







IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

tie E. Farris,	Plaintiff, )	} NO. 927 Law. ✓
-vs-	)	
. Shanks, individual,	)	
L. E. Shanks and pany, a corporation,	Defendants.)	

ORDER OVERRULING MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL, MOTION  
IN ARREST, AND JUDGMENT.

THIS CAUSE having come on to be heard this 25th day of April, 1931, upon the motion of the defendants for a new trial, the court having considered said motion, being now fully advised in the premises, it is ordered that the motion for a new trial be, and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling said defendants except.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the motion of said defendants in arrest of judgment be, and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling said defendants except.

THE DEFENDANTS HAVING FURTHER stated in open court that they intended to appeal from said judgment; it is further ordered that said defendants present and file a bill of exceptions within sixty (60) days from this date and that a supersedeas bond be fixed in the sum of \$5250.00 with security to be approved by the Clerk of the court within ten (10) days from this date. That execution be stayed for a period of ten (10) days and upon filing the said supersedeas bond, the said execution be stayed pending said appeal.

DATED this 25th day of April 1931.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 29 1931  
E. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. Mosshamer,	Plaintiff, )	} No. 987 Law. ✓
vs.	)	
Shane Oil and Gas Company,	)	
corporation,	Defendant.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This cause came regularly on for trial pursuant to assignment this 11th day of April, 1931; plaintiff appears in person and by his attorney, Frank T. McCoy, and the defendant appears by its attorneys, West

son, Sherman, Davidson & Hull, and both sides announcing ready for trial, jury was duly and regularly impaneled and sworn to try the cause. At this time the hour for adjournment having arrived, the further hearing of this cause continued until 9:30 A. M., April 13, 1931.

Thereafter, and on April 13, 1931, this cause comes on for further hearing, the parties appearing as heretofore. Thereupon the plaintiff produces his evidence and rests. Thereupon the defendant demurs to the evidence of the plaintiff, which demurrer is by the court overruled, and an exception allowed. The hour of adjournment having arrived, the further hearing of this cause continued until 9:30 A. M., April 14, 1931.

Thereafter, and on April 14, 1931, this cause comes on for further hearing, the parties appearing as heretofore. The defendant presents its evidence and rests, and the plaintiff presents his evidence in rebuttal and surrebuttal, and the evidence in this case is closed. Thereupon the defendant moves the court to direct a verdict for the defendant and against the plaintiff which motion is overruled and its exceptions allowed.

After argument of counsel the court instructs the jury, after which they retire to consider their verdict. The hour of adjournment having arrived, the further hearing of this cause is continued until 9:30 A. M., April 15, 1931.

Thereafter, and on April 15, 1931, the jury returns into open court with their verdict, which is as follows, to-wit:

"We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the plaintiff, and fix the amount of his recovery of and from the defendant at the sum of \$1800.00."

The court received said verdict and orders the same read, filed and recorded.

And now on this 29th day of April, 1931, the court being sufficiently advised, in accordance with the verdict of the jury:

IT IS CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the plaintiff, C. R. Sherman, recover of and from the defendant, Kewanee Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, the sum of \$1800.00, together with six per cent interest per annum thereon until paid, and all costs of this case; to which judgment of the court the defendant excepts and his exceptions are allowed.

The defendant is allowed sixty (60) days from this date in which to prepare and file a bill of exceptions. Execution of judgment is hereby stayed for a period of thirty (30) days from this date, upon the condition that within said time defendant shall execute and file a sufficient bond in the amount of \$2500.00, conditioned that it will pay the judgment, interest and costs, when said bond, upon its approval shall stand as a supersedeas bond on appeal.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
U. S. District Judge.

FRANK T. MCCOY  
Attorney for plaintiff.

A. A. DAVIDSON  
Attorney for Defendant.

RECEIVED: Filed Apr 29 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----  
. MOSSHAMER, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 987 - Law. ✓  
NEE OIL & GAS COMPANY, )  
orporation, Defendant.)

Now on this 29th day of April, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the  
t that motion of Defendant for a new trial be, and the same is hereby,  
ruled and exceptions allowed. (T.B.K. Judge).

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. Bowater, Archibald W. J. Pohl )  
Wade H. Allison, Plaintiffs, )  
-vs- ) NO. 1008 L. ✓  
. Worley, Defendant. )

J U D G M E N T

THIS CAUSE came on for hearing on the 15th day of April, 1931,  
the plaintiffs appearing in person and by their attorneys, E. J. Lundy and  
ton C. West, and the defendant appearing by his attorneys, N. E. McNeill and  
. Moss, a jury being empaneled and sworn herein, the evidence being incom-  
ed on the 15th day of April, 1931, the cause was continued until the 16th  
of April, 1931, and the trial was resumed and the jury having heard the  
imony listened to the argument of counsel and received the charge of the  
t and the cause being submitted to the jury, and on the 17th day of April,  
. the jury returned into open court, upon their oath find the issues herein-  
ed to be in favor of the defendant upon his cross-petition and against the  
tiffs, and they assessed the amount of defendant's recovery against the  
tiff in the sum of \$10,150.00, which verdict is in words and figures as  
ows, to-wit:

"IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

John J. Bowater, Archibald W. J. Pohl  
and Wade H. Allison, Plaintiff.  
-vs-  
E. F. Worley, Defendant.

CASE NO. 1008 L.

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find for the defendant in the sum of \$10,150.00.

H. S. Condon, Foreman.

On motion of the plaintiff, it is therefore hereby considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the defendant, L. F. Morley have and recover of and from the said plaintiffs, John J. Bowater, Archibald W. J. Pohl and Wade H. Allison, the sum of \$10,150.00 and costs of this suit for the collection of which sum and costs execution is hereby ordered, which judgment the plaintiff excepts and exceptions are allowed.

DATED THIS 17th day of April, 1931.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
JUDGE.

1. AS TO FORM  
POE LUNDY & MORGAN  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs.

2. AS TO FORM.  
Attorney for Defendant.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 29 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

N. J. BOWATER, ET AL, Plaintiffs, )  
-vs- ) No. 1008 Law. ✓  
L. F. MORLEY, Defendant. )

ORDER OVERRULING MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL

The motion for a new trial in the above entitled cause having been considered, the same is hereby overruled and denied.

Dated April 29, 1931.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed In Open Court  
Apr 29 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FEDERAL STATES LIGHT & POWER Corporation, a Corporation,  
vs.  
FEDERAL STATES ZINC COMPANY, Corporation,  
Plaintiff,  
Defendant.  
No. 1035 LAW ✓

JOURNAL ENTRY

This cause came on in its regular order for trial pursuant to the order of the court made on April 17, 1931, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, before Honorable Thomas Blake Kennedy, District Judge, plaintiff and defendant both appearing and announcing their readiness for trial, and thereupon a jury was duly impaneled and sworn, and plaintiff introduced its evidence and rested, and thereupon the defendant demurred to plaintiff's evidence, which demurrer was by the court overruled, to which the defendant duly excepted, and defendant introduced its evidence and rested, plaintiff introduced its rebuttal evidence and thereupon both parties rested their respective causes and announced that they had introduced all their testimony in said cause, whereupon defendant moved the court to instruct the jury to return a verdict in its favor, which motion was by the court overruled, to which ruling the defendant duly excepted, and thereupon the plaintiff moved the court to instruct the jury to return a verdict in its favor for such amount as the evidence justified, which motion was by the court overruled, to which ruling of the court the plaintiff duly excepted.

The plaintiff and defendant, respectively, argued said cause to the jury and at the conclusion of their arguments the court instructed the jury on the law in said case, and the jury thereupon retired and after due deliberation, did on the 18th day of April, 1931, return into court their verdict, which verdict, omitting formal portions, was in words and figures, as follows, to-wit: the jury in the above entitled case duly impaneled and sworn, do upon our oath find for the defendant.

Signed: J. D. Thomason, Foreman."

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court on this 29th day of April, 1931, that the plaintiff have and recover nothing from the defendant, and that the plaintiff be taxed with the costs of this action, all of which the plaintiff excepts.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
District Judge.

AS TO OIRM - E. J. LUNDY  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
SHELL BASSETT, Attorney for Defendant.

RECORDED: Filed In Open Court  
Apr 29 1931  
E. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Herman Kramer, Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
General Paint Corporation, ) No. 1078 Law. ✓  
Corporation, and )  
Hill-Hubbell & Co., a )  
Corporation, Defendants. )

JOURNAL ENTRY.

This cause came on regularly for trial in said court on April 22, 1931, and being called for trial both parties announced ready, and thereupon jury was duly impaneled and sworn to try the issues, and the plaintiff began introduction of his evidence, which was continued at the adjourned session of the Court on April 23d pursuant to recess and was completed on said day upon which the defendants demurred to the evidence of the plaintiff as being to establish a cause of action, which said demurrer was by the Court, upon consideration thereof, overruled, and to which action of the Court the defendants were allowed their exceptions.

The defendants thereupon introduced their evidence, and the evidence on both sides being closed, the defendants moved the Court to direct the jury to return a verdict in favor of the defendants, and each of them, and against the plaintiff, which said motion was by the Court, upon consideration thereof, overruled and the defendants allowed their exceptions.

The cause was thereupon argued to the jury, and the jury was duly directed by the Court, after which, and before the submission of the cause to the jury, the plaintiff, by leave of Court, dismissed the second cause of action contained in his petition, without prejudice, and the jury being so instructed the cause was submitted to them and they thereupon retired to consider the verdict.

On April 24, 1931, the Court having reconvened, pursuant to recess, the jury returned into Court with their verdict, which said verdict is in words and figures as follows; to-wit:

"We, the jury in the above entitled cause,  
duly impaneled and sworn upon our oaths,  
find for the plaintiff upon the \_\_\_\_\_  
cause of action, and assess his recovery  
at \$56,625.00.

LOUIS B. FLAR, Foreman."

Said verdict, being examined by the Court and read by the clerk, was ordered filed.

Now on this 29th day of April, 1931, the Court being sufficiently advised, and in accordance with said verdict:

IT IS CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court, that the plaintiff, Herman Kramer, have judgment against, and recover of, the defendants, General Paint Corporation, a corporation, and Hill-Hubbell & Co., a corporation each of them, the sum of Fifty-Six Thousand, Six Hundred Twenty-Five



Done in open court at Tulsa, Oklahoma the day and year above  
tten.

T. BLAICE KENNEDY  
DISTRICT JUDGE.

D. D. H. COTTEN  
DICK RICE

ORSED: Filed Apr 29 1931  
H. M. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----  
BYE CREACH, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1113 - Law. ✓  
A. FRATES, ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 29th day of April, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the  
rt, by stipulation of counsel, that the above styled cause be, and the same  
hereby, stricken from the trial assignment of this date. (T.B. Kennedy, Judge).

-----  
ON L. STAPP, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1119 - Law. ✓  
FED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 29th day of April, A. D. 1931, the above styled  
se is called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. A jury is  
y empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Plaintiff waives challenges.  
endant challenges J. S. Briscoe. The jury sworn to try said cause and a true  
dict render is as follows: C. A. McDonald, J. W. Breeden, E. B. Turner,  
T. Crouch, Geo. Epperson, Wright Dupree, H. C. Payne, C. S. Avery, J. D.  
nason, C. E. Jeffries, Ed Velton, Jay Collis. Opening statements of counsel  
made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following wit-  
ses: Aaron L. Stapp, J. C. Wall, Chester Imes, A. T. Dalton, Ed Anderson,  
thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished and court  
recessed until 1:45 P. M.

And thereafter, on this same day, at 1:45 P. M., court is again  
session. All parties present as heretofore, and the jury, each and every  
ber, present and in the box. The Plaintiff continues with the further in-  
duction of evidence and proof with the following witnesses: J. R. Reeves,  
D. Vance. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. The Defendant demurs to the  
evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer, is by the Court, over-  
rd and exceptions allowed. Thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence  
proof with the following witnesses: C. M. Sanders, H. F. Harter, C. R.  
ney, G. E. Northcutt. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. Plaintiff  
re rebuttal testimony of Aaron L. Stapp. And thereafter, both sides rest.  
sing arguments of counsel are made and the Court instructs the jury as to  
law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retire in charge of the sworn bailiff  
deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day the





Before Honorable Robert E. Lewis and Honorable Orle L. Phillips, Circuit Judges.

Ramsey, Appellant, ) Appeal from the District  
vs. ) Court of the United States  
 ) for the Northern District  
ed States of America, Appellee, ) of Oklahoma.

This cause came on to be heard on the motion of appellant to reverse the judgment and sentence of the District Court and remand the cause to the court for a new trial, and on the motion of appellee to dismiss the appeal and cause, and was argued by counsel, Fred Tillman, Esquire, appearing for appellant, John M. Goldsberry, Esquire, appearing for appellee, and said motions were submitted to the court.

On consideration of the motion of appellant to reverse and remand, it is now here ordered by the court that said motion be and the same is hereby denied.

On consideration of the motion of the appellee to dismiss the appeal, it is now here ordered by the court that said motion be and the same is hereby granted, and that the appeal in this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed out of this court.

It is further ordered by the court that the clerk of this court do hereby transmit to the clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma a certified copy of this order.

A true copy as of record,

TESTE: ALBERT TREGO  
Clerk.

RECORDED: Filed Apr 30 1931  
M. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5080 - Criminal. ✓  
BATTENFIELD, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Toney Battenfield, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days, and pay a fine unto the United

. 5080 Cr. Contd.

States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One (\$1.00) Dollar, and in default thereof, stand committed, in the Tulsa County Jail, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 3. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days, Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5088 - Criminal. ✓  
MELVIN C. NEWTON, alias M. C. )  
NEWTON, Defendant. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Melvin C. Newton, alias M. C. Newton, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of Three (3) years.

Count 2. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of Three (3) years. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent to sentence in Count One (1).

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5090 - Criminal. ✓  
RAY VIRGIL LEMMONS, Defendant. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Ray Virgil Lemmons, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5108 - Criminal. ✓  
JOHN C. MOODY, Defendant. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, John C. Moody, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of Two (2) years.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5116 - Criminal. ✓  
DELBERT WALLS and CARL DINWIDDIE, Defendants. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Delbert Walls and Carl Dinwiddie, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

CARL DINWIDDIE:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of Five (5) years.

DELBERT WALLS:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the United States Industrial Reformatory, Chillicothe, Ohio, and confined for the term of Two (2) years.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 5118 - Criminal. ✓  
FRANK LOUIS POWELL, alias DOLPH BRENNER, Defendant. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Frank Louis Powell, alias Adolph Brenner, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned, pleads true name is Frank Louis Powell, and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

5118 Cr. Contd.

Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Six (6) months.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

GRADY BRANNON,

Defendant. )

No. 5124 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Grady Brannon, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Four (4) months.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

LOUIS RHAN, HAROLD RHAN, and  
DORIS OLINGER,

Defendants. )

No. 5126 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Louis Rhan and Jerome Alinger, appearing in person. The defendants are re-arraigned at this time withdraw their former plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3 now enter their plea of guilty to counts 1, 2 and 3, as heretofore charged. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

HAROLD RHAN

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One (\$1.00) Dollar, and in default thereof, stand committed in the Tulsa County Jail, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 3. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).





No. 5149 Cr. Contd.

- Count 1. Dismissed, upon motion of U. S. Attorney.  
Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail,  
Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of  
Thirty (30) days.  
Count 3. Dismissed, upon motion of U. S. Attorney.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5150 - Criminal. ✓  
EDDIE MARSHALL, alias EDDIE )  
DURHAM, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Eddie Marshall, alias Eddie Durham, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned, pleads his name to be Eddie Marshall, and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3, and not guilty to Count 4, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

- Count 1. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of One (1) year and One (1) day, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.  
Count 2. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One (\$1.00) Dollar, and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.  
Count 3. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One (\$1.00) Dollar, and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.  
Count 4. Dismissed.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5152 - Criminal. ✓  
IKE STOCKTON, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Ike

5152 Cr. Contd.

... appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent to sentence in Count One (1).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

) No. 5159 - Criminal. ✓

CLAUD HARRING,

Defendant. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Claud Harring, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

) No. 5160 - Criminal. ✓

GEORGE BROWN,

Defendant. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, George Brown, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

5160 Cr. Contd.

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Ninety (90) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Ninety (90) days. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5165 - Criminal. ✓  
BLAINE WENSLEY, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Blaine Wensley, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Ninety (90) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Ninety (90) days. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5165 - Criminal. ✓  
ROBERT C. DOYLE, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Robert C. Doyle, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

5165 Cr. Contd.

- Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.
- Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5168 - Criminal. ✓  
H. BEARS, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, H. M. Bears, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to counts 1, 2, 3 and 4 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Upon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

- Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Six (6) months, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.
- Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Six (6) months. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).
- Count 3. Dismissed.
- Count 4. Dismissed.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5169 - Criminal. ✓  
A. DILLION, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Jack A. Dillion, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein.

PLAC Cr. Contd.

reason, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Six (6) months, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Six (6) months. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

-----  
THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5172 - Criminal. ✓  
CHARLEY WARREN, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1951, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Charley Warren, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Four (4) months, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Four (4) months. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

-----  
THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5173 - Criminal. ✓  
TOM WISENBERG, W. B. SMITH, Defendants.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1951, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Tom Wisenberg, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of

5187 Cr. Contd.

lty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. reason, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as lows:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of One year (1) and One (1) day, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine ispaid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of Six (6) months. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

-----  
THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5181 - Criminal. ✓  
THOMAS FLOEMAN, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Thomas Floeman, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. reason, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as lows:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

-----  
THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5185 - Criminal. ✓  
FRANK HEINZ, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Frank Heinz,

. 5195 Cr. Contd.

hearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

-----  
THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5195 - Criminal. ✓  
JIM GOLETTE and ROY ADKINS, Defendants. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Jim Golette and Roy Adkins appearing in person. The defendants are arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Roy Adkins enters his plea of guilty; Jim Golette enters his plea of not guilty, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

ROY ADKINS:

Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Ninety (90) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

JIM GOLETTE:

Dismissed.

-----  
THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5195 - Criminal. ✓  
J. COOK, Defendant. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, J. F. Cook, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of

5193 Cr. Contd.

Ninety (90) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Ninety (90) days and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5194 - Criminal. ✓  
JACK McKIVER, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Jack McKiver, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5200 - Criminal. ✓  
SAM DAVIS, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Sam Davis, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars,

5200 Cr. Contd.

and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

-----  
THE STATES OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5201 - Criminal. ✓  
FRANCIS GRADER, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Francis Grader, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters a plea of guilty to counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count 2. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of Three (3) years.

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of Two (2) years, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count Two (2).

-----  
THE STATES OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5215 - Criminal. ✓  
WILLIAM STATION and GEORGE STATION, Defendants.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, William and George Station, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

WILLIAM STATION:

Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of

521 Cr. Contd.

Four (4) months, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

GEORGE STATION:

Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of Eighteen (18) months, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

THE STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

No. 5221 - Criminal. ✓

ANDREW LEW,

Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Wallace Lind- appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,

Plaintiff )

vs.

No. 5224 - Cr. ✓

Ed A. Bias et al.,

Defendants.)

ORDER RELEASING AUTOMOBILE

Now on this the 30th day of April A. D. 1931, the same being a day of the Special March A. D. 1931 Term of said Court, there coming on before the Court on behalf of the United States Attorney, showing to the Court that certain four passenger Studebaker Coupe automobile, 1928 model, serial No. 111-24040, was seized, and that the same is mortgaged to the Green-

Greenlease Motor Car Company, and there is due on said automobile approximately two hundred and eighty dollars (\$280) and that said automobile does not have a value in excess of said sum,

That there is no equity in said automobile for the Government, that the mortgage of the Greenlease Motor Car Company is a valid and subsisting mortgage lien, and that said Motor Company was without knowledge as to the use that the said Manuel A. Diaz intended to make of said automobile, or as to the use that said defendant was making of said automobile,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said automobile be, and the same is hereby released to said Greenlease Motor Car Company for the purpose of re-possession and foreclosure of its mortgage.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said Greenlease Motor Car Company, immediately upon receipt or possession of said automobile, proceed to foreclose its mortgage, and should there be any equity in said automobile, that said Greenlease Motor Car Company pay to the Clerk of this court said sum.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that W. H. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to release said automobile to the Greenlease Motor Car Company, upon its presentation to him of certified copy of said order, and the payment of the sum due by reason of the seizure of said automobile.

W. E. MITCHELL  
JUDGE

HARRY SHATON  
Assistant U. S. Attorney

RECORDED: Filed Apr 30 1931  
W. F. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JAR

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

No. 1930 - Criminal. ✓

MAURICE ABBERCROMBIE and W. F. WORLEY,  
Defendants, )

Defendant. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Maurice Abbercrombie and W. F. Worley, appearing in person. The defendants each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: Maurice Abbercrombie enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4; W. F. Worley enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4, as charged in the indictment heretofore read herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as to defendant, Maurice Abbercrombie, as follows:

Count 1. He is imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of sixty (60) days, and pay a fine into the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) dollars,

5226 Cr. Contd.

and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

Count 3. Dismissed.

Count 4. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One (\$1.00) Dollar, and in default thereof, stand committed in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

-----  
THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5224 - Criminal. ✓  
WILL COLEMAN, Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, William Coleman, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Upon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Ninety (90) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Ninety (90) days. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

-----  
THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5225 - Criminal. ✓  
MRS. MARY M. HOWARD, )  
MRS. BESSIE M. HOWARD, and MRS. GRACE )  
HOWARD, ) Defendants.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants,

Case Cr. 1044.

Grant Roberts and Mrs. Grant Roberts, appearing in person. The defendants are arraigned. Defendant Grant Roberts pleads true name to be Louis B. Roberts. Defendant Mrs. Grant Roberts pleads true name to be Mrs. Louis B. Roberts, and thereafter each defendant enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

GRANT ROBERTS, pleads  
true name LOUIS B. ROBERTS:

Counts 1, 2, 3,  
4, 5 and 6. Sentence deferred six months from date.

Count 7. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail,  
Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of  
Five (5) months.

MRS. GRANT ROBERTS, pleads  
true name MRS. LOUIS B.  
ROBERTS:

Counts 1, 2,  
3, 4, 5, 6  
and 7. Sentence deferred one year from date,  
during good behavior.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

) No. 5241 - Criminal. ✓

E. C. BLANK,

Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, E. C. Blank, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count 1. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of One (1) year and One (1) day, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of Six (6) months. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

Count 3. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for

5242 Cr. Contd.

the term of One (1) year and One (1) day, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff, )
	)
-vs-	) No. 5242 - Criminal. ✓
	)
PERKINS, JOHN PERKINS and	)
E. KELLEY,	Defendants.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, E. Kelley, John Perkins and A. E. Kelley, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

E. KELLEY:

- Count 1. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of Two (2) years, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.
- Count 2. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of Six (6) months. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

A. E. KELLEY:

- Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.
- Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

Count 1.  
Count 2.

- Count 1. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.
- Count 2. Be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Sixty (60) days. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Plaintiff )	
vs.	)	No. 5242 Cr. ✓
John J. ...	Defendants )	

ORDER RELEASING AUTOMOBILE

Now on this the 30th day of April A. D. 1931, the same being a day of the Special March A. D. 1931 Term of said Court, there coming on and being the motion of the defendants, showing to the Court that one certain Ford motor automobile, Motor No. A-58085, was seized by the Prohibition Department at the time of the arrest of said defendants, and the same was held in the Oklahoma Garage by the Prohibition Department,

That said automobile is of no value and will not bring an amount sufficient to justify the expense incident to the sale thereof, and there being no objection on the part of the United States Attorney,

And the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds that the writ should be sustained,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ENJOINED AND DECREED, that said automobile, and the same is hereby released to the defendants, upon their payment to the Court due incident to the seizure of said automobile,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that W. E. Siddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, upon receipt of certified copy of this order, and the expenses incident to the seizure of said automobile, shall release said automobile to the defendants.

W. E. Siddens,	Deputy Prohibition Administrator
John J. ...	Attorney
W. E. ...	JUDGE
W. E. ...	Clerk
W. E. ...	United States Court

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, Plaintiff,  
-vs- )  
G. J. ) No. 5243 - Criminal. ✓  
Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, G. J., appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 and guilty to Counts 3 and 4 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

- Count 4. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of One (1) year and One (1) day.
- Count 3. Be imprisoned in the United States Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, and be confined for the term of Six (6) months, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.
- Counts 1 and 2. Dismissed, upon motion of U. S. Attorney.

-----

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY, Plaintiff, {  
a corporation, }  
vs. }  
No. 995 -- At Law ✓  
CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY, a }  
corporation, and }  
CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY, a }  
corporation, Defendants. }

PETITION FOR APPEAL AND ALLOWANCE THEREOF

JOHN W. MERRIAM, Plaintiff,  
District Judge:

Does now the above named defendants, CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY, a corporation, and CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, by their attorneys, and complain that in the record and proceedings had in the aforesaid cause in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and on the 15th day of April, 1931, said court entered judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against said defendants, and each of them, and the judgment and proceedings had prior thereto in this cause were erroneous and happened and was committed by the court to the great damage and prejudice of these defendants, and each of them, all of which will more

to appear from the assignments of error which are filed with this petition.

WHEREFORE, these defendants, and each of them, pray for the  
allowance of an appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the  
Tenth Circuit for the correction of errors so complained of and for an order  
fixing the amount of a supersedeas bond in this cause, and for such other orders  
and process as may cause the correction of the errors so complained of, and that  
a transcript of the record, proceedings and papers in this cause, duly authen-  
ticated, may be sent to said Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

DATED this the 30th day of April, 1931

WILLIAM E. WICK  
ROBERT R. BRUNT  
Attorneys for Defendants

Allowed this 30 day of April, 1931, and bond fixed at  
\$6,000.00.

