

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
EQUITY DIVISION
TULSA, OKLAHOMA
FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, September 26th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. District Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

PATENT SPECIALTIES CORPORATION, Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 467 EQUITY ✓
WILLIAMS BROTHERS, INC. ET AL, Defendants.)

FINAL DECREE.

This cause came on for trial before the Court, Hon. F.E. Kennamer, District Judge, on September 22nd, 1930, and the trial was continued on September 23rd and September 24th and the evidence was closed and the case argued and submitted on September 24th, 1930, and thereupon upon consideration thereof it is ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

That the bill of complaint herein be dismissed for want of equity, because of invalidity of the patent in suit, No. 1,287,934 issued December 17th, 1918, to D.B. Farnsworth, Jr., and W.E. Allen, and for non-infringement thereof by the defendants.

It is further ordered and adjudged that the costs of this case shall be taxed against the plaintiff.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

September 26th 1930.

Approved as to form

JOHN R. RAMSEY
J. HANCOCK BOYDEN
of Counsel for Plaintiff.
SAM W. CANTERBURY

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 26, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court ME

H. STEWART MATLOCK, Plaintiff,)
vs) No. 565 - Equity. ✓
SUPERIOR OIL CORPORATION,)
et al., Defendants.)

Now on this 26th day of September, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that hearing in the above entitled cause be continued to October 2, 1930.

Court adjourned until September 27, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA DISTRICT COURT, TULSA, OKLAHOMA, DISTRICT COURT, SEPTEMBER 27, 1939

Skinner Brothers Belting Company, has made and sold, or procured to be made, used and sold, infringe, and each of them does infringe, claims 1 and 2 of said letters patent No. 1,175,261 and claims 1,2,3,4, and 12 of said letters patent No. 1,256,899.

(6) That the flexible packing sections or padding rubbers which the defendant herein, Skinner Brothers Belting Company, has made and sold, or procured to be made, used and sold, as the same are embodied in plaintiff's Exhibits Nos. 7 and 8 and defendant's Exhibits Nos. 20 and 22 herein, are, and each of them is, the mechanical equivalent of the flexible packing sections forming elements of the combinations claimed in claims 1 and 2 of said letters patent No. 1,256,899.

(7) That prior to the filing of the bill of complaint, the defendant herein, Skinner Brothers Belting Company, was duly notified in writing on October 7, 1927, of its infringement of said letters patent Nos. 1,175,261 and 1,256,899 and said defendant did thereupon discontinue making, using and selling said "Economy" oil saver, but did continue after such notice to infringe said letters patent Nos. 1,175,261 and 1,256,899 by making, using and selling, or procuring to be made, used and sold, said "Pierce" oil saver.

(8) That a perpetual injunction issue out of and under seal of this Court, directed to the defendant herein, Skinner Brothers Belting Company, its officers, directors, agents, servants and employees, enjoining and restraining them, and each of them, from making, or causing to be made, using or causing to be used, selling or causing to be sold, the said inventions set forth and patented in and by said letters patent Nos. 1,175,261 and 1,256,899, or any device or mechanism like or similar to the "Economy" oil saver (a specimen whereof is Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7), or the "Pierce" oil saver (a specimen whereof is Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 8) which said defendant has heretofore made, used or sold, or caused to be made, used or sold, in infringement of claims 1 and 2 of said letters patent No. 1,175,261 and of claims 1,2,3,4, and 12 of said letters patent No. 1,256,899, and from in any way, either directly or contributorily, further infringing upon said claims of said letters patent Nos. 1,175,261 and 1,256,899, or upon the rights of the plaintiff thereunder.

(9) That the plaintiff recover of the defendant the profits, gains and advantages which the defendant has received or made, or which have arisen or accrued from the said infringement of claims 1 and 2 of said letters patent No. 1,175,261 and of claims 1,2,3,4, and 12 of said letters patent No. 1,256,899, together with the damages which plaintiff has sustained by reason of the infringement thereof, excluding, however, such part of said profits, gains and advantages as the defendant has received or made, or which have arisen or accrued to the defendant, together with such part of said damages as the plaintiff has sustained, by reason of the manufacture, use or sale by the defendant prior to October 7, 1927, of specimens of the said "Economy" oil saver or parts thereof, like Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7, whether said specimens, or parts thereof, included packing rubbers of the form embodied in said Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7 or embodied packing rubbers of the form illustrated in drawing B annexed to Plaintiff's Interrogatories, being Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3, and in Defendant's Exhibit No. 22, and to that end this cause be, and the same hereby is referred to Preston C. West, Esquire, as master, to take and set and report to the Court an account of the said profits, gains and advantages, and also to assess the said damages suffered by the plaintiff.

(10) That on such accounting before the master, the plaintiff have the right to cause an examination of the defendant, its officers, directors, servants, agents, attorneys and employees ore tenus, or otherwise, and also to cause the production of the books, documents and vouchers of the said defendant and such other evidence as the master may require in the matter of taking said accounting, and that said defendant, Skinner Brothers Belting Company, by its officers, directors, servants, agents, attorneys and employees attend for the purpose of such accounting before said master from time to time as the master shall direct; and that the master may receive evidence for the purpose of finding and reporting to the Court a reasonable royalty under said letters patent No. 1,175,261

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 1930

and No. 1,256,899, to the end that the same may be available to the Court as a measure of profits or damages if, on the coming in of the master's report it shall appear from the proofs that the plaintiff has suffered damage from the infringement or that the defendant has realized profits therefrom to which the plaintiff is justly entitled, but that such damages or profits are not susceptible of calculation and determination with reasonable certainty, the Court shall decide to adjudge and decree the payment by the defendant to the plaintiff of a reasonable sum as profits or general damages for the infringement of said letters patent No. 1,175,261 and No. 1,256,899.

(11) That the plaintiff herein recover from the defendant, Skinner Brothers Belting Company, the costs in this case to be taxed up to and including the entry of this interlocutory decree and the issuance and service on the defendant of the injunction herein provided for, and that execution therefor issue as at law; and that, as to any further costs in this case from and after the entry of this interlocutory decree and the issuance and service of said injunction writ, the matter of such costs shall abide the further order of this court.

(12) The defendant excepts to the general finding of the Court against it and in favor of the plaintiff, and likewise to the specific findings of the Court with reference to the validity of the two patents of the plaintiff in suit, and the findings of the Court with reference to the validity of each of the separate claims of each of the patents in suit, and to the finding of the Court that the Economy Oil Saver infringes the plaintiff's patents, and to the finding of the Court that the Pierce Oil Saver infringes the plaintiff's patents, and to the finding of the Court that the rubber packing of the defendant infringes the rubber packing of the plaintiff as disclosed in plaintiff's patents; and the defendant excepts to the act of the Court in concluding the law against it and in favor of the plaintiff; and defendant excepts to the rendition of judgment and decree in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant.

F. E. KENNEDY
DISTRICT JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 27, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

OIL WELL IMPROVEMENT CO., Plaintiff,
vs.)
SKINNER BROTHERS BELTING CO., Defendant.)

No. 178 - Equity. ✓

Now on this 27th day of September, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that Hon. Preston C. West be, and he is hereby appointed Special Master in the above entitled cause to make accounting of the matters involved herein. It is further ordered that a perpetual injunction be granted herein and that same be, and it is hereby issued. The cost of all of said proceedings to be at the expense of defendant. It is further ordered that defendant be given ten days within which to file supersedeas bond in the sum of \$2500.00 and that execution of judgment herein be stayed ten days pending the filing of said bond.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

District of

TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, OCTOBER 2, 1930

OKLAHOMA

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, October 2nd, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court. H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MISSISSIPPI VALLEY TRUST COMPANY, Plaintiff, Trustee,

-vs-

OKLAHOMA UNION RAILWAY COMPANY, Defendant.

NO. 478 - EQUITY.

ORDER

On this 2 day of October, 1930, for good cause shown, it is ordered that leave is hereby granted to file out of time the attached proof of claim of Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Company, and by agreement of the parties it is ordered that the same is hereby allowed as a true, just and valid claim against the defendant Oklahoma Union Railway Company in the sum of \$65.33 as a general unpreferred claim, without preference or priority.

F. E. KENNAMER United States District Judge.

O. K. R. A. KLEINSCHMIDT.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 2, 1930 H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court JMR

Claims filed with order.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J.C. Hynds, Trustee of the Estate of John Christensen, a bankrupt, Plaintiff)

-vs-

David Fisher, Defendant)

No. 482 EQUITY

DECREE

Now on this 23rd day of September, 1930, at a stated term of this Court held at Tulsa in Tulsa County, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, this cause came on for further hearing, and the Court having read the pleadings and the interrogatories and the answers thereto and the agreed statements of facts and the briefs of counsel, and after full and fair consideration thereof and being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, the Court doth find the issues both of law and of fact in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant and thereupon:

It is ordered, considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff J.C. Hynds, Trustee of the estate of John Christensen, a bankrupt, do have and recover of and from the defendant David Fisher the sum of \$1,735.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from the 5th day of February, 1929, until paid, together with the costs of this action; for which execution may issue as on judgments at law.

To the order, judgment and decree of this Court the defendant then and thereduly excepted and still excepts, and his exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER Judge.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN EQUITY SESSION District of OKLAHOMA TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, OCTOBER 2, 1930

(No. 482-Equity Contd)

O. K. DON WELCH Attorney for Plaintiff YANCEY, SPILLERS & FIST Attorneys for Defendant

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 2, 1930 H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J.C. Hynds, Trustee of the Estate Plaintiff of John Christensen, a bankrupt,

-vs-

Sam Hans, Defendant

No. 483 EQUITY

DECREE

Now, on this 23rd day of September, 1930, at a stated term of this Court held at Tulsa in Tulsa County, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, this cause came on for further hearing, and the Court having read the pleadings and the interrogatories and the answers thereto and the agreed statements of facts and the briefs of counsel, and after full and fair consideration thereof and being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, the Court doth find the issues both of law and of fact in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant and thereupon:

It is ordered, considered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff J.C. Hynds, Trustee of the estate of John Christensen, a bankrupt, do have and recover of and from the defendant Sam Hans the sum of \$720.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from the 28th day of January, 1929, until paid, together with the costs of this action; for which execution may issue as on judgments at law.

To the order, judgment and decree of this Court the defendant then and there duly excepted and still excepts, and his exceptions are allowed.

F. W. ... Judge

O. K. DON WELCH Attorney for Plaintiff YANCEY SPILLERS & FIST Attorneys for Defendant

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 2, 1930 H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Mildred Stockton McKown, Complainant,

vs.

Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, et al, Respondents.

No. 500 Eq.

ORDER

Now on this 2 day of October, 1930, it is hereby ordered that

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN EQUITY SESSION District of OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, OCTOBER 2, 1930

the respondents' motion to dismiss be and the same is hereby overruled with exception to the respondents.

It is further ordered that the respondents file their answer to the complaint, within twenty days from this date.

F. E. KEMMNER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 2, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Mildred Stockton McKown, Complainant,)
vs.)
Board of Education of the) No. 529 Equity. ✓
Town of Kellyville, Creek)
County, et al, Respondents.)

O R D E R.

Now on this 2 day of October, 1930, it is hereby ordered that the respondents' motion to dismiss be and the same is hereby overruled with exception to the respondents.

It is further ordered that the respondents file their answer to the complaint, within twenty days from this date.

F. E. KEMMNER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 2, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

North American Union Life Assurance Society, Complainant,)
vs.) No. 530 Equity ✓
City of Drumright, Creek County,)
Oklahoma, et al, Respondents.)

O R D E R.

Now on this 2 day of October, 1930, it is hereby ordered that the respondents' motion to dismiss be and the same is hereby overruled with exception to the respondents.

It is further ordered that the respondents file their answer to the complaint, within twenty days from this date.

F. E. KEMMNER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 2, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA, OCTOBER 2, 1930

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
D.E. Talbutt, Effie L. Talbutt and) No. 554 Equity. ✓
Barnsdall State Bank, a Corporation,)
Defendants.)

DECREE OF FORECLOSURE OF MORTGAGE.

Now on this 25th day of September, 1930, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open court, before the Honorable F.E. Kennamer, United States District Judge in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the United States, in its own behalf, and in behalf of Wiley White Wing, Osage Allottee No. 686, is present in court by A.E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma; and the defendant, Effie L. Talbutt, is present in person and by her Attorney, J.S. Buchanan; and the defendant Barnsdall State Bank, a corporation is present by its Attorney, H.M. Cunnutt, and all of said last named parties announce ready for trial; and the said D.E. Talbutt, having been heretofore properly served with subpoena in equity, as required by law, more than thirty days prior to this date, of the pendency of said suit, and not having made an appearance herein, is declared by the Court to be in default.

Whereupon, plaintiff introduces its testimony, and the defendants Effie L. Talbutt and Barnsdall State Bank, a corporation, introduce their testimony, and the Court having heard the testimony of all parties by witnesses sworn and examined in open court and exhibits of evidence filed in the case, and having heard the argument of the attorney for the plaintiff and attorneys for the answering defendants present in court, and being fully advised in the premises, finds the issues in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, D.E. Talbutt and the defendant, Effie L. Talbutt on her cross petition, that the land described in plaintiff's Bill of Complaint had not been impressed as homestead of the said Effie L. Talbutt, as alleged in her said cross petition. That said note herein sued upon by the plaintiff and the mortgage herein sought to be foreclosed, were duly executed, as alleged in plaintiff's Bill of Complaint, on the 6th day of July, 1928, in the sum of \$1,000.00, due and payable to the said Wiley White Wing, hereinbefore named, and that said note drew 10% interest from date until paid.

The Court further finds that there is due on said note at the present time the sum of \$1,236.00, and the further sum of \$133.60, as provided by the terms of said note, to be taxed as attorney's fees and a part of the costs herein, and that said amount so due, shall bear 10% interest until paid.

The Court further finds that the terms and conditions of the mortgage herein sued upon and sought to be foreclosed have been violated and broken by the defendant herein, D.E. Talbutt, and the other defendants in so far as their interests in the land are concerned, and that the plaintiff herein has a lien on the lands and tenements described in said Bill of Complaint and the mortgage therein for the payment of said indebtedness, interest and costs, including attorneys' fees, said property being described as follows, to-wit:

Lot Four (4), Block Twenty (20), original town-site of Barnsdall, Oklahoma,

but that the lien of the plaintiff herein is subject to the rights of the lien of the Barnsdall State Bank, a Corporation, in the sum of \$133.60, with 10% interest thereon from the 25th day of September, 1930, Attorneys' fee of \$22.50 and accrued costs in the amount of \$7.35 and cost accruing which the Court finds is due the Barnsdall State Bank because of a judgment in its behalf against the said D.E. Talbutt, as shown by Case No. A-986 in the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, said judgment being filed and of record prior to the date of the execution of said note and mortgage herein sued upon.

That the said D.E. Talbutt having heretofore been properly served by subpoena in equity, and having defaulted thereon, and having failed to appear in said cause and set up his rights in said cause, if any he has, is by the Court adjudged to be in default, and plaintiff is

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 2, 1930

entitled to judgment against said D.E. Talbutt on said note, and for the foreclosure of said mortgage securing said note, with interest and costs, including Attorney's fees.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff herein, the United States, on behalf of Wiley White Wing, Osage Allottee No. 686, do have and recover of and from said defendant, D.E. Talbutt the sum of \$1236.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 10% per annum from September 25, 1930, until paid, and for all costs of this action, including \$133.60 Attorneys' fees, as provided in the note herein sued upon.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED that in case said defendants, or either of them, or all of them, for six months from the date of the rendition of this judgment, fail to pay to said plaintiff the said sum of \$1236.00, with interest, costs and attorney's fees, as aforesaid, an order of sale issue to the United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell, according to law, without appraisal, the lands and tenements in said Bill of Complaint described, to-wit:

Lot Four (4), Block Twenty (20), Original
Townsite of Barnsdall, Osage County, Oklahoma,

and to apply the proceeds arising from said sale, first, in payment of the costs of said sale and this action. Second, in payment to the Barnsdall State Bank the sum of \$168.90, the amount so aforesaid found to be due said Barnsdall State Bank, together with interest thereon from this date and atty. fee & cost. Third, in payment to the plaintiff herein said sum of \$1236.00, together with interest thereon. That the residue, if any there be, be paid into this Court to be credited to the defendant, Effie L. Talbutt, or as the Court may order. If the amount derived from said sale is insufficient to satisfy said judgment and costs, let execution issue against the defendant D.E. Talbutt for the remainder unpaid.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED that from and after the sale of said lands and tenements under and by virtue of this judgment and decree the said defendants D.E. Talbutt and Effie L. Talbutt, and all persons claiming by, through or under them, or either of them, since the commencement of this suit, be and they are forever barred and foreclosed of and from all liens upon, right, title, interest, estate or equity of, in or to said lands or tenements, or any part thereof.

F. E. REYNOLDS
JUDGE.

O.K.

F. E. WILLIAMS
Assist U.S. Atty., Atty for Plaintiff

H. M. CURNUTT,
Attorney for Barnsdall State Bank.

J. S. BUCHANAN,
Attorney for Effie L. Talbutt.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 2, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court JMR

E. STEWART MATLOCK, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 565 Equity.
SUPERIOR OIL CORP., ET AL, Defendants. }

Now on this 2nd day of October, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that J. L. Essly be appointed Temporary Receiver in the above entitled case and that his bond be fixed in the sum of \$5000.00, to which appointment exceptions are made and are by the Court allowed.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, OCTOBER 2, 1930

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States, Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) No. 542 Equity. ✓
)
 Ada A. Waller, C.D. Waller,)
 Jeanette Pappin Lambert,)
 H.H. Brenner, W.E. Savage)
 and Constance Wright, Defendants.)

DECREE OF FORECLOSURE OF MORTGAGE.

Now on this 25th day of September, 1930, this cause came on regularly to be tried in open court, before the Honorable F.E. Kennamer, United States District Judge, and the defendants, Ada A. Waller and C.D. Waller not having been heretofore served with process in this cause, said cause is dismissed as to said defendants, without prejudice; and the plaintiff, the United States, and Minnie Bighorse Boren, Osage Allottee, No. 561, being present by A.E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and Jeanette Pappin Lambert being present by her Attorney, H.H. Duncan, and the defendants H.H. Brenner and W.E. Savage having heretofore filed a disclaimer in said cause, and the defendant, Constance Wright, having been heretofore properly served with process herein more than thirty days prior to this date, of the pendency of this action, and having failed to appear, answer or plead herein, is declared by the Court to be in default, and all of said parties present in court, having announced ready for trial, and said plaintiff having introduced its testimony, including the note and mortgage sued upon herein; and the defendant, Jeanette Pappin Lambert having introduced her testimony; and the Court having heard the argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds that all the allegations and averments contained in plaintiff's Bill of Complaint herein are true.

That the defendants, Ada A. Waller and C.D. Waller have parted with all of their equity in the real estate heretofore described and sought to be foreclosed, by warranty deed to Jeanette Pappin Lambert, who now holds the equity in said land, over and above the plaintiff's mortgage, as alleged in plaintiff's Bill of Complaint.

That there is now due the plaintiff, on behalf of Minnie Bighorse Boren, Osage Allottee No. 561, on said note sued upon, the sum of \$6460.00, together with interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from date hereof until paid; and the further sum of \$356.08 as attorneys' fees, to be taxed as costs herein, as provided in said note, and that the plaintiff has a lien upon the property heretofore described in the mortgage given to secure the payment of said note, as follows, to-wit:

Lots Seven (7) and Eight (8), Block Three
(3), Palmer Highland Addition to Pawluka,
Osage County, Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the plaintiff, the United States, on behalf of Minnie Bighorse Boren, restricted Osage Allottee No. 561, do have and recover its judgment herein against said land, and have a lien against the lands heretofore described, in the sum of \$6460.00, the amount so as aforesaid found to be due said plaintiff on said investment, together with costs herein expended, including as a part thereof \$356.08, Attorneys' fees, and that said judgment bear interest at the rate of 7% per annum from September 25, 1930, until paid.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that in case said defendants, or either of them, fail for six months from date of the rendition of this judgment, to pay to said plaintiff, on behalf of said Minnie Bighorse Boren, Osage Allottee No. 561, the sum of \$6460.00, the amount so as aforesaid found due said plaintiff, together with interest thereon at 7% per annum from date hereof, and all costs of this action, including \$356.08, Attorney's fees, an order of sale issue to the United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell, according to law, without private grant, as provided in said mortgage, the land and tenements in said Bill of Complaint and mortgage described, to-wit:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
EQUITY DIVISION
TULSA, OKLAHOMA
OCTOBER 2, 1930

Lots Seven (7) and Eight (8), Block Three (3),
Palmer Highland Addition to Pawhuska, Osage
County, Oklahoma,

and to apply the proceeds of said sale,

First - In payment of the costs of said sale and of this
action.

Second - In payment to the plaintiff, on behalf of Minnie
Highorse Soren, Osage Allottee No. 551, the said sum of \$3460.73, the
amount as aforesaid found due, together with interest thereon at 7%
per annum from date hereof.

Third - That the residue, if any there be, be paid into
this Court to await the further order of the Court thereon.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED that from and after the
sale of said lands and tenements under and by virtue of this judgment,
the said defendants, Jeanette Pappin Lambert, H.H. Bremner, F.R. Boyce
and Constance Wright, and each and all of them, and all persons claiming
by, through or under them, or any of them, since the commencement of this
action, be, and they are forever barred and foreclosed of and from all
liens upon, right, title, interest, cost or equity in or to said lands
and tenements, or any part thereof.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court
that the plaintiff be, and hereby is denied a personal judgment against
the defendant, Jeanette Pappin Lambert, and that the prayer of the de-
fendant, Jeanette Pappin Lambert's answer, for a judgment of \$1071.31
and interest, or a judgment for the return of said amount, paid out as
interest on the mortgage herein, be, and hereby is denied, to which order
denying her a judgment for the return of money so paid out as interest on
the mortgage herein, the defendant, Jeanette Pappin Lambert, excepts, and
her exceptions are by the Court allowed.

F. E. KENNEDY
JUDGE

O. K.
A. E. WILLIAMS
A.E. Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Jeanette Pappin Lambert.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 2, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court LE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 542 Equity. ✓
Ada A. Waller, et al, Defendants. }

ORDER OVERRULING MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS.

Now on this 25th day of September, 1930, this cause coming
on regularly to be heard in open court, upon the motion of the defendant,
Jeanette Pappin Lambert for judgment on the pleadings, and both parties
having announced ready for argument of said motion, and the court having
heard the argument of H.R. Duncan, Attorney for the defendant Jeanette
Pappin Lambert, on behalf of said motion, and the argument of A.E. Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney, against said motion, and being fully ad-
vised in the premises, finds that said motion should be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE the order of the Court that the motion of the
defendant, Jeanette Pappin Lambert, for judgment on the pleadings herein,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, OCTOBER 2, 1930

be, and the same is overruled, to which order and judgment of the Court said defendant excepts, and her exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

O. K.
A. E. WILLIAMS
Assistant United States Attorney.

Attorney for Defendant, Jeanette Pappin Lambert.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 2, 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Edna M. Loer, Complainant,)
vs.) No. 549 Equity ✓
Board of Education of)
the City of Drumright,)
Creek County, Oklahoma,)
et al, Respondents.)

