

FILED APR 19 1942

LEONARD TERRY, Plaintiff, vs. THE UNITED STATES, Defendant. No. 1337 - Jar.

Now on this 20th day of April, A. D. 1942, it is ordered by the Court that notice of Defendants herein for continuance be, and it is hereby, sustained. It is further ordered by the Court that case be set at head of docket for the 28th of April, 1942. It is further ordered by the Court that no application for continuance to be heard on that date.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Joe . . . . . Plaintiff, vs. United States of America, Defendant. No. 1374 Jar.

AFFIDAVIT OF GROUNDS FOR SUBPOENA DUBOIS

Henry Weston being first duly sworn, states: That he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such is attorney for the defendant in the above cause, which is set for trial April 22, 1942, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district. Affiant further states that Dr. C. A. Robinson, Dr. A. A. Corner and Dr. C. W. Yates, all of the Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, residing without the Northern District of Oklahoma, and probably more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, Oklahoma, where said trial will be held, are important witnesses for the United States in said cause, and it is necessary to have said witnesses at said trial on said date to properly present the facts herein by evidence in each court, and this affidavit is for the purpose of procuring an order of court, directed to the United States Marshal for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, on service of subpoena on said witnesses.

And also, affiant asks that this court grant an order directing the United States Marshal for said district to give proper service and notice of subpoena for said witnesses for the 22 day of April, 1942, to appear at Tulsa, Oklahoma to testify in said cause.

SWORN TO

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20 day of April, 1942.

Notary Public for the District Court Northern District of Oklahoma

Now on this 20 day of April, 1942, this court on the application, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby ordered that proper subpoena issue in said case out of the Clerk's office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directed to the Marshal of the Eastern District of Oklahoma to furnish same and proceed on

term of subpoena on the witness named in said application, to appear in this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on April 25, 1932, at 9 o'clock a. m., to testify in behalf of the United States in said cause, and that a certified copy of this order accompany said subpoena.

F. B. FREEMAN  
JUDGE.

E. HARRY BRANTON  
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed Apr 20 1932  
T. D. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Charles Dowd, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1376 Law  
United States of America, Defendant. )

AFFIDAVIT FOR SUBPOENA FOR CHARLES DOWD, PLAINTIFF

A. E. Williams, being first duly sworn, states: That he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such is attorney for the defendant herein, and that said cause is set for hearing April 25, 1932, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district. Affiant further states that Dr. H. C. Shelton, 414 Frisco Bldg., Joplin, Mo. residing without the Northern District of Oklahoma, and probably more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, Oklahoma, where said trial will be held, is an important witness for the Government in said cause, and it is necessary to have said witness at said trial on said date to properly present the facts herein by evidence in open court, and this affidavit is for the purpose of procuring an order of court, directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Missouri, for service of subpoena on said witness.

AND WHEREAS, affiant asks that this Court grant an order directing the United States Marshal for said district to make proper service and return a subpoena for said witness for the 25 day of April, 1932, to appear at Tulsa, Oklahoma, to testify in said cause.

A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20 day of April, 1932.

( SEAL )

JOHN W. LEECH  
Deputy Clerk U. S. District Court  
Northern District of Oklahoma

Now on this 20 day of April, 1932, having read the above application, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby ordered that a subpoena issue in said cause out of the Clerk's office of the United

Western District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directed to the Marshal of the Western District of Oklahoma, to forthwith make proper service and return of subpoena on the witness named in said application, to appear in this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on April 23, 1932, at 9 o'clock P. M. to testify in behalf of the United States in said cause, and that a certified copy of this order accompany said subpoena.

J. H. WILLIAMS  
JUDGE

C. F. A. S. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed Apr 20 1932  
W. W. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court 30

IN SENATE, APRIL 20, 1932. REPORT OF THE COMMISSIONERS OF THE GENERAL LAND OFFICE, OBTAINED FROM THE ARCHIVES, APRIL 20, 1932.

Roy L. Gibson, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1593 Civ. ✓  
United States of America, Defendant. )

APPLICATION OF ROY L. GIBSON.

Comes now the United States of America, defendant herein, by J. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma and respectfully shows to the court that the above entitled cause is set for trial in this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on April 23, 1932, and that Albert Bissell, 1205 Colcord Bldg., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, is material witness for the United States in the trial of said cause, and are in his custody, possession and control certain records which are material evidence to be used on the part of the Government in said trial, to-wit: All employment and payroll records of the Bissell Building Supply Co. covering employment of Roy L. Gibson from 1919 to present time.

That Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, is more than 100 miles from Tulsa and that an order of Court issue, directed to the U. S. Marshal of the Western District of Oklahoma, to make proper service and return of subpoena for said witness for the 23rd day of April, 1932, to appear at Tulsa, Oklahoma, to testify in said cause.

WHEREFORE, the United States, by its said attorney, prays for an order directing the Clerk of this Court to issue forthwith a subpoena duces tecum to Albert Bissell, 1205 Colcord Bldg., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, by order of court directed to the U. S. Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, returnable at Tulsa, in this district, on the 23 day of April, 1932, at 9:00 o'clock P. M., and that the said witness aforesaid, be directed and ordered by said process from this court to bring and produce in court on said date at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district, to be used as evidence aforesaid, all and singular the records and documents aforesaid.

J. H. WILLIAMS  
J. H. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, )  
vs. )  
J. B. GIBSON, )

A. E. Williams, being first duly sworn, states: That he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such is attorney for the defendant in the above cause; that he has read the return application for subpoena duces tecum, knows the contents thereof, and at the statements therein contained are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

A. E. WILLIAMS  
A. E. Williams,

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20 day of April, 1932.

(SEAL)

JOHN B. LEE  
Deputy Clerk U. S. District Court  
Northern District of Oklahoma

IT IS SO ORDERED BY THIS COURT this 20 day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNEDY  
JUDGE

FORWARD: Filed Apr 20 1932  
H. P. Farfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JER

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. B. Gibson, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1396 Law. ✓  
United States of America, Defendant. )

AFFIDAVIT FOR SUBPOENA FOR WITNESSES OUTSIDE DISTRICT

A. E. Williams, being first duly sworn, states: That he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such is attorney for the defendant herein, and that said cause is set for hearing April 26, 1932, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district. Affiant further states that Dr. Franklin Correll, Medical Arts Bldg., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and Dr. T. J. Caviness, Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and Dr. Brett S. Lain, 705 Medical Arts Bldg., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma residing within the Northern District of Oklahoma, and probably more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, Oklahoma, where said trial will be held, are important witnesses for the Government in said cause, and it is necessary to have said witnesses at said trial on said date to properly present the facts therein by evidence in open court, and this affidavit is for the purpose of procuring an order of court, directed to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, for service of subpoena on said witnesses.

Affiant asks that this Court grant an order directing



On this 21st day of April, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, on F. J. Neumann, and W. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

W. P. Garfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John W. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John L. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6025 - Criminal. ✓  
FREDERICK PAGE, Defendant. )

Now on this 21st day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case was on for trial. The Government is represented by the United States District Attorney and the Defendant Frederick Page is present in person and by counsel. Thereafter, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Government waives challenges. The Defendant challenges J. S. Kincaid. Thereafter, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows: Grover Purch, Chas. Collins, Claude Conway, Chester Abrams, P. M. Wons, Max Randell, W. A. Jacobs, B. B. Lawson, v. A. Patterson, J. B. Roberts, C. Flanigan and J. F. Wallace. Thereupon, all witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Elsie Thompson, Susie Hyatt, A. Smith, C. F. Anderson, Miss Bussey. And thereafter, the Government rests. The Defendant demurs to the evidence introduced and to Count 1, 2 and 3. Whereupon, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed 1:30 P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore, and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Thereafter, the Demurrer of Defendant is, by the Court, sustained as to Counts 1 and 2 and overruled as to Count 3. Thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Frederick Page, Susie Hyatt. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. Both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retires in charge of a sworn official to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their Foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff )  
vs. ) No. 6025 Cr.  
Frederick Page Defendant )

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Frederick Page

No. 6046 Cr. 1912.

guilty, as charged in the said indictment, the trial was.

W. J. ...  
Apr 21 1912  
W. J. ...  
U. S. District Court

Whereafter, the jury having announced its verdict, the court thereupon ordered that the jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

To all of which verdict, see before said court records and exceptions are allowed.

It is the further order of the court, upon motion of the United States Attorney that Elsie ... and they are hereby held on charge of ... It is the further order of the court that bonds be fixed in the sum of \$1000.00 as to each of the above defendants.

WILLIAM ... Plaintiff,  
-vs-  
... Defendants.

No. 6046 - Criminal.

Now on this 21st day of April, A. D. 1912, court is had on the intervention of Florida ... And thereafter, the intervenors rest. Thereupon, the ... evidence and proof with the following witnesses: ... and thereafter, the ... rest. ... after consideration of the facts and the evidence introduced ... it is ordered by the court that ... be denied and execution of ... be allowed.

WILLIAM ... Plaintiff,  
-vs-  
... Defendants.

No. 1234 - Cr.

Now on this 21st day of April, A. D. 1912, the case is ... on for continuance of trial. ... the ... member, ... in the ... on, ... in rebuttal offers ... the ... of ... the ... arrived, court is recessed to 1:15 ... to 1:15.

at ... 1:15 p.m., ...

No. 1325 Law Cont'd.

session, all parties present as heretofore with the exception of the jury. Thereupon, both the Plaintiff and the Defendants move for judgment on the law and evidence and stipulate that jury be waived. Whereupon, it is ordered by the court that a valuation of \$1.15 on fifty-five gallon barrel drums and .65 thirty gallon barrel drums be found to be the value of the drums at the time of said controversy. It is the further order of the court that said case be taken under advised until April 22, 1932.  
It is the further order of the court that said jury be discharged on further consideration of said case.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Wm. H. Cox, Plaintiff,  
vs. The United States of America, Defendant.  
No. 1327 Law

AFFIDAVIT FOR SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE A WITNESS

A. N. Williams, being first duly sworn, states: that he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such is attorney for the defendant herein, and that said cause is set for hearing April 25, 1932, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district. Affiant further states that Mrs. Jessie A. Burch, 7 Northwest 29th Street, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, residing without the Northern District of Oklahoma, and probably more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, Oklahoma, where said trial will be held, is an important witness for the Government in said cause, and it is necessary to have said witness at said trial on said date to properly present the facts herein by evidence in open court, and this affidavit is for the purpose of procuring an order of court, directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, for service of subpoena on said witness.

WHEREFORE, affiant asks that this Court grant the order directing the United States Marshal for said district to make proper service and return a subpoena for said witness for the 25 day of April, 1932, to appear at Tulsa, Oklahoma, to testify in said cause.

A. N. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 21 day of April, 1932.

(SEAL)

H. W. MARSHALL, CLERK  
By: Dean Cottle, Dep.

Now on this 21 day of April, 1932, having read the above application, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby ordered that proper subpoena issue in said cause out of the Clerk's office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directed to the Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, to forthwith make proper service and return a subpoena on the witness named in said application, to appear in this court at

Under the provisions of the Act of April 10, 1911, as amended, in relation to the United States in said cases, and that a certified copy of the order be sent to the proper authorities.

Very truly yours,  
[Signature]

O. S. [Name]  
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed for 51 1932  
S. W. [Name], Clerk  
U. S. District Court

On this 22nd day of April, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 32 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. C. E. Hennamer, and W. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Farfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John W. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John W. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

COLLATERAL - ADMISSION TO BAR - JAY W. WAITNEY.

On this 22nd day of April, A. D. 1932, it being made satisfactorily to appear that Jay W. Waitney, is qualified for admission to the bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorney declared admitted to the bar of the Court.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6074 - Original. ✓  
OFFIA LaSALLE, Defendant. )

Now on this 22nd day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that commitment of defendant Offia LaSalle be, and it is hereby withdrawn and said Defendant given a further extension of thirty (30) days to pay said fine.

MINWATER OIL REFINING CO., Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1325 - Law. ✓  
BERT RANNEY, ET AL, Defendants. )

Now on this 22nd day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled case be, and the same is hereby, taken under adjournment.

A. C. THOPP, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1574 - Law. ✓  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 22nd day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case comes on for trial. Thereupon, said case is called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereafter, opening statements of counsel are made



WESTON, the United States, by its said attorney, prays for  
order directing the Clerk of this Court to issue forthwith a Subpoena Duces  
Tunc to

J. W. Gibson, Asst. to Capt. of Motor Train, Spisco Railroad  
Co., Spisco Bldg., Springfield, Mo.

turnable at Tulsa, in this district, on the 25 day of April, 1932, at 9:00  
o'clock A.M., and that the said witness aforesaid, be directed and ordered by  
said process from this court to bring and produce in court on said date at  
Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district, to be used as evidence aforesaid, all and  
regular the records and documents aforesaid.

A. H. WILLIAMS  
A. H. Williams,  
Assistant United States Attorney.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ) SS.  
TULSA, COUNTY, )

A. H. Williams, being first duly sworn, states: That he is  
Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as  
such is attorney for the defendant in the above cause; that he has read the  
requisite application for Subpoena Duces Tecum, knows the contents thereof,  
and that the statements therein contained are true to the best of his knowledge  
and belief.

A. H. WILLIAMS  
A. H. Williams,  
described and sworn to before me this 22 day of April, 1932.

SEAL)

H. P. WATSON, CLERK  
By Rena Cottle, Dep.

IT IS SO ORDERED BY THE COURT this 22 day of April, 1932.

T. M. KENNEDY  
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Apr 27 1932  
H. P. Watfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JWR

VEL. BARKER,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

) No. 1377 - Law. ✓

ET AL. )  
DEFENDANT. )

Now on this 22nd day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the  
court, upon motion of the Plaintiff, that the above styled case be dismissed  
without prejudice and at the cost of the Plaintiff. (T. Blake Kennedy, Judge).



On this 23rd day of April, A. D. 1938, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Term 1938 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Zimmerman and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. C. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John A. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ADMISSION TO BAR - U. S. POLICY.

On this 23rd day of April, A. D. 1938, it being made satisfactory to appear that G. A. Conkley is qualified for admission to the Bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorney is declared admitted to the Bar of the Court.

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

LEGAL COUNSEL GOVERNMENT WAR RISK INSURANCE CASES  
THE HONORABLE DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA BEGINNING  
BY CASE OF "HUNGER VS UNITED STATES, NO. 1285"  
AS TO APPLY TO ALL CASES HEREIN NAMED AND ALL  
SUCH CASES SENT FOR TRIAL IN THIS COURT OF A  
WAR NATURE.

O R D E R.

Now, on this 20th day of April, 1938, this matter being called to the attention of the Court concerning the desire of the attorney for the plaintiffs in War Risk cases to examine the files of the government in said cases, and a order having been made heretofore in said cause, which should be modified as to allow the plaintiff access to the necessary records in said cause to prepare the case for trial, the Court, being fully advised in the premises, orders that the motion of the government in said cause should be sustained, and that the plaintiff in all War Risk cases pending in this Court, after the said case has been set for trial and the records are in the United States Attorney's office, or in the Insurance Attorney's office may have access to and examine the following named specific list of records in their preparation for trial in said cause, to-wit:

- (1) The military service records of the plaintiff, including copy of discharge;
- (2) Medical record of the Adjutant General's office showing any medical treatment of the plaintiff while in the United States Army;

(4) All applications for a license (Class) or license, and all claims for benefits thereunder, and all applications for admission to associations, with certificates, awards, and commissions and orders, in any kind or form, as aforesaid.

(4) Medical examination of applicants for admission to the military service;

(5) All physicians' reports of examination of applicants.

(6) Record, if any, showing application of decisions on account of insurance filed in the United States by;

(7) Record showing application of decisions, if any, relative not to discharge from the United States by;

It is the further order of the court that all orders heretofore made in any of the following causes each entered before the trial docket in this court be added so as to include only the documents above mentioned in each of said causes to which the plaintiff's attorney has access, and that a copy of this order be furnished the attorney in each case, the cases directed by this order being as follows, to-wit:

- 1365 - Eager vs. United States;
- 1374 - Hoop vs. United States;
- 1375 - Britton vs. United States;
- 1376 - Hows vs. United States;
- 1377 - Lawrence vs. United States;
- 1381 - Newton vs. United States;
- 1384 - Schultz vs. United States;
- 1387 - Cox vs. United States;
- 1390 - Gibson vs. United States;
- 1405 - Gady vs. United States;
- 1412 - Pearson vs. United States;
- 1414 - Newcomb vs. United States;
- 1415 - Haines vs. United States;
- 1419 - Pittso vs. United States;
- 1422 - Thompson vs. United States;
- 1425 - Morris vs. United States;
- 1427 - Gillan vs. United States;
- 1430 - Carey vs. United States;
- 1431 - Aftic vs. United States;
- 1435 - Herrick vs. United States;
- 1438 - Leaver vs. United States;
- 1439 - Tolling vs. United States;
- 1443 - Hutchison vs. United States;

It is further ordered by the court that this is a general order and all orders in all future or trial cases not on trial in this court at the time of the signing of this order.

C. W. ... Assistant United States Attorney.

July 8.

RECORDED: Filed for 21 1908  
W. B. ... Clerk  
U. S. District Court

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS  
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE  
No. 8925 - 1st Div.

Plaintiff,  
vs.  
Defendants.

Now on this 23rd day of April, A. D. 1958, it is ordered by the Court that demand of Defendants herein be, and the same is hereby, overruled.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

Shell Oil Company,  
a corporation,  
vs.  
The Atchison, Topeka and  
Santa Fe Railway Company, a  
corporation, et al,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
Defendants.

JUDICIAL NOTICE

On this 22nd day of April, A. D. 1958, in term time, regularly  
case on to be heard in the above styled and numbered cause the plaintiff's dis-  
missal with prejudice, and it appearing to the court that since said cause  
was submitted same has been in all things settled and compromised.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that  
said cause is now in all things dismissed with prejudice, at defendants'  
cost.

F. E. [Name]  
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Apr 25 1958  
W. J. Harfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court E

Shelly Oil Company, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 1244 - Civil.  
The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, et al., Defendants.

Now on this 23rd day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that demurrer of Defendants herein be, and the same is hereby, overruled.

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS  
DISTRICT OF KANSAS.

Shelly Oil Company,  
a corporation, Plaintiff,  
vs. No. 1244 Law  
The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, a corporation, et al., Defendants.

JUDICIAL NOTICE

On this 22nd day of April, A. D. 1932, in term time, regularly came on to be heard in the above styled and numbered cause the plaintiff's dismissal with prejudice, and it appearing to the court that since said cause was submitted same has been in all things settled and compromised.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that said cause is now in all things dismissed with prejudice, at defendants' cost.

F. A. LINDSEY  
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Apr 25 1932  
C. W. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

... ..

... ..

... ..

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court, that the inter-  
venor Edna Train be and she be appointed and acting administrator of the es-  
tate of Mart C. Jackson, deceased, do have and recover of and from defendant  
the sum of fifty seven and 50/100 (\$57.50) dollars per month beginning with  
May 6th, 1919, and ending with January 9, 1926, and that the plaintiff, Ida  
Louise Jackson, do have and recover of and from defendant the sum of fifty  
seven and 50/100 (\$57.50) dollars per month from January 9th, 1926, up to and  
including date of this judgment, less however, the sum of two and 22/100 (\$2.42)  
dollars that has been paid monthly to her beginning with the fifth day of Feb-  
ruary, 1926, and up to date. The total amount of said monthly installments or  
payments to be computed by the Veterans' Administration, as provided by law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that  
the plaintiff's attorneys, E. D. Hudson, of the law firm of Hudson & Hudson,  
Tulsa, Oklahoma, and Harve E. Langler of the law firm of Langler & Langler, of  
Tulsa, Oklahoma, receive as a reasonable attorney fee for the services rendered  
in this cause, the sum of ten per cent (10%) of all payments made as a result  
of this judgment, the same to be paid as provided by the World War Veterans'  
Act; and that said attorney fees due said parties be payable to E. D. Hudson.

On each finding of fact and conclusion of law contained herein,  
the defendant is allowed an exception.

D. E. ...  
J H ...

E. D. HUDSON,  
HARVE E. LANGLER  
Attorneys,  
District U. S. City.

FILED: Filed Apr 28 1932  
E. P. ...  
U. S. District Court

-----

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Charles Edward Gaines, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1415 Lav. ✓  
United States, Defendant. )

AFFIDAVIT FOR SUBPOENA FOR WITNESSES SUBSIDIARY PROCEEDING

A. S. Williams, being first duly sworn, states; That he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such is attorney for the defendant herein, and that said cause is set for trial April 27, 1932, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district. Affiant further states that Mr. Ross D. Long, 617 14th St., Northwest, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and Mr. C. E. Bates, Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, residing without the Northern District of Oklahoma, and more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, Oklahoma, where said trial will be had, are important witnesses for the United States in said cause, and it is necessary to have said witness at said trial on said date to properly present the facts herein by evidence in open court, and this affidavit is for the purpose of procuring an order of court, directed to the Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma for service of subpoena on said witnesses.

WHEREFORE, affiant asks that this Court grant an order directing the United States Marshal for said district to make proper service and return of subpoens for said witnesses for the 27 day of April, 1932, to appear at Tulsa, Oklahoma, to testify in said cause.

A. S. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 25 day of April, 1932.

(SIGNED) H. C. LAMING  
Deputy Clerk of U. S. Dist. Court.

Now on this 25 day of April, 1932, having read the above application, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby ordered that proper subpoena issue in said cause out of the Clerk's office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directed to the Marshal of the Western District of Oklahoma to forthwith make proper service and return of subpoena on the witnesses named in said application, to appear in this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on April 27, 1932, at 9 o'clock A.M., to testify in behalf of the United States in said cause, and that a certified copy of this order be sent to the witnesses.

A. S. WILLIAMS  
JUDGE

Notary Public for Oklahoma

Witness my hand and seal at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 25th day of April, 1932.





In. 1331 - Law. 1932.

... court, ... arrived, the court is adjourned by the court and court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock ...

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock ... on this day, court is in session. All parties present as last before, ... thereafter, the plaintiff introduces with ... evidence and proof with ... witnesses: ... thereafter, the plaintiff rests. Whereafter, the defendant offers in rebuttal testimony of ... thereafter, the defendant moves for a directed verdict ... court is recessed to 1:30 o'clock ... April 26, 1932.

