

No. 6724 Cr. Cont'd.

sentence be now imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of: Ninety (90) days from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One hundred (\$100.00) dollars, and in default thereof stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6726 - Criminal. ✓
)
WALTER JONES,	Defendant.)

Now on this 22nd day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on Defendant Walter Jones as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of: Count Two - Ninety (90) days, from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the court that Count Three (3) be dismissed.

It is the further order of the Court that judgment and sentence on Count one (1) be deferred for the period of One year.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6739 - Criminal. ✓
)
EDWARD J. THOMPSON,	Defendant.)

Now on this 22nd day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on defendant Edward J. Thompson as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Count One - five years,

Count Two - five years. It is ordered that the sentence of confinement in Count One shall begin at the expiration of and run consecutively to sentence imposed in Criminal Case No. 6708. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 6754 - Criminal. ✓

ROLAND H. HYAMS, MITCHELL ROOSEVELT BARTON,)
CHARLIE WILLELLA NIGH, alias ANDERSON, and)
LAWRENCE GREEDY FORNS,)
Defendants.)

Now on this 22nd day of October, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein, and defendants Mitchell Roosevelt Barton and Charles William Nigh, appearing in person. Now at this time Defendant Mitchell Roosevelt Barton withdraws his former plea of not guilty and now enters a plea of guilty as heretofore charged in the indictment. Thereupon, Defendant Charles William Nigh is present in person and by counsel and said case is called for trial. Defendant announces ready. Thereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: E. J. Burke, E. J. Bowers, R. A. Helms, Bruce Daniels, George McKeel, Bill W. Zilar, W. M. Murphy, C. L. Dotson, J. W. Saupin, E. A. Mansfield, L. H. Ireland, W. Templeman. All witnesses are sworn in open court and the Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: A. A. Gordon, Roy Lanley, W. H. Smith, Lawrence Burke, R. H. Hyams. And thereafter, the Government rests. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 O'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 O'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore, and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Thereupon, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Charles Nigh. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. Thereupon, the Government offers in rebuttal, testimony of Mr. Fallon. And thereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereupon, the jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES)
vs.) No. 6754
CHARLIE WILLIAM NIGH)

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant Charlie William Nigh, guilty, as charged in the indictment.

W. M. MURPHY
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
Oct 22 1932
H. P. Garfield, Clerk

And thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

No. 6790 Cr. Cont'd.

We further find the defendant, George Hardy, guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

FILED In Open Court
Oct 22 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

RUSSELL BARRIS
Foreman.

And thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court, that judgment and sentence be now imposed as follows:

GEORGE HARDY

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of: Count Two, Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.

ED THOMAS

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of: Count Two, Six (6) months from date of delivery. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent to said sentence imposed in Case #6788 Criminal.

It is further ordered by the Court that Count One (1) be dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 6829 - Criminal.

LEROY MCCAULEY,

Defendant.)

Now on this 22nd day of October, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Leroy McCauley, appearing in person. Now at this time, Defendant Mc Cauley withdraws his former plea of Not guilty to counts 1 and 2 and now enters a plea of Nolo Contendere, which plea is accepted by the Court, as heretofore charged in the information herein. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court, that said case be dismissed, due to insufficient evidence.

Court adjourned until October 24, 1932.

On this 24th day of October, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Court, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6519 - Criminal. ✓
)	
MRS. ADDIE BAKER,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 24th day of October, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Mrs. Addie Baker, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be deferred six (6) months from date.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6554 - Criminal. ✓
)	
BENNIE HAWKINS and JOHN CARTER,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 24th day of October, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Bennie Hawkins, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of not guilty, as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. And thereafter, defendant John Carter is present in person and upon statement and motion of the United States Attorney Goldesberry, said case is dismissed to defendant John Carter. Thereupon, said case is called. Defendant Bennie Hawkins is present in person and is not represented by counsel. Both sides announce ready for trial. Thereafter, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: B. J. Handlon, B. J. Burke, E. J. Bowers, R. A. Helms, Geo. McKee, Will Lilar, W. H. Murphy, C. E. Notson, J. W. Neupin, E. A. Mansfield, L. E. Ireland, Elmer Hadden. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: J. W. Sawyer, Dr. Cole. And thereafter, the Government rests. Thereafter, the defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Bennie Hawkins, Leo Williams. And thereafter, the Government offers in rebuttal testimony of the following witness: J. H. Hill. And thereafter, the defendant offers in rebuttal testimony of John Carter. Closing arguments of counsel are waived and the court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

No. 6554 Cr. cont'd.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES)
vs.) No. 6554
BENNIE HAWKINS)

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant Bennie Hawkins guilty, as charged in the information.

B. J. HANDLON
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
Oct 24 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Thereupon, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

Now at this time it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a county jail for a period of: Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6555 - Criminal. ✓
)
FRANK CRAWFORD, Defendant.)

Now on this 24th day of October, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Frank Crawford, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a county jail, for a period of: Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6556 - Criminal. ✓
)
FRANK CHUFAR, Defendant.)

Now on this 24th day of October, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Frank Chufar, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be deferred for six months from date.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-)
) No. 6752 - Criminal. ✓
)
 ROLLIN H. HYAMS, MITCHELL ROOSEVELT BARTON)
 and CHARLES WILLIAM NIGH, alias ANDERSON,)
 Defendants.)

Now on this 24th day of October, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Charles William Nigh, appearing in person and by counsel. Thereupon, said case is called, both sides having announced ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. Walter Templeman is excused by the Court for cause. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: Harry Stead, H. L. Michael, Ben F. Buzan, Al Breeden, F. E. Dickson, Russell Harris, W. O. Dickerson, R. L. Harrison, C. J. Payton, C. H. Douglass, J. W. Burton, T. J. Richman. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Mr. Gordon, Roy Manley. Thereupon, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with Rollin H. Hyams. And thereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are waived and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereupon, the jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court, and through their Foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES)
 vs.) No. 6752
 WILLIAM NIGH)

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant William Nigh guilty, as charged in the indictment.

O. H. DOUGLASS
 Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
 Oct 24 1932
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

Thereupon, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

Now at this time, it is ordered by the Court, that judgment and sentence be imposed on the above defendants as follows:

CHARLES WILLIAM NIGH

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Five (5) years from date of delivery.

ROLLIN H. HYAMS,

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Two (2) years from date of delivery.

No. 6754 Cr. Cont'd.

Five (5) years. Said sentence of confinement shall begin at the expiration of and run consecutively to the Sentence imposed in Case #6752 - Criminal.

LAWRENCE CREDDY BURKS

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

One (1) year and One (1) day from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6756 - Criminal.
)
JOE LONSDALE,	Defendant.)

Now on this 24th day of October, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the Defendant Joe Lonsdale appearing in person and by counsel. Thereupon, said case is called, both sides having announced ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Government waive challenges. The Defendant challenges W. M. Murphy. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict rendered is as follows: B. J. Burke, H. M. Moser, E. J. Bowers, R. A. Helms, George McKee, Will N Zilar, C. E. Cotson, J. W. Maupin, E. A. Mansfield, L. H. Ireland, Elmer Hadden, Henry McNamar. All witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: W. H. Smith, Hubert N. Bleigh, Rollin Hyams, Charles Hopper, J. L. Fallon. And thereafter, the Government rests. Thereupon, the Defendant demurs to the evidence herein, which demurrer is, by the Court overruled. Thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witness: Joe Lonsdale. And thereafter, the defendant rests. The Government offers in rebuttal testimony of J. L. Fallon, A. J. Schultz. And thereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 6756
JOE LONSDALE	Defendant)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant Joe Lonsdale, guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Joe Lonsdale, guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Joe Lonsdale, guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Joe Lonsdale, guilty, as charged in the fourth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Joe Lonsdale, guilty, as charged in the fifth count of the indictment.

No. 6756 Cr. Cont'd.

We further find the defendant, Joe Lonsdale, guilty, as charged in the sixth count of the indictment.

FILED In Open Court	C. E. DOTSON
Oct 24 1932	Foreman.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk	
U. S. District Court	

Whereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged.

Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on Defendant Joe Lonsdale, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

- Count one, Ten (10) years,
- Count two, Five (5) years,
- Count three, Ten (10) years,
- Count four, Ten (10) years,
- Count five, Ten (10) years,
- Count six, Ten (10) years, from date of delivery. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two (2) shall begin at the expiration of and run consecutively to the sentence in Count One (1). Said sentences of confinement in Counts three (3) four (4) five (5) and six (6) to run concurrent with said sentence in Counts one (1) and two (2).

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on Charles Wesley Hopper heretofore committed on a contempt charge, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of: for the time Defendant has been in jail, until this date, and said Defendant be now discharged.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 6757 - Criminal.

JULIUS HUGO WENDT,

Defendant.)

Now on this 24th day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on Defendant Julius Hugo Wendt, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of: Count two, thirty (30) days from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars on Count one (1), and in default thereof stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

No. 6324 Cr. Cont'd.

not guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon said case is called. Defendant present in person and by counsel and announces ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Government waives challenges. The Defendant challenges R. A. Helms, J. E. Dotson, E. A. Mansfield, L. H. Ireland, Elmer Hadden, Al Breeding. Thereafter, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: B. J. Burke, H. E. Mozer, E. J. Bowers, Geo. McKee, Will R. Zilar, W. M. Murphy, J. W. Maupin, Henry McNamar, Harry Stead, H. L. Michael, Ben F. Buzan, F. B. Dickson. All witnesses are sworn in open Court and opening statements of counsel are waived. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Ben Murdock, K. B. Downing, W. H. Davis, Myrtle M. Hoffman, Mr. Deal, W. H. Stricker, Arthur H. Bronson, W. M. Fleetwood, G. S. Goshorn. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore, and the jury each and every member present and in the box. The Government continues with their introduction of evidence and proof and recalls G. S. Goshorn to further testify. And thereafter, the Government rests. Thereupon, the defendant demurs to the evidence introduced herein, which demurrer is, by the court, overruled and exception allowed. And thereafter, Defendant's motion to elect is overruled by the court and exception allowed. Thereupon, the defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Myrtle Huffman, F. W. Herrin, E. H. White. And thereafter, the defendant rests. Thereupon, the Government offers in rebuttal testimony of F. A. Bodovitz, H. A. Grove, Mr. Stricker. And thereafter, both sides rest. And thereafter, Defendant again demurs to the evidence, which demurrer is, by the court, overruled, to which Defendant excepts. Thereupon, after being fully advised in the premises, and considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the court that Count Two be, and it is hereby dismissed. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court, and through their Foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 6324
ERNEST H. WHITE Defendant)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Ernest H. White, guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Ernest H. White, not guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.

FILED In Open Court
Oct 25 1932
H. P. Herfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court
HERMAN E. MOLES
Foreman.

Thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

To all of which Defendant excepts. It is further ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be passed to October 26th, 1932.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
) SS.
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
)
JULIUS HUGO WENDT,) Defendant.)

NO. 6 7 5 7 CRIMINAL ✓

O R D E R

Now on this 25th day of October, 1932, this matter comes on for hearing before the Court upon the Application of Defendant for release of the money heretofore deposited in this Court by Defendant on cash bond, said Defendant having been on October 24, 1932, sentenced by the Court on the first count herein to pay the sum of Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$250.00) as fine and on said day having been sentenced by the Court to serve thirty days in the County Jail of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, on the second count herein and began serving said sentence on October 24, 1932; and said Defendant having offered to pay said fine out of the cash deposited as bond herein and the Court, having considered said application, finds that same should be granted.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND DECREED by the Court that the Clerk of this Court be and he is hereby directed to retain out of said bond said fine of Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$250.00), together with the poundage on said bond and to disburse the balance remaining after the retention of said amounts to said defendant or his attorney, C. H. Jameson.

Done in open court this 25th day of October, 1932.

F. E. KESSELMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 25 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
)
MILB R. MANN and J. L. POPE,) Defendants.)

No. 6759 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 25th day of October, A. D. 1932, motions in arrest of judgment in the above styled cause are, by the Court, overruled and no exceptions taken. Thereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on said defendants as follows:

MILB R. MANN

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
Six (6) months from date of delivery.

J. L. POPE

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
Six (6) months from date of delivery.

No. 6895 Cr. cont'd.

representing the government herein, and the defendant Cotton Lowery, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the information heretofore filed herein.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 6861 - Criminal. ✓
FRANK ADAMS,	Defendant.	

Now on this 25th day of October, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein against the above defendant. Whereupon, it is ordered by the court that this case be consolidated and tried with criminal case number 6895. (For record of trial, see Criminal Case No. 6895).

AMERICAN TRADER,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 1078 - Law. ✓
GENERAL FAIRFAX COOP. A COOP., & HILL- HUBBELL, A COOP.,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 25th day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that leave be, and it is hereby, granted to file Supplemental Answer and further ordered that said case be dismissed without prejudice.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

McCLAREN RUBBER COMPANY, a corporation,	Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	No. 1136 - Law ✓
FRED V. MADDIN, sol. trader, doing business as MADDIN TRADING COMPANY,	Defendant.)	

JUDICIAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This case coming on to be heard before me the undersigned judge of this court on the 14th day of October, 1931, the plaintiff appearing in person and by its attorneys, Lancey, Spillers & First, and the defendant appearing in person and by his attorneys, Poe, Lundy Morgan, and A. L. Saunders, both parties having announced ready for trial, the jury was sworn and impaneled, testimony of witnesses taken, both sides rested, arguments were had and the case submitted to the jury, thereafter on the 15th day of October, 1931, the jury returned its unanimous verdict into the court adjudging the defendant indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of TEN THOUSAND & NO/100 DOLLARS (\$10,000.00); and thereafter motion for new trial having been filed by the defendant, was heard on the 4th day of January, 1932, and overruled,

No. 6567 Cr. Cont'd.

Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereupon, the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman announce they are unable to agreed upon a verdict herein. Thereafter, mistrial is declared by the Court and said jury is discharged from farther consideration of said case.

And thereafter, comes the United States Attorney and upon motion of said attorney, it is ordered by the Court, that said case be dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6568 - Criminal. ✓
)	
FELIX MARKHAM,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 26th day of October, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called for trial. Defendant is present in person and announces he has no attorney but is ready for trial. the Government is represented by the United States Attorney. Thereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows: Henry McNamar, Harry Stead, H. L. Michael, Ben F. Buzan, Al Breeden, F. E. Dickson, Russell Harris, Walter Templeman, W. O. Dickerson, R. E. Harrison, C. J. Payton, C. H. Douglass. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: J. W. Sayer, Geo. Briner, J. W. Hill. And thereafter, the Government rests. The Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Felix Markham, W. O. Peters. And thereafter, the defendant rests. The Government offers in rebuttal testimony of C. C. Cole and George Carver. And thereafter, both sides rest. The Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their Foreman present their verdict which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES)	
vs.)	No. 6568
FELIX MARKHAM)	

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant Felix Markham not guilty, as charged in the information.

C. J. PAYTON
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
Oct 26 1932
A. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the jury having announced this as this true verdict, it is ordered by the Court, that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

No. 6693 Cr. Cont'd.

figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES)
vs.) No. 6693
FRANK WASHINGTON)

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant Frank Washington, guilty, as charged in the indictment.

G. B. McKee
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
Oct 26 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES)
vs.) No. 6861 .
FRANK WASHINGTON)

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant Frank Washington not guilty, as charged in the information.

G. B. McKee
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
Oct 26 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Therefore, the jury having announced these to be their true verdicts, it is ordered by the court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case. It is further ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be deferred for a period of six (6) months.

UNITED STATES - ALABAMA, Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 6695 - Criminal.

L. A. BOOTH, alias ROY OTH, L. A.
WILLIE, J. BOOTH and ALMA BOOTH, Defendants.

Now on this 20th day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on Defendant L. A. Booth, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a county jail, for a period of: Count two - for the time he has already served. It is further ordered that defendant be now discharged.

It is further ordered by the court that count one be dismissed.

No. 6695 Cr. cont'd.

It is the further order of the Court, that said Defendant make a witness bond in the sum of \$500.00, for his appearance on November 28, 1932.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	no. 6759 - Criminal. ✓
NILE R. MANN and F. L. POPE,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 26th day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that execution of sentence as to Defendant Nile R. Mann be, and it is hereby, stayed for a period of ten (10) days.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	no. 6775 - Criminal. ✓
ORVILLE GODISON, true name ORVILLE GODISON,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 26th day of October, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Orville Godison, appearing in person. Now at this time Defendant withdraws his former plea of Nolo Contendere and now enters a plea of guilty to counts 1, 2 and 3, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
Count One, sixty (60) days,
Count two, sixty (60) days from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine into the United States in the sum of one hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count One (1), and in default thereof stand confined until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two (2) to run concurrent with said sentence in Count One (1).

It is further ordered by the Court that Count three be dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 6776 - Criminal. ✓
WILSON MCGLOWRY,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 26th day of October, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: J. W. Maupin, E. A. Mansfield, L. H. Ireland, Elmer Madden, Henry McNamara, Harry Stead, J. L. Michael, Ben A. Buzan, Al Breechen, W. A. Dickson, Russell Harris, Walter Kempleman. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Wilbur Stephens. and thereafter, the Government rests. The Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: One Benson, Searcy Brazier, Theodore Barrett, Milton McGlowry. And thereafter, Defendant rests. The Government

No. 6776 Cr. Cont'd.

offers in rebuttal testimony of J. M. Hill. Both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereupon, the jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES)
vs.) No. 6776
WILTON McGLORY,)

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant Wilton Mc Glory not guilty, as charged in the indictment.

ELMIRA HADDAN
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
Oct 26 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6784 - Criminal. ✓
)
GARY L. SINNIGER & L. C. PATTERSON, Defendants.)

Now on this 26th day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence of Defendant Gary L. Sinniger be now set aside and commitment recalled and modified to read as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
Count Two - Three (3) days, to date from October 24, 1932.
It is further ordered that Defendant be now discharged.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6791 - Criminal. ✓
)
CHARLES A. BRUSSO, AUGUSTA WUTSON and
OSCAR E. VOGLER, Defendants.)

Now on this 26th day of October, A. D. 1932, cometh the United States Attorney, representing the government herein, and the defendant Charles A. Brusso appearing in person. Now at this time Defendant Brusso withdraws his plea of not guilty to Count 2 and now enters a plea of Nolo Contendere to Count 2, which plea is accepted by the Court. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred as to each defendant, to January, 1933.

No. 6861 Cr. Cont'd.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES)
vs.) No. 6861
FRANK WASHINGTON,)

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn,
upon our oaths, find the defendant Frank Washington not guilty, as charged
in the information.

FILED In Open Court
Oct 26 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

G. B. McKee
Foreman.

And thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by
the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

Court adjourned until October 27, 1932.

On this 27th day of October, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States
for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pur-
suant to adjournment, Hon. F. L. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John A. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and
entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER TO DESTROY 60 GALLONS OF ALCOHOL.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

Miscellaneous ORDER of Court

Now on this the 28th day of October, the same being one of the regular days of
the special March A. D. 1932 term of said court, there coming on for hearing the motion of the
United States Attorney with reference to the disposition of sixty (60) gallons of alcohol, and
the court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, finds that Wm R. Biddens, Deputy
Prohibition Administrator, has in his custody sixty (60) gallons of alcohol which has been sold
by defendants in violation of the National Prohibition Act, or seized by said Prohibition De-
partment from defendants who have been violating the National Prohibition Act, and that the
causes in which said defendants have dealt in said alcohol have all been fully and finally dis-
posed of, and that there is no further need of keeping said alcohol as evidence, and that said
alcohol can be used by the local unit of the Geological Survey, stationed at Tulsa, Oklahoma,
and by the local unit of the Prohibition Department, stationed at Tulsa, as an anti-freeze in
the cars operated by them in connection with their official duties.

It is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said Wm R. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator be, and he is hereby directed to denature said alcohol in accordance with rules and regulations described by law, and that he turn over to the local unit of the Geological Survey, fifty (50) gallons thereof, taking a receipt from the proper official of the said Geological Survey, said alcohol to be by them used as an anti-freeze in cars used by them in their official capacity, and that ten (10) gallons thereof be kept by said Wm R. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, to be used as anti-freeze in cars used by him in his official capacity, and that when said alcohol has been so distributed and so used, that the said Wm R. Giddens make due returns of his actions under and by virtue of this order.

F. E. KENNAUER
JUDGE

O.K. HARRY SEATON
Asst U. S. Atty

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 27 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ORDER FOR DESTRUCTION OF LIQUOR

Now on this 18th day of October 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the Special March T. D. 1932 term of said court, there coming on for hearing the motion of the United States Attorney, showing to the court that in the following cases, certain liquors are now stored in the Prohibition office in the care and custody of Wm R. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma and that the cases in which said liquor is involved have all been disposed of by this honorable court and there is no further necessity of holding the same as evidence, said cases being as follow, to-wit:

<u>Court No.</u>	<u>On</u>	<u>Name of defendant</u>	<u>Quantity</u>
6552	1027	John Eaton	1 pint of whiskey
6180	700	G. L. Blazer et al	6 pints of beer
6225	756	Daniel E. Bevans et al	2 pints of whiskey
6228	865	E. L. Klepfer	6 pints of beer
6229	858	Chas. B. Walker et al	$\frac{1}{2}$ gal. of whiskey
6231	832	Alza Hale	1 pint of whiskey
6233	866	Jap. L. Rowell	4 pints of whiskey
6287	702	Earnest Washam	1 pint of whiskey
6333	616	Ollis Benton	1 pint of whiskey
6351	631	Manie Lovelady	1 pint of whiskey
6367	852	W. H. Davis et al	1 pint of whiskey
6397	820	Andrew Mozarko	1 gal. of whiskey
6426	823	Howard Houston	1 pint of whiskey
6428	843	Ray North	1 quart of whiskey
6429	870	Claud Phelps	1 pint of whiskey
6437	895	Earnest Troupe	2 pints of whiskey
6438	902	Rickie Fields	1 pint of whiskey
6440	828	Jack Alkire	$\frac{1}{2}$ gal. of whiskey
6444	882	Oren King	1 pint of whiskey and 6 pints of beer
6445	830	Ernett Edsworth	3 pints of whiskey

6446	831	Arthur Ward	1 pint of whiskey
6447	903-4	Charles Pratt et al	3 pts and 1 qt. whiskey
6448	837	High Miller	4 pints of whiskey
6454	892	Lawrence Kinney et al	1 pint of whiskey
6455	909	Bill Parks	1 pint of whiskey
6457	883	Oliver D. Dodd	1 pint of whiskey
6459	948	L. L. Lawrence	$\frac{1}{2}$ pint of whiskey
6460	867	Oscar J. Stewart	1 pint & 1 qt. whiskey
6461	864	Daisy Jackson	1 pint of whiskey
6506	877	Grace Neil et al	1 quart of whiskey
6526	921	Arnie Williams	1 pint of whiskey
6527	687	Johnny Cloudy et al	1 pint of whiskey
5307	255	Watt Hughes et al	8 quarts of beer
6147	670	Lula McClish Tucker	1 pint of whiskey
6167	669	Wm Pullium et al	1 pint of whiskey
6179	690	Florence Cantley	1 pint of whiskey
6215	658	Nora Browning et al	1 pint of whiskey
6243	708	Alf Allen et al	$\frac{1}{2}$ gal. of whiskey
6255	806	Will Letts et al	1 pint of whiskey
6262	736	Floyd Higgins	1 pint of whiskey
6263	736	Charles Wadsworth	1 quart of whiskey
6265	749-E	Otis Hawkins	$\frac{1}{2}$ gal & 2 pts of whiskey
6266	745	Andrew J. Grim	2 pints of whiskey
6301	680	Ernie Allen	1 pint of whiskey
6310	682	Tom Sanders	1 pint of whiskey
6357	686	Virgil T. Campbell	5 gals of whiskey
6386	896	Raymond Morgan	1 pint of whiskey
6370	701	Sid Young et al	6 gals of whiskey
6371	915	Mike Hoffman et al	1 gal whiskey
6393	769	James E. Decker et al	1 quart of whiskey
6403	917	Charles Hall et al	$\frac{3}{4}$ pt of whiskey and 4 pints of beer
6409	761	Billie Scott et al	1 pint of whiskey
6471	740	Herb Spencer et al	1 pint of whiskey
6472	734	Hye Harris	2 pints of whiskey
6484	805	Joe Thompson	1 gal. of whiskey
6485	809	T. E. Pace	1 quart of whiskey
6486	834	Jim Peters	1 pint of whiskey
6488	807	Ben A. Shell	1 quart of whiskey
6497	825	N. E. Greene	2 pints of whiskey
6499	894	W. J. Edens	1 gal. of whiskey
6503	880	Mary K. Bartlett	1 pint of whiskey
6517	803	Joe Allen	1 quart of whiskey
6521	869	Jack Lundy	1 pint of whiskey
6529	924	Allsworth Kimes	$1\frac{1}{2}$ gals. of whiskey
6531	932	Victoria Poor et al	1 quart of whiskey
6533	931	Henry White	$\frac{1}{2}$ gal. of whiskey
6534	930	Ralph Brown et al	1 pint of whiskey
6535	970	Bonnie Robinson	1 pint of whiskey
6536	908	Louise Riggs	$\frac{1}{2}$ pint of whiskey
6537	950	John Kerney et al	2 pints of whiskey
6538	940	Sterling Morgan	3 pints of beer
6542	938	Alvin C. Hill	1 pint of whiskey and 2 pints of beer
6544	937	Richard Knight et al	$\frac{1}{2}$ pint of whiskey and 2 pints of beer
6545	941	Robert Alexander et al	1 pint of whiskey
6547	955	J. D. Williamson	1 pint of whiskey
6549	954	William H. Clark	5 pints of whiskey
6550	710	Oscar Stillmacher et al	1 gal. of whiskey

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that in the cases as heretofore listed, the intoxicating liquor now being held by said Deputy Prohibition Administrator be destroyed, and that said Deputy Prohibition Administrator, after such destruction is effected, make his return thereon in said court.