F. E. REYNOLDS  
Judge of the District Court  
of the United States for the  
Northern District of Oklahoma.

FILED: Filed Apr 30 1931  
W. A. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court NE

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Essex Oil Company, Plaintiff, )  
corporation, )  
vs. ) NO. 195 t law. ✓  
Continental Oil Company, a )  
incorporation, and )  
Continental Oil Company, a )  
corporation, Defendants.)

PETITION FOR APPEAL AND ALLOCANDA THEREOF.

THE HONORABLE F. E. REYNOLDS, JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

The above named plaintiff, feeling itself aggrieved by the judg-  
ment entered in this cause on the 15th day of April, 1931, in so far  
as said judgment denies to said plaintiff recovery of the additional amount  
of \$2,000, with interest thereon at 6% per annum from October 1, 1929, does  
hereby appeal from said portion of said judgment to the Circuit Court of Appeals  
for the Tenth Circuit, for the reasons specified in the assignment of errors,  
as is filed herewith, and it prays that its appeal be allowed and that  
relief be granted as provided by law, and that a transcript of the record, pro-  
ceedings, and papers upon which said judgment was based, duly authenticated,



THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1056 Law ✓  
Defendant.)  
Oil Company,  
Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 22nd day of April, 1931, the above entitled cause  
is on for hearing, the parties appearing in person and by their respective  
attorneys, and plaintiff having submitted evidence in behalf of her contentions,  
and close of all the evidence offered by the plaintiff, the defendant inter-  
posed a demurrer to the evidence as so offered and introduced.

And the court being fully advised in the premises, the said  
demurrer is sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that the plain-  
tiff take nothing herein and that the defendant recover its costs herein ex-  
cepted, to all of which the plaintiff excepts.

E. FRANK WHEATBY  
Judge.

Filed Apr 30 1931  
Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1112 - Law. ✓  
Defendant.)  
AND BRINK, INC., Defendant.)

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, the above styled  
cause called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. A jury  
called and sworn as to qualifications. Plaintiff waives challenges.  
Defendant challenges Chad Parrish, J. W. Bowman, J. W. Hollingsworth. The jury  
is sworn and a true verdict rendered is as follows: Louis Klar,  
J. W. Decece, A. G. Brink, C. W. Griffith, W. M. Solmon, W. S. Flann-  
ery, J. W. Barton, Dr. Darrow, J. W. Roy, J. V. Shannon, C. L. Freeland.  
and statements of Plaintiff are made. And thereafter, defendant moves  
for a judgment on statement of Plaintiff, which motion, is by the Court, overruled.  
and statement of Defendant is heard. And thereafter, the Plaintiff in-  
troduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Lee M. Beall, A. L.  
and thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, the jury is admonished,  
and recessed to 1:30 P. M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 P. M. on this same day, court is again  
opened. All parties present as heretofore and the jury, each and every  
day, returned to the box. The Plaintiff continues with its introduction  
of evidence and proof with A. L. Conn. and thereafter, the Plaintiff rests.

Defendant demurs to evidence introduced by the Plaintiff, which demurrer, the court, overruled and exception allowed. Thereafter, the Defendant introduced its evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Guy Thompson, ... Laugherty. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. The Plaintiff offers rebuttal testimony of A. E. Conn, Ed Young, Harry Hibbon. And after, both sides rest. Closing arguments of counsel are made, and the court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. And thereafter, the jury was in charge of the sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. Thereafter, on this same day, the jury return into open court, and through their counsel announce they are unable to agree. Thereupon, the jury is ordered to go to their evening meal and thereafter resume their deliberations. And further instructions to return a sealed verdict if they agree upon a fact, otherwise, to resume their deliberations at 9:30 A. M. May 1, 1931. Upon, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the jury is admonished and their recessed until 9:30 A. M., May 1, 1931.

-----

WILLIAMS, Administratrix of the Estate )  
of J. Williams, Dec'd. Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1131 - Law. ✓  
TULSA, TULSA & SANTA FE Rwy )  
Co. Corporation, Defendant. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from the docket as of this date. It is further ordered that Plaintiff be given judgment by interlineation. (T.B.H. Judge).

-----

AMBIER, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1198 - Law. ✓  
AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed upon motion of Plaintiff, without prejudice and at the cost of Plaintiff. (Kenna J)

-----

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN )  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA )  
Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1127 Law. ✓  
Defendant. )

On this 30 day of April, 1931, this cause came on regularly

It being shown to the Court that the Hunt Brothers Packing Company, makers of the alleged decomposed fruit, viz. 22 Cases, more or less, of Canned Prunes, and the Hale-Kalsel Grocery Company, the consignee of said fruit, have each entered an appearance in this court in said cause, and have waived that the canned prunes were, at the time of seizure, probably in a decomposed condition, and that they do not care to contest said cause, and have consented that said 22 Cases, more or less, of Canned Prunes in said shipment, be ordered confiscated by the United States, and destroyed, as in the bill in libel prayed; and it being further shown that there were, in fact, 22 Cases of Canned Prunes in said shipment, and that all of said fruit, at the time of seizure, was in a decomposed condition and unfit for commercial or private use;

and the Court, after hearing the evidence in the case, and reading the pleadings therein, and being fully advised in the premises, is his opinion that all of the statements and allegations contained in plaintiff's petition are true, and further finds that there were actually 22 Cases of Canned Prunes that were in a decomposed condition and unfit for commercial or private use, and that same should be destroyed.

IT IS THEREFORE the order of the Court that the said 22 Cases of Canned Prunes, seized by the United States Marshal in this cause, be and are hereby ordered destroyed, and the United States Marshal for the territorial district of Oklahoma is directed to destroy said shipment of 22 Cases of Canned Prunes, and report his doings under this order to this Court.

T. E. LITTLE  
JUDGE.

W. J. Williams  
Assistant United States Attorney

W. J. Williams, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 1st, 1931.

On the 1st day of May, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March Term at Tulsa, set pursuant to adjournment, Hon. W. E. Kennamer and J. J. Jones, Judges, present and presiding.

W. L. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John L. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John L. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings had and entered, to-wit:

WARRANT:

ORDER OF REMOVAL

WILLIAM S. DENICA )  
District of Oklahoma )

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

Clerk of the Northern District of Oklahoma, Greeting:

WHEREAS, it has been made to appear that W. L. MILES is indicted by the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma for the offense of CONSPIRACY and whereas the said W. L. Miles (whose true name is W. L. Miles) having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there in the presence of said defendant, it sufficiently appeared that he is a fugitive person named in said indictment, and a certified copy thereof and probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged, were it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond in the United States in the sum of Five Thousand Dollars, with one or more good sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma on the 1st day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and that said defendant without the leave of said Court. And the said defendant refused and refused to give bail as required, therefore:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said W. L. Miles from the Eastern District of Oklahoma and there surrender him to the Northern District, there to be dealt with according to law.

You are to make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma with a true copy of the same and executed the same.

Witness my hand and seal this 1st day of May, 1931.

W. E. KENNAMER  
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE FOR NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. L. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

ORIGIN & RECEIPT ✓

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES  
( )  
( )

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

Clerk of the Northern District of Oklahoma, Greeting:

It has been made to appear that NERO RIVENS is indicted by the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma for the offense of perjury and whereas the said Nero Rivens having been held in custody, upon an examination thereof and there had in the presence of the Court, it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said indictment, and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to the District Court of the Eastern District of Oklahoma for the offense therein charged, and whereas it was thereupon ordered that said defendant enter into bond to the United States, in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma on the first day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said Court. And the said defendant having failed and refused to appear as required, therefore:

You are hereby commanded reasonably to remove the said Nero Rivens to the Eastern District of Oklahoma and there surrender him to the custody of said District Court, there to be dealt with according to law.

And the due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma with a true and correct copy hereof have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 1st day of May, 1931.

W. J. BENTON  
U. S. District Judge for Northern  
District of Oklahoma

Filed May 1 1931  
Clerk  
District Court

ORIGIN & RECEIPT ✓

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES  
( )  
( )

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

Clerk of the Northern District of Oklahoma, Greeting:

It has been made to appear that BILL COLWELL is indicted by the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma for the offense of perjury and whereas the said BILL COLWELL having been held in custody, upon an examination thereof and there had in the presence

It is sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person  
of the indictment, and a certified copy thereof furnished probable  
cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged, and whereas it  
is ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United  
States in the sum of Five Thousand Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties,  
obligated for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the  
Eastern District of Oklahoma on the first day of the next  
term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence with-  
out the leave of said Court. And the said defendant having failed and refused  
to give bail as required, therefore:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said Bill Col-  
lins to the said Eastern District of Oklahoma and there surrender him to  
the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District  
Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma with a true  
account of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 1st day of May, 1931.

F. E. WENDELL  
U. S. District Judge for Northern  
District of Oklahoma

Filed May 1 1931  
J. W. Torfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court W

ASSIGNING CIVIL CASES FOR HEARING AT TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

In this 1st day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court  
that the following named and numbered cases be assigned for trial at Tulsa,  
Oklahoma, on the days and dates hereinafter specified:

LAW ASSIGNMENT  
(JURY WAIVED)

Monday, May 18, 1931

117	Madeline Mann	vs	United States
941	Carl F. Brownlee	vs	United States
1040	John Roy Flournoy, et	vs	United States
1001	Eric L. Ferrington	vs	United States

Tuesday, May 19, 1931

1001	Thomas Rhinehard	vs	United States
1081	W. L. Sigwell	vs	United States
1065	Walter Hamilton	vs	United States
1064	George I. Atkinson	vs	United States

Wednesday, May 20, 1931

1001	Eric L. Ferrington	vs	United States
------	--------------------	----	---------------

Partic.

Wednesday, May 20, 1931 (Contd)

1087 Margaret E. Baker vs United States  
1088 Jasper Losers vs United States

Thursday, May 21, 1931

1127 Elizabeth Rentfrow, etal vs United States  
1128 Calvin Miller Moore vs United States  
1131 George N. Seaton vs United States  
1132 Clyde J. Banks vs United States

Friday, May 22, 1931

1207 Roy Bradford Moore vs United States  
1208 Lemuel Charley, etc. vs Sinclair Crude Oil Purchasing Co.  
1222 The Pawnee Investment Co. vs School Dist. #67, Osage County, Oklahoma, etal.

Filed May 1 1931  
W. W. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs-  
THE TONKA TORNE COMPANY, Defendant.

No. 739 - Law.

Now on this 1st day of May, A. D. 1931, it is by the Court ordered that this writ be and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled case, in the following words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

WILLIAM H. HARRIS, Clerk of the United States of America

BY THE CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

That on the 29th day of March, 1931, in the District Court of the United States for the District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between The Torne Company, Plaintiff, and The Hitchison, Torne Company, et al., Defendants, No. 739 Law, the judgment of the District Court in said cause entered on March 29, 1931, was in the following tenor:

It is, therefore, considered, ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff take nothing against the defendant, Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific Railroad Company, and that said defendant have and recover from the plaintiff its costs herein laid out and expended.

Thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises that the allegations of plaintiff's petition are true and correct and that the plaintiff is entitled to judgment as thereunto prayed against the defendants, except Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific Railroad Company, for the amounts sued for, together with interest at the rate of six per cent per annum, to which defendants except.

It is, therefore, considered, ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff, Consolidated Cut Stone Company, have and recover judgment against the various defendants, as follows, to-wit:

Chicago, Indianapolis and Louisville Railway Company and The Pennsylvania Railroad Company, and Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company, in the sum of \$13,152.81 with interest from February 1st, 1925, amounting to \$4,073.05, or a total of \$17,225.86, to which defendants except.

Chicago, Indianapolis and Louisville Railway Company and Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company, in the sum of \$112.50, with interest from April 1st, 1924, in the amount of \$91.40, or a total of \$203.90, to which defendants except.

Chicago, Indianapolis and Louisville Railway Company, Illinois Central Railroad Company and Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company in the sum of \$1495.91, with interest from April 1st, 1926, in the amount of \$351.02, or a total of \$1846.93, to which defendants except.

Chicago, Indianapolis and Louisville Railway Company and Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company in the sum of 746.94, with interest from January 1st, 1923, in the amount of \$324.66, or a total of \$1071.60, to which defendants except.

Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company, in the sum of \$11.71, with interest from December 1st, 1922, in the amount of \$14.53, or a total of \$26.24, to which defendants except.

Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company, in the sum of \$1.17, with interest from March 1st, 1923, in the amount of \$1.15, or a total of \$2.32, to which defendants except.

Chicago, Indianapolis and Louisville Railway Company and Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company, in the sum of \$604.05, with interest from April 1st, 1925, in the amount of \$82.22, or a total of \$686.27, to which defendants except.

The Pennsylvania Railroad Company and Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company, in the sum of \$356.41, with interest from November 1st, 1924, in the amount of \$115.71, or a total of \$472.12, to which defendants except.



and for stay of execution and time to file appeal and su-  
persedeas bond or bonds; whereon, and for good cause shown,  
it is ordered by the court that defendants have sixty days  
from this date to prepare and serve bills of exceptions and  
exceptions on the judgments is stayed for a period of thirty  
days from this date and on defendants filing within said time  
appeal and supersedeas bond or bonds in the amount of the  
respective judgments herein, plus ten per cent thereof,  
and the approval thereof, execution shall be further stayed  
pending final determination of the case on appeal.

the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court,  
was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit,  
pursue an appeal by The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company  
in accordance to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully  
to same appears;

At OKLAHOMA, at the January Term, in the year of our Lord one  
thousand nine hundred and thirty-one, the said cause came on to be heard before  
said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the re-  
cord of said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged  
by the court, that the judgment of the said District Court, in this cause, be,  
and he is hereby, affirmed; and that the amounts to be taxed as appellee's  
share fees in this court and in the trial court be fixed by said District

- - February 13, 1931.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had  
in case, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United  
States, shall be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

At OKLAHOMA, this SEVENTEEN DAY OF APRIL, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine  
hundred and thirty-one,

-----  
By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Court  
-----

ALBERT TRACY  
Clerk of the United States Circuit  
Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

By E. A. McIntyre,  
Deputy Clerk.

Filed May 2, 1931  
Clerk  
District Court

-----

U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

John J. Bowater, Archibald W. F. Pohl	)	
and John Allison,	)	
	) Plaintiffs,	No. 1008 Law. ✓
vs.	)	
W. C. Corley,	) Defendant.	

MEMORANDUM FILED ON BILL OF EXCEPTIONS AND FINING AMOUNT OF SUPERSEDEAS BOND THEREON.

This cause having been tried on the 15th day of April, A. D. 1931, and a verdict rendered herein and judgment entered for the defendant, W. C. Corley, and against the plaintiffs, John J. Bowater, Archibald W. F. Pohl and John Allison, to which exceptions were duly taken and allowed; and it now appearing to the court that said plaintiffs desire to prosecute an appeal herein, and that additional time will be required within which to prepare and file a bill of exceptions herein, and that said plaintiffs desire a reasonable time within which to file a sufficient bond to supersede said judgment; and the court has considered the matter and being well and sufficiently advised in the premises,

It is hereby ordered that the court do hereby, and the court do hereby, that the plaintiffs, John J. Bowater, Archibald W. F. Pohl and John Allison, do, and the court do hereby, allowed seventy-five (75) days from this date within which to prepare and file their bill of exceptions herein.

It is further ordered that execution of the judgment in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiffs be, and the same is hereby, stayed until thirty (30) days from this date, upon the condition that within said period said plaintiffs shall file a sufficient surety company bond in the sum of \$11,000.00 (Eleven Thousand (\$11,000.00) Dollars, conditioned for the payment of the judgment, interest and costs, and which, upon approval thereof, shall operate as a supersedeas bond on appeal.

Witness my hand at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this May 1st, 1931.

F. BLAIR ALLEN  
United States District Judge.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Clerk  
 U. S. District Court  
 Tulsa, Oklahoma  
 May 1st 1931

-----

DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 ) No. 1083 Law. ✓  
 )  
 )  
 Defendants. )

O R D E R

Now on this 1st day of May, 1931, the parties hereto having agreed in their stipulation consenting thereto,

IT IS ORDERED: (1) That the plaintiff be and it is hereby given leave to file herein instantler its Amendment to its Reply herein; and (2) that a trial should be and the same is hereby set for trial on May 12, 1931, at 10:00 a.m., said stipulation having waived trial by jury herein.

I. E. LEMNAGER  
U. S. District Judge

FILED  
1931 May 2 1931  
C. W. Langfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 ) No. 1077 - L ✓  
 )  
 )  
 Defendant. )

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

On this 31st day of April, 1931, being a regular judicial session of each term of this court, this cause comes on for hearing and assignment, and the plaintiff appearing in person and by her attorneys, Leonard & Westhafer, and the defendant appearing by its attorneys, J. H. Bruce and G. J. Cleary, and both sides having announced ready for trial, a jury of twelve men was duly impaneled and sworn to try the cause. Upon the plaintiff made her opening statement to the jury, and thereafter the defendant moved for judgment in favor of the defendant upon the opening counts of the petition, which were all counts in the plaintiff's petition, including attorney's fees, damages for mental anguish, pain and suffering and interest thereon, except the prayer for \$71.60.

Whereupon the Court heard the argument of counsel upon the said motion, and at the conclusion of said argument the Court indicated that the motion of defendant being well taken the plaintiff moved for leave to dismiss said cause without prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT, WHEREBY, HEREBY AND SO ORDERED, that plaintiff's motion for leave to dismiss her cause without prejudice, be, the same is, hereby sustained, and the cause is dismissed without prejudice, the cost of the plaintiff.

T. BLANCH LUTWENTY  
Judge

RECORDED: Filed May 1 1931  
E. P. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

EL EASTMAN, by his father and  
his friend, Walter Eastman, Plaintiff, )  
- vs - )  
IN A. KONOWIT and J. A. FRATES,  
Receiver of Union Transportation  
Company, a corporation, Defendants. )

No. 1091 D.

JOURNAL ENTRY

NOW ON THIS 27th day of April, 1931, this cause came on for trial its regular order and the plaintiff appeared in person and by his attorney, Mel A. Beerstin, and the defendants appeared by their attorneys, Kleinschmidt Johnson, and this cause was tried before a jury of twelve good men who were empanelled and sworn well and truly to try the issues between the plaintiff and defendants and a true verdict render according to the law and the evidence. Plaintiff introduced his evidence and rested and the defendants thereon demurred to the evidence of plaintiff, which was by the Court overruled, to which ruling of the Court the defendant excepted. And the defendants introduced their evidence and the plaintiff introduced his evidence in rebuttal and the jury having heard the evidence and argument of counsel and the charges of the Court on their oath say:

"We, the jury in the above entitled case, fully empanelled and sworn upon our oath find for the plaintiff, and assess his damages at \$1,000.00 (One Thousand Dollars).  
Signed I. Jay Briscoe, Foreman."

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND SO ORDERED by the Court that the said plaintiff have and recover from the said defendants the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars, together with the costs of this action, to which judgment defendants duly excepted.

T. BLANCH LUTWENTY  
Judge

SAUL H. ROSEN  
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

WILLIAMSON & ROBINSON  
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS.

SBD: Filed In Open Court  
May 1, 1931  
H. J. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON.

WASTMAN, by his father and friend, Walter W. Wastman, Plaintiff,

-vs-

A. BODOWITZ and J. A. STATES, vers of Union Transportation Co., a corporation, Defendants.

NO. 1091 LAW.

O R D E R

On this 1st day of May, 1931, upon consideration of the motion of defendants herein for new trial, the said motion is by the Court denied and adjourned.

Said defendants having thereupon filed in open court their notice of appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, upon application are granted sixty (60) days from this date within which to prepare and file a bill of exceptions, and cost bond is fixed at five hundred dollars (\$500.00).

It is further ordered that no supersedeas bond be required from said defendants as officers of this Court, and execution of said judgment be stayed pending said appeal.

W. ALGER BROWN  
Assigned Judge.

BB: Filed May 2 1931  
H. J. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

A. AGGAS, Receiver, Plaintiff,  
vs  
LAN OIL COMPANY, Defendant.  
corporation,  
No. 1110 Law. ✓

JOURNAL ENTRY

This cause came on for hearing on this the 28th day of April, 1931, the same being a regular day of the regular setting of said cause for trial on the merits, and the plaintiff being present in person and by his counsel, W. L. Gibson, W. L. Jones, and Joseph L. Hull, and the defendant being represented by its counsel, Thompson, Mitchell, Thompson & Young, John M. Ames and Joe L. Dickerson, and a jury of twelve good and lawful men having been duly empanelled and authorized to try the issues, the plaintiff introduced testimony and rested. Whereupon the defendant demurred to the evidence produced by the plaintiff upon the ground that the same was wholly insufficient to prove or establish a cause of action or right of recovery in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, and after argument of counsel on said matter to the evidence, the court, after due consideration thereof, sustained the demurrer.

It is the order of the court, entered, advised, and recorded by the clerk that the said demurrer of the defendant to the evidence of the plaintiff and the same is hereby sustained, and that the defendant be permitted to hence without day, and to recover of the plaintiff its reasonable costs well expended, to which action of the court, the plaintiff reserves his objection.

Whereupon, for good cause shown, the plaintiff is hereby allowed thirty days from and after this date in which to file his bill of exceptions for approval; the defendant to be served with copy of same ten days before presentation thereof, and to have five days in which to suggest corrections or amendments thereto.

DONE IN OPEN COURT this 28th day of April, 1931.

E. J. HENNINGBY  
CLERK

W. L. Gibson, Receiver, Plaintiff, Hull

Thompson, Mitchell, Thompson & Young  
John M. Ames and Joe L. Dickerson

FILED IN Open Court  
APR 30 1931  
E. J. Henningby, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

W. J. ... Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1111 - Law. ✓  
... Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of May, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause came on for continuance of trial. All parties are present as heretofore and jury present. And thereafter, the jury return into open court and through foreman present their verdict, which verdict is in words and figures as follows:

VERDICT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

W. J. ... Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) Case No. 1111 L.  
... Defendant. )

We, the jury in the above entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find for the plaintiff, and assess his damages at \$500.00 DOLLARS.

J. A. ...  
Foreman.

WILLIAM In Open Court  
May 1, 1931  
E. E. ... Clerk  
U. S. District Court

And thereafter, the jury having announced this to be their true verdict herein, it is ordered by the Court that said jury be discharged from further consideration of said case. (E.E. ... Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

U. S. ... Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1112 Law. ✓  
... Defendant. )

FOURTH DAY

Now on this 29th day of April, 1931, this cause coming on for before the Court and Jury, said cause having been regularly assigned for on April 26, 1931, and regularly passed to April 29, 1931, and plaintiff represented by his attorney and being present in person, and defendant represented by A. J. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney in and for Northern District of California, and F. W. ... National Attorney of the

erons Bureau, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and both parties having announced in  
n court, ready for trial;

THE COURT, a jury to try said cause was duly organized, sworn,  
paneled and sworn, and the parties in regular order having made their opening  
statements to the jury; and thereafter, plaintiff having introduced his  
testimony of witnesses sworn and examined in open court, and the defendant  
introduced its testimony of witnesses sworn and examined in open court,  
the attorney for plaintiff and attorneys for the defendant having made  
their arguments to the jury, and the Court having instructed the jury on the law  
of the case, and the jury thereafter having returned a verdict into court,  
said in favor of the defendant, and the Court being fully advised in the  
premises finds that said verdict is regular and should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT of the Court that the  
plaintiff in this cause take nothing as a result of this suit, and that the  
defendant have judgment herein against the plaintiff for its costs; to which  
dict of the jury and judgment of the Court plaintiff excepts, and his  
options are allowed.

T. DAVID WILSON  
JUDGE

A. H. WILLIAMS  
A. H. Williams  
Assistant United States Attorney.  
Attorney for Defendant.

E. F. SMITH  
Attorney for Plaintiff.

RECORDED: Filed In Open Court  
May 1, 1931  
W. B. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

) No. 334 - Criminal. ←

GEORGE P. McLENNAN and LAWRENCE  
SCHEIDT,

Defendants. )

Now on this 1st day of May, A.D. 1931, it is ordered by the  
Court, upon application of the defendant, George P. McLeNNan, that judgment  
and sentence heretofore imposed be set aside and sentence deferred to May  
1931, at Bartlesville. It is further ordered by the Court that commitment  
and the same be hereby withdrawn.

Court adjourned until May 8, 1931.

On this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma sitting in Special March Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. T. E. Kennamer, and T. Blake Kennedy, Judges, present and presiding.

W. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John A. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John C. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

DISMISSAL - ADJOURNMENT SPECIAL JANUARY 1931 TERM AT PAWUSKA.

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court in pursuance of Section 12 of the Judicial Code, that the Special January 1931 Term of said Court at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, be adjourned Sine Die.

-----  
TULSA MILLING CO., Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 1033 - Law.  
T. B. H. CO., Defendant.

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed without prejudice at cost of defendant. (T.E.K. Judge).

-----  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.  
AMERICAN LIGHT PLANTING, a Corporation, Plaintiff,  
vs. No. 1035 LAW  
UNITED STATES COMPANY, Corporation, Defendant.

O R D E R

Now on this 2nd day of May, 1931, a day of the present term of Court, for good cause shown, it is ordered that the plaintiff be and it is hereby allowed an extension of time of sixty (60) days from this date in which to file and have allowed its bill of exceptions in said cause.

