

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY APRIL 25, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, April 25th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. Dist. Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

WILLIAM BECK, COMPLAINANT, }
vs. } No. 459 Equity
H. E. COBY and TORPEDO SHELL }
MANUFACTURING COMPANY, A }
CORPORATION, DEFENDANTS. }

D E C R E E

NOW on this 17th day of April, 1930, the above entitled cause came on regularly for trial, complainant appearing in person and by his counsel of record, and both sides answered ready for trial; and after hearing the opening statements of counsel, the evidence offered by complainant and defendants, and argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, the court finds:

That neither of the defendants has infringed upon the Letters Patent No. 1,444,998, declared upon in complainant's Bill filed herein, and that there has been no infringement by either of said defendants upon said patent or the rights of the complainant granted by the United States Patent Office under said Letters Patent; to which finding the complainant excepts and his exception is hereby allowed.

That said Patent No. 1,444,998 declared upon in complainant's Bill in this cause, and the Letters Patent issued thereon by the United States Patent Office, are and were invalid, void and of no force and effect as Letters Patent; to which finding complainant excepts and his exception is hereby allowed.

IT IS THEREFORE ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the Bill of Complaint filed herein be and the same hereby is denied and dismissed and the temporary injunction heretofore granted in this cause be and the same hereby is dissolved, all at the cost of the complainant; to which orders and judgment of the court, the complainant excepted and excepts, and the court hereby allows such exceptions.

F. E. KENNAMER
J U D G E

G.K. G. C. Spillers
Attorney for Complainant

G.L. Geo. B. Schwabe
Attorney for Defendants.

ENCORSED: Filed Apr 25 1930
Apr 25 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, APRIL 26, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Saturday, April 26th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

The North American Union Life Assurance Society, a corporation, and Lee Wertheimer, Complainants, }
vs. } No. 530 Equity
The City of Drumright, Creek County, Oklahoma, a Municipal corporation, et al, Respondents. }

O R D E R.

Now on this 26 day of April, 1930, the same being a regular judicial day of the above named court, this cause comes on for hearing upon the application and petition of Fred Topmoeller, for leave to intervene in said cause and for order allowing the filing of a bill of complaint on behalf of said intervenor against the respondents herein named; and the court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that this is a proper case for intervention and that the petitioner has shown a right to intervene hereunder under Equity Rule No. 37, established and promulgated in governing the proceedings of courts of equity in the United States of America;

It is therefore, by the Court CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Fred Topmoeller be and he is hereby permitted to intervene in said cause, and the Clerk is directed to accept for filing the bill of complaint on behalf of such intervenor against the respondents herein named.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 26 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
EQUITY SESSION
1930

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

OKLAHOMA
MONDAY, APRIL 28, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, April 28th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

JANE JEFFERSON, ET AL,	Plaintiffs,	} No. 29 - Equity.
vs.		
GYPSY OIL COMPANY, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 28th day of April, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled case be revived in the name of the administrator and heirs and that said case be set for hearing on May 26th, 1930.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Harwood Keaton, Receiver of the First National Bank of Barnsdall, Oklahoma,	Plaintiff,	} No. 187 Equity.
Vs.		
Mary E. Little, Executrix of the Estate of G. R. Little, Deceased,	Defendant.	

ORDER OF SALE.

WHEREAS, on the 1st day of July, 1929, the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, granted judgment in the above entitled action, wherein Harwood Keaton, Receiver of the First National Bank of Barnsdall, Oklahoma was plaintiff, and Mary E. Little, Executrix of the estate of G. R. Little, deceased, was defendant, said judgment being against the defendant for the sum of Seventeen Thousand, Eight Hundred Fifty-seven Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$17,857.50) together with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from June 30th, 1924 until paid; and the further sum of Seventeen Hundred Eighty-five Dollars and Seventy-five Cents (\$1785.75) as attorney's fees and costs; and

WHEREAS, on said day, in said Court and in said cause, it was further considered, ordered and adjudged by said Court, and a further judgment and decree of foreclosure of mortgage on the property hereinafter described was rendered against said defendant, Mary E. Little, executrix of the estate of G. R. Little, deceased, that in case said defendant failed for six months from the first day of July, 1929 to pay to said plaintiff said sum of Seventeen Thousand, Eight Hundred Fifty-seven Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$17,857.50) together with interest, attorney's fees and costs thereon, an order of sale issue to J. S. Buchanan, Esquire, of Barnsdall, Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell, according to law, without appraisalment, the following described lands and tenements, to-wit:

Lots Eight (8) and Nine (9) in Block
Eighteen (18) in the original townsite of
Barnsdall, Osage County, Oklahoma,

and apply the proceeds arising from said sale, first, to the payment of taxes and assessments due thereon; second, in payment of the costs of sale and of this action; third, in payment of the said plaintiff of said sum above mentioned, together with the interest thereon; and fourth, the residue, if any there be, to be paid to said defendant, Mary E. Little, executrix of the estate of G. R. Little, deceased.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, APRIL 28, 1930.

NOW, THEREFORE, these are to command that you, J. S. Buchanan, Esquire, proceed according to law, and advertise and sell, without appraisement, according to law, the lands and tenements hereinbefore described, and apply the proceeds arising from said sale as directed by said judgment, as aforesaid.

You will make due return of this order of sale with your proceedings endorsed thereon, showing the manner in which you have executed the same within sixty (60) days of the date hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of this Court, this 28 day of April, 1930.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr 28 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W

CENTRAL COAL & COKE CO., ET AL, Plaintiffs, }
vs. } No. 484 - Equity.
MACK CARSELOWEY, ET AL, Defendants. }

Now on this 28th day of April, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that Decree be entered for Defendants dismissing Bill. Exceptions are allowed. Plaintiff gives notice of appeal to Circuit Court of Appeals. (To prepare Journal Entry.)

E. L. HARDISTER, ET AL, Plaintiffs, }
vs. } No. 547 - Equity.
MID. CONT. PETR. CO., ET AL, Defendants. }

Now on this 28th day of April, A. D. 1930, the above entitled cause comes on for hearing. Both sides announce ready and are represented by counsel of record. Statements are made and all witnesses are sworn in open Court. Plaintiff introduces evidence with witnesses: E. L. Hardister, Geo. F. Geard, M. C. Bellock. Plaintiff rests. Defendants move for judgment, which motion is by the Court overruled and exceptions are allowed. Defendants introduce evidence with witnesses: Fred A. Reobran, Lucian Grayhom, C. F. Murray, A. C. Strane, Ross Taylor, J. S. Osteen, Z. O. Parnell, Harry Garrett. Defendant rests. And thereupon, after being advised in the premises, said case is taken under advisement by the Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

OKLAHOMA
THURSDAY, MAY 1, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, May 1st, 1930.

Present: F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Garfield, U. S. District Clerk.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

THE OSAGE OIL & REFINING CO., a corporation,	Plaintiff,	}	No. 222 - Equity.
vs.			
HANTS AERIOD, ET AL,	Defendants.		

Now on this 1st day of May, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that motion of Continental Oil Company to defer consideration of application to file supplemental Bill pending full compliance with decree, be, and the same is hereby stricken from this assignment.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Patent Specialties Corporation	Plaintiff	}	In Equity No. 467
-vs-			
Williams Brothers, Inc. et al	Defendants.		

ORDER OF COURT

On this first day of May, 1930, came on regularly to be heard the motion of the plaintiff to dismiss without prejudice as to the defendant Williams Brothers, Inc., and it appearing that the defendants have consented to the granting of the said motion and the court being advised in the premises;

IT IS ORDERED that said motion be sustained and that this cause be dismissed as to the defendant Williams Brothers, Inc.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 1 1930
H. P. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Patent Specialties Corporation	Plaintiff	}	In Equity No. 467
-vs-			
Williams Brothers, Inc. et al	Defendants.		

ORDER OF COURT

On this first day of May, 1930, this cause came regularly on to be heard on the motion to amend the Bill of Complaint, which motion has been conceded by the defendants, and the Court having entertained the said motion and considered the same;

It was ORDERED that the said motion be sustained, and that the original Bill of Complaint as filed herein be so amended by virtue of this order as to comply with and contain the amendments set out in said motion, to-wit: By cancelling the name "Williams Brothers, Inc." from the title; by striking the reference to Williams Brothers, Inc. in paragraph one; by cancelling paragraph five in its entirety and by the insertion of paragraph Seven-A as set out in said motion.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
COURT SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, MAY 1, 1930.

It was further ORDERED that the defendants shall have fifteen days from this date in which, if they desire, to plead further or answer to the amended bill; and if no further plea or answer shall be filed the answer heretofore filed shall be treated as an answer to the bill as amended by this order.

F. A. KERRICKER
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 1 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MISSISSIPPI VALLEY TRUST COMPANY,)
Trustee,) Plaintiff,)
-vs-) No. 478-Equity.
OKLAHOMA UNION RAILWAY COMPANY, a)
corporation,) Defendant.)

FINAL DECREE OF FORECLOSURE AND SALE

This cause came on to be heard on this 1st day of May, 1930, on plaintiff's bill of complaint, the amended answer of the defendant Oklahoma Union Railway Company, and the several petitions of intervention of Standard Paving Company, United Brick & Tile Company, Fetal & Thomet Corporation, Griffin Wheel Company, and Monarch Cement Company, and the Court having heard the evidence and argument of counsel, upon consideration finds:

I.
GENERAL FINDINGS.

All of the allegations of the bill of complaint filed herein are true. The Court has jurisdiction of the parties to this cause and of the subject matter therein involved. The entry of this decree is necessary for the proper administration and disposition of the assets of the defendant, Oklahoma Union Railway Company, a corporation, and the protection of its bond-holders and other creditors.

II.
CERTAINTY OF PARTIES AND JURISDICTIONAL FACTS.

During all of the times mentioned in its bill of complaint herein, plaintiff, Mississippi Valley Trust Company, as Trustee, was and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Missouri, having its principal office and place of business in the City of St. Louis, State of Missouri, and was and now is a citizen and resident of the State and was and now is duly authorized and empowered to hold in trust the property conveyed to it and to execute the trusts expressed and declared in the mortgage and supplemental mortgage set up in said bill of complaint.

During all of the times mentioned in the bill of complaint herein, defendant, Oklahoma Union Railway Company, a corporation, (hereinafter sometimes called the "defendant Company") was and now is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Oklahoma, and was and now is a citizen and resident of the State and a resident and inhabitant of the Northern District of Oklahoma.

This cause is a suit of a civil nature between citizens of different states and is a controversy in the nature of a civil suit relating to properties over which this court has jurisdiction; and this suit was commenced to enforce an equitable remedy upon properties principally located in the Northern District of Oklahoma and partially located in the Eastern District of Oklahoma; the matter in controversy herein, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000.00.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WILLIAMSON DISTRICT COURT
MAY 1, 1930

District of
ETHEKA, OKLA.

OKLAHOMA
THURSDAY, MAY 1, 1930.

III.

PROCEEDINGS HAD HEREIN.

On the 29th day of June, 1929, the Mississippi Valley Trust Company, as Trustee, filed its bill of complaint herein praying, among other things, for the administration of the trusts under the mortgage and supplemental mortgage of the defendant Company, for judgment for the amount of the bonds and accrued interest, and for the foreclosure of said mortgages, for the sale of the mortgaged property, and for the appointment of a receiver of the mortgaged property. On said date the defendant Company duly filed herein its answer to said bill of complaint, admitting all of the allegations thereof.

Thereafter, on the 1st day of July, 1929, an order was duly made by this Court in said cause appointing J. A. Frates and F. A. Bodovitz, receivers of all of the property of the defendant Company, including said mortgaged property, and said receivers duly qualified and have since had custody and control of the said property and have been operating the same under the orders of this Court.

Except as aforesaid, no proceedings have been had at law or in equity for the recovery of the debt secured by the said mortgage and supplemental mortgage of the defendant Company.

IV.

EXECUTION OF MORTGAGE.

That on July 2, 1917, the defendant Company, in due exercise of its powers under the laws of the State of Oklahoma, and in pursuance of resolutions duly and regularly passed by its stockholders and Board of Directors at their respective meetings, duly and regularly authorized the issue of a series of bonds in the denomination of one Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars each, to be executed in the aggregate principal amount of Seven Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$750,000.00), of which there were executed and delivered to divers persons and corporations a total principal amount of Three Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$300,000.00), whereby the said defendant Company promised to pay to the order of the bearer or holder of each of said bonds the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00), with interest from date, July 2, 1917, at the rate of five per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and said bonds were due and payable on July 1, A. D. 1947.

The defendant Company, also, on July 2, 1917, duly and regularly made, executed and delivered to the plaintiff, as Trustee, its certain mortgage or deed of trust of even date, wherein and whereby, in order to secure the principal and interest on all of such aforesaid bonds at any time issued and outstanding, and to secure the performance and observance of all the covenants and conditions in said mortgage contained, granted, bargained, sold, aliened, released, conveyed, assigned, warranted, transferred, mortgaged and pledged unto the plaintiff, as Trustee, and its successor or successors, all the property hereinafter set out. A copy of said mortgage, or deed of trust, containing the term and tenor of said bonds, is attached to said bill of complaint herein, marked "Exhibit A".

That thereafter, on April 14, 1919, the defendant Company, by a supplement to the mortgage or deed of trust hereinbefore referred to, pursuant to resolutions duly adopted by its Stockholders and Board of Directors, agreed with the holders of the \$300,000.00 in bonds theretofore issued and delivered to them, to substitute therefor its new bonds in the same denomination and for the same amount and due and payable on the same date, but said new bonds to bear interest at the rate of six per cent per annum. That said \$300,000.00 in bonds previously issued by the defendant company herein, were returned to it and cancelled, and that new bonds bearing six per cent interest per annum were issued and delivered to the holders of the old bonds. A copy of said supplement to the mortgage or deed of trust is attached to said bill of complaint, marked "Exhibit B".

That thereafter the defendant Company issued and delivered to diverse persons and corporations an additional amount of its new six per cent bonds, in the total amount of Four Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$450,000.00), whereby the said defendant Company promised to pay to the order of the bearer or holder of each of said bonds, including the \$300,000.00 in bonds issued in lieu of the five per cent bonds,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

H. STEWART

District of

OKLAHOMA

CRIMINAL SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

THURSDAY,

MAY 1, 1930.

the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00), with interest from date, July 1, 1917, at the rate of six per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, all of said bonds to be due and payable on July 1, 1947.

The defendant Company, also, on April 14, 1919, made, executed and delivered to the plaintiff, as Trustee, the supplemental agreement above referred to, wherein and whereby, in order to secure the principal and interest on all such aforesaid new bonds at any time issued and outstanding, and to secure the performance and observance of all the covenants and conditions in said mortgage contained, granted, bargained, sold, aliened, released, conveyed, assigned, warranted, transferred, mortgaged and pledged unto the plaintiff, as Trustee, and its successor or successors, all the property described and set out in the mortgage and deed of trust hereinbefore referred to as "Exhibit A", and set out and described in the supplemental mortgage or deed of trust. Said Mississippi Valley Trust Company duly accepted the trust created by said mortgage.

Said mortgage was duly and regularly filed for record in the office of the Secretary of State of the State of Oklahoma, on the 27th day of August, 1917, and recorded in Public Service Corporation Record at Page _____ and the following pages. And said supplement to said mortgage was duly and regularly filed for record in the office of the Secretary of State of the State of Oklahoma, on the 21st day of April, 1919, and recorded in Book 10 at pages 312, 323. Said mortgage and supplement conveyed to the plaintiff any and all property then owned or which might thereafter be acquired by the defendant Company, or its successors, for use or for the purpose of any such lines of railway or appurtenances to the said properties, and also conveyed to the plaintiff any and all the rents, issues, tolls, profits and other income of any line of railway property then owned or which might thereafter be acquired by the defendant.

V.

ISSUE OF BONDS UNDER THE MORTGAGES.

The total amount of bonds issued by the defendant Company and secured by the mortgaged, "Exhibit A", and the supplement thereto, "Exhibit B", amount to \$750,000.00, all of which bear interest at the rate of six per cent per annum, and none of said bonds have been paid, cancelled or retired.

VI.

PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE LIEN OF THE MORTGAGES, AND OF THIS JUDGMENT.

Said mortgage constitutes a valid and subsisting lien upon all of the following described property of the defendant Company as described in said mortgage, and additions thereto, to-wit:

PARCEL "A" - CITY LINES

(Between the Petroleum Exposition Grounds in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and the Southern Terminus of said lines at 21st Street in West Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.)

ROUTE

Electric Street Railway Line beginning at a point approximately 300 feet east and 184 feet north from the Southwest corner of the Southeast quarter of Section Nine (9), Township Nineteen (19) North, Range Thirteen (13) East, Tulsa County, Oklahoma; thence in a westerly direction, through said Section Nine (9), to a point in Louisville Avenue, approximately 684 feet North from the Southwest corner of the Southeast quarter of the Southwest quarter of said Section Nine (9); thence North on Louisville Avenue to a point approximately 258 feet South from the South line of Thirteenth Street; thence in a Northwesterly direction, across Lots 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16 in Block 14 of Summit Heights Addition to the City of Tulsa, to a point in Thirteenth Street, approximately 258 feet West from the West line of Louisville Avenue; thence West on Thirteenth Street to a point approximately 168 feet East from the East line of Heloise Avenue; thence in a Northwesterly direction, through Lots 13 and 14 in Block 4 of Signal Addition to the City of Tulsa, to a

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, MAY 1, 1930.

point in Delaware Avenue, approximately 163 feet North from the North line of Thirteenth Street; thence North on Delaware Avenue, to a point approximately 163 feet South from the South line of Eleventh Street; thence in a Northwesterly direction, across the corner of the Northeast quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section Eight (8), Township Nineteen (19) North, Range Thirteen (13) East, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, to a point in Eleventh Street, approximately 168 feet West from the West line of Delaware Avenue; thence West on Eleventh Street to Elgin Avenue; thence North on Elgin Avenue to Fourth Street; thence West on Fourth Street to Elwood Avenue; thence North on Elwood Avenue to First Street; thence West on First Street, to a point approximately 140 feet west from the West line of Frisco Avenue; thence Southwesterly, approximately parallel to the St. L.-S.F.R.R. on private right of way, to the westerly line of Maybelle Avenue, approximately 350 feet Northeasterly from the Easterly end of the Arkansas River Bridge; thence on Maybelle Avenue to the Easterly end of the Arkansas River Bridge; beginning again at the Westerly end of the Arkansas River Bridge; thence Southwesterly, approximately parallel to the St. L.-S.F.R.R. on private right of way, through West Tulsa, to the West line of Twenty-first Street, in West Tulsa, near the Northeast corner of Lot 4, Block 2, of Clinton Addition to West Tulsa.

SECOND

Beginning at a point in the West bound track, near the Northeast corner of Block 96, original townsite of Tulsa; thence North on Guthrie Avenue to a point near the Southwest corner of Lot 9, Block 65, original townsite of Tulsa; thence in a Northeasterly direction, through Lots 9, 10, 11 and 1 in said Block 65, to a connection with the Sand Springs Railroad in Archer Street, near the Northeast corner of said Block 65.

THIRD

Beginning at or near the Southwest corner of Lot 9 in Block 65, original townsite of Tulsa; thence in a Northerly and Northwesterly direction, through Lots 9, 10 and 11 in said Block 65, across Guthrie Avenue, to a point in Archer Street at the West line of Guthrie Avenue; thence West on Archer Street to Quannah Avenue; thence North on Quannah Avenue to Brady Street; thence West on Brady Street to Rosedale Avenue; thence North on Rosedale Avenue to end of track, near the North line of Boston Street, all in the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Also all fixed property, such as tracks, double or single, track foundations, bridges, culverts, drains, rights of way and franchises, whether held by public or private grant, stations, station grounds, sidings, curves, switches, special work, track connections and everything which enters into the track and roadway in the most comprehensive sense; also all poles, wires, cables, conduits, fixtures and appurtenances which enter into and are a part of its overhead or underground trolley feed transmission and return system for the transmission and supply of electric current for the propulsion of its cars; and also all movable property consisting of city street railway cars and other equipment owned or used in the operation of the said electric railway lines; all power houses, power house machinery, car sheds, cars, rolling stock and shop equipment, shop machinery and tools and appurtenances of every kind and character, and all buildings of every kind and character now owned by the said railway company for use on its city electric street railway line; material, supplies, books, papers, records, accounts, franchises, licenses, agreements, contracts, rights, easements, privileges and immunities, and all other property and property rights of whatsoever character or nature and wherever situated, real, personal or mixed, now owned or at any time hereafter acquired, owned, held, possessed or enjoyed by, or in any manner conferred upon the railway company; and the reversion or rever-

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
NINTH SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, MAY 1, 1930.

sions, remainder, and remainders, revenues, rents, issues and profits thereof; and also all the estate, right, title and interest, property, possession, claims, and demands whatsoever, as well in equity as at law, of the company, and any and every part thereof; also all books, records, furniture and office supplies, and devices, appliances and equipment which the company now owns; also all and singular, all and every city line railway property, property right, franchise, ordinance, right and interest of any kind now owned or possessed and used or for use in connection with the operation and ownership of the said electric railway lines; provided, however, that nothing herein shall be construed to include any line, rolling stock, equipment, franchise or other right or property of the company unless the same be for the exclusive use of, for or on the street railway lines located within the City of Tulsa, or between the westerly end of the Arkansas River Bridge and the end of the City line track at the West line of 21st Street in West Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

PARCEL "B" - INTERURBAN LINES

(Between 21st Street in West Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and the Town of Hounds, Creek County, Oklahoma.)

FIRST

Electric Interurban Lines beginning at the West line of Twenty-First Street, West Tulsa, near the Northeast corner of Lot 4, Block 2, Clinton Addition to West Tulsa; thence Southwesterly, through Blocks, 2, 3, and 6, Clinton Addition to West Tulsa; thence South along the West line of Block 7 of Clinton Addition and Blocks 2, 3, 6, and 7, of Clinton Second Addition of West Tulsa; thence South and Southwesterly, through Sections 14, 25, 22, 27, 28, and 33 in Township 19 North, Range 12 East, to the Creek County Line; thence continuing in a southwesterly direction, through Sections 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, and 19, Township 18 North, Range 12 East; sections 24 and 25, Township 18 North, Range 11 East, in Creek County, Oklahoma, to the North Boundary of the City of Sapulpa; thence Southwesterly across Blocks 5, 6, 7, and 8, of Burnett's Refinery Addition to Sapulpa; thence across Blocks 1, 3, 6, and 7, of Women Addition to Sapulpa; thence across Blocks 2 and 3 of Roosevelt Addition to Sapulpa; thence across Block 1 of Downer Addition to Sapulpa; thence across Block 11 of North Heights Addition to Sapulpa; thence along the extension of Birch Street in the City of Sapulpa and parallel to the St.L.-S.F. RR Company right-of-way, to and across Blocks 29 and 34 of the original townsite of Sapulpa to Bevey Avenue; thence West on Bevey Avenue to Main Street; thence South on Main Street to a point approximately 460 feet South from the center line of Tuft Avenue, in said City of Sapulpa; thence in a Southeastly direction, through Sections 1, 2 and 12, Township 17 North, Range 11 East; thence through Sections 7, 17, and 18, Township 17 North, Range 11 East; to the North line of Indiana Avenue, in the Town of Keifer, Creek County, Oklahoma; thence South on "W" Street to the South line of Virginia Avenue; thence continuing South through Block 18, Original Town of Keifer, to the South line thereof.

SECOND

Beginning at a point in the main track, approximately 1110 feet South and 520 feet West from the Northeast corner of Section 18, Township 17 North, Range 12 East, Creek County, Oklahoma; thence in a Southeastly and Easterly direction to a point approximately 200 feet West from the Northeast corner of the Southwest Quarter of Section 17, Township 17 North, Range 12 East, Creek County, Oklahoma.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, MAY 1, 1930.

OKLAHOMA

THIRD

A loop in the City of Sapulpa, beginning at Park Street and Dewey Avenue; thence North on Park Street to Hobson Avenue; thence West on Hobson Avenue to Main Street; thence South on Main Street to Dewey Avenue, to a connection with the Main line.

FOURTH

A right-of-way One Hundred (100) feet in width, being fifty (50) feet in width on each side of a center line described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the West line of the Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 7, Township 17 North, Range 12 East, Creek County, Oklahoma, three hundred ninety-two (392) feet, more or less, South from the Northwest corner thereof; thence in a southeasterly direction, over and across the said Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter; the Southwest Quarter; and the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 7, to a point in the South line of said Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 7, which is two hundred fifty-one (251) feet, more or less, west from the Southeast corner thereof, and containing Eleven and Ninety-nine Hundredths (11.99) acres, more or less; also all fixed property, such as tracks, double or single, track foundations, bridges, culverts and drains, rights-of-way and franchises, whether held by public or private grant, stations, station grounds, sidings, curves, switches, special work, track connections and everything which enters into the track and roadway in the most comprehensive sense; also all poles, wires, cables, conduits, fixtures, and appurtenances which may enter into and become a part of its overhead or underground trolley feed transmission and return system for the transmission and supply of electric current for the propulsion of its cars; and also all movable property consisting of railway cars and other equipment owned or used in the operation of the said electric interurban railway lines; all power houses, power house machinery, car sheds, cars, rolling stock and shop equipment, shop machinery and tools and appurtenances of every kind and character, and all buildings of every kind and character now owned by the said railway company for use on its electric interurban street railway lines; also all and singular, all and every interurban line railway property, property rights, franchise, ordinance, right and interest of any kind now owned or possessed and used or for use in connection with the operation and ownership of said electric interurban railway lines; provided, however, that nothing herein shall be construed to include any line, rolling stock, equipment, franchise or other right or property of the company unless the same be for exclusive use of, for or on the electric interurban railway lines located within the limites herinbefore described between the West line of 21st Street in West Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and the South line of Block 10, original town of Kiefer, Creek County, Oklahoma, (the same being the South City Limits of Kiefer.)

In addition to the mortgaged property hereinbefore described, the defendant Company owns certain additional property in the possession of the receivers which is not included in said mortgage and supplement to the mortgage, but which is subject to the lien of the judgment herein rendered, and should be sold as a part of "Parcel B", to-wit:

Between the Towns of Kiefer, Creek County, Oklahoma, and Mounds, Creek County, Oklahoma, and including certain right-of-way between Mounds, Creek County, Oklahoma, and the proposed connection with the Oklahoma-Southwestern Railway at Tolon, Okmulgee County, Oklahoma.

FIFTH

Beginning at a point in the North line of the Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 30,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TRUSTEE SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA, THURSDAY, MAY 1, 1930.

Township 17 North, Range 12 East, Creek County, Oklahoma, approximately Ten Hundred Twenty-one (1021) feet East from the Northwest corner thereof, (said point being in the South line of Block Eighteen (18), original townsite of Kiefer, Creek County, Oklahoma); thence in a Southerly direction, approximately parallel to the St. L.-F. RR. through Sections 20, 29, and 32, Township 17 North, Range 12 East, and through Sections 5 and 6, Township 16 North, Range 12 East, to the North boundary of the Town of Mounds, Creek County, Oklahoma; thence in a Southwesterly direction, approximately parallel to the St. L.-F. RR., through Blocks 1, 6, and 7, of Taylor-Irvin Addition to the Town of Mounds to the South line of said Block 7; thence South along the center of Rockford Avenue, in the Town of Mounds to the center of Fourteenth Street; thence West along the center of Fourteenth Street to the Westerly line of Block 5, original townsite of Mounds; thence in Northeasterly direction, through said Block 5 and across Fifteenth Street, to a connection with the main line, near the North line of said Fifteenth Street.