F. B. KEMMAMER
JUDGE

O.K. HARRY SEATON
Assistant U. S. Attorney.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 27 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 6410 - Criminal. ✓
ROY CAMDEN and KINTY CAMDEN,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 27th day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of United States Attorney Seaton, that the above cause be, and it is hereby, dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 6621 - Criminal. ✓
C. T. LANE,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 27th day of October, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant C. T. Lane, appearing in person. Thereupon, said case is called, both sides having announced ready. Whereafter, the following witnesses are sworn and examined for the Government: Jno. Marlin, C. A. Duckworth, Lena Allen. And thereafter, the following witnesses are sworn and examined for the Defendant: Lucille Madden and C. H. Kramer. And thereafter, further hearing is continued to October 28th, 1932.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 6709 - Criminal. ✓
CHARLES EARL ASHBROOK,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 27th day of October, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Charles Earl Ashbrook, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to counts 1 and 3 and not guilty to counts 2 and 4, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed to November 20, 1932 at Tulsa and that said Defendant stand on present bond.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 6752 - Criminal. ✓

ROLLIN H. HYAMS, IRVING ROOSEVELT
BARTON and CHARLES WILLIAM HIGH, alias
ANDREW W.,)
Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that judgment and sentence heretofore imposed against defendant Rollin H. Hyams be now vacated and modified to read as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

One (1) year and one (1) day from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 6754 - Criminal. ✓

ROLLIN H. HYAMS, IRVING ROOSEVELT BARTON,
CHARLES WILLIAM HIGH, alias ANDERSON, and
LAWRENCE CREEDY BURKS,)
Defendants.)

Now on this 28th day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that judgment and sentence heretofore imposed against Defendant Lawrence Creedy Burks be, and it is hereby, vacated and judgment and sentence deferred for the period of one (1) year. It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence heretofore imposed against Defendant Rollin H. Hyams be now vacated and modified to read as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

One (1) year and one (1) day. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with said sentence imposed in Case #6752 - Criminal.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 6756 - Criminal. ✓

ROLLIN H. HYAMS, IRVING ROOSEVELT BARTON
and HUBERT A. BLEIGH,)
Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that judgment and sentence as to Defendant Hubert A. Bleigh be now imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a county jail, for a period of:
six (6) months from date of delivery.

No. 6758 Cr. Cont'd.

It is further ordered by the court that judgment and sentence heretofore imposed against defendant Hollin G. Hynds be now vacated and modified to read as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

One (1) year and one (1) day, said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with said sentence imposed in case #6752 - Criminal.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6773 - Criminal. ✓
)	
F. R. BOYD,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 27th day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court, that judgment and sentence heretofore imposed against Defendant Boyd be now vacated and modified to read as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Thirty-six (36) months from date of delivery.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

I. V. BRAMM,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1120 - Law. ✓
)	
HELF. A. BODOVITZ, et al,	Defendants.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY

On this 27th day of October, 1932, this case came on for trial in its regular order, plaintiff appearing by his attorneys Remington Rogers and Gerald W. Klein, and the defendants J. A. Brates and F. A. Bodovitz, Receivers of Union Transportation Company, a corporation, and their attorneys Kleinschmidt & Johnson; a jury having been waived by agreement of the parties made in open court,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said plaintiff have and recover of the said defendants the sum of \$25.00, and that the costs of this action be taxed to the said defendants.

O.H. Atty for Plaintiff	F. L. HERLMER
CLARENCE L. JOHNSON, Atty for Defs.	United States District Judge.
O.H. Atty for Def. Remington Rogers	
G. W. Klein	

ENDORSED: Filed Nov 3 1932
H. F. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

C. A. Lebow,)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
Susan A. Tague, and Susan A. Tague, as)
Administratrix of the Estate of Charles)
L. Tague, deceased,)
Defendants.)

No. 1219 LAW. ✓

DISMISSAL

On motion of the plaintiff the above case is dismissed at plaintiff's costs.

Dated this 27th day of October, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 27 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

L. T. BARKER,)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
KROGER GROCERY &)
BAKING COMPANY,)
Defendants.)

No. 1429 LAW ✓

ORDER DISMISSING

This cause comes on to be heard before me, Franklin E. Kennamer, judge of said court, on this the 27th day of October, 1932, on the motion of the plaintiff to dismiss said case on account of insufficiency of evidence occasioned by reason of the death of W. H. Henderson, a justice of the peace. The Court finds that said motion should be sustained and said case be dismissed.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the motion of the plaintiff to dismiss such case be and the same is hereby sustained and said case dismissed.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of said court.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 27 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

Court adjourned until October 28, 1932.

One thousand (\$1000.00) Dollars, less the impoundage fee, be and the same is hereby released to the said defendant, Scott Taylor.

F. L. KRAMER
Judge.

OK HARRY SE TAY
Asst U. S. Atty

RECORDED: Filed Oct 28, 1932
D. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,) No. 6785 - Criminal.
-vs-)	
NANCY MILLER & JUANITA DUNCAN PERRY,	Defendants.	

Now on this 28th day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court, that judgment and sentence be now imposed on the above defendants as follows:

JUANITA DUNCAN PERRY

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of one hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count Two, and in default thereof, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence on Counts one and three be deferred for the period of six (6) months during good behavior. It is the further order of the Court that Defendant be given until Monday to pay the above fine.

NANCY MILLER

It is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred six (6) months during good behavior.

ELIZABETH HENTFROW,	Plaintiff,) No. 1152 - Law.
-vs-)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant.	

Now on this 28th day of October, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Hereafter, a jury is duly waived. Whereupon, opening statements of counsel are made and all witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Mrs. Hentfrow, Mr. Paul Wote, Ralph A. Smith. Whereupon, both sides rest. And whereupon, after being fully advised, it is ordered by the Court, that judgment be entered for the Defendant, as per journal entry to be filed, to all of which Plaintiff excepts. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be given sixty (60) days to prepare bill of exceptions herein.

J. W. WHITE, GUARDIAN OF ESTATE OF
 DEWEY RICHARD SHANGREAU OR
 JOHN BELL RICHART,)
 Plaintiffs,)
 -vs-)
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Defendant.)

No. 1272 - Law. ✓

Now on this 28th day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the Plaintiff, that the above case be, and it is hereby, dismissed, without prejudice at the cost of the Plaintiff.

LEHIGH VALLEY, R. R. CO. A CORP.,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 LEE MORRISON, d/b as LEE MORRISON)
 SUPPLY COMPANY,)
 Defendant.)

No. 1421 - Law. ✓

Now on this 28th day of October, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The Jury sworn to try said cause and true verdict render, is as follows: J. B. Burton, T. J. Richmond, B. J. Handlon, B. F. Nielbling, B. J. Burke, W. E. Mozer, E. J. Bowers, R. A. Helms, George McKee, Will Zilar, W. L. Murphy, C. E. Dotson. Opening statements of counsel are made and all witnesses are sworn in open court. Thereupon, the Plaintiff introduces documentary evidence and rests. And thereupon, Defendant demurs to the evidence introduced herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the court, that said case be, and it is hereby, withdrawn from the jury, and said jury is accordingly waived. It is further ordered by the Court, that said case be, and it is hereby, taken under advisement. It is further ordered that Briefs be submitted.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT, STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

William H. Darnell,)
 Plaintiff,)
 vs)
 United States of America,)
 Defendant.)

No. 1315 Law ✓

O R D E R

This matter coming on for hearing this 28 day of October, 1932, and the court being fully advised finds that the plaintiff's motion to file amended petition should be granted; and the same is hereby granted without prejudice to trial.

F. E. HERMAN
 Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Oct 28 1932
 E. F. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court J. E.

Court adjourned until October 29, 1932.

On this 29th day of October, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in special March 1932 session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. S. Honnamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Garfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John A. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

In the Matter of the Receivership of the)
First National Bank of Fairfax, Oklahoma,) Discl.
a corporation,)

ORDER TO SELL FURNITURE AND FIXTURES.

On this 29th day of October, 1932, is filed and presented to the Court for hearing the petition of Frank M. Overstreet, receiver of the First National Bank of Fairfax, Oklahoma, an insolvent banking institution, praying that an order be made in this proceeding authorizing and directing him as such receiver to sell from time to time, at public or private sale, separately or as a whole, and subject only to the approval of the Comptroller of the Currency, the various items of furniture and fixtures owned by said bank and particularly described in a list thereof attached to said petition, marked "Exhibit A", and made a part thereof.

And it appearing to the court upon a hearing on said petition that Frank M. Overstreet, the petitioner herein, is the duly appointed, qualified and acting receiver of said First National Bank of Fairfax, Oklahoma; and it further appearing to the court that said property is a part of the assets of said bank and that it is necessary that the same be sold for the purposes and reasons stated in said petition, and that the honorable Comptroller of the Currency has directed the petitioner to apply to this court for an order to sell said property in the manner stated in said petition, said furniture and fixtures being particularly described in said "Exhibit A" to said petition as follows, to-wit:

ASSET NUMBER 432
FURNITURE AND FIXTURES
FIRST NATIONAL BANK, FAIRFAX, OKLAHOMA.

1 - 3 window bank counter	1 8 drawer metal envelope file
1 - customers counter, wood	2 steel letter files
1 - roll top desk, oak finish	1 Underwood typewriter
1 - roll top desk, old style	1 E. C. Smith typewriter
1 - typewriter desk, oak	1 Burroughs Posting Machine, Electric
1 - table, oak	1 Burroughs Adding Machine
1 - customers settee - wood	1 Burroughs Portable Adding Machine
1 - swivel chair	1 Cummings Perforator No. 2
5 - office chairs	1 Roll Protectograph
1 - stool chair	1 Meaker money changer
3 - stools	1 check sorter
1 - bookkeeping desk, oak	1 Hotchkiss #1 Stapler
1 - quick comfort heater	2 pencil sharpeners
1 - metal filing bus	3 desk lamps
1 - facey - 2 drawer card file	1 letter scale
1 metal note case	1 extra large pendulum clock, oak
1 6 drawer steel check file	1 bookkeepers stand

No. 6863 Cr. Cont'd.

be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of: to the time he has been in jail. It is further ordered that said defendant be now discharged.

W. H. MYER,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 1211 - Law. ✓

UNITED STATES FIDELITY & GUARANTY
CO. A CORP.,

Defendant.)

Now on this 29th day of October, A. D. 1938, it is by the court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ✓

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

ORDERING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between W. H. Myer, plaintiff, and United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company, a corporation, defendant, No. 1211, Law, the judgment of the said District Court in said cause, entered on October 18, 1931, was in the following words, viz:

"It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that plaintiff have and recover judgment against the defendant, the United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company, for the sum of \$10,000.00 with interest thereon from the 15th day of September, 1930, at the rate of six per cent per annum, and for the costs of this action. To the judgment of the court and each and every part thereof the defendant is allowed an exception. Execution upon said judgment is hereby stayed for a period of fifteen days from the date hereof. Done in open court at Vineta, Oklahoma, the day and year above written."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company, a corporation, agreeably to an act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

ALL THINGS, at the April Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court as was carried up aforesaid.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this court, that the judgment of the said District Court, in this cause, be, as the same is hereby, reversed; and this cause be and the same is hereby remanded to the said District Court for further proceedings consistent with the opinion of this court; and that United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company, a corporation, or assigns, have and recover of and from W. H. Myer, appellee, the

costs herein and have execution therefor.

- - August 1, 1933.

... therefore, are hereby commanded that such further proceedings be had in said cause, in conformity with the opinion and judgment of this court as according to right and justice, and the law of the United States, ought to be had.

... the honorable CHARLES E. WOODS, Chief Justice of the United States, the 27th day of October, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two.

Costs of	Appellant:
Clerk,	.43.40
Printing record,	\$-- --
Attorney,	<u>20.00</u>
	63.40

Alma ...
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, tenth circuit.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
TENTH CIRCUIT

Costs taxed in favor of appellant, in the case of United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company, a Corporation, appellant, vs. W. W. Myer, appellee No. 610.

Filing record and docketing case,	5 00
Filing 48 copies of printed record,	12 00
Filing and entering 2 surety bonds for appellant	50
Filing and entering 2 appearances for appellee	1 00
Clerk, preparing record for printer, etc.,	- --
Printer, for printing record,	- --
Filing 11 papers,	2 50
Entering 2 orders, 2 folios,	40
Entering continuance,	- --
Filing briefs for appellant	10 00
Filing briefs for appellee	5 00
Filing opinion	25
Filing and entering judgment on decree,	1 25
Filing petition for a rehearing,	- --
Filing and entering order on petition for a rehearing,	- --
Issuing mandate to District Court,	5 00
Filing receipt for mandate,	25
Filing receipt on balance of deposit,	25
Attorney's docket fee,	20 00
	<u>63 40</u>

Attest: ALBERT ...
Clerk, U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals,
Tenth Circuit.

FILED: Filed Dec 29 1933
H. S. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

WALTER HANSEN,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1638 - Law. ✓
 GENERAL BAIN CORP.,)
 Defendant.)

Now on this 28th day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that motion of Defendant to strike portions of reply be, and it is hereby, taken under advisement. It is further ordered by the Court, that defendant be permitted to file amended answer.

JESSE O'BUTT,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1661 - Law. ✓
 ATCHISO, TOPEKA & SANTA FE RY. CO.)
 a corp. et al,)
 Defendants.)

Now on this 28th day of October, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that motion of Plaintiff to remand be, and it is hereby, taken under advisement.

 Court adjourned until October 31, 1932.

SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

MONDAY, OCTOBER 31, 1932

On this 31st day of October, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
 John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
 John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

WALTER HANSEN,)
 Complainant,)
 -vs-) Original No. 6349. ✓
 JOE G. ACCIN,)
 Defendant.)

ORDER MODIFYING A FINE AND JUDGMENT.

Now on this the 31st day of October, 1932, this matter came on to be heard upon the motion of U. S. Walker, Attorney for the defendant Joe G. Accin, asking the Court to modify the judgment of the Court heretofore entered herein on the 14th day of July, 1932, imposing upon said defendant a jail sentence of five months from said date. And the Court being fully advised in the premises, and upon due consideration whereof, finds that said sentence should be modified and changed so that said term in the County Jail heretofore imposed upon said defendant should expire with this date, and that said defendant should be forthwith released from the County Jail.

J. L. WILSON, By the Court, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED, that the order of the Court heretofore entered herein on the 14th day of July, 1932, imposing a five months' jail sentence upon the defendant Joe G. Ancoin, and the same is hereby modified and changed so that same shall expire with this date, and the clerk of this Court is hereby directed and instructed to forthwith issue an order of release for the said defendant Joe G. Ancoin, and that he be forthwith released from the County Jail.

F. L. WILSON, JR.
JUDGE.

Okehed. HARRY SLATON
Asst U. S. Atty

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 31 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 6856 - Criminal ✓
Carl Lewis,)
Defendant.)

O R D E R

Now on this 31st day of October, 1932, the same being one of the regular days of the Special March A. D. 1932 term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the motion of the defendant for allowance of his back time on sentence heretofore imposed by the court, that is to say, sentence of ninety days in jail, imposed by the court on the 6th day of October, 1932, and it appearing to the court that the defendant herein was arrested on the 26th day of August, 1932,

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the sentence of ninety days heretofore imposed on the said defendant shall date from the 26th day of August, 1932.

F. L. WILSON, JR.
Judge.

OK: W. B. BLAIR
Assistant U. S. Attorney.

ENDORSED: Filed Nov 1 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

J. L. WILSON,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1441 - Law. ✓
W. B. BLAIR,)
Defendants.)

Now on this 31st day of October, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn for the said cause return a verdict rendered, is as follows: B. J. Burke, L. F. Fowers, A. A. Adams, Geo. Moore, W. L. Murphy, G. A. Brown, F. L. Turpin, L. A. Hansfield, L. J. Arden, Albert Liden,

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. F. Longmire, administrator of the Estate
of Ross W. Longmire, deceased, and Biotha
Longmire, Plaintiffs,

vs.

United States of America, Defendant.
Dollie Della Longmire, Intervener.

No. 1466 Law.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 31st day of October, 1938, this cause coming on for hearing on motion of plaintiffs and intervener in open court, it is ordered that said cause be dismissed without prejudice at their costs.

F. L. REYNOLDS
Judge

Witnessed: Filed Oct 31 1938
E. W. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Rand Writtenman, Plaintiff,
vs. United States of America, Defendant.

No. 1477 Law.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 31 day of October, 1938, this cause coming on for hearing on motion of plaintiff in open court, it is ordered that said cause be dismissed without prejudice at costs of plaintiff.

F. L. REYNOLDS
Judge.

Witnessed: Filed Oct 31 1938
E. W. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Laudie L. Wilson, Plaintiff,
vs. United States of America, Defendant.

No. 1407 Law.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 31 day of October, 1938, this cause coming on for hearing on motion

of plaintiff in open court, it is ordered that said cause be dismissed without prejudice to
costs of plaintiff.

W. H. HARRIS
Judge.

FILED: Filed Oct 31 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Oney W. Capps,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
United States of America,) Defendant.

No. 1498 LAW.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 31 day of October, 1932, this cause coming on for hearing on motion
of plaintiff in open court, it is ordered that said cause be dismissed without prejudice to
costs of plaintiff.

W. H. HARRIS
Judge.

FILED: Filed Oct 31 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

E. EDWARD SMITH,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
ROBERT NEW,)
I. W. SMITH,) Defendants.

No. 1506 LAW.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 31 day of October, 1932, on motion of the defendant, the above
entitled cause is dismissed without prejudice.

W. H. HARRIS
Judge.

FILED: Filed Oct 31 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until November 1, 1932.

On this 1st day of November, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, not pursuant to adjournment, Wm. J. A. Henderson, Judge, present and presiding.

A. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John L. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John L. Wickre, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5701 - Criminal. ✓
Harry McIntire, E. A. Thredgill, Bert Butler and Charles Hyatt, Defendants.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME.

This matter coming on upon application of the defendants, Harry McIntire, E. A. Thredgill and Bert Butler, for an order extending the time in which to file the record in the Circuit Court of Appeals, and the court having considered the stipulation herein.

It is hereby ordered that the defendants be given up to and including the 10th day of November, 1932, in which to file their record in the above entitled cause with the clerk of the Circuit Court of Appeals at Denver, Colorado.

Done in open court this 29th day of October, 1932.

W. J. A. HENDERSON
United States District Judge.

W. J. A. HENDERSON
Assistant U. S. Atty

RECORDED: Filed Nov 1 1932
A. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff,)
v.) No. 6699 Cr.
William Bryant and Raymond Boden, Defendants.)

ORDER OF COURT

Now on this the 1st day of November A. D. 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, this writer comes on before the court upon the motion of the United States Attorney, moving the court to make an order for

in possession of said coupe, motor no. 926946b, and at the time of the arrest of said defendants, said car was being used in the transportation of one gallon of whiskey, and it further appearing to the court that the said defendants have heretofore plead guilty to transportation of said liquor in said automobile, and have been duly sentenced by the court, and it further appearing to the court that the said automobile has a value of approximately fifty (\$50.00), which is not sufficient to justify sale by the government,

IT IS THE COURT'S ORDER that the automobile hereinbefore described be delivered to the defendant, Ernest H. Carver, upon payment by him of all the storage charges and other expenses incident to the seizure thereof.

F. L. REYNOLDS
JUDGE

O.K. HARRY SEASON
Assistant U. S. Attorney

EM ORBED: Filed Nov 1 1932
W. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court N.R.

MIDWEST CHEVROLET CO. A CORP., Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1442 - 1st. ✓
GENERAL ACCEPTANCE CORP., Defendant.

Now on this 1st day of November, A. D. 1932, the above cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Whereupon, the Plaintiff continues with their introduced evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Clyde Thompson, Leo Pruitt. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Whereupon, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is dismissed and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M. Whereupon, the Defendant demurs to the evidence of the Plaintiff herein.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore, and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Whereupon, it is ordered by the court that demurrer of said Defendant be, and it is hereby overruled and objection allowed. Whereupon, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: F. L. Reinart, W. H. Cohen, Mr. Hunt, W. L. Refner, W. J. Alston, Joe Brennan, J. B. Jones. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. Whereupon, the Plaintiff offers in rebuttal testimony of Clyde Thompson. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is dismissed and court is adjourned to 9:30 o'clock A.M., November 8, 1932.

MIDWEST CHEVROLET CO. A CORP., Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1074 - 1st. ✓
GENERAL ACCEPTANCE CORP., Defendant.

Connecticut, copy of complaint and subpoena of witness, was hereby permitted to file answers thereto.

At November 1st, 1932.

H. P. MARFIELD,
Clerk.

Filed for
H. P. Marfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until November 2, 1932.

SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1932

On this 2nd day of November, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. L. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Court, present and presiding.

H. P. Marfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John L. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 6584 - Criminal.
ERNEST W. WHEELER, Defendant.

Now on this 2nd day of November, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Defendant be, and he is hereby, granted five (5) days night and day time to take bond in the above case.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 6601 - Criminal.
O. W. WOOD, Defendant.

Now on this 2nd day of November, A. D. 1932, the above cause comes on for continuance of hearing. All parties present as heretofore. The Defendant continues with introduction of evidence and proffers U. S. Lane and Bryan Golsky. The Defendant, both sides rest. Thereupon, after being fully advised in the premises, and considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that Defendant be found guilty and that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of one hundred (\$100.00) Dollars and costs, as required to pay costs in all cases where he has appeared as counsel, and in default thereof, so committed to the custody of the Writney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement

ROBERT A. WEATHERLY,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Defendant.)

No. 1468 - Law. ✓

Now on this 2nd day of November, A. D. 1938, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and its true verdict render, is as follows: J. M. Murphy, C. E. Dotson, J. W. Kaupin, E. A. Mansfield, L. F. Ireland, Elmer Hadden, Henry McNamar, R. L. Michael, R. E. Dickson, E. T. Heibling, A. W. Burke, George McKee. All witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Robert A. Weatherly, H. E. Wakefield, R. W. Motley, L. P. Corish. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendant moves for judgment herein, upon the evidence heretofore submitted. Thereafter, the court sustains said motion and directs the jury to return a verdict for the Defendant herein, said jury returns the following verdict:

VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
 OF OKLAHOMA

ROBERT A. WEATHERLY Plaintiff,)
 vs.) Case No. 1468
 United States, Defendant.)

And the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the defendant.

Elmer Hadden
 Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
 Nov 2 1938
 H. E. Wakefield, clerk
 U. S. District Court.

It is further ordered that said jury be now discharged. It is the further order of the court, that judgment be entered on verdict.

CLIVE LEM PEARSON,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Defendant.)

No. 1488 - Law. ✓

Now on this 2nd day of November, A. D. 1938, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial and both waive a trial by jury, in open court. Thereupon, all witnesses are sworn and opening statements of counsel are made. Thereupon, leave is granted to amend the Petition herein. Thereupon, the Defendant demurs to the Amended Petition and said demurrer is sustained by the Court, to all of which Plaintiff excepts. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court, after being fully advised in the premises, that said case be dismissed upon grounds "barred by statute of limitations", all at the cost of the Plaintiff in the above cause.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

E. L. Neill,	Plaintiff)
)
vs.)
) No. 1648 Law
Spartan Aircraft Company, a)
corporation,	Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO ANSWER

It appearing to the court that the negotiations for the compromise and settlement of the above cause referred to in the order of the court entered herein on October 14, 1932, will be further delayed awaiting certain information from Argentina, South America, it is, with the consent of the attorneys for the parties to said cause ORDERED that the defendant in said cause be and it is hereby granted twenty (20) days from and after November 8, 1932, within which to file its answer in said cause.