W. F. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court  
T. Blake Kennedy  
District Judge.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

tie G. Jones, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1077 - law ✓  
thern Surety Company, a )  
corporation, of Los Angeles, )  
a, Defendant. )

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now, on this 21st day of April, 1931, being one of the regular judicial days of this, the special March, 1931, term of this court, this action is regularly on for trial pursuant to assignment, plaintiff being present in person and by her attorneys, Wilson Cornett, of Pawhuska, Oklahoma, and the defendant, having been duly and regularly served with summons to the action, and having answered therein, being present by its attorneys, Messrs. Underwood & Canterbury and Mr. Paul Pinson, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and both parties announcing ready for trial a jury is duly and regularly impaneled and sworn to try the case. Whereupon, plaintiff offers her evidence in support of her allegations of her petition, and rests. And now the defendant, upon the presentation of plaintiff's evidence, moves the court to instruct the jury to return a verdict for the defendant and against the plaintiff, which is, by the court, overruled and the defendant excepts to the said ruling of the court and her exceptions is hereby allowed. After said motion for an instructed verdict by the court overruled, the defendant offers its evidence and rests; the plaintiff offers evidence in rebuttal and rests.

And now, the hour of adjournment having arrived, it is ordered that court be and it is adjourned until April 22nd, 1931, at the hour of 9:30 A.M., the jury is duly admonished and the trial is continued until 9:30 A.M., of April 22nd, 1931.

And now, on this 22nd day of April, 1931, court having reconvened, pursuant to adjournment, this matter again comes regularly on for the continuation of the trial, whereupon, leave of court being given to that end, the defendant, at the close of all the evidence in the case, again moves the court to instruct the jury to return a verdict in the case for the defendant and against the plaintiff for the reason that the evidence in the case is not sufficient in law to warrant a verdict and judgment for the plaintiff, and the court having heard argument in support of said motion and being fully satisfied, finds that said motion should be and it is hereby overruled. To the order of the court overruling said motion, the defendant, at the time excepted its exception is by the court allowed.

And now counsel for plaintiff and defendant argue the case to the court, pro and con, after which the court delivered its charge to the jury and the jury retired to consider the case.

And now, on the same date, the jury having considered the case has arrived at its verdict returns into court with its verdict, which is for plaintiff and against the defendant and by which the amount of plaintiff's recovery from the defendant is fixed at the sum of \$5000.00, and the jurors are interrogated en banc, in open court, that said verdict is their verdict, and the jury is thereupon discharged from further consideration of the

and said verdict is by the court ordered filed and entered of record in the

WHEREFORE, premises considered, it is by the court ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff, Lottie G. Jones, have and recover of and from the defendant, Southern Surety Company, a corporation of Des Moines, Iowa, the sum of FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$5000.00) and that the defendant pay the costs of the action, taxed at \$\_\_\_\_\_, to which verdict and judgment the defendant then there excepted and in open court gave notice of its intention to appeal therefrom to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY  
JUDGE

-31

ALLEN UNDERWOOD & CAMBERBURY  
Attys for Defs.

RECORDED: Filed May 2 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

L. Beall, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1118 at Law. ✓  
Pickwick-Greyhound Lines, )  
a corporation, Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This matter came on for hearing in open court on the 30th day of May, 1931 pursuant to regular setting on the docket of this court, the plaintiff being present and represented by his counsel, Ashley C. Rambo, and the defendant being represented by its counsel, George E. Short and A. H. Hudson, both sides having announced ready for trial and a jury having been empanelled, qualified to hear and decide said cause, and both sides having introduced evidence and rested, the cause was thereupon submitted to the jury under instructions given to it by the court;

And now on this 1st day of May, 1931, the jury having considered said cause and having returned their verdict herein, the same being in the following figures as follows:

"VERDICT

"IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

"Lee E. Beall, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) Case No. 1118 Law.  
Pickwick Greyhound Lines, )  
Inc., Defendant.)

The, the jury in the above entitled case, duly impaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find for the plaintiff, and assess his damages at \$500.00 Dollars.

J. A. BARRO, Foreman."

And the Court having duly considered said verdict and having fully advised in the premises, finds and is of the opinion that said verdict should be allowed and approved and that judgment should be rendered thereon in accordance therewith;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that plaintiff, J. Deall, have and recover of and from Chicago-Greyhound Lines, Inc., a corporation, defendant herein, the sum of five hundred Dollars (\$500.00), together with the costs of this action; and upon this judgment let execution lie.

C. ELLIOTT BERRYLY  
Judge.

as to form:

W. T. BORT  
COUNSELLOR  
Attorneys for Defendant.

W. T. BORT  
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

FILED: Filed May 2, 1931  
J. B. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

CHICAGO-GREYHOUND CO.,

Plaintiff,

-v-

No. 1131 - Law. ✓

CHICAGO-GREYHOUND CO., INC.,

Defendant.

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court, that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed with prejudice at cost of plaintiff. (T.H.M. Jones.)

On this 4th day of May, A.D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March Term session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. S. B. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

W. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John L. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John A. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

PROCLAMATION:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER TO MARSHAL TO CONVEY AND ADJOURN COURT  
SINE DIE.

Now, on this 1st day of May 1931, it appearing that the Judge of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma is unable to be in attendance at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, on the first Monday of May 1931, the same being the regular statutory day for the opening of the New Term of said Court at Pawhuska, Oklahoma.

IT IS ORDERED that the United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, he, and he is hereby directed to open the District Court of the United States at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, on Monday, May 4, 1931, at 9:00 A. M., by proclamation in the manner and form provided by law, and that the Marshal adjourn said Court Sine Die.

Said Marshal shall make due return hereof now he has executed order.

H. B. HENNINGER  
Judge.

NOTE: Filed May 4 1931  
W. F. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court W.F.

-----  
Court adjourned until May 5, 1931.

On this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Term No. 1931, Session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. T. H. Kemmerer, ce, present and presiding:

W. W. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John L. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John L. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

COPIES - ORDER OF REMOVAL.

ORDER OF REMOVAL

THE STATES OF AMERICA  
(THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA )

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, Greeting:

WHEREAS, it has been made to appear that JOE TRUMAN is charged in a preliminary complaint in the District Court of the United States for the Western District of Arkansas, Harrison Division for the offense of violation of the Flyer Act and whereas the said Joe Truman having been brought before me, on an examination then and there had in the presence of said defendant, it efficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said preliminary complaint and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged, and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of Twenty-five hundred Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Western District of Arkansas, Harrison Division on the next day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said Court. And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required, therefore:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to receive the said Joe Truman hence to the said Western District of Arkansas, Harrison Division and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

And take due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Western District of Arkansas with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 5th day of May, 1931.

FORWARDED: filed May 5 1931  
W. W. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

T. H. Kemmerer  
U. S. District Judge  
Northern District of Oklahoma

-----

MINUTE - ADMISSION TO THE BAR:

On this 3th day of May, A. D. 1931, it being made satisfactorily  
appear that G. Ed Warren and Guy P. Wilson, are qualified for admission to  
Bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and  
attorneys are declared admitted to the Bar of the Court.

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Tefertiller, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 451 Law. ✓  
ed States, Defendant.)

ORDER PERMITTING PLAINTIFF TO WITHDRAW EXHIBITS.

This cause coming on for hearing on a plication of Cora Tefer-  
er, plaintiff, for leave to withdraw from the files of the court clerk  
court reported exhibits tendered by her in evidence at the trial of this  
e, and the court, being well and truly advised in the premises, finds  
said application should be sustained and allowed.

IT IS, THEREFORE, the order of the court that plaintiff be and  
is hereby authorized and permitted to see and be allowed to withdraw from  
files of the court clerk and court reported such exhibits as she tendered  
in course of the trial hereof.

F. E. IENKELER  
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 5 1931  
F. I. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

WATERBURY CO., & FRENCH STATE Plaintiff, )  
CO., A CORPORATION, )  
-vs- ) No. 511 - Law. ✓  
TOMMY B. C. WYLLER, RECEIVING Defendant.)  
CO.,

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the  
court, that order heretofore made in regard to costs to stand. (F.E.I. Judge).

-----

THE MARYLAND CO., Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 757 - Law. ✓  
THE MARYLAND CO., Defendant.

Now on this 5th day of May, A.D. 1931, there comes on for hearing demurrer of Defendant. After being advised fully in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said demurrer be, and the same is hereby, overruled, exceptions allowed. It is further ordered by the Court that Defendant be given fifteen (15) days to answer. (F.M.M. Judge).

THE MARYLAND CO., Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 1003 - Law. ✓  
THE MARYLAND CO., Defendant.

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be given five (5) days to file brief and request for ruling. (F.M.M. Judge).

J. HENRY, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 1011 - Law. ✓  
J. HENRY, Defendant.

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Defendant be, and the same is hereby, sustained. Plaintiff given twenty (20) days to comply.

THE MARYLAND CO. of  
DISTRICT, CANTON, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 1041 - Law. ✓  
THE MARYLAND CO. of  
DISTRICT, CANTON, Defendant.

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, there comes on for hearing motion of Defendant in arrest of judgment. After being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same hereby overruled.

W. W. WRIGHT, Plaintiff,  
-vs- Ho. 1048 - Law. ✓  
MEMBER OF THE BAR, Defendant.

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Defendant for a new trial be, and the same is hereby, granted. (U.S.D. Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

O. W. WHEELER, Plaintiff,  
-vs- HO. 1057 - LAW. ✓  
TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, Defendants,  
operatic, et al,

O R D E R

On this 5th day of May, 1931, upon consideration of the special appearance and motion of the defendants to quash the summons herein, it is ordered that the same be and is hereby overruled, and the defendants are allowed days from this date to plead to the petition or fifteen days to answer.

Upon consideration of the motion of the defendants to require plaintiff to furnish security for costs, it is ordered that the said motion be, the same is hereby sustained, and the said plaintiff is ordered to deposit \$100 as security for costs within twenty days from this date.

W. W. WRIGHT  
United States District Judge

WLP: Filed May 7 1931  
W. W. WRIGHT, Clerk  
U. S. District Court D.C.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

W. W. WRIGHT, Plaintiff,  
-vs- HO. 1066 - Law. ✓  
TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, Defendants,  
operatic, et al,

O R D E R

On this 5th day of May, 1931, there coming on for hearing in its order the motion of the defendants to require the plaintiff to make

amended petition herein more definite and certain, and the court upon con-  
sideration thereof finds that the said motion should be granted and is here-  
by sustained. The plaintiff is allowed ten days from this date to amend his  
petition, and the defendants are allowed ten days thereafter or until the  
twenty day to answer.

F. E. HENNING  
United States District Judge

RECORDED: Filed May 7 1931  
W. F. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

WILLIAMS, ADMINISTRATRIX  
ESTATE OF RICHARD WILLIAMS,  
Plaintiff,  
-vs-  
MEXICO, TOMMY & LINDA WELLS,  
a corporation, Defendant.

No. 1131 - Law. ✓

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the  
court, that leave be granted Defendant to file amended answer.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States, Plaintiff,  
vs.  
Cecilia McQueen, F. D. Booe,  
R. E. Johnson, et al, Defendants.

No. 1132 Law ✓

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO QUASH

Now on this 5th day of May, 1931, this cause coming on regularly  
to be heard in open court on the Motion to Quash served by special appearance  
on behalf of the defendant, F. A. Fulp, and plaintiff appearing by A. E.  
Williams, Assistant United States Attorney in and for the Northern District of  
Oklahoma, and said defendant, F. A. Fulp, in his own behalf, and Cecil B. Booe,  
administrator of the estate of F. D. Booe, deceased, appearing not either in  
person or by attorney, and the Court having examined said motion and the law in  
the case, and being fully advised in the premises, overrules said motion and  
denies defendants' exceptions. Defendants Cecil B. Booe and F. A. Fulp allowed  
ten days from this date in which to answer.

A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney

F. E. HENNING  
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed May 15 1931  
W. F. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Landis, Plaintiff, )  
vs ) No. 1135 - At Law. ✓  
E. Landis, doing business )  
Oklahoma Boiler & Sheet Metal )  
ks, Defendant. )

O R D E R.

Now on this 5th day of May, 1931, IT IS ORDERED that defendant's error to plaintiff's petition as amended be and the same is hereby overruled, which defendant excepts at the time, and defendant is given twenty days from this date within which to answer herein.

E. E. HENNINGER  
JUDGE.

FRANK HESBRIET  
Attorney for Plaintiff,

WYNS, COOPERAN, AMES & MORRET,  
Attorneys for Defendant.

NOTE: Filed May 5 1931  
W. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----  
B. B. RYHILL, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1146 - Law. ✓  
J. BLARKE, et al, Defendants. )

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, transferred to equity side of the docket. Defendant given ten (10) days to plead or twenty days to answer. It is further ordered by the Court that motion of Defendant to strike, be, and the same is hereby, overruled (F.E.M. Judge).

-----  
WOMINGTON, WORTH and WANDA WEE Plaintiff, )  
MFG CO., a corporation, ) No. 1184 - Law ✓  
-vs- )  
A. GUYTON and J. P. SMITH, Defendants. )

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the motion of defendant J. P. Smith be, and the same is hereby overruled. Defendant given ten (10) days to answer. (F.E.M. Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles McLain, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1381 sw. ✓  
A. Frates, et al, Defendants.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

On this 5 day of May 1931 comes on for hearing the application  
the plaintiff to dismiss this cause without prejudice, and the court being  
advised in the premises:

It is ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dis-  
missed without prejudice to a new action in this behalf at Plaintiff's cost.

F. B. STEPHENSON  
District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 8 1931  
H. F. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

L. CARTER, Plaintiff. )  
vs. ) NO. 1389 Law. ✓  
A. FRATES, ET AL, Defendants.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

On this 5 day of May 1931, comes on for hearing the application  
the plaintiff to dismiss this cause without prejudice, and the court being  
advised in the premises:

It is ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dis-  
missed without prejudice to a new action in this behalf at Plaintiff's cost.

F. B. STEPHENSON  
District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 8 1931  
H. F. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court DE

M. GRANTS, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1166 Law ✓  
) (Nov 6381).  
THE SERVICE OIL Defendant.)  
COMPANY,

O R D E R

This cause comes on for hearing on motion of the defendant to transfer the same from the law to the equity side of the docket and the court is fully advised finds that plaintiff only seeks to recover therein equitable and that said cause should be transferred to the equity side of the docket.

It is therefore adjudged and decreed that this cause be and it is transferred to the equity side of the docket.

F. E. KENNEDY

RECORDED: Filed May 5 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
A. BRYANT, MERCHANT, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1176 - Law. ✓  
)  
LOUIS-SAN FRANCISCO )  
CO., a corporation, Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that Plaintiff's motion to remand to State Court be, and the same is by sustained, and case ordered remanded to District Court of Creek County. (P. L. H. Judge).

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

J. R. WILSON, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) NO. 1198 - Law. ✓  
)  
MINERALS TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, )  
et al, Defendants.)

O R D E R

On this 5th day of May, 1931, upon consideration of the motion of the defendants J. J. Frates and F. A. Rodovitz, Receivers of Union Transportation Company, to strike certain portions of the petition of the plaintiff and to require the plaintiff to make said petition more definite and certain, it is ordered that said motion and each of them be, and the same are

by, overruled; to which ruling said defendants duly excepted; and the said defendants are allowed fifteen days from this date to file answer.

Upon consideration of the separate demurrer of the defendant on Transportation Company, it is ordered that the demurrer of the said defendant be, and the same is hereby sustained, and the said action dismissed to the said defendant.

W. J. ...  
United States District Judge.

CLERK: Filed May 7 1931  
W. J. ...  
United States District Court

W. J. ... Plaintiff,  
-vs- )  
 ) No. 1188 - Law. ✓  
... Defendant.

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court, that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for want of prosecution. (U.S.D. Judge).

... Plaintiff,  
-vs- )  
 ) No. 1187 - Law. ✓  
... Defendant.

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court, that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for want of prosecution. (U.S.D. Judge).

... Plaintiff,  
-vs- )  
 ) No. 1190 - Law. ✓  
... Defendant.

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that Defendants' motion to make definite and certain be, and the same is hereby, sustained. Plaintiff given five (5) days to file amended petition. It is further ordered by the Court that defendants be given ten (10) days to send or tender (20) days to answer. (U.S.D. Judge).

BILLY RICEY nee PAINE, Plaintiff, }  
-vs- } No. 1194 - Law. ✓  
ST. STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Defendant. }

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the demurrer of Defendant be, and the same is hereby, passed to May 28, 1931, at the next Term of court. (F.E.H. Judge).

-----  
L. GAMMEL INC., a corporation Plaintiff, }  
-vs- } No. 1200 - Law. ✓  
BROCKMAN, Defendant. }

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the demurrer of Defendant be, and the same is hereby, overruled. It is further ordered that defendant be given ten (10) days to answer. (F.E.H. Judge).

-----  
HERBERT MOORE, Plaintiff, }  
-vs- } No. 1203 - Law. ✓  
H. GILBERT CO., a Corporation, Defendant. }

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the motion of Defendant to make definite and certain be, and the same is hereby, overruled. It is further ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be allowed to amend by interlineation. It is further ordered by the Court that defendant be given fifteen (15) days to answer. (F.E.H. Judge).

-----  
J. TAMMEL, Plaintiff, }  
-vs- } No. 1204 - Law. ✓  
M. GILBERT CO., a Corporation, Defendant. }

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the motion of Defendant to make more definite and certain be, and the same is hereby, sustained. Plaintiff given twenty (20) days to amend. (F.E.H. Judge).

A. ROBERTS, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1209 - Law. ✓  
MAY TRUST CO., a corporation, Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that motion of defendant to make more definite and certain be, and the same is hereby, sustained. It is further ordered by the Court that Plaintiff given ten (10) days to amend. (F.B.N. Judge).

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. S. Frisby and J. S. Frisby, Plaintiffs, )  
vs. ) No. 1210 At Law ✓  
Continental Pipe Line Company, a corporation, and Mrs. H. C. Hargis, Defendants.)

JOURNAL ENTRY

The above entitled cause coming on for hearing this 5th day of May, 1931 upon the motion of the plaintiffs to remand the above entitled action to the District Court of Osage County, the plaintiffs appeared by their attorney, Charles D. Reed, and the defendant Continental Pipe Line Company appeared by its attorneys, Randolph, Haver, Shirk & Bridges, the defendant Mrs. H. C. Hargis, not being a party to said motion to remand or to the petition for removal, appeared not either in person or by attorney. Argument of counsel had. The court being fully advised finds that said motion to remand should be sustained.

IT IS, THEREFORE, by the court ordered that the motion of the plaintiffs to remand the said cause to the District Court of Osage County be sustained, and it is further ordered by the court that this cause be remanded to the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma.

W. B. VERMILION  
JUDGE

Ch. H: Filed May 12 1931  
E. F. Kenfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

I. WYER, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- )  
THE STATES FIDELITY AND SAFETY CO., a Corporation, Defendant.)

No. 1211 - Law.

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that Defendant's motion to strike or make more definite and certain be, the same is hereby, overruled. Plaintiff given ten (10) days to file amendment. Defendant given ten (10) days to plead or twenty (20) days to answer. (F.B.M. Judge).

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

H. Nixon, Plaintiff )  
vs. )  
Continental Pipe Line Company, Defendants.)  
Incorporation, et al.

NO. 1312 Law.

JOURNAL ENTRY

This matter comes on for hearing before me, the Honorable Franklin B. Johnson, Judge of the United States District Court, Northern District of Oklahoma, on this the 5th day of May, 1931, upon the motion of the plaintiff to remand to the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma. The plaintiff appears in person and only for the purpose of presenting the motion to remand, by her attorney, H. M. Curnutt, the defendants, Continental Pipe Line Company and Continental Oil Company, are present by their attorneys, Randolph, Haver, Shirk and Bridges, and the defendant, Mrs. W. C. Hargis, is present by her attorneys, J. Hargis and Hudson & Hudson, and said last defendant named having filed a petition in this court.

The court after hearing the argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, finds:

That the motion of the plaintiff to remand this cause of action to the District Court of Osage County is well taken, and should be sustained.

It is therefore considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the motion of the plaintiff to remand this cause of action to the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, be, and the same is hereby sustained, and said cause of action hereby remanded to the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma.

F. B. JOHNSON  
Judge of United States District Court

FILED: Filed May 12 1931  
J. I. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court - TULSA

JAY C. WAGNER, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1215 - Law. ✓  
H. UTHER, et al, Defendants.)

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiff to remand to State court be, and the same is hereby, sustained, and said case is ordered remanded to District Court of Logan County. (F.L.J. Judge).

BLA. WELLS, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1215 - Law. ✓  
A. BEROVITZ, et al, Defendants.)

Now on this 15th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Defendants to quash be, and the same is hereby, overruled. It is further ordered by the Court that defendants be given fifteen (15) days to answer. (F.L.J. Judge).

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
NORTHERN DISTRICT - OKLAHOMA

BY JOHN JOHNSON, a Receiver, by Plaintiff, )  
J. J. Goffelt, next friend, )  
-vs- ) No. 1215 - Law. ✓  
THE OKLAHOMA RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation, )  
et al, Defendants.)

O K A H O M A

On this 5th day of May, 1931, upon consideration of the special services and motion of the defendants J. A. Crater and A. Rodovitz, Receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway Company, to quash service of summons herein, it is ordered that said motion be, and the same is hereby overruled; and the said defendants having thereupon filed herein their answer and cross petition, said defendant Oklahoma Railway Company, a corporation, is ordered to plead to said cross petition within ten days from this date, or file answer thereto within twenty days.

Upon consideration of the motion of defendants J. A. Crater and A. Rodovitz, Receivers, to require the plaintiff to furnish security for costs, it is ordered that said motion be, and the same is hereby sustained, and plaintiff is ordered to deposit \$10.00 as security for costs within twenty days of this date.

FILED: Filed May 5 1931  
J. J. Goffelt, Clerk United States District Court  
U. S. District Court

WESTERN TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH CO. -  
Y, a Corporation,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1217 - Law. ✓

RONALD WALTERS, HENRY B. TASHING and MICHAEL -  
SMITH INSURANCE CO., a Corp.

Defendants.

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, that demurrer of defendants be, and the same is hereby, overruled. It is further ordered by the Court that Defendants be given fifteen (15) days to answer herein. (F.E.H. Judge).

F. LEECH,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1219 - Law. ✓

J. W. TAGUE and SUEAN A. TAGUE,  
Wife and Husband of CHARLES L. TAGUE,  
deceased,

Defendant.

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that demurrer of defendant be, and the same is hereby, sustained, and amendments allowed. Plaintiff given twenty (20) days to amend. And thereafter, defendant is given ten (10) days to plead or twenty (20) days to answer.

WATSON,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1221 - Law. ✓

THE OKLAHOMA CORPORATION,  
a Corporation,

Defendants.

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, hearing is had on motion of Plaintiff to re-arraign said cause. Thereafter, witnesses are sworn and examined: Mr. Doernen, Mr. Raymond, Mr. Sheyock, Ed Dawson and Mr. Smith. And thereafter, after considering the evidence herein, it is ordered by the Court, that said cause be, and the same is hereby, taken under advisement until the 8th day of May, 1931.

W. M. REEDER,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 1223 - Law. ✓

LOUISIANA PACIFIC RAILWAY  
CORPORATION, a corporation,

Defendant.

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be dismissed at cost of the Plaintiff, for failure to prosecute. (F.E.H. Judge).

LEAH W. BUCHHEIT, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1931 - Law. ✓  
LOUIS-CAN FRANCISCO RAILWAY Defendant. )  
TRUST, A CORPORATION,

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the  
Court, that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed at  
the request of Plaintiff for failure to prosecute. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

R. Morgan and C. R. Morgan, Plaintiff, )  
for the use and benefit of )  
Chicago Bank of Commerce of )  
Chicago, Illinois, ) No. 1936 - Law ✓  
vs. )  
R. Hindes and W. G. Rule, Defendants. )

ORDER

Came on to be heard on this the 5th day of May, 1931, the motion  
of Defendant W. G. Rule to require the Plaintiffs to make their petition  
more definite and certain in the particulars in said motion set out. And the  
Court after hearing counsel for the respective parties and after due considera-  
tion thereof, finds that said motion should be sustained.

It is therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the Court that  
the motion in this case of the Defendant W. G. Rule for an order requiring  
the Plaintiffs to make their petition more definite and certain be and it is here-  
by sustained. It is further ORDERED that the Plaintiffs be and they hereby  
are given ten days from this date in which to file an amended petition herein  
and the Defendant W. G. Rule is given ten days thereafter in which to plead or  
answer.

F. E. KENNEDY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed May 7 1931  
C. F. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

D. PROYERS,	Plaintiff,	) No. 1228 - Law. ✓
-vs-		
REFUR OIL & REFINING CO., corporation, et al,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, that all motions to strike be, and the same are, hereby, sustained. (E.K. Judge).

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

John Boyd,	Plaintiff,	) No. 1229 - Law. ✓
vs.		
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, a corporation,	Defendant.:	

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 5th day of May, 1931, there coming before the Court Motion of the defendant to make the petition of the plaintiff more definite certain, and said Motion having been duly argued by the attorneys for the respective parties,

IT IS ORDERED that said Motion be sustained insofar as it seeks require the plaintiff to state definitely and certainly the nature of the personal injuries which plaintiff alleges in her petition, and overruled as to the remaining particulars of said Motion. To the action of the court in overruling a portion of the Motion the defendant is allowed an exception as to each particular in which said Motion is overruled.

Plaintiff is granted five (5) days from this date within which file an Amended Petition, the defendant is granted ten (10) days thereafter within which to plead to said Amended Petition, or twenty (20) days within which to file an answer thereto.

. as to Form:	J. E. PROYER	U. S. District Judge.
JON V. PROYER		
Attorney for Plaintiff. per.		
. as to Form:		
WILLIAM H. WATSON, WATSON & CAMPBELL		
Attorneys for Defendant.		
RECORDED: Filed May 13 1931		
W. F. Warfield, Clerk		
U. S. District Court		

-----

PLAINTIFF, )  
-vs- ) No. 1833 - Law. ✓  
W. F. HARRISON, ) Defendant.)