C R D E R.

Now on this 2 day of October, 1930, it is hereby ordered that the respondents' motion to dismiss be and the same is hereby overruled with exception to the respondents.

It is further ordered that the respondents file their answer to the complaint, within twenty days from this date.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 2 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Raymond Offutt Complainant)
-vs-) No. 566 Equity ✓
The Pure Oil Company, The)
Ohio Cities Gas Co., Oklahoma)
Natural Gas Corporation et al)
Defendants.)

O R D E R

Now on this 2 day of October, 1930, on application of the defendant, Oklahoma Natural Gas Corporation, and for good cause shown said defendant is hereby granted an extension of time of five (5) days from this date in which to plead or answer in the above styled cause.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 2 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, OCTOBER 3, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, October 3rd, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLA.

Oil Well Improvements Company,
a corporation,-----Plaintiff,

-vs-

In Equity No. 278

Skinner Bros. Belting Company,
a corporation,-----Defendant.

ORDER ALLOWING BOND TO SUPERCEDE
AND SUSPEND INJUNCTION PENDING APPEAL.

On this the 27th day of September, 1930, this matter came on for signing of the decree rendered herein in favor of plaintiff and against defendant, and for the signing and issuing of a perpetual injunction against said defendant, and upon application of the defendant and for good cause,

IT IS ORDERED by the Court that the defendant be and is hereby permitted to supercede and suspend the operation of the perpetual injunction issued herein, pending the appeal of this cause to the Circuit Court of Appeals of the United States for the 10th Circuit, upon the giving of a good and sufficient surety bond in the sum of \$2,500.00, conditioned that if pending said appeal the defendant shall make, use or sell, or cause to be made, used or sold, any of the devices prohibited by said injunction, then in the event this cause shall be finally determined in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, the defendant will pay to the plaintiff all damages which may be sustained by the plaintiff by reason of the making, using or selling or causing to be made, used or sold, any of said devices by this defendant during the pendency of said appeal.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that the defendant be and is hereby allowed ten days from this date in which to make and file said bond, and that said injunction be suspended pending the giving of same that said injunction be suspended pending the appeal and final determination of said cause.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 2 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff,

vs.

W. O. Dildine, as Administrator
of the estate of Ida McKinley,
deceased, William Cummings, and
Walter Iron, Eugene Iron and
Wm. McKinley, minor heirs at
law of Ida McKinley, deceased,

Defendants.

No. 543 Equity.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 25th day of September, 1930, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open court, before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge in the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, and the United States appearing by its solicitor, A. E. Williams, Assistant

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, OCTOBER 3, 1930.

United States Attorney in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, as directed by the Attorney General of the United States, acting at the instance and request of the Secretary of the Interior of the United States, and W. O. Dildine, as Administrator of the estate of Ida McKinley, deceased Osage allottee No. 496, appearing by his attorney, Robert S. Stuart, and the defendants Walter Iron and Eugene Iron, minor heirs at law of the estate of Ida McKinley, deceased, appearing by their Guardian ad Litem, George W. Boone, a regular practicing Attorney in said Court, and the defendant, Wm. McKinley, a minor, appearing by his guardian, W. H. Aaron, represented by Wilson & Cornett, his attorneys, and it appearing to the Court that due and proper personal service of summons had been had upon all of said defendants, as required by law, within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, in said cause, and that the plaintiff in said cause is acting in its own behalf and for and in behalf of Nellie Michelle, a restricted Osage allottee No. 495, and that said allottee has, since the commencement of this suit, departed this life on the 9th day of September, 1930, and that W. H. Aaron and W. O. Dildine were duly, legally and regularly appointed joint Administrators of her estate, by the County Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, the Probate Court having jurisdiction of the estate of said deceased; and it further appearing to the Court that J. C. Cornett appears as attorney for said Administrators, and for and in behalf of Wm. McKinley, a minor, as shown by the answer of the legal guardian of said minor, filed in said cause, and that said parties are before the Court at this time, and that by agreement of all parties and the order of Court it is adjudged by the Court that W. O. Dildine and W. H. Aaron, co-administrators of the estate of Nellie Michelle, be and they are hereby substituted as plaintiffs in this cause, and said cause is hereby revived in the names of said administrators as plaintiffs, on whose behalf said suit is instituted.

This cause having been submitted to the Court upon an agreed statement of facts by all parties, it is adjudged by the Court that on the 13th day of November, 1928, Ida McKinley, then living, made and executed and delivered her promissory note of that date, in writing, for \$10,800.00, payable to Nellie Michelle, at that time also living, said note being due and payable November 13, 1933, with interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from date, payable semi-annually. Said note now has the following endorsement thereon: "\$400.00 paid on the principal of the mortgage November 13, 1928. Interest payments March 21, 1929, \$34.35".

It is further found by the Court that at the time and place said note was executed, and as a part and parcel of the same transaction, and as a part and parcel of the consideration for the execution of said note, and in order to secure the payment thereof at maturity, the said Ida McKinley made, executed and delivered her certain real estate mortgage in favor of Nellie Michelle, on the following described real estate, to-wit:

Lots Eleven (11) and Twelve (12), Block Five (5) original townsite of Pawhuska, Oklahoma; and the North Half (N2) of the Northwest Quarter (NW4) of Section Twenty-five (25), Township Twenty-six (26) North, Range Eight (8) East, Osage County, Oklahoma.

That among other things in said mortgage, and as a part thereof were the following conditions:

"That if said party of the first part shall pay or cause to be paid to said party of the second part, her heirs or assigns, said sum or sums of money in the above described note, together with the interest thereon, according to the terms and tenor of the same, then these presents shall be wholly discharged and void; otherwise to remain in full force and effect. ***** Or if the taxes and assessments of every nature which are or may be assessed and levied against said premises or any part thereof are not paid when same are by law made due and payable, then the whole of said sum or sums and interest thereon shall, and by these presents become due and payable, and said party of

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, OCTOBER 3, 1930.

the second part shall be entitled to possession of said premises. And said party of the first part, for said consideration, expressly waives an appraisalment of said real estate and all benefits of the homestead exemption and stay laws of the State of Oklahoma."

It is further found by the Court that the terms and conditions of said note and mortgage have been broken in this, to-wit: That the semi-annual payment of interest on said note has long been due and payable and same has not been paid, and that certain taxes against said property have become due and payable, and same have not been paid. That demand has been made for the payment of said past due interest and same is unpaid, as set out above. That on account of the default of said defendants and the broken terms and conditions of said note and mortgage by said defendants the said plaintiffs are entitled to a foreclosure of the mortgage on said described premises, and to have the same sold under said mortgage, as a first lien thereon, and to tax the costs against said sale, including attorneys' fees.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the plaintiffs herein do have and recover judgment against the estate of Ida McKinley, deceased, in the sum of \$11,396.98, with interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from the 13th day of April, 1930, and costs of this action, including \$1080.00 attorneys' fees, and that the same constitutes a first and paramount lien upon the premises described in plaintiffs' Bill of Complaint, and as hereinafter shown, it further appearing to the Court that said mortgage contains the words: "appraisalment waived", as above set out.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that in case the defendants, or any of them, or each of them fail for six months from this date to pay to plaintiffs the said sum covered by this judgment, together with interest, attorneys' fees and costs, then an order of sale shall issue to the United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell, according to law, without appraisalment, the lands and tenements described in said mortgage, and to apply the proceeds from said sale as hereinafter directed, said lands to be sold being described as follows, to-wit:

Lots Eleven (11) and Twelve (12), Block Five (5) original townsite of Pawhuska, Oklahoma; and the North Half (N2) of the Northwest Quarter (NW4) of Section Twenty-five (25), Township Twenty-six (26) North, Range Eight (8) East, Osage County, Oklahoma,

the proceeds of said sale to be applied as follows: First, in payment of the cost of said sale and this action, including attorneys' fees. Second, in payment of any taxes legally due and owing against said premises. Third, in payment to George W. Boone, guardian ad litem for Walter Iron and Eugene Iron, minors in said cause, the sum of \$50.00, which the Court finds is a reasonable attorneys' fee for the guardian ad litem of said minors. Fourth, in payment to the plaintiffs, W. C. Dildine and W. H. Aaron, joint administrators of the estate of Nellie Michelle, deceased Osage allottee No. 495, the sum of \$11,396.98, with interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum from the 13th day of April, 1930. Fifth, that the residue, if any therebe, be paid to the Clerk of this Court, to await the further order of Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that from and after the sale of said real estate, as herein ordered, said defendants, and each of them, and all persons claiming under them since the commencement of this suit, be and are hereby forever barred and foreclosed of and from all liens upon, right, title, interest, estate or equity, of, in and to said real estate, or any part thereof.

O. K. A. E. WILLIAMS
Assistant United States Attorney,
Attorney for Plaintiffs.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

Attorney for Joint Administrators
of estate of Nellie Michelle, dec'd.
and Wm. McKinley, minor.

(See next page for further
endorsements.)

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
REGULAR SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, OCTOBER 3, 1930.

(Endorsements cond't.)

Attorney for W. O. Dildine, Adm.
of estate of Ida McKinley, dec'd.

GEO. W. BOONE
Guardian ad Litem for Walter Iron
and Eugene Iron, Minors.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 3 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
EQUITY SESSION

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, OCTOBER 4, 1930.

OKLAHOMA

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Saturday, October 4th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE ROGERS BREAD COMPANY, an
Oklahoma Corporation, Plaintiff,

vs.

SOUTHWESTERN STORES, INC., a
corporation, Defendant.

R. S. HENDERSON, et al, Intervenor.

In Equity
No. 502

ORDER

This cause came on for hearing on this 4th day of October, 1930, upon the application of Thomas Z. Varney and Jake Easton, the duly appointed, qualified and acting receivers herein, for an order releasing and discharging the American Bonding Company of Baltimore, surety on their joint bond in the amount of Sixty Thousand Dollars (\$60,000.00) as receivers herein, from any further liability on said bond, and for the fixing of a new bond for said receivers in such reasonable sum as to the court may seem just and proper.

And it appearing to the court that the said receivers have sold and disposed of all the property and effects of said defendant, Southwestern Stores, Inc., coming into their hands as such receivers, and have now in their hands, as the only assets of said receivership, the amount of \$3,438.64, and that the bond of such receivers in the amount of \$60,000.00 is, under the existing conditions and circumstances, wholly and entirely excessive and not warranted for the protection of the assets now in the hands of such receivers.

And it further appearing to the court that receiver, Jake Easton, is now the only active receiver herein, and that all funds held by said receivers are now in the custody and possession of said Jake Easton, receiver,

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the American Bonding Company of Baltimore, surety on the joint bond of said Thomas Z. Varney and Jake Easton, receivers herein, in the amount of Sixty Thousand Dollars (\$60,000.00) be and it is hereby released from any further liability on said bond from and after the date of this order, and that said receiver, Jake Easton, shall enter into a new bond in the amount of \$3500.00).

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 4 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court
JMR

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, OCTOBER 4, 1930.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,)
vs)
ADA A. WALLER, C. D. WALLER,)
JEANETTE PAPPIN LAMBERT,) No. 542 Equity
H. H. BRENNER, W. E. SAVAGE,)
AND CONSTANCE WRIGHT, Defendants.)

PETITION FOR ALLOWANCE OF APPEAL

Comes now the defendant, Jeanetta Pappin Lambert, and, believing herself aggrieved by the decree made and entered on the 25th day of September, 1930, in the above entitled cause, does hereby appeal from that part of said order and decree refusing and denying the prayer of her answer, in which she requests the return of the sum of \$1071.87 with interest at 6% from the date of the payment of same by her guardian, George B. Mellott, to Minnie Bighorse Boren, to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, for the reasons specified in the assignments of error filed herewith, and she prays that this appeal may be allowed and that a transcript of the record, proceedings and papers upon which said order was made, duly authenticated, may be sent to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

L. M. POE
E. J. LUNDY
H. E. MORGAN
H. R. DUNCAN
ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT,
JEANETTE PAPPIN LAMBERT.

THE foregoing claim of appeal is allowed.
Dated this 4 day of ~~September~~, 1930.
October

F. E. KENNAMER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 4 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Amil Strella, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
Devonian Oil Company, a)
corporation, and The) No. 548 Equity
Prairie Oil & Gas Company,)
a corporation, Defendants.)

D E C R E E

This cause came on for hearing on this 25th day of September, 1930, the plaintiff appearing in person and by Lawrence Jones and L. A. Whipple, Esquires, his solicitors, the defendants appearing by Paul B. Mason and West, Gibson, Sherman, Davidson & Hull, their solicitors. Thereupon after the taking of evidence was completed, after hearing arguments, the court finds:

That the defendants should within twelve months from and after the date hereof further develop said premises by the commencement of a well thereon to be prosecuted with diligence until completion.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that unless within twelve months from date hereof the defendants commence a well upon the premises involved herein, to be prosecuted with due diligence until completed, the lease described in the bill and answer in this cause be canceled and be of no further force and effect, except insofar as the same covers a gas well in the northwest corner of said lease, and as to it and sufficient land around it necessary for the operation and maintenance thereof, said lease shall continue in full force and effect so long as said defendants produce from said tract gas and/or oil in paying

143

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, OCTOBER 6th, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Monday, October 6th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

THE OSAGE OIL & REFINING COMPANY,)
et al.,) Plaintiffs, :
) No. 224 Equity. ✓
vs.)
)
MULLEN OIL COMPANY, et al.,) Defendants. :

O R D E R.

BE IT REMEMBERED:

On this 6th day of October, 1930, this cause regularly came on to be heard on the application of Felix Quinlan and E.A. Babcock, two of the defendants herein, for an order of this court, directing their co-defendant, Gypsy Oil Company, to pay to each of them forthwith one eighth of the monies, in its hands by reason of the sale of oil, exclusive of royalty oil, from the oil leasehold in controversy herein, namely the

Northeast Quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section Twenty Three (23)
Township Twenty Two (22) North Range Ten (10) Osage
County, Oklahoma,

and it appearing to the Court and it being the finding of the Court that its decree of June 15, 1929, herein is final as to said applicants and that therefore said application should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the Court that said Gypsy Oil Company be and it hereby is directed to pay to each of said applicants, namely, Felix Quinlan and E.A. Babcock, one-eighth of the monies now accrued or hereafter accruing in its hands by reason of the sale of oil, exclusive of royalty oil, from the leasehold above described.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge

O.K.: HORACE H HAGAN and T. AUSTIN C. VINE
Attorneys for Felix Quinlan and
E.A. Babcock.

OK: J. S. BRIDGEMAN
Attorney for Plaintiffs.

ENDORSED: Filed in Open Court
Oct 6, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

G. E. WALSH,)
) Plaintiff,)
vs.))
) No. 252 - Equity. ✓
A. C. LOFTMAN,)
) Defendant.)

Now on this 6th day of October, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed for want of prosecution.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT SESSION

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA
TODAY, OCTOBER 6, 1930.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America,	Complainant,)
)
vs.)
) No. 488 ✓
Ralph Hughes, County) Equity.
Treasurer of Osage County,)
Oklahoma, et al.,	Respondents.)

O R D E R.

On this 6th day of October, 1930, for good cause shown,
it is

Ordered, that the respondents in this cause be, and they
hereby are, granted an extension of fifteen days from this date within
which to file their exceptions to the report of the special master
herein.

F. E. BENHAUER
United States District Judge

O.K.
JNO. M. GOLDSBERGER
U. S. ATTY.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 6, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court JTR

Court adjourned until October 7, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

TUESDAY,

OCTOBER 7, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, October 7th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, U. S. Dist. Judge. H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. Dist. Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

EMMA NEWRIDER, ET AL, Plaintiffs, }
vs. } No. 382 - Equity.
E. J. NEWBLOCK, Defendant. }

Now on this 7th day of October, A. D. 1930, the above entitled cause comes on for further hearing. All witnesses are sworn in open Court. The defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witness: R. H. Hoss. The plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: W. O. Sutherland, A. N. Woodrow. Defendant introduces further evidence with witness: R. B. Hayne. After considering the facts and evidence herein, it is ordered by the Court that title involved herein be quieted in complainants on condition that they pay to respondents the sum of \$9500.00 with interest at 6% from August 27, 1926, less amount of royalty received by respondents, within ninety (90) days from date; otherwise title to be quieted in respondents.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MISSISSIPPI VALLEY TRUST COMPANY, a corporation, Trustee, Plaintiff,
-vs- No. 478-EQUITY.
OKLAHOMA UNION RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation, Defendant.

ORDER

On this 7th day of October, 1930, on presentation to the Court of application of the Pennsylvania Railroad Company to file out of time its proof of debt against the defendant herein, and good cause therefor appearing, it is ordered that leave is hereby granted to file out of time said proof of debt.

And the Court having considered the same, it is found that the said claim should be, and the same is hereby allowed as a true, just and valid claim against the defendant, Oklahoma Union Railway Company, in the sum of \$106.52 as a general unpreferred claim, without preference or priority.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.
C.E.
N. A. Kleinschmidt.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 7 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court. ME

REAL ESTATE MORTGAGE TRUST COMPANY, a corp., Trustee, and Oliver D. Schnaare, Plaintiffs, }
vs. } No. 522 Equity.
LOUIS JACOBS, FANNIE JACOBS, DREYFUS BROTHERS, A Corp., THE WHITE COMPANY, A Corp., NATIONAL BANK OF COMMERCE OF TULSA, OKLA., A Corp., Defendants. }

Now on this 7th day of October, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be passed for thirty days and that

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA. TUESDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1930.

the defendants be given ten (10) days in which to refile their answer and that plaintiffs be given ten (10) days thereafter to reply thereto.

THE SILURIAN OIL COMPANY, a Corp.,	} Plaintiff.	} No. 525 Equity.
vs.		
ERENE ESSLEY,	} Defendant.	

Now on this 7th day of October, A. D. 1930, the above entitled cause came on for hearing. Said case is called and both sides announce ready. Opening statements of counsel are made. Plaintiff files Reply to which defendant objects. It is ordered by the Court that motion of defendant to dismiss be and the same is hereby overruled. Exceptions to which are allowed. All witnesses are sworn in open Court. Plaintiff introduces testimony of witnesses: J. B. Moore, J. B. Crisman, E. C. Whipple, M. A. Oakes, W. L. Egan, E. A. Wade, H. L. Holbert, E. B. Davis and Tom C. Easton. Thereupon defendant moves to dismiss at the close of testimony of plaintiff. It is ordered by the Court that decree be entered and bill of complaints be dismissed. Plaintiff excepts and defendant dismisses cross petition.

Court adjourned until October 9, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHWEST DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA
OCTOBER 10, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, October 10th, 1930.

Present: Hon. J. B. Hammer, Judge, U.S. District Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

J. A. B. WRIGHT, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 554 - Equity. ✓
STANLEY T. BDDY, Defendant.)

Now on this 10th day of October, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed. P.E.H.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Hanna Anderson, Complainant,)
vs.) No. 562 EQUITY. ✓
O.K. Peck, as trustee for)
Jack Roberts, et al., Defendants.)

ORDER

Now on this 10th day of October, 1930, for good cause shown in the delay in filing Amended and Supplemental Bill of Complaint in accordance with the orders of Court heretofore granted, on application of the solicitors herein for the complainant,

IT IS

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that leave be and the same is hereby granted complainant to file instantler, her Amended and Supplemental Bill of Complaint, and it is further

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendants, O.K. Peck, as trustee for Jack Roberts, Jack Roberts, D.M. Linebaugh, Paul C. Williams, Melvin Thomason, Bebe Stevens Hoaglin, Wallace Cook, and G.W. Roodhouse, as purported guardian of the person and estate of Hanna Anderson, an alleged incompetent, and each of them be, and they are hereby granted fifteen (15) days from this day in which to plead or thirty (30) days from date hereof in which to make answer to said Amended and Supplemental Bill of Complaint.

F. E. KENNEDY
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 10, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Hanna Anderson, Complainant,)
vs.) No. 562 EQUITY. ✓
O.K. Peck, as trustee for)
Jack Roberts, et al., Defendants.)

ORDER

The complainant, Hanna Anderson, by her solicitors, F. E. Goodwin and Merrick A. Whipple, having filed herein the suggestion of the

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
CITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 10, 1930

death of the said defendant, O.K. Peck, and motion to revive and for writ of scire facias; and by which the Court is informed that since the commencement of this action and the service of subpoena upon the said defendant, O.K. Peck, as trustee for Jack Roberts, on the 11th day of August, 1930, the said O.K. Peck departed this life testate, and that one Maggie M. Peck has been duly appointed executrix of his estate, and that said estate is now pending for administration in the County Court of Okmulgee County, State of Oklahoma, and in which said County said executrix resides; and it further appearing to the Court that said executrix has failed to make application within a reasonable time to revive said action,

NOW, THEREFORE, it is ordered that a writ of scire facias do issue to the said Maggie M. Peck as such executrix of the estate of the said O.K. Peck, deceased, requiring her to appear within the time required by law and show cause, if any she have, why she should not be made a party defendant to this suit.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 10 day of October,
1930.

F. N. KENNEDY
District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Oct 10, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court JMR

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

NORTH IN
COURT SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, OCTOBER 14, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, October 14th, 1930.

Present: Hon. J.A. Remmer, Judge, U.S. District Court.
W.C. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTH DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. STEWART WATLOCH, Plaintiff,)
-vs.-) Equity No. 585. ✓
SUPERIOR OIL CORPORATION,)
a corporation, et al.,) Defendants.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
ORDER APPOINTING RECEIVER OF THE
SUPERIOR OIL CORPORATION.

On this the 2nd day of October, 1930, this cause came on for further hearing upon the bill of complaint filed and upon the motion of the complainant for the appointment of a receiver and for temporary injunctions, the said cause having been continued from the 18th day of August, 1930, at which time witnesses, having been duly sworn, testified in open court upon the application of the complainant for the appointment of a receiver and for temporary injunctions, said cause having been continued to the 18th day of September, 1930, and on said date continued to the 26th day of September, 1930, and on said date continued to the 2nd day of October, 1930; and the same complainant appearing by Walter L. Ball, Eben L. Taylor and W.A. Bodovitz; the defendants, Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and Exchange National Company, appearing by Charles W. Bush and Charles A. Steele; the defendants, John Rogers, Superior Oil Corporation, a corporation, E.R. Perry, H.C. Davies and G.C. Harned, appearing by H.A. Gibson. The Superior Oil Producing Company, Old Dominion Transportation Company, Moody Corporation, Naphen & Company and George Naphen appeared not.