-----  
J. T. ... Plaintiffs, )  
-vs- ) No. 1331 - Law. ✓  
... Defendant. )

Now on this 25th day of April, ... 1932, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, passed to April 26, 1932.

-----  
JACK ... Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1334 - Law. ✓  
... Defendant. )

Now on this 25th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled cause comes on for trial. Both sides are once ready. All witnesses are sworn in open court. Whereupon, the plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Jack ... Dr. ... thereafter, it is ordered by the court that court recess to 1:30 o'clock ...

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P. M., on this day, court is in session. All parties present as last before. Whereupon, the plaintiff continues with the introduction of depositions and the testimony of the following witnesses: ... thereafter, the plaintiff rests. Whereupon, the defendant offers in rebuttal testimony of ... thereafter, the defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: ... the defendant rests. The plaintiff offers in rebuttal testimony of ... thereafter, with ... The defendant moves for a directed verdict ... which motion is, by the court sustained, exception allowed.

-----

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
TULSA, OKLAHOMA  
No. 1485-348

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
vs.  
JOHN W. BROWN

John W. Brown, Plaintiff,  
vs. The United States, Defendant.

APPEARANCE OF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

A. S. Williams, being first duly sworn, states: That he is Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as such is attorney for the defendant herein, and that said cause is set for trial April 27, 1932, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district. Affiant further states that Mr. J. A. Verner, Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and Mr. G. W. Robinson, Veterans Ad. Oklahoma City residing without the Northern District of Oklahoma, and more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, Oklahoma, where said trial will be had is an important witness for the United States in said cause, and it is necessary to have said witness at said trial on said date to properly present the facts herein by evidence in open court, and this affidavit is for the purpose of procuring an order of court, directed to the Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma for service of subpoena on said witness.

Affiant asks that this court grant an order directing the United States Marshal for said district to make proper service and return of subpoena for said witness for the 27 day of April, 1932, to appear at Tulsa, Oklahoma, to testify in said cause.

A. S. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 25 day of April, 1932.

(SSE)

H. P. JOHNSON, Clerk  
by J. A. JOHNSON, Deputy

Now on this 25 day of April, 1932, having read the above application, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby ordered that proper subpoena issue in said cause out of the Clerk's office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directed to the Marshal of the Western District of Oklahoma to forthwith make proper service and return of subpoena on the witness named in said application, to appear in this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on April 27, 1932, at 9:00 o'clock A.M., to testify in behalf of the United States in said cause, and that a certified copy of this order accompany said subpoena.

A. S. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

H. P. JOHNSON  
CLERK

Filed Apr 25 1932  
J. A. JOHNSON, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
Case No. 100-10000

J. J. Farver, Administrator of the  
estate of Ira G. Wilson, dec'd.,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
Wilson Petroleum Corporation, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 100-10000

ORDER TO RETURN TO PLAINTIFF THE ORIGINAL  
POLICY FILED.

Whereas, heretofore on the 4th day of January, 1932, on a hearing on the pleadings of this case it was determined by the court that the pleadings of the plaintiff herein were insufficient to maintain this action, and plaintiff was given time in which to amend his pleadings, and

whereas, such time has expired and the plaintiff has made it known that he no longer desires to perfect said action and has asked leave to withdraw a certain policy filed as an exhibit in this case:

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, DECREED, that the application of the plaintiff herein so to withdraw the said original policy be and the same is hereby granted and the clerk of this court is hereby authorized, directed and ordered to return to the attorney for plaintiff in this case said original indemnity policy No. 271945 issued to the Wilson Petroleum Corporation by the Commercial Casualty Insurance Company upon the life of Ira G. Wilson, deceased.

Witness the signature of the Judge of said court hereto affixed on the 25 day of April, 1932.

J. J. Farver,  
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 25 1932  
J. J. Farfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

On this 23th day of April, A. D. 1942, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in special March 1942 session at Tulsa, set pursuant to adjournment, Hon. W. C. Brewer, and Hon. W. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Garfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John T. Gelfosberry, W. C. Attorney.  
John J. Vickroy, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5627 - Original. ✓  
CLAY DUVALL & GEORGE C. DEEDY, Defendants. )

Now on this 23th day of April, A. D. 1942, it is ordered by the Court that attorney John Hillman be, and he is hereby appointed by the Court, to represent said defendant Clay Duvall.

-----

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 5913 ✓  
George C. Deedy, Defendant. )

O R D E R

Upon application of the defendant, the defendant is granted an extension of twenty days from the 21st day of April, A. D. 1942, within which to prepare and serve bill of exceptions in this cause.

W. C. Brewer,  
Judge of the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

Filed Apr 23 1942  
H. P. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court - Tulsa

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5913 - Original. ✓  
GEORGE C. DEEDY, Defendant. )

On this 23th day of April, A. D. 1942, it is ordered by the Court that

that judgment and sentence as to defendant, Frederick Dage, be and it is hereby passed to April 28, 1932, at which time defendant is to present a motion for a new trial.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. J. Hartman, Receiver for L. S. Kincks, Effie B. Kincks, and the Willow Corporation, Plaintiff,  
vs.  
Mary L. West and Morris Plan Company, a corporation, Defendants.

ORDER NUNC PRO TUNC.

It appearing to the Court that the order of this Court entered herein on the 18th day of April, 1932, wherein the issues in this case were settled and compromised as between the plaintiff and defendants, awarded to the Real Estate Investment Corporation all of the property heretofore recovered by the plaintiff herein, but that said order failed to show correctly that the said Real Estate Investment Corporation, and the plaintiff herein had agreed that out of said property there should be assigned to Remington Rogers, the stock of the Kincks Hotel Company, recovered in this action, as attorney fees for his services in this case, and that said stock was in truth and in fact so assigned to said Remington Rogers;

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, nunc pro tunc, that said plaintiff deliver to said Remington Rogers, as his sole property, the following described property recovered from defendants, to wit:

- Certificates of the capital stock of the Kincks Hotel Company No. 7, for three shares, originally issued to Effie B. Kincks;
- Certificate No. 9, for seven shares, originally issued to L. S. Kincks; and certificate No. 17, for two and one half shares, originally issued to Effie B. Kincks.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that except as modified hereby, said order of April 18, 1932, remain in full force and effect.

Dated this 26th day of April, 1932.  
Dated this 26th day of April, 1932.

W. H. TAYLOR, Attorney for Plaintiff.  
W. H. TAYLOR, District Judge.  
W. H. TAYLOR, Attorney for Real Estate Investment Corporation.  
Filed Apr 26 1932  
W. H. TAYLOR, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Plaintiff, )  
vs. )

Defendant.

-10-

No. 100-1000

Plaintiff, )  
vs. )

Defendant.

For on this 26th day of April, A. D. 1948, it is ordered by the court that Plaintiff retain to be given to (C) and add to it the following items:

IT IS THE ORDER OF THE COURT THAT THE FOLLOWING BE GIVEN TO THE PLAINTIFF:

James Earl Ray, )

Defendant.

vs.

No. 100-1000

United States of America, )

Plaintiff.

IT IS THE ORDER OF THE COURT THAT THE FOLLOWING BE GIVEN TO THE PLAINTIFF:

To be given to the United States of America, defendant herein, by the Plaintiff, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and respectfully shown to the Court that the above entitled case is to be tried at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on April 28, 1948, and that Mr. J. M. Latta, a Tulsa Okla. veteran, hospital inpatient, witness for the United States in the trial of said case, and was in his possession, custody and control certain records which are material evidence to be used on the part of the defendant in said trial, to-wit:

Medical and hospital examination reports and records of James Earl Ray between April 8, 1947 and April 14, 1948.

On April 14, 1948, the United States, by its said attorney, filed a subpoena directing the Clerk of this Court to issue forth with a subpoena under seal to Mr. J. M. Latta, veteran, hospital inpatient, Oklahoma returnable at Tulsa in this district, on the 28 day of April, 1948, at 2 o'clock P. M., and that said witness should be ordered and directed by said process to bring and produce in court or said date at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district to be used as evidence aforesaid, all and singular the records and documents aforesaid.

W. J. Latta,  
Assistant United States Attorney

Plaintiff, )  
vs. )

On this 26th day of April, A. D. 1948, it is ordered by the court that Plaintiff retain to be given to (C) and add to it the following items:

obtained and true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

A. W. HIGGINS

described and sworn to before me this 26 day of April, 1938.

( 2 )

R. W. WILHE, Clerk  
by Ross Gottle, Deputy

AND SO ORDERED BY THE COURT this 26 day of April, 1938.

W. J. ...  
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Apr 26 1938  
R. W. Purfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

WILLIS DOWD, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1376 - Law. ✓  
ROSDEN ... Defendant. )

Now on this 26th day of April, A. D. 1938, the above styled cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Whereafter, the Motion of the Defendant for a directed verdict herein is considered and sustained. Whereafter, it is ordered by the court that judgment be entered for the defendant herein, as per journal entry to be filed. It is further ordered by the court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case. No bill against the Plaintiff exists. (T. Blake Kennedy, Judge.)

J. ... Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1391 - Law. ✓  
... Defendant. )

Now on this 26th day of April, A. D. 1938, the above styled case is called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Whereupon, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows: ... (names of jurors) ... Whereupon, opening state acts of counsel are read and all witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff's introduce evidence and prove it by the following witnesses: J. L. ... (names of witnesses) ... And thereafter, the Plaintiff rest. Whereupon, the defendant moves for a directed verdict herein with which motion is sustained. Thereafter, it is ordered by the court that judgment for defendant be entered, as per journal entry to be filed. It is further ordered by the court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case. Plaintiff exists to the verdict herein. (T. Blake Kennedy, Judge.)

CONFIDENTIAL - SECURITY INFORMATION

IN RE: THE ESTATE OF HENRY C. BROCKMAN, DECEASED.  
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING.

HEATHER B. BROCKMAN, Plaintiff,  
 vs.  
 FRANK J. BEARDEN, WILLIAM C. BROCKMAN,  
 and HENRY C. BROCKMAN, an individual, Defendants,  
 vs.  
 HENRY C. BROCKMAN, WILLIAM C. BROCKMAN,  
 and HENRY C. BROCKMAN, an individual, Defendants,  
 vs.  
 FRANK J. BEARDEN, WILLIAM C. BROCKMAN,  
 and HENRY C. BROCKMAN, an individual, Defendants.

Filed, No. 1502

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING

This cause came on to be heard this 14th day of April, 1932, pursuant to regular assignment for trial, said plaintiff being represented by attorneys Vance & Willard, J. H. Pitt, and the defendants being represented by the individual John W. Bearden, William C. Brockman and the Heister Brothers Company, a corporation, and one of the said defendants being called upon the court to answer, except, deny, answer, or plead to the petition of the plaintiff herein, came out but each of them under default, and the court considered that said defendants and each of them are in default, and so ordered that the allegations contained in plaintiff's petition be taken as confessed, and appearing to the court that said defendants and each of them have been duly notified more than twenty days prior to this date of the pendency of this action, as required by law, the defendant Frank J. Bearden having been so notified by personal service, and the defendant Heister Brothers, a corporation, having been so notified by publication of notice thereof for three consecutive weeks in the Tulsa Daily Legal News, a newspaper printed and of general circulation in Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, and the defendants Henry C. Brockman and Willie C. Brockman appeared by their attorney Abner H. Taylor, and the plaintiff and defendants Henry C. Brockman and Willie C. Brockman announced ready for trial, and a jury being waived in open court the court proceeded to hear the evidence of witnesses and the argument of counsel, and the court being fully advised and consideration finds that all the averments in plaintiff's petition are true as therein set forth; that the defendant Henry C. Brockman filed a voluntary petition in bankruptcy in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, on the 21st day of December, 1931, the case being bankruptcy case No. 1636 and that said Henry C. Brockman scheduled the notes sued on in said plaintiff's petition as one of his liabilities upon which he sought to be discharged; that the referee in bankruptcy, J. W. Humphreys did on the 14th day of April, 1932, cancel the said note as to the defendant Henry C. Brockman, and as to all the other defendants the plaintiff is entitled to judgment as prayed for in its petition.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED by the court that the said plaintiff Heather B. Brockman recover of the defendants Frank J. Bearden, Willie C. Brockman, and Heister Brothers Company, a corporation, the sum of Eight Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty-two and 75/100 (\$8,852.75) Dollars, together with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from the first day of April, 1931, until paid, and the sum of One Thousand Three Hundred Twenty-seven and 91/100 (\$1,327.91) Dollars, as attorney fees, together with the costs of this action, for all of which let execution issue.







owner of the gas line and the equipment used thereon and the gas and gasoline therein and that no other person had any interest therein.

"at any employee of the Carter Oil Company had authority to repair the leak."

That the plaintiffs at the time the said Perry gave the following part of said testimony:

"That Guy Triplett was at the time of the accident an employee of the corporate defendant and acted as Chief Engineer of the gasoline plant; that he had nothing to do with the construction, operation or maintenance of said line and that he owned no interest therein; that his only contact or connection with said line was his employment as above stated;"

assembly objected to the introduction of said testimony because said testimony is incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial and was the opinion of the said Perry and not binding on these plaintiffs, which objections were overruled by the court and exceptions allowed plaintiffs.

Thereupon, at the conclusion of the testimony of the said Perry, the court overruled said motion to remand and refused to remand this cause to a District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, to which action of the court in granting said motion to remand and in refusing to remand this cause to the state court, plaintiffs excepted and were allowed an exception by the court.

A. J. THOMPSON  
JUDGE

As to Form and Contents:

JOHN H. TOPEL  
Attorney for Plaintiffs.

G. F. MONTGOMERY  
Attorney for Defendant,  
Carter Oil Company.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 26 1958  
F. W. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

Court adjourned until April 27, 1958.

Washington, D. C., January 10, 1933.

Dear Sir: This is to advise you that the Department of Justice has received your letter of the 7th instant, in which you request that the Department take certain action with respect to the matter mentioned therein.

The Department is unable to take any action with respect to the matter mentioned in your letter, as it is not within the jurisdiction of the Department.

Very truly yours,  
Attorney General

Very truly yours,  
[Signature]

Enclosed for you are two copies of the letterhead memorandum of the Department of Justice, dated and captioned as above.

Very truly yours,  
[Signature]

RECEIVED

The Department of Justice has received your letter of the 7th instant, in which you request that the Department take certain action with respect to the matter mentioned therein. The Department is unable to take any action with respect to the matter mentioned in your letter, as it is not within the jurisdiction of the Department.

Very truly yours,  
Attorney General

Very truly yours,  
[Signature]

Very truly yours,  
[Signature]

Very truly yours,  
[Signature]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
DI. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

Blanche Wolcomb, legal guardian of  
Lorne Warren (Lorne) Larkin,  
Incompetent, Plaintiff,  
vs  
The United States of America, Defendant.

No. 1533, D.C.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This cause came on regularly to be heard on the 17<sup>th</sup> day of April 1932, pursuant to regular assignment for trial, the plaintiff Blanche Wolcomb, legal guardian of Lorne Warren (Lorne) Larkin, an incompetent, being present in person and by counsel and the defendant, United States of America, being present by its counsel, and both parties appearing ready for trial and a further having been waived in writing, the court proceeds to hear the evidence and arguments of counsel. The court having given full consideration to the evidence and arguments of counsel as to the facts and law and on consideration, finds that the plaintiff has sustained the allegations of his petition and is entitled to a judgment accordingly; that Lorne Warren Larkin was an incompetent on March 15, 1919 and continues so since.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, by the court that the plaintiff, Blanche Wolcomb, legal guardian of Lorne Warren (Lorne) Larkin, recover against the defendant, The United States of America, the sum of \$541.65 same being \$4.50 per month from March 15, 1919, to April 15, 1932 inclusive.

AND IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the plaintiff's counsel, C. B. Holtzendorff, receive as a reasonable attorney's fee the sum of \$541.65 same being ten (10) per cent of the judgment herein and the further sum of ten (10) percent of each and every installment of the plaintiff hereafter, as a result of this judgment and according to law and that said amounts be paid to said counsel by the defendant, out of the amount due the plaintiff.

And to plaintiff's future claim this is set out hereinafter. In all of which the defendant excepts and exceptions are allowed by the court.

C. B. HOLTZENDORFF  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
A. H. ...  
Attorney for Defendant.

W. J. ...  
Judge.

COPIES: Filed for 20 1932  
W. J. ... Clerk  
U. S. District Court D.C.

-----



LESLIE PEARSON, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 1412 - Law. ✓  
 )  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 27th day of April, A. D. 1948, the above styled case called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial and waive a trial by jury in open court. Thereafter, opening statements of counsel are made and all witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Leslie Pearson, J. C. Stinson, H. C. Doy, Jessie Castins, G. G. Crawford, Carl Rogers, F. T. Pouden, G. C. Grook, W. Dierier. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. And thereafter, the noon jury having arrived, it is ordered by the court that court be recessed to 3:00 P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 P.M., on this same day, court is in session. All parties present as before. Whereupon, the defendant moves for judgment upon the pleadings herein, which motion, is by the court overruled. Thereafter, the defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Austin Hart, G. A. Bates, G. L. Kerner. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, the Government again moves for judgment which motion is again overruled by the court. And thereafter, the Plaintiff moves for judgment herein, which motion, is by the court sustained. Thereafter, it is ordered by the court that judgment be entered for Plaintiff, as per journal entry. (W. Blake Kennedy, Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

LESLIE PEARSON, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
vs. ) No. 1412 - Law. ✓  
 )  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This cause came on regularly to be heard upon the 27th day of April, 1948 pursuant to regular assignment for trial; the plaintiff being present in his own proper person and by counsel, and the defendant United States of America being present by its counsel, and both parties announcing ready for trial, and a jury having been waived in writing, the court proceeded to hear the evidence and argument of counsel. The court having given full consideration to the evidence and argument of counsel, as well as to the facts and law, and on consideration finds that the plaintiff has sustained the allegations of his petition and is entitled to judgment accordingly.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the plaintiff, Leslie Pearson have and recover against the defendant United States of America, the sum of fifty seven and 50/100 ( 57.50) dollars per month from February 20, 1948 to April 20, 1948 inclusive, and a total of \$1,085.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that



No. 1415 Law - Cont'd.

All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduced evidence and proof with the following witnesses: G. A. Gaines, L. W. Thorsen, W. L. Hille, J. M. Wilks. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendant moves for judgment herein, which motion is, by the Court, denied. Thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witness: Mrs. A. Lo G. And thereafter, the Defendant rests and moves for judgment herein, which motion is again overruled. The case, the Plaintiff moves for judgment herein, which motion is, by the Court sustained. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment for Plaintiff be entered, as per journal entry to be filed. (T. Blake Kennedy, Judge).

-----  
UNITED STATES, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1415 - Law. ✓  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 27th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled case be, and it is hereby, stricken from the trial assignment of this date.

-----  
W. L. BROFFTON, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1422 - Law. ✓  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 27th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case comes on for trial. Both sides present and are once ready for trial. Thereupon, all witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduced evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Basil G. Thompson, J. L. Thompson, W. Thompson. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: G. A. Gaines, J. A. Garrow, J. E. Robinson and documentary evidence. And thereafter, both sides rest. The Defendant moves for judgment herein which motion is, by the Court, overruled. Thereafter, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that judgment for Plaintiff be entered as per journal entry to be filed. Exceptions allowed.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

-----  
Basil G. Thompson, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1422 Law ✓  
United States, Defendant. )

APRIL 27 1932

WILLIAM H. WALKER, Plaintiff, vs. THE UNITED STATES, Defendant.

1919, March 1st.

On the 1st day of March, 1919, the court was advised by the parties and their counsel that the defendant, the United States, had failed to comply with the order of the court made on the 1st day of February, 1919, to show cause why it should not be held in contempt. The court thereupon proceeded to hold the defendant in contempt and ordered that the defendant pay to the plaintiff the sum of \$10,000, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum, to be paid on the 1st day of March, 1919.

The court further finds that the defendant, the United States, has failed to comply with the order of the court made on the 1st day of February, 1919, to show cause why it should not be held in contempt. The court thereupon proceeded to hold the defendant in contempt and ordered that the defendant pay to the plaintiff the sum of \$10,000, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum, to be paid on the 1st day of March, 1919.

The court further finds that the defendant, the United States, has failed to comply with the order of the court made on the 1st day of February, 1919, to show cause why it should not be held in contempt. The court thereupon proceeded to hold the defendant in contempt and ordered that the defendant pay to the plaintiff the sum of \$10,000, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum, to be paid on the 1st day of March, 1919.

The court further finds that the amount due the plaintiff is the sum of \$10,000, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum, to be paid on the 1st day of March, 1919.

The court further finds that the defendant, the United States, has failed to comply with the order of the court made on the 1st day of February, 1919, to show cause why it should not be held in contempt. The court thereupon proceeded to hold the defendant in contempt and ordered that the defendant pay to the plaintiff the sum of \$10,000, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum, to be paid on the 1st day of March, 1919.

The court further finds that the defendant, the United States, has failed to comply with the order of the court made on the 1st day of February, 1919, to show cause why it should not be held in contempt. The court thereupon proceeded to hold the defendant in contempt and ordered that the defendant pay to the plaintiff the sum of \$10,000, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum, to be paid on the 1st day of March, 1919.

The court further finds that the defendant, the United States, has failed to comply with the order of the court made on the 1st day of February, 1919, to show cause why it should not be held in contempt. The court thereupon proceeded to hold the defendant in contempt and ordered that the defendant pay to the plaintiff the sum of \$10,000, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum, to be paid on the 1st day of March, 1919.

plaintiff upon the insurance contract sued on herein, said amount to be paid  
said counsel by the defendant out of said future installments and as to said  
plaintiff's future claims, this is without prejudice, to all of which the de-  
fendant excepts, and exception is allowed by the court.

W. H. Williams  
Judge.

M. W. McNeill  
Atty for Plf.

A. J. Williams  
Assist. U. S. Atty.

DO NOTED: Filed Apr 23 1938  
J. P. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Red Weaver, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1433 Lev. ✓  
United States, Defendant.

APPLICATION FOR SUBPOENA FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS

A. J. Williams, being first duly sworn, states; that he is  
Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and as  
such is attorney for the defendant herein, and that said cause is set for trial  
April 29, 1938, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said district. Affiant further states  
that Dr. Carl Buckett, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma residing west of the Northern  
District of Oklahoma, and more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from  
Tulsa, Oklahoma, where said trial will be had, is an important witness for the  
United States in said cause, and it is necessary to have said witness at said  
trial on said date to properly present the facts herein by evidence in open  
court, and this affidavit is for the purpose of securing an order of court,  
directed to the Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma for service of  
process on said witness.

