

THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 5188 - Criminal. ✓
 J. MOORE, A. E. JONES and Defendants.)
 W. POWELL,

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, J. J. Moore, A. E. Jones and W. E. Powell, appearing in person and by counsel, W. Clark. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein.

 THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 5190 - Criminal. ✓
 HARRY GREENSHAW, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Harry Greenshaw, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a United States Penitentiary for a period of:

Count One, One (1) year and One (1) day
 Count Three, One (1) year and One (1) day, from date of delivery, and pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count One (1) and One (\$1.00) Dollar on Count Two (2), and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid or until he has been released by due process of law.

It is further ordered that the sentence in Count Three(3) shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One (1).

 THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 5125 - Criminal. ✓
 HARRISON, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Harry Harrison, appearing in person and by counsel, Tillman. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed as follows:

INDICTMENT

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a United States Penitentiary for a period of:

Count One, One (1) year and One (1) day,
Count Two, One (1) year and One (1) day, from
date of delivery, and pay a fine unto the United States in
the sum of One Hundred (100.00) dollars on Count One (1), and
in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine
is paid, or until he has been released by due process of law.
Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent
to sentence in Count One.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1071 - Criminal. ✓
ROY MORRISON, LYON BLACKBIRD,)
LEONARD BIRNBAUM,)
ROBERT J. ROY,)
Defendants.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, comes the United States
Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Roy Morrison,
Lyon Blackbird and Leonard Birnbaum, appearing in person and by counsel, Attorney
Robert J. Roy. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows:
Morrison enters his plea of guilty; Leon Blackbird enters his plea of
guilty; Lyon Blackbird enters his plea of not guilty, all as charged in the
indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court,
at the suggestion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be dismissed as to defendant
Blackbird. It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence
now imposed on the following defendants:

ROY MORRISON:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative for confinement in a United States Penitentiary for a period of:

Count One, One (1) year and one (1) day, from date
of delivery.
Count Two, That he pay a fine unto the United States
in the sum of twenty-five (25.00) dollars and in
default thereof, further stand committed until said
fine is paid or until he has been released by due
process of law.

And it is further ordered, that the sentence in this indictment,
shall run concurrent to sentence in case number 1070 Criminal.

LEONARD BIRNBAUM:

Sentence deferred to May 1952, 1951, at New York.

WILLIAM KOSM, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1155 - Law. ✓
THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be reset for trial June 28, 1951, at Martinsville.

WILLIAM W. SMITH, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1161 - Law. ✓
THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be reset for trial June 28, 1951, at Martinsville.

WILLIAM W. SMITH, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1194 - Law. ✓
THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, submitted on briefs.

WILLIAM W. SMITH, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1205 - Law. ✓
THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, reset for trial June 28, 1951, at Martinsville.

WILLIAM W. SMITH, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1215 - Law. ✓
THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, reset for trial June 28, 1951, at Martinsville.

WHEELER, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1228 - Law. ✓
OKLAHOMA OIL & GAS CO.)
P. M. M., Defendants.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from the docket and assignment at Bartlesville.

E. HINDS MARSH CO. Plaintiff,)
Corporation,)
-vs-) No. 1253 - Law. ✓
WHEELER, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the motion of defendant to separately state and number be, and the same be, and the same is hereby, overruled and exceptions allowed. It is further ordered by the Court that defendant be, and he is hereby, given twenty (20) days to answer. (U. S. Judge).

Court adjourned to June 2, 1931.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4386 - Criminal. ✓
MURPHY, Defendant.)

Now on this 2nd day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
ney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Carl Bradley,
ning in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty
arged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered
e Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the
United States, or his authorized representative for confine-
ment in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One (1), Sixty (60) days from date of delivery,
and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One
Hundred (100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, further
stand committed until said fine is paid or until he has been
released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that Counts 2, 3 and 4 be, and
are hereby, deferred to first Monday in January, 1932, at Tulsa.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4373 - Criminal.
SPANK, Defendant.)

Now on this 2nd day of June, A. D. 1931, Defendant, Frank Spank,
n, withdraws his former plea of not guilty and now enters his plea of
y as heretofore charged. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that
ent and sentence be deferred to May 1932, at Pawnee.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4718 - Criminal. ✓
SHAW, AND GUNDEL, HILL)
ET AL, Defendants.) No. 4731 - Criminal.

Now on this 2nd day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
ney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Carl Gunter,
ning in person and by counsel. The defendant is arraigned and enters his
of not guilty in Case No. 4718 and not guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4 in
No. 4731, all as heretofore charged in the indictments filed herein.
Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that this case, No. 4718, be consolidated
with No. 4731 and tried together so far as defendant, Carl Gunter, is con-
cerned. Thereafter, said case is called, both sides having their respective
pleas and defenses duly entered and sworn to by the respective sides
challenged. The jury sworn to try said case called and returned verdict

No. 4712

... is as follows: W. B. Boswell, H. L. Poteete, ... Milton, ... Caldwell, A. B. Forister, A. S. Schuch, ... Lemis, ... Higgs, Clarence Bradshaw. Thereupon, all witnesses are ... in open court. Thereafter, the Government introduced evidence and ... with the following witnesses: Jack Benson, Buck Starr, ... Henry, J. M. ... P. A. Hubbard, Sheriff Mc Donald, W. S. Bentry, ... Miller, Paul ... And thereafter, the Government rests. The defendant inroduces evidence and proof with the following witness: Fred Sumpster. And thereafter, ... sides rest. And thereafter, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that said No. 4712 be and the ... is hereby dismissed, also Counts 3 and 4 of Case No. 4781 are hereby dis- ... Thereafter, closing arguments of counsel are waived, and the Court ... ducts the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retire in ... of a sworn panel to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And the ... er, on this same day the jury return into open court and through their ... man present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff;
vs.) No. 4781
FRED SUMPSTER Defendant;

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly em-
paneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant,
Fred Sumpster, guilty, as charged in the first count of the
indictment.
We further find the defendant, Fred Sumpster, guilty,
as charged in the second count of the indictment.

C. J. ...
SEEN In Open Court
Jan 8 1931
L. J. ... Clerk
C. J. ... District Court

And thereafter, the jury having announced their true
dict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from
their consideration of said case.

and thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and
sentence be now imposed on defendant, Fred Sumpster, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the
United States or his authorized representative for confine-
ment in a County Jail for a period of:
Count One, Ninety (90) days,
Count Two, Ninety (90) days, ... delivery,
and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of
One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count No. 1, and in default
thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid or until
he has been released by due process of law. ...
Sentence shall run consecutively to the ...

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4721 - Criminal. ✓
FRED SUMPTER, Defendant.)

Now on this 2nd day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
ney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Fred Sumpter,
ning in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not guil-
Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein.
record of trial of Fred Sumpter see Case No. 4718 Criminal.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4846 - Criminal. ✓
BEULAH WOOTEH, Defendant.)

Now on this 2nd day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
ney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Mrs. Beulah
n, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters her plea of
y as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is
ed by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred to the next term
urt in Dulac, 1931.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4947 - Criminal. ✓
J.G., Defendant.)

Now on this 2nd day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause
on for trial. Defendant present in person and by counsel, and the Plain-
is represented by the United States Attorney. Thereupon, both sides
nee ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifica-
E. L. Barnes is created by the Court. The defendant challenges W. C.
ll. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as
ws: T. A. Arnussen, Roy B. Painter, Will Barnett, E. A. Gresham, W. E.
, W. W. Linville, J. L. Beck, Fred Bishop, W. W. Feteete, Sam Hoffman, J. R.
, W. W. Elton. Thereupon, opening statements of counsel are made and the
is invoked. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the follow-
itnesses: Victor Seals, Carl Seals, Ernest (phonetic). And thereafter, the
ment rests. The defendant demurs to evidence introduced by the Plaintiff
demurer is, by the court, overruled. Thereafter, the defendant intro-
vidence and proof with the following witness: Layberg. And thereafter,
on layberg having expired, the jury is admonished by the Court, and court
cessed to 1:30 P. M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 P. M. on this same day, court is again in
on. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member,
nt, and in the bar. The defendant continues with the introduction of
nee and proof with layberg, Cleopatra Swenon, Felix Gottrell, Ross
s, Alvin (phonetic), W. W. Stanert, Mrs. (phonetic), Carl (phonetic), J. C. Baker.
Thereafter, defendant rests. Both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5079 - Criminal. ✓
JOHN W. GIBSON, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, comes the United States
ney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, John W. Gibson,
ring in person. The defendant is arraigned, pleads true name to be Leslie
Smith, and enters a plea of not guilty as charged in the indictment
before filed herein. And thereafter, defendant withdraws his former plea
of guilty and now enters his plea of guilty as heretofore charged. There-
it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred to
after 1951 at Tulsa.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5081 - Criminal. ✓
MARGARET MONTGOMERY, Defendant.)

Now on this 2nd day of June, A. D. 1951, comes the United States
ney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Margaret Montgorn,
ring in person and by counsel. The defendant is arraigned and enters her
of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore
herein. And thereafter, defendant withdraws her former plea of not guilty
unts 1 and 2 as charged, and now enters, with the permission of the Court
istrict Attorney, her plea of Nolo Contendere, as charged in the Informa-
heretofore filed herein; which said plea is accepted by the Court. There-
, opening statements of counsel are made and witnesses are sworn and
ned. And thereupon, after being fully advised in the presence of the Court,
ordered that defendant is guilty and that judgment and sentence be de-
s to May 1952, at Pawlaska.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5085 - Criminal. ✓
WILLIAM W. MILLER, Defendant.)

Now on this 2nd day of June, A. D. 1951, comes the United States
ney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, W. W. Miller,
ring in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of not guilty
arged in the indictment heretofore filed herein.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5091 - Criminal. ✓
W. W. MILLER, alias W. W. MILLER, Defendant.)

Now on this 2nd day of June, A. D. 1951, comes the United States

5091 H. HENSON.

... the Government herein, and the defendant, Harry J. Henson
... in person and by counsel William. The defendant
... to be Harry J. Henson, and returns dis-
... to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4 as charged in the indictment heretofore
... case is called, both sides ready. The defendant
... counsel, and the Government is represented by the
... attorney. The jury is duly examined and sworn as to qualifications.
... The Government waives challenges.
... and a true verdict render is as follows:
... Haldenell, A. J. Bonister, A. C. Schuman, ... Lewis, Claude Sample,
... Hutton, ... Spruce Bradshaw, ... Hett, Mr. Wood, B. C. Tate-
... H. A. ... All witnesses are sworn in open court in the rule is in-
... of counsel are waived. The Government in produces
... the following witnesses: H. Henson, R. McCarter, J. ...
... Chas. ... And thereafter, the Government
... evidence and sworn with the following wit-
... HARRY J. HENSON. And thereafter, both sides rest. After
... it is ordered by the Court, that Counts
... and they are hereby, dismissed. Closing arguments of counsel are
... the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. The jury re-
... to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And there-
... the jury return into open court and through their
... which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
IN RE: HARRY J. HENSON

WHEREAS HARRY J. HENSON, Defendant,
-vs- No. 1091

AND WHEREAS ...

VERDICT

... the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly ex-
... find the defendant,
... guilty, as charged in the first count
...
... find the defendant, Harry J. Henson,
... guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

... HENSON
... Henson.
...
... District Court.

... the jury ... to be their true
... It is ordered by the Court ... jury be discharged from
... cause.

... it is ordered ... and con-
... as follows:

On the 2nd day of June, A. D. 1933, the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan, sitting in Grand Jury Court at Ann Arbor, Michigan, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. W. B. Lenneman, Judge of the Court.

W. B. Lenneman, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John W. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.
John W. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

JOHN W. VICKREY, Marshal,
-vs-
JOHN LONGACRE,
Plaintiff,
No. 1988 - Criminal.
Defendant.

Now on this 2nd day of June, A. D. 1933, comes the United States Marshal, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, John Longacre, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty unto 1, 2, and 3, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Wherefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred unto 10th, at Toledo.

JOHN W. VICKREY, Marshal,
-vs-
JOHN LONGACRE,
Plaintiff,
No. 2165 - Criminal.
Defendant.

Now on this 2nd day of June, A. D. 1933, comes the United States Marshal, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, John Longacre, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty unto 1, 2, 3, and 4, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Wherefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred unto 10th, at Toledo.

JOHN W. VICKREY, Marshal,
-vs-
JOHN LONGACRE,
Plaintiff,
No. 2166 - Criminal.
Defendant.

Now on this 2nd day of June, A. D. 1933, comes the United States Marshal, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Marie Perry, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters her plea of guilty unto 1 and 2, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. The Court is advised by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred to 10th, at Toledo.

THE STATE OF IOWA, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 J. McHALL and KATHERINE MILLER, Defendants.)

No. 4817 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 3rd day of June, A. D. 1981, it is ordered by the Court, upon the motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said cause be, and the same hereby, dismissed as to defendant Katherine Miller.

THE STATE OF IOWA, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 MERIC FERR, Defendant.)

No. 4609 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 3rd day of June, A. D. 1981, before the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Meric Ferr, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters pleas of guilty charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred to May, 1982, at Pampa, Iowa.

THE STATE OF IOWA, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 J. SMITH & OTIS CUSH, Defendants.)

No. 4608 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 3rd day of June, A. D. 1981, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be stricken from the trial assignment of this Court.

THE STATE OF IOWA, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-)
 J. COLLINS, Defendant.)

No. 4606 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 3rd day of June, A. D. 1981, the defendant in the above entitled cause is thrice called in open court but answer not. Sureties and bond are thrice called in open court but answer not. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that the bond be forfeited in the above entitled cause, the same is hereby forfeited, Scire Facias awarded, alias copies ordered, new bond set in the sum of \$2000.00. And thereafter, the bond forfeiture to be made is now set aside and case set for June 14, 1981.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4991 - ✓
Erine Brock, et al, Defendant,)

O R D E R

Now on this 3rd day of June A. D. 1931, same being a regular day of the regular June A. D. 1931 term of said court, sitting at Bartlesville, above, there coming on for hearing the motion of the defendant, Cathrine Brock, asking that she be probated on her sentence under the contempt of court proceedings in the above entitled matter, and the court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, finds that the said defendant was at Vinita, Oklahoma, sentenced to ninety (90) days for violation of the national prohibition laws, and that thereafter she was given an additional ninety (90) days as and contempt of court, and it further appearing to the court that said defendant served her ninety(90) days for a violation of the national prohibition laws, that her motion for probation should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that said defendant be, and she is hereby probated for a period of one (1) year in this case or until the further order of court.

E. L. BARNHART
District Judge.

W. H. HARRIS
Assistant U. S. Attorney.

CLERK: Filed Jun 3 1931
E. L. Barnhart, Clerk
U. S. District Court NE

United States of America, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5088 - Criminal. ✓
John Smith, Defendant.)

Now on this 3rd day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause come on for trial. Defendant present in person and by counsel, the Government represented by the United States Attorney. Thereafter, both sides having counsel ready for trial, said case is called. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn to its qualifications. The Government challenges J. L. Mills. Defendant challenges J. L. Corwell. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict for is returned: J. B. Painter, J. Barnett, E. A. Cresham, L. R. Firville, L. Barnes, J. A. West, Fred Bishop, J. E. Lotzate, Ed. Hoffman, J. K. Alton, J. Walter, S. J. Caldwell. Thereafter, all of the jury are sworn in open court. The Government introduces evidence and reads aloud the following witnesses names, J. L. Corwell. And thereafter, after considering the facts and the things introduced herein, it is ordered by the court that said cause be dismissed, due to insufficient evidence.

