

On this 21st day of March, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular March 1932 Session at Vinita, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. J. E. Henninger, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John H. Colcesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John A. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5012 - Criminal. ✓  
H. A. GEORGE, alias T. E. CHAPMAN, Defendant. )

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, H. A. George, alias T. E. Chapman, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned, pleads true name to be Roy Chapman and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Ninety (90) days from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered that the date of this sentence shall begin on March 23, 1932.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5045 - Criminal. ✓  
ROY CHAPMAN, Defendant. )

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Roy Chapman, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a county jail, for the period of:  
Count two Ninety (90) days from date of delivery,  
Count four Ninety (90) days. All sentences of

No. 5043 Cr. Cont'd.

confinement in Count Four shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count Two (2). It is further ordered that the sentence in this indictment shall run concurrent with the sentence in case number 5012 Criminal.

It is further ordered that the sentence in this case shall begin and run from March 23, 1932.

It is the further order of the Court that Counts 1 and 3 be, and they are hereby, dismissed.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5342 - Criminal. ✓  
D. W. GEORGE & GEORGE EDWARDS, Defendants. )

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1932, the defendant D. W. George in the above entitled cause is thrice called in open court but answers not. Sureties, Mary E. Lingo and Mrs. D. W. George, R #4, Tulsa, are thrice called in open court but answer not. Whereupon, it is by the Court ordered that the bond in the sum of \$3500.00 in the above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby forfeited, Scire facias awarded, alias capias ordered and new bond set in the sum of \$3000.00.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5701 - Criminal. ✓  
JERRY MCGEE, Defendant. )

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Jerry McGee, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5812 - Criminal. ✓  
ALLAN KLEEF and DANIEL MASTERTON, Defendants. )

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Daniel Masterton, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be dismissed as to defendant Masterton.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 5886 - Criminal.  
WILLIAM BURTON (BILL) PATE, Defendant.

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on defendant, William Burton Pate, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary at hard labor during his Natural life.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 5910 - Criminal.  
CLAUDE WELLS, and LEO O'BRIEN, Defendants.

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Annie Merryman, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters her plea of not guilty to counts 1, 2 and 3 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, said case is called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Whereafter, Mr. Peters is appointed by the court to represent defendant Annie Merryman. Whereafter, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said case and a true verdict render is as follows: Fred Lee, C. W. Gilmore, Geo. Steinhorst, J. P. Thomas, Merritt Fry, W. A. Lightner, W. L. Schabb, C. L. Hampton, Elmer Perry, Clyde Heppard, Alexander Zulkey, Harold Dodd. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: C. C. Stone, J. A. Colverton. And thereafter, the government rests. The defendant demurs to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff. And thereafter both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are waived and the court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Whereupon, the jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this said day, the jury returns into open court and through the foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows;

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
vs. ANNIE MERRYMAN, Defendant, No. 5910

VERDICT

And the jury in the above-entitled case, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oath find the defendant, Annie Merryman, not guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.

No. 5910 - Criminal, Cont'd.

We further find the defendant, Annie Merryman, not guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Annie Merryman, not guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.

FILED In Open Court  
Mar 21 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

GEO. STEINHORST  
Foreman.

Thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 5934 - Criminal. ✓  
SAM EDWARDS and ROY EDWARDS, Defendants.

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called for trial. The defendant, Sam Edwards is present in person and by counsel and announces ready for trial. The Government is represented by the U. S. Attorney. Thereafter, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualification. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: Fred Lee, C. R. Gilmore, Geo. Steinhorst, J. P. Thomas, Merritt Fry, W. H. Lightner, R. F. McKabb, C. C. Hampton, Elmer Perry, Clyde Shepard, Alexander Sulkey and Harold Dodd. All witnesses are sworn in open court and the Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Ed Lye, Freeman Watt, Perry Logleman, Roy Edwards, and thereafter, the Government rests. Thereafter, the defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Sam Edwards, Olive Stout, Mr. Hushel, J. B. Weaver, A. E. Harris. and thereafter, the Government offers rebuttal testimony of F. C. Haggoner. and thereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. and thereafter, on the same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in various places as follows:

IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
VINITA, OKLAHOMA

EMERSON W. ...  
...  
... No. 5934  
VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Sam Edwards, not guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment. We do not find the defendant, Sam Edwards, not guilty,

No. 5934 Cr. Cont'd.

as charged in the second count of the indictment.

ELMER PERRY  
Foreman.  
JURY: In Open Court  
Mar 21 1942  
H. F. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Whereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5979 - Criminal. ✓  
JERRY MCGEE, Defendant. )

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1942, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Jerry McGee, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Count Three ninety (90) days, from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars, on Count Two (2) and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until he is released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that Count 4 be dismissed.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5980 - Criminal. ✓  
ROY BEESON, MARBLE MCGHEW and GEORGE A. COKER, Defendants. )

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1942, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, George A. Coker, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not guilty to counts 1, 2, 3 and 4 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, said case is called. Defendant present in person and by counsel and announces ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury goes to try and render their true verdict herein, as follows: G. W. Field, J. S. Spoor, J. C. Wagon, Roy McKecken, H. C. Cunningham, Frank Westfall, J. J. Adams, J. H. McDonald, Lawrence G. W. Clark, Mr. Ashby, H. A. Ryan. All witnesses are

No. 5988 Cr. Cont'd.

sworn in open court and the Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: W. F. Wolverton, Clyde Richards,. And thereafter, the Government rests. The defendant demurs to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer is, by the Court, overruled. The Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witness: Roy Beeson, Geo. Coker. And thereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereupon, the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 5988  
GEORGE A. COKER, Defendant )

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, George A. Coker, not guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, George A. Coker, not guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, George A. Coker, not guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, George A. Coker, not guilty, as charged in the fourth count of the indictment.

ROY McKEELHAN  
Foreman.

Filed in open court  
Mar 21 1932  
W. W. Marfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court, that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

Now at this time it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed on Roy Beeson as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Count One (1) Ninety (90) days from original incarceration  
Count Two (2) Ninety (90) days from original incarceration.

Said sentence or confinement in count two to run concurrent with the sentence in count three (3).

It is further ordered by the court that counts 1 and 4 be, and they are hereby, dismissed.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 6008 - Criminal. ✓  
 ROBERT CLINE, WAYNE CLINE and )  
 CLYDE HENDERSON, Defendants. )

Now on this 31st day of March, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Robert Cline, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. And thereafter, defendant Clyde Henderson is arraigned and enters his plea of Nolo Contendere on counts 1 and 3, with the understanding that the plea on Count 2 to be the same as the finding on counts 1 and 3, which plea is accepted by the Court. And thereafter, after being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that case as to defendant Henderson be, and it is hereby, dismissed. It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on defendant Robert Cline as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, or confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
 Court Three (3) Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that Counts 1 and 2 be dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 6010 - Criminal. ✓  
 RAY MESKIMEN & IRE HOWARD, Defendants. )

Now on this 31st day of March, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Ray Meskimen appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that W. Lewis represent said defendant. Thereupon, said case is called. Defendant presents and announces ready for trial. Jurors is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: Fred Lee, W. R. Simmons, Geo. Steinorst, W. W. Thomas, Merritt Fry, L. W. Richter, W. W. Clubb, J. L. Hampton, Alton Perry, Clyde Sheppard, Alexander Wilkey, Harold Wood. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Henry H. Smith, W. Wilderman. And thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be dismissed, and to its file and evidence. It is thus ordered by the Court that said case be dismissed from further consideration of said case.



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs-  
THOMAS I. UNGER, A. G. LINDSEY,  
ERNEST HILL, CHARLES LEE GARDNER,  
WILLIAM S. McMONILL, EMILE E. PICOU,  
FRANK J. BROWN, BELLE WILSON &  
ROBERT WAGGINGTON, Defendants.

No. 6056 - Criminal.

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled case be passed to April 11, 1932, at Tulsa, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs-  
GEORGE STEWART, Defendant.

No. 6058 - Criminal.

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, George Stewart, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, said case is called. Defendant present in person and by counsel and announces ready for trial. Whereafter, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, as follows: Geo. W. Held, Elias Baroor, D. C. Upton, Roy McNeen, H. G. Cunningham, Frank Westfall, L. A. Shanks, L. J. McDonald, Sam Patch, G. W. Clark, Mr. Ashby, W. W. Lyne. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: W. J. Stratton, Bob Graham, Harold Schaefer, W. W. Reddium. And thereafter, the Government rests. The Defendant demurs to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, and to all counts of the indictment, which demurrer is sustained as to Count 1. Overruled as to all other counts, 2 and 3. And thereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Whereafter, the jury retire in charge of a sworn Bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their clerk present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN SENATE CHAMBER, DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MOUNTAIN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff,  
vs.  
GEORGE STEWART Defendant.

VERDICT

And the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, George Stewart not guilty as charged in the second count of the indictment. And we further find the defendant, George Stewart, guilty, as

No. 6098 - Cr. Cont'd.

charged in the third count of the indictment.

ALL: In Open Court  
Mar 21 1932  
H. B. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court  
D. C. UPSON Foreman.

Whereon, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

It is further ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be passed to March 22, 1932.

-----  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 6098 - Criminal. ✓  
W. B. GARDNER, Defendant.

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1932, the defendant, Ray Ellis, in the above entitled cause, is twice called in open court, but answers not. Sureties, Walter Lewis and E. E. McElyea are twice called in open court but answer not. Whereupon, it is by the Court ordered that the bond in the sum of \$1500.00 in the above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby forfeited, Leire Lacias awarded, alias carias ordered and new bond set in the sum of \$2500.00.

-----  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
Calvin Miller Moore, Plaintiff,  
vs. No. 1187 - Law ✓  
The United States of America, Defendant.

ORDER GRANTING APPEAL FROM VERDICT IN WHICH TO  
RESTRAIN, SERVE AND HOLD PRISONER.

Now on this 21st day of March, 1932, it being shown to the Court that heretofore, on case No. 64, 1931, the defendant herein was granted an appeal in the above case, and also granted an extension of 90 days from said date in which to perfect said appeal, and it being further shown that it is necessary that said defendant have further extension of time in addition to said 90 days in which to lodge said appeal in the circuit court of appeals, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds that good and sufficient reason exists for the extension of time.

IT IS THE ORDER OF THE COURT that said defendant, the United States of

America be, and her by is allowed an extension of 60 days time in addition to the 90 days heretofore allowed herein, in which to prepare, serve and file a record in the Circuit Court of Appeals.

F. B. KENNEDY  
JUDGE.

A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assist U. S. Atty.

ENFORCED: Filed Mar 21 1952  
H. T. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

On this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in regular March 1932 Session at Vinita, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. W. A. Hennner, Judge, present and presiding.

W. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John M. Goldesterry, U. S. Attorney.  
John W. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 3688 - Criminal. ✓  
CHALLENGE HENRYMAN, Defendant. )

Now on this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that said case be passed, due to Defendant not being apprehended.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5716 - Criminal. \*  
FRANK CHAMBERLAIN, W. T. (TED) HOLT, Defendants. )

Now on this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant W. T. (Ted) Holt, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. And thereafter defendant withdraws his former plea of not guilty to Counts 4 and 5 and now enters his plea of guilty to Counts 4 and 5, as heretofore charged. Whereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:  
Count four Eighteen (18) months from date of delivery;  
Count five Six (6) months, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00), Dollars, on Count Four, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in five to run concurrent with the sentence on Count four (4).

It is further ordered by the Court that all other counts be, and they are hereby, dismissed.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 5918 - Criminal.

BILL SMITH, STARLIN SMITH, ALFRED McHENRY, JEFF ARMSTRONG, and ROY SMITH, Defendants.

Now on this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the government herein, and the defendants, Bill Smith, Starlin Smith, Alfred McHenry, Jeff Armstrong and Roy Smith, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Bill Smith enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 3 and 5; Starlin Smith enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3; Alfred McHenry enters his plea of guilty; Jeff Armstrong enters his plea of guilty; Roy Smith enters his plea of not guilty; all as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the court that case as to defendants, Bill Smith, Starlin Smith and Roy Smith be, and it is hereby dismissed. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on defendants Alfred McHenry and Jeff Armstrong as follows:

ALFRED McHENRY

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of: Count Three Six (6) months from date of delivery.

It is ordered by the Court that counts 1 and 2 be dismissed.

JEFF ARMSTRONG

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of: Count Three Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

It is ordered by the Court that counts 1 and 2 be dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 5977 - Criminal.

ARTHUR BRADY, Defendant.

Now on this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the government herein, and the defendant, Arthur Bradley, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 8982 - Criminal. ✓  
 )  
JIMMIE RYAN, VIRGIL EDMONDSON and )  
VERNON E. GRANT, Defendants. )

Now on this 28nd day of March, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Jimmie Ryan, Virgil Edmondson and Vernon E. Grant, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Jimmie Ryan enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3; virgil Edmondson enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3; Vernon E. Grant enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereafter, said case is called as to defendant Vernon E. Grant. Both sides present. Defendant Grant states he has no lawyer and thereafter, C. E. Fenwick is appointed by the Court to represent said defendant. Thereafter, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: Fred Lee, C. W. Gilmore, Geo. Steinhorst, J. P. Thomas, Merritt Fry, E. H. Lightner, R. E. McNabb, C. W. Hampton, Elmer Perry, Clyde Sheppard, Alexander Sulkey, Harold Lodd. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: W. W. Wolverton, Geo. W. Griner. And thereafter, the Government rests. The defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Jimmie Ryan, virgil Edmondson and V. E. Grant. And thereafter, the defendant rests. The Government offers in rebuttal testimony of Geo. Carver. And thereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are waived and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Whereupon, said jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff;  
vs. ) No. 8982  
VERNON E. GRANT Defendant;  
vs.  
vs.  
vs.

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Vernon E. Grant, guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, Vernon E. Grant, guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, Vernon E. Grant, guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.

R. E. McNEELY  
Judge.  
FILED In open Court  
Mar 28 1938  
J. H. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Thereafter, the jury having announced with me a verdict herein, it is ordered by the court that said jury be discharged from their consideration of said case.

No. 8962 Cr. Cont'd.

Now at this time, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

JIMMIE RYAN

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, or confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:  
Count One Eighteen (18) months from date of delivery,  
Count Two Six Months (6)  
Count Three Eighteen (18) months, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count One, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Counts Two and Three shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One (1).

VIRGIL ANDERSON

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, or confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:  
Count One Eighteen (18) months from date of delivery,  
Count Two Six (6) months,  
Count Three Eighteen (18) months, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count One, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Counts Two and Three shall run concurrent with the sentence of confinement in Count One (1).

VERNON P. CRIFE

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, or confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:  
Count One Eighteen (18) months from date of delivery,  
Count Two Six (6) months,  
Count Three Eighteen (18) months, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count One, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentences of confinement in Counts Two and Three shall run concurrent with the sentence of confinement in Count One (1).

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

The United States of America, Plaintiff,

-vs-

ELIZIE COLEMAN,

No. 5983

One Chevrolet Automobile Coupe, engine No. 1912188. Defendant.

W. W. Hayden. Claimant.

O R D E R

Permission is hereby given, the above named claimant to file his petition of intervention herein.

F. S. HANHAMER  
J U D G E.

ENFORCED: filed Mar 22 1932  
H. P. Griffith, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 5983 - Criminal.

ELIZIE COLEMAN & EDMUND E. JACKSON, Defendants.

Now on this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Elzie Coleman and Edmund E. Jackson, appearing in person. The defendants are arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Elzie Coleman enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2; Edmund E. Jackson enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2; as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, said case is called. Defendant Elzie Coleman present in person and announces ready for trial. A jury is duly empanelled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenge of jury. Verdict is entered by the Court. Whereafter, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows: H. T. Gilbert, alias Harcor, H. E.pton, Roy McVeeken, E. G. Cunningham, Frank Westfall, L. E. Swanks, H. F. McDonald, Sam Luten, W. W. Clark, W. Ashby, W. W. Wynn. All witnesses are sworn in open court and the government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: W. W. Holverson, Geo. Riner, W. E. Jackson. And whereafter, the government rests. The defendant introduced evidence and proof with the following witnesses: W. W. Hayden, Elzie Coleman. Whereafter, closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman, present their verdict, which verdict is in words as follows:

No. 5983 Cr. Cont'd.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff,  
vs. No. 5983  
ELIZIE COLEMAN Defendant.

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Elizie Coleman, guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment. We further find the defendant, Elizie Coleman, guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

L. J. McDaniel Foreman.  
Held in Open Court  
Mar 22 1932  
H. W. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Whereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed as follows:

ELIZIE COLEMAN

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:  
Count One Two (2) years from date of delivery,  
Count Two Two (2) years and that he pay a fine into the United States in the sum of one hundred (\$100) dollars, on Count One, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One (1).

ELIZIE COLEMAN

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a county jail, for the period of:  
Count One Ninety (90) days from date of delivery,  
Count Two Ninety (90) days, and that he pay a fine into the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100) dollars, on Count One, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One (1).

It is further ordered by the Court that the exhibits herewith designated herein be retained to the Government for use at the trial in any other case.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, /  
-vs- / No. 5984 - Criminal. ✓  
A. M. TERRELL, GEORGE LARRIMORE, /  
and CLARENCE MERRYMAN, Defendants. /

Now on this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, A. M. Terrell and George Larrimore, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and each enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereafter, Mr. Wheatley is appointed by the court to represent said defendants.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, /  
-vs- / No. 5969 - Criminal. ✓  
SYLVIA LAWSON & AMOS LAWSON, Defendants. /

Now on this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Sylvia Lawson and Amos Lawson, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

SYLVIA LAWSON

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a Federal Institution for Women, for the period of:  
Count Six Fifteen (15) months from date of delivery  
Count Seven Six (6) months, and that she pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count Six, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count Seven shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count Six (6).

It is further ordered by the Court that all other counts be dismissed.

AMOS LAWSON

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:  
Count Six Fifteen (15) months from date of delivery,  
Count Seven Six (6) months, and that he pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count Six, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count Seven shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count Six (6).

It is further ordered by the Court that all other counts be dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 5994 - Criminal. )  
 H. C. BILBY & EVELYN TAYLOR, Defendants. )

Now on this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1945, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Evelyn Taylor appearing in person. Whereupon, said defendant pleads true name to be Evelyn Taylor Bilby. And thereafter, defendant, H. C. Bilby, is thrice called in open court, but answers not. Sureties, Elijah Camerson, Millie River and W. E. Kiggins are thrice called in open court, but answer not. Whereupon, it is by the Court ordered that the bond in the sum of \$5000.00 in the above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby, forfeited, Scire Facias awarded, alias carias ordered and new bond set in the sum of \$7500.00. Thereafter, Evelyn Taylor Bilby enters her plea of not guilty to Count 1 and a plea ofnolo contendere on Counts 3 and 2.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 6025 - Criminal. )  
 WILLIS H. BOND and HUGH BOGUE, Defendants. )

Now on this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1945, the above styled case is called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows: C. W. Held, Elias Zaroor, B. C. Upton, Roy McKeeben, E. G. Cunningham, Frank Westfall, J. E. Shanks, R. J. McDonald, Sam Hatch, J. W. Clark, Mr. Ashby, R. W. Tyne. The witnesses are sworn in open court. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Henry R. Smith, Jess Hildreth. And thereafter, the Government rests. The defendant introduces evidence and proof with Willis H. Bond. Whereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are waived and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff, )  
 vs. ) No. 6025 )  
 WILLIS H. BOND Defendant )

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Willis H. Bond, guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment. We further find the defendant, Willis H. Bond, guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

We further find the defendeant, Willis H. Bond, guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.

G. WILL CLARK  
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court  
Mar 22 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on defendant Willis H. Bond, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

Count Three Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that counts 1 and 2 be dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 6034 - Criminal.

HELENA H. WOODMANSEE & JACK J. OGLESBY,

Defendants.

Now on this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1932, the above styled cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore are the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Thereafter, the Government continues with the presentation of evidence and proof with the following witnesses: T. J. Hearn, Mr. Sherman. And thereafter, the Government rests. The defendants demur to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer is overruled by the Court and exception allowed. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. The defendants introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Clifford Haney, A. J. Lovell, Sam Coody, Mrs. English, Mrs. E. R. Larkin, Mrs. J. G. Olesby, J. G. Olesby, E. C. Payne, Zelma Woodmansee. And thereafter, both sides rest. And thereafter, motion of defendants for a directed verdict herein, are overruled by the court. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdicts herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman present their verdicts, which verdicts are in words and figures as follows:

No. 6034 Cr. Cont'd.

VERDICT

JACK J. OGLESBY

IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES /  
vs. / No. 6034  
JACK J. OGLESBY /

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly em-  
paneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant Jack  
J. Oglesby guilty, as charged in the indictment.

CLYDE SHEPHERD  
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court  
Mar 20 1932  
H. F. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

SELMA M. WOODMANSEE

IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES /  
vs. / No. 6034  
SELMA M. WOODMANSEE /

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly em-  
paneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant  
Selma M. Woodmansee guilty, as charged in the indictment.

CLYDE SHEPHERD  
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court  
Mar 20 1932  
H. F. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Thereafter, the jury having announced these to be their true ver-  
dicts found herein, it is ordered by the court that said jury be discharged from  
further consideration of said case.

Whereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence  
be now pronounced on said defendants as follows:

JACK J. OGLESBY

be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the  
United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement  
in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:  
Seven (7) years from date of delivery.

No. 6034 Cr. Cont'd.

ZELMA M. WOODMANSEL

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a Federal Institution for women, for the period of: Eight (8) years from date of delivery.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6052 - Criminal. ✓  
L. T. THOMPSON, NETTIE STAFFORD, Defendants. )

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Nettie Stafford appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6092 - Criminal. ✓  
MAMIE SCHAFER, Defendants. )

Now on this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Mamie Schafer appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6114 - Criminal. ✓  
OTIS SKIDMORE, Defendant. )

Now on this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Otis Skidmore appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of ten (\$10.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a county jail until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

) No. 6121 - Criminal. ✓

W. E. ENGEL, T. F. PENCE and C. B.  
JACKSON,

) Defendants. )

Now on this 22nd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, W. E. Engel, T. F. Pence and C. B. Jackson, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of not guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that motion to disqualify be, and the same is hereby, stricken from the files. It is further ordered by the Court that the above case be stricken from the assignment of this date and reassigned to Tulsa term, April 11, 1932.

-----

Court adjourned until April 11, 1932.

On this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular March 1932 Session at Vinita, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John M. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, /  
-vs- / No. 4968 - Criminal. ✓  
R. J. BELL and L. D. DODSON, Defendants. /

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, R. J. Bell and L. D. Dodson, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of not guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, said case is called. Both defendants present in person and by counsel and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Government waives challenges. The defendants challenge J. C. Ledbetter. Thereafter, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows: G. W. Clark, Mr. Ashby, Fred Lee, C. R. Gilmore, Geo. Steinkorst, J. F. Thomas, Merritt Gray, E. A. Lightner, R. F. McNabb, C. L. Hampton, H. W. Wyne, Elmer Perry. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Ben Murdock, John M. Goldesberry, A. R. Cottle, J. C. Berry, C. F. Bobcock, J. J. Foreman, J. W. Beeper, M. J. Starr, H. F. Warfield. And thereafter, the Government rests. The Defendants introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: R. J. Bell, Mr. Roy, L. D. Dodson, Mr. Sherman. And thereafter, the Defendants rest. The Government offers in rebuttal testimony of M. M. Swing. And thereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdicts herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman, present their verdicts, which verdicts are in words and figures as follows:

R. J. BELL

DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, /  
vs. / No. 4968  
R. J. BELL /

And the jury, in the above-entitled case, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant R. J. Bell guilty, as charged in the indictment.

Done at Vinita, Oklahoma, this 23rd day of March, 1932.  
H. F. Warfield, Clerk.



No. 5904 Cr. Cont'd.

enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

C. C. COOKMAN

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Count Two Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the court that Count 1 be dismissed.

BEN MUNEL

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Count Two Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that Count 1 be dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 5909 - Criminal. ✓

GEORGE HARRIS,

Defendant.

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, George Harris, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

Sixty (60) days from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 5921 - Criminal. ✓

MAYNE HOLMES,

Defendant.

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Mayne Holmes appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as

No. 5921 Cr. Cont'd.

charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed to April 11, 1932 at Tulsa.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
-vs- No. 5922 - Criminal.
LON WILLIAMS, Defendant.

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Lon Williams, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

Count One Sixty (60) days from date of delivery,
Count four Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.
And that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count One, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count four shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One (1).

It is further ordered by the court that Counts 2 and 3 be dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
-vs- No. 5977 - Criminal.
MELBA HALL, AINA BRAZIER and ANTHONY BRAZIER, Defendants.

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called. Arthur Brazier present in person and announces ready for trial. Thereupon, the Court appoints H. F. Fulling to represent said defendant. Thereafter, a jury is duly empanelled and sworn as to qualifications. The Government called as witnesses B. A. Shanks. The defendant waived a challenge. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict rendered is as follows: Alexander Sulkey, Harold Dodd, H. T. Gilbert, G. A. Held, Elias Caroor, D. C. Upton, Roy McNeeken, A. G. Cunningham, Frank Westfall, J. J. McDonald, Percy Dixon, Sam Hatch. Thereafter, all witnesses are sworn in open court. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: W. F. Wolverton, Pearl A. Smith. And thereafter, the Government rests. The defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Arthur Brazier, Thos. Scribner.



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 5984 - Criminal.  
A. M. TERRELL, GEORGE LARRIMORE,  
and CLARENCE MERRICK, Defendants.

