

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 8304, - Criminal.)
JAMES O'NEILL, Defendant.)

Now on this 7th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, James Lennon, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count Two (2), Fifteen days, from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One (\$1.00) dollar on Count One (1), and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 8308 - Criminal.)
WALTER SCOTT, and JESSIE)
SCOTT, Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Walmadge Scott, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Four (4) months,
Count Two, Four (4) months, from date of delivery,
said sentence of confinement in Count Two (2) to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).



ALICE GREENS, alias CLARA THOMPSON, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5306 - Criminal. ✓
ALICE GREENS, alias CLARA THOMPSON, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
they, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Alice Greens
s Clara Thompson, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned, pleads
name is Alice Greens, and enters her plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as
red in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered
he Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the
United States or his authorized representative, for confine-
ment in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Thirty (30) days,
Count Two, Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.
Said sentence of confinement in Count Two (2) to run concurrent
with sentence in Count One (1).

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5307 - Criminal. ✓
CHARLES, JIMMIE DUNNICK, and)
EDWIN BROWN, Defendants.)

Now on this 5th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States
they, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Matt Hughes,
aring in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty
counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. There-
, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as
ows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the
United States or his authorized representative, for confine-
ment in a County Jail, for a period of:
Count One, Thirty (30) days,
Count Two, Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.
Said sentence of confinement in Count Two (2), to run concurrent
with sentence in Count One (1).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5308 - Criminal. ✓
JOHNSON, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Cecil Johnson, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Twelve (12) days, from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5309 - Criminal. ✓
WELCH, Defendant.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, W. W. Welch, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty counts 1, 2, and 3 as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count One, Twenty (20) days,
Count Two, Twenty (20) days,
Count Three, Twenty (20) days, from date of delivery.

Said sentence of confinement in Count Two (2) and Three (3) to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5310 - Criminal. ✓
WELCH and W. W. WELCH, Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, and acts, and is granted leave to file information against the named defendants.

And thereafter, each defendant appears in person and is arraigned where their plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed is entered. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

5811 - Criminal

DEFENDANT.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty-six (36) days, from date of delivery.

MR. CHAS. HENRY.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for the period of:

Thirty-six (36) days, from date of delivery.

WILLIAM C. HENRY,	Plaintiff,) No. 5811 - Criminal. ✓
-vs-)	
WILLIAM C. HENRY,	Defendants.	
WILLIAM C. HENRY,		

Now on this 17 day of July, A. D. 1951, before the United States Court and before me is granted leave to file information against the defendants, Joyce Kennedy, Helen Hart, Carl Hart. Thereafter, before me, Helen Hart appears in person, is arraigned and enters her plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed in. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

J. Bewater, Archibald	Plaintiffs,) No. 1508 law. ✓
J. Kohl and Wade	Blisen,	
-vs-)	
J. Worley,	Defendant.	

DEFENDANT.

Now on this 17 day of July, A. D. 1951, before the United States Court and before me is granted leave to file information against the defendant, J. Worley, for the period of:

rence and filing of their bill of exceptions in this cause, and it appearing to the court that it has been impossible within the original time allowed to file the preparation of such bill of exceptions and that plaintiffs and defendant have entered into a stipulation in said matter agreeing to a further extension, which stipulation, caption and signatures omitted, is in words and reads as follows, to-wit:

"It is hereby stipulation and agreed by and between plaintiffs and defendant herein that, whereas, plaintiffs have been unable to complete the preparation of their bill of exceptions in this cause and will not be able to complete the same for presentation and allowance within the time originally fixed by the order of this court of May 1, 1931; that plaintiffs may have a further extension of time within which to prepare and serve and have settled and allowed their bill of exceptions in this cause to and until the 1st day of October, A. D. 1931."

And the Court having considered the matter and being well and sufficiently advised in the premises,

It is by the Court CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED AND ORDERED that the plaintiffs, Jean F. Bowater, Archibald W. J. Pohl and Wade A. Allison, he, and are hereby, allowed a further extension of time to and until October 1, 1931, within which to prepare and have allowed and filed their bill of exceptions herein.

Done at Cheyenne, Wyo, this 6 day of July, 1931.

T. BLAKE HENNING
Judge.

W. L. McNEILL
Atty for Plaintiff.

RECORDED: Filed Jul 6 1931
D. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until July 9, 1931

On the 11th day of July, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in the Courtroom of Tulsa, Oklahoma, at pursuant to adjournment, on the 11th day of July, 1931, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

W. W. Hofffield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John W. Goldscherry, U. S. Attorney.
John W. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, /
-vs- / No. 4999 - Original. ✓
JAMES MONROE, Defendant. /

Now on this 9th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the order of July 6, 1931 forfeiting car to Government be, and the same hereby set aside and plea of intervention sustained. All account journal entry be furnished. (W. W. Hofffield, Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff, /
vs. / No. 4999 ✓
James Monroe, Defendant. /

COMPLAINT

Now on this 9th day of July, 1931, it appearing to the Court that above named defendant was apprehended on a liquor charge under the National Prohibition Act in the possession of One Ford Tudor Sedan, Motor No. 1 1589987, the automobile is owned by the Commercial Credit Company under a conditional sales contract in which the J. W. Jarrett Motor Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma is seller and Jacques Monroe is the purchaser, upon which conditional sales contract there remains due and payable the sum of \$301.60 which conditional sales contract was sold and assigned in good faith to the Commercial Credit Company by the J. W. Jarrett Motor Company, as aforesaid, and it further appearing that said Commercial Credit Company, is in good faith and that said Commercial Credit Company had no knowledge of any intended or possible violation of the liquor laws by the said defendant, and the Commercial Credit Company having agreed to pay all storage charges against the said automobile while the same has been in the hands of the Government since the apprehension of the defendant, and it appearing to the Court that the said automobile ought to be turned over to and delivered to the said Commercial Credit Company.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Prohibition Officers, and the Agents of the United States Government, and their agents, be and they are hereby directed to deliver the said automobile, deliver the same to the Commercial Credit Company, or to its authorized agents upon the payment to the said Commercial Credit Company of the amount of the said account since filed with the said Commercial Credit Company.

as Officers.

It is further ordered that the Commercial Credit Company foreclose said contract, in accordance with the law, and account to the Clerk of this Court for any surplus that may remain out of the proceeds of the said foreclosure in the satisfaction of its contract.

J. M. LEWIS
Judge.

W. C. CLEGG
United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed Jul 9 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
JAMES W. SMITH, Defendant.)

No. 4999 - Original.

Now on this 9th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court previous order directing Prohibition Officers to deliver to the Commercial Credit Company, etc., and turning back to Commercial Credit Company, etc., and the same is hereby set aside. It is further ordered by the Court hearing be set for August 1, 1931, at Tulsa.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
JAMES W. SMITH & EDWARD SMITH, Defendants.)

No. 5170 - Criminal.

Now on this 9th day of July, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause come on for further hearing. Thereafter, the Defendants introduce evidence in proof with the following witnesses: Elizabeth Smith, Rudolph Smith, Sr., Mrs. Smith, Mrs. Mary Daniels. And thereafter, after considering the evidence herein, it is ordered by the Court that can be forfeited to the Government as prayed. To all of which the Defendants except and give notice of appeal. Return to file bill of exceptions and cost bond fixed in the sum of \$200.00. Redelivery and fixed at \$500.00.

JOHN C. ... Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 8701-30. ✓
UNITED STATES ... Defendant.)

By order this 9th day of July, A. D. 1930, in and to the above entitled case that the Clerk file and send a mandate of record, in which he is entitled to, same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

CHARLOTTE C. ...

BY ...
D) ...
OF ...

ALL :

Whereas, lately in the District Court of the United States for Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a case captioned Charlotte C. ... and United States of America, defendant, No. ... the judgments of the said District Court in said case are entered on the 23, 1930, were in the following words, viz:

It is, therefore, the order, judgment and decree of the court that the plaintiff Charlotte C. ... recover from the defendant the sum of \$29.75 ... after the first day of July, 1930, to and including the first day of February, 1931, being a total of \$4,840.00, less all court fees in the amount of 10c, and continuing until ... received all money due by virtue of a certain ... of \$5,000.00 ... she was designated as beneficiary ... other ... terminated by her death, and ... the provisions of ... relative to attorney's fees, the Director of the United States Veterans Bureau is ordered to take appropriate record there;

And in further appearing to the order of the ... Court, a duly licensed and practicing attorney, ... to confer with the plaintiff, Charlotte C. ... services to her attorney in this case in the ... of their action and that he is entitled to have allowed and ... of the court and before four ... the said ... attorney's fees, and it is by the court ordered that out of the payment to be made under the ... to the Director of the United States Bureau ... to the said Charlotte C. ... amount for attorney's fees in this case the sum of \$29.75, not to exceed ten per cent of the amount awarded to the plaintiff Charlotte C. ... by this judgment;

And it is the further order, judgment and decree of the court that the plaintiff-intervenor ... recover from the defendant the sum of ... after the first day of July, 1930, to and including the first day of February, 1931, being a total of ...

may's fees in the amount of 10%, and continue until all moneys due under the terms of a certain other contract of insurance issued, insuring the life of one Claude Gower in the sum of \$5,000.00, wherein said Thomas A. Gower was designated as beneficiary, is paid in full, and subject to the provisions hereinafter relative to attorney's fees, the director of the United States Veterans Bureau is hereby ordered to make payment accordingly;

And it further appearing to the court that the aforesaid Glenn O. Young, likewise, pursuant to contract with said Thomas A. Gower, rendered legal services in his behalf as attorney in this case in the prosecution of this action, and that he is entitled to have allowed and paid to him out of the amount hereinbefore found due the plaintiff-intervenor, Thomas A. Gower, reasonable attorney's fees, it is by the court ordered that out of the payment to be made under the foregoing, the director of the United States Veterans Bureau pay to the said Glenn O. Young as and for attorney's fees the further sum of \$300.00, not to exceed ten per cent of the amount awarded to the plaintiff-intervenor, Thomas A. Gower, by this judgment.

On the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, it was brought in to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by United States of America agreeably to the act in that behalf passed, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

ALL THINGS, at the April term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one, the said cause came on to be heard before said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record of said District Court and was argued by counsel.

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered and adjudged by the court that the judgments of the said District Court in this cause be and the same are hereby affirmed.

-- June 1, 1931.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that said proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS the honorable CHARLES E. WHITELAND, Chief Justice of the United States, the 5th day of July, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one.

CLERK
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court
of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

ALBERT L. BLOOM,
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court
of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

FILED: Filed July 9 1931
H. B. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN RE PHILLIPS OIL AND GAS DISTRICT COURT NO. 10
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
Ficher Oil & Gas Company, a No. 1141 Law. ✓
partnership, J. W. Stalcup, John)
Co., Gas Bennett, Chas. Marquardt,)
W. Walbright and J. Cookman,)
Defendants.)

ORDER RELEASING PHILLIPS PETROLEUM COMPANY.

Now on this the 9th day of July 1931, same being one of the legal terms days of the Special March 1931 term of court, at Tulsa, in said district, comes the Phillips Petroleum Company, and reports to the court that it is indebted to the defendant, the Ficher Oil & Gas Company, in the sum of five hundred fourteen dollars and 52/100 (\$514.52) and pays over to the Clerk of said court, said sum.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, CO-DEFENDERS BEING ADJUDGED that said sum five hundred fourteen dollars and 52/100 (\$514.52) be credited on the judgment rendered in the above entitled cause, by the Clerk of the court, and same disbursed by him according to law, and that said Phillips Petroleum Company, and it is hereby released and discharged from any further liabilities in said cause.

F. W. WILKINSON
Judge.

J. W. COLLIER
United States Attorney.

W. H. : filed July 9 1931
W. H. : Clerk
U. S. District Court OK

Court adjourned until July 16, 1931.

On this 10th day of July, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Session, at Tulsa, not pursuant to adjournment, Hon. J. H. Lemmer, Clerk, presiding.

W. S. Barfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John W. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.
J. E. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

R. L. THOMAS, Plaintiff,)

-vs-

J. A. PRATES and M. A. McDEVITZ, Receivers of Union Transportation Company, a corporation, Defendants.)

No. 1088 - 143. ✓

WARRANT FOR APPEAL

WARRANT FOR APPEAL IN THE FORESAID COURT:

Defendants J. A. Prates and M. A. McDevitz, Receivers of Union Transportation Company, feeling themselves aggrieved by the judgment rendered in cause upon the 23th day of April, 1931, do hereby appeal from said judgment to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit for the reasons stated and assigned in the assignment of errors filed concurrently herewith, and pray that their writ be allowed and that citation issue as provided by law and that a transcript of the record, proceedings, papers, and bill of expenses upon which said judgment was rendered, being duly authenticated, may be taken to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, at Denver, Colorado.

WILLIAM E. JOHNSON
Attorneys for Defendants and Appellants
J. A. Prates and M. A. McDevitz, Receivers of Union Transportation Company.

Allowed this 6th day of July, 1931, and bond fixed at \$500.00.

Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

Filed for Clerk
W. S. Barfield, Clerk
J. E. Vickrey, Marshal

1931. Entered upon July 22, 1931.

icipated in any way in the illegal use of said property, and that said property should be returned to the said C. L. Oliver and that said property, together with said fixtures is now being held by Wm. R. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, located in the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court, that the said stock of goods, together with said fixtures, except the said wall display cases ordered delivered to the said C. L. Oliver, be and the same is hereby forfeited to the United States of America, on account of the illegal use of said property and fixtures by the said defendants as hereinabove adjudged and decreed by the court, and it is further ordered by the court that said property, together with said fixtures, be disposed of as follows, to-wit:

First: That the two wall display cases located at 210 East 1st Street, in the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and heretofore in possession of the said defendants above named as hereinabove alleged, should be returned and delivered to one C. L. Oliver, and the Deputy Prohibition Administrator at Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma is hereby ordered and directed to deliver said wall display cases to the said C. L. Oliver, and take receipt therefor.

Second: It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the following described articles in the said stock of goods and fixtures held and possessed by the said defendants, to-wit:

- | | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Two desks | One Royal typewriter |
| One typewriter | Two chairs |
| One Cash register | One table |
| All counters and show cases | One paper cabinet |
| One gas stove | Two paper trays |
| One gas hot plate | One roll wrapping paper |
| One iron safe | One fire extinguisher |
| One check protector | One ball of twine, |
| One filing cabinet | All new and unused barrels, kegs and bottles |
| One mimeograph machine | in said stock of goods, |

It is the further order, judgment and decree of the Court that the United States have a lien against the said property and fixtures last hereinabove described for the payment of the fine assessed against the above named defendants, to-wit: the sum of \$800.00, and the Deputy Prohibition Administrator of the United States located at Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma be and is hereby ordered and directed to deliver the said property and fixtures to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, to be held by the said United States Marshal pending the coming of an execution against the above named defendants and the Clerk of the Court is hereby ordered and directed to issue an execution to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma for non payment of said fines assessed against the said defendants, directing the said United States Marshal to levy upon said property and fixtures above described and sell the same in accordance with law in satisfaction of said lien.

Third: It is further hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the fruit jars in said stock of goods, being approximately one hundred fifty-(152) in number, be delivered to the Frances Willard Home, located near the

of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, for their use.

Fourth: It is the further order and decree of the court that the balance of said stock of goods, property and fixtures be destroyed by Deputy Prohibition Administrator located at the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, that after the destruction of said stock of goods and said property by said officers that said officers make a joint return to the Clerk of this court, showing the execution of this order of court, and the disposition made of said property.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

W. B. BLAIR
W. B. Blair, Assistant
United States Attorney.

To which judgment, except that part which orders the return to J. Oliver of his display cases, the defendants respectfully except.

JOHN T. HARLEY
Attorney for Defendants.

RECORDED: Filed Jul 22 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. B. Blair, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1109 Law. ✓
United States of America, Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHICH TO
PREPARE, SERVE AND FILE RECORD.

Now on this 20th day of July, 1931, the above named defendant, United States of America, having filed its petition praying an appeal of the main cause to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and said appeal having been duly and regularly allowed, and upon allowance thereof said defendant having requested an extension of time in which to prepare, serve and file record in said cause, and after statement of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that good and sufficient cause exists for such extension of time.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said defendant,

United States of America, be and hereby is allowed an extension of time of
ty days from this date in which to complete its record and lodge an appeal
his cause in the said Circuit Court of Appeals.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of
Oklahoma.

REED: Filed Jul 22 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

Court adjourned until July 24, 1931.

On this 24th day of July, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1931 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

E. DAVIS, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 771 - Law. ✓
)
W. WOOLWORTH COMPANY, Defendant.)
Foreign corporation,)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO FILE TRANSCRIPT.

Now on this 24th day of July, 1931, it is ORDERED for good and sufficient cause shown, that the time in which R. E. Davis, Plaintiff herein Appellant in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, may docket the case and file a transcript of the record herein in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, be, and the same hereby, extended and enlarged to the 12 thday of August, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jul 24 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

R. Mosshamer, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 987 Law. ✓
Kewanee Oil & Gas)
Company, Defendant.)

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL.

The petition of The Kewanee Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, defendant in the above entitled cause, for an appeal from the judgment of this court heretofore rendered, and filed and entered herein, to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, is hereby granted and said appeal is allowed upon condition of the filing of the bond heretofore required by the order of this Court; and petitioner having filed bond in the sum of twenty-five hundred dollars, and conditions as required by law, and pursuant to said former order of this Court, the same is now approved, and it is ordered that said bond shall operate as a supersedeas of the judgment made and entered in the above cause and shall suspend and stay all further proceedings in this Court until the termination of said appeal.