Affiant asks that this court grant an order direct-  
ing the United States Marshal for said district to take prompt service on re-  
turn of subpoena for said witness for the 29 day of April, 1938, to appear at  
Tulsa, Oklahoma, to testify in said cause.

A. J. Williams  
Assistant United States Attorney

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 23 day of April, 1938.

( )

W. H. Williams  
Deputy Clerk U. S. District Court  
Northern District of Oklahoma

Washington, D.C. 20250

TO: [Illegible]

[Illegible]

FROM: [Illegible]



148  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, APRIL 28, 1932

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 6046 ✓  
Blanche Rogers, et al., Defendants. )

ORDER MODIFYING JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE

Now upon this the 28th day of April, 1932, came on for consideration of the court the modification of the judgment and sentence of the above named defendant, Blanche Rogers. Said matter being presented by Honorable Harry Seaton for the government, and Mr. H. E. Chandler, Attorney, for the defendant. That said Blanche Rogers was sentenced by this court on the 25th day of March, 1932, at Vinita, Oklahoma, upon a charge of conspiracy with other defendants, wherein said defendant received five months and a \$100.00 fine upon the first count, five months upon the second count, five months upon the third count, and five months upon the fourth count, same to run concurrently and the said Blanche Rogers is now serving the sentence aforesaid in the county jail at Vinita, Oklahoma.

It is further shown to the court that prior to the time the defendant herein was sentenced on the date above named she had served a period of 94 days awaiting said trial in the county jail, and now has served upon her sentence a period of thirty-five days in jail at Vinita, Oklahoma, and desire her sentence modified to permit her back time served in jail prior to the date of her trial.

It is THEREFORE ORDERED by the Court that the judgment and sentence of the court rendered the defendant herein on the 25th day of March, 1932, be and the same is hereby modified, allowing the said defendant, Blanche Rogers, the 94 days served by her prior to the date upon her trial, said period of time to be allowed upon her present sentence of five months and a \$100.00 fine.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

O.K. HARRY SEATON  
Asst. U. S. Atty.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 28 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6136 - Criminal. ✓  
CLYDE LAYTON, alias C. A. BROCK, Defendants. )

Now on this 28th day of April, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Clyde Layton alias C. A. Brock, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned, pleads true name to be Clyde T. Layton and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, APRIL 28, 1932

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Eric D. Herrington, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1060 Law ✓  
United States of America, Defendant. )

Now on this 27 day of April, 1932, it appearing to the Court that the above named defendant, the United States of America, has been granted an appeal in the above entitled cause, and that it is necessary that said defendant have a further extension of time of 60 days in addition to that already granted, in which to prepare, serve and file a record in said cause;

IT IS ORDERED that said defendant, United States of America, be and is hereby granted an extension of 60 days in addition to the time already granted, in which to prepare, serve and file said record in said cause.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assist. U. S. Atty.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 28 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ATHOL BEAN, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) NO. 1086 - LAW. ✓  
J. A. FRATES and F. A. BODOVITZ, )  
Receivers of Union Transportation )  
Company, Defendants. )

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This cause coming on to be heard pursuant to the regular setting thereof on this the 11th day of April, 1932, and the plaintiff and defendants being present in person and by their counsel, announced ready for trial, and thereupon the defendants moved the court to render judgment in their favor on the pleadings, which motion being considered by the Court, was overruled; to which ruling of the Court defendants excepted.

A jury having been duly impanelled and sworn to try the case, plaintiff commenced the introduction of evidence in his behalf, and pending examination of plaintiff, plaintiff's counsel asked the Court for leave to amend his pleadings and to tender to Casualty Reciprocal Exchange of Kansas City, Missouri, the money paid to the plaintiff as shown by the plaintiff's pleading which motion was by the Court denied; to which ruling of the Court the plaintiff excepted.

And thereupon the defendants renewed their motion for judgment in their favor on the pleadings, and the Court having indicated that said motion should be sustained, plaintiff moved the Court for leave to dismiss said cause without prejudice to a future action, which motion was by the Court denied; to which ruling of the Court plaintiff excepted.

Thereupon the Court sustained the motion of defendants for judgment on the pleadings in their favor; to which ruling of the Court plaintiff excepted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that plaintiff take nothing herein, that the petition of the plaintiff be dismissed, and that defendants go hence without day and recover of plaintiff their costs herein expended; to which ruling and judgment of the Court plaintiff in open court excepted and gave notice of appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals.

Thereupon there came on for hearing the intervening petition of Casualty Reciprocal Exchange of Kansas City, Missouri, and the defendants objected to the introduction of any evidence in support of such petition, and move that the same be dismissed, which motion and objection were by the Court sustained, and the said intervening petition was by the Court dismissed; to which rulings and order the said intervenor excepted.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
Judge.

K. KLEINSCHMIDT & JOHNSON  
Attys for Def.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 28 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ARBRA HENDERSON, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) NO. 1215 - LAW. )  
 )  
J. A. BODOVITZ AND J. A. FRATES, Sr., )  
Receivers of Union Transportation )  
Company, Defendants. )

O R D E R

On this 2nd day of May, 1932, it appearing to the Court that the plaintiff herein has filed a dismissal of the above entitled action, with prejudice to a future action, each of the parties hereto to pay the costs incurred by them,

IT IS ACCORDINGLY BY THE COURT ORDERED that the above entitled

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, APRIL 28, 1932

case be, and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to a future action, each of the parties hereto to pay the costs incurred by them.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 2 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Harry Leon Coffelt, a minor by )  
Mrs. M. Coffelt, next friend, ) Plaintiff, )  
 ) )  
VS ) No. 1216 - Law )  
 ) )  
Smith Sand Company, a corporation, )  
J. A. Frates and Felix A. Bodovitz, )  
as receivers of Oklahoma Union Rail- )  
way Company, a corporation, ) Defendants. )

PETITION FOR APPEAL

TO THE HONORABLE T. BLAKE KENNEDY, JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA:

Comes now the Smith Sand Company, a corporation, who is one of defendants in the above entitled cause, and considering itself aggrieved by t verdict and judgment made and entered on the 14th day of April, 1932, in the above entitled cause, does hereby appeal from said verdict and judgment to th United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, sitting at Den- ver, Colorado, for the reasons specified in the assignment of errors which is filed herewith, and prays for an order allowing said defendant to appeal unde and according to the laws of the United States in that behalf made and provid and your petitioner desires that said appeal shall operate as a supersedeas, and therefore prays that an order be made fixing the amount of such security for costs and for supersedeas which said Smith Sand Company shall give and fu nish upon such appeal, and that upon giving such security all further proceed ings in this court be suspended and stayed until the determination of said appeal by the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and that a tran- script of the record, proceedings and papers upon which said verdict and judg- ment were made, duly authenticated, may be sent to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and that a citation issue to the appellees herein.

Dated this 28th day of April, 1932.

GARLAND KEELING  
Attorney for said Defendant.

And now, to-wit, on the 28th day of April, 1932, on the preser- tation and consideration of the foregoing petition, it is



No. 1327 - Law, Cont'd.

Defendants move for a directed verdict herein, which motion of the Defendants is, by the Court, overruled. Thereupon, closing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their foreman announce they are unable to agree upon a verdict herein. Thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished by the Court and directed to deliberate further until 10:00 o'clock P.M. and return sealed verdict if one is reached, and court is recessed to 9:30 A.M., April 29, 1932. (T. Blake Kennedy, Judge).

-----  
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 -vs- ) No. 1367 - Law. ✓  
 )  
 LEMUEL SETH SHERRICK, Defendant. )

Now on this 28th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Lemuel Seth Sherrick, J. E. Hollingsworth, F. M. Wilkes, F. G. Darwart, G. R. Craig, Arthur Carnes, Hollie Brown, W. M. Carter, W. E. Wiley, J. W. Burgess. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendant moves for judgment herein, which motion, is by the Court, overruled. Thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, court is recessed to 1:30 P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 P.M. on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore. At the time the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: A. E. Collins, L. A. Howell, C. C. Matthews, L. L. Roberts, documentary evidence, John D. Leonard, W. P. Fite, C. S. Summers, C. W. Robinson. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be examined by Doctors Fite, Summers and Robinson. Thereafter, Examiners report findings. Thereupon, the Defendant again moves for judgment, which motion is, by the Court, overruled. Thereupon, the Plaintiff moves for judgment herein, which motion is, by the Court, sustained and it is further ordered by the Court that judgment for Plaintiff be entered as per journal entry to be filed. Exceptions allowed.

-----  
 WAYNE H. BOX, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 -vs- ) No. 1387 - Law. ✓  
 )  
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 28th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that attachment for Dr. L. C. Presson be, and it is hereby, dissolved, upon payment of Marshal's cost of service.

-----

NELLIE SNIDER,	Plaintiff,	)	
		)	
-vs-		)	
		)	No. 1406 - Law. ✓
SAND SPRINGS RAILWAY CO. A CORP. ET AL.,	Defendants.	)	

Now on this 28th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Order of April 26, 1932 be, and the same is hereby, dismissed and case is reinstated. Thereafter, said case is called. Both sides present in person and by counsel and announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Plaintiff challenges Grover Burch, J. H. Blackburn, Frank Smalstig. The Defendants challenge F. E. Stadleman, P. M. Coons, W. A. Jacobs, B. B. Lawson. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows: W. R. Lucas, A. V. Wisdom, J. G. Milford, Reese Fox, Leo Jackson, W. T. L. Dodson, Chas. Amadon, Fred Rock, Claud Conway, Chester Abrams, W. S. Flanigan and C. W. Murrell. Opening statements of counsel are made and all witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Nellie Snider, Sherman Pender, Rolland Nidiffer, C. J. Wood. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished, and court is recessed to 9:30 o'clock A. M., April 29, 1932.

ROBERT F. NEWCOMB,	Plaintiff,	)	
		)	
-vs-		)	No. 1414 - Law.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant.	)	

Now on this 28th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be permitted to file amended petition herein within three (3) days. It is further ordered that Answer to be amended and said case to stand submitted on the evidence herein.

G. M. MORRIS, Admr. of estate of LeROY MORRIS, deceased and ALTHA J. MORRIS,	Plaintiffs,	)	
		)	
-vs-		)	No. 1425 - Law. ✓
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant.	)	

Now on this 28th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case is called for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. All witnesses are sworn in open court. Thereafter, the Plaintiffs introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Mrs. G. M. Morris, G. M. Morris, documentary evidence, E. M. Connor, H. C. Childs, C. S. Summers, Jennie A. Bean, Paxton Howard. And thereafter, the Plaintiffs rest. Thereupon, the Defendant moves for judgment herein, which motion is, by the Court, overruled. Thereupon, the Defendant introduces documentary evidence and testimony of W. B. Tiltan. And thereafter the Defendant rests. Both sides rest. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that case be passed to 9:00 o'clock A.M. April 29, 1932 for determination.



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, APRIL 29, 1932

---

On this 29th day of April, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATIONS.

On this 29th day of April, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein, and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant, and the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the following sums:

<u>No.</u>	<u>Defendant</u>	<u>Amount</u>
6181	Orville E. Cox	\$2500.00
6182	Henry King	2500.00
6183	Hugh R. Vance	2500.00
6184	Gerald Poe alias Bud Poe	2500.00
6185	Doc (Wingie) Starr	2500.00
6186	E. A. Cain, alias Shorty Kane	2500.00
6187	Sam McIntire	2500.00
	Sadie Langston	2500.00
6188	Ida Walker	2500.00
6189	Leon Couch	2500.00
6190	Paul Harris	2500.00
6191	Troy Bishop	2500.00
6192	Louis Jackson	2500.00
6193	A. E. Miller	2500.00
6194	Joe Sixkiller	2500.00
	Frank Sappington	2500.00
6195	Roy Whitlock	2500.00
6196	Aura Bond	2500.00
6197	Clarence Mathes	2500.00
6198	Louis Woods	2500.00
	Ida Renfro	2500.00
6199	Duane Camp	2500.00
6200	Buddy Maxwell	2500.00
	Georgia Chapman	2500.00
6201	W. E. Donica	2500.00
	Roy Bradford	1000.00
6202	Elizabeth Feezell alias Bessie Feezell	2500.00
	Ruth Beagle	1000.00
6203	Virgil McBee	2500.00
6204	Henry Cook	2500.00
6205	John Willis	2500.00
	John Duncan	2500.00
6206	Leander Fry	2500.00
6207	F. A. Jackson	2500.00

FILED Apr 29 1932

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, APRIL 29, 1932

JACK EASTMAN, a minor by his )  
father and next friend, WALTER W. )  
EASTMAN, ) Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1091 - Law. ✓  
FELIX A. BODOVITZ & J. A. FRATES, )  
RECEIVER OF UNION TRANSPORTATION )  
CO. A CORP., and M. A. GADE, ) Defendants. )

Now on this 29th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT  
OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT  
OF OKLAHOMA.

GREETING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between Jack Eastman, a minor, by his father and next friend, Walter W. Eastman, plaintiff, and Felix A. Bodovitz Receiver, et al., defendants, No. 1091, Law, the judgment of the said District Court in said cause entered on May 1, 1931, was in the following words, viz:

"It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the court that the said plaintiff have and recover from the said defendants the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars, together with the costs of this action, to which judgment defendants duly excepted."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by J. A. Frates et al agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the January Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, that the judgment of the said District Court, in this cause, be, and the same is hereby, affirmed and that Jack Eastman, a minor, by his father and next friend, Walter W. Eastman, appellee, have and recover of and from J. A. Frates and F. A. Bodovitz, as Receivers of Union Transportation Company, a corporation, appellants, his costs herein.

-- March 21, 1932.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had

in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS the Honorable CHARLES E. FUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 27th day of April, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two.

COSTS OF	Appellee.
Clerk	\$-- --
Printing Record	\$-- --
Attorney	\$20.00
	<u>\$20.00</u>

ALBERT TREGO  
 Clerk of the United States Circuit Court  
 of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

By H. A. McINTYRE  
 Deputy Clerk

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1932  
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court JMR

-----  
 IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN  
 AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Alex Surrell,	Plaintiff,	)
		)
vs.		)
		) No. 1262 Law.
Cosden & Co., a corporation, and		)
the Mid-Continent Petroleum Corpora-		)
tion, successors to the Cosden & Company,		)
a Delaware corporation,		)
	Defendants.	)

JOURNAL ENTRY

NOW, on this 4th day of April, 1932, the above entitled cause coming on for hearing upon the separate demurrer of the defendant, Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation, and said defendant appearing by its attorney, R. H. Wills, and the above named plaintiff appearing neither in person nor by counsel, and the Court having read and considered said demurrer and having heard and considered the argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the afore-said separate demurrer be and the same is hereby sustained; and IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above entitled cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, with prejudice, and that the costs herein be taxed against said plaintiff.

R. E. KENNAMER  
 J u d g e.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1932  
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court

-----

LORAIN McCOY, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1327 - Law. ✓  
FELIX A. BODAVITZ, ET AL, Plaintiffs. )

Now on this 29th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore. Thereafter, the jury returns into open court and through their Foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

"VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Lorain McCoy, Plaintiff. )  
vs. )  
Felix A. Bodovitz and )  
J. A. Frates, Receivers for ) Case No. 1327 Law.  
the Union Transportation Company, )  
a corporation, and Robert )  
Hill, )  
Defendant. )

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the plaintiff, and assess her damages at \$408.00 DOLLARS.

FILED In Open Court TRACE BARTLETT  
Apr 29 1932 Foreman.  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Thereupon, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment for Plaintiff be entered accordingly, to all of which the Defendants duly except and give notice of their intention to appeal. Given sixty (60) days to prepare and file Bill of Exceptions. (T. Blake Kennedy, Judge).

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

LORAIN McCOY, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1327 - Law. ✓  
FELIX A. BODOVITZ and J. A. FRATES, receivers )  
of Union Transportation Company, and ROBERT )  
HILL, Defendants. )

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, APRIL 29, 1932

No. 1327 - Law, Cont'd.

BE IT REMEMBERED that this cause came on regularly for trial on the 28th day of April, 1932, said date being one of the trial days of the special March, 1932, term of this Court, the plaintiff appearing in person and by her attorneys, Frank Hickman and Irvine E. Ungerman, and the defendants Felix A. Bodovitz and J. A. Frates, receivers of the Union Transportation Company, and Robert Hill, appearing in person and by their attorney, R. A. Kleinschmidt, and both sides having announced ready for trial, a jury of twelve jurors was duly impaneled and sworn to try the cause. Thereupon the plaintiff introduced her evidence and rested. Thereupon the defendants introduced their evidence and rested. Thereupon the plaintiff introduced her evidence in rebuttal and both sides rested, whereupon the defendants moved the Court to instruct the jury to return a verdict in favor of the defendants, which was by the Court overruled, and exceptions allowed the defendants. Thereupon the cause was argued to the jury by counsel and the Court instructed the jury as to the law applicable to the case, whereupon the jury retired to consider of their verdict, and thereafter and on the 29th day of April, 1932, they returned into Court their verdict, which is in words and figures as follows:

"VERDICT

Form No. 101

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
For the Northern District of Oklahoma

LORAIN McCOY, Plaintiff )  
-vs- )  
FELIX A. BODOVITZ and J. A. ) CASE NO. 1327 Law  
FRATES, Receivers of Union Trans- )  
portation Company, and ROBERT HILL, )  
Defendants. )

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the plaintiff, and assess her damages at \$408.00 Dollars.

TRACE BARTLETT  
Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff have judgment against the defendants for the sum of Four Hundred Eight Dollars (\$408.00) and for the costs of this action, to which defendants excepted, and are allowed 60 days from this date to prepare and serve bill of exceptions on appeal.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
United States District Judge.

O.K. KLEINSCHMIDT & JOHNSON  
Attorneys for Defendants.  
FRANK HICKMAN  
IRVINE E. UNGERMAN  
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
 SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, APRIL 29, 1932

NELLIE SNIDER, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 -vs- ) No. 1406 - Law. ✓  
 )  
 SAND SPRINGS RAILWAY CO. )  
 A CORP., ET AL., Defendants. )

Now on this 29th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. The Plaintiff continues with the introduction of evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Dr. Rhodes, F. E. Rushing. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendants demur to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer is, by the Court, overruled. Thereafter, the Defendants introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: A. Roy Wiley, Fred A. Glass, T. A. Hartgrove, E. H. Duncan. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished by the Court and court is recessed to 1:45 P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:45 P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present, and in the box. The Defendants continues with their introduction of evidence and proof with the following witnesses: R. B. Ward, Roy E. Lane, Joe Parker, M. H. East, T. H. Stephins, M. A. Gade, A. Walter, W. R. Chilton. And thereafter, all Defendants rest. Thereafter, the Plaintiff offers in rebuttal testimony of Nellie Snider. Both sides rest. Thereafter, each of said Defendants move for a directed verdict herein, which motions are considered by the Court and all of said motions are, by the Court, overruled and exceptions allowed. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished and court is recessed to 9:00 o'clock A. M. April 30, 1932.

-----  
 G. M. MORRIS, Admr. of estate of )  
 LeROY MORRIS, deceased and ALTHA J. )  
 MORRIS, Plaintiffs, ) No. 1425 - Law. ✓  
 )  
 -vs- )  
 )  
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 29th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment for Defendant be entered. It is further ordered by the Court that exceptions be allowed.

-----  
 ARCHIE ANTLE, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 -vs- ) No. 1431 - Law. ✓  
 )  
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 29th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled case comes on for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, all witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Archie Antle, Walt Linn, Elsie Antle, Clifford Antle, John Whitaker, Oliver Kessler, Andy Bowles, John Antle, Spencer Ingram, Bill Antle, T. J. Collins, Dr. Henderson. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereafter, the Defendant moves for judgment herein, which motion



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Margarette Ellis, a Minor, by her )  
Mother and next friend, Mildred )  
Ellis, ) Plaintiff, )  
 ) No. 1496 Law. ✓  
vs. )  
 )  
Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation, )  
a corporation, and John Turner, ) Defendants. )

JOURNAL ENTRY

NOW, on this 4th day of April, 1932, plaintiff's motion to re-  
mand coming on to be heard, and said plaintiff appearing by her attorneys of  
record, and said defendants appearing by their attorneys of record, and the  
Court, being fully and sufficiently advised in the premises, finds that said  
motion to remand should be denied;

IT IS, THEREFORE, by the Court, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED  
that plaintiff's motion to remand be and the same is hereby denied.

Thereupon, the plaintiff in open Court dismissed the above styled  
cause, without prejudice to the bringing of a future action, at her costs.

IT IS, THEREFORE, FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the  
above styled cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, without prejudice to  
the bringing of a future action, at plaintiff's costs.

F. E. KENNAMER  
J u d g e.

O.K. JOHN H. TODD  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
O.K. I. L. LOCKEWITZ  
Attorney for Defendant.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
MRS. FRED K. BOWEN, )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
-vs- ) No. 1573 - Law. ✓  
 )  
BARTLESVILLE WATER COMPANY, )  
a corporation, ) Defendant. )

Now on this 29th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the  
Court that motion to quash be, and the same is hereby, sustained, and alias  
summons to issue. It is further ordered that motion for securities for cost be,  
and the same is hereby, sustained.

-----  
Court adjourned until April 30, 1932.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, APRIL 30, 1932

On this 30th day of April, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer and Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ADMISSION TO BAR.

On this 30th day of April, A. D. 1932, it being made satisfactorily to appear that Joseph L. Seger, Clark G. Clinton, C. Lawrence Elder, Zolla B. Hyde, Clyde F. Dowd and A. C. Holmes, are qualified for admission to the Bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is admitted to the Bar of the Court.

MISCELLANEOUS - RE: BANKRUPTCY - UNCLAIMED MONEYS.

Unclaimed moneys in closed cases in bankruptcy, subject to Section 66 of the Bankruptcy Act, on deposit in the American National Bank, Pawhuska, Oklahoma, a designated depository for funds of estates in bankruptcy in the northern district of Oklahoma, at close of business March 31, 1932.

Case No.	Estate of	Amount.
596	Estate of H. Van Kleef	\$20.42

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN  
AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IN BANKRUPTCY ) UNCLAIMED MONEYS

It appearing to the Court from the above statement that there are certain balances in closed Bankruptcy cases aggregating \$20.42 on deposit in the American National Bank, Pawhuska, Oklahoma, which it seems impracticable to disburse and which are subject to Section 66 of the Bankruptcy Act.