1168 Cr. Contd.

INDICTMENT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff ;
vs.) No. 1168
ADOLF BURN Defendant)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly empaneled
and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Adolph
Burn, guilty, as charged in the first count of the
indictment.
We further find the defendant, Adolph Burn,
guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.
We further find the defendant, Adolph Burn,
guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.

HARRY A. HILLMAN
When in Open Court Here on.
June 3, 1931
W. L. Warfield, Clerk

Geo. H. Morgan

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff ;
vs.) No. 1169
GEORGE H. MORGAN Defendant)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly empaneled
and sworn, upon our oaths find the defendant, George H.
Morgan, guilty, as charged in the first count of the indict-
ment.
We further find the defendant, George H. Morgan, guilty,
as charged in the second count of the indictment.
We further find the defendant, George H. Morgan, guilty,
as charged in the third count of the indictment.

HARRY A. HILLMAN
When in Open Court Here on.
June 3, 1931
W. L. Warfield, Clerk

And hereafter, the jury having an cause this to be their true
and certain verdict, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from
the consideration of said case.
And hereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from
the consideration of said case.

11812-11814

be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of 30:

Count One, Sixty (\$60.00) days from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars, on Count One; One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count Two; and Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars, on Count Three, and in default thereof, stand further committed until said fine is paid or until he has been released by due process of law.

11812-11814

be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Sixty (\$60.00) days from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars, on Count One; One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count Two; and Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars, on Count Three, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

11812-11814

Plaintiff,

-xx-

c. 1177 - Criminal.

11812-11814

On the 3rd day of June, A. D. 1951, before the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, the Government herein, and the defendant, J. Edgar Hoover, were present. The defendant is charged and enters his plea of guilty to the offense charged in the indictment herein. It is ordered by the Court that said defendant's sentence be deferred to a later date, to-wit: 1951.

11812-11814

Plaintiff,

-xx-

c. 1181 - Criminal.

11812-11814

On the 3rd day of June, A. D. 1951, before the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, the Government herein, and the defendant, J. Edgar Hoover, were present. The defendant is charged and enters his plea of guilty to the offense charged in the indictment herein. It is ordered by the Court that said defendant's sentence be deferred to a later date, to-wit: 1951.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 8833 - Criminal.)
F. I. ELLIOTT,)
Defendants.)

Now on this 3rd day of June, A. D. 1935, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, F. I. Elliott, Eddie Upchurch and Ray Elliott, appearing in person. The defendants are each signed and each enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4, as recited in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, said case is called. Defendants F. I. Elliott, Ray Elliott and Eddie Upchurch present in person and by counsel, and announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. J. M. Painter, Bennett, T. A. Cresham, W. W. Linville, H. L. Barnes, C. A. Beck, Fred W. Cop, J. M. Koteeto, Arthur Hoffman, J. R. Alton, C. M. Dalton, C. W. Caldwell. Witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: C. T. Martin, J. L. Pierson, W. E. Brown, Daniel Mitts, W. W. Wolverton, Harley Martin. And thereafter the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished by Court, and court is recessed to 1:30 P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 P.M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore, and the jury, each and every one, present, and in the box. The Government continues with Harley Martin, thereafter, the Government rests. Each defendant demurs to evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrers are, by the Court overruled. Thereupon, Defendants introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: J. Cunningham, Frances Alexander, Mrs. J. W. Porter, J. W. Porter, W. E. Hayes, F. I. Elliott, Ray Elliott, W. W. Walker, Eddie Upchurch, J. D. Harrison. And thereafter, the defendants rest. The Government offers rebuttal testimony of C. T. Martin and W. W. Wolverton. And thereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made, and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. And thereafter, the jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. Thereafter, on this same day, the jury return into open court, and through their foreman, present their verdicts, which verdicts are in words and figures as follows:

F. I. ELLIOTT:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff)
vs.)
F. I. ELLIOTT, Defendant)

VERDICT

That the jury in the above-entitled cause duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, F. I. Elliott, not guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment. Do further find the defendant, F. I. Elliott, not guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment. Do further find the defendant, F. I. Elliott, not guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.

INDICTMENT:

The further find the defendant, W. J. Elliot, not guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.

W. J. Elliot
Jan 5 1931
W. J. Warfield, Clerk

VERDICT:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF ...

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1234
W. J. Elliot,) Defendant.)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oath, find the defendant, W. J. Elliot, not guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment. We further find the defendant, W. J. Elliot, not guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment. We further find the defendant, W. J. Elliot, not guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment. We further find the defendant, W. J. Elliot, not guilty, as charged in the fourth count of the indictment.

W. J. Elliot
Jan 5 1931
W. J. Warfield, Clerk

INDICTMENT:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF ...

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 5678
W. J. Elliot,) Defendant.)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oath, find the defendant, W. J. Elliot, guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment. We further find the defendant, W. J. Elliot, guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment. We further find the defendant, W. J. Elliot, guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment. We further find the defendant, W. J. Elliot, guilty, as charged in the fourth count of the indictment.

W. J. Elliot
Jan 5 1931
W. J. Warfield, Clerk

ERIE R. R. v. ...

Whereafter, the jury having announced their verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

It is further ordered by the Court that the judgment and sentence of defendant, Eddie Archard, be passed to June 4, 1931.

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 8536 - Criminal. ✓
E. BARK, and W. S. ... Defendants.)

Now on this 3rd day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Ruby Alms and Mrs. Ruby Alms, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of not guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. And thereafter, defendant Mrs. Ruby Alms withdraws her former plea of not guilty as charged and now enters her plea ofnolo contendere, which is accepted by the Court. And thereafter, defendant, Ruby Alms withdraws her former plea of not guilty as charged and now enters his plea of guilty. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court, that said case be dismissed as to defendant, Mrs. Ruby Alms and that judgment and sentence be imposed on defendant, Ruby Alms, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Sixty (60) Days, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid or until he has been released by due process of law. It is further ordered that the sentence of commitment shall begin and run from date of original incarceration.

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 8540 - Criminal. ✓
... Defendant.)

Now on this 3rd day of June, A. D. 1931, said above styled case called. Defendant present in person and by counsel and the Government represented by the U. S. Attorney. Thereafter, both sides announce readiness for trial. The jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides challenge. The jury sworn to try said cause and the verdict rendered is as follows: ... witnesses are sworn and examined in each case. ... introduces evidence and reads with the following witnesses:

1981-06-03

order, n. v. v. v. and hereafter, it is ordered by the court that said
be dismissed due to insufficient evidence. It is further ordered by the
that said be discharged from further consideration of said case.

Plaintiff, v. Defendant,
-vs- No. 8348 - Criminal. ✓
Defendants.

Now on this 3rd day of June, A. D. 1981, comes the United States
they and who and is granted leave to file a Correction against defendants,
Tittle and Florence Tittle. There after, each defendant is arraigned and
on their plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed
in. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be
as follows:

DEFENDANT:

be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the
United States or his authorized representative for confine-
ment in a County Jail for a period of:

Count One, Fifteen (15) days from date of deliver.
Count Two, That he pay a fine into the United States
in the sum of One (\$1.00) dollar, and in default hereof,
further stand committed until said fine is paid or until
released by due process of law.

DEFENDANT:

pay a fine into the United States on Count One (1) in the
sum of One (\$1.00) dollar, and in default hereof, be com-
mitted to the custody of the Attorney General of the United
States or his authorized representative for confinement in
a County Jail.

pay a fine into the United States on Count Two (2) in the
sum of One (\$1.00) dollar, and in default hereof, be com-
mitted to the custody of the Attorney General of the United
States or his authorized representative for confinement in
a County Jail, until said fine is paid or until released by
due process of law.

Plaintiff, v. Defendant,
-vs- No. 8348 - Criminal. ✓
Defendants.

... Court ordered that the evidence is sufficient to establish the
... and allegations contained in the petition of the plaintiff and that
... should have and recover judgment of and from the defendant, Electric
... Company, a corporation, to wit: to pay to the plaintiff the sum of
... dollars and the costs of this action.

IT IS THE ORDER OF THE COURT that the plaintiff be and he is hereby granted judgment against the defendant,
Electric District Electric Company, a corporation, in the sum of fifteen
hundred (\$1500.00) dollars and the costs of this action.

J. B. ...
... e.

FILED: Filed In Open Court
Jun 3 1934
J. B. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court ...

On this 4th day of June, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the State of Oklahoma for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Bartlesville, met pursuant to adjournment, J. C. Williams, Clerk, present and presiding.

J. C. Williams, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John L. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John W. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 3088 - Criminal. ✓
ABRAHAM, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for trial. Defendant, Mark Abraham not present. Inasmuch, it is ordered by the Court that capias be, and the same is hereby, ordered issued.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 3095 - Criminal. ✓
ROBINSON, MRS. MARY I. LEWIS,)
ROBEYSON, MRS. MARY I. LEWIS,)
Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for trial as to defendants, Mrs. Mary I. Lewis and Mrs. Robinson. Hereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be passed to June 1931.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4057 - Criminal. ✓
McCLEARY, C. L. McCLEARY,)
Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of June, A. D. 1931, before the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants C. L. McCleary and C. L. McCleary, appearing in person. The defendants are arraigned and each enters a plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3, as charged in indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be stricken from the trial and report of this date.

4950 D.C. 4950.

proof with the following witnesses: W. T. Peterson, Ted Staubus, Birdie
mes, J. J. Inver. And hereafter, the Government rests. The defendant in-
duces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Jesse Lewis, Ed
ard. And hereafter, the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case
to retire in order of a sworn juror to deliberate upon their verdict
in. And hereafter, on this same day the jury return into open court and
and their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

"WILLING"

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
FEDERAL BUILDING, 441 CONSTITUTION AVENUE, N.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
vs.) No. 4950
J. J. Inver)

And, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly em-
paneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant,
J. J. Inver, not guilty, as charged in the indictment.

Done in open court)
at Washington, D.C.)
this 4th day of February, 1951.)
W. T. Peterson, Clerk

And hereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true
verdict, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further
attendance at said case.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Plaintiff,)
vs.))
J. J. Inver,) Defendant.)
No. 4948 - Criminal.

On or this 4th day of June, 1951, defendant, Dorothy
M. Inver, called in open court but did not appear. The parties are to
appear on the 11th day of June. Whereafter, it is ordered by the Court that
of \$100.00 in the above entitled case be, and it is hereby, for-
feited, and the same is, alias carried over and the bond set in the sum
of \$100.00.

And hereafter, covers the above stated attorney, representing
the defendant, Dorothy Hill, appearing in person.
Whereafter, he enters her plea of guilty to Counts 4 and 5 and
not guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3, all as charged in the indictment heretofore filed
in this case, and is ordered by the Court that she be sentenced to
imprisonment for the term of:

1941. H. 10041

Let it be so that the United States in No. 10041, 1941 (100.00) be held in Court Two, and if the defendant, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail, until order is made or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the defendant, be released.

It is further ordered by the Court that the defendant, be released, and the same is hereby certified.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, the Clerk of the Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original of the same as the same appears in the files of the Court.

-vs-

Plaintiff,

No. 10041 - Plaintiff.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, the Clerk of the Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original of the same as the same appears in the files of the Court.

Defendant.

On this 4th day of June, A. D. 1941, it is ordered by the Court that the defendant, be released, and the same is hereby certified.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, the Clerk of the Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original of the same as the same appears in the files of the Court.

-vs-

Plaintiff,

No. 10041 - Plaintiff.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, the Clerk of the Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original of the same as the same appears in the files of the Court.

Defendant.

On this 4th day of June, A. D. 1941, it is ordered by the Court that the defendant, be released, and the same is hereby certified.

Let it be so that the United States in No. 10041, 1941 (100.00) be held in Court Two, and if the defendant, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail, until order is made or until released by due process of law.

Let it be so that the United States in No. 10041, 1941 (100.00) be held in Court Two, and if the defendant, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail, until order is made or until released by due process of law.

THE UNITED STATES, Plaintiff, vs. No. 1139 - Criminal. ✓
-vs-
JESSIE FINDLEY, Defendant.

Now on this 4th day of June, A. D. 1951, come the United States
men, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Jessie Findley,
being in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to
Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein.
Upon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as
follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the
United States or his authorized representative for confine-
ment in a County Jail for a period of:

Count Two, (30) thirty days from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence
on Counts 1, 3 and 4 be deferred to May, 1952, at Pawhuska.

THE UNITED STATES, Plaintiff, vs. No. 1140 - Criminal. ✓
-vs-
JACK RACK, Defendant.

Now on this 4th day of June, A. D. 1951, come the United States
men, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Jack Rack,
being in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not
guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein.
Hereafter, the defendant withdraws his former plea of not guilty to Counts 1
and 2 and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as heretofore charged.
Upon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as
follows:

Count 1. Dismissed.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the
United States or his authorized representative for confine-
ment in a County Jail for a period of: 101 days.
It is further ordered that the sentence of confinement shall
begin on June 15 from date of arrival at incarceration.

THE UNITED STATES, Plaintiff, vs. No. 1141 - Criminal. ✓
-vs-
JACK RACK, Defendant.

Now on this 4th day of June, A. D. 1951, come the United States
men, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Jack Rack

Bill E. Hoff.

County Court, appearing in person. The defendants and counsel entered and entered a plea as follows: Ray Vaurin enters his plea of guilty to counts 1, 2, 3 and 4; Gertrude Fawcett enters her plea of not guilty to counts 1, 2, 3 and all as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on defendant, Ray Vaurin as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count Four, Sixty (60) days,
Count Three, Sixty (60) days, period of delivery,
and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count Four, and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid or until he has been released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count Three shall run concurrent to sentence in Count Four.

Counts dismissed.
1 and 2

It is further ordered by the Court, upon motion of the Attorney, that said case be dismissed as to defendant, Gertrude Fawcett.

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 100-1001 - Criminal.
ERWIN JENNIFER, ARTHUR C. WHITE, Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Gertrude Fawcett and Arthur C. White, appearing in person. The defendants and counsel entered and plea as follows: Gertrude Fawcett enters her plea of guilty; Arthur C. White enters his plea of not guilty, all as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, said court is called. Defendant Arthur C. White, present in person and by counsel and the Government represented heretofore stated. Thereupon, both sides announce ready for trial. A jury duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenge. A jury sworn to try said case and a true verdict rendered as follows: D. Painter, H. Bennett, W. H. Hills, J. W. Dinville, J. J. Jones, H. L. K. Fred Hickey, W. C. Postell, J. B. Potete, J. C. Cotton, A. J. Allen, J. J. ten. All witnesses sworn in open court. Thereafter, the Government introduced evidence and proof with the following witnesses: J. J. Salton. Of these men, the Government prostr. Thereafter, the defendant advised the court that he is not guilty and now enters his plea of guilty as charged. Thereafter, it is ordered by the court that said case be dismissed upon further consideration of said case. Thereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed on defendant as follows:

W. A. ...

... until said fines are paid or until ... released by the ... of law. Said sentence ... in Court One, shall run concurrent to the sentence in Court Two.

... Plaintiff,
-vs-
... Defendants.

No. 1941 - Original.

On this 4th day of June, A. D. 1941, comes the United States ... representing the Government herein, and the defendant ... herein. The defendant is arraigned, pleads true name to be ... and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4 as charged in the ... filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that ... be ... to the ... of court in 1941.

... Plaintiff,
-vs-
... Defendants.

