IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, APRIL 20, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, April 20th, 1931.

Present: T. Blake Kennedy, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
M. M. Ewing, Chief Deputy Clerk.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

HANNAH M. SMITH, Administratrix of the estate of Owen P. Smith, Plaintiff,	)	
-vs-	)	In Equity No. 271.
MAGIC CITY KENNEL CLUB, INC., and JOHN L. SHAUGHNESSY, Defendants.	)	

ORDER ON MANDATE

This cause having been removed from this Court to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, by the appeal of the defendants from the decree entered in this Court on the 15th day of December, 1928, holding plaintiff's patents in suit Nos. 1,379,224; 1,507,440 and 1,630,812, valid and infringed by the defendants; and the said Circuit Court of Appeals having Ordered and Adjudged that the said decree of this Court be reversed with costs, the plaintiff filed a petition for rehearing which was denied by the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit on March 18, 1930; that on April 11, 1930, plaintiff filed a petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, which was granted by the United States Supreme Court on May 19, 1930; that said cause was argued before the United States Supreme Court on January 28, 1931, and that on February 25, 1931, the United States Supreme Court rendered a decision affirming the decision of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and said United States Supreme Court having remanded said cause to this Court, as appears by the Mandate of the said United States Supreme Court now here:

NOW, on motion of defendants, IT IS ORDERED:

(1) That the judgment of the said United States Supreme Court be entered as the judgment of this Court;

(2) That the defendants be released from the bonds filed in this cause, and said bonds be and the same are hereby discharged;

(3) That the annexed decree, setting aside the Order requiring bond in lieu of Preliminary Injunction, discharging said bond and dismissing plaintiff's Bill of Complaint, be filed and made a part of the record in this cause.

(4) That the defendants recover of the plaintiff their costs herein expended and have execution therefor, and that the said costs in this

Court be taxed at an amount to be determined by this Court.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY
Judge, United States District Court.

April 20, 1931.

Approved as to form:

_____

Solicitors and of Counsel
for Plaintiff.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 20 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

HANNAH M. SMITH, Administratrix of the Estate of Owen P. Smith, Plaintiff,	)	In Equity No. 271.
-vs-	)	
MAGIC CITY KENNEL CLUB, INC., and JOHN L. SHAUGHNESSY, Defendants.	)	

FINAL DECREE ON MANDATE

This cause came on for hearing at this term and it appearing that the defendants, Magic City Kennel Club, Inc., and John L. Shaughnessy, heretofore appealed to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit from the decree made by this Court in this cause on the 15th day of December, 1928, holding the plaintiff's patents in suit Nos. 1,379,224; 1,507,440 and 1,630,812 valid and infringed by the defendants, and the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit at its October term 1929 having duly heard said appeal upon the transcript of the record, and having reversed the decree from which appeal was taken, with costs, the plaintiff filed a petition for rehearing which was denied by the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit on March 18, 1930; that on April 11, 1930, plaintiff filed a petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, which was granted by the United States Supreme Court on May 19, 1930; that said cause was argued before the United States Supreme Court on January 28, 1931, and that on February 25, 1931, the United States Supreme Court rendered a decision affirming the decision of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and remanded the cause to this Court, with instructions that such proceedings be had in said cause in conformity with the opinion and decree of said United States Supreme Court as according to right and justice and the laws of the United States ought to be hand, the said appeal notwithstanding, which said order, decree and instructions appear to this Court by the Mandate of the said United States Supreme Court.

NOW, THEREFORE, on filing the said mandate it is Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that said decree of this Court holding plaintiff's patents in suit Nos. 1,379,224; 1,507,440 and 1,630,812 valid and infringed by the defendants, be reversed, set aside and held for naught; that the order requiring bond by the defendants in lieu of Preliminary Injunction heretofore entered in this Court be and the same is hereby set aside and said bond be discharged; that that the bonds filed by the defendants in this cause be and the same are hereby discharged; that plaintiff's Bill of Complaint be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that said defendants recover of said plaintiff, Hannah M. Smith, Administratrix of the Estate of Owen P. Smith, their costs expended in said United States Circuit Court of Appeals taxed at Fourteen Hundred Twenty Two and 25/100 ($1422.25), and that the said defendants recover of said plaintiff their costs expended in this Court taxed at Twelve Hundred Eighty Three ($1283.00) and that the defendants have execution for said costs.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY
Judge United States District Court.

Tulsa, Oklahoma,
April 20, 1931.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 20 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

Court adjourned until April 21, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION PAWHUSKA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, APRIL 20, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Monday, April 20th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

PRAIRIE OIL & GAS CO.	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 571 - Equity.
STEBBINS OIL & GASOLINE CO.,	Defendant.	

Now on this 20th day of April, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that Motion to make answer more Definite and Certain be continued until Friday, April 24, 1931, on request of Attorney for Defendant. (Kennamer Judge).

JANE HASKELL RICHARDSON,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 621 - Equity.
SAPULPA FUEL COMPANY, a Corp.	Defendant.	

Now on this 20th day of April, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that hearing on temporary restraining order and restraining order be, and the same is hereby, continued. Thereafter, motion to strike plea in Intervention and dissolve temporary restraining order filed. Thereafter, the following witnesses are sworn and testify on behalf of Intervenors: Standard Oil and Refining Company, a Corporation, et al, H. M. Watchorn and Mrs. M. V. Watchorn. Thereupon, after being advised fully in the premises, said motion, is by the Court overruled.

Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that judgment heretofore granted Jas. E. Goddard in decree rendered April 8, 1931, in the amount of $43,000.00 and six (6) per cent interest and the further sum of $2,150.00 Attorney's fee is hereby set aside and held for naughtupon testimony of Jas. E. Goddard. It is further ordered by the Court, that Standard Oil and Refining Company, a Corporation, be, and they are hereby, granted leave to file Motion asking that the Sapulpa Gas Company be made a party defendant to this suit. And thereafter, said Motion is granted only as to the Standard Oil & Refining Company, a corporation, and exceptions allowed.

Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that further hearing be had at Tulsa, Saturday, April 25, 1931, at 1:30 P. M. (Kennamer, Judge).

AIR-WAY ELECTRIC APPLIANCE CORP., a corporation,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 629 - Equity.
JOHN C. WOLFE,	Defendant.	

Now on this 20th day of April, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the

Court that hearing on temporary restraining order and motion to dismiss now be heard. Thereafter, the following witnesses are sworn: D.E. Murphy, Mr. King, Joseph W. Shipman and John C. Wolfe, all of said witnesses testified on motinn to dismiss on part of the Defendant. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that temporary restraining order be and the same is hereby dissolved. It is further ordered by the Court that Motion to Dismiss be and the same is hereby, taken under advisement by the Court. (Kennamer, Judge).

Court adjourned until April 21, 1931

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION PAWHUSKA, , OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, APRIL 21, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, April 21st, 1931.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Flora Whitebird, Robert Allen Whitebird, a minor, who sues by Flora Whitebird, his mother and next friend; Helen Ireme Whitebird, a minor, who sues by Flora Whitebird, her grandmother and next friend; Antoine Greenback, Alphonso Greenback, Amy Greenback Panther, Lula May Greenback Stand, Mollie Greenback King, John Greenback, a minor, who sues by Alphonso Greenback, his brother and next friend; Woodrow Wilson Greenback, a minor, who sues by Alphonso Greenback, his next friend, Plaintiffs,	In Equity, No. 178.
vs.	
The Eagle Picher Lead Company, Hunt Mining Company, Commonwealth Mining Company, George W. Beck, Jr., Keltner Mining Company and Whitebird Mining Company, Defendants.	

O R D E R.

Now on this 21st day of April, 1931, this cause comes on for hearing upon the application of C. B. Ames as next friend of the plaintiffs in said cause for an order approving the expenditures made by him as next friend of said plaintiff, and for an order directing him to return the unexpended balance of said sum received to cover said expenses from the Superintendent to the Quapaw Indian Agency.

And the Court having examined said application and having heard the evidence offered thereon finds that applicant received from the Superintendent of the Quapaw Indian Agency the sum of $2500.00 to defray the expenses of this litigation and the appeals therein. That Exhibit "A" attached to said application truly and correctly represents the necessary expenses incurred and paid by said applicant in connection with the said litigation.

WHEREFORE, IT IS CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the expenditures in connection with said litigation as showm by Exhibit "A" annexed to said application, be, and the same are, hereby approved and said applicant is hereby authorized and directed to return to the Superintendent of

the Quapaw Indian Agency the unexpended balance of said sum of $2500.00 amounting to the sum of $424.07.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 21 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

HUGHES TOOL COMPANY, a corporation,	Plaintiff,	
-vs.		In Equity No. 275
INTERNATIONAL SUPPLY COMPANY, a corporation,	Defendant.	

DECREE AFTER MANDATE

This cause, having come on to be heard on the plaintiff's bill, and a decree having been entered herein on the 26th day of April, 1928, wherein it was ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Bill of Complaint be dismissed and an appeal having been taken by the plaintiff, Hughes Tool Company, to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and said appeal having been heard by said court and the mandate of said court having been issued on April 1, 1931, filed in this court on the 2 day ofApril, 1931, the same directing that the decree of this court be reversed with respect to the Godbold and Fletcher Patent No. 1,320,384, and affirmed as to the Scott Patent No. 1,480,014, and the cause remanded to this Court with directions to enter a decree for the plaintiff for the infringement of claims 3 and 4 of said Godbold and Fletcher patent, and if the plaintiff so elects, directing an accounting and with further directions that the cost of printing of the record for appeal in the court below be equally divided between the parties:

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED as follows:

(1) That the decision of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit be and is hereby made the decision of this court.

(2) That the plaintiff herein is the owner of United States Letters Patent No. 1,320,384 issued November 4, 1919, to L. A. Godbold and H. W. Fletcher for "Drill-Cutter" and of U. S. Letters Patent No. 1,480,014 issued January 8, 1924 to Floyd L. Scott, assignor to Hughes Tool Company for "Self-cleaning Roller Drill".

(3) That the claims of said Letters Patent No. 1,480,014 are not infringed by the defendant.

(4) That claims 3 and 4 of Letters Patent No. 1,320,384 to Godbold and Fletcher, are good and valid; that said defendant, International Supply Company, has infringed upon each of claims 3 and 4 of said Letters

Patent and upon the rights of the plaintiff herein, by manufacturing, using and selling, or procuring the manufacture, use and sale of drill cutters containing and employing the invention set forth in said Letters Patent and particularly specified in claims 3 and 4 thereof.

(5) That the plaintiff recover of the defendant, the profits, gains and advantages which the defendant has received or may or which have arisen or accrued to it from the infringement of claims 3 and 4 of said Godbold and Fletcher patent No. 1, 320,384, together with the damages which the plaintiff has sustained by reason thereof and since it does not appear what such profits, gains, advantages and damages may be,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this cause be referred to E. J. Doerner Esq., as Master, to ascertain and take and report to the court an account of the said profits, gains and advantages and also to assess the said damages suffered by the plaintiff.

(6) That on such accounting the plaintiff have the right to cause an examination of the defendant, its officers, agents, servants, attorneys, and employees and to cause the production of the books, documents, vouchers and papers of said defendant and that the defendant, by its officers, servants, agents, attorneys and employees, attend for the purpose of such accounting before said Master from time to time as he shall direct.

(7) That a perpetual injunction be issued herein against the defendant, International Supply Company, its officers, clerks, attorneys, agents, servants and workmen and each and all of them, enjoining and restraining each and all of them from making, constructing, using, vending, delivering, working or putting into operation for use and from procuring others to make, construct, use, vend, deliver, work or put into operation for use, the said invention in claims 3 and 4 of said Letters Patent No. 1,320,384 set forth, or in any wise counterfeiting or imitating the said invention and from in any way further infringing upon said claims 3 and 4 of said Letters Patent, or upon the rights of the plaintiff thereunder.

(8) That the cost of printing of the record for appeal in this court be divided equally between the parties; that the plaintiff recover of the defendant, its costs heretofore accrued in connection with the proceedings in this Court and that the question of the costs hereinafter sustained is reserved until the coming in of the Master's Report.

ENTER:

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 21 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CLIFFORD C. JONES, et al., Plaintiffs,	)	
vs.	)	IN EQUITY No. 496
UNITED IRON WORKS, INC., Defendant.	)	

FINAL DECREE

This cause coming on regularly to be heard on the final report and petition of John S. Farrington, as Ancillary Receiver, for defendant above named, its properties and assets within the jurisdiction of this Court, and the Court having considered said petition and the account of Receiver annexed thereto and it appearing that all assets of defendant within this jurisdiction have been sold and converted into cash and liquid assets, and that all claims against defendant have been determined in the United States District Court within and for the Western Division of the Western District of Missouri in primary receivership proceedings therein pending, and it appearing that defendant is insolvent, and that all of the assets in primary and ancillary receivership proceedings involving defendant's estate will be necessary to be employed in the payment of creditor's claims, and that no balance will remain, and it appearing that full and final decree covering distributions in behalf of creditors has been made by said United States District Court within and for the Western Division of the Western District of Missouri in primary proceedings therein had, and that all claims and assets of defendant have been marshalled in said primary proceedings and that all assets in the hands of John S. Farrington, as Ancillary Receiver in this jurisdiction, should be, by said Ancillary Receiver, remitted to the Receiver appointed in the aforesaid primary receivership proceedings, for disposition therein as may be decreed by the Court of primary jurisdiction, and that said Ancillary Receiver should be discharged herein and this proceeding closed, and the sureties of said Ancillary Receiver upon his official bond herein exonerated from any further liability, it is, therefore,

ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. That the reports and accounts of said Ancillary Receiver from the date of his appointment to and including this date, together with all things done by said Receiver, be and the same, and each of them, arehereby confirmed and approved in all things.

2. That the final decree on claims and for distribution entered by the United States District Court within and for the Western Division of the Western District of Missouri, under date of April 14th, 1931, be and the same is hereby adopted herein.

3. That all claims not allowed and approved herein and not allowed and directed to be paid by the decree mentioned in the last preceding paragraph hereof be and the same are hereby barred against defendant and its estate in hands of said Ancillary Receiver herein.

4. That defendant and its estate herein are adjudged insolvent, and that no equity in behalf of defendant exists.

5. That all assets of defendant in the hands of John S. Farrington, Ancillary Receiver herein appointed, be by him forthwith remitted to John S. Farrington, as Receiver for United Iron Works, Inc., heretofore appointed by the United States District Court within and for the Western Division of the Western District of Missouri in primary proceedings in said Court pending.

And it now appearing that John S. Farrington, as Receiver for defendant United Iron Works, Inc., by appointment of the United States District Court within and for the Western Division of the Western District of Missouri, has, in open court, and at this time presented and filed herein his full receipts for all assets and properties of said defendant in the hands of said John S. Farrington as Ancillary Receiver within the jurisdiction of this Court, and all assets and effects of defendant in possession and in the hands of said Ancillary Receiver herein have been by said Ancillary Receiver remitted to said primary receivership. And it is, further,

ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. That John S. Farrington, as Ancillary Receiver for defendant, his properties and estate herein be and he hereby is discharged and the sureties upon his official bond herein are hereby exonerated from any liability herein from and after this date.

2. This receivership is hereby closed, and all further proceedings in reference to the distribution of assets of the defendant are hereby referred and remitted to the aforesaid court of primary jurisdiction.

3. This Court reserves jurisdiction to enter herein any further or supplemental decrees as may be necessary to fully effectuate the purpose hereof, and to enter any further or supplementary decrees that may be entered by the aforesaid Court of primary jurisdiction, if necessary to be entered up herein.

Dated this 21st day of April, 1931.

By the Court:

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge

ENDORSED: Apr 21 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until April 22, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, APRIL 22, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Wednesday, April 22nd, 1931.

Present: Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
M. M. Ewing, Chief Deputy Clerk.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

W. H. Sneed, Trustee of the Estate of Don Wayne McBride, Bankrupt Plaintiff,	
-vs-	524 Equity ✓
Margaret E. McBride, Ray B. McBride, Defendants.	

ORDER ALLOWING DISMISSAL

The joint Motion of plaintiff and defendant for dismissal with pejudice of the Bill of Complaint heretofore filed in the above entitled cause coming on for hearing, and it appearing to the Court that the matters and things in dispute in said suit have been fuly composed, compromised and settled and that the plaintiff was duly authorized by the Referee in Bankruptcy for the Northern District of California to proceed with and make the settlement made, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Bill of Complaint herein be, and it is hereby, dismissed with prejudice to the bringing of another suit at law or in equity concerning any of the matters therein involved, and that the costs herein be taxed against the plaintiff.

Dated this 22 day of April, 1931.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 22 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO, Plaintiff, vs. CENTRAL COAL AND COKE COMPANY, Defendant.	In Equity Cause No. 604
FIRST UNION TRUST AND SAVINGS BANK, THE MICHIGAN TRUST COMPANY, FIDELITY BANK AND TRUST COMPANY and MELVIN A. TRAYLOR, Trustees, Plaintiffs, vs. CENTRAL COAL AND COKE COMPANY; DELTA LAND & TIMBER COMPANY: J. M. BERNARDIN, Receiver of Central Coal and Coke Company and Delta Land & Timber Company; JEROME KOEHLER, Co-Receiver of Central Coal and Coke Company in the District of Kansas; C. J. ROBERTSON, Co-Receiver of Delta Land & Timber Company in the Southern District of Texas; THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO; THOMAS H. MASTIN, H. E. MINTY, THOMAS LONERGAN, HENRY BURR and R. L. WINSLOW, Co-Partners doing business as T. H. Mastin & Company, Defendants	In Equity. Cause No. 631

ORDER CONSOLIDATING CAUSES AND EXTENDING RECEIVERSHIP TO PROPERTY COVERED BY FIRST MORTGAGE DEED OF TRUST OF CENTRAL COAL AND COKE COMPANY AND DELTA LAND & TIMBER COMPANY DATED JUNE 1, 1922 AND INDENTURE SUPPLEMENTAL THERETO.

The above entitled causes came on further to be heard on the ____day of _____, 1931, upon the petition of the First Union Trust and Savings Bank, The Michigan Trust Company, Fidelity Bank and Trust Company and Melvin A. Traylor, as trustees under the first mortgage deed of trust of Central Coal and Coke Company and Delta Land & Timber Company dated June 1, 1922 and indenture supplemental thereto, for an order consolidating the cause wherein the petitioners are plaintiffs with said Cause No. 604 pending in this court, and extending said receivership to the petitioners' said cause, and for other and further relief, and upon due notice to all parties and upon the filing of said petition, and upon consideration thereof, due deliberation having been had, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. That the above entitled causes be, and they hereby are, consolidated into one cause and hereafter shall proceed under the title:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, APRIL 22, 1931

The First National Bank of Chicago, et al., Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	In Equity. Consolidated Cause No. 604
Central Coal and Coke Company, et al., Defendants.	)	

2. That J. M. Bernardin having heretofore been appointed receiver, of all the properties of the defendant, Central Coal and Coke Company, in said Cause in Equity No. 604, the receivership of said J. M. Bernardin be, and the same is now hereby, specifically extended to the above entitled cause, wherein said First Union Trust and Savings Bank, The Michigan Trust Company, Fidelity Bank and Trust Company and Melvin A. Traylor, trustees are plaintiffs, which has been hereby consolidated, and to the properties covered by and described in petitioners' said First Mortgage deed of trust and in the indenture supplemental thereto, mentioned in said trustees' bill of complaint, and said receiver is hereby appointed receiver, in said last mentioned cause of all said mortgaged property and of the rents, issues profits and income thereof.

3. That said receiver, shall continue in possession of all the property and assets of the defendant Central Coal and Coke Company, and that the powers and duties of said receiver as they now exist under the order heretofore entered in the above mentioned Cause No. 604 be, and they hereby are, extended so that said receiver shall act as receiver in said Constituent Causes and in said Consolidated Cause No. 604 as by this order constituted with like powers and duties; and that the authority and jurisdiction of the District Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri in all matters and proceedings relating to or affecting the general administration of the trust be, and it is hereby recognized and confirmed; and that the proceedings in this court be ancillary in character and in aid of the proceedings in said court of primary jurisdiction.

4. That the bond or bonds heretofore given by said receiver shall extend to and cover the liability of said receiver in said Consolidated Cause No. 604 on the filing in the office of the Clerk of this court of the consent thereto of the sureties upon said bond or bonds, as well as the consent of said receiver.

ENTER:

T. BLAKE KENNEDY
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 22 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		In Equity. Cause No. 604
CENTRAL COAL AND COKE COMPANY,	Defendant.	

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO TRUSTEES UNDER FIRST MORTGAGE DEED OF TRUST OF CENTRAL COAL AND COKE COMPANY AND DELTA LAND & TIMBER COMPANY DATED JUNE 1, 1922, TO FILE THEIR BILL OF COMPLAINT TO FORECLOSE SAID FIRST MORTGAGE DEED OF TRUST AND TO JOIN THE RECEIVER, AS PARTY DEFENDANT.

This cause came on further to be heard on the 22nd day of April, 1931, upon the petition of First Union Trust and Savings Bank, The Michigan Trust Company, Fidelity Bank and Trust Company and Melvin A. Traylor, as trustees under the first mortgage deed of trust, dated June 1, 1922 of Central Coal and Coke Company and Delta Land & Timber Company and the indenture supplemental thereto, for an order granting leave to said trustees to file their bill of complaint for the foreclosure of said mortgage in the usual form, which bill of complaint was exhibited to this court in connection with the hearing on said petition, and to include among the defendants thereto J. M. Bernardin as receiver of said defendant Central Coal and Coke Company, heretofore appointed herein, and upon due notice to all parties and upon consideration thereof and upon the filing of said petition, due deliberation having been had, it is:

ORDERED that the prayer of said petition be, and the same hereby is, granted and that leave hereby is given to said First Union Trust and Savings Bank, The Michigan Trust Company, Fidelity Bank and Trust Company and Melvin A. Traylor, as trustees, as aforesaid, to file their said bill of complaint in this court and to include among the defendants thereto said receiver.

ENTER:

T. BLAKE KENNEDY
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 22 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until April 23, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION PAWHUSKA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, APRIL 22, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Wednesday, April 22, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JANE HASKELL RICHARDSON,	Complainant,	
-vs-		
SAPULPA FUEL COMPANY, a Corporation,	Respondent,	IN EQUITY No. 621
STANDARD OIL AND REFINING COMPANY, A Corporation; H. M. Watchorn and Maude V. Watchorn for the use and benefit of the Standard Oil and Refining Company, a Corporation,	Intervenors.	

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 20th day of April, 1931 the same being a regular judicial day of the Pawhuska term of the above styled Court comes on for hearing the motion of John D. McCullough and James E. Goddard to dissolve the temporary restraining order heretofore granted by the Court in said cause on the 10th day of April, 1931. Hirsh & Hirsh, by Leon Hirsh, a member of said firm appeared as counsel for the said movants and James E. Goddard appeared in person. R. E. Stephenson appeared for the intervenors as above styled and H. M. Watchorn and Maude V. Watchorn appeared in person. After the introduction of evidence on the part of the intervenors and the said movants for the dissolution of said temporary restraining order it is ordered by the Court that further hearing on said motion be continued to the 25th day of April 1931 at 1:30 P. M. in the City of Tulsa and it is ordered by the Court that said Temporary restraining order remain in force until said time and date.

After the argument of counsel and the Court being well and sufficiently advised, it is considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the motion of the said John D. Mc Cullough and James E. Goddard to strike the plea in intervention of the intervenors as above styled be and the same is hereby overruled. To which ruling of the Court the said movants excepted.

The said James E. Goddard was called to the witness stand by the Court and examined by the Court as to his ownership of the claim which was the foundation for his plea in intervention in this cause for judgement against the Sapulpa Fuel Company in the sum of $43,000.00 with interest at 6% per annum until paid, together with attorney's fee of 5% thereof, and on which judgement was entered by default in favor of said intervenor and against the Sapulpa Fuel Company. The Court finds from the evidence of the said James E. Goddard

that said claim is fraudulent and that the said James E. Goddard fraudulently procured said judgement as above set forth. The Court finds that said judgement should be set aside for fraud in its procurement as established by the evidence of the said James E. Goddard. Therefore, it is considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said judgment be and the same is hereby cancelled, set aside and held for naught. To which ruling of the Court the Defendants excepted.

THEREUPON, the intervenors as above styled presented their application to the Court to make the Sapulpa Gas Company a party Defendant in this cause. Hirsh & Hirsh, by Leon Hirsh appeared as attorneys for the Receiver of the Sapulpa Gas Company. After the argument of Counsel and the Court being well and sufficiently advised orders that the said Sapulpa Gas Company, a corporation, be and it is hereby made a party Defendant in this cause on the motion of said intervenors, for the purpose of subjecting said Sapulpa Gas Company to any equitable relief to which the said intervenors may be entitled in this cause, to which ruling of the Court the Sapulpa Gas Company saved its exception.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 22 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until April 23, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION PAWHUSKA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, APRIL 23, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, April 23d, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WILSON CLINTON, Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 607 - Equity.
	)	
O. C. COPPEDGE, FEDERAL SURETY COMPANY, et al, Defendants.	)	

ORDER

NOW on this 23d day of April, 1931, this cause coming on for hearing upon the motion of the defendants for additional time to plead in this cause, and the court being fully advised finds that said defendants should be given additional time to plead in this cause.

IT IS THEREFORE ordered and adjudged that the defendants be and they are hereby given to and including the 4th day of May, 1931, in which to plead.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 23 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until April 24, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION PAWHUSKA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, APRIL 24, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, April 24th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OILWELL IMPROVEMENTS COMPANY, Plaintiff,)
vs.) In Equity No. 278.
SKINNER BROTHERS BELTING COMPANY, Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR MAKING RETURN.

On this the 24th day of April,1931, upon application of Skinner Brothers Belting Company, appearing by its solicitors of record, and for good cause shown to the satisfaction of the court,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time for the making of the return to the appeal taken by the defendant be and the same is hereby extended for a period ending June 3rd, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 24 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OIL WELL IMPROVEMENTS COMPANY, Plaintiff,)
vs.) In.Equity No. 278.
SKINNER BROTHERS BELTING COMPANY, Defendant.)

ORDER ENLARGING TIME TO FILE RECORD.

The above entitled cause coming before the court for hearing on the application of Skinner Brothers Belting Company, defendant-appellant, appearing by its solicitors, for further time for which to file transcript and record on appeal in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals; and it appearing that such request is reasonable, and for good cause shown to the satisfaction of the court,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time for filing the transcript and

record on appeal in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, be and the same is hereby extended to and including June 3rd, 1931.

Dated this 24th day of April, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States
District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 24 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
SITTING AT PAWHUSKA

Clarissa V. Showalter,	Plaintiff,)	
vs.	)	No. 544 Equity.
New Chicago Mines Corporation,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

On reading the petition of the plaintiff in the above entitled cause, for leave to file supplemental bill, and after hearing counsel for plaintiff in support of said petition, and defendant having waived the service of notice of said petition and consenting that said supplemental bill may be filed without opposition, on motion of counsel for plaintiff it is

Ordered that the plaintiff be permitted to file supplemental bill in this cause for the purpose of making new parties defendants herein, in order to determine whether such new defendants have lienable claims on the property involved in this action, and if so, whether the same be superior or inferior to that of the plaintiff; and it is further ordered that the supplemental bill tendered by counsel for plaintiff be filed.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 24 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

20

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION PAWHUSKA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, APRIL 24, 1931

JANE HASKELL RICHARDSON,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 621 - Equity.
SAPULPA FUEL CO., A CORPORATION,	Defendant.	

Now on this 24th day of April, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that hearing set for Saturday, April 25, 1931, be, and the same is hereby, continued until Wednesday, April 29, 1931.

Court adjourned until April 27, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, APRIL 27, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Monday, April 27th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

SILVER KEMOHAH, ET AL,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 448 - Equity.
SHAFFER OIL & REFINING COMPANY,	Defendant.	

Now on this 27th day of April, A. D. 1931, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

MANDATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL) TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GREETING;

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between Siller Kemohah et al., Complainants, and Shaffer Oil and Refining Company et al., Defendants, No. 448 Equity, the decree of the said District Court, in said cause, entered on January 22, 1930, was in the following words, viz:

> Now upon this 22nd day of January, 1930, the date to which this cause was continued upon consideration by the court, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:
>
> That the defenses interposed by the defendants, the Shaffer Oil and Refining Company, a Corp., The Twin State Oil Company, a Corp., C. P. Alexander, and L. G. Simms, Jr., to plaintiffs' bill of complaint are sustained by the evidence introduced in this cause, and said several defenses are in all things sustained. That plaintiffs take nothing under their bill of complaint in this cause against the said defendants, the Shaffer Oil and Refining Company, a Corp., The Twin State Oil Company, a Corp., C. P. Alexander, and L. G. Simms, Jr., but that plaintiffs' bill of complaint be and the same is hereby dismissed against each and all of the said defendants with prejudice.
>
> That the right, title, and interest in and possession of the defendants, the Shaffer Oil and Refining Company, a Corp.,

The Twin State Oil Company, a Corp., C. P. Alexander, and L. G. Simms, Jr., in and to the real estate in plaintiffs' petition described, to-wit: the North Half of the Northwest Quarter (N2 of NW4) and North Half of the Southeast Quarter (N2 of SE4) of Section Eleven (11), Township Eighteen (18) North, Range Seven (7) East, be and the same is hereby quieted in said defendants as against any right, title, or interest of the plaintiffs and each of them, and as against all persons claiming by through or under them.

That the action taken by the Dawes Commission, the Secretary of the Interior, and the Chief of the Creek Nation of Indians in allotting and patenting the North Half of the Northwest Quarter (N2 of NW4) of Section Elven (11), Township Eighteen (18) North, Range Seven (7) East, to one Leslie King, who is duly enrolled as a Creek Freedman on the New Born Creek Freedman Roll, opposite No. 505, and in allotting and patenting the North Half of the Southeast Quarter (N2 of SE4) of Section Eleven (11), Township Eighteen (18) North, Range Seven (7) East, to one Alice Colbert, who is duly enrolled as a minor Creek Freedman, opposite roll No. 92, be and the same is in all things approved, ratified and confirmed, and the patents so issued and delivered to the said allottees, Leslie King and Alice Colbert by the Creek Nation and approved by the Secretary of the Interior, and accepted by said allottees vested in said allottees, their heirs and grantees, the valid, legal title to said land, which title is prior and superior to any right, title or interest of the plaintiffs therein.

That the United States of America have judgment upon its answer and cross bill as prayed for therein, striking the name Do-saw-cher, opposite roll No. 7918, from the approved rolls of the Creek Nation of Indians, and cancelling of record the patents erroneously and unlawfully issued in the name of Do-saw-cher to the North Half of the Northwest Quarter (N2 of NW4) and the North Half of the Southeast Quarter (N2 of SE4) of Section Eleven (11) Township Eighteen (18) North, Range Seven (7) East, and that the defendants have and recover judgment against the plaintiffs for their costs of their defense in this action expended, to be taxed by the Clerk of this court, to all of such said judgment plaintiffs object and except.

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Amos Tiger, Josephine Tiger and William Tiger, by their Guardian, Siller Tiger, now Siller Kemohah, agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the January term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court, that the decree of the said District Court, in this

cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed, and that The Twin State Oil Company, a corporation, Shaffer Oil & Refining Company, a corporation, C. P. Alexander and L. G. Simms, Jr., and The United States of America, appellees, have and recover of and from Amos Tiger, Josephine Tiger and William Tiger, by their guardian, Siller Tiger, now Siller Kemohah, appellants, their costs herein.

--March 19, 1931.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appel notwithstanding.

WITNESS the Honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 25th day of April, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one.

COSTS OF Appellees.
Clerk. $5.75 (U.S.)
Printing Record ---------
Attorney, $20.00

$25.75

ALBERT TREGO
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

By H. A. McINTYRE
Deputy Clerk.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
TENTH CIRCUIT

Costs taxed in favor of Appellees, in the case of Amos Tiger, Josephine Tiger and William Tiger, by their guardian, Siller Tiger, now Siller Kemohah, vs. The Twin State Oil Company, a corporation, No. 312

Filing record and docketing cause,	-- --
Filing copies of printed record,	-- --
Filing and entering appearance for Appellees	.50
Filing and entering appearance for	-- --
Clerk, preparing record for printer, etc.,	-- --
Printer, for printing record,	-- --
Filing 1 paper,	.25
Entering order, folio,	-- --
Entering continuance,	-- --
Filing briefs for Appellees	5.00
Filing briefs for	-- --
Filing opinion	-- --
Filing and entering judgment or decree,	-- --
Filing petition for a rehearing	-- --
Filing and entering order on petition for a rehearing	-- --
Issuing mandate to District Court,	-- --
Filing receipt for mandate,	-- --
Filing receipt for balance of deposit,	-- --
Attorney's docket fee,	20.00
	25.75

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 27 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

ATTEST: ALBERT TREGO, CLERK, United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit. By H.A. McIntyre, Deputy Clerk, U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, APRIL 27, 1931

E. STEWART MATLOCK,	Plaintiff,)	
	)	
-vs-	)	No. 565 - Equity.
	)	
SUPERIOR OIL CORP., et al,	Defendants.)	

Now on this 27th day of April, A. D. 1931, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

MANDATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GREETING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between E. Stewart Matlock, Complainant, and Superior Oil Corporation, a corporation, et al., Defen ants, No. 565 Equity, the decree of the said District Court in said cause entered on October 14, 1930, was in the following words, viz:

> It is, therefore, ordered and adjudged that J. L. Essley be, and he is, hereby appointed receiver of all and singular the assets, lands, tenements, properties, choses in action of the Superior Oil Corporation, and of all its properties - real, personal and mixed - of every kind and nature, as well as all contracts, stocks, bonds, obligations, choses in action, accounts and rights owned by, belonging to, or due to, the Superior Oil Corporation, and all rights, income and profits granted to, acquired by, or belonging to, the Superior Oil Corporation.
>
> It is further ordered that said J. L. Essley, the receiver herein named, shall forthwith apply to the appointing judge of the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, in said cause No. 48284, wherein The Exchange National Bank, et al., are plaintiffs and Superior Oil Corporation is defendant, and in which said cause John Rogers was appointed receiver for the Superior Oil Corporation, and under which authority he has assumed control and possession of the assets of the Superior Oil Corporation within the State of Oklahoma, for the delivery of the properties- both real and personal - and the assets of the Superior Oil Corporation to the receiver, J. L. Essley, named herein, and for the control of said properties and assets of said receiver .
>
> It is further ordered that said receiver be directed to institute all necessary proceedings, actions and suits against the officers and directors of the Superior Oil Corporation as may be necessary to protect the stockholders thereof, and to defend all suits instituted against the Superior Oil Corporation as shall be necessary to protect the stockholders thereof, and

and to take all steps necessary for presenting the matter of the delivery of the custody of said assets and properties of the Superior Oil Corporation to the receiver named herein, and to obtain the proper and necessary orders of the said District Court of Tulsa County for the accomplishment of said purpose.

It is further ordered that, upon the delivery and surrender of the assets to J. L. Essley, receiver named herein, by John Rogers, the receiver appointed by the District Court of the State of Oklahoma, this receiver set aside and hold money or property in a sum not less than the claims being asserted by the defendant herein, The Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, which said claims constitute the subject - matter of an action pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, wherein the said John Rogers was named a receiver, provided the claims of The Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, asserted in the said action now pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, shall not have been satisfied at the time the properties and assets of the Superior Oil Corporation shall have been surrendered by John Rogers, receiver appointed by the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, to the said receiver herein, J. L. Essley.

It is further ordered that the receiver named herein shall, before entering upon his duties hereunder, give and file with the court a bond in the penal sum of Five Thousand Dollars ($5,000.00), with surety or sureties provided by this court, conditioned that the receiver shall faithfully perform his duties as receiver herein, and well and truly account for any moneys or properties coming into his hands as such receiver, and abide and perform all things which he is herein, or may hereafter be, directed to perform in this cause.

If the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and the judge thereof appointing the receiver therein shall surrender to the receiver appointed by this court the property and assents of the Superior Oil Corporation, then the receiver herein appointed shall exercise all such powers as are usually exercised by receivers, and all such as are necessary or proper to conduct the business of the Superior Oil Corporation, and he shall discharge all such duties as are within the line, scope or purpose of his appointment, but shall not conduct the business of the Superior Oil Corporation until the further order of this court.

The said J. L. Essley, receiver appointed herein, shall not interfere with the possession of John Rogers of the physical properties, either real or personal, until after the said assets shall be surrendered to the receiver named herein by John Rogers, defendant herein, receiver appointed by the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and by the said District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, or until the further order of this court, but this court reserves its power over said properties and assets until the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, shall have been applied to and the matter shall have been presented to the District Court of Tulsa County, but

nothing herein shall preclude or otherwise limit the power of this court to exercise control of the possession of the assets and properties of the defendant, Superior Oil Corporation.

The receiver herein named is authorized and empowered to institute and prosecute such suits as may be necessary, in the judgment of the receiver to protect and recover the property and trusts herein vested in him, and to likewise defend all suits and actions as may be instituted against him as such receiver, and also to assume and take the prosecution and defense of all suits now pending against the Superior Oil Corporation, the prosecution or defense of which, in the judgment of the receiver named herein, shall be necessary for the proper protection of the properties placed in his charge, but the said receiver shall apply for authority to institute any new actions, and shall have specific authority from this court before any such actions may be instituted.

Full right and power are hereby expressly reserved by the court to make such other and further orders herein as it may hereafter from time to time deem necessary or proper.

The matters of the receipts, disbursements, reports and directions with respect to the property and assets of the defendant corporation are held in abeyance by the court, and appropriate orders respecting such matters will be made and entered from time to time as they become necessary, and after the receiver named herein shall have obtained possession of the property and assets of the defendant, Superior Oil Corporation.

To all the findings, rulings and orders set forth herein, the defendants, The Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, Exchange National Company, John Rogers, Superior Oil Corporation, E. R. Perry, H. G. Davies and Grover C. Harned, except, and such exceptions are allowed.

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Superior Oil Corporation, a corporation, et al. agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the January Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court, that the order of the said district court in this cause entered on the 14th day of October, 1930, be and the same is hereby vacated, set aside and for naught held; that this cause be and the same is hereby remanded to said district court with direction to that court to enter an order dismissing the bill of complaint in this cause as against John Rogers, Exchange National Bank and Exchange National Company and staying all proceedings in this cause as long as the same matters are in litigation in the state court; and that Superior Oil Corporation, a corporation, E. R. Perry, H. G. Davies, Grover C.

Harned, Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, a corporation, Exchange National Company, a corporation, and John Rogers appellants, have and recover of and from E. Stewart Matlock, Benjamin M. Gessel, J. L. Essley, Irene Essley, Henry R. Mc Gill, Charles B. Wallace, Paul Brandt, Charles V. Baker and George J. Marott, appellees, their costs herein and have execution therefor as at law.

--March 19, 1931.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such further proceedings be had in said cause, in conformity with the opinion and judgment of this court as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS, the Honorable WILLIAM H. TAFT, Chief Justice of the United States, the 25th day of April, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one.

COSTS OF	Appellants.
Clerk,	$38.85
Printing Record	$---------
Attorney,	$20.00
	$58.85

ALBERT TREGO
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.
By H. A. McINTYRE
Deputy Clerk.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
TENTH CIRCUIT

Costs taxed in favor of Appellant, in the case of Superior Oil Company et al. vs. E. Stewart Matlock et al.,
No. 408

Filing record and docketing cause,	5.00
Filing 24 copies of printed record,	6.00
Filing and entering 3 appearances for appellants	1.50
Filing and entering 1 appearance for appellee	.50
Clerk, preparing record for printer, etc.,	-- --
Printer, for printing record,	-- --
Filing 13 papers,	3.25
Entering 2 orders, 4 folios	.80
Entering continuance,	-- --
Filing briefs for appellant	5.00
Filing briefs for appellee	5.00
Filing opinion,	.25
Filing and entering judgment or decree,	1.25
Filing petition for a rehearing,	-- --
Filing and entering order on petition for a rehearing,	-- --
Issuing mandate to District Court,	5.00
Filing receipt for mandate,	.25
Filing receipt for balance of deposit,	.25
Attorney's docket fee,	20.00
Three cert. copies order granting supersedeas	4.80
	58.85

ENDORSED: Filed 27 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Attest ALBERT TREGO, CLERK
United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit. By H. A. McIntyre, Deputy

Court adjourned to April 28, 1931. - -----

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, APRIL 28, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, April 28th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JANE HASKELL RICHARDSON,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		Equity No. 621.
SAPULPA FUEL COMPANY, a corporation,	Defendant.	

ORDER AUTHORIZING RECEIVER TO
PROCEED WITH PENDING ACTION.

On this 28 day of April, 1931, this cause came on for hearing upon the application of Claude Williford, receiver of defendant, Sapulpa Fuel Company, a corporation, for authority to proceed in the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma on behalf of the defendant, Sapulpa Fuel Company, with an action pending in said court numbered 19109, wherein C. B. Gilpin is plaintiff and C. H. Dietz, O. M. Drake, Fred L. Patrick, M. V. Alwood, W. H. Briggs, J. H. McCann, Bill Zackritz, Sapulpa Fuel Company, a corporation, and F. E. Keeler are defendants,

And it appearing to the court that it is for the best interest of said defendant and its estate being administered by said receiver, that said receiver proceed with said action in the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma,

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Claude Williford, receiver of the defendant, Sapulpa Fuel Company, herein, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to proceed with the defense of said action on behalf of said defendant, Sapulpa Fuel Company, in the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma, and to do any and all things necessary and proper on behalf of said defendant to defend, prosecute and carry to a conclusion and final decision by said court of said cause numbered 19109, wherein C. B. Gilpin is plaintiff and C. H. Dietz, O. M. Drake, Fred L. Patrick, M. V. Alwood, W. H. Briggs, J. H. Mc Cann, Bill Zachritz, Sapulpa Fuel Company, a corporation, and F. E. Keeler are defendants.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 28 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until April 29, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, April 29th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Special Judge, U. S. Dist.Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

CONTACT FILTRATION COMPANY and MAX B. MILLER & COMPANY, INC.,	Plaintiffs,	IN EQUITY No. 280
vs.		
PIERCE PETROLEUM CORPORATION,	Defendant.	

F I N A L D E C R E E

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties hereto on file in this cause, and upon consideration of said stipulation, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above-entitled cause be and the same IS HEREBY DISMISSED without prejudice and without costs to either party.

DATED this 29th day of April, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge

APPROVED as to form:
PAUL BAKEWELL
R. A. KLEINSCHMIDT
Attorneys for Defendant.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

The Silurian Oil Company, a Corporation,	Plaintiff,	No. 525 Equity.
vs.		
Irene Essley,	Defendant.	

ORDER ENLARGING TIME TO FILE RECORD ON APPEAL

Now on this 28th day of April, 1931, on application of the appellant, for good cause shown, it is ordered that the time in which the printed

record in this case may be filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit be, and the same is hereby extended until and including June 15th, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JOHN W. BREYFOGLE,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 611 E.
BLUE WILLOW CORPORATION, a corporation, and I. S. MINKS and EFFIE B. MINKS,	Defendants.	

O R D E R.

This matter coming on to be heard upon the motion of the plaintiff, John W. Breyfogle, praying for an order for additional party plaintiff or defendant, and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that the said R. W. Coleman is a necessary party plaintiff or defendant for a final determination of this cause.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said R. W. Coleman be made a party plaintiff or defendant in this action, and be permitted to file his cause of action or defense herein.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JOHN W. BREYFOGLE,	Plaintiff.	
vs.		No. 611 E
BLUE WILLOW CORPORATION, a corporation, and I. S. MINKS and EFFIE B. MINKS,	Defendants.	

O R D E R.

This matter coming on to be heard upon the motion of the plaintiff

John W.Breyfogle, praying for an order for additional defendants, and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that the said Morris Plan Company, a corporation, Charles L. Yancey, Henry L. Fist, Southwest Homes Corporation and C. M. Regan are necessary defendants for a final determination of this cause.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said Morris Plan Company, a corporation, Charles L. Yancey, Henry L. Fist, Southwest Homes Corporation and C. M. Regan be made party defendants in this action, and be permitted to file their defense herein.

T. BLAKE KENNEDY
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

John W. Breyfogle, Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	No. 611 - Equity
Blue Willow Corporation, a corporation and I. S. Mincks, and Effie B. Mincks, Defendants.	)	

O R D E R

This matter coming on for hearing before me, the undersigned, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, on this 29th day of April, 1931, upon application of Eads Brothers Furniture Company, a corporation, for an order permitting said Eads Brothers Furniture Company, a corporation, to institute and prosecute a suit in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, against I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, and T. J. Hartman, receiver for said I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, for a judgment against the said I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks upon three certain notes in the principal sum of Sixteen Thousand Five Hundred Nine and 37/100 ($16,509.37) Dollars, and the foreclosure of a certain mortgage covering property located in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and securing said above mentioned notes.

The Court, after due consideration, finds that said notes and mortgage are past due and that demand for payment has been made by the said Eads Brothers Furniture Company and payment has been refused, and that said Eads Brothers Furniture Company, a corporation, should be permitted to institute and prosecute said suit in the District Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.

IT IS, THEREFORE, by the Court Ordered that said Eads Brothers Furniture Company, a corporation, be and hereby are permitted and allowed to proceed with the institution and prosecution of a suit against the said T. J. Hartman, receiver for I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, for recovery upon

three certain notes and mortgage executed by I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Minks, payable to the order of Eads Brothers Furniture Company, a corporation.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JANE MACKELL RICHARDSON,	Complainant,	
vs.		EQUITY No. 621.
SAPULPA FUEL COMPANY, a Corporation,	Defendant.	

O R D E R.

Now on this the 29th day of April, 1931, it is ordered that the restraining order dated April 10, 1931, by which John Mc Cullough and James E. Goddard were restrained from transferring stocks, bonds and securities set forth in said restraining order, and enjoining the delivery of said stocks, bonds and securities to the Treasurer, H. M. Watchorn on demand, be and it hereby continued in full force and effect in all its terms and conditions until the 6th day of May, 1931, and that the said John Mc Cullough and James E. Goddard, on said May 6, 1931, shall show cause if any they can, why a temporary injunction should not issue on said date temporarily enjoining them from doing the matters which this restraining order restrains them from doing.

It is further ordered that the applications of Southwestern Natural Gas Company and Standard Oil & Refining Company and H. M. Watchorn and Maude V.Watchorn for the use and benefit of the Standard Oil & Refining Company, a corporation, in which application the discharge and removal of the present receiver is asked, be and they are hereby set for hearing on May 6, 1931.

It is the further order of the court that the petition of Ralph H. Kirkland, trustee of Bartlett Oil & Gas Corporation, and Bartlett Development Syndicate No. 1, Bankrupt, for order to show cause be and it is hereby continued and set for hearing on May 6, 1931.

It is further the order of the court that the present receiver, Claude Williford, is hereby required and ordered to bring and have before the court on said May 6, 1931, the following records, whether the same are in his possession as Receiver of the Sapulpa Fuel Company, or in his possession as officer of the Sapulpa Fuel Company, and/or of the Sapulpa Gas Company, to-wit:

All stock books and stock transfer records and stock registers, and any and all other records, showing the issuance, exchange and retirement of capital stock of Sapulpa Fuel Company, a Corporation, and Sapulpa Gas Company, a corporation, dating from January 1, 1925; also all minute books, record books, resolutions and minutes of the proceedings of said Sapulpa Fuel Company

and Sapulpa Gas Company, together with any and all contracts respecting the dealings of said Sapulpa Fuel Company and Sapulpa Gas Company with each other and with Oklahoma Utilities Corporation, or company, the predecessor in interest of investors Utility Corporation, and of the Investors Utility Corporation from January 1, 1925.

It is further ordered that the Sapulpa Gas Company be and appear on said May 6, 1931, and show cause if any it can why the properties belonging to Sapulpa Gas Company should not be drawn into and administered by such receivership, and to show cause if any it can why a receiver should not be appointed for its assets to be administered with the receivership now pending herein.

WITNESS MY HAND AS JUDGE, this the 29th day of April, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 29 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

Court adjourned until April 30, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, APRIL 30, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, April 30th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Emma Newrider, nee Johnson, Mollie Johnson, now Sutherland, and W. O. Sutherland,	Complainants,	
v.		IN EQUITY NO. 382
E. I. Newblock, Arch Wilkins and R. H. Hoss,	Defendants.	
United States of America,	Intervener.	

DECREE

Now on this 24th day of January, 1930, this matter coming on for trial, and plaintiffs being present either in person or by their counsel, Linn & Spradling; and the defendants, E. I. Newblock, R. H. Hoss and Arch Wilkins, appearing in person and by their counsel, Geo. W. Reed, Jr; and the defendant, the United States of America being present and appearing by Louis N. Stivers, Esquire, Assistant United States District Attorney; and all parties having announced ready for trial, the plaintiffs introduced their evidence and rested; and, thereupon, the above named defendants introduced their evidence and rested; and thereupon, the intervener, the United States of America, adopted as its evidence the evidence of the plaintiffs, and rested. Thereupon, the court took said cause under advisement and granted plaintiffs ten (10) days from said date, in which to file briefs in support of their contentions, and granted the defendants ten (10) days after the filing and service of briefs by plaintiffs, within which to file their answer brief, all of which was done.

Now, on this 7th day of October, 1930, the clerk of said court having set the instant action for argument and decision on said date, and the complainants appearing as hereinbefore stated, and the defendants appearing as hereinbefore stated, and the intervener, the United States of America, also appearing through its assistant solicitor, Louis N. Stivers, Esquire; and, thereupon, the court announced that he would reopen the case, for the purpose of proving the amount, extent and value of the lasting and valuable improvements placed upon said lands by defendant, E. I. Newblock, from the date of the sheriff's sale, August 27, 1926, to the filing of the instant action in the District Court of Tulsa County, and for the further purpose of proving the reasonable rental value of the premises involved in controversy herein; and after testimony had been introduced by both parties, on said points, and both parties had rested; and after argument of counsel, and the court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, finds:

That the premises involved, described as the Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and the West Half of the Northwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter and Lots 2, 3 and 4, all in Section 11, and the South 2½ acres of the North 20 acres of Lot 1, in Section 10, all in Township 19 North, Range 10 East, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, was allotted and patented to Sandy Johnson, who was in fact, and who was enrolled, as a full blood Creek Indian, opposite No. 8120; that said allottee died, unmarried, intestate and a resident of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, on or about November 22, 1925, leaving Emma Newrider, nee Johnson, and Mollie Sutherland, nee Johnson, his natural daughters, as his sole and only heirs-at-law, and that they and each of them are, in fact, and are enrolled as full blood Creek Indians, and that by virtue of the law of descent and distribution of the State of Oklahoma, said daughters became the owners of said lands in fee simple.

The court further finds that the partition sale in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Case No. 35,985, and the quit claim deed, executed by Emma Newrider, nee Johnson, and Mollie Johnson, now Sutherland, to Arch Wilkins as agent for defendant, E. I. Newblock, dated August 31, 1926, approved by the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, September 24, 1926, were valid and subsisting transactions; that said partition proceeding resulting in the sale of said lands to Wilkins, as agent for Newblock and the execution and delivery of said quit claim deed vested the legal title to said premises in defendant, E. I. Newblock, and that he is the owner of the same.

The court further finds, however, that the memoranda of agreement, the one dated August 11, 1926, and theother dated August 27, 1926, given by defendant Newblock to Emma Newrider and Mollie Johnson, in truth and in fact constituted an option whereby the said complainants were given the right to repurchase said lands by paying to defendant Newblock the purchase price, to-wit, Ninety-five hundred Dollars ($9500.00), with interest at eight per cent, (8%) per annum, on or before January 1, 1927, and thus become the owners of said lands, but the court also finds that said complainants, under all the equities of the case, should be given a further extension of time of Ninety (90) days from and after this date, to-wit, October 7, 1930, within which to exercise said option and pay to defendant Newblock, the sum of Ninety-five Hundred Dollars ($9500.00), with interest at 6% per annum, deducting therefrom the sum of $1,016.40, being the amount received by defendant Newblock from oil runs produced from said property, and deducting any other credits that may accrue from oil runsproduced from said property, and deducting any other credits that may accrue from oil runs produced from said lands, at the date of exercise of said option.

The court further finds that the reasonable rental value of said premises since same has been in possession of defendant Newblock, is offset by, and is equal to, the value of the valuable and lasting improvements that defendant Newblock has caused to be placed on said premises.

It is, therefore, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that defendant, E. I. Newblock, is the owner of the premises involved herein, subject only to the option her by given by the court to complainants to pay or tender to defendant Newblock, the sum of Ninety-five Hundred Dollars ($9500.00), with interest thereon at 6% per annum, less the sum of $1,016.40, received by defendant Newblock from the oil run from said premises, and less any other sums so received by him, within the option time herein extended; and should said sums be paid or tendered on or before the expiration of ninety (90) days from this date, Oct. 7, 1930, then and in that event final decree shall issue, quieting title in complainants. If complainants fail to pay or tender said sum of

money within said time, then final decree shall be rendered quieting title in defendant Newblock.

Now on this 30 day of April, 1931, this matter comes on for final decree, upon motion of defendant Newblock, filed herein; and it appearing to the court that the said complainants, Emma Newrider, nee Johnson, and Mollie Johnson, now Sutherland, have failed, neglected and refused to pay or tender to defendant, E. I. Newblock, the sum of Ninety-five Hundred Dollars ($9500.00), with interest, less credits for oil run, within the time and manner hereinbefore decreed, and that the option hereinbefore granted by the court has not been exercised in any particular, nor in any manner, nor in any respect; and the court being well and sufficiently advised, finds that final decree should issue herein, quieting tht eitle in and to said premises, in defendant, E. I. Newblock.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that defendant, E. I. Newblock, is the owner in fee simple and in alodium and is in possession of the following described premises and property, with the appurtenances thereunto belonging, particularly described as follows:

> The Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and the West Half of the Northwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter and Lots 2, 3, and 4, all in Section 11, and the South 2½ acres of the North 20 acres of Lot 1, in Section 10, all in Township 19 North, Range 10 East, Tulsa County, Oklahoma,

free, clear, and discharged and unencumbered of and from any lien, claim, right, title, interest, equity or estate on behalf of complainants herein and all those claiming by, through or under them.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said complainants, Emma Newrider, nee Johnson, and Mollie Johnson, now Sutherland, and W. O. Sutherland, her husband, be, and each of them and all those claiming by, through and under them, are hereby permanently and forever enjoined from asserting or attempting to assert any right, title, interest, equity, claim, lien or estate, in and to the following described premises and property, to-wit:

> The Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter, and the West Half of the Northwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter, and Lots 2, 3, and 4, all in Section 11, and the South 2½ acres of the North 20 acres of Lot 1, in Section 10, all in Township 19 North, Range 10 East, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

And the said complainants, and each of them, and every one claiming by, through or under them, are further perpetually and forever barred and enjoined from the commencement of any action in any court, for the purpose of asserting any right, title, interest, equity or estate, in or to, or disturbing the possession of said defendant Newblock, in or to, or claim upon the above described premises.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the complainants pay the cost of this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that both plaintiffs and defendants herein were granted exceptions to the findings of fact and conclusions of law contained herein, and the interlocutory decree made and

rendered, all of which exceptions on behalf of both parties are hereby allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 30 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States of America,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 488 Equity
Ralph Hughes, County Treasurer, et al,	Respondents	

O R D E R

And now on this the 30th day of April A. D. 1931, the same being a regular day of the Special January A. D. 1931 term of said Court, at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, there coming on for hearing the suggestion of the respondents as to amendment of Journal Entry of Judgment, and the response thereto filed by the complainant herein, and the suggestion of complainant, and the Court having considered all of said matters, continues the same and orders that said matters be transferred for hearing at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the 8th day of May 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

O.K. HARRY SEATON
Assistant U. S. Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 30 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Erle K. Eby,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 581 Equity.
Monarch Royalty Corporation, a corporation, et al,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Upon the receiver filing his monthly report herein, the court

having inspected the same, said report is approved subject to the approval of the receiver's final account.

It is further ordered by the court that said receiver be and he is hereby allowed an additional allowance in the sum of $500.00, and authorized to pay himself said amount by check drawn upon the funds deposited to the account of the receiver in the First National Bank, the same to be charged to the receiver and allowed in his monthly and final account.

Done on this April 30th, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 30 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Erle E. Aby,	Complainant,)	
vs.	)	No. 581 Equity ✓
Monarch Royalty Corporation, a corporation, et al,	Defendants.)	

O R D E R

Upon application of the receiver for leave to file suit against the Sneed Royalty Company, and others, which application was on this date heard, all parties appearing in open court, and after said matter was presented the court finds that the said application at this time should be denied.

It is, therefore, considered and ordered by the court that the said application of said receiver on file herein for the present be and the same is hereby denied, with leave in the future to renew said application if the receiver deems it advisable.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 30 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Jones-McLaughlin, Inc., a corporation,	Complainant,	
vs.		
Independent Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, and Waite Phillips, R.C. Sharp, C. P. Dimit, O. L. Cordell, Gillette Hill, R. Otis McClintock, Albert R. Jones, E. W. Geobel, John E. Curran, Don M. Kelley, E. P. Currier and R. M. Riggins,	Defendants.	In Equity No. 583

FINAL DECREE

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties hereto on file in this cause, and upon consideration of said stipulation;

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed without prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated this 30 day of April, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

Approved as to form:

R. H. HUDSON and R.B.F. HUMMER
Solicitors for defendants.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 30 1931
R. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

MONARCH ROYALTY CORP., ET AL,	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		No. 591 - Equity.
MONARCH ROYALTY CORP., ET AL,	Defendants,	

Now on this 30th day of April, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that leave be, and the same is hereby, granted Defendant to file Motion to dismiss supplemental Bill of Complaint.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Donald D. Burch,	Plaintiff,)	
vs.	)	No. 597 Equity.
Baker Oil Tools, Inc., a corporation,	Defendant.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 30th day of April, 1931, the objections of the defendant to the interrogatories heretofore filed and served by the plaintiff to be answered by the defendant coming on for hearing before the above named court, and the parties being present by their respective attorneys, the said objections are duly presented and argument of counsel heard thereon.

After consideration of said objections, and each and all of them, the court is of the opinion that same should be severally and jointly overruled.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the aforesaid objections of the defendant to the plaintiff's interrogatories heretofore propounded be, and they are each and all of them hereby overruled, and the said defendant granted and allowed exceptions to the action of the court in overruling each of said objections severally. The defendant is granted twenty days from this date within which to file answers to the aforesaid interrogatories.

It is further ordered that the above case be stricken from the present trial assignment where it appears as set for trial on May 14, 1931, said cause to be reset at a date subsequent to the expiration of ninety days from and after the 14th day of March , 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge

O.K. EDW. P. MARSHALL
Attorneys for Plaintiff

O.K. VALJEAN BIDDISON
Attorneys for the Defendant.

ENDORSED: Filed May 1, 1931
K. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States, Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	No. 601 Equity.
Thomas A. Clawson, Albert Clawson, T. A. Clawson and Mary A. Clawson, Defendants.	)	

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 30 day of April, 1931, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open court, before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge, and plaintiff being present by its solicitor, A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendants, Thomas A. Clawson and Mary A. Clawson having heretofore filed their waiver in said cause showing that they are non-residents of the State of Oklahoma, and reside in the State of Kansas, and wherein they acknowledge making and signing the notes and mortgage sued upon in this cause; that they have read a copy of the Bill of Complaint herein, and enter their general appearance in this cause for all purposes except a personal judgment against themselves, which right they reserve as non-residents of the State of Oklahoma. They further consent, in said waiver, that this cause may be set down for trial by the Court, and disposed of in regular order on said Bill of Complaint and their said appearance as the Court may order;

And it being further shown to the Court that the defendants Thomas A. Clawson, Albert Clawson and T. A. Clawson are one and the same person, to-wit: Thomas A. Clawson, the signer of said notes and mortgage; and plaintiff having introduced its evidence in said cause, to-wit: said notes and mortgage sued upon, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, and there being no objections made by said defendants thereto, it is the opinion of the Court that the statements and allegations of plaintiff's Bill of Complaint herein are true, and that plaintiff is entitled to judgment as prayed.

The Court further finds that as a part of the conditions and consideration for the making of said notes and the loan of the money thereon, the defendants, Thomas A. Clawson and May A. Clawson did on the 17th day of August, 1929, as a part and parcel of the contract, make, execute and deliver to the said Stephen J. Tucker, Jr. their certain real estate mortgage to secure the payment of said notes, on the following described land, located in Osage County, Oklahoma, towit:

> The Southwest Quarter (SW4) of the Southwest Quarter, (SW4) of Section One (1); All of Section Twelve (12); The East Half (E½) of the Southeast Quarter (SE4) of Section Eleven (11); East Half (E2) of the Southeast Quarter (SE4); Southwest Quarter (SW4) of the Southeast Quarter (SE4); South Half (S2) of the Southeast Quarter (SE4) of the Northwest Quarter (NW4) of the Southwest Quarter (SW4); North Half (N2) of the Northeast Quarter (NE4) of the Southwest Quarter (SE4) of the Southwest Quarter (SW4); Northeast Quarter (NE4) of the Northwest Quarter (NW4); Northwest Quarter (NW4) of the Northeast Quarter (NE4) of Section Thirteen (13), all in Township Twenty-eight (28), Range Eleven (11);

and that said mortgage contained the words "appraisement waived". That the terms and conditions of said notes and mortgage have been brocken because of the failure of said defendants to pay the interest on said notes when due and to pay the taxes on said above described land when due, and that plaintiff is entitled to have said mortgage foreclosed and said land sold to satisfy said indebtedness.

It is the further opinion of the Court that plaintiff is entitled to a Receiver in this cause, to take charge of said premises, collect the rents thereon and report to this Court his doings under this order, pending the sale of said land, as hereinafter ordered.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER OF THE COURT that the plaintiff herein, on behalf of said Stephen J. Tucker, Jr., do have and recover of and from said defendants the sum of $14,081.90, being the principal and interest on said indebtedness to date hereof, together with interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from date hereon, and the further sum of $127.50 Attorney's fees, to be taxed as part of the costs herein.

It is further ordered and adjudged that if said defendants fail for six months from date hereof to pay to said plaintiff the sum of $14,081.90, with interest, costs, and attorney's fees, an order of sale issue to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell, accordingto law, without appraisement, the lands hereinbefore described, and apply the proceeds of said sale as follows:

First - The payment of the costs of said sale and this suit.

Second - The payment to said plaintiff the sum of $14,081.90, with interest at 7% per annum from date of this judgment.

Third - Payment of any and all taxes unpaid, against said premises, and the payment of Attorney's fees.

Fourth - The residue, if any, be turned into this court by said Marshal, to await the further order of the Court.

It being further shown to the Court that said above described property will probably not bring a sufficient sum at said sale to satisfy said judgment, and that plaintiff herein has asked for a receiver in this cause, it is ordered and adjudged that John H. Vickrey be, and he is hereby appointed Receiver herein, to take charge of said above described land until the payment of said indebtedness or the sale of said land under order of this Court, and to collect the rents on said land during said period and impound same and report to this Court as the Court may hereinafter order.

It is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that from and after the sale of said land the said defendants Thomas A. Clawson and Mary A. Clawson, and each of them, and all persons claiming by, through or under them, or either of them, since the commencement of this suit, be and they are forever barred and enjoined of and from all liens upon, right, title, interest or equity in or to said land or any part thereof.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS,
A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney
ENDORSED: Filed Apr 30 1931, H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 1, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, MAY 1, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, May 1st, A. D. 1931.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Court.
Hon. T. Blake Kennedy, Special Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States,	Plaintiff,)	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 558 Equity.
	)	
Harry T. Pratt and E. Hammond Jones,	Defendants.)	

AMENDED DECREE QUIETING TITLE.

Now on this 30th day of April, 1931, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open court on the application of the plaintiff to Amend the Decree Quieting Title heretofore entered in this cause on January 23, 1931, and it being shown to the Court that each of said minor heirs of the said Watty Grayson, mentioned insaid decree, to-wit: Nellie Grayson and Stella Grayson, own one-third of the land described in said original Decree Quieting Title, and that Maude Grayson, widow of said Watty Grayson, deceased, owns one-third of said allotment of said decesed allottee, and that the last two paragraphs of said decree should be amended so as to show the exact interest of each of the said parties in said inherited land, and there being no objections;

IT IS THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT of the Court that the last two paragraphs of said decree quieting title in the above cause, made on January 23, 1931, be, and they are hereby decreed to read as follows:

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the warranty deed made, executed and delivered by Maude Grayson Holmes, on February 18, 1929, to Harry T. Pratt, and recorded in Book 831, page 634 of the records, of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and the warranty deed made, executed and delivered by Maude Grayson Holmes and Lige Holmes, her husband, on the 20th day of July, 1929, to E. Hammond Jones, recorded in Book 858, page 514 of the records of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, be, and the same are hereby canceled and held for naught, and the title to said above described land is hereby declared to be in said minor heirs of said deceased allottee, Watty Grayson, namely Nellie Grayson and Stella Grayson, and Maude Grayson Holmes, the widow of said deceased allottee, share and share alike, one-third each, and that said land shall be and remain inalienable without the approval of the Secretary of the Interior, as provided by Section 9 of an Act of Congress of May 27, 1908.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the defendants, Harry T. Pratt and E. Hammond Jones, and any person claiming by, through or under them, or either of them, are hereby perpetually enjoined

from claiming any right, title or interest in or to said premises by virtue of said above described deeds, or either of them, hostile or adverse to the possession and rights of said minor heirs of said deceased allottee. And said defendants, and each of them are further enjoined from commencing any suit to disturb said minor heirs of said deceased allottee in the possession, use and title to said premises, and from setting up or claiming any interest adverse to the rights of said minor heirs, or disturbing their peaceful and quiet enjoyment of said premises.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

O.K. JNO. M. GOLDESBERRY
Jno. M. Goldesberry
United States Attorney.

ENDORSED: Filed May 1 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

John W. Breyfogle,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 611 EQUITY.
Blue Willow Corporation, a corporation, and I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks,	Defendants.	

ORDER PERMITTING LASHLEY AND RAMBO TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

For good cause shown Lashley and Rambo, who have heretofore appeared as attorneys of record for the plaintiff in this cause, be and they are hereby permitted to withdraw as attorneys of record for plaintiff.

Dated this 30th day of April, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed May 1 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States,	Plaintiff,)	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 618 Equity. ✓
	)	
Wesley Green,	Defendant.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY OF PERPETUAL INJUNCTION.

Now on this 1st day of May, 1931, this cause coming on to be heard before Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge, and plaintiff being present by its attorney, A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendant, Wesley Green, having been heretofore, on April 2, 1931, personally served with subpoena in Equity in this cause, within the Northern District of Oklahoma, and more than 20 days having elapsed since the date of said service, and defendant having failed to appear, plead, answer or demur herein, and having wholly made default, is by the Court adjudged in default;

And thereupon, plaintiff having announced ready for trial and introduced its evidence in open court, and the Courthaving been fully advised in the premises, finds that plaintiff is entitled to the relief prayed for herein, and that the defendant should be enjoined from in any way interfering with the management, possession and control of the premises described in the Bill of Complaint herein, to-wit:

> The Southeast Quarter of Section Thirty-one(1),
> Township Twenty-seven (27) North, Range Nine (9)
> East, Osage County, Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendant Wesley Green, be, and he is hereby permanently enjoined from in any way interfering with the management, possession and control of the above described premises as against the rights of the owner thereof, Minnie Onhand Mayse, Osage Allottee No. 583, and the Superintendent of the Osage Indian Agency, who acts on behalf of said allottee, and that this injunction shall run against said defendant and all persons acting by, through or under him, and each and every one of them are restrained in the future from all acts of interference with the ownership and possession of said premises above described.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER of the Court that this order of injunction shall be sufficient authority for the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma to deliver possession of said premises to the Superintendent of the Osage Indian Agency, on behalf of said allottee, and said Marshal is directed to serve this order on said defendant or any one acting by, through or under him, and make his return into this Court and await the further order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that the defendant, Wesley Green pay the costs of this action.

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams
Assistant United States Attorney

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

ENDORSED: Filed May 1 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Complainant	
vs.	
Pearl Roberts, L. B. Roberts, Cecil Howard, Mrs. Cecil Howard, O. N. Pettigrew, R. L. Brown, J. D. Brown, E. M. Brown K. L. Bynum, F. E. Pyeatt, Mrs. F. E. Pyeatt and Tulsa Building & Loan Association, a corporation, Defendants.	Equity No. 635

ORDER FOR TEMPORARY WRIT OF
INJUNCTION

Now on this the 1st day of May 1931, this matter comes on to be heard upon the Bill of Complaint heretofore filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, and upon the affidavit of George E. Carver and George R. Briner, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, by inspection of the Bill of Complaint and said affidavit, and otherwise, that a nuisance exists as described in said Bill of Complaint on the premises therein mentioned, it is

ORDERED that, pending the final hearing and determination of this application and entry of an order therein, the defendants above named,

Pearl Roberts,
L. B. Roberts,
Cecil Howard,
Mrs. Cecil Howard,
O. N. Pettigrew,
R. L. Brown,
J. D. Brown,
E. M. Brown,
K. L. Bynum,
F. E. Pyeatt,
Mrs. F. E. Pyeatt,
and
Tulsa Building & Loan Association,

their agents, servants, employees, and all other persons, and all others to whom notice shall come, are restrained and enjoined from manufacturing, possessing, keeping, selling and bartering any intoxicating liquor, as defined in Section 1, Title 2, of the National Prohibition Act, upon the premises described in the Bill of Complaint, as follows:

> A three-story brick apartment house located on lot 1, block 198, beginning at the southeast corner of said lot 1, thence west on 11th Street 41¼ feet, thence parallel with the east line of lot 2, in said block 20 feet, thence east parallel with the alley, thence south along Elgin Avenue to the point of beginning, being further known and described as No. 1018 and 1020 South Elgin, all in the city of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

The defendants are further restrained and enjoined from removing or in any way interfering with the liquor or fixtures or other things upon said premises, used, kept or maintained in connection with the manufacture, selling, keeping, possessing or bartering of such liquor, and from conducting or permitting the continuance of a common nuisance upon said premises.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

O.K. HARRY SEATON
Assistant U. S. Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed May 1 1931
W. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until May 5, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, MAY 5th, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, May 5th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jesse Bruner,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 310 Equity. ✔
R. M. Staples, et al	Defendants.	

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO WITHDRAW EXHIBITS.

On application leave is granted Glenn O. Young, attorney for M. G. Stein, guardian, to withdraw all the exhibits heretofore filed in connection with the trial of this cause, this the 5th day of May, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 5 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Hanna Anderson,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 562 Equity. ✔
O.K. Peck, as Trustee for Jack Roberts, et al,	Defendants.	

ORDER FOR SERVICE.

Now on this 5th day of May, 1931, it being shown to the Court that certain persons are necessary witnesses on behalf of the United States in the above cause, all of whom reside without the Northern District of Oklahoma, and probably more than 100 miles by nearest rail route, from Tulsa, Oklahoma, where they are to appear in said cause on May 11, 1931, and that it is necessary that an order issue to the United States Marshal for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, and to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, where said witnesses reside, to make proper service on them;

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, MAY 5th, 1931

IT IS THEREFORE the order of the Court that proper subpoena issue in said cause, out of the Clerk's office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directed to the United States Marshal for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, and to the United States Marshal for the Western District of Oklahoma, directing him to forthwith make proper service and return of subpoena on the following named witnesses to appear in this court on May 11, 1931, at 9 o'clock A. M., to testify on behalf of the United States in the above cause, and that a certified copy of this order accompany said subpoena:

Rufus Cummings, Box 144, Holdenville, Oklahoma
Hannah Watson, Box 446, Dustin, Oklahoma
Mattie Bruner, Box 344 Eufaula, Oklahoma
Tucker Marshall, Star Route, Eufaula, Oklahoma
Eliza Barnett, " " " "
W. M. Selvidge, Box 25, Eufaula, Oklahoma
Ella McCombs, Star Route, Vivian, Oklahoma
Polly Cook " " " "
George W. Mc Combs " " "
George Clinton (or Clanton), Raiford, Oklahoma
Richard Clanton " "
Thomas Roach, % U. S. Indian Agency, Muskogee, Okla.
Wesley Barnett " " " "
A. G. McMillan " " " "
Sam Stanley " " " "

L. M. Chipman, %Bureau of Investigation, Oklahoma City, Okla.
J. L. Flood " " " " "
T. H. Moylan " " " " "

Peter Fish, Raiford, Oklahoma
Ary Johnson, near Okmulgee, Oklahoma
H. M. Harjo " " "
Reuben Jimboy, near Okemah, Oklahoma
Eddie Jimboy " " "
Nancy Jacobs " " "
Emma Jacobs " " "
Jeanetta Jacobs " " "
Cuasehta Yahola, in vicinity of Okemah, Oklahoma
Louis Scott " " "
Sam Davis " " "
Turner Tiger " " "
Sarah Cox (or Sarah Billy) vicinity of Raiford, Okla.
Phillip McNac " " "
Robert Deere " " "
Charlie Simmons " Henryetta, "
Millie Roberts " Raiford "
Sister Roberts " " "
Beenie Henehochee, Okemah, Okla.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS
Assistant United States Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed May 5 1931
M. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JOHN N. BREYFOGLE, Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	No. 611 Equity ✓
BLUE WILLOW CORPORATION, a corporation, I. S. MINCKS, and EFFIE B. MINCKS, Defendants.	)	

ORDER ALLOWING COMPENSATION TO RECEIVER.

This cause came on to be heard on this 5th day of May, 1931 upon the application of T. J. Hartman, the duly appointed, qualified and acting receiver herein of the defendants Blue Willow Corporation, I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, for allowance of compensation for his services herein as receiver for the defendant Blue Willow Corporation, and upon hearing and consideration thereof,

It is by the court ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said T. J. Hartman, receiver herein of the said defendant Blue Willow Corporation, be and he hereby is allowed the sum of $50.00 per week as compensation for his services as such receiver beginning March 5, 1931, and continuing until further order of this court.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said T. J. Hartman, as such receiver, be and he hereby is authorized and directed to pay to himself such compensation in the weekly amount aforesaid, such amount to be paid in gross to the date of this order for the period beginning March 5, 1931, and after the date of this order each week in said amount of $50.00.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 5 1931
A. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WEST PIPE LINER COMPANY, a corporation, Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	In Equity No. 614 ✓
GUTHRIE BROTHERS BELTING COMPANY, a corporation, Defendant.	)	

ORDER AUTHORIZING ALLOWANCE TO RECEIVER FOR
LIVING EXPENSES.

On this day came on for hearing the application of the receiver herein for an allowance for living expenses; and the court having considered

said application and being fully advised in the premises, finds that same should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the court that the receiver be and he is hereby authorized and directed to pay himself out of the funds derived from the operation of the business in his charge as receiver, the sum of $250.00 per month beginning March 15th, 1931, and continuing until the further order of this court.

Dated this 5th day of May, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States
District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed May 5 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jane Haskell Richardson,	Plaintiff,)	
	)	
-vs-	)	EQUITY No. 621
	)	
Sapulpa Fuel Company,	Defendant.)	

APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO
ENTER APPEARANCE, ETC.,

COME NOW, Noble W. Hesket of Ashton, Kansas, the owner and holder of the Sapulpa Fuel Company First Mortgage Gold Bonds, Nos. 237 and 238, par value $1000.00 each; W. H. Hesket of Oxford, Kansas, the owner and holder of the Sapulpa Fuel Company First Mortgage Gold Bonds Nos. 6, 7, 36, 37 and 38, par value $1000.00 each; G. E. Hesket of Oxford, Kansas, the owner and holder of Sapulpa Fuel Company First Mortgage Gold Bonds Nos. 3 and 4, par value $1000.00 each, and Bond No. 39 of the same series, par value $500.00; and Eliza A. Hesket of Oxford, Kansas, the owner and holder of Sapulpa Fuel Company First Mortgage Gold Bonds Nos. 5, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 24, of the par value of $1000.00 each, and Bond No. 34 of the same series, par value $500.00; by their attorney, Harry O. Glasser, 805 Broadway Tower, Enid, Oklahoma, and respectfully petition the Judge of this Honorable Court to permit the above named bondholders to enter their appearance in this cause, for the following purposes:

(a) To file upon behalf of the above named bondholders, and any other persons owning and holding for value, the First Mortgage Gold Bonds of the Sapulpa Fuel Company, who shall thereafter elect to associate with the above named bondholders, in such action, an answer and objection to a certain petition for order to show cause filed in the above entitled matter by one, Ralph H. Kirkland, as Trustee of the Bartlett Oil & Gas Corporation, and Bartlett Development Syndicate Number One, Bankrupt;

(b) To file in the same behalf, an application to set aside a decree of judgment heretofore on the 25th day of March, 1931, granted in this Court, and entered in behalf of the Investors Utility Company, a Corporation, against the Sapulpa Fuel Company; which judgment the above named applicants allege, upon information and belief, to be without warrant, and entered pro confesso without independent investigation or examination, and now assigned to and held by one, John Mc Cullough, without consideration or value;

(c) To file in the same behalf, an application to set aside a decree of judgment heretofore on the 25th day of March, 1931, granted in this Court and entered in behalf of Jane Haskell Richardson, which judgment the above named applicants allege, upon information and belief, to be without warrant and entered pro confesso without independent investigation;

(d) To file in the same behalf, an application to set aside a decree of judgment heretofore on the 25th day of March, 1931, granted in this Court and entered in behalf of James E. Goddard against the Sapulpa Fuel Company, which judgment the above named applicants, upon information and belief, allege to be fraudulent and now assigned to and held by one, John Mc Cullough, without consideration or value;

(e) To file in the same behalf, an application to set aside the order of this Court, heretofore entered on the 17th day of April, 1931, authorizing the Receiver in this suit, to issue Receiver's Certificates in the sum of Twenty-four Thousand Five Hundred and no/100 ($24,500.00) Dollars;

(f) To file in the same behalf, an application for the discharge of Claude Williford as such Receiver and for the appointment of a disinterested Receiver, and to permit these applicants in that connection to join in the application of the Southwestern Natural Gas Company, filed April 10th, 1931, for like relief;

(g) To file in the same behalf, an application to make the Sapulpa Gas Company a party defendant in this action, with prayer for the appointment of a Receiver for the appointment of a Receiver for the property and assets of the Sapulpa Gas Company, of every kind and nature however held, owned or controlled; for the reason that the First Mortgage Gold Bonds of the Sapulpa Fuel Company are a first lien upon the assets and property of the Sapulpa Gas Company, and that if dissipated-- as these applicants upon information and belief now allege the same are in danger of being so dissipated -- the security of these applicants as bondholders, and all other bona fide bondholders, will be thereby correspondingly dissipated and destroyed.

(h) To file in the same behalf an application to make the Investors Utility Corporation, a Corporation, party defendant in this action, with prayer for the appointment of a Receiver for the property and assets of the Investors Utility Corporation of every kind and nature however, held, owned or controlled; for the reason that the Investors Utility Corporation did, on or about the 21st day of May, 1929, guarantee the payment of all of the

First Mortgage Gold Bonds issued by the Sapulpa Fuel Company, in a total par value of Three Hundred Fifty Thousand and no/100 ($350,000.00) Dollars, and that these applicant bondholders, upon information and belief are advised that the Investors Utility Corporation is purely a holding company; so that for the protection of the rights of these bondholders and all other persons owning and holding such bonds, this Court should have jurisdiction of all of the affairs of the Investors Utility Corporation;

(i) To file in the same behalf, an application to make C. N. Haskell, Trustee, a party defendant in the above entitled action, and to require the said C. N. Haskell as such Trustee, to assign to the proper officer of this Court, all rights, title and interest which the said C. N. Haskell as such Trustee, purports to hold under a certain mortgage granted the said C. N. Haskell, Trustee, by Sapulpa Gas Company, on the 24th day of March, 1931; being No. 03272 in the Records of Creek County, Oklahoma, and filed therein March 25th, 1931, at 10:00 o'clock A. M., for the reason that all of the properties sought to be so mortgaged to the said C. N. Haskell as such Trustee, are obligated to the prior payment of the First Mortgage Gold Bonds of the Sapulpa Fuel Company, owned by the above named applicants and other bona fide holders of said Sapulpa Fuel Company First Mortgage Gold Bonds; that these applicants upon information and belief allege that some agreement, the exact legal purport of which is to these applicants unknown, has been entered into by the said C. N. Haskell, Trustee, with the Trust Department of the Union National Bank of Wichita, Kansas, the Trustee named in said Bonds, and deposited in escrow in the First National Bank at Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; which activity represented an effort upon the part of the Union National Bank to protect the bondholders of the Sapulpa Fuel Company to that extent, but that such agreement and all rights thereunder should be directly within the supervision of this Court;

(j) To file in the same behalf, an application for a certified audit by a competent auditor to be approved by this Court, of original papers, documents and book entries of the above named Sapulpa Fuel Company, Sapulpa Gas Company, and Investors Utility Corporation;

(k) To file in the same behalf an application for a competent engineering appraisal of the physical assets of the same Corporations, to be made under the supervision of the Court;

(l) To authorize and permit the above named bondholders to institute in this cause in their behalf, and in behalf of all other bona fide holders of such bonds, a foreclosure of said bonds, with all of the rights, incident thereto, by reason of the default upon the same in the payment of the interest due thereon April 1st, 1931, and the insolvency of the Sapulpa Fuel Company, Sapulpa Gas Company, and Investors Utility Corporation, and their inability to meet their obligation upon said bonds; for the reason that unless foreclosure thereon be instituted, great and irreparable loss will result to these

applicants and all other like bona fide bondholders.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 2nd day of May, 1931.