IT IS ORDERED that said bank pay the amount of said balance to the clerk of this court to be deposited by him in the Registry of the Court, in the name and to the credit of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that an itemized statement of said balances be recorded in connection with this order.

Dated at Tulsa this 30th day of April, 1932.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 30 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

F. E. KENNAMER  
District Judge.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
 SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, APRIL 30, 1932

MISCELLANEOUS - RE: BANKRUPTCY - UNCLAIMED MONEYS.

Unclaimed moneys in closed cases in bankruptcy, subject to Section 66 of the Bankruptcy Act, on deposit in the First National Bank & Trust Co., Tulsa, Oklahoma, a designated depository for funds of estates in bankruptcy in the Northern District of Oklahoma, at close of business March 31, 1932.

Case No.	Estate of	Amount.
439	John Beilstein	70.00
138	C. T. Bumgarner	2.05
537	Darrow Music Co.	4.90
1084	Hails, a corporation	3.94
667	Jones Manfg. Co.	12.35
1074	Lion Shoe Store	.40
799	J. P. Martin & Co.	16.41
695	Mayers, Inc.	158.31
359	E. T. Naifeth	3.36
1095	E. B. Payne & Son	50.00
867	William B. Potter	4.50
1348	Pure Sweet Confections, Inc.	.50
826	Ellen F. Rushart	.11
443	Jacob Smysor	2.00
741	Eli Steed	35.29
1123	Unit Lock Co.	7.65
1325	Valentines, Inc.	1.80
	Total	\$ 373.57

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN  
 AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IN BANKRUPTCY ) UNCLAIMED MONEYS

It appearing to the Court from the above statement that there are certain balances in closed Bankruptcy cases aggregating \$373.57 on deposit in the First National Bank & Trust Co., Tulsa, Oklahoma, which it seems impracticable to disburse and which are subject to Section 66 of the Bankruptcy Act.

IT IS ORDERED that said bank pay the amount of said balance to the Clerk of this Court to be deposited by him in the Registry of the Court, in the name and to the credit of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that an itemized statement of said balances be recorded in connection with this order.

Dated at Tulsa this 30th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER  
 District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 30 1932  
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court

MISCELLANEOUS - RE: BANKRUPTCY - UNCLAIMED MONEYS.

Unclaimed moneys in closed cases in bankruptcy, subject to Section 66 of the bankruptcy Act, on deposit in the Fourth National Bank, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, a designated depository for funds of estates in bankruptcy in the northern district of Oklahoma, at close of business March 31, 1932.

Case No.	Estate of	Amount.
737	Conwell Company	\$ 2.24
276	Lawrence E. Coonrod	173.06
199	Harvey B. Drinkwater	123.87
541	M. A. Farha	138.82
546	Ina Franklin	1.51
839	Gorrell Beauty Shop	24.14
326	W. O. Heizer	1.51
949	J. A. Hunt	2.00
548	C. H. Kell	30.00
887	Kiddie Kute Shop, Inc.	163.04
247	Lafayette Cafe	58.77
849	Harry Leon	.30
129	A. E. Lewis	27.33
128	W. L. Lewis	47.64
560	Nathen Lieberman	7.27
620	George D. Skaer Co.	.57
Total		\$ 802.10

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN  
AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IN BANKRUPTCY ) UNCLAIMED MONEYS

It appearing to the Court from the above statement that there are certain balances in closed bankruptcy cases aggregating \$802.10 on deposit in the Fourth National Bank, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, which it seems impracticable to disburse and which are subject to Section 66 of the bankruptcy Act.

IT IS ORDERED that said bank pay the amount of said balance to the clerk of this court to be deposited by him in the registry of the Court, in the name and to the credit of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that an itemized statement of said balances be recorded in connection with this order.

Dated At Tulsa, this 30th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER  
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 30 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

MISCELLANEOUS - RE: BANKRUPTCY - UNCLAIMED MONEYS. ✓

Unclaimed moneys in closed cases in bankruptcy, subject to Section 66 of the Bankruptcy Act, on deposit in the Exchange National Bank, Tulsa, Oklahoma, a designated depository for funds of estates in bankruptcy in the northern district of Oklahoma, at close of business March 31, 1932.

Case No.	Estate of	Amount
No. 29	Loring G. Bradstreet, Inc.	206.72
474	Albert Digney	18.12
86	O. E. Haven	417.46
233	A. H. Jones	4.16
545	McWilliams Lumber Co.	8.88
564	Coleman P. Payton	.10
(425) 425	Cora Pendergrass	.88
387	Callie Polmtier	.94
123	John William Swalley	17.77
393	William P. Tully	13.39
	Total	\$688.42

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IN BANKRUPTCY ) UNCLAIMED MONEYS

It appearing to the Court from the above statement that there are certain balances in closed bankruptcy cases aggregating \$688.42 on deposit in the Exchange National Bank, Tulsa, Oklahoma, which it seems impracticable to disburse and which are subject to Section 66 of the Bankruptcy Act.

IT IS ORDERED that said bank pay the amount of said balance to the clerk of this court to be deposited by him in the Registry of the Court, in the name and to the credit of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that an itemized statement of said balances be recorded in connection with this order.

Dated at Tulsa this 30th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER  
 District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 30 1932  
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 6025 - Criminal. ✓  
 FREDERICK PAGE, Defendant. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Motion for new trial be, and the same is hereby, sustained. It is further ordered that Motion to quash be submitted on briefs, Defendant given fifteen (15) days to file brief.

-----  
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 6208 - Criminal. ✓  
 EARL WELLS and MRS. FRANCIS L. )  
 WELLS, Defendants. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein, and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each of the above defendants, and the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the sum of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ Each.

-----  
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 6209 - Criminal. ✓  
 CHARLEY BROOKS, Defendant. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein, and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each of the above defendants, and the bond of said defendant is hereby fixed in the sum of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ each.

-----  
 NELLIE SNIDER, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 1406 - Law. ✓  
 SAND SPRINGS RAILWAY CO. A )  
 CORP., ET AL, Defendants. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore and the jury each and every member present and in the box. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that court be recessed to 1:30 o'clock P.M. and the jury is admonished.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M. on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Thereafter, Defendant Sand Springs



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, APRIL 30, 1932

---

insurance attorney for the United States Veterans' Bureau; and both plaintiff and defendant having signed and filed a written stipulation waiving a jury and consenting that said cause may be tried to the Court without the intervention of a jury, said cause proceeds to be tried and determined by the Court.

Thereupon the plaintiff and defendant announced ready for trial, and the plaintiff introduced his evidence and rested, and the defendant introduced its evidence and rested, and both the plaintiff and defendant moved for judgment.

And the Court having heard argument of counsel and being duly advised in the premises finds the issues in this case in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant. The Court finds that the plaintiff, Charles Edward Haines, became totally and permanently disabled on January 21, 1918, the date of his discharge from the United States Army and has continued and remained permanently and totally disabled from January 22, 1918, until this date, to-wit: April 27, 1932, and is entitled to recover upon his policy of war Risk Insurance issued to said plaintiff according to the terms and conditions of said policy as set out and alleged in plaintiff's petition.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the plaintiff, Charles Edward Haines, have and recover of and from the defendant, United States of America, the sum of \$28.75 per month from January 22, 1918, to April 27, 1932, as provided by the terms of War Risk Insurance Policy issued to the plaintiff, providing for such payments for total and permanent disability, said total amount to be paid under this judgment to be determined by the Director of the United States Veterans' Bureau at Washington, D. C., in accordance with the findings hereinabove.

And it appearing to the Court that a converted policy No. K-129832 in the sum of \$5000.00 was issued to Charles Edward Haines on the first day of April, 1920, and plaintiff having tendered in open Court the return of said policy, it is ordered that said policy be returned, cancelled and surrendered to the defendant, and that said policy be and the same is hereby cancelled and all rights thereunder annulled.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that if the Director of the United States Veterans' Bureau shall determine that the premium accruing on the original term War Risk policy sued on in this action between the date of its issuance, to-wit, January 7, 1918, and January 21, 1918, the date of disability, has not been paid, that the amount of premium due for such period of time shall be deducted from the payments to be made under this judgment.

The Court further finds that the said Charles Edward Haines has employed as his attorneys, Harper and Lee, 814 Atlas Life Building, Tulsa, Oklahoma, to represent him and to prosecute this claim before this Court. The Court finds that the said attorneys are entitled to the sum of 10 per cent. of all amounts collected by the plaintiff under this judgment, said attorneys' fees to be paid by the United States Veterans' Bureau out of the amounts found to be due the plaintiff by said United States Veterans' Bureau, to all of which findings, verdicts, judgments and decrees, the defendant excepts, and exceptions are allowed by the Court.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
Judge

O.K. HARPER and LEE  
By GENTRY LEE  
Attorneys for Plaintiff.



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, APRIL 30, 1932

---

LESTER JORDAN, ADMR. ESTATE OF )  
HICKORY WILSON, DEC'D., ) Plaintiff, )  
 ) No. 1453 - Law. )  
-vs- )  
 )  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Defendant. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment for Defendant be entered as per journal entry to be filed. It is further ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be allowed exceptions.

-----

Court adjourned until May 2, 1932, at Tulsa.



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Charles Dowd, Plaintiff, )  
vs ) No. 1376 - Law.  
United States of America, Defendant. )

J U D G M E N T

Now, on this 25th., day of April, 1932, this cause came on regularly to be tried in open Court before Honorable T. Blake Kennedy, Judge, and a jury, the plaintiff being present in person and by his attorney Glenn O. Young, and the defendant being present by Hon. T. J. Williamson, Insurance Attorney for the Veterans' Administration, Oklahoma City, and his assistant Murry Cross, and A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and both parties having announced ready for trial, whereupon a jury from the regular pannel was regularly chosen, empaneled and sworn to try said cause, and thereafter the plaintiff and the defendant having introduced their evidence of witnesses sworn and examined in open Court, and both the plaintiff and the defendant having rested their case, and thereupon the defendant having made a motion to the Court that the Court give a peremptory Instruction to the Jury in favor of the defendant because the evidence on behalf of the plaintiff has wholly failed to sustain the petition and pleadings of plaintiff, and defendant therefore makes its motion for judgment at the close of all the evidence, and the attorneys for plaintiff and the attorneys for defendant having argued said motion; whereupon it being now 5 o'clock P.M. of said day, Court is recessed until 9.30 o'clock A.M., April 26th., 1932.

And now at 9:30 o'clock A.M., April 26th., 1932, and said Court Court being regularly in session, said cause is resumed, and the Court being fully advised, sustains the motion of defendant.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE of the Court that the motion of defendant for judgment after the close of all the testimony be, and the same is hereby sustained and said cause is dismissed with prejudice at plaintiff's costs, and that the plaintiff take nothing as a result of this suit, to which order and judgment of the Court the plaintiff excepts and exceptions are allowed.

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
Judge

ENDORSED: Filed May 2 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. L. Newton, Administrator of  
the Estate of George A. Newton,  
Deceased, Plaintiff, )  
vs ) No. 1381 - Law.  
United States of America, Defendant. )

J U D G M E N T

Now, on this 26th., day of April, 1932, this cause came on regularly to tried in open Court before Honorable T. Blake Kennedy, Judge, and a Jury, and both plaintiff, by his attirneys Harper and Lee, and the defendant by its attorneys Hon. T. J. Williamson, Insurance Attorney for Veterans' Administration, Oklahoma City, and A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, having announced ready for trial, whereupon the jury for the trial of said cause having been regularly empaneled and sworn to try said cause, and the plaintiff having introduced his testimony of sworn witnesses in open Court and rested, and thereupon the defendant, by its attorneys, having made a motion for Judgment for the defendant on a demurrer to the evidence of plaintiff, because plaintiff had failed to sustain its cause of action by its evidence, and the Court having heard argument of counsel for both plaintiff and defendant, finds that said motion for judgment on behalf of defendant should be sustained, and said cause dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, That the motion of defendant for Judgment after the close of plaintiff's testimony, be and the same is hereby sustained, and said cause is dismissed with prejudice, at plaintiff's Costs; and that plaintiff take nothing as a result of this suit. To which order and judgment of the Court plaintiff excepts and exceptions are allowed.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assistant U. S. Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed May 2 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Nellie Snider, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1406 Law.  
Sand Springs Railway Company, a )  
corporation, F. A. Bodovitz and J. A. )  
Prates, Receivers of Union Transporta- )  
tion Company, a corporation, )  
Defendants. )

JOURNAL ENTRY

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, MAY 2, 1932

---

Now on this 28th day of April, 1932, the above cause coming on in regular order for trial, and the plaintiff appearing in person and by her attorneys, Harry Campbell and John H. Cantrell, and the defendant, Sand Springs Railway Company, a corporation, appearing in person and by its attorneys, Barney, Hamilton and E. J. Doerner, and the defendant receivers appearing by their attorney, R. A. Kleinschmidt, the case is called for trial and a jury of twelve men is duly empaneled and sworn to try the issues in said cause. Opening statements of counsel were made, the plaintiff introduced her evidence and rested.

Thereupon the defendants, and each of them, demurred to the evidence of the plaintiff, which demurrers and each of them were overruled and exceptions allowed to each defendant.

Thereupon the defendant, Sand Springs Railway Company, introduced its evidence and rested. The defendant receivers introduced their evidence and rested. The plaintiff introduced her evidence in rebuttal and rested.

At the close of all the evidence the defendants and each of them moved the court for directed verdict in their respective favors. After argument thereon the court announced: "I think I will submit the case to the jury."

Thereupon the defendants and each of them asked and were allowed exceptions to this statement of the court.

Thereupon the cause was continued for argument and instructions until the morning of April 30, 1932, the court telling counsel for the Sand Springs Railway Company, in the absence of the attorneys for the plaintiff, that they might have permission to submit additional authorities on their motion for directed verdict.

At 9:30 A.M. on April 30, 1932, the court caused all counsel in the case to assemble in chambers, and announced to the attorneys for the plaintiff that authorities had been submitted by the attorneys for the Sand Springs Railway Company which indicated that the court's previous ruling on the motion of the Sand Springs Railway Company for a directed verdict was erroneous. Counsel for plaintiff stated that they were surprised, and requested time to bring to the court authorities supporting the previous order of the court denying the said motion for directed verdict of the said Sand Springs Railway Company. This request was granted and said cause continued until 1:30 P.M. on said day.

At 1:30 P.M., counsel again assembled in chambers, and the attorneys for the plaintiff presented their authorities, which the court indicated he did not consider applicable or controlling, and that the motion of the Sand Springs Railway Company was well taken and should be sustained.

Thereupon court was convened, and all parties being represented in open court as before, the plaintiff moved the court for leave to dismiss her case as against the receivers of the Union Transportation Company, without prejudice. Thereupon further proceedings were had, rulings made and exceptions taken and allowed, as more particularly shown by the record in said cause, which portion of the record is hereby referred to and made a part of this journal entry the same as if fully incorporated herein.

The court granted the motion of the Sand Springs Railway Company for a directed verdict, and directed the jury to return a verdict for said defendant, Sand Springs Railway Company, a corporation, which was done, and

78  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, MAY 2, 1932

---

which, omitting the caption, is as follows:

"We, the jury empaneled and sworn in the above entitled cause, do upon our oaths find the issues for the defendant, Sand Springs Railway Company, a corporation.

W. R. Lucas  
Foreman."

Said verdict was received, approved, ordered filed, and judgment rendered thereon for said defendant.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said defendant, Sand Springs Railway Company, a corporation, have judgment against the plaintiff herein and for its costs herein expended, to which plaintiff excepts.

The plaintiff excepted to the refusal of the court to remand the cause of the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and to the order of the court granting the motion of the defendant, Sand Springs Railway Company, for a directed verdict, and to the action of the court in directing a verdict for Sand Springs Railway Company.

Thereupon further proceedings were had, rulings made and exceptions taken and allowed, as more particularly shown by the record in said cause, which portion of said record is hereby referred to, made a part of this journal entry the same as if fully incorporated herein, and the court dismissed without prejudice the plaintiff's cause of action against the defendant receivers, and it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that said cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed against the defendants, Receivers of the Union Transportation Company, at the cost of the plaintiff.

It is further ordered that plaintiff, praying an appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, is granted sixty (60) days from this date within which to prepare and secure the signing and allowance of a bill of exceptions, and that the cost bond for such appeal which the plaintiff shall file shall be in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00).

Now on this 2nd day of May, 1932, the court having considered the motion of plaintiff for a new trial filed herein, denies and overrules same.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
United States District Judge.

O.K. STUART DOERNER & HAMILTON

O.K. As to form  
BIDDISON CAMPBELL BIDDISON & CANTRELL

ENDORSED: Filed May 2 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----  
Court adjourned until May 7, 1932 at Tulsa.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
 REGULAR MAY 1932 SESSION      PAWBUSKA, OKLAHOMA      MONDAY, MAY 2, 1932

---

On this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular May 1932 Session at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
 John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
 John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

REPORT OF REGISTRY FUND.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

TO THE HONORABLE: FRANKLIN E. KENNAMER, Judge  
 of the District Court of the United States  
 for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

Sir:

In compliance with the law, I hereby respectfully report the condition of the Registry Fund of this Court, at the close of business April 30th, 1932.

Balance in the First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma, at the close of business on March 5th, 1932.	\$56,757.37
---	-------------

Received, since March 5th, 1932.	
April 27, 1932 United States Marshal, Northern District of Oklahoma	70.44
Total.....	\$56,827.81

Disbursed, Since March 5th, 1932	
March 10, 1932 Searcy and Underwood	\$107.61
March 28, 1932 Grady Ellett	990.00
March 28, 1932 H. P. Warfield, Clerk	10.00
April 14, 1932 Thomas I. Monroe	2970.00
April 14, 1932 H. P. Warfield, Clerk	30.00
	52,720.20

Balance in Cash Book and in the First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma, at the close of business April 30th, 1932	
Total.....	\$56,827.81

Respectfully,  
 H. P. WARFIELD,  
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed In Open Court  
 May 2 1932  
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court

-----



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
 REGULAR MAY 1932 SESSION      PAMIUSKA, OKLAHOMA      MONDAY, MAY 2, 1932

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
-vs-		)	
		)	No. 2664 - Criminal. ✓
JOE GARCIA & FRANCIS GONZALAS,	Defendants.	)	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Joe Garcia appearing in person. At this time, it is ordered by the Court that probation be terminated and case closed as to defendant Joe Garcia. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that the above order be, and it is hereby, set aside.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
-vs-		)	
		)	No. 4266 - Criminal. ✓
SALLIE ATWELL, alias VERNON, WILL CHILDRESS, MARIE PENN, alias KEER, and DORA FISHER,	Defendants.	)	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Marie Penn, appearing in person. Now at this time, it is ordered by the Court that case be closed as to defendant Marie Penn and defendant be discharged. It is further ordered by the Court that Count 6 be dismissed as to defendant, Will Childress.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
-vs-		)	
		)	No. 4439 - Criminal. ✓
JOSEPH MILLS,	Defendant.	)	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Joe Mills appearing in person. At this time, it is ordered by the Court that sentence be deferred to May, 1933 at Pawhuska.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
-vs-		)	
		)	No. 4441 - Criminal. ✓
AMOS CROWE,	Defendant.	)	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled case be passed to May 5, 1932.



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
 REGULAR MAY 1932 SESSION      PAWHUSKA, OKLAHOMA      MONDAY, MAY 2, 1932

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
		)	
-vs-		)	
		)	No. 5095 - Criminal.
W. H. REEVES, J. R. WADSWORTH,		)	
FLOYD REEVES, EVANS H. WADSWORTH,		)	
and CHARLES WADSWORTH,	Defendants.	)	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Evans H. Wadsworth, appearing in person. Now at this time, it is ordered by the Court that said case be closed and defendant Evans H. Wadsworth be now discharged.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
		)	
-vs-		)	No. 5100 - Criminal.
WILLIAM (BILL)BARTON,	Defendant.	)	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, William (Bill) Barton, appearing in person. Now at this time, it is ordered by the Court that case be closed and said defendant discharged.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
		)	
-vs-		)	No. 5139 - Criminal.
JESSIE FINDLEY,	Defendant.	)	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Jessie Findley appearing in person. Thereafter, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled case be closed as to Counts 1, 3, and 4.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
		)	
-vs-		)	No. 5222 - Criminal.
GEORGE ALBERTY,	Defendant.	)	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, the above styled case comes on for hearing on deferred sentence. Defendant George Albert not present. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that case be passed to May 6th, 1932.







IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
REGULAR MAY 1932 SESSION PANKUSKA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, MAY 2, 1932

---

No. 5927 Cr. Cont'd.

It is further ordered by the Court that the automobile heretofore confiscated in the above styled cause be, and it is hereby, forfeited to the Government.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
-vs-		)	
		)	No. 5932 - Criminal.
OWEN POWELL & MRS. OWEL POWELL,	Defendants.	)	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendants Owen Powell and Mrs. Owen Powell appearing in person. Now at this time it is ordered by the Court that motion of Defendants to quash be, and it is hereby, sustained and said defendants discharged.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
-vs-		)	
		)	No. 5933 - Criminal.
SIMPSON DOWNING & EDNA HUNTER,	Defendants.	)	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Simpson Downing, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not guilty as charged in Counts 1 and 2 of the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be passed to May 3, 1932.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
-vs-		)	
		)	No. 5935 - Criminal.
G. M. MADDEN,	Defendant.	)	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, G. M. Madden, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for the period of:

Count One	Two (2) years, from date of delivery,
Count Two	Six (6) months,
Count Three	Two (2) years,
Count Four	Six (6) months, and that he pay a fine in the

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
 REGULAR MAY 1932 SESSION PAWHUSKA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, MAY 2, 1932

No. 5935 Cr. Cont'd.

sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count One; and a fine in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count Three, and in default thereof stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count Three (3) shall begin at the expiration of and run consecutively to the sentence in Count One (1). Said sentences of confinement in Counts Two and Four shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One.

-----  
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 5940 - Criminal. ✓  
 G. M. MADDEN and FRED A W. MADDEN, Defendants. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, G. M. Madden and Freda W. Madden, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: G. M. Madden enters his plea of not guilty to Count 1 and guilty to Count 2; Freda W. Madden enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that case be dismissed as to said Freda W. Madden. Now at this time it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on defendant G. M. Madden, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Count Two Six (6) months,

And that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count Two, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered that the sentence of confinement in this Indictment, shall run concurrent with the sentence in Case Number 5935 Criminal.