It is ordered that a certified copy of the record and proceedings in which the judgment herein was rendered be transmitted to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

Dated this 24 day of July, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

CORSEED: Filed Jul 24 1931
W. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

R. Mosshamer, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 987 Law. ✓
Kewanee Oil & Gas)
Company, Defendant.)

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

Now on this ____ day of July, 1931, upon the motion of the defendant in the above entitled cause, good cause being shown therefor, and the present term of this Court, and at which the judgment herein was rendered, not

ing expired, the time for the signing, allowance and filing of the bill of options of the above named defendant is hereby extended for thirty-five days from this date.

Dated this 24 day of July, 1931.

F. E. HENNINGER
District Judge.

ORDERED: Filed Jul 24 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF UNITED STATES FOR THE
SOUTHERN STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

Lilburn Robert Purdy, Plaintiff, |
-vs- | NO. 1198 - Law. |
United States of America, Defendant. |

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

This cause came on regularly for hearing on the 24th day of July, 1931, pursuant to regular assignment for trial; Plaintiff herein being present in person and represented by his Attorney, C. T. Lane, the defendant, United States of America, being present and represented by its Counsel, both parties announcing ready for trial, and a jury having been waived in the hearing, the Court proceeded to hear the evidence and argument of Counsel, and being well and fully advised in said cause and having given full consideration to the evidence and argument of Counsel as to the law and facts, finds that the allegations of Plaintiff's petition have been sustained and that plaintiff is entitled to judgment as prayed for in his petition and supplemental petition,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED by the Court that the plaintiff Lilburn Robert Purdy have and recover judgment against the defendant, United States of America, in the sum of \$28.75 per month from June 1, 1918, to June 1, 1931. It is further ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that Plaintiff's Counsel C. T. Lane receive as a reasonable Attorney's fee the sum of 10% of all payments made as a result of this judgment, the same to be paid as provided by the World War Veterans' Act: And that this judgment is without prejudice to all future claims or judgments to which plaintiff might show himself entitled. To all of which the defendant excepts the exceptions are by the Court allowed.

F. E. HENNINGER
JUDGE.

AS TO COUNSEL:
C. T. LANE
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF.

A. M. WILKINS
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT.

ORDERED: Filed Jul 24 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF
OKLAHOMA.

ouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad)
Company,) Plaintiff,)
))
vs.) No. 1297 - Law. ✓
))
John H. Layton,) Defendant.)

O R D E R

NOW, on this 24th day of July, 1931, the same being one of the special days of the Special March, 1931 Term of this Court, sitting at Tulsa, Oklahoma, comes the plaintiff and files and presents motion for dismissal of the above entitled and numbered cause, and represents to the court that the amount sued for has been paid;

And, the court being fully advised in the premises:

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the court that this cause be and it is hereby dismissed, with prejudice, at the instance of the plaintiff.

F. E. SENKAMER
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jul 24 1931
J. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until July 27, 1931.

On this 27th day of July, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

CELLANEOUS - ORDER RELEASING BOND.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

the Matter of Surety Bonds
executed by the First National Bank
Miami, Oklahoma, for Bankruptcy
Orders.

ORDER RELEASING BOND

It appearing to the Court that the Federal Surety Company of Davenport, Iowa, executed for the First National Bank of Miami, Oklahoma, under date of August 12, 1930, a bond in the amount of \$15,000.00, covering deposits in bankrupt estates on deposit in the said bank. It further appearing that the Certificate of Authority issued by the Secretary of the Treasury to the Federal Surety Company of Davenport, Iowa, under the provisions of the Act of Congress of August 13, 1894 (28 Stat. 279) as amended by the Act of March 3, 1910 (36 Stat. 241) to qualify as sole surety on recognizance, stipulations, deposits and undertakings permitted or required by the laws of the United States, terminated on April 3, 1931, and the First National Bank of Miami, Oklahoma, having been notified to immediately furnish a new bond in lieu of the bond executed by the Federal Surety Company of Davenport, Iowa, have, this date, substituted a bond executed by the Western Casualty and Surety Company of Ft. Scott, Kansas, in the sum of \$15,000.00 and requests that the bond executed by the Federal Surety Company of Davenport, Iowa, be released from further liability and cancelled.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that the bond of the Federal Surety Company of Davenport, Iowa, in the amount of \$15,000.00 executed for the First National Bank of Miami, Oklahoma, under date of August 12, 1930, be, and it is released from further liability on and after this date.

DATED at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 27th day of July, A. D. 1931.

F. E. KENNAKER
United States District Judge.

CORSED: Filed Jul 27 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ORDER FOR DESTRUCTION OF LIQUOR

And now on this the 27th day of July 1931, the same being a regu-
day of the Special March A. D. 1931 Term of said Court, there coming on for
ing the motion of the United States Attorney, showing to the court that in
following cases, certain liquors, and property and material used in the
wful manufacture of intoxicating liquor, are now stored in the Prohibition
ce, in the care and custody of Wm. R. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Adminis-
or, and that the cases in which said liquor and property and material are
lved have all be disposed of by this Honorable Court, and th t there is no
her necessity of holding same as evidence, said cases being as follows,
it:

<u>of Defendant:</u>	<u>Court No.</u>	<u>Quantity</u>
Syfert	4740	8 pts of beer
n L. Walden	5166	1 pt of whiskey
on Wilson et al	4950	1 qt of whiskey
on Wilson et al	4959	3 gals. & 1 pt of whiskey
s Williams	5164	1 pt. of whiskey
Engbert et al	5252	1 pt of whiskey
Edwards	5217	1 pt. of whiskey
is Hicks	5187	1 pt. of whiskey
W. Mann	5161	2 pts. of whiskey
d Powell	5153	1 pt of whiskey
Pack	5140	1 pt of whiskey
ie Williams	4752	1 qt & 1 pt of whiskey
ill et al	4942	1/2 pt of whiskey
. Burris et al	5197	property & material as

ows:

- 1 metal capper
- 1 wood hand corker
- 1 Indian Hill whiskey bottle
- 1 Piccadilly Club Gin bottle
- 2 Indian Hill wrappers for whiskey bottles
- 2 Piccadilly Gin bottle wrappers
- 1 1/2-oz bottle of whiskey flavoring extract
- 1 empty bottle labeled "Aged in Wood".

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER OF THE COURT that in the criminal cases
eretofore listed the intoxicating liquor and property and material now
g held by said Deputy Prohibition Administrator be destroyed, and that said
y Prohibition Administrator, after such destruction is effected, make his
n thereon in said court.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

HARRY SEATON

Assistant U. S. Attorney.

RECD: Filed Jul 27 1931

H. P. Warfield, Clerk

U. S. District Court ME

and having heard all of the evidence offered and introduces on behalf of the United States and said intervenor, finds that said automobile seized in said case, to-wit:

One Chevrolet Sedan automobile, 1929
model, Motor No. 1310142

by the defendants in said cause, Rudolph Smith and Elizabeth Smith, on the day of February 1931, in the city of Bartlesville, Washington County, Oklahoma, being used for the purpose of unlawfully transporting intoxicating liquor and said defendants, Rudolph Smith and Elizabeth Smith, having on the day of May 1931, each entered a plea of guilty to said cause of transporting intoxicating liquor in said automobile, and the court finds from the evidence introduced in said hearing, that the said Rudolph Smith and Elizabeth Smith are the son and daughter respectively of the intervenor, Fred Smith, and that the said Rudolph Smith and Elizabeth Smith were using said automobile at the time they were so transporting said liquor therein, with the full knowledge and consent of their father, Fred Smith, and that said Rudolph Smith is a minor son of Fred Smith, 18 years of age, residing at Fred Smith's home, and that Elizabeth Smith is the daughter of said Fred Smith and also resides at his home, and the court finds that said Fred Smith has heretofore been engaged in and been convicted of a violation of the liquor laws of the State of Oklahoma, and has been convicted and sentenced for said violation in Osage County, Oklahoma.

The court further finds from the testimony that the said Fred Smith has at, in and around his said home in the city of Bartlesville, Osage County, Oklahoma, been engaged in the possession, manufacture and sale of intoxicating liquor, and that he is not entitled under the evidence in this case, to recover the possession of said automobile, under his petition of intervention filed herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that said Chevrolet Sedan Automobile above described, be, and the same is hereby ordered forfeited to the United States, together with all the equipment and accessories seized with said car, and it is further ordered by the Court that said automobile, together with said equipment and accessories, be immediately delivered to the Department of Justice for the use of the Bureau of Prohibition in the enforcement of the National Prohibition Act, as amended, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the said Prohibition Department is ordered to pay any storage charges against said automobile since its seizure, to date, to all of which said order the intervenor, Fred Smith, excepts and gives verbal notice in open court of his intention to appeal said matter to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and asks the court to grant an extension of time in which to prepare, serve and file a transcript of the record or bill of exceptions in said cause, and said intervenor is hereby allowed thirty (30) days from this date so to do, and that the execution of this order is stayed for said period of thirty (30) days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said intervenor execute to the United States, a bond in the sum of \$200.00 to cover the costs of said appeal, and that said intervenor may execute to the United States a good and sufficient bond with sufficient sureties thereon in the sum of \$800.00, conditioned upon the return of said automobile or its value, in case the return thereon is adjudged, and upon the execution of said bond, pending said appeal, said automobile may be discharged and released to the intervenor, providing that said incoming bond for said car shall not be executed unless said appeal is

pected without delay and not until after the order of the court allowing the
deal is signed and executed.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

Attorney for Intervenor.

W. B. BLAIR
Asst. United States Attorney.

ORSED: Filed Jul 27 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

. A. L. Smith, Administratrix the estate of A. L. Smith, ceased,	Plaintiff,))
)	No. 730 - Law. ✓
vs.))
))
zsimmers Drilling Company, ., a corporation, et al,	Defendant.))

ORDER TO DEPOSIT FUNDS IN REGISTER
OF THE COURT.

It appearing to the Court that H. P. Warfield, Clerk of the
ted States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, issued his
ck No. 1382, drawn on the First National Bank and Trust Company, Tulsa,
ahoma, in the amount of \$8.00, payable to Hagan & Gavin, the same represent-
a refund of unused cost deposit in this cause of action and it further ap-
ring that said check has become lost or misplaced, and the firm of Hagan
avin have refused to execute an indemnity Bond to the Clerk for the issuance
a duplicate check,

IT IS ORDERED that H. P. Warfield, Clerk of the United States
trict Court shall stop payment of said check and deposit same in the Register
this Court.

DATED at Tulsa, this 27th day of July, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

ORSED: Filed Jul 27 1931
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

a Bryant, Executrix of the Estate)
. C. Bryant, Deceased,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1178 - Law. ✓
Louis-San Francisco Railway Company,)
Incorporation, Defendant.)

O R D E R

Now on this the 5th day of May, 1931, the motion of the plaintiff
demand the above entitled cause back to the District Court of Creek County,
Oklahoma, coming on to be heard, and the plaintiff appearing by her attorney,
J. Maben, and the defendant appearing by Cruce & Franklin, its attorneys,
the Court after hearing the argument of counsel and being otherwise ad-
vised in the premises, finds that said motion should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE considered and ordered by the court that the mo-
tion of plaintiff to remand the above entitled case be, and the same is hereby
granted and the Clerk of this Court is hereby ordered and directed to trans-
mit and remand back to the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma, all of the
papers, files, orders, etc.; in the above entitled cause for the further consid-
eration and determination of said court.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of United States District
Court of the Northern District
of Oklahoma

RECORDED: Filed Jul 27 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

BOOTH, ET AL, Plaintiffs,)
-vs-) No. 1282 - Law. ✓
INVESTMENT CO. ET AL. Defendants.)

Now on this 27th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
Court that motion of Plaintiff to remand be, and the same is hereby, over-
ruled and hearing on Application for appointment be and the same is hereby
granted for August 5, 1931. (F.E.K. Judge).

Court adjourned until July 28, 1931.

cellaneous - Leave to file information, Cont'd.

- | | | | |
|------|-----------------|------|----------------------|
| 5346 | Dick McGhee | 5361 | Leonard Brown |
| 5347 | Earl Lynn | | Violet Brown |
| 5348 | William McGuirk | 5362 | Gully H. West |
| 5349 | John Chastain | 5363 | Calvin Palmer, alias |
| | Dee Hobson | | Shorty Palmer |
| 5350 | Lewis McGuirk | 5364 | Jimmy England |
| 5351 | Floyd Jones | | Steve Rose |
| | Eunie Jones | 5365 | Herbert Baker |
| 5352 | Andrew Hudson | 5366 | Frank Qualls |
| 5353 | Sam Thompson | 5367 | O. T. Hayes |
| 5354 | J. L. Barth | 5368 | J. W. Turley |
| | J. A. Barth | 5369 | Guy Cox |
| 5355 | Dink Garner | 5370 | Buster Kilgore |
| 5356 | H. E. King | 5371 | Sam Ginnis |
| 5357 | Earl Rogers | 5372 | Lilburn Middle |
| 5358 | James Manley | 5373 | Vernon Tillerey |
| 5359 | Lacey Bean | 5374 | Joe Kreiger |
| 5360 | Maudie Garrett | | Hallie Kreiger |

No. 5275 Joe Lawhorn
Jim Moore

ED STATES OF AMERICA,

- vs -

K MONROE,

Plaintiff,)

) No. 4999 - Criminal.

) Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of August, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the
rt that hearing on confiscation in the above styled cause be, and the same
hereby, set for August 5, 1931.

Court adjourned until August 3, 1931.

On this 3rd day of August, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1931 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, absent from the District.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE MATTER OF THE APPOINTMENT OF A
SPECIAL REFEREE IN BANKRUPTCY.

ORDER OF APPOINTMENT

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that Mary A. Barton, be and she is hereby appointed a Special Referee in Bankruptcy, to have and possess all of the duties and powers of a regular Referee in Bankruptcy, as by Law provided, for the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma. That said Mary A. Barton shall act as such Special Referee during the absence, from the said Northern Judicial District of the regularly appointed and acting Referee in Bankruptcy.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the said Mary A. Barton execute a proper and sufficient bond, to be approved by the Court, in the amount of One thousand dollars, to the United States of America.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma this 31 day of July, A. D., 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Aug 3 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

OATH OF OFFICE OF SPECIAL REFEREE IN BANKRUPTCY.

United States of America :
Northern District of Oklahoma : SS:

I, Mary A. Barton, do solemnly swear that I will administer justice without respect to persons, and do equal right to the poor and to the rich, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent on me as special Referee in bankruptcy, according to the best of my abilities and understanding, agreeably to the Constitution and laws of the United States. So help me God.

MARY A. BARTON

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1 day of August, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

RECORDED: Filed Aug 3 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

COLLATERAL - BOND OF MARY A. BARTON, SPECIAL REFEREE. ✓

BONDING DEPARTMENT
CENTRAL SURETY AND INSURANCE CORPORATION
Kansas City, Missouri

THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE MASTER OF THE APPOINTMENT OF A
SPECIAL REFEREE IN BANKRUPTCY.

BOND OF REFEREE.

ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That we, MARY A. BARTON, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, as principal, and the
CENTRAL SURETY & INSURANCE CORPORATION, of Kansas City, Mo., as surety, are held
firmly bound unto the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA in the sum of ONE THOUSAND
DOLLARS (\$1,000.00) lawful money of the United States, to be paid to the said
United States for the payment of which, well and truly to be made, we bind our-
selves, our heirs, executors, and administrators, jointly and severally by
these presents.

SIGNED AND SEALED this31st day of July, 1931.

THE CONDITION OF THIS OBLIGATION is such that whereas the said
Mary A. Barton has been on the 31st day of July, A. D. 1931, appointed by the
Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the District Court of the United States for
the Northern District of Oklahoma, a Special referee in Bankruptcy in and for
the counties in said district, under the acts of Congress relating to bank-
ruptcy;

NOW, THEREFORE, if the said Mary A. Barton shall well and faith-
fully discharge and perform all the duties pertaining to the said office of
Special Referee in Bankruptcy, then this obligation to be void; otherwise to remain in
full force and effect.

MARY A. BARTON
Principal.

CENTRAL SURETY & INSURANCE COR-
PORATION
By GREG. HARRISON
Attorney-in-Fact.

APPROVED this 1st day of
August, 1931.

F. H. KENNAMER
Judge.

ORSEED: Filed Aug 3 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

the matter of the

COMPONENT OF A SPECIAL REFEREE
IN BANKRUPTCY.

ORDER APPROVING BOND

It appearing that Mary A. Barton of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and in
said District, has been duly appointed SPECIAL REFEREE IN BANKRUPTCY of the
Northern District of Oklahoma to act in the absence of the regular Referee in
Bankruptcy from the District and has given bond with sureties for the faithful
performance of her official duties, in the amount of \$1,000.00 IT IS ORDERED
that said bond be, and the same is hereby approved.

F. H. KENNAMER
United States District Judge

ORSEED: Filed Aug 3 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until August 7, 1931.

... committed to the custody of the
Attorney General of the United States, as
his authorized representative, for confinement
in a United States Industrial Reformatory, for
the period of Five (5) Years, from date of delivery.