SEMI

A right-of-way acquired in 1924, in Creek and Okmulgee Counties, Oklahoma, for the purpose of constructing an extension of the Oklahoma Union Railway Company from Mounds to a connection with the Oklahoma-Southwestern Railway near Tolon, Okmulgee County, Oklahoma, as follows, to-wit:

A portion of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, Block 6, of Eggner Addition to Mounds; a strip of land One Hundred (100) feet in width across and through Sections 17 and 18, Township 16 North, Range 13 East, Creek County, Oklahoma.

A strip of land One Hundred (100) feet in width across and through the East Half of the Northeast Quarter and the Northeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 19; Part of the West Half of the Southwest Quarter of Section 30, Township 16 North, Range 13 East; Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 36, Township 16 North, Range 11 East, Okmulgee County, Oklahoma.

A strip of land One Hundred (100) feet in width across and through Section 1: Northwest Quarter and the Southwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 13; Southeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 14; North Half of the Southeast Quarter and the West Half of the Northwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 23; West Half of Section 25; and the West Half of the East Half of Section 24, all in Township 15 North, Range 11 East, Okmulgee County, Oklahoma.

A strip of land One Hundred (100) feet in width across and through the Northeast Quarter of Section 3; West Half of the Southeast Quarter and the Southwest Quarter of Section 4; West Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 9; East Half and the Southeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 8, all in Township 15 North, Range 11 East, Okmulgee County, Oklahoma.

A strip of land One Hundred Fifty (150) feet in width across and through the South Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 19; the Northeast Quarter, the South Half of the Northwest Quarter and part of the West Half of the Southwest Quarter of Section 20; all in Township 16 North, Range 13 East, Okmulgee County, Oklahoma.

Equity in a strip of land One Hundred Fifty (150) feet in width over and across the Southeast Quarter and the West Half of Section 3; the West Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 4; and the South Half of the Northwest Quarter and the Northwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 19, all in Township 16 North, Range 11 East, Okmulgee County, Oklahoma.

Also all fixed property, such as tracks, double or single, track foundations, bridges, culverts and drains, rights-of-way and franchises, whether held by public or private grant, stations, station grounds, sidings, curves, switches, special work, tie connections and everything which enters into the track and roadway in the most complete

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WASHINGTON
 DISTRICT COURT
 U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
 70553

District of COLUMBIA
 WASHINGTON, MAY 1, 1930.

ensive sense; also all poles, wires, cables, conduits, fixtures and appurtenances which enter into and are a part of its overhead or underground trolley feed transmission and return system for the transmission and supply of electric current for the propulsion of electric cars; also all appurtenances of every kind and character, and all buildings of every kind and character now owned by the said Railway Company on this section of its lines; also all and singular, real and every electric interurban line railway property, property right, franchise, ordinance, right and interest of any kind now owned or possessed and used or for use in connection with the operation and ownership of the said electric interurban railway lines.

VII.

DECLARED UNDER THE MORTGAGES.

Default was made by the defendant Company in the payment of interest on its bonds pursuant to the terms thereof and the provisions of Articles VII and VIII of the trust mortgage, in that on \$650,000.00 of said bonds no interest has been paid since July 1, 1924, and on the remaining \$100,000.00 of said bonds no interest has been paid since July 1, 1928. By the terms of said mortgage and the supplement thereto, it is provided among other things, that, in the event of default in the payment of any interest on any of the said bonds for a period of sixty days, the plaintiff-trustee may (and, at the request of the holders of a majority of said bonds shall) declare the principal of all of said bonds immediately due and payable and that, in such event, such principal shall immediately become due and payable and also, that, in the latter event, said Trustee may (and, at the request of the holders of a majority of said bonds shall) foreclose in any court of competent jurisdiction for the purpose of selling the said property described in the said mortgage and the supplement thereto, and, further, that in that event, the trustee shall be entitled as of right, without notice, to the appointment of a Receiver of said mortgaged properties. More than sixty days had elapsed since such default in the payment of interest, and pursuant to said mortgage and supplement thereto plaintiff as Trustee, upon the request of the holders of a majority of said bonds, duly declared the principal of all of said bonds immediately due and payable. No part of such principal has been paid, nor any interest since the dates aforesaid, and said plaintiff is entitled to a judgment for the entire amount of said bonds and interest unpaid, and to a foreclosure and sale of the mortgaged property under the bill of complaint herein.

VIII.

AMOUNT DUE FOR PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST ON THE BONDS

The following sum is now due and payable for principal and interest upon all of the bonds of the defendant Company as of the date of this decree:

Principal amount of bonds	\$750,000.00
Interest on \$650,000.00 of bonds since July 1, 1924, at the rate of six per cent per annum,	227,500.00
Interest on \$100,000.00 of bonds since July 1, 1928, at the rate of six per cent per annum,	11,000.00
Total	\$ 988,500.00

IX.

PETITIONS OF INTERVENTION AND PRIOR LIEN CLAIMS.

The allegations of the several petitions filed herein on behalf of the Standard Raving Company, United Brick & Tile Company, Metal & Thermit Corporation, Griffin Wheel Company, and Monarch Cement Company,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

REGULAR SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

THURSDAY,

MAY 1, 1930.

are found to be true as to each of such petitions, and the said intervenors are entitled to judgment against the defendant in the following amounts, with attorney fees:

Standard Paving Company	\$1,511.65	\$265.00	Atty. Fee
United Brick & Tile Company	1,136.58	200.00	" "
Metal & Thermit Corporation	854.03	150.00	" "
Griffin Wheel Company	1,052.30	150.00	" "
Monarch Cement Company	1,952.25	385.00	" "

Each of said intervenors having complied with the laws of the State of Oklahoma providing for perfecting of liens of materialmen on railroad property, the claims of each of said intervenors, as aforesaid, are adjudged to constitute valid and subsisting liens on the property of the defendant superior and prior to the lien of the bonds sued on herein.

The following claims having been filed and approved in accordance with stipulation waiving objections to priority herein, are also found to constitute prior liens on the property of the defendant, without allowance of any attorneys fees:

E. H. Braly	\$1,501.60
Wenith Limestone Company,	138.26
Glenn Sand Company,	420.20
International Steel Tie Company,	2,700.00
St. Louis Frog & Switch Company,	1,092.55

SALE OF PROPERTY IN SEVERAL PARCELS.

It is for the best interest of all parties that the property described in Article VI of this decree, and hereinafter ordered to be sold, shall be sold in two parcels as hereinafter provided.

II.

JUDGMENT RENDERED.

IT IS ORDERED BY THIS COURT (SIGNED), ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

That the plaintiff herein have judgment as Trustee for said bond holders against the defendant, Oklahoma Union Railway Company, for the amount of the principal of bonds and interest as set out in paragraph VIII herein, to-wit, \$900,500.00, and that said judgment shall bear interest hereafter at the rate of six per cent per annum, and is hereby declared a first and prior lien upon all of the properties hereinbefore described as set out in paragraph VI hereof, subject only to such claims and liens as are by this decree expressly given priority.

III.

PAYMENT OF BOND INTEREST AND PRINCIPAL TO BE PAID TO THE HOLDERS OF SAID BONDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF SAID BONDS.

The defendant Company shall pay or cause to be paid within ten days after the entry of this decree, to the plaintiff, as Trustee, for the use and benefit of the holders of the aforesaid outstanding bonds and of the coupons appertaining thereto, the sum hereinbefore in Article VIII of this decree found to be due for principal and interest on said bonds, with interest on said total amount from the date of this decree to the date of payment at the rate of six per cent per annum; and shall within like time pay to the receivers herein for the use and benefit of the intervenors and other lien claimants the sums hereinbefore in Article IX of this decree found to be due to such claimants, with interest from the date of this decree to the date of payment, at the rate of six per cent per annum.

In default of such payments, it is ordered that all and singular the property described in Article VI of this decree shall be sold as hereinafter provided, and all of the right, title, interest and equity or reversion therein of the defendant Company, its creditors and stockholders and all persons claiming or to claim under it or them, or

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
HENRY S. BROWN
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

OKLAHOMA

THURSDAY, MAY 1, 1930.

any of them, in and to said property and every part and parcel thereof, shall be forever barred and foreclosed.

VII.

TERMS AND TERMS OF SALE OF PROPERTY.

The sale of the property shall be made in the following manner and on the following terms:

1. All sales shall be sold at public auction by J. J. [redacted], Esquire, who is hereby appointed Special Master to make and conduct said sales and to execute and deliver a deed or deeds of conveyance and transfer of the property to be sold to the purchaser or purchasers thereof, pursuant to the order confirming the sale and upon payment of the purchase price as hereinafter provided.

2. The Defendant, Oklahoma Union Railway Company, having by the terms of the trust mortgage expressly waived all benefit of any right of redemption, stay or extension of execution, and consented to the sale of the property covered by said mortgage and to the sale of the mortgage given as security for the afore-mentioned bond, and it is without delay, without appraisal, and it further appearing to the Court that the property covered by said mortgage consists of both real estate and personalty which are so intermingled and interwoven that each class of property is essential to the other in furnishing the public service and performing the public transportation business in which the defendant is engaged, and that any segregation thereof would be detrimental to the interests of all parties hereto, and that it is necessary that such property be sold without delay and without any period for redemption; it is ordered that the sale of said property be held by the Special Master at any time within sixty (60) days after the entry of this decree, at the West front door of the Court House in the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and after publication of notice of such sale once each week for at least four successive weeks in the Tulsa Tribune, a newspaper printed regularly, issued and having a general circulation in the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and in the Sapulpa Herald, a newspaper printed regularly, issued and having a general circulation in the City of Sapulpa, Creek County, State of Oklahoma, which notice shall state the time, place and terms of sale, describing the property to be sold as Parcels "A" and "B", and referring the intending purchaser to this decree for further particulars.

3. The Court further finds that it is provided by Article VIII, Section 3, of said mortgage that in case of any judicial sale of the mortgaged property, or any part thereof, any bond holder or bond holders, or the Trustee, may bid for and purchase such property, and, upon compliance with the terms of sale may hold, retain, possess and dispose of such property in their own absolute right, without further accountability, and shall be entitled for the purpose of making settlement or payment for the property purchased, to use and apply any bonds and any matured and unpaid coupons thereby accrued, subject, however, to the provisions of Section 2 of Article VII thereof, by presenting such bonds and coupons, in order that there may be credited thereon the sum apportionable and applicable thereto out of the net proceeds of such sale; and thereupon such purchaser shall be credited, on account of such purchase price payable by him, with the sum applicable and apportionable out of such net proceeds to the payment of or as credit on the bonds and coupons so presented.

4. The Special Master shall have the power to adjourn any such sale or sales from time to time by announcement at the time and place appointed for such sale or sales.

5. The Special Master shall first offer all of the property designated in Article VI of this decree as "Parcel A" for sale in one lot or parcel as an entirety. The Special Master shall then offer all of the property designated in Article VI of this decree as "Parcel B" for sale in one lot or parcel as an entirety. The Special Master shall then offer for sale in one lot as an entirety the property described and designated Parcels "A" and "B".

6. The Special Master shall receive no bid for the property designated as "Parcel A" from any bidder who shall not first deposit with him as a pledge that he will make good his bid in case of his acceptance Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) in cash. The Special

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

TRUSTEES' SALES

TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, MAY 1, 1930.

Master shall receive no bid for the property designated as "Parcel B" from any bidder who shall not first deposit with him as such pledge Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000.00) in cash. The Special Master shall receive no bid for the entire property composed of Parcels "A" and "B" from any bidder who shall not first deposit with him as such pledge Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000.00) in cash. The deposit made by any unsuccessful bidder shall be returned to such bidder upon final rejection of his bid. The deposit made by any successful bidder shall be applied on account of the purchase price of the property.

7. The Special Master shall accept the highest and best bid received for each parcel of property when offered as aforesaid, unless upon offering all of the property, to-wit, Parcels "A" and "B", for sale as an entirety, a larger sum is bid therefor than the aggregate of the highest separate bids received for the separate parcels, and shall knock down the same to such bidder or bidders subject to confirmation of the sale by the Court.

9. The plaintiff, as Trustee, or any holder or holders of the bonds of the defendant Company, or any creditor or creditors of the defendant Company, may bid at any sale, and if a successful bidder, may purchase in his or their own right.

XIII.

PAYMENT BY PURCHASER FOR PROPERTY.

Upon the acceptance of bids from the same purchaser for the properties designated as "Parcel A" and "Parcel B" and the confirmation of such sale by the Court, such purchaser shall pay to the Special Master, after application thereto of any amounts theretofore deposited by such purchaser in cash, the sum of Seventy-five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000.00) as the estimated requirement to satisfy the following:

(a) Costs, allowances and disbursements in this cause, including the sum of \$1525.03 advanced for such costs and expenses by T. B. Click, to be refunded to him.

(b) Expenses of the sale.

(c) Compensation and expenses of the Special Master in connection with the sale of the mortgaged property.

(d) Taxes, assessments and other prior charges constituting a lien upon the mortgaged property.

(e) Expenses, fees and other charges of the plaintiff, as Trustee, under the mortgage, and reasonable compensation for its services and the services and disbursements of its counsel.

(f) The unpaid compensation of the counsel for the Receivers herein, and any unpaid indebtedness and obligations of the said Receivers properly incurred by such Receivers in maintaining and operating the property.

(g) The amount necessary to satisfy the claims of intervenors herein, such disbursements as adjudged to be due in Article 7 hereof, and all claims for current operating expenses classed as preferred claims under Class 5 of the report of the Special Master as heretofore approved herein, the total of such claims amounting to 44,162.45.

(h) The claim of the receivers herein for \$11,376.64 on account of moneys advanced for pay-roll and necessary expenses prior to receivership, which is hereby allowed, and ordered paid as a prior and preferred claim.

In the event the properties "Parcel A" and "Parcel B" shall be purchased by different purchasers, the purchaser of each parcel shall make payment in cash to the Special Master of the same proportion of the said sum of \$75,000.00 as the amount bid by such purchaser for the parcel purchased by him shall bear to the aggregate amount of the accepted and confirmed bids for both such parcels of property. In the event only one of said parcels of property shall be sold, the purchaser of such parcel shall pay in cash the total of said sum of \$75,000.00 to the care of the Special Master, subject to (a) and (b) inclusive of this Article.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Oklahoma

FILED, CLERK OF COURT. THURSDAY, MAY 1, 1930.

All questions relating to the amount of compensation, allowances, costs, disbursements and expenses are hereby reserved by this Court for further hearing and determination, and all payments to be made under this Article of this Decree shall be hereafter determined and fixed by this Court.

The balance of the purchase price of either parcel of the property not paid for in cash as hereinabove provided shall be paid in cash, or by the use of bonds or bond holders or the purchase price may be paid by the use of bonds and coupons secured by such purchase price by presenting such bonds and coupons to the Special Master in order that there may be credited thereon the sum apportionable and applicable thereto out of the net proceeds of such sale; and thereupon such purchaser shall be credited on account of such purchase price payable to him with the sum apportionable and applicable out of such net proceeds to the payment of or as credit on the bonds and coupons so presented, that is to say, such bonds and coupons when delivered to such Special Master shall be received at such price or value as shall be equivalent to the sum which would be applicable thereon out of the net proceeds of such sale if said in cash to the holder of said bonds and coupons for his just share of proportion of such net proceeds, and such purchaser shall be credited for said bonds and coupons on account of the purchase price of the property purchased by such purchaser accordingly; and the balance unpaid of the bid of such purchaser after allowing credit for the bonds and coupons so delivered shall be paid in cash by such purchaser.

Payment of the purchase price in the manner herein provided of any of the property sold hereunder shall be made within thirty (30) days after the confirmation of the sale of such property unless otherwise directed by the Court.

Any payment required by the provisions of this Article or the preceding Article to be made in cash to be made by the delivery of a certified check or checks issued by any solvent bank or trust company, payable to the order of or endorsed to the Special Master.

In the event that any successful bidder shall omit or refuse to pay the balance of said purchase price upon confirmation of the sale within the time and in the manner herein provided, then the certified check deposited by said bidder shall be forfeited and retained by the Special Master and delivered to the Receivers herein to be used in paying claims, expenses and other indebtedness in the same manner as any other funds in the hands of the Receivers and under the orders of this Court, and the confirmation of the sale to such purchaser shall be set aside and the property readvertised and sold by the Special Master. All amounts of money received by the Special Master shall be deposited by him forthwith with the First National Bank and Trust Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma, for application as herein directed.

KIV.

APPLICATION OF PROCEEDS OF SALE OF PROPERTY.

Upon any sale being made of the property, or any part thereof, as provided in this decree, the funds arising from said sale shall be applied and distributed as follows:

1. To the payment of the costs, expenses, charges and claims set out in Article XIII hereof, being paragraphs (a) to (h) inclusive, and in the order therein listed.
2. To the payment of the whole amount due and unpaid upon the bonds of the defendant Company as found and adjudged in Article VIII of this decree, with interest on said amount from the date of this decree to the date of distribution at the rate of six per cent per annum; and in case such proceeds shall be insufficient to pay in full the amount so due and unpaid upon the said bonds, then to the payment of such principal and interest without preference or priority of interest over principal or of principal over interest.
3. The surplus, if any, shall be paid to the unsecured creditors in the amounts found to be due in the report of the Special Master herein, as listed therein under Class 4, as modified in the order of this Court entered February 17, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of O LAHOMA
FIRST SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, MAY 1, 1930.

The Special Master shall pay upon each bond and coupon presented to him for payment, or credit upon each bond or coupon presented to him on account of the purchase price, the amount applicable to the payment thereof, or the amount to be credited thereon on account of the payment of the purchase price, and shall cancel such bonds or coupons as are paid in full. In case the bonds and coupons are not paid in full in cash or by the allowance of a credit on account of the purchase price, the Special Master shall stamp, or cause to be stamped on such bonds and coupons the amount paid or credited upon same and shall return the said bonds or coupons to the persons or parties who have presented the same for payment.

The Special Master shall report the amount applicable to the payment of such bonds and coupons as may not be presented to him for payment, and the amounts of such bonds and coupons, and shall hold or dispose of the funds applicable to the payment of such unrepresented bonds and coupons, subject to the further order and direction of the court.

The purchaser shall not be bound to see to the application of the purchase money realized at said sale, nor be answerable in any manner whatsoever, for any loss, misapplication or non-application of any such purchase money, or any part thereof.

IV.

SPECIAL MASTER'S DUTIES AND DELIVERY OF PROPERTY SOLD.

Upon confirmation of the sale of any part of the property, and in case upon compliance with all the terms of the sale and upon payment of the purchase price by the purchaser or upon the making of such provision for the payment thereof as the Court shall approve, the Special Master shall execute and deliver a good and sufficient deed or deeds or instrument or instruments of conveyance and transfer, conveying and transferring the property purchased to the purchaser, and shall deliver such property to the purchaser, and upon the request of the purchaser the defendant Company shall join in any such deeds or instruments of conveyance or transfer. The possession of the purchaser shall in any case, nevertheless, be subject to the condition that the Court may retake and resell the property so conveyed or transferred, in case the purchaser shall fail to pay any balance of the purchase price remaining unpaid, or comply with any other provision of this decree.

Upon the delivery by the Special Master of any deed or other instrument of conveyance or transfer, as herein decreed, the grantee therein named and his successors or assigns shall be entitled to receive all revenues in the operation of such property subsequent to the date of sale.

It is further ordered that upon confirmation of the sale of the property of the defendant as herein provided, that the defendant and each and every person claiming under, by or through it, whether lien holders or otherwise, and all claimants, and creditors of the defendant, and any and all other persons, be, and they are hereby barred of and from any and all claims, right, title or interest in and to said property so sold, or any part or parcel thereof, and hereby perpetually enjoined from enforcing or attempting to enforce by legal proceedings or otherwise, any claim or claims against said property or the purchaser thereof.

V.

DEFICIENCY JUDGMENT.

In case the proceeds of the sale of the property herein described shall not be sufficient, after making the other payments in this decree directed to be paid, to pay in full the amount in article VIII of this decree found to be due and unpaid upon the bonds of the defendant Company, together with interest thereon, a decree may be rendered for any balance found to be due over and above the proceeds of the sale.

370
In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WEST VIRGINIA

District of

OKLAHOMA

MISSISSIPPI VALLEY TRUST COMPANY

OKLAHOMA, OKLAHOMA

THURSDAY, MAY 1, 1930.

XVII.

MATTERS RESERVED.

All questions, issues, matters and things not hereby disposed of are hereby reserved by the Court for its further determination, and for the purpose of making any further order or decree in relation to any matter arising in connection with this decree or any sale thereunder, the present term of this Court is hereby continued.

DONE this 1st day of May, 1930.

F. E. FENNER
U. S. District Judge.

C. E.

T. F. Pierce
R. A. Kleinschmidt
Solicitors for Plaintiff.

T. J. Grant
Solicitor for Defendant.

Mason, Williams & Lynch
Edwin L. Lashley
Mal F. Rambo
Russell D. James
Ramsey, DeBeules, Martin & Logan
W. L. Smith for Smith & Underwood
Solicitors for Interveners.

RECORDED: Filed May 1 1930
H. P. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE WEST VIRGINIA
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MISSISSIPPI VALLEY TRUST COMPANY,
Trustee, Plaintiff,)

-vs-

OKLAHOMA UNION TRAVEL COMPANY,
Defendant.)

NO. 470-Equity.

C O U R T
O R D E R

On this 1st day of May, 1930, it appearing to the Court upon the statement of the solicitor for the plaintiff herein that on March 1, 1930, the corporate name of the said plaintiff was changed from Mississippi Valley Merchants State Trust Company to Mississippi Valley Trust Company,

IT IS ORDERED that all further proceedings herein be had in the name of the said Mississippi Valley Trust Company, as trustee, a plaintiff.

F. E. FENNER
U. S. District Judge.

T. F. Pierce
R. A. Kleinschmidt
Solicitors for plaintiff.

RECORDED: Filed May 1 1930
H. P. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OREGON
FIRST SESSION TERM, OREGON MAY DAY, MAY 1, 1930.

W. W. WISNER, MEE DICK, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 499 - Equity.
J. J. JENSEN, ET AL, Defendants. }

Now on this 1st day of May, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that motion filed herein be stricken by agreement and is not to be reassigned unless requested.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OREGON.

Highly Wiggly Corporation, Plaintiff, }
- vs - } No. 509 in Equity.
W. A. Gash, Defendant. }

ORDER

On this 1st day of May, 1930, the above matter comes on for hearing on motion of the plaintiff to require defendant to make his answer more definite and certain. On consideration of said motion, it is hereby overruled, to which action of the court the plaintiff acquiesces.

The plaintiff is hereby given twenty (20) days from the date hereof within which to file reply to the defendant's answer.

W. E. KILPATRICK
JUDGE

FILED: Filed May 1 1930
W. E. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OREGON.

Franklin J. Warden, Plaintiff, }
vs. } Equity No. 514.
Big Three Holding & Equipment Company, a corporation, Defendant. }

ORDER OVERRULING IN PART AND SUSTAINING IN PART DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS TO INTERROGATORIES.

Now on this 1st day of May, 1930, came on regularly for hearing the objections of the defendant to the interrogatories propounded by the plaintiff, the plaintiff appearing by its solicitor, L. B. Schmorleyer, and the defendant appearing by its solicitors, J. E. Holt and Fred J. Hollin, and the same was presented, and the court after hearing the said, ORDERS:

That defendant's objections to interrogatories numbered one, two, three and four be and the same are sustained, and that defendant's objections to interrogatory numbered five, which is in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

"As to each of the plaintiffs in suit, specify which of the same are, reflections and alleged prior acts set up in the answer, defendant will very much at the trial.

be and the same are overruled and that defendant be required to answer the same within fifteen days from this date.

W. E. KILPATRICK
JUDGE
FILED: Filed May 1 1930

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

THURSDAY, MAY 1, 1930.

U.S.G. ... Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 521 - Equity.
... Defendants. }

Now on this 1st day of May, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that motions filed herein be taken under advisement.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

REAL ESTATE MORTGAGE TRUST COMPANY, a Corporation, Trustee, and OLIVER D. SCHNAARE, Plaintiffs, }
vs. } No. 522
LOUIE JACOBS, FANNIE JACOBS, DREYFUS BROTHERS, a Corporation, THE WHITE COMPANY, a Corporation, and NATIONAL BANK OF COMMERCE, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, a Corporation, Defendants. : In Equity.

ORDER OVERRULING MOTIONS TO DISMISS

Now on this the 1st day of May, 1930, the separate motions of the defendants, Louie Jacobs and Fannie Jacobs to dismiss plaintiffs' bill of complaint, coming on for hearing pursuant to assignment, and the same having been presented and argued, and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that the same should be overruled and denied.

IT IS THEREFORE, by the Court ordered, considered and adjudged that the said motions to dismiss be, and the same hereby are overruled and denied, to which the said defendants except, and their exceptions by the Court are allowed, and upon request of said defendants they are given twenty days from this date in which to answer said bill of complaint.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 17 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

W. H. SNEED, Trustee, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 524 - Equity.
MARGARET E. McBRIDE, Defendant. }

Now on this 1st day of May, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that defendant's motion be passed temporarily.

MILDRED STOCKTON MCKOWN, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 528 Equity.
TOWN OF GLEN POOL, ET AL, Defendants. }

Now on this 1st day of May, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that defendant's motion requiring plaintiff to more fully set out facts is hereby overruled and exceptions are allowed. Defendant is given ten days to plead or twenty days to answer.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, MAY 1, 1930.

MILDRED STOCKTON MCKOWN, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 529 - Equity.
BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE }
TOWN OF KELLEYVILLE, OKLA., } Defendants. }

Now on this 1st day of May, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that motion of defendant to dismiss be taken under advisement.

NORTH AMERICAN LIFE ASSURANCE }
COMPANY, ET AL, } Plaintiffs, }
vs. } No. 530 - Equity.
CITY OF DRUMRIGHT, ET AL, } Defendants. }

Now on this 1st day of May, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that motion of defendant to dismiss be taken under advisement.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 534 Equity.
D. E. TALBUTT, Effie L. Talbutt, and }
Barnsdall State Bank, a Corporation, } Defendants. }

ORDER OVERRULING DEMURRERS AND FIXING TIME IN WHICH TO PLEAD.

Now on this 1st day of May, 1930, there coming on for hearing, pursuant to setting, demurrers on behalf of defendants, Barnsdall State Bank and Effie L. Talbutt, and the Court, after hearing statement of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that said demurrers should be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said demurrers, and each of them, be and the same are hereby overruled, and that said defendants, and each of them is given 20 days from this date in which to answer.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed May 2 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
EQUITY SESSION

District of OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, MAY 2, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, May 2, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. Dist. Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

BROWN-CRUMER INV. CO.,	Plaintiff,	}	No. 472 - Equity.
vs.			
CITY OF MIAMI,	Defendant.		