It is the further order of the Court that Count 1 be dismissed.

-----  
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 5954 - Criminal. ✓  
 FRANK T. BAKER, Defendant. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Frank T. Baker appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that sentence be deferred to May at Pawhuska, in 1933.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
-vs-		)	No. 5961 - Criminal.
ZELLA VANDERGRIFF,	Defendant.	)	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Zella Vandergrift, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Count 1 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
 Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
-vs-		)	No. 6045 - Criminal.
SAM KILGORE, CLEVE BOLDEN,	Defendants.	)	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Cleve Bolden, appearing in person. Now at this time defendant Bolden withdraws his former plea of not guilty and now enters his plea of Nolo Contendere, which plea is accepted by the Court. And thereafter, after being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said defendant be adjudged guilty. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
 Count Two Four (4) months from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that Count 1 be dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	)	
-vs-		)	No. 6048 - Criminal.
ASA BULLARD,	Defendant.	)	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Asa Bullard appearing in person. The Defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty











IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
 REGULAR MAY 1932 SESSION      PAWUSKA, OKLAHOMA      MONDAY, MAY 2, 1932

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff, )	
	)	
-vs-	)	No. 6151 - Criminal.
	)	
HENRY GUINN,	Defendant. )	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Henry Guinn appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of  
 Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff, )	
	)	
-vs-	)	No. 6152 - Criminal.
	)	
MARTIN C. MILLER and SADIE MILLER,	Defendants. )	

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Martin C. Miller and Sadie Miller, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. And thereafter, each of said Defendants withdraw their former pleas of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2 and now enter their pleas of guilty to Counts 1 and 2, as heretofore charged. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

MARTIN C. MILLER

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

Count One    Ninety (90) days from date of original incarceration

Count Two    Ninety (90) days. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One.

SADIE MILLER

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

Count One    Fifty Two (52) days from date of original incarceration.

Count Two    Fifty Two (52) days. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6155 - Criminal.  
JOE J. BARSH, Defendant. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Joe J. Barsh appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned, pleads true name to be Joe G. Barsh and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Count One Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery,  
Count Two Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.  
Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6156 - Criminal.  
JOHN STILL, Defendant. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, John Still, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Sixty (60) days from date date of delivery.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6157 - Criminal.  
JIMMY ROAN, Defendant. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Jimmy Roan, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

No. 6157 Cr. Cont'd.

Count One Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery  
Count Two Thirty (30) days. Said sentence of confinement  
in Count Two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- )  
WILBURN BAGWELL and MILLARD )  
BAGWELL, Defendants. ) No. 6162 - Criminal.

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants Wilburn Bagwell and Millard Bagwell appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Wilburn Bagwell enters his plea of guilty; Millard Bagwell enters his plea of not guilty; all as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on defendant Wilburn Bagwell as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that case as to defendant Millard Bagwell be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- )  
CORA DAMRON, Defendant. ) No. 6163 - Criminal.

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Cora Damron appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for the period of:

Sixty-six (66) days from date of original incarceration.

It is further ordered by the Court that said defendant be now discharged.

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
REGULAR MAY 1932 SESSION PAWUSKA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, MAY 2, 1932

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6165 - Criminal. ✓  
ELMER TYLER and FRANCIS TYLER, Defendants. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Elmer Tyler and Francis Tyler appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Elmer Tyler enters his plea of guilty; Francis Tyler, pleads true name to be Francis Swopes and enters his plea of not guilty; all as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on defendant, Elmer Tyler as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that case be dismissed as to defendant, Francis Swopes.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6166 - Criminal. ✓  
DAN C. GOBLE, Defendant. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Dan C. Goble appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6168 - Criminal. ✓  
MATTIE MOORE, ARTHUR TOWNS and )  
OCIE WILLIAM OATES, Defendants. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Mattie Moore, Arthur Towns and Ocie William Oates, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Ocie William Oates enters a plea of guilty; Arthur Towns enters a plea of guilty; Mattie Moore enters a plea of not guilty; all as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
REGULAR MAY 1932 SESSION PAMUSKA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, MAY 8, 1932

No. 6168 Cr. Cont'd.

OCIE WILLIAM GATES

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars. It is further ordered by the Court that said fine be placed on execution.

ARTHUR TOWNS

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars. It is further ordered by the Court that said fine be placed on execution.

It is the further order of the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that case as to defendant Mattie Moore be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

No. 6169 - Criminal. ✓

WARDELL SCOTT, WILL SCOTT and  
J. H. REED,

Defendants. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants Wardell Scott, Will Scott and J. H. Reed appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

WARDELL SCOTT

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars. It is further ordered by the Court that said fine be placed on execution.

WILL SCOTT

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars. It is further ordered by the Court that said fine be placed on execution.

J. H. REED

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars. It is further ordered by the Court that said fine be placed on execution.





No. 6176 Cr. Cont'd.

ORDER RELEASING AUTOMOBILE

Now on this 2nd day of May, 1932, same being one of the regular judicial days of the Regular May 1932 term of said court at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, this matter coming on for hearing upon the application of the defendant herein for release of automobile seized, and the court being fully advised in the premises finds that said defendant, together with Marion B. Pickett, were arrested on the 24th day of March, 1932, by Henry R. Smith, Prohibition Agent, at Sapulpa, Oklahoma, in the act of transporting one-half gallon of whiskey in a certain Ford touring car, described as Model A, motor number A-277917, which said car is now in the possession of Deputy Prohibition Administrator, William R. Giddens, and stored at the Oklahoma Garage, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and it further appearing to the court that said automobile, if forfeited to the government would probably not sell for a sufficient amount at auction sale to pay for the storage and costs of seizure and sale, and

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that said automobile above described be released to the defendant herein, upon the payment by him, of all storage and costs of seizure.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE.

O.K. JNO. M. GOLDESBERRY  
U. S. Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed May 4 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

-vs-

PAT CLARK,

Plaintiff, )

) No. 6177 - Criminal.

) Defendant. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Pat Clark, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.







IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
 REGULAR MAY 1952 SESSION PAWBUSKA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, MAY 2, 1952

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 6186 - Criminal.  
 E. A. CAIN, alias SHORTY KANE, Defendant. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1952, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, E. A. Cain alias Shorty Kane, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned, pleads true name to be E. A. Cain and enters his plea of guilty, as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
 Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 6187 - Criminal.  
 SAM McINTIRE, SADIE LANGSTON, Defendants. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1952, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Sam McIntire and Sadie Langston, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Sam McIntire enters a plea of guilty; Sadie Langston enters a plea of guilty; all as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

SAM McINTIRE

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for the period of:  
 Thirty (30) days from date of original incarceration.

SADIE LANGSTON

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
 Thirty (30) days from date of original incarceration.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6188 - Criminal.  
IDA WALKER, Defendant. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Ida Walker appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for the period of:  
Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6189 - Criminal.  
LEON COUCH, Defendant. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Leon Couch, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of not guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that case be set at Bartlesville in June, 1932.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6190 - Criminal.  
PAUL HARRIS, Defendant. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Paul Harris appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars. It is further ordered by the Court that said fine be placed on execution.















No. 6206 Cr. Cont'd.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6207 - Criminal.  
F. A. JACKSON, Defendant. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, F. A. Jackson, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States of his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 6208 - Criminal.  
EARL WELLS and MRS. FRANCES L. )  
WELLS, Defendants. )

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants Earl Wells and Mrs. Frances L. Wells, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

EARL WELLS

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

Count One Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

Count Two Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One.

MRS. FRANCES L. WELLS

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

Count One Ten (10) days from date of delivery,

Count Two Ten (10) days, from date of delivery.





IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
BEFORE MAY 1932 SESSION PANNUSKA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, MAY 3, 1932

No. 5827 Cr. Cont'd.

Now on this same day at 1:30 o'clock P.M., court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. Thereupon, the Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: H. W. Haynes, J. M. Van Swearington, Buck Starr, L. G. Wagoner, R. A. York. And thereafter, the Government rests. The Defendant offers evidence and proof with the following witnesses: H. G. Wagoner, J. M. Van Swearington, Clay Duvall. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. The Government offers in rebuttal testimony of H. G. Wagoner. And thereafter, both sides rest. Closing argument of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. The jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, the jury returns into open court and through their Foreman announce they are unable to agree upon a verdict herein. Thereupon, the Court further directs the jury to continue to deliberate with directions to return a sealed verdict on May 4, 1932 at 9:30 o'clock A.M., if a verdict herein is agreed upon. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that court be recessed to 9:30 o'clock A.M. May 4, 1932.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5883 - Criminal. ✓  
ED JAMES and MRS. ED. JAMES, Defendants. )

Now on this 3rd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the Defendants, Ed James and Mrs. Ed James, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of not guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, said case is called, both defendants are present in person and by counsel and announce ready for trial. Thereafter, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Government challenges Harry Kuhlman. The Defendants challenge I. E. Hildt, T. L. McFall. Thereupon, the jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows: Ben Russell, Lee R. Mitchell, Mark Duncan, J. C. Bond, L. E. Bethel, W. L. Walker, J. S. Seaman, W. L. Lake, Geo. Wilson, S. L. Abrams, W. W. Snyder, E. R. Round. Thereafter, all witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Leo Leonard, Charley Franks, John Harman, Chas. Cass, H. G. Wagoner. Thereupon, the Government rests. The Defendants demur to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff and moves for a directed verdict of not guilty, which motion, is by the Court sustained. Thereafter, the following verdicts are returned:

VERDICT

ED JAMES

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES )  
vs. ) No. 5883  
ED JAMES )

o, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly

No. 5883 Et. Mont'g.

empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant Ed James not guilty, as charged in the indictment.

FILED In Open Court  
May 3 1932  
H. P. Farfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

LEE W. MITCHELL  
Foreman.

MRS. ED JAMES

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES )  
vs. ) No. 5883  
MRS. ED JAMES, )

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant Mrs. Ed James not guilty, as charged in the indictment.

FILED In Open Court  
May 3 1932  
H. P. Farfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court.

LEE W. MITCHELL  
Foreman.

Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said Defendants be now discharged. It is further ordered by the Court that Foremen herein be exonerated. It is the further order of the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- )  
CHARLES LABADIE, FRANK LABADIE, )  
A. L. (BUS) LABADIE, W. C. ROGERS, ) No. 5884 - Criminal. ✓  
CLEO MOFFAT and J. D. BARNES, Defendants. )

Now on this 3rd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Charles Labadie, Frank Labadie, A. L. (Bus) Labadie, W. C. Rogers, Cleo Moffat and J. D. Barnes, appearing in person. The Defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Charles Labadie enters his plea of not guilty; Frank Labadie enters his plea of guilty; A. L. (Bus) Labadie enters his plea of guilty; W. C. Rogers enters his plea of guilty; Cleo Moffat enters his plea of guilty; J. D. Barnes enters his plea of guilty; all as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on said Defendants as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR AND IN  
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
RECORDED IN MAY 1932 SESSION PANNHULLA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, MAY 3, 1932.

---

No. 5884 Cr. Cont'd.

FRANK LABADIE

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars on Count Two (2), and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence on Count 1 and 3 be deferred for one year.

W. L. (BIS) LABADIE

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars on Count Two (2), and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence on Count 1 and 3 be deferred for one year.

W. C. ROGERS

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars on Count Two (2), and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence on Count 1 and 3 be deferred for one year.

GLEO MOFFAT

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars on Count Two (2), and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence on Count 1 and 3 be deferred for one year.

J. L. BAKER

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars on Count Two (2), and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

No. 5084 Cr. Cont'd.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence on Counts 1 and 3 be deferred for one year.

It is further ordered by the Court that George Labadie be, and he is hereby, designated as Probation Officer herein for the period of One (1) year.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	) No. 5915 - Criminal.
-vs-	)	
WILLIAM O. MAYES,	Defendant.	

Now on this 3rd day of May, A. D. 1952, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, William O. Mayes, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Sixty (60) days from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	) No. 5933 - Criminal.
-vs-	)	
SIMPSON DOWNING and EDNA HUNTER,	Defendants.	

Now on this 3rd day of May, A. D. 1952, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Simpson Downing and Edna Hunter, appearing in person. Defendant Edna Hunter is arraigned and enters a plea of not guilty; Now at this time Defendant Simpson Downing withdraws his former plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2 and now enters his plea of guilty; all as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that case as to defendant Edna Hunter be, and it is hereby, dismissed. It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on defendant Simpson Downing, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Count Two Six (6) months from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that Count 1 be dismissed.

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
RECORDED BY 1932 DIVISION PAMHUSKA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, MAY 3, 1932

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5937 - Criminal.  
WILLIAM R. GILLIAND and MILTON B. PHILLIPS, Defendants. )

Now on this 3rd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, William R. Gilliland and Milton B. Phillips, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: William R. Gilliland enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 3 and guilty to Count 2; Milton B. Phillips enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3; all as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereafter, defendant Gilliland withdraws his former plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 3 and now enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 3. Defendant Phillips now enters his plea of Nolo Contendere, which plea is accepted by the Court. Thereafter, all witnesses are sworn in open Court. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: J. M. Pyle, Buck Starr. And thereafter the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with Milton B. Phillips and William R. Gilliland. After being fully advised in the premises, Defendant Phillips is found guilty by the Court. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed as follows:

WILLIAM R. GILLIAND

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars, on Count Two, and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence on Counts 1 and 3 be deferred to the June term at Bartlesville in 1932.

MILTON B. PHILLIPS

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars, on Count Two, and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence on Counts 1 and 3 be deferred to the June term at Bartlesville in 1932.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5953 - Criminal.  
LUCAS HIGGINS, Defendant. )

Now on this 3rd day of May, A. D. 1952, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Lucas Higgins, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred to the September term at Tulsa, first Monday in September.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5061 - Criminal.  
FRANK UPSHAW, Defendant. )

Now on this 3rd day of May, A. D. 1952, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Frank Upshaw, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. And thereafter, hearing is had on motion to quash. Thereupon, Ed Dye is sworn and examined by the Court. Thereupon, after considering the facts and being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered that said motion be overruled. And thereafter, Defendant withdraws his former plea of not guilty and now enters his plea of guilty, as heretofore charged. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Count One Six (6) months, from date of delivery.  
Count Two Six (6) months, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count One, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence on Count 3 be, and the same is hereby, deferred for the period of one (1) years.



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 6211 - Criminal.  
 W. O. WYKE alias BILL WYKE, Defendant. )

Now on this 3rd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file Information herein on to prosecute thereunder. Thereupon, said defendant is arraigned, pleads true name to be William O. Wages, and enters his plea of guilty, as charged in the Information filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
 Sixty (60) days. Said sentence of confinement in this Indictment, shall run concurrent with the sentence in Case Number 5915 Criminal.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 6212 - Criminal.  
 CARLIE JOHNSON, Defendant. )

On this 3rd day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein, and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of said defendant and that said defendant make bond. It is further ordered by the Court that said case be set for hearing May 5, 1932.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
 NORTHEASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLA.

Central Surety & Insurance Corporation, )  
 a corporation, and W. Scott Bush, for )  
 the use of Central Surety & Insurance )  
 Corporation, a corporation, Plaintiffs, )  
 -vs- ) No. 1336 - L. C.  
 W. R. Staples, O. H. Shelton, C. M. )  
 Shelton, W. W. Sheldon, Partners )  
 doing business under the firm name )  
 of Staples Brilling Company, a )  
 corporation, Defendants. )

ORDER GRANTING EXEMPTION OF TIME

Now on this 3rd day of May, 1932, upon the motion of the above named defendants in the above entitled cause, and for good cause shown, the time for the signing, allowance and filing of the bill of exceptions of the

20  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
REGULAR MAY 1932 SESSION      PAULINA, OKLAHOMA      TUESDAY, MAY 3, 1932

---

above named defendants is hereby extended to and including the 3rd day of June, 1932.

And it is further ORDERED that the present term of this Court be, and the same is hereby extended for said purpose until said expiration of the said extended time.

Dated this 3rd day of May, 1932.

F. H. BISHOP  
District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 3 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----

Court adjourned until May 4, 1932.



No. 5627 Cr. Cont'd.

Thereupon, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed to May 6, 1932.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5701 - Criminal. )  
HARRY MCINTIRE, E. A. THREEDGILL, )  
BERT BUIES and CHARLES HEATT, Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of May, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Demurrers of Defendants be, and they are hereby, overruled.

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff, )  
Vs. ) No. 5935 Criminal. )  
G. L. Madden, Defendant. )

O R D E R

Now on this 2nd day of May, 1932, same being one of the regular judicial days of the Regular May 1932 term of said court at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, this matter comes on before the court, upon the petition of the defendant herein, and it appearing to the court that the above named defendant was arrested by Buck Starr, Special United States Indian Officer, on or about the 16th day of December, 1931, in the act of transporting one-half pint of whiskey in a certain Pontiac Sedan automobile, being described as Motor Number 580003, which said car is now in the possession of Deputy Prohibition Administrator, William R. Giddens, and is stored at the Oklahoma Garage at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, and it appearing to the court that the General Motors Acceptance Corporation holds a conditional sales contract against said automobile, upon which there is due to them the sum of \$130.00 and accruing interest and it further appearing to the court that the said General Motors Acceptance Corporation holds said conditional sales contract against said automobile in good faith and is without fault in the premises, and that at the time of the taking of said conditional sales contract, said General Motors Acceptance Corporation did not have knowledge of any facts which would lead them to believe that said automobile would be used for any illegal purpose.

IT IS THE COURT'S ORDERED, ORDERED and ORDERED that the Deputy Prohibition Administrator, William R. Giddens, be, and he is hereby ordered and directed to deliver said automobile to the General Motors Acceptance Corporation under their conditional sales contract, against said automobile,



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
RECEIVED MAY 19 1932 SECTION 1000 OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, MAY 4, 1932

No. 5970 Cr. Cont'd.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff )  
vs. ) No. 5970  
ARTHUR COX, Defendant )

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly em-  
paneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant,  
Arthur Cox, guilty, as charged in the first count of the  
indictment.

We further find the defendant, Arthur Cox, guilty,  
as charged in the second count of the indictment.

L. M. BETHMILL  
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court  
May 4 1932  
F. D. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true ver-  
dict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from  
further consideration of said case.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be  
imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the  
United States, or his authorized representative, for confine-  
ment in a County Jail, for the period of:

Count One Three (3) months from date of delivery,  
Count Two Three (3) month, and that he pay a fine  
unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00)  
Dollars, on Count One, and in default thereof, stand com-  
mitted until said fine is paid or until released by due  
process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two  
shall run concurrent with sentence in Count One.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5973 - Criminal. ✓  
WILLIAM ALBERT BROWN (WILLY B. )  
BROWN, aided and abetted), Defendants. )

Now on this 4th day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States  
Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, William  
Albert Brown and Willy B. Brown, appearing in person. The defendants are each  
arraigned and each enters a plea of not guilty as charged in the indictment  
heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, said case is called. Each of said





On this 5th day of May, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular May 1932 Session at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. W. H. Furnham, Judge, U. S. District Court, present and presiding.

H. P. Farfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John A. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John E. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER RELEASING SADDLE TO GEORGE SMITH.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- )  
George Smith, Shorty Wall and Defendants. )  
Ray McClinick. )  
Criminal Miscellaneous

ORDER RELEASING SADDLE

Now on this 5th day of May, 1932, same being one of the regular judicial days of the Regular May, 1932 term of court at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, this matter comes on for hearing, and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds that on the 8th day of May, 1930, one George Smith was arrested by Charles T. Warner, Deputy United States Marshal, in the possession of four gallons of whiskey, and that one certain saddle, a better description of which is at this time unknown, was seized by said officer from the defendant, George Smith, and that on the 8th day of May, 1930, the said George Smith was released and discharged by the United States Commissioner before whom his preliminary hearing was set, and that on the 29th day of March, 1932, the said defendant, Ray McClinick was released and discharged before the Commissioner, he having been arrested on said charge on March 23, 1932, and it further appearing to the court that the said Shorty Walls has never been apprehended, and is now a fugitive from justice and has been for a period exceeding two years and for the reason that none of said defendants have been convicted of said offense, the court finds that said saddle should not be forfeited to the United States. The court further finds that said saddle has been in the possession of Charles T. Warner, Deputy United States Marshal, since the time the same was seized.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said saddle seized from said defendants in the above entitled case, be, and the same is hereby ordered released to the defendant, George Smith, who claims to be the owner of the same.

W. H. FURNHAM  
Judge.  
J. A. GOLDSBERRY  
United States Attorney  
H. P. FARFIELD, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

RECORDED: Filed May 5 1932

-----











I. W. BENT, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1480 - Civ.  
HUGO A. GEORGIN and BENTON CO., Defendant. )

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled case be stricken from the trial calendar of this date on application of the Plaintiff, due to the illness of a witness.

-----

ORDER DISCHARGING PETIT JURORS.

On this 5th day of May, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that all Petit Jurors be, and they are, hereby discharged for this Regular May 1932 Term of this Court, at Pawhuska, Oklahoma.

-----

ORDER TO PAY PETIT JURORS AND WITNESSES MILEAGE AND SINE DIE.

On this 5th day of May, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the Marshal of this District pay the Petit Jurors and witnesses for this Regular May 1932 Term of Court, their mileage and attendance as shown by the Record of Attendance.

-----

It is this day ordered in pursuance of Section 12 of the Judicial Code, that the Regular May 1932 Term of said Court at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, be adjourned Sine Die.

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
 SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, MAY 7, 1932

On this 7th day of May, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. E. J. Conover, Judge, present and presiding.

H. D. Marfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
 John H. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
 John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation havin been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER OF REMOVAL.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff, )	
-vs-	)	No. Miscellaneous, Cr.
FRED BENNINGER,	Defendant. )	

Now on this 7th day of May, A. D. 1932, It is ordered by the Court that Defendant Fred Benninger be removed from the Northern District of Oklahoma to the Northern District of Colorado.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff, )	
-vs-	)	No. Miscellaneous, Cr.
FRED BENNINGER,	Defendant. )	

Now on this 7th day of May, A. D. 1932, hearing is had on the removal proceedings heretofore taken. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that the removal order heretofore entered be now recalled and that said defendant be held under a \$1000.00 bond to answer the charge of violating the Mann Act in this District.

