

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, March 10th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Clarissa V. Showalter, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 544 - Equity.
New Chicago Mines Corporation,)
a corporation, et al, Defendants.)

ORDER CONTINUING RESTRAINING ORDER.

It appearing to the Court that on February 29th, 1932, a restraining order was issued in this cause against the Defendants E. G. Abernathy; Joplin Foundry Company, a corporation; Mine and Mill Supply Company, a corporation; S. E. Henderson; J. B. Myres; William Vandever; John Golden; Roy Schoopman and George Payton; Sheriff of Ottawa County, Oklahoma, which said Order was made returnable on this the 10th day of March, 1932, at nine thirty o'clock A.M.

AND IT APPEARING FURTHER TO THE COURT that the time for the defendants to answer the Amended Supplemental Bill of the Plaintiff herein has not expired, and that said restraining order should be continued in force pending the filing of such answer;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COURT that said restraining order herein referred to be, and the same is hereby continued in full force and effect until Monday, March 21st, at nine o'clock A.M., and subject to the further Order of this Court.

I WESS my hand and seal of this Court this 10 day of March, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED; Filed Mar 10 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

T. J. BOOTH, ET AL.,	Complainants,)
vs.) No. 663 Equity. ✓
Greer Investment Company,)
a corporation, et al.,	Defendants.)

TEMPORARY INJUNCTION

WHEREAS, Paul E. Taliaferro, receiver pendente lite of Petroleum Royalties Company, has applied for an injunction pendente lite to restrain the defendants Greer Investment Company, a corporation, Oil Properties Company, a corporation, Harmonia Producing Company, F. H. Greer, and L. L. Greer from disposing of any of their assets, property or effects, real, personal or mixed pending the termination of the litigation in the above entitled cause; and whereas, by supplemental bill of complaint the Harmonia Producing Company has been made a party defendant herein, and by stipulation of counsel made in open court has entered its appearance herein, and consented to the entry of this order; and,

WHEREAS, by the supplemental bill of complaint the evidence submitted in support of the original bill of complaint, the various pleadings, findings and affidavits on file herein it appears that the commission of said acts will be in violation of the rights of the plaintiffs herein, and upon all persons of interest herein, and will inflict upon such parties irreparable injury;

NOW, THEREFORE, It is ordered that the Greer Investment Company, a corporation, Oil Properties Company, a corporation, Harmonia Producing Company, a corporation, L. L. Greer and F. H. Greer jointly and severally, and their agents, servants, attorneys and all persons acting by the authority of any of them, and all persons to whom notice of this injunction shall come, be and they are hereby enjoined and restrained during the pendency of this action from transferring, assigning or conveying or in any manner disposing of any of the property, real, personal or mixed, now owned by them or in which they now have any right, title or interest, in law or in equity, except that the homestead of the defendants F. H. Greer and L. L. Greer, situated in the city of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, is not subject to this order and the said F. H. Greer and L. L. Greer or either of them are free to dispose of said homestead to the same extent as if this order had not been entered, and further, that any stocks or bonds owned individually by L. L. Greer at the date of this order are also excepted from the operation of this order and the said L. L. Greer is authorized to possess, control and dispose of any stocks or bonds owned individually by her at the date of this order, to the same extent as if this order and temporary injunction had never been granted and entered.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that inasmuch as certain of the properties now owned or alleged to be owned by the parties herewith enjoined, is income producing property, that the income thereof should be impounded during the pendency of this litigation, and Paul E. Taliaferro, receiver pendente lite of the Petroleum Royalties Company, a trust estate, is hereby and herewith appointed to collect the income of the various properties and impound the same with the Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, until the further order of this court;

Royalties Company, a trust, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to pay to J. J. O'Brien the sum of \$500 as an additional temporary allowance for services rendered and to be rendered by said J. J. O'Brien as said auditor and accountant, the same to be paid out of the funds on hand of said Petroleum Royalties Company, a trust, and all such payments made and to be made to apply upon any final allowance that may be hereafter made to J. J. O'Brien as auditor and accountant.

F. E. KENNAMER

Judge of the United States District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed Mar 10 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. Franklin Rausch,	Complainant,)	
)	
vs.)	
Sunray Oil Company and Sunray Oil Corporation,	Defendants)	IN EQUITY No. 684 ✓
)	
The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, as Trustee,	Intervener)	

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO THE AMERICAN-FIRST TRUST
COMPANY TO FILE FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL BILL OF FORECLOSURE
(Mortgage of April 25, 1931)

This cause came on for hearing at this term of court on application made in open court of the American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, a corporation, intervener herein, for leave to file a supplemental bill of complaint, named "Intervener's First Supplemental Bill of Foreclosure," which pleading is verified, and which refers to an indenture dated April 25, 1931, and to twenty notes alleged to be issued under and secured thereby, aggregating in principal amount \$600,000, and the receiver appearing by its attorneys agree in open court that said pleading may be filed and that order of court permitting same to be filed may be made and entered all without prejudice to its rights to plead to said pleadings within proper time as to them may seem proper, and it appears to the Court that the application of said intervener to file said pleading should be allowed;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said intervener be and it hereby is granted leave to file such supplemental bill of complaint, without prejudice, to the rights of the plaintiff or any of the defendants to plead thereto within proper time as to them may seem proper.

WITNESS the signature of the undersigned Judge of said Court this 10th day of March, 1932.

F. E. KEINAMER
District Judge

Approved:

EDWARD HOWELL
PAUL E. TALIABERRO
Attorney for Receiver

HAYES, RICHARDSON, SHARTEL,
GILLILAND and JORDAN
Attorneys and of counsel for Intervener

ENDORSED: Filed Mar 10 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. Franklin Tausch,	Complainant,)	
)	
v.)	
Sunray Oil Company and)	
Sunray Oil Corporation,	Defendants,)	In Equity No. 684 ✓
)	
The American-First Trust)	
Company in Oklahoma City,)	
as Trustee,	Intervener.)	

O R D E R
(Mortgage of April 25, 1931)

Now on this the 10th day of March, 1932, this matter came on for hearing before the undersigned Judge on the application of the intervener, The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, to re-enter the order heretofore entered herein appointing C. H. Wright as receiver for the defendant Sunray Oil Company and all of its properties for its benefit, over the additional interests described in said Intervener's First Supplemental Bill of foreclosure, which refers to mortgage deed of trust dated April 25, 1931, and described twenty promissory notes alleged therein to be issued thereunder and secured thereby, aggregating in principal the sum of six Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$600,000.00) the said C. H. Wright having been heretofore appointed receiver by order of this court entered December 5, 1931, over the properties specifically described in said indenture and having been so appointed upon the application and for the benefit of said Intervener which application among other things prays that such receiver be directed by the court to keep a separate account of the proceeds of the sale of oil, gas, casing head gas, casing-head gasoline, and other income and profits from said properties and the expenses properly chargeable against the operation of said properties, and to make monthly report of such proceeds, income, and expenses and for payment to the said The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, Intervener, as trustee, subject to the order of the

court, of the income from the properties on which the said intervener has a first lien under said mortgage, less the proper receivership expenses and taxes, and the court having considered the application of the intervener and the answers of of the complainant and defendants, and being fully advised finds:

That said mortgage dated as of April 25, 1931, under which said intervener is trustee, and which is attached to said intervener's complaint filed herein, is a valid and existing mortgage and constitutes a first lien on the property therein specifically described, together with all production, oil and/or gas, casing-head gas, casing-head gasoline, proceeds and income therefrom; also upon the following described interests of said Sunray Oil Company in the following described leases, situated in Oklahoma County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

(a) An oil and/or gas and/or mineral lease dated May 20, 1930, appearing recorded in the office of the County Clerk of Oklahoma County, Oklahoma, in Book 15 at page 481, generally known as the "Donovan" lease, covering all of Lots 5, 6, 7, & 8, Block 4, Second Riverside Addition, except M. K. & T. R/W and all Blocks 3 & 4, East Grand Avenue Addition to Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, containing approximately 7 acres, the undivided interest of said Sunray Oil Company in said lease being an undivided $\frac{3}{4}$ of the lessee's $\frac{7}{8}$ working interest.

(b) An oil and/or gas and/or mineral lease dated May 20, 1930, appearing recorded in the office of said County Clerk in book 19 at page 141, generally known as the "Harris" lease covering all Block 5, except Lots 5 and 6, Daugherty's Factory Addition to Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, containing approximately 3 acres, the undivided interest of said Sunray Oil Company in said lease being an undivided $\frac{2}{3}$ of a $\frac{3}{4}$ working interest;

(c) An oil and/or gas and/or mineral lease dated April 17, 1930, appearing recorded in the office of said county clerk in Book 13 at page 239, generally known as the "Mead" lease, covering all of Block 9, Mead's Addition to Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, contained approximately 2 acres, the undivided interest of said Sunray Oil Company, in said lease being the entire undivided lessee's $\frac{7}{8}$ working interest;

(d) An oil and/or gas and/or mineral lease dated October 5, 1929, appearing recorded in the office of said county clerk in Book 15 at page 100, generally known as the "Olafson" lease, covering all of Lots 7 to 13, both inclusive, Block 1, and Lots 16 to 26, both inclusive, and Lot 29, block 4, Compton Park Addition to Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, containing approximately 1 acre, the undivided interest of said Sunray Oil Company in said lease being an undivided $\frac{3}{4}$ of the lessee's $\frac{7}{8}$ working interest;

(e) An oil and/or gas and/or mineral lease dated January 27, 1930, appearing recorded in the office of said county clerk in Book 12 at page 589, generally known as the "Twyford and Smith" lease, covering Lots 1 to 15, both inclusive, Block 18, Lakroat Addition to Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, containing approximately 2 acres, the undivided interest of said Sunray Oil Company in said lease being the entire undivided lessee's 7/8 working interest;

together with all production and proceeds of production and income and oil and/or gas, and/or casing-head gas, and/or casing-head gasoline, including also all proceeds and income, therefrom, said properties and interests as above described being partly described in said intervener's original bill of foreclosure herein and being fully described in said intervener's first supplemental bill of foreclosure herein.

That default has occurred under said mortgage and the said intervener as trustee has served notice upon the defendants and said receiver of such default, and has made proper demand as trustee for the possession of said properties, together with all oil, casing-head gas, casing-head gasoline, proceeds and income therefrom; that the intervener is entitled to the proceeds from the properties hereinbefore described upon which it has a first lien, less the proportionate part of the expenses of receivership and the amount which may be necessary to protect, safeguard, and operate said properties;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. That said C. H. Wright having been already appointed by the court as receiver in this cause and being now in possession as receiver of all and singular the real, personal, and mixed property, rights, franchises, privileges, choses in action, contracts, income, and profits of said defendants subject to the jurisdiction of this court, covered by and embraced by the said mortgage to the intervener as trustee, including also the properties and interests hereinbefore described and all the income and proceeds therefrom, the said C. H. Wright is hereby confirmed as such receiver, and said receivership is hereby extended for the benefit of the said intervener over the properties covered by and subject to said mortgage, including also the properties and interests hereinbefore described and all income and proceeds therefrom.

2. That the said C. H. Wright, as receiver, do and he hereby is instructed to keep a separate record of the proceeds from the sale of all oil, gas, casing-head gas, casing-head gasoline, and other minerals from said properties subject to the first lien of said mortgage, and as soon after the 15th day of each calendar month as practicable pay to the American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City as Trustee all the proceeds arising from the properties hereinbefore described, less the expenses of the receivership, which in his judgment are chargeable against said properties and proceeds, and less the sum of \$2500.00 each month, ordered paid to James S. Twyford, Bolton W. Smith, and Paul B. Stone until the further order of the court; the said amounts so paid to the American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City to be paid and credited upon the indebtedness secured by said mortgage; and shall report to the court such proceeds, expenses and other items and the amounts paid on the indebtedness under said mortgage as soon as practicable within each month.

3. That this order shall not affect the lien of taxes upon said

properties or the rights of any third person making timely claim thereto and shall be subject to the further orders of this court;

4. That the said C. H. Wright, having already filed a bond as receiver herein, and also having caused said bond to be extended in favor of said intervener, no new bond need be filed herein under this order.

Witness the signature of the undersigned Judge of said court this 10 day of March, 1932.

F. E. RENNAMER
District Judge

APPROVED: EDWARD HOWELL
PAUL E. TALIAFERRO
Attorney for Receiver

HAYES, RICHARDSON, SHARTEL
GILLILAND and JORDAN
Attorneys and of counsel for
Intervener.

ENDORSED: Filed Mar 10 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. Franklin Tausch,	Complainant)	
)	
vs.)	In Equity No. 684 ✓
Sunray Oil Company and Sunray Oil Corporation,	Defendants)	
)	
The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, as trustee,	Intervener)	

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO INTERVENER, THE AMERICAN-
FIRST TRUST COMPANY, TO APPLY AND CREDIT MONEYS

(Mortgages of May 1, 1928, and December 15, 1928)

On this 10th day of March, 1932, this matter comes on for hearing before the undersigned Judge on the application of the intervener, The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, as trustee, praying among other things an order and decree of Court authorizing said intervener as trustee under indentures of May 1, 1928, and December 15, 1928, (described in its bill of foreclosure herein,) to apply and credit all moneys which it may distribute, by crediting upon interest so much thereof as may be necessary to satisfy interest at 6% per annum to some date convenient to said intervener, and by crediting the balance thereof upon principal, and for approval of the Court to said intervener's requiring each bond and coupon secured by said indentures of May 1, 1928,

and December 15, 1928, upon which it may from time to time make payments to be delivered to it at the time of each such payment that it may credit upon each such bond and coupon the payments made thereon, and for directions from the Court as to what moneys said intervener shall pay out, and praying further that the Court order and decree that such application and credit of such moneys and such orders and decrees as the Court may make upon the intervener's said application shall be without prejudice to the rights of said intervener and the owners and/or holders of said bonds and coupons to claim interest at a greater rate than 6% per annum, and C. H. Wright, receiver, and the complainant and the defendants are represented by their attorneys and waive notice of hearing of such application and agree that said application may be heard, and the appearing parties further agree that such order and decree as the Court may make upon hearing of the intervener's said application shall be without prejudice to the rights of said receiver, the complainant, the defendants, or any other persons interested, to object to allowance or payment of interest at a rate greater than 6% per annum, and the Court being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED by the Court that said intervener may apply and credit all moneys, which it as trustee may receive from the receiver herein, by crediting upon its trust records and upon sums the payment of which is secured by said mortgages so much thereof as may be necessary to satisfy interest at 6% per annum to some date convenient to said intervener and by crediting the balance thereof upon principal, and may distribute all such moneys, and may require that each of said bonds and coupons upon which said intervener may make payments be delivered to it that it may credit upon each such bond and coupon the payments made thereof; and,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this order and decree and such application and credit of moneys shall be without prejudice to the rights of said intervener and the owners and/or holders of said bonds and coupons to claim interest at a greater rate than 6% per annum and also without prejudice to the rights of said receiver, the complainant, the defendants, or any other persons interested, to object to allowance or payment of interest at a rate greater than 6% per annum.

WITNESS the signature of the undersigned Judge this 10 day of March, 1932.

F. B. KENNAMER
Judge

Approved:

HAYES, RICHARDSON, BEARTERL
GILLILAND and JORDAN, By Lee G. Gill
Attorneys and of Counsel for Intervener

EDWARD HOWELL
PAUL E. TALLAFERRO
Attorney for Receiver

ABERNATHY & HOWELL
Attorney for Complainant

RECORDED: Filed Mar 10 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. Franklin Tausch,	Complainant)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
Sunray Oil Company and Sunray Oil Corporation,	Defendants)	In Equity No. 684 ✓
)	
The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, as Trustee,	Intervener)	

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO INTERVENE, THE AMERICAN-
FIRST TRUST COMPANY, TO APPLY AND CREDIT MONEYS

(Mortgage of August 1, 1929)

On this 10th day of March, 1932, this matter comes on for hearing before the undersigned Judge on the application of the intervener, The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, as trustee, praying among other things an order and decree of Court authorizing said intervener as trustee under indenture of August 1, 1929, (described in its bill of foreclosure herein,) to apply and credit all moneys which it may distribute, by crediting upon interest so much thereof as may be necessary to satisfy interest at 6% per annum to some date convenient to said intervener, and by crediting the balance thereof upon principal, and for approval of the Court to said intervener's requiring each bond and coupon secured by said indenture of August 1, 1929, upon which it may from time to time make payments to be delivered to it at the time of each such payment that it may credit upon each such bond and coupon the payments made thereof, and for directions from the Court as to what moneys said intervener shall pay out, and praying further that the Court order and decree that such application and credit of such moneys and such orders and decrees as the Court may make upon the intervener's said application shall be without prejudice to the rights of said intervener and the owners and/or holders of said bonds and coupons to claim interest at a greater rate than 6% per annum, and C. H. Wright, receiver, and the complainant and the defendants are represented by their attorneys and waive notice of hearing of such application and agree that said application may be heard, and the appearing parties further agree that such order and decree as the Court may make upon hearing of the intervener's said application shall be without prejudice to the rights of said receiver, the complainant, the defendants, or any other persons interested, to object to allowance or payment of interest at a rate greater than 6% per annum, and the Court being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED by the Court that said intervener may apply and credit all moneys, which it as trustee may receive from the receiver herein, by crediting upon its trust records and upon sums the payment of which is secured by said mortgage so much thereof as may be necessary to satisfy interest at 6% per annum to some date convenient to said intervener and by crediting the balance thereof upon principal, and may distribute all such moneys, and may require that each of said bonds and coupons upon which said intervener may make payments be delivered to it that it may credit upon each such bond and coupon the payments made thereon; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this order and decree and such application and credit of moneys shall be without prejudice

to the rights of said intervener and the owners and/or holders of said bonds and coupons to claim interest at a greater rate than 6% per annum and also without prejudice to the rights of said receiver, the complainant, the defendants, or any other persons interested, to object to allowance or payment of interest at a rate greater than 6% per annum.

ITNESS the signature of the undersigned Judge this 10 day of March, 1932.

Approved:

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

HALES, RICHARDSON, SMITHERL
GALLILAND and JORDAN By Lee G. Gill
Attorneys and of Counsel for Intervener

Approved: EDWARD HOWELL
PAUL L. BALLABRINO
Attorney for Receiver

ASPINATHY & HOWELL
Attorney for Complainant

RECORDED: Filed Mar 10 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. Franklin Tausch, Complainant,
vs. Sunray Oil Company and Sunray Oil Corporation, Defendants
The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, as trustee, Intervener
In Equity No. 684 ✓

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO INTERVENOR, THE AMERICAN-FIRST TRUST COMPANY, TO APPLY AND CREDIT MONEYS

(Mortgage of April 25, 1931)

On this 10th day of March, 1932, this matter comes on for hearing before the undersigned Judge on the application of the intervener, The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, as trustee, praying among other things an order and decree of Court authorizing said intervener as trustee under indenture of April 25, 1931, (described in its bill of foreclosure herein,) to apply and credit all moneys which it may distribute, by crediting upon interest so much thereof as may be necessary to satisfy interest at 6% per annum to some date convenient to said intervener, and by crediting the balance thereof upon principal, and for approval of the Court to said intervener's requiring each note secured by said indenture of April 25, 1931, upon which it may from

time to time make payments to be delivered to it at the time of each such payment that it may credit upon each such note the payments made thereon, and for directions from the Court as to what moneys said intervener shall pay out, and praying further that the Court order and decree that such application and credit of such moneys and such orders and decrees as the Court may make upon the intervener's said application shall be without prejudice to the rights of said intervener and the owners and/or holders of said notes to claim interest at a greater rate than 6% per annum, and C. H. Wright, receiver, and the complainant and the defendants are represented by their attorneys and waive notice of hearing of such application and agree that said application may be heard, and the appearing parties further agree that such order and decree as the Court may make upon hearing of the intervener's said application shall be without prejudice to the rights of said receiver, the complainant, the defendants, or any other persons interested, to object to allowance or payment of interest at a rate greater than 6% per annum, and the Court being fully advised in the premises

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED by the Court that said intervener may apply and credit all moneys, which it as trustee may receive from the receiver herein, by crediting upon its trust records and upon sums the payment of which is secured by said mortgage so much thereof as may be necessary to satisfy interest at 6% per annum to some date convenient to said intervener and by crediting the balance thereof upon principal, and may distribute all such moneys, and may require that each of said notes upon which said intervener may make payments be delivered to it that it may credit upon each such note the payments made thereof; and,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this order and decree and such application and credit of moneys shall be without prejudice to the rights of said intervener and the owners and/or holders of said notes to claim interest at a greater rate than 6% per annum and also without prejudice to the rights of said receiver, the complainant, the defendants, or any other persons interested, to object to allowance or payment of interest at a rate greater than 6% per annum.

WITNESS the signature of the undersigned Judge this 10 day of March, 1932.

F. E. KERRAMER
Judge

Approved:

HAYES, RICHARDSON, SHARTEL
GILLILAND and JORDAN By Lee G. Gill

Approved:

EDWARD HOWELL
PAUL L. CALIAFERRO, Attorney for Receiver

ABERNATHY & HOWELL
Attorney for Complainant,

ENDORSED: Filed Mar 10 1932
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. FRANKLIN SAUSCH, Complainant,)
 vs.) In Equity No. 684 ✓
 SUNRAY OIL COMPANY AND)
 SUNRAY OIL CORPORATION, Defendants.)

ORDER MODIFYING ORDER OF DECEMBER 12, 1931.

Upon reading the motion of the receiver this day filed, IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the order of court heretofore entered on the 12th day of December, 1931, authorizing and directing the receiver to pay all taxes which were then or might thereafter become a lien upon property under the receivership, is hereby corrected and modified as follows:

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the authority of said receiver to pay taxes shall extend only to taxes which are a lien superior to the mortgage indebtedness of the interveners, or either of them, and shall not cover authority to pay federal income taxes not filed pursuant to the provisions of Section 116, Title 26 of the United States Code (Section 3186, United States Revised Statutes as amended), prior to the recording or filing date of the mortgages under which interveners claim a lien against said properties.

Dated this 10 day of March, 1932.

F. E. KENAMER
Judge

O.K. MCC

ENDORSED: Filed Mar 10 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. FRANKLIN SAUSCH, Complainant,)
 vs.) IN EQUITY NO. 684 ✓
 SUNRAY OIL COMPANY AND)
 SUNRAY OIL CORPORATION, Defendants.)

O R D E R

Upon reading and filing the petition of C. J. Wright, Receiver herein, as to the defense, prosecution, disposition, or compromise of the various suits and causes pending against the defendants herein in various Courts, at the time of the appointment of the Receiver herein, the Court having considered the list of said causes presented to him, and being advised; it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the receiver herein, make such arrangements for the prosecution, defense, disposition, or compromise of all of the cases pending against the defendants herein on the date of the appointment of the receiver herein, as the receiver, under the various orders of this court, heretofore made, shall deem for the best interest of the receivership estate, and for the care, protection and preservation of the receivership estate.

That the receiver herein be, and he is hereby authorized and empowered to make such arrangements with and pay such reasonable fees to attorneys who have heretofore been handling any of said causes, additional to those representing the receiver, as to the receiver shall appear, in his best judgment, likely to best protect and preserve said receivership estate and safeguard the same from unfounded claims or actions, if any, heretofore filed against the receivership estate.

That the receiver herein be, and he is hereby authorized and empowered to cause such actions to be instituted for the collection of claims due the defendants or the receiver or coming to the receivership estate, and for the protection, preservation and care of the receivership estate, at such times and in such jurisdictions as may be required by law and for the best interests of the estate.

It is further ORDERED BY THE COURT that the receiver herein may from time to time make such further application to the court, as to the defense, prosecution, disposition or compromise of any causes pending against the receivership estate prior to the appointment of the receiver herein, or which may be brought against the receiver of such estate.

Dated Tulsa, Oklahoma, March 10, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge

RECORDED: filed Mar 10 1932
H. S. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, SITTING AT VINITA.

Sovereign Camp of the Woodmen of the World, Plaintiff,

v.

Ruth J. Hawkins, Eugene Hawkins, May Thompson, Elsa Carman, Emma L. Freeman, Ruth K. Hawkins, Louisa Anderson, Drake Hawkins, Madison Hawkins, Tom Hawkins, Joyce Rice, Ruth K. Hawkins, as special Administratrix of the Estate of Madison G. Hawkins, Defendants.

Mo. 695 Equity.

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now, on this 11th day of February, A. D., 1932, comes on for consideration before honorable Franklin A. Kennamer, Judge of said court, in its regular order, the above entitled cause, the plaintiff appearing by its attorney, Searcy & Underwood; and the defendants, Ruth J. Hawkins, May L. Thomas, Elsa Carman, Emma Freeman, and E. G. Hawkins, appearing in person and by their attorney, Richard B. Wheatley; and the defendants, Louisa Anderson, Drake Hawkins, Madison Hawkins, Tom Hawkins, Joyce Rice, Ruth K. Hawkins and Ruth K. Hawkins, as the administratrix of the estate of Madison G. Hawkins, deceased, appearing in person and by their attorneys, Roberts and Clark, and said cause is heard on the issues joined between said defendants, and the same is by the court taken under advisement to be finally determined pending the convenience of court and counsel.

On the motion filed herein by plaintiff that it be allowed attorney fees for the benefit of its counsel of record, and that it also recover out of the funds now on deposit in said court, all costs by said plaintiff incurred, laid out and expended, and that it be discharged from further liability, said motion is by the court considered and the same is sustained and the relief granted as prayed, it appearing that plaintiff has heretofore deposited in the registry of said court, the sum of One Thousand, Seven Hundred thirty-six and 33/100 (\$1,736.33) Dollars, the amount owing upon said policy.

WHEREFORE, it is by the court considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed that out of the aforesaid sum now held in the registry of said court, the clerk of said court be and he is hereby ordered and directed to pay to counsel of record for Atty fee, the sum of \$70.00, as attorney fees; also that said plaintiff, out of said funds, be reimbursed for all costs laid out and expended in said cause, including costs of the clerk of this court and Marshal's fees incurred, and that plaintiff, Sovereign Camp of the Woodmen of the World, be, and it is hereby acquitted and discharged from further liability upon the policy declared on in this cause, and that said policy is by the court cancelled.

F. A. KENNAMER,
Judge of said Court.

O.K. N. A. GIBSON
Att. for Ruth J. Hawkins, Admx. et al.

EQUITY SESSION

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

THURSDAY, MARCH 10, 1932

No. 69b Equity Cont'd.

O. H. SEARCY, Atty for
Plaintiff.

ENDORSED: Filed Mar 10 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until March 11, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, March 11th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. B. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Court.
H. P. Garfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

HARRIET HOSEY, ET AL.,	Plaintiffs,)
-vs-) No. 238 - Equity.
JAMES A. GRAPEMAN, ET AL,	Defendants.)

Now on this 11th day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Mr. Hull, Patterson and Beaton compare the two records in the above styled cause and make suggestions thereon within thirty (30) days. It is further ordered by the Court that ninety (90) days be allowed from April 15, 1932 to file the above case in the Circuit Court of Appeals. It is the further order of the Court that Mr. Hull be permitted to take government's original narrative statements and exhibits for the purpose of making comparisons.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

H. C. Spear & Sons Company,	Complainant,)
VS.) No. 234 Equity.
City of Shidler, Oklahoma,	Respondent.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PERFECT RECORD.

Now on this 11th day of March, 1932 there comes on for hearing the application of the respondents herein for additional time within which to complete and file the record on appeal in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals in the above entitled cause of action, and the court being advised in the premises and for good cause shown,

IT IS Ordered that the respondent, City of Shidler, be, and it hereby is granted sixty days from and after March 22, 1932 to complete and file the record in the Circuit Court of Appeals for the tenth circuit.

F. B. KENNAMER
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 11 1932
H. P. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMK

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DEHYDRO, INC.,	Plaintiff,)
-vs-) In Equity No. 661. ✓
THE TRETOLITE COMPANY,	Defendant.)

O R D E R

Upon application of the plaintiff, and for good cause shown,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

That the time for taking depositions in the above entitled cause
be extended as follows:

For the Plaintiff, twenty (20) days, or until April 9, 1932.

For the defendant, sixty (60) days, or until June 9, 1932.

F. L. KENNAMER
Judge United States District Court.

March 11, 1932.

ENDORSED: Filed Mar 11 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 674 Equity. ✓
ROSA MASHUNKASHELY, ET AL,	Defendants.)

Now on this 11th day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the
Court that hearing be now had on assets herein. And thereafter, Mrs. Mashunk-
ashey and Fred Morton are sworn and examined by the Court.

Court adjourned until March 12, 1932.

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

SATURDAY, MARCH 12, 1932

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, March 12th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 595 Equity.
Loah Bradshaw, et al., Defendants.)

ORDER APPROVING MARSHALL'S SALE.

Now, on this 12 day of March 1932, comes the plaintiff, the United States of America, in its own behalf and on behalf of Curtis T. Revard and Joseph A. Revard, restricted Osage Indians on whose behalf this cause is prosecuted, by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and moves the Court to confirm a sale of real estate made by the United States Marshall for said District, under a writ of execution and order of sale issued by said Court and filed in the Clerk's office thereof, on the 23 day of December, 1931, said sale being of and for the following described property, and in accordance with the judgment and decree in said cause, to-wit:-

Lots 10 and 11 in Block 101, Pawhuska, Osage County, Oklahoma, to parties herein after shown,

And the Court having examined the proceedings of said sale by said United States Marshall under said writ and Order, finds that said proceedings have been performed in all respects in conformity with law and the order of this Court, and that no exceptions have been filed and no objections made to said sale and that said sale should be confirmed.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF THE COURT, That said sale and proceedings thereunder and the return thereof, be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed in all things.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That John H. Vickrey, United States Marshall for the Northern District of Oklahoma, make and execute to the purchaser of said land at said sale, as shown by said Marshall's return thereof, to-wit:-

Curtis T. Revard and Joseph A. Revard

a good and sufficient Marshall's Deed for the premises so sold to the said purchasers, and that restrictions against alienation of said land without the approval of the Secretary of the Interior be made a condition of said deed in the body thereof, so long as the owner thereof remains a restricted Indian, but not otherwise.

A. E. WILLIAMS
Assistant United States Attorney.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

purchaser, and that restrictions against alienation of said land without the approval of the Secretary of the interior be made a condition of said deed in the body thereof, so long as the owner thereof remains a restricted Indian, but not otherwise.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed Mar 12 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

The Toledo Trust Company and
James P. Schrider, Trustee
and The National Surety Co. of Texas,
a Texas Corporation, Complainants,

-vs-

No. 654 - equity.

Amfisco Oil Corporation, The Good-
bear Oil Company, Bailey Jones Oil Company,
Max Kurzrok, Trustee, Standard Oil Co.,
of Maryland, a Corporation and Security
Oil Company, a Corporation, Defendants.

O R D E R

This cause coming on to be heard upon the petition of Herman Muhlherr, for permission to intervene in the above entitled cause of action, and from said petition, it appearing to the Court that said Herman Muhlherr has performed labor for the above defendants, and the Court being advised in the premises, finds: That said Herman Muhlherr should be permitted to intervene in said cause.

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged that Herman Muhlherr be, and he is hereby permitted to file his petition in intervention in the above cause.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

O.K. H. A. GIBSON
Atty for Pltf.

RECORDED: Filed Mar 12 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

DeHydro, Inc.,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 661 Equity ✓
)
The Tretolite Company,	Defendant.)

O R D E R

On this 11th day of March, A. D. 1952, comes on to be heard the objections of defendant to the interrogatories heretofore filed herein by plaintiff and also the motion of plaintiff to file additional interrogatories, the plaintiff appearing by its solicitors, Arthur C. Brown, Esquire, and Preston C. West, Esquire, and the defendant appearing by its solicitors, Paul Wakewell, Esquire, and Edmond Lashley, Esquire; and the Court having heard said matters and the argument of counsel thereon, and being well and sufficiently advised in the premises:

IT IS, BY THE COURT, CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED, ORDERED AND DECREED

that plaintiff's motion for leave to file additional interrogatories be and same is hereby granted; and same being now and here filed with the Clerk, the oral objections of defendant thereto, made in open court, are considered together with its written objections to the original interrogatories filed, with like effect as if their objections to the additional interrogatories had been regularly filed in writing.

IT IS FURTHER CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED, ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the objections of the defendant to the following numbered interrogatories be and the same are hereby overruled, and defendant is required to answer the same within _____ days from this date; that is to say, interrogatories numbered 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 47, 48, 48, 50, 51, 52, 55, 57, 59, 61, 71, and 72.

IT IS FURTHER CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED, ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that defendant's objections to interrogatories numbered 22, 23, 24 and 25 be and same are hereby sustained, but not upon the ground that said interrogatories, 22, 23, 24 and 25, are improper of themselves, but because the matters called for in them are sufficiently covered by interrogatories numbered 71 and 72.