The Court finds from the evidence that on July 30, 1930, the defendants, Exchange National Bank of Tulsa and Exchange National Company, instituted in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, their suit in which they alleged that The Exchange National Bank was the owner and holder of a certain note in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00), dated April 30, 1930, due ninety (90) days from date, and executed by Naphen & Company, a New York corporation, to said Exchange National Bank, and that, as security for said note, it held a certain note in the sum of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00), dated April 30, 1930, due three (3) months after date, executed and delivered by Superior Oil Corporation, defendant herein, to said Naphen & Company, which said note had been pledged by Naphen & Company as collateral security for the payment of said note of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00) to the said Exchange National Bank; that said Exchange National Bank filed said suit in the state court on the day the notes above described were due, and prayed the Court for the appointment of a receiver for the Superior Oil Corporation.

The Court finds that in the prayer of the petition the defendants, Exchange National Bank and Exchange National Company, did not ask for judgment on the note of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00) held by it as collateral.

The Court finds that The Exchange National Bank and the Exchange National Company, defendants herein, in their petition filed in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, alleged that George Naphen was the owner of a large number of shares of the capital stock of Superior Oil Corporation and had been able to control, at stockholders' meetings, the election of directors, and to designate the election and appointment of officers of the corporation, and that George Naphen had been operating the defendant corporation (Superior Oil Corporation) through the officers so elected and appointed in such manner; that it had not been for the best interests of the stockholders and creditors of said corporation,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 14, 1930

but for the best interest of George Naphen; that said petition contained the further allegation that the defendant, Superior Oil Corporation, was indebted to its employees and other persons, firms and corporations for current operating expenses, in the sum of One Hundred Five Thousand Dollars (\$105,000.00), and that the defendant had not cash or funds to pay said current operating expenses; that the employees of the Superior Oil Corporation were threatening to discontinue work, and that an abandonment of the property by said employees would greatly depreciate the same, and that there would not be left sufficient assets to pay the obligation of the Superior Oil Corporation to The Exchange National Bank of Tulsa and the other creditors of the Superior Oil Corporation; that oil wells, if abandoned by the employees, would be injured irreparably; that the officers of the Superior Oil Corporation had been selling oil to persons unable to pay therefor, and the said defendants herein, The Exchange National Bank and the Exchange National Company, prayed for the appointment of a receiver, and that the Court would administer the property of the Superior Oil Corporation, adjust and enforce all rights, liens and equities of all creditors and stockholders, including The Exchange National Bank and the Exchange National Company, and that an injunction issue enjoining the Superior Oil Corporation, its officers, directors, agents, and all persons from interfering with the property of the Superior Oil Corporation in the hands of the receiver so appointed.

The Court further finds that on the application of The Exchange National Bank and the Exchange National Company, and on the same day their petition was filed, and upon the presentation thereof, the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, appointed John Rogers as receiver of the Superior Oil Corporation and its assets, and the said John Rogers, after qualifying and giving bond, as required by the order of the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, immediately took possession of all property and assets of the Superior Oil Corporation located in the State of Oklahoma, and ever since the said John Rogers, receiver appointed by the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, has been in possession of said property and assets.

The Court finds that the suit filed by The Exchange National Bank and The Exchange National Company in the state district court was filed on the day the note of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00) of Naphen & Company, and the note of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00) of the Superior Oil Corporation to Naphen & Company, matured.

The Court finds that the note of Naphen & Company was presented for payment and payment refused, and the Court finds that before the filing of the action in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, by The Exchange National Bank and the Exchange National Company, the Superior Oil Corporation had delivered to The Exchange National Bank a letter stating that it would be liable for the Superior Oil Corporation to pay at maturity its note of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00), due July 30, 1930, executed in favor of Naphen & Company and transferred and assigned by Naphen & Company to The Exchange National Bank; that this letter was signed "Superior Oil Corporation, by E.R. Perry, Chairman of the Board."

The Court further finds that the claim of The Exchange National Bank is not based on a judgment, but that it is a simple contract creditor.

This suit was filed to take effect on July 31, 1930, and is a bill by a stockholder of the Superior Oil Corporation, complaining of various acts of fraud and mismanagement of said corporation by its directors and is brought for the purpose of protecting the stockholders and creditors of the Superior Oil Corporation from various transactions consummated by the directors of said corporation which are alleged to be detrimental to the interests of the company and fraudulent as to the stockholders thereof, and the scope of the bill is such that all rights of the creditors and of the stockholders can be protected herein.

The Court further finds from the evidence and from the statement of counsel that the Superior Oil Corporation had at the time of the filing of this bill ample assets to protect all creditors.

The Court further finds that if The Exchange National Bank is entitled to recover on said note of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00), which it holds as collateral to its note of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00), its rights can be fully protected in this case.

The Court further finds that the note of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00) of the Superior Oil Corporation to Naphen & Company, and herein relief in

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

FILED, OCTOBER 14, 1930

The state court is one of which the stockholders of Superior Oil Corporation bitterly complain and which they allege to be fraudulent, and that the sale is part of the success of the sale by certain interests who dominated the board of directors of the Superior Oil Corporation to said corporation or a company owning certain leasehold interests in the Republic of Mexico; and the Court finds that this transaction was fraudulent and was a fraud upon the Superior Oil Corporation and its stockholders, and that said note of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00), which was issued to Napheu & Company as a result of said transaction, was a fraud on the Superior Oil Corporation and its stockholders.

The Court makes no finding on the question as to whether or not The Exchange National Bank is a bona fide holder of said note.

The Court further makes no finding as to whether The Exchange National Bank should be enjoined from proceeding in the state court, but reserves determination of that issue until the further hearing of this cause.

The Court further makes no finding at this time as to the effect of the action taken by the board of directors of the Superior Oil Corporation to appoint a receiver in the state court, but specifically reserves such question until further hearing of this cause.

The Court further finds that at the time the suit was filed in the state court The Exchange National Bank and the directors of the Superior Oil Corporation were fully advised of the fact that a bill had been prepared by a stockholder of the Superior Oil Corporation to be filed in this court, seeking the relief prayed for herein, and further finds that some of the directors of the Superior Oil Corporation delayed the filing of said bill in this court by representations and promises which were relied upon by said complaining stockholder, and said representations and promises made by members of the board of directors of said Superior Oil Corporation delayed the filing of this bill, and that said directors consented to the appointment of a receiver in the state court.

The Court further finds that two of the directors of The Exchange National Bank were elected directors of the Superior Oil Corporation on April 29, 1930, and one remained such directors until immediately prior to the appointment of said receiver in the state court, but that the loan of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00) was negotiated by Napheu & Company prior to April 29, 1930, and was made by the bank on condition that the Superior Oil Corporation would appoint two nominees of the bank on its board of directors for the period pending the payment of its obligations to The Exchange National Bank; that neither of the nominees of The Exchange National Bank participated in the making or renewal of the note of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00) given by the Superior Oil Corporation to Napheu & Company.

The Court further finds that Harry H. Rogers is President of The Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, and that the receiver appointed by the state court is John Rogers, a brother of Harry H. Rogers.

The Court further finds from the evidence that in addition to the transaction in which said Mexican oil property was sold to the Superior Oil Corporation there are other transactions which have been consummated by the directors of the Superior Oil Corporation, which, if the charges made by the stockholders herein are true, are fraudulent and prejudicial to the rights of the stockholders of said corporation, and that the conduct of said board of directors of said corporation has been such as to dissipate the assets of the company and to constitute gross mismanagement, against all of which the complaining and intervening stockholders herein are entitled to relief, and that, for the purpose of investigating said transactions and bringing and defending such suits as are necessary to protect the said corporation and its stockholders, and for the purpose of protecting all the creditors of the Superior Oil Corporation, a receiver should be appointed by this court.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that J. L. ARNEY be, and he is, hereby appointed receiver of all and singular the assets, lands, tenements, premises, choses in action of the Superior Oil Corporation, and of all its properties - real, personal and mixed - of every kind and nature, as well as all contracts, stocks, bonds, obligations, choses in action, accounts and rights owned by, belonging to, or due to, the Superior

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

MONTGOMERY OKLAHOMA
NEXT SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA
CIVIL NO. 48284
TRUSTEES OF THE SUPERIOR OIL CORPORATION
PLAINTIFFS
vs.
THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, INC.
DEFENDANT
OCTOBER 14, 1930

Oil Corporation, and all rights, income and profits granted to, acquired by, or belonging to, the Superior Oil Corporation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said J.L. Essley, the receiver herein named, shall forthwith apply to the appointing Judge of the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, in said cause No. 48284, wherein The Exchange National Bank et al, are plaintiffs and Superior Oil Corporation is defendant, and in which said cause John Rogers was appointed receiver for the Superior Oil Corporation, and under which authority he has assumed control and possession of the assets of the Superior Oil Corporation within the State of Oklahoma, for the delivery of the properties - both real and personal - and the assets of the Superior Oil Corporation to the receiver, J.L. Essley, named herein, and for the control of said properties and assets by said receiver.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said receiver be directed to institute all necessary proceedings, actions and suits against the officers and directors of the Superior Oil Corporation as may be necessary to protect the stockholders thereof, and to defend all suits instituted against the Superior Oil Corporation as shall be necessary to protect the stockholders thereof, and to take all steps necessary for presenting the matter of the delivery of the custody of said assets and properties of the Superior Oil Corporation to the receiver named herein, and to obtain the proper and necessary orders of the said District Court of Tulsa County for the accomplishment of said purpose.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, upon the delivery and surrender of the assets to J.L. Essley, receiver named herein, by John Rogers, the receiver appointed by the District Court of the State of Oklahoma, this receiver set aside and sold money or property in a sum not less than the claims being asserted by the defendant herein, The Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, which said claims constitute the subject matter of an action pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, wherein said John Rogers was named a receiver, provided the claims of The Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, asserted in the said action now pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, shall not have been satisfied at the time the properties and assets of the Superior Oil Corporation shall have been surrendered by John Rogers, receiver appointed by the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, to the said receiver herein, J.L. Essley.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the receiver named herein shall, before entering upon his duties hereunder, give and file with the Court a bond in the penal sum of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00), with surety or sureties provided by this Court, conditioned that the receiver shall faithfully perform his duties as receiver herein, and well and truly account for any moneys or properties coming into his hands as such receiver, and shall and perform all things which he may be lawfully or may hereafter be directed to perform in this cause.

If the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and the Judge thereof appointing the receiver therein shall surrender to the receiver appointed by this Court the property and assets of the Superior Oil Corporation, then the receiver herein appointed shall exercise all such powers as are usually exercised by receivers, and all such as are necessary or proper to conduct the business of the Superior Oil Corporation, and he shall discharge all such duties as are within the line, scope or extent of his appointment, but shall not conduct the business of the Superior Oil Corporation until he shall be ordered to do so by this Court.

The said J.L. Essley, receiver appointed herein, shall not interfere with the possession of John Rogers of the physical properties, either real or personal, until after the said assets shall be surrendered to the receiver named herein by John Rogers, defendant herein, receiver appointed by the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and by the said District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, or until the further order of this Court, but this Court reserves its power over said properties and assets until the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, shall have been applied to and the matter shall have been presented to the District Court of Tulsa County, but nothing herein shall preclude or otherwise limit the power of this Court to exercise control of the possession of the assets and properties of the defendant, Superior Oil Corporation.

The receiver herein named is authorized and empowered to institute and prosecute such suits as may be necessary, in the judgment of the receiver, to protect and recover the property and assets herein vested in him, and to exercise defendant's rights and remedies as may be instituted and had in and as such receiver, and also to answer and abide the prosecution and defense of all suits as may be brought against the Superior Oil Corporation,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 14, 1930

The prosecution or defense of which, in the judgment of the receiver named herein shall be necessary for the proper protection of the properties placed in his charge, but the said receiver shall apply for authority to institute any new actions, and shall have specific authority from this Court before any such actions may be instituted.

Full right and power are hereby expressly reserved by the Court to make such other and further orders herein as it may hereafter deem fitting and proper to cause to occur.

The matters of the receipts, disbursements, reports and directions with respect to the property and assets of the defendant corporation are held in abeyance by the Court, and appropriate orders respecting such matters will be made and entered from time to time as they become necessary, and after the receiver named herein shall have obtained possession of the property and assets of the defendant, Superior Oil Corporation.

To all the judgments, rulings and orders set forth herein, the defendant and, the undersigned attorneys of Tulsa, Oklahoma, to-wit: National Company, John Adams, Superior Oil Corporation, et al. hereby, H.C. Davis and Grover C. Harned, except, and such exceptions are allowed.

These findings, conclusions and order shall be filed and entered and take effect as of the 14 day of October, 1930.

F. E. HICKMAN
United States District Judge.

O.K. as to form
C. A. STEELE for
Exchange National Bank
" " Company

O.K.
C. A. DANLEY
Attorney for J.L. Masley Receiver

RECORDED: Filed Oct. 14, 1930
H.B. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

Court adjourned until October 15, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, OCTOBER 15, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Wednesday, October 15th, 1930.

Present: Hon. W.L. Sawyer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.C. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following pleadings were read and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE NATIONAL VALLEY TRUST COMPANY, Trustee,
-vs-
THE NATIONAL BAYVIEW COMPANY, Defendant.

On this 15th day of October, 1930, the said cause shown, it is ordered that the attached proceeding by Canadian National Railway Company be allowed to be filed out of time, and by consent of the parties it is ordered that there be no preference in the sum of \$100,000 as a general unperfected claim without preference or priority.

W.L. Sawyer
United States District Judge.

W.L. Sawyer

Filed Oct 15, 1930
H.C. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE NATIONAL TOOL COMPANY, Plaintiff,
-vs-
THE NATIONAL BAYVIEW COMPANY, Defendant.

The above entitled cause came on before the Court on stipulation of the parties for an order of this Court filing motions for judgment, etc., into consideration, and the Court is hereby extended to and inclusive November 15, 1930.

W.L. Sawyer
United States District Judge.

Filed Oct 15, 1930
H.C. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, TULSA, DISTRICT COURT, OCTOBER 16, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, October 16th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.S. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court. W.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. A. LICH, Plaintiff, vs. UNION TRANSPORTATION CO., a corporation, Defendant. No. 810-854

ORDER AUTHORIZING RECEIVERS TO PURCHASE MOTOR BUSES.

On this 16th day of October, 1930, upon the verified application of J.A. Grates and P.A. Bodovitz, Receivers of the Union Transportation Company, filed herein, and it being made to appear that it is necessary that the Receivers of the Union Transportation Company purchase additional motor buses to properly carry on the operations of the Union Transportation Company; that said Receivers are able to purchase ten used Mack motor buses from the Cincinnati Street Railway Company of Cincinnati, Ohio, for the sum of \$15,000.00, f.o.b. Cincinnati, Ohio, and that the purchase of said motor buses would be for the best interest of said Receivership, the Union Transportation Company and the people of Tulsa, Oklahoma.

And it further appearing that it will be necessary for the said Receivers to borrow the sum of \$9,000.00 in order to make said purchase and to buy said used Mack motor buses and that the First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma, will lend said Receivers the sum of \$9,000.00;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that J.A. Grates and P.A. Bodovitz, Receivers of the Union Transportation Company, be, and they are hereby authorized to immediately purchase ten used Mack motor buses from the Cincinnati Street Railway Company of Cincinnati, Ohio, for the sum of \$15,000.00, f.o.b. Cincinnati, Ohio, and to immediately pay said sum for said buses.

It is further ordered that J.A. Grates and P.A. Bodovitz, Receivers of the Union Transportation Company, be, and they are hereby authorized and empowered to obtain a loan in the principal sum of \$9,000.00 from the First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma, in order to obtain additional funds with which to pay for said motor buses and that indebtedness be retired by said Receivers at the rate of \$3,000.00 per month until such time as the sum of \$9,000.00 and the expiration of the term of said loan has been paid.

W. P. Warfield, U.S. District Judge.

Filed Oct 16, 1930 W. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

COLUMBIA

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1930.

Bank adjourned pursuant to adjournment, Friday, October 7, 1930.

Present: Hon. W. A. Rorer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
J. H. ... Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

...	...	} No. 570 Equity.
vs.	...	
...	Defendants.	

Now on this 7th day of October, A. D. 1930, the above entitled cause comes on for hearing on motions to dismiss. After being advised in the premises it is ordered by the Court that said motions be granted and the same be overruled as to the ...
... 10 days thereafter to reply; to which ruling exceptions are made. It is further ordered that the temporary restraining order herein is to continue in effect. Said bill is given 5 days to file a bond in the sum of \$1500.00.

Court adjourned until October 16, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

W. J. STONE, CLERK, DISTRICT COURT, WASHINGTON, D. C., OCTOBER 18, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, October 18th, 1930.

Present: Hon. W. J. Storer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
M.P. Hartfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF JOHN W. HIGGINS, DECEASED.

United States of America, Plaintiff,
vs.
Ellen Linkerton, Paul M. Goodner,
John Love and Cresslenn Corporation, Defendants.

No. 527 Equity.

ORDER OF THE COURT.

Now on this 15th day of October, 1930, this cause came on regularly to be heard upon the bill of complaint of the plaintiff herein, and it being shown to the Court that Ellen Linkerton, the principal defendant herein, and the other of the lease on the premises described in the plaintiff's Bill of Complaint, have covered up their relationship as owner of said leased premises and said lease, and that the Cresslenn Corporation, the owner in fee of said premises, has procured new lease of same, and said premises are now being run in a lawful and proper manner, and that said premises, as covered in the Bill of Complaint, have become and is a bad, and said covering up the lease of said property have co-operated with the plaintiff in this case to stop the lawful use of said premises, and it being the recommendation of the District Attorney that the temporary injunction heretofore issued in this case can serve no further purpose therein, and that said covering up and the contract herein coually entered between the plaintiff and the Cresslenn Corporation, the Court is of the opinion that said temporary injunction should be removed.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that upon payment by the Cresslenn Corporation to the plaintiff the sum of the sum of \$10,000, or a being one-half of the sum of the sum of this cause, the temporary injunction herein issued shall be removed and the plaintiff shall have the right to use said premises.

W. J. STORER, Judge.
M.P. Hartfield, Clerk.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

... DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF ...

IN RE: ...

United States, District of ...

vs.

No. 542 Equity.

John J. Keller, J.D. Keller,
Jeanette Pannin Lambert,
...
Defendants.

ORDER OF THE COURT

Now on this 11 day of October, 1930, this cause coming on to be heard before the Honorble ... Judge, on the application of ... herein for the appointment of a receiver, ... the sale of the property covered by the foreclosure in this cause, and the Court having heard the statement of counsel, and having read the application for appointment of a receiver, and being fully advised in the premises, orders that proper notice be given to Jeanette Pannin Lambert, H.K. ... J.D. Oliphant, ordering that to appear before said Judge of said Court on or before the 18 day of October, 1930, and show cause, if any there be, why said application should not be granted.

The Court further directs that J.D. Oliphant, the party not in possession of said property or center thereof, be and he is hereby directed to pay no ... on said property during the pendency of this application to any one except the Clerk of this Court, or as the Court may direct.

... ORDER.

Filed Oct 18, 1930
J. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN RE: ...

United States, District of ...

vs.

No. 542 Equity.

John J. Keller, J.D. Keller,
Jeanette Pannin Lambert,
...
Defendants.

ORDER OF THE COURT

Now on this 11th day of October, 1930, this cause coming on to be heard upon the application of ... herein for the appointment of a receiver, ... in said cause, ... the sale of the property covered by the foreclosure in this cause, and the Court having heard the statement of counsel, and having read the application for appointment of a receiver, and being fully advised in the premises, orders that proper notice be given to Jeanette Pannin Lambert, H.K. ... J.D. Oliphant, ordering that to appear before said Judge of said Court on or before the 18 day of October, 1930, and show cause, if any there be, why said application should not be granted.

The Court further directs that J.D. Oliphant, the party not in possession of said property or center thereof, be and he is hereby directed to pay no ... on said property during the pendency of this application to any one except the Clerk of this Court, or as the Court may direct.

Filed ...
J. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, OCTOBER 20, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, October 20th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

OSAGE OIL & REFINING COMPANY, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 224 - Equity.
MULBER OIL COMPANY, Defendant. }

Now on this 20th day of October, A. D. 1930, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk filed and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

MANDATE:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, SS: ✓

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(S.S.) TO THE HONORABLE HIS JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDERING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between Osage Oil and Refining Company, a corporation, et al., Plaintiffs, and Mulber Oil Company, et al., Defendants, No. 224 Equity, the decree of the said District Court in said cause, entered on July 13, 1929, was in the following words, viz:

"It was therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court as follows:

"That the first cause of action of plaintiffs' amended complaint herein be and the same hereby is dismissed with prejudice, and at the costs of the plaintiffs. To which judgment of the court the plaintiffs, and each of them, duly excepted.

"That the second cause of action of plaintiffs' amended complaint herein be and the same hereby is dismissed with prejudice, and at the costs of the plaintiffs. To which judgment of the court the plaintiffs, and each of them, duly excepted."

and by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into and filed in the Circuit Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, by the Osage Oil and Refining Company, and Edward H. Adridge, Trustee, agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case read and considered, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the June term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States District Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

AND WHEREAS, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this court that the course of the said District Court, in this cause, be and the same is hereby affirmed, and that Mulber Oil Company and Osage Oil Company, appellants, have and recover of and from the Osage Oil and Refining Company and Edward H. Adridge, appellees, the costs herein.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

County of DELAWARE, State of OKLAHOMA.

The Court, having been duly commanded that such proceedings be taken in said cause, as aforesaid, by right and justice, and that the said appeal be affirmed, do hereby command that such proceedings be taken in said cause, as aforesaid, by right and justice, and that the said appeal be affirmed.

Witness my hand and the seal of the said Court at the City of Oklahoma, this 17th day of October, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty.

By _____
Deputy Clerk,
Attorney

By _____
Deputy Clerk,
Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit
By H. A. McIntyre,
Deputy Clerk

RECORDED: Filed Oct 17 1930
H. F. Barfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN RE: THE ESTATE OF JOHN W. GIBSON, DECEASED, AND THE ESTATE OF MARY W. GIBSON, DECEASED.
THE OKLAHOMA OIL & GAS COMPANY, et al., Plaintiffs }
vs. }
KULBER OIL COMPANY, et al., Defendants. }
No. 224 Equity.
R. E. R

RECAPITULATED:
That on this 17th day of October, 1930, it being called to the court's attention that its decree, heretofore rendered, has been affirmed by the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and it being further called to the court's attention that the Oil Company has filed herein its application that the mandate of said Court be served of record in this Court, and, further, that Gypsy Oil Company, one of the defendants herein, be directed to pay over to said Oil Company monies now impounded in its hands and accruing to said Oil Company's share of the oil lease in controversy herein,

IT IS ORDERED, DECREED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that said application be and is hereby set for hearing on the 21st day of October, 1930, and that notice of this Order be given by sending a copy thereof to E. E. Whitehead, Esq., attorney for the plaintiffs, and Earl Sullivan, Esq., attorney for Kulber Oil Company, and J. L. Billings, Esq., attorney for Gypsy Oil Company.