HOBLE W. HESKET,
W. H. HESKET,
G. E. HESKET, and
ELIZA A. HESKET
Petitioners,

By: HARRY O. GLASSER
Attorney.

O R D E R

NOW ON THIS 5th day of May, A. D. 1931, for good cause shown the above named applicants are granted authority to enter their appearance herein and to file instanter the respective objections set forth in the foregoing application, and in addition thereto file in this cause their action in foreclosure of the outstanding First Mortgage Gold Bonds of the Sapulpa Fuel Company; and to take such other action as may be conformable with the foregoing application. All matters with reference thereto will stand for further hearing on May 6th, 1931, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

ENDORSED: Filed May 5 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

O R D E R

Upon reading and filing the foregoing petition in the above entitled proceeding, and affidavit for service by publication, and upon motion of A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, duly made in that behalf,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that in accordance with the provisions of Sec. 738, 742, Revised Statutes United States (Sec. 118 Title 28, U.S.C.A.) and in accordance with Sec. 250 and 253, Compiled Oklahoma Statutes, 1931, service on the defendants, L. E. Rutter, W. C. Foster and Agnes G. Foster may be had in this cause by due and proper publication, as required for notice to non-residents, reciting that they shall appear and set up any right, title, interest or claim in or to the premises described in said petition and the affidavit for publication herein, on or before June 20, 1931, and that said notice by publication shall be published six consecutive weeks prior to said answer day, in the Sapulpa Herald newspaper, and proper return of such publication made by the publisher on or before said June 20, 1931, as required by law.

Dated this 4th day of May, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed May 5 1931, H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

Court adjourned until May 6, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, MAY 6, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Wednesday, May 6th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

FLORA WHITEBIRD, ET AL,	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		No. 178 - Equity.
THE EAGLE PICHER LEAD & ZINC CO., ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that application for Attorneys' fees be, and the same is hereby, denied. (F.E.K. Judge).

J. B. STRATFORD,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 391 - Equity.
TULSA INVESTMENT CO.,	Defendant.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled case be, and the same is hereby, set for trial May 18, 1931. It is further ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be given until then to comply with order to pay costs. (F.E.K. Judge).

IRVING L. BUSH,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 537 - Equity.
R. H. PIERCE & CO. INC., and R. H. PIERCE and HARLAN REED,	Defendants.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, that motion of Exchange Trust Company to dismiss be, and the same is hereby, overruled. Given ten (10) days to answer. (F.E.K. Judge).

NORTHSIDE BANK & TRUST CO.,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 540 Equity.
INCORPORATED TOWN OF JENNINGS, PAWNEE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motion to punish Respondents for contempt has heretofore been disposed of. (F.E.K.)

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
SPECIAL MARCH 1931 SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, MAY 6, 1931

E. L. HARDESTER, ET AL,	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		No. 547 - Equity.
MID-CONTINENT PETROLEUM CO., ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motions to reopen for additional testimony be, and the same are hereby, sustained. It is further ordered by the Court that said case be set for hearing First Monday in June at Bartlesville, Oklahoma. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Hanna Anderson,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 562 Equity.
O. K. Peck, et al.,	Defendants,	
United States of America,	Intervenor.	

O R D E R.

Now on this 6th day of May, 1931, came on to be heard before the Court the Motion of the defendants, for leave to file an Amended Answer herein, said Amended Answer being attached to said Motion, and upon consideration thereof the Court doth sustain the same.

IT IS, THEREFORE, Considered and Ordered by the Court that the defendants are granted permission to file herein said Amended Answer.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 6 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

RAYMOND OFFUTT,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 566 - Equity.
C. J. WRIGHTSMAN, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motions of Defendant to dismiss be, and the same are hereby, sustained. It is further ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be given ten (10) days to amend. Defendants given ten (10) days thereafter to plead or twenty (20) days to answer. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, MAY 6, 1931

F. M. WOODS, TRUSTEE, Plaintiff,
-vs- No. 569 - Equity.
THE NEW CHICAGO MINES CORP., Defendant.

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, passed temporarily. (F.E.K. Judge).

PRAIRIE OIL & GAS CO., Plaintiff,
-vs- No. 571 - Equity.
STEBBINS OIL & GASOLINE CO., A CORPORATION, Defendant.

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Plaintiff to make more definite and certain be, and the same is hereby, sustained. Defendant given twenty (20) days to amend Answer. (F.E.K. Judge).

SALLIE M. COPELAND, ET AL, Plaintiffs,
-vs- No. 573 - Equity.
TULSA STREET RY, ET AL, Defendants.

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motions herein be, and the same are hereby, passed to May 7, 1931. (F.E.K. Judge).

ERLE K. EBY, Plaintiff,
-vs- No. 581 - Equity.
MONARCH ROYALTY CORP. ET AL, Defendants.

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that Receiver be, and he is hereby, given until May 18, 1931, to make final report. It is further ordered by the Court that all motions be passed to that date.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Erle K. Eby, Plaintiff,
vs. No. 581 Equity
Monarch Royalty Corporation, et al, Defendants.

JOURNAL ENTRY

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, MAY 6, 1931

Now on this 6th day of May, 1931, upon application of Biddison, Campbell, Biddison & Cantrell, heretofore solicitors for the defendant, George H. Currier, in the above styled and numbered cause, for leave to withdraw as attorneys and solicitors for said George H. Currier in said above cause, and it appearing to the court that due and reasonable notice has heretofore been given to said George H. Currier of the intention of said solicitors to withdraw as his solicitors in said above cause, and it further appearing that said George H. Currier, since receiving said notice, has employed another attorney to represent him in this action;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Biddison, Campbell, Biddison & Cantrell be, and they are hereby granted leave to withdraw from this cause as solicitors for the defendant, George H. Currier.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 6 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

SAND SPRINGS HOME, A CORPORATION,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 582 - Equity.
TULSA ROLLING MILLS COMPANY, A CORPORATION,	Defendant.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, It is ordered by the Court that objections be, and the same are hereby, stricken from the Motion docket of this date. (F.E.K. Judge).

MONARCH ROYALTY CORP. ETC.,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 590 - Equity.
MONARCH ROYALTY CORP., ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motions herein be, and the same are hereby, passed to May 18, 1931. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Monarch Royalty Corporation,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 590 Equity ✓
Monarch Royalty Corporation of Oklahoma, et al,	Defendants.	

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 6th day of May, 1931, upon application of Biddison, Campbell, Biddison & Cantrell, heretofore solicitors for the defendants, Helen B. Currier and George H. Currier, in the above styled and numbered cause, for leave to withdraw as attorneys and solicitors for said Helen B. Currier and George H. Currier in said above cause, and it appearing to the court that due and reasonable notice has heretofore been given to said Helen B. Currier and George H. Currier of the intention of said solicitors to withdraw as their solicitors in said above cause, and it further appearing that said Helen B. Currier and George H. Currier, since receiving said notice, have employed another attorney to represent them in this action;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Biddison, Campbell, Biddison & Cantrell be, and they are hereby granted leave to withdraw from this cause as solicitors for the defendants, Helen B. Currier and George H. Currier.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 6 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

MONARCH ROYALTY CORP. ETC.,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 591 - Equity. ✓
MONARCH ROYALTY CORP., ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motions be, and the same are hereby, passed to May 18, 1931. It is further ordered by the Court that H. R. Mahany be, and he is hereby, given leave to amend. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Monarch Royalty Corporation,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 591 Equity. ✓
Monarch Royalty Corporation, of Oklahoma, et al,	Defendants.	

JOURNAL ENTRY

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, MAY 6, 1931

Now on this 6th day of May, 1931, upon application of Biddison, Campbell, Biddison & Cantrell, heretofore solicitors for the defendant, George H. Currier, in the above styled and numbered cause, for leave to withdraw as attorneys and solicitors for said George H. Currier in said above cause, and it appearing to the court that due and reasonable notice has heretofore been given to said George H. Currier of the intention of said solicitors to withdraw as his solicitors in said above cause, and it further appearing that said George H. Currier, since receiving said notice, has employed another attorney to represent him in this action;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Biddison, Campbell, Biddison & Cantrell be, and they are hereby granted leave to withdraw from this cause as solicitors for the defendant, George H. Currier.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 6 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Phoenix Building & Loan Association, a Corporation,	PLAINTIFF	EQUITY CASE NO. 592
vs		
John R. Ketchum, et als	DEFENDANTS	

J O U R N A L E N T R Y

This matter coming on to be heard this 20th day of March, 1931, pursuant to assignment at Vinita, Oklahoma, the plaintiff being present by it's attorney, the defendants John R. Ketchum and Hattie Ketchum, each being three times duly called failed to appear and were by the court adjudged in default. The defendant Charles M. Dunning being present by his solicitor, The Auburn Greeting Card Company, a corporation, being present by its attorney, the United States of America ex rel Commissioner of Internal Revenue being present by the Honorable John Goldsberry, district attorney for the United States of America for the Northern District of Oklahoma, all parties having announced ready for trial,

Thereupon, the plaintiff introduced it's evidence and rested, the defendants Charles M. Dunning, Auburn Greeting Card Company, and the United States of America ex rel Commissioner of Internal Revenue, introduced their evidence and rested, and the court being fully advised, finds from the evidence:

1 - That the Phoenix Building & Loan Association, a corporation, has heretofore received permission from this court in Case #1170 Law, to file a Bill in Equity in t is case, making the United States of America ex rel Commissioner of Internal Revenue, party defendant.

2 - That the plaintiff is a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma, with it's principal place of business in the City of Vinita, State of Oklahoma, and within the jurisdiction of this court.

3 - That the defendants John R. Ketchum and Hattie Ketchum are residents of Rogers County, State of Oklahoma, and are within the jurisdiction of this court.

4 - That the defendant Charles M. Dunning is a non-resident of the State of Oklahoma, and the defendant Auburn Greeting Card Company a corporation is a foreign corporation with it's principal place of business in Auburn, Indiana. The court therefore finds that it has jurisdiction of the persons and the subject matter herein.

5 - That heretofore and on or about the 17th day of January, 1929, the defendants John R. Ketchum and Hattie Ketchum, for money borrowed, made, executed and delivered to the plaintiff association, a corporation, their promissory note in writing in the sum of $1750.00, which provides that said sums so borrowed should draw 10% per annum from date thereof until paid and that the interest thereon was payable monthly in the sum of $14.52 per month.

6 - The court further finds that the plaintiff association is a Building & Loan Association, and is engaged in that business within the said state of Oklahoma.

7 - The court further finds that at the same time and as a part and parcel of the same transaction, the said defendants subscribed for and had issued to them, 17½ shares of the capital stock of the plaintiff association. which shares were evidenced by Stock Certificate No. 2409 and which shares were pledged by said note and mortgage as collateral to secure the payment of the principal sum so borrowed and the court further finds that said defendants agreed to pay upon said stock so issued, the sum of $14.00 per month until such time as said stock should have a withdrawal value equal to the amount due the said association from the said defendants by reason of said loan and said defendants agreed to pay the interest until such time as said stock certificate should have a withdrawal value equal to the amount due said association from the said defendants by reason of said loan.

8 - The court further finds that as a part and parcel of the same transaction, for the purpose of securing the payment of the principal sum together with the interest thereon, together with the taxes or insurance advanced by the said association and to secure the payment of the amounts required to be paid upon said stock certificate every month, the said defendants John R. Ketchum and Hattie Ketchum, being husbandand wife, made, executed and delivered to said plaintiff association, their real estate mortgage in writing, whereby they mortgaged to said corporation, the following described premises, to-wit:

> Lots Seven, Eight, Nine and Ten (7, 8, 9, 10) in Block Forty (40) in the said City of Chelsea, and the Southerly 50 feet of Lot Two (2) and the Northerly 6 feet of Lot Three (3) in Block Sixty-one (61) in the said city of Chelsea and a part of Lot One (1) in Block Thirteen (13) in the said city of Chelsea, and a part of the E½ of the NE¼ of the NE¼ of the NW¼ of Section 30,

Township 24 N. Range 18E. in the said Rogers County, more particularly described as follows: Beginning at the northeast corner of Lot One (1) in Block Thirteen (13) in the said City of Chelsea, thence Southwesterly along the easterly Line of Olive Avenue a distance of 100 feet, thence southeasterly at right angles a distance of 200 feet, more or less, to the right of way of the St. Louis and San Francisco R. R.; thence northeasterly at right angles along said right of way line 100 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly to the place of beginning,

which premises the court finds were then and there owned by the defendant.

9 - The court further finds that the Vinita Building & Loan Association, and the Phoenix Building & Loan Association is one and the same corporation.

10 - The court further finds that the registration tax required by law to be paid upon said mortgage was duly paid thereon and said mortgage duly recorded, on the 18th day of January, 1929 at the hour of 10:30 A. M. and was recorded in Book 209, Page 261 of the records of Rogers County, State of Oklahoma, and that the plaintiff is the owner and holder of said note and mortgage.

11 - The court further finds that said mortgage among other things provides that if the interest upon said note shall not be paid or if the payments agreed upon said stock so issued are not made when same becomes due and payable, or if the makers thereof shall fail to pay the taxes levied and assessed against said premises or shall permit waste upon said premises or shall fail to pay the taxes levied or assessed against said premises as the same becomes due and payable, or shall fail to keep same insured in a sum equal to $1750.00 the amount so borrowed with a loss payable clause thereon in favor of the plaintiff, then in that event, the conditions of said mortgage should be deemed broken and said mortgage subject to foreclosure.

12 - The court further finds that said mortgage provides that upon the breach of the conditions of said mortgage that the plaintiff should by its proper officers, withdraw said stock certificate, cancel the same and apply the withdrawal value thereof to the amount due the said association under said note and mortgage.

13 - The court further finds that said mortgage further provided that the holder thereof should recover the sum of $175.00 attorney's fees therefor.

14 - The court further finds that the conditions of said mortgage have been broken in this to-wit: That the last payment made upon the dues required to be paid upon said stock certificate so issued, was for the month of February, 1929; that the taxes levied and assessed against said premises for the year 1929 in the sum of $185.84 were not paid when the same became due andpayable, but that plaintiff in order to protect his lien, did on the 9th day of September, 1930 pay same, and is entitled to recover said sum with 10% interest from date of payment until same is re-paid to said plaintiff.

15 - The court further finds that by reason of the neglect of the defendant to make the payments as required upon said stock so issued and

to pay the taxes as the same became due and payable, that the defendant became indebted to this plaintiff in a sum greater than three monthly payments as provided by said note and mortgage and same constituted a breach of the conditions of said mortgage and that the plaintiff did by its proper officer, on the 9th day of September, 1930, withdraw said stock certificate so issued, cancel the same and did apply the withdrawal value to the amount due the plaintiff under said mortgage as principal and interest, and that after applying the withdrawal value of said stock certificate so issued, to the amount due the said association by reason of said loan, there remained due and payable as principal and interest, the sum of $1589.99, which sum the court finds that plaintiff is entitled to recover herein with 10% interest thereon from Sept. 9, 1930 until paid.

16 - The court further finds that plaintiff has been forced to expend the sum of $19.50 for a supplemental abstract by which to prepare this petition and is entitled to recover said sum with 10% interest thereon from Sept. 9, 1930 until paid.

17 - The court further finds that the plaintiff was forced to expend the sum of $17.60 for insurance upon said premises Sept. 9, 1930 and is entitled to recover same herein with 10% interest from said date until paid.

18 - The court further finds that the plaintiff is entitled to recover judgment in this case against the defendants John R. Ketchum and Hattie Ketchum in the sum of $1812.93 with 10% interest thereon from September 9, 1930 until paid and is entitled to recover the further sum of $175.00 attorneys fees and the costs in this case accrued and accruing.

19 - The court further finds that the defendant Charles M. Dunning recovered a judgment against the defendant John R. Ketchum, on April 30, 1929, in Rogers County, Oklahoma, for the sum of $1163.33, which judgment was docketed in said court on May 8, 1929 and that thereafter an execution was issued upon said judgment and said property levied upon belonging to the said John R. Ketchum in said case, which property was sold for the sum of $500.00, leaving a balance due and unpaid upon said judgment, in the sum of $_____ and the court finds that said judgment became and was a lien upon all the property of the said John R. Ketchum, from and after the 8th day of May, 1929, and became a lien upon the property described herein, subject however, to the mortgage of the plaintiff set up herein, but prior to the rights of any of the other defendants herein.

20 - The court further finds that on April 2, 1929, the Auburn Greeting Card Company, a corporation, recovered a judgment against John R. Ketchum, which judgment was recovered in Rogers County and was duly entered in the judgment docket of said court on October 16, 1929; that said judgment was rendered for the sum of $35.80 and said judgment became a lien upon all the property including the property herein described, belonging to the said John R. Ketchum, from and after October 16, 1929, but that said lien is subject to, junior and inferior to the lien of the plaintiff herein and to the defendant Charles M. Dunning.

21 - The court further finds that the United States of America ex rel Commissioner of Internal Revenue, filed a notice of income tax due the United States of America in Rogers County, Oklahoma and that said notice was filed in the office of the County Clerk of Rogers County Oklahoma, May 9, 1930 and from said date became a lien upon all the property of the said John R. Ketchum, including the property herein described; that the same was filed long after the lien of the plaintiff herein and long after the lien of the defendant Charles M. Dunning, and long after the lien of the Auburn Greeting Card Company

and is therefore subject to, junior and inferior to the liens of each of the parties herein.

22 - The court further finds that the defendants John R. Ketchum and Hattie Ketchum his wife, made, executed and delivered, for money borrowed to the plaintiff herein, on or about the 11th day of February, 1929, their promissory note in the sum of $350.00 with 10% interest thereon per annum, said interest being payable in the sum of $2.90 on or before the 15th day of each month, and at the same time, as a part and parcel of the same transaction, the said defendants subscribed for and had issued to them, 3½ shares of the capital stock of said association, the same being evidenced by Stock Certificate #2435, and which stock was pledged to the said plaintiff for the payment of the principal sum borrowed, together with the interest thereon and the said defendants agreed to pay to the plaintiff, the sum of $2.80 per month thereon until such time as the withdrawal value of said stock certificateshould equal the amount due said association by virtue of said loan.

23 - The court further finds that at the same time and as a part and parcel of the same transaction, and for the purpose of securing the payment of said principal sums so borrowed, together with the interest thereon, together with the payments agreed to be made upon said stock certificate, the said defendants, made, executed and delivered to this plaintiff, their certain mortgage in writing, upon the premises herein described, and that the plaintiff paid the registration tax required by law to be paid thereon and duly recorded the same on the 12th day of February, 1929, at 4:00 o'clock P. M., in Book 209, Page 353 of said records.

24 - The court further finds that said mortgage provided that if the dues upon said stock certificate so issued shall not be paid when same become due and payable or if the makers thereof shall fail to pay the taxes when the same become due and payable, or shall fail, neglect or refuse to pay the insurance upon said premises, or should become indebted to the plaintiff in a sum equal to three monthly installments, then the whole sum should become due and said stock should be withdrawn by an officer of said association and said withdrawal value thereof applied to the principal and interest on said note. That said defendants did become indebted to said association in a sum excessive to three monthly installments and the plaintiff did on the 9th day of September, 1930, withdrawn said stock certificate so issued and did apply the withdrawal value thereof to the amount due the plaintiff as principal and interest.

25 - The court further finds that said mortgage should be foreclosed in this action.

26 - The court further finds that after applying the withdrawal value of said stock certificate so issued, that there remained due and payable to the plaintiff as principal and interest, $304.91, which should bear interest at the rate of 10% from September 9, 1930, until paid. That said mortgage further provides that the holder thereof shall recover the sum of $35.00 attorney's fees upon the filing of a foreclosure thereof and the court finds that said mortgage is a second mortgage upon said premises, being subject only to the first mortgage of the plaintiff hereinbefore referred to and being prior to the interest of each of the other defendants.

27 - It is therefore by the court, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff have judgment against the defendants John R. Ketchum and Hattie Ketchum, for the sum of $2117.84 with 10% interest thereon from September

9, 1930 until paid; together with the further sum of $210.00 attorney's fees; together with the costs in this case accrued and accruing.

28 - It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the mortgage set up in plaintiff's first cause of action be and the same is hereby declared to be a first lien upon said premises and the mortgage set up in plaintiffs second cause of action is hereby declared to be a second lien upon said premises, subject only to said first mortgage held by the plaintiff and each of said mortgages are hereby declared to be foreclosed.

29 - It is further ordered and decreed by the court that C. N. Tyler, is hereby appointed Master, to at the expiration of six months from date hereof, advertise and sell the premises herein described as provided by law, without appraisement, unless the judgments herein rendered are sooner paid.

30 - The court further finds that the defendant Chas. M. Dunning recovered a judgment against the defendant John R. Ketchum, on the 30th day of April, 1929, in Rogers County, Oklahoma, for the sum of $1163.33, which judgment was docketed in said court on May 8, 1929, and became a general lien on all of the property belonging to the said John R. Ketchum on said date including the property herein described; that an execution was issued upon said judgment and said property levied upon belonging to the said John R. Ketchum in said case, which property was sold for the sum of $500.00, leaving a balance due and unpaid upon said premises in the sum of $_____, and the court finds that said judgment is a third lien upon the premises herein described, in said sum.

31 - The court further finds that on april 2, 1929, the Auburn Greeting Card Company, a corporation, recovered a judgment against John R. Ketchum, in Rogers County, and which judgment was duly entered on the judgment docket in the District Court on October 16, 1929 and became a general lien upon all the property of the said John R. Ketchum from said date, including the property herein described. That said judgment was rendered for the sum of $35.80 together with the costs. The court finds, however, that said judgment is a fourth lien upon the premises herein described, being subject to the mortgages set up in plaintiff's first and second cause of action and to the lien in favor of the defendant Chas. M. Dunning.

32 - The court further finds that the United States of America ex rel Commissioner of Internal Revenue, filed a notice of income tax due the United States of America in Rogers County, Oklahoma, on May 9, 1930, and that said notice became a general lien upon all the property of the said John R. Ketchum, including the property herein, but that the same is subject to the rights of the plaintiff and to the rights of the defendant Chas. M. Dunning and to the rights of the defendant Auburn Greeting Card Company.

33 - It is therefore decreed by the court that the plaintiff has a first and second lien upon said premises herein described for the amount herein found due; the defendant Chas. M. Dunning a third lien thereon; the defendant Auburn Greeting Card Company a fourth lien thereon; the United States of America ex rel Commissioner of Internal Revenue, a fifth lien thereon.

34 - It is further ordered by the court that of the proceeds of the sale hereinabove provided for, that same shall be applied: First, to the payment of the amount found due the plaintiff herein including the costs in this case and the attorney's fee herein found; Second, balance if any to be paid to the defendant Chas. M. Dunning, not exceeding the amount of his judgment as found herein; Third, balance if any, to be paid to the Auburn Greeting Card

Company, not exceeing the amount of It's lien as found herein; Fourth, balance if any, to be paid to the United States of America ex rel Commissioner of Internal Revenue, not exceeding the amount of it's lien.

35 - It is further ordered by the court, that C. N. Tyler of Vinita, Oklahoma, be and he hereby is appointed receiver in this case; is hereby directed and authorized to rent the premises herein described, collect the rents, issues and profits therefrom, and to make such necessary and needful repairs as may be necessary to properly preserve said premises, and account for same to this court. That before entering upon his duties, he shall take an oath as required by law and execute a bond in the sum of $500.00.

36 - It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court, that from and after the sale of said premises, under and by virtue of the proceedings herein had, that the defendants herein and each of them, or anyone claiming by, through or under them or either of them, since commencement of this action, be and they are hereby forever enjoined from forever asserting any right, title, claim, lien or interest, either in law or in equity, in and to the premises herein described.

F. E. KENAMER
DISTRICT JUDGE.

O.K. JNO. M. GOLDESBERRY

ENDORSED: Filed May 6 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

A. J. POSTEL,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 603 - Equity.
CITY OF KIEFER, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION,	Defendant.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Defendant to dismiss be, and the same is hereby, overruled. (F.E.K. Judge).

WILSON CLINTON, INCOMPETENT, ET AL,	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		No. 607 - Equity.
O. C. COPPEDGE, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that application for injunction be, and the same is hereby, denied. It is further ordered by the Court that all motions be overruled. Defendants given twenty (20) days to Answer. Journal entry to be furnished. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Wilson Clinton, incompetent, by Cubah Clinton, his next friend, and Cubah Clinton for herself, Petitioners,	)	
vs.	)	
O. C. Coppedge, Federal Surety Company, a Corporation, United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company, A Corporation, Carl J. Hughes, County Judge of Creek County, Oklahoma, Thomas S. Harris, Whiteman Littlehead and L. W. McEwen, Respondents.	)	No. 607 Equity.

ORDER DENYING INJUNCTION AND SUSTAINING MOTION TO DISMISS AS TO SOME OF THE RESPONDENTS, AND OVERRULING AS TO OTHERS.

Now on the 6th day of May, 1931, comes on further to be heard the application of the petitioners herein for an interlocutory injunction against the respondents herein, and all of the parties appearing by their respective attorneys, it is by the court ordered, adjudged and decreed, that the application of the petitioners for a temporary or interlocutory injunction herein be, and the same is hereby denied.

Comes now on further to be heard the motion of several respondents herein to dismiss the petitioner's bill of complaint herein, and the court having examined the pleadings herein and the respective motions of the several other respondents, and having heard the argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds, on the motion of the respondents, Carl J. Hughes, County Judge, Thomas S. Harris and Whiteman Littlehead and L. W. McEwen, that this cause should be, and is hereby dismissed as to said respondents.

The court further finds that the several motions of the respondents, O. C. Coppedge, United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company and the Federal Surety Company to dismiss petitioners' bill should be, and the same are, hereby denied; to which action of the court the said several respondents, O. C. Doppedge, United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company, and Federal Surety Company, each, severally and separately, except, and their exceptions are by the court allowed.

And upon application therefor the said repondents, O. C. Coppedge, United States Fidelity and Guaranty Company and Federal Surety Company are given twenty days from this date within which to answer the bill of complaint of the petitioners herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

O.K. WALLACE & WALLACE, and WAYNE H. LASATER
Attorneys for Petitioners.

Attorney for O. C. Coppedge.

Attorneys for Federal Surety Company.

WEST GIBSON SHERMAN DAVIDSON & HULL
Attorneys for U. S. Fidelity and Guaranty Co.

THOS. S. HARRIS and HUGHES & ELLENGHAUSER
Attorneys for Carl J. Hughes, Thomas S. Harris, and Whiteman Littlehead.

Respondent.

ENDORSED: Filed May 13 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

PAUL D. SPEER, Plaintiff,
-vs-
TOWN OF KEIFER, ET AL, Defendants.
No. 609 - Equity.

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Defendants to dismiss be, and the same is hereby, overruled. Defendant given fifteen (15) days to answer. (F.E.K. Judge).

THE PROCTOR & GAMBLE CO., Plaintiff,
-vs-
BARNES MANLEY CO., Defendant.
No. 612 - Equity.

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that hearing on motion to dismiss be, and the same is hereby, passed to May 8, 1931. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
-vs-
HOMINY NATIONAL BANK, a CORPORATION, ET AL, Defendants.
No. 617 - Equity.

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that hearing on motion be, and the same is hereby, passed to May 7, 1931. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JANE HASKELL RICHARDSON,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		In Equity No. 621
SAPULPA FUEL COMPANY, a corporation,	Defendant.	

ORDER PERMITTING UNION NATIONAL BANK OF WICHITA, KANSAS, TRUSTEE TO INTERVENE.

On this 6th day of May, 1931, upon the application of the Union National Bank of Wichita, Kansas for leave to intervene herein, and it appearing that the defendant, Sapulpa Fuel Company, has heretofore issued and delivered bonds evidencing obligations by the Sapulpa Fuel Company, and that a deed of trust or mortgage indenture has been executed by the said Sapulpa Fuel Company to secure certain bonds, and that the Union National Bank of Wichita, Kansas is the trustee under said mortgage indenture or deed of trust, and that by reason thereof the said Union National Bank of Wichita, Kansas is a proper party in this action, and is interested in the proceedings herein, and for other good cause,

IT IS ORDERED that the Union National Bank of Wichita, Kansas be and it is hereby permitted to intervene herein, as a party hereto.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the said Union National Bank of Wichita, Kansas be, and it is, hereby permitted to plead in this said cause and to set forth, by appropriate pleadings, causes of action it may have under and by virtue of said deed of trust and mortgage indenture.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 6 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

JANE HASKELL RICHARDSON,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 621 - Equity.
SAPULPA FUEL CO., A CORP.,	Defendant.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, that application for rule to show cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed. Thereafter, the following witnesses are sworn and examined: C. N. Haskell, Mr. Watchorn, Jno. D. McCullough, C. S. Morris, Standard Oil and Refining Company declared to be owner of $45,000.00 judgment against the Sapulpa Fuel Company. It is further ordered by the Court, that all collateral be deposited with the Clerk of the Court which is held by either party. Given five (5) days to comply.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, MAY 6, 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JANE CASKELL RICHARDSON,	Complainant,	
-vs-		
SAPULPA FUEL COMPANY, a Corporation,	Respondent,	IN EQUITY No. 621
STANDARD OIL AND REFINING COMPANY, a Corporation; H. M. WATCHORN and MAUDE V. WATCHORN for the use and benefit of the Standard Oil and Refining Company, a Corporation,	Intervenors.	

O R D E R

Now on this 6th day of May 1931 comes on for trial the contest in said cause between the said intervenors and John Mc Cullough as to the ownership of an indebtedness owing by the Sapulpa Gas Company in the principal sum of about $45,000.00 which said Gas Company promised to pay to H. M. Watchorn and Maude V. Watchorn. The Standard Oil and Refining Company appeared by H. M. Watchorn and Maude V. Watchorn, stockholders and directors in said corporatinn and by its attorney R. E. Stephenson. The said John Mc Cullough, a stockholder and director in said corporation appeared in person and by his attorneys, Robinson & Edwards of Oklahoma City. After both parties had completed the introduction of evidence and had rested the Court finds that the said H. M. Watchorn and Maude V. Watchorn assigned their claim of said indebtedness against the Sapulpa Fuel Company to the Standard Oil and Refining Company a corporation intervenor and that said intervenor is now the owner of said claim of indebtedness against said Sapulpa Fuel Company. Therefore it is considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Standard Oil and Refining Company, intervenor, be and it is hereby adjuged to be the owner of said claim of indebtedness in about the sum of $45,000.00 against the said Sapulpa Fuel Company.

It is further considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the said John Mc Cullough be and he is hereby ordered to deliver into the care and custody of the Clerk of this Court the securities delivered with said assignment to said Standard Oil and Refining Company to secure the payment of said indebtedness. The said securities are described as common stock of the Sapulpa Fuel Company of the par value of $160,000.00; preferred stock of the Sapulpa Fuel Company of the par value of $25,000.00 and bonds of the Sapulpa Fuel Company of the value of $20,000.00. The said John Mc Cullough is ordered to so deliver said securities to the Clerk of this Court on or before the 18th day of May 1931, or to appear before this Court on said 18th day of May at the hour of ten o'clock A. M. and show cause, if he can, why he should not be adjudged in comtempt of Court for failure to so deliver said securities to said Clerk of this Court. It is the further order of the Court that the said H. M. Watchorn deliver to the Clerk of this Court bonds of said Sapulpa Fuel Company in the amount of $5,000.00 on or before the said date of May 18 which were pledged by the said H. M. Watchorn to the American National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma before the assignment of said claim to the Standard

Oil and Refining Company which were so pledged by permission of C. N. Haskell.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 12 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

MICHIGAN TRUST COMPANY,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 625 - Equity
CONSOLIDATED SCHOOL DISTRICT #65, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motion to Quash be, and the same is hereby, sustained, as to defendant Gulf Pipe Line Company. Plaintiff given ten (10) days to secure service on said Defendant. (F.E.K. Judge).

PIGGLY WIGGLY CORP.,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 628 - Equity.
J. A. STRAUSS, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A.D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court th t hearing on motion to dismiss be, and the same is hereby, passed to May 8, 1931. (F.E.K. Judge).

AIR-WAY ELECTRIC APPLICANCE CORP, a Corporation,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 629 - Equity.
JOHN C. WOLFE,	Defendant.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Defendant to dismissed be submitted on briefs. (F.E.K. Judge)

Court adjourned until May 7, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA - THURSDAY, MAY 7th, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, May 7th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

The Security Benefit Association, a corporation, Plaintiff,	)	
	:	No. 445 Equity.
vs.	)	
	:	
Town of Slick, et al, Defendants.	)	

O R D E R

On this 7th day of May, 1931, this cause comes on for hearing, and the parties and intervenors appearing by counsel, the following proceedings were had:

Summary report of the Receiver was approved and it appears that the Receiver has on hand the sum of $9,326.68.

Thereupon, the question came on for hearing as to the distribution of said funds, and upon consideration, and after hearing argument of counsel, the Court finds that the Petition of Intervention of the Slick National Bank for a proportionate distribution of the funds on hand at this time should be denied for the reason that said Bank has heretofore received the sum of Eight Thousand ($8,000.00) Dollars which was paid on or about the 1st day of August, 1927, and by reason of such payment such Bank ought to be postponed in its right to participation in the funds until the bondholders have been brought on a parity with said Bank, and it is so ordered, to which Order the Intervenor, Slick National Bank excepts.

Thereupon, the Receiver, Fred L. Patrick requests the Court to discharge him as such Receiver upon his turning over the funds on hand to his successor, which request is by the Court granted, and upon receipt to be filed in this Court that said funds have so been turned over to his successor, it is ordered that the Receiver's bondsmen be discharged.

And thereupon, upon application of the Security Benefit Association and other creditors, the American National Bank of Bristow, Oklahoma, Trust Department, is appointed as Receiver in succession to the said Fred L. Patrick, and said Fred L. Patrick is ordered and directed forthwith pay the balance of the funds in his hands after deducting allowances to said Receiver.

It is further ordered that said Receiver be allowed the sum of Four Hundred Fifty ($450.00) Dollars in addition to the sum already received, to-wit, Two Hundred Fifty ($250.00) Dollars, as compensation in full for the Receiver and his attorneys, which sum said Receiver is entitled to deduct from

the funds on hand.

It is further ordered that the question of distribution of funds in the hands of the Receiver amongst the various bond and coupon holders and holders of judgments based thereon, be reserved.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 7 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE ROGERS BREAD COMPANY, an Oklahoma corporation,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		In Equity No. 502.
SOUTHWESTERN STORES, INC.,	Defendant.	
R. S. HENDERSON, et al,	Interveners.	

ORDER OF FINAL DISTRIBUTION TO CREDITORS.

This cause came on for hearing on this 7th day of May, 1931, upon the application of Thomas Z. Varney and Jake Easton, receivers herein for defendant Southwestern Stores, Inc., for an order of final distribution to creditors of said defendant, who have not participated in the plan and re-organization agreement heretofore approved herein.

And it appearing to the court that the said receivers now have in their hands certain funds derived from the sale of properties of said defendant, made pursuant to order of this court, and that same should now be distributed to the creditors of said defendant who have not participated in the plan and re-organization agreement aforesaid.

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Jake Easton, one of said receivers, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to distribute pro rata to all of the creditors of said defendant, Southwestern Stores, Inc., who have not participated in said plan and re-organization agreement aforesaid, all funds in his hands, after deducting therefrom the necessary receivership expenses, and that said distribution be made pro rata to such creditors, according to the amount of their respective claims.

And IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said Jake Easton, receiver herein, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to compute the final dividend due each and every of such creditors, and to make final distribution to them accordingly, and make due and proper report of such distribution in his final report to this court.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 7 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE ROGERS BREAD COMPANY, an Oklahoma corporation,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		In Equity No. 502
SOUTHWESTERN STORES, INC.,	Defendant.	
R. S. HENDERSON, et al,	Interveners.	

ORDER ALLOWING COMPENSATION TO RECEIVER,
JAKE EASTON.

This cause came on for hearing on this 7th day of May, 1931, upon the application of Thomas Z. Varney and Jake Easton, receivers herein, for the allowance of additional compensation to receiver Jake Easton,

And it appearing to the court that the said receiver Jake Easton is entitled to further and additional allowance as compensation for his services as receiver herein,

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Jake Easton be and he is hereby allowed additional compensation in the sum of $600.00 for his services as receiver herein, and that the said receivers are hereby authorized and directed to pay to the said Jake Easton said sum of $600.00 aforesaid.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 7 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE ROGERS BREAD COMPANY, an Oklahoma corporation,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		In Equity No. 502
SOUTHWESTERN STORES, INC.,	Defendant.	
R. S. HENDERSON, et al,	Interveners.	

ORDER AUTHORIZING ALLOWANCE TO
ATTORNEY FOR RECEIVER.

This cause came on for hearing on this 7th day of May, 1931, upon the application of Eben L. Taylor, attorney for Thomas Z. Varney and Jake Easton, receivers herein, for allowance of compensation for expenses incurred and time expended in matters connected with said receivership, and upon hearing and consideration thereof,

---

It is by the court ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said Eben L. Taylor be, and he hereby is, allowed the sum of $400.00 compensation herein for expenses incurred and time expended as attorney for said receivers, and that the said receivers be, and they hereby are, authorized and directed to pay to the said Eben L. Taylor said sum of $400.00.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 7 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

SALLIE M. COPELAND, et al, Plaintiff,
-vs- No. 573 - Equity.
TULSA STREET RY, ET AL, Defendants.

Now on this 7th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that present motions be and the same are hereby, withdrawn. Defendant given ten (10) days to plead or twenty (20) days to answer. (F.E.K. Judge).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
-vs- No. 617 - Equity.
HOMINY NATIONAL BANK, a CORPORATION, ET AL, Defendants.

Now on this 7th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that Defendants' motion to dismiss be, and the same is hereby, overruled. Defendant given ten (10) days to answer. Motion for judgment on pleadings withdrawn. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JANE HASKELL RICHARDSON, Plaintiff,
vs. No. 621 Equity.
SAPULPA FULL COMPANY, a corporation, Defendant.

O R D E R.

Now on this 7th day of May, 1931, this cause coming on to be heard before me, the undersigned Judge, upon the application of Leon S. Hirsh, attorney for the receiver, for a partial allowance of attorney fees;

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED that the receiver be, and he hereby is directed to pay to Leon S. Hirsh, attorney for said receiver, the sum of $500.00, as partial payment of attorney fees, and as partial compensation for his services as attorney for the receiver.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 7 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JANE HASKELL RICHARDSON, Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 621 Equity.
	)	
SAPULPA FUEL COMPANY, a corporation, Defendant.	)	

O R D E R.

Now on this 7th day of May, 1931, this matter coming on to be heard before me, the undersigned judge, and the receiver, Claude Williford heretofore appointed herein as receiver for the Sapulpa Fuel Company, a corporation, by order of this court dated March 25, 1931, having presented to the court his resignation as such receiver;

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED that the resignation of Claude Williford, as receiver for the Sapulpa Fuel Company, be and the same is hereby accepted, effective upon the qualification of his successor.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that Eben L. Taylor be, and he hereby is, appointed receiver for the Sapulpa Fuel Company in place and in lieu of Claude Williford, subject to and with all the powers and duties set forth in the order heretofore entered herein on March 25, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 7, 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Jand Haskell Richardson,	Plaintiff,)	
vs.	)	Equity No. 621
Sapulpa Fuel Company, a corporation,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R

Now on this 7th day of May, 1931, the application of Ralph H. Kirkland, Trustee of the Bartlett Oil and Gas Corporation and Bartlett Development Syndicate Number One, bankrupt, and on motion of his attorney, A. B. Honnold, for leave to intervene herein as party plaintiff against the Sapulpa Fuel Company and to make the Sapulpa Gas Company and the Investors Utility Corporation additional parties defendant, coming on for hearing, after due notice given in open court, with all parties present or represented, including the additional parties sought, and no objection being made, and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that the application should be granted and it is, therefore,

Ordered that applicant be given leave to file his bill of intervention herein, bringing in additional parties defendant as prayed for.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 7 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

JANE HASKELL RICHARDSON,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-	)	No. 621 - Equity.
SAPULPA FUEL CO., A CORP.,	Defendant.)	

Now on this 7th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court, that $100,000.00 judgment heretofore found herein, be, and the same is hereby set aside. All parties excepting thereto be, and they are hereby, given ten (10) days to plead or twenty (20) days to answer. It is further ordered by the Court that present receiver, Claude Williford be, and he is hereby, discharged and Eben L. Taylor be, and he is hereby substituted in his stead. (F.E.K. Judge).

Court adjourned until May 8, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, May 8th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

The Proctor & Gamble Company, a corporation, et al,	Plaintiffs,	
vs.		No. 612 Equity.
Barnes-Manley Wet Wash Laundry Company, a corporation, doing business as the Barnes-Manley Laundry,	Defendant.	

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 8th day of May, 1931, there coming before the Court motion of the defendant to dismiss the Bill in Equity of the plaintiffs herein, the parties being present by their respective solicitors, said Motion is duly presented and argument of counsel heard thereon. On consideration of said Motion and argument thereon the Court is of the opinion that said Motion should be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Motion of the defendant herein to dismiss the Bill in Equity of the plaintiffs herein be and the same is overruled, defendant allowed an exception, and granted twenty (20) days from this date within which to file its Answer in this cause.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge

O.K: BIDDISON CAMPBELL BIDDISON & CANTRELL
Solicitors for Plaintiffs

O.K: ALLEN, UNDERWOOD & CANTERBURY
Solicitors for Defendant

ENDORSED: Filed May 12 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until May 11, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, May 11th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MILDRED STOCKTON McKOWN,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 528 - Equity.
TOWN OF GLENPOOL, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 11th day of May, A.D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken, pending settlement. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UTILITIES PRODUCTION CORPORATION,	Plaintiff,	
Vs.		No. 560 EQUITY.
CARTER OIL COMPANY,	Defendant.	

O R D E R.

In accordance with the stipulation herein filed, it is on this 11th day of May, 1931, ordered that the above styled and numbered cause be and it is hereby stricken from the present equity assignment.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 11, 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, MAY 11, 1931

HANNA ANDERSON,	Plaintiff,	)
-vs-		) No. 562 - Equity.
O. K. PECK, GDN. ET AL,	Defendants.	)

Now on this 11th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that the Government be given leave to file supplement to Petition herein, and reply of intervener to defendants' amended answer. And thereafter, Intervener is given leave to file reply to amended answer herein.

Thereafter, said case is called. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. All witnesses are sworn in open court. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Samuel Blair, Hanna Anderson. Thereafter, the Defendants introduce evidence and proof with witness G. R. Horner and upon this testimony, Court held that Hanna Anderson is found to be a resident of the State of Arkansas. And thereafter, the Plaintiff continues with the introduction of further evidence and proof with Rufus Cummings, Henry Hays, Harry Beaver, Mahala Roberts, L. M. Chipman, Herman Anderson, Nancy Jacobs, William Selvidge, Wesley Barnett, Phillip McNash. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, court is recessed to 9:30 o'clock A. M., May 12, 1931.

Court adjourned until May 12, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, May 12th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Mildred Stockton McKown, Complainant,	)	
vs.	)	No. 528 Equity.
The Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, a municipal corporation, et al, Respondents.	)	

D E C R E E

Now on this 12th day of May, 1931, the same being a regular judicial day of the special March 1931 term of the above entitled court, this cause came on for trial pursuant to regular assignment, the complainant appearing by her attorney Eugene Jordan, and the respondents appearing by their attorneys Redman S. Cole and Hugh Webster, Assistant County Attorney, and all parties in open court announcing ready for trial, said cause proceeds to trial.

Thereupon, the complainant asks leave of the court to dismiss said cause without prejudice as to respondent Gypsy Oil Company, a corporation, and, there being no objection, it is ordered that said cause be and it is hereby dismissed as to the respondent Gypsy Oil Company, a corporation, without prejudice.

Thereupon, the complainant introduced evidence in support of the allegations of her bill of complaint and respondents introduced their evidence, and there being no further evidence desired to be introduced by either party, said cause was submitted to the court, and the court being fully advised in the premises finds that the allegations in complainant's bill of complaint are true and that complainant is entitled to all the relief prayed for in her bill of complaint. The court specially finds that it has jurisdiction over this cause in equity and that the assumption of such jurisdiction is not in violation of the Constitution of the United States.

The court further finds that the complainant herein is entitled to a prohibitory injunction against the respondents, the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Arthur Sutton, V. Comby, J. H. Egbert, and C. White, respectively President, Member, Clerk and Treasurer of the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and their successors in office, and each and all of them, their servants, agents and employees, from committing a breach of the terms and conditions contained in the written contracts involved in this action and described in the bill of complaint, and from diverting the moneys on hand in the sinking fund to such sources so that the same will not be available for the payment of the interest due and becoming due on said bonds and the principal thereof at maturity, and from asserting in any manner that said bonds are invalid and void, and from in

any manner casting any further or additional clouds upon complainant's title of and to said bonds.

The court finds that complainant is entitled to a mandatory injunction against all of the respondents in this action, and each and all of them, and their successors in office, compelling them and each of them to desist from further casting any further or additional clouds upon complainant's title of and to said bonds, and compelling said respondents, and each and all of them, and their successors in office, to desist from refusing to perform their full and legal duties in the matter of making yearly itemized statements of the estimated needs of the amount required by law for sinking fund purposes to pay the interest on said bonds, and to create a fund to retire the principal thereof at maturity, and from failing and refusing to make such yearly appropriations for sinking fund purposes as is required by the statutes of the State of Oklahoma, and from in any manner failing and refusing to take such action or actions or instituting such proceeding or proceedings as may be required by law for the compelling of the payment of tax levies so to be made for the aforesaid purposes.

IT IS NOW ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. That the allegations contained in the bill of complaint herein on behalf of complainant are true and correct and that complainant is entitled to and is hereby granted all of the relief sought in this proceeding and action.

2. That the title and right of complainant in and to the funding bonds, set forth and described in the bill of complaint, so issued by said respondent the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, is hereby quieted against all claims, clouds and assertions as to their invalidity which have been or may hereafter be made by said respondents or either of them or their servants, agents, employees and/or successors in office.

3. That said respondents and each and all of them, their officers, agents, employees and successors in office are hereby perpetually enjoined, prohibited and restrained from casting any further or additional clouds on the title of complainant of and to said bonds, and from asserting in any manner or in any proceeding that said bonds are invalid and void.

4. That said respondents, the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Arthur Sutton, V. Comby, J. H. Egbert, and C. White, respectively President, Member, Clerk and Treasurer of the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and their agents, servants, employees and successors in office are hereby perpetually enjoined and restrained from committing a breach of the terms and conditions of the written contracts expressed and set out in said bonds with reference to the making of an annual tax levy for the payment of interest on said bonds and principal at maturity, and said named respondents and their agents, servants, employees and successors in office are hereby restrained from diverting funds on hand in the sinking fund of said municipality to any source or using the same for any purpose not authorized by law.

5. That said respondents and each and all of them and their agents, servants, employees and successors in office are hereby enjoined and restrained from failing and refusing to perform in full their statutory duties in the matter of making yearly itemized statements of the estimated needs of the amounts required by law to provide a sinking fund to retire said bonds at maturity, and to semi-annually pay the interest coupons thereto attached as they mature, and they and each of them and their successors in office are hereby enjoined from failing and refusing so to do; and they and each of them, and

their successors in office are further perpetually enjoined and restrained from in any manner and at any time failing or refusing to take such action or actions or such proceeding or proceedings as may be by law provided for the purpose of compelling the payment of such tax levies to be made as herein specified, and they and each of them are hereby enjoined from failing and refusing to take such actions or proceedings as may be necessary and as may be provided for the purpose of securing funds to create a sinking fund to retire such bonds and pay the interest coupons thereon at their maturity.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the respondent C. White, Treasurer of the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, or his successor in office, or his agents, servants, and employees are hereby enjoined and restrained from failing or refusing to pay out of available sinking fund moneys all past due interest coupons detached from said bonds together with interest thereon from their maturity to the date of such payment, and from failing and refusing to pay in full all subsequently maturing interest coupons out of available sinking fund moneys created for such purpose.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the complainant recover of and from the respondents herein, and each and all of them, her cost expended herein, for which let execution issue.

Dated this 12th day of May, 1931 at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

O.K. EUGENE JORDAN
Attorney for Complainant

O.K. as to form
HUGH WEBSTER, Asst. County Atty
Tulsa County, Okla.
REDMOND S. COLE
Attorneys for Respondents.

ENDORSED: Filed May 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

NORTH AMERICAN LIFE ASSURANCE CO. ET AL,	Plaintiffs,	No. 530 - Equity.
-vs-		
CITY OF DRUMRIGHT, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 12th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that response be filed as of January 21, 1931, at Pawhuska and response file as of that date.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

The North American Union Life Assurance Society, a corporation, and Lee Wertheimer,	Complainants,	
vs.		
The City of Drumright, Creek County, Oklahoma, a municipal corporation, et al,	Respondents,	No. 530 Equity.
Milton Adler, John Kratt, William List, F. L. Michaels, L. F. Parks, Ida Scherer, Fred Topmoeller and the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Rogers, Oklahoma,	Intervenors.	

D E C R E E

Now on this 21st day of January, 1931, the same being a regular judicial day of the regular Pawhuska January 1931 term of the above entitled court, this cause came on for trial, the complainants and intervenors appearing by their attorney Eugene Jordan, and the respondents appearing by their attorneys S.A.Denyer and Sebe Christian, and both parties in open court announcing ready for trial, said cause proceeds to trial.

Thereupon, the respondents ask leave of the court to adopt as their response to the bill of complaint on behalf of intervenor, Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma their response theretofore filed to the original bill of complaint and further ask leave to file a supplemental response as of this date to said complaint of intervenor, Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma, and leave to file such supplemental response is hereby granted.

Thereupon, the complainants and intervenors introduced evidence in support of the allegations of their respective bills of complaint and respondents introduced their evidence, and there being no further evidence desired to be introduced by either party, said cause was submitted to the court, and by the court taken under advisement, and further hearing continued until May 12, 1931 at the Special Tulsa March 1931 term of said court.

And on said 12th day of May, 1931, the same being a regular judicial day of the Special Tulsa March 1931 term of said court, said cause comes on for further hearing, at which time the parties appeared by the respective counsel as above noted; and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds that the allegations in complainants' and intervenors' bills of complaint are true and that complainants and intervenors are entitled to all the relief prayed for in their bills of complaint, to which finding and ruling of the court the respondents except and such exceptions are allowed. The court specially finds on request of the respondents that:

1. The intervenor, the Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma, is a body corporate existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.

2. That the intervenor, Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, at the commencement of this action and prior thereto, was the sole owner of bonds numbered 22 to 71 inclusive of said issue, and that bonds numbered 22 to 46 inclusive matured August 1, 1930.

3. That prior to the filing of this action in this court the Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma instituted an action in the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma as set forth in the response of respondents herein, to the bill of complaint on behalf of the Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma for the purpose of reducing to judgment form said past due interest coupons detached from said bonds numbered 22 to 71 inclusive, and that the City of Drumright filed its answer and cross petition thereto in which cross petition is sought to have the bonds of complainant declared void and cancelled; that thereafter the Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County dismissed its cause of action in the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma on said coupons, but that the City of Drumright has not dismissed its cross petition, and no further proceedings have been taken in said cause.

4. The court further finds that the Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma is the sole owner of said bonds heretofore enumerated and that the complainants and intervenors are the sole owners of the other bonds specified in their respective bills of complaint.

5. The court further finds that the bonds of the Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County involved herein are the identical bonds which were involved in cause No. 262 Equity herein, which cause was appealed to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals of the 10th district, and the opinion in said cause being reported in 37 Federal (2nd) 504.

The court further specially finds that it has jurisdiction over this cause in equity and that the assumption of such jurisdiction is not in violation of the Constitution of the United States, to which finding the respondents and each of them except.

The court further finds that complainants and intervenors herein are entitled to a prohibitory injunction against the respondents, City of Drumright, Creek County, Oklahoma, a municipal corporation, and its officials and their successors in office, and each and all of them, their servants, agents and employees, from committing a breach of the terms and conditions contained in the written contracts involved in this action and described as The City of Drumright Funding Bonds of 1922, and from diverting the moneys on hand in the sinking fund to such sources so that the same will not be available for the interest due and becoming due on said bonds, and the principal thereof at maturity, and from asserting in any manner that said bonds are invalid and void, and from in any manner casting any further or additional clouds upon complainants' or intervenors' title of and to said bonds, to which findings the respondents except and object, and especially object and except to the findings with reference to the bonds of the County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma, and said exceptions are allowed.

The court further finds that complainants and intervenors are entitled to a mandatory injunction against all the respondents in this action and each and all of them, and their successors in office, compelling them to desist from so casting any further or additional clouds upon complainants' or intervenors' title of and to said bonds, and compelling said respondents and each and all of them, and their successors in office to desit from refusing to perform

their legal duties in the matter of making yearly itemized statements of the estimated needs of the amounts required by law for sinking fund purposes to pay the interest on said bonds and to create a fund to retire the principal thereof at maturity, and from failing and refusing to make such yearly appropriations for sinking fund purposes as is required by Chapter 84 of Compiled Oklahoma Statutes of 1921, and statutes of the State of Oklahoma supplementary and amendatory thereto, and from in any manner failing and refusing to take such action or actions or instituting such proceeding or proceedings as may be required by law for the compelling of the payment of tax levies so to be made for the aforesaid purposes, to which findings the respondents except and object, and especially except and object to the findings with reference to the bonds of the County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma, and said exceptions are allowed. Respondents specifically except to the finding and conclusion of the court that respondents be required to institute proceedings for the compelling of payment of tax levies, which exceptions are allowed.

IT IS NOW ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. That the allegations contained in the bills of complaint herein on behalf of complainants and intervenors, and each and all of them, are true and correct, and that complainants and intervenors are entitled to and are hereby granted all the relief sought in this proceeding and action.

2. That the title and right of complainants and intervenors in and to the City of Drumright Funding Bonds of 1922, so issued by said City of Drumright, Creek County, Oklahoma, is hereby quieted against all claims, clouds and assertions as to their invalidity which have been or may hereafter be made by said respondents or either of them or their servants, agents, employees and successors in office.

3. That said respondents and each and all of them, their officers, agents, servants, employees and successors in office are hereby perpetually enjoined, prohibited and restrained from casting any further or additional clouds on the title of complainants or intervenors of and to said bonds, and from asserting in any manner or in any proceeding that said bonds are invalid and void.

4. That said respondents, the City of Drumright, Creek County, Oklahoma, and its officers and their agents, servants, employees, and successors in office are hereby perpetually enjoined and restrained from committing a breach of the terms and conditions of the written contracts expressed and set out in said bonds with reference to the making of an annual tax levy for the payment of the interest on said bonds and the payment of the principal at maturity, and said named respondents and their agents, servants, employees and successors in office are hereby restrained from diverting funds on hand in said sinking fund of said City of Drumright to any source or using same for any purpose not authorized by law.

5. That said respondents herein and each and all of them and their agents, servants, employees and successors in office are hereby enjoined and restrained from failing and refusing to perform in full their statutory duties in the matter of making yearly itemized statements of the estimated needs of the amount required by law to provide a sinking fund to retire said bonds at their maturity and to semi-annually pay the interest coupons thereto attached, and they, and each of them, and their successors in office are hereby enjoined from failing and refusing so to do; and they and each of them, and their successors in office are further perpetually enjoined and restrained from in any

manner and at any time failing or refusing to take such action or actions or in taking such proceeding or proceedings as may be by law provided for the purpose of compelling the payment of such tax levies to be made as herein specified, and they and each of them are hereby enjoined from failing and refusing to take such actions and proceedings as may be necessary and as may be provided for the purpose of securing funds to create a sinking fund to retire such bonds and pay the interest coupons thereon at their maturity.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that respondent E. J. Campbell, City Treasurer of the City of Drumright, Creek County, Oklahoma, or his successor in office, or his agents, servants, and employees are hereby perpetually enjoined and restrained from failing or refusing to pay out of available sinking fund moneys, if any there be, all past due interest coupons de tached from said bonds, and from failing and refusing to pay in full all subsequently maturing interest coupons out of available sinking fund moneys created for such purpose.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the complainants recover of and from the respondents and each and all of them their cost herein expended, for which let execution issue.

To each and all of the foregoing judgment and order of this court and each paragraph thereof, the respondents and each and all of them hereby except, and their exceptions are allowed, and said respondents specifically make these exceptions and objections to judgment and decree, and each paragraph thereof, insofar as it pertains to the intervenor Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma, and said exceptions are allowed.

Dated this 12th day of May, 1931, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

O.K. EUGENE JORDAN
Attorney for Complainants and Intervenors.

O.K. S. A. DENYER
Attorney for Respondents.

ENDORSED: Filed May 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 558 Equity
Harry T. Pratt and E. Hammond Jones,	Defendants.	

ORDER ENLARGING TIME IN WHICH TO PREPARE AND LODGE RECORD

NOW on this 12th day of May, 1931, the above named defendants,

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, MAY 12, 1931

Harry T. Pratt and E. Hammond Jones, having filed their petition praying for an appeal of the within cause to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit and said appeal, having been duly and regularly allowed and upon allowance thereof said defendants having requested an enlargement of time within which to prepare and serve a record in said cause and to lodge the same in the Circuit Court of Appeals and after hearing the statement of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that good and sufficient cause exists for said enlargement of the time.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said defendants, Harry T. Pratt and E. Hammond Jones be, and they hereby are allowed an extension of 30 days from this date in which to complete their appeal and lodge their record in this cause in the Circuit Court of Appeals aforesaid.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of District Court of the
United States for the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed May 12 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

HANNA ANDERSON,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 562 - Equity.
O.K. PECK GDN, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 12th day of May, A. D. 1931, the above cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore. Thereafter, the Defendants continue with the introduction of evidence and proof with the following witnesses: R. B.Drake, A. G. McMillan, Orlando Swain, Jack Roberts. And thereafter, the Defendants rest. The Plaintiff offers rebuttal testimony of Wesley Barnett, Tucker Marshall. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that bill be dismissed as to G. R. Horner. It is further ordered by the Court that said case as to others be, and the same is hereby, taken under advisement. Defendants given ten (10) days to file brief. Plaintiff given ten (10) days thereafter to file answer briefs. Defendants given five (5) days thereafter to file reply briefs. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Herman Baur, Emmet County State Bank of Michigan, a corporation, The Michigan Trust Company, a corporation, and John O. Krause,	Complainants,	
vs.		No. 572 Equity.
The Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, a municipal corporation, et al,	Respondents,	

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, MAY 12, 1931

C. H. Newell, and J. E. Kelso,) No. 572 Equity (Contd).
)
Intervenors.)

D E C R E E

Now on this 12th day of May, 1931, the same being a regular judicial day of the special Tulsa, March 1931 term of the above entitled court, this cause came on for trial pursuant to regular assignment, the complainants and intervenors appearing by their attorney Eugene Jordan, and the respondents appearing by their attorneys Redman S. Cole and Hugh Webster, Assistant County Attorney, and all parties in open court announcing ready for trial, said cause proceeds to trial.

Thereupon, the complainants and intervenors ask leave of the court to dismiss said cause without prejudice as to respondent Gypsy Oil Company, a corporation, and, there being no objection, it is ordered that said cause be and it is hereby dismissed as to the respondent Gypsy Oil Company, a corporation, without prejudice.

Thereupon, the complainants and intervenors introduced evidence in support of the allegations of their respective bills of complaint and respondents introduced their evidence, and there being no further evidence desired to be introduced by either party, said cause was submitted to the court, and the court being fully advised in the premises finds that the allegations in complainants'and intervenors' bills of complaints are true and that complainants and intervenors are entitled to all the relief prayed for in their bills of complaint. The court specially finds that it has jurisdiction over this cause in equity and that the assumption of such jurisdiction is not in violation of the Constitution of the United States.

The court further finds that the complainants and intervenors herein are entitled to a prohibitory injunction against the respondents the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Arthur Sutton, V. Comby, J. H. Egbert, and C. White, respectively President, Member, Clerk and Treasurer of the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and their successors in office, and each and all of them, their servants, agents and employees, from committing a breach of the terms and conditions contained in the written contracts involved in this action and described in the bills of complaint, and from diverting the moneys on hand in the sinking fund to such sources so that the same will not be available for the payment of the interest due and becoming due on said bonds and the principal thereof at maturity, and from asserting in any manner that said bonds are invalid and void, and from in any manner casting any further or additional clouds upon complainants' or intervenors' title of and to said bonds.

The court further finds that complainants and intervenors are entitled to a mandatory injunction against all of the respondents in this action and each and all of them, and their successors in office compelling them and each of them to desist from further casting any further or additional clouds upon complainants' or intervenors' title of and to said bonds, and compelling said respondents, and each and all of them, and their successors in office, to desist from refusing to perform their full and legal duties in the matter of making yearly itemized statements of the estimated needs of the amount required by law for sinking fund purposes to pay the interest on said bonds, and to create a fund to retire the principal thereof at maturity, and from failing and refusing to make such yearly appropriations for sinking fund purposes as is

required by the statutes of the State of Oklahoma, and from in any manner failing and refusing to take such action or actions or instituting such proceeding or proceedings as may be required by law for the compelling of the payment of tax levies so to be made for the aforesaid purposes.

IT IS NOW ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. That the allegations contained in the bills of complaint here in on behalf of complainants and intervenors, and each and all of them, are true and correct and that complainants and intervenors are entitled to and are hereby granted all of the relief sought in this proceeding and action.

2. That the title and right of complainants and intervenors in and to the Funding Bonds, set forth and described in the bills of complaint, so issued by said respondent the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, is hereby quieted against all claims, clouds and assertions as to their invalidity which have been or may hereafter be made by said respondents or either of them or their servants, agents, employees and/or successors in office.

3. That said respondents and each and all of them, their officers, agents, employees and successors in office are hereby perpetually enjoined, prohibited and restrained from casting any further or additional clouds on the title of complainants or intervenors of and to said bonds, and from asserting in any manner or in any proceeding that said bonds are invalid and void.

4. That said respondents, the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Arthur Sutton, V. Comby, J. H. Egbert, and C. White, respectively President, Member, Clerk and Treasurer of the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and their agents, servants, employees and successors in office are hereby perpetually enjoined and restrained from committing a breach of the terms and conditions of the written contracts expressed and set out in said bonds with reference to the making of an annual tax levy for the payment of interest on said bonds and principal at maturity, and said named respondents and their agents, servants, employees and successors in office are hereby restrained from diverting funds on hand in the sinking fund of said municipality to any source or using the same for any purpose not authorized by law.

5. That said respondents and each and all of them and their agents, servants, employees and successors in office are hereby enjoined and restrained from failing and refusing to perform in full their statutory duties in the matter of making yearly itemized statements of the estimated needs of the amounts required by law to provide a sinking fund to retire said bonds at their maturity, and to semi-annually pay the interest coupons thereto attached as they mature, and they and each of them and their successors in office are hereby enjoined from failing and refusing so to do; and they and each of them, and their successors in office are further perpetually enjoined and restrained from in any manner and at any time failing or refusing to take such action or actions or such proceeding or proceedings as may be by law provided for the purpose of compelling the payment of such tax levies to be made as herein specified, and they and each of them are hereby enjoined from failing and refusing to take such actions or proceedings as may be necessary and as may be provided for the purpose of securing funds to create a sinking fund to retire such bonds and pay the interest coupons thereon at their maturity.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the respondent C. White, Treasurer of the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, or his successor in office, or his agents, servants, and employees are hereby enjoined and restrained from failing or refusing to pay out of available sinking fund moneys all past due interest coupons detached from said bonds together with interest thereon from their maturity to the date of such payment, and from failing and refusing to pay in full all subsequently maturing interest coupons out of available sinking fund moneys created for such purpose.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the complainants recover of and from the respondents herein, and each and all of them, their cost expended herein, for which let execution issue.

Dated this 12th day of May, 1931 at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

O.K. EUGENE JORDAN
Attorney for Complainants and Intervenors.

O.K. as to form
HUGH WEBSTER, Asst. Co. Atty, Tulsa County, Okla.
REDMOND S. COLE
Attorneys for Respondents.

ENDORSED: Filed May 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

OKLAHOMA COMPANY, a Delaware Corp. et al.,	Plaintiffs,	No. 578 - Equity.
-vs-		
JAMES W. McCULLOCH,	Defendant.	

Now on this 12th day of May, A. D. 1931, the above styled cause comes on for trial. Both sides announce ready. Opening statements of counsel are made. Witnesses are sworn in open court. The Defendant introduces his evidence and proof with the following witnesses: J. W. Mc Culloch, E. T. Noble. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: S.W. Clark, Fred C. Clark, R. H. Smith and C. H. Menge. And thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, court is recessed to 9:30 A. M., May 13, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, MAY 12, 1931

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JAMES O. GRIGGS,	Complainant.	
vs		In Equity No. 615
THE WACKERLE GAS MINING COMPANY, INC.,	Defendant.	

ORDER LIMITING TIME FOR PRESENTATION OF CLAIMS.

This cause coming on to be heard upon the report of M. M. Valerius, Receiver, filed herein, in consideration thereof and after due deliberation for good cause shown it is ordered that:

All persons, firms or corporations having claims or demands against the defendant, The Wackerle Gas Mining Company, Inc., are required on or before the 13th day of June, 1931, under penalty of thereafter having said claims disallowed in the discretion of the court to file the same with M. M. Valerius, Receiver herein, at 818 Ritz Building, Tulsa, Oklahoma, which said claims or demands shall be supported by affidavit and shall set out the amount and nature of any security or lien held by the claimant or to which the claimant is entitled and also any claim or preference payment from the assets in the hands of the Receiver or the earnings therefrom in priority to any other claims.

It is further ordered that said Receiver shall as soon as he conveniently can, after the 11th day of May, A. D. 1931, publish each week for four consecutive calendar weeks in a newspaper published and of general circulation in Tulsa, Oklahoma, a copy of this order and shall also give not less than thirty days notice by mail to all creditors and claimants of whose names and addresses he may be informed.

It is ordered that said Receiver shall as soon as he conveniently can, after the 13th day of June, A. D. 1931, report to the court a list of all such claims as may have been presented to him, which at the time of making said report shall not have been paid under any authority heretofore conferred upon him, and shall file with said report all papers filed with him in connection with said unpaid claims.

Dated this 11th day of May, A. D. 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 12 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

PIGGLY WIGGLY CORPORATION,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		IN EQUITY NO. 628
J. A. STRAUSS and L. FUTTERMAN, doing business as partners under the firm name of Strauss & Futterman,	Defendants.	

JOURNAL ENTRY

Be it remembered that on the 6th day of May, 1931, a regular day of court, there came on for hearing the motion to dismiss heretofore filed in the above entitled suit, plaintiff appearing by its attorney J. C. Monnet, Jr., defendants appearing by their attorney, Max G. Cohen, and testimony having been heard by the court the hearing on said motion was continued until May 8, 1931, at 9:30 o'clock a. m. On said date and at said time said hearing was resumed, the plaintiff and defendants appearing by their said respective attorneys. The court having heard the evidence and being fully advised in the premises finds that the facts set out in the motion to dismiss are true and that said motion should be sustained on the ground that said suit was brought in the wrong judicial district.

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that said motion to dismiss be and the same is hereby sustained and that the bill of complaint filed in the above entitled suit be and the same is hereby dismissed.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

O.K. as to form: MONNET & SAVAGE
AMES, COCHRAN, AMES & MONNET.
By E. O. Monnet,
Attys for Complainant.

O.K. COHEN, HICKMAN & UNGERMAN
KING & CRAWFORD
By Frank Hickman
Atty for Defts

ENDORSED: Filed May 12 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S.District Court ME

Court adjourned until May 13, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, MAY 13, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, May 13th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

T. B. SLICK,	Plaintiff,)	
-vs-	)	No. 510 - Equity
UNION TRANSPORTATION CO., a corporation,	Defendant)	

ORDER AUTHORIZING RECEIVERS TO EXECUTE CONTRACT
WITH THE B. F. GOODRICH RUBBER COMPANY.

On this 13 day of May, 1931, upon the verified application of J. A. Frates and F. A. Bodovitz, Receivers of the Union Transportation Company, and it being made to appear that it is necessary to the operation of the Union Transportation Company that the said Receivers contract for the furnishing of casings or tires for a term and period of one year, and it further appearing that the contract described and referred to in said verified application is for the best interest of the said Receivership operations, and for other good cause;

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COURT ORDER, That J. A. Frates and F. A. Bodovitz, Receivers of the Union Transportation Company, be, and they are hereby authorized and empowered to execute a contract with The B. F. Goodrich Rubber Company for tires or casings, for a term and period of one year beginning on the 12th day of May, 1931, and ending on the 11th day of May, 1932, at the cost of .008595 per bus mile, plus a deduction as follows: Should the tire equipment furnished by Supplier hereunder accumulate an average in excess of 20,000 miles, Supplier shall make a deduction of .0042975 per bus mile, for all bus miles in excess of the average of 20,000 miles, and that said contract be upon the terms and conditions as set forth in a copy of said proposed contract, attached to said application.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 13 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

--- ---

OKLAHOMA COMPANY, a Delaware Corporation, et al,	Plaintiffs,	No. 578 - Equity.
-vs-		
JAMES W. McCULLOCH,	Defendant.	

Now on this 13th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that Defendant be given leave to amend cross - Bill. And thereafter, the above styled cause comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore. The Plaintiff continues with the introduction of evidence and proof with C. H. Menge. And thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. The Defendant offers rebuttal testimony of J. W. Mc Culloch, H. D.Ernert, W. C. Beck, Jr., And thereafter, it is found by the Court, that James W. Mc Culloch pay cash value and policies to be surrendered to James W. Mc Culloch on payment of cash value. Judgment to be entered as per journal entry. Given ten (10) days to comply.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States,	Plaintiff,	No. 587 Equity.
vs.		
Josephine James, Bonnie Smith, Louis James, F. W. Farrar, A. P. Carr and T. E. Gibson,	Defendants.	

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 13th day of May, 1931, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open court, before Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge, and plaintiff being present by its solicitor, A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendants Josephine James and Bonnie Smith having heretofore been regularly served with subpoena in equity in said cause, within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, more than 30 days prior to this date, and having failed to appear in said cause and make answer, demur or plead to the Bill of Complaint herein, is adjudged by the Court to be in default of answer herein, and the defendants Louis James, F. W. Farrar, A. P. Carr and T. E. Gibson having each heretofore filed his disclaimer in said cause, disclaiming any right, title or interest in the land sought to be foreclosed herein, are adjudged by the Court to have no lien, right, title or interest in or to said land described in the Bill of Complaint herein, and hereinafter shown; and plaintiff having announced ready for trial, and having introduced its evidence of witnesses sworn and examined in open court, and the note and mortgage sued upon herein, and there being no objections thereto, it is the opinion of the Court that the statements and allegations of plaintiff's Bill of Complaint are true, and that plaintiff is entitled to judgment, as prayed, as against the said Josephine James and Bonnie Smith, makers of said note and mortgage, because said note was due and payable, according to the terms thereof, on December 11, 1929, and remains unpaid.

The Court further finds that as a part of the conditions and the consideration for making said note and the loaning of the money thereon, and on the same date the defendants Josephine James and Bonnie Smith, made, executed

and delivered to the guardian of Louis Pryor, restricted Osage Allottee No. 611, their certain real estate mortgage to secure the payment of said note, said mortgage covering the following described land, to-wit:

> Lots Seven (7), Eight (8) and Nine (9), Block Forty-six (46), original town of Pawhuska, Osage County, Oklahoma,

and that the said mortgage contained the words "waive an appraisement". That the conditions of said note and mortgage have been broken because of the failure of the defendants to pay said note when it became due, and because of their failure to pay the interest thereonremaining unpaid, and the taxes on said premises, as required by law.

It is the further opinion of the Court that plaintiff is entitled to a Receiver in this cause, to take charge of said premises, collect the rents thereon, and report the same to this Court, during the pendency of this action and sale of said land, such report to be made as hereinafter ordered.

IT IS THEREFORE the order and judgment of the Court that the plaintiff herein, the United States, on behalf of Louis Pryor, restricted Osage Allottee No. 611, do have and recover of and from said defendants Josephine James and Bonnie Smith the sum of $3392.76, together with interest thereon from this date at the rate of 7% per annum until paid, and for the further sum of $335.00 Attorney's fees to be taxed as costs in said cause, as provided in said note and mortgage, and for the further sum of $68.90, ad valorem taxes on said property for the year 1929, and all other unpaid accrued taxes on said property.

It is further ordered and adjudged that if said defendants fail for six months from date hereof to pay said plaintiff the sum of $3392.76, with interest, costs and attorneys' fees, an order of sale issue to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell, according to law, without appraisement, the lands hereinbefore described, and apply the proceeds of said sale as follows:

First - To the payment of the costs of this suit and said sale.

Second - The payment to said plaintiff the sum of $3392.76, with interest at 7% per annum from date of this judgment.

Third - Payment of any and all unpaid taxes against said premises, and the payment of Attorney's fees.

Fourth - The residue, if any, to be turned into this Court by said Marshal, to await the further order of the Court.

It being further shown to the Court that the above described property will probably not bring a sufficient sum to satisfy said judgment, and that plaintiff herein has asked for a receiver in this cause, it is ordered that John H. Vickrey be, and he is hereby appointed Receiver herein, to take charge of said above described property until the payment of said indebtedness or the sale of said land under order of this Court, and to collect the rents on said land during said period and impound same and report to this Court, as the Court may hereinafter order.

It is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that from and after the sale of said land the said defendants, Josephine James, Bonnie Smith,

Louis James, F. W. Farrar, A. P. Carr and T. E. Gibson, and each of them, and all persons claiming by, through or under them, or either of them, since the commencement of this suit, be and they are forever barred and enjoined of and from all liens upon, right, title, interest or equity in or to said land or any part thereof.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams
Assistant United States Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed May 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

PAUL D. PRUDDEN, ET AL,	Plaintiffs,	
vs.		No. 588 - Equity.
SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 22 OF OSAGE COUNTY,	Defendant.	

Now on this 13th day of May, A.D. 1931, it is ordered by the Court that Plaintiff amend Response by interlineation. It is further ordered by the Court that bond coupons be introduced in evidence. Thereafter, judgment is found for Plaintiff as per journal entry to be furnished. (Coupons not delivered).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 595 Equity.
Zoah Bradshaw, Barbara Dahrooge, L. Futterman, Charles B. Wilson, H. R. Duncan, Hy Swain, Missouri Military Academy, A. P. Carr, H. G. Cook and Elmer E. Grinstead,	Defendants.	

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 13th day of May, 1931, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open court before Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge presiding, and plaintiff being represented by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, and the defendants Zoah Bradshaw, Barbara Dahrooge and H. G. Cook having heretofore been regularly served with subpoena in equity in this cause, within the Northern District of Oklahoma, more than 30 days prior to this date, and the said Barbara Dahrooge and H. G. Cook having failed to appear in said cause and make answer, demur or plead to the Bill of Complaint herein, are

each adjudged by the Court to be in default of answer, and the defendants Charles B. Wilson, H. R. Duncan, Missouri Military Academy, A. P. Carr and Elmer E. Grinstead having each filed his disclaimer herein, disclaiming any right, title or interest in the land mentioned in the foreclosure herein, and having failed otherwise to answer, and the return of process on the defendants L. Futterman and Hy Swain showing that said defendants were not found in the Northern District of Oklahoma, the case is continued as to them, and the defendant, Zoah Bradshaw, the maker of said note and mortgage, having abandoned her defense in said cause, and acknowledged that she executed said note and mortgage, as set out in said Bill of Complaint, it is the opinion of the Court that the statements and allegations of plaintiff's Bill of Complaint are true, and that plaintiff is entitled to a judgment against the defendant, Zoah Bradshaw in the sum of $6816.55, together with interest and costs as hereinafter shown, and for the foreclosure of said mortgage, and that said mortgage contained the words "appraisement waived".

It is the further opinion of the Court that plaintiff is entitled to a judgment against the other defendants herein named, forever barring and enjoining them from claiming any right, title, interest or equity in or to the land herein involved, or any part thereof.

IT IS THEREFORE the order and judgment of the Court that the plaintiff herein, the United States, on behalf of Curtis T. Revard and Joseph A. Revard, restricted Osage minor heirs, do have and recover of and from the defendant, Zoah Bradshaw the sum of $6816.55, together with interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from this date, and all costs, including an attorney fee of $500.00, as provided in said note and mortgage, and for the unpaid ad-valorem taxes in the sum of $980.56, and unpaid paving taxes in the sum of $150.84.

It is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that if said defendant fails for six months from date hereof to pay to said plaintiff the sum of $6816.55, with interest, costs, attorney's fee and taxes, an order of sale issue to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell, according to law, without appraisement, the following described land, to-wit:

Lots Ten (10) and Eleven (11) in Block One
Hundred and One (101), Pawhuska, Osage County,
Oklahoma,

and to apply the proceeds of said sale as follows:

First - The payment of the costs of said sale and this suit.

Second - The payment to said plaintiff of the sum of $6816,55, with interest at the rate of 7% per annum from date of this judgment.

Third - Payment of all unpaid taxes against said land, and the payment of Attorney's fees.

Fourth - The residue, if any, to be turned into this Court by said Marshal, to await the further order of the Court.

It if the further order of the Court that from and after the sale of the above described land the said defendants, Zoah Bradshaw, Barbara Dahrooge, Charles B. Wilson, H. R. Duncan, Missouri Military Academy, A. P. Carr,

H. G. Cook and Elmer E. Grinstead, and each of them, and all persons claiming by, through or under them, or either of them since the commencement of this suit, be, and they are forever barred and enjoined of and from all liens upon, right, title, interest or equity in or to said land or any part thereof.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

O.K. A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams
Assistant United States Attorney

ENDORSED: Filed May 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 14, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, May 14, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Victor Clifford, Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	No. Eq. A 201.
Johns Mansville Company, Inc., et al, Defendants.	)	

O R D E R.

This matter coming on to be heard upon the application of Marie Pendleton praying for an order permitting said Marie Pendleton to intervene in this cause, and the court being fully advised in the premises finds that the said Marie Pendleton is the necessary party to the final determination of this controversy.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Marie Pendleton be, and is, permitted to intervene herein, and to file her petition of intervention setting up her right, title and interest in the subject-matter herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of
Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed May 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

William A. Buttram, Complainant,	)	
vs.	)	NO. 533 Equity
The Board of Education of the City of Claremore, Rogers County, Oklahoma, a municipal corporation, et al, Respondents.	)	

D E C R E E

Now on this 21st day of January, 1931, the same being a regular judicial day of the regular Pawhuska January 1931 term of the above entitled

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, MAY 14, 1931

---

t, this cause came on for trial, the complainant appearing by his attorney ene Jordan, and the respondents appearing by their attorney [illegible] Johnson, both parties in open court announcing ready for trial, said cause pro- ds to trial.

Thereupon, the complainant introduced evidence in support of his l of complaint, and respondents introduced their evidence, and there being further evidence desired to be introduced by either party, said cause was itted to the court, and by the court taken under advisement, and further ring continued until May 12, 1931 at the special Tulsa March 1931 term of said rt.

And on said 12th day of May, 1931, the same being a regular judi- l day of the special Tulsa March 1931 term of said court, said cause comes on further hearing, at which time the parties appeared by the respective counsel above noted; and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds that allegations in complainant's bill of complaint are true and that complainant entitled to all the relief prayed for in his bill of complaint. The court cially finds that it has jurisdiction over this cause in equity, and that assumption of such jurisdiction is not in violation of the Constitution of United States.