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the  
Court, that this petition be made more definite and certain be, and the same is  
so ordered, Defendant given fifteen (15) days to answer. (W.F.H. Judge).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Oil Company, a corporation, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1834 Law. ✓  
Tucson, Topeka Santa Fe Rail- )  
road Company, a corporation, Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 5th day of May, 1931, upon application of the defend-  
ant and the consent of the plaintiff,

IT IS ORDERED that the removal of the defendant to the petition  
of the plaintiff in the above case be and the same is withdrawn and said de-  
fendant granted fifteen (15) days from this date within which to file its  
answer hereof.

W. F. HARRISON  
U. S. District Judge.

W. F. HARRISON  
Attorney for Plaintiff.  
W. F. HARRISON  
Attorney for Defendant.  
MAY 5 1931  
W. F. HARRISON, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

MOLLIEA CHAMBERLAIN, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1235 - Law.  
W. S. BOCK AL, Defendant. )

on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motion defendant to make more definite and certain be, and the same is hereby sus-  
ted. Plaintiff given twenty (20) days to amend. Defendant given ten (10)  
thereafter to plead or twenty (20) days to answer. (F.E.H. Judge.)

-----  
A. CHAMBERLAIN, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1237 - Law.  
J. BOBOWITZ, and J. A. BRATES, )  
Receivers of C.U.R., Defendants. )

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the  
t t t Plaintiff be permitted to amend return. Defendant given ten days  
lead or twenty days to answer. It is further ordered by the Court that  
on of defendants for security of costs be, and the same is hereby, sustained.  
stiff given twenty days to comply. (F.E.H. Judge).

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OCLAHOMA.

A. CHAMBERLAIN, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) NO. 1237 - LAW.  
J. BOBOWITZ, et al, Defendants. )

O R D E R

On this 5th day of May, 1931, upon consideration of the special  
grance an motion of the defendants to quash the summons herein, it is or-  
d that the same be and is hereby overruled, and the defendants are allowed  
days from this date to plead to the petition, or fifteen days to answer.

Upon consideration of the motion of the defendants to require  
plaintiff to furnish security for costs, it is ordered that the said motion  
and the same is hereby sustained, and the said plaintiff is ordered to de-  
t \$10.00 as security for costs within twenty days from this date.

FILED: Filed May 7 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME  
F. E. LEINWEBER  
United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF  
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

G. HUGHES AS RECEIPTER OF THE  
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,  
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,  
PLAINTIFF,

No. 1142 Law ✓

vs.

Mrs. LOUISE WHITE, OTHERWISE KNOWN  
AS MRS. L. L. SLAUGHTERBACK,  
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,  
DEFENDANT.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOW on this 5th day of May, 1931, the above cause came on regularly for trial upon the petition of the plaintiff filed herein; plaintiff appearing by his attorney of record and the defendant appearing not, either person or by attorney, but having made default; and after hearing the evidence offered in support of plaintiff's petition and being fully advised in the premises, the court finds:

That, although defendant has been duly and legally served personally with summons in the above entitled action, and although the time fixed the sum one within which defendant might have answered plaintiff's petition is long since expired, and after being three times called at the bar of the court, said defendant appeared not and has failed to demur, answer or otherwise plead to plaintiff's petition, and is and was adjudged by the court to be in default and to have confessed all of the material allegations of plaintiff's petition.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that all of the material allegations of plaintiff's petition are true and that the plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the defendant as prayed for in plaintiff's petition filed herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the plaintiff have and recover judgment against the defendant Mrs. Louise White, otherwise known and designated as Mrs. L. L. Slaughterback, for the sum of \$2.59 with interest thereon at the rate of 10% per annum from March 17, 1930, until paid, and for the additional sum of \$19.00 as attorney's fees, and for the costs of this action.

WARRANT OF execution issue.

F. B. HENNING,  
Judge

NOTED: Filed May 6 1931  
J. J. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

W. H. HILTON, a corporation, Plaintiff,  
Liquidating Agent for the  
State Bank of Alluwe, Okla.  
corporation,  
vs.  
LAMB CASUALTY CO., a Corp., Defendant.

No. 1244 - Law. ✓

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that demurrer be, and the same is hereby, waived, and answer filed. (F.E.H. Judge).

W. WOFFMAN, Plaintiff,  
-vs-  
KING TERRY MARINE CAS CO.,  
corporation, Defendant.

No. 1246 - Law. ✓

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motion of defendant to quash be, and the same is hereby, overruled. Defendant given five days to plead or fifteen days to answer. (F.E.H. Judge).

W. CROOK, ADMR., Plaintiff,  
-vs-  
MIDWEST OIL CO., Defendant.

No. 1250 - Law. ✓

Now on this 5th day of May, it is ordered by the Court, that the cause be, and the same is hereby, passed temporarily.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. HUGHES AS RECEIVER OF THE  
PROPERTY OF THE BANK OF  
OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,  
vs.  
INGRAM, Defendant.

No. 1251 Law ✓

FOR N.E. ENTRY CASE NO. 1251

On this 5th day of May, 1931, the above entitled cause came on for trial upon the petition of the plaintiff filed herein; plaintiff appearing by his attorney of record and the defendant appearing not, either in person or by attorney, but having made default; and after hearing the evidence and in view of the plaintiff's petition and being fully advised in the premises, the Court doth order:

That, although defendant has been duly and legally served personally with process in the above entitled action, and although the time fixed for answer within which defendant might have answered plaintiff's petition has since expired and after being three times called to the bar of the court, said defendant appeared and has failed to demur, answer or otherwise respond to plaintiff's petition, and is and was adjudged by the court to be in default and to have confessed all of the material allegations of plaintiff's petition.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that all of the material allegations of plaintiff's petition are true and that the plaintiff is entitled to judgment against the defendant as prayed for in plaintiff's petition filed herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that plaintiff have and receive judgment against the defendant Ed Kinsley, for a sum of \$450.55 with interest thereon at the rate of 10% per annum from March 30, 1981, until paid, and for the additional sum of \$40.80 as attorney's fees, and for the costs of this action.

WARRANT let execution issue.

V. E. HENNINGER  
Judge

DEED: DEED 1055-1981  
W. J. Marfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court W

-----  
J. W. RUSSELL, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1055 - Law. ✓  
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
Defendants.)

Now on this 5th day of May, A. D. 1981, it is ordered by the court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, passed to May 10, 1981.

-----  
J. W. RUSSELL, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1055 - Law ✓  
The United States of America, )  
Defendants.)

O R D E R

Coming on to be heard the motion of the defendant for an order directing that evidence, whether by oral testimony or by affidavits, or by depositions, evidence shall be taken upon the question of fact raised by plaintiff's motion to demand and to assign a date and time for hearing on a day certain, to the court, being advised in the premises, finds that said motion should be granted with respect to the manner in which evidence shall be taken upon such

tion of fact, and that the Court cannot at this time assign said motion for  
ring on a day certain, and that said motion should be stricken from the mo-  
docket for the eighth of May, 1951.

It is therefore Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that the evidence to  
introduced on the hearing of said motion to remand shall be submitted  
such depositions.

It is further ordered that the motion to remand herein be stricken  
the motion docket for the eighth of May, 1951.

T. D. HENNINGER  
Judge.

FILED: Filed May 8 1951  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court W

-----  
Court adjourned until May 8, 1951.

On this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March Term Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, for F. E. Hennamer, Judge, presiding and presiding.

F. E. Hennamer, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John C. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John C. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

SPECIAL ORDER  
FOR INSTRUCTION OF INTOXICATING LIQUOR

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, the same being a regular day of the Special March A. D. 1931 Term of said Court, said court being publicly in session, with the Honorable Franklin E. Hennamer, Judge, presiding, it being shown to the court that certain criminal cases in this court in which intoxicating liquors have been seized and are now in the hands of the Deputy Prohibition Administrator, Wm. E. Siddens, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, and that certain samples of intoxicating liquors have been turned over to the said Wm. Siddens by the United States Chemist at Dallas, Texas, and that either no use has been made involving said samples, or the cases in which said samples were used have been disposed of, or the said liquor is not further needed to be kept in storage by said Deputy Prohibition Administrator,

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER OF THE COURT that in the following list of criminal cases, the intoxicating liquors now held by said Deputy Prohibition Administrator, as indicated following Laboratory number, criminal number, or names of defendants in each case, be destroyed by said Deputy Prohibition Administrator, and that said Deputy Prohibition Administrator after such destruction is effected, make his return thereon in said court, the said shared cases and the amount of whiskey now held in each case being as follows, to-wit:

SHARED CASES RETURNED TO U. S. CHEMIST  
DALLAS, TEXAS

<u>Defendant's name</u>	<u>Amount of liquor</u>	<u>Laboratory No.</u>
Ed Conley	1 pint of beer	11744
Miss DeLann	1 " " "	11538
Woman White woman	1 " " "	11744
Man, white man	1 " " "	11748
Woman Negro woman	1 " " "	11781
Miss Tedford	1 " " "	11535
P. Vickrey	1 " " "	12293
Miss Cooper	1 " " "	12290
Woman Negro woman	1 " " "	11752
Miss Brown et al	1 " " "	11534
Miss Jackson	1 " " "	12294
Miss Smith	1 " " "	12292

<u>Identified Name</u>	<u>Amount of Liquor</u>	<u>Laboratory No.</u>
Thompson	1/2 pint of beer	11536
Edward Curtis	1 " " "	12291
Joe Gay	1 " " "	11753
John negro man	1 " " "	11749
John, white man	1 " " "	11745
W. Newman	1 " " "	11537
Joe Gay	1 " " "	12296
Joe Gay	1 " " "	12292
Clifton	1 " " "	11746
John colored man	1 " " "	11750
W. Hayes	1 " " "	11754
W. Phillips	1 " " "	11610
Pierson	1 " " "	12074
Joe Smith	1 " " "	12302
James Ray	1 " " "	12299
John negro man	1 " " "	12303
W. Northam	1 " " "	12306
W. Simms	1 " " "	12301
W. Trimm	1 " " "	12381
W. Ray	1 " " "	12300
W. Boulton	1 " " "	11491
W. Syfert	1 " " "	12298
W. Syfert	1 " " "	12297
W. Lunn	1 " " "	11514
W. Everson	1 " " "	11518
W. Sprinkle	1 " " "	11521
W. Bus Smith	1 " " "	11532
W. D. Crawford	1 " " "	11156
W. Roberson	1 " " "	11531
W. Ray	1 " " "	11524
W. Blankenship	1/2 " " "	11512
W. Boyer	1 " " "	11513
W. Joe	1 " " "	11517
W. Gilpin	1 " " "	11522
W. Kealer	1 " " "	11525
W. Fannigan	1 " " "	11520
W. Loberly	1 " " "	11528
John white man	1 " " "	11528
W. Loberly	1 " " "	11527
W. Picaman	1 " " "	11530
W. Mitchell	1 " " "	11526
W. King	1 " " "	11523
W. L. Lanning	1 " " "	11519
W. Lunn	1 " " "	11515
W. Anderson	1 " " "	11511
W. Williams	1 gallon alcohol	11696
W. Loberly	4 ounces "	12304

Defendant's name	Court No.	Prohibition Per. No.	Amount of license
John et al	4508	3969	1 qt. of whiskey
John	4509	3970	1 " " "
John	4699	3081	1 " " "
Bert J. Snow	4641	3133	1 " " "
John Smith	4599	3049	1 " " "
Lewis	4645	3117	1 " " Beer
John Swanson	4623	3099	1 " " Whiskey
John Perryman	3806	1842	1 " " "
John Keeler	4608	3020	1 qt " "
John Baker et al	3755	3612	1 qt " "
Clifford Baker	3947	3793	1 " " "
John Williams	4443	3011	1 " " "
John Crawford et al	6017	3113	1 " " "
John Childers	4648	3040	1 " " "
John Miller, et al	5079	2699	1 qt " "
John Row	5086	3172	1 qt " "
John et al	4405	3992	1 qt " "
John Scott	4431	3976	1 qt " "
John Welch, et al	3842	3037	1 qt " "
John et al	4702	5073	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	3103	1735	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	4630	3119	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	4936	63	1 qt. of Whiskey
L. Webster et al	4946	65	1 qt. of Whiskey
Bert Jackson	3853	2770	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	3924	3756	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	3922	2758	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	4990	76	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	5011	117	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	5026	164	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	5005	95	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	4991	116	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	4995	109	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	4319	3035	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	4989	97	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	4966	72	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	4941	68	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	4949	71	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	4933	70	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	5055	115	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	5030	114	1 qt. of Whiskey
John et al	5034		1 qt. of Whiskey

Respectfully,  
 Assistant U. S. Attorney  
 District of Massachusetts  
 U. S. District Court

ORDINANCE - RE ADMISSION TO PRACTICE - C. M. MOORE.

On this 6th day of May, A. D. 1951, it being made satisfactorily  
appear that C. M. Moore, is qualified for admission to the bar of the Court,  
oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorney is declared  
admitted to the bar of the Court.

Court adjourned until May 7, 1951.



... District of Columbia, and that the above named defendant ...

... that the commitment heretofore issued herein, is hereby recalled, and ...

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
Judge

W. F. ...
Assistant U. S. District Attorney

FILED: Filed for 1981
C. E. ... Clerk
U. S. District Court

NEW-ORLEANS ... CO., Plaintiff,
-vc- No. 471 - Law.
... Defendant.

Now on this 7th day of May, A. D. 1981, it is ordered by the ...

U. S. DISTRICT COURT ...
... Plaintiff,
-vc- No. 789 Law.
... Defendants.

JOHN ...

Now on this 5th day of May, 1981, the court being one of the ...

... the plaintiff have any recovery of and from the defendant, to wit: attorney's fee in this case of \$1500.00, the same to be taxed as costs in this case, and save said costs to the Court Clerk as such. No recovery was to be taxed.

H. J. ...  
Judge

Case: filed May 7 1951  
J. W. Garfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

WAGONER, WALTER WALTER Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
THE ... Defendant. )  
No. 638 - law.

Now on this 7th day of May, A.D. 1951, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Dismissal from Circuit Court of Appeals Record in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
CITY OF KANSAS, KANSAS

THURSDAY, MAY SEVEN, FORTY-NINE, A.D. 1949.

PRESENT: Honorable Robert E. Lewis, Senior Circuit Judge,  
Honorable John H. Cotteral, Circuit Judge,  
Honorable Orie L. Phillips, Circuit Judge,  
Honorable George T. McBerrett, Circuit Judge,  
Honorable John C. Follock, District Judge,  
and other officers as noted on the thirteenth day of April, A. D. 1951.

before Honorable Robert E. Lewis, Honorable Orie L. Phillips and Honorable George T. McBerrett, Circuit Judges.

United States of America, Appellant, )  
vs. ) (Appeal from the District Court  
Wagoner, Guardian of Walter ) of the District Court for the  
Wagoner, Inc., Appellee. ) Northern District of Kansas.

This cause came on to be heard on the motion of appellant for a dismissal of this appeal, no one appeared for appellee, and no briefs were submitted to the court.

On consideration whereof, and for good cause shown, it is now so ordered by the court that the said appeal be dismissed and costs awarded



It is further ordered by the court that the clerk of the court forthwith transmit to the clerk of the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma a certified copy of this order.

A true copy as of record,

(13)

WALTER:

ALBERT W. WOOD

Clerk.

FILED: Filed May 7 1931

W. W. Griffiths, Clerk

U. S. District Court W.D.

JOHN J. O'NEILL, Plaintiff,

vs.

-vs-

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Defendant.

Plaintiff,

No. 100 - 1st.

Now on this 7th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the court, that leave be, and the same is hereby, granted to the use of sealed copies.

On the 11th day of May, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the District of Columbia, sitting in open court, held a session at Tulsa, Oklahoma, pursuant to adjournment, to call and receive evidence.

W. J. Griffith, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John F. Selgesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John J. Miotrow, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
DOES hereby certify that the following is a true and correct copy of the proceedings had and entered in the District Court of the District of Columbia, on the 11th day of May, A. D. 1931.

JOHN J. MIOTROW, Plaintiff,  
vs. No. 1131-31  
CIT, Defendant.

ORDER

Now well and lawfully shown it is by the Court that the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) dollars, due and payable to the plaintiff, in the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) dollars, is due and payable to the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) dollars.

Dated, this 11th day of May, 1931.

W. J. Griffith  
Assistant United States District  
Clerk for the Northern District of  
the State of Oklahoma.

Filed May 11, 1931  
W. J. Griffith, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

MIOTROW, Plaintiff,  
vs. No. 1131-31  
CIT, Defendants.

Now well and lawfully shown it is by the Court that the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) dollars, due and payable to the plaintiff, in the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) dollars, is due and payable to the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) dollars.

Court returned with...

On this 11th day of May, A. D. 1951, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Michigan, sitting in Special Term at Detroit, Michigan, was pursuant to adjournment, by W. M. Hennamer, Judge, sitting in pro tempore.

W. M. Hennamer, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John J. Solieberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John W. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following order was read and entered, to-wit:

ORDER OF ADMISSION TO BAR.

On this 11th day of May, A. D. 1951, it being made satisfactorily known that T. J. Morris and Hobart L. Murkin, are qualified for admission to the bar of the Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and both attorneys are declared admitted to the bar of the Court.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN BAR EXAMINATION

T. J. MORRIS, RECIPIENT OF THE  
MICHIGAN BAR EXAMINATION,  
MICHIGAN BAR EXAMINATION,  
MICHIGAN BAR EXAMINATION,  
MICHIGAN BAR EXAMINATION,

PLAINTIFF,

No. 1951-1011

vs.

HOBART L. MURKIN,

DEFENDANT.

ORDER OF ADMISSION TO BAR.

On this 11th day of May, 1951, the above entitled cause came on for trial upon the motion of the plaintiff to have the defendant's motion for judgment rendered and entered, set aside and the cause vacated, and a new trial granted, for reasons that said defendant was inadvertently and erroneously rendered and entered, before the defendant was in default.

IT IS ORDERED that the judgment rendered and entered in the above entitled cause on the 8th day of May, 1951, in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant, be and the same hereby is vacated, set aside and annulled.

W. M. HENNAMER  
Judge

W. M. Hennamer, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John J. Solieberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John W. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGES  
OF COLUMBIA

W. ... THE PLAINIFF,  
...  
vs.  
... DEFENDANT.

Mo. 1881 Law

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

NOW on this 11th day of May, 1931, the above entitled cause came regularly for trial upon the petition of the plaintiff filed herein; plaintiff appearing by his attorney of record and the defendant appearing not in person or by attorney, but having made default; and after hearing evidence offered in support of plaintiff's petition and being fully advised the premises, the court finds:

That, although defendant has been duly and legally served personally with summons in the above entitled caption, and although the time fixed the summons within which defendant might have answered plaintiff's petition long since expired, and after being three times called at the bar of the court, said defendant appeared not and has failed to demur, answer or otherwise plead to plaintiff's petition, and he and was adjudged by the court to be default and to have confessed all of the material allegations of plaintiff's petition.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that all of the material allegations of plaintiff's petition are true and that the plaintiff is entitled to judgment that the defendant as prayed for in plaintiff's petition filed herein.

IT IS THE COURT'S ORDER, adjudged and decreed by the court that plaintiff have and recover judgment against the defendant Ed Kingsley for the sum of \$10.50 with interest thereon at the rate of 10 per annum from the date of 1931, until paid, and for the additional sum of \$40.50 as attorney's fees, and for the costs of this action.

WARRANT for execution issue.

...  
Judge

Filed by ... 1931  
... Clerk  
... District Court

Court adjourned until ...

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
SPECIAL MARCH 1931 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, MAY 12, 1931

On this 12th day of May, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 31 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Hannamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John E. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John E. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ORDER FOR DESTRUCTION OF INTOXICATING  
LIQUOR

And now on this the 12th day of May 1931, the same being a regular day of the Special March A. D. 1931 Term of said Court, there coming on for hearing the motion of the United States Attorney, showing to the court that in the following cases, certain liquors are stored in the Prohibition office, in the care and custody of Wm R. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, and that the cases in which said liquor is involved have all been disposed of by this Honorable Court, and that there is no further necessity of holding said liquor as evidence, said cases being as follows, to-wit:

<u>Name of Defendant.</u>	<u>Court No.</u>	<u>Quantity</u>
George Stewart	5175	1 pt of whiskey
Melvin "Dick" Rice	5174	$\frac{1}{2}$ " " "
Charlie Warren	5171	2 pts " "
Harrison P. Bear	5168	1 Gal " "
Robert C. Doyle	5165-	1 pt " "
Blaine Mensley	5163	1 " " "
Thomas Plowman	5181	1 " " "
Herbert Hoover	5144	1 " " "
Eddie Marshall	5150	$\frac{1}{2}$ " " "
Ike A. Stockton	5152	1 " " "

And the Court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises finds that there is no further necessity of holding said liquor as evidence and that the same should be destroyed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Wm. R. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, is hereby authorized, directed and required to destroy the liquor involved in the above entitled matters, which are now being stored by him in the Prohibition office, by pouring the same into a sink, in the presence of at least two agents, and that immediately upon the destruction thereof, that said Wm R. Giddens make return to this Court on a certified copy of this order, showing the destruction of said liquor, and that said return shall be further certified to by said agents in whose presence said liquor is destroyed.

F. E. HANNAMER  
JUDGE



Supplemental Petition of the plaintiff in the sum \$430.14, or in the total amount of \$5650.14, together with interest thereon from this date at 6% per annum, and for the costs of this action taxed at \$44.60; to which ruling, order and judgment the defendant, Mounds Township, Creek County, Oklahoma, excepts.

IT IS FURTHER CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the coupons herein sued upon be by the plaintiff surrendered to the Clerk of this Court and marked by him "cancelled by judgment".

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

BY: ELCOCK & MARTIN  
BIDDISON CAMPBELL BIDDISON & CANTRELL  
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

SEBE CHRISTIAN  
County Attorney Creek County, Okla. and  
REDMOND W. COLE  
Attorneys for Defendants.

DORSED: Filed May 13 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Wet Hamilton, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1063 Law ✓  
United States, Defendant.)

ORDER FOR SERVICE.

Now on this 12 day of May, 1931, it being shown to the Court at Dr. C. J. Barker and G. W. Tull are necessary witnesses for the United States in the above cause, and that they both reside at Law, Oklahoma, probably more than 100 miles by the nearest rail route from Tulsa, Oklahoma, where they are to appear in said cause on May 19, 1931, and that it is necessary that an order of court issue to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, where said witnesses reside, to make proper service on them;

IT IS THEREFORE the order of the court that proper subpoena issue in said cause out of the Clerk's office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directed to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, to forthwith make proper service and return of subpoena on the above named witnesses to appear in this court on May 19, 1931, at 9 o'clock A. M., to testify on behalf of the United States in the above cause, and that a certified copy of this order accompany said subpoena.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

H. A. E. WILLIAMS, Asst. United States Attorney  
DORSED: Filed May 12 1931, H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME -----

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

George L. Adkinson, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1064 Law ✓  
United States, Defendant. )

ORDER FOR SERVICE.

Now on this 12 day of May, 1931, it being shown to the Court that Frank Lockhart, of Maud, Oklahoma, is a necessary witness for the United States in the above cause, and that they probably reside more than 100 miles by the nearest mail route from Tulsa where they are to appear in said cause on May 19, 1931, and that an order of court is necessary, issued to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, where said witnesses reside, to make proper service on them;

IT IS THEREFORE the order of the court that proper subpoena issue in said cause out of the Clerk's office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directing the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, to forthwith make proper service and return of subpoena on the above witness to appear in this court on May 19, 1931, at 9 o'clock A. M., to testify on behalf of the United States in said cause, and that a certified copy of this order accompany said subpoena.

T. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

A. E. WILLIAMS  
Asst. United States Attorney

RECORDED: Filed May 12 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court W

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Lawson, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1221 - Law. ✓  
1 Petroleum Corporation, a )  
Corporation, and C. D. Smith, )  
Landon and George Calloway, Defendants. )

ORDER REMANDING CAUSE TO THE STATE COURT

The motion of the above plaintiff to remand the above entitled cause to the District Court of Creek County, state of Oklahoma, having been argued, heard and considered, it is ordered that the said motion be, and the same is sustained and granted, and that this cause be, and the same is hereby, remanded to the District Court of Creek County, state of Oklahoma, for further proceedings, from whence it came, and the Clerk of this Court is hereby directed

make and send a transcript of said proceedings to the Clerk of said District Court of Creek County, state of Oklahoma; to all of which defendants, by their counsel, then and there excepted.

Done in open court this the 12th day of May, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER  
United States District Judge.

K. as to Form:

WART COAKLEY & DOERNER  
attorneys for Plaintiff

LEN UNDERWOOD & CANTERBURY  
attorneys for Defendants.

DORSED: Filed May 19 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----

Court adjourned until May 13, 1931.

On this 13th day of May, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 31 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
 John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
 John E. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

CELLANEOUS - ORDER REDUCING BONDS:

Now on this 13th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that bonds of Geo. Dilley and Ed Edens be, and they are hereby, reduced \$2500.00. (F.E.K. Judge).

ORDER ASSIGNING CRIMINAL CASES FOR TRIAL AT BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA

On this 14th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the following named and numbered cases be assigned for trial at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, on the days and dates hereinafter specified:

LAW ASSIGNMENT.

Monday June 1, 1931.

6 Earl A. Wines vs United States Fidelity & Guaranty Co. etal.

CRIMINAL ASSIGNMENT

For Sentence

6	S. E. Baker	Liquor Ct. 2
2	Stacy Howard	Sec. 126 P. C.
8	L. A. Cantrell	Liquor
9	Marie Holmes	Liquor
3	Otis Coursey	Liquor
7	Zelpha Lawson	Liquor
4	Sam Carter	Liquor Ct. 2
2	Willard Hull	Liquor Ct. 1
6	Adolph F. Behlen	Larceny
0	Mrs. W. A. Pennington	Liquor
3	Sadie Chambers	Liquor
	Maude Banks	

CRIMINAL ASSIGNMENT Cont'd.

