

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 JOHN D. HENRY,)
 Defendant.)

No. 6489 - Criminal. ✓

On this 7th day of July, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, John D. Henry, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$100.00 (100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the United States Marshal of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a court jail, until such time as paid or until release by due process of law.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 JOHN D. HENRY,)
 Defendant.)

No. 6501 - Criminal. ✓

On this 7th day of July, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant John D. Henry, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of not guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, a trial is called. Both sides present a case for trial. (Defendant in a bench trial). Whereupon, a jury is duly empanelled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said case and the verdict rendered is as follows: James Lee, Jr., Leo Clinton, A. E. Carter, W. E. Scott, Lester Gordon, W. W. Wells, Paul Lee, Fred Jackson, W. W. Wells, W. L. Carter, F. H. Scott, W. W. Williams. All witnesses were sworn and the Government introduced evidence as follows: The following witnesses: W. E. Scott, Fred Jackson, Leo Clinton, A. E. Carter, W. E. Scott, W. W. Wells, Paul Lee, Fred Jackson, W. W. Wells, W. L. Carter, F. H. Scott, W. W. Williams. The defendant introduced evidence as follows: The following witnesses: W. E. Scott, Fred Jackson, Leo Clinton, A. E. Carter, W. E. Scott, W. W. Wells, Paul Lee, Fred Jackson, W. W. Wells, W. L. Carter, F. H. Scott, W. W. Williams. The jury returns its verdict and the Court reads the same and the defendant is committed to the custody of the United States Marshal for the term of one year and one day.

WITNESSES

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 JOHN D. HENRY,)
 Defendant.)

No. 6502

On this 7th day of July, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant John D. Henry, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of not guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, a trial is called. Both sides present a case for trial. (Defendant in a bench trial). Whereupon, a jury is duly empanelled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said case and the verdict rendered is as follows: James Lee, Jr., Leo Clinton, A. E. Carter, W. E. Scott, Lester Gordon, W. W. Wells, Paul Lee, Fred Jackson, W. W. Wells, W. L. Carter, F. H. Scott, W. W. Williams. All witnesses were sworn and the Government introduced evidence as follows: The following witnesses: W. E. Scott, Fred Jackson, Leo Clinton, A. E. Carter, W. E. Scott, W. W. Wells, Paul Lee, Fred Jackson, W. W. Wells, W. L. Carter, F. H. Scott, W. W. Williams. The defendant introduced evidence as follows: The following witnesses: W. E. Scott, Fred Jackson, Leo Clinton, A. E. Carter, W. E. Scott, W. W. Wells, Paul Lee, Fred Jackson, W. W. Wells, W. L. Carter, F. H. Scott, W. W. Williams. The jury returns its verdict and the Court reads the same and the defendant is committed to the custody of the United States Marshal for the term of one year and one day.

On this 7th day of July, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant John D. Henry, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of not guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, a trial is called. Both sides present a case for trial. (Defendant in a bench trial). Whereupon, a jury is duly empanelled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said case and the verdict rendered is as follows: James Lee, Jr., Leo Clinton, A. E. Carter, W. E. Scott, Lester Gordon, W. W. Wells, Paul Lee, Fred Jackson, W. W. Wells, W. L. Carter, F. H. Scott, W. W. Williams. All witnesses were sworn and the Government introduced evidence as follows: The following witnesses: W. E. Scott, Fred Jackson, Leo Clinton, A. E. Carter, W. E. Scott, W. W. Wells, Paul Lee, Fred Jackson, W. W. Wells, W. L. Carter, F. H. Scott, W. W. Williams. The defendant introduced evidence as follows: The following witnesses: W. E. Scott, Fred Jackson, Leo Clinton, A. E. Carter, W. E. Scott, W. W. Wells, Paul Lee, Fred Jackson, W. W. Wells, W. L. Carter, F. H. Scott, W. W. Williams. The jury returns its verdict and the Court reads the same and the defendant is committed to the custody of the United States Marshal for the term of one year and one day.

drawing judgment in this case, an oversight was made wherein the language of the order
of the court was amended to read: "The court (10%) of future payments made by the Veterans Adminis-
tration on plaintiff's insurance contract as a result of said judgment, and it is further ordered to
award that ten per cent (10%) of future payments in the usual and ordinary course allowed
by the court in similar cases, it is therefore ordered by the Court that the order be
amended to the extent that after the word "judgment" on the fourth line from the bottom of the
second page of said judgment, the following words be added, to-wit:

"and ten per cent of all future payments made by the Veterans Administration
on said insurance as a result of this judgment."

so that the whole of the said paragraph shall read as follows, to-wit:

"It is the Justice order and judgment of the Court that the amount of the
award to the plaintiff, there shall be paid to the said Hon. G. H. Bush, as
attorney for the plaintiff, an attorney's fee in said case of ten per cent
(10%) of the amount of this judgment and ten per cent of all future pay-
ments made by the Veterans Administration on said insurance as a result
of this judgment, said amount to be paid to said attorney as provided by law."

F. E. KEENE
Judge

C. W. NEW. H. COOK
A. H. WILLIAMS,
ATTORNEY, U. S. DISTRICT COURT.

RECORDED: Filed Jul 1 1938
W. D. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

Court adjourned until July 8, 1938.

(On this 1st day of July, A. D. 1938, the District Court of the United States
in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in regular June 1938 Session at Bart-
lesville, Oklahoma, after a recess to adjournment, Bar. W. D. Warfield, Judge, presiding and
presiding.)

W. D. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
Frank G. Giddens, U. S. Attorney.
John W. Vidson, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and
entered, to-wit:

WILLIAM D. WARFIELD, Plaintiff, }
-vs- }
FRANK G. GIDDENS, U. S. ATTORNEY, and JOHN W. VIDSON, Defendants. }

No. 8014 - Oklahoma. ✓

On this 1st day of July, A. D. 1938, before the United States Attorney, repre-
senting the Government hereby, and the Defendant Clyde Adams by counsel, it is ordered,
it is ordered, the Court that the issue be returned to the jury.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)

vs.)

No. 5701 - Criminal.

-vs-

MARY McLENNAN,)
Defendant.)

vs.)

Now on the 8th day of July, A. D. 1938, court is in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every one her present, and in the afternoon thereafter Defendant in cross examined. And thereafter, the Government's evidence with its introduction of evidence followed with the following witnesses: J. H. ... thereafter, the Government rests. Thereafter, the Defendant in turn to the evidence introduced by her which deposes in, by the Court, overruled and evidence allowed. Thereafter, the Defendant to introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: ... and thereupon, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is discharged and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P. M.

And thereafter, on this same day, at 1:30 o'clock P. M., court is again in session, all parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every one her present, and in the afternoon thereafter Defendant in cross examined. And thereafter, the Government's evidence with its introduction of evidence followed with the following witnesses: ... thereafter, the Defendant in turn to the evidence introduced by her which deposes in, by the Court, overruled and evidence allowed. Thereafter, the Defendant to introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: ... and thereupon, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is discharged and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock P. M.

MARY McLENNAN

VERDICT

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
MARY McLENNAN,)

No. 5701

Now, the jury in the above entitled case, duly sworn, after deliberation, has returned the following verdict, as charged in the indictment.

F. W. ...

Noted at:

FOUND IN OPEN COURT

JULY 8 1938

U. S. ... Clerk

MARY McLENNAN

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
MARY McLENNAN,)

No. 5701

Now, the jury in the above entitled case, duly sworn, after deliberation, has returned the following verdict, as charged in the indictment.

F. W. ...

Noted at:

FOUND IN OPEN COURT

JULY 8 1938

U. S. ... Clerk

No. 3701 Cr. Cont'd.

DEEDS REVIEW

IN THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO
IN THE COUNTY OF DUNDAS

THE STATE OF ONTARIO
vs.
BERT STICKLER
} No. 3701

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly empanelled and sworn,
upon our oath, find the defendant Bert Stickler guilty, as charged in the
indictment.

D. M. DEAN
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
Jul 8 1932
W. E. Fairfield, Clerk

Whereupon, the jury having announced this to be their verdict, it
is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further service in the said case.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be set for July
11th, 1932.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 3755 - Criminal.
J. A. HOWARD & CO. INC., Defendants.)

For the United States of America, J. A. Howard, Attorney at Law, represents the plaintiff herein, and the defendant, J. A. Howard, Attorney at Law, represents the defendant herein and a formal indictment was returned in the District Court of the United States for the District of Columbia.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 3159 - Criminal.
JAMES MOTTEN, Defendant.)

No. 3159 Criminal Case of July, A. D. 1931, when the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, James Motten, Attorney at Law, herein. The defendant is arraigned and a formal indictment was returned in the District Court of the United States for the District of Columbia. Whereupon, the Court that judgment and sentence be set for July 11th, 1932.

For the United States of America, J. A. Howard, Attorney at Law, represents the plaintiff herein, and the defendant, J. A. Howard, Attorney at Law, represents the defendant herein and a formal indictment was returned in the District Court of the United States for the District of Columbia.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 6145 - Criminal. ✓
PAUL CLAYTON,)
Defendant.)

That on this 14th day of July, A. D. 1953, the defendant in the above captioned case is twice called in open court but answers not. Garabias, A. J. Olson and C. W. Martin are twice called in open court but answer not. Therefore, it is by the court ordered that the sum of \$100.00 be, and the same is hereby certified, being said sum, which copies ordered and not to be set in the case of \$100.00.

And thereafter, defendant Paul Clayton, come into open court, in person and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for a term of one year in the Federal Reformatory for Women, D. C. (30) days from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the court that the said defendant be released from custody, and it is so ordered, no writs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 6145 - Criminal. ✓
F. P. MILLER,)
Defendant.)

That on this 14th day of July, A. D. 1953, upon the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant F. P. Miller, appearing in person, the defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States (30) days. It is further ordered by the court that the said defendant be released from custody, and it is so ordered, no writs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 6145 - Criminal. ✓
F. P. MILLER,)
Defendant.)

That on this 14th day of July, A. D. 1953, upon the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant F. P. Miller, appearing in person, the defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 JAMES H. HANCOCK,)
 Defendant.

No. 6291 - Criminal.

On this 6th day of July, A. D. 1952, the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, called the defendant James H. Hancock, appearing in person. The defendant is charged with the crime of conspiracy to defraud the United States and to obstruct justice. It is ordered by the court that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Federal Marshal for the Southern District of New York.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 JAMES H. HANCOCK,)
 Defendant.

No. 6292 - Criminal.

On this 6th day of July, A. D. 1952, defendant in the above entitled cause in justice called in person, but answer not. There is a judgment by the court entered that the defendant in the amount of \$100.00 be, and the defendant is hereby ordered, unless the defendant appears and answers the complaint in the amount of \$100.00, that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Federal Marshal for the Southern District of New York.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 JAMES H. HANCOCK,)
 Defendant.

No. 6293 - Criminal.

On this 6th day of July, A. D. 1952, the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, called the defendant James H. Hancock, appearing in person. The defendant is charged with the crime of conspiracy to defraud the United States and to obstruct justice. It is ordered by the court that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Federal Marshal for the Southern District of New York.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 JAMES H. HANCOCK,)
 Defendant.

No. 6294 - Criminal.

On this 6th day of July, A. D. 1952, the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, called the defendant James H. Hancock, appearing in person. The defendant is charged with the crime of conspiracy to defraud the United States and to obstruct justice. It is ordered by the court that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Federal Marshal for the Southern District of New York.

On this 6th day of July, A. D. 1952, the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, called the defendant James H. Hancock, appearing in person. The defendant is charged with the crime of conspiracy to defraud the United States and to obstruct justice. It is ordered by the court that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Federal Marshal for the Southern District of New York.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

- vs -

WALTER DOWELL, MARGARET DOWELL,
MORRIS DOWELL,

Defendants.

No. 6386 - Criminal.

Now on this 15th day of July, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Walter Dowell, Margarett Dowell and Morris Dowell, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Walter Dowell enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 9 and not guilty to Count 8; Myrtle Dowell enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 9; Morris Dowell enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 9; all as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that Count 8 be dismissed as to all defendants. It is further ordered that each be not set for trial July 18, 1938.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

- vs -

WALTER DOWELL,

Defendant.

No. 6386 - Criminal.

Now on this 15th day of July, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Walter Dowell appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned, pleads not guilty to the first to third and seventh counts, and is charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

- vs -

LOUIS H. BAKER & JOHN W. BAKER,

Defendants.

No. 6470 - Criminal.

Now on this 15th day of July, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Louis Baker and John Baker appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

LOUIS BAKER

He be imprisoned in the custody of the United States Marshal of the United States, or his authorized representative, for a term of one year, to wit, from the date of this judgment until the date of his release, and he be fined the sum of \$1000.00, to be paid in full at the date of his release.

JOHN BAKER

He be imprisoned in the custody of the United States Marshal of the United States, or his authorized representative, for a term of one year, to wit, from the date of this judgment until the date of his release, and he be fined the sum of \$1000.00, to be paid in full at the date of his release.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

- v -

No. 6488 - Criminal.

PAUL WOODS, et al. vs. THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendants.

Whereas it is the duty of the United States Attorney, representing the Government, to prosecute the defendants, Clara Nash and David Poole, appearing in person, who were indicted and arraigned and each entered a plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment returned at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

CLARA NASH

Paul Woods, the United States in the sum of Fifty (50.0) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, to be confined in a County Jail, until said fine is paid, or until released by the proper authority.

DAVID POOLE

Paul Woods, the United States in the sum of Fifty (50.0) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, to be confined in a County Jail, until said fine is paid, or until released by the proper authority.

It is further ordered by the Court that each of the defendants be given until July 11, 1934, to pay said fines.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs. PAUL WOODS, et al.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

- v -

No. 1237 - Law

PAUL WOODS, et al. vs. THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

- v -

No. 1237 - Law

Legal Aid
Office.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs. THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

No. 1237 - Law

10. 1934, 1935, 1937 L. 1. 1. 1.

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D. C.

It is this day ordered, by the authority of the Attorney General, that the following order be made, and it shall be the duty of the said Attorney General, his assistants, and all persons in the service of the said Attorney General, to see that the same be strictly observed, and that the same be printed in the Statutes at Large, 1934, 1935, 1937 L. 1. 1. 1.

This July 20, 1934.

W. H. H. [Signature]
Attorney General

Filed July 9 1934
W. H. H. [Signature]
U. S. Attorney

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of the Department of Justice at Washington, D. C. this 20th day of July, 1934.

W. H. H. [Signature], Administrator of the Estate of R. H. H. [Name], Deceased, et al.,
vs.
The United States of America, Defendant.

ORDER OF THE COURT

On the 10th day of July, 1934, the following order was made in the above entitled matter, to wit: That the said Administrator of the Estate of R. H. H. [Name], Deceased, et al., be and he is hereby appointed receiver of the said estate, and that he do and he is hereby authorized to do all such things as may be necessary and proper to carry out the duties of the said office, and that he do and he is hereby authorized to do all such things as may be necessary and proper to carry out the duties of the said office, and that he do and he is hereby authorized to do all such things as may be necessary and proper to carry out the duties of the said office.

It is the order of the court that the said order be printed in the Statutes at Large, 1934, 1935, 1937 L. 1. 1. 1.

Filed July 9 1934
W. H. H. [Signature]
U. S. Attorney

W. H. H. [Signature]
Attorney General

This July 20, 1934.

On this 9th day of July, A. D. 1936, the District Court of the District of Columbia for the Northern District of Columbia, sitting in regular court at the West Building, West Building, Washington, D. C., in the presence of the following parties:

W. B. Keenan, Chief, U. S. District Court.
John W. Callahan, United States Attorney.
John W. Moore, United States Marshal.

Read the minutes of the proceedings which have been duly made, and the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 5610 - Civil 1. ✓
ALVIN KARPIS and JIMMIE HOPKINS, Defendants.)

For on this 9th day of July, A. D. 1936, the Court at had case in called. Defendant Clyde Thomas is present in person. Both sides arrange ready. The Court is duly organized and ready to receive evidence. Both sides waive ability to object to the jury. Arrangements for the jury are as follows: W. B. Keenan, W. W. Moore, Mr. Shaffer, C. J. Moran, W. Brooks, W. R. Younger, Gen. Walker, W. W. Lord, R. E. Lister, J. W. Williams, Fred Cox, H. R. Vreeland. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Court reads their direct evidence and reads the following witnesses: Mr. Moran, Mr. Moore, Mr. Shaffer, the Government exhibits. The defendant introduces evidence to rebut the Government's evidence: Clyde Thomas, Mr. Shaffer, Mr. Vreeland. The Court instructs the jury on the law in the case. The jury retires to deliberate and deliver their verdict. The jury returns, with the verdict, the jury returns in open court, and the Court reads their verdict. The verdict is in favor of the Government as follows:

VERDICT

IN FAVOR OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS.

UNITED STATES)
vs.) No. 5610
ALVIN KARPIS)

We, the jury in the above-captioned case, do hereby find and return, that the defendant Clyde Thomas guilty, as charged in the indictment.

W. B. KEENAN
Chief, U. S. District Court

WILLIAM H. MOORE, Clerk
W. B. Keenan, Chief
U. S. District Court

And therefore, the jury having returned their verdict as above recited herein, it is ordered by the Court that the said defendant be committed to the custody of the United States Marshal.

Witness my hand and seal of the Court at Washington, D. C., this 9th day of July, 1936.

W. B. Keenan, Chief, U. S. District Court
John W. Callahan, United States Attorney
John W. Moore, United States Marshal

No. 307 - Criminal.

Whereas, the Defendant with respect to the above captioned case, has been found guilty of the crime of conspiracy to defraud the United States, and

J. W. WALKER
Clerk of Court

THIS IS Open Court
July 9, 1938
J. W. Walker, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Accordingly, the Court having announced this date its decision in the above captioned case, it is ordered by the Court that said case be discharged from further consideration in said case.

It is further ordered by the Court that Judge W. W. Walker be and he is ordered on vacation, with respect to the following:

to be appointed by the Attorney General of the United States, or his duly authorized representative, for a definite term of not more than six (6) months:

Said term shall be for a period of one (1) year and one (1) month, and the salary of said officer, in the sum of One Hundred (100.0) Dollars, and the expenses of said officer, shall be paid until said officer shall have been relieved by the Attorney General. Said sentence of the Attorney General shall be the highest of the sentence in Court One.

WALTER WALKER, Plaintiff,

-v-

WALTER WALKER, Defendant,
C. WALKER, Defendant,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

No. 307 - Criminal.

This in the City of Washington, D. C., on the 9th day of July, A. D. 1938, in and to the Court, personally appeared the undersigned, Walter W. Walker, Attorney at Law, who came to the Court to defend the Defendant, Walter W. Walker, and the Defendant, C. Walker.

WALTER WALKER, Plaintiff,

-v-

WALTER WALKER,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

No. 307 - Criminal.

On the 9th day of July, A. D. 1938, says the undersigned attorney, representing the Defendant, Walter W. Walker, in person. The Defendant, Walter W. Walker, does not appear as defendant in the above captioned case, and the Court that said case be discharged, due to its efficient conduct.

REPUBLICAN PARTY, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 JAMES H. HAYES, Defendant.)
 No. 6465 - Civil.

Now on this 17th day of July, A. D. 1958, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be dismissed, due to insufficient evidence.

REPUBLICAN PARTY, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 JAMES H. HAYES and JAMES H. HAYES, Defendants.)
 No. 6471 - Civil.