The court further finds that the complainant herein is entitled to prohibitory injunction against the respondents, the Board of Education of City of Claremore, Rogers County, Oklahoma, S. H. Goodwin, President, Fred Griswold, J. C. Witty, C. T. Mc Clellan, and Joe Anderson, Members, Marga- Foster, Clerk, and Eltinge Streeter, Treasurer, of the Board of Education the City of Claremore, Rogers County, Oklahoma, and their successors in of- e, and each and all of them, their servants, agents and employees, from com- ting a breach of the terms and conditions contained in the written contracts olved in this action and described in the bill of complaint, and from di- ting the moneys on hand in the sinking fund to such sources so that the same l not be available for the payment of the interest due and becoming due on d bonds and the principal thereof at maturity, and from asserting in any ner that said bonds are invalid and void, and from in any manner casting any ther or additional clouds upon complainant's title of and to said bonds.

The court finds that complainant is entitled to a mandatory in- ction against all of the respondents in this action, and each and all of m, and their successors in office, compelling them and each of them to ist from further casting any further or additional clouds upon complainant's le of and to said bonds, and compelling said respondents, and each and all them, and their successors in office, to desist from refusing to perform ir full and legal duties in the matter of making yearly itemized statements the estimated needs of the amount required by law for sinking fund purposes pay the interest on said bonds, and to create a fund to retire the principal reof at maturity, and from failing and refusing to make such yearly appro- ations for sinking fund purposes as is required by the statutes of the State Oklahoma, and from in any manner failing and refusing to take such action or ions or instituting such proceeding or proceedings as may be required by law the compelling of the payment of tax levies so to be made for the aforesaid poses.

IT IS NOW ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. That the allegations contained in the bill of complaint here- on behalf of complainant are true and correct and that complainant is entitled and is hereby granted all of the relief sought in this proceeding and action.

2. That the title and right of complainant in and to the finding s, set forth and described in the bill of complaint, so issued by said ondent the Board of Education of the City of Claremore, Rogers County, Okla-, is hereby quieted against all claims, clouds and assertions as to their lidity which have been or may hereafter be made by said respondents or er of them or their servants, agents, employees and/or successors in office.

3. That said respondents and each and all of them, their officers ts, employees and successors in office are hereby perpetually enjoined, ibited and restrained from casting any further or additional clouds on the e of complainant of and to said bonds, and from asserting in any manner or ny proceeding that said bonds are invalid and void.

4. That said respondents, the Board of Education of the City of emore, Rogers County, Oklahoma, S. H. Goodwin, President, Fred G. Griswold, . Witty, C. T. McClellan, and Joe Anderson, Members, Margaret Foster, Clerk, Eltinge Streeter, Treasurer, of the Board of Education of the City of Clare-, Rogers County, Oklahoma, and their agents, servants, employees and suc-ors in office are hereby perpetually enjoined and restrained from committing each of the terms and conditions of the written contracts expressed and set in said bonds with reference to the making of an annual tax levy for the ent of interest on said bonds and principal at maturity, and said named ondents, and their agents, servants, employees and successors in office are by restrained from diverting funds on hand in the sinking fund of said muni-lity to any source or using the same for any purpose not authorized by law.

5. That said respondents and each and all of them and their ag-, servants, employees and successors in office are hereby enjoined and res-ned from failing and refusing to perform in full their statutory duties in matter of making yearly itemized statements of the estimated needs of the nt required by law to provide a sinking fund to retire said bonds at r maturity, and to semi-annually pay the interest coupons thereto attached hey mature, and they and each of them and their successors in office are by enjoined from failing and refusing so to do; and they and each of them, their successors in office are further perpetually enjoined and restrained in any manner and at any time failing or refusing to take such action or ons or such proceeding or proceedings as may be by law provided for the ose of compelling the payment of such tax levies to be made as herein speci-, and they and each of them are hereby enjoined from failing and refusing ake such actions or proceedings as may be necessary and as may be provided the purpose of securing funds to create a sinking fund to retire such bonds pay the interest coupons thereon at their maturity.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the respondent nge Streeter, Treasurer of the Board of Education of the City of Claremore, rs County, Oklahoma, or his successor in office, or his agents, servants, employees are hereby enjoined and restrained from failing or refusing to out of available sinking fund moneys all past due interest coupons ched from said bonds together with interest thereon from their maturity he date of such payment, and from failing and refusing to pay in full all equently maturing interest coupons out of available sinking fund moneys ed for such purpose.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the complainant ver of and from the respondents herein, and each and all of them, his cost nded herein, for which let execution issue.

Dated this 12th day of May, 1931, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

[illegible]
United States District Judge.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, MAY 14, 1931

EUGENE JORDAN
Attorney for Complainant.

_____
Attorney for Respondents.

ORSED: Filed May 14 1931
[illegible]. D. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ted States, Plaintiff,

vs.

. Baker, Myrtle Baker,
.E. Cook, Bertha Hoggett,
izens National Bank of Pawhuska,
. Huffaker and Myrtle B. Baker,
Defendants.

No. 596 Equity.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 14th day of May, 1931, this cause came on regularly be heard in open court, before Honorable [illegible] Kennamer, Judge presiding, plaintiff being represented by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States orney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the defendants A. L. er, Myrtle Baker, or Myrtle Baker Blanc, [illegible].E. Cook, Bertha Hoggett, Citi- s National Bank of Pawhuska, G. [illegible] Huffaker, Myrtle [illegible] Baker and [illegible]. H. Cook, rdian of Myrtle Baker Blanc, incompetent, and each of them having been re- arly served with subpoena in equity in this cause, within the Northern Dis- ct of Oklahoma, more than 30 days prior to this date, and said Citizens ional Bank of Pawhuska having filed its disclaimer herein, disclaiming any ht, title or interest in or to the property in litigation, and the defendant, [illegible]. Cook, guardian of Myrtle Baker Blanc, incompetent, having filed a gen- l denial, without verification, and all the other defendants above named ing defaulted and having failed to appear, plead or answer in this cause, by the Court adjudged to be in default.

And it further appearing to the Court that Myrtle Baker and tle [illegible] Baker and Myrtle Baker Blanc are one and the same person, being the ompetent under the guardianship of the defendant [illegible]. Cook, and that said rdian was present in court on this date and offered no defense on behalf of said ward; and thereafter plaintiff having introduced its evidence, the ginal note and mortgage sued upon herein, and there being no objections reto, and no objections to the amount claimed due thereon by plaintiff, it the opinion of the Court that the statements and allegations of plaintiff's l of Complaint and Supplemental Bill of Complaint are true, and that plain- f is entitled to judgment as prayed, against the defendants A. L. Baker and tle Baker in the sum of $2337.42, together with interest and costs of this t, including attorneys' fees and all unpaid taxes, and for the foreclosure the mortgage mentioned herein, on the following described land, to-wit:

Lots Twenty-two (22), Twenty-three (23) and
Twenty-four (24), Block Four (4) Tall Chief
Addition to the town of Fairfax, Osage County,
Oklahoma,

is entitled to have said property sold, without appraisement, at the expira-
of six months from date of this judgment, if same is not paid.

It is the further opinion of the Court that plaintiff is entitled
Received in this cause, as prayed in the Bill of Complaint herein, and
all parties defendant in this cause be forever barred from claiming any
t, title or interest in or to said above described land after said sale.

IT IS THEREFORE the order and judgment of the Court that the
ntiff, United States, on behalf of its ward, Wah-hrah-lum-pah, restricted
e Allottee No. 319, to have and recover of and from the defendants, A. L.
r and Myrtle Baker the sum of $2337.42, together with interest thereon at
rate of 7% per annum from this date, and all costs including an attorney's
of $250.00, as provided in said note and mortgage, and for the unpaid ad-
rem taxes in the sum of $224.41, and paving taxes in the sum of $235.54.

It is the further order of the Court that if said defendants
for six months from date hereof to pay said indebtedness to said plaintiff,
rder of sale issue to the United States Marshal for the Northern District
klahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell, according to law, the land
inabove described, and apply the proceeds of said sale as follows:

First - To the payment of the costs of this suit and said sale.
Second - The payment to said plaintiff of the sum of $2337.42,
interest at 7% per annum from date of this judgment.
Third - Payment of any and all unpaid taxes against said prop-
, and the payment of Attorneys' fees.
Fourth - The residue, if any, to be turned into this Court, to
t the further order of the Court.

It being further shown to the Court that the above described
erty will probably not bring a sufficient sum to satisfy said indebtedness,
that plaintiff herein has asked for a Receiver in this cause, it is ordered
John [illegible]. Vickrey be, and he is hereby appointed Receiver herein, to take
ge of said above described property until said indebtedness is paid or the
erty sold under order of this Court, and to collect the rents on said
erty during said period, and impound same and report to this Court, as the
t may hereinafter order.

It is further ordered by the Court that from and after the sale
aid land the defendants A. L. Baker, Myrtle Baker, M.J.E. Cook, Bertha
ett, Citizens National Bank of Pawhuska, C. M. Huffaker, and N. N. Cook,
uardian of Myrtle Baker Blanc, an incompetent, and each of them, and all
ons claiming by, through or under them, or either of them, since the com-
ement of this suit, be, and they are forever barred and enjoined of and from
liens upon, right, title, interest or equity in or to said land or any
thereof.

A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams, Assistant United
States Attorney

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

ED: Filed May 18, 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, MAY 14, 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ted States, Plaintiff,)
)
vs) No. 610 equity.
)
l T. Matthews and
. Logan, Defendants.)

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 14th day of May, 1931 this matter comes on to be rd pursuant to setting, said plaintiff, the United States, appearing by and ough its solicitors, A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney, Louis W. Stivers, Osage Tribal Attorney, said defendant, Carl T. Matthews, ing filed answer herein and now appearing by agreed statement of facts etofore filed herein on this date, and said defendant, C. N. Logan, appear- by his attorneys. Holcombe, Lohman & Barney, and said parties appearing ounce ready for trial upon the agreed statement of facts aforesaid.

After consideration of said agreed statement of facts and the adings herein and after hearing argument of counsel and being fully advised the premises, the court finds all issues in favor of plaintiff, the United tes, and against the defendants, Carl T. Matthews and C. N. Logan, and each them.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED That the cash and urities in question held by the said Carl T. Matthews as guardian, resigned, the estate of Rhoda Wheeler Ridge, full blood restricted Osage Indian, des- bed in plaintiff's bill of complaint, as follows, to-wit:

Cash	418.62
Note and real estate mortgage of Floyd McSpadden	1,500.00
Note and real estate mortgage of L. L. Edgington	3,500.00
Note and real estate mortgage of L. B. Edgington	2,500.00
Note and real estate mortgage of L. B. Edgington	2,800.00
Note and real estate mortgage of L. B. Linville	1,200.00
	11,918.62

and hereby are declared and decreed to be the property of the plaintiff here- as trustee for its said ward, the said Rhoda Wheeler Ridge, and that the d defendant, Carl T. Matthews, aforesaid be and hereby is ordered and direc- to deliver up and turn over to the Secretary of the Interior of the United tes by and through the Superintendent of the Osage Agency at Pawhuska, Okla- a for the use and benefit of the plaintiff's said ward aforesaid, such cash securities in his hands as aforesaid.

It is hereby further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said endant, C. N. Logan, has no right, title or interest of whatsoever nature or to said funds and securities, or either of them, and that he be and eby is permanently and perpetually barred and enjoined from further claim- or asserting any right, title or interest in or supervision and control

the same, and that said plaintiff herein have and recover its costs herein expended; to all of which the said defendant, C. N. Loman, objects and exts and such exceptions are by the Court duly allowed.

P. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

A. S. WILLIAMS
Assist U. S. Atty.
Atty for Plaintiff.

DATED: Filed In Open Court
May 18, 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

Court adjourned until May May 15, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], MAY 15, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, May 15th,

Present: Hon. F.M. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, [illegible]it:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible]choma Company, a corporation, [illegible] McCulloch Oil Company, a [illegible]poration,	Plaintiffs,	No. 57[illegible] Equity.
vs.		
[illegible]os [illegible]. McCulloch,	Defendant.	

[illegible] DECREE

This cause came on for final hearing on the 12th day of May, [illegible]1, the plaintiffs appearing by their solicitors, Kenneth [illegible]. Lott, Esquire, [illegible] Ray [illegible]. Fellows, Esquire, and the defendant appearing in person and by his [illegible]icitor, Jos. [illegible]. Mull, and thereupon both parties announcing ready, evidence [illegible] taken and the cause not being completed, was continued until this, the [illegible]h day of May, 1931. Now, on this 13th day of May, 1931, the defendant asked [illegible]ve to amend his amended answer and amended cross-bill in this cause, and [illegible]ve was given to him to file instanter such amendment. Thereupon the taking [illegible] evidence was completed and arguments of counsel heard, and

Thereupon the court finds all of the issues of fact and of law [illegible] favor of the defendant.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plain[illegible]f's bill of complaint be dismissed; that the defendant is entitled to the [illegible]cific performance of the contract set up and alleged in his amended cross-[illegible]l herein and is entitled to an assignment and transfer to him by the Okla[illegible]a Company and McCulloch Oil Company of each of the policies of insurance [illegible]cribed in the amended cross-bill herein upon separate assignments as to [illegible]h of said policies and upon such forms as may be commonly used for that pur[illegible]e by the said insurers, together with an assignment and transfer of all divi[illegible]ds accrued or accruing upon the fifth anniversary of each of said policies, [illegible] with all moneys now or hereafter due or payable thereon, and of all options, [illegible]efits or advantages derived therefrom, including the right to surrender said [illegible]icies at any time and to receive and receipt for the surrender value [illegible]reof; said policies of insurance being described as follows, to-wit:

Policy issued May 10, 1926, being numbered 1,[illegible],116, principal sum of $100,000.00, by Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company;

Policy issued June 23, 1926, being numbered 1,[illegible],106, principal sum of $25,000.00, by Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company;

him had not been repaid to him on April 15, 1930, but was later repaid to by the company and accepted by him, together with interest thereof. Court finds that the sum tendered by the said defendant was sufficient and thereby the defendant accepted the offer of the complainant, McCulloch Company; that the complainant declined to accept said tender, but claimed the sum which should have been tendered amounted to approximately Twenty- Thousand ($29,000.00) Dollars, which sum represented the total premiums less the loans and accrued interest since the commencement of the policies, never at any time, after April 15, 1930, and prior to this action, claimed other sum as the proper sum payable.

3. The Court finds that on April 17, 1930, the defendant, by attorneys, [illegible], Gibson, Sherman, Davidson & Hull, wrote a letter to the lainant McCulloch Oil Company, wherein he asserted a claim to the insurance cies in controvery and advised that any action on the part of McCulloch Company towards surrendering the policies or permitting them to lapse would t its own risk. The Court further finds that after April 15, 1930, the ndant made no further formal tender, in cash to the complainants of a ideration for said insurance policies up to the date of filing of the Cross- in this action, but that in July, 1930, at a time when the complainants paid the additional sum of Six Thousand, Two Hundred and Forty-one and 0 ($6,241.09) Dollars as premiums and interest on said policies for the h policy year, the defendant offered to permit the complainants to ender all of said policies and to receive all of the cash surrender values any other sums which might be paid upon surrender thereof by the various rance companies, it being his chief desire to terminate the insurance upon life held by persons having no insurable interest in him, but that the com- nants declined so to do.

The Court further finds that the net cash surrender value of said cies as of the fifth anniversary thereof, including dividends, amounts to sum of Eighteen Thousand, One Hundred and Eighty-four and 0/100 ($18,184.09) ars; that these dividends have not as yet been withdrawn by the complain- herein or applied in any way and that said dividends amount to Four sand and Fifty-Six and 50/100 ($4,056.50) Dollars. The court further finds since April 15, 1930, and prior to the end of the fifty policy year, the lainants have paid premiums and interest on policy loans on said policies e total sum of Twelve Thousand and Seventy-six and 67/100 ($12,076.67) lars, in addition to the sum of Three Thousand Six Hundred and Twenty-six 50/100 ($3,626.50) of the fourth policy year dividends which were also ied in such payment, said total mount including the repayment to James W. lloch of One Thousand and Forty Eight ($1048.00) Dollars premiums there- e advanced by him, with interest, for quarterly premiums due between January 1930, and April 1, 1930.

4. The Court further finds that Oklahoma Company is liable to defendant upon the said contract for the transfer of said policies to the extent and in the same manner as the said McCulloch Oil Company.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

And in compliance with said equity rule No. 70½ the Court makes following conclusions of law:

1. The Court concludes that a valid contract was made and en- into between McCulloch Oil Company and James W. McCulloch on April 15, whereby McCulloch Oil Company agreed to transfer, assign and set over to

d James W. McCulloch the policies of insurance above mentioned in consider-
on of the payment to it of the net cash surrender value of the policies of
urance as of April 15, 1930.

2. That McCulloch Oil Company failed and refused, without just
se, to perform said contract on its part, although a sufficient tender of
formance on April 15, 1930, was made by the defendant James W. McCulloch.

3. That the defendant, James W. McCulloch is entitled to a
ree, requiring McCulloch Oil Company to specifically perform said contract
the transfer of said policies of insurance.

4. The Court concludes that for said transfer the said defen-
t James W. McCulloch should tender and pay to said McCulloch Oil Company
net cash surrender value of said policies of insurance as of the fifth
iversary thereof, including dividends, said dividends, however, to become
property of the said James W. McCulloch.

5. That Oklahoma Company is liable to the same extent for the
formance of said contract as McCulloch Oil Company, and said decree should
in favor of the said defendant against each of said complainants.

To each and every of said findings of fact and conclusions of
the complainants and each of them separately except.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE.

ORSED: Filed May 15 1931
E. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 18, 1931.

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, MAY 18, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Monday, May 18th, 1.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

RIETT HOSEY, ET AL,	PLAINTIFFS,	No. 238 In Equity
VS		
ES A. CHAPMAN, ET AL,	DEFENDANTS,	
[illegible] BELL JESSE, ET AL.,	Intervenors.	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO LODGE APPEAL IN THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

Now on this the 18th day of May, 1931, upon application of the intiff, Harriett Hosey, et al., and for good cause shown it is hereby ordered, directed that the time within which to lodge appeal in the United States cuit Court of Appeals within and for the Tenth Circuit be and the same is eby extended for a period of thirty days in addition to all time heretofore en within which said appeal may be lodged.

Witness my hand this the 18th day of May, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

ORDERED: Filed May 18, 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

. STRATFORD,	Plaintiff,	No. 391 - Equity.
-vs-		
A INVESTMENT CO.,	Defendant.	

Now on this 18th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the t that Plaintiff be permitted to dismiss without prejudice on condition said Plaintiff pay all costs. It is further ordered by the Court that her hearing be set for May 20, 1931. (F.E.K. Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible] MAY, [illegible] 18, 1931

[illegible] EDY,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 581 - Equity.
[illegible] ROYALTY CORP. ETAL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 18th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the [illegible]t that Referee herein make report on May 23, 1931. It is further ordered by [illegible] Court that hearing be, and the same is hereby, passed to that date. [illegible] Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible]ed States,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		
[illegible]ert Durant, Susie Coleman, [illegible]sy Harris and Rentie Franklin, [illegible]rs at law of Lina Franklin, [illegible]tha Gooden Friday, and Robert [illegible]ant, Susie Coleman and Daisy [illegible]ris, heirs at law of Edward [illegible]den, deceased,	Defendants.	No. 589 Equity.

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 18 day of May, 1931, this cause came on regularly [illegible]be heard before the Honorable F. [illegible]. Kennamer, Judge of the above court, and [illegible]intiff being present by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney [illegible]and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and it being shown to the Court [illegible]t the defendant, Martha Gooden Friday, formerly Martha Gooden, and widow [illegible]Edward Gooden, deceased, and her present husband, Edward Friday, did, on the [illegible]h day of April, 1931 and subsequent to the filing of this suit, make, execute [illegible] deliver their certain quit claim deed to Ellen Charles, Creek, Roll No. [illegible]7, on whose behalf this suit was instituted, in which deed said grantors di[illegible]ted themselves of all right, title or interest in or to the property involved [illegible]ein, and hereinafter described;

And it further appearing to the Court that the defendants, Robert [illegible]ant, Susie Coleman, Daisy Harris and Rentie Franklin have filed a disclaimer [illegible]ein stating, under oath, that they are the sole and only heirs at law of [illegible]a Franklin, deceased, and that they disclaim any right, title or interest in [illegible]to the land mentioned in plaintiff's Bill of Complaint herein.

And it further appearing to the Court that the defendants, Robert [illegible]ant, Susie Coleman and Daisy Harris have filed their disclaimer in this cause, [illegible]ting, under oath, that they are the sole and only heirs at law of Edward [illegible]den, deceased, except Martha Gooden Friday, and that they disclaim any right, [illegible]le or interest in or to the land herein involved.

It being further shown to the Court that the said above parties, [illegible] have filed their disclaimers herein, under oath, and the parties who have [illegible]cuted and delivered their quit claim deed, as hereinabove shown, are the

and only heirs at law of Edward Gooden, deceased, and that this cause e, as pleaded in the Bill of Complaint, because of a void deed held by the Edward Gooden during his lifetime, which deed should be canceled and the e to said property, hereinafter described, quieted in Ellen Charles, Creek No. 8477, as against any and all claims of the defendants in said cause, enjoining each and all of said defendants from asserting, or attempting to rt any right, title or interest in or to said land, described as follows:

The Southeast Half (SE2) of the Southeast Quarter (SE4) of Section Twenty-five (25), Township Fifteen (15) North, Range Seven (7) East, Creek County, Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the title and ession by said plaintiff on behalf of said Ellen Charles, in and to said , be and the same is hereby quieted in the said Ellen Charles, as against claims of said defendants and those claiming or to claim under them, or any hem, and that the deed executed by Robert Harry on May 1, 1922 and placed ecord in Book 250, Page 135 in the office of the County Clerk of Creek ty, Oklahoma, so far as said deed described the above land, and all other s in said chain of title, be and same are hereby canceled.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said defendants, each and all of them, and every person claiming by, through or under them, ither of them, are hereby perpetually enjoined from claiming any right, e or interest in or to said premises by virtue of said deed, adverse to the t, title and interest of the said Ellen Charles.

[illegible] KENNAMER
JUDGE.

A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams
Assistant United States Attorney

ED: Filed May 18 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court

[illegible] ROYALTY CORP., ETC.	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 590 - Equity.
[illegible] ROYALTY CORP., ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 18th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the that hearing on motions be, and the same are hereby, passed to May 23, (F.E.M. Judge).

[illegible] ROYALTY CORP. ETC.	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 591 - Equity.
[illegible] ROYALTY CORP. ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 18th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], [illegible] 18, 1931

---

t that hearing on notions be, and the same is hereby, passed to May 25, . (F.L.M. Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ted States, Plaintiff,

vs.

a C. Holderman, Fannie T. Purvine, . Purvine, Elsie Moore, Jess L. vine, heirs at law of A. S. Purvine, eased; Harry C. Holderman and Pearl derman Leap, heirs at law of Marion derman, deceased; Dorothy Mc Farland derman, Theodore F. Holderman, Hilda Graham Holderman, Violet L. Holderman, rs at law of Curtis E. Holderman, dec'd., Defendants.

No. 619 Equity

AFFIDAVIT FOR SERVICE BY PUBLICATION.

A. [illegible]. Williams, of lawful age, being first duly sworn, states: t he is Assistant United States Attorney in and for the Northern District of ahoma, and as such is attorney for the plaintiff in the above entitled cause.

That on March 24, 1931, said plaintiff filed in this court its l of Complaint against the above named defendants, praying that the title certain land therein described be quieted in the name of Jennie Rider, rec- cted full-blood Cherokee Indian, Roll No. 1[illegible]505, and that each of said endants be decreed to have no right, title or interest therein and that they each of them be, perpetually enjoined from asserting any right, title or erest in or to said land, or any part thereof, or improvements thereon, and ing that a certain deed described in said Bill of Complaint, executed by tis E. Holderman and Lena C. Holderman, on July 25, 1905, to Marion Holder- , and a certain deed executed by Mary Barnett, on September 7, 1905, to A. S. vine, be canceled and held for naught, because the lands to which the title sought to be quieted in this cause were included in said deeds, and said ds were then and are now restricted Cherokee Indian Land, and the rightful er thereof i the said Jennie Rider, said land being described as follows, wit:

> South Half (S2) of the Southeast Quarter (SE4) of the Northwest Quarter (NW4) of Section Twenty-four (24), Township Twenty-two (22), Range Twenty-one (21), Mayes County, Oklahoma.

Affiant further states that all of said defendants above except Harry C. Holderman, named are non-residents of the State of Oklahoma, their several last known post office address being as follows:

> Lena C. Holderman, 1120 Brent, South Pasadena, California.
> Dorothy McFarland, nee Holderman, Hollister, Idaho.
> Fannie T. Purvine, 602 N. Rockwell St., Terrell, Texas.
> A. S. Purvine, 1015½ S. Ardmore, Los Angeles, California.

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, [illegible] 18, 1931

Mrs. Elsie Moore, 3017 Tomlinson St., Ft. Worth, Texas.
Jess [illegible]. [illegible]arvine, 3430 Camp Bowie, Ft. Worth, Texas.

[illegible] the defendants Theodore H. Holderman, Hilda [illegible]. Graham Holderman and Violet [illegible]olderman lived at some unknown post office in the State of California, [illegible]rding to the last information of this affiant. That all of said defendants [illegible] lived without the State of Oklahoma for a long period of years, the exact [illegible]gth of time this affiant cannot ascertain.

Affiant further states that under Section 119, Title 28 of the [illegible]. Code plaintiff is entitled to obtain service by publication in this cause.

Affiant further states that plaintiff is unable to ascertain [illegible]her each of the above named defendants, or either or any of them are now [illegible]ng, and is unable to ascertain who are the heirs, executors, administrators, [illegible]sees, trustees or assigns, of either or any of them, or their whereabouts.

Affiant further states that service by due diligence cannot be [illegible]ined or any of said defendants, if living, or upon the heirs, executors, [illegible]nistrators, devisees, trustees or assigns, or either or any of them, if [illegible], within the State of Oklahoma, and plaintiff wishes to obtain service on [illegible] defendants, if living, and upon the heirs, executors, administrators, [illegible]sees, trustees or assigns, if dead, by due and proper publication, as re[illegible]ed by law for service in the State of Oklahoma and under said U. S. Code.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney

[illegible]cribed and sworn to before me this 18 day of May, 1931.

[illegible]. T. WARD
[illegible]) Dep U. S. Clerk

O R D E R

Upon reading and filing the petition and affidavit for publica[illegible] in the foregoing matter, and upon motion of A. E. Williams, Assistant [illegible]d States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, duly made in that [illegible]f, it is ordered that the non-resident defendants named in said affidavit [illegible]ublication may be served by due and proper publication notice as substi[illegible] service for subpoena in equity, directing said defendants to appear in [illegible] cause on or before July 7, 1931, and make appearance in response as to a [illegible]ena in equity.

That said publication notice shall be published once a week [illegible]l days prior to said answer date, in the manner and as by statute in subh [illegible] made and provided.

Dated this 18 day of May, 1931.

[illegible]. [illegible]. [illegible]
JUDGE

[illegible]: Filed May 18, 1931
[illegible]. [illegible]. Warfield, Clerk
[illegible]. [illegible]. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
[illegible] DENVER, COLORADO [illegible] , JULY 16, 1931

[illegible], Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 881 - Equity.

[illegible] CO., [illegible], Defendant.

Now on this 18th day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the [illegible]t that parties herein be given ten (10) days additional time to deliver [illegible] to Clerk of the Court. (A.E.H. Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible]h [illegible] Kirkland, Trustee of Bart-
[illegible] Oil [illegible] Gas Corporation and Bartlett
[illegible]elopment Syndicate Number One, Bankrupts,
Complainant,

Equity No. [illegible].

vs.

Lashley,

Defendant.

WARNING ORDER.

Upon motion of Arthur R. Monnold, attorney for plaintiff in the [illegible]e entitled cause, and it appearing from the bill of complaint filed herein [illegible]h is duly sworn to that this is an action to enforce an equitable claim [illegible] to remove a cloud upon the title of complainant to real property mentioned [illegible] described in said bill of complaint as an undivided one-third interest in [illegible] to the East Half of the Southeast Quarter and the Southeast Quarter of the [illegible]theast Quarter of Section 9, Township 17 North, Range 7 East, subject to oil [illegible]gas lease appearing of record, Creek County, Oklahoma, and that the said [illegible] property is within the territorial limits of and jurisdiction of this Court, [illegible] that the defendant, Ivy Lashley is a resident citizen and inhabitant of the [illegible]ty of Boulder, in the State of Colorado and District of Colorado for the [illegible] of terms of Court of the United States in the State of Colorado, and that said defendant can not be found in this District; and it further appearing [illegible] the Court that the said defendant has not voluntarily entered her appearance [illegible] this bill of complaint in this Court,

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court that the said defendant, Ivy Lashley [illegible]r in this Court on the 7th day of July, 1931, then and there to demur, [illegible] or answer the bill of complaint or otherwise make defense thereto, or in [illegible]lt thereof that a decree pro confesso will be rendered against her and that [illegible] proceedings will thereafter proceed ex parte;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that certified copies of this order and [illegible]lainant's bill of complaint be served on the said Ivy Lashley thirty days [illegible]re the date above named by the United States Marshal for the District of [illegible]rado;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED That certified copies of this order be [illegible]ved on the person or persons in possession or charge of said property if any [illegible]e.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District, on this 18th day of 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

RSED: Filed May 18 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ed States of America,	Plaintiff,	)
vs.		) 627 Eq. ✓
ge Wetzel, et al	Defendants.	)

ORDER FOR NOTICE.

Upon reading and filing the petition in the above entitled pro-ing, and upon motion of A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney the Northern District of Oklahoma, duly made in that behalf:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the hearing at which said petition be presented to this Court shall be held before said Court in the court of the United States District Court in the Federal Building in the city of a, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, on June 22nd, 1931, at 10:00 o'clock A. M. of said or as soon thereafter as the Court may hear the same, and that notice of hearing on said petition, and the time and place of presenting the same l be served upon all persons named in said petition by the United States al of the Northern District of Oklahoma, or so many thereof as reside aid district and State, at least twenty days prior to the date of said ing, and that proper return of service be made by said Marshal as required aw.

Dated this 18th day of May, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

. WILLIAMS
stant United States Attorney

SED: Filed May 18 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

Court adjourned until May 19, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], MAY 19, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, May 19th, 1.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
[illegible] P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

re Oil & Refining Company, orporation,	Complainant,	
-VS-		NO. 222 - EQUITY.
ie Axelrod, and Continental Company, a corporation,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Now on this 4th day of May, 1931, the above entitled matter coming to be heard, on the application of Edward E. Aldridge, Trustee, for distri- ion of funds, wherein said Trustee asks for an order of this court directing payment to him as such Trustee, of the sum of Fifty Thousand ($50,000.00) lars held in the hands of the Clerk of this Court, pursuant to order of this rt dated April 29th, 1927, and upon consideration thereof and upon the ouncement of the Continental Oil Company that it desired to appeal from the gment of this court dated April 14th, 1931, and filed April 15th, 1931, deny- the application of the Continental Oil Company for leave to file supplemental l.

IT IS, THEREFORE, HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED that consideration the application of Edward E. Aldridge, Trustee, be and the same is hereby t-poned and continued for later hearing, provided the Continental Oil Com- y, within twenty days from date hereof, either files in the Circuit Court of eals for the Tenth Circuit, application for writ of certiorari or files in s court its petition for appeal from the judgment of this court denying the lication of the Continental Oil Company for leave to file its supplemental l herein. If either of such courses of procedure is taken by the Continental Company, then consideration of the application of Edward E. Aldridge, Trustee l be delayed pending action of the Circuit Court of Appeals; otherwise such lication will be considered on call. To which order Edward E. Aldridge, stee, excepts and exceptions are allowed.

. [illegible] [illegible]
Attorney for Edward E. Aldridge, Trustee JUDGE
. [illegible]
Attorneys for Continental Oil Company.
ORDER: Filed May 19 1931
[illegible] P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] RICHARDSON,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 621 Equity
[illegible] COMPANY, ET AL,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Now on this 6th day of May, 1931, this cause comes on for hearing [illegible] rious matters. The appearances being as follows:

Mr. Leon. S. Hirsh of Oklahoma City and
Mr. Eben L. Taylor, of Tulsa, Oklahoma,
Attorneys for Claude Williford, Receiver
of the Sapulpa Fuel Company.

Mr. A. B. Honnold of Tulsa, Oklahoma,
Attorney for Ralph H. Kirkland, Trustee
of the Bartlett Oil and Gas Corporation, and
Bartlett Development Syndicate Number One
Bankrupts.

Messrs. Stephenson & Miller of Sapulpa,
Oklahoma, attorneys for F. M. Wachorn.

Mr. Murray Robinson of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma,
Attorney for John B. McCullough.

Mr. Austin M. Cowan, Mr. C. A. McCorkle of Wichita,
Kansas and Mr. F. A. Bodovitz, of Tulsa, Oklahoma,
Attorneys for Union National Bank of
Wichita, Kansas.

Mr. Harry Glasser of Enid, Oklahoma,
Attorney for certain bond holders.

Mr. John Madden, of Wichita, Kansas
Attorney for certain Bond holders.

Mr. E. [illegible]. Marshall of Tulsa, Oklahoma,
Attorney for Sapulpa Gas Company

Mr. E. J. Lundy, of Tulsa, Oklahoma,
Attorney for Southwestern Natural Gas Company.

Mr. C. [illegible] Haskell
Attorney for the Investors Utility Company.

IT IS ORDERED that the Union National Bank of Wichita, Kansas [illegible] nd the same is hereby, made a party to this action and is permitted to file [illegible] ntervening petition and other pleadings herein.

It appearing to the Court that Claude Williford, Receiver of the [illegible] pa Fuel Company has tendered his resignation as such receiver in writing,

IT IS ORDERED by the Court that said resignation of Claude Willi-
d as receiver of the Sapulpa Fuel Company, defendant, be, and the same is
oby, accepted and that Mr. Eben L. Taylor is hereby appointed receiver with
same powers and duties as given to the receiver in the order heretofore made
ointing the former receiver of the defendant.

Thereupon, in open Court the parties present stipulated as
lows:

"It is stipulated in regard to the Sapulpa Gas Company that the mortgage for $300,000 heretofore given, and the bonds of which have been escrowed, shall be cancelled and the entire transaction shall be rescinded as between the Sapulpa Gas Company and the Sapulpa Fuel Company by the voluntary action of the board of directors of both companies.

Second: That the entire stock of the Sapulpa Gas Company and particularly that called for in the deed of trust to the trustee, shall be issued forthwith to the trustee, and by the trustee transferred to the receiver of this court in this action according to the terms of the trust indenture.

Third: The present directors of the Sapulpa Gas Company shall execute their resignations separately, under oath, to the receiver, who shall hold them and use them when directed by this court, or the receiver appointed in this action for the Sapulpa Fuel Company.

Fourth: An injunction shall issue in this cause against the Sapulpa Gas Company, its officers, directors and agents prohibiting the issuing of any stock (except as herein provided for) or any incumbrances, or the incurring of any obligations or indebtedness, except upon the order of this court in this cause, except orginary operating expenses.

Fifth: The property shall be operated by the receiver, but not as a receiver, through some one employed by him from time to time, or the receiver of this court appointed for the Sapulpa Fuel Company shall be elected general manager by the present board of directors of the Sapulpa Gas Company with full charge of the operation of the property, and such election and power shall not be rescinded by the directors without this court's consent.

the Court after being fully advised in the premises, finds said stipulation
uld be enforced.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the foregoing
pulation be, and the same is hereby approved by the Court and the receiver
the Sapulpa Fuel Company appointed herein is directed to carry out the terms
said stipulation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that
Sapulpa Gas Company, its officers, directors, agents and employees (which
d Gas Company has heretofore been made a party to this suit) be, and until
further order of the Court are, hereby enjoined and prohibited from issuing
stock of the Sapulpa Gas Company, except the stock of the Sapulpa Gas
pany which is to be issued under the terms of the foregoing stipulation to
trustee and by it transferred to the receiver appointed in this cause, and
d company, its officers, directors, agent and employees be, and until the
ther order of the Court are, hereby enjoined from creating any incumbrances

the property of the Sapulpa Gas Company or incurring any obligation or indebtedness for said Gas Company except upon the order of this Court in this case except that ordinary operating expense may be incurred.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court reserves full and plenary jurisdiction of the parties and the subject-matter, with full right to modify orders already made or make additional orders herein from time to time.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

MOVED: EDEN L. TAYLOR
Receiver

FELIX BODOVITZ
AYRES COWAN McCORKLE & FAIR
Attorneys for the Union National Bank, Wichita, Kansas.

_____
Attorney for the Sapulpa Gas Company.

ORDERED: Filed May 19 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JLR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Ole Berryhill, Plaintiff, vs. C. (C. Grady) Ellett, individually, The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company, a foreign corporation, Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, a corporation, and American National Bank, a corporation, Elmer C. (E.) Emmert, Defendants.	No. 637 E

ORDER

On motion of the defendant The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company, said defendant is allowed an additional period of twenty (20) days in which to answer plaintiff's bill of complaint.

Dated this 18 day of May, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

ORDERED: Filed May 19 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned to May 20, 1931

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], [illegible], 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Wednesday, May 20th,

Present: Hon. [illegible] Hennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
[illegible]t:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] COMPANY, [illegible]poration,	PETITIONER,	In Equity No. 639.
vs.		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	DEFENDANT.	

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE [illegible].

Now on this 20th day of May, 1931, this cause comes on to be heard [illegible] the petition of The First National Company for leave to file in this Court [illegible]n this cause its bill in equity against the United States of America for [illegible]al determination of all claims to or liens upon the real estate described [illegible]id petition, as follows, to-wit:

Lot One (1) in Block Three (3) of Yeager Addition to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof; and

Lot Six (6) except the North Twenty-five (25) feet thereof in Block Three (3) of Fred Yeager Addition to the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.

Plaintiff appears by its solicitors, [illegible] Pinkerton and [illegible]sland, and the United States of America is not represented;

And it appearing to the Court that more than six (6) months prior [illegible]is day petitioner made written request to the Commissioner of Internal Reve- [illegible]o direct the filing of a bill in chancery to enforce the lien of the [illegible]d States for taxes upon said real estate and that the Commissioner has fail- [illegible] direct the filing of such bill; and it further [illegible] that due notice [illegible]e presentation of said petition to this Court at this [illegible] and hour has [illegible]given to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue as provided by law, and the [illegible] having examined said petition and being fully advised in the premises, [illegible] that the prayer thereof should be granted.

IT IS, [illegible], ORDERED By the Court that the petitioner, The [illegible]ational Company, be and it is hereby granted leave to file in this Court [illegible]in this cause its bill of complaint against the United States of America [illegible] final determination of all claims to or liens upon the real estate above [illegible]ribed, and it may proceed to obtain service upon the United States of

-ica in the manner provided by law in such cases, provided, however, that costs of the proceedings herein shall be borne by petitioner.

[illegible]
Judge.

[illegible]: Filed May 20 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FIRST NATIONAL COMPANY, Corporation,	Plaintiff,	IN EQUITY NO. 640
vs.		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant.	

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE BILL IN EQUITY

Now on this 20th day of May, 1931, this cause comes on to be [illegible]d upon the petition of The First National Company for leave to file in this [illegible]t and in this cause its bill in equity against the United States of America [illegible] a final determination of all claims to or liens upon the real estate des-[illegible]ed in said petition, as follows, to-wit: (situate in Tulsa County, Oklahoma)

All of Lots One (1) and Two (2) and the North Five (5) feet of Lot Three (3) in Block One (1) of Bowers Addition to the City of Tulsa, according to the amended plat thereof;

Plaintiff appears by its solicitors, J. C. Pinkerton and Hess [illegible]sland, and the United States of America is not represented:

And it appearing to the Court that more than six (6) months [illegible]r to this day petitioner made written request to the Commissioner of In-[illegible]al Revenue to direct the filing of a bill in chancery to enforce the lien [illegible]he United States for taxes upon said real estate and that the Commissioner [illegible]filed to direct the filing of such bill; and it further appearing that [illegible]notice of the presentation of said petition to this Court at this date and [illegible] has been given to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue as provided by law, the Court, having examined said petition and being fully advised in the [illegible]ises, finds that the prayer thereof should be granted.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED By the Court that the petitioner, The [illegible]t National Company, be and it is hereby granted leave to file in this [illegible]t and in this cause its bill of complaint against the United States of [illegible]ica for a final determination of all claims to or liens upon the real estate [illegible]e described, and it may proceed to obtain service upon the United States [illegible]merica in the manner provided by law in such cases, provided, however, that costs of the proceedings herein shall be borne by petitioner.

[illegible]: Filed May 20 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

[illegible]
Judge.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, MAY 20, 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ry R. Adams, al,	Complainants,	
vs.		No. 642 Equity.
ge Tribe of Indians, al,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Upon application of complainants in the above entitled and numed cause, leave is granted to make E. C. Mullendore party complainant by erting his name in the caption and body of the original bill, and attaching chedule containing the description of his properties.

Dated this the 20th day of May, 1930.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ORSED: Filed May 20 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

C. Mullendore, al,	Complainants,	
vs.		No. 643 Equity.
ge Tribe of Indians, al,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Upon application of complainants in the above entitled and numred cause leave is granted to correct by substituting the given name of atrice Whitewing instead of Magella on the original bill filed herein.

Dated this the 20th day of May, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ORSED: Filed May 20 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned to May 21, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, May 21st,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, [illegible]it:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

H. Eby,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 581 Equity
[illegible]rch Royalty Corporation, [illegible]rporation, et al,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Now on this the 21st day of May, 1931 comes on for consideration [illegible]onthly report of the receiver herein, covering a period from April 17, 1931 [illegible]ay 9, 1931 inclusive, and an itemized account of said collections and dis[illegible]ements is attached to his said monthly report.

Whereupon consideration of the said matter, the court finds said [illegible]unt seems to be regular and the items proper, and that the same should be [illegible]oved.

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court [illegible] the same be, and it is hereby approved.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

[illegible]LED: Filed May 21 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

H. Eby,	Complainant,	
[illegible]		No. 581 Equity.
[illegible]ch Royalty Corporation, [illegible]poration, et al,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Upon application of the receiver, the Clerk of this Court is [illegible]y directed to mail notice to each and all of the creditors or persons

have filed claims with the receiver, that a hearing be to be had, in the eral Court Room, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on June 6, 1931, [illegible] cause upon lication of the Monarch Royalty Corporation to discharge the receiver, and return said properties and the operation thereof to the said Monarch alty Corporation as re-organized. That said creditors be notified so they appear and show cause, if any they have, why said application should not granted.

F. [illegible]
District Judge.

ORDERED: Filed May 21 1931
W. [illegible] Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned to [illegible], [illegible].

[illegible] TUL[illegible]A, [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] 22, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, May 22,

Present: Hon. F. [illegible] Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
[illegible] P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, it:

[illegible], [illegible],	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		No. 17[illegible] - Equity. ✔
[illegible] & [illegible] [illegible],	Defendants.	

Now on this 22nd day of May, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the t, that order of May 6, 1931, denying attorney's fees be, and the same is [illegible], set aside. ([illegible] Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] Whitebird, Robert Allen Whitebird, [illegible]nor, who sues by Flora Whitebird, his [illegible]er and next friend; Helen Irene White-[illegible], a minor, who sues by Flora Whitebird, [illegible]randmother and next friend; Antoine [illegible]back, Alphonso Greenback, Amy Greenback [illegible]er, Lula May Greenback Stand, Mollie [illegible]back Ming, John Greenback, a minor, who [illegible] by Alphonson Greenback, his brother and [illegible] friend; Woodrow Wilson Greenback, a [illegible], who sues by Alphonso Greenback, his [illegible] friend, all by C. L. Ames, their [illegible] friend,	Plaintiffs,	In Equity, No. 17[illegible] ✔
vs.		
[illegible] Picher Lead Company, Hunt [illegible] Company, Commonwealth Mining Company, [illegible] W. Peck, Jr., Meltner Mining Company [illegible] Mining Company,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Now on this 6th day of May, 1931, the above cause comes on for [illegible] on the application of C. L. Ames, as next friend, for an order fixing [illegible] compensation of said applicant as next friend and of Ames, Cochran, Ames [illegible]ett, as attorneys for said next friend, for services rendered in this cause.

Applicant is present by Ames, Cochran, Ames & Monnett, his at-[illegible]s, and plaintiffs in the above cause are present by the United States [illegible]ney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the Court having considered

is application and being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion at it has no jurisdiction to allow any compensation to said applicant, or s attorneys, over and beyond the funds over which this Court has jurisdiction, -wit: the unexpended portion of the sum advanced for expenses in said case, ounting to $424.07, but that applicant is entitled to said sum as compensa-on.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that applicant and he hereby is allowed the sum of $424.07 as compensation for services ndered as next friend in said cause, and applicant is directed to retain said m instead of returning same to the Superintendent of the Quapaw Indian ency in accordance with the order of April 21, 1931, in this cause.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

DORSED: Filed May 22 1931
W. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court N

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

E OSAGE OIL AND REFINING COMPANY, corporation,	PLAINTIFF,	
v		EQUITY #222
MIE AMELROD, CONTINENTAL OIL MPANY, ET AL,	DEFENDANTS	

PETITION FOR APPEAL

THE HONORABLE EDGAR S. VAUGHT, SITTING AS UNITED ATES DISTRICT JUDGE FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF LAHOMA:

The above mentioned defendant, Continental Oil Company, a cor-oration, feeling aggrieved by the order and decree made and entered in this ause on the 14th day of April, 1931, denying the application of the Continen-al Oil Company for leave to file supplemental bill herein, does hereby appeal rom said order and decree to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Cir-uit, for the reasons specifified in the assignment of errors which is filed erewith and said Continental Oil Company prays that its appeal be allowed and hat citation issue as provided by law, and that a transcript of the record, roceedings and papers upon which said order and decree was based, duly authen-icated, may be sent to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the enth Circuit, sitting at Denver, in the State of Colorado.

Your petitioner shows that when the original complaint in this ause was filed the same named the following defendants: Mamie Amelrod, Herman melrod, H. C. Dixon, J. R. Hoskins, Continental Oil Company, a corporation, he Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, a corporation, and First National Bank n Bartlesville, a corporation. That none of the original parties to this ause are concerned with or affected by the order or decree, from which this efendant desires to appeal excepting only the Osage Oil and Refining Company,

original complainant and the undersigned petitioner, Continental Oil Com-
y, and that none of the other defendants, excepting your petitioner, have
substantial interest in this controversy and are not necessary parties to
appeal, and, therefore, your petitioner prays for a severance.

Your petitioner further prays that a proper order touching the
rity to be required of it to perfect its appeal be made.

R. [illegible]
RAY S. [illegible]
JOSEPH A. [illegible] JR.
Attorney for Continental Oil Company

The above petition for appeal is hereby granted and the appeal
wed, upon giving bond, conditioned as required by law, in the sum of
0.00.

The court finds that none of the original defendants in this
e have any substantial interest in the above appeal excepting only the Con-
ntal Oil Company, and said Continental Oil Company is entitled to and is
by granted a severance and it may, alone, prosecute its appeal.

DATED this 19th day of May, 1931.

EDGAR S. [illegible]
United States District Judge,
sitting in the Northern District
of Oklahoma.

RSED: Filed May 22 1931
H. [illegible] Barfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

. STRADFORD, COMPLAINANT,

V

[illegible] INVESTMENT COMPANY, A CORPORATION,
[illegible] SECURITY COMPANY, A TRADE NAME FOR
. HAMEL: A. J. HAMEL, J. W. HAMEL AND
AND HOME SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION
[illegible]SSOURI, A CORPORATION, RESPONDENTS.

No. 391 EQUITY

D E C R E E

On this May 22nd, 1931, the above entitled matter came on in due
e to be heard. Complainant appeared by [illegible] Weber, Esquire, his attorney,
he respondents, A. J. Hamel and J. W. Hamel and Tulsa Investment Company,
poration, and Tulsa Security Company, which was merely a trade name under
A. J. Hamel did business, appeared by Messrs. Yancey, Spillers & Fist,
attorneys, and the respondent Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association
ssouri, a corporation, appeared by James [illegible] Cosgrove, its attorney.

From the evidence adduced the Court finds:-

1. That the allegations of the answer and cross-petition of res-
ndent Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri, a corporation,
e true and that the allegations of complainant's amended bill are not sup-
rted by the evidence.

2. That at all times herein mentioned the respondent Tulsa
vestment Company was and is a corporation organized and existing under the
ws of Oklahoma and the Respondent Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association
Missouri, at all times herein mentioned was and is a corporation organized
d doing business under the laws of Missouri, and qualified to transact busi-
ss in the State of Oklahoma.

3. That on March 20, 1925, respondent Tulsa Investment Company,
corporation, by A. J. Hamel, its president, and Mary M. Miller, its Secretary,
ecuted in writing and delivered to said Farm and Home Savings and Loan Associa-
on its certain note or obligation of that date whereby it promised and agreed
pay said Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association $258.00 as monthly dues
430 shares of the capital stock of said Association, represented and eviden-
d by certificate thereof No. 120084, and the further sum of $200.00 as inter-
t due monthly upon the sum of $43,000.00 borrowed by said Tulsa Investment
mpany from said Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association; said payments
ounting in the aggregate to $547.50, and said Tulsa Investment Company
omised to pay said amount on the 20th day of each and every month and to con-
nue said payments for 120 months from March 20, 1925, said stock being esti-
ted to mature and reach par value in said time. Said original note is now
esented to the Court and ordered cancelled by the Clerk and filed with the
pers herein.

4. That on March 20th, 1925, the said Tulsa Investment Company,
ich was then the owner and in possession of the premises hereinafter described,
A. J. Hamel, its President and Mary M. Miller, its Secretary, executed and
livered to Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association its real estate mort-
ge of that date, and did thereby for the consideration of said $43,000.00
ortgage and convey to said Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association the
llowing described real estate in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, towit:-

> All of Lots No. Six (6), Seven (7), Eight (8) and Ten (10) in Block Number Three (3) of Turley Addition to the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof. Also all of Lot Number Five (5) and all of the South Fifty (50) feet of Lot Number Six (6), all in Block Number Fifteen (15) in North Tulsa, an Addition to the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof. Also all of the South Forty (40) feet of Lots Number Eleven (11) and Twelve (12) in Block Number Sixteen (16) in the Lynch and Forsythe Addition to the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof, and all improvements on all of the above described property.
>
> (Partial release dated October 9th, 1928 covered following described property: All of the South Forty (40) feet of Lots Number Eleven (11) and Twelve (12) in Block Number Sixteen (16) in the Lynch and Forsythe Addition to the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof, and all improvements thereon.)

mortgage was given to secure the performance of the covenants and conditions rein contained and the payment of the sum mentioned in said note or obliga-. Said original mortgage is presented to the court and ordered cancelled e Clerk and filed with the papers herein. Said mortgage provided that if Tulsa Investment Company shall pay the sums mentioned in said note or obli-on and shall faithfully perform all of the other agreements in said note mortgage, then said mortgage shall be void, otherwise to remain in full e and effect and that said mortgage shall be foreclosed immediately and en-ed for the unpaid amount of the principal of said note, unpaid interest, rance premiums, and other expenses together with the sum of $4,500.00 torney's fee for instituting suit upon said mortgage or for foreclosing . Said mortgage was duly executed, acknowledged and delivered to said Farm me Savings & Loan Association by said Tulsa Investment Company, and filed record in the office of the Court Clerk of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, on March 1925, at 3:00 o'clock P.M. and duly recorded in Vol. 527 of Mortgages at 108 thereof; that mortgage registration tax, as required by law, was duly t ereon by said Farm & Home Savings and Loan Association when the same was red for record, that by the terms of said mortgage appraisement of said real e was expressly waived.

5. That the Farm & Home Savings and Loan Association is the r and holder of said note and mortgage and that default has been made in the s and conditions thereof in that said Tulsa Investment Company has neglected, d and refused to pay said monthly installments beginning May 20, 1929, and such defaults have continued for each and every month thereafter and that payments were delinquent more than six successive months at the time of filing of the answer and cross-petition of said Farm & Home Savings and Loan ciation; that said mortgage and note provided that if said Tulsa Investment any failed for a period of six successive months to pay dues, interest, or r charges required by the by-laws of said Association or shall become in-ed to said Association in a sum equal to the gross amount of dues and in-st for a period of six months, then the whole amount of said obligation l become due and payable and may be collected by law; that by reason of defaults said Farm & Home Savings and Loan Association elected to declare entire indebtedness due and payable and is entitled to foreclosure of mortgage lien.

6. The Court Further finds that there is due and owing to said and Home Savings and Loan Association from said Tulsa Investment Company, ay 1[illegible], 1931, $29,320.07 plus $22.00 abstract fee and that said sum bears rest at the rate of 7.8 per cent per annum from May 1[illegible], 1931 until paid; there is due and owing to said James M. Cosgrove, as attorney for said and Home Savings and Loan Association, for services pursuant to the provi-of said mortgage, the sum of $1250.00, from said Tulsa Investment Company, poration.

7. The Court further finds that at the time of the execution delivery of said note and mortgage said Tulsa Investment Company pledged to Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association 430 shares of the capital stock aid Association, represented and evidenced by Certificate No. 120084, of par value of $43,000.00, and agreed to pay said Farm & Home Savings and Association $2[illegible].00 on the 20th day of each and every month for 120 months March 20, 1925; that the net surrender value of said shares of stock, ding to the by-laws of said Association was $14,082.[illegible] at the time of default by said Tulsa Investment Company, May 20, 1929, and that due t has been given to said Tulsa Investment Company therefor; that said Farm e Savings and Loan Association, pursuant to said mortgage and to protect con on said premises, advanced taxes November 8, 1929, for the year 1928,

.50, and advanced paving assessment April 5, 1930, [illegible].86, taxes
ary [illegible], 1931, 100.32, paving taxes March 14, 1931, [illegible].17, paving taxes
l 7, 1931, 374.05, and bondholders' attorney's fee for collection of de-
quent taxes aforesaid, April 3, 1931, $67.00; that pursuant to the terms
said mortgage said Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association is entitled to
rest on said taxes and assessments so advanced at the rate of ten per
per annum from respective dates of advancement until paid, same to be in-
led as a part of the mortgage debt and all aggregating the sum aforesaid
320.07, May 19, 1931; that said Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association
advanced $22.00, for re-dating abstract as necessary adjunct to foreclosure
said mortgage, pursuant to the terms of said mortgage; that said sum of
320.07 plus said $22.00 abstract fee and said $1250.00, attorney's fee is
rst and superior lien upon said premises; that said mortgage provides that
the event of foreclosure proceedings the indebtedness secured thereby shall
interest from date of default at the rate of 7.8 per cent per annum in
of said monthly installments and that said certificate of stock above re-
ed to, shall be cancelled and the surrender value thereof, as provided by
by-laws of said Association, as of the date of first default, shall be
lied in the reduction of the said mortgage indebtedness.

8. The Court further finds from the evidence that before the
ivery of said note or obligation by said Tulsa Investment Company to said
and Home Savings and Loan Association, the respondents A. J. Hamel, and
. Hamel, and each of them, endorsed their respective names thereon and they
each of them thereby became personally liable to said Farm and Home Savings
Loan Association for the indebtedness evidenced thereby.

9. The Court further finds from the evidence that J. [illegible] trad-
has no right, title, lien, claim or interest in and to said premises or
part thereof, and that the legal and equitable title thereto is in Tulsa
estment Company, subject to first mortgage lien of Farm and Home Savings and
n Association for amount sued for herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that
Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri, a corporation, be
it hereby is awarded judgment against said Tulsa Investment Company, a cor-
ation, and J. W. Hamel and A. J. Hamel, for the sum of $29,320.07 plus
.00 abstract fee as aforesaid, with interest thereon at the rate of 7.8
cent per annum from May 19, 1931, until paid and the costs accrued herein
the sum of _____ together with costs accruing and together with the sum
1250.00 for the Attorney's fee of said Farm and Home Savings and Loan
ociation, and that the lien of said Farm and Home Savings and Loan Associa-
n of Missouri, for the amounts aforesaid be and the same hereby is declared
irst and superior lien on the premises herein described.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that if
judgment be not fully paid within six months from this date that said
tgage be foreclosed and that said real estate and improvements thereon and
equity of redemption of all parties that in be sold at Special Master's
e, as upon execution, without appraisement, according to law, to satisfy
d judgment and decree and that from the proceeds thereof said Special
ter be ordered and directed to pay:-

1. All Court costs incidental to the foreclosure of said
mortgage and cost of sale by said Special Master.

2. All taxes and assessments due and unpaid on said property, including delinquent tax sale certificates and evidence of payment of general and special taxes in the hands of Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri.

3. The judgment of said Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri, a corporation.

4. That the balance, if any, be brought into Court to abide further orders in the premises.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that plainant J. P. Stradford and respondent Tulsa Investment Company, a corporn, A. J. Hamel, and J. W. Hamel, and each of them, from and after the sale said property as aforesaid, and all parties claiming, or to claim any inest, lien, right, title or interest in and to said property, or any part reof, from or under said parties or any of them, since the commencement of action, be forever barred, restrained and foreclosed from ever claiming equity in or title to said property, or any part thereof, and that said and Home Savings and Loan Association have a general execution against resents A. J. Hamel and J. W. Hamel, for the deficit, if any, remaining unon said judgment after applying thereto the proceeds of such sale.

Garland Keeling is appointed Special Master to make sale. ndants except.

[illegible]
J U D G E

RSED: Filed May 22 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ed States of America,	Plaintiff	No. 617 Equity ✓
vs		
ny National Bank, a Corporation, . Presbury and J. [illegible]. Comer	Defendant	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO FILE ANSWER

On this 15th day of May, 1931, it is ORDERED that the defendant twenty (20) days additional time to file Answer herein.

[illegible]
J U D G E

[illegible]: Filed May 22 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, MAY 22, 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] McCLENDON, Plaintiff,

vs. No. 681 Equity

ULPA FUEL COMPANY, a corporation, Defendant.

O R D E R

Upon application of Eben I. Taylor, Receiver of the defendant, Ulpa Fuel Company, and for good cause shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that said Receiver be, and he is hereby allowed ten days additional time from this date within which to file answer to the intervening petition of Southwestern Natural Gas Company.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Union National Bank, as trustee, and all other interested parties, be, and they are, hereby given an extension of ten days time in which to file answer, protest or objection to the said intervening petition of the Southwestern Natural Gas Company.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

ENTERED: Filed May 22 1931
N. K. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

Court adjourned to [illegible], 1931.

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

PROCEEDINGS TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, MAY, 1931

WILLIAM MARTIN
Atty. for First Union
Trust & Savings Bank, et al
Trustee.

FILED: Filed May 23 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court NE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO, Plaintiffs.	
Vs.	IN EQUITY CONSOLIDATED CAUSE NO. 604
CENTRAL COAL AND COKE COMPANY, Defendants.	

ORDER AMENDING PLEADINGS AND RECORDS
WITH REFERENCE TO NAME OF FIDELITY
NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY.

The above entitled cause came on further to be heard on the day of May, 1931, on the petition of First Union Trust and Savings Bank, Michigan Trust Company, Fidelity National Bank and Trust Company and Melvin Taylor, as trustees under the first mortgage deed of trust of Central Coal Coke Company and Delta Land & Timber Company and the indenture supplemen- thereto, and upon due notice to all parties and upon the filing of said tion and upon consideration thereof, due deliberation having been had, it

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that all pleadings, orders and re- in the above entitled cause and all constituent causes thereof are here- mended so that wherever in said pleadings, orders or records the name elity Bank and Trust Company" appears said name shall read "Fidelity Nation- ank and Trust Company".

F. E. KELLAMEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

FILED: Filed May 23 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court NE

Court adjourned to May 16, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TH[illegible] SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, [illegible] 26, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, May 26t ,

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, it:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Haskell Richardson,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 621 Equity
Sapulpa Fuel Company, et al.,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Now on this 25th day of May, 1931, comes the bond holders of the ulpa Fuel Company, a corporation, by John Madden, Jr., Howell M. Black and). Janick, constituting a majority of the Bond Holders Committee, and file ir petition for order permitting petition of bond holders of the Sapulpa Company for leave to intervene.

It is ordered that said petition for leave to intervene be, and same hereby is, set for hearing at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, on June 1, 1931, 9:30 o'clock A. M., and further ordered that the Clerk of this court be, hereby is, directed to give notice to all interested parties of the lication to intervene.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ORDERED: Filed May 26 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

Court adjourned to May 2[illegible], 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, May 29th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
M. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, it:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ed States of America,	Complainant,	
vs.		
Wagoshe, John Bruce, John t, Maud Thompson Supernaw, Perrier, Joseph William son, Irene Bennett, Millard a, B. T. Kennedy, John S. , Robert Stuart, Ed Talley, . Stone, Eula Hand, M. Le- Midland Oil Company, a ration, A. L. Brown, Glen er, Alfred A. Drummond, El- . Day, J. L. Simpson, M. E. Trustee, J. A. Ladd, Betty R. L. Hall, Albert L. New- . G. Barnard, Henry Vaden, Mullendore Trust Company, a ration, Fred G. Drummond, C. addock, Fred Rowe, William P. ns, E. E. Grinstead, LeMoyne d, Maud Spurr, Maggie J. Ebert, . Stroud, Charles E. Stroud, h D. Mitchell, Clin Lewis, lla Bennett, J. A. Presbury, Stuart, R. C. Drummond, Hlu- -me, Nora Mohogla, Wah-ko-sah- Hattie Smitherman, Charles W. ie, Robert E. Labadie, Rose , L. C. Mullendore, Magella ing, Minnie E. Martin, guar- of Fred Roan Martin, a minor, y E. Adams,	Respondents.	No. 644 Equity.

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER.

Now on this the 29 day of May A. D. 1931, same being one of the days of the Special March A. D. 1931 term of said court, comes the above complainant, the United States of America, by and through John M. Goldes- the duly appointed, qualified and acting United States District At- in and for the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, and presents to urt in Chambers, said complainant's motion or application for a temporary ining order, without notice, returnable as directed by law and as provided

IN THE [illegible] COURT OF THE [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], [illegible], 1931

Equity Rule No. 73, in which motion it is charged and alleged that immediate irreparable loss and damage will result to the complainant before said application for preliminary injunction or restraining order may be heard upon notice, upon the grounds and for the reasons set out and mentioned in complainant's verified bill of complaint filed in this cause and in this court, the court having heard said application for said temporary restraining order upon said grounds and for said reasons, finds that it clearly appears from the specific facts pleaded and shown by complainant's verified bill of complaint filed herein, that immediate and irreparable loss and damage will in all probability result to the complainant herein before said matter can be heard upon notice, and that for said reasons, this temporary restraining order should be without notice, as provided by said Equity Rule and by law, and the court being fully advised in the premises, so finds.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the court that said respondents herein and as named in the complainant's bill of complaint filed herein, and each of them, and each of those similarly situated with them and similarly interested with them, be, and they are hereby and temporarily restrained from setting up or making any claim whatsoever, directly or indirectly, in or to any of the oil, gas, or other minerals heretofore extracted or mined, now being extracted or mined, or that may hereafter be extracted or mined from any of the lands of Osage County, Oklahoma, formerly the Indian Reservation, or from setting up, claiming or making any claim, directly or indirectly, in or to any of the said oil, gas, coal or other mineral fee-hold estates upon said lands, that will in any way or manner, directly or indirectly, interfere with the complainant, through its lessees named and referred to in its bill of complaint filed herein, who are alleged to be federal instrumentalities or federal agencies, from operating said leases, extracting the oil, gas, and other minerals from the lands covered by said leases, marketing and selling said oil, gas and other minerals and applying the proceeds thereof in conformity to and in accordance with the terms and conditions of said leases, the laws of the United States and the rules and regulations promulgated by the Secretary of the Interior incident thereto, and that said respondents and each of them, be further restrained from in any way or manner, directly or indirectly, interfering with or molesting the complainant herein, the United States of America, its administrative officers and employees, and its and alleged instrumentalities or agencies, from the proper execution of its alleged wards, the Osage Tribe of Indians, and its alleged policies and duties in reference thereto, except such claims as said respondents and each of them, may make and be entitled to make in this cause and in this court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this temporary restraining order be, and the same is hereby made returnable on the 8 day of June A. D. 1931, at Bartlesville, Okla. where said court will then and there be in session, on and on which date and time, said complainant's application for a preliminary injunction during the pendency of this suit, be, and the same is hereby set for hearing, of all of which said respondents and each of them, shall be duly and properly notified by service of a certified copy of this order upon each of them by the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, as soon as it is possible so to do, and that said United States Marshal make his return on this writ, showing his said service as herein directed.

[illegible] in the city of Tulsa, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, this the 29th day of May A. D. 1931.

[illegible]: Filed May [illegible] 1931 [illegible]
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk Judge
[illegible] District Court [illegible] _____

Court adjourned to May 30, 1931.

[illegible]
[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], MAY [illegible], 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Saturday, May [illegible]th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
[illegible]. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, it:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA SITTING AT TULSA.

SECURITY BENEFIT ASSOCIATION, [illegible]	PLAINTIFF	
VS.		EQUITY NO. 445 ✓
OF SLICK ET AL	DEFENDANTS.	
GUARANTEE FUND LIFE ASSO-[illegible], ET AL,	INTERVENORS.	

O R D E R

On the seventh day of May, 1931, this cause comes on for hearing [illegible]e question of the distribution of the funds in the hands of the receiver [illegible]is cause, the parties and intervenors appearing by counsel, and after con-[illegible]ing the matter and hearing argument of counsel, and being duly advised in [illegible]remises, it is ordered by the court as follows:

THAT the receiver, The American National Bank of Bristow, Okla- Trust Department, is hereby ordered and directed to pay to the holders of following described coupons detached from that certain issue of bonds desig- [illegible] Waterworks Bonds of 1923, issued by the Town of Slick, Creek County, Okla- in the principal amount of Eighty-nine Thousand Dollars ($89,000), num- [illegible] from One (1) to Eighty-nine (89) both inclusive, of the denomination of One [illegible]and Dollars ($1,000) each, dated August 7, 1923, and due and payable seri- upon surrender of such coupons for proper endorsement, or upon the filing the receiver of a duly certified copy of any journal entry of judgment or [illegible] of this court showing a judgment based upon any such coupons, the sum of [illegible]een Dollars ($14.00) for and on account of each coupon numbered One (1) [illegible]e principal amount of Sixty Dollars ($60.00); and the sum of Seven Dollars [illegible]0) for and on account of each and every one of the other coupons herein- described, to-wit:

N[illegible]R	BONDS	DUE	AMOUNT
	1 to 89	August 7,1924 89 coupons at $60 each, -	$5340.00
	1 to 89	Feby. 7 1925 89 coupons at $30 each, -	2670.00
	1 to 89	August 7 1925 89 coupons at $30 each, -	2670.00
	1 to 89	February 7 1926 89 coupons at $30 each -	2670.00
	1 to 89	August 7 1926 89 coupons at $30 each -	2670.00
	1 to 89	Feby. 7 1927 89 coupons at $30 each -	2670.00
	1 to 89	August 7 1927 89 coupons at $30 each -	2670.00
	1 to 89	Feby. 7 1928 89 coupons at $30 each -	2670.00

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], MAY 30, 1931

1 to 89	August 7 1928	89 coupons at $30 each -	670.00
18 to 89	Feby. 7 1929	72 coupons at $30 each -	2160.00
18 to 89	August 7 1929	72 coupons at $30 each -	2160.00
18 to 89	Feby. 7 1930	72 coupons at $30 each -	2160.00
18 to 89	August 7 1930	72 coupons at $30 each -	2160.00
18 to 89	Feby. 7 1931	72 coupons at $30 each -	2160.00
			$37500.00

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that as to any coupons not merged in judg- or allowed upon the filing of any claim in this court, such receiver shall payment upon presentation of said coupons, and shall endorse thereon the nt of such payment; and that as to any of such coupons which have been ed in judgment or claim filed in this court, the receiver shall make payment he record holder of any such judgment or claim upon receipt of such er.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that whenever the receiver shall here- r have funds in its hands sufficient to make a five per cent (5%) distri- on of the principal amount of the coupons herein listed, and coupons detached said bonds hereafter from time to time maturing, that it shall continue make distribution in proportion to the principal amount of the then outstand- coupons without further order of this court, and shall so continue to make partial distribution from time to time until the further order of this rt.

[illegible]
Judge, United States District Court.

[illegible]
Attys for Brown Crummer Co.

[illegible]
Attorney for Moccabees

[illegible]: Filed May 30 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

dred Stockton McKown,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 529 Equity
rd of Education of the Town of lyville, Creek County, Oklahoma, unicipal corporation, et al,	Respondents.	

[illegible]

Now on this 21st day of January, 1931, the same being a regular

[illegible] TULSA, [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] 30, 1931

[illegible]cial day of the regular Pawhuska January 1931 term of the above entitled [illegible]t, this cause came on for trial, the complainant appearing by her attorney [illegible] Jordan, and the respondents appearing by their attorneys John R. Miller [illegible] B. Stephenson, and both parties in open court announcing ready for trial, [illegible] cause proceeds to trial.

Thereupon, the complainant introduced evidence in support of her [illegible] of complaint, and respondents introduced their evidence, and there being [illegible]rther evidence desired to be introduced by either party, said cause was [illegible]itted to the court, and by the court taken under advisement, and further [illegible]ing continued until May 12, 1931 at the special Tulsa March 1931 term of [illegible] court.

And on said 12th day of May, 1931, the same being a regular judi- [illegible] day of the special Tulsa March 1931 term of said court, said cause comes on [illegible] further hearing, at which time the parties appeared by the respective coun- [illegible] as above noted, and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds [illegible] the allegations in complainant's bill of complaint are true and that com- [illegible]nant is entitled to all the relief prayed for in her bill of complaint, to [illegible] finding and ruling of the court the respondents duly excepted and such [illegible]ptions are noted and allowed. The court specially finds that it has juris- [illegible]ion over this cause in equity and that the assumption of such jurisdiction [illegible]t in violation of the Constitution of the United States.

The court further finds that the complainant herein is entitled [illegible] prohibitory injunction against the respondents, the Board of Education of [illegible] Town of Kellyville, Creek County, Oklahoma, Dough Binns, Jack [illegible]dministon, [illegible]. Leagin and Mrs. William Beets, respectively President, Member, Clerk and [illegible]urer of the Board of Education of the Town of Kellyville, Creek County, [illegible]oma, and their successors in office, and each and all of them, their ser- [illegible], agents and employees, from committing a breach of the terms and condi- [illegible] contained in the written contracts involved in this action and described in [illegible] bill of complaint, and from diverting the moneys on hand in the sinking fund [illegible] sources so that the same will not be available for the payment of the [illegible]rest due and becoming due on said bonds and the principal thereof at matur- [illegible] and from asserting in any manner that said bonds are invalid and void, an[illegible] in any manner casting any further or additional clouds upon complainant's [illegible] and to said bonds.

The court finds that complainant is entitled to a mandatory in- [illegible] against all of the respondents in this action, and each and all of [illegible] and their successors in office, compelling them and each of them to desist [illegible] further casting any further or additional clouds upon complainant's title [illegible] to said bonds, and compelling said respondents, and each and all of them, [illegible] their successors in office, to desist from refusing to perform their full [illegible] [illegible] in the matter of making yearly itemized statements of the es- [illegible] [illegible] required by law for sinking fund purposes to pay [illegible] interest on said bonds, and to create a fund to retire the principal there- [illegible] maturity, and from failing and refusing to make such yearly appropriations [illegible] sinking fund purposes as is required by the statutes of the State of Okla- [illegible] and from in any manner failing and refusing to take such action or actions [illegible] institute such proceeding or proceedings as may be required by law for [illegible] compelling of the payment of tax levies so to be made for the aforesaid [illegible]ses.

[illegible], [illegible] as follows:

[illegible]

1. That the allegations contained in the bill of complaint herein behalf of complainant are true and correct and that complainant is entitled and is hereby granted all of the relief sought in this proceeding and action.

2. That the title and right of complainant in and to the funding ds, set forth and described in the bill of complaint, so issued by said pondent the Board of Education of the Town of Kellyville, Creek County, ahoma, is hereby quieted against all claims, clouds and assertions as t ir invalidity which have been or may hereafter be made by said respondents either of them or their servants, agents, employees and/or successors in ice.

3. That said respondents and each and all of them, their officers nts, employees and successors in office are hereby perpetually enjoined, hibited and restrained from casting any further or additional clouds on the le of complainant of and to said bonds, and from asserting in any manner or any proceeding that said bonds are invalid and void.

4. That said respondents, the Board of Education of the Town of lyville, Creek County, Oklahoma, Dough Binns, Jack Edministon, R. L. Reagin Mrs. William Beets, respectively President, Member, Clerk and Treasurer the Board of Education of the Town of Kellyville, Cerek County, Oklahoma, their agents, servants, employees and successors in office are hereby perually enjoined and restrained from committing a breach of the terms and conions of the written contracts expressed and set out in said bonds with reence to the making of an annual tax levy for the payment of interest on bonds and principal at maturity, and said named respondents and their nts, servants, employees and successors in office are hereby restrained from erting funds on hand in the sinking fund of said municipality to any source using the same for any purpose not authorized by law.

5. That said respondents and each and all of them and their nts, servants, employees and successors in office are hereby enjoined and trained from failing and refusing to perform in full their statutory ies in the matter of making yearly itemized statements of the estimated ds of the amount required by law to provide a sinking fund to retire said ds at their maturity, and to semi-annually pay the interest coupons thereto ached as they mature, and they and each of them and their successors in ofe are hereby enjoined from failing and refusing so to do; and they and each them, and their successors in office are further perpetually enjoined and trained from in any manner and at any time failing or refusing to take such ion or actions or such proceeding or proceedings as may be by law provided the purpose of compelling the payment of such tax levies to be made as ein specified, and they and each of them are hereby enjoined from failing refusing to take such actions or proceedings as may be necessary and as may provided for the purpose of securing funds to create a sinking fund to ree such bonds and pay the interest coupons thereon at their maturity.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the respondent . William Beets, Treasurer of the Board of Education of the Town of Kellyle, Creek County, Oklahoma, or her successor in office, or her agents, serts, and employees are hereby enjoined and restrained from failing or refusing pay out of available sinking fund moneys all past due interest coupons dehed from said bonds together with interest thereon from their maturity to date of such payment, and from failing and refusing to pay in full all sequently maturing interest coupons out of available sinking fund moneys ated for such purpose.

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT [illegible]
[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], MAY 30, 1931

IT [illegible] THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the complainant [illegible] and from the respondents herein, and each and all of them, her cost [illegible]nded herein, for which let execution issue.

To each and all of the foregoing judgment and order of this [illegible]t and each paragraph thereof, the respondents and each and all of them here[illegible]xcept, and their exceptions are allowed.

Dated this 12th day of May, 1931, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

[illegible] E. [illegible]
United States District Judge.

EUGENE JORDAN
Attorney for Complainant.

JOHN R. MILLER
R. E. STEPHENSON
Attorneys for Respondents.

[illegible]: Filed May 30 1931
[illegible] Barfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible]on Clinton, incompetent, by [illegible]h Clinton, his next friend, [illegible]Cubah Clinton for herself,	Petitioners.	
vs.		
[illegible]. Coppedge, Federal Surety [illegible]any, a Corporation, United [illegible]es Fidelity & Guaranty Company, [illegible]rporation, Carl J. Hughes, [illegible]ty Judge of Creek County, Oklahoma, [illegible]s [illegible]. Harris, Whiteman Littlehead and [illegible]. [illegible]chwen,	Respondents.	No. 607 Equity

ORDER MODIFYING THE ORDER OF MAY 6th, 1931, OVERRULING THE MOTION [illegible] RESPONDENT FEDERAL SURETY COMPANY, A CORPORATION.

Be it remembered that on the 29 day of May, 1931, upon the re[illegible]t of Wilson Clinton, incompetent, by Cubah Clinton, his next friend, and [illegible] Clinton, for herself, petitioners, there came on to be considered a modi[illegible]tion of the order of this Court made on May 6th, 1931, overruling the peti[illegible] of the Federal Surety Company, a Corporation, respondent, to dismiss the [illegible] of petitioners filed herein.

And, it appears to the Court that said order as to the respondent [illegible]proneously made in that the said respondent had not been notified of the [illegible]ing of its said motion to dismiss, and was not personally present at the

[illegible]

[illegible] on May 6th, 1931, and, it is ordered by [illegible] said order [illegible] the said respondent, Federal [illegible], [illegible] [illegible], to dismiss the bill of petitioners herein be, [illegible] is hereby [illegible] aside and held for naught, and the said motion of the said respondent be, it is hereby reinstated to be heard in the regular [illegible] for setting of [illegible] in this cause and Court.

[illegible]
Judge

[illegible]: Filed May 30 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court [illegible]

[illegible] STATES [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible], Complainant,

--vs--

[illegible], a [illegible]poration, Respondent, No. [illegible]

[illegible], a Corporation; [illegible] [illegible]chorn and Maude V. [illegible]chorn [illegible] the use and benefit of the [illegible]ndard Oil [illegible] Refining Company, [illegible]orporation, Intervenors.

ORDER

Now on this 18th day of May, 1931, [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] of John McCullough to the order of this Court [illegible] [illegible] the said [illegible] to deposit the collateral securities [illegible] Clerk [illegible] this [illegible], [illegible] said order, or to show cause why he [illegible] not be adjudged in [illegible] of Court. [illegible] good and sufficient reasons [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] said order to the [illegible] day of May, 1931 at the hour [illegible], [illegible] and it is further ordered by the Court [illegible] the restraining or[illegible] [illegible] issued in said cause against the said [illegible] McCullough be and [illegible] continued in full force and effect until the further order of [illegible].

[illegible]
Judge

[illegible]: Filed May [illegible] 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court [illegible]

Court adjourned to June 1, 193[illegible].

[illegible]

Court convened pursuant to adjournment [illegible], June 1, 1931,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, [illegible] Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were [illegible] and entered, it:

[illegible], ET AL,	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		No. [illegible] - Equity. ✔
CONTINENT PETROLEUM ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, further hearing is had he above styled cause. Thereafter, testimony of [illegible] Lowe and [illegible], Defendants' witnesses is heard. And thereafter, testimony of [illegible] Graham A. L. Simmons, [illegible] J. Bartholomew and [illegible] L. Hardister, is heard. And [illegible], both sides rest. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that cause be, and the same is hereby, taken under advisement.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [illegible] NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] RICHARDSON,	Complainant,	
-vs-		
[illegible] COMPANY,	Respondent	No. [illegible], IN EQUITY. ✔
[illegible] COMPANY, [illegible]poration; [illegible] Watchorn, and [illegible] Watchorn, for the use and [illegible] of the Standard Oil & Refining [illegible]any, a corporation.	Intervenor	

[illegible] McCullough, Defendant, and [illegible] attorneys of Record, [illegible] Robinson, Midwest Building, [illegible] City, Oklahoma.

Now on this 1st day of June, 1931, the [illegible] judi- [illegible] of the Bartlesville term of said court, it [illegible] to [illegible] to the [illegible] said John L. McCullough has failed to deliver to the custody of [illegible] of this court the One Hundred and Sixty Thousand Dollars of the common [illegible] of the Investors Utility Corporation the preferred stock of said Company [illegible] par value of Twenty-five thousand dollars, and [illegible] bonds in [illegible] of Twenty Thousand dollars of said Sapulpa Fuel Company within ten days [illegible] the [illegible] day of May, 1931, or file written response in this cause [illegible] time showing grounds for failure to do so; It is [illegible] of said Court [illegible] said John L. McCullough be and appear before this court on the [illegible] of June, 1931, in the City of Bartsville, at the [illegible] o'clock [illegible], [illegible] to show cause why he did not comply with [illegible] order of this court [illegible] matters, and further show cause, if any he has, [illegible]

[illegible]ed in contempt of court for failure to comply with the orders and direc-
[illegible]s of this court in said matters.

[illegible]
JUDGE.

[illegible]: Filed Jun 1 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] HASKELL RICHARDSON, Complainant,

-vs-

[illegible], Respondent

[illegible] OIL [illegible] COMPANY
[illegible]rporation; H. [illegible] Watchorn and
[illegible]e V. Watchorn, for the use and benefit
[illegible]e Standard Oil and Refining Co.
[illegible]rporation

Intervenor.

No. 621 [illegible]

O R D E R.

Now on this 1st day of June 1931, the same being a regular judi-
[illegible] day of the Bartlesville term of said court, comes on for hearing the ap-
[illegible]tion of said intervenor to make the parties named in said application
[illegible]ties defendant in this cause. Therefore it is considered, ordered, adjudged
[illegible] decreed by the court that the International Harvester Company, of Oklahoma
[illegible], Oklahoma, and Logan W. Cary of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, are made parties
[illegible]ndant in this action, and it is further ordered by the court that subpoena
[illegible]e to said defendants for service on said defendants in the Western District
[illegible] Oklahoma. It is the further order of the court that the said American
[illegible]ional Bank of Sapulpa, Sapulpa Oklahoma, be and it is hereby made a party
[illegible]endant. All said defendants are required to file answer herein in response
the matter set forth in the application to make said parties, parties
[illegible]ndant in this action.