Done in open court this November 8, 1932.

F. B. HEDGECOCK
Judge

Approved:

MOHNEY & SAVAGE
Attorneys for plaintiff
ALVIN F. BALONY
GEO. W. CUMMINGS
Attorneys for defendant

Attest: Filed Nov 8 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until November 8, 1932.

On this 8th day of November, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 session at Tulsa, not pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. B. Hedgcock, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John L. Baldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John L. Pierce, United States Marshal.

Public records being duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 G. T. CALLOWAY, L. D. PRATT and)
 IRENE PRATT,)
 Defendants.)

No. 6348 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 3rd day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant G. T. Calloway, appearing in person. Now at this time, Defendant withdraws his former plea of not guilty and now enters a plea ofnolo Contendere on Count 2, which plea is accepted by the Court. Thereupon, statements are made and it is ordered by the Court, after being fully advised, that said cause be dismissed as to Defendant Calloway, due to insufficient evidence.

ALBERT WINSTON,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND IND. CO.,)
 Defendant.)

No. 1492 - Law. ✓

Now on this 3rd day of November, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present are announce ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. L. J. Bowers is excused by the Court for cause. The Plaintiff challenges, R. A. Helms. The Defendant waives challenges. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows: E. J. Burke, Geo. McKee, Will Kilar, W. M. Murphy, C. L. Dotson, W. T. Hauptin, E. A. Mansfield, L. H. Ireland, Elmer Hadden, Henry McNamar, H. L. Michael, Ben F. Buzan. All witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: A. E. Swann, Albert Winston. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session, all parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present, and in the box. Thereupon, the Plaintiff continues with its introduction of evidence and proof with witness, Albert Winston, G. H. Murray. (And thereafter, testimony of J. A. Barbry is introduced for the defendant herein, out of time). Thereafter, the Plaintiff continues with J. P. Dyer. And thereafter, Plaintiff rests. And thereupon, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished, and court is adjourned to 9:30 o'clock A.M., November 4, 1932.

CHARLES F. THOMPSON, JR. CO.,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 HILF SCHAPER, JR. CO.,)
 Defendants.)

No. 1580 - Law. ✓

Now on this 3rd day of November, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that motion of defendants for continuance be, and it is hereby, sustained. It is further ordered by the Court that case be stricken from the assignment at this time.

Court adjourned until November 4, 1932.

On this 4th day of November, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Term 1932 session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, on. J. W. Hennner, Judge, present and presiding.

J. W. Hennner, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John L. Holmesbury, U. S. Attorney.
John W. Vickroy, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff)
v.) No. 6652 Cr.
Dave Johnson, et al Defendants.)

O R D E R

Now on this 4th day of October, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the Special Term A. D. 1932 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the motion of the United States Attorney, moving the court to make an order releasing the automobile seized from the above named defendants on or about the 25th day of July, 1932, at which time said car was being used for the unlawful transportation of forty gallons of whiskey, and it further appearing that said defendants have heretofore plead guilty to transportation of said liquor in said automobile and have been duly sentenced by the court, and it further appearing that said automobile has a value of approximately thirty-five (\$35.00) dollars, which is not sufficient to justify the sale of same by the government.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Chevrolet roadster, motor No. 4086969, be delivered to the defendant, Dave Johnson, upon payment by him of all the storage charges and other expenses incident to the seizure thereof.

J. W. Hennner,
Clerk, U. S. District Court
John L. Holmesbury,
U. S. Attorney
John W. Vickroy,
U. S. Marshal

W. J. ...
...

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff,
vs.
Dave Johnson, et al, Defendants.

O R D E R

On this 4th day of October, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the Special Term A. D. 1932 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the motion of the United States Attorney, moving the court to make an order releasing the automobile seized from the above named defendants on or about the 25th day of July, 1932, at which time said car was being used for the unlawful transportation of forty gallons of whiskey, and it further appearing that said defendants have heretofore plead guilty to transportation of said liquor in said automobile and have been duly sentenced by the court, and it further appearing that said automobile has a value of approximately thirty-five (\$35.00) dollars, which is not sufficient to justify the sale of same by the government.

and in the presence of the plaintiff or during its presence and by his attorney, as the defendant, Central Grocery Company, by its manager, officers and its attorney, and Allen Albert in person and by his attorney; and the case being so lawfully called and since it was so called, thereon, a jury was empaneled, examined, accepted by both plaintiff and defendant, and sworn to try the issues according to law and the evidence.

Whereupon, plaintiff introduced his evidence as recited, and at the conclusion of plaintiff's testimony, plaintiff's attorney moved the court to dismiss plaintiff's cause of action against Central Grocery Company, one of the defendants herein, because of a lack of evidence justifying a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, which motion was by the court sustained, and the cause proceeded against the defendant, Allen Albert. The defendant, Allen Albert thereupon adduced his testimony as recited, and plaintiff introduced his rebuttal testimony as recited, at the conclusion of which evidence was waived by both plaintiff and defendant, and the jury instructed by the court, after which they retired to deliberate of their verdict.

On the said and aforesaid date, and on this 21st day of October, 1938, the jury returned its verdict in open court as follows, to-wit:

"We, the jury duly sworn and empaneled in and to the said above and foregoing styled and numbered cause of action, do upon our oath, find the issues in favor of the plaintiff, and give his recovery in the sum of \$15,000.00.

(Signed) F. J. WALKER
Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT, ON SIDELINE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED That plaintiff have and recover of and from the defendant, Allen Albert, the sum of Fifteen thousand (\$15,000.00) Dollars, together with all of his costs herein laid out and expended, the all of which let execution issue.

F. E. HENNINGER
Judge.

BY JOHN L. ALLEN
Atty. for Plaintiff
BY A. C. SANDERS
Atty. for Albert, Defendant

RECORDED: Filed Nov 4 1938
W. F. OFFICER, Clerk
U. S. District Court

JOHN L. ALLEN, Plaintiff,
-vs-
WALTER SANDERS & CO., Defendant.

No. 1498 - Law.

Now on this 4th day of November, A. D. 1938, court to again in session. All parties present as aforesaid were each and every duly present, and in the presence hereupon, the defendant introduced evidence and proof with the following witnesses: H. F. Skinner, C. A. Taylor, Lee E. Hill, A. J. McArthur. And thereupon, the defendant rests. Whereupon, the plaintiff called in rebuttal, testimony of P. A. Pate. And thereupon, the noon hour adjournment arrived, the jury in session of the court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereupon, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session all parties present as aforesaid, and the jury, each and every duly present and in the presence hereupon, the plaintiff called in its rebuttal testimony and introduced the testimony of the following witnesses: P. A. Pate, L. W. Bennett. And thereupon, the plaintiff rests.

And thereafter, Plaintiff moves for a directed verdict herein. Defendant, however, moves for dismissal for want of jurisdiction. And thereafter, the Court overrules motion of Defendant for dismissal and motion of Plaintiff for directed verdict. Thereupon, after being duly advised in the premises, the Court directs the jury to return a verdict in the amount of \$53,469.80, which verdict is in words and figures, as follows:

VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

LEWIS WINSLOW Plaintiff,)
vs.) Case No. 14921.
HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND LIFE-)
INSURANCE COMPANY, A CORPORATION)
Defendant.)

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oath find for the plaintiff and assess amount of his recovery at \$53,469.80 DOLLARS.

B. W. BROWN
Foreman

FILED In open Court
Nov 4 1938
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

To all of which said Defendant excepts. It is further ordered by the Court that Defendant be given sixty (60) days to file motion for new trial and briefs herein. It is further ordered that execution be stayed pending hearing on motion for new trial. And thereafter, said jury is discharged from further consideration of said case.

MARGIE WALKER, Plaintiff,)
- vs -) No. 1494 - Lev.
CHARLES WALKER, JR., D. C. I.)
Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of November, A. D. 1938, the above titled case is called. Both sides present and introduce money for a trial. Thereupon, a juror is impaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Plaintiff challenges D. J. Powers. The Defendant challenges J. P. Padden. Thereupon, the jury swears to try said cause and a true verdict render, as follows: F. L. Dickson, Walter W. Dickson, W. L. Harrison, G. J. Burton, J. W. Burton, E. J. Richman, F. J. Handlan, L. H. Leibling, H. J. Burns, R. A. Helms, Sec. Clee. All witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proceeds with the following witness: Margie Baker. And thereafter, the jury for defendant having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to November 8, 1938.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT,
STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

Aionzo D. Clark,	Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	No. 1577 - Law.
The United States,	Defendant.)	

ORDER DISMISSING CASE.

Now on this 4th day of November, 1932, upon application of plaintiff and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered that the above entitled case be and the same is hereby dismissed, without prejudice, at the cost of plaintiff.

F. P. Warfield
Judge.

O.K. H. E. McNEILL
Attorney for plaintiff. JC

RECORDED: Filed Nov 4 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until November 5, 1932.

SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 1932

On this 5th day of November, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. P. Warfield, Judge, present and presiding.

F. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John H. Aldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Wicker, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

United States of America,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-)	No. 5701 - Original ✓

Herry McIntire, L. A. Broadbill, and
Walter Sandaries Pratt, Defendants.

Continued

And as a cause shown, it is hereby ordered that the defendants, Herry McIntire, L. A. Broadbill and Walter Pratt, have an extension of time in which to file transcript of record in the here-entitled cause with the Clerk of the United States District Court of America

for the tenth circuit until the 1st day of December, 1938.

J. B. ...
JUDGE.

G.H. ...
Asst. U. S. Atty

RECORDED: Filed Nov 5 1938
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court TIR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff,
-vs-
Ernest W. White, Defendant.

No. Cr. - 6324.

PETITION FOR APPEAL.

To the honorable F. W. Mansaver,
Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma:

Ernest W. White, your Petitioner, was in the court in the above entitled cause, prays that he be so permitted to take an appeal from the judgment entered in the above cause on the 29th day of October, 1938, to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the tenth Circuit, for the reasons specified in the Assignment of Errors which is filed herewith.

And your Petitioner desires that said appeal so operate as a supersedeas, and therefore prays that an order be made fixing the amount of security which said defendant shall give and furnish upon such appeal, and that upon giving such security all further proceedings in this court be suspended and stayed until the determination of said appeal by the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the tenth circuit.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 5th day of November, 1938.

H. L. ...
... ROOPER and FRANK BLAIR
Attorneys for Defendant.

COURT DECISION

And now, to-wit, on the 5th day of November, 1938, on the presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition, it is,

Ordered, that the said appeal be allowed as prayed for your defendant giving Assurance and so required by law, in the penal sum of twenty-five hundred and no/100 (\$2,500.00) dollars, which bond shall operate as a supersedeas bond for said defendant.

It appearing to the Court that on account of the press of business in other courts the defendant is unable to obtain immediately the necessary affidavits from said undersigned as to all of the contents, it is,

Ordered, that the time in which the bill of exceptions is to be served and filed herein, be, and it is hereby extended up to and including December 20, 1932; and that the return days of the appeal herein allowed to defendant to have reviewed the judgment of conviction by the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the tenth circuit, and the directions issued thereon, and the time within which defendant may amend the assignments of error, be, and are hereby likewise extended to the 20th day of December, 1932.

Dated, November 8, 1932 .

W. E. KELLAMER
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Nov 5 1932
W. B. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

MARGIE BAKER,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1494 - Law.
CHARLES WEITZ SOUS, INC., and G. L.)
WARRIN,) Defendants.)

Now on this 5th day of November, A. D. 1932, the above styled cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present are in the box. Thereupon, the Plaintiff continues with the following witnesses: W. B. Willis, G. L. Hannaman, Mrs. Elsie Mulhall, Miss Stouth, A. G. Fairwright, P. N. Charbonnet, F. H. McDonald. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendant introduces evidence out of time with witness D. B. Miller. And thereafter, defendant demurs to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer is, by the court overruled and exceptions allowed. Thereupon, the defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Waid Sider. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore, and the jury each and every member present are in the box. And upon, the defendant continues with witness Waid Sider, P. N. Adinger. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is adjourned to 9:30 o'clock A. M., November 7, 1932.

IN SENATE NOVEMBER 1932 DIRECT COURT OF APPEALS TENTH CIRCUIT DISTRICT COURT OF WYOMING

JAMES J. SOMMER, as Guardian of the Person and Estate of Ralph A. Baker, an incompetent,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) Law #1814
The United States of America)
Defendant.)

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

Now on the 8th day of November, 1932, comes on to be heard the application of the Plaintiff herein to dismiss this action without prejudice, and it is hereby advised in the premises, that such order should be made.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged, and decreed that the application of the plaintiff to dismiss this action without prejudice is granted, and that the said action be dismissed without prejudice of the part of plaintiff.

F. L. HANBARGER
District Judge

RECORDED: Filed Nov 5 1932
F. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EAST DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MRS. FRED C. POWELL,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

NO. 1873 - LAV. ✓

BARTLESVILLE WARE COMPANY, a corporation,

Defendant.)

C O U R T

On this 5th day of November, 1932, in accordance with the written dismissal of the plaintiff filed herein,

IT IS ORDERED that the above entitled case be, and the same is hereby dismissed, with prejudice to future action, at the cost of the defendant.

F. L. HANBARGER
United States District Judge

OF HANBARGER & JOHNSON
Attys for Defendant

RECORDED: Filed Nov 5 1932
F. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER TO ADJOURN REGULAR BARTLESVILLE TERM OF COURT, SINE DIE.

It is this day ordered in pursuance of Section 12 of the Judicial Code, that the Regular June 1932 Term of said Court at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, be adjourned Sine die.

Court adjourned until November 7, 1932.

On this 7th day of November, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in special bench session at Midland, met pursuant to adjournment, at the Courtroom, and the proceedings were as follows:

J. W. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
W. H. Coffey, U. S. Attorney.
To W. H. Coffey, United States Marshal.

Reference to the record in this case having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN RE: ESTATE OF JAMES W. WATSON, DECEASED AND ADMINISTRATOR.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER TO MARSHAL TO CONVENE AND ADJURE JURY.

Now, on this 7th day of October, 1932, it appearing that the Judge of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma in and to be in attendance at Midland, Oklahoma, on the first Monday in November 1932, the same being the regular business day for the opening of the regular November term of said Court at Midland, Oklahoma.

It is ORDERED that the United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, do, and he is hereby directed to open the District Court of the United States at Midland, Oklahoma on Monday, November 7, 1932 at 9:00 o'clock A. M. by proclamation in the manner and form provided by law, and that said Marshal adjourn said Court until 9:00 o'clock A. M., November 22, 1932.

Said Marshal shall make due return hereof when he has executed this order.

J. W. WARFIELD
Clerk.

WITNESSED: Filed Nov 7 1932
J. W. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

JAMES WATSON, Plaintiff,

-78-

No. 1444 - 1st. ✓

ORVILLE WATSON, et al., Defendants.

Now on this 14th day of November, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above cause be dismissed with prejudice. It is further ordered that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

IN RE: EVIDENCE OF THE DEEDS OF CHARLES WEIBER BORG
OF COLUMBIA, D.C.

MARGIE BAKER, Plaintiff,
-vs-
CHARLES WEIBER BORG, Incorporated, a
corporation, and G. L. ALLEN, Defendants.

NO. 1494 Law.

IN RE: EVIDENCE OF THE DEEDS OF CHARLES WEIBER BORG

Be it remembered that this cause came on regularly for trial on the 4th day of November, 1938, in its proper order upon the trial docket of this court, said date being a regular judicial day of the special March term of this court, plaintiff appearing in person and by her attorney, Frank Dickson, and the defendants appearing by their attorneys of record, Allen, Underwood and Canterbury and Paul Pinson, and both sides having announced ready for trial, a jury of twelve men was duly impaneled and sworn, whereupon the parties proceeded to introduce their evidence, and the evidence having been closed the parties thereupon announced to the Court that they had agreed upon a settlement of five thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00) in complete satisfaction of the Plaintiff's cause of action, and the parties having further announced to the Court that said sum had been fully paid to the plaintiff in satisfaction thereof and that the parties desired the Court to show said complete settlement and satisfaction to be entered upon the journal and records of this court, and the Court having found that said agreement of settlement and satisfaction and all respects has been made,

IT IS BY THE COURT SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff take nothing by this action but the cause of action of the plaintiff be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice.

F. E. REMINTER
District Judge.

APPROVED: FRANK DICKSON
Attorney for Plaintiff

ALLEN, UNDERWOOD AND CANTERBURY
PAUL PINSON
Attorneys for Defendants.

FILED: Filed Nov 7 1938
H. B. Kirkfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

F. D. HAMIL, F. A. GALT, et al.,
COOPER & ...
-vs-
MUNICIPAL ...
Defendants.

No. 1495 - Law.

Now on this 4th day of November, A. D. 1938, the above styled case is called on for preparation and docket ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury of twelve men was duly impaneled and sworn and the evidence was introduced. The Plaintiff's challenge Walter Templeman, et al. The Defendants challenge ...
The jury returned the following verdict: Mill ...
The Court having found that said agreement of settlement and satisfaction and all respects has been made,

IN AND TO THE SEVERAL PARTS OF THE CAUSE FILED FOR THE DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA.

MIDWEST CHEVROLET COMPANY, a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. GENERAL MOTORS ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION, a corporation, Defendant. No. 1448 - Lav.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

On this 31st day of October, 1938, being a regular judicial day of the assignment for special March, 1938 term at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this cause came on regularly for hearing, pursuant to assignment, before honorable F. L. Kennamer, Judge of the above named court. The plaintiff, Midwest Chevrolet Company, a corporation, being present by its attorneys, Stuart C. Doernack, Philip H. Landa, Hal Crouch, and M. S. Bernard, and the defendant, General Motors Acceptance Corporation, a corporation, being present by its attorneys, Pierce, McClelland, Wheeland & Bailey and Cecil Davidson.

Whereupon, the plaintiff and the defendant announced ready for trial, the parties being present as hereinbefore stated, and a jury was regularly impaneled and sworn to try the cause under the issues framed by the pleadings herein filed.

Whereupon, the plaintiff began the introduction of its evidence, which was continued at the adjourned sessions of the court from day to day, pursuant to recess, the which was completed on November 2nd, 1938, and the plaintiff rested. Defendant demurred to the evidence of the plaintiff as failing to establish a cause of action, which said demurrer was by the court, on consideration thereof, overruled and to which action of the court the defendant excepted and its exception was allowed.

The defendant, hereupon, introduced its evidence and rested, and the plaintiff introduced its rebuttal testimony and rested, and the evidence in said case being closed, the defendant moved the court to direct the jury to return a verdict in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff, which said motion was by the court, upon consideration thereof, overruled, and to which action of the court the defendant excepted and its exception was allowed.

The cause was decreed, on November 2nd, 1938, and as to the jury, the said jury was duly charged by the court, and they thereupon retired to consider their verdict.

On the same day, to-wit, November 2, 1938, the jury returned into court with their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

"We, the jury, in the above entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oath find for the plaintiff, and assess the amount of its recovery at \$50,515.00 dollars. Walter Leplenski, foreman."

The said verdict was read by the clerk and filed.

When this judgment of November, 1938, had been duly and sufficiently advised, and the same being duly entered, the court do hereby certify that the same is as follows:

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff, Midwest Chevrolet Company, have judgment against the defendant, General Motors Acceptance Corporation, the sum of FIFTY THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED AND FIFTEEN DOLLARS (\$50,515.00), which judgment is to bear interest from the date of its

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DISTRICT OF KANSAS.

DAISY ROGERS LYV,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
J. G. FUTERBAUGH, as Receiver for ALL)
WARDWAY TRANSPORTATION COMPANY and)
WARDWAY, INC.)
Defendant.)

No. 1507 - Law. ✓

JOURNAL REPORT OF JUDGMENT

Now on the 8th day of November, 1938, being a regular judicial day of the assignment for special term, 1938 term at Tulsa, Kansas, this cause coming on regularly for hearing, before honorable F. L. Hennaker, Judge of the above named Court, the plaintiff, Daisy Rogers Lyv, being present in open court and represented by her attorneys, Stuart, Beerler, Hamilton, and the defendant, J. G. Futerbaugh, as Receiver for The Wardway Transportation Company and Wardway, Inc., being represented in open court by its attorney, Hal Crouch, and both sides having announced ready for trial, and having waived a jury and consented that the same be tried by the court, the cause proceeded, and after the introduction of evidence and testimony of witnesses, and being fully advised in the premises, the court does find as follows:

That said Court does have jurisdiction of the parties and of the subject matter herein involved; that the allegations contained in plaintiff's petition are true; that she was injured on the 8th day of November, 1938, while a passenger in a bus of the defendant in an accident caused by the negligence of said defendant, as a result of which said plaintiff has received serious and permanent injuries, and that she is entitled to judgment from and against the defendant in the sum of FIFTY-FIVE DOLLARS (FIFTY DOLLARS (\$2500.00)).

IT IS, UNLAWFUL, UNLAWFUL, UNLAWFUL, UNLAWFUL AND DECREED that the plaintiff, Daisy Rogers Lyv, have and recover a judgment from and against the defendant, J. G. Futerbaugh, as Receiver for The Wardway Transportation Company and Wardway, Inc., in the sum of FIFTY-FIVE DOLLARS (FIFTY DOLLARS (\$2500.00)) and for the costs of this action, for all of which let execution issue.

J. L. WETZEL
United States District Judge.

ENTERED: Filed Nov 11 1938
H. P. Confield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DISTRICT OF KANSAS.

Fred M. W. Sibbalds, incompetent, by)
Orilla Sibbalds, guardian,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
The Standard Oil Company,)
Defendant.)

No. 1510 Law. ✓

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on the 11th day of November, 1938, this cause coming on for hearing and trial

of Plaintiff in open court, it is ordered that said cause be dismissed without prejudice at costs of Plaintiff.

F. L. KENNAMER
Judge.

ATTORNEYS: Filed Nov 9 1932
H. E. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until November 10, 1932.

SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 1932

On this 10th day of November, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. L. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. E. Garfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John H. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION.

On this 10th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein, and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant, upon praecipe filed by the United States District Attorney, and the bond of each defendant is fixed in the following amounts.

6864	Charles Vermillion	2500.00	6881	James L. C. Leonard	1500.00
6865	Sal Smitherman	2500.00		Wm. J. Burris	1500.00
6866	Gas Barber	1500.00		Louis Shellman	1500.00
	Grace Rogers	2500.00	6882	Louis Poindexter	2500.00
6867	Parker Vehn	2500.00	6883	Vernon West	2500.00
6868	Ralph Alkire	2500.00		Ray West	2500.00
	Jean McLaughlin	2500.00	6884	G. J. Pennel	500.00
6869	G. C. Rupert	2500.00	6885	George Wells	1000.00
6870	Ray Lowell Thurman	1000.00	6886	Leroy Bates	1500.00
6871	Jay Jones	2500.00		Charles Cranmer	2500.00
6872	Jimmy Jones	2500.00	6887	Ben Gullet	2500.00
6873	Frederick Doetsel	1000.00		Alvin Parker	2500.00
6874	Francis Wheeler	1500.00	6888	Bill Harrison	1500.00
	Charles Little Soldier	1000.00	6889	Everett Faulkner	2500.00
6875	Merle Ham	1000.00		Elvin Faulkner	2500.00
	Tommie Smith	1500.00	6890	J. C. Glenn	500.00
6876	Jess Vickroy	2500.00	6891	Wm. Dion Miller	1000.00
	Tom Young	2500.00	6892	Clara Martin	2500.00
	Juanita Lumphrey	2500.00	6893	Edna Larkin	2500.00
	Frederic Weltner	2500.00	6894	C. J. Eiseloel	1500.00
6878	G. A. Bottoms	2500.00			
6879	Bill Bobbs	2500.00			
6879	John Awers	2500.00			
	Everett Awers	2500.00			
6880	Arvel W. Walker	1000.00			

RECORDED: Filed in Open Court
Nov. 10 1932
H. E. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

MISCELLANEOUS - COURT REPORTER'S REPORTS.

On this 10th day of November, A. D. 1938, it appearing to the Court that there are not sufficient jurors in the panel, it is ordered that the Marshal of said District summon from the bystanders three good and lawful men, duly qualified, to serve as petit jurors for this Special March 1938 Term of said Court.