13	Harve B. Ellison	Liquor Cts 3 & 4
	Edith Ellison	Liquor Cts 3 & 4
12	Ballard Gilbert	Liquor Cts 1 & 2
17	Clifford Camp	Liquor
21	George Eason	Liquor
57	Clovis Wheat	Liquor
32	Allen Rutherford	Mail fraud Ct. 2.
17	Ira Snodgrass	Liquor
23	Blanche Collins	Liquor
38	Sherman Farley	Ter. Probation -Ctfg.)
35	Art C. Stivers	Liquor Cts 1 & 3
31	Alfred Sellers	Liquor
17	Herschel Leach	Liquor Cts. 1 & 2
	Earl Watts	Liquor Cts 1 & 2
48	Rose Wilkie	Liquor
31	Emanuel M. Revard	Liquor
17	James E. Baker	Liquor
	May Baker	Liquor
57	John Collins	Liquor
40	Bob Brooks	Liquor
	Mrs. Bob Brooks	Liquor
44	Otis B. Swift	Liquor
30	Wm. Johnson	Liquor
35	Alvie Sturgess	Liquor
39	Joseph Mills	Perjury
41	Amos Browe	Perjury
54	Fred Cook	Liquor
57	Dolier Long	Liquor
75	Edith Thompson	Counterfeiting
76	Homer Eugene Wilkinson	Counterfeiting
37	Jewel Wortham	Liquor Cts 1-2-3 & 4
47	Fred Smith	Liquor
58	Emma Mulholland	Liquor
	J. L. Mulholland	Liquor
30	Mrs. Anna Lee	"
31	Mrs. J. B. Pallet	"
33-	Clarence Sizemore	Perjury
70	Lee Brogdon	Liquor
77	Bert Blythe	Bribery
92	John Eads	Liquor
97	T. E. Phillips	"
14	M. D. Lowry	"
15	J. F. Cox	"
35	Gene Heigleger	"
40	Meta Syfert	" All counts
	C. A. Syfert	" Cts 3-4-5-6 & 7
16	Bert Blythe	Subornation
31	Edward D. Reed	Conspiracy
32	Noah Potter	Liquor Cts 1-3 & 4
32	Linza Martin	Liquor
36	W.C. Bridges	Liquor
97	D. M. West	"
28	John Powers	"
29	Bob Johnson	"
35	Etine Moore	"
45	Tom Hulvey	"

MINOR ASSIGNMENT - CONT'D.

8	J. P. Tally	Liquor
9	Ed Franklin	"
	Mrs. Ed Franklin	"
1	E. F. Mc Caslin	"
0	Sam Howard	"
	Omar Howard	"
8	Roy Marchbank	"
6	A. Warren Cunningham	"
7	William E. Lewis	"
9	Jack Collins	"
4	Jess C. Farmer	"
0	Gertrude Kemp	"
9	Red Nelson	"

FOR TRIAL

1	Mary Lasley (sen)	"
	Walter Lasley	"
3	Sam Edwards	"
7	John Smith	"
	Myra Smith	"
7	L. T. Howell	"
3	W. H. Pierce	"
0	William Barton	"
2	Clarence Evans	"
0	John Kenney	"
3	Roy Morrison	"
9	Dyke Blackbird	"
	John Blackbird	"
	Roy Morrison	"

TUESDAY, June 2, 1931

9	John Starr	"
2	Fred Sumpter	"
1	Fred Sumpter	"
7	Ray Berg	"
9	Robert Berg	"
9	E. M. Smith	"
1	Clifton Minthorn	"
	Kate Minthorn	"
3	T. L. Rogers	"
3	Fred Evans	"
3	L. D. Miller	"
	Harry J. Benson	Narcotic
3	George Starr	Liquor
3	George Alberty	Liquor
3	John Weaver	"

WEDNESDAY, June 3, 1931

3	John Longacre	Liquor
3	John Longacre	"
1	Marie Penn	"
1	Marie Penn	Perjury
1	Katherine Miller	Liquor

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
CIVIL MARCH 1931 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, MAY 14, 1931

---

MINAL ASSIGNMENT - CONT'D.

66	Carl Dudley	Liquor
62	Art M. Caesar ( Sentence)	"
63	Art M. Caesar	"
	Eddie Upchurch	"
	S. D. Elliot	"
	Fay Elliott	"
62	George R. Sherman	Conspiracy
	Adolph Umann	"
77	W. B. Smith	Liquor
60	Harry Gershaw	"
62	Thomas J. Jones	"
	Bud F. Fair	"
	Charles H. Henderson	"
66	L. A. Searle	"
	Claude Thompson	"
	B. F. Arrandondo	"
67	C. N. Burris	"
	Fred M. Glynn	"
69	George Carr	"
64	Charles A. Brusso	"
	Effie Stevens Brusso	"
62	A. C. Hill	"
66	Mrs. Ruby Elms	"
	Buddy Bays	"

THURSDAY, June 4, 1931

32	Mark Abraham	"
77	T. J. McCleary	"
	O. L. Mc Cleary	"
34	J. D. Folsom	"
42	Dorothy Hill	"
45	One Vick	"
24	Manuel A. Diaz	"
	Herman Bass	"
39	Jessie Findley	"
40	Jack Pack	"
41	George F. Mc Daniel (Sen)	"
	Tarrence M. Schmoel	"
42	Ray Vaughn	"
	Bertha Vaughn	"
46	Gertrude January	"
	Arthur G. White	"
47	Casper L. Kurtz	"
48	Jim M. Bankston	"
51	Bessie Robinson	"
53	David Powell	"
54	Mrs. Mary L. Lewis	"
	Earl Robinson	"
95	Mrs. Mary L. Lewis	"
	Earl Robinson	"
55	Amos Wilkins	"
	Jasper Cooper	"
56	R. L. Prather	"
	E. T. Piquet	"
57	Ivy B. Goodwin	"

MINOR ASSIGNMENT - CONT'D.

W. E. Mann	Liquor
L. D. Bump	"
H. T. Bump	"
Ed Robinson	"
Mack Fogleman	"
W. F. Worley	"

FRIDAY, June 5, 1931

Bertha Webber	Liquor
Mrs. H. L. Latta	"
Charles W. Jenree	"
Blanche Flowers	"
Luella Nelson	"
John Graveley	"
J. E. Shailer	"
W. R. Shailer	"
Albert Lane	"
John Quartney	"
Roy Mc Clain	"
J. Hitchcock	"
Art Magirl	"
Frank Billups	"
Mrs. Frank Billups	"
Roy Wolf	"
Bart Pearson	"
Jess F. Shive	"
Rudolph Smith	"
Elizabeth Smith	"
J. J. Woods	"
W. B. Jones	"
J. B. Powell	"
Martin Coppock	"
Sam Hickeron	"
Dee Bradford	"
Barney Hickerson	"
J. R. Blasingame	"
G. L. Ralston	Sentence
Frank L. Nash	Liquor
Hugh Daugherty	"

MONDAY, June 8, 1931

Edgar Collins	"
Willie E. Logan	Postal
William Sibert	Liquor
Isaac Denton Carter-	Counterfeiting
Marvin Hughes	"
Millie Lanker	"
Ben Lewman	"
Lonnie Roy Lewman	" (Sen)
Elmer Lewman	" (Sec. Ct. 1)
Ben Lewman	"
Dick Tye	Liquor
Gus Morris	Narcotic
Ed Mc Gilvery	"

CRIMINAL ASSIGNMENT - CONT'D.

	Harold Mc Gilvery	Narcotic
	Willie Younger	"
2	Frank Hall	Liquor
3	Bob Lupper	"
3	Sherman Smith	"
9	H. A. Bennett	"
3	L. (Bert) Payton	"
9	L. (Bert) Payton	Sentence Ct. 7
7	Marion G. Wyatt	Narcotic

TUESDAY June 9, 1931

0	Bud Curr	Liquor
1	Louis Ritter	"
5	Lewis Ritter	"
8	Lottie Rogers	"
6	Bessie Mode	"
2	Martin Randolph	"
5	Bessie Meaghers	"
3	Irvin Wallace	"
4	Dewey Stoneking	"
9	B. P. Taylor	"
3	George Welch	"
4	Ruth Backward	"
8	Ruth Backward	"
6	Marion Wilson	"
7	Erice Wilson	"
5	Julius Blalack	"
	Mrs. Julius Blalack	"
	Jack Blalack	"
4	Oral A. Slack	"
7	Dennis Hicks	"
8	Dennis Hicks	"
1	John Humble	"
4	Junior Eidenhour	"
5	Homer Johnson	"
1	Mark O'Neil	"
4	Scott Mounts	"

WEDNESDAY, June 10, 1931

7	Homer Miller	Conspiracy
5	Big Boy Barnett	"
7	Joseph A. Zahn	Forgery
2	Werdyn Smith	White Slave

CORSED: Filed May 14 1931  
E. E. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
Court adjourned until May 14, 1931.



pany of Tulsa, Oklahoma, for the purpose of foreclosure of its said mortgage.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States Marshal be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to release said car to said Wilson Motor Company, upon the presentation of a certified copy of this order, and upon its payment of the charges due by reason of the seizure of said car.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said Wilson Motor Company foreclose its said mortgage and recover title in itself, and that immediately after the foreclosure and sale of said car, that it file with the Clerk of the United States District Court a report showing final disposition of said car, and that it pay to the Clerk of said court, any amount recovered by it from the sale of said car in excess of the amount due it under said mortgage.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

K. HARRY SMITH  
Assistant U. S. Attorney

DORSED: Filed May 14 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

M. Batsell, Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
Christopher C. Walker, ) No. 511 Law ✓  
Empire Gas and Fuel Company, )  
Corporation, and Twin )  
State Oil Company, a cor- )  
poration, Defendants.)

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS  
JUDGMENT FOR COSTS

On this 9th day of September, 1930, upon motion of Defendant to recover costs and the Plaintiff's response thereto, the parties appearing by their attorneys of record, upon argument:

ORDERED: That the defendants Christopher C. Walker and Empire Gas and Fuel Company have judgment against the Plaintiff M. M. Batsell in the amount of \$260.04 (260.04) as costs herein, for which let execution issue.

F. E. KENNAMER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DORSED: Filed May 14 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----







EDWARD M. SCHMIDT (Contd)

Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 3. Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail for the term of Six (6) months from date of delivery. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

Count 2. Dismissed upon motion of Mr. Blair.

And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be now imposed on defendant, George F. Mc Daniel, as follows:

GEORGE F. McDANIEL:

Count 1. Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail for the term of Seven (7) months from date of delivery, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 3. Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail for the term of Seven (7) months from date of delivery. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

THE STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 5156 - Criminal. ✓

GEORGE W. STANFIELD,

Defendant.

Now on this 15th day of May, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, George W. Stanfield, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea guilty as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:





Thereupon, both parties having announced ready for trial, a jury not being duly empanelled to try the issues in said cause, and evidence upon part of both parties having been introduced and both parties having submitted arguments to the jury, thereafter on November 2, 1928, the jury returned open court a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against defendant in the amount of Four Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty (\$4760.00) Dollars, in words and meaning as more particularly shown by said verdict of record herein. Thereupon the Court approved said verdict, and thereafter, plaintiff having filed motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict herein and same having been considered by the Court, the Court found same not to be well taken and same should be overruled and denied, to which finding and ruling of the Court plaintiff excepted and still excepts and exception in its behalf is hereby allowed, and plaintiff not having regularly filed motion for new trial, and defendant likewise having filed motion for new trial, and the Court having considered said motions for new trial and finds that said motions should be overruled, to which action and ruling of the Court defendant and plaintiff excepted and still except, and exceptions in their behalves are hereby allowed.

And now, on this 15th day of May, 1931, on application of plaintiff, it is hereby ADJUDGED, ORDERED AND DECREED that plaintiff have and recover from the defendant the sum of Four Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty (\$4760.00) Dollars, with interest thereon from and after November 2nd, 1928, at rate of six per cent. per annum until paid, together with all costs of this action, hereby taxed at \$\_\_\_\_\_, for which let execution issue, to which action and ruling of the Court defendant and plaintiff, and each of them, excepted and still except and exceptions in their behalves, respectively, are hereby allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that motion of plaintiff for judgment notwithstanding verdict be, and the same is, hereby overruled and denied, to which plaintiff excepts and exception in its behalf is hereby allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that motions of defendant and plaintiff, respectively, for new trial be, and the same are, hereby overruled and denied, to which rulings of the court defendant and plaintiff, respectively, except and exceptions in their behalves are hereby allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER  
District Judge

A. C. COWAN  
E. P. MARSHALL  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By F.A.B.

as to form  
I. J. UNDERWOOD  
Attorneys for Defendant

FILED: Filed May 15 1931  
E. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court W



On this 18th day of May, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March 1931 Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John E. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISCELLANEOUS - DEPOSITORY BONDS:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

In the Matter of  
FIRST NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST  
COMPANY OF TULSA, as depository  
of bankruptcy funds.

O R D E R.

Now on this 18th day of May, 1931, it appearing to the Court, upon the application of The First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa, that there are now in the hands of the Clerk of this Court moneys deposited with said bank as a depository of moneys belonging to bankrupt estates being administered by this Court in the aggregate amount of One Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000) and that the amount of bonds on deposit with said bank at this time does not exceed the sum of Eighty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$85,000) and that said bank desires that the following be canceled in order that it be relieved from the payment of premiums thereon, to-wit:

The bond of the Federal Surety Company in the sum of \$10,000.00, dated October 29, 1928  
The bond of the Maryland Casualty Company in the sum of \$10,000.00, dated February 11, 1930  
The bond of the Century Indemnity Company in the sum of \$10,000.00, dated March 9, 1929, and  
The bond of the Central Surety & Insurance Corporation in the sum of \$15,000.00, dated September 14, 1929;

And it further appearing to the Court that the cancellation of the above bonds will leave on deposit with the Clerk securing said deposits good and sufficient bonds aggregating One Hundred and Five Thousand Dollars (\$105,000), the Court, being fully advised in the premises:

IT IS ORDERED That the above described bonds be and the same are hereby canceled and the surety companies named are hereby released and discharged of any liability hereafter to accrue thereunder.

F. E. KENNAMER  
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 18, 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

---

MEMORANDUM - ORDER FOR PETIT JURY

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ORDER FOR PETIT JURY.

On this 18 day of May, A. D. 1931, it is Ordered by the Court  
that there be publicly drawn by the Marshal for the Northern District of Okla-  
homa, or one of his deputies, in the presence of the Clerk, or one of his  
deputies, in accordance with the laws and the rules of this Court, the name of 40  
persons, good and lawful men, from said District, duly qualified to serve  
as Petit Jurors at the regular June 1931 Term of this Court to be held at  
Bartlesville, Oklahoma.

It is further Ordered by the Court that a writ of Venire Facias  
issued out of this Court, in due form as provided by law, commanding the said  
Marshal to summon by Registered Mail said Petit Jurors, drawn, as aforesaid,  
to be and appear before said Court, to be held at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, in the  
Northern District of Oklahoma, on Monday the 1st day of June A. D. 1931 at  
10 o'clock A. M., then and there to serve as Petit Jurors of the United States  
and for said District at the Regular June 1931 Term of said Court.

F. L. KENNEDY  
Judge.

DECEED: Filed May 18 1931  
H. F. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----  
-CONTINENT PETROLEUM CORP. Plaintiffs, )  
AL, )  
-vs- ) No. 617 - Law. ✓  
OKLAHOMA BANK CO. Defendant. )

Now on this 18th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is by the Court order-  
ed that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause,  
the same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

BY \_\_\_\_\_  
CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

SEAL) TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE  
UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

BEING:

VENIRE FACIAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the  
Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between  
-Continent Petroleum Corporation, a corporation, et al., Plaintiffs, and Okla-  
homa Bank Manufacturing Company, a corporation, Defendant, No. 617 Law, the  
Mandate of the said District Court in said cause entered on September 25, 1929,  
in the following words, viz:

"It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiffs, and each of them, do have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$17,185.00, with interest at six per cent per annum from September 26, 1929, together with the costs of this action, for all of which let execution issue.

"Exceptions are duly allowed the defendant."

By the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, it was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Maloney Tank Manufacturing Company agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the January Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one, the said cause came on to be heard before said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record of said District Court and was argued by counsel.

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered and adjudged by the court that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be and the same is hereby affirmed, and that Mid-Continent Petroleum Corporation, a corporation, and North British & Mercantile Insurance Company, a corporation, do have and recover of and from Maloney Tank Manufacturing Company, a corporation, appellant, their costs herein.

-- April 7, 1931.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS the Honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 13th day of May, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one.

Costs of	Appellees.
Printing record	\$-- -
Attorney	\$20.00
	<u>\$20.00</u>

ALBERT TREGO  
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court  
of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

RECORDED: Filed May 18 1931  
E. F. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court W

-----



endant; that the plaintiff is entitled to recover judgment herein.

The Court further finds that Edw. H. Brady, attorney for the plaintiff, is duly licensed and a practicing attorney; that said attorney is entitled to have allowed and paid to him for his services in this behalf ten per cent (10%) of the amount awarded and to be paid to the plaintiff under the terms of this judgment.

The Court further finds the amount now due the plaintiff is the sum of Fifty Seven Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$57.50) per month from and after the 1st day of December, 1918 to the date of this judgment as computed by the United States Veterans Bureau based upon this judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE OF the Court that the Plaintiff have and recover from the Defendant the sum of Fifty Seven Dollars and Fifty Cents, (\$57.50) per month from and after the 27th day of December, 1918 to the date of this judgment to be computed by the United States Veterans Bureau as aforesaid.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER, AND JUDGMENT AND DECREE of the Court that the moneys hereby awarded shall be paid to the said Attorney Edw. H. Brady as his reasonable attorneys fee in said cause ten per cent (10%) of the judgment and award, said amount, or amounts, to be paid to said Edw. H. Brady by the Director of the United States Veterans Bureau and deducted from the amount of this judgment and award to said plaintiff.

To all of which finds and judgment the defendant prays and is granted exceptions.

F. M. REINHARDT  
Judge of the United States District  
Court for the Northern District of  
Oklahoma.

EDW. H. BRADY  
Attorney for Plaintiff.

A. E. WILLIAMS  
Attorney for Defendant.  
Assist. U. S. Atty.

RECORDED: Filed May 20 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

JOHN ROY FLOURNOY, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 1049 - Law. ✓  
 AND STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 18th day of May, the above styled cause is called, and sides present and announce ready for trial. And now at this time, it is ordered by the Court that order be filed permitting M. C. Flournoy to be substituted as party plaintiff in capacity of Guardian. (F.E.N. Judge, see journal entry below).

And thereafter, the opening statements of counsel are made and witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and offers with the following witnesses: Jno. W. Overstreet, Dr. A. T. Watson, C. O. Miller, C. A. West, E. S. Blosch, Dick Mays, J. R. Murray, M. C. Flournoy, Dr. E. Shearder, E. W. King. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. The Defendant offers evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Harry Sears, A. H. Lewis. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. The Plaintiff offers rebuttal testimony of Dr. C. W. Griffin. And thereafter, Defendant moves for judgment, and the motion, is by the Court, overruled. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment for Plaintiff be found as per journal entry to be furnished. Defendant excepts. (F.E.N. Judge).

-----  
 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

M. C. Flournoy et al., Complainant, )  
 -vs- ) No. 1049 Law. ✓  
 United States of America, Defendant.)

ORDER SUBSTITUTING M. C. FLOURNOY, THE LEGAL GUARDIAN  
 OF JOHN ROY FLOURNOY, AS COMPLAINANT IN THIS CASE.

Now on this the 18th day of May, 1931, there coming on for hearing the motion of John Roy Flournoy by his next friend, M. C. Flournoy, to substitute the legal guardian as complainant herein, and the evidence having been attached to said motion certified copies of Letters of Guardianship showing that on the 4th day of April, 1931, the said John Roy Flournoy was declared to be an incompetent person and M. C. Flournoy was appointed his guardian; and further showing that the said M. C. Flournoy has qualified and is now the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian of John Roy Flournoy, an incompetent person. And the court being fully advised in the premises, it is

THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the said M. C. Flournoy, as the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian of John Roy Flournoy, be, and he is hereby substituted as a party complainant in the above styled and numbered cause.

RECORDED: Filed In Open Court  
 May 18 1931  
 H. F. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court

F. E. MEMMAREE  
 DISTRICT JUDGE

-----

ERIC D. HERRINGTON, Plaintiff, )  
 -vs- ) No. 1060 - Law. ✓  
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 18th day of May, A. D. 1931, the above styled case called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. The Plaintiff produces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Eric D. Herring-  
 ton, R. P. Johnson. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. The Defendant demurs  
 evidence introduced by the Plaintiff which demurrer, is by the Court, over-  
 ruled. Thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the  
 following witnesses: A. E. Ludwick, Roscoe Walker. And thereafter, the hour  
 of adjournment having arrived, court is recess to 9:30 A. M., May 19, 1931.

WY GODWIN POPE, ET AL., Plaintiffs, )  
 -vs- ) No. 1138 - Law. ✓  
 W. O. GODWIN, ET AL., Defendants. )

Now on this 18th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the  
 court that the above styled case be and it is hereby, stricken from the trial  
 docket of this date. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff, )  
 vs. ) No. 1197 Law ✓  
 Melville W. Thompson, Defendant. )

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 18 day of May, 1931, under authority and direction of  
 the Attorney General of the United States, stating that a recent audit of the  
 income tax returns of Melville W. Thompson, defendant herein, for the years  
 1917 to 1922 inclusive, have shown a net over payment in said defendant's favor,  
 and that he is entitled to refund under date of January 29, 1931, in the  
 aggregate sum in excess of the amount sued for in this case, and that the income  
 tax claimed due plaintiff in this cause has been adjusted as a result of said  
 over payment, as disclosed by letter from the office of the Attorney General,  
 per date of May 8, 1931, asking that this cause be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE the order and judgment of the Court that said cause be, and  
 the same is hereby dismissed, with prejudice.

F. E. KENNERLY  
 JUDGE

A. E. WILLIAMS  
 A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney

FORSEER: Filed May 18, 1931  
 H. F. Warfield, Clerk  
 U. S. District Court

-----  
 Court adjourned until May 19, 1931.





authorizing and directing them to pay the judgments and interest therein to the Clerk of this Court and authorizing and directing the Clerk to receive and receipt for the same as Clerk, and the Court having seen the motion and being fully advised in the premises,

It is, therefore, considered, ordered, and adjudged by the Court that the defendants be, and they are hereby, authorized and directed to pay to the Clerk of this Court the judgments heretofore rendered herein, including interest thereon, and the Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to receive the same and receipt therefor, as Clerk, and it is further ordered that upon payment of said judgments and interest to the Clerk and the payment to him of the defendants of all costs, including poundage and including the \$7,500 attorneys' fee heretofore allowed herein, said defendants be released and discharged from all further liability herein.

P. E. KONNHAUSER  
Judge

STUBBLE & LAUCHBERTY  
THOS. SHEA  
EARL M. CARTER  
By Thos. Shea.

Consolidated Cut Stone Co.  
- H. D. PANTRAM

ORDER: Filed May 19, 1931  
E. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court W

-----  
C. D. HERRINGTON, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1060 - Law. ✓  
THE STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 19th day of May, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause, comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore. The Plaintiff offers rebuttal testimony of Dr. Shepard. And thereafter, Defendant offers rebuttal testimony of Dr. Bates and Dr. Walker. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be, and the same is hereby, taken under advisement.

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Erman Rhinehart, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1061 Law ✓  
United States of America, Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 19th day of May, 1931, this cause came on regularly

e heard in open court, before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the plaintiff appearing in person and by his attorney, Merle G. Smith, and the defendant, the United States of America, appearing by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, J. V. Fitts, Regional Attorney for the Veterans Bureau, J. Williamson and R. B. Heeman, Special Attorneys for the United States Veterans Bureau, and both sides having heretofore waived a jury in this cause, having announced ready for trial;

Thereupon, plaintiff introduced his testimony, and the defendant introduced its testimony, and both sides rest; and the Court, after hearing testimony of witnesses sworn and examined in open court, and hearing the argument on behalf of plaintiff and on behalf of the defendant, and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that the issues, as joined and tried in this cause, should be decided in favor of the defendant, and that the plaintiff take nothing as a result of this suit.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that plaintiff, Sherman Rhinehart, be denied his judgment as prayed in this case, and that he take nothing as a result of this suit, and that the defendant recover its costs, to which order and judgment of the Court plaintiff appeals, and exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE.

MERLE G. SMITH  
Attorney for Plaintiff.  
A. E. WILLIAMS  
A. E. Williams  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Attorney for Defendant.

RECORDED: Filed May 22 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court W

-----  
I. SIDWELL, Adm. estate of Plaintiff, )  
DONA SIDWELL, Decd. )  
-vs- ) No. 1062 - Law. ✓  
THE STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 19th day of May, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. Thereafter, opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and with the following witnesses: Eva Sidwell, I. A. Griffith, Pat Sidwell. Hereafter, the Plaintiff rests. And then after, Defendant's evidence is taken. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be passed for final disposition.

-----

ET HAMILTON, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1063 - Law. ✓  
ED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 19th day of May, A. D. 1931, the above styled case called. Both sides present in person and by counsel and announce ready for trial. Thereafter, opening statements of counsel are made and all witnesses sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witness: Cavet Hamilton. And thereafter, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witness: L. D. Prall. And thereafter, Plaintiff offers rebuttal testimony of Cavet Hamilton. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, after considering the facts and the evidence herein, it is ordered by the Court that said case be, and the same is hereby, taken under advisement pending presenting to authorities.