[illegible]
JUDGE.

[illegible]: Filed Jun 1 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court [illegible]

[illegible] DISTRICT [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible], [illegible] [illegible], JUNE 1, 1931

[illegible], [illegible], Plaintiff,
-vs- No. 643 - Equity.
[illegible],
[illegible], Defendants.

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the [illegible]t that leave be, and the same is hereby, given to Plaintiff to verify [illegible] of Complaint. (F.E.H. Judge).

[illegible], ET AL, Plaintiffs,
-vs- No. 643 - Equity.
[illegible] OF [illegible],
[illegible] Defendants.

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the [illegible]t that leave be, and the same is hereby, granted Plaintiff to verify Bill [illegible]omplaint. (F.E.H. Judge).

[illegible] AMERICA, Plaintiff,
-vs- No. 644 - Equity.
[illegible], ET AL., Defendants.

Now on this 1st day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the [illegible]t that said cause be, and the same is hereby, passed to Friday, June 5th, [illegible].

Court adjourned to June [illegible].

[illegible]

Court convened pursuant to adjournment [illegible], [illegible], [illegible].

Present: [illegible] Hornsmer, Judge, [illegible]
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, [illegible] District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings [illegible] entered to-
t:

[illegible], [illegible], Plaintiff,

vs. No. [illegible] - [illegible].

[illegible],
[illegible], Defendant.

Now on this 2nd day of June, A.D. [illegible], it is ordered by the
urt that the above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby [illegible] for trial
to ancillary proceedings, at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, on June 18th,
[illegible].

[illegible]

[illegible] Haskell Richardson, Complainant,

--vs--

[illegible] Fuel Company, Respondent [illegible], No. [illegible].

[illegible] Oil & Refining Company,
Corporation: [illegible] Hatchorn, and
[illegible] Hatchorn, for the use and
nefit of the [illegible] Oil & Refining
[illegible], a Corporation, Interveners.

[illegible]

Now on this 2nd day of June, [illegible], the [illegible] judi-
[illegible] Bartlesville term of said Court, [illegible] of the
[illegible] Oil & Refining Company [illegible] its claim
said cause is extended to and including the 20th [illegible], [illegible].

[illegible]
[illegible].

[illegible]: [illegible] June [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court.

Court adjourned until [illegible].

[illegible] DISTRICT [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible], [illegible] [illegible], JUNE 5, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, June 5th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Court.
[illegible] P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-

[illegible],	Plaintiff,	No. 621 - Equity.
vs.		
[illegible] COMPANY, A Corp.,	Defendant.	

Now on this 5th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the t that the application of Bondholders Committee to intervene in the above tled cause be, and the same is hereby denied and exceptions are allowed.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] OF AMERICA,	Complainant,	No. 644 Equity.
vs.		
Wagoner, et al,	Respondents.	

O R D E R

Upon the stipulation filed it is now by the Court ordered that temporary Restraining Order issued on the 29th, day of May, 1931, is hereby inued in force as by this order modified.

It is hereby ordered that said temporary Restraining Order is by modified so as to not interfere with the Complainants in the actions filed now pending numbered 642-643 & 645 Equity, on the Docket of this Court in leting the service of process in each of said causes and in making any amendts to the bills of Complaint the ein filed in the way of correcting and ng other descriptions in harmony with said bills of Complaint and in filing ications in said cause for the appointment of a Receiver and in preparing having said application set for hearing.

It is further ordered that t is matter be set for hearing upon application of the Complainant herein for a temporary injunction and also the applications of the Complainants in causes number 642-643 & 645 for the intment of a Receiver on the 15th day of June 1931 at Bartlesville, Oklahoma.

This order shall not have the effect to prejudice the rights of er party.

Made in open court this June 5th, 1931.

[illegible]
District Judge.

[illegible]: Filed Jun 5 1931
[illegible] P. Warfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court

------- Court adjourned until June [illegible], 1931.

[illegible] DISTRICT COURT [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] TULSA, [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] 6, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Saturday, June 6th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. [illegible], Judge, [illegible] Dist. Court.
[illegible] Garfield, [illegible], U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, -wit:

[illegible], Plaintiff,

-vs- No. [illegible] - Equity.

[illegible] CORPORATION,
[illegible], Defendants.

Now on this 6th day of June, A. D. 1931, the above styled use comes on for hearing. Thereupon, hearing is had on application for withdrawal of Monarch Royalty Corporation from receivership. Thereafter, the following witnesses are sworn and examined: [illegible] Broon, [illegible] Hall, Fred [illegible] Malcolm, John W. Coburn, Andrew J. Barrett. Thereupon, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that this receiver be discharged effective July 15th, 1931. It is further ordered by the Court that F.O. Dept. not be required to turn over oks until audit is made. It is further ordered by the Court [illegible] case be set [illegible] hearing July 15th, 1931. (Hen[illegible], Judge).

IN THE DISTRICT COURT [illegible] UNITED STATES [illegible]
NORTHERN DISTRICT [illegible]

[illegible] Brudden, et al, Complainants,

vs. No. [illegible] Equity.

Rural District No. [illegible], Osage [illegible], Oklahoma, a municipal [illegible], et al, Respondents.

A [illegible]

Now on this 15th day of [illegible], 1931, the same being a regular judicial day of the special Tulsa March 1931 term of the above entitled court, is cause [illegible] for trial, the complainants [illegible] by their attorneys [illegible], and the respondents [illegible] by their attorneys [illegible], [illegible] Harvey, and [illegible] Burnett, and both parties in open court announce no ready [illegible], said cause proceeds to trial.

Thereupon, the complainants introduced evidence in support of [illegible] bill of complaint, and respondents introduced their evidence, and there [illegible] further evidence desired to be [illegible] by either party, said cause [illegible] to the court, and the court being fully advised in the premises, [illegible] allegations in complainants' bill of complaint are true [illegible] complainants are entitled to all the relief prayed for in their bill of [illegible], [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] and such creations are [illegible] and allowed. [illegible] of this jurisdiction over this cause in equity, and that the exercise of jurisdiction is not in violation of the constitution of the United States.

The court further finds that the complainants herein are entitled to a prohibitory injunction against the respondents [illegible] School District No. 82, [illegible] County, Oklahoma, a municipal corporation, Harry [illegible], Glen Selby, [illegible] Yates and [illegible] Ross, respectively Director, Clerk, Member and Treasurer [illegible] School District No. 82, Osage County, Oklahoma, and their successors in office, and each and all of them, their servants, agents and employees, from [illegible] a breach of the terms and conditions contained in the written contracts involved in this action and described in the bill of complaint, and from diverting the moneys on hand in the sinking fund to such purposes so that same will not be available for the payment of the interest due and becoming on said bonds and the principal thereof at maturity, and from asserting in manner that said bonds are invalid and void, and from in any manner casting further or additional clouds upon complainants' title to and to said bonds.

The court further finds that complainants are entitled to a mandatory injunction against all of the respondents in this action, and each and all of them, and their successors in office, compelling them and each of them to desist from further casting any further or additional clouds upon complainants' title to and to said bonds, and compelling said respondents, and each and all of them, and their successors in office, to desist from [illegible] to perform [illegible] and lawful duties in the matter of making yearly the [illegible] of the required needs of the amount required by law for sinking fund purposes to pay interest on said bonds, and to create a fund to retire the principal thereof at maturity, and from failing and refusing to make such yearly appropriations sinking fund purposes as is required by the statutes of the State of Oklahoma, and from in any manner failing and refusing to take [illegible] said proceedings and proceedings as may be required by law for the collection of the amount of tax levies so to be made [illegible] forever.

[illegible], [illegible] and [illegible] as follows:

1. That the allegations contained in [illegible] complaint herein [illegible] are true and correct [illegible] and are merely cumulative of the relief [illegible] in said proceeding action.

2. That [illegible] title to the [illegible] of complaint [illegible] and described in the bill of complaint, [illegible] said School District No. 82, Osage County, Oklahoma, [illegible] and all officers, clerks and employees [illegible] have [illegible] made by said respondents [illegible] their servants, agents, employees and/or successors in office.

3. That said respondents and each and all of them, their officers, servants, employees and successors in office are hereby [illegible] enjoined, [illegible] and restrained from casting any further or additional clouds on the title of complainants to and to said bonds, or from asserting in any manner or in proceedings that said bonds are invalid and void.

4. That said respondents, School District No. 82, Osage County, Okla., Harry [illegible], Glen Selby, [illegible] Yates, and [illegible], respectively Clerk, Member and Treasurer of School District No. 82, Osage County, Okla., and their successors, servants, employees and [illegible]

[illegible] restrained from [illegible] conditions [illegible] terms and conditions of the written contracts expressed and set out in [illegible] bonds with reference to the [illegible] of an annual tax levy for the [illegible] interest on said bonds and principal at maturity, and [illegible] named [illegible] their agents, servants, employees and successors in office are [illegible] restrained from [illegible] in the sinking fund of said [illegible] any source [illegible] for any purpose [illegible] provided by law.

[illegible] said respondents [illegible] their agents, servants, employees and successors in office are [illegible] enjoined and restrained from failing and refusing to perform in full [illegible] duties [illegible] yearly itemized statements of [illegible] needs of the amount required by law to provide a sinking [illegible] bonds at their maturity, and to semi-annually pay the interest [illegible] to be [illegible] as they mature, and they and each of them, [illegible] successors in office are hereby enjoined from failing and refusing [illegible] and [illegible], and their successors in office are [illegible] enjoined and restrained from in any manner and at any time [illegible] to take [illegible] action or actions or such proceeding or proceedings [illegible] by law provided for the purpose of compelling the payment of [illegible] herein specified, and they and each of them are hereby enjoined from failing and refusing to take such actions or proceedings as [illegible] and as may be provided for the purpose of securing funds to create a [illegible] and to retire [illegible] bonds [illegible] interest coupons [illegible].

[illegible] respondent [illegible], Treasurer of School District No. [illegible], [illegible] County, [illegible], or his successor in office, or his agents, servants, and employees are hereby enjoined and restrained from failing or refusing to [illegible] out of available sinking fund moneys all past due interest coupons detached from said bonds together with interest thereon from their maturity to the date of [illegible] payment, and from failing and refusing to pay in full all subsequently [illegible] interest coupons [illegible] sinking fund moneys created for such purpose.

[illegible] complaint to cover [illegible] from the respondents herein, [illegible] their [illegible] amended [illegible], for which let execution issue.

To each and all of the [illegible] order of this [illegible] and each [illegible] thereof, [illegible] hereby excepted, and their exceptions [illegible].

[illegible] this 15th day of [illegible], [illegible].

[illegible]

[illegible] District Judge.

[illegible] plaintiffs.

[illegible]

[illegible] for Respondents

[illegible]

[illegible], Clerk

[illegible] District Court

[illegible]

[illegible], [illegible], [illegible] 6, 1931

IN THE [illegible] STATES DISTRICT [illegible]
DISTRICT OF [illegible].

[illegible] States of America,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 6[illegible] Equity.
[illegible] Wetzel, et al,	Defendants.	

ORDER OF REVIVAL.

Now on this 6th day of June, 1931, this cause coming on to be [illegible] on the application of plaintiff for a revivor of this cause in so far as [illegible] relates to the interests of Marchie Hayes as defendant, in the name of [illegible]iam Sapulpa, administrator of the estate of Marchie Hayes, now deceased, [illegible] being shown to the Court that at the time of the institution of this [illegible] the said Marchie Hayes was living in the Northern District of Oklahoma, and [illegible] service of notice of the hearing of said cause had not been made on said [illegible]hie Hayes before his death, and that he has departed this life since the [illegible]itution of this suit, and an administrator of his estate has been appointed [illegible] person of William Sapulpa and said cause should be revived in the name of [illegible] administrator;

IT IS THEREFORE the order of the Court that this cause in so far [illegible] relates to the interests of Marchie Hayes, defendant herein, be and same [illegible]eby revived in the name of William Sapulpa, administrator of the estate of [illegible]hie Hayes, deceased.

It is further ordered that proper notice be given said William [illegible]lpa, as such administrator, at least 10 days before the date set for hearing [illegible], June 2, 1931.

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible] Williams
Assistant United States Attorney

[illegible]: Filed Jun [illegible] 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court [illegible]

Court adjourned until June [illegible], 1931.

[illegible]

[illegible] Court convened pursuant to adjournment [illegible], [illegible], [illegible].

Present: Hon. [illegible], Judge, [illegible] District Court.
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk, [illegible] District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings [illegible] entered, to-wit:

[illegible]

[illegible] Plaintiff,

-vs-

[illegible] Defendants.

In Equity No. [illegible]

O [illegible]

It appearing to the court that the [illegible] to be performed by the receiver of the defendant, Capulpa Fuel Company in this cause, and by such receiver as general manager of the Capulpa Gas Company, will require a great [illegible] of his time and attention, and that regular monthly compensation should be provided for him,

IT IS [illegible] that said [illegible] Taylor, as receiver herein, of the Capulpa Fuel Company, and as general manager of the Capulpa Gas Company, and he is hereby authorized, out of funds in his possession from time to time, to pay to himself each month the sum of $[illegible] on account of services rendered by him as such receiver, and the sum of $[illegible] on account of services rendered by him as general manager of the Capulpa Gas Company, [illegible] to be [illegible] from the funds of said respective companies in [illegible] court, and the court reserving the right, however, [illegible] such allowance, or to grant such further allowance as to the court may seem proper.

[illegible]
U. S. District Judge.

[illegible]: Filed [illegible]
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

[illegible] Plaintiffs,

-vs-

[illegible] Defendants.

[illegible] this 9th day of June, [illegible], [illegible] Territory [illegible] service of chancery subpoena [illegible] at 10 o'clock on [illegible], [illegible]

Court adjourned until [illegible], [illegible].

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, June 9th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Lehmamer, Judge, U. S. District Court.
. . Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, -wit:

[illegible] RICHARDSON,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. [illegible] - Equity.
[illegible] CO., A CORP.,	Defendant.	

Now on this 9th day of June, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the urt that S. F. Daniel, Receiver, be permitted to be substituted for Standard l Refining Company.

Court adjourned until June 10, [illegible].

[illegible]

[illegible], [illegible], [illegible] 10, [illegible]

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, [illegible] 10th,

Present: [illegible] F. E. [illegible], Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, [illegible] District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were [illegible] entered, [illegible]:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [illegible]
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF [illegible].

[illegible] Building [illegible] Association, [illegible],	PLAINTIFF	
vs		[illegible] Case No. 592
[illegible] Hetchum, et als	[illegible]	

ORDER DIRECTING RECEIVER TO SELL [illegible].

This matter coming on to be heard this 10 day of [illegible], 1931, [illegible] of the receiver for an order of the court, authorizing and directing said receiver to sell the property hereinafter specified.

The Court having been fully advised in the premises, finds that [illegible] should be granted.

The court finds that there is a large quantity of corrugated [illegible], damaged by fire, lying on the ground where the same fell, unprotected that there is great danger that the majority of all of said roofing will [illegible] away and become a complete loss to the persons interested therein in this case, unless an order is made by this court instructing a speedy [illegible] thereof. [illegible] said corrugated roofing by reason of said fire has become [illegible] property, it not being fastened to anything by reason of the wood-work [illegible] been burned out.

It is therefore by the court ordered that [illegible] Tyler as [illegible], [illegible] is authorized and directed to sell said corrugated [illegible] at public auction in the town of [illegible], [illegible] at the place [illegible] is now situated but before selling the same he shall advertise the [illegible] of in a paper of general circulation in that community for two issues [illegible], prior thereto and the said receiver is authorized if in his [illegible] the same is deemed best, to have printed and distributed, 500 [illegible] advertising [illegible], said sale to be [illegible] to the highest [illegible] bidder for cash in hand.

[illegible]

[illegible]: Filed [illegible] Court
Jun 10 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court [illegible]

Court adjourned until [illegible], [illegible].

[illegible]

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, [illegible], [illegible], 1931.

Present: Hon. [illegible] Hennemer, Judge, [illegible] District Court.
[illegible] P. Warfield, Clerk, [illegible] District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, viz:

[illegible]
[illegible] DISTRICT OF [illegible]

[illegible]ed States of America, Complainant	
vs	No. [illegible] ity
[illegible] Hughes, County Treasurer [illegible] Respondents	

[illegible]

This cause came on to be heard on the [illegible] day of January, 1931, [illegible] the report of the special master, including the evidence taken before him, [illegible] exceptions filed by complainant and respondents, and respondents' [illegible] to strike complainant's exceptions to said report, [illegible] complainant appeared by John [illegible] Goldsberry, United States Attorney, [illegible], Assistant United States Attorney, Louis [illegible] Stivers, Special Assistant [illegible], and [illegible] Special Counsel for members of the Osage Tribe of Indians involved in this suit, and the respondents appeared by [illegible] Templeton, County Attorney [illegible] County, Oklahoma, Frank [illegible] McCoy, John L. Pearson and [illegible] Justice Jr., the Court having heard the arguments for and on behalf of complainant and defendants, took said cause under advisement for decision [illegible] date thereafter, and on February 4, 1931, said cause came on [illegible], the parties being present by their respective counsel as [illegible], the Court having indicated his conclusions as to the general rights [illegible] involved directed counsel to prepare a decree in accordance therewith, and to be thereafter settled and signed by the Court.

And thereafter, and on the [illegible] day of April, 1931, the [illegible] one of the regular judicial days of the special December term of said Court at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, this cause came on for final hearing, the [illegible] being present by John L. Goldsberry, United States Attorney, [illegible], Assistant United States Attorney, Louis [illegible] Stivers, Special Assistant United States Attorney and [illegible] McCoy, Special Counsel for members of the Osage Tribe of Indians involved in this action, and the respondents appeared by [illegible] Templeton, County Attorney of Osage County, Oklahoma, Frank [illegible] McCoy, John L. Pearson and [illegible] Justice Jr., and the Court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, signed a general order in [illegible] which, among other remedies, filed their motion to correct the decree [illegible] heretofore filed herein, and thereafter the complainant filed [illegible] to the motion of the respondents, [illegible] the [illegible] filed in response to the motion of the respondents, [illegible] the [illegible] and [illegible] the [illegible] Tribe; the aforesaid motions were [illegible] to the [illegible] of the Court, and [illegible] the Court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, [illegible],

[illegible]

[illegible] June, [illegible], [illegible] special [illegible] 1921 [illegible] court, sitting [illegible], [illegible]:

1. [illegible] court has jurisdiction [illegible] United States is the proper party to [illegible].

2. [illegible] action in equity, [illegible] only [illegible] evidence admitted as is competent, material [illegible], and therefore [illegible] all objections made by the counsel for both [illegible] complainant and respondents.

3. The court further finds that by [illegible] the kind and character of property involved in this action is set out and described in the stipulation entered into by the parties hereto, as follows:

"It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the above named complainant and the above named respondents, by their respective undersigned attorneys, that the procedure to be followed in this action with reference to [illegible] of testimony and submission of evidence [illegible] property involved in this action, which classes shall include [illegible] property of every kind and character of every Osage Indian not having a certificate, excepting [illegible] taxable, and in case any property which it is [illegible] taxable should [illegible] from the classification herein agreed upon, [illegible] concerning [illegible] shall be taken and submitted [illegible] classifications, [illegible] in the event no evidence is taken with reference to [illegible] property, it shall be deemed that it shall be included in one of the classifications agreed upon.

It is further agreed that this case [illegible] and taking of evidence before the special master as [illegible] follows [illegible]:

[illegible] Osage Allottee No. [illegible]
[illegible] of John [illegible], Osage Allottee [illegible]
[illegible] of [illegible], Osage Allottee [illegible]
[illegible] Wheeler Ridge, Osage Allottee [illegible]

[illegible] pronounced by the court in [illegible] property of above Indians and [illegible] decree of the [illegible] become final decree [illegible] property [illegible] Indians not having certificate of competency, either [illegible] Indians [illegible] class, and who are in the same position [illegible] Indians [illegible] this action [illegible].

It is further agreed that the matter [illegible] in this action is as to whether or not the properties [illegible] tribe of Indians [illegible] in the bill of complaint herein, [illegible] similarly situated, included in the following classifications, are taxable [illegible] of the state of Oklahoma, said classifications [illegible]:

. 1. [illegible] property other than real [illegible] members of the Osage tribe of Indians, [illegible], or administrators, special and general, [illegible] of their last will, on hand January 1st of [illegible] are [illegible], 1921.

. 2. [illegible] on hand [illegible] March [illegible], 1921, and up to [illegible]

provided to be paid under the Act of March 3, [illegible], to adult members of the Tribe, or to their guardians, or their administrators, special and general, of their estate, or their executors of their last will, and also moneys paid to such Indians out of their funds under said Act for the support and maintenance of their minor children and widows allowance, if any, and all property other than real estate, which may have been purchased by such Indians out of the $1000.00 paid them quarterly, or the money paid to them for the support of their minor children on [illegible], or in the hands of such Indians on January [illegible] of each of said years, or in the hands of their guardians, [illegible] administrators, special or general, of their estate or executors of their last will.

3. The money paid quarterly to members of the [illegible] of Indians under the Act of February 2[illegible], 1925, or to their guardians, administrators, special and general, of their estates, or executors of their last will, including widow's allowance and money paid for the maintenance of minor children, same as provided in Classification No. 2 above.

4. All moneys paid by the Secretary of the Interior after the passage of the Act of March 3, 1921 to guardians of such Indians in excess of $1000.00 quarterly, and $500.00 for the support of the minor children of such Indians, and property or securities, in which such excess money was invested by said guardians during the time such funds, or property in which the funds were invested, were in the hands of such guardians, including (A) excess funds actually returned to the Secretary of the Interior; and (B) properties, moneys, securities and investments accounted for purchased with such excess funds and left under settlement with the Secretary of the Interior in the hands of the guardians, administrators, special and general of their estate, and executors of their last will.

5. Property purchased by funds in the hands of guardians for such Indians after February 2[illegible], 19[illegible], and moneys in the hands of guardians, administrators, special and general, of their estates, and executors of their last will, after the passage of said Act of February 2[illegible], 1925.

6. Moneys borrowed by the guardians, administrators or executors as such on hand January [illegible] of each year, whether with or without the consent of the Secretary of the Interior.

7. Rentals from real estate, whether homestead, surplus, inherited or purchased real estate.

8. Interest received by such Indians, their guardians or administrators, special and general, of their estates, or executors of their last will, from the following sources, to-wit:

A- [illegible] on trust funds [illegible] to such Indians under the Act of Congress of June [illegible], [illegible].
B- Interest on notes secured by real estate mortgage where [illegible] tax not paid.
C- Interest on United States bonds.

[illegible]

D-Interest on State, County or Municipal bonds, including school district bonds.
E-Interest on funding bonds.
F-Interest on deposits in banks, including certificates of deposit, where chosen action tax not paid [illegible] rendered for assessment.
G-Interest on other investments, if any.

. 9 Funds and property in the hands of guardians, administrators, special and general, of their estates, [illegible] executors of their last will, prior to the distribution of the same.

. 10 Funds mentioned in Classification No. 9, after distribution to the heirs.

. 11 Moneys received from the sale of unrestricted property.

. 12 Moneys received from the sale of restricted property.

. 13 Inherited moneys and property in the hands of guardians prior to 1922 and not distributed through administrators or executors.

. 14 Balance of funds at the close of guardians' [illegible] returned to the [illegible] Indian Agency.

[illegible] property of any kind or character [illegible] mentioned shall be [illegible] for [illegible] for which same was rendered [illegible] taxation, except money or property to the extent not rendered," which stipulation [illegible] the court approved, [illegible] the court further finds that all of the money and [illegible] property included [illegible] the four test cases agreed upon falls within said classifications, and as to each classification of property, the court finds:

Classification No. 1. Guardians are [illegible] Agencies [illegible] to the extent under the control and supervision of the Secretary of the Interior, [illegible] in the hands of guardians of Indians received from tribal sources are non-taxable, and [illegible] property in the [illegible] not be [illegible] the control of the Secretary of the Interior, is non-taxable, otherwise taxable. [illegible] property in the hands of executors or administrators, free from the control of the Secretary of the Interior, [illegible] taxable, [illegible] non-taxable.

Classification No. 2. The [illegible] as [illegible] Classification No. 1. [illegible] property on hand prior to March [illegible], [illegible] controls as to [illegible] quarterly [illegible] funds paid to Indians [illegible] guardians for support and [illegible] of their minor children, which [illegible] non-taxable; [illegible], however, that [illegible] property [illegible] not under control of [illegible] Secretary of the Interior [illegible] in which event [illegible] property is taxable. [illegible] executors or [illegible] widow's [illegible] taxable.

Classification No. 3. [illegible]

Classification No. 4. [illegible] non-taxable [illegible]

[illegible] Government, [illegible]

Present: [illegible]

[illegible], the following proceedings were [illegible], [illegible]:

[illegible]

[illegible], Complainant,

-vs-

[illegible] Royalty Corporation, et al, Defendants.

[illegible]

[illegible] the 15th day of June, 1931, [illegible] settlement heretofore made between the [illegible] of the Monarch [illegible] Corporation and the [illegible] Gas Company, the [illegible] Gas Company indebted at this time to said Monarch Royalty Corporation [illegible] receiver [illegible], in the sum of [illegible].00;

And it further appearing that the parties [illegible] the [illegible] and dates of payment of said indebtedness, and that said [illegible] is satisfactory to the Receiver, and for the best interest of [illegible] receivership;

IT IS, [illegible], [illegible] the said James [illegible] Harris, Receiver, be, and he is hereby, authorized to [illegible] from the [illegible] Gas Company, in lieu of the [illegible] by him, [illegible], [illegible] 1931, [illegible] .00, [illegible] respectively, July [illegible], September [illegible] and November [illegible], [illegible], and January [illegible] March [illegible], 1932, and the said James [illegible] Harris, Receiver, [illegible] notes so executed by the [illegible] the notes or evidence of indebtedness now held by said Receiver, but said [illegible] is further ordered to collect as of [illegible], [illegible], the balance past [illegible] 1931, and interest to [illegible], 1931, [illegible] 1931, [illegible] $500.00 above described.

[illegible]

United States District Judge.

[illegible]

[illegible] District Court

[illegible]

[illegible] Plaintiff,

vs.

[illegible] corporation, [illegible] Defendants.

[illegible]

O[illegible].

On application of [illegible] Bartman, receiver of the assets and operties of the defendants, [illegible] Hincks and Effie [illegible] Hincks, [illegible] for good [illegible] shown,

[illegible] that the said [illegible] Bartman, as receiver said defendants, be and he is hereby authorized to institute [illegible] court replevin suit against Henry [illegible] and [illegible] Morris [illegible], as an-llary to this action, for the possession of the following described personal [illegible], to-wit:

Two diamond rings, [illegible] of [illegible],000.[illegible].

Certificate No. [illegible] of the Hincks Hotel [illegible] for the [illegible] one-half shares of capital stock [illegible] the said Hincks Hotel Company, originally issued to Effie [illegible] Hincks under date of [illegible];

Certificate No. [illegible] of the Hincks Hotel [illegible] for [illegible] shares of capital stock [illegible] the [illegible] Hincks Hotel Company, originally issued to [illegible] Hincks under date of [illegible];

Certificate No. [illegible] of the Hincks Hotel [illegible] for [illegible] shares of capital stock [illegible] the said Hincks Hotel Company, originally issued to [illegible] Hincks under date of [illegible];

[illegible] 1,[illegible] [illegible] total [illegible] stock, [illegible] 1[illegible], [illegible] actual value of [illegible].

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible], 1941

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

-vs-

[illegible]

[illegible]

corporation, et al,

Defendants.

ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF [illegible] COST AND LABOR [illegible] THE [illegible].

This matter coming on for hearing on this 1[illegible] June, 1941, on application of [illegible] L. Taylor, receiver of the defendant Paulpa Fuel [illegible], and general manager of the Paulpa Gas Company, [illegible] order herein [illegible] and confirming the action of said receiver in employing [illegible] to audit the books and records of the said defendant Paulpa Fuel Company, and also the Paulpa Gas Company, and [illegible] authority to pay said [illegible] and L. C. Lingo for services [illegible].

[illegible] it appearing to the court that [illegible] and L. C. Lingo have heretofore been employed by the said [illegible] Taylor, receiver of the Paulpa Fuel Company, and also general manager of the Paulpa Gas Company, [illegible] to audit the books and records of said companies, [illegible] to make a report [illegible] return for [illegible], to [illegible] Collector of Internal Revenue of [illegible], [illegible] and [illegible] Lingo have completed said audit [illegible] and have rendered a bill in the [illegible] for [illegible] Sixty Dollars ($[illegible].00) for their services for such [illegible],

[illegible] it appearing to the court that the charges made by [illegible] are fair and reasonable, [illegible] have been approved [illegible], [illegible] payment of such [illegible], the sum of [illegible] Hundred Ten Dollars ($[illegible]) out of the funds [illegible], Paulpa Fuel Company.

[illegible] the action of the said [illegible] Taylor, in employing [illegible] and L. C. Lingo [illegible] Paulpa Gas Company, in [illegible] and to pay the [illegible] for the [illegible] and the same is [illegible] and confirmed.

[illegible] the amount charged by [illegible] and L. C. Lingo [illegible] reasonable, namely the sum of Two Hundred Sixty Dollars ($[illegible].00), from the sum of Four Hundred Sixty [illegible] Dollars ($[illegible]) in the hands of said receiver, and [illegible] the balance of the funds in the hands of said receiver, [illegible] the Two Hundred Sixty Dollars ($[illegible]) of said sum is a proper [illegible], and should be paid [illegible] the Paulpa Gas Company by the receipt of said [illegible] L. Taylor, receiver.

[illegible] it is ORDERED that the said [illegible] L. Taylor, as receiver [illegible] Paulpa Fuel Company, [illegible], be and he is hereby, [illegible] ordered and directed [illegible] and L. C. Lingo [illegible] Two Hundred Sixty Dollars ($[illegible]), [illegible] the balance [illegible] the [illegible] of said

[illegible] defendant [illegible] appear [illegible] defendant, [illegible].

[illegible] that the [illegible], [illegible] and [illegible] di-
rected [illegible] ($ 200.00) [illegible] for the [illegible] plaintiff.

[illegible]
Judge.

[illegible]: Filed [illegible] 12 [illegible]
[illegible], Clerk
[illegible] District Court [illegible]

[illegible]

Present: [illegible]

[illegible], the following [illegible] entered, [illegible]:

[illegible], Plaintiff, -vs- [illegible], Defendant.	No. [illegible]

[illegible] on this 15th day of June, A. D. 19[illegible], [illegible] styled cause [illegible] on for trial. [illegible] sides present and [illegible] trial, [illegible] Company and [illegible] Construction [illegible] Company of New York. Thereafter, the following [illegible] and [illegible] for the [illegible]: [illegible], [illegible] rests. [illegible] to evidence introduced by the [illegible], [illegible] demurrer is [illegible] Court overruled, [illegible] thereafter, after [illegible] the [illegible] ised, it [illegible] ordered by the Court [illegible] case as to [illegible] be [illegible] dismissed. [illegible] Moreover, it [illegible] that [illegible] (30) days [illegible] to prepare [illegible] of exceptions, [illegible] to be made. [illegible] fifteen [illegible] to make [illegible], all [illegible] entry to be filed.

[illegible]

[illegible] States of America, Complainant, vs. [illegible], et al, Defendants,	No. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] this 18th [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] Attorney, [illegible] to the [illegible] Bill [illegible] notice [illegible] 192[illegible], [illegible], addressed [illegible] and signed by [illegible] instead of the notice [illegible] attached [illegible] Bill, [illegible] the court finds that said [illegible] to [illegible] bill by attaching a copy of the notice, [illegible] without notice, [illegible] said bill [illegible] waived, [illegible]

[illegible]: Filed [illegible]

[illegible], Clerk

[illegible] District Court

[illegible] Judge.

[illegible]

[illegible], [illegible], [illegible] 15, 1931

[illegible], Plaintiff,

-vs- No. 6[illegible] Equity

[illegible], [illegible], Defendants

[illegible] on this 15th day of June, [illegible], it is ordered by the [illegible] that restraining order be, and the same is hereby, continued in force [illegible] effect to June 16, 1931. (F.E.N. Judge).

Court [illegible].

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, June 16th, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, viz:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

riett Hosey, et-al.,	Plaintiffs,	
vs.		No. 238 Equity.
es A.Chapman, et-al.,	Defendants,	
ly Tolon, nee Eagle, et-al.,	Intervenors.	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHICH TO LODGE APPEAL

Now on this the 16 day of June, 1931, upon application of the nt appellants and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered and directed t the time within which to lodge appeal and transcript of record on appeal the United States Circuit Court of Appeals within and for the Tenth Circuit and the same is hereby extended, for a period of ninety days in addition to time heretofore given within which said appeal may be lodged.

WITNESS my hand this the 16th day of June, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ORDERED: Filed Jun 16 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

SISSIPPI VALLEY TRUST COMPANY, Plaintiff, orporation, Trustee,		
-vs-		No. 476 - EQUITY.
ROMA UNION RAILWAY COMPANY, a oration,	Defendant.	

O R D E R

On this 15th day of June, 1931, on application of the plaintiff in, and for good cause shown,

IT IS ORDERED that the time within which the sale of the property

the defendant may be held pursuant to the final decree entered May 1, 1930, d the order entered June 25, 1930, be, and the same is hereby extended to cember 31, 1931.

F. E. KEHRAMER
United States District Judge.

H. T. [illegible] MIERCE
[illegible] A. [illegible]SCHMIDT
Solicitors for Plaintiff

DORSED: Filed Jun 16 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

e Proctor & Gamble Company, corporation, et al,	Plaintiffs,	
vs.		No. 612 Equity ✔
rnes-Manley Wet Wash Laundry mpany, a corporation, doing siness as the Barnes - Manley undry,	Defendant.	

O R D E R

Now, to-wit, on this 16 day of June, 1931, the complainant herein les its motion for an order for certain officers of the defendant co pany to swer certain interrogatories attached to said motion, and upon consideration said motion it is by the court ordered that said motion be sustained, and at the officers of the defendant corporation therein named answer the said terrogatories as prayed in said motion, within fifteen (15) days from the serce of this order by copy served upon solicitors of defendant.

F. E. KEHRAMER
Judge.

DORSED: Filed Jun 16 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court MR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] RICHARDSON,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 621 Equity. ✔
[illegible] COMPANY,	Defendant.	

O R D E R

It appearing to the court that the officers of the Sapulpa Fuel mpany and of the Sapulpa Gas Company have requested that Leon S. Hirsh, Esq., retained to represent them as against the intervening petition of the Union tional Bank of Wichita, Kansas, and as against the Bill in Intervention of lph H. Kirkland, Trustee, and have indicated such preference to Eben L. Tay-r, Receiver for the Sapulpa Fuel Company and General Manager of the Sapulpa s Company;

IT IS ORDERED, BY THE COURT, that Eben L. Taylor, as General nager of the Sapulpa Gas Company, be and he hereby is authorized to employ on S. Hirsh, Esq., as attorney for the said Company in said matters and to ntract with and pay him attorney's fees as attorney for the Sapulpa Gas mpany.

Dated this 16 day of June, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

DORSED: Filed Jun 16 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR AND IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

NE ASHELL RICHARDSON	PLAINTIFF	
VS		IN EQUITY NO. 621
PULPA FUEL COMPANY, ET AL,	DEFENDANT	

O R D E R

This matter coming on to be heard before the undersigned District dge in open court, present Messrs. Peo & Lundy for the Southwestern Natural s Company, and Leon S. Hirsh for the receiver heretofore appointed herein, d the receiver having applied to the court for an order permitting him to re-ct the contract executed by the Sapulpa Fuel Company and pleaded by the uthwestern Natural Gas Company in its petition in intervention.

It is by the court ordered that the receiver for the Sapulpa Fuel mpany do reject the said contract.

It is further ordered that the receiver pay to the Southwestern tural Gas Company out of the funds in his hands as such receiver a sum equal twelve (12¢) cents per thousand cubic feet for gas furnished to the receiver the Southwestern Natural Gas Company since the 25th day of March, 1931, and continue to pay such sum for gas so furnished on the 15th day of each month r gas so furnished during the preceeding calendar month until further order this court.

Provided, however, that this order shall not be construed to ejudice the rights of either party to contest the amount which the receiver ould pay or to assert credits as against such amount or the continuance of

vice., in proper proceedings.

Dated this 16th day of June, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

. LEON S. HIRSH
. E. J. LUNDY

ORSED: Filed Jun 16 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

E HASKELL RICHARDSON,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 621 In Equity.
ULPA FUEL COMPANY,	Defendant.	

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED, BY THE COURT that the Sapulpa Fuel Company, the ulpa Gas Company, and the Investors Utility Company do have an extension of days from this date within which to plead to the intervening Petition of Union National Bank of Wichita, Kansas.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the said parties do have an ex-sion of fifteen days from this date within which to plead to the Bill in ervention of Ralph . Kirkland, Trustee.

Dated this 16 day of June, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ORDERED: Filed Jun 16 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ry R. Adams, et al.,	Complainants	
Vs.		No. 642, Equity.
ce Tribe of Indians, et al.,	Defendants	

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 16th day of June 1931, same being one of the regular

icial days of the present term of the above entitled court sitting at tlesville, Oklahoma, in the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, this se comes regularly on for hearing on the Application of the complainants for Appointment of a Receiver as prayed for in their bill of complaint and on Motion of the Complainants that order of the court directing service to be e upon Ray Lyman Wilbur, Secretary of the Interior, and Charles J. Rhodes, missioner of Indian Affairs, also on the Motions of each and all of the residents to dismiss the bill of complaint;

The complainants appear by their attorneys F. E. Riddle, and combe, Lohman and Barney, and the respondents appear by their respective orneys of record, John M. Goldesberry, United States District Attorney, is N. Stivers, Osage tribal attorney, Leahy, MacDonald and Files, special orneys for the Osage Tribe of Indians, B. W. Griffith, attorney for The as Company, and W. F. McGinnis and Fred M. Carter, attorneys for the Indian ritory Illuminating Oil Company;

The court hears the argument of the respective counsel in support the application for appointment of receiver and in support of the motion for order directing that service be had on Ray Lyman Wilbur, Secretary of the erior, and Charles J. Rhodes, Commissioner of Indian Affairs, and in support the various motions to dismiss filed for and on behalf of the respondents, being fully advised in the premises finds that the application of the cominants for the appointment of a receiver should be denied for the reason t the bill of complaint filed by said complainants is without equity, and t the said complainants are not entitled to any relief whatsoever thereunder; said Ray Lyman Wilbur, Secretary of the Interior, and Charles J. Rhodes, missioner of Indian Affairs, are not necessary parties to this action, and t the said bill of complaint states no cause of action against said Secretary the Interior and Commissioner of Indian Affairs, and said motion should refore be denied; that the motions to dismiss the bill of complaint filed ein on behalf of the respective respondents should be sustained for the sons set forth in said motions;

It is therefore by the court considered, ordered and adjudged that application for the appointment of a receiver made by the complainants and the same is hereby denied, to which order and ruling of the court the plainants except and the exception is allowed; that the motion of the cominants for an order directing that service of process be made upon the secary of the Interior and the Commissioner of Indian Affairs be and the same hereby denied, to which order and ruling of the court the complainants and of them except, and the exceptions are allowed; that each and all the mois of the respective respondents be and the same are hereby sustained and bill of complaint filed by the complainants is hereby dismissed, to which r and ruling of the court the complainants and each of them except and the eptions are allowed.

[illegible]
JUDGE

OVED BY
. RIDDLE
OMBE LOHMAN & BARNEY
RNEYS FOR COMPLAINANTS

M. GOLDESBERRY
ed States District Attorney

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
ITY SESSION BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 1931

. STIVERS
e Tribal Attorney
SY MACDONALD & FILES
cial Attorneys for the Osage Tribe of Indians
. McGINNIS
D . . CARTER
orneys for I.T.A.C. Co.

. . GRIFFITH (By WLM)
orney for The Texas Company.

ORSED: Filed Jun 16 1931
N. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

C. Mullendore, et al,	Complainants,	
vs.		No. 643 - Equity ✔
ge Tribe of Indians, et al,	Defendants.	

ORDER MAKING NEW PARTIES DEFENDANT.

Now, on this 16th day of June, 1931, the above entitled matter es on for hearing on the application of the Pure Oil Company, a corporation, Sinclair Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, to make additional parties endant. The court, upon consideration, finds that said defendants have etofore filed an answer, in which they set forth a counter-claim arising of the transaction which is the subject matter of this suit, and that in determination of said counter-claim complete relief cannot be granted with- the presence of parties other than those to the bill and finding that the lication in that behalf, by the above named defendants, should be granted that the court should order said parties to be brought in as defendants,

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged that the fol- ing parties, to-wit:

Ruby Harvey, Mary Harvey, Irene Bennett, Isabella Bennett, Tere- Bennett, William D.Bennett, James Maker, To-ho-ah, Fred G. Drummond, R. C. mmond, Beatrice Whitewing, Oscar Whitewing, E-ne-ke-op-pe, Louis James, Amos ge, Eliza Osage, Me-to-op-pe, Carl C. Craddock and Mildred L. Craddock, be they are hereby brought in this case ad defendants, and that the process of poena be issued to said parties, and each of them, upon the application of Pure Oil Company, a corporation, and Sinclair Oil and Gas Company, a cor- ration.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

ORDER: Filed Jun 16 1931
N. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

S. Mullendore, et al.	Complainants	
Vs.		No. 643 Equity.
ge Tribe of Indians, et al.	Defendants.	

O R D E R

The above entitled matter coming on for hearing this 16th day of e, 1931, upon the application of the complainants herein for the Appointment a Receiver pendente lite, the complainants appear by their attorneys, F.E. dle and Holcombe, Lohman and Barney, the defendants, The pure Oil Company, a poration, and Sinclair Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, appear by their orneys, Alvin Richards, F. A. Calver, J. H. Maxey, Summers Hardy and W. O. ll, and both parties answering ready, the matter is submitted upon the bill answer, and upon due consideration the court finds that said Application the Appointment of a Receiver pendente lite should be denied;

It is therefore by the court considered ordered and adjudged that Application of the complainants for the Appointment of a Receiver pendente e be and the same is hereby denied, to which the complainants and each of n except.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

. as to form
F. E. MIDDLE,
HOLCOMBE, LOHMAN & BARNEY
Attorney for Complainants.

J. H. MAXEY

ORSED: Filed Jun 16 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

S. MULLENDORE, ET AL.,	Plaintiffs,	
vs		No. 643 Equity.
e Tribe of Indians, et al,	Defendants.	

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this the 16th day of June, 1931, the same being one of the ular judicial days of the present term of the above entitled court sitting Bartlesville, Oklahoma, this cause comes on for hearing upon the motion he complainants for an order of the court directing service to be made upon Lyman Wilbur, Secretary of the Interior and Charles J. Rhodes, Commissioner

Indian Affairs, and also upon the motion to dismiss as to the Osage Tribe Indians, Fred Lookout, Chief, Harry Kopsy, Assistant Chief, Franklin Revard, ;retary, and Sam Kennedy, George Alberty, Clement DeNoya, Frank Lessert, Dick ;semoie, Charles Brown, Frances Revard and Simon Henderson, constituting : Osage Tribal Council, and Ray Lyman Wilbur, Secretary of the Interior, arles J. Rhodes, Commissioner of Indian Affairs and R. E. Murphy, Superinten- it of the Osage Indian Agency.

The complainants appearing by their attorneys, F. E. Riddle and .comb, Lohman and Barney, and the respondents appearing by their respective ;orneys of record, John W. Goldesberry, United States District Attorney, ais M. Stivers, Osage Tribal Attorney and Leahy, Macdonald and Files, Special ;orneys for the Osage Tribe of Indians:

The court hears the argument of the respective counsel in sup- rt of the motion for an order directing that service be had on Ray Lyman lbur, Secretary of the Interior and Charles J. Rhodes, Commissioner of Indian Fairs, and being fully advised in the premises finds, that said motion should denied. The court also finds that said complainants are not entitled to relief whatsoever as against the Osage Tribe of Indians, Fred Lookout, lef, Harry Kopay, Assistant Chief, Franklin Revard, Secretary, and Sam nnedy, George Alberty, Clement DeNoya, Frank Lessert, Dick Petsemoie, Charles own, Frances Revard and Simon Henderson, constituting the Osage Tribal Council, l they are not necessary parties to this action, and that said motion to smiss as to them should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the mo- on of the complainants for an order directing that service of process be de upon the Secretary of the Interior and the Commissioner of Indian Affairs and the same is hereby denied, to which order and ruling of the court the nplainants, and each of them, except and the exceptions are allowed. That e motion to dismiss as to the Osage Tribe of Indians and others (above named) and the same is hereby sustained, to which order and ruling of the court e complainants, and each of them, except and their exceptions are allowed.

F. E. RIDDLE
JUDGE.

.. F. E. RIDDLE
HOLCOMB, LOHMAN & BARNEY
Attorneys for Complainants.

JNO. W. GOLDESBERRY
United States District Attorney
LEAHY MACDONALD & FILES
Special Attorneys for the Osage Tribe of Indians.
.. M. STIVERS
Osage Tribal Attorney

NOTED: Filed Jun 16 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

HELD SESSION BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ted States of America, Complainant, vs n Wagoshe, John Bruce, John Abbott, d Thompson Supernaw, James Perrier, eph William Pearson, Irene Bennett, lard DeNoya, E. T. Kennedy, John S. Irwin, ert Stuart, Ed. Talley, Roy D. Stone, Eula Hand, Levine, Midland Oil Company, a corporation, N. Brown, Glen Skinner, Alfred A. Drummond, ie E. Day, J. L. Simpson, H. E. West, Trustee, A. Ladd, Betty Ladd, R. L. Hall, Albert L. man, W. G. Barnard, Henry Vaden, Jr., lendore Trust Company, a corporation, Fred G. mmond, C. C. Craddock, Fred Rowe, William F. gins, E. E. Grinstead, LeMoyne Stroud, d Spurr, Maggie J. Ebert, Sam W. Stroud, rles D. Stroud, Joseph D. Mitchell, Olin is, Isabella Bennett, J. A. Presbury, . Stuart, R. C. Drummond, Hlu-ah-to-me, a Mohogla, Wah-ko-sah-moie, Hattie Smitherman, rles .. Labadie, Robert E. Labadie, e Mason, E. C. Mullendore, Magella Whitewing, nie E. Martin, guardian of Fred Roan Martin, inor, and Henry R. Adams, Respondents.	No. 644 - Equity.

ORDER PERMITTING THE PURE OIL COMPANY TO FILE BILL OF INTERVENTION.

Now on this 16th day of June, 1931, the above cause coming on re-arly in its order, upon the separate motion of The Pure Oil Company, a cor-ation, for leave to intervene herein, and the court after having heard and sidered said motion, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that motion should be sustained.

WHEREFORE, it is by the court ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED t said motion be, and the same is hereby, sustained, and The Pure Oil Com-y is hereby granted leave to file its bill of intervention herein as one of plaintiffs in this cause.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

. JNO. . GOLDSBERRY
U. S. Dist. Atty.

ORSED: Filed Jun 16 1931
N. P. Warfield, Clerk
. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ITH SESSION BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 1931

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ted States of America, Complaint,

-vs-

n Wagoshe, John Bruce, John Abbott, d Thompson Supernaw, James Perrier, Joseph liam Pearson, Irene Bennett, Millard oya, E. T. Kennedy, John S. Irwin, ert Stuart, Ed. Talley, Roy D. Stone, a Hand, N. Levine, Midland Oil Company, orporation, A. H. Brown, Glen Skinner, red A. Drummond, Elsie E. Day, J. L. pson, R. E. West, Trustee, J. A. Ladd, ty Ladd, R. L. Hall, Albert L. Newman, G. Barnard, Henry Vaden, Jr., Mullen- e Trust Company, a corporation, Fred Drummond, C. C. Craddock, Fred Rowe, liam P. Higgins, E. E. Grinstead, oyne Stroud, Maud Spurr, Maggie J. rt, Sam W. Stroud, Charles D. Stroud, seph D. Mitchell, Olin Lewis, Isabella nett, J. A. Presbury, C. F. Stuart, C. Drummond, Blu-ah-to-me, Dora Mohogla, a-ko-sah-moie, Hattie Smitherman, Charles Labadie, Robert E. Labadie, Rose Mason, C. Mullendore, Magella Whitewing, Min- E. Martin, guardian of Fred Roan Mar- , a minor, and Henry R. Adams, Respondents.

No. 644 Equity.

O R D E R

Sinclair Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, is granted leave to le bill of intervention herein instanter, and to intervene as a plaintiff rein.

DATED: June 16, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

DORSED: Filed Jun 16 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
. S. District Court NE

ITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

-vs-

EN WAGOSHE, ET AL., Defendants.

No. 644 - Equity.

Now on this 16th day of June, A.D. 1931, it is ordered by the urt that leave be granted Defendants to file Motion to vacate temporary straining order.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ed States of America	Complainant)	
	)	
vs.	)	No. 644 - Equity ✓
	)	
Wagoshe, et al.	Defendants)	

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 16th day of June, 1931, same being one of the regular ial days of the present term of the above entitled court sitting at Bartlle, Oklahoma, in the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, this cause s regularly on for hearing on the application of the complainant for a temry injunction, as prayed for in complainant's bill of complaint, and the on of the respondents, Henry R. Adams, E. C. Mullendore, Henry Vaden, Maggie bert, Olin Lewis, J. A. Presbury, Robert Stuart, C. F. Stuart, R. C. Drum- E. E. Grinstead, C. C. Craddock, Fred G. Drummond, Majella Whitewing, e E. Martin, Guardian of Fred Roan Martin, William F. Higgins, Mullendore Company, a corporation, Alfred A. Drummond, Ireme Bennett and Isabel tt, to vacate temporary restraining order and to deny the issuance of an ction and to dismiss as to the respondents above named in this order for of authority in the United States to prosecute this suit as to them and properties and for lack of equity the complaint appears by its attorney ounsellor, John M. Goldesberry, United States District Attorney, and Louis ivers, Osage Tribal Attorney, and Leahy, MacDonald and Miles, special neys for the Osage Tribe of Indians, and the respondents appear by M. E. e and Holcombe, Lohman and Barney, their attorneys;

The court hears the argument of the respective counsel for and st the complainant's application for a temporary injunction and for and st the motion of said respondents to vacate the temporary restraining ereinbefore issued and to deny the issuance of an injunction and to dis- the bill of complaint as to said respondents, and being fully advised in remises the court finds that the complainant is entitled to a temporary ction pending the final determination of this action as prayed for in its of complaint, and that the motion of said respondents to vacate the temy restraining order and to deny the issuance of an injunction and to dis- this action as to the respondents named should be denied;

It is therefore by the court considered ordered and adjudged that aid respondents above named and for whom appearance was made in this hear- and all other persons, firms and corporations similarly situated with them imilarly interested with them, be and they hereby are enjoined during the ncy of this action and until the further order of this court from setting making any claim whatsoever, directly or indirectly, in or to any of the gas and other minerals heretofore extracted or mined, now being extracted ned, or that may hereafter be extracted or mined from any of the said lands age County, Oklahoma, mentioned and set out in complainant's complaint, om setting up, claiming, or making any claim, directly or indirectly, in or e said leashold estates mentioned in complainant's complaint, that will in ay or manner, directly or indirectly, interfere with the complainant gh its lessees, as its instrumentalities and agencies, from operating said s, extracting the oil and gas from the lands covered by said leases, mar- and selling said oil and gas and other minerals, and applying the pro- thereof in conformity to and in accordance with the leases held by said es, the laws of the United States, and the rules and regulations promul-

ed by the Secretary of the Interior incident thereto, and that the said pondents be further enjoined and restrained during the pendency of this ac- n and until the further order of this court from in any way or manner, di- tly or indirectly, interfering with or molesting this complainant, the ted States of America, its administrative officers and employees, its in- umentalities or agencies, from the proper execution of its trust on behalf its wards, said Osage Tribe of Indians, and its policies and duties with erence thereto; to which order and judgment of the court the said responden- and each of them except, and the exceptions are allowed.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the ion of the said respondents to vacate the temporary restraining order here- efore issued in this case and to deny the issuance of an injunction and to miss as to said respondents named in respondents' motion for lack of auth- ty in the United States to prosecute this suit as to them and their property for lack of equity and the same is hereby denied, to which order and decree the court said respondents and each of them except, and the exceptions are owed.

It is further ordered and decreed by the court that the said pondents above named be and they are hereby given fifteen days from this e in which to answer complainant's bill of complaint.

[illegible]
JUDGE

[illegible]: [illegible] GOLDESBERRY
United States District Attorney
LOUIS [illegible] STIVERS
Osage Tribal Attorney
LEARY MACDONALD & FILES
Special Attorneys for the Osage Tribe of Indians

[illegible]
MOLCOMBE, LO MAN & BARNEY
Attorneys for Respondents named

ORDERED: Filed Jun 16 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court [illegible]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [illegible]
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible]rles F. Farbrough, et al,	Complainants	
vs		No. 645 Equity
[illegible]ge Tribe of Indians, et al.	Defendants.	

JUDGMENT ENTRY

Now on this 16th day of June, 1931, same being one of the regu- r judicial days of the present term of the above entitled court sitting at [illegible]tlesville, Oklahoma, in the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, this

ry Warfield of Tulsa, Oklahoma, be and he hereby is appointed receiver of all singular the properties covered by said trust deed and chattel mortgage indentures supplemental thereto, more particularly described as follows:

> The East three hundred forty (340) feet of Lots One (1), two (2) and three (3), Block two (2), in River Ford Addition to the Town of Tulsa, Indian Territory, now in the State of Oklahoma, as said lots are marked and designated upon the recorded plat thereof;
>
> together with any and all buildings, improvements and appurtenances, upon said land or any part thereof including all boilers, dynamos, motors, screens, curtain fixtures, window shades, awnings, wall beds, door beds, ice boxes, ranges, furnaces, kitchen equipment, vacuum cleaners, refrigerating, heating, plumbing, ventilating, gas and electric light fixtures, elevators and fittings, and machinery, appliances, plants, apparatus, equipment and fittings and fixtures ofevery kind in any building or buildings on said premises; and together with all and singular the personal property of every kind, nature and sort whatsoever, situated in said building or buildings on said above described premises, or used in connection with the conduct or operation of a hotel or kitchenette apartment hotel or apartment hotel or garage in said building or buildings, including therein among other items of personal property, any and all furniture, furnishings, equipment, bedding, linens towels, dishes, cooking and kitchen utensils and equipment, glassware, silverware, plated ware, carpets, rugs, office furniture, works of art, pianos, pictures, paintings, statues, lamps, radio apparatus, equipment and instruments, belting, motors, tools, (including among others, hand tools), air compressing machines, show cases, gasoline and oil pumps, vulcanizing equipment, lathes, tanks, electrical appliances, service and other trucks, automobile, carts and wagons,

the income, rents and revenues therefrom.

Second. That the receiver shall, before entering upon his duties under, give and file with the Court a bond in the penal sum of Ten Thousand ars ($10,000.00) with surety or sureties approved by this Court or the Clerk reof, and conditioned that he will faithfully perform his duties as rever herein, and well and truly account for any and all moneys or property ng into his hands as such receiver, and abide and perform all things, which s herein or may hereafter be directed to perform in this cause.

Third. That upon the filing and approval of said bond, the said eiver be and he is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to take imate possession of all and singular the aforesaid properties, and until the her order of this Court to continue the operation of same, and to manage, con , operate, conserve and maintain said property and collect the income, rents revenues therefrom. All of which is to be done until the further order of Court, as heretofore done, run and operated by the said defendant, Upper mbia Company, a corporation; but

The Court expressly reserves to itself the right to pass upon, rove, disapprove, disavow and cancel any and all leases, arrangements and tracts of every nature, kind and description under or by virtue of which the d defendant has been or is now operating any of said properties; and nothing ein contained shall be construed or taken as in any way accepting, approving, isfying or adopting any such lease, arrangement or contract.

Said receiver shall be allowed six months from the date of this er (unless the time be extended by the further order of this Court) within ch to elect to adopt or continue in force or refuse to adopt or continue in ce, any lease, arrangment or contract not fully performed, which may be in- led in the property of which he is appointed receiver, whether made by the d defendant, Upper Columbia Company, a corporation, or by any predecessor said defendant. Such election may be made from time to time, and shall be e by instrument in writing subscribed by said receiver and filed in the office the Clerk of this Court, and no conduct or user of rights by said receiver or ments or collections made by said receiver, or any other acts or omissions said receiver within said period of six months unaccompanied by the filing said written instruments, shall be deemed to conclude said receiver in res- t to such election or be deemed to constitute an election to adopt or con- ue in force any such contract, lease or agreement.

The receiver shall exercise all such powers as are usually exer- ed by receivers and all such as are necessary or convenient to the proper luct by him of the business heretofore conducted on said premises, and he l discharge all such duties as are within the line, scope or purpose of his pointment, with full power to rent said premises for any terms approved by Court and to dispossess tenants and to apply the moneys collected to the ment of reasonable compensation for his and his attorneys' and counsel's vices as fixed by the Court, to the payment of the expenses and charges of rating and maintaining said premises, including Agents' commissions, taxes, essments, water rates upon said premises, and insurance premiums for a cus- ary period or term of insurance, repairs and supplies and to operate and y on the business heretofore conducted on said premises as completely and strictedly as would the mortgagor and/or his successor in interest prior to e proceedings and to incur obligations and to issue Receiver's Certificates such purposes, in such amounts, at such times, for such maturities, and at rate of interest as the court shall authorize.

Fourth. That the said defendant, Upper Columbia Company, a cor- tion, and each and every of its officers, directors, agents and employees, all other persons, associations and corporations are now and hereby ordered, anded and directed to turn over and delivery to such receiver, or his duly tituted representative, any and all of the aforesaid properties, together all records, documents, books, papers and accounts relating thereto and erties of every kind and nature connected with same or the operation of same ertaining to, or arising out of, or being a part of the income from same now hich may hereafter come into its or their hands, control or possession; and

That the said defendant, Upper Columbia Company, a corporation, each and every of its officers, directors, agents and employees and all r persons, associations and corporations are now and hereby ordered, comman- and directed to obey and to conform to such orders as may be given to them time to time by such receiver (or his duly constituted representative) ontinuing the operation of said business and discharging the labors and es of such receiver; and

That said defendant, Upper Columbia Company, a corporation, and ch and every of its officers, directors, agents and employees and all other rsons, associations and corporations be and they are now and hereby restrained d enjoined during the pendency of this cause and the administration of the said eiver from transferring, selling, disposing of, or interfering with any of said properties and from taking possession of or in any way interfering with same or any part thereof, and from disturbing, preventing or in any way erfering with the receiver in his possession, control, operation or manage- nt of said property or any part thereof, over which the said receiver is here- appointed as such, and from disturbing, preventing, or in any way interfering ch the receiver in the discharge of his duties hereunder.

Fifth. The receiver in operating, conducting and managing the id properties is, until further order of this Court, hereby authorized and owered:

(a) To manage and operate the said properties in such manner as l in his judgment produce the most satisfactory results;

(b) To collect and receive all incomes from said properties and ts, accounts, choses in action and revenue, arising out of pertaining to or nected with the said properties, the operation of same or the income there- m.

(c) To employ and discharge and fix the compensation of all such loyees as are in the judgment of the receiver deemed necessary to aid in the scharge of his duties herein authorized.

(d) To institute and prosecute such suits as may be necessary the judgment of the receiver to protect the property and trust hereby vested him and to likewise defend all such suits and actions as may be instituted inst him as receiver and also to assume and undertake the prosecution or de- se of any and all suits affecting the said properties or any of them, or the ome therefrom, or as he may deem necessary in the proper management, oper- on and protection of the said properties, but all attorneys' fees therein in- red are to be subject to the approval of this Court.

(e) To keep the said properties hereby placed in his possession contr l insured in the same manner and to the same extent as it was insured the said defendant company, or as in the judgment of the receiver may seem and proper.

(f) To preserve the said properties in good order and condition, e all needed repairs and replacements thereon;

Sixth. That until further ordered by this Court, the receiver ll, out of and from the income, rents and revenues coming into his hands, and discharge all of the expenses of the receivership and of the operation maintena ce of the said property, where authorized by the Court, including taxes and charges in the nature thereof lawfully imposed upon the said perties.

Seventh. That the receiver acquaint himself at once with the ent and condition of the said properties, and within thirty days from the e hereof make and file with this Court a full and comprehensive inventory triplicate showing the property in his hands.

Eighth. That A. B. Honnold, having been selected by the receiver, and he hereby is appointed the attorney and counselor for the receiver at a salary and compensation as may be hereafter allowed him by the court.

Ninth. That the receiver on the 1st day of July 1931, and arterly thereafter until the further order of this court file itemized reports wing his receipts and disbursements.

Tenth. Full right and power are hereby expressly reserved by the rt to make such other and further orders herein as may hereafter from time time be deemed necessary or proper.

Dated at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, June 22, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

DORSED: Filed Jun 22 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until June 23, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, June 23rd,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,

it:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

First National Bank of Chicago, et al, Plaintiffs, vs. ral Coal and Coke Company, et al, Defendants.	IN EQUITY CONSOLIDATED CAUSE NO. 6 0 4

ORDER AMENDING BILL OF COMPLAINT OF
FIRST UNION TRUST AND SAVINGS
BANK, ET AL., TRUSTEES.

The above entitled consolidated cause came on further to be heard he 23 day of June, 1931, upon the petition of First Union Trust and Savings , The Michigan Trust Company, Fidelity National Bank and Trust Company and in A. Traylor, as trustees under the first mortgage deed of trust of Central and Coke Company and Delta Land & Timber Company and indenture supplemental etc, and upon due notice to all parties and upon filing of said petition and consideration thereof, due deliberate having been had, it is

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the bill of complaint of First n Trust and Savings Bank, the Michigan Trust Company, Fidelity National and Trust Company and Melvin a Traylor, as trustees, as aforesaid, is here- mended so that the following corrections are made at the following places aid bill of complaint;

(a) In Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the twelfth line from the bot- of Page 3, the word "in" should read "is".

(b) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the twenty-first line from top of Page 30, the word "hereinabove" should read "hereinbefore."

(c) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the fourth line from bottom of Page 33, the word "The" at the beginning of said line should read '.

(d) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the second line from top of Page 49, the word "quarter" should ready "Quarter quarter".

(e) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the twelfth line from the of Page 60, the word "hereof" should read "thereof".

(f) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage", in the ninth line from the of Page 69, the word "northwest" should read "southwest."

(g) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the first line of para-h "G" of Page 73, the word "and" should read "or".

(h) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the twenty-first line the top of Page 117, the word "iches" should read "inches".

(i) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the fourth line from top of Page 132, the word "at" should read "As".

(j) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the eleventh line from bottom of Page 172, the word "hesolution" should read "resolution".

(k) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the fifth line from bottom of Page 173, the word assignments" should read "assignment".

(l) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the thirteenth line the top of Page 176, the word "ge" should read "be".

(m) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the fourteenth line the bottom of Page 206, the word "rights" should ready "right".

(n) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the ninth line from the om of Page 221, the word "witness" should read "witnesses".

(o) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the seventh line from bottom of Page 225, the number "1922" should read "1926".

(p) In said Exhibit "First Mortgage" in the seventh line from bottom of Page 229, the number "10th" should read "10".

(q) In exhibit "Schedule of After Acquired Property" in the d line from the top of Page 5, the word "Southeast" should read "Southwest".

(r) In said Exhibit "Schedule of After Acquired Property" the enth line from the top of Page 5, "Northeast quarter of southwest quarter t. I. & S. T. NR" should read "Northeast quarter of southwest quarter south t. I. & S. T. NR".

(s) In said Exhibit "Schedule of After Acquired Property" in fifteenth line from the top of Page 5, the number "35.37" should read 37".

(t) In Exhibit "Supplemental Indenture" in the ninth line from top of Page 5, "of the S. W. 1/4 and the southeast corner of the S. W. 1/4" ld read "of the S.W. 1/4 and the southeast corner of the S.W. 1/4".

(u) In said Exhibit "Supplemental Indenture" in the ninth line the top of Page 6, the words and figures "and all of Lots 5, Block 8" ld read "and all of Lot 5, Block 8".

(v) In said Exhibit "Supplemental Indenture" in the second line the bottom of Page 8, the word "Dolalr" should read "Dollar".

(w) In said Exhibit "Supplemental Indenture" in the third line the top of Page 14, the word "that" should read "and".

(x) In said Exhibit "Supplemental Indenture" in the third line om the top of Page 16, the word "that" should read "and".

And it is further,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that leave is hereby given solicito- for the plaintiffs to interline said corrections on the original of said ll of complaint on the files of this Court.

ENTER:

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

[illegible] GIBSON
Atty for Recr.

ORDERED: Filed Jun 23 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] W. BREYFOGLE,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 611 Equity ✓
[illegible] WILLOW CORPORATION, corporation, I. S. MINCKS, [illegible] EFFIE [illegible] MINCKS,	Defendants.	

ORDER AUTHORIZING PARTIAL SALE OF ASSETS.

Upon consideration of the petition of T. J. Hartman, the duly pointed, qualified and acting Receiver of the above named defendant, Blue low Corporation, and it appearing to the court that the relief asked for rein should be granted;

IT IS ORDERED by the court that Miles Klima and J. A. Cooley, J. C. Mitchell be and they are hereby appointed appraisers to view the lowing described property and assets of said defendant, namely:

1 Set of used barber shop fixtures and equipment now stored at 109 East 3rd Street in the city of Tulsa, and more particularly described as follows:

6 Hydraulic barber chairs	1 National cash register
Complete back bar with 7 wash bowls and mirror	1 Electric hair dryer
1 Manicuring table	5 Electric side fans
1 Front Mirror - 6 chair	3 Electric Chandeliers
1 Settee	6 Towel urn
1 Chair	1 Manicuring chair
7 stools	2 Manicuring stools
1 Gas Heater	1 Bootlack outfit
	2 Vibrators

[illegible]
[illegible] DISTRICT [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible], [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] [illegible], 1931

1 Small Mosler safe 2 Barber Signs

299 domestic rabbits located on the Blue Willow farm two and one-half miles west of Sperry, Oklahoma,

to file in this court, within five days, their appraisement of the same, said barber shop fixtures and equipment, and the said domestic rabbits to separately appraised by such appraisers.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that T. J. Hartman, receiver herein of defendant, Blue Willow Corporation, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell the barber shop fixtures and equipment above described, at private sale, at a price which shall be not less than One Thousand Dollars ($1,000.00), and the 299 domestic rabbits above described at a price which shall not less than One Hundred Fifty Dollars ($150.00), provided that the price receiver for such property shall not be less than seventy-five per cent the value of the property sold, as fixed by the above named appraisers, and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the receiver file a report as to acts and doings under this order.

[illegible]
U. S. District Judge.

[illegible]: Filed Jun 23 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [illegible]
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff
vs. No. [illegible] Equity
[illegible], [illegible], Respondent.

ORDER GIVING TIME TO PLEAD

On this 22nd day of June, 1931, the respondent, [illegible] Grinstead, given twenty days from June 24th, in which to plead hereunder.

[illegible]
JUDGE

[illegible]: Filed Jun 23 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ted States of America,	Complainant	
vs		No. 644 Equity
Wagoshe, et al,	Respondents	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME WITHIN WHICH
TO FILE ANSWER

For good cause shown Respondent, . G. Barnard, is hereby granted
l July 10, 1931, in which to file answer in the above styled and numbered
se.

W. H. HEN AGER
Judge

RSED: Filed Jun 23 1931
. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ited States of America,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 644 Equity
Wagoshe, et al,	Defendants.	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO ANSWER.

On this 23rd day of June, 1931, it having been made to appear
he Court upon the application of the defendant, Joseph D. Mitchell, that it
ecessary that said Joseph D. Mitchell have additional time in which to answer

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the said defendant, Joseph D. Mit-
l, be and he hereby is granted an extension of fifteen (15) days in which
nswer in the above entitled cause.

W. H. HEN AGER
JUDGE.

RDED: Filed Jun 23 1931
. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

[illegible] DISTRICT [illegible]
[illegible] BARTLESVILLE [illegible] THURSDAY, JUNE 23, 1931

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF [illegible].

[illegible] TRUST COMPANY and Complainants,
[illegible], Trustees, and
[illegible] COMPANY OF TEXAS,
[illegible] corporation,

vs.

[illegible] CORPORATION,
[illegible] OIL COMPANY,
[illegible] OIL COMPANY,
[illegible] TRUSTEE,
[illegible] COMPANY OF MARYLAND,
[illegible]rporation, and SECURITY
COMPANY, a corporation, Defendants.

In Equity ✔

No. 654

ORDER APPOINTING RECEIVER

And now on this 23rd day of June, 1931, this cause came on for [illegible]ing upon the bill of complaint and upon the application of plaintiffs for appointment of a receiver, and it appearing to the Court that due and proper [illegible]ce of said hearing has been given to the defendants, and said bill of com[illegible]nt and application having been duly considered, and the Court having listen[illegible]o the argument of counsel, and being now fully advised in the premises, and Court finding that it has jurisdiction, and further it appearing to the [illegible]t that it is provided in the mortgage or deed of trust to foreclose which bill of complaint in this cause was filed, that upon the filing of a [illegible]closure suit the plaintiffs in such suit should be entitled as of right, [illegible]out notice, to the appointment of a receiver of the mortgaged property and [illegible] the said defendants, Enfisco Oil Corporation, The Goodyear Oil Company and [illegible]ey Jones Oil Company, did thereby irrevocably consent to such appointment; [illegible]t further appearing to the Court that the property of the said defendants, [illegible]sco Oil Corporation, The Goodyear Oil Company and Bailey Jones Oil Company, [illegible]rred to in the bill of complaint herein and covered by the mortgage or deed [illegible]rust therein mentioned and sought to be foreclosed is inadequate security [illegible] the payment of the bonds secured by said mortgage or deed of trust, and [illegible]nadequate security for the protection of the holders of the bonds issued [illegible] said mortgage or deed of trust and now outstanding, and that it is nec[illegible]ry and essential to the protection of the plaintiffs and of the holders of [illegible] bonds outstanding under said mortgage or deed of trust that a receiver be [illegible]pointed to take charge and possession of all of the property of the said de[illegible]dants, Enfisco Oil Corporation, The Goodyear Oil Company and Bailey Jones [illegible] Company, and to manage, control, operate, conserve and maintain said prop[illegible]; and it further appearing to the Court that it is to the best interests [illegible] said bondholders that such receiver be authorized to continue to conduct [illegible] business of the said defendants, Enfisco Oil Corporation, The Goodyear [illegible] Company and Bailey Jones Oil Company;

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed:

First. That the motion for the appointment of a receiver and [illegible] prayer of the bill of complaint for the appointment of a receiver be and the [illegible]e are hereby granted and that R. R. Poe, of Tulsa, Oklahoma be, and he is [illegible]by, appointed receiver of all and singular the assets, lands, tenements [illegible] hereditaments of the defendants, Enfisco Oil Corporation, The Goodyear [illegible] Company and Bailey Jones Oil Company, and all of their property, real, per[illegible]al and mixed, of every nature and kind, including all refineries, gasoline

its, pipe lines, storage tanks, pumps, machinery, appliances, fittings and ipment belonging to or connected therewith; and all oil and gas mining ls, leases and leaseholds and the wells, derricks, drain pipe, casing, tub- machinery, appliances and equipment thereon, connected therewith or be- ing thereto and all leases, contracts, stocks, moneys, bonds, obligations, ses in action, accounts and rights, owned by, belonging to, or due to the endant companies, or any of them; and all rights, franchises, income and pro- s granted to, acquired by or belonging to the defendant companies, or any them.

Second. That the receiver shall, before entering upon his duties under, give and file with the Court a bond in the penal sum of Ten Thousand ars, with the surety or sureties approved by this Court or the Clerk thereof, conditioned that he will faithfully perform his duty as receiver herein, well and truly account for any and all moneys or property coming into his s as such receiver, and abide and perform all things, which he is herein or hereafter be directed to perform in this cause.

Third. That upon the filing and approval of the said bond, the receiver be and he is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to take diate possession of all and singular the refineries, gasoline plants, pipe s, storage tanks, leases, moneys and other property above described or re- ed to wherever the same may be situate or be found, and, until the further r of this Court, to continue the operation of the present business of the ndant companies and every part or portion thereof, and to run, manage, con- and operate such refineries, gasoline plants and property as the defendant anies, or any of them, hold, control or operate under leases, contract ngements or otherwise. All of which is to be done, until the further order he Court, as heretofore done, run and operated by the defendant co panies, ny of them; but,

The Court expressly reserved to itself the right to pass upon, ove, disapprove, disavow and cancel any and all leases, arrangements and racts of every nature, kind and description, under or by virtue of which defendant companies, or any of them, have been or are now operating any of r property, or carrying on any of their business; and nothing herein con- ed shall be considered or taken as in any way accepting, approving, satis- g or adopting any such lease, arrangment or contract.

Said receiver shall be allowed six months from the date of this (unless the time be extended by further order of this Court) within which lect to adopt or continue in force, or refuse to adopt or continue in force, lease, arrangement or contract not fully performed which may be included e property of which he is appointed receiver, whether made by the defendants co Oil Corporation, The Goodyear Oil Company and Bailey Jones Oil Company, y any of them, or by any predecessor co pany of any of said defendants. election may be made from time to time and shall be made by instru ent in ng subscribed by said receiver and filed in the office of the Clerk of Court, and no conduct or user of rights by said receiver or payments made aid receiver as rent or otherwise, or any other acts or omissions of said iver within said period of six months unaccompanied by the filing of such en instrument, shall be deemed to conclude said receiver in respect of such ion or be deemed to constitute an election to adopt or to continue in any such contract, lease or agreement.

The receiver shall exercise all such powers as are usually exer- by receivers and all such as are necessary or convenient to the proper

ct by him of the business of the defendant corporations, and he shall dis-
e all such duties as are within the line, scope or purpose of his
intment.

Fourth. That the defendant corporations and each and every of
r officers, directors, agents and employees and all other persons, associa-
s and corporations are now and hereby ordered, commanded and directed to
over and deliver to such receiver or his duly constituted representative
and all of the refineries, gasoline plants, pipe lines, books of account,
rds, vouchers, deeds, leases, contracts, agreements, notes, accounts, moneys,
k, bonds, obligations and property of every nature and kind, real, personal
mixed, now in or which may hereafter come into their hands, control or
ession; and,

That the defendant corporations and each and every of their said
cers, directors, agents and employees and all other persons, associations
corporations, are now and hereby ordered, commanded and directed to obey
conform to such orders as may be given to them from time to time by such
iver (or his duly constituted representatives), in conducting the operations
he said business, and in discharging the labors and duties of such receiver;

That the defendant corporations, and each of them, and each and
y of their said officers, directors, agents and employees, and all other
ons, associations and corporations, be, and they are now and hereby, res-
ned and enjoined, during the pendency of this cause and the administration
he said receiver, from transferring, selling, disposing of, or interfering
any of the said pipe lines, refineries, and property of the defendant cor-
tions, or any of them; and from taking possession of or in any way inter-
ng with the same or any part thereof; and from disturbing, preventing or in
way interfering with the receiver in his possession, control, operation or
gement of the property, or any part thereof, of the defendant companies, or
of them, over which the said receiver is hereby appointed as such; and from
urbing, preventing or in any way interfering with the receiver in the dis-
ge of his duties hereunder.

Fifth. The receiver, in operating, conducting and managing the
neries and business of the defendant companies is (until further order of
Court) hereby authorized and empowered;

(a) To manage and operate the said refineries and business of
companies, or any of them, where the same is now a going concern, in such
er as will, in his judgment, produce the most satisfactory results;

(b) To collect and receive all income from the property and bus-
s and all debts, accounts, choses in action and revenue, due the defendant
anies, or any of them, or its or their business;

(c) To employ and discharge and fix the compensation of all such
oyees as are in the judgment of the receiver deemed necessary to aid in the
harge of his duties herein authorized.

(d) To institute and prosecute such suits as may be necessary,
the judgment of the receiver, to protect the property and trusts hereby vested
in, and to likewise defend all such suits and actions as may be instituted
nst him as such receiver, and also to assume and take the prosecution or
nse of any and all suits now pending against the defendant companies,

any of them, the prosecution or defense of which will, in the judgment of the receiver, be necessary for the proper protection of the property placed in charge; but all attorneys' fees therein incurred are to be subject to the approval of this Court.

(e) To keep the property of the defendant companies, hereby placed in his possession and control, insured in the same manner and to the same amount as it was insured by the companies themselves or as in the judgment of the receiver may seem fit and proper;

(f) To preserve the trust property in good order and condition, making all needed repairs thereon; and,

(g) To vote or cause to be voted any and all stock owned by the companies, or any of them, in any underlying subsidiary corporation;

Sixth. That, until further order of this Court, the receiver shall, out of and from the income and revenue coming into his hands, pay and discharge all the expenses of the receivership and of the operation and maintenance of the refineries, where authorized by the Court, leases and properties, including all taxes and charged in the nature thereof lawfully imposed upon the property.

Seventh. That the receiver prosecute and complete the drilling of all oil and gas wells now in process of being drilled (if any thereare).

Eighth. That the receiver take and acquire such new oil and gas mineral leases and drill such new wells as in his judgment he may deem best and proper, but before any such lease shall become effective or new well shall be drilled, such lease or the proposition to drill such well shall be first submitted to and be approved by this Court.

Ninth. That the receiver acquaint himself at once with the extent and condition of the companies' affairs, assets and property, and within two months from the date hereof make and file with this Court a full and comprehensive inventory, in triplicate, showing the property in his hands.

Tenth. That a person selected by the receiver be and he is hereby appointed the attorney and counsel for the receiver, at such salary as may hereafter allowed him by the Court.

Eleventh. That the receiver on October 1 and quarterly thereafter until the further order of this Court, file itemized reports showing his receipts and disbursements.

Twelfth. Full right and power are hereby expressly reserved by this Court to appoint an additional Co-receiver, on his own motion, and to make such other and further orders herein as it may hereafter from time to time deem necessary or proper.

Dated at Bartlesville, Oklahoma.

[illegible]
Judge.

ATTEST: Filed June 23 1931
E. L. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

Court adjourned until June 26, 1931.

[illegible]

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, [illegible], June 26th,

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, it:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTH [illegible]
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Springs Home, a corporation,
Complainant

vs.

a Rolling Mills Company, a cor-
tion,
Respondent.

and

ll E. Hays, Receiver for the Tulsa
ing Mills Company, a corporation,
Plaintiff,

vs

n Roots Construction Company, a corporation,
thern Surety Company of New York, a corporation,
e Highway Commission of Oklahoma, and its
bers; [illegible]. Wentz, Chairman, L. C. Hutson,
e-Chairman, and [illegible]. C. Roswell, Member,
Defendants.

IN REQUITE No. 582

JOURNAL ENTRY

On this June 15, 1931, the above entitled cause coming on regu-
ly for trial, the Plaintiff announced ready and appeared in person and by
ell E. Hays, Attorney, and the Defendants, Green Roots Construction Company,
orporation, and, Southern Surety Company of New York, a corporation, appeared
their Attorneys, Rittenhouse, Lee, Webster and Rittenhouse, and [illegible]. Ritten-
se, and the Defendants, L. H. Wentz, Chairman, L. C. Hutson, Vice-Chairman,
S. C. Roswell, Member, appeared in person and by [illegible]. C. Lewis, Assistant
rney General of the State of Oklahoma, and announced ready for trial and all
ties appearing waived a jury and agreed to try the case to the Court pursuant
tipulation in writing, filed herein.

The Defendants, Green Roots Construction Company, a corporation,
Southern Surety Company of New York, a corporation, objected to the intro-
tion of any evidence on behalf of the Plaintiff, Powell E. Hays, Receiver
Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a corporation, for the reason that the Petition
led to state a cause of action in favor of said Plaintiff and against said
endants and for further reason that the Court has no jurisdiction of this
se, which objection was overruled by the Court, and the Defendants and each
them severally excepted and said exceptions were allowed and said cause

ceeded to trial before the Court.