And thereafter, the Marshal returns the names of Reuben L. Patridge, Joseph L. Lantry and Julian Wilkins, who are examined by the Court, and all are accepted as petit jurors for this Special March 1938 Term of Court.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America,

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

No. 6358 Cr. ✓

John Roach,

Defendant.)

O R D E R

Now on this 10th day of November, 1938, same being one of the regular judicial days of the Special March, A. D. 1938, term of said court, this matter comes on before the Court upon the application of the defendant, John Roach, for a modification of the sentence heretofore given said defendant, and it appearing to the Court that the above named defendant was arrested on the charge herein on the 18th day of March, 1938;

And it further appearing to the Court that said defendant entered a plea of guilty herein, and on the 14th day of July, 1938, received a sentence of eight months in jail and a fine of \$100.00;

And it further appearing to the Court that the family of said defendant is in destitute condition;

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER AND DECREE of the Court that the above sentence of the defendant, John Roach, be modified as follows: Under the first count of the indictment herein the sentence of said defendant to be four months and a fine of \$100.00, on execution; on the second count four months, concurrently with the sentence under the first count, both sentences to run from the 6th day of July, 1938.

F. E. DEWITT
JUDGE

H. L. ...
Assistant U. S. Atty

RECORDED: Filed Nov 10 1938
H. F. ...
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,	}	No. 6667
vs.			
Henry Walker,	Defendant,		
	<u>C R D = R</u>		

Now on this 10th day of November, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the application of the above named defendant for modification of the sentence herein, and it appearing to the court that the above named defendant was arrested on the charge herein on the 10th day of September, 1932, and on the 6th day of October, 1932, entered a plea of guilty and received a sentence of sixty days in jail on the second count of the indictment, and it appearing to the court that the family of the above named defendant is in destitute condition,

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT, that the above mentioned sentence of the defendant be modified to a sentence of sixty days in jail, said sentence to date from the 10th day of September, the date of the arrest of the above named defendant.

P. E. KERNANER
Judge.

OK: J. F. BLAIR
Assistant U. S. Attorney.

RECORDED: Filed Nov 10 1932
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court OK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	}	No. 6669 - Criminal. ✓
vs.			
Roscoe L. Flowers,	Defendant.		
	<u>C R D = R</u>		

Now on this 10th day of November, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the application of the defendant for modification of the sentence herein, and it appearing to the court that the defendant herein entered a plea of guilty on the 6th day of October, 1932, and received a sentence of five months in jail and a fine of \$100.00, upon an indictment charging the possession and transportation of intoxicating liquor and it further appearing to the court that the herein and was first arrested on this charge on the 2nd day of September, 1932, and has been in jail since that date, and it further appearing to the court that the family of the defendant herein, consisting of his wife and two children is in destitute condition and is very much in need of the services of the defendant.

On the 11th day of November, A. D. 1938, the District Court of the United States for the District of Oklahoma, sitting in special term 1938 session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. J. W. Hennauer, Judge, present and presiding.

F. W. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John A. Collesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John F. Wickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

J. W. HILL, J. W. GILL, A. H. GORRUP & W. B. SCOTT, Plaintiffs,
-vs-
STANLIND OIL & GAS CO., DEKALB OIL & GAS CO., Defendants.

No. 1495 - LAW.

Now on this 11th day of November, A. D. 1938, the above styled cause comes on for continuance. All parties present and eleven jurors are present as heretofore and in the forenoon. Thereupon, the jurors continue to deliberate upon their verdict herein and again come into open court and through their foreman announce they are unable to agree upon a verdict herein. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this day of court is again in session. All parties present and eleven jurors are present as heretofore and in the forenoon. Thereupon, the jury continues to deliberate upon their verdict herein and again come into open court and through their foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. W. HILL, J. W. GILL, A. H. GORRUP and W. B. SCOTT, Plaintiffs, Case No. 1495 L.
vs.
STANLIND OIL & GAS COMPANY Defendant

That the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our order of trial for the plaintiffs and assess the amount of their recovery at \$24,300 net DOLLARS.

WILLIAM HILAR, Foreman.
FILED in open court
Nov 11 1938
F. W. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court, that said jury be discharged from further consideration of all cases. It is further ordered by the Court, that judgment be entered accordingly, to-wit: in favor of the plaintiffs except as to costs.

MARSHALL COVER, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1499 - Law. ✓
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 11th day of November, A. D. 1938, the above styled case comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore, and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. The exon, the jury continues to deliberate upon their verdict herein and on this same day, returns into open court and through their foreman, presents their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MARSHALL COVER Plaintiff)
 vs.) Case No. 1499 Law
 UNITED STATES Defendant)

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oath find for the Plaintiff.

WALTER CAMPBELL
 Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
 Nov 11 1938
 H. F. Newfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

It is further ordered by the Court, that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

KELLY-DUNPHY CO. & COFF., Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1517 - Law. ✓
 THE SHERMAN BROTHERS CO. & COFF., Defendant.)

Now on this 11th day of November, A. D. 1938, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and waive a trial by jury. Thereupon, opening statements of counsel are made and all witnesses are sworn in open court. Thereupon, the Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with Mr. Photos. and thereafter, the Plaintiff moves for judgment herein. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said case be taken under advisement and that briefs be to be submitted.

WINIFRED M. JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 1529 - Law.

CHARLES E. PRINER, et AL,

Defendants.)

Now on this 11th day of November, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Plaintiff challenges R. A. Helms. The Defendants challenge E. T. Neibling, L. H. Ireland, Geo. McKee. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows: B. J. Burke, H. E. Moyer, W. F. Bowers, W. H. Murphy, Elmer Madden, Ben F. Buzan, Al Breeden, F. E. Dickson, Walter Kempleman, C. J. Payton, Julian Wilkins, R. L. Partridge. Opening statements of counsel are made and all witnesses are sworn in open court, and the rule is invoked. Thereupon, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore, and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Thereupon, the Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Winifred Johnson, C. E. Johnson. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereafter, the Defendants demur to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer is, by the Court, overruled to which Defendants except. Thereupon, the Defendants introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Dwight Robinson, L. G. Moore. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is adjourned to 9:15 o'clock A.M., November 12, 1932.

Court adjourned until November 12, 1932.

On this 12th day of November, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1935 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Wm. C. Wrenham, Judge, presiding and presiding.

H. F. Griffith, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John W. G. Lockhart, U. S. Attorney.
John W. Milroy, United States Marshal.

After a declaration having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

W. F. TRIMBLE, et AL, et AL,
CHARLES E. PRINER, et AL,

Plaintiffs,

-vs-

No. 1495 - Law. ✓

WINIFRED M. JOHNSON,
et AL,

Defendants.)

On this 12th day of November, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that a declaration be, and is hereby stayed, for twenty (20) days.

WILSON, MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1536 - Law. ✓

WILSON, MICHIGAN, et al.,

Defendants.

For on this 15th day of November, A. D. 1938, court is held in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present as heretofore. Thereupon, the Defendant exhibits all the introduction of evidence in support of the following witnesses: L. S. Allen, W. C. Wiley. And thereafter, the Defendants rest. Thereupon, the Plaintiff offers rebuttal testimony and thereafter, both sides rest. Closing remarks of counsel are made and the court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereupon, the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict, each. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through the foreman presents their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

Winifred M. Johnston Plaintiff, }
vs. }
Feiner & Feane, a partnership, Defendant }

Case No. 1536 Law.

That the jury in the above-entitled case, do find in favor of the Plaintiff, and assess the amount of her recovery at \$3,000.00 DOLLARS.

HERMAN E. MOTTIE
Notary.

FILED In Open Court
Nov 15 1938
W. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

And thereafter, the jury having announced their verdict in the case, it is ordered by the court that the jury be discharged from further consideration of said case. All there after, Defendant except to the verdict herein and move for judgment notwithstanding the verdict herein. Said motion is taken under advisement.

ADNA WELSO, GUY WELSO, et al.,
Incompetent,
Plaintiff,
-vs-
WELSO, GUY WELSO, et al.,
Defendant.

No. 1871 - Law. ✓

For on this 15th day of November, A. D. 1938, the case in this case is called for trial. All parties present as heretofore ready for trial. And thereafter, the court, in open court, gives instructions to the jury. Thereupon, all witnesses are sworn and proceed to testify and make. The Plaintiff advances evidence and cross-examines the following witnesses: Guy Welso, W. C. Wiley, Mrs. W. C. Wiley, Mrs. W. C. Wiley, W. C. Wiley, et al. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, court is necessary to adjourn.

No. 1875 Law cont'd.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session, all parties present as heretofore. Thereupon, the Plaintiff rests. Thereafter, Defendant moves for judgment, which motion is, by the Court, overruled and exceptions allowed. And thereafter, Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: T. L. McIntire, C. S. Summers. And thereafter, Defendant rests. Both sides rest. Thereupon, Defendant moves for judgment which motion for judgment is, by the Court, sustained and it is ordered by the Court that judgment for Defendant be entered, as per jo trial entry, Exception allowed.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Edna Nelso, Gdn. of Fred M.)
Kelso, Incompetent,) Plaintiff,)
) No. 1875 LAW)
vs.)
The United States of America,) Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 18th day of November, 1938, this cause came on regularly to be heard before honorable F. E. Hendrick, Judge, and plaintiff being present in person and by her attorney, C. A. Warren, and defendant being represented by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and J. V. Fitts, Chief Attorney for the Veterans Administration, and both sides announcing ready for trial:

WHEREUPON, the Court having heard the evidence of witnesses for the plaintiff, sworn and examined in open court, and having heard the evidence of witnesses for the defendant, sworn and examined in open court, and having heard the argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, finds the issues in favor of the defendant, and that plaintiff should take nothing as a result of this suit.

IT IS THE ORDER AND ADJUDICATION of the Court that the plaintiff herein take nothing as a result of this suit, and that the defendant recover his costs herein, to which order and judgment of the Court plaintiff excepts, and exceptions are allowed.

C.A. WILSON
A. E. Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney

F. E. HENDRICK
JUDGE

FILED: Filed Nov 22 1938
J. V. Fitts, Clerk
U. S. District Court

On this 14th day of November, A. D. 1938, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1938 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, on the case of United States vs. [Name], Judge, present and presiding.

H. F. [Name], Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John A. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.
John W. [Name], United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED - ORDER FOR JURY - NOV. 14, NOVEMBER 28, 1938.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER FOR JURY.

On this 14th day of November A. D. 1938, it is ordered by the court that there be publicly drawn by the clerk of the Northern District of Oklahoma, or one of his deputies, in the presence of the Clerk, or one of his Deputies, in accordance with the law and the rules of this court, the names of 30 persons, good and lawful men, from said District, duly qualified to serve as petit jurors at the regular November 1938 term of the court to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, on the day, the 28th day of November A. D., 1938, at 9:00 o'clock A.M., then and there to serve as petit jurors of the United States in and for said District at the regular November 1938 term of said court.

It is also ordered by the court that a writ of venire facias be issued out of this court, in due form as provided by law, commanding the said clerk to summon by registered mail said petit jurors, drawn, as aforesaid, to be and appear before said court, to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, on the day, the 28th day of November A. D., 1938, at 9:00 o'clock A.M., then and there to serve as petit jurors of the United States in and for said District at the regular November 1938 term of said court.

F. L. [Name]
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Nov 14 1938
H. F. [Name], Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 5018 - Criminal.

ELMER CASSIDY and L. E. GOINGTON, Defendants.

Now on this 14th day of November, A. D. 1938, after the United States Attorney, representing the Government, and the defendant L. E. Goington, appearing in person, the defendant is arraigned and pleaded guilty to Count 1 and 2, as charged in the indictment and there filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the court that a judgment and sentence be pronounced as follows:

That the said defendant be fined in the sum of twenty-five (\$25.00) dollars or, in default thereof, be confined in the custody of the United States Marshal for his term of imprisonment, for and until he shall pay said fine, until said fine is paid or until released by the process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the said defendant be released.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 6698 - Criminal. ✓
 RALPH BRIDGEMAN, Defendant.)

Now on this 14th day of November, A. D. 1948, it is ordered by the Court, that judgment and sentence of defendant Bridgeman heretofore imposed be, and it is hereby, modified to expire on this date.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 6719 - Criminal. ✓
 GRANT ROBINS, Defendant.)

Now on this 14th day of November, A. D. 1948, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on said defendant, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

- Count three, Eighteen (18) months,
- Count four, Fifteen (15) months, from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine into the United States in the sum of one hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count three (3), and in default thereof stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count four (4) to run concurrent with said sentence in Count three (3).

It is further ordered by the Court that Counts 1 and 2 be dismissed.

CLARENCE F. WALK, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1588 - Law. ✓
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 14th day of November, A. D. 1948, trial by jury is waived in open court, and case is stricken from the trial assignment of this date.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

George W. ... Plaintiff,)
 vs.) No. 1890 ✓
 The United States of America, Defendant.)

C. A. ...

Now on this 14th day of November, 1948, the case entitled and numbered above

On this 15th day of November, A. D. 1918, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in special term 1918 Session, do hereby adjourn to adjournment, Monday, November 18, 1918, Judge, present in person.

H. B. Corfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John J. Collesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John W. Coffey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

WARRANTS - ARRESTING - JOHN W. COFFEY.

On this 15th day of November, A. D. 1918, it was lawfully satisfied to issue warrants for the arrest of John W. Coffey, in violation of the laws of the United States, and the same were issued by the court in conformity with the law, and the same were returned to the court.

John W. Coffey, Defendant,
vs.
John W. Coffey, Plaintiff,
vs.
John W. Coffey, Defendant.

On this 15th day of November, 1918, in the above styled case the defendant John W. Coffey was arrested and placed in jail for violation of the Prohibitory of the United States, and was ordered to serve six months in jail.

Upon application of the defendant in the above styled case a writ of habeas corpus was granted by the court on the 15th day of November 1918; and the same was considered by the court and the same was granted and the defendant was released from jail.

The defendant John W. Coffey was represented by J. J. Collesberry, Attorney at Law.

J. J. Collesberry

John W. Coffey
John W. Coffey
John W. Coffey
John W. Coffey
John W. Coffey

IN RE: WILLIAM H. HOFFMAN, Plaintiff,
vs. THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Defendant.

W. H. Hoffman, Plaintiff,
vs. The District of Columbia, Defendant.
No. 1000 - 1st

THE COURT HAS CONSIDERED THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS
AND TO STRIKE.

That, on this 15th day of September, 1938, this cause came on for a regular sitting for hearing on the motion of the defendant to require the plaintiff herein to amend his petition more specific, definite and certain, and to strike certain parts of said petition; and the plaintiff appeared by his attorneys, Hirsch & Hirsch of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and the defendant appeared by its attorneys, Randolph, Haver, Smith & Bridges, and the court having heard and considered said motion and being fully advised in the premises that all parties herein is well served and should be satisfied.

IT IS THEREFORE considered and adjudged by the court that the motion of the defendant require the plaintiff to make his petition more specific, definite and certain, and to strike certain portions therefrom, be and it is hereby sustained in toto and the plaintiff is hereby directed within thirty days from this date, unless further extension be hereafter granted, to file an amended petition herein to meet the requirements here stated in defendant's said motion, to which action of the court in sustaining the motion of the defendant to make more definite and certain, and to strike, the plaintiff excepts and his exceptions are allowed.

D. L. HORTON
District Judge

RECORDED: Filed Nov 18 1938
N. F. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN RE: WILLIAM H. HOFFMAN, Plaintiff,
vs. THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Defendant.

W. H. Hoffman, Plaintiff,
vs. The District of Columbia, Defendant.
No. 1000 - 1st

APPEALS

That on this 15th day of September, 1938, this cause came on for a regular sitting for hearing on the motion of the defendant to require the plaintiff herein to amend his petition more specific, definite and certain, and to strike certain parts of said petition; and the plaintiff appeared by his attorneys, Hirsch & Hirsch of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and the defendant appeared by its attorneys, Randolph, Haver, Smith & Bridges, and the court having heard and considered said motion and being fully advised in the premises that all parties herein is well served and should be satisfied.

That on this 15th day of September, 1938,

D. L. HORTON
District Judge

RECORDED: Filed Nov 18 1938
N. F. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

It is so ordered:

F. W. BISHOP
United States District Judge

RECORDED: Filed Nov 18 1928
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN AND TO THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE TERRITORY OF OKLAHOMA.

WILLIAM W. MAY, HARRY MAY, BENJAMIN
W. MAY AND PAUL MAY,

Plaintiffs,

Vs

No. 1386 - Law ✓

TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY, a
corporation,

Defendant.

And

WILLIAM W. MAY, HARRY MAY, BENJAMIN W.
MAY AND PAUL MAY,

Plaintiffs,

Vs

No. 1383 - Law ✓

TRAVELERS LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, OF
NEW YORK,

Defendant.

And

LEWIS & CLARK COMPANY, a corporation,
of Tulsa, Oklahoma, AS UNDERTAKER UNDER THE
FIRE INSURANCE AGREEMENT DATED June 26, 1920, Plaintiff,

No. 1397 - Law ✓

Vs

NEW YORK LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, a
corporation,

Defendant.

ORDER OF THE COURT FOR FILING RECORDS OF CERTAIN
CONSEQUENTIAL CASES

It is this day ordered, for good cause shown, that the time in which the records
in the above consolidated cases, and in each of said cases, were docketed, said cases, and
each of them, and the transcripts of the record therein in the Court of Civil District of Muskogee,
be, and the same is, entered on or before the 27th day of December, 1928.

This November 15th, 1928.

F. W. BISHOP
U. S. District Court

RECORDED: Filed Nov 18 1928
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

WILLIAM A. GIBBS, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1825 - Law. ✓
 THE OCLARK PUBLISHING COMPANY, Defendant.)

Now on this 15th day of November, A. D. 1928, the above styled case is called for trial, both sides having announced ready. And thereafter, in open court, a jury is duly waived. Opening statements of counsel are made and all witnesses sworn in open court. Thereupon, the Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: W. H. Darnell, Mr. Kinchard, Mr. Duncan, W. A. Struck. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court, that said case be continued to November 16, 1928.

 DORA GIVENS, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1808 - Law. ✓
 OCLARK PUBLISHING CO., CORP., Defendant.)

Now on this 15th day of November, A. D. 1928, Defendant is permitted to file amended answer herein, Plaintiff filed reply. And thereafter, said case is called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury is duly empanelled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows; H. A. Helms, Geo. McKee, Will Filer, C. L. Watson, J. W. Young, W. A. Mansfield, L. J. Ireland, Henry McNamee, W. L. Michael, W. C. Dickerson, W. W. Harrison, W. L. Burton. All witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. Thereupon, the Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Dora Givens, Donald Givens, Wm. L. H. Abbott, Dora Shinnahan, Wm. L. Givens. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witness: Walter Harrison. And thereafter, Defendant rests. Both sides rest and closing arguments of counsel are made. Thereafter, the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and they retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and announce their verdict present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

VERDICT

THE COURT OF THE COUNTY OF CLACKAMAS, WASHINGTON, DO HEREBY CERTIFY
 OF OCLARK PUBLISHING COMPANY

Dora Givens Plaintiff.
 vs.
 The Oclark Publishing Company, Base No. 1808 Law.
 a corporation, Defendant.

That in the above-entitled case, duly empanelled and sworn, upon the trial for the plaintiff, she recover her damages of five thousand five hundred dollars.

L. J. IRELAND
 Foreman.

Witness my hand and
 seal this 15th day of
 November, 1928, at
 the Court House of the County of Clackamas,
 Washington.

Wherefore, it is ordered by the Court, that said case be continued for further proceedings in said case. It is further ordered by the Court that execution be stayed for the time being.

REPORT OF THE JURY IN DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
OKLAHOMA.

JOHN J. DAVIS, Plaintiff,)
vs) No. 1003 - 1. ✓
OKLAHOMA SMOKE & CIGARETTE COMPANY, a corporation, Defendant.)

SUBJECT OF VERDICT

Now on this 15th day of November, 1938, came the plaintiff, John J. Davis, in person and by her attorneys of record, Sands and Campbell of Pawhuske, and Davidson & Williams of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and also came the defendant by its attorneys of record, Hamilton, Gross & Howard of Pawhuske, Oklahoma, and Rainey, Flynn, Green and Anderson of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and Herbert W. Peck of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and the above styled cause came on for trial in its regular order before a jury of twelve good men, who being duly empaneled and sworn well and truly to try the issues joined between the plaintiff and defendant under true verdict render according to the evidence, and having heard the evidence, the arguments of counsel and the charges of the court, upon their oaths say:

"We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oath find for the plaintiff, and assess her damages at five thousand \$5,000.00 Dollars.

L. N. Ireland,"
Foreman.

It is, therefore, considered, ordered and adjudged by the court that the said plaintiff, John J. Davis, have and recover from the said defendant, Oklahoma Smoking Company, a corporation, the sum of Five thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00), together with the costs of this action, including an attorney's fee of One Hundred dollars (\$100.00), for which let execution issue.

L. N. Ireland,
Foreman.

FILED: filed Nov 18 1938
L. N. Ireland, Clerk
U. S. District Court - OK

REPORT OF THE JURY IN DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
OKLAHOMA.

JOHN J. DAVIS, Plaintiff,)
vs) No. 1004 - 1. ✓
OKLAHOMA SMOKE & CIGARETTE COMPANY, a corporation, Defendant.)

SUBJECT OF VERDICT

Now on this 15th day of November, 1938, came the plaintiff, John J. Davis, by his attorney, L. N. Ireland, and also came the defendant by its attorneys of record, Hamilton, Gross & Howard of Pawhuske, Oklahoma, and Rainey, Flynn, Green and Anderson of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and the above styled cause came on for trial in its regular order before a jury of twelve good men, who being duly empaneled and sworn well and truly to try the issues joined between the plaintiff and defendant under true verdict render according to the evidence, and having heard the evidence, the arguments of counsel and the charges of the court, upon their oaths say:

"We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oath find for the plaintiff, and assess her damages at five thousand \$5,000.00 Dollars, together with the costs of this action, including an attorney's fee of One Hundred dollars (\$100.00), for which let execution issue.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Defendant.)

No. 1606 - Civ.

Now on this 11th day of November, A. D. 1928, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said case into true verdict, render, is as follows: D. J. Adams, R. J. Hannon, L. L. Neibling, Julian Wilkins, A. L. Parridge, Joseph L. Lantry, T. W. Parke, H. W. Moser, E. J. Bowers, W. M. Murphy, Elmer Hadden, Ben F. Huzar. All witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. Thereupon, the Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Jesse Sherman, Joe Ewe, A. B. Ewe, J. A. Hudson, J. L. Howard, Bro. Cooper, Walter Myers, Lee Lovelace, J. W. Freedle, J. H. Little, J. M. Dickworth, and thereupon, Plaintiff rests. Thereafter, Defendant moves for judgment herein, which motion, is, by the court, overruled. Thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Edward Allins, A. H. Chapman, T. A. Carns, G. W. Proor, and thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, Defendant again moves for judgment herein, which motion is, by the court, overruled. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And hereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words as follows:

VERDICT

IN THE DISCOUNT CASE - THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA -
 Plaintiff,
 vs.
 The United States of America,
 Defendant.

Jesse Sherman, Plaintiff,
 vs.
 The United States of America,
 Defendant.

No. 1606 Civ.

And the jury in the above-styled case, did deliberate and sworn,
 upon their oath, for the Plaintiff.

J. J. BRYAN

Filed in open court
 this 11th day of
 November, 1928
 U. S. District Court

It is ordered by the court that verdict be filed and program entered accordingly.
 This court is ordered by the court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of
 said case.

Witness my hand and seal of office this 11th day of November, 1928.

his attorney, Benj. M. Cook, and defendant being present by A. L. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Western District of Oklahoma, and J. W. [unclear], of [unclear] for the Veterans Administration, and both parties having announced ready for trial, the jury having waived a jury in open court in said cause;

WHEREAS, plaintiff having introduced his testimony as recited, at 11 o'clock, 11 o'clock P. M. of said date, court is recessed until 9:30 o'clock A. M., November 16, 1932.

And now on this 16th day of November, 1932, said court is resumed, and the defendant having made its motion to the Court for judgment because the evidence in said cause showed that plaintiff's cause of action as filed herein is barred by the statute of limitations, in that plaintiff's claim for his War Risk Insurance was filed with the Veterans Administration June 11, 1931, four days before the statute of limitations expired; that said claim was denied by the Veterans Administration December 7, 1931, and the within cause was not filed in this court until February 8, 1932, long after the expiration of the four days remaining on the statute of limitations when plaintiff filed his claim with the Veterans Administration, the Court finds that said cause is barred by the statute of limitations.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT of the Court that because said cause is barred by the statute of limitations plaintiff take nothing as a result of this suit, as said and defendant have its claim herein.