F. E. KREHMER
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Oct 20 1930
H. F. Barfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until October 21, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

FILED OCT 21 1930
U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, October 21st, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.M. Johnson, Judge, U. S. District Court.
W. H. Harfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs.
The National Union Life Insurance
Company, Defendant,
No. 100 Equity.

That the National Union Life Insurance Company, Defendant,
has failed to pay to the Plaintiff, The United States of America,
the sum of \$10,000.00, as provided in the contract of insurance
between the Plaintiff and the Defendant, and that the Defendant
has failed to file a return showing the amount of the sum of \$10,000.00,
as required by law.

ORDER: That the Defendant, The National Union Life Insurance Company,
be and she is ordered to file a return showing the amount of the sum of \$10,000.00,
as required by law, within ten days of the date of this order.

Very good cause shown herein and it is ordered that the Defendant be and she is ordered to file a return showing the amount of the sum of \$10,000.00,
as required by law, within ten days of the date of this order.

W. H. Harfield,
Clerk.

Filed Oct 21, 1930
W. H. Harfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs.
The National Union Life Insurance Company, Defendant,
No. 100 Equity.

That the National Union Life Insurance Company, Defendant,
has failed to pay to the Plaintiff, The United States of America,
the sum of \$10,000.00, as provided in the contract of insurance
between the Plaintiff and the Defendant, and that the Defendant
has failed to file a return showing the amount of the sum of \$10,000.00,
as required by law.

ORDER: That the Defendant, The National Union Life Insurance Company,
be and she is ordered to file a return showing the amount of the sum of \$10,000.00,
as required by law, within ten days of the date of this order.

Very good cause shown herein and it is ordered that the Defendant be and she is ordered to file a return showing the amount of the sum of \$10,000.00,
as required by law, within ten days of the date of this order.

W. H. Harfield,
Clerk.

Filed Oct 21, 1930
W. H. Harfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs.
The National Union Life Insurance Company, Defendant,
No. 100 Equity.

That the National Union Life Insurance Company, Defendant,
has failed to pay to the Plaintiff, The United States of America,
the sum of \$10,000.00, as provided in the contract of insurance
between the Plaintiff and the Defendant, and that the Defendant
has failed to file a return showing the amount of the sum of \$10,000.00,
as required by law.

ORDER: That the Defendant, The National Union Life Insurance Company,
be and she is ordered to file a return showing the amount of the sum of \$10,000.00,
as required by law, within ten days of the date of this order.

Very good cause shown herein and it is ordered that the Defendant be and she is ordered to file a return showing the amount of the sum of \$10,000.00,
as required by law, within ten days of the date of this order.

887

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN **District of** OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, OCTOBER 23, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, October 23rd, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kemmerer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

In Re: Southwestern Stores, Inc., No. 502 Bq.
Bankrupt.

ORDER SETTING HEARING ON CLAIM.

Now on this 23rd day of October, 1930, upon the motion of H.W. Randolph, it is ordered that there be a hearing on the claim of H.W. Randolph in the sum of \$450.00 against the Receivers herein on the question whether or not such claim is a proper and an allowable claim against the Receivers herein, and for such purpose, said hearing is hereby set for the 27th day of October, 1930; and it is ordered that a copy of this order be served on Eben Taylor, attorney for said Receivers.

F. E. KEMMERER
J U D G E

REPORT: Filed Oct 25, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTH LINE

District of

OKLAHOMA

RECORDED & INDEXED

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 23, 1930

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 24, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, October 24th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. L. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OIL WELL IMPROVEMENTS COMPANY,

Plaintiff, |

vs. |

In Equity No. 270. ✓

SKINNER BROTHERS BEATING COMPANY,

Defendant. |

DEFINITION FOR AN ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL.

The above named defendant, Skinner Brothers Beating Company, conceiving itself aggrieved by the order and decree made and entered in the above entitled cause on the 26th day of September, 1930, does hereby appeal from said order and decree to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, for the reasons specified in the assignment of errors, which is filed herewith, and it prays that this appeal may be allowed, that citation issue as provided by law, that a transcript of the record, proceedings and papers upon which said order and decree were based, duly authenticated, together with the physical exhibits, may be sent to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, upon the giving of a suitable bond, and that a supersedeas be awarded staying said decree as to the injunction, accounting and costs therein referred to.

SKINNER BROTHERS BEATING COMPANY,
BY G. W. EARNSHAW
JOE T. DEWBERRY
Solicitors for defendant.

The foregoing claim of appeal is allowed. Cost bond fixed at \$500.00. Supersedeas granted as per order September 27, 1930.

F. L. KENNAMER
District Judge.

Dated October 24th, 1930.

Filed October 24th, 1930.

H. P. WARFIELD, CLERK

Clerk.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 24, 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

Court adjourned until October 25, 1930

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NOVEMBER
COURT SESSION

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA
SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Saturday, October 25th, 1930.

Present; Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MISSOURI STATE LIFE INSURANCE CO. Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 465 - Equity. ✓
R. N. ROLLESTONE, et al., Defendants.)

Now on this 25th day of October, A.D. 1930, the above entitled cause comes on for hearing on confirmation of sale. Witnesses sworn and examined are as follows: George Schiller, M.S. Hughes and Mr. Kelly. Thereupon, after being advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said case be revived in the name of Administrator and Executor and Defendant is given until October 28, 1930 to present bidder.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

R. SELWICK ATLOCK, et al., Plaintiffs,)
-vs-) In Equity No. 565. ✓
SUPERIOR OIL CORPORATION, a)
corporation, et al., Defendants.)

ORDER ALLOWING COVERAGE

On this the 25 day of October, 1930, there was presented to the undersigned F.E. Kennamer, Judge of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, the motion of the Defendants, SUPERIOR OIL CORPORATION, a corporation, R. R. BERRY, H.C. D VIER, GROVER S. HARRIS, EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, OKLAHOMA, a corporation, EXCHANGE NATIONAL COMPANY, a corporation, and JOHN ROGERS, for a severance herein, and asking for the right to prosecute an appeal from the decree, order and judgment herein rendered and entered on the 14th day of October, 1930, to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, without the defendants, SUPERIOR OIL PRODUCING COMPANY, a corporation, OLD DOMINION TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a corporation, and MOORE CORPORATION, a corporation, participating in or joining in said appeal, there being attached to said motion a copy of the request in writing made by said defendants to said SUPERIOR OIL PRODUCING COMPANY, a corporation, OLD DOMINION TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a corporation, and MOORE CORPORATION, a corporation, to join in said appeal, and there being endorsed on said copy of said request, a copy of the refusal of said SUPERIOR OIL PRODUCING COMPANY, OLD DOMINION TRANSPORTATION COMPANY and MOORE CORPORATION, to join in said appeal, and the undersigned Judge of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, being fully advised in the premises, finds that said motion for coverage should be granted; and

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT THE DEFENDANTS, SUPERIOR OIL CORPORATION, a corporation, R. R. BERRY, H.C. D VIER, GROVER S. HARRIS, EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, Oklahoma, a corporation, EXCHANGE NATIONAL COMPANY, a corporation, and JOHN ROGERS, are hereby authorized and permitted to prosecute their appeal from said final decree, order and judgment of October 14, 1930, to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, separate and independent of said SUPERIOR OIL PRODUCING COMPANY, OLD DOMINION TRANSPORTATION COMPANY and MOORE CORPORATION, and without said SUPERIOR OIL PRODUCING COMPANY, OLD DOMINION TRANSPORTATION COMPANY and MOORE CORPORATION, participating in or joining in said appeal.

GIVEN under my hand at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, on this 25 day of October, 1930.

F. E. KENNAMER,
Judge of the District Court of

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

FOURTH

District of

OKLAHOMA

WARRANT

WESTERN DISTRICT

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1930

No. 555 Cont'd:

RETURNED: Filed Oct 25, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

PHILIP M. COOPER and)
ERIE R. BOISER,	Complainants,)
vs.)
TULSA STREET RAILWAY COMPANY, A)
CORPORATION, ALBERT EMANUEL, INC. OFFICE)
SERVICE COMPANY, A CORPORATION, THE SEABOARD)
NATIONAL BANK OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK,)
AND THE ILLINOIS MERCHANTS TRUST COMPANY,)
TRUST & SAVINGS BANK, TRUSTEE, A CORPORATION,)
DAYTON SAVINGS & TRUST COMPANY, TRU TRU,)
A CORPORATION, CHARLES H. BOISER, B. M.)
DO LMR, W. S. CARR, FANNIE S. CARR, DANIEL)
W. ALLAMAN, ALLAMAN, FUNKHOUSER & MURR, A)
CO-PARTNERSHIP COMPOSED OF DANIEL W.)
ALLAMAN, C. A. FUNKHOUSER AND BYRON MURR,)
CELESTINE REIS, HELEN HILBERT, HELEN R. BAILEY,)
OLIVE R. REIS, OLIVER F. BRUCE AND MORRIS)
MCGRATH AND FRANK F. TAYLOR, TRUSTEE,	Defendants.)

No. 575 Cont'd. ✓

WARNING ORDER.

Now on this 25th day of October, 1930, upon motion of Phil M. Davis, Jr., solicitor for complainants in the above entitled cause made in open court, it appearing to the court from the Bill of Complaint and the proceedings heretofore had in this action and from the affidavit of the solicitor for the complainants filed herein on this date that this is an action in equity belonging to and comprised within that class of cases specified in section 57 of the Judicial Code, and is commenced in this District to enforce a legal or equitable lien upon or claim to real property and personal property situated within said District and to remove an encumbrance upon the title thereto, as set forth in said affidavit and said Bill of Complaint, and that each of the following named defendants, to-wit: Albert Emanuel, Albert Emanuel, Inc., a corporation, The Seaboard National Bank of the City of New York, Trustee, The Illinois Merchants Trust Company, Trustee, a corporation, Illinois Trust & Savings Bank, Trustee, Frank F. Taylor, Trustee, Dayton Savings & Trust Company, a corporation, Trustee, W.S. Carr, Fannie S. Carr, Helen R. Bailey, Olive R. Reis, Daniel W. Allaman, and Allaman, Funkhouser & Murr, a co-partnership, composed of Daniel W. Allaman, C.A. Funkhouser and Byron Murr, is not an inhabitant of or found within the said District and has not voluntarily appeared in this action, and that the defendants, Albert Emanuel, and Albert Emanuel, Inc., a corporation, and The Seaboard National Bank of the City of New York, Trustee, a corporation, are citizens, inhabitants and residents of the City of New York, County of New York and State of New York, in the Southern Federal Judicial District thereof; that the defendants, The Illinois Merchants Trust Company, Trustee, a corporation, Illinois Trust & Savings Bank, Trustee, a corporation, and Frank F. Taylor, Trustee, are citizens, inhabitants and residents of the County of Cook, State of Illinois, and the Northern Federal Judicial District of Illinois; and that the defendants, Dayton Savings & Trust Company, W.S. Carr, Fannie S. Carr, Helen R. Bailey, Olive R. Reis, and Daniel W. Allaman, and Allaman, Funkhouser & Murr, a co-partnership composed of D.W. Allaman, C.A. Funkhouser and Byron Murr, as to the individuals composing said partnership are citizens, inhabitants and residents of the City of Dayton, County of Montgomery, State of Ohio, and the Southern Federal Judicial District of the State of Ohio, and that service can be made upon said defendants as provided in section 57 of the Judicial Code. It is now

ORDERED that the said defendants, Albert Emanuel, Albert Emanuel, Inc., a corporation, The Seaboard National Bank of the City of New York, Trustee, The Illinois Merchants Trust Company, Trustee, a corporation, Illinois Trust & Savings Bank, Trustee, Frank F. Taylor, Trustee, Dayton Savings & Trust Company, a corporation, Trustee, W.S. Carr, Fannie S. Carr, Helen R. Bailey, Olive R. Reis, Daniel W. Allaman

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA
ATLANTA, OCTOBER 25, 1930

and Allaman, Funkhouser & Murr, a co-partnership, composed of Daniel W. Allaman, C.A. Funkhouser and Byron Murr, appear, plead, answer or demur to the Bill of Complaint of said complainants herein on or before the 26 day of November, 1930, and in default thereof a decree pro confesso will be rendered against each such defaulting defendant, and the court thereafter will proceed to a hearing and adjudication of said suit as to such defaulting defendant in the same manner as if such defendant had been served with process in this District.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court do issue and deliver a certified copy of this order to the United States Marshal for the Southern District of New York, for each of the defendants, Albert Emanuel, and Albert Emanuel, Inc., a corporation, and The Seaboard National Bank of the City of New York, Trustee, a corporation, and a certified copy of this order to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Illinois, for each of the defendants, The Illinois Merchants Trust Company, Trustee, a corporation, Illinois Trust & Savings Bank, Trustee, a corporation, and Frank F. Taylor, Trustee, and a certified copy to the United States Marshal for the Southern District of Ohio for each of the defendants, Dayton Savings & Trust Company, Trustee, a corporation, W.S. Carr, Fannie S. Carr, Helen R. Bailey, Olive R. Reis, and Daniel W. Allaman, and Allaman, Funkhouser & Murr, a co-partnership composed of D.W. Allaman, C.A. Funkhouser and Byron Murr, and that such certified copy for each such defendant be served upon and delivered to such defendant by the United States Marshal of such absent defendant's district, on or before the 6th day of November, 1930, and that such Marshal make return and proof of such service to be filed with the Clerk of this Court, as provided by law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that certified copies of this order be served on the person or persons in possession or charge of said property, if any there be.

U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE.

RECORDED: Filed Oct 25, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

NOV 1, 1950

NOV 1, 1950

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Monday, October 27th, 1950.

Present: Hon. F. L. Tompkins, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
W. W. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

FLORA WHITEBIRD, et al, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
THE EAGLE LEAD & ZINC CO., et al., Defendants.) No. 178 - Equity.

Now on this 27th day of October, A.D. 1950, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, in the following words and figures as follows:

MANDATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(REAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CERTIFIC:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between Flora Whitebird, et al., Plaintiffs, and The Eagle-Lead Company, et al., Defendants, No. 178 Equity, the decree of the said District Court, in said cause, entered on September 10th, 1948, was in the following words, viz:

"Wherefore, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that said complainants take nothing herein and that said bill of complaint as amended be and the same hereby is dismissed at the cost of the complainants, to which judgment of the court the complainants except and said exceptions are by the court allowed."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Flora Whitebird, et al. agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the February Term, in the year of our Lord One thousand nine hundred and thirty, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said United States District Court and was argued by counsel.

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court, that the decree of the said District Court, in this cause be, and the same is hereby, affirmed, and that The Eagle-Lead Company; Hunt Mining Company; Commonwealth Mining Company; George W. Beck, Jr.; Keltner Mining Company, Whitebird Mining Company; The Childress Lead & Zinc Company; M.L. Lively, Trustee; Underwriters Land Company, Consolidated Lead & Zinc Company; Black Eagle Mining Company, Frank Childress, Trustee; Lihme Zinc Company; Cortez Mining Company; Cortez-King Brand Mining Company; Frank Childress; M.W. Evans; and The Lucky Kid Mining Company, appellees, have and recover against Flora Whitebird, Robert Allen Whitebird, a minor, who sues by Flora Whitebird, his other and next friend; Helen Irene Whitebird, a minor, who sues by Flora Whitebird, her grandmother and next friend; Antoine Greenback, Alphonso Greenback, Amy Greenback Panther, Lula May Greenback Stand;

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, OCTOBER 27, 1930

Mollie Greenback King; John Greenback, a minor, who sues by Alphonso Greenback, his brother and next friend; Woodrow Wilson Greenback, a minor, who sues by Alphonso Greenback, his next friend, all by C. B. Ames, their next friend, appellants, their costs herein.

----April 5, 1930.----

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 25th day of October, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty.

COSTS OF	Appellees	
Clerk,	\$-----	ALBERT TREGO,
Printing Record	\$-----	Clerk of the United States Circuit
Attorney	\$ 20.00	Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.
	\$ 20.00	By H. A. McIntyre
		Deputy Clerk

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 27, 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

W. H. HARRIS

District of

OKLAHOMA

W. H. HARRIS

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, October 14th 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kinnamer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H. E. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN RE: APPLICATION FOR THE RECALL OF MANDATE FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

THE OSAGE OIL & REVENUE COMPANY, et al,)
Plaintiffs)
vs.) No. 224 Equity. ✓
MULLEN OIL COMPANY, et al,)
Defendants)

THE COURT ORDERED:

On this 20th day of October, 1930, this cause regularly came on to be heard on the application of Elm Oil Company, one of the defendants herein, that the mandate of the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit herein be spread of record in this Court and for an order of this Court, directing Gypsy Oil Company, one of its co-defendants, to pay to it six-eighths (6/8ths) of the monies in its hands by reason of the sale of oil, exclusive of royalty oil, from the oil leasehold in controversy herein, to-wit,

The Northeast Quarter (NE¹/₄) of Section Twenty-Three (23), Township Twenty-Two (22) North, Range Ten (10) East, Osage County, Oklahoma,

and it appearing to the Court that said application should be granted,

IT IS ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that said mandate be and it hereby is spread of record in this Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that said Gypsy Oil Company be and it hereby is directed to pay to said Elm Oil Company six-eighths (6/8ths) of the monies now accrued, or hereafter accruing, in its hands by reason of the sale of oil, exclusive of royalty oil, from the oil leasehold above described.

F. E. KINNAMER
United States District Judge.

INDEXED: Filed Oct 28, 1930
H. E. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

MISSOURI STATE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, Plaintiff,)
a corporation,)

vs.)

EDWIN H. ROLLESTON;
MILDRED W. ROLLESTON;
ALBERT HENRY;
THE DAVLEY TRICE EXPERT COMPANY,
a corporation;
ALF WILSON;
REPUBLICAN SUPPLY COMPANY,
a corporation,

Defendants,)

IN EQUITY
No. 465 ✓

ORDER CONFIRMING SPECIAL MASTER'S SALE

And now on this 25th day of October, A.D. 1930, this matter comes on for hearing upon the motion of the plaintiff, the Missouri State

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
SENATE SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TULSA, O. C. T. 28, 1930

Life Insurance Company, a corporation, to confirm the sale of real estate made by Frank E. Duncan, Special Master, said sale being made and held in the above styled and numbered cause on the 23rd day of September, A.D. 1930, and also upon the objections and exceptions to the confirmation of said sale filed herein by the defendant Mildred E. Rolleston, and the plaintiff being present by its attorneys, J.A. Denny and Hoyt & Stephens, and the defendant Mildred E. Rolleston being present in person and by her attorney, Mr. W.H. Thompson, thereupon the Court did hear the evidence of witnesses produced and sworn in open court as to the fairness of said sale, and as to the value of the property sold by said Special Master, and doth continue said cause until the 28th day of October, A.D. 1930, in order to permit the defendant Mildred E. Rolleston to secure a bidder who will make a bid in excess of the amount for which said real estate was sold by the Special Master, and doth in all other respects overrule the exceptions to said sale.

And now on this 28th day of October, A.D. 1930, this matter comes on for further hearing upon said Motion to Confirm the sale had, as aforesaid, and the exceptions thereto, and the defendants not producing any bidder who is willing to pay for the property sold by said Special Master an amount in excess of the bid of Albert Kelly for said property; and the Court being fully advised in the matter, and upon consideration thereof doth find that said Special Master hath in all respects conducted said sale in conformity with law and with the said decree of this Court; that due and legal notice of said sale was given in the manner and form provided in said decree and that on the day fixed in said notice, to-wit, the 23rd day of September, A.D. 1930, said property was sold to Albert Kelly at and for the sum of \$23,000.00, which bid was paid by credit upon the judgment purchased by him from the plaintiff herein, said credit being in the sum of \$22,800.00 and the remainder of said bid being paid in cash.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that said Special Master's sale and the proceedings of said Special Master be, and the same are in all things hereby APPROVED AND CONFIRMED, and the clerk of this court is directed to enter upon the journal of this court that the Court is satisfied of the legality of said sale and that said sale was held in strict conformity to the requirements of said decree, and was in all things fair; and it is FURTHER ORDERED that Frank E. Duncan, as Special Master of this Court, make, execute and deliver to the said purchaser, Albert Kelly, a good and sufficient deed to the premises described in plaintiff's Bill of Complaint, and being the premises so sold by said Special Master.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the said Albert Kelly, the purchaser of said premises, lands and tenements at said sale, as aforesaid, be immediately let into the possession of said premises, and each and every part thereof, and upon do and to be made therefor by the plaintiff, or the said Albert Kelly, the clerk of this court is ordered to issue a writ of Assistance to the United States Marshall for the Northern District of Oklahoma, directing him to place the said purchaser, Albert Kelly, in full possession thereof, and the defendants in this action, with the exception of the said Albert Kelly, and each of said other defendants, and every person who has come into possession of said premises, or any part thereof, under said defendants, or either of them, except the said Albert Kelly, since the commencement of this action, shall upon production of a certified copy of this judgment and decree, immediately deliver the possession thereof to said purchaser, and that the refusal of said defendants, or either of them, or any one in possession of said premises or any part thereof under them, or either of them, as aforesaid, to deliver immediate possession of said premises to the said purchaser shall constitute contempt of this court.

W. H. Thompson
U. S. District Judge.

O.K.
FRANK E. DUNCAN and J. A. DENNY
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

Filed Oct 28, 1930
J. S. Marfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Missouri

Eastern

Case No. 465

FILED, FEB 25 1930

FEBRUARY, OCEANOGRAPHIC, 1930

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF MISSOURI, EASTERN DIVISION.

Missouri State Life Insurance Company, a corporation, Complainant. v. Edwin H. Rolleston, Mildred E. Rolleston, et al., Defendants. No. 465.

ORDER OF REVIVAL OF ACTION AND TO CORRECT.

The above entitled cause coming on regularly to be heard before the Honorable F.W. Kennemer, Judge of the above named court, in the Court Room in the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the 25th day of October, 1930, upon the motion and application of Mildred E. Rolleston and A. A. Rolleston, Administrators of the Estate of Edwin H. Rolleston, deceased, for an order reviving the above entitled cause now pending in this court in the name of said Mildred E. Rolleston and A. A. Rolleston as administrators as aforesaid, and upon the motions of the complainant, Missouri State Life Insurance Company and of Albert Kelly, defendant and cross-complainant, and defendant, The Davey Tree Expert Company, to revive the judgments heretofore rendered in this cause on the 14th day of February, 1930 in favor of said complainant and said cross-complainant, and said defendant, The Davey Tree Expert Company, the said Mildred E. Rolleston and A.A. Rolleston, Administrators, appearing in person and by W.E. Thompson, her Solicitor; the said Missouri State Life Insurance Company by R.L. Stephens and J.A. Denny, Solicitors; and Albert Kelly in person and by J.E. Thrift, Solicitor, and The Davey Tree Expert Company by E.B. Hughes, Solicitor.