Now on this 2nd day of May, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that final decree be entered in the above entitled cause in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant as per Journal Entry to be filed.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

T. B. SLICK,	Plaintiff	}	No. 510-Equity
-vs-			
UNION TRANSPORTATION CO., a corporation,	Defendant.		

ORDER AUTHORIZING RECEIVERS TO EXECUTE CONTRACT
WITH PICKWICK GREYHOUND LINES, INC.

On this 2d day of May, 1930, upon the verified petition of J. A. Frates and F. A. Bodovitz, the duly, qualified and acting Receivers of the Union Transportation Company; and it being made to appear to the Court that it will be to the best advantage, interest and profit of the said Receivership operations that motor buses operated by said Receivers operate from and out of the station and depot maintained by the Pickwick Greyhound Lines, Inc., and that it will be to the best interest, profit and advantage that said Receivers execute the contract for such provisions; and it further appearing to the Court that the form of contract attached to Receivers application on file herein and identified as Exhibit "A" is suitable and proper, and for other good cause shown;

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COURT ORDERED, That J. A. Frates and F. A. Bodovitz, Receivers of the Union Transportation Company, a corporation, be, and they are hereby authorized and empowered to forthwith execute a contract with Pickwick Greyhound Lines, Inc., in form and upon the terms set forth and described in the verified application of said Receivers on file herein;

It is further ordered that said Receivers withdraw bus operations from the Union Bus Station in the City of Tulsa and take the proper and legal steps to accomplish said purposes and to terminate and cancel contract between the said Union Transportation Company and the Union Bus Station.

F. E. KENNAMER
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 2 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 5, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, MAY 5, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Monday, May 5th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. Dist. Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Tom Jefferson, Administrator of the Estate of :
Jane Jefferson, Deceased, and Tom Jefferson, :
Sam Jefferson, Raleigh Jefferson, Jane Morrison, :
Meadie (or Medye) Tillman, Hannah Pete, Jim :
Jefferson, Myra Ousley, Jane White and Abraham :
Jefferson, as heirs of Jane Jefferson, deceased, :

Plaintiffs, :

No. 29 Equity ✓

Vs. :

Gypsy Oil Company, Noble Oil & Gas Company, :
John H. King, Ida H. King, H. H. Bell, Erminie :
H. Bell and H. G. Barnard, :

Defendants. :

O R D E R

The above entitled cause coming on to be heard before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the above named court, in the court room of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, located in the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, upon motion and application of Tom Jefferson, as administrator of the estate of Jane Jefferson, deceased, and Tom Jefferson, Sam Jefferson, Raleigh Jefferson, Jane Morrison, Meadie (or Medye) Tillman, Hannah Pete, Jim Jefferson, Myra Ousley, Jane White and Abraham Jefferson, heirs of Jane Jefferson, deceased, for an order reviving the above entitled cause in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma in the name of the said Tom Jefferson, as administrator of the estate of Jane Jefferson, deceased, and in the names of the said Tom Jefferson, Sam Jefferson, Raleigh Jefferson, Jane Morrison, Meadie (or Medye) Tillman, Hannah Pete, Jim Jefferson, Myra Ousley, Jane White and Abraham Jefferson, the said petitioners and applicants appearing by Stuart, Coakley & Doerner, their attorneys, and the defendants appearing by their attorneys, and it appearing to the court that due notice of the filing of said notice and application of revivor of the hearing of same on this date before the undersigned judge was duly and legally served on said defendants, and said defendants offering no objections to said revivor, and the court being further satisfied that Jane Jefferson, the original plaintiff herein, departed this life on or about the 8th day of January, 1930, and that the cause of action stated in the petition survives to Tom Jefferson, administrator of said estate, and Tom Jefferson, Sam Jefferson, Raleigh Jefferson, Jane Morrison, Meadie (or Medye) Tillman, Hannah Pete, Jim Jefferson, Myra Ousley, Jane White and Abraham Jefferson, as heirs of Jane Jefferson, deceased, and that said action ought to be revived in the name of said persons.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that said action be and the same is hereby revived in the name of Tom Jefferson, as administrator of the estate of Jane Jefferson, deceased, and in the names of Tom Jefferson, Sam Jefferson, Raleigh Jefferson, Jane Morrison, Meadie (or Medye) Tillman, Hannah Pete, Jim Jefferson, Myra Ousley, Jane White and Abraham Jefferson, as heirs of Jane Jefferson, deceased, and that all further proceedings therein be in the names of such persons.

It is further ordered that plaintiffs and applicants be and they are hereby permitted to re-file supplemental petition heretofore filed, within five days from date hereof.

DONE in open court this 28th day of April, 1930.

ENDORSED: Filed May 5 1930 F. E. KENNAMER
H. P. Warfield, Clerk. ME U. S. District Judge.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
EQUITY SESSION
1930

District of
PAWUSKA, OKLAHOMA. TUESDAY, MAY 6, 1930.

OKLAHOMA

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, May 6th, 1930,
at Pawhuska, Oklahoma.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. Dist. Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

JOHN H. DYKES, RECEIVER,	Plaintiff,	} No. 184 - Equity.
vs.		
G. R. LITTLE, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the
Court that motions filed herein be passed for hearing until Saturday,
May 10th, 1930.

JOHN H. DYKES, RECEIVER,	Plaintiff,	} No. 187 - Equity.
vs.		
G. R. LITTLE, ET AL,	Defendants.	

Now on this 6th day of May, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the
Court that motions filed herein be passed for hearing until Saturday,
May 10th, 1930.

Court adjourned until May 10, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION PAWHUSKA, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, MAY 10, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, May 10th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Harwood Keaton, Receiver of the First National Bank of Barnsdall, Oklahoma, Plaintiff,
vs.
Mary E. Little et al., Defendants.
No. 184
Equity.

O R D E R.

On this 10th day of May, 1930, this cause comes on to be heard upon motions filed by the plaintiff herein to strike certain portions of the separate answers of the defendants Mary E. Little and Rowe Little, said defendants each being present by their attorneys of record, Frank T. McCoy and John T. Craig, and said plaintiff appearing not, either in person or by counsel. And the court being fully advised in the premises, finds that said motions to strike, and each of them, are not well taken and should be overruled, and it is therefore

Ordered by the court, that the motions filed by the plaintiff herein to strike certain portions of the separate answers of the defendants Mary E. Little and Rowe Little, and each of them, be, and they hereby are, overruled.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge

ENDORSED: Filed May 10 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Harwood Keaton, Receiver of the First National Bank of Barnsdall, Oklahoma, Plaintiff,
vs.
Mary E. Little, Executrix of the Estate of G. R. Little, Deceased, Defendant.
No. 187
Equity.

O R D E R.

On this 10th day of May, 1930, this cause comes on to be heard upon the motion of the defendant herein to vacate and set aside the order of sale heretofore issued in this cause, defendant being present by her attorneys, Frank T. McCoy and John T. Craig, and the plaintiff appearing not, either in person or by counsel. And the court being fully advised in the premises and on consideration thereof, finds that said motion should be overruled and denied, and it is therefore

Ordered by the court, that defendant's said motion to vacate the order of sale heretofore issued herein be, and the same hereby is, overruled and denied. To which ruling and order of the court said defendant excepts.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 10 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION PAWEUSKA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, MAY 15, 1930.

1930. Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, May 15th,

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Harwood Keaton, Receiver
of the First National Bank
of Barnsdall, Oklahoma, Plaintiff,
Vs.
Mary E. Little, et al, Defendants.)
No. 184 Equity. ✓

O R D E R

On this 15th day of May, 1930, this cause comes on to be heard upon the motion of plaintiff to strike portions of separate paragraphs of the answers of defendants, Mary E. Little and Rowe Little, and there appeared Frank T. McCoy and John T. Craig, attorneys for defendants, Mary E. Little and Rowe Little, and Robert B. Keenan, attorney for plaintiff. The Court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that the order heretofore entered, on the 10th day of May, 1930, should be vacated, in accordance with the oral application of plaintiff, and that, after hearing said motions, that same should be overruled.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, by the Court, that said motions are overruled, and plaintiff is allowed exceptions as to each of said motions, and, upon application of plaintiff, plaintiff is allowed ten (10) days from this date in which to reply to the answers of Mary E. Little and Rowe, Little, defendants.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 26 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court. W

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

District of

WEDNESDAY, MAY 17th, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Saturday, May 17th, 1930.

Present: Hon. W.E. Hornamer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN SENATE CHAMBER OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
Mrs. James West, formerly) No. 546 Equity
Mrs. James B. West, Sequoyah West,)
Cullsciah West, Joe A. West,)
Calvin West, Josephine West,)
and James B. West, Jr.,)
Defendants.)

ORDER APPOINTING GUARDIAN AD LITEM.

Now on this 17 day of May, 1930, there coming on to be heard the application filed herein by plaintiff, showing that the defendant, James B. West, Jr. is a minor; that he has been duly served with process, and has not answered, and that no one in his behalf has requested that a guardian ad litem be appointed, the Court, after hearing statement of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that some fit and proper person should be appointed guardian ad litem for said James B. West, Jr.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Harry Beaton, Attorney at Law, Tulsa, Oklahoma, be, and hereby is appointed guardian ad litem for the said James B. West, Jr.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that said guardian ad litem, aforesaid, may plead for and on behalf of said infant minor, in the within cause at costs of plaintiff herein.

F. E. KETNER JR.
JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed May 17, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court W.E.

IN SENATE CHAMBER OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Edna L. Loor,)
Complainant,)
vs.)
The Board of Education of the) No. 549 Eq.
City of Brunright, Creek County,)
Oklahoma, a municipal corporation,)
et al,)
Respondents.)

C R D E R.

Now, on this 17 day of May, 1930, the same being a regular judicial day of the above named court, this cause comes on for hearing upon the application and petition of Columbian National Life Insurance Company, a corporation, Robert Kuhn, Anna L. Schram, and C.H. Hookbee, for leave to intervene in said cause and for order allowing the filing of a bill of complaint on behalf of said intervenors against the respondents herein named; and the court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that this is a proper case for intervention and that the petitioners have shown a right to intervene hereunder under Equity Rule No. 37, established and promulgated in governing the proceedings of courts of equity in the United States of America;

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

CENTRE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
REGULAR SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MAY 17th, 1930

It is, therefore, by the Court CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Columbian National Life Insurance Company, a corporation, Robert Kuhn, Anna L. Schram, and C.K. Lockbee, be and they are hereby permitted to intervene in said cause, and the Clerk is directed to accept for filing the bill of complaint on behalf of such intervenors against the respondents herein named.

J. M. DEWATER
Judge of the United States
District Court for the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed May 17, 1930
E.P. Garfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

District of
Northern District of California

San Francisco, California, May 19, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Monday, 19th May, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Lehmann, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
W.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and ordered,
to-wit:

IN RE: THE APPLICATION OF JOHN S. LAYTON, JR.,
THE OWNER OF LETTER PATENT NO. 1,124,872.

JOHNS-LANVILLE CORPORATION,
Plaintiff,

-vs-

NATIONAL TRUCK SEALING CO.,
Defendant.

No. 405 & Equity
On Letters Patent
of the United States
No. 1,124,872.

ORDER REGRADING THE ABOVE ENTITLED RECORD
LET BE PRINTED AND FILED.

This matter came on for hearing upon the application of plaintiff, Johns-Lanville Corporation, for an order extending and enlarging the time for printing and filing the record in the above case, and it appearing to the Court that good grounds exist therefor;

IT IS ORDERED that the plaintiff have and it is hereby given, until June 19th, 1930, to complete the record and have the same printed and filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Appeals for the 10th Circuit.

F. E. LEHMANN
JUDGE.

O. K.
W. S. Smith
Attorney for defendant.

ENDORSED: Filed May 19, 1930
W.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

THE BROWN-CRUMMER MOVEMENT COMPANY,
Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF MIAMI, a Municipal Corporation,
Defendant.)

No. 472 Equity.

D E C R E E

The above entitled suit by consent of all of the parties was brought on for trial and hearing before the court on the 30th day of October 1929, the undersigned District Judge presiding, Messrs. John W. Smith and Thomas E. Elcock appearing as solicitors for plaintiff and A.C. Croninger, Esquire, solicitor for defendant.

The evidence of the respective parties having been seasonably offered and briefs being filed by the parties herein, and the court, having given the matter due consideration, hereby finds all of the issues substantially in favor of the plaintiff, as here

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

CHIEF CLERK
MAY 19th, 1930

particularly set out in a written opinion filed May 2, 1930 in this case, and this decree is filed pursuant to said opinion.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff have and recover of and from the defendant, City of Miami, Oklahoma, the sum of Ten Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty and 81/100 Dollars (\$10,760. 81), together with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent (6%) per annum from and after this date, to-wit, the 7th day of May, 1930, until paid; that the plaintiff recover its costs herein, amounting to Twenty Four and 43/100 Dollars.

That the defendant shall have the right to pay the entire amount of the judgment, with interest, at all one time, or may pay such judgment and interest in three (3) equal annual installments, one of which shall be paid on or before the first day of March, 1931, the second on or before the first day of March, 1932, and the third on or before the first day of March, 1933, and upon making such payments the plaintiff shall surrender to the defendant unpaid bonds and interest coupons of the City of Miami issued for paying in Districts Nos. 9, 11, 14, 15, 16 and 17 equal in amount to the amount of such payments, or have such bonds endorsed showing proper payments thereon.

F. E. HEMMELER
United States District Judge.

APPROVED:

Thomas E. Elcock
James G. Martin
Solon T. Smith
Jas. S. Wygford
Solicitors for Plaintiff.

A. S. Croninger
Solicitor for Defendant.

RECORDED: Filed May 19, 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court W.D.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

CITY OF WASHINGTON

District of

FLORIDA, AT COURT, 1930

FLORIDA, WASHINGTON

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, Jan. 20th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MIDWESTERN LUMBER CO., Plaintiff, }
vs. } Equity No. 464
THE PRAIRIE CIL CO., Defendant. }

Now on this 20th day of May, A.D. 1930, after being advised in the premises and considering the facts in the case, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be entered herein in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff in the above entitled cause. It is further ordered by the Court that the bill of Complaint be, and the same is hereby dismissed.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, SITTING AT
MUSKOGEE.

Central Coal & Coke Company, :
et al, Plaintiffs, :
vs. : No. 464,
Mack Carseloway, et al, Defendants. :
Equity.

INTERDECTED FOR DECREE.

Now on this the 26th day of April, 1930 this cause came on to be heard where present the plaintiffs by their Attorney, W.L. Curtis, and the defendants by their Attorneys, Paul G. Simms and Edward H. Brady, County Attorney and Assistant County Attorney respectively of Craig County, Oklahoma, and this cause being on call, the court having had the same under consideration, and being well advised in the premises, doth overrule plaintiffs' motion to dismiss the defendants' answer, to which action of the court, the plaintiffs jointly and severally excepted and caused their exceptions to be noted of record.

Whereupon the cause was submitted to the court for final determination upon plaintiffs' bill of complaint and the defendants' answer or response thereto and upon a stipulation of the facts represented by counsel and filed and entered in the case. And the court, after hearing same and being fully advised in the premises, doth find that the plaintiffs' bill of complaint is without equity and should be dismissed, to which finding of fact the plaintiffs and each of them jointly and severally excepted, and caused their exceptions to be noted of record.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the relief sought by plaintiffs in their bill of complaint be, and the same is hereby refused and denied and that the plaintiffs' bill of complaint be, and the same is hereby dismissed and that the plaintiffs pay the costs of this action, to all of which the plaintiffs and each of them, jointly and severally, at the time excepted and caused their exceptions to be noted of record.

Whereupon the plaintiffs, and each of them, gave notice in open court of their intention to appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and caused said notice, in open court and in the presence of the attorneys for the defendants, to be noted of record.

APPROVED
Thos. B. Fryer & W.L. Curtis F. E. KENNAMER
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS JUDGE.
Paul O. Simms - Edw H Brady
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS.

ENDORSED: Filed May 20, 1930. H.P. Warfield, Clerk.
Court adjourned until May 21, 1930

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
CITY SESSION

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

WEDNESDAY, MAY 21, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Wednesday, May 21st, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.M. Keenanor, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

The Rogers Bread Company,
an Oklahoma corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Southwestern Stores, Inc.,
a corporation,

Defendant.

In Equity, No. 502

A.S. Henderson, et al.,

Interveners.

DECREE OF CONFIRMATION

This cause came on for hearing on this 21 day of May, 1930, upon the Report of Sale heretofore filed herein by Jake Easton, one of the Receivers, and the Receiver designated by Decree of Sale of this Court entered April 16, 1930, to take sale of the properties of the defendant Southwestern Stores, Inc., and upon motion of the attorneys for the Receiver for confirmation of said Report of Sale and Sale made by said Receiver thereunder, and upon motion of E.B. Tilton, Chairman of the Reorganization Committee of the Southwestern Stores, Inc., for an order directing conveyances of the properties sold by said Receiver under said Order of Sale to be made to the Southwestern Stores Corporation, their nominee, and it appearing to the Court that said Receiver, Jake Easton, pursuant to the Decree of this Court did, and after proper notice and advertisement, on the 18th day of May, 1930, sell all of the properties of said defendant Southwestern Stores, Inc., to the said E.B. Tilton, Chairman of the Reorganization Committee of the Southwestern Stores, Inc., for the sum and value of Sixty Thousand (\$60,000.00) Dollars, said E.B. Tilton, Chairman of the Reorganization Committee of the Southwestern Stores, Inc., being the highest and best bidder at said sale, and that said Receiver has in all respects conducted said sale in accordance with the Decree of this Court, and that the said E.B. Tilton, Chairman of the Reorganization Committee of the Southwestern Stores, Inc., has paid in cash the sum of Fifty Thousand Six Hundred Forty-seven and 42/100 (\$50,647.42) Dollars, in payment of his bid for the purchase price of the properties of said Southwestern Stores, Inc., and that the said Receiver has complied with the provisions of the Decree of Sale heretofore entered, and it being appearing to the Court that said E.B. Tilton, as Chairman of the Reorganization Committee has nominated the Southwestern Stores Corporation to take title to the properties under said Decree of Sale, with said bid and Decree of Sale,

FOR, THEREFORE, it is by the COURT, of said and DECREE that the report of Sale herein filed by Jake Easton, as Receiver of Southwestern Stores, Inc., be and the same is hereby, in all respects, approved and confirmed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED and DECREED by the COURT that the sale made by said Jake Easton, as Receiver of Southwestern Stores, Inc., to E.B. Tilton, Chairman of the Reorganization Committee of Southwestern Stores, Inc., and the nomination of said E.B. Tilton, Chairman of the Reorganization Committee of Southwestern Stores, Inc., thereby said bid is transferred to said Southwestern Stores Corporation, be and the same is hereby, in all respects, approved, confirmed, and decreed.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 District of
 SOUTHWESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Southwestern Stores, Inc., do and he is hereby GRANTED and AUTHORIZED to execute to Southwestern Stores Corporation precise conveyances of said properties, vesting in said Southwestern Stores Corporation full and complete title to all of the properties of Southwestern Stores, Inc., more particularly described as follows:

ITEM NO. 1.

Real estate interests in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, as described as follows:

The South Fifty (50) Feet of Lot Five (5), and the North Nineteen (19) Feet of Lot Four (4) of Block Fifty-six (56), Original Town (now City) of Tulsa, on which there is located a two-story and basement warehouse, and

The North Half of Lot Five (5) and the North Half of Lot Six (6) of Block Fifty-six (56), Original Town (now City) of Tulsa (vacant property).

ITEM NO. 2.

(a) Stocks of merchandise (consisting of groceries, food products and sundries), and store fixtures, located in operating stores in various towns and cities in Oklahoma, as follows:

Store No.	Location
2	1912 S. Elgin, Tulsa
5	161 N. Fairview, Tulsa
10	201 E. Fifteenth, Tulsa
11	241 E. Fourteenth, Tulsa
12	123 N. First, Tulsa
14	1516 E. Fifteenth, Tulsa
15	2205 E. Admiral, Tulsa
20	Broken Arrow
23	132 E. Rosedale, Tulsa
24	Main Street, Skiatook
26	Team Station, Tulsa
30	Cameron and Greenwood, Tulsa
33	312 E. Main, Bristow
36	1304 E. Sixty, Tulsa
42	418 W. Third, Claremore
43	Harvard and Eleventh, Tulsa
44	Fifteenth and Peoria, Tulsa
48	2550 E. Admiral, Tulsa
48	South G St., Oilton
49	2346 E. Eleventh St., Tulsa
50	201 E. Broadway, Drumright,
52	122 W. Main, Hominy
53	116 E. Broadway, Cleveland
54	2016 S. Utica, Tulsa
55	633 Kihokah, Pawhuska
56	Lawford
57	Mad Springs
59	221 E. Third, Bartlesville
63	Lamsdall
65	1445 E. Cincinnati, Tulsa
70	117 W. Broadway, Cushing
74	3405 E. Federal, Tulsa
81	314 Main, Coweta
97	1322 E. Quannah, Tulsa
99	16 E. Eighteenth, Tulsa
146	136 E. Main, Muskogee
155	Second and Court, Muskogee
201	505 E. Main, Henryetta
202	507 E. Main, Okmulgee
203	114 E. Morton, Okmulgee
207	Leggs
209	Corris

(b) Stock of merchandise (consisting of groceries, food products and sundries), office furniture and equipment, and store fixtures, in warehouse at 7 E. Detroit, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT NO. 1, OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA

Case No. 5.

Automotive equipment (consisting of twelve automobiles and trucks of various makes), located in Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Case No. 4.

The good will of the business of the Oklahoma Loan, Inc., all bills and accounts receivable; all leases and contracts, and all other property of whatsoever kind or description not heretofore described, all cash on hand and bank deposits, located within the State of Oklahoma.

It is further ORDERED that said conveyances of title be made by the Receiver and accepted by the creditors upon the following terms and conditions, to-wit:

That the said receivers shall have no authority, both real and personal, to sell, or to incur all legal claims, liens, and demands upon the assets of whatsoever character so that the Receiver, Thomas C. Warner and John Easton, and their bonds or bills be under no obligation in respect thereof after the conclusion of this sale, and this decree of Court.

Witness my hand and seal for the Court at Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, this 21st day of May, 1930.

ENDORSED: Filed May 21, 1930
L.P. Garfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1917

Case No. 327 Equity

Present: Hon. W. P. Warfield, Judge, U.S. District Court.

Sherepa et al. vs. Willson et al. et al.

James W. Cullett, Receiver for Subscribers at Associated Explorers Reciprocal, Complainant, vs. Riverside Oil Refining Company, Defendant.

Equity No. 327. Plaintiff's Bill in Equity.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 22nd day of May, 1930, this cause came on to be heard upon the application of the complainant herein for an order dismissing the above styled cause of action with prejudice, and the complainant appearing by his attorney of record, and the Court after being fully advised in the premises, and upon consideration thereof, finds that the settlement referred to in complainant's application should be, and the same is hereby approved, and that said cause of action should be dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT, CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED, DECREED AND DECREED, that the above styled cause of action against the Riverside Oil & Refining Company, be, and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice.

F. E. BENTON JR. Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 22, 1930 W.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

Raymond Williams, and E. Cobb, Guardian of Raymond Williams, an incompetent, Complainant, vs. Darwin Filtch, Pearl Gordon, L.H. Gordon, L.B. Welch, H.D. Woolen, Michael A. Smith, and Fannie B. Filtch, C.W. Kingsberry, and Canyon Lake Club, a corporation, Defendants.

No. 387 Equity.

ORDER TO RE-INSTATE.

Now on this the 22nd day of May, 1930, this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the plaintiff to re-instate said cause upon the docket of this Court. The Court having considered said motion and being fully advised in the premises is of the opinion

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

FILED
MAY 22nd, 1930

and finds that the Order Dismissing said cause, made and entered on March 18, 1930, should be vacated and said cause re-instated on the docket of this Court.

IT IS WHEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that the Order Dismissing this cause, made and entered on March 18, 1930, be, and the same is hereby vacated and held for naught, and that this case be, and the same is hereby re-instated on the docket of this Court.

F. W. DEWATER
JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT.

C. K.
J. J. Bruce
J. B. Dawes

ATTESTED: Filed May 22, 1930
W. P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTH DAKOTA
208523

District of
NORTH DAKOTA

MAY 23, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, May 22nd, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Remaker, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Garfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and ordered,
to-wit:

IN RE: APPLICATION OF THE PLAINTIFFS FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF G. L. HULL.

D. Heardsley and
F. L. Conrod,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. 110 Equity.

The Prairie Oil & Gas
Company, a corporation,

Defendant.

FINAL DECREE.

This cause heretofore came on for final hearing on August 3, 1926, plaintiffs appearing in person and by E. E. McNeill and T. S. Hurst, their solicitors, and the defendant appearing by Paul B. Mason and West, Hinson, Sherman, Davidson & Hull, its solicitors. After hearing evidence and argument of counsel in the case the court took the case under advisement and upon briefs filed by the respective parties.

Now, on this 22nd day of May, A.D. 1930, the court being duly advised in the premises, finds all the issues of fact and all the issues of law in favor of the defendant.

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the plaintiffs' bill be dismissed and that they take nothing by reason thereof as against the defendant, and that the defendant's title, right and interest under and by virtue of the oil and gas lease involved in this case, covering the

Northeast quarter (NE4) of Section
11, Township 19 North, Range 7 East,

be, and the same is hereby, quieted against all claims of the plaintiffs heretofore arising and that the plaintiffs and all persons claiming by, through or under them be, and are hereby, perpetually enjoined from asserting any claim or interest adverse to the right, title or interest of said defendant, arising under and by virtue of said oil and gas lease, or arising out of any things done or omitted to be done by said defendant prior to the date August 3, 1926.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendant do have and recover of the plaintiff its costs herein expended, to all of which the plaintiffs except.

F. E. REMAKER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 23, 1930
H. P. Garfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court NE

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

ROBERTSON
JOSEPH BENSON

District of
INDIANA, - KANSAS

FILED
MAY 23 1930

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTH DISTRICT OF INDIANA

Siller Kemohah and Anos Tiger,
Josephine Tiger and William Tiger,
minors, by their guardian, Siller
Tiger, now Siller Kemohah,

Appellants,

vs

448 Equity

Shafter Oil and Refining Company, a
corp., Continental and Commercial
Trust and Savings Bank, a corp.,
Wm. Neville, Francis Pixico, a
minor, Linwood Pixico, a minor, and
Thelma Pixico, a minor, and Wade Hampton,
Guardian of the above named minors;
Alice King, nee Colbert, George King,
Joanna Colbert, Twin State Oil Com-
pany, a corp., W.C. Roberts, C.H.
Alexander and L.O. Sims, Jr.,

Appellees.

COURT REPORTER
RECORD AND REPORTS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

On this 23rd day of May, 1930, was heard the application
of the plaintiffs for an order allowing them an extension of
time of thirty days from and after June 1st 1930, within which to
complete their record and lodge their appeal in the United States
Circuit Court of Appeals, and the Court, after considering same,
finds that said application should be granted.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiffs herein be, and they are
hereby, allowed an extension of time of thirty days from and
after June 1st 1930, within which to complete their record and
lodge their appeal taken in this case in the United States
Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

F. H. H. HALL
United States District Judge.

Witness: Filed at: 23, 1930
H. M. Sheffield, Clerk
U.S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

District of

MOBILE, ALABAMA, 1930

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1929

Court convened pursuant to adjournment on May 29, 1930.