A. L. WILLIAMS
JUDGE.

O.K. A. L. WILLIAMS
A. L. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney

Filed Nov 17 1932
J. F. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court Okla.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Little Gertrude Portt, Plaintiff,

vs.

The Union Traction Company, a corporation, Ac. 1110 Law. ✓
John F. Layng, as receiver of the Union
Traction Company, a corporation, do Missouri-
Kansas-Texas Railroad Company, a corporation,
Defendants.

VERIFICATION

I, the undersigned, Little Gertrude Portt, do hereby declare under oath that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original of the above cause as filed in the District Court of the District of Oklahoma, and that all the facts herein stated are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Witness my hand and seal of office at Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, this 16th day of November, 1932.

Little Gertrude Portt

Public Utilities Commission, Incorporated,
by attorneys [unclear] and [unclear],
Plaintiff,
vs.
The Travelers Insurance Company, Inc.,
Defendant.

Plaintiff,

No. 100-100000-100000

vs.

The Travelers Insurance Company, Inc.,
Defendant.

ORDER OF DENIAL OF MOTION

NOTICE is hereby given that on or about, 1948, it was determined by the majority of the court that the cases above entitled involve identical facts and legal questions, and it further determined that a judgment in one of said cases would be binding on the other attorney and that the defendant in each of said cases is represented by the same attorney, and the question of consolidation of said cases was referred to the court for its consideration and its decision, and no objections were made to said consolidation.

It is hereby ordered that the cases above entitled be consolidated for trial and review, and that the cases be set for trial at 9:30 o'clock A.M. on November 17, 1948.

It is further ordered that the consolidated cases be opened and tried, and that the record shall be sufficient to take said cases to the appellate court for review.

W. L. [unclear]
District Court.

Filed in open court
Nov 17 1948
W. L. [unclear], Clerk
U. S. District Court

Public Utilities Commission, Inc., Plaintiff,
vs.
The Travelers Insurance Company, Inc., Defendant.

No. 100-100000-100000

Notice is hereby given that on or about, 1948, the cases above entitled were set for trial, and that the cases were consolidated for trial. The cases were consolidated for trial and the defendant in each of said cases is represented by the same attorney. The question of consolidation of said cases was referred to the court for its consideration and its decision, and no objections were made to said consolidation. It is hereby ordered that the cases above entitled be consolidated for trial and review, and that the cases be set for trial at 9:30 o'clock A.M. on November 17, 1948. It is further ordered that the consolidated cases be opened and tried, and that the record shall be sufficient to take said cases to the appellate court for review.

It is further ordered that the consolidated cases be opened and tried, and that the record shall be sufficient to take said cases to the appellate court for review.

No. 100 - 1932

Whereupon, the Honorable Court was with introduction of evidence... with witness Norman Baker... the Plaintiff rests... defendant rests... and there after, defendant in chief... the above cases demur to the evidence... which verdicts are, by the Court overruled and on- systems allowed... the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict... and thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court... present their verdicts, which verdicts are in words and figures as follows:

VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Maulie Malicarten Young, incompetent,)
by Bankers Trust Company of Little Rock,)
Arkansas, as the statutory Guardian and/)
or Director of the Estate of Maulie)
Malicarten Young, Plaintiff. (Case No. 100-1932.)
vs.)
The Travelers Insurance Company, a)
Corporation, Defendant.

That the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impeached and sworn, do hereby find for the above plaintiff, and award to said plaintiff the sum of \$1,000.00 DOLLARS, with interest at the rate of 6% per annum from December, 1931.

Witness my hand and seal of office this 17th day of December, 1932.
J. M. [Name], Clerk
[Name], District Court

VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Travelers Insurance Company,)
vs.)
The Travelers Insurance Society,)
Defendant.

That the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impeached and sworn, do hereby find for the above plaintiff, and award to said plaintiff the sum of \$1,000.00 DOLLARS, with interest at the rate of 6% per annum from December, 1931.

Witness my hand and seal of office this 17th day of December, 1932.
J. M. [Name], Clerk
[Name], District Court

Whereupon, the Honorable Court was with introduction of evidence... with witness Norman Baker... the Plaintiff rests... defendant rests... and there after, defendant in chief... the above cases demur to the evidence... which verdicts are, by the Court overruled and on- systems allowed... the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict... and thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court... present their verdicts, which verdicts are in words and figures as follows:

WILLIAM J. HAN, Plaintiff,
-vs-
THE MUTUAL LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY OF AMERICA, Defendant.

Case No. 1025 - Ins.

That on this 15th day of November, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above case be amended and tried with Bar Case No. 1017 - Ins. and proceed to trial and receive its bar case No. 1016. Thereafter, said case was held, filed and held in this case as follows:

NOTE

Wm. Halliburton, Plaintiff,
vs.
The Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States, Defendant.

Case No. 1017 Ins.

That in the above-entitled case, duly amended and held, on the 15th day of November, 1932, the plaintiff, expresses a demand of recovery of \$1,000.00 plus interest at the rate of 6% from the 15th day of December, 1931.

Wm. Halliburton
by W. J. HAN
W. J. HAN, Clerk
W. J. HAN, Clerk

Wm. Halliburton
Plaintiff

It is further ordered by the Court, that a bench trial be held three days, pending the filing of motion for new trial.

WILLIAM J. HAN, Plaintiff,
-vs-
THE MUTUAL LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY OF AMERICA, Defendant.

Case No. 1017 - Ins. ✓

That on this 15th day of November, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above case be amended and tried with Bar Case No. 1017 - Ins. and proceed to trial and receive its bar case No. 1016. Thereafter, said case was held, filed and held in this case as follows:

On the 14th day of November, A. D. 1938, the District Court of the District of Alaska, sitting in special March 1938 session at Anchorage, Alaska, in the case of the United States of America, vs. Lewis Davis, Judge, present and presiding.

H. B. Kenfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John J. Delicherry, U. S. Attorney.
John W. McCreary, United States Marshal.

Public proceedings having been duly made, the following proceedings were read and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA
SITTING IN SPECIAL MARCH 1938 SESSION

United States of America, Plaintiff,
vs.
Lewis Davis, Defendant.

No. 6667 ✓

C O N T E N T S

Now on this 14th day of November, 1938, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the special March A. D. 1938 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the application of the defendant, Lewis Davis, for modification of the sentence herein, and inasmuch as the court and the above named defendant was satisfied on the charge herein, and on the 10th day of September, 1938, and on the 6th day of October, 1938, entered a judgment of guilty and received a sentence of sixty days in jail on the second count of the indictment, and inasmuch as the court and the body of the above named defendant is in destitute condition,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the above said sentence of the defendant be modified to a sentence of sixty days in jail, said sentence to date from the 14th day of September, 1938.

F. W. McCREARY
Judge.

OFF: J. J. DELICHERY
Asst. U. S. Attorney.

FILED: Filed Nov 15 1938
H. B. Kenfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA
SITTING IN SPECIAL MARCH 1938 SESSION

United States of America, Plaintiff,
vs.
Lewis Davis, Defendant.

No. 6667 ✓

C O N T E N T S

Now on this 14th day of November, 1938, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the special March A. D. 1938 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the application of the defendant, Lewis Davis, for modification of the sentence herein, and inasmuch as the court and the body of the above named defendant is in destitute condition,

DR. F. A. CHARRONET,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 6898 - Original. ✓

DR. F. A. CHARRONET,

Defendant.

Now on this 18th day of November, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, as
asks that is granted leave to file information herein, against the above defendant. However,
it is ordered by the court that leave of defendant be given in the sum of \$100.00.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT
OF FLORIDA

Cliff Felcher and wife, Lena
Felcher,

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. 1429 1st. ✓

Carter Oil Company, a corporation, and Mrs.
G. W. (Marie) Triplett, Administratrix of
the estate of G. W. Triplett, deceased,

Defendants.

DECREE OF THE COURT

On this 18th day of November, A. D. 1938, the United States Attorney, as
appears in this case in the United States District Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit of Flor-
ida, do hereby certify that the 18th day of December, 1938, of the State of Florida, and
Cliff Felcher and wife, Lena Felcher, do hereby certify that the 18th day of December, 1938,
to file said transcript of the record in the United States District Court of Appeals.

F. A. CHARRONET,
United States District Judge.

WITNESSES: Filed Nov 18 1938
F. A. Charronet, Clerk
J. B. Williams, Sec'y.

J. B. WILSON, Adm. of estate of J. B. WILSON,
Plaintiff,

Defendant,

No. 177 1st. ✓

-vs-

J. B. WILSON, Adm. of estate of J. B. WILSON,

Defendant.

Now on this 18th day of November, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, as
appears in this case in the United States District Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit of Flor-
ida, do hereby certify that the 18th day of December, 1938, of the State of Florida, and
Cliff Felcher and wife, Lena Felcher, do hereby certify that the 18th day of December, 1938,
to file said transcript of the record in the United States District Court of Appeals.

IT IS ORDERED that the appeal, herein captioned, be affirmed and the defendant be, and hereby is sustained, and that plaintiff hereafter be a result of this order, and that the defendant recover his costs herein expended, to all of which plaintiff excepts, and excepts as are allowed.

H. L. ...
Clerk

W. L. ...
A. L. ...
Assistant United States Attorney

Filed by ...
J. ...
U. S. District Court

Plaintiff,)
vs) No. 1000 - ...
Defendant.)

On ... November, ... 1967, ...

...
Plaintiff)
vs) No. ...
Defendant)

STATE ...

On ... November 1967, ...

...
...
...

...
...
...
...
...

IN SENATE
COMMISSIONERS OF INSURANCE
OF
NEW YORK

Revelle, John, dec'd; Paul, est, Ad-
ministrators of the Estate of Revelle
deceased; deceased; administrators
of the Estate of Revelle, dec'd; and Janet D.
deceased; and J. W. Swindell, guardian
of the Estate of Janet D. de
ceased, Administrators,
Plaintiffs,

NEW YORK LIFE

vs
New York Life Insurance Company,
Defendant.

ORDER

On this 16th day of November, 1938, before the undersigned Commissioner of the State of New York in and for the Northern District of New York, the following stipulation entered into between the parties to the litigation, approved by the undersigned Commissioner of the State of New York, in the matter of the Estate of Revelle, dec'd, deceased; in the matter of the Estate of Janet D. de-
ceased, dec'd, deceased; and the estate having duly considered and approved the same, the undersigned Commissioner of the State of New York, in and for the Northern District of New York, do hereby certify that the same are true and correct.

Witness my hand and seal of office at New York, New York, this 16th day of November, 1938.

Commissioner of Insurance

Filed by H. H. H. H.
H. H. H. H.
H. H. H. H.

Plaintiffs,
vs
Defendant.

On this 16th day of November, 1938, the undersigned Commissioner of the State of New York in and for the Northern District of New York, the following stipulation entered into between the parties to the litigation, approved by the undersigned Commissioner of the State of New York, in the matter of the Estate of Revelle, dec'd, deceased; in the matter of the Estate of Janet D. de-
ceased, dec'd, deceased; and the estate having duly considered and approved the same, the undersigned Commissioner of the State of New York, in and for the Northern District of New York, do hereby certify that the same are true and correct.

verified, sworn to, and filed in this case, the judgment of the court is hereby affirmed, and the parties are ordered to pay the costs of this appeal.

VERDICT

THE COURT FINDS THAT THE DEFENDANT SHALL PAY TO THE PLAINTIFF
THE SUM OF DOLLARS

HELEN E. HARRIS Plaintiff }
vs. } Case No. 1631-147
WILLIAM J. HARRIS Defendant }

and the jury in the above-entitled case, duly sworn to and sworn,
upon our oaths, find for the plaintiff.

J. J. HARRIS
Clerk

FILED IN Open Court
Nov 16 1941
H. H. Harfield, clerk
U. S. District Court

Therefore, the jury having returned this to be their true verdict, it is ordered by the court, that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case. It is further ordered by the court, that judgment be entered accordingly.

IN SENATE THE SENATE HAS PASSED THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION
NOVEMBER 16 1941

HARRIS HARRIS, Plaintiff,
vs. Case No. 1631-147
HARRIS HARRIS, Defendant.

VERDICT

On the 15th day of November, 1941, the court held a trial in the above-entitled case, and the jury returned the following verdict: The defendant is liable to the plaintiff for the sum of \$100.00, and the plaintiff is entitled to the costs of this case. The jury also found that the defendant is liable to the plaintiff for the sum of \$100.00, and the plaintiff is entitled to the costs of this case. The jury also found that the defendant is liable to the plaintiff for the sum of \$100.00, and the plaintiff is entitled to the costs of this case.

And the jury in the above-entitled case, duly sworn to and sworn,
upon our oaths, find for the plaintiff.

J. J. HARRIS
Clerk

FILED IN Open Court
Nov 16 1941
H. H. Harfield, clerk
U. S. District Court

Therefore, the jury having returned this to be their true verdict, it is ordered by the court, that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case. It is further ordered by the court, that judgment be entered accordingly.

On this 10th day of November, A. D. 1938, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Michigan, sitting in special March 1938 session at Detroit, Michigan, in and to the adjournment, Hon. J. M. Hennauer, Judge, present and presiding.

F. E. Griffith, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John J. Goloscherry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Wickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN SENATE BEYOND THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF MICHIGAN

Era E. Riddle, Adm. of the estate of
Claude D. Riddle, deceased, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1433 Inv
The United States of America, Defendant.)

REPORT OF THE COURT

On this 10th day of November, 1938, this cause came on regularly to be heard before honorable J. M. Hennauer, Judge presiding, and plaintiff being present in person and by her attorneys, Wilkeson & Brown, and the defendant being represented by A. W. Filkins, Asst. United States Attorney for the Northern District of Michigan, and J. J. Filkins, Chief Attorney for the Veterans Administration, and both parties having appeared and been heard, and both parties having waived a jury in such court in this cause;

Plaintiff, herein, introduced her testimony as recited, and the defendant having thereon made its motion to the court for judgment, because plaintiff's complaint to have the collection of contributions, said motion is by the court denied.

IT IS ORDERED that the plaintiff do stand on the merits of the cause, and that the result of said trial, to-wit: the amount of contributions to be received by the defendant.

F. E. GRIFFITH
CLERK

A. W. FILKINS
Assistant United States Attorney

Witness my hand and seal
this 11th day of November, 1938.
F. E. Griffith, Clerk
U. S. District Court

EREA E. RIDDLE, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1433 Inv
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

ORDER OF COURT

For hearing upon the motion of the defendant for judgment, because plaintiff's complaint to have the collection of contributions, the court hereby orders that the plaintiff do stand on the merits of the cause.

whereupon plaintiff filed the defendant he furnished with a copy of said amended petition and that the defendant have complied hereafter within which he filed said amended petition.

W. J. ...
Judge

IN WASH: filed by 10 1945
J. F. ...
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
IN AND UNDER WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS FOR ...

Jesse ...
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
The United States of America,)
Defendant.)

s. 1606 law

JUDGMENT

Now on this the 14th day of November, 1945, the above named court do hereby ...
that 1945 version of said laws, the above entitled and numbered cause comes on regularly for ...
with the plaintiff Jesse ... appearing in person and by his attorneys ...
with the defendant, the United States of America, represented by ...
Assistant United States Attorney, and ... insurance attorney. ...
said parties undergoing perjury trial a jury was duly empanelled and sworn to try ...
said cause. After hearing the evidence on behalf of both parties, the argument of ...
both parties, and after being instructed by the court and having said cause finally ...
submitted to it, said jury after due deliberation returned the following verdict, ...
caption:

"We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empanelled and sworn, do hereby ...
for the plaintiff. W. J. ..."

IT IS THE ORDER OF THE COURT, JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the plaintiff ...
have and recover of and from the said defendant, the United States of America, the sum of \$7.50 ...
per month from and after the 1st day of August, 1945, to be paid to the plaintiff ...
according to said certificate of indebtedness to be executed by the Veterans Administration.

And the Court do hereby order that the said plaintiff's attorneys, ...
J. F. ... and Ernest A. ... shall receive as their reasonable attorney ...
fees 15 per cent of the sum of this judgment, to be paid to them by the United States ...
within 30 days after the date of this judgment, and that the said attorneys' fees ...
shall be paid out of the said cause, and that the said attorneys' fees shall be ...
paid to them by the United States of America, and that the said attorneys' fees shall be ...
paid to them by the United States of America, and that the said attorneys' fees shall be ...
paid to them by the United States of America.

And the Court do hereby order that the said judgment be entered as the law of the land.

W. J. ...
District Judge

U. S. District Court
Washington, D. C.
J. F. ...
Assistant United States Attorney
Washington, D. C.
Ernest A. ...
Washington, D. C.

On 11/10/10, the defendant, [Name], was interviewed by the police and advised of his rights. He stated that he understood his rights and waived them. He was interviewed by [Name] and [Name] on 11/10/10. The defendant was interviewed for approximately 45 minutes. The defendant was interviewed in the presence of [Name].

The defendant was interviewed on 11/10/10 at the [Location]. The defendant was interviewed for approximately 45 minutes. The defendant was interviewed in the presence of [Name].

The defendant was interviewed on 11/10/10 at the [Location]. The defendant was interviewed for approximately 45 minutes. The defendant was interviewed in the presence of [Name].

Therefore, the defendant interviewed for evidence and [Name] is the defendant interviewed for evidence.

On 11/10/10, the defendant interviewed for evidence and [Name] is the defendant interviewed for evidence.

On 11/10/10, the defendant interviewed for evidence and [Name] is the defendant interviewed for evidence.

The defendant interviewed for evidence and [Name] is the defendant interviewed for evidence.

11/10/10

The defendant interviewed for evidence and [Name] is the defendant interviewed for evidence.

The defendant interviewed for evidence and [Name] is the defendant interviewed for evidence.

The defendant interviewed for evidence and [Name] is the defendant interviewed for evidence.

The defendant interviewed for evidence and [Name] is the defendant interviewed for evidence.

1. ...
2. ...
3. ...
4. ...
5. ...
6. ...
7. ...
8. ...
9. ...
10. ...
11. ...
12. ...
13. ...
14. ...
15. ...
16. ...
17. ...
18. ...
19. ...
20. ...
21. ...
22. ...
23. ...
24. ...
25. ...
26. ...
27. ...
28. ...
29. ...
30. ...
31. ...
32. ...
33. ...
34. ...
35. ...
36. ...
37. ...
38. ...
39. ...
40. ...
41. ...
42. ...
43. ...
44. ...
45. ...
46. ...
47. ...
48. ...
49. ...
50. ...
51. ...
52. ...
53. ...
54. ...
55. ...
56. ...
57. ...
58. ...
59. ...
60. ...
61. ...
62. ...
63. ...
64. ...
65. ...
66. ...
67. ...
68. ...
69. ...
70. ...
71. ...
72. ...
73. ...
74. ...
75. ...
76. ...
77. ...
78. ...
79. ...
80. ...
81. ...
82. ...
83. ...
84. ...
85. ...
86. ...
87. ...
88. ...
89. ...
90. ...
91. ...
92. ...
93. ...
94. ...
95. ...
96. ...
97. ...
98. ...
99. ...
100. ...

Chart is returned until ...

...

...

Public ...

...

...

...

...

...

...

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-vi-

No. 6761 - Criminal.

JOHN WILSON and JOHN WILSON,

Defendants.

Now on this 11th day of November, A. D. 1938, it is ordered by the court, that the fine of defendant John Wilson in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, be and it is hereby placed in execution.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

No. 6617

H. L. Coffey,

Defendant.)

O R D E R

Now on this 22nd day of November, 1938, the case being one of the regular judicial days of the Special Term A. D. 1938 Term of said court, this matter came on before the court upon the application of the defendant H. L. Coffey for a modification of sentence heretofore imposed by the court herein, and it appearing to the court that the above named defendant, H. L. Coffey, was on the 17th day of October, 1938, given a sentence of sixty (60) days in jail, under the information filed herein, and it appearing to the court that the family of the above named defendant is in destitute condition,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the above sentence of sixty days in jail heretofore imposed upon said defendant, be modified to 2 months 15 days to date from the 10th day of September, 1938, the date of original incarceration.

H. J. HANCOCK
Judge.

BY: H. J. HANCOCK

Assistant United States Attorney

FILED: Filed in Open Court
Nov 22 1938
H. J. Hancock, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-vi-

No. 6800 - Criminal.

JOHN WILSON and JOHN WILSON,

Defendants.

Now on this 11th day of November, A. D. 1938, came the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Grace Wilson, the wife in person. The defendant is arraigned and she pleads guilty as charged to the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the court that the following sentence be imposed as follows:

No. 4866 - Criminal.

The condition of the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, as a
designated representative, for confinement in a county jail, was ordered as:
Thirty-five (35) days from date of original incarceration.

It is further ordered by the Court that defendant be released on bail.

THE STATE OF WISCONSIN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 4870 - Criminal. ✓

JOHN J. GIBSON, JOHN J. GIBSON, JUNIOR,
Defendants.

Defendant.

On and this 10th day of November, A. D. 1945, came the State of Wisconsin, by
prosecuting the Government, and the defendants Gibson, and John J. Gibson, Jr., ap-
pearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each charged with a crime, as
charged in the information heretofore filed herein. There was, in fact, presented by the State
in each of such cases the following:

JOHN J. GIBSON

The condition of the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, as a
designated representative, for confinement in a county jail, was ordered as:
Thirty-five (35) days from date of original incarceration.

JOHN J. GIBSON, JUNIOR

The condition of the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, as a
designated representative, for confinement in a county jail, was ordered as:
Thirty-five (35) days from date of original incarceration.

THE STATE OF WISCONSIN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 4895 - Criminal. ✓

GAIL NORMAN,

Defendant.

Heretofore filed heretofore of November, A. D. 1945, came the State of Wisconsin, by
prosecuting the Government, and the defendant Gail Norman, appearing in person. The de-
fendant is arraigned and charged with a crime, as charged in the information heretofore filed
herein. There was, in fact, presented by the State in such case the following:

The condition of the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, as a
designated representative, for confinement in a county jail, was ordered as:
Thirty-five (35) days from date of original incarceration.

It is further ordered by the Court that defendant be released on bail.

11/17/50, District,

-11-

No. 6200 - 11/17/50 ✓

11/17/50, District,

Re: ... information ...

Re: ... representative, for ...

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT ...

John A. ... Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 1400 ... ✓

The ... Defendant.

MEMORANDUM

On ... evidence, if ...

It is ... in accordance ...

11/17/50

11/17/50

11/17/50

1950

... ..

... ..

...

...

- ...
- ...
- ...

... ..

... ..

- ...
- ...
- ...

... ..

... ..



... ..

... ..

... ..

IN RE: [Name], Debtor.
[Name], Plaintiff,
vs.
[Name], Defendant.

Plaintiff
vs.
Defendant.
[Name]

On the 1st day of November, 1968, the Court entered an order directing the defendant to pay to the plaintiff the sum of \$100.00, with interest thereon, as provided for in the judgment rendered by the Court on the 1st day of November, 1968.

It is hereby ordered that the said judgment, with interest thereon to the date of said judgment, be allowed credit on said judgment for all amounts paid in the court following to said judgment, to-wit: the sum of \$100.00, with interest thereon.

It is further ordered that after giving the defendant credit for the sum of \$100.00, with interest thereon, the sum of \$100.00, with interest thereon, be allowed credit on said judgment, to-wit: the sum of \$100.00, with interest thereon.

[Signature]
[Title]

Witness my hand and seal of the Court this 10th day of October, 1968.
U. S. District Court
S. D. N. Y.

Plaintiff,
vs.
Defendant.
[Signature]
[Title]

On the 1st day of November, 1968, the Court entered an order directing the defendant to pay to the plaintiff the sum of \$100.00, with interest thereon, as provided for in the judgment rendered by the Court on the 1st day of November, 1968.

Plaintiff,
vs.
Defendant.
[Signature]
[Title]

On the 1st day of November, 1968, the Court entered an order directing the defendant to pay to the plaintiff the sum of \$100.00, with interest thereon, as provided for in the judgment rendered by the Court on the 1st day of November, 1968.

FRANCIS O. WATSON, JR.,
Plaintiff,

vs.
Defendant.

No. 1689 - 1968. ✓

On November 17, 1968, the Court rendered an order that

Defendant.

the order of November 17, 1968, is hereby overruled. Five (5) days to answer.

FRANCIS O. WATSON, JR. vs. MICHAEL J. WATSON
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FRANCIS O. WATSON, JR.,

Plaintiff,)

vs.