And it appearing to the court that defendant, Edwin H. Rolleston, departed this life on or about the 25th day of June, 1930, and that the said Mildred E. Rolleston and A.A. Rolleston are the duly and legally appointed, qualified and acting administrators of the estate of said Edwin H. Rolleston, deceased, and it appearing to the court proper so to do;

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED that said action be, and the same is hereby, revived in the name of Mildred E. Rolleston, and A.A. Rolleston, as Administrators of the Estate of Edwin H. Rolleston, deceased, without prejudice to the proceedings and things herein had and done;

And it further appearing to the court that prior to the death of said Edwin H. Rolleston, to-wit, on the 14th day of February, 1930, this court by decree of that date awarded the Missouri State Life Insurance Company, complainant, judgment against said Edwin H. Rolleston and others in the sum of Twenty-eight Thousand Eight Hundred Thirteen and 85/100 (\$28,813.85) Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of ten per cent per annum from February 14, 1930, and further judgment in the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred Thirty (\$2,530.00) Dollars, attorneys' fees, and at the same time by said decree awarded to said Albert Kelly, cross-complainant, judgment in the sum of Seven Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-nine and 44/100 (\$7,359.44) Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of ten per cent per annum from February 14, 1930, and the further sum of Six Hundred Sixty (\$660.00) Dollars, attorneys's fees, and at the same time by said decree awarded judgment to said The Davey Tree Expert Company for Eight Hundred Seventy-nine and 57/100 (\$879.57) Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from March 15, 1928, and it further appearing to the court by papers duly filed in this cause that the judgments so rendered in favor of said Missouri State Life Insurance Company have heretofore been assigned to said Albert Kelly, and it further appearing to the court that by reason of the death of said Edwin H. Rolleston said judgments, except to the extent the same may be satisfied by foreclosure proceedings had in this cause, have become dormant, and it appearing to the court proper so to do

IT IS ORDERED that the said judgments be, and the same are hereby, revived as against the estate of said Edwin H. Rolleston, deceased, and that the judgments in favor of the Missouri State Life Insurance Company be revived be for the use and benefit of said Albert Kelly, assignee as aforesaid.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, OCTOBER 28, 1950

District of

To which orders the said Missouri State Life Insurance Company, complainant, the said Mildred W. Rolleston, and A.A. Rolleston Administrators of Edwin W. Rolleston, deceased, the said Albert Kelly, defendant, cross-complainant and assignee, and The Dovey Tree Expert Company, defendant, by their said respective solicitors in open court, consent.

F. E. JOHNSON
Judge of the United States
District Court for the
Northern District of Oklahoma.

PROVISED: Filed Oct 28, 1950
H.E. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court 1E

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

BY 9888810H

FILED, OCTOBER 29, 1930

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 29, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Wednesday, October 29th, 1930.

Present: Hon. W.C. Summers, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

American Marcaibo Company, a corporation,	Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
Texas Producing Company, Sylvan S. Price, Fred J. Price, as Executor of the Estate of F.H. Wickett, deceased,	Defendants.) No. 563 Equity. ✓

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

All parties to the above entitled action having on this 29th day of October, 1930, filed herein their stipulation in writing reciting that all matters and things in controversy herein have been compromised and settled and asking that said cause be dismissed with prejudice, at plaintiff's cost,

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED that the above entitled cause be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice at plaintiff's cost.

W. W. KENNEDY
Judge.

O.K.
MERRICK A. WHIPPLE
Solicitor for Plaintiff

OK
RAY S. FELLOWS
Atty for Texas Producing Co.

OK
VILLARD MARTIN
Attorney for S.S. Price

RECORDED: Filed Oct 29, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court J.R.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Walter E. Holmes, et al,	Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.) No. 574 Equity. ✓
)
L.R. Kershaw, Receiver of Muskogee Security National Bank,	Defendant.)

ORDER

Now, on this 29th day of October, 1930, this matter coming on for hearing on defendant's motion for additional time in which to answer, it is by the court:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA OKLAHOMA
OCTOBER 29, 1950

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said motion be, and the same is hereby overruled and the defendant, J.R. Mezukay, Receiver, is hereby given ten days from date hereof, within which to answer in the above entitled action.

F. W. [Name]
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Oct 29, 1950
W.F. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court AS

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

RECEIVED

OCT 30 1930

OCT 30 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, October 20th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. L. Humphrey, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Garfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MONARCH ROYALTY TRUST CO., Plaintiff,

a corporation, Trustee,

-vs-

No. 478 - Equity

WYOMING OIL & RAILWAY CO.,

a corporation,

ORDER REGRANTING LEASE TO WYOMING OIL & RAILWAY CO.

On this 30th day of October, 1930, upon the verified application of J.A. Frates and F.A. Bodovitz, as Receivers of the Oklahoma Union Railway Company, and it being made to appear that the Oklahoma Union Railway Company is the owner of the premises described in the application of file herein and that the lease contract described in said application is the very best obtainable, and that the rental provided therein, to-wit, \$125.00 per month is a fair and adequate rental for the said premises and that the lease contract, a copy of which is attached to said application, is for the best interests of the Oklahoma Union Railway Company and its operations, and for other good cause;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the lease contract made on the 13th day of July, 1930, by J.A. Frates, and F.A. Bodovitz, as Receivers of the Oklahoma Union Railway Company and W.M. Patrick of Tulsa, Oklahoma, for the leasing and letting of the following described premises, to-wit:

Part of Lots 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, Block 1, Calbreath, Colcord and Russell Addition to Red Fork, lying north of present main line of Oklahoma Union Railway, plot being 78' on 26th West Avenue by 125' on 41st Street, (Now an addition to Tulsa, Oklahoma),

for a term and period of 5 years as therein recited, the same is hereby approved and the acts of receivers in making said lease is hereby ratified.

F. L. HUMPHREY,
U. S. District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Oct 30, 1930
H.P. Garfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Erle K. Eby, Complainant,

vs.

Monarch Royalty Corporation, Monarch Royalty Corporation of Oklahoma, Monarch Royalty Corporation of Kansas, Monarch Royalty Corporation of Arkansas, Monarch Royalty Corporation of Louisiana, Monarch Oil & Royalty Corporation of Texas, Generally Royalty Shares Corporation, Viking Royalty Corporation, A.K. Riley, Robert G. Roche, Stanley A. Brander, H.R. Mahaney, G.S. Anderson and E. Brougher, Defendants.

No. 581 Equity

TEMPORARY REGRANTING ORDER

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 31, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, October 24, 1930.

Present: Hon. J. S. Buchanan, Judge, U.S. District Court.
H. P. Garfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

GEORGE A. BROOKS and MARGIE A. YARBROUGH,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 234 - Equity.)
JOHN H. WYLES, Receiver,)
Defendant.)

Now on the 31st day of October, A.D. 1930, it is ordered
by the Court that judgment be rendered for Plaintiff as per journal
entry to be furnished.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

George A. Brooks, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 231 Equity ✓
Clara E. Forbes, Defendant.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now, on this 16th day of September, 1930 this matter came
on for trial on the regular assignment of the docket, and the plaintiff
being present neither in person nor by counsel, and the defendant being
present by her attorneys, Margie A. Yarbrough, and the Court being fully
advised in the premises;

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED
that the above styled case be and the same is hereby dismissed for want of
prosecution.

J. E. HENNINGER
Judge of U.S. District Court
for N. Dist. of Okla.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct 31, 1930
H.P. Garfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
STREET LEVEL BLDG., KANSAS CITY, MO.

FOURTEENTH DAY, OCTOBER TERM, TUESDAY, OCTOBER 28th, A.D. 1930.

PRESENT: Honorable Robert E. Lewis, Senior Circuit Judge, ✓
Honorable John E. Cotteral, Circuit Judge,
Honorable Oris L. Phillips, Circuit Judge,
Honorable George T. McErmott, Circuit Judge,
and other officers as noted on the thirteenth day
of October, A.D. 1930.

Before Honorable John E. Cotteral, Honorable Oris L.
Phillips and Honorable George T. McErmott,
Circuit Judges.

Capasa Polcoat, et al., Appellants, |
vs. |
330 | Appeal from the District
Morbe, the L.F. Wilcox Oil & |
Gas Company, a Corporation, and | Court of the United
the United States, Appellees. | States for the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA TULSA, OKLA. OKLA.

District of

OKLAHOMA
FILED, OCTOBER 31, 1930

This cause came on to be heard on the motion of appellee, United States of America, to docket the cause and dismiss the appeal herein and was submitted to the court.

On consideration whereof, and for good cause shown, it is now here ordered by the court that the said motion be and the same is hereby granted and that the appellee pay docket the cause, instantly, which is accordingly done, and that the appeal in said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed out of this court at the costs of the appellants.

It is further ordered by the court that the clerk of this court forthwith transmit to the clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma a certified copy of this order.

A true copy as of record,

THOMAS A. WATSON
Clerk.

(301)

FILED: Filed Oct 31, 1930
T. W. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court W

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

WESTERN
SESSION

October 1, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Saturday, May 2nd 1st, 1930.

Present: Hon. W. H. Henniger, Judge, U.S. District Court.
W. F. McField, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 291 - Equity. ✓
ROBINSON PACKER CO.)
Defendant.)

Now on this 1st day of October, A.D. 1930, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread mandate of record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

WARRANT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE JUDGMENT OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT,

(CERIAL) TO THE CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA FOR THE DUTY OF RECORDING OF THIS WARRANT.

CHIEF JUSTICE:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between The Maple Picher Lead Company, Plaintiff, and Robinson Packer Company, Defendant, No. 291 Equity, the decree of the said District Court in said cause, entered on September 30, 1929, was in the following words, viz:

Therefore, it is considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed, that the plaintiff is without right to have an injunction or any other remedy or relief; that the bill of complaint be and is hereby dismissed; and that the defendant have and recover its costs."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by The Maple Picher Lead Company agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, full and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the June Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

IN CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this court that the decree of the said District Court, in this cause, be and the same is hereby affirmed, and that Robinson Packer Company, appellee, have and recover of and from The Maple Picher Lead Company, appellant, its costs herein and have execution therefor as at law.

--September 23, 1930.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS, the Honorable CHIEF JUSTICE LEWIS HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 30th day of October, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

COMMISSIONER
GENERAL LAND OFFICE

TULSA, OKLAHOMA, THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 1939

by said grantors to said grantee an undivided 7/32ths interest in and to Lots Four (4) and Seven (7) in Section Thirteen (13), Township Nineteen (19) North, Range Twelve (12) East, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, which deed is duly recorded in Book 709 at page 259 of the records of the office of the County Clerk in and for Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, be and the same is hereby cancelled as a cloud upon the title to said land;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that that certain warranty deed of date of January 20th, 1927, by and between J.C. Hudson as grantor, to L. Hancy, as grantee, whereby there is purported to be conveyed by said grantor to said grantee an undivided 7/32ths interest in and to Lots Four (4) and Seven (7) in Section Thirteen (13), Township Nineteen (19) North, Range Twelve (12) East, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, which deed is duly recorded in Book 690 at page 107 of the records of the office of the County Clerk in and for Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, be and the same is hereby cancelled as a cloud upon the title to said land;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that that certain deed of date of October 23rd, 1927, by and between J.C. Hudson as grantor, to A. Hancy, as grantee, whereby there is purported to be conveyed by said grantor to said grantee all of the right, title and interest of one Sammie Hickory in and to Lots Four (4) and Seven (7) in Section Thirteen (13), Township Nineteen (19) North, Range Twelve (12) East, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, which said deed is duly recorded in Book 659 at page 223 of the records of the office of the County Clerk in and for Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, be and the same is hereby cancelled as a cloud upon the title to said land;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that that certain deed of date of December 5th, 1927, by and between A. Hancy as grantor, to Henry F. Rhodes as grantee, whereby there is purported to be conveyed by said grantor to said grantee an interest in Lots Four (4) and Seven (7) in Section Thirteen (13), Township Nineteen (19) North, Range Twelve (12) East, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, which deed is duly recorded in the records of the office of the County Clerk in and for Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, in Book 666 at page 518, be and the same is hereby cancelled as a cloud upon the title to said land;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that that certain deed of date of July 18th, 1928, by and between Henry F. Rhodes, as grantor, to Helen Webb Keller, as grantee, whereby there is purported to be conveyed by said grantor to said grantee some right, title or interest in and to Lots Four (4) and Seven (7) in Section Thirteen (13), Township Nineteen (19) North, Range Twelve (12) East, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, which deed is duly recorded in Book 767 at page 233 of the records of the office of the County Clerk in and for Tulsa County, Oklahoma, be and the same is hereby cancelled as a cloud upon the title to said land.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Claude Painter Kemp Livingston have and recover of and from M.A. Younkman and D. Seltzer the sum of Thirty Four Thousand Five Hundred Forty One and 46/100 (\$34,541.46) Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent. (8%) per annum from the date hereof, and for the further use of Three Thousand Four Hundred Sixty Four and 14/100 (\$3,464.14) Dollars as attorneys' fees to be taxed as costs, together with the costs of this action; and it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said judgment for said sums be and is a valid lien upon the lands and premises hereinafter described, and is secured by the mortgage set out in the bill of complaint filed in equity cause No. 455, and that said mortgage waives appraisalment;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that if said M.A. Younkman and D. Seltzer, or either of them, fail or six months from the date hereof to pay to the said Claude Painter Kemp Livingston the said sum of Thirty Four Thousand Five Hundred Forty One and 46/100 (\$34,541.46) Dollars, together with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent. (8%) per annum from the date hereof, and all of the costs of this action including attorneys' fees, Paul E. Taliaferro, who is hereby appointed Special Master for that purpose, who shall take his oath as such Special Master before entering upon his duties as such, shall sell in one parcel at public sale, without appraisalment, to the highest and best bidder for cash, except as hereinafter provided, the following described real estate, located in Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, to-wit:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA TULSA, OKLAHOMA SEPTEMBER 1, 1950

Lots Four (4) and Seven (7) in Section Thirteen (13), Township Nineteen (19) North, Range Twelve (12) East, situate in Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, less a tract of land described by notes and bounds as follows: Beginning at the point where the West line of South Boston Avenue, City of Tulsa, strikes the South line of Riverside Drive Addition to the City of Tulsa, thence South twenty-five (25) feet, thence West to the East boundary line of the Arkansas River; thence along the East bank of said River to the South line of said Riverside Drive Addition; thence East along the South line of said addition; to the point of beginning, same being a strip of land twenty-five (25) feet wide and about five hundred and twenty eight (528) feet long;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said sale be held at the West front door of the County Court House of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, on the first day of May, 1951, at the hour of ten o'clock A.M., notice thereof being previously published once a week for at least four (4) weeks prior to such sale in the Tulsa Daily World, which is here adjudged to be a newspaper printed regularly issued and having a general circulation in the County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Marie Estlin Kemp Livingston be permitted to bid at such sale, and that should she be the successful bidder she may apply upon the amount of her bid the amount of the judgment herein rendered in full or pro tanto except as to the costs of the sale and of this action;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that James Harrington, attorney for M.A. Younghan and L. Seltzer, be, and he is, hereby allowed the sum of Two Hundred and Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars as attorney's fees for services in quieting title to the land involved, to be taxed as costs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said Special Master shall apply the proceeds of said sale as follows:

- (a) In the payment of the costs of said sale and of this action, including attorneys' fees and the Special Master's compensation;
- (b) In payment to Marie Estlin Kemp Livingston of all sums of money adjudged to be due her therein, together with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent. (8%) per annum from the date of this decree;
- (c) That the residue, if any there be, be paid to M.A. Younghan and L. Seltzer.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that M.A. Younghan and L. Seltzer, the parties to the above described judgment, do hereby release and decree that M.A. Younghan and L. Seltzer and all persons claiming by, through or under them, or any of them, be, and they are, forever barred and foreclosed of and from all right, title, interest, estate or equity in and to said lands or any part thereof;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the jurisdiction of this court be and it is hereby retained pending the determination of the matter of a decree for deficiency as provided for in Article 10 of the Constitution, and for such other purposes as may properly arise.

Done in open court on the 28th day of September 1950.

U.S. District Court
Tulsa, Oklahoma
BY: J. W. BENTLEY

Filed: Sept 1, 1950
J. W. Bentley, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

TULSA, DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 1930

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

E. STEWART HARLOCK, Plaintiff,
BENJAMIN M. CRISSEL,
J. L. MCCLELLY, HENRY
R. MCGILL, CHARLES B.
MILLARD, PAUL BREMERT,
WILLIAM V. BEYER and
WALTER J. ANOTT, Attorneys,

-vs-

SUPERIOR OIL CORPORATION, a
corporation, SUPERIOR OIL
INDUSTRIES COMPANY, a corpora-
tion, OLD DOMINION TRANSPORTA-
TION COMPANY, a corporation,
ROBERT CORPORATION, a corporation,
W. H. C. COMPANY, a corporation,
W. H. C. COMPANY, B. R. PERRY,
H. G. DEVIES, GROVER C. HARNED,
EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA,
a corporation, EXCHANGE NATIONAL
COMPANY, a corporation, and JOHN
ROGERS, Defendants.

In Equity No. 335.

ORDER ALLOWING AN APPEAL TO SUPERIOR
OIL CORPORATION, W. H. PERRY, H. G.
DEVIES, GROVER C. HARNED, WICK HIGG
KATE, EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, a corporation,
EXCHANGE NATIONAL COMPANY, a corpora-
tion, and JOHN ROGERS, and FIXING THE
AMOUNT OF THE COST BOND.

On this the 1st day of November, 1930, at Tulsa, in the
Northern District of Oklahoma, came on to be heard the petition of the
defendants, Superior Oil Corporation, W. H. Perry, H. G. Davies, Grover C.
Harned, Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Exchange National Company, and
John Rogers, for appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for
the Tenth Circuit from the final decree, order and judgment entered and
rendered herein on the 14th day of October, 1930, the said petition being
presented at the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, to the undersigned, the Honorable
F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the District Court of the United States for the
Northern District of Oklahoma; said petition also asking for an order
fixing the amount of the cost bond which the defendants will be required
to furnish on said appeal. The said defendants also submitted their
several assignments of error.

Said petition for such appeal and cost bond is allowed.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said
petition for appeal, be, and the same is hereby allowed as prayed for,
and said petition for cost bond is also allowed as prayed for.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said defen-
dants give bond on the said appeal in the sum of \$500.00, for costs and
damages on appeal, and that a certified transcript of the record, embracing
all testimony, exhibits, stipulations and proceedings as shall be called
for in the praecipe or praecipes hereafter filed herein, be transmitted
by the Clerk of this Court to the Clerk of the United States Circuit Court
of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States
District Court for the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed Nov 1, 1930
E.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court JER

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA District of OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA SEPTEMBER 11, 1930

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Erle K. Eby, Complainant,)
vs.) No. 861. ✓
Monarch Royalty Corporation,) In Equity.
et al. Defendants.)
O K 11 11

This matter came on for hearing upon the application of H.M. Mahany of the Monarch Royalty Corporation, named defendants in the above styled matter, to modify the restraining order heretofore issued in the case, and it appearing to the Court that counsel and solicitors for the complainant and the named parties aving for relief herein have agreed and stipulated that said restraining order should be modified, and it appearing to the Court that good cause exists why said restraining order should be modified,

It is ORDERED that the restraining order should be and the same is hereby modified with respect H.M. Mahany so that he is and should be permitted to conduct his usual and ordinary business and draw from his bank account without in any manner being restrained except that he is restrained from dealing with any properties or assets of the Monarch Royalty Corporation or the named corporate defendants.

It is further ORDERED that the defendant Monarch Royalty Corporation be and it is hereby permitted to pay the current payroll and ordinary and usual expenses according to the exhibit attached hereto, and to such extent the restraining order is modified.

The bond on the restraining order is hereby fixed in the sum of \$5,000, the same to be filed on or before the 5th day of October, 1930; the restraining order is continued in force pending the filing of such bond upon agreement of all parties.

W. J. ...
Judge.

Approved:

G. J. Middle
C. C. Cookley
Clerk, William C. Lynch

Attest: Edward J. ...
P. ...
U.S. District Court JER

Erle K. Eby, Complainant,)
vs.) No. 861. ✓
Monarch Royalty Corporation,)
et al. Defendants.)

Now on this 11th day of November, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be closed to November 8th, 1930 on satisfaction of Defendants.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

FILED NOV 10 1930

Court convened at 10:30 adjournment to 11:00, November 3rd, 1930.

Present: Hon. A.M. Kinnaman, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.B. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the Court in accordance with the rules of the Court, do hereby adjourn to-wit:

H. B. WARFIELD, Clerk,)
vs.)
CITY OF HUBLER, OKLA.) Defendant.)
No. 354 - Equity. V

Now on this 3rd day of November, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that hearing on petition for re-hearing be taken under advisement until November 26th, 1930.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Hanna Anderson.)
Complainant.)
-vs-)
G.H. Beck, as Trustee for Jack)
Roberts, Jack Roberts, D.H.)
Linebaugh, Paul Williams, Melvin)
Thomason, Bebe Stevens Hoaglin,) EQUITY NO. 568 ✓
Wallace Cook, C.W. Hoodhouse, as)
purported guardian of the person)
and estate of Hanna Anderson, an)
alleged incompetent, G.H. Horner,)
Benzie Menchochee and T.H. Ottosen.)
Defendants.)

ORDER

On consideration of the Motion of the complainant herein, by her solicitors of record, F.M. Goodwin and Merrick A. Whipple, for an order to amend the Amended and Supplemental Bill of Complaint filed herein on October 10, 1930, instant, for good cause shown.

It is, ORDERED that same be, and the same is hereby granted the said complainant to amend her Amended and Supplemental Bill of Complaint in the manner and form set forth in said written Motion filed herein this day to amend.

Done this 3rd day of November, 1930, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

F. E. KINNAMAN
District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Nov 3, 1930
H.B. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Chas. B. Rogers,)
Plaintiff,)
-vs-)
Samuel A. Perry, A.W. Lucas,)
Attorney in fact for Samuel A. Perry,)
H.A. Andrews, Traveling Auditor in)
Charge of Quapaw Indian Agency, J.)
George Wright, Superintendent of Osage)
Indian Agency, C.A. Beck, John A.)
Robinson, Executor of Estate of James)
F. Robinson, deceased, Catherine)
Robinson, widow of James P. Robinson,)
No. 570 - Equity. ✓

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NO. TWENTY EIGHTY SEVENTH DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA TULSA, OKLAHOMA NOVEMBER 3, 1930

John A. Robinson, Lillie O. Wills and Gladys House, as heirs at law of James W. Robinson, deceased. Defendants. No. 570-Equity.

ORDER

Upon oral motion of Counsel for defendants above named, and for good cause shown, it hereby ORDERED that the defendants above named be, and they are hereby granted leave to file their answer and cross-petition to the Bill of Complaint of plaintiff, out of time and instanter.