No. 1941 - Original.

On this 4th day of June, A. D. 1941, comes the United States ... representing the Government herein, and the defendant ... herein. The defendant is arraigned, pleads true name to be ... and enters his plea of not ... as charged in ... filed ... is arraigned by the Court to represent ... and ... it is ordered by the Court that Counts 1, 2 and 3 be ... and ... defendant ... is ... to ... to Court 4 as ... it is ordered by the Court that ... and ...

... into the United States ... and in ... committed to ... by ... country ... has been released ... of law.

In this case of Fine, U. S. 1931, the defendant was
found guilty in the District of Oklahoma, with a sentence of
1 year and 6 months, not current to adjustment, U. S. 1931,
No. 1000 - Criminal.

W. W. Nichols, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John C. Calderberry, U. S. Attorney.
John C. Tucker, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following pro-
ceedings were had in and before, to-wit:

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1931 - Criminal. ✓
JOHN C. TUCKER, Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of June, A. D. 1931, came the United States
marshal, representing the Government here, and the defendant, John Tucker,
being in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty
averred in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered
the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be dismissed.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 421 - Criminal. ✓
JOHN C. TUCKER, Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court that balance of fine of defendant, said John Tucker, be, and it is hereby
so ordered as execution.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 491 - Criminal. ✓
JOHN C. TUCKER, Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court that the above styled cause be passed to the United States Marshal at Tulsa.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1000 - Criminal. ✓
JOHN C. TUCKER, U. S. MARSHAL, Defendants.)

Now on this 5th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court that sentence be affirmed to January 5th, 1932, as usual.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 5046 - Criminal. ✓

WILLIAM W. WATSON, Defendant.

Now on this 5th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Lloyd W. Wicks, and the defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty thereof in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

He be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Thirty (30) days,

Count Two, Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery,

and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of one hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid or until he has been released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 5050 - Criminal. ✓

MARTHA WEBBER, Defendant.

Now on this 5th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Martha Webber, and the defendant is arraigned and enters her plea of Not Guilty thereof, which plea is accepted by the Court. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court, with the advice of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be dismissed, for insufficient evidence.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 5051 - Criminal. ✓

LEONARD LEVY, Defendant.

Now on this 5th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the indictment against Leonard Levy be, and the same is hereby returned. It is further ordered by the Court that defendant be discharged in this case, but ordered held to next court day. It is the further order of the Court that the defendant shall be held to the same case as heretofore in this case.

U. S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 5109 - Criminal.

Mrs. I. D. Latta, Defendant.

Now on this 4th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Mrs. I. D. Latta being in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters her plea of not guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, said cause is called. Defendant present in person and by counsel and both sides once ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a verdict rendered is as follows: G. H. Caldwell, A. J. Forister, A. S. Johnson, J. L. Dennis, Claude Gamble, E. Hewitt, W. Wood, W. C. Mateman, R. L. Orr, J. A. Putman, W. L. Riggs, Clarence Bradshaw, J. A. Astausen. And after, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, said cause is dismissed, due to sufficient evidence.

U. S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 5110 - Criminal.

U. S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Defendant.

Now on this 4th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Charles W. ... appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

He be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative for confinement in the United States Penitentiary for a period of:

Two (2) years, from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine upon the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) dollars, and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid, or until he has been released by the process of law.

U. S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 5111 - Criminal.

Mrs. I. D. Latta, Defendant.

Now on this 4th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Blanche ... appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, said cause is called. Defendant present in person and by counsel and both sides once ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a verdict rendered is as follows: G. H. Caldwell, A. J. Forister, A. S. Johnson, J. L. Dennis, Claude Gamble, E. Hewitt, W. Wood, W. C. Mateman, R. L. Orr, J. A. Putman, W. L. Riggs, Clarence Bradshaw, J. A. Astausen. And after, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, said cause is dismissed, due to sufficient evidence.

REPLY TO ANSWER

That the above-named defendant, F. W. Deiler, pleads guilty as charged to the first count.

VERDICT

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 5119
F. W. DEILER Defendant)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oath, find the defendant, F. W. Deiler, guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, F. W. Deiler, guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

WITNESSETH
THE COURT
Open Court
July 8, 1951
W. L. Harfield, Clerk

REPLY TO ANSWER

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 5119
F. W. DEILER, Defendant)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oath, find the defendant, F. W. Deiler, guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, F. W. Deiler, guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

WITNESSETH
THE COURT
Open Court
July 8, 1951
W. L. Harfield, Clerk

REPLY TO ANSWER

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 5119
F. W. DEILER, Defendant)

VERDICT

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

IN RE: [Name]

... filed proof of his innocence, do plead with-
out a plea of guilty and do enter a plea of guilty as hereto-
before. It is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence
be as follows:

That the said [Name] be confined in the sum of five
(5.00) dollars, and in default thereof, be committed to
the custody of the Attorney General of the United States
or his authorized representative for confinement in a
County Jail until said fine is paid or until released by
the process of law.

[Name], Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1145 - Criminal.

[Name], Defendant.

On the 4th day of June, A. D. 1942, it is ordered by the
Court that judgment and sentence heretofore rendered be now set aside and de-
clared null and void.

[Name], Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1168 - Criminal.

[Name], Defendant.

On the 4th day of June, A. D. 1942, defendants J. J. Woods
& F. Howell withdrew their former pleas of guilty and now enter their
pleas of guilty as heretofore entered. Defendant J. B. Jones withdraws his for-
mer plea of guilty and now enters, with the permission of the Court, a plea
of guilty as charged. Thereafter, all witnesses are sworn and examined.
Upon being fully advised in due manner, defendant J. B. Jones
admits his guilt to the Court. And thereafter, defendant J. B. Jones
admits his guilt to the Court. It is ordered by the Court that judgment
and sentence be as follows:

IN RE: [Name]

That the said [Name] be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the
United States or his authorized representative for confine-
ment in a County Jail, for a period of:

First One, [Name] (10) days,
Second Two, [Name] (10) days, from date of delivery,
and in default thereof, fine until the United States in the sum of One
hundred (\$100.00) dollars, and in default there-
of, be committed until said fine is paid or until he
is released by the process of law. All expense of confine-
ment shall be paid by the said [Name].

On June 3, 1911, or will, an amended petition heretofore filed by the petitioner in which to clerk, or a copy of the same, is to be made.

Witness my hand and seal of office this 3rd day of June, 1911.

Wm. H. ...
Clerk of Court.

Filed June 3 1911
Wm. H. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court W.D.C.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

As T. Deck, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
City of Tulsa, a municipal) No. 1018 Law ✓
corporation, organized under)
laws of the state of)
Oklahoma, Defendant.)

O R D E R

On this 6th day of June, 1931, upon stipulation of the parties
it is ordered that the above entitled cause now set for trial on the 16th day
of June, 1931, be, and the same is, hereby stricken to be reset on the next law
court day.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 6 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Allen M. Cox, Plaintiff, |
vs. |
Mutual Life Insurance | No. 1163 - LAW ✓
Company of New York, an insurance |
corporation, Defendant. |

O R D E R

By agreement of counsel and for good cause shown, ordered that
this case be and the same is hereby stricken from the trial calendar for
Friday, June 19th, 1931, and continued for the term, same to be set for trial
also on a future date.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Jun 6 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Oklahoma Company, a Delaware corporation,)	
McCulloch Oil Company, a Delaware corporation,)	
)	Plaintiffs,
)	
vs.)	
)	
K. Lambert and J. A. Spicer,)	Defendants.

No. 1235 Law. ✓

O R D E R

Now on this 6th day of June, 1931, this cause coming on to be heard upon the motion and stipulation of all the parties hereto that the cause be stricken from the law assignment and transferred to the equity docket, and

The Court being fully advised, upon consideration of such stipulation,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the above entitled cause be stricken from the trial assignment of June 26th, 1931, and that said cause be transferred from the law to the equity docket.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

K. H. LOTT
Attorney for Oklahoma Company
K. H. LOTT
Attorney for McCulloch Company
G. E. CASSITY
Attorney for J. A. Spicer
DUDLEY C. MONTK, for
McCROORY & MONK
Attorneys for C. K. Lambert

ORDERED: Filed Jun 6 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

Court adjourned until June 12, 1931

5027 Cr. Contd.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 5027
EDGAR COLLINS, Defendant)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Edgar Collins, not guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Edgar Collins, not guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Edgar Collins, not guilty, as charged in the third count of the indictment.

W. C. DYER

FILED In Open Court

Foreman.

Jun 8 1931

H. P. Warfield, Clerk

Thereupon, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5076 - Criminal. ✓
MARION WILSON, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Marion Wilson, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of not guilty to counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5077 - Criminal. ✓
BRUCE WILSON, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Bruce Wilson, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred to later date in September, 1931.

5102 Cr. Contd.

witnesses are sworn in open court. The Government introduces evidence and of with the following witnesses: Elmer Lewman, O. E. Sunby, R. N. Johnson, A. Robinson, John Williard. And thereafter, the Government rests. The endant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Mrs. tie Lewman, Turpening, Mr. Reed, Jno. Williard, Ben Lewman. And thereafter, Defendant rests. The Government offers rebuttal testimony of Lonnie Lew-. And thereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the y retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict here- Now on this same day the jury return into open court and through their eman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as lows:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 5102
BEN LEWMAN, Defendant)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly em-paneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Ben Lewman, not guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Ben Lewman, not guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

W. L. MILLS Foreman.
FILED In Open Court Jun 8 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk

Verdict of not guilty in Case No. 5104 was found, see Case 5104 for same.

Thereupon, the jury having announced this to be their true ver- t herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from fur- r consideration of said case.

ED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5103 - Criminal. ✓
BEN LEWMAN, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the t that judgment and sentence as to said defendant be deferred to March, 2, at Vinita.

5195 Cr. Contd.

We further find the defendant Ed McGilvery, guilty,
as charged in the second count of the indictment.

A. S. JOHNSON
Foreman.
FILED In Open Court
Jun 8 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk

HAROLD McGILVERY:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 5195
HAROLD McGILVERY Defendant)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly em-
paneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant,
Harold McGilvery, guilty, as charged in the first count
of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Harold McGilvery,
guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

A. S. JOHNSON
Foreman.
FILED In Open Court
Jun 8 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk

WILLIE YOUNGER:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 5195
WILLIE YOUNGER Defendant)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly em-
paneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant,
Willie Younger, guilty, as charged in the first count of the
indictment.

We further find the defendant, Willie Younger, guilty,
as charged in the second count of the indictment.

A. S. JOHNSON
Foreman.
FILED In Open Court
Jun 8 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk

Thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true ver-
dict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from fur-
ther consideration of said case.

. 5195 Cr. Contd:

And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed as follows:

GUS MORRIS:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Count One, Five (5) years,
Count Two, Five (5) years, from date of delivery.
Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

ED MCGILVERY :

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Count One, Five (5) years,
Count Two, Five (5) years, from date of delivery.
Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall begin at the expiration of and run consecutively to the sentence in Count One.

HAROLD MCGILVERY:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Count One, Five (5) years,
Count Two, Five (5) years, from date of delivery.
Said sentence of confinement in Count Two, shall run concurrent with sentence in Count One.

WILLIE YOUNGER:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Industrial Reformatory for a period of:

Count One, Five (5) years,
Count Two, Five (5) years, from date of delivery.
Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

5238 - Criminal.

delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count One; and One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count Three, and in default thereof, stand further committed until said fines are paid or until he has been released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count Three shall run concurrent with the sentence in Count One.

It is further ordered by the Court that all other counts be, and they are hereby, dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 5239 - Criminal. ✓

H. A. BENNETT,

Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, H. A. Bennett, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

Count One, Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count One; and One (\$1.00) Dollars, on Count Two, and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid or until he has been released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 5252 - Criminal. ✓

GORDON DOVER, MAUD ENBERG,
CLINTON L. DOVER,

Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, and asks, and is granted leave to file Information against defendants, Gordon Dover, Maud Enberg and Clinton L. Dover. Thereafter, said defendants are arraigned, and each enters a plea as follows: Defendant Gordon Dover, pleads guilty to be Gordon Dover and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2; Defendant Maud Enberg, pleads true name to be Maud Enberg and enters her plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2; Clinton L. Dover enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2, all as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein.

. & F. G. CO., Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 609 - Law. ✓
. WARD, ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
; that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from
trial assignment of this date pending settlement.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. Bowater, Plaintiffs,)
Archibold W. J., Pohl,)
Wade H. Allison,) No. 1008 Law. ✓
vs.)
. Worley, Defendant.)

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL

The petition of John J. Bowater, Archibold W. J. Pohl and Wade
Allison, plaintiffs in the above styled and numbered cause, for an appeal from
judgment of this court heretofore rendered, and filed and entered herein,
the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, is hereby
allowed, and the said appeal is allowed, upon condition of the filing of the
bond heretofore required by the order of this court.

And petitioners having filed the bond executed by them and by
National Surety Company of No. 16 Court St., Brooklyn, New York in the sum of
Ten Thousand (\$15,000.00) Dollars, and conditioned as required by law, and
in accordance to the said former order of this court, the same is now approved, and
it is ordered that said bond shall operate as a supersedeas of the judgment
and entered in the above cause, and shall suspend and stay all further pro-
ceedings in this court until the termination of said appeal.

It is ordered that a certified copy of the record and proceed-
ings upon which the judgment herein was rendered, be transmitted to the United
States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

Dated this 8th day of June, A. D. 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the District Court of the
United States for the Northern District
of Oklahoma.

FD: Filed Jun 8 1931
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CENTRAL STATES POWER & LIGHT
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

Vs.

CENTRAL STATES ZINC COMPANY,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 1035 LAW ✓

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL.

The petition of Central States Power & Light Corporation, plain-
ff, in the above styled and numbered cause, for an appeal from the judgment
of the court heretofore rendered and filed and entered herein to the United
States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit is hereby granted and
said appeal is allowed, and it is further ordered that a certified copy
of the record and proceedings upon which the judgment herein was rendered be
transmitted to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth
Circuit.

DATED this 8th day of June, 1931.

F. E. KERRAKER
Judge of the District Court of
the United States for the
Northern District of Oklahoma.

FORWARDED: Filed Jun 8 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Y GODWIN POPE ET AL.,

Plaintiffs,,

-vs-

} No. 1158 - Law. ✓

) GODWIN, ET AL.,

Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
court that judgment for complainant be entered, as per journal entry to be
entered. It is further ordered by the Court that said cause be transferred
to the Equity side of the docket.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. Banks, Plaintiff,)
vs) No. 1177 Law. ✓
United States of America, Defendant.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now, on this 21 day of May, 1931, the motion of the defendant in, to dismiss this action for want of jurisdiction of this Court for the reason that there is no denial herein by the Director of the United States Veterans Bureau, comes on for hearing on its regular order, and the Court, being advised in the premises, and on consideration thereof, finds that there is a disagreement in regard to this claim by the Director of the United States Veterans Bureau as provided by law, and that therefore, this Court has no jurisdiction to try this action in the absence of such a disagreement.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the cause be, and it is hereby dismissed, without prejudice for the purpose of allowing the Director of the United States Veterans Bureau further consideration of the claim of the veteran.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

A. E. WILLIAMS
Assist. U. S. Atty.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 8 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA, AT BARTLEEVILLE.

WARREN, Plaintiff)
vs.) NO. 1193 ✓
INDIAN VALLEY RAILROAD COMPANY,)
Incorporation, Defendant.)

Permission and authority is hereby given the plaintiff in the above entitled cause to file an amended petition therein.