CHARLES J. ... Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 5121 - Criminal.
... Defendant. }

Now on this 23rd day of August, A. D. 1931, comes the United
States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defend-
ant herein, appearing in person. The defendant now withdraws his
pleas of not guilty and now enters his plea of guilty as charged in
the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the
court that judgment and sentence be imposed on said defendant as follows:

... committed to the custody of the
Attorney General of the United States, as
his authorized representative, for confinement
in a United States Industrial Reformatory, for
the period of Fifteen (15) months, from date
of delivery.

CHARLES J. ... Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 5206 - Criminal.
... Defendants. }

Now on this 23rd day of August, A. D. 1931, comes the United
States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defend-
ant herein, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters
his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein.
Thereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed
on said defendant as follows:

... committed to the custody of the Attorney
General of the United States, as his authorized
representative, for confinement in a United States
Industrial Reformatory, for a period of Six (6) months, from date of
delivery.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
vs.
U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Defendants.

No. 5876 - 1941

On the 23rd day of August, A. D. 1941, before the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant, were present, appearing in person. The defendant at this time withdrew her plea of not guilty and now enters her plea of guilty to counts 1 and 2, charges in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered that the defendant be sentenced to be imprisoned, her term, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the United States Marshal, District of Columbia, for confinement in a Federal Penitentiary for a period of:
Count One, Ten (10) days,
Count Two, Ten (10) days, consecutive to delivery. Said sentence of confinement shall be to run consecutively to the term of the 1st.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
vs.
U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Defendant.

No. 5877 - 1941

Now on the 24th day of August, A. D. 1941, before the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein and the defendant, were present, appearing in person. The defendant at this time withdrew her plea of not guilty and now enters her plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence be imposed upon said defendant as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the United States Marshal, District of Columbia, for confinement in a Federal Penitentiary for a period of:
Nine (9) days, consecutive to delivery.

... committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States as his authorized representative, for a period of thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

U.S. District Court, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 450 - 1941.
U.S. District Court, Defendants. }

On this 7th day of August, A. D. 1941, the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, the defendants, ... and ... appearing in person. The defendants ... each entered his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that ... and ... be imprisoned upon said defendants as follows:

Defendant:

... committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States as his authorized representative, for a period of sixty (60) days, from date of delivery.

Defendant:

... committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States as his authorized representative, for a period of five (5) days, from date of delivery.

U.S. District Court, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 451 - 1941.
U.S. District Court, Defendants. }

On this 7th day of August, A. D. 1941, the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, the defendants, ... and ... appearing in person. The defendants ... each entered his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that ... and ... be imprisoned upon said defendants as follows:

DEFENDANT:

is committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his representative, for confinement in the District Jail for a period of:
Ten (10) days from date of delivery.

IT IS ORDERED:

It is ordered by the Court that said case be dismissed as to this Defendant, as advised by the United States District Attorney.

CHRISTOPHER S. ALLEY, Plaintiff,
vs.
WILLIAM B. BARKHEAD, Defendant.
WILLIAM BARKHEAD, Defendant.

No. 5334 - Criminal

On this 1st day of August, A. D. 1911, came the Plaintiff District Attorney, representing the Government, and the Defendants, Christopher S. Alley, William Barkhead and Edward Alley, all in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters his plea as follows: Christopher S. Alley enters his plea of guilty to counts 1 and 2; William Barkhead enters his plea of guilty to counts 1 and 2; Edward Alley enters his plea of not guilty to counts 1 and 2. Where- upon it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed by the Court, Christopher S. Alley and William Barkhead, as follows:

CHRISTOPHER S. ALLEY:

is committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his representative, for confinement in the District Jail for a period of:
Month One, Thirty (30) days,
Month Two, Thirty (30) days,
Month Three, Thirty (30) days,
Month Four, Thirty (30) days,
Month Five, Thirty (30) days,
Month Six, Thirty (30) days,
Month Seven, Thirty (30) days,
Month Eight, Thirty (30) days,
Month Nine, Thirty (30) days,
Month Ten, Thirty (30) days,
Month Eleven, Thirty (30) days,
Month Twelve, Thirty (30) days,
Total, Thirty (30) days.

WILLIAM BARKHEAD:

is committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his representative, for confinement in the District Jail for a period of:

WILSON, WILSON

WILSON, WILSON, Miss Noble Wilson,
WILSON, WILSON, Miss Noble Wilson,

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney
General of the United States or his authorized
representative, for confinement in a County Jail
for a period of:
Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

WILSON, WILSON

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney
General of the United States or his authorized
representative, for confinement in a County Jail,
for a period of:
Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.

WILSON, WILSON, Plaintiff, }
vs. } WILSON - Criminal ✓
WILSON, Defendant. }

On the 1st day of August, A. D. 1951, comes the United
States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the De-
fendant herein, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and
admits the guilt charged in the Information heretofore filed
in this case. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence
be and is said defendant as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney
General of the United States or his authorized
representative, for confinement in a County Jail,
for a period of:
Five (5) days from date of delivery.

WILSON, WILSON, Plaintiff, }
vs. } WILSON - Criminal ✓
WILSON, Defendant. }

On the 1st day of August, A. D. 1951, comes the United
States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the De-
fendant herein, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned
and admits the guilt charged in the Information heretofore filed
in this case. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that
judgment and sentence be and is said defendant as follows:

Verdict

That the defendant enters a plea of guilty as charged in the indictment herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that the sentence be imposed upon said defendant as follows:

He committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
Ten (10) days from date of delivery.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Case No. 100-10000, August 7, 1941.
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 10000 - Criminal.
Defendant.)

That on this 7th day of August, A. D. 1941, before the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the Court, Andrew Locks, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and in his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed in this case, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be as set forth and defendant as follows:

He committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
Fifteen (15) days from date of delivery.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Case No. 100-10000, August 7, 1941.
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 10000 - Criminal.
Defendant.)

That on this 7th day of August, A. D. 1941, before the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the Court, Andrew Locks, appearing in person and his counsel, J. E. Wickham. The defendant enters his plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed in this case. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed upon said defendant as follows:

He committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
Six (6) months, from date of delivery,
with one, for all the time,
and two, for all the time, for all the time of
the term. Said sentence to be served in the County Jail.
It is further ordered that the defendant be allowed to run a commercial business during the term of his sentence.

Dear Sir,
I have the pleasure to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of August 11, 1954, regarding the above-mentioned matter.

The enclosed copy of the report of the investigation conducted by the Bureau of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, dated August 10, 1954, is being furnished to you for your information. It is noted that the Bureau has determined that the information furnished to it is reliable.

Very truly yours,
Special Agent in Charge

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

Count One
Count Two
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..

Count One
Count Two
... ..
... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

... ..

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

Verdict.

It is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on the defendant, as follows:

Count One:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

- Count One Sixty (60) days,
- Count Two Sixty (60) days from date of delivery. Said sentence of confinement on Count Two (2) to run concurrent with said sentence in Count One (1).

U.S. DISTRICT COURT, Plaintiff,
 vs.
 Defendant,
 Defendant.

No. 5846 - Criminal.

Now on this 5th day of August, A. D. 1941, comes the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Russell Lee, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and pleads guilty, as charged in the Information heretofore filed in. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed upon said defendant as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT, Plaintiff,
 vs.
 Defendant,
 Defendant.

No. 5846 - Criminal.

Now on this 5th day of August, A. D. 1941, comes the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Russell Lee, appearing in person. The defendant pleads guilty, as charged in the Information heretofore filed in. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed upon said defendant as follows:

was credited to the custody of the
General of the United States, District
Representative, for confinement of the said
prisoner of war, for the period of:
Thirty (30) days from the date of arrest.

YOUNG, JAMES H., Plaintiff,
vs.
YOUNG, JAMES H., Defendant.

No. 100 - 100000.

On the 11th day of August, A. D. 1917, James H. Young, District Attorney, representing the Government herein, appeared in Court, personally, in person. The defendant, James H. Young, was charged in the information with the crime of being a deserter, as follows:

He was credited to the custody of the
General of the United States, District
Representative, for confinement of the said
prisoner of war, for the period of:
Thirty (30) days from the date of arrest.

YOUNG, JAMES H., Plaintiff,
vs.
YOUNG, JAMES H., Defendant.

No. 100 - 100000.

On the 11th day of August, A. D. 1917, James H. Young, District Attorney, representing the Government herein, appeared in Court, personally, in person. The defendant, James H. Young, was charged in the information with the crime of being a deserter, as follows:

He was credited to the custody of the
General of the United States, District
Representative, for confinement of the said
prisoner of war, for the period of:
Thirty (30) days from the date of arrest.

WARRANT

That the above named person, as charged in the indictment, is a fugitive from justice and is being sought by the United States Marshal for the Southern District of New York and is being held in custody as follows:

He is committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, his authorized representative, for a term and in a journey and for a period of:
Sixty (60) days from the date of this warrant.

That the above named person, Plaintiff,
vs.
The United States, Defendant.

No. 1981 - Criminal.

That on this 15th day of August, A. D. 1981, came the United States Marshal, Plaintiff, representing the United States, and the defendant, as charged in person. The defendant is charged with the above named offense, as charged in the indictment, and it is ordered by the court that the defendant be held in custody as follows:

He is committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, his authorized representative, for a term and in a journey and for a period of:
Ninety (90) days from the date of this warrant.

That the above named person, Plaintiff,
vs.
The United States, Defendant.

No. 1981 - Criminal.

That on this 15th day of August, A. D. 1981, came the United States Marshal, Plaintiff, representing the United States, and the defendant, as charged in person. The defendant is charged with the above named offense, as charged in the indictment, and it is ordered by the court that the defendant be held in custody as follows:

He is committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, his authorized representative, for a term and in a journey and for a period of:
Ninety (90) days from the date of this warrant.

THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
County of ...
In SENATE,
February 10, 1911.

No. 111 - ...

On this 10th day of August, A. D. 1911, at a session of the ...
... representing the ...
... appearing in person. The ...
... enters his plea of guilty, as charged in the ...
... therein, it is ordered by the court ...
... imposed upon said defendant as follows:

Defendant:

Pay a fine unto the ...
... of Twenty Five ...
... thereof shall ...
... Attorney General ...
... authorized request ...
... County Jail until ...
... released by the ...

Defendant:

Pay a fine unto the ...
... of Twenty Five ...
... thereof shall ...
... Attorney General ...
... authorized request ...
... County Jail until ...
... released by the ...

THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
County of ...
In SENATE,
February 10, 1911.

No. 112 - ...

On this 10th day of August, A. D. 1911, at a session of the ...
... representing the ...
... appearing in person. The ...
... enters his plea of guilty, as charged in the ...
... therein, it is ordered by the court ...
... imposed upon said defendant as follows:

Defendant:

Pay a fine unto the ...
... of Twenty Five ...
... thereof shall ...
... Attorney General ...
... authorized request ...
... County Jail until ...
... released by the ...

... of ...
...
...

Plaintiff,
vs.
Defendants.

Case No. 12345

That on the 15th day of August, 1955, the said Plaintiff
did cause to be presented to the Court the following
affidavit of Violet Brown, et al., in which the said
defendants were named and such affidavit was filed in the
Court in accordance with the provisions of the said
statute and the same was by the Court ordered to be
admitted to the file as follows:

Paragraph 1:

The committee of the Court of the Plaintiff
General of the United States is hereby
represented, and the Court is hereby
represented for a period of:
Fifteen (15) days from the date of filing.

Paragraph 2:

The committee of the Court of the Plaintiff
General of the United States is hereby
represented, and the Court is hereby
represented for a period of:
Fifteen (15) days from the date of filing.

Plaintiff,
vs.
Defendant.

Case No. 67890

That on the 15th day of August, 1955, the said Plaintiff
did cause to be presented to the Court the following
affidavit of Violet Brown, et al., in which the said
defendants were named and such affidavit was filed in the
Court in accordance with the provisions of the said
statute and the same was by the Court ordered to be
admitted to the file as follows:

The committee of the Court of the Plaintiff
General of the United States is hereby
represented, and the Court is hereby
represented for a period of:
Fifteen (15) days from the date of filing.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
vs.
Defendant.
No. 133 - Criminal.

On this 7th day of August, A. D. 1951, comes the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, J. M. Turkey, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and pleads guilty, as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed upon said defendant as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:
Fifteen (15) days from date of delivery.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
vs.
Defendant.
No. 133 - Criminal.

On this 7th day of August, A. D. 1951, comes the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Guy Cox, appearing in person and by counsel R. W. Armstrong. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty, as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed upon said defendant as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
vs.
Defendant.
No. 133 - Criminal.

On this 7th day of August, A. D. 1951, comes the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, [Name], appearing in person and by counsel [Name]. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty, as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed upon said defendant as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs.
[Name], Defendant. } No. 5373 - Criminal.

Now on this 7th day of August, A. D. 1951, comes the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, [Name], appearing in person, and by counsel [Name]. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court judgment and sentence be imposed upon said defendant as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
Fifteen (15) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs.
[Name] and [Name], Defendants. } No. 5374 - Criminal.

Now on this 7th day of August, A. D. 1951, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be stricken from the assignment of cases.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs.
[Name] and [Name], Defendants. } No. 5375 - Criminal.

Now on this 7th day of August, A. D. 1951, comes the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, [Name] and [Name], appearing in person and by counsel, [Name]. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters his plea of guilty, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed upon said defendants as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
[Sentence]

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs. [Name], Defendant. No. 5377 - Criminal.

Now on this 7th day of August, A. D. 1951, comes the United States District Attorney, in person, and is granted leave to file information and to prosecute thereunder, and it is hereby ordered by the Court warrant issue for the arrest of said defendant, and that bond be fixed in sum of _____.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs. [Name], Defendant. No. 5377 - Criminal.

Now on this 7th day of August, A. D. 1951, comes the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the Defendant, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty, as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed upon said defendant as follows:

He be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for the period of:
Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs. [Name], Defendant. No. 5377 - Criminal.

Now on this 7th day of August, A. D. 1951, comes the United States District Attorney, in person, and is granted leave to file information and to prosecute thereunder, and it is hereby ordered by the Court warrant issue for the arrest of said defendant, and that bond be fixed in sum of _____.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs. [Name], Defendant. No. 5377 - Criminal.

Now on this 7th day of August, A. D. 1951, comes the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the Defendant, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty, as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed upon said defendant as follows:

A. RETURN TO PRISON.

That, Thora Turner, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and there a plea of guilty, as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed upon said defendant as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for the period of:
Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

IN SENATE COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

WILLIAM J. HARRIS, Plaintiff,
-vs-
W. J. HARRIS and
M. J. HARRIS, et al., Defendants.

No. 1083 - Law

J. R. D. R.

On the 7th day of August, 1931, upon application of the defendants W. J. Harris and M. J. Bodovitz, receivers of Union Transportation Agency, good cause being shown therefor, pursuant to Rule 16 of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, it is hereby ordered that the time for bringing the appeal of the said defendants in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit be and the same is hereby extended and enlarged for a period of thirty days from the time fixed in the citation heretofore signed and filed herein.

W. J. HARRIS,
United States District Judge

Filed Aug 7 1931
W. J. Harrington, Clerk
U. S. District Court 12

IN RE: EVIDENCE TAKEN AT THE TRIAL OF
EDWARD J. BREWSTER

Edward J. Brewster, Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1891 - 1892

J. A. Bedovitz and J. A. Bates,
Executives of Union Transport-
ation Company, Defendants.

ORDER

On the 7th day of August, 1931, upon a petition of the
Plaintiff, J. A. Bedovitz and J. A. Bates, Receivers of Union Transporte-
Company, good cause being shown therefor, pursuant to Rule 14 of the
Federal District Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, it is hereby
ordered that the time for logging the appeal of the said defendants in the
Federal District Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit be and the
time is hereby extended and enlarged for a period of thirty days from the
time fixed in the citation heretofore signed and filed herein.

E. J. HILL
United States District Judge

Filed Aug 7 1931
W. J. Confield, Clerk
U. S. District Court, D.C.

IN RE: EVIDENCE TAKEN AT THE TRIAL OF
EDWARD J. BREWSTER

Edward J. Brewster, Plaintiff,
vs.
J. A. Bedovitz and J. A. Bates,
Defendants.

No. 1891 - 1892

ORDER

On this 7th day of August, 1931, this cause comes on for
trial. Plaintiff's motion to set aside the verdict of the jury is
granted. The defendants Union Transporting Company and J. A. Bedovitz
and J. A. Bates are by their attorney, E. J. Hill, and the defendant
J. A. Bedovitz is by its attorney, Edward J. Hill. After
the evidence on said motion and argument of counsel on being
advised in due process the court finds that said motion should be
granted and it is therefore,

ordered that plaintiff's motion be granted and this cause be and
is hereby set aside and this cause re-argued forthwith on the District
Court of Appeals, D.C., and costs in this matter are hereby taxed
against the defendants.

E. J. HILL
United States District Judge.

Filed Aug 7 1931
W. J. Confield, Clerk
U. S. District Court, D.C.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 12 C - 107.
JAMES EARL RAY, 100 South }
Main Street, Defendant. }

That on this 10th day of August, A. D. 1967, it is ordered by
the Court that the assets in the above entitled cause be and the same is
and hereby forfeited to the Government. P. L. Hennessy, JUDGE.

"That it is adjudged and ordered that the said judgment be affirmed, with costs; that the petitioners, James I. Smith, Arthur L. Smith, Ethel Hicks, John Hicks, Agnes Elizabeth Long, James Long, their coprosecutors Petroleum Corporation, and their attorney, do henceforth pay and have and receive the said judgment; their costs in their behalf expended in the premises, to be taxed and inserted by the clerk of the court.