Dated this 3rd day of November, 1930.

U. S. DISTRICT COURT District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Nov 3, 1930 J. W. Garfield, Clerk U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Sand Springs Home, a corporation, Complainant, -vs- Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a corporation, Respondent. Equity No. 582.

ORDER APPOINTING A RECEIVER

The motion for the appointment of a receiver in this cause having been presented upon bill duly verified by the complainant, and the court finding that under the allegations of said bill a Receiver should be appointed for said respondent corporation and all its properties, it is ordered by the court:

I.

That Howell S. Hays of Tulsa, Oklahoma, be, and he is hereby, appointed temporary Receiver of the Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a corporation, and all and singular the real and personal properties of the Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a corporation, including its real estate located in Section 14, Township 19 North, Range 11 West, Meridian 1, Oklahoma and including its rolling mill, furnaces, mills and machinery, supplies, finished and semi-finished material and other supplies, and property used in the operation of said mill, and all other personal property of every kind and description of the said Tulsa Rolling Mills Company.

II.

The said Receiver, before entering upon the performance of his duties, shall execute a bond, with sureties, to be approved as to terms and sufficiency by the Judge of this Court, in the sum of \$5000.00, for the faithful discharge of his duties in the premises.

III.

Upon filing such bond by said Receiver, he shall proceed to take possession of all and singular the premises and property as hereby appointed temporary Receiver, keeping the premises and property, both real and personal, in a good state of preservation and repair; to employ a caretaker therefor; to pay all taxes on the premises that may be due and payable, as they become due and payable; to defend all actions that may hereafter be brought against the said Receiver, or against him as such Receiver, and to pay the expenses of such defense, and also the expense of all disbursements connected with the exercise of said receivership; to do no act that may be a fraud and wrong to the complainant; to receive the corporate organization and franchise of the company until the further order of this Court, and to buy and defend the same; to execute all orders of the Court, of collection and delivery, ordered by this Court; to collect all notes, bills receivable and other evidences of indebtedness due to the said company; and to do all other things that may be required of him in the premises.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Oklahoma

NOVEMBER 3, 1930

IV.

The Court reserves the right to make such other orders in the pendency of this suit and receivership as may be necessary and requisite.

V.

The said respondent, and all persons whatever, do, and they are hereby, strictly enjoined and enjoined to do, that they surrender to the said Receiver, and to the said Receiver he is hereby appointed temporary Receiver, under the penalty attached by law to disobedience, and that the said respondent company forthwith deposit all moneys and available balances now in its possession or control, in the hands of said Receiver.

It is further ordered that the hearing for appointment of a permanent Receiver be, and the same is hereby, set at 9:00 o'clock A.M., November 8th, 1930, at the Federal Court room in the city of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and that notice of such hearing be served upon the proper filing of said respondent company, and 30 notices of such hearing be served upon stockholders of said respondent corporation at their last known addresses as appearing on the books of said corporation, notifying said stockholders of said corporation to appear at said time and place and show cause why the order appointing temporary Receiver herein should not be made permanent; that said notice be given by delivering or mailing a copy of this order.

F. W. ESTERMAN
United States District Judge.

W.F. 38: Filed Nov 3, 1930
W.F. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court OK

Court adjourned until November 5, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of Oklahoma
 COUNTY, NOVEMBER 5, 1930

IN RE: HEARSAY OF P. J. WRIGHT FOR THE
 PARTIAL OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Hanna Anderson, Complainant.)
 -vs-)
 O. L. Pock, as Trustee for Jack)
 Roberts, Jack Roberts, E. L.)
 Hinchey, Paul Williams,)
 W. Carson, Jesse Stevens Goodwin,) Complainants.)
 Wallace Cook, C. W. Goodhouse, as)
 purported guardian of the person)
 and estate of Hanna Anderson, an)
 alleged incompetent, C. R. Horner,)
 Vernie Monchohee and T. J. Osteron.)
 Defendants.)
 and)
 United States of America.)

ORDER OF THE COURT AS COMPLAINANT.

The subpoena in the above entitled cause having been di-
 rected to C. R. Horner, having been returned, which return has been filed,
 and it appearing therefrom that the said subpoena was duly served on C. R.
 Horner, the defendant herein, and no appearance having been entered on the
 part of said defendant, C. R. Horner, or motion to dismiss, or plea, or
 answer filed on the part of said defendant, C. R. Horner, although such
 appearance should have been entered or pleading filed on or before the 5th
 day of November, 1930, there being the 30th day after the service of the
 subpoena excluding the day of service.

THEREFORE, on motion of T. M. Goodwin and Merrick A. Linton,
 solicitors for the complainant.

It is ORDERED and DECREED that the amended and supplemented
 Bill of Complaint filed herein be taken as confessed as to the said C. R.
 Horner, defendant herein.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 5th day of November, 1930.

F. S. McMAHER
 District Judge.

FILED: Filed Nov 5, 1930
 W. R. Garfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court

IN RE: HEARSAY OF THE DISTRICT COURT IN RE FOR
 THE PARTIAL OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Wyle K. Eby, Complainants,)
 Susan E. Parker,)
 O. L. Lavender, and)
 C. E. Rucker,)
 vs.)
 Monarch Royalty Corporation,) No. 581 Equity ✓
 Monarch Royalty Corporation of Oklahoma,)
 Monarch Royalty Corporation of Kansas,)
 Monarch Royalty Corporation of Louisiana,)
 Monarch Royalty Corporation of Arkansas,)
 General Royalty Shares Corporation,)
 Viking Royalty Corporation,)
 A. J. Wiley, Andrew J. Barrett,)
 Robert S. Roche, Stanley A. Brander,)
 and H. R. Mahaney, Defendants.)

ORDER

Upon application of Susan E. Parker, O. L. Lavender, and C. E.
 Rucker, they are hereby given permission of intervening herein by filing

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, OKLAHOMA CITY, NOVEMBER 6, 1930

claims for work and labor be, and they are hereby, declared to be preferred claims against the assets in the hands of the receiver prior to all other claims asserted against said property.

P. H. FORTIN
District Judge.

Filed Nov 6, 1930
H. J. Garfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Edward Larimer Stone, a corporation, Complainant,

-vs-

Case No. 533.

Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a corporation, Defendant.

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER OF THE COURT
TEMPORARILY

It appearing to the court upon petition of the receiver heretofore appointed herein, that said receiver has in hands, lease property delivered to him as such receiver, approximately 1500 tons of pig iron and steel products which can be delivered upon orders already accepted, at a price agreed upon by the Tulsa Rolling Mills Company prior to the appointment of the receiver herein, and that it is to the best interest of all persons concerned that such property be sold and converted into cash, and it further appearing that it is necessary, in order to deliver said property, that receiver maintain for a short time a force of warehousemen and a small clerical force in the office in order to ship and bill said stock and products on hand and in order to close up the books; and it further appearing that it is necessary to employ watchmen, and that the receiver be authorized to pay the wages and salaries due the persons retained, as above set forth, and it further appearing that the order appointing receiver herein did not designate any depository of receiver's funds,

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that said Edward Larimer Stone, temporary receiver herein, do, and he is hereby authorized to do, maintain a sufficient force of warehousemen, watchmen, clerical and watchmen, and sufficient clerical force to properly ship and bill and collect for materials so sold, and delivered, and that said receiver be authorized to sell said finished material on hand on orders already on file for the same at the price contracted for by said corporation prior to the appointment of receiver.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, do, and it is hereby designated as a depository in which to deposit funds coming into the hands of the receiver, and that the receiver be under no liability for funds so deposited in said bank, and that all such funds and thereon interest be held subject to the order of the court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said receiver do, and he is hereby, authorized to pay out of the funds in his hands, or those coming into his hands, all wages and salaries of laborers and clerical force which he retains in his employment under this order.

Dated this 6th day of November, 1930.

P. H. Fortin
District Judge.

Filed Nov 6, 1930
H. J. Garfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

District of OKLAHOMA

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, November 7th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. District Court. W.P. Garfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Hanna Anderson. Complainant.)
-vs-)
O.H. Peck, as Trustee for Jack)
Roberts, Jack Roberts, D.H.)
Linebaugh, Paul Williams, Melvin)
Thomason, Bebe Stevens Hoaglin,)
Wallace Cook, C.W. Rookhouse, as)
purported guardian of the person)
and estate of Hanna Anderson, an)
alleged incompetent, G.R. Horner,)
Beenie Henechochee and T.H. Ottosen.)
Defendants.)
and)
United States of America. Intervenor.)

Equity No. 339.

ORDER

This cause came on this day to be heard before the Honorable F.E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, upon the verified Amended and Supplemental Bill of Complaint, as amended, of the complainant above named, for a temporary injunction against the defendants, Jack Roberts, D.H. Linebaugh, Paul Williams, Melvin Thomason, Bebe Stevens Hoaglin, Wallace Cook, Aggie O. Peck, Executrix of the Estate of O. H. Peck, deceased, C.W. Rookhouse, as purported guardian of the person and estate of Hanna Anderson, an alleged incompetent, and G.R. Horner, and each of them, and their respective servants, agents and attorneys, as prayed for in said Amended and Supplemental Bill of Complaint, as amended; and also upon the Petition of Intervention of the United States of America, for a temporary injunction against the defendants last above mentioned, and each of them, and their respective servants, agents and attorneys, as prayed for in said petition of Intervention; and also upon the Motion of the defendants, Jack Roberts, D.H. Linebaugh, Paul Williams, Melvin Thomason, Bebe Stevens Hoaglin and Wallace Cook, to dismiss said Amended and Supplemental Bill of Complaint;

And the complainant, Hanna Anderson, appearing by her solicitors of record, F.M. Goodwin and Merrick A. Whipple, and the intervener, the United States of America, appearing by A.E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for this judicial district, and the defendants, Jack Roberts, D.H. Linebaugh, Paul Williams, Melvin Thomason, Bebe Stevens Hoaglin and Wallace Cook, appearing by their solicitors of record herein, D.H. Linebaugh and Paul Williams, and the defendant, C.W. Rookhouse, as purported guardian of the person and estate of Hanna Anderson, an alleged incompetent, appearing by his solicitor of record herein, G.R. Horner, and the defendant, G.R. Horner, appearing in person, the Court proceeded to hear the arguments of the above named solicitors on the applications for temporary injunctions, as prayed, and the said Motion to Dismiss.

At the conclusion of the arguments, the Court announced that said applications for temporary injunctions and the Motion to Dismiss, would be taken under consideration by the Court and that pending a determination thereof, the Court would issue its temporary restraining order against the defendants hereinbefore named, in the tenor as hereinafter ordered, and that the solicitors for the respective litigants herein would be given five (5) days from date hereof in which to file with the Court memorandum briefs upon the questions presented at this hearing.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

FOURTHEN
DISTRICT SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA
District of OKLAHOMA
NOVEMBER 7, 1930

And the solicitors for the complainant having consented to the vacation of the orders for decree pro confesso against the defendant, C.R. Horner, entered herein on November 5th, 1930.

NOW, WHEREFORE, it is

ORDERED that the order for decree pro confesso taken and entered on the 5th day of November, 1930, against the defendant, C.R. Horner, be, and the same is hereby vacated and set aside, and said defendant, C.R. Horner, be, and he is hereby given three (3) days from date hereof in which to plead or answer herein; and it is further

ORDERED that the defendants, Jack Roberts, et al., Winebaugh, Paul Williams, Melvin Thomason, Bebe Stevens Hopkin, Wallace Cook, Maggie M. Peck, Executrix of the estate of O.W. Peck, deceased, and the successor in trust of said O.W. Peck, as Trustee for Jack Roberts, and C. L. Goodhouse, as purported guardian of the person and estate of Hanna Anderson, an alleged incompetent, and C.R. Horner, and each of them, and their respective servants, agents and attorneys, be, and they are hereby enjoined and restrained from alienating, conveying, transferring, assigning, encumbering or in any manner disposing of or further dealing with an adversary claim the right, title, interest and estate of the complainant, Hanna Anderson, as asserted and claimed by her, in and to all the real and personal property belonging to the estate of Lena Ahola Alexander, deceased, pending the further hearing of the court upon the applications of complainant and intervenor for temporary injunctions; and that the time fixed for the further hearing of said applications for temporary injunctions is 9:30 o'clock A.M., on the 17th day of November, 1930, in the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the United States District Court here, at which time and place the court will determine whether injunctions issue, as prayed, pending this litigation.

Done this 7th day of November, 1930.

W. H. HILL
United States District Judge.

Attest: Filed Nov 7 1930
E.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, IN SENATE CHAMBERS, NOVEMBER 8, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment on Friday, November 7th, 1930.

Present: Hon. J.S. Chandler, Judge, U.S. District Court. H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and reported, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

United States, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 485 Equity.
Myrtle M. Hale, Defendant.)

APPEARANCE: ...

Now on this 8th day of November, 1930, the plaintiff in the above cause having applied to the Court for an order enlarging and extending the time in which to prepare and serve record, and said appeal having been heretofore regularly allowed, and it being shown to the Court that plaintiff should be allowed an additional 60 days in which to prepare and serve a record herein;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that said plaintiff, the United States be and is hereby allowed an extension of 60 days in addition to the 60 days allowed on September 14, 1930, to prepare and serve a record herein and lodge its appeal in the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit.

P. B. HARRINGTON
JUDGE.

O.K.
A. E. WILLIAMS
Assist U.S. Atty.

RECORDED: Filed Nov 8 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

FRANK M. RBY, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 581 Equity.
MONARCH ROYALTY CORP.,)
ET AL., Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of November, A.D. 1930, there comes on for hearing motion of defendant Monarch Royalty Corporation for dismissal. After being advised in the premises said motion is, by the Court, overruled, and exceptions allowed. Further hearing continued until 1:30 P.M.

And now on this same day at 1:30 P.M. it is ordered by the Court that leave be and the same is hereby given to amend service to speak the truth. It is further ordered that temporary Receiver be appointed and O.L. Rider appointed Special Master, all as per Journal entry to be furnished later.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 TULSA, OKLAHOMA
 DISTRICT of OKLAHOMA
 TULSA, OKLAHOMA
 SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 1930

H. D. MASON, Plaintiff,
 vs. No. 561 - Equity.
 MONARCH ROYALTY CORP.,
 ET AL., Defendants.)

Now on this 8th day of November, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the Clerk enter the appearance of H.D. Mason to represent all corporations herein except the General Royalty Shares Corporation, and that H.D. Mason consents to the appointment of Receivers herein.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

H. D. MASON, Complainant,
 -vs-
 Monarch Royalty Corporation, Monarch Royalty Corporation of Oklahoma, Monarch Royalty Corporation of Kansas, Monarch Royalty Corporation of Arkansas, Monarch Royalty Corporation of Louisiana, Monarch Oil & Royalty Corporation of Texas, General Royalty Shares Corporation Viking Royalty Corporation, A.K. Riley, Robert S. Roche, Stanley A. Brander, H.K. Mahaney, C.S. Anderson and E. Brougher, Defendants.)
 No. 561 - Equity.

ORDER APPOINTING RECEIVERS

Now on this the 8th day of November, 1930, this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of complainant for the appointment of a receiver for the defendant companies.

Complainant appeared by his attorneys, Marley & Marley, C.M. Riddle and Stuart, Cookley & Coe. Defendants appeared by their counsel, Mason, Williams, Lynch, and the court having examined the bill of complaint which is duly verified, and having found that the allegations of said bill are sufficient in law and fact for the appointment of a receiver of the several Monarch Royalty companies within the jurisdiction of this court, as provided by the Judicial Code, and it further appearing that the defendants make no defense to said bill, and counsel for defendants having stated in open court that they had come to the conclusion that a receiver should be appointed, the court therefore finds that receivers should be appointed for the companies, and assets and properties of said companies, within the jurisdiction of this court, and for such properties and assets, and defendant companies as are beyond the territorial jurisdiction of this court, but within its jurisdiction in the appointment of receivers by reason of the provisions of the Judicial Code.

IT IS ORDERED, that JAS. A. MARRIS and GEORGE E. REASON, both of Tulsa, Oklahoma, be and they are hereby, appointed, joint receivers of the Monarch Royalty Corporation, a Delaware corporation, and of the Monarch Royalty Corporation of Oklahoma, a Delaware corporation, and of all the assets and real and personal property, and all other property of every kind and description of the said corporations.

1. That Jas. A. Marris and George E. Reason of Tulsa, Okla, be, and they are hereby, appointed, joint receivers of the Monarch Royalty Corporation, a Delaware corporation, and of the Monarch Royalty Corporation of Oklahoma, a Delaware corporation, and of all the assets and real and personal property, and all other property of every kind and description of the said corporations.

2. As to the Monarch Royalty Corporation of Kansas, the Monarch Royalty Corporation of Oklahoma, the Monarch Royalty Corporation of Louisiana, the Monarch Oil & Royalty Corporation of Texas, and the Viking Royalty Corporation, the court hereby appoints said receivers as to said property of such last named corporations as is within the jurisdiction of this court, specifically to conserve the power and right to collect said receivers for all the assets of the said last named corporations if such assets are within the territorial jurisdiction of this court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Oklahoma

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 15, 1934

3. The said receivers, before entering upon the performance of their duties as such under this order, do each of them severally execute a joint bond with sureties to be approved as to form and sufficiency by a judge of this court, and file with the clerk thereof, in the sum of Twenty Five Thousand Dollars, for the faithful discharge of his duties in the premises.

4. Upon filing such bond the said receivers shall succeed to the management and control of the premises whereof they are appointed receivers; they shall cause to be run and operated the said operations and properties, in the state are now operated, keeping the premises and properties, both real and personal, in good condition and repair, to the end that said properties may be operated efficiently, and with safety to the public; they, as such receivers, to have authority to employ, pay and discharge from time to time in their discretion all needful laborers, servants, agents, attorneys and counsel; to purchase and pay for all needful material and supplies; to also from time to time in their best discretion all needful and proper arrangements with other persons and corporations for the interchange of business; to pay all bills and accounts of the said company and appointed receivers that are due and payable, or to become due and payable during their receivership; to prosecute and defend without the further order of this court all existing actions by or against said company; and to defend all actions that may hereafter be brought against the said company or against themselves as such receivers by the permission of this court, and to pay the expenses of such prosecution and defense and also the expenses and disbursements of the plaintiff in and about the appointment of the said receivers; to use the name of the said company in the prosecution of all such actions that they may find it proper or necessary in their discretion to bring, maintain and defend, with full power to compromise, adjust and settle in their best discretion all such actions, suits, or controversies now existing, or that may hereafter arise; to do whatever may be needful and proper to maintain and preserve the corporate organization and franchises of the company until the further orders of this court, and to pay and expend such sum and no more for that purpose as may be hereafter on application and hearing ordered by this court; to retain any and all securities for the loan of money, if any there be, if it shall be for the interest of the trust hereby reposed in the said receivers so to do, but not otherwise.

5. As soon as may be after the said receivers have entered upon the performance of their duties they shall make a true, full and perfect inventory of all and singular the real and personal property of every kind and description whereof they are appointed receivers, and which may come into their possession, and file the same with the clerk of this court, and due notice of such filing to be given to the plaintiff's solicitors. Said receivers shall keep full, true and accurate accounts of all and singular their acts and doings in the premises; render and file with the clerk of this court such accounts within thirty days after the expiration of their receivership and serve copies thereof upon plaintiff's solicitors.

6. All moneys coming into the hands of the said receivers, or either of them, shall be by them deposited in one or more safe banks of deposit within the state of Oklahoma, to be approved by this court or a judge thereof, to the joint credit of the receivers, to be thence drawn out on their joint order, or on the order of an agent or attorney to be by them agreed upon.

7. The said receivers, if exercising due prudence and caution in the selection thereof, shall not be responsible for the wrongful acts of their servants and agents.

8. The said receivers shall not, nor shall either of them, in any cause incur any personal or individual liabilities in the operation of the said business, or otherwise in the premises by reason of any act or thing done by them, or either of them as receivers, or by their servants, agents or attorneys, the said receivers respectively acting in good faith and in the exercise of their best discretion. Said receivers respectively shall in no case be responsible jointly for the acts of each other but shall be responsible only severally, each one for his own acts.

9. All applications for interlocutory order or relief in this action by or on behalf of any party thereto, or the receiver therein, shall be made on notice by the moving party to the party or parties of at least ten days, exclusive of the day of service, and on due proof of personal service of notice, unless the notice hereby required be waived by writing.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

WESTERN DISTRICT, DISTRICT NO. 10, 1930

Appointment of receiver of Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, including order for bond, with sureties, to be approved as to form and sufficiency by the judge of this Court, and file the same with the Clerk thereof, in the sum of \$20000.00 for the faithful discharge of his duties in the premises; and upon the filing of such bond by such receiver, he shall proceed to take possession of all and singular the premises whereof he is hereby appointed receiver, keeping the premises in the utmost order and repair, in a good state of repair, and to do whatever may be needful and proper to maintain and preserve the corporate organization and franchises of the respondent company until the further order of this court, and to pay and expend such sums for that purpose as may be hereafter, on application and hearing, ordered by this court; to collect all notes, bills receivable and other evidences of indebtedness due said respondent corporation, the court reserving the right to make such other orders during the pendency of this suit and proceedings as may be deemed proper and equitable; that said receiver, appointed, and all persons claiming to have any interest therein, be, and they are hereby, lawfully commanded and enjoined peacefully to deliver up and surrender to the said receiver, all and singular the premises whereof he is hereby appointed permanent receiver, under the penalty attached by law to disobedience, and that the said respondent company forthwith deposit all moneys and available balances now in its possession or control, in the hands of said receiver.

Done in open court this the 8th day of November, 1930.

F. L. HANFORD
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Nov 8 1930
W.P. Garfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

David Morinus Home, a corporation, Complainant,
-vs-) Equity No. 582. ✓
Tulsa Rolling Mills Company,)
a corporation, Respondent.)

O R D E R

It appearing to the court, upon application of the receiver heretofore appointed herein, that prior to the appointment of receiver herein respondent corporation had ordered an angle straightener and bender at the cost of \$1325.00, plus freight, and that said angle straightener and bender is now ready for delivery; and it further appearing to the court that said respondent corporation had theretofore paid on the purchase price of said angle straightener and bender the sum of \$500.00, and that there is now due on the same the sum of \$825.00, and the further sum of \$308.80, freight charges; and it further appearing to the court that it is necessary for said receiver to have said angle straightener and bender for use in finishing the products of said respondent corporation now on hand, and it further appearing that said angle straightener and bender is of a value in excess of the amount due on same, and that the assets of the estate will be increased thereby,

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said receiver be, and he is hereby authorized to complete said contract of purchase for said angle straightener and bender, and to pay for same in the sum of \$825.00, balance due on the purchase price, and the further sum of \$308.80, the amount of freight charges due on said angle straightener and bender, and that said sum be paid out of the funds which are

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

WEST VIRGINIA, 1930

That said case was adjourned to the 10th day of November 1930.