Now on this 17th day of July, A. D. 1958, comes the above styled cause, captioned as above, between the Republican Party, and the defendants, James H. Hayes and James H. Hayes, appearing in person. The defendant are and are restrained and each ordered to show as follows: First, that the Republican Party is guilty; that James H. Hayes and James H. Hayes are guilty in the information filed hereof. Wherefore, it is ordered by the court, upon motion of the Republican Party, that the cause be dismissed as to defendant, James H. Hayes, and as to defendant, James H. Hayes, and sentence be imposed on defendant, James H. Hayes, as follows:

That the defendant, James H. Hayes, be fined the sum of \$100.00, and that the defendant, James H. Hayes, be imprisoned for a term of six months, and that the defendant, James H. Hayes, be imprisoned for a term of six months.

July 16th 1958 James H. Hayes and James H. Hayes.

REPUBLICAN PARTY, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 JAMES H. HAYES, Defendant.)
 No. 6475 - Civil.

Now on this 17th day of July, A. D. 1958, comes the above styled cause, captioned as above, between the Republican Party, and the defendant, James H. Hayes, appearing in person. The defendant is and is restrained and each ordered to show as follows: First, that the Republican Party is guilty; that James H. Hayes is guilty in the information filed hereof. Wherefore, it is ordered by the court that this cause be stricken from the trial court's records. It is further ordered by the court that James H. Hayes, defendant herein, be fined the sum of \$100.00 and be imprisoned for a term of six months.

REPUBLICAN PARTY, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 JAMES H. HAYES, Defendant.)
 No. 6476 - Civil.

Now on this 17th day of July, A. D. 1958, comes the above styled cause, captioned as above, between the Republican Party, and the defendant, James H. Hayes, appearing in person. The defendant is and is restrained and each ordered to show as follows: First, that the Republican Party is guilty; that James H. Hayes is guilty in the information filed hereof. Wherefore, it is ordered by the court that this cause be stricken from the trial court's records. It is further ordered by the court that James H. Hayes, defendant herein, be fined the sum of \$100.00 and be imprisoned for a term of six months.

No. 17-2, 1958.

That the defendant is guilty as charged. Therefore, the court orders that the defendant be sentenced as follows:

To be fined in the sum of one hundred dollars and to be imprisoned for a term of six months in the County Jail, New York, New York, or in any other place of confinement approved by the court.

Blacky-100 (17) days from the date of this order.

It is further ordered by the court that a writ of habeas corpus be granted.

WITNESSED my hand and seal of office this 17th day of July, 1958.

Plaintiff,

No. 17-2, 1958.

WITNESSED my hand and seal of office this 17th day of July, 1958.

Defendant.

That the defendant is guilty as charged. Therefore, the court orders that the defendant be sentenced as follows:

To be fined in the sum of one hundred dollars and to be imprisoned for a term of six months in the County Jail, New York, New York, or in any other place of confinement approved by the court.

Blacky-100 (17) days from the date of this order.

It is further ordered by the court that a writ of habeas corpus be granted.

WITNESSED my hand and seal of office this 17th day of July, 1958.

Plaintiff,

No. 17-2, 1958.

WITNESSED my hand and seal of office this 17th day of July, 1958.

Defendant.

That the defendant is guilty as charged. Therefore, the court orders that the defendant be sentenced as follows:

To be fined in the sum of one hundred dollars and to be imprisoned for a term of six months in the County Jail, New York, New York, or in any other place of confinement approved by the court.

Blacky-100 (17) days from the date of this order.

It is further ordered by the court that a writ of habeas corpus be granted.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, [redacted]
SUBJECT: [redacted] No. 100- [redacted]

On July 11, 1964, [redacted] advised that [redacted] was arrested on July 10, 1964, at [redacted] and charged with [redacted]. The defendant is being held in the [redacted] Jail, [redacted]. The defendant is being held in the [redacted] Jail, [redacted].

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, [redacted]
SUBJECT: [redacted] No. 100- [redacted]

On July 11, 1964, [redacted] advised that [redacted] was arrested on July 10, 1964, at [redacted] and charged with [redacted]. The defendant is being held in the [redacted] Jail, [redacted]. The defendant is being held in the [redacted] Jail, [redacted].

On July 11, 1964, [redacted] advised that [redacted] was arrested on July 10, 1964, at [redacted] and charged with [redacted]. The defendant is being held in the [redacted] Jail, [redacted]. The defendant is being held in the [redacted] Jail, [redacted].

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, [redacted]
SUBJECT: [redacted] No. 100- [redacted]

On July 11, 1964, [redacted] advised that [redacted] was arrested on July 10, 1964, at [redacted] and charged with [redacted]. The defendant is being held in the [redacted] Jail, [redacted]. The defendant is being held in the [redacted] Jail, [redacted].

On July 11, 1964, [redacted] advised that [redacted] was arrested on July 10, 1964, at [redacted] and charged with [redacted]. The defendant is being held in the [redacted] Jail, [redacted]. The defendant is being held in the [redacted] Jail, [redacted].

On this 11th day of July, A. D. 1938, the District Court of the 11th day of July, A. D. 1938, the District Court of the United States, for the Northern District of Maryland, held its regular July 1938 Session at Charlesville, Maryland, at 10 o'clock, A. M., J. W. M. [Name], Judge, presiding.

J. W. M. [Name], Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John W. Goldenberg, U. S. Attorney.
John W. [Name], United States Marshal.

Public [Name] having been duly sworn, the following records were had and entered, to-wit:

JOHN W. M. [Name],	Plaintiff,) No. 1701 - Criminal.
-vs-		
HARRY MCNEILL, et al.,	Defendants.	

Now on this 11th day of July, A. D. 1938, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on said defendants as follows:

HARRY MCNEILL

He is committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of: Six (6) months, from date of delivery.

J. W. M. [Name]

He is committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of: Six (6) months, from date of delivery.

JOHN W. M. [Name]

He is committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of: Six (6) months, from date of delivery.

JOHN W. M. [Name]

He is committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of: Six (6) months, from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the court that the costs of this proceeding be paid by the defendant [Name] in the sum of \$500.00.

To: Mr. H. H. ...

That after the ... it is ...

... followed by the Court ...

... of the ...

... follows.

UNITED STATES ...

Plaintiff, }

- vs -

No. 6110 - Criminal.

...

Defendant. }

On July 11, 1964, A. D. 1964, ...

UNITED STATES ...

Plaintiff, }

- vs -

No. 6118 - Criminal.

...

Defendant. }

On July 11, 1964, ...

To ... (12.0) ...

...

IN RE: C. G. B. BENTLEY.

Whereas the undersigned of the United States District Court for the District of West Virginia, in and for the Eastern District of West Virginia, at Charleston, West Virginia, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct copy of the original as the same appears in the files of the Court:

That the same is a true and correct copy of all the papers in the case.

Witness my hand and the seal of the Court at Charleston, West Virginia, this _____ day of _____, 19____.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,

WEST VIRGINIA,

-*-

Case No. 194 - 194 - 1.

WEST VIRGINIA DISTRICT COURT,

Defendant's.

That the above is a true and correct copy of all the papers in the case, as the same appears in the files of the Court, and that the same is a true and correct copy of all the papers in the case, as the same appears in the files of the Court.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,

WEST VIRGINIA,

-*-

Case No. 194 - 194 - 1.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,

Defendant's.

That the above is a true and correct copy of all the papers in the case, as the same appears in the files of the Court, and that the same is a true and correct copy of all the papers in the case, as the same appears in the files of the Court.

That the above is a true and correct copy of all the papers in the case, as the same appears in the files of the Court, and that the same is a true and correct copy of all the papers in the case, as the same appears in the files of the Court.

WEST VIRGINIA

That the above is a true and correct copy of all the papers in the case, as the same appears in the files of the Court, and that the same is a true and correct copy of all the papers in the case, as the same appears in the files of the Court.

That the above is a true and correct copy of all the papers in the case, as the same appears in the files of the Court, and that the same is a true and correct copy of all the papers in the case, as the same appears in the files of the Court.

WEST VIRGINIA

That the above is a true and correct copy of all the papers in the case, as the same appears in the files of the Court, and that the same is a true and correct copy of all the papers in the case, as the same appears in the files of the Court.

CONFIDENTIAL

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..

CONFIDENTIAL

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

CONFIDENTIAL

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, FBI

No. 100 - 100000 ✓

RE: [Illegible]

[Illegible text]

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, FBI

No. 100 - 100000 ✓

RE: [Illegible]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, FBI

No. 100 - 100000 ✓

RE: [Illegible]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, FBI

No. 100 - 100000 ✓

RE: [Illegible]

[Illegible text]

o. 1951 11. 11. 11.

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

- 1. F.
- 2.
- 3.

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

-xx-

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
JOHN O'BRIEN,)
Defendant.)

No. 3174 - 1949

This is the first day of July, A. D. 1950, when the said John O'Brien, representative of the American People, and the defendant, John O'Brien, who is charged in the indictment with the crime of being a spy for the United States of America, were in the District Court of the United States of America, and the following is the record of the proceedings there:

That the said John O'Brien, Defendant, is charged with the crime of being a spy for the United States of America, and the following is the record of the proceedings there:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
JOHN O'BRIEN,)
Defendant.)

No. 3174 - 1949

This is the first day of July, A. D. 1950, when the said John O'Brien, representative of the American People, and the defendant, John O'Brien, who is charged in the indictment with the crime of being a spy for the United States of America, were in the District Court of the United States of America, and the following is the record of the proceedings there:

That the said John O'Brien, Defendant, is charged with the crime of being a spy for the United States of America, and the following is the record of the proceedings there:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
JOHN O'BRIEN,)
Defendant.)

No. 3174 - 1949

This is the first day of July, A. D. 1950, when the said John O'Brien, representative of the American People, and the defendant, John O'Brien, who is charged in the indictment with the crime of being a spy for the United States of America, were in the District Court of the United States of America, and the following is the record of the proceedings there:

That the said John O'Brien, Defendant, is charged with the crime of being a spy for the United States of America, and the following is the record of the proceedings there:

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, FBI
SUBJECT: [Illegible]

[Illegible typed text, likely the main body of the memorandum]

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, FBI
SUBJECT: [Illegible]

[Illegible typed text, likely the main body of the memorandum]

F. B. I.

[Illegible typed text, possibly a list or specific details]

RE: [Illegible]

[Illegible typed text, possibly a list or specific details]

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

RE: [Illegible]

[Illegible typed text]

[Illegible typed text]

[Illegible typed text]

[Illegible typed text]

RE: [Illegible]

[Illegible typed text]

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

DATE: 10/15/54

RE: [Illegible]

1. [Illegible]

2. [Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

3. [Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible] ✓

4. [Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

5. [Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible] ✓

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

[Illegible]

CONFIDENTIAL

The following information was obtained from a confidential source, who has provided reliable information in the past, and is being furnished to you for your information. The source has advised that the following information was obtained from a confidential source, who has provided reliable information in the past, and is being furnished to you for your information.

The following information was obtained from a confidential source, who has provided reliable information in the past, and is being furnished to you for your information.

The following information was obtained from a confidential source, who has provided reliable information in the past, and is being furnished to you for your information.

TO: SAC, NEW YORK
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK
DATE: 10/10/50

No. 1004 - 10/10/50

The following information was obtained from a confidential source, who has provided reliable information in the past, and is being furnished to you for your information. The source has advised that the following information was obtained from a confidential source, who has provided reliable information in the past, and is being furnished to you for your information.

TO: SAC, NEW YORK
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK
DATE: 10/10/50

No. 1005 - 10/10/50

The following information was obtained from a confidential source, who has provided reliable information in the past, and is being furnished to you for your information. The source has advised that the following information was obtained from a confidential source, who has provided reliable information in the past, and is being furnished to you for your information.

STATE OF OHIO,)
Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 8407 - 1954 C. ✓

JOHN W. BROWN, JR.,)
Defendant.)

That on this 1st day of July, A. D. 1954, the above named Plaintiff, State of Ohio, did file in the Court of Common Pleas, in and for the County of Hamilton, Ohio, a certain Complaint, the substance of which is as follows:

STATE OF OHIO,)
Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 8411 - 1954 C. ✓

WALTER W. BROWN,)
Defendant.)

That on this 1st day of July, A. D. 1954, the above named Plaintiff, State of Ohio, did file in the Court of Common Pleas, in and for the County of Hamilton, Ohio, a certain Complaint, the substance of which is as follows:

STATE OF OHIO,)
Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 8412 - 1954 C. ✓

WALTER W. BROWN,)
Defendant.)

That on this 1st day of July, A. D. 1954, the above named Plaintiff, State of Ohio, did file in the Court of Common Pleas, in and for the County of Hamilton, Ohio, a certain Complaint, the substance of which is as follows: Defendant, Walter W. Brown, is a resident of the County of Hamilton, Ohio, and is a member of the Hamilton County Board of Commissioners. Defendant, Walter W. Brown, is a resident of the County of Hamilton, Ohio, and is a member of the Hamilton County Board of Commissioners. Defendant, Walter W. Brown, is a resident of the County of Hamilton, Ohio, and is a member of the Hamilton County Board of Commissioners.

STATE OF OHIO,)
Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 8413 - 1954 C. ✓

WALTER W. BROWN,)
Defendant.)

That on this 1st day of July, A. D. 1954, the above named Plaintiff, State of Ohio, did file in the Court of Common Pleas, in and for the County of Hamilton, Ohio, a certain Complaint, the substance of which is as follows: Defendant, Walter W. Brown, is a resident of the County of Hamilton, Ohio, and is a member of the Hamilton County Board of Commissioners. Defendant, Walter W. Brown, is a resident of the County of Hamilton, Ohio, and is a member of the Hamilton County Board of Commissioners. Defendant, Walter W. Brown, is a resident of the County of Hamilton, Ohio, and is a member of the Hamilton County Board of Commissioners.

TO : SAC, NEW YORK
FROM : SAC, PHOENIX
SUBJECT: [Illegible]

NY 6491 - [Illegible]

Reference is made to Phoenix letter to New York dated [Illegible], and New York letter to Phoenix dated [Illegible].

PHOENIX

On [Illegible] at Phoenix, Arizona, [Illegible] advised that [Illegible] had been contacted by [Illegible] who stated that [Illegible] was currently residing at [Illegible] address.

It is noted that [Illegible] was born [Illegible] at [Illegible] Arizona, 1938.

NEW YORK

On [Illegible] at New York, New York, [Illegible] advised that [Illegible] had been contacted by [Illegible] who stated that [Illegible] was currently residing at [Illegible] address.

It is noted that [Illegible] was born [Illegible] at [Illegible] New York, 1938.

Reference is made to Phoenix letter to New York dated [Illegible], and New York letter to Phoenix dated [Illegible].

PHOENIX

NY 6491 - [Illegible]

Very truly yours,
[Illegible Signature]
Special Agent in Charge

... ..
... ..
... ..

(1)
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

UNITED STATES
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

UNITED STATES
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

FILE NO.,

No. 107 - 1936.

WILLIAM B. WOODRUFF,
CINCINNATI, OHIO,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Date

On the 10th day of July, A. D. 1936, the undersigned attorney, representing the undersigned, et al, the defendants, Roy A. ... , F. B. ... , J. B. ... and Frank ... , against the ... and ... charged ... as follows: Roy A. ... guilty to counts 1 and 2; ... not guilty to counts 1 and 2; ... guilty to counts 1 and 2; ... not guilty to counts 1 and 2; J. B. ... guilty to counts 1 and 2; F. B. ... guilty to counts 1 and 2; and ... guilty to counts 1 and 2; all as charged in the indictment captioned ...

Wherefore, said case is called, opened and argued, ... and ... a jury is duly organized ... the ... sworn to ... is as follows: ... witnesses: ...

WILLIAM B. WOODRUFF

WILLIAM B. WOODRUFF, Attorney for Plaintiff

FILE NO.

No. 107

Date

WITNESSES

... witnesses: ...

NO. 1 (REV. 11-15-61)

1. TITLE

1. TITLE: [Faint text, possibly "Performance of..."]

2. AUTHOR: [Faint text]
3. PERIOD COVERED: [Faint text]

4. SUBJECT

4. SUBJECT: [Faint text, possibly "Performance of..."]

5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENTS:
a. REPORT
b. AUTHOR'S ABSTRACT
c. AUTHOR'S SUMMARY

6. ABSTRACT

6. ABSTRACT: [Faint text]

7. AUTHOR: [Faint text]
8. PERIOD COVERED: [Faint text]

9. SUBJECT

9. SUBJECT: [Faint text, possibly "Performance of..."]

10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENTS:
a. REPORT
b. AUTHOR'S ABSTRACT
c. AUTHOR'S SUMMARY

11. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENTS:
a. REPORT
b. AUTHOR'S ABSTRACT
c. AUTHOR'S SUMMARY

12. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENTS:
a. REPORT
b. AUTHOR'S ABSTRACT
c. AUTHOR'S SUMMARY

13. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENTS:
a. REPORT
b. AUTHOR'S ABSTRACT
c. AUTHOR'S SUMMARY

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000. The defendant, [Name], is charged with [Charge]. The following facts are in dispute:

[Faded text block]

[Faded text block]

[Faded text block]

[Faded text block]

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000. The defendant, [Name], is charged with [Charge]. The following facts are in dispute:

[Faded text block]

[Faded text block]

[Faded text block]

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000

CONFIDENTIAL, OML/1000. The defendant, [Name], is charged with [Charge]. The following facts are in dispute:

[Faded text block]

[Faded text block]

[Faded text block]

IN SENATE

REPORT OF THE COMMISSIONERS OF THE LAND OFFICE

COMMISSIONERS OF THE LAND OFFICE,

ALBANY,

1880.

NEW YORK: PUBLISHED BY THE COMMISSIONERS OF THE LAND OFFICE,

ALBANY.

THE COMMISSIONERS OF THE LAND OFFICE, in compliance with a resolution of the SENATE, passed on the 10th day of January, 1879, have the honor to submit herewith a report on the subject of the LANDS BELONGING TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK, and on the manner in which they are managed, and on the means of improving them. The report is divided into two parts, the first of which contains a general statement of the lands belonging to the State, and the second a detailed account of the lands which are now being sold, and of the proceeds of such sales. The report also contains a statement of the lands which are now being held for the State, and of the means of disposing of them.

REPORT OF THE COMMISSIONERS OF THE LAND OFFICE

FOR THE YEAR ENDING THE 31st DAY OF DECEMBER, 1879.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER I. GENERAL STATEMENT OF THE LANDS BELONGING TO THE STATE OF NEW YORK.

SECTION I. LANDS BELONGING TO THE STATE.

SECTION II. LANDS BELONGING TO THE STATE.

SECTION III. LANDS BELONGING TO THE STATE.

SECTION IV. LANDS BELONGING TO THE STATE.

SECTION V. LANDS BELONGING TO THE STATE.

The following is a list of the lands belonging to the State of New York, and of the manner in which they are managed, and of the means of improving them. The list is divided into two parts, the first of which contains a general statement of the lands belonging to the State, and the second a detailed account of the lands which are now being sold, and of the proceeds of such sales. The list also contains a statement of the lands which are now being held for the State, and of the means of disposing of them.

SECTION VI. LANDS BELONGING TO THE STATE.

SECTION VII. LANDS BELONGING TO THE STATE.

SECTION VIII. LANDS BELONGING TO THE STATE.

SECTION IX. LANDS BELONGING TO THE STATE.

SECTION X. LANDS BELONGING TO THE STATE.

The following is a list of the lands belonging to the State of New York, and of the manner in which they are managed, and of the means of improving them. The list is divided into two parts, the first of which contains a general statement of the lands belonging to the State, and the second a detailed account of the lands which are now being sold, and of the proceeds of such sales. The list also contains a statement of the lands which are now being held for the State, and of the means of disposing of them.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

The following information was obtained from a review of the files of the Office of the Director, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C., on October 10, 1950.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

The following information was obtained from a review of the files of the Office of the Director, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C., on October 10, 1950.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, WASHINGTON, D.C.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, WASHINGTON, D.C.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, WASHINGTON, D.C.