Dated this 5 day of June, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 8 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until June 9, 1931.

On this 9th day of June, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular June Session at Bartlesville, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Attorney.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - ORDER FOR ADDITIONAL JURORS:

On this 9th day of June, A. D. 1931, it appearing to the Court that there are not sufficient jurors in the panel, it is ordered that the Marshal of said District summon from the bystanders eleven good and lawful men, duly qualified, to serve as petit jurors for this Regular June 1931 Term of said Court.

Thereupon, the Marshal returns the names of C. V. Reasor, J. C. Wendock, Carl Wood, John Chisom, M. E. Price, John Mc Alister, G. H. Melberry, O. F. Garrison, B. O. Harrison, H. M. Williams and J. F. Williams are examined by the Court, and all are accepted as petit jurors for this Regular June 1931 Term of Court.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 499 - Criminal. ✓

McCREADY,

) Defendant.)

Now on this 9th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Dave McCready, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count One; and a fine of One (\$1.00) Dollar on Count Two, and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fines are paid or until he has been released by due process of law.

. 4858 Cr. Contd.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 4858
LOTTIE ROGERS, Defendant)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Lottie Rogers, guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Lottie Rogers, guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

R. F. CARTER

FILED In Open Court
Jun 9 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk

Foreman.

Whereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

Whereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count 1. Dismissed.

Count 2. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4952 - Criminal. ✓
MARTIN RANDOLPH, Defendant.)

Now on this 9th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant, Martin Randolph appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea not guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, said case is called. Defendant present in person and by counsel, J. M. Hill, who was appointed by the Court to represent defendant. Whereafter, a jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Government challenges Mr. Hewitt, G. C. Dyer and C. V. Reaser. Defendant waives challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict rendered is as follows: A. Beck, Fred Bishop, Ed Bishop, M. L. Poteete, Sam Hoffman, J. R. Alton, F. Melton, A. N. Forister, A. S. Johnson, L. C. Dennis, Carl Wood, Jno.

4952 Cr. Contd.

om. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Orval Wallace, Omer Whitten, Mattison, W. E. Nash. And thereafter, the Government rests. The Defendant produces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Martin Randolph, . Lefters. And thereafter, both sides rest. The Court instructs the jury to the law in the case and they retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day, they return into open court and through their Foreman present their verdict, and verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff,
vs.) No. 4952
MARTIN RANDOLPH Defendant)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Martin Randolph, guilty, as charged in the first count of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, Martin Randolph, guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

CHAS. A. BECK
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
Jun 9 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk

Thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, said jury is discharged by the Court from further consideration of case.

Now at this time it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Sixty (60) days,
Count Two, Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery,
and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count One, and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid or until he has been released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

5069 Cr. Contd.

in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Ninety (90) days,
Count Two Ninety (90) days, from date of delivery,
and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One
Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, on Count One, and in default thereof,
further stand committed, until said fine is paid or until he
has been released by due process of law. Said sentence of con-
finement in Count Two shall run concurrent to sentence in
Count One.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 5073 - Criminal. ✓
)
MELCE NOBLIN, JOHN E. SPENCER,)
GEORGE WELCH, Defendants.)

Now on this 9th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, George Welch,
Melce Noblin and John E. Spencer, appearing in person. The defendants are each
pleaded and each enters a plea as follows: George Welch enters his plea of
guilty to Counts 1 and 2; John E. Spencer enters his plea of not guilty to
Counts 1 and 2; Melce Noblin enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2,
as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereafter, said
case is called. Each defendant present in person and by counsel. A jury is
impaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges.
A jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict rendered is as follows:
A. Buttman, Clarence Bradshaw, J. A. Asmussen, Roy Painter, Will Barnett,
C. Gresham, W. L. Mills, W.W. Linville, B. L. Barnes, C. A. Beck, Fred Bishop,
Fred Bishop. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Government introduces
evidence and proof with the following witnesses: O. E. Barber, G. A. Demoss,
thereafter, the Government rests. The Defendants introduce evidence and
proof with the following witnesses: George Welch, John E. Spencer, Melce Noblin.
thereafter, after being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by
the Court that said case be dismissed as to defendants, Melce Noblin and John
Spencer, due to insufficient evidence. It is further ordered by the Court
that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.
Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence
be rendered to defendant, George Welch, be now imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the
United States, or his authorized representative, for confine-
ment in a County Jail, for a period of:
Count One, Ninety (90) days, from date of delivery,
and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One
Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, further stand
committed until said fine is paid or until he has been released
by due process of law.
Count Two, Ninety (90) days, from date of delivery.
Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent
to sentence in Count One.

5197 Cr. Contd.

urs to evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer is by the Court
ruled and exception allowed. The Defendant introduces evidence and proof
the following witnesses: C. N. Burris, Izzelton King. And thereafter, the
endant rests. The Government offers rebuttal testimony of J. J. Steagald,
the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. The jury retire in
rge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And there-
er, on this same day, the jury return into open court and through their
aman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff ,
vs.) No. 5197
C. N. BURRIS Defendant)

VERDICT

We, the jury in the above-entitled cause, duly em-
paneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant
C. N. Burris, not guilty, as charged in the first count
of the indictment.

We further find the defendant, C. N. Burris, not
guilty, as charged in the second count of the indictment.

HARRY E. HEWITT
Foreman.

FILED In Open Court
Jun 9 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk

Thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true ver-
dict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from fur-
ther consideration of said case.

It is further ordered by the Court that bond forfeiture herein,
and the same is hereby, set aside.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5204 - Criminal. ✓
JUNIOR RIDENHOUR, Defendant.)

Now on this 9th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Junior Riden-
hour, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of not
guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein.
Thereafter, defendant withdraws his former plea of not guilty to Counts 1
and 2 and now enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as heretofore charged.
Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed
as follows:

On this 10th day of June, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular June Session at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Amer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John M. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MILAMOUS - ORDER TO HOLD RUBY ROGERS:

Now on this 10th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that defendant Ruby Rogers, be, and she is hereby, held to next Grand Jury on charge of perjury. It is further ordered by the Court that bond be in the sum of \$1500.00. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
)
E. HENDRICKS, EARL THOMPSON,)
VAUGHN, ED ANDERSON, GROVER C.) No. 4347 - Criminal. ✓
MAY, GEO. FULLER, DAN MILLER, GUY)
CHARLES THOMPSON, HOMER MILLER,)
RUBY ROGERS, CURLEY ITCHELL, ONE)
IN, Defendants.)

Now on this 10th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Homer Miller, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Count 1 and not guilty to Counts 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, judgment and sentence are imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that all other counts be, and they are hereby dismissed.

4577 Cr. Contd.

it 2 and not enters his plea of guilty to Count 2 as heretofore charged. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Count Two, Six (6) years, from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that Count 1 be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4661 - Criminal. ✓
J. B. PALLET, Defendant.)

Now on this 10th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that defendant, Mrs. J. B. Pallet be, and she is hereby, permitted to stand on own bond.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5112 - Criminal. ✓
WYN SMITH, Defendant.)

Now on this 10th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Werdyn Smith, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, said defendant is called. Defendant present in person and by counsel and the Government represented as heretofore stated. Both sides announce ready for trial. Jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: R. S. Carter, W. A. Buttman, Clarence Bradshaw, J. A. Assussen, Roy Ter, Will Barnett, R. A. Gresham, W. L. Mills, W. W. Linville, B. L. Barnes, J. Beck, Fred Bishop. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: T. E. Myers, Wilson Roach, Mrs. Roberts. And thereafter, the Government rests. Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witness; Werdyn Smith, Lucy Smith, Robt. Smith, Gerald Smith, Ruby Roberts, Mr. Keeman, Grace Ley, Mrs. Farrar. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. Both sides resting arguments of counsel are made, and the Court instructs the jury as to law in the case. And thereafter, the jury retires in charge of a sworn juror to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day the jury return into open court and through their foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 5253 - Criminal. ✓
)
D. W. BROWN, alias JAMES ALEXANDER,)
B. GUARDNEY, alias JIMMIE DONOVAN,)
COKER, Defendants.)

Now on this 10th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information against above styled defendants.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 5254 - Criminal. ✓
)
MIN M. ALSUP, EARNEST E. ALSUP,)
J. E. HAYCROFT, Defendants.)

Now on this 10th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information against the above styled defendants.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 5255 - Criminal. ✓
)
MARY JUSTICE, Defendant.)

Now on this 10th day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Mary Justice, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters her plea of guilty charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count Two, Fifteen (15) days, from date of delivery.
Counts 1 and 3. Dismissed by the Court.

HOKA STAR GAS CO. Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 757 - Law. ✓
)
OIL SERVICE CO. Defendant.)

Now on this 10th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that case be continued pending disposition of Plaintiff's motion to re-arrest Defendant to make answer and cross petition more definite and certain. (Same, Judge).

E. DAVIS, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 771 - Law.)
W. WOOLWORTH CO. Defendant.)

Now on this 11th day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause comes on for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. A jury duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Plaintiff challenges A. Gresham, W. L. Mills. The Defendant waives challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: W. A. Buttman, Lawrence Bradshaw, J. A. Asmussen, W. W. Linville, Fred Bishop, Ed Bishop, L. Poteete, Sam Hoffman, J. R. Alton, C. F. Melton, A. E. Forister, A. S. Inson. All witnesses are sworn in open court and opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: R. E. Davis, Chester Mays, Mrs. Harmon. And thereafter, at noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished by the Court, and court recessed until 1:30 P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 P.M., on this same day, court in again session. All parties present as heretofore, and the jury, each and every member, present, and in the box. The Plaintiff continues with Charity Hight, J. Torey, H. O. Simons, Jee Meyers, Fred Redrick, Bertha Josey, Jno. Miser, and Mason. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. The Defendant demurs to evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer is, by the Court, sustained. Plaintiff excepts. The Court instructs the jury to return a verdict for Defendant, and thereafter, they retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, the jury return into open court and through their Foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

R. E. DAVIS Plaintiff)
vs.) Case No. 771)
F. W. WOOLWORTH Defendant)

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find for the defendant.

ED BISHOP
Foreman.
FILED In Open Court
Jun 11 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk.

And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be given sixty (60) days to prepare Bill of Exceptions. Thereupon, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Continental Oil Company,
Corporation, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 786 - Law. ✓
Water Oil Company, a)
Corporation, Defendant.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

On this 11th day of June, 1931, this cause comes on regularly before the Court upon the joint motion of plaintiff and defendant for dismissal, in accordance with a stipulation of settlement entered into by the parties and filed herein. And the Court having heard the motion and being advised in the premises finds that the petition of plaintiff and each cause of action therein, and each and all of the set-offs and counter-claims of the defendant should all be dismissed with prejudice in accordance with the motion and stipulation of the parties.

It is, therefore, CONSIDERED, ORDERED and DECREED that plaintiff's petition and each of the causes of action therein be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice.

It is further CONSIDERED, ORDERED and DECREED that each and all of the set-offs and counter-claims set forth in the answer of defendant be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice.

It is further CONSIDERED, ORDERED and DECREED that defendant pay the costs of the action, same to be taxed by the Clerk.

F. E. KEMMELER
J U D G E.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 12 1931
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

L. MILLER, AN INFANT, BY HIS)
FRIEND, R. D. MILLER, PLAINTIFF,)
-VS-) NO. 1240 LAW ✓
EAST DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY,)
CORPORATION, DEFENDANT.)

O R D E R

Journal entry of judgment having been signed and filed in the entitled cause on June 3, 1931, granting judgment in the sum of

teen Hundred (\$1500.00) Dollars and costs in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant; and said judgment having been paid in full to the Clerk of said Court;

The Clerk of this Court is hereby directed, authorized and ordered to pay said sum now on hand to the Plaintiff in said cause viz., H. D. Miller, as next friend of Garold Miller, an infant, for the use and benefit of said minor.

F. E. KEENE
Judge.

Done at Bartlesville,
Oklahoma, this 11th day of June, 1931.

FORCED: Filed Jun 11 1931
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until June 13, 1931.

On this 12th day of June, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney,
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

KAISER, Plaintiff,)
VS) 1248 Law ✓
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

O R D E R

On this 12th day of June 1931, this matter coming on to be heard on the oral statements of Hughley Baker, attorney for the plaintiff above named, it appearing to the Court that the plaintiff has filed the above number of action and that said claim for War Risk Insurance had not been refused, as required by section 19 of the World War Veterans Act prior to the filing of it.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the above cause be dismissed without prejudice to this plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

RECORDED: Filed Jun 12 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until

On this 15th day of June, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular June 31 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Jc, present and presiding.

E. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John A. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

E. Davis, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 771 Law.
W. Woolworth Company, Defendant.)

JUDGMENT.

Now on this the 11th day of June, 1931, the above entitled cause coming on in its regular order for trial before Honorable F. E. Kennamer, District Judge, and the plaintiff appearing in person and by his attorneys, Daniel & Harrison, and the defendant appearing by its attorneys, Randolph, Shirk & Bridges and Shipman & Lewis, and both sides announced ready for trial.

Thereupon a jury of twelve good men were duly impanelled and sworn well and truly to try the issues joined between the plaintiff and defendant, and a true verdict render according to the evidence.

The plaintiff thereupon introduced his evidence and rested.

The defendant thereupon filed its demurrer to the evidence introduced by the plaintiff, and moved the court for a directed verdict, and the court upon a consideration of said demurrer and motion for directed verdict is of the opinion, according to the law as announced by the Circuit Court of Appeals in this case reported in the 41 Fed. (2d) 342, that said demurrer to the evidence should be sustained upon the ground that the plaintiff is guilty of contributory negligence as a matter of law, and cannot recover. The court directed the jury to return a verdict for the defendant, whereupon the jury returned its verdict under the directions of the court finding the issues in favor of the defendant. Whereupon, on motion of Richard H. Bridges, attorney for the defendant, the court entered judgment for the defendant upon the verdict of the jury.

It is, therefore, ordered, decreed and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff recover nothing of the defendant in this action, to all of which action of the court in directing a verdict for the defendant and entering judgment upon said verdict in favor of the defendant the plaintiff excepted and said exceptions were allowed.

Plaintiff in open court gives notice of his intention to appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and was granted

ty (60) days to prepare and serve his Bill of Exceptions, and appeal bond fixed in the sum of \$200.00, and plaintiff was granted twenty (20) days on this date within which to file said bond.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ORSED: Filed Jun 15 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

YAFFE, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 988 - Law. ✓
L. & S. F. RY CO. ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 15th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court, upon motion of the Plaintiff, that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed without prejudice at the cost of the Plaintiff.

C. HARVEY, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1011 - Law. ✓
A. SHAW, Defendant.)

Now on this 15th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed without prejudice at cost of the Plaintiff.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

W. G. Jones, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1077 -- Law. ✓
Southern Surety Company, Defendant.)

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL

The petition of Southern Surety Company, defendant in the above titled cause, for an appeal from the final judgment herein is hereby granted the appeal is allowed; and upon petitioner filing a bond in the sum of Eight thousand Dollars (\$8,000.00), with sufficient sureties and conditioned as required by law, the same shall operate as a supersedeas of the judgment made entered in the above cause, and shall suspend and stay all further proceedings in this Court until the determination of said appeal by the Circuit Court of Appeals.

Dated this 12 day of June, 1931.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY
Judge, of the United States
District Court.

ORDERED: Filed Jun 15 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Herbert Moore, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 1203 - Law.
)
Rail Credit Company, a corporation,)
Defendant.)