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

George L. Adkinson, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1064 Law. ✓  
United States of America, Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGE EMT.

This cause came on to be heard this 19th day of May, 1931, pursuant to regular assignment, to trial. The plaintiff appeared by his attorney, George C. Smith, of Guthrie, Oklahoma, and the said defendant, the United States of America by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, and also by W. Fitts, Regional Attorney for the United States Veteran's Bureau; and all parties announcing ready for trial, and the jury being waived in open court, the Court proceeded to hear the evidence of witnesses sworn and examined in open court, and being fully advised in the premises, and on consideration thereupon finds that the plaintiff has sustained the allegations of his petition, and is entitled to judgment accordingly.

The Court finds that the plaintiff enlisted in the United States Army on February 27, 1918, and was discharged therefrom on January 9, 1919. That shortly after his enlistment, there was issued to him War Risk Insurance in the sum of Ten Thousand (\$10,000.00) Dollars; that he paid the monthly premiums thereon until his discharge from the army. The Court further finds that while in the United States Army, the plaintiff was sick and ill with influenza as a result of that illness, the said plaintiff became practically deaf. As a result of said illness, the said plaintiff became affected with heart trouble, and also with extreme nervousness, and also received a severe infection of the prostate glands, and as a result of such disability, the said plaintiff became permanently and totally disabled. That at the time the said plaintiff became permanently and totally disabled, said certificate of War Risk Insurance was in full force and effect. That said certificate of War Risk Insurance has been in full force and effect at all times since its issuance, and is now in full force and effect. That on the date of the discharge of

said plaintiff, from the United States Army, he was permanently and totally disabled; that at all times since his discharge from the United States Army to present time, he has been permanently and totally disabled, and he is now permanently and totally disabled.

The Court further finds that there is a disagreement between the plaintiff and the said defendant as provided by the World War Veteran's Act amended, and that this court has jurisdiction to try this cause.

The Court further finds that by reason of said certificate of War Insurance and by reason of permanent and total disability of the plaintiff, foresaid, that there is due the said plaintiff from the said defendant, the sum of FIFTY SEVEN (\$57.50) AND 50.100 DOLLARS, per month, each month since January 9, 1919, to this date, the 19th day of May, 1931.

The Court further finds that an attorney's fee of ten percent (10%) is reasonable and has been earned by Merle G. Smith, Attorney of Record for plaintiff in this case.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said plaintiff, George L. Adkinson, do have and recover of and from said defendant the United States of America, the sum of Fifty Seven (\$57.50) and 50.100 Dollars per month, each month from the 9th day of January, 1919, to this date, May 19th, 1931.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said plaintiff's counsel, Merle G. Smith, of Guthrie, Oklahoma, receive as a reasonable attorney fee, ten percent (10%) of all payments, including those to be made in the future, as a result of this judgment, same to be paid to said counsel by the defendant, the United States of America, as provided by the World War Veteran's Act, as amended.

F. E. KENDRICK  
Judge.

MERLE G. SMITH  
Atty for Plaintiff  
A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assist. U. S. Atty.  
Atty for Defendant.

RECORDED: Filed May 19 1931  
E. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court W

GEORGE L. ADKINSON,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

No. 1070 - Law. ✓

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant. )

Now on this 19th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, that the above styled case be, and the same is hereby, stricken from the docket assignment of this date, pending settlement. (F.E.K. Judge).

MR. JAMES ACCERS, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1089 - Law. ✓  
ED. STATE'S OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 19th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the  
t that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from  
trial assignment of this date, pending settlement. (F.E.K. Judge).

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Fisher-Governor Company, Plaintiff, )  
Incorporation, )  
vs. ) In Law 1139. ✓  
Smith Separator Company. Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Now on this 14th day of May, 1931, a regular day of a special  
1931 term of the above entitled court, this cause comes on regularly for  
ing, the plaintiff, Fisher-Governor Company, a corporation, appearing by  
attorney, Roscoe E. Harper, and the defendant, Smith Separator Company,  
aring by its attorney, Sam S. Canterbury. Thereupon the plaintiff and de-  
ant announced ready for trial, and thereupon said cause is regularly called  
trial, the parties being present and having announced ready, as heretofore  
ed, and a jury is expressly waived pursuant to a written stipulation here-  
re filed in this cause, and the parties stipulated that all of the issues or  
s arising in this case may be tried and determined by the court without  
vention of a jury.

Thereupon it was stipulated by the parties that the item of  
ty-seven dollars and thirty cents (\$287.30) under date of June 24, 1930,  
reed to in numerical paragraph 1 of the stipulation waiving jury and con-  
ing agreed statement of facts, heretofore filed in this cause, arose out of  
rroneous underbilling of defendant by plaintiff upon an item of merchan-  
sold by plaintiff to defendant under date of November 13, 1929, and that  
item is correctly chargeable against the defendant. Thereupon it was  
her stipulated by and between the parties to said cause, that the defendant  
ntitled to an additional credit of two hundred eighty-seven dollars and  
y two cents (\$287.52) upon the items mentioned under numerical paragraph four  
aid stipulation waiving jury and containing agreed statement of facts,  
torefore filed in this cause.

Thereupon the parties submitted the cause to the Court upon the  
ulation waiving the jury and containing agreed statement of facts hereto-  
filed herein, and the Court, after the argument of counsel, finds that the  
rtiff is entitled to recover against the defendant in the amount sued upon,  
t: the sum of six thousand, five hundred ninety-two dollars and fifty-  
n cents (\$6592.57) less a credit thereon in the amount of two hundred  
ty-seven dollars and fifty two cents (\$287.52) and less a further credit  
con in the amount of three thousand one hundred thirty six dollars and eighty  
cents (\$3136.84) which the plaintiff has charged and collected from the

ndant by way of additional bonus or commission charged back on goods re-  
ed by defendant to plaintiff after the termination of the contract existing  
een them, and that judgment should be entered for the plaintiff in the  
nt of six thousand, five hundred ninety two dollars and fifty seven cents  
592.57) less said sum of two hundred eight seven dollars and fifty two  
s (\$287.52) and also less said sum of three thousand, one hundred thirty  
dollars and eighty four cents (\$3136.84) or the net sum of three thousand,  
hundred sixty eight dollars and twenty one cents (\$3168.21) together with  
rest thereon at the rate of six per cent (6%) per annum from June 24,  
, until paid.

And the Court further finds that the defendant, Smith Separator  
any is not entitled to a credit in the sum of fourteen hundred seven dollars  
eighty cents (\$1407.80) claimed by defendant under numerical paragraph 2  
aid stipulation waiving jury and containing agreed statement of facts here-  
re filed in this cause.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that  
plaintiff, Fisher-Governor Company have and recover of and from the defen-  
, Smith Separator Company, the sum of three thousand one hundred sixty  
t dollars and twenty one cents (\$3168.21) together with interest at six  
cent per annum from June 24, 1930, until paid. Both the defendant and the  
ff excepted to the foregoing findings and judgment of the Court entered  
on, and the exceptions of each thereto are allowed by the Court.

And thereupon, the defendant requested the Court to fix the  
it of the supersedeas bond for appeal and the time within which to prepare  
file its Bills of Exceptions, and it is ordered by the Court that said  
seedeas bond is hereby fixed in the sum of four thousand dollars  
0.00), and the defendant is allowed ninety days (90) from and after this  
o file its bill of exceptions herein.

And thereupon the plaintiff requested the Court to fix the  
it of the cost bond for appeal, and time within which to prepare and file  
Bill of Exceptions, and it is ordered by the Court that said case bond be  
l in the sum of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00), and the plaintiff is  
ed ninety (90) days from which to file its Bill of Exceptions herein.

F. E. DISNEY  
District Judge.

ALLEN UNDERWOOD & CAMPBELL  
Attys for defendant  
HARPER & LEE  
Attys for plaintiff.

SED: Filed May 19 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. H. Berryhill, Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
(C. Grady) Ellett, individually, )  
Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance ) No. 1146 L (637 E) ✓  
Company, a foreign corporation, Ex- )  
cess National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, )  
Corporation, and American National )  
Bank, a corporation, Elmer S. (E.S.) )  
Berryhill, Defendants.)

ORDER OVERRULING MOTION OF NORTHWESTERN MUTUAL LIFE  
INSURANCE COMPANY, DEFENDANT, TO STRIKE AMENDED BILL, ETC.

Be it remembered that on this the 5th day of May, 1931, came on  
for hearing to be heard the motion of the defendant, Northwestern Mutual Life  
Insurance Company, to strike the amended bill and to require plaintiff to comply  
with the order heretofore made to recase pleadings; and the parties plaintiff  
and defendant appeared by their respective attorneys of record and the said  
motion having been submitted to the court, and the court having fully considered  
same, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the said motion  
and the same is hereby overruled; to which action of the court, in open  
court, the said defendant excepted and its exception was, by the court, allowed.  
Upon it is ordered by the Court that this cause be, and the same is trans-  
ferred, to the equity side of the docket of this court, and each and all of the  
parties herein are by the court allowed ten (10) days from this date within  
which to plead or twenty (20) days within which to answer.

H. E. BENDER  
Judge.

WILLIAMS & WALLACE  
Attorneys for Plaintiff.  
RANSBY de MEYERS MARTIN & LOGAN  
Attorneys for Northwestern Mutual Life  
Insurance Company, Defendant.

FILED: Filed May 19 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
GEORGE W. SMITH, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1161 - Law. ✓  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 19th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the  
Court, that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from the  
docket assignment of this date by agreement. (F.M.H. Judge).

-----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. Lebow, Plaintiff. )  
vs. ) NO. 1219 LAW. ✓  
n A. Tague, et al Defendants.)

O R D E R.

On motion the plaintiff is allowed an additional period of  
twenty (20) days within which to file an amended petition herein.

Dated this 18 day of May, 1931.

F. E. KORNAMER  
J U D G E.

RECD: Filed May 19, 1931  
H. F. Wanfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

Court adjourned until May 20, 1931.









IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
SPECIAL MARCH 1931 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, MAY 20, 1931

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff, )	
	)	
-vs-	)	No. 5155 - Criminal. *
	)	
AMOS C. WILKINS and JASPER WEBER,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 20th day of May, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Amos C. Wilkins, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred to 6 months from this date.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff, )	
	)	
-vs-	)	No. 5156 - Criminal. *
	)	
R. L. PRATHER and E. T. FIGUET,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 20th day of May, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, R. L. Prather and E. T. Figuet, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3, as charged in the Indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

R. L. PRATHER:

- Count 1. Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail for the term of Eight (8) months, from date of delivery, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.
- Count 2. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One (\$1.00) Dollar, and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.
- Count 3. Be Committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail for the term of Eight (8) months, from date of delivery. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

5166 Cr. Contd.

H. T. PIGUET:

- Count 1. Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail for the term of Eight (8) months from date of delivery, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.
- Count 2. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One (\$1.00) Dollar, and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.
- Count 3. Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail for the term of Eight (8) months from date of delivery. Said sentence of confinement to run concurrent with sentence in Count One (1).

-----

D. STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff, )	
	)	
-vs-	)	No. 5167 - Criminal. ✓
	)	
BUMP, H. T. BUMP, ED SON and MACK FOGLEMAN.	Defendants.)	

Now on this 20th day of May, A. D. 1931, comes the United States ney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, L. D. Bump, Bump, Ed Robinson and Mack Fogleman, appearing in person. The defendants ach arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: L. D. Bump enters his of guilty; H. T. Bump enters his plea of guilty; Mack Fogleman enters his of guilty; Ed Robinson enters his plea of not guilty, all as charged in the tment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that ent and sentence be imposed as follows:

L. D. BUMP:

- Count 3. Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative in a county jail for the term of Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.
- Count 2. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One (\$1.00) Dollar, and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.
- Count 1. Sentence deferred one year, during good behavior.

5167 Cr. Contd.

W. F. BIER:

- Count 3. Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail for the term of Ninety (90) days from date of delivery.
- Count 2. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One (\$1.00) Dollar, and in default thereof, stand committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.
- Count 1. Sentence deferred one year during good behavior.

WACH FOGLEMAN:

Sentence deferred six (6) months from date.

And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be dismissed as to defendant, Ed. Robinson, on motion of W. F. Attorney, due to sufficient evidence.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff, )
	)
-vs-	)
	)
C. SEAFIN, CLAUDE THOMPSON, E. ARRADONDO, J. D. HENRY BERNIE CRASON,	Defendants.)

No. 5196 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 20th day of May, A. D. 1931, comes the United States attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants E. F. Arradondo and Claude Thompson, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea as follows: E. F. Arradondo enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1, 2 and 3; Claude Thompson enters his plea of guilty to Count 1 and his plea of not guilty to Counts 2 and 3 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be set for Tulsa, Oklahoma as to each defendant.

-----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff, )
	)
-vs-	)
	)
ROSE ALBERTY,	Defendant.)

No. 5228 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 20th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motion for continuance be, and the same is hereby, continued. (S. H. Judge).

-----

med exceptions.

F. E. KLEINSMIDT  
Judge of the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

WILLIAMSON & BROWN  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
  
A. E. WILLIAMS  
Attorneys for Defendant.  
Assist. U. S. Attorney

RECORDED: Filed May 20, 1931  
E. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Johnson Chevrolet Company, a Plaintiff, )  
Corporation, )  
vs. ) No. 1017 - Law. ✓  
Chevrolet Motor Company, a )  
Corporation, ) Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY

On this 17th day of April, 1931, this case came regularly on trial, the plaintiff appeared by H. B. Martin, its attorney, and the defendant appeared by Keaton, Wells, Johnston & Barnes and Kleinschmidt & Johnson attorneys, and both parties announcing ready for trial, a jury was duly impaneled and sworn and the attorney for plaintiff made his opening statement to the jury. Thereupon, the defendant moved the court for judgment in its favor on the pleadings in the case and the opening statement of the plaintiff, and a motion is by the Court sustained to which the plaintiff then and there duly assented.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that the plaintiff take nothing by its suit, and that the said defendant go hence without day, and it is further considered that said plaintiff pay the costs of this action, for a writ execution issue, to which judgment the plaintiff then and there duly assented and, for good cause shown, plaintiff is allowed thirty (30) days from date to prepare and present bill of exceptions.

H. B. MARTIN  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
KEATON, WELLS, JOHNSTON & BARNES  
KLEINSCHMIDT & JOHNSON  
Attorneys for Defendant.  
  
F. E. KLEINSMIDT  
Judge U. S. District Court.

RECORDED: Filed May 20 1931  
E. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Fred C. Herrington, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1065 Law. ✓  
United States of America, Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 20th day of May, 1931, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open court, before Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, plaintiff appearing in person and by his attorney, Merle G. Smith, and the defendant, the United States of America, appearing by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, V. Fitts, Regional Attorney for the United States Veterans Bureau, and T. C. Llamson and R. B. Keeman, Special Attorneys for the United States Veterans Bureau, and both sides having heretofore waived a jury in this cause, and having pronounced ready for trial;

WHEREUPON, the plaintiff introduced his testimony, and the defendant introduced its testimony, and both sides rest; and the Court, after hearing the testimony of witnesses sworn and examined in open court, and the argument of counsel for plaintiff and of counsel for the defendant, and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that the issues, as joined and tried in this cause, should be decided in favor of the defendant, and that the plaintiff should take nothing as a result of this law suit.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the plaintiff, Fred C. Herrington, be denied his judgment as prayed in this case, and that he take nothing as a result of this suit, and that the defendant recover its costs herein, to which order and judgment of the Court plaintiff excepts, and exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE.

MERLE G. SMITH  
Attorney for Plaintiff.

A. E. WILLIAMS  
A. E. Williams  
Assistant United States Attorney,  
Attorney for Defendant.

WITNESSED: Filed May 22 1931  
R. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Sam Kramer, Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
General Paint Corporation, ) No. 1078 Law.  
Corporation, and Will, Hubbell )  
Company, a corporation, Defendants.)

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL.

The petition of General Paint Corporation, a corporation, and Will, Hubbell & Company, a corporation, defendants in the above entitled cause, an appeal from the judgment of this court heretofore rendered, and filed entered herein, to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, is hereby granted, and said appeal is allowed, upon condition of the giving of the bond heretofore required by the order of this court,

And petitioners having filed the bond executed by them, and by Massachusetts Bonding and Insurance Company, as surety, in the sum of \$10,000.00, and conditioned as required by law, and pursuant to said former order of this court, the same is now approved, and it is ordered that said bond shall operate as a supersedeas of the judgment made and entered in the above case, and shall suspend and stay all further proceedings in this court until termination of said appeal.

It is ordered that a certified copy of the record and proceedings upon which the judgment herein was rendered, be transmitted to the United States Circuit Court of Appeal for the Tenth Circuit.

Dated this 20 day of May, 1931.

F. E. KRAMER  
Judge of the United States District  
Court for the Northern District of  
Oklahoma.

ORSEED: Filed May 20 1931  
H. E. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JOY GODWIN POPE PLUMBER, Plaintiffs )  
NITA HAINES, )  
vs. )  
JOY GODWIN, BEDFORD GODWIN, JR. ) No. 1138 Law ✓  
GINIA GODWIN, MARYS MERCANTILE )  
PAINT, LICHASOT-GODWIN LUMBER )  
PAINT, C. V. POPE, Defendants.)

JOURNAL ENTRY



The Court, after hearing the evidence of witnesses sworn and examined in open court, finds the issues in favor of the plaintiff; that is, that D. P. Ogden is the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian for Glenn Marple, an incompetent; that he brings said cause on behalf of his ward; that the said ward is a resident in and for Ottawa County, Oklahoma; that Glenn Marple was inducted into the military service of the United States of America, July 22, 1918, and that he remained in the service of the said United States, as a private soldier, until the 18th of October, 1919, and that on said date he was given honorable discharge, and was not recommended for re-enlistment on account of his mental condition.

The Court further finds that the said Glenn Marple applied for and received insurance, according to the provisions of the War Risk Insurance Act, in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00), and that said policy was issued on the 28th of August, 1918; that a certificate in lieu of the policy was issued to said Glenn Marple, the number of which was 3873176.

The Court further finds that under the terms and conditions of the War Risk Insurance Act and said policy, in case the said Glenn Marple became totally and permanently disabled while said insurance was in full force and effect, the same became due and payable to the said Glenn Marple at the rate of Fifty-seven Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$57.50) per month.

The Court further finds that the premiums on said policy were deducted from the assured's pay while he was in the service of the United States Army; that the Court further finds that the last premium deducted was for the month of October, 1919, and that under the thirty day period of grace said policy would have lapsed on December 1, 1919.

The Court further finds that at the time of his discharge from the United States Army the said Glenn Marple was mentally incompetent, and has remained in such condition to this date, and on account thereof he has been permanently and totally disabled to continuously follow any substantially gainful occupation.

The Court further finds that under the evidence it is reasonably certain that said Glenn Marple will continue his present condition throughout his life, and that, therefore, premiums were and are waived.

The Court further finds that Commons & Chandler, attorneys for plaintiff, are duly licensed and practicing attorneys, of Miami, Oklahoma, and that said attorneys are entitled to have allowed and paid to them for services rendered on this behalf 10% of the amount of the award, and to be paid to the plaintiff under the terms of this judgment.

The Court further finds that the amount now due the plaintiff is the sum of \$57.50 per month from and after the 18th day of October, 1919, to the date of this judgment, as computed by the United States Veterans Bureau, based on this judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE of the Court that the plaintiff do have and recover from the defendant the sum of \$57.50 per month from and after the 18th day of October, 1919, to the date of this judgment, to be computed by the United States Veterans Bureau, as aforesaid.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER, JUDGMENT AND DECREE of the Court that one-half of the moneys hereby awarded shall be paid to said attorneys, Commons

andler, as their reasonable attorneys' fee in said cause, 10% of this judgment and award, said amount or amounts to be paid to the said Commons & Chandler the Director of the United States Veterans Bureau, and deducted from the amount of this judgment and the award to said plaintiff.

To all of which finding and judgment the defendant prays and is allowed exceptions.

F. E. KENHAUER  
JUDGE

A. E. WILLIAMS  
A. E. Williams  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Atty for Defendant.

COMMONS & CHANDLER  
COMMONS & CHANDLER  
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

ORSEED: Filed May 20 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

BIE AUGUST,  
vs.  
HERBERT AID. EAST EXPRESS,  
Plaintiff,  
Defendant.

No. 1183 - L. ✓

O R D E R.

Now on this 20th day of May, 1931, the motion of the plaintiff for the dismissal of this action for the reason and on the ground that the same has been fully settled and compromised out of Court, coming on regularly to be heard, and the Court being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this action be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice, at the cost of the plaintiff.

F. E. KENHAUER  
District Judge.

EAR BRADEN  
Attorney for Plaintiff,  
WENNINGTON ROGERS  
Attorney for Defendant

ORSEED: Filed May 20 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

HERBERT MOORE, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1203 - Law. ✓  
E. CREDIT CO., CORPORATION, Defendant. )

Now on this 20th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that defendant herein be, and they are hereby, given five days additional within which to answer. (F.E.K. Judge).

L. MCCOY, ET AL., Plaintiffs, )  
-vs- ) No. 1271 - Law. ✓  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 20th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that Writ of Habeas Corpus be, and the same is hereby, denied as to each defendant. It is further ordered by the Court that said cause be remanded to Commissioner for further proceedings. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FERGUSON, Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
WAY TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, ) No. 1274 Law. ✓  
a corporation, WARDWAY, Inc., )  
a corporation, and J. G. PUTER- )  
BAUGH, as Receiver for WARDWAY )  
TRANSPORTATION COMPANY and )  
Wardway, Inc., Defendants. )

ORDER OF REMOVAL AND WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

Upon reading the verified petition for removal of J. G. Puterbaugh Receiver of the Wardway Transportation Company, a corporation, and Wardway, Inc., a corporation, and upon motion of Hal Crouch, counsel for said Receiver,

IT IS ORDERED that cause No. 49608 in which John Ferguson is plaintiff, and the said Receiver is defendant, pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, be removed into the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma for trial, and that a writ of certiorari be granted herein to the said District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, requiring said Court to transmit the record and proceedings in said cause to this Court within ten days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the said Writ be served by delivery to the Court Clerk of Tulsa, County, Oklahoma, a certified copy thereof.  
FILED: Filed May 20 1931 F. E. KEMMELER  
F. E. Warfield, Clerk United States District Judge.  
U. S. District Court W

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

GENTRY, Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
WARDWAY TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, ) No. 1275 Law. ✓  
a corporation, WARDWAY, Inc., )  
a corporation, and J. G. PUTER- )  
H, as Receiver for WARDWAY )  
TRANSPORTATION COMPANY and )  
WARDWAY, Inc., Defendants.)

ORDER OF REMOVAL AND WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

Upon reading the verified petition for removal of J. G. Puter-  
h, Receiver of the Wardway Transportation Company, a corporation, and Ward-  
way, Inc., a corporation, and upon motion of Hal Crouch, counsel for said  
receiver,

IT IS ORDERED that cause No. 49610, in which Lula Gentry is  
plaintiff, and the said Receiver is defendant, pending in the District Court  
of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, be removed into the District Court of the United  
States for the Northern District of Oklahoma for trial, and that a writ of cer-  
tiorari issue herein to the said District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, re-  
quiring said Court to transmit the record and proceedings in said cause to this  
Court within ten days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the said Writ be served by delivering  
to the Court Clerk of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, a certified copy thereof.

F. E. McALLISTER  
United States District Judge.

FILED: Filed May 20 1931  
F. E. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court W

-----  
Court adjourned until May 21, 1931.





And it is the FURTHER ORDER OF THIS COURT, that the Honorable W. Giddens, Deputy Prohibition Administrator, be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to turn said sugar over to the Covenant Training Home for Children of Tulsa, Oklahoma,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the said Wm. R. Giddens take a receipt from the managing officer of said institution and that he make his return to this court, showing what he has done under and by virtue of this order.

F. E. KENNEDY  
United States District Judge.

HARRY SEATON  
Assistant U. S. Attorney

RECORDED: Filed May 21, 1931  
E. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JMR

-----  
IN RE MATTER OF THE RECEIVERSHIP OF THE  
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF COLLINSVILLE,  
OKLA.

CASE # 457 L ✓

IRVING PAGE, RECEIVER

ORDER APPROVING RECEIVER'S SALE

Now on this 21st day of May, 1931, there comes on for hearing, the application of Irving Page, as Receiver of the First National Bank of Collinsville, Oklahoma, an insolvent banking association, for an order of Court approving and confirming a sale made by him under an authority of an order of this Court entered on the seventh day of January, 1931, and the Court being well and advised and informed finds:

That, after due notice and advertising, the said Receiver of said bank on Friday, May 1, 1931 in the town of Collinsville, Oklahoma sold at public outcry the following assets to the different persons and for the various amounts as follows, To Wit:

Asset 721 representing the equity of the trust, subject to a mortgage of \$500.00, in 555 acres of land in Roosevelt County, New Mexico: described as lands in Lots 3 and 4, and the E/2 of the SW/4 and the SW/4 of the W/2 of the SE/4 of Section 20, Township 8 South, Range 35. Title to land acquired by foreclosure of real estate mortgage which was security to note of E. W. Ware (asset 721) was sold to J. K. McCarty for \$70.00.

That, asset 1124, the equity, if any, in Lot C, Block 52, Collinsville, Oklahoma was sold to Paul Thompson for \$5.00.

That, asset 1126, the equity, if any, in 20 acres of land described as the E/2 of the E/2 of the NW/4 of the NE/4 and the W/2 of the W/2 of the E/4 of the NE/4 of Section 8, Township 22, Range 14 East was sold to Stanberg for \$45.00.