IT IS FURTHER CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED, ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the defendant's objections to all of the other interrogatories heretofore filed in this cause by the plaintiff, not specifically already mentioned in this order, be and same are hereby sustained, but with permission to the plaintiff herein to now draft and file a further interrogatory calling upon the defendant herein to identify by number and date the letters patent directly or indirectly referred to in each of the letters and notices sent and given by defendant to plaintiff's customers or others in which reference is made to use of defendant's compounds or any of them for treating crude oil or its emulsions, and on which of its letters patent defendant would rely in any suit charging infringement of said letters patent because of use of such compounds or any of them for the purpose named, and to state which of plaintiff's compounds was or were referred to in each such letter and notice and which of said compounds, if used for treatment of crude oil or its emulsions, would constitute such use an

and each of them, their agents, servants and employees, and all persons acting under and for them, be and they are hereby temporarily enjoined from operating motor vehicles for the transportation of passengers for hire within the City of Tulsa over the streets and avenues of the said City without first complying with the ordinances of the said City of Tulsa requiring the issuance of a license for the operation of taxicabs, and from engaging in the transportation of passengers for hire within the said City of Tulsa except as operators of duly licensed taxicabs, and from soliciting for transportation, accepting for transportation, and from transporting for hire any person or persons who are waiting transportation in the busses operated by the plaintiffs.

This preliminary injunction to be in full force and effect upon the filing and approval of an injunction bond in the sum of \$1,000.00.

It is further ordered that this preliminary injunction remain in full force and effect until final hearing of this cause and until further order of this Court.

H. E. BENHAMER
United States District Judge.

C. W. ROBINSON
Solicitor for Plaintiff.

FILED: Filed Mar 12 1952
W. W. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company,
a corporation, Complainant,
vs. No. 725 Equity.
The Southwest Utility Dairy Products
Company, The Southwest Public Service
Company and The Central Oklahoma
Service Company, Respondents.

ORDER APPOINTING ANCILLARY RECEIVER.

This matter coming on to be heard upon the complainant's bill of complaint and the answer of the respondents admitting the allegations of the complaint and consenting to the appointment of an ancillary receiver therein prayed for, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that upon a bill of complaint filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma, in Equity No. 1317, Paul H. Andres was therein appointed as receiver and it further appearing from the exhibits attached to the bill of complaint filed herein that the said receiver has qualified and is now acting as such and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that although the respondents have assets of large value, which greatly exceed their liabilities, they are now unable to meet their matured and immediately maturing obligations and that they will for a considerable time in the future be unable to meet the same, either from their assets or from an honest and reasonable use of their

credit; and that a very substantial part of their assets consisting of real estate, buildings, machinery and inventory, is located within the jurisdiction of this Court; and it further appearing that it is necessary for the protection and preservation of the rights and equities of the complainant and other creditors of the respondents, in the assets of said respondents, that the properties and business of the respondents be preserved and administered in this suit through an ancillary receiver to be appointed by this Court, and that such ancillary receiver be appointed forthwith notwithstanding that respondents' assets greatly exceed their liabilities.

Now, therefore, it is on this 12 day of March, 1932, on motion of counsel for the complainant and counsel for the receiver appointed in the said United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma, ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

I.

That Paul A. Andres be and he is hereby appointed ancillary receiver of the respondents, The Southwest Utility Dairy Products Company, The Southwest Public Service Company and the Central Oklahoma Service Company, and all of their properties, real, personal and mixed of every kind whatsoever now or hereafter located or situated within the jurisdiction of this Court, together with all of their rights, interests, franchises, and choses in action, and the appurtenances thereunto pertaining and belonging. Also with full power to demand, sue for, collect, receive and take into his possession all the goods, chattels, rights, credits, monies, effects, lands, tenements and hereditaments, books, papers, choses in action, bills, notes and property of every description belonging to said respondents.

II.

That the ancillary receiver before entering upon his duties as such, do take the oath prescribed by law and give a joint and several bond with sufficient surety, to the United States of America in the sum of \$5000.00, conditioned for the faithful performance of his duties; said bond to be approved as to form, validity and sufficiency of surety by the Clerk of this Court.

III.

That said respondents and all persons acting by or under their direction, shall, upon presentation of a certified copy of this order, deliver to the said ancillary receiver any and all properties of the respondents, real, personal or mixed, in their possession or under their control, and that all persons including sheriffs, marshals and constables, be enjoined from in any wise disturbing the possession of said ancillary receiver or prosecuting any action or suit whatsoever which affects or may affect the properties of said respondents, and from issuing or levying any writ of attachment, execution or other process thereon.

IV.

That until the further order of this Court said ancillary receiver be, and he is hereby, authorized forthwith to take and have complete and exclusive control, possession and custody of all the assets and properties of the respondents within the jurisdiction of this Court; and all persons, firms and corporations, including the respondents and officers, agents and servants, shall forthwith deliver to the said ancillary receiver all the said properties of the respondents of every nature and description so lying and being within the jurisdiction of this Court.

V.

That said ancillary receiver be, and he is hereby, authorized to continue, manage, operate and conduct the business of the respondents until the further order of this Court, with full authority to carry on, manage, operate and conduct so much of said business and property as lies within the jurisdiction of this Court, to buy and sell merchandise, supplies or stock in trade, for cash or on credit, and as may be deemed advisable by said ancillary receiver; to consider and determine which of the contracts, leases or other contractual arrangements between respondents and any and all other persons, and corporations, he will renounce or adopt, and to adopt and perform such of said contracts, leases or other contractual arrangements of the respondents as he may deem desirable or necessary in the conduct of the respondents' business, or in furtherance of their interests to adopt and perform; provided, however, that the said ancillary receiver shall be allowed six months from the date of this order within which to elect to adopt or continue in force or refuse to adopt or continue in force any such lease or contract not fully performed and pending the further order of this court none of said ancillary receiver's acts or omissions in the performance or failure to perform any of said contracts, leases or other contractual arrangements shall constitute or be considered an election to adopt or an estoppel to renounce any of them; and

VI.

That said ancillary receiver be and he is hereby authorized in his discretion to employ such managers, agents, employees, servants, accountants, attorneys and counsel as may in his judgment be advisable or necessary in the management, conduct, control or custody of the affairs of the respondents, and of the assets thereof within the jurisdiction of this Court; and that said ancillary receiver be and he is hereby authorized to make such payments and disbursements as may be needful or proper for the preservation of the said properties of the respondents lying or being within the jurisdiction of this court.

VII.

That said ancillary receiver be and he is hereby authorized to receive and collect the rents, incomes and profits of any and all of the properties of the respondents and to receive and collect any and all moneys due and owing to the respondents in any manner whatsoever, whether the same are now or shall hereafter become due and payable; and that said ancillary receiver be and he is hereby authorized to do such things, enter into such agreements, and employ such agents in connection with the management, care and preservation of the said properties of the respondents as he may deem advisable and he is authorized to incur such expenses and make such disbursements as may in his judgment be advisable or necessary in connection with the care, preservation and maintenance of the said properties of respondents.

Said ancillary receiver is further hereby authorized to borrow money upon receivership certificates.

VIII.

That said ancillary receiver be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to institute, prosecute and defend, compromise, adjust, intervene in or become party to such suits, actions, proceedings at law or in equity, including ancillary proceedings in state or federal courts, and in the courts of

and appear in any country as may in his judgment be necessary or proper for the protection, maintenance and preservation of the assets of the respondents; or the carrying out of the terms of this decree; and likewise, to defend, compromise or adjust, or otherwise dispose of any or all suits, actions, or proceedings instituted against him as receiver and/or as ancillary receiver, or against the respondents; and also to appear in and conduct the prosecution or defense of any such, or adjust, or compromise any actions or proceedings now pending in any court by or against the respondents where such prosecution, defense or other disposition of such suits, actions or proceedings will be in judgment of the said ancillary receiver be advisable or proper for the protection of the properties of the respondents lying within the jurisdiction of this Court.

IX.

That all creditors, stockholders and other persons be enjoined from instituting or prosecuting or conducting the prosecution of any action, or suits at law or in equity, or under any statute, against the respondents, or either of them, and from levying any judgment, execution or other process upon or against any of the properties of the respondents now or hereafter located or situated within the jurisdiction of this Court, or from taking or attempting to take into their possession the said property, or any part thereof.

F. E. HENNA JR
United States District Judge.

FILED: Filed Mar 12, 1932
W. L. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

Court adjourned until March 14, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, March 14th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. B. STRADFORD,	Complainant,)
)
vs.) No. 391 Equity. ✓
)
TULSA INVESTMENT COMPANY, A CORP.,)
ET AL.,	Defendants.)

ORDER ON COMPLAINANT'S PETITION FOR REHEARING.

On this February 27, 1932, complainant's petition for rehearing came on in due course to be heard. Complainant appeared by L. O. Patterson, C. W. Rogers and Spencer Adams, his attorneys, W. J. Owens of Muskogee, Oklahoma, and W. W. Maben, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, also appeared. Charles L. Yancey appeared as attorney for A. J. Hamel and J. W. Hamel and Tulsa Investment Company, a corporation, and James A. Cosgrove appeared for respondent, Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri, a corporation. After hearing the contentions of all parties, the court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that the decree rendered herein on or about May 22, 1931, should not be set aside but only modified in the following particular, to-wit: That said Special Master Garland Keeling, heretofore appointed to sell the premises described in said decree according to law, should proceed with the execution of said decree by sale of the premises, and that said real estate should be sold clear, free and unincumbered from all claims of J. B. Stradford to said premises without prejudice to the right of the said J. B. Stradford to assert any claim, interest or title which he may have in said premises against the proceeds of said sale, the said Special Master to sell said property free of any and all liens and claims of title against said property.

It is further ordered by the court that the question of accounting between all the parties hereto be, and it is, hereby reserved for final consideration by the court after the sale of said premises by said Special Master, and nothing contained in this order shall prejudice the rights of any party hereto concerning his, her, their, or its proper share and portion of and from the proceeds of such sale, after deducting costs and expenses incidental thereto.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

RECORDED: Filed Mar 14 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. C. Miller,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Phillips Petroleum Company, a corporation, successors to Independent Oil and Gas Company, a corporation,

Defendant.

IN EQUITY NO. 670.

DECREE FOR DEFENDANT.

This cause came on to be heard at this Special September 1931 Term at Vinita, Oklahoma, on the 10th day of February, 1932, by regular assignment, and on application of plaintiff this cause was continued and specially assigned for trial on March 9, 1932, at this term at Vinita, Oklahoma. Now, on this 9th day of March, 1932, the defendant being present by and through its attorney, W. W. Sumner, and having announced ready for trial, and the plaintiff or his attorney not being present at the opening of Court, an announcement being made that plaintiff would be present later, and the Court thereupon recessed from 9:30 A. M. until 10:15 A. M., and at said time Court resumed and the defendant again announced ready for trial, and the plaintiff was not present in person nor by attorney, the Court directed the case to proceed to trial on the amended answer and counterclaim of the defendant, Phillips Petroleum Company, a corporation, successor to the Independent Oil and Gas Company, a corporation; the Court having heard the evidence and being fully advised in the premises finds generally in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff.

Thereupon, upon consideration thereof, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows, viz:

1. That said plaintiff, W. C. Miller, has not any estate, right, title or interest whatsoever in or to Lot One (1) in Block Seventy-four (74) in the First Addition to the City of Commerce, which is one and the same property as Lot One (1) in Block Seventy-four (74) in First Addition to Miami, now Commerce, Ottawa County, State of Oklahoma.

2. That the title and possession of the defendant, Phillips Petroleum Company, a corporation, as successor to Independent Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, in and to the said premises be, and the same is, forever settled and quieted in said defendant as against all claims and demands of said plaintiff, and all those claiming by, through or under him.

3. That the bill of complaint of the plaintiff and all relief thereunder be, and the same is, hereby denied.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendant do give and recover its costs hereby taxed at _____ Dollars against the plaintiff.

Witness my hand and seal this 9th day of March, 1932.
Filed Mar 14 1932
W. W. Sumner
United States District Judge.
W. W. Sumner, Clerk
District Court

Court adjourned until March 16, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, March 16th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Lennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Herna H. Logan and Roy C. Logan,	Complainants)
)
vs.) No. 446 Equity. ✓
)
Tulsa Street Railway Company, a corporation, et al,	Defendants.)

O R D E R

Upon application of counsel, the defendants Tulsa Street Railway Company, a corporation, Albert Emanuel, United Service Company, a corporation, Albert Emanuel, incorporated, Charles R. Bosler, D. C. Bosler, W. S. Carr, Daniel J. Allaman, Clarence Kline, Bert Milburn, Helen K. Bailey, Olive K. Neils, Cleves H. Bruce and Morris McGrath are each allowed five days from this date in which to file their respective answers to the bill of complaint and to the amendment and supplement to the bill of complaint in this cause.

Dated this 16th day of March, 1932.

F. E. LENNAMER
Judge of the United States District Court.

Filed: filed Mar 16 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Herna H. Logan et al,	Complainants)
)
vs.) No. 575 Equity. ✓
)
Tulsa Street Railway Company, a corporation, et al.,	Defendants.)

O R D E R

Upon application of counsel, the defendants Tulsa Street Railway

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

P. E. Scott, et al.,	Complainants,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
Greer Investment Company, F. E. Greer,)	No. 683 Equity. ✓
W. B. Greer, J. A. Ruffer, Oil Properties)	
Company and Petroleum Royalties Company)	
of Oklahoma,	Defendants.)	

ORDER OF COURT APPOINTING COMMISSIONER TO TAKE DEPOSITIONS

This cause and matter coming on to be heard on this the 15th day of March, A. D. 1932, upon application and motion of Paul E. Taliaferro as receiver of the Petroleum Royalties Company, a trust, acting for the trust and the complainants in the above entitled cause, said cause being a suit in behalf of said trust by complainants as a class, to take the testimony of J. Edward Jones, Stuart G. Lyon, H. B. Weber, T. W. D. Duke, Dickson W. Brown, James W. Teit, Janet I. Frasier, Frank Haskell, C. A. Murphy, Roscoe S. Conklin, E. E. Farrow, E. M. Kearney, Leslie D. Baker, Chase National Bank, Haskell Rich, Vice-President, alleged to be material witnesses in this cause, before Joseph Van Gelder, c/o War Association, Stenographic Service, 36 West 44th Street, Borough of Manhattan, city of New York, state of New York, as commissioner; and it appearing to the court that there are undetermined issues still pending between the complainants and the defendants and an accounting still pending between the complainants and the defendants in this cause both before this court and the master heretofore appointed by this court and that good and sufficient reasons exist for the appointment of such commissioner to take such evidence of such witnesses under Equity Rule No. 47;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the said Joseph van Gelder be appointed commissioner to take the depositions of the said witnesses above named, to be used in this court and before the Master heretofore appointed in this Court in the trial of said issues still pending before said Court and said Master and in the trial of the accounting still pending before said master in this said cause.

It is ordered that notice of the time and place of taking said testimony shall be served upon the defendants in the above entitled and numbered cause or their solicitors of record, at least five (5) days before said examination begins.

That said evidence shall be taken in accordance with Equity Rules Nos. 49, 50, and 51, and the same returned into court within thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

F. A. ABRAMSON,
Judge of the United States District Court.

FILED: Filed Mar 16 1932
W. B. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. J. BENT, et al, Complainants, /
vs. / NO. 663 EQUITY
OKLAHOMA TRUST COMPANY, et al, Defendants. /

ORDER DESIGNATING DEPOSITORY OF FUNDS

Upon reading the petition of the receiver asking for designation by the court of the depository bank for the funds coming into the hands of said Receiver, and it appearing proper that said bank be designated by the Court as depository, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma be and it is hereby designated as the depository of the funds coming into the hands of the Receiver herein.

DATED this 15 day of March, 1932.

F. B. LENNARD
United States District Judge.

FILED: Filed Mar 16 1932
W. J. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until March 19, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, March 19, 1933.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JOHN A. WINFOGLE,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
BLUE WILLOW CORPORATION, a Corporation, I. A. WINCKS, and EFFIE I. WINCKS,	Defendants.)

No. 611 Equity. ✓

O R D E R

Upon consideration of the petition of A. S. Hartman, the duly appointed, qualified and acting Receiver of the above named defendant, Blue Willow Corporation, for authority to deliver and convey to the Producers National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, certain burdensome personal property belonging to said defendant, on which said bank now holds a valid chattel mortgage,

And, it appearing to the Court that under date of August 23rd, 1929, Isaiah I. Wincks, did execute and deliver to the Producers National Bank, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, a chattel mortgage covering all cafe fixtures and equipment located in the store rooms and basement described as 109-111 East Third Street and 15 East Fourth Street in the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and said Blue Willow Corporation having acquired title to said described property from the said Isaiah I. Wincks and there being now due a sum in excess of \$16,000.00 on a note for which said mortgage was given as security and that said property is of such character that the same is burdensome to the estate of the Blue Willow Corporation and is rapidly deteriorating in value and a large portion of same being in storage and the remainder located in a building on which the receiver is required to pay rent and that the cost and expense to this Receiver for maintaining said property is greatly increasing and unless the same is disposed of there will be no value to said property in a very short time, and

It further appearing to the Court that the said Producers National Bank has offered to receive the possession of and a conveyance to said property from this Receiver and will credit the note now held by it with the sum of \$16,000.00 and will further assume and pay all storage charges now accrued on said property and will further pay the sum of \$1000.00 to A. C. Catlett, A. Y. Campbell and C. C. Cole in full satisfaction for all claims for rent which they asserted or then hold against the Receiver for rental on the premises at 15 East Fourth Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and which has been occupied by said Receiver during a portion of the receivership and where a large portion of said fixtures are located, and

It further appearing to the Court that a delivery and conveyance of said fixtures and equipment will be for the best interests of the estate of

of a defendant and its creditors, and the Court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT, that T. J. Hartman, receiver of said defendant, Blue Willow Corporation, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to deliver and convey to the Producers National Bank, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, all care fixtures and equipment, including the kitchen equipment, table ware and supplies, now situated at and located in Joe Hodges' storage house, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and in the restaurant located at 15 East Fifth Street, City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, all being property covered by the chattel mortgage aforesaid, upon condition that the said Producers National Bank shall assume and pay all storage charges now due on any of said fixtures and equipment and will further satisfy all claims for rent against said receiver now held by J. G. Catlett, A. Y. Boswell and C. C. Cole, by paying to said parties the sum of \$1000.00 and by further giving a credit to the defendant, Blue Willow Corporation on the note held by said bank for the amount of \$1500.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Receiver shall execute such instruments and conveyances as may be necessary to transfer and deliver said property to the said Producers National Bank upon the conditions and terms aforesaid and that said Producers National Bank be decreed to be the owner clear and free of any debt or claims of all of said fixtures and equipment above described.

F. B. HEMMNER
U. S. District Judge.

Filed Mar 19 1932
Clerk
District Court JMR

Court adjourned until March 21, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, March 21st,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
R. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Verne Logan and Roy Logan,	Complainants,)
vs.) No. 446 Equity.
Tulsa Street Railway Company,)
corporation, et al.,	Defendants.)

O R D E R

Upon application of the defendants Tulsa Street Railway Company, Albert Emanuel, United Service Company, Albert Emanuel, Incorporated, Charles H. Basler, W. B. Gosser, W. A. Carr, Daniel W. Allaman, Clarence Kline, Bert Milburn, John H. Bailey, Clive R. Reis, Cleves F. Bruce and Morris McGrath, the time within which to answer in the above case is extended five days from this date.

Dated this 19th day of March, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District Court.

WITNESSETH: Filed in Open Court
March 19, 1932
R. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Verne Logan and Roy Logan,	Complainants,)
vs.) No. 573 Equity.
Tulsa Street Railway Company,)
corporation, et al.,	Defendants.)

O R D E R

Upon application of the defendants Tulsa Street Railway Company, Albert Emanuel, United Service Company, Albert Emanuel, Incorporated, Charles H.

THE HONORABLE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA

MONDAY, MARCH 21, 1932

Wosler, N. C. Wosler, A. L. Carr, Daniel W. Allaman, Clarence Kline, Bert Wil-
lams, Helen M. Bailey, Olive R. Reis, Cleves F. Bruce and Morris McGrath, the
time within which to answer in the above case is extended five days from this
date.

dated this 19th day of March, 1932.

F. E. HENNINGER
Judge of the United States District
Court.

RECORDED: Filed In Open Court
Mar 21 1932
A. L. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until March 23, 1932.

U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Wendell Hall, Trustee, and the
Guarantee Title and Trust Company,
Trustee,

Plaintiffs,

The United Realty Company, a
corporation; Adah Sanders and
J. W. Sanders, her husband;
R. H. Park and Anna L. Park,
his wife,

Defendants.

Co. 700 Equity

O R D E R

Now on this 21st day of March, 1932, it appearing to the court that in order that the terms and provisions of the order of this Court made and entered on February 16, 1932, be effectuated, it is necessary that some person having accounting experience be appointed and employed for a reasonable compensation, to perform duties under the directions of this court in the matter of investigating the accounts of the various tenants of the apartment property involved in this litigation, and analyze and report to the court concerning the accounts of the manager heretofore appointed temporarily to look after said property, namely, Mrs. M. E. Dent, and also make an unbiased investigation as to the reasonable overhead expense which should be incurred and paid in the management of said apartment house, and also investigate and report to the court concerning the arrearages in rent owing by tenants and investigate and report to the court concerning amounts which have been paid by or at the instance of the aforesaid Mrs. M. E. Dent and the defendants J. W. Sanders and Adah Sanders in connection with the renting of said apartment building.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that W. H. Calvin of Tulsa, Oklahoma, be and he is hereby appointed as an officer of the court to make investigations along the lines hereinabove indicated and make a prompt written report to this court as to his findings, and that he also analyze and report concerning the accounts of the said Mrs. M. E. Dent subsequent to February 16, 1932, and furnish this court an audit of same.

IT IS FURTHER CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that for the aforesaid services the said W. H. Calvin shall be paid a reasonable fee for his services out of the income of the property.

F. L. HENKEL
Judge.

WRO: Filed Mar 22 1932
W. H. Henfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until March 23, 1932.

convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, March 23rd,

present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

In common, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Paul M. Taliaferro, et al,	Complainants,	
		No. 463 Equity.
Producers National Bank, a corporation,	Defendants.	
Greer Investment Company, a corporation,		
J. J. O'Brien,		

O R D E R

The above matter coming on to be heard on this the 23rd day of
March, 1932, upon the application of Paul M. Taliaferro, receiver of Pe-
oples National Bank of many, a trust estate, for an order of Court allowing the
said receiver, as his auditor, J. J. O'Brien, to examine certain ledger accounts
of the Producers National Bank now Fourth National Bank of the defendants,
Greer Investment Company, a trust estate, Greer Investment Company, a corpora-
tion, J. J. O'Brien, a corporation, F. E. Greer, L. L. Greer and J. A.
Greer, individually, and the Court being fully advised in the premises is of the
opinion that said order should be granted;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Paul M.
Taliaferro, as auditor, J. J. O'Brien, be and they hereby are authorized and
empowered to examine the ledger accounts of the said Producers National Bank
of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, of the above named
parties therewith.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

Filed for 100-10000
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until March 24, 1932.

... was held pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, March 26th,

... by: Wm. N. B. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
... J. W. Garfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

... the following proceedings were had and entered, to-

... Plaintiff,)
...) No. 105 - Equity. ✓
... Defendants.)

... this 26th day of March, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the
... costs taxed except fee of \$2.00 taxed for filing answer
... it is hereby, overruled.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

... Complainant,)
...) In Equity, ✓
...) No. 591.
... Defendants.)

O R D E R

... day of March, 1932, it appearing to the court that
... February 4, 1932, this Court appointed Edmund Lashley, Esquire,
... this cause upon all matters at issue and to make
... provisions of law and he got the same to this court with
... thirty days from said date, all as more fully appears
... on February 4, 1932, and it further appearing that
... Special Master to file his report herein as by order
... thirty days after the time allowed in said order of
...

... for good cause shown, the time allowed to said
... report herein is hereby extended up to and including
...

... Oklahoma, this 26th day of March, 1932.

...
Judge of United States District Court for
the District of Oklahoma.

...
...
...

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

... Receiver, Complainant,

In equity, ✓
No. 591.

... at al., Defendants.

O R D E R

On the 23 day of March, 1932, it appearing to the court that ... case has been sitting for twenty days at the hearings when ... were introducing their testimony and that by order of this ... 1932, the Master was allowed \$25.00 per diem for the time ... testimony in this case, and it further appearing that ... such testimony is entitled at this time to a pay- ... services as such reporter;

IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court pay to ... Master in this case, the sum of \$500.00 for his per diem ... testimony herein, and that he pay to said A. E. Lindsay, ... of \$300.00.

F. S. ...
Judge of United States District Court
for Northern District of Oklahoma.

... Clerk
... JAR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Plaintiff,

vs. ... equity ✓

Defendants.

O R D E R

On the ... of the application of ... artman, the only ... receiver of the ... defendants, ... Investment Corporation of certain ... to the defendant, A. E. ...; one used ... model 1928, in consideration of ... at the said ... and allow to said receiver a credit in the ... \$150.00) on its preferred claim ... entered on ... 1931, and it appearing ...

...has been unable to sell or otherwise dispose of said real estate, and that the same is of a value of not less than one hundred dollars (\$100.00), that such sale and disposition of said real estate investment contract shall be for the best interest of said defendant and its creditors, and

...by the court that H. J. Hartman, receiver of said real estate investment contract, and the said defendant I. S. Adams, do hereby agree to convey to the real estate investment corporation a 7 passenger automobile, model 1928, now in the possession of said defendant, to deliver possession thereof to said real estate corporation in consideration that said real estate investment corporation shall pay the preferred claim, evidenced by said decree of August 16, 1928, in the sum of one hundred fifty dollars (\$150.00).

F. M. FENNELL
U. S. District Judge.

Filed for filing
District Court JMR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Plaintiff,
vs.
H. E. (Gyp) Smith, Irene Smith
and their heirs, sole business
partners in the syndicate
Defendants.

No. 630 Equity

ASSIGNMENT.

On the 20 day of March, 1928, this cause came on regularly for trial before the honorable F. M. Fennell, Judge in the District Court of Oklahoma, and the United States appearing by its solicitor, Assistant United States Attorney for said district, acting under the authority of the Attorney General of the United States, and at the instance of the Secretary of the Interior of the United States, and in its behalf by Harold LaSarge, restricted Cattle Allottee No. 2128, who filed with the Court an Order Pro Confesso was taken against the defendants H. E. (Gyp) Smith and Irene Smith, and the same has been served with subpoena in equity in the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the said defendants has appeared or claimed appearance, and the same has been served with subpoena in equity in the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the same has been served with subpoena in equity in the Northern District of Oklahoma, and it further appeared that the defendant, Harold LaSarge, filed a disclaimer in this cause, disclaiming any right, title or interest in the land involved herein, and that said defendant, H. E. (Gyp) Smith, and Irene Smith, on November 1, 1928, make, execute and deliver a deed in and to the land involved herein, the sum of \$100.00, and the said

... as alleged in the bill of complaint herein, and that on ... the payment of said note, execute and deliver to ... real estate mortgage on the following described land, ... Oklahoma, to-wit:

... forty (40) feet of Lot fifteen (15);
... fifteen (15) and twenty (20) in block ninety-
... original townsite of Pawhuska, Oklahoma.

... and the court having heard the evidence of ... the having examined the instruments sued upon herein, ... in the premises, finds that there is due on said note the ... interest at 7% per annum from April 17, 1931 until paid.

... further finds that because said defendants, L. A. (Gyp) ... have defaulted in answer herein, and that an Order Pro ... against them, any right, title or interest they claim ... described is inferior to the right of this plain- ... is entitled to judgment against said defendants in ... the mortgage herein foreclosed and said premises ... of six months from date of said judgment, if same is not ... sale to be used to satisfy said judgment.

... further finds that plaintiff is entitled to have a ... charge of said property, collect the rents thereon ... report same into this court as the court may order.

... the order and judgment of the Court that the ... in behalf of its said ward, Harold LaBarce, ... No. 2152, do have and recover judgment against the ... and Imogene Smith, and each of them, in the sum of ... per annum from March 16, 1932, ... fee of \$500.00, and for all costs of this suit.

... the further order of the court that if said judgment is not ... an order of sale issue out of this court to ... Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him ... without appraisal, the land covered ... the proceeds of said sale as follows:

- the cost of said sale on this suit.
- the amount to said allottee, Harold LaBarce, ... sum of \$5906.65, with interest at 7% ... from March 16, 1932.
- the amount of all unpaid taxes on said property.
- the remainder, if any, to be paid to ... clerk of this court to await the further ... of the Court.

... that an order of the Court that from and after the ... defendants, L. A. (Gyp) Smith, Imogene Smith and Edward ... under the name of ... advertising company, ... persons claiming under them since the commencement of ... from claiming any right, title, interest or es- ... in involved, as set forth above.

... ordered that ... Henry, being a suitable

Receiver herein, to take charge of said property,
and to sell same, and report same into this Court,

A. S. BILBRIE
JUDGE

United States Attorney.

1918
Clerk
Court

U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF BALTIMORE

Plaintiffs,
Defendants.

NO. 652 ✓

O R D E R

On the application of Ina Mae Carlisle, Receiver, in regard to cer-
tain claims considered by the Court, and the Court being fully ad-
vised, and on motion of A. S. Bilibrie, attorney for the claim-
ant Receiver,

It is ordered that the claim of Mrs. Davis be allowed in the sum of
\$75.00, and the claim of Mrs. Schols be allowed in the sum of \$75.00, and the
same be paid out of the fund in her hands.

Done at the City of Baltimore, 1918.

A. S. BILBRIE
District Judge.

1918
Clerk
Court JMR

FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT OF OREGON

John J. ...
Plaintiffs,
vs.
...
Defendants.
Equity No. 229

O R D E R

The application of Ina Mae Warfield, receiver, for instructions in regard to certain claims against the Upper Columbia Company being admitted to the Court, the Court being fully advised in the premises, and the fact that J. M. Donald, attorney for the plaintiffs herein and for the receiver,

It is ORDERED that the claim of Ward Chemical Company be allowed in the sum of \$1,000, and the receiver is directed to pay same out of the funds available therefor.

It is further ORDERED that the proposal of Sid Lazarus be accepted and that in accordance therewith the claim of Sid Lazarus be allowed in the sum of \$49.40 as a dividend to be received only on condition that he pay the balance of his account with the company by March 1, 1933, otherwise his claim shall stand disallowed.

Witness my hand and seal this 1st day of March, 1933.

F. B. HILGNER
District Judge

John J. ...
Clerk
District Court

FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT OF OREGON

...
Complainant,
vs.
...
Defendants.
Intervener.
No. 607 Equity

ORDER TO WITHDRAW BILL OF INTERVENTION

On this 13th day of March, 1933, the Court has considered the bill of intervention in the above cause, between the complainant and the defendants, which was filed and settled by the Court on March 1, 1933, and the Court has concluded that the bill of intervention was unnecessary and that the parties in whose behalf the bill was filed are adequately represented by the parties in whose behalf the bill was filed, and that the United States Marshal should be directed to return the bill of intervention to the party filing same.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States, Plaintiff,
vs.
W. W. Wain and Mrs. Hazel B. Wainmond, Defendants.

No. 761 Equity

JUDICIAL NOTICE

Now on this 23 day of March, 1938, this case came on regularly to be heard in open court before honorable J. W. Hennamer, Judge in the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the United States appearing by its solicitor, A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for said district, acting under direction of the Secretary General of the United States, and at the instance and request of the Secretary of the Interior of the United States, and in its own behalf and in behalf of the heirs of John Bruce, Deceased Osage Allottee No. 88, and it appearing that an Order pro confesso was taken in this cause more than 30 days prior to this date, against the above named defendants, W. W. Wain and Mrs. Hazel B. Wainmond, each of whom has been served with subpoena in equity in the Northern District of Oklahoma, and it further appearing that neither of said defendants has appeared or claimed any right, title or interest in or to the land involved herein, nor denied the execution of the instruments sued upon; and it further appearing to the court that said defendants, W. W. Wain and Mrs. Hazel B. Wainmond, did, on July 1, 1933, make execute and deliver to Sir certain promissory note in writing on that date in the sum of \$3000.00, payable to John Bruce, as alleged in the bill of complaint herein, and on the same date or to secure the payment of said note, executed and delivered to said allottee their certain real estate mortgage on the following described land located in Osage County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

Lot 11, twelve (12), and thirteen (13) in Block 5 (b), Limber, Oklahoma County, Oklahoma;

that said note has not been paid, and the court having heard the evidence of plaintiff in open court, and having examined the instruments sued upon herein, and being fully advised by the premises, finds that there is due on said note the sum of \$2717.11, together with interest thereon from December 15, 1931, at the rate of 6 per cent per annum.

The court further finds that neither of said defendants, W. W. Wain and Mrs. Hazel B. Wainmond, are acquainted with the premises, and that an Order pro confesso was taken against them, and that title or interest they claim in or to the land and premises described is in order to the benefit of this plaintiff and that said defendants do to injure and defraud said defendants, W. W. Wain and Mrs. Hazel B. Wainmond in the sum of \$3000.00, and to have the mortgage herein described in said premises sold at public auction within six months from date of said judgment, and said is not said, and the proceeds of same to be used to satisfy said note.

The court further finds that said defendants are entitled to have a judgment entered against them for the sum of \$2717.11, together with interest thereon from December 15, 1931, at the rate of 6 per cent per annum.