The Court: J. W. Warfield, Judge, U.S. District Court.
J. W. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and ordered:

THE STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
Paul Mason, Berdenno, et al.,)
Defendants.)

Paul Mason, Berdenno, et al., by
Lottis Berdenno Bush, the Guardian,
and Lottis Berdenno Bush, Guardian
of the estate of Paul Mason Berdenno,
Defendants.

No. 565 - Equity.

James Explorations, Incorporated,
corporation organized under the laws
of the State of New York, et al.,
Plaintiff, vs. Paul Mason, et al.,
Defendants.

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS.

This cause came on to be heard at this Court on the 10th day of November, 1930, upon consideration thereof on the 10th day of November, 1930, the Honorable Franklin Monnener, District Judge, announced his decision, and caused a minute thereof to be entered as follows:

"This cause having heretofore been submitted to the Court for its consideration and decision on defendants' motion to dismiss bill of complaint; the Court having duly considered the same and being fully advised in the premises, It is ordered that said motion of defendants to dismiss the bill of complaint be and the same is hereby denied and the defendant is allowed an exception."

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants' motion to dismiss be denied and that defendant be allowed twenty (20) days to answer plaintiffs' bill of complaint. Plff. to have 10 days hereafter to reply. W.V.

F. W. WARDEN
District Judge

O. J. as to form.

W. W. WARDEN
Attorney for Plaintiffs.

RECORDED: Filed Nov 10 1930
J. W. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court W.V.

J. W. WARDEN, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 565 - Equity.
Paul Mason, et al., Defendants.)

That on this 10th day of November, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that statement of evidence be and the same is hereby approved and ordered filed.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

EASTERN
DISTRICT OF

District of
MISSISSIPPI

OKLAHOMA
NOV. 10, 1930

JAMES H. HAY, Plaintiff,)

vs.)

UNITED STATES CUSTOMS AND
REVENUE SERVICE, et al., Defendants.)

No. 581 - Equity. ✓

Now on this 10th day of November, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by
the Court that the bond of James H. Hay and George W. Ransom,
Receivers, be and the same is hereby approved.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
NOVEMBER 11, 1930

to which was adjourned to adjournment Wednesday, November 13, 1930.

Present: Hon. J. M. McNamee, Judge, U.S. District Court.
W. W. Harfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the said within proceedings were read and approved,
S - 18:

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
IN RE RECEIVERSHIP OF THE ASSETS OF

and herein as a corporation,
Complainant,
-vs-
Respondent.
No. 522

ORDER OF THE COURT

It appearing to the court from the application of the Receiver heretofore appointed herein that prior to his appointment the Respondent Corporation had entered into a contract of purchase for certain angle rolls at the cost of \$5875.00 plus freight and that said angle rolls are now ready for delivery, and it further appearing to the court that said Respondent Corporation has heretofore paid on the purchase price of said angle rolls the sum of \$350.00 and that there is now due on the purchase price the sum of \$5375.00 and freight charges in the amount of \$400.00, and it further appearing to the court that said angle rolls are of a value considerably in excess of the amount due on the purchase price and that the assets of the Respondent Corporation will be increased by the completion of the contract of purchase by the Receiver, and it further appearing to the court that said Respondent Corporation had entered into a contract to purchase six small rolls for finishing mills for the sum of \$485.00 plus freight prior to the appointment of the Receiver herein and that said rolls are now ready for delivery with draft attached to bill of lading and it further appearing that said rolls are necessary for use in the operation in the finishing mill for the purpose of finishing the products now on hand in order to fill orders heretofore accepted by the Respondent Corporation,

Now, therefore, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Receiver be, and he is hereby authorized to complete the contract of purchase for said angle rolls, and to pay for the same \$5875.00 balance due on the purchase price and freight charges due thereon in the amount of \$400.00, out of funds now in the hands of the Receiver or that may hereafter come into the hands of the Receiver and,

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Receiver be, and he is hereby authorized to complete the contract of purchase for six small rolls for finishing mill and to pay for same in the sum of \$485.00 plus freight out of funds now in the hands of the Receiver or that may hereafter come into the hands of said Receiver,

Dated this 11th day of November, 1930.

F. B. HENNING
U. S. District Judge.

W. W. Harfield, Clerk

Filed Nov 12, 1930
W. W. Harfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until November 13, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

CENTRAL DISTRICT of
COLUMBIA, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, November 18th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.M. Kennerly, Judge, U.S. District Court.
H.P. Garfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN RE RECEIVED AS PART OF THE ESTATE OF DANIEL A. PERRY.
DISTRIBUTION.

Wm. A. Rogers, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 570 Equity. ✓
Daniel A. Perry, et al., Defendants.)

- O R D E R -

For good cause shown, it is hereby ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff herein be granted an additional fifteen (15) days in which to file reply to the answer of the defendant, Daniel A. Perry.

W. A. HENDERSON
JUDGE.

Filed Nov 18 1930
H.P. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court J. D.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA, 1930

... adjournment ... 1930.

Present: ... Judge, U.S. Dist. Court. ... Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

... COURT TO ...
... Plaintiff, :
... IN DEBIT 465 ✓
... Defendants.:

On this 24 day of November, 1930, the above entitled matter came on to be heard before me, the undersigned, Judge of the Northern District for the State of Oklahoma on the oral application of Frank M. Duncan, Special Master, in said cause, asking that this Court should enter an order herein, directing the Clerk of this Court to pay to the Plaintiff News of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, costs of publication herein.

It appears to this court that the Notice of sale of the property involved in this action was published in said Plaintiff News of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, and that on the 24 day of September 1930, the Special Master deposited costs in the office of the Court Clerk, which to pay said costs for said publication and that funds are now available in the office of the Court Clerk with which to pay said publication.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Court Clerk pay to the Plaintiff News of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, the costs incurred in the publication of said Notice of Sale, being in the sum of \$68.25, as shown by statement heretofore filed in the office of the Court Clerk.

SO ORDERED this 24 day of November, 1930.

F. L. ...
Judge.

FILED: Filed Nov 24 1930
F. L. ...
U.S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

... Plaintiff)
-vs-) No. 476 - Equity ✓
... Defendant)

ORDER OF RECEIVERS TO SETTLE CLAIMS.

On this 24th day of November, 1930, upon the verified application of J.A. Prater and M.L. Ledovitz on file herein, for the approval of all expenses, settle debts and adjustments of claims for damages and amounts against Oklahoma Union Railway Company, and it being made known to me that the settle debts set forth in said application are for the best interest of Oklahoma Union Railway Company and are paid and just settle debts of said company;

IT IS, FOR THE SAID COURT ORDERED that J.A. Prater and M.L. Ledovitz, Receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway Company, be and they are hereby authorized and empowered to compromise the claim of ...

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Oklahoma

At the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 14th day of May, 1933.

It is hereby recalled and decreed, and for other good cause shown;

That J.A. Frates and F.L. Ledwith, Receivers of the Oklahoma Union Railway Company, Inc., be and they are hereby authorized and empowered to enter into a contract with W.L. Bryan upon the terms and in the form as attached to application on file herein;

That J.A. Frates and F.L. Ledwith, Receivers of the Oklahoma Union Railway Company, Inc., be and they are hereby authorized and empowered to enter into a contract with W.L. Bryan upon the terms and in the form as attached to application herein;

It is further ordered that J.A. Frates and F.L. Ledwith, Receivers of the Oklahoma Union Railway Company, Inc., be and they are hereby authorized and empowered to sell to Standard Fuel Company 30 tons of 70 cent coking coal, together with full complement of accessories at \$25.00 per ton; that said receivers shall allow Standard Fuel Company 10 days free and net delivery of said coal in Tulsa, Oklahoma, and that said receivers shall invoice said coal within said time period;

It is further ordered that the sale of 30 tons of 70 cent coking coal to Standard Fuel Company by J.A. Frates and F.L. Ledwith, Receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway Company, Inc., for the total consideration of \$750.00, and the same is hereby approved and confirmed;

It is further ordered that J.A. Frates and F.L. Ledwith, Receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway Company, Inc., be and they are hereby authorized and empowered to purchase yellow cab No. 71 from the Tulsa Cab Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma for the sum of \$75.00 and that said receivers be further authorized to rebuild the said yellow cab for the account of the Oklahoma Union Railway Company;

It is further ordered that J.A. Frates and F.L. Ledwith, Receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway Company, Inc., be and they are hereby authorized and empowered to purchase the International Motor Truck from the receivers of Union Transportation Company for the sum of \$250.00 and are hereby authorized and empowered to pay to themselves as receivers of the Oklahoma Union Railway Company the sum of \$250.00 for said truck;

It is further ordered that J.A. Frates and F.L. Ledwith, Receivers of Oklahoma Union Railway Company, Inc., be and they are hereby authorized and empowered to execute the lease contract with W.L. Bryan, upon the terms and upon the forms as attached to the application on file herein.

F. A. HENNING
U. S. District Judge.

WITNESSETH: Filed May 24 1933
F. A. Henning, Clerk
U. S. District Court Tulsa

IN SENATE: MAY 24 1933
U. S. DISTRICT COURT IN SENATE
TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA.

Mildred Stockton Nelson, Complainant,

vs.

The Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, a municipal corporation; Arthur Patton, President of the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma; V. Leiby, member of the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma; J. L. Report, member of the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma; G. White, Treasurer of the Board of Education of the Town of Glenpool, Tulsa County, Oklahoma; the Excess Board of Tulsa County, Oklahoma; the Excess Board of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, composed of the following officials: John T. Boyd,

No. 328 Equity. ✓

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA

NOV 24, 1930

County Judge of Tulsa County, Oklahoma; J.B. Goffer, County Attorney of Tulsa County, Oklahoma; J.R. Small, County Superintendent of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and G.P. Weaver, County Clerk of Tulsa County, Oklahoma; D.A. Rowe, County Treasurer of Tulsa County, Oklahoma; John L. Wiley, County Treasurer of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and A.L. North, a member of the Board of County Commissioners of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, designated by said board to be a member of the same board of Tulsa County, Oklahoma; G.P. Weaver, County Clerk of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and John L. Wiley, as County Treasurer of Tulsa County, Oklahoma; and Guyton Oil Company, a corporation,

No. 528 Equity (cont)

to recover.

It is ordered that

Now on this 24th day of November, 1930, there being a regular judicial day of the above court, and the cause being on for hearing, the application of complainant herein to file amended bill of complaint herein; and the court being fully advised in the amended bill complaint herein.

IT IS THEREFORE, ordered that the said bill of complaint, as amended, be filed as amended bill of complaint herein, and the clerk is directed to accept for filing the said amended bill of complaint, and to issue subpoenas thereon to the typesetters.

and of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

Filed Nov 24 1930
W. Marfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court, Tulsa

Equity

et al.

Now on this 24th day of November, 1930, it is ordered by the court that further proceedings be had in the above cause, and that the same be heard by the court on the 24th day of December, 1930.

John L. Wiley, County Treasurer of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and A.L. North, a member of the Board of County Commissioners of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, designated by said board to be a member of the same board of Tulsa County, Oklahoma;

Equity

John L. Wiley, County Treasurer of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and A.L. North, a member of the Board of County Commissioners of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, designated by said board to be a member of the same board of Tulsa County, Oklahoma;

Now on this 24th day of November, 1930, it is ordered by the court that further proceedings be had in the above cause, and that the same be heard by the court on the 24th day of December, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

The court has considered the petition for order allowing the bill of exchange of the receiver and said intervenors against the receiver to be filed, and the court, being fully advised in all respects, finds that it is proper and just to allow the bill of exchange to be filed and to be set aside hereunder under the provisions of the court's order in governing the reception of assets of the receiver in the District of Columbia.

The court has also considered the petition of the receiver for an order allowing the bill of exchange of the receiver against the intervenors to be filed, and the court, being fully advised in all respects, finds that it is proper and just to allow the bill of exchange to be filed and to be set aside hereunder under the provisions of the court's order in governing the reception of assets of the receiver in the District of Columbia.

F. M. ...
Judge of the U.S. District Court for
the Northern District of ...

1930-31: Filed Nov 24 1930
P. ...
U.S. District Court

IN RE ... COURT IN ...
... DISTRICT OF ...

W. H. ...
Complainant,
vs.

Search Royalty Corporation,
Search Royalty Corporation of Oklahoma,
Search Royalty Corporation of Kansas, No. 581 Equity
Search Royalty Corporation of Louisiana,
Search Oil & Royalty Corporation of Texas,
General Royalty Texas Corporation,
Viking Royalty Corporation,
... Riley, Robert H. ...
... ...
... and ...
Defendants.

ORDER

On application of the receivers for payment of certain bills for services rendered the Search Royalty Corporation during the period from November 1 to 10, 1930, inclusive, the date of the receivers' qualification, has been presented and on consideration of same the court finds the said accounts, and each of them, should be paid as shown by the application of the receivers.

It is therefore ordered that the said receivers be and they are hereby authorized to pay each of said claims as set out in their application filed herein.

F. M. ...
District Judge

1930-31: Filed Nov 24 1930
P. ...
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

That the said decedent, George W. Morris, was a resident of the State of Georgia, and was domiciled in the County of DeKalb, State of Georgia, at the time of his death;

That the said decedent, George W. Morris, was a resident of the State of Georgia, and was domiciled in the County of DeKalb, State of Georgia, at the time of his death;

That the said decedent, George W. Morris, was a resident of the State of Georgia, and was domiciled in the County of DeKalb, State of Georgia, at the time of his death;

That the said decedent, George W. Morris, was a resident of the State of Georgia, and was domiciled in the County of DeKalb, State of Georgia, at the time of his death;

That the said decedent, George W. Morris, was a resident of the State of Georgia, and was domiciled in the County of DeKalb, State of Georgia, at the time of his death;

That the said decedent, George W. Morris, was a resident of the State of Georgia, and was domiciled in the County of DeKalb, State of Georgia, at the time of his death;

That the said decedent, George W. Morris, was a resident of the State of Georgia, and was domiciled in the County of DeKalb, State of Georgia, at the time of his death;

That the said decedent, George W. Morris, was a resident of the State of Georgia, and was domiciled in the County of DeKalb, State of Georgia, at the time of his death;

That the facts of the said bill of Interpleader in the back and forth of the United States District Court for the State of Georgia, the contents of each of the above named defendants are as above set out to the best knowledge, information and belief of Interpleader-Plaintiff.

That the said defendant, Arie M. Morris, wife of deceased, George W. Morris, (deceased), bases her claim upon the fact that she was, at the time of the death of said assured, his wife, and that there were no children or issue of children of deceased left surviving him.

That two of the other claimants herein; namely, J. H. Morris and A. L. Lewis, base their claim upon the fact that they were living brothers of the assured at the time of his death.

That the remaining four claimants herein; namely, Mrs. Morris (unmarried), Mrs. J. M. Lammack, Mrs. J. B. Davis and Mrs. Joe L. Smith, base their claim upon the fact that they were living sisters of the assured at the time of his death; and by reason thereof are entitled, lawfully and legally, to be paid the moneys due under said Certificate of Assurance; and

That the United Mutual Life Insurance Company, Interpleader-Plaintiff, as the successor of the Supreme Lodge Knights of Pythias, Insurance Department, is liable for the said Certificate of Assurance to the person or persons legally and lawfully entitled thereto; that

The defendants above named are adverse claimants thereto and are residents and citizens of different states, as above set out and contained; that

The said named defendants, or some or all of them, threaten to bring suit against Interpleader-Plaintiff herein; that, Interpleader-Plaintiff herein admits its liability for the payment of said amount of \$20,000.00, to-wit, Nine Hundred, Eighty-nine (989.00) Dollars, deposited with the Clerk of this Court and has made no claim to any part thereof, except court costs and attorney fees; that

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Illinois

Northwestern National Life Insurance Company, Plaintiff,

vs.
The People of the State of Illinois, Defendant.

Whereas, the following proceedings were had and a decree rendered:

That the People of the State of Illinois be and they are hereby
ordered to pay to the Plaintiff the sum of \$118,000.

Plaintiff's Counsel,
Constitution, Illinois,
-vs-
Defendant,
Illinois.

FILED
NO. 478 - 118,000 ✓
CLERK

ORDER

On this 25th day of November, 1930, for good cause shown,
it is hereby ordered as follows:

Leave is granted to file out of time the attached proof
and supplemental proof of debt by The Pennsylvania Railroad Company,
and the same is hereby allowed as a general unsecured claim with
priority in the sum of \$118,000.

Leave is granted to file out of time the attached proof
of Canadian Pacific Railway Company, and the same is allowed as a
general unsecured claim without priority in the sum of \$2.15.

Leave is granted to file out of time the attached proof
of debt by Louisiana, Kansas & Texas Railway Company, and the same
is allowed as a general unsecured claim without priority in the sum of
\$0.80.

F. M. KEENE
United States District Court.

FILED
RECORDED
INDEXED
NOV 25 1930
F. M. Keene, Clerk
U.S. District Court J.R.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, November 29th, 1930.

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Court.
Present: H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OKLAHOMA IMPROVEMENTS COMPANY, }
Plaintiff, }
VS. } IN EQUITY NO. 278.
SPINNER BROTHERS BELTING COMPANY, }
Defendant. }

ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR TAKING APPEAL.

On this the 29th day of November, 1930, upon application of Spinner Brothers Belting Company, appearing by its solicitors of record, and for good cause shown to the satisfaction of the court, it is hereby

ORDERED that the time for the taking of the return to the appeal taken by the defendant be and the same is hereby extended for the period of sixty days from the return day.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States
District Court.

The granting of the foregoing order is hereby consented to this 29th day of November, 1930.

JAMES A. HAYLER
CITIZENSHIP REPORTER
Solicitors for Plaintiff-Appellee.

Filed Nov 29 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OKLAHOMA IMPROVEMENTS COMPANY, }
Plaintiff, }
VS. } NO. 278 EQUITY
SPINNER BROTHERS BELTING }
COMPANY, } Defendant. }

Spinner Brothers Belting }
Company, } Appellant,
VS. }
OKLAHOMA IMPROVEMENTS }
Company, } Appellee. }

ORDER GRANTING PERMITS TO
EXAMINE RECORDS OF THE APPELLATE COURT.

On this 24th day of November, 1930, for good cause shown, and by consent of counsel for all of the parties, it is hereby ordered that the above entitled appellee be and it is hereby extended to and including the 24th day of December, 1930.

JAMES A. HAYLER
CITIZENSHIP REPORTER
Solicitor for Appellant.

Filed Nov 29 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 1930.

DEPARTMENT OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
OF THE DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

JOHN A. HAVEN, Plaintiff,
vs.

In Equity No. 278.

FRANK B. COVILL, Defendant.

The above entitled cause coming before the court for hearing on the application of Skinner Brothers Bulging Company, defendant-appellant, appearing by its solicitors, for further time within which to file transcript and record on appeal in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals; and it appearing that such request is reasonable, and for good cause shown to the satisfaction of this court, it is hereby

ordered that the transcript and record be filed in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit on and the same is hereby extended to and including February 3, 1931.

Dated this 20th day of November, 1930.

F. E. KENNEMER
Judge of the United States
District Court.

The granting of the foregoing order is hereby consented to, this 20th day of November, 1930.

JOHN A. HAVEN
RITTER & RUTTER
Solicitors for plaintiff-appellee.

RECORDED: Filed Nov 20 1930
L. C. Farfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court S.E.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

E. STEWART PATLOCK, Complainant,
-vs.-
SUPERIOR OIL CORPORATION,
et al., Defendants.

No. 565, Equity.

ORDER OVERRULING MOTIONS TO DISMISS.

Now, on this 18th day of August, 1930, this cause came on for hearing before the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Oklahoma, in Tulsa, on motions filed by JOHN ROGERS, Receiver, SUPERIOR OIL CORPORATION, E. R. PERRY, H. C. DAVIES and G. C. HARNED, and THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, Oklahoma, and EXCHANGE NATIONAL COMPANY, to dismiss the complaint of E. STEWART PATLOCK.

Said motions were argued by counsel and submitted to the Court, and the Court, being fully advised, finds said motions not well taken, and overrules the same, to which ruling of the Court said JOHN ROGERS, Receiver, SUPERIOR OIL CORPORATION, E. R. PERRY, H. C. DAVIES and G. C. HARNED, and THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, Oklahoma, and EXCHANGE NATIONAL COMPANY except.

F. E. KENNEMER
Judge of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of
Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed Nov 20 1930
L. C. Farfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court S.E.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

SEVENTH SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 1930.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Sand Springs Home, a corporation,
Complainant,

vs.

No. 582 Equity

Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a cor-
poration,
Respondent.

J U D G E

It appearing to the Court upon application of the receiver heretofore appointed herein, that the Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a corporation, entered into a contract for the furnishing of electrical current with the Oklahoma Power and Water Company, on the 23rd day of June, 1930; that said contract provides for certain minimum rates for electrical current used by the Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, and that said Tulsa Rolling Mills Company is indebted to the Oklahoma Power and Water Company, in the sum of approximately \$3475.59, for electrical current furnished under said contract, and water and gas; that the Oklahoma Power and Water Company can cancel said contract if it so desires; that electrical current furnished the receiver herein in the operation of the mill and warehouse of the respondent corporation would be cheaper if obtained at the rate provided for in said contract and that the Oklahoma Power and Water Company has consented to furnish the receiver electrical current under the rates provided for in said contract for a period of one (1) year from its date without any obligation on the part of the receiver to take electrical current for a longer period of time if said past due indebtedness is paid, with the further understanding that if the property of the respondent corporation is sold by the receiver herein prior to one (1) year from the date of said contract, that electrical current will be furnished to the purchaser under the same rates as provided for in said contract.

HOW, THEREFORE, it is by the Court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Receiver herein pay out of the funds in his hands or hereafter coming into his hands to the Oklahoma Power and Water Company, the amount of its indebtedness against the Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, in the approximate sum of \$3475.59, and that said receiver be and he is hereby authorized and directed to purchase electrical current for the operation of the mill and warehouse of the respondent corporation, from the Oklahoma Power and Water Company under the rates provided for in the contract entered into between the Oklahoma Power and Water Company and the Tulsa Rolling Mills Company on the 23rd day of June, 1930, for a period of one (1) year from the date of said contract and that the receiver procure a written statement from the Oklahoma Power and Water Company, that the rates provided for in said contract or the rights under said contract shall be available to a purchaser of the properties of the respondent corporation from the receiver herein, if a sale thereof is made prior to one (1) year from the date of said contract.

Dated this the 29 day of November, 1930.

W. H. HARRIS
JUDGE

Stuart Boskley & Doernor

RECORDED: Filed Nov 29 1930
I. W. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT

District of

OKLAHOMA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Sand Springs Mine, a corporation,
Complainant,
vs. No. 582 Equity
Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a
corporation, Respondent.