O R D E R

On Stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, it
hereby ordered that the trial of said cause, to-wit, on the 24th day of
June, 1931, at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, be stricken from the docket and continued
to the next term.

Dated this the 15 day of June, 1931.

F. E. KENNEDY
United States District Judge.

H. H. THOMAS
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

STUART COALLEY & DOENGER
Attorneys for Defendant.

ORDERED: Filed Jun 15 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

WIL GANT, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1254 - Law. ✓
JOHNSON, TOPEKA & SANTA FE)
CO. A CORP. Defendant.)

Now on this 15th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court that the above styled cause be reset for June 24, 1931, at Bartlesville.

MER PATTERSON, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1260 - Law. ✓
MCCLAIR TEXAS PIPE LINE CO.,)
AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 15th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court that the above styled cause be reset for June 24, 1931, at Bartlesville.

E. ROSS, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1261 - Law. ✓
MCCLAIR TEXAS PIPE LINE CO.,)
AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 15th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

OATH OF OFFICE

I, Ed Dalton, do solemnly swear that I will support and de-
fend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and dom-
estic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take
this obligation freely without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion;
and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of Jury C-
lerk for the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, on which I am about
to enter: So Help me God.

ED DALTON

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 15th day of June, A. D. 1931.

ED: Filed Jun 15, 1931
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until June 16, 1931.

317-A

H. WILLIAMS, ADMINISTRATRIX OF THE ESTATE OF BENJAMIN WILLIAMS, DEC'D'S. Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-) No. 1131 - Law. ✓
)
 GIBSON, TOPEKA & SANTA FE CO., A CORP., Defendant.)

Now on this 16th day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled case is called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. A jury is empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. The Plaintiff challenges C. V. Sor. The Defendant challenge Claude Sample, W. A. Buttman. The jury sworn try said cause and a true verdict render is as follows: Elmer Hart, G. C. r, R.S. Carter, J. C. Duffendock, Carl Wood, Jno. Chism, G. H. Casselberry, P. Garrison, H. E. Williams, J. F. Williams, H. G. Wood, B. O. Harrison. witnesses are sworn in open court and the rule is invoked. Opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witness: James Gibson. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, jury is admonished and court is recessed to 1:30 P.M.
 and thereafter, at 1:30 P. M., on this same day, court is again session. All parties present as heretofore, and the jury, each and every member, present and in the box. The Plaintiff continues the introduction of evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Willie Vice, O. C. Monina, B. Ross, J. A. Tyler, J. A. Goodwin, Mary Jane Williams. And thereafter, Plaintiff rests. The Defendant demurs to the evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer is, by the Court, overruled. The Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: J. B. Anderson, M. C. Blair, J. D. Gibbs, Thomas Bowman, L. R. Drake, Guy Donovan, J. H. Garton, W. L. Hoff, Carl Dillon, C. E. Green. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. The Plaintiff offers rebuttal testimony of Mary Williams, Amos T. Hall. And thereafter, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished by Court and court is recessed until 9:00 A. M. June 17, 1931.

H. LANDIS, Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-) No. 1135 - Law. ✓
)
 H. D. LANDIS, D/B as under trade)
) of OKLAHOMA BOILER AND SHEET)
) WORKS, Defendant.)

Now on this 16th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for lack of prosecution.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. D. Towner, et al, Plaintiffs,)
vs.) No. 1191 Law. ✓
Western Bell Telephone)
Company, a corporation, Defendant.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 16th day of June, 1931, upon application of the defendant made in open court for a dismissal of the above styled and numbered cause with prejudice, and it appearing to the court that the above styled and numbered cause has been compromised and settled, and that the plaintiffs named have consented to a dismissal of this cause,

It is hereby considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the above styled and numbered cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice, and that the costs in this case and in this court be paid by the defendant.

Dated this 16 day of June, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

We, the undersigned plaintiffs in the above styled and numbered cause, and their attorney of record, do hereby consent that the above and foregoing order be made and entered by the United States District Judge for the Northern District of Oklahoma at any time same is presented to him by the defendant or its counsel.

13 1931

J. D. TOWNER
L. C. MEIGS
C. L. CLODFELTER
B. F. CLODFELTER

Plaintiffs

JOE W. SIMPSON

Attorney for Plaintiffs.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 16 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until June 17, 1931.

On this 17th day of June, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in regular June 31 Session at Bartlesville, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Jc, present and presiding.

F. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John A. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

SCHEMATIC - ORDER OF REMOVAL:

ORDER OF REMOVAL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

THE MARSHAL OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, CREDITING:

WHEREAS, it has been made to appear that Eugene Richardson is charged by complaint in the District Court of the United States for the Western District of Arkansas for the offense of violation of the Lyer Act, and where the said having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there in the presence of said defendant, it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said complaint and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged, and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of _____ Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Western District of Arkansas on the first day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said complaint and depart thence without the leave of said Court. And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required, therefore:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said Eugene Richardson hence to the said Western District of Arkansas, Texarkanna Division and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Western District Of Arkansas with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 17th day of June, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge for the
Northern District of Oklahoma

RECORDED: Filed Jun 17 1931
F. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Now on this 17 day of June A. D. 1931, and prior to the return y of the citation herein and within the term at which judgment in the above titled cause was rendered, and upon request of defendants for an extension time within which to print the record and lodge the appeal allowed them, d a good and sufficient cause having been shown therefor, and the court being ll and sufficiently advised in the premises;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time for printing the record and dging an appeal heretofore allowed the defendants herein in the United States recuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit for docketing, be, and the same hereby enlarged and extended for a further period of forty-five days om this date, and so that the said time shall not expire before August 1, 31.

F. E. BENNINGER
Judge of the U. S. District Court
Northern District of Oklahoma.

DOCS D: Filed Jun 17 1931
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

BY WILLIAMS, ADMINISTRATRIX OF ESTATE OF BERNIE WILLIAMS, D.D. Plaintiff,)
)
)
-vs-) No. 1131 - Law. ✓
)
MILSON, TOPEKA & SANTA FE RY. CO. A CORP. Defendant.)

Now on this 17th day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled use comes on for continuance of trial. All parties are present as heretofore : the jury, each and every member, present, and in the box. The Court ructs the jury as to the law in the case and they retire in charge of a rn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this e day, the jury return into open court and through their foreman present air verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

"VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BY WILLIAMS, ADMINISTRATRIX OF THE ESTATE OF BERNIE WILLIAMS, DECEASED. Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) Case No. 1131 Law.
MILSON, TOPEKA & SANTA FE RY. CO. Corporation, Defendant.)

We, the jury in the above -entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon oaths, find for the defendant.

ED In Open Court
Jun 17 1931
E. P. Warfield: Clerk

H. G. MOORE
Foreman.

And thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true
dict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from
further consideration of said case.

AREN RUBBER COMPANY, Plaintiff,)
Corporation,)
-vs-) No. 1136 - Law. ✓
v. MADDIN, sole trader)
as Maddin Tire Company, Defendant.)

Now on this 17th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court that motion of Plaintiff to strike be, and the same is hereby, overruled
Second cause of action. Fourth cause of action stricken in part.
Fifth and Seventh cause of action are hereby stricken. Thereafter, it is or-
dered by the Court that said case be stricken from the trial assignment of this
date and reassigned for June 22, 1931.

AREN RUBBER COMPANY, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1137 - Law. ✓
v. MADDIN, ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 17th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court that said case be reassigned for June 22, 1931.

W. T. SHOUSE, ET AL, Plaintiffs,)
-vs-) No. 1147 - Law. ✓
W. T. SHURT, JR. Defendant.)

Now on this 17th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court that the above styled cause be stricken from the trial assignment of this
date (F.E.K. Judge).

W. A. SEAY, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1150 - Law. ✓
GULF PETROLEUM COMPANY,)
Defendant.)

Now on this 17th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court that the above styled case be dismissed on motion of Plaintiff without
prejudice.

WILES EDWARD HAINES, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1152 - Law. ✓
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 17th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from the docket assignment of this date. (F.E.K. Judge).

MATCHELSON, TOMERA AND SANTA FE Plaintiff,)
L. AY C. COMPANY, a corporation,) No. 1154 - Law. ✓
-vs-)
R. AUTHY & S.P. SMITH, Defendants.)

Now on this 17th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from the docket assignment of this date. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF
OKLAHOMA

Elie G. Trullinger Plaintiff)
-vs-) No. 1160 Law ✓
F. Wilcox Oil & Gas Company,)
Corporation, and O. O. Brooks Defendants)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Now on this 17th day of June, 1931, it being made to appear to the honorable F. E. Rennamer, Judge of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, that all of the matters and things complained of by the plaintiff in her petition heretofore filed in this case have been fully composed, settled and compromised, and this matter coming for hearing upon the motion of the plaintiff to dismiss the above entitled case with prejudice to a further action, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the above entitled cause be dismissed with prejudice to the right of the plaintiff to bring a future action on any or all of the matters and things complained of in plaintiff's petition.

F. E. RENNAMER
Judge

Approved as to form and substance:
Ernest T. Ellison
Attorney for Plaintiff.

ORCEEL: Filed Jun 17 1931
E. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

MR. J. WIGGINS ET AL., Plaintiffs,)
-vs-) No. 1175 - Law. ✓
SMALL OIL CO. ET AL., Defendants.)

Now on this 17th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court, upon motion of the Plaintiff, that the above styled cause be, and the
same is hereby, dismissed without prejudice. (F.B.K. Judge).

LY OIL CO. a Corporation, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1234 - Law. ✓
MITCHELLSON, TOPPENA & SANTA FE
CO. ET AL., Defendants.)

Now on this 17th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court, that the above styled cause be stricken from the trial assignment of this
Court.

G. W. CELLSMA, A CORP., as Plaintiff,)
Sole Marketing Agent for the DISTRICT)
COURT OF OKLAHOMA, OKLA.) No. 1244 - Law. ✓
-vs-)
AMERICAN CASUALTY CO. A CORP. Defendant.)

Now on this 17th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court, that the above styled cause be stricken from the trial assignment of this
Court. (F.B.K. Judge).

Court adjourned until June 18, 1931.

On this 18th day of June, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in regular June Session at Bartlesville, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. J. H. Kernamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John W. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

JE A. ROLLER, Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
FUTURES LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY) No. 1151 - Law. ✓
NEW YORK, an insurance cor-)
poration, Defendant.)

Now on this 18th day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled case comes on for trial. Both sides present, represented by counsel, and announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Plaintiff challenges Claude Sample, R. S. Carter. The Defendant challenges J. Boswell, W. L. Mills. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render, is as follows: J. A. Asmussen, W. W. Linville, Ed Bishop, J. R. Con, Jno. Berry, A. H. Forister, A. S. Johnson, Elmer Hart, C. C. Dyer, C. V. Forster, J. C. Duffendock, Jno. Chisim. All witnesses are sworn in open court and the rule is invoked. Opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff produces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: J. A. Roller, Glen Miller, Mr. Dillon, H. West. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished by the Court, and court is recessed until 1:30 P. M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 P. M., on this same day, court is again in session. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every member present and in the box. The Plaintiff continues with the following witnesses: D. P. Crawford, Sidney Vanderlan, Mrs. Vanderlan, Jack Lion, J. A. Miller, Mrs. J. A. Bottler, Mrs. Staples, A. L. Stapp, Mrs. J. A. Roller, Dr. Line, Dr. Mc Comb. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the hour of adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished by the Court and court recessed until 9:00 o'clock A. M., June 19, 1931.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

D. THOMASON, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1176 LAW. ✓
D. THOMASON Defendant)

DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE.

Comes now the plaintiff, this cause having been compromised and settled, and dismisses with prejudice this cause at the cost of the plaintiff,

The Insurance Company of New York, an Insurance Corp.

J. C. DUFFENLAC ,
Foreman."

ED In Open Court
Jun 20 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment be entered accordingly.

It is the further ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case.

Court adjourned until June 22, 1931.

On this 22nd day of June, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in in Regular June Session at Bartlesville, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John A. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

R. E. Davis, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 771 - Law.
The Woolworth Company,)
Incorporation, Defendant.)

PETITION FOR APPEAL.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE F. E. KENNAMER, DISTRICT JUDGE:

The Above named R. E. Davis, plaintiff, feeling aggrieved by the judgment rendered and entered in the above entitled cause on the 11th day of June, 1931, does hereby appeal from said Judgment to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit for the reasons set forth in the Assignment of Errors herewith filed, and prays that this appeal be allowed, and that Citation be issued as provided by law; that a transcript of the record, proceedings, judgment and documents upon which said judgment is based, duly authenticated, be forwarded to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit under the rules of such Court in such cases made and provided.

CHAS. W. PENNELL
A. C. HARRISON
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

The above and foregoing Petition for Appeal is granted and said appeal is hereby allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 22 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

H. McAlarney, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 1086 - Law. ✓
)
sa Rolling Mills Company, a)
poration, and Sand Springs)
e, a corporation, Defendants.)

O R D E R

On stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, it is
sby ordered that the trial of the above entitled cause on the 22d of June,
1, at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, be stricken from the docket and continued for
term.

Dated this the 22 day of June, 1931.

F. E. REMMERS
United States District Judge.

ORSED: Filed Jun 22 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

laren Rubber Company, a Plaintiff,)
poration,)
)
vs.) NO. 1136 - Law. ✓
)
i V. Maddin, a sole trader)
ng business as Maddin Tire)
pany, Defendant.)

O R D E R

Upon the above case being called for trial at the Bartlesville,
ahoma term of the regular June, 1931 trial assignment, the plaintiff herein
ested permission to file their first amended petition, which permission was
the court granted and said amended petition to be filed instanter.

The defendant thereupon requested leave to file answer and cross-
ition to the a ended petition of the plaintiff and the court thereupon gave
endant ten (10) days from this date within which to file answer and cross-
ition and plaintiff was granted ten (10) days thereafter within which to
ad reply to the answer and cross-petition of defendant.

It is therefore so ordered by the court, and fu ther ordered that
case be stricken from the present trial assignment, to be re-set on the

and exception allowed. The Defendants introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Harold Smith, Lee Pritchitt, S. D. Forest, Mr. Monow, and thereafter, the Defendants rest. The Plaintiff offers rebuttal testimony of R. B. Hancock, Barney Hamilton, and thereafter, both sides rest. Thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished by the Court, and court is recessed until 9:00 A. M., June 23, 1931.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Juline Beasley, Plaintiff,)
 vs.) No. 1199 Law. ✓
 The Carter Oil Company and)
 Clyde Reynolds or Handels, Defendants.)

O R D E R

This cause coming on to be heard this the 22 day of June, 1931, before the undersigned United States District Judge in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and it appearing that plaintiff has filed herein her motion that said cause be stricken from the assignment on June 24, 1931, and that there is attached to said motion an affidavit and certificate duly executed by a licensed and practicing physician in the State of Oklahoma that said plaintiff will be unable to attend court on said date, and being fully advised of the premises the court finds that said motion should be sustained.

It is therefore considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed that this cause be and the same is hereby stricken from this assignment and setting for June 24, 1931.

T. E. HENNINGER
 United States District Judge.

DORSED: Filed Jun 22 1931
 H. F. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court ME

WMA MACH, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 1278 - Law. ✓
 W. E. OGILVIE ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 22nd day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that hearing on motion to remand be, and the same is hereby, taken under advisement.

LEON F. BROWN COMPANY,
corporation,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 1136 - Law.)

D. V. HADDIN, sole trader, d/b
HADDIN TIRE COMPANY,

) Defendant.)

