the said Ullie Eagle, or any part thereof.

That the land described as the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northeast Quarter and the East Half ($E\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northeast Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 27, Township 17 North, Range 7 East, containing sixty acres, more or less, is held and owned under proper and legal conveyances from Nellie Fish, as follows, to-wit: By F. V. Faulkner an undivided $\frac{1}{2}$ interest therein; by Harry Rogers an undivided $\frac{11}{32}$ interest therein; by Mabel Rogers an undivided $\frac{4}{32}$ interest therein; and by Mae S. Long an undivided $\frac{1}{32}$ interest therein, all subject, however, and subject only, to a duly executed, delivered and subsisting oil and gas mining lease covering said last described land by mesne assignments now owned and held by the petitioner, Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation.

That the land described as the West Half ($W\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) and the East Half ($E\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northeast Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 27, Township 17 North, Range 7 East, containing one hundred acres, more or less, is held and owned under proper and legal conveyances from Nellie Fish as follows, to-wit: By the heirs, devisees and legatees, as the case may be, of Montfort Jones, deceased, an undivided $\frac{3}{4}$ interest therein; by F. V. Faulkner an undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ interest therein, subject, however, and subject only, to a duly executed and subsisting oil and gas mining lease covering said described portion of said lands, duly executed and delivered and now held by proper assignments as follows: An undivided $\frac{3}{4}$ interest therein by the cross petitioner, Magnolia Petroleum Company; and an undivided $\frac{1}{4}$ interest therein by the cross petitioner, Prairie Oil & Gas Company.

That the plaintiffs, Martha Manley, nee Sullivan, Fimmie Sullivan and William Sullivan, or any of them, have no right, title, interest, equity or estate in and to the above described real estate and premises, or any part thereof, and are not entitled to the possession thereof, and that every claim of right, title, interest, equity, estate and right to possession asserted by them in and to said real estate and premises is absolutely null and void.

That the Court concludes all other questions of fact as well as of law in this case in favor of the above named cross petitioners.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the petition of the plaintiffs herein be, and the same is hereby dismissed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said cross petitioners, Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation, Harry H. Rogers, F. V. Faulkner, Mabel Stephens, Mae S. Long, Magnolia Petroleum Company, Prairie Oil & Gas Company and the heirs, devisees or grantees, as the case may be, of Montfort Jones, deceased, have all of the estate, both legal and equitable, in to the lands involved in this cause, being described as follows, to-wit:

The North Half ($N\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$), and the Southwest Quarter ($SW\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$), and the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northeast Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 27, Township 17 North, Range 7 East, in Creek County, in the State of Oklahoma,

own the same in the following respective portions, to-wit:

In the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northeast Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4}$), and the East Half ($E\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northeast Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 27, Township 17 North, Range 7 East, Cross petitioner F. v. Faulkner owns an undivided $1/2$ interest, Harry H. Rogers owns an undivided $11/32$ interest, Mabel Stephens owns an undivided $4/32$ interest, and Mae S. Long owns an undivided $1/32$ interest, all subject, however, and subject only, to a duly executed, delivered and subsisting oil and gas mining lease covering said last described land now held and owned by the cross petitioner Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation.

In the West Half ($W\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) and the West Half ($W\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northeast Quarter ($NE\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of Section 27, Township 17 North, Range 7 East, the heirs, devisees or grantees, as the case may be, of Montfort Jones, deceased, own an undivided $3/4$ interest, and F. v. Faulkner owns an undivided $1/4$ interest, subject, however, but subject only, to a valid, subsisting oil and gas mining lease covering said described portion of said land now held as follows: An undivided $3/4$ interest therein by the cross petitioner Magnolia Petroleum Company, and an undivided $1/4$ interest therein by the cross petitioner, Prairie Oil & Gas Company.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiffs, Martha Stanley, Sullivan, Timmie Sullivan and William Sullivan, and each of them, have no right, title or interest in and to the lands heretofore described or to the rents, issues and profits thereof, and said plaintiffs, and each of them, and all persons claiming by, through or under them, or on their behalf, be, and they are hereby, forever barred and perpetually enjoined from asserting against cross petitioners, Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation, Harry H. Rogers, F. V. Faulkner, Mabel Stephens, Mae S. Long, Magnolia Petroleum Company and Prairie Oil & Gas Company, and all persons claiming by, through or under them, any right, title or interest in and to said premises, the rents, issues and profits thereof, and that the title of the cross petitioners to the respective interests in said lands above described be, and is hereby, forever quieted as against the claims of the aforesaid plaintiffs and all persons claiming by, through or under them.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the aforesaid cross petitioners have and recover of and from each and all of the above named plaintiffs their costs herein expended.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 16 1934
H. P. WHEELER, Clerk
U. S. District Court

F. H. WHEELER
Judge of the United States District Court for
the Northern District of Oklahoma

Court adjourned until March 17, 1934.