It appearing to the Court from the Application of the receiver heretofore appointed herein, that the duties of said receiver require considerable time and that it is necessary that said receiver be allowed a monthly ceasing account of \$250.00 per month and that he be permitted to pay himself any personal expenses while performing his duties as receiver herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the receiver herein appointed be and he is hereby authorized to pay to himself the sum of \$250.00 per month out of the funds in his hands or hereafter coming into his hands and that the same be credited on the compensation that may be due or hereafter allowed said receiver.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said receiver be and he is hereby authorized and directed to pay to himself any personal expenses incurred by him while performing his duties as receiver herein.

Dated this the 14 day of November, 1930.

F. A. HEDGECOCK
United States District Judge.

C. O. Cookley,
Atty. for Complainant.

RECORDED: Filed Nov 29 1930
E. F. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court JMR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Sand Springs Mine, a corporation,
Complainant,
vs. No. 582 Equity
Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a
corporation, Respondent.

It appearing to the Court upon the application of the receiver herein, that an Order should be made limiting the time for the presentation of claims against the respondent corporation, to the receiver,

NOW, THEREFORE, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that any and all claims of whatsoever nature and kind, of any and all creditors of the Tulsa Rolling Mills Company, a corporation, be presented to the Receiver herein, Howell E. Lays, within a period of sixty (60) days from the date hereof, and that all claims presented to said receiver be filed herein, with his notation "allowed" or "disallowed" as the case may be, and that all claims not so presented to said receiver and filed herein be barred.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that this Order or Notice thereof be published in a newspaper

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT

District of

GEORGIA

EASTMAN DISTRICT

FULTON, GEORGIA.

NOVEMBER 28, 1930.

Re. Equity - Cont'd.

of general circulation in the County of Fulton, State of Georgia, for a period of ten (10) days, and that the receiver mail a copy of this order to all known creditors of the respondent corporation within the period of ten (10) days from the date hereof.

Dated this 28th day of November, 1930.

F. J. HARRIS,
United States District Judge.

Filed Nov 28 1930
F. J. Harfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

That defendant...
1930.

Received of the...
No. 104

On or about...
to answer interrogatories filed on 14th, 1930, as shown, the time in which to answer subject to said interrogatories...
to which to file an answer.

J. H. ...
Judge

W. H. McCoy
Attorney for defendant.
W. H. ...
Attorney for Plaintiff.

1930 SEP: Filed Dec 8 1930
H. P. ...
U.S. District Court

Irvin ...
No. 104

Received of the...
No. 104

Now on this 26th day of December, 1930, it is ordered...
for hearing upon the motion of plaintiff to vacate order entered...
1930, for lifting and annulment of individual ledger sheet...
Little, Mary A. Little, the ledger total and Love Little; the same...
being advised in the premises, overruled the motion, exception allowed.

J. H. ...
Judge

W. H. McCoy
Attorney for defendant.
W. H. ...
Attorney for Plaintiff.

1930 SEP: Filed Dec 8 1930
H. P. ...
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

1930 FEB 10 10:00 AM RECEIVED DISTRICT COURT

James H. Little, Receiver
of the First National Bank
of Mansfield, Ohio, on

vs.
John W. Little,
of said

Return

Now, on this 10th day of February, 1930, this court doth
return upon motion of plaintiff to substitute said receiver
of the First National Bank of Mansfield, Ohio, as receiver of the
above titled cause, and a warrant for the return of said receiver
has been duly appointed and has qualified as such receiver, it is so
ordered.

Wm. H. McCoy
Attorney for defendant.
vs.
John W. Little,
Attorney for plaintiff.

Filed Feb 8 1930
Wm. H. McCoy, Clerk
U. S. District Court

James H. Little, Receiver
of the First National Bank of
Mansfield, Ohio, on

vs.
John W. Little, Receiver

of the First National Bank of
Mansfield, Ohio, on

Return

Now, on this 10th day of February, 1930, this court doth
return upon motion of plaintiff to substitute said receiver
of the First National Bank of Mansfield, Ohio, as receiver of the
above titled cause, and it is so ordered that a warrant for the
return of said receiver has been duly appointed and has qualified as such receiver, it is so
ordered.

Wm. H. McCoy
Attorney for defendant.
vs.
John W. Little,
Attorney for plaintiff.

Filed Feb 8 1930
Wm. H. McCoy, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

MISSOURI, ST. LOUIS

IN RE: ESTATE OF FRANK W. McCOY, deceased

... Higgins and Frank W. McCoy, Plaintiffs,
vs.
McCoy, co-partners doing
business as Higgins & McCoy,

vs.

James Gordon, Receiver
of the First National Bank
of Springfield, Illinois, Defendant.

Memorandum

For on this 25th day of December, 1930, this court on the
application upon motion of said bank as defendant herein, receiver
of the First National Bank of Springfield, Illinois, returned a bill of
costs and charges, and it is ordered that the said bank's bill be
paid by a certified check payable to the receiver, it is so
ordered.

...
W. McCoy
Attorney for defendant.
St. Louis, Missouri

Filed Dec 8 1930
J. J. Confield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

James J. Logan, and
Frank W. McCoy,

Plaintiffs,
vs.

For on this 25th day of December, 1930, this court on the
application upon motion of said bank as defendant herein, receiver
of the First National Bank of Springfield, Illinois, returned a bill of
costs and charges, and it is ordered that the said bank's bill be
paid by a certified check payable to the receiver, it is so
ordered.

ORDERED, that the costs of this case be paid by the
plaintiffs and defendant, and that the receiver of the
said bank be and is authorized to receive the same.

The United Service Company, a corporation organized under the laws
of the State of Illinois, and the First National Bank of Springfield,
Illinois, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Illinois,
do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the
minutes of the court held at St. Louis, Missouri, on the 25th day of
December, 1930.

Filed as Bill
J. J. Confield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Franklin J. Gordon,

vs.

The Three Building, Inc.,
a corporation,

Suits No. 14, ✓

Now on this 6th day of December, 1950, in the above captioned
the motion of plaintiff to dismiss this bill of complaint is granted,
it is ordered by the Court that said bill of complaint be and the same is
dismissed, without prejudice, at cost of plaintiff.

W. W. HOFFMAN
District Court

W. W. Hoffman, Clerk
J. F. Holt and Fred A. Smith, Attorneys
Solicitors for defendant.

RECORDED: Filed Dec 6 1950
W. W. Hoffman, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF THE BANK OF AMERICA
AND THE BANK OF AMERICA TRUST COMPANY

Frank J. Moor,

Complainant,

-vs-

No. 549 Suits, ✓

The Board of Education of the City
of Charlotte, Mecklenburg County, North Carolina,
a municipal corporation, et al,

Defendants.

Now on this 6th day of December, 1950, the above captioned
cause comes on for hearing upon the written stipulation of the parties
hereto, by their respective counsel, this day filed in open court.
Upon examination of said stipulation, being otherwise well and sufficient-
ly advised in the premises, the Court finds that the respondents herein
caused to be made and entered upon the tax rolls of Mecklenburg
County, North Carolina, sufficient ad valorem tax levies for the fiscal year
1950-51 to create a sinking fund for the principal of such bonds, and
involved in this action, and the coupons thereto attached; that said
respondents, since the commencement of this action, have tendered to
complainant and the intervenor, the owners and holders of the bonds in-
volved in this action, an amount sufficient to pay all matured interest
coupons of such bond issue which said payment has been accepted and that
said respondents are not casting any further clouds upon the validity of
such bond issue.

HOWEVER, since the Court finds such bonds to be valid and
thereby finds that upon said written stipulation this cause should be
dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this cause be, and the same hereby is dismissed, at the
cost of complainant.

W. W. HOFFMAN
District Court

RECORDED: Filed Dec 6 1950
W. W. Hoffman, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

COLORED
CIVIL

1934, O. L. 100. A. 1934, O. L. 100, 1934

Complainant vs. Defendants
Docket No. 100-100
1934

Case No. 100-100
1934

Now on this 10th day of December, 1934, the Court after consulting the parties filed their motion herein for leave to file a amendment and amend to their original bill of complaint and to add additional parties dependent herein, and it appearing to the Court that good cause is shown that this motion could be granted and that it has been filed by the defendants who have requested a writ and that no objection has been made by the complainant, and it appearing to the Court that the application of the said statute should be granted, it is so

ORDERED that complainant be allowed to file a bill of complaint and amend to their original bill of complaint, and that the following parties be and they are made parties defendant herein; to-wit: the City of New York, a corporation, having its principal office at New York, New York, and the New York Telephone Company, a corporation, and the New York Telephone Company, a corporation.

Witness my hand and seal of the Court at New York, New York, this 10th day of December, 1934.

Filed for the Court
J. J. Connelley, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

100-100
1934

Now on this 10th day of December, 1934, the Court after consulting the parties filed their motion herein for leave to file a amendment and amend to their original bill of complaint and to add additional parties dependent herein, and it appearing to the Court that good cause is shown that this motion could be granted and that it has been filed by the defendants who have requested a writ and that no objection has been made by the complainant, and it appearing to the Court that the application of the said statute should be granted, it is so

100-100
1934

100-100
1934

100-100
1934

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Washington, D.C., this 7th day of October, 1934.

THE UNITED STATES, PLAINTIFF,

vs. JAMES EARL RAY, Defendant.

Ray, James Earl,

No. 100-10000-100

Ray, James Earl, Defendant.

MEMORANDUM

On this 7th day of October, 1934, the following grand jury case was reported for hearing pursuant to assignment. It is entitled "The United States vs. James Earl Ray, et al." and is captioned in memory and by the grand jury, Felix L. Rodovitz and Marshall, et al.

Whereupon plaintiff asked leave to amend to file a complaint with out prejudice to trial. Defendant objected there to on the ground specified by the grand jury and on the ground that the grand jury had not yet returned a verdict and that it might have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant. The court overruled the objection and granted the amendment.

The court at this time is not of opinion that the amendment should be granted on the ground specified by the grand jury. The court overruled the objection of the defendant to the amendment on the ground that the grand jury had not yet returned a verdict and that it might have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant. The court overruled the objection of the defendant to the amendment on the ground that the grand jury had not yet returned a verdict and that it might have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant.

The defendant's motion for a writ of habeas corpus is denied. The court overruled the objection of the defendant to the amendment on the ground that the grand jury had not yet returned a verdict and that it might have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant.

Whereupon the defendant moved for a writ of habeas corpus. The court overruled the objection of the defendant to the amendment on the ground that the grand jury had not yet returned a verdict and that it might have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant.

The court overruled the objection of the defendant to the amendment on the ground that the grand jury had not yet returned a verdict and that it might have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant.

The court overruled the objection of the defendant to the amendment on the ground that the grand jury had not yet returned a verdict and that it might have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant.

ORDER

The court overruled the objection of the defendant to the amendment on the ground that the grand jury had not yet returned a verdict and that it might have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant.

The court overruled the objection of the defendant to the amendment on the ground that the grand jury had not yet returned a verdict and that it might have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant.

The court overruled the objection of the defendant to the amendment on the ground that the grand jury had not yet returned a verdict and that it might have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant.

The court overruled the objection of the defendant to the amendment on the ground that the grand jury had not yet returned a verdict and that it might have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant.

The court overruled the objection of the defendant to the amendment on the ground that the grand jury had not yet returned a verdict and that it might have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant.

The court overruled the objection of the defendant to the amendment on the ground that the grand jury had not yet returned a verdict and that it might have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant.

The court overruled the objection of the defendant to the amendment on the ground that the grand jury had not yet returned a verdict and that it might have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, DECEMBER 11, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, December 11th, 1930.

Present: Hon. W. E. Kemmerer, Judge, U. S. District Court.
W. B. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE OKLAHOMA DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MUSKOGEE VALLEY TRUST COMPANY,
a corporation, Trustee, Plaintiff,

-vs-

OKLAHOMA UNION RAILWAY COMPANY,
a corporation, Defendant.

Pl. 476-Equity.

O R D E R

On this 11th day of December, 1930, there came on for hearing the application of H. A. Kleinschmidt and Edwin T. Johnson, counsel for the Receivers herein, for partial allowance of counsel fees on account of services rendered to the said receivers herein, in the year 1930 and the Court being fully advised, and upon due consideration, finds that the said counsel are entitled to partial allowance in the sum of \$300.00, and the said receivers herein are accordingly authorized and directed to pay to said counsel the said sum to apply on fees of counsel for said receivers; the maximum allowance for said year not to exceed a total of \$1200.00.

W. E. KEMMERER
United States District Judge.

H. A. Prates
E. J. Podovitz
Receivers.

Booked: Filed Dec 11 1930
W. B. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MUSKOGEE VALLEY TRUST COMPANY,
a corporation, Trustee, Plaintiff,

-vs-

OKLAHOMA UNION RAILWAY COMPANY,
a corporation, Defendant.

Pl. 476-Equity.

O R D E R

On this 11th day of December, 1930, an application of the plaintiff herein, and for good cause shown, it is ordered that the time within which the sale of the property of the defendant may be made pursuant to the final decree entered by U. S. District Court at Tulsa, Oklahoma June 15, 1930, be and the same is hereby ordered to June 30, 1931.

W. E. KEMMERER
United States District Judge.

H. A. Kleinschmidt.

Booked: Filed Dec 11 1930
W. B. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

That the said receiver, in compliance with the order of the Court, has caused to be rolled and shipped to the said receiver, on or about the 1st day of January, 1930, the following:

Dated this 1st day of January, 1930.

J. J. [Name]
District Court

Attest:

That the said receiver, in compliance with the order of the Court, has caused to be rolled and shipped to the said receiver, on or about the 1st day of January, 1930, the following:

()

Filed for the Court
J. J. [Name]
U.S. District Court

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of the Court at the City of New York, this 1st day of January, 1930.

Delors Collins Mills Company, a corporation,
New York, New York

o. [Name]

RECEIVED
JAN 1 1930
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NEW YORK

It appears from the report, upon the application of the receiver heretofore appointed herein, that the receiver has received on and as recitedly all lots of bonds, rolled and that the receivers was authorized and directed, on November 15th, 1929, to receive the full of the Respondent Company for a period of ninety days to roll and to roll the bonds then on hand of the Respondent Company into finished products and to open the warehouse of the Respondent Company open for a period of ninety days, until such time as the finished products could be disposed of, and it further appears to the Court that due to failure of the said receiver to release orders, with conditions and plans in the said finished products, it is now the duty for the receiver to roll and ship out the bonds on hand on or about the 1st day of January, 1930.

Now, therefore, it is by the Court ordered, and the receiver is directed that said receiver be authorized and empowered to receive and to operate the full of the Respondent Company for an additional period of ninety days, or for such longer period of time as may be necessary, to roll out and finish the bonds now on hand and to continue to operate the warehouse of the Respondent Company, to and until, January 1st, 1930, and that the receiver be authorized to convert said finished products into cash, same to be sold at the prevailing market price for such products, and that said receiver be authorized and empowered to employ such persons and assistants in the receipt of said bill and in the disposition of said products and the sale of same, as may be necessary; and that the receiver be authorized to incur all such costs and expenses as may be necessary incurred in the operation of the full and warehouse, and the disposition of said finished products, including the salary of necessary persons and assistants for the period of ninety days and this order.

Dated this 1st day of January, 1930.

Filed for the Court
J. J. [Name]
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

19, 1900

John A. ...

...

...
...
...

... ✓

...

...
...
...

- ...
...
...

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

March 21, 1930. ... 1930.

Present: ...

The court, ...

And now, ... vs. ...

This cause came on, to-wit, on July 13, 1929, ...

Now, on this 21st day of March, A.D. 1930, the court ...

IT IS, MY ORDER, ...

It is further ordered, assessed and decreed that ...

United States District Court.

BY: ...

... for its.

Filed Dec 15 1930 ...

... COURT ...

Patent Specialties Corporation ... vs- ... Williams Bros., Inc. et al

ORDER

The Petition of Patent Specialties Corporation, ...

Dated December 15, 1930.

Filed Dec 15 1930 ... District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

WARRANTS CITY, MISSOURI SEPTEMBER 15, 1930

Withholding said funds, moneys and checks because of certain letters written to them by said L. Annals and asserting himself to be the proper legal receiver to whom the same should be paid, and the court further finds that said claim as asserted by said L. Annals is void without legal authority, and that the said L. Annals has no right, title or interest, or right of possession to any moneys, funds, assets or other evidences of indebtedness, or any proceeds from the sale of all oil, gas, or oil or gas or rentals or other moneys now due and payable, or hereafter to become due and payable to the Monarch Royalty Corporation, Monarch Royalty Corporation of Oklahoma, Monarch Royalty Corporation of Kansas, Monarch Royalty Corporation of Arkansas, Monarch Royalty Corporation of Louisiana, or Monarch Oil & Royalty Corporation of Texas; and that all such funds, moneys, checks, evidence of indebtedness, and all future proceeds from the sale of oil and gas from properties in which either or all of the above named corporations have a royalty interest, or other interest, shall be paid into the hands of the receivers appointed by this court, or, in former cases, to ancillary receivers appointed by Federal Courts of other jurisdictions, pursuant to the original appointment of receivers by this court.

IT IS, BY THE COURT, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendants herein first named, and all such of all of them, may with the proper receivers hereafter to be named, all funds, moneys, checks and evidences of indebtedness belonging to the Monarch Royalty Corporation, or any of the subsidiaries above named, and all future moneys, accruing from the sale of oil and gas from properties in which said Monarch Royalty Corporation, or the subsidiaries, have an interest, be paid to receivers, as follows:

That all of said moneys, funds and evidences within the State of Missouri, and the counties of Jackson, Madison and St. Louis, Missouri, and all proceeds of all oil and gas belonging to the Monarch Royalty Corporation, or the subsidiaries above named, payable to James A. Harris, or his assigns, receivers heretofore appointed by this court, shall be paid to receivers in the respective Federal Districts of Missouri.

That all moneys, funds and evidences within the State of Arkansas, or either county thereof, payable from properties in the State of Kansas, shall be paid to receivers in said State, say the receivers James A. Harris and others, named, receivers appointed for the State of Arkansas.

That all moneys, funds and evidences within the State of Oklahoma, or either county thereof, payable from properties in the State of Kansas, shall be paid to receivers in said State, say the receivers James A. Harris and others, named, receivers appointed for the State of Oklahoma.

That all moneys, funds and evidences, such as credits payable to the Monarch Royalty Corporation, or the subsidiaries above named, payable to James A. Harris and others, named, receivers heretofore appointed by this court, shall be paid to receivers in the respective Federal Districts of Missouri.

That all moneys, funds and evidences, such as credits payable to the Monarch Royalty Corporation, or the subsidiaries above named, payable to James A. Harris and others, named, receivers heretofore appointed by this court, shall be paid to receivers in the respective Federal Districts of Missouri.

That all moneys, funds and evidences, such as credits payable to the Monarch Royalty Corporation, or the subsidiaries above named, payable to James A. Harris and others, named, receivers heretofore appointed by this court, shall be paid to receivers in the respective Federal Districts of Missouri.

That all moneys, funds and evidences, such as credits payable to the Monarch Royalty Corporation, or the subsidiaries above named, payable to James A. Harris and others, named, receivers heretofore appointed by this court, shall be paid to receivers in the respective Federal Districts of Missouri.

That all moneys, funds and evidences, such as credits payable to the Monarch Royalty Corporation, or the subsidiaries above named, payable to James A. Harris and others, named, receivers heretofore appointed by this court, shall be paid to receivers in the respective Federal Districts of Missouri.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Washington, D.C., this 15th day of December, 1930.

That on or about the 15th day of December, 1930,

the following persons, to-wit: ...

... and the following persons, to-wit: ...

... 1930.

That the following persons, to-wit: ... and the following persons, to-wit: ...

... and the following persons, to-wit: ...

That the following persons, to-wit: ... and the following persons, to-wit: ...

That the following persons, to-wit: ... and the following persons, to-wit: ...

That the following persons, to-wit: ... and the following persons, to-wit: ...

That the following persons, to-wit: ... and the following persons, to-wit: ...

That the following persons, to-wit: ... and the following persons, to-wit: ...

That the following persons, to-wit: ... and the following persons, to-wit: ...

That the following persons, to-wit: ... and the following persons, to-wit: ...

That the following persons, to-wit: ... and the following persons, to-wit: ...

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

Case No. 1054

Plaintiff, vs. Defendant.

No. 1054

That on or about the 1st day of January, 1930, the defendant, ...

... in violation of the laws of the United States, ...

Witness my hand and seal of the Court at the City of ...

WILLIAM J. ...

Subscribed and sworn to before me this ... day of ...

In Testimony Whereof

... the defendant, ...

... the defendant, ...

WILLIAM J. ...

Subscribed and sworn to before me this ... day of ...

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

07-1967

1967, 11-24, 1960

Court convened at 10:00 a.m. on the 24th day of November, 1967.

Present: Hon. J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court.
U.S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following report was read and entered,
to-wit:

That the undersigned, J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court,
District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the following

- 1. J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the following
- 2. J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the following
- 3. J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the following
- 4. J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the following
- 5. J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the following
- 6. J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the following
- 7. J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the following
- 8. J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the following
- 9. J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the following
- 10. J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the following

That on this 24th day of November, 1967, at the Court of the Joint Public Hearing on the Proposed Amendments to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the undersigned, J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the following

That it appears to the undersigned, J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, that the following amendments to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure are in the public interest and should be adopted.

That the undersigned, J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the following amendments to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure are in the public interest and should be adopted.

J. Edgar Hoover
U.S. District Court
District of Columbia

That the undersigned, J. Edgar Hoover, U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the following amendments to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure are in the public interest and should be adopted.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

WEST VIRGINIA, 1938

The Court further directs that the receiver shall file the following report, the first report and the final report, public and private, J.O. Folle and ...

The Court further directs that the receiver, if he is only ... defendant Owen ... the receiver shall pay to the ...

It is further ordered that the receiver shall ... the sum of \$1,000.00 ...

That the receiver shall ... J.O. Folle, ...

The receiver shall ...

Witness my hand and seal of the Court at ...

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

[The body of the document contains several paragraphs of text that are extremely faint and illegible. The text appears to be a legal document, possibly a petition or a motion, given the header. There are some faint markings, including what looks like a checkmark on the right side of the page.]

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

U. S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

The undersigned, Clerk of the Court, do hereby certify that the within and foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original as the same appears in the files of the Court.

Witness my hand and the seal of the Court at Washington, D. C., this _____ day of _____, 19____.

Clerk of the Court

Filed for _____, at
Washington, D. C.,
this _____ day of _____, 19____.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Appear before this court, the undersigned, Clerk of the Court, do hereby certify that the following is a true and correct copy of the original as filed in the office of the Clerk of the Court, on the 15th day of June, 1963, and that the same is available for inspection by the public.

Witness my hand and the seal of the Court at Washington, D.C., this 15th day of June, 1963.

Clifford Lee
Clerk of the Court
U.S. District Court
Washington, D.C.