It is the further order of the Court that said defendant do pay to said plaintiff in its own name the sum of \$10,000.00, the heirs of John Smith, deceased, the allottee of No. 220, do have and recover judgment against the defendants, J. M. Hammond and Joseph L. Arnold, and each of them for the sum of \$10,000.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from March 10, 1938, until paid, and an attorney's fee of \$300.00, and for all costs of this suit.

It is the further order of the Court that said defendant do set said six months from date hereof as order of sale in and of this Court to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell, according to law, without appraisal, the property owned by said mortgagor, and to apply the proceeds thereof as follows:

- First - To the extent of said sale and this suit
- Second - To the heirs of John Smith, deceased, allottee of No. 220, the sum of \$10,000.00, with interest at the rate of 7% per annum from March 10, 1938.
- Third - To the lender, if any there be, to be paid to the Clerk of this Court to await the further order of the Court.

It is the further order of the Court that said defendant do pay the sale of said property and proceeds, J. M. Hammond and Joseph L. Arnold, the heirs of them, or said persons claiming under them since the execution of this suit, are forever barred and their claim is dismissed, with the right to set aside or to claim back or interest thereon or any part thereof.

It is further ordered that said J. M. Hammond, being a suitable person, is hereby appointed receiver herein, to take care of said proceeds, collect the rents thereon until sale thereof, and report and to be subject to await the further order of the Court.

J. J. [Name]
Assistant United States Attorney

FILED: Filed May 13 1938
J. J. [Name], Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
United States, Plaintiff,
vs. J. M. Hammond and Joseph L. Arnold, Defendants.
No. 10,000-38

Verdict rendered on the day of [Date], 1938, in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant.

brought, has been since the same was filed, and that the bill of the court in said suit should be taken to show the suit instituted by the heirs of the estate of the said deceased;

It is by the court ordered that said bill should be amended by interlineation to show said suit brought by the heirs of the estate of the heirs of said deceased.

J. J. [Name]
Judge

O.P. [Name]
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed Mar 28 1935
W. W. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

... Monday, March 30th, 1942.

... U.S. District Court.

... the following ... and entered,

IN THE ... OF THE ...

... vs. ... 684

... before ...

...

... on this 28th day of March, 1942, upon reading and filing the petition of ... receiver herein, upon order and instructions with reference to the publication of notice to all creditors of and contract holders ... it is proper that notice to all creditors and contract holders be published;

... that the receiver herein be, and he is hereby instructed and directed to cause to be published a notice to all creditors of the contract holders ... in itemized form ... or in the proceedings to be held ... or in the proceedings to be held ... consecutive weeks in the ... published in ...

... 28, 1942.

... COURT

... Clerk ... District Court

...

... 684

...

Witness of certain property of the defendant for the purpose of the same.

It is the order of the court that the defendant, Chas. Price, be restrained until the further order of this court, not exceeding ten (10) days from this date, from in any manner proceeding under the tax warrant issued out of the office of the Oklahoma Tax Commission under date of February 16, 1937, and from attempting to sell, dispose or in anywise encumber said property under authority and direction of said tax warrant; and the plaintiff's application for a temporary injunction is hereby set for hearing in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, at the hour of ten o'clock P. M. on the 7th day of April, 1937.

It is further ORDERED that the said defendant Chas. Price his agents, servants and employees be and they are hereby restrained until the further order of this court, not exceeding ten (10) days from this date, from in any manner proceeding under the tax warrant issued out of the office of the Oklahoma Tax Commission under date of February 16, 1937, and from attempting to sell, dispose or in anywise encumber said property under authority and direction of said tax warrant; and the plaintiff's application for a temporary injunction is hereby set for hearing in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, at the hour of ten o'clock P. M. on the 7th day of April, 1937.

F. M. [Name]
Judge of the United States
District Court.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 10 1937
F. M. [Name], Clerk
U. S. District Court, D. C.

... pursuant to ...

... Court. ... Court.

Wherefore, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF ...

... vs. ...

... et al, Defendants.

... et al, Plaintiffs:

No. 511 Equity. ✓

... WICHITA:

... APPLICANT

... vs. ... APPLICANT

UNION SCL EQUITY.

PETITION FOR REHEAR

So be it that ... believing ... judgment ... entered ... January, 1948 in the case entitled ... vs. ... Corporation, a corporation ... appeal from said court judgment ... error, filed ... transcript of the record, ... sent to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the ...

... Attorney for ...

... filed in ...

... Court for the ...

and a portion of the land in said petition it has not been shown that the
said land is in the hands of the court being fully paid for and that, in fact,
that the said petition and all allegations contained therein are substantially
correct and that it is necessary for the protection of the complainant's
rights and to obtain secure the collection of the said taxes that the
petitioner be appointed.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of the Court
of the County of Lincoln, Nebraska, this 14th day of June, 1914.

That the said land is in the hands of the court being fully paid for and that, in fact,
that the said petition and all allegations contained therein are substantially
correct and that it is necessary for the protection of the complainant's
rights and to obtain secure the collection of the said taxes that the
petitioner be appointed.

The object of all administration expenses the Deceased's personal property belonging to the decedent's estate.

1. Leasehold estates for oil and gas in certain lands described hereinafter, located in Nowata and LeFlore counties, Oklahoma, to-wit:

Reference is here made to the lease, to-wit, No. 11, Sec. 25, Twp. 10, R. 17, Nowata County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

W. T. Bonney and M. J. Bonney, his wife, as lessors, and J. C. Moore and his wife, as lessees, under, Adams, Kansas, Lot 1, and 1/2 of 1/2 and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2, Sec. 4, and Lot 4, and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2 and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2, Sec. 5, Twp. 22, R. 18 N., containing 107.71 acres, LeFlore County, Oklahoma. Old lease recorded 12. 11, 1921, Book 140, page 116, New lease not recorded.

C. J. Goodwin and Anna Goodwin, his wife, as lessors, Sec. 8, Twp. 22, R. 18, LeFlore County, Oklahoma. Recorded April 7, 1922, Book 140, Page 202, 40 Acres.

A. J. Hancock and Jimmie A. Hancock, as lessors, 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2 and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2, Sec. 33 and 34, less school, and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2, Twp. 22, R. 18 N., and Lots 1, 2 and 3, and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2 and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2, and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2 and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2, Twp. 22, R. 18 N., containing 107.71 acres, LeFlore County, Oklahoma. Old lease recorded 12. 11, 1921, Book 140, page 116, New lease not recorded.

H. Starr and Hannah Starr, his wife, as lessors, H. Starr, as lessee, and Viola Starr, single, Baby Starr, single, Louise Starr, his wife. SW 1/4 Sec. 8, Twp. 22, R. 18 N., LeFlore County, Oklahoma, Recorded July 24, 1921, Book 140, Page 457, 40 Acres.

Hula A. Caley (Leo Strode ex. G. ex R., she, Heir, 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2 and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2 and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2, Sec. 8, Twp. 22 N., R. 18 W., containing 80 acres, in LeFlore County, Oklahoma, recorded.

A. J. Hancock, 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2, Sec. 4, and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2, Twp. 22, R. 18 N., LeFlore County, Oklahoma, recorded 12. 11, 1921, Book 140, Page 202-206 Acres, more or less.

Walter Green and Miss M. Maxson as lessors, 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2, Sec. 14, Twp. 10, R. 17, Nowata County, Oklahoma, recorded.

A. J. Hancock and George Curran, his wife, as lessors, 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2 and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2, Sec. 10, Twp. 22, R. 18 N., containing 107.71 acres, LeFlore County, Oklahoma, recorded 12. 11, 1921, Page 116.

A. J. Hancock and S. L. Lane, his wife, as lessors, 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2 and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2, Sec. 25, Twp. 22, R. 18 N., containing 107.71 acres, LeFlore County, Oklahoma, recorded Oct. 28, 1923, Book 140, Page 116.

Hula A. Caley, a widow, as lessor, 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2 and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2 and 1/2 of 1/2 of 1/2, Sec. 8, Twp. 22 N., R. 18 W., containing 80 acres, in LeFlore County, Oklahoma, recorded.

1938, 1939, 1940.

... wife, ... Sec. 17, Twp. 29, R. 18, ... recorded March 23, 1938, ...

... wife, ... Sec. 17, Twp. 29, R. 18, ... recorded March 23, 1938, ...

... wife, ... Sec. 30, Twp. 29, R. 18, ... recorded March 23, 1938, ...

... wife, ... Sec. 17, Twp. 29, R. 18, ... recorded March 23, 1938, ...

... wife, ... Sec. 27, Twp. 29, R. 18, ... recorded Dec. 28, 1938, ...

... wife, ... Sec. 30, Twp. 29, R. 18, ... recorded Dec. 15, 1938, ...

... wife, ... Sec. 30, Twp. 29, R. 18, ... recorded March 23, 1938, ...

... wife, ... Sec. 18, Twp. 29, R. 18, ... recorded July 24, 1938, ...

... wife, ... Sec. 18, Twp. 29, R. 18, ... recorded March 23, 1938, ...

... wife, ... Sec. 18, Twp. 29, R. 18, ... recorded July 24, 1938, ...

... wife, ... Sec. 18, Twp. 29, R. 18, ... recorded Dec. 28, 1938, ...

... wife, ... Sec. 18, Twp. 29, R. 18, ... recorded July 24, 1938, ...

... wife, ... Sec. 18, Twp. 29, R. 18, ... recorded July 24, 1938, ...

... wife, ... Sec. 18, Twp. 29, R. 18, ... recorded July 24, 1938, ...

... all in Sec. 24, Twp. 28, N. 16, Craig County, Okla. 120 Acres. Recorded Dec. 20, 1938, Book 134, Page 602.

... and ... wife. ... and ... and ... and ... Sec. 3, Twp. 28, N. 18, Craig County, Okla. 98 Acres, more or less. Recorded Aug. 11, 1927, Book 140, Page 606.

... Taylor, a single ... and ... and ... and ... all in Sec. 9, Twp. 28, N. 18, Craig County, Okla. 120 Acres. Recorded Nov. 18, 1927, Book 140, Page 902.

Robert L. Leacon and Cora Leacon, his wife. ... Sec. 11, ... and ... and ... and ... and ... Sec. 9, Twp. 28, N. 18, Craig County, Okla. 170 Acres. Recorded July 24, 1926, Book 126, Page 580.

Eva E. Gore and J. ... Gore, her husband, ... Sec. 10, Twp. 28, N. 18, Craig County, Okla. 40 Acres. Recorded Feb. 2, 1926, Book 145, Page 23.

... and Sarah ... wife, ... and ... single. ... Sec. 9, Twp. 28, N. 18, Craig County, Okla. 60 Acres. Recorded July 24, 1926, Book 126, Page 226.

... and wife. ... and ... and ... all in Sec. 27, Twp. 28, N. 18, and ... and ... Sec. 24, Twp. 28, N. 18, Craig County, Okla. 200 Acres. Not recorded.

... George C. ... Sec. 25, Twp. 29, N. 17 and ... Sec. 26, Twp. 29, N. 17, Nowata County, Okla. 120 Acres. Recorded April 1, 1925, Book 208, Page 31.

... single ... Sec. 10, Twp. 28, N. 18, Craig County, Okla. 120 Acres. Not recorded.

J. H. ... and ... wife. ... Sec. 12, Twp. 28, N. 18, Craig County, Okla. 80 Acres. Recorded Feb. 2, 1926, Book 145, Page 74.

... a single ... Sec. 7, Twp. 28, N. 18, Craig County, Okla. 40 Acres. Recorded Feb. 10, 1926, Book 145, Page 26.

... a widow (see ... and ... Sec. 14, Twp. 28, N. 18, Craig County, Okla. 80 Acres. Recorded July 24, 1926, Book 126, Page 221.

... and ... wife. ... Sec. 34 and ... Twp. 28, N. 18, Craig County, Okla. 120 Acres. Recorded Dec. 20, 1938, Book 134, Page 602.

... a single ... point which is the ... the ... road, ... road to ... and ... Sec. 28, N. 18, Craig County, Okla. 40 Acres. Not recorded.

county, Twp. 29 North, Range of West. Sec. 10, T. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, T. 29, R. 17, sec. 10.

county, Twp. 29 North, Range of West. Sec. 10, T. 29, R. 17, sec. 10.

county, Twp. 29 North, Range of West. Sec. 10, T. 29, R. 17, sec. 10.

county, Twp. 29 North, Range of West. Sec. 10, T. 29, R. 17, sec. 10.

2. Pipeline operations:

A pipeline begins at a point 1000' west of the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, and extends east 1000' to a point 1000' east of the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, etc.

A pipeline beginning at a point 1000' west of the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, extends east 1000' to a point 1000' east of the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, etc. This point crosses the line of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, to the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, thence east along the line of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, to the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, thence east along the line of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, to the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, thence east along the line of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, to the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, etc.

A pipeline beginning at the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, extends east 1000' to the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, etc.

A pipeline begins at a point 1000' west of the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, and extends east 1000' to the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, etc. This point crosses the line of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, to the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, thence east along the line of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, to the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, etc.

A pipeline beginning at a point 1000' west of the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, extends east 1000' to the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, etc. This point crosses the line of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, to the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, thence east along the line of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, to the corner of sec. 10, Twp. 29, R. 17, sec. 10, county, etc.

1. A line beginning at the corner of the NW 1/4 of Sec. 16, T. 23 N., R. 23 E., S. 18, and extending to the south line of the NW 1/4 of Sec. 16, thence in a northwesterly direction approximately 100', N. 20, E. 18, 1/2 in., to the N. 20, E. 18, 1/2 in., S. 18.

2. All oil and/or Gas wells with all casing, tubing, equipment, stores, pits, structures, gathering lines and all oil and/or Gas well apparatus and facilities, appurtenant to the same, situated in, on, or adjacent to the above described property.

3. All rights of way owned by the defendant within Grant and Carbon Counties, Wyoming.

4. Defendant has purchased, since the 1st day of September, 1910, between as to part, as seller, and Plaintiff, purchaser, the above described property under said contract now being assigned, by the title service (see Exhibit); said contract providing for the sale of gas from the above described properties of defendant.

5. Plaintiff has all other interests, whether real, personal or mixed, which are, or may be, in any interest, now situated within the state of Wyoming.

(1) That the said sale shall be made by the Receiver herein as by and in accordance with the order of the court.

(2) That the Receiver shall cause to be hereby directed to advertise said sale by inserting one insertion each week for four weeks in the Union Daily Journal, a newspaper of general circulation published in Craig County, State of Wyoming, stating the terms of the said sale and the time and place of the same and the place, which shall be at the front entrance of the Court House in the City of Laramie.

(3) That the Receiver shall file a report of sale to this court stating the proceeds in fact and how offered for said properties and return to the court of this report by the Receiver, when required by the court or rejection of the same.

(4) That further orders with respect to the said sale shall be made at the discretion of the court, this day of April, 1910, at the City of Laramie, Wyoming.

(5) That the Receiver may from time to time vary or be varied in the execution of the order to which the said properties were sold, and the same may have been sold in whole or in part or otherwise.

(6) That further orders with respect to the said sale by the court may be made at the discretion of the court, and the said sale to the extent of the proceeds of the same shall be made to the purchaser thereof and the proceeds of the same shall be paid to the Receiver, and the Receiver shall be authorized to execute the same in accordance with the order of the court, and the Receiver shall be authorized to execute the same in accordance with the order of the court, and the Receiver shall be authorized to execute the same in accordance with the order of the court.

... .., 1918.

... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, March 31, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Samuel J. T. Straus and Melvin L. Straus, as Trustees,	Plaintiffs,)	
)	
vs.)	Equity No. 652 ✓
)	
Upper Columbia Company, a corp- oration, and Fred Irwig,	Defendants.)	

O R D E R

On proper showing made on behalf of the Receiver herein it is,

ORDERED that the Receiver pay to the County Treasurer of Tulsa, County, Oklahoma, out of the funds in her hands as Receiver the personal property tax assessed for the year 1931 against the property in her hands as Receiver in the sum of \$194.20.

Dated this 31st day of March, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Mar 31 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Samuel J. T. Straus and Melvin L. Straus, as Trustees,	Plaintiffs,)	
)	
vs.)	Equity No. 652 ✓
)	
Upper Columbia Company, a cor- poration, and Fred Irwig,	Defendants.)	

O R D E R

The application of Samuel J. T. Straus and Melvin L. Straus, as Trustees, plaintiffs herein, through their attorneys, A. B. Honnold and Gottlieb & Schwartz, for an order authorizing and directing Ina Mae Warfield, Receiver,

to pay over and disburse at this time out of the funds in her hands the sum of \$15,137.75, in partial satisfaction of the decree entered herein on the 8th day of January, 1932, in accordance with the priorities as fixed therein, coming on for hearing and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that said application should be allowed.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the said Receiver be authorized and directed to pay over to the parties entitled thereto by checks to be drawn as directed in writing by A. B. Ronnold, attorney for plaintiffs, 65% of the fees allowed to the attorneys for plaintiffs, 65% of the fees allowed to the Trustees, and the full amount of all costs and expenses, including cost of publishing notice of sale; and hold the remainder of the funds in her hands under the orders of this court that have been heretofore or may hereafter be made and entered, herein.

Dated this 31 day of March, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Mar 31 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

Court adjourned until April 1, 1932.

1932. Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, April 1st,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

The Bassick Manufacturing Company, Plaintiff,)
 vs.) No. Equity
 William W. Haynes, et al., Defendants.) No. 105

O R D E R

Now on this 26th day of March, 1932, the motion of defendants to retax the costs in the above entitled cause having been taken under advisement after argument of counsel having been had thereon the ___ day of November, 1927, it is ordered that the same be overruled in all respects except as to the item of \$2.00 taxed for filing Answer of Defendants to plaintiff's interrogatories Rule 58, and that the entire costs of said action be assessed against said defendants with the exception of said item of \$2.00.

F. E. KENNAMER
 J U D G E

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 1 1932
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. B. STRADFORD, COMPLAINANT.)
 vs.)
 TULSA INVESTMENT COMPANY, A CORPORATION:) No. 391 Equity. ✓
 TULSA SECURITY COMPANY, A PARTNERSHIP)
 COMPOSED OF C. L. WAITE, J. W. HAMEL AND)
 A. J. HAMEL: AND J. W. HAMEL, A. J. HAMEL,)
 C. L. WAITE AND MARY F. MILLER, AND FARM)
 AND HOME SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION OF)
 MISSOURI, A CORPORATION. RESPONDENTS.)

ORDER SUPPLEMENTING ORDER OF FEBRUARY 27, 1932.

As supplemental to the prior order of the Court on February 27, 1932, it is further ordered that such sale shall be made without valuation, appraisement, redemption or extension, and shall be made by and under the

direction of Garland Keeling, a member of the Bar of Tulsa, Oklahoma, who was heretofore appointed Special Master for that purpose to make and conduct said sale and to execute a deed or deeds of conveyance of the property sold to the purchaser or purchasers thereof, upon an order confirming such sale, and upon payment or settlement of the purchase price bid as hereinafter provided. Said sale shall be made at public sale at the west front door of the Tulsa County Court House in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on a day and at an hour to be fixed by said Special Master, at the request of the Solicitors for any party thereto, or upon further order of the Court, and notice of such sale shall be published once a week for at least four weeks prior to such sale in at least one newspaper printed, regularly issued and having a general circulation in the County and State where the real estate proposed to be sold is situated, describing briefly the property to be sold and referring to the orders and decree herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 1 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Herma H. Logan and Roy C. Logan, Complainants,)
vs.) No. 446 Equity.)
Tulsa Street Railway Company, et al.,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R

This matter coming on for hearing this 1st day of April, 1932, the respondents Tulsa Street Railway Company, a corporation, Albert Emanuel, United Service Company, a corporation, Albert Emanuel, Inc., Charles H. Bosler, B. C. Bosler, W. S. Carr, Daniel W. Allaman, Clarence Kline, Bert Hilburn, Helen R. Bailey, Oliver R. Reis, Cleves F. Bruce and Morris McGrath are hereby permitted to file their answer to the bill of complaint of complainants herein on this day.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 1 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Sallie M. Copeland and Effie R. Archer,	Complainants,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 573 Equity.
)	
Tulsa Streer Railway Company, a corporation, et al.,	Defendants.)	

O R D E R

This matter coming on for hearing this 1st day of April, 1931, the respondents Tulsa Street Railway Company, a corporation, Albert Emanuel, United Service Company, a corporation, Albert Emanuel, Inc., Charles W. Bosler, B. C. Bosler, W. S. Carr, Daniel W. Allaman, Clarence Kline, Bert Hilburn, Helen R. Bailey, Olive R. Reis, Cleves F. Bruce and Morris McGrath are hereby permitted to file their answer to the bill of complaint of complainants herein on this day.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 1 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

T. J. Booth, et al.,	Complainants,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 663 Equity.
)	
Greer Investment Company, et al.,	Defendants.)	

O R D E R

This matter coming on for hearing upon the application of Paul E. Taliaferro, receiver of Petroleum Royalties Company, a trust, for an order of this Court authorizing said Paul E. Taliaferro as such receiver to enter into two contracts with the Phillips Petroleum Company, copies of which are attached hereto, marked exhibits A and B respectively, and upon consideration, it appearing to the Court that under the circumstances existing in reference to the properties of the Petroleum Royalties Company, a trust described in said two contracts, it is advisable for the best interest of the trust for said receiver to enter into said contracts with the said Phillips Petroleum Company;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that Paul E. Taliaferro as receiver of Petroleum Royalties Company, a trust, be and he is hereby authorized as such receiver to sign, execute and deliver the contracts with the Phillips Petroleum Company, copies of which are attached hereto

marked Exhibits "A" and "B" respectively.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District
Court.

Copies Attached to original
order.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 1 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

KNICKERBOCKER ROYALTY CORPORATION,
et al., Plaintiffs, /
vs. / No. 665 Equity. ✓
MATEWS NELSON, et al., Defendants. /

O R D E R

Upon application of the plaintiffs, and for good cause shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

That the time for taking and filing depositions in the above en-
titled cause be extended as follows:

For the Plaintiffs until May 11, 1932.
For the Defendants until June 10, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District
Court.

Dated April 1st, 1932.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 1 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until April 2, 1932.

1932. Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, April 2nd,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Erle K. Eby,	Complainant.)	
)	
vs.)	No. 581 Equity.
Monarch Royalty Corporation,)	
a corporation at al	Defendants.)	
Honorable James A. Harris, receiver:)	
)	
CLAIM NO. 75, of James A. Savage & Company:)	
James A. Savage & Company,)	
a partnership composed of)	
James A. Savage and Richard)	
Shipman,	APPELLANTS.)	
)	
vs.)	
James A. Harris, Receiver,)	
and Monarch Royalty Corporation,)	
a corporation,	APPELLEES.)	
)	
NUMBER 581 EQUITY.)	

P E T I T I O N F O R A P P E A L .

Comes now James A. Savage and Richard Shipman partners doing business under the firm and style of James A. Savage & Company believing themselves aggrieved by the orders, judgment and decree of this Court, made and entered on the 5th day of January, 1932, in the cause entitled Erle K. Eby, Complainant vs. Monarch Royalty Corporation, a corporation and other defendants, No. 581 Equity, does hereby appeal from said orders, judgment and decree to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, for the reasons specified in the assignments of error, filed herewith, and prays that this appeal may be allowed and that a transcript of the record, proceedings and papers, upon which said orders, judgment and decree were made duly authenticated, may be sent to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

EDWARD J. FLEMING
Attorneys for Appellants.

The foregoing claim of appeal is allowed, and a cost bond is hereby fixed in the sum of \$200.00.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District

1932. Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, April 4th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Paul D. Speer,	Complainant,)	
)	
v.)	No. 609 Equity ✓
)	
Town of Kiefer, Creek County, Oklahoma, et al,	Respondents.)	

O R D E R

On motion of Paul D. Speer, and verification thereof by Eugene Jordan, attorney for complainant, under date of March 31, 1932, charging contempt of court against Brady Heath, Treasurer of the Town of Kiefer, Creek County, Oklahoma; it is

ORDERED that Brady Heath be and appear before this court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the 14 day of April, 1932, at ten o'clock in the forenoon of that day to show cause, if any he has, why he should not be punished for contempt of court in failing and refusing to comply with the decree of this court rendered in the above styled cause on the 5th day of December, 1931, as more fully appears from the verified application of Paul D. Speer, a copy of which is ordered to be served herewith.

Dated this 4 day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 4 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,)	
)	
-vs-)	No. 674 - Equity. ✓
)	
ROSA MASHUMASHEY, ET AL,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 4th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that sale of Receiver herein, be approved as per journal entry to be filed.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, April 5th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Sarfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

THE SILURIAN OIL CO. A CORP.,	Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 525 - Equity.
IRENE ESSLEY,	Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiff for better statement be, and it is hereby, overruled. Plaintiff given ten (10) days to reply to Defendant's Answer and Cross Bill.

E. L. HARDESTER, ET AL,	Plaintiffs,)
-vs-) No. 547 - Equity.
MID-CONTINENT PETROLEUM CO. ET AL,	Defendants.)

Now on this 5th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiff for rehearing be, and it is hereby, overruled. It is further ordered that Injunction be granted without prejudice to bringing action for damages on nuisance charge.

W. H. GRAY,	Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 559 - Criminal.
IRA E. CORNELIUS,	Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Motion of Defendant to dismiss the amended bill herein, be, and it is hereby, overruled. Defendant given ten (10) days to answer.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Willard Douglas Kinkade and Charles Joil Baugh,	Plaintiffs,)
-vs-) No. 586 - Equity.
The Independent Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, and Phillips Petroleum Company, a corporation,	Defendants.)

ORDER SUSTAINING MOTION TO DISMISS
AMENDED BILL OF COMPLAINT

No. 591 Equity Cont'd.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 5 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

THE BROWN-CRUMMER INVESTMENT CO., Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 598 - Equity. ✓
)
TOWN OF BIXBY, ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 5th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Motion of Plaintiff to dismiss petition of intervention of M. J. McNulty, Sneed Royalty Company and C. R. Thurlwell be, and it is hereby, overruled. It is further ordered that Plaintiffs be given fifteen (15) days to answer interventions. Plaintiff permitted to amend motion to dismiss heretofore filed herein.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

The Brown-Crummer Investment Company,)
Complainant,)
vs.) No. 598 Equity. ✓
)
The Town of Bixby, Oklahoma, et al., Defendants.)

O R D E R

Now on this 5th day of April, 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the defendants be granted 15 days time in which to answer the petitions of intervention of C. R. Thurlwell, Sneed Royalty Company and M. J. McNulty filed herein.

F. L. KENNEDY
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 5 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

MEXIA PLANING MILL CO. A CORP., Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 602 - Equity. ✓
)
H. RICKMAN, Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be passed to April 6, 1932.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Samuel J. T. Straus, and Melvin L. Straus, as Trustees,	Plaintiffs,)
vs.) Equity No. 652 ✓
Upper Columbia Company, a corp- oration, and Fred Irwig,	Defendants.)

DECREE CONFIRMING REPORT OF SALE BY SPECIAL MASTER
AND DIRECTING DISTRIBUTION.

This cause coming on further to be heard this 5th day of April, 1932, upon the report of Ina Mae Warfield, Special Master herein, of the sale made pursuant to the decree and order of sale of this court dated January 8, 1932; and it appearing to the Court that the purchaser of the property sold at said sale has deposited with the Special Master \$10,000.00 face amount of First Mortgage Gold Bonds of the defendant, Fred Irwig, secured by the property sold, in negotiable form, with all coupons attached, as a pledge that she will make good her bid, in case of its acceptance, and has announced that she is prepared to deliver to the Special Master for the purpose of paying, satisfying and making good her bid for said property, First Mortgage Gold Bonds of the defendant, Fred Irwig, secured by the property sold, in negotiable form with all coupons attached, and the said purchaser has offered to pay to the Special Master such further cash sums as may be ordered by the Court:

And it further appearing that no exceptions have been made to said Special Master's report and that notice of this hearing was given to the defendant, Upper Columbia Company, a corporation, and that said defendant has consented that this matter may be heard at this time and that said sale may be confirmed and made absolute, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. That the report of sale of Ina Mae Warfield, as Special Master be and the same hereby is approved and confirmed and the sale of said properties by the said Special Master as an entirety, subject to real estate taxes, to G. M. Echols for the sum of \$88,000.00, be and the same hereby is confirmed and made absolute.

2. There is now due and owing at the time of this decree for principal and interest upon all of the First Mortgage Gold Bonds secured by the Trust Deed and Chattel Mortgage of the said Fred Irwig foreclosed herein, dated January 2, 1926, and indentures amendatory, supplemental and confirmatory thereof, the sum of \$697,820.42 with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from January 8, 1932, to the date of sale amounting to a total of \$709,218.46.

3. At the time of this decree there are no claims against the defendant Upper Columbia Company, a corporation, arising out of the operation of the mortgaged properties or other claims chargeable against the properties sold that have not been heretofore paid by the Receiver under orders of this Court. The properties were sold subject to all taxes lawfully assessed against the real estate, payment of which taxes is assumed by the purchaser, but free from all other liens and encumbrances and from redemption.

4. The costs, allowances, disbursements and all other payments to be made, having priority over the lien of the aforesaid Trust Deed and Chattel Mortgage and indentures amendatory, supplemental and confirmatory thereof are as follows:

(a) To A. B. Honnold and Gottlieb & Schwartz, attorneys for plaintiffs in the foreclosure suit, the sum of \$20,000.00 for services, 65% of which (\$13,000.00) has been paid out of the funds in the Receiver's hands under order of this court.

(b) To Samuel J. T. Straus and Melvin L. Straus, as Trustees under said Trust Deed and Chattel Mortgage, the sum of \$3,000.00 for their services, 65% of which (\$1,950.00) has been paid out of the funds in the Receiver's hands under order of this Court.

(c) To A. B. Honnold, attorney for plaintiffs, the sum of \$91.90 for court costs and Marshal's fees advanced by him, all of which has been paid out of the funds in the Receiver's hands under order of this Court.

(d) To the Tulsa Daily World, \$96.25, for publishing notice of foreclosure sale which sum has been paid out of the funds in the Receiver's hands under order of this Court.

(e) All of the foregoing payments were made in partial satisfaction of the decree entered herein on the 8th day of January, 1932, but were insufficient to satisfy claims having priority over the lien of the aforesaid Trust Deed and Chattel Mortgage, and do not operate to reduce the amount due on principal and interest on the aforesaid first Mortgage Gold Bonds and coupons.

(f) To Ina Mae Warfield, for her services as Special Master the sum of \$1000.00, which sum the Receiver is authorized to pay out of the funds in her hands.

(g) To Ina Mae Warfield, for her services as Receiver the sum of \$1000.00 in addition to that received for her services as Receiver prior to April 1, 1932, all of which prior payments are hereby expressly approved, and the Receiver is authorized to pay out of the funds in her hands the said sum of \$1000.00.

(h) There are no unpaid personal property taxes or past due special assessments against the property sold. The purchaser has purchased the real estate subject to all real estate taxes lawfully assessed against the real estate and assumes the payment of same, together with all special assessments against the property sold as they become due.

(i) There are no unpaid claims under the receivership, except current claims arising out of the operation of the properties as a going concern, payment of which claims, unless paid by the Receiver before her discharge, is assumed by the purchaser.

5. The purchaser without unnecessary delay shall pay to the Special Master such amount in cash as shall be necessary to pay the amounts heretofore allowed and not heretofore paid (excepting real estate taxes assumed by the purchaser) or furnish the Special Master satisfactory evidence that same have been paid or satisfied, and such amount paid over in cash shall be applied by the Special Master to the payment of items specified in the foregoing Article

4 of this Decree.

6. The balance of the purchase price may be paid by turning in to the Special Master, to have payment on account credited thereon, First Mortgage Gold Bonds and coupons secured by the aforesaid Trust Deed and Chattel Mortgage, and indentures amendatory, supplemental and confirmatory thereof, or by furnishing the Special Master with satisfactory evidence that such bonds and coupons have been deposited and same made available for payment of the purchase price, and that there has been stamped on such bonds and coupons the pro rata share of the purchase price. Such bonds and coupons shall be received at such price or value as would be equivalent to the sum which would be payable out of the net proceeds of the sale of the mortgaged property if paid in money to the holders of said bonds and coupons, for his just share and proportion of such proceeds. The purchaser or the nominee, successor or assignee of the purchaser shall also pay to the Special Master in cash the sum representing the proportion of the purchase price of said property payable to the holders of such First Mortgage Gold Bonds as shall not have been offered by said purchaser in payment of the purchase price, and the Special Master shall make distribution to said bondholders at the same rate at which such bonds and coupons are received in payment of the purchase price, or in lieu of such cash payment to the Special Master and distribution by her, the purchaser or the nominee, successor or assignee of the purchaser shall furnish the Special Master with satisfactory evidence that such distribution to such bondholders has been made.