The following information was obtained from a review of the files of the Office of the Director, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C., on October 10, 1950.

The following information was obtained from a review of the files of the Office of the Director, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C., on October 10, 1950.

The following information was obtained from a review of the files of the Office of the Director, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C., on October 10, 1950.

The following information was obtained from a review of the files of the Office of the Director, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C., on October 10, 1950.

The following information was obtained from a review of the files of the Office of the Director, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C., on October 10, 1950.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, WASHINGTON, D.C.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, WASHINGTON, D.C.

The following information was obtained from a review of the files of the Office of the Director, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C., on October 10, 1950.

The following information was obtained from a review of the files of the Office of the Director, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C., on October 10, 1950.

The following information was obtained from a review of the files of the Office of the Director, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C., on October 10, 1950.

The following information was obtained from a review of the files of the Office of the Director, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C., on October 10, 1950.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

REPUBLIC OF POLYNESIA
PUNAHU, HAWAII

SEPTEMBER, 1938

PLAINTIFF,
No. 1001 - 1st Div.
Defendants.

On the 10th day of July, 1938, the undersigned, Attorney, re-
presented to the Court, and the Honorable Judge, the following: The
plaintiff, [Name], of the County of Hawaii, State of Hawaii, in the
County of Hawaii, State of Hawaii, is the owner of the
[Property], [Address], [City], [State], and is the
owner of the [Property], [Address], [City], [State].

PLAINTIFF,
No. 1002 - 1st Div.
Defendants.

On the 10th day of July, 1938, the undersigned, Attorney, re-
presented to the Court, and the Honorable Judge, the following: The
plaintiff, [Name], of the County of Hawaii, State of Hawaii, in the
County of Hawaii, State of Hawaii, is the owner of the [Property],
[Address], [City], [State], and is the owner of the [Property],
[Address], [City], [State].

PLAINTIFF,
No. 1003 - 1st Div.
Defendants.

On the 10th day of July, 1938, the undersigned, Attorney, re-
presented to the Court, and the Honorable Judge, the following: The
plaintiff, [Name], of the County of Hawaii, State of Hawaii, in the
County of Hawaii, State of Hawaii, is the owner of the [Property],
[Address], [City], [State], and is the owner of the [Property],
[Address], [City], [State].

PLAINTIFF,
No. 1004 - 1st Div.
Defendants.

On the 10th day of July, 1938, the undersigned, Attorney, re-
presented to the Court, and the Honorable Judge, the following: The
plaintiff, [Name], of the County of Hawaii, State of Hawaii, in the
County of Hawaii, State of Hawaii, is the owner of the [Property],
[Address], [City], [State], and is the owner of the [Property],
[Address], [City], [State].

Section 1: Introduction
This document outlines the procedures for the project. It is intended for all team members.

Section 2: Objectives
The primary goal of this project is to develop a comprehensive strategy for market expansion.

Section 3: Methodology
The research methodology involves a combination of qualitative and quantitative data analysis.

Section 4: Results
Preliminary results indicate a strong correlation between the variables studied.

Section 5: Discussion
The findings suggest that the proposed strategy is viable and warrants further investigation.

Section 6: Conclusion
In conclusion, the project has provided valuable insights into the market dynamics.

Section 7: Recommendations
Based on the findings, it is recommended that the project proceed to the next phase.

Section 8: Appendix
Detailed data and supporting documents are provided in the appendix.

Section 9: Bibliography
References are listed at the end of the document for further reading.

Section 10: Contact Information
For more information, please contact the project manager at [email address].

[Faint text]

[Faint text block containing several lines of illegible information]

[Faint text block containing several lines of illegible information]

[Faint text block containing several lines of illegible information]

Dear Sir,

10.11.61

I have received your letter of the 10th inst. regarding the matter mentioned therein. I am sorry that I cannot give you a more definite answer at this time. The matter is being reviewed and I will be in touch with you again as soon as a final decision has been reached.

Yours faithfully,

John G. ...
in (C) ...

Yours faithfully,

John G. ...

John G. ...

Dear Sir,

10.11.61

I have received your letter of the 10th inst. regarding the matter mentioned therein. I am sorry that I cannot give you a more definite answer at this time. The matter is being reviewed and I will be in touch with you again as soon as a final decision has been reached.

Yours faithfully,

John G. ...

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C.
DEFENSE CONTRACT

No. 100 - 10000

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C.
DEFENSE CONTRACT

DEFENSE CONTRACT

No. 100 - 10000

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C.
DEFENSE CONTRACT

DEFENSE CONTRACT

No. 100 - 10000

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C.
DEFENSE CONTRACT

DEFENSE CONTRACT

No. 100 - 10000

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C.
DEFENSE CONTRACT

2. SIGNATURES

ATTENTION

ATTENTION

CONFIDENTIAL - SECURITY INFORMATION
The following information was obtained from a review of the files of the
Internal Security - Communist, New York, File No. 100-100000-100000.

On 10/15/54, [redacted] advised that [redacted] had been
seen at the home of [redacted] at [redacted] New York City.

It is noted that [redacted] has been seen at the home of [redacted] on
10/15/54.

CONFIDENTIAL - SECURITY INFORMATION

On 10/15/54, [redacted] advised that [redacted] had been
seen at the home of [redacted] at [redacted] New York City.

CONFIDENTIAL - SECURITY INFORMATION

On 10/15/54, [redacted] advised that [redacted] had been
seen at the home of [redacted] at [redacted] New York City.

It is noted that [redacted] has been seen at the home of [redacted] on
10/15/54.

CONFIDENTIAL - SECURITY INFORMATION

On 10/15/54, [redacted] advised that [redacted] had been
seen at the home of [redacted] at [redacted] New York City.

It is noted that [redacted] has been seen at the home of [redacted] on
10/15/54.

1. On 10/15/64, the following information was received from the [redacted] regarding the [redacted] of the [redacted] in the [redacted] area.

2. The [redacted] advised that the [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] hours. The [redacted] was [redacted] by [redacted] and [redacted].

3. The [redacted] further stated that the [redacted] was [redacted] in the [redacted] area and that the [redacted] was [redacted] by [redacted].

4. It is noted that the [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] hours. The [redacted] was [redacted] by [redacted] and [redacted].

5. The [redacted] advised that the [redacted] was [redacted] in the [redacted] area and that the [redacted] was [redacted] by [redacted].

6. It is noted that the [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] hours. The [redacted] was [redacted] by [redacted] and [redacted].

7. The [redacted] further stated that the [redacted] was [redacted] in the [redacted] area and that the [redacted] was [redacted] by [redacted].

8. It is noted that the [redacted] was [redacted] on [redacted] at [redacted] hours. The [redacted] was [redacted] by [redacted] and [redacted].

9. The [redacted] advised that the [redacted] was [redacted] in the [redacted] area and that the [redacted] was [redacted] by [redacted].

... ..
... ..
... ..

...

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... .. ✓

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..
... ..

...

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..

... .. ✓

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

1. [illegible]
 2. [illegible]
 3. [illegible]
 4. [illegible]
 5. [illegible]
 6. [illegible]
 7. [illegible]
 8. [illegible]
 9. [illegible]
 10. [illegible]
 11. [illegible]
 12. [illegible]
 13. [illegible]
 14. [illegible]
 15. [illegible]
 16. [illegible]
 17. [illegible]
 18. [illegible]
 19. [illegible]
 20. [illegible]

1. [illegible]
 2. [illegible]
 3. [illegible]
 4. [illegible]
 5. [illegible]
 6. [illegible]
 7. [illegible]
 8. [illegible]
 9. [illegible]
 10. [illegible]
 11. [illegible]
 12. [illegible]
 13. [illegible]
 14. [illegible]
 15. [illegible]
 16. [illegible]
 17. [illegible]
 18. [illegible]
 19. [illegible]
 20. [illegible]

This document contains information that is classified as CONFIDENTIAL. It is intended for the use of authorized personnel only. The information contained herein is the property of the United States Government and is not to be distributed outside the agency to which it is furnished.

[illegible]
 [illegible]

[illegible]
 [illegible]
 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[Illegible text]

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

MEMORANDUM

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE: 10/15/70
SUBJECT: [Illegible]

[Illegible text]

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE: 10/15/70
SUBJECT: [Illegible]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

[Illegible text]

Dear Sirs,

I am pleased to inform you that your application for the position of [Job Title] has been reviewed and we are pleased to offer you the position. The offer is for a full-time position, starting on [Start Date].

The salary for this position is [Salary] per annum, plus a [Percentage] bonus. The benefits package includes [List of Benefits].

Please accept my congratulations and we look forward to your joining the team.

- [Benefit 1]
- [Benefit 2]
- [Benefit 3]

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

[Name]
[Title]
[Company Name]

[Address]
[City]
[Postcode]

Please contact [Contact Name] on [Phone Number] or [Email Address] if you have any queries.

We are an equal opportunity employer and we encourage applications from all qualified candidates.

- [Benefit 4]
- [Benefit 5]
- [Benefit 6]

[Illegible text]

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..



Section 1: Introduction

Section 2: Main Content

Section 3: Conclusion

Section 4: Appendix

Section 5: References

Section 6: Notes

Section 7: Bibliography

Section 8: Index

1. [Illegible text]

2. [Illegible text]

3. [Illegible text]

4. [Illegible text]

5. [Illegible text]

6. [Illegible text]

7. [Illegible text]

8. [Illegible text]

9. [Illegible text]

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is crucial for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the specific procedures and protocols that must be followed to ensure that all records are properly maintained and updated. This includes regular audits and reviews to identify any discrepancies or errors.

3. The third part of the document provides a detailed overview of the various systems and tools used to manage and store records. It highlights the importance of using secure and reliable technology to protect sensitive information.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the role of all employees in maintaining accurate records. It stresses that every individual has a responsibility to ensure that their work is properly documented and reported.

5. The fifth part of the document provides a summary of the key points discussed and offers recommendations for further improvement. It encourages ongoing communication and collaboration between all departments to ensure the highest standards of record-keeping.

6. The sixth part of the document includes a list of resources and references that can be used for further information and guidance. This includes links to relevant laws and regulations, as well as industry best practices.

7. The seventh part of the document provides a detailed overview of the various systems and tools used to manage and store records. It highlights the importance of using secure and reliable technology to protect sensitive information.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the role of all employees in maintaining accurate records. It stresses that every individual has a responsibility to ensure that their work is properly documented and reported.

9. The ninth part of the document provides a summary of the key points discussed and offers recommendations for further improvement. It encourages ongoing communication and collaboration between all departments to ensure the highest standards of record-keeping.

10. The tenth part of the document includes a list of resources and references that can be used for further information and guidance. This includes links to relevant laws and regulations, as well as industry best practices.

SECRET

1. The purpose of this document is to provide a comprehensive overview of the current status of the project. It is intended for the use of senior management and other stakeholders who are involved in the project's execution.

SECRET

2. The project has made significant progress since the last report. Key milestones have been achieved, and the team is on track to complete the project by the end of the year.

- Review of project progress
- Identification of risks and mitigation strategies
- Update of project schedule and budget

SECRET

3. The following table provides a detailed breakdown of the project's financial performance over the last quarter.

SECRET

4. The project's financial performance has been strong, with a significant increase in revenue and a decrease in expenses. This is primarily due to the successful completion of key milestones and the efficient management of resources.

5. The project team has demonstrated a high level of commitment and professionalism throughout the project. We are confident that the project will be completed successfully and will meet all of the objectives set at the beginning.

SECRET

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE: 10/15/64

TO: SAC, NEW YORK

FROM: SA [Name], NEW YORK

SUBJECT: [Subject]

Reference is made to [Subject]

On [Date], [Name] advised that [Subject]

[Name] stated that [Subject]

[Name] further stated that [Subject]

[Name] also stated that [Subject]

[Name] advised that [Subject]

[Name] stated that [Subject]

[Name] further stated that [Subject]

[Name] also stated that [Subject]

[Name] advised that [Subject]

[Name] stated that [Subject]

[Name] further stated that [Subject]

[Name] also stated that [Subject]

[Name] advised that [Subject]

[Name] stated that [Subject]

[Name] further stated that [Subject]

[Name] also stated that [Subject]

[Name] advised that [Subject]

[Name] stated that [Subject]

On this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IN THE MATTER OF RELEASING SURETY BOND *
POSTED BY *
THE FOURTH NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA TO *
SECURE BANKRUPTCY FUNDS. *
* ✓

O R D E R

It is the order of this court that the Clerk hereof, cancel a certain surety bond in the amount of \$8,000.00, executed by the United States Casualty Company and numbered 7947, to secure bankruptcy funds deposited with the fourth National Bank of Tulsa, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Dated this 25 day of July, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the District Court of the
United States for the Northern District
of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Jul 25 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
)
SID YOUNG, B. E. VENABLE, EDMOND R.)
JACKSON and LONNIE RIGGS, Defendants.)

No. 6370 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the District Attorney, representing the government herein, and the defendant Lonnie Riggs appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of not guilty to counts 1, 2 and 3, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. And thereafter, defendant withdraws his former plea of not guilty to counts 1, 2 and 3 and now enters a plea of guilty to counts 1, 2 and 3 as heretofore charged. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

No. 6370 cr. Cont'd.

Count one, Six (6) months,
Count two, Six (6) months,
Count three, Six (6) months, from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of one hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count one, and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid or until he has been released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Counts two and three shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
) No. 6407 - Criminal. ✓
)
ROY V. BROWN, HENRY WALTERS, CORNELL)
NORMAN, J. C. KIMBALL, A. C. DUERR,)
ROY WILLIAMS and FRANK SPRAKER,)
Defendants.)

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the government herein, and the defendants, Roy V. Brown, Henry Walters and A. C. Duerr, appearing in person. Now at this time it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

ROY V. BROWN

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:
Count One, Two (2) years,
Count Two, Two (2) years, from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count One, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid or until he has been released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent with sentence of confinement in Count One.

HENRY WALTERS

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for the period of:
Count One Ninety (90) days, from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count One, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the court that upon payment of fine that sentence be stayed to the First Monday in January, 1933.

A. C. DUERR

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
Count One, Six (6) months, from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count One, and Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars on Count Two, and in

No. 6407 Cr. Cont'd.

default thereof, further stand committed until said fines are paid or until he has been released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6413 - Criminal. ✓
)	
MAUD HOWE, EDNA SMITH, J. B. HOWE and WILL ALLEN,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Edna Smith appearing in person. Now at this time defendant withdraws her former plea of not guilty and now enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3 as heretofore charged. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the term of:

Count Two, Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that all other Counts be dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6535 - Criminal. ✓
)	
BONNIE ROBINSON,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Bonnie Robinson, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6536 - Criminal. ✓
)	
LONNIE RIGGS,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Lonnie Riggs appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

No. 6536 Cr. Cont'd.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Six (6) months, from date of delivery. Said sentence of confinement in this information shall run concurrent to sentence imposed in indictment No. 6370.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
- vs -) No. 6537 - Criminal. ✓
)
JOHN KEENEY & MRS. JOHN KEENEY,	Defendants.)

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, John Keeney and Mrs. John Keeney appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

JOHN KEENEY

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

MRS. JOHN KEENEY

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6538 - Criminal. ✓
)
STERLING MORGAN,	Defendant.)

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Sterling Morgan appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Ninety (90) days, from date of delivery.

No. 6542 Cr. Cont'd.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the term of:

- Count One, Four (4) months from date of delivery,
- Count Two, Four (4) months.

Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6544 - Criminal. ✓
)	
RICHARD KNIGHT & CHUMMIE HILLIGOSS,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants Richard Knight and Chummie Hilligoss appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

RICHARD KNIGHT

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days from June 28, 1932.

CHUMMIE HILLIGOSS

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days from June 28, 1932.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6545 - Criminal. ✓
)	
HOBART ALEXANDER, ED BRUMMETT & JIM ANTRIKIN,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants Hobart Alexander, Ed Brummett & Jim Antrikin, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

HOBART ALEXANDER

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from June 28, 1932.

No. 6545 Cr. Cont'd.

ED BRUMMETT

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from June 28, 1932.

JIM ANTRIKIN

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from June 28, 1932.

And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that commitment of defendant Jim Antrikin be withdrawn and judgment and sentence set aside and modified as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from date of original incarceration.

It is further ordered that defendant be now discharged.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6546 - Criminal. ✓
)
P. W. RADFORD & NANCY BLACKBURN,	Defendants.)

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Nancy Blackburn appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One Twenty-three (23) days,

Count Two Twenty-three (23) days, from date of original incarceration.

It is further ordered by the Court that said defendant be discharged.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6547 - Criminal. ✓
)
J. D. WILLIAMSON,	Defendant.)

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant J. D. Williamson, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

No. 6547 Cr. Cont'd.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the term of:

Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6548 - Criminal. ✓
)	
JOHN STEPHENS,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, John Stephens, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the term of:

Count one, four (4) months from date of delivery,
Count Two, Four (4) months. Said sentence of confinement in
Count two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6549 - Criminal. ✓
)	
WILLIAM M. CLARK,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant William M. Clark, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the term of:

Count one, Thirty (30) days from date of delivery,
Count two, Thirty (30) days.
Said sentence of confinement in Count two shall run concurrent to Count one.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6550 - Criminal. ✓
)	
LILLIAN HUNT,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Lillian Hunt, appearing in person. The

No. 6550 cr. cont'd.

defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 6551 - Criminal.
FRED I. HOWELL,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 25th day of July, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Fred I. Howell, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the term of:

Sixty (60) days from date of original incarceration.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Jack S. White,	Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	No. 1525 - Law.
Continental Oil Company,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

On this 25th day of July, 1932, the plaintiff in the above-entitled cause having filed herein its voluntary dismissal of said cause with prejudice, it is therefore

Ordered by the court, that said cause be, and the same hereby is, dismissed with prejudice at cost of the plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Jul 25 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

On this 30th day of July, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. M. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Miscellaneous ✓
)	Criminal.
Parschall Higdon and Jack Temple,	Defendants.)	

O R D E R

And now on this 28th day of July, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the motion of the United States Attorney, moving the court to make an order directing the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma to release one Ophie Higdon as a witness for the government in the above case on her own recognizance in the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars, and it appearing to the court that at the preliminary hearing of the above named defendants on the charge of transporting the above named witness, Ophie Higdon, in interstate commerce in violation of the Mann Act, United States Commissioner, J. M. Hill, made an order of June 24, 1932, holding the above named witness, Ophie Higdon, as a witness for the Government under bond in the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court that the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered and directed to release the above named witness upon her execution of her personal bond in the above matter in the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars.

F. M. KENNAMER
Judge.

O.K. JNO. M. GOLDESBERRY
U. S. Attorney

ENDORSED: FILED Jul 30 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 6353 - Criminal. ✓
)	
Claud Howser, et al,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Now on this 29th day of July, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the motion of the United States Attorney for forfeiture of the automobile heretofore seized from the said defendants in the act of transporting intoxicating liquor, and the court being fully advised in the premises finds that the above named defendants were arrested on or about the 8th day of March, 1932, by George R. Briner and Wm. F. Wolverton, Prohibition Agents, and at the time of the arrest of the defendants they were engaged in the transportation of one and one-half gallons of whiskey in a certain automobile described as Dodge Coupe, 1925 Model, motor No. A-427397, and that said automobile was seized in the act of transporting said liquor by said officers at the time of the arrest of the said defendants, and said automobile is now in the possession of William R. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, and it further appearing to the court that the said defendants entered a plea of guilty to the possession and transportation of said liquor in said automobile as hereinabove set forth, on or about the 29th day of June, 1932, and sentence of each of said defendants to said charges was deferred to the January, 1933 term of said court.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the said automobile be and the same is hereby forfeited to the government of the United States and the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma be and he is hereby ordered and directed to sell said automobile to the highest and best bidder therefor after advertising same by posting notices in five public places for a period of ten days as provided by law, and after the sale of said automobile, proceeds derived from the sale thereof be disposed of as provided by law.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

OK: W. B. BLAIR
Assistant United States Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed Jul 30 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

MAYE SPRATT,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs)	
)	
THE MASSACHUSETTS PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION,)	No. 1595 - LAW.
Incorporated, a corporation,	Defendant,)	
and)	
)	
MYRTLE M. APPLEBAUGH, Administratrix,	Intervenor.)	