Thereupon the Plaintiff introduced his evidence and rested and the efendants, Green Boots Construction Company, a corporation, and Southern Sure- Company of New York, a corporation, and L. H. Wentz, Chairman, L. C. Hutson, ce-Chairman, and S. C. Boswell, Member, filed separate demurrers to Plaintiffs idence and the Court having duly considered the same and on full consideration reof, sustained the demurrer of L. H. Wentz, Chairman, L. C. Hutson, Vice- irman, and S. C. Boswell, Member, and dismissed said cause as to said Defen- ts, and overruled the demurrer of the Defendants, Green Boots Construction many, a corporation, and of the Southern Surety Company of New York, a cor- ation, to which ruling of the Court said Defendants and each of them excepted said exceptions were allowed and thereupon the Defendants, Green Boots Con- uction Company, a corporation, and the Southern Surety Company of New York, orporation announced in open Court that they had no evidence to introduce or sent upon their behalf. Thereupon the Court being fully advised in the pre- es and upon a full consideration of the pleadings and evidence found generally the Plaintiff and against the Defendants, Green Boots Construction Company, orporation, and the Southern Surety Company of New York, a corporation; that d Defendants, Green Boots Construction Company, a corporation and the thern Surety Company of New York, a corporation, are indebted to the Plaintiff the sum of $14,842.50 with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum m this date, to-wit: June 15, 1931, and the costs of this action, accrued and accrue.

IT IS THEREFORE, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that Plaintiff, Lowell E. Hays, Receiver of Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a cor- ation, have and recover judgment of and from the Defendants, Green Boots struction Company, a corporation, and Southern Surety Company of New York, orporation, in the sum of $14,842.50, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% annum from June 15, 1931, until paid and all costs accrued and to accure, which execution may issue, to which judgment of the Court, the Defendants, en Boots Construction Company, a corporation, and Southern Surety Company New York, a corporation, excepted and said exceptions are allowed and there- n said Defendants gave notice in open Court of their intention to appeal to United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and said De- dants are allowed thirty days to make and file Bill of Exceptions for said eal, and fifteen days to make and file Supersedeas Bond in the sum of Twenty usand ($20,000.00) Dollars, pending the execution and filing of said Super- eas Bond said execution is stayed.

[illegible]
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

e Journal Entry of Judgment is approved as to form.
[illegible]
Attorney for Lowell E. Hays, Receiver
of Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a corp.

GREEN BOOTS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, a corp.
SOUTHERN SURETY COMPANY OF NEW YORK, a corp.
By [illegible]
Their Attorney

STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION OF OKLAHOMA and
its members: L. H. Wentz, Chairman, L. C. Hutson,
Vice-Chairman, and S. C. Boswell, Member

[illegible] , [illegible] , JUNE 26, 1931

[illegible]
Assistant Attorney General of Oklahoma.

[illegible]: Filed Jun 26, 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] Freyfogle, Plaintiff,

vs.

Willow Corporation, a [illegible]
[illegible]ration, and [illegible] Mincks
[illegible]ffie [illegible] Mincks, Defendants.

No. 611 in Equity.

ORDER [illegible] REAL ESTATE
INVESTMENT CORPORATION [illegible].

Now on this 26th day of June, 1931, this cause comes on for hearing on the application by Real Estate Investment Corporation for permission to [illegible] its claim against the receiver in this cause, and it appearing to the court [illegible] notice of this application has been duly given to counsel for said receiver, and the applicant having tendered its petition in connection with said [illegible]ication, and the court having duly considered said application and said [illegible]tion and being fully advised in the premises, finds that said Real Estate [illegible]tment Corporation should be permitted to file the claim set forth in its [illegible]tion in this cause, and it is hereby so ordered.

[illegible]
Judge.

[illegible]: Filed Jun 26 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
[illegible].

[illegible]ed States of America, Complainant,

vs.

[illegible] Magnolia, [illegible], Respondents.

No. 644 Equity

O[illegible]

Upon application and for good cause shown, the Vacuum Oil Company [illegible]rporation, and The Texas Company, a corporation, are hereby given leave to [illegible]ervene as plaintiffs in this cause and to file their bill of intervention [illegible] instanter.

[illegible]

ated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 25th day of June, 1931.

[illegible]
U. S. District Judge, Northern
District of Oklahoma.

[illegible]: Filed Jun 26 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [illegible] FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ed States of America, Complainant,
vs. No. 644 Equity ✓
Wagoshe, et al, Respondents.

O R D E R

Upon application and for good cause shown, the Vacuum Oil Com-
, a corporation, is hereby given leave to intervene as plaintiff in this
e and to file its bill of intervention herein instanter.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 25th day of June, 1931.

[illegible]
U. S. District Judge, Northern
District of Oklahoma.

[illegible]: Filed Jun 26 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [illegible] FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ed States of America, Complainant,
vs. No. 644 Equity. ✓
Wagoshe, et al, Respondents.

O R D E R

Upon application and for good cause shown, the Vacuum Oil Com-
a corporation, and Empire Oil Refining Company, a corporation, are
y given leave to intervene as plaintiffs in this cause and to file their
of intervention herein instanter.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 25th day of [illegible], 1931.

[illegible]
U. S. District Judge, Northern
District of Oklahoma.

[illegible]: Filed Jun 26 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

Court adjourned until June 27, [illegible].

[illegible]
TULSA, OKLA[illegible] [illegible], JUNE 27, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, June 27th, [illegible].

Present: Hon. [illegible] E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, [illegible]wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible]es Tool Company, [illegible]orporation,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		In Equity [illegible]
[illegible]ernational Supply Company, [illegible]orporation,	Defendant.	

ORDER SETTING ASIDE THE FORM OF DECREE
ENTERED APRIL 21, 1931, AND SUBSTITUTING
THEREFOR THE FORM OF DECREE THIS DAY SIGNED.

On this 27th day of June, A. D. 1931, it appearing to the [illegible]rt that heretofore, to-wit, on April 21, 1931, at Pawhuska, a form of decree [illegible]pre[illegible]ented in this cause to the Court, and was signed with the understanding [illegible]t if any change therein were desired by counsel on either side it could be [illegible]drawn and another decree, approved by all parties, duly signed; and it [illegible]earing to the Court that the form of decree heretofore entered was not [illegible]isfactory to all parties, but that all parties to this cause have now agreed [illegible]n and approved a form of decree which is now and here presented to the Court [illegible] the request that the former decree which was entered be vacated and set [illegible]de and the present form of decree substituted therefor;

And the Court having considered the matter, and being well and [illegible]ficiently advised in the premises, IT IS, BY THE COURT, CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED [illegible] [illegible] that the decree signed on, to-wit, April 21, 1931, and [illegible]etofore spread of record, be and the same is hereby vacated, canceled and [illegible]aside and expunged from the record, and in lieu thereof the form of this [illegible]ree this day presented to the Court is duly approved and signed and ordered [illegible]ead of record in this cause.

DONE at Tulsa, this 27th day of June, 1931.

[illegible] E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

[illegible]roved: [illegible]
[illegible] LOGUE [illegible]
[illegible] COCKRAN AMES & MONROE
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

LYON [illegible] LYON
[illegible] GIBSON SHERMAN DAVIDSON [illegible]
Attorneys for Defendant.

[illegible]: Filed Jun 27 1931
H. [illegible] Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible], Plaintiff
[illegible]rporation,

vs. In [illegible]

[illegible],
[illegible]rporation, Defendant.

[illegible]

This cause having come on to be heard [illegible] plaintiff's bill, [illegible] decree having been entered herein on the 26th day of April, 19[illegible], wherein [illegible]as ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Bill of Complaint be dismissed, [illegible]an appeal having been taken by the plaintiff, [illegible], to the [illegible]ed States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and said appeal [illegible]ng been heard by said court and the mandate of said court having been issued [illegible]pril 1, 1931, filed in this court on the 2nd day of April, 1931, [illegible] [illegible]ctin that the decree of this court be reversed with respect to the God- [illegible] and Fletcher Patent No. 1,320,384, and affirmed [illegible] to the [illegible]cott Patent No. [illegible]0,014, and the cause remanded to this court with directions to enter a [illegible]ee for the plaintiff for the infringement of claims [illegible] and [illegible] of said Godbold [illegible]letcher patent, and if the plaintiff so elects, directing an accounting [illegible]ith further directions that the cost of printing of the record for appeal [illegible] court below be equally divided between the parties.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED [illegible], [illegible]:

(1) That the plaintiff herein is the owner of United States [illegible]ters Patent No. 1,[illegible]20,384, issued November 4, 191[illegible], to [illegible] Godbold and [illegible] [illegible]cher for "Drill-Cutter", and of [illegible] Letters Patent No. 1,4[illegible],014, issued [illegible] 8, 1924, to Lloyd L. [illegible]cott, assignor to Hughes Tool Company, for "Self-[illegible] Roller Drill."

(2) That the claims of said Letters Patent No. 1,4[illegible],014 are infringed by the defendant.

(3) That claims [illegible] and 4 of Letters Patent No. 1,[illegible]20,[illegible]4 to [illegible]old and Fletcher are good and valid; that said defendant International Hup- [illegible] Company, has infringed upon each of claims [illegible] and 4 of said Patent and upon [illegible]rights of the plaintiff herein by selling for use drill cutters containing [illegible] the invention set forth and claimed in [illegible] and 4 of said [illegible]ters Patent.

(4) That the plaintiff recover of the defendant the profits, [illegible]s and advantages which the defendant has received or may or which have ar- [illegible] or accrued to it from the infringement of claims [illegible] of said Godbold [illegible]letcher Patent No. 1,320,384, together with the damages which the plain- [illegible] has sustained by reason thereof; and since it does not appear what such [illegible]fits, gains, advantages and damages may be,

IT [illegible] that this cause [illegible] referred to [illegible], Esq., as Master, to ascertain and take and report to the court an ac- [illegible]t of the said profits, gains and advantages and also to assess the said [illegible] suffered by the plaintiff.

[illegible] 27, 1931

[illegible] STATE [illegible] COURT [illegible]
[illegible] DISTRICT OF [illegible].

ed States, Plaintiff,

vs. No. [illegible] Equity

. Talbutt, et al, Defendants.

ORDER SETTING ASIDE SALE.

Now on this 27 day of June, 1931, this cause coming on to be d on motion to quash Marshal's Return of Execution in this cause issued on h 30, 1931, and it being shown to the court that said execution was not ed and return made within sixty days from the date of issuance thereof, as ired by law, and there being no objections to said motion, and the Court g fully advised in the premises, finds that said motion should be sustained said execution quashed, and all acts thereunder set aside.

It is therefore ordered and adjudged that the execution in this e, issued on March 30, 1931, together with all acts by the Marshal thereunder and the same is quashed, set aside and held for naught, and an alias execu- and order of sale issued, as required by law.

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible] Williams
Assistant United States Attorney

[illegible]: Filed Jun 27 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

[illegible] STATE [illegible] COURT [illegible]
[illegible]

ed States of America, Plaintiff,

vs. No. [illegible] Equity

. Talbutt, [illegible] Talbutt,
[illegible] State Bank, a
ration, Defendants.

ALIAS ORDER OF SALE

[illegible]

[illegible], on the 25th day of September, 1930, [illegible] United States District Court in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, action then pending in said Court, wherein the United States of America plaintiff, and the persons and corporations named [illegible] proof, were

endants, said plaintiff recovered judgment in said court in said cause, [illegible] the said defendants in the sum of 1236.00, together with costs, includ- attorneys fees of 155.60, and all costs of said suit in the sum of _____, all accruing costs of sale, subject however, to the first and prior lien er said judgment, of the Marsdall State Bank in the sum of [illegible].70, with erest from the date of judgment and [illegible].50 attorneys fees and [illegible] costs, further decreeing and adjudging a foreclosure of the mortgage given to se- e said indebtedness, which foreclosure is on certain property described in judgment as hereinafter shown, and

WHEREAS, on said date in said court, in said judgment, and as a t thereof, it was further adjudged and decreed that in case said defendants ld fail for six months from the date of said judgment to pay the amount said judgment, together with interests, attorneys fees, and costs, therein, order of sale should issue to the United States Marshal commanding him to ertise and sell said described land, without appraisement, according to law, to apply the proceeds of said sale to the satisfaction of said judgment in following manner, to-wit:

1. In payment of the costs of said sale and of said suit.

2. In payment to the Marsdall State Bank of the sum of [illegible].70, together with attorneys fees of [illegible], and the sum of [illegible] costs.

3. In payment to the plaintiff herein the sum of 1236.00, to- ther with interest thereon, attorneys fees, and costs.

4. With the residue, if any there be, [illegible] to this court be credited to the defendant Myrtle L. Talbutt, or as the court may order, lands to be so sold under said foreclosure being described as follows, wit:

Lot 4, Block 20, in the Original Townsite
of Marsdall, Osage County, Oklahoma;

the said land to be sold free, clear and discharged [illegible] from all liens indebtedness in favor of any of the defendants in said cause of whatsoever re and kind, and

WHEREAS, the judgment of the plaintiff and the judgment of the rsdall State Bank remain wholly unpaid, and no appeal from said judgment as been taken, and no supersedeas bond having been given, and it being shown the court that the period of six months from said judgment has expired since date of said judgment on September [illegible], [illegible]; and,

WHEREAS, an execution was issued in said [illegible] March [illegible], returnable as required by law within 60 days from date of issue, and [illegible] was had under said order, but said sale was set aside because not returned rdered by court; and,

NOW, THEREFORE, you, the United States Marshal in and for the [illegible] District of Oklahoma, are commanded to proceed according to law and rtise and sell, without appraisement, the lands and tenements hereinabove ribed, subject, however, to be all tax liens that [illegible] against said [illegible], and apply the proceeds arising from said sale as directed in said ment and this order.

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF [illegible]

[illegible] TULSA, [illegible], JUNE 27, 1931

You will make due return of this order and your acts herein as red, showing the manner in which you have executed the same within sixty days date hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand at Tulsa, in said rict, County and State, this 27 day of June, 1931.

[illegible]
JUDGE

A. [illegible] WILLIAMS
A. [illegible] Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney

FILED: Filed Jun 27 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ed States,	Plaintiff,	
vs		No. 542 Equity
Waller, et al.	Defendants.	

ORDER SETTING ASIDE SALE.

Now, on this 27 day of June, 1931, this cause coming on to be d on motion to quash Marshall's Return of Execution in this cause issued on h 30th, 1931, and it being shown to the court that said execution was not ed and return within sixty days from the date of issue thereof as required aw, and there being no objections to said motion, and the Court being ad- d in the premises, is of the opinion that said motion should be sustained said execution quashed, and all acts thereunder set aside.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND ADJUDGED That the Execution in this e issued on March 31st, 1931, together with all acts by the Marshall there- r, be and the same is quashed, set aside and held for naught, and an alias tion and order of sale issued as requierd by law.

[illegible]
United States District Judge.

A. [illegible] WILLIAMS
A. [illegible] Williams
Assistant United States Attorney.

FILED: Filed Jun 2 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

[illegible]
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ted States,	plaintiff,	
vs		No. 342 Equity ✓
Waller, C. D. Waller, Jeannette oin Lambert, . . Brenner, . Savage, and Constance ht,	Defendants.	

ALIAS ORDER OF SALE.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, TO ADA WALLER, C. D. WALLER, JEANNETTE [illegible] LAMBERT, [illegible] BRENNER, [illegible] SAVAGE, AND CONSTANCE WRIGHT:

Whereas, on the 25th, day September 1930, at a regular term of United States District Court in and for the northern District of Oklahoma, an action then pending in said Court, wherein the United States of America and is plaintiff and the persons named in the caption hereof, and in the eting above, were and are defendants said plaintiff recovered a judgment said Court in said cause, against the rights of said defendants in certain is named therein to the amount of $6,460.88 with interest thereon at the e of 7% per annum from date of said judgment, and the sum of $656.08 attorney , and all costs in said suit in the sum of _____, as may be taxed therein, accruing costs, said judgment to be satisfied out of proceeds of sale of estate therein named: and

Whereas, on said date, in said Court, in said judgment, and as a thereof, it was further adjudged and decreed that in case said defendants ld fail for six months from date of said judgment to pay the amount named rein, with interest, attorney fees and costs, as therein decreed, then an or- of sale should issue to the United States Marshall in and for the northern rict of Oklahoma commanding him to advertise and sell said described land out appraisement, according to law, and to apply the proceeds of said sale the satisfaction of said judgment in the following manner, to-wit:

To the payment of the costs of said sale and this action.

To the payment to plaintiff, on behalf of Minnie [illegible] Owen, Osage Allottee 561, the sum of $6,460.88 with interest and attorney fees as in said judgment ordered.

That the residue, if any there be, to be turned into said Court to await the further order of said Court in said cause.

Said lands to be sold and the proceeds so applied, being described follows, to-wit:

Lot Seven (7) and Lot Eight (8), in Block Three (3) in Palmer Highland Addition to Pawhuska, in Osage County, Oklahoma;

said land to be sold free, clear, and discharged of and from all liens and obtedness in favor of any of said defendants in said cause whatsoever; and

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
[illegible] SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], JUNE 27, 1931

Whereas, the said judgment of plaintiff having been granted in cause more than six months prior to the date hereof, and there having been appeal in said cause, or no supersedeas bond made in said cause, and said ment not having been paid as therein ordered, and that said land is now sub- to sale to satisfy said judgment; and

Whereas, an execution was issued in said cause on March 3[illegible]th, , returnable as required by law within 60 days from date of issue, and a was had under said order, but said sale was set aside because not returned ordered by the court;

NOW, THEREFORE, You the United States Marshall inand for the thern District of Oklahoma, are commanded to proceed according to law and ertise and sell, without appraisement, the lands and tenements herein above cribed, subject, however, to lagal tax liens that may exist against said perty, and apply the proceeds arising from said sale as directed in said ment and this order.

You will make due return of this order and your acts herin as ered, showing the manner in which you have executed the same within sixty days from date hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at Tulsa, in District, county and State, this 27 day of June 1931.

F. E. [illegible]
Judge of United States District Court.

[illegible]
[illegible] Williams
United States Attorney.

[illegible]: Filed Jun 27 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court [illegible]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ted States,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 543 - Equity
. Bildine, Adm., et al,	Defendants.	

ORDER SETTING ASIDE SALE.

Noe on this 27 day of June, 1931, this cause coming on to be d on motion to quash Marshal's Return of Execution in this cause, issued March 30, 1931, and it being shown to the Court that said execution was not ed and return made within sixty days from date of issuance thereof, as re- ed by law, and there being no objections to said motion, and the Court fully advised in the premises, finds that said motion should be sustained said execution quashed, and all acts thereunder set aside.

It is therefore ordered and adjudged that the execution in said

e issued on March 30, 1931, together with all acts by the Marshal thereunder, and the same is quashed, set aside and held for naught, and an alias ution and order of sale issued, as required by law.

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible] Williams
Assistant United States Attorney.

[illegible]: Filed Jun 27 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE [illegible]
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ted States of America,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		
. Dildine, as Administrator of estate of Ida McKinley, eased, William Cummings, and ter Iron, Eugene Iron and le Finley, minor heirs at law Ida McKinley, deceased.	Defendants.	No. 843 Equity

ALIAS ORDER OF SALE

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO W. C. DILDINE, AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF IDA McKINLEY, DECEASED, WILLIAM CUMMINGS, [illegible], EUGENE IRON AND [illegible] FINLEY, MINOR HEIRS AT LAW OF IDA McKINLEY, DECEASED:

WHEREAS, on the 25th day of September, 1930 at a Regular Term the United States District Court in and for the Northern District of Okla-a, in an action then pending in said Court, wherein the United States of rica was Plaintiff, and the persons named in the caption hereof, were defen-ts, said Plaintiff recovered judgment in said court in said cause, against estate of Ida McKinley, deceased, in the sum of $11,396.98, with interest reon at the rate of 7% per annum from the 13th day of April, 193[illegible], together costs, including attorneys fees of $[illegible].00, and all costs of said suit the sum of _____, and all accruing costs of sale, and further decreeing and ding a foreclosure of the mortgage given to secure said indebtedness, which eclosure is on certain property described in said judgment as hereinafter [illegible], and

WHEREAS, on said date in said court, in said judgment, and as a t thereof, it was further adjudged and decreed that in case said defendants uld fail for six (6) months from the date of said judgment, to pay the amount said judgment, together with interests, attorneys fees, and costs therein, order of sale should issue to the United States Marshal commanding him to ertise and sell said described land, without appraisement, according to law, to apply the proceeds of said sale to the satisfaction of said judgment in

following manner, to-wit:

1. In payment of the costs of said sale and of said suit, and sum of 50.00 attorneys fees for guardian ad litem.

2. In payment to the Plaintiff herein the sum of 11,396.98, interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from the 13th day of April, attorneys' fees and costs.

3. With the residue, if any there be, paid into this court to t further order of Court. Said lands to be sold under said foreclosure described as follows, to-wit:

> Lots Eleven (11) and Twelve (12), Block Five (5, original townsite of Pawhuska, Oklahoma; and the North Half (N2) of the Northwest Quarter (NW4) of Section Twenty-five (25), Township Twenty-Six (26) North, Range Eight (8) East, Osage County, Oklahoma,

the said land to be sold free, clear and discharged of and from all liens indebtedness in favor of any of the defendants in said cause of whatsoever re and kind, and

WHEREAS, the judgment of the plaintiff remains wholly unpaid, no appeal from said judgment having been taken, and no supersedeas bond n been given, and it being shown to the Court that the period of six months said judgment has expired since the date of said judgment, on September 25, , and

WHEREAS, an execution was issued in said cause on March 30, 1931, rnable as required by law within sixty days from date of issue, and a sale nd under said order, but said sale was set aside because not returned as red by the Court;

NOW, therefore, you, the United States Marshal for the Northern rict of Oklahoma, are commanded to proceed according to law and advertise sell, without appraisement, the lands and tenements hereinabove described, ect, however, to legal tax liens that may exist against said property, and the proceeds from said sale as directed in said judgment and this order.

You will make due return of this order and your acts herein, as ed, showing the manner in which you have executed the same, within sixty from date hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at Tulsa, in district, county and state, this 27 day of June 1931.

[illegible]
JUDGE

[illegible] WILLIAMS
[illegible] WILLIAMS
Assistant United States Attorney

FILED: Filed June 27, 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

[illegible]

[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], JUNE 27, 1931

[illegible] UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [illegible]
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ted States,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 601 Equity
as A. Clawson, et al,	Defendants.	

ORDER OF SALE OF PERSONAL PROPERTY.

Now on this 27th day of June, 1931, this cause coming on to be rd on motion of plaintiff for sale of personal property, and it being shown the Court that there is now wheat and oats ready to be threshed, located on land on which it was grown, and which is covered by the foreclosure pro- ding in this cause, and is now in the hands of John [illegible]. Vickrey, Receiver said cause, and that said grain is ready and will be threshed soon, and ld be sold at the prevailing market price on the date of threshing, or soon reafter, rather than stored, thereby adding expense for the same.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT of the Court that John Vickrey, Receiver herein, sell all wheat and oats grown and threshed on the covered by the foreclosure in this case, said sale to be made on or im- iately after the date of threshing thereof, at the prevailing market price such grain, and that said Receiver report his doings into this Court, and expense of such thresing and sale, and price received for said grain, and e his recommendations to the Court and await the further orders of the rt.

[illegible]
JUDGE.

[illegible]
Assist. U. S. Atty.

[illegible]: Filed Jun 27 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until July 5, 1931.

THE NORTHERN [illegible]
[illegible] TULSA, OKLA[illegible] [illegible], [illegible] 7, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, [illegible] 3rd,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Garfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, [illegible]it:

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN [illegible]
OF OKLAHOMA

No. 254 Equity

. Spear & Sons Co., Complainant,

and

dger Donnold; Board of County Commissioners
cCurtain County, Oklahoma; Fidelity Deposit
any of Maryland; Bank of Maiden Rock,
onsin; Bangor State Bank of Bangor, Wisconsin;
. MacNichol; LaPeer Savings Bank; The
abees; Supreme Lodge Knights of Pythias;
rity Benefit Association, Intervenors,

vs

of Shidler, a Municipal Corporation;
. Hall, Mayor; Eva F. Sidwell, City Treasurer;
ty Excise Board of Osage County; Henry Wood,
ty Judge; C. H. Templeton, County Attorney;
Ludwick, County Clerk; J. A. Hunter, County
surer; [illegible] A. Malone, County Superintendent;
Millard, County Commissioner,
Respondents.

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL

The petition of the City of Shidler, respondent in the above
ed cause, for an appeal from the judgment in favor of the complainant and
rvenors against the said City of Shidler, is hereby granted, and the appeal
lowed; and upon petitioner filing bond in the sum of five hundred
0.00) Dollars, with sufficient sureties and conditioned as required by law,
same shall operate as a supersedeas of the judgment made and entered in the
e cause, and shall suspend and stay all further proceedings in this court
the termination of said appeal by the United States Circuit Court of Ap-
.

Dated this the 3 day of July, 1931.

. E. [illegible]
District Judge.

[illegible]: Filed [illegible] 1931
H. P. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

[illegible] DISTRICT [illegible]
[illegible] TULSA, OKLA. [illegible] 3, 19[illegible]

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF [illegible] THE [illegible]
NORTHERN DISTRICT [illegible]

[illegible], [illegible] PLAINTIFF,
[illegible]
[illegible] OF THE CHICAGO
[illegible] OF COMMERCE OF
[illegible], ILLINOIS.

V.

[illegible], W. G. RULE,
[illegible] OIL & REFINING
[illegible], [illegible],

DEFENDANTS.

IN [illegible] 358

ORDER FOR SUBSTITUTED SERVICE.

On this 3rd day of July, 1931, is presented Bill of Complaint the plaintiff herein, together with the petition of the plaintiff for substituted service on certain non-resident defendants herein, and it appearing the Court from said Bill of Complaint that this action is brought by the plaintiff against [illegible] Hinds, W. G. Rule and Empire Oil & Refining Company to force an equitable lien upon and claim to certain personal property within [illegible] district, to-wit, a fund of one hundred thirteen thousand, seven hundred [illegible]-one ($113,751.00) dollars in the hands of the defendants, [illegible] Hinds, W. Rule and Empire Oil & Refining Company, and to determine the interest of other defendants in and to said fund or any part thereof; and it appearing the petition for substituted service filed in said cause by the plaintiff [illegible]fied by the affidavit of D.D. Panich that the defendants hereinafter named not inhabitants of and cannot be found within the Northern District of Okla[illegible] and have not and will not voluntarily appear to this cause,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the following named defendants, [illegible]it: Emory Cain, C. [illegible] Damron, W. F. Damron, Reed [illegible]ley, [illegible] Triplett, [illegible] Prater, [illegible] Addington, Standard Printing and Publishing Company, Mrs. [illegible]le Mathis, C. [illegible] Pollard, W. W. Green, [illegible] Holliday, [illegible] Yeager and [illegible]u Reynolds appear in this cause and plead, answer or demur on or before the [illegible] day of August, 1931, and upon their failure so to do that said [illegible] it proceed [illegible] hearing and adjudication in the same manner as if such absent defendants [illegible] been served with process within this district.

IT IS [illegible] ORDERED that a copy of this order shall be served [illegible]uch absent defendants if practicable wherever found.

[illegible]
JUDGE

[illegible]: Filed Jul 3 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until [illegible], [illegible].

[illegible] DISTRICT [illegible]
[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], [illegible] 6, [illegible]

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, July [illegible],

Present: Hon. F. [illegible] Lennamer, Judge, [illegible] Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, it:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OSAGE [illegible] COMPANY, r[illegible]oration,	PLAINTIFF,	
vs.		EQUITY #222. ✓
[illegible] AMELROD, CONTINENTAL OIL [illegible], [illegible],	DEFENDANTS.	

O R D E R

On this day comes the above named Continental Oil Company and s an order authorizing service of citation on appeal in said cause, and of praecipe for the transcript of record on appeal herein, and of notice of lodgment of the condensed statement of the evidence herein, and it being to appear that the whereabouts of the attorney for the plaintiff, J. E. ehead, are unknown and cannot be ascertained, and the said plaintiff has no ce or resident agent in the State for service of process, and that Vern L. son, a resident of Dallas, Texas, is one of the attorneys of record for the plaintiff;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that service of the said citation, praecipe notice of lodgment of record are authorized to be served in the following er:

By copy on the Secretary of State of Oklahoma; by copy on said son; by copy mailed to the last known address of said Whitehead; by copy at the last place of business of the plaintiff; and by copy at the last ce of the said attorney Whitehead, and that said service may be made by [illegible]dant's agent or attorneys and proved by his oath and then duly returned.

Dated July 2nd, 1931.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]: Filed Jul 6 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] TULSA, [illegible], JULY 6, 1931

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Prairie Oil & Gas Company, Corporation,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 571 Equity.
Robins Oil & Gasoline Company, Corporation,	Defendant.	

O R D E R

Upon application of the plaintiff, leave is hereby given to file reply to defendant's amended answer, instanter, out of time.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 4 day of July, 1931.

[illegible]
Judge.

[illegible]: Filed Jul 6 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] Speer,	Complainant,	
v.		No. 609 Equity
[illegible] of Kiefer, et al,	Respondents	

O R D E R

Upon joint application of the attorneys for complainant and attorneys for respondent, and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered that [illegible], Lou Wilder, W. A. Price, and C. [illegible] Proffitt, newly elected members [illegible] Board of Trustees of the Town of Kiefer, and [illegible] Heath, newly elected [illegible] of the Town of Kiefer, be substituted as parties respondents for the [illegible] officers, [illegible] Haug, John T. Webster, [illegible] Hoffman, [illegible] Thompson, [illegible] Huff.

Dated this 6th day of June, 1931.

[illegible]
District Judge

[illegible]: Filed Jul 6 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

[illegible] , [illegible] 6, 1931

THE DISTRICT COURT [illegible] THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF [illegible].

[illegible], Plaintiff,

vs. No. 821 Equity.

[illegible] COMPANY,
rporation, et al, Defendant.

ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF SIX MONTHS' CLAIMS.

On this 6th day of July, 1931, this cause came on for hearing the application of Eben L. Taylor, receiver herein for defendant, Sapulpa Company, for an order authorizing said receiver to pay out of funds in his s belonging to said defendant, Sapulpa Fuel Company, certain claims incurred he ordinary transaction of the business of said defendant during the period ix months immediately prior to the appointment of the receiver herein.

And upon consideration, it appearing to the court that at the of the appointment of the receiver herein, namely; March 2[illegible], 1931, the de- ant, Sapulpa Fuel Company, was indebted to various persons in the amount of .87, for supplies, materials, services performed, and expenses incurred in ordinary transaction of the business of said defendant, and that all of same accrued during a period of six months, immediately prior to the ap- tment of the receiver herein, and that all of said persons to whom said in- edness is due by defendant, Sapulpa Fuel Company, have filed their claims the receiver herein, as shown by the list attached to the application of receiver herein filed, and marked Exhibit A, to such application, and that claims are now entitled to be paid as preferred claims.

IT [illegible] ORDERED that Eben L. Taylor, receiver herein, be he is hereby authorized to pay, out of any funds in his hands belonging to defendant, Sapulpa Fuel Company, the following claims, to-wit:

No.	Name	Amount
	Broom Tire Shop	2.03
	Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.	21.60
	Fullerton-Stuart Lbr. Co.	.50
	Geo. R. Milner	42.50
	Leachman Motor Co.	5.20
	Paris [illegible] Cope	155.22
	Sunshine Mantle Co.	3.01
	Chaplin-Fulton Co.	16.80
	Bovaird Supply Co.	1.92
	Jno. Williams, Jr.	14.05
	Carter Book Store	2.00
	Standard Chevrolet Co.	2.10
	R. L. Hughes	2.00
	Creek County Hardware Co.	1.07
	Firestone Tire Co.	24.13
	Hennife & Boulton	53.75

$347.87

ch said claims were incurred in the ordinary transaction of the business of said Carolina [illegible] Company during the period of six months immediately prior the appointment of the receiver herein.

[illegible]
U. S. District Judge.

ORDERED: Filed Jul 6 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
[illegible] DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible]ias Service Gas Company,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 646 in Equity
[illegible] L. Lemons, Inc., a cor-[illegible]ation, Henry [illegible]. Lemons, Julian [illegible]anHook and Union National [illegible] of [illegible]artlesville, Oklahoma,	Defendants	

ORDER ON MOTIONS

On this 6th day of July, 1931 upon the motion to dismiss of defendant Julian T. Van Hook and motion for better statement of defendants Henry Lemons, Inc., and Henry L. Lemons, said defendants and plaintiff appearing their solicitors of record,

ORDERED: The motion of the defendant Julian T. Van Hook is overruled. The motion of the defendants Henry L. Lemons, Inc., and Henry L. Lemons overruled, except that plaintiff is required to set out in its bill or by amendment thereto within five days a copy of the contract referred to in paragraph six of the bill as the "Springfield 10" and [illegible] contract". All the defendants are required to answer within twenty days after the filing of amendment to plaintiff's bill. That portion of paragraph ten of plaintiff's bill seeking to recover Five Thousand Dollars ($5,000.00) for the cost investigation is stricken. Plaintiff and defendants Henry L. Lemons, Inc., Henry L. Lemons make and are allowed their exceptions to the rulings, respectively, adverse to each of them.

[illegible]
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ORDERED: Filed Jul 16 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible]ies Service Gas Company,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. Equity 647
[illegible]ry L. Lemons, Julian T. [illegible]nook and Union National [illegible]k of Bartlesville, Okla-[illegible]a, a corporation,	Defendants.	

ORDER ON MOTIONS

On this 6th day of July, 1931, upon motion to dismiss of Defen-[illegible]t Julian T. Lanbook and motion for better statement of Defendant Henry L. [illegible]ons, said Defendants and Plaintiff appearing by their solicitors of record,

ORDERED: The motions of Defendants Julian T. Lanbook and Henry Lemons are overruled, and they are required to answer within twenty days. [illegible]t portion of paragraph seven of Plaintiff's Bill seeking to recover Five [illegible]usand Dollars for cost of investigation is stricken. Plaintiff and Defendant [illegible]ry L. Lemons make and are allowed their exceptions to the rulings, res-[illegible]tively, adverse to each of them.

[illegible]
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

[illegible]ORSED: Filed Jul 10 1931
[illegible]. L. Warfield, Clerk
[illegible]. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible]ies Service Gas Company,	Plaintiff	
vs.		
[illegible]lly-Dempsey [illegible] Company, Inc., [illegible]orporation, C. F. Dempsey, [illegible]ian T. Lanbook and Union [illegible]ional [illegible]ank of Bartlesville, [illegible]shoma, a corporation,	Defendants.	No. 648 in Equity

ORDER ON MOTIONS

On this 6th day of July, 1931 upon motion to dismiss of defendant [illegible]ian T. Lanbook and upon motions for better statement by defendants [illegible]. [illegible]. Dem-[illegible]y and Kelly-Dempsey [illegible] Company, Inc., said Defendants and Plaintiff appearing [illegible] their solicitors of record,

ORDERED: The motions of defendants Julian T. Lanbook, C. F. [illegible]psey and Kelly-Dempsey [illegible] Company, Inc., are overruled [illegible] they are required [illegible] answer within twenty days. That portion of paragraph fourteen of Plaintiff's

[illegible]

[illegible] to recover five thousand dollars ($5,000.00) for the cost of intigation is stricken. Plaintiff and defendants [illegible] and Kelly-[illegible] Company, Inc., make and are allowed their exceptions to the rulings, [illegible]ectively, adverse to each of them.

[illegible]

[illegible] : Filed Jul 10 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court

Court adjourned until [illegible], [illegible].

[illegible]

[illegible] 7, 19[illegible]

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, July 7,

Present: Hon. [illegible], Judge, [illegible] Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, [illegible] District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, [illegible]t:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE [illegible]
DISTRICT OF [illegible]

[illegible] CORPORATION,	Plaintiff	
-vs-		Equity No. 405
[illegible]	Defendant.	

O R D E R

Upon motion of plaintiff in this cause, and for good cause shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court return to the [illegible]tiff herein, the sum of Two Hundred ($200.00) Dollars, heretofore deposited [illegible] the said Clerk on March 31, 1930, less the usual Clerk's fee for disbursing [illegible]s.

[illegible]
Judge

[illegible]: Filed Jul 7 19[illegible]
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court [illegible]

[illegible] CO. a corp.,	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		No. 561 - Equity.
[illegible], a corp.	Defendants.	

Now on this 7th day of July, [illegible] 1931, it is ordered by the [illegible] that Decree be found for Plaintiff sustaining the validity of patents [illegible] infringements thereof. ([illegible] Judge).

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ed States, Plaintiff,

vs. Case No. [illegible] Equity.

. [illegible] Clawson, Albert Clawson,
. Clawson and Mary [illegible] Clawson, Defendants.

[illegible]

It appearing to the Court that [illegible] Hatchum may have property ts involved in the subject of the action, by reason of a chattel mortgage he holds against chattels that are in the hands of the receiver in said e; it is therefore ordered that [illegible] Hatchum be and he is hereby granted ission to file in intervention in said cause.

[illegible]
Judge, District Court.

[illegible] : [illegible] Jul 7 [illegible]
[illegible] arfield, Clerk
[illegible] Court [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] DISTRICT [illegible]

[illegible] TULSA, [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] 8, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, July 8th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

The following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE [illegible]
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible]iett [illegible], et al,	Plaintiffs,	
vs.		No. 238 Equity.
[illegible] A. Chapman, et al,	Defendants,	

ORDER ENLARGING TIME IN WHICH TO PREPARE SERVE AND FILE RECORD.

Now on this 8th day of July, 1931, the United States, Inter-[illegible] herein, having made its application to the Court for an order extending [illegible] in addition to the time heretofore granted, in which to prepare and serve [illegible] record in the above cause, and it being shown to the Court that an appeal [illegible]eretofore been regularly allowed in said cause, and that additional time [illegible]ecessary for the United States, Intervener herein, to prepare and serve the [illegible]d in said appeal, the Court finds that said enlargement of time should be [illegible]ed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND DECREED by the Court that the United [illegible]s, Intervener herein, be, and it is hereby allowed an enlargement of time [illegible] days in addition to the 90 days heretofore allowed on April 9, 1931, in [illegible] to complete its record, serve the same and file same in the Circuit Court [illegible]peals.

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]: Filed In Open Court
Jul 8 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Plaintiff,

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

vs.

[illegible] Eysenbach, et al, Defendants.

[illegible]

This cause came on for hearing at this term on the motion of [illegible]lor Engineering [illegible] Manufacturing Company, a corporation, plaintiff in the [illegible]ve entitled cause, for a final decree pro confesso, and it appearing to the [illegible]rt that the bill in equity in the above cause was filed in this court on the [illegible] day of October, 1930, and that each and all of the defendants herein, to-wit, [illegible] Eysenbach, [illegible] Walker, Jr., [illegible], [illegible] T. [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] [illegible]llers, and [illegible], trustee in bankruptcy of the [illegible] Portland Cement [illegible]any, a corporation, bankrupt, have been duly and regularly served with [illegible] sub-[illegible]nas, and that said defendants and each and all of them have [illegible]red in this court and cause and filed herein their joint and several [illegible]s to dismiss the bill in equity of the plaintiff, and that heretofore, to-wit the 16th day of January, 1931, the said motions and each and all of them [illegible] duly argued to the court, and were each and all of them by the court over-[illegible]ed, and the said defendants and each and all of them were at said time and said date [illegible]ired by this court to answer the bill in equity of the plain-[illegible] herein within twenty days from and after said 16th day of January, 1931, [illegible] it further appearing to the court that since said time no answer or other [illegible]ence has been filed herein by the said defendants or any of them, and no [illegible]r has been sought or secured by said defendants or either of them from [illegible] court [illegible]ending the time within which said defendants should file their answer to the [illegible] in equity or the said time here[illegible], and it further [illegible] to the court [illegible] an order taken, the bill of the plaintiff herein is confessed was entered [illegible] the order book on the 8th day of June, 1931, in the [illegible] of the Clerk of [illegible] court, and that no proceedings have been taken by the said defendants or [illegible] since said order was entered, and that more than thirty days after [illegible] entry of said order to take said bill pro confesso have elapsed,

[illegible], [illegible] ORDERED, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that defendants herein, [illegible] Eysenbach, [illegible] Walker, Jr., [illegible], [illegible] T. [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] [illegible]llers, and [illegible], Trustee in [illegible] of the [illegible] Portland Cement Company, a corporation, bankrupt, [illegible] [illegible] hereby perpetually enjoined, barred and prohibited from [illegible]stituting, or [illegible], or cause to be instituted and prosecuted or maintained [illegible] suit or suits at law or in equity, [illegible] [illegible] and [illegible] greater claimant than the plaintiff herein, [illegible] [illegible] in [illegible] [illegible], which said suits in any [illegible] shall not be [illegible] [illegible] delivery [illegible] the plaintiff herein [illegible] [illegible] Portland Cement Company, corporation, [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendants herein, and each of them, be henceforth perpetually barred, enjoined and restrained from in any manner obstructing, hindering, preventing, attempting or conspiring to obstruct, hinder and prevent the collection by the plaintiff herein of a certain judgment rendered in this court on the 8th day of January, 1951 in favor of the plaintiff herein and against the defendants herein, F. G. Walker and J. D. Hyenlach, for the sum of Seven Thousand Fifty Nine and Forty Nine and 27/100 Dollars ($7,059.49), with interest and attorneys fees in the sum of Seven Hundred Seventy-Seven and 57/100 Dollars ($777.57), and the costs of said action, and which said judgment was rendered in cause number 476 Law in this court, styled Traylor Engineering & Manufacturing Company, a corporation, versus F. G. Walker, Jr., et al.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendants herein, and each of them, be, and the same are hereby perpetually enjoined, barred and restrained from obstructing, hindering, preventing or attempting or conspiring to obstruct, hinder and prevent the collection by the plaintiff herein of a certain judgment rendered in favor of the plaintiff herein the 14th day of July, 1950 against the defendants herein, J. T. Tucker and C. Ivy, and each of them, in the sum of Nine Thousand Dollars ($9,000.00), said judgment being rendered in said cause number 476 Law claim referred to.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the injunction herein granted shall run against and be applicable to any and all persons claiming cause of action against the plaintiff herein by, through or under any of the defendants herein by assignment or claim acquired subsequent to the 3rd day of October, 1950.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendants herein, J. D. Lee, F. G. Spillers and W. B. Lee, Trustee in Bankruptcy of Choctaw Portland Cement Company, a corporation, bankrupt, are further specifically enjoined from further prosecuting, maintaining and continuing the prosecution of a certain suit at law now pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, styled W. B. Lee, Trustee in Bankruptcy of Choctaw Portland Cement Company, a corporation, bankrupt, plaintiff, versus Traylor Engineering & Manufacturing Company, a corporation, defendant, as number 8516 Law in said court, and being the same case referred to in bill in equity of the plaintiff herein as having been filed by said defendant in the District Court of Muskogee County, State of Oklahoma, and said cause having been thereafter removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, by the plaintiff herein, the defendant therein, said defendants, to-wit, J. D. Lee, F. G. Spillers, his attorney, and W. B. Lee, Trustee in Bankruptcy of the Choctaw Portland Cement Company, a corporation, bankrupt, are herewith and hereby commanded to dismiss and discontinue said cause forthwith and without delay.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the costs of action be assessed against the defendants.

[illegible]
United States District Judge.

Attest: Filed Feb 6 1951
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

[illegible]

TULSA, [illegible], [illegible] 8, 1931

[illegible] CO. Plaintiff,

-vs- No. 61[illegible] - [illegible]ity.

[illegible] - [illegible] CO. Defendant.

[illegible]ow on this 8th day of July, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the [illegible]t that objections of Defendant to Plaintiff's interrogatories be, and [illegible] same is hereby, overruled, all as per journal entry to be furnished, [illegible]M. Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible]rocter & Gamble Company, Plaintiffs,
[illegible]orporation, et al.,

v. No. 318 Equity.

[illegible]es-[illegible]anley [illegible]et [illegible]ash Laundry
[illegible]any, a corporation, doing
[illegible]iness as the Barnes-[illegible]anley
[illegible]ndry, Defendant.

O R D E R

NOW, on this 8th day of July, 1931, on application of the defen-
[illegible]t herein and pursuant to motion duly filed by the defendant;

IT IS ORDERED that certain interrogatories filed by the defen-
[illegible] herein be answered by such officers of the Procter & Gamble Company and
[illegible]cter & Gamble Distributing Company as have knowledge of the facts and
[illegible]ters inquired of in said interrogatories within fifteen (15) days from the
[illegible]vice of a copy of this order upon the solicitors for complainants.

[illegible]
Judge

[illegible]: Filed In Open Court
Jul [illegible] 1931
[illegible] Barfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible]ed States of America, Complainant

vs No. 6[illegible] Equity

[illegible], et al, Respondents

[illegible]

[illegible] TULSA, [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] 8, 1931

For good cause shown Respondent, [illegible] Barnard, is her by granted [illegible] July [illegible], 1931 in which to file answer in the above styled and numbered [illegible]se.

[illegible]
Judge

[illegible]: Filed In Open Court
Jul [illegible] 1931
[illegible] Barfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible]uel J. T. Straus and Melvin [illegible]traus, as Trustees,	Plaintiffs,	
vs.		No. 652 - Equity. ✓
[illegible]er Columbia Company, a cor-[illegible]ation, Apartment Buildings [illegible]pany, a corporation, and [illegible]d Irwig,	Defendants.	

O R D E R.

Now on this 7th day of July, 1931, the above matter coming on for [illegible]ring on the report of the receiver herein dated July 1, and filed this 7th [illegible] of July, 1931, and the Court having examined the said report and exhibits [illegible]ached thereto as follows:

Exhibit "A" - Letter from receiver to Joseph [illegible]. Reed, dated June 27, 1931; Answer;
Exhibit "B" - Unpaid bills incurred prior to receivership;
Exhibit "C" - Schedule of salaries and wages;
Exhibit "D" - Uniform scale of rentals and charges as recommended;
Exhibit "E" - Summary - miscellaneous;
Exhibit "F" - Current expenses under receivership;
Exhibit "G" - Unpaid taxes and special assessments;
Exhibit "H" - Inventory verified by the receiver and Paul Avis and Mrs. Loraine Jones, by whom it was taken;

[illegible] the Court having heard the statements of the receiver and his counsel, [illegible] [illegible]nold, and being fully advised in the premises;

IT IS ORDERED:

(1) That Exhibit "C" - Schedule of salary and wages (new basis) be ap-[illegible]ved in principle, and the receiver [illegible] he hereby is authorized to put the [illegible]e in effect insofar as he may fine it practical to do so, but is permitted [illegible] discretion to make a reasonable variance from same.

[illegible]

(2) That exhibit "[illegible]" - the schedule showing uniform [illegible] be approved as recommended, and the receiver be [illegible] hereby is [illegible] to put the same in effect as of July 1, 1931, [illegible] credit [illegible] for [illegible] payment, if any, made to him [illegible] rental.

(3) That the expenses incurred by and chargeable against the receiver [illegible] the period from the date of his appointment to July [illegible], 1931, as shown by [illegible] bit "[illegible]", be and are hereby approved.

[illegible] it is further ordered that Henry [illegible], as receiver herein [illegible] as of the date of his appointment, be and he hereby is authorized, of the funds in his possession from time to time, to [illegible] himself each [illegible] the sum of [illegible].00, and to [illegible] Donnold, his attorney, each month the sum [illegible], on account of services rendered; the Court reserving the right, [illegible], to hereafter limit such allowance or to grant such further allowance [illegible] to the Court proper.

[illegible]
Judge.

[illegible]: [illegible] filed [illegible] 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] and
[illegible], Trustees, and
[illegible],
[illegible] corporation, Complainants,

vs.

[illegible] Equity No. [illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible], [illegible] corporation, Defendants.

O[illegible]

[illegible] 5th day of July, 1931, [illegible] report of the receiver herein [illegible] further disposition of the property involved [illegible] herein [illegible] directions and instructions as [illegible] ices.

[illegible] the Court being fully advised [illegible] the Court that [illegible] receiver herein, comprising all of [illegible] defendants herein [illegible], is insufficient [illegible] ordinary expenses necessarily [illegible] receiver, [illegible] deficit [illegible]

[illegible] TULSA, [illegible] JUNE 8, 1931

[illegible] recommended that he be authorized and directed to suspend the [illegible] of the said properties, both in the production of casinghead gasoline [illegible] crude [illegible]:

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that [illegible] prayer of the receiver herein is allowed and the said receiver is directed [illegible] suspend operations of all the properties in his hands until the further or[illegible] of this Court.

IT IS FURTHER BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that in cases where leases are jointly owned and operated, the receiver may, in [illegible]rdance with his said report, notify his associate owners of said leases of [illegible] intention to suspend operation of the same, and he is hereby authorized to [illegible] into an agreement with such co-owners to permit them to operate such [illegible]erties, without expense, however, to the receiver herein.

IT IS FURTHER BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that report of the receiver as to the payment of wages of field employees ac[illegible]d during the month of June, 1931, is approved and he is hereby authorized directed to pay the said payroll as set out in his said report insofar as funds in his hands are available, or as rapidly as he may be able to collect [illegible] sufficient to make such payment.

IT IS FURTHER BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that receiver is hereby empowered to repudiate any and all contracts for the [illegible]ishing of casinghead gasoline to filling stations or others until the [illegible]her orders of this Court.

The Court expressly reserves the power to modify this order if when it shall appear to the Court that the modification thereof is to the interest of the estate.

[illegible]
Judge.

[illegible]: Filed Jul [illegible] 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JDB

Court adjourned until July [illegible], 1931.

[illegible]

Court convened pursuant to [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] 7th,

Present: [illegible] F. [illegible], Judge, [illegible] Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, [illegible] District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were [illegible] entered, it:

[illegible]

[illegible] Life Insurance Company, Plaintiff
[illegible]rporation,

-vs-

[illegible] Flanders, [illegible] Flanders,
[illegible]lity Land Credit Company, a
[illegible]oration, [illegible] Walker, as
[illegible]iver of Fidelity Land Credit
[illegible]any, a Corporation, [illegible] Flanders,
[illegible]ert Flanders, Floyd Flanders,
[illegible]tt Hickson, Claud [illegible]ever, and
[illegible] Mail, and John Cullinan,
[illegible] party after suit was filed),
Defendants

[illegible]

This cause came on for hearing at this term, [illegible] of the [illegible]ral Life Insurance Company, a Corporation, plaintiff, [illegible] entitled [illegible], for a final decree pro confesso, pursuant to equity rule No. 17, and it [illegible] to the court that the bill in equity in the above case was filed in [illegible] the 1[illegible]th day of June, 19[illegible], and that [illegible] served on [illegible] the defendants on the 25rd day of June, 19[illegible], and no answer or other de[illegible] has been filed by the defendants, or either of them, except John Cullinan, [illegible] an order taking the bill as confessed was entered in the order book on [illegible] September, 1950, in the office of the [illegible] defendants except John Cullinan, [illegible] that no proceedings have been taken [illegible] defendants, or either of them, since said order was entered [illegible] more [illegible] after the entry of said [illegible] to the said bill [illegible] as confessed [illegible] defendants except John Cullinan; [illegible] was [illegible] order of this court after the order [illegible] was entered; [illegible] the said John Cullinan has [illegible] answer in [illegible].

[illegible] Life Insurance Company, a Corporation, [illegible] against the defendants [illegible] Flanders, [illegible] ([illegible],[illegible].71), with interest thereon [illegible] from December 1st, 19[illegible], until said [illegible] ([illegible]), [illegible] plaintiff [illegible] on said [illegible], together with interest [illegible] March 7th, 19[illegible], [illegible]

[illegible] 9, 1951

[illegible] EIGHTY-SIX DOLLARS [illegible] - [illegible] ($486.95) [illegible] said [illegible] lands by plaintiff, together with interest thereon at the [illegible] ten per cent per annum from June 10th, 1950, until paid, together with [illegible] sum of [illegible] DOLLARS ($11.[illegible]) costs of bringing abstract of title [illegible] lands to date of filing suit in foreclosure, together with interest on [illegible] last named sum at the rate of ten per cent per annum from June 10th, [illegible], until paid, and for the further sum of [illegible] DOLLARS ($50.00) as attorneys fees, as provided for in the note and mortgage in this [illegible], and for all costs of this action, accrued and hereafter to accrue in the [illegible].

IT IS [illegible] THEREFORE ORDERED, [illegible] AND DECREED that a mortgage was [illegible] in this case securing the payment of the above mentioned sums of money [illegible] interest, and that said mortgage expressly waives appraisement of the [illegible] in case of foreclosure, and that if the defendants, Henry Flanders and [illegible] Flanders, his wife, fail for six months from the date of entry of this [illegible] and decree to pay to the plaintiff the aforesaid sum of money and the [illegible] rest thereon, and the costs of this action, including the attorneys fee [illegible] before awarded, that an order of sale in foreclosure shall issue by the [illegible] of this Court to the United States Marshal, commanding him to levy on, advise and sell according to law, without appraisement, the lands and tenements [illegible] ribed in the mortgage, to-wit:

> West half of the Southwest quarter of Section 5, and Northeast Quarter of Southeast quarter of Section 6, Township 27 North, Range 18 East, and Southwest quarter and East half of Northwest quarter of Section 29 and Northeast quarter of Southwest quarter and North 20.50 acres of Lot 5, and Southwest quarter of Southwest quarter of Southeast quarter, and North half of Northeast quarter of Southeast quarter of Section 30, Township 28 North, Range 18 east, of the Indian Meridian, containing 450.50 acres, according to the United States Survey thereof,

[illegible] in Craig County, in the State of Oklahoma, and apply the proceeds arising [illegible] said sale, as follows:

[illegible]: In the payment of the costs of sale and of this action;

[illegible]: In the payment to the plaintiff of the amounts, and the [illegible] rest thereon, in this judgment and decree awarded;

[illegible]: In the payment of the taxes on said lands that shall be [illegible] and delinquent at date of sale;

[illegible]: That any residue remaining, shall be paid to John [illegible] to the extent of his judgment hereinafter awarded in this case;

[illegible] if the amount derived from said sale be not sufficient to pay [illegible] and decree and all costs, including attorneys fee, then let execution [illegible] against the defendants Henry Flanders, and [illegible] Flanders, for any [illegible].

[illegible] ORDERED, [illegible] the defendants [illegible] Land Credit Company, a Corporation, and [illegible] Walker, as receiver of [illegible] Land Credit Company, a corporation, [illegible] right, title, interest

estate in and to the above described lands, or any part thereof.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that John Cullinan have and recover of and from Henry Flanders and [illegible] Flanders, his wife, sum of Fourteen Hundred Eighty Six & 66/100 (1486.66), with interest thereon the rate of 10 per cent per annum thereon, from the 1 day of Dec., 1930, until , $600.00 Attys fee and that the judgment in favor of John Cullinan is or to that of the plaintiff herein, and upon the sale of the lands the pro- s derived therefrom shall be distributed as provided for in the judgment re- ed herein for the plaintiff, and if any over-plus, after paying plaintiff's ment, shall remain it shall be paid to John Cullinan to the extent of his ment herein awarded.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendants Flanders, Delbert Flanders, Floyd Flanders, Emmett Hickson, Claud Lever and ge Vail have no right, title, interest or estate in and to said lands, or part thereof, but were merely tenants on said land for the year 1930, and r interest in said lands as tenants has now expired; that John Cullinan has nterest in said land except he is junior mortgage holder and that upon the of the lands in foreclosure, upon execution issued by the plaintiff herein, he lands do not bring sufficient to pay said John Cullinan the sum of his ment, he then shall from and after the sale have no right, title, interest, estate in and to said lands, and that from and after the sale of the said s in foreclosure, the defendants and each and every one of them, and all ons claiming by, through, or under them, and each of them, is forever ed, enjoined and foreclosed of and from all right, title, interest, equity estate in and to said lands, and every part thereof, and they and each of are forbidden to claim any interest in said lands.

[illegible]
District Judge

[illegible]: Filed Jul [illegible] 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

[illegible]

[illegible] Plaintiff,
[illegible] Company, a corporation,

-vs-

[illegible] Eysenbach, et al, Defendants.

No. [illegible] Equity

[illegible]

Now on this 9th day of July, 1931, [illegible] counsel,

It is hereby ordered that the final [illegible] entered [illegible] on the 9th day of July, 1931 in the [illegible], the same is hereby modified as follows only, to-wit:

The injunction granted in said [illegible] [illegible], is hereby vacated [illegible]

[illegible] to the defendant, [illegible], only, is hereby [illegible]ssed.

In all other respects and as to all other parties except the [illegible], [illegible], the aforesaid final decree [illegible] unchanged and unmodified.

[illegible]
United States District Judge.

: [illegible]
[illegible] Willers
~~Attorney for the defendant.~~

: [illegible]
Attorneys for the Plaintiff.

[illegible]: Filed Jul [illegible] 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible], [illegible],

[illegible] [illegible], [illegible].

[illegible],
[illegible], Defendants.

[illegible]

[illegible] this 6th day of [illegible], 19[illegible], [illegible] [illegible] receivers for [illegible] [illegible], Oklahoma, [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] said [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the value [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] of Oklahoma, [illegible] for [illegible] [illegible] receivers [illegible] [illegible] situate [illegible].

[illegible]: [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible], Clerk [illegible] District Court
U. S. District Court [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Plaintiff,

vs.

[illegible] Equity No. [illegible]

[illegible] Corporation,

[illegible] Defendants,

O R D E R

On motion of the Defendant, [illegible] [illegible] discharging the receiver in the above entitled cause is continued to [illegible], [illegible].

[illegible]

[illegible]: Filed [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] No. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] is the [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] before [illegible], the Receiver's monthly report of [illegible] [illegible] to [illegible] [illegible], inclusive, and said report seems to be [illegible] correct [illegible] the [illegible] state [illegible] receipts and disbursements [illegible] [illegible] be [illegible].

It is therefore considered [illegible] ordered [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible].

[illegible]
District [illegible].

[illegible]: Filed [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible], Plaintiff,

vs. No. [illegible] Equity.

[illegible],
[illegible], et al, Defendants.

ORDER AUTHORIZING APPRAISAL OF
PROPERTIES OF SAPULPA FUEL
COMPANY AND SAPULPA GAS COMPANY.

This matter coming on for hearing on this [illegible]th day of July, 1931, on the application of Eben L. Taylor, receiver of the defendant, Sapulpa Fuel Company, and general manager of the Sapulpa Gas Company, for an order authorizing said Eben L. Taylor to make an appraisal and valuation of the properties of the said defendant, Sapulpa Fuel Company, and the said Sapulpa Gas Company; and upon consideration of said application and the court being well and sufficiently advised,

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED that the said Eben L. Taylor, as receiver of the defendant Sapulpa Fuel Company, and as general manager of the Sapulpa Gas Company, be and he is hereby authorized to employ competent valuation engineers for the purpose of making an appraisal and valuation of the properties of the said defendant, Sapulpa Fuel Company, and the said Sapulpa Gas Company, and that the expense of said appraisal and valuation be paid from the funds of each of said companies in such proportions as shall be proper, according to the time occupied in making the appraisal of the properties of each of said respective companies, provided, however, that the total cost and expense of such appraisal and valuation shall not exceed the sum of Fifteen Hundred Dollars ($1500.00).

[illegible]
Judge.

[illegible]: Filed [illegible] 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court

Court adjourned until July [illegible], [illegible].

[illegible]

[illegible] the following [illegible]

[illegible], PLAINTIFF,)
)
-vs-) No. [illegible]
)
[illegible], Defendant.)

[illegible] this 10th day of [illegible], it [illegible] the Court ordered [illegible] Clerk file and [illegible], [illegible] in words and [illegible]:

[illegible], ss:

[illegible] STATES OF AMERICA,

[illegible]

[illegible]:

[illegible], lately in the [illegible] in a cause between [illegible] Scott, Appellee, [illegible] the decree [illegible] entered in said cause [illegible], viz:

[illegible] question [illegible], it [illegible] the decree [illegible] reversed; [illegible] Court [illegible] rendered [illegible] against [illegible].

[illegible] transcript [illegible] which was [illegible] writ of certiorari [illegible] provided, [illegible].

[illegible], in the [illegible] ordered [illegible], on the said [illegible], and was [illegible]:

[illegible], [illegible] decree [illegible].

[illegible]

[illegible], [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] 10, 1931

[illegible], that this cause be, [illegible] the same [illegible] remanded to the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

June [illegible], 1931.

Therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be [illegible] in such cause, according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be, the said writ of certiorari notwithstanding.

[illegible], the Honorable [illegible], Chief Justice of the United States, the seventh day of July, in the year of our Lord one thousand [illegible] hundred and thirty-one.

[illegible]
Clerk of the Supreme Court of the
United States

[illegible]: Filed [illegible] 10, 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

Court adjourned until [illegible] 11, [illegible].

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, JULY 11, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, July 11th, 1.

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, absent from the District.

Present: H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. Dist. Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

d Springs Home,	Complainant,	
vs.		
sa Rolling Mills Company, orporation,	Respondent,	
ell E. Hays, Receiver for sa Rolling Mills Company, orporation,	Plaintiff,	No. 582 Equity
vs.		
en-Boots Construction Com- y, a corporation, Southern ety Company of New York, a oration, State Highway ission of Oklahoma, and its bers, L. W. Wentz, Chairman, . Hutson, Vice-Chairman, and . Boswell, Member,	Defendants.	

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL

On motion of Rittenhouse, Lee, Webster & Rittenhouse and R. R. enhouse, counsel and attorneys for defendants, Green-Boots Construction pany, a corporation, and Southern Surety Company of New York, a corporation,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that an appeal to the United States Circuit rt of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit from the judgment heretofore filed and ered herein be and the same is hereby allowed, and that certified copy of transcript of the record, exhibits, stipulations, and all proceedings be chwith transmitted to said United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the th Circuit.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the bond on appeal and as supersedeas ed in the sum of $20,000.00 having been executed, approved and filed as pro- ed by law, shall operate as a supersedeas, and that said judgment is super- ed.

Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals, and to file therein its transcript of the ord.

EDGAR S. VAUGHT
JUDGE

ORSED: Filed Jul 13 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OSAGE OIL AND REFINING COMPANY, orporation,	PLAINTIFF,	
-VS-		EQUITY #222 ✓
IE AXELROD, CONTINENTAL OIL PANY, a corporation, et al,	DEFENDANTS.	

ORDER CORRECTING FORMER ORDER OF THIS COURT.

WHEREAS, heretofore, on June 19th, 1931, an order was entered by s court approving narrative and condensed statement of evidence, in which er there appears the following words: "and said narrative statement of facts of evidence having been, by stipulation filed herein, adopted and consented by all parties to this appeal", and it appearing that said order was prepared n the supposition that the appellee would, by stipulation, approve said rative statement, but that at the time the aforesaid order was signed such pulation had not been filed and it was intended at that time by the court to rove the narrative statement not pursuant to such stipulation but pursuant to ice having theretofore been given the appellee by the appellant;

IT IS, THEREFORE, HEREBY ORDERED that the aforesaid order of June h, 1931, is hereby corrected to speak the truth - to the effect that the court ing examined said narrative statements of facts and evidence and being satis- d as to the correctness and sufficiency thereof, it thereby, and does hereby in order and adjudge that said narrative statement is a fair and true state- t of the facts and evidence submitted on the trial of this cause and said rative statement is again hereby approved.

Dated this 11 day of July, 1931.

EDGAR S. VAUGHT
JUDGE

ORSED: Filed Jul 13 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until July 14, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, JULY 14, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, July 14th,

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, absent from the District.

Present: H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
it:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

l Springs Home, a corporation,	COMPLAINANT,	
vs		In Equity No. 582.
sa Rolling Mills Company, a oration,	RESPONDENT.	

O R D E R

It appearing from the application of the Receiver heretofore pointed herein, that there are a number of accounts of the Respondent Company ch the Receiver has been unable to collect, that payments are being made upon e of the accounts from time to time and that a part of said accounts are in ; and that the assistance of the present bookkeeper will be necessary from e to time, in as much as she made the original entries, and is more familiar h the details and facts concerning said accounts than anyone else, and it ther appearing to the Court that the services of the present bookkeeper, garet Delaney, will be available to the Receiver for one-half time work for indefinite period of time at a salary of $75.00 per month

IT IS THEREFORE, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that Receiver be, and he is hereby authorized to employ Margaret Delaney, pre- t bookkeeper of the Receiver, for one-half time work at a salary of $75.00 month for a period of time not exceeding ninety days.

Dated this 9th day of July, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

Objections.
ART DOERNER & HAMILTON
orneys for Sand Springs Home.

ORSED: Filed Jul 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

SPRINGS HOME, a corporation,	Complainant.	
vs.		In Equity No. 582.
A ROLLING MILLS COMPANY, a oration,	Respondent.	

O R D E R.

It appearing to the Court from the application of the Receiver in, that all unfilled orders of the Receiver for Steel have been filled and finished and semi-finished products on hand sold and disposed of except oximately one hundred tons of deformed steel bars, that the warehouse of the ondent Company has been kept open for a few days since May 1st, 1931, in r to complete unfilled orders theretofore accepted by the Receiver at an nse for labor of approximately $646.55, during which time the Receiver has osed of approximately one hundred and fifty ton of Steel at approximately y dollars per ton, and it appearing to the Court that it has been necessary said expenditure to be made and that the estate of the Respondent has bene- d thereby, and it further appearing to the Court that the estate of the Res- ent will benefit from the sale of the remaining steel on hand at a fair et price.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, the expenditure of $646.55, for labor, be and the same is approved, and Receiver herein be and he is hereby authorized to dispose of the remaining l on hand at a fair market price in the event a purchaser should become lable.

DATED THIS THE 9 DAY OF JULY, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

bjections
RT DOERNER & HAMILTON
rneys for Sand Springs Home

RSED: Filed Jul 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
'Y DIVISION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, J LY 14, 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE
NORTHER DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Godwin Pope Plummer, ita Harkness, Plaintiffs,	)	
vs.	)	No. 1138 Law
Godwin, Bedford Godwin, Jr. inia Godwin, Mayes Mercantile any, Dickason-Goodman Lumber any and O. V. Pope, Defendants.	)	No. 660 Equity.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 8th day of June 1931 comes the plaintiffs in person by T. F. Fulling, their attorney, and come also the defendants Cleo Godwin, ord Godwin, Jr. and Virginia Godwin, in person and by C.R. Hunter, their legguardian and C. T. Byrd, their attorney and comes also C. T. Byrd, an attorof this bar, who was heretofore appointed guardian ad litem for the defens Bedford Godwin, Jr. and Virginia Godwin, minors, and who as such guardian item heretofore filed his answer for said minors in this cause, and comes the defendant Mayes Mercantile Company, a corporation, by Wilkerson & n, its attorneys and comes also the defendant Dickason-Goodman Lumber Com, a corporation, by O'Conner, Marshall and Cobb, its attorneys, and comes defendant O. V. Pope by J. M. Hill, his attorney and this cause having been d and submitted on May 20th, 1931, and continued and taken under advisement l this date and the court being sufficiently advised finds that this cause ld be transferred and the same is hereby transferred from the law to the ty division of this court as cause No. 660 Equity.

The court further finds from the written stipulation filed in this e on May 20th, 1931, that the plaintiff Mary Godwin Pope has married since action was commenced and is now Mary Godwin Pope Plummer that she is a blood citizen Creek Indian, roll No. 2222, and that she received from the ed States Government a certain forty acres as her homestead allotted to her; said homestead was restricted and the Secretary of the Interior removed rictions at various times to permit sales until only fifteen acres of said left, from which the Secretary of the Interior removed the restrictions nst alienation and permitted a sale with control of the proceeds of sale as ided by law and the same was sold by said Mary Godwin Pope Plummer, then Godwin, to C. P. Alexander for $36,000.00 cash, which sum was received and by the Department of Interior as restricted funds, and on June 9th 1921, Department of Interior, through the Superintendent of the Five Civilized es, expended of said restricted funds the sum of $19,149.00 for the purchase he following described real estate in Mayes County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

The west half of the southeast quarter and the east half of the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter and the southwest quarter of the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter of section three (3) and the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter and the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter of the northwest quarter and the west half of the northwest quarter of the northwest quarter and the southwest quarter of the southwest quarter of the northwest quarter and the east half of the southwest quarter of the northwest quarter and the southeast quarter of the northwest and the west half of the northeast quarter of the southwest quarter and the northwest quarter of the southwest quarter and the

northeast quarter of the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter of section ten (10) in township nineteen (19) north in range twenty (20) east, containing 290 acres, more or less, according to the Government survey thereof.

That the said real estate was purchased from Chester and Anna ing and a warranty deed executed therefor to the plaintiff Mary Godwin Pope mer, then Mary Godwin, and her children, the plaintiff Jounita Harkness the defendants Cleo Godwin, Bedford Godwin Jr. and Virginia Godwin, a of which deed is attached to the petition in this cause and also made a part aid written stipulation; that said deed contains a clause that the grantees, r heirs ans assigns should hold said real estate free and clear and disged from all former grantes, charges, taxes, judgments, mortgages or other s and incumbrances of whatsoever nature, subject to the condition that no e, deed, mortgage, power of attorney, contract to sell or other instrument cting the land therein described or the title thereto executed during the time of the said grantees at any time prior to April 26th, 1931 shall be ny force and effect or capable of confirmation or ratification unless made the consent of and approval by the Secretary of the Interior.

That said deed was duly filed with the County Clerk of Mayes ty, Oklahoma, on June 14, 1921, and recorded in Book 92 at page 539.

The court further finds from said stipulation that the said Mary n and her said children went into possession of said real estate, occupied used it as their homestead.

The court further finds from said stipulation that the plaintiff ta Harkness and the defendants Cleo, Bedford Jr. and Virginia Godwin are quarter blood indians; that said Jaunita Harkness was of full age when this n was commenced, the other children being minors, but said Cleo Godwin has become and is now of full age and Bedford Godwin Jr. and Virginia Godwin still minors and that all of said children were born since March 4th, 1906.

The court further finds from said stipulation that the defendant son-Goodman Lumber Company on April 1st, 1929, recovered a judgment against aid plaintiff Mary Godwin Pope Plummer in the District Court of Mayes County oma, for $133.93 with 10% interest from June 22nd, 1928, and $23.39 atys fees and for $133.93 with 10% interest from Sept. 2nd, 1928 and $23.39 ney fees and $27.70 costs, being cause No. 4554 in said court, and the dant Mayes Mercantile Company, on May 24th, 1929, recovered a judgment in District Court of Mayes County, Oklahoma, against the said Mary Godwin Pope er for $399.96 with 10% interest from April 1st 1929, $39.99 attorney fees 14.10 costs, being cause No. 4234 in said court, which judgments are unpaid ere duly entered upon the judgment docket of Mayes County, Oklahoma.

The court further finds from said stipulation that this action ommenced in the District Court of Mayes County, Oklahoma, on January 31st, for the purposes disclosed by the petition and was later removed to this on petition of the guardian of said minors on the grounds that a Federal ion is involved in the construction of said deed, the validity of the conns and restrictions placed therein by the Superintendent of the Five Civi- Tribes, acting for the Department of Interior, and the validity of said ents as liens upon and enforceable against the interest of said Mary Godwin in said real estate and this court now finds that it acquired and has iiction of this cause.

The court further finds that the deed for said real estate reys to the plaintiff Mary Godwin Pope Plummer, then Mary Godwin, a life ate in and to said real estate and the fee simple title therein, subject to l life estate to the plaintiff Jaunita Harkness and the defendants Cleo vin, Bedford Godwin, Jr. and Virginia Godwin.

The court further finds that because of said restrictions against enation of said real estate being in full force and effect when this action commenced and partition being held to be a sale, the plaintiffs are not itled to partition of said real estate in this action but may have such parion in an action commenced after April 26th, 1931.

The court further finds that the judgments of the defendants es Mercantile Company and Dickason-Goodman Lumber Company were each taken the obligations out of which they arose were incurred while said restricis against alienation of said real estate were in full force and effect and efore said judgments are not liens against or upon the interest of the plaiff Mary Godwin Pope Plummer in said real estate and are not enforceable inst her said interest either before or since said conditions and restrict- expired on April 26th, 1931, and that she now has and hold said real es- free and clear of said judgments and each of them.

The court further finds as to the defendant O. V. Pope that he as former husband of the plaintiff Mary Godwin Pope, was upon his own motion by permission of court, made a party defendant in this cause and filed an rvening petition claiming a lien and interest in said real estate on acit of certain expenditures and improvements claimed to have been made thereon im and at his own expense while he was such husband and while said condiis and restrictions were upon said real estate and in full force and effect; on the 13th day of December 1930, this court sustained a motion of the ntiffs to strike out said intervening petition of the said defendant, O. V. , from which ruling he did not appeal, and the court now finds that the said endant O. V. Pope did not acquire or have before April 26th, 1931, nor has ince acquired or have and does not now have any claim or lien against said estate or any interest therein by reason of any expenditures or improves, if any, made or claimed by him upon or against said real estate and the plaintiffs and the defendants Cleo, Bedford, Jr. and Virginia Godwin, have, own and hold said real estate free and clear of any claim or lien aid defendant O. V. Pope for any expenditures or improvements made by thereon.

It is therefore considered and adjudged and decreed by the court the plaintiff Mary Godwin Pope Plummer is the owner of a life estate and plaintiff Jaunita Harkness and the defendants Cleo Godwin, Bedford Godwin and Virginia Godwin, are the owners of the fee simple, subject to said life ate, in and to all the above described real estate; that said conditions restrictions against alienation placed thereon by the Department of Inor, through the Superintendent of the Five Civilized Tribes, were and are d until and expired on April 26th, 1931, and said real estate has been and ree therefrom and thereof since that date; that the judgments of the deants Mayes Mercantile Company and the Dickason-Goodman Lumber Company are liens or incumbrances against or upon said real estate or any part of inst therein and that said owners of said real estate have and hold the same and clear of said judgments both before and since April 26th 1931; that defendant O. V. Pope did not prior to April 26th 1931 or since have or ace any right, title or interest, lien or claim against said real estate or part thereof by reason of any expenditures or improvements made or claimed

im and that said expenditures and improvements made by him upon said real te became and are now a part thereof and the plaintiffs and the defendants , Bedford Jr. and Virginia Godwin own and hold said real estate free and r of any claims of the defendant O. V. Pope for any improvements or ex- itures made made by him thereon; that the owners of said real estate are entitled to partition or sale of said real estate in this proceeding be- e said conditions and restrictions against alienantion were on said real te when this action was commenced but may have partition by a new action enced after April 26th, 1931; that plaintiffs recover of the defendants s Mercantile Company, Dickason-Goodman Lumber Company and O. V. Pope all s herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

RSED: Filed Jul 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until July 15, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, JULY 15, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, July 15th, l.

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, absent from the District.

Present: H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ted States of America,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 644 Equity
ı Wagoshe, et al,	Respondents.	

PETITION FOR APPEAL

The respondents, Henry R. Adams and E. C. Mullendore, in their behalf, and in behalf of all other ma ties similarly situated, and for whom bill of complaint was filed in Cause No. 642 Equity, on the docket of the ted States District Court in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, a y of which bill of complaint is attached as Exhibit A, and made a part of motion filed in this cause to dismiss, feeling aggrieved at the decision, ion and order of the court made and entered on the 16th day of June, 1931, oining the respondents, and others similarly situated, from further pro- ıting their said suit, as shown from said injunction order, file this their ition for an appeal from said order and judgment of the court to the Supreme rt of the United States.

Respondents file herewith their assignment of errors and by erence it is made a part hereof.

Wherefore, respondents respectfully pray that the court make an er granting this appeal.

F. E. RIDDLE
HOLCOMB, LOHMAN & BARNEY
Counsel for Respondents.

eal granted on this
10 day of July, 1931.

I. KENNAMER
trict Judge

ORSED: Filed Jul 15 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until 24, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, July 24th, .

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, :it:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

.es Service Gas Company, Plaintiff,	)	
-vs-	)	No. 648 in Equity
y-Dempsey & Company, Inc., a oration, O. E. Dempsey, et al., Defendants.	)	

O R D E R

This matter coming on to be heard on this 24th day of July, 1931 . the motion of Kelly-Dempsey & Company, Inc., a corporation, defendant, O. E. Dempsey, defendant, for an extension of time within which to file er to the bill of complaint herein, and the court being fully advised in the ises finds that such extension should be given.

It is therefore ordered, and the above named defendants are here- ranted an extension of time of 15 days from the time heretofore granted in which to file answer.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT.

RSED: Filed Jul 24 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ed States, Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	No. 653 Equity.
. Swisher, Lula M. Swisher R. P. Elliott, Defendants.	)	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on t is 24th day of July, 1931, it being shown to the Court the plaintiff in the above cause has filed its motion to dismiss said e because a portion of the indebtedness involved therein has been paid e the institution of this suit and the time extended on the balance of said

ebtedness by the Superintendent for the Five Civilized Tribes to February 1932; and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that said se should be dismissed without prejudice.

It is therefore ordered that said cause be, and the same is eby dismissed without prejudice.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

. A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams
Assistant United States Attorney

ORSED: Filed Jul 24 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until July 27, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, July 27th,
1.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings werehad and entered,
vit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

J. Beatty, Assignee,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 156 - Equity.
er P. Dorman, et al,	Defendants.	

ORDER TO DEPOSIT FUNDS IN REGISTER
OF THE COURT.

It appearing to the Court that H. P. Warfield, Clerk of the United es District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, issued his check 1484, drawn on the First National Bank and Trust Company, Tulsa, Oklahoma, he sum of $18.45, payable to Twyford & Smith, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, the representing refund of unused cost deposit and it further appearing to the t that said check has become lost or misplaced and the said Twyford and h have refused to execute an Indemnity Bond, for the issuance of a duplicate k,

IT IS ORDERED, that H. P. Warfield, Clerk of the United States rict Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, shall stop payment on check and deposit same in the Register of this Court.

DATED at Tulsa, this 27th day of July, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE

RSED: Filed Jul 27 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[TY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, JULY 27, 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

3 Springs Home, a corporation	Complainant	
vs.		
sa Rolling Mills Company, orporation,	Respondent.	
and		
ell E. Hays, Receiver for the sa Rolling Mills Company, a poration,	Plaintiff,	In Equity No. 582
vs.		
e and Frye, Inc., a corporation, Southern Surety Company of New k, a corporation,	Defendants.	

ORDER DISMISSING ANCILLARY ACTION

It appearing to the court from the application of the Receiver ein that said Receiver has been paid the sum of $5607.86 and $678.47 by the endants herein, the principal amount of the indebtedness sued for herein it further appearing to the court that said Receiver has accepted the said in full settlement of the amount sued upon herein and waived interest in the roximate amount of $164.45 and court costs in the approximate amount of .20 and it further appearing to the court that it is to the best interest of estate of the Respondent Company that said action has been so compromised the Receiver,

NOW, THEREFORE, it is by the court order adjudged and decreed t this ancillary action be dismissed with prejudice and it is further or- ed that the acts of the Receiver in making the aforesaid settlement and com- mise be and the same are hereby approved. Dated this 27 day of July, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge

. STUART DOERNER & HAMILTON

ORSED: Filed Jul 27 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

N W. BREYFOGLE and T. COLEMAN, Plaintiffs,

vs.

E WILLOW CORPORATION, a corporon, I. S. MINCKS, EFFIE B. MINCKS, RIS PLAN COMPANY, a corporation, RLES L. YANCEY, HENRY L. FIST, HWEST HOMES CORPORATION, a corration, and C. M. REGAN, Defendants.

No. 611 - Equity.

DECREE OF FORECLOSURE.

This cause came on to be heard at this term upon the amended bill d by the complainants herein, the answer and cross-petition of the defen-, Henry L. Fist, and the replication of the complainants.

And it appearing that the amended bill in equity in the above tled cause was filed in this Court on the 4th day of March 1931, and that a oena was issued and served on the defendants, Blue Willow Corporation, a cortion, I. S. Mincks, Effie B. Mincks, Morris Plan Company, a corporation, les L. Yancey, Henry L. Fist, Southwest Homes Corporation, a corporation, C. M. Regan. That the Morris Plan Company, a corporation, Charles L. Yancey, hwest Homes Corporation, a corporation, and C. M. Regan, defendants herein, d their separate and individual disclaimers herein. That the defendant, y L. Fist, filed his answer and cross-petition. That no appearance has been red on the parts of the defendants, Blue Willow Corporation, a corporation, . Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, on demurrer, or plea, or answer filed, and the complainants herein are entitled to take said bill pro confesso against defendants last named, and in addition thereto that t e said defendants named have filed their confession of judgment to the bill of complainants in and to the cross-petition of the defendant, Henry L. Fist.

NOW, THEREFORE, upon consideration of the said amended bill and answer and cross-petition of the defendant, Henry L. Fist, and the replion of complainants, and by reason of the disclaimers and confession of ments filed herein, it is by the Court upon consideration thereof found that e are no conflicting interests between the claimants and cross-petitioner no issues to be tried, and the complainants having introduced the testimony itnesses sworn and examined in open court, together with the notes and gage sued on herein, and the defendant, Henry L. Fist, having introduced imony together with the notes and mortgage sued on by him and the court fully advised finds that all the allegations and averments in the petition e complainants are true and that there is due from the defendants, Blue ow Corporation, a corporation, I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, on said and mortgage set out in complainants first cause of action the sum of en Thousand One Hundred Ninety-six and no/100 ($15,196.00) Dollars, with rest at the rate of seven (7%) per cent per annum from the 16th. day of 1930, until paid, together with an attorney's fee of five (5%) per cent e principal amount, and that there is due the complainants from the defen-, Blue Willow Corporation, a corporation, I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, complainants second cause of action the sum of One Thousand ($1,000.00) rs, together with interest at the rate of seven (7%) per cent per annum the 1st. day of January, 1931, together with an attorney's fee of Ten

0.00) Dollars and ten (10%) per cent of the principal amount as in said es and mortgage provided.