7. The deed and bill of sale of the Special Master shall be substantially in the same forms now submitted to the Court, and shall be executed, acknowledged and delivered forthwith to the purchaser or the nominee, successor or assignee of the purchaser, upon the payment or satisfaction of the purchase price as herein decreed, and the grantee shall forthwith be let into possession of the property sold, and each and all of the parties hereto are hereby directed in such case to deliver to such grantee possession of any and all real and personal property subject to the terms and conditions of the final decree of foreclosure and sale of the mortgaged properties entered herein on the 8th day of January, 1932. The properties sold at the sale hereby approved, confirmed and made absolute are described as follows:

All those certain lots, plots, pieces or parcels of land, and the personal property situate in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, and State of Oklahoma, as follows: The East three hundred forty (340) feet of Lots One (1), Two (2) and Three (3), Block Two (2) in River Ford Addition to the Town of Tulsa, Indian Territory, now in the State of Oklahoma, as said lots are marked and designated upon the recorded plat thereof: together with any and all buildings, improvements, and appurtenances, now standing upon said land, or any part thereof, including all boilers, dynamos, motors, screens, curtain fixtures, window shades, awnings, wall beds, door beds, ice boxes, ranges, furnaces, kitchen equipment, vacuum cleaners, refrigerating, heating, plumbing, ventilating, gas and electric light fixtures, elevators and fittings, and machinery, appliances, plants, apparatus, equipment and fittings and fixtures of every kind in any building or buildings now standing on said premises or any part thereof, and the

reversion or reversions, remainder and remainders in and to said premises and each and every part thereof, and together with all the rents, issues and profits thereof, and together with all and singular the tenements, hereditaments, easements, appurtenances and appurtenances to said estate and property belonging or in anywise appertaining, and all the estate, right, title, interest, claim or demand whatsoever of the Mortgagor, and the said defendant, Upper Columbia Company, a corporation, either at law or in equity, either in possession or expectancy of, in and to the above described land, property and estate, and together with any and all interest of them or either of them in and to any party or division walls or caissons or agreements relating thereto in connection with any of the mortgaged property, and in and to the land comprised within any and all existing and/or vacated alleys, streets and public or private places, and within any and all hereafter existing and/or vacated alleys, streets and public or private places, on, contiguous to or adjoining any part of the above described premises, and all buildings, equipment, fixtures and structures of them or either of them, upon, in, above and under the same, and together with all the right, title and interest appurtenant thereto, under any ordinance of the City of Tulsa, or otherwise in, to, upon, over and under said alleys, streets, and public or private places, and in and to the buildings, equipment, fixtures and structures aforesaid; and together with all and singular the personal property of every kind, nature and sort whatsoever, situated in said buildings on said premises, including among other items of personal property, any and all furniture, furnishings, equipment, bedding, linens, towels, dishes, cooking and kitchen utensils and equipment, glassware, silverware, plated ware, carpets, rugs, office furniture, works of art, pianos, pictures, paintings, statues, clocks, lamps, radio apparatus, equipment and instruments, belting, motors, tools (including among others, hand tools), air compressing machines, show cases, gasoline and oil pumps, vulcanizing equipment, lathes, tanks, electrical appliances, service and other trucks, carts and wagons, and any and all remaining personal property used in connection with the conduct or operation of a hotel and/or kitchen apartment hotel, and/or apartment hotel, and/or apartment building, and/or garage in, about, and in connection with said buildings and premises, including all said mortgaged properties belonging to said defendants, their successors and assigns.

8. Upon the purchase price having been paid or satisfied, the Receiver herein and the defendant, Upper Columbia Company, a corporation, shall execute to the purchaser, or the nominee, successor or assignee of the purchaser, proper quit claim conveyances conveying to the purchaser, or the nominee, successor or assignee of the purchaser, all of their right, title and interest in and to the property sold.

9. All questions not herein nor heretofore finally determined and disposed of are hereby reserved for such consideration by the Court as may be deemed necessary or advisable.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 5 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

W. C. MILLER, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 670 - Equity. ✓
PHILLIPS PETR. CO., Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court, that motion of the Plaintiff for rehearing be, and the same is hereby, denied. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. C. Miller, Complainant,)
-vs-) No. 670 Equity ✓
Phillips Petroleum Company,)
successors to Independent)
Oil and Gas Company, Defendant.)

ORDER OVERRULING MOTION TO SET ASIDE
JUDGMENT AND REINSTATE CASE.

BE IT REMEMBERED on this 5th day of April, 1932, the motion of the plaintiff to set aside judgment and decree of this Court made and entered on the 9th day of March, 1932, for the defendant and against the plaintiff, came on for hearing, on the regular assignment, and pursuant to notice given, and the plaintiff being present in person and by his attorney, Cuddie E. Davidson, and the defendant being present by its attorney, R. B. F. Hummer, and the Court having heard argument on the motion and being fully advised in the premises finds that the same should be overruled.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED by the Court that said motion to set aside judgment and reinstate case filed by plaintiff in this cause be and the same is hereby denied.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 8 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Complainant,)
-vs-)
ROSA MASHUNKASHEY, et al.,	Respondents.)

No. 674 - Equity.

ORDER OF CONFIRMATION

Now on this the 4th day of April, 1932, this matter came on to be heard before the Honorable W. E. Kennamer, Judge of said Court upon the Return of Sale heretofore filed herein by the undersigned, C. S. Walker, Receiver, and asking for confirmation of the sale of

One Stinson Detroit, four-seated cabin airplane, 1931 model;

One Packard Sedan automobile, seven passenger

One Cord Sport model roadster automobile,

and came the complaint by John Goldsberry, District Attorney, and came the defendants, Rosa Mashunkashey and Lucille Stafford, by their attorneys, Hudson & Hudson, and came the Receiver in person; And the Court, having heard the evidence and statements of parties in interest, and upon due consideration thereof, finds:

That said Receiver offered for sale and sold to the highest bidder for cash, on the 12th day of March, 1932, said Stinson Detroit, four-seated cabin airplane, 1931 model, to Ozark Airways, Inc., of Springfield, Missouri, for and at the price of \$2050.00, and that the District Attorney had filed objections to the confirmation of the sale of said Airplane on the grounds and for the reason that same did not being a sufficient price; and thereupon, and in open Court, the Agents and Representatives of The Ozark Airways, Inc. raised its bid to \$2250.00 cash.

The Court further finds that the said Receiver sold one Packard, seven passenger Sedan Automobile for and at the price of \$625.00, to Dr. Geo. H. Miller, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and said Cord Sport model Roadster Automobile to J. S. Dewar of Bartlesville, Oklahoma, for the sum of \$676.00, and that there have been no objections filed to the confirmation of same.

The Court further finds that said offers for said personal property are fair and reasonable and of the fair market value of all of said property, and that said sale should be confirmed, and the undersigned Receiver instructed to deliver all of said property for and at the price above set out upon the payment to him of the purchase price of each.

IT IS THEREFORE, By the Court, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the sale of said Stinson Detroit, four-seated cabin airplane, 1931 model to The Ozark Airways, Inc., for the sum of \$2250.00 be, and the same is hereby ratified and confirmed; and that the sale of said Packard seven-passenger Sedan Automobile to Dr. Geo. S. Miller for the sum of \$625.00 be, and the same is hereby ratified and confirmed; and that the sale of the Cord Sport Model Roadster Automobile to J. S. Dewar for the sum of \$676.00 be, and the same is hereby ratified and confirmed, and said C. S. Walker, Receiver herein, be, and he is

hereby directed and instructed to make, execute and deliver proper Bills of Sale to all of the above-named parties for the respective property so purchased by them, and that upon the payment of the purchase price for each of said pieces of personal property, said Receiver deliver said Bills of Sale and possession of same to the respective purchasers.

F. E. BENNAMER
JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 5 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. FRANKLIN WAGSCH, Complainant,)
vs.) IN EQUITY NO. 684 ✓
SUNRAY OIL COMPANY AND)
SUNRAY OIL CORPORATION, Defendants.)

O R D E R

Upon reading and filing the petition of C. H. Wright, duly verified, representing and stating to the Court that Thomas H. Ratigan has made application to the Sunray Oil Company to issue to him a new bond to be secured by the Trust Indenture to The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, dated May 1, 1928, in lieu of Bond No. M-664, Series B, for the sum of \$1,000.00 which the said Thomas H. Ratigan represents has become lost, and that the Sunray Oil Company and The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, Trustee, represent to your Receiver that all of the procedure required by the By-Laws and provisions of said Trust Indenture have been complied with by the said Thomas H. Ratigan, and that they believe that he is entitled to a bond in lieu of the said bond so lost or misplaced; it is therefore

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that C. H. Wright, Receiver herein, be, and he is hereby authorized and empowered to consent in writing that the officers of the Sunray Oil Company and The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, trustee in the Mortgage Indenture securing the bonds issued May 1, 1928, may authorize the execution, registration, and certification of a bond for \$1,000.00, Series B, under said Mortgage Indenture, dated May 1, 1928, and deliver the same to the said Thomas H. Ratigan, the same to be accepted and received by the said Thomas H. Ratigan in lieu of Bond No. M-664, Series B, alleged to be lost.

Dated this 4th day of April, 1932.

F. E. BENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 5 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. FRANKLIN TANSCH, Complainant,)
 vs.) IN EQUITY NO. 684 ✓
 SUNRAY OIL COMPANY AND)
 SUNRAY OIL CORPORATION, Defendants.)

O R D E R

Upon reading and filing the petition of C. H. Wright, Receiver, herein, presenting and showing to the Court that prior to the Receivership, herein, the Sunray Oil Corporation made a contract for the sale of its preferred stock with H. C. Robertson, agreed to pay a cash commission and a commission in preferred stock, and it appearing to the Court that all of the cash commission has been paid, and that the said H. C. Robertson is demanding that the commission in preferred stock be delivered to him, and that the Sunray Oil Corporation is willing to issue said preferred stock to-wit: Forty-five (45) shares upon consent of this Court; and it appearing to the Court that it would be for the best interest of said Corporation that said forty-five (45) shares of preferred stock be issued to H. C. Robertson; it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Sunray Oil Corporation, may, and its officers and directors will hereby permit to issue to H. C. Robertson in full of his contract for sale of preferred stock of the Sunray Oil Corporation, Forty-five (45) shares of said preferred stock; and that the same be delivered to the said H. C. Robertson upon the said H. C. Robertson executing a full and final release to the Sunray Oil Corporation of the said contract for the sale of said stock.

Dated this 4th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENHAMER
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 5 1932
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court JMR

 IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. FRANKLIN TANSCH, Complainant,)
 vs.) IN EQUITY NO. 684 ✓
 SUNRAY OIL COMPANY AND)
 SUNRAY OIL CORPORATION, Defendants.)

O R D E R

Upon reading and filing the petition of C. H. Wright, Receiver, herein, with reference to the sum of \$424.95 on deposit by the Sunray Oil Corporation in the Irving Trust Company, One Wall Street, New York, New York, and

the Court, having heard said verified petition, and having considered the same, finds that the deposit of said moneys was made in the ordinary course of business for the purpose of paying dividends to the stockholders of the Sunray Oil Corporation; that said Sunray Oil Corporation opened said account as a special account, and the same was designated as "Sunray Oil Corporation Dividend Account," and that the Sunray Oil Corporation executed and issued dividend checks upon said account; that no specific agreement was made with said bank or with any other person by the said Corporation; that the said moneys were not to be retained for any definite time therein; that these facts are not sufficient to create the Irving Trust Company a trustee of said funds for the benefit of the stockholders of the Sunray Oil Corporation or any other persons; that the Receiver has revoked the deposit and any instructions concerning the deposit given by the Sunray Oil Corporation; that said deposit at the time of the appointment of Receiver herein, was the property of the Sunray Oil Corporation, and that upon the appointment of Receiver herein, became subject to the orders of this Court; it is, therefore,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Irving Trust Company, One Wall Street, New York, New York, immediately pay, upon demand, to C. H. Wright, Receiver of the Sunray Oil Corporation, the said sum of \$424.95 on deposit in its said bank, to the credit of the Sunray Oil Corporation Dividend Account, or such amount thereof as may remain at this time unpaid upon checks issued thereon by the Sunray Oil Corporation; or said Irving Trust Company, may, within twenty days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, apply to the Court for such further orders in connection therewith as the said Irving Trust Company may see fit and proper; that failure to pay said moneys in said account to the said Receiver, or to make application to this Court within twenty days from the date of receipt herein, shall constitute contempt of this Court, and may be handled accordingly.

Dated this 4th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 5 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. FRANKLIN BAUSCH, Complainant,)
vs.)
SUNRAY OIL COMPANY AND)
SUNRAY OIL CORPORATION, Defendants.)
IN EQUITY NO. 684 ✓

O R D E R

Upon filing and reading the petition of C. H. Wright, Receiver, herein, for an order permitting him to reduce the term of the royalty or mineral interests of the Sunray Oil Company in certain royalties in Stafford County, Kansas, to a term of twenty years, by contract with Max F. A. Sittner and Helen L. Sittner, the owners of said land, described as the North half (N¹/₂) of Section

Thirty-five (35), Township Twenty-one (21) South, Range Twelve (12), West of the 6th P.M., and the Court being advised, finds that it would be for the best interest of said Receivership Estate that the Receiver make a contract reducing the term of said royalty interest to twenty years and thereby save costs of litigation with reference thereto, and that such reduction is satisfactory to the person holding a mortgage upon said royalty interest from the Sunray Oil Company or its predecessor in interest; it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that C. H. Wright, Receiver be, and he is hereby authorized and empowered to make a contract with the owners of the land above described reducing the royalty or mineral interests of the Sunray Oil Company to a term of twenty years from this date.

Dated this 4th day of April, 1932.

F. E. EBERHARTER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 5 1932
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. FRANKLIN BAUGH, Complainant,)
vs.)
SUNRAY OIL COMPANY AND) IN EQUITY NO. 684
SUNRAY OIL CORPORATION, Defendants.)

O R D E R

Upon reading and filing the petition of C. H. Wright, Receiver herein, as to the Unit Operating Contract and the interest therein of the Receivership Estate, covering Sixteen Hundred (1600) acres of oil and gas mining leases in Stafford County, State of Kansas, providing for payment by each of the persons, including the Sunray Oil Company, executing said Unit Operating Contract of their proportionate part of the costs of operating and developing said oil and gas mining leases, and it appearing to the Court that said contract is fair and equitable and that the same should be carried out by the Receiver, and that the Receiver should pay the proportion of the costs of operating and developing said property; it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Receiver, herein, be and he is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to pay to the persons entitled thereto, the sum of Four Hundred Eighty and 38/100 (\$480.38) Dollars, representing the proportion of the Sunray Oil Company's expense under said contract, which accrued prior to the time of the Receivership herein; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the Receiver herein be and he is hereby authorized and instructed to pay to the persons entitled thereto under said contract all the proportion of the costs and

expenses properly payable thereunder, by the interest of the Sunray Oil Company in and to said oil and gas mining leases so unitized by said contract.

That, inasmuch as the income from the interest of the Sunray Oil Company in said acreage and other acreage is being impounded and the payments due under said Unit Operating Contract are liens upon such interest for developing and caring for said property, it is ordered and adjudged that the payments aforesaid be made from any moneys which have heretofore or may hereafter be received and impounded under the order of the Court.

Dated this 4th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNEDY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 5 1932
F. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

JOHN F. HAYDEN, ET AL, Plaintiffs,)
-vs-) No. 702 - Equity. ✓
WILLIS C. STRANGE, individually)
and as SHERIFF OKMERC COUNTY, Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Defendant to dismiss be, and it is hereby, overruled. Defendant given twenty (20) days to answer herein.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

NATHANIEL C. BARNES and METAL PRODUCTS COMPANY, Plaintiffs,)
vs.) Equity No. 703. ✓
OKLAHOMA TIRE & SUPPLY COMPANY, Defendant.)

O R D E R

Now on this 5th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above cause came on for hearing on the defendant's motion for bill of particulars; the defendant appeared by Silverman & Rosenstein, its attorneys, and the plaintiffs appeared by Mason & Williams, their attorneys; the Court having heard the arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds that the said motion for a bill of particulars should be overruled, except in so far as the same has been heretofore admitted by the plaintiffs' resistance filed herein;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the said motion for bill of particulars be, and the same hereby is overruled, except in so far as the same has heretofore been admitted by the plaintiffs' resistance

filed herein, to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepts and its exception is allowed;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant have twenty (20) days from this date within which to file its answer.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed In Open Court
Apr 6 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Standish Hall, Trustee, and
The Guarantee Title & Trust
Company, trustee,

Plaintiffs,

No. 708 Equity.

vs.

The Knight Realty Company,
a corporation, et al,

Defendants.

O R D E R

On this, the 5th day of April, 1932, the day on which the motions of the defendants were set for hearing, plaintiffs appearing by their attorney, E. L. Smith and the defendants appearing by their attorney, K. E. Riddle, thereupon counsel for the defendants, by leave of the court withdrew the first motion set for hearing to require plaintiffs to furnish the defendants with a copy of the Trust Deed and other exhibits, on the ground that said exhibits had already been furnished the counsel for defendants after the filing of said motion.

Counsel for defendants presented the motion to require plaintiffs to make a better and more definite statement as to their authority to maintain this suit and to file with the clerk of the court a list of all bondholders, with their addresses, represented by the plaintiffs as trustees; and after argument of counsel, the court being advised in the premises overruled the first part of said motion for an order requiring complainants to make a better statement in their bill of complaint as to the authority of the trustee to prosecute said suit.

The court finds that the second part of said motion should be sustained and sustains same, and the plaintiffs except.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the first part of said motion requiring the said complainants to make a better and more definite statement as to plaintiffs' authority to maintain and prosecute this suit as trustee be and the same is hereby overruled.

It is further ordered by the court that plaintiffs' file with the clerk of this court within fifteen days from this date, a list of the names

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, April 6th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MEXIA PLANING MILLS CO. A CORP.,	Plaintiff,)	
)	No. 602 - Equity. ✓
-vs-)	
H. RICKMAN,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 6th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Motion of Defendant to dismiss be, and it is hereby, taken under advisement.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Orel J. Brouse, et al,	Plaintiffs)	
)	No. 697 Eq. ✓
-vs-)	
C. S. MacLorinan,	Defendant.)	

ORDER REMANDING SUIT TO STAY COURT

The motion of the plaintiff to remand this suit to the District Court of Rogers County, Oklahoma coming on for hearing this 5th day of January, 1932, pursuant to regular setting, and the Court having heard the argument of counsel, and being fully advised, upon consideration finds that the said motion should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the motion of the plaintiff to remand this case to the District Court of Rogers County, Oklahoma, be, and the same is hereby granted, and this cause be and the same is hereby remanded to the District Court of Rogers County, Oklahoma, for further proceedings.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 6 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until April 7, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, April 7th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kenamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

West Petroleum Company, a corporation,	Plaintiff,)	
)	No. 730 - B.
-vs-)	
Chas. Price, et al.,	Defendants,)	

O R D E R

NOW, on this 7th day of April, A. D. 1932, comes on for consideration the above entitled cause on application of the plaintiff for a temporary injunction, and by agreement of the parties, said hearing is continued to the 15th day of April, 1932, and in the meantime, the restraining order heretofore granted is ordered to remain in full force and effect.

F. E. KENAMER
J U D G E

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 7 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States,	Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	No. 732 Equity
J. T. Condray and W. M. Madden,	Defendants.)	

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

Now on this 7th day of April, 1932, it being shown to the Court that a Bill of Complaint has been filed and properly verified in the above cause for the purpose of restraining and enjoining the defendants, J. T. Condray and W. M. Madden from interfering with the rights of the Secretary of the Interior through his duly authorized representative, the Superintendent of the Osage Indian Agency, at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, in the management, accession and control of the restricted Osage Indian land set out in said Bill of Complaint and hereinafter described, and that notice of hearing in this cause for this date has been served upon both defendants herein to appear and show cause why a temporary restraining order should not be issued against them, as charged in said

Bill of Complaint; and the Court having heard the testimony of witnesses sworn and examined in open court, and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that a temporary restraining order should be issued herein, restraining the said defendants, J. T. Condray and W. M. Madden from interfering with the management and control of said land by the Osage Indian Agency until the further order of this Court, or a final hearing herein.

IT IS THEREFORE the order of the Court that the said J. T. Condray and W. M. Madden be, and they are hereby temporarily restrained and enjoined from interfering with the management, possession and control of the following described land by the Superintendent of the Osage Agency, his agents, representatives and officers:

S2 of NW 4 and S2 of SE4 of Sec. 29; E2 of NW4;
N2 of NE4; SW4 of NE4; N2 of SE4 of NE4 of Sec.
32, Twp. 22N, Range 10E, Osage County, Oklahoma,

until the further order of the Court, or final hearing herein.

It is the further order of the Court that a certified copy of this order be served upon said defendants immediately.

Said defendants will take due notice hereof and be governed accordingly.

This order issued this 7th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KEMMNER
JUDGE

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams
Assistant United States Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 7 1932
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until April 8, 1932.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 550 Equity ✓
) formerly 745 Law,
Board of County Commissioners) 945 Law and 961 Law.
of Osage County, Oklahoma,)
L. L. Houston, County Treasurer,)
and L. S. Souder, County Assessor)
of Osage County, Oklahoma,	Defendants.)

PETITION FOR APPEAL

To the honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge of the District Court aforesaid.

Now comes the Board of County Commissioners of Osage County, Oklahoma, L. L. Houston, County Treasurer and L. S. Souder, County Assessor, of Osage County, and respectfully shows that on the 5th day of January, 1932, the Court rendered a verdict against your petitioners and in favor of the United States and a final judgment was entered on the said 5th day of January, 1932, against your petitioner, defendants herein.

Your petitioners feeling themselves aggrieved by the said judgment entered herein as aforesaid, herewith petitions the court for an order allowing them to prosecute an appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals of the United States for Tenth Circuit, under the laws of the United States in such cases made and provided.

Therefore, premises considered, your petitioners pray that an appeal in this behalf to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, aforesaid, sitting at Denver, Colorado, in said Circuit for correction of the errors complained and herewith assigned, be allowed and that an order be made that said defendants be allowed to prosecute said appeal by giving bond, for the cost only for the reason that said judgment is against Osage County, Oklahoma, and they do not believe they should be required to supersede the judgment, owing to the fact that said county is a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and that all further proceedings be suspended until the determination of said appeal by the Circuit Court of Appeals.

LEANDER HALL
Solicitor for Petitioners

Appeal granted this 4th day of April, 1932.

The defendants are not required to give security for the reasons set forth in the above petition, except a bond for costs in the sum of \$300.00.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of said Court

RECORDED: Filed Apr 8 1932
H. F. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

SAMUEL PRINCE COLE, A CORP., Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 582 - Equity. ✓

TULSA ROLLING MILLS COMPANY, A CORPORATION, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, vs:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GREETING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between Howell E. Hays, Receiver for the Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a corporation, plaintiff, and Green Boots Construction Company, a corporation, et al., defendants, No. 582, Equity, the decree of the said district court in said cause entered on June 26, 1931, was in the following words, viz:

"It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the plaintiff, Howell E. Hays, Receiver of Tulsa Rollings Mills Company, a corporation, have and recover, judgment of and from the defendants, Green Boots Construction Company, a corporation, and Southern Surety Company of New York, a corporation, in the sum of \$14,842.50 with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from June 15, 1931, until paid, and all costs accrued and to accrue, for which execution may issue, to which judgment of the court, the defendants, Green Boots Construction Company, a corporation, and Southern Surety Company of New York, a corporation, excepted and said exceptions are allowed and thereupon said defendants gave notice in open court of their intention to appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and said defendants are allowed thirty days to make and file Bill of Exceptions for said appeal, and fifteen days to make and file supersedeas bond in the sum of Twenty Thousand (\$20,000.00) Dollars, pending the execution and filing of said supersedeas bond said execution is stayed."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Green-Boots Construction Company, a corporation, et al, agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the January Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this court that the decree of the said District Court in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed, and that Howell E. Hays, Receiver for Tulsa, Telling Mills Company, a corporation, appellee, have and recover of and from Green-Boots Construction Company, a corporation, and Southern Surety Company of New York, a corporation, appellants, his costs herein.

-- March 1, 1932.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS the Honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 6th day of April, in the year of our Lord One thousand nine hundred and thirty-two.

COSTS OF	Appellee:
Clerk,	-- --
Printing Record	-- --
Attorney	\$20.00
	<u>\$20.00</u>

ALBERT TRINGO,
Clerk of the United States Circuit
Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 8 1932
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until April 9, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, April 9th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. B. STRADFORD,	Complainant,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
TULSA INVESTMENT COMPANY,)	
a corporation, TULSA SECURITY)	
COMPANY, a copartnership, composed of)	No. 391 - Equity ✓
C. L. WAITE, J. W. HAMEL, and A. J. HAMEL:)	
and J. W. HAMEL, A. J. HAMEL, C. L. WAITE)	
and MARY M. MILLER, and FARM AND HOME)	
SAVINGS & LOAN ASSOCIATION, OF MISSOURI,)	
a corporation,	Defendants.)	

ORDER OF SEVERANCE ON APPEAL

On this 9th day of April, 1932, comes the complainant in the above entitled cause by his solicitors of record, and moves the court for an order of severance and order allowing his appeal from the final decree entered therein, May 22, 1931, as modified by Order of February 27, 1932, entered March 12, 1932, and it appearing that due notice has been given to all other parties to this cause who are or might be entitled to join in such appeal, and request made of them to join in such appeal, and that they have refused to join in such appeal, and the court further finds that said complainant is entitled to make such appeal in this cause, without the other parties joining therein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND CONSIDERED By the court that said J. B. Stradford has the right to make such appeal in said cause without being joined therein by any of the other parties in this cause, and he is hereby granted a severance and right to file such petition for appeal in this cause without joining any of the other parties hereto in such application for appeal.

DATED this 9th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Apr 9 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

HANNA LINDENSON,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 562 - Equity.

O.K. PECK, (DN. ET AL),

Defendants.

Now on this 9th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Mr. Stone be, and he is hereby, permitted to withdraw original exhibits to prepare narrative statement herein.

Court adjourned until April 11, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, April 11th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Pennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
T. Blake Kennedy, Special Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. W. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. FRANKLIN LAMCK,	Complainant,)	
)	
vs.)	IN EQUITY NO. 684 ✓
)	
SUNRAY OIL COMPANY AND SUNRAY OIL CORPORATION,	Defendants.)	

ORDER APPROVING CONTRACT BETWEEN NELLIE
OLIVO AND THE RECEIVER

Upon reading and filing the petition of C. L. Wright, Receiver herein, as to the proposed contract and agreement to modify contract and lease agreement of November 17, 1927, and now owned and held by Nellie Olivo as party of the first part, and it appearing that for the use of a portion of said lands described in said contract and lease agreement during the year 1932 for agricultural purposes, the said Nellie Olivo has agreed to relinquish all claims against the Sunray Oil Company and/or its predecessor in title and/or its receiver of whatever kind or character which may have or might accrue during the period covered by said contract and lease agreement, including all damages of whatever kind or character to said lands during the period covered by the said contract and lease agreement, and that the said Nellie Olivo has agreed further to reduce the rental thereon for the year 1932, which is the last year the said contract and lease agreement has left to run, according to the terms thereof, from the sum of \$850.00 as provided therein to the sum of \$650.00, and it appearing to the Court that it is to the best interest of the Receivership Estate for the Receiver to enter into said contract and agreement to modify contract and lease agreement;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Receiver herein be and he is hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver said contract and agreement to modify contract and lease agreement, according to the terms and conditions of a copy of the proposed contract and agreement to modify contract and lease agreement attached to the petition of the Receiver and designated therein as "Exhibit C".

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Receiver is hereby authorized and directed to pay to the said Nellie Olivo the sum of \$650.00, being the lease rental thereon for the year 1932.

Dated 9 day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 11 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until April 15, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, April 12th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kenbaker, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Special Judge.
J. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FAIRBANKS REFINING CO., Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 621 Equity. ✓
THE SAPULPA FUEL COMPANY, et al, Defendants.)

ORDER EXTENDING RECEIVERSHIP OVER SAPULPA GAS COMPANY AND APPOINTING A RECEIVER.

Upon consideration of the application of Harry O. Glasser, D. W. Eaton, H. O. Janicke, John Madden, Jr., and Hal M. Black, representatives of bondholders, and constituting the bondholders committee herein, for the appointment of a receiver for the properties and assets of the Sapulpa Gas Company, and it appearing to the court that all of the capital stock of the Sapulpa Gas Company is owned by the Sapulpa Fuel Company, and that a receiver has been appointed herein of the properties and assets of the Sapulpa Fuel Company and that by virtue of a stipulation and an order approving said stipulation on file herein, the receiver appointed for the Sapulpa Fuel Company is the manager and in control of the operation of the Sapulpa Gas Company,

And it further appearing that the receiver appointed herein, Eben L. Taylor, has been duly qualified as such receiver of the Sapulpa Fuel Company and has given bond, and has been actively in control of the assets and properties, and has managed the affairs of the Sapulpa Gas Company, and the court being fully advised in the premises, and due deliberation being had,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

First: That Eben L. Taylor is hereby appointed receiver of all and singular the property, premises, assets, rights, fixtures, contracts and of all the assets and property of every kind and description, situate in the Northern Judicial District of the State of Oklahoma, owned, controlled, operated and/or managed by the Sapulpa Gas Company, a corporation, together with all of the earnings, profits, revenue and income thereof.

Second: That said Eben L. Taylor, as receiver of the Sapulpa Gas Company, a corporation, is hereby directed to retain the possession of all and singular the property, business, assets, and effects of the said Sapulpa Gas Company wherever situated or found, and as such receiver, shall continue to manage said property, assets and business, and shall preserve said property, assets and effects, and shall fully protect the same, and employ such persons and make such payments and disbursement as may be needful and proper in so doing, and shall continue to collect and receive the earnings, profits, revenues and

income of the said Sapulpa Gas Company and shall hold and retain the net revenue in such manner as to the end that the same may be applied under such order as the court may hereafter make in this cause, and the said Eben L. Taylor shall have all the powers and authority which were granted to the said Eben L. Taylor under the order appointing him as Receiver in this said cause of the properties and assets of the Sapulpa Fuel Company.

Third: That the said Eben L. Taylor having already filed a bond as receiver appointed in said cause, no further bond shall be filed by him under this order, but the said Eben L. Taylor, shall, before entering upon his duties as such receiver, take the oath prescribed by law for the faithful performance of his duties as such receiver.

Fourth: That the said receiver file reports herein as required by the order appointing said Eben L. Taylor as receiver of the Sapulpa Fuel Company.

Fifth: Full right and power is hereby expressly reserved by the Court, on his own motion, to make such other and further orders herein as it may hereafter from time to time deem necessary or proper.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 12th day of April, 1932.

F. E. HERRMANN
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 12 1932
W. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JANE FASKELL RICHARDSON, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 621 Equity.)
THE SAPULPA FUEL COMPANY, et al, Defendants.)

ORDER LIMITING TIME FOR PRESENTATION OF CLAIMS.

This cause coming on to be heard upon the application and report of Eben L. Taylor, receiver of the Sapulpa Gas Company, on file herein; on consideration thereof, and after due deliberation, for good cause shown,

IT IS ORDERED that all persons, firms or corporations having claims or demands against the defendant, The Sapulpa Gas Company, a corporation, are required on or before the 14th day of May, 1932, under penalty of thereafter having said claims disallowed in the discretion of the court, to file the same with Eben L. Taylor, receiver herein, at 730 Beacon Life building, Tulsa, Oklahoma, which said claims or demands shall be supported by affidavit, and shall set out the amount and nature of any security or lien held by the claimant, or to which the claimant is entitled, and also any claim to preference in payment therefrom to any other creditors of the defendant, Sapulpa Gas Company.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the said receiver shall, as soon as

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, April 14th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Hennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
 Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Special Judge.
 J. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

North American Union Life Assurance Society, a corpora- tion, and Lee Wertheimer,	Complainants,	No. 530 Equity.
vs.		
The City of Drumright, et al.,	Respondents.	

O R D E R

Now on this the 14th day of April, 1932, the same being a regular judicial day of regular trial of the above entitled court, this matter comes on to be heard upon the application of Eugene Jordan, attorney for complainant and intervenors, charging contempt of court against Fred S. Adcock, treasurer of the City of Drumright, Creek County, Oklahoma. The complainant, Eugene Jordan, appearing in person and the complainants and intervenors in said cause appearing by their attorney Eugene Jordan, and the intervenor, Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma, appearing by members of the Board of County Commissioners and by their duly elected, qualified and acting county attorney, Lenny Bushyhead, and the respondent, Fred Adcock, appearing in person and also appearing by Sam Denver the duly qualified and acting city attorney of Drumright, Oklahoma.

Whereupon, in open court all parties announced ready for hearing and said cause proceeded to hearing and the court being fully advised in the premises finds that the intervenor, Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma, is the owner of matured and unpaid interest coupons detached from the bonds involved in this action which matured February 1, 1927, and six months intervals thereafter to August 1, 1930, inclusive, at which time bonds numbers 22 to 46 inclusive became due and payable, and that interest coupons number 16 from bonds 47 to 71 inclusive matured February 1, 1931; that the sinking fund of Drumright, Oklahoma, has a cash credit of approximately \$47,000, and that interest coupons from all other bond issues except other bonds involved in this case and ten bonds from a funding issue dated 1918, have been paid up to the approximate date of July 1, 1931, and that the moneys on hand in the sinking fund of Drumright, Oklahoma, should be allocated to the payment of the coupons in the order of their maturity and that the payment of the principal amount of \$12,750.00 of coupons owned by Rogers County, and above described are entitled to be immediately paid, which is ordered to be done.