"The said finding and judgments of the court be final, by counsel, excepts."

The inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Bill Clark agreeably to the act of Congress, in such cases as are provided, fully and at large follows:

At the said District Court, at the April Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one, the said cause came on to be heard before said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the first session of the said term said District Court and was argued by counsel.

It is shewn that WILLIAM SMITH, is in now here ordered and adjudge by the court that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be affirmed, with costs, and that James I. Smith, Arthur L. Smith, Ethel Hicks, John Hicks, Agnes Elizabeth Long, James Long, their coprosecutors Petroleum Corporation, and their attorney, do henceforth pay and have and receive the said judgment; their costs in their behalf expended in the premises, to be taxed and inserted by the clerk of the court.

-- July 3, 1931.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that you do execute the said judgment in said cause, according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, until it is lawfully satisfied, the said appeal notwithstanding.

Witness the honorable hand of JAMES C. WOOD, Chief Justice of the United States, this 3rd day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one.

WILLIAM SMITH,
Plaintiff,
vs.
JAMES I. SMITH, ARTHUR L. SMITH,
ETHEL HICKS, JOHN HICKS,
AGNES ELIZABETH LONG, JAMES LONG,
Coprosecutors,
vs.
PETROLEUM CORPORATION,
Defendant.

WILLIAM SMITH,
Clerk of the United States Circuit
Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

FILED Aug 12 1931
U. S. Circuit Court,
Tenth Circuit.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUSTED AND DECREED that the special
verdict and also the jurisdiction of the defendant be and the same is
being overruled, to which ruling of the court said defendant then and there
objected, which objection was duly allowed by the court.

The defendant was thereupon permitted to file instantly his mo-
tion to make the petition of the plaintiff more definite and certain.

Dated this 11th day of August, 1931.

H. L. THURMAN,
Judge.

1931: Filed Aug 18 1931
W. F. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF

Seawater Oil Refineries, Plaintiff,)
Incorporated, a corporation,)
vs) No. 1931-100)
West Hamer, a sole trader,)
and business as Hamer Oil)
Company, Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY.

This matter coming on for hearing this 11th day of August, 1931
on the defendant's motion to make the petition of the plaintiff more definite
and certain; and the plaintiff appearing by its attorneys, Addison, Campbell,
Edison and Cantrell, and the defendant appearing by its attorneys, Gusy
Coyledge. And after argument of counsel and upon consideration thereof the
court finds that said motion should be overruled.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUSTED AND DECREED that the defendant's
motion to make the petition of the plaintiff more definite and certain be and
the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling of the court the said defendant
then and there objected, which objection was duly allowed by the court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant do and he is hereby
allowed ten days from this date to file his answer herein.

Dated this 11th day of August, 1931.

H. L. THURMAN,
Judge.

1931: Filed Aug 18 1931
W. F. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN RE: THE ESTATE OF JAMES EARL RAY, DECEASED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Case No. 77-1001, filed August 11, 1971

1948 Rev. - Cont'd.

ORDER: filed Aug 11 1971
U. S. District Court

Case adjourned until August 12, 1971.

On this 11th day of August, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Term and Session at Tulsa, West pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. W. Gardner, Judge, went on presiding.

F. W. Gardner, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John L. McFessberry, U. S. Attorney.
John T. Wickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN ALL UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS, THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. L. Vann and J. C. Wickham, Administrators of the estate of W. L. Ross, deceased, Plaintiffs,
vs.
United States of America, Defendant. No. 981 Law.

ORDER ATTENDING TIME IN WHICH TO APPEAL, DEARIE, AND FILE RECORD.

Now on this 11th day of August, 1931, the above named defendant, United States of America, having filed its petition praying an appeal of within cause to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the tenth circuit, and said appeal having been duly and regularly allowed, and upon allowance thereof said defendant having requested an extension of time in which to prepare, serve and file record in said cause, and after statement of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, the court finds that good and sufficient cause exists for such extension of time.

It is therefore ORDERED, that the United States of America, be and hereby is allowed an extension of time of ninety days from this date in which to complete its record and lodge appeal in this cause in the said circuit court of appeals.

F. W. Gardner
Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

John L. McFessberry
Assistant U. S. Atty.

Filed Aug 12 1931
F. W. Gardner, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

E. Hane, Administrator of the
Estate of Leslie L. Handrum,
Plaintiff,
vs.
Continental Petroleum Corporation,
Defendants.)
)
)
) No. 1181 LAW. ✓
)
)
)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PRESENT AND FILE
BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

Now, on this 13th day of August, 1931, upon the motion of the
above named defendant, and for good cause shown;

It is hereby ordered that said defendant be and it is hereby
granted an extension of twenty (20) days from the 22nd day of August, 1931 or
until the 13th day of September, 1931, within which to present and file a bill
of exceptions in the above entitled cause.

EDGAR C. VAN COTT
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Aug 13 1931
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until August 17, 1931.

irmed, and said United States Marshal is hereby authorized and directed to
ute to said Coogan Realty Company, Lill of Sale, transferring title there-
o said property so sold.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the expenses
urred by the said Marshal, in the total sum of \$73.16, including his fees,
and the same are hereby confirmed, and said United States Marshal is au-
thorized and directed to pay to said several parties entitled thereto, the
amount set forth in his return, and that the balance of said money be paid over
to the Clerk of the United States District Court, to be further disposed of as
directed for by subsequent order of this court.

F. E. KEN ALLEN
JUDGE

HARRY SMATON
Assistant U. S. Attorney

RECORDED: Filed Aug 17 1931
J. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

Court adjourned until August 18, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

. Mosshamer, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 987 Law. ✓
Kewanee Oil & Gas Company,)
Corporation, Defendant.)

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO
FILE BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

Now on this 24 day of August, 1931, upon the motion of the defendant in the above entitled cause, good cause being shown therefor, and the order of this Court, and at which the judgment herein was rendered, not having expired and will not so expire until December 31, 1931, the time for the preparation, signing, allowance and filing of the bill of exceptions of the above named defendant is hereby extended for thirty-five days from this date.

Dated this 24 day of August, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Aug 24 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

. Mosshamer, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 987 Law. ✓
Kewanee Oil & Gas Company,)
Corporation, Defendant.)

ORDER ENLARGING TIME TO
LODGE APPEAL.

Now on this 24th day of August, A. D. 1931, and prior to the expiration of the day of the citation herein and within the term at which judgment in the above entitled cause was rendered, and upon request of defendant for an extension of time within which to print the record and lodge the appeal allowed and good and sufficient cause having been shown therefor, and the court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time for printing the record and lodging the appeal heretofore allowed the defendant herein in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit for docketing, be, and

same is hereby enlarged and extended for a further period of fifty days
at this date.

F. B. KENNEDY
U. S. District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Aug 24 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

Court adjourned until August 25, 1931.

On this 25th day of August, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. T. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John H. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

T. Scott, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
No. 1003 - Law)
Land Casualty Company, Defendant.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

On the 15th day of April, 1931, the above styled cause, pursuant to legal assignment, came on regularly for trial, before the Honorable T. Blake Kennedy, District Judge of the State of Wyoming, duly assigned to said court in the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, and the plaintiff appeared in person and by his attorneys, Ramsey, de Meules, Martin and Logan, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and the defendant appearing by its attorneys H. C. Thurman, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and H. L. Smith, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and the plaintiff having asked and having been granted leave of court to dismiss the second cause of action alleged in its petition, as amended, and both parties having appeared ready for trial, and having in open court and in writing, stipulated and agreed to waive a trial by jury and submit all issues of fact and law for determination by the court, without the intervention of a jury, and having upon in open court entered into a stipulation and agreement as to the material to a determination of this controversy, and the court having ordered the parties to file memorandums of the authorities relied upon as supporting their respective contentions, took the cause under advisement for her consideration and subsequent decision.

On July 11, 1931, the court having reached a conclusion in this case and having prepared in writing "Judge's Memorandum", which was subsequently filed with the clerk and copies thereof furnished to counsel, which memorandum of decision is made a part hereof, as if copied in full.

Now on this 25th day of August 1931, the same being a regular judicial day of said court, the said T. Blake Kennedy, Judge, presiding, the plaintiff and defendant appearing by their respective counsel, and the court well and sufficiently advised does now adopt as findings of fact the matters set out in paragraphs numbered 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16 and 18, of plaintiff's requested findings of fact filed herein. And on further consideration, adopts as findings of fact the matters set out in paragraph

two-thirds of the appraised value, or for the total sum of \$55.00, and upon the representation of John T. Harley, Esq., attorney for said defendants, the same was the property of Adolph Umann, and that the proceeds of sale should be applied upon his fine.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that of the property seized by the Prohibition Department and ordered sold by the United States Marshal, that only that property appraised at \$82.37 should be applied to the fine of said defendant, and that of said moneys in the hands of the Court Clerk, \$55.00 should be applied to the payment of the fine of Adolph Umann.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that there be paid to Morris Kessler the sum of \$341.67 for the storage of said property on the premises of said Morris Kessler, and that the same be taxed as costs and charges, and that the Clerk deduct from said sum the usual impoundage

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that of the amount in the hands of the Court Clerk, which was derived from the sale of said property, less the costs incident to said sale, including the costs of storage and the amount to be applied on the fine of the defendant Adolph Umann, which is \$321.17, the property represented by said sum of money was property that was forfeitable to the Government and was not to be sold as upon execution and liable to the fines of the defendants, or either of them, and is money which is subject to be disposed of as directed by law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that of said sums in the Clerk's hands be disbursed subject to the impoundage fees and costs which are taxable as are provided by law.

F. E. KEMMNER
JUDGE

HARRY SEATON
Assistant U. S. Attorney

JOHN T. HARLEY
Attorney for Defendants.

RECORDED: Filed Aug 27 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until August 28, 1931.

On this 28th day of August, A. D. 1931, the District Court of United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special 1931 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, c, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
 John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
 John T. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

RE ASSIGNING CIVIL CASES FOR HEARING AT VINITA, OKLAHOMA.

On this 28th day of August, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that the following named and numbered cases be assigned for hearing at Vinita, Oklahoma, on the days and dates hereinafter specified.

LAW ASSIGNMENT

FOR DECISION

Friday, September 11, 1931

R. C. Reid	vs.	Wilbur F. Funk
Frank R. Hays	vs.	Wilbur F. Funk
S. W. Cleaves	vs.	Wilbur F. Funk
J. R. Bangert	vs.	Wilbur F. Funk
Howard Smithman	vs.	Wilbur F. Funk
F. E. Hall, Jr. Co.	vs.	Wilbur F. Funk
F. E. Hall	vs.	Wilbur F. Funk
H. A. Thomas	vs.	Wilbur F. Funk
S. R. McCurdy	vs.	Wilbur F. Funk
A. W. Kenney	vs.	Wilbur F. Funk
D. C. Belánig	vs.	Wilbur F. Funk
Moses Goldstein	vs.	Wilbur F. Funk
Ben Goldstein	vs.	Wilbur F. Funk
A. E. Bagby, County Treas. Pawnee County	vs.	Johnson Oil Refg. Co.
Midland Valley R. R. Co.	vs.	Dawson Produce Co.

EQUITY ASSIGNMENT

FOR DECISION

C. V. Carpenter	vs.	Prairie Oil & Gas Co.
-----------------	-----	-----------------------

LAW ASSIGNMENT

FOR DISMISSAL

In the matter of John E. Dykes, Rec., Nowata National Bank, Nowata, Okla.		
John E. Dykes, Rec.	vs.	Ajax Drilling Co. etal
United States	vs.	E. L. O'Neal, etal
Lora W. Hidd	vs.	United States
United States	vs.	May Alexander, etal
United States	vs.	E. E. Warner
Sampson Carter	vs.	Oklahoma Union Ry. Co.
Edith Randall	vs.	Oklahoma Union Ry. Co.
United States	vs.	Alva C. Ingram, etal
Royal Textile Co.	vs.	Tulsa Furniture Mfg. Co.

1. ASSIGNMENT - CONT'D.

United States	vs.	S. C. Clark, etal
Marcus A. Koltz, etal	vs.	Royalties Management Corp.
United States	vs.	A. C. Williams

EQUITY ASSIGNMENT

Brown Crummer Inv. Co.	vs.
The Bank of America, etc.	vs.
E. E. Wallower	vs.
United States	vs.
American Steamship Co.	vs.
Duro Turner Co.	vs.
United States	vs.
Jacob A. Salmon, Rec.	vs.
Oklahoma Oil & Utility Corp	vs.
Tulsa Pfister, nee Drew	vs.
United States	vs.
The Campbell Banking Co.	vs.
Colbert Coker	vs.
United States	vs.

FOR DISMISSAL

Tibbets & Pleasant, Inc.etal
North American Oil & Ref Co.
Southwest Missouri R.R. Co.
Claude Douglas, etal
Wickwire Spencer Steel Co.
J. P. Morrison, etal
Jennette Staut, etal
Nellie M. Quigg, etal
A. J. McMahan, etal
E. S. Johnson, etal
Alice Tedford,etal
City of Cleveland, etal
Magnolia Petroleum Co. etal
Walker Blagg

REEL: Filed Apr 20 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until August 20, 1931.

On this 31st day of August, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special 1931 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. W. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

W. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John W. Vickroy, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

: BANKRUPTCY DIVISION :
AND REFEREE. :

O R D E R.

At Tulsa, in said Northern District of Oklahoma, on the 31st day of August, 1931, before the Honorable W. E. Kennamer, Judge of said Court.

Now, on this day, comes on for consideration of the Court the report of the appointment of the Referee in Bankruptcy for the said Northern District of Oklahoma; vacancy in said office caused by the death of Honorable L. Rider.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that J. M. Humphreys of Pawhuska, Oklahoma, and he is hereby appointed Referee in Bankruptcy for the Northern District of Oklahoma, consisting of the following Counties, to-wit:

Craig, Creek, Delaware, Mayes, Nowata, Osage,
Ottawa, Pawnee, Rogers, Tulsa, and Washington,

his principal office at Tulsa, Oklahoma, for the term of two (2) years and after August 31st, 1931; and the said J. M. Humphreys is hereby directed and authorized to act as Referee in either and all of the Counties named herein whenever the services of a Referee may be required therein, until otherwise ordered by the Court. The appointment of a Referee in any of the said Counties not being deemed necessary by the Court at this time, the said J. M. Humphreys shall act as Referee in all of the said Counties in the said Northern District of Oklahoma; and by virtue of this order, all proceedings, matters and cases in bankruptcy arising in said Northern District of Oklahoma from and after this date shall be referred to and handled by the said J. M. Humphreys as Referee in Bankruptcy, and he is hereby authorized and empowered to do and perform all acts and things in regard thereto as may be by law required of a Referee in Bankruptcy; and all proceedings, matters and cases in bankruptcy heretofore referred to the said Orion L. Rider (now deceased) as such Referee in Bankruptcy under any previous order of appointment shall be in all respects continued by him as such Referee, except all cases that have been otherwise

cially referred.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that before entering upon his duties, and within ten days from this date, the said referee shall take the oath of office prescribed for Judges of the United States Courts, and shall execute and file with the Clerk of this Court a good and sufficient bond to the United States of America in the penal sum of Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars, said bond to be conditioned for the faithful discharge and performance by said Referee of all duties pertaining to his said office, for the term of the appointment herein made.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, at 5 o'clock P.M., this 31st day of August, 1931.

F. L. REISNER
Judge of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of
Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed Aug 31 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

vs.
vs.
Standard Dairy, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, a
partnership consisting of Ira V.
Gray, Chas. Gray, Herbert C. Gray,
J. B. Gray, and _____ Gray; the
Union Transportation Company, a
corporation; and J. A. Frates and
F. A. Rodovitz, Receivers of said
Union Transportation Company, a
corporation, Defendants.

No. 1528 - Law ✓

ORDER REMANDING CAUSE

Now, on this 31 day of August, 1931, comes the plaintiff, vs. Harold Miller, and files dismissal of this cause, without prejudice, as to the Union Transportation Company, a corporation, and J. A. Frates and F. A. Rodovitz, receivers of the said Union Transportation Company, a corporation, that by virtue of such dismissal, and it being shown to the Court that the other defendants herein are all residents and citizens of the State of Oklahoma, and that such dismissal seems to remove all federal questions from said cause of action, as to the other defendants, as alleged,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED BY THE COURT, That this

be and the same is hereby remanded to the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, from which it was removed, for further proceedings therein.

M. B. REMMERT
Judge of said Court.

ELMER SCHEIDT & JOHNSON
Attys for Receivers

RECEIVED: Filed Aug 31 1931
W. P. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

Court adjourned until September 1, 1931.

On this 1st day of September, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1931 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John E. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

SELLANEOUS - OATH OF J. M. HUMPHREYS

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OATH OF OFFICE.

I, J. M. Humphreys, do solemnly swear that I will administer justice without respect to persons, and do equal right to the poor and to the rich, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent on me as Referee in Bankruptcy, according to the best of my ability and understanding, agreeably to the Constitution and laws of the United States:

SO HELP ME GOD.

J. M. HUMPHREYS

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 1st day of Sept, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States
District Court for the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 1 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

SELLANEOUS - BOND OF J. M. HUMPHREYS

UNITED STATES
FIDELITY and GUARANTY COMPANY

BALTIMORE, MARYLAND.