No. 1689 - 1968. ✓

MICHAEL J. WATSON, JR.,
Defendant.

Defendant.

ORDER OF DENIAL

On November 17, 1968, this Court came on upon the application of the plaintiff for an order dismissing this action with prejudice, and the Court being fully advised in all the law, finds that such application should be denied.

It is therefore ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff pay the cost of the certified notice of denial to the defendant, as cost of the suit.

J. M. WATSON
District Judge

Francis O. Watson, Jr.
By: _____
Michael J. Watson, Jr.
By: _____

and allowed until October 11, 1968.

Public Sale of the November, 1933, the District Court of the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting by Judge L. W. ...

- H. F. ...
John W. ...
John F. ...

Public Sale of the ... proceedings ... and ...

STATE OF OKLAHOMA ... DISTRICT COURT OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. ... Judge of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

Wit:

In compliance with the law, I hereby respectfully report the condition of the registry fund of this court, at the close of business November 30, 1933.

Balance in the first national bank and trust company of Oklahoma City, Ok., at the close of business July 31st 1933 28,951.40

Table with 2 columns: Description of receipts and Amount. Includes entries for Metro-Liter Life Insurance Co., U.S. District Court, and John W. ...

Table with 2 columns: Description of disbursements and Amount. Includes entries for W. J. ... and various other individuals and entities.

Report of Registry Fund - Cont'd.

Oct. 25, 1932 H. P. Warfield, Clerk	250.00
Oct. 25, 1932 H. P. Warfield, Clerk	15.00
Oct. 28, 1932 Scott Taylor,	990.00
Oct. 28, 1932 H. P. Warfield, Clerk	10.00
Nov. 17, 1932 City of Pawnee, Pawnee, Oklahoma,	17,523.00

Balance in Cash Book and in the First National Bank and Trust Company of
Tulsa, Oklahoma, at the close of business November 26, 1932.

63,656.81\$89,222.75

Respectfully,

H. P. WARFIELD
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed in Open Court
Nov. 28 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER EMPANELING PETIT JURY - MIAMI - NOV. 28, 1932.

On this 28th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the Marshal and makes return on the Venire heretofore issued out of this court for Petit Jurors for this Regular November 1932 term of Court, at Miami, Oklahoma. Thereupon, the Clerk calls the names of the Jurors so summoned, as follows:

W. P. Tomson	James E. Miller
T. M. Hartman	P. C. Bowers
Roy Jones	G. L. Ash
J. W. Peterson	Fred Lipple
A. E. Shull	D. T. Marr
Jess L. Gilbert	Sam Taylor
F. E. Morgan	J. W. Lockridge
Otto Wells	George Eyer
Walter M. Painter	J. C. Brown
Roy Lewis	W. H. Arming
Alfred Reed	John Pierce, Jr.
W. F. Johnson	Wright Lamar
C. L. Laws	J. H. Haske
Bruce O. Bateman	Joe S. McGuire
Geo. Mitchell	O. P. Little

Thereupon the Court examines said Jurors as to their qualifications, and for good cause shown

J. W. Peterson	D. T. Marr
Jess L. Gilbert	J. W. Lockridge
W. F. Johnson (11-29-32)	Wright Lamar
Bruce O. Bateman	J. H. Haske

Joe S. McGuire

are excused from service as Jurors for the term.

And thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that the following names of those who were served but not reporting

G. L. Ash

and of those not served

J. C. Brown

be, and they are, hereby stricken from the jury roll.

Thereupon, the balance of said array are accepted as Petit Juros for this Regular November 1932 Term of Court.

ENDORSED: Filed In Open Court
Nov 28 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

MISCELLANEOUS - ADMISSION TO THE BAR - J. S. CAMPBELL, JR.

On this 28th day of November, A. D. 1932, it being made satisfactorily to appear that J. S. Campbell Jr., of Miami, Oklahoma, is qualified for admission to the Bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorney is declared admitted to the Bar of the Court.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 3757 - Criminal. ✓
)	
DEWEY COOPER, EMMETT THOMPSON,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 28th day of November, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the United States Attorney, that case be dismissed as to defendant Dewey Cooper.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	
)	
JOE NOLAN, SAM DAVIS, ROY JAMISON, LOUE WERNER, LOUR CARMEL, CYRUS UHBANKS, ARCE HISE, NORA HISE, J. T. LEFFLER, HERMAN BREWER, CHARLES WEAVER, WILLIAM HARRINGTON, FLOYD GODDARD, JACKIE GORDON, JOE ANDERSON, WILLIAM SCHOENBACH, MILT WOOLSEY, DOT HAMMONS, and U. S. JENKINGS,	Defendants.)	No. 4463 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 28th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein. Defendant Schoenback is not present. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that case be dismissed as to said defendant William Schoenbach and that said Defendant be released to State authorities.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

REGULAR NOVEMBER 1932 SESSION

MIAMI, OKLAHOMA

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 28, 1932

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6734 - Criminal. ✓
)	
FRED STANLEY,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 28th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Fred Stanley, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 and not guilty to Counts 3 and 4, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence on Counts 1 and 2 be deferred to March, 1933, at vinita. It is further ordered by the Court that Counts 3 and 4 be dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6735 - Criminal. ✓
)	
ROY MILLER,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 28th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Roy Miller appearing in person. Now at this time Defendant Roy Miller pleads true name to be Ray Miller, and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred to March, 1933, at vinita.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6736 - Criminal. ✓
)	
HERBERT STARR,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 28th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of not guilty to counts 1 and 2, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. And thereafter defendant withdraws his former plea of not guilty and now enters a plea of Nolo Contendere on Count 2, which plea is accepted by the Court. Thereafter, the following witnesses are sworn and examined by the Court: Starr and Kennick. And thereafter, after being fully advised in the premises, said defendant is adjudged guilty by the Court. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed to March, 1933 at Vinita.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6882 - Criminal. ✓
)	
LOUIS POINDEXTER,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 28th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Louis Poindexter, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

No. 6882 Cr. Cont'd.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the term of: Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6898 - Criminal.
)	
FRANK CROCKETT & RACHEL CROCKETT,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 28th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file Information herein, against the above defendants.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6899 - Criminal.
)	
F. W. KNOWLTON,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 28th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file Information herein, against the above defendant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6900 - Criminal.
)	
JAMES MILLS & WINZEL REED,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 28th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file Information herein, against the above defendants.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Mary Hill,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 1674 Law .
)	
Robert A. McBirney	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Now this 28th day of November, 1932, same being 4 day of the November, 1932 term of said court holden in the city of Miami, Oklahoma, this matter comes on for hearing on the defendant's motion to quash summons. Plaintiff appears and confesses the motion to quash and asks leave to cause alias summons to issue.

No. 6886 Cr. Cont'd.

in the Information heretofore filed herein. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence as to each defendant be deferred to the next term of court at Miami, in 1933.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6896 - Criminal.
)	
FRANK CROCKETT & RACHEL CROCKETT,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 29th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants Frank Crockett and Rachel Crockett appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence as to each defendant be deferred to Miami, 1933.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6899 - Criminal.
)	
F. W. KNOWLTON,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 29th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant F. W. Knowlton, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars. It is further ordered that said fine be placed on execution.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6900 - Criminal.
)	
JAMES MILLS & WINZEL REED,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 29th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants James Mills and Winzel Reed appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

JAMES MILLS

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the term of: Six (6) months from date of original incarceration.

WINZEL REED

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the term of: Six (6) months from date of original incarceration. Court adjourned to 11-30-32

On this 30th day of November, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in regular November 1932 Session at Miami, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ADMISSION TO BAR - GAYLE M. PICKENS.

On this 30th day of November, A. D. 1932, it being made satisfactorily to appear that Gayle M. Pickens, is qualified for admission to the Bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorney is declared admitted to the Bar of the Court.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
)
JAMES HENDRICKS, EARL THOMPSON, PETE VAUGHN, ED ANDERSON, GROVER C. McCLARY, GEO. FULLER, DAN EISLER, GUY DARR, CHARLES THOMPSON, HOMER MILLER, FRANK ROGERS, CURLEY MITCHELL, ONE MARTIN,	Defendants.)

No. 4347 - Criminal.

Now on this 30th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Charles Thompson, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred to November, 1933, at Miami.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
)
CLAUD MERRYMAN, WESLEY O'BRIEN and ANNIE MERRYMAN,	Defendants.)

No. 5910 - Criminal.

Now on this 30th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Claud Merryman, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. And thereafter, Mr. Commons is ordered by the Court, to represent said defendant. Thereafter, Defendant withdraws his former plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3 and now enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3, as charged in the indictment. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the term of:

Two (2) years and a fine of \$100.00 on execution. Said sentence of confinement in this indictment shall run concurrent with the sentence defendant now serving out of Eastern District of Oklahoma.

It is further ordered that counts 2 and 3 be dismissed.

No. 6704 Cr. Cont'd.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DIS-
TRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES)
vs.) No. 6704
D. P. WILLIAMS,)

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn,
upon our oaths, find the defendant D. P. Williams guilty, as charged in the
indictment.

FILED In Open Court W. P. TOMSON Foreman.
Nov 30 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is
ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on
defendant Williams and Cully H. Smith, as follows:

D. P. WILLIAMS

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his
authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for
the period of:

Two (2) years from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the
United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default
thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due pro-
cess of law.

CULLY H. SMITH

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his
authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the term of:

Six (6) months from date of original incarceration and a fine of \$100.00
on execution.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6727 - Criminal.
)
ROBERT L. MILLER, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney,
representing the Government herein, and the defendant Robert L. Miller, appearing in person.
The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3, as charged in the
Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and
sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States,
or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the
term of:

Count 2, Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

No. 6727 Cr. Cont'd.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence on Counts 1 and 3 be deferred to Miami, 1933.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6867 - Criminal. ✓
)	
PARKER MEHS,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 30th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Parker Mehs appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred to Vinita March, 1933.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6868 - Criminal. ✓
)	
BILL GARRISON,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 30th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Bill Garrison, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars. It is further ordered by the Court that said fine be placed on execution.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6889 - Criminal. ✓
)	
EVERETT FAULKNER and MELVIN FAULKNER,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 30th day of November, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants Everett Faulkner and Melvin Faulkner, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred to Miami in November 1933, during good behavior.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6903 - Criminal. ✓
)	
HENRY TURKEYFOOT,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 1st day of December, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file Information herein against the above defendant. Thereupon, Defendant Turkeyfoot is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

Thirt y (30) days from date of delivery.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. H. Wyer,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 1211 Law. ✓
)	
United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company,	Defendant.)	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL AND JUDGMENT FOR COSTS.

The above entitled cause coming on regularly for hearing upon the mandate of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, on this first day of December, 1932, the plaintiff being represented by his attorneys, W. M. Thomas and E. C. Fitzgerald, and the defendant by its attorney, Ray McNaughton, and the plaintiff having moved to dismiss the cause without prejudice, to which motion the defendant did then and there object;

Now, on considering the motion of plaintiff and after hearing counsel for both parties and after due deliberation having been had thereon,

On motion of plaintiff it is ordered that the cause be dismissed without prejudice, upon the payment by the plaintiff of all costs accrued. To the action of the court in dismissing said cause upon the motion of plaintiff without prejudice, the defendant excepts and objects, and is allowed such exception.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed In Open Court
Dec 1 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

EMMA GORDON, ADMX.,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1516 - Law. ✓
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 1st day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court, that the above case be, and it is hereby, dismissed without prejudice.

E. L. ROBINSON,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1576 - Law. ✓
)	
SHELL PIPE LINE CORP.,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 1st day of December, A. D. 1932, motion of Plaintiff to strike is here by overruled. Plaintiff permitted to amend petition herein by interlineation. Thereupon, said case is called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows: Otto Wells, W. M. Painter, Roy Lewis, Alfred Reed, W.F. Johnson, C. L. Laws, Geo. Mitchell, J. E. Miller, P. C. Bowers, Fred Lipple, Sam Taylor, George Eyer. All witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: T. F. Fleetwood, John Carson, B. W. Buzzard, Russel Crotcher, E. L. Robinson, Dr. D. P. McNaughton, Dr. Chas. McCallum, A. E. Aidenstaf, W. H. Frost. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendant demurs to the evidence of the Plaintiff which demurrer is, by the Court, overruled. And thereupon, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present, and in the box. Thereupon, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Mr. Salsman, Geo. Payton, Fred Victor, Dr. T. P. Demler, C. B. Summers, J. H. Comstock, Charley Welch, Geo. Grant, William _____, Dr. DeArmen, H. D. McCaughly. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. The Plaintiff offers in rebuttal testimony of Dr. McNaughton, D. A. Aisenstat. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, the Defendant moves for judgment therein, which motion is, by the Court, overruled and exception allowed. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereupon, the jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury return into open court and through their Foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

E. L. Robinson	Plaintiff)	
vs.)	Case No. 1576 Law
Shell Pipe Line Corporation,)	
a corporation,	Defendant.)	

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the plaintiff, and assess his damages at 12,500.00 DOLLARS.

J. E. MILLER
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
Dec 1 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case. It is further ordered by the Court that judgment be entered accordingly. Judgment stayed for a period of twenty (20) days.

DORIS OLDHAM FITE, ADMX.,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1588 - Law. ✓
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 1st day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above case be, and it is hereby, dismissed without prejudice.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

Adah Baugher, nee Long, the duly appointed, acting and qualified administrator of the estate of Robert J. Long, Mary Akers, nee Long, Bill Long, Andy Long, J. W. Long and Lee Long,	Plaintiffs,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 1605 - Law. ✓
)	
United States of America,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Now on this 1st day of December, 1932, the same being a day of the Regular November term of said Court, sitting at Miami, Oklahoma, said cause comes on for hearing upon the motion of the parties in said cause for an order authorizing the substitution of the party plaintiff herein; and after hearing said motion the Court finds that the same should be allowed.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT of the Court that Tom Clark, administrator of the estate of Robert J. Long, deceased, be, and he is hereby substituted as a party plaintiff in the place and stead of Adah Baugher, nee Long, administratrix of the estate of Robert J. Long, deceased.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT that the said Adah Baugher shall remain a party plaintiff in her individual capacity and as an heir at law of the decedent, Robert J. Long.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed In Open Court
Dec 1 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

ADAH BOUGHER NEE LONG, ADMX. EST. ROBERT)
 J. LONG, DEC'D, ET AL, Plaintiffs,)
) No. 1605 - Law. ✓
 -vs-)
)
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of December, A. D. 1932, Wilkerson and Brown, appeal as attorneys for Plaintiffs. Thereupon, the Government is given leave to file Answer herein. Thereupon, said case is called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury is duly waived in open court. All witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. Thereupon, the Plaintiffs introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: J. T. Powell, Adah Bougher, May Akers, J. H. Butler, J. C. Parmley. And thereafter, the Plaintiffs rest. Thereupon, the Defendant moves for judgment herein, upon the pleadings. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, that said case be, and it is hereby, taken under advisement.

 Court adjourned to December 2, 1932.

On this 2nd day of December, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular November 1932 Session at Miami, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
 John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
 John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER DISCHARGING PETIT JURORS. ✓

On this 2nd day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that all Petit Jurors be, and they, are, hereby discharged for this Regular November 1932 Term of Court, at Miami, Oklahoma.

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER TO PAY PETIT JURORS AND WITNESSES MILEAGE AND PER DIEM. ✓

On this 2nd day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the Marshal of this District pay the Petit Jurors and Witnesses for this Regular November 1932 Term of Court, their mileage and attendance as shown by the Record of Attendance.

 It is this day ordered in pursuance of Section 12 of the Judicial Code, that the Regular November 1932 Term of said Court at Miami, Oklahoma, be adjourned subject to call.

On this 3rd day of December, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

In the Matter of
THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST
COMPANY OF TULSA, as depository of
bankruptcy funds.

- O R D E R -

Now on this 3rd day of December, 1932, it appearing to the Court that The First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa has offered to deposit with the Clerk of this Court:

\$35,000.00 par value State of Oklahoma, City of Enid, Waterworks System Extension Bonds, being numbered 1 to 35, inclusive, in the denomination of \$1,000.00, with May 1, 1933, and subsequent coupons attached, all bonds being dated May 1, 1926, and maturing May 1, 1951;

to secure moneys on deposit with said bank, as depository of moneys belonging to bankrupt estates being administered by this Court, and it further appearing to the Court that said bonds are acceptable for such purpose:

IT IS ORDERED That the Clerk of this Court accept the above described bonds at market value and hold the same as a pledge to secure such bankruptcy deposits;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED That The First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa by and through its proper officers or employees be permitted to detach from said bonds from time to time as they may severally mature, and under the supervision of the Clerk of this Court or a deputy clerk, the interest coupons annexed thereto and to collect and retain for its own use the interest represented thereby.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 3 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER OF REMOVAL.

On this 3rd day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that Ray Traxler alias Joe King be, and he is hereby, removed from the Northern District of Oklahoma to the Eastern District of Arkansas.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6621 - Criminal. ✓
)	
C. T. LANE,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 3rd day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that time herein be extended to December 20th, 1932, for Defendant Lane to pay fine and costs herein.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6661 - Criminal. ✓
)	
AMIE WARREN,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 3rd day of December, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Amie Warren appearing in person. Now at this time, the deferred sentence heretofore imposed is, by the Court, revoked and judgment and sentence is now imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

Count One, Seven (7) months from date of delivery,

Count Two, Six (6) months, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count One and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent to the sentence in Count One.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6677 - Criminal. ✓
)	
JESS WHEELER,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 3rd day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that the fine heretofore imposed on the above defendant be, and it is hereby, placed on execution.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 6747 - Criminal. ✓
)	
W. A. Gallop, et al,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Now on this 3rd day of December, 1932, the same being one of the judicial days of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the motion of the defendant W. A. Gallop for the modification of the sentence heretofore given the above named defendant herein, and the court being fully advised in the premises

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the sentence of the above named defendant W. A. Gallop be modified to one month and twenty-seven days under the second count of the indictment herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

OK: W. B. BLAIR
Assistant U. S. Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 3 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

CENTRAL STATES POWER & LIGHT CO.,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1035 - Law. ✓
)	
UNITED STATES ZINC CO.,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 3rd day of December, A. D. 1932, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF
THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

GREETING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for Northern the District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between Central States Power & Light Corporation, a corporation, plaintiff, and United States Zinc Company, a corporation, defendant, No. 1035, Law, the judgment of the said District Court in said cause, entered on April 18, 1931, was in the following words, viz:

"It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court on this 18th day of April, 1931, that the plaintiff have and recover nothing from the

said defendant, and that the plaintiff be taxed with the costs of this action, to all of which the plaintiff excepts."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Central States Power & Light Corporation, a Corporation agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the April Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, that the judgment of the said District Court, in this cause, be, and the same is hereby, reversed; that this cause be and the same is hereby remanded to the said District Court with direction to vacate the orders overruling plaintiff's motions to strike the defensive averments in the answer and to sustain those motions, to grant a new trial of the cause and otherwise proceed consistently with the opinion of this court; and that Central States Power & Light Company, appellant, have and recover of and from United States Zinc Company, appellee, its costs herein and have execution therefor.

- - August 1, 1932.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such further proceedings be had in said cause, in conformity with the opinion and judgment of this court as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had.

WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 26th day of November, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two.

COSTS OF Appellant:
Clerk, \$30.45
Printing Record \$-- --
Attorney, \$20.00
\$50.45

ALBERT TREGO
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals,
Tenth Circuit.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
TENTH CIRCUIT

Costs taxed in favor of appellant, in the case of Central States Power & Light Corporation, a Corporation, vs. United States Zinc Company, a corporation. No. 519

Filing record and docketing cause,	5 00
Filing 24 copies of printed record,	6 00
Filing and entering 1 appearance for appellant	50
Filing and entering 1 appearance for appellee	50
Clerk, preparing record for printer, etc.,	- --
Printer, for printing record,	- --
Filing 5 papers	1 25
Entering 1 order, 1 folio,	20
Entering continuance,	- --
Filing briefs for appellant	5 00
Filing briefs for appellee	5 00
Filing opinion	25
Filing and entering judgment or decree	1 25
Filing petition for a rehearing	- -
Filing and entering order on petition for a rehearing,	- --
Issuing mandate to District Court,	5 00

Filing receipt for mandate,	25
Filing receipt for balance of deposit,	25
Attorney's docket fee,	20 00
	50 45

Attest:

ALBERT TREGO
Clerk U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals,
Tenth Circuit.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 3 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

EULALIE HALLIBURTON YOUNG, Incompetent,)
by BANKERS TRUST COMPANY of LITTLE ROCK,)
ARKANSAS, as the statutory Guardian and/or)
Curator of the ESTATE OF EULALIE HALLI-)
BURTON YOUNG, Plaintiff,)

NO. 1618 - Law

vs.)

THE TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY, a)
CORPORATION, Defendant.)

J U D G M E N T

Be it remembered that on the 17th day of November, 1932, at the Special March 1932 term of this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this cause came regularly on for hearing, having been theretofore regularly set by order of this court; and the plaintiff appeared by her attorney, Philip Kates, and the defendant appeared by its attorneys, Randolph Haver Shirk & Bridges. The case was called for trial and all parties announced ready for trial.

It was agreed by the parties in open court that this cause should be tried together with the cause of Mary M. Halliburton vs. the Equitable Assurance Society of the United States, Law No. 1618, the jury to render separate verdicts in each case. Whereupon a jury of twelve men was duly called, drawn, examined and empanelled, sworn to try the case, and a statement of the case was made to the jury by both parties, the evidence of witnesses was duly heard, and the case was argued to the jury by attorneys for the parties, and submitted to the jury.

And the Court having instructed the jury as to the law in the case, the jury retired to consider their verdict; and after due deliberation returned into the court the following verdict;

We the jury in the above entitled case, duly empanelled and sworn upon our oaths find for the plaintiff, and assess recovery at the sum of \$7,500.00 with interest from December 14, 1931, at 6% per annum until paid.

It is therefore on this 3rd day of December 1932, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the plaintiff Eulalie Halliburton Young have and recover judgment against the

To all of which defendant excepts, and execution is stayed for twenty days from this 3rd day of December 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 3 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

Paul West, Administrator of the Estate of)	
Jewell West, nee Dent, Janetha Dent and Janet)	
Dent, Minors, by their Guardian Ad Litem,)	
F. C. Swindell, and their Guardian, J. K.)	
Berry,)	No. 1630 Law ✓
)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
New York Life Insurance Company, a Cor-)	
poration,)	Defendant.

O R D E R

Now on this 3rd day of December, 1932 this matter coming on to be heard before me, the undersigned Judge, upon the application of F. C. Swindell, Guardian Ad Litem of Janet and Janetha Dent, Minors, for allowance of fees for Guardian Ad Litem. The

The court finds that due notice has been served upon all interested parties in this matter; that F. C. Swindell appeared in person and that the defendant, New York Life Insurance Company, a Corporation, appeared by its attorneys, Wilson & Wilson.

The court having heard testimony, argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds that \$100.00 is a reasonable fee for the services of F. C. Swindell, as Guardian Ad Litem and for his services rendered, as such Guardian Ad Litem.

The court further finds that said sum should be taxed against the estate of said minors and that the general Guardian, J. K. Berry, should pay said sum to said F. C. Swindell from the estate of Janet and Janetha Dent, minors.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that \$100.00 is a reasonable fee for the services of F. C. Swindell, as Guardian Ad Litem of Janet and Janetha Dent, minors, and that J. K. Berry, Guardian of the estate of Janet and Janetha Dent, minors, is hereby ordered to pay said sum to said F. C. Swindell out of the estate of said minors forthwith.

WITNESS my hand this day and year first above written.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 7 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

Effie Lora Grammer,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 1675 Law ✓
)	
Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation, a corporation,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Now upon this 3rd day of December, 1932, upon the application of the plaintiff, joined in by Effie Lora Grammer as administratrix of the estate of W. J. Grammer, deceased,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Effie Lora Grammer, as administratrix of the estate of W. J. Grammer, deceased, be and she is hereby permitted to join in this action as a party plaintiff, and that said administratrix, together with the present plaintiff, be and they are hereby permitted to file an amended petition herein within one day from this date, and that the defendant shall have fifteen days thereafter within which to plead, answer, demur to said amended petition.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

FOR OK I. L. LOCKWITZ
Atty for Deft.
O.K. HARPER & LEE
Attorneys for Plf.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 3 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

Winifred H. Johnston,	Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	No. 1520 Law ✓
Charles E. Fenner, et al,	Defendants.)	