The Court further finds that the Board of County Commissioners have heretofore entered into a written contract with Eugene Jordan to represent

then in the Federal court in this proceeding by the terms of which the said Eugene Jordan is entitled to a fee of \$10,000.00, to be paid out of the first coupons which are paid by Drumright, Oklahoma, and the court finds that by reason of such contract has a lien upon the first \$10,000 of coupons paid by City of Drumright to Rogers County.

It is therefore considered ordered, adjudged and decreed that Fred Adcock, as treasurer of the City of Drumright, Creek County, Oklahoma, forthwith pay to the treasurer of Rogers County, Oklahoma, upon presentation and surrender of the coupons above described, the sum of \$12,750.00 in full satisfaction thereof.

It is further considered ordered, adjudged and decreed that upon receipt of such sum of money the treasurer of Rogers County, Oklahoma, pay to its attorney, Eugene Jordan, out of said fund the sum of \$10,000 in full satisfaction of his lien claim for attorneys fees by reason of the contract entered into and services rendered thereunder, and that the balance thereof be deposited by said treasurer in the sinking fund of said county.

It is further ordered that upon compliance with the terms of this decree by Fred Adcock that the citation in contempt heretofore issued be and the same is hereby dissolved, and the said Fred Adcock is discharged from this proceeding.

F. E. KENNEDY
U. S. District Judge.

O.K. EUGENE JORDON
Attorney for complainant and intervenor.

DENNIS BUSHYHEAD
County Attorney

S. A. DENYER
City Attorney, Drumright, Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 14 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

West Petroleum Company, a corporation,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Chas. Price, Sheriff of Tulsa
County, Oklahoma, et al,

Defendants.)

No. 730 Equity ✓

CONSENT ORDER ALLOWING INTERVENTION

On this 14th day of April, 1932, comes now to be heard the petition of The Kansas Trust Company, a corporation, for an order granting it leave

to intervene and become a party plaintiff in the above entitled cause, and the plaintiff herein having consented to the granting of the following order,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that The Kansas Trust Company, a corporation, be and is hereby granted leave to intervene in said suit as a party plaintiff.

F. E. KERRICK
United States District Judge.

West Petroleum Company, a
corporation, plaintiff, consents
to the entry of the foregoing order

SEARCY & UNDERWOOD
Counsel for Plaintiff

Chas. Price, Sheriff of Tulsa County,
defendant, consents to the entry of
the foregoing order.

Counsel for Defendant

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 14 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

Court adjourned until April 18, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, April 15th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Special Judge.
E. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. B. STRADFORD,

Complainant,)

vs.)

TULSA INVESTMENT COMPANY, a corporation,
TULSA SECURITY COMPANY, a copartnership,
composed of C. L. Waite, J. W. Hamel, and A. J. Hamel;
and J. V. HAMMILL, A. J. HAMMILL, C. L. WAITE and MARY M. MILLER, and FARM AND HOME SAVINGS & LOAN ASSOCIATION OF MISSOURI, a corporation,

No. 391 - Equity. ✓

Defendants.)

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL

The petition of the complainant herein praying appeal from the final decree heretofore entered in this court, May 22, 1931, as modified by the order of said court of February 27, 1932, entered in said cause March 12, 1932, having with assignment of errors been duly filed, and presented;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that an appeal be allowed as prayed to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and that a transcript of such part of the record and proceedings herein as the parties may by praecipe duly designate, be transmitted duly authenticated, to said United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit in the manner provided by law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that bond for costs to be given by complainants on said appeal be and the same is hereby fixed at the sum of \$500.00, conditioned as provided by law, and that the time for preparation of transcript on appeal and for lodging said appeal be and the same is hereby extended for ninety (90) days from the date hereof.

Let citation be issued admonishing defendants to be and appear in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit on or before forty (40) days from this date.

DONE AND ORDERED this 15th day of April, 1932.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 15 1932
E. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

WELLS FARGO BANK CO. & CO. INC.,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 730 - Equity.

CHAS. PRICE, SHERIFF OF TULSA
COUNTY, ET AL,

Defendants.)

Now on this 15th day of April, A. D. 1938, there comes on for hearing motion of Defendant to dismiss. Thereafter, arguments of counsel are made. Thereupon, the Plaintiff and intervener herein ask leave to dismiss without prejudice. Thereafter, said motion is sustained by the Court and it is ordered by the Court that said case be dismissed without prejudice at the cost of the Plaintiff.

Court adjourned until April 16, 1938.

1952. Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, April 16th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Hennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Special Judge.
W. S. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

ERLE H. ...	COMPLAINANT)	
VS)	NO. 561 Equity. ✓
MONARCH ROYALTY CORPORATION)	
ET AL.	DEFENDANTS)	

O R D E R

On this the 15th day of April 1952, came on to be heard the case and application of G. L. Hatfield, heretofore acting as Special Transfer Agent under the appointment of this court in the above entitled cause in the office of the receiver.

That it appears from said motion that the said G. L. Hatfield has performed all services devolving upon him as such agent to the best of his ability and that he has heretofore left the employment of the receiver and is no longer connected with the receiver or in his office and that he is now entitled to be fully discharged.

It is therefore, considered and ordered by the court that said G. L. Hatfield be and he is hereby discharged as such Special Transfer Agent and released from any further duties or liability in regard thereto.

F. E. HENNAMER
District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 16 1952
W. S. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

ERLE H. ...	Complainant,)	
-vs-)	No. 570 - Equity. ✓
ROYALTY CORPORATION, et al.,	Respondents.)	

O R D E R

Now on this the 16th day of April, 1952, the court came on to

be heard upon the verified petition of C. C. Walker, receiver herein, asking remission and authority to pay certain accounts owing by him as such receiver. And, the Court having heard said petition and being advised in the premises, and upon due consideration thereof, finds that said receiver is indebted in the following amounts to the following persons, to-wit:

Tulsa Auto Hotel.....	346.40
Tulsa Municipal Airport.....	80.51
Tulsa Auto Hotel Repair.....	44.00
Publishing notice of sale Tulsa Daily Legal News....	10.25
Advertising sale, Tulsa Tribune.....	4.59
Advertising sale, Tulsa World.....	4.23
Osare Abstract Co., Pawhuska, Okla.....	5.00
Notary fees to date, due Boss Francisco.....	5.00

and that said accounts are proper items, and should be paid.

IT IS ORDERED, By the Court, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that C. C. Walker, Receiver herein, be, and he is hereby empowered, directed and instructed to pay all of the above-described bills, and to draw his check to cover same and deliver checks covering same and take receipts from all of said creditors for same.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

C. E. COLEBROOK
U. S. Atty.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 6 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

F. C. Getlett,	Complainant,)
)
vs)
)
Geo. E. Hope Lumber Company,	Defendant.)
a corporation,)

788 ✓

O R D E R

Now on the the 16th day of April, 1932 complainant having filed herein his bill of complaint against the defendant praying for an injunction and for the appointment of a receiver,

It is ordered that the application for restraining order be set for same day and set for hearing in the United States District Court Room at Tulsa, Oklahoma on Tuesday April 19th, 1932 at the hour of 9.50 o'clock A. M.,

It is further ordered that the application for receiver be set for hearing on April 22 1932 at the hour of 9.00 o'clock A. M. at the place above specified.

It is further ordered that notice of the business above set, be given to said defendant corporation by service of a copy hereof on said corporation on or before April 18th, 1938.

F. D. KENNEDY,
U. S. District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 13 1938
F. P. Verfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, 1932, April 11,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
W. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

F. A. CRATER, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 510 Equity. ✓
UNION TRANSPORTATION CO.,)
a corporation, Defendant.)

ORDER AUTHORIZING RECEIVERS TO
EXECUTE CONTRACT WITH THE
GOODRICH RUBBER COMPANY.

On this 18th day of April, 1932, upon the verified application of F. A. Crater and W. A. Rodovitz, Receivers of the Union Transportation Company, and it being made to appear that it is necessary to the operation of the Union Transportation Company that the said Receivers contract for the furnishing of casings or tires for a term and period of one year, and it further appearing that the contract described and referred to in said verified application is for the best interest of the said receivership operations, and for an good cause;

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COURT ORDERED, that F. A. Crater and W. A. Rodovitz, Receivers of the Union Transportation Company, be, and they are hereby authorized and empowered to execute a contract with the G. W. Goodrich Rubber Company for tires or casings, for a term and period of one year beginning on the 15th day of May, 1932, and ending on the 15th day of May, 1933, at the cost of .0084695 per bus mile, plus a deduction as follows: Should the tire equipment furnished by Supplier hereunder accumulate an average in excess of 20,000 miles, Supplier shall make a deduction of .0042305 per bus mile, for all bus miles in excess of the average of 20,000 miles, and that said contract be upon the terms and conditions as set forth in a copy of said proposed contract, attached to said application.

F. E. KENAMER
U. S. District Judge.

WITNESSETH: Filed Apr 18 1932
W. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until February 11, 1933.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, April 19th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. L. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
W. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. C. CATHELT,	Complainant,) No. 738 Equity.
vs.		
Geo. D. Hope Lumber Company, a corporation,	Defendant.	

O R D E R

Now, on this 19th day of April, 1932, this cause came on to be heard upon the application of the complainant for a temporary injunction.

Complainant appeared in person and by his attorney, Charles A. Cookley, and the defendant appeared by its attorneys J. B. Tucker by J. B. Ahy and Wm. H. Martin.

Defendant agreed in open court that no attempt would be made to dismantle or remove any property from the lumber yard of the defendant in Tulsa, Oklahoma, until after the hearing on the application for receiver herein, and upon such agreement the complainant agreed that the application for injunction might be passed pending the hearing on the application for a receiver.

Defendant asked that the hearing on the application for appointment of receiver be continued for a few days from April 22, 1932, the date on which the same has been set, and that said defendant might have permission to file a motion to make the bill herein more definite and certain.

The court thereupon reset the hearing upon the application for receiver for Friday April 29, 1932, at the hour of 9:30 o'clock A.M. and granted defendant permission to file the motion to make the bill more definite and certain.

Thereafter, on the same day, complainant applied to the court for permission to file an amended bill of complaint, and said permission was granted.

W. P. Warfield
U. S. District Court.

C. J. ...
...
Filed Apr 20 1932
W. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until ...

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, April 21st, 1938.

Present: Hon. F. Blake Norvell, Judge.
Hon. F. E. Keenan, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
W. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Therefore, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

TERRELL OILWELL IMPROVEMENTS COMPANY, Plaintiff,
vs. OILWELL IMPROVEMENTS COMPANY, Defendants.
No. 614 Equity.

ORDER DIRECTING RECEIVER TO LIQUIDATE COMPANY.

On this the 15th day of April, 1938, came on for hearing the Motion heretofore filed in this cause by the Cincinnati Rubber Company for an Order requiring the Receiver herein to liquidate the affairs of the Defendant Company. The Court having heard said Motion and being fully advised;

IT IS ORDERED by the Court that said Motion of the Cincinnati Rubber Company insofar as the same prays for an Order of liquidation, be and the same is hereby sustained.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the Receiver herein continue the collection of accounts receivable of the Defendant Company, holding the money until the further Order of this Court, and that if necessary to bring suit upon any accounts that he apply to this court for instructions.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the physical assets of the Defendant Company in the possession of the Receiver, except such as are non-salable by reason of the Decree of this Court in the case of Oil Well Improvements Company vs. Skinner Brothers Belting Company, No. 578, Equity, be offered for sale by said Receiver at public auction to the highest and best bidder for cash; and that the Receiver give notice of the time and place of said sale for at least ten days prior thereto, by mailing to each of the creditors of the Defendant Company a copy of said notice, and by publication in one issue of a newspaper published in Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said sale to be made by the receiver be made subject to confirmation by this Court, and that immediately after said sale the Receiver file herein a report of said sale.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that in the event the Receiver is unable to obtain any bids for the said assets of the Defendant Company at such public sale as herein provided, or in the event bids are received but said sale be not confirmed by the Court on account of insufficiency of the purchase price offered, then that the Receiver herein undertake to dispose of said assets at private sale, reporting to this Court any private bids received and obtaining an Order of this Court authorizing such sale subject to confirmation.

thereof; except that as to such items as are sold in the ordinary course of business, said receiver is authorized to continue such sales pending the liquidation herein provided for.

W. E. KENNEDY
Judge.

O.H. WILCOX COFFIN
Attorney for Cincinnati Rubber Company

O.H. JOH. T. DEMMEYER
Attorney for Receiver.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 21 1932
U. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JER

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF THE
NORTH DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

E. W. Morgan and E. R. Morgan
for the use and benefit of The
Chicago Bank of Commerce, of
Chicago, Illinois.

Plaintiffs, In Remity ✓
No. 686

vs.

E. P. Hindes, W. G. Hule, Empire
Oil and Refining Company, et al,

Defendants.

ORDER SUSTAINING MOTION TO DISMISS OF W. G. HULE.

On this 10th day of September, 1931, upon motion to dismiss of
W. G. Hule:

ORDERED: That said motion is sustained and the Plaintiff's bill
herein is dismissed as against the Defendant W. G. Hule with leave to Plaintiff
to file an amended bill within twenty days, and take additional parties.

W. E. KENNEDY
JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT - 173

O.H. WILCOX COFFIN
Attorney for Plaintiff

O.H. JOHN T. DEMMEYER
Attorney for Defendant
W. G. Hule

RECORDED: Filed Apr 21 1932
U. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF CHICAGO

W. A. Morgan and The Chicago Bank of Commerce, of Chicago, Illinois, Plaintiffs,)
 vs.) In Equity)
 E. F. Hindes, et al, Defendants.)
 No. 64

ORDER ADMITTING W. G. RULE TO PLEAD TO THIS BILL

On this 21st day of April, 1932, upon application of W. G. Rule, the Plaintiff's consenting:

ORDERED: That W. G. Rule may plead to the amended bill of complaint herein within three days from this date.

F. A. MERRILL
United States District Judge

O.M. POWELL CLAYTON
Attorney for Plaintiffs
 O.M. SPURGEON
Attorney for Defendant
 W. G. Rule

RECORDED: Filed Apr 21 1932
H. F. Farfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF CHICAGO

H. R. McNEIL, Complainant,)
 vs.) No. 733 Equity)
 Operators Royalty and Producing Company, Respondent.)

ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION TO INTERVENE.

This cause coming on to be heard on this 21 day of April, 1932, on the application of Bob Bradshaw, Trustee, intervener in this suit, to be made party defendant, and the petition having been duly considered, and it appearing to the Court that said petitioner is trustee for creditors and stockholders of the respondent company, and as such is interested in the outcome of this action.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADVERSE INTERESTS at Bob Bradshaw, Trustee for International Supply Company, Mercier & Ford, Distributor Oil Well Cement Co., Gruver Drilling Co., Independent-Eastern Drains Co., Interliter

Tulsa Reel & Manufacturing Co., have leave to intervene in said suit in the same manner and with like effect as if named in the original bill as a party defendant, and are granted leave to file his intervention herewith.

This order to be without prejudice to any proceedings heretofore had in this cause.

S. E. HUNTER
Judge

FILED: Filed Apr 21 1938
W. F. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

T. R. McGILL, Complainant,
vs. No. 735 Equity.
Operators Royalty and
Producer Company, Respondent.

ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION TO INTERVENE

This cause coming on to be heard on the application of Tulsa Reel & Manufacturing Company, a corporation, C. W. Flint, L. W. Winkler, E. R. McQueen, H. A. May, Albert E. May, G. W. Balaney, Jr., A. W. Hood and C. William Balaney, intervenors in this suit, to be made parties defendant, and the petition having been duly considered, and it appearing to the court that the said petitioners are stockholders and creditors of the respondent company, and are interested in the outcome of this action;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Tulsa Reel & Manufacturing Company, a corporation, C. W. Flint, L. W. Winkler, E. R. McQueen, H. A. May, Albert E. May, G. W. Balaney, Jr., A. W. Hood and C. William Balaney have leave to intervene in said suit, in the same manner and with like effect as if named in the original bill as parties defendant, and are granted leave to file their intervention herewith.

This order to be without prejudice to any proceedings heretofore had in this cause.

S. E. HUNTER
Judge

FILED: Filed Apr 21 1938
W. F. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned pursuant to adjournment, April 14, 1948.

Present: Hon. W. D. C. Hatcher, Judge, U. S. District Court.
W. H. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were read and entered,
to-wit:

JAMES HENRY HIGGINS, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 601 - Equity. ✓
GREEN INVESTMENT CO. A CORP., Defendant.)

Now on this 14th day of April, A. D. 1948, it is ordered by the Court that action of H. H. Hatcher, Fred E. Adams and A. W. Hatcher, for disburse out of funds he, and the same in equity, manner. It is that approved by the Court that Trustee be and he is hereby directed to file claim with the Receiver herein.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

F. J. Rootk, et al., Complainants)
vs.) No. 234 - Equity. ✓
Greer Investment Company, et al., Defendants.)

O R D E R

The above matter coming on to be heard on the 14th day of April, A. D. 1948, upon the application of Paul A. Talbot, receiver of Petroleum Royalties Company, a trust estate, for an order of court allowing the said receiver or his auditor J. J. O'Brien, to examine certain ledger accounts of the First National Bank, of the defendant's Petroleum Royalties Company, a trust estate, Greer Investment Company, a corporation, and Greer Company, a corporation, F. F. Greer, F. F. Greer special, J. J. Greer and F. F. Greer, individually, and the court being fully advised in the premises as to the opinion that said order should be granted;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, DECREED, and that said Paul A. Talbot, receiver or his auditor, J. J. O'Brien, be and they are hereby authorized and permitted to examine the ledger accounts of the said First National Bank, Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, of the above named defendant's.

W. H. Warfield,
United States District Court.

Filed Apr 15 1948
W. H. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN RE: ESTATE OF PAUL H. PALISFERRO, RECEIVER OF PETROLEUM ROYALTIES COMPANY, A TRUST ESTATE.
IN TRUST OF OIL PROPERTIES

F. J. O'BRIEN, et al., Complainants,
vs. No. 333 Equity. ✓
Greer Investment Company, et al., Defendants.

O R D E R

This matter coming on to be heard on this the 22nd day of April, A. D. 1932, upon the application of Paul H. Palisferro, receiver of Petroleum Royalties Company, a trust estate, for an order of court allowing said receiver, or his auditor F. J. O'Brien, to examine certain ledger accounts of the Exchange National Bank of the defendants Petroleum Royalties Company, a trust estate, Greer Investment Company, a corporation, Oil Properties Company, a corporation, J. L. Greer, W. L. Greer and A. A. Kuffer, individually, and the court being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion that said order should be granted:

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Paul H. Palisferro or his auditor F. J. O'Brien, be and they are hereby authorized and permitted to examine the ledger accounts of the said Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, of the above named defendants forthwith.

F. K. BROWNLEE
United States District Judge.

FILED: Filed Apr 28 1932
H. B. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court 103

IN RE: ESTATE OF PAUL H. PALISFERRO, RECEIVER OF PETROLEUM ROYALTIES COMPANY, A TRUST ESTATE.
IN TRUST OF OIL PROPERTIES

F. J. Leeth, et al., Complainants,
vs. No. 333 Equity. ✓
Greer Investment Company, et al., Defendants.

O R D E R

This matter coming on to be heard on this the 22nd day of April, A. D. 1932, upon the application of Paul H. Palisferro, as receiver and secretary and treasurer of the Petroleum Royalties Company, a trust, for an additional allowance as auditor's and accountants' fees, to be paid to F. J. O'Brien for his services as such auditor and accountant, and the court being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that said application should be granted.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Paul H. Palisferro, receiver and secretary and treasurer of Petroleum Royalties Company, a trust, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to pay

to J. J. O'Brien the sum of \$750.00 as an additional temporary allowance for services rendered and to be rendered by said J. J. O'Brien as said auditor and accountant, to be paid out of the funds on hand of said Petroleum Refining Company, a trust, and all such payments made and to be made to apply upon any final allowance that may be hereafter made to J. J. O'Brien as auditor and accountant.

F. J. McILWINE
United States District Judge

RECORDED: filed Apr 22 1932
F. J. McIlwain, Clerk
U. S. District Court J R

ST. LOUIS TRUST STREET CO. A CORP.,
Trustee,
Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 317 - Equity. ✓

F. A. GIBNET and MARY ANNIE GIBNET,
Defendants.

Now on this 22nd day of April, A. D. 1932, there comes on for hearing foreclosure in the above styled case. Whereupon, the following witnesses are sworn and examined: Clauuncey H. Clark and Harry L. Weidenreich. Thereafter, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that decree of foreclosure be entered, as per journal entry to be filed.

H. R. McGILL,
Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 733 - Equity. ✓

EXECUTOR OF WILL OF J. H. McINTOSH,
Defendant.

Now on this 22nd day of April, A. D. 1932, hearing is had on application for receiver in the above styled case. Whereupon, statements of counsel are made. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said application be, and it is hereby, denied and said case dismissed. Exceptions allowed.

Plaintiff,
vs.

Defendant.

Case No. 712

The first witness to be called was
James J. [Name], [Address], as
witness, and [Name], as
co-creator of the article of [Name]
[Name], deceased, -

Defendant.

ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS

Now, on this 9th day of April, 1938, the above cause comes regularly on for hearing on the motion of defendant to dismiss.

The plaintiff appears by her attorneys, [Name], de [Name], Martin
Loran, by Edgar de [Name], and the defendant answers by their attorneys, [Name]
[Name], by [Name]. [Name], and thereupon said motion is presented and
argued, and the court, after hearing the argument and being fully advised in the
premises, finds that said motion should be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that said motion to dismiss be and the
same is hereby overruled, so which order shall be final and there objected
and excepted.

J. H. [Name]
Judge

G. J. [Name]
Attorney for Plaintiff

G. J. [Name]
Attorney for Defendant

WITNESSETH: Filed [Date] 1938.
[Name], Clerk
U. S. District Court [City]

J. J. [Name] and J. J. [Name], as
decedents of [Name] and [Name].
Plaintiffs,

vs.

[Name],
Defendants.

No. 712 - Equity.

Now on this 9th day of April, 1938, the above cause comes on for
hearing, order to be made. Whereupon, the plaintiff's witnesses are sworn and
produced for the plaintiff: Joseph [Name]. And thereupon, it is ordered by the
court that [Name] be permitted to read and exhibit a [Name] which contains
certain facts of [Name]. Hereafter, the [Name] witness testify on the
part of [Name]. [Name]. Whereupon, the court is advised of evidence
and [Name] [Name] [Name]: Oscar [Name], [Name] [Name], [Name] [Name],

No. 116-10000 - 10000.

Edie Torrey, Edie Nelson, Tom Collins. Thereafter, after considering the facts and the evidence herein, it is ordered by the Court that Orchard Lewis, Leon Pratt and Edie Nelson be, and they are hereby, found guilty of contempt. And it is ordered by the Court that said order as to defendant Tom Collins be, and it is hereby, dismissed. It is further ordered that motion of Defendants to discharge Order to Show Cause be, and it is hereby, overruled. It is the further order of the Court that case be passed to April 30, 1948 for sentence. (F. H. Penninger, Judge).

Court adjourned until April 11, 1948.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, April 21st, 1942.

Present: Hon. J. M. LeMaster, Judge, U. S. District Court.
Hon. F. Blake Kennedy, Special Master.
W. W. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were read and entered,
to-wit:

IN SENATE CONFIRMED
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
JAMES A. HARRIS, Receiver

Wyle W. Dwy,	Complainant,)
)
vs.)
)
Monarch Loyalty Corporation,)
et al.,	Defendants.)
)
James A. Harris,	Receiver.)

O R D E R

On this 23rd day of April, 1942, James A. Harris, Receiver of Monarch Loyalty Corporation, and Monarch Loyalty Corporation appearing in court by their respective counsel,

It is by the Court ORDERED that the Receiver file herein by May 2nd, 1942, his supplemental final report showing in detail all

- (a) receipts and expenditures since the date of his final report heretofore filed;
- (b) unpaid administrative expenses in connection with the receivership;
- (c) allowed claims;
- (d) disallowed claims;
- (e) claims pending on appeal; and
- (f) pending litigation to which the Receiver is a party.

J. M. LEMASTER
Judge

C. J. JOHNSON
Atty for Receiver

FILED: Filed Apr 25 1942
W. W. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court J.R.

DAVIDSON TRUST,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 101 - Equity.

DAVIDSON TRUST,

Defendant.

Now on this 25th day of April, A. D. 1952, it is ordered by the Court that Defendant's objections to Plaintiff's Interim No. 74 be, and the same is hereby, taken under advisement. Defendant filed brief herein. Plaintiff given five (5) days to file answer brief. (W. L. Sawyer, Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OREGON.

ST. LOUIS TRUST COMPANY, a corporation, TRUSTEE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 114 In Equity.

T. B. CHEST and MARY BESSIE CHEST,

Defendants.

VERDICT OF FOLLOWS

This cause came on regularly for trial on the 22nd day of April, 1952, pursuant to assignment theretofore agreed upon by HENRY B. HALL, solicitors of record for the plaintiff, and by HENRY B. HALL, solicitors of record for both defendants, and plaintiff appearing by its counsel and by its said solicitors, the defendants not appearing either in person or by their solicitors, the latter having previously stated in open court that defendants had no defense to plaintiff's bill of complaint, and the court having heard and considered the evidence introduced by the plaintiff in support of its bill, and upon consideration thereof, made its separate findings of fact and conclusions of law;

1. THAT PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE TRUST COMPANY, TRUSTEE and RECEIVED that the defendants, T. B. CHEST and MARY BESSIE CHEST, are, and each of them is, indebted to the plaintiff, ST. LOUIS TRUST COMPANY, a corporation, TRUSTEE, in the sum of \$270,492.88, with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent (8%) per annum from April 23, 1952, and in the further sum of \$2500.00 attorneys' fee, with interest thereon at the same rate from said date, and in the sum of \$100.00 trustee's fee, with interest thereon at the same rate from said date, together with the costs of this action, and net of all any expenditures which have subsequently been made by the trustee for the protection and preservation of the mortgaged property, and that the said defendants and each of them be, and they are hereby ordered to pay all of said sums and any accrued and/or accruing amounts, with interest as aforesaid, to said plaintiff within six months from April 23, 1952.

2. THAT WILLIAM JOHN HALL, HENRY B. HALL and others, Plaintiff's first attorneys, and all first executed by the Governor of the State of Oregon, 1950, as filed for record July 28, 1950, in the County Clerk's Office of Public County, State of Oregon, and duly recorded in the County Clerk's Office of the records in the office of said County Clerk, in and to the said first and prior lien upon the property and the fees/assessments, and in the bill of complaint on which the property and fees/assessments described,

to pay the same and pay out to any and all other persons the encumbrances of whatsoever kind and character, to-wit:

1. THAT THE FIRST MORTGAGE DEED DATED OCTOBER 1, 1924, AND MADE BY THE FIRST MORTGAGE TRUST COMPANY OF OKLAHOMA, INCORPORATED, AND SECURED BY THE FORECLOSING FIRST MORTGAGE DEED OF TRUST, EVER AFTER SAID EXECUTION, DELIVERY AND AUTHENTICATION, HAVE BEEN AND STILL ARE VALID AND EXISTING AND BINDING OBLIGATIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS, AND EACH OF THEM.

2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT THE ABOVE MENTIONED FOUND DEBTS, TRUST OR WITH ANY AND ALL OTHER AMOUNTS WHICH MAY BE OWED BY THE PLAINTIFF SUBSEQUENT TO APRIL 22, 1928, FOR THE PROTECTION AND PRESERVATION OF THE ORDERED PROPERTY HERE, AND THEY ARE HEREBY DECLARED TO CONSTITUTE VALID FIRST LIENS UPON THE LAND, PREMISES AND PROPERTY HEREINAFTER DESCRIBED, AND TO BE SECURED BY SAID WORKS THEREON OF PLAT TIFT TRUST COMPANY AND BY REASON THEREOF.

3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT IF SAID DEFENDANTS, T. E. GOSSET and MARY BELLE GOSSET, FAIL WITH SAID SIX MONTHS PERIOD TO PAY TO THE PLAINTIFF TRUST COMPANY THE TOTAL INDEBTEDNESS HEREIN DECREED TO BE DUE BY THEM TO SAID DEFENDANTS, WITH INTEREST AS AFORESAID, AND ALL OF THE COSTS OF THIS ACTION, INCLUDING THE ATTORNEY'S FEE AND TRUSTEE'S FEE, AND ANY ADDITIONAL AND SUBSEQUENTLY ACCRUED AMOUNTS HEREINAFTER FOUND DUE, A SPECIAL MASTER TO BE HEREAFTER APPOINTED BY THE COURT SHALL SELL IN ONE PARCEL AT PUBLIC SALE TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER FOR CASH, WITHOUT RESERVE, THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED REAL ESTATE, PROPERTY AND PREMISES LOCATED AND SITUATE IN TULSA COUNTY AND STATE OF OKLAHOMA, TO-WIT:

All of the North Half (1/2) of Lot Two (2), in Block One Hundred Ninety (190), of the original Town (now City) of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat (the original United States Government plat and survey) thereof, being a tract or parcel of land fronting fifty feet (50') on the eastern line of Boston Avenue by a depth westwardly between parallel lines of one hundred forty feet (140') to an alley twenty feet (20') wide;

To-wit: All the buildings known as the Gosset Building constructed and located on said parcel of land, and together with all other buildings and all improvements and appurtenances standing or constructed or placed on said parcel of land, or any part thereof, including, but without limiting the generality of the foregoing, all window screens, window shades, awnings, boilers, engines, dynamos, motors, furnaces, vacuum cleaning systems, fire prevention and extinguishing apparatus, refrigerating, heating, plumbing, ventilating, gas and electric light fixtures and elevators and other fixtures and fixtures of every kind in and about or upon said premises, together with all right, title and interest of the defendants, T. E. Gosset and Mary Belle Gosset, and/or either of them, now or at any time, in and to the streets, alleys and other public places contiguous to the above described premises, and together with all the appurtenances, hereditaments, rents, issues and profits hereunto, and all the right, title and interest of said T. E. Gosset and Mary Belle Gosset, and/or either of them, in and to all the above described premises on or to, and appurtenances.

6. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that said sale be held at the west front door of the County Court House of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, in the City of Tulsa, said County and State, upon a day to be fixed by such special master, and that public notice of such sale and of the time and place where the same is to be made, together with the manner and terms upon which the said sale is to be conducted and the description of the property to be sold, shall be given by said special master by publication thereof once a week, for at least four weeks prior to said sale, in a newspaper printed, regularly issued and having a general circulation in the County of Tulsa and State of Oklahoma.

7. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that at such foreclosure sale any holder of said bonds or coupons, or the said trustee, or if said trustee shall hold any of said bonds or coupons or lot, may purchase the property sold at such sale, and in the event of such purchase, any such holder, including said trustee, if it shall hold any bonds or coupons, shall be allowed a credit as so much cash paid for so much of the purchase money as shall be a proper share or dividend to which the bonds and coupons held by such purchaser shall be entitled from the purchase price.

8. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that in the event any such holder of bonds or coupons, or the trustee, if it shall hold any bonds or coupons, should be the successful bidder at such foreclosure sale, such bond or coupon holder or trustee shall forthwith deposit with such special master conducting such sale bonds secured by said mortgage of par value of not less than \$20,000.00, and if such purchaser be some person other than a bond or coupon holder or said trustee, such purchaser shall forthwith deposit with said master the sum of \$10,000.00 in cash, as evidence of the good faith of such purchaser, whether bond or coupon holder, trustee or of any person, to pay the balance due on the purchase price, in the event of confirmation and approval of such sale by the court, immediately upon delivery of deed of conveyance to such purchaser, the bonds or cash so deposited to be retained by such master to liquidated damages, in the event of the failure or neglect of such purchaser to complete the purchase of said property by paying the balance due on the purchase price thereof, after such confirmation and approval by the court, and tender of conveyance.

9. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that after said such sale, said master shall forthwith make his report and return thereof to this court for its confirmation and approval.

10. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that upon the confirmation of such sale and the payment of the purchase price, said special master shall forthwith execute and deliver to the purchaser at such sale, a good and sufficient deed of conveyance and all other instruments of conveyance necessary to convey the property sold.

11. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the expenses of such sale shall be applied, unless otherwise ordered by the court, as follows:

1. To the payment of the costs of such sale and of the suit and the expense of executing the trust created by said mortgage deed of trust, including the compensation of the trustee, in the sum of \$100.00, and the fee of the attorneys for the trustee, in the sum of \$250.00.

2. To the payment of all sums advanced to the trustee advanced by said trustee as hereinbefore specified.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, April 23rd, 1928.

Present: Hon. W. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
Hon. J. Garfield, Judge, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN SENATE CHAMBER COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOR THE PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT OF PENN.

J. A. RATER, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
The City of Philadelphia, Defendant.)
No. 110 Equity.

ORDER APPROVING EXECUTION OF LEASE.

On this 23rd day of April, 1928, upon the application of J. A. Rater and F. A. Sedwitz, receivers of the Union Transportation Company, a corporation, for a writ to execute a lease for the operation of Berry Bus Lines Company, Inc., including the lease and rental of ten motor buses, twelve fare boxes, counters, benches, transfers and metal tokens, as well as the right to operate motor buses under and by virtue of a franchise granted by the City of Philadelphia, by virtue of Ordinance No. 3430, approved October 23, 1928, and it appearing that the said lease and contract will be for the best interest of said receivers in operation, and for other good cause.