On this 17th day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1934 Term at Tulsa, in pursuance of a docket, Hon. F. E. Kenamer and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

ELIABLOUS - RE: PETIT JURORS.

Now on this 17th day of March, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that the petit Jurors heretofore summoned to appear for service at Vinita, March 26, 1934 be now notified to appear on that date but in the place and stead of to report for service on Monday, April 2, 1934. It is further ordered that said Marshal notify said Jurors of said change.

ELIABLOUS - ORDER OF REMOVAL.

Now on this 17th day of March, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court that Thomas Ralph Smith be and he is hereby, removed from the Northern District of Oklahoma to the Western District of South Carolina.

ELIABLOUS - ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

United States,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.) No. 1876 Law ✓	
)	
J. E. Martin and)	
Ed A. Drummond,	Defendants.)	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 15th day of March, 1934, it being shown to the court that the indebted sued upon herein, together with the costs of said suit, have been paid by the defendants, the purpose for which said suit was brought has been satisfied, it is the opinion of the court that said suit should be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER of the Court that said cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed, with prejudice.

CHESTER A. BREWER
Assistant United States Attorney

F. E. KENAMER
JUDGE

FILED: Filed Mar 17 1934
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States,)
) Plaintiff)
))
 vs.) No. 1886 Law. ✓
))
 J. Adams, Fred C. Drummond and Alfred)
 Drummond,) Defendants.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 13th day of March, 1934, it being shown to the Court that the indebtedness sued upon herein, together with the costs of said suit have been paid by the above named defendants, and the purpose for which said suit was instituted has been satisfied, the Court orders that said cause should be dismissed.

It is therefore the order of the Court that said cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed, with prejudice.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

CHESTER A. BREWER
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed Mar 17 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States,)
) Plaintiff,)
))
 vs.) No. 1888 Law ✓
))
 J. Drummond, J. E. Martin and)
 H. Moore,) Defendants.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 17th day of March, 1934, it being shown to the Court that the indebtedness sued upon herein, together with the costs of said suit, have been paid by the defendants, the purpose for which said suit was brought has been satisfied, the Court finds that said cause should be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER of the Court that said cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed, with prejudice.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

CHESTER A. BREWER
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed Mar 17 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

aled and is of no further force and effect.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said case be and the same is by dismissed and the defendants released and discharged and their bondsmen exonerated.

C. E. BAILEY, U. S. Attorney

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

No.

H. Bennet	5749	Dan Stepper
A. Michael	5755	George Hugo
Harry Bennet	5775	One Smith
Abraham Michael	5780	One Lemon
Everett Proops	5782	Albert Greer
Joseph Wiesenfelder	5874	Britt Goins
Everett Proops	5891	Lewis Griffith
Joseph Wiesenfelder	5948	Wallace Warren
Benjamin Dvorman	5986	Sam Wright
Samuel P. Berger	5988	Bessie Hooney
Max Reisman	6031	Cedar Johnson
Marcelle Scherer	6054	Fannie Mae Hamilton
Frank Lowenfels	6057	Titus Johnson
Herbert Baer	6069	Bill Jefferson
Louis Winston	6075	Jennie Lind
Mrs. Louis Winston	6118	E. V. Hall
Herman Halpern	6221	Jimmie Michelle
W. C. Burton	6257	Hershel Boggs
George Laufer	6322	Pete Oliver
David K. Laufer	6323	Riley Biggs
M. E. Laufer	6325	Harvey Murphy
J. C. Brown	6326	Jack Omderson alias Samuel Lawson
William Polatski	6346	B. D. Dierons
George S. Mand	6347	Arthur Harris
Elmer Triplett	6348	E. D. Pratt
Curley Mitchell		Irene Pratt
Grover C. McClary	6369	Dick Ivy
George Miller	6390	Pete Oliver
Frank Rogers	6453	Jack Johnson
Martin	6507	Henry B. Jones
Charles Thompson	6569	James Ivy
R. L. Stephens	6623	Raymond Garland alias Pass Gardner
Elmer Howie	6651	Lou Ogden
Frank Haikay		Woolley Compton
R. H. Cesar	6682	Ray McManis, alias John Long
Jeff Black	6702	Hellie Hines
Jim Hamilton	6778	John Head
Dee Hobson	6911	Ed Clark
Arthur Walker		Minnie Clark
Brownie Everton	6927	Jim Nails
Scott Barnes		Mrs. J. S. Nails
Letha Barnes	7025	J. W. Lee
H. A. Smith	7041	Jimmie Nails
Pat Angle	7076	Jack Dover
Daniel Johnson		Abner Graves
Ruth Blackwell		Neal Johnson
J. Wood, alias Chicken Siebert		General C. Casey
Pearl Henley	7115	Alley Johnson