O R D E R

NOW On this 30th day of July, 1932, on motion of the defendant, The Massachusetts Protective Association, Incorporated, a corporation,

IT IS ORDERED that said defendant be permitted to file herein its amended answer in the nature of a bill of interpleader forthwith.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Myrtle M. Applebaugh and Lela McLain be made parties defendant to this action, and that a subpoena issue herein directed to Myrtle M. Applebaugh and Lela McLain, who are added as defendants herein by said amended answer and by this order, to the end that they may be brought in as parties defendant to this action.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E.

APPROVED: JNO. L. WARD
Attorney for said Plaintiff.

J. B. HOUSTON & M. C. RODOLF
Attorney for said Intervenor.

ENDORSED: Filed Jul 30 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

Court adjourned until August 1, 1932.

On this 1st day of August, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March A. D. 1932, Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF
OKLAHOMA

M. V. Parker, Administratrix of the Estate)	
of Sammie Glass, deceased, et al.,)	
) Plaintiffs,	
)	No. 1458 Law. ✓
vs.)	
)	
The United States of America,) Defendant.	

ORDER ENLARGING TIME.

On motion of the plaintiffs, and for good cause shown, it is ordered that the time for filing record in the above entitled and numbered cause in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the 10th. Circuit be and the same is hereby extended to the 9th. day of Oct, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 1 1932
Aug 1 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until August 4, 1932.

On this 4th day of August, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March A. D. 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

Lester Jordan, Adm. of Estate of)	
Hickory Wilson, deceased,) Plaintiff,	
)	No. 1453 Law. ✓
. vs.)	
)	
The United States of America,) Defendant.	

PETITION FOR APPEAL

To the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma;

The above named plaintiff, Lester Jordan, Adm. of estate of Hickory wilson, deceased, who feels aggrieved by the judgment rendered and entered in the above styled cause on the 30th day of April 1932, does hereby appeal from the said judgment to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the tenth circuit for the reasons set forth in the assignment of errors filed herewith and he prays that an appeal be allowed and that citation be issued as provided by law and that a transcript of record with the proceedings, evidence and documents upon which said judgment was based duly authenticated be sent to the united States Circuit Court of Appeals for the tenth Circuit sitting at Denver, Colorado, under the law and rules of said court in such case made and provided.

Dated this 28th day of July, 1932.

WILKERSON & BROWN
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

The above and foregoing petition is allowed and the appeal of said cause as prayed for is hereby granted.

Dated this 4th day of August, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 4 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Lester Jordan, Adm. of Estate of Hickory)	
Wilson, deceased,	Plaintiff,)
)	No. 1453 Law. ✓
vs.)	
)	
The United States of America,	Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME

Now on this the August 4th, 1932, the above named plaintiff, Lester Jordan, Adm. of Estate of Hickory Wilson, Deceased, having filed his petition praying an appeal of the above cause to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and said appeal having heretofore been allowed, and it being shown to the Court that it is necessary that said Plaintiff have an extension of time in which to prepare, serve and file a record in said cause, the Court finds that good and sufficient cause exists for such extension.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the Court that the plaintiff, Lester Jordan, Administrator of the Estate of Hickory Wilson, Deceased, be, and hereby is granted an extension of 90 days from this date in which to prepare, serve and file its appeal in the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 4 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

M. V. Parker, Administratrix of the Estate)	
of Sammie Glass, Deceased, et al,	Plaintiffs,)
)	NO. 1458 Law ✓
vs.)	
)	
The United States of America,	Defendant.)

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO PREPARE TYPEWRITTEN TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD.

Now on this the 4th day of August, 1932, the above entitled matter comes on for hearing in its regular order upon the motion of the plaintiffs for an order directing the Clerk to prepare a certified typewritten transcript of the record for appeal in forma pauperis to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS ORDERED THAT THE CLERK OF THIS COURT be and he is hereby ordered and directed to prepare a typewritten transcript of the record in said cause for appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit and file said transcript with the Clerk of said Court on or before the 9th day of October, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 4 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until August 6, 1932.

On this 6th day of August, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ADMISSION TO BAR - JAMES B. COPPEDGE. ✓

On this 6th day of August, A. D. 1932, it being made satisfactorily to appear that James B. Coppedge is qualified for admission to the Bar of this Court, and that he was duly sworn in on April 21, 1925 but failed to sign the Attorneys' Roll, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorney is now declared admitted to the Bar of this Court.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Miscellaneous Criminal No. _____.
)	
J. C. Moreledge and Annette Smith,	Defendants.)	

ORDER RELEASING AUTOMOBILE.

Now on this the 6th day of August, 1932, being one of the regular judicial days of this court, comes regularly on for hearing and presentation the application of the mortgage investment company, Inc., of Kansas City, Missouri, and the Government being present and represented by Harry Seaton, Assistant United States District Attorney of said District, and said Mortgage Investment Company by its attorney, John Ladner, and the defendants, Annette Smith and J. C. Moreledge by their attorney, Harry Campbell Jr., and the Court finding the following facts, to-wit:

1. That said defendants were heretofore, to-wit, on or about the 25 day of June 1932, arrested and have been, by the United States Commissioner, J. M. Hill., bound over for charges to be presented against them before the Grand Jury, and are now in custody of the Government.

2. That the Government impounded and took possession at the time of said arrest and has continued to hold possession and is now in the possession of one Nash Automobile, Motor No. 461, which automobile was at the time of the arrest of said defendants in their possession and appears to be owned by the defendant Annette Smith.

3. That the Mortgage Investment Company is the owner and holder of a promissory note for the sum of \$266.16 and of a chattel mortgage securing said note against and of said automobile, each of which instruments were made, executed and delivered by the defendant Annette Smith to the Nash Midwest Motors Company on the 26th day of May, 1932, and were on the same day transferred and assigned by said Nash Midwest Motors Company to the Mortgage Investment Company.

4. That it appears to the Court that said Mortgage Investment Company had no knowledge or connection with whatever crime, if any, said defendants have committed against the United States Government and that said Mortgage Company is a bona fide lien holder against said automobile as aforesaid and that the present value of said automobile does not exceed the amount of said lien against said automobile.

5. It further appears that said mortgage Company is willing to and does hereby waive any possible deficiency claim against said defendant Annette Smith on account or by reason of said note and mortgage against said automobile and that said Annette Smith is willing and does hereby agree and consent to the Government releasing said automobile and surrendering it to said Mortgage Company.

6. And the United States District Attorney of said District does recommend to the Court that said automobile be surrendered to said mortgage company for the purpose of foreclosing said mortgage against the same and the court finds that said application and release should be granted.

It is, therefore, CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the United States Government be and it is hereby authorized and directed to release, deliver and surrender the possession of said automobile, to-wit, one Nash Automobile, Model No. 461, Motor No. 348307 and Serial No. 465331, to the Mortgage Investment Company, Inc., of Kansas City, Missouri, or its attorney, John Ladner, or any other designated agent upon the payment, by the said Mortgage Company, of the storage charges against said automobile to date for the purpose of foreclosing the same under the terms of their said mortgage and according to law, and that upon the sale and foreclosure of the said automobile the proceeds thereof be applied to the

payment and satisfaction of said note and mortgage, and costs and expenses, as therein provided and the balance, if any, be paid to the United States Government in the above entitled action.

It is further ORDERED and DECREED that the United States Government has and does hereby release any right, title or interest that it may have had in or to said automobile and is, therefore, adjudged to have no right, title or interest therein save and except to the balance of the proceeds thereof, if any, as herein provided.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

O.K. John M. Goldesberry
By Harry Seaton, Assistant
United States District Attorney.

JOHN LADNER
Attorney for Mortgage Investment Company, Inc.

HARRY CAMPBELL, JR.
Attorney for Annette Smith and J. C. Morledge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 6 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court MD

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 5871 - Criminal. ✓
)	
MARK O'NEIL, NEAL HOSKINS and CHESTER THACKER,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 6th day of August, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that committment be issued for defendant Mark O'Neil, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Eighteen (18) months from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6258 - Criminal. ✓
)	
EDDIE EARNEST & BENNIE EARNEST,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 6th day of August, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, after being fully advised in the premises, that the bond forfeiture heretofore taken herein, be, and it is hereby, set aside.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 1613 Law.
)
P. L. Long and United States Fidelity)
& Guaranty Company,	Defendants.)

D I S M I S S A L

Comes now the plaintiff and respectfully shows to the Court that all of the matters in controversy herein have been fully settled and determined, and it therefore dismisses this action, with prejudice, at plaintiff's cost.

F. C. SWINDELL
Attorney for Plaintiff.

v. P. WILSON, Trustee in Bankruptcy of
the estate of Oklie O. Hawk.

The dismissal of the above entitled case is hereby approved by the Court.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 6 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

Court adjourned until August 11, 1932.

On this 11th day of August, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

MAYE SPRATT,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
THE MASSACHUSETTS PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION,)	No. 1595 - LAW. ✓
Incorporated, a corporation, MYRTLE M.)	
APPLEBAUGH, and LELA McLAIN,	Defendants,)	
)	
and,)	
)	
MYRTLE M. APPLEBAUGH, Administratrix,	Intervenor.)	

O R D E R

THIS Cause coming on for hearing on motion of the defendant, The Massachusetts Protective Association, Incorporated, a corporation, to transfer said cause from the law side to the equity side of this court, and upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED that this cause be and it is hereby transferred to the equity side of this court, and the Clerk of this court is ordered to forthwith docket said cause as an equity cause.

DATED this 11 day of August, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 11 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

MINNIE MAYE SPRATT,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 1598 - Law ✓
)	
EQUITABLE LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY OF)	
THE UNITED STATES,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Now on this 11th day of August, 1932, on motion of the Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States, it is considered and adjudged by the court that since the filing of this case the issues have become entirely an equitable matter and said cause should be and it is hereby transferred from the law to the equity docket.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 11 1932	F. E. KENNAMER
H. P. Warfield, Clerk	District Judge.
U. S. District Court JMR	

Court adjourned until August 13, 1932.

On this 13th day of August, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ADMISSION TO BAR - VARLEY H. TAYLOR.

On this 13th day of August, A. D. 1932, it being made satisfactorily to appear that Varley H. Taylor, is qualified for admission to the Bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorney is declared admitted to the Bar of the Court.

Court adjourned until August 16, 1932.

On this 16th day of August, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER OF REMOVAL - ANTONIO COSTELLO alias ACE O'SULLIVAN, ✓
True Name Antonio Costello.

Now on this 16th day of August, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that defendant Antonio Costello alias Ace O'Sullivan, true name Antonio Costello be, and he is hereby removed from the Northern District of Oklahoma to the Western District of Missouri.

IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA. ✓

ORDER RELIEVING CITIZENS FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PAWHUSKA, OKLAHOMA,
AS OFFICIAL DEPOSITORY FOR BANKRUPT MONEY.

On this 11th day of August, 1932, on the application of the Citizens First National Bank of Pawhuska, Oklahoma, it is hereby ORDERED that the order entered herein on the 6th day of January, 1932, designating the said Citizens First National Bank of Pawhuska, Oklahoma, as a depository for money of bankrupt estates arising in the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and the same hereby is vacated, effective this date.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of this court be and he hereby is authorized to return to the said applicant the following securities held as surety for said depository,

to-wit:

<u>Number</u>	<u>Kind</u>	<u>Amount.</u>
3622 B	3% Treasury Bond 1951-1955 48 Coupons attached.	\$10,000.00
9441 A	3% Treasury Bond 1951-1955 48 Coupons attached.	1,000.00
9440 L	3% Treasury Bond 1951-1955 48 Coupons attached.	1,000.00
	Total	\$12,000.00

and to take the receipt of the said applicant for same.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 16 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District court W

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DIS-
TRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America v. W. J. Booth et al)
United States of America v. Ray Booth et al)
Miscellaneous Criminal

ORDER OF COURT

Now on this the 16th day of August, 1932, the same being a regular day of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, sitting at Tulsa, there coming on for hearing a motion of the United States Attorney in the above entitled matters, and the court being well and sufficient advised in the premises, finds that said bond as to said defendants should be fixed in the sum of five thousand dollars each.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the bond as to each of said defendants be, and the same is hereby fixed at five thousand dollars (\$5,000).

O.K. HARRY SERTON, Assistant United States Atty.
F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 16 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company,)	
a corporation,	Plaintiff,)
)	
vs)	No. 609 - At Law. ✓
)	
W. D. Ward, R. W. Vaughan and E. E. Knack,	Defendants.)

ORDER DIRECTING JUDGMENT DEBTORS TO APPEAR AND DISCLOSE ASSETS, AND ALSO DIRECTING THE APPEARANCE OF WITNESSES.

Plaintiff, United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company, a corporation, having this 16th day of August, 1932, presented its affidavit and application for an order directing the defendants and each of them to appear and disclose their assets, and it appearing to the court from said affidavit and application on the part of plaintiff that plaintiff did, on the 13th day of October, 1931, obtain a judgment in this cause against the defendants above named, and each of them for the sum of \$2886.42, with interest at 6% from May 10th, 1923, until paid and costs, and it further appearing that said judgment has become final and is wholly unsatisfied and that execution has heretofore issued in this cause, and has been returned unsatisfied and marked "no property found", and it further appearing from said affidavit and application that the defendants, W. D. Ward, R. W. Vaughan and E. E. Knack, and each of them, have property which they unjustly refuse to apply to the satisfaction of this judgment;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that each of the defendants, W. D. Ward, R. W. Vaughan and E. E. Knack, appear in person before the undersigned Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, at his Courtroom in the Federal Building in Tulsa, Oklahoma, on Thursday the 15th day of September, 1932, at 10:00 o'clock A.M., to answer all questions which may be propounded to them and each of them at that time concerning his or their assets and property, and that a certified copy of this order shall be served upon each of the defendants above named in person by the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, if the defendant to be served is to be found in the Northern District, or if he is to be found in the Eastern District of Oklahoma, then by the United States Marshal for the Eastern District of Oklahoma on or before the 1st day of September, 1932.

And it is further ordered that the Clerk of this Court issue subpoenas commanding the following named persons to appear at said hearing and testify on behalf of the plaintiff:-

- H. H. Sherrill, Kiowa, Oklahoma;
- Mrs. R. W. Vaughan (also known as Dollie Vaughan) Kiowa, Oklahoma;
- Mrs. W. D. Ward, Kiowa, Oklahoma,

and that said subpoenas be served by said United States Marshals.

And it is further ordered that said United States Marshal or Marshals shall, prior to the 5th day of September 1932, file his or her return in this cause showing the manner in which this order has been served upon the defendants and each of them.

DATED this 16th day of August, 1932.

O.K. AMES, COCHRAN, AMES & MONNET	F. E. KENNAMER
Attorneys for Plaintiff.	United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 16 1932
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
 Court adjourned until August 17, 1932.

On this 17th day of August, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

R. E. DAVIS,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 771 - Law. . ✓
)	
F. W. WOOLWORTH CO.,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 17th day of August, A. D. 1932, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GREETING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between R. E. Davis, plaintiff, and F. W. Woolworth Company, a Corporation, defendant, No. 771, Law, the judgment of the said District Court in said cause entered on June 11, 1931, was in the following words, viz:

"It is, therefore, ordered, decreed and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff recover nothing of the defendant in this action, to all of which action of the court in directing a verdict for the defendant and entering judgment upon said verdict in favor of the defendant the plaintiff excepted, and said exceptions were allowed."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by R. E. Davis agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the April Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, that the judgment of the said District Court, in this cause, be, and the same is hereby, affirmed and that F. W. Woolworth Company, appellee, have and recover of and from R. E. Davis, appellant, its costs herein.

- - July 5, 1932.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 13th day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two.

COSTS OF Appellee:
Clerk, \$-- --
Printing record \$-- --
Attorney, \$20.00
\$20.00

ALBERT TREGO
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court
of Appeals, tenth Circuit.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 17 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

PENN MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,
a corporation,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
VERNON D. WALTERMIRE, MAE D. WALTERMIRE)
and DICKASON-GOODMAN LUMBER COMPANY,)
a corporation,) Defendants.)

No. 1217 - Law. ✓

Now on this 17th day of August, A. D. 1932, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss: ✓

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GREETING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between Penn Mutual Life Insurance Company, a Corporation, plaintiff, and Vernon D. Waltermire et al., defendants, No. 1217, Law, the judgment of the said District Court in said cause, entered on October 20, 1931, was in the following words, viz:

"It is by the court considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendants Vernon D. Waltermire and Mae D. Waltermire, do have and recover from the plaintiff herein their costs; and that the said plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant Dickason Goodman Lumber Company, a corporation, the sum of \$3,800.00, together with costs of this action, for the recovery of which let execution issue; to which the said defendant duly excepted."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Dickason Goodman Lumber Company agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the April Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, that the judgment of the said District Court, in this cause, be, and the same is hereby, affirmed; and that Penn Mutual Life Insurance Company, appellee, have and recover of and from Dickason Goodman Lumber Company, appellant, its costs herein.

- - July 7, 1932.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 13th day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two.

COSTS OF Appellee:
Clerk, \$-- --
Printing Record \$-- --
Attorney \$20.00
\$20.00

ALBERT TREGO
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 17 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until August 22, 1932.

On this 22nd day of August, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Court, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

In the Matter of
THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF TULSA,
as Depository of Bankruptcy funds.

- O R D E R -

Now on this 22 day of August, 1932, it appearing to the Court, upon the application of The First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa, that it desires the cancellation of the bond now on deposit with the Clerk of this Court to secure moneys on deposit with said bank, as depository of moneys belonging to bankrupt estates being administered by this Court, executed by The Western Casualty and Surety Company dated August 27, 1931, in the sum of forty thousand dollars (\$40,000) and expiring August 27, 1932, and that the moneys on deposit with such bank, as such depository, do not now exceed the sum of Twenty-three thousand dollars

(\$23,000) and that the cancellation of said forty thousand dollar (\$40,000) bond will leave ample security and bonds in the hands of the Clerk to cover such moneys on deposit, as aforesaid:

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED That the bond of The western casualty and surety Company in the sum of forty Thousand Dollars (\$40,000) dated August 27, 1931, be and the same is hereby canceled and said The Western Casualty and surety company is hereby released and discharged from any liability hereafter to accrue thereunder.