\$5,000.00

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: That we, James M. Humphreys of Tulsa, Oklahoma, as Principal, and UNITED STATES FIDELITY GUARANTY COMPANY,

corporation with Home Office in Baltimore, Maryland, as Surety, are held and bound unto the United States of America in the sum of Five Thousand (5000.00) Dollars in lawful money of the United States, to be paid to the United States, for which payment, well and truly to be made, we find ourselves and our heirs, executors and administrators, successors or assigns, bound and severally, by these presents.

Signed and sealed this 1st day of September, A. D., 1931.

The condition of this obligation is such, that whereas, the herein named James M. Humphreys was on the 31st day of August, A. D., 1931, appointed Referee in Bankruptcy for said Court, and he, the said James M. Humphreys has accepted said trust with all the duties and obligations pertaining thereto;

Now, therefore, if the said James M. Humphreys, as aforesaid, shall obey such orders as said Court may make in relation to said trust, and shall faithfully and truly account for all the monies, assets and effects of said office which shall come into his hands and possession and shall in all respects faithfully perform all of his official duties as said Referee in Bankruptcy, then this obligation to be void, otherwise to remain in full force and virtue.

Signed and sealed in the presence of

R. PRANSON

JAMES M. HUMPHREYS

UNITED STATES FIDELITY & GUARANTEE COMPANY

By Wm. F. Stahl
(Wm. F. Stahl) Attorney in-Fact.

Approved

F. E. REINHARDT
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Sep 1 1931
E. W. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Broyles, Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
Hessner Oil and Refining Company,)
Corporation, Houston Oil Company)
Gas, a corporation, and the Silurian)
Company, a corporation, Defendants.)

No. 1228 Law. ✓

C. E. L. E. R.

On this 2nd day of September, A. D. 1931, the District Court of United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kemmerer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John H. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John W. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

. CLARK, as Trustee in Bankruptcy)	
. E. Jarrett Motor Company, a corporation, Bankrupt,)	
)	
)	Plaintiff,
)	At Law, ✓
-vs-)	No. 1331.
)	
SPECIAL CREDIT CO BANK, a corporation,)	
)	Defendant.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Upon the motion of the plaintiff, it appearing to the Court that matters in controversy in this cause of action have been compromised and settled and the settlement has been fully performed;

IT IS ORDERED that the above cause of action be dismissed with prejudice at the cost of the plaintiff.

F. E. KEMMERER

RECORDED: Filed Sep 2 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

Court adjourned until September 8, 1931.

ORSEEDS - ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION.

On this 3rd day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein, and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant, and the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed the sum of \$ _____ each.

- | | | | |
|----|---|------|--------------------|
| 30 | Jim Marion and Rudolph Simon | 5410 | Walter Holloway |
| 31 | Jess Roland | 5411 | Emma Jackson |
| 32 | Clarence (Ted) Buffinton
Ernie Buffington | 5412 | Walter Jones |
| 33 | John Gordon | 5413 | Virgil Jenkins |
| | Earl Rector | 5414 | Flenoid Owens |
| 34 | W. B. Mance | 5415 | William Shaver |
| 35 | John Stafford | 5416 | John F. Flournoy |
| | Herbert Driver | | George D. Lambert |
| 36 | Buck Cochran | 5417 | George Passmore |
| | Johnny Smith | | Nora Johnson |
| | Hand Downing | 5418 | Emmett Etheridge |
| 37 | D. E. Holmes | | Lucy Etheridge |
| 38 | Ulis Denton | 5419 | Anna Etheridge |
| 39 | A. V. Hunley | 5420 | Louis Navarro |
| | F. E. Hunley | 5421 | Oren Daniels |
| 40 | John Bateman | | Arthur Eare |
| 41 | W. M. Gammill | | Marvin Thompson |
| 42 | L. C. Adams | 5422 | Ben Teague |
| 43 | Carson Perry | 5423 | Daniel W. Hood |
| 44 | W. C. Grant | 5424 | Lem Mason |
| | Joe Knowlton | 5425 | Raymond Dixon |
| 45 | Mrs. Sam Allen, alias Rose
Allen, Ruth Allen | 5426 | Kit Sullinger |
| 46 | W. K. (Slick) Decker | 5427 | Bill Dosh |
| 47 | Archie Andrews | | Winfield Williford |
| 48 | Major Fonville | 5428 | Kenneth Cummins |
| 49 | Burl Henry | 5429 | Zella Fuqua |
| 50 | Victor Martin | | Tom Hink |
| 51 | W. F. Freeman | 5430 | Jim O'Brian |
| | Marvin Booth | 5431 | Frank Morgan |
| 52 | James C. Patterson | | Leona Morgan |
| 53 | R. H. (Bob) Felew | | Clyde Kirby |
| 54 | Wm. L. (Spider) Thompson | 5432 | Solomon Niveley |
| 55 | G. F. Snodgrass | 5433 | Chester Shaw |
| 56 | Will V. Carroll | | Marie (Ruth) Smith |
| 57 | Bob Nelso | 5434 | Susie Fish |
| 58 | E. B. Duggins | 5435 | Susie Davis |
| 59 | Claud A. Reed | 5436 | David Hollis |
| | | 5437 | Lee Cantwell |
| | | 5438 | Riley Sims |

ORSEED: Filed Sep 5 1931
 W. F. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

 Court adjourned until September 4, 1931.

5173 Cr. Contd.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count Two (2), Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

Judgment and sentence on Count 1 passed to First Monday in June, 1932.

THE STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 5173 - Criminal. ✓

JOSEPH A. SCHNEIDER,

Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Joseph Schneider, appearing in person and by counsel. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Count One, Eight (8) months,
Count Two, Eight (8) months,
Count Three Eight (8) months,
Count Four Eight (8) months,
Count Five Eight (8) months from date of delivery.

Said sentences of confinement in Counts Two, Three, Four and Five shall run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

THE STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 518 - Criminal. ✓

BOSS POWELL,

Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Boss Powell, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea not guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5308 - Criminal. 4
JESSIE ROBERTSON, and JAMES EARL ROBINSON, Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Jessie Robertson, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count One, Five (5) days,
Count Two, Five (5) days, from date of delivery.

Said sentence of confinement in Count Two (2) to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5324 - Criminal. 4
JAMES EARL ROBINSON and BRURY C. CRAFT, Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Brury C. Craft, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and now enters his plea of guilty to Count 1 and not guilty to Count 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count One (1); Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

Count Two - Dismissed, upon motion of U. S. Attorney.

THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5302 - Criminal. ✓
LEWIS (Ted) BUFFINGTON and)
ED BUFFINGTON, Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Lewis (Ted) Buffington, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5303 - Criminal. ✓
H. GORDON and EARL HENSON, Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, John Gordon, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Five (5) months, from date of delivery.

THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5304 - Criminal. ✓
D. HAIGH, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, D. Haigh, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty Five (\$25.00) Dollars, and in default thereof be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for a period of

5384 Cr. Contd.

in a County Jail, until said fine is paid or until he has been released by due process of law.

THE STATE OF OREGON, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5385 - Criminal. ✓
STANFORD & HERBERT PERIN, Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1981, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Herbert Perin, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery.

THE STATE OF OREGON, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5386 - Criminal. ✓
COCHRAN, JOHNNY SMITH and)
LOWMINE, Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1981, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Cochran, Johnny Smith and Lowmine, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty, all as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

JOH COCHRAN

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

JOHNNY SMITH

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

U.S.S. vs. Tullá.

U.S.S. vs. Tullá.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 3387 - Criminal. ✓
J. TULLA, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, J. Tulla, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 3388 - Criminal. ✓
J. TULLA, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, J. Tulla, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5389 - Criminal.)
JOHN W. B. WELLS, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, J. W. B. Wells, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5390 - Criminal.)
JOHN W. B. WELLS, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, John Wells, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5391 - Criminal.)
JOHN W. B. WELLS, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, J. W. B. Wells, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

EDWARD J. CONNELLEY, Plaintiff,)

-vs-

J. BLANK, Defendant.)

No. 3395 - Criminal.

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1941, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, J. C. Blank, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

THE STATES OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff,)

-vs-

JOHN PERRY, Defendant.)

No. 3393 - Criminal.

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1941, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, John Perry, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.

THE STATES OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff,)

-vs-

W. CLAYTON & JOE HUNTER, Defendants.)

No. 3394 - Criminal.

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1941, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, W. Clayton and Joe Hunter, appearing in person. The defendants are arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

5396 Cr. Contd.

W. G. BROWN.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.

JOE HOWLTON.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.

U.S. DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 5396 - Criminal. ✓

(Click) Becker,

Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, W. G. Brown, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

U.S. DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 5397 - Criminal. ✓

ARCHIE WOOD,

Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Archie Wood, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of fifty (\$50.00) dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 3401 - Criminal.
FRANK, MARVIN BOOTH, Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, F. Frank and Marvin Booth, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

F. FRANK.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery.

MARVIN BOOTH.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days, from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 3402 - Criminal.
J. C. PATTERSON, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, James C. Patterson, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5400 - Criminal.)
W. (Bob) BEHAN, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, W. B. Behan, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5404 - Criminal.)
L. (Spider) THOMPSON, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, W. L. (Spider) Thompson, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:
Ninety (90) days, from date of delivery.

THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5406 - Criminal.)
C. SNODGRASS, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, C. W. Snodgrass, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

5406 Cr. Contd.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representatives, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Ten (10) days, from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5406 - Criminal.
L. V. CARROLL, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Will Carroll, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count One, Ninety (90) days,
Count Two, Ninety (90) days, from date of delivery.
Said sentence of confinement in Count Two (2) to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5407 - Criminal.
WILLIAMS, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Bob Williams, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Thirty (30) days from date of delivery.

5410 Cr. Cont'd.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

One (1) day from date of delivery.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5411 - Criminal. ✓
MURKIN, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Murkin, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters her plea guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Information heretofore filed in. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be set as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count One, Sixty (60) days,
Count Two, Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

Said sentence of confinement in Count Two shall run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5411 - Criminal. ✓
W. JONES, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Walter Jones, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Four (4) months from date of delivery.

ELMER W. WILSON, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5488 - Criminal. ✓
DAN W. MOUL, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Daniel Wood, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count One, Thirty (30) days,
Count Two, Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.
Said sentence of confinement in Count Two (2) to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5484 - Criminal. ✓
LISCH, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Lem Lisch, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5488 - Criminal. ✓
RAYMOND W. HARRIS, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Raymond W. Harris, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

THE UNITED STATES, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5428 - Criminal.
JOHN W. ... Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Kenneth ... appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

He be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Fifty (50) days from date of delivery.

THE UNITED STATES, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5429 - Criminal.
JOHN W. ... Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Nellie ... appearing in person and by counsel. The defendant is arraigned and enters her plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed to 9 o'clock A. M., September 5th, 1931.

THE UNITED STATES, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5430 - Criminal.
O'BRIEN, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Jim ... appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

He be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Fifty (50) days from date of delivery.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5431 - Criminal. ✓
FRANK W. HANCOCK, LLOYD GILMAN and)
MURPHY, Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Frank Han and Clyde Kirby, appearing in person and by counsel. The defendants each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

FRANK W. HANCOCK.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

CLYDE KIRBY.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5432 - Criminal. ✓
ROBERT W. HANCOCK, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Robert W. Hancock, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Thirty (30) days, from date of delivery.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 5433 - Criminal.

LEONARD B. SMITH (Aut.) & ALICE SMITH, Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Lester Shaw and Alice (Aut.) Smith, appearing in person. The cases are all arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence as to each defendant be deferred to First Monday in January, 1932. It is further ordered by the Court that defendant Lester Shaw be paroled to his Coobley, Lawton, Oklahoma and defendant Marie (Aut.) Smith be paroled to Belle Linn at 23 N. Locoin, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 5434 - Criminal.

ALICE SMITH, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Lucie Smith, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)

-vs-

) No. 5435 - Criminal.

ALICE SMITH, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Lucie Smith, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters her plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Count One, Six (6) months,

Count Two, Six (6) months, from date of delivery.

and sentence of confinement in Count Two to run concurrently with sentence in Count One (6).

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5436 - Criminal. ✓
ED POLLEN, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, David Ellis, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Five (5) days from date of delivery.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5437 - Criminal. ✓
CANTRELL, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Lee Well, not appearing in person. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court said cause be stricken from the assignment of this date.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5438 - Criminal. ✓
WILKINS, Defendant.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Riley, appearing in person and by counsel. Thereupon, the defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty as charged in the information heretofore filed in. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Four (4) months, from date of delivery.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,)
- s-) No. 5439 - Criminal. ✓
EUGENE TERRY, JOSEPHINE TERRY, Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information against the above styled defendants, Eugene Terry and Josephine Terry. Thereafter, said defendants are arraigned and each enters pleas of guilty as heretofore charged in the information. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

EUGENE TERRY.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail for a period of:

Sixty (60) days from date of delivery.

JOSEPHINE TERRY.

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Ten (10) days from date of delivery.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Bert L. Thompson, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1304. ✓
United States of America, Defendant.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 5th day of August, 1931, on reading the motion of Plaintiff in this cause to dismiss this case, and the Court being advised the premises, the same said motion in said cause is, by order of the Court, said case dismissed, without prejudice.

F. B. HARRIS
Judge

Assistant United States Attorney
W. F. BRITTON
Attorney for the Plaintiff.
CLERK: Filed Sep 6 1931
W. B. Macfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

in the aggregate sum of \$700.95, and further is entitled to a judgment for
attorney fees in the aggregate amount of \$917.61, and for the costs of this
action.

The Court, being fully advised in the premises, doth order and
decrees that the plaintiffs, Jenkins Petroleum Process Company, have an
award of and from the defendant, Beckett Company, Inc., a judgment for the
total sum (upon all the causes of action pleaded herein) of \$9,418.56 and that
said amount shall bear interest from date hereof at the rate of 6 per cent
per annum, and for the costs of this action.

F. I. [Name]
Judge.

I. H. [Name]
Attorney for Plaintiff.

CLERK: Filed Sep 4 1961
T. F. [Name], Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until September 5, 1961.

THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5489 - Criminal. ✓
M. FURMAN & CO. TRUCKERS, Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States District Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant Fink, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on defendant Fink, as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, for a period of:

Twenty (20) days from date of delivery.

It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence as to defendant Julia Tugay be, and the same is hereby, deferred to first Monday January, 1932.

M. CLAYTON WILKINSON, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5446 - Criminal. ✓
FRANK W., Defendant.)

On this 5th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file Information herein, and to prosecute thereunder. And thereafter, on this same day, the defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Information heretofore filed in. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be as follows:

Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of fifty (\$50.00) dollars, and in default thereof, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a County Jail, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Pine Oil and Refining Company,
corporation,
Plaintiff,

v.

1-Co Pipe and Supply Company, Inc.,
corporation, and Elmer H. Kovak,
Defendants.

No. 1331 Jan.

ORDER ALLOWING DISMISSAL

This cause came on for hearing at this term upon the motion of plaintiff in each Court for leave to discontinue this suit, and after hearing counsel, it appearing that a compromise satisfactory to both parties had been reached, it was ordered, adjudged and decreed that the amended Petition herein and it hereby is dismissed with prejudice with costs to plaintiff to be paid.

Done this 5 day of Sept., 1931.

H. E. MARFIELD
District Judge

RECORDED: Filed Sep 5 1931
H. E. Marfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

AMERICAN TRADING CO., Plaintiff,
-vs-
AMERICAN TRADING CO., et al., Defendants.

No. 1358 - Jan.

Now on this 5th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on for hearing motion of the Union Transportation Company to quash, which motion is granted by the Court, overruled. Defendant given ten days to plead or twenty days to answer. It is further ordered by the Court that motions of Public Service Company and City of Tulsa and Ben G. Angel to take definite and certain, be, they are hereby, overruled. Defendants given ten days to plead or twenty days to answer. (U.S.D. Judge)

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

WILLIAM W. WALKER, Administrator of the
Estate of HENRIETTA W. PLATT, et al.,
Plaintiff,
vs.
The Home Brick Company,
J. McINERNEY, J. W. KELLY and
JOHN W. GELTHOFF, Defendants.

No. 1296 - Law. ✓

O R D E R.

Now on this 5 day of Sept., 1931, this cause coming on to be
tried upon the motion and application of the Home Brick Company to amend its
petition and petition for removal, and the Court being fully advised in the
premises, and for good cause shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Home Brick Company be and is here-
by granted leave to amend its application and petition for removal in the
manner and particulars as set out in its motion for leave to amend.

F. W. HENNINGER
Judge.

DECEMBER: Filed Sep 5 1931
J. I. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

Court adjourned until September 8, 1931.

On this 8th day of September, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in special session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, J. H. L. Pennington, Jr., present and presiding.

L. W. Marshall, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John L. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.
John W. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MEMORANDUM - CIVIL ACTIONING EQUITY CASES FOR TRIAL AT TULSA, OKLA.

On this 8th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the following named and numbered cases be assigned for trial at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the days and dates hereinafter specified:

EQUITY AGREEMENT

Monday, September 21, 1931

- 5 E. F. Booth, etal vs. Greer Investment Co. etal
- 7 Irvin G. Bush vs. R. H. Pierce & Co.
- 9 Utilities Production Corp vs. The Carter Oil Co.

Tuesday, September 22, 1931.

- 1 Prairie Oil & Gas Co. vs. Stebbins Oil & Gas Co.
- 7 Donald D. Turck vs. Baker Oil Tools Inc.