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called. Defendants Terrell and Larrimore present in person and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: Fred Lee, C. R. Gilmore, George Steinhorst, J. O. Ledbetter, J. P. Thomas, Merritt Fry, E. H. Lightner, R. F. McNabb, C. S. Hampton, R. W. Wynne, Elmer Perry, Clyde Shepherd. All witnesses are sworn in open court and the Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: W. F. Wolverton, G. R. Briner. And thereafter, the Government rests. The Defendants introduce evidence and proof with the following witness: A. M. Terrell. And thereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. The jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdicts herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman present their verdicts, which verdicts are in words and figures as follows:

GEORGE LARRIMORE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff,  
vs. No. 5984  
GEORGE LARRIMORE Defendant,

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find the defendant, George Larrimore, not guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, George Larrimore, not guilty as charged in the second count of the indictment.

W. F. WOLVERTON Foreman.  
JAMES H. BROWN Court  
Mar 23, 1932  
W. F. WOLVERTON, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

A. M. TERRELL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff,  
vs. No. 5984  
A. M. TERRELL Defendant,

VERDICT



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5994 - Criminal. ✓  
M. C. BILBY and LVELYN TAYLOR )  
BILBY, Defendants. )

Now on this 25rd day of March, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein. Defendant Lvelyn Taylor Bilby is present in person. Defendant enters her plea of Nolo Contendere on Counts 2 and 3 on agreement of the U. S. Attorney, which plea is accepted by the court. Thereafter, opening statements of counsel are made. The government introduces evidence and proof with W. F. Colverton. The Defendants introduces evidence and proof with Mrs. Bilby. Thereafter, after being fully advised, and considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that said defendant be and she is hereby, found guilty. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed to Friday, March 26, 1938.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6018 - Criminal. ✓  
E. W. GEORGE, and Iva Barber, )  
alias MRS. E. W. GEORGE, Defendants. )

Now on this 25rd day of March, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Iva Barber alias Mrs. E. W. George, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and pleads true name to be Iva Barber and enters her plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Sixty (60) days from date of delivery, and that she pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of one hundred (\$100.00) dollars, and in default thereof, same be collected until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6033 - Criminal. ✓  
J. C. WILK, and JAMES WILK & )  
ROBERT WILK, Defendants. )

Now on this 25rd day of March, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, J. C. Wilk, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and pleads not guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be called. Wilk and James Wilk are present in person and announce ready for trial. A jury is called and the case is set for

No. 6037 Cr. Cont'd.

qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows: Clyde Sheppard, Alexander Zulkey, Harold Dodd, N. T. Gilbert, G. W. Held, Elias Zaroor, Roy McKeehen, L. G. Cunningham, Frank Westfall, L. E. Shanks, L. J. McDonald, D. C. Upton. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Agent Bears, Paul Johnson, Mary Goodeau, Rosa Lee White, And thereafter, the Government rests. The Defendant demurs to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer is, by the Court, overruled. Thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witness: William Dorsey. And thereafter, both sides rest. And thereafter, the Defendant demurs and moves for an instructed verdict, which motion, is by the Court, overruled. Closing arguments of counsel are waived and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their Foreman, present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES )  
vs. ) No. 6037  
WILLIAM DORSEY )

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant William Dorsey not guilty, as charged in the indictment.

WELLS In Open Court )  
Mar 23 1932 ) Foreman.  
A. F. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Whereupon, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

Now at this time it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on defendant Rosa Lee White, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery, and that said fine be paid to the United States in the sum of One Hundred (100) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 6039 - Criminal. ✓  
CHARLES BLEVINS, Defendant.

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, the defendant Charles Blevins appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Count One Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery,  
Count Two Sixty (60) days, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count One, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrently with the sentence in Count One (1).

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 6045 - Criminal. ✓  
SAM MILGORE; OLIVE BOLDEN, Defendants.

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be stricken from the assignment as to defendant Bolden.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 6047 - Criminal. ✓  
EMIL B. IRBY and FRANK L. BROWN, Defendants.

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Frank L. Brown appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Count 1, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that case be passed to April 11, 1932, at Tulsa. It is further ordered that sentence as to defendant Brown be passed to April 11, 1932, at Tulsa.



WILLIAM HENRY HARRIS, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6067 - Criminal. ✓  
CHARLES G. GULLY, Defendant. )

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1952, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Charles G. Gully, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

He committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that count 1 be dismissed.

-----  
WILLIAM HENRY HARRIS, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6068 - Criminal. ✓  
ALDO ROY & MARY COUDEAU, Defendants. )

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1952, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Mary Coudeau appearing in person. The defendant at this time withdraws her former plea of not guilty and now enters her plea of guilty as heretofore charged. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that case be passed to April 11, 1952, at Tulsa.

-----  
WILLIAM HENRY HARRIS, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6069 - Criminal. ✓  
ALDO ROY & MARY COUDEAU, Defendants. )

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1952, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be stricken, defendants not present.

-----  
WILLIAM HENRY HARRIS, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6072 - Criminal. ✓  
CHARLES HENRY HARRIS & MARY HARRIS, Defendants. )

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1952, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Charles Henry Harris appearing in person. Now at this time defendant Harris withdraws his former plea of not guilty and now enters his plea of guilty as heretofore charged.

No. 6072 - Cont'd.

Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

To be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a county Jail, for the period of:  
Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.  
Said sentence of confinement in this information to run concurrent with the sentence in case Number 6039 Criminal.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6074 - Criminal. ✓  
OFFIA LaSALLE, Defendant. )

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Offia LaSalle, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters her plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be passed to April 11, 1932, at Tulsa.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6075 - Criminal. ✓  
JIMMY LIND, Defendant. )

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be stricken, due to defendant not being arraigned.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6077 - Criminal. ✓  
JIMMY LIND, Defendant. )

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1932, the defendant in the above entitled case is twice called in open court, but answers not. Sureties, Stella L. Jones and Earl Mitchell, are twice called in open court, but answers not. Whereupon, it is by the Court ordered that the bond in the sum of \$1000.00 in the above entitled case be, and the same is hereby forfeited, citre bacias awarded, alias bacias ordered and new bond set in the sum of \$2000.00.

-----



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 6082 - Criminal. ✓  
 )  
JESSIE TAYLOR, Defendant. )

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1942, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Jessie Taylor, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 6083 - Criminal. ✓  
 )  
LAWRENCE THOMPSON, Defendant. )

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1942, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Lawrence Thompson, appearing in person. Now at this time defendant withdraws her former plea of not guilty and now enters a plea of guilty as heretofore charged. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for the period of:  
Sixty-two (62) days, from date of original incarceration.

It is further ordered by the Court that said defendant be discharged.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 6094 - Criminal. ✓  
 )  
ALICE GANTER, Defendant. )

Now on this 23rd day of March, A. D. 1942, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Alice Ganter, appearing in person. Now at this time defendant withdraws her former plea of not guilty and now enters a plea of guilty as heretofore charged. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of twenty-five (25.00) dollars on Count 1. Said fine to be received on execution.

It is ordered by the Court that Count 1 be dismissed.

-----  
Court adjourned until March 24, 1942.

On this 24th day of March, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular March 1932 session at Vinita, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. J. L. Bennett, Judge, present and presiding.

H. F. Farfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John W. Goldesherry, U. S. Attorney.  
John T. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 4968 - Criminal.  
R. J. BELL and L. L. JOHNSON, Defendants.

Now on this 24th day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on said defendants as follows:

R. J. BELL

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:  
One (1) year and One (1) day from date of delivery.

L. L. JOHNSON

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:  
One (1) year and One (1) day from date of delivery.

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff,  
vs. No. 5920  
J. A. White, Defendant.

ORDER FORGETTING ALLOCATIONS

Now on this 24th day of March, 1932, the regular session of the regular judicial court of the Regular March 1932 term of court at Vinita, Oklahoma, this matter came before the court upon the motion of the United States Attorney for an order forfeiting to the government the automobile used by the defendant herein, on the 24th day of January, 1932, as evidenced by the indictment herein, for the transportation of intoxicating liquor, and to adjourn to the court that the defendant herein was arrested on or about the 24th day of January, 1932, in the city of Vinita, Craig County, State of Oklahoma, in the case of

transporting six gallons of intoxicating liquor, to-wit, Whiskey, in a certain Ford automobile, Motor number 1683528, Oklahoma Licence Number 293-752.

And it further appearing to the court that the said defendant, J. A. White, was duly charged by indictment returned in this court on the 9th day of February 1932, with the transportation of said liquor in said automobile in violation of the National Prohibition Act, and it further appearing that on the 14th day of March, 1932, the said defendant entered a plea of guilty in open court to the said indictment charging the said defendant with the transportation of said liquor in said automobile, as aforesaid.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the said motion of the United States Attorney should be granted and sustained, and it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the said automobile be, and the same is hereby forfeited to the United States, and the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma is hereby ordered and directed to sell said automobile according to law, and after paying the expenses of storage, charges incident to the seizure, storage and sale of said automobile, to pay the residue derived from the sale of said car into the Treasury of the United States as provided by law.

P. M. DENHAMER  
Judge.

C. H. SNOOK, Esq.  
United States Attorney

FILED: Filed Mar 24 1932  
P. M. DENHAMER, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff,  
vs. J. A. White, et al. No. 3984.  
Defendants.

ORDER FOR SALE OF SEIZED PROPERTY

Now on this 24th day of March, 1932, the said being one of the regular judicial days of the regular March 1932 term of court at Vinita, Oklahoma this matter comes before the court upon the motion of the United States Attorney for an order forfeiting to the government the automobile used by the defendant herein, on the 4th day of January, 1932, for the transportation of intoxicating liquor, and it appearing to the court that defendants, J. A. White and George L. White were arrested on the 4th day of January, 1932, near the city of Vinita, Oklahoma, in the act of transporting fifty gallons of whiskey, in a certain Chevrolet automobile, Motor No. 1122092, License No. 245-390 Oklahoma.

And it further appearing to the court that the said defendants, J. A. White and George L. White were tried to a jury on the 23rd day of March,

1938, and the jury returned a verdict of guilty as to the count A. M. Ferrell, on the indictment charging the possession and transportation of said liquor.

IT IS HEREBY CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the said motion of the United States Attorney should be granted and sustained, and it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the said automobile be and the same is hereby forfeited to the United States, for the use of the Bureau of Prohibition, Department of Justice, and that said automobile be, and the same is hereby ordered delivered and turned over to William R. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, Tulsa, Oklahoma, by the Oklahoma Garage, where same is now stored, for the official use of said Department.

F. E. KENNEDY  
Judge.

O. H. JACOBSON  
United States Attorney.

RECORDED: filed Mar 24 1938  
H. W. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 8998 - Criminal.  
J. J. CROUSER, Defendant. )

Now on this 24th day of March, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, J. J. Crouser, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

He committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the term of:  
Count One Seven (7) years imprisonment;  
Count Two Seven (7) years. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One (1).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 8999 - Criminal.  
JAMES H. HARRIS, LAWYER, )  
FRANK W. HARRIS, DEFENSE COUNSEL )  
and J. O. GRISH, Defendants. )

Now on this 24th day of March, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, James H. Harris, Nevada Ward, Roy Grace and Richard Stewart, appearing in person. The defendants



On this 25th day of March, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in regular March 1932 Session at Vinita, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John L. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John L. Wickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 4560 - Criminal. ✓  
H. P. JOHNSON, Defendant.

Now on this 25th day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that probation be terminated as to the above defendant and said case closed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 5977 - Criminal. ✓  
SILO HALL, ALVA BRAZIER and ARTHUR BRAZIER, Defendants.

Now on this 25th day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that Counts 1 and 2 as to defendants Silo Hall and Alva Brazier be, and they are hereby, dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 5883 - Criminal. ✓  
EDWARD COLEMAN and EDWARD A. JACKSON, Defendants.

Now on this 25th day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence heretofore imposed on defendant, Edward A. Jackson be now set aside and passed to April 16, 1932 at Tulsa. It is further ordered by the Court that no writ be allowed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5964 - Criminal. ✓  
A. M. TERRELL, GEORGE LAWRENCE )  
and CLARENCE BERRYMAN, Defendants. )

Now on this 25th day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on defendant A. M. Terrell as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Count One Ninety (90) days from date of delivery,  
Count Two Ninety (90) days, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count One, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One (1).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 3994 - Criminal. ✓  
M. C. BILBY, EVELYN TAYLOR BILBY, Defendants. )

Now on this 25th day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on Evelyn Taylor Bilby as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his duly authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:  
Count Two, Ninety (90) days,  
Count Three Ninety (90) days, from date of delivery.  
Said sentence of confinement in Count Three (3) to run concurrent with said sentence in Count Two (2).

It is further ordered by the Court that Count 1 be dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 111 - Criminal. ✓  
WILLIAM E. HARRIS, Defendant. )

Now on this 25th day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that supersedeas bond of Defendant Harris be fixed in the amount of \$5000. It is further ordered that upon filing of said bond that defendant not be required to make a \$400.00 bond to appear before Judge Berry. It is further ordered by the Court that Defendant be given six days additional stay of execution to make bond.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

The United States of America, Plaintiff,  
vs. George Heady, Defendant. No. 6013

GRANTING WRIT OF ERROR AND SETTING REVERSAL TO BAIL.

Let a writ of error issue from the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma as prayed for in the petition of the said defendant, George Heady, and let a citation be issued to the defendant in error, the United States of America.

And, it now appearing that a citation has been served in the cause, it is ordered that the writ of error allowed as above stated, operate as a supersedeas, and that the defendant be admitted to bail, upon furnishing a bond in the penal sum of eight thousand conditioned according to law to be approved by the Judge of this Court.

M. L. GIBSON  
JUDGE

ENDORSE: Filed Mar 25 1948  
W. W. Canfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- JOHN A. COOK, JAMES J. O'LEARY, Defendants. No. 3074 - Criminal.

Now on this 24th day of March, A. D. 1948, it is ordered by the Court that defendant herein be given sixty (60) days to prepare the bill of exceptions.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- JOHN W. FREEMAN, CLARENCE W. WILSON, WILLIAM W. WILSON, and W. J. WILSON, Defendants. No. 1001 - Criminal.

Now on this 24th day of March, A. D. 1948, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be reassigned for trial, to-wit, on the 27th day of March.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 6046 - Criminal.

WILLIAM GOETZ, JR., ROBERT T. ROGERS, BLANCHE ROGERS, MARION TROTTER, S. TOM BOATWRIGHT, Defendants.

Now on this 25th day of March, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein. Whereafter, Plea in abatement is overruled by the Court and exception allowed. Whereafter, Defendant William Goetz, Jr., is arraigned and pleads true name to be Horace Raymond Goetz, and refuses to plead further. Whereafter, a plea of not guilty is entered by the Court as to this defendant. Thereafter, Defendants R. T. Rogers, Blanche Rogers, Marion Trotter and S. Tom Boatwright are each arraigned and each enters a plea of not guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. And thereafter, S. Tom Boatwright pleads true name to be Samuel Thomas Boatwright. And thereafter, Defendants R. T. Rogers, Blanche Rogers, Marion Trotter and Samuel Thomas Boatwright now withdraw their former pleas of not guilty and now enter their pleas of guilty, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, it is ordered by the Court that case as to defendant Horace Raymond Goetz be, and the same is hereby, dismissed, due to insufficient evidence. It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

ROBERT T. ROGERS

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:  
Count One, Two (2) years, from date of delivery,  
Count Two, Two (2) years,  
Count Three, Two (2) years,  
Count Four, Two (2) years, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count Two, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Counts Two, Three and Four, shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One (1).

BLANCHE ROGERS

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Count One, Five (5) months, from date of delivery,  
Count Two, Five (5) months,  
Count Three, Five (5) months,  
Count Four, Five (5) months, and that she pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count Two (2), and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentences of confinement in Counts Two, Three and Four shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One (1).

No. 6046 Br. Cont'd.

ARLEN TROTTER

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

- Count One Four (4) months, from date of delivery,
- Count Two, Four (4) months,
- Count Three, Four (4) months,
- Count four, Four (4) months, and that he pay a fine unto

the United States in the sum of one hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count Two, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Counts Two, three and four shall run concurrent with the sentence in count one (1).

MARCEL THOMAS BOATRIGHT

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

- Count One Ninety (90) days from date of delivery,
- Count Two Ninety (90) days,
- Count Three Ninety (90) days,
- Count four Ninety (90) days, and that he pay a fine unto

the United States in the sum of one hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count Two, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until he is released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Counts two, three and four shall run concurrent with the sentence in count one (1).

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 6046 - Criminal.

LEONARD E. GARY, DANIELA L. GARY,  
DOROTHY L. GARY, and JAMES M. GARY,  
and JOHN G. GARY,

Defendants.

Now on this Sixth day of March, A. D. 1982, the above styled cause came on for evidence at trial. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, and all were duly sworn and in the law. The Government offers in rebuttal, testimony of William J. Vickrey, Esq. Lawyer. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereafter, defendants Perry, Grace and Robert deny to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff and move for a directed verdict herein, which defendant's motion is denied by the court. Thereafter, the jury retires. Clerk announces the jury has returned and the court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereupon, it is ordered by the court that Count Three, and it is hereby dismissed. Thereafter, the jury retires in order of a sworn verdict to deliberate on their verdict herein. Thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their attorneys present their verdicts, which verdicts are in words as follows:

No. 6054 cr. Cont'd.

SIMON E. BERRY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff ;  
vs. ; No. 6054  
SIMON E. BERRY Defendant ;

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Simon E. Berry, is guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, Simon E. Berry, is guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, Simon E. Berry, is guilty, as charged in the fourth count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, Simon E. Berry, is guilty, as charged in the fifth count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, Simon E. Berry, is guilty, as charged in the sixth count of the indictment.

FRANC LIXO

Filed in Open Court Foreman.  
Mar 25 1938  
W. W. Garfield, Clerk

ROY GRACE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff ;  
vs. ; No. 6054  
ROY GRACE Defendant ;

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Roy Grace, is guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, Roy Grace, is guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, Roy Grace, is guilty, as charged in the fourth count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, Roy Grace, is guilty, as charged in the fifth count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, Roy Grace, is guilty, as charged in the sixth count of the indictment.

FRANC LIXO

Filed in Open Court Foreman.  
Mar 25 1938  
W. W. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

No. 6054 Cr. Cont'd.

RICHARD STEWART

IN AND UNDER THE SEAL OF THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff,  
vs. No. 6054  
RICHARD STEWART Defendant.

VERDICT

The jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Richard Stewart, is guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment. We further find the defendant, Richard Stewart, is guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment. We further find the defendant, Richard Stewart, is guilty, as charged in the fourth count of the indictment. We further find the defendant, Richard Stewart, is guilty, as charged in the fifth count of the indictment. We further find the defendant, Richard Stewart, is guilty, as charged in the sixth count of the indictment.

Done In Open Court  
Mar 25 1932  
E. J. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court  
Foreman.

Thereupon, the jury having announced these to be their true verdicts herein, it is ordered by the court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed as follows:

SIMON E. BERRY

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary for the period of:  
Count One Fifteen (15) months, from date of delivery  
Count Four, fifteen (15) months,  
Count Three, fifteen (15) months,  
Count Five, Fifteen (15) months, and that he may a fine upon the United States in the sum of Three hundred (\$300.00) Dollars on Count 6; One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count Four; One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count Three and One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count five; and in default thereof, he and confined until said fines are paid or until released by due process of law. It is further ordered by the Court that sentences of confinement in Counts four, three and five, shall run concurrently with the sentence in Count One.

No. 60b4 Cr. Cont'd.

LAVADA WAIN

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Count One, Twelve (12) Months,  
Count Three, Twelve (12) months,  
Count Six, Six (6) months, from date of delivery,  
and that she pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count Three, and in default thereof stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law. Said sentences of confinement in Counts Three (3) and Six (6) to run concurrent with said sentence in Count One (1).

It is further ordered by the Court that Counts 4 and 5 be dismissed.

ROY GRACE

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Count One Ninety (90) days, from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that all other counts be dismissed.

RICHARD LUTHER

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Count One, Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that all other counts be dismissed.

And therefore, it is ordered by the Court that Defendant, Simon Henry be given sixty (60) days extension of time to prepare bill of exceptions herein.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
- - - - -  
vs. LUTHER and LUTHER, Defendants.

On this 14th day of March, A. D. 1962, it is ordered by the Court that sentence be imposed on each of the defendants, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement

No. 6072 Cr. Cont'd.

in a county jail, for the period of:  
Ninety-nine (99) days from date of incarceration.

It is further ordered that defendant be removed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6180 - Criminal. ✓  
HENRY WOODSON & LEO CAPELLANT, Defendants. )

Now on this 20th day of March, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Leo Capellant, appearing in person. Now at this time, it is ordered by the Court that the next and sentence of Leo Capellant be set aside, commitment withdrawn and modified to read as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a county jail, for the period of:  
Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6180 - Criminal. ✓  
JOHN W. WHELAN, Defendant. )

Now on this 21st day of March, A. D. 1938, it is decreed by the Court that the above styled case be set for trial at 10:00 a.m., April 13, 1938. (U.S.M. Infr.)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of the Court at Washington, D.C., this 21st day of March, 1938.

J. J. Lebon, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 6181 - Civil. ✓  
Walter L. Taylor, et al, Clerk of Court. )

Continuation

On stipulation of the parties the above case is set for trial at 10:00 a.m. on April 13, 1938.

W. J. Connelley, Clerk  
U.S. District Court

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER DISCHARGING PETIT JURORS.

On this 20th day of March, A. D. 1952, it is ordered by the Court that all Petit Jurors be, and they are hereby discharged for this regular March 1952 Term of this Court, at Vinita, Oklahoma.

ORDER TO PAY PETIT JURORS AND WITNESSES MILEAGE AND ATTENDANCE.

On this 20th day of March, A. D. 1952, it is ordered by the Court that the Marshal of this District, pay the Petit Jurors and witnesses for this regular March 1952 Term of Court, their mileage and attendance as shown by the Record of Attendance.

It is this day ordered in pursuance of Section 12 of the Judicial Code, that the regular March 1952 Term of this Court at Vinita, Oklahoma, be adjourned to the 10th day of April, 1952.

On this 26th day of March, A. D. 1938, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1938 Session at Tulsa, Oklahoma, pursuant to adjournment, Hon. J. B. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

W. B. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John L. Galesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John L. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4960 - Criminal. ✓  
JOHN BETAMORE and CHARLES SWANK, Defendants. )

Now on this 26th day of March, A. D. 1938, it is ordered by the Court that these said John Betamore be given ninety (90) days extension of time from date, to pay fine herein.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 613. ✓  
George Lead, Defendant. )

QUINCY AND THE APPEAL AND THE COURT OF APPEALS

Now, on this 26th day of March, 1938, it is appearing to the Court that heretofore the said defendant above named, in open court, gave notice of his appeal from the judgment of the Court to the Circuit Court of Appeals of the Fourth Circuit, and it thus appearing to the Court that the defendant above named now desires to appeal from the judgment of the Court;

It is ordered that the said defendant be and he is hereby permitted to appeal from the judgment of the Court as to the Circuit Court of Appeals of the Fourth Circuit.

It is further ordered that citation and notice be issued to the defendant above named, to appear in open court, and answered and defend, and to answer and defend from the said judgment heretofore rendered herein against said defendant.

It is further ordered that citation and notice be issued to bail upon summing and to the said sum of five hundred and twenty (\$520) dollars, or conditioned according to law, to be approved by the honorable J. B. Kennamer, Judge

of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

F. L. HOWLAND  
Judge of the United States District Court.

ENDORSE: Filed Mar 26 1952  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND OF THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )

-vs-

CRIMINAL NO. 6054 ✓

SIMON E. BERRY, Defendant. )

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL AND FIXING SUPERSEDESAS  
BOND.

UPON the application of Simon E. Berry by his attorneys, and upon his filing his petition for appeal and his assignment of errors and notice of appeal and service thereof and service of citation, it is ordered that the appeal is hereby allowed said defendant to have reviewed in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the tenth circuit, the judgment this day entered herein, and it is further ordered that said defendant have 60 days in which to file his bill of acceptance.

It is further ordered that the bond on appeal of the said defendant be and her by fixed at the sum of \$3000.00, said bond to act as supersedeas bond, and the said defendant is hereby given 10 days in which to make and file said supersedeas bond on appeal, said bond to be approved by the Court.

DATED this 26 day of March, 1952.

F. L. HOWLAND  
United States District Judge.

ENDORSE: Filed Mar 26 1952  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND OF THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

The Brown-Crummer Investment Company, a corporation, Plaintiff, )

vs.

No. 2058 Tax. ✓

George J. Smith, Sheriff County, Oklahoma, a Municipal Corporation, et al, Defendants.

ORDER DENYING APPEAL AND FIXING SUPERSEDESAS BOND.



per cent, said interest coupons maturing and falling due December 10, 1931;

IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court issue the alternative writ of mandamus according to the prayer of said application, upon applicant's giving ample security for costs.

DATED this 26th day of March, 1932.

F. L. HENNINGER  
Judge.

WITNESSED: Filed Mar 26 1932  
J. W. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court NE

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT  
OF THE WEST OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

Thomas Murray, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1932 Law  
The Public Service Company of )  
Oklahoma, a corporation, et al., ) Defendants. )

GRANTING LEAVE TO INTERVENE

On this the 21 day of March, 1932, it appearing that since the institution of the above action G. B. Sutton has been appointed by the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, as the legal guardian of the said Thomas Murray, plaintiff in said cause, as an incompetent person, and as filed in said action his petition to intervene therein as such guardian.

It is therefore ordered by this court that the said G. B. Sutton be and he is hereby granted leave to intervene in said cause as such guardian, and to adopt the pleadings heretofore filed on behalf of plaintiff.