F. A. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 22 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ORDER FOR DESTRUCTION OF LIQUOR

And now on this 22nd day of August, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, there coming on for hearing the motion of the United States Attorney, showing to the court that in the following cases, certain liquors are now stored in the Prohibition Office in the care and custody of Wm. R. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma and that the cases in which said liquor is involved have all been disposed of by this Honorable Court and there is no further necessity of holding the same as evidence, said cases being as follow, to-wit:

<u>Court No.</u>	<u>Name of Defendant</u>	<u>Quantity</u>
6067	Charles Galley	1 gallon colored whiskey
6055	Emile Ed Picou	$\frac{1}{2}$ " " "
6055	Mack Adams (Felix McDonald)	1 pint " " "
6079	Leonard McGee and Grady Miles	$2\frac{1}{2}$ " " "
6036	Rufus Murphy	1 " and 4 Ounces colored whiskey
6071	John Paul Jones	$\frac{2}{3}$ " " "
6035	Will Dial	$\frac{2}{3}$ " " "
	and	$\frac{1}{8}$ " white "
6073	Ulyses Rice (Bobby Washington)	$\frac{2}{3}$ " colored "
6078	James Gray	$\frac{1}{2}$ " " "
6055	Mack Adams (Felix McDonald)	2 ozs. " "
6047	Frank L. Brown et al	2 " " "
6055	Benny Foreman (Cabaret)	2 " " "
6055	Ruey Washington	2 " " "
6055	Frank L. Brown	2 " " "
6076	Fred Baskins	2 " " "
6059	Charles Blivens	2 " " "
6385	Clyde Ralston	4 pints bonded Canadian whiskey

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that in the cases as heretofore listed, the intoxicating liquor now being held by said Deputy Prohibition

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 6375 - Criminal. ✓
)	
George Harris,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Now, on this 23rd day of August, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, there coming on for hearing motion of the Defendant asking that the sentence in the above entitled matter be modified, and it appearing to the court that heretofore on the 29th day of June, 1932, the said defendant, George Harris, was sentenced for a term of one hundred and eighty (180) days in jail, and \$100.00 fine on Ct. 1 and 6 mo. on Ct. 2, Conc. to Ct 1, and the court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises finds that said sentence should be modified to read sixty (60) days in lieu of one hundred and eighty (180) days on each Ct. and that the fine of One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00) be placed on execution.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said sentence be and the same is hereby modified to read sixty days (60) from the date of sentence on each Count, in lieu of one hundred and eighty (180) days from the 29th day of June, 1932, and that fine of One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00) be placed on execution.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

OK: JNO. W. GOLDSBERRY
John Goldsberry,
United States Attorney.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 23 1932
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	PLAINTIFF)	
)	
VS.)	No. 6407 Cr. ✓
)	
A. D. DUERR, ET AL,	DEFENDANTS)	

O R D E R

On this 23rd day of August, 1932, for good cause shown and within the term at which said sentence was imposed,

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that the sentence of six months and a fine of \$100.00, heretofore imposed herein, on A. D. Duerr or, and under Count One of the indictment herein, be and the same is hereby modified and amended to a sentence, on and under said count, of sixty days to be served in the County Jail of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars.

On this 25th day of August, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. W. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. W. VAN HORN,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 1526 Law.
)
S. H. KRESS AND COMPANY,	Defendant.)
A Texas Corporation,)

JOURNAL ENTRY

NOW, on this the 25th day of August, 1932, the above entitled cause comes regularly on for hearing on the Motion of the defendant to require the plaintiff to make his petition more definite and certain and the plaintiff being present by his attorneys, Poe, Lundy and Morgan and F. W. Viger, and the defendant being present by its attorneys, Randolph, Haver, Shirk and Bridges, and the court having heard argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises ORDERS:

That the First Request of said Motion be and hereby is overruled upon the condition that plaintiff shall state in his petition by amendment that the "CONTRACT DOCUMENTS", referred to in Exhibit "A", are bulky and too large to attach to his Petition and that copies of same are in the possession of the defendant.

That the Second and third Requests of said Motion be sustained in that Plaintiff shall be required to attach copies of all written promises & requests to his Petition and to state by whom the oral promises & requests were made. That the Second and Third Requests of said Motion be in all other respects denied and overruled.

So that part of order sustaining parts of defendant's Motion, plaintiff excepts and his exceptions are allowed and to that part of order overruling parts of defendant's motion, defendant excepts and his exceptions are allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff be, and hereby is, given ten (10) days in which to file an Amended Petition and the defendant shall have twenty (20) days thereafter in which to file an Answer.

APPROVED: POE LUNDY & MORGAN
 F. W. VIGER
 Attorneys for Plaintiff

RANDOLPH HAVER SHIRK & BRIDGES, Attorneys for Defendant.

ENDORSED: filed Aug 31 1932
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

W. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

Court adjourned until August 26, 1932.

On this 26th day of August, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. W. L. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ELIZABETH RENTFROW,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1153 - Law. ✓
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 26th day of August, A. D. 1932, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and Spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GREETING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between Elizabeth Rentfrow et al., plaintiffs, and United States of America, defendant, No. 1153, Law, the judgment of the said District Court in said cause, entered on May 21, 1931, was in the following words, viz:

"It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that said plaintiff, Elizabeth Rentfrow, as administratrix of the estate of Jesse Rentfrow, deceased, have and recover from the defendant, United States of America, the sum of \$7,475.00, less attorneys' fees of 10%, as hereinafter provided for.

"It is further ordered and decreed that the plaintiff, Elizabeth Rentfrow, individually and as beneficiary of the insurance contract herein, is entitled to receive the sum of \$747.50 less 10% attorney fee as herein ordered.

"It is further ordered and decreed that the defendant pay to Sam T. Allen and W. V. Pryor, attorneys for plaintiffs, the sum of \$822.25, same being 10% of the total amount recovered in this judgment, and that said sum be deducted from the amount herein found to be due the plaintiffs; and further that said attorneys, Sam T. Allen and W. V. Pryor, shall received 10% of each future installment hereinafter to be paid, according to law.

"To each of the above findings of fact and conclusions of law the defendant, by proper motion in open court, excepts, and such exceptions are allowed."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by The United States of America agreeably to the act of congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the April Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, that the judgment of the said District Court, in this cause, be and the same is hereby, reversed; that this cause be and the same is hereby remanded to the said District Court for a new trial; and that The United States of America, appellant, have and recover of and from Elizabeth Rentfrow and John E. Rentfrow and Sam W. Allen and W. V. Pryor, Attorneys, appellees, its costs herein and have execution therefor.

- - July 18, 1932.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such further proceedings be had in said cause, in conformity with the opinion and judgment of this court as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had.

WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES E. LUGGESS, Chief Justice of the United States, the 23rd day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two.

COSTS OF Appellant:
Clerk, 24.20
Printing Record, 0-- --
Attorney, 20.00

ALBERT PRYOR,
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
Tenth Circuit

Costs taxed in favor of appellant, in the case of The United States of America, appellant, vs. Elizabeth Rentfrow et al., appellees. No. 608

Filing record and docketing cause,	5 00
Filing 24 copies of printed record	6 00
Filing and entering law appearance for appellant	50
Filing and entering appearance for	- --
Clerk, preparing record for printer, etc.,	- --
Printer, for printing record,	- --
Filing 3 papers,	75
entering 1 order, 1 folio	20
entering continuance	- --
Filing briefs for appellant	5 00
Filing briefs for	- --
Filing opinion,	25
Filing and entering judgment or decree,	1 25
Filing petition for a rehearing	- --
Filing and entering order on petition for a rehearing,	- --
Issuing mandate to District court,	5 00
Filing receipt for mandate,	25
Filing receipt for balance of deposit,	- --
Attorney's docket fee,	20.00
	<u>44.20</u>

Attest:

ALBERT PRYOR
Clerk U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals,
Tenth Circuit.

RECORDED: filed Aug 26 1932
H. E. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

DORA ELLEN BAGBY nee PARKS, Plaintiff, }
 -vs- } No. 1194 - Law.
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.

Now on this 26th day of August, A. D. 1932, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GREETING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between Dora Ellen Bagby, nee Parks, plaintiff, and United States of America, defendant, No. 1194, Law, the judgment of the said District Court in said cause, entered on November 7, 1931, was in the following words, viz:

"It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the court that the demurrer of the defendant to the petition of the plaintiff herein be, and the same is sustained, and said cause is hereby dismissed at the cost of the plaintiff, to which order and judgment of the court plaintiff excepts, and exceptions are allowed."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Dora Ellen Bagby, nee Parks, agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the April Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, that the judgment of the said District Court, in this cause, be, and the same is hereby, affirmed, and that the United States of America, appellee, have and recover of and from Dora Ellen Bagby, nee Parks, appellant, its costs herein.

- - July 14, 1932.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 23rd day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two.

COSTS OF Appellee:
 Clerk, \$10.25
 Printing Record, -- --
 Attorney, \$20.00
 \$30.25

ALBERT TREGO
 Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
Tenth Circuit

Costs taxed in favor of appellee, in the case of Dora Ellen Bagby, nee Parks,
appellant, vs. The United States of America, appellee. No. 627.

Filing record and docketing case,	- - -
Filing copies of printed record,	- - -
Filing and entering appearance for	- - -
Filing and entering appearance for	- - -
Clerk, preparing record for printer, etc.,	- - -
Printer, for printing record,	- - -
Filing 1 paper,	25
Entering order, folio,	- - -
Entering continuance	- - -
Filing briefs for appellee	10 00
Filing briefs for	- - -
Filing opinion,	- - -
Filing and entering judgment or decree,	- - -
Filing petition for a rehearing,	- - -
Filing and entering order on petition for a rehearing,	- - -
Issuing mandate to District Court,	- - -
Filing receipt for mandate,	- - -
Filing receipt for balance of deposit,	- - -
Attorney's docket fee,	20.00
	<u>30.25</u>

Attest:

ALBERT WREGO
Clerk U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals,
Tenth Circuit.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug 26 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until August 27, 1932.

On this 27th day of August, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6362 - Criminal. ✓
)	
CLYDE WASH, FLOYD DUGGINS and)	
BOAZ HAWKINS, alias SNAKE HAWKINS,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 27th day of August, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that fine of defendant Boaz Hawkins heretofore imposed be, and it is hereby, placed on execution.

Court adjourned until August 29, 1932.

On this 29th day of August, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6350 - Criminal. ✓
)	
ERNEST TRIPPIE & MRS. EARNEST TRIPPIE,)	
	Defendants.)	

Now on this 29th day of August, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that all sureties on the bond of Mrs. Earnest Trippie, defendant herein, be and they are hereby, released. It is further ordered by the Court that new bond be ordered.

Court adjourned until August 30, 1932.

On this 30th day of August, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in special March 1932 session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. A. L. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesherry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
Vs.)	No. 1417 - LAW.
Ted Holt, Ellen Stewart, et al,	Defendants.)	

ORDER SETTING ASIDE BOND FORFEITURE, REMITTING
PENALTY AND RECALLING EXECUTION.

Now on this the 30th day of August 1932, this matter comes on for hearing before the Court upon the Separate Motion of the defendant, Ellen Stewart, to vacate and set aside order of Bond Forfeiture, judgment thereon, remit penalty and to recall Execution, as to this defendant, Ellen Stewart, in this cause, said hearing having been passed from April 5th, 1932, the plaintiff being represented by United States Attorney, and the defendant being represented by her attorney, S. T. Church.

And the Court having examined the proceedings herein, and having heard the statement of defendant Ellen Stewart, and her attorney, in open Court, and being fully informed and advised in the premises, finds, that the bond forfeiture taken by this Court on Oct. 27th, 1931, in the case of U. S. vs Ted Holt, case 35716-Cr. on which bond defendant, Ellen Stewart, was surety should be vacated and set aside for the following reasons, that the non-attendance upon the Court by the defendant, Ted Holt, was not wilful nor intentional; that following said bond forfeiture said defendant voluntarily appeared in Court and explained his absence satisfactorily to the Court, on Dec. 7th, 1931, and on March 22nd, 1932, entered his plea of guilty in said case, and was by the Court duly sentenced and entered upon the service of his said sentence, and that public justice does not otherwise require that the penalty taken and entered against the defendant Ellen Stewart, be enforced upon said bond forfeiture, and judgment rendered thereon on March 3rd, 1932, in this cause. And it further appearing to the Court, that the said Ellen Stewart, is an aged, decrepit colored woman, of some 70 years of age and incompetent, and was not aware of and did not fully comprehend the nature and consequences of her acts and liability on said appearance bond and the execution thereof, and that said bond forfeit should therefore be vacated and set aside, and the judgment rendered thereon should also be vacated and set aside, penalties remitted and execution recalled.

IT IS THEREFORE HEREBY CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, That the Order heretofore entered by the Court in the case of U. S. vs Ted Holt, et al, No. 35716 Cr. on Oct. 27th, 1931, be and the same is hereby vacated and set aside, wherein said bond forfeiture was taken against said defendant, Ted Holt, as principal, and this defendant, Ellen Stewart, as surety, insofar as the same pertains to the defendant, Ellen Stewart.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, That the judgment rendered and entered herein by the Court on the 3rd, day of March, 1932, in this cause, against this defendant, Ellen Stewart, upon said bond forfeiture be, and the same is hereby vacated and

County, Oklahoma, and to take from the said Sheriff of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, a receipt for said prisoner.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

O.K. W. R. BLAIR
Assistant United States Attorney.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 1 1932
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until September 3, 1932.

On this 3rd day of September, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

E. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John H. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION.

On this 3rd day of September, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein, and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant, upon praecipe filed by the United States Attorney, and the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the following amounts:

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DEFENDANT</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF BOND</u>
6553	Joe Rice	\$1500.00
6554	Bennie Hawkins	1000.00
"	John Carter	2500.00
6555	Frank Crawford	1000.00
6556	Frank Chufar	1000.00
6557	Charles Rice	2500.00
"	Shelvie Rice	2500.00
6558	Sarah Hall	1000.00
"	Hosea Hall	2000.00
"	Leon Fork	1000.00
6559	B. H. Sizenore	1000.00
6560	Roy Alsip	2500.00
6561	Ed Rogers	2500.00
6562	Wylie Ary	2500.00
6563	Dick Tensfield	2500.00
"	Mabel Hore	2500.00
6564	Mary Manning	2500.00

Informations - Cont'd.

6565	Rosie Payne	2500.00
6566	Lewis J. Rush	1500.00
6567	W. M. Taylor	2500.00
6568	Felix Markham	1000.00
6569	James Ivy	2500.00
6570	Charles E. Hill	2500.00
"	Betty Hill	2500.00
"	Myra Davison	1000.00
6571	John W. Goodwin	1000.00
6572	Bob Colliver	2500.00
6573	Mary Davis	1000.00
6574	Bill Stamp	2500.00
6575	Albert Terrence	2500.00
6576	W. L. Mills	3500.00
6577	W. L. Roberts	2500.00
6578	Bert Shamblin	500.00
6579	Vasco Goins	2500.00
6580	W. Flanders	1000.00
6581	Billie Bryant	2500.00
"	Andrew J. Reid	2500.00
"	William Beauchamp	2500.00
6582	Minnie Clark	2500.00
6583	Everett Murphy	2500.00
6584	Jacob Dyke	2500.00
6585	Lee Allen	2500.00
"	Jay Magana	2500.00
6586	Raymond Harjo	2500.00
"	Weaver Grayson	2500.00
"	Edmond Harjo	2500.00
6587	Ruth Allen	2500.00
"	Hazel Magana	1000.00
6588	G. K. Kennedy	2500.00
6589	Ed Johnson	2500.00
"	Leonard Allen	2500.00
6590	Charles Briker	2500.00
"	Edward Liebrock	2500.00
"	Paul Liebrock	2500.00
6591	Bryant Sanday	2500.00
6592	W. H. Knight	1000.00
6593	John Wickham	2500.00
6594	W. E. Arnold	2500.00
6595	Charley Brown	2500.00
6596	John Patnam	2500.00
6597	Fred Heath	2500.00
"	H. W. Kirksey	2500.00
6598	Lawrence Pittman	2500.00
6599	Henry Trammel	2500.00
6600	Erna Bailey	2500.00
6601	Clyde Phillips	2500.00
6602	Daisy Triggie	2500.00
6603	J. E. Howe	2500.00
6604	Orville Goff	2500.00
6605	Charles A. Rigans	2500.00
6606	Jesse Bacon	2500.00
6607	Mertle Worries	2500.00
"	Herbert Dunn	2500.00
"	Elmer Cooper	2500.00

Informations - Cont'd.

6608	Ernest Williams	2500.00
6609	Ermerline Givens	2500.00
"	Guy H. Hardin	2500.00
"	Ward Moore	2500.00
6610	Joe C. Inskeep	2500.00
"	Newt Case	2500.00
6611	Guy Curnutte	2500.00
6612	Ernest Tennyson	2500.00
"	Jim Tennyson	2500.00
"	Starlin Smith	2500.00
6613	Johnny Hyde	2500.00
6614	M. L. Culver	2500.00
6615	John Vann	1000.00

ENDORSED: Filed In Open Court
Sep 3 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

GEORGE H. CURRIER, HERBERT D. MASON,
ROBERT S. ROCHE, ANDREW J. BARRETT,
T. B. CORNELL, GEORGE WHITCOLE,
DAVID MANESSE, A. E. KESSLER and
CORNISH BECK,

Defendants.)

No. 6320 - Criminal.

Now on this 3rd day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that all defendants in the above styled case be present on September 19th, 1932, for arraignment and plea. (F.B.K. Judge).

Court adjourned until September 6, 1932.

On this 6th day of September, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, OK, pursuant to adjournment, G. S. H. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John A. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Wickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 6560 - Criminal. ✓
 ROY ALSIP,)
 Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Roy Alsip, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2, as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Sixty (60) days,
 Count Two, Sixty (60) days, from date of original incarceration. Said sentence of confinement in Count two shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 6561 - Criminal. ✓
 ED ROGERS,)
 Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Ed Rogers, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from date of original incarceration.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 6562 - Criminal. ✓
 WILSON,)
 Defendant.)

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1938, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Willie Wilson, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Five (5) months, from date of delivery.

No. 6565 Cr. Cont'd.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6570 - Criminal. ✓
)	
CHARLES E. HILL, BETTY HILL, MYRA DAVISON,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Charles E. Hill, Betty Hill and Myra Davison, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Charles E. Hill enters a plea of not guilty; Betty Hill enters a plea of guilty; Myra Davison enters a plea of guilty; all as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on defendants Betty Hill and Myra Davison as follows:

BETTY HILL

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

MYRA DAVISON

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court, on motion of Attorney Blair that case as to defendant Charles E. Hill be, and it is hereby, dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 6572 - Criminal. ✓
)	
BOB COLLIVER,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Bob Colliver, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

No. 6581 Cr. Cont'd.

WILLIAM BLANKINSHIP

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from date of original incarceration.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 6582 - Criminal.

MINNIE CLARK,

Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein, and the defendant Minnie Clark, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from date of original incarceration.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 6583 - Criminal.

EVERETT MURPHY,

Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Everett Murphy, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Six (6) months, from date of delivery.

No. 6588 Cr. Cont'd.

EDMOND MARJO

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6588 - Criminal.
ED JOHNSON & LEONARD ALLEN,	Defendants.)

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Ed Johnson, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a county jail, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 6590 - Criminal.
CHARLES BRIDEN, EDWARD LIEBROCK and PAUL LIEBROCK,	Defendants.)

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Charles Briden, Edward Liebrock and Paul Liebrock, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Charles Briden enters a plea of guilty to counts 1 and 2; Edward Liebrock and Paul Liebrock each enter a plea of not guilty; all as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the court, upon motion of the United States Attorney, that said case be dismissed as to defendants Edward and Paul Liebrock. And thereafter, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on defendant Charles Briden as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Sixty (60) days,
Count Two, Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 6598 - Criminal.
 CHARLEY BROWN,)
 Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1962, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein, and the defendant Charley Brown, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, until said fine is paid, or until he has been released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 6596 - Criminal.
 JOHN PUTMAN,)
 Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1962, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein, and the defendant John Putman, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
 Count One, Ninety (90) days,
 Count Two, Ninety (90) days, from date of delivery. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 6597 - Criminal.
 FRED HEATH and M. A. KIRKSEY,)
 Defendants.)

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1962, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Fred Heath and M. A. Kirksey, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Fred Heath enters a plea of not guilty; M. A. Kirksey enters a plea of guilty; all as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on defendant M. A. Kirksey, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
 Six (6) months from date of delivery.