Wednesday, September 23, 1931

- 3 Texas Planning Mill Co. vs. H. Rickson.
- 7 Wilson Clinton, Incapetent vs. O. C. Coppedge, etal
- 3 The Proctor & Cable Co. etal vs. Barnes-Burley Hat and Laundry Co.
- 3 J. A. Brasas, etal vs. C. W. Phillips, etal

Thursday, September 24, 1931

- 7 United States vs. Mining Natl. Bk. & Sav.
- 7 United States vs. W. L. Levin, etal
- 3 Cone Jack, et al vs. Famous Theatre Co. Inc.

Friday, September 25, 1931

- 1 United States vs. M. J. Lawrence, etal
- 3 United States vs. Pearl Roberts, etal
- 3 Credit Alliance Corp. etal vs. Beckwith Co. Inc. etal.

Saturday, September 26, 1931

- 7 Carl Leroyhill vs. C. C. Elliott, etal
- 3 A. F. Roberts vs. Cities Service Oil Co.

IN MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF WALTER S. VAN DUSEN, DECEASED.

Tuesday, September 29, 1931

First Natl. Company vs. United States of America
First Natl. Company vs. United States of America
United States vs. Betty B. Owens, et al
Wane Faskell Richardson vs. Sandalwood Co., et al
(Hearing on Plea of Intervention of Union National Bank
to foreclose mortgage)

FILED: Filed Sep 8 1931
M. D. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

RECEIVED - ORDER CANCELING BOND - FIRST NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER IN RECEIPT.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA)
AND TRUST COMPANY OF OKLAHOMA, AS)
PLAINTIFFS)
VS.)
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA)

O. R. D. M. A.

Now on this 8th day of September, 1931, it appearing to the Court the application of The First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa that desires the cancellation of bond now on deposit with the Clerk to secure deposits on deposit with said bank as a depository of monies belonging to bankrupts, executed by the Detroit Fidelity & Surety Co. under date of April 1930, in the amount of Fifty thousand Dollars (\$50,000) and to substitute therefor bond signed by The Western Casualty and Surety Company, as surety, August 28th, 1931, in the sum of Forty thousand Dollars (\$40,000), and appearing to the Court that if such substitution is permitted there will remain on deposit with the Clerk good and sufficient bonds to secure said bank's deposits, aggregating Ninety-five Thousand Dollars (\$95,000) and that the deposits on deposit with said bank as such depository do not exceed the sum of thirty-eight thousand, two hundred ten Dollars and forty-six cents (\$38,210.46):

IT IS ORDERED that the bond of the Detroit Fidelity & Surety Co., Detroit, Michigan, in the sum of Fifty thousand Dollars (\$50,000) dated April 8th, 1930, be and the same is hereby cancelled and said surety company thereby released and discharged from any liability hereafter to accrue therefrom.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in the place and stead of said bond now on deposit with the Clerk of this Court so set the bond executed by The Western Casualty and Surety Company of Westcott, Kansas, in the sum of Forty thousand Dollars (\$40,000), dated August 28th, 1931.

H. J. ...
Judge.

FILED: Filed Sep 8 1931
M. D. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. Lebow, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1819 Law.
an L. Tague, et al, Defendants.)

O R D E R

The separate demurrers of Susan A. Tague, individually and as administratrix of the estate of Charles L. Tague, deceased, set on the docket this Court for September 9, 1931, are hereby stricken from said docket to re-set at Tulsa.

F. L. REYNOLDS
J U D G E.

- HARMON DEPUES ROBERT C. LOGAN
Attorneys for Plaintiff.
- ROBERTSON & JONES
Attorneys for Defendant.

WITNESSED: Filed Sep 9 1931
J. C. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. SURVEILL, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1868 Law.
LIFE & CO., a corporation, and)
LIFE-CONSERVING ENTERPRISES CORPORATION,)
successors to the COGNAC CO., a Delaware)
corporation, Defendants.)

O R D E R

Upon the stipulation of the parties herein, and for good cause shown;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motions to quash of the above named defendants be stricken from their record & adjournment at Vinita, Oklahoma, on September 9, 1931; to be reset upon the next action docket of this Court.

This is the 8th day of September, 1931.

J. L. HARRIS
Judge.

J. L. HARRIS
Atty for Def.

J. L. HARRIS

FILED: Filed Sep 8 1931
J. L. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

J. Cannon, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1898 - Law. ✓
Western Bell Telephone)
Company, a corporation, Defendant.)

O R D E R

It having been called to the attention of the court that a certain order made and entered by this court on the 1st day of September, 1931, directing the plaintiff above named to dismiss the above cause without prejudice did not require plaintiff to pay accrued costs in this court,

It is now ordered that the previous order aforesaid be and the same is hereby modified and amended to require such costs to be paid by the plaintiff, and that the aforesaid dismissal without prejudice be effective only on the payment of such costs.

J. L. HARRIS
District Judge.

FILED: Filed Sep 8 1931
J. L. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

U. S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
OFFICE OF CLERK.

Alumbaugh, Plaintiff,)
vs) No. 1884 S. ✓
Airie Pipe Line Company,)
Corporation, Defendant,)

DISMISSAL.

Comes now the plaintiff above named, and dismisses the above
titled action with our prejudice.

SAUER & BULL
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

Dismissed at cost of Plaintiff.

A. B. BEECHER
Judge

at. 8th, 1931.

W. B. BEECHER
S. J. BEECHER, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Next adjourned until June 1st, 1931.

On this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, the District Court of United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Session 1931 session at Vinita, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. J. W. Amer, Judge, present and presiding.

J. F. Garfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John L. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.
John L. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

REARMOUSE - APPLICATION TO BAR.

On this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, it being made satisfactorily to appear that Richard K. Harris, C. Raymond Higgins, Lucie E. Olson, J. W. Smith, B. G. Thomas and Lerle C. Smith, are qualified for admission to the Bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorneys are declared admitted to the Bar of the Court.

M. I. SMITH & CO., Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 457 - Law. ✓
M. I. SMITH & CO., Defendant.)

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that during in the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, adjourned to September 16th, 1931, on Plaintiff's motion to make more definite and certain.

M. I. SMITH & CO., Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 495 - Law. ✓
M. I. SMITH & CO., Defendant.)

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on for filing demurrer of C. I. Lamer in the above styled cause. After being admitted fully in the grand jury, it is ordered by the Court that said demurrer be granted and the case is hereby overruled. Given twenty (20) days to answer. (Motion for more definite and certain still pending).

C. I. LAMER, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 501 - Law. ✓
M. I. SMITH & CO., Defendant.)

at Vinita, Oklahoma, District of Oklahoma, September 9, 1931, J. W. Amer, Judge.

... motion for judgment on pleadings on second and third causes of action the above styled cause. Thereafter, after being advised fully advised in premises, said motion is overruled, by the Court. It is further ordered the Court that case be set for trial at Vinita in October, 1931.

UNITED STATES COURT OF DISTRICT JUDICIAL OFFICE, VINITA, OKLAHOMA

J. W. ... Plaintiff,
- vs -
M. ... Corporation, et al, Defendants.

10. 1931 - 1

C R O R D E R

In this 9th day of September, 1931, there coming on for hearing Vinita, Oklahoma, the motion of the defendants to require the said plaintiff to her petition herein more definite and certain, pursuant to regular setting, the plaintiff not appearing in person or by attorney, and the defendants appearing by their attorneys, Leinhardt & Johnson, and the Court after reading the motion submitted in behalf of said motion and being fully advised, finds that the said cause should be, and the same is hereby dismissed for failure to prosecute, at the cost of the said plaintiff.

W. J. ... United States District Judge

CLERK: Filed on 11 1931
F. J. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES COURT OF DISTRICT JUDICIAL OFFICE, VINITA, OKLAHOMA

Le ... Plaintiff,
vs.
1931 ... Defendant.

10. 1931 law

C R O R D E R

This cause came on for hearing this 10th day of September, 1931, the plaintiff being here and in person and represented by his attorney, ... and the defendant being represented by its attorney, ... witness ... and ... the Court proceeded to hear the evidence of witnesses ... and ... said cause was ... continued for further hearing until the 15th day of September, 1931.

Now on this 9th day of September, 1951, the said cause comes on for further hearing and the Court being fully advised in the premises, and consideration thereof, finds that the plaintiff has sustained the allegations in the petition and is entitled to judgment accordingly.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the said plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant, the United States of America, the sum of \$28.75 per month, each month from the 2nd of April, 1948, to this date, the 9th day of September, 1951.

It is further ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff's counsel, Morley C. Smith, of Guthrie, Oklahoma, receive as a reasonable attorney's fee, 10% of all payments to be made as a result of this judgment, including those payments to be made in the future, same to be paid said counsel by the defendant, the United States of America, as provided by the World War Veterans Act, as amended.

To all of which the defendant excepts, and exceptions allowed.

F. A. JENSEN
Judge

A. L. WEAVER
Assistant United States Attorney

MORLEY C. SMITH
Attorney for Plaintiff, Guthrie, Oklahoma.

MAILED: Filed Sep 15 1951
L. L. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

<p>W. J. SMITH, -vs- OKLAHOMA TRANSPORTATION CO. INC., Incorporation, and G. A. BOLCHIE, J. A. BOLCHIE,</p>	<p>Plaintiff,)))) Defendants.)</p>	<p>No. 1000 - Law. ✓</p>
---	---	--------------------------

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1951, there comes on for hearing, Motion and Demurrers of the Defendants in the above styled cause. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that said hearing be, and the same is hereby, passed to September 19th, at Tulsa.

PLAINTIFF,
-vs-
DEFENDANT,
No. 1189 - Law.

Now on this 4th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on hearing motion of Plaintiff to vacate order of June 17, 1931 dismissing same, in the above styled cause. After being advised fully in the premises it is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is hereby, overruled.

PLAINTIFF,
-vs-
DEFENDANT,
No. 1131 - Law.

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on hearing Plaintiff's motion for new trial. Thereupon, it is ordered by Court that said hearing be passed to October 19th, 1931 at Tulsa.

PLAINTIFF,
-vs-
DEFENDANT,
No. 1164 - Law.

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with cost of prosecution.

PLAINTIFF,
-vs-
DEFENDANT,
No. 1204 - Law.

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with cost of prosecution.

WILLIAM W. F. COOPER, JR., Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
ED. TOMLIN, ALLEN W. SMITH, Defendant.)

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on hearing Demurrer in the above styled cause. After being advised in the premises, said Demurrer is, by the Court, overruled. Given Ten (10) days to answer.

IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. WYER, Plaintiff)
-vs-) No. 1211 Law ✓
THE OKLAHOMA GOVERNMENT, INC. Defendant.)
ALLEN W. SMITH, a corporation,

C O R D E R

Now on this 9th day of September, 1931, the above entitled and captioned cause coming on regularly to be heard on the demurrer of the defendant plaintiff's amended petition, before the honorable Franklin E. Hennamer, one of the United States courts, sitting in visita in the state of Oklahoma, plaintiff being represented by his attorneys William L. Thomas and E. C. Fitzgerald, and the defendant by its attorney Ray McLaughlin,

The court being fully advised in the premises, upon presentation the demurrer by counsel for defendant, overrules said demurrer and each part thereof; to the action of the court in overruling said demurrer the defendant waived for and was allowed exception.

Thereupon defendant was allowed ten (10) days to file answer to plaintiff's amended petition.

F. E. HENNAMER
Judge of United States District Court

Mailed to E. C. Fitzgerald
William L. Thomas, Atty, for Plaintiff
September 9, 1931.

CLERK: Filed Sep 13 1931
W. F. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
VINITA, OKLAHOMA

UBRA LADLADSON, Plaintiff,
-vs-
J. A. FRATES and F. A. MODOVITZ,
Receivers, and UNION TRANSPORTATION
COMPANY, Defendants.

NO. 1315 - L.A.

O R D E R

On this 9th day of September, 1931, there came on for hearing Vinita, Oklahoma, the demurrer of the defendant Union Transportation Company, pursuant to regular assignment, plaintiff appearing by her attorney W. J. Lton, and the said defendant by its attorneys Kleinschmidt & Johnson, and on consideration thereof it is ordered that the said demurrer be, and the same is hereby sustained, and the petition of plaintiff dismissed as to the said defendant.

There came on further for hearing the separate motion of the defendants J. A. Frates and F. A. Modovitz, receivers, to require the plaintiff make her petition more definite and certain, upon consideration it is ordered that the same be overruled, and the said defendants are allowed fifteen (15) days to file answer.

F. E. WENNER
United States District Judge

FORCED: Filed Sep 11 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
VINITA, OKLAHOMA

THE NATIONAL TRUCK TRANSPORTATION COMPANY,
corporation, Plaintiff,
-vs-
ROBERT W. WALTERS, MRS. D.
WHEELER, and BICKELSON COOKMAN
TRUCK COMPANY, a corporation, Defendants.

NO. 1317 - L.A.

O R D E R

On this 9th day of September, 1931, there came on for hearing, pursuant to regular assignment, at Vinita, Oklahoma, the motion of the plaintiff to strike from the separate answer of the defendant Bickelson Cookman Truck Company all of paragraphs numbered 3, 4, 5, and 7 thereof, and plaintiff appearing by its attorneys, Kleinschmidt & Johnson, and the said defendant by its attorneys, O'Conner, Marshall & Cobb, and the Court on this day heard the

ments of counsel and being fully advised, finds that the said motion should
and the same is hereby sustained; to which the said defendant duly ex-
ced.

W. E. WELLS
United States District Judge

FILED: Filed Sep 11 1951
W. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. QUINN, JAMES BEARD and
W. BEARD, Plaintiffs,)
)
-vs-) NO. 1224 - 177. v
)
L. FRANKS and F. A. MOLOVETS,
Officers of Oklahoma Union)
Lumber Co., Defendants.)

O R D E R

On this 9th day of September, 1951, there came on for hearing the
motion of plaintiffs herein to remand the above entitled cause, pursuant to
stipulation, and upon application of the said plaintiffs the said motion
was withdrawn and the defendants were allowed ten (10) days from this date to
answer to the petition, or fifteen (15) days to answer.

W. E. WELLS
United States District Judge

FILED: Filed Sep 11 1951
W. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

W. BOND, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 1228 - 177. v
)
FRANKS LUMBER COMPANY,
a corporation, Defendant.)

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1951, there came on for
hearing the motion of defendant. And thereafter, after being advised in the
presence of the Court that said motion be sustained. Plaintiff
is given five (5) days to amend. Defendant given ten (10) days thereafter to
answer.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OREGON.

J. Hoffman, Plaintiff, vs. Northwestern Natural Gas Company, a corporation, Defendant.

NO. 1248 - 123

O R D E R

Upon agreement of counsel for both parties hereto, it is here-ordered that hearing on the demurrer of the defendant in the above entitled case be, and it is hereby ordered continued, to Saturday, September 19, 1931.

DONE this 9th day of September, 1931.

J. H. ... DISTRICT COURT

C. ... & CO.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

... & BRIDGES Attorneys for Defendant.

RECORDED: Filed In Open Court Sep 9 1931 W. J. ... Clerk U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OREGON.

... a corporation, Plaintiff, vs. ... Defendant.

NO. 1249 - 123

O R D E R

On this 9th day of September, 1931, there came on for hearing ... the demurrer of the defendant, ... and said demurrer was overruled. The Court upon consideration thereof and being so advised, finds that said demurrer should be ... the case is hereby

WILLIAM W. WOOD, by S. W. WOOD,
his father and next
and, Plaintiff,
-vs-
MICHIGAN STATE BANK, Defendant.

No. 1821 - 1st. ✓

Now on this 14th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on hearing remurrer of defendant in the above styled cause. After being ad-
ed fully in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said remurrer
and the same is hereby overruled. Defendant given fifteen (15) days to
wer thereto.

HAROLD W. WOOD, by S. W. WOOD,
father and next friend,
-vs-
MICHIGAN STATE BANK, Defendant.

No. 1837 - 1st. ✓

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on
hearing remurrer of defendant in the above styled cause. After being ad-
ed fully in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said remurrer
and the same is hereby overruled. Defendant given fifteen (15) days to
wer thereto.

JOHN W. WOOD, by S. W. WOOD,
-vs-
MICHIGAN STATE BANK, Defendant.

No. 1870 - 1st. ✓

Now on this 14th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on
hearing remurrer of defendant in the above styled cause. Thereafter, after
ng advised fully in the premises, it is ordered by the court that said
urrer be, and the same is hereby, sustained, exceptions allowed. It is
ther ordered by the Court that cause be dismissed.

Mrs. J. W. WOOD, a minor, by S. W. WOOD,
her father and next
and, Plaintiff,
-vs-
MICHIGAN STATE BANK, Defendant.

No. 1875 - 1st. ✓

Now on this 6th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on
hearing remurrer of defendant in the above styled cause. After being ad-
ed fully in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said remurrer
and the same is hereby overruled. Defendant given fifteen (15) days to
wer thereto.

Mr. F. Ellis, Plaintiff,
- vs -
Mrs. Catherine Johnson,
Mrs. M. Wilson,
Mrs. G. Brown, et al., Defendants.

vs. 1935 Jan.

OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT
AT OKMULGEE, OKLAHOMA

IT IS ORDERED: On this 9th day of September, 1931, the motion and this suit to the District Court of Ottawa County, State of Oklahoma, and for hearing in its regular order, the Court upon consideration thereof that the same should be denied.

IT IS ORDERED: That the motion of the plaintiff to set this cause to the said State District Court is, and the same is hereby set.

The plaintiff thereupon, and in open Court, moved to dismiss suit.