Now on this 22nd day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court that leave be granted Plaintiff to file amended petition.

Court adjourned until June 23, 1931.

On this 23rd day of June, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular June Session at Bartlesville, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. S. W. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John W. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John A. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff,)
vs.) Miscellaneous ✓
Elmer Pryor, Defendant.) Criminal.

O R D E R

Now on this 23d day of June, 1931, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the regular June, 1931 term of said court, this matter came on before the court upon the motion of the United States District Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, moving the court to make an order hold the above named defendant, Elmer Pryor as a witness for and on behalf of the United States before the next session of the federal grand jury to be held in the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, and it being made to appear to the court that at the last session of said grand jury the above named defendant wilfully failed and refused to respond to a subpoena duly and legally issued out of the office of the Clerk of said court, and duly and legally served on the said defendant, and it further appearing to the court that unless served to file a recognizance for his appearance before the next session of said grand jury, the said defendant will in all probability attempt to evade the process upon him requiring his attendance before said body.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the above named defendant, Elmer Pryor, be required to execute his recognizance in the sum of \$500 conditioned for his appearance before the next session of federal grand jury to be held in the Northern District of Oklahoma and at the time and place to be designated by the court, and that in default of said recognizance the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma be, and he is hereby ordered and directed to hold the said witness, Elmer Pryor, in custody as a witness before said grand jury.

J. B. BLAIR
Assistant United States Attorney.

S. W. KENNAMER
Judge

RECORDED: Filed Jun 23 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

the matter of the receivership)
of the First National Bank of) Case No. 457 - Law ✓
Collinsville, Oklahoma.)

RECITING PRO RECITING ORDER CORRECTING FORMER ORDER

Now on this 23 day of June, 1931, there comes on for hearing the
application of Irving Page, as Receiver of the First National Bank of Collinsville,
Oklahoma, showing to the court that in a petition presented to this court
in the order of the court issued thereon on the 21st day of May, 1931, a
certain tract of land ordered to be sold by the above named receiver was
erroneously described, and the initials of the purchaser thereof were erroneously
indicated.

And it appearing to the court that such description was in error,
that the correct description of the land ordered sold should have read:

Lots Three (3) and Four (4); and the East Half of the South-
west Quarter; and the Southeast Quarter of Section Nineteen
(19); and the Southwest Quarter; and the West Half of the South-
east Quarter of Section Twenty (20), all in Township Eight (8),
South of Range Thirty-five (35), East, containing 555.75 acres,
situated in Roosevelt County, New Mexico;

IT IS THEREBY HEREBY ORDERED BY THE COURT that Irving Page, as
Receiver of the First National Bank of Collinsville, Oklahoma, be and he is here-
after authorized and directed to issue a deed to C. T. McCarty, conveying said real
estate properly described, as above set forth in this order.

It further appearing to the court that in the original petition
court order issued thereon on the 21st day of May, 1931, the name of the
purchaser of Asset No. 1126, being described as:

The East Half of the East half of the Northwest quarter
of the Northeast quarter, and the West half of the West
half of the Northeast quarter of the Northeast quarter,
of Section 8, Township 22, Range 14 East, containing 20
acres more or less,

shown to have been sold to one John Stanberg, when in fact the name should
have been Stanbro.

IT IS THEREFORE HEREBY ORDERED by the COURT that Irving Page, as
Receiver of the First National Bank of Collinsville, Oklahoma be and he is
hereby authorized and directed to issue a deed to the land last described above
conveying the same to John Stanbro, as set out in the terms of the original

RECORDED: filed Jun 23 1931
E. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

F. L. MCNAMER
Judge

Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be entered, accordingly.

Thereupon, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court, that said jury be discharged from their consideration of said case.

Thereafter, to all of this judgment the Defendant excepts and gives notice of Appeal. Defendant is given Sixty (60) days to prepare Bill of Exceptions and Supersedeas bond in the sum of \$50,000.00. Given fifty (50) days to make bond. (F.B.M. Judge).

BRIE ROBERT BURDE, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1198 - Law. ✓
THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Defendant.)

Now on this 24th day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for trial. Thereupon, said case is called, both sides present and a trial by jury in open court, and announce ready for trial. Opening statements of counsel are made. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Robt. W. H. L. Moore. Thereafter, the Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: C. W. Edwards, Ed Prowell, R. E. Turner. Thereafter, both sides rest. Thereafter, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be found for the Plaintiff herein.

E. GABLES, INC. A CORP. Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1200 - Law. ✓
SROCKMAN, Defendant.)

Now on this 24th day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for trial. Thereafter, said case is called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial and waive a trial by jury in open court. Thereupon, opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces the note herein exhibits. The Defendant introduces evidence and proof with witness, Mary E. Egan. Thereafter, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be found for the Defendant and set aside the Plaintiff. To which ruling of the Court the Plaintiff excepts.

WALDOUGH MOORE, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1207 - Law. ✓
THE STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 24th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from the docket assignment of this date. (F.E.H. Judge).

D HAGAN McPHERSON, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1212 - Law. ✓
THE STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 24th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from the docket assignment of this date. (F.E.H. Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
Supreme Forest Woodmen Circle, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1220 - Law. ✓
Creek and Pawnee Counties Joint)
Consolidated School District)
No. 3, State of Oklahoma, Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY.

On this the 24th day of June, 1931, a regular judicial day of the District Court of the United States, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, in court at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, came on to be heard the above numbered entitled cause, and the plaintiff, Supreme Forest Woodmen Circle, a corporation, appeared by J. L. Stuart, its attorney, and the defendant, Creek and Pawnee Counties Joint Consolidated School District No. 3, State of Oklahoma, commonly known and called "Joint Consolidated School District No. 3, Pawnee-Creek Counties, State of Oklahoma", appeared by its attorney, Carl D. McGee.

And the court having examined the pleadings of plaintiff and defendant, and having heard the evidence introduced by plaintiff, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that the allegations in plaintiff's petition are true, and that there is now due and owing plaintiff by defendant for unpaid interest coupons on the funding bonds described in plaintiff's petition, to-wit: funding bonds dated May 9th, 1923, being thirty-five in number, numbered 1 to 35, both inclusive, 34 of said bonds being for the principal sum of \$100.00 each, and being numbered from 1 to 34, consecutively, and one of said bonds being for the principal sum of \$460.00, and being numbered 35, all of

35 bonds now owned by plaintiff, the sum of \$5535.20, with interest at rate of 6 per cent per annum on \$883.80 from July 1st, 1929; on \$883.80 January 1st, 1930; on \$883.80 from July 1st, 1930, and on \$883.80 from July 1st, 1931, or the total sum, including principal and interest on this the day of June, 1931, the sum of \$3796.48.

It is, therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court the plaintiff, Supreme Forest Woodmen Circle, a corporation, do have and recover of and from the defendant, Creek and Pawnee Counties Joint Consolidated School District No. 3, State of Oklahoma, generally known and called Joint Consolidated School District No. 3, Pawnee-Creek Counties, State of Oklahoma, said sum of \$3796.48, with interest thereon from this date at the rate of 6 per cent per annum.

The court further finds that the said bonds above mentioned all issued by said Joint Consolidated School District No. 3, Pawnee-Creek Counties, State of Oklahoma, and that said Joint Consolidated School District No. 3, Pawnee-Creek Counties, State of Oklahoma, received the proceeds of said bonds, and that said bonds are now owned and held by the plaintiff and that said Joint Consolidated School District No. 3, Pawnee-Creek Counties, State of Oklahoma, is justly indebted to and owes the plaintiff the said sum of \$3796.48, with interest thereon from this date at the rate of 6 per cent per annum for the unpaid coupons Nos. 12, 13, 14 and 15, which were all past due and unpaid at the time of the institution of this suit, and for which judgment is rendered against the said Joint Consolidated School District No. 3, Pawnee-Creek Counties, State of Oklahoma.

It is, therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the court the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the said sum of \$3796.48, with interest thereon from this date at the rate of 6 per cent per annum, and all costs herein incurred, for all of which let execution issue.

F. E. KENNEDY
United States District Judge.

H. H. STUART
Attorney for Plaintiff.

CARL MOORE
Attorney for Defendant, Creek & Pawnee
Counties Joint Consolidated School Dis-
trict No. 3, State of Oklahoma, generally
known and called Joint Consolidated School
District No. 3, Pawnee-Creek Counties, State
of Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 24 1931
J. S. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

J. POLPHEUS CO. JOHN., Plaintiff,)

-vs-

JOHN WATSON, JR. OF
LLM, OKLA. Defendant.)

No. 1225 - Law. ✓

Now on this 24th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from
trial assignment of this date. (F.E.K. Judge).

STANLEY, Plaintiff,)

-vs-

A. BRADSHAW, Defendant.)

No. 1232 - Law. ✓

Now on this 24th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from
trial assignment of this date. (F.E.K. Judge).

A. DISCHARGING PETIT JURORS.

Now on this 24th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court that all Petit Jurors be, and they are, hereby discharged for this
early June 1931 term of this Court, at Bartlesville, Oklahoma.

ORDER TO PAY PETIT JURORS AND WITNESSES MILEAGE AND PER DIEM.

On this 24th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court
that the Marshal of this District pay the Petit Jurors and Witnesses for this
early June 1931 Term of Court, their mileage and attendance as shown by the
Order of Attendance.

It is this day ordered by the Court
that the regular June 1931 Term of
Court at Bartlesville, be adjourned,
subject to call.

On this 27th day of June, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March Session, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. J. E. Newsum, Judge, present presiding.

W. L. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.
John A. Wickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 5195 - Criminal. ✓
)
GERRIE, ED McCLINTOCK,
ED McCLINTOCK, WILLIE YOUNGER, Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence heretofore imposed on defendant, Willie Younger, be now modified to read as follows, on authorization by the Department of Justice.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of:

Count One, Five (5) years,
Count Two, Five (5) years, from date of delivery.
Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

TUCKER, W. A. EDGAR, ROGERS, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 825 - Law. ✓
)
FOR MY INTERESTS & ENG. CO. Defendant.)

Now on this 27th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled case, same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

RESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

) TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF
THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

WIT:

WILLIAM, lately in the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between

E. P. Tucker and W. B. Loe, Trustees in Bankruptcy of the Choctaw Portland Cement Company, a corporation, Plaintiffs, and Traylor Engineering & Manufacturing Company, a corporation, Defendant, No. 835 Law, the judgment of the said District Court in said cause entered on June 25, 1930, was in the following tenor, viz:

"It is, therefore, considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendant have judgment of said judgment against the plaintiffs herein, and each of them, for its costs herein expended, and that the plaintiffs herein take nothing by their amended petition as amended, and that the garnishment process and proceedings heretofore existing in this cause by virtue of garnishee summons heretofore issued and served on C. L. Eysenbach, R. G. Walker, and the Estate of Grant Stebbins, deceased, be, and the same is hereby set aside, held for naught and dissolved, to which order of the court the plaintiffs and each of them except and exceptions are allowed."

By the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, it was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by E. P. Tucker and W. B. Loe, Trustees in Bankruptcy of the Choctaw Portland Cement Company, a corporation, appellants, in such due and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the January Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one, the said cause came on to be heard before said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by said Court, that the judgment of the said District Court, in this cause, be, the same is hereby, affirmed; and that Traylor Engineering and Manufacturing Company, a corporation, appellee, have and recover of and from E. P. Tucker, W. B. Loe, Trustee in Bankruptcy of the Choctaw Portland Cement Company, a corporation, appellants, its costs herein.

--April 7, 1931.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that said proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS, the honorable CHARLES E. WOOD, Chief Justice of the United States, the 11th day of June, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one.

W. B. Loe, Appellee.
Clerk, -- --
Printing Record, -- --
Attorney, \$20.00
\$20.00

ALBERT WISCO
Clerk of the United States Circuit
Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

FILED: Filed Jan 27 1931
J. J. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Firestone Rubber Company, Plaintiff,)
 Corporation,)
 vs.) No. 1137 Law v
 W. Maddin, sole trader, doing)
 business as Maddin Tire Company, Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY

The above entitled cause coming on for hearing at the Bartles-
 term of this Court on the 22nd day of June, 1931, the plaintiff being pres-
 ent in person and by its attorneys, Yancey, Spillers, & Fisk, and the defendant
 present in person and by his attorneys, A. C. Saunders and Roe, Lundy &
 n, and both sides having announced ready for trial and both sides having
 in open court that judgment should be rendered for the plaintiff.

It is by the Court ORDERED that the plaintiff have and recover
 from the defendant judgment for the possession of the following described
 property, to-wit:

CASINGS

- 4 Autocrat Cords - 30x3 1/2 C.L.
- 8 " " - 33x4
- 4 " " - 32 x 4 1/2
- 4 " " - 33 x 4 1/2
- 2 " " - 34 x 5
- 6 " " - 32 x 6 D. T. Design 10 Ply.
- 6 " " - 36 x 6
- 6 " " - 34 x 7
- 6 " " - 38 x 7
- 6 " " 36 x 8
- 8 " " 40 x 8
- 6 " " 38 x 9
- 6 " " 42 x 9
- 2 " " 42 x 9 Firestone
- 1 " " 38 x 9 Goodyear
- 22 Autocrat Balloons 29 x 4.40
- 3 " " 29 x 5.00
- 1 " " 6.50-20 (32x6.20)

- 4 Fus Balloons 34 x 700
- 5 " " 34 x 750
- 12 " " 36 x 825
- 7 " " 40 x 900
- 2 " " 40 x 1050

- 13 Bar Speed Cord 30 x 3 1/2

2	Universal	4.40-21-4.50	(30x4.50)	29 x 4.40
12	"	4.50-19-4.75	(28x4.75)	28x4.50
6	"	4.50-20-4.75	(29x4.75)	29x4.50
8	"	4.50-21-4.75	(30x4.75)	30x4.50
5	"	5.00-18-5.25	(28x5.25)	28x5.00
3	"	5.00-20-5.25	(30x5.25)	30x5.00
2	"	5.00-21-5.25	(31x5.25)	31x5.00
17	"	5.25-18-5.50	(28x5.50)	28x5.25
1	"	5.25-19-5.50	(29x5.50)	29x5.25
40	"	5.25-21-5.50	(31x5.50)	31x5.25
3	"	5.50-18-6.00	(30x6.00)	30x5.50
10	"	5.50-19-6.00	(31x6.00)	29x5.50
2	"	5.50-20-6.00	(32x6.00)	30x5.50
6	"	5.50-21-6.00	(33x6.00)	31x5.50
3	"	6.00-18-6.50	(30x6.50)	30x6.00
3-6 Ply	Universal	6.50-20-7.00	(32x6.75)	32x6.50
2 Ply	Universal	6.50-21-7.00	(33x6.75)	33x6.50
4	Universal	6.50-20-7.00	(8 ply)	
20	"	6.50-21-7.00	(8 ply)	

25	Par Tread Balloon	29 x 4.40,	4 ply.
3	"	30 x 4.50,	4 ply.
15	"	28 x 4.75,	4 ply.
12	"	28 x 4.75,	6 Ply.
7	"	29 x 4.75,	6 ply.
18	"	30 x 5.00,	6 ply.
2	"	31 x 5.00,	6 ply.
9	"	28 x 5.25,	6 ply.
6	"	29 x 5.25,	6 ply.
4	"	30 x 5.25,	4 ply.
11	"	30 x 5.25,	6 ply.
9	"	31 x 5.25,	4 ply.
4	"	31 x 5.25,	6 ply.
9	"	30 x 6.00,	6 ply.
4	"	31 x 6.00,	6 ply.
4	"	34 x 6.00,	6 ply.
4	"	29 x 4.50,	4 ply.