That, asset 1193, the equity, if any, in Lot 4, Block 6, Settlement to Collinsville, Oklahoma, also Lot 5, Block 12, Middleton and Tyler's Addition to Collinsville, Oklahoma was sold to R. L. Harper for \$6.00.

That, the furniture and fixtures as listed and set out in Exhibit A attached to the petition and made a part thereof were sold for \$7.45.

That, all the remaining assets of every kind including notes, drafts, cash items, overdrafts and the like were sold to R. D. Alexander for \$10.00.

That, the trust owns an undivided seven-ninths interest in 80 acres of land described as the S/2 of the SE of Section 1, Township 25, Range 13, Washington County, Oklahoma, upon which there is a first mortgage lien of \$100.00 and delinquent taxes and interest. That in order to save expenses in closing and quieting the title, one, D. W. Tyler has offered to pay this claimer the sum of \$15.00 for a quit claim deed.

That, there yet remains in the hands of the Receiver of the said trust certain articles of intangible value, which is held for safekeeping for the customers of the former bank, such as abstracts, tax receipts, the like, and that some suitable and trustworthy custodian should be appointed to receive from the Receiver such articles, in order that the owners thereof may hereafter have an opportunity to obtain them.

That, the Receiver of this said trust has in all things acted to the best interest of the trust, and in accordance with the orders of this Court, that the prices received for the various assets set forth in his application filed herein, was the best prices that could be obtained therefor and that price is not disproportionate to their true value.

That, the sale of said assets has been duly reported by the said Receiver to the Comptroller of the Currency of the United States, who, in his order dated May 12, 1931 duly approved the said sale and authorized the acceptance of the bids made for the assets as listed in said application filed herein.

That, the matter of executing a quit claim deed to an undivided seven-ninths interest in 80 acres of land described as the S/2 of the SE of Section 1, Township 25, Range 13, Washington County, Oklahoma, was duly submitted to the said Receiver to the Comptroller of the Currency of the United States, who, in his letter dated February 19, 1931 authorized the acceptance of the offer of \$15.00 for a quit claim deed as set out in the petition herein.

WHEREFORE, IT IS, BY THE COURT, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, that the sale of all the remaining assets of the First National Bank of Collinsville, Oklahoma made by Irving Page, as Receiver of the said trust on the first of May, 1931, is hereby, in all things confirmed and approved.

That, wherever a chattel mortgage or security appears to be collateral to any of the notes sold, that the Receiver is hereby ordered and instructed to execute the proper assignment of such security to the purchaser of note.

That, he is further hereby ordered and instructed to execute a quit claim deed to J. W. Mc Carty covering 335 acres of land in Roosevelt

to, New Mexico, being described as Lot 3 and 4 and the E/2 of the SW/4 and W/2 of the SE/4 of Section 20, Township 8, South, Range 35.

That, he is further ordered and instructed to execute a quit deed conveying the equity which the trust has, if any, in Lot 8, Block 58, Collinsville, Oklahoma to Paul Thompson.

That, he is further ordered and instructed to convey by quit deed the equity of the trust in 20 acres of land described as the E/2 of E/2 of the NW/4 of the NE/4 and the W/2 of the W/2 of the NE/4 of the NE/4 of Section 8, Township 22, Range 14, E. to John Stanberg.

That, he is further ordered and instructed to convey by quit deed the equity of the trust in Lot 4, Block 6, Settles Addition to Collinsville, Oklahoma, also Lot 5, Block 12, Middleton and Tyler's Addition to Collinsville, Oklahoma to R. L. Harper.

That, he is further ordered and instructed to deliver to R. D. Anderson all the remaining assets of every kind including judgment, cash, overdrafts and the like, the notes & other items to be indorsed without recourse and without warranty of any kind or character.

It is further ordered by the Court that the American Exchange Bank of Collinsville, Oklahoma be, and is hereby appointed custodian to receive from Irving Page, Receiver of this said trust all and singular, the safekeeping of intangible value, which is held by him as Receiver of said trust, to the benefit of customers of the former bank.

F. L. BENHAMER  
United States District Judge for  
the Northern District of Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed May 21, 1931  
H. B. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court NE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Flournoy, Guardian of John Complainant, )  
Flournoy, an Incompetent, )  
-vs- ) No. 1049 LAW. ✓  
United States of America, Defendant. )

JOURNAL ENTRY OF VERDICT

Now on this 18th day of May, 1931, the same being a regular judicial day of the Special March term of this court, the above styled and numbered coming on for trial pursuant to the regular assignment, and the complainant, H. C. Flournoy, guardian of John Roy Flournoy, an incompetent person, present in his own proper person and by his counsel, A. F. Mountcastle, Moore, Oklahoma and the defendant, the United States of America, being represented by its counsel, the Honorable United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and Honorable J. W. Bates, Regional Attorney for the

of Oklahoma, and a jury having been previously waived in writing and said verdict having been filed in open court and both parties announcing readiness for trial, and on application of complainant before the introduction of any testimony the complainant was permitted to amend by interlineation his bill of complaint so that the same now asks for judgment from the 19th day of January, 1919, up to and including the 18th day of May, 1931, at the rate of \$57.50 per month; and the court proceeded to hear the evidence offered on behalf of the complainant and on behalf of the defendant, and after both sides had rested, and the argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises and after giving full consideration to the evidence and to the law, and on motion of complainant for judgment, finds that the complainant has sustained the allegations of his petition and is entitled to a judgment accordingly and is entitled to have and recover of and from the Government of the United States the sum of \$57.50 per month for each and every month from the 19th day of January, 1919, to and including the 18th day of May, 1931.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court, that the complainant, M. C. Flournoy, as the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian of John Roy Flournoy, an incompetent person, shall have and recover of and from the United States of America, the defendant herein, such amount as may lawfully be found due pursuant to the contract of insurance and controlling statutes and regulations of the United States Veteran's Bureau, based on the judgment of this court; that the said John Roy Flournoy became totally and permanently disabled and unable to follow a gainful occupation continuously on or before the 19th day of January, 1919, and has remained in that condition at all times since that time and is now in that condition. That at the time of his becoming permanently and totally disabled that the policy of insurance sued upon herein was in full force and effect and that the sum granted said insured was in the sum of \$10,000.00, and payable at the rate of \$57.50 per month upon his becoming permanently and totally disabled so long as said insured remained in that condition, and that his guardian herein, since he is now an incompetent person, should have and recover the sum of \$57.50 per month from the 19th day of January, 1919, up to and including the 18th day of May, 1931, and as to complainant's future claims this is without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by this court, that the complainants' counsel, R. M. Mountcastle, receive as a reasonable attorney's fee, ten percent of the amount recovered herein, and ten percent of each and every installment paid to said complainant herein, and that said amount be paid to said counsel by the defendant out of the sum found due to said complainant.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by this court, that the complainant have all other and further relief as may be fitting and proper.

To all of which findings and judgment the defendant then and there excepted.

R. M. MOUNTCASTLE  
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

R. M. MOUNTCASTLE  
SOLICITOR FOR COMPLAINANT.  
AS TO FORM:  
A. E. WILLIAMS  
SYNDICATOR FOR DEFENDANT. Assist U. S. Atty.  
CASED: Filed May 21 1931

M. F. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court J.P.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Margeret E. Baker and Margeret E. Baker, )  
Administratrix of the Estate of Gilbert )  
Wilson, deceased, and Robert Wilson, )  
Executor of the last will and testament )  
Emma Beatrice Terrell, deceased, ) No. 1070 Law. ✓  
Plaintiffs, )  
vs. )  
United States of America, Defendant. )

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This cause came on to be heard this 21st day of May, 1931, pursuant to regular assignment, to trial. The said plaintiffs, Margeret E. Baker, Margeret E. Baker, administratrix of the estate of Gilbert B. Wilson, deceased, and Robert Wilson, executor of the last will and testament of Emma Beatrice Terrell, deceased, appeared by their attorney, Merle G. Smith of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and the said defendant, by its attorney, A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, and also by J. V. Fitts, Regional Attorney for United States Veterans Bureau; and all parties announcing ready for trial a jury being waived in open Court, and the said plaintiffs and said defendant having heretofore stipulated as to the facts in this case, and the Court being fully advised in the premises and on consideration thereof, finds that the plaintiffs have sustained the allegations of their petition and the various supplemental petitions filed herein, and are entitled to judgment accordingly.

That the deceased insured, Gilbert B. Wilson, enlisted in the military service on September 19, 1917, and was discharged on July 16, 1918; that in the military service he applied for and was granted \$10,000.00 War Risk Insurance, payable for death or permanent and total disability.

That the said deceased insured named as beneficiary of such insurance the plaintiff, Margeret E. Wilson, now Margeret E. Baker, for the sum of \$10.00, and Emma Beatrice Terrell, now represented by Robert Wilson, executor of last will and testament, the sum of \$2,000.00.

That the said beneficiary, Emma Beatrice Terrell died on April 1931, leaving a will in which she designated the plaintiff, Robert Wilson, executor.

That the said Robert Wilson is now the duly qualified and acting executor of the estate of Emma Beatrice Terrell, deceased.

That Margeret E. Baker is the duly qualified and acting administratrix of the estate of Gilbert B. Wilson, deceased.

That the said insured, Gilbert B. Wilson, died on October 25, 1918

That on or about May 15th, 1930, the plaintiff, Margeret E. Baker brought suit in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, against the defendant on the contract of insurance carried by the deceased Gilbert B. Wilson.

That the plaintiffs, Margeret E. Baker, administratrix, and Robert Wilson, executor, have been joined as parties plaintiff herein because of their interest in this suit.

That on August 1, 1918, there was a monthly premium due on the \$10,000.00 contract of War Risk Insurance granted to the said Gilbert B. Wilson, which remained unpaid.

That the United States Veterans Bureau had determined that on the date of lapse of said contract of insurance the said Gilbert B. Wilson was suffering from a compensable disability, and at the date of his death, on October 25, 1918, there was due the said Gilbert B. Wilson uncollected compensation sufficient in amount to prevent the lapse of the said \$10,000.00 contract of War Risk Insurance.

That after deducting the unpaid premiums and interest from the date of lapse to the date of the death of the insured according to law, there remained a net face value of \$9,980.10 payable in monthly installments of \$39, beginning October 25, 1918, the date of the death of the insured.

That said Margeret E. Baker is entitled to installments of War Risk Insurance due on 8/10ths of said insurance, from October 25, 1918, the date of the death of the said Gilbert B. Wilson, deceased, to this date.

That there is due and payable to said Robert Wilson, executor of the last will and testament of said Emma Beatrice Terrell, deceased, the installments on 2/10ths of said insurance from October 25th, 1918, the date of death of the said Gilbert B. Wilson, deceased, to and including installment March 25, 1931.

The Court further finds that upon the death of the said Emma Beatrice Terrell, the remaining installments of said 2/10ths portion of said certificate of War Risk Insurance of which the said Emma Beatrice Terrell was beneficiary, passed to the heirs of said Gilbert B. Wilson, deceased, and was due and payable to Margeret E. Baker, administratrix of the estate of Gilbert B. Wilson, deceased, in a lump sum according to law.

The Court finds there is a disagreement between the plaintiffs and the United States Veterans Bureau, in regard to the liability of said defendant on said certificate of War Risk Insurance, as provided by the War Risk Insurance Act as amended, and that this Court has jurisdiction to determine said case.

The Court further finds that the said certificate of War Risk Insurance, above mentioned, is now, and has been at all times since its issuance in full force and effect.

The Court further finds that an attorney's fee of ten percent is reasonable and has been earned by Berle C. Smith, attorney of record for the plaintiffs in this case.

It is therefore, adjudged and decreed by the Court, that the plaintiffs, Margeret E. Baker, do have and recover of and from said defendant, the United States of America, the installments on 8/10ths of said insurance from the 25th day of October, 1918, to this date, the 21st day of May, 1931.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said plaintiff, Robert Wilson, executor of the late will and testament of Beatrice Ferrell, deceased, do have and recover of and from the said defendant, the United States of America, the installments on 2/10ths of said insurance from the 25th day of October, 1918, to and including the installment on the 25th day of March, 1931.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said plaintiff, Margaret E. Baker, administratrix of the estate of Gilbert Wilson, deceased, to have and recover of and from the said defendant, the nce of said 2/10ths portion of said insurance.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that plaintiffs' counsel, Merle G. Smith, of Guthrie, Oklahoma, receive as a reasonable attorney's fee ten percent of all payments including those payments to be made in the future, as a result of this judgment, same to be paid to said counsel by the defendant, The United States of America, as provided by the World War Veterans Act, as amended.

F. E. KENNEDY  
Judge.

MERLE G. SMITH  
Atty for Plaintiffs.  
A. E. WILLIAMS  
Assist. U. S. Atty  
Atty for Defendant

FILED: Filed May 21 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court W

LEBETH RENTFROW, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1183 - Law. ✓  
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 21st day of May, A. D. 1931, the above styled case called. Both sides present and an ounce ready for trial. Opening statements counsel are made. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Dr. C. E. Calhoun, Mrs. Rentfrow, Herbert Willaby, A. J. C. R. Bennett, A. E. Crawford, Frank Rentfrow, Jno. F. Rentfrow. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Thereupon, the Defendant moves for judgment, motion, is by the Court, overruled and exceptions allowed. Thereafter, defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Smith, Joe Ward, Walter Seal. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, defendant moves for judgment. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that defendant's motion be, and it is hereby, taken under advisement to May, 22,

WM HILLER MOORE, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1157 - Law. ✓  
THE STATES OF OREGON, Defendant. )

Now on this 21st day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, that the above styled cause be, and it is hereby stricken from the docket assignment of this date. It is further ordered by the Court that said cause be set at Bartlesville.

-----  
W. J. BARRIS, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1177 - Law. ✓  
THE STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. )

Now on this 21st day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled and numbered cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed. (F.M.K. Judge.)

On this 22nd day of May, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John W. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John W. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ELLANEIOUS - ADMISSION TO THE BAR - W. KLEIN:

On this 22nd day of May, A. D. 1931, it being made satisfactorily appear that W. Klein, is qualified for admission to the Bar of the Court, oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorney is declared admitted to the Bar of the Court.

-----  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5170 - Criminal. ✓  
LLOYD SMITH and ELIZABETH SMITH, Defendants.)

Now on this 22nd day of May, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendants, Rudolph Smith and Elizabeth Smith, appearing in person. The defendants are each arraigned and each enters a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

RUDOLPH SMITH

Count 2. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a county jail, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

Count 1. Deferred during good behavior until June 1932, at Bartlesville.

ELIZABETH SMITH

Count 2. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative, for confinement in a county jail, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 1. Deferred during good behavior until June 1932, at Bartlesville. ---

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ited States of America, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 vs. ) No. 5170 Criminal. ✓  
 )  
 Polph Smith, and )  
 Elizabeth Smith, Defendants.)

ORDER OF COURT

And now on this the 22nd day of May, 1931, the same being a regular day of the Special March A. D. 1931 Term of said court, there coming on for hearing the above entitled matter and each of said defendants pleading guilty to possession and transportation of intoxicating liquor as charged in the indictment, and it further appearing to the court on the recommendation of the United States Attorney that each of said defendants had deposited the sum of \$1000.00 with the Clerk of the United States District Court in lieu of bail, and it further appearing to the court that said defendants, and each of them were fined in the sum of \$100.00, on the second count and that the first count was deferred for a period of one year or until the first Monday in June, 1932, and it further appearing to the court that said defendants, and each of them, were moving that the cash in lieu of bail be paid to the said defendants, and it further appearing that said sum stands in the names of the respective defendants,

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Clerk of the United States District Court be, and he is hereby directed to pay to said defendants, said sum of \$1000.00, each, less the fine imposed in said case and the empondage costs and fees incurred in the Clerk's office by reason of the depositing of said cash in lieu of bail.

F. B. HENNINGER  
U. S. District Judge

H: HARRY SEATON  
Assistant United States Attorney.

DORCED: Filed May 22 1931  
H. F. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
ITED STATES OF MINNESOTA, Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 -vs- ) No. 5199 - Criminal. ✓  
 )  
 GEORGE CLAR, Defendant.)

Now on this 22nd day of May, A.D. 1931, it is ordered by the court that judgment and sentence heretofore imposed be now modified to read as follows:

Be committed to the ~~custody~~ <sup>custody</sup> of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a United States

\$199 Cr. Cont'd.

Penitentiary for the term of eighteen (18) months, from date of delivery, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

-----  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

El Charley, an incompetent person, Plaintiff, :  
Ernest F. Seaman, his guardian, :  
vs. : No. 1087 - Law  
Sinnclair Crude Oil Purchasing Company, :  
Inc., Defendant. :

JOURNAL ENTRY AND DECREE

Now on this 22nd day of May, 1931, this cause comes on to be heard before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, said cause being at issue and regularly set for trial on this date, and the plaintiff appearing in person and by his attorneys Robinson and Quincy J. Jones; and the defendant appearing by its attorneys Ray S. Fellows and Joseph A. Gill Jr., and the Britton-Johnson Oil Company appearing by its attorneys W. D. Abbott and Paxton Howard, the said Britton-Johnson Oil Company having been notified to appear and defend in this cause under warranty of title and agreement of indemnity in the sale of oil to the above named defendant;

And the court having heard the evidence in this cause and the testimony submitted by counsel for the above mentioned parties, now finds all the facts of fact and law in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the complainant take nothing prayed for in his complaint and that said complaint be dismissed and judgment is hereby rendered against the complainant for the costs incurred and said complainant is hereby denied any relief whatsoever against said defendant.

To which judgment of the court the plaintiff excepts and exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER,  
United States District Judge.

W. D. ABBOTT, Atty for Britton-Johnson Oil Company  
ROBINSON & JONES, Attys for Pltf., Ray S. Fellows & Joseph A. Gill, Jr.  
FILED: Filed Jun 1 1931 for Sinnclair Crude Oil Purchasing Co.  
F. F. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

E. Sidwell, Administratrix Plaintiff, )  
the estate of Jack Dana )  
will, deceased, )

No. 1062 Law. ✓

vs.

United States of America, Defendant. )

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 19th day of May, 1931, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open court, before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the plaintiff appearing in person, and by her attorney, Merle C. Smith, and the defendant, the United States of America, appearing by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, J. V. Fitts, Regional Attorney for the United States Veterans Bureau, T. C. Williamson and R. E. Heeman, Special Attorneys for the United States Veterans Bureau, and both sides having heretofore waived a jury in this cause, and having announced ready for trial;

WHEREUPON, plaintiff introduced her testimony, and the defendant introduced its testimony, and both sides rest; and the Court, after hearing the testimony of witnesses sworn and examined in open court, and hearing the argument of counsel on behalf of the plaintiff and on behalf of the defendant, said cause under advisement; and thereafter, on May 22, 1931, in open court, having fully considered the evidence on behalf of plaintiff and on behalf of the defendant, and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that the issues as joined and tried in said cause, should be decided in favor of the defendant, and that plaintiff take nothing as a result of this suit.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that plaintiff, Eva L. Sidwell, Administratrix of the estate of Jack Dana Sidwell, deceased, be denied her judgment as prayed in this cause, and that she take nothing as a result of this suit, and that the defendant herein recover costs herein, to which order and judgment of the Court plaintiff excepts, and exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE

A. E. WILLIAMS  
A. E. Williams  
Assistant United States Attorney.

Attorney for Plaintiff.

CRS: Filed Jun 2 1931  
H. F. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JER

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Cavet Hamilton, Plaintiff, )  
vs. ) No. 1063 Law ✓  
United States of America, Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 19th day of May, 1931, this cause came on regularly heard in open court, before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the plaintiff appearing in person, and by his attorney, Merele G. Smith, and the defendant, the United States of America, appearing by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, F. V. Fitts, Regional Attorney for the United States Veterans Bureau, T. C. Williamson and R. E. Reeman, Special Attorneys for the United States Veterans Bureau, and both sides having heretofore waived a jury trial cause, and having announced ready for trial;

WHEREUPON, plaintiff introduced his testimony and the defendant introduced its testimony, and both sides rest; and the Court, after hearing testimony of witnesses sworn and examined in open court, and hearing the argument of counsel for the plaintiff, and for the defendant, too, said cause advised; and thereafter, on May 22, 1931, in open court, having fully considered the evidence on behalf of both the plaintiff and the defendant, and fully advised on the law and the facts in said cause, is of the opinion the issues as joined and tried herein should be decided in favor of the defendant, and that plaintiff take nothing as a result of this suit.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that plaintiff herein, Cavet Hamilton, be denied his judgment as prayed in this suit, and that he take nothing as a result of this suit, and that the defendant herein recover its costs, to which order and judgment of the Court the plaintiff excepts, and exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER  
JUDGE.

A. E. WILLIAMS  
A. E. Williams  
Assistant United States Attorney

FILED: Filed Jun 2 1931  
T. B. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court JAR

-----

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Elizabeth Rentfrow and  
John F. Rentfrow,  
vs.  
United States of America,  
Plaintiffs, )  
 )  
 ) No. 1183 - Law. ✓  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 Defendant. )

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 21st day of May, 1931, this cause came on for hearing, and plaintiffs appearing in person and by their Attorneys, Sam F. Allen, W. V. Prior, and the defendant appearing by its Attorneys, A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and J. Williamson, Attorney for the United States Veterans Bureau, and both parties having announced ready for trial, and having heretofore waived a jury trial by stipulation filed herein, and submitting the issues of both law and fact to the Court, the plaintiffs introduced their evidence and rested; and the defendant introduced its evidence and rested; and both parties moved the Court for a judgment on both the law and the facts.

The Court finds that the deceased insured, Jesse Rentfrow was suffering from Pulmonary Tuberculosis on July 14, 1919, the date of his discharge from military service, and at a time when his \$10,000.00 War Risk Insurance contract was in full force and effect.

The Court further finds that the said Jesse Rentfrow continued to suffer from Pulmonary Tuberculosis until the date of his death, on May 10, 1930, and that he died as a result of said Pulmonary Tuberculosis.

The Court further finds that the said Jesse Rentfrow, at the time of his discharge from military service, on July 14, 1919, was unable to follow continuously any gainful occupation, as contemplated by the War Risk Insurance Act, and the amendments and regulations thereunder, and that said condition continued, uninterrupted, until the date of his death, on May 10, 1930.

The Court further finds that the contract for War Risk Insurance in the amount of \$10,000.00, carried by the insured while in military service, would have lapsed on August 31, 1919, for non-payment of the monthly premium due for the month of August, 1919, had it not been for the aforesaid finding of permanent and total disability before the date of said lapse.

The Court further finds that Elizabeth Rentfrow, plaintiff herein, was the designated beneficiary of the deceased insured's contract of War Risk Insurance, and that such beneficiary was not changed; and further finds that the said Elizabeth Rentfrow is the duly appointed, qualified and acting administratrix of the estate of Jesse Rentfrow, deceased, and that she has been named as a proper party plaintiff in this suit.

The Court further finds that the plaintiff, John F. Rentfrow, without interest in this suit, and will take nothing by this judgment.

The Court further finds that the plaintiff, Elizabeth Rentfrow, administratrix of the estate of Jesse Rentfrow, deceased, is entitled to receive the accumulated installments of insurance at the rate of \$7.50 per

from July 12, 1919, the date of the insured's discharge from military  
service, to and including the monthly installment due on April 12, 1930,  
totaling the sum of \$7,475.00.

The Court further finds that the plaintiff, Elizabeth Rentfrow,  
individually, and as beneficiary of the deceased insured and the insurance  
contract insured upon, is entitled to receive the accumulated installments of in-  
surance at the rate of \$57.50 per month, beginning on May 12, 1930, to and in-  
cluding the installment due on May 12, 1931, totalling the sum of \$747.50.

The Court further finds that the plaintiffs herein are repre-  
sented by Sam T. Allen and W. V. Fryor, Attorneys at Law, and that 10% of the  
amount of recovery herein should be a reasonable fee for their services.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said plaintiff,  
Elizabeth Rentfrow, as administratrix of the estate of Jesse Rentfrow, deceased,  
do recover from the defendant, United States of America, the sum of  
\$747.50, less Attorneys' fees of 10%, as hereinafter provided for.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED that the plaintiff, Elizabeth  
Rentfrow, individually and as beneficiary of the insurance contract herein, is  
entitled to receive the sum of \$747.50 less 10% attorney fee as herein ordered.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED that the defendant pay to Sam  
T. Allen and W. V. Fryor, Attorneys for plaintiffs, the sum of \$822.25, same  
being 10% of the total amount recovered in this judgment, and that said sum be  
deducted from the amount herein found to be due the plaintiffs; and further  
that said Attorneys, Sam T. Allen and W. V. Fryor shall receive 10% of each  
monthly installment hereinafter to be paid, according to law.

To each of the above findings of fact and conclusions of law the  
defendant, by proper motion in open court, excepts, and such exceptions are al-  
lowed.

Done this 22nd day of May, 1931.

F. E. MENDALLER  
JUDGE

W. V. FRYOR & SAM T. ALLEN  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs.

A. B. WILLIAMS  
Assistant United States Attorney.  
Attorney for Defendant.

CEP: Filed May 23, 1931  
H. E. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court ME

SHAWNEE INVESTMENT COMPANY, Plaintiff, )  
Incorporation, )  
-vs- ) No. 1156 - Law.  
D. MAINUS, DIRECTOR, ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 22nd day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the  
Court that agreed statements of facts be, and the same are hereby, filed.  
It is further ordered by the Court that Defendant be given ten (10) days to  
file briefs. It is the further order of the Court that said cause be taken  
under advisement. (F.E.H. Judge).

BRADFORD MOORE, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1207 - Law.  
THE STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant.)

Now on this 22nd day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the  
Court that said cause be stricken from the assignment of this date.

Court adjourned until May 23, 1931.