The Court further finds that the complainants herein have a lien the real estate and premises described in said petition by virtue of said tgage as security for the payment of said indebtedness, interest, attorney's s and cost, subject only to a first and prior lien and mortgage of the urity Benefit Association in the sum of Thirty Thousand ($30,000.00) Dols, with interest thereon as in said mortgage of said Security Benefit ociation provided.

And the Court further finds that the allegations and averments the cross-petition of the defendant, Henry L. Fist, are true, and that there due from the defendants, Blue Willow Corporation, a corporation, I. S. cks and Effie B. Mincks, to the said Henry L. Fist on said notes and morte the sum of Ten Thousand Five Hundred Twenty-five ($10,525.00) dollars, h interest thereon at the rate of six (6%) per cent per annum from the 30th. of March, 1931, until paid, together with attorney's fees in the sum of Ten 0.00) Dollars and Ten (10%) per cent of the principal sum as in said note mortgage provided upon said defendant and cross-petitioner's first cause action, and that there is due from the defendants, Blue Willow Corporation, orporation, I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, to the defendant and Crossitioner, Henry L. Fist, the sum of Ten Thousand Eighty and no/100 0,080.00) Dollars, with interest at the rate of six (6%) per cent per annum m the 20th. day of March, 1930, until paid, together with an attorney's fee the sum of Ten ($10.00) Dollars and Ten (10%) per cent of the principal as in the said note and mortgage provided.

The Court further finds and adjudges that the defendant and crossitioner, Henry L. Fist, has a lien upon the real estate and premises desbed in said petition by virtue of the mortgages for security for the payment said indebtedness, interest, attorney's fees and costs, subject only to a st lien and mortgage of the Security Benefit Association in the principal of Thirty Thousand ($30,000.00) Dollars, and subject to a second lien of the plainants herein in the sum of the complainants judgment herein, and that d first lien of Security Benefit Association and the complainants second n of John W. Breyfogle and R. W. Coleman, complainants, and the third lien the defendant and cross-petitioner, Henry L. Fist, does hereby attach to the perty described in complainants' bill which is as follows, to-wit:

> The Westerly Forty (40) feet of Lot One (1)
> in Block One Hundred and Thirty-four (134)
> in the Original Town, now City, of Tulsa,
> Tulsa County, Oklahoma, according to the
> official plat and survey thereof.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that said complainants do have and recover from the defendants, Blue Willow poration, a corporation, I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, and each of m, Fifteen Thousand One Hundred Ninety-six ($15,196.00) Dollars, with inest thereon at the rate of Seven (7%) per cent per annum from the 16th. of June, 1930, and for the further sum of $759.80 as attorney's fees, toher with the cost of this action accrued and accruing upon complainants st cause of action.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said plainants do have and recover from the defendants, Blue Willow Corporation,

rporation, I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, and each of them, the sum of 0.00, with interest thereon at the rate of seven (7%) per cent per annum the 1st. day of January, 1931, and for the further sum of $110.00 as rney's fees, together with the cost of this action accrued and accruing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendant cross-petitioner, Henry L. Fist, do have and recover from the defendants, Willow Corporation, a corporation, I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, and of them, the sum of Ten Thousand Five Hundred Twenty-five ($10,525.00) ars, with interest thereon at the rate of six (6%) per cent per annum from 20th day of March, 1930, and the further sum of One Thousand Sixty-two and 00 ($1,062.50) Dollars as attorney's fees, together with the cost of this on accrued and accruing upon defendant and cross-petitioner's first cause ction.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that said defendant and cross-petitioner, Henry L. Fist, do have and recover of from the defendants, Blue Willow Corporation, a corporation, I. S. Mincks Effie B. Mincks, and each of them, the sum of Ten Thousand and Eighty ,080.00) Dollars, with interest at six (6%) per cent per annum from the 20th. of March, 1930, and the further sum of One Thousand and Eighteen 018.00) Dollars as attorney's fees, together with the cost of this action ued and accruing.

And it further appearing to the Court that the said mortgages in sought to be foreclosed contain the words "appraisements waived."

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that in case the defendants, Blue Willow Corporation, a corporation, I. S. Mincks and Effie incks, fail for six months from the date of the entry of this judgment to said complainants said sum of Fifteen Thousand One Hundred Ninety-Six ,196.00) Dollars, with interest and attorney's fees upon the first cause ction, and One Thousand ($1,000.00) Dollars, with interest and attorney's upon the second cause of action, and fail to pay to the defendant and s-petitioner, Henry L. Fist, the sum of Ten Thousand Five Hundred Twenty- ($10,525.00) Dollars, with interest and attorney's fees upon the first e of action, and Ten Thousand and Eighty ($10,080.00) Dollars, with interest, attorney's fees on the second cause of action and costs, an order of sale l issue to the Marshal of the UnitedStates District Court for the Northern rict of Oklahoma, or to the Sheriff of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, anding him to advertise and sell, subject to a certain mortgage in the sum hirty Thousand ($30,000.00) Dollars of the Security Benefit Association, rding to law without appraising the lands and tenements described in said gage, to-wit:

> The Westerly Forty (40) feet of Lot One (1) in Block One Hundred and Thirty-four (134) in the Original Town, now City, of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof,

to apply the proceeds arising from said sale as follows:

> First. In payment of the cost of said sale and of this action.

Second. In payment to the complainants of the sum of Fifteen Thousand One Hundred Ninety-six ($15,196.00) Dollars, together with interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from the 16th. day of June, 1930, together with attorney's fees and cost accrued and accruing upon complainants first cause of action, and the sum of One Thousand ($1,000.00) Dollars, together with interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from the 1st. day of January, 1931, together with attorney's fees and court costs accrued and accruing upon complainants second cause of action, the amount of the judgment herein.

Third. In payment of the defendant and cross-petitioner, Henry L. Fist, of the sum of Ten Thousand Five Hundred Twenty-five ($10,525.00) Dollars, with interest at the rate of 6% per annum from the 20th. day of March, 1930, together with attorney's fees in the sum of One Thousand Sixty-two ($1,062.50) Dollars upon the first cause of action of the defendant and cross-petitioner, Henry L. Fist, and the sum of Ten Thousand and Eighty ($10,080.00) Dollars, with interest from the 20th. day of March, 1930, together with attorney's fees and cost accrued and accruing upon the defendant and cross-petitioner's second cause of action.

Fourth. The residue, if any, to be paid to the Clerk of this Court to await the further order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by this Court that m and after the sale of said real property under and by virtue of this gment and decree said defendants, Blue Willow Corporation, a corporation, S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, and each of them, and all persons claiming er them, or either of them, since the filing of the bill herein and the wer and cross-petition be, and theyare hereby forever barred and foreclosed any and every lien upon the right, title, interest, estate or equity of, in, to said real estate, or any part thereof.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendants, ris Plan Company, a corporation, Charles L. Yancey, Southwest Homes Coration, a corporation, and C. M. Regan, who have filed disclaimers herein and are from the date of the filing of the bill herein, and the answer cross-petition by the defendant, Henry L. Fist, be, and all persons claimunder them, or either of them, since the filing of the bill and said ss-petition herein be, and they are forever barred and foreclosed of and m any and every lien upon the right, title, interest estate, or equity of, or to said real estate, or any part thereof.

Dated this 27th day of July, 1931.

F. E. KELLAMER
Judge of the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

YANCEY SPILLERS, FIST
Attys for:
Morris Plan Co.
Charles L. Yancey
Southwest Homes Corporation
and
Henry L. Fist

REMINGTON ROGERS
Attorney for I. S. Mincks
Effie B. Mincks
Blue Willow Corporation

RSED: Filed Jul 27 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ed States of America,	Complainant, :	
-vs-	:	No. 644 Equity
Wagoshe, et al,	Respondents. :	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME

For good cause shown, the interveners, Vacuum Oil Company, a oration, The Texas Company, a Corporation, and the Empire Oil & Refining any, a Corporation, are hereby given an extension of time until August 3, , within which to file pleas to the answers and counter-claims filed in cause.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge, Northern District of Oklahoma.

RSED: Filed Jul 27 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until July 29, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, JULY 29, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, July 29th, l.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, vit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

e K. Eby,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 581 Equity
arch Royalty Corporation, al	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Now on this the 24th day of July, 1931, upon the applic tion the Receiver herein, it appearing to the Court that no allowance has been e for said Receiver for the month of June, beginning June 8th, nor for the th of July; It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that the d James A. Harris, Receiver, is hereby made an additional allowance on ser- es rendered herein of Five Hundred Dollars ($500) each for the month of e and the month of July, and he is hereby authorized to pay himself said unt by Receivers check drawn upon the funds to the credit of the Receiver the First National Bank and that such amounts be charged to his account allowed in his final account.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge

ORSED: Filed Jul 29 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ted States of America,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 644 Equity.
n Wagoshe, et al,	Respondents.	

O R D E R

On this the 29th day of June, 1931, came on to be heard the lication and motion of certain of the respondents, represented by counsel ein, for an extension of time within which to file their answer, and

s now ordered by the court that said respondents be and they are hereby n an extension of additional time until the 15th day of July, 1931, in h to file their answer herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge

RSED: Filed Jul 29 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

el J. T. Straus and in L. Straus, as Trustees, Plaintiffs,

vs.

r Columbia Company, a oration, Apartment dings Company, a corporation, Fred Irwig, Defendants.

Equity No. 652

WARNING ORDER

On this 29th day of July, 1931, came on to be heard the applica- of the plaintiffs in the above styled and numbered cause for an order di- ing certain absent defendants to appear and plead, answer or demur herein day certain to be designated by this Court, and it appearing to the Court this is a suit brought by plaintiffs to foreclose a certain real estate chattel mortgage or trust deed and chattel mortgage dated January 2, 1926, ated by the defendant Fred Irwig to Straus Trust Company and Melvin L. as, as Trustees (Samuel J. T. Straus having been substituted as Trustee lace of Straus Trust Company) to secure the payment of a bond issue of Hundred Thousand Dollars ($700,000.00) and interest, said trust deed and tel mortgage covering the premises and real estate lying and being situated e County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, and in the Northern District of Okla- , and which real estate is therein described as

> The east three hundred forty (340) feet of lots One (1), two (2) and three(3), Block two (2), in River Ford Addition to the Town of Tulsa, Indian territory, now in the State of Oklahoma, as said lots are marked and designated upon the recorded plat thereof;

her with any and all buildings, improvements and appurtenances thereto urniture, furnishings and equipment therein, and used in connection there- more particularly described in plaintiffs' bill of complaint; and it er appearing to the Court that the defendant Apartment Buildings Company, poration, and its officers are residents, citizens and inhabitants of the of Jackson, State of Missouri, and the Western District of Missouri corporate address at 702 Argyle Building, Kansas City Missouri, and that er the said defendant nor any of its officers can be found in this District;

l it further appearing to the Court that the said defendant has not voluntar- y entered its appearance to this complaint in equity in this Court, and the urt being of the opinion that said application should be granted,

IT IS ORDERED that the said Apartment Buildings Company, a poration, defendant, shall appear to plead, answer or demur to the said bill complaint in this Court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on or before the 28th day of gust, 1931.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that certified copies of this order be ved on said Apartment Buildings Company, a corporation, twenty days before date above named, by the United States Marshal for the Western District Missouri.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District on this 29th day of y, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ORSED: Filed Jul 29 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until July 30, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, July 30th, 1.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

TED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 343 Equity. ✓
RSHALL L. MOTT,	Defendant.	

ORDER DIRECTING FILING OF
SECOND AMENDED BILL OF COMPLAINT.

Now on this 30 day of July, 1931, same being one of the term days the Special March, 1931, term of said Court, upon the motion and request of e plaintiff, by and through the United States Attorney for the Northern strict of Oklahoma, the Clerk of this Court is hereby ordered and directed to le the Second Amended Bill of Complaint of the plaintiff herein, as tendered, accordance with the mandates of the Supreme Court of the United States and e United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, as they pear of record in this Court.

It is further ordered that the defendant, Marshall L. Mott, , and he hereby is granted sixty days from this date to file an answer to id Second Amended Bill of Complaint of the plaintiff herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

K. JNO. M. GOLDESBERRY
Jno. M. Goldesberry,
United States Attorney.

DORSED: Filed Jul 30 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court BM

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

1 W. Breyfogle, and T. Coleman,	Plaintiffs,	
vs.		No. 611 Equity.
e Willow Corporation, a poration, et al,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

This matter coming on for hearing before me, the undersigned ge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, this 30th day of July, 1931, upon the application of Producers National pany, for an order permitting said Producers National Company to institute prosecute a suit in the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, against S. Mincks, Effie B. Mincks and T. J. Hartman, receiver, for said Ike S. cks and Effie B. Mincks for a judgment against said Ike S. Mincks and Effie Mincks, upon a certain note in the principal sum of Twenty Thousand Dol- s ($20,000.00), together with interest and attorneys' fees and for the fore- sure of said mortgage given to secure said note, which said mortgage covers following described property, to-wit:

> The West Half (W/2) of the Northwest Quarter (NW/4) of Section Nine (9); and the East Half (E/2) of Section Eight (8); and the North Half (N/2) of the Northeast Quarter (NE/4) of Section Seventeen (17). Township Twenty-one (21) North, Range Twelve (12E) East, in Osage County, Oklahoma.

The Court, after reading said application and hearing the re- ks of counsel in support thereof and after due consideration finds, that d note and mortgage are past due and that the taxes on the property here- bove described are now delinquent; that demand for payment has been made said Producers National Company and payment has been refused, and that said ducers National Company should be permitted to institute and prosecute uit in the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, against the said S. Mincks, Effie B. Mincks and T. J. Hartman, receiver, for Ike S. Mincks Effie B. Mincks, for the foreclosure of said mortgage.

It is, THEREFORE, by the Court, ORDERED, that the Producers ional Company, a corporation, be and it is hereby permitted and allowed to deed with the institution and prosecution of a suit in the District Court Osage County, Oklahoma, against the said Ike S. Mincks, Effie B. Mincks T. J. Hartman, receiver, for Ike S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, for re- ery upon said note and the foreclosure of said mortgage executed by Ike Mincks and Effie B. Mincks and payable to the order of said Producers National pany.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE, DISTRICT COURT.

ORDED: Filed Jul 30 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JLR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

. Booth, Et al, Plaintiff,
vs. No. 663 E
r Investment Company, Et al, Defendants.

O R D E R

NOW, on this 30 day of July, 1931, this cause coming on to be d upon the motion and application of eighty-nine individuals named in said ication, to be made parties plaintiff in this action, and the Court being y advised in the premises finds that said application should be sustained.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the eighty- applicants named in the application filed on this day, be, and the same hereby made parties complainant in this said action and are permitted awith to file their petition in this action.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

RED: Filed Jul 30 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

. Booth, Et al, Plaintiff,
vs. No. 663 E.
Investment Company, Et al, Defendants.

O R D E R

NOW, on this 30 day of July, 1931, this cause coming on to be upon the motion and application of S. J. Harris named in said application, made party plaintiff in this action, and the Court being fully advised e premises finds that said application should be sustained.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that S. J. Harris, cant named in the application filed on this day, be, and the same is, y made party complainant in this said action and is permitted forthwith to his petition in this action.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

SED: Filed Jul 30 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TED STATES OF AMERICA,	Complainant,	
vs.		
E. Perry, Marie Alice Perry, ce Kennedy, Carl Hart, en Hart, Pearl Crawford, A. Morris, W. T. Holt, n Hyman, and the Exchange st Company, a Corporation,	Defendants.	Equity No. 664

ORDER FOR TEMPORARY WRIT OF
INJUNCTION

Now on this the 30 day of July 1931, this matter comes on to be rd upon the Bill of Complaint heretofore filed in the office of the Clerk this Court, and upon the affidavit of Joseph Pruegert, Henry B. Jones, M. Pauly, George R. Briner, George E. Carver and Edren S. Burns, and it earing to the satisfaction of the Court, by inspection of the Bill of Com- int and said affidavits, and otherwise, that a nuisance exists as described said Bill of Complaint on the premises therein mentioned, it is

ORDERED that, pending the final hearing and determination of this ication and entry of an order therein, the defendants above named,

R. E. Perry
Marie Alice Perry,
Loyce Kennedy,
Carl Hart,
Helen Hart,
Pearl Crawford,
W. A. Morris,
W. T. Holt,

r agents, servants, employees, and all other persons, and all others to notice shall come, are restrained and enjoined from manufacturing, pos- sing, keeping, selling and bartering any intoxicating liquor, as defined in ion 1, Title 2, of the National Prohibition Act, upon the premises des- ed in the Bill of Complaint, as follows:

> The South 70 feet of Lot 2, Block 131, Original survey of the incorporated town of Tulsa, Oklahoma, on which there is located what is known and described as the "Maybelle Apartments", being a two-story, brick and stucco Apartment house, containing approximately 20 apartments, and the same being 416 South Elwood Street, in said city of Tulsa.

The defendants are further restrained and enjoined from re- ng or in any way interfering with the liquor or fixtures or other things said premises, used, kept or maintained in connection with the manu- ure, selling, keeping, possessing or bartering of such liquor, and from

ducting or permitting the continuance of a common nuisance upon said mises.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

. HARRY SEATON
Assistant U. S. Attorney

ORDED: Filed Jul 30 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until July 31, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, July 31st, 1.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

rity Benefit Association, Plaintiff, orporation,	)	
vs.	)	
n of Slick, Oklahoma, a icipal Corporation, and rlie Sprott, President of Board of Trustees, Defendants.	)	In Equity No. 445.
GUARANTEE FUND LIFE ASSOCIATION, Intervenor.	)	

DECREE PRO CONFESSO

Now on this 31st day of July, 1931, the same being a judicial day regular term of the above entitled court, this cause comes on for hearing, it appearing to the court that the first supplemental bill of intervention equity of the intervenor, The Guarantee Fund Life Association, was filed in court on November 8, 1929; that thereafter a subsequent supplemental of intervention in equity was by said The Guarantee Fund Life Association, rvenor, filed on September 17, 1930, and that the plaintiff, Security fit Association, the defendant, Town of Slick, and Charlie Sprott, President he Board of Trustees of the Town of Slick, and the other intervenors herein all failed to answer or plead to said supplemental pleadings aforesaid, ough the court at the time of filing said pleadings had and still has juris- ion of the person of each of said parties to the action aforesaid; and it her appearing that all of said parties, plaintiff, defendant, and inter- rs, are, and at all times since the filing of said supplemental pleadings esaid have been in default of answer, motion or other plea thereto; and urther appearing that an order taking the said supplemental bills pro con- o was duly entered on the 21th day of June, 1931 in the order book and no proceedings have been had or taken by said plaintiff or by the other rvenors herein as aforesaid since such order was entered:

NOW THEREFORE, more than thirty days after entering said order foresaid, to-wit, on this 31st day of July, 1931, it is hereby ordered, dged and decreed that the defendant, Town of Slick, Oklahoma, is indebted he intervenor, The Guarantee Fund Life Association, all as set forth in said supplemental bill in equity filed November 8, 1929, on the following ons number ten (10), clipped from bonds numbers thirty (30), Thirty-one , thirty-two (32), thirty-three (33), thirty-four (34), seventy-three (73), nty-four (74), eighty-one (81), eighty-two (82), eighty-three (83),

hty-four (84), eighty-five (85), eighty-seven (87), eighty-eight (88) and hty-nine (89), in the amount of Thirty Dollars ($30.00) each, being a water-ks bond issue dated August 7, 1923 of said town, in the principal amount of hty-Nine Thousand Dollars ($89,000.00), with interest thereon at 6 per cent annum from the date of maturity of said coupons, to-wit, February 7, 1929, s a credit of Seven Dollars ($7.00) endorsed upon each of said coupons on e 8, 1931, or a total of $66.13; and upon coupons number eleven (11), clip- from bonds numbers thirty (30), thirty-one (31), thirty-two (32), thirty-ee (33), thirty-four (34), seventy-three (73), seventy-four (74), eighty- (81), eighty-two (82), eighty-three (83), eighty-four (84), eighty-five), eighty-seven (87), eighty-eight (88) and eighty-nine (89), in the unt of Thirty Dollars ($30.00) each, being a waterworks bond issue dated ust 7, 1923 of said town, in the principal amount of Eighty-Nine Thousand lars ($89,000.00), with interest thereon at 6 per cent per annum from the e of maturity of said coupons, to-wit, August 7, 1929, less a credit of en Dollars ($7.00) endorsed upon each of said coupons on June 8, 1931, or a al of $52.63.

It is further considered, ordered and adjudged that the defen-t, Town of Slick, is indebted to the intervenor, The Guarantee Fund Life ociation, all as set forth in its supplemental bill in equity filed herein tember 17, 1930, upon coupons number twelve (12), clipped from bonds numbers rty (30), thirty-one (31), thirty-two (32), thirty-three (33), thirty-four), seventy-three (73), seventy-four (74), eighty-one (81), eighty-two (82), hty-three (83), eighty-four (84), eighty-five (85), eighty-seven (87), hty-eight (88) and eighty-nine (89), in the amount of Thirty Dollars 0.00) each, being a waterworks bond issue dated August 7, 1923 of said town, the principal amount of Eighty-Nine Thousand Dollars ($89,000.00), with erest thereon at 6 per cent per annum from the date of maturity of said pons, to-wit, February 7, 1930, less a credit of Seven Dollars ($7.00) orsed upon each of said coupons on June 8, 1931, or a total of $39.13; and n coupons number thirteen (13), clipped from bonds numbers thirty (30), rty-one (31), thirty-two (32), thirty-three (33), thirty-four (34), enty-three (73), seventy-four (74), eighty-one (81), eighty-two (82), eighty-ee (83), eighty-four (84), eighty-five (85), eighty-seven (87), eighty-nt (88) and eighty-nine (89), in the amount of Thirty Dollars ($30.00) n, being a waterworks bond issue dated August 7, 1923 of said town, in the ncipal amount of Eighty-Nine Thousand Dollars ($89,000.00), with interest reon at 6 per cent per annum from the date of maturity of said coupons, wit, August 7, 1930, less a credit of Seven Dollars ($7.00) endorsed upon n of said coupons on June 8, 1931, or a total of $25.63.

It is further considered, ordered and adjudged that the inter-or, The Guarantee Fund Life Association, do have and recover of and from the endant, Town of Slick, Oklahoma, the sum of $1563.52, being the total unt hereinabove found to be due by said Town of Slick to said intervenor, and t said intervenor have interest on said total amount from this date until l at the rate of 6 per cent per annum and that it recover its costs herein ended.

It is further ordered that for the purpose of permitting inter-tion herein by other beondholders, and supplemental pleadings by the parties eto covering coupons maturing since the institution of this suit, and for the

ver administration and disbursements of the funds in the hands of the Re-
er heretofore appointed by this Court, and for the purpose of making such
her orders as may be proper in the premises, that this Court do retain
sdiction of this cause until further ordered.

F. E. KELLAMER
Judge.

RDED: Filed Jul 31 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ed States of America, Complainant,

vs.

Wagoshe, John Bruce, John
tt, Maud Thompson Supernaw, James
ier, Joseph William Pearson, Irene
ett, Millard DeNoya, H. T. Kennedy,
S. Irwin, Robert Stuart, Ed. Talley,
D. Stone, Eula Hand, N. Levine,
and Oil Company, a corporation, A. F.
m, Glen Skinner, Alfred A. Drummond,
e E. Day, J. L. Simpson, H. E. West,
stee, J. A. Ladd, Betty Ladd, R. L. Hall,
rt L. Newman, H. G. Barnard, Henry Vaden,
Mullendore Trust Company, a corporation,
G. Drummons, C. C. Craddock, Fred Howe,
am P. Higgins, E. E. Grindstead, LeNoyne
oud, Maud Spurr, Maggie J. Ebert, Sam W.
oud, Charles D. Stroud, Joseph D. Mitchell,
Lewis, Isabella Bennett, J. A. Presbury,
. Stuart, R. C. Drummond, Hlu-sh-to-me,
Mohogla, Wah-ke-sah-mo-ie, Hattie Smitherman,
les W. Labadie, Robert E. Labadie, Rose Mason,
. Mullendore, Magella Whitewing, Minnie
Martin, Guardian of Fred Roan Martin, a
or, and Henry R. Adams,

Respondents.

NO. 644 Equity

ORDER AUTHORIZING SERVICE ON ABSENT DEFENDANTS

On motion of plaintiff, Sinclair Oil and Gas Company and the
idavit of its Solicitor in support thereof, filed this day, and it appearing
the following named persons have or claim some interest in the subject
ter of this suit, and it further appearing that said persons are not inhabi-
ts of or found within this District, and have not voluntarily appeared
ein, it is ordered that the following named absent defendants, J.A.B. Oglevee,
rd L. Cooper, Anna L. Cooper, Edna J. Hickman Willis, and Ruby Ellen
edge, appear, plead, answer or demur to the bill of intervention filed
ein by Sinclair Oil and Gas Company on the 16th day of June 1931, on or
re the 1 day of September 1931.

It is further ordered that the following named absent defendants, iam E. Bennett and Teresea Bennett Fulton appear, plead, answer or demur to bill of intervention filed herein by The Pure Oil Company and Sinclair and Gas Company on the 31st day of July 1931, on or before the 1st day of ember, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
J u d g e.

ED: Filed Jul 31 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ed States of America, Complainant,	
vs.	
Wagoshe, John Bruce, John t, Maud Thompson Supernaw, James er, Joseph William Pearson, Irene ett, Millard Denoya, H. T. Kennedy, S. Irwin, Robert Stuart, Ed. Talley, . Stone, Eula Rand, N. Levina, nd Oil Company, a corporation, A. H. , Glen Skinner, Alfred A. Drummond, E. Day, J. L. Simpson, H. E. West, ee, J. A. Ladd, Betty Ladd, R. L. Hall, t L. Newman, H. G. Barnard, Henry Vaden, Mullendore Trust Company, a corporation, G. Drummons, C. C. Graddock, Fred Rowe, am P. Higgins, E. E. Grinstead, LeNoyne d, Maud Spurr, Maggie J. Ebert, Sam W. Stroud, es D. Stroud, Joseph D. Mitchell, Olin , Isabella Bennett, J. A. Fresbury, C. F. t, R. C. Drummond, Hlu-sh-to-me, Dora la, Wah-ko-sah-moie, Mattie Smitherman, es W. Labadie, Robert E. Labadie, Rose , E. C. Mullendore, Magella Whitewing, e E. Martin, guardian of Fred Roan Martin, or, and Henry R. Adams, Respondents.	NO. 644 Equity ✓

O_ R_ D_ E_ R

On this 30th day of July, 1931, The Pure Oil Company a corpor-, and Sinclair Oil and Gas Company a corporation, are granted leave to herein instanter the Bill of Intervention of said The Pure Oil Company, poration and Sinclair Oil and Gas Company, a corporation.

And on motion of said The Pure Oil Company, a corporation and air Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, and upon examination of the veri-Bill of Intervention tendered for filing by said The Pure Oil Company,

orporation, and Sinclair Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, and it appearing the following persons not heretofore made defendants have or claim some erest in the subject matter of this suit, namely -

Ruby Harvey; Mary Harvey; James Maker; Teresea Bennett; William B. Bennett; Ruby Big Chief Ho-ki-ah-se; Ruth Ho-ki-ah-se; Clarence Ho-ki-ah-se; Beatrice Whitewing; Oscar Whitewing; E-ne-ke-op-pe; Louis James; Rose Osage Allen; Mary Osage Green; Eliza Osage; Lela Mae Big Chief; Mary Whitehorn; Louise Maker; Mildred B. Craddock; and Alice Red Eagle.

It is now ordered that each of the foregoing persons be and r are hereby made parties defendant to this suit.

It is further ordered, that a supplemental subpoena issue herein ected to said named persons hereby made defendants to this suit to the end they may be brought in as parties defendant to this suit.

It is further ordered that all proceedings heretofore had herein id with the same force and effect as if this action had been originally ught against the defendants added to this suit by this order and without judice to the rights of said defendants.

R. E. LEMMER
J u d g e.

JNO. A. GOLDESBERRY
Solicitor for Complainant.

EDWARD H. CHANDLER
SUMNER HARDY
W. C. BEALL
J. A. MALEY
Solicitors for The Pure Oil Company and
Sinclair Oil and Gas Company

HOLCOMB LOWMAN & BARNEY
F. A. RIDDLE
Solicitors for Defendants.

ABEL: Filed Jul 31 1931
A. R. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ies Service Gas Company, Plaintiff,

vs. No. 647 Equity.

ry L. Lemons, Inc., a corporation, al, Defendants.

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO ANSWER.

This cause coming on to be heard on motion of A. F. Moss, as orney for defendant, Julian T. VanHook for additional time to answer in the ve entitled cause, and it appearing to the court that the attorneys represing the plaintiff herein have stipulation to extend the time to answer to including August 10, 1931;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defent Julian T. VanHook be and the same hereby is granted until the 10th day of ust, 1931, to answer herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
Federal Judge.

ORSED: Filed Jul 31 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. Booth Et al, Complainants,

vs. No. 663 Equity.

er Investment Company, Et al, Defendants.

MODIFICATION OF TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

This matter coming on for hearing this the 30th day of July, l, upon motion of the respondents to modify the temporary restraining order etofore entered by this Court, and it appearing to the Court that the same uld be modified so as to conform with the temporary restraining order ened by the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, on the 25 dayof April, l;

IT I, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court t the temporary restraining order heretofore entered by this Court is ified so as to in all things conform exactly with the modified restraining

er entered by the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, entered on the day of April 1931, and said temporary order so modified and entered by the trict Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, is hereby continued in full force and ect.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the application of complainants for porary injunction is hereby set for hearing at 9:30 o'clock A. M., at United States District Court Room in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, ahoma, on August 8, 1931, and that no further notice thereof is required to given to the defendants as their attorneys have heretofore been served with ice thereof.

Dated this the 30th day of July, A. D. 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
FEDERAL JUDGE.

ORSED: Filed Jul 31 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned to August 1, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, August 1,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, it:

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

. HUGHES AS SUCCESSOR TO B A. SALMON AS RECEIVER OF IRST NATIONAL BANK OF BIXBY, HOMA, A NATIONAL BANKING IATION, PLAINTIFF,	
vs.	No. 506 Equity ✓
. SHAVER AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE TE OF S. C. FROST, DECEASED, ., DEFENDANTS.	

ORDER CONFIRMING SALE

NOW on this 31 day of July, 1931, the above entitled cause came egularly for hearing upon the motion of the plaintiff for the confirmation ale, and after examining the files and proceedings and hearing the evidence red in support of said motion, and no exceptions or objections having been l herein, and being fully advised in the premises, the court finds:

That the execution and order of sale heretofore issued in this e under date of April 9, 1931, to Garland Keeling as Special Master, pur- to the decree of this court rendered herein September 18,1930, properly ted the sale of the following described real estate situated in Tulsa y, Oklahoma, to-wit:

Lots 24 and 25, Block 14, in
Midland Addition to the Town
of Bixby, Oklahoma,

tisfy the judgment heretofore rendered in this cause September 18, 1930;

That the court has examined said execution and order of sale, the Special Master's return and report of sale thereto attached, to- r with the proof of publication of the notice of sale given by said al Master, which execution, return and publication of notice of sale are to be in compliance with the orders and directions of this court, and l respects in conformity to law and the decree heretofore rendered in this , and are hereby approved.

The court further finds that said sale was legally made and fair-
onducted and the Clerk of this court is hereby directed to enter on the
nal that the court is satisfied of the legality of said sale.

IT IS THEREFORE ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that
sale heretofore made in this cause by Garland Keeling as Special Master
he 13th day of May, 1930, at 2:00 o'clock P. M., of the above described
estate and premises to J. G. Hughes as Receiver of the First National
of Sirby, Oklahoma, plaintiff herein, be and the same hereby is approved
confirmed in all respects; and said Special Master be and he hereby is
cted and ordered to make and deliver to the purchaser a deed properly
eying said real estate and tenements.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

RSED: Filed Aug 1 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA

. HUGHES AS SUCCESSOR TO B A. SALMON AS RECEIVER OF FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SIRBY, HOMA, A NATIONAL BANKING CIATION,	PLAINTIFF,	
vs.		No. 506 Equity
. SHAVER AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE TE OF S. C. FROST, DECEASED, . .,	DEFENDANTS.	

ORDER FIXING FEES OF GUARDIAN AD
LITEM AND SPECIAL MASTER

NOW on this 1st day of August, 1931, the above entitled cause
on regularly for hearing upon the application of the plaintiff for an
r allowing the fees to the guardian ad litem and Special Master heretofore
inted by this court for services rendered by them under the directions of
court; plaintiff appearing by his counsel of record and the said Paul D.
y and Garland Keeling, the guardian ad litem and Special Master, res-
ively, appearing personally; and after hearing the evidence offered in sup-
of said application and being fully advised in the premises, the court
s:

That the sum of $25.00 is a reasonable compensation for the
ices rendered in this cause by Paul D. Busby as guardian ad litem for the
r defendants in this cause, and that the sum of $25.00 is a reasonable
ensation for the services performed by Garland Keeling as Special Master
this cause.

IT IS THEREFORE ordered by the court that said Paul D. Busby
and he hereby is allowed the sum of $25.00 as compensation and fees for his

ices as guardian ad litem in this cause, and that the sum of $25.00 be and same hereby is allowed as compensation and fees to Garland Keeling for services rendered by him as Special Master in this cause; and it is further red and directed that said fees of the guardian ad litem and special er be taxed as costs herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

RDED: Filed Aug 1 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court KE

ED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
-vs-
D OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,
COUNTY, Defendants.
No. 550 - Equity.

Now on this 1st day of August, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the that Motion to dismiss of Defendants be, and the same is hereby, over- l. Defendants given thirty (30) days to plead or answer. F.E.K. Judge.

K. LEY, Plaintiff,
-vs-
CH ROYALTY CORPORATION,
, Defendants.
No. 581 - Equity.

Now on this 1st day of August, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by ourt that Receivership in the above entitled cause to continue in t until further order of the Court. (F.E.K. Judge).

SPRINGS HOME, A CORPORATION, Plaintiff,
-vs-
ROLLING MILLS COMPANY, a
ration, Defendant.
No. 582 - Equity.

Now on this 1st day of August, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the that decree of foreclosure in favor of Sand Springs Home and Exchange nal Bank and against the Tulsa Rolling Mills be entered, all as per al entry to be filed.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Springs Home,	Complainant,	
vs.		
a Rolling Mills Company, rporation,	Respondent,	
ll E. Hays, Receiver for a Rolling Mills Company, rporation,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 582, Equity.
n Boots Construction Company, rporation, Southern Surety any of New York, a Corpora-, State Highway Commission klahoma, and it's members . Wentz, Chairman, L. C. Hutson, -Chairman, and S. C. Boswell, er.	Defendants.	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME

Now on this first day of August, 1931, it is ORDERED for good sufficient cause shown that the time in which Green Boots Construction pany, a corporation, and Southern Surety Company, of New York, a corporation, ndants herein and Appellants in the United States Circuit Court of Ap- s for the Tenth Circuit may docket the case and file a transcript of the ord herein in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth uit be, and the same is hereby, extended and enlarged for a period of ty (30) days, from August 16th, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

DRSED: Filed Aug 1 1931
N. . Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

. W. Breyfogle,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 611 In Equity.
Willow Corporation, a poration, and . S. Mincks Effie S. Mincks,	Defendants.	
. Hartman, l Estate Investment	Receiver,	

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, AUGUST 1, 1931

O R D E R

Now on this 1st day of August, 1931, it appearing from the veri- petition of Real Estate Investment Corporation, claimant herein, that . Hartman, receiver for the above named defendants, has heretofore occupied premises, or some part thereof, situated in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, to wit:

> The two (2) West store rooms known as No. 15 and 17 East Fourth Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, more particularly described as being the two (2) West store rooms of the brick building located on the West Seventy-five (75) feet of the South Eighty (80) feet of Lot Four (4) in Block One Hundred Nineteen (119) of the Original Town now City of Tulsa, Oklahoma,

the provisions of a certain lease executed September 1, 1928, by J. D. ey to defendant I. S. Mincks and that claimant, Real Estate Investment Cor- tion, has succeeded to the rights of J. D. Finley under said lease;

And it further appearing from said verified petition that said grants to the lessor, or his successors and assigns, the right in case efault in the payment of the rents provided for by said lease, or in case efault of any of the covenants or agreements of said lease, to distrain any property belonging to said lessee, whether the same be exempt from ution or distress by law or not, and that heretofore on or about April 1931, after said receiver had been in possession of said premises, as afore- and operating a restaurant therein and thereon, said receiver secretly re- said restaurant furniture, fixtures and other property of the lessee said premises, and that said Real Estate Investment Corporation claims right to distrain upon the restaurant furniture, fixtures and other property equipment heretofore situated in and upon said premises and which were re- therefrom as aforesaid, and further claims the right to distrain upon property of said lessee, I. S. Mincks, for which said T. J. Hartman is g as receiver;

Now, therefore, it is hereby ORDERED, DECREED AND ADJUDGED said T. J. Hartman as such receiver be required and directed to appear is court on the 6th day of August, 1931, at 9:30 o'clock a. m. of said to show cause, if any he has, why he should not fully disclose to said Estate Investment Corporation where he has removed the restaurant fur- e, fixtures, equipment and other property situated on the aforesaid pre- , and why said property and any and all other property of said I. S. Mincks, hich said T. J. Hartman is acting as receiver, should not be subjected e right of distraint claimed by said Real Estate Investment Corporation.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

SED: Filed Aug 1 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Haskell Richardson,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		
lpa Fuel Company, a oration, et al,	Defendants,	No. 621 Equity.
h H. Kirkland as Trustee artlett Oil and Gas oration, and Bartlett lopment Syndicate Number One, rupts,	Intervenor.	

O R D E R

Now, on this the 1st day of August, 1931, this cause coming on e heard upon the application of the attorney fo the receiver for the lpa Fuel Company, the Sapulpa Gas Company and the Investors Utility Cor- tion, to set aside the order pro confesso heretofore entered in this e on the 30th day of July, 1931, on behalf of Ralph H. Kirkland, Trustee he Bartlett Oil and Gas Corporation and Bartlett Development Syndicate er One, Bankrupts, intervenors;

It is by the court Ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said r pro confesso be set aside and held for naught, and that the defendants said intervention be, and they are hereby, granted 15 days within which to answer to the Bill of Intervention filed by the said Ralph H. Kirkland, stee.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

RSED: Filed Aug 1 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

HASKELL RICHARDSON,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		
SAPULPA FUEL COMPANY, a oration, THE SAPULPA GAS PANY, a corporation, INVESTORS ITY CORPORATION, et al,	Defendants	NO. 621 IN EQUITY
ON NATIONAL BANK OF ATA, KANSAS	Intervener	

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, AUGUST 1, 1931

ORDER OVERRULING MOTION TO MAKE MORE DEFINITE AND CERTAIN, AN ORDER PERMITTING TRUSTEE TO ANSWER INTERVENING PETITION OF RALPH H. KIRKLAND AS TRUSTEE OF BARTLETT OIL AND GAS CORPORATION AND BARTLETT DEVELOPMENT SYNDICATE NUMBER ONE, BANKRUPTS.

On this 1st day of August, 1931, there came on to be heard the on of the Defendants, The Sapulpa Fuel Company, a corporation, The Sapulpa Company, a corporation, and Investors Utility Corporation, to require the vener, Union National Bank of Wichita, Kansas, to make its intervening ion more definite and certain, and upon argument of counsel, and upon onsideration thereof;

IT IS ORDERED that the motion of the defendants, The Sapulpa Company, a corporation, The Sapulpa Gas Company, a corporation, and Inrs Utility Corporation, to require the intervener, Union National Bank chita, Kansas, to make its intervening petition more definite and certain, nd the same is hereby overruled and denied, to which action and ruling e Court the above named defendants and each of them except, and exceptions n their behalves allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendants, The Sapulpa Fuel ny, a corporation, The Sapulpa Gas Company, a corporation, and Investors ty Corporation, be, and they are each given five (5) days from the date f in which to answer the said intervening petition of the Union National of Wichita, Kansas, Trustee.

Upon oral application, and for good cause shown;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED That the Union National Bank of Wichita, s, Trustee, be, and is hereby given fifteen days from the date hereof in to file its answer to the bill in intervention of Ralph H. Kirkland as ee of the Bartlett Oil and Gas Corporation and Bartlett Development cate No. 1, Bankrupts.

F. E. KELAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ED: Filed Aug 3 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

d States of America,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 627 Equity
H. Wetzel, et al,	Defendants.	

ORDER OF CONDEMNATION OF LAND.

Now on thislst day of August, 1931, this matter came on for hear- regular order in open court on the petition of the plaintiff by authority Attorney General of the United States, at the request of the Secretary

he Treasury of the United States, by Jno. ... Goldesberry, United States rney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, for condemnation of certain hereinafter described, for the use and site of a United States Post ce Building in Sapulpa, Creek County, Oklahoma, Northern District of Okla-, said condemnation being asked under and by authority of an Act of Cons of August 1, 1888 (25 Stat. L. 357), and an Act of Congress approved 25, 1926 (44 Stat. L. 630), as amended July 3, 1930;

And the Court, after hearing the evidence on said condemnation, the stipulation of the parties herein, and the argument of the United es District Attorney for said district, on behalf of the petitioner, and . V. Frazier, Attorney for George H. Wetzel, and Sebe Christian, County rney of Creek County, Oklahoma, appearing for the County Treasurer and ty Commissioners of Creek County, Oklahoma and state of Oklahoma, and it g shown to the Court that certain defendants, to-wit: Fanny Delozier, Frank ars, Ora Land, Paul Land, Joseph Denton, Alice Denton, George H. DeLozier Fanny DeLozier, William M. Smith, Frances Smith, Della Matthews and Logan hews, J. M. DeLozier and Maude G. DeLozier have filed their disclaimers in and claim no right, title or interest in or to said land sought to be emned, and that all the other defendants herein have been properly served ppear in this cause, more than 20 days prior to this date, and said parties not appeard, to-wit: L. E. Rutter, W. C. Foster and Agnes G. Foster, J. F. patrick, Adm. of estate of Milly Hayes, nee Bear, deceased, William son, Executor of purported will of Milly Hayes, nee Bear, deceased, John B. arson, Salina Hayes, and William Sapulpa, Adm. of estate of Marchie Hayes, ased, and are adjudged by the Court to be in default.

And it further appearing to the Court that saidproceeding for emnation was duly brought and was authorized by the several Acts of Cons, as set out in said petition; that said petition was filed in the office he Clerk of the United States District Court on April 7, 1931, and notice ll interested parties of the object of said petition, and the time and e of presenting same to this Court has been served upon each and all the ons named in said petition, as owners or interested parties in the property ribed in said petition, and upon all other persons unknown, claiming any t, title, interest or lien upon the real property described in said peti-, more than 20 days prior to the 21st day of June, 1931, the original date by the Court for presentation and hearing of said petition, and that said e was properly continued from that date to this date, and that the proed taking by the United States of America of the land described in said tion is for a public use as a site for a public building to be erected eon, and that the taking of said land by the United States appears to be and ecessary and advantageous, and authorized by law;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered that the petition of the ed States ofAmerica herein be in all things granted, and that said land is by condemned for the use and benefit of the United States, as prayed in said tion, except the immediate possession of said described property, said ession to be delivered at the time of the payment as awarded by the conation commissioners hereinafter shown, and said land to be condemned being ribed as follows, to-wit:

> Lying and being in Sapulpa, Creek County, Oklahoma, fronting one hundred sixty feet on the east side of Elm Street, and extending eastwardly of that width along the north side of Lee Street one hundred fifty feet to the twenty-foot alley bounding said site on the east, being all of Lot Six (6) and the south fifty-three

and four tenths feet of Lot Three (3), Block Fifty (50) original townsite of Sapulpa, Creek County, Oklahoma.

It is further ordered that Fred L. Patrick, R. T. Shearer and s Gorman, who are disinterested persons and all residents of Sapulpa, k County, Oklahoma, be, and they are hereby appointed commissioners in proceeding to ascertain, assess, appraise and report into this Court the nt of damages, if any, that will be sustained by the owners and persons in- sted on account of such taking. That the first meeting of said commission- shall be held in the county court room in the city of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, he 3rd day of August, 1931, at 10 o'clock A. M. of that date, and said issioners shall then and there take oath and qualify, as required by law, enter upon the discharge of their duties as such commissioners; shall said land and premises and hear the proofs of owners or all parties in- sted therein, in accordance with the instructions given by the Court, and rtain, award and report into this court the amount of damages that will be ained by the several owners and persons interested on account of such ng, and do and perform any and all acts required by law of them to perform, ich commissioners in said proceeding. The compensation of each of said issioners is hereby fixed at $_____ for each day said commissioners shall ngaged in the performance of the duties as such commissioners, same to be i as costs in this cause.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

A. A. WILLIAMS, Asst. U. S. Atty.
Atty. for Plaintiff.

DAVIS and FRAZIER
Atty for Deft. Geo. L. Wetzel

ENTD: Filed Aug 1 1931
M. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ay Electric Applicance Corporation, rporation, Plaintiff,	
vs.	No. 629 Equity. ✓
C. Wolfe, Defendant.	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Upon consideration of the defendant's motion to dismiss the ed bill of complaint, and being advised in the premises:

IT IS ORDERED that said motion to dismiss be and the same is sustained, and the bill is dismissed for want of equity, to which ruling e court the plaintiff excepts, and its exceptions are allowed.

DONE this 1st day of August, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the District Court of the
United States for the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

DRSED: Filed Aug 7 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until August 3, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, August 3rd,

Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, absent from the District.

Present: H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, it:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

K. Eby,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 581 Equity.
rch Royalty Corporation, l,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Now on this the 1st day of August, 1931, came on to be heard the ication of the receiver at the special request of the board of directors for lditional order extending the time within which any claimant may file his n with the receiver. The court being well and sufficiently advised finds said application should be granted.

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the court thirty days additional time be and the same is hereby granted within which claimant who has failed for lack of notice or otherwise to file his claim, r all claimants who may have a claim which accrued subsequent to the ex- ion of the order heretofore made herein within which to file such claim onsideration and determination by the court.

It is further ordered that this notice be published in the Tulsa News for a period of thirty days.

It is further ordered that any claim not filed within said time be forever barred from consideration and allowance by the court in this eding.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

SED: Filed Aug 3 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court NE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, AUGUST 3, 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

H. Eby, Complainant,	)	
vs.	)	No. 581 Equity.
rch Royalty Corporation, al, Defendants.	)	

O R D E R

Now on this the 1st day of August, 1931, the day to which the e matter was continued at the request of the board of directors for hearing application heretofore filed for discharge of the receiver herein, and for hearing upon the application of the receiver for the appointment of a ial master to hear and make recommendations for adjudication upon all ms filed, which said application was made at the instance and request of the l board of directors, the majority of said board of directors being present personand by counsel, and many of the claimants being present by the litors Committee Robert S. Roche, and others represented by counsel, and eupon the said board of directors through their counsel in open court sented a resolution of the board of directors requesting the court to inue the receivership for the purpose, among others, to appoint a special er to take testimony, hear and recommend to the court his findings of and conclusions of law upon the claims filed herein to which protests have filed by the receiver. The court being well and sufficiently advised is that the said recommendation and request of the board of directors should followed as being to the best interest of all the parties concerned.

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the court the receivership herein be continued for the purpose, among others, of inting a special master with authority to hear, consider and determine all ims filed herein, and contested, or which may hereafter be filed and con- ted.

It is further considered and ordered by the Court that O. G. ins, a member of the Bar of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and a member of the of this Court, be and he is hereby appointed as special master herein, authority and power to set for hearing all claims to which protests been filed by the receiver. That the said special master shall set contested claims down for hearing and shall notify each claimant in ing by registered mail of the time and place for hearing, giving each not than two weeks notice, and that at the time set shall proceed to take imony for and against the allowance of said claim or claims and shall in a reasonable time thereafter make his findings and conclusions of fact of law and recommendations to the court as to the validity or invalidity said claims, and what amount if any should be allowed each and all claimants; his report be filed within sixty days from this date unless further time extended, and then within such additional time as may be allowed for com- ing and filing said report. That the said special master take the oath office and qualify as by law required before proceeding with his duties after such qualification he shall proceed expeditiously in said matter.

The said special master is, further empowered to arrange for

mploy a competent stenographer to take said testimony and report and trans-
e the same and such other matters as may be connected with the said hearing.

J. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

R ED: Filed Aug 3, 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until August 6, 1931.

[illegible] pursuant to adjournment [illegible]

[illegible] F. E. [illegible], Judge, [illegible]
[illegible] Barfield, Clerk, U. S. [illegible]

Thereupon, the following proceedings were [illegible]:

[illegible], [illegible],	Plaintiffs,	
vs.		Equity [illegible]
[illegible], [illegible],	Defendants.	

[illegible] this 6th day of August, A. D. 1921, [illegible] for hearing on application for appointment [illegible] receiver herein. Statements of counsel are made. Thereupon witness [illegible] is sworn and examined. And thereafter, the noon hour having arrived [illegible] is recessed [illegible].

At [illegible] court is in session. Examination of witness [illegible] is resumed. Thereupon, after considering the facts and testimony [illegible], [illegible] for appointment of receiver [illegible] is time [illegible], and it is ordered by the Court that [illegible] be [illegible] in the First National Bank of Tulsa. [illegible] Green and Green Investment Company are given ten (10) days to answer herein. [illegible] defendants to evidence and testimony herein is by the Court overruled. It is further ordered by the Court that the restraining order herein is to continue in effect until further order. Said case is set for trial at Limits [illegible] Monday in September, 1921. [illegible], Judge.

Court adjourned until [illegible].

[illegible]

[illegible] TULSA, [illegible] [illegible], AUGUST 7, 1931.

[illegible] pursuant to adjournment Friday, August [illegible], 1931.

[illegible] : [illegible] Hemmer, Judge, [illegible] District [illegible].
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk, [illegible] District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings [illegible], [illegible]:

IN [illegible] STATES [illegible]
[illegible] DISTRICT [illegible].

[illegible] Bonds Company,	Complainant,	
vs.		
City of [illegible], a muni-corporation, et al.	Respondents,	No. [illegible] Equity.
[illegible] Hennold, et al.,	Intervenors.	

[illegible].

For good cause shown, It Is Ordered that the respondents have [illegible] from this date to make up and complete the record for appeal herein.

Dated this August 5, 1931.

F. [illegible]
Judge.

[illegible] : Filed Aug [illegible] 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court [illegible].

IN [illegible] STATES [illegible]
[illegible].

[illegible], et al.,	Complainants,	
-vs-		No. [illegible] Equity.
[illegible] No. [illegible], Osage [illegible], [illegible], a municipal [illegible], et al.,	Respondents.	

[illegible].

The petition of the respondents [illegible] District No. [illegible] in [illegible], [illegible], a municipal corporation, [illegible]. Pass in the above [illegible] cause, for an appeal from the [illegible] in favor of the complainants against the respondents is hereby granted, [illegible] is allowed,

[illegible]

[illegible] filing bond [illegible] conditions required by law, [illegible] and decree [illegible] entered in the [illegible] entitled cause, [illegible] stay all further proceedings in this [illegible] until the [illegible] appeal by the Circuit Court of [illegible] 10th [illegible].

Dated Aug. 6th, 19[illegible].

[illegible]

[illegible]: [illegible] Aug 7 1931
[illegible] [illegible]field, Clerk
[illegible] District Court.

[illegible]

[illegible] and [illegible], Plaintiffs,		
vs.		[illegible]
[illegible], a corporation, [illegible], [illegible], a corporation, [illegible], [illegible], a corporation, and [illegible], Defendants.		

[illegible]

[illegible] this 8th day of [illegible], 19[illegible], [illegible] for leave to [illegible] for the [illegible], and the court [illegible] advised in the [illegible]:

[illegible] that leave [illegible] hereby given to [illegible] to sue the said [illegible] bill, [illegible] be filed in the [illegible] court.

[illegible]
Judge

[illegible]
[illegible] Receiver.

[illegible]: Filed [illegible] 7 1931
[illegible]field, Clerk
[illegible] District Court.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] convened pursuant to adjournment [illegible] August 1931.

Present: Hon. [illegible], Judge, [illegible] District Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were [illegible] and entered, [illegible]:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] COMPANY [illegible],	Plaintiff	In Equity No. [illegible]
[illegible] COMPANY [illegible],	Defendant	

INTERLOCUTORY DECREE

This case having come out to be heard and having been [illegible] by counsel, it is

[illegible], ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows, to wit:

1. That the United States Letters Patent No. 1,[illegible],[illegible] [illegible] J. Hildren dated June 2[illegible], 1920 are good and valid in law as to [illegible] 2, 3, 5 and 6 thereof; that the plaintiff, Hinderliter Tool Company corporation, is the lawful owner of the entire right, title and [illegible] in and to said Letters Patent including the right to sue and [illegible] for past infringement thereof.

That the United States Letters Patent No. 1,7[illegible],[illegible]40 to [illegible] Hinderliter [illegible] October 1, 19[illegible] are good and valid in law as [illegible] 1, 2 and 3 thereof; that the plaintiff, Hinderliter Tool Company corporation, is the lawful owner of the entire right, title and [illegible] in and to said Letters Patent including the right to sue and [illegible] for past infringement thereof.

2. That the defendant, [illegible] Company, a corporation, has infringed Claims 2, 3, 5 and [illegible] of said Letters Patent No. [illegible],[illegible] and Claims 1, 2 and 3 of said Letters Patent No. 1,7[illegible],[illegible].

3. That a perpetual injunction issue out of and under [illegible] of this court directed to the defendant and to its officers, [illegible], agents, employees, workmen and all other persons acting for or [illegible] the authority of the defendant from further infringement of Claims [illegible] and 6 of said Letters Patent No. [illegible],[illegible],[illegible] and [illegible] 1, [illegible] of said Letters Patent No. 1,7[illegible],[illegible] or any [illegible] of said [illegible].

4. That the defendant account for and [illegible] to the [illegible] of the gains, profits, savings, benefits [illegible]

[illegible] and [illegible] received, [illegible] the [illegible] for and [illegible] over the [illegible] damages [illegible] suffered or sustained because of said [illegible].

[illegible] this cause [illegible] to [illegible] Court, to take [illegible] accounting of [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] and [illegible], [illegible] and [illegible] for in the [illegible] with all convenient [illegible] in such cases made [illegible]; [illegible] and agents [illegible] from time to time [illegible] before such [illegible], [illegible], [illegible], records and documents [illegible] to file said [illegible] the [illegible] as the [illegible] direct; and that [illegible] of the [illegible] of the other [illegible] circumstances.

[illegible] that the [illegible] that the [illegible] have [illegible] and [illegible] the [illegible] and [illegible] efor.

[illegible] which the [illegible] allowed.

[illegible]

[illegible] District Judge

[illegible], 1931.

[illegible] 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible], [illegible], [illegible] 10, [illegible].

[illegible] convened pursuant to [illegible] on Monday, [illegible] 6th,

Present: Hon. [illegible] E. [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] [illegible].
[illegible] Warfield, [illegible], [illegible] [illegible].

Whereupon, the following [illegible] were [illegible] offered, [illegible]:

[illegible]

[illegible] Sullivan, Receiver for [illegible] Employers Mutual, Complainant,	Equity No. [illegible].
-vs-	Injunction to bind out Workmen's Compensation [illegible] Ben [illegible] [illegible] Skeleton, et al., [illegible]
Ramsdell-Foster Company, a [illegible], Defendant.	

JOURNAL ENTRY AND FINDINGS.

This cause came on this 10th day of August, 1931, to be heard upon the motion of the Hon. Frank E. Lemmon, Judge of the Common Pleas Court of Stark County, Ohio, sitting in the Court of Common Pleas for the Northern District of Ohio, upon the motion of the defendant, Ramsdell-Foster Company, a corporation, to the bill of Mr. [illegible] Grant, Receiver for the Employers Mutual Casualty Company, and the hearing had before the court upon the motion and between the counsel for the respective parties, at which time the motion of the defendant above mentioned was [illegible] and the court admitted the bill and argument of counsel for the respective parties. [illegible], and upon the pleadings and the evidence in these cause, and that the ruling and judgment of the court in these cause should be binding upon the parties hereto forever, and the court thereupon ordered in the premises, [illegible] upon the motion of the defendant [illegible] of the [illegible] and that the [illegible] and the [illegible] and thereon [illegible].

The court further finds that on the 2[illegible] day of June, 1931, [illegible] in this cause [illegible] the court [illegible] by the report of the [illegible] is entitled to [illegible] and entered on [illegible], [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] judgment.

[illegible] Court [illegible], [illegible], [illegible], [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] of this [illegible] the [illegible] day of June, [illegible], [illegible] hereby vacated, set aside, [illegible] and [illegible]; [illegible] of the defendant to [illegible] [illegible] Foster [illegible], [illegible], and the [illegible] and the [illegible] should be, and the same is hereby [illegible] in all [illegible] [illegible]. It is further [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] and [illegible] is hereby [illegible] the complaint [illegible], Ramsdell-Foster [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] the

---

[illegible] the proceedings thereunder and the return [illegible] and confirmed in all things.

[illegible] Victory, United States Marshal for the Northern District of [illegible], [illegible] to the purchaser of said sale as [illegible] return, [illegible] Heirs of [illegible], Restricted Osage Allotment [illegible] sufficient [illegible] deed for the premises so sold, [illegible] without approval of the Secretary of [illegible] on said [illegible].

[illegible]
Judge.

[illegible]
Assistant United States Attorney.

[illegible]: [illegible] Aug 10 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court.

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] of America,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		554 - [illegible]
[illegible] Talbutt, et al,	Defendants.	

[illegible]

[illegible]

Now on this [illegible] day of August, 1931, [illegible] the report of John [illegible] Victory, [illegible] appointed receiver in this cause to collect rent [illegible] by foreclosure judgment herein and to make [illegible] same to [illegible]; and said receiver, having this date made [illegible] rent collected as such receiver [illegible] for the months [illegible] 1931, and [illegible] June, [illegible] 22.[illegible] month, and said receiver [illegible] actual expense in the sum of [illegible] and it being further shown that said property [illegible] proceedings has been sold and said sale has been confirmed by [illegible] that there is no necessity for said receiver to act [illegible] this cause,

[illegible] that [illegible] said receiver be and the same is hereby [illegible], and said receiver shall pay unto the Clerk of [illegible] on the judgment herein the sum of [illegible], less [illegible], the expense [illegible] receiver as herein above shown.

[illegible] is hereby discharged [illegible]

[illegible] Attorney.

[illegible]

[illegible] Plaintiff,

vs.

[illegible] Miller, [illegible] Miller, [illegible] Savage, [illegible] Defendants.

[illegible]

[illegible], on this 10th day of [illegible], [illegible] the United States of America, in its own behalf and in behalf of [illegible] Fletcher, [illegible] Assistant United States Attorney [illegible], and moves the Court to confirm [illegible] by the United States Marshal of the [illegible] District [illegible] day of August, 1951, under an alias writ of [illegible] in the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the said Northern District [illegible] June, [illegible] of the following described [illegible]:

Lot Seven (7) and Lot Eight ([illegible]) in Block Three (3), [illegible] Addition [illegible], [illegible] County, Northern District of [illegible];

And the Court, having [illegible] the proceedings of said [illegible] under said alias writ [illegible] and order of sale, [illegible] the same have been [illegible] in all respects in conformity to [illegible] that no exceptions have been filed [illegible].

[illegible] confirmed [illegible].

[illegible] Marshal [illegible] purchaser [illegible], [illegible] Fletcher, [illegible] Marshal's [illegible], [illegible] approval [illegible].

[illegible] United States Attorney.

[illegible] Judge.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

'Y SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, AUGUST 10, 1931.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

d States of America,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		542 - Equity.
. Waller, et al,	Defendants.	

ORDER APPROVING RECEIVERSHIP REPORT

AND DISCHARGE OF RECEIVER.

Now, on this 10th day of August, 1931, this cause came on arly to be heard on the report of John H. Vickrey, Receiver, hereto-appointed Receiver in this cause to collect rent on the premises ed by foreclosure judgment herein and to make report of the same to Court; and said Receiver having this date made his report to this of rent collecte as such Receiver in the sum of $345 for the months vember and December, 1930, and January to May, inclusive, 1931, and ne month of July, 1931, at the rate of $45.00 per month, and said ver further having shown that he has incurred actual expense in the f $13.00 as shown by said report, and it being further shown that property covered by said foreclosure proceedings has been sold and sale has been confirmed by this Court and that there is no necessity aid Receiver to act further in this cause,

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that eport of said Receiver be and the same is hereby in all things ap-l, and said Receiver shall pay unto the Clerk of this Court, to be d on the judgment herein, the sum of $345, less $13, the expense Receiver as herein above shown.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that . Vickrey be and he is hereby discharged from receivership in this

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

WILLIAMS
ant United States Attorney.

ED: Filed Aug 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court. W.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
QUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, AUGUST 10, 1931.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ıited States of America, Plaintiff,	
vs.	No. 543 - Equity.
. O. Dildine, as Administrator ' the estate of Ida McKinley, ›ceased, William Cummings, and ılter Iron, Eugene Iron and Wm. ›Kinley, minor heirs at law of ła McKinley, deceased, Defendants.	

ORDER APPROVING MARSHAL'S SALE.

Now, on this 10th day of August, 1931, comes the plaintiff, ıe United States of America, in its own behalf and in behalf of Nellie .chelle estate, Restricted Osage Allottee No. 495, by its attorney A. E. .lliams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of :lahoma, and moves the Court to confirm a sale of real estate made by the ıited States Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma on the 4th day ' August, 1931, under an alias writ of execution and order of sale issued .t of the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the .id Northern District of Oklahoma on the 30 day of June, 1931, said sale ing of the following described property, to-wit:

Lots Eleven (11) and Twelve (12), Block Five (5) original townsite of Pawhuska, Oklahoma; and the North Half (N2) of the Northwest Quarter (NW4) of Section Twenty-five (25), Township Twenty-six (26) North, Range Eight (8) East, Osage County, Oklahoma;

And the Court, having examined the proceedings of said United ·ates Marshal under said alias writ of execution and order of sale, finds .at the same have been performed in all respects in conformity to law; and .at no exceptions have been filed and no objections made to said sale.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND ADJULGED BY THE COURT that said .le and the proceedings thereunder and the return thereof be and the same 'e hereby approved and confirmed in all things.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that John Vickrey, United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, ke and execute to the purchaser at said sale as shown by said return, llie Michelle estate, Restricted Osage Allottee No. 495, a good and suf- cient marshal's deed for the premises so sold, restrictions as to alien- ion without approval of the Secretary of the Interior to be retained on id land.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

K.
E. WILLIAMS
sistant United States Attorney.

DORSED: Filed Aug 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
TY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY AUGUST 10, 1931.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
N RTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ed States,	Plaintiff,	
vs		No. 550 Equity, formerly 745 Law, 945 Law and 961 Law.
l of County Commissioners sage County, Oklahoma, l,	Defendants.	

JOURNAL ENTRY OVERRULING MOTION
TO DISMISS.

Now on this the 1st day of August, 1931, there coming on to eard, pursuant to setting, motion on behalf of said defendants herein, each of them, to dismiss plaintiff's amended petition, said plaintiff ppearing by and through its Solicotor, A. E. Williams, Assistant United s Attorney in and for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, ouis N. Stivers, Osage Tribal Attorney, and said defendants appearing d through the Honorable Leander Hall, Deputy County Attorney of Osage y, Oklahoma, and the Court, after hearing argument of counsel and being advised in the premises, finds that said motion to dismiss should be uled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED That said n to dismiss interposed for and on behalf of the defendants herein be ereby is overruled, to which the defendants, and each of them, except hereafter and at the request of said defendants they are given thirty from this date in which to answer.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

WILLIAMS
t. U. S. Atty.

SED: Filed Aug 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

K. Eby,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 581 Equity.
ch Royalty Corporation, ,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Upon application of James A. Harris, receiver of the Monarch y Corporation, for an allowance to O. G. Rollins, special master, to

e end that he may be able to defray the actual and necessary expenses of iling out notices, and other incidental expenses connected with his duties special master and as an advancement as part compensation for his services ndered and to be rendered, the court finds that said application is reasonle and should be allowed.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the court that the id O. G. Rollins is hereby granted an allowance of $250.00, and the said ceiver, James A. Harris, is hereby directed to pay the same by check upon e receiver's account, which amount shall be allowed to the receiver in his nal account.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

DORSED: Filed Aug 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ND SPRINGS HOME, a corporation, Complainant, -vs- LSA ROLLING MILLS COMPANY, a rporation, Respondent.	No. 582 Equity.

FINAL DECREE OF FORECLOSURE AND SALE

The above entitled cause came on further to be heard on this rst day of August, 1931. Present the plaintiff, Sand Springs Home, by s attorneys, Stuart, Coakley & Doerner; present the intervenor, Exchange ust Company, Trustee, by its attorneys, Steele & Daugherty; present the fendant, Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, by its attorney, Wm. M. Taylor; present e defendant, J. H. McAlarney, by his attorneys, Poe, Lundy & Morgan; present e receiver, Howell E. Hays in person; present the Public Service Company of lahoma, by its attorney, Hunter Johnson; and proofs were offered, arguments counsel were heard, and upon consideration thereof, it was ordered, adged and decreed as follows:

I.

GENERAL FINDINGS:

All of the allegations of the bill of complaint of Sand Springs ne filed herein are true; all of the allegations of the bill of complaint Exchange Trust Company, Trustee, Intervenor, are true. The Court has jurisction of all parties to this cause and of the subject matter therein inlved. The entry of this decree is necessary for the proper administration i disposition of the estate of the defendant, Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, i the protection of its bondholders and other creditors.

II.

CITIZENSHIP OF PARTIES AND JURISDICTIONAL FACTS:

During all of the times mentioned in its bill of complaint here-

the plaintiff, Sand Springs Home, was a corporation organized and exist-
inder the laws of the State of Oklahoma, having its principal office and
e of business in the City of Sand Springs, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and
and now is a citizen and resident of said state and a resident and in-
tant of the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma.

During all the times mentioned in its bill of complaint here-
he Exchange Trust Company, Trustee, intervenor, was and now is a cor-
tion, organized and existing under the laws of the State of Oklahoma,
g its principal office and place of business in the City of Tulsa,
oma, and is and was a citizen and resident of said state; and was and
s duly authorized and empowered to hold the property conveyed to it, as
nafter more fully set forth, and to execute the trusts expressed and
red in the mortgage or trust indenture in its said bill of complaint
ereinafter described.

During all the times mentioned in both of said bills of com-
t, defendant, Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, was and now is a corpora-
duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware,
as and now is a citizen and resident of said state.

This cause is a suit of a civil nature between citizens of
rent states, and is a controversy in the nature of a civil suit
ing to properties over which this court has jurisdiction, and this
was commenced to enforce a legal and equitable remedy upon properties
are now in the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, and the
r in controversy herein, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds
m or value of $3000.00.

III.

PROCEEDINGS HAD HEREIN:

On November 3, 1930, said Sand Springs Home filed its bill of
aint against the defendant company in this court, being cause No.
Equity, praying, among other things, for the foreclosure of its
on the property of the defendant company, subject to the mortgage to
ntervenor, Exchange Trust Company, Trustee, and for the appointment
receiver of the property and business of the defendant company. There-
on the 8th day of November, 1930, an order was duly made by this
in said cause, appointing Howell E. Hays, Receiver of all the proper-
every kind and description of the defendant company, with full power
tinue and conduct its business until the further order of this court.
id Howell E. Hays, so appointed Receiver, duly qualified as such,
ereupon by virtue and under authority of said order, entered upon
ok possession of said property.

On or about the 18th day of February, 1931, the Exchange Trust
y, as Trustee, by leave of court first had and obtained, filed its
f complaint as intervenor in this court in Cause No. 582 Equity,
g, among other things, for the administration of the trust under
rtgage or trust indenture of the defendant company, dated July 1,
and for the foreclosure of said mortgage, and for a sale of the
ged property.

That by leave of Court J. H. McAlarney was made a party defen-
erein, and has filed his answer, claiming a first lien on the prop-

rties of the defendant company, in the sum of $3000.00.

IV.

EXECUTION OF MORTGAGE:

On or about July 1, 1929, the defendant company, for the pur-
ose of borrowing money for its lawful uses and purposes, and pursuant to
e and lawful authority and to due corporate action of its Board of Direc-
rs and Stockholders, duly made and executed under its corporate seal, and
livered to Exchange Trust Company, a corporation, theretofore created by
d then existing under the laws of the State of Oklahoma, as Trustee, a
rtgage or trust indenture, known as its first mortgage, dated July 1,
29. A true copy whereof, marked Exhibit "C" is attached to said bill
complaint of Exchange Trust Company, Trustee, herein, and whereby the
fendant company did bargain, grant, sell, alien, release, remise, en-
off, convey, pledge, assign, transfer and set over to Exchange Trust
mpany, as Trustee, its successor or successors and its and their assigns,
rever, as therein provided, the property therein and hereinafter described,
trust for the equal and proportionate use, benefit and security of each
d all and every person, firm or corporation, association or party, who
s or might at any time be and become the holder of the bonds or the coupons
pertaining thereto issued and to be issued thereunder and secured by said
rtgage, and for the enforcement of said bonds and interest thereon when
yable, and to secure the performance and observance of and compliance with
e conditions, provisions and covenants of said mortgage, without any pre-
rence, priority or distinction of any one bond or coupon over any other
nd or coupon.

Said Exchange Trust Company duly accepted the trust created by
id mortgage; and said mortgage was filed for record in the office of the
unty Clerk of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, on the 9th day of August, 1929,
d thereby became, ever since has been, and now is a good and valid first
d prior lien on the property therein and hereinafter described.

V.

GOLD BONDS UNDER THE MORTGAGE:

From time to time prior to the date of the filing of its bill
complaint, Exchange Trust Company, as Trustee under said mortgage, in
nformity with the terms thereof, duly authenticated bonds for the prin-
pal sum of $200,000.00, which bonds theretofore had been duly executed
the defendant company, for a good and valuable consideration, and there-
on did deliver each and all of said bonds pursuant to the terms of said
rtgage. Of said bonds for the aggregate principal sum of $200,000.00,
authenticated and delivered, bonds for the aggregate principal amount
$25,000.00 have been paid and cancelled; and upon the filing of said
ll of complaint there were and now are outstanding and unpaid in the hands
the public bonds issued under and secured by said mortgage, in the ag-
gate principal sum of $175,000.00.

VI.

PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE LIEN OF THE MORTGAGE.

Said mortgage constitutes a valid and subsisting first lien
on all of the following described property:

A tract of land in Section Fourteen (14), Township Nineteen (19) North, Range Eleven (11) East, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at the Northwest corner of said Section 14, run thence East on and along the north line of said Section 14 a distance of 737 feet; thence south parallel to the west line of said Section 14, a distance of 100 feet; thence southeasterly 245 feet to a point 50 feet westerly from the center line of the paved highway, 200 feet south of the North line of the said Section 14; thence South 7 degrees 18 minutes West, 50 feet from and parallel to the center line of the paved highway, a distance of 1080.6 feet to a point 50 feet northerly from the center line of the Sand Springs Railway Company track, a distance of 840 feet to a point on the West line of said Section 14; thence North on and along the West line of said Section 14, a distance of 1069 feet to the point of beginning, containing 22.97 acres, more or less; and

A tract of land in Section Fifteen (15), Township Nineteen (19) North, Range Eleven (11) East, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at the Northeast corner of said Section 15, run thence South on and along the East line of said Section 15 a distance of 1069 feet to a point 50 feet North of the center line of the Sand Springs Railway Company track; thence westerly 50 feet from and parallel to the center line of the Sand Springs Railway Company track, a distance of 801.8 feet; thence North, parallel to the East line of said Section 15, a distance of 830.6 feet to, and intersecting the North line of said Section 15, thence East on and along the said North line of said Section 15, a distance of 761.1 feet to the point of beginning, containing 17.03 acres, more or less.

(Upon the foregoing property specifically described in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, are erected the mill buildings of Tulsa Rolling Mills Company.)

All the interest of Tulsa Rolling Mills Company in all property which may be owned by it, whether described herein or not, or which may in any manner be hereafter acquired, either as additions to or improvements of any of the properties hereinbefore described or hereinafter described, and all property that shall be acquired, and all additions, improvements, alterations and repairs that may be hereafter made.

The entire plant and all property franchises and assets of said company, together with all and singular the buildings, improvements, railroads, rolling stock, easements, rights, patents, contracts, options, liberties, privileges,

hereditaments and appurtenances whatsoever unto any of the hereby granted and described premises and estates belonging and appertaining or to belong and appertain, and the reversions and remainders, rents, issues, profits and income thereof, and all the estate, right, title and interest, possession, claim and demand of every nature and kind whatsoever of the Company as well at law as in equity, of, in and to the same and every part and parcel thereof, including, also all the buildings, engines, engine houses, furnaces, mills, steel works, foundries, machine shops, boilers, factories, cranes, craneways, charging machines, charging cars, charging boxes, motors, rolls, scrap yard equipment and all supplies, office fixtures, tools, machinery and appliances, and all works, shops and structures nor or hereafter to be erected thereon, and all machines, machinery, tools and other implements used or hereafter to be used in and about the plants and property aforesaid or which may now be owned or may be hereafter acquired by said Company, wheresoever located.

All stocks, bonds and other property of any kind from time to time hereafter by delivery or by writing of any kind for the purposes hereof conveyed, mortgaged, pledged, assigned or transferred by the Company or by anyone in its behalf to the Trustee, who is hereby authorized to receive any property at any and all times as and for additional security, and also when and as hereinafter provided, as substituted security hereunder, and to hold and apply any and all such property, subject to the terms hereof.

(Subject to the provisions of Article IV hereof, there is specifically excepted from this indenture, raw material used in manufacture of the products of the Company and products manufactured by the Company or in process of manufacture; and bills receivable and cash on hand except cash set aside for interest or sinking fund or special funds covered by Section 4 of Article II hereof or by Article VII hereof or any other provision hereof.)

VII.

DEFAULTS UNDER THE MORTGAGE, AND DECLARATION OF THE PRINCIPAL DUE.

Default was made by the defendant company in the performance its agreements contained in said mortgage, in that the defendant comny failed to pay the interest on said bonds which was due and payable nuary 1, 1931, and more than thirty days have elapsed since such failure payment.

Default was also made in the performance of the agreement conined in Article IV. of said mortgage, in that on the 8th day of vember, 1930, this court appointed a Receiver of the defendant company this cause, and such order appointing a receiver for the defendant comny has continued in effect and unstayed for a period of more than thirty ys.

The Sand Springs Home, holder of $155,000.00 of said bonds, tified said Trustee of said default, and requested the Trustee to declare e principal of said bonds to be due and payable and to foreclose said

;age, which was done and defendant notified thereof on Feb. 3, 1931, by e in writing.

VIII.

AMOUNT DUE FOR PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST ON THE BONDS:

The following sum is now due and payable for principal and est on all of said first mortgage gold bonds of the defendant com- as of the date of this decree.

The principal amount of the bonds,	$175,000.00
Interest on such principal sum to January 1, 1931,	7,000.00
Total,	182,000.00
Interest on $182,000.00 at 10% per annum from January 1, 1931,	12,133.33
Total, bearing interest at 10% per annum from the date of this decree.	194,133.33

IX.

EXECUTION OF LIEN NOTES TO THE PLAINTIFF:

On the 6th day of December, 1929, for the purpose of borrowing for its lawful uses and purposes and for securing advances from the ;iff, Sand Springs Home, theretofore or that might thereafter be made, ;fendant company, by the due corporate action of its Board of Directors l and adopted a resolution giving the plaintiff, Sand Springs Home a :nd valid lien upon all of the assets of the defendant company, includ- :rap, semi-finished and finished products, cash, bills receivable and ;her assets and property real and personal of said defendant, to secure .vances that had theretofore been made or that thereafter might be made ; plaintiff to the defendant company.

On January 27, 1930, the defendant company, for the purpose of ding money for its lawful uses and purposes, and pursuant to due and authority and to due corporate action of its Board of Directors, duly executed and delivered to the plaintiff, Sand Springs Home, its certain sory note in writing of that date, for the sum of $59,851.08, with st at 6% per annum after date, due on the 13th day of March, providing for an attorney's fee of 5%, if collected by suit, and that um should be a good and valid lien upon all of the property of the ant company, subject only to the lien of recorded mortgages then out- ng; that the amount now due on said note is $19,291.20, with interest per annum from the 1st day of August, 1931.

On August 31, 1930, the defendant company, for the purpose of ing money for its lawful uses and purposes, and pursuant to due and authority and to due corporate action of its Board of Directors, duly executed and delivered to the plaintiff, Sand Springs Home, its cer- romissory note in writing of that date, for the sum of $185,509.45, nterest at 6% per annum after date, due on the 30th day of r, 1930, providing for an attorney's fee of 5%, if collected t, and that said sum should be a good and valid lien upon all of the ty of the defendant company, subject only to the lien of recorded ges then outstanding;

On September 11, 1930, the defendant company, for the purpose borrowing money for its lawful uses and purposes, and pursuant to due and wful authority and to due corporate action of its Board of Directors, ly made, executed and delivered to the plaintiff, Sand Springs Home, its rtain promissory note in writing of that date, for the sum of $155,041.84, th interest at 6% per annum after date, due sixty (60) days ter date,providing for an attorney's fee of 5%, if collected by suit, d that said sum should be a good and valid lien upon all of the property the defendant company, subject only to the lien of recorded mortgages en outstanding;

On November 3, 1930, the defendant company, for the purpose borrowing money for its lawful uses and purposes, and pursuant to due and wful authority and to due corporate action of its Board of Directors, ly made, executed and delivered to the plaintiff, Sand Springs Home, its rtain promissory note in writing of that date, for the sum of $82,188.90, th interest at 6% per annum from date, due sixty (60) days ter date, providing for an attorney's fee of 5%, if collected by suit, d that said sum should be a good and valid lien upon all of the property the defendant company, subject only to the lien of recorded mortgages en outstanding.

That in addition to said notes, advances were made by the aintiff, Sand Springs Home, to the defendant company, in the total sum of 7,035.73.

The total amount due to the plaintiff on said notes and for id advances at the date of this decree, is $534,890.08, th interest at 6% per annum from this date, and $23,243.56, torneys' fees.

That plaintiff has a good and valid first lien upon all rap material, semi-finished and finished products, bills receivable, judg-nts and choses in action of the defendant company, standing either in the me of defendant company or the receiver herein, and has a good and valid en upon all of the other assets and property, both real and personal, sub-ct only to the lien and mortgage of the Exchange Trust Company, Trustee.

X.

FUNDS IN THE RECEIVER'S HANDS:

That the moneys now held by, or hereafter to come into the nds or possession of the receiver of said defendant company, Howell E. Hays, retained by said Receiver until further order of this court, and to be sbursed by said receiver as per further order of this court, and that id funds now in the hands of said receiver, or which may hereafter come to the hands of said receiver, are not to be sold or included in the le herein provided.

XI.

PAYMENT OF BONDS:

The defendant company shall pay or cause to be paid within) days after the entry of this decree, to Exchange Trust Company, as Trustee, r the use and benefit of the holders of the aforesaid outstand bonds

of the coupons appertaining thereto, the sum hereinabove in Article VIII his decree found to be due for principal and interest on the said bonds, interest on said total amount from the date of this decree to the date ayment, at the rate of ten per cent per annum.

That the defendant company shall pay, or cause to be paid, in 10 days after the entry of this decree, to the Sand Springs Home, the hereinbefore in Article IX of this decree found to be due for principal interest on said lien notes, with interest on said total amount from the of this decree to date of payment, at the rate of 6 per cent per 1.

In default of such payments, all and singular the mortgaged erty hereinbefore described in Article VI and Article IX of this decree, be sold as hereinafter provided, and all the right, title, interest quity of redemption therein of the defendant company, its creditors stockholders, and all persons claiming or to claim under it or them, or f them, in and to said property and every part and parcel thereof, be forever barred and foreclosed.

XII.