No. 6605 Cr. Cont'd.

representing the Government herein, and the defendant Charles R. Vicars, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Six (6) months from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 6606 - Criminal. ✓
JESSE BACON,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Jesse Bacon, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty, which plea the Court refuses to accept on the Information herein, due to the fact that said Information does not comply with the statute governing said case. Thereafter, it is ordered by the court that said case be referred to the Grand Jury for further action.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 6607 - Criminal. ✓
MYRTLE WORTHIES, HERBERT DUNN, and ELMER COOPER,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Herbert Dunn, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 6608 - Criminal. ✓
ERNEST WILLIAMS,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Ernest Williams, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of not guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 6609 - Criminal. ✓
 EMERLINE GIVENS, GUY H. HARDIN and)
 WARD MOORE,) Defendants.)

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Emerline Givens, Guy H. Hardin and Ward Moore, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

EMERLINE GIVENS

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
 Sixty (60) days from date of original incarceration.

GUY H. HARDIN

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
 Sixty (60) days from date of original incarceration.

WARD MOORE

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
 Sixty (60) days from date of original incarceration.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 6610 - Criminal. ✓
 JOE G. INSKEEP and NEWT CASE,)
 Defendants.)

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Joe G. Inskeep and Newt Case, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

JOE G. INSKEEP

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
 Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

NEWT CASE

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
 Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 6617 - Criminal. ✓
 HUGHIE CLARK,)
 Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file information herein against the above defendant. Thereafter defendant Hughey Clark is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the Term of:
 Sixty (60) days from date of original incarceration.

 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

E. R. Unger, ad Special Administrator)
 of the Estate of James T. Graham, de-)
 ceased, and Fannie V. Graham,)
 Plaintiffs,)
 vs.) No. 1285 Law. ✓
 United States of America,)
 Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHICH TO PREPARE AND SERVE RECORD.

Now on this, the 6 day, of Sept, 1932, the above named plaintiffs, E. R. Unger as Special Administrator of the Estate of James T. Graham, deceased, and Fannie V. Graham, having made their application to the court for an order extending time in addition to the time heretofore granted in which to prepare and serve record in said cause, and it being shown to the court that the appeal in said cause has been regularly allowed and it is necessary for the plaintiffs to be allowed additional time, as requested, to prepare and serve a record in said cause, the court finds that good and sufficient cause exists for such enlargement;

IT IS, therefore, ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court that said plaintiffs be and they are hereby allowed an enlargement of time of sixty days in addition to the sixty days heretofore allowed in which to complete their record, serve the same, and file same in the Circuit Court of Appeals.

W. L. WEAVER
 Judge.

ENTERED: Filed Sep 6 1932
 H. F. Corfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Charles Dowd,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 1376 Law. ✓
)
United States of America,	Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHICH TO PREPARE AND SERVE RECORD.

Now on this, the 6th day of Sept., 1932, the above named plaintiff, Charles Dowd, having made his application to the court for an order extending time in addition to the time heretofore granted in which to prepare and serve record in said cause, and it being shown to the court that the appeal in said cause has been regularly allowed and it is necessary for the plaintiff to be allowed additional time, as requested, to prepare and serve a record in said cause, the court finds that good and sufficient cause exists for such enlargement;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the court that said plaintiff be and he is hereby allowed an enlargement of time of sixty days in addition to the sixty days heretofore allowed in which to complete his record, serve the same, and file same in the Circuit Court of Appeals.

F. L. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sept 6 1932
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until September 7, 1932.

SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 1932

On this 7th day of September, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. L. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

E. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

GRACE AYES,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) NO. 1656 LAW. ✓
)
FELIX J. BODOFF and J. W. FRATCH, Receivers)
of Union Transportation Company, et al,)
	Defendants.)

ORDER

Upon reading the verified petition for removal of S. A. Gault and G. A. Ledovitz, receivers of Union Transportation Company, and upon motion of A. L. Kiehnshmidt, counsel for said receivers,

IT IS ORDERED that cause No. 53418, in which Grace Hayes is plaintiff and the said receivers and Monte Coon and G. W. Coon, partners doing business under the firm name of Neon Sales and Service Company, are defendants, pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, be removed into this Court for trial, and that a writ of certiorari issue herein to the said District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, requiring said court to transmit the record and proceedings in said cause to this Court within ten days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said writ be served by delivering to the Court Clerk of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, a certified copy thereof.

F. L. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 7 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until September 8, 1932.

On this 8th day of September, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. L. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John H. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 5701 ✓
Charley Hyatt, Defendant. }

ORDER MODIFYING SENTENCE.

Now on this 8th day of September, 1932, came before me of the Court one of the terms of the Regular June 1932 term of court at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, upon application of the defendant, Charley Hyatt, and with the consent and approval of John H. Goldesberry, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, this matter comes on for modification of the sentence heretofore imposed on the defendant, Charley Hyatt, in this case, and the Court finds that the defendant, Charley Hyatt, was heretofore sentenced to nine months in jail, and further finds that under the facts as stated to the court by the District Attorney's office, that the judgment and sentence as to the defendant, Charley Hyatt, should be modified to three months in jail.

... seal and dated this 7th day of September, A. D., nineteen hundred and twenty-two.

THE ... DEPUTY COURT CLERK ... has been duly elected or appointed Deputy Court Clerk in and for the United States District Court of the Northern District of Oklahoma for the term beginning September 3rd 1922 and ending when successor is appointed and qualifies.

... shall, during the period beginning September 3rd 1922 shall, well and faithfully discharge all the duties and trusts imposed upon him by reason of his election or appointment as said Deputy Court clerk according to law, then this obligation shall be null and void; otherwise, to be and remain in full force and virtue.

THE ... is executed by the "Surety" upon the following express conditions, which shall be conditions precedent to the right of recovery hereunder:

FIRST: That the "Surety" shall not be liable to the said United States of America for any loss occasioned by any act or omission of the said "Principal", nor for any shortage in the accounts of the said "Principal", existing at the commencement of the term for which this bond is given, nor for any default, the proceeds of which shall have been applied to the payment of a pre-existing official debt or default.

SECOND: That the "Surety" shall not be liable hereunder for any loss sustained by the said United States of America by reason of any act or omission of any deputy or subordinate in the office of the said "Principal" whether such deputy or subordinate is the personal appointee of said "Principal" or not.

THIRD: That the "Surety" shall not be liable hereunder for the loss of any public monies or funds occurring through or resulting from the failure of, or default in payment by, any banks or depositories in which any public monies or funds have been deposited, or may be deposited by, or placed to the credit, or under the control, of the "Principal", or for any public monies or funds heretofore and hereafter placed in any banks or depositories of which the said "Principal" is or may be the custodian by virtue of his office, whether or not such banks or depositories have or may be selected or designated by the "Principal" or by other persons; or by reason of the allowance to or acceptance by the "Principal" of any interest on said public monies or funds, any law, decision, ordinance or statute to the contrary notwithstanding.

FOURTH: That the total liability of the "Surety" under this or any and all bonds executed by the "Surety" on behalf of said "Principal" shall not exceed the penalty hereof.

FIFTH: That if the "Surety" shall so elect, this bond may be cancelled by giving thirty (30) days' notice in writing to the said United States of America and this bond shall be deemed cancelled at the expiration of said thirty (30) days; the "Surety" shall be liable, however, subject to all the terms, conditions and provisions of this bond, for any act or acts covered by this bond which may have been committed by the "Principal" prior to the date of such cancellation; and the "Surety" shall, upon surrender of this bond and its release from all liability hereunder, receive the premium paid, less a pro rata part thereof for the time this bond shall have been in force.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said "Principal" has hereunto set his hand and seal and the "Surety" has caused this bond to be signed by its duly authorized officers and its corporate seal to be hereunto affixed the day and year first above written.

(S. L.)

WILLIAM L. ...
HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INSURANCE COMPANY
By P. L. Bisk
P. L. Bisk Attorney in fact

On this 12th day of September, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, not pursuant to adjournment, Hon. H. B. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. B. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John H. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.
John E. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER DIRECTING GRAND JURORS TO RE-APPEAR.

On this 12th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the Marshal of said district summon the Grand Jurors heretofore empaneled, to be and appear at Tulsa, at 9 o'clock A. M., Monday, September 26th, 1932, to further serve as Grand Jurors.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

INVESTORS UTILITY CORPORATION, a corporation,	Plaintiff,)	
)	No. 886 - Law.
vs.)	
H. U. BARTLETT, J. W. MCKINLEY, CLARK L. WHITEHEAD and M. E. WHITEHEAD,	Defendants.)	

JUDICIAL ENTRY

Now on this 12th day of September, 1932, this writer came on for hearing in open court upon the motion of the defendants H. U. Bartlett and J. W. Whitehead to require the plaintiff to make its petition more definite and certain by setting out in its said petition or in the exhibit attached thereto the endorsement or endorsements of the note upon which this action is predicated from the City of Sapulpa to said plaintiff, and the court, having been advised in the premises and having heard the argument of counsel, is of the opinion that said motion should be sustained;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that said motion be, and the same hereby is, sustained, and plaintiff herein is hereby granted five days from and after this date within which to amend its petition by interlineation, after which said moving defendants are granted and allowed twenty days within which to file their answer in this cause.

H. B. KENNAMER
District Judge.

FILED: Filed Sept 10 1932
H. B. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, SUPREME COURT OF OKLAHOMA, IN AND
DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA,
OF OKLAHOMA.

Evan Jones, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
First Investment Company of Bartlesville,)
Oklahoma, Oklahoma Producing & Refining)
Company of America, Pure Oil Company and)
Barnsdall Oil Company, Defendants.)

No. 1095

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 12th day of September, 1932, the above case comes on in its regular order to be heard upon the demurrer and motion to dismiss of the Defendant, First Investment Company, to the second amended petition of the Plaintiff herein.

The First Investment Company, one of the defendants, appeared by Rowland and Walscott, its attorneys, and the Plaintiff appeared by C. H. Latham, its attorney. The motion was argued to the court and thereon the Plaintiff asked and was granted leave to dismiss his cause of action as against the defendant, First Investment Company.

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed as to the defendant, First Investment Company.

J. L. REYNOLDS
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Sep 14 1932
H. P. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

J. L. REYNOLDS, Plaintiff,)
vs-)
BARNSDALL OIL CO., et al., Defendants.)

No. 1240 - Law.

Now on this 12th day of September, U. S. 1932, it is ordered by the court, that notice to make more definite and certain in the above styled case be, and it is hereby, sustained, all as per journal entry to be filed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, SUPREME COURT OF OKLAHOMA, IN AND
DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA,
OF OKLAHOMA.

City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, a)
Municipal Corporation, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
Tulsa Gas & Electric Company,)
Defendant.)

No. 1203 Law.

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 12th day of September, 1932, there was filed in the court a motion of the defendant to require the plaintiff to cause its second amended petition, now on file, specifically certain to and separately state and number the two causes of action set forth in the "Second Cause of Action" of said petition, and the plaintiff being present in court by its attorneys, David S. Williams and Neil E. McNeill, and the defendant being present by its attorneys, Howlin, Spielman, Thomas and Addison, Campbell, Addison, Churchill, the motion is duly presented to the court.

After consideration of said motion it is ordered that said motion be sustained insofar as it seeks to require the plaintiff to specify the value of the use and the amount of damage suffered by it on account of the use by the defendant of its streets, alleys and public places, by years for each of the years from 1923 to 1931, inclusive.

It is Further Ordered that the motion of the defendant to require the plaintiff to separately state and number the separate causes of action now comprised in its "Second Cause of Action", to-wit, a cause of action in ejectment and a cause of action for damages, be sustained and that such causes of action be separately stated and numbered.

The remainder of the motion of the defendant and each other paragraph therein contained is overruled.

The plaintiff excepts to the ruling of the court sustaining the motion in each particular and the defendant excepts to the action of the court in overruling each of the parts, portions and paragraphs of said motion overruled.

The plaintiff is granted ten (10) days from this date within which to file its third amended petition, the defendant to have ten (10) days thereafter to plead thereto or twenty (20) days thereafter to answer same.

F. B. HERRICK
U. S. District Judge.

O. M. W. E. McNEILL
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

O. M. HOWLIN SPIELMAN THOMAS
ADDISON CAMPBELL ADDISON CHURCHILL
Attorneys for Defendant.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 12 1932
T. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN SENATE OF THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company, Plaintiff,
vs.
T. J. Reynolds, Defendant.

No. 1898 - Civ.

VERNAL ENTRY

Now on this 12th day of September, 1932, the same being duly read and the said day being a legal holiday of this court the above entitled and captioned cause of action was heard and the following judgment, pursuant to assignment, was rendered and entered:

by its attorney Eric Morse, and the defendant J. S. Reynolds, having been three times called in open court to appear, and further plead herein, come not, nor anyone for him, and thereupon the plaintiff in open court, with the assent of the court, waives a trial by jury, and this cause comes on for hearing and trial before the court;

And it appeared to the court that on, to-wit: the 6th day of September, 1931, plaintiff's demurrer to the defendant's answer was heard by the court, sitting at Vinita, Oklahoma, and the plaintiff being present at said hearing by its attorney, and the defendant appeared not, either in person or by counsel, and said demurrer of plaintiff to defendant's answer was sustained on said date, all of which appears from the order of this court signed and filed in this cause on to-wit: the 14th day of September, 1931;

And, the court having examined the pleadings and papers on file in the case and being fully advised in the premises, finds:

That this is an action on contract for the recovery of money, and that the defendant has been duly and regularly served with summons in this cause, and that the defendant has failed to except to the court's ruling and order, sustaining plaintiff's demurrer to defendant's answer, and has not filed an amended answer or other pleading to the petition of the plaintiff, nor asked leave of this court to further appear and file amended answer, nor appeared from the court's action, and herein wholly makes default; and

The court further finds that the allegations of plaintiff's petition are true; that this is an action for balance of freight charges for interstate transportation of shipments of potatoes shipped by the defendant, assessed in accordance with the tariffs governing such charges, duly published and in force and on file with the Interstate Commerce Commission, and that the defendant has contracted and agreed to pay such charges, but that there remains unpaid on such charges the sum of \$90.45 on plaintiff's first cause of action, and the sum of \$68.09 on plaintiff's second cause of action; that said sum of \$90.45 has been due from the defendant since July 10, 1928, and that said sum of \$68.09 has been due from the defendant since July 12, 1928, and that demands for payment have been made by the plaintiff and said demands refused by the defendant.

It is, with force, considered, ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company have and recover of and from the defendant J. S. Reynolds, the sum of \$90.45, with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from July 10, 1928, until paid, and the sum of \$68.09 with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from July 12, 1928, until paid, and that the said plaintiff have its costs in this behalf laid out and expended, for all of which let execution issue.

J. W. HENNINGER
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 12 1932
W. L. Corfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Henry Curtis, Plaintiff,
v.
C. H. Bell, et al., Defendant.

No. 135 - Law

BY APPOINTMENT

At a session of the court held on the 12th day of September, 1932, this matter came on to be heard and

Under, follows, in its regular order pursuant to assignment "for dismissal", and in compliance to the court that notice of said assignment was deposited in the United States mail addressed to Joe L. Adams, attorney of record for plaintiff; and plaintiff's appearance, and defendant being present with its attorney J. W. Widdows. It appears to the court that on September 9th, 1932, motion to quash filed herein by defendant was confessed by plaintiff, and said motion thereupon was by the court overruled, and plaintiff given leave to issue writs as aforesaid; that since said date no request for issuance of an alias subpoena has been filed and no alias subpoenas issued and no attempt made by plaintiff to obtain a service upon defendant herein, and no further proceedings of any kind taken herein, and that by reason of said facts said cause should be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the court that this cause be and the same hereby is dismissed.

F. E. MITCHELL
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 14 1932
F. E. MITCHELL, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE TERRITORY OF OKLAHOMA
OF OKLAHOMA.

United States, Plaintiff,
vs. W. F. Culver, Allen Mix and Walter Hestand, Defendants.

No. 1,001

DECLARATION OF DEFAULT.

That on the 14th day of September, 1932, this cause was set for hearing before the undersigned, F. E. Mitchell, Judge, of the District Court of the Territory of Oklahoma, at the residence of the plaintiff, Joe L. Adams, the defendants; and plaintiff's appearance, and defendant being present with its attorney J. W. Widdows, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the record was not being represented, and in compliance with the court that all the subpoenas herein have been regularly served with summons within the Northern District of Oklahoma more than 30 days prior to this date, and that each of said defendants, W. F. Culver, Allen Mix and Walter Hestand have failed, neglected and refused to answer, demur or make appearance herein, they are by the court declared to be in default.

The Court further finds that since the filing of this suit the principal of said indebtedness, W. F. Culver, has been paid, and the same is hereby satisfied and discharged.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the court that the plaintiff, the United States, be and is ordered to pay to the defendants, W. F. Culver, Allen Mix and Walter Hestand, the sum of \$10.00, for which let execution issue.

F. E. MITCHELL
JUDGE

W. L. Adams, Attorney for Plaintiff

RECORDED: Filed Sep 14 1932
F. E. MITCHELL, Clerk
U.S. District Court

COURT OF CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States,	Plaintiff,	}	No. 1401 LAW ✓
vs.			
W. F. Culver, Allen Hix and Walter Hestand,	Defendants.		

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 18th day of September, 1938, this cause coming on to be heard before Honorable W. L. Pennington, Judge, on the petition of the plaintiff and the default of the defendants; and plaintiff appearing by W. D. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendants not being represented, and it being shown to the Court that all the defendants herein have been regularly served with summons within the Northern District of Oklahoma more than 30 days prior to this date, and that each of said defendants, W. F. Culver, Allen Hix and Walter Hestand have failed, neglected and refused to answer, demur or make appearance herein, they are by the Court declared to be in default.

The Court further finds that since the filing of this suit the principal of said indebtedness, \$40.00, with interest, has been paid, but the costs of said suit in the sum of \$21.00 are still due and unpaid, and that plaintiff is entitled to judgment for same.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT of the Court that plaintiff, the United States, do have and recover of and from the defendants, W. F. Culver, Allen Hix and Walter Hestand, and each of them, the sum of \$21.00, for which let execution issue.

W. L. PENNINGTON
Judge.

C. H. W. D. WILLIAMS
W. D. Williams
Assistant United States Attorney

FILED BY: Filed Sep 18 1938
W. D. Bradford, Clerk
U. S. District Court

NORTH MINNAPACK LUMBER CO. of ONE CO, a corp.	Plaintiff,	}	No. 1411 - Law. ✓
-vs-			
W. F. Culver,	Defendant.		

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1938, it is ordered by the Court that judgment for Plaintiff be entered in the above styled cause, as per journal entry to be filed.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

United States,	Plaintiff,	} No. 1430 Law ✓
vs.		
Sam Stanley and W. R. Stanley,	Defendants.	

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 12th day of September, 1932, this cause came on to be heard before Honorable W. L. KENNAMER, Judge, on the petition of plaintiff and default of defendants; and plaintiff appearing by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendants not being represented; and it being shown to the Court that both defendants herein have been regularly served with summons within the Northern District of Oklahoma more than 30 days prior to this date, and each each of said defendants, Sam Stanley and W. R. Stanley have failed, neglected and refused to answer, demur or make appearance herein, they are by the Court declared to be in default.

And the plaintiff having introduced its evidence and the Court having examined same, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that plaintiff is entitled to judgment as prayed in its petition herein, against said defendants Sam Stanley and W. R. Stanley.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND JUDGMENT of the Court that plaintiff, the United States, in its own behalf and in behalf of its said ward, Pal-se-to-nah, Osage No. 619, do have and recover of and from said defendants, Sam Stanley and W. R. Stanley, and each of them, the sum of \$300.00, with interest at the rate of 6% per annum from January 1, 1931, until paid, and for all costs of this suit.