ORDER IN CONNECTION WITH DEFENDANTS' APPEAL

The defendants Charles E. Fenner, et al., having given and filed with the clerk of this Court, bond in plaintiff's favor in the sum of \$4,000.00, as required by law and in accordance with the order of this court, and this cause coming on for hearing upon the application of the defendants, and it appearing to the court that good grounds exist therefor, the court finds that the time should be extended so as to permit the defendants to have six months from the seventh day of December, nineteen thirty-two, to lodge in the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit the defendants' appeal which is hereby allowed from the judgment rendered by this court,

And it is ordered that the defendants have and they are hereby given an extension of six months time, that is to say, to and including the seventh day of June, nineteen thirty-three to lodge their appeal, from the judgment heretofore rendered in this cause, in said Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and complete the record and have same printed and filed with the Clerk of said Circuit Court of Appeals not later than said seventh day of June, nineteen thirty-three.

This December 7th, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

G.H. J. F. LAWRENCE Attorney for Plaintiff
O.K. H. L. BIRD Attorney for Defendants.
ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 7 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Maggie Glass, et al,	Plaintiffs,)	
)	
vs)	No. 1458 Law ✓
)	
The United States of America,	Defendant.)	

ORDER ENLARGING TIME

On motion of the plaintiff and for good cause shown it is ordered that the time for filing the record in the above entitled cause in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit is hereby extended until the 9th day of March, 1933.

Dated this 7th day of December, 1932.

R. L. WILLIAMS
District Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 8 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until December 8, 1932.

On this 8th day of December, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March, 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, absent from the District.

M. M. Ewing, Chief Deputy Clerk, U. S. Dist. Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

Thomas E. Bean,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs)	No. 1366 - Law. ✓
)	
The United States of America,	Defendant.)	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHICH TO PREPARE, SERVE,
AND FILE RECORD.

Now, on this 5th day of December, 1932, the above named defendant, The United States of America, having heretofore filed a petition praying an appeal in the above cause to the Circuit Court of Appeals of the United States for the Tenth Circuit, and said appeal having heretofore been allowed, and it being shown to the Court that it is necessary that said defendant have an extension of time in which to prepare, serve and file a record in said cause in said appellate Court, and Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the District Court of the United States

for the Northern District of Oklahoma being absent from said District and said State, this matter is brought before Honorable R. L. Williams, Judge of the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, Judge now assigned to the said Northern District and the Court being advised in the premises finds that good and sufficient cause exists for such extension of time,

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED, That the defendant, The United States of America, be, and hereby is, allowed an extension of time of Ninety days (90) in addition to the time heretofore granted herein, in which time to prepare, serve, and file a record in said cause on said appeal in the Circuit Court of Appeals of the United States of America for the Tenth Circuit.

R. L. WILLIAMS
Judge.

O.K. R. E. WILLIAMS
Assistant U. S. Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 8 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

Court adjourned until December 16, 1932.

On this 16th day of December, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

Miscellaneous Order

Whereas, on account rendered by John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal, under appropriation "Salaries, fees and expenses of Marshals, United States Court, 1933" and for the period from October 1, 1932 to December 31, 1932, contains extra-ordinary expenses incurred in executing the laws of the United States, to-wit:

Bus fair via M. K. & O, from Bartlesville to Tulsa and return, round	
trip rate	\$3.00
Three quarters per diem	3.75

all on the 8th day of November, 1932, incurred by Deputy United States Marshal, Chas. T. Warner, for the purpose of assisting and quelling disturbances at voting places in Tulsa, where trouble was expected to emanate, the payment of which is not specifically provided for by law;

The said expenses, amounting to \$6.75, are hereby specially taxed under Section

846, Revised Statutes.

Done in open court at Tulsa, December 16th, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge, Northern District of Oklahoma.ENDORSED: Filed Dec 16 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMRMISCELLANEOUS - ORDER FOR DESTRUCTION OF LIQUOR.IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMAORDER FOR DESTRUCTION OF LIQUOR.

And now on this 16th day of December, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, there coming on for hearing a motion of the United States Attorney, showing to the court that in the following cases, certain liquors are now stored in the Prohibition Office in the care and custody of William R. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and that the cases in which said liquor is involved have all been disposed of by this Honorable Court, and there is no further necessity of holding the same as evidence, said cases being as follow, to-wit

<u>Court No.</u>	<u>Name of Defendant</u>	<u>Quantity</u>
(ON)		
6350 (861)	Earnest Trippie, et al	1 gal. whiskey
6560 (992)	Roy Alsip	2 qts. whiskey
6561 (995)	Ed Rogers	1 pt. whiskey
6562 (989)	Wylie Ary	1 pt. whiskey
6563 (990)	Dick Mensfield, et al	1 pt. whiskey
6564 (1022)	Mrs. Mary Manning	6 pts. beer
6565 (993)	Mrs. Rosie Payne	1 pt. whiskey
6571 (949)	John Goodwin	1 pt. beer
6582 (993)	Minnie Clark	1 pt. whiskey
6587 (1025)	Ruth Allen, et al	2½ pts. whiskey
6591 (1063)	Bryant Sunday	1 qt. whiskey
6593 (1034)	John Wickham	1 pt. whiskey
6598 (1056)	Lawrence Pittman	2 pts. whiskey
6611 (1083)	Guy Curnutte	1 3/4 pts. whiskey
6616 (1072)	Lee Molton	1 qt. whiskey
6164 (641)	Clara Bowes	1 pt. whiskey and 5 pts. beer
6469 (718)	Sam Walker, et al	1 pt. whiskey
6746 (954)	Georgia Solomon	1 pt. beer
6748 (946)	Jack Morledge, et al	2 qts. rum
6781 (1041)	Bill Willard, et al	1 gal. whiskey
6782 (1018)	Harry Burton, et al	1 pt. whiskey
6783 (1079)	Elmer Cobb	5 pts. beer
6786 (1008)	Stone Miller	6 pts. beer
6813 (1107)	William Hollan, et al	2 pts. whiskey and 2 pts beer
6833 (1082)	John Humble	½ gal. whiskey
6840 (1095)	C. J. Queen	1 pt. whiskey
6844 (1090)	Josephine Lester, et al	4 pts. beer
6849 (1092)	George Kempt, et al	2 pts. whiskey
6862 (1146)	Bishop Bland	1 pt. whiskey

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that in the cases heretofore listed, the intoxicating liquor now being held by said Deputy Prohibition Administrator be destroyed, and that said Deputy Prohibition Administrator after such destruction is effected, make his return thereon in said court.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

OK: W. B. BLAIR
Assistant U. S. Dist. Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 16 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION.

On this 16th day of December, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein, and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant, upon praecipe filed by the United States District Attorney, and that the bonds of said defendants be fixed in the following amounts.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Bond</u>
6904	Jim Turner	2500.00
6905	George W. Sewell	2500.00
6906	Louis A. Dunbar	2500.00
6907	Fred Linder	2500.00
6908	George Oldfield	2500.00
6909	John Brandon	2500.00
6910	Lester L. Wynn	2500.00
	Ira Taburn	1000.00
6911	Ed Clark	2500.00
	Minnie Clark	2500.00
6912	Melvin Lepp	2500.00
6913	Tom Justus	2500.00
	Ralph Asburn	1500.00
6914	Lee Snowden	2500.00
6915	Walter Croxton	2500.00
6916	L. J. Spegal	2500.00
6917	Bill Scribner	2500.00

ENDORSED: Filed in Open Court
Dec. 16, 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 6251 ✓
)	
Cliff McKibbins,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Now on this 16th day of December, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the application of the defendant for modification of the sentence herein, the said defendant having received a sentence of eight months in the county jail on the 6th day of September, 1932, for violation of Section 241, Title 18, U.S.C.A., and it appearing to the court that the mother of the said defendant is blind and in a destitute condition, and is in need of the services of the said defendant,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the said sentence of the defendant be modified to three (3) months and ten (10) days, to date from September 6, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

OK: W. B. BLAIR
Assistant U. S. Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 16 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 6640 ✓
)	
George Ferrell,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Now on this 16th day of December, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the application of the defendant George Ferrell, for modification of the sentence herein, said defendant having received a sentence on the 6th day of October, 1932, in the county jail for violation of Section 338, Title 18, U.S.C.A., and it appearing to the court that the said defendant was originally arrested on said charge on the 2nd day of August, 1932, and has since said time been held in jail, and it further appearing to the court that the said defendant has employment available to him at this time,

IT IS HEREBY , ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the said sentence be modified to two months and ten days to date from the 6th day of October, 1932.

OK: W. B. BLAIR, Assistant U. S. Attorney	F. E. KENNAMER
ENDORSED: Filed Dec 16 1932, H. P. Warfield, Clerk	Judge
U. S. District Court	

IN THE U. S. COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE
OF OKLAHOMA.

U. S. OF AMERICA,)	
)	
VS)	No. 6697 Cr. ✓
)	
L. I. BOYD,)	Defendant.

O R D E R

Now on this 16th day of December, 1932, came on for hearing the oral application of L. I. Boyd, defendant herein, for an order modifying a sentence imposed on him on the 6th day of Oct. 1932, from this court wherein said defendant was sentenced to pay a fine of \$100.00 and to serve five months in jail, and it appearing that the family of said defendant are in bad need of his help and service, and for other good cause shown, the said sentence aforesaid is hereby modified to service of seventy-one days from date of sentence and said fine of \$100.00 is hereby placed on execution. It if further ordered that this order be filed at the expense of the U. S. of America.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

OK HARRY SEATON
Asst. U. S. Atty

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 16 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff)	
)	
v.)	No. 6728 Cr. ✓
)	
Walter Todd, et al	Defendants)	

ORDER MODIFYING SENTENCE

Now on this the 16th day of December A. D. 1932, the same being one of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, at Tulsa, there coming on for hearing the motion of the defendant for a modification of his sentence, and the court being well and sufficient advises in the premises, finds that said motion should be sustained.

The court further finds that on the 6th day of October, 1932, said defendant was sentenced to four months in jail; the court further finds that on the 30th day of July, 1932, said defendant was arrested, was unable to make bond, and has been incarcerated in jail since said date; that on the 23rd day of December, 1932, said defendant will have served four months and twenty-four days.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said sentence be, and the same is hereby modified to read four months and twenty-four days, in lieu of four months, and that said sentence date from the date of his incarceration, to-wit, the 30th day of July, 1932.

O.K. HARRY SEATON, Assistant U. S. Attorney F. E. KENNAMER, JUDGE

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 16 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff)	
)	
vs.)	No. 6814 Criminal ✓
)	
Dorothy Shangreau,	Defendant)	

O R D E R

Now on this 16th day of December, 1932, this matter came on ot be heard before me, Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge of the Federal Court in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma upon the application of the defendant, Dorothy Shangreau for an extension of time within which to pay her fine. The Court after hearing evidence finds that the defendant is a member of the Osage Tribe of Indians; that she has no funds available until after the December Payment and that she will be unable to pay her fine until that time.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendant herein have a period of thirty days from this date in which to pay the Clerk of this Court an \$100.00 fine heretofore imposed upon her and it is so ordered.

WITNESS my hand as such Judge this 16th day of December.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE OF THE FEDERAL COURT

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 16 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6820 - Criminal. ✓
)	
CHARLES FLYNN & LULA FLYNN,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 16th day of December, A. B. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that defendant Lula Flynn be released to the next term of court at Pawhuska.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

George W. Wetzel,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 1457 Law. ✓
)	
The United States of America,	Defendant.)	

AMENDED JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this, the 19th day of September, 1932, this cause coming on for hearing by regular assignment before the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, and the plaintiff, George W. Wetzel appearing in person and by Glenn O. Young, his attorney, and the defendant, United States of America, appearing by J. V. Fitts, Regional Attorney for the Veterans Administration and by

A. E. Williams, United States Assistant Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and both sides having announced ready for trial, thereupon trial by jury having been waived by stipulation executed and filed in the court, and it being agreed that the cause may be tried to the court, without intervention of a jury, the plaintiff presented his evidence and rested, and the defendant presented her evidence and rested, and the court, being fully advised in the premises, finds the issues for the plaintiff and against the defendant; that plaintiff is and was at the time of the institution of this suit an actual and bona fide resident of Creek County, State of Oklahoma; that on June 28, 1918, the plaintiff was commissioned a Captain in the medical corps, United States Army, and was assigned to service in the Base Hospital at Camp McArthur, Texas; that plaintiff continued in the service of the United States from the date of his enlistment until October 7, 1919, upon which latter date he was honorably discharged therefrom; that plaintiff while in the service of the United States was not guilty of spying, treason, wilful and persistent misconduct or desertion nor any offense or offenses against the laws of the United States which would work a forfeiture of rights vested in him as hereinafter set forth;

That while in the active service of the United States aforesaid and within one hundred twenty days from the date of his enlistment therein, plaintiff applied for and was granted insurance under the terms of the War Risk Insurance Act; that said insurance so granted was in accordance with the term War Time Insurance Plan and was issued in the sum of \$10,000; that a condition of said insurance and the laws and regulations governing the same was that the same might thereafter be converted into a permanent form of insurance;

The court finds that subsequent to his discharge from the United States Army, plaintiff continued to pay premiums upon said insurance upon the term plan until on or about the 1st day of July, 1920, upon which said date, pursuant to the law and regulations issued by the United States Veterans Bureau, plaintiff applied for and was granted a converted insurance policy; that by the terms of said converted insurance policy the defendant, United States of America in consideration of the payment by the plaintiff of an annual premium of \$29.20 insured said plaintiff against death and/or permanent and total disability in the principal sum of \$10,000; that said insurance contract, and the law, rules, and regulations governing the same provided, among other things, that in the event plaintiff while said policy was in full force and effect became continuously unable to do and perform any substantially gainful occupation then, and in that event, all further premiums due on said insurance should at once cease and terminate and the plaintiff should thereafter be entitled to receive monthly payments in the sum of \$57.50 per month from and after the date of such disability and to continue receiving such monthly payments thereafter so long as he should live and continue to be do disabled;

The court further finds that on Aug. 1, 1930, and while said converted insurance was in full force and effect, as aforesaid, plaintiff became permanently and totally disabled in accordance with the terms and conditions of said policy and the laws governing the same; that he has continued so disabled from and after said date and is now so disabled; that heretofore, to-wit, on December 8, 1930, plaintiff filed with and submitted to the United States Veterans Administration due proof of such permanent and total disability and claim for the payment of said insurance and that on the 5th day of December, 1931, said Veterans Administration, and the Director thereof, disallowed said claim for insurance; that a disagreement arose thereby, jurisdiction whereof is vested in this court;

That in order to recover said insurance plaintiff engaged, retained, and employed Glenn C. Young, a duly licensed and practicing attorney of the Oklahoma Bar, agreeing to pay him for his services in this behalf reasonable compensation in the sum of ten per cent, said compensation to be contingent upon approval and allowance of the court, as provided by law; that said Glenn C. Young pursuant to said agreement, did appear and prosecute this action in accordance with said agreement; that said sum so agreed upon as compensation is reasonable attorney's fees herein;

IT IS, WHEREFORE, the order, judgment, and decree of the court that plaintiff have and recover from the defendant the sum of \$57.50 per month from and after the 1st day of Aug., 1930 to the date of this judgment and continuing during the lifetime of the plaintiff so long as he lives and continues so permanently and totally disabled; provided further that in

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

Walter E. Skaggs,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 1504 Law
)	
The United States of America,	Defendant.)	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHICH TO PREPARE,
SERVE AND FILE RECORD.

Now on this 16th day of December, 1932, the above defendant, the United States of America, having filed its petition praying an appeal of the above cause to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and said appeal having been heretofore allowed, and it being shown to the Court that it is necessary that said defendant be granted an extension of 90 days from this date in which to prepare, serve and file a record in said cause, the Court finds that good and sufficient reason exists for such extension of time.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the Court that the defendant, the United States of America be, and hereby is allowed an extension of 90 days from this date in which to prepare, serve and file a record in said cause and lodge its appeal in the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

F. E. KERNAMER
JUDGE

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 16 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

Luther Harvey,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs)	No. 1552
)	
Continental Oil Company and The Pure Oil Company,	Defendants.)	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 10th day of November, 1932, the above entitled cause came on regularly for trial, the plaintiff appearing by his attorney, H. C. Hargis, and the defendants appearing by their attorneys, W. H. Zwick and Alvin Richards, and thereupon the plaintiff, in open court, dismissed said action and prayed the Court to enter an order thereon.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice.

F. E. KERNAMER
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 16 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

E. L. Robinson,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs) Law No. 1576 ✓
)
Shell Pipe Line Company, a corporation,	Defendant.)

PETITION FOR APPEAL.

TO THE HONORABLE F. E. KENNAMER, DISTRICT JUDGE:

The above named Shell Pipe Line Company, a corporation, feeling aggrieved by the judgment entered in the above entitled cause upon the 2nd day of December, 1932, does hereby appeal from said judgment to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit for the reasons set forth in the assignment of errors filed herewith and it prays that its appeal be allowed and that citation be issued as provided by law and that a transcript of the record, bill of exceptions, proceedings and documents upon which said judgment was based, duly authenticated, be sent to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit sitting in the city of Denver and State of Colorado.

Your petitioner further desires that said appeal shall operate as a supersedeas, and suspend, during the pendency of said appeal the effect of said judgment and to that end prays that proper order touching the security required of it to perfect said appeal and supersede said judgment be made, and tenders herewith bond in such amount as the court may require for such purpose and prays that with the allowance of the appeal a supersedeas be issued.

E. C. FITZGERALD
L. A. WETZEL
Attorneys for Defendant

The foregoing Petition for Appeal is granted and the appeal is allowed and shall operate as a supersedeas upon the petitioners filing a bond in the sum of \$25000.00 with sufficient sureties to be conditioned as required by law.

Done this _____ day of December, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
DISTRICT JUDGE

ENDORSED: .Filed Dec 16 1932
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

E. L. Robinson,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs) Law No. 1576 ✓
)
Shell Pipe Line Company, a corporation,	Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO COMPLETE RECORD.
ON APPEAL AND PREPARE BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

For good cause shown it is ordered that the defendant herein have sixty days from

On this 17th day of December, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
 John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
 John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6010 - Criminal. ✓
)	
RAY MESKIMEN & IKE HOWARD,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 17th day of December, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Ike Howard, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his duly authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
 Count Three, Sixty (60) days from date of original incarceration.

It is further ordered by the Court that Counts 1 and 2 be dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	
)	
GEORGE W. CURRIER, HERBERT D. MASON, ROBERT S. ROCHE, ANDREW J. BARRETT, T. B. CORNELL, GEORGE WHITCOMB, DAVID MANESSE, A. E. KESSLER and CORLISH BECK,	Defendants.)	No. 6320 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 17th day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that all demurrers in the above cause be, and they are hereby, overruled and exceptions allowed. Case set for trial January 9, 1933.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 6458 ✓
)	
Willis B. Mitchell,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Now on this 17th day of December, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial

days of the Special March A. D. 1932 term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the application of the defendant Willis B. Mitchell for modification of the sentence herein, said defendant having received a sentence on the 17th day of October, 1932, of 90 days in the county jail for violation of the National Prohibition Act, and it appearing to the court that the defendant now has employment available to him,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the said sentence of the defendant Willis B. Mitchell be modified to sixty-one days to date from October 17, 1932.

F. E. KENNAUER
Judge.

OK: JNO. M. GOLDSBERRY
United States Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 17 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6662 - Criminal. ✓
)
RUSSELL TOMPKINS, alias GEORGE NICELY, and MIKE COLLINS, alias FLOYD ELLIS,	Defendants.)

Now on this 17th day of December, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Russell Tompkins alias George Nicely, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:
Four (4) years from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6869 - Criminal. ✓
)
C. C. RUPERT,	Defendant.)

Now on this 17th day of December, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant C. C. Rupert, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred for a period of six (6) months, during good behavior.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 6904 Criminal
)	
Jim Turner,	Defendant.)	

ORDER OF COURT

Now on the 17th day of December, A. D. 1932, the same being one of the regular days of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, said defendant, Jim Turner, entered a plea of guilty in said cause and admitted transportation of liquor in the car involved herein, to-wit, one 1926 Nash Roadster, Motor No. 278237, and it further appearing to the court that said car is of little or no value, and that it would not sell for a sufficient sum to pay the expenses of sale, and that said car should be released to the defendant,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said car be, and the same is hereby released to said defendant, upon his payment of the costs incident to the seizure of said automobile.

F. L. KENNAUER
JUDGE

O.K. HARRY SETON
Assistant U. S. Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed In Open Court
Dec. 20 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6905 - Criminal. ✓
)	
GEORGE W. SEWELL,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 17th day of December, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant George W. Sewell, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3, as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, that judgment and sentence be deferred for a period of six (6) months, during good behavior.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6906 - Criminal. ✓
)	
LOUIS A. DUNBAR,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 17th day of December, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Louis A. Dunbar, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred for a period of six (6) months, during good behavior.

No. 6910 Cr. Cont'd.

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 6911 - Criminal.
ED CLARK and MINNIE CLARK,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 17th day of December, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein, and the defendants Ed Clark and Minnie Clark appearing in person. Each of the above defendants are arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Defendant Ed Clark enters a plea of Nolo Contendere to the Information filed herein, which plea is accepted by the Court. Defendant Minnie Clark enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence as to each defendant be deferred six months, or until further order of the Court.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 6912 - Criminal.
MELVIN LEPP,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 17th day of December, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant Melvin Lepp, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred for six months, during good behavior.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 6913 - Criminal.
TOM JUSTUS & RALPH ASBURY,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 17th day of December, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Tom Justus appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred for six months, or during good behavior.

It is further ordered by the court that the defendant be, and it is hereby, allowed sixty days within which to prepare and serve its Bill of Exceptions.

GIVEN under my hand as Judge of the United States District Court, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, on this 17th day of December, 1932.

F. E. KENNAUER
J U D G E

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 20 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

EULALIE HALLIBURTON YOUNG, INC, ETC.,) Plaintiff,)	No. 1616 - Law. ✓
-vs-)	
THE TRAVELERS INS. CO.,) Defendant.)	

Now on this 17th day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that motion of Defendant for new trial be, and it is hereby, sustained. It is further ordered by the Court that said case be set for trial on the next law docket.

MARY HALLIBURTON,) Plaintiff,)	No. 1618 - Law. ✓
-vs-)	
THE EQUITABLE LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY OF THE UNITED STATES,) Defendant.)	

Now on this 17th day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that motion of Defendant for new trial be, and it is hereby, overruled. It is further ordered that execution be stayed until further order of the Court. Defendant given sixty (60) days to prepare and serve Bill of Exceptions.

EXCHANGE TRUST CO., ETC.,) Plaintiff,)	No. 1678 - Law. ✓
-vs-)	
A. C. ALEXANDER, COLL. INS. REV.,) Defendant.)	

Now on this 17th day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that defendant be, and is hereby given, thirty days to answer herein.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

United States,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
) No. 1693 Law. ✓
J. W. Beach, T. R. Bingham and C. C. Sellers,	Defendants.)

ORDER QUASHING RETURN.

Now, on this 17th day of December, 1932, this cause coming on to be heard on the motion of the plaintiff to quash the return of the attachment in this cause, and the Court having heard the evidence therein, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that said motion should be sustained and said return set aside; and further finds that an alias attachment order should issue herein directed to the United States Marshal, to make proper service and seizure, as in said alias order required.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

C.K. W. E. WILLIAMS

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 17 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until December 19, 1932.

On this 19th day of December, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

F. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesherry, F. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff	}
v.		}
C. Angle	Defendant) No. 727 Cr. ✓

O R D E R

Now on this 19th day of December A. D. 1932, the same being one of the regular days of the special March A. T. 1932 term of said court, there coming on for hearing the motion of the United States Attorney, under authority from the Attorney General to dismiss the

forfeiture heretofore and in this cause, on the 8th day of March, 1932, and it appearing to the court that the criminal case herein was dismissed on the 9th day of June, 1932, on authority from the Attorney General; and it further appearing that the sureties in said cause cannot be located and that said bond is what is commonly known as a straw bond, and the court being well and sufficient advised in the premises, finds that said bond forfeiture should be set aside and the case closed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the bond forfeiture heretofore rendered in said cause be, and the same is hereby set aside.

F. E. KENNELER
Assistant U. S. Attorney

O.K. HARRY SEXTON
Assistant U. S. Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 20 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

SIMON E. BERRY, LAVADA WARD, FANNIE
MAE HAMILTON, RICHARD STEWART and
ROY GRACE,

Defendants.)