F. L. HENNINGER  
Judge.

WITNESSED: Filed Mar 26 1932  
J. W. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court NE

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA  
MUSKOGEE COUNTY

Thomas Murray, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1847 Law  
The Public Service Company of )  
Oklahoma, a corporation, et al., Defendants. )

JOURNAL ENTRY

On this the 26th day of March, 1952, a regular judicial day of a regular term of said court, the above entitled cause comes on for trial in said court, said plaintiff, Thomas Murray, an incompetent person, being present in open court by John B. Lasker, his guardian ad litem, and C. E. Sutton, his duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian, and Harry G. Thell, attorney for said guardian ad litem and guardian; and the defendant, Public Service Company of Oklahoma, a corporation, being present in open court by its attorney, Walter L. Johnson; and the defendant, City of Tulsa, a municipal corporation, being present in open court by its attorneys, A. W. Colley and Hal Trough; and the defendant, Electric Supply Company, a corporation, being present in open court by its attorney, Hal Trough, and the defendants, J. A. Sprates and A. A. Rodovitz, receivers of Union Transportation Company, a corporation, being present in open court by their attorney, A. A. Elmschmidt, and the defendants, Union Transportation Company, a corporation, Ben C. Angel, City Commissioner of Streets and Public Property, and Allen Hooper, not being present; and at the same time comes now C. E. Sutton, the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian of the said Thomas Murray, as an incompetent person, and files in said cause his petition to be allowed to intervene in said cause; and this court having duly entered its order permitting the said legal guardian to intervene therein, and the said C. E. Sutton, as such guardian, having duly filed in said cause his petition in intervention, accepting the pleadings heretofore filed in said cause on behalf of the said Thomas Murray by said guardian ad litem; and the said C. E. Sutton as such legal guardian being duly present in open court in person and by his attorney, the said Harry G. Thell; and all said appearing parties having announced ready for trial, in open court all said parties having waived trial by jury, by written stipulation filed herein, and agreed to try said cause to the court without a jury, trial is had, and evidence introduced, including certified copy of the order heretofore entered in and by the County Court of the County of Tulsa State of Oklahoma, the court having jurisdiction of the legal guardianship of the said Thomas Murray as an incompetent person, authorizing and directing the said C. E. Sutton as such guardian to intervene in this cause, and to compromise, compromise and settle all claims and causes of action arising out of the accident and injuries mentioned in the petition in this cause, and all other causes of action involved in this cause, as is hereafter set forth in this cause; comes now the said plaintiff, by his said guardian ad litem and legal guardian, and signifies this cause with prejudice, at the cost of the said City of Tulsa, the defendant, Union Transportation Company, a corporation, Ben C. Angel, City Commissioner of Streets and Public Property, and Allen Hooper.

No trial having been had as between the plaintiff and said legal guardian and defendants, as to which this cause has not been discovered or ascertained, and evidence heard, as the cause having been only an intervention to the court, the court finds and believes that the compromise settles and settles all claims, and hereby it is ordered that judgment should be entered in this cause in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants, Public Service Company of Oklahoma, a corporation, City of Tulsa, a municipal corporation, Electric Supply Company, a





it, for which let execution issue.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

K. A. E. WILLIAMS  
A. E. Williams,  
Assistant United States Attorney

DORSED: Filed Mar 26 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

A. M. ARNOLD, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1529 Law ✓  
F. WILCOX OIL & GAS COMPANY, Defendant. )

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 26 day of March, 1932, the above cause comes on for  
aring before me upon the plaintiff's motion to dismiss said cause without  
ejudice, and it appearing to the court that the defendant has made no appe- r-  
ce herein and that said cause was removed from the District Court of Tulsa  
unty, Oklahoma, to this court and is pending on motion to quash service, and  
further appearing to the court that the plaintiff has tendered the costs  
rein in full and is entitled to dismiss said cause without prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, That  
id cause be and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice, said dismissal  
become effective upon payment of the costs by the plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge of the United States District  
Court for the Northern District of  
Oklahoma.

DORSED: Filed Mar 26 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----  
Court adjourned until March 28, 1932.

On this 28th day of March, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John W. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER APPOINTING HON. T. BLAKE KENNEDY, SPECIAL JUDGE.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS  
TENTH CIRCUIT

In my judgment the public interests require the designation and appointment of a Judge of this Circuit to hold and assist in holding the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, in place or in aid of the District Judge of that District:

I do, therefore, by these presents, designate and appoint the honorable T. Blake Kennedy, United States District Judge for the District of Wyoming, to hold or assist in holding the District Court of the United States in and for the several divisions of the Northern District of Oklahoma, at the times and places appointed by law for holding said Court, from April 1, 1932, until June 30, 1932, inclusive, in place or in aid of the honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, United States District Judge for the said Northern District of Oklahoma.

Witness my hand this 16th day of March, A. D. 1932.

ROBT. E. LEWIS  
Senior Circuit Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Mar 28 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court W

LESTER M. THOMAS, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1083 - Law. ✓  
UNION TRANSPORTATION CO. a Corp. )  
et al, Defendants. )

Now on this 28th day of March, A. D. 1932, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

No. 1083 Law, Cont'd.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss: ✓

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT  
OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT  
OF OKLAHOMA.

MEETING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for  
the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between  
Hester M. Thomas, plaintiff, and Union Transportation Company, a corporation,  
and F. A. Bodovitz and J. A. Frates, as receivers of Union Transportation Com-  
pany, a corporation, defendants, No. 1083, Law, the judgment of the said District  
Court in said cause entered on April 25, 1931, was in the following words, viz:

"It is by the court considered, ordered, adjudged  
and decreed that the plaintiff, Hester M. Thomas, do  
have and recover of and from the defendants, F. A. Bodo-  
vitz and J. A. Frates, as receivers of the union trans-  
portation Company, a corporation, the sum of One Thousand  
Dollars (\$1,000.00), together with all costs of this suit,  
for the recovery of which let execution issue, to which  
defendants excepted and are allowed 60 days from this  
date to prepare and serve bill of exceptions on appeal."

By the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court,  
which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit,  
in virtue of an appeal by J. A. Frates and F. A. Bodovitz, as receivers of Union  
Transportation Company, a corporation, agreeably to the act of Congress, in such  
case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the January term, in the year of our Lord one thousand  
nine hundred and thirty-two, the said cause came on to be heard before the said  
United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from  
said District Court and was argued by counsel.

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered and adjudged by  
this court that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be and  
the same is hereby affirmed and that Hester M. Thomas, appellee, have and recover  
and from J. A. Frates and F. A. Bodovitz, as receivers of Union Transportation  
Company, a corporation, appellants, her costs herein.

It is further ordered that the mandate of this court issue forth-  
with to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had  
in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United  
States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS the honorable CHARLES E. MCGIBES, Chief Justice of the  
United States, the 28th day of March, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine  
hundred and thirty-two.

ALBERT TRECO  
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court  
of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

No. 1083 Law - Cont'd.

OSTS Of	Appellee:
Clerk,	\$ -- --
Printing Record,	\$ -- --
Attorney,	\$ 20.00
	<u>\$20.00</u>

FORWARDED: Filed Mar 28 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

. P. Longmire, administrator of the	)	
state of Moss O. Longmire, deceased,	)	
and Siatha Longmire,	Plaintiffs,	) No. 1466 Law. ✓
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
The United States of America,	Defendant.	)

ORDER PERMITTING INTERVENTION.

Now on this the 28 day of March, 1932, this cause coming on for hearing on application of Dollie Della Longmire for leave to intervene in this cause, and the court, being well and truly advised in the premises, finds that said application should be granted;

IT IS, THEREFORE, the order of the court that said Dollie Della Longmire be and she is hereby authorized and permitted to file herein her intervening petition.

F. E. REMMNER  
Judge.

FORWARDED: Filed Mar 28 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack S. White,	Plaintiff,	)
	)	
vs.	)	No. 1925 - Law ✓
	)	
Continental Oil Company,	Defendant.	)

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURDER

Now on this 25th day of March, 1932, this cause came on for hearing upon the demurrer of the defendant to the petition of the plaintiff, and the

ourt having examined said demurrer, and being otherwise fully informed, finds  
not said demurrer should be, and the same is hereby overruled, and the defendant  
iven 30 days from this date within which to file its answer herein.

F. E. KENNAMER  
J U D G E.

.K. FRANK T. MCCOY  
Attorney for the Plaintiff

.K. WILLIAMS H. ZWICK  
Attorney for the Defendant

RECORDED: Filed Mar 28 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

Court adjourned until March 29, 1932.





IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff, /  
vs. / No. 6124 Cr. ✓  
Lee Cantwell, Defendant. /

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 7th day of March, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the regular March 1932 term of said court, this matter comes on before the court, without a jury, on an accusation for contempt of court heretofore filed against the defendant, by the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the government being present in court by the United States Attorney, and the defendant being present in court and by his counsel, Rollie Clark, and the court after hearing the evidence on behalf of the plaintiff and on behalf of the defendant, continued said cause to the 14th day of March, 1932,

And now on this 14th day of March, 1932, hearing of said cause is continued, as per previous adjournment, the said parties heretofore mentioned being present as before, and thereon the court proceeded to hear additional evidence in said cause, both on behalf of the Government and on behalf of the defendant, and upon hearing same, the court being fully advised in the premises, finds the defendant guilty of contempt of court, as charged in the accusation filed by the United States Attorney herein, and sentence of the above named defendant was passed until the 15th day of March, 1932.

And now on this 15th day of March, 1932, the proceedings in said cause are continued, as per previous adjournment, and after being fully advised in the premises, it is the judgment and sentence of the court that the defendant do, and he is hereby sentenced to six months in jail, as and for contempt of court as charged in the accusation for contempt heretofore filed herein by the United States Attorney.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

J. K. GOLDSBERRY  
U. S. Atty.

ENDORSED: Filed Mar 30 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
Court adjourned until March 31, 1932.

On this 31st day of March, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

SCCELLANEOUS - ORDER FOR PETIT JURY - TULSA.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER FOR PETIT JURY

On this 31st day of March, A. D. 1932, it is Ordered by the Court that there be publicly drawn by the Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, or one of his deputies, in the presence of the Clerk, or one of his Deputies, in accordance with the law and the rules of this Court, the name of Forty persons, good and lawful men, from said District, duly qualified to serve as Petit Jurors at the Special March 1932 Term of this Court to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

It is further Ordered by the Court that a writ of Venire Facias be issued out of this Court, in due form as provided by law, commanding the said Marshal to summon by Registered Mail said Petit Jurors, drawn, as aforesaid, to be and appear before said Court, to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, on the 11th day of April, A. D. 1932, at 9:00 o'clock A. M. then and there to serve as Petit Jurors of the United States in and for said District at the Special March 1932 Term of said Court.

F. E. KENNAMER  
United States District Judge.

FORWARDED: Filed Mar 31 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

BYE CREAM,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

A. FRATES AND FELIX A. BODOVITZ,  
Receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway  
Company, a corporation,

Defendants.

NO. 1113 - LAW.

J U D G M E N T



On this 1st day of April, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA ) SS

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 6055 Cr. ✓  
Thomas I. Munroe, et al, Defendants )

ORDER GRANTING WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AD TESTIFICANDUM

On reading and considering the petition of Harry Seaton, Assistant United States Attorney, filed herein, whereby it appears that one Richard Rabb is a necessary and material witness in a certain cause in this court, which is assigned for trial on Monday, April 11, 1932, and it further appearing that said witness is a prisoner and confined in the Federal Penitentiary, at Leavenworth, Kansas, and is under the control and in the charge and custody of the Warden of said penitentiary, and it appearing that a writ of Habeas Corpus Ad Testificandum ought to issue, it is hereby ordered that a Writ of Habeas Corpus Ad Testificandum issue out of and under seal of this court, directed to the Warden of said Penitentiary, commanding him to have the body of the said Richard Rabb before me in the court room of this court on the 11th day of April, 1932, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, to testify in behalf of the Plaintiff in a certain cause wherein the United States of America is Plaintiff and Thomas I. Munroe, et al are defendants, and that the said Warden have then and there the said writ.

Dated this 29th day of March, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge

APPROVED: Filed Apr 1 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- )  
 ) No. 6081 - Criminal. ✓  
LUCILLE FOREMAN & ALBERTA THOMPSON, )  
 )  
Defendants. )

Now on this 1st day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the time herein be further extended thirty (30) days from this date for defendant Lucille Foreman to pay the fine assessed herein.

-----  
IN RE: HABEAS CORPUS, )  
 )  
-vs- FRED HACHTELL and )  
 ) No. 973 - Law. ✓  
CHARLES J. HACHTELL, )  
 )  
Defendants. )

Now on this 1st day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be, and it is hereby abandoned for want of prosecution and petition dismissed.

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Lloyd Hagan McPherson, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
vs. ) No. 1218 Law ✓  
 )  
United States, Defendant. )

APPLICATION FOR SUBPOENA FOR WITNESSES OUTSIDE DISTRICT

A. E. Williams, being first duly sworn, says: That he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such attorney for the defendant in the above cause, which is set for hearing before the Court on April 6, 1932, at Tulsa, in said district. Affiant further states that

Dr. C. W. Robinson, Dr. E. A. Werner and Dr. C. E. Bates, all of Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City.

Dr. J. P. McGee, 1200 N. Robinson Ave., Oklahoma City,

all of whom reside without the Northern District of Oklahoma, and probably more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, where said trial will be held, are important witnesses for the United States in said cause and it is necessary to have said witnesses at said trial on said date, to properly present the facts herein by evidence in open court, and this affidavit is for the purpose of procuring an order of court, directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, for service of subpoena on said witnesses.

WHEREFORE, affiant asks that the Judge of this Court grant an order directing the United States Marshal for the Western District of Okla-



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

The Texas Company,	Plaintiff,	)	
		)	
vs.		)	No. 1549 Law. ✓
		)	
Board of County Commissioners		)	
of the County of Creek, and		)	
Wayn Ray, County Treasurer		)	
of Creek County,	Defendants.	)	

O R D E R

The plaintiff having moved to dismiss the above styled cause with prejudice;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED That the said cause be and the same hereby is dismissed with prejudice.

DONE this 1st day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr. 1, 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

Court adjourned until April 2, 1932.



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff, )	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 5836 Cr. ✓
	)	
Clyde H. Hayes, et al	Defendants )	

ORDER MODIFYING SENTENCE

Now on this the 1st day of April, 1932, the same being a regular day of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, sitting at Tulsa, Oklahoma, there coming on for hearing the motion of the defendant, Clyde H. Hayes, for modification of his sentence, and the court, after considering said motion, finds that said defendant was sentenced on the 19th day of January, 1932, to ninety days in jail, and that at the time said defendant was sentenced, the court was not aware of the fact that said defendant had been incarcerated since the 18th day of November, 1931, and that said sentence should date from said date.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said sentence, and the same is hereby modified, to read "Ninety days from date of original incarceration", to-wit: November 18, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

K. HARRY SEATON  
Assistant U. S. Attorney

DORSED: Filed Apr 2 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff, )	
	)	
-vs-	)	No. 6025 - Criminal. ✓
	)	
FREDERICK PAGE,	Defendant. )	

Now on this 2nd day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be set for trial, April 18, 1932, at Tulsa.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff, )	
	)	
-vs-	)	No. 6131 - Criminal. ✓
	)	
PERCIVAL M. McRAE,	Defendant. )	

Now on this 2nd day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled cause comes on for hearing on attachment for contempt. And thereafter, after being fully advised in the premises, said defendant is found guilty. It is further

. 6131 Cr. Cont'd.

ORDERED by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County jail for the period of :

Sixty (60) days from date of delivery, or until all costs before the Referee and Court are paid.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6132 - Criminal.  
WEL D. JOHNSON, Defendant. )

Now on this 2nd day of April, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein, and to prosecute thereunder. Thereupon, said defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the information filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Ten (\$10.00) Dollars and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 6132 Cr.  
WEL D. JOHNSON, Defendant )

ORDER RELEASING AUTOMOBILE

Now on this the 2nd day of April, 1932, the same being a regular term of the Special March A. D. 1932, Term of said Court, sitting at Tulsa, Oklahoma, there coming on for hearing the above entitled cause, and the defendant pleading guilty to the transportation of the whiskey as charged, and it further appearing to the court that the automobile in said cause has no value, and would probably not bring a sufficient amount to pay the costs of sale, and on motion of said defendant, and the plaintiff agreeing thereto, by and through the United States Attorney, said car is released to said defendant, upon his paying the costs incident to the seizure thereof.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Chevrolet automobile, Motor No. 498659, be, and the same is hereby released to said

defendant upon his paying the costs incident to the seizure thereof.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

K. HARRY SEATON  
Assistant U. S. Attorney

DORSED: Filed Apr 2 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

N. Barber, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 817 Law. ✓  
V. Trigg, Defendant. )

JOURNAL ENTRY OVERRULING MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL

On this 10th day of March, 1930, there came on for hearing the motion of the defendant herein for new trial, plaintiff being present by his attorney of record and the defendant by his attorney of record, The court having heard the arguments of counsel and being fully advised, upon consideration, finds that the said motion for new trial should be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND ADJUDGED That the motion of the defendant for a new trial be, and the same is hereby overruled; to which ruling of the court defendant then and there excepted, exception allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

DORSED: Filed Apr 2 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

N. BARBER, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 817 Law. ✓  
V. Trigg, Defendant. )

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

On this 20th day of February, 1930, came plaintiff in person and his attorneys of record, and also came the defendant in person and by his

torneys of record, and also came the defendant in person and by his attorney record, and this cause came on for trial in its regular order before a jury twelve good men, who being duly empanelled and sworn, well and truly to try the issues joined between the plaintiff and defendant, and a true verdict rendered according to the evidence and having heard the evidence, the charges of the court and the argument of counsel, upon their oath say:

"IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. N. BARBER, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) Case No. 817 Law  
H. V. Trigg, Defendant. )

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the plaintiff, and assess damages at Twelve hundred fifty Dollars,

(Signed) W. I. Keller  
foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the court at the said plaintiff have and recover from the said defendant the sum of Twelve Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$1,250.00), together with costs of this action, for which let execution issue.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

DORSED: Filed Apr 2 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Shell Oil Company, a corporation, Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
Rayn Ray, County Treasurer of ) No. 1531 Law.  
Beck County, Oklahoma, and his )  
successors in office, Defendant )

JOURNAL ENTRY

On this 2nd day of April A. D. 1932, in term time, regularly came to be heard the above styled and numbered cause, and the parties hereto appearing by their respective attorneys of record, announced ready for trial, and all parties having waived a jury, the cause both as to the law and facts were submitted to the court, and the court having heard the evidence adduced by both the plaintiff and the defendant and arguments of counsel, being of the opinion that the plaintiff is entitled to recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$2622.85, together with costs of suit,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of Two thousand Six Hundred Twenty-two and 85/100 (\$2,622.85) Dollars and all costs suit in this behalf expended, with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent. per annum from this date until paid.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

Proved: ROBERT M. TURPIN  
Attorney for plaintiff

G. W. CUNNINGHAM  
Attorney for Defendant

FORSEED: Filed Apr 2 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

Court adjourned until April 4, 1932.



Ernest R. Brown, attorneys for the plaintiff therein, in which said motion the said attorneys ask for a correction of the Journal Entry of Judgment entered in said cause. Upon hearing and considering said motion the Court finds that the allegations contained in said motion are true and it rests within the recollection of the Court that at the time of the rendition of the judgment and decree in said cause on the 18th day of May, 1931, it was the intention of the Court to allow an attorneys' fee of ten per cent of the amount of the judgment and so ten per cent of all future payments made to the said plaintiffs upon the insurance contract sued on; that said Journal Entry of Judgment should be corrected and amended so as to reflect the judgment and decree of the Court.

IT IS, THEREFORE, THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the original Journal Entry of Judgment signed and filed in said cause be and the same is hereby corrected and amended so as to read as follows relative to the attorneys' fee in said cause.

"IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the plaintiffs' attorneys, R. A. Wilkerson and Ernest R. Brown, Pryor, Oklahoma, shall receive as their reasonable attorneys' fee 10 per cent of the judgment and 10 per cent of all future payments to be made by the Veterans Administration according to law pursuant to this judgment and decree and upon the insurance contract sued on in said cause, and that the said attorneys' fee shall be paid by the Veterans Administration out of the moneys due or to be due the plaintiffs herein."

And it is the further order of the Court that this order correcting the original Journal Entry of Judgment be filed and entered as a part hereof.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge of the United States District  
Court within and for the Northern District  
of Oklahoma.

DORSED: Filed Apr 4 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ff Artburay Dobbs, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) NO. 965 Law ✓  
e United States of America, Defendant. )

ORDER CORRECTING AND AMENDING JOURNAL  
ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Now on this the 4th day of April, 1932, there is presented to the court a motion in the above entitled and numbered cause of R. A. Wilkerson and Ernest R. Brown, attorneys for the plaintiff therein, in which said motion the said attorneys ask for a correction of the Journal Entry of Judgment entered in said cause. Upon hearing and considering said motion the Court finds that the allegations contained in said motion are true and it rests within the recollection of the Court that at the time of the rendition of the judgment and decree in said cause on the 28th day of March, 1930, it was the intention of the court to allow an attorneys' fee of ten per cent of the amount of the judgment and also ten per cent of all future payments made to the said plaintiff upon an insurance contract sued on; that said Journal Entry of Judgment should be corrected and amended so as to reflect the judgment and decree of the Court.

IT IS, THEREFORE, THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF THE COURT That the original Journal Entry of Judgment signed and filed in said cause be and the same is hereby corrected and amended so as to read as follows relative to the attorneys' fee in said cause:

"IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY the Court that the plaintiff's attorneys, R. A. Wilkerson and Ernest R. Brown, Pryor, Oklahoma, shall receive as their reasonable attorneys' fee 10 per cent of the judgment and 10 per cent of all future payments to be made by the Veterans Administration according to law pursuant to this judgment and decree and upon the insurance contract sued on in said cause, and that the said attorneys' fee shall be paid by the Veterans Administration out of the moneys due or to be due the plaintiff herein."

And it is the further order of the Court that this order correcting the original Journal Entry of Judgment be filed and entered as a part hereof.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge of the United States  
District Court in and for the  
Northern District of Oklahoma

DORSED: Filed Apr 4 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

ELLIE OMA ROGERS, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1089 - Law. ✓  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, comes on for hearing motion of Plaintiff to reopen case. And thereafter, after being fully advised the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be denied.

-----  
FRANK JOHNS, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1095 - Law. ✓  
FIRST INVESTMENT CO. OF )  
MARTINSVILLE, OKLA., Defendant. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Demurrer of First Investment Company be, and it is hereby, sustained. Order overruling Demurrers heretofore set aside and sustained by the Court. It is further ordered that Plaintiff be given fifteen (15) days to amend. Defendant given ten (10) days thereafter to plead or twenty days (20) to answer.

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

LOYD HAGAN MCPHERSON, Plaintiff )  
vs. ) No. 1218 Law ✓  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

APPLICATION FOR SUBPOENA FOR WITNESS OUTSIDE DISTRICT

A. E. Williams, being first duly sworn, states: That he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such is attorney for the defendant in the above cause, which is set for hearing April 6, 1932, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district. Affiant further states that R. C. Lamson, Neosho, Missouri, who resides without the Northern District of Oklahoma, and probably more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, where said trial will be had, is an important witness for the United States in said cause, and it is necessary to have said witness at said trial on said date, to properly present the facts herein by evidence in open court, and his affidavit is for the purpose of procuring an order of court, directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Missouri, for service of subpoena on said witness.

WHEREFORE, affiant asks that this Court grant an order directing the United States Marshal for said district to make proper service and return subpoena for said witness for the 6 day of April, 1932 to appear at Tulsa, Oklahoma, to testify in said cause.

A. E. WILLIAMS.

scribed and sworn to before me this 4 day of April, 1932.

(SEAL)

BEN MURDOCK  
Deputy, U. S. Clerk.

Now on this 4 day of April, 1932, having read the above application, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby ordered that proper subpoena issue in said cause out of the Clerk's office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directed to the Marshal of the Western District of Missouri, to forthwith make proper service and return of subpoena on the witness named in said application, to appear in this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on April 6, 1932, at 9 o'clock A. M., to testify in behalf of the United States in said cause, and that a certified copy of this order accompany said subpoena.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

K. A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

DORSED: Filed Apr 4 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----  
EX SURRELL, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1262 - Law. ✓  
SDEN & COMPANY, A CORP., Defendant. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, there comes on for hearing Demurrer of Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation. And thereafter, after being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said Demurrer be sustained and exceptions allowed. It is further ordered by the Court that said case be dismissed.

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

W. A. Landers, Guardian of Ira E. )  
Landers, an incompetent person, Plaintiff, )  
vs ) NO. 1268 Law ✓  
The United States of America, Defendant. )

ORDER CORRECTING AND AMENDING JOURNAL ENTRY  
OF JUDGMENT

Now on this the 4th day of April, 1932, there is presented to the Court a motion in the above entitled and numbered cause of R. A. Wilkerson and Ernest R. Brown, attorneys for the plaintiff therein, in which said motion the



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Ernest Beck, Jeff Beck and T. W. Linam )  
Administrator of the Estate of )  
Ernest Beck, Deceased, Plaintiffs, ) NO. 1294 Law ✓  
vs. )  
The United States of America, Defendant. )

ORDER CORRECTING AND AMENDING JOURNAL ENTRY  
OF JUDGMENT

Now on this the 4th day of April, 1932, there is presented to the Court a motion in the above entitled and numbered cause of R. A. Wilkerson and Ernest R. Brown, attorneys for the plaintiffs therein, in which said motion the said attorneys ask for a correction of the Journal Entry of Judgment entered in said cause. Upon hearing and considering said motion the Court finds that the allegations contained in said motion are true and it rests within the recollection of the Court that at the time of the rendition of the judgment and decree in said cause on the 8th day of October, 1931, it was the intention of the Court to allow an attorneys' fee of ten per cent of the amount of the judgment and also ten per cent of all future payments made to the said plaintiffs upon the insurance contract sued on; that said Journal Entry of Judgment should be corrected and amended so as to reflect the judgment and decree of the Court.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the original Journal Entry of Judgment signed and filed in said cause be and the same hereby corrected and amended so as to read as follows relative to the attorneys' fee in said cause:

"IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the plaintiffs' attorneys, R. A. Wilkerson and Ernest R. Brown, Pryor, Oklahoma, shall receive as their reasonable attorneys' fee 10 per cent of the judgment and 10 per cent of all future payments to be made by the Veterans Administration according to law pursuant to this judgment and decree and upon the insurance contract sued on in said cause and that the said attorneys' fee shall be paid by the Veterans Administration out of the moneys due or to be due the plaintiffs herein."