DEPT. MARCH 1934 TERM

DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

TODAY, MARCH 19, 1934

5 Joe Weaver	7694 Clarence Short
5 Mary Albert	7695 Clarence Smith
0 Joe Fox	Mrs. Sadie Smith
7 Charley White	7699 Louie Vann
4 Mill Cargo	7702 Harley Bratton
3 George F. Berryhill	Homer Good
0 Shorty Sappington	7707 James W. Cornwell
2 Ben Cualls	7711 Orville Humble alias Jake Humble
Wallace Bates alias Bill Hayes	7715 Simon Loho
3 Carrie Hudson	7716 Barton L. McPherson
3 Pauline Clemenshire alias Louise Davis	7725 Frank Upshaw
3 Gene Johnson	7726 James Williams
George Brantley	7729 Tandy W. Henry
2 George Brantley	7731 V. L. Oliver
John Howard Hogg, alias Jack Howard	7732 Wade Thomas
Hubbard Livingstone	7733 Roy Lopez
One Shorty	Tillis Newton
Bob Murray alias Walter W. Craig	7734 Steve Tripp
Anna Haman	7735 L. M. Osborne
Ernest Williams	7737 Noble Hemphill
Edna Burth	7740 Mrs. Edith Girard
Lee Johnson	7743 Birdie Miller
George Robertson alias George Harris	7751 Fay Dutcher
Samie Williams	G. L. Blair
Julia Wanderingriff	7752 C. W. Marshall
Alma Bacon	7753 Peter Rocco
Howard Stanton	7754 Robert Evans
Herb Spencer	7755 Ben Riley
George Stewart	7756 W. L. Roberts
Louise Bixman	7757 Oralee Brown
Tillis Newton	
Louise Newton	
Raymond Garcia Lopez	
J. C. Holland	
R. D. Rhodes	
Jerry King	
Gladys Parsons	
Noble Hemphill	
J. H. Johnson	
Art Groves	
Walter Moore	
Myrtle Perdergrass	

EDD: Filed In Open Court
Mar 19 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

court that such an enlargement of time is necessary to complete the making up and printing of record in said case under the supervision of the clerk of this court, and it further appears that the time heretofore allowed in the citation has not yet expired, and that it is proper such extension and enlargement of time to be granted;

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COURT CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the time heretofore allowed for the return of said citation and appeal and for docketing said cause and for filing the transcript of record thereof with the clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, be and the same is hereby enlarged to and including the 5th day of April, 1934.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 19th day of March, 1934.

ORDERED: Filed Mar 19 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court
F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

Court adjourned until March 20, 1934.

On this 20th day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
) No. 6320 - Criminal.
GEORGE H. CURRIER, HERBERT D. MASON, ROBERT)
ROCHE, ANDREW J. BARRETT, T. B. CORNELL,)
GEORGE WHITCOMB, DAVID MANESSE, A. E.)
WALTER and CORNISH BECK, Defendants.)

Now on this 20th day of March, A. D. 1934, the above cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties and Defendant present as heretofore and the jury, all fourteen members, present in person and in the box. Thereupon, the Government continues with the introduction of the following witnesses: E. F. Martin, R. F. Croft, A. D. Bell, J. W. Dyke recalled to stand and testify. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session, parties present as heretofore and all fourteen members of the jury present in person and in the box. Thereafter, the Government continues with the following witnesses: A. K. Riley; S. A. Underhill, recalled to further testify; E. D. Martin recalled to further testify; George H. Currier recalled to further testify. And thereafter, the Government rests. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished and excused to 10:00 o'clock A.M., March 21, 1934, and court is adjourned to 9:30 o'clock A.M., March 21, 1934.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

F. M. MARGASON, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
No. 1958-Law ✓
NORTHERN KANSAS STAGE LINES COMPANY, a corpora-)
, YORKSHIRE INDEMNITY COMPANY, of New York,)
corporation, and WESTON KREBBS,)
Defendants.)