IT IS ORDERED: That the motion of the plaintiff to dismiss suit be granted and the suit is hereby dismissed, and the costs of, in the sum of \$7.00 Dollars, adjudged against the plaintiff.

J. W. [Name]
Judge of the United States District Court.

Filed for [Name]
[Name], Clerk
[Name] District Court

OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT
AT OKMULGEE, OKLAHOMA

Mr. [Name], Plaintiff,
vs.
Mrs. [Name], et al., Defendants.

vs. 1935 Jan.

OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT
AT OKMULGEE, OKLAHOMA

On the 15th day of September, 1931, on a motion for hearing thereon, the Court did transfer this cause to the equity docket; and motion for hearing thereon be granted and the Court having considered the same, the same are all denied and shall be sustained.

It is therefore hereby ordered that the aforesaid case be transferred to the equity docket and that the plaintiff be able to file from this date within which to recast her pleadings in said case, and that the defendants, G. C. Williams, The Prairie Pipe Line Company and The Texas Pipe Line Company of Oklahoma, be allowed ten days from the date of the filing by the plaintiff of her pleading as recast, and of service of a copy thereof upon the said defendants within which to plead, or 20 to answer the same.

H. B. WILLIAMS
Judge of the United States District Court.

RECORDED: Filed Sept 1 1931
H. B. Willams, Clerk
U. S. District Court OR

U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DISTRICT OF OREGON

Gardner, et al, Plaintiffs,)
vs.) NO. 1807 EQU.)
Essex Petroleum Company, et al, Defendants.)

ORDER

On this 9th day of September, 1931, the motion of the defendant to transfer the above case to the equity docket is sustained, and

IT IS ORDERED that the said case be and it is hereby transferred to the equity docket of this court.

H. B. WILLIAMS
JUDGE.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 9 1931
H. B. Willams, Clerk
U. S. District Court OR

U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DISTRICT OF OREGON

Gardner, et al, Plaintiffs.)
vs.) NO. 1807 EQU.)
Essex Petroleum Company, et al, Defendants.)

ORDER

On this 9th day of September, 1931, on behalf of the defendant the above case said defendant are allowed to withdraw their motion to discontinue.

Bill's bill of complaint and said defendants are allowed thirty days from the date within which to answer said bill of complaint.

W. H. HARRISON
J. W. L. H.

WILLIAM HARRISON
for left.

FILED: Filed Nov 9 1951
W. H. Harfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court Chicago

DEUTERON, INC., Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 1896 - Law.

BRISTOL CO. BATTERY, a corporation,
D., Defendants.)

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1951, there comes on for hearing Motion to be and. Hereafter, the following witnesses of the defendants are sworn and examined: George W. Siltman, S. J. Kelly. Thereafter, the hearing advised fully in the premises and considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that said motion to be and the same is hereby overruled. It is further ordered by the Court that said cause be dismissed, without prejudice, at the cost of the plaintiff.

OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE COURT OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO, ILL.

Anti-Slavery League, Plaintiff,)

vs.

No. 1896 - Law.

W. H. Harfield, Defendant.)

U. S. D. C. C.

Now, on this 9th day of September, 1951, the court being one of the judicial days of the special September, 1951 Term of this court, sitting in open court, comes on for hearing in its regular order the demurrer of the plaintiff to defendant's answer in the above entitled and numbered cause, the plaintiff being present by its attorney Eric Haase, and the defendant appearing either in person or by counsel;

And the Court having heard the demurrer and the argument of counsel thereon, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that said demurrer should be sustained.

IT IS, therefore, considered, ordered and adjudged by the court

at the plaintiff's demurrer to the defendant's answer herein be and the same hereby sustained.

F. B. LEITCHER
Judge

1931: Filed Sep 14 1931
W. L. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Max L. Amis, now Lazarus, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1899 Law. ✓
United States Fidelity &)
Guaranty Company, a corporation, Defendant.)

O R D E R

On this 9th day of September, 1931, this cause comes on for hearing upon defendant's motion to make plaintiff's petition more definite and certain, plaintiff appearing by her attorney, Samuel S. Weinstein, Esquire, and the defendant appearing by its attorney, J. L. Hull; and thereupon the plaintiff announces in open court that it concedes the first ground of defendant's motion, and asks leave to attach immediately to the petition filed herein a copy, which plaintiff alleges to be true and correct, of the power of attorney referred to in said petition; thereupon leave is granted by the court to plaintiff to attach such copy, and the same is filed with the Clerk and ordered attached to the petition herein as part of Exhibit A thereto.

And thereupon, after hearing argument of counsel upon the second and third grounds in said motion contained, it is considered, Ordered and adjudged that the motion as to the second and third grounds thereof be, and is hereby, overruled, to which the defendant excepts.

Whereupon, it is Ordered that the defendant have five days hereafter within which to further plead to said petition, or fifteen days hereafter within which to answer the same.

F. B. LEITCHER
United States District Judge.

Proved as to form:
SAMUEL S. WEINSTEIN,
Attorney for Plaintiff
J. L. HULL, GEORGE W. WILSON & HULL,
Attorneys for Defendant.

1931: Filed Sep 11 1931
W. L. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. H. MCCOY, Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
L. A. BOLOVITS and J. A.)
H., receivers of)
n Transportation Company,)
l., Defendants.)

NO. 1327 - LAW. ✓

O R D E R

On this 9th day of September, 1931, there came on for hearing, pursuant to regular setting, at Vinita, Oklahoma, the motion of the defendants require the plaintiff to make deposit for costs, and it appearing that said deposit has been made, said defendants were allowed to withdraw said motion.

There coming on further for hearing the special appearance and motion of the defendants to quash the service of summons herein, the said motion was overruled and the defendants allowed ten (10) days from this date to file the petition, or fifteen (15) days to answer.

F. E. REYNOLDS
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 11 1931
W. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

WALDE H. JAMES, Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
J. GARRICK, Defendant.)

No. 1328 - Law. ✓

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes on for hearing Motion of Defendant to quash. And thereafter, said Motion to Quash Defendants is hereby withdrawn. Defendant given ten (10) days to plead fifteen (15) days to answer thereto.

WALTER O'NEAL, Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
J. H. H., Defendant.)

No. 1329 - Law. ✓

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that Motion to Quash be, and the same is hereby, withdrawn. Answer

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA
NORTHWEST DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

G. Miller, Plaintiff,)
:)
-vs-) No. 1528 law. ✓
:)
llips Petroleum Company,)
orporation, successors to)
ependent Oil and Gas Com-)
y, a corporation, Defendant. :)

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO REMAND.

The motion of plaintiff to remand this suit to the District Court of Ottawa County, State of Oklahoma, coming on for hearing this 4th day of September, 1931, pursuant to notice duly served upon the defendant, and the court having heard the argument of counsel, and, being fully advised, upon consideration finds that said motion should be denied.

IT IS THE COURT'S ORDERED that the motion of the plaintiff to remand this case to the District Court of Ottawa County, Oklahoma, be, and the same is hereby denied.

A. E. HITCHCOCK
Judge of the United States
District Court.

ORDER: Filed Sep 10 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA
NORTHWEST DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

G. Miller, Plaintiff,)
:)
-vs-) No. 1528 law. ✓
:)
llips Petroleum Company,)
orporation, successors to)
ependent Oil and Gas Com-)
y, a corporation, Defendant. :)

ORDER OF THE COURT ON PETITION BY
WINTER V. WINTER IN RE DEED OF LAND
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA
NORTHWEST DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
FILED FOR RECORD IN CASE NO. 1528
BY
GOULD.

W. F. WINTER: That on this 9th day of October, 1931, this court on for hearing in its regular order, on the 6th day of the defendant to the petition of the plaintiff, plaintiff directed the second cause of action set forth in his petition, by motion in open court.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, That the second cause of action set forth in the petition of the plaintiff be, and the same is dismissed.

The demurrer to the first cause of action was presented, and, on consideration of the same, the Court finds that it should be overruled.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, That the demurrer of the defendant to the first cause of action set forth in the petition of the plaintiff be, and the same is overruled.

The Court finds that the first cause of action in the petition of the plaintiff is a suit for cancellation of the deed, and therefore an equity action and should be transferred to the equity division of this Court, and the Court must on this day find that the plaintiff should prepare and file his bill in equity, setting forth the allegations of his cause of action with more particularity.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, That the plaintiff prepare a bill in equity on the first cause of action and file the same within ten (10) days from this date, setting forth the allegations as to his cause of action with more particularity, and that the defendant have ten (10) days thereafter within which to plead or fifteen (15) days to answer.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, That this case be transferred to the equity division of this Court.

W. J. HARRIS
Judge of the United States District Court.

Filed: September 18, 1951
W. J. HARRIS, Clerk
U. S. District Court

W. J. HARRIS,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 1951 - 100.

W. J. HARRIS,

Defendant.

On this 9th day of September, A. D. 1951, there comes on for hearing the cause of the defendant in the above styled cause. Thereafter, after being advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said demurrer and the case is hereby sustained. Plaintiff given fifteen (15) days to answer and defendant given ten (10) days thereafter to plead or fifteen (15) days to answer thereto.

W. J. ... Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1153 - 1951

... Defendants.

On the 13th day of September, A. D. 1951, there comes on the court motion of defendants to dismiss. Thereafter, after being advised the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same hereby, overruled. Defendants given ten (10) days to answer to costs.

... Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1153 - 1951

... Defendants.

On the 13th day of September, A. D. 1951, it is ordered by the Court that case as to defendant A. L. Cairnes be, and is hereby, dismissed, and the papers filed by the Plaintiff. It is further ordered by the Court that motion to add as to the McGray Refrigerator Sales Corporation, Inc., be and is hereby, overruled.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
HOWARD LIBERMAN, CLERK

... Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1153 - 1951

... Defendants.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

On the 13th day of September, 1951, after the court on the motion of the Plaintiff, the McGray Refrigerator Sales Corporation, Inc., a corporation, was security for costs and the same is hereby and efficiently advised in the premises,

It is ordered by the Court, that the Plaintiff be and is hereby ordered to pay the costs of the Court, and to give the Clerk sufficient security for costs on file papers provided in the Court's order heretofore, and the defendant The McGray Refrigerator Sales Corporation, Inc., is carried for (10) days to answer to costs and to file a motion.

...
...
...
...
...

W. H. ... Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1837 - Law.

... Defendants.

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the Plaintiff, that the case do to defendant, ... be, and the same is hereby dismissed. It is further ordered by the Court that motion to dismiss of The McGray Refrigerator Sales Corporation, a corporation, defendant herein, be, and the same is hereby overruled.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
JAMES EARL BISHOP, CLERK

W. H. ... Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1837 - Law.

... Defendant.

O R D E R

Now, on this 9th day of September, 1931, this cause coming on for hearing on the motion of the defendant, The McGray Refrigerator Sales Corporation, a corporation, for security for costs and the Court being well and sufficiently advised in these premises,

IT IS ORDERED, by the Court, that the plaintiff herein either make satisfactory deposit for costs with the Court Clerk or give the Clerk satisfactory security therefor or file pauper's affidavit within ten (10) days of date hereof, and the defendant the McGray Refrigerator Sales Corporation, a corporation, is granted ten (10) days thereafter to plead or fifteen (15) days to answer.

J. J. ...
JUDGE

FILED: Filed Sep 17 1931
J. J. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court

W. H. ... Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1840 - Law.

... Defendants.

Now on this 13th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on for hearing motion of Plaintiff to demand, ... and the same is hereby granted and the case is ordered removed to the Court.

W. L. ... Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1342 - Civ. ✓

... Defendant.

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that hearing on Motion to make more definite and certain, passed September 10, 1931.

W. L. ... Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1342 - Civ. ✓

... Defendants.

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that hearing on Motion to Remand be, and the same is hereby passed September 10, 1931.

W. L. ... Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1343 - Civ. ✓

... Defendants.

Now on this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that hearing on Motion to Remand be, and the same is hereby passed September 10th, 1931.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
At the City of Oklahoma, Oklahoma, 1931

... Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1349 - Civ. ✓

... Defendants.

C E R T I F I C A T E

On this 9th day of September, 1931, there being no hearing, want to regular sitting, at Vinita, Oklahoma, the Motion of the Defendants ... to quash service of summons ... and for consideration thereof it is ordered that the said Motion be overruled, and that the Defendants are allowed ten (10) days from this date to plead to the

tion of fifteen (15) days to answer.

There again for further for hearing the motion of said defendants require the plaintiff to make deposit for costs herein, upon consideration hereof it is ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby sustained, and said plaintiff is ordered to deposit \$10.00 with the Clerk of this Court within ten (10) days from this date.

E. W. WILSON, JR.
United States District Judge

OKED: Filed Sep 11 1931
E. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
NO. 1931-10-1001-1001

JOHN B. BISHOP, Plaintiff,)
Vs.) No. 1931-10-1001-1001
THE BISHOP TRUST COMPANY, Defendant.)

SPECIAL APPEARANCE

Now on this 9th day of September, 1931, this matter comes on to be heard on the defendant's special appearance and motion to quash service of summons. The plaintiff being present by his attorney, Joe W. Simpson, and the defendant being present by its Attorney, A. H. Widdows, and the court being fully advised in the premises finds that said motion should be sustained.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED BY THE COURT that the motion of defendant to quash service of summons herein be and the same hereby is sustained.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that plaintiff be granted leave to issue alias summons.

E. W. WILSON, JR.
United States District Judge

JOE W. SIMPSON
Attorney for plaintiff
A. H. WIDDOWS
Attorney for defendant.

OKED: Filed Sep 9 1931
E. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Re E. Barnes, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1888 - Law.
Hington Royalties Company, a)
aware corporation, Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 9th day of September, 1931, comes on for hearing the motion to separately state and number filed by the defendant in the above entitled cause, plaintiff being present in court by her attorneys, Messrs. Shipman and Lewis, and the defendant being present in court by its attorneys, Messrs. Doerner & Hamilton, and the court being fully advised in the premises that said motion should be denied.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the motion to separately state and number filed by defendant, be, and the same is hereby, overruled and denied, to which action of the court the defendant excepts, and exception is allowed.

Whereupon, defendant filed a demurrer to the petition of the plaintiff, and by agreement of the parties and with the consent of the court, said demurrer was set for immediate hearing. Whereupon, the court having all the facts before it by their respective counsel, and having heard argument of counsel on such demurrer of the defendant to the petition of the plaintiff, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that said demurrer of said defendant is without merit and the same is hereby overruled.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the demurrer of defendant to plaintiff's petition be, and the same is hereby overruled, to which action of the court defendant excepts, and exception is allowed.

IT IS, FURTHER ORDERED that said defendant be, and it is hereby granted twenty days from date hereof in which to answer.

W. H. BRIDGEMAN,
Judge.

FILED: Filed Sep 16 1931
W. H. Bridgeman, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
IN SENATE CHAMBER OF THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

to E. Wallwood, Plaintiff,)
)
 -vs-) No. 1586 - New. ✓
)
 Kingston Royalties Company, a)
)
 ware corporation, Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 9th day of September, 1931, comes on for hearing the motion to separately state and number filed by the defendant in the above entitled cause, plaintiff being present in court by her attorneys, Messrs. Brown and Lewis, and the defendant being present in court by its attorneys, Messrs. Doerner & Hamilton, and the court being fully advised in the premises is that said motion should be denied.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the motion to separately state and number filed by the defendant, be, and the same is hereby, overruled and denied, to which action of the court the defendant excepts, and exception is allowed.

Whereupon, defendant filed a demurrer to the petition of plaintiff, and by agreement of the parties and with the consent of the court, said demurrer was set for immediate hearing. Whereupon, the court called all parties before it by their respective counsel, and having heard argument of counsel on such demurrer of the defendant to the petition of the plaintiff, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that said demurrer of said defendant is without merit and the same is hereby, overruled.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the demurrer of defendant to plaintiff's petition be, and the same is hereby, overruled, to which action of the court defendant excepts, and exception is allowed.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said demurrer be, and it is hereby overruled, and the cause proceed in said to answer.

Judge.

Filed for 10 1931
J. J. Confield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

W. H. ... Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1561 - 1951.
Washington Realities Company, a)
private corporation,) Defendant.)

JUDICIAL NOTICE.

Now on this 9th day of September, 1951, comes on for hearing motion to separately state and number filed by the defendant in the above titled cause, plaintiff being present in court by his attorneys, Messrs. ... and Lewis, and the defendant being present in court by its attorneys, ... and ... and the court being fully advised in the premises do that said motion should be denied.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the motion to separately state and number filed by the defendant, be, and the same is hereby, overruled and denied, to which action of the court the usual costs, and exception is allowed.

Whereupon, defendant filed a demurrer to the petition of plaintiff, and by agreement of the parties and with the consent of the court, said demurrer was set for immediate hearing. Thereupon, the court called all parties before it by their respective counsel, and having heard argument of counsel on such demurrer of the defendant to the petition of the plaintiff, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that said demurrer should be overruled.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the demurrer of defendant to plaintiff's petition be, and the same is hereby, overruled, to which action of the court doth attach costs, and exception is allowed.

IT IS, FURTHER, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said defendant be, and it is hereby ordered that the costs hereof in which it sues.

W. H. ...
...
U. S. District Court

IN SENATE, JANUARY 10, 1918

And whereas said, Plaintiff,

-18-

No. 1805 - 1st.

whereas said, Defendant.

ORDER

Now on this 27th day of September, 1917, comes the petition to separately state and number filed by the defendant in the above filed cause, which said petition being present in court by her attorneys, Messrs. [names] and Lewis, and the defendant being present in court by its attorneys, Messrs. [names] Hamilton, and the court being fully advised in the premises, do that said petition should be denied.