Present: Hon. J. H. ... Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
W. B. ... Clerk, U.S. Dist. Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and read,
to-wit:

W. B. ... Plaintiff,
vs.)
GIBBY OIL CO., ET AL, Defendants.) No. 29 Equity.

Now on this 26th day of May, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be reassigned for the last Monday in June, 1930.

W. B. ... Plaintiffs,
vs.)
W. B. ... Defendants.) No. 484 - Equity.

Now on this 26th day of May, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the Appeal Bond in the above entitled cause be set in the sum of \$500.00.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

CRADDOCK-TERRY CO., (doing business in Missouri under the trade name of KEELEYS-SLOAN SHOE COMPANY), a corporation,
Plaintiff,
vs.
GATELY CHAIN STORES, INC., a corporation,
Defendant.) In Equity.)
No. 532

O R D E R

Edward E. Rudolph, the ancillary receiver for this jurisdiction for defendant Gately Chain Stores, Inc., having presented his inventory and appraisal of the assets of said defendant, which were at the time of his appointment located within this jurisdiction and district, which said inventory discloses that the appraisements therein were made by Charles H. Hoyle, R.H. Dohm and F.J. Lauchli in conjunction with said receiver, and that said inventory and appraisal have been verified by said appraisers, and it further appearing to the Court that said Charles H. Hoyle, R.H. Dohm and F.J. Lauchli were heretofore, upon to-wit, the 8th day of March, 1930, appointed to act as appraisers in this matter by the United States District Court for the Eastern Division of the Eastern Judicial District of Missouri, in which said Court the primary proceedings herein are pending, and that said appraisers did duly qualify in said District and did file their inventory and appraisal of all the assets of the defendant, now upon the motion and recommendation of Edward E. Rudolph as ancillary receiver,

IT IS, AND IT IS HEREBY, ORDERED that said inventory and appraisal be accepted and filed as the inventory and appraisal of the ancillary receiver for this District.

J. H. ...
J U D G E.

RECORDED: Filed May 26, 1930

W. B. ... Clerk.

Court adjourned until May 27, 1930

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of
SOUTH OREGON, MAY 29, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, May 27, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
L.H. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. Dist. Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON.

Joe Edgar,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
Edwin I. Reeser,)
Defendant.)

ORDER

Now on this 27th day of May, 1930, there comes before the court for hearing the approval by the court of the narrative statement of evidence in the above entitled action lodged by the plaintiff with the Clerk of this Court on May 16, 1930, and the proccipe for record filed by the plaintiff with the Clerk of this Court on May 16, 1930, notice of this hearing having heretofore been duly given to counsel for defendant on May 16, 1930, said plaintiff and said defendant appearing by their respective counsel;

For good cause shown, the court finds that the hearing on the application by the plaintiff for the approval of the narrative statement of evidence lodged with the Clerk on May 16, 1930, and the proccipe filed by the plaintiff with the Clerk of said Court on said date should be, and the same is, hereby ordered continued to nine o'clock A.M., May 29, 1930, of which counsel for the parties will take due notice and govern themselves accordingly;

It is further ordered that this order be made a part of the record herein for the purposes of the appeal.

W. A. ...
Clerk of the District Court
District of Oregon
City of Medford, Oregon

RECORDED: Filed May 29, 1930
L.H. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON.

The Rogers Bread Company,)
a Oklahoma corporation,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
Northwestern Stores, Inc.,)
a corporation,)
Defendant.)
W. S. Henderson, et al,)
Intervenor.)

ORDER

This is to certify that the above proceedings were had and entered in the District Court of the United States in and for the District of Oregon, at Medford, Oregon, on the 27th day of May, 1930, and that the same are hereby certified to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States in and for the District of Oregon, at Medford, Oregon, for the purposes of the appeal.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME

District of
OKLAHOMA, Oklahoma

FILED
MAY 27 1930
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Receiver and T.H. Kren and John Reedman, and between the said Receiver and J.E. Hopkins and J.T. Stinson, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, and no adverse interests appearing, finds that said application should be allowed, and that order should be made as therein prayed for.

IT IS, THEREFORE, THE ORDER OF THIS COURT that all applications as set forth in said application filed hereto be allowed, confirmed, approved and affirmed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Jake Easton, Receiver, be and he is hereby ordered to cancel lease on premises at Okemuh, Oklahoma, being known as Store #778 of Southwestern Stores, Inc., said premises belonging to T.H. Kren and John Reedman; to execute and deliver Bill of Sale covering fixtures contained in said store; to allow claim of said T.H. Kren and John Reedman, said claimants accepting the reorganization plan, in the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Jake Easton, Receiver, be and he is hereby ordered to cancel lease on premises at Okemuh, Oklahoma, being known as Store #278 of Southwestern Stores, Inc., said premises belonging to J.E. Hopkins and J.T. Stinson; to execute and deliver Bill of Sale covering fixtures contained in said store; to allow claim of said J.E. Hopkins and J.T. Stinson; said claimants accepting the reorganization plan, in the sum of One Thousand Seven Hundred Forty-two and 50/100 (\$1,742.50) Dollars.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Jake Easton, Receiver, make a full and complete report of his acts and doings under this order, to this Court.

F. E. KEEHNER
Judge.

Dated: May _____, 1930.

ENDORSED: Filed May 27, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

The Rogers Bread Company,)
an Oklahoma corporation,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
Southwestern Stores, Inc.,)
a corporation.)
Defendant.)
R.S. Henderson, Ronald T.)
Lyman, Jr., Fred Wallitz,)
and Leo Whitman,)
Interveners.)

In Equity, No. 508.

ORDER LIMITING TIME FOR FILING CLAIMS

This cause came on to be heard on this 27 day of May, 1930, upon the application of Thomas W. Varney and Jake Easton, Receivers, for an order limiting the time for filing claims, and upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED that the creditors of defendant, Southwestern Stores, Inc., who have not filed claims herein, shall present and file to and with Jake Easton, one of the Receivers herein, their respective claims duly verified, at his office, 301 East Fourth Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, on or before June 14, 1930; and that all such creditors failing to do so within the time thus limited shall be barred from participation in the distribution of the assets of said defendant;

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA
MAY 27, 1930

IT IS NOW ORDERED that the Receiver publish a copy of this order in the Tulsa Tribune, a newspaper published daily in the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and mail a copy of this order to all known creditors of defendant who have not filed claims at least 15 days before said June 14, 1930.

F. W. NEWMAN
JUDGE

ENDORSED: Filed May 27, 1930
J.P. Cartfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1929 288553

District of
FLORIDA, Southern District

WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA, MAY 23, 1930

Court convened herein to adjourn Wednesday, May 28th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Hartfield, Clerk, U.S. Dist. Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

That J.A. Frates and F.A. Bodovitz, Receivers of the Union Transportation Company of Florida.

T.B. SLICK,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

UNION TRANSPORTATION CO.,
a corporation,

Defendant.

No. 510-2q 112

GRAND RECEIVERS TO MAKE A CONTRACT WITH THE B.F. GOODRICH RUBBER CO. FOR TIRES.

On this 23th day of May, 1930, upon the verified application of J.A. Frates and F.A. Bodovitz, Receivers of the Union Transportation Company, and it being made to appear that it is necessary to the operation of the Union Transportation Company that the said Receivers contract for the furnishing of casings or tires for a term and period of one year, and it further appearing that the contract described and referred to in said verified application is for the best interest of the said Receivership operations, and for other good cause;

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COURT ORDERED, That J.A. Frates and F.A. Bodovitz, Receivers of the Union Transportation Company, be, and they are hereby authorized and empowered to execute a contract with the B.F. Goodrich Rubber Company for tires or casings, for a term and period of one year beginning on the 12th day of May, 1930, and ending on the 11th day of May, 1931, at the cost of .00955 per bus mile, and that said contract be upon the terms and conditions as set forth in a copy of said proposed contract, attached to said application.

F. E. KENNAMER
U.S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 23, 1930
H.P. Hartfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

Court adjourned until May 29, 1930

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION
TULSA, OKLAHOMA
MAY 29th, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, May 29th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kenzemer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Garfield, Clerk, U.S. Dist. Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Tom Jefferson, Administrator of the
Estate of Jane Jefferson, Deceased,
et al.,
vs.
Opps. Oil Company, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
vs.
Defendants.)
No. 29 Equity.

F O R E C L O S U R E

This cause having abated as to the defendant W.S. Bell by his death, hereinbefore suggested, and the plaintiffs having, in open court, moved to dismiss the cause insofar as the said defendant W.S. Bell is concerned:

It is ordered that the cause be, and the same is hereby, dismissed as to the defendant W.S. Bell.

It is further ordered that the remaining defendants, and each of them, answer the supplemental petition of the plaintiffs on or before June 15, 1930, and that the cause be, and the same is hereby, set down for trial on June 30, 1930.

Dated this 28th day of May, 1930.

F. E. KENZEMER
Judge of the District Court of
the United States for the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

C. R.
Stuart, Coalley & Deemer,
Attorneys for the Plaintiffs.

Witness my hand and seal
this 28th day of May, 1930.
H.P. Garfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

CHARLES BEVINGBOLD, et al.,
vs.
Opps. Oil Company, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
vs.
Defendants.)

Now on this 28th day of May, A.D. 1930, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread in due and proper order, in the above entitled cause, said bills, in words and figures as follows:

THE DEBIT
THIS DEBIT IS A STATEMENT
OF THE ACCOUNTS OF THE
PLAINTIFFS
IN THE ABOVE ENTITLED CAUSE
AND IS AS FOLLOWS:
The sum of \$100.00
is due to the plaintiffs
by the defendants
for the sum of \$100.00
paid to the plaintiffs
by the defendants
on the 1st day of May, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

you in a cause between Joseph Reynolds, et al., plaintiffs, and Harry E. Schmidt, et al., defendants, No. 235 Equity, the record of the said District Court, in said cause, entered on 9th Dec, 1930, was in the following words, viz:

"It is, therefore, by the court considered, ordered and adjudged that the plaintiffs and each of them claim anything by way of title or interest in the premises described in the bill of the defendants and that the defendants claim the title to the premises of the plaintiffs and, counterclaimants and are in possession of the premises described in the bill of the plaintiffs and that the title and title interest in the premises described in the bill of the plaintiffs in the premises described in the said amended bill and the various counterclaims, answers and cross-petitions filed in the said defendants as claimed and set forth by the several defendants against all the plaintiffs and all the counterclaimants and cross-petitioners, and all the parties to the said bill and the said counterclaims, answers and cross-petitions, shall be determined by the court on equity and in accordance with the said premises."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record ----- of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal ----- agreeably to the act of Congress, ----- in such case made ----- filed, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the ----- February -----, 1930, the said record one thousand nine hundred and thirty-----, was taken on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this court that the decree of the said District Court, in this cause, be and the same is hereby affirmed, and that Harry E. Schmidt, Josey Oil Company, a corporation, J.H. Woods, E.L. Surph, D.H. Radcliffe, S.A. Stone, Prentiss T. Moore, Dudley E. Moore, Mrs. Mable Vail, Ethel Moore, John E. Moore, R. Hawkins Moore, Cybil Moore, Mary Moore, Phillips Petroleum Company, a corporation, J.F. Terry and Hemlock Oil Company, a corporation, appellees, have and recover against Joseph Reynolds, Lina McCoy Norris and Napoleon Reynolds, adults, and Kushan Reynolds, Marshall Reynolds, Paul I. Reynolds, Euelia Reynolds, Theron Reynolds, Elvie Reynolds, J.L. Reynolds, and Lydie Reynolds, minor heirs of Delphia Reynolds, deceased, by Joseph Reynolds, their guardian and next friend, appellants, their costs herein.

-- April 8, 1930.--

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such----- proceedings be had in said cause, -----as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES E. TRUES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 12th day of May ---, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty.

COPIES OF Appellees, ALBERT ERGO
Clerk -- -- Clerk of the United States Circuit
Printing Record -- Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.
Attorney. 20.00
20.00

INDORSED: Filed May 29, 1930
E.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTH DAKOTA
1930

WILLIAMS, et al., vs. REESER, et al.
No. 204, 1

ON, THE 13th day of May, 1930, for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the time for filing the narrative statement of the evidence and the record for the appeal be approved, printed and filed under the direct care of the Clerk of this Court with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit in Denver, Colorado, to, and the same is hereby extended to and including July 2, 1930, and this order be made a part of the record herein.

Done this 13th day of May, 1930.

F. E. McFARLANE
Judge of the District Court of
the United States for the
Northern District of Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed May 20, 1930
Mr. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTH DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Joe Edgar,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) In Equity No. 244
Edwin J. Reeser,)
Defendant.)

ORDER

Now on this 28th day of May, 1930, there comes before the court for hearing the approval by the court of the narrative statement of evidence in the above entitled action lodged by the plaintiff with the Clerk of this Court on May 13, 1930, and the praecipe for record filed by the plaintiff with the Clerk of this Court on May 16, 1930, which matter was duly continued to this date by order of this Court dated May 27, 1930, said plaintiff and said defendant appearing by their respective counsel;

For good cause shown, the court finds that the hearing on the application by the plaintiff for the approval of the narrative statement of the evidence lodged with the Clerk of this Court on May 16, 1930, and the praecipe filed by the plaintiff with the Clerk of this Court on said date, should be, and the same is hereby ordered continued to 9:30 o'clock A.M., June 7, 1930, of which counsel for the parties will take due notice and govern themselves accordingly;

It is further ordered that this order be made a part of the record herein for the purpose of this appeal.

F. E. McFARLANE
Judge of the District Court of the
United States for the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

RECORDED: Filed May 29, 1930
Mr. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

ENDORSED: Filed May 29, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

Thos. H. Lashley,

Plaintiff.

vs.

H.U. Bartlett;
Bartlett Development Syndicate
No. 1, an express trust, and
H.U. Bartlett and H.E. Whitehead,
its Trustees;
Central National Bank of Tulsa,
Oklahoma, a banking corporation;
Exchange National Bank of Tulsa,
Oklahoma, a banking corporation; and
Shell Petroleum Corporation,
a corporation,

Defendants.

In Equity No. 1031.

JOURNAL ENTRY

This matter came on for hearing on the 1st day of May, 1930, in open court upon the motions to dismiss filed herein by the defendants, H.U. Bartlett, Bartlett Development Syndicate No. 1, an Express Trust, and H.U. Bartlett and H.E. Whitehead, its trustees, and by D.H. McMasters, Receiver of the Bartlett Development Syndicate No. 1 and H.U. Bartlett and H.E. Whitehead, its Trustees, and said Receiver being represented by his attorney, Streeter Speakman, and said remaining defendants by their attorney, S.W. Maytubby, Jr., and the plaintiff being represented by his attorneys, Lashley & Harbo, and the court having heard the argument of counsel and having been advised in the premises, took said matter under advisement and directed that briefs be filed by said defendants in support of their said motions and by the plaintiff in answer thereto;

and now on this 28th day of May, 1930, briefs having been submitted by said parties and the court having fully considered said motions and the briefs filed in support of and in opposition to the same, is of the opinion that said motions to dismiss should be overruled;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the motions to dismiss herein by the defendants, H.U. Bartlett, Bartlett Development Syndicate No. 1, and Express Trust, and H.U. Bartlett and H.E. Whitehead, Trustees, and by D.H. McMasters, Receiver of the Bartlett Development Syndicate No. 1, and H.U. Bartlett and H.E. Whitehead, its Trustees, be, and the same hereby are, overruled, to which ruling and judgment of the court, said defendants, and each of them, except and are granted an exception by the court. Twenty days for answer.

F. E. KEMMELER
JUDGE

ENDORSED: Filed May 29, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

CONTINUED District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA No. 155, Equity, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Saturday, Feb. 23rd, 1930.

Present: Hon. M.E. Keenan, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Field, Clerk, U.S. Dist. Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and ordered to-wit:

EDDIE JACK, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 155 - Equity.
JUDG E. HOOD, ET AL., Defendants. }

Now on this 21st day of May, A.D. 1930, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread minute of record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words as follows:

LA. DARE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL) TO THE HONORABLE SENATE, SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES
COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

SHERRILL:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern --- District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between Eddie Jack, Plaintiff, and Judg E. Hood, et al., Defendants, No. 155 Equity, the decree of the said District Court, in said cause, entered on January 18, 1930, was in the following words, viz:

It, therefore, was had and ordered, considered and adjudged that the plaintiff take nothing by this action and that his bill filed in this cause be, and the same hereby is, dismissed; that the right, title, interest and estate of the defendants herein, and each of them, accrued to the land involved in this action, to-wit:

Lots one (1) and two (2) (see map attached as Exhibit A) and one-half (1/2) acre (see map attached as Exhibit B) of Section Seven (7), Township Fourteen (14) North, Range Seven (7) East, Creek County, Oklahoma,

as particularly set forth in the answer of the defendants filed herein, be, and the same hereby is, quieted in all things and in all respects as against the plaintiff herein and any one claiming by, through or under him, and that the plaintiff and any one claiming by, through or under him, be, and they are forever barred and enjoined from ever asserting or setting up any claim, title, interest or estate in or to said lands adverse to the said defendants herein, and to either of them; and that the same be and be taken against the plaintiff;

And thereafter, the plaintiff ever filed herein, his motion for a rearrangement and reconsideration of the law and of fact involved in this case, and the same has been rearranged before the court, and the same had and ordered under advisement;

And now on this 21st day of May, A.D. 1930, the court having considered the answers and their respective objections motion of the plaintiff and the answers thereto herewith and having been fully advised by the evidence, in all the opinion that said decree of the said District Court, in said cause, be, and the same hereby is, overruled;

It is, therefore, ordered, considered and adjudged that the motion be granted and the said decree of the said District Court, in said cause, be, and the same hereby is, overruled;

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WARRANT
DISTRICT COURT

District of

MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1934

filed by the plaintiff herein, be, and the same hereby are, overruled in all things and in all respects, to which ruling and judgment of the court, the plaintiff's objections and is granted an exception by the court;

It is further ordered that the judgment and findings heretofore set out which were rendered by this court on the 9th day of July, 1928, be, and the same hereby are, vacated, and that the said judgment and findings of said judge be, and the same hereby are, set aside and entered and judgment rendered in accordance herewith in favor of the defendants and against the plaintiff as of this date, to-wit, the 14th day of January, 1929; that all of which judgment and ruling of the court, plaintiff excepts and is granted an exception by the court.

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record ---- of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, by virtue of the said ---- agreeable to the order of Congress, ---- is and shall be provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the ---February term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty ---, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

ON CONSIDERATION HEREOF, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this court that the decree of the said District Court, in this cause, be and the same is hereby affirmed; and that John M. Hood and Lula A. Hood, his wife, Roxana Petroleum Corporation, Corwin Company, corporations; American Petroleum Corporation, a corporation; Mid-Continent Realty Corporation, a corporation; Barnsdall Oil Company, a corporation, White Phillips Company, a corporation; Phillips Petroleum Company, a corporation; E.S. Horn, trustee, Middle States Petroleum Corporation, a company, and Pure Oil Company, a corporation, appellees, leave and recover against Eddie Jack, appellant, their costs herein and have execution therefor as at law.

--April 3, 1930.--

you, therefore, are hereby commanded that such----proceedings be had in said cause, ----as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

IN WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES E. WHELAN, Chief Justice of the United States, the 12th day of May---, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty.

WHELAN
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit.

COSTS OF Appellees.
Clerk -- --
Printing Record --
Attorney \$20.00

\$20.00

RECORDED: Filed May 31, 1930
A.P. Farfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court ME

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA, CRIMINAL DISTRICT NO. 11, OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA

IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF CHARLES M. KEITH, DECEASED.

Central Coal & Coke Company, a corporation, and Charles M. Keith, Plaintiffs,

vs.

Lack Carselowa, County Assessor; Frank M. Bailey, County Treasurer, and Foreman, County Clerk, Paul C. Simms, County Attorney; G.P. Foglio, County Judge, J.L. Stryker, County Superintendent of Schools, and G.W. Dixon, J.V. Roberts and R.L. King, County Commissioners, all of Craig County, Oklahoma, or their successors in office, if any, Defendants.

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL

On motion of Thomas E. Prior and W.L. Curtis, Solicitors and Counsel for complainants, it is hereby ordered that an appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit from the decree heretofore filed and entered herein, be, and the same is hereby allowed, and that a certified transcript of the record, testimony, exhibits, stipulations and all proceedings be forthwith transmitted to said Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

It is further ordered that the bond on appeal be fixed at the sum of \$500.00.

Dated this 31st day of May, 1935.

W. S. ...

Filed for ...

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA

District of

BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA. TUESDAY, JUNE 3, 1930.

OKLAHOMA

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, June 3rd, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. Dist. Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

H. U. Bartlett, Complainant :
vs. : NO. 503 Equity.
Katie Roubodeaux, et al, Respondents :

ORDER

Now on this 3rd day of June, 1930, for good cause shown, complainant is allowed to file herein his supplemental and amended complaint in-stanter, and shall serve a copy thereof on respondents;

That respondents are hereby ordered and directed on or before the 23 day of June 1930, to show cause before this court why an injunction and restraining order should not issue by this court enjoining and restraining defendants from further attempts to bring into disrepute the judgment of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma in cause No. 3191 Equity, the judgment of this honorable court in cause No. 403 Equity, the judgment of the Circuit Court of Appeals of the Tenth Circuit in cause No. 171 Equity of the causes pending in said court, and why they should not refrain and desist from spreading any mandate of record in the District Court of Creek County, State of Oklahoma, in cause No. 9008 of the causes pending in said court which will have the effect above mentioned, and why they should not be enjoined and restrained from attempting to enforce any judgment against the complainant herein which will have the effect of bringing into disrepute any of the judgments above mentioned; all of which is ordered and adjudged by the court.

F. E. KENNAKER
J U D G E.

ENDORSED: Filed Jun 3 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court. ME

Court adjourned until June 7, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION

BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, JUNE 7, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Saturday, June 7th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Joe Edgar, Plaintiff, }
vs. } 344 Equity ✓
Edwin I. Reeser, Defendant. }

ORDER APPROVING NARRATIVE STATEMENT OF EVIDENCE.

Now on this 7th day of June, 1930, there is presented to this court the narrative statement of evidence lodged by the plaintiff with the Clerk of this court on May 16, 1930, and it appearing to the court that notice of the lodging of said narrative statement of the evidence on said date, together with notice that said narrative statement would be presented to this court for its approval on May 27, 1930, was duly given to said defendant on May 16, 1930 and that said matter has by orders of this court been duly continued until this date, at which both plaintiff and defendant appeared by their respective counsel:

And the court having carefully examined said narrative statement of the evidence and being fully advised in the premises finds that said narrative statement of the evidence should be in all respects approved as a true, full and correct statement of the evidence introduced at the trial of this cause, and made a part of the record herein for the purposes of appeal.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, DECREED AND ADJUDGED that the narrative statement of the evidence heretofore on May 16, 1930 lodged with the Clerk of this court be, and the same is hereby approved as a true, correct and full statement of the evidence introduced at the trial of said cause and that the same should be made a part of the record herein for the purposes of the appeal.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the District court of
the United States for the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Jun 7 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk,
U. S. District Court. L.E

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Joe Edgar, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 344 Equity ✓
Edwin I. Reeser, Defendant. }

ORDER APPROVING PRAECIPE FOR RECORD

Now on this 7th day of June, 1930, the same being one of the regular judicial days of the above styled court, there is presented to the court the praecipe for record heretofore, and on the 16th day of May, 1930, filed by the plaintiff in the above entitled action with the Clerk of this court, said plaintiff and said defendant appearing at this time by their respective counsel;

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

PLAINTY, SEBASTIAN

BARTLEVILLE, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, JUNE 7, 1930.

And it appearing to the court that plaintiff duly served upon the Solicitors for the defendant on May 16, 1930, a true and correct copy of said praecipe so filed, together with notice given on May 16, 1930 that said praecipe would on the 27th day of May, 1930 be presented to the court for its approval, and that said matter has by orders of this court been duly continued until this date, and the court having duly considered the transcript of the record, proceedings and papers particularly set forth in said praecipe which said plaintiff requested to be prepared and printed under the supervision of the Clerk of this court and filed in the office of the Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and being fully advised in the premises, finds:

That the transcript of the record, proceedings and papers as set forth in the aforesaid praecipe for record should be printed under the supervision of the Clerk of this court and filed in the office of the Clerk of the U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit;

IT IS, therefore, ordered, decreed and adjudged that the praecipe for record on May 16, 1930 filed by the plaintiff with the Clerk of this court be, and the same is hereby approved, and the transcript of the record, proceedings and papers therein particularly described are directed to be prepared and printed under the supervision of the Clerk of this court and filed in the office of the Clerk of the U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit at Denver, Colorado, within the time allowed by the orders of this court.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge of the District Court
of the United States for the
Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Jun 7 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Johns-Manville Corporation, Plaintiff, }
-vs- } No. 405 - Equity
National Tank Seal Company, Defendant. } On Letters Patent
of the United States
No. 1184673.

ORDER ENLARGING TIME WITHIN WHICH
RECORD MAY BE PRINTED AND FILED.

This matter came on for hearing upon the application of plaintiff, Johns-Manville Corporation, for an order extending and enlarging the time for printing and filing the record in the above case, and it appearing to the Court that good grounds exist therefor;

IT IS ORDERED that the plaintiff have and it is hereby given to and including August 1, 1930, to complete the record and have the same printed and filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Appeals for the 10th Circuit.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

C. E.
Mason, Williams & Lynch
Attys. for the plaintiff.
H. L. Smith
Attys. for the defendant.

ENDORSED: Filed Jun 7 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
EQUITY SESSION

District of

OKLAHOMA
BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, JUNE 7, 1930.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

LEE POLLOCK CLOTHING COMPANY,
Plaintiff, }

vs. }

PUTTERS, INCORPORATED,
A Corporation, Defendant. }

No. 515-Equity. ✓

ORDER DISCHARGING RECEIVER AND EXONERATING
THE SURETY ON RECEIVER'S BOND.

The affidavit of the receiver being this day filed showing that he has made full and complete disbursement of all funds and assets coming into his custody and possession as receiver of Putters, Incorporated, being presented to the court and the court being fully advised in the premises finds that said receiver, Arthur H. Bronson, should be discharged and released of further liability and that the surety on the receiver's bond, the National Casualty Company, should be released, relieved and exonerated of any further liability thereon.

The court further finds that said receiver has had a depository bond given to him by the Union Indemnity Company of New Orleans, Louisiana, for the protection of his funds on deposit with the Producers National Bank of Tulsa, as the receiver and that all of said funds have been properly deposited, received and disbursed by said bank and said receiver, and that said Producers National Bank and said receiver are relieved from any further liability thereon.

It is, therefore, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that said Arthur H. Bronson be and he is hereby discharged as receiver.