ORDER REMANDING CAUSE TO DISTRICT COURT

The motion of plaintiff to remand this suit to the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, coming on for hearing the 5th day of February, 1934, pursuant to regular setting, and court, having heard the argument and counsel and being fully advised and after taking said on under advisement and after consideration of briefs filed by the respective parties, upon consideration finds that the said motion should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the motion of the plaintiff to remand this case to District Court of Tulsa County be and the same is hereby granted and this cause is hereby re-ferred to the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, for further proceedings.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District Court.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 20 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until March 21, 1934.

On this 21st day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	
)	
ROBERT H. CURRIER, HERBERT D. MASON, ROBERT S.)	No. 6320 - Criminal.
ROCHE, ANDREW J. BARRETT, T. B. CORNELL,)	
ROBERT WHITCOMB, DAVID MANESSE, A. E. KESSLER)	
CORNISH BECK,)	
	Defendants.)	

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1934, the above styled cause comes on for continuance of trial. Defendants present in person as heretofore. Now at this time Defendant Roche moves for a directed verdict herein on all Counts. And thereafter, after being fully advised, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained as to Counts 7 and 9 and overruled as to other Counts. Exception allowed.

And thereafter Defendant Mason moves for a directed verdict on all counts herein, which motion is sustained by the Court as to Counts 7 and 9 and overruled as to other Counts. Exception allowed.

And thereafter, Defendant Barrett moves for a directed verdict herein on all counts which motion is sustained by the Court as to Counts 7 and 9 and overruled as to other counts, exception allowed.

And thereafter, Defendants George whitcomb, Cornish beck , A. E. Kessler and David Manesse move for directed verdicts of not guilty herein. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained as to all four Defendants and that said Defendants be now discharged.

And thereafter, all fourteen (14) members of the jury are now present in person in the box. Now at this time, the Defendants introduce their evidence and proof with the following witnesses: (Each of Defendants' witnesses are sworn as called). Defendant Roche introduces his evidence and proof with the following witness: Robert S. Roche. And thereafter, the hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. Parties and Defendants present as heretofore, and all fourteen members of the jury, present in person and in the box. Defendant Roche continues with the testimony of Robert S. Roche. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is adjourned at 9:30 o'clock A.M., March 22, 1934.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA			
MARGARET A. GENT,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 1863-L
)	
NATIONAL BENEFIT HEALTH & ACCIDENT ASSOCIATION,)	
Corporation,	Defendant.)	

MOTION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Comes now the plaintiff, Margaret A. Gent, in the above styled and entitled cause having effected complete and full settlement of her controversy in this action with the defendant herein, hereby moves the Court to dismiss this cause, with prejudice to the bringing of other action.

STANLEY D. CAMPBELL
 A. K. SWANN
 Attorneys for Plaintiff

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Costs sued upon herein, together with the costs of said cause, has been paid by the defendants above named, and that the purpose for which said cause was brought has been satisfied. Court finds that said cause should be dismissed.

It is therefore the order of the Court that said cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed, with prejudice.

CHESTER A. BREWER
Assistant United States Attorney

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Mar 21 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Ed Pedrick Bartness, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1967. ✓
Enterprise Petroleum Corp., Defendant.)

O R D E R

Upon motion of plaintiff it is ordered that this cause be and it is hereby dismissed without prejudice.

Dated March 21, 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 21 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until March 22, 1934.

On this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1934 term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
C. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	
)	No. 6320 - Criminal. ✓
GEORGE H. CURRIER, HERBERT D. MASON, ROBERT S.)	
LEWIS, ANDREW J. BARRETT, T. B. CORNELL, GEORGE)	
COMB, DAVID MANESSE, A. E. KESSLER and)	
FRANK BECK,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1934, court is again in session, all parties Defendants present in person and all fourteen (14) members of the jury present in person and in the box. The Defendant continues with the evidence of Robert S. Roche and Andrew J. Barrett. thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties and Defendants present as heretofore and the jury, all fourteen members are present in person and in the box. The Defendant continues with the following witnesses: Harry O. Glasse and thereafter, Andrew J. Barrett is recalled to further testify. And thereafter, testimony of Herbert D. Mason is heard. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is adjourned to 9:30 o'clock A.M., March 23, 1934.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	NO. 7 5 8 2 ✓
)	
W. T. HALL and COMMYE GRAY,	Defendants.)	