W. L. KENNAMER
JUDGE

A. E. WILLIAMS
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: filed sep 12 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

ARCHIE WIBLE,	Plaintiff,	} No. 1431 - Law. ✓
-vs-		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant.	

Now on this 12th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment of Plaintiff for new trial herein be, and it is hereby, overruled.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	} No. 1449 - Law. ✓
-vs-		
GARLAND W. WILSON, et al., and J. W. WILSON,	Defendants.	

Now on this 15th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment of Plaintiff for new trial herein be, and it is hereby, overruled.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1450 - Law. ✓
 PAT SINGLE, W. J. GRAY and Defendants.)
 FRANK ASHLOE,)

Now on this 12th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, after due consideration, that judgment be entered for the United States, in the above styled cause, all as per journal entry to be filed.

POPEL H. BARN, SPL. AD' R. AS. TRS OF Plaintiff,)
 CRAWFORD R. ROSS, DEC'D.,)
 -vs-) No. 1455 - Law. ✓
 GULF STATES TERMINAL & TRANSPORT CO., INC.,)
 and WICKHAM CORP., Defendants.)

Now on this 12th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that motion of Defendant to require Intervener to state and number be, and the same is hereby, overruled. Exception allowed. Defendant given twenty (20) days to answer. It is the further order of the Court that motion of Defendants to make petition of Plaintiff more definite and certain be, and the same is hereby, overruled. Exception allowed. Defendant given twenty (20) days to answer.

JOHN G. LINDNER, PER. estate of JOHN Plaintiff,)
 GLENN LINDNER, DEC'D.,)
 -vs-) No. 1459 - Law. ✓
 WILLIAM ROSS, J. R., Defendant.)

Now on this 12th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Defendant to stay proceedings herein be, and it is hereby, sustained, pending determination of Law case No. 1328 which is pending in the Circuit Court of Appeals.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MARIE LEUCH, as surviving wife of Lewis Plaintiff,)
 J. Leuch, deceased,)
 -vs-) No. 1311 - Law. ✓
 CHAS. ROY FRY, JR. & N. A. BRADY, Receivers)
 of Union Transportation Corp., a corporation,)
 Defendants.)

C O U R T

On this 12th day of September, 1932, there was a public hearing of the Court and the following orders were made in response to the petition of the plaintiff herein.

... heard the argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, did accordingly
remuner, to which said motion is respectfully submitted, and upon application for same allowed
... motion is so filed with the file number herein.

F. L. KIRKMAN
United States District Court

RECORDED: Filed Sep 11 1932
U. S. District Court

RECORDED: Filed Sep 11 1932
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

J. J. Fowles, Plaintiff,

-vs-

Walter S. Neave, co-partnership, et al., Defendants.

No. 1612 Lev

JUDICIAL ENTRY

For on this 10th day of September, 1932, the above entitled and numbered cause
came on for hearing on the motion of the defendants to require the plaintiff to
amended petition filed herein more definite and certain, the plaintiff appearing by his attorney
on record, W. A. Rodovitz, and the defendants appearing by their attorneys on record, F. L.
Smith. And the court having considered the amended petition and the said motion in more
definite and certain, and argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, did
that said motion to require the plaintiff to file more definite and certain is not well taken and should be overruled.

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT FORESAID, ORDERED AND DECREED that the defendants'
motion to require plaintiff to file more definite and certain should be and it is hereby overruled. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE
COURT that the defendants have fifteen (15) days from this date in which to file answer to
plaintiff's amended petition.

F. L. KIRKMAN
Judge of the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Columbia

RECORDED: Filed Sep 11 1932
U. S. District Court

WILLIAM B. ... Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1313 - Law. ✓
 ... Defendant.)

Now on this 15th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that motion of Defendant to make more definite and certain be, and it is hereby, sustained. Plaintiff given twenty (20) days to amend. Defendants given ten (10) days thereafter to plead or twenty (20) days to answer.

WIMMERED A. JOHNSON, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1520 - Law. ✓
 CHARLES E. FEINBER, et al., Defendants.)

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1932, there comes on for hearing, motion of Plaintiff to remand. After being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiff to remand be, and it is hereby, overruled. Plaintiff given five (5) days to reply.

AMERICAN NATIONAL ASSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1527 - Law. ✓
 DOUGLAS W. YOUNG, Defendant.)

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the demurrer of Defendant herein be, and it is hereby, withdrawn. Given twenty (20) days to answer thereto.

BY HONORABLE G. L. DISTRICT COURT NO. 10 OKLAHOMA TERRITORY
 COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA.

The City of Tulsa, a Municipal Corporation, Plaintiff,)
 v.) § 1528 Law ✓
 Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, a corporation, Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Defendant withdraws motion to quash summons and enters a general appearance in the action: cause to stand in abeyance until final determination in this Court of No. 1528 Law, City of Tulsa, Plaintiff, v. Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, defendant: defendant given 20 days after such final determination of said cause in this Court in which to plead in

this action.

F. M. HERRICK
Judge of the above-styled court.

O. V. BREWSTER, DAVIS
Attorney of Record for the Plaintiff.

MOWLIN SPRENGER & THOMAS
WIDDISON CAMPBELL WIDDISON & CAMPBELL
Attorneys of Record for the Defendant.

Sept. 12th. A. D. 1932.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 12 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

THE TELEPHONE REFRACTING CORP., Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1532 - Law. ✓
HENDRICK OIL COMPANY, Defendant.)

Now on this 12th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that
debtor to Third Cause of Action be continued to September 15, 1932. And thereafter, Silver-
man and Rosenstein withdraw as attorneys for the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and
attorneys, Allen, Underwood & Canterbury are substituted as counsel for Plaintiff.

VERTIE CAMPBELL, ADJ. DEPT. OF MIRA)
W. CAMPBELL, DEC'D., Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1536 - Law. ✓
ST. LOUIS & S. P. CO., a corp., Defendant.)

Now on this 12th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that
Notice of Plaintiff to record do, and the case is hereby, taken under advisement.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.
ANITA JACOBSON, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1840 Law. ✓
THE CIRIAN, and JAMES S. CIRIAN, a co-)
partnership. Defendants.)

C O R D E R

Now on this 12th day of September, 1932, this matter came on to be heard on
the motion of the defendant to take the petition of the plaintiff, and after the court
and the court being advised in the premises finds that said motion should be granted,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the said motion be granted unless
sustained. It is further ordered that the plaintiff have 20 days to amend the said petition and
that the defendants have ten days thereafter to plead or twenty days to answer.

W. H. HILLMAN
Judge.

G.M. SAUL A. EICHP
Attorney for Plaintiff.

G.W. F. L. CROUCH & F. H. LINDA
Attorneys for Defendants.

RECORDED: filed Sep 12 1932
W. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

J. T. OWENS, W/B under trade name of
LOW VALLEY LUMBER COMPANY, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1543 - Law.

THE IMPORTERS & EXPORTERS INSURANCE CO.
CORP., et al., Defendants.

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1932, J. T. Owens is sworn and examined
by the court. And thereafter, after due consideration, notice of defendants to jurisdiction
is overruled by the court. Defendants given ten (10) days to plead or twenty (20) days to
answer. It is further ordered by the court that leave be granted Plaintiff to file amended
Petition herein.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

J. T. Owens, doing business under the trade
name of Low Valley Lumber Company, Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 1543 Law.

The Importers & Exporters Insurance
Company et al., Defendants.

EXHIBIT

Now on this 18th day of September, 1932 this case was heard in regular
order at the United States District Court of the District of Columbia, the Importers &
Exporters Insurance Company, et al., appearing in person by their attorneys and the plaintiff
J. T. Owens appearing by the defendant and the oral evidence of the witnesses, and the
court has been advised of the evidence,

It is ordered that the said Special Appearance be and the same is overruled,
and said Defendant is allowed an exception, and the defendant, The Importers & Exporters Insur-
ance Company, be and hereby are given ten days from this date to plead or twenty days to

Answer. ... to file an amended petition ...

W. D. ...
Jury.

Filed ...
W. D. ...
U. S. District Court

J. W. ... of
Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1844 - Law. ✓

Universal ...
Defendants.

Now on this 12th day of September, A. D. 1908, J. W. Owens is sworn and examined by the Court, and whereupon, notice of Defendant's jurisdiction is, by the Court, over-ruled. Defendant given ten (10) days to plead or twenty (20) days to answer.

IN SENATE CHAMBER OF DISTRICT COURT FOR AND TERRITORY OF OKLAHOMA

J. W. Owens doing business under the trade
name of Line Valley Lumber Company,
Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 1844 Law. ✓

Universal Insurance Company, a corporation,
et al,
Defendants.

JUDICIAL EVIDENCE

Now on this 12th day of September, 1908, this cause comes on for hearing on the special appearance of the defendant, Universal Insurance Company, the parties appear by their attorneys and the witness admitted on the affidavits of the defendant and the oral evidence of the plaintiff, and the Court being well advised of the premises,

IT IS ORDERED that said special appearance of the defendant, Universal Insurance Company, shall stand in effect until such time as said defendant is allowed an extension, and said defendant is given ten days to plead or twenty days to answer.

W. D. ...
Jury.

Filed ...
W. D. ...
U. S. District Court

J. E. OWENS, D/B under the trade name
of LONE VALLEY LUMBER COMPANY, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1545 - Law.

BROOKLYN FIRE INSURANCE CO. A CORP.,
ET AL., Defendants.

Now on this 15th day of September, A. D. 1932, J. E. Owens in error was examined by the Court. and thereafter, it is ordered by the Court, that Notice of Defendant's jurisdiction be, and it is hereby, overruled. Defendants given ten (10) days to plead or twenty (20) days to answer herein. It is further ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be given leave to file amended Petition herein.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

J. E. Owens doing business under the trade
name of Lone Valley Lumber Company, Plaintiff

vs.

No. 1545 Law

Brooklyn Fire Insurance Company, a corpora-
tion et al, Defendants.

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now this 15th day of September, 1932 this matter comes on for hearing in regular order on the Motion docket of the court on the Special Appearance of the defendant, Brooklyn Fire Insurance Company, the parties appear by their attorneys, witnesses were sworn evidence taken, and affidavits filed, and the court being well advised of the premises,

IT IS ORDERED that said special appearance of said defendant, Brooklyn Fire Insurance Company, be, and the same is overruled, to which ruling they are allowed an exception, and said defendant is given ten days from this date to plead or twenty days to answer. The plaintiff is given leave to file an amended petition herein, instantly.

F. L. SIMPSON
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 15 1932
M. F. Farfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE OKLAHOMA DIS-
TRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States, Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 1545 Law.

A. N. Sanders, F. O. Colles, and R. B.
Farrell, Defendants.

GRANT OF DISMISSAL.

Now, on this 13th day of September, 1938, this cause came on regularly in open Court to be heard before the Honorable F. E. Hennamer, Judge presiding in the above-styled Court, and it being requested by the Pawnee Indian Agency that said cause be dismissed, and the Court being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF SAID COURT that this cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed, at the cost of the defendants.

F. E. HENNAMER
JUDGE.

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 14 1938
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

FRICK-REID SUPPLY CORP., A CORP.,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 1548 - Law. ✓
)
PYRAMID BREWING CO., A CORP., A. C.)
BLAIR & H. H. MARSHALL,	Defendants.)

Now on this 13th day of September, A. D. 1938, there comes on for hearing, in-
sight of defendants to state and number, and thereafter, after being fully advised in the premi-
ses, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be overruled. Given ten (10) days to
answer hereto.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON.	
United States,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 1553 LAW ✓
)
W. W. Alledge and H. W. Peck,	Defendants.)

FOURTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER

Now on this 13th day of September, 1938, this cause came on to be heard before
Honorable F. E. Hennamer, Judge, presiding, on the petition of the plaintiff and defendant
the defendants herein, and plaintiff appearing by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States At-
torney for the Northern District of Oregon, and the defendants not being represented, and it
having appeared to the Court that the defendants herein have been regularly served with summons
with in the Northern District of Oregon more than 30 days prior to this date, and that each of
said defendants, W. W. Alledge and H. W. Peck have failed, neglected and refused to answer,
appear or to be examined hereon, it is ordered by the Court declared to be in default. The Court
thereupon finds that plaintiff is entitled to judgment for sums as stated in its petition herein.

IT IS THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF SAID COURT that plaintiff, of its own
volition, do hold itself answerable, under Alleged No. 13, to the above-mentioned sums for
said defendants, W. W. Alledge and H. W. Peck, and each of them, and for their several and joint

in the sum of \$1,000.00 with interest at 6% per annum from October 1, 1961, to the receipt
of a final order in the sum of \$150.00 with interest at 6% per annum from February 1, 1966, until
paid, and for all costs of this suit, for which levy execution issue.

G. M. W. WILLIAMS,
U. S. Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney

F. L. ...
JUDGE.

RECORDED: Filed Nov 15 1972
U. S. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court

GERALD ...

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1558 - Law.

...
a Corporation,

Defendant.

... of this 19th day of September, A. D. 1972, it is ordered by the Court, that
... credit to Cash be, ... is hereby, withdrawn. ... to
... day to ...

...
Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1564 - Law.

D. ...
... and
...

Defendants.

... of this 19th day of September, A. D. 1972, it is ordered by the Court, af-
ter the consideration, ... in the above styled case to, ... is hereby ordered,
... all other journal entries to be filed.

...
Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1565 - Law.

D. ...
... and
...

Defendants.

... of this 19th day of September, A. D. 1972, it is ordered by the Court, af-
ter the consideration, ... in the above styled case to, ... is hereby ordered,
... all other journal entries to be filed.

WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
DISTRICT COURT
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

M. W. Soy, Plaintiff,
vs.
City of Tulsa, a municipal corporation,
and Missouri, Kansas & Texas Railway Co.,
a corporation, Defendants.

No. 1566 - Lav

ORDER OVERRULING MOTIONS OF DEFENDANTS TO REQUIRE
PLAINTIFF TO MAKE PETITION MORE DEFINITE AND CERTAIN

Now, on this 18th day of September, 1932, the same being one of the judicial days of the Special March, 1932 Term of this Court, sitting at Tulsa, Oklahoma, the above entitled and numbered cause comes on for hearing pursuant to regular assignment, on the separate motions of the defendants City of Tulsa and Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company, to require plaintiff to make his petition more definite and certain, the defendant City of Tulsa appearing by its attorney C. E. Searcy, Assistant Municipal Counsellor, the defendant Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company appearing by its attorneys Eric Haase and C. S. Walker, and the plaintiff appearing by his attorneys D. E. Crewson and Joseph A. Gill,

And, the court having heard the motions and the argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that said motions should be overruled.

IT IS, THEREFORE, considered, ordered and adjudged by the court that the separate motions of the defendants City of Tulsa, and Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company, to require the plaintiff to make his petition more definite and certain, be and the same are hereby overruled, to which the defendants, and each of them, except. It is further ordered that the defendants have ten days from this date within which to plead further to plaintiff's petition, or fifteen days within which to file answer thereto.

W. D. WILSON
Judge

G. M. ROGERS, A. GILL & D. P. CREWSON
Attorneys for Plaintiff.
C. E. SEARCY, C. E. Searcy & W. E. JOHNSON
Attorneys for Defendant, City of Tulsa.
M. D. GREW - ERIC HAASE - C. S. WALKER
Attorneys for Defendant MISSOURI-KANSAS R. R. CO.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 18 1932
W. T. McField, Clerk
U. S. District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
DISTRICT COURT
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

THE NON-LEASING CORPORATION, INC., Plaintiff,
vs.
CITY OF TULSA, a municipal corporation, Defendant.

No. 1569 - Lav

W. D. WILSON, CLERK.

ON this 12th day of September, 1932, upon request of counsel for the Plaintiff,

THE COURT ORDERED that this cause of action be and the same is dismissed with out prejudice, and at the cost of the plaintiff.

F. H. KENNAMER
JUDGE

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 12 1932
W. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

United States,)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 1566 LAW ✓
)
W. R. Adams, Alfred A. Drummond and C. L.)
Barlow,) Defendants.)

GENERAL VERDY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 12th day of September, 1932, this cause coming on to be heard before Honorable F. H. Kennamer, Judge, presiding, on the petition of plaintiff and default of the defendants herein, and plaintiff appearing by A. L. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendants no being represented, and it being shown to the Court that all the defendants herein have been regularly served with summons within the Northern District of Oklahoma more than 30 days prior to this date, and that each of said defendants, W. R. Adams, Alfred A. Drummond and C. L. Barlow have failed, neglected and refused to answer, demur or make appearance herein, they are by the Court declared to be in default, and the Court further finds that plaintiff is entitled to judgment for rents as prayed in the petition in this cause.

IT IS HEREBY THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT of the Court that the plaintiff, in its own behalf and in behalf of Joe Osage, Osage Allottee No. 27, do have and recover of and from said defendants, W. R. Adams, Alfred A. Drummond and C. L. Barlow, and one of them the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from January 1, 1931, until paid, and for all costs of this suit, for which let execution issue.

F. H. KENNAMER
JUDGE

A. L. WILLIAMS
Assistant United States Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 12 1932
W. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until September 13, 1932.

On this 13th day of September, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

HYMAN M. MAY, HARRY MAY, BENJAMIN
H. MAY AND PAUL MAY, Plaintiffs,

Vs

No. 1389 - Law.

TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY, a cor-
poration, Defendant.

And

HYMAN M. MAY, HARRY MAY, BENJAMIN
H. MAY AND PAUL MAY, Plaintiffs,

Vs

No. 1383 - Law

THE MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF
NEW YORK, Defendant. Consolidated Cases.

And

ECHANGE TRUST COMPANY, a corporation, of
Tulsa, Oklahoma, AS TRUSTEE UNDER BUY AND SELL
AGREEMENT DATED June 26, 1930, Plaintiff,

Vs

No. 1397 - Law.

NEW YORK LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, a
corporation, Defendant.

ORDER EXTENDING AND ENLARGING TIME FOR
FILING RECORD ON APPEAL IN CONSOLIDATED
CASES AND EACH OF THEM.

It is this day ordered, for good cause shown, that the time in which the appellants in the above consolidated cases, and in each of said cases, may docket said cases, and each of them, and file transcript of the record herein in the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals, be, and the same is, extended and enlarged to & including Nov. 15th, 1932.

This September 13th, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 13 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Thereupon upon application of the plaintiff it was and is ordered by the court that the plaintiff have ten days from this date within which to file an amended petition and that the defendants have ten days thereafter in which to plead thereto, or fifteen days thereafter in which to answer thereto.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT.

OK: ABY & TUCKER
FRANK SETTLE
Attorneys for Plaintiff

OK: RAY S. FELLOWS and JOSEPH A. GILL, JR.
Attorneys for Defendants.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 13 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

C. M. KEITH,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1590 - Law. ✓
DEEPROCK OIL COMPANY,)
Defendant.)

Now on this 13th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, after due consideration, that Motion of Plaintiff to remand be, and it is hereby, sustained, and said case is ordered remanded to the State Court.

C. H. MULLENDORE, SPECIAL ADMR. EST. of)
ALEX MUDD, deceased,) Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1592 - Law. ✓
UNITED STATES FIDELITY & GUARANTY CO.,)
ET AL.,) Defendants.)

Now on this 13th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, after due consideration, that motion of United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company be, and the same is hereby, overruled. Defendant given thirty (30) days to answer.

NORTH AMERICAN LIGHT & POWER CO.,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1593 - Law. ✓
S. M. WILLIAMS, JR.,)
Defendant.)

Now on this 13th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that leave be granted herein to file amended petition. And thereafter, demurrer of Defendant is withdrawn. Defendant given ten (10) days to plead or twenty (20) days to answer.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

Manuel Cooper,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 1600 Law. ✓
)	
United States,	Defendant.)	