It is FURTHER CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that said depository bond given by the Union Indemnity Company of New Orleans, Louisiana, to said Arthur H. Bronson, as receiver, for the protection of the funds deposited by him with the Producers National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, be and the same is hereby terminated, cancelled and held for naught and fully discharged by reason of said funds having been properly disbursed as deposited, and

It is FURTHER CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the surety, The National Casualty Company, be and it is hereby released, relieved and exonerated of any liability upon said bond by virtue of having been surety thereon, and as such is discharged from liability.

P. E. ISRAELER
District Judge.

ENCLOSED: Filed Jun 7 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court LEE

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

CITY OF BARTLESVILLE

BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, JUNE 12, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, June 12th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WILLIAM P. HATCHETT, Jr.,
a minor, by his next friend,
GERTRUDE YOUNG, Plaintiff,

vs.

I. S. HATCHETT, et al, Defendants.

No. 434
In Equity.

O R D E R

Now on the 12th day of June, 1930, for good cause shown, the defendants I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks are hereby given leave to file their amended answer and cross petition or counter-claim in equity herein; and the plaintiff herein is hereby given leave to file his reply to the said answer and answer to the said counterclaim instanter, and the defendant W. P. Hatchett, acting by and through his guardian ad litem, G. C. Spillers, and guardian, W. C. Henneberry, who is hereby joined as a party defendant, is hereby given leave to file his answer to the petition of the plaintiff and answer and counter-claim to the counterclaim of the defendant I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks instanter.

F. E. KENNAMER
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Jun 12 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WILLIAM P. HATCHETT, JR., a
Minor, by his next friend,
Gertrude Young, Plaintiff,

Vs.

W. P. HATCHETT, et al, Defendants.

No. 434
IN EQUITY.

O R D E R

Now on this the 12th day of June, 1930, it being shown and proven to the court that since the filing of the above suit Gertrude Young, the same person who is the mother and next friend of William P. Hatchett, Jr., by the County Court sitting in Probate within and for Tulsa County, Oklahoma, has been duly and legally appointed guardian of the estate of William P. Hatchett, Jr. That letters of guardianship have issued to her, that she has taken the oath and has filed and had approved the bond, as conditioned by the court, and as required by law, and she, having filed her motion herein to be allowed to become an additional party plaintiff to said suit, it is by the court ordered that Gertrude Young, Guardian of the estate of William P. Hatchett, Jr., be and she is hereby permitted and allowed to become an additional party plaintiff to said suit, and it is further ordered that said suit proceed as a suit herein by William P. Hatchett, Jr., a minor, by his next friend Gertrude Young, and by the guardian of his estate, Gertrude Young, one and the same person.

WITNESS MY HAND as Judge, this the 12th day of June, 1930.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Jun 12 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION

BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA.

THURSDAY, JUNE 12, 1930.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WILLIAM P. HATCHETT, Jr., a minor,
by his next friend, GERTRUDE YOUNG,
and WILLIAM P. HATCHETT, Jr.,
a minor, by GERTRUDE YOUNG, his
guardian, Plaintiff,

vs.

W. P. HATCHETT, G. C. SPILLERS,
Guardian Ad Litem for W. P.
HATCHETT, an incompetent,
W. C. HENNEBERRY, Guardian
of W. P. Hatchett, an incompetent,
I. S. MINCKS, EFFIE B. MINCKS,
R. W. COLEMAN and EXCHANGE TRUST
COMPANY, E. E. CHARTIER and F. L.
TOWNSEND, Defendants.

No. 434

IN EQUITY.

FINAL DECREE AND JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 12th day of June, 1930, the same being a regular judicial day of the regular June, 1930 Term of this Court, this cause comes on to be heard upon the verified petition of Gertrude Young, guardian of the estate of William P. Hatchett, Jr., being the same person as Gertrude Young, the next friend and mother of the said William P. Hatchett, Jr., plaintiff herein, for the approval of a proposed compromise and settlement of this litigation, and upon the verified petition of G. C. Spillers, guardian ad litem, and W. C. Henneberry, guardian of the defendant W. P. Hatchett, for the approval and confirmation of the said proposed stipulation for compromise and settlement. The plaintiff, a minor, appeared in person and by his said mother, next friend and general guardian, Gertrude Young, who was personally present, and his and her attorneys, Poe, Lundy & Morgan, Esqs., and the defendant W. P. Hatchett appeared by W. C. Henneberry, his general guardian, and G. C. Spillers, his guardian ad litem and attorney for said W. P. Hatchett and attorney for W. C. Henneberry, and the defendant I. S. Mincks appeared in person and by his attorney, Remington Rogers, and the defendants R. W. Coleman and Effie B. Mincks appeared by their attorney, Remington Rogers, and the Exchange Trust Company, defendant, appeared by its attorneys, Bush & Thompson, Esqs., and all parties being personally present in Court, announce ready, and each waived right to trial by jury and consented to the hearing of said petition at this time and place, and thereupon the plaintiff introduced evidence in his behalf, and the defendants W. P. Hatchett, I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks introduced evidence in their behalf, and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds:

That the plaintiff at the institution of this action was, and now is, a citizen, resident and inhabitant of the State of California, residing in the City of Los Angeles, California, and that all of the defendants in this action, except R. W. Coleman, are residents, citizens and inhabitants of the State of Oklahoma and the Northern District thereof, and that the said R. W. Coleman is a resident, citizen and inhabitant of the State of Missouri, and that by reason thereof, there is diversity of citizenship between the said plaintiff and the said defendants. The Court further finds that the sum and amount in dispute herein and the value of the property involved in this litigation is above the sum of \$3,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and that the said property involved in this litigation is situate in Tulsa County in the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, and that this is a suit in equity within the cognizance and jurisdiction of this Court.

The Court further finds that after the institution of this cause of action by the plaintiff, by his next friend, Gertrude Young, that the said Gertrude Young was duly appointed the guardian of the estate of William P. Hatchett, Jr. by the County Court sitting in probate within and for Tulsa County, Oklahoma; that the said County Court had jurisdiction of said matter; that the said Gertrude Young is the mother of the said plaintiff and was nominated as guardian by the said plaintiff, and that the said appointment of the said Gertrude Young was duly and regularly made, as provided by the laws of the State of Oklahoma, and that the said Gertrude Young has taken the oath of office and has filed and had approved by the said County Court, a bond, as

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

FOURTH
CIRCUIT

District of

OKLAHOMA

BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, JUNE 12, 1930.

required by law, and that the said Gertrude Young is now the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian of the estate of the said William P. Hatchett, Jr., and that under an order of this Court heretofore made herein, she was added as a party plaintiff to this action in her capacity as such guardian.

The Court further finds that after the institution of this action and the service of chancery subpoena upon the defendant W. P. Hatchett, that the said W. P. Hatchett was adjudged and decreed to be an incompetent, and that W. C. Henneberry was duly appointed guardian of the estate of W. P. Hatchett, an incompetent, by the County Court sitting in probate within and for Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and that the said Court had jurisdiction of said matter, and that the said W. C. Henneberry has taken the oath of office and has given and had approved a bond as conditioned by the Court and as required by law, and that the said appointment of the said W. C. Henneberry was duly and regularly made in all respects, as required by law, and that the said W. C. Henneberry is the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian of the estate of the said W. P. Hatchett, an incompetent.

The Court further finds that heretofore, to-wit, on the 10th day of June, 1930, the said County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, sitting in probate, made and entered its order authorizing the plaintiff herein, by and through his said guardian, Gertrude Young, to compromise and settle all of the matters involved in this cause and that on the 12th day of June, 1930, the said County Court also made and entered its order authorizing the said W. P. Hatchett, an incompetent, acting by and through his guardian, the said W. C. Henneberry, to compromise and settle all of the matters involved in this cause of action in accordance with a certain written stipulation for such compromise and settlement dated the 12th day of June, 1930, and duly executed by the said plaintiff, acting by and through Gertrude Young, his next friend and general guardian, and by the said defendant W. P. Hatchett, an incompetent, acting by and through his guardian ad litem and his general guardian, and by the defendants I. S. Mincks and Effie B. Mincks, as well as by counsel of record of parties to said stipulation, which original stipulation for compromise and settlement was filed in this Court in this cause on June 12, 1930, and now forms a part of the records in this cause.

The Court further finds from the evidence introduced in this cause and from the oral testimony of the attorney for the plaintiff herein, and the said guardian Gertrude Young and of the other witnesses sworn and introduced at this hearing, that it would manifestly be for the best interests of the said William P. Hatchett, Jr., a minor, and for the best interests of the said W. P. Hatchett, an incompetent, to compromise and settle this litigation under and in accordance with the terms of the said stipulation of compromise and settlement. The Court further finds that both of the said guardians have heretofore been duly authorized by the said County Court of Tulsa County to settle and compromise this litigation in accordance with the terms of said stipulation, and that the said County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, had jurisdiction to enter the said order and that the said compromise and settlement will avoid the hazards and uncertainties of litigation and release the said W. P. Hatchett, an incompetent, from liability for breach of warranty and covenants of title in the deed heretofore executed by him to the said I. S. Mincks, arising out of the claims of the plaintiff in this action, and that the said compromise and settlement will also increase the estate of the plaintiff herein, and that the rights of the plaintiff to recover in this action could only be established by overturning certain decrees of the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and upon conflicting testimony and doubtful propositions of law, and that by reason of all of the said facts and circumstances, it would manifestly be to the best interests and for the advantage of the said minor plaintiff and of the said W. P. Hatchett, an incompetent, to settle and compromise this litigation in accordance with and on the basis of the said stipulation, and being fully advised in the premises, and having fully examined and considered the said stipulation of settlement, the Court finds that the said stipulation for compromise and settlement and the said settlement and compromise should be approved and confirmed.

The Court further finds that the defendant I. S. Mincks now is and ever since the 2nd day of June, 1927 has been the owner and in quiet and undisputed possession of the property involved in this litigation, to-wit:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION

BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, JUNE 12, 1930.

The Westerly 40 feet of Lot One (1) in Block One-Hundred Thirty-four (134) of the original Town, now City, of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.

IT IS THEREFORE, BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said compromise and settlement, together with said stipulation of settlement be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed.

IT IS FURTHER BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that neither the plaintiff herein, William P. Hatchett, Jr., a minor, nor the defendant herein, W. P. Hatchett, an incompetent, has any right, title or interest in or to the following described property in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

The Westerly 40 feet of Lot One (1) in Block One Hundred Thirty-four (134) of the original Town, Now City of Tulsa, according to the recorded plat thereof.

IT IS FURTHER BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said defendant I. S. Mincks is the legal owner of the real estate and premises above described, and each and every part thereof, in fee simple, and that his title thereto is valid and perfect, and that the title and possession of the said I. S. Mincks in and to the said property and each and every part thereof be and the same is hereby forever quieted and confirmed in the said I. S. Mincks as against all of the claims of the plaintiff herein and of the defendant W. P. Hatchett, an incompetent, so that neither the said plaintiff, William P. Hatchett, Jr., nor his next friend, nor his guardian aforesaid, nor any person for or in his behalf, nor any person claiming by, through or under him, nor the said W. P. Hatchett, an incompetent, nor his guardian ad litem, nor guardian as aforesaid, nor any person for or in his behalf, nor any person claiming by, through or under him, shall hereafter, at any time, have or assert any right, title, interest or estate in or to the said premises or any part thereof, or in or to any revenues, profits or incomes derived or to be derived therefrom, but they, and each of them, shall be, and they are hereby, perpetually barred, enjoined and restrained from setting up, asserting or claiming any right, title, interest or estate in or to the said property above described, in any way adverse to the rights, title and possession of the said I. S. Mincks.

IT IS FURTHER BY THE COURT ADJUDGED AND DECREED that each and all of the defendants herein be, and they are hereby, discharged, released and relieved from any and all further liability by reason of this suit, and that the costs of this action be paid by the defendant I. S. Mincks.

F. M. KUNHAMER
Judge.

C.F.
E. W. Lundy (Poe, Lundy & Morgan)
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

G.A.
G. C. Spillers,
Attorney for Defendant W. P. Hatchett,
and A. C. Henneberry, Guardian of W. P.
Hatchett, an incompetent, and Guardian
ad litem of said incompetent.

G.I.
Remington Rogers,
Attorney for I. S. Mincks and Effie B.
Mincks.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 12, 1930
E. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court W.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

RECORDED

HARTSHORN, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, JUNE 14, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Saturday, June 14th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Phidelta Lee Westwood, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 454 Equity.
The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, Defendant. }

FINAL DECREE

This cause heretofore came on regularly for final hearing on the 20th day of May, 1930, plaintiff appearing by her solicitors, Breckenridge & Bostick, and the defendant appearing by its solicitors, Paul B. Mason and West, Gibson, Sherman, Davidson & Mull; thereupon, after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the Court took the matter under advisement.

Now on this 20th day of May, 1930, the Court being duly advised in the premises, finds all of the issues of fact and all of the issues of law in favor of the defendant.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff's bill be dismissed, and that the plaintiff take nothing as against the said defendant, and that the defendant have its costs herein expended.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendant do have and recover of and from the plaintiff its costs herein expended.

To each and all of which the plaintiff excepts.

U. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.
OK as to form
Breckenridge & Bostick

ENDORSED: Filed Jun 14 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Bartlett Mortgage Company, a Corporation, Complainant; }
vs. } Equity No. 555
Frank Dowell and Bessie Dowell, his wife; Hugh Gary and Anna Belle Gary, his wife; Bess Jones, also known as Bess Williams; R. P. McDonald and Ollie McDonald, his wife, Defendants. }

ORDER APPOINTING RECEIVER

Now on this 14th day of June, 1930, this matter comes on for hearing on the application of the complainant, for the appointment of a receiver; and the court, after reading the verified Bill of Complaint herein and hearing the evidence in support of the motion, the complainant appearing by its attorney, Carl Cruse of Enid, Oklahoma,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION

BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, JUNE 14, 1930

and the defendants not appearing; the court finds that the defendants were served with a notice of the hearing, and that the real estate will probably not sell for sufficient to pay the mortgage indebtedness, and that a receiver should be appointed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COURT That W. E. Sunday, a resident of Claremore, Oklahoma, be, and he is hereby appointed as receiver, to take charge of the real estate located in Rogers County, and described as follows:

The South half (S $\frac{1}{2}$) of the Southeast Quarter (SE $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section nine (9), and the Northeast Quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section sixteen (16), all in Township 23 North, Range 15 E. I.M., less railway right of way and highway right of way;

and that he collect the rents and income therefrom and hold any monies coming into his hands until further order of the court.

THAT said receiver give a bond in the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1000.00), for the faithful performance of his duties.

F. E. KENNEDY
Judge.

EMPOWERED: Filed Jun 14 1930
R. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

PARTIALLY, OKLAHOMA. MEMPHIS, JUNE 18, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Wednesday, June 18th, 1930.

Present: Hon. W. D. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
W. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

The court, after hearing proceedings was had and adjourned, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Clarissa Valliere Showalter, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 544 Equity.
Law Richard Line Corporation, Defendant.)

--: O R D E R :--

The motion filed by plaintiff asking permission for the Marshal to amend his return of service of the subpoena issued herein, being seen and heard, the same is sustained.

It is therefore ordered that the Marshal be permitted to amend his return herein in accordance with said motion. It is further ordered that the time within which defendant may plead to the bill filed by plaintiff herein is extended to and including 20 days from June 18 - 1930.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 18 1930
W. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

Court adjourned until June 21, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

REGULAR SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, JUNE 21, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Saturday, June 21st, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kemsener, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court. H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

THE OSAGE OIL & REFG. CO., a corp., Plaintiff, vs. LEWIS ACELRD, ET AL, Defendants. No. 222 - Equity.

Now on this 21st day of June, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the Clerk file and spread Dismissal of Circuit Court of Appeals of record, which Dismissal is in words and figures as follow, to-wit:

DISMISSAL

IN THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT SITTING AT DENVER, COLORADO,

THIRTEENTH DAY, JUNE TEN, WEDNESDAY, JUNE 18th, A. D. 1930.

PRESENT: Honorable Robert E. Lewis, Senior Circuit Judge, Honorable Orle L. Phillips, Circuit Judge, Honorable George T. McBerrett, Circuit Judge, And other officers as noted on the second day of June, A. D. 1930.

Before Honorable Robert E. Lewis, Honorable Orle L. Phillips and Honorable George T. McBerrett, Circuit Judges.

The Osage Oil & Refining Company, Appellant, vs. Continental Oil Company, Appellee. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, from the record it appears:

That the appeal in this cause was allowed on September 12, 1929, from an order made in the court below on June 24, 1929; that on September 30, 1929, the court below, acting in accordance with an opinion of this court in original actions No. 122, Osage Oil and Refining Company versus Continental Oil Company, et al., and No. 123, Osage Oil and Refining Company versus F. E. Kemsener, United States District Judge, et al., entered its order vacating and annulling said order of June 24, 1929.

Now, therefore, it is ordered and decreed by this court that the appeal in this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed out of this court at the costs of appellant.

It is further ordered by the court that the clerk of this court forthwith transmit to the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma a certified copy of this order.

A true copy as of record:

(SIGNED) ALBERT THORGO, Clerk

RECORDED: Filed Jun 21 1930 H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

OKLAHOMA
COUNTY REGISTRY
1930

District of
OKLAHOMA, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, JUNE 21, 1930.

OKLAHOMA

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

The Rogers Bread Company, an Oklahoma corporation,	Plaintiff,	} No. 502, Equity.
vs.		
Southwestern Stores, Inc., a corporation,	Defendant.	
R. E. Henderson, et al,	Interveners.	

O R D E R

On this 21st day of June, 1930, this cause coming on to be heard upon the application of receivers Thomas Z. Varney and Jake Easton, for the allowance of compensation to said Receivers, and to Henry L. Fist and Eben L. Taylor, as their attorneys for services herein rendered, and it appearing to the Court that due notice of said application has been given to all parties interested herein, and upon consideration,

IT IS ORDERED by the Court that Receiver Jake Easton be and he hereby is allowed the sum of Seven Thousand Five Hundred (\$7,500.00) Dollars as compensation herein as Receiver, the same to be in addition to allowances heretofore made, said allowances being hereby ratified and approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Receiver Thomas Z. Varney be and he is hereby allowed the sum of Six Thousand Five Hundred (\$6,500.00) Dollars, from which it is ordered that there shall be deducted ad interim allowances property heretofore transferred to the said Thomas Z. Varney, and accounts due by said Thomas Z. Varney to Southwestern Stores, Inc., in the total amount of Five Thousand One Hundred Thirty-Four and 71/100 (\$5,134.71) Dollars so that there shall be due the said Thomas Z. Varney under the terms of this order the difference between the sum of Six Thousand Five Hundred (\$6,500.00) Dollars, the allowances herein made, and the sum of Five Thousand One Hundred Thirty-Four and 71/100 (\$5,134.71) Dollars, the sums already paid to said Thomas Z. Varney, or a net balance of One Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-five and 29/100 (\$1,365.29) Dollars.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Henry L. Fist be and he is hereby allowed the sum of Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars, as compensation for services as attorney herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Eben L. Taylor be and he is hereby allowed the sum of Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars, as compensation for services as attorney rendered herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the COURT that the Receivers herein be and they hereby are authorized and directed to pay to said above named receivers, and attorneys, the amounts respectively herein allowed them.

F. E. KEMMIGER
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 21 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY SESSION SATURDAY, JUNE 21, 1930.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

The Rogers Bread Company,
an Oklahoma corporation, Plaintiff, }
vs. } In Equity, No. 502.
Southwestern Stores, Inc.,
a corporation, Defendant. }
R. S. Henderson, et al, Interveners. }

O R D E R

This cause came on for hearing on this 21st day of June, 1930, upon the application of Streeter Speakman for the allowance of attorney and counsel fees in representing the plaintiff and other creditors, and the interveners and other stockholders herein, and it appearing to the Court that due notice of said application has been given to all parties interested herein, upon consideration,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT that the said Streeter Speakman be and he hereby is allowed the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars, as attorney fees and compensation for his services herein rendered in representing said plaintiff, and other creditors, and said interveners and other stockholders herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Receivers herein be and they hereby are authorized and directed, to pay to said Streeter Speakman the said sum of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars hereby allowed.

F. S. HENDERSON
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 21 1930
H. P. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

M. H. Bartlett, Complainant }
v. } No. 503 Equity
Lattie Robedeaux et al, Respondents. }

C A U S E

Now on this 21st day of June, 1930, for good cause shown it is by Court ordered that the respondents be and are hereby given until the termination of the proceedings in 503 Equity, in which writ of certiorari has been applied for to answer or respond in the above entitled cause.

F. S. HENDERSON
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 21 1930
H. P. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
U.S. DISTRICT COURT OFFICE 210 768550

District of OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, JUNE 21, 1930.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States, Plaintiff,
vs.
Mrs. James B. West, formerly Mrs. James B. West,
Culliciah D. West, Joe A. West,
Calvin West, Josephine West
and James B. West, Jr., Defendants.

No. 546 Equity.

DECEASE IN EQUITY.

Now on this 21 day of June, 1930, the above entitled cause coming on to be heard, and the plaintiff, the United States, now appearing by and through its solicitor, Louis E. Steyer, and said Steyer being sworn as the Northern District of Oklahoma, and said defendant, James B. West, Jr., now appearing by his duly appointed, qualified and acting Guardian Ad Litem, Harry Boston, and said defendants, Mrs. James B. Wimer, formerly Mrs. James B. West, Sequoyah West, Culliciah D. West, Joe A. West, Calvin West and Josephine West, and each of them, having been duly and regularly served with process herein, and having failed to plead or answer, according to the terms and conditions of such said process, order Pro Confesso was duly and regularly entered against each of said defendants, and more than thirty days having elapsed since the entering of such said order Pro Confesso, and each of said defendants, last aforesaid, having failed to plead herein, and having each been three times duly called in open court, appeareth not, and are adjudged in default, and each of said parties aforesaid now appearing by and through their respective solicitors, and announcing ready for trial, said cause proceeded to trial; and after hearing the evidence offered, together with statement of counsel, and after having been fully advised in the premises, the Court finds all issues in favor of the plaintiff and against each and all of said defendants herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said purported warranty deed filed for and now of record in the office of the County Clerk of Mayes County, Oklahoma, recorded in Book D. at Page 368 of the records of said office, be, and the same is hereby canceled, set aside and held for naught, inso far as it relates to, covers, or purports to convey or encumber the following described land, to-wit:

Northeast Quarter (NE4) of Northeast Quarter
(NE4) of Northwest Quarter (NW4) of Section
Ten (10), Township Twenty-two (22) North, Range
Twenty-one (21) East, Mayes County, Oklahoma,

and that the title thereto be and hereby is duly quieted in and to said plaintiff and its said ward, Oo-cha-la-na-he Davis, subject only to the restrictions against alienation and the supervision thereof by said plaintiff, for and on behalf of its said ward, and that said defendants, and each of them, Mrs. James Wimer, formerly Mrs. James B. West, Sequoyah West, Culliciah D. West, Joe A. West, Calvin West, Josephine West and James B. West, Jr., have no right, title or interest of whatsoever nature therein, and are hereby barred and enjoined from further claiming or asserting any right, title or interest of whatsoever nature therein.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

ENCLOSURE: Filed Jun 21 1930
J. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

Court adjourned until June 23, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

CITY SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA, MONDAY, JUNE 23, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Monday, June 23rd, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. M. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
E. W. Barfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

Harwood Keaton, Receiver of the First National Bank of Barnsdall, Oklahoma,	Plaintiff,	} No. 187 Equity.
Vs		
Mary E. Little, Executrix of the Estate of G. R. Little, deceased,	Defendant.	

DECREE CONFIRMING SALE.

Now, on this 23rd day of June, 1930, this cause comes on to be heard upon the motion of Harwood Keaton, Receiver of the First National Bank of Barnsdall, Oklahoma, plaintiff above styled, asking that the report of sale of J. S. Buchanan, Special Master, filed herein on the 17th day of June, 1930, be approved and confirmed, and that the sale of the property involved in this cause, as reported by the Special Master, be, in all respects, confirmed, and upon the objections of Mary E. Little, Executrix of the Estate of G. R. Little, deceased, to such confirmation; and there appeared Robt. B. Keenan, attorney for plaintiff, Frank T. McCoy, attorney for Mary E. Little, Executrix of the Estate of G. R. Little, deceased, and for Mary E. Little, individually, and J. S. Buchanan, Special Master, appearing in person.

It appearing to the Court, by the report of J. S. Buchanan, Special Master, that said Special Master has fully complied with the directions of the decree of this Court entered in this cause on the 1st day of July, 1929, and the special execution and order of sale entered on the 26th day of April, 1930, as to the sale of said property; and, it appearing that said report, in said decree described and directed to be sold, was made in accordance, as required by law, and offered for sale and sold to the purchaser, Mary E. Little, in accordance with the terms of said decree and order of sale, and that said purchaser has paid, to said Special Master, the amount of money bid, and that said sale ought to be, in all respects, confirmed; and, it further appearing to the court that Mary E. Little, Executrix of the Estate of G. R. Little, deceased, objects to the confirmation of said sale and the paying over of said funds to the plaintiff herein; and, it further appearing that notice of such confirmation was duly given, by the Clerk of this Court, to all parties interested, and that they are all present in court; it further appearing that the bid of Mary E. Little, in the sum of Seven Thousand, Three Hundred Five Dollars (\$7,305.00) was the highest and best bid offered at said sale, the Court so finds.

IT IS, THEREFORE, Ordered, adjudged and decreed that the objections of Mary E. Little, Executrix of the Estate of G. R. Little, deceased, be, and the same are overruled and an exception allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, adjudged and decreed that the said report of sale of the said J. S. Buchanan, Special Master, be, and the same is, in all things approved and confirmed; that the said sale to Mary E. Little of the property herein described be, and the same is, in all things, ratified, confirmed and made absolute.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said J. S. Buchanan, Special Master, sign, seal, execute, acknowledge and deliver a good and sufficient deed of conveyance to said purchaser, Mary E.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

District of

OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA, this 23rd day of JUNE, 1930.

Little, of all and singular the property so sold, together with all buildings and appurtenances thereunto belonging or appertaining, which said deed shall operate and have the same force and effect as if the said conveyance had been executed and delivered by the said Mary E. Little, executrix of the Estate of G. R. Little, deceased, and all of the estate, right, title and interest which said Harwood Keaton, Receiver of the First National Bank of Barnsdall, Oklahoma now lawfully has in said property.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that, upon the delivery of said deed of conveyance to be made by said Special Master to said purchaser, the said Mary E. Little shall be vested with said property so sold and so conveyed as the absolute owner thereof; that said property to be conveyed is the property described in plaintiff's petition, as follows, to-wit:

Lots Eight (8) and Nine (9) in Block Eighteen (18)
of the original townsite of Barnsdall, Deaga County,
State of Oklahoma.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that on the delivery to said grantee, by the Special Master, of said deed, all of the parties hereto are authorized and directed and required to let the grantee into the possession of said premises conveyed; that the parties hereto, or any other parties claiming under them, shall deliver to said grantee all of the property embraced in said Special Master's deed, including any income in the hands of any of the parties hereto received by them from said premises conveyed after the date of said sale, to-wit: June 5th, 1930, down to the time of such delivery of possession; that said purchaser shall take and hold said property, free and discharged from the lien of the plaintiff, and from every claim, of every kind or nature, or any of the parties hereto.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said amounts realized from said sale, less the expenditures herein authorized, shall be paid over to the plaintiff to be deposited by him in a special account at interest, in a secured depository designated by the Comptroller of the Currency, not to be disbursed until the further order of this court made after hearing upon notice to both parties.