ORDER ENLARGING TIME

BE IT REMEMBERED, on this 21st day of March, 1934, it appearing to the Court that the time heretofore allowed for narration of the testimony herein, for filing bill of exceptions, for lodging the appeal in the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit has not expired, it further appearing that said time is insufficient, and that good cause exists for the enlargement of said time.

IT IS ORDERED THAT THE TIME FOR narration of the testimony herein and the filing and settling of bill of exceptions herein be and the same is hereby extended and enlarged to the 1st 20th, 1934, and it is further ordered that the time for lodging the appeal herein in the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit be and the same is hereby enlarged and extended to the 20th day of May, 1934.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

C. E. BAILEY,
U. S. Atty.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 22 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned to March 23, 1934.

from said defendants, John W. Whitlow, Adam Wolf and J. M. Welker, and each of them the sum 126.00, with interest at 10% per annum from August 1, 1932, until paid, and for all costs of suit.

CHESTER A. BREWER
Assistant United States Attorney

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Mar 24 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned to March 26, 1934.

IAL MARCH 1934 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

MONDAY, MARCH 26, 1934

On this 26th day of March, A. D. 1934, the District Court of the United States in the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1934 Term at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. T. Blake Kennedy and Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
G. E. Bailey, United States Attorney.
John P. Logan, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and read, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	
)	
JOHN H. CURRIER, HERBERT D. MASON, ROBERT)	No. 6320 - Criminal. ✓
DOOLEY, ANDREW J. BARRETT, T. B. CORNELL,)	
JOHN WHITCOMB, DAVID MANESSE, A. E. KESSLER)	
CORNISH BECK,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 26th day of March, A. D. 1934, the above styled cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties and Defendants present as heretofore and all fourteen members of jury present and in the box. Closing arguments for the Government are made by Joe W. Howard, closing arguments for the defense by E. L. Taylor. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session, parties and defendants present as heretofore and the jury, all fourteen members, present and in the box. Closing arguments are continued with the following attorneys for the Defense: T. H. Gavin, H. D. McKeever. And thereafter, closing arguments for the Government are made by G. E. Bailey. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is adjourned to March 27, 1934.

Court adjourned to March 27, 1934.

6320 Cr. Cont'd.

We further find the defendant, Herbert D. Mason not guilty, as charged in the seventh count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Herbert D. Mason not guilty, as charged in the eighth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Herbert D. Mason not guilty, as charged in the ninth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Herbert D. Mason not guilty, as charged in the tenth count of the indictment.

THOS. J. HARRISON
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
Mar 27 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

REV J. BARRETT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 6320
Andrew J. Barrett, Defendant.)

V E R D I C T

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Andrew J. Barrett, not guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Andrew J. Barrett not guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Andrew J. Barrett not guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Andrew J. Barrett not guilty, as charged in the fourth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Andrew J. Barrett, not guilty, as charged in the fifth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Andrew J. Barrett, not guilty as charged in the sixth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Andrew J. Barrett not guilty, as charged in the seventh count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Andrew J. Barrett, not guilty as charged in the eighth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Andrew J. Barrett not guilty, as charged in the ninth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Andrew J. Barrett not guilty, as charged in the tenth count of the indictment.

THOS. J. HARRISON
Foreman

Filed In Open Court
Mar 27 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

6320 Cr. Cont'd.

ROBERT S. ROCHE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 6320
Robert S. Roche Defendant)

V E R D I C T

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find the defendant, Robert S. Roche is guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Robert S. Roche not guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Robert S. Roche not guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Robert S. Roche not guilty, as charged in the fourth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Robert S. Roche not guilty, as charged in the fifth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Robert S. Roche not guilty, as charged in the sixth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Robert S. Roche, not guilty, as charged in the seventh count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Robert S. Roche, not guilty, as charged in the eighth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Robert S. Roche not guilty, as charged in the ninth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Robert S. Roche not guilty, as charged in the tenth count of the indictment.

THOS. J. HARRISON
Foreman

FILED In Open Court
Mar 27 1934
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

After, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be now discharged. And thereafter, Defendant Roche excepts to the verdict herein on Count 1. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, court is adjourned to 9:30 o'clock A.M., March 28, 1934.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
MILLS, GUS D. TAYLOR and HOMER BROWN, Defendants.)
No. 7009 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 27th day of March, A. D. 1934, it is ordered by the Court upon recommendation of U. S. Attorney Joe Howard, that the above case be dismissed as to defendant Homer Brown.