ORDER SUSTAINING DEMURRER.

Now, on this 13th day of September, 1932, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open Court before Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge presiding in the above-styled court, on the Demurrer of the defendant to the petition of the plaintiff; and the Court, having heard the argument of the counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that said Demurrer should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the Demurrer of the Defendant to plaintiff's petition be, and the same is hereby sustained, to which order and judgment the plaintiff excepts, and exceptions are allowed.

The plaintiff is given ten days within which to file an amended petition, and the defendant ten days thereafter to plead further, or 20 to answer.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 14 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

DORA GIVENS,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
Vs)	No. 1602 - Law. ✓
)	
THE OKLAHOMA PUBLISHING COMPANY, a corporation,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

The demurrer of the defendant to the plaintiff's petition came on regularly for hearing on this, 13th day of September, 1932, and the court being sufficiently advised, overrules said demurrer, to which ruling defendant excepts. The defendant is allowed twenty days from this date in which to answer.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E.

ENDORSED: Filed in Open Court
Sep 13 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

S. G. MILLER, sole owner of and doing)
 business under the name of TULSA LAUNDRY)
 COMPANY, Plaintiff,) No. 1603 - Law. ✓
 -vs-)
 THE TROY LAUNDRY MACHINERY COMPANY, INC.,)
 A CORP., Defendant.)

Now on this 13th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, after due consideration of said case, that Motion to Quash and Remand be, and the same is hereby, taken under advisement.

 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Adah Baugher, nee Long, Admx. of Estate)
 of Robert J. Long, et al, Plaintiff,) No. 1605 Law. ✓
 vs.)
 United States, Defendant.)

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURRER AND SUSTAINING ALTERNATIVE MOTION.

Now, on this 13th day of September, 1932, this cause came on regularly in open Court to be heard before Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge presiding in the above-styled Court, on the Demurrer and Alternative Motion of the defendant, the Court, having heard the argument of the counsel in the cause and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that the Demurrer should be overruled and that the Alternative Motion to strike Section 5 of the petition be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the Demurrer by the defendant to the petition of the plaintiff be overruled, to which order and judgment of the Court the defendant excepts and exceptions are allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that the Alternative Motion of the defendant to strike Section 5 of plaintiff's petition be, and the same is hereby, sustained, and said Section 5 of petition is stricken, to which order and judgment of the Court the plaintiff excepts and exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER
 JUDGE

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS
 A. E. Williams
 Assistant United States Attorney.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 14 1932
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IDA PEARSON,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1607 - Law. ✓
J. A. FRATES AND F. A. BODOVITZ,)	
Receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway)	
Company, a corporation,	Defendants.)	

O R D E R

On this 13th day of September, 1932, there coming on for hearing in its regular order the motion of said plaintiff to remand this cause to the Court of Common Pleas of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and upon consideration thereof and being fully advised, it is ordered by the Court that said motion should be, and the same is hereby overruled.

Thereupon, upon consideration of special appearance and motion to quash summons filed by the defendants herein, it is ordered that the same be, and it is hereby overruled, and said defendants are allowed ten days from this date to plead or fifteen days to answer the petition herein.

There further coming on for hearing the motion of the said defendants to require the plaintiff to make deposit for costs and to furnish security for costs, as required by the rules of this Court, upon consideration thereof it is ordered that the said motion be sustained, and the said plaintiff is allowed five days from this date to comply therewith.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

O.K. KLEINSCHMIDT & JOHNSON
Attys for Defs.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 13 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

DAN BISHOP, TRUSTEE OF FIELD'S READY-)	
TO-WEAR, INC., BKT.,	Plaintiff,)	
)	No. 1609 - Law. ✓
-vs-)	
STAR INSURANCE CO. OF AMERICA,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 13th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Motion of Defendant to make more definite and certain be, and it is hereby, sustained. Plaintiff given fifteen (15) days to amend said petition. Defendant given ten (10) days thereafter to plead or twenty (20) days to answer.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

Mattie Gertrude Forth,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
The Union Traction Company, a corporation,)	No. 1610 - Law
John F. Layng, as Receiver of the Union)	
Traction Company, a corporation, and Missouri-)	
Kansas-Texas Railroad Company, a corporation,)	
	Defendants.))	

ORDER OVERRULING IN PART AND SUSTAINING IN PART
MOTIONS OF DEFENDANTS TO MAKE PETITION MORE
DEFINITE AND CERTAIN

Now, on this 13th day of September, 1932, the same being one of the judicial days of the Special March, 1932 Term of this Court, sitting at Tulsa, Oklahoma, the above entitled and numbered cause comes on for hearing pursuant to regular assignment, on the separate motions of the defendants, John F. Layng, as receiver of the Union Traction Company, and Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company, to require plaintiff to make her petition more definite and certain, the defendant John F. Layng, as Receiver of the Union Traction Company, appearing by his attorney Chester Stevens, the defendant Missouri-Kansas-Texas railroad Company, appearing by its attorneys Eric Haase, and C. S. Walker, and the plaintiff appearing by her attorney B. P. Slade,

And, the court having heard the motions and the argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that said motions should be sustained as to the rate of speed it is claimed the train and the street car, respectively, were running, and that said motions should be overruled as to each and every other ground thereof.

IT IS, THEREFORE, considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the separate motions of the defendants John F. Layng as Receiver of The Union Traction Company, and Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company, to require the plaintiff to make her petition more definite and certain, be and the same is hereby sustained as to the speed the train and street car, respectively, were running, and that plaintiff amend her petition instanter by interlineation, accordingly, and that said motions be and the same are hereby overruled as to each and every other ground thereof, to all of which defendants and each of them except.

It is further ordered that the defendants have fifteen days from this date within which to file answers to the plaintiff's petition.

F. E. REHRAMER
Judge

O.K. ROY F. FORD
B. P. SLADE
Attorneys for Plaintiff

CHESTER STEVENS, Attorney for Defendant John F. Layng, as Receiver, etc.

M. D. GREEN, ERIC HAASE, C. S. WALKER
Attorneys for Defendant Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 16 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court Me

FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN the defendant is hereby given 15 days or until and including the 28th day of September 1932, within which to file answer in this cause.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E

O.K. Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorneys for Defendant

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 16 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

L. N. VAN ANTWERP and WILLIAM B. MARSHALL,	Plaintiffs,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1620 - Law.
)	
THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, of NEW HERSEY, a corp. et al.,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 13th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that motion of Plaintiff to remand, be, and it is hereby, sustained and that case be ordered remanded to the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff)	
)	
v.)	No. 1622 Law
)	
Mae Stewart, et al,	Defendants)	

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this the 13th day of September, 1932, the same being one of the regular days of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, sitting at Tulsa, there coming on for hearing the motion of the plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings, the plaintiff appearing by the United States Attorney, and the defendants appearing by their attorney of record, F. E. Riddle, Esquire of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and the court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, finds that said defendants are in default and that a bond forfeiture was heretofore taken in said matter, and further finds that a penalty of fifty (\$50.00) dollars should be assessed against said defendants.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a penalty of fifty (\$50.00) dollars be, and the same is hereby assessed against the defendants in the above entitled matter, and upon payment of fifty dollars said action will be dismissed.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

O.K. HARRY SEATON
Assistant U. S. Attorney Attorney for Plaintiff
F. E. RIDDLE, Attorney for defendants.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 13 1932, H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff,)
)
v.) No. 1623 Law ✓
)
John Stewart, et al.	Defendants.)

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this the 18th day of September, 1932, the same being one of the regular days of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, sitting at Tulsa, there coming on for hearing the motion of the plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings, the plaintiff appearing by the United States Attorney, and the defendants appearing by their attorney of record, F. E. Riddle, Esquire of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and the court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, finds that said defendants are in default and that a bond forfeiture was heretofore taken in said matter, and further finds that a penalty of fifty (\$50.00) dollars should be assessed against said defendants.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a penalty of fifty (\$50.00) dollars be, and the same is hereby assessed against the defendants in the above entitled matter, and upon payment of fifty dollars said action will be dismissed.

O.K. HARRY SEATON
Assistant U. S. Attorney
Attorney for Plaintiff

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

F. E. RIDDLE
Attorney for defendants.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 13 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IDA E. McTAVISH,	Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 1624 - Law. ✓
)
THE CONNECTICUT GEN'L LIFE INS. CO., ET AL,	Defendants.)

Now on this 13th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that motion of Plaintiff to remand said case be, and it is hereby, overruled. And thereafter, demurrer of Connecticut Life insurance Company is overruled by the Court and given twenty (20) days to answer. Thereafter, demurrers of Gypsy Oil Company and Gulf Pipe Line Company are sustained by the Court. Given ten (10) days to amend. Defendants given ten (10) days thereafter to plead or fifteen (15) days to answer. Thereupon, the Court further orders that order sustaining demurrers of Gypsy Oil Company and Gulf Pipe Line company be now set aside and passed to September 14th, 1932, for further hearing.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR AND IN THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jewell West nee Dent and Janetha Dent)	
and Janet Dent, Minors, by their Guardian,)	
Jewell West nee Dent,	Plaintiff,s)
)	No. 1626 Law ✓
vs.)	
)	
State Life Insurance Company, a Cor-)	
poration,	Defendant.)

ORDER OF REVIVOR OF ACTION

The above entitled cause coming on regularly to be heard before the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge of the above named court, in the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma on this 13th day of September, 1932 upon motion and application of Paul West, Administrator of the Estate of Jewell West nee Dent, deceased. Plaintiff appearing by C. R. Nixon and F. C. Swindell, his attorneys, and the said defendant by H. E. Gish, its attorney; both sides announcing ready and the court being satisfied that Jewell West nee Dent, the original plaintiff herein, departed this earth on or about the 1st day of July, 1932 and that the cause of action stated in the petition is one which survives to the estate of said Jewell West nee Dent, deceased and that Paul West is the duly and legally appointed, qualified and acting Administrator of the Estate and that said action ought to be revived in the name of Paul West, as Administrator of said Estate.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said action be and the same is hereby revived in the name of Paul West, as Administrator of the Estate of Jewell West nee Dent, deceased and that all further proceedings therein be in the name of such Administrator.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District
Court.

ENDORSED: Filed In Open Court
Sep 13, 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR AND IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

Jewell West nee Dent and Janetha)	
Dent and Janet Dent, Minors, by their)	
Guardian, Jewell West nee Dent,	Plaintiffs)
)	No. 1626 Law ✓
vs.)	
)	
State Life Insurance Company, a Corporation,)	
	Defendant.)

ORDER APPOINTING GUARDIAN AD LITEM

This matter coming on for hearing upon the court's own motion on the 13th day of September, 1932 before the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for and in the Northern District of Oklahoma and it appearing to the court that Jewell West nee Dent, Guardian of the plaintiffs, Janetha Dent and Janet Dent, minors, having died on the 1st day of July, 1932; the court further finds that a guardian be appointed in said cause

of Oklahoma on this 13th day of September, 1932 upon motion and application of Paul West, Administrator of the Estate of Jewell West nee Dent, deceased. Plaintiff appearing by C. R. Nixon and F. C. Swindell, his attorneys, and the said defendant by M. C. Rodolf and Aby & Tucker, its attorneys; both sides announcing ready and the court being satisfied that Jewell West nee Dent, the original plaintiff herein, departed this earth on or about the 1st day of July, 1932 and that the cause of action stated in the petition is one which survives to the estate of said Jewell West nee Dent, deceased and that Paul West is the duly and legally appointed, qualified and acting Administrator of the Estate and that said action ought to be revived in the name of Paul West, ad Administrator of said Estate.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that said action be and the same is hereby revived in the name of Paul West, as Administrator of the Estate of Jewell West nee Dent, deceased and that all further proceedings therein be in the name of such Administrator.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District
Court

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 13 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR AND IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jewell West nee Dent and Janetha Dent and)
Janet Dent, Minors, by their Guardian,)
Jewell West nee Dent, Plaintiffs)
vs.) No. 1629 Law)
Missouri State Life Insurance Company,)
a Corporation, Defendant)

ORDER APPOINTING GUARDIAN AD LITEM

This matter coming on for hearing upon the court's own motion on the 13th day of September, 1932 before the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for and in the Northern District of Oklahoma and it appearing to the court that Jewell West nee Dent, Guardian of the plaintiffs, Janetha Dent and Janet Dent, minors, having died on the 1st day of July, 1932; the court further finds that a guardian be appointed in said cause and it appearing to the court that the said plaintiffs, Janetha Dent and Janet Dent, are minors under the age of eighteen; the court further finds that sufficient grounds exist for the appointment of a guardian ad litem herein; that F. C. Swindell is attorney for the estate of Janetha Dent and Janet Dent, minors, and a proper person to act as guardian ad litem in said cause.

It is ordered that the said F. C. Swindell be and he is hereby appointed guardian ad litem for the said plaintiffs, Janetha Dent and Janet Dent, in this action.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District
Court.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 15 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Herrell McAllister, a minor, by)	
J. E. McAllister, his next friend,	Plaintiff,)
)	No. 1650 L
-vs-)	
)	
A. L. Wilson,	Defendant.)

O R D E R

Now on this 13th day of September, 1932, the above entitled cause coming on to be heard upon the motion of the plaintiff to remand this cause to the District Court of Delaware County, Oklahoma, and upon argument of counsel, and the Court being otherwise fully advised in the premises, finds that said motion should be, and the same is hereby overruled.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the motion of the plaintiff to remand be, and the same is hereby overruled.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT.

O.K. HOWELL

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 16 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Herrell McAllister, a minor, by J. E.)	
McAllister, his next friend,	Plaintiff,)
)	No. 1650 L.
vs.)	
)	
A. L. Wilson,	Defendant.)

O R D E R

Now on this 13th day of September, 1932, the above entitled cause coming on to be heard before the honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, upon the motion of the defendant to make the petition of the plaintiff more definite and certain and to strike, and the plaintiff being represented by his attorney, E. H. Beauchamp, and the defendant being represented by his attorneys, Abernathy & Howell, and upon argument of counsel, and the Court being otherwise fully advised in the premises, finds that the motion to make more definite and certain should be sustained as to all grounds, being the first, second and third grounds, and the motion to strike should be overruled.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the motion to make more definite and certain be and the same is hereby sustained as to all grounds, and the motion to strike be and the same is hereby overruled, and exceptions allowed the plaintiff as to that part of the ruling affecting him adversely and exceptions allowed the defendant as to that part

IT IS, THEREFORE, the order and judgment of the court that plaintiffs' motion to remand herein be and the same is hereby sustained and that this cause and all proceedings incident hereto, be remanded to the state court from which it was transferred; that all costs in this case be assessed against the removing defendant.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 22 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until September 14, 1932.

On this 14th day of September, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - BOND OF A. R. COTTLE - ASSISTANT DISBURSING OFFICER.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT
Office of the Secretary, Division of Appointments
Revised December, 1930

BOND - ASSISTANT DISBURSING OFFICER
(When surety is a Corporation)

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. The full name and residence of the principal must be clearly written in the body of the bond.
2. The bond must bear date as of the day upon which it was actually executed.
3. The bond must be signed by the principal and his signature must be made in the presence of two persons, who must sign their names as witnesses, and give their addresses.
4. A seal of wax, wafer, or scroll must be attached to the signature of the principal and the corporate surety must affix its corporate seal.
5. Evidence of the qualification of a surety company under department regulations, and of the authority of its officers or agents executing the bond on its behalf, must be filed in the department, but must not be attached to the bond.
6. All erasures or interlineations must be noted above the signatures of witnesses as having been made before execution of the bond.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That we ALBERT R. COTTLE, of TULSA OKLAHOMA as principal, and MARYLAND CASUALTY COMPANY, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND, as surety, are held and firmly bound unto the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA in the full and just sum of TEN thousand dollars (\$10,000.00), lawful money of the

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

JOSEPH BITSCO,	Plaintiff)	
)	
vs.)	No. 1419 Law. ✓
)	
THE UNITED STATES,	Defendant)	

DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE AT
PLAINTIFF'S COST.

COMES now the above named plaintiff and dismisses this action at his cost and without prejudice to the bringing of another action for the same cause or causes.

JOSEPH BITSCO

O.K. HAGAN and GAVIN
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Approved this 14th day of September, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 14 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

Petroleum Refractionating Corporation, a corporation,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 1532 - Law. ✓
)	
Kendrick Oil Company,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R.

NOW on this 12 day of September, 1932, it being shown the Court that the firm of Silverman & Rosenstein have with the consent of the plaintiff herein withdrawn as counsel for said plaintiff.

It is by the Court ordered that said firm of Silverman & Rosenstein be and they are hereby authorized to withdraw as counsel for plaintiff in said cause and the Clerk is directed to make an entry on the docket noting the withdrawal of said Silverman & Rosenstein as counsel for plaintiff herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 14 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DIS-
TRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Columbia Oil Company, Inc., a)
Missouri Corporation,)
Plaintiff,)

-vs-

R. Ramsey, an individual d/b under the)
firm name and style of Ramsey Oil Co;)
also R. Ramsey and Edna M. Ramsey and)
Harry French, a partnership d/b as)
Ramsey Oil Company,)
Defendants.)

No. 1574 Law. ✓

JOURNAL ENTRY.

This matter coming on this 13th day of September, 1932 on the motion of the de-
fendants and each of them to strike and the motions of the defendants to make plaintiff's
petition more definite and certain; and said plaintiff appears by its attorneys Green and Far-
mer and H. H. Thomas, and the defendants and each of them appear by their attorneys, Mauzy
& Coppedge.

And the Court after being fully advised in the premises and after argument of
counsel finds that paragraph six of the defendants' motion to strike should be sustained, And
that the defendants' motion in the other particulars should be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the sixth paragraph of the
defendants' motion to strike be and the same hereby is sustained, and that count three of the
plaintiff's amended and substituted petition be and the same hereby is stricken. To which
ruling of the Court the plaintiff then and there excepted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendants' motion to strike
and motion to make more definite and certain in all respects except as to paragraph six of the
motion to strike be and the same hereby is overruled. To which ruling of the Court the de-
fendants and each of them then and there excepted. All of which exceptions were duly allowed by
the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff be and it hereby is given
ten days from this date to file amended petition herein, and that the defendants and each of
them be and they are hereby given ten days from that date to plead or answer to said amended
petition.

And it is so ordered.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

O.K. as to form
H. H. THOMAS
GREEN & FARMER
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 14 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IDA E. MCTAVISH,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 1624 - Law. ✓
)	
THE CONNECTICUT GEN'L LIFE INS. CO.,)	
ET AL,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 14th day of September, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, after due consideration, that demurrers of Gypsy Oil Company and Gulf Pipe Line Company be, and they are hereby, overruled. Given twenty (20) days to answer.

Court adjourned until September 15, 1932.

On this 15th day of September, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

In the Matter of THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF TULSA, Depository of Bankruptcy Funds.

- O R D E R -

Now on this 15th day of September, 1932, it appearing to the Court, upon the application of The First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa that it desires to withdraw from the hands of the Clerk of this Court the United States Government Bonds hereinafter described aggregating Twenty Thousand (\$20,000) now on deposit with the Clerk, to secure moneys deposited with said bank, as depository of moneys belonging to bankrupt estates being administered by this Court, and it appearing to the Court that the withdrawal of said securities will leave in the hands of the Clerk an adequate and ample surety company bond for more than the amount of moneys on deposit with said bank;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED That the Clerk of this Court deliver immediately to the First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa the following described Liberty Loan Bonds heretofore delivered by it to said Clerk, to-wit:

No. C-00409483, Fourth Liberty Loan 4 1/2% Gold Bond of 1935-1938 with 14 coupons attached, in the principal amount of \$10,000.00