No. 6054 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 19th day of December, A. D. 1932, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GREETING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between The United States of America, plaintiff, and Simon E. Berry et al., defendants, No. 6054, Criminal, the judgment and sentence of the said District Court in said cause, entered on March 25, 1932, was in the following words, viz:

-----** *** **-----*****-----

"Now on this 25th day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows, as to defendant, Simon E. Berry:

"Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement to a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:

- "Count One, fifteen (15) months, from date of delivery;
- "Count Four, Fifteen (15) months;
- "Count Three, Fifteen (15) months;
- "Count five, Fifteen (15) months;

and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Three Hundred (\$300.00) Dollars on Count 6; One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count four; One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count three; and One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count five; and in default thereof, stand committed until said fines are paid or until released by due process of law. It is further ordered by the court that sentences of confinement in Counts Four, three and five, shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count one.

"And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that Defendant Simon E. Berry be given sixty (60) days' extension of time to prepare Bill of Exceptions herein."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought in to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Simon E. Berry agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the September Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, that the judgment and sentence of the said District Court, in this cause, be, and the same is hereby affirmed; and that United States of America, appellee, have and recover of and from Simon E. Berry, appellant, its costs herein.

It is further ordered that the mandate of this court issue forthwith to the said District Court.

It is further ordered by this court that Simon E. Berry, appellant, surrender himself to the custody of the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, in execution of the judgment and sentence imposed upon him, within ten days from and after the date of the filing of the mandate of this court in said District Court.

- - December 16, 1932.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS, the honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 16th day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two.

COSTS OF	Appellee:
Clerk,	\$5.75
Printing Record	\$----
Attorney	\$20.00
	<u>\$25.75</u>

ALBERT WREGG
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
Tenth Circuit

Costs taxed in favor of appellee, in the case of Simon E. Berry, appellant, vs. United States of America, appellee.

No. 699.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ETNA GORDON, ADMRX. of the Estate of George H. Gordon, deceased, Plaintiff,)
 vs.) No. 1516 - Law ✓
 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now, on this 1st day of December, 1932, upon motion of the Plaintiff herein, the above entitled cause is hereby ordered dismissed, without prejudice, at cost of Plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 19 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court M

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Montie D. Wilson, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1535 LAW. ✓
 The United States of America, Defendant.)

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL

Now on this 19th day of December, 1932, there having been presented to this court a petition, together with the Assignment of Errors, for the allowance of an appeal, and the court, after considering the same, finds that said appeal should be allowed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by this court, that the plaintiff in the above styled and numbered cause be allowed an appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, as provided by law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by this court that a supersedeas bond is hereby fixed in the sum of \$500.00, the same to be filed and approved by the Clerk of this court, within thirty days from this date.

F. E. KENNAMER
DISTRICT JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 19 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

Montie D. Wilson,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1535 LA".
)	
United States of America,	Defendant..)	

ORDER EXTENDING THE TIME IN WHICH TO PREPARE, SERVE
BILL OF EXCEPTIONS, SETTLE THE SAME AND FILE RE-
CORD IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS.

Now on this 19th day of December, 1932, the plaintiff having filed his petition praying for an appeal of the above styled and numbered cause to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and said appeal having heretofore been allowed, and it being shown to the court that it is necessary that said plaintiff have an extension of time of ninety days from this date in which to prepare bill of exceptions, serve and settle the same, and file the record in said cause; and the court being advised in the premises, finds that good and sufficient cause exists for such extension of this time, and it is apparent that the bill of exceptions cannot be prepared, served and settled at this term of court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court, that the plaintiff above named, be and he is hereby allowed an extension of ninety days from this date, which will extend the time from this term of court to the January 1933 term of court in which to prepare, serve and settle bill of exceptions, and to file a complete record in said cause and lodge his appeal in the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

F. E. KENNAUER
DISTRICT JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 19 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

DORIS ALDHAM FITE, Admrs. of Estate of Howard H. Oldham,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1588 - Law
)	
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant..)	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now, on this 1st day of December, 1932, upon motion of the Plaintiff herein, the above entitled cause is hereby ordered dismissed, without prejudice, at cost of Plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAUER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 19 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

HERMAN KRAMER,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1638 - Law. ✓
 GENERAL PAINE CORP.,)
 Defendant.)

Now on this 19th day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be ordered to file remittiture of \$10,000.00 or motion for new trial will be granted. It is further ordered that Plaintiff be given five (5) days to comply.

JAMES MANUEL PETERS,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1639 - Law. ✓
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Defendant.)

Now on this 19th day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Defendant to strike be, and it is hereby, sustained. Given ten (10) days to answer.

 Court adjourned until December 20, 1932.

SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 20, 1932

On this 20th day of December, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Judge, present and presiding.

H. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
 John M. Goldesberry, United States Attorney.
 John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 6324 ✓
 Ernest H. White,)
 Defendant.)

ORDER ENTERING FILE NO FILE BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

On this 20 day of December, 1932, upon the application of the defendant in the above entitled cause, good cause being shown therefor, the time for the signing, allowance, and filing of the Bill of Exceptions of the above named defendant is hereby extended to the 16th day of January, 1933, including that day.

Dated December _____, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
 District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed In Open Court
 Dec 20 1932
 H. F. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff)	
)	
v.)	6378 ✓
)	
Sam Harris et al	Defendants)	

ORDER OF COURT

Now on this 29th day of June A. D. 1932, the same being one of the regular days of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, there coming on for hearing the motion of the government for the forfeiture of said car in the above entitled cause, and it appearing to the court that said defendants entered a plea of guilty herein for transportation of liquor in said car, to-wit, one 1929 Ford Roadster, Motor No. 12464320, and it appearing that said car has little or no value,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said car be sold for the storage accumulated against said car.

O.K. HARRY SEATON Assistant U. S. Attorney	F. E. KENNAMER JUDGE
---	-------------------------

EMERGED: Filed In Open Court
Dec 20 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff)	
)	
v.)	No. 6393 Cr. ✓
)	
Leland Cook et al	Defendant)	

ORDER OF COURT

Now on this the 6th day of July A. D. 1932, the same being one of the regular days of the Regular June Term of said court, at Bartlesville, and it appearing to the court that said defendant, Leland Cook, has heretofore entered a plea of guilty and that said defendant was transporting liquor in one 1926 Chevrolet Coach automobile, Motor No. 3412799, and that said car is of little or no value,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said automobile be sold for the storage accumulated against said car.

O.K. HARRY SEATON, Assistant U. S. Attorney	F. E. KENNAMER Judge, Northern District of Oklahoma.
---	---

EMERGED: Filed in Open Court
Dec. 20 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff)	
)	
v.)	No. 6407 Cr. ✓
)	
Roy V. Brown, et al	Defendants)	

ORDER OF COURT

Now on this 13th day of July A. D. 1932, the same being one of the regular days of the regular June Term of court at Bartlesville, there coming on for hearing the motion of the United States Attorney, asking that the automobile involved herein, to-wit, one Chevrolet Coach, Motor No. 2983876, be forfeited to the government.

And it appearing to the court that on said date the defendant, Roy V. Brown entered a plea of guilty to possession and transportation of intoxicating liquor in said car, and it further appearing that said car has little or no value,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said car be, and the same is hereby ordered sold for the storage accumulated against said car.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

O.K. HARRY SEATON
Assistant U. S. Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 20 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff)	
)	
v.)	No. 6654 Cr. ✓
)	
Jess Battenfield, et al,	Defendants.)	

ORDER MODIFYING SENTENCE

Now on this 19th day of December, 1932, the same being one of the regular days of the special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, at Tulsa, there coming on for hearing the motion of the defendant, Jess Battenfield, asking that his sentence be modified and that the fine be placed on execution, and the court having heard the evidence, on consideration of said motion, finds that the motion of the defendant should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said sentence be modified to read six months from date of incarceration, to-wit, August 17, 1932, in lieu of six months from the date of sentence, to-wit, October 6, 1932, and that the fine be placed on execution.

F. E. KENNAMER
Assistant U. S. Attorney

O.K. HARRY SEATON, Assistant U.S. Attorney
ENDORSED: Filed Dec 20 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 6759 Cr. ✓
)
WILL HAIN and J. L. POPE,	Defendants.)

O R D E R

BE IT REMEMBERED that upon this 20th day of December, 1932, the court heard the application of the defendant J. L. Pope, made by his attorney of record, Frank Hickman, for an order directing the temporary release of said J. L. Pope from custody, and it having been made to appear to the court that said J. L. Pope is confined in the Creek County Jail by the United States Marshal serving a six months sentence imposed in this case, and that he is in immediate need of medical and surgical treatment and hospitalization, and that same can be secured by him without expense to the government, and that it will require about fifteen days to perform an operation and obtain said treatment,

IT IS ORDERED that the United States Marshal be and he is hereby authorized to release the said J. L. Pope from custody for a period of fifteen days from this date to enable him to obtain medical and surgical treatment and hospitalization, the government to be saved harmless from any and all expense in connection therewith, and that upon the expiration of said period of fifteen days the said J. L. Pope shall surrender himself to said Marshall for the completion of service of his sentence.

F. B. WENEMAN
United States District Judge.

O.K. HARRY SEATON
Asst. U. S. Atty.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 20 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6920 - Criminal. ✓
)
JOE CLOUD and LAURA CLOUD,	Defendants.)

Now on this 20th day of December, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file Information herein against the above defendants.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff)
)
v.) No. 6920 Criminal. ✓
)
Joe Cloud, et al	Defendants)

JUDICIAL ENTRY

Now on this 19th day of December A. D. 1932, the same being one of the regular

said motion for new trial is duly presented to the court and argument heard thereon.

At the conclusion of the argument, it is ordered that the motion for new trial be denied and overruled upon condition that the plaintiff remit within five days from this date the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) of the verdict and judgment in this case, and that upon the filing of such remittitur the aforesaid motion of the defendant for a new trial will be overruled and denied, but that in the event the plaintiff fails to file such remittitur within said five days the said motion for a new trial will be granted and sustained, to which action of the court the plaintiff and defendant each except.

Now on this 22nd day of December, 1932, the said plaintiff above named having filed his remittitur as aforesaid in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00), and the court having examined same and having approved same, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the verdict and judgment in this cause be, and the same is hereby reduced in the amount of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) and the judgment heretofore entered on the 19th day of November, 1932 as reduced by such amount to the sum of thirty-five thousand dollars (\$35,000.00), with interest thereon from the 19th day of November, 1932 at the rate of six per cent per annum, and the costs of this action, be, and the same shall otherwise remain unchanged, and the motion of the defendant heretofore for a new trial be and the same is hereby overruled and denied, to which the defendant excepts.

W. L. KOTNER
United States District Judge.

O.K. as to form:
RICHARD W. MITCHELL, JAMES CAMPBELL
Attorneys for the Plaintiff

O.K. as to form:
WEST and DAVIDSON
Attorneys for the Defendant.

RECORDED: Filed Dec 24 1932
J. P. Wardle, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until December 29, 1932.

On this 28th day of December, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. B. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

W. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Wickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER OF REMOVAL - THEODORE R. FERGUSON.

On this 29th day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Theodore R. Ferguson be, and he is hereby, removed from the Northern District of Oklahoma to the Western District of Oklahoma.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Miscellaneous Criminal
)	
Alfred L. Smith,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

And now on this 29th day of December, 1932, there coming on for hearing motion of the United States Attorney, and it appearing to the court that Fern Hathaway and Clifford McKinley are material witnesses for the government in the presentation of the above entitled cause to the grand jury, and that there is reason to believe that the said Fern Hathaway and Clifford McKinley will abscond as witnesses in said matter, and that it is necessary that they be put under a good and sufficient bond to insure their attendance as witnesses in said matter before the grand jury, and that an attachment should issue for said witnesses to show cause why they should not enter into said bond.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma attach said Fern Hathaway and Clifford McKinley and bring them forthwith before the Judge of this court to show cause if any they have, why they should not enter into a good and sufficient bond as witnesses to be and appear before the grand jury as witnesses for the government.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

OK: HARRY SEATON
Assistant United States Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 29 1932
R. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JCR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 6651
)	
Truman Gray, and Jolly Compton,	Defendants.)	

O R D E R

And now on this 29th day of December, 1932, there coming on for hearing motion of the defendant, Truman Gray and Jolly Compton, asking that their sentence be modified to read

two months and twelve days in lieu of four months, and their fines be placed on execution, and the court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, finds that said motion should be sustained.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said sentence be and the same is hereby modified to read two months and twelve days instead of four months, and the fines of said defendants be placed on execution.

F. E. KENNELER
Judge.

OK: HARRY SEATON
Assistant U. S. Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 29 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court T.R.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	} No. 6923 - Criminal. ✓
-vs-		
JOHN RODRIQUIZ & LASARA PABELA,	Defendants.	

Now on this 29th day of December, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file information herein against each of the above defendants. Whereafter, Defendants John Rodriquiz and Lasara Pabela appear in open court, are arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the information filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

JOHN RODRIQUIZ

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of: From Dec. 24th, 1932 to this date, and that defendant be now discharged.

LASARA PABELA

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of: From Dec. 24th, 1932 to this date, and that defendant be now discharged.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CHRYSLER FINANCIAL CORP., a corporation,	Plaintiff,	} No. 1038 L.V. ✓
vs.		
JAMES EARL RAY, a corporation,	Defendant.	

JOURNAL ENTRY

Whereon, on the 29th day of December, 1932, the court do hereby direct the clerk of

Appeals for the tenth circuit in the above entitled matter having been received and spread of record; in accordance with the judgment and opinion of said court, it is ordered that the order made by this court on January 12, 1931, overruling the motion of the plaintiff to strike certain parts of defendant's answer, which motion was filed on September 30, 1930, be and the same is hereby set aside, and in accordance with said opinion it is further by the court ordered that the said motion of the plaintiff filed on said September 30, 1930, to strike certain portions of the defendant's answer be and it is hereby granted and sustained, and the matter set forth in said motion is accordingly stricken from the answer of the defendant.

WITNESS MY HAND AS JUDGE this the 29th day of December, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

O.K. E. J. LUNDY, Atty for Pltff.
SHELL BASSETT, for Deft.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 29 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Texas & Pacific Railway Company, a corporation,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1084 Law. ✓
Gulf States Terminal & Transport Company, Inc., a corporation,)
Defendant.)

ORDER TO ANSWER

Now on this 29th day of December, 1932, it appearing to the court that the purpose for which a stay of proceedings in this cause was granted has been accomplished,

IT IS ORDERED that the defendant herein file its answer to the petition of the plaintiff herein within twenty (20) days from this date.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 29 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Elizabeth Bentfrow and John F. Bentfrow, Plaintiffs,)
vs.) No. 1158 Law. ✓
United States of America,)
Defendant.)

ORDER REMANDING CASE.

Now on this 27th day of December, 1932, the above named plaintiffs, having heretofore prayed an appeal of the within cause to the Circuit Court of Appeals of the Tenth Circuit and said appeal having been duly and regularly allowed and upon allowance thereof said plaintiffs having been granted an extension of time of sixty days within which to prepare, serve a bill of Exceptions in said cause, and it now appearing to the Court that said plaintiffs will not be able to prepare and serve a Bill of Exceptions within the period of sixty days heretofore allowed, and said plaintiffs asking the Court for additional time within which to prepare and serve such Bill of Exceptions, and the Court, being fully advised in the premises finds that good and sufficient cause exists for such extension of time and that the plaintiffs should be granted thirty days time in addition to the time heretofore granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said plaintiffs be, and hereby are, allowed an extension of time of thirty days in addition to the extension of time heretofore made, in which to complete and serve a Bill of Exceptions.

F. E. KIRKHAMER
Judge of the U. S. District Court of the
Northern District of Oklahoma.

O.K. W. V. FRYOR & SAM T. ALLEN
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

A. E. WILLIAMS
Assistant U. S. Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 29 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

Midwest Chevrolet Company, a
corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

General Motors Acceptance Corpora-
tion, a corporation,

Defendant.

No. 1442 Law. ✓

O R D E R

Upon application of the defendant: IT IS ORDERED that the time within which the defendant may file and have approved its bill of exceptions in the above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby, extended to February 8, 1933, and the present term of said Court is hereby ordered extended to said date for said purpose.

Done this 28th day of December, 1932.

F. E. KIRKHAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 29 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

J. D. Kimmel, J. W. Cree, R. M. Gothrop and W. D. Goodwin,	Plaintiffs,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 1495 Law ✓
)	
Stanolind Oil & Gas Company,	Defendant.)	

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME

Now on this 29th day of December, 1932, upon motion of the defendant, Stanolind Oil & Gas Company, and for good cause shown, the time for the signing, allowance, and filing of the bill of exceptions of defendant, Stanolind Oil & Gas Company, is hereby extended to three months after action by this court upon motion for new trial filed by defendant Stanolind Oil & Gas Company herein.

AND it is further ordered that the present term of this court be and the same is hereby extended as aforesaid for said purpose, until the expiration of the said extended time.

F. E. KEENE
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 29 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Winifred E. Johnston,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1520 Law ✓
)	
Fenner & Beane, a partnership, et al.,	Defendants.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY

On this, the 11th day of November, 1932, came the plaintiff, Winifred E. Johnston in person and by her attorney, J. F. Lawrence, and also came the defendant Alfred S. Myllie in his own person, and all defendants, Charles E. Fenner, Alpheus C. Beane, Joseph N. Carpenter, R. Crowder Flinn, John L. Julian, Earl H. Hulsey, John McCorkle, Sully C. Pecot, Robert A. McCord, Alfred S. Myllie, James P. Butler, E. Wright Noble, and J. E. Dyer, Jr., appearing by their attorney, L. L. Smith, and this cause came on for trial in its regular order before a jury of twelve good and qualified men, who being duly impaneled and sworn, well and truly to try the issues joined between plaintiff and defendants, and render a true verdict according to the evidence. And the plaintiff and defendants through their respective attorneys having stated their case to the jury, and the plaintiff having presented her testimony and said testimony being incomplete, the court was adjourned until 9:15 o'clock November 12, 1932.

Now on this 18th day of November, 1932, said cause came on for further proceedings in the trial thereof pursuant to adjournment had on the previous day, the parties appearing as before. Thereupon the plaintiff proceeded with the introduction of further testimony on her behalf, and having concluded same rested her case. Thereupon the defendants interposed a demurrer to plaintiff's evidence, and on the same being overruled by the court, the defendants then and there in open court duly excepted. Thereupon the defendant proceeded with the

introduction of evidence on their behalf and the same having been concluded the defendants rested their case. Whereupon the plaintiff introduced certain rebuttal testimony and same having been concluded, both sides rested their case. Thereupon the defendants moved the court to instruct the jury to return a verdict in favor of the defendants, and said motion being overruled by the court the defendants then and there in open court duly excepted. Thereupon counsel for the respective parties presented oral argument to the jury, whereupon the court instructed the jury orally and the jury retired in charge of a sworn bailiff to consider of its verdict. Thereupon the jury returned into open court with its verdict in said cause as follows, to-wit:

"VERDICT"

Form 101

In the District Court of the United States for the Northern District
of Oklahoma

Winifred H. Johnston,	Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1520 Law
Fenner & Beane, a partner-)
ship, et al.,	Defendants.)

We, the jury in the above entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the plaintiff, and assess the amount of her recovery at \$3,360.00 Dollars.

Herman E. Hozer, Foreman."

to which verdict at the time same was returned into open court by the jury, the defendants in open court duly excepted, and moved the court to render judgment for plaintiff and against defendants for only \$223.17, notwithstanding the verdict rendered by the jury. Whereupon the court withholds rendition of judgment in this cause until defendants' said motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict could be orally argued before the court.

Now on this 22nd day of November, 1932, said cause comes on for hearing on motion of the defendants that the court render judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for only \$223.17 notwithstanding the verdict heretofore rendered by the jury in favor of the plaintiff, the parties appearing by their attorneys of record. Thereupon on motion of the defendants they are permitted to file and urge in the alternative a motion for a new trial of this case in the event the defendants' motion for judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for only \$223.17 is overruled. And said motions being argued to the court and the court being fully advised in the premises separately finds that each of said motions is not well taken and should be overruled. IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that the defendants' motion that the court render judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for only \$223.17 be and it is hereby overruled; to which action of the court the defendants then and there in open court duly excepted. AND IT IS FURTHER CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that defendants' motion for a new trial of this cause should be and it is hereby overruled, to which action of the court the defendants then and there in open court duly excepted.

Thereupon on motion made by counsel for the plaintiff that an amount for attorney's fees for plaintiff's counsel be awarded to the plaintiff as a part of the judgment in said cause, the court finds that under the evidence and the law neither the plaintiff nor her counsel are entitled to have any sum of money awarded to them to cover attorney's fees or damages or expenses for pursuing or endeavoring to have defendants return the personal property in controversy in this action, and it is by the court ordered, adjudged and decreed that no sum of money be awarded to plaintiff or her counsel for such damages, expenses or attorney's fees, but on request made in open court by counsel for plaintiff that an amount be fixed for attorney's fees in the event that same are properly allowable to the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney in this action, the court finds that \$250.00 would be a reasonable and adequate fee for plaintiff's counsel if such a fee could be included in the judgment rendered in favor of plaintiff or included as a part of the costs of this action.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Herman Kramer,	Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1638 Law.
General Paint Corporation,	Defendant.)
a corporation,)

O R D E R

Upon application of the defendant: IT IS ORDERED, that the time within which the defendant may file and have approved its bill of exceptions in the above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby, extended to February 17, 1933, and the present term of said Court is hereby ordered extended to said date for said purpose.

Done this 29th day of December, 1932.

F. E. KEENECKER
J u d g e.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 29 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Herrell McAllister, a minor, by J. E. McAllister, his next friend,	Plaintiff,)
vs.) NO. 1650 Law
A. L. Wilson,	Defendant.)

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURRER

Now on this 29th day of December, 1932, the demurrer of the defendant to the amended petition of the plaintiff came on regularly for hearing, and the court having heard the same and being advised finds that the said demurrer is not well taken and should be overruled;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COURT that the demurrer of the defendant to the amended petition of the plaintiff be, and the same is hereby overruled, to which action of the Court the defendant excepted.

Whereupon upon application of the defendant the court extended the time within which the defendant might file his answer herein for a period of ten (10) days from this date.

F. E. KEENECKER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

C.M. HOWELL
OF MAURY & COPPINGER, Attys for Plaintiff
ENDORSED: Filed Dec 29 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until December 30, 1932.

On this 30th day of December, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.
John A. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION.

On this 30th day of December, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein, and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant, upon praecipe filed by the United States Attorney, and that the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the following sums:

<u>Number</u>	<u>Name of Defendant</u>	<u>Amount of Bond</u>
6924	Burleigh Whitehead	2500.00
6925	George Houk	2500.00
6926	Jesse Berry	2500.00
6927	Mrs. J. S. Nails	2500.00
	Linnie Rich	2500.00
	Jim Nails	2500.00
6928	Carroll Murphy	1000.00
6929	C. E. Christiansen	2500.00
6930	W. M. Isabell	2500.00
6931	Leonard Hampton	2500.00
6933	Lawrence Bellm	2500.00
6934	Raymond Scritchfield	2500.00
6935	Cleve Wilde	2500.00
6936	Lonnie A. Davis	2500.00
	Edward Mathews	1000.00
6937	Peter G. Baker	1500.00
	Lonnie H. Tinney	1500.00
	Bertha Berryhill	1500.00
6938	Chester Spears	2500.00
6939	Minnie Langley	2500.00
	Ted Burchett	2500.00
6940	Clarence McClanahan	2500.00
6941	Walter H. Gardner	2500.00
	William F. Reynolds	2500.00
	Roy Young	2500.00
	Buck Rhoad	2500.00
6942	Columbus O. Pennington	1000.00
	Henry A. Dudley	1000.00
6943	Ralph Maxson	2500.00
6944	Clifford Laird	2500.00
	Henry Savage	500.00
	Grover West	2500.00
	Margaret Bright	500.00
	Elizabeth Pappan	500.00
6945	Mark Cross	2500.00
6946	Marie Groves	1500.00
6947	Leonard Hill	2500.00

imposed in this case on the 28th day of October, 1932 be made to read forty-four (44) days in lieu of six (6) months.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

O.K. HARRY SEATON
Harry Seaton, Assistant United States Attorney.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec 30 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court Adjourned until December 31, 1932.

On this 31st day of December, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John W. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER TO ADJOURN REGULAR MIAMI TERM OF COURT.

On this 31st day of December, A. D. 1932, it is ordered in pursuant of section 12 of the Judicial Code, that the Regular November 1932 Term of Court at Miami, Oklahoma, be adjourned, Sine Die.

It is this day ordered in pursuance of Section 12 of the Judicial Code, that the Special March 1932 Term of Court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, be adjourned, Sine Die.