On consideration of the motion of J. S. Buchanan, Special Master her in,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Special Master's fee for his services herein shall be set at the sum of one hundred and fifty dollars (\$150.00), and said Special Master is hereby ordered and directed to pay to himself said amount for said fee for services rendered herein, and the said Special Master is hereby ordered and directed to pay the sum of Seventy-five Dollars (\$75.00) for the preparation of abstract of title and to pay the balance due the Clerk of this Court upon costs in this case.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, adjudged and decreed that the amount of the sale and funds paid over to the plaintiff by Thomas J. Casey, Receiver herein, although not sufficient to satisfy the total judgment herein, said plaintiff is not entitled to a judgment for any deficiency in view of the fact that this claim is barred as a claim against the estate of G. R. Little, deceased. Said payment of said funds shall constitute a satisfaction in full of this judgment, and the Clerk is directed to enter such satisfaction on the docket of this Court.

To all of which findings, judgments and orders, the defendant excepts, and her exceptions are allowed.

G. L.
Robt. B. Keenan
Attorney for Plaintiff.

F. E. KLINNER
Judge.

G. L.
Frank T. McCoy
Attorney for Defendant.

IT CASED: Filed Jun 24 1930
T. P. Barfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court ME

84 548

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY JUNE 23, 1930.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States,	Plaintiff,	
vs.		
E. C. Mullendore, Jr.,	Defendant.	No. 277 Equity. ✓
Board of County Commissioners of Osage County, Oklahoma,	Intervenor.	

FINAL DECREE.

Now on this 23rd day of June, 1930, this matter coming on to be heard, and the Court, after hearing statement of counsel, and consideration of the pleadings herein, and after being fully advised in the premises, finds:

That all the allegations contained in plaintiff's Bill of Complaint herein are true and correct, and finds all the issues in favor of said plaintiff and against the defendant herein, E. C. Mullendore, Jr., and against the intervenor, Board of County Commissioners of Osage County, Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the certain Sheriff's deed, dated September 7, 1927, executed and delivered by H. E. Freas, Sheriff of Osage County, Oklahoma, to the above named defendant, E. C. Mullendore, Jr., filed for record on or about September 10, 1927, in the office of the County Clerk of Osage County, Oklahoma, recorded in Book 60 of warranty deeds, at page 253 of the records of that office, is null and void, and of no force and effect, and is hereby canceled, set aside and held for naught, insofar as it relates to, covers or purports to convey any right, title or interest in and to, or in any manner encumbers the following described land, to-wit:

Lot Three (3), and the Northwest Quarter (NW4)
of the Northeast Quarter (NE4); the South Half
(S2) of the Northeast Quarter (NE4) of Section
Thirty-three (33), Township Twenty-three (23)
North, Range Six (6) East, Osage County, Oklahoma.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that said defendant, E. C. Mullendore, Jr., and said intervenor, Board of County Commissioners of Osage County, Oklahoma, have no right, title or interest in and to said described land, and title thereto is hereby duly and regularly quieted in and to said plaintiff and its wards, the restricted heirs at law of Fannie Wheeler, deceased, restricted Osage allottee No. 732, and that said defendant and said intervenor, and each of them be and hereby is barred and enjoined from further asserting any right, title or interest of whatsoever nature in and to said land, aforesaid.

P. E. KENNHAMER
JUDGE.

G. E. Devine, Co. Atty. Osage Co. Clerk.
By L. T. Garman, Asst.

Louis N. Stivers
Asst. U. S. Atty.

NOTICED: Filed Jun 23 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

TO WHOM IT MAY COME

District of

OKLAHOMA

TELSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, JUNE 23, 1930.

THE FISHER GOVERNOR COMPANY,	Plaintiff,	}	No. 292 - Equity.
vs.			
C. F. CAMP COMPANY,	Defendant.	}	

Now on this 23rd day of June, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, such being in words and figures as follow:

MANDATE:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL) TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WHEREAS:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between The Fisher Governor Company, Inc., a Corporation, Plaintiff, and C. F. Camp Company, a Corporation, Defendant, No. 292, Equity, the decree of the said District Court, in said cause, entered on February 2, 1929, was in the following words, viz:

"Ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

"1 That defendant has not infringed plaintiff's Letters patent No. 1,600,162; and

"2 That the cause be and hereby is dismissed and that defendant recover from plaintiff its costs herein expended."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record - - - of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal - - - agreeably to the act of Congress, - - - in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the - - - February Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty - - -, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court and was argued by counsel.

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this court that the decree of the said District Court, in this cause, be and the same is hereby affirmed, and that C. F. Camp Company, appellee, have and recover against The Fisher Governor Company, Inc., appellant, its costs herein and have execution therefor as at law.

-- April 16, 1930.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such - - - proceedings be had in said cause, - - - as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES E. HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 27th day of May - - -, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty.

COSTS OF APPEAL.

ALBERT TREGO
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

Clerk	-----
Printing Record	-----
Attorney	\$ 20.00
	\$ 20.00

RECORDED: Filed Jun 23 1930
A. P. Farfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
 EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY JUNE 23, 1930.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

SARSA POLECAT, William Polecat and Jim Tiger, Plaintiffs,	}	
vs	}	NO. 349 EQUITY ✓
WARD, the H. F. Wilcox Oil & Gas Company, a Corp., and the United States of America,	}	Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO
 PREPARE RECORD AND LODGE APPEAL IN THE
 UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

On this 23 day of June, 1930, was heard the application of the plaintiffs for an order allowing them an extension of time of ninety days from and after June 23, 1930, within which to complete their record and lodge their appeal in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, and the Court, after considering same, finds that said application should be granted;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiffs herein be, and they are hereby, allowed an extension of time of ninety days from and after June 23, 1930, within which to complete their record and lodge their appeal taken in this cause in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

W. A. BRIDGEMAN
 J. U. S. C.

W. A. BRIDGEMAN Filed June 24 1930
 H. P. Garfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States,	Plaintiff,	}
vs.		NO. 350 EQUITY ✓
Mrs. James Wimer, formerly Mrs. James S. West, et al.,		Defendants.

ORDER OF COURT

And now on this the 21st day of June, 1930, this matter coming on for hearing, and the plaintiff appearing by and through the solicitor, Louis R. Stivers, and the guardian ad litem, Harry Seaton, on behalf of James S. West, and the court having rendered judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants quitting the plaintiff's title in and to the property involved herein, and there coming on for hearing the taxing of Guardian Ad Litem fees, and the court finds that said Guardian Ad Litem is entitled to Twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) for his services rendered in said matter; that Twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) is fair and reasonable for said services, and that the same should be taxed as costs against the plaintiff in this action.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Harry Seaton, as said Guardian Ad Litem, have and receive the sum of Twenty-five Dollars (\$25.00) as and for his fee for Guardian Ad Litem herein, and that the same be taxed as cost herein.

W. A. BRIDGEMAN
 U. S. District Judge.

Louis R. Stivers
 Atty. at Law
 W. A. BRIDGEMAN Filed Jun 23 1930
 H. P. Garfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

WEEKLY, JUNE 11, 1930, TUESDAY, JUNE 11, 1930.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, June 25th, 1930.

Present: Hon. L. S. Branner, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
W. H. Washburn, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

The upon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

U. S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Harwood Keaton, Receiver,
of the First National Bank of
Barnsdall, Oklahoma, Plaintiff,

Vs.

No. 187 Equity.

Mary E. Little, Executrix
of the Estate of G. R. Little,
deceased, Defendant.

ORDER APPROVING RECEIVER'S REPORT.

Now, on this 25th day of June, 1930, this cause came on for hearing upon the final report and motion of Thomas J. Casey, Esquire, receiver in the above entitled cause, to approve said report, discharge said receiver and his bondsmen and allow said receiver compensation, and to authorize and direct him to pay over the balance of money in his hands, and upon exceptions of Mary E. Little, Executrix of the Estate of G. R. Little, deceased, and there appeared Robt. B. Lecnan, attorney for plaintiff, and Frank T. McCoy, Attorney for Mary E. Little, Executrix of the Estate of G. R. Little, deceased, and Thomas J. Casey in person.

The Court, being advised in the premises, finds that on July 1st, 1929, the Court entered judgment against the defendant in the above entitled cause for the sum of Seventeen Thousand, Eight Hundred Fifty-seven Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$17,857.50), together with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from June 30th, 1924 until paid, and the further sum of Seventeen Hundred Eighty-five Dollars and Seventy-five Cents (\$1785.75) as attorney's fees, and the costs of this action; that on account of the fact that the property sought to be foreclosed herein is inadequate to satisfy the mortgage debt, it was ordered that the receiver be directed to conserve all funds remaining in his hands.

It further appears, from the return of sale filed herein on June 9th, 1930 by J. S. Buchanan, Esquire, Special Master heretofore appointed, that said property was sold to Mary E. Little, who was the highest and best bidder, after notice, on June 5th, 1930, for the sum of Eleven Thousand, Three Hundred and Five Dollars (\$11,305.00); that, under the terms of the decree and special execution and order of sale, said purchaser is entitled to the rents and profits derived from said property after the date of sale, to-wit: June 5th, 1930; that there is nothing further for the receiver, Thomas J. Casey, to do in the conservation of this estate.

It further appears that the final report of said receiver is, in all particulars, correct, and that same should be approved, and that said receiver is entitled to compensation, in addition to that heretofore allowed, in the sum of Two Hundred Dollars (\$200.00).

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, adjudged and decreed that the final report of Thomas J. Casey, receiver herein, be approved, and that, after deducting the sum of Two Hundred Dollars (\$200.00), compensation for his services rendered since the filing of his last report, he pay the balance of Five Thousand Twenty-Seven Dollars and Four Cents (\$5,027.04) to Harwood Keaton, Receiver of the First National Bank of Barnsdall, Oklahoma, to be by him deposited in a special account at interest, in a secured depository designated by the Comptroller of the Currency, not to be disbursed until the further order of this Court made after hearing upon notice to both parties.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. TUESDAY, JUNE 23, 1930.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Thomas J. Casey is discharged as such receiver, and he and his bondsmen are exonerated from further liability, upon making such payment, and filing an appropriate receipt from Harwood Keaton, Receiver of the First National Bank of Barnsdall, Oklahoma.

It is further ordered that the objections of Mary E. Little, executor of the Estate of G. R. Little, deceased, are overruled, and exceptions allowed.

F. D. KEENAMER
Judge.

O. K.
Frank T. McCoy
Robt. Keenan

RECORDED: Filed June 24 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court. LE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Baker Oil Tools, Inc.,
a corporation, et al,)
Plaintiffs,)
vs.) In Equity No. 512
Barkin Packer Company,)
a corporation,) Defendant.) Patent No. 1,491,915.

STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

The plaintiffs herein, Baker Oil Tools, Inc., a corporation, William L. McLaine and Willard L. Burrough, and the defendant herein, Barkin Packer Company, a corporation, having heretofore amicably settled and adjusted their differences which were the subject-matter of this cause, it is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties hereto that the above court may enter its order instanter dismissing the bill in equity of the aforesaid plaintiffs in this cause, without prejudice, at the cost of said plaintiffs.

WILLIAM L. FORTSBERG
WILLIAM A. LOFTUS
A. J. BILBESON
JOHN N. CAMPBELL
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

JOHN H. BRUFENGA
E. J. J. HOLT
FRED W. KOPFLIN
Attorneys for the Defendant.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 24th day of June, 1930, this cause coming before the court on the oral application of the plaintiffs herein for an order dismissing the bill in equity of the plaintiffs in this cause without prejudice, and upon the written stipulation of the parties that said bill in equity may be dismissed, and for good cause shown,

It is hereby ordered that the bill in equity of the plaintiffs herein be, and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice, at the cost of the plaintiffs.

F. D. KEENAMER
District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 24 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

District of OKLAHOMA
TUESDAY, JUNE 24, 1930.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Baker Oil Tools, Inc., a corporation,	Plaintiff,	} In Equity No. 536 Patent No. 1,713,007.
vs.		
Larkin Packer Company, a corporation,	Defendant.	

STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

The plaintiff herein, Baker Oil Tools, Inc., a corporation, and the defendant herein, Larkin Packer Company, a corporation, having heretofore amicably settled and adjusted their differences which were the subject-matter of this cause, it is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties hereto that the above cause may be dismissed instantaneously without prejudice, at the cost of said plaintiffs.

CHAS. E. TOWNSEND
WILLIAM A. LOFTUS
A. J. BIRDSON
JOHN C. CANTRELL
Attorneys for Plaintiff

J. H. BRIENENGA
A. J. J. HOLT
FRED W. KOPPLIN
Attorneys for the Defendant.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Now on this 24th day of June, 1930, this cause coming before the court on the oral application of the plaintiff herein for an order dismissing the bill in equity of the plaintiff in this cause without prejudice, and upon the written stipulation of the parties that said bill in equity may be dismissed, and for good cause shown,

It is hereby ordered that the bill in equity of the plaintiff herein be, and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice, at the cost of the plaintiff.

F. E. BERNHARDT
District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 24 1930
H. W. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court. W

Court adjourned until June 25, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

FAIRTY SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 25, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Wednesday, June 25th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. I. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MISSISSIPPI VALLEY TRUST COMPANY, a corporation,	Plaintiff,	}	No. 478-Equity.
-vs-			
OKLAHOMA UNION RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation,	Defendant.	}	

C O U R T O P I N I O N

On this 25th day of June, 1930, upon consideration of the verified application of T. B. Slick for allowance of expenses and advancements, it appearing to the Court that the said T. B. Slick has heretofore advanced to the plaintiff herein, Mississippi Valley Trust Company, Trustee, the sum of Ten Thousand Dollars on account of compensation and expenses of said Trustee upon its demand, and pursuant to the terms and provisions of the trust mortgage involved herein, and that the said T. B. Slick is entitled to have said advancement allowed as a part of the costs and expenses of this cause to be repaid to him out of the amount deposited by the purchaser at the sale of the property of the defendant herein, and that the final decree of foreclosure and sale entered herein on May 1, 1930, should be amended accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the claim of said T. B. Slick in the sum of Ten Thousand Dollars on account of advancement for compensation and expenses of the plaintiff herein be, and the same is hereby allowed and approved, and the final decree of foreclosure and sale herein entered on May 1, 1930, and Article XVI thereof be amended to include said \$10,000.00 as costs and expenses advanced by the said T. B. Slick to be repaid to him out of the sum deposited by the purchaser at the sale of said property, and that the estimated amount of cash required to be accordingly increased to \$75,000.00 instead of \$65,000.00 as provided in said decree.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

H. A. Kleinschmidt,
Solicitor for Plaintiff

RECORDED: Filed Jun 25 1930
H. I. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MISSISSIPPI VALLEY TRUST COMPANY, a corporation,	Plaintiff,	}	No. 478-EQUITY.
-vs-			
OKLAHOMA UNION RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation,	Defendant.	}	

C O U R T O P I N I O N

On this 25th day of June, 1930, on consideration of the plaintiff herein for an order of sale of the property of the defendant, it appearing to the Court that said Plaintiff (MC) has elapsed since the entry of the final decree of foreclosure and sale herein on the

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

COLUMBIA

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 26, 1930.

1st day of Jan, 1930, and that the defendant has not paid or caused to be paid to the plaintiff the sum decreed and found in Article IV of said decree to be due to the plaintiff for principal and interest on the bonds of the defendant, with interest, and the amounts required to be paid to the receivers for the use and benefit of the Interveners and lien claimants, as adjudged in Article X of said decree, with interest, or any part thereof, and that the said plaintiff is accordingly entitled to have said property sold as provided in said decree;

It is therefore ordered that S. W. Oakes, Special Master hereof, appointed in this cause, shall proceed to advertise and sell the property of the defendant in accordance with the terms and provisions of said final decree of foreclosure and sale; and good cause therefor appearing, it is further ordered that the time within which sale of said property may be held shall be extended to ninety (90) days from this date.

F. E. KENNEDY
United States District Court.

H. A. Fleischmidt
Solicitor for plaintiff.

ENDORSED: Filed Jun 26 1930
C. P. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

Court adjourned until June 26, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, JUNE 26, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, June 26th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff

vs.

MYRTIE E. HALE, et al.
Defendants.

No. 485 Equity

D E C R E E

BE IT REMEMBERED that on this 26th day of June, 1930, this cause came before the court, for entering decree herein. The plaintiff appeared by Louis M. Stivers, Assistant United States District Attorney. The defendant, Myrtie E. Hale, appeared by Hamilton, Cross & Howard, her attorneys of record.

Pursuant to the memorandum opinion filed herein on March 25, 1930, the said defendant submitted to the Secretary of the Interior for approval the entire proceedings in the partition suit instituted in the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, by W. K. Hale against Pearl Bigheart. The Secretary of the Interior, under date of May 14th, 1930, declined to enter approval of said partition proceedings, and report of same has been made to this court. Therefore, the court proceeds to enter final decree herein. The court finds that W. K. Hale became the owner of an undivided one-half interest in the real estate described in the bill of complaint, and hereinafter described, by purchase from George Bigheart, the father of Charles Bigheart, deceased.

The court further finds that the said W. K. Hale instituted partition suit in the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, against Pearl Bigheart, in whose behalf the plaintiff instituted this suit; that under decree in partition entered in the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, the said W. K. Hale paid to the said Pearl Bigheart, through her legal guardian, full compensation for said property; that deducting the expenses the amount paid to the said Pearl Bigheart was the sum of \$3,552.75; that said sum last above mentioned was paid to the legal guardian of the said Pearl Bigheart and was passed to her account, and became her property.

The court finds, however, that said partition proceedings was not approved by the Secretary of the Interior, therefore that the title to the said real estate was not perfected. The court finds that the said partition proceedings to be approved by the Secretary of the Interior before the title to the said undivided one-half interest could become complete in the said W. K. Hale.

The court finds that subsequent thereto the said W. K. Hale, by warranty deed, conveyed his entire interest in said property to the defendant, Myrtie E. Hale, and by such warranty deed he assigned to her all claim which he had in and to the return of his compensation out of said partition suit.

The court finds that by said deed of conveyance the said Myrtie E. Hale was vested with a fee simple title to an undivided one-half interest in said land.

The court finds that the legal title to the said undivided one-half interest in said land vested in the said Myrtie E. Hale, and that the partition proceedings in the District Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, were not approved by the Secretary of the Interior, and that the title to the said undivided one-half interest could not become complete in the said W. K. Hale.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA, at the City of Tulsa, this 11th day of July, 1934.

the same time to retain the money derived from the sale thereof.

The court finds that it is proper to enter a decree settling the title of an undivided one-half interest in the real estate, Myrtie E. Hale, and settling the title of the other one-half interest in Pearl Bigheart, conditioned that within sixty days from this date the plaintiff herein cause the said Pearl Bigheart to pay to the defendant, Myrtie E. Hale, the sum of \$1,533.76, received by the said Pearl Bigheart as compensation for her one-half interest in said real estate. That in the event the said sum of money is not paid to Myrtie E. Hale, within sixty days from this date, then in that event the prayer of plaintiff's bill of complaint will be completely denied, and the title of the other one-half interest in said land will also be settled and quieted in the defendant, Myrtie E. Hale. To the findings of the court that the undivided one-half interest in said land is vested in the defendant, Myrtie E. Hale, the plaintiff excepts and its exceptions are allowed.

To the findings of the court conditional and subject to the plaintiff to have repaid to the said Myrtie E. Hale the sum of \$1,533.76, the plaintiff excepts and its exceptions are allowed.

IT IS HEREBY ordered, adjudged and decreed that the title of the defendant, Myrtie E. Hale, to an undivided one-half interest in the real estate described in plaintiff's bill of complaint and herein described, is:

Southeast quarter (SE¹/₄) of Section Ten (10),
Township Twenty-four (24) North, Range Six (6),
East. Osage County, Oklahoma.

be settled, quieted and decreed to be perfect as against the plaintiff and against the said Pearl Bigheart, in whose behalf this suit was instituted and against any and all persons claiming by, through or under her since the commencement of this action, to which order and decree plaintiff excepts and its exceptions are allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the title of the other undivided one-half interest in and to the real estate above described be settled, quieted and confirmed in the said Pearl Bigheart, in whose behalf this suit was instituted, conditioned however that the plaintiff require the said Pearl Bigheart to pay to the defendant, Myrtie E. Hale, within sixty days from this date, the sum of \$1,533.76, the return of money received by the said Pearl Bigheart for the sale of the said undivided one-half interest in said real estate. To the condition attached to said order and decree the plaintiff excepts and its exceptions are allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that in the event the said sum of money last above mentioned be not paid to the said defendant, Myrtie E. Hale, within sixty days from this date, plaintiff's prayer in its bill of complaint be completely denied for failure to do equity, and the title of the other undivided one-half interest in said land be not settled in the said Pearl Bigheart, but that the same be also settled and quieted in the said defendant, Myrtie E. Hale, and her title in the said other undivided one-half interest in the said land be held to be perfect and the said Pearl Bigheart, and all persons claiming by and through, or under her be enjoined and restrained from ever setting up any right, title or claim in and to any portion or part of the said real estate. To which order and decree the plaintiff excepts and its exceptions are allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said defendant, Myrtie E. Hale, be directed to report to this court at the expiration of sixty days from this date, whether or not the money has been repaid to her, as herein directed, in order that the records of this court may be made complete.

IT IS FURTHER ordered and decreed that no judgment be rendered against the defendant herein for costs.

To the adverse decree entered herein the plaintiff excepts and its exceptions are allowed.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, JUNE 26, 1930.

To the finding and the decree that Pearl Bigheart is entitled to one-half interest in said land the defendant, Myrtle E. Hale, excepts and her exceptions are allowed.

F. M. FEMMERER
JUDGE.

C. F.
LOUIS H. STIVERS
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

W. S. HAMILTON
EDW. C. GROSS, and
J. L. HOWARD
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT,
MYRTLE E. HALE

RECORDED: Filed Jun 26 1930
J. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 406 Equity.
Annie Downing and }
Ruth Stanley, Defendants. }

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 26 day of June, 1930, it having been called to the Court's attention that a quit claim deed from the defendants herein to the Government's ward, to-wit: Louis Pah-se-to-pah, Osage Allottee No. 619, has been executed, delivered and accepted as satisfaction in full of the obligation herein sued upon, and that the note and mortgage has been duly canceled and delivered to the defendants herein, and that there is no occasion for further litigation in connection with this suit, and that it is the recommendation of the Superintendent of the Osage Indian Agency and the United States District Attorney that the cause be dismissed;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, A JUDGMENT BE ENTERED THAT SAID CAUSE BE AND HEREBY IS DISMISSED WITH COSTS PAID.

F. M. FEMMERER
JUDGE

C. F.
LOUIS H. STIVERS
Assistant United States Attorney,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

RECORDED: Filed Jun 26 1930
J. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NO. 23 EQUITY
JULY 23 1930
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

District of OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, JULY 22, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Monday, June 17th, 1930.

Present: Hon. W. E. Monnager, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Cartiera, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Jane Jefferson, an incompetent,
by her guardians, R. C. Vincent
and A. L. Wallace, Plaintiff,

vs.

The Gypsy Oil Company,
Coble Oil & Gas Company,
John H. King, Ida H. King,
E. E. Bell and Erminie E. Bell,
and H. G. Barnard, Defendants.

No. 23 Equity

DECREE

Now on this the 30th day of June, 1930, the above entitled cause came on for hearing, the parties appearing in person and by their attorneys, and having offered proof in support of their respective contentions and having submitted the cause, upon consideration the court finds that this cause was instituted by Ray C. Vincent and A. L. Wallace, as guardians of Jane Jefferson, an alleged incompetent, and that subsequent to the institution of this suit the said Jane Jefferson died and this cause was thereafter revived in the name of Tom Jefferson, as administrator of the estate of Jane Jefferson, and of Tom Jefferson, Sam Jefferson, Raleigh Jefferson, Jane Morrison, Beadie Tillman, Hannah Pete, Jim Jefferson, Myra Ousley, Jane White and Abraham Jefferson, as the next of kin and sole heirs of the said Jane Jefferson, deceased, and that the said named parties are conceded to be the sole heirs of said Jane Jefferson.

And thereupon the plaintiffs offered in support of their contention the enrollment record of the said Jane Jefferson as a citizen of the Creek Nation, and the patent issued to her, a copy of which is attached to the bill of complaint, and the plaintiffs offered no proof in support of those allegations of their bill herein that the said Jane Jefferson was incompetent at the time of the execution of the conveyance in question in this case or that fraud was perpetrated upon her in and about the procuring of said conveyance or in and about the procuring of the decree set up in the pleadings herein; thereupon the plaintiffs offered no further proof, the question of accounting being reserved pending adjudication as to title, and the defendants offered in support of their contentions a certified transcript of the proceedings in a certain cause in the District Court of Okfuskee County, Oklahoma, entitled Jane Jefferson, plaintiff, vs. Waite Phillips et al., defendants, No. 3563, including a decree rendered therein on the 31st day of May, 1922, involving the alienability of the land in controversy herein, in which cause the defendants in this cause were defendants and in which the said Jane Jefferson, in whose name and right this suit was instituted, was plaintiff, and the defendants also offered in support of their contention a deposition in said cause given by the said Jane Jefferson. And the defendants further offered in support of their contentions a transcript of the proceedings in a certain cause in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, in cause No. 25844, entitled R. C. Vincent and A. L. Wallace, as guardians of Jane Jefferson, vs. W. M. Briscoe et al., in which judgment was recovered against the defendants and in favor of the plaintiffs therein for the sum of \$12,000., being a part of certain moneys recovered by and paid to the said Jane Jefferson in connection with the compromise and settlement of cause No. 3563 aforesaid in the District Court of Okfuskee County, Oklahoma.

And thereupon after argument of the respective contentions of the parties, the cause having been submitted, the Court finds all of

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT

District of

OKLAHOMA

WURRY & SASSICH

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

NOV. 17, JULY 20, 1930.

the issues of law and fact in this cause against the plaintiffs and in favor of the defendants, and specifically finds that the land in controversy herein was the homestead of the said Jane Jefferson, and that it was free from all restrictions from and after the passage and taking effect of the Act of Congress approved May 27, 1906, and was free from restriction and was alienable by the said Jane Jefferson at the time of the execution of the deeds in controversy herein on the 27th day of March, 1909, and on the 28th day of March, 1911, and on the 21st day of May, 1922, and that the conveyance of said land by Jane Jefferson to Same Jefferson by the said aforesaid deed dated March 27, 1909, operated to pass a good and complete title to the land in controversy to the said Same Jefferson, and his subsequent conveyance to John M. King and Ida M. King on the 30th day of March, 1909, operated to convey good and complete title to said land.

The court further finds that by the said decree in the District Court of Oklahoma, in cause No. 3562, entitled Jane Jefferson, plaintiff, vs. Waite Phillips et al., all of the matters and things in controversy herein were fully adjudicated and determined, and that the said judgment has remained and is in full force and effect and is a bar to any recovery in this action.