-----

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff, )	
vs.	)	No. 6046 Cr.
E. H. Rogers, et al,	Defendant. )	

O R D E R

Now on this the 7th day of May A. D. 1932, the case being re-ferred to the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, sitting at Tulsa, Oklahoma, the proceeding on for hearing the motion of the United States At-orney, showing that heretofore, and on the 31st day of April, 1932, an order was entered forfeiting a certain automobile in the above entitled matter, and that thereafter, on motion of the United States Attorney, it was ordered that

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

WILLIAM H. WELLS, Plaintiff

vs. THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant

under and by virtue of the authority vested in the Attorney General of the United States of America, it was requested that the said automobile described as above, together with the equipment and all accessories thereto, be turned over to the Department of Justice, Bureau of Prohibition, for the enforcement of the National Prohibition Act, and the court having fully considered said motion, finds that the same should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Dodge Coupe, 1931 Model, Motor No. CDH-37660, together with the equipment and all accessories thereto, be, and the same is hereby forfeited to the government, and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at the same time be turned over to the Department of Justice for the use of the Bureau of Prohibition in the enforcement of the National Prohibition Act.

F. H. JENKINS  
JUDGE

O. H. WILSON  
Asst. U. S. Attorney

RECORDED: Filed May 7 1932  
E. P. Farfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OREGON -  
THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

Henry A. Martin, Plaintiff, }  
vs. } No. 1361 Law  
The United States of America, Defendant. }

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 7th day of April, 1932, upon the application of the plaintiff, and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered that this cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice, and at plaintiff's costs.

F. H. JENKINS  
JUDGE

O. H. WILSON  
W. F. Williams  
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed May 7 1932  
E. P. Farfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Leuel Seth Cherrick, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) NO. 1337 Lab  
The United States of America, Defendant. )

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Now on this the 23th day of April, 1938, the same being a day of the Special Term 1938 Term of said Court held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, the above entitled and numbered cause comes on regularly for trial before Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge of said Court, with the plaintiff appearing in person and by Eilkerson & Brown, his attorneys, and with the defendant appearing by Hon. T. J. Williamson, Insurance Attorney, Veterans Administration, and Hon. A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, said cause having been duly and regularly set for hearing on this date and a jury having heretofore been duly waived in writing.

After hearing all of the evidence and argument of counsel for both sides and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds the issues joined in said cause in favor of the plaintiff herein and against the defendant. That the plaintiff was on the day of his discharge from the United States Army, namely: January 18, 1919, and is now totally and permanently disabled and is entitled to recover judgment herein.

The Court further finds that the amount due the plaintiff herein is the sum of \$7.50 per month from the 18th day of January, 1919, to the date of this judgment as computed by the Veterans Administration based upon this judgment.

The Court further finds that E. A. Eilkerson and Ernest B. Brown are duly licensed and practicing attorneys at law; that they have been duly employed by the plaintiff herein to represent him in said cause and that they have filed and prosecuted this action for and on behalf of the plaintiff; that the said attorneys are entitled to have allowed and paid to them as their reasonable attorneys' fees for their services in this behalf 10 per cent of the amount awarded to the plaintiff under the terms of this judgment and ten per cent of all future payments paid to the plaintiff herein by the defendant on account of and by virtue of this judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE OF THE COURT That the plaintiff have and recover of and from the said defendant, the said United States of America the sum of \$7.50 per month from and after the 18th day of January, 1919, to the date of this judgment, the total amount of said monthly installments or payments to be computed by the Veterans Administration as aforesaid.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER AND DECREE OF THE COURT That the plaintiff's attorneys, E. A. Eilkerson and Ernest B. Brown of Tulsa, Oklahoma, shall receive as their reasonable attorneys' fee 10 per cent of the amount of this judgment and 10 per cent of all future payments to be made by the Veterans Administration according to law pursuant to this judgment and decree and upon the insurance contract used on in said case, and that the said attorneys' fee shall be paid by the Veterans Administration out of the moneys due or to be due the plaintiff herein upon said judgment and insurance contract.

The defendant prays and is allowed permission to be bound of the findings and judgment of the Court herein.

W. M. PENNAMER  
United States District Judge  
in and for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma

O.E. WILKINSON & BROWN  
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

O.E. A. E. WILLIAMS, Asst.  
United States District Attorney  
Attorney for Defendant

NOTICE: Filed May 7 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

William F. Brattin, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1375 Law. ✓  
The United States, Defendant. )

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now, on this 25th day of April, 1932, this cause came on to be tried in open court on regular assignment before Honorable W. M. Pennamer, presiding judge, both parties having heretofore waived the jury, and the plaintiff being present in person and by his attorney, Neal M. McNeill, and the defendant being represented by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, T. J. Williamson, Insurance Attorney, Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, and Murray Cross, his assistant, and both parties having announced ready for trial in open court, and thereafter the plaintiff having introduced his evidence of witnesses sworn and examined in open court, and having rested his case, the defendant makes its motion to the Court for judgment because the plaintiff has failed to produce evidence in the trial of said cause sufficient to sustain the pleadings in the petition of the plaintiff;

And because the evidence of plaintiff does not show that the plaintiff, William F. Brattin, was totally and permanently disabled, as alleged in his petition, so as to entitle him to the War Risk Insurance therein set out, the Court, having heard the argument of the counsel for plaintiff and the counsel for defendant on said motion and the evidence, and being fully advised in the premises, sustains the defendant's motion to dismiss.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER, JUDGMENT, AND DECREE OF THE COURT that the motion of the defendant to dismiss this cause, because the plaintiff has failed to produce evidence at the trial thereof to sustain the pleadings in his petition, be and the same is hereby sustained and the said cause is dismissed with prejudice; and it is further ordered that the plaintiff take



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack Schultz, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1364 Inv.  
United States, Defendant. )

JUDGMENT

Now, on this 25th day of April, 1932, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open court before Honorable F. M. Kennamer, Judge presiding without a jury, both sides having heretofore waived the jury in writing, and the plaintiff being present in person and by his attorney, C. E. Allen, and the defendant being present by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, and T. J. Williamson, Insurance Attorney, Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, and Murray Cross, his assistant, both parties having announced ready for trial, and thereafter the plaintiff and the defendant having introduced their evidence of witnesses sworn and examined in open court, and both sides having rested their case, and thereupon the defendant having made its motion to the Court for judgment in behalf of the defendant on the evidence in said cause, the Court, having heard the argument of counsel thereon and being fully advised in the premises, sustained said motion of the defendant for judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER, JUDGMENT, AND DECREE OF THE COURT that the plaintiff take nothing as a result of this suit, and the defendant have its costs herein from plaintiff, from which order and judgment the plaintiff excepts and exceptions are allowed.

F. M. KENNAMER  
JUDGE.

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS  
A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney.

RECORDED: Filed May 7 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Rayns M. Box, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1367 Inv.  
The United States of America, Defendant. )

J U D G M E N T

Now on this 26th day of April, 1932, this cause came on regularly to be tried in open court before Honorable F. M. Kennamer, Judge, and a jury, the plaintiff being present in person and by his attorney, Charles Chalkin, and

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
AT TULSA, OKLAHOMA  
MAY 7, 1932

the defendant being represented by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, T. J. Williamson, Insurance Attorney, Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, and Murray Cross, his assistant, both sides having announced ready for trial in open court, whereupon a jury from the regular panel of jurors was chosen, impaneled, and sworn to try said cause; and thereafter the plaintiff and the defendant, having introduced their testimony of witnesses sworn and examined in open court and exhibits presented to said court and said jury, and having closed their testimony and rested, whereupon the defendant made a motion to the Court for judgment and an instructed verdict, which motion was by the Court overruled and defendant given exceptions; and thereafter the Court having instructed the jury on law of the case and the plaintiff and defendant having waived argument to the jury, said jury retires under the admonitions of the Court and in care of a sworn bailiff to consider its verdict.

And thereafter, on April 27, 1932, said jury having appeared in open court and announced it had arrived at a verdict in said cause, whereupon said verdict is received by the Court and ordered read and filed, said verdict being in favor of the defendant, and thereupon judgment is rendered by the Court on said verdict in favor of the defendant.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER, JUDGMENT, AND DECREE OF THE COURT, that the plaintiff take nothing as a result of this suit, and that the defendant recover the costs. To which verdict, order and judgment of the Court plaintiff excepts, and exceptions are allowed.

W. E. SCHMIDT  
JUDGE.

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS  
A. E. Williams  
Assistant United States Attorney.

RECORDED: Filed May 7 1932  
H. P. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Roy L. Gibson, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1396 Law ✓  
United States of America, Defendant. )

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 28th day of April, 1932, upon application of the plaintiff herein, and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered that the above cause be, and same is hereby dismissed without prejudice, and at plaintiff's costs.

W. E. SCHMIDT  
JUDGE.

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS,  
A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney  
RECORDED: Filed May 7 1932  
H. P. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Robert W. Newcomb, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1414 New.  
The United States of America, Defendant. )

J U D G M E N T

Now, on this 27th day of April, 1932, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open court before Honorable F. E. Humphreys, Judge, without a jury, both parties having heretofore waived the jury in writing, the plaintiff being present in person and by his attorney, Glenn O. Young, and the defendant being represented by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, T. J. Williamson, Insurance Attorney, Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, and Murray Cross, his assistant, both parties having announced ready for trial and having introduced their testimony of witnesses sworn and examined in open court and exhibits shown as a part of the record evidence in said cause; and thereafter both parties having rested said cause and the evidence thereof being closed, the plaintiff and the defendant both having made motion to the Court for judgment, the Court having heard the argument of counsel on said respective motions, and it now being 5:00 o'clock p.m. of said day, said Court is recessed until 9:30 o'clock a. m., April 28, 1932.

And now on April 28, 1932, at 9:30 o'clock a.m., said Court being regularly in session, the Court finds the issues in said cause in favor of the defendant and sustains the defendant's motion for judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER, JUDGMENT, AND DECISION OF THE COURT that the defendant's motion for judgment, after all the evidence is presented to the Court, be, and the same hereby is, sustained; and it is ordered that the plaintiff take nothing as a result of this suit, and that the same be dismissed with prejudice. To which order and judgment of the Court the plaintiff excepts, and exceptions are allowed.

A. E. WILLIAMS, Assistant United States Attorney  
GLENN O. YOUNG, For Plaintiff  
F. E. HUMPHREYS, JUDGE.

RECORDED: Filed May 7 1932  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Archie Antle, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1451 New. ✓  
The United States of America, Defendant. )

J U D G M E N T

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR  
THE SOUTHWEST DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
OFFICIAL REPORT 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT, MAY 7, 1932

Now, on this 29th day of April, 1932, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open court before Honorable F. E. Hennamer, Judge, without a jury, both parties having heretofore waived the jury in writing, the plaintiff being present in person and by his attorney, N. E. McNeill, and the defendant being represented by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, T. J. Williamson, Insurance Attorney, Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, and Murray Cross, his assistant, both parties having announced ready for trial and having introduced their testimony of witnesses sworn and examined in open court and exhibits shown as a part of the record evidence in said cause; and thereafter, both parties having rested said cause and the evidence thereto being closed, the plaintiff and the defendant both having made motion to the Court for judgment, the Court, having heard the argument of counsel on said respective motions, finds the issues in said cause in favor of the defendant and sustains the defendant's motion for judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER, JUDGMENT, AND DECREE OF THIS COURT that the defendant's motion for judgment, after all the evidence is presented to the Court, be, and the same hereby is, sustained; and it is ordered that the plaintiff take nothing as a result of this suit, and that the same be dismissed with prejudice. To which order and judgment of the Court the plaintiff excepts, and exceptions are allowed.

F. E. HENNAMER  
JUDGE

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS  
A. E. Williams  
Assistant United States Attorney

FILED: Filed May 7 1932  
H. P. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHWEST  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Allen D. Bolling, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1435 Law.  
The United States of America, Defendant. )

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 7th day of May, 1932, upon the application of the plaintiff herein, and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered that the above cause be, and the same is dismissed without prejudice, and at plaintiff's costs.

O.K. GEORGE O. THORNTON, Attorney for  
Plaintiff.  
A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

F. E. HENNAMER  
JUDGE

FILED: Filed May 7 1932  
H. P. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1446 - Lau.  
Jewel Duncan, Harry Miller )  
and George E. Wilson, Defendants. )

O R D E R

Now on this 4th day of April, 1932, the same being a regular judicial day of the Special March term at Tulsa, Oklahoma, there came on for hearing in its regular order the application for remission of penalty after forfeiture of recognizance of the defendants herein; the United States of America being present and represented by the assistant United States District Attorney, A. E. Williams, and the defendants being present in person and by their attorneys, Maxey, Holden & Holliman, and whereon on the court, being fully advised in the premises and informed of all matters relative thereto, is of the opinion that the order of forfeiture of the recognizance heretofore entered by this court on the 20th day of November, 1931, should be set aside and held for naught.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the order of this court heretofore made and entered on November 20, 1931, forfeiting the recognizance entered into by these defendants, and each of them, be and it is hereby set aside and held for naught, and the defendants, and each of them, are hereby discharged from any liability by reason of such forfeiture, conditioned upon the payment of the costs of this action in the sum of \$25.31 by the defendants herein.

T. E. LEFFLAND  
U. S. District Judge.

O.E. A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assist. U. S. District Attorney  
MAXEY HOLDEN & HOLLIMAN  
Attorneys for Defendants.

RECORDED: Filed May 7 1932  
H. P. Farfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

Lester Jordan, Adm of Estate of )  
Wickory Wilson, deceased, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1453 Lau.  
The United States of America, Defendant. )

J U D G M E N T

Now, on this 8th day of April, 1932, this cause came on regularly

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
THIS COURT BY DECRET OF WILLIAM  
OF 1932, MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SEPTEMBER, 1932

for trial in open court before Honorable W. E. Fennamer, Judge, without a jury, both parties having heretofore waived the jury in writing, the plaintiff being present in person by his attorneys, Wilkerson and Brown, and the defendant being represented by A. T. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, E. J. Williamson, Insurance Attorney, Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, and Murray Cross, his assistant, both parties having announced ready for trial in open court, thereupon the plaintiff and the defendant having introduced their evidence of witnesses sworn and examined in open court and of exhibits presented to the Court in the record, and the Court having expressed a desire to have certain records of plaintiff's witnesses brought into the Court, said cause is continued until April 12, 1932.

And thereafter, on April 12, 1932, in open court with all parties present, the plaintiff introduced the record evidence for which this cause was continued on April 8, 1932, both parties to said cause having rested their case and having made their argument to the Court, and the defendant having made its motion to the Court for judgment because plaintiff had failed to sustain the cause as plead in the petition, whereupon the Court takes said cause under advisement, to be decided at this term of court.

And thereafter, on April 30, 1932, in open court, the Court, being fully advised in the premises, finds the issues in favor of the defendant and sustains the defendant's motion for judgment herein.

WHEREFORE IT IS THE ORDER, JUDGMENT, AND DECREE OF THIS COURT that the defendant's motion for judgment, after the close of all the evidence, be, and the same hereby is, sustained; that the plaintiff take nothing as a result of this suit; and that said suit be dismissed with prejudice. To which order and judgment of the Court the plaintiff excepts, and exceptions are allowed.

W. E. FENNAMER  
JUDGE

O.K. A. T. WILLIAMS  
A. T. Williams  
Assistant United States Attorney

FORCED: Filed May 7 1932  
H. F. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Fred Weaver, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1475 Jas ✓  
United States of America, Defendant. )

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 4th day of Apr., 1932, it being shown to the Court that heretofore, on February 17, 1932, the above named defendant, the United States of America, filed its motion to dismiss the above cause, for the reason

that same is a duplication of cause No. 1433 Pa., filed in this court November 31, 1932, and prior to the date the within cause was filed, and that said action was sustained by the Court on April 4, 1932;

It is therefore ordered that the above cause be, and is hereby dismissed.

W. H. BARNHART  
JUDGE

O.K. A. W. WILLIAMS  
A. W. Williams,  
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed May 7 1932  
T. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
Court adjourned until May 9 1932.

SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MARCH 9, 1932

On this 9th day of May, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. W. H. Barnhart, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John M. Coldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John F. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public or explanation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - RE: BANKRUPTCY BONDS.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

In Re Bankruptcy Depository Bonds.

O R D E R

The First National Bank of Vinita, Oklahoma, having filed its application asking for the cancellation of its bond in the sum of \$10,000.00 filed in this Court April 5, 1929, and bearing date of March 8, 1929, with the United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company as surety, given to secure deposits made in said Bank in bankruptcy cases, and it having been shown that on the 29th day of March, 1932, the said Bank deposited with the Clerk of this Court a United States Treasury bond of the 1944-54 series in the sum of \$5000.00 as security for the payment of all deposits made in said Bank in bankruptcy cases, and the same to be substituted for the bond above mentioned and in lieu thereof, and it being further shown that the amount on deposit in said Bank at this time in such cases aggregate the sum of \$2733.10, and that said Treasury Bond is sufficient security therefor, and being fully advised in the premises,

It is ordered and adjudged that said United States Treasury bond above mentioned be and is substituted as security for said deposits and such deposits hereafter made in said Bank, not in excess of \$5000.00, in lieu of the said \$10,000.00 surety bond, and the said bond by it filed in this court April 5, 1932, in the said sum of \$10,000.00 signed by the said United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company, above mentioned, be and the same is hereby cancelled, and said Bank and said Surety are hereby relieved from future liability thereon.

Dated this the 9th day of May, 1932.

E. P. WARFIELD  
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 9 1932  
E. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Mrs. Fred M. Bowen, Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
Bartlesville Meter Company, a ) No. 1573 ✓  
corporation, Defendant. )

O R D E R

Now on this 29th day of April, 1932, comes on to be heard in its regular order, the motion of the defendant to quash service of summons, and the plaintiff appearing by her attorney, Chas. W. Pennel, and agreed in open Court that said Motion might be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE, Ordered that the Motion of the defendant to quash and set aside the service of summons herein, be and the same is hereby sustained.

It is further ordered that an alias summons issue herein upon the written praecipe filed by the plaintiff.

E. P. WARFIELD  
Judge of the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Oklahoma

RECORDED BY JOHNSON  
MAY 10 1932

RECORDED: Filed May 9 1932  
E. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 10, 1932.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
FILED IN CASE NO. 5909

That on this 10th day of May, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of California, sitting at San Francisco, California, in its session at San Francisco, California, pursuant to a subpoena, Vol. 5909, returned, present and residing:

W. F. Garfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John J. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John W. Wickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, }  
-vs- } No. 5909 - Criminal.  
JOHN W. WICKREY, Defendant. }

Now on this 10th day of May, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the fine heretofore assessed against said defendant be, and the same is hereby, placed on execution. (F. J. J. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, }  
-vs- } No. 5911 - Criminal.  
HERVIN E. FREEMAN & LOUIS }  
LOUIS, Defendants. }

Now on this 10th day of May, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the fine heretofore assessed against defendant Herwin E. Freeman be, and the same is hereby, placed on execution.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
FILED IN CASE NO. 1039

Gross-Union Development Company, Plaintiff, }  
vs. } No. 1039 Civil.  
Lounds Board of and County Commissioners, }  
District Court, et al, Defendants. }

ORDER

Now on this 10th day of May, 1932, inasmuch as the names of the parties above named it is hereby ordered that the writ of habeas corpus heretofore issued against the above named defendants is passed to the 10th day of June, 1932.

WFO:WFO: Filed May 10 1932 J. J. Garfield  
W. F. Garfield, Clerk District Judge.  
U. S. District Court

IN AND UNDER COURT OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA  
COUNTY OF CREEK  
CASE NO. 100 (1952) GEORGE B. JOYELL, PLAINTIFF, VS. MID-CONTINENT PETROLEUM CORPORATION, DEFENDANT, MAY 10, 1952

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE TERRITORY OF OKLAHOMA  
IN THE COUNTY OF CREEK

G. B. Stubblofield, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1494 Cas.  
Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation, )  
et al., Defendant. )

JON S. MITCHELL

Now, on this 4th day of April, 1952, the above entitled cause came on for hearing upon the motion of the above named plaintiff to set aside the above entitled cause to the Superior Court of Creek County, Oklahoma, said plaintiff appearing by his attorney, George B. Joyell, and the defendants, Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation and D. W. Francis & Company appearing by their attorney, W. E. Hillis, and the Court having read and considered said motion to set aside, and having heard and considered the arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said motion to set aside be and the same is hereby overruled and denied, to which said above named plaintiff excepts and his exception is allowed.

Whereupon, said defendants, Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation and D. W. Francis & Company, in open Court withdrew their respective motions to quash, and requested time to plead to the plaintiff's petition;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said defendants be and they are hereby granted permission to withdraw the aforesaid motions to quash; and said defendants are hereby granted ten days from this date within which to further plead, or twenty days within which to answer herein.

And, thereupon, plaintiff in open Court moved to dismiss the above entitled action, without prejudice;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above entitled action be and the same is hereby dismissed, without prejudice, at said plaintiff's costs.

W. E. HILLIS  
Judge.

G. B. JOYELL  
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. E. HILLIS  
Attorney for Defendants

Filed for filing  
G. B. Joyell, Clerk  
D. W. Francis & Co., Clerk

Next appearance until May 11, 1952.

On this 11th day of May, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1932 Session at Tulsa, after having taken adjournment, Hon. W. C. Conner, Judge, present and presiding.