TUBES

14	Autoerat	30 x 3 $\frac{1}{2}$
9	"	31 x 4
13	"	32 x 4
14	"	33 x 4
6	"	32 $\frac{1}{2}$ x 4 $\frac{1}{2}$
9	"	33 x 4 $\frac{1}{2}$
2	"	30 x 5
3	"	34 x 5
15	"	31 x 5
11	"	29 x 4.40
29	"	28 x 4.75
8	"	29 x 4.75
4	Autoerat	31 x 4.75
3	"	29 x 5.00
3	"	30 x 5.00
3	"	31 x 5.00
2	"	32 x 5.00 (31 x 4.95)

BY APPOINTMENT:
HONORABLE JUDGE WALTER S. REED, JR.
Attorneys for the Plaintiff.

A. B. CHILDS,
Esq.,
Attorneys for the Defendant.

RECORDED: filed Jun 27 1931
F. W. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

A. B. CHILDS, Esq., Plaintiff,)
Corporation,)
vs.) No. 1200 Law. ✓
BROOKMAN, Defendant.)

O R D E R

Now on this 27th day of June, 1931, this matter coming on for trial upon the application of plaintiff for time to make and serve a bill of exceptions, and to file cost bond on appeal, plaintiff is granted sixty (60) days from this date within which to prepare and serve a bill of exceptions, and cost bond is fixed at \$300.

F. W. WARFIELD,
DISTRICT CLERK.

RECORDED: filed Jun 27 1931
F. W. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

United States of America, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1292 Law. ✓
West Corne, 1926 Model,)
or No. 4-40957, Defendant.)

O R D E R

Now on this 27 day of June, 1931, it appeared to the Court that there has been a petition in libel filed in the above styled and numbered case on behalf of the Plaintiff, the United States of America, wherein a certain

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. Bowater, Plaintiffs,)
Archibald W. J. Pohl and)
E. T. Allison,) No. 1008 Law ✓
vs.)
C. Worley, Defendant.)

ORDER ENLARGING TIME TO LODGE APPEAL.

Now on this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931, and prior to the return of the citation herein, and within the term at which judgment in the above titled cause was rendered, and upon request of plaintiffs for an extension of time within which to print the record and lodge the appeal allowed them, and good and sufficient cause having been shown therefor, and the court being well sufficiently advised in the premises;

It is by the Court CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED AND ORDERED that the time for printing the record and lodging an appeal heretofore allowed the plaintiffs in in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, for filing said record and docketing said cause in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, be, and the same is hereby, ended and extended to the 1st day of October, A. D. 1931.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931.

A. E. REEDWATER
Judge.

H. L. McWILLIAMS
Atty for Deft.

RECORDED: Filed Jul 6 1931
H. P. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. Bowater, Archibald Plaintiffs,)
Pohl and E. T. Allison,) No. 1008 Law. ✓
vs.)
C. Worley, Defendant.)

ORDER DENYING TIME FOR ASSIGNMENT OF BILL
OF EXCEPTIONS.

On this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes on to be heard the application for further extension of time to plaintiffs herein for the preparation, filing and filing of their bill of exceptions in this cause, and it appearing

the court that it has been impossible within the original time allowed to
plete the preparation of such bill of exceptions and that plaintiffs and
ndent have entered into a stipulation in said matter providing for a further
xion, which stipulation, caption and signatures omitted, is in words and
res as follows, to-wit:

"It is hereby stipulation and agreed by and between
plaintiffs and defendant herein that, whereas, plaintiffs
have been unable to complete the preparation of their bill
of exceptions in this cause and will not be able to com-
plete the same for presentation and allowance within the
time originally fixed by the order of this court of May 1,
1931; that plaintiffs may have a further extension of time
within which to prepare and serve and have settled and al-
lowed their bill of exceptions in this cause to and until
the 1st day of October, A. D. 1931."

And the Court having considered the matter and being well and
sufficiently advised in the premises,

It is by the Court CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the
ntiffs, John F. Bowater, Archibald W. J. Pohl and Wade L. Allison, et al., and
are hereby, allowed a further extension of time to and until October 1,
, within which to prepare and have allowed and filed their bill of excep-
s herein.

Done at Tulsa, Okla., this 6th day of July, 1931.

D. L. HERRICK
Judge.

WHEB: Filed Jul 6 1931
D. L. Herrick, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
TULSA DISTRICT COURT

. Kane, Administrator of Plaintiff,)
Estate of Leslie L. Landrum,)
ased,) No. 1161 Law. ✓
vs.)
Continent Petroleum Corporation,)
Defendant.)

GENERAL VERDICT OF JURY.

This cause coming on to be heard this the 1st day of June, 1931,
pant to regular assignment for trial, said plaintiffs were present in person
by his attorneys, Stuart, Cookley & Boerner, and said defendant, Mid-Conti-
Petroleum Corporation, being present by its attorneys, J. L. Hill and F. L.
exits, and both parties announcing ready for trial, and the case called
1 before a jury of twelve good men being duly impaneled and sworn and
y to try the issues joined between the parties in this cause, and the
let verdict according to the evidence.

Thereafter, and on the 24th day of June, 1931, after having heard evidence and instructions of the Court, and argument of counsel, said jury rendered the following verdicts into Court:

"IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

E. E. Kane, Administrator of the Estate of)
Leslie L. Landrum, Deceased,)
Plaintiff.) No. 1161 Law.
vs.)
Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation,)
Defendant.)

V E R D I C T

"We the jury in the above entitled cause, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oath find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at \$20,000.00 on first cause of action.

(Signed) C. V. Reaser,
Foreman."

"IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

E. E. Kane, Administrator of the Estate of)
Leslie L. Landrum, Deceased,)
Plaintiff.) No. 1161 Law.
vs.)
Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation,)
Defendant.)

V E R D I C T

"We the jury in the above entitled cause, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oath find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at \$5,000.00 on second cause of action.

(Signed) C. V. Reaser,
Foreman."

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that plaintiff have and recover of and from said defendant, Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation, the sum of \$20,000.00 on his first cause of action herein, the sum of \$5,000.00 on his second cause of action herein, said judgment to interest at the rate of 6% per annum from the 24th day of June, 1931, together with the costs of this action, for which let execution issue.

To the above and foregoing judgment, and each and every part thereof the defendant excepts, and its exceptions are allowed.

It is further Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed, upon the request of above named defendant and for good cause shown, that said defendant be, and hereby granted sixty (60) days from this date, or until the 23rd day of August, 1931, within which to present and file a bill of exceptions herein.

It is further Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed by the Court, upon request of the above named defendant and for good cause shown, that the time of an execution upon and under the above and foregoing judgment be, and same is hereby stayed for and during the period of fifty (50) days from date, or until the 13th day of August, 1931.

Done in open Court this 24th day of June, 1931.

J. A. DOOLINER
United States District Judge.

WALTER GORDON & DOLINER
J. A. DOOLINER
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

H. H. WILSON
Attorneys for Defendant.

RECORDED: Filed Jul 6 1931
J. J. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

P. Speer, Plaintiff,)
v.) No. 1230 Law ✓
of Kiefer, Creek County,)
and, a municipal corporation, Defendant.)

JUDICIAL ENTRY, SUPPLEMENTARY TO THE
REPORT FORWARDED BY THE CLERK
OF JUDGMENT

Now on this 6th day of July, 1931, the same being a regular judiciary of the June 1931 term of the above entitled court, held at Tulsa, Oklahoma within said Northern District of Oklahoma, the above styled cause came on for trial on plaintiff's motion for default judgment, and the plaintiff appeared by his attorney, Eugene Jordan, and the defendant appeared not, either by officers, agents, servants or attorneys.

Thereupon said motion for default judgment was presented to the court, and the court being fully advised in the premises finds that the defendant has been duly and regularly and personally served with summons herein as required by law, and that the time for the filing of an answer or other pleading by the defendant has long since elapsed, but said defendant has wholly failed, neglected and refused to answer or otherwise plead in said cause, and the said defendant being three times in open court called to appear, plead or answer, came but makes default, and is accordingly, adjudged to be in default.

Whereupon, in open court, the plaintiff presented proof of his

receipt of the negotiable coupons sued upon herein and requested the court enter judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant as prayed in plaintiff's petition, and the court being fully advised in the premises that plaintiff is the owner and holder of said coupons, that he has made demand for payment thereof of and from the defendant prior to the institution of his action, and that the defendant has failed, neglected and refused to pay said coupons or any part thereof.

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COURT CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the plaintiff have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$1.00 with interest at the rate of six per cent per annum from March 1, 1931, to July 6, 1931, which said sum shall bear interest at the rate of six per cent per annum from July 6, 1931, until paid; and it is further ordered that the plaintiff recover of and from the defendant his costs herein expended; and it is further ordered that said judgment be paid by the defendant as required by the laws of the State of Oklahoma.

J. E. [Name]
United States District Judge

FILED: Filed Jul 9 1931
J. E. Harfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

MAGNAC, INC. A Corp. Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1257 - Law. ✓
A. YOUNG, Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that the motion of defendant to quash be, and the same is hereby withdrawn. Defendant given ten (10) days to answer. (U.S.D. Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE TERRITORY OF OKLAHOMA, OF THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.
MAGNAC, Plaintiff,)
V.) KUSPER 1278 - Law. ✓
J. E. SMITH, and)
BANK OF OKLAHOMA,)
Defendants.)

ORDER REMANDING TO STATE COURT.

The motion of the above plaintiff to remand the above-entitled case to the District Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, having been announced and considered, it is

Ordered that the said motion be, and the same is granted, and this case be, and the same is, hereby, remanded to the District Court of

a County, State of Oklahoma, for further proceedings.

It is further considered by the court that the plaintiffs have recover of the defendant, Standard Pipe Line Company, a corporation, her costs incurred in this court, for which execution is awarded, to which process of the court the defendant, aforesaid, then and there accented.

Dated this 7 day of July, 1931.

M. N. BENDLER
JUDGE

NOTE: Filed Jul 7 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

J. BOOTH, ET AL, Plaintiffs,)
-vs-) No. 1382 - Law. ✓
R. HAYES TRUST CO., ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court, that hearing on motion to remand be, and the same is hereby, taken or advisement. (W.E.L. Judge).

Court adjourned until July 7, 1931.

On this 7th day of July, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. B. Kennamer, Judge, sent and received.

J. J. Marfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
 John H. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.
 John L. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

WARRANTS - GRANT LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION:

On this 7th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each of the following defendants, and the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the sum of \$_____ each.

- | | | | |
|----|--|------|---|
| 1 | Marvin Morris | 5283 | Elsie Peets |
| 2 | Ed Chambers | 5284 | Roy Gann |
| 3 | Roy Hollingsworth | 5285 | Jack Day |
| 4 | Fred Barnes | 5286 | Bill Height & Annie Height |
| 5 | Bennie Rees | 5287 | T.J. Wright |
| 6 | Clarence Lanam, alias Bud Landrum | 5288 | Obie Noonkaster & Jack Noonkaster |
| 7 | Albert W. Crossland | 5289 | Paul Trimble & Tommy Dunbar |
| 8 | Willard Irons | 5290 | David Kirk |
| 9 | Ray Winkler, have French | 5291 | W.A. Morris |
| 10 | Bill Owens | 5292 | Robert Lee Ware, Willie King and Ada Crawford |
| 11 | Charles Matt | 5293 | Clarence Murton |
| 12 | Henry Hanna | 5294 | William L. Leverett, May Leverett |
| 13 | Thomas L. Robertson, alias Jack Robertson | 5295 | William T. Jones |
| 14 | Opal Daniels | 5296 | Lennis Phillips |
| 15 | Nell Sharp alias Nell Berlin and Alice McClurkin | 5297 | Frank Craig |
| 16 | Tommy Air | 5298 | John Douglas, Charles Johnson |
| 17 | Matt L. Marshall | 5299 | Harry L. Titgen |
| 18 | Wesley Gatewood | 5300 | George W. Morehead |
| 19 | Cecil Cowan, Vera Lemington, | 5301 | Sam Mooney |
| 20 | Mike McBean alias McBainis | 5302 | Harry Wyant, Belle Scheller |
| 21 | Wade Krummell | 5303 | William Metz |
| 22 | Alton Stubblefield | 5304 | Ben Brenman |
| 23 | Lula Davis, Lenore Morse | 5305 | Falmadge Scott & Jessie Johnson |
| 24 | One Gurley | 5306 | Alice Crooms, alias Clara Thompson |
| 25 | James Lytle | 5307 | Matt Hughes, Jewell Duncan, Lawrence Duncan |
| 26 | Earl Nash & Opal Fritz | 5308 | Neil Johnson |
| 27 | Medco Pearson & Roy Marshall | | |
| 28 | Ted Ledford & Avil Noonkaster | | |
| 29 | Leander Scott, Nancy Scott and | | |
| 30 | Lola Karciale, alias Letha Mae Osborne | | |
| | 5309 M. W. Welch | | |

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI.

W. A. HILL, Plaintiff, v
Vs H. C. 1188 Law. ✓
S. C. PARISH, Defendant. v

O R D E R.

Now, on this 7th day of July, 1931, the court being advised, doth
order and adjudge that the motion heretofore filed herein by defendant Semmes
Parish to quash the second alias summons issued on the 9th day of October,
1930, and returnable on the 19th day of October, 1930 and the pretended service
thereof, be, and it is hereby, sustained.

It is now, therefore, ordered and adjudged by the court that the
second alias summons issued on the 9th day of October, 1930 and returnable on
the 19th day of October, 1930 and the pretended service thereof, be, and the
same is hereby, quashed and held for naught. To all of this, the plaintiff,
W. A. Hill, by his attorney, H. B. Martin, excepted.

J. W. HARRISON
J. W. HARRISON

H. B. MARTIN
FOR PLAINTIFF.

DAVIDSON & WILLIAMS,
Attys for Defs.

RECORDED: Filed Jul 7 1931
H. J. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until June 8, 1931.

On this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special and Extraordinary Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. W. Conner, Judge, presiding.

H. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John W. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.
John W. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

RESCUE - ORDER RELEASING BONDS - BILL CARL, A. L. MCCOY.

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that bonds of defendants, Bill Carl and A. L. McCoy be, and they are hereby, reduced to \$10,000.00. (F. W. Conner, Judge).

U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America, Plaintiff,
vs. Bill Carl and A. L. McCoy, Defendants,
Young,

This matter coming on to be heard before me the undersigned Judge of the United States District Court in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, on the 8th day of June, 1931, upon the application of defendant and the court being fully advised in the premises finds that the Appearance bond heretofore set for the sum of Thirty-five Hundred (\$3,500.00) Dollars should be reduced to the sum of Fifteen Hundred (\$1,500.00) Dollars.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the amount of Appearance bond heretofore set for the appearance of the above Defendant to appear for trial be and the same is hereby reduced from the sum of \$3,500.00 to \$1,500.00 and that said defendant may be released upon giving good and sufficient bail bond for his appearance in the sum of \$1,500.00.

F. W. CONNER
Judge

H. F. WARFIELD
Asst. U. S. Att.

FILED: Filed July 8 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND, OREGON

United States of America, Plaintiff,
vs.
J. Lunker, Defendant,

** ORIGINAL **

This matter coming on to be heard before me the undersigned Judge said Court, on this 8th day of June, 1931, upon the application of the Defendant and the court being fully advised in the premises and upon consideration thereof finds the application should be allowed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that amount of Appearance Bond set for the release of the defendant until time of his appearance for trial, be and the same is hereby reduced from the sum of fifty-five hundred (\$5,500.00) Dollars to the sum of fifteen hundred (1500.00) Dollars.