And on this 27th day of September, 1917, comes the petition to separately state and number filed by the defendant, to be, the same is hereby, overruled, and denied, to which action of the court defendant excepts, and exception is allowed.

Whereupon, defendant filed a demurrer to the petition of plaintiff, and by agreement of the parties and with the consent of the court, said demurrer was set for immediate hearing. Whereupon, the court having called the parties before it by their respective counsel, and having heard argument of each on such demurrer of the defendant to the petition of the plaintiff, being fully advised in the premises, finds that said demurrer should be denied.

And on this 27th day of September, 1917, comes the petition to the court to the demurrer of defendant to plaintiff's petition to, to the same is hereby, overruled, to which action of the court defendant excepts, and exception is allowed.

It is ordered that said demurrer be denied, and it is also ordered that said demurrer be denied from date hereof to which to return.

J. [name]
Judge.

Filed for [name]
[name], Clerk
[name], District Court

And whereas said, Plaintiff,

-18-

No. 1805 - 1st.

whereas said, Defendant.

Now on this 27th day of September, 1917, comes the petition to separately state and number filed by the defendant in the above filed cause, which said petition being present in court by her attorneys, Messrs. [names] and Lewis, and the defendant being present in court by its attorneys, Messrs. [names] Hamilton, and the court being fully advised in the premises, do that said petition should be denied.

IN RE: MATTIE GUY, Plaintiff,
vs. THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Defendant.

M. Guy, Plaintiff,
-vs-
The District of Columbia, Defendant.

No. 100 - 30.

ORDER

The above entitled matter came on for hearing before the undersigned on the 9th day of September, 1931, upon the answer of the defendant to the plaintiff's petition; and upon the determination of the court upon the plaintiff's petition to file an amended petition which the court finds should be granted.

It is, therefore, ordered that, pending the determination of the defendant, plaintiff is hereby given ten (10) days from this date within which to file an amended petition, and the defendant, Receiver-General of the District of Columbia, is given ten (10) days thereafter within which to answer the same.

J. J. McLaughlin
United States District Judge

- JAMES H. COCHRAN
Attorney for Plaintiff
- W. A. A. WOOD
Attorney for Defendant,
Receiver-General of the District of Columbia.

FILED: Filed in Open Court
on 9/10/31
W. J. Confield, Clerk
U. S. District Court - D.C.

WILLIAMSON - ADMISSION TO BAR - TRUMAN B. RUCKER.

On this 9th day of September, A. D. 1931, it being made satisfactorily to appear that Truman B. Rucker, is qualified for admission to the Bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered, and said attorney is declared admitted to the Bar of the Court.

Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
Defendant.)

No. 100-100000-100000

On the 10th day of October, A. D. 1911, the undersigned Court, upon statement of counsel, that the above styled cause is, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
DIVISION OF INVESTIGATION

Plaintiff,)
vs.)
Defendants.)

No. 100-100000-100000

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

On the 10th day of October, 1911, the undersigned Court, upon statement of counsel, that the above styled cause is, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

It is therefore the order of the Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Assistant United States Attorney

Filed on 10/10/11
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ed States, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 1006 Law. ✓
. Clark, et al, Defendants.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 10th day of September, 1931, plaintiff having asked permission to dismiss the above cause, shows to the Court that no service been had on any of the defendants herein in the Northern District of Oklahoma, and that permission has been granted the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma by the Attorney General to dismiss said cause, the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that said cause should be dismissed.

It is therefore the order of the Court that said cause be, and is hereby dismissed.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed Sep 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

ED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1039 - Law. ✓
. WILLIAMS, Defendant.)

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby stricken from trial assignment of this date.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

. Morgan, and S. R. Plaintiff,)
an for the use and)
fit of Chicago Bank)
ommerce of Chicago, Illinois,)
vs.) No. 1226 Law ✓
. Hindes and W. G. Rule, Defendants.)

. 1226 - L Cont'd.

ORDER DISMISSING
WITHOUT PREJUDICE

On this 10th day of September, 1931, upon the consent of Plaintiff by his attorney in open Court:

ORDERED that this cause is dismissed without prejudice and at plaintiff's cost.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DORSED: Filed Sep 12 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

ESSIE A. BOLLER, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1341 - Law. ✓
VITABLE LIFE INS. COMPANY, Defendant.)

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on a hearing Motion to make more definite and certain. Thereafter, after being advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained in part and overruled in part. Plaintiff given ten (10) days to amend. Defendant given ten (10) days thereafter to plead or fifteen (15) days to answer.

FRY L. LEMONS, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1342 - Law. ✓
GAS SERVICE GAS CO., ET AL. Defendants.)

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that Plaintiff's Motion to Remand be, and the same is hereby, taken under advisement.

FRY L. LEMONS, Inc. a Corp. Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1343 - Law. ✓
GAS SERVICE GAS CO., ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that Motion to Remand be, and the same is hereby, taken under advisement.

Court adjourned until September 11, 1931.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ted States of America, Complainant,)
)
vs.) No.. 5442 ✓
)
est Falkner, Defendant.)

ATTACHMENT FOR CONTEMPT OF COURT

Now, on this 11th day of September, 1931, comes the United States District Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma and files and presents to the Court an accusation or complaint for contempt of Court against the above named defendant alleging and charging that on the 1st, day of September, 1931, a subpoena was issued by United States Commissioner W. C. Barry for the attendance of said defendant to appear before him and testify as a witness for the United States in the case of United States vs. Ed Crossland U. S. vs. Fred Ward and that said subpoena was duly served by the United States Marshall's office on said defendant, Ernest Falkner in person for his appearance before said Commissioner, on the 9th and 10th day of September, 1931, at Miami in said District, and that said Ernest Falkner failed and refused to so attend as said witness before said Commissioner and testify as commanded therein and prays that an attachment issue for said Ernest Falkner that he be brought before this Court, and dealt with according to law and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that said attachment should issue.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that the United States Marshall for the Northern District of Oklahoma be and he hereby is commanded and directed to forthwith attach the person and body of said Ernest Falkner and bring him before this Court to show cause, if any he, the said Ernest Falkner, may have, why he should not be adjudged guilty of contempt of Court and make due return of this writ.

F. E. REINHART
JUDGE

ORCED: Filed Sep 11 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

LIGATION OF NOWATA NATIONAL)
K OF NOWATA, OKLAHOMA, an) No. 458 - Law. ✓
OLVENT NATIONAL BANKING ASS'n.)

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by this Court, that the above styled cause be settled and closed, all as per the original entry to be filed.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. CLEAVES, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 531 LAM. ✓
LEON T. FUNK, Defendant.)

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURRER

NOW on this 11th day of September, 1931, there came on for further hearing the demurrer of the defendant to the petition, and the court being fully advised overrules such demurrer, to which ruling the defendant excepts, and the defendant is given twenty (20) days from this date in which to file an answer herein.

F. L. KENNEDY
Judge

RECORDED: Filed Sep 14 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

R. BANGERT, Plaintiff,)
vs.) NO. 532 LAM. ✓
LEON T. FUNK, Defendant.)

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURRER

NOW on this 11th day of September, 1931, there came on for further hearing the demurrer of the defendant to the petition, and the court being fully advised overrules such demurrer, to which ruling the defendant excepts, and the defendant is given twenty (20) days from this date in which to file an answer herein.

F. L. KENNEDY
Judge

RECORDED: Filed Sep 14 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

E. HALL,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) NO. 535 LAW ✓
LEBUR T. FUNK,)
Defendant.)

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURRER

NOW on this 11th day of September, 1931, there came on for fur-
ther hearing the demurrer of the defendant to the petition, and the court being
advised overrules such demurrer, to which ruling the defendant excepts,
and the defendant is given twenty (20) days from this date in which to file his
answer herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
J u d g e

DORSED: Filed Sep 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

A. THOMAS,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) NO. 536 LAW ✓
LEBUR T. FUNK,)
Defendant.)

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURRER

NOW on this 11th day of September, 1931, there came on for fur-
ther hearing the demurrer of the defendant to the petition, and the court being
advised overrules such demurrer, to which ruling the defendant excepts,
and the defendant is given twenty (20) days from this date in which to file
his answer herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
J u d g e

DORSED: Filed Sep 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

A. MCCURDY, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 537 LAW. ✓
BUR T. MUMF, Defendant.)

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURRER

NOW on this 11th day of September, 1931, there came on for further hearing the demurrer of the defendant to the petition, and the court being fully advised overrules such demurrer, to which ruling the defendant excepts, the defendant is given twenty (20) days from this date in which to file his answer herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

RECORDED: Filed Sep 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

A. KENNEDY, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 538 LAW ✓
BUR T. MUMF, Defendant.)

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURRER

NOW on this 11th day of September, 1931, there came on for further hearing the demurrer of the defendant to the petition, and the court being fully advised overrules such demurrer, to which ruling the defendant excepts, and the defendant is given twenty (20) days from this date in which to file his answer herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

RECORDED: Filed Sep 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

C. BILDING, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 539 LAW ✓
BUR T. FUNK, Defendant.)

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURRER

NOW on this 11th day of September, 1931, there came on for fur-
r hearing the demurrer of the defendant to the petition, and the court being
ly advised overrules such demurrer, to which ruling the defendant excepts,
the defendant is given twenty (20) days from this date in which to file
answer herein.

F. E. KENNELER
J u d g e

ORCED: Filed Sep 14 1931
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BE GOLDSTEIN, Plaintiff,)
vs.) NO. 540 LAW ✓
BUR T. FUNK, Defendant.)

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURRER

NOW on this 11th day of September, 1931, there came on for fur-
r hearing the demurrer of the defendant to the petition, and the court being
ly advised overrules such demurrer, to which ruling the defendant excepts,
the defendant is given twenty (20) days from this date in which to file
answer herein.

F. E. KENNELER
J u d g e

ORCED: Filed Sep 14 1931
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GOLDSTEIN,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-) NO. 541 LAW ✓
BUN T. BULL,)
Defendant.)

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURRER

NOW on this 11th day of September, 1931, there came on for fur-
r hearing the demurrer of the defendant to the petition, and the court being
ly advised overrules such demurrer, to which ruling the defendant excepts,
the defendant is given twenty (20) days from this date in which to file
answer herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
J u d g e

ORDERED: Filed Sep 14 1931
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

F. H. DYKES, RECEIVER OF THE)
ST NATIONAL BANK OF COLLENSVILLE,)
OHOMA, A NATIONAL BANKING)
CORPORATION,) PLAINTIFF,) No. 557 Law ✓
vs.)
K BREKING COMPANY, ET AL.,) DEFENDANTS.)

DISMISSAL OF CAUSE

NOW on this 11th day of September, 1931, upon motion of the
plaintiff duly made herein in open court, and for good cause shown:

IT IS ORDERED that the above entitled cause be and the same here-
is dismissed without prejudice, at the cost of the plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

ORDERED: Filed Sep 11 1931
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

H. BAGBY, COUNTY TREASURER,
MEE COUNTY, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 651 - Law. ✓
INSON OIL & REFINING COMPANY, Defendant.)

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes on for
aring Motion of Plaintiff to Remand. Thereafter, after being advised in the
mises, it is ordered by the Court that said Motion to remand be, and the
e is hereby, sustained and case remanded to County Court of Pawnee County,
lahoma.

A W. RIDD, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 882 - Law. ✓
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered
the Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed
want of prosecution.

CLAUDE VALLEY RY. CO., Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 907 - Law. ✓
INSON PRODUCE CO., Defendant.)

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by
e Court that Judgment be, and the same is hereby, found for Plaintiff as per
urnal entry to be filed. It is further ordered by the Court that exception
allowed.

EPSON CARTER, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 984 - Law. ✓
LAHOMA UNION RAILWAY CO., Defendant.)

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by
e Court, what the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed
want of prosecution.

TH RANBALL, Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 985 - Law. ✓
)
AHOMA UNION RAILWAY CO., ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court, that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed want of prosecution.

AL TEXTILE CO. INC. Plaintiff,)
)
-vs-) No. 999 - Law. ✓
)
SA FURNITURE MFG. CO. INC., Defendant.)

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed want of prosecution.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

la Richards, Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 1336 - Law. ✓
)
A. Cairnes, et al, Defendants.)

O R D E R.
.....

Now on this 9th day of September, 1931, this matter coming on hearing on the oral motion of the plaintiff to dismiss this cause as to the defendant, A. A. Cairnes, and the Court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, -

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT that this cause be, and it is, hereby dismissed as to the defendant, A. A. Cairnes, without prejudice and at the expense of the plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 11 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ce Northcutt, Plaintiff,)
vs) No. 1337 - Law. ✓
A. Cairnes, et al, Defendants.)

O R D E R.

.....

Now on this 9th day of September, 1931, this matter coming on for
ring on the oral motion of the plaintiff to dismiss this cause as to the
endant, A. A. Cairnes, and the Court being well and sufficiently advised
these premises,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT that this cause be, and it is, here-
dismissed as to the defendant, A. A. Cairnes, without prejudice and at the
t of the plaintiff.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the attachment heretofore issued
this cause against one Packard Automobile be, and the same is hereby dis-
ved and released.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E.

ORSED: Filed Sep 11 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

G. HUGHES, RECEIVER, ECT., Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 1344 - Law. ✓
B. STILES, ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by
Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, transferred
the Equity side of the docket.

FED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 5441 - Criminal. ✓
ES ADAMS, Defendant.)

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes the United
tes Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file Accusation in open court
inst James Adams on charge of contempt in not obeying the order of sub-
ia directed to him by N. C. Barry, United States Commissioner.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

R. T. Selby, Plaintiff, :
Vs. : No. 1362 Law
Green Company, a corporation, :
W. T. Byers, Defendants. :

ORDER TO REMAND.

NOW, on this 12th day of September, 1931, a regular juridical day of this Court, this matter comes on to be heard upon plaintiff's motion to remove, and plaintiff being present by her counsel, S. J. Montgomery, of the firm of Ford & Montgomery, and the defendant being present by its attorney, W. E. Green, of the firm of Green & Farmer, and both sides announced ready.

Defendant puts on its witnesses after having adduced all of its testimony in support of its petition for removal, and rested.

It appears to the Court that this cause should be remanded to the District Court of the state of Oklahoma, from whence it was removed.

It is, therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this Court that this cause be remanded to the District Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, from whence it was removed, and the same is hereby remanded.

F. E. KEMMERER
Judge of the U. S. District Court.

RECEIVED: Filed Sep 15 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until September 15, 1931.

On this 15th day of September, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1931 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Mennaker, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

SCCELLANEOUS - ADMISSION TO BAR - HUGHEY BAKER, C. E. ALLEN.

On this 15th day of September, A. D. 1931, it being made satisfactorily to appear that Hughey Baker and C. E. Allen, are qualified for admission to the Bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorneys are declared admitted to the Bar of the Court.

SCCELLANEOUS - BANKRUPTCY DEPOSITORY.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

RE: BANKRUPTCY DEPOSITORY

O R D E R

This matter coming on for hearing before me the undersigned Judge of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, upon the application of the FOURTH NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA OKLAHOMA for release of bonds, and the court being fully advised in the premises;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED by the Court that three bonds totaling THIRTY-FIVE THOUSAND AND NO/100 (\$35,000.00) DOLLARS, of the Federal Fidelity Company, be and hereby are released.

DATED, this 15 day of Sept., 1931.

F. E. MENNAKER
Judge

CORSEED: Filed Sep 15 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 4959 - Criminal. ✓
E. HULSMAN and GORDON W. Defendants.)
WILSON,

Now on this 15th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that judgment and sentence of defendant, Gordon W. Wilson, be, and same is hereby, further deferred to First Monday in January, 1932, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 5352 Cr. ✓
NEW HUDSON, Defendant.)

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO INTERVENE.

Now on this 16 day of August, 1931, on motion duly made and filed herein representing to the Court that Tulsa Motor Securities Corporation is the bona fide holder of a mortgage upon the property described as one Chevrolet automobile involved in this action:

IT IS ORDERED That Tulsa Motor Securities Corporation be and it is hereby permitted to intervene in this action and to file its petition in intervention herein.

F. L. KENNEDY
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 15 1931
L. D. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until September 16, 1931.

On this 16th day of September, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1931 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Hennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.
John H. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

CELLANEOUS - ORDER GRANTING WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS - JESSE LOVE ROBINSON.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
) SS.
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.)

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA,
BEFORE THE GRAND JURY.

United States of America, Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
Jesse Love Robinson, et al, Defendants.)

ORDER GRANTING WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
AD TESTIFICANDUM.

On reading and considering the petition of John M. Goldesberry, United States Attorney, filed herein, whereby it appears that one Jesse Love Robinson is a necessary and material witness in a certain investigation in this court, and is assigned for presentation to a Grand Jury on Thursday, October 8th, 1931, and it further appearing that said witness is a prisoner and confined in the United States Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and is under the control in the charge and custody of the Warden of said Penitentiary, and it appeared that a writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum ought to issue, it is hereby ordered that a Writ of Habeas Corpus Ad Testificandum issue out of and under the authority of this court, directed to the Warden of said Penitentiary commanding him to have the body of the said Jesse Love Robinson before me in the court room of this court on the 8th day of October, A. D., 1931, at Vinita, Oklahoma, to testify before the Grand Jury in a certain matter pending investigation, and that the said Warden have then and there said writ.

Dated this 16th day of September, A. D., 1931.

F. E. HENNAMER
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 16 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W