W. W. Sheffield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
 John A. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
 John E. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN RE: MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER FOR DESTRUCTION OF LIQUOR.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
 DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ORDER FOR DESTRUCTION OF LIQUOR

And now on this the \_\_\_ day of May, 1932, the same being a regular day of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, there coming on for hearing the motion of the United States Attorney, showing to the court that in the following cases, certain liquors are now stored in the Prohibition office, in the care and custody of Wm. R. Ciddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, and that the cases in which said liquor is involved have all been disposed of by this Honorable Court, and that there is no further necessity of holding the same as evidence, said cases being as follows, to-wit:

<u>Name of Defendant</u>	<u>Court No.</u>	<u>Quantity</u>
James M. Baker et al	3917	3 pints of whiskey
L. C. Yener et al	4846	1 pint " "
Sam Howard et al	4940	22 gals " "
Sam E. Barker	4949	1 gal. " "
Casper L. Kurtz	5147	1 pint " "
Jim M. Baskston	5148	1 " " "
Ivy B. Goodwin	5157	2 pints " "
Bert Guisenberry et al	5177	2 " " "
Leander Scott et al	5282	3 " " beer
Bill Meight et al	5286	2 " " whiskey
Paul Tribble et al	5289	3 " " "
William H. Leverett	5294	2 " " "
Emmett Steridge et al	5418	28 " " beer
Oren Daniels et al	5421	1 " " whiskey
Ben Tegame	5422	2 " " "
Bella Augva et al	5429	1 " " "
Walter Snow et al	5433	1 " " "
Oliver Holmes	5570	1 quart of brandy
Earl Pitcher et al	5576	2 pints of beer
Olen B. Russell	5744	1 gal of whiskey
Edward J. Thornton et al	5828	1 " " "
Dave Riley	5831	1 pint " "
J. B. Smith	5835	3 pints of beer
Fate George	5852	1 pint of whiskey
Harley Gallop	5857	1 " pints " "
H. A. George	5012	3 gals rum, 8 qts champagne 4 " gin and 2 pts of

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
FILED MAY 11 1932  
TULSA, OKLAHOMA  
MAY 11, 1932

<u>Name of Defendant</u>	<u>Court No.</u>	<u>Quantity</u>
J. L. Becker et al	5847	Whiskey 1 quart of whiskey
Leroy O. Smith et al	5853	3 pts " "
Jim McGrew	5895	2 " " "
James F. Stacy et al	5906	1 " " "
Jim Lawrence	5917	1 qt. and 2 pts of whiskey
Mrs. Lena Dean	5926	2 pts of whiskey
Joseph H. Rice	5976	1 gal " "
Sylvia Lawson et al	5989	1 gal and 2 pts of whiskey
Carl L. Anderson et al	6032	1 gal. of whiskey
Cleo Rowe et al	6037	1 " " "
Eatie Turner	6038	2 pts of beer
Ivy Holmes et al	6063	1 qt. of whiskey
Mamie Schaffer	6095	1 qt. of whiskey
Minnie Smith et al	6127	2 pts " "
Joe Thompson	6130	1 pt. " "
B. G. Bryant	6187	1 gal " "
Edna Sappington	5820	1 qt. " "
Bill Coy	5923	2 pts " beer
5282 Letha Mae Osborne		1 pt " whiskey
John Jondahl	No Bill	1 " " "

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that in the criminal cases as heretofore listed, the intoxicating liquor now being held by said Deputy Prohibition Administrator be destroyed, and that said Deputy Prohibition Administrator, after such destruction is effected, make his return thereon in said court.

F. B. HERRICK  
JUDGE

O. F. HARRY GUNTON  
Assistant U. S. Attorney

RECORDED: Filed May 11 1932  
H. D. Corfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court MBE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

William E. Alberty,	Plaintiff,	) No. 1255 Lev ✓
vs.	)	
The United States of America,	Defendant.	

ORDER EXTENDING THE TIME FOR ANSWERING  
IN CASE NO. 1255 LEV.

Now on this 10th day of May, 1932, the above named defendant, The United States of America, having heretofore been allowed an extension in this above cause, can be granted an extension of 10 days from the 10th day of May, 1932, in which to complete said answer, and it being shown to the

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
FILED MAY 11 1942  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT, OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLA., 1942

That it is necessary that said defendant have a part of the said time in said court;

It is ordered that said defendant, the aforesaid Son of Justice, be, and hereby is allowed the extension of 30 days in addition to the time already granted in which to lodge its appeal in the circuit court of appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

F. P. WARFIELD  
JUDGE

O. H. WARFIELD  
Assist. U. S. Atty.

BY ORDER: Filed May 11 1942  
F. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JER

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE TERRITORY OF OKLAHOMA  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff,  
vs.  
Ralph Clopton, Bud Groom,  
Onnie Wingard and Eddie Sims, Defendants.

No. 1448 - Law.

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 11th day of May, 1942, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the Special March A. D. 1942 Term of said court, this matter comes on before the court upon the motion of the defendants for a remission of the forfeiture heretofore taken against the said defendants on a certain appearance or bail bond heretofore executed by the said defendants for the appearance of the defendant Ralph Clopton, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma to answer to an indictment charging the said Ralph Clopton with certain violations of the Harrison Anti-Narcotic Act, and the court after hearing said matter, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that there has been no wilful default on the part of the defendants herein, and that the defendant Ralph Clopton subsequent to the forfeiture taken herein on said appearance or bail bond, came into court and submitted himself to the jurisdiction of the court in criminal case No. 9750, and entered a plea of guilty to indictment therein and was sentenced by the court upon both counts of said indictment.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the forfeiture heretofore taken against the said defendants upon said appearance or bail bond, be and the same is hereby remitted save and except the sum of Three Hundred Fifty (\$350.00) dollars, and it is the judgment, order and decree of the court that the plaintiff herein have and recover of and from the said defendants and each of them, the said sum of Three Hundred Fifty

(380.00) dollars, by reason of said forfeiture heretofore taken upon said  
1935.

E. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

OK: E. E. KENNAMER  
Assistant U. S. Attorney

FILED: Filed May 13 1932  
E. V. Farfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
Court adjourned until May 13, 1932.

SPECIAL MARCH 1932 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MAY 13th, 1932

On this 13th day of May, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the  
United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March  
1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. E. E. Kennamer, Judge,  
present and presiding.

E. V. Farfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John W. Coldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John W. Vickroy, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following pro-  
ceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - DESTRUCTION OF LIQUOR.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ORDER FOR DESTRUCTION OF LIQUOR

And now on this the 13th day of May, 1932, the same being a regu-  
lar day of the Special A. D. 1932 Term of said court, there coming on for hear-  
ing the motion of the United States Attorney, showing to the court that in the  
following cases, certain liquors are now stored in the Prohibition Office, in  
the care and custody of W. E. Ciddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, and  
that the cases in which said liquor is involved have all been disposed of by  
this Honorable Court, and that there is no further necessity of holding the  
same as evidence, said cases being as follows, to-wit:

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Name of Defendant</u>	<u>Quantity</u>
5174	L. R. Bland	1 gal. of whiskey
5715	Charles Brewer et al	3 gals. " "
5817	Henry Wickers	1 gal. " "
6139	Jesse Wiley	3 gals. " "
4688	Eric Tom	4 " " "
3051	E. E. Colverolds	1 gal 5 7 pt "
	Wm Wiley	1 pt of "

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Name of Defendant</u>	<u>Quantity</u>
4343	H. C. Bauer	2 pts of whiskey
5770	F. L. Becker et al	1 pt " "
	White man "Cecil"	4 pts " beer
5649	Sion B. Miller	1 pt " whiskey
5235	Grant Roberts	1 qt. " "
	Unknown white woman	1 pt " beer
	White man age 55	6 pts " "
5357 (no case)	Humble	1 pt. " whiskey
5146	Gertrude January	1 " " "
5728	Lois and Joe Garnica	1 " " "
6076	Fred Baskin	1 gal. " "
5439	Josephine Terry	1 gal. " beer
5292	Lola Ragsdale	1 pt " whiskey
5226	Laurice Abbercrombie	1 " " "
	Unknown white woman	12 pts " beer
	Negro "Mack"	1 pt " eer
6044	Lula Jorman	1 pt " "
4686	H. S. Swafford	1 " " whiskey
5677	Mrs. Mitchell	1 qt. " beer
5974	Mrs. Wesley O'Brien	2 pts " whiskey
	Jenny, negro	1 pt " "
4686	Tom Russell	1 pt " whiskey
5907	G. E. Kirk et al	1 " " "
	Negro man	1 " " "
	"Curley"	2 pts " "
3910	Leese Harris	1 pt " "
4246	Eckles	1 " " "
	Unknown white man	2 pts " "
5433	Ruth Smith	1 pt " "
6036	Rufus Murphy	1 " " "
	"Curley"	2 pts " "
	"Marie"	1 pt " "
2210	Claude Douglas	1 pt " "
3921	Arthur Casto	1 pt " "
6110	Elmore Ford	1 " " "
	Negro woman	2 pts " "
5645	Mrs. Bill Houston	1 pt " "
	Bell boy #6	1 " " "
5784	Fred Williams	1 " " "
6161	Otto Stevenson	1 qt. " "
5196	Cotton Thompson	1 qt. " "
5752	Joan Brown	1 qt. " "
5439	William Green	1 " " "
5292	Mildie King	1 pt " "
3114	Otis Suidmore	1 gal " "
6072	Dan Payne	1 " " "
6042	Mrs. Jas. Neal et al	5 cases of beer
		1 gal. " gin
		1 pt. " alcohol
6066	Evans Brooks	1 " " whiskey
	Unknown negro	1 " " "
6072	Charley Blevins	1 " " "

IT IS THE COURT'S ORDER, ANSWERING PETITIONER'S PRAYER, that in the cases as heretofore listed, the intoxicating liquor being held by said Deputy Prohibition Administrator be destroyed, and that said Deputy Prohibition



In the District Court of the United States  
for the District of Oklahoma

WILLIS W. DAND, et al., Plaintiffs,  
vs.  
The United States, Defendant.

United States of America,

Plaintiff

vs.

No. 3021 District

Willis W. Dand et al.

Defendants.

ORDER

Now on this 11th day of April, 1932, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the District Court of the United States for the District of Oklahoma, this latter comes on for hearing, upon the motion of the United States Plaintiff, that certain property heretofore seized from the above named defendants, designed and intended for use in the manufacture of intoxicating liquor, and now in possession of Wm R. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be delivered to the Light House Rescue Mission, an eleemosynary institution of Tulsa, Oklahoma, for use in carrying on their charitable work in and about the city of Tulsa,

And it further appearing to the court that on or about the 1st day of January, 1932, Henry H. Smith, Federal Prohibition Agent, seized one hundred (100) pounds of sugar in the possession of the above named defendants, designed and intended for use in the manufacture of intoxicating liquor,

And it further appearing that the above named defendants were, on the 5th day of March, 1932, sentenced by the court for violation of the National Prohibition Act, to-wit, manufacture of whiskey,

And it appearing to the court that said sugar is now in the custody and possession of Wm R. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, at his office in the city of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, in said District.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said one hundred (100) pounds of sugar hereinabove described, be delivered over to the Light House Rescue Mission for their use in carrying on their charitable work in and about the city of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, by said Wm R. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and said Deputy Prohibition Administrator is ordered and directed to take a receipt for said sugar from the proper agent and officer of said eleemosynary institution.

H. W. HENNING  
JUDGE

O. T. EASTINGTON  
Assistant U. S. Attorney

RECORDED: Filed May 13 1932  
W. B. Terfiel, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

IN ALL UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

United States of Mexico, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 6025 Criminal. ✓  
Frederick Page, Defendant. )

ORDER OF COURT

Now on this 21st day of April, 1932, same being a regular day of the Special March A. D. 1932 Term of said court, during the trial of the above entitled case the government tendered as witnesses on behalf of plaintiff, one Susie Hyatt and one Elsie Dolores Thompson; that each of said witnesses made statements contrary to a written memorandum which had been furnished to the United States Attorney's office, and at the conclusion of said trial and after the case had been submitted to the jury, and after said jury had retired, the United States Attorney moved that the said Susie Hyatt and Elsie Dolores Thompson be held, pending submission to the Grand Jury of a perjury charge against said parties, and that the said defendant Frederick Page be held pending submission to the Grand Jury, the charge of Subornation or perjury.

And the court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, finds that the motion of the United States Attorney should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said Susie Hyatt and Elsie Dolores Thompson be held pending the submission to the Grand Jury of the charge of perjury, and that Frederick Page be held pending the submission to the Grand Jury of the charge of Subornation of Perjury.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Marshal hold said parties, and each of them, in default of their giving bail in the sum of twenty-five hundred (\$2,500) dollars.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

O. H. HARRY BELTON  
Assistant U. S. Attorney

LEMO 33D: Filed May 13 1932  
E. P. Jarfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

J. O. DONAHUE, et al., Plaintiffs, )  
-vs- ) No. 1008 - Civ. ✓  
W. J. O'NEIL, Defendant. )

Now on this 18th day of May, A. D. 1932, it is by the court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
No. 1008, Law Court's.

No. 1008 Law Court's.

WITNESSETH THAT WE, CHARLES L. HUGHES, sa:

THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE UNITED STATES

(SITTING) TO THE HONORABLE JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CRIMING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between John J. Bowater et al., plaintiffs, and W. F. Worley, defendant, No. 1008, Law, the judgment of the said District Court in said cause entered on April 17, 1931, was in the following words, viz:

"It is therefore hereby considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant, W. F. Worley, do have and recover of and from the said plaintiffs, John J. Bowater, Archibald W. J. Pohl and Wade W. Allison, the sum of \$10,150.00 and costs of this suit for the collection of which sum and costs execution is hereby ordered. To which judgment the plaintiff excepts and exceptions are allowed."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by John J. Bowater et al appealable to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the January Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, that the judgment of the said District Court, in this cause, be, and the same is hereby, affirmed, and that W. F. Worley, appellee, have and recover of and from John J. Bowater, Archibald W. J. Pohl and Wade W. Allison, appellants, his costs herein.

-- March 28, 1932.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that due proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal, notwithstanding.

WITNESSE, the Honorable CHARLES L. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 10th day of May, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and Thirty-two.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
FILED MAY 13 1932 SECTION TULSA, OKLAHOMA DEELEY, MAY 13, 1932

No. 1008 Law - Cont'd.

COURT OF	Appellee:	ALBERT DEELEY,
Clerk	---	Clerk of the United States Circuit Court
Printing Record	---	of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.
Attorney	\$20.00	
	<u>\$20.00</u>	

RECORDED: Filed May 13 1932  
H. P. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Harry Leon Coffelt, a minor, by Wm. H. Coffelt, next friend,	Plaintiff,	)
Vs.		)
Smith Sand Company, a corporation, F. A. Frates and Felix A. Dodovitz, as Receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway Company, a corporation,	Defendants.	

No. 1016 - Law.

ORDER REMANDING THIS MATTER WHICH TO MAKE  
COST BOND SUPERSEDEAS AND COST BOND.

Now on this 15th day of May, 1932, this cause coming on to be heard upon the application of the Smith Sand Company, a corporation, for an order extending the time within which said defendant may make and file supersedeas and cost bond on a meal herein,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Smith Sand Company, a corporation, be and it is hereby given 15 days from the 14th day of May, 1932, within which to make and file supersedeas and cost bond on appeal herein, and execution is stayed during said time.

F. E. LOUISIANA  
J U D G E.

RECORDED: Filed May 13 1932  
H. P. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JTR

May 13, 1932

IN RE: MARRIAGE OF THE HUSBAND AND WIFE OF THE PARTIES  
IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF THE HUSBAND.

The City of Tulsa, a municipal corporation, Plaintiff,

-vs-

The Southeastern Bell Telephone Company, a corporation, Defendant.

No. 1333 LA.

ORDER ENLARGING TIME TO FILE BRIEF

Upon application of the plaintiff herein and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered by the court that the plaintiff be given ten (10) days additional time from this date in which to file brief.

F. H. KENNEDY  
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed May 13 1932  
H. P. Lanfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

CENTRAL SURETY AND INSURANCE CORPORATION, a corporation, and F. SCOTT BUSH, for the use of CENTRAL SURETY AND INSURANCE CORPORATION, a corporation, Plaintiffs,

-vs-

J. I. STEVENSON, O. F. STEVENSON, C. H. STEVENSON, J. H. STEVENSON, Partners doing business under the firm name of Staples Drilling Company, and STEAPLES DRILLING COMPANY, a corporation, Defendants.

No. 1333 - I.

ORDER ENLARGING TIME

Now on this 13 day of May, 1932, the same being a regular day of the Special March 1932 Term of this Court, for good cause shown, the time in which the citation heretofore issued in this cause may be answered and the time for all of the other matters in connection with the appeal of this cause, is enlarged to and including the 4th day of June, 1932.

F. H. KENNEDY

RECORDED: Filed May 13 1932  
H. P. Lanfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JER

Judge of the United States District Court.

Court adjourned until May 14, 1932.

THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
TULSA, OKLAHOMA  
MAY 14, 1952

On this 14th day of May, A. D. 1952, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1952 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Gen. W. J. Pennington, Judge, present and presiding.

L. P. Garfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John F. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John H. Vickroy, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings, were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5464 - Criminal. ✓  
U. S. TEMPLETON, Defendant. )

Now on this 14th day of May, A. D. 1952, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread certified copy of Dismissal in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE  
TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
SITTING AT WICHITA, KANSAS.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 27th, A. D. 1952.

PRESENT: Honorable Robert E. Lewis, Senior Circuit Judge,  
Honorable John H. Cotteral, Circuit Judge.  
Honorable Orie L. Phillips, Circuit Judge.  
And other officers as noted on the eleventh day of April, A. D. 1952.

Before Honorable Robert E. Lewis, Honorable John H. Cotteral and Honorable Orie L. Phillips, Circuit Judges.

U. S. Templeton, Appellant, )  
375 vs. ) Appeal from the District  
United States of America, Appellee. ) Court of the United States  
for the Northern Dis-  
trict of Oklahoma.

This cause came on to be heard on the motion of appellee for the dismissal of the appeal herein, and was submitted to the court.

On consideration whereof, it is now hereby ordered by the court that said motion be and the same is hereby granted and that the appeal in this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed out of this court for failure diligently to prosecute the same.

It is further ordered by the court that the clerk of this court forthwith transmit to the clerk of the United States District Court for the

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs. ...

To the District of Columbia a certified copy of ...

... of record,

Filed:

( )

... Clerk.

RECORDED: Filed May 14 1942  
E. I. ... Clerk  
U. S. District Court ...

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 3154 - Criminal.  
COHEN DELTON and HELL DELTON, Defendants. )

Now on this 14th day of May, A. D. 1942, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Cohen Delton and Hell Delton, appearing in person. The defendants are each assigned and each enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2, as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

COHEN DELTON

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars on Count One, and Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars, on Count Two. It is further ordered by the Court that said fine be placed on execution herein.

HELL DELTON

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars on Count One, and Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars, on Count Two. It is further ordered by the Court that said fine be placed on execution herein.

G. J. ... Admr. of Estate of LeROY ... Plaintiffs, )  
-vs- ) No. 1436 - Civ.  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 14th day of May, A. D. 1942, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiffs for new trial be, and the same is hereby, overruled. It is further ordered that exceptions be, and they are hereby, allowed.

DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. E. CARTER, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1537 law  
NACOGYTA COMPANY, Defendant. )  
a corporation,

O R D E R

Upon the application of the above named plaintiff for permission to dismiss the above entitled cause of action, the court being fully advised in the premises, for good cause shown;

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said plaintiff, W. E. Carter, be permitted to dismiss the above entitled cause of action against the defendant, Nacogya Company, without prejudice.

Dated this 14th day of May, 1932.

F. E. HENNINGER  
Judge.

NOTED: Filed May 14 1932  
W. D. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JBR

-----  
Court adjourned until May 15, 1932.

On this 10th day of May, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Term, 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Henninger, Judge, present and presiding.

W. D. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John J. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John J. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following procedure, was had and entered, to-wit:

1932 SESSION - ADJUDICATED CASE.

On this 10th day of June, A. D. 1932, it being made public authority to appear that E. J. McCollen and W. Lee Johnson, are qualified for admission to the bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorneys are declared admitted to the bar of the Court.

-----  
Court adjourned until May 17, 1932.



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
FILED FOR THE DISTRICT CLERK  
MAY 15 1932

On this 15th day of May, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in special bench 123 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. A. Munner, Judge, present and presiding.

W. W. Griffith, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John L. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John E. Vickroy, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

G. W. Morris, Administrator of the Estate of Leroy Morris, deceased,  
and Altha J. Morris, Plaintiffs,  
vs.  
United States of America, Defendant. } No. 12345 Law.

J U D G M E N T

For, on this 28th day of April, 1932, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open court before Honorable F. A. Munner, Judge, without a jury, both parties having heretofore waived the jury in writing, the plaintiffs being present in person and by their attorneys, Hunt and Maclester, and the defendant being represented by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, W. F. Williams, Insurance Attorney, Veterans Administration, Oklahoma City, and Murray Cross, his assistant, both parties having announced venue for trial and having introduced their testimony of witnesses sworn and examined in open court and exhibits shown as a part of the record evidence in said cause; and thereafter, both parties having rested said cause and the evidence thereof being closed, the plaintiffs and the defendant both having made motion to the Court for judgment, the Court having heard the argument of counsel on said respective motions, and it now being 5:00 o'clock p.m. of said day, said Court is recessed until 9:30 o'clock a. m. April 29, 1932.

And now on April 29, 1932, at 9:30 o'clock A.M., said Court being regularly in session, the Court finds the issues in said cause in favor of the defendant and sustains the defendant's motion for judgment.

IT IS THE FORESOME ORDER, JUDGE WIFE, that the Court find that the defendant's motion for judgment, after all the evidence is presented to the Court, is, and the same hereby is, sustained; and it is ordered that the plaintiffs take nothing as a result of this suit, and that the costs and disbursements of this suit be paid by the plaintiffs.

W. F. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney  
Filed for the District Clerk  
W. F. Williams, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

W. F. Williams, Clerk

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA,  
 JOHN STANFORD, Plaintiff,  
 vs.  
 JIMMY STANFOLD, Defendant.

On the 19th day of May, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in and for the County of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and pursuant to a judgment, A. D. 1932, in the above entitled cause, dismissed the said cause.

John Stanford, Plaintiff, U. S. District Court.  
 John C. Goldenshery, U. S. District Court.  
 John C. Sears, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, and notice in these proceedings duly had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 5772 - Criminal.  
 JIMMY STANFOLD, Defendant. )

Now on this 19th day of May, A. D. 1932, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Certified Copy of Dismissal in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA,  
 THE U. S. JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA,  
 vs. JIMMY STANFOLD, Defendant, May 15th, A. D. 1932.

Present: Honorable Robert E. Lewis, Senior Circuit Judge,  
 Honorable Orie L. Phillips, Circuit Judge,  
 Charles A. Patton, Esquire, Marshal,  
 Albert Hrego, Esquire, Clerk.

Before Honorable Robert E. Lewis and Honorable Orie L. Phillips, Circuit Judges.

John Stanford, Appellant, )  
 600 vs. ) Appeal from the District  
 United States of America, Appellee. ) Court of the United States  
 for the Northern District  
 of Oklahoma.