And it is the further order of the Court that this order correct-  
ing the original Journal Entry of Judgment be filed and entered as a part  
of the record.

F. E. KENNAMER  
United States District Judge  
for the Northern District of  
The State of Oklahoma.

FORSEED: Filed Apr 4 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Bert Putnam, Plaintiff, )  
vs ) NO. 1324 Law ✓  
The United States of America, Defendant. )

ORDER CORRECTING AND AMENDING JOURNAL ENTRY  
OF JUDGMENT

Now on this the 4th day of April, 1932, there is presented to the Court a motion in the above entitled and numbered cause of R. A. Wilkerson and Ernest R. Brown, attorneys for the plaintiff therein, in which said motion the said attorneys ask for a correction of the Journal Entry of Judgment entered in said cause. Upon hearing and considering said motion the Court finds that the allegations contained in said motion are true and it rests within the recollection of the Court that at the time of the rendition of the judgment and decree in said cause on the 8th day of October, 1931, it was the intention of the Court to allow an attorneys' fee of ten per cent of the amount of the judgment and also ten per cent of all future payments made to the said plaintiff upon the insurance contract sued on; that said Journal Entry of Judgment should be corrected and amended so as to reflect the judgment and decree of the Court.

IT IS, THEREFORE, THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the original Journal Entry of Judgment signed and filed in said cause be and the same is hereby corrected and amended so as to read as follows relative to the attorneys' fee in said cause:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the plaintiff's attorneys, R. A. Wilkerson and Ernest R. Brown, Pryor, Oklahoma, shall receive as their reasonable attorneys' fee 10 per cent of the judgment and 10 per cent of all future payments to be made by the Veterans Administration according to law pursuant to this judgment and decree and upon the insurance contract sued on in said cause, and that the said attorneys' fee shall be paid by the veterans Administration out of the moneys due or to be due the plaintiff herein."

And it is the further order of the Court that this order correcting the original Journal Entry of Judgment be filed and entered as a part thereof.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge of the United States District Court within and for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

DORSED: Filed Apr 4 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

EPWATER OIL REFINERES, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1325 - Law. ✓  
BERT RAMSEY, ET AL, Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that Demurrer of Plaintiff be, and it is hereby, overruled, Exception allowed. Given two (2) days to reply.

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

anche Holcomb, Gdn., )  
vs. ) No. 1334 Law. ✓  
ited States of America, Defendant. )

APPLICATION FOR SUBPOENA FOR WITNESS OUTSIDE DISTRICT

A. E. Williams, being first duly sworn, states: That he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such attorney for the defendant in the above cause, which is set for hearing April 6, 1932, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district. Affiant further states that Carl T. Steen, Central Oklahoma, State Hospital, Norman, Oklahoma who resides without the Northern District of Oklahoma, and probably more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, where said trial will be had, is an important witness for the United States in said cause, and it is necessary to have said witness at said trial on said date, to properly present the facts herein - evidence in open court, and this affidavit is for the purpose of procuring order of court, directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District Oklahoma for service of subpoena on said witness

WHEREFORE, affiant asks that this Court grant an order directing the United States Marshal for said district to make proper service and return subpoena for said witness for the 6 day of April, 1932 to appear at Tulsa, Oklahoma, to testify in said cause.

A. E. WILLIAMS

scribed and sworn to before me this 4 day of April, 1932.

(SEAL)

M. M. EWING  
Deputy Clerk U. S. District Court  
Northern District of Oklahoma.

Now on this 4 day of April, 1932, having read the above application, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby ordered that proper subpoena issue in said cause out of the Clerk's office of the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma, directed to the Marshal of the Western District of Oklahoma, to forthwith make proper service and return subpoena on the witness named in said application, to appear in this court Tulsa, Oklahoma, on April 6, 1932, at 9 o'clock A.M., to testify in behalf the United States in said cause, and that a certified copy of this order

company said subpoena.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

K. A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

DORSED: Filed Apr 4 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----  
CENTRAL SURETY & INSURANCE CO.  
AL, Plaintiffs, )  
-vs- ) No. 1338 - Law. ✓  
R. STAPLES, ET AL, Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that motion of Defendants for new trial and for judgment notwithstanding verdict be, and the same is hereby, overruled. It is further ordered that Defendants be given ten (10) days to file supersedeas bond in the sum of \$4500.00. It is further ordered that Defendants be given thirty (30) days to prepare and file Bill of Exceptions herein.

-----  
UNITED STATES, ET AL, Plaintiffs, )  
-vs- ) No. 1359 - Law. ✓  
DETROIT FIDELITY & SURETY CO., )  
AL., Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that Motion of Detroit Fidelity and Surety Company to quash be, and it is hereby overruled. Given fifteen (15) days to plead or twenty (20) days to answer.

-----  
EDDIE LIBERMAN, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1382 - Law. ✓  
B. CARTER, Defendant. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that Motion for Plaintiff for new trial be, and it is hereby, overruled. Exception allowed. Plaintiff given sixty (60) days to prepare and file Bill of Exceptions herein.

-----

MAN MAY, ET AL, Plaintiffs, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 1383 - Law. ✓  
 )  
E MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE CO. OF )  
NEW YORK, Defendant. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiff for a new trial be, and it is hereby, overruled. Plaintiff given sixty (60) days to prepare and file Bill of Exceptions herein.

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Goldie Stromatt, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
vs ) No. 1388 Law ✓  
 )  
Williams Brothers, Inc., a )  
Corporation, Defendant. )

PETITION FOR ALLOWANCE OF APPEAL

Comes now Goldie Stromatt, plaintiff in the above styled and numbered cause, through her attorneys, Wilkerson & Brown, and feeling herself aggrieved by the order, judgment and decree rendered by the Court in this cause on the 4th day of January, 1932, does hereby appeal from said order, judgment and decree to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the tenth Circuit, because and for the reasons set forth in her Assignment of Errors herein.

WHEREFORE, said plaintiff, Goldie Stromatt, prays that an appeal from the above cause be duly allowed.

WILKERSON & BROWN  
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

The within and foregoing petition for appeal is hereby duly allowed this 4th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge

CORSEED: Filed Apr 4 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Goldie Stromatt, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1388 Law. ✓  
Williams Brothers, Inc., a )  
Corporation, Defendant. )

ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHICH TO PREPARE,  
SERVE AND FILE RECORD

Now on this the 4th day of April, 1932, the above named plaintiff, Goldie Stromatt, having filed her petition praying an appeal of the above cause to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and said appeal having heretofore been allowed, and it being shown to the Court that it is necessary that said plaintiff have an extension of time in which to prepare, serve and lodge a record in said cause, the Court finds that good and sufficient cause exists for such extension of time.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COURT that the plaintiff, Goldie Stromatt, be and she is hereby allowed an extension of 90 days from this date in which to prepare, serve and file a record in said cause and lodge her appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

DORSED: Filed Apr 4 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----  
MAN M. MAY, HARRY MAY, BENJAMIN H. )  
MAY and PAUL MAY, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1389 - Law. ✓  
THE TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY, )  
CORPORATION, Defendant. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiff for a new trial be, and it is hereby, overruled. Plaintiff is further ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be given sixty (60) days to prepare and file Bill of Exceptions.

-----  
CHANGE TRUST CO. AS TRUSTEE, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1397 - Law. ✓  
NEW YORK LIFE INS. CO., Defendant. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiff for new trial be overruled. Plaintiff given sixty (60) days to file Bill of Exceptions herein.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ward S. Sherman, Plaintiff. )  
vs. ) No. 1416 Law ✓  
ited States of America, Defendant. )

APPLICATION FOR SUBPOENA FOR WITNESSES OUTSIDE DISTRICT.

A. E. Williams, of lawful age, being first duly sworn, states: that he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma and as such is attorney for the defendant in the above cause, which is set for hearing before the Court on April 7, 1932, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district. Plaintiff further states that Dr. E. A. Werner, and Dr. C. E. Bates, both of the Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and Dr. M. V. Moth, American National Bank Bldg., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, all of whom reside without the Northern District of Oklahoma, and probably more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, where said trial will be had, are important witnesses for the United States in said cause, and it is necessary to have said witnesses at said trial on said date to properly present the facts herein by evidence in open court, and this affidavit is for the purpose of procuring an order of court directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, for service of subpoena on said witnesses.

WHEREFORE, affiant asks that the Judge of this Court grant an order directing the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma to make proper service and return of subpoena for said witnesses for the 7 day ending April, 1932, to appear in Tulsa, Oklahoma, to testify in said cause.

(SEAL)

A. E. WILLIAMS

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4 day of April, 1932.

H. P. WARFIELD, CLERK  
By Dema Cottle, Deputy.

Now on this 4 day of April, 1932, having read the above application, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby ordered that proper subpoena issue in said cause out of the Clerk's office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, to forthwith make proper service and return of subpoena on the witnesses named in said application to appear in this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on April 7, 1932, at 9 o'clock A.M., to testify in behalf of the United States in said cause, and that a certified copy of this order accompany said subpoena.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

C. A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

CORSEED: Filed Apr 4 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ELMA WELDER, a minor, by Clara M. Welder, her next friend,	Plaintiff,	) NO. 1432 - LAW. ✓
-vs-	)	
A. FRATES AND F. A. BODOVITZ, Receivers of Oklahoma Union Rail- way Company, a corporation,	Defendants.	)

O R D E R

On this 4th day of April, 1932, there came on for hearing the motion of the defendants to require the plaintiff to furnish security for costs, and the Court upon consideration thereof sustained said motion and ordered that the said plaintiff or her attorney of record make deposit for costs or furnish security for costs acceptable to the Clerk of this Court within five days from this date.

F. E. KENNAMER  
 Judge.

DORSED: Filed In Open Court  
 Apr 6, 1932  
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court

A. ARMSTRONG, SURVIVING WIFE OF REN ARMSTRONG, DEC'D.,	Plaintiff,	) No. 1437 - Law. ✓
-vs-	)	
D-CONTINENT PETRO. CORP., ET AL,	Defendants.	)

Now on this 4th day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiff to remand be, and the same is hereby, overruled. It is further ordered by the Court, upon motion of Plaintiff, that said case be dismissed, without prejudice, at the cost of the Plaintiff herein.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
 DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

William L. Harris,	Plaintiff,	) No. 1438 Law. ✓
vs.	)	
United States of America,	Defendant.	)

APPLICATION FOR SUBPOENA FOR WITNESSES OUTSIDE DISTRICT.

A. E. Williams, of lawful age, being first duly sworn, states:

at he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such is attorney for the defendant in the above cause, which is set for hearing before the Court on April 8, 1932, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district. Affiant further states that

Dr. M. V. Moth, American National Bank Bldg., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and  
Dr. Thomas McElroy, Ponca City, Oklahoma

of whom reside without the Northern District of Oklahoma, and probably more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, where said trial will be held, are important witnesses for the United States in said cause, and it is necessary to have said witnesses at said trial on said date to properly present the facts herein by evidence in open court, and this affidavit is for the purpose of procuring an order of court directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, for service of subpoena on said witnesses.

WHEREFORE, affiant asks that the Judge of this Court grant an order directing the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma to make proper service and return of subpoena for said witnesses for the 8 day of April, 1932, to appear in Tulsa, Oklahoma, to testify in said cause.

A. E. WILLIAMS

described and sworn to before me this 8 day of April, 1932.

(SEAL)

M. M. EWING  
Deputy Clerk, U. S. District Court,  
Northern District of Oklahoma.

Now on this 4th day of April, 1932, having read the above application, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby ordered that proper subpoena issue in said cause out of the Clerk's office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, to forthwith make proper service and return of subpoena on the witnesses named in said application to appear in this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on April 8, 1932, at 9 o'clock A. M., to testify in behalf of the United States in said cause, and that a certified copy of this order accompany said subpoena.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed Apr 4 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----

LOUIS C. REDDIN & S. WAGONER,  
N. of estate of LOUIS C.  
REDDIN, INC., Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1440 - Law. ✓  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that Motion to strike of the Defendant herein be, and it is hereby, sustained. Government given five (5) days to answer.

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Wagoner, legal guardian of  
Louis C. Redden, an incompetent, Plaintiff )  
-vs- ) No. 1440 Law. ✓  
United States of America, Defendant. )

O R D E R

This matter coming on for hearing upon the motion of the plaintiff for permission to examine the records and files and to make copies thereof, pertaining to the said Louis C. Redden, and it appearing that the said Louis C. Redden is a former soldier in the service of the United States from June 17, 1917 to November 3, 1917 and while in such service, he applied for, and was granted, Risk Insurance in the sum of Ten Thousand (\$10,000.00) Dollars and that this action is for the recovery of the insurance benefits by reason of the said Louis C. Redden alleging his total and permanent disability while such insurance is in force and effect. And that there is a great deal of correspondence with reference to such claim and the result of his physical examinations by medical authorities and that the same is necessary and essential to properly enable him to prepare his cause for trial. And that under the provisions of the World War Veterans Act as amended, he is entitled to this information.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant, the Veterans Administration, and its attorneys of record be, and they are, hereby ordered and directed to permit the plaintiff or his attorneys of record to examine all of the records, files, correspondence, and documents in its possession or under its control in connection with the service of the said Louis C. Redden, as a soldier in the United States army or any claim for benefits by reason thereof. And to permit the plaintiff or his attorneys of record to make such copies of such records, files or documents as may be pertinent to the proper preparation by the plaintiff of his cause of action.

Done in open court this 4th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

CORSEY: Filed In Open Court  
Apr 6 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

WEST CHEVROLET CO. A CORP., Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 1442 - Law. ✓  
 GENERAL MOTORS ACCEPTANCE CORP., Defendant. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Defendant to state and number be, and it is hereby overruled. It is further ordered by the Court that Demurrer of Defendant be, and is hereby, considered filed and the same is overruled by the Court. Defendant given twenty (20) days to answer.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 1443 - Law. ✓  
 G. AUSTIN and JOE YEARGAIN, Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that motion for new trial be, and the same is hereby passed, twenty days for hearing.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 1446 - Law. ✓  
 WEL DUNCAN, GEO. J. WILSON )  
 and HARRY MILLER, Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, there comes on for hearing on application for remission of penalty. Thereafter, after being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said application be sustained. It is further ordered by the Court, upon payment of costs that said cause be dismissed.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

DIE SCHMIDT, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- )  
 ELIX A. BODOVITZ and J. A. FRATES, ) NO. 1462 - LAW. ✓  
 receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway )  
 Company, a corporation, and Union )  
 Transportation Company, a corporation, )  
 Defendants. )

O R D E R

On this 4th day of April, 1932, came on for hearing the motion of the defendants to require the plaintiff to furnish security for costs, and the

Court upon consideration thereof, sustained said motion, and it is ordered that said plaintiff make deposit for costs or furnish security acceptable to the clerk of this Court within ten days from this date.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

DORSED: Filed In Open Court  
Apr 6 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
RAH WERRELL, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 1463 - Law. ✓  
 )  
. LOUIS-SAN FRANCISCO RY CO. ET AL., )  
 )  
Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and it is hereby, dismissed for want of prosecution.

-----  
P. (STERLING) STUBBLEFIELD, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 1464 - Law. ✓  
 )  
MACK OIL CO., ET AL., Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that motion of Plaintiff to remand be, and it is hereby, overruled. All motions to quash herein are withdrawn and Defendants given ten (10) days to read or twenty (20) days to answer. And thereafter, it is ordered by the court, upon motion of the Plaintiff, that said case be dismissed without prejudice at the cost of the Plaintiff.

-----  
ED WEAVER, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 1475 - Law. ✓  
 )  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that motion of the government to dismiss the above styled cause be, and it is hereby, sustained. Thereupon, said case is ordered dismissed at the cost of the Plaintiff.

-----

LEWIS BELCHER & WIFE, LENA  
BELCHER, Plaintiffs, )  
-vs- ) No. 1489 - Law. ✓  
MOTOR OIL CO. ET AL, Defendant. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that Motion of Plaintiff to remand be, and it is hereby, overruled.

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
OF OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

HENRY C. WELCH, PLAINTIFF, )  
VS ) NO. 1491 ✓  
MID-CONTINENT PETROLEUM CORP.  
CORPORATION, DEFENDANT. )

ORDER REMANDING CASE TO STATE COURT

This cause came on to be heard before me, Judge F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the District Court of the United States, District of Oklahoma, Northern Division, on this 4th day of April, 1932, a regular day of the March term of said court, in Tulsa, Oklahoma, pursuant to the motion by plaintiff to remand this cause to the state court by reason of lack of jurisdiction of the instant court. The court being fully advised in the premises and having heard the oral argument of counsel herein, finds that said cause should be remanded to the Superior Court of Creek County, Oklahoma, Bristow, Division.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that the above case be remanded to the State Court, the Superior Court of Creek County, Oklahoma, Bristow, Division.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE  
UNITED STATES, OKLAHOMA DISTRICT  
NORTHERN DIVISION

FORWARDED: Filed Apr 11 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BERT FINSTON, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) AT LAW NO. 1492 ✓  
STURFORD ACCIDENT & INDEMNITY  
COMPANY, Defendant. )

SCOURNAL ENTRY



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
 DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Ernie Baker, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 -vs- ) No. 1494 Law ✓  
 )  
 Charles Weitz Sons, Incorporated, )  
 Corporation, and G. L. Hannaman, Defendants. )

ORDER OVERRULING MOTIONS

The motions of the defendants to quash summons in the above entitled cause coming on for hearing this 4th day of April, 1932, pursuant to regular sitting and the court having heard argument of the counsel and being fully advised in the premises and upon consideration thereof finds that the said motion should be overruled.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED BY THE COURT that said motions of the defendants be and the same are hereby overruled and the defendants are given 10 days from date in which to plead further or twenty days in which to file their answers herein; to which ruling and order of the court the defendants in each of them duly excepted and said exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER  
 Judge.

FORWARDED: Filed In Open Court  
 Apr 5 1932  
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court

-----  
 D. KIMMEE, J. W. CREE, R. M.  
 THROP & W. B. GOODWIN, Plaintiffs, )  
 )  
 -vs- ) No. 1495 - Law. ✓  
 )  
 ANOLIND OIL & GAS CO., McMAN  
 & GAS CO., Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that motion to remand of the Plaintiff, be passed to April 5, 1932.

-----  
 MARGARETTE ELLIS, a minor, by her  
 mother and next friend, MILDRED  
 ELLIS, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 -vs- ) No. 1496 - Law. ✓  
 )  
 CONTINENT PETROLEUM CORP., and  
 JOHN TURNER, Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that motion of Plaintiff to remand be overruled. It is further ordered

the Court, upon motion of the Plaintiff, that the above styled cause be dis-  
sessed without prejudice at the cost of the Plaintiff.

-----  
D. DONNELLY, TRUSTEE, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1501 - Law. ✓  
E PRODUCERS ROYALTY CORP. )  
CORPORATION, Defendant. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the  
Court that motion of Defendant for security of costs be, and it is hereby, sus-  
tained. Given fifteen (15) days to comply. It is further ordered by the Court  
at Motion of Defendant to make definite and certain be and it is hereby, sus-  
tained. Given ten (10) days to comply thereto.

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EDWARD JONES, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1506 - Law. ✓  
VALTY NEWS, INC., )  
Corporation, and L. M. LADET, Defendants. )

JOURNAL ENTRY.

NOW, on this the 4th day of April, 1932, the above entitled cause  
comes regularly on for hearing on the demurrers of the defendants and the Court  
ORDERS that the demurrer of each of the defendants be and hereby is overruled  
and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendants be given twenty (20) days in which  
file an answer.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE.

DORSED: Filed Apr 7 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. SAMS, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1508 - Law ✓  
WAL PLEASANT, INC., A CORPORATION, )  
AL, Defendants. )

ORDER SUSTAINING MOTION

Motion to Make Certain and to Strike filed herein on behalf of Carl Pleasant, Inc., one of the defendants herein, coming on for hearing this 1 day of April, 1932, pursuant to regular setting, and the Court having heard argument of counsel and being advised in the premises finds that said motion could be sustained.

It is, therefore, ORDERED, that said motion of the defendant, Carl Pleasant, Inc., be and the same is hereby sustained, and the plaintiff is given ten (10) days from this date in which to amend his said petition; the said Carl Pleasant, Inc., to have five (5) days thereafter in which to plead further, or fifteen (15) days in which to file its answer herein.

F. E. KENNAMER  
J u d g e.

FORSEED: Filed In Open Court  
Apr 5 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ETHA LEUCH, as surviving wife of  
Erwin J. Leuch, deceased, Plaintiff, )

-vs-

ALIX BODOVITZ AND J. A. FRATES,  
Receivers of Union Transportation  
Company, a corporation, Defendant. )

) NO. 1511 - LAW. ✓  
)  
)  
)  
)

O R D E R

On this 4th day of April, 1932, there came on for hearing the special appearance and motion to quash summons of the defendants, and upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be overruled, and said defendants granted ten days from this date to plead to the petition or fifteen days to answer.

There further coming on for hearing the motion of the defendants require the plaintiff to furnish security for costs, and upon consideration thereof it is ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained, and plaintiff ordered to make deposit for costs or furnish security acceptable to the Clerk of this Court within ten days from this date.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

FORSEED: Filed In Open Court  
Apr 6 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

M. BOWMAN, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1512 - Law. ✓  
NINNER & BEANE, A COPARTNERSHIP, and )  
AS. E. BEANE, Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that motion of Defendants to make more definite and certain be, and it is hereby overruled. Given ten (10) days to answer thereto.

-----  
WELLY-DEMPSEY CO. A CORP., Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1517 - Law. ✓  
THE CENTURY INDEMNITY CO., A )  
CORP., Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that Demurrer of Defendant be, and it is hereby withdrawn. It is further ordered by the Court that Answer be filed.

-----  
WILFRED H. JOHNSON, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1520 - Law. ✓  
CHARLES E. FENNER, ET AL, Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that motion of Defendants to make more definite and certain be, and it is hereby overruled. Given ten (10) days to answer thereto.

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Union National Bank, a Corporation, Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
George B. Dirickson, et al, Defendants. ) No. 1521 L. ✓  
CONSOLIDATED WITH:  
E. Ketcham, a sole trader, doing )  
business as the Ketcham Lumber Company, Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
George B. Dirickson, et ux., Defendants. )

ORDER REMANDING TO STATE COURT.

This 4th day of April, 1932, this cause coming on to be heard upon

On motion of plaintiff, Union National Bank, a corporation, to remand the same to the State Court, and the Court having considered the motion and having heard the parties by their respective counsel, and being fully advised, and it appearing to the Court that the record in this cause was improperly removed to this Court, and that this Court has no jurisdiction of said cause.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED That said cause be remanded to the District Court of Washington County, Oklahoma, from which it came, and that plaintiff recover its costs in this Court against said defendant, Home Savings and State Bank, Trustee, to which judgment and order said defendant then and there reports.

F. E. KENNAMER  
United States District Judge.

FORWARDED: Filed In Open Court  
Apr 4 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
W. VAN HORN, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1526 - Law. ✓  
H. KRESS & CO., Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Defendant to quash herein be, and it is hereby, denied. It is further ordered that Defendant be given five (5) days to plead or Fifteen (15) days to answer.

-----  
E. CARTER, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1537 - Law. ✓  
MAC WHYTE CO. A CORP., Defendant. )

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiff to remand be passed to April 5, 1932.

-----  
Court adjourned until April 5, 1932.



CITY OF TULSA, A MUNICIPAL CORP., Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 -vs- ) No. 2193 - Law. ✓  
 )  
 NORTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE CO. )  
 CORP., Defendant. )

Now on this 5th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Demurrer of Defendant be, and it is hereby, taken under advisement. It is further ordered that Defendant be given ten (10) days to file brief in reply. It is further ordered that Plaintiff be given ten (10) days to file answer brief. Defendant given five (5) days thereafter to reply.

-----  
 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

D. KIMMEL, J. W. CREE, R. M. )  
 THROP AND W. D. GOODWIN, Plaintiffs, )  
 )  
 vs. ) LAW # 1495 ✓  
 )  
 STANOLIND OIL & GAS COMPANY, and )  
 STANOLIND OIL & GAS COMPANY, Defendants. )

O R D E R

Motion of plaintiffs herein to remand this cause to the State Court coming on to be heard and the same being submitted to the Court and argued by counsel, upon consideration of the Court;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that said motion to remand be and the same hereby denied.

The defendant Stanolind Oil & Gas Company having heretofore answered in this cause, plaintiffs are hereby granted fifteen (15) days from date of answer in which to reply thereto.

Dated this 5th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER  
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

C. MILLS & COHEN  
 Attorneys for Plaintiffs.

C. RAY S. FELLOWS  
 JOS. A. GILL JR.  
 Attorneys for Defendants.

FORWARDED: Filed Apr 12 1932  
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court

-----

NIFRED H. JOHNSON, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1520 - Law. ✓  
ARLES E. FENNER, ET AL, Defendants. )

Now on this 5th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that motion of Defendant to make definite and certain be, and it is hereby sustained. It is further ordered that order of April 4, 1932 be and it is hereby, now set aside. Plaintiff given two (2) days to amend. Defendant given ten (10) days to answer. (F.E.K. Judge).

-----  
E. CARTER, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1537 - Law. ✓  
E MAC WHYTE CO. A CORP., Defendant. )

Now on this 5th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that motion of Plaintiff to remand be, and it is hereby, overruled.

-----

Court adjourned until April 6, 1932.