On this 30th day of May, A.D. 1931, the District Court of the  
nd State for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special March  
Session at Tulsa, not pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. R. Kemmerer, Judge,  
ent and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
John W. Geldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
John W. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following pro-  
ces were had and entered, to-wit:

ASSIGNING CIVIL CASES FOR TRIAL AT BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA.

On this 30th day of May, A.D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court  
the following named and numbered cases be assigned for trial at Tulsa,  
oma, on the days and dates hereinafter specified:

LAW ASSIGNMENT

Thursday, June 11, 1931

Cosden Oil & Gas Co.	vs	C. G. Tibbens.
United States Fidelity & Guaranty Co.	vs	W.D. Ward
Oklahoma Star Gas Co.	vs	Perry Service Co.
R. E. Davis	vs	F. W. Woolworth & Co.

Friday, June 12, 1931

Transcontinental Oil Co.	vs	Tide Water Oil Co.
P. K. French	vs	Gladys Belle Oil Co.
P. K. French	vs	Marquette Oil Co.
Anna M. A. Friedhoff	vs	St. Louis-San Francisco Ry Co.

Monday, June 15, 1931

Sam Yaffe	vs	St. Louis-San Francisco Ry Co.
E. C. Harvey	vs	O. A. Shaw, etal
Viola Taylor	vs	City of Tulsa.

Tuesday, June 16, 1931

Lewis T. Deck	vs	City of Tulsa
J. C. Fughes, Rec.	vs	C. E. Rafferty
Mary Williams, Admx	vs	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Ry. Co.
Anna Landis	vs	John E. Landis

Wednesday, June 17, 1931

McClaren Rubber Co.	vs	Fred V. Madden ect.
Mc Claren Rubber Co.	vs	Fred V. Madden. ect.
Jouett Clouse, et al	vs	Tan Hunt Jr.
James M. Geay	vs	Magnolia Petroleum Co. etal

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE TERRITORY OF OKLAHOMA  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
EAST DIVISION 1931 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, MAY 30, 1931

---

Assignment Cont'd.

Thursday, June 18, 1931

51	Jesse A. Boller	vs	The Mutual Life Ins. Co.
52	Charles Edward Haines	vs	United States
54	Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Ry. Co.	vs	J. R. Autry, etal
60	Lillie C. Trullinger	vs	H. F. Wilcox Oil & Gas Co.

Friday, June 19, 1931

63	Kathleen M. Cox	vs	Mutual Life Ins Co of N. Y.
67	Harry Morris	vs	Woosey Deer
75	Walter J. Higgins	vs	Barnsdall Oil Co. etal
76	J. D. Thomason	vs	H. E. Thomason

Monday, June 22, 1931

88	J. H. Mc Alarney	vs	Tulsa Rolling Mills Co. etal
81	R. B. Hane, admr.	vs	Mid-Continent Petr. Corp etal

Tuesday, June 23, 1931

91	J. D. Towner, et al	vs	Southwestern Bell Telephone Col
92	United States	vs	D. A. McIntyre, etal
93	Elsie Warren	vs	Midland Valley Railroad Co.

Wednesday, June 24, 1931

98	Lilburn Robert Purdy	vs	United States
99	Pauline Beasley	vs	Carter Oil Co. etal
200	Coral Cables, Inc.	vs	Mary Brockman
203	J. Herbert Moore	vs	Retail Credit Co.

Thursday, June 25, 1931

220	Supreme Forest Woodman Circle	vs	Con. School Dist. #3.
225	Bond Torpedo Co.	vs	American Natl Bank of Sapulpa.
226	G. L. Broyles	vs	Shaffer Oil & Refining Co.etal

Friday, June 26, 1931

232	H. Stanley	vs	E. W. Blackburn
233	Oklahoma Company, etal	vs	C. H. Lambert, etal
234	Skelly Oil Co.	vs	Atchison, Topeka & S.F. Ry Co.
236	H. B. Miller	vs	Empire District Electric Co.

Monday, June 29, 1931

239	Bertha H. Houston	vs	Continental Casualty Co.
240	Garold Miller	vs	Empire District Electric Co.
242	Lucile C. Lemon	vs	Massachusetts Protective Assn.
243	Lucile C. Lemon	vs	" "
244	Bank of Chelsea	vs	Maryland Casualty Co.

Assignment Cont'd.

Tuesday, June 30, 1931

354 Paul Gaut vs A T and S.F. Ry Co.  
360 Elmer Patterson vs Sinclair Texas Pipe Line Co.  
361 E. H. Ross vs " " "  
197 Frankel's Inc. a corp. alleged bankrupt

July 1, 1931

JURY WAIVED CASES

089 Willie Oma Rogers vs United States  
157 Calvin Miller Moore vs United States  
161 George F. Seaton vs United States  
207 Roy Bradfor Moore vs United States  
218 Lloyd Hagan McPherson vs United States

LED: Filed May 30 1931  
R. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
E. I. TRUST CO. ET AL, Plaintiffs,  
-vs- No. 589 - Law. ✓  
COLO. LIFE INS. CO. ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 30th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is by the Court order-  
ed that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause,  
as being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ✓

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT  
OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

SING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for  
Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between  
Truist Trust Company, a corporation, as Executor of the Estate of J. Coody  
son, Deceased, Plaintiff, and The Capitol Life Insurance Company of Colorado,  
corporation, Defendant, No. 589 Law, the judgment of the said District Court  
in said cause entered on May 26, 1930, was in the following words, viz:

"It is now considered, ordered and adjudged that the motion of  
plaintiff for judgment be and the same is hereby dismissed, to which  
the plaintiff excepts, and that its written requests for findings of  
fact and conclusions of law as set out in its said written requests be  
and each of them are hereby denied insofar as they are inconsistent with  
the facts found and conclusions stated in the written opinion, to which

separately and as to each request, the plaintiff excepts. And being now finally advised the court finds all issues and concludes in favor of the defendant, to which the plaintiff excepts and on its behalf exceptions are allowed.

"It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff take nothing by its petition and that the defendant have and recover of the plaintiff all of its costs in this behalf, to which judgment plaintiff excepts, and the exceptions on its behalf are allowed, and in open court plaintiff gives notice of appeal. Ninety days are allowed plaintiff to present and file a bill of exceptions herein."

By the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, it was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Exchange Trust Company, as Executor, etc., in obedience to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and as the law appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the April Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this court that the judgment of the said District Court, in this cause, be affirmed, and that The Capital Life Insurance Company, as Appellee, have and recover of and from Exchange Trust Company, as Executor of Last Will and Testament of J. Coody Johnson, Deceased, appellant, its costs herein.

-- April 12, 1931.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

IN WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES E. WHELAN, Chief Justice of the United States, the 23rd day of May, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one.

BY: Appellee.  
entire  
interior record  
taken

ALBERT L. WOOD  
Clerk of the United States Circuit  
Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

COPIES: filed by 50 1931  
W. C. Garfield, Clerk  
D. C. District Court

-----

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IN RE: WARRANT WRIT FOR JOHN STANFORD.

WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS.

United States of America to John Vickrey, United States Marshall.

WHEREAS, Information by affidavit has been laid before the Judge of the U. S. District Court of the Northern District of Oklahoma, that you, the John Vickrey, have illegally in your custody the body of one John Stanford.

This is therefore to command you, all excuses and delays set aside, to have the body of the said John Stanford, before the said Judge of the District Court as aforesaid, by 9 o'clock on the 2 day of June, 1931, at the District Court room at the City of Bartlesville, then and there to do and receive what shall be ordered concerning the said John Stanford; and then and there have writ. Hereto fail not under the penalties of the law.

Witness my hand and the seal of said Court this 30 day of May,

D. B. HARTER  
Judge of the District Court.

W.M.: Filed May 30 1931  
U. S. Marshall, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

-----  
Court adjourned to June 1, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA  
 AT TULSA, OKLAHOMA, PARTLEYSVILLE, OKLAHOMA, THIS 1st DAY OF JUNE, 1931

On this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Regular June Session at Partlesville, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. W. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.  
 John A. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney.  
 John A. Vickrey, United States Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE REPORTER: FRANKLIN L. KENNAMER, Judge  
 of the District Court of the United States  
 for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

In compliance with the law, I hereby respectfully report the condition of the Registry Fund of this Court, at the close of business May 30th, 1931.

Balance in the First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma, at the close of business on February 28th, 1931. \$57,751.17

RECEIVED, Since February 28th 1931.		
April 15, 1931, Geo. W. Lessley, Court Reporter,		250.00
April 22, 1931, The Massachusetts Protective Ass'n.		1,000.00
April 22, 1931, The Massachusetts Protective Ass'n.		1,200.00
May 13, 1931, Mike Tecza,		500.00
May 19, 1931, Mattie Weilzos,		500.00
May 19, 1931, Mary Grozek,		500.00
May 22, 1931, Wilmer Henry,		500.00
Total .....		\$63,501.17

DISBURSED, Since February 28th, 1931.		
April 7, 1931, H. F. Warfield, Clerk,		2,500.00
April 7, 1931, H. F. Warfield, Clerk,		50.00
April 7, 1931, Travis I. Milsten,		2,450.00
April 20, 1931, H. F. Warfield, Clerk,		250.00
May 22, 1931, Rudolph Smith,		890.00
May 22, 1931, Elizabeth Smith,		890.00
May 22, 1931, H. F. Warfield, Clerk,		200.00
May 22, 1931, H. F. Warfield, Clerk,		20.00

Balance in Cash Book and in the First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma, at the close of business May 30th 1931. 56,251.17

Total .....

---

\$63,501.17

---

Respectfully,

H. P. WARFIELD,

H. P. Warfield, Clerk,  
U. S. District Court.

FILED: Filed In Open Court  
June 1 1931  
H. P. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court W

RETURNING PETIT JURORS AT WARTLEVILLE, OKLAHOMA.

On this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the Marshal and makes  
return on the Venire heretofore issued out of this court for Petit Jurors for  
Regular June 1931 Term of Court, at Wartle-ville, Oklahoma. Thereupon, the  
Court calls the names of the Jurors so summoned, as follows:

W. A. Buttman	Ed Bishop
J. M. Burkhardt	E. C. Boswell
T. L. Riggs	M. I. Potete
A. Miller Hammett	George Ash
H. R. Reynolds	Sam Hoffman
T. J. Ellis	Chas. Kaiser
L. W. Thompson	J. R. Alton
Clarence Bradshaw	John Henry
John D. Mayo	C. F. Melton
J. A. Asmussen	C. I. Caldwell
Henry Armstrong	A. W. Forister
Ray D. Painter	L. L. Creveling
Will Barnett	A. S. Johnson
T. A. Gresham	Everett Carpenter
W. L. Mills	I. C. Dennis
W. W. Linville	W. W. Blankenship
E. L. Barnes	Elmer Hart
Chas. A. Beck	Claude Sample
Fred Bishop	C. C. Dyer
J. A. Barth	H. F. Harris

Thereupon, the Court examines said Jurors as to their qualifica-  
tion, and for good cause shown

H. R. Reynolds	C. I. Caldwell
John D. Mayo	W. W. Blankenship
Henry Armstrong	

excused from service as Jurors for the term.

And thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that the following names  
be those who were served but not reporting

J. J. Rudmart  
A. Miller Lammett  
I. J. Thompson  
J. J. Barth  
T. C. Roswell

George Ash  
Clas. Kaiser  
John Terry  
Everett Carpenter  
Claude Sample

W. H. Harris

and they are, hereby stricken from the jury roll.

Thereupon, the balance of said array are accepted as Petit Jury for this Regular June 1931 Term of Court.

WMS: filed Jun 1 1931  
I. J. Warfield, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

WILLIAM STEPHENS,  
et al,

Defendants.

No. 1848 - Criminal.

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney that the defendants, William Stephens and William Howell, be and the same is hereby dismissed.

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

J. J. Baker,

Defendant.

No. 1778 - Criminal.

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of U. S. Attorney, that protection be terminated as to defendant, J. J. Baker, and case closed.

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

MICHAEL,

Defendant.

No. 1921 - Criminal.

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is ordered sentence. Defendant not present. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be closed, on motion of U. S. Attorney on account of default, there being no one identifying.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 2316 - Criminal. ✓  
J. A. BARNES, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, passed temporarily.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 2359 - Criminal. ✓  
M. HOLMES, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of U. S. Attorney, that the above styled case be, and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated to defendant, Marie Holmes.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 2355 - Criminal. ✓  
O. SCURSEY, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant, Otis Scoursey, not present. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of U. S. Attorney, that the above case and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 2357 - Criminal. ✓  
Z. LAWSON, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Zelpha Lawson, present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be closed and probation terminated, all to the order of the U. S. Attorney.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 2364 - Criminal. ✓  
J. W. BROWN, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of U. S. Attorney that the above case be closed and probation terminated.



on for sentence. Defendant Ballard Gilbert not present. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that capias be, and the same is hereby, ordered issued.

-----  
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 2618 - Criminal. ✓  
H. B. ELLISON & EDITH ELLISON, Defendants.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause comes on for sentence. Both defendants present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be, and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated as to both defendants on counts 3 and 4.

-----  
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 2717 - Criminal. ✓  
JOHN CAMP, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause comes on for sentence. Defendant Clifford Camp not present. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be, and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated.

-----  
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 2721 - Criminal. ✓  
GEORGE BACON, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, there comes on for sentence the above styled cause. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, that said case be closed, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, due to defendant, George Bacon, now being in the Penitentiary.

-----  
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 2737 - Criminal. ✓  
S. WHEAT, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that the above styled case be, and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 2762 - Criminal. )  
WALTER W. RUTHERFORD, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Aldin Rutherford, present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated as to defendant Aldin Rutherford.

-----  
THE STATES OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 2817 - Criminal. )  
BIRDGRASS, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Ira Birdgrass not present. Thereupon, it is ordered by Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated.

-----  
THE STATES OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 210 - Criminal. )  
STANLEY CLAUDE S. BIRDGRASS, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that the above styled case be, and same is hereby, dismissed as to defendant Claude S. Birdgrass.

-----  
THE STATES OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 2123 - Criminal. )  
BIRD COLLINS, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Birdche Collins present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be, and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated as to defendant, Birdche Collins.

WILLIAM G. LINDA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 3133 - Criminal. ✓  
W. G. LINDA, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Sherman Turley, present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, that said case be, and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated.

-----  
L. LINDA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 3583 - Criminal. ✓  
D. STIVERS, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Art C. Stivers, present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be, and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated, Counts 1 and 2.

-----  
W. G. LINDA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 3591 - Criminal. ✓  
D. STIVERS, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Alfred Sellers, not present. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed, probation terminated and capias recalled.

-----  
W. G. LINDA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 3617 - Criminal. ✓  
W. G. LINDA, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Herschel Leach present in person. Defendant [Name], not present. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated as to defendant.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )

-vs-

ROSE WILKIE, Defendant. )

No. 2817 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Rose Wilkie present in person. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be, and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )

-vs-

EMANUEL REYARD, Alias  
DEFENDANT, Defendant. )

No. 2881 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Emanuel Reyard present in person. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be, and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )

-vs-

M. E. G. SMITH & SONS, Defendants. )

No. 2925 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Both defendants present in person. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court, that said case be closed and probation terminated as to defendant May Baker. It is further ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney that case be passed to June 3, 1931, as to defendant James Baker.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )

-vs-

J. COLLIER, Defendant. )

No. 2935 - Criminal. ✓

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be, and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated as to defendant, J. COLLIER.

THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4040 - Criminal. ✓  
BROOKS, and W. W. BROOKS, Defendants.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Both defendants present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that case be closed and probation terminated as to each defendant.

THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4144 - Criminal. ✓  
O. B. SWIFT, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Otis B. Swift, present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated.

THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4160 - Criminal. ✓  
IAN JOHNSON, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant William Johnson present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said cause and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated.

THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4265 - Criminal. ✓  
E. STURGES, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Alvie Sturges, present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated as to defendant, E. Sturges.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, }  
-vs- } No. 4434 - Criminal. ✓  
JOHN ROBERT, Defendant. }

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, before the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Cleve Gilbert arising in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 4, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed here- Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be set for trial day, June 8th.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, }  
-vs- } No. 4435 - Criminal. ✓  
JOHN HILLS, Defendant. }

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Joseph Hills present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be passed to the day term at Alaska, in 1932.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, }  
-vs- } No. 4441 - Criminal. ✓  
AMOS GROVE, Defendant. }

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Amos Grove present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be passed to Pawlaska, 1932.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, }  
-vs- } No. 4584 - Criminal. ✓  
FRED COOK & JAMES COOK, Defendants. }

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence as to defendant Fred Cook. Defendant present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated as to defendant Fred Cook.



THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 4647 - Criminal.  
FRED SMITH, Defendant.

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Fred Smith present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be set and probation terminated.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  
-vs- No. 4656 - Criminal.  
J. THOMAS & EARL COLLINS, Defendants.

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

J. J. THOMAS:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a United States Penitentiary for a period of One (1) year and One (1) day, from date of delivery, and pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

EARL COLLINS:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail for a period of Sixty (60) days from date of delivery, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered that the sentence in this indictment, shall run concurrent with the sentence in case number 4651 Criminal in this Court.

-----

THE STATES OF MARYLAND, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4660 - Criminal. ✓  
J. ANN BEE, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Mrs. Anna Bee not present. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be set and probation terminated.

-----

THE STATES OF MARYLAND, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4661 - Criminal. ✓  
J. E. PALLET, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Mrs. J. E. Pallet not present. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be passed. Thereupon, the defendant in this cause is thrice called in open court but answers not. Sureties, Maryland and Billie Davis are thrice called in open court but answer not. Whereupon, it is by the Court ordered that the bond in the sum of \$500.00, in the above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby forfeited, Scire Facias awarded, as capias ordered and new bond set in the sum of \$2500.00.

-----

THE STATES OF MARYLAND, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4661 - Criminal. ✓  
J. E. PALLET, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that bond forfeiture of defendant, Mrs. J. E. Pallet, be, and the same is hereby set aside and bond reinstated. It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed to June 1932 at Bartlesville.

-----

THE STATES OF MARYLAND, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4662 - Criminal. ✓  
CLARENCE SIZEMORE, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Clarence Sizemore present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that sentence be passed to next June, 1932 at Bartlesville.

-----

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4676 - Criminal. ✓  
BROCKEN, BILL BROCKEN, Defendants.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1981, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled case be closed and probation terminated.

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4677 - Criminal. ✓  
WILSON, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1981, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Bert Lythe present in person. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4680 - Criminal. ✓  
BAES, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1981, it is ordered by the Court that the above case be closed and probation terminated.

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4681 - Criminal. ✓  
LOVE WOODARD, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1981, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that the above styled case be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

THE STATE OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4682 - Criminal. ✓  
WILKINS, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1981, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be, and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated.

U. S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,  
Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4714 - Criminal. ✓  
J. LOURY, ) Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated.

-----  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,  
Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4715 - Criminal. ✓  
J. COX, ) Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated.

-----  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,  
Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4721 - Criminal. ✓  
HEIDELBERGER, ) Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated.

-----  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,  
Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4741 - Criminal. ✓  
WALTER LASLEY, ) Defendants. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be dismissed as to defendant, Walter Lasley. It is further ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed on defendant Larry Lasley as follows:

Be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail for a period of six (6) months, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 474 - Criminal. ✓  
BERT RYTHE, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1933, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation granted as to defendant, Bert Rythe.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 475 - Criminal. ✓  
J. STEPHENS and EDWARD D. KELLY, Defendants.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1933, it is ordered by the Court, that said case be, and the same is, hereby passed summarily.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 476 - Criminal. ✓  
J. STEPHENS, JOHN WOODS, Defendants.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1933, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed as to both defendants.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 478 - Criminal. ✓  
L. MARTIN, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1933, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Linna Martin, present in person. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be passed to trial, in March, 1933.

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 479 - Criminal. ✓  
W. BRIDGES, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1933, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant W. C. Bridges present in person. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be passed to trial, in March, 1933.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4797 - Criminal. ✓  
D. WEST, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant D. V. West present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be passed to March, 1932, at Vinita.

-----

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4828 - Criminal. ✓  
H POWERS, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant John Powers present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be passed to March 1932, at Vinita.

-----

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4829 - Criminal. ✓  
JOHNSON, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Bob Johnson present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be set and probation terminated.

-----

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4835 - Criminal. ✓  
JO JOHNSON & STINE MOORE, Defendants. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence as to defendant, Stine Moore. Defendant Stine Moore present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be, and the same is hereby, closed and probation terminated.

-----

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4941 - Criminal. ✓  
EDWARDS, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Sam Edwards, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty, as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereafter, a hearing is had on motion to quash. Thereupon the following witnesses are sworn and examined by the Court: R. E. Dye and H. G. Macomber. And thereafter, after considering the facts and evidence herein, said motion is, by the Court, granted and Defendant, Sam Edwards is discharged.

-----  
THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4942 - Criminal. ✓  
MULVEY, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Tom Mulvey is present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated.

-----  
THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4943 - Criminal. ✓  
GLENDIERING, J. F. TALLY, Defendants. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, the above styled cause is on for sentence as to defendant J. F. Tally. Defendant J. F. Tally present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated.

-----  
THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4944 - Criminal. ✓  
WATKIN, MRS. MARGARET L., Defendants. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1951, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Both defendants present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated.

-----

THE STATES OF MARYLAND, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4871 - Criminal. ✓  
J. McCASLIN, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated.

-----  
THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4897 - Criminal. ✓  
W. SMITH & MYRA SMITH, Defendants.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, that Defendants' motion to quash be, and the same is hereby, sustained and defendants discharged.

-----  
THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4921 - Criminal. ✓  
B. DUNN, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant F. E. Dunn, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

Count 1. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twelve and 50/100 (\$12.50) Dollars, and in default thereof, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

Count 2. Pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twelve and 50/100 (\$12.50) Dollars, and in default thereof, be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States or his authorized representative for confinement in a County Jail, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

-----

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4940 - Criminal. ✓  
CHAS. EARL BROWN, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Both defendants present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be passed to Tulsa in September, 1931.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4948 - Criminal. ✓  
W. F. LEWIS, ROY MARCHBANK, Defendants.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Roy Marchbank present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated as to defendant Roy Marchbank.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4958 - Criminal. ✓  
W. W. BROWN, W. W. BROWN, Defendants.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Warren Brown present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4967 - Criminal. ✓  
W. D. LEWIS, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant W. D. Lewis present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said case be passed to Muskogee, 1932, at Vinita.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4989 - Criminal. ✓  
W. D. LEWIS, Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above cause comes on for sentence. Defendant present. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, that said case be passed to Muskogee, 1932, at Vinita.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 4994 - Criminal. ✓  
C. Farmer, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant J. C. Farmer present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed to Tulsa term.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5030 - Criminal. ✓  
GERTRUDE HEMP, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Gertrude Hemp present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said case be closed and probation terminated.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5039 - Criminal. ✓  
EDD SANCHEZ and RED NELSON, Defendants. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause is on for sentence. Defendant Red Nelson present in person. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, upon motion of the U. S. Attorney, that said cause be closed and probation terminated as to said defendant.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5088 - Criminal. ✓  
EVANS, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, Fred Evans, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be passed to May 1932 at Pawhuska.

WILLIAM H. OWEN, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 1018 - Criminal. ✓  
LEAH STEWART, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that J. W. Hill be, and he is hereby, appointed to represent defendant, to file necessary papers and secure witnesses for defendant, William Hill at the expense of the Government.

THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5097 - Criminal. ✓  
E. HOWELL, Defendant. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, E. Howell, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, it is ordered the Court that judgment and sentence be deferred to next term of Court at ca.

THE STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, )  
-vs- ) No. 5098 - Criminal. ✓  
J. FIERCE, BLAKE BORGAN, Defendants. )

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States Attorney, representing the Government herein, and the defendant, J. Fierce appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of not guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein. Thereupon, said case is called, both sides present in person and by counsel announce ready for trial. A jury is duly empaneled and sworn as to qualifications. Both sides waive challenges. The jury sworn to try said cause and true verdict render is as follows: W. A. Buttman, E. J. Riggs, G. Bradshaw, A. Asmussen, Roy H. Feinter, Will Barnett, T. A. Brecken, C. J. Mills, C. W. Hille, E. L. Barnes, G. A. Beck, Fred Bishop. Thereafter, the Government introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Ed Lye, W. C. Branks, Baker. And thereafter, the Government rests. Thereupon, the defendant produces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: J. F. Fierce, J. Crawford, J. Houston. Closing arguments of counsel are waived and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case. Thereafter, the jury retires to deliberate upon their verdict herein. And thereafter, on this same day the jury return into open court and through their foreman present their verdict, the verdict is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff;  
vs.  
J. F. FIERCE, Defendant. ) No. 5098



WAS DC. 5172:

be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative for confinement in a United States Penitentiary, for a period of, One (1) year and One (1) day from date of delivery, and pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One thousand two hundred fifty three and 74/100 (\$1,253.74) Dollars, and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

No. 5172 - Criminal. ✓

CLARENCE EVANS,

Defendant.

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, comes the United States, by and through the Government herein, and the defendant, Clarence Evans, appearing in person. The defendant is arraigned and enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 as charged in the indictment heretofore filed in. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that Attorney Hallowell be appointed to represent said defendant. And thereafter, defendant withdraws former plea of not guilty and now enters his plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 heretofore charged. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be imposed as follows:

be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States, or his authorized representative for confinement in a United States Penitentiary for a period of:

Count One, Two (2) years,  
Count Two Two (2) years, from date of delivery.  
said sentence of confinement in count Two (2) shall run concurrent to sentence in Count One (1).

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

Plaintiff, )

-vs-

No. 5172 - Criminal. ✓

CLARENCE,

Defendant.

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from the docket and dismissed as of this date.