F. W. KERRAMEN
Judge.

NOTE: Filed Jul 8 1931
F. B. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

DELANE VS - ORDER REDUCING BOND OF CHARLES MASHUNKASHEV.

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon recommendation of the U. S. Attorney, that the bond of defendant, Charles Mashunkashev, be, and it is hereby, reduced to \$1500.00. (F.E.H.)

THE STATE OF OREGON, Plaintiff,
-vs-
SILBERS, Defendant.

No. 444 - Criminal.

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that the above styled cause be, and it is hereby, dismissed.

THE STATE OF OREGON, Plaintiff,
-vs-
W. E. COLL, Defendant.

No. 455 - Criminal.

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that the above styled cause do, and it is hereby, dismissed.

THE UNITED STATES, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1615 - Criminal. ✓
LEWIS, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that the above styled case be, and it hereby, dismissed.

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 3757 - Criminal. ✓
COOPER, ELLIOTT THOMPSON, Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, that judgment and sentence as to defendant, Lewey Cooper be further extended one year from this date.

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4461 - Criminal. ✓
DE WIGHT, BARNEY PRESSLER, Defendants.)
SMITH, WILLIAM W. BROOK,)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney that case as to defendants, Barney Pressler and W. W. Brook be, and they are hereby, dismissed.

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4724 - Criminal. ✓
MORROW, FRED WISNER, Defendants.)
MCGEE,)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Fred McGee, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned, pleads true name is Forest McGee enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment before filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

He committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
Count One, Six (6) months,
Count Two, Six (6) months, from date of delivery,
and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of one

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4819 - Criminal. ✓
JOHN W. ... Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the car confiscated herein, be, and it is hereby, forfeited to the Government.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4805 - Criminal. ✓
WALTER LEWIS, Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for judgment and sentence. Defendant George Star present in person. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now entered as follows:

He be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Six (6) months, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid or until he has been released by due process of law.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4821 - Criminal. ✓
WALTER LEWIS, Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931, before the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Walter Lewis, being in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 8105 - Criminal. ✓
JOHN W. ... Defendants.)

Now on this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the property in possession of the defendants, be, and it is hereby, forfeited to the Government.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 5178 - Criminal. ✓
 FRED SMITH, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, there comes on for hearing the petition for intervention of Fred Smith. Thereafter, the following Government witnesses were sworn and examined: W. L. Salway and J. C. Tucker. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said hearing be continued to July 9,

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 5179 - Criminal. ✓
 FRED SMITH, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Marshal representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Fred Smith, herein in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty hereto 1, 2, 3, 5 and 4 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

To be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

Count Two, Six (6) months, from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that Counts 1, 3 and 4 be held to September, 1931, at Vinita.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 5388 - Criminal. ✓
 IRVIN K. BROWN, alias,)
 JAMES ALEXANDER, alias,)
 JAMES G. QUARTNEY, alias,)
 JIMMIE DONOVAN, alias,)
 RAY COOK, alias,)
 Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Marshal representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Irvin K. Brown, James Alexander, James G. Quartney, Jimmie Donovan and Ray Cook, herein in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

ERASER Co. Cont'd.

LEVIN B. BROWN ALIAS
JAMES ALEXANDER.

be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count Two, Six (6) months, from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars on Count One (1), and in default thereof stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

JIMMY B. GARTNEY, alias
JIMMY BOVOAN.

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of five (\$5.00) Dollars on Count One (1) and five (\$5.00) Dollars on Count Two (2), and in default thereof stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a county jail, until said fine is paid, or until he has been released by due process of law.

W. J. COLE.

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of five (\$5.00) Dollars on Count One (1) and five (\$5.00) Dollars on Count Two (2), and in default thereof stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a county Jail, until said fine is paid or until he has been released by due process of law.

IN SENATE CHAMBER COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, S. D. CALIF.
U. S. DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

United States of America, Plaintiff,
vs.) No. 1335
J. Gwartney, alias Jimmy Bovoan,
J. Brown, alias James A. Alexander
or Coker, Defendants.)

U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Now on this 6 day of July, 1931, it appearing to the Court that above named defendants have been apprehended on a liquor charge under the National Prohibition Act in the possession of One Ford Automobile, Model 1928 Roadster, No. 413384, which automobile is owned by the Commercial Credit Company a conditional sales contract in which the Harne Motor Company of Los Angeles, California is the seller and B. A. Evans is the purchaser, and which conditional sales contract there remains due and payable the sum of \$400.00, which

ditional sales contrary to law and assisted in such sale, the Commercial
Credit Company, the same after seizure, as aforesaid, and the Court has par-
tial the apprehension, as to the Commercial Credit Company is in view of
that the said Commercial Credit Company had no knowledge of any intended
probable violation of the liquor laws by the said defendants, and the
Commercial Credit Company having agreed to pay all storage charges on the
automobile while the same has been in the hands of the Government since the
rehearsal of the defendants, and it appearing to the Court that the said
automobile ought to be turned over to and delivered to the said Commercial
Credit Company.

IT IS ORDERED that the Prohibition Officers and the
Officers of the United States Government, and their agents, who are now in the
possession of the said automobile, deliver the same to the Commercial Credit
Company, or to its authorized agents upon the payment by the Commercial Credit
Company of the storage charges, accruing since its apprehension by the Prohibition
Officers.

It is further ordered that the Commercial Credit Company fore-
close its said contract, in accordance with the law, and account to the Clerk
of this Court for any surplus that may remain out of the proceeds of the said
foreclosure, after the satisfaction of its contract.

W. J. ...
Judge.

W. J. ...
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed July 1, 1931
W. J. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court

W. J. ... Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1004 - Criminal)
W. J. ...)
W. J. ... Defendants.)

Now on this 3th day of June, A. D. 1931, before the United States
Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Martin A.
... and Phil A. ... appearing in person. The de-
fendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty to counts 1 and 2
charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is or-
dered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

...
... committed to the custody of the ... of
the United States or his authorized agents, for the
purpose of being held in a County Jail for a period of ...

U. S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, No. 5265, 1931

... that said vehicle, 1928 Buick, 1928 model, Motor No. 426738, together with all the contents and accessories seized with said car, be and the same be hereby forfeited to the United States of America; and it is further ordered by the court that said automobile be immediately delivered to the Department of Justice for the purpose of prohibition in the enforcement of the National Prohibition Act as amended, in the Northern District of Illinois, and said prohibition agent is ordered to pay any storage charges and against said automobile to the seizure station, to this date.

W. H. Blair
Jr.

W. H. Blair
Assistant
United States Attorney.

Filed July 11, 1931
W. H. Blair, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

U. S. DISTRICT COURT, Plaintiff,
-vs-) No. 5265 - Criminal.
JAMES, Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant James, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

He be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

U. S. DISTRICT COURT, Plaintiff,
-vs-) No. 5265 - Criminal.
CHARLES HATT, Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Charles Hatt, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

5266 Gr. Court.

be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 890 - Criminal. ✓
HENRY MANNA, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Henry Manna arising in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 886 - Criminal. ✓
AS E. ROBERTSON, alias Defendant.)
BO ROBERTSON,

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Thomas E. Robertson, alias Jack Robertson, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 3 as charged in the information tofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Twenty (20) days,
Count Two, Twenty (20) days, from date of delivery;
said sentence of confinement in Count Two, shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5870 - Criminal. ✓
SHARP, alias NELL BERLIN,)
ALICE McCLURKIN, Defendants.)

Now on this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Nell Sharp as Nell Berlin and Alice McClurkin appearing in person. The defendants each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Nell Sharp alias Nell Berlin pleads true name to be Nell Berlin and enters her plea of guilty; Alice McClurkin enters her plea of guilty, all as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

ALICE McCLURKIN.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Ten (10) days, from date of delivery.

NELL BERLIN.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Six (6) months, from date of delivery.

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5871 - Criminal. ✓
DIX, Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Tony Dix, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Sixty (60) days,
Count Two, Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery.

Said sentence of confinement in Count Two, shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 8874 - Criminal. ✓
)
R. GOWAN, VERA PENNINGTON and)
Mike McLean, alias McKEAN, Defendants.)

Now on this 5th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
Prosecutor, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Cecil Gowan,
Vera Pennington and Mike McLean, alias McKeanis, appearing in person. The
defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Cecil Gowan
enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2; Mike McLean alias McKeanis pleads
guilty to be R. P. McLean and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2;
Vera Pennington enters her plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2, all as charged in
the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court
that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

CECIL GOWAN.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of
the United States or his authorized representative, for
confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count Two, Thirty (30) days, from date of
delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States
in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars on Count One (1),
and in default thereof stand committed until said fine is
paid, or until released by due process of law.

VERA PENNINGTON.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the
United States or his authorized representative, for confine-
ment in a County Jail for a period of:

Count Two, Thirty (30) days, from date of de-
livery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the
sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars on Count One (1), and
in default thereof stand committed until said fine is paid,
or until released by due process of law.

MICHAEL McLEAN.

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$25.00 on
each of Counts 1 and 2. Said fines on execution.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 8875 - Criminal. ✓
)
MURKIN, Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
Prosecutor, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Fred Murkin,
appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty,
as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court

5275 Cr. Contd.

red by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery.

THE STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 5276 - Criminal. ✓

OH STUBBLEFIELD,

Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Walton Stubblefield, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3 as charged in the Information heretofore filed here- Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be im- posed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confine- ment in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count Two, Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One (\$1.00) Dollar on Count One and One (\$1.00) on Count Three, and in default thereof stand committed until said fine is paid or until he has been released by due process of law.

THE STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 5277 - Criminal. ✓

DAVIS, LENORE MORSE, and
KILPATRICK,

Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Lula Davis Lenore Morse, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and enters a plea as follows: Lula Davis enters her plea of guilty to Counts 1 & 2; Lenore Morse enters her plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2, all as set out in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on defendant Lula Davis as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confine- ment in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Twenty (20) days,
Count Two, Twenty (20) days, from date of delivery;

5277 Cr. Contd.

said sentence of confinement in Count Two, shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5278 - Criminal. ✓
JAMES LITTLE, Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, James Little, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-Five (\$25.00) Dollars. Said fine placed on execution.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5279 - Criminal. ✓
EARL WASH and OPAL PRITZ, Defendants.)

Now on this 6th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Earl Wash and Opal Pritz appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Earl Wash enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 and not guilty to Counts 3 and 4; Opal Pritz enters her plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 and not guilty to Counts 3 and 4, all as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count One.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count 1, Six (6) months, from date of delivery.

Counts 2, 3 and 4. Dismissed, upon motion of U. S. Attorney.

Count Two.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery.

Counts 2, 3 and 4. Dismissed.

UNITED STATES vs. PEDRO PEARSON ROY MARSHALL, Plaintiff, /
-vs- / No. 5280 - Criminal. ✓
PEDRO PEARSON ROY MARSHALL, Defendants. /

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
sney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Pedro Pearson
Roy Marshall appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and
enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed
in. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be im-
posed as follows:

PEDEO PEARSON ROY MARSHALL.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the
United States, or his authorized representative, for con-
finement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery.

PEDRO PEARSON ROY MARSHALL.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the
United States, or his authorized representative, for con-
finement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES vs. TED LEDFORD and EDWIN HORNCASTER, Plaintiff, /
-vs- / No. 4351 - Criminal. ✓
TED LEDFORD and EDWIN HORNCASTER, Defendants. /

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
sney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Ted Ledford
and Edwin Horncaster, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and
enter a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Information here-
fore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and
sentence be imposed as follows:

TED LEDFORD.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the
United States, or his authorized representative, for confine-
ment in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery,
and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One
(\$1.00) dollar, on Count Two, and in default thereof, further
stand committed until said fine is paid or until he has been re-
leased by due process of law.

US 1 Cr. Contd.

ANNOUNCEMENT

is committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
Count One, Thirty (30) days from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One (\$1.00) dollar, on Count Two, and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid or until he has been released by due process of law.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-)
) No. 8881 - Criminal.
WILLIAM SCOTT, alias SCOTT SCOTT,)
FRANCIS alias FRANK W.)
WILLIAMS, Defendants.)

on and to the 31st day of July, A. D. 1938, before the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants Francis Scott, Jr. Scott and Lela Magdalen alias Letha Mae Osborne, appeared in person. Defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information recited herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

WILLIAM SCOTT.

Sentence deferred to January, 1939.

FRANCIS W. WILLIAMS.

Sentence deferred to January, 1939.

WILLIAM SCOTT, alias SCOTT SCOTT.

is committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

5288 Cr. Contd.

charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5288 - Criminal. ✓
FRIMBLE & TOMMY DUNBAR, Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Paul Frimble and Tommy Dunbar, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed in. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence as to defendant be deferred to January, 1932.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5289 - Criminal. ✓
MIRK, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, David Mirk, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5291 - Criminal. ✓
LEWIS, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, L. L. Lewis, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty

1895 - Cr. 5294.

Count One, Sixty (60) days,
Count Two, Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery.
Said sentence of confinement in Count Two, shall run con-
current to sentence in Count One.

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 5294 - Criminal. ✓
)
WILLIAM A. LEVERETT, and RAY Defendants.)
BENT,

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, William A.
Leverett and Ray Leverett, appearing in person. The defendants are each ar-
raigned and each enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the In-
formation heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court
that judgment and sentence as to each defendant be deferred to January, 1932.

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 5295 - Criminal. ✓
)
WILLIAM T. JONES, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, William T. Jones
appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty
as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered
by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the
United States, or his authorized representative, for confine-
ment in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery.

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 5296 - Criminal. ✓
)
WENNIS PHILLIPS, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Wennis Phillips
appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty
as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered
by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

5296 Cr. Contd.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Six (6) months, from date of delivery.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5297 - Criminal. ✓
FRANK CRAIG, Defendant.)

Now on this 28th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Frank Craig, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Thirty (30) days,
Count Two, Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.
Said sentence of confinement in Count Two (2) to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5298 - Original. ✓
JOHN POLCHER and CHARLES JOHNSON, Defendants.)

Now on this 28th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, John Polcher, Charles Johnson, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

JOHN POLCHER.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Six (6) months from date of delivery.

5298 Mr. Conard.

S. EDMS JOHNS.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Six (6) months from date of delivery.

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

Mo. 5298 - Criminal. ✓

L. E. BITCHER,

Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Larry E. Bitcher, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4 as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein, whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count One, Thirty (30) days,
Count Two, Thirty (30) days,
Count Three, Thirty (30) days,
Count Four, Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

Said sentence of confinement in Counts Two (2), Three (3) and Four (4) to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

Mo. 5299 - Criminal. ✓

W. H. HORTON,

Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, George W. Horton, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein, whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count One, Sixty (60) days,
Count Two, Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery.

Said sentence of confinement in Count Two (2) to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 4302 - Criminal. ✓

WALTER and EVELYN SCHUBERT, Defendants.

Now on this 29th day of July, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Walter and Evelyn Schuber, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 3, 4 and 5 as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

WALTER SCHUBERT.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Eleven (11) days, from date of delivery.

Counts 1, 3 Dismissed.

4 & 5.

EVELYN SCHUBERT.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Eleven (11) days, from date of delivery.

Counts 1, 3 Dismissed.

4 & 5.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 4303 - Criminal. ✓

WALTER W. WILSON, Defendant.

Now on this 29th day of July, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, William Wetz, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Ten (10) days, from date of delivery.