MANNER AND TERMS OF SALE OF PROPERTY:

The sale of the property shall be made in the following mannd on the following terms:

The sale of the property covered by the mortgage to the Exe Trust Company, intervenor herein, shall be held first, and the sale e property not included in said mortgage, but mortgaged to the Sand gs Home, plaintiff herein, under its lien notes, shall be held immely following the sale of the property mortgaged to the Exchange Trust ny, Intervenor.

Said sales shall be made at public auction by Howell E. Hays, lsa, Oklahoma, who is hereby appointed Special Master to make, direct onduct said sales, and to execute and deliver a deed or deeds of conce and an instrument or instruments of transfer of the property to be to the purchaser or purchasers thereof, pursuant to the order confirme sale, and upon payment of the purchase price, as hereinafter pro. All sales may be made by the Special Master in person or by his duly ited agent. The Special Master hereby appointed shall give a bond factory to the court in the sum of $2500.00, for the faithful performof his duties as such Special Master. As soon as any sale shall have ade by the Special Master, he shall report the same to this court for mation, and shall make such further and supplemental reports as shall essary to keep the court and the parties advised of his proceedings in ecution of this decree.

Said sales shall be made at the main office at the plant of Rolling Mills Company on a day and at an hour to be fixed by the l Master in his notice of sale, on request of the attorneys for the ff or Exchange Trust Company, Trustee. A notice of the time, place rms of sale, describing the properties to be sold, and referring tending purchaser to this decree for further particulars, shall be hed daily for at least thirty days preceding the date of such sale sa Daily Legal News, a newspaper printed, regularly issued and having ral circulation in the City of Tulsa and in Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

The Special Master shall have the power to adjourn any such le or sales from time to time, by announcement at the time and place pointed for such sale or sales.

Upon any sale, the Special Master shall make known the terms sale.

The Special Master shall cause the real estate described in ticle VI of this decree to be appraised by three disinterested houselders residing in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and the amount of said appraisent shall appear in the notice of sale. The Special Master shall first fer all of the real estate described in Article VI hereof and shall then fer separately the balance of the mortgaged property described in Article of this decree, and shall then offer all of the mortgaged property for le in one lot as an entirety. The special master shall then offer all the property of defendant company mortgaged to the plaintiff, to-wit:

All raw material used in the manufacture of the products of e company and products manufactured by the defendant company or in process manufacture, and bills receivable, and judgments and choses in action ther in the name of the defendant company or the receiver herein, for le in one lot and parcel, as an entirety.

The Special Master shall receive no bid for the property rtgaged to the intervenor, the Exchange Trust Company, from any bidder who all not first deposit with him as a pledge that he will make good his bid case of its acceptance, ten per cent thereof in cash, or in principal ount of first mortgage gold bonds with all unpaid coupons attached. The ecial Master shall not receive any bid for property not included in the change Trust Company, Trustee's mortgage, but mortgaged to the plaintiff, e Sand Springs Home, under its lien notes, from any bidder who shall not rst deposit with him 10 per cent of said bid in cash, except that the Sand rings Home, if a bidder, need make no deposit. The deposit made by any successful bidder shall be returned to such bidder upon the final rejecton of his bid. The deposit made by any successful bidder shall be applied account of the purchase price of the property.

The Special Master shall accept the highest and best bid reived for the property mortgaged to the Exchange Trust Company, intervenor, d shall knock the same down to such bidder, subject to confirmation of the le by this court. The Special Master shall accept the highest and best d received for the property mortgaged to the Sand Springs Home, plaintiff rein, under its lien notes, consisting of raw material on hand, products nufactured by the defendant company, or in the process of manufacture, lls receivable, choses in action, and judgments, either in the name of e defendant Company or in the Receiver, and shall knock the same down to ch bidder, subject to confirmation of sale by this court.

Howell E. Hays, as Receiver, shall file with the Clerk of this urt at least five days and not more than ten days before the date fixed r the sale of the property herein decreed to be sold, a schedule of all e raw materials, supplies, finished and unfinished products and articles process of manufacture, accounts, bills and notes receivable and contracts the defendant company held by him, forming a part of the property herein creed to be sold under lien notes of the plaintiff.

The Exchange Trust Company, as Trustee, the Sand Springs Home, any holder or holders of first mortgage gold bonds, or any creditor or

.tors of the defendant company, may bid at any sale, and if a successful :r, may purchase in his or their own right.

XIII.

PAYMENT BY PURCHASER FOR PROPERTY MORTGAGED TO EXCHANGE TRUST COMPANY.

Upon acceptance of bids for the property mortgaged to Exchange Company, and the confirmation of such sale by the court, such purchaser make payment in cash to the Special Master, after application thereto ctively of any amounts theretofore deposited by him in cash.

a. Of all proper expenses of such sale.

b. Of the compensation of the Special Master appointed by this e for his services in connection with the sale of the mortgaged rty.

c. Of an amount sufficient to pay any taxes, assessments or prior charges constituting a lien upon the mortgaged property to ld prior to the lien of said mortgage, except taxes, assessments and prior charges, subject to which the property may have been sold.

d. Of an amount sufficient to pay the expenses, fees and other es of the Exchange Trust Company, as Trustee under said mortgage, in m of $400.00, and the sum of $3500.00 for the services of its ieys and counsel.

All questions relating to the compensation, allowances, costs, sements and expenses not herein fixed, are hereby reserved by this for further hearing and determination.

The balance of the purchase price of the property mortgaged to change Trust Company, as described in Article VI hereof, not herein- required to be paid in cash, may be paid in cash, or the purchaser tisfy and make good the balance of his bid in whole or in part, by ring to the Special Master first mortgage gold bonds of the defendant y and all unpaid coupons appertaining thereto, to be paid and can-, or to have payment on account credited thereon, which bonds, if ered, shall be duly assigned or endorsed by the registered holders f. Such bonds and coupons, whether delivered to the Special Master time of sale, or subsequently, shall be received at such price or as shall be equivalent to the sum which would be payable thereon the net proceeds of said sale if paid in cash to the holder of said and coupons for his just share and proportion of such net proceeds, h purchaser shall be credited for said bonds and coupons on account purchase price of the property purchased by such purchaser accordingly.

If the Sand Springs Home is the successful bidder for said y at a price greater than the amount necessary to pay the sums the first mortgage to the Exchange Trust Company, Trustee, and ts and expenses of this action and of said sale, as above set e excess of the bid of the Sand Springs Home over said amount, e credited on the judgment in favor of the Sand Springs Home, and edit accepted by the Special Master in payment of said excess.

Payment of the purchase price in the manner herein provided any of the property sold hereunder shall be made at the time of the nfirmation of the sale of such property, unless the court shall otherse direct.

XIV.

APPLICATION OF THE PROCEEDS OF SALE OF THE PROPERTY MORTGAGED TO EXCHANGE TRUST COMPANY:

Upon any sale being made of the property mortgaged to Exchange ust Company, or any part thereof, as provided in this decree, the funds ising from said sale shall be applied and distributed as follows and in e following order:

a. To the payment of the costs, allowances and disbursements this cause then unpaid, and of the expenses of the sale and of the mpensation of the Special Master appointed by this decree for his rvices in connection with the sale of the property.

b. To the payment to Exchange Trust Company, Trustee, of a asonable compensation in the sum of $400.00, and to the yment to Steele & Daugherty, attorneys and counsel for said Trustee, the sum of $3500.00, and to the payment of all taxes, assessments or arges prior to the lien of said mortgage.

c. To the payment of the amount due and unpaid upon said rst mortgage gold bonds of the defendant company as found by Article II of this decree, with interest on said amount from the date of this cree to the date of distribution, at the rate of 10% per annum, and case such proceeds shall be insufficient to pay in full the whole ount so due and unpaid upon said bonds, then to the payment of such incipal and interest ratably without preference or priority of principal over interest or interest over principal.

d. To the payment of the whole amount due and unpaid to the and Springs Home as found by Article IX of this decree, with interest said amount from the date of this decree to the date of disbursement the rate of 6% per annum, together with the attorneys' fees in the m of $23,243.56, as found by Article IX of this decree.

e. The surplus, if any, shall be paid to such person or persons, irms or corporations as may show themselves to be lawfully entitled thereto, rsuant to the further order of the court.

f. The Special Master shall pay upon each bond and coupon presented to him for payment, or credit upon each bond or coupon presented to im on account of the purchase price, the amount applicable to the payment ereof or the amount to be credited thereon on account of the payment of e purchase price, and shall cancel such bonds or coupons as are paid in ll. In case the bonds or coupons are not paid in full in cash or by llowance of credit on account of the purchase price, the Special Master all stamp or cause to be stamped on such bonds and coupons the amount aid or credited upon the payment, and shall return said bonds and coupons the persons or parties who have presented the same for payment.

g. The Special Master shall report the amount applicable to the ayment of such bonds or coupons as may not be presented to him for payment,

the amounts of such bonds or coupons, and shall hold or dispose of the s applicable to the payment of such unpresented bonds or coupons, subject e further order or direction of the court.

h. The purchaser shall not be bound to see to the application e purchase money realized at said sale, nor be answerable in any r whatsoever for any loss, misapplication or non-application of any purchase money, or any part thereof.

XV.

PAYMENT BY PURCHASER FOR PROPERTY SECURED TO PLAINTIFF UNDER LIEN NOTES, AND NOT INCLUDED IN THE MORTGAGE OF THE EXCHANGE TRUST COMPANY, TRUSTEE.

Upon acceptance of bid for the property covered in the lien notes aintiff, and not included in the mortgage to the Exchange Trust Company, ee, covering the raw materials, scrap, finished and semi-finished cts, bills receivable and judgments, as set forth in Article IX, and onfirmation of such sale by the court, such purchaser shall make nt in cash to the Special Master, after application thereto respective- any amounts theretofore deposited by him in cash:

a. Of all proper expenses of the sale of said property.

b. Of the compensation of the Special Master appointed by this e, for his services in connection with the sale of said property.

c. Of an amount sufficient to pay any taxes, assessments or prior charges constituting a lien upon said property to be sold to the lien notes, except taxes, assessments and other prior charges, t to which the property may have been sold.

The balance of the purchase price of said property last mentioned before, shall be paid in cash, except that the Sand Springs Home, if ll be the successful bidder and purchaser of said property last men- , shall satisfy and make good the balance of its bid in whole by ing the balance of purchase price on the amount found due in Article eof.

Payment of the purchase price in the manner herein provided of the property sold hereunder shall be made at the time of the con- ion of the sale of such property, unless the court shall otherwise .

All sums of money received by the Special Master hereunder shall hwith deposited by him with the Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, a for application as herein directed.

XVI.

APPLICATION OF THE PROCEEDS OF SALE OF PROPERTY NOT INCLUDED IN THE MORTGAGE OF THE EXCHANGE TRUST COMPANY, TRUSTEE.

Upon any sale being made of the property not included in the e of the Exchange Trust Company, Trustee, - that is to say - the

le of scrap, raw material, semi-finished and finished products, bills ceivable and judgments, the funds arising from said sale shall be ap- ied and distributed as follows, and in the following order:

a. To the payment of costs, allowances and disbursements and penses of such sale, and of the compensation of the Special Master for s services in connection with the sale of said last mentioned property.

b. To the payment of all taxes upon said last mentioned property.

c. To the payment of the whole amount due the Sand Springs Home, found in Article IX of this decree.

XVII.

SPECIAL MASTER'S DEEDS AND DELIVERY OF THE PROPERTY SOLD:

Upon confirmation of the sale of any part of the mortgaged property, d in any case, upon compliance with all of the terms of the sale, and on payment of the purchase price by the purchaser, the Special Master all execute and deliver a good and sufficient deed or deeds or instrument instruments of conveyance and transfer, conveying and transferring the operty purchased to the purchaser, and shall deliver such property to the rchaser, and upon the request of the purchaser, the defendant company shall in in any such deeds or instruments of conveyance or transfer.

XVIII.

DEFICIENCY UPON BONDS AFTER SALE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY:

In case the proceeds of the sale of the property mortgaged to change Trust Company, Trustee, shall not be sufficient after the making erefrom of the other payments in this decree directed to be made, to pay e full amount hereinabove in Article VIII hereof found to be due and un- id upon the first mortgage gold bonds of the defendant company, together th interest thereon, then the claim of the Exchange Trust Company, Trustee, a general creditor against the defendant company arising from such ficiency shall be allowed at $175,000.00, provided that said Exchange ust Company, Trustee, shall be entitled to actual payment of such claim ly to the extent of the actual deficiency.

XIX.

DEFICIENCY UPON LIEN NOTES TO PLAINTIFF:

In case the proceeds of the sale of the property shall not sufficient, after the making therefrom of the other payments in this cree directed to be made, to pay the full amount hereinabove in Article found to be due and unpaid to the Sand Springs Home, together with inter- t thereon, then the claim of the Sand Springs Home as a general creditor gainst the defendant company, arising from such deficiency, shall be allowed $558,133.64, provided that said Sand Springs Home shall be entitled the actual payment of such claim only to the extent of the actual defic- ncy.

XX.

J. H. McAlarney has no lien upon any of the property of the

ıdant company, and his claim as a general creditor is reserved for fur-
consideration.

XXI.

Certain machinery and equipment in place in the plant of the
ıdant company and in the possession of the receiver, to-wit:

One Westinghouse Electric 500 Kilowatt Motor-Generator Set, consisting of a 3 phase, alternating current, 2300 volt motor, and a 250 volt, direct current generator, with two-panel switch-board and starting equipment;

e property of and is owned by Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an
oma Corporation, Intervenor herein, and is not subject to the liens
losed in this decree, and is excluded from and not included in the fore-
res herein decreed, and shall be excluded from the inventory, appraise-
and sale ordered by this decree.

XXII.

Any claims which the defendant company may have against any per-
firms or corporations, by reason of any violation of the laws of the
ı States of America, known as the Sherman Act or the Clayton Act, are
ıcluded in this foreclosure or sale thereunder, and shall remain the
:ty of the defendant company, which shall be entitled to enforce the
ɔy appropriate action or proceedings.

XXIII.

MATTERS RESERVED:

All questions, issues, matters and things not hereby disposed
'e hereby reserved by the court for its further adjudication, and any
to this cause may at any time apply to this court for further relief
foot of this decree in respect of any matters not herein specifically
ed for. For the purpose of making any further order or decree in rela-
o any matter arising in connection with this decree, or any sale there-
the present term of this Court hereby is continued.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

TUART, DOENER & HAMILTON
ttorneys for Plaintiff.
TEELE & DAUGHERTY
ttorneys for Intervenor, Exchange
rust Company, Trustee.
s to matters relating to Exchange Trust Co. Mtg.
ttorneys for J. H. McAlarney. E. J. Lundy.
JNTER L. JOHNSON
:torneys for Public Service
ɔmpany of Oklahoma.
)WELL E. HAYS
Receiver.
:LLIAM M. TAYLOR
:torney for Defendant.

ID: Filed Aug 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
:TY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY AUGUST 10, 1931.

N E. BREYFOGLE,	Plaintiff,)	
vs.	)	No. 611 - Equity.
E WILLOW CORPORATION, orporation, I. S. MINCKS EFFIE B. MINCKS,	DEFENDANTS.)	

Now on this 10th day of August, A. D. 1931, the above entitled cause es on for hearing on claim of Real Estate Investment Corporation. Witnesses es A. Penick, Maurice Weisburg and Oscar Springer, are sworn and examined. thereafter said cause is continued for further hearing to August 11, 1931. E.Kennamer, Judge.)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ted States of America,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.	)	No. 627 Equity.
rge H. Wetzel, et al,	Defendants.)	

JUDGMENT IN INQUISITION --- CONDEMNATION OF LAND.

Now on this 10th day of August, 1931, this cause coming on regularly be heard in open Court and after the judgment of condemnation heretofore herein on August the 1st, 1931, on the report of the commissioners named said judgment, it being shown to the Court that said commissioners, R. T. arer, James Gorman, and Fred L. Patrick, all disinterested persons to the ues of this cause and all being residents of Creek County, Northern icial District of Oklahoma, and being heretofore appointed commissioners this proceeding to ascertain, assess, and appraise the property herein ght to be condemned and report into this Court the amount of damages, so nd by them, that the owners of said premises will sustain as a result of d condemnation; and it being further shown to the Court that said comioners did, on August the 3rd, 1931, after the proper notice to interested ties and after taking the oath required by law in such cases made and proed, meet at said premises and agree upon and appraise and assess the ages caused to said owner by reason of said condemnation in this cause at sum of $12,800, which is shown by the report of said commissioners filed this cause, said property being described as follows, to-wit:

> Lying and being in Sapulpa, Creek County, Oklahoma, fronting one hundred sixty feet on the east side of Elm Street and extending easterly of that width along the north side of Lee Street one hundred fifty feet to the twenty foot alley bounding said site on the east, being all of Lot Six (6) and the south fifty-three and four-tenths feet of Lot Three (3), Block Fifty (50) of the original townsite of Sapulpa, Creek County, Oklahoma;

it being shown further to the Court that said $800 mentioned in said damages by stipulation and agreement of the parties herein is reckoned as a value the improvement of said premises, consisting of two frame houses, and that

owner of said premises, George H. Wetzel, has heretofore elected and does :lect to remove said improvements from said premises at said value of the :eby leaving and making the actual assessed damages to the said owner :he said condemnation of said premises the sum of $12,000; and it being ıer shown to the Court that, after due and proper service is required by ın all the defendants in this cause, no one has filed any claim of owning ·art of said property or any interest, equity, or lien thereon whatsoever ·t the answer filed by the County Attorney of Creek County, Oklahoma, on .f of said Creek County, and the County Treasurer of Creek County and the · of Oklahoma for unpaid delinquent taxes in the sum of $3528.49, which .des all advalorem tax liens, paving tax liens, and every kind of lien axes against said premises for the years 1911 to and including 1931, and the said owner of said premises, George H. Wetzel, concedes that said .t of money is due the County Treasurer of Creek County by distribution id unpaid taxes, as shown in the records of said Treasurer of said y:

And the Court, having considered all of the said evidence and lations of the party hereinbefore shown, finds that George H. Wetzel d was the sole and only owner of all the rights, titles, interests, ies, and property in and to the premises condemned in this cause on the of said condemnation except the said amount due for the unpaid taxes nbefore shown, which said taxes should be declared as a first subsist- nd valid lien against the amount assessed as damages in this condem- n proceedings.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER, JUDGMENT, AND DECREE OF THE COURT that condemnation proceedings and judgment heretofore had in this cause on t the 1st, 1931, and the assessment of damages as shown by the report e commissioners herein, except the amount of $800 for improvements on premises which said George H. Wetzel retained and is to remove from said ses within sixty (60) days from date of this decree, be and the same reby approved and confirmed, and said damages assessed at $12,000.

IT IS THF FURTHER ORDER, JUDGMENT, AND DECREE OF THE COURT in the ıt of the said $12,000 by the plaintiff herein through its proper agency, reasurer of the United States, that the said sum of $3528.49 be first out of said condemnation money to the County Treasurer of Creek County, oma, for and on behalf of said delinquent taxes heretofore shown; and the said Treasurer of the United States next pay the full and total of this cause to the Clerk of the United States Court for the Northern .ct of Oklahoma in the sum of $109.42; and that the remainder of the 12,000, in the sum of $8362.09, be paid to the said George H. Wetzel, mer of the said premises, on the date of condemnation.

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER, JUDGMENT, AND DECREE OF THE COURT that id defendants, and each of them, to-wit:

George Wetzel, Salina Hayes, William Sapulpa, Adm. of estate of Marchie Hayes, Paul Land, Ora Land, Sam Matthews and Della Matthews, W. C. Foster and Agnes G. Foster, Joseph O. Denton and Alice F. Denton, Frank L. Mars, L. E. Rutter, William M. Smith and Frances B. Smith, J. F. Kirkpatrick, Adm. of estate of Hilly Hayes, nee Bear, deceased, William Grayson, Executor of purported last will of Hilly Hayes, nee Bear, deceased, John B. Rynearson, Ralph H. Blake, Treasurer of Creek County, Oklahoma, Bart Foster, Chairman Board of County Commissioners of Creek County, Oklahoma,

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
TY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY AUGUST 10, 1931.

J. M. DeLozier and Maude DeLozier, Fannie DeLozier, and Fannie DeLozier and George Henry DeLozier, as sole and only heirs at law of B. L. DeLozier, deceased, and State of Oklahoma,

each and every person claiming through, by, or under each, any, or all of ., be and they are hereby perpectually enjoined and forbidden to claim any t, title, interest, or estate in or to said premises or any part thereof ile or adverse to the possession and ownership of the plaintiff herein, United States of America; and each and all of said defendants and those ming under, by, or through any of them are hereby perpetually forbidden enjoined from commencing any suit to disturb the said plaintiff in the enjoyment, possession, and ownership of said premises or any part there- It is further adjudged that plaintiff have and recover all its costs in case against its defendant, George H. Wetzel, to be paid as hereinbefore red.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

A. E. WILLIAMS
stant United States Attorney.

S AND FRAZIER
rney for the Defendant.
. V. Frazier.

RSED: Filed Aug 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ted States of America, Plaintiff vs. 3. James, Geo. B. James, rge B. James and B. C. James, Defendants.	No. 632 Equity

DECREE OF FORECLOSURE OF MORTGAGE

Now on this the 8th day of August 1931, this cause came on regularly be heard in this court, before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, United States trict Judge in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, on the Bill of plaint of the plaintiff, and the default of the defendant, and the United tes in its own behalf, and in behalf of Joseph Morrell, restricted Osage ottee No. 666, appeared by its solicitor A. E. Williams, Assistant United tes Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma; and the defendants 3. James and B. C. James having been heretofore properly served by subpoena equity, as required by law in this case, more than thirty days prior to s date, by personal service in the Northern District of Oklahoma, and ing failed to appear, plead, answer or in any way make a defense to the egations of the Bill of Complaint of the plaintiff, are by the Court adged to be in default.

Whereupon, the plaintiff introduces its testimony of the original and mortgages made and executed by G. B. James and B. C. James on Sept- 16, 1927, as alleged in the Bill of Complaint, and it being further shown e court that the defendants in said cause had defaulted on the payment of note as conditioned in said note and mortgage, and that on the date of g the Bill of Complaint in this case there was delinquent and unpaid the orem taxes on the property therein described for the years 1929 and 1930, e sum of $534.15, together with interest and penalties thereon, and un- delinquent paving taxes on said property in the sum of $93.42, with est and penalties thereon, and the sum of $753.20 interest, and that fore the conditions of said note and mortgage have been borken, and that aintiff, on behalf of said ward, is entitled to judgement as prayed, ier with attorney fees, and is further entitled to judgment foreclosing ortgages shown in said Bill of Complaint; and that the said property bed in said mortgages be ordered sold to pay said indebtedness, taxes, ey fees and costs, said property being described as follows, to-wit:

Lots 17, 18 and 19 in Block 13; Lots 3, 4 and 5
in Block 15, and Lots 17 and 18, Block 16,
Original town of Fairfax, Osage County, Northern
District of Oklahoma.

The court further finds that this is a suit for foreclosure of real mortgage, and that the plaintiff has asked that a receiver be appoint- said cause to take possession of said premises and collect the rents n during the pendency of this action, and to have the proceeds of such mpounded by the receiver to be applied on said indebtedness, as the may hereafter order.

It is further shown to the court that G. B. James, Geo. B. James orge B. James are one and the same person, and that judgment herein ainst G. B. James, as he signed the said note and mortgage herein sued

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that aintiff herein, the United States of America, in its own behalf, and alf of Joseph Morrell, restricted Osage allottee No. 666, do have and of and from said defendants, G. B. James and B. C. James, and each , the sum of $7,577.00, together with interest thereon at 7% per from date hereof, and for the further sum of $534.15 advalorem taxes the premises above described, at the institution of this suit, to- with interest and penalties thereon, and the paving taxes due in the $93.42, with interest and penalties thereon, together with all costs suit, including $672.50 attorneys fees.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that said defendants, or either of them, have, six months from date of the on of this suit, failed to pay the plaintiff and its said ward, the $7,577.00, together with interest from this date at 7%, and the em taxes, attorneys fees, and costs as herein ordered, an Order of all issue to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of a, commanding him to advertise and sell, according to law, without ement, the lands and tenements in said Bill of Complaint described, herein before shown, to-wit:

Lots 17, 18 and 19 in Block 13; Lots 3, 4 and 5
in Block 15, and Lots 17 and 18, Block 16,
Original town of Fairfax, Osage County, Northern
District of Oklahoma

to apply the proceeds arising from said sale, 1st--in payment of the costs aid sale and of this action, 2nd--in payment f the aforementioned ad-rem taxes and paving taxes, 3rd--payment to the plaintiff herein, for said , the sum of $7,577.00, with interest as herein ordered, and said attorneys ; that the residue, if any there be, be paid into this court to be credit-o said defendant, or as the court may order. If the amount derived from sale is not sufficient to satisfy said judgment, costs, taxes and attorneys , let execution issue against the defendants G. B. James and B. C. James the remainder unpaid.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that John H. Vickrey, United es Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be, and he is hereby inted receiver of said premises during the pendency of this action, or l said indebtedness has been paid or such property sold as herein ordered, that he immediately take possession of said property and collect the s therefrom and hold whem in his possession to await the further order he court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that from, and after, sale of said land and tenements, under and by virtue of this judgement, the defendants G. B. James and B. C. James, and each of them, and all ons claiming by, through or under them, or either of them, since the ıencement of this suit, be, and they are forever barred and foreclosed nd from all liens upon, right, title, interest estate or equity of, in o said lands and tenements, or any part thereof.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

. WILLIAMS
stant United States Attorney

RSED: Filed Aug 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

.es Service Gas Company, Plaintiff,	
vs	No. 648 in Equity.
.y-Dempsey & Company, Inc., a ›oration, O. E. Dempsey, .an T. VanHook and Union .onal Bank of Bartlesville, ıhoma, a corporation, Defendants.	

O R D E R.

Upon application of the defendants, Kelly-Dempsey & Company, Inc., O. E. Dempsey, they and each of them are hereby granted an extension of

of 10 days from the time heretofore granted within which to file their r to the bill of complaint herein.

Dated this tenth day of August, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

SED: Filed Aug 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

; Service Gas Company, Plaintiff, vs Dempsey & Company, Inc., a ation, O. E. Dempsey, . T. VanHook and Union nal Bank of Bartlesville, ma, a corporation, Defendants.	No. 648 in Equity.

O R D E R

Upon application of the defendants, Kelly-Dempsey & Company, and O. E. Dempsey, they are hereby granted leave to file Motion to s in the above entitled case instanter.

Dated this tenth day of August, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

ED: Filed Aug 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

Service Gas Company, Plaintiff, vs. Dempsey & Company, Inc., a O. E. Dempsey, Julian T. : and Union National Bank of ville, Okla., a corp., Defendants.	No. 648 - Equity.

Now on this 10th day of August, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the that motion of Kelly-Dempsey & Company and O. E. Dempsey to dismiss ve entitled cause be, and the same is hereby overruled. (F. E. Kennamer,

---

Court adjourned until August 11, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Y SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, AUGUST 11, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, August 11th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
.t:

W. GULLETT, REC.,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 360 - Equity.
. FITZSTEPHENS,	Defendant.	

Now on this 11th day of August, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the t that order in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant in the sum of .91 and costs be entered. Thereafter, Defendant excepts and gives notice ppeal. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that execution be stayed ty (30) days. It is further ordered by the Court that Supersedeas Bond ixed in the sum of $800.00. Given Sixty (60) days to prepare and file ative statement.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

s W. Gullett, Receiver for		
cribers at Associated Employers		Equity No. 360.
procal,	Complainant,	
		Ancillary to Missouri
-vs-		Valley Bridge & Iron
		Company v. J. M. Middleton,
. Fitzstephens,	Defendant.	et al., Equity No. 284-B.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 11 day of August, 1931, this cause came on to be d before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the District Court of the ed States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, upon the exceptions of the ndant, J. J. Fitzstephens, to that part of the report of G. C. Grant, ial Master herein, reading as follows:

> "Whereupon the Special Master having heard the evidence and being fully advised in the premises finds the issues for the complainant and renders judgment in favor of the complainant and against the defendant in the sum of Six Hundred Forty-two and 91/100 ($642.91) Dollars together with costs of this action, to which findings and judgment the defendant excepts and exceptions are allowed."

And the Court after hearing the oral arguments of counsel for both ies, and after examining the report of the Special Master, and after read- the briefs heretofore filed and submitted by counsel for both parties, being further fully advised in the premises, and upon consideration thereof, , that the exceptions of the defendant to that part of the report of the ial Master above mentioned should be overruled and that the report should onfirmed in all respects and judgment rendered thereon accordingly.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND ED, that the exceptions of the defendant to that part of the report of the al Master herein, G. C. Grant, above mentioned, should be, and the same hereby overruled, and that the report of the Special Master should be, the same is hereby in all things confirmed and approved; it is further red, adjudged, and decreed that judgment be, and the same is hereby rendered avor of the complainant and against the defendant, J. J. Fitzstephens, in sum of Six Hundred Forty-Two and 91/100 ($642.91) Dollars, together with osts of this action, for all of which let execution issue. To all of which ngs, rulings, and judgment of the Court, the defendant excepts and exception allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

JAMES P. MELONE
Attorney for Complainant.

Attorney for Defendant.

ED: Filed Aug 11 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

W. CULLETT, RECEIVER,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 427 - Equity.
POPE, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 11th day of August, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by the that journal entry of judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against the fants, A. D. Horton, J. A. Pope and J. A. Pope Drilling Company in the f $2511.81 and costs be, and the same is hereby, entered. To all of judgment Defendants except and give notice of appeal. Thereupon, it is ed by the Court that execution be stayed thirty (30) days. Supersedeas fixed in the sum of $3000.00. Given Sixty (60) days to prepare and file ive statement.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, AUGUST 11, 1931

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

. H. Gullett, Receiver for ribers at Associated Employers rocal, Complainant,	Equity No. 427
-vs-	Ancillary to Missouri Valley Bridge & Iron Company v. J. . Middleton, et al., Equity No. 284-E.
. Pope and A. D. Morton, hers operating as J. A. Pope Drilling any, Bartlesville, Oklahoma. Defendants.	

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 11th day of August, 1931, this cause came on to be d before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the District Court of the ed States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, upon the exceptions of the ndant, A. D. Morton, to that part of the report of G. C. Grant, Special er herein, reading as follows:

> "Whereupon the Special Master having heard the evidence and being fully advised in the premises finds the issues for the complainant and renders judgment in favor of the complainant and against the defendant, A. D.Morton, in the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred Ninety-one and 81/100 Dollars ($2591.81), together with the costs of this action, to which findings and judgment the defendant excepts and exceptions are allowed."

And the Court after hearing the oral arguments of counsel for parties, and after examining the report of the Special Master, and after ing the briefs heretofore filed and submitted by counsel for both parties, being further fully advised in the premises, and upon consideration there- finds, that the exceptions of the defendant to that part of the report the Special Master above mentioned should be overruled and that the report ld be confirmed in all respects and judgment rendered thereon accordingly.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND REED, that the exceptions of the defendant to that part of the report of Special Master herein, G. C. Grant, above mentioned, should be, and the are hereby overruled, and that the report of the Special Master should and the same is hereby in all things confirmed and approved; it is further ered, adjudged, and decreed that judgment be, and the same is hereby rendered favor of the complainant and against the defendant, A. D. Morton, and the nership, J. A. Pope and A. D. Morton, operating as J. A. Pope Drilling pany, in the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred Ninety-One and 81/100 ,591.81) Dollars, together with the costs of this action, for all of which erecution issue. To all of which findings, rulings, and judgment of the rt, the defendants except and exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, August 21st,
L.

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Court, absent from
District.

Present: H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. Dist. Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
vit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

OSAGE OIL AND REFINING COMPANY, rporation	Plaintiff,	
-VS-		NO. EQUITY 222
E AXELROD, and INENTAL OIL COMPANY, rporation	Defendants.	

ORDER RE-APPROVING NARRATIVE AND CONDENSED
STATEMENT OF EVIDENCE

The Narrative Statement of Facts and of Evidence in the above tled cause having been heretofore duly lodged in the office of the Clerk his Court by the Continental Oil Company, appellant, and this Court having tofore, on June 19th, 1931, executed its order approving such Narrative ement without stipulation for such approval having been signed by all ies to the appeal, and notice of reconsideration of said Narrative State- having been duly served on all parties, as required by order of this t, and stipulation now being on file herein signed by attorneys of record the Osage Oil and Refining Company, appellee and the Continental Oil any, appellant, agreeing that the Narrative and Condensed Statement of ence filed in the office of the Clerk of this court on June 22nd, 1931, may e-approved, subject to addenda attached to the aforesaid stipulation.

IT IS HEREBY, on this 20 day of August, 1931, ORDERED AND AD- ED BY THIS COURT that the Narrative and Condensed Statement of Evidence in caus, heretofore filed with the Clerk of this court on June 22nd, 1931, nd the same is hereby approved, with the proviso however, that the addenda ched to the stipulation approving Narrative Statement, such stipulation g dated August 7th, 1931, which the addenda thereto attached shall be made rt of said Narrative Statement and attached thereto at the end thereof. o modified, said Narrative Statement is hereby approved as a fair and true ement of the facts and evidence necessary for consideration of this appeal.

EDGAR S. VAUGHT
JUDGE

RSED: Filed Aug 21, 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

Court adjourned until August 24, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

I SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, AUGUST 24, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, August 24th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
t:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Jack,	Plaintiff,	
vs		Equity No. 158.
M. Hood, et al,	Defendants.	

Now comes the above named plaintiff, by and through his at-
ys of record, represents to this Court that all issues of fact and law have
e Res Ajudicata for and between all parties being a part and part thereto
e above entitled action, and that in the trial of said cause, the plain-
introduced into evidence certain documentary evidence being designated
intiff's exhibits" number one to fourteen, inclusive", all of which are now
ile with the Clerk of this Court; And further represents that all of said
bits are of no further useful value to this Court in so far as the issues
e above entitled action are concerned, and that plaintiff desires to with-
the same;

Wherefore, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that
rity is hereby given to the Clerk of this Court to deliver to plaintiff
is attorneys any and all exhibits introduced by said plaintiff in the above
tled cause, and it is further ordered that permission is hereby given to
plaintiff to withdraw said exhibits from the files and records of this
t.

Done this 24th day of August, A. D. 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the District Court.

f Pltf. from 1 to 14 inclusive withdrawn, this day Aug 24-1931 - by -

W. M. LEISE

ED: Filed Aug 24 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until August 25, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, August 25th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
t:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IPS PETROLEUM COMPANY, PORATION,	PLAINTIFF,	IN EQUITY NO. 667
VS.		
WIDICK,	DEFENDANT.	

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
RECEIVER SHOULD NOT BE APPOINTED.

Upon reading the verified Bill of Complaint in the above entitled and upon motion of Phillips Petroleum Company, plaintiff in the above en- d cause, it is ORDERED that O. H. Widick, the defendant in the above en- d cause, show cause, if any he has, before the undersigned Judge of the ict Court of the United States District Court at its chambers in the al Building in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on the 2d day of 1931, at 10:00 o'clock in the forenoon on that day, or as soon thereafter nsel can be heard, why an order should not be made and entered herein ting a receiver for certain funds and securities alleged to have been ted by defendant for the account of plaintiff at Miami, Oklahoma during nths of July and August, 1931, in the amount of $3342.45, to be held by receiver pending the trial of this action.

ORDERED further that defendant be and he is hereby restrained and ned from secreting or disposing of said funds and/or securities or placing me beyond his control, or of making any disposition thereof whatever than to hold the same in status quo pending hearing of said application pointment of receiver and until the further order of this Court.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge

ED: Filed Aug 25 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until August 26, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
TY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 26, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, August 26th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
it:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

y R. Adams and Complainants,)
. Mullendore, etal,)
vs.)
e Tribe of Indians,)
Lookout, Chief,)
y Kopay, Assistant Chief,)
klin Revard, Secretary, and)
Kennedy, George Aberty,)
ent Denoya, Frank Lessert,) No. 642 Equity.
Petsemoie, Charles Brown,)
bis Revard and Simon Henderson,)
tituting the Osage Tribal Council,)
Lyman Wilbur, Secretary of the)
rior, Chas. J. Rhoads, Commissioner)
ndian Affairs, D. E. Murphy, Superin-)
ent of the Osage Indian Agency,)
s Company, a corporation, and Indian)
itory Illuminating Oil Company, a)
oration, Defendants.)

PETITION FOR APPEAL

The complainants, Henry R. Adams, and E. C. Mullendore, for and heir own behalf and on behalf of all other parties similarly situated, and hose behalf said suit is prosecuted feeling aggrieved at the decision, ac- and order and judgment of the court made and entered on the 16th day of , 1931, dismissing complainants' bill of complaint, filed this their peti- for an appeal from said order and judgment of the court to the United es Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, sitting in the City of er, in the State of Colorado.

Complainants file herewith their assignment of errors and by re- nce ask that same be made a part of this petition for appeal.

Wherefore, complainants respectfully pray that the court make rder granting this appeal.

F. E. RIDDLE
HOLCOMB LOMBAR & BARNEY
Counsel for Complainants.

l granted on this the
day of Aug. 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge

SED: Filed Aug 26 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Mullendore, ,	Complainants,	
vs.		No. 643 Equity.
Tribe of Indians, ,	Defendants.	

PETITION FOR APPEAL

The complainants, E. C. Mullendore, and others, for and in their ehalf and on behalf of all other parties similarly situated, and in whose f said suit is prosecuted feeling aggrieved at the decision, action and and judgment of the court made and entered on the 16th day of June, 1931, ssing complainants' bill of complaint file this their petition for an appeal said order and judgment of the court to the Supreme Court of the United s, sitting in the City of Washington, D. C.

Complainants file herewith their assignment of errors and by ence ask that same be made a part of this petition for appeal.

Wherefore, complainants respectfully pray that the court make an granting this appeal.

F. E. RIDDLE
HOLCOMB LOHMAN & BARNEY
Counsel for Complainants.

. granted on this the
ay of Aug, 1931.

'. E. KENNAMER
District Judge

ED: Filed Aug 26 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
/ SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, AUGU T 26, 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

es F. Yarbrough,	Complainants,	)
,		)
		) No. 645 Equity
vs.		)
		)
Tribe of Indians,		)
,	Defendants.	)

PETITION FOR APPEAL

The complainants, Charles F. Yarbrough, and others, for and in own behalf and on behalf of all other parties similarly situated, and ose behalf said suit is prosecuted feeling aggrieved at the decision, n and order and judgment of the court made and entered on the 16th day of 1931, dismissing complainants' bill of complaint file this their petition n appeal from said order and judgment of the court to the United States it Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, sitting at Denver, in the State lorado.

Complainants file herewith their assignment of errors and by re- ce ask that same be made a part of this petition for appeal.

Wherefore, complainants respectfully pray that the court make der granting this appeal.

F. E. RIDDLE
HOLCOMB LOHMAN & BARNEY
Counsel for Complainants.

l granted on this the
day of Aug, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

RSED: Filed Aug 26 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until August 27, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, August 27th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
N. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
t:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. Gullett, Receiver for Subscribers sociated Employers Reciprocal, Complainant, -vs- Schilling, an Individual, operating illing Casing Drew, Defendant.	Equity No. 324. Ancillary to Missouri Valley Bridge & Iron Company v. J. H. Middleton, et al., Equity No. 284-E.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 27th day of August, 1931, the above cause came on heard upon the application of complainant herein for an order dismissing ove styled cause of action without prejudice, and it appearing to the action of the Court that the complainant herein has filed a voluntary sal without prejudice, the Court finds that said order should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE, BY THE COURT, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, he above styled cause of action, be, and the same is hereby dis issed t prejudice.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ND: Filed Aug 27 1931
N. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

. Gullett, Receiver for Subscribers ociated Employers Reciprocal, Complainant, -vs- . Petty, Defendant.	Equity No. 331. Ancillary to Missouri Valley Bridge & Iron Company v. J. . iddleton, et al., Equity No. 284-E.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 29th day of August, 1931, the above cause came on

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, AUGUST 27, 1931

heard upon the application of complainant herein for an order dismissing bove styled cause of action without prejudice, and it appearing to the faction of the Court that the complainant herein has filed a voluntary ssal without prejudice, the Court finds that said order should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE, BY THE COURT, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, the above styled cause of action, be, and the same is hereby dismissed ut prejudice.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

SED: Filed Aug 27 1931
M. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

. W. Gullett, Receiver for Subscribers sociated Employers Reciprocal, Complainant, -vs-	) Equity No. 355.) Ancillary to Missouri Valley Bridge & Iron Company v. J. H. Middleton et al., Equity No. 284-L.
art Light & Power Company, poration, Defendant.	)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 27th day of August, 1931, the above cause came on e heard upon the application of complainant herein for an order dismissing bove styled cause of action without prejudice, and it appearing to the sfaction of the Court that the complainant herein has filed a voluntary issal without prejudice, the Court finds that said order should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE, BY THE COURT, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, the above styled cause of action, be, and the same is hereby dismissed out prejudice.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ED: Filed Aug 27 1931
M. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

s W. Gullett, Receiver for Subscribers ssociated Employers Reciprocal, Complainant,	Equity No. 357.
-vs-	Ancillary to Missouri Valley Bridge & Iron Company v. J. L. Middleton, et al., Equity No. 284-E.
nstantine, Defendant.	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 27th day of August, 1931, the above cause came on heard upon the application of complainant herein for an order dismissing bove styled cause of action without prejudice, and it ap caring to the faction of the Court that the complainant erein has filed a voluntary ssal without prejudice, the Court finds that said order should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE, BY THE COURT, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, the above styled cause of action, be, and the same is hereby dismissed ut prejudice.

R. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ED: Filed Aug 27 1931
W. L. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. Gullett, Receiver for Subscribers sociated Employers Reciprocal, Complainant,	Equity No. 362.
-vs-	Ancillary to Missouri Valley Bridge & Iron Company, v. J. L. Middleton, et al., Equity No. 284-E.
Lang, an Individual, doing ss as W. F. Lang's Auto and Body Works, Defendant.	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 27th day of August, 1931, the bove styled cause tion came on to be heard upon the application of the complainant herein for ler dismissin the above styled cause of action with rejudice, and it ting to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant herein has paid ll amount herein sued upon, finds that said order should be granted.

[illegible]
THE [illegible] DISTRICT OF [illegible]
[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, AUGUST 27, 1931

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT, ORDERED, [illegible], AND DECREED, the above styled cause of action be, and the same is hereby dismissed prejudice.

F. E. [illegible]
Judge.

[illegible]: Filed Aug 27 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

s W. Gullett, Receiver for Subscribers ssociated Employers Reciprocal, Complainant,	) Equity No. 393.
-vs-	) Ancillary to Missouri Valley Bridge & Iron Company v.
s Gasoline Company, rporation, Defendant.	) J. H. Middleton, et al.,) Equity No. 284-L.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 27th day of August, 1931, the above cause came on e heard upon the application of complainant herein for an order dismissin above styled cause of action without prejudice, and it appearing to the sfaction of the Court that the complainant herein has filed a volunt ry issal without prejudice, the Court finds that said order should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE, BY THE COURT, ORDERED, [illegible], AND DECREED, the above styled cause of action, be, and the same is hereby dismissed out prejudice.

F. E. [illegible]
Judge.

[illegible]: Filed Aug 27 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. Gullett, Receiver for Subscribers Associated Employers Reciprocal, Complainant,	Equity No. 4[illegible]6. Ancillary to Missouri Valley Bridge & Iron Company v. J. L. Middleton, et al., Equity No. 284-L.
-vs-	
n Woodruff, Defendant.	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 27th day of August, 1931, the above cause came on heard upon the application of complainant herein for an order dismissing bove styled cause of action without prejudice, and it appearing to the faction of the Court that the complainant herein has filed a voluntary ssal without prejudice, the Court finds that said order should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE, BY THE COURT, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREE, the above styled cause of action, be, and the same is hereby dismissed ut prejudice.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

END: Filed Aug 27 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until August 28, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA DALLAS, AUGUST 28, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, August 28th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
M. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
t:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

M. Eby,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 581 Equity.
ch Royalty Corporation,		
.,	Defendants.	

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF PAUL A. ZIZELMAN
AGAINST MONARCH ROYALTY CORPORATION TO BE HEARD
BEFORE O. G. ROLLINS, SPECIAL MASTER.

O R D E R.

This matter coming on for hearing upon the application of the
ant Paul A. Zizelman for a special commission to be issued to Nathan B.
k, of New York City, Borough of Manhattan, State of New York, a Notary
c, as Commissioner, to take the deposition of the claimant Paul A. Mizel-
and various and sundry witnesses residing in said city, to be offered by
laimant, and it appearing from the application of the claimantthat said
ssion should issue;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT
the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District
klahoma, issue a Special Commission to Nathan B. Gurock of New York City,
ch of Manhattan, State of New York, a Notary Public of said State.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

NOTED: Filed Aug 28 1931
M. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

s Service Gas Company, Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	No. 646 Equity.
L. Lemons, Inc., a corporation,	)	
., Defendants.	)	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO ANSWER.

It appearing to the court that the plaintiff and the defendant n T. VanHook have stipulated that the defendant Julian T. VanHook shall until September 5, 1931, to answer;

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED that the time to answer for the defen- Julian T. VanHook be extended until September 5, 1931.

F. E. KELLAMER
Judge.

ED: Filed Aug 28 1931
W. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

s Service Gas Company, Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	No. 647 Equity.
L. Lemons, Inc., a corporation,	)	
., Defendants.	)	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO ANSWER.

It appearing to the court that the plaintiff and the defendant 1 T. VanHook have stipulated that the defendant Julian T. VanHook shall until September 5, 1931, to answer;

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED that the time to answer for the defen- Julian T. VanHook be extended until September 5, 1931.

F. E. KELLAMER
Judge.

ED: Filed Aug 28 1931
W. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, AUGUST 28, 1931

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

s Service Gas Company,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 648 Equity.
-Dempsey & Company, Inc., 'poration, et al.,	Defendants.	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO ANSWER

It appearing to the court that the plaintiff and the defendant n T. VanHook have stipulated that the defendant Julian T. VanHook shall until September 5, 1931, to answer;

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED that the time to answer for the de- nt Julian T. VanHook be extended until September 5, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
J u d g e.

SED: Filed Aug 28 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

homa Company, a Delaware Corporation, McCulloch Oil Company, a Delaware oration,	Plaintiffs,	Equity No. 650
vs.		
. Lambert, and J. A. Spicer,	Defendants.	

ORDER OF REFERENCE

This cause coming on to be heard upon the motion of all the par- hereto, based upon their stipulation for an order of reference of all es of law and fact to a special master for his investigation and decision, it appearing to the Court that this cause is at issue and that all parties , by their written stipulation and motion, consented to the reference and ed upon the special master.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above tled cause, with all its pleadings, evidence and exhibits, be referred to iam L. Eagleton, Esquire, of the Tulsa Bar, to hear and determine all of issues of law and fact arising in this cause, and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said William

agleton, Esquire, shall report his conclusions of law and fact and his ment thereon, together with the evidence upon which he founds his con- ions, to this Court on or before the 15th day of October, 1931; and that the completion of his report he shall give notice in writing to the ies hereto and such parties shall have ten days from the receipt of such ce within which to file exceptions with the Master; and that such excep- s shall be heard and determined by the master at a time to be fixed by him; days notice in writing whereof shall be given by him to the parties to the e entitled cause.

Dated this 28th day of August, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

K. H. LOTT
Atty for Oklahoma Company

K. H. LOTT
Atty for McCulloch Oil Company

DUDLEY C. MONK
C. E. McCRORY
Attys for C. K. Lambert

C. E. CASSITY
Atty for J. A. Spicer

SED: Filed Aug 28 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

Court adjourned until August 29, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
IN SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, AUGUST 29, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, August 29th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, it:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

. HASKELL RICHARDSON,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		
ULPA FUEL COMPANY, A 'ORATION, ET AL,	Defendants.	EQUITY NO. 621
'H E. KIRKLAND AS TRUSTEE OF 'LETT OIL AND GAS CORPORATION BARTLETT DEVELOPMENT SYNDI- NUMBER ONE, BANKRUPTS,	Intervener.	

O R D E R

Now on this 29th day of August, 1931, the application of Ralph Kirkland, Trustee of the Bartlett Oil and Gas Corporation and Bartlett elopment Syndicate Number One, Bankrupts, intervener herein, coming on for ring, and the Court being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS ORDERED that said application be granted and that said ervener be given leave to file an amended and supplemental bill in intertion herein, and make additional parties defendant hereto, Union National of Wichita, Kansas, Trustee, C. N. Haskell and C. N. Haskell, Trustee, that intervener be further given leave to answer the bill in intervention the Union National Bank of Wichita, Kansas, Trustee, all of which should filed within four days from this date.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ORSED: Filed Aug 29 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, AUGUST 29, 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

d States of America,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 644 Equity.
Wagoshe, et al,	Respondents.	

O R D E R

Upon application of the respondents named in the answer and erclaim, they are hereby granted leave to amend said counterclaim by ing an amendment to Section 7, and designating same as Sections 7a, 7b, nd 7d; the said amendment to be filed on or before September 1, 1931.

This the 27th day of August, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

SED: Filed Aug 29 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

l States of America,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 644 Equity.
Wagoshe, et al,	Defendants.	

O R D E R.

Upon application of the respondents herein prosecuting an appeal the temporary injunction order an extension of time is hereby granted them days within which to complete said record for an appeal and file the n the Supreme Court of the United States.

T is the 27th day of August, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ED: Filed Aug 29 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

- - - - - - -

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], AUGUST 29, 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

d States of America,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 644 Equity.
Wagoshe, et al,	Respondents.	

O R D E R

Upon application of the respondents in their counterclaim here-
re filed, the court made an order making the various oil and gas companies
corporations as named and set out in said counterclaim defendants in this
n.

It is now ordered that all of the said oil companies, corporat-
and individuals named in said counterclaim are made parties defendant
n and the Clerk will issue subpoenas to such named persons, companies
corporations for whom praecipe may be filed requesting subpoenas.

Dated this the 28th day of August, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

[illegible]: Filed Aug 29 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

Court adjourned until August 31, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, August 31st,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
it:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

rliter Tool Company, poration,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. In Equity 561.
n Packer Company, poration,	Defendant	

O R D E R

For good cause shown the Clerk of this Court is hereby ordered
irected to send Writ of Injunction herein, to the Marshal of the United
s District Court in and for the Eastern District of Missouri at St. Louis,
uri, for service upon the Defendant, Larkin Packer Company, a corporation.

DATED this the 29 day of August, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge

SEED: Filed Aug 31 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

. Eby,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 581 Equity.
ch Royalty Corporation,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Now at this time came on for further consideration the applica-
f Earle K. Eby heretofore filed for an order of allowance and directing the
er to pay same for expenses incurred in and about the preparation, investi-
and filing of said suit herein, and upon consideration thereof the court
that part of said claim as filed should be paid at this time.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Y SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, AUGUST 31, 1931

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the court the said complainant is hereby for the present allowed the sum of 500.00, he receiver, James A. Harris, is hereby directed and authorized to pay same eceiver's check, which shall be taken into consideration and allo ed in inal account.

Dated August 11, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ED: Filed Aug 31 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

Court adjourned until September 1, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, September 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, t:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Carpenter,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 451 Equity.
ie Oil & Gas Company, poration,	Defendant.	

O R D E R

Now on this 1st day of September, 1931, the above entitled cause on for hearing upon the motion of the plaintiff to dismiss this cause prejudice, and the Court being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED that this cause be, and the same is y, dismissed with prejudice to any further action herein.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

N. E. McNEILL
Atty for Plt.

ORF: Filed Sep 1 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MILER,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 510 - Equity.
TRANSPORTATION CO., poration,	Defendant.	

ORDER AUTHORIZING RECEIVERS TO MAKE CONTRACT.

On this 1st day of September, 1931, upon the verified applica- of J. A. Prater and L. A. Bodovitz, Receivers of the Union Transportation y, a corporation, for authority to make and execute a contract with the ri, Kansas and Oklahoma Coach Lines, a corporation, and it appearing to

Court that said contract will be for the best interest and benefit of said ...ership operation, and for other good cause shown;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that J. A. Frates and F. [illegible] Bodovitz, Re-...ers of the Union Transportation Company, be, and they are hereby authorized ...mpowered to execute a contract with the Missouri, Kansas and Oklahoma ... Lines, a corporation, upon the terms and in the form as in the proposed ...ract annexed to the verified application of said Receivers.

[illegible]
U. S. District Judge.

...ED: Filed Sep 1 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until September 2, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, September 2, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MASHELL RICHARDSON,	Complainant,	
--vs--		
PA FUEL COMPANY,	Respondent,	
ARD OIL AND REFINING COMPANY, poration; M. M. Watchorn and V. Watchorn, for the use and it of the Standard Oil and ing Company, a Corporation,	Intervenors,	No. 621, IN EQUITY.
WATCHORN,	Claimant.	

O R D E R

Now on this 2 day of Sept., 1931, on the application of M. M. orn, the said M. M. Watchorn is ordered, by the Court, to be made a party, s id, hereby, made a party in this cause for all purposes.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

FILED: Filed Sep 2 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ASHELL RICHARDSON,	Complainant,	
--vs--		
PA FUEL COMPANY,	Respondent,	No. 621, IN EQUITY.
ARD OIL AND REFINING COMPANY, oration; M. M. Watchorn and V. Watchorn, for the use and t of the Standard Oil and ng Company, a Corporation,	Intervenors,	
OIL REFINING COMPANY,	Claimant.	

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
IN EQUITY TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 2, 1931

O R D E R

Now on this 2 day of Sept., 1931, on the application of the rook Drilling Company, the said company is ordered, by the Court, to be a party, and is, hereby, made a party in this cause for all purposes.

T. D. KENNAMER
Judge.

ASED: Filed Sep 2 1931
N. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

E BERNSTEIN, COMPLAINANT,

vs.

. ELLETT, Individually; Northwestern Mutual Life rance Company, a foreign oration; Exchange National of Tulsa, Oklahoma, a oration, and American onal Bank, a corporation, DEFENDANTS.

No. 637, In Equity.

ORDER PERMITTING THE DEFENDANT, THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, OKLAHOMA, TO FILE MOTION TO DISMISS.

NOW, on this 2 day of September, 1931, this cause came on for ing on the oral motion of the defendant, THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF A, Oklahoma, for leave to file motion to dismiss.

It appearing to the Court that this cause was instituted in the trict Court of Creek County, Oklahoma, and that the defendant, THE EXCHANGE NAL BANK OF TULSA, Oklahoma, appeared in said court and objected to the sdiction of the court over the person of said defendant, upon the grounds for the reasons:

(1) That THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, Oklahoma, is a national banking association duly organized under and by virtue of the laws of the United States of America and authorized to transact a general banking business in the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and that it does not transact business within the County of Creek in the State of Oklahoma; that, under the laws under which the defendant, THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, Oklahoma, was organized, no suit, action or proceeding against any banking association organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the United States of America may be had in any state, county or municipal court

except in the county in which the banking association is located, having jurisdiction in similar cases;

(2) That the defendant, THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, Oklahoma, was not jointly liable with any of the other defendants in this action, and that the purported action of the plaintiff, as alleged in the petition, is not based upon a joint liability;

hat after the filing of said special appearance and objection to the juris-on of the Court said cause was removed from the District Court of the State lahoma, held in and for Creek County, Oklahoma, to this court, and that said action has been filed in this court the cause has been transferred the Law docket to the Equity docket, and that said special appearance and tion to the jurisdiction of the Court has never been acted upon by the , and as the case was transferred to the Northern District of Oklahoma, the ict in which THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, Oklahoma, is authorized ansact business:

IT IS ORDERED that the defendant, THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK LSA, Oklahoma, be, and it is hereby, authorized and permitted to file its n to dismiss said cause as to the defendant, THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK LSA, Oklahoma.

J. L. KENNAMER,
Judge.

ED: Filed Sep 2 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

PETROLEUM COMPANY, ORATION,	PLAINTIFF,	IN EQUITY NO. 667.
vs		
WINNICK,	DEFENDANT.	

O R D E R

This cause came on to be heard on this 2nd day of September, upon the application of plaintiff for the appointment of a receiver. laintiff appeared by its attorney, R. L. Foster, and the defendant appeared rson and by his attorney, Frank Kesbitt. Thereupon counsel for plaintiff efendant made their opening statements and the cause was submitted upon the ents of counsel. The Court being fully advised finds that the defendant pay over to the plaintiff forthwith the sum of Two Thousand ($2,000.00) s and that the balance in controversy, to-wit: Thirteen Hundred Forty Two /100 ($1342.45) Dollars, should be impounded and upon those conditions ppointment of a receiver should be denied.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], [illegible] 1, 1931

It is therefore ordered and adjudged that the defendant, C. H. [illegible], forthwith pay to the plaintiff the sum of Two Thousand ($2,000.00) [illegible]rs and that he forthwith deposit in the First National Bank of Miami, [illegible]oma, the sum of Thirteen Hundred Forty Two and 45/100 ($1342.45) Dollars, [illegible] to be deposited to the credit of "O. H. Widick [illegible]pecial Account" and that [illegible]dant furnish plaintiff with a duplicate deposit slip showing the deposit [illegible]id sum as above; and that defendant be restrained and enjoined from paying [illegible]r withdrawing any part of said fund except upon order of this Court.

Upon compliance with the above conditions the application for [illegible]ntment of receiver is denied.

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

SEE: Filed Sep 2 1931
[illegible]. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until September 5, 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, September 3,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Speer & Sons Company,	Complainant,	
vs.		
ty of Shidler, a municipal ation, et al.	Respondents,	No. 254 Equity.
ar Honnold, Board of Commissioners of McCurtain , et al.,	Intervenors.	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO COMPLETE
RECORD ON APPEAL.

For good cause shown, It is Ordered that the respondents herein orty days from and after September 4, 1931, within which to print and te the record on appeal and file the same in the United States Circuit of Appeals.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ED: Filed Sep 3 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

. Prudden, et al.,	Complainants,	
vs.		
District No. 32, Osage Oklahoma, a municipal tion, et al.,	Respondents.	No. 588 Equity.

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PERFECT
APPEAL.

For good cause shown, It Is Ordered that the respondents herein irty days additional time from September 15, 1931, in which to print

complete the record on appeal and file the same in the United States Cir- Court of Appeals.

Dated September 2, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ORDER: Filed Sep 3 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

el J. T. Straus and Melvin Straus, as Trustees,	Plaintiffs,	No. 652 Equity.
vs.		
r Columbia Company, a oration, rtment Buildings Company, orporation, and Fred -S,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Now on this 3 day of September, 1931, it appearing that Henry field has resigned and filed his resignation as Receiver herein,

IT IS ORDERED that Ina Mae Warfield be and hereby is appointed eiver in the place and stead of the said Henry Warfield and is hereby en all the powers and rights heretofore vested in the said Henry Warfield such Receiver, and all the conditions and provisions of the original order inting said Henry Warfield as such Receiver and orders supplemental there- are hereby made applicable in connection with the appointment of the Re- ver now substituted in place of said former Receiver.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

ORDER: Filed Sep 3 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court M

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 3, 1931

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

l J. T. Straus and Melvin traus as Trustees,	Plaintiffs,	
vs.		Equity No. 652
Columbia Company, a ration, ment Buildings Company, poration and Fred Irwig,	Defendants.	

ORDER APPROVING REPORT AND DISCHARGING RECEIVER.

The final report and account of Henry Warfield as Receiver having examined by the Court is hereby approved, and on consideration of the cation of Henry Warfield that he be discharged from his receivership, it rin that the said Henry Warfield has fully discharged his duties as Re-r, and that Ina Mae Warfield has been appointed Receiver herein in his and stead, and has entered upon the performance of the duties of such vership, and has taken over the possession, control and management of the vership properties, all of which have been delivered over to the said Ina arfield by the said Henry Warfield, it is,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said Henry Warfield be, e hereby is, finally discharged from his said receivership and from ntability and liability as such Receiver, and it is further

ORDERED AND DECREED that the bond given and filed by him as ver for the faithful discharge of his duties in the premises be, and the is hereby, vacated and annulled.

Dated this 3 day of September, 1931.

[illegible]
District Judge.

ED: Filed Sep 3 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

Court adjourned until September 4, 1931.

[illegible]
[illegible] DISTRICT [illegible]
[illegible] TUL[illegible], [illegible] [illegible], [illegible]

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, [illegible], [illegible] 4th, [illegible].

Present: Hon. [illegible] Hennamer, Judge, [illegible] Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, [illegible] District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, [illegible]it:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF [illegible].

[illegible], [illegible]orporation,	Plaintiff,	NO. 6[illegible] - [illegible]quity.
-vs-		
[illegible], [illegible]., et al,	Defendants.	

O R D E R.

On this 4th day of September, 1931, upon application of the [illegible]endant Henry [illegible] Lemons, Inc., a corporation, and good cause therefor ap-[illegible]ring, it is ordered that the time within which the said defendant may file [illegible]ections and exceptions to interrogatories of the plaintiff filed herein on [illegible]tember 1, 1931, be and the same is hereby extended to September 21st, 1931, [illegible] the time within which the said defendant may answer such interrogatories is [illegible]eby extended to September 26th, 1931.

[illegible]
United States District Judge

[illegible]
Solicitors for Plaintiff.

[illegible]
[illegible]
Solicitors for Defendant
Henry L. Lemons, Inc.

[illegible]: Filed Sep 4 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

IN THE [illegible] COURT [illegible] THE UNITED [illegible]
[illegible] DISTRICT [illegible]

[illegible],
[illegible]ration, Plaintiff, NO. [illegible] - [illegible].

-vs-

[illegible], et al, Defendants.

O R D E R

On this 4 day of September, 1931, upon application of the defendant Henry L. Lemons, and good cause therefor appearing it is ordered that the within which the said defendant may file objections [illegible] exception to interrogatories of the plaintiff filed herein on September 1, 1931, be and the is hereby extended to September 21, 1931, and the time within which the defendant may answer such interrogatories is hereby extended to September 6, 1931.

[illegible]
United States District Judge

[illegible]
Solicitors for Plaintiff

[illegible]
[illegible] T. JOHNSON
Solicitors for Defendant
Henry L. Lemons.

[illegible]: Filed Sep 4 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

Court adjourned until September [illegible], [illegible].

[illegible] DISTRICT COURT [illegible]
[illegible] DISTRICT [illegible]
[illegible] TULSA, [illegible], [illegible] 5, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, September [illegible].

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, it:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE [illegible]
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

A PFITTER, nee [illegible],	Plaintiff,	No. 499 Equity.
Vs		
. JOHNSON, et al,	Defendants.	

O R D E R.

It appearing to the court that the plaintiff and the defendants stipulated by and through their attorneys of record, that the above styled e, pending on motion to dismiss by the defendants, may be stricken from the ty assignment at Vinita, Oklahoma for September 11, 1931, the Clerk is ested not to re-assign said motion for hearing until requested by the ntiff or the defendants, or directed to do so by the court.

Pending decision in State Court.

This September 4th, 1931.

[illegible]
J U D G E.

[illegible]
Atty for Plff.

[illegible]
Atty for Deft.

[illegible]: Filed Sep 5 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

[illegible]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [illegible]
DISTRICT OF ORLANDO A

[illegible] Aby,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. [illegible] Equity.
[illegible]ch Royalty Corporation,		
[illegible],	Defendants.	

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF DAVID MAN[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] ROYALTY CORPORATION TO BE HEARD BEFORE O. [illegible]
ROLLING, SPECIAL MASTER.

O R D E R.

This matter coming on for hearing upon the application of the [illegible]ant David Manesse for a special commission to be issued to Reuben [illegible]. [illegible]tz, Commissioner of Deeds, as Commissioner to take the deposition of the [illegible]ant David Manesse, and various and sundry witnesses residing in said city, [illegible] offered by the claimant, and it appearing from the application of the [illegible]ant that said commission should issue;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT [illegible] clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of [illegible]a, issue a special commission to Reuben B. Shemitz of New York City, [illegible] New York, State of New York, a Commissioner of Deeds of said State.

F. E. [illegible]
J u d g e.

[illegible]ED: Filed Sep 5 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF ORLANDO A.

[illegible] BRIDGE,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. [illegible] Equity.
[illegible] CORPORATION, [illegible]oration, [illegible], [illegible],	Defendants.	

ORDER AUTHORIZING PARTIAL SALE OF
ASSETS.

Upon consideration of the petition of [illegible] Hartman, the duly [illegible]ted, qualified and acting Receiver of the above named defendant, Blue [illegible] Corporation, and it appearing to the court that [illegible] asked for there [illegible]ld be granted;

IT IS [illegible] by the court that [illegible] Hartman, receiver herein,

set three disinterested persons as appraisers to view the following described property and assets of the defendant, namely:

> 20 head of Holstein cattle of mixed ages, approximately as follows:
> 8 steers, one year old
> 5½ heifers, coming yearlings to two year old
> 20 heifer calves, past six months old
> 25 bull calves, past six months old,
> All located on the Blue Willow Farm two and one-half miles West of Sperry, Oklahoma.

to file in this court within five days their appraisement [illegible] same.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that T. J. Hartman, receiver herein, of Willow Corporation, be, and he is hereby directed to sell the above described property at private sale at a price which shall be not less than Thirteen hundred Fifty Dollars ($1350.00), provided that the price so received said property shall not be less than 75% of the value of the property sold fixed by the appraisers aforesaid, and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the receiver file a report as to his acts and doings under this order.

[illegible]
U. S. District Judge.

[illegible]: Filed Sep 5 1931
[illegible] P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

Court adjourned until September [illegible], 1931.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, September 8th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
[illegible]t:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] Tullett, Receiver for [illegible]ribers at Associated Employers [illegible]rocal, Complainant,	Equity No. [illegible]
-vs-	Ancillary to [illegible] [illegible] from [illegible] [illegible] Singleton, et al., Equity No. 334-[illegible].
[illegible] James C. O'Conner, [illegible], doing business as Lee [illegible] Company, Defendants.	

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 8th day of September, 1931, this cause comes on to be before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the District Court of the [illegible] States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, upon the exceptions of the [illegible] to that part of the report of [illegible]. C. Grant, Special Master herein, [illegible] as follows:

> "Whereupon the special Master having heard the evidence and being fully advised in the premises finds the issues for the complainant and renders judgment in favor of the complainant and against the defendants in the sum of One Thousand Four Hundred Ninety-Eight and 10/100 Dollars ($1498.10), together with the costs of this action, to which findings and judgment the defendants except and exceptions are allowed."

[illegible] the Court after hearing the oral arguments of counsel for parties, and after examining the report of the Special Master, and after [illegible] the briefs heretofore filed and submitted by counsel for both parties, [illegible] further fully advised in the premises, and upon consideration thereof, [illegible] that the exceptions of the defendants to that part of the report of the [illegible] Master above mentioned should be overruled and that the report should [illegible] firmed in all respects and judgment rendered thereon accordingly.

[illegible], [illegible] exceptions of the defendants to that part of the report of [illegible] Special Master herein, C. C. Grant, [illegible], [illegible], and the [illegible] overruled, and that the report of the Special Master should be, [illegible] hereby in all things confirmed and approved; it is further ordered, [illegible], and decreed that judgment be, [illegible] hereby rendered [illegible] the complainant and against the defendants in the sum of One Thousand [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Ninety-[illegible] and 10/100 ([illegible]1,4[illegible].10) [illegible], [illegible] [illegible], for all of which let execution issue. [illegible] [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] [illegible] of the Court, the defendant [illegible] [illegible] are allowed.

[illegible]
Judge.

[illegible]
[illegible]ttorney for Complainant.

[illegible]
[illegible]torney for Defendants.

[illegible]: Filed [illegible]
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. [illegible] District Court

[illegible]

[illegible], [illegible]ration,	Plaintiff,	In [illegible] No. [illegible]. ✓
vs.		
[illegible], [illegible]ration,	Defendant.	

[illegible]

[illegible]

The [illegible] named defendant, [illegible], [illegible] [illegible]eved by the decree entered and rendered in the above [illegible] on August 1971, does hereby appeal from such decree to the Court of Appeals for the th Circuit, for the reasons set forth in the assignment of errors filed [illegible], and prays that this appeal be allowed, and that citation be issued as vided by law, and that a transcript of the record of [illegible] documents exhibits upon which said decree was based, duly [illegible], be sent to United States Circuit Court of [illegible] for the Tenth [illegible] rules [illegible] Court [illegible] made and provided.

Your petitioner further prays that [illegible] security required if it be made.

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible], Counselor. Solicitor [illegible]

[illegible] allowed [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] ts, [illegible] [illegible].

[illegible]: Filed [illegible]
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. [illegible] District Court

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible], Complainant,

vs. No. [illegible] Equity

[illegible] Corporation,
[illegible], et al, Defendants.

O [illegible]

Upon application of the receiver, he is hereby made an additional advance to apply on his compensation in the sum of [illegible], the same to be by check drawn upon receiver's account by said receiver in favor of himself, charged to his account which shall be allowed as a claim on his final entry.

This Sept. [illegible]th, 19[illegible].

[illegible]
District Judge.

[illegible]: Filed Sep [illegible] 1931
[illegible], Clerk
[illegible] District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [illegible]
DISTRICT OF [illegible].

[illegible] States, Plaintiff,

vs. No. [illegible] Equity.

[illegible] James, [illegible],
James, [illegible],
Carr and [illegible] Gibson, Defendants.

[illegible]

Now on this [illegible] day of September, 1931, [illegible] being one of the regudicial days of the Special March term of the above court, said court being [illegible] with Honorable [illegible] Kennamer, Judge, presiding, said cause came on heard on the application of the plaintiff for a [illegible] judgment and [illegible] to the judgment heretofore entered herein [illegible], and it [illegible] that one [illegible], one of the defendants herein, [illegible] with subpoena in equity, within the Northern District [illegible] days prior to this date, and [illegible] made an appearance in said cause [illegible] filed [illegible] herein showing that he is not the owner of the equity in the property [illegible] cause, and it being further shown to the [illegible] 19[illegible], one Josephine James, one of the defendants herein, executed [illegible] certain warranty deed covering the property involved herein, [illegible] equity therein to the defendant, [illegible], and that in said [illegible] accepted said property subject [illegible] in-

[illegible]

[illegible] Minute Entry of [illegible]
[illegible] Publication [illegible], [illegible], [illegible]

[illegible]edness, and is thereby bound, and the Court hereby [illegible] that [illegible] entitled to judgment against said [illegible] Farmer in the amount of said indebtedness, as shown in the Bill of Complaint herein and therein prayed.

It is therefore the order and judgment of the Court that in this Supplemental Journal Entry the plaintiff, the United States, do have and recover, in its own behalf and in behalf of its ward, Louis Fryer, restricted Osage Indian No. [illegible], judgment of and from the defendant, [illegible] Farmer in the sum of $[illegible].78, together with interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum until paid, and for costs and attorney's fees, as provided in the original Journal Entry of Judgment made in this cause on May 13, 1931.

It is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that this Supplemental Journal Entry does not change in any way the original Journal Entry herein, but is supplemental thereto, for the purpose of recovering a judgment against said [illegible] Farmer, as herein shown, and that in all other respects original judgment therein be and remain the same as entered against defendant [illegible] Farmer.

[illegible]
JUDGE

[illegible]
[illegible] Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney

[illegible]: Filed Sep 6 1931
[illegible] Marshall, Clerk
[illegible] District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE [illegible]
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

AIR-WAY ELECTRIC APPLIANCE CORPORATION OF TULSA, a corporation,	Plaintiff,	No. 329 - [illegible] ity.
vs.		
[illegible] C. POLK,	Defendant.	

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL.

The petition of Air-Way Electric Appliance Corporation, a corporation, plaintiff in the above entitled cause, for an appeal from the judgment and decree of this court, heretofore rendered, and filed and entered herein, to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, for the reasons stated, and said appeal is allowed.

It is further ordered that an appeal bond be and the same is hereby fixed in the amount of Two Hundred Fifty ($250.00) Dollars, conditioned as by law in such cases provided.

[illegible]
[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], [illegible] 8, 1931

Dated this 7 day of Sept. 1931.

[illegible]
Judge of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of
Oklahoma.

[illegible]: Filed Sep [illegible] 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] J. T. Straus and Melvin [illegible]raus as Trustees,	Plaintiffs,	
vs.		Equity No. [illegible]
Columbia Company, a [illegible]ration, [illegible]ent Buildings Company, [illegible]poration, and Fred Irwig,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

The petition of A. B. Honnold for an allowance and payment on [illegible]nt for services rendered, and to be rendered, by him as attorney and [illegible]ellor of the Receivers herein coming on for hearing and the Court having [illegible]ned same, and being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS ORDERED, that A. B. Honnold be allowed on account for [illegible]ees rendered and to be rendered to the Receivers herein the sum of [illegible].00, and that the Receiver herein be authorized and directed to pay same out [illegible] funds in her hands as such Receiver.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 5th day of September, 1931.

[illegible]
Judge.

[illegible]: Filed Sep [illegible] 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until September [illegible], [illegible].

[illegible]

[illegible] to adjournment, [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Judge, [illegible] District Court.
[illegible] Clerk, [illegible] District Court.

[illegible], the following proceedings [illegible],
it:

[illegible] DISTRICT COURT OF THE [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] Bridge [illegible] Company,
Complainant,

-v-

[illegible], et al,
Defendants.

No. [illegible] - Equity.

[illegible]
[illegible]

Now on this 9 day of September, 1931, [illegible] for consideration of the verified application of Burford, [illegible], [illegible] and [illegible], attorneys for the Receiver, James W. Gullett, [illegible] objections to said application have been filed, [illegible] that said application has the approval of the Receiver herein, and the [illegible] been examined and considered by the court, the court finds:

That said attorneys have rendered services to the Receiver [illegible] the reasonable value of one thousand ($1,000.00) dollars and that said [illegible] should be approved and the Receiver directed [illegible] said sum of One Thousand ($1,000.00) dollars as costs of the administration [illegible] receivership estate.

[illegible] that the receiver [illegible] Gullett, [illegible] Burford, [illegible], and Burford, [illegible] of one [illegible] ($1,000.00) dollars as attorney fees for services rendered [illegible] in the above matter and that said sum be paid [illegible] of the expense [illegible] administration of the receivership estate.

[illegible]
District [illegible].

[illegible]
[illegible], Clerk
[illegible] District Court [illegible]

[illegible] adjourned until [illegible].

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

/ SESSION VINITA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, September 10th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
:

. DYKES, RECEIVER OF FIRST AL BANK OF SAPULPA, OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff,	)	No. 43 - Equity.
-vs-	)	
REED, Defendant.	)	

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by urt, that motions in the above styled cause be, and they are hereby, l to September 19th, 1931, at Tulsa.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CLIFFORD, Plaintiff,	)	Equity No. 201.
vs-	)	
MANVILLE, INC., Defendant.	)	

ORDER OVERRULING MOTION OF
JOHNS-MANVILLE INC., TO DISMISS.

This cause came on for hearing upon the motion of the defendant, Manville, Inc., to dismiss the supplemental bill, in the nature of an al bill, filed on December 14, 1928, for and on behalf of Victor Clifford, e said Victor Clifford appeared by his solicitor, F. E. Riddle, and the efendant, Johns-Manville, Inc., appeared by its solicitors, Mason, Wil- & Lynch, and the court having heard the argument of counsel and being fully d in the premises,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion of the defendant, Johns-Manville to dismiss the supplemental bill, in the nature of an original bill, for and on behalf of the plaintiff, Victor Clifford, be and the same is overruled, to which ruling and order of the court the defendant, Johns- le, Inc., excepted and still excepts, which exception is allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the said defendant, Johns-Manville have until and including the 30th day of September, A. D. 1931, within to file its answer.

Dated this 12th day of September, A. D. 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ED: Filed Sep 12 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

;ed States,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 269 Equity.
ie Holmes, et al,	Defendants.	

ORDER DISSOLVING INJUNCTION
AND CLOSING CASE.

Now on this 10th day of September, 1931, this cause coming on re-rly to be heard on the application of the United States Attorney for the hern District of Oklahoma to dissolve the injunction herein and close said e, and it being shown to the Court that the temporary injunction hereto-issuedherein was issued more than one year prior to this date, and that injunction has not been violated by the defendants in said cause since the ance thereof, and that the defendants have abandoned the premises des-ed in said injunction, and are not using same at the present time, and the t being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that said injunc-should be dissolved and said cause closed.

It is therefore the order of the Court that the injunction here-re issued in this cause be dissolved and said cause be closed.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney

ED: Filed Sep 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

STRADWORD,	COMPLAINANT,	
VS		
INVESTMENT COMPANY, A RATION, A. J. MAGEL, J. W. , FARM AND HOME SAVINGS AND ASSOCIATION OF MISSOURI, ET AL,	RESPONDENTS.	No. 391 Equity

ORDER OVERRULING "MOTION TO SET ASIDE
DISMISSAL AND REINSTATEMENT OF CAUSE OF
ACTION."

On this September 10, 1931, at Vinita, Oklahoma, the above en-d motion came on in due course upon the regular docket of said Court,

due notice to all parties thereto, and neither complainant or any counsel im appeared, although Spencer Adams, one of the attorneys for complainant, the Court requesting continuance of said motion. The Court, being fully ed in the premises, found that said otion, and each and every part thereof, d be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the Court that complainant's said on" to Set Aside Dismissal and Reinstatement of Cause of Action", filed n on or about June 22, 1931, be and the same is hereby overruled.

F. E. KENAMER
J U D G E

END: Filed Sep 14 1931
M. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

D STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 468 - Equity.
TE STOUT nee WHITETURKEY,	Defendant.	

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by ourt that hearing on Dismissal in the above styled cause be reassigned eptember 19th at Tulsa.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

d States,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 505 Equity
Tedford, et al,	Defendants.	

ORDER DISSOLVING INJUNCTION AND CLOSING CASE.

Now on this 10th day of September, 1931, this cause coming on to ard on the application of the United States Attorney for the Northern ict of Oklahoma to dissolve the Injunction herein and close said cause, t being shown to the Court that the temporary injunction heretofore d herein was issued more than one year prior to this date, and it being er shown that said injunction has not been violated by the defendants n since said injunction was issued, and that said defendants have aban- the premises described in said temporary injunction, and are not using ame at the present time, and the Court being fully advised in the premises,

f the opinion that said temporary injunction should be dissolved and said e closed.

It is therefore the order of the Court that the injunction ed in this cause be dissolved and said cause closed.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney

RSED: Filed Sep 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ted States,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		No. 531 - Equity.
ter Blagg,	Defendant.	

ORDER DISSOLVING INJUNCTION AND CLOSING CASE.

Now on this 10th day of September, 1931, this cause coming on be heard on the application of the United States Attorney for the Northern trict of Oklahoma to dissolve the injunction herein and close the case, and being shown to the Court that the temporary injunction heretofre issued ein was issued more than one year prior to this date, and it being further on that said injunction has not been violated by the defendant in this se since said injunction was issued, and that said defendant has bandoned premises described in said temporary injunction, and is not using same at present time, and the Court being fully advised in the premises if of the ion that said temporary injunction should be dissolved and said cause sed.

It is therefore the order of the Court that the injunction ied in this cause be dissolved and said cause closed.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney

ASED: Filed Sep 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Y SESSION VINITA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1931

STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 534 - Equity.
TALBUTT, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on e ring Motion of J. S. Buchanan to reduce and refund rental. Thereafter, being advised in the premises, said motion is, by the Court, overruled. further ordered by the Court that the rent for July is not to be paid.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

RLITER TOOL COMPANY, poration,	Plaintiff,	
vs		In Equity No. 561
N PACKER COMPANY, poration,	Defendant.	

ORDER NUNC PRO TUNC

Now on this 9th day of September, 1931, it appearing to the that that paragraph numbered nine (9) of the Findings of Fact herein, herein on August 8, 1931, reads as follows:

> "That the defendant's oil well appliance, Defendant's Exhibit J, modified after this suit was started by merely omitting the ears or lugs from Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, infringes claims 2 and 3 of Letters Patent No. 1,344,922 and possesses each and every element of each of those claims in the identical combination set forth in said claims and possesses the same mode of operation and secures the same results."

at reference to Defendant's Exhibit J in said Findings of fact is in- t; and, it appearing to the Court that that paragraph numbered six the Conclusions of Law, filed herein on August 8, 1931, reads as s:

> "That Defendant's device, Defendant's Exhibit J, infringes claims 2 and 3 of Mildren Patent No. 1,344,922."

at reference to Defendant's Exhibit J is incorrect;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED that said paragraph numbered nine said Findings of Fact herein be corrected nunc pro tunc to read as s:

> "That the Defendant's oil well appliance, like Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, but modified after this suit was started by merely omitting the ears or lugs from Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, infringes claims 2 and 3 of Letter Patent No. 1,344,922 and possesses each and every element of each of those claims in the identical combination set forth in said claims and possesses the same mode

of operation and secures the same results."