LOYD HAGAN McPHERSON, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 1218 - Law. ✓  
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 6th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled cause called, Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, all witnesses are sworn. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Lloyd Hagan McPherson, C. C. Ivie, J. A. Montgomery, A. G. Ash, T. E. Bassett, C. C. Lewis, Dr. Carman. And thereafter, the noon hour ring arrived, court is recessed to 1:30 P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 P.M. court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore. The Plaintiff continues with the introduction of evidence and proof and recalls Dr. Carman. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendant moves for judgment upon the pleadings of the Plaintiff, which motion is, by the Court, overruled. Thereafter, the Government produces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Dr. McGee, Dr. Bates, Robinson. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, the Defendant again moves for judgment, which motion is, by the Court, overruled. Thereafter, after being fully advised in the premises, and considering the facts and the evidence produced herein, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be entered for Plaintiff, all as per journal entry to be filed. Exception allowed.

-----  
 IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BEN QUINN, JAMES BEARD AND MAISY  
 BIRD, Plaintiffs, )  
 -vs- ) NO. 1224 - LAW. ✓  
 A. FRATES AND F. A. BODOVITZ, )  
 Receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway )  
 Company, et al, Defendants. )

O R D E R

On this 6th day of April, 1932, upon consideration of motion filed herein by the defendants J. A. Frates and F. A. Bodovitz, Receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway Company, to dismiss the cause by reason of the failure of plaintiff to comply with the order of this Court entered on the 4th day of February, 1932, by which order plaintiff was required to furnish security for costs within ten (10) days from said date, and it appearing to the Court that plaintiff has failed to comply with said order:

IT IS ORDERED that said cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed with the cost of said plaintiff.

FORCED: Filed Apr 6 1932 F. E. KENNAMER  
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk United States District Judge  
 U. S. District Court ME

-----

ELLY OIL CO. A CORP., Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 1234 - Law. ✓  
 )  
E ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND )  
NTA FE RY. CO., ET AL, Defendants. )

Now on this 6th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that said case be submitted upon agreed statement of facts and briefs.

-----  
L. CHAMBERLIN, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 1237 - Law. ✓  
 )  
A. BODOVITZ and J. A. FRATES, )  
, RECEIVERS OF O.U.R., Defendants. )

Now on this 6th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be dismissed upon motion of the Plaintiff herein. It is further ordered that said cause be dismissed without prejudice to the cost of the Plaintiff.

-----  
ROBERT L. WILKINS, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 1305 - Law. ✓  
 )  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 6th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereafter, all witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Robert Wilkins, J. H. Todd, S. Manard, Newt Turner. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendant moves for judgment, which motion, is by the Court overruled. Thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: J. M. Womack, J. H. Stewart, Ivan Lybarger. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, the Defendant moves for judgment. And thereafter, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that said judgment be entered for the Government, as per journal entry to be filed.

-----  
ANCHE HOLCOMB, ET AL, Plaintiffs, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 1334 - Law. ✓  
 )  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 6th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be continued to April 7, 1932.

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WEN LAWSON, a minor, by B. B. )  
Lawson, his father and next friend, )  
Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) NO. 1349 - LAW. ✓  
LIX A. BODOVITZ AND J. A. FRATES, )  
Receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway )  
Company, et al, ) Defendants. )

O R D E R

On this 6th day of April, 1932, upon consideration of motion filed herein by the defendants J. A. Frates and F. A. Bodovitz, Receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway Company, to dismiss the cause by reason of the failure of the plaintiff to comply with the order of this Court entered on the 4th day of January, 1932, by which order plaintiff was required to furnish security for costs within five (5) days from said date, and it appearing to the Court that plaintiff has failed to comply with said order;

IT IS ORDERED that said cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed with the cost of said plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER  
United States District Judge.

DORSED: Filed Apr 6 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Leslie Pearson, )  
Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1412 Law. ✓  
United States of America, ) Defendant. )

O R D E R.

This matter coming on for hearing upon the motion of the plaintiff for permission to examine the records and files and to make copies thereof, pertaining to the said Leslie Pearson, and it appearing that the said Leslie Pearson is a former soldier in the service of the United States from August 22, 1917 to February 20, 1919 and while in such service, he applied for, and was granted, War Risk Insurance in the sum of Ten thousand (\$10,000.00) Dollars and that this action is for the recovery of the insurance benefits by reason of the said Leslie Pearson alleging his total and permanent disability while such insurance was in force and effect. And that there is a great deal of correspondence with reference to such claim and the result of his physical examinations by medical authorities and that the same is necessary and essential to properly enable him to prepare his cause for trial. And that under the provisions of the

World War Veterans Act, as amended, he is entitled to this information.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant, the Veterans Administration, and its attorneys of record be, and they are, hereby ordered and directed to permit the plaintiff, or his attorneys of record, to examine all of the records, files, correspondence and documents in its possession or under its control in connection with the service of the said Leslie Pearson, as a soldier in the United States Army or any claim for benefits by reason thereof. And to permit the plaintiff or his attorneys of record to make such copies of such records, files or documents as may be pertinent to the proper preparation by the plaintiff of his cause of action.

Done in open court this 4th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

DORSED: Filed In Open Court  
Apr 6 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

M. BOWMAN,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

) No. 1512 - Law. ✓  
)  
)

KENNAMER & BEANE, A COPARTNERSHIP,  
D CHAS. E. BEANE,

Defendants. )

Now on this 6th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that order of April 4, 1932, be now set aside. It is further ordered that the attention of Defendants to make more definite and certain be, and it is hereby, stated. Plaintiff given five (5) days to amend. Defendant given five (5) days thereafter to plead or ten (10) days to answer.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Frank C. Corbett,

Plaintiff, )

v.

) No. 1561 - Law. ✓  
)  
)

The Rialto Mining Company, et al, Defendants. )

O R D E R

This cause coming on to be heard upon the application of the defendant, The Rialto Mining Company, for an order transferring the above entitled cause from the law docket to the equity docket, and the Court being fully advised in the premises;

It is hereby ordered that the above entitled cause be, and the same is, hereby transferred from the law docket of this Court to the equity

cket thereof.

Done on this the 6th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER  
District Judge.

DORSED: Filed In Open Court  
Apr 6 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----

Court adjourned until April 7, 1932.





called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Thomas H. Bran, Dr. C. B. Reece, W. R. Metcalf. And thereafter the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendant introduces documentary evidence. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereafter, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be entered for Plaintiff, all as per journal entry to be filed.

-----

MUEL SETH SHERRICK,	Plaintiff, )	
	)	
-vs-	)	No. 1367- Law. ✓
	)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant. )	

Now on this 7th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled case be reassigned for April 28th, 1932.

-----

CHARLES SPURGEON PAYNE,	Plaintiff, )	
	)	
-vs-	)	No. 1386 - Law. ✓
	)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant. )	

Now on this 7th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereafter, all witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: C. S. Payne, W. J. Trainer, Bailey E. Bell, N. Ellis, R. E. Newhouse, Jno. McCuen. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereafter, the Defendant moves for judgment herein, which motion, is by the Court, overruled. Thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witness: C. S. Summers. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereafter, the Defendant again moves for judgment, which motion, is by the Court, overruled. Thereafter, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be entered for Plaintiff, all as per journal entry to be filed.

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CHARLES SPURGEON PAYNE,	Plaintiff, )	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 1386 Law. ✓
	)	
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant. )	

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

On this the 7th day of April, 1932, the above entitled cause came regularly on for hearing pursuant to assignment.

The Plaintiff appeared in person and by his attorneys' Joe T. Dewerry and G. E. Warren, and the Defendant being present and represented by its

ounsel, both parties announced ready for trial, and a jury having been waived writing, the Court proceeded to hear the evidence and argument of counsel, being well and fully advised in said cause and having given full consideration to the evidence and the argument of counsel as to both the law and the facts, finds:

That the allegations of Plaintiff's Petition and the amendment to said Petition are true, and that said allegations have been well and fully sustained by the evidence, and that Plaintiff is entitled to judgment as prayed for said Petition and the Amendment thereto.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the Court that Plaintiff Charles Spurgeon Payne have and recover judgment against the Defendant United States of America in the sum of \$57.50 per month from June 18th, 1919, to the date of Plaintiff's discharge from the United States Army service, up to and including the installment of \$57.50 due on March 18th, 1932, same being the last installment due at the date of this judgment upon the Policy of Insurance of the Plaintiff.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the Court that Plaintiff have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of \$57.50, per month beginning April 18th 1932, and continuing until the full balance due and owing to Plaintiff under the insurance policy issued to him by the Defendant, effective December 11th, 1917, under certificate No. 314-740, shall be paid to Plaintiff by the Defendant; provided that if the total disability of the Plaintiff shall cease prior to such full payment, said payments of \$57.50 per month shall only continue to be made by the Defendant to Plaintiff so long as a total disability shall continue.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED And DECREED by the Court, that Plaintiff's attorneys' Joe T. Dewberry and G. E. Warren, 15½ West Fourth Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, receive as a reasonable attorneys' fee the sum of ten percent of this judgment, and ten per cent of all future payments to be made to the Plaintiff by the veterans Administration, according to law, and that the same be paid to said attorneys by the veterans Administration out of the moneys due Plaintiff herein.

To all of which the Defendant excepts and the exceptions are by Court allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge of the United States District  
Court, Northern District of Oklahoma.

JOE T. DEWBERRY  
G. E. WARREN  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assist. U. S. Atty.  
Attorneys for Defendant.

ORDERED: Filed Apr 13 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

HARD B. SHERMAN, an Incompetent  
Person, by ROSA ELLEN SHERMAN, his  
Guardian, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1416 - Law. ✓  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 7th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereon, all witnesses are sworn in open court. Thereafter, the Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: J. W. Adams, W. G. Osbee, Earl Bennett, Ray Calvin. And thereafter, the testimony of the following witnesses are heard for the Defendant, out of time: C. W. Williams, V. Moth, E. A. Werner. Thereafter, the Plaintiff continues with the introduction of evidence and proof with Mr. W. H. Herman. And thereafter, the hour of adjournment, having arrived, court is recessed to 9:30 o'clock A. M., April, 1932.

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Wah Werrell, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1463 L. ✓  
Louis-San Francisco Railway Company, )  
Corporation, and C. E. Rice, Pete )  
Ross, and Jim Amber, Defendants. )

ORDER DISMISSING CAUSE

Now on this 7th day of Apr., 1932, comes on for consideration before the undersigned judge of said court, the stipulation for dismissal signed plaintiff and her said counsel, and upon consideration thereof, it is by the court ordered, adjudged, and decreed that the above styled and numbered cause and the same is, hereby dismissed with prejudice at the cost of the defendant.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

FORSEED: Filed Apr 7 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----



On bonds 73-87 inclusive judgment for \$430.81 on interest coupons, with interest thereon at six per cent per annum from January 15, 1931, til paid, and for the same amount of \$430.81 on interest coupons with interest thereon at six per cent per annum from January 15, 1932, until paid.

Said judgment being in the total sum of \$15,952.85 and that said judgment bear interest at the rate of six per cent per annum from April 1, 1932 til paid.

and for costs in the sum of \$66.85, said judgment not to be a general judgment against the said Tulsa County, but to be paid in the due course of the County's fiscal administration; that is, out of the funds arising from assessments now in process of collection, and from such re-assessments against the property benefited by the drainage ditch heretofore constructed by Park View Drainage District No. 2 of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, as may be necessary to pay and reverse this judgment, the said re-assessments to be made in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 51 Session Laws of Oklahoma for the year 1925, in the due administration of the District's Affairs, the said Board of County Commissioners have a reasonable and sufficient time within which to make any re-assessment that may be necessary and within which to apportion said judgment against the lands, properties and corporate bodies in said drainage districts, and to certify the same to the County Assessor, and the said County Assessor to have reasonable and sufficient time within which to extend upon the tax rolls opposite each lot, parcel, or tract of land, the amount of taxes so apportioned to it by said County Commissioners; and it is further

ORDERED that the bonds and coupons herein sued upon be and they are hereby cancelled and merged in this judgment, same to be delivered by the clerk to the said Board of County Commissioners upon satisfaction of this judgment.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

Proved: JAMESON GRAY & BRAGG  
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

W. L. COFFEY, County Atty.  
HUGH WEBSTER, Asst. Co. Atty.  
Attorneys for Defendants.

DORSED: Filed Apr 7 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

-----  
Court adjourned to April 8, 1932.

On this 8th day of April, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

SCCELLANEOUS - ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION.

Now on this 8th day of April, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein, and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant, and the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the following sums:

<u>No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Amount</u>
6133	Joe Baca	\$2500.00
6134	Frank Lockett	2000.00
6135	Zora Corrella Overton Compton	2500.00
6136	Clyde Layton alias C. A. Brock	1000.00
6137	Mollie Edwards	2500.00
6138	Hastings Wolfe	2500.00
6139	Lucy Snowden	500.00
6140	Buck James	2500.00
6141	Tom Caldwell	2500.00
6142	Arthur L. Karr	2500.00
6143	Paul Chapman	1000.00
6144	Arnold Browning	2500.00
6145	Robert Johnson	2500.00
6146	W. R. Lake	1000.00
	J. J. Long	1000.00
6147	Lula McElfish Tucker	2500.00
6148	Everett Ware and Rosie Walker	2500.00 2500.00
6149	Forrest Bradley	\$2500.00
6150	Walter Neal	2500.00
6151	Henry Guinn	2500.00
6152	Martin C. Miller	2500.00
	Sadie Miller	2500.00
6152	J. P. Killabrew	500.00
6154	Cohen Dalton	2000.00
	Nell Dalton	2000.00
6155	Joe J. Barsh	2500.00
6156	John Still	2500.00
6157	Jimmy Roan	2500.00
6158	Eileen McCullah	1000.00
6159	Andrew Mozarko	2500.00
	Bert Verner	1000.00
6160	Earl Casey	2500.00
6161	Otto Stevenson	500.00
6162	Wilburn Bagwell	2500.00

Order Leave to file Information - Cont'd.

6162	Millard Bagwell	2500.00
6163	Cora Danron	2500.00
6164	Clara Bowes	1000.00
6165	Elmer Tyler	2500.00
	Francis Tyler	1500.00
6166	Dan C. Goble	2500.00
6167	Willie Pullum	2500.00
	A. B. Pullum	500.00
	Arthur Couch	2500.00
6168	Mattie Moore	2500.00
	Arthur Towns	2500.00
	Ocie William Oates	2500.00
6169	Wardell Scott	2500.00
	Will Scott	2500.00
	J. H. Reed	2500.00
6170	Mary Wilson	1000.00
	Bertha Ritchie	1000.00
	Max Holden	2500.00
	Lawrence Bellm	2500.00
6171	Elbert R. Bland	2500.00
6172	John Burrow	2500.00
	Albert Archer	1000.00
6173	D. C. Clapp	2500.00
6174	Herbert O'Shields	1000.00
6175	William Hill	2000.00
2176	Noble Bruton	2500.00
	Marion B. Pickett	1000.00
6177	Pat Clark	2500.00
6178	Daisy Medley	2500.00
6179	Florene Cantley	2500.00
6180	G. W. Blazer	2500.00
	Alta Blazer	1000.00

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 8 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

) No. 4050 - Criminal. ✓

J. JOHNSON,

) Defendant. )

Now on this 8th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court, upon motion of U. S. Attorney Blair, that the bond forfeiture heretofore taken herein be, and the same is hereby, set aside and vacated.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 6008 - Criminal. ✓  
 )  
BERT CLINE, WAYNE CLINE and )  
EYDE HENDERSON, Defendants. )

Now on this 8th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of Assistant United States Attorney Harry Seaton, that the above styled case as to defendant Wayne Cline be, and it is hereby, dismissed.

-----  
WARD B. SHERMAN, an incompetent, )  
person, by Rosa Ellen Sherman, his )  
guardian, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 1416 - Law. ✓  
 )  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 8th day of April, A. D. 1932, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore. The Plaintiff continues with the further introduction of evidence and proof with the following witnesses: C. Flournoy, Ruth North, Mrs. Belle Browning, H. E. King, Mrs. Neal Chapman, John North, V. A. Sherman. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the defendant moves for judgment upon the pleadings herein, which motion, is by the Court overruled. Thereafter, the defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Geo. Kimball, C. F. Mears. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereafter, the Plaintiff moves for judgment herein. And thereafter the defendant moves for judgment, which motion of the defendant is overruled by the Court. It is further ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiff for judgment herein be, and it is hereby, sustained. Thereupon, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, and being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be entered for the Plaintiff herein, all as per journal entry to be filed.

-----  
JAMES M. HARDIN, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 1434 - Law. ✓  
 )  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 8th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called. Both sides are present in person and by counsel and announce ready for trial. Thereafter, all witnesses are sworn in open court. Thereafter, the plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: James Hardin, Dr. Pickens, F. M. Duckworth, Joe Collins, W. S. Reeves, Ollie Peterson, Mr. Bean. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendant moves for judgment herein upon the pleadings of the Plaintiff, which motion, by the Court overruled. Thereafter, the Defendant introduces documentary evidence herein. And thereafter, Defendant again moves for judgment, which motion is again overruled by the Court. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, after being fully advised in the premises, and considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, that judgment be entered for the Plaintiff, all as per journal entry to be filed.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

James M. Hardin, Plaintiff, )  
vs ) No. 1434 Law ✓  
The United States of America, Defendant. )

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Now on this the 8th day of April, 1932, the same being a day of the Special March 1932 Term of said Court held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, the above entitled and numbered cause comes on regularly for trial before Hon. Franklin E. Wynn, Judge of said Court, with the plaintiff appearing in person and by Wilkerson & Brown, his attorneys, and with the defendant appearing by Hon. T. J. Williamson, Insurance Attorney, Veterans Administration, and Hon. A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, said cause having been duly and regularly set for hearing on this date and a jury having heretofore been duly waived in writing.

After hearing all of the evidence and argument of counsel for both sides and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds the issues joined in said cause in favor of the plaintiff herein and against the defendant. That the plaintiff was on the day of his discharge from the United States Army, name: June 22, 1919, and is now totally and permanently disabled and is entitled to recover judgment herein.

The Court further finds that the amount due the plaintiff herein is the sum of \$57.50 per month from the 22nd day of June, 1919, to the date of this judgment as computed by the Veterans Administration based upon this judgment.

The Court further finds that R. A. Wilkerson and Ernest R. Brown are duly licensed and practicing attorneys at law; that they have been duly employed by the plaintiff herein to represent him in said cause and that they have filed and prosecuted this action for and on behalf of the plaintiff; that the said attorneys are entitled to have allowed and paid to them as their reasonable attorneys' fees for their services in this behalf 10 per cent of the amount awarded to the plaintiff under the terms of this judgment and 10 per cent of all future payments paid to the plaintiff herein by the defendant on account and by virtue of this judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE OF THE COURT, that the plaintiff have and recover of and from the said defendant, the said United States of America the sum of \$57.50 per month from and after the 22nd day of June, 1919, to the date of this judgment, the total amount of said month-installments or payments to be computed by the Veterans Administration as aforesaid.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF THE COURT That the plaintiff's attorneys, R. A. Wilkerson and Ernest R. Brown of Pryor, Oklahoma, shall receive as their reasonable attorneys' fee 10 per cent of the amount of this judgment and 10 per cent of all future payments to be made by the Veterans Administration according to law pursuant to this judgment and decree and upon the insurance contract sued on in said cause, and that the said attorneys' fee shall be paid by the Veterans Administration out of the moneys due or to be due the plaintiff herein upon said judgment and insurance contract.

The defendant prays and is allowed exceptions to each and all of the findings and judgment of the Court herein.

F. E. KENNAMER  
 United States District Judge for the  
 Northern District of The State of  
 Oklahoma

K. WILKERSON & BROWN  
 Attorneys for Plaintiff

K. A. E. WILLIAMS, Asst.  
 United States District Attorney  
 Attorney for Defendant.

DORSED: Filed Apr 12 1932  
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court DC

-----

WILLIAM L. HARRIS,	Plaintiff,	)
		)
-vs-		) No. 1438 - Law. ✓
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant.	)

Now on this 8th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case called. The Defendant is present in person and by his counsel, Wilkerson Brown. The Government is represented by Assistant United States Attorney, E. Williams. Thereupon, both sides announce ready for trial. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: William L. Harris, Dr. Williams. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereafter, the Defendant moves for judgment upon the pleadings of the Plaintiff, which motion is, by the Court, overruled. Thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: P. R. Chamberlain, W. A. King, R. R. Zimmerman, Thomas McElroy. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, the Defendant again moves for judgment herein, which motion is again by the Court, overruled. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, that said judgment be entered for Plaintiff, all as per journal entry.

-----

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN  
 DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

William L. Harris,	Plaintiff,	)
		)
vs.		) No. 1438 Law ✓
United States of America,	Defendant.	)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Now on this the 8th day of April, 1932, the same being a day of Special March 1932 Term of said Court held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, the above

titled and numbered cause comes on regularly for trial before Honorable Frank-  
n E. Kennamer, Judge of said Court, with the plaintiff appearing in person and  
Wilkerson & Brown, his attorneys, and with the defendant appearing by Hon. T.  
Williamson, Insurance Attorney, Veterans Administration, and Hon. A. E.  
Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, said cause having been duly and re-  
gularly set for hearing on this date and a jury having heretofore been duly  
impaneled in writing.

After hearing all of the evidence and argument of counsel for  
both sides and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds the issues  
presented in said cause in favor of the plaintiff herein and against the defendant.  
That the plaintiff was on the day of his discharge from the United States Army,  
to-wit: April 19, 1919, and is now totally and permanently disabled and is en-  
titled to recover judgment herein.

The Court further finds that the amount due the plaintiff herein  
is the sum of \$57.50 per month from the 19th day of April, 1919, to the date  
of this judgment as computed by the veterans Administration based upon this  
judgment.

The Court further finds that R. A. Wilkerson and Ernest R. Brown  
are duly licensed and practicing attorneys at law; that they have been duly  
employed by the plaintiff herein to represent him in said cause and that they  
have filed and prosecuted this action for and on behalf of the plaintiff; that  
said attorneys are entitled to have allowed and paid to them as their  
reasonable attorneys' fees for their services in this behalf 10 per cent of the  
amount awarded to the plaintiff under the terms of this judgment and ten per  
cent of all future payments paid to the plaintiff herein by the defendant on  
account of and by virtue of this judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE OF THE COURT  
that the plaintiff have and recover of and from the said defendant, the said  
United States of America the sum of \$57.50 per month from and after the 19th  
day of April, 1919, to the date of this judgment. The total amount of said  
monthly installments or payments to be computed by the Veterans Administration  
as aforesaid.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF THE COURT That the  
plaintiff's attorneys, R. A. Wilkerson and Ernest R. Brown of Pryor, Oklahoma,  
do all receive as their reasonable attorneys' fee 10 per cent of the amount of  
this judgment and 10 per cent of all future payments to be made by the Veterans  
Administration according to law pursuant to this judgment and decree and upon  
the insurance contract sued on in said cause, and that the said attorneys' fee  
shall be paid by the Veterans Administration out of the moneys due or to be due  
the plaintiff herein upon said judgment and insurance contract.

The defendant prays and is allowed exceptions to each and all of  
the findings and judgment of the Court herein.

F. E. KENNAMER  
United States District Judge for the  
Northern District of The State of  
Oklahoma

WILKERSON & BROWN  
Attorneys for Plaintiff.  
A. E. WILLIAMS, Assist,  
United States District Attorney,  
Attorney for Defendant.

FORWARDED: Filed Apr 12 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. Dist. Court.







IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Thomas H. Bean, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1366 Law. ✓  
The United States of America, Defendant. )

JUDGMENT

Now on this, the 7th day of April, 1932, this cause coming on for hearing by assignment at the Special March, 1932 term before the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, and the plaintiff appearing in person and by Glenn O. Young, his attorney, and the defendant appearing by T. J. Williamson, Regional Attorney for the Veterans' administration, and by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and, both sides having announced ready for trial, thereupon a jury having been waived by stipulation of the parties, and it being agreed that the cause may be tried to the court without a jury, and the plaintiff having presented his evidence and rested and the defendant having presented her evidence and rested, and the court, being fully advised in the premises, finds the issues for the plaintiff and against the defendant; that plaintiff is a resident of Creek County, State of Oklahoma, and was such at the time of filing this suit; that on or about September 19, 1917, at Camp Pike, Arkansas, plaintiff enlisted in the military service of the United States; that said plaintiff rendered honorable service in said United States military forces from the date of his enlistment, as aforesaid, until March, 1918, upon which latter date he was honorable discharged therefrom;

That while in the active service of the United States, plaintiff applied for and was granted a policy of War Risk Insurance under the terms of which defendant promised and agreed to pay to the plaintiff in the event he became permanently and totally disabled during the continuance of said insurance force the sum of \$28.75 per month during his lifetime so long as he continued to be permanently and totally disabled;

The court further finds that while plaintiff was in the active military service of the United States and on or about January 15, 1918, he did become permanently and totally disabled by reason of Hysteria and pulmonary tuberculosis; that he became and has been since permanently and totally disabled and is entitled to have said payments made in accordance with the terms of said contract;

The court further finds that plaintiff made application to the Veterans' Bureau for said insurance, in accordance with the law, and that payment thereof was denied; that at the time of filing this action a disagreement existed under said contract of insurance between plaintiff and said bureau, jurisdiction of which is vested by law in this court;

The court further finds that for the purpose of prosecuting this suit said Thomas H. Bean employed and engaged Glenn O. Young, a duly licensed and practicing attorney, who appeared in this court and prosecuted this action on his behalf; that he is entitled to have allowed and paid to him for his services in this behalf ten per cent of the amount awarded and to be paid to plaintiff under the terms of this judgment, which sum the court finds to be a reasonable attorney's fee,

IT IS, THEREFORE, the order, judgment, and decree of the court

at plaintiff have and recover from the defendant the sum of Twenty-eight and 7/100 Dollars (\$28.75) per month from and after the 15th day of January, 1932, to the date of this judgment and continuing during the lifetime of the plaintiff so long as he shall continue to be permanently and totally disabled;

It is the further order of the court that out of the moneys here- awarded and to be paid to plaintiff by virtue of this judgment, Glenn O. Young, his attorney, be and he is hereby allowed ten per cent (10%) as reasonable attorney's fees, said amount to be paid to said Glenn O. Young by the Director of the Veterans' Administration and deducted from the amount awarded plaintiff hereby;

To all of which judgment the defendant prays and is allowed an exception.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

G. GLENN O. YOUNG  
Atty for Plaintiff

FORWARDED: Filed Apr 9 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1417 - Law. ✓  
JOHN HOLT, ET AL, Defendants. )

Now on this 9th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that upon payment of \$100.00 that the bond forfeiture heretofore taken therein, be, and it is hereby set aside and said case dismissed.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WEST CHEVROLET COMPANY, Plaintiff )  
Corporation, )  
-vs- ) No. 1,442 At Law. ✓  
GENERAL MOTORS ACCEPTANCE )  
CORPORATION, a corporation, Defendant. )

ORDER OVERRULING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO  
SEPARATELY STATE AND NUMBER CAUSES OF  
ACTION.