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the plaintiffs take nothing herein, and that the defendants be, and they are hereby, adjudged to be the owners of their respective interests in the land in controversy and of the oil and gas leases thereon, and that the defendants have judgment for their costs herein, two-thirds of which the plaintiffs exact.

W. L. HARRISON
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jul 7 1930
T. S. Harrfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Federal Life Insurance Company,
a Corporation, Plaintiff

-vs-

a. 356 Equity.

W. L. Harrison, et al., Defendants.

ORDER APPOINTING RECEIVER IN THIS CASE.

Now on this 3th day of June, 1930, in open court upon notice first given of the intention to apply at this time and place for a receiver to take charge of the lands involved in the foreclosure of a mortgage in this case, comes the plaintiff by its attorneys Walter F. Lye and W. T. Tumilty, and the defendants by their counsel served with notice of this application make no objection, and pray that the court appoint a receiver.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT that the said receiver be, and he is hereby appointed receiver in this case to take charge of the lands, to-wit:

West half of Southwest quarter of Section 5,
and Northeast quarter of Southeast quarter of
Section 6, Township 27 North, Range 10 East;
Southwest quarter, and East half of Northwest
quarter of Section 29, and southeast quarter
of Southwest quarter, and North half of
Lot 3, and Southwest quarter of Southwest quarter
of Southeast quarter, and West half of Northeast
quarter of Southeast quarter of Section 18,
Township 26 North, Range 10 East, in Custer County,
Oklahoma.

and the books and records thereon to be filed in the office of the receiver of this court, and to be subject to the order of the court in all respects as may be required by law, and to be subject to the order of the court in all respects as may be required by law.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

W. W. WILSON
BANKY DESIGN
U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1930 758553

District of
COLUMBIA, D. C. THURSDAY, JUNE 24, 1930.

the further order of this court; that said receiver qualify by taking his oath of office and executing a bond to the defendants in the sum of \$1000, conditioned for the faithful performance and discharge of his duties, and the honest accounting for all moneys received, and the defendants are directed to deliver to the receiver the rents and profits from said above described lands until the further order of this court.

W. W. WILSON
Judge of the District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed Jun 24 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

/

Court adjourned until July 1, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

REGULAR SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA. TUESDAY, JULY 1, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, July 1st, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

The United States of America,)
Plaintiff,)

-vs-

No. 226
IN EQUITY

Albert Kelly and H. P. Wilcox)
Oil & Gas Company, a corporation,)
Defendants.)

DECREE

At a former judicial day of this court, this cause came on to be heard in its regular order upon the trial docket of the court, the plaintiff appearing by Louis E. Slivers, Assistant U. S. District Attorney, and the defendant, Albert Kelly, by Thrift & Davenport, attorneys, and defendant H. P. Wilcox Oil & Gas Company by Horace B. Clay and Davidson & Williams, attorneys, at which time the parties, plaintiff and defendants by their respective counsel announced ready for trial, and same was had, and after hearing the evidence adduced and the argument of counsel, the court took said cause under advisement, granting leave to the parties to file their briefs herein.

And now, on this 1st day of July, 1930, the court having further considered the evidence and the briefs submitted, and being fully advised in the premises, finds the issues in favor of the defendants and against the plaintiff, and that Mary Blythe, nee Milochee West, Solomon Buckley and Mary Beer, on whose behalf this cause is prosecuted, have no right, title, claim, interest or estate in and to the lands and property described in the pleadings in this cause.

It is, therefore, adjudged, ordered and decreed by the court that the plaintiff's bill of complaint be dismissed with prejudice to another action, and that the defendants, Albert Kelly and H. P. Wilcox Oil & Gas Company, go hence without day, and save and recover of the plaintiff their costs in this behalf expended in the sum of \$7.00, to be taxed and inserted by the Clerk of the Court.

To which findings and judgments of the court the plaintiff excepts.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge

FILED: Filed Jul 5 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA
SATURDAY, JULY 6, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Saturday, July 5th,
1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Garfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and reported
to-wit:

J. B. STRATFORD,	Plaintiff,)	} No. 391 - Equity. ✓
vs.)	
TULSA INVESTMENT CO.	Defendant.)	

Now on this 5th day of July, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by
the Court that hearing on Plaintiff's report will be taken under the
provisionment.

Court adjourned until July 8, 1930

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA
CIVIL NO. 100-1000
TUESDAY, JULY 8, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, July 8th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. A. S. WRIGHT, Plaintiff,)
vs.) Co. 154 E ✓
CHARLES J. EDDY, Defendant.)

ORDER

Now on this day comes on to be heard the application of petitioner for an order requiring the defendant, Charles J. Eddy, to produce in this court upon the trial of this cause certain correspondence, files, documents, statements, etc., alleged to be in his possession pertaining to the partnership business of Eddy & Wright, whether conducted under the partnership name or the name of Charles J. Eddy, and the court being of the opinion that said order should be made,

IT IS ORDERED That the defendant, Charles J. Eddy, produce in this court upon the trial of this cause all letters, checks, bank statements, books and records of the firm of Eddy & Wright or of the said Charles J. Eddy conducted in the Hunt Building in the City of Tulsa and all letters and correspondence passing between the said J.A.S. Wright and the said Charles J. Eddy, as well as between the said Charles J. Eddy and Utilities Power & Light Company or any other person pertaining to said business, between January 1, 1928, and November 1, 1929, to be used in evidence by petitioner in the trial of said cause.

Dated this the 8 day of July, 1930.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

Witness my hand and seal this 8th day of July, 1930.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA
THURSDAY, JULY 11, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, July 11th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Keenaner, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and returned,
to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLA.

J.B. Stratford, Complainant,

vs.

Tulsa Investment Company,
a corporation; Tulsa Invest-
ment Company, a co-partnership
composed of C.L. Waite, A.J.
Hamel and J.W. Hamel; C.L.
Waite, A.J. Hamel, J.W. Hamel
and Mary M. Miller; Farm and Home
Savings and Loan Association of Mis-
souri, a corporation,

Respondents.

Bill,
Equity

J U D G E

This matter coming on to be heard upon the application of Complainant herein, J.B. Stratford, who is represented by his attorneys W.M. Haben, Spencer Adams, Eldon J. Dick and W.J. Owens, and the respondents being represented by their Attorneys, Vance, Pister, Spillers, and, after hearing the evidence therein and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that the Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri is a necessary and proper party defendant to this cause to a full, complete and final determination and adjudication of all the issues involved herein and should, therefore, be made a party defendant herein. The Court, also, finds that an amended Bill in Equity should be filed herein, containing the Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri as a party defendant, and that process should issue thereon for the Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri. The Court further finds that because of the Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri being made a party defendant herein that the further hearings and proceedings of this cause should be continued until the new issues of the Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri upon the amended Bill in Equity are made up and said cause set for hearing thereon.

It is, therefore, ordered, considered and decreed by the Court that the Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri be and it is hereby made a party defendant in this cause. It is, also, ordered and decreed by the Court that an amended Bill in Equity be and it is hereby permitted to be filed, containing the name of the Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri, a corporation, as a party defendant, and, that process issue thereon for said Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri, a corporation,

It is further ordered and decreed by the Court that the further hearings and proceedings of this cause be and the same are hereby continued until the new issues of the Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri are made up upon the amended Bill in Equity and said cause set for hearing.

F. E. KEENANER
Judge.

Dated this 10th day
of July, 1930.

ENDORSEMENT: Filed July 10, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court W

Court adjourned until July 14, 1930

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF

District of
MISSOURI, OKLAHOMA

FILED,
JULY 14, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment bond, July 14th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Barfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

E. J. SLICK, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 514 - Equity. ✓
UNION TRANSPORTATION CO. Defendant.)

Now on this 14th day of July, A.D. 1930, the above entitled cause is stricken from the assignment of this date.

J. A. S. WRIGHT, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 554 - Equity. ✓
GEORGE J. BDDY, Defendant.)

Now on this 14th day of July, A.D. 1930, the above entitled cause came on for hearing. Both sides are present either in person or by counsel. Thereafter, both sides announced ready. All witnesses are sworn in open court and the rule is invoked. The Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: F.F. Bittendorf, C.S. Barfield, E.L. Kolas, C.H. Haskell, R.B. Martin, J.L. Shallen, R.A. Maron, L.V. Berger, C.H. Irons, W.C. Lloyd, W.E. Bowley, L.F. Depler, J.E. Hilton, W.W. Gish, F.L. Earnest, J.R. Lewis, Lucille B. Boness, W.F. McLaughery, J.A. Wright. Thereafter the Plaintiff rests. The Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: G.J. Bddy.

Thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, court is adjourned until July 15, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

MISSISSIPPI VALLEY TRUST CO.

OKLAHOMA UNION RAILWAY CO.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, July 15, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. R. Lehmann, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

MISSISSIPPI VALLEY TRUST CO., Plaintiff
a corporation, Trustee,

-vs-

OKLAHOMA UNION RAILWAY CO., Defendant
a corporation,

No. 479 - Equity

On this 15th day of July, 1930, upon the verified application of J.A. Frates and F.A. Bodovitz, Receivers of the Oklahoma Union Railway Company, and for good cause shown;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that said Receivers, be, and they are hereby authorized to settle the claims described in the verified application on file herein and are empowered to pay the following persons the following sums as payment and satisfaction in full for any and all claims for damages on account of the injuries, matters and things set forth in the said verified application: P. P. Egan, the sum of \$25.00 on account of injuries resulting on the 2nd day of April, 1930; Julius Marcher, the sum of \$20.00 on account of damages to truck on December 28th, 1929; D.W. Thompson, the sum of \$20.00 for killing colt on the 5th day of November, 1929; Alice Paul; the sum of \$4.00 on account of damaging clothing on the 9th day of December, 1929.

F. R. LEHMANN
U.S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July 15, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MISSISSIPPI VALLEY TRUST CO., Plaintiff
a corporation, Trustee,

-vs-

OKLAHOMA UNION RAILWAY CO., Defendant
a corporation,

No. 478-Equity

ORDER AUTHORIZING RECEIVERS TO SELL SURPLUS RAIL.

On this 15th day of July, 1930, upon the verified application of J.A. Frates and F.A. Bodovitz, Receivers of the Oklahoma Union Railway Company, for an order authorizing the sale of 50 tons of 75# rail and the required number of angle bars for said quantity of rails at \$25.00 per ton to the Sand Springs Railway Company and for authority to sell 13 tons of scrap rail to the A and J Scrap and Supply Company and 50 angle bars at the same rate, and it being made to appear that the sale of said rail as described in the verified application of the Receivers on file herein is for the best interest of said Receivers and for other good cause shown;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that J.A. Frates and F.A. Bodovitz, Receivers of the Oklahoma Union Railway Company, be, and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to sell to the Sand Springs Railway Company 50 tons of 75# rail and the required angle bars for said quantity of rail at \$25.00 per ton and to permit the Sand Springs Railway Company to pay for said rail and angle bars in the performance of services,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

J. A. BROWN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. 510 - Equity ✓

The Union Transportation Co.,
Defendant,

On this 15th day of July, 1930, there was read and verified application of J.A. Brown and P.A. Rodovitz, Receivers of the Union Transportation Company, for summary judgment, and payment of a claim and general satisfaction in favor of the said Plaintiff against the Union Transportation Company and its Receiver, J.A. Brown, in the sum of \$404.86, the amount of which is due and owing to the Plaintiff by the said Defendant, and that the said Plaintiff is entitled to the said sum of \$404.86 with interest thereon from the date of the said contract in advance upon the basis of the said Receiver's affidavit and said arrangement was predicated upon a full and complete discharge of the accounts between the said parties; and it further is ordered by the Court that the said compromise and adjustment of the said amount in the manner set forth in the verified application of J.A. Brown, and that their good cause show;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, that J.A. Brown and P.A. Rodovitz, Receivers of the Union Transportation Company, Inc., and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to pay, satisfy and discharge in accordance with the contract, agreement and arrangement existing between the said Receiver and the U.F. Goodrich Company, said claim of the U.F. Goodrich Company in the following manner to-wit: said Receiver to forthwith pay the U.F. Goodrich Company the sum of \$5,000.00 and to pay the sum of \$1,500.00 one month thereafter, and the further sum of \$1,500.00 each month thereafter until four months payments of \$1,500.00 each have been made and thereafter and within one month after the last payment of \$1,500.00 to pay the U.F. Goodrich Company the sum of \$404.86, which said total payments shall constitute payment and satisfaction in full of the claim of the U.F. Goodrich Company against the Union Transportation Company prior to the inception of this said action.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

F. H. WALKER
U. S. District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed July 15, 1930
W.W. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

J. A. BROWN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 554 - Equity ✓

CYRUS C. EDDY,

Defendant.

Now on this 15th day of July, A.D. 1930, the above entitled cause comes on for further hearing. All parties are present as before and the Defendant continues with the introduction of evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Mr. Jahyle and C.J. Eddy. Thereafter, both sides rest. Thereupon, after considering the facts and evidence herein, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be taken under advisement upon briefs to be furnished.

Court adjourned until July 16, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
COURTY SESSION

District of

OKLAHOMA

TULSA, OKLAHOMA. WEDNESDAY, JULY 16, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Wednesday, July 16th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. T. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

FRANK VAN VOORHIS, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 520 Equity.
EAGLE TRAPEZOID CO., et al, Defendants.)

Now on this 16th day of July, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled action be dismissed for want of jurisdiction.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

TULSA, OKLAHOMA, WEDNESDAY, JULY 17, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, July 17th, 1930.

Present: Hon. J. J. Hammer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Garfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and adjourned,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 552 Equity.
Sam Donchoff Semkoff,)
Defendant.

WRITING UNDER.

Now on this 17th day of July, 1930, came on to be heard in open court the application of the Plaintiff in the above entitled cause for an order directing the absent and non-resident defendant, Sam Donchoff Semkoff, to appear, answer or plead her in, and it appearing to the Court that this suit is instituted by the Plaintiff, seeking to annul the order admitting the said Sam Donchoff Semkoff as a citizen of the United States, the cancellation of his certificate of citizenship, numbered 3123094, granted by the District Court of Miami, Oklahoma, on September 5, 1927, and the surrender of the duplicate or copy of such said certificate, for the reason and upon the grounds that said certificate of citizenship was illegally obtained by him, as more fully appears from the Bill of Complaint filed herein.

And it further appearing to the Court that the said defendant, Sam Donchoff Semkoff is not a resident of the Northern District of Oklahoma, but is a resident of the Western District of Oklahoma, at Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and that personal service upon said defendant is impossible through the usual chancery subpoena, and that said defendant, although duly notified, has not voluntarily appeared herein to plead, answer or demur to Plaintiff's Bill of Complaint, and the Court being of the opinion that said order should be granted:

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said defendant, Sam Donchoff Semkoff appear, plead, answer or demur to said Bill of Complaint on or before the 22nd day of September, 1930. And it is further ordered that if said defendant, Sam Donchoff Semkoff should not so appear, plead, answer or demur within the time so limited, or within some further time, to be allowed by the Court, said cause, in due course, will proceed to hearing and adjudication in the same manner as if said defendant had been served with process within said district, and that a copy of this order be served upon said defendant, if possible; otherwise, to be published once each week for six consecutive weeks in the Tulsa Daily Legal News, a newspaper published at Tulsa, Tulsa County, in the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma.

P. A. SCHILLER
JUDGE.

WITNESSES: Filed Jul 17 1930
H. P. Garfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

MISSOURI, MISSOURI, JULY 17, 1930.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT OF MISSOURI.

United States of America,
Plaintiff,
vs. No. 100 Equity.
Louis Finburg,
Defendant.

WARRANT RETURN.

Now on this 17th day of July, 1930, came on to be heard in open court the application of the Plaintiff in the above entitled cause for an order directing the absent and non-resident defendant, Louis Finburg, to appear, answer or plead herein, and it appearing to the court that this suit is for libel by the Plaintiff, seeking the annulment of the order admitting the said Louis Finburg as a citizen of the United States, the cancellation of his certificate of citizenship, numbered 2123092, granted by the District Court of Miami, Oklahoma County, Oklahoma, on September 3, 1927, and the surrender of the duplicate or copy of such said certificate, for the reason and upon the grounds that said certificate of citizenship was illegally obtained by him, as more fully appears from the Bill of Complaint filed herein.

And it further appearing to the court that the said defendant, Louis Finburg, is not a resident of the Southern District of Missouri, nor of the State of Missouri, but is a resident citizen and inhabitant of the State of Missouri, in the City of Kansas City, Missouri, and that personal service upon said defendant through the usual chancery subpoena is not possible, and that said defendant, although duly notified, has not voluntarily appeared herein to plead, answer or demur to Plaintiff's Bill of Complaint, and the court being of the opinion that said order should be granted:

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that said defendant, Louis Finburg, appear, plead, answer or demur to said Bill of Complaint on or before the 30th day of July, 1930. And it is further ordered that if said defendant, Louis Finburg, should not appear, plead, answer or demur within the time aforesaid, or within some further time, to be allowed by the court, and in any case, fail to proceed to hearing and judgment in the herein matter as if said defendant had been served with subpoena with good effect, and that a copy of this order be served upon said defendant, by the undersigned, by the undersigned, at the residence of said defendant, in the City of Kansas City, Missouri, in the Northern part of the State of Missouri.

Filed Jul 17 1930
J. L. Harfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Oklahoma, Eastern District, Tulsa, Oklahoma, July 18, 1930.

Court adjourned pursuant to adjournment taken, July 18, 1930.

Present: H. N. Warfield, Jr., Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. N. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

The usual, etc. etc. etc. proceedings were had on the 18th, 1930.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs.
EISEN TELEPHONE, INC. AS, Defendants.

No. 445 - Equity.

Now on this 18th day of July, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the United States Marshal be directed to release the property herein to the above.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. D. SMITH, Plaintiff,
vs.
UNION TRANSPORTATION SOCIETY,
a corporation, Defendant,
FELIX A. BODOVINE and
J. A. RATES, Receivers.

No. 510 - Eq.

W. R. D. S. / R

Now on this 18th day of July, 1930, for good cause shown,

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that L. V. Green, a resident of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, be and he is hereby given leave and authority to institute an action against the above named defendant and the receivers thereof, or either or them, to recover damages alleged by the said L. V. Green to have been sustained by him on or about the 17th day of December, 1929, by reason of a certain collision between the car in which the said L. V. Green was then riding and a certain motor bus of the said Union Transportation being then and there operated on South Peoria Avenue in the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

F. E. LEHNMEYER
District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Jul 18 1930
H. N. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court EE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CLARISSA VALLIERE SNOWALTER, Plaintiff,
vs.
NEW CHICAGO MINE CORPORATION,
a Corp.,

No. 544 Equity.

ORDER

On motion of defendant New Chicago Mine Corporation, and for good cause shown, defendant is given ten days from this date in which to plead or twenty days from this date in which to answer.
This July 17th, 1930.

F. E. LEHNMEYER
J U D G E.

RECORDED: Filed Jul 18 1930
H. N. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court EE

Court adjourned until July 21, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

COURT IN
CITY SESSION
TULSA, OKLAHOMA
DISTRICT of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA
WEDNESDAY, JULY 21, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Monday, July 21st, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

THOMAS ANDERSON, COMPLAINANT,

vs.

E. W. FIOR, AS TRUSTEE FOR JACK
W. FIOR, JACK ROBERTS, D. N.
LIMBROUGH, PAUL W. LINS, MELVIN
FRANKS, BEN STEVENS HOAGLIN,
WILLIAM S. GRIFF, C. W. ROOPER-LEE,
AS REPORTED GUARDIAN OF THE
PERSON AND ESTATE OF HAZEL ANDER-
SON, AN ALLEGED INCOMPETENT,
MRS. E. BERTHOECHEE, AND T. H.
CUTLER,

Defendants.

O R D E R

It appearing to the court that on the 21 day of July, 1930, the above entitled cause was instituted in this court and on the same day notice of the complaint was issued for service upon the Superintendent of the Five Civilized Tribes, in accordance with the provision of Section 5 of the Act of Congress, approved April 18, 1926; and it having been represented to the court by counsel for the complainant that the time therein provided is not sufficient in order that the United States of America may be afforded proper time in which to determine whether or not it should intervene,

NOW, THEREFORE, it is by the court ordered that the time of the United States of America to intervene, if it so desires, in the cause aforesaid be, and the same is, extended to and including July 21, 1930.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 21 day of July, 1930.

F. E. KENNAMER,
U. S. District Judge.

TESTED: Filed July 21, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

RECEIVED
JUL 25 1930
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

July 25, 1930

Court convened at 10 o'clock on Friday, July 25, 1930.

Present: Hon. H. L. Sawyer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Barfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and reported,
to-wit:

That the following case was called for trial:

JOHN-MARVILLE CORPORATION, Plaintiff,)

vs.)

GENERAL TANK CAR COMPANY, Defendant.)

No. 405 - Equity
On Letters Patent
of the United States
No. 1104873

Present: Hon. H. L. Sawyer, Judge,
U.S. District Court.
H. P. Barfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

This matter came on for hearing upon the application of
plaintiff, John-Marville Corporation, for an order extending and
enlarging the time for printing and filing the record in the above
case, and it appearing to the Court that good grounds exist therefor;

IT IS ORDERED that the plaintiff have, and it is hereby
given to and including September 25th, 1930, to complete the record and
have the same printed and filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of
Appeals for the 10th Circuit.

F. E. LEITCHER
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed July 25, 1930
H. P. Barfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

Court adjourned until July 26, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

CENTERS

District of

1000

1000

1000

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, July 28th, 1936.

Present: Hon. W.M. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. District Court.
H.J. Garfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

The United States of America, Plaintiff,

-vs-

o. 126 Equity ✓

Albert Kelly, et al., Defendants

ORDER OVERRULING MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL

This cause again came on to be heard upon the motion of the plaintiff for a new trial in this cause, for reasons set forth in said motion, and was argued by counsel for both the plaintiff and the defendants herein, and the court being fully advised in the premises:

It is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled and denied, to which ruling of the court said plaintiff by its attorney executes.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that said defendants and each of them do henceforth pay, and each of said defendants and each of them do hereby covenant, bind and agree to pay that said plaintiff pay its own costs, to which judgment of the court said plaintiff by its attorney executes.

Done in open court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this twenty-sixth day of July, 1936.

W. M. KENNAMER
Judge

Louis H. Stivers
Att. U.S. Atty.

H. J. GARFIELD, Clerk
U.S. District Court

W. M. KENNAMER, Rec. Plaintiff,

vs.

o. 126 - Equity ✓

ALBERT KELLY, et al., Defendants

Now on this 26th day of July, 1936, the following proceedings of the Court that hearing on objections to entries made in the above case is hereby taken under advisement.

W. M. KENNAMER, Rec. Plaintiff,

vs.

o. 126 - Equity ✓

ALBERT KELLY, et al., Defendants

Now on this 26th day of July, 1936, the following proceedings of the Court that hearing on objections to entries made in the above case is hereby taken under advisement.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

W. H. HILBERT, Dec.

Plaintiff,)

vs.

No. 563 - 1930 ✓

J. L. DICK et al.,

Defendants.)

Now on this 26th day of July, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that hearing on objections to Master's report be, and the same is hereby taken under advisement.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Refrigerator Sales Corporation, Plaintiff,

vs.

J. L. DICK, et al.,
J. L. Gray, A. H. Taylor, Wm. Shelton, J. S. Horn, and Wilson-Cornell Refrigeration Company, Defendants.

Defendants.)

Now upon this 26th day of July, 1930, upon a hearing of the plaintiff, the above is dismissed without prejudice.

F. H. KENNEDY
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed July 26, 1930
H. F. Sarfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

W. H. HILBERT, Dec.

Plaintiff,)

vs.

No. 487 - 1930 ✓

J. L. DICK, et al.,

Defendants.)

Now on this 26th day of July, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that hearing on objections to Master's report be, and the same is hereby taken under advisement.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

J. L. Gray, Complainant,)

-vs-

No. 564 ✓

J. L. Cornelius, et al., Defendants.)

J U D G E

Now on this 26th day of July, 1930, it is ordered that J. L. Cornelius, J. L. Cornelius and Diamond Royalty Company appear, plead, answer or demur to the ancillary bill of complaint filed by J. L. Gray by the 1 day of Sept, 1930.

F. H. KENNEDY
J U D G E

RECORDED: Filed July 27, 1930
H. F. Sarfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

Court adjourned until July 30, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

CENTRE
CITY BRIDGE

District of
INDIA, CHICAGO

July 28, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Monday, July 28th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

United States,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 49 Equity. ✓

F.F. Whiffer,

Defendant.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 28 day of July, 1930, it having been called to the Court's attention that the above entitled cause was instituted for the purpose of recovering possession of certain land described in Plaintiff's Bill of Complaint, but that at the time of the service of process the premises had been vacated, and that there is no further occasion for the continuance of a suit in that connection:

And it is further called to the Court's attention that it is the recommendation of the Superintendent of the Osage Indian Agency that the entire cause be dismissed, for the reason that an investigation discloses that a judgment against the above named defendant would be worthless, and that Plaintiff moves the Court that the cause be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said cause be and hereby is dismissed without prejudice.

D. K. [Signature]

C. L.

Louis M. Stivers
Assistant United States Attorney

Entered: Filed July 28, 1930
...
... District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Court of the United States District Court for the District of Oklahoma, Tulsa, Oklahoma, August 2, 1939.

Present: Hon. F.E. Monahan, Judge, U.S. District Court, Tulsa, Oklahoma, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Whereupon the following proceedings were had and it is so ordered:

vs.

vs. [illegible]

and the following proceedings were had and it is so ordered.

Now on this 2nd day of August, 1939, it is ordered by the Court that decrees be made and that the same be in favor of the plaintiff. It is further ordered that the

IT IS ORDERED that the following decrees be made and that the same be in favor of the plaintiff.

W. WILSON WATSON, Plaintiff,

vs.

In Equity
No. 565

AMERICAN OIL CORPORATION, a corporation, et al, Defendants.

ORDER.

This matter came on this day to be heard before the Honorable F.E. Monahan of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, on the motion of the plaintiff for a temporary injunction and for the appointment of a receiver, and for other good cause shown,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, REMOVED THE DOOR OF THE COURT HEARING OF THIS CAUSE ON THE APPLICATION FOR A TEMPORARY INJUNCTION AND FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER FOR THE ASSETS OF THE AMERICAN OIL CORPORATION, AND ITS SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES, SHALL BE HELD IN THE COURT ROOM OF THE ABOVE ENTITLED SUIT IN THE FEDERAL BUILDING AT TULSA, OKLAHOMA, ON THE 16TH DAY OF AUGUST, 1939.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that notice of said hearing be given to each of the defendants, or their counsel.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 2d day of August, 1939.

F. E. Monahan
United States District Court.

RECORDED: Filed August 2, 1939
W. W. Hatfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA AUGUST 4, 1930

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Judge of the United States District Court of the Northern District of Oklahoma has affixed his signature hereto under the Seal of this Court.

F. W. ... U. S. District Judge.

WITNESS: H.P. Garfield, Clerk, J.P. Ewing Deputy.