It is ORDERED that J. A. Rater and F. A. Sedwitz, receivers of the Union Transportation Company, be and they are hereby authorized and empowered to execute a lease contract for the operation of the operation of Berry Bus Lines Company, Inc., to include the rental of ten motor buses, twelve fare boxes, counters, benches, transfers and metal tokens, in accordance with the terms and conditions of said lease contract as herein set forth, to the satisfaction of said receivers.

It is further ORDERED that said lease contract be executed upon the condition that upon the conclusion of said lease contract term that the property and assets located in the said premises of the Union Transportation Company, located at the property of the Union Transportation Company, and that the said J. A. Rater and F. A. Sedwitz, as receivers, in said lease contract, shall be bound to return all said property and assets to the City of Philadelphia, to-wit: the City of Philadelphia.

Witness my hand and the seal of said Court this 23rd day of April, 1928.

Attest: My hand and seal of said Court this 23rd day of April, 1928.

1. The following information was received from the applicant, [Name], on [Date]:

2. The applicant stated that he is currently employed as [Job Title] at [Company Name], [Address], [City], [State], [Zip].

3. The applicant provided the following information regarding his financial situation:

Income: [Amount]

Expenses: [Amount]

Assets: [List]

Liabilities: [List]

4. The applicant also provided the following information regarding his credit history:

Current Credit Score: [Score]

Recent Credit Inquiries: [List]

Outstanding Debt: [List]

APPENDIX A

5. The applicant provided the following information regarding his employment history:

Company Name: [Name]

Job Title: [Title]

Start Date: [Date]

End Date: [Date]

Reason for Leaving: [Reason]

APPENDIX B

6. The applicant provided the following information regarding his credit history:

Current Credit Score: [Score]

Recent Credit Inquiries: [List]

Outstanding Debt: [List]

Payment History: [List]

7. The applicant provided the following information regarding his financial situation:

Income: [Amount]

Expenses: [Amount]

Assets: [List]

Liabilities: [List]

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, April 28th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Special Judge.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

HINDERLITER TOOL COMPANY,
a corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LARKIN PACKER COMPANY,
a corporation,

Defendant.

In Equity No. 561. ✓

O R D E R

Now on this 28 day of April, 1932, the above matter coming on to be heard upon the Resignation and Report of the Special Master, Wallace Robertson, heretofore appointed by the Court herein, the Court finds that such resignation should be accepted and the report approved.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED that the resignation of Wallace Robertson as Special Master in this cause be, and the same hereby is, accepted and the said Wallace Robertson be, and he hereby is, discharged from the further performance of his duties as such Special Master and his report of the proceedings had before him is approved, and his compensation is fixed in the sum of \$50.00, to be advanced by the plaintiff herein pending the taxing of costs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Herbert French of Tulsa, Oklahoma, be and he hereby is appointed Special Master in this cause to succeed the said Wallace Robertson, resigned.

F. E. KENNAMER

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 28 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 724 - Equity. ✓

ETTA CARR,

Defendant.)

Now on this 28th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that Defendant be given until May 1st, to file answer herein.

Court adjourned until April 29, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, April 29th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Special Judge.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

E. L. Hardister, et al.,	Plaintiffs,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 547 - Equity. ✓
)	
Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Now on this 5th day of April 1932, comes on to be heard in its regular order the motion of the plaintiffs for a new trial or rehearing. Plaintiffs appearing by their attorney, Chas. W. Pennel and defendant appearing by its attorney, I. L. Lockowitz, and after hearing the same and being duly advised in the premises, the Court finds that the Original Order and Judgment herein should be modified, so that the order and judgment might be granted without prejudice to the plaintiffs in a suit for damages against the defendant, and that after said judgment is so modified that the Motion should be denied and overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE, BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, That the Original Judgment herein denying the injunction be and the same is hereby modified to read, "without prejudice to a suit for damages by the plaintiffs against the defendant;" that with said modification to said judgment, said Motion be and the same is hereby denied and overruled, to all of which the plaintiff excepted.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Hanna Anderson,	Complainant,)
)
vs.)
)
)
)
Jack Roberts, O. H.)
Peck, et al.,	Defendants.)
)
)
United States of America,	Intervener.)

No. 562 Equity. ✓

ORDER EXTENDING TIME WITHIN WHICH TO
DOCKET CASE UPON APPEAL AND TO FILE RECORD
UPON APPEAL WITH THE CLERK OF THE UNITED
STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
TENTH CIRCUIT.

Now on this 22nd day of April, 1932, for good and sufficient cause appearing to this Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED That the time be extended to June 15, 1932, within which the above named defendant, Jack Roberts, shall be permitted to docket his case herein upon appeal, and to file the record of appeal herein with the Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge United States Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Erle K. Eby,	Complainant,)
)
vs.)
)
)
)
Monarch Royalty Corporation,)
et al,	Defendants.)

No. 581 Equity. ✓

O R D E R

Now on this, the 30th day of January, 1932, came on to be heard the application of O. G. Rollins, the said O. G. Rollins being present in person, the receiver and his counsel being present in person and the majority of the Board of Directors for the Monarch Royalty Corporation and their attorney, Villard Martin, being present in person, for an allowance and order of the court directing the same to be paid by the receiver for service rendered as Special Master, appointed by this court, to hear and make findings of fact and conclusions of law upon all contested claims filed with the receiver.

After consideration of said application and the facts, the court

finds that the total sum of \$3,600.00 would be a reasonable and fair compensation for the service rendered by the said O. G. Rollins as Special Master.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the court that he be and is hereby allowed the said total sum of \$3,600.00 for all service rendered as such Special Master against said receiver and the estate, and the receiver James A. Harris is hereby directed to pay the same less all payments heretofore advanced by him, out of any funds or money available for said purpose at and as soon as any funds or moneys are available for said purpose.

F. E. KENNAMER
DISTRICT JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ERLE K. EBY,	Complainant,)
)
vs.)
)
MONARCH ROYALTY CORPORATION, et al,	Defendants.) No. 581 Equity.
JAMES A. HARRIS,	Receiver,)
)
GEORGE H. CURRIER,	Claimant.)
	Claim No. 14.))

ORDER ALLOWING AN APPEAL TO GEORGE H. CURRIER,
CLAIMANT HEREIN IN THE ABOVE ENTITLED CAUSE,
AS SHOWN BY HIS CLAIM HERETOFORE FILED HEREIN
WITH THE RECEIVER OF MONARCH ROYALTY CORPORATION,
JAMES A. HARRIS, SAME BEING STYLED "CLAIM OF GEORGE
H. CURRIER AGAINST DEFENDANT, MONARCH ROYALTY
CORPORATION", BEING NUMBERED AS CLAIM NO. 14, AND
FIXING THE AMOUNT OF THE COST BOND.

On this 29 day of April, 1932, at Tulsa in the Northern District of Oklahoma, came on to be heard the petition of the above named claimant, George H. Currier, for appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit from the final decree, judgment and order rendered and entered herein on the 30th day of January, 1932, overruling the exceptions of said claimant to the report of O. G. Rollins, Special Master herein appointed for the purpose of hearing said claim, and confirming said report and entering judgment in favor of the defendant, Monarch Royalty Corporation and against this claimant on said Claim No. 14, the said petition being presented at the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, to the undersigned, the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma; said petition also asking for an order fixing the amount of the cost bond which the said claimant and appellant will be required to furnish on said appeal. The said claimant and appellant also submitted his several assignments of error.

Said petition for such appeal and cost bond is allowed.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said petition for appeal be and the same is hereby allowed as prayed for, and said petition for cost bond is also allowed as prayed for.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said claimant and appellant make bond on the said appeal in the sum of \$200 for costs and damages on appeal, and that a certified transcript of testimony, exhibits, stipulations and proceedings as shall be called for in the praecipe or praecipes hereafter filed herein, be transmitted by the clerk of this court to the clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

F. E. KENNIAMER
Judge United States District Court
for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

J. G. CATLETT,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-)	No. 738 - Equity. ✓
GEO. D. HOPE LUMBER CO., A)	
Corporation,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 29th day of April, A. D. 1932, the above styled cause is passed to 9:30 o'clock A.M., April 30, 1932.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MONARCH ROYALTY CORPORATION,)	
a corporation, suing by and)	
through JAMES A. HARRIS, RECEIVER,)	
	Complainants.)	No. 590 Equity. ✓
vs.)	
MONARCH ROYALTY CORPORATION OF)	
OKLAHOMA, a corporation, et al,	Defendants.)	

ORDER ALLOWING AN APPEAL TO HELEN B. CURRIER, DEFENDANT IN THE ABOVE ENTITLED CASE, AND FIXING THE AMOUNT OF THE COST BOND.

Now on this 29th day of April, 1932, at Tulsa, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, came on to be heard the petition of the above named defendant and appellant, Helen B. Currier, for an appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, from the final decree, judgment and order rendered and entered herein on the 30th day of January 1932, overruling the exceptions of said defendant to the report of C. G. Rollins, Special Master

appointed herein for the purpose of hearing said causes, and confirming said report and rendering judgment in favor of Monarch Royalty Corporation and against this defendant, Helen B. Currier, the said petition being presented at Tulsa, Oklahoma, to the undersigned, the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma; said petition also asking for an order fixing the amount of the cost bond which the defendant and appellant will be required to furnish on said appeal. The said defendant and appellant also submitted her several assignments of error.

Said petition for such appeal and cost bond is allowed.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said petition for appeal be and the same is hereby allowed as prayed for, and said petition for cost bond is allowed as prayed for.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said defendant and appellant make bond on said appeal in the sum of \$200.00 for costs and damages on appeal, and that a certified transcript of testimony, exhibits, stipulations and proceedings as shall be called for in the praecipe or praecipes hereafter filed herein, be transmitted by the clerk of this court to the clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge United States District Court
for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

Court adjourned until April 30, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, April 30th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Court.
Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Special Judge.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAMES O. GRIGGS, Complainant,)
vs.) IN EQUITY NO. 615
THE WACKERLE GAS MINING CO., INC., Defendant.)

CONFIRMATION OF REPORT AND DECREE OF SALE.

This 30th day of April, 1932 this cause came on to be heard upon the report and return of M. M. Valerius, Receiver of the Wackerle Gas Mining Company, Inc. herein of the sale made by him on April 28, 1932, at two o'clock P.M. at the main entrance of the Court House of Craig County, Oklahoma in the city of Vinita, at which he, as said Receiver sold at public auction, in pursuance of an order of this Court made and entered on March 29, 1932, all the right, title, claim and interest of the Wackerle Gas Mining Company, Inc., subject to the lien claim of C. R. Roth in the amount of \$14,846.13 and the mortgage of the Acme Foundry & Machine Company, a corporation, in the amount of \$13,092.62, which have heretofore been declared to be prior and superior liens upon the properties of the Wackerle Gas Mining Company, Inc., and subject further to all taxes and administration expenses herein, all of the properties described as follows:

1.. Lease hold estates for oil and gas on the hereafter described premises, located in Nowata and Craig Counties, Oklahoma, to-wit:

Clarence McKee & Nora McKee, Wimer, Oklahoma, N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 25, Twp. 29, R. 17, Nowata County, Oklahoma, 80 acres.

W. L. Conneway and E. M. Conneway, his wife, and P. L. Dunn and Blanche M. Dunn, his wife, Edna, Kansas, Lot 1, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 4, and Lot 4, and N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 3, Twp. 28, R. 18 E., containing 107.37 acres, Craig County, Oklahoma. Old lease recorded Feb. 11, 1928, Book 145, page 110. New lease not recorded.

G. I. Goodwin and Anna Goodwin, his wife. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 5, Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Oklahoma. Recorded April 7, 1928, Book 145, page 202, 40 acres.

L. B. Hancock and Jimmie S. Hancock, his wife. N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 33 and SE $\frac{1}{4}$, less school, of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 34, Twp. 29 N., R. 18 W., and Lots 1, 2 and 3, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 3, and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 10 and E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 11 and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and E. 4.53 acres Lot 4, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 2, Twp. 28, R. 18 E., and containing 391.08 acres Craig County,

Oklahoma. Recorded Feb. 11, 1928, Book 145, page 115.

C. Starr and Hannah Starr, his wife, and Leota Starr, a single man, and Viola Starr, single, Baby Robert Starr, and Florence Starr, his wife, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 9, Twp. 28, R. 18 E., Craig County, Oklahoma. Recorded July 24, 1926, Book 136, page 588, 40 acres.

Lula A. Oakey (Leo Stroud, P.O. Box 1, Welch, Okla.). N $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 9, Twp. 29 N., R. 18 E. containing 60 acres in Craig County, Okla. Not recorded.

J. S. Todd. All of Sec. 6, and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 5, Twp. 28, R. 19 E., Craig County, Okla. Recorded Aug. 11, 1927, Book 140, Page 603 600 acres, more or less.

Elmer Maxson and Daisy M. Maxson, his wife. W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 24, Twp. 29, R. 17, Nowata County, Okla. 20 Acres.

D. E. Carroll and Eugene Carroll, his wife. S $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and S. 19.63 Acres Lot 2, and NE 10 acres Lot 3, Sec. 30, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Okla., containing 50 acres, Recorded March 25, 1925, Book 131, page 764.

J. C. Lane and E. L. Lane, his wife, and Jesse C. Lane, a single man SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 25, Twp. 29, R. 17, Nowata County, Okla. 10 acres Recorded Dec. 28, 1925, Book 213, Page 147.

Emma H. Dent, a widow, Hollow, Okla. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 28, and W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 33, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Okla., containing 150 acres. Recorded February 10, 1928, Book 145, page 108.

N. F. Wright and Martha J. Wright, his wife, Wimer, Okla. SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 36, Twp. 29, R. 17, Nowata County, Okla. containing 10 acres. Recorded March 26, 1925, Book 208, page 21.

T. H. Palmer and Carrie Palmer, his wife. E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 25, Twp. 29, R. 17, Nowata County, Okla., containing 20 acres, Recorded July 21, 1926, Book 216, page 437.

Mrs. H. L. Miller and H. L. Miller, her husband, Guthrie, Okla. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 30, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Okla., containing 40 acres. Recorded March 28, 1925, Book 131, page 754.

W. L. Conneway and E. M. Conneway, his wife, Edna, Kansas. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 17, Twp. 29, R. 12, Craig County, Okla., 160 acres, Recorded Feb. 3, 1928, Book 145, page 79.

L. F. Riley and Ruby Riley, his wife. S $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 27, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Okla., 80 acres. Recorded Dec. 30, 1925, Book 134, page 800.

W. L. Conneway and E. M. Conneway, his wife, Edna, Kansas. Lot 1 and N $\frac{1}{2}$ Lot 2 and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 30, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Okla., 120 acres. Recorded Feb. 19, 1924, Book 131, Page 116.

Clarence McKee and Nora McKee, his wife, Wimer, Okla. S $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 30, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 30 Acres Recorded March 25, 1925, Book 131, page 762.

M. E. Campbell and Iva Campbell, his wife. NE¹/₄ SE¹/₄ Sec. 15, Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 40 acres. Recorded July 24, 1926. Book 136, page 578.

Leonard Rexwinkle and Ella Rexwinkle, his wife. SE¹/₄ SW¹/₄ and SW 9.47 acres of Lot 3, and Lot 4, Sec. 19, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 90 acres. Recorded March 25, 1925, Book 131, page 760.

T. O. Swagerty and Cora Swagerty, his wife. NE¹/₄ NE¹/₄ Sec. 22, Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 40 acres. Recorded July 24, 1926, Book 136, page 576.

J. N. Pearson and Mary Etta Pearson, his wife. S¹/₄ NE¹/₄ and N¹/₄ SW¹/₄ Sec. 27, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 160 acres. Recorded Dec. 30, 1925, Book 134, page 792.

J. C. Green and Gertie Green, his wife. S¹/₄ SW¹/₄ Sec. 15, Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 80 acres, Recorded July 24, 1926, Book 136, page 582.

W. W. Roberts and Virginia Roberts, his wife. NW¹/₄ Sec. 22, Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 160 acres. Recorded July 24, 1926. Book 136, page 584.

A. O. Riley and Gussie Riley, his wife. W¹/₄ SE¹/₄ and W. 3 1/3 acres SE¹/₄ NE¹/₄ NE¹/₄ and W. 6-2/3 acres of E¹/₄ SW¹/₄ NE¹/₄ and W¹/₄ SW¹/₄ NE¹/₄ and W¹/₄ NW¹/₄ NE¹/₄ all in Sec. 34, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 130 acres. Recorded Dec. 30, 1925, Book 134, page 802.

J. B. Pettie and Fannie Pettiem his wife. SE¹/₄ NW¹/₄ and SW¹/₄ NE¹/₄ and Lot 2 and Lot 3, Sec. 5, Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 98 acres, more or less, Recorded Aug. 11, 1927, Book 140, page 606.

George Taylor, a single man, N¹/₄ NW¹/₄ and E¹/₄ NW¹/₄ NE¹/₄ and NE¹/₄ SE¹/₄ NW¹/₄ and NW¹/₄ SW¹/₄ NE¹/₄, all in Sec. 9, Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 120 acres. Recorded Nov. 18, 1927, Book 140, page 902.

Robert C. Deacon and Cora Deacon, his wife. E¹/₄ NW¹/₄ Sec. 15, and W¹/₄ NW¹/₄ SE¹/₄ and W¹/₄ SW¹/₄ SE¹/₄ and SW¹/₄ SW¹/₄ NE¹/₄ and E¹/₄ NE¹/₄ SE¹/₄ and E¹/₄ SE¹/₄ SE¹/₄ Sec. 9, Twp. 28 R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 170 acres. Recorded July 24, 1926, Book 136, page 580.

Iva L. Vore and F. Hutton Vore, her husband. NW¹/₄ SE¹/₄ Sec. 10, Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 40 acres. Recorded Feb. 3, 1928, Book 145, page 83.

T. B. Homer and Sarah Womer, his wife, B. N. Homer and C. D. Homer, both single, SE¹/₄ SE¹/₄ and E¹/₄ SW¹/₄ NW¹/₄ Sec. 9, Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 60 acres, Recorded July 24, 1926, Book 136, page 386.

J. S. Arnold and wife. S¹/₄ NE¹/₄ and N¹/₄ SE¹/₄ and SW¹/₄ SE¹/₄ and SE¹/₄ SE¹/₄ SE¹/₄ all in Sec. 27, Twp. 29, R. 19 and N¹/₄ NE¹/₄ NE¹/₄ and SE¹/₄ NE¹/₄ NE¹/₄ and NE¹/₄ NW¹/₄ NE¹/₄ and NW¹/₄ NE¹/₄ NE¹/₄ Sec. 34, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 260 acres. Not recorded.

(Tom Palmer) George G. Dennison, Trustee. S¹/₄ SE¹/₄ Sec. 25, Twp. 29, R. 17, and NW¹/₄ NE¹/₄ and NW¹/₄ NE¹/₄ NE¹/₄ Sec. 36, Twp. 29, R. 17, Nowata County, Okla. 130 acres. Recorded April 1, 1925, Book 208, page 61.

Dallas Morris, Single man, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 10, Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 120 acres. Not recorded.

J. E. Vanderverr and Mona Vanderverr, his wife. W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 33, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 80 acres. Recorded Feb. 2, 1928, Book 145, page 74.

Luther Manuel, a single man. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 7, Ywp 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 40 acres. Recorded Feb. 10, 1928, Book 145, Page 106.

Gertie Newman, a widow (Joe Brady), SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 34, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 60 acres. Recorded July 24, 1926, Book 136, page 570.

W. F. Riley and E. A. Riley, his wife. E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 34 and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 35, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 120 acres. Recorded Dec. 30, 1925, Book 134, page 796.

Ernest Riley, a single man. Beginning at a point which is the SW corner of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ thence North 120 rods, thence West 106 $\frac{2}{3}$ rods, thence South 120 rods, thence East 106 $\frac{2}{3}$ rods to place of beginning, and located in Sec. 34, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Okla. 80 acres, more or less. Recorded Dec. 30, 1925, Book 134, page 806.

Robert J. Thornton. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 18, Twp. 29, R. 18 E. Craig County, Okla.

W. E. Cobb, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 25, Twp. 29 N, R. 17 E., Nowata County, Okla.

True Layton. W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 35, Twp. 29 N., R. 18 E., Craig County, Okla.

2. Pipe line Properties as follows:

A 4" pipeline beginning at a point 550' East of the NW corner of Sec. 30, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, thence West 1550' to a point 1000' West of the NE corner of Sec. 25, Twp. 29, R. 17, Nowata County, Okla.

A 6" pipeline beginning at a point 1000' West of the NW Corner of Sec. 25 Twp. 29, R. 17, Nowata County, thence in a southeasterly direction across the E $\frac{1}{2}$ of Sec. 25 and the E $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 36, Twp. 29, R. 17 to a point 1600' West of the SE Corner of Sec. 36, Twp. 29, R. 17, Nowata County, thence South from this point across the W $\frac{1}{2}$ of Sec. 5, Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, to the SW Corner of said Sec. 5. Starting at a point 1600' West of the SE Corner of Sec. 36, Twp. 29, R. 17, Nowata County, thence East along the South line of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 36, Twp. 29, R. 17, Nowata County, and continuing East along the South line of Sec. 31, and continuing 2250' East of the SW Corner of Sec. 32, Twp. 29, R. 18, Craig County, Oklahoma, thence south across the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 4, approximately 1700'; thence southeast across the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 4, approximately 1900' to the center of the West line of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 3, thence South along the West line of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 3, and along the West line of Sec. 10 to the SW Corner of said Sec. 10, all in Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla.

A 4" pipeline beginning at the SW Corner of Sec. 10 and running South along the East line of Sec. 15 to the SW Corner of said Sec. 15, all in Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla.

A 4" pipeline beginning at a point approximately 2250' East of the SW Corner of Sec. 32, thence East along the South line of Sec. 32 to the SE

Corner of said Sec. 32, Twp. 29, R. 18, thence across Section line and continuing East along the North line of Sec. 3 and Sec. 2 to a point approximately 900' West of the NE Corner of said Sec. 2, Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla.

A 3" pipeline beginning at a point approximately 900' West of the NE Corner of Sec. 2 and running East along the North line of Sec. 2 and Sec. 1 to the center of the North line of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 1 thence in a southeasterly direction across the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 1, Twp. 28, R. 18, and continuing in a southeasterly direction across Sec. 6, to Todd Gas Well No. 4, located in the NE Corner of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of said Sec. 6, Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla.

A 3" pipeline beginning at the SW Corner of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 10 and running West approximately 2250' along the South line of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 9, thence in a Northwesterly direction approximately 1000', Twp. 28, R. 18, Craig County, Okla.

3. Oil and/or gas wells with all casing, tubing, equipment, meters, drips, structures, gathering lines and other oil and/or gas well appurtenances and supplies, unrestricted by the above enumeration, situated in, on, or connected to or with the above described property.
4. All rights of way owned by the defendant within Craig County and Nowata County, Oklahoma.
5. A certain gas purchase contract, dated the 30th day of September, 1927, between defendant, as seller and H. A. Halsey, purchaser, the purchase rights under said contract now being owned, through assignment, by the Cities Service Gas Company; said contract providing for the sale of gas from the above described properties of defendant.
6. Any and all other property whatsoever, real, personal, or mixed, tangible or intangible, unrestricted by the above enumeration, owned by defendant, or in which it has any interest, and situated within the state of Oklahoma.

That said hearing was had upon the record in the case including the order of December 22, 1931, order of sale of March 29, 1932, report and return of sale of the Receiver, and it appearing that the said report and return were not excepted to, and the Court finding that the said sale has been made in all respects in conformance to law and the orders of the Court, and that the amount for which said properties were sold is the fair and reasonable value of said properties and that a greater sum cannot be obtained, and that it is for the benefit of the receivership estate and all persons interested therein that said properties be sold and the sale thereof be confirmed and approved and transfer and conveyance of said properties be decreed; on motion of the Receiver herein, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court is satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformance of law and the orders of this Court; that said sale be and it is hereby approved and confirmed and said Receiver is hereby ordered, upon receipt by him of the purchase money from the said E. L. Graham, Trustee, to assign and convey the respective properties to said purchaser the title to all the right, interest, title and claim of The Wackerle Gas Mining Company, Inc. in and to said properties, subject to the lien claim of C. E. Roth in the amount of \$14,846.13 and the mortgage of the Home Foundry & Machine Company, a corporation, in the amount of \$13,099.62, all taxes and administration expenses herein, and the same be hereby vested

in the said purchaser E. L. Graham, Trustee.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED by the Court that all parties to this cause and all persons interested in the receivership estate be and they are hereby forever and perpetually enjoined from claiming or asserting by suit or otherwise interest, lien, claim, title or right in and to said properties or any part thereof, and each of them and their successors and assigns be and are hereby forever barred from all lien, claim, right, equity and title of any kind in and to said properties or any part thereof, except those specifically excepted herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that the purchase price of said properties in the sum of \$1.00 plus \$999.00 for administration expenses herein shall be held by the Receiver in the general Receivership Account in the Fourth National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma subject to further orders of this Court.

DATED AT TULSA, OKLAHOMA THIS 30th day of April, 1932.

F. E. HENNINGER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Apr 30 1932
H. F. Terfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

SEMIUM PETROLEUM CORPORATION,
a corporation, Plaintiff,)
vs) No. 655 - EQUITY
A. BAUGIGER, Defendant.)

O R D E R

NOW, on this 30th day of April, 1932, it being called to the attention of the Court that an agreement has been reached between the parties hereto for the settlement and determination of all matters in controversy herein, and that said parties have executed and filed in this cause a stipulation agreeing that the petition of the plaintiff, and the cross-bill and counter-claim of the defendants, shall each be dismissed with prejudice at the cost of the plaintiff, and it appearing to the Court for good cause shown that an order should be entered accordingly.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the petition of the plaintiff filed herein be dismissed with prejudice, and that the answer, cross bill and counterclaim of the defendant filed herein be dismissed with prejudice, such dismissal to be at the cost of the plaintiff.

F. E. HENNINGER
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Apr 30 1932
H. F. Terfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

T. J. Booth, et al., Complainants,)

vs.)

Greer Investment Company, et al., Defendants.)

No. 633 Equity. ✓

O R D E R

The above entitled cause coming on to be heard upon the application of Paul E. Taliaferro, receiver pendente lite of Petroleum Royalties Company, a trust estate, for an order on F. H. Greer, L. L. Greer and J. A. Ruffer, former trustees for the Petroleum Royalties Company; Greer Investment Company, Harmonia Producing Company and Oil Properties Company, to deliver over to the said Paul E. Taliaferro certain documents and records in their possession and the court having considered said application and the evidence submitted thereupon, is of the opinion that said application, owing to the unusual facts arising in the premises, should be granted without notice to the defendants herein.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED by the court that the said F. H. Greer, L. L. Greer and J. A. Ruffer, former trustees of the Petroleum Royalties Company and as officers, agents and employes of the Greer Investment Company and of the Harmonia Producing Company and of the Oil Properties Company be, and the same hereby are ordered and directed to deliver forthwith to said Paul E. Taliaferro receiver pendente lite of Petroleum Royalties Company in pursuance of the former orders of this court and in pursuance of agreements heretofore made and entered into in open court by counsel representing the aforesaid defendants and in pursuance of agreement entered into between the receiver and his attorneys and the attorneys for the aforesaid defendants, all of the books, records, documents and equipment now located at 401 to 404 Atlas Life Building, Tulsa, Oklahoma, being the former offices of the Petroleum Royalties Company, a trust estate, and the offices of the Greer Investment Company, Harmonia Producing Company and Oil Properties Company, a corporation.

It is further ordered that the United States Marshal for the northern district of Oklahoma be and he hereby is authorized and directed to place the said Paul E. Taliaferro receiver pendente lite as aforesaid in the immediate possession of the aforesaid books, records, documents and equipment and that upon delivery thereof to the said Paul E. Taliaferro receiver pendente lite that the said Paul E. Taliaferro take the same into his possession and preserve the same until the final order of the court herein.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 30 day of April, A. D. 1932.

F. H. KENNEDY
Judge of the United States District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 30 1932
W. P. Corfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States,	Plaintiff,	} No. 666 Equity ✓
vs.		
Tony Rakick, et al,	Defendants.	

ORDER

Now on this 30 day of April, 1932, it being shown to the Court that proper affidavit for publication of notice to the defendants herein, Tony Rakick and Frank W. Howie has been made herein as required by the rules of equity in this court, and that as a result of said affidavit and the issuing of the notice by the Clerk of this court, said notice has been properly published as required by law, the expense for publishing said notice in the Tulsa Daily Legal News being \$8.25, which is a reasonable charge for the same, and should be ordered paid out of Miscellaneous Expenses United States Court for the year 1932.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the Court that said expense, \$8.25, for said publication be paid the Tulsa Daily Legal News out of Miscellaneous Expense for the United States Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, for the year 1932.

W. E. KENNEDY
JUDGE

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed Apr 30 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court EC

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. G. HUGHES AS RECEIVER OF THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WYBE, OKLAHOMA, A NATIONAL BANKING ASSOCIATION,	PLAINTIFF	} No. 671 Law ✓
vs.		
C. E. STILES, ET AL,	DEFENDANTS.	

ORDER CONFIRMING SALE

NOW on this 30 day of April, 1932, the same being a regular judicial day of the United States Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, the above cause came on regularly to be heard upon the motion of the plaintiff for confirmation of the sale of the lands and tenements herein involved, plaintiff appearing by his attorney of record, and neither of the defendants

appearing, either in person or by attorney; and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds:

That no objections to or protests against the confirmation of the sale herein have been entered or filed in this cause, and no one appears or has appeared in opposition to the motion of the plaintiff filed herein for the confirmation of said sale.

That, pursuant to the judgment and decree of foreclosure rendered in this cause by this court on the 11th day of September, 1931, an execution and order of sale was issued by the Clerk of this court directed to Paul E. Taliaferro, heretofore appointed as Special Master in this cause, commanding him to advertise and sell, without appraisal, the lands and tenements described in said decree of foreclosure, situated in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

Lots Fifteen (15), Sixteen (16), Seventeen (17)
Eighteen (18), Nineteen (19) and Twenty (20) in
Block Thirty-one, (31), Midland Addition to the
Town of Bixby, Oklahoma, according to the recorded
plat thereof;

to satisfy said judgment and decree of foreclosure.

That pursuant to said decree and said execution and order of sale said Special Master advertised and sold said lands and tenements, without appraisal, according to law, on the 26th day of April, 1932, at 2:00 o'clock P.M. of said day, after due and legal notice as prescribed by law, proof of which notice of sale and the publication thereof, and the Special Master's return showing the manner in which said writ was executed and said sale had have been duly filed herein, exhibited to and examined by this court and are hereby declared valid and legal.

That the court, after having carefully examined the proceedings of the officer executing said writ and selling said lands and tenements to satisfy said judgment, is satisfied that the sale, in all respects, has been made in conformity to the provisions of the statutes of the State of Oklahoma in such cases made and provided, and the Clerk of this court is hereby directed to make an entry on the journal that the court is satisfied with the legality of the sale and that said sale has been legally made and fairly conducted, in conformity to the provisions of law governing such sales.

IT IS THEREFORE ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the sale of said lands herein made by said Special Master on the 26th day of April, 1932, to J. G. Hughes, as Receiver of the First National Bank of Bixby, Oklahoma, a national banking association, the plaintiff in said cause, as purchaser thereof, be and the same hereby is approved and confirmed in all respects, and that the said Special Master be and he hereby is ordered and directed to make and deliver to said purchaser a deed for such lands and tenements properly conveying the same to said purchaser.

F. M. LINDA B.
Judge

FILED: Filed Apr 30 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. G. LUCHINSKI AS RECEIVER OF THE
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BIRNEY,
OKLAHOMA, A NATIONAL BANK AND
ASSOCIATION,

PLAINTIFF,

No. 671 Equity.

vs.

C. E. STALLAC, ET AL.,

DEFENDANTS.

ORDER ALLOWING SPECIAL MASTER'S
COMPENSATION

NOW on this 30 day of April, 1932, the above entitled cause came on regularly for hearing upon the application for allowance of fees and compensation for the Special Master heretofore appointed in this cause; and after hearing the evidence offered in support of said application, and there being no opposition or objections thereto, the court finds:

That the said Paul E. Taliaferro has well and faithfully performed the duties as Special Master in this cause, pursuant to the orders and directions of this court, and that he is entitled to compensation therefor, and that the sum of \$25.00 is a reasonable fee for services as such Special Master in said cause.

IT IS THE COURT ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the said Paul E. Taliaferro be and he hereby is allowed a reasonable fee in the sum of \$25.00, as compensation for his services as such Special Master in this cause, and it is further ordered that said sum be taxed as costs in this case.

F. J. KIRKMAN
JUDGE.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 30 1932
H. P. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. FRANKLIN TAYSON,

Complainant,

vs.

SUNRAY OIL COMPANY and
SUNRAY OIL COMPANY TRUST,

Defendants.