On this 4th day of April, 1932, this matter comes on for hearing on the motion of the defendant to require the plaintiff to separately state and number causes of action, and the plaintiff appearing by Stuart, Doerner & Hilton and M. S. Bernard, and the defendant appearing by its attorneys, Pierce,

Clelland, Kneeland & Bailey, and the Court having considered said motion, finds that the same should be overruled,

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT at the motion of the defendant to require plaintiff to separately state and number causes of action and the same is hereby overruled, to which the defendant excepts and exceptions are allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the defendant be and it is hereby permitted to present at this time to the Court its motion to strike portions of plaintiff's petition, and that a written motion may be filed by plaintiff later with the same force and effect as if filed at this time.

F. E. KENNAMER  
United States District Judge.

K. PIERCE, McCLELLAND KNEELAND & BARLEY  
Attorneys for Defendant.

DORSED: Filed Apr 9 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

DWEST CHEVROLET COMPANY,  
corporation, Plaintiff )  
-vs- ) No. 1,442 At Law. ✓  
GENERAL MOTORS ACCEPTANCE )  
CORPORATION, a corporation, Defendant. )

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURRER

Now on this 4th day of April, 1932, comes on for hearing the above matter upon the demurrer of the defendant to the amended petition of the plaintiff, and the plaintiff and defendant appearing by counsel and said demurrer having been duly presented to the Court, and the Court being fully advised and having found that said demurrer should be overruled,

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that the demurrer of the defendant to the amended petition be and the same is hereby overruled, to which the defendant excepts and exceptions are allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the defendant herein have twenty days from this date in which to answer.

F. E. KENNAMER  
United States District Judge.

K. \_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Defendant.  
DORSED: Filed Apr 9 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 1447 - Law. ✓  
 MARTIN E. SANDERS, H. M. FREAS )  
 and W. M. GAY, Defendants. )

Now on this 9th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the costs taxed against Defendant in the above entitled cause be allowed. It is further ordered by the Court that upon payment of the costs at said case be dismissed.

MAGGIE GLASS and CELESTINE NASH, )  
 formerly GLASS, Plaintiffs, ) No. 1458 - Law. ✓  
 -vs- )  
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 9th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore. Thereafter, the Plaintiffs announce that they rest. Thereafter, the Government objects to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiffs, which demurrer is, by the Court, overruled. Thereupon, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: W. E. Gillispey, Willis Coleman, John Madden, William Bell, R. H. Shackelford. And thereafter, the Government rests. Thereupon, the Plaintiffs offer in rebuttal testimony of the following witness: Maggie Glass. And thereafter, both sides rest. The Defendant moves for judgment hereon, which motion is sustained by the Court. Thereafter, the Plaintiffs move for judgment, which motion, is by the Court, overruled, to which ruling the Plaintiffs except. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be entered for The Government, all as per journal entry to be filed.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff, )  
 vs. ) No. 1558 Law ✓  
 George E. Waggoner, Lella Smith, )  
 M. Annis and Lottie Annis, Defendants. )

ORDER OF COURT

Now on this the 9th day of April, 1932, the same being a regular term of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, sitting at Tulsa, Oklahoma, it appearing to the court that heretofore, and on the 6th day of April, 1932, in Criminal case No. 5902, there came on for hearing the motion of the defendant on the bond of the defendant, George E. Waggoner, and the response filed hereto by the government, and the court having heard said motion and the response thereto, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that said bond forfeiture should be set aside, upon the payment of a penalty of (\$100.00) One Hundred Dollars as to each surety, and further ordered that said penalty be paid within five days,

And it further appearing to the court, on the advice of the United States Attorney, that said penalty of One Hundred Dollars as to each surety was paid into the office of the Clerk of the Court on the 9th day of April, 1932, and the plaintiff moving that the above entitled action be dismissed for the reasons hereinabove stated, and the court having heard the evidence and having considered said motion, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that said motion should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above entitled action be, and the same is hereby dismissed, with prejudice.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

K. HARRY SEATON  
Assistant U. S. Attorney

DORSED: Filed In Open Court  
Apr 9 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

Court adjourned until April 11, 1932.

On this 11th day of April, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer and Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

SCCELLANEOUS - ORDER EMPANELING PETIT JURY - TULSA.

On this 11th day of April, A. D. 1932, comes the Marshal and they return on the Venire heretofore issued out of this court for Petit Jurors for this Special March 1932 Term of Court, at Tulsa, Oklahoma. Thereupon, the Clerk calls the names of the Jurors so summoned, as follows:

C. D. Rafferty	Leo Jackson
Wm. Maloney	W.T.L. Dodson
T. E. Harmer	C. E. Nees
H. S. Weller	Gilbert Estill
Albert Grady	Jake Heflin
L. Z. Huey	E. S. Cooksey
George Q. Dick	Chas. Amadon
Geo. B. Johnson	Grover Burch
W. E. Miller	Fred Rock
O. C. Ruce	Chas. Collins
W. R. Lucas	L. H. McPhersons
A. V. Wisdom	Claud Conway
J. H. Blackburn	Frank B. Jones
O. M. Price	A. V. Ageton
F. E. Stadleman	Chester Abrams
J. G. Milford	W. L. Rinaman
C. S. Bivin	Geo. S. Carman
Reese Fox	B. F. Mullins
Frank Smallstig	P. M. Coons
Morris Janaway	Max Randell

Thereupon, the Court examines said Jurors as to their qualifications, and for good cause shown

O. C. Ruce	C. S. Bivin
E. S. Cooksey	W. L. Rinaman
Frank Smallstig (Excused to 4-18-32)	

are excused from service as Jurors for the term.

And thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that the following names of those who were served but not reporting

Geo. B. Johnson	Jake Heflin
L. H. McPhersons	

of those not served









IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6121 - Cr. ✓  
E. Engle, T. L. Pence, and )  
B. Jackson, Defendants. )

ORDER FOR RELEASE OF AUTOMOBILE

Now on this 11th day of April, 1932, the above entitled cause coming on for trial upon an information charging the above named defendants with the transportation of intoxicating liquor in violation of the National Prohibition Act; and the Jury having been duly impaneled and sworn to try the cause and a true verdict rendered, and the evidence of the plaintiff having been introduced and a demurrer thereto sustained and the Jury directed to return a verdict of "not guilty"; and it appearing to the Court that upon the occasion of the charge being filed there was seized one Victoria Coupe Ford Automobile, Engine No. A4313626, bearing 1931 Missouri licence tag No. 235511, and that said automobile is now in the custody of the Prohibition Department. And the Court being advised of the matter,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, that the said Victoria Coupe Ford Automobile, Engine No. A4313626, bearing Missouri licence tag No. 235511, be delivered to W. E. Engle, the owner of same, upon the payment by him of the storage costs thereon.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 11th day of April, 1932.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
District Judge.

K. JNO. M. GOLDESBERY  
United States Attorney.

DORSED: Filed Apr 11 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6125 - Criminal. ✓  
JOSH WEBB, Defendant. )

Now on this 11th day of April, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Josh Webb appearing in person. The defendant waives arraignment and enters his plea of not guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be passed to April 12, 1932.

OKLAHOMA STAR GAS CO., Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 757 - Law. ✓  
MERRY SERVICE CO., Defendant. )

Now on this 11th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that the above styled case be, and it is hereby, dismissed, as per journal entry to be filed.

ATHOL BEAN, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1086 - Law. ✓  
MOTOR TRANSPORTATION CO. A Corp., )  
C. A. FRATES and F. A. )  
DOVITZ, Defendants. )

Now on this 11th day of April, A. D. 1932, the Defendants in the above styled cause move for judgment herein upon the pleadings. And thereafter, said motion is overruled, by the Court, and exception allowed. Thereafter, said case is called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Plaintiff challenges J. Johnson, W. A. Jacobs. The Defendants challenge B. B. Lawson, J. G. Milford, F. E. Stadleman. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows: Albert Crady, A. V. Wisdom, J. H. Blackburn, Jesse Fox, Leo Jackson, W.T.L. Dodson, Grover Burch, Chas. Collins, Claude May, G. S. Carman, P. M. Coons, J. A. Patterson. Thereafter, opening statements of counsel are made and all witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witness: Athol Bean. Thereafter the Defendants demur and move for a directed verdict herein. Thereafter, the Plaintiff moves for dismissal herein without prejudice, which motion of the Plaintiff is denied. And thereafter, Defendants demur and again move for a directed verdict herein, which demurrer and motion is sustained by the Court. Thereafter, the Intervener herein asks permission to proceed with the trial, to which Defendants object and objection is sustained by the Court. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case. (T.B.K. Judge).

H. McALARNEY, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1088 - Law. ✓  
OKLAHOMA ROLLING MILLS CO. A Corp., )  
SAND SPRINGS HOME, A CORP., Defendants. )

Now on this 11th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and it is hereby, passed to April 18th 1932.

ABRA HENDERSON, Plaintiff, )

-vs-

A. BODOVITZ, J. A. FRATES, SR.,  
C. ET AL, Defendants. )

No. 1215 - Law. ✓

Now on this 11th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and it is hereby, passed to April 28th, 1932. (F.E.K. Judge).

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Edward B. Sherman, an Incompetent  
Person, by Rosa Ellen Sherman,  
his Guardian, Plaintiff, )

-vs-

The United States of America, Defendant. )

No. 1416 LAW. ✓

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Now on this 7th day of April, 1932, the same being a regular judicial day of the special March 1932 term of this court, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, the above styled and numbered cause came on pursuant to the regular assignment for trial, and the plaintiff, Edward B. Sherman, appearing by his guardian, Rosa Ellen Sherman, and by his attorney of record, R. M. Mountcastle of Muskogee, Oklahoma, and the defendant, the United States of America, being present by its attorneys of record, the Honorable Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma and the Insurance Attorney for the Veterans' Administration of Oklahoma, and a jury having been previously waived in writing and said waiver having been filed in open court before this cause came on for trial, and before the introduction of any evidence the plaintiff asks leave to send his petition so that the same now reads and prays for a recovery of the sum of \$57.50 per month for each and every month from the 1st day of March, 1919, up until the date of the trial of this law suit, to-wit: the 7th day of April, 1932; and both parties having announced ready for trial, the court proceeded to hear the evidence in part on behalf of the plaintiff and on behalf of the defendant. And the court then announced that the same would recess until April 8, 1932, at 9:30 o'clock in the forenoon.

And now on this 8th day of April, 1932, the court convened pursuant to recess on April 7, 1932, and all of the parties being present as heretofore set forth the court proceeded to hear the testimony offered both on behalf of the plaintiff and the defendant, and after both sides had rested, and after the plaintiff had moved for a judgment on both the law and the facts in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, and after hearing the argument on both sides and being fully advised in the premises, and after due and full consideration of all the evidence, both documentary and oral offered on behalf of the plaintiff and the exhibits in said cause properly admitted, and after considering the law and after examining the evidence introduced on behalf of the defendant, the court finds that the plaintiff has sustained the allegations as set forth in his petition herein and is entitled to judgment accordingly.

The court further finds that the said Edward B. Sherman enlisted in the United States Army and is sometimes referred to as Edward Benjamin Sherman, on the 5th day of April, 1917, and was honorably discharged therefrom on the 1st day of March, 1919, and that while in the service and within the time provided by law, he applied for what was then known as War Risk Insurance and the same was granted to him in the sum of \$10,000.00, evidenced by Certificate No. 498,025, effective January 21, 1918, and that the premiums were paid on said policy through the month of March, 1919, and that sometime prior to March 1, 1919, and while his policy of insurance was in full force and effect, that he became permanently and totally disabled in that he could not follow any gainful occupation continuously, and that the ailment with which he was suffering is considered upon conditions which render it reasonably certain that it would continue through out the lifetime of the insured, and that his mother, Rosa Ellen Sherman, was appointed guardian for his person and estate on the 16th day of March, 1929, and is now the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian of said insured herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court, that said Rosa Ellen Sherman, as the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian of said Edward B. Sherman, is entitled to have and recover from the United States of America for and on behalf of and for the benefit of her ward, such amount as may lawfully be found due pursuant to the contract of insurance issued to the said Edward B. Sherman while he was in the service of the armed forces of the United States, which contract of insurance is merged in this judgment and governed by the controlling statutes and regulations of the Veterans' Administration based on the judgment of this court, to-wit: That the said Edward B. Sherman, the real party in interest and the real party plaintiff herein and the insured in the policy evidenced by Certificate No. 498,025, in the sum of \$10,000.00, effective January 21, 1918, became permanently and totally disabled sometime prior to March 1, 1919, and while this policy was in full force and effect by virtue of the premiums having been paid by the insured, and upon the further finding that he has remained in that condition at all times since sometime prior to March 1, 1919, and is now in that condition, and that there is now due to his guardian, Rosa Ellen Sherman, for his use and benefit, the sum of \$7.50 per month for each and every month from March 1, 1919, up until the date of the trial of this law suit, to-wit: the 8th day of April, 1932, and as to said plaintiff's future claim, this is without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by this court, that the plaintiff's attorney, R. M. Mountcastle of Muskogee, Oklahoma, receive as a reasonable attorney's fee ten per cent of the amount recovered herein, and ten per cent of each and every installment paid to the plaintiff hereafter, and that said amount be paid to said attorney by the proper authorities out of the funds due to this plaintiff.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court, that the plaintiff have all other and further relief as may be fitting and proper.

To all of which findings and judgment the defendant then and there excepted, and exceptions are by the court allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER  
DISTRICT JUDGE.

K. R. M. MOUNTCASTLE  
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

K. AS TO FORM  
A. E. WILLIAMS  
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT.

No. 1416 Law - Cont'd.

DORSED: Filed Apr 11 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

vian Steele, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1533 L. ✓  
. Louis & San Francisco Railway )  
Company, a corporation, Defendant. )

O R D E R

On this 4 day of April, 1932, comes the said plaintiff by her  
attorney, Font L. Allen, and thereupon on motion, it is ordered by the court  
at this cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed at the cost of the plain-  
tiff, without prejudice to her right to bring a new action in this behalf at  
the cost of Plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

DORSED: Filed Apr 11 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
Court adjourned until April 12, 1932.





IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Jasper Cooper, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1372 Law. ✓  
The United States of America, Defendant. )

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Now, on this the 12 day of April, 1932, upon the application of the plaintiff and for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice at cost of plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

K. T. L. BLAKEMAN  
Attorney for Plaintiff.

DORSED: Filed Apr 12 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Waddie Barnett, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1373 Law. ✓  
The United States of America, Defendant. )

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Now, on this the 12 day of April, 1932, upon the application of the plaintiff and for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice, at cost of plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

K. T. L. BLAKEMAN  
Attorney for Plaintiff.

DORSED: Filed Apr 12 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

John B. Rogers, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1378 - Law. ✓  
St. Louis, San Francisco )  
Railway Company, a corp., Defendant. )

ORDER REMANDING CAUSE

Now, on this 4th day of January, 1932, the above entitled action comes on regularly for hearing upon regular assignment upon motion of the plaintiff to remand to the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma, before the honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge of said Court; plaintiff being present by his attorneys of record, Speakman & Speakman, and the defendant being present by its attorneys of record, Cruce & Franklin, and the court being fully advised on the premises finds that the motion should be sustained.

It is, Therefore, ORDERED that the above entitled cause be remanded to the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

DORSED: Filed Apr 12 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

-----  
Court adjourned until April 13, 1932.



No. 6055 Cr. Cont'd.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NOR-  
THERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff )  
vs. ) No. 6055 Cr.  
THOMAS I. MUNROE, Defendant }

V E R D I C T

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Thomas I. Munroe not guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Thomas I. Munroe not guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Thomas I. Munroe not guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Thomas I. Munroe not guilty, as charged in the fourth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Thomas I. Munroe not guilty, as charged in the fifth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Thomas I. Munroe not guilty, as charged in the sixth count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Thomas I. Munroe not guilty, as charged in the seventh count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Thomas I. Munroe not guilty, as charged in the eighth count of the indictment.

GILBERT ESTILL  
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court  
Apr 13, 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said defendant be discharged. It is further ordered that the jury in said cause be discharged from any further consideration of said case.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6125 - Criminal. ✓  
ROSH WEBB, Defendant. )

Now on this 13th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be, and it is hereby, passed to 9:00 o'clock P. M. April 14th, 1932.

CHLOE E. WILSON, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1182 - Law. ✓  
UNION TRANSPORTATION CO., )  
et al, Defendants. )

Now on this 13th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called. Both sides present in person and by counsel and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. S. Flanigan is excused by the Court. The Plaintiff challenges Robt. Porch. The Defendants challenge F. E. Stadleman, Geo. E. Johnson and Leo Jackson. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: J. B. Roberts, J. S. Kinkade, J. F. Wallace, R. E. Moehlman, Tracy Artlett, Albert Crady, A. V. Wisdom, J. H. Blackburn, J. G. Milford, Reese Fox, T. L. Dodson, Grover Burch. Opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: James F. Wilson, Chloe Wilson, W. J. Neal, Lydia Bergthold, Ira Nubbott. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereafter, the Defendants demur to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer is, by the Court, overruled. Thereafter, the Defendants introduce evidence and proof with the following witness: Mrs. W. Walker. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed until 2:00 o'clock P.M.

Thereafter, at 2:00 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. Thereafter, it appearing to the Court that juror J. S. Kinkade, having become suddenly ill and is not present, and that both counsel for the Plaintiff and the Defendants agreeing that case be tried by the eleven jurors remaining, it is ordered that said case be continued with the eleven jurors present and in the box. Thereafter, the Defendants continue with their production of evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Christine Revel, Carl Scott, W. J. Whitaker, Mrs. Ella Whitaker, Dave E. Johnson and E. Dubie. And thereafter, the Defendants rest. The Plaintiff offers in rebuttal testimony of James F. Wilson and Jim Abbott. And thereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day the Jury returns into open court and through their Foreman presents their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Chloe E. Wilson Plaintiff )  
vs. ) Case No. 1182 Law.  
Felix A. Bodovitz and J. A. )  
Frates, Receivers for Union )  
Transportation Company, a )  
corporation, Defendant. )

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the defendants.

W. T. L. DODSON  
Foreman.  
FILED In Open Court  
Apr 13 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

No. 1182 Law - Cont'd.

Thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case. (T.B.K. Judge).

PAULINE BEASLEY,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

No. 1199 - Law. ✓

THE CARTER OIL CO. ET AL,

Defendants. )

Now on this 13th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be dismissed upon motion of the Plaintiff without prejudice and at the cost of the Plaintiff.

Court adjourned until April 14, 1932.

On this 14th day of April, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
 John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
 John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 5921 - Criminal. ✓  
 ELYME HOLMES, Defendant. )

Now on this 14th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on said defendant, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a Federal Institution for Women, for a period of: Fifteen (15) months from date of delivery, and that she pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 6042 - Criminal. ✓  
 WILLIAM FREDERICK CAUDLE, JOHNNIE )  
 WOODLEY, WILLIAM BYERS, WILLIE )  
 WILSON and MRS. CHARLES W. NEAL, Defendants. )

Now on this 14th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled cause comes on for trial. Thereafter, said case is called. Thereafter, Defendant William Byers is thrice called in open court, but answers not. Sureties, D. W. Meron and V. F. Ware are thrice called in open court but answer not. Whereupon, it is by the Court ordered that the bond in the sum of \$3000.00 be, and it is thereby forfeited to the Government. All other defendants are present in person and by counsel. Thereafter, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. And thereafter, Defendant William Byers now appears and announces ready for trial. Thereafter, the Government challenges Chester Abrums, George Q. Clark. The Defendants challenge Max Randell, F. E. Standleman. And thereafter, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict rendered is as follows: C. D. Cofferty, Wm. Maloney, T. E. Harmer, L. Z. Huey, W. E. Miller, W. R. Lucas, A. V. Adams, J. G. Milford, Reese Fox, Gilbert Estill, Chas. Amadon, Fred Rock. All witnesses are sworn in open court, the rule invoked and opening statements of counsel are made. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: George H. Allen, George R. Briner, George E. Carver, R. H. Gaston, George H. Allen recalled, Walter H. Detrich. And thereafter, the Government rests. Thereafter, the Defendants herein demur to the evidence introduced by

No. 6042 Cr. Cont'd.

the Plaintiff, and moves the Court for a directed verdict herein, which demurrer and motion are overruled by the Court. Thereafter, the Defendants introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Johnnie Caudle, William Byers, Willie Renfro, Frederick William Caudle. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed until 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore and each and every member of the jury present and in the box. The Defendants continues with the introduction of evidence and proof with Ethel Neal, Mr. Higginson, Ed. Bondurant, E. F. Crimins, Frank Wolf, W. R. Webb, T. T. Wells, Aline Shaw, Margaret Shaw, William Frederick Caudle is recalled. And thereafter, the Defendants rest. The Plaintiff offers in rebuttal testimony of George R. Briner, George H. Allen. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that case be dismissed as to defendant William Byers. And thereafter, the Defendants herein move the Court for a directed verdict, which motion is, by the Court overruled. Thereafter, closing arguments of counsel are made. And thereafter, it is further ordered by the Court that case be dismissed as to defendants, Mrs. Chas. W. Neal and Johnnie Caudle. Thereupon, the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and they retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdicts herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open Court and through their Foreman present their verdicts, which verdicts are in words and figures as follows:

WILLIAM FREDERICK CAUDLE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff )  
vs. ) No. 6042 Cr.  
WILLIAM FREDERICK CAUDLE, Defendant. )

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, William Frederick Caudle, guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, William Frederick Caudle, not guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, William Frederick Caudle, not guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, William Frederick Caudle, guilty, as charged in the fourth count of the indictment.

FRED H. ROCK  
Foreman.  
FILED In Open Court  
Apr 14 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

6042 Cr. Cont'd.

WILLIE RENFRO

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff ;  
vs. )  
WILLIE RENFRO Defendant ) No. 6042

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Willie Renfro, guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, Willie Renfro, not guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant, Willie Renfro, not guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.  
We further find the defendant Willie Renfro, not guilty, as charged in the fourth count of the indictment.

FRED H. ROCK  
Foreman.  
FILED In Open Court  
Apr 14 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Whereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdicts herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence as to said Defendants be deferred to April 18, 1932, and it is further ordered that said Defendants stand on own bond. It is the further order of the Court that the bond and forfeiture heretofore taken herein on Defendant William Byers be, and the same is now, set aside.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6047 - Criminal. )  
EMILE E. PICOU and FRANK L. )  
BROWN, Defendants. )

Now on this 14th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on defendants Emile E. Picou and Frank L. Brown as follows:

EMILE E. PICOU

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of: Fifteen (15) months from date of delivery. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence

No. 6047 Cr. Cont'd.

imposed in Case #6055 - Criminal.

FRANK L. BROWN

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of: Fifteen (15) months from date of delivery. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence imposed in Case #6055 - Criminal.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

THOMAS I. MUNROE, R. G. KENNEDY,  
BRENT SIMS, BENNIE LEE FOREMAN,  
FELIX J. McDONALD, EMILE E. PICOU,  
FRANK L. BROWN, BELIE WILSON &  
RUEY WASHINGTON,

Defendants.

No. 6055 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 14th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on the following defendants, as follows:

BRENT SIMS

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of: Count One, Fifteen (15) months, from date of delivery.

It is further ordered that all other Counts be dismissed.

BENNIE LEE FOREMAN

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of: Count One, Fifteen (15) months, from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that all other Counts be dismissed.

FELIX J. McDONALD

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of: Count One, Fifteen (15) months, from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that all other Counts be dismissed.

No. 6055 Cr. Cont'd.

EMILE E. PICOU

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:  
Count One, Fifteen (15) Months, from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that all other Counts be dismissed.

FRANK L. BROWN

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:  
Count One, Fifteen (15) months, from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that all other Counts be dismissed.

BELLE WILSON

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a Federal Institution for Women, for the period of:  
Count One, Fifteen (15) Months, from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that all other Counts be dismissed.

RUEY WASHINGTON

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:  
Count One, Fifteen (15) Months, from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that all other counts be dismissed.

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

THOMAS I. MUNROE, ET AL,

Defendants. )

No. 6055 - Criminal. ✓

ORDER RELEASING BAIL

Now on this 14th day of April, 1932, upon consideration of the application of the Defendant Thomas I. Munroe, for the release of cash bail in the sum of Three Thousand (\$3,000.00) Dollars, less Clerk's impoundage fee of





HARRY LEON COFFELT, A MINOR,  
 ET AL, Plaintiffs, )  
 ) No. 1216 - Law.  
 -vs- )  
 )  
 SMITH SAND CO. A CORP., ET AL, Defendants. )

Now on this 14th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called. Both sides present in person and by counsel, and announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. B. B. Dawson and W. S. Flanigan are excused by the Court. Thereupon, the Plaintiff challenges W. A. Jacobs, Robt. Forch and W. L. Dodson. The Defendant Smith and Company challenges V. A. Patterson and J. B. Roberts. The Defendants rates and Bodovitz, waive challenges. Thereafter, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: Grover Burch, Chas. Collins, Ilaud Conway, Chester Abrams, G. S. Carman, P. M. Coons, Max Randall, J. F. Wallace, R. E. Moehlman, Tracy Bartlett, Albert Crady, Geo. Dick. Opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Harry Leon Coffelt, Cleatus Huges, Mrs. R. G. McKinney, J. H. Neal. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. The Defendants rates and Bodovitz Receivers, demur to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff. hereafter, the Defendant Smith Sand Company demurs to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, both of which motions are overruled by the Court. Exception allowed. Thereafter, the Defendants introduce evidence and proof with the following witness: M. W. Bell. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed until 1:30 o'clock P.M.

Thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. The Defendants continue with D. L. Lynch, Ilay Hulin, Gilbert Ray. And thereafter, Defendant Smith Sand Company rests. hereupon, Defendant Frates and Bodovitz introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses; Edith Thurston, Mrs. J. A. Harris, Reda Bledsoe, R. E. Cochran, H. H. Young. And thereafter, said Defendants rest. Both sides rest. hereupon, Defendants move for a directed verdict herein, which motions are overruled by the Court. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open Court and through their foreman, announces they are unable to agree upon a verdict herein. Thereafter, the time for the evening meal having arrived, the Court orders the jury to go to their evening meal and to resume deliberation at 7:30 o'clock P.M., with directions to return a seal verdict at 9:30 o'clock A. M. April 15, 1932, if they have agreed upon a verdict by then, and if not, to resume deliberations. hereafter, it is ordered by the Court that court be recessed to 9:30 o'clock A. M. April 15, 1932.

ELEN BOYD, Plaintiff, )  
 ) No. 1229 - Law.  
 -vs- )  
 )  
 SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, A CORP., Defendant. )

Now on this 14th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that the above styled case be passed to April 15, 1932.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Central Surety and Insurance  
Corporation, a corporation, and  
Scott Bush, for the use of  
Central Surety and Insurance  
Corporation, a corporation, Plaintiffs,

vs.

R. Staples, O. H. Sheldon,  
M. Sheldon, W. W. Sheldon,  
partners doing business under  
the firm name of Staples Drilling  
Company, and Staples Drilling  
Company, a corporation, Defendants.

No. 1338 - L. ✓

O R D E R

Now on this 4th day of April, 1932, the same being a regular  
judicial day of the April, 1932, term of this court sitting at Tulsa, Oklahoma,  
there came on regularly for hearing the motion of the defendants herein for  
judgment notwithstanding the verdict and the motion of said defendants for a  
new trial. The plaintiffs appeared by their attorneys, Harper and Lee, and the  
defendants appeared by their attorney, Remington Rogers, and the court having  
heard the argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds  
that the said motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict should be over-  
ruled, to which finding the said defendants, and each of them, prayed an excep-  
tion and the said exception was allowed. The court further finds that the  
motion of the said defendants for new trial should be over-ruled, to which  
finding of the court the said defendants, and each of them, duly excepted and  
said exception was allowed.

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that  
the motion of said defendants for judgment notwithstanding the verdict be and  
the same is hereby over-ruled, to which order of the court the said defendants,  
and each of them, duly excepted and said exception was allowed.

IT IS FURTHER BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the  
motion of the said defendants for new trial be and the same is hereby over-  
ruled to which order and judgment of the court the said defendants, and each of  
them, duly excepted and said exception was allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER  
District Judge

K. as to form  
HARPER & LEE  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

K. REMINGTON ROGERS  
Attorney for Defendants

DORSED: Filed Apr 14 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Central Surety and Insurance  
Corporation, a corporation, and  
I. Scott Bush, for the use of  
Central Surety and Insurance  
Corporation, a corporation, Plaintiffs,

vs.

I. R. Staples, O. H. Sheldon,  
C. M. Sheldon, W. W. Sheldon,  
Partners doing business under  
the firm name of Staples Drill-  
ing Company, and Staples Drilling  
Company, a corporation, Defendants.

No. 1338 - L.

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL WITH SUPERSEDEAS

The petition of W. R. Staples, O. H. Sheldon, C. M. Sheldon, W. W. Sheldon, Partners doing business under the firm name of Staples Drilling Company, and Staples Drilling Company, a corporation, defendants in the above entitled cause, for an appeal from the final judgment in this action is hereby granted and the appeal is allowed; and upon petitioners' filing of bond in the sum of Forty-five Hundred (\$4,500.00) Dollars, with sufficient sureties and conditioned as required by law, the same to operate as supersedeas of the judgment made and entered in the above entitled cause and shall suspend and stay all further proceedings in this court until the termination of said appeal by the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

Dated this 14th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER  
District Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 14 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
Court adjourned until April 15, 1932.

On this 15th day of April, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, and Wm. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings, were had and entered, to-wit:

SCCELLANEOUS - ORDER ASSIGNING CRIMINAL CASES - PAWHUSKA.

On this 15th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the following named and numbered cases be assigned for trial at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, on the days and dates hereinafter specified:

CRIMINAL ASSIGNMENT

DEFERRED SENTENCES

Monday, May 2nd, 1932.

2664	Joe Garcia	Liquor
4286	Marie Penn	Liquor
4609	Marie Penn	Perjury
4439	Joseph Mills	Perjury
4441	Amos Crowe	Perjury
5081	Kate Minthorn	Liquor
5083	Fred Evans	Liquor
5094	Robert Greenlee	Liquor
	Acey Oberly	
5095	Evans H. Wadsworth	Liquor
5100	William (Bill) Barton	Liquor
5139	Jessie Findley	Liquor
5222	George Alberty	Liquor
5229	John Blackbird	Liquor
5395	Mrs. Sam Allen	Liquor
5509	Marshall Sites	Liquor
5590	Chris Postopah	Liquor
5609	Albert D. Burke	Liquor

FOR TRIAL

5885	Irene Henderson Big Goose	Liquor
	Eugene Big Goose	Liquor
5933	Edna Hunter	Liquor
	Simpson Downing	Liquor
5935	G. M. Madden	Liquor
5940	G. M. Madden	Liquor
	Freda W. Madden	Liquor
5954	Frank T. Baker	Liquor
6115	Lawrence Mills	Liquor
6133	Joe Baca	Liquor
6135	Zora Corrella Overton Compton	Liquor
6137	Mollie Edwards	Liquor

Criminal Assignment - Cont'd.

Tuesday, May 3rd, 1932.

5542	G. L. Tipton	Liquor
5627	Clay Duvall	Postal
	Geo. J. Smith	Postal
5883	Ed James	Liquor
	Mrs. Ed James	Liquor
5884	Charles Labadie	Liquor
	Frank Labadie	Liquor
	A. L. (Bus) Labadie	Liquor
	W. C. Rogers	Liquor
	Cleo Moffat	Liquor
	J. D. Barnes	Liquor
5915	William O. Mayes	Liquor
5932	Owen Powell	Liquor
	Mrs. Owen Powell	Liquor
5937	William R. Gilliland	Liquor
	Milton B. Phillips	Liquor
5953	Eugene Higgins	Liquor
6061	Frank Upshaw	Liquor
6086	Herbert Breitenstein	Liquor
6092	Bill Martin	Liquor

Wednesday, May 4, 1932.

5970	Arthur Cox	Liquor
5973	William Elbert Brown	Concealing
	Emily R. Brown	Assets
6161	Otto Stevenson	Liquor
6173	B. D. Clapp	Liquor
6175	William Hill	Liquor

Thursday, May 5, 1932.

5701	Harry McIntire	Conspiracy
	E. A. Threadgill	Conspiracy
	Bert Butler	Conspiracy
	Charles Hyatt	Conspiracy

LAW ASSIGNMENT

1429 L. T. Barket vs. Kroger Grocery & Baking Company.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 15 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----



THE BROWN CRUMMER INV. CO. A CORPORATION,  
-vs-  
MOUNDS TOWNSHIP, CREEK COUNTY, OKLAHOMA, A MUNICIPAL CORP.,

Plaintiff,  
Defendant.

No. 1038 - Law. ✓

Now on this 15th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that hearing on return of writ of mandamus be passed to Friday, April 22, 1932.

R. B. HANE, ADMR. OF ESTATE OF LESLIE L. LANDRUM, DEC'D.,  
-vs-  
MID-CONTINENT PETROLEUM COMPANY, ET AL,

Plaintiff,  
Defendants.

No. 1181 - Law. ✓

Now on this 15th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MEETING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between R. B. Hane, Administrator of the Estate of Leslie L. Landrum, Deceased, Plaintiff, and Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation et al., defendants, No. 181 - Law, the judgment of the said District Court in said cause entered on June 24, 1931, was in the following words, viz:

"It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that said plaintiff have and recover of and from said defendant, Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation, the sum of \$20,000.00 on his first cause of action herein, and the sum of \$5,000.00 on his second cause of action herein, said judgment to bear interest at the rate of 6% per annum from the 24th day of June, 1931, together with the costs of this action, for which let execution issue.

"To the above and foregoing judgment, and each and every part thereof, the defendant excepts, and its exceptions are allowed."

As by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court,

which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the January Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this court that the judgment of the said District Court, in this cause, be and the same is hereby affirmed and that R. B. Hane, Administrator of the Estate of Leslie L. Landrum, Deceased, appellee, have and recover of and from Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation, appellant, his costs herein.

-- March 5, 1932.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS the Honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 15th day of April, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two.

COSTS OF Appellee:  
Clerk, -- --  
Printing Record -- --  
Attorney \$20.00  
\$20.00

ALBERT TREGO  
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court  
of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

By H. A. McINTYRE  
Deputy Clerk

DORSED: Filed Apr 15 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

ERRY LEON COFFELT, A MINOR,  
ET AL,

Plaintiffs,

-vs-

No. 1216 - Law.

WITH SAND CO. A CORP., ET AL,

Defendants.

Now on this 15th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties are present as heretofore and the jury present, each and every member, and through their Foreman announce they have agreed upon a verdict herein, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

No. 1216 - Law - Cont'd.

VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Harry Leon Coffelt, a minor, by	)	
Mrs. M. Coffelt, next friend,	)	
Plaintiff	)	
vs.	)	
Smith Sand Company, a corporation,	)	Case No. 1216 Law.
J. A. Frates, and Felix A. Bodovitz,	)	
as Receivers of Oklahoma Union Rail-	)	
way Company, a corporation,	)	
Defendants.	)	

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the plaintiff, and against the defendants, Smith Sand Company, a corp. and J. A. Frates and Felix A. Bodovitz, as receivers of the Oklahoma Union Railway Co. a corp. and assess his damages at Seven Hundred Fifty and no/100 DOLLARS.

FILED In Open Court	GROVER BURCH
Apr 15 1932	Foreman.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk	
U. S. District Court	

Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be entered accordingly. (T.B.K. Judge). It is further ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

Thereupon, each of said Defendants except to the verdict herein and give notice of appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that Defendants be given sixty (60) days to file Bill of Exceptions herein. It is the further order of the Court that Supersedeas Bond of the Smith Sand Company be set at \$1500.00. Given thirty (30) days to file bond. T.B.K. Judge.

ELEN BOYD,	Plaintiff,	)	
		)	
-vs-		)	No. 1229 - Law. ✓
		)	
NORTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE CO.		)	
CORPORATION,	Defendant.	)	

Now on this 15th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Plaintiff challenges Chas. Collins. The Defendant challenges J. H. Blackburn, Geo. Johnson and Gilbert Estill. Thereafter, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: W. E. Miller, W. R. Lucas, A. V. Wisdom, F. E. Sadleman, J. G. Milford, Reese Fox, Leo Jackson, W. T. L. Dodson, Chas. Amadon, Red Rock, Claud Conway, Chester Abrams. Opening statements of counsel are

de and all witnesses sworn in open court. Thereafter, the Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Helen Boyd, Robt. Wicher. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 P.M.

Thereafter, at 1:30 P.M. on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. The Plaintiff continues with the introduction of evidence and proof with Tom Duckett, Geo. Scott. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereafter, the Defendant demurs to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer is by the Court sustained. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, that said case be dismissed at the cost of the Plaintiff. To which ruling, the Plaintiff excepts and gives notice of appeal. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be given ninety (90) days to prepare and file Bill of Exceptions herein. It is further ordered that Supersedeas Bond be fixed in the amount of \$500.00.

Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case. (T. Blake Kennedy, Judge).

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

BERRY LEON COFFELT, a minor, by  
J. M. Coffelt, next friend, Plaintiff,

VS.

SMITH SAND COMPANY, a corporation,  
J. A. FRATES and FELIX A. BODOVITZ,  
Receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway  
Company, a corporation, Defendants.

No. 1216 - Law. ✓

JUDGMENT ON VERDICT OF JURY.

This cause came on for hearing on the merits on the 14th day of April, 1932, before the Honorable T. Blake Kennedy, and the plaintiff appeared in person and by his attorney, Frank Leslie, and also came the defendant, Smith Sand Company, a corporation, by its attorney, Garland Keeling, and the defendants J. A. Frates and Felix A. Bodovitz as receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway Company, a corporation, appeared by Felix A. Bodovitz and their attorney, R. A. Wenschmidt, and the cause came on for trial in its regular order before a jury of twelve good men, who being duly empanelled and sworn, well and truly to the issues joined between plaintiff and defendants and a true verdict rendering according to the evidence; and having heard the evidence, the charges of the court and the argument of counsel, upon their oaths say,

"We, the jury in the above entitled case, duly empanelled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the plaintiff, and against the defendants, Smith Sand Company, a corporation and J. A. Frates and Felix A. Bodovitz, as receivers of the Oklahoma Union Railway Company, a corporation, and assess his damages at Seven Hundred Fifty & no/100 Dollars.

(signed) GROVER BURCH,  
Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the court that  
the said plaintiff have and recover from the said defendants, Smith Sand Com-  
pany, a corporation, and J. A. Frates and Felix A. Bodovitz, as receivers of  
Oklahoma Union Railway Company, a corporation, the sum of Seven Hundred Fifty  
Dollars (\$750.00), with costs of this case.

To which verdict of the jury and judgment of the court the defen-  
dants, Smith Sand Company, a corporation, and J. A. Frates and Felix A. Bodovitz,  
receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway Company, a corporation, and each of them,  
excepts which exceptions were allowed, and gave notice in open court of their  
intention to appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and  
each of said defendants were by the court allowed sixty (60) days from said  
date within which to prepare and file their bills of exceptions, and the defen-  
dant, Smith Sand Company, a corporation, was given thirty (30) days within  
which to file a supersedeas bond in the sum of Fifteen Hundred Dollars (\$1500.00)  
and it is ordered by the Court that execution be stayed pending the preparation  
and filing for allowance of such bills of exceptions, and upon the filing and  
approval of the supersedeas bond by the Smith Sand Company execution be stayed  
pending the appeal.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
J U D G E.

BY FRANK LESLIE  
Attorney for Plaintiff

KLEINSCHMIDT & JOHNSON  
Attorneys for J. A. Frates & F. A. Bodovitz, Recrs.

GARLAND KEELING  
Atty for Def.

SMITH SAND CO.

DORSED: Filed Apr 19 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

SMITH SAND COMPANY, Plaintiff,  
-vs-  
FRANK LESLIE, Defendant.

No. 1249 - Law.

Now on this 15th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled cause  
comes on for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. There-  
upon, opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence  
in proof with the following witness: Wade Whiteside. Thereafter, the Defen-  
dant introduces evidence and proof with Ralph M. Darnell. And thereafter, it is  
ordered by the Court that judgment be entered for the Plaintiff herein in the  
sum of \$40,000.00 upon agreed judgment by and between the parties herein.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Swift & Company, a corporation, Plaintiff, )

vs. )

City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, a  
municipal corporation, Defendant. )

No. 1249 - Law. ✓

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This cause coming regularly on for trial on this, April 15th, 1932, the plaintiff appeared by Keaton, Wells, Johnston & Barnes and Kleinschmidt Johnson, its attorneys, and the defendant appeared by R. P. Colley and E. M. Gallagher, its attorneys, and the parties having waived in writing trial by jury, all was had to the court, and the court having heard the evidence and arguments of counsel, finds the issues herein for the plaintiff and assesses the amount of damage sustained by plaintiff on account of the matters and things set in its petition at the sum of \$40,000.00.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED AND ORDERED by the court, that the plaintiff, Swift & Company, a corporation, do recover of and from the defendant, City of Tulsa, a municipal corporation, the sum of Forty Thousand (\$40,000.00) dollars, with interest thereon from this date until paid at the rate of six (6%) cent per annum, and that plaintiff do also recover of and from said defendant the costs of this action taxed at \$19.00, such judgment to be paid and collected as provided by law.

F. E. KENNAMER  
District Judge.

KEATON, WELLS, JOHNSTON & BARNES  
KLEINSCHMIDT & JOHNSON  
Attys for Pltf.

R. P. COLLEY  
E. M. GALLAGER  
Atty for Def.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 15 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

D. DONNELLY, Trustee, Plaintiff, )

vs. )

DUCERS ROYALTY CORPORATION,  
corporation, Defendant. )

NO. 1501 Law ✓

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

. 1501 Law - Cont'd.

NOW ON THIS 14th day of April, 1932, it appearing to the Court at plaintiff herein has filed dismissal of the above cause without prejudice, and it further appearing to the Court that the costs which have accrued in this case have been paid by the plaintiff,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this cause dismissed without prejudice to the right to bring another action.

F. E. KENNAMER  
J U D G E

DORSED: Filed Apr 15 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR.

-----

Court adjourned until April 16, 1932.



No. 5701 - Cr. Cont'd.

-26-31	Harry McIntire, Exec.	13211	7.26
-26-31	" " "	7050	180.70

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge

BY: W. B. BLAIR  
W. B. Blair, Asst. U. S. Attorney.

DORSED: Filed Apr 16 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Lloyd Hagan McPherson, Plaintiff, )  
vs ) No. 1218 Law. ✓  
United States of America, Defendant. )

J U D G M E N T

Now on this 6th day of April, 1932, the same being a judicial day of the Special March 1932 term of the United States Court held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, and said court being in session with Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge, presiding, this cause came on regularly to be heard on its merits, and the plaintiff, Lloyd Hagan McPherson, appeared in person and by his attorney, Benjamin E. Cook, and the defendant, the United States of America, appeared by its attorney of record, A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney and J. Williams, Insurance Attorney for the Veterans Administration, and both parties herein having heretofore waived a jury in writing, and both parties having announced ready for trial, and the court having heard all the evidence of the plaintiff and defendant, and the argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, finds the issues joined in said cause in favor of the plaintiff herein and against the defendant, and that the plaintiff was, on the date of his discharge from the United States Army on March 13, 1919, and is now, totally and permanently disabled, and is entitled to recover judgment herein as prayed.

The court further finds that the amount due the plaintiff herein is the sum of \$57.50 per month, from and after the said date of March 13, 1919, and including the date of this judgment, to be computed by the Veterans Administration, based upon this judgment.

The court further finds that Benjamin E. Cook, a duly licensed and practicing attorney, has been duly employed by the plaintiff herein to present him in this cause, and that he has filed and prosecuted this action on behalf of the plaintiff and is entitled to have allowed and paid to him, as provided by law, for his service in this behalf ten per cent of the amount awarded to the plaintiff under the terms of this judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE OF THE COURT at the plaintiff, Lloyd Hagan McPherson, have and recover of and from the defendant, the United States of America, the sum of \$57.50 per month, from and after the 13th day of March, 1919, to the date of this judgment, the total amount said monthly installments or payments to be computed by the Veterans Administration.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that of the moneys herein awarded to the plaintiff, there shall be paid to the said Benjamin Cook, as attorney for the plaintiff, an attorney's fee in said cause of ten per cent (10%) of the amount of this judgment, said amount or amounts to be paid to said attorney as provided by law.

To all of which findings and judgment the defendant excepts, and all exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER  
United States District Judge.

J. E. COOK  
Attorney for Plaintiff.

E. WILLIAMS  
Attorney for Defendant.

DORSED: Filed Apr 16 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Robert L. Wilkins, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1305 - Law. ✓  
United States of America, Defendant. )

J U D G M E N T

Now on this 6th day of April, 1932, this cause came on regularly to be heard on the trial of the merits, before Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge, plaintiff being present by his attorneys, Wilkerson & Brown, and defendant being present by T. J. Williamson, Insurance Attorney for the Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and both sides announcing ready for trial;

WHEREUPON, the Court having heard the evidence of witnesses for plaintiff, sworn and examined in open court, and having heard the evidence of witnesses for the defendant, sworn and examined in open court, and having heard the argument of counsel and being advised in the premises finds the issues herein in favor of the defendant, and that plaintiff should take nothing as a result of this cause.

IT IS THEREFORE the order and judgment of the Court that the  
plaintiff herein take nothing as a result of this action, and that the defendant  
pay its costs herein expended, to which order and judgment plaintiff excepts and  
demurrals are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

K. A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

DORSED: Filed Apr 16 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

a Routzong Jackson, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1323 Law ✓  
e United States of America, Defendant. )

ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHICH  
TO PREPARE, SERVE AND FILE RECORD.

Now on this 16 day of April, 1932, the above defendant, The  
United States of America, having asked for and been allowed an appeal in the  
above cause, and having been granted an extension of time in which to prepare,  
serve and file a record in said cause, and it now being shown to the Court that  
further extension of 60 days in addition to that already granted is necessary  
for defendant to complete the appeal herein;

It is therefore ordered that the said defendant, The United  
States of America, be, and is hereby allowed an additional 60 days from April  
16, 1932, in which to prepare, serve and file a record in said cause.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

K. A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assist. U. S. Atty.

DORSED: Filed Apr 16 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

-----

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. Knopp, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1374 Law. ✓  
United States of America, Defendant. )

APPLICATION FOR SUBPOENA FOR WITNESS OUTSIDE DISTRICT.

A. E. Williams, being first duly sworn, states: That he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such is attorney for the defendant in the above cause, which is set for hearing April 22, 1932, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district. Affiant further states that at W. B. Murphy, 1201 East Park St., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, G. E. Wilkinson, 31 West 19th St., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, Dr. A. R. Lewis, 1019 Hightower Bldg., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma who resides without the Northern District of Oklahoma, and probably more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, Oklahoma, where said trial will be had, are important witnesses for the United States in said cause, and it is necessary to have said witnesses at said trial on said date to properly present the facts herein by evidence in open court, and this affidavit is for the purpose of procuring an order of arrest, directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, for service of subpoena on said witnesses.

WHEREFORE, affiant asks that this Court grant an order directing the United States Marshal for said district to make proper service and return of subpoena for said witnesses for the 22nd day of April, 1932, to appear at Tulsa, Oklahoma, to testify in said cause.

WAL)

A. E. WILLIAMS

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 16 day of April, 1932.

H. P. WARFIELD, CLERK  
By Dema Cottle, Deputy

Now on this 16 day of April, 1932, having read the above application and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby ordered that proper subpoena issue in said cause out of the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, to forthwith make proper service and return of subpoena on the witnesses named in said application, to appear in this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on April 22, 1932, at 9 o'clock A. M., to testify in behalf of the United States in said cause, and that a certified copy of this order accompany said subpoena.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

FORWARDED: Filed Apr 16 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Lawrence, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1377 Law ✓  
United States of America, Defendant. )

APPLICATION FOR SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM.

Comes now the United States of America, defendant herein, by A. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and respectfully shows the Court that the above entitled cause is set for trial in this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on April 25, 1932, and that B. W. Andrews, Cashier Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States, 617 Perrine Bldg., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, which is more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, Oklahoma, where said trial will be had, and that said B. W. Andrews is a material witness for the United States in the trial of said cause, and have in his custody, possession and control certain records which are material evidence to be used on the part of the Government in said trial, to-wit:

- 1 - Original application for insurance.
- 2 - Original physical examination and subsequent physical examinations.
- 3 - Records of premium payments.
- 4 - Records identifying type of policy.
- 5 - Records indicating the reason for lapse of this insurance.

WHEREFORE, the United States, by its said attorney, prays for an order directing the Clerk of this Court to issue forthwith a Subpoena Duces cum to

B. W. Andrews, Cashier Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States, 617 Perrine Bldg., 119 North Robinson Ave., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

turnable at Tulsa, in this district, on the 25 day of April, 1932, at 9 o'clock M., and that the said B. W. Andrews aforesaid, be directed and ordered by said process from this court to be and produce in court on said date at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district, to be used as evidence aforesaid, all and singular the records and documents aforementioned.

A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, )  
TULSA COUNTY, ) SS.

A. E. Williams, being first duly sworn, states: That he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such is attorney for the defendant in the above cause; that he has read the foregoing application for Subpoena Duces Tecum, knows the contents thereof, and

That the statements therein contained are true, as he verily believes.

A. E. WILLIAMS

Described and sworn to before me this 16 day of April, 1932.

REAL

H. P. WARFIELD, CLERK  
By Dema Cottle, Deputy

IT IS SO ORDERED BY THE COURT this 16 day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE.

DORSED: Filed Apr 16 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court—DC

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Aggie Glass and Celestine Glass )  
vs. ) Plaintiffs, )  
vs. ) ) No. 1458 Law ✓  
vs. ) )  
The United States of America, ) Defendant. )

J U D G M E N T

Now on this 9th day of April, 1932, this cause came on regularly to be heard on the trial of the merits, before Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge, and plaintiffs being present by their attorneys, Wilkerson & Brown, and defendant being present by T. J. Williamson, Insurance Attorney for the Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and both sides announcing ready for trial;

WHEREUPON, the Court having heard the evidence of witnesses for the plaintiff, sworn and examined in open court, and having heard the evidence of witnesses for the defendant, sworn and examined in open court, and having heard the argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, finds the issues in favor of the defendant, and that plaintiff should take nothing as a result of this action.

IT IS THEREFORE the order and judgment of the Court that the plaintiffs herein take nothing as a result of this action, and that defendant pay its costs herein expended, to which order and judgment of the Court plaintiffs except and exceptions are allowed.

K. A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

DORSED: Filed Apr 16 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

(Court adjourned until  
April 18, 1932)

On this 18th day of April, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER FOR PETIT JURY - PAWHUSKA.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER FOR PETIT JURY.