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED that that paragraph numbered (6) of the Conclusions of Law herein be corrected nunc pro tunc to read follows:

"That Defendant's device like Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, but merely omitting the lugs or ears, infringes claims 2 and 3 of Mildren Patent No. 1,344,922."

DATED THIS the 9th day of September, 1931.

ENTER

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ORSED: Filed Sep 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

a Anderson,	Complainant,	
v.		
. Peck, et al.,	Defendants,	No. 562 Equity
and		
ed States of America,	Intervenor.	

O R D E R

Now on this 10th day of September, 1931, came on to be heard be- the court, in its regular order, the motion of defendant, Jack Roberts, leave to correct record of testimony of witness, Jack Roberts, to speak truth. The defendant, Jack Roberts, appearing by his attorneys, and the ntiff, Hanna Anderson, appearing by her attorneys, and the United States merica, Intervenor, appearing by the United States Attorney for the Northern rict of Oklahoma, and upon consideration of said motion and affidavits at- ed thereto in support thereof, and upon consideration of a certified script of that part of the testimony of said witness which said defendant seeking to correct to speak the truth, and being well and sufficiently sed in the premises, the court finds that said motion should be, and the is hereby overruled and denied. To which action of the court the defen- , Jack Roberts, excepts and his exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

A. H. WILLIAMS,
Assist. U. S. Atty.

RSED: Filed Sep 16 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ND OFFUTT,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 566 Equity.
URE OIL COMPANY, poration, et al.,	Respondents.	

ORDER DISMISSING BILL IN EQUITY WITH PREJUDICE.

BE IT REMEMBERED, that on this 10th day of September, 1931, the cause comes on for hearing in its regular order, upon the motion of the dant The Pure Oil Company to dismiss plaintiff's bill in equity; and the after having heard and considered said motion and the argument of counsel, eing fully advised in the premises, finds that same should be sustained.

WHEREFORE, it is by the court ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that omplainant's bill in equity herein be, and the same is hereby, dismissed prejudice, at complainant's cost.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

SED: Filed Sep 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

ND OFFUTT,	Complainant,	
-vs-		No. 566 - Equity.
WRIGHTSMAN, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by ourt that leave be, and the same is hereby, given to the Oklahoma Natural ompany to file motion to dismiss. After being advised fully in the ses, it is ordered by the Court that said Motion of the Oklahoma Natural ompany to dismiss be, and the same is hereby, sustained.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FY SESSION VINITA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1931

. WOODS, TRUSTEE, Plaintiff,

-vs- No. 569 - Equity.

NEW CHICAGO MINES CORPORATION, Defendant.

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on hearing Motion to dismiss of New Chicago Mines Corporation. After being sed fully in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said Motion to iss be, and the same is hereby, overruled. Defendant given twenty (20) to answer.

IE M. COPELAND, ET AL, Plaintiffs,

-vs- No. 573 - Equity.

A STREET RY. ET AL, Defendants.

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that hearing on motions be, and they are hereby, reassigned for ing at Tulsa, September 18th, 1931.

M. EBY, Plaintiff,

-vs- No. 581 - Equity.

RCH ROYALTY CORPORATION,
L., Defendants.

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on hearing Motions to Dismiss of G. H. Currier. Thereafter, after being ad- d in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the is hereby overruled. It is further ordered by the Court that Motion to h of E. C. Hroon be, and the same is hereby, overruled. Motion of Savage ake more definite and certain is, by the Court, overruled. It is further red by the Court, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced in, that said case as to Mahany and Diffy be, and the same is hereby, issed.

SPRINGS HOME, A CORPORATION Plaintiff,

-vs- No. 582 - Equity.

A ROLLING MILLS COMPANY, a
oration, Defendant.

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that hearing on objections of claim of J. H. McAlarney by Tulsa ing Mills be, and the same is hereby, stricken from the assignment of date.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
Y SESSION VINITA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1931

CH ROYALTY CORP., ETC.,	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		No. 590 - Equity.
CH ROYALTY CORP., ET AL.,	Defendants.	

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on earing Motion of Helen B. Currier and Geo. H. Currier for further and r statement. Thereafter, after being advised fully in the premises, it is ed by the Court that said motion be overruled. It is further ordered by ourt that Motion of Andrew J. Barrett to Quash be, and the same is hereby, uled. It is further ordered by the Court that Motion of Robert S. Roache emiss be, and the same is hereby, overruled.

CH ROYALTY CORPORATION, ET AL.	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		No. 591 - Equity.
CH ROYALTY CORP. ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on earing Motions of Robert S. Roache and Andrew J. Barrett and A. J. Diffie smiss. Thereafter, after being advised in the premises, it is ordered Court that said Motions be, and they are hereby, overruled. It is fur- rdered by the Court that the above styled case be set for trial October 931, at Vinita, Oklahoma.

OCTOR & GAMBLE CO., ET AL.,	Plaintiffs,	
vs-		No. 612 - Equity.
-MANLEY WET WASH LAUNDRY Y,	Defendants.	

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by urt that objection to interrogatories be, and they are hereby, passed tember 11th, 1931.

ASWELL RICHARDSON,	Plaintiff,	
vs-		No. 621 - Equity.
A MILL CO., A CORP.	Defendants.	

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by urt that hearing in the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, for Vinita, Oklahoma, September 29th, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] SESSION VENITA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, [illegible] 10, 1931

[illegible]IGAN TRU T COMPANY,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 625 - Equity.
[illegible]OLI[illegible]TED SCHOOL DI[illegible]TRICT 65, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that Motion of all respondents, except the Gulf Pipe Line Company to [illegible]iss be, and the same is hereby, overruled. Respondents given ten (10) [illegible] to answer.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Michigan Trust Company, [illegible]rporation,	Complainant,	
v.		No. 625 Equity
[illegible]olidated School District 65, Pleasant Hill School, [illegible] County, Oklahoma, et al,	Respondents.	

O R D E R

Now on this 10th day of September, 1931, the above cause comes [illegible]or hearing upon the respondents' Motion to Dismiss, the complainant ap-[illegible]ring by its attorney, Eugene Jordan, and the respondent not appearing either [illegible]erson or by attorney.

The court being fully advised in the premises finds that said [illegible]on to Dismiss should be and the same is hereby overruled to which order [illegible]xception was allowed the respondents and said respondents are given ten [illegible] days from this date to answer or respond to said Bill of Complaint.

[illegible]. E. KENNAMER
District Judge

[illegible]R ED: Filed Sep 15 1931
[illegible]. [illegible]. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

[illegible]H H. MIDDLAND, TRUSTEE OF [illegible]LETT OIL & GAS CORPORATION, [illegible],	Plaintiffs,	
vs.		No. 626 - Equity.
LA[illegible]LLEN,		

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that Motion of Defendants to strike be, and the same is hereby, [illegible]cken from the assignment of this date on account of Amended Bill having been [illegible]d. (T.E.K.)

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
E BTION VINITA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1931

SERRYHILL,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 637 - Equity.
MILLETT, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by ourt, upon motions of American National Bank of Sapulpa and Exchange nal Bank to dismiss, be and the same is hereby reset for September 18th

---

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Mullendore, Magella White- and Fred Roan Martin, a suing by and through his guardian, Minnie E. Martin,	Complainants,	
vs.		
Tribe of Indians, Fred Look- hief, Harry Kopay, Assistant Franklin Revard, Secretary, m Kennedy, George Alberty, t Denoya, Frank Lessert, etsemoie, Wm. Prior, Francis and Simon Henderson, consti- the Osage Tribal Council, man Wilbur, Secretary of the or, Chas. J. Rhoads, Commiss- of Indian Affairs, D. E. Murphy, ntend nt of the Osage Indian , The Pure Oil Company, a cor- on, and Sinclair Oil and Gas y, a corporation,	Defendants.	No. 643 Equity.

ORDER AND FINAL DECREE

Now on the 10th day of September, 1931, at Vinita, Oklahoma, c omnts in the above entitled cause, in open court, ask leave to file an amend- o their bill of complaint, a copy of which has heretofore been served upon fendants, The Pure Oil Company, a corporation, and Sinclair Oil and Gas y, a corporation, and, there being no objection, leave is hereby given omplainants to file said amendment, which amendment is accordingly filed.

After the filing of said amendment The Pure Oil Company, a cor- on, and Sinclair Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, ask leave in open to withdraw their answer and counter-claim heretofore filed and to file a to dismiss the bill of complaint as amended and, there being no objection, is granted said defendants to withdraw said answer and counter-claim and aid motion to dismiss; and thereupon said answer and counter-claim is awn by said The Pure Oil Company, a corporation, and Sinclair Oil and Gas y, a corporation, and their motion to dismiss is accordingly filed.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TY SESSION VINITA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1931

And thereupon both the complainants and the defendants, The Pure Company, a corporation, and Sinclair Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, in court waive notice of hearing on said motion to dismiss, as required by ty Rule No. 29, and agree that said motion may be heard and disposed of by court at this time.

And thereupon The Pure Oil Company, a corporation, andSinclair and Gas Company, a corporation, present their motion to dismiss and the t, after hearing said motion and the argument of counsel both for the said ndants last above named and the complainants, finds that said motion should ustained and the bill of complaint as amended should be dismissed.

It is therefore by the court considered, ordered and decreed that motion to dismiss be and the same is hereby sustained and the complainants' of complaint as amended is hereby dismissed for want of equity at com- nants' cost, to all of which the complainants at the time, in open court, except, which exception is duly allowed by the court.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

as to form:

EDW. N. CHANDLER
SUMNERS HARDY
WM. O. BEALE
J. H. MAXEY
Attorneys for Sinclair Oil and
Gas Company.

ALVIN RICHARDS
F. A. CALVERT
Attorneys for The Pure Oil Company.

M. L. RIDDLE
HOLCOMB LOGAN and BARNEY
Attorneys for Complainants.

RSED: Filed Sep 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court NE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

d States of America, Complainant,

vs.

Wagoshe, John Bruce, John Abbott, Thompson Supernaw, James Perrier, h William Pearson, Irene Bennett, rd DeNoya, E. T. Kennedy, John S. , Robert Stuart, Ed Talley, Roy D. , Eula Hand, N. Levine, Midland Oil ny, a corporation, A. H. Brown, Glen er, Alfred A. Drummond, Elsie E. Day, Simpson, H. E. West, Trustee, J. A. Betty Ladd, R. L. Hall, Albert L. n, H. G. Barnard, Henry Vaden, Jr., ndore Trust Company, a corporation, G. Drummond, C. C. Craddock, Fred William P. Higgins, E. E. Grinstead, ne Stroud, Maud Spurr, Maggie J. Ebert, . Stroud, Charles D. Stroud, Joseph D. ell, Olin Lewis, Isabella Bennett, J. A. ary, C. F. Stuart, R. C. Drummond, n-to-me, Dora Mohogla, Wah-ko-sah-moie, e Smitherman, Charles W. Labadie, Robert adie, Rose Mason, E. C. Mullendore, Ma- Whitewing, Minnie E. Martin, guardian of Roan Martin, a minor, and Henry R. Adams, Respondents.

No. 644
Equity.

ORDER THAT BILL OF INTERVENTION BE
TAKEN PRO CONFESSO.

It appearing to the court that no answer or other defense has filed, as required by the rules of practice for the courts of equity of the l States, by the following named defendants, to-wit:

Ruby Harvey, Mary Harvey, James Maker, Wm. E. Bennett, Ruby Big Ho-ki-ah-se, Ruth Ho-ki-ah-se, Clarence Ho-ki-ah-se, Beatrice Whitewing, Whitewing, E-ne-ke-op-p2, Louis James, Rose Osage Allen, Mary Osage Green, Osage, Lela Mae Big Chief, Mary Whitehorn, Louise Maker, Mildred L. Crad- Alice Red Eagle and Teressa Bennett, and, in default thereof, The Pure mpany, a corporation, and Sinclair Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, elected to take an order as of course that their bill of intervention be pro confesso under the equity rules.

It is therefore ordered that the bill of intervention of The Pure mpany, a corporation, and Sinclair Oil andGas Company, a corporation, be pro confesso against the following named defendants, to-wit: Ruby Harvey, Harvey, James Maker, Wm. E. Bennett, Ruby Big Chief Ho-ke-ah-se, Ruth ah-se, Clarence Ho-ki-ah-se, Beatrice Whitewing, Oscar Whitewing, E-ne- pe, Louis James, Rose Osage Allen, Mary Osage Green, Eliza Osage, Lela Mae ief, Mary Whitehorn, Louise Maker, Mildred L. Craddock, Alice Red Eagle ressa Bennett, for their failure to file answer or other defense.

Dated this 10 day of September, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

)RSED: Filed Sep 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

;ed States of America, Complainant,	)
vs.	)
ı Wagoshe, John Bruce, John Abbott, l Thompson Supernaw, James Perrier, ›ph William Pearson, Irene Bennett, .ard DeNoya, E. T. Kennedy, John S. .n, Robert Stuart, Ed Talley, Rod D. ıe, Eula Hand, N. Levine, Midland Company, a corporation, A. H. Brown, ı Skinner, Alfred A. Drummond, Elsie)ay, J. L. Simpson, H. E. West, Trustee, .. Ladd, Betty Ladd, R. L. Hall, Albert Newman, H. G. Barnard, Henry Vaden, Jr., .endore Trust Company, a corporation, . G. Drummond, C. C. Craddock, Fred Rowe, .iam P. Higgins, E. E. Grinstead, LeRoyne ›ud, Maud Spurr, Maggie J. Ebert, Sam W. ›ud, Charles D. Stroud, Joseph D. Mitchell, . Lewis, Isabelle Bennett, J. A. Presbury, '. Stuart, R. C. Drummond, Hlu-ah-to-me, . Mohogla, Wah-ko-sah-moie, Hattie Smith- n, Charles W. Labadie, Robert E. Labadie, Mason, E. C. Mullendore, Magella White- , Minnie E. Martin, guardian of Fred Roan in, a minor, and Henry R. Adams, Respondents.	) No. 644 Equity

ORDER THAT BILL OF INTERVENTION BE TAKEN
PRO CONFESSO

It appearing to the court that no answer or other defense has filed as required by the rules of practice for the Courts of Equity of the ed States by the following named defendants, to-wit: Wah-to-sah, J.A.B. vee, Frank Hildebrand, N. Levine, Minnie A. Pease, Marion H. Pease, ard Stanford, Roy V. Javine, Tom Tinker, Joseph DeNoya, Charlotte E. Weas, Mabel Brown, nee DeNoya, Ralph Graham, Edward H. Cooper, Anna L. Cooper, Wagoshe, Myrta Reverd, Edna J. Rickman Willis, Ruby Ellen Vinnedge and n Taylor, and in default thereof the Sinclair Oil and Gas Company, a Cortion, has elected to take an order as of course that its bill of interion be taken pro confesso under the equity rules.

It is therefore ordered that the bill of interv ntion of the air Oil and Gas Company be taken pro confesso against the following named dants, to-wit: Wah-to-sah, J. A. B. Oglevee, Frank Hildebrand, [illegible]. Levine, e A. Pease, Marion H. Pease, Ballard Stanford, Roy V. Javine, Tom Tinker, h DeNoya, Charlotte E. Weathers, Mabel Brown, nee DeNoya, Ralph Graham, d E. Cooper, Anna L. Cooper, John Wagoshe, Myrta Revard, Edna J. Hickman s, Ruby Ellen Vinnedge and Hiram Taylor.

Dated September 10th, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

SED: Filed Sep 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

d States of America, Complainant,

vs.

Wagoshe, John Bruce, John
t, Maud Thompson, Supernaw,
Perrier, Joseph William
on, Irene Bennett, Millard Denoya,
Kennedy, John S. Irwin, Robert
t, Ed Talley, Roy D. Stone, Eula Hand,
vine, Midland Oil Company, a cor-
ion, A. H. Brown, Glen Skinner,
i A. Drummond, Elsie E. Day,
Simpson, H. E. West, Trustee, J. A.
Betty Ladd, R. L. Hall, Albert L.
n, H. G. Barnard, Henry Vaden, Jr.,
ndore Trust Company, a corporation,
. Drummond, C. C. Craddock, Fred
William P. Higgins, E. E. Grinstead,
ne Stroud, Maud Spurr, Maggie J. Ebert,
. Stroud, Charles D. Stroud, Joseph L.
ell, Olin Lewis, Isabella Bennett, J. A.
ary, C. F. Stuart, R. C. Drummond, Hlu-ah-to-
ora Mohogla, Wah-ko-sah-moie, Hattie
erman, Charles W. Labadie, Robert E.
e, Rose Mason, E. C. Mullendore, Magella
ing, Minnie E. Martin, guardian of
an Martin, a minor, and Henry R.
Respondents.

No. 644
Equity

ORDER THAT BILLS OF INTERVENTION BE TAKEN PRO CONFESSO.

It appearing to the court that no answer or other defense has filed as required by the rules of practice for the Courts of Equity of the

ed States by the following named defendants, to-wit: Wilfred D. Roach, h C. Baker, Alfred DeNoya, Walter L. DeNoya, Charlotte DeNoya (now hers), Grace DeNoya (now Secrest), Alice Fronkier, Lula A. Bonto, J. E. in, Ed. T. Kennedy, Ola Martin Hunnicutt, Catherine I. DeNoya (now Harrold) George Dunlap, and in default thereof the Vacuum Oil Company, a Corporation, Texas Company, a Corporation, and the Empire Oil & Refining Company, a Cor- ation, interveners and co-plaintiffs, have elected to take an order as of se that their bills of intervention be taken pro confesso under the equity s.

It is hereby ordered that the bill of intervention of the Vacuum Company, a Corporation, that the bill of intervention of the Vacuum Oil any, a Corporation, and The Texas Company, a Corporation, and that the bill intervention of the Vacuum Oil Company, a Corporation, and the Empire Oil & ning Company, a Corporation, be taken pro confesso against the following d defendants, to-wit: Wilfred D. Roach, Edith C. Baker, Alfred DeNoya, er L. DeNoya, Charlotte DeNoya (now Weathers), Grace DeNoya (now Secrest), e Fronkier, Lula A. Bonto, J. E. Martin, Ed. T. Kennedy, Ola Martin Hunnicutt erine I. DeNoya (now Harrold) and George Dunlap, for their failure to file er or other defense.

Dated September _____, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

RSED: Filed Sep 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ed States of America, Complainant, vs. Wagoshe, John Bruce, John Abbott, Thompson Supernaw, James Perrier, ph William Pearson, Irene Bennett, ard DeNoya, E. T. Kennedy, John S. Irwin, rt Stuart, Ed Talley, Roy D. Stone, Hand, N. Levine, Midland Oil Com-, a corporation, A. H. Brown, Glen ner, Alfred A. Drummond, Elsie E. Day, . Simpson, M. E. West, Trustee, J. A. Ladd, y Ladd, R. L. Hall, Albert L. Newman, H. G. ard, Henry Vaden, Jr., Mullendore Trust any, a corporation, Fred G. Drummond, C. C. dock, Drew Rowe, William P. Higgins, E. E. stead, LeRoyne Stroud, Maud Spurr, Maggie J. t, Sam W. Stroud, Charles D. Stroud, Joseph itchell, Olin Lewis, Isabella Bennett, J. A. bury, C. F. Stuart, R. C. Drummond, Hlu-o-me, Dora Mohogla, Wah-ko-sah-moie, Hattie herman, Charles W. Labadie, Robert E. Labadie, Mason, M. C. Mullendore, Magella Whitewing,	No. 644 Equity

44 Equity Cont'd.

e E. Martin, guardian of Fred)
Martin, a minor, and Henry R.)
, Respondents.)

O R D E R

Upon application and for good cause shown the interveners, Vacuum ompany, a Corporation, The Texas Company, a Corporation, and the Empire Refining Company, a Corporation, are permitted to withdraw their motion rike counter-claim filed herein on August 5, 1931, and file instanter mo- to strike out answer and counter-claim and amendment to counter-claim by the defendants, Henry R. Adams, E. C. Mullendore, R. C. Drummond, E. E. tead, C. C. Craddock, Fred G. Drummond, Mullendore Trust Company, a Cor- ion, Alfred A. Drummond, Henry Vaden, Maggie J. Ebert, Olin Lewis, J. A. ury, C. F. Stuart, Magella Whitewing, Minnie E. Martin, Guardian of Fred Martin, William F. Higgins, Irene Bennett and Isabella Bennett, and for e pro confesso against said respondents.

Dated at Vinita, Oklahoma, September 10th, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge

ED: Filed Sep 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court IE

) STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
-vs- No. 644 - Equity.
WAGOSHE, ET AL, Defendants.)

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by ourt that Bill of Complaint of the United States be, and the same is , taken Pro Confesso against John Wagoshe, et al, for their failure to or other defense.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

s F. Yarbrough, et al., Complainants,)
vs. No. 645 Equity.
Tribe of Indians, Prairie
Gas Company, et al., Defendants.

O R D E R

This cause coming on for hearing this 10th day of September, 1931, he motions of the Prairie Oil & Gas Company, the Continental Oil Company,

Barnsdall Oil Corporation, Barnsdall Refineries Incorporated, Barnsdall ning Company, Barnsdall Oil Company, defendants, to dismiss this cause want of equity and the Court, after being fully advised, finds that said ions should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the motions to dismiss for want equity of said named defendants be sustained and plaintiff's bill be and same is hereby dismissed for want of equity to which plaintiffs except and r exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE OF SAID DISTRICT COURT.

as to form
F. E. RIDDLE
Attorney for the Plaintiff.

ORSED: Filed Sep 10 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

AS MANNING,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 649 - Equity.
STATES MANUFACTURING ANY, ET AL.,	Defendants.	

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on hearing Motion of Defendants to Dismiss. After being advised in the pre- s, it is ordered by the Court that said otion be, and the same is hereby ained. Plaintiff given fifteen (15) days to amend. Defendant given (10) days thereafter to plead or twenty (20) days to answer thereto.

. MORGAN,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 658 - Equity.
. HINDS, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on hearing Motion of W. G. Rule to Dismiss. Thereafter, after being advised he premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be sustained. ntiff given twenty (20) days to amend and make new parties. Defendant n fifteen days thereafter to plead or twenty (20) days to answer.

Court adjourned until September 11, 1931.

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

SESSION VINITA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, September 11th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
K. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,

::

ROWN CRUMMER INVESTMENT CO., poration,	Plaintiff,	No. 34 - Equity.
vs-		
'S & PLEASANT, INC.,	Defendant.	

Now on this 11th day of September, it is ordered by the Court e above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, reset for Tulsa, ber 18th, 1931.

F AMERICA, SUCCESSOR, TRUSTEE NKLIN TRUST COMPANY,	Plaintiff,	No. 89 - Equity.
vs-		
AMERICA OIL & REFINING ATION,	Defendant.	

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by urt that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed.

ALLOWER,	Plaintiff,	No. 180 - Equity.
vs-		
EST MISSOURI R. R. CO.,	Defendant.	

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by urt that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed.

N STEAMSHIP COMPANY,	Plaintiff,	No. 347 - Equity.
vs-		
E SPRINGER STEEL CO.,	Defendant.	

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by urt that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed. It ther ordered by the Court that report of Receiver be, and the same is approved.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FY SESSION VINITA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 1931

. CHRISTIE, ET AL, DOING NESS AS BURG BURNER CO.	Plaintiff,	No. 430 - Equity.
-vs-		
. MORRISON, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

B A. SALMON, RECEIVER OF THE T NATIONAL BANK OF BANK OF Y, OKLAHOMA,	PLAINTIFF,	No. 492 Equity
vs.		
HE M. SUTTON, ET AL,	DEFENDANTS.	

DISMISSAL OF CAUSE

NOW on this 11th day of September, 1931, upon motion of the ntiff duly made herein in open court, and for good cause shown:

IT IS ORDERED that the above entitled cause be and the same by is dismissed without prejudice, at the cost of the plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

ATTEST: Filed Sep 11 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

HI A OIL & UTILITY CORP.,	Plaintiff,	No. 495 - Equity.
-vs-		
. McMAHAN, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed he Court.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

AMPBELL BAKING COMPANY, poration,	Complainant,	
-vs-		IN EQUITY NO. 508.
CY CLEVELAND, OKLAHOMA, icipal Corporation, and REYNOLDS, as Mayor of aid City of Cleveland, Okla-	Defendants.	

D E C R E E

Now, on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, at the Special a Term of this court, beginning on the 9th day of September, 1931, the entitled cause came on for hearing in its regular order, pursuant to its ar setting, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, and no de- being made, finds that the complainant is entitled to the relief prayed n its bill of complaint.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, by the Court the temporary injunction entered in this cause and dated the 9th day of ber, A. D. 1929, be and the same hereby is made permanent and perpetual st the said defendants.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ED: Filed Sep 11 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

RT COKER,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 517 - Equity.
LIA PET. CO.,	Defendant.	

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by ourt, that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed ant of prosecution.

ROCTOR & GAMBLE CO., ET AL.,	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		No. 612 - Equity.
S-MAILEY WeT WASH LAUNDRY	Defendant.	

Now on this 11th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes on for

ing Plaintiff's objections to Defendants Interrogatories. Thereafter, r being advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said ctions be, and the same are hereby, sustained. Exception allowed.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

. HUGHES AS RECEIVER OF THE
T NATIONAL BANK OF BIXBY,
HOMA, A NATIONAL BANKING
CIATION, PLAINTIFF, No. 671 Equity

vs.

. STILES and SALLIE STILES, DEFENDANTS.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND
DECREE OF FORECLOSURE

NOW on this 11th day of September, 1931, the above entitled e came on regularly for trial, plaintiff appearing by his attorney of re- and the defendants appeared not, either in person or by attorney, but default and were by the court adjudged in default; and after hearing the ence offered in support of plaintiff's petition, and being fully advised in premises, the court finds:

That, although the defendants C. B. Stiles and Sallie Stiles, each of them, have been duly and legally served personally with summons in cause, in the manner provided by law, and although the time within which defendants were given to answer, according to the summonses served upon , has long s nce expired, said defendants, and each of them, have failed to , answer or otherwise plead to the petition of the plaintiff filed herein, after having been called three times at the bar of the court, said defen- s failed to answer or plead to the petition of the pla tiff and were by court adjudged in default and adjudged to have confessed all of the material gations of plaintiff's petition.

The court further finds that each and all of the material allega- s set forth in plaintiff's petition are true, and that plaintiff is en- ed to the relief therein prayed for, on each and every count thereof.

IT IS THEREFORE ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that plaintiff have and recover judgment against the defendants C. B. Stiles and ie Stiles, and each of them, under and by virtue of plaintiff's first and d causes of action for the aggregate sum of $1741.04 with interest thereon he rate of 10% per annum from May 1, 1931, until paid, and for the additional of $130.00 as attorney's fees; that plaintiff have further judgment against defendants, and each of them, under and by virtue of plaintiff's fifth e of action for the sum of $358.00 with interest thereon at the rate of 10% annum from May 1, 1931, until paid, and for the further sum of $25.00 ttorney's fees; that plaintiff have further judgment against said defendants, each of them, under and by virtue of plaintiff's sixth cause of action in sum of $10.12 with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from April 1931, until paid; that plaintiff have further judgment against said defen- s, and each of them, under and by virtue of plaintiff's seventh cause of

n for the sum of $188.58 with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum May 6, 1930, until paid; and for the costs of this action.

IT IS FURTHER ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the ages declared upon in the second and fourth causes of action in plaintiff's ion be and the same hereby are decreed to be valid, subsisting, first and liens upon the following described real estate situated in Tulsa County, oma, to-wit:

> Lots Fifteen (15), Sixteen (16), Seventeen (17), Eighteen (18), Nineteen (19) and Twenty (20) in Block 31, Midland Addition to the Town of Bixb , Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof;

cure the payment of the judgments heretofore and herein rendered under tiff's first, third, sixth and seventh causes of action herein, and that mortgages be foreclosed, and, in the event said defendants, or either of fail for the period of six months from the date of this judgment to pay udgments herein rendered under plaintiff's first, third, sixth and seventh s of action, with interest, attorney's fees and the costs of this action, der of sale shall be issued by the Clerk of this Court, upon the filing of ecipe therefor by the plaintiff, directed to Paul L. Taliaferro, of Tulsa, oma, who hereby is appointed and designated as Special Master, ordering irecting said Special Master to proceed to advertise and sell said real e and premises and property, without appraisement, in the manner provided , to satisfy said judgments and claims, with interest, attorney's fees osts, as heretofore ordered and rendered under plaintiff's first, third, and seventh causes of action; and that the proceeds of such sale be ed:

FIRST: In payment of the costs of this action and of such sale.

SECOND: In payment of the judgments rendered herein under tiff's first, third, sixth and seventh causes of action, with interest and ney's fees.

THIRD: That the residue, if any there be, be paid out, as the may from time to time order and direct.

IT IS FURTHER ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that efendants C. B. Stiles and Sallie Stiles, and each of them, and each and person, firm or corporation claiming by, through or under said defendants, of them, since the filing of this action, be and they and each of them are forever barred, restrained and enjoined from asserting any right, interest, estate or equity in and to said lands and tenements, or any hereof, or any interest thereon, adverse to the interests of the Trust sented by the plaintiff in this action as mortgagee of said premises, or se to the purchaser at such sale, from and after the date of such sale; and he purchaser at such sale have all necessary and proper writs of assistance placed in the immediate possession of said premises and property.

F. E. [illegible]
Judge

ED: Filed Sep 11 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until September [illegible].

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TW SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, September 12th,
.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
it:

ITIES PRODUCTION CORP. rporation,	Plaintiff,	No. 560 - Equity.
-vs-		
E OIL CO., a Corporation,	Defendant.	

Now on this 12th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that the above styled cause be reset for trial October 1, 1931, at ta, Oklahoma.

. BOOTH, ET AL.,	Plaintiffs,	No. 663 - Equity.
-vs-		
R INVESTMENT CO. ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 12th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that hearing on application to file Supplemental Bill making additional ies Plaintiff be, and the same is hereby, set for September 18, 1931.

Court adjourned until September 14, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

F SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, September 14th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
t:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ET HOSEY, ET AL.,	PLAINTIFFS,	
VS		NO. 238 Equity.
A. CHAMPMAN, ET AL.,	DEFENDANTS	
E BELLE JESSE ET AL	INTERVENORS.	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO LODGE APPEAL AND TO
PREPARE AND COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL.

Now on this 14th day of September, A. D. 1931, upon application made by the United States of America, on its own behalf and upon behalf of her appellants whose appeals herein were by order of the Court allowed r good cause shown it is hereby ordered and directed that the time within to prepare and complete the transcript for use on such appeal, and the within which such appeal may be lodged in the Circuit Court of Appeals and for the Tenth Circuit, be and the same is hereby extended, to all ppellants, until the 15th day of November, A. D. 1931, such extension in addition to previous extensions heretof re ordered and allowed.

Witness my hand this 14th day of September, A. D. 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

JNO. M. GOLDESBERRY
U. S. ATTY.

ED: Filed Sep 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

K. EBY,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 581 Equity.
RCH ROYALTY COMPANY, et al.,	Respondents.	

O R D E R.

THIS CAUSE COMING on to be heard on this the 10th day of Sep-
ber, 1931, on the separate motions of H. R. Mahany and A. J. Diffie, to dis-
s the complaint in the above entitled cause, and the same having been regu-
y set for hearing on the above date, at Vinita, Oklahoma, and complainant
g present by his solicitor, F. E. Riddle and the movents being present by
r solicitors, Hagan & Gavin, and the respondent, Monarch Royalty Company,
g present by its solicitors, Ramsey, deMeules, Martin & Logan, and argument
ng been heard in support of said motion, and against the same, and the
rt being well and truly advised in the premises, finds th t said motion should
sustained.

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COURT, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED
the separate motions of H. R. Mahany and A. J. Diffie, be and the same are
by sustained, and the complaint of Erle K. Eby is, as to said moving defen-
s, dismissed at complainant's costs.

F. E. RIDDLE
United States District Judge.

ORSED: Filed Sep 14 1931
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

lt Alliance Corporation, orporation and Graver oration, a corporation,	Plaintiffs,	
Vs.		NO. 630 EQUITY.
ett Company, Inc., a cor- ation, Western Oil Corpora- n, a corporation, Travis- er Refining Company, a oration, et al,	Defendants.	

O R D E R.

On stipulation of the parties to the above entitled cause the
is hereby stricken from the assignment of cases set for trial for the

al September, 1931 term at Vinita, Oklahoma.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E.

N. A. GIBSON
Atty for Defts.

VILLARD MARTIN
Atty for Plffs.

SED: Filed Sep 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

d States of America, Complainant,

vs.

Wagoshe, John Bruce, John Abbott, Thompson Supernaw, James Perrier, n William Pearson, Irene Bennett, rd DeNoya, E. T. Kennedy, John S. , Robert Stuart, Ed Talley, Roy D. , Eula Hand, N. Levine, Midland ompany, a corporation, A. H. Brown, Skinner, Alfred A. Drummond, Elsie , J. L. Simpson, H. E. West, Trustee, Ladd, Betty Ladd, R. L. Hall, Albert man, H. G. Barnard, Henry Vaden, Jr. ndore Trust Company, a corporation, . Drummond, C. C. Craddock, Fred Rowe, m P. Higgins, E. E. Grinstead, Le- Stroud, Charles D. Stroud, Joseph D. ll, Olin Lewis, Isabella Bennett, Presbury, C. F. Stuart, R. C. Drummond, -to-me, Dora Mahogla, Wag-ko-sah-moie, Smitherman, Charles W. Labadie, Robert adie, Rose Mason, E. C. Mullendore, a Whitewring, Minnie E. Martin, guardian d Roan Martin, a minor and Henry R. Adams, Respondents.

No. 644 Equity. ✓

ORDER THAT BILL OF COMPLAINT BE TAKEN PRO CONFESSO.

It appearing to the court that no answer or other defense has been as required by the rules of practice for the courts of equity of the States, by the following named defendants, to-wit:

John Wagoshe, John Bruce, John Abbott, James Perrier, Joseph m Pearson, Millard DeNoya, E. T. Kennedy, Robert Stuart, Ed Talley,

and Oil Company, a corporation, A. H. Brown, Elsie E. Day, R. L. Hall, Rowe, Dora Mohogla, Charles W. Labadie and Rose Mason, and in default eof, the United States of America, the complainant, has elected to take an r as of course that its bill of complaint be taken pro confesso under the ty rules.

It is therefore ordered that the bill of complaint of the United es of America, be taken pro confesso against the following named defendants, it: John Wagoshe, John Bruce, John Abbott, James Perrier, Joseph William son, Millard DeNoya, E. T. Kennedy, Robert Stuart, Ed Talley, Midland Oil any, a corporation, A. H. Brown, Elsie E. Day, R. L. Hall, Fred Rowe, Dora gla, Charles W. Labadie, and Rose Mason, for their failure to file answer ther defense.

Dated this 10th day of September, A. D. 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

JNO. M. GOLDESBERRY
U. S. ATTY.

ENDED: Filed Sep 14 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

Court adjourned until September 15, 1931.

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
Y SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, September 15th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
t:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

C. Eby,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 581 Equity.
ch Royalty Corporation, poration, et al,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Upon application of O. G. Rollins, special master, approved by eceiver herein, the court hereby makes an allowance in favor of Geo. H. ey, court reporter employed by the said special master upon approval of eceiver to report and transcribe all evidence and other proceedings before pecial master upon all contested claims now being heard, which said al- be made at this time is in the sum of $500.00.

It is further ordered that the special master is hereby allowed litional sum of $300.00 to apply upon the amount to be allowed said al master for his services as such special master.

The receiver is hereby directed to issue his checks upon the ver's account in the First National Bank of Tulsa in payment of said amounts d parties respectively, for which amounts the receiver shall take credit e same shall be allowed upon his final and monthly report as credit receiver.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ED: Filed Sep 15 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
N. D. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TRIAL SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

H. Eby,	Complainant,	
vs.		~~No. 581 Equity.~~
rch Royalty Corporation, al,	Defendants.	
With which is consolidated		
rch Royalty Corporation, rporation, suing by and for use and benefit of James A. is and George H. Ransom, ivers,	Complainants,	
vs.		No. 590 Equity.
rch Royalty Corporation of homa, a corporation, et al,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Now on this the 14th day of September, 1931, upon stipulation ounsel, it is hereby ordered that the cause of Monarch Royalty Corporation, rporation, suing by and for the use and benefit of James A. Harris and ge H. Ransom, receivers, complainants and Monarch Royalty Corporation klahoma, a corporation, George H. Currier, Helen B. Currier, Robert S. e and Andrew J. Barrett, defendants, No. 590 Equity, is consolidated with 581 Equity, the above styled cause, for the purpose of hearing and deter- ng all issues involved, the same to be heard and recommendations made by special master now hearing claims filed against the Monarch Royalty oration, the said master to report his findings of fact and conclusions of therein, and his recommendations to the court upon all matters and issues lved.

P. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

RSED: Filed Sep 15 1931
H. L. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. BREYFOGLE,	Plaintiff	
vs		Equity No. 611
WILSON CORPORATION, et al	Defendants	

ORDER PERMITTING SUIT AGAINST RECEIVER

On this 15 day of September, 1931, there is presented to this

the application of Exchange Trust Company, a Corporation for permission to . J. Hartman, as Receiver for Ike S. Mincks, Effie B. Mincks and Blue w Corporation, in the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, in an action reclose a mortgage held by the said applicant, dated the 20th day of July, and covering on the following described land situate in Osage County, t:

Southwest Quarter of Section Eight (8)
Township Twenty-one (21) North, Range
Twelve (12) East, containing 160 acres,

applicant and said Receiver being present in court by their respective at-ys and after hearing the argument of counsel and being fully informed in atter, the Court finds that said application should be allowed.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED AND DECREED that said Ex-e Trust Company, a Corporation, be, and it is hereby permitted to bring an n in the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, against T. J. Hartman, as ver for Ike S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, to foreclose its mortgage as it in its application, a copy of its petition being thereto attached.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of said Court

ED: Filed Sep 15 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

. DRENTOGLE,	Plaintiff,	
's		Equity No. 611
TILOW CORPORATION, et al	Defendants	

ORDER PERMITTING SUIT AGAINST RECEIVER

On this 15th day of September, 1931, there is presented to this the application of Exchange Trust Company, a Corporation, for permission T. J. Hartman, as Receiver for Ike S. Mincks, Effie B. Mincks and Blue Corporation, in the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, in an action eclose a mortgage held by said applicant, dated the 18th day of November, nd covering on the following described land situate in Osage County, towit:

East Half of Southeast Quarter and Southwest
Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Section Five
(5), Township Twenty-one (21) North, Range
Twelve (12) East,

pplicant and said Receiver being present in court by their respective eys, and after hearing the argument of counsel and being fully informed in tter, the Court finds that said application should be allowed.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
T. SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1931

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED AND DECREED that said Exchange Trust Company, a Corporation, be, and it is hereby permitted to bring an action in the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, against T. J. Hartman, Receiver for Ike S. Hincks, Effie B. Hincks and Blue Willow Corporation to foreclose its mortgage as set out in its application, a copy of its petition being thereto attached.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of said Court

ATTEST: Filed Sep 15 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court MB

Court adjourned until September 16, 1931.

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

T DIVISION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, September 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
I. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, t:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

d States, Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 534 Equity ✓

Talbutt, F. L. Talbutt,
dall State Bank, a
ration Defendants.

ORDER CANCELLING RENT FOR JULY, 1931

Now on this 10th day of September, 1931, this matter comes on earing on the application of James S. Buchanan, renter of real estate ed in the foreclosure in this cause, and the Court, after hearing the state- of counsel and being advised in the premises, finds that the Receiver has his report herein and turned all money into the office of the Clerk of nited States Court.

The Court further finds that under the circumstances, as re- by the parties hereto, James S. Buchanan is entitled to have the rent on premises for the month of July, 1931, remitted, and it is so ordered, aid rent for said month is canceled.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams
Assistant United States Attorney

ED: Filed Sep 16 1931
I. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until September 18, 1931.

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, September 18th, 1.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. F. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible]-CRUMMER INVESTMENT PANY, a corporation,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		EQUITY No. 34
BETS & PLEASANT, INC., orporation and CITY TULSA, OKLAHOMA, a icipal corporation,	Defendants.	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

On this 18th day of September, 1931, on motion of plaintiff, is hereby ordered plaintiff is hereby permitted to dismiss this cause, and same is hereby dismissed, on motion of plaintiff, without prejudice, and plaintiff's cost.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

ORDER: Filed Sep 18 1931
H. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] TE MORTGAGE TRUST COMPANY, orporation, Trustee, and OLIVER [illegible],	Plaintiffs,	
vs.		No. 522 Equity.
[illegible] JACOBS, [illegible] JACOBS, DREYFUS [illegible], a corporation, THE WHITE [illegible], a corporation, and NATIONAL [illegible] OF COMMERCE, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, orporation,	Defendants.	

ORDER AND DECREE DIRECTING CLERK TO ISSUE ORDER OF SALE, FINDING ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS DUE AND TAXING COSTS.

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1931

Now, on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1931, this cause comes for hearing upon the verified application of plaintiff, Real Estate Mortgage st Company, accompanied by its verified bill of costs, for an order direct- the Clerk of this Court to issue an order of sale based upon the decree en- d herein on the 17th day of February, A. D. 1931, and to include within the of the mortgage herein involved, certain additional amounts expended by plaintiff to preserve and protect the mortgaged property and to include the in the total indebtedness owing plaintiff, and to have its costs herein d, and for permission to apply the additional amounts, attorneys' fee, and costs so paid by it, and any other costs and expenses which it may subse- tly pay, upon the amount which it might bid for the mortgaged property in event it should purchase the same at the foreclosure sale.

It appearing to the Court that all the defendants affected by application have had due notice thereof and of this hearing, and that said ication should be granted and said bill of costs allowed;

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, the sum of $212.43 and the sum of $400.11 expended by the plaintiff for nquent paving assessments and insurance respectively, be and the same hereby found to be due and owing the plaintiff from the defendants, Louie Jacobs Fannie Jacobs, and each of them, and are hereby ordered included in the l indebtedness owing it by said defendants and in the lien of the mortgage in involved, and the Clerk of this Court is hereby ordered to include the in his order of sale, with interest thereon from their respective dates of ary 30, 1931, and March 15, 1931, at the rate of 8 per cent per annum.

IT IS FURTHER BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that bill of costs in the sum of $54.75, be and the same hereby is allowed, and clerk is hereby ordered and directed to tax said costs against said ndants and include the same in his order of sale.

IT IS FURTHER BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that ntiff may, at its option, apply the above amounts and costs so expended, rneys' fee, and any other costs paid by it and the expenses of sale, if paid t, in full or pro tanta, upon the amount bid by it for the mortgaged prop- , in the event it becomes the purchaser thereof at the foreclosure sale.

IT IS FURTHER BY THE COURT ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court e an order of sale as provided in the decree of this Court hereinbefore red, directed to the Honorable John M. Vickrey, the United States Marshal the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to sell the property ribed in said decree, and as in said decree provided, and in the manner there rovided, after giving notice, as in said decree provided, for at least weeks prior to such sale, towit, for not less than thirty consecutive before said sale, in the Tulsa Daily World, a newspaper printed, regularly ed and having a general circulation in the County of Tulsa, State of Okla- , the county and state where the real estate proposed to be sold is situated, notice, among other things, to describe the real estate to be sold, and nduct said sale and to apply the proceeds, all as in said decree and as in order and decree provided, and in all respects in compliance therewith, the statutes and laws in such cases made and provided.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the jurisdiction of this Court be, the same hereby is retained for the purpose of confirming and approving sale, and for the purpose of making such other and further orders and

rees as may be necessary and proper in this cause, and for the further pur-
e of determining the matter of a decree for deficiency as provided for in
e 10 of the Equity Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, and
such other purposes as may properly arise.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

[illegible]: Filed Sep 18 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court NE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] L. BUSR,	Complainant,	
vs.		No. 537 Equity ✓
[illegible]. PIERCE & COMPANY, [illegible]., a corporation, [illegible]. PIERCE and [illegible],	Defendants.	

O R D E R

On stipulation of counsel, it is ordered that the above cause and the same is hereby, stricken from its present setting on the equity [illegible]et of this court for September 21st, 1931, to be hereafter reassigned as be directed by the court.

Dated this 18th day of September, 1931.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge

[illegible]: Filed Sep 18 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

[illegible] M. [illegible], ET AL,	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		No. 575 - Equity. ✓
[illegible], ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that hearing on Motions to Dismiss of various Defendants be, and the [illegible] are hereby, submitted on briefs.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] PRINCE [illegible], a Corporation,	Complainant	In Equity No. 582
vs		
[illegible] ROLLING MILLS COMPANY, a [illegible]ration,	Respondent.	

O R D E R

NOW ON THIS 18th day of September, 1931, it appearing to the [illegible] that the Special Master heretofore appointed herein, has filed his Re- of Sales of Properties of Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a corporation, [illegible]ed to be sold in the Final Decree of August 1, 1931,

IT IS THEREFORE Ordered and Decreed that said Report of Special [illegible]r be set down for hearing upon the 21 day of September, 1931, at Vinita, [illegible]oma.

[illegible] E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge

[illegible]ED: Filed Sep 18 1931
[illegible] P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible]lanin[illegible] Mill Company, [illegible]poration,	Plaintiff,	No. 602 in Equity.
vs.		
[illegible]ckman,	Defendant.	

O R D E R

Now on this 18th day of September, 1931, upon stipulation of the [illegible]es and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered that this cause, which [illegible]eretofore been set for hearing on the Special September, 1931, term of [illegible]Court for September 28, 1931, be and the same hereby is stricken from said [illegible]ment and continued to be reset at a later term of this court.

[illegible] E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

[illegible]ED: Filed Sep 18 1931
[illegible] P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

[illegible]

[illegible] TUL A, [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] 18, 1931

[illegible], [illegible], Plaintiffs,

-vs-

[illegible], [illegible], Defendants.

No. 607 - Equity.

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court, that the above styled cause be stricken from the trial assignment this date to make new parties Plaintiff.

[illegible] BUCH, as President of the American iety of Composers, Authors and lishers and [illegible], INC., A Corp. Plaintiffs,

-vs-

[illegible] INC., A poration, Defendant.

No. 6[illegible] - Equity.

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court, that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken [illegible] the trial assignment of this date. ([illegible] Judge).

[illegible], Plaintiff,

-vs-

[illegible], [illegible], Defendants.

No. 637 Equity.

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1931, comes on for hear- ation of Defendants to dismiss. Thereafter, after being advised fully in premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same is [illegible], sustained as to American National Bank. It is further ordered by Court that said cause be stricken from the trial assignment at Vinita.

[illegible], Plaintiff,

-vs-

[illegible], [illegible], Defendants.

No. 644 - Equity.

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that leave be, and the same is hereby granted, respondents to file ndment to Answer.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ed States of America, Complainant,

vs.

agoshe, John Bruce, John Abbott,
Thompson Supernaw, James Perrier,
ph William Pearson, Irene Bennett,
ard DeNoya, E. T. Kennedy, John S. Irwin,
rt Stuart, Ed Talley, Roy D. Stone, Lula
, N. Levine, Midland Oil Company, a cor-
tion, A. N. Brown, Glen Skinner, Alfred A.
mond, Elsie B. Day, J. L. Simpson, S. E.
, Trustee, J. A. Ladd, Betty Ladd, R. L.
, Albert L. Newman, W. G. Barnard, Henry
n, Jr., Mullendore Trust Company, a cor-
tion, Fred G. Drummond, C. C. Craddock,
Rowe, William P. Higgins, E. E. Grinstead,
yne Stroud, Maud Spurr, Maggie J. Ebert,
. Stroud, Charles D. Stroud, Joseph D.
Mell, Olin Lewis, Isabella Bennett, J. A.
bury, C. F. Stuart, R. C. Drummond, Hlu-
o-me, Dora Mohogla, Nah-ko-sah-moie, Hattie
herman, Charles W. Labadie, Robert E. La-
e, Rose Mason, E. C. Mullendore, Magelle
ewing, Minnie D. Martin, guardian of Fred
Martin, a minor, and Henry R. Adams,
Respondents.

No. 644 Equity

O R D E R

On this 18th day of September, 1931, the above entitled matter
s on for hearing before the court at Tulsa, in the Northern District of Okla-
a. The complainant, United States of America, appears by John M. Goldesberry
ed States District Attorney, T. J. Leahy, special attorney, and Louis H.
ers, Osage Tribal Attorney. The respondents, Henry R. Adams, E. C. Mullen-
, R. C. Drummond, E. E. Grinstead, C. C. Craddock, Fred G. Drummond, Mul-
dore Trust Company, a corporation, Alfred A. Drummond, Henry Vaden, Maggie
bert, Olin Lewis, J. A. Presbury, C. F. Stuart, Magella Whitewing, Minnie
artin, guardian of Fred Rosa Martin, William P. Higgins, Irene Bennett,
ella Bennett, Joseph D. Mitchell and Edna J. Hickman Willis, appearing by
r attorney, T. L. Riddle; the respondent W. G. Barnard, appears by his
rney John Rogers, the Intervener Sinclair Oil & Gas Company appears by
rd H. Chandler, Summers Hardy, Wm. O. Beall and F. M. Maxey, its attorneys;
intervener, The Pure Oil Company, a corporation, appears by Alvin Richards
. A. Calvert, its attorneys; the Intervener, Vacuum Oil Company, a corpor-
n, appears by its attorney, J. M. Maxey; the Intervener, The Texas Company
rporation, appears by its attorneys, F. M. Hill and John R. Lansey; the
rvener, Empire Oil & Refining Company, a corporation, appears by its at-
ys, Hayes McCoy and Warren T. Spice. The respondents, Henry R. Adams, E. C.
ndore, R. C. Drummond, E. E. Grinstead, C. C. Craddock, Fred G. Drummond,
ndore Trust Company, a corporation, Alfred A. Drummond, Henry Vaden, Maggie
bert, Olin Lewis, J. A. Presbury, C. F. Stuart, Magella Whitewing, Minnie
artin, Guardian of Fred Roan Martin, William P. Higgins, Irene Bennett, Isa-
l Bennett, and Joseph D. Mitchell, ask leave in open court to file an ad-
onal amendment to their answer pending the hearing on the several motions

strike now on file in the office of the clerk of this court, and, upon con-
eration thereof, the court finds that such leave should be granted and it
therefore, ordered, that said respondents be and they are hereby granted
ve to file said additional amendment to their answer, which amendment
accordingly filed.

And thereupon [illegible] Riddle, attorney for Edna [illegible] Hickman Willis,
s that she be made a respondent in this suit and that she adopt the answer
counter-claim of Henry R. Adams, et al, and all of the amendments thereto
the bill of complaint of the United States of America and the answer of
d Henry R. Adams, et al, to the joing and several bills of intervention
ed by The Pure Oil Company, a corporation, the bill of intervention of the
uum Oil Company, a Corporation, the bill of intervention of the Vacuum Oil
pany, a corporation, and The Texas Company, a corporation, and to the bill
intervention of the Vacuum Oil Company, a corporation, and Empire Oil &
fining Company, a corporation, as her answer and counter-claim to the various
adings filed herein, and that respondent's answer and counter-claim filed to
joint Bill of Intervention filed by The Pure Oil Company and The Sinclair
and Gas Company be considered as filed to each and all of the Bills of In-
vention filed herein.

And thereupon, the complainant, the United States of America,
s leave to withdraw its several motions to strike the answer and counter-
im and amendments thereto of said respondents and its motion for a decree
confesso against said defendants above named, and for permission to re-
e same after the said respondents have filed said additional amendment to
ir answer and after the said Edna J. Hickman Willis had been permitted
file or adopt the answer and counter-claim of Henry R. Adams, et al, which
ve to refile said motions to strike and for decree pro confesso, was by
court granted, and same were ordered refiled, and the intervenors, Sin-
air Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, the Pure Oil Company, a corporation,
uum Oil Company, a corporation, The Texas Company, a corporation, and the
ire Oil and Refining Company, a corporation, also ask leave to withdraw
ir several motions to strike the answer and counter-claim and amendments
reto of said respondents, Henry R. Adams, et al, above named, and to
nd their said motions by interlineation, by adding the name, Edna J.
kman Willis and refile same, as against said answer and counter-claim,
ndment and additional amendment thereto, which leave is by the court ac-
dingly granted and said motions and each of them are thereupon amended and
iled.

And thereupon the respondents, Henry R. Adams, et al, (above
ed) ask that their answer to the bill of intervention filed by The Pure
Company, a corporation, and Sinclair Oil and Gas Company, a corporation,
considered as filed and directed against the bills of intervention of the
uum Oil Company, a corporation, the Vacuum Oil Company, a corporation, and
Texas Company, a corporation, and the Vacuum Oil Company, a corporation,
Empire Oil & Refining Company, a corporation, which the Court finds should
granted and it is accordingly done.

And thereupon the United States of America, complainant herein,
gests the death of the respondents, Elu-ah-to-me and Wash-ko-sah-moie, and
s that said cause be abated as to them, which the court finds should be
nted and it is therefore ordered that this suit be and the same is hereby
ted as to the said respondents, Elu-ah-to-me and Wah-ko-sah-moie.

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
ITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, [illegible] 18, 1931

And complainant, United States of America, in open court, states t the following named respondents appearing in the original bill filed by government have not been served with process, to-wit: Maud Thompson uper-, John [illegible]. Irwin, Roy D. Stone, Eula Hand, M. Levine, Glen Skinner, J. L. pson, [illegible]. E. West, Trustee, J. A. Ladd, Betty Ladd, Albert L. Newman, Le-ne Stroud, Maud Spurr, Sam W. Stroud, Charles D. Stroud, Mattie Smither- and Robert E. Labadie, and moves that the bill of complaint be dismissed to them without prejudice, which is accordingly done.

F. E. [illegible]
United States District Judge.

. JNO. . COLLEGHERRY,
U. S. Atty

ORDERED: Filed Sep 19 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ted States of America,	Complainant,	No. 644 Equity.
vs.		
n Wagoshe, et al,	Respondents.	

O R D E R

UPON application of counsel for certain respondents he is granted ve to include in the answer filed by certain respondents to the bill of ervention filed herein by the Sinclair Oil & Gas Company, Pure Oil Company, others so far as may affect her rights, the name of Edna J. Wickman Willis, said answer so filed, as well as the answer and counterclaim filed to the ernment's bill may apply to her as fully as if filed directly and specifically her behalf.

Dated this the 18th day of September, 1931.

F. E. [illegible]
District Judge

ED: Filed Sep 19 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

[illegible] Plaintiff,		
-vs-		No. 646 - Equity.
[illegible] Defendants.		

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that Defendants Henry L. Lemons and Lemons, Incorporated, be, and are hereby given ten (10) days within which to file Interrogatories. Plaintiff given fifteen (15) days thereafter to answer thereto. It is further ordered by the Court that all objections be, and they are hereby, overruled, except that part of one which states the date of payment of money and the manner of payment to the employees of Cities Service Company and numbers 10, 17, 19 to 24 inclusive.

[illegible] Plaintiff,		
-vs-		No. 647 - Equity.
[illegible] Defendants.		

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that defendants, Henry L. Lemons and Lemons Incorporated, given ten) days within which to file interrogatories. Plaintiff given fifteen (15 s thereafter to answer them. It is further ordered by the Court, that all ections to Interrogatories be, and they are hereby, overruled, except that t of one which states the date of payment of money and the manner of pay- t to the employees of Cities Service Company and numbers 10, 14, 17, 19 24 inclusive.

[illegible] Plaintiff,		
-vs-		No. 648 - Equity.
[illegible] Inc., A [illegible] Defendants.		

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1931, there comes on hearing motion of Defendant to strike. Thereafter, after being advised in premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be, and the same hereby, overruled. It is further ordered by the Court that all objections Interrogatories be, and they are hereby, overruled, except that part of one h requires Defendants to state date of payment and manner of payments of y to employees of Cities Service Company and numbers 10, 14, 17, 19 to inclusive. (P.H.H. Judge).

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], [illegible] 18, 1931

. BOOTH, ET AL,	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		No. 665 - Equity.
[illegible] INVESTMENT CO., ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that application to make additional parties Plaintiff be, and the is hereby sustained as to the applic tion with five (5) names.

Court adjourned until September 1[illegible], 1931.

[illegible]

[illegible] TULSA, [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] 9, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, [illegible], [illegible] 19th [illegible].

Present: Hon. F. L. Kennamer, Judge, [illegible] Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, [illegible]wit:

[illegible] DYKES, Receiver of FIRST [illegible] OF SAPULPA, OKLAHOMA,
Plaintiff,
-vs-
[illegible], [illegible],
Defendants.
No. [illegible] - Equity.

Now on this 19th day of September, A. D. 1931, defendant [illegible] [illegible]ler, adopts same objections as other defendants. Thereupon, it is ordered by Court that paragraph 38 be, and the same is hereby, amended by interpolation. Thereafter, it is ordered by the Court, that motion of defendants strike and dismiss and for further and better statement be, and the same hereby, sustained, all as per journal entry to be filed by Mr. Campbell. [illegible]ptions allowed. Plaintiff given thirty (30) days to answer. ([illegible] Judge).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] Booth, et al.,
Complainants,
vs.
[illegible]er Investment Company, et al.,
Defendants.
No. [illegible] Equity.

O R D E R

Now on this 18th day of September, 1931, this cause coming on to [illegible]eard upon the motion and application of 122 individuals named in said application to be made additional parties complainant in this action, and the [illegible]t being fully advised in the premises, finds that the said application [illegible]ld be allowed and sustained.

IT IS, [illegible] FORE, [illegible], [illegible] that the 122 [illegible]licants named in the application filed on this day be, and the same are [illegible]made parties complainant in this said action and are permitted forthwith to [illegible] their petition and supplemental petition in this action.

[illegible]
Judge.

[illegible]: Filed Sep 19, 1931
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court DC

[illegible]

[illegible] TULSA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], [illegible] 19, 1931

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [illegible]
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] Booth, et al,	Complainants,	
vs.		No. 663 In Equity.
[illegible] Investment Company, et al,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

NOW, on this 18th day of September, [illegible] 1931, this cause coming [illegible] be heard upon the motion and application of 72 individuals named in said [illegible]cation to be made additional parties complainant in this action, and the [illegible] being fully advised in the premises, finds that said application should [illegible]lowed and sustained.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the 72 ap[illegible]nts named in the application filed on this day be, and the same are hereby [illegible] parties complainant in this said action and are permitted forthwith to file [illegible] petition and supplemental petition in this action.

[illegible]
J U D G E.

[illegible]: Filed Sep 19 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court DC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE [illegible]
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] Booth, et al.,	Complainants,	
vs.		No. 663 Equity.
[illegible] Investment Company, et al.,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

Now on this 18th day of September, 1931, this cause coming on to [illegible]rd upon the motion and application of the Estate of Matthew Snow, deceased, [illegible] Roeper, [illegible] Burroughs, G. [illegible] Binder and Ellis A. Cranston to be made [illegible] parties complainant in this action, and the court being fully advised [illegible] premises, finds that the said application should be allowed and sustained.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Estate of [illegible] Snow, deceased, [illegible] Roeper, [illegible] Burroughs, [illegible] Binder and Ellis A. [illegible]ton, the applicants named in the application filed on this day, be, and the [illegible] hereby made parties complainant in this said action and are permitted [illegible] to file their petition and supplemental petition in this action.

[illegible]
J u d g e

[illegible]: Filed Sep 19 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
[illegible] District Court DC-------
Court adjourned until September [illegible], 1931.

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
[illegible] VINITA, OKLAHOMA [illegible] 21, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, September [illegible], 1.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, [illegible] Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

mond Offutt,	Complainant,	No. 5[illegible] - Equity ✓
-vs-		
e Oil Company et al,	Defendants	

O R D E R

Now on this 10th day of September, 1931, this matter coming on be heard on the motion of the defendant, the Oklahoma Natural Gas Corporation, dismiss the above entitled cause, the Court having heard the arguments of nsel and being well and fully advised in the premises finds and orders that Bill of complainant herein should be and the same is hereby dismissed for t of prosecution.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ORDER: Filed Sep 21 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

[illegible], A CORPORATION,	Plaintiff,	No. 58[illegible] - Equity. ✓
-vs-		
[illegible] COMPANY, [illegible],	Defendant.	

Now on this 21st day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court, that Special Master's sale be, and the same is hereby, confirmed, as per journal entry to be filed.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES [illegible]
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
[illegible] VINITA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, [illegible] 21, 1931

. BOOTH, ET AL., Plaintiffs,)
-vs-) No. 663 - Equity. ✓
R INVESTMENT CO., ET AL., Defendants.)

Now on this 21st day of September, A. D. 1931, the above styled e is called. Both sides announce ready. All witnesses are duly sworn in court and the rule is invoked. Opening statements of counsel are made. Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: . Harey, H. F. Greer. Thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, it is red by the Court that court be recessed to 1.30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 P.M., on this same day, court is again ession. All parties present as heretofore. The Plaintiff continues its oduction of evidence and proof with the following witnesses: H. F. Greer, . Lessley, J. W. Trimble, C. F. Turner, Jas. A. Caldwell, William Hendrique, . Marple, Mr. Barnes, Elsie Everet, Cora French, F. H. Mills, Chas. Frantz. eafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, it is ordered by the t that said court be recessed to 9:00 o'clock A. M., September 22, 1931.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND [illegible]
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ed States of America, Complainant,)
vs.) No. 674 Equity ✓
Mashunkashey, et al Respondents.)

ORDER OF COURT

And now on this, the 21st day of September, A. D. 1931, the same a regular day of the Special September A. D. 1931, Term of said Court, sit- at Vinita, Oklahoma, there coming on for hearing the application of the ainant, the United States of America, for a temporary restraining order and he appointment of a receiver, the complainant appearing by John M. Goldes- , United States Attorney, and the Court having examined the pleadings filed id cause and having heard testimony introduced in support thereof, finds:

That the respondents named in the caption and in the Bill of Com- t filed in the Clerk's office as of this date, have heretofore secured large of money and property, both real and personal, which were held in trust by omplainant herein, for an Osage Allottee, Charles Mashunkashey, and that is pending in the District Court within and for Tulsa County, Oklahoma, tion entitled "Charles Mashunkashey, Plaintiff, vs. Rosa Mashunkashey, et al, dants", being No. 50159, in said District Court, and another action pending e District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, entitled "Charles Mashunkashey, Rosa Mashunkashey", being No. D-4308, and that said respondents have here- e secreted and are now about to and will secret certain sums of money and el property which said respondents obtained from this complainant, unless ained by an immediate order of this court, and it appearing further to urt that [illegible] Walker has heretofore been appointed as receiver, in said n pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, and as such receiver has ned large sums of money and certain property, both real and personal, and

ha. the same in his possession or under his care or control, for the purpose of continuing said property in statu quo until the matters olved in this action can be fully and finally adjudicated by this Court, eceiver should be appointed by this Court; that Charles S. Walker of Tulsa, fit and proper person to be appointed as Receiver; that a bond in the sum $25,000.00 should be given by said Receiver, as such.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that said res- dents, Rosa Lachunkashey, Lucile Stafford, Theodore Cortney, Ralph A. Barney, e Building & Loan Association, a Corporation, Public Service Company of ahoma, a Corporation, Jesse L. Warren, Clayton M. Smith, D. C. Howard, L. O. phenson, Tressa Stephenson, R. C. Drummond, Alfred A. Drummond, Leonard mas, D. C. Mullendore, E. C. Mullendore Trustee for Mullendore Trust Com- y, Mullendore Trust Company, a Corporation, Ed T. Kennedy, A. F. Williams, neth Trough, J. A. Chapman, Ida Warren, J. E. Warren, Missouri State Life urance Company, a Corporation, and Indian State Oil Company, a Corporation, ir agents, servants, employes and attorneys, be, and they are hereby res- ined until the 1st day of October, 1931, from selling of, disposing of, umbering, concealing or transferring any of the property involved herein, ther the same be personal or real, and that said respondents, their agents, vants, employes and attorneys, be and they are hereby restrained from herein- or further prosecuting any action in the State court or courts, involving of the property involved in this action, and that this writ in order be and eby is made returnable in this court on the 1st day of October, 1931, at e o'clock A.M., at which time will be heard Application for Temporary unction, in the chambers of the court at Wichita.

It is further ordered that a certified copy of this order be ved on the respondents herein.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that Charles S. ker of Tulsa, Okla., be, and he is hereby appointed Receiver to take charge of the properties now in the hands of C. S. Walker, and such other and further perty, both real and personal, as said Receiver can find in the Northern trict of Oklahoma covered by the Complaint herein filed.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that said Receiver be he is hereby instructed to apply to this Court for any and all further or- s that may be necessary for the carrying out of his duties under this order.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that said Receiver, ore taking charge of his duties as herein specified, shall file a bond in sum of $25,000.00 to be approved as the law directs and file his oath of ce with the Clerk of this Court.

W. R. [illegible]
District Judge

. JNO. L. GOLDSBERRY
U. S. Atty.
[illegible] Chapman, Asst. U. S. Atty
Solicitors.

[illegible]: Filed Oct. 21 1931
[illegible] Marfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ND

Court adjourned until September 28, 1931.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BE IT REMEMBERED: WICHITA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, September 8, 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, N. D. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, viz:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN
AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY, rporation,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		NO. 571 EQUITY
PRAIRIE OIL & GASOLINE COMPANY, rporation,	Defendant.	

O R D E R

This cause comes on for hearing this 22nd day of September, 1931, it appears to the Court that the plaintiff and the defendant have filed a ulation in this cause providing among other things that the same be dis- ed without prejudice to the institution of a like suit.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND DECREED by the Court that this cause nd it is hereby dismissed without prejudice to the institution of a like as provided in said stipulation between the plaintiff and the defendant, day filed in said cause.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

APPR: T. J. FLANNELLY
T. J. Flannelly
WEST, GIBSON, SHERMAN, DAVIDSON & HULL
West, Gibson, Sherman, Davidson & Hull
PAUL W. MASON
Paul W. Mason
Attorneys for The Prairie Oil & Gas Company.

FILED: Filed Sep 22 1931
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court MB

[illegible]

[illegible] VINITA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], 1931

[illegible], Plaintiff,

-vs- No. 597 - [illegible].

[illegible], [illegible], Defendant.

Now on this 22nd day of September, A. D. 1931, the above styled se comes on for trial. Both sides present and announce ready for trial. reafter, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be passed to 2:00 o'clock .

And thereafter, at 2:00 o'clock P.M., on this same day the above led cause is again called. Opening statements of counsel are made. All nesses are sworn in open court. And thereafter, upon statement of Plaintiff, Defendant moves for a dismissal. Thereupon, said Motion is, by the Court, rruled. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following ness: Donald D. Burch. Thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, is ordered by the Court that said court be recessed to 9:00 o'clock A. M., tember 23, 1931.

[illegible], [illegible], Plaintiffs,

-vs- No. [illegible] - Equity.

[illegible], [illegible], Defendants.

Now on this 22nd day of September, A. D. 1931, the above styled se comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore. Plaintiffs continues their introduction of evidence and proof with J. ard Jones. And thereafter, the Plaintiffs rest. Thereupon, the Defendants roduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: J. Edward Jones, [illegible] Greer, Nelson Pipes, [illegible] Curtis, Mrs. [illegible] Greer. And thereafter, Defendants rest. Thereafter, the Court calls [illegible], Mr. Hatner and Lebit for examination. Thereafter, the noon hour having arrived, court is essed to 1:30 o'clock P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 o'clock P.M., on this same day, court again in session, all parties present as heretofore. The Plaintiff continues cross examination of Mr. Curtis and [illegible] Gard. And thereafter, both es rest. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court, that said cause be set for ment at Tulsa, Oklahoma, September 26th, 1931.

Court adjourned until September [illegible], 1931.

[illegible]

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, [illegible], [illegible] ber , 19[illegible] .

Present: [illegible] Hemmaker, Judge, [illegible] Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, [illegible] District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, it:

[illegible] ,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. [illegible] - Equity.
[illegible] TOOLS, [illegible] , [illegible]	Defendant.	

Now on this 23rd day of September, A. D. 1931, the above styled e comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore. Plaintiff continues with its introduction of evidence and proof and cones with the following witnesses: Donald Burch, [illegible] Thompson, [illegible] ard, F.C. McGivern, [illegible] Davidson, [illegible] Sanders. Thereafter, the Plain- rests. Thereupon, the Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the owing witness: Theodore Sutter. And thereafter, the noon hour having ved, it is ordered by the Court that said court be recessed to 1:30 P.M.

And thereafter, at 1:30 P.M., on this same day, court is again ession. All parties present as heretofore. Thereupon, the Defendant in- uces further evidence and proof and continues with the following witnesses: dore Sutter, C. A. Mellie, William A. Diebel. And thereafter, it is or- d by the Court that said case be, and the same is hereby, continued to ember 24, 1931.

[illegible] COMPANY,	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		No. [illegible] - Equity.
[illegible] COMPANY,	Defendant.	

Now on this 23rd day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court, that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, passed to ember 24th, 1931.

Court adjourned to September 24, 1931.

[illegible]
[illegible] VENITA, OKLAHOMA [illegible], [illegible] 24, 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, September [illegible], 1931.

Present: Hon. F. E. Hennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered [illegible]it:

[illegible], [illegible],	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 5[illegible] - Equity.
[illegible], [illegible],	Defendant.	

Now on this 24th day of September, A. D. 1931, it is ordered by Court that the fee of the Special Master in the above styled cause be, the same is hereby, fixed at $2,000.00.

[illegible],	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. 597 - Equity.
[illegible], [illegible], [illegible],	Defendant.	

Now on this 24th day of September, A. D. 1931, the above styled [illegible] comes on for continuance of trial. All parties present as heretofore [illegible] court in session. The Defendant continues with further introduction [illegible]vidence and proof with the following witnesses: William A. [illegible], Theo- [illegible] Sutter. And thereafter, the Defendant rests. The Plaintiff offers re- [illegible]al testimony of Donald Burch. And thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, [illegible] ordered by the Court that said case be submitted on briefs for further [illegible]ideration. Defendant given thirty (30) days to file brief. Plaintiff [illegible]n twenty (20) days thereafter to answer. Defendant given fifteen (15) [illegible] thereafter to reply.

[illegible],	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		No. 61[illegible] - Equity.
[illegible],	Defendant.	

Now on this 24th day of September, A. D. 1931, the above styled [illegible] comes on for trial. Thereupon, said case is called, both sides having [illegible]ced ready. Opening statements of counsel are made. The Plaintiff in- [illegible]duces documentary evidence and rests. The Defendant introduces evidence [illegible] proof with the following witnesses: Mr. Furnes, and thereafter, the [illegible] rests. Thereafter, witness [illegible] Waters for the Plaintiff is sworn

[illegible] by the Court. And thereafter, both sides rest. [illegible], it ordered by the Court, that the above styled cause be, and the same is hereby, n under advisement. Plaintiff and Defendant given five ([illegible] days to submit fs.

[illegible] AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. [illegible] - Equity.
[illegible], [illegible]	Defendants.	

Now on this 24th day of September, A. D. 1931, the above styled e comes on for trial. Thereupon, said case is called, both sides present having announced ready. Opening statements of counsel are made. Therer, Plaintiff witness, William Riber is sworn and examined. Thereafter, Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witness: Mr. bury. Thereupon, after considering the facts and the evidence introduced in, it is ordered by the Court that said case be, and the same is hereby, n under advisement. Plaintiff given until Wednesday, September [illegible]th, to redeem land and repay Defendant amount borrowed with interest at six per cent.

[illegible] AMERICA,	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. [illegible] - Equity.
. LEVIN and [illegible] T. [illegible],	Defendants.	

Now on this 24th day of September, A. D. 1931, the above styled e comes on for trial. Thereupon, said case is called, both sides being ent and announce ready for trial. Thereupon, opening statements of counsel made. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that Decree be entered Plaintiff as prayed for in the Bill, all as per journal entry to be filed.

Court adjourned until [illegible] 25, 1931.

[illegible]

[illegible] VIRGINIA, [illegible] [illegible], [illegible], 1931

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, [illegible], September 25th, [illegible].

Present: [illegible] Hemmer, Judge, [illegible] Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings [illegible] entered, [illegible]wit:

[illegible],	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. [illegible] - Equity. ✓
[illegible], [illegible],	Defendants.	

Now on this 25th day of September, [illegible] 1931, the above styled [illegible] comes on for trial. Both sides present and announced ready for trial. [illegible]reafter, opening statements of counsel are made. The Government introduces [illegible]dence and proof with the following witness: [illegible]. Thereafter, the [illegible]en[illegible]nts introduce documentary evidence. And thereafter, both sides rest. [illegible]reupon, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be continued to next [illegible]esday for further proof.

[illegible],	Plaintiff,	
-vs-		No. [illegible] - Equity. ✓
[illegible], [illegible],	Defendants.	

Now on this 25th day of September, [illegible] 1931, it is ordered by [illegible] Court that permanent injunction issue forthwith enjoining Defendants for [illegible]eriod of one (1) year, as per journal entry.

[illegible] DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF [illegible].

[illegible]el J. T. Straus and Melvin [illegible]traus as Trustees,	Plaintiffs,	
-vs-		Equity No. 65[illegible] ✓
[illegible]r Columbia Company, a [illegible]oration, [illegible]t[illegible]ent Buildings Company, [illegible]oration, and Fred Irwig,	Defendants.	

O R D E R

The application of Ina Mae Warfield, Receiver, for instructions [illegible]egard to payment of certain claims being considered by the Court and the [illegible]t being fully advised in the premises,

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
VINITA, OKLAHOMA FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 1931

IT IS ORDERED that the said claims be allowed and paid as hereinafter set out:

Personal property Tax Warrant No. 7950	[illegible]1.[illegible]
Personal property Tax Warrant No. 7951	111.[illegible]
Having assessments represented by tax bills Numbers 32273-4-5	[illegible].[illegible]
Claim of Mabel Huntsinger for preparing case made	[illegible].[illegible]

Dated this 14 day of September, 1931.

F. [illegible]
Judge.

[illegible]: Filed Sep 25 1931
[illegible]. Warfield, Clerk
[illegible]. District Court JMR

Court adjourned until [illegible], 1931.

[illegible] NORTHERN DISTRICT [illegible]

[illegible] TULSA, [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] 26, 1951.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, [illegible], [illegible] [illegible], 1951.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, [illegible] Dist. Court.
[illegible] Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were [illegible] entered, [illegible]it:

[illegible] STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

-vs- No. [illegible] - [illegible]ity.

[illegible], ET AL., Defendants.

Now on this 26th day of September, [illegible] 1951, it is by the Court [illegible]ered that the Clerk file and spread mandate of Record, in [illegible] above entitled [illegible]e, same being in words and figures as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

[illegible] UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

([illegible]) TO THE HONORABLE THE FUNDS [illegible] OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE [illegible] OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible]:

[illegible], lately in the District Court of the United States for the [illegible]ern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between [illegible]ed States of America, plaintiff, and Myrtle [illegible] Hale et al., defendants, [illegible]485, Equity, the decree of the said District Court in said cause entered [illegible] 29, 1950, was in the following words, viz:

> It is therefore ordered and decreed that the entire prayer of plaintiff's petition be and the same hereby is denied for the reason that the plaintiff refuses to do equity, to which decree the plaintiff excepts and its exceptions are allowed.
>
> It is further ordered and decreed that the title of all of the real estate involved in this cause of action, to-wit:
>
> Southeast Quarter ([illegible]) of Section [illegible] ([illegible]), Township Twenty-four (24) North, [illegible] (6) East, Osage County, Oklahoma,
>
> be and the same hereby is settled and quieted in the defendant, Myrtle [illegible] Hale and it is further decreed that her title in [illegible] to said real estate be and the same hereby is held to be perfect as against the plaintiff, [illegible] against Pearl [illegible], in whose behalf this suit was instituted and against any and all persons claiming by, [illegible] or under her since the commencement of this action. To which [illegible] and decree plaintiff excepts and its exceptions are allowed.

[illegible]

[illegible] the [illegible] the transcript of the record [illegible] said District Court, [illegible] into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth [illegible], by virtue of an appeal by United States of America agreeably to the [illegible] Congress, in such case made and provided, fully [illegible] at large appears;

[illegible], at the September Term, in the year of our Lord one [illegible] nine hundred and thirty-one, the said cause came on to be heard before said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the re- from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered, adjudged and [illegible] by this court that the decree of the said District Court in this cause [illegible] the same is hereby reversed; that this cause be and the same is hereby [illegible] to said District Court with direction to enter a decree quieting title of [illegible] Highcart to an undivided one-half interest in the land [illegible] in this suit and quieting the title of Myrtle [illegible] to an undivided half interest in said land.

It is further ordered by the court that all costs in this appeal [illegible] against Myrtle [illegible] Hale, appellee.

It is further ordered that the mandate of this court issue forty- to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

-- September 17, 1931.

Now, therefore, are hereby commanded that [illegible] further proceed- [illegible] in said cause, in conformity with the opinion and decree of this [illegible] as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, [illegible] to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

[illegible], the Honorable [illegible], Chief Justice of the [illegible] States, the 17th day of September, in the year of our Lord one thousand [illegible] hundred and thirty-one.

[illegible] Appellant		
[illegible]rk----------	26.25	
[illegible]nting Record---------		
[illegible]orney,---------	20.00	
	46.25	
[illegible] Appellee:		
[illegible]rk	7.00	Paid by Appellee
	7.00	

[illegible]
Clerk of the United States
Circuit Court of Appeals,
Tenth Circuit.

[illegible]

[illegible] Tenth Circuit

Costs taxed in favor of [illegible] Plaintiff, [illegible] States [illegible] vs. [illegible] Dale

No. [illegible]

[illegible] record and docketing cause,	[illegible].00
[illegible] 14 copies of printed record,	[illegible].00
[illegible] and entering [illegible] appearance for appellant	[illegible]
[illegible] and entering [illegible] appearance for	- --
[illegible], preparing record for printer, etc.,	- --
[illegible], for printing record,	- --
[illegible] papers,	[illegible]
[illegible] orders, [illegible] folios,	[illegible]
[illegible] continuance,	- --
[illegible] briefs for appellant	[illegible]
[illegible] briefs for	- --
[illegible] opinion,	[illegible]
[illegible] and entering judgment or decree,	[illegible]
[illegible] petition for [illegible] rehearing,	- --
[illegible] and entering order on petition for [illegible] rehearing,	- --
[illegible] mandate to District Court,	[illegible]
[illegible] receipt for mandate,	[illegible]
[illegible] receipt for balance of deposit,	- --
[illegible] docket fee,	.00
	[illegible]

Attest:

[illegible]
Clerk [illegible] Circuit Court of
[illegible], [illegible] Circuit.

[illegible]: [illegible] 1951
[illegible], Clerk,
[illegible] District Court [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible], Complainant,

vs.

[illegible] Corporation,

[illegible], Defendant.

No. [illegible]

[illegible]

Now at this time there comes on to be [illegible] the application of [illegible] herein for leave to [illegible] against the receiver

[illegible]

[illegible] Corporation, and after the consideration [illegible] said application should be denied, and hereby denied [illegible].

It is therefore considered and ordered by the court that said [illegible]ication to file said plenary suit be and the same is hereby [illegible].

To which said John [illegible] Evans excepts.

[illegible]
Judge

[illegible]: Filed Sep 28 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [illegible]
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

[illegible] Berryhill, Plaintiff,

Vs: NO. [illegible] - [illegible]

[illegible] Ellett, et al., Defendants.

O [illegible]

Now on this 18th day of September, 1931, comes on to be heard [illegible]otion of the defendant, The American National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, [illegible] the above entitled cause as to said defendant, and the plaintiff [illegible] by his attorneys, Wallace & Wallace, and the said defendant, The [illegible]can National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, appearing by its attorneys, Hughes [illegible], and the court having considered said motion and having heard [illegible] of counsel and being fully advised in the premises,

IT [illegible], that the motion of The American National Bank of [illegible]pa, Oklahoma, to dismiss said cause as to it, be and the same is hereby [illegible], and the said action is hereby dismissed as to said defendant at the [illegible] of the plaintiff.

[illegible]
United States District Judge

[illegible]
Attorneys for Plaintiff
[illegible]
Attorneys for The American
National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma.

[illegible]: Filed Sep 28 1931
[illegible] Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible], [illegible]., Plaintiffs,)

-vs- No. [illegible] - [illegible]ity.

[illegible], [illegible]., Defendants.)

Now on this 26th day of September, A. D. 19[illegible], the above styled [illegible] comes on for argument. All parties present as heretofore. Thereupon, [illegible] arguments of counsel are made. And thereafter, it is ordered by the [illegible]rt that case be, and the same is hereby, set for decision, Thursday, [illegible]ober 1st, 1931, at Vinita, Oklahoma.

Court adjourned until [illegible] [illegible], [illegible].