Equity No. 684

O R D E R

BE IT ORDERED:

On this 27th day of April, 1932, this cause came on for hearing on the application of J. F. Young, George W. Funn, J. C. ... Joseph

W. Tren for an extension of time herein within which to file a claim against Sunray Oil Company and at said time the applicants are aided by Horace M. Hagan, Esq., and the receiver for Sunray Oil Company by Edward T. Cole, Esq., and Paul Taliaferro, Esq., and the Court, being fully advised in the premises finds that said extension should be granted.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that said applicants and each of them be and they hereby are granted an extension of sixty days from and after May 1, 1932, within which to file any claim that they or any of them may have against said Sunray Oil Company.

F. M. KENNEDY
United States District Judge.

C.K. HORACE M. HAGAN and T. AUSTIN GAVIN
Solicitors for the Fidelity and
Casualty Company of New York

C.K. _____
Solicitors for the Receiver.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 30 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. Franklin Tausch,	Complainant	}	In Equity No. 664
vs.			
Sunray Oil Company and Sunray Oil Corporation,	Defendants	}	
The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, as trustee,	Intervener		

ORDER IN RE ISSUANCE OF BOND TO THOMAS E. PATTON
IN LIEU OF LOST BOND

Upon reading and filing the application of S. H. Wright, receiver, The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, as trustee, and Sunray Oil Company and Sunray Oil Corporation, which application is duly verified by an officer of said Trust Company, and in which the applicants pray among other things that the Court authorize and direct issuance of a new bond in lieu of an alleged lost bond and the delivery of such new bond to Thomas E. Patton, and in which said applicants represent and state that they believe and therefore represent that such new bond should be issued and delivered, the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that the representations and allegations in said application are true and that the prayer thereof should be allowed, and that the new bond herein referred to bears No. M-364, Series B, is upon the form of bond described in said indenture for Series B. bonds, and bears No. - 676, (said No. M-676 having been marked out by said Trust Company as such Trustee,) and is of the same terms and tenor as said lost bond, and is of the

face amount of \$1,000, maturing May 1, 1933, bearing interest at the rate of 5% per annum as said trust indenture provides said lost bond should bear, payable as provided in said trust indenture, and that upon said bond there is that form of guaranty by Sunray Oil Corporation provided by the terms of said indenture to appear upon said original bond No. M-664, and that attached to said new bond are interest coupons evidencing interest upon the principal of said bond from May 1, 1931, to maturity of said bond, all as provided by the terms of said trust indenture should be attached to said original bond.

IT IS THE COURT'S ORDER, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED by the Court that in lieu of Sunray Oil Company 5-year 5% gold bond No. M-664, Series B, maturing by its terms May 1, 1933, secured by trust indenture dated May 1, 1928, naming The American-First Trust Company in Oklahoma City, a corporation, as trustee, and in lieu of the interest coupons attached to said bond as authorized by said trust indenture evidencing interest for the period beginning May 1, 1931, to maturity of the principal of said bond, there may be issued a new bond and new coupons as hereinbefore described; that such new bond and new coupons, having been heretofore executed in the name of Sunray Oil Company as maker and the guaranty upon said bond having been heretofore executed in the name of Sunray Oil Corporation, need not be re-executed; that no further authorization or action on the part of the receiver or the officers or directors of Sunray Oil Company or Sunray Oil Corporation shall be necessary for the issuance or delivery of such new bond and coupons; that said Trust Company may, and is hereby authorized and directed to, authenticate such new bond in accordance with the terms of said trust indenture and register same in the name of Thomas H. Batigan and deliver said new bond and coupons as to said Trust Company may seem proper unto said Thomas H. Batigan; that such new bond when so authenticated and such new coupons shall in all things be binding according to their terms and the terms of said trust indenture upon said Sunray Oil Company as maker and the guaranty upon said bond shall in all things be binding upon said Sunray Oil Corporation as guarantor, and such new bond shall be and remain in lieu of said original bond No. M-664, Series B, and such new coupons shall be and remain in lieu of such corresponding coupons appurtenant to said original bond No. M-664, and that said receiver for and on behalf of Sunray Oil Company may accept the surety bond heretofore delivered to said receiver running to Sunray Oil Company and to American-First Trust Company, Trustee, in the principal sum of \$2,000, executed by Thomas H. Batigan as principal and National Surety Company as surety.

Witness the signature of the undersigned Judge of said Court this 30 day of April, 1932.

F. E. HARRIS
District Judge

O. K. LEONARD WOODRILL PAUL A. TALIARENKO
Attorney for Receiver

Attorney for Sunray Oil Company and
Sunray Oil Corporation
L. W. G. GILL
Attorneys for American-First Trust Company as trustee

FILED: Filed Apr 30 1932
H. E. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. Franklin Fausch,	Complainant,	} No. 684 - Equity ✓
vs		
Sunray Oil Company and Sunray Oil Corporation,	Defendants.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR UNITED STATES
TO FILE CLAIM.

Now, on this 30th., day of April, 1932, on the application of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma for an extension of time in which the department of Internal Revenue may prepare and file its claim with the Receiver in this cause on behalf of the United States, and the attorney for the Receiver being before the Court and having consented that reasonable time may be given as requested, and the Court being advised in the premises finds that a reasonable time of sixty (60) days should be granted as requested.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED That the United States, through their proper officers, do have, and they are hereby granted, sixty (60) days from this date in which to prepare and file any claim they may have under and by virtue of the Internal Revenue Laws of the United States, against the Reveivership estate herein.

F. W. KENNEDY
Judge.

O.K. A. B. WILLIAMS
A. B. Williams Assistant
United States Attorney

PAUL M. BILLETIERO
Attorney for the Receiver.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 30 1932
H. E. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

J. A. BRATES and W. A. BOBOVITS, as EXECUTIVE of UNION TRANSPORTATION CO. INC.,	Plaintiffs,	} No. 718 - Equity. ✓
-vs-		
LINDSAY BILLETIERO, ET AL,	Defendants.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1932, it is ordered by the Court that hearing on violation of injunction herein as to Defendants Orchard Lewis and Leon Pratt be continued to May 16, 1932.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on defendant Sadie Jerry Posey for violation of the injunction herein, as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered, and adjudged that the defendant Sadie Jerry Posey, for the crime by her committed as charged in Violation of the Preliminary Injunction, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

5 (five) days from date of delivery.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

H. R. MCGILL,

Complainant,)

vs.

Operators Royalty and
Producing Company,

Respondent.)

No. 733 Equity. ✓

DECREE

Now on this 22nd day of April, 1932, the above entitled and numbered action comes on for hearing; the complainant appearing in person and by his attorneys, Woodard & Westhafer, and the respondent appearing by its President and by its attorneys, Fred F. Branson and Glenn Alcorn, and the intervening stockholders of the respondent company and the intervening creditors of the respondent company appearing by their attorneys; and the Court having considered the bill of complaint filed by the complainant and the response and intervening petitions, finds that the bill of complaint should be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the bill of complaint filed herein be and the same hereby is dismissed, and the application for receiver is hereby denied.

F. E. KEMMERER
Judge.

O.K. as to form
WOODARD & WESTHAFFER
E. O. BARNETT, Attys for Complainant

O.K. GLENN ALCORN & FRED F. BRANSON
Attys for Respondent

ENCLOSURE: Filed Apr 30 1932
H. P. Farfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

1932. Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, May 2,

Present: Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
W. M. Ewing, Chief Deputy Clerk.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. G. Catlett,	Complainant,	} No. 738 Equity. ✓
vs.		
Geo. D. Hope Lumber Company, a corporation,	Defendant.	

O R D E R

Now, on this 2d day of May, 1932, came on to be heard on the petition of complainant praying for the appointment of a receiver for the defendant company and its assets, and for an injunction as prayed for in the petition; complainant appeared by his attorney, Charles A. Coakley and defendant appeared by its attorneys, Aby & Tucker.

The court, after hearing the evidence presented on the application for receiver and injunction, finds that the evidence presented at this time is insufficient to warrant the appointment of a receiver as prayed for, but that the injunction prayed for should be granted.

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COURT ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that the application for receiver herein be denied at this time and that the prayer of the complainant for an injunction be granted.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that the defendant, Geo. D. Hope Lumber Company, its agents, servants and employed be and they are hereby enjoined and restrained from removing from its lumber yard in Tulsa, Oklahoma, located on lots 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 in block 3, Signal Addition to the city of Tulsa, Tulsa county, Oklahoma, any property of any kind and description, except the sale of lumber and builders supplies and other stock on hand in the usual course of business, and that said defendant, Geo. D. Hope Lumber Company, its agents, servants and employes be enjoined from dismantling or abandoning said lumber yard and from removing any property therefrom other than such property sold as above described in the usual course of business;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the proceeds of sales of any lumber, builders supplies or any other property in the usual course of business be kept separate from the other assets of the company, and that the proceeds thereof be segregated and kept in a separate and special account and not disposed of except in payment of expenses of said Tulsa yard.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that before this injunction take effect complainant file bond conditioned as required by law in the sum of 5000.00 with sureties to be approved by the clerk of this court.

T. ELMER KENNEDY
 U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE.

Defendant excepts to fourth and fifth paragraphs of said order, and exceptions allowed.

INFO SUP: Filed May 4 1932
 H. P. Terfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court DC

Court adjourned until May 3, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, May 3rd, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. B. Hennamor, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Complainant,	} No. 674 Equity.
vs.		
Rosa Mashunkashey, et al,	Respondents.	

O R D E R

Now on this 3rd day of May, 1932, there comes on for hearing the application of Rosa Mashunkashey, Lucille Stafford, Theodore Morton, Samuel Blair, Ralph A. Barney, Jesse L. Warren, Clayton M. Smith, D. C. Howard for an extension of time within which to answer to Amended Bill of Complaint herein, and for good cause to the court shown,

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED, MANAGED AND PROVIDED that the respondents Rosa Mashunkashey, Lucille Stafford, Theodore Morton, Samuel Blair, Ralph A. Barney, Jesse L. Warren, Clayton M. Smith, D. C. Howard, Ida Warren, and each of them, be and they are hereby granted thirty (30) days from this date in which to file their answers to the Amended Bill of Complaint herein.

Dated at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, this 3rd day of May, 1932.

F. B. HENNAMOR
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 3 1932
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 7, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, May 7th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Hoffmann, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
E. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
Board of County Commissioners,)
Ottawa County Oklahoma, et al.)
Defendants.)

No. 550 Equity ✓

O R D E R

The Clerk is hereby authorized, empowered and ordered to deliver to Harry Seaton, United States Attorney, the original files in 550 Equity, 961 Law, 945 Law and 745 Law, to be used by him in checking said files in the preparation of a record on appeal in No. 550 Equity, and to be kept by said attorney for a period of not exceeding five (5) days.

F. E. HOFFMANN,
Judge, Northern District of Oklahoma

WITNESSETH: Filed May 7 1932
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF TULSA FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Erle K. Fby,)
vs.)
Monarch Royalty Corporation)
et al.)
DEFENDANTS)

NO. 561 EQUITY. ✓

ORDER UP HOLDING SEVERAL DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER
OF CLAIM

Now on this the 30th day of January 1932, came on to be heard the application of James A. Harris, Receiver of the Monarch Royalty Corporation and he made it known to the Court that at the time he qualified as such receiver he found that there were certain monies and funds due the Monarch Royalty Corporation in the amount of 2244.21 which monies were collected by and on part of one Logan Stephenson of Tulsa, Oklahoma, which said monies belonged to the Monarch Royalty Corporation but was collected erroneously and through a mistake

and obtained and appropriated by the said Logan Stephenson under misapprehension of his rights.

It is further made known to the Court that the said Logan Stephenson is not in a position to pay the balance on said claim and in the opinion of the receiver it is to the best interest of the corporation to let said Logan Stephenson pay said claim by transferring to said corporation certain royalty interests that he has in other property, hereinafter described.

It further appearing to the Court that the said Logan Stephenson consented and agreed to transfer and assign to the said Monarch Loyalty Corporation certain royalty interests covered by Mineral deeds bearing date the 23th day of January 1942, in favor of the Monarch Loyalty Corporation of Oklahoma in settlement and adjustment of said claim in full, as hereinabove recited. Said mineral deeds cover the following described property, to-wit:

- 1/4 of the 1/8 N.E. under the Southwest quarter of the Northwest Quarter and the West Half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 14, Township 9, Range 11 East situated in Tushes County, Oklahoma.
- 1/32 of the 1/8 N.E. Under the North Half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 30, Township 11 North, Range 11 East, Okfuskee County, Oklahoma.
- 1/8 of the 1/8 N.E. Under the West Half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 31, Township 12 North, Range 10 East, and
 West Half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 5, Township 12 North, Range 8 East, and
 The West Half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 3, Township 12 North, Range 8 East
 all in Okfuskee County, Oklahoma.
- 1/8 of the 1/8 N.E. Under the West Half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 42 Township 8 North, Range 9 East, Tushes County, Oklahoma
- 1/16 of the 1/8 N.E. Under the Northeast Quarter of Section 20, Township 14 North, Range 8 East, and
 The North Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 19, Township Fourteen 14 North, Range 10 East, and the
 South Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 13, Township 14 North, Range 9 East
 All in Creek County, Oklahoma.
- 1/16 of the 1/8 N.E. Under the Northeast Quarter of Section Two and the South Half of the Northeast Quarter and the Northwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 20, Township 12 North, Range 10 East, and
 The South Half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 20, Township 12 North, Range 10 East, and

The Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of
Section 31, Township 13 North, Range 57 East, and

The Southeast quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section
30, and the Southwest quarter of the Northeast quarter of
the Northeast quarter of Section 32, all in Township 11
North, Range 57 East,

all in Oklahoma County, Oklahoma.

It further appearing to the Court from the report, that upon in-
vestigation by said receiver he found that said royalty interests had a reason-
able cash value and that many of them had substantial potential values and of
the probable value of the amount of said claim, and that the receiver recom-
ends that said settlement is to the best interest of all concerned.

The Court further finds that certain Directors constituting a
Committee appointed to represent the said corporation have recommended that said
settlement be made as hereinabove recited and that said deeds to said royalty
interests be accepted by the receiver in behalf of and in the part of said
corporation in full adjustment and settlement of said claim.

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court
that the said adjustment and settlement of said claim, so made by the Receiver,
as hereinabove recited, with the said Logan Stephenson, as evidenced by said
mineral deeds, all bearing date of January 26, 1932, be and the same is hereby
in all things approved.

It is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said
Logan Stephenson be released and forever acquitted of any liability or indebted-
ness to the said corporation on account of said claim as hereinabove recited
and that the mineral deeds referred to be placed of record and be approved
as the properties of the said Monarch Royalty Corporation of Oklahoma.

O.K. WILSON, Attorney for Monarch
F. E. DENHAM, District Judge.

W.H.G. WEBB: Filed May 7 1932
E. J. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE TERRITORY OF OKLAHOMA
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. C. WILSON, Complainant,
vs.
MONARCH ROYALTY CORP.,
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS,
Defendants.

FILED MAY 10, 1932

O K F B R

Upon reading and filing the petition of J. C. Wilson, Receiver
herein, for permission to designate a person to sign the name of the Receiver

to the pay roll and miscellaneous checks in the current use of the monies of the said receivership, as required by said Receivership in the carrying on the business of the receivership estate, under the orders of this Court, and representing and stating that W. D. Forster is a proper and suitable person to execute said checks in the prosecution of the business of the receivership in the name of the Receiver, and presenting to the Court the bond of the said W. D. Forster to the Receiver for the faithful performance of his duties under such appointment of the Receiver, and it appearing to the Court that permission to the Receiver to designate W. D. Forster and from time to time other persons duly bonded as approved by this Court for the purpose of signing such checks, would be of benefit to the receivership estate and enable the Receiver to better care for and carry on the management of the receivership estate; it is therefore

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that C. H. Wright, the Receiver herein, be, and he is hereby authorized and empowered to designate W. D. Forster, and from time to time any other person that the Receiver may deem proper, to execute the pay roll and other miscellaneous checks in the name of the Receiver, in the operation of the receivership estate; and it is further ordered by the court that the bond of W. D. Forster protecting the Receiver in the signing of the checks of the receivership estate in the name of the Receiver by W. D. Forster, be, and the same is hereby approved by the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that in the event the Receiver shall hereafter designate any other persons to execute in the name of the Receiver such checks, the name of such person and his bond shall be presented to the Court for approval by this Court.

Dated May 7th, 1932:

F. E. HERRMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FORWARDED: Filed May 7 1932
W. P. Raffield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MISSISSIPPI VALLEY TRUST COMPANY,
a corporation, and A. E. ROUBENBUSH,
ET AL, Plaintiffs,

vs.

THE HOME SAVINGS, a corporation of the
state of Delaware, THE TRUST COMPANY, a
corporation of the state of Oklahoma,
CHAS. A. WYCO, JOHN F. WYCO, FULLA TRUST
COMPANY, a corporation, THE FIRST NATIONAL
BANK OF FULLA, OKLAHOMA, a corpora-
tion, and L. H. HAYSON, Defendants.

NO. 742
IN BANKRUPTCY

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Upon reading and filing the verified bill of complaint in the
above entitled case and motion of plaintiff therein,

IT IS ORDERED that the defendants above named show cause before this court at its court room in the City and county of Tulsa at 9:00 o'clock in the forenoon May 18th, 1932, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, why an order should not be made herein appointing a receiver as prayed for in said bill of complaint pending this action.

DATED, May 7th, 1932.

F. L. HERRMAN
United States District Judge.

FILED: Filed May 7 1932
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 9, 1932.

REGISTERED TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, MAY 9, 1932

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, May 9, 1932.

Present: Hon. E. E. Fennason, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
E. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

The Silurian Oil Company, a Corporation,	Plaintiff,	} No. 385 Equity ✓
vs.		
Irene Essley,	Defendant.	}

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 9th day of May, 1932, upon consideration of the stipulation and decree of the parties hereto, duly filed in this cause, and their joint motion for the entry of a decree herein vacating, setting aside and holding for naught, the said award by the arbitrators made on February 1, 1930, and dismissing the pending cross complaint of the said defendant, and cross complainant, Irene Essley;

and the court, being well and sufficiently advised in the premises.

Now, therefore, it is, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said award in the sum of forty-one hundred dollars (\$4100.00) in favor of the said Irene Essley, be set aside and the said, The Silurian Oil Company, be and the same is hereby held, set aside and dismissed;

NO is it further

ordered, adjudged and decreed that the moving cross-complaint of the said Isaac Seligson cross-complainant against said, The American Oil Company, Inc., and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice;

and the costs of this action are hereby taxed against the plaintiff.

F. M. HENNING
District Judge

C. H. ...
Counsel for Plaintiff

C. H. ...
By F. A. ...
Counsel for Defendant

ENTERED: Filed May 9 1938
L. F. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN SENATE AND FOR COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

...
et al., Plaintiffs,
vs. ...
Defendants.

C O R D E R

Upon application of the plaintiffs, and for good cause shown,
IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED:

That the time for taking and filing depositions in the above
entitled cause be as follows:

For the plaintiffs until June 11, 1938.

For the defendants until July 13, 1938.

Judge of the United States District Court

Date May 9th, 1938.

...
Counsel for Defendants.

ENTERED: Filed May 9 1938
L. F. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN SENATE CONFIRMED
JAMES H. HARRIS, Plaintiff,
vs.
TROMBONATH & COMPANY, INC., Defendant.
In Equity
No. 76

ORDER NO. 1

Now, on this 9th day of May, 1932, this case came on to be heard upon the Bill of Complaint duly filed herein, and the answer of the defendant above named duly filed herein, admitting each and every allegation of the said bill of complaint, and after consideration thereof, it is, upon motion of the solicitor for the complaint,

1. ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that J. J. Crawford, of Independence, Kansas, and H. C. Spencer, of Independence, Kansas, be and they hereby are appointed ancillary receivers of the above named defendants, Producers and Refiners Corporation, and of all of the properties of said defendant within this jurisdiction, real personal and mixed, and of whatsoever kind and description, including all lands, buildings, plants, pipe lines, leaseholds, premises and appurtenances, owned, controlled, leased or otherwise operated by said defendant, and all other stock and equipment, tools, machinery, furniture, fixtures, materials, supplies, merchandise, books of account, records and other books, papers and accounts, cash on hand, in transit or on deposit, things in action, credits, stocks, bonds, securities, deeds, leases, contracts, instruments of title, bills and accounts receivable, rents, issues, profits, tolls and income accruing and to accrue, as well as all interests, easements, privileges, franchises, concessions and appurtenances, and all assets and property of all and every kind, character and description whatsoever of said defendant situated within this jurisdiction, such appointment of said receivers being ancillary to the appointment of receiver of defendant and its properties heretofore made by the United States District Court for the District of Wyoming; and it is

2. FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said defendant and all persons acting under its direction and all other persons shall, upon presentation of a certified copy of this order, deliver to the ancillary receivers any and all properties of the defendant, real, personal or mixed, in their possession or under their control within this jurisdiction; and it is

3. FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that to wit heretofore and pending this suit, all persons, parties, firms or corporations, including stockholders of the defendant, sheriffs and marshals, and their officers, agents, attorneys, representatives, servants and employees, whether creditors or claiming to be creditors or having or claiming to have any right, title or interest of, in and to any property or properties of the defendant within this jurisdiction, be and they hereby are enjoined and restrained from instituting or prosecuting any action at law or action or proceeding in equity against the defendant in any court of law or equity or before any association, organization or arbitration board or arbitration committee, or before any court or tribunal of any association or organization, or otherwise, or from creating or issuing or causing the execution or issuance of a writ, subpoena or any court of any writ, process, summons, attachment, subpoena, reply or any

other proceeding for the purpose of impounding or taking the possession of or interfering with any property owned by or in the possession of the said defendant or its receivers or the said ancillary receivers or owned by the said defendant and in the possession of any officers, agents, servants, attorneys or representatives of the said defendant, or of any other person, party, firm or corporation; and all persons, parties, firms and corporations, including the defendant, and their representatives, agents, attorneys, and servants, and all sheriffs, marshals and other officers and their deputies, representatives and servants, are hereby enjoined and restrained from removing, transferring, disposing of or attempting in any way to remove, transfer or dispose of or in any way interfere with any property, assets or effects in the possession of the said defendant or of its receivers or of said ancillary receivers or owned by the said defendant and in the possession of any officers, agents, attorneys or representatives of said defendant or of any other person, party, firm or corporation, and from doing any act whatsoever to interfere with the possession and management by said ancillary receivers of the properties of the defendant or in any way to interfere with the said ancillary receivers in the discharge of their duties or to interfere in any way with the administration and disposition in this suit of the affairs and properties of the defendant; and it is

4. FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that until the further order of this Court the said ancillary receivers be and they hereby are authorized forthwith to take and have complete and exclusive control, possession and custody of all of the assets and property of the defendant within this jurisdiction of every name, nature and description; and all persons, firms and corporations, including the defendant, their officers, agents and servants, shall forthwith deliver to said ancillary receivers all properties of every name, nature and description of the defendant located in this jurisdiction; and that said defendant, its officers, directors, agents, attorneys and employees, be and they hereby are directed, upon the request of the ancillary receivers, to endorse, transfer, set over and deliver to the said ancillary receivers any and all notes, bills of exchange or other documents or muniments of title outstanding in the name of or under the possession or control of the said defendant within this jurisdiction or as to which said defendant has any interest; and it is

5. FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said ancillary receivers be and they hereby are authorized to continue, manage and operate, and receive and collect the rents, issues and profits from the business and properties of the defendant within this jurisdiction until the further order of this Court, with full power and authority to carry on, manage and operate the said business and properties of the defendant in whole or in part within this jurisdiction, and for that purpose to incur such expenses as may be necessary or advisable in connection therewith; to purchase and sell, for cash or on credit, such merchandise, supplies, equipment, materials or other property as may be necessary or advisable in connection with the administration of the property and assets of the defendant and/or the continuation of its business; to perform the existing contracts of the defendant to the extent that a performance of the same shall seem to them desirable or profitable; to enter into and perform other contracts in the regular course or conduct of the business of the defendant or otherwise; and incontinuing, managing and operating said business to act in association with any receiver or receivers appointed by any other court to take possession of and operate any property of said defendant situated outside the jurisdiction of this Court, and to that end to transfer and consent to the transfer from time to time of such merchandise, chattels, notes and credits to and from this jurisdiction and any such other jurisdiction or jurisdictions for the purpose of said business to the extent that the conduct thereof may from time to time reasonably require, and to cooperate to the fullest extent with any such other receiver or receivers in continuing,

managing and conducting said business as a whole and in making and making such transfers; and it is

6. FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said ancillary receivers be and they hereby are authorized in their discretion to appoint and employ such managers, agents, employees and servants as may in their judgment be advisable or necessary in the management, conduct, control or custody of the affairs of the defendant and of the assets thereof, within this jurisdiction, and to make such payments and disbursements as may be needed or proper for the purposes aforesaid or otherwise for the preservation and operation of the properties of the defendant within this jurisdiction, including but not limited to make payment of debts entitled to priority and of the wages and salaries of agents, employees, laborers and servants of said defendant, accrued or to accrue which are entitled to priority by any statute or which said ancillary receivers shall deem to be necessary or expedient to pay to secure the continuation of their services or to avoid detriment to the working organization of the business; and it is

7. FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said ancillary receivers be and they hereby are authorized to enter into any contract or contracts or other arrangements for the furnishing by any other corporations, firms, persons, or entities, and whether or not any such corporations, firms, persons or entities shall be creditors of said defendant, of services of any kind required or desirable in the judgment of said ancillary receivers in the conduct of the business of said defendant, and it is

8. FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said ancillary receivers be and they hereby are authorized and empowered to institute, prosecute and defend, compromise, adjust, intervene in or become a party to such suits, actions, proceedings at law or in equity, in the state or federal courts of this jurisdiction, as may in their judgment be necessary or proper for the protection, maintenance and/or preservation of the assets of the defendant in this jurisdiction or the carrying out of the terms of this decree, and likewise to defend, compromise or adjust, or otherwise dispose of, any or all suits, actions or proceedings instituted against them as ancillary receivers or against the defendant in this jurisdiction, and to prosecute and conduct the prosecution or defense of any suit or adjust or compromise any actions or proceedings now pending in any court in this jurisdiction by or against the defendant where such prosecution, defense or other disposition of such suits, actions or proceedings will in the judgment of the said ancillary receivers be advisable or proper for the protection of the properties of the defendant, and in their discretion to compound and settle with all debtors of said defendant, with persons having possession of its property or in any way responsible at law or in equity to the defendant at the time of its suspension of business or otherwise, upon such terms and in such manner as they shall deem just and beneficial to the defendant and its creditors; and it is

9. FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said ancillary receivers be and they hereby are given a period of two (2) months from the date hereof within which to arrive at a determination as to what contracts, leases, of the defendant they should affirm or disaffirm, and within which time to make their election in that respect; the Court reserves the right, if so advised, to extend or diminish the time allotted to the ancillary receivers from time to time within which to make such election; and it is

10. FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said ancillary receivers shall retain possession and continue to discharge the duties and trusts aforesaid until the further order of this Court in the premises, that

to be, from time to time apply to this Court for such orders and directions as they may deem necessary and requisite for the administration of said trust, including power to receive and accept receipts, certificates or other evidence to give security; therefore;

and the said ancillary receivers are hereby appointed, in addition to the powers aforesaid, with all the general powers of ancillary receivers in cases of this kind, subject to the direction of this Court, and said ancillary receivers shall from time to time or when directed by the Court render to the Court reports of their proceedings and accountings with respect to all moneys received and disbursed by them or their agents.

11. FURTHER ORDERED, JENNIFER M. BROWN and J. S. Spencer as ancillary receivers shall forthwith execute and file with the Clerk of this Court a bond with one or more sureties approved by the Clerk of this Court in the penal sum of fifteen thousand (\$15,000.00) dollars, on condition that they will faithfully discharge the duties of their office and duly account for all moneys and properties which may come to their hands and abide by and perform all things which they may be directed to do herein.

Dated May 9, 1932.

J. H. HARRIS
Judge.

FILED: Filed May 9, 1932
H. P. Farfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 10, 1932.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
MAY 10, 1932

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, May 10th, 1932.

Present: Hon. J. E. Keenanor, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Farfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
IN AND TO THE SERVICE OF JUDICIAL PROCESS

CHARLES LEB,)
vs.)
MAYSON LAYTON CORPORATION, A)
CORPORATION, et al,)
COMPLAINANT,)
vs.)
DEFENDANTS.)

ORDER RELIEVING THE RECEIVERS OF THE TRUST FROM LIABILITY FOR THE PAYMENT OF COSTS AND CHARGES IN THE MATTER OF THE TRUST OF THE ESTATE OF JAMES M. HARRIS, DECEASED, AND FROM LIABILITY FOR THE PAYMENT OF COSTS AND CHARGES IN THE MATTER OF THE TRUST OF THE ESTATE OF JAMES M. HARRIS, DECEASED.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, this 11th day of May, 1932.

Present: Hon. T. M. Neumeyer, Judge, U. S. District Court.
D. B. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereon, the following proceedings were had and to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

NORTHERN OKLAHOMA ASSOCIATION,
a corporation, suing by and through
James A. ... Receiver, Complainant,
vs.
OKLAHOMA ASSOCIATION OF FARMERS
Oklahoma, a corporation, et al., Defendants.

In No. 107
No. 191

O R D E R

It appearing to the Court that heretofore on or about October 4, 1932 this Court appointed Edward Lashley, Esquire, as Special Master in this cause upon all matters at issue and to make findings of fact and conclusions of law and to report the same to this Court with his recommendations, all as more fully appears in said order made in this cause on October 4, 1932, and it further appearing that under order of court made in this cause said Special Master is required to file his report herein on or before June 10, 1932.

Now, it being shown, for good cause shown, that the allowance to said Special Master to file his report herein is heretofore extended to and including June 10th, 1932;

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 11th day of May, 1932.

T. M. NEUMEYER,
Judge of U. S. District Court for
Northern District of Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed May 11 1932
D. B. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United Leases,
vs.
J. C. Dawson, Cora E. Dawson
and J. B. Gunnor,
Defendants.

No. 107
No. 191

Now on this 11th day of May, 1932, this cause came on for trial to be heard in open court before Honorable F. E. Henneman, Judge, and all parties being present by L. W. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and E. D. Cannon, one of the defendants herein, having been heretofore regularly served with subpoena in writ in said cause, and having failed to answer or appear therein, a judgment and conference was taken against him herein on March 9, 1932, more than 30 days prior to this date; and the defendants, J. C. Clawson and Gussie C. Clawson et al, citizens and residents of the State of Oklahoma, and residing in the State of Texas, having filed their general appearance herein more than 30 days prior to this date, wherein they take a general appearance for all purposes excepting their rights as non-residents of the State of Oklahoma, and consenting that said cause may be set down for trial by the Court, and disposed of as the Court may direct; and the Court having examined the evidence in said cause, the original note and mortgage sued upon, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that the facts as set out in the Bill of Complaint herein are true, and that plaintiff, in its own behalf and in behalf of Jules Pappin, restricted lease Indian Allottee No. 1632, is entitled to judgment for the amount remaining unpaid on the note sued upon herein, in the sum of \$10,991.70, together with interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from November 20, 1931, and foreclosure of the mortgage herein and the sale of the mortgaged property, the proceeds of said sale to be applied to the satisfaction of said indebtedness, interest, attorney's fees and costs.

The Court further finds that said property will probably not bring a sufficient sum under sale to satisfy the whole of said indebtedness, and that plaintiff is entitled to have a receiver appointed to take charge of said property, collect the rents thereon during the pendency of this suit, and report same into court, to be distributed as the Court may direct.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT of the Court that the United States, in its own behalf and in behalf of its said land, do have and recover of and from the defendants J. C. Clawson and Gussie C. Clawson the sum of \$10,991.70, together with interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from November 20, 1931, until paid, together with a reasonable attorney's fee as the Court may order, said judgment being given herein as a lien against the real estate hereinafter described, and set as a personal judgment against the said J. C. Clawson and Gussie C. Clawson.

It is further ordered that plaintiff have judgment foreclosing the mortgage sued upon herein if said judgment is not paid at the expiration of six months from this date, and that execution and order of sale issue out of this court, directed to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to sell, without appraisal, to the highest and best bidder for cash the following described real estate, to-wit:

Northwest quarter (NW1) of Section Twenty-four (24); North Half (N2) of Northeast Quarter (NE4) of Section Twenty-three (23), all in Township Twenty-seven (27), North, Range Thirteen (13) East, Adair County, Oklahoma,

and apply the proceeds of said sale to the satisfaction of said judgment herein, attorney's fees and costs, and otherwise as the Court may direct.

It is further ordered that after the sale of the property herein of J. C. Clawson, Gussie C. Clawson and E. D. Cannon, and the payment of all debts existing under them since the commencement of this suit, the

to sever bonded and foreclosed from claiming any right, title or interest in or to said property, or any part thereof.

It is the further order of the court that John L. Metherell be appointed Receiver herein, to take charge of said property, collect rents thereon during the pendency of this suit, and report to the court after the sale of said property, to be distributed as the court may order.

F. P. WARFIELD
JUDGE

G. W. WILLIAMS
G. W. Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed May 11 1932
F. P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 12, 1932.

UNITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, MAY 12, 1932

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, May 12th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Hennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
F. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Mary Godwin Fope Plummer,
Jaunita Harkness,
vs
Oleo Godwin, Bedford Godwin, Jr.,
Virginia Godwin, Hayes Mercantile
Company, Dickason-Goodman Lumber Company
O. V. Pope,
Plaintiffs,
Defendants.

No. 1131 Pay
No. 333 Conty.

ORDER ALLOWING C. T. EYRD GENERAL INVESTMENT
SERVICES.

Now on this 8th day of June 1931 comes C. T. Eyrd guardian ad litem appointed in this cause for the defendants Bedford Godwin, Jr. and Virginia Godwin, minors, and asks that an allowance be made to him as such guardian ad litem and the Court being sufficiently advised by ailer said C. T.

Byrd as such guardian ad litem the sum of \$500.00 dollars for his services in full to be taxes as costs against the interest of said minors and to be paid out of any money they may now or hereafter have as coming out of their interest in the real estate in this action.

F. E. KENNEXER
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed May 12 1932
W. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 13, 1932.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, May 13th, 1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennexer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
W. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

THE OSAGE OIL & REFINING CO. CORP., Plaintiff,)
-vs-) Ho. 282 - Equity. ✓
MARIE ANERLOD, et al., Defendants.)

Now on this 13th day of May, A. D. 1932, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE FOREMAN OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

(If any)

TO THE FOREMAN OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Northern District
OF OKLAHOMA.

COURT REPORT:

That on this 13th day of May, A. D. 1932, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

It is, therefore, the opinion of this court that the application for leave to file a supplemental bill should be

denied, and it is so ordered. An exception is allowed to the defendant Continental Oil Company."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, for the District of Columbia, by virtue of an appeal by Continental Oil Company, and a certificate, agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, duly and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the January Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration thereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court, that the decree of the said District Court, denying leave to appellant to file a supplemental bill of complaint in this cause, be and the same is hereby affirmed, and that this cause be and the same is hereby remanded to the said District Court with directions to that court to enter its decree directing its clerk to pay over to the appellee herein, or to use lawfully claiming under it, the \$9,000 impounded in this cause by a writ of attachment.

It is now here further ordered, adjudged and decreed by this court that all costs in said cause in this court and in the said District Court, including the impounding fee, be and the same are hereby taxed to the appellant herein.

- - - - -

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such further proceedings be had in said cause, in conformity with the opinion and decree of this court as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESSE the honorable CHARLES E. WICKLIFFE, Chief Justice of the United States, the 10th day of May, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two.

APPELLEE:
Clerk -- --
Printing Record -- --
Attorney \$9.00

ALBERT THOMAS
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court
of Appeals, District of Columbia.

\$9.00

FILED: Filed May 13 1932
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

L. F. Roberts, Plaintiff, vs. Cities Service Oil Company, a corporation, Defendant. No. 658 City.

FINAL DECREE

On this 4th day of May, 1932, this cause having been heard on September 27, 1931, the Plaintiff then appearing in person and by his solicitor, R. A. Barney, and the Defendant by its solicitor Warren T. Spies, upon submission, argument and briefs filed:

Ordered and Decreed: That the Defendant Cities Service Oil Company, a corporation, its agents, employees, lessees and assigns are, so long as the Plaintiff L. F. Roberts and his assigns shall occupy exclusively for residential purposes the following real estate in Osage County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

Lot ten in Block Eighty-seven in the City of Pawhuska, enjoining from utilizing the following real estate adjacent thereto, to-wit:

Lots Eleven and Twelve, in Block Eighty-seven in the City of Pawhuska,

for the storage, sale or delivery of oil, gasoline or other products commonly or usually sold from what is known as a "drive-in filling station", or from utilizing said premises for conducting or operating thereon a "drive-in filling station" for the distribution of the refined products of petroleum; and that Plaintiff have judgment for his costs herein; to all which the Defendant excepts and his exceptions are allowed.

F. E. WARFIELD, United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 13 1932 F. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Samuel J. T. Straus and Melvin L. Straus, as Trustees, Plaintiffs, vs. Union Columbia Company, a corporation, and Fred Irwig, Defendants. No. City No. 658

O R D E R

The final report of Receiver and Special Master appointed by this Court for

approval and disclosure, including request for instructions on matters of distribution could be made and the Court being fully advised in the premises, it is

ORDERED AND DECREED that the said report and all reports heretofore filed by the Receiver and by the Special Master, and all the acts of the Receiver and the Special Master be approved, and the Receiver is ordered and directed to pay over at this time to Samuel S. T. Straus and Melvin S. Straus, Trustees, the sum of \$1,394.80 hereby found by the Court to be the amount of the funds remaining in her hands distributable to plaintiffs in partial satisfaction of the decree herein, and the Receiver is further ordered and directed to pay over to the Fifteenth and Wisconsin Building Company, after deducting the above amount, the funds then remaining in her hands as Receiver amounting to \$4,000.01, and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that when the Receiver shall have fully complied with this order she shall make report thereof to this Court and receive her discharge and release of sureties on her bond.

Dated this 13 day of May, 1938.

Y. E. KEENE
District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 13 1938
J. P. McField, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

C. WRIGHT TRIGHT, Complainant, }
vs. }
SUNRAY OIL COMPANY, INC. }
SUNRAY OIL COMPANY, TRUST, }
Defendants. }

IN RE CASE NO. 684 ✓

O R D E R

Upon reading and filing of the petitions of C. W. Tright, Receiver herein, presenting to the Court for approval or rejection the contract between the Operators Oil Corporation as Party of the First Part and C. W. Tright, Receiver of the Sunray Oil Company, as Party of the Second Part, and the Court having considered said petition and said contract and the evidence produced upon the same, and being advised, finds that the Court has heretofore authorized and directed the Receiver to operate the Receivership property and that the pipe line properties would be of benefit to the Receivership Estate, and is a facility reasonably necessary in the operation of the properties described in said petition, and that the leases referred to therein would be of benefit to the Receivership Estate and to the Sunray Oil Company, and that the said contract is a fair and reasonable one; to the Receivership Estate; it is, therefore,

ORDERED, DECREED AND DECREED by the Court that the contract dated April 18, 1938, between the Operators Oil Corporation as First Party and C. W.

right, Receiver of the Sunray Oil Company, as Second Party, and executed by each of the parties, this day presented to the Court, be and the same is hereby approved and declared binding upon all of the parties thereto.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECIDED by the Court that C. F. Wright, Receiver, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to take possession of said properties and to accept the releases, assignments and written instruments fully transferring all of said properties described in said contract to C. F. Wright, Receiver of Sunray Oil Company, and his successors and assigns.

Dated Tulsa, Oklahoma, May 13, 1932.

F. H. BENTLEY JR.
United States District Judge

RECORDED: Filed May 13 1932
W. E. Coffield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JER

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE TERRITORY OF OKLAHOMA
SOUTHERN DISTRICT AND OF OKLAHOMA

CHARLES W. HARRIS, Plaintiff, }
-versus- }
WILLIAM H. HARRIS, Defendant. }

In Equity
No. 743 ✓

ORDER NO. 2.

Upon the petition of the ancillary Receiver herein duly filed on the ___ day of May, 1932, requesting an order of this Court authorizing the employment of counsel by said ancillary Receiver, it is hereby

ORDERED that said ancillary Receiver be and they are hereby authorized to employ K. J. Mellough a solicitor and counsel to act for and on their behalf within this jurisdiction.

Dated this 13th day of May, A. D. 1932.

F. H. BENTLEY JR.
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 13 1932
W. E. Coffield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JER

1932.

Present: Hon. F. E. Hennaker, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. E. Farfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 719 Equity
G. W. Currey and Pearl E. Currey, Defendants.)

J U D G M E N T

Now on this 16th day of May, 1932, this cause came on for lawfully to be heard before Honorable F. E. Hennaker, Judge presiding in open court, and the plaintiff, the United States, being present by ... Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendants G. W. Currey and Pearl E. Currey having been served with subpoenas in this cause more than 60 days prior to this date, and each of them having made default, and failed to answer or make an appearance in said cause, and plaintiff having on March 9, 1932, more than 50 days prior hereto, taken an order of confession against said defendants, and said defendants having failed to resist said order, and plaintiff having introduced its evidence, the original note and mortgage sued upon herein, and the Court having examined the pleadings and exhibits in said cause, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that the plaintiff, the United States, in its own behalf and in behalf of Daisy Marie Hazelbaker, restricted Essee Allottee No. 719, is entitled to judgment against said defendants as prayed in the Bill of Complaint foreclosed and the land sold to satisfy said judgment, at the expiration of six months from date of this judgment, if said judgment is not paid. The Court further finds that plaintiff is entitled to have a Receiver appointed to take charge of the property involved herein, as prayed in said Bill of Complaint.

IT IS THE ORDER OF THE COURT that the United States, in its own behalf, and in behalf of its said ward, do have and recover of said defendants, G. W. Currey and Pearl E. Currey, and each of them, the sum of \$3236.67, with interest thereon at the rate of 7 1/2 per cent, from February 1, 1932, until paid, and for the further sum of \$350.00 attorney's fees, as provided in said note, and for all costs of this suit.

It is the further order of the Court that said Essee be foreclosed to the purpose of satisfying said judgment, and that if said judgment is not paid within six months from date hereof an execution and writ of fieri facias out of this Court to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to levy upon and sell the land covered by said Essee, be returned as aforesaid, to-wit:

All of Lots Fifty-three (53), Sixty-two (62), Sixty-three (63), Sixty-four (64), Sixty-five (65), Block Ten (10), East Lynn Addition to Town of Muskogee, Muskogee County, Oklahoma,

The proceeds of the sale are to be applied to the satisfaction of said judgment as follows:

- First - to pay the costs of a like sale of the property of this suit.
- Second - the satisfaction of this judgment, together with interest and attorney's fees.
- Third - The residue, if any, to be paid into this court to await distribution by the Court.

It is further ordered that John F. Victore, he, and he is hereby appointed receiver of the above property, to take charge of same, collect the rents thereon until the sale of thereof under this judgment, and report same into this court that the income therefrom may be applied on this judgment as hereinbefore shown.

J. H. ...
Judge

W. E. Williams
Assistant United States Attorney

Filed in Open Court
May 13, 1948
W. E. Williams, Clerk
U. S. District Court D. C.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 761 - Equity. ✓

WILLIAM ...
Defendants.

Defendants.

Not on this 13th day of May, 1948, it is ordered by the Court that a writ of temporary injunction be, and the same is hereby, taken under advisement. (F.D.J. Judge).

Court adjourned until May 17, 1948.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, May 12th, 1942.

Present: Hon. F. B. Lonsager, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
J. F. Farfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
SITTING AT WASHINGTON, D. C.

The Sovereign Camp of the Woodman
of the World, Plaintiff
vs.

Ruth E. Hawkins, Eugene Hawkins,
Ray Thomas, Elsa Carlson, Emma L.
Freeman, Ruth E. Hawkins, Louisa
Anderson, Irene Hawkins, Madison
Hawkins, Tom Hawkins, Joyce Rice
and Ruth E. Hawkins as special
Administratrix of the estate of
Madison C. Hawkins, deceased, Defendants.

NO. 695 Equity.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF DECREE

This cause came on to be heard at this term; it was argued by counsel, and thereon upon consideration thereof, and it appearing that the sum due on the policy of insurance herein was paid by plaintiff into the hands of the Clerk of this court at the time of the filing of the bill herein, and still remains in the hands of said Clerk.

It further appears that to the bill of Interpleader filed herein by the plaintiff, Sovereign Camp of the Woodman of the World, the defendants, Ruth E. Hawkins, Eugene Hawkins, Ray Thomas, Elsa Carlson, and Emma L. Freeman, defendants herein, and heirs at law of Charles E. Hawkins, deceased, filed their answer and response to the bill of Interpleader filed herein by the plaintiff, setting forth that they were entitled to the funds herein above mentioned; and that the defendants, Ruth E. Hawkins, Louisa Anderson, Irene Hawkins, Madison Hawkins, Tom Hawkins, and Joyce Rice, heirs at law of Madison C. Hawkins, deceased, filed their answer and response to the bill of Interpleader filed herein, claiming that they were entitled to receive the funds paid unto the Clerk of this court by the plaintiff herein.

And it further appears that said cause was set down for trial at Tulsa, Oklahoma on the 11th day of February, 1942, that the defendants, Ruth E. Hawkins, Eugene Hawkins, Ray Thomas, Elsa Carlson, Emma L. Freeman, were present in person and by their attorney of record, Richard L. Westley; and that the defendants Ruth E. Hawkins, Louisa Anderson, Irene Hawkins, Madison Hawkins, Tom Hawkins, Joyce Rice, and Ruth E. Hawkins, as special Administratrix of the Estate of Madison C. Hawkins, deceased, were present in person and by their attorney of record, John T. Gardner of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and that, Wilson, Johnson, Burdick, and Hill of Tulsa, Oklahoma;

that said cause was heard by the court on said date chiefly upon the agreed statement of facts and other testimony offered at said hearing; and that the agreed statement of facts and the testimony offered in said cause the court finds:

That Charles H. Hawkins was issued a policy of insurance in the Sovereign Camp of the Woodmen of the World on the 13th day of April, 1909, in the maximum sum of \$5000.00; that Ruth E. Hawkins, his wife, was designated therein as beneficiary; that said policy was surrendered to the insured on August 31, 1911, and on the 5th day of September, 1911, a new certificate was issued wherein Madison C. Hawkins, brother of Charles H. Hawkins, was named as beneficiary; that Madison C. Hawkins died September 4, 1931; that Charles H. Hawkins died June 15, 1931; that there was no new designation of beneficiary in said policy after the death of Madison C. Hawkins by the said Charles H. Hawkins prior to his death on June 15, 1931; that Ruth E. Hawkins is the surviving widow and Eugene Hawkins, May Thomas, Elsa German, and Wana L. Freeman are the surviving children of Charles H. Hawkins, deceased; that at the date that Madison C. Hawkins was designated as the beneficiary of Charles H. Hawkins, Charles H. Hawkins was indebted to Madison C. Hawkins in the sum of approximately \$3500.00.

The court further finds that Section 55-2 of the Laws, Constitution, and By-Laws, of the Sovereign Camp of the Woodmen of the World provides in part as follows:

"In the event of the death of all beneficiaries designated before the death of the member, if no new designation has been made, the benefit shall be paid to the surviving widow and surviving children of the member share and share alike - * * *"

The court further finds that under the Constitution, Laws, and By-Laws of the Sovereign Camp of the Woodmen of the World, the surviving widow Ruth E. Hawkins and surviving children, Eugene Hawkins, May Thomas, Elsa German, and Wana L. Freeman, were in effect designated as alternative beneficiaries in said policy in the event of the death of the designated beneficiary, Madison C. Hawkins, prior to the death of the insured member, Charles H. Hawkins.

The court further finds that the act of Charles H. Hawkins in designating Madison C. Hawkins as the beneficiary of said policy was only a voluntary act on the part of Charles H. Hawkins, and was not required or demanded by Madison C. Hawkins as security for the debt owing by the said Charles H. Hawkins to the said Madison C. Hawkins at the time of said designation.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED AND ORDERED BY THE COURT that the plaintiff herein, Sovereign Camp of the Woodmen of the World, be and it is fully discharged from all liability whatever on its policy of insurance on the life of the said Charles H. Hawkins, which policy or certificate is numbered 8071-I.T., and dated September 5, 1911; and that the defendants herein, and each of them, are hereby enjoined from making any further claim against the plaintiff on account of said policy, or anything growing out of the same.

It is further ordered that costs and an attorney's fee of \$70.00 be awarded in favor of plaintiff payable out of the fund.

IT IS BY THE COURT CONSIDERED AND ORDERED, HEREBY RECORDED, that Ruth E. Hawkins, surviving widow, Eugene Hawkins, May Thomas, Elsa German,

The three children of the said Charles J. Hawkins, deceased, are entitled to the proceeds of the policy after the said attorney's fee herein above referred to have been paid, and the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Delaware is hereby ordered and directed to pay said proceeds and remaining in his hand after the payment of the costs of this action, and an attorney's fee of 75.00 to the plaintiff, to the said Ruth B. Hawkins, Eugene Hawkins, Jay Thomas, Eliza Gorman, Emma L. Gorman, to all of which the defendants state... Hawkins, personally and as Administratrix execut.

Dated this 17 day of May, 1942.

J. H. ...

Attorney for the ... Hawkins et al

RECORDED: Filed May 17 1942
J. H. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court

U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE, CHANCERY DIVISION

INTRODUCE TO THE COURT ... Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. 748
IN CHANCERY

THE ... Defendants.

APPLICANTS FOR LEAVE TO DISMISS

Come now the plaintiffs and upon the affidavit of Harold S. Williams, one of their solicitors, dated ... the verified proposed amendment to the bill of complaint herein, ask leave to amend said bill of complaint accordingly, in that said ... as in the case of the other defendants, on May 11, 1942, why a receiver should not be appointed as hereinafore prayed and applied for herein.

Dated this 17th day of May, 1942.

Attorneys for the plaintiffs.

Done at ...

RECORDED: Filed May 17 1942
J. H. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court

District Judge.

IN SENATE
COMMITTEE ON LANDS

The City of Pawnee, a Municipal Corporation of Pawnee County, Nebraska, et al,

vs.

The City of Pawnee, a Municipal Corporation of Pawnee County, Nebraska, et al, et al. vs. et al.
Johnsen, Mayor of Pawnee, Pawnee County, Nebraska, et al. Markdall, Sheriff of Pawnee County, Nebraska, and the District Attorney General,
Defendants.

STATE OF NEBRASKA

On the 18th day of May, 1918, this matter came on for hearing by agreement of counsel for the plaintiff and counsel for the defendants in open court on the bill of complaint of the plaintiff, on the answer and cross-bill of the defendants, the City of Pawnee, a municipal corporation of Pawnee County, Nebraska. The plaintiff, United States of America, in its own behalf and in behalf of Colonel Moore, Arthur Bell, Lora White, and Vernon E. C. Lurie, restricted Pawnee Indians, appeared by J. A. Williams, Assistant United States District Attorney, the defendant, the City of Pawnee, a municipal corporation, appeared by its City Attorney, J. Lee Johnson, and by Mc Collum & McCallum, Colonel Moore and Lora White appeared in person, and were present during all of said proceedings; Vernon E. C. Lurie, appeared also by his guardian ad litem, J. A. Snyder, Representative of the Pawnee Indian Agency appointed for and in behalf of the Department of Interior of the United States and was present during all of said proceedings.

The City Court, after the filing of the evidence on a 16 condemnation and the stipulation and exhibits of the parties hereto, and the agreement of the United States District Attorney for said district, on behalf of the United States of America, and in behalf of the Secretary of the Interior of the United States and in behalf of Colonel Moore, Arthur Bell, Lora White and Vernon E. C. Lurie, restricted Pawnee Indians, and of J. Lee Johnson, City Attorney, and J. A. McCallum of the defendant, City of Pawnee, a municipal corporation, finds that the allegations contained in the cross-bill filed herein by the City of Pawnee, a municipal corporation, are correct, that said City of Pawnee, a municipal corporation, is authorized by the Constitution and Statutes of the State of Nebraska to acquire, construct, maintain and operate water works for the purpose of supplying water to its citizens and industries, and for the municipal uses of said city; that it is necessary that the City of Pawnee acquire a new water supply for said city; that it is necessary that said city have, own and control the wells in described lands:

The Northeast Quarter (NW) of Section 17 (30), Township 17 North, Range 12 West, and situate in Pawnee County, State of Nebraska, owned by Colonel Moore;

The Southeast Quarter (SE) of Section 18 North Quarter (NW) of Section Nineteen (19), Township 17 North, Range 12 West, lying in the State of Nebraska, owned by Arthur Bell;

The West Half (W) of the Northwest Quarter (NW) of Section 18 North Quarter (NW) of Section Nineteen (19) Township 17 North, Range

The Northeast quarter (NE¹) of Section Nineteen (19), Township 22 North, Range 5 E. T. M., lying and situate in Pawnee County, Oklahoma, owned by Nora White;

The Northeast quarter (NE¹) of the Southeast quarter (SE¹) of Section Nineteen (19), Township 22 North, Range 5 E. T. M., lying and situate in Pawnee County, Oklahoma, owned by Vernon L. Lurie.

The court further finds that Colonel Moore, Arthur Field, Nora White and Vernon L. Lurie, and each of them, are restricted Pawnee Indians; that they and each of them live in Pawnee County, North of Judicial District of Oklahoma, where said land is located, and the above described real estate is restricted Indian allotment; that Dolly Moore is the wife of Colonel Moore; that Annawake Buchanan Field is the wife of Arthur Field; that Vernon L. Lurie is a single person and that Nora White is a single person.

The Court further finds that the proposed taking by the City of Pawnee, a municipal corporation, is for the public use as a site for a dam and Reservoir for water works purposes, and that the taking of said land by the said City of Pawnee is necessary and is authorized by law.

The Court further finds that the said City of Pawnee entered upon and took possession and appropriated said land on the 13th day of April, 1932, under reported authority of the District Court of Pawnee County, Oklahoma.

Now, therefore, it is hereby ORDERED, that the cross-bill of the City of Pawnee, of Pawnee, Oklahoma, a municipal corporation, herein be, in all things granted, and said lands are hereby condemned for the use and benefit of the City of Pawnee, of Pawnee, Oklahoma, a municipal corporation, as charged in said cross-bill; possession of said premises by said City of Pawnee, is authorized from and after the time of the payment into this court the amount as awarded by the Condemnation Commissioners hereinafter shown, and said lands to be condemned being described as follows, to wit:

The Northeast quarter (NE¹) of Section Twenty (20), Township 22 North, Range 5 E. T. M. lying and situate in Pawnee County, State of Oklahoma, owned by Colonel Moore;

The Southeast quarter (SE¹) of the Northeast quarter (NE¹) of Section Nineteen (19), Township 22 North, Range 5 E. T. M., lying and situate in Pawnee County, Oklahoma, and owned by Arthur Field;

The South Half (S¹/₂) of the Northeast quarter (NE¹) of Section Nineteen (19) Township 22 North, Range 5 E. T. M. lying and situate in Pawnee County, Oklahoma, owned by Nora White;

The Northeast quarter (NE¹) of the Southeast quarter (SE¹) of Section Nineteen (19), Township 22 North, Range 5 E. T. M., lying and situate in Pawnee County, Oklahoma, owned by Vernon L. Lurie.

The owners of said lands in open Court before the appointment of Commissioners and consented that A. L. Wood of Tulsa, Oklahoma, George Smith of Muskogee, Oklahoma, and E. T. Davis of Pawnee, Oklahoma, all of whom are disinterested persons and all residents of the State of Oklahoma, be appointed as Commissioners in this proceeding. The court do hereby

and report into this Court the amount of damages to be sustained by the owners and persons interested in account of such taking.

It is the order of this Court that J. L. Brown, George Smith, J. L. Davis, who are disinterested persons and all residents of Pawnee County, Oklahoma, be, and are hereby appointed Commissioners in this cause for the purpose to ascertain, assess, and report into this Court the amount of damages, that will be sustained by the owners and persons interested in account of such taking. Each of said tracts to be appraised separately, and the damages sustained by the land owners and each of them to be separately stated, likewise that the damages of the tenants in possession, if any, be separately stated. That said damages be fixed as of April the 15th, 1932, the date of the appropriation of the said lands by the said City of Pawnee, That the first meeting of said Commissioners shall be held in the office of the Court Clerk of the Pawnee County District Court at Pawnee, Oklahoma, on the 15th day of May, 1932, at 9 o'clock a. m. of that date, and said Commissioners shall then and there take oath and qualify, as required by law, and enter upon the discharge of their duties as such Commissioners, shall view said lands and premises and hear the proofs of owners or all parties interested therein, in accordance with the instructions given by the Court, and ascertain, award and report into this Court the amount of damages that will be sustained by the several owners and persons and each of them, separately stated, on account of such taking, and do and perform any and all acts required by law of their office as such Commissioners in said proceedings. The compensation of each of said Commissioners is hereby fixed at \$4.00 for each day said Commissioners shall be engaged in the performance of the duties as such Commissioners, and to be taxed as costs in this cause.

By: J. L. JOHNSON, City Atty.
McCORMICK & McCORMICK
Attys for City of Pawnee, Oklahoma.
A. W. HAMILTON
Assist U. S. Atty.

W. L. HARRIS
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 17 1932
T. F. Griffith, Clerk
U. S. District Court J. L.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff,

vs.

The City of Pawnee, a Municipal Corporation,
of Pawnee County, Oklahoma, R. E. Johnson,
Mayor of City of Pawnee, Oklahoma, C. W.
Burdick, Sheriff of Pawnee County, Oklahoma,
and the Clark Construction Company,
Defendants.

No. 740 Equity

ON REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS

That on this 16th day of May, 1932, it had been reported to the Court

That Vernon S. S. Murie, one of the restricted Pawnee Indians on whose behalf the Government instituted the within suit, is a minor and should be represented in this suit by a Guardian Ad Litem, and that A. N. Snyder, by virtue of his office as Superintendent of the Pawnee Indian Agency, is his legal guardian and a fit and proper person for such appointment;

It is the order and judgment of the Court that said A. N. Snyder be, and he is appointed Guardian Ad Litem in this suit of the person and property of the said Vernon S. S. Murie, restricted Pawnee Indian, and the owner of the

North east quarter (NE4) of the Southeast Quarter (SE4) of Section Nineteen (19), Township Twenty-two (22), Range Five (5), Pawnee County, Oklahoma.

A. N. SNYDER
GUARDIAN

C. L. WILLIAMS
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed May 17 1938
C. E. Sheffield, Clerk
U. S. District Court J. D.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff,

vs.

The City of Pawnee, a Municipal Corporation of Pawnee County, Oklahoma, et al, Defendants.

No. 748 Equity. ✓

O R D E R

On this 18th day of May, 1938, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open court on the Bill of Complaint of the plaintiff, asking for a temporary injunction after notice, and plaintiff being represented by C. L. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendants, the City of Pawnee, a Municipal Corporation, being represented by its attorneys, Le Cullow & C. G. Cole, and J. Lee Johnson, City Attorney for Pawnee, and both sides having announced ready for hearing on said application, and the attorneys for both sides having argued said matter to the best of their ability, and there being no dispute of the facts therein, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds: That it has jurisdiction to hear and determine the matter and controversies herein because of the fact that the title to the land involved herein is restricted Pawnee Indian land and belongs to restricted Pawnee Indians;

and it being shown to the Court that the parties herein have waived their rights to the trial of the cause, and that they have full and complete

For all purposes, and in no being so disparate as to the rights of the plaintiff, the court ordered the defendant to cease and desist from the disposal of said land until the plaintiff has been notified in writing by the defendant that they will obtain the necessary permits and the report of said commissioner of land and minerals as required by said statute to be filed in this court.

If the defendant fails to obey the order of the court to cease and desist from the disposal of said land, the plaintiff shall be entitled to file their answer and cross bill, setting up their rights as to a re-division of said land described in the bill of the plaintiff, and that the latter proceed without delay toward said condemnation; and that the application of the plaintiff for a temporary injunction be granted at all times, pending further orders of the court, and until the court orders otherwise.

D. ...
1943

O...
A. ...
A. ...
Assistant United States Attorney,
Attorney for United States.

A. ...
Attorney for the defendant

cc: ...

RECORDED: Filed May 1, 1943
P. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 15, 1943.

REPORTER'S RECORD MURKIN, OKLAHOMA MAY 10, 1943

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, 10:00 a.m., May 10, 1943.

Present: Hon. F. W. Lonsinger, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
P. ... Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were ordered, to-wit:

THE COURT ORDERED THAT THE PLAINTIFFS BE
ADMITTED TO THE LANDS OF THE UNITED STATES

1. ... Defendant
vs. Plaintiff
... Refers to.

On reading and filing the petition of C. J. ... the receiver herein, it is ordered that the disposition and sale of ... original trailer and 1400 gallon tank ... to the Court that Three hundred Twenty-five (\$325.00) ... value of said trailer and tank and that the sale thereof to John Lacey is for the benefit of the Receivership Estate; it is, therefore,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the receiver herein be and he hereby is authorized to sell to John Lacey the said ... trailer and 1400 gallon tank for the sum of Three hundred Twenty-five (\$325.00) Dollars cash and to execute such bill of sale and written instrument as may be necessary to transfer the titles of the same to the said John Lacey.

Dated this 19 day of May, 1932.

W. E. ...
United States District Judge

RECORDED: Filed May 18 1932
W. E. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN RE ... RECEIVERSHIP OF ...
SUCCESSION OF ...

A. ... Complainant }
vs. }
SUNSHINE OIL COMPANY }
SUNSHINE OIL COMPANY }
Defendants }

O. R. ...

Upon filing and reading of the application of the receiver herein for the purchase of what is known as a stabilizer, to-wit: Tulsa Type Fractional Distillation Column 42"-30" x 41'7", capacity approximately 14,000 gallons per day, complete with 4" high pressure heat exchanger, two 60 cfm steam pumps for charging and reflux, with temperature, pressure and volume controls and regulators, and one 10-6-10 pump; for installation at the natural gasoline extracting plant near Murray, Texas, for the sum of Two thousand (\$2,000.00) Dollars, and it appearing to the Court that the installation of said stabilizer will greatly increase the efficient and economical operation of said plant and will be for the benefit of the Receivership Estate; it is, therefore,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the receiver be and he hereby is authorized to purchase such property ... for the sum of One thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars in cash, Five hundred (\$500.00) Dollars on June 1, 1932, and Five hundred (\$500.00) Dollars on July 1, 1932.

Dated this 17th day of May, 1932.

W. E. ...
United States District Judge

RECORDED: Filed May 18 1932
W. E. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court

The aforesaid Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

H. H. ...
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 10 1922
H. H. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT
DISTRICT OF OREGON.

J. B. Stradford, }
Plaintiff, }
vs. }

Tulsa Dry Street Company, a corporation, }
Tulsa Security Company, a copartnership, } No. 1114 Equity.
composed of A. F. Howell, J. C. Hazel, C. E. }
Waite and Harry C. Miller; Farm and Home }
Savings and Loan Association of Missouri, }
a corporation, }
Defendants.

O R D E R

It now being made satisfactorily to appear to the Court that the appeal of the above-named plaintiff herein to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit has been perfected, and that further time is required for printing of the transcript on such appeal and the lodgment of the same in said Court of Appeals

IT IS ORDERED that the time for completion of the transcript on appeal and for lodgment of such appeal and record in the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, be and the same is hereby extended for a term of thirty (30) days from the expiration of extension heretofore granted in said decree.

Dated this 20th day of May, 1922.

H. H. ...
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 10 1922
H. H. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN RE THE APPLICATION OF THE PLAINTIFFS FOR A TEMPORARY INJUNCTION

Southwestern Bell Telephone Company,
 a corporation, Plaintiff,
 vs.
 The City of Tulsa, a Municipal
 Corporation, Defendant.

C. A. ...

No. ...

Now on this 10th day of May, 1932, the plaintiff herein filed herein its bill in equity against the defendant, and having applied in said bill for a temporary injunction against the defendant, and having applied to the court to set for hearing said application for temporary injunction,

It is hereby ordered that said application of the plaintiff herein for temporary injunction against the defendant herein, in the particulars alleged in the bill in this cause, be, and the time is hereby set for hearing on the 27th day of May, 1932 at the hour of 9:00 o'clock A.M., at which time the defendant above named is ordered to appear and show cause why said temporary injunction should not be granted.

United States District Judge.

FILED: Filed May 19 1932
 E. P. ... Clerk
 U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 20, 1932.

... ..

Court adjourned pursuant to adjournment,

Case by:

Whereon, the following proceedings were had and returned, to-wit:

IN RE THE

... .. Plaintiff,
 vs.
 Defendants.

...

... on the 11th day of March, 1912, ...
for under the laws of the United States of America ...
... the ...
... in possession, by the ...
... constitute ...
... appellants, and all other motions ...
... of the ...

... appellants and appellees ...
... through their attorneys ...
... heard the several motions and arguments in support ...
... all of said motions, ...
... Joseph E. Hull, U. S. Attorney and Harry ...
... as a committee to prepare the several ...
... narrative statement of the evidence and to prepare and submit to the court a ...
... narrative statement of the evidence in said cause, and that the said committee ...
... should have thirty (30) days in which to prepare and submit to said court, said ...
... narrative statement of evidence.

... for the appellants and appellees ...
... additional ninety (90) days in which to prepare and serve the record and lodge ...
... the court in the Circuit Court of Appeals.

... the United States of America, and the other appellants ...
... interested in appealing said cause, be, and they are hereby allowed an ex-
... tension of ninety (90) days in addition to the time ...
... prepare and serve the record herein and lodge their appeal in the Circuit Court of ...
... Appeals for the Ninth District.

... that all of said ...
... motions be, and the same are hereby denied.

... committee of three, consisting of Joseph E. Hull, U. S. Attorney and Harry ...
... attorneys of record in said cause, be, and they are hereby appointed as a committee, ...
... to prepare a narrative statement of the evidence in said cause, and to report ...
... to the court within thirty (30) days from this date.

W. J. ...
J.W.

Filed Mar 30 1912
W. J. Hanfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court