This cause came on to be heard on the motion of appellee for the dismissal of the appeal herein, and was submitted to the court.

In consideration hereof, it is now hereby ordered by the court that said motion be and the same is hereby granted and that the appeal in this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed out of this court for failure diligently to prosecute the same.

It is further ordered by the court that the clerk of this court forthwith transmit to the clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma a certified copy of this order.

(1932) True copy as of record, T. W. H. : Clerk.

RECORDED: Filed May 18 1932  
 E. V. Lafield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 20, 1932.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
THE COURT REPORTERS OF OKLAHOMA  
COMMENCED IN 1932 SESSION - TULSA, OKLAHOMA - FIELD NO. MAY 20, 1932

On this 20th day of May, A. D. 1932, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Term 1932 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. McManer, Judge, present and presiding.

F. E. McManer, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John C. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John W. Vickroy, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 5013  
George W. Ready, Defendant. )

O R D E R

This cause coming on to be heard on the application of Ross, Breckinridge & Young, attorneys for George W. Ready, defendant, for approval and settlement of the bill of exceptions as presented, and amendments or omissions as offered by the United States District Attorney, and the court having considered the same;

IT IS ORDERED that the amendment as proposed by the United States District Attorney, consisting of the opening statement of counsel appearing on pages 2 to 14 of the transcript of evidence filed with the clerk of this court, being the opening statement of counsel, is allowed and directed to be incorporated as a part of the bill of exceptions herein. To which order of the court the defendant George W. Ready excepts.

F. E. McMANER  
Judge of the United States District Court

Dated May 20, 1932.

WIT CLERK: Filed May 20 1932  
F. E. McManer, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

John C. Goldsberry, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 5014  
George W. Ready, Defendant. )

WIT CLERK: Filed May 20 1932  
F. E. McManer, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

FILED IN CASE NO. 1157, DISTRICT COURT OF SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, MAY 20, 1932.

Wherefore, your reasons for the granting of the extension of time to file the Bill of Complaint in this case are hereby stated and included in the Bill of Complaint, dated June 1, 1932.

It is the order of the Court that said defendant be, and hereby is allowed an extension of 50 days from May 22, 1932, in which to serve and file a record in the Circuit Court of Appeals, which extension is hereby granted, and it is ordered that the record be filed in the Circuit Court of Appeals on or before June 1, 1932.

Dated this 20th day of May, 1932.

J. H. HILLMAN,  
Judge of the United States District  
Court for the Southern District  
of California.

W.H.O. 1157: Filed May 20 1932  
J. H. Hillman, Clerk  
U. S. District Court S.D.

IN RE CALVIN MILLER SCORE, DEBTOR. DEBTOR'S BILL OF COMPLAINT IN BANKRUPTCY CASE NO. 1157, DISTRICT COURT OF SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

Calvin Miller Score, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1157 Bankruptcy  
United States of America, Defendant. )

ORDER GRANTING ADDITIONAL TIME TO SERVE AND FILE THIS RECORD.

Now on this 20 day of May, 1932, it being shown to the Court that on March 21, 1932, an additional extension of 50 days was granted the above defendant in which to lodge its appeal in the Circuit Court of Appeals, which extended the time to May 22, 1932, and it being further shown to the Court that a further extension of time is necessary for such purpose, and the Court finding that good and sufficient reason exists for such further extension of time;

It is the order of the Court that said defendant be, and hereby is allowed an extension of 50 days from May 22, 1932, in which to serve, serve and file a record in the Circuit Court of Appeals.

J. H. HILLMAN,  
JUDGE

J. H. Hillman, Assist. U. S. Atty.  
W.H.O. 1157: Filed May 20 1932  
J. H. Hillman, Clerk  
U. S. District Court S.D.

Court adjourned until May 31, 1932.

On this 21st day of May, A. D. 1933, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Session at Tulsa, West Oklahoma, adjourned, scheduled, on 21, 1933, honorable G. W. Luster, Judge, present and presiding.

H. C. Griffith, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John L. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John L. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN SENATE CHAMBER OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
DEPARTMENT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1002 Cr.  
George Brady, Defendant. )

O R D E R

The above entitled cause came on to be heard upon the application of G. W. LUSTER, CLERK OF COURT, attorneys for the defendant for an order of this Court to delete from the proceeding for transcript of record heretofore filed herein, and to amend said proceeding in certain particulars, and the Court having considered said application and being advised in the premises that said application should be granted;

IT IS THE ORDER OF THIS COURT that the Clerk of this Court be, and he hereby is directed to delete from the proceeding or transcript of record heretofore filed herein, item 2, to-wit, the warrant of arrest, with return, item 10, petition for writ of error and appeal and allowance thereof; item 11, petition for appeal, and is further ordered to amend said proceeding or transcript of record by inserting therein objections to Bill of Appearances filed by the United States Attorney under date of May 12, 1933, and order of Court dated May 20, 1933, directing the amendment of said Bill of Appearances.

IT IS THE ORDER OF THIS COURT that the proceeding for transcript of record as heretofore filed herein, with the portions thereof deleted, as aforesaid, and amended as aforesaid, as all therein on be the proceeding or transcript of record herein.

Witness the hand of the Court, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 21st day of May, A. D. 1933.

G. W. LUSTER  
Clerk

George Brady, Plaintiff  
John L. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney  
John L. Vickrey, U. S. Marshal  
H. C. Griffith, Clerk



U. S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
No. 530  
In re: ...

... therefore, are hereby commended to the ...  
... in said case, in a ... with the opinion ...  
... to ... justice, and the ...

... the ...  
... the ...

... ..	...	...
...	50.45	...
...	-- --	...
...	...	...
...	50.45	...

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Costs taxed in favor of appellant, in the case of Leathers  
Leathers Company vs. Lottie C. Jones,  
No. 530

...	5 00
...	3 00
...	50
...	50
...	-- --
...	-- --
...	1 25
...	20
...	-- --
...	5 00
...	3 00
...	25
...	1 25
...	-- --
...	-- --
...	5 00
...	25
...	25
...	00 00
...	50 45

Attest:

...  
Clerk of the Circuit Court of  
Appeals, District of Columbia.

...  
...  
...

IN SENATE  
January 10, 1912.  
REPORT  
OF THE  
COMMISSIONERS OF THE LAND OFFICE  
IN RESPONSE TO A RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE SENATE  
MAY 15, 1911.

Printed and bound by  
The State Printer, Albany, N. Y.  
1912.

ALBANY: STATE PRINTING OFFICE, 1912.

THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
IN SENATE

THE STATE OF NEW YORK, County of Albany,  
County of Albany, Defendant,  
vs.  
The State of New York, Defendant.

ORDER OF THE COURT

By consent of the parties in the above entitled case it is hereby ordered that the petition of the plaintiff be dismissed without prejudice at the plaintiff's cost.

W. C. BROWN,  
Clerk.

W. C. BROWN,  
Clerk of the Court.  
W. C. BROWN,  
Clerk of the Court.  
W. C. BROWN,  
Clerk of the Court.

IN SENATE  
January 10, 1912.

THE STATE OF NEW YORK, County of Albany,  
County of Albany, Defendant,  
vs.  
The State of New York, Defendant.

ORDER OF THE COURT

By consent of the parties in the above entitled case it is hereby ordered that the petition of the plaintiff be dismissed without prejudice at the plaintiff's cost.

1938  
 1938 MAY 25 10 15 AM '38  
 1938 MAY 25 10 15 AM '38

the same it is hereby ordered, adjudged, and decreed that said award be dis-  
 lined with prejudice to a new action and that the defendant pay all costs  
 incurred herein.

D. J. ...  
 District Judge.

D. J. ...  
 U. S. District Court,  
 Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Filed for: Filed May 25 1938  
 J. S. ... Clerk  
 U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 25, 1938.

1938 MAY 25 10 15 AM '38

On this 25th day of May, A. D. 1938, the District Court of the  
 United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Bench  
 1938 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. J. S. ... Judge,  
 present and presiding.

J. S. ... Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
 John ... Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
 John ... Richter, United States Marshal.

After declaration having been duly made, the following ...  
 were read and entered, to-wit:

THE ...

On this 25th day of May, A. D. 1938, as at the ...  
 ... and it is ordered by the Court that ...  
 ... of each defendant, ...

Number	Name	Amount
100	John ...	100.00
101	John ...	100.00
102	John ...	100.00
103	John ...	100.00
104	John ...	100.00
105	John ...	100.00
106	John ...	100.00
107	John ...	100.00
108	John ...	100.00
109	John ...	100.00
110	John ...	100.00

REPUBLICAN PARTY OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, INC.

1938  
1939  
1940  
1941  
1942  
1943  
1944  
1945  
1946  
1947  
1948  
1949  
1950  
1951  
1952  
1953  
1954  
1955  
1956  
1957  
1958  
1959  
1960  
1961  
1962  
1963  
1964  
1965  
1966  
1967  
1968  
1969  
1970  
1971  
1972  
1973  
1974  
1975  
1976  
1977  
1978  
1979  
1980  
1981  
1982  
1983  
1984  
1985  
1986  
1987  
1988  
1989  
1990  
1991  
1992  
1993  
1994  
1995  
1996  
1997  
1998  
1999  
2000  
2001  
2002  
2003  
2004  
2005  
2006  
2007  
2008  
2009  
2010  
2011  
2012  
2013  
2014  
2015  
2016  
2017  
2018  
2019  
2020  
2021  
2022  
2023  
2024  
2025

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
J. J. O'Connell, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, }  
-vs- } No. 5755 - Criminal. ✓  
JAMES EARL RAY, Defendant. }

Now on this 25th day of May, A. D. 1968, the above entitled cause comes on for hearing and upon motion of the United States District Attorney that same be abated on account of established proof of death of defendant, J. E. Ray, it is, therefore, by the Court ordered that said cause, and the same is hereby abated as to said defendant, J. E. Ray.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, }  
-vs- } No. 5500 - Criminal. ✓  
WILLIAM HENRY PIERCE, Defendant. }

Now on this 25th day of May, A. D. 1968, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled case be closed and probation terminated as to said defendant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, }  
-vs- } No. 5442 - Criminal. ✓  
JAMES EARL RAY & CHARLES EARL WATSON, Defendants. }

Now on this 25th day of May, A. D. 1968, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, J. E. Ray, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be pronounced as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:  
Sixty (60) days from date of incarceration.

It is further ordered by the Court that Count 3 be dismissed.



...the said automobile was used to transport the said defendant...

...it further appearing to the Court that on the 15th day of March, 1932, the order forfeiting said automobile was set aside by the Court and a new order made on said date ordering and directing that said automobile be delivered over to Mrs. Joseph W. Rice, the wife of the said defendant...

...it further appearing to the Court that since said amended order of March 17, 1932, the said wife of the defendant herein has failed to pay the said storage charges and it appearing to the Court that she is unable to pay the said storage charges at this time, said said order is hereby vacated.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, CONSIDERED, DECREED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that the said automobile above described be and the same is hereby forfeited to the United States and the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma is hereby ordered and directed to sell said automobile to the highest bidder at public auction as provided by law, after posting notices of the sale of said automobile in five places at and in the city of Tulsa, as provided by law.

J. W. ...  
Judge.

BY: J. W. ...  
Assistant U. S. Attorney.

FILED: Filed Dec 25 1932  
H. P. ...  
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5983 - Criminal.  
THE OKLAHOMA ... Defendants. )

Now on this 25th day of Dec, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be not imposed on defendant, and ordered as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:  
Count Two, Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that Count 1 be dismissed.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF COURT  
WASHINGTON, D. C.

Case No. 3924 - Criminal

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )

-vs-

No. 3924 - Criminal.

J. J. WILSON, IV and WALTER WILBY, Defendants. )

Now on this 25th day of May, A. D. 1942, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, W. C. Wilby, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to counts 1, 2 and 3 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:  
Count Two, Two (2) years,  
Count Three, Six (6) months, from date of delivery.  
Said sentence of confinement in Count Three (3) shall run concurrent with said sentence in Count Two (2).

It is further ordered by the Court that Count 1 be dismissed.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )

-vs-

No. 3200 - Criminal.

BUDDY MAXWELL, Defendant. )

Now on this 25th day of May, A. D. 1942, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that the above styled case be dismissed as to defendant, Buddy Maxwell.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )

-vs-

No. 3316 - Criminal.

WILLIE WALKER, Defendant. )

Now on this 25th day of May, A. D. 1942, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Willie Walker, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:  
Count (2) Two (2) years from date of delivery.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 215 - Criminal.  
 JOHN J. HARRIS, )  
 Defendant. )

Now on this 25th day of May, A. D. 1938, come the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, John J. Harris, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and pleads guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, a judgment is passed to the effect that and sentence be imposed as follows:

JOHN J. HARRIS, )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 215 - Criminal.  
 JOHN J. HARRIS and JOHN J. HARRIS, )  
 Defendants. )

Now on this 25th day of May, A. D. 1938, come the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, John J. Harris, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and pleads guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that and sentence be imposed as follows:

JOHN J. HARRIS

To be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, to be confined in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from original arraignment.

JOHN J. HARRIS

To be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, to be confined in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from original arraignment.

JOHN J. HARRIS, )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 215 - Criminal.  
 JOHN J. HARRIS, )  
 Defendant. )

Now on this 25th day of May, A. D. 1938, come the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, John J. Harris, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and pleads guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that and sentence be imposed as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
vs.  
JOHN A. GREEN, Defendant.

JOHN A. GREEN

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:  
Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- )  
JOHN A. GREEN, JOHN LUDLEY, )  
MARY LUDLEY, Defendants. )

No. 6220 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 25th day of May, A. D. 1933, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, John A. Green, John Green, Homer T. Ludley and Mary Ludley, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned as follows: John A. Green, who admits that same to be Howard Alverson and enters a plea of guilty; John Green enters a plea of not guilty; Homer T. Ludley enters a plea of guilty; Mary Ludley enters a plea of not guilty, all as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that case as to defendants John Green and Mary Ludley be, and it is hereby, dismissed. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on remaining defendants as follows:

JOHN LUDLEY

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:  
Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.

MARY LUDLEY

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:  
Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- )  
JOHN A. GREEN, JOHN LUDLEY, )  
MARY LUDLEY, Defendants. )

No. 6221 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 26th day of May, A. D. 1933, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, John Ludley, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on defendant as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:  
Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- )  
 No. 6222 - Criminal.  
 J. N. THOMPSON, )  
 Defendant. )

Now on this 25th day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States  
 Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, George Thom-  
 son, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of  
 guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is  
 ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the  
 United States, or his authorized representative, for confine-  
 ment in a County Jail, for the period of:  
 Sixty (60) days from date of official incarceration.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- )  
 No. 6223 - Criminal.  
 J. N. THOMPSON, )  
 Defendant. )

Now on this 25th day of May, A. D. 1932, comes the United States  
 Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, J. N. Thompson  
 appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty  
 as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is order-  
 ed by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the  
 United States, or his authorized representative, for confine-  
 ment in a County Jail, for the period of:  
 Fifteen (15) days from date of official incarceration.

U. S. VICTIM SERVICE, )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- )  
 No. 1152 - Civil.  
 G. A. J. JONES, et al., )  
 Defendants. )

Now on this 25th day of May, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the  
 Court that notice of defendants to correct order to be heard by, and it is hereby  
 denied.

U. S. VICTIM SERVICE, )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- )  
 No. 1153 - Civil.  
 G. A. J. JONES, et al., )  
 Defendants. )

Now on this 25th day of May, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the



... ..  
... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..  
... ..  
... ..  
... ..

O R D E R

The undersigned of the defendant's motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict, to the second and amended petition of the plaintiff, with or without a judicial corporation, separately demurred to the first and second causes of action set forth therein having been heard and argued orally and briefed by the defendant and plaintiff, and said demurrer to each of said causes of action having been carefully considered, the court, being sufficiently advised in the premises, is of the opinion that said demurrer should be overruled as to the first cause of action and sustained as to the second cause of action therein set forth.

It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant's demurrer to the amended and supplemental petition of the plaintiff be, and the same is hereby, overruled as to the first cause of action set forth therein, to which petition of the court the defendant's petition is accepted, and said petition is hereby allowed, and it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant's demurrer to said amended and supplemental petition be, and the same is hereby, sustained as to the second cause of action set forth therein, to which petition of the court the plaintiff's petition is accepted and said petition is hereby allowed.

It is further ordered that the court be and its clerk and the undersigned petition be, and the second cause of action set forth therein, which demurrer is, by the court, granted, and the plaintiff is allowed to amend from this date its petition to amend its amended and supplemental petition, and to amend the second cause of action set forth therein, and the defendant

134

IN SENATE, FEBRUARY 11, 1932  
COMMISSIONER OF THE GENERAL LAND OFFICE, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, PETITIONER,  
VERSUS  
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, RESPONDENT.

In reply to the petition filed to amend to a further and more complete and final  
petition, or to a further and more complete and final petition.

Amended by order of the Court on February 11, 1932.

U. S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Comes now to Court  
the petitioner, and the respondent,  
and they respectively submit.

That the petitioner  
is entitled to the relief  
prayed for in the petition.

FILED: Filed by 27 1932  
U. S. District Court  
District of Columbia

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,  
Plaintiff  
-vs-  
J. J. WATSON,  
Defendant  
No. 1554 Jan

FACTS

That the defendant comes on for answer this 27th day of February, 1932, and  
plaintiff responds by its attorney and defendant, though duly summoned, fails  
to answer or plead and though called in open Court comes out that under default,  
and the cause was admitted to the Court upon the pleadings and facts adduced,  
and the cause was admitted to the Court upon the pleadings and facts adduced,  
and the Court, being fully advised in the premises finds the  
facts as follows:

That the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff as the First  
Agent of the position on his promissory note dated October 27, 1921, on which  
the defendant owes the principal sum of Nine Thousand Four Hundred Twenty-Five  
Dollars and Twenty-two (9,472.22) cents with interest at six (6) per cent per  
annum from October 27, 1921, on three per cent the unpaid balance of the  
principal and interest (5,175.12) cents, and said defendant is in default for  
non-payment of the same (10) percent in addition to the amount due thereon,  
and the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff as the First Agent of the position  
on his promissory note dated October 27, 1921, on which the defendant owes the  
principal sum of One Thousand Three Hundred and Sixty (1,360.87) cents,  
and the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff as the First Agent of the position  
on his promissory note dated October 27, 1921, on which the defendant owes the  
principal sum of One Thousand Three Hundred and Sixty (1,360.87) cents,  
and the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff as the First Agent of the position  
on his promissory note dated October 27, 1921, on which the defendant owes the  
principal sum of One Thousand Three Hundred and Sixty (1,360.87) cents,  
and the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff as the First Agent of the position  
on his promissory note dated October 27, 1921, on which the defendant owes the  
principal sum of One Thousand Three Hundred and Sixty (1,360.87) cents.



... ..  
... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..  
... ..

... ..

... ..  
... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..

... ..  
... ..  
... ..

-----

Plaintiff, *et al.*,  
 vs.  
 Defendant, *et al.*

No. 1000 - 1932

Defendants.

ORDER

For good cause shown, it is hereby ordered that the Plaintiff, Central Trust and Savings Association, be and is to appear hereon five (5) days from this date (July 1, 1932) to answer and serve upon defendant's objections to the bill of exception returned by the defendant.

And for good cause shown, it is further ordered that the time for the return of the citation heretofore issued in this case and the time within which the defendant may lodge their appeal in the Circuit Court of Appeal of the West Virginia, and all other matters heretofore so ordered, be and the same is hereby enlarged to July 1, 1932.

W. D. 1932

W. D. 1932  
Judge.

Filed July 20 1932  
 W. D. 1932, Clerk  
 W. D. District Court

IN SENATE, July 20, 1932  
 ORDER OF THE SENATE

Plaintiff, )  
 vs. )  
 Defendant. )  
 No. 1000 - 1932

ORDER

This cause comes on regularly for trial on the 20th day of July, 1932, and is to be held at the Court House in the City of Charleston, West Virginia, at 10 o'clock A. M. The Plaintiff is represented by its counsel, Harvey W. Taylor, G. T. Tamm and J. W. Adams; the defendant, United States of America, was represented by its counsel, Nathan Nathan, Esq.













It is ordered, that said District, duly authorized to receive the same, do hereby certify the same to the Clerk of this Court, to be filed as a part of the record.

It is further ordered by the Court that a writ of Habeas Corpus be granted out of this Court, in due form as provided by law, and that the same be so ordered registered and said Grand Jurors, do hereby be directed, to be and appear before said Court, to be held in Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, on Monday the 13 day of June 1932, at 9 o'clock P. M., to answer to service Grand Jurors of the United States to and in said District of the Grand Jury term of said Court.

J. M. ...  
Judge.

Filed Jun 1 1932  
J. M. ... Clerk  
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
IN RE: THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SAFFAR, OKLAHOMA.

In the matter of the Receivership }  
of the First National Bank of } Misc. ✓  
Saffar, Oklahoma, a corporation. }

REPORT TO HELL ...

On this 1st day of June, 1932, is filed and presented to the Court for hearing the petition of Frank ... Overstreet, receiver of the First National Bank of Saffar, Oklahoma, an insolvent bank in liquidation, praying that he be appointed receiver and directed to sell the assets of said bank and to distribute the proceeds thereof to the creditors of said bank, and particularly described in the list thereof attached to said petition, marked Exhibit "A" thereto and made a part thereof.

And it was said to the court upon a hearing of said petition, and from the evidence offered in support thereof, that the First National Bank of Saffar, Oklahoma, was on the 13th day of April, 1932, by the Receivable Controller of the Agency, closed, by reason of the insolvency of said bank, and that Frank ... Overstreet, the petitioner herein, was duly appointed as receiver of said bank and is on the legally qualified and active receiver thereof;

and it was further stated to the court that said petitioner, Frank ... Overstreet, is a citizen of the State of Oklahoma, and that it is necessary that he be appointed receiver of said bank and that he be directed to sell the assets of said bank and to distribute the proceeds thereof to the creditors of said bank, and particularly described in the list thereof attached to said petition, marked Exhibit "A" thereto and made a part thereof.

It is therefore ordered by the court that said petitioner, Frank ... Overstreet, be and he is appointed receiver of said bank and that he be directed to sell the assets of said bank and to distribute the proceeds thereof to the creditors of said bank, and particularly described in the list thereof attached to said petition, marked Exhibit "A" thereto and made a part thereof.