On this 18th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is Ordered by the Court that there be publicly drawn by the Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, or one of his deputies, in the presence of the Clerk, or one of his deputies, in accordance with the law and the rules of this Court, the name of 24 persons, good and lawful men, from said District, duly qualified to serve as Petit Jurors at the Regular May 1932 Term of this Court to be held at Pawhuska, Oklahoma.

It is further Ordered by the Court that a writ of Venire Facias issued out of this Court, in due form as provided by law, commanding the said Marshal to summon by Registered Mail said Petit Jurors, drawn, as aforesaid, to be and appear before said Court, to be held at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, on Monday the 2nd day of May A. D. 1932 at 10 o'clock A. M., then and there to serve as Petit Jurors of the United States in and for said District at the Regular May 1932 Term of said Court.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

DORSED: Filed Apr 18 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6025 - Criminal. ✓  
FREDERICK PAGE, Defendant. )

Now on this 18th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled cause comes on for hearing on motion of Defendant to quash Third Count of the Indictment herein. Thereafter, the following witnesses are sworn and examined in open Court: Frederick Page, Susie Hyatt. And thereafter, after considering the evidence and being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be overruled and exception allowed. Thereafter, Defendant

No. 6025 Cr. Cont'd.

gives arraignment and asks for twenty-four hours in which to plead. After being duly advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be overruled. Thereupon, said defendant enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 2 and 3, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereafter, at the noon hour having arrived, court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, on this same day at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore. Thereupon, the Government moves for continuance, which motion, is by the Court sustained and said case is passed to April 21, 1932, at 9:00 o'clock A. M. It is further ordered by the Court that Elsie Thompson be ordered held under a \$100.00 witness bond.

-----  
 IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
		)	
vs.		)	6025 - Cr. ✓
		)	
Frederick Page,	Defendant.	)	

O R D E R

It is hereby ordered that the defendant, Frederick Page, be and hereby is granted leave to file his affidavit forma pauperis and it is further ordered that said defendant be not required to pay or give security for costs in making his defense.

Dated this 18th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER  
 Judge.

DORSED: Filed Apr 18 1932  
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
		)	
-vs-		)	
		)	No. 6042 - Criminal. ✓
WILLIAM FREDERICK CAUDLE, JOHNNIE		)	
EDDIE, WILLIAM BYERS, WILLIE RENFRO,		)	
MRS. CHARLES W. NEAL,	Defendants.	)	

Now on this 18th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on defendants, William Frederick Caudle and Willie Renfro, as follows:

WILLIE RENFRO

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the

. 6042 Cr. Cont'd.

United States, or his authorized representative, for  
confinement in a County Jail for the period of:  
Count One Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

WILLIAM FREDERICK CAUDLE

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of  
the United States, or his authorized representative, for  
confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Count One Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery,  
Count Four Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.  
Said sentence of confinement in Count Four to run concurrent  
with the sentence of confinement in Count One (1).

H. McALARNEY, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1088 - Law. ✓  
LSA ROLLING MILLS CO., ET AL, Defendants. )

Now on this 18th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the  
court that the above styled case be, and it is hereby, passed to April 19,  
1932.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Ilen Boyd, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1229 Law. ✓  
Southwestern Bell Telephone )  
Company, a corporation, Defendant. )

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 15th day of April, 1932, the above styled and num-  
bered cause coming on for trial in regular order before the above named court  
and the plaintiff appearing in person and by her attorney Joe W. Simpson, and  
the defendant appearing in person and by its attorneys John H. Cantrell and  
R. Spielman, a jury of twelve good men is duly empanelled and sworn to well  
and truly try the issues in said cause.

Opening statements are made. The plaintiff introduces her evi-  
dence and rests.

Thereupon the defendant demurs to the evidence of the plaintiff.  
Argument is heard upon said demurrer to the evidence, after which, and upon due  
consideration by the court, the court finds same should be sustained and the  
petition of the plaintiff dismissed at plaintiff's cost.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the afore-  
id demurrer of the defendant, to the evidence of the plaintiff be, and the  
me is hereby sustained and the petition of the plaintiff dismissed at the  
st of the plaintiff. The plaintiff asks and is allowed an exception.

Plaintiff gives notice of her intention to appeal to the United  
ates Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit and prays the allowance  
such appeal and requests the court to grant an extension of the time within  
ich to prepare and present a bill of exceptions and to fix the amount of the  
st bond on such appeal.

It is Ordered that plaintiff be, and she is hereby granted and  
lowed 90 days from and after the 15th day of April, 1932, within which to pre-  
re and present a bill of exceptions and the amount of the bond which plain-  
ff shall be required to give is fixed at the sum of \$500.00.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
U. S. District Judge.

DORSED: Filed Apr 18 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

LA SEWELL, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1264 - Law. ✓  
VERLAND COMPANY, )  
corporation, Defendant. )

ORDER PERMITTING DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Upon application of the plaintiff she is hereby given leave to  
smisss this cause without prejudice.

Dated this 18th day of April, 1932.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
Judge

DORSED: Filed Apr 18 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
Court adjourned until April 19, 1932.

On this 19th day of April, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Walter M. Thomas,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

A. Frates and F. A. Bodovitz,  
receivers of Union Transportation  
Company, a corporation,

Defendants,

No. 1083 - Law

ORDER

WHEREAS, on April 23rd, 1931, in this said court, the plaintiff, Walter M. Thomas, recovered a judgment against F. A. Bodovitz and J. A. Frates, receivers of the Union Transportation Company, a corporation, in the sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00), together with all costs of suit, and an appeal from said judgment was duly prosecuted by said defendants to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals of the Tenth Circuit, and thereafter, and on, to-wit: March 21st, 1932, said judgment was in all things affirmed, and said opinion affirming said judgment was duly filed with the clerk of said Appeals Court, and thereafter, and on, March 22nd, 1932, a mandate on said cause was duly issued by the Clerk of said Circuit Court of Appeals, to Hon. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of this court, which said mandate has been duly received, and upon direction of the Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of this Court, the same has been duly filed and recorded in the office of the Clerk of this court -- wherein and whereby, said judgment has in all things become final.

WHEREUPON, it is by this Court ordered that the aforesaid receivers, forthwith pay as soon as possible and perform said judgment, according to the terms and tenor thereof.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge of the United States District  
Court, in and for the Northern District  
of Oklahoma.

FORWARDED: Filed Apr 19 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

H. McALARNEY, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1088 - Law. ✓  
LSA ROLLING MILLS CO., Defendants. )  
AL,

Now on this 19th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case called. Both sides present in person and represented by counsel and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Plaintiff challenges J. B. Roberts. The Defendants challenge A. Jacobs, P. M. Coons, W. S. Flanigan. Thereafter, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict rendered, is as follows: Max Randall, B. B. Lawson, A. Patterson, J. F. Wallace, R. E. Moehlman, Tracy Bartlett, C. W. Murrell, D. Rafferty, Wm. Maloney, T. E. Harmer, Albert Crady, L. Z. Huey. Opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with J. H. McAlarney. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished by the Court and court is recessed until 2:00 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 2:00 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Thereupon, the Plaintiff rests. The Defendants demur to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff herein, which Demurrer is by the Court, overruled. Thereupon, the Defendants introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: C. A. Coakley, C. B. Stuart, E. M. Bentsell, P. E. Estill, T. H. Steffins, Ed A. Page. And thereafter, the Defendants rest. Thereupon, the Plaintiff offers in rebuttal testimony of J. H. McAlarney. And thereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereupon, the Court further instructs the jury to deliberate upon their verdict to-night and to return a sealed verdict at 9:30 A. M., April 20, 1932. Thereafter, the jury is admonished and court is recessed until 9:30 o'clock A. M., April 20th, 1932.

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

John W. Freeborn, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1276 Law ✓  
The United States of America, Defendant. )

O R D E R

Now on this 19 day of April, 1932, it appearing to the court that on the 23rd day of February, 1932, judgment was rendered for the defendant in the above case, and the plaintiff at that time given sixty days within which to prepare and present a bill of exceptions upon appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and that at such time the amount of the surety bond to be given by the plaintiff was fixed at \$100.00; and it further appearing to the court that since the rendition of said judgment and the entry of the orders above referred to, the attorney for the plaintiff has departed this country, and that it is necessary that the plaintiff be granted an extension of sixty days additional time within which to prepare and present a bill of exceptions;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the plaintiff above named be, and he is hereby granted sixty days in addition to that heretofore allowed within which to prepare and present a bill of exceptions upon appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals of the United States for the Tenth Circuit.

F. E. KENNAMER  
United States District Judge.

DORSED: Filed Apr 19 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. HARTMAN, RECEIVER FOR I. S. )  
MINCKS AND EFFIE B. MINCKS, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1281 Law. ✓  
NRY L. FIST and MORRIS PLAN )  
COMPANY, a corporation, Defendants. )

O R D E R

It appearing to the Court that the above styled and numbered cause has been settled and compromised between the parties hereto under an compromise agreement whereby said plaintiff shall receive the following property involved in said litigation, to-wit:

Certificate No. 17 of the Mincks Hotel Company for two and one-half shares of capital stock of the said Mincks Hotel Company, originally issued to Effie B. Mincks under date of January 25, 1928;

Certificate No. 7 of the Mincks Hotel Company for three shares of capital stock of the said Mincks Hotel Company, originally issued to Effie B. Mincks under date of May 29, 1928;

Certificate No. 9 of the Mincks Hotel Company for seven shares of capital stock of the said Mincks Hotel Company, originally issued to I. S. Mincks under date of May 29, 1928;

One (1) 4.58 carat loose diamond;

and that said defendants are to retain, free and clear of all claims by plaintiff, the following described property:

One (1) 4 carat loose diamond;

And it also appearing to the Court that the property which plaintiff is to receive as aforesaid has been delivered to and is now in the possession of said plaintiff, and it further appearing that heretofore and on November 1931, in Equity cause No. 611, which is the case in which said plaintiff

s appointed and qualified as receiver, this Court entered its decree adjudging and decreeing that Real Estate Investment Corporation was and is entitled to restrain upon any personal property of defendants, I. S. Mincks and Blue Willow Corporation in the hands of said receiver, subject to the rights of any bona fide encumbrancer of said property without notice of the rights of said Real Estate Investment Corporation, to secure the payment of the judgment for rent obtained in said decree, and that said decree of November 7, 1931, has become final and binding judgment and decree of this Court;

And it further appearing to the Court that the property hereinbefore described and which has been delivered into the possession of the plaintiff herein as aforesaid is of the character described in said decree of November 7, 1931, upon which said Real Estate Investment Corporation is entitled to distrain as aforesaid;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that in pursuance of said decree in Equity cause No. 611, dated November 7, 1931, said T. J. Hartman, receiver for I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks and Blue Willow Corporation, be and is hereby ordered and directed to forthwith deliver to said Real Estate Investment Corporation the property heretofore delivered to the plaintiff herein, -wit:

Certificate No. 17 of the Mincks Hotel Company for two and one-half shares of capital stock of the said Mincks Hotel Company, originally issued to Effie B. Mincks under date of January 25, 1928;

Certificate No. 7 of the Mincks Hotel Company for three shares of capital stock of the said Mincks Hotel Company, originally issued to Effie B. Mincks under date of May 29, 1928;

Certificate No. 9 of the Mincks Hotel Company for seven shares of capital stock of the said Mincks Hotel Company, originally issued to I. S. Mincks under date of May 29, 1928;

One (1) 4.58 carat loose diamond.

Said diamond to be sold within 60 days and 20% the proceeds paid Receiver or \$200 at the option of Real Estate Investment Corporation.

Dated this 18th day of April, 1932,

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge of U. S. District Court for  
Northern District of Oklahoma.

FORWARDED: Filed Apr 19 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

R. UNGER, as special Admr. )  
 the Estate of JAMES T. )  
 LAHAM, DECEASED, ET AL, Plaintiffs, )  
 )  
 -vs- ) No. 1285 - Law. ✓  
 )  
 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 19th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called. Both sides announce ready. Opening statements of counsel are made. Thereupon, the Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with E. R. Unger. And thereafter Plaintiff's depositions and documentary evidence is introduced by Glenn O. Young. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereafter, the Defendant moves for judgment upon the pleadings herein, which motion, is by the Court, overruled and exceptions allowed. Thereupon, the Defendant introduces documentary evidence. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. The Plaintiff offers in rebuttal documentary evidence. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, the Defendant moves for judgment herein. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, court is recessed until 2:00 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 2:00 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said case be continued until April 29, 1932, counsel file briefs herein.

-----  
 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF  
 OKLAHOMA.

s. Oriel Somerville, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 -vs- ) No. 1363 Law. ✓  
 )  
 The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe )  
 Railway Company, a corporation, Defendant. )

O R D E R

Now on this the 19th day of April, comes on for hearing before F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, the application of the plaintiff herein to dismiss this action without prejudice to a future action and at her cost.

Now, therefore, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that this plaintiff herein be allowed to dismiss this action without prejudice to a future action, it appearing to this Court that the costs herein have been paid by the plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER  
 Judge.

DORSED: Filed Apr 19 1932  
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court JMR

-----



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. H. Box, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1387 Law ✓  
United States of America, Defendant. )

APPLICATION FOR SUBPOENA FOR WITNESS OUTSIDE DISTRICT

W. B. Blair, being first duly sworn, states: That he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such is attorney for the defendant in the above cause, which is set for hearing April 25, 1932, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district. Affiant further states that witness is Jessie A. Burch, 1729 Bird St., Joplin, Mo. residing without the Northern District of Oklahoma, and probably more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, Oklahoma, where said trial will be held, is an important witness for the United States in said cause, and it is necessary to have said witness at said trial on said date to properly present the facts herein by evidence in open court, and this affidavit is for the purpose of procuring an order of arrest, directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Missouri, for service of subpoena on said witness.

WHEREFORE, affiant asks that this Court grant an order directing the United States Marshal for said district to make proper service and return said subpoena for said witness for the 25 day of April, 1932, to appear at Tulsa, Oklahoma to testify in said cause.

W. B. BLAIR

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 19th day of April, 1932.

(SEAL)

H. P. WARFIELD, CLERK  
By Dema Cottle, Deputy

Now on this 19th day of April, 1932, having read the above application, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby ordered that a subpoena issue in said cause out of the Clerk's office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directed to the Marshal of the Western District of Missouri to forthwith make proper service and return of subpoena on the witness named in said application, to appear in this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on April 25, 1932, at 9 o'clock A. M., to testify in behalf of the United States in said cause, and that a certified copy of this order accompany said subpoena.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

Assistant United States Attorney

FORWARDED: Filed Apr 19 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. B. Blair, )  
Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1387 Law  
United States of America, )  
Defendant. )

APPLICATION FOR SUBPOENA FOR WITNESS OUTSIDE DISTRICT

W. B. Blair, being first duly sworn, states; That he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such is attorney for the defendant in the above cause, which is set for hearing April 25, 1932, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district. Affiant further states that J. C. E. Bates, Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, residing without the Northern District of Oklahoma, and probably more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, Oklahoma, where said trial will be held, is an important witness for the United States in said cause, and it is necessary to have said witness at said trial on said date to properly present the facts herein by evidence in open court, and this affidavit is for the purpose of procuring an order of court, directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, for service of subpoena on said witness.

WHEREFORE, affiant asks that this Court grant an order directing the United States Marshal for said district to make proper service and return subpoena for said witness for the 25 day of April, 1932, to appear at Tulsa, Oklahoma to testify in said cause.

W. B. BLAIR

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 19th day of April, 1932.

(SEAL)

H. P. WARFIELD, CLERK  
By Dema Cottle, Deputy

Now on this 19th day of April, 1932, having read the above application and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby ordered that proper subpoena issue in said cause out of the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, to forthwith make proper service and return of subpoena on the witnesses named in said application, appear in this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on April 25, 1932, at 9 o'clock P.M., to testify in behalf of the United States in said cause, and that a certified copy of this order accompany said subpoena.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

K. \_\_\_\_\_  
Assistant United States Attorney

DORSED: Filed Apr 19 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. Sams, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 1508 - Law. ✓  
 )  
Carl Pleasant, Inc., a )  
corporation, et al, Defendants. )

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 19th day of April, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the term of this court, upon the motion of the plaintiff, C. J. Sams, made in open court, to dismiss this cause without prejudice, is

ORDERED that said motion be sustained, and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice at the cost of the plaintiff.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
UNITED STATES JUDGE.

K. ALLEN UNDERWOOD & CANTERBURY  
Attys for Defendant Carl Pleasant, Inc.

PHIL W. DAVIS, JR.  
Atty for Plff.

DORSED: Filed Apr 19 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
Court adjourned until April 20, 1932.



the above entitled matter be modified, and the court, after hearing said matter and upon consideration thereof, finds that said sentence should be, and the same is hereby modified.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said sentence forty-three (43) days instead of sixty (60) days, and the United States Marshal is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to release said defendant.

F. E. KENNAMER  
 Judge

K. HARRY SEATON  
 Assistant U. S. Attorney

DORSED: Filed Apr 21 1932  
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court ME

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 6180 - Criminal. ✓  
 W. BLAZER & ALTA BLAZER, Defendant. )

Now on this 20th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the court that bond of G. W. Blazer be, and it is hereby, reduced to \$1000.00.

H. McALARNEY, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 1088 - Law. ✓  
 TULSA ROLLING MILLS CO., ET AL, Defendants. )

Now on this 20th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled cause comes on for further hearing. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present in person. Thereafter, in open court the foreman of the jury presents their verdicts, which verdicts are in words and figures as follows:

VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. H. McAlarney Plaintiff, )  
 vs. )  
 Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a ) Case No. 1088 Law.  
 corporation, Defendant. )

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find for the plaintiff, upon the first cause of action and assess his damages at \$3500.00 DOLLARS, and we do find for the plaintiff upon the second



rial, and a jury of twelve men being duly impaneled and sworn to well and truly by the issues joined between plaintiff and defendant, and a true verdict rendered according to the evidence, thereupon plaintiff introduced his evidence and rested, and at the conclusion of plaintiff's evidence, defendant demurred thereunto and asked the court to return a verdict in its favor, which demurrer and motion of the defendant were overruled by the court, defendant excepting and exceptions allowed.

Thereupon the defendant introduced its evidence and rested.

Thereupon the plaintiff introduced his evidence in rebuttal and rested.

Thereupon, both sides announced they rested.

Thereupon the defendant moved the court to direct a verdict for the defendant on each cause of action contained in the petition, which motion the court was denied and overruled, defendant excepting and exceptions allowed.

Thereupon the cause was argued by counsel, and thereupon the court gave the jury the charges of the court, and the jury having heard the evidence, argument of counsel and the charges of the court, upon their oaths say:

"IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

"J. H. McALARNEY, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1088 - Law.  
TULSA ROLLING MILLS COMPANY, a )  
corporation, Defendant. )

VERDICT

"We, the jury in the above entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find for the plaintiff upon first cause of action, and assess his damages at \$3500.00 Dollars, and we do find for the plaintiff upon the second cause of action and assess his damages at \$53.00 and we do find for the plaintiff upon the third cause of action and assess his damages at \$56.00 and we do find for plaintiff upon the fourth cause of action and assess his damages at \_\_\_\_\_

(Signed) Trace Bartlett, Foreman."

"IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

"J. H. McALARNEY, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- )  
TULSA ROLLING MILLS COMPANY, a ) No. 1088 - Law.  
corporation, Defendant. )

VERDICT

"We, the jury in the above entitled case, duly impaneled



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. HARTMAN, RECEIVER FOR  
I. S. MINCKS and EFFIE B. MINCKS, Plaintiff, )  
 ) No. 1281 At Law. ✓  
vs. )  
 )  
HENRY L. FIST and THE MORRIS PLAN )  
COMPANY, a corporation, Defendant. )  
HENRY L. FIST, Plaintiff, )  
 ) No. 1347 At Law. ✓  
vs. )  
 )  
J. HARTMAN, RECEIVER FOR THE BLUE )  
WILLOW CORPORATION, I. S. MINCKS )  
and EFFIE MINCKS, Defendant. )

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT.

WHEREAS, T. J. Hartman, receiver for I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks is plaintiff and Henry L. Fist and The Morris Plan Company, a corporation, are defendants in the above entitled cause pending in this court, numbered 1281 At Law, and

WHEREAS, Henry L. Fist is plaintiff and T. J. Hartman, Receiver for the Blue Willow Corporation, I. S. Mincks and Effie Mincks, is defendant in the above entitled action pending in this court, numbered 1347 At Law, and

WHEREAS, all of said parties have reached an agreement to compromise and settle both of said actions aforesaid,

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between J. Hartman, Receiver for the Blue Willow Corporation, I. S. Mincks and Effie Mincks, and the said Henry L. Fist and the Morris Plan Company, a corporation, follows, to-wit:

That said cause No. 1281 At Law, T. J. Hartman, Receiver for I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, plaintiff, vs. Henry L. Fist and The Morris Plan Company, a corporation, defendants, is hereby dismissed with prejudice, at the cost of said plaintiff.

That the said cause, Henry L. Fist, plaintiff, vs. T. J. Hartman, Receiver for Blue Willow Corporation, I. S. Mincks and Effie Mincks, defendants, numbered 1347 At Law, is hereby dismissed with prejudice, at the cost of the plaintiff.

It is further stipulated and agreed that in consideration of the dismissal of said actions aforesaid, and in compromise and full settlement of said actions between said parties, that the said Henry L. Fist and The Morris Plan Company, a corporation, shall deliver to the said T. J. Hartman, Receiver for the Blue Willow Corporation, I. S. Mincks and Effie Mincks, the one loose 58 carat diamond, heretofore appraised by Roswells at the sum of \$200.00, and now in the possession of the Morris Plan Bank, and the following certificates of stock of the Mincks Hotel Company:

Certificate No. 17 of the Blue Hill Hotel Company for two and one-half shares of capital stock of the said Blue Hill Hotel Company, originally issued to Effie H. Wicks under date of January 25, 1928;

Certificate No. 7 of the Blue Hill Hotel Company for three shares of capital stock of the said Blue Hill Hotel Company, originally issued to Effie H. Wicks under date of May 29, 1928;

Certificate No. 9 of the Blue Hill Hotel Company for seven shares of capital stock of the said Blue Hill Hotel Company, originally issued to T. J. Hartman under date of May 29, 1928;

Each of said shares having a par value of \$1,000.00 and the total par value of the said stock being \$12,500.00;

that all of said property above described is hereby delivered to the said T. J. Hartman as receiver aforesaid, free, clear and discharged of all claims of Henry B. Witt and The Morris Plan Company, a corporation, or either of them, the said Henry B. Witt and The Morris Plan Company, a corporation, hereby relinquish and convey to the said T. J. Hartman, as said receiver, all right, title, interest and estate which they, or either of them, have or claim in or to said property.

It is further stipulated and agreed that the Morris Plan Company shall retain a 4 carat loose diamond, now in its possession, and previously appraised by Roswells at the sum of \$1,000.00, and that the said T. J. Hartman as receiver for the Blue Willow Corporation, T. W. Wicks and Effie Wicks, does hereby relinquish all claim to said diamond, and does hereby convey all right, title, interest and estate which he claims or has in and to said diamond as the property of the Blue Willow Corporation, T. W. Wicks and Effie Wicks so that neither to the said T. J. Hartman, as receiver, nor the Blue Willow Corporation, T. W. Wicks, Effie Wicks, or either of them, shall have or claim any right, title or interest therein.

It is the purpose and intent of this stipulation that all matters in these actions between the parties aforesaid, are hereby fully settled so that T. J. Hartman, as Receiver aforesaid, shall receive the above described stock of the Wicks Hotel Company and the 4.58 carat loose diamond, and the Morris Plan Company, a corporation shall receive the 4 carat loose diamond.

It is further stipulated and agreed by and between the parties hereto that each and all of said parties waive any and all claims whatsoever that either of them may have against the other, arising out of the transactions involved and described in the pleadings in the two causes above named.

Dated this 18 day of April, 1934.

T. J. HARTMAN, RECEIVER OF THE BLUE HILL HOTEL COMPANY, INC., BY \_\_\_\_\_, ATTORNEY.

No. 1281 and 1347 Law - Cont'd.

HENRY L. WIST  
THE OILS PLUM COMPANY,  
By YANCY BRIDGERS & WIST  
Its Attorney.

The above stipulation approved this 18th day of April, 1938, and both of said  
above causes, No. 1281 at Law, and No. 1347 At Law, are hereby ordered dismissed  
with prejudice at the cost of the plaintiff in each respective case.

F. E. HENNINGER  
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 20 1938  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

SPRENGER OIL REFINERS, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1325 - Law. ✓  
E. T. RAMSEY, et al., Defendant. )

Now on this 20th day of April, A. D. 1938, the above styled case  
called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereafter, a  
jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Plaintiff challenges  
C. Johnson and A. V. Wisdom. The Defendants waive challenges. Thereupon, the  
jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict rendered, is as follows:  
C. Dick, W. W. Miller, W. R. Lucas, J. E. Blackburn, F. E. Stadlerman, J. C.  
Lford, Reese Fox, Frank Smallstig, Leo Jackson, W. T. L. Dodson, Gilbert  
Hill and Chas. Amadon. Opening statements of counsel are made and all wit-  
nesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof  
with the following witnesses: E. F. Harrell, Valgona Siddison. And thereafter,  
at noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to  
4:45 P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:45 P.M., on this same day, court is again  
in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every  
member present and in the box. The Plaintiff continues with E. F. Harrell.  
And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. The Defendants herein demur to the evidence  
introduced by the plaintiff, to which demurrer, ruling is reserved by the Court.  
Whereupon, the Defendants introduce evidence and proof with the following wit-  
nesses: W. E. Bates, R. Ramsey, C. A. Fitzgerald, R. A. Searle. And there-  
after, the Defendants rest. Thereupon, the plaintiff offers in rebuttal depo-  
sitions and the testimony of the following witnesses: J. T. Bradley, J. C.  
Miller. Thereupon, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admoni-  
shed by the Court and court is recessed to 9:30 A. M. April 21, 1938.