RECORDED: Filed August 4, 1930 H.P. Garfield, Clerk U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. ... vs. SUPERIOR OIL CORPORATION, et al.

ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION

The Bill of Complaint in the above entitled cause being on file herein, and it appearing that the said bill was drawn for and on behalf of all stockholders of the defendant, Superior Oil Corporation, and for and on behalf of all creditors of the defendant, Superior Oil Corporation, and its subsidiary companies.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that all stockholders of the defendant, Superior Oil Corporation, and all creditors of the defendant, Superior Oil Corporation, and its subsidiary companies, be and they are hereby permitted to intervene in said cause, the costs of said intervention to be fixed by the court upon the final adjournment of said cause, as upon the further order of the court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said intervenors be considered as co-defendants and that the defendants be held liable, bound, and answerable in respect to the claims of said intervenors in the same manner as if they were original parties in the cause as at the date of this filing.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 4th day of August, 1930.

F. W. ... U. S. District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed August 4, 1930 H.P. Garfield, Clerk U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

... vs. ...

... good cause ...

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1928 286152

That the said ... is and was ...

... 4th day of ...

E. J. ...
U. S. District Judge.

Case closed until August 18, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, AUGUST 18, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Monday, August 18th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JOHNS-MANVILLE CORPORATION, Plaintiff, {
vs. { In Equity No. 405 ✓
NATIONAL TANK SEAL COMPANY, Defendant. }

STIPULATION AND ORDER AS TO CONDENSED STATEMENT OF EVIDENCE AND EXHIBITS

It is hereby stipulated by the parties by their respective counsel that the foregoing condensed statement of evidence may be included in the transcript of the record for appeal, instead of the complete transcript of evidence.

It is further stipulated that for the appeal herein, the following exhibits shall be forwarded to the Court of Appeals by the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma with the transcript of the record, as physical exhibits.

- Plaintiff's Ex. No. 1, Certificate of Incorporation
- " " " 3, Assignment from Cunningham Tank Protector Company
- " " " 4, Assignment from Fred C. Clarke
- " " " 5, Assignment from Johns-Manville
- " " " 6, Bill of Complaint in Aycock case.
- " " " 7, Answer
- " " " 8, Opinion by Judge Reeves in Aycock case
- " " " 9, Supplemental Bill of Complaint in Aycock case.
- " " " 10, Final decree in Aycock case
- " " " 11, Model of device made by plaintiff
- Plaintiff's Ex. No.12, Model of device made in accordance with drawings attached to defendant's amended answer.
- " " " 16, Model of device made by Aycock Company
- " " " 17, Model of device made under Fardon patent.
- Defendant's Ex. No. 1, Model of device made by defendant.

JOHNS-MANVILLE CORPORATION,
By Mason Williams & Lynch
Its Attorneys

August 8th, 1930

NATIONAL TANK SEAL COMPANY,
By H.L. Smith
Its Attorney.

The above and foregoing stipulation came on for approval by the court, and the court having examined the same, and the matters set out therein,

IT IS ORDERED that the same be and it is hereby approved.

It is further ordered by the court that the original exhibits referred to therein be forwarded to the Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals at Denver, Colo. to be used on the hearing of the appeal in the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals by the clerk of this court with the transcript of the record, as physical exhibits.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August 18, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, AUGUST 18, 1930.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Hanna Anderson, Complainant,)
vs.)
O.K. Peck, as trustee for)
Jack Roberts, Jack Roberts,) Equity No. 562 ✓
D.H. Linebaugh, Paul Williams,)
Melvin Thomason, Beve Stevens)
Hoaglin, Wallace Cook, C.W.)
Roodhouse, as purported)
guardian of the person and)
estate of Hanna Anderson, an)
alleged incompetent, Beenie)
Henehochee and T.H. Ottesen, Defendants.)

ORDER TO TAKE BILL AS CONFESSED.

The subpoena in the above entitled cause directed to Beenie Henehochee and T.H. Ottesen, having been returned, which return has been filed, and it appearing therefrom that the said subpoena was duly served on Beenie Henehochee and T.H. Ottesen, the defendants herein, and no appearance having been entered on the part of said defendants, or either of them, or motion to dismiss, or plea, or answer filed on the part of either of said defendants, although such appearance should have been entered or pleading filed on or before the 12th day of August, 1930, the same being the 20th day after the service of the subpoena excluding the day of service, therefore, on motion of F.M. Goodwin and Merrick A. Whipple, solicitors for the complainant, it is ordered and decreed that bill be taken as confessed as to the said Beenie Henehochee and T.H. Ottesen, defendants herein and each of them.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 18 day of August, 1930.

F. E. KENAMER
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August 18, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court W

E. STEWART MATLOCK, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 565 - Equity ✓
SUPERIOR OIL CORPORATION,)
et al. Defendants.)

Now on this 18th day of August, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that leave be given Geo. J. Morott, Ben M. Gessel, J.L. and Irene Essley, Henry R. McGill, Chas. B. Wallace, Chas. Baker, F.A. Bodovitz, Ben H. Ashe, Paul Branot and A.B. Ayers to intervene in the above entitled cause.

E. STEWART MATLOCK, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 565 - Equity ✓
SUPERIOR OIL CORPORATION,)
et al. Defendants.)

Now on this 18th day of August, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that Motions to dismiss Bill of Complaint, in the above entitled cause, be overruled and exceptions are allowed.

Thereupon, hearing is had on application for appointment of a Receiver. All witnesses are sworn in open court. Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: H.C. Davies, E.R. Perry, H.E. Rothrack, Stewart Matlock, Mr. O'Rear, J.B. Dulaney, F.W. Steiner, Mr. Berot, G.C. Harned.

Thereafter, the hour for adjournment having arrived, the above entitled cause is continued to August 19, 1930.

Court adjourned until August 19, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA. TUESDAY, AUGUST 19, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, August 19th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

E. STEWART MATLOCK, Plaintiff, }

vs. }

SUPERIOR OIL CORP., ET AL, Defendants. }

No. 565 Equity.

Now on this 19th day of August, A. D. 1930, the above entitled cause comes on for further hearing. Plaintiff continues with the introduction of evidence and proof with witnesses G. C. Harned, E. L. Bradshaw, F. B. MaHager, A. B. Ayers, Jno. Rogers, P. L. Mathews, D. R. Semmes, R. W. Kellough, G. W. Shaffer, J. L. Essley, Henry McGill and Mr. Ayur. And thereafter plaintiff rests. Defendant offers testimony of witness C. A. Steele. Thereafter both sides rest. And thereupon said cause is taken under advisement by the Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA
FRIDAY, AUGUST 29, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, August 29th,
1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff :
vs. : No. 485 Equity ✓
MYRTIE W. HALE, et al Defendants:

JUDICIAL DECREE

BE IT REMEMBERED that on this 29 day of Aug, 1930, the
defendant, Myrtie W. Hale, having reported to the court that the plain-
tiff has not paid to her the money as required in the decree of June
23, 1930, the court finds that the entire prayer of plaintiff's bill
of complaint should be denied for the reason that the plaintiff
refuses to do equity.

The court further finds that the entire title of the
real estate involved in this cause of action should be settled and
quieted in the defendant, Myrtie W. Hale, to which findings of the court
the plaintiff excepts and its exceptions are allowed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND DECREED that the entire prayer
of plaintiff's petition be and the same hereby is denied for the reason
that the plaintiff refuses to do equity, to which decree the plaintiff
excepts and its exceptions are allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ordered and decreed that the title of all
of the real estate involved in this cause of action, to-wit:

Southeast Quarter (SE $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section Ten (10),
Township Twenty-four (24) North, Range Six
(6) East, Osage County, Oklahoma,

be and the same hereby is settled and quieted in the defendant, Myrtie
W. Hale and it is further decreed that her title in and to said real
estate be and the same hereby is held to be perfect as against the
plaintiff, and against Pearl Bigheart, in whose behalf this suit was
instituted and against any and all persons claiming by, through or under
her since the commencement of this action. To which order and decree
plaintiff excepts and its exceptions are allowed.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

O. W.
John W. Coldesberry, U.S. Atty &
Attorney for Plaintiff

O. K.
Hamilton, Cross & Howard
Attorneys for Defendant,
Myrtie W. Hale.

ENDORSED: Filed August 29, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

Court adjourned until September 3, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTONVILLE DISTRICT OF OHIO
EQUITY DIVISION TULSA, OKLAHOMA
1904, OCTOBER 8, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Monday, September 8th, 1930.

Present: Hon. W.S. Hammond, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court.
H.P. Garfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

THE OKLAHOMA EQUITY CO. a corporation Plaintiff,
vs. No. 453 - Equity ✓
W.S. Garfield, et al. Defendants.

Now on this 8th day of October, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that Defense counsel do, and they do in every given additional time to file brief.

W.S. Garfield, Plaintiff,
vs. No. 510 - Equity ✓
THE OKLAHOMA EQUITY CO., Defendant.

Now on this 8th day of October, A.D. 1930, the above entitled cause comes on for hearing. The Defendant, Oscar Walton, is admitted and enters his plea of guilty to the charge of contempt of Court as charged heretofore. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that judgment and sentence be entered as follows:

That said Oscar Walton be fined in the sum of One (\$1.00) dollar and in default thereof, be imprisoned in the Okla County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

THE OKLAHOMA EQUITY CO. a corporation,
vs. No. 513 - Equity ✓
W.S. Garfield, et al.

Now on this 8th day of October, A.D. 1930, there comes on for hearing Defendant's motion to dismiss. Plaintiff's advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be struck from the docket.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
 District of

... court to adjourn on Tuesday, ...

... M. ... Judge, U.S. District Court.
 ... Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the ... proceedings were ...

... Plaintiff,)
 ...) No. 322 - Equity ✓
 ... Defendants.)

Now on this 9th day of September, A.D. 1930, ...

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
 DISTRICT OF OREGON.

... Plaintiff,)
 -vs-) No. 323 Equity ✓
 ... Defendants.)

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO SLICK
 NATIONAL BANK TO INTERVENE.

On this 9th day of September, 1930, there came on for hearing the motion of Slick National Bank, a corporation, for leave to intervene in the above entitled cause, and it appearing to the Court that the said Slick National Bank is an interested party in the subject-matter and should be permitted to file an intervening petition therefor,

IT IS ORDERED that said Slick National Bank be, and it is hereby granted leave to file its intervening petition therefor, and to be made a party to this suit for all purposes.

W. E. ...
 Judge.

FILED: Filed Sept. 9, 1930
 W.R. ... Clerk
 U.S. District Court

... Plaintiff,)
 vs.) No. 567 Equity ✓
 ... Defendants.)

Now on this 9th day of September, A.D. 1930, the above entitled cause came on for hearing. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be passed to September 10, 1930.

... Plaintiff,)
 vs.) No. 568 Equity ✓
 ... Defendants.)

Now on this 9th day of September, A.D. 1930, the above entitled cause came on for hearing. Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said cause be passed to September 10, 1930. Court adjourned to Sept. 10 1930

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

SEPTEMBER 10, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Wednesday, September 10th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.S. Kemmerer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court. H.P. Garfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE WHOLE CASE OF JAMES H. HARRIS vs. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ROGERS COUNTY, OKLAHOMA.

Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma, Complainant, vs. City of Drumright, Oklahoma, a Municipal Corporation, et al., Respondents. No. 102 - Equity.

Now, on this the 10th day of September, 1930, the above case came on for hearing upon notice of the City of Drumright, respondents, to tax costs in favor of said respondent and against the Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma, complainant; complainant appearing by counsel and respondents appearing by counsel, and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds; that upon appeal this cause was reversed and remanded to this court, with costs taxed at \$74.85, by the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth District, which costs were paid by the said respondent, the City of Drumright; that respondent the City of Drumright paid costs in this court of \$301.35, or a total of \$376.20, and that said costs in said suit of \$376.20 should be assessed to complainant and judgment rendered therefore in favor of said respondent.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, adjudged and decreed that said costs in the sum of \$456.20 be assessed against the Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, complainant, in favor of the City of Drumright, a Municipal Corporation, respondent, and judgment is accordingly rendered therefor.

Filed Sept. 10, 1930 H.P. Garfield, Clerk U.S. District Court

ON APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, No. 102 - Equity.

Now on this 10th day of September, 1930, the above case came on for hearing upon notice of the City of Drumright, respondents, to tax costs in favor of said respondent and against the Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma, complainant; complainant appearing by counsel and respondents appearing by counsel, and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds; that upon appeal this cause was reversed and remanded to this court, with costs taxed at \$74.85, by the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth District, which costs were paid by the said respondent, the City of Drumright; that respondent the City of Drumright paid costs in this court of \$301.35, or a total of \$376.20, and that said costs in said suit of \$376.20 should be assessed to complainant and judgment rendered therefore in favor of said respondent.

ON APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, No. 102 - Equity.

Now on this 10th day of September, 1930, the above case came on for hearing upon notice of the City of Drumright, respondents, to tax costs in favor of said respondent and against the Board of County Commissioners of Rogers County, Oklahoma, complainant; complainant appearing by counsel and respondents appearing by counsel, and the court being fully advised in the premises, finds; that upon appeal this cause was reversed and remanded to this court, with costs taxed at \$74.85, by the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth District, which costs were paid by the said respondent, the City of Drumright; that respondent the City of Drumright paid costs in this court of \$301.35, or a total of \$376.20, and that said costs in said suit of \$376.20 should be assessed to complainant and judgment rendered therefore in favor of said respondent.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

323

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
1935

SEP 11 1930
U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND, OREGON

That defendant's motion to dismiss is definite and certain as to the
plaintiff, and as to the defendant in the premises, it is ordered by
the Court that said motion to dismiss be dismissed for want of
specification.

It is the further order of the Court that said cause be
reinstated and said issue be ready set aside. Thereafter, defendant
shall file a motion to dismiss definite and certain in respect to
plaintiff, and shall file said motion on or before September 11, 1930.

The Portland Lead Co., Inc., Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 523 - Equity ✓
The Portland Lead Co., Inc., Defendant.)

On or before the 11th day of September, 1930, it is ordered
by the Court that Federal Mining & Smelting Company be,
thereby given leave to file motion to dismiss.

It is further ordered that motions to dismiss of defend-
ants, The Pacific Lead Company, Evans Mallower-Lead Company, Federal
Mining & Smelting Corporation, Cannon Metals, Incorporated, Cannon
Metals Ltd, New Chicago Pipe Corporation, Vantage Mining Company and
Federal Zinc Company be upon under advisement and Plaintiff's motion
ten (10) days to file briefs.

THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

J. M. Good, Trustee of the Estate of John Wayne McBride, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 524 - Equity ✓
Margaret M. McBride, Ray E. McBride as the Administrator of the estate of John W. McBride, deceased, Defendants.)

QUI PRO VERBY

On this 10th day of September, 1930, this cause came on for
the trial of the motion to dismiss filed by defendant, plaintiff appearing by
his attorneys of record and the defendants appearing by their attorneys,
Everett Berry, and the Court having heard argument of counsel and being all
advised in the premises finds that said motion to dismiss should be over-
ruled as to the defendants Margaret M. McBride and Ray E. McBride, but
should be sustained as to Ray E. McBride, administrator of the estate of
John W. McBride, deceased, and that the defendants Margaret M. McBride and
Ray E. McBride should have twenty (20) days from this date in which to
file their answer in this cause.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that said
motion be overruled as to the defendants Margaret M. McBride and Ray E. Mc-
Bride, so which ruling of the court the defendants and each of them except
and said exception is allowed, and said defendants are given twenty (20)
days from this date in which to file their answers in said cause.

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that said motion
to dismiss be sustained as to Ray E. McBride, administrator of the estate of
John W. McBride, deceased, and that the defendant and order of the court sustained such
motion be plaintiff excepts, and said exception is by the court allowed.

W. A. ...
CLERK

Filed Sept. 11, 1930
W. A. ... Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT OF

District of

OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, SEPTEMBER 10, 1930

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

United States,)
 vs.) No. 526 Equity ✓
 Rob Board, et al,) Defendants.)

ORDER SUSTAINING MOTION TO AMEND

Now on this 10th day of September, 1930, this cause came on to be heard in open court, before the honorable W. K. Williams, Judge, on motion of the plaintiff to amend its Bill of Complaint by interlineation so as to correct and give the proper lot number in the description of the property in the Bill of Complaint, making said property description read Lot 9, Block 7, instead of Lot 7, Block 7, and said motion is sustained and plaintiff allowed to amend its Bill of Complaint by interlineation, as above set out.

W. K. Williams,
 Judge.

W. K. Williams,
 Assistant United States Attorney

Filed Sept. 10, 1930
 W. W. Farfield, Clerk
 U.S. District Court

United States,)
 vs.) No. 526 - Equity ✓
 Rob Board, et al.,) Defendants.)

Now on this 10th day of September, 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above bill of complaint be, and the same is hereby taken under advisement.

United States,)
 vs.) No. 526 Equity ✓
 W. W. Calbutt, et al,) Defendants.)

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO AMEND

Now on this 10th day of September, 1930, this cause came on to be heard in open court, before the honorable W. K. Williams, Judge, on the motion of plaintiff to require defendants, W. W. Calbutt and Barnsdall to attach certain exhibits to their answers in the above cause, as set out in said motion, and the Court has been advised in the presence, in of the opinion that said exhibits should be attached to the answer of each of said defendants.

IT IS THE ORDER OF THE COURT that each of said defendants attach to his individual answer the papers mentioned in said motion. Defendants given 5 days to comply therewith.

W. K. Williams,
 Assistant United States Attorney

Filed Sept. 10, 1930
 W. W. Farfield, Clerk
 U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OREGON

1930

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
JAMES H. HARRIS, et al., Defendants.)

No. 537 - Equity.

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1930, this court came on for hearing defendant's motion to dismiss. After being advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be denied and that the case be set for trial on the 10th day of October, A. D. 1930, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, at the City of Portland, Oregon.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
JAMES H. HARRIS, et al., Defendants.)

No. 537 - Equity.

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1930, this court came on for hearing defendant's motion to dismiss. After being advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be denied and that the case be set for trial on the 10th day of October, A. D. 1930, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, at the City of Portland, Oregon.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
JAMES H. HARRIS, et al., Defendants.)

No. 539 - Equity.

Now on this 10th day of September, A. D. 1930, this court came on for hearing defendant's motion to take the case off the docket. The court, after being advised in the premises, is ordered by the Court that said motion be given permission to withdraw said motion. It is further ordered that motion of defendant No. 539 be denied and that the case be set for trial on the 10th day of October, A. D. 1930, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, at the City of Portland, Oregon.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NO. 1
CITY OF PORTLAND, OREGON

United States, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
Housh Trunks and)
Mrs. W. W. Trunks, Defendants.)

No. 539 Equity.

OFFICE OF RE-INSTRUMENT

Now on this 10th day of September, 1930, this court came on regularly for hearing in each court, before the honorable J. L. Hancock, Judge, on the application of the plaintiff for re-instrument of said cause after dismissal for want of prosecution, and after consideration of the Court said cause is re-instated. Plaintiff given 10 days to answer and defendant 20 days to answer.

A. L. Hillias,
Assistant United States Attorney

Filed Oct. 19, 1930
District Court J. N.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

WEST VIRGINIA

WEST VIRGINIA

WEST VIRGINIA

SEPTEMBER 10, 1930.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

United States,

vs. Sheriff,

vs.

No. 539 quitr. ✓

John Tranks and
Geo. W. Brauns,

(Defendants.)

ORDER OF THE COURT

Now on this 10th day of September, 1930, this cause came on to be heard in open court, before the Honorable W. L. Tompkins, Judge, on the motion of defendant, John Tranks to dismiss the complaint of said Sheriff, whereupon plaintiff asked leave of Court to file an amended supplemental bill of costs and, said leave being granted by the Court plaintiff is ordered to file said amended supplemental bill within 10 days from this date; the costs of defendant, John Tranks, be paid by plaintiff's bill of costs and in general and exceptions allowed.

W. L. Tompkins,
Assistant United States District Court.

Filed Oct. 10, 1930
W. L. Tompkins,
District Court.

JOHN TRANKS, Plaintiff,
vs. SHERIFF, Defendant,

No. 541 quitr. ✓

vs. STEPHENS, et al., (Defendants.)

Now on this 10th day of September, 1930, this cause came on to be heard in open court, before the Honorable W. L. Tompkins, Judge, on the motion of defendant, John Tranks to dismiss the complaint of said Sheriff, whereupon plaintiff asked leave of Court to file an amended supplemental bill of costs and, said leave being granted by the Court plaintiff is ordered to file said amended supplemental bill within 10 days from this date; the costs of defendant, John Tranks, be paid by plaintiff's bill of costs and in general and exceptions allowed.

JOHN TRANKS, Plaintiff,
vs. SHERIFF, Defendant,

No. 542 - quitr. ✓

vs. STEPHENS, et al., (Defendants.)

Now on this 10th day of September, 1930, this cause came on to be heard in open court, before the Honorable W. L. Tompkins, Judge, on the motion of defendant, John Tranks to dismiss the complaint of said Sheriff, whereupon plaintiff asked leave of Court to file an amended supplemental bill of costs and, said leave being granted by the Court plaintiff is ordered to file said amended supplemental bill within 10 days from this date; the costs of defendant, John Tranks, be paid by plaintiff's bill of costs and in general and exceptions allowed.

JOHN TRANKS, Plaintiff,
vs. SHERIFF, Defendant,

No. 543 - quitr. ✓

vs. STEPHENS, et al., (Defendants.)

Now on this 10th day of September, 1930, this cause came on to be heard in open court, before the Honorable W. L. Tompkins, Judge, on the motion of defendant, John Tranks to dismiss the complaint of said Sheriff, whereupon plaintiff asked leave of Court to file an amended supplemental bill of costs and, said leave being granted by the Court plaintiff is ordered to file said amended supplemental bill within 10 days from this date; the costs of defendant, John Tranks, be paid by plaintiff's bill of costs and in general and exceptions allowed.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

...)
...)
...)
...)
...)

No. 44 - Equity.

...)
...)
...)
...)
...)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

...)
...)
...)

No. 44 - Equity.

The Board of Education of the City of Muskogee, Oklahoma, et al., Respondents,

vs.

Now, on the 10th day of September, 1930, this cause came on for hearing upon a motion of the respondents to require complainant to file her complaint more definite and certain, and counsel for and against said motion filed their respective briefs, which were filed in the present finds that said motion should be over-ruled.

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED and decreed that said motion be and the same is hereby over-ruled, to which the respondents excepted and exceptions are allowed.

Whereupon the respondents filed their motion to dismiss, which said motion is referred to the court, and the case is left in the hands of the court for its disposition.

F. H. ...
Judge.

Eugene Jordan
Atty for Complainant

L.A. Sawyer
W.M. ...
for Respondents.

FILED Sept. 10, 1930
H. Macfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States, Plaintiff,)

No. 550 Equity.

vs.)
Board of County Commissioners of)
Osage County, Oklahoma, et al, Defendants.)

vs.

Now on the 10th day of September, 1930, this cause came on for hearing to be heard in open court, before the Honorable F. H. ... Judge, and on request of counsel for plaintiff and the defendants, it is ordered that said cause be admitted to the Court by briefs on the

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OKLAHOMA

1930

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

United States, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 350 Equity.
George W. Catt and)
John H. Smith, Defendants.)

W. H. ...

Now on the 10th day of September, 1930, this cause came on regularly to be heard in open court, before the undersigned Judge, ...

W. H. ...

O. J. ...
Assistant United States Attorney

Filed Sept. 10, 1930
U.S. District Court

United States, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 350 Equity.
George W. Catt and)
John H. Smith, Defendants.)

Now on this 10th day of September, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that defendant's motion to dismiss be, and the same is hereby taken under advisement.

United States, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 352 - Equity.
O. J. ... Trustee, et al., Defendants.)

Now on this 10th day of September, A.D. 1930, this cause came on for a final defendant's motion for further and better statements. After being reviewed in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be stricken. It is further ordered by the Court that Plaintiff be, and he is hereby given fifteen (15) days to file amended and supplemental bill and Defendant be given fifteen (15) days to plead or twenty (20) days to answer. See Journal Entry page 503.

United States, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 354 - Equity.
O. J. ... Trustee, et al., Defendants.)

Now on this 10th day of September, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be placed to stand, on the 20th day of September, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of Columbia, D.C. September 10, 1930.

WILLIAM OFFUTT, Plaintiff, vs. S. J. BRIGTSMAN, et al., Defendants. Equity No. 567

ORDER

On this 10th day of September, 1930, motion of plaintiff to strike from the records all of the bills in the above cause, for the reason that this cause is not lawfully before this court, and otherwise as stated in said motion to strike, coming on to be heard, and the parties appearing by counsel, and the court having heard and considered said motion and the arguments of counsel thereon,

IT IS ORDERED that said motion to strike be, and the same is, hereby denied. To which plaintiff assents.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants S.J. Brightsman and H. McCullough be, and they are, hereby, given until September 24, 1930, wherein to plead or answer herein.

W. H. LINDSEY District Judge

Filed Sept. 10, 1930 H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

WILLIAM OFFUTT, Plaintiff, vs. S. J. BRIGTSMAN, et al., Defendants. Equity No. 568

ORDER

On this 10th day of September, 1930, motion of plaintiff to strike from the records all of the bills in the above cause, for the reason that this cause is not lawfully before this court, and otherwise as stated in said motion to strike, coming on to be heard, and the parties appearing by counsel, and the court having heard and considered said motion and the arguments of counsel thereon,

IT IS ORDERED that said motion to strike be, and the same is, hereby denied, to which plaintiff assents.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants S.J. Brightsman be, and they are, hereby, given until September 24, 1930, wherein to plead or answer herein.

W. H. LINDSEY District Judge

Filed Sept. 10, 1930 H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Oklahoma

1930

Case No. 370

Case No. 370

Present: Hon. J. C. Hendon, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
W. C. Sheffield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and ordered, to-wit:

That the bill of complaint, captioned as above, doth contain a cause of action, to-wit:
That the defendant, Samuel A. Perry, doth owe to the plaintiff, James M. Robinson, deceased, the sum of \$100.00, and that the defendant doth refuse to pay the same.

And that the court doth hereby order that the defendant, Samuel A. Perry, do pay to the plaintiff, James M. Robinson, deceased, the sum of \$100.00, and that the court doth hereby order that the defendant, Samuel A. Perry, do pay to the plaintiff, James M. Robinson, deceased, the sum of \$100.00, and that the court doth hereby order that the defendant, Samuel A. Perry, do pay to the plaintiff, James M. Robinson, deceased, the sum of \$100.00.

IN REPLY TO THE BILL OF COMPLAINT FILED IN CASE NO. 370

Sam. A. Perry, Plaintiff.

-vs-

Samuel A. Perry, A.W. Lucas, Attorney in fact for Samuel A. Perry, P.A. Andrews, Traveling Auditor in charge of Quapaw Indian Agency; J. George Wright, Superintendent of Quapaw Indian Agency; C.A. Beck; John A. Robinson, Executor of Estate of James M. Robinson, deceased; Catherine Robinson, widow of James M. Robinson, John A. Robinson, Willie C. Mills and Gladys House as heirs at law of James M. Robinson, deceased.

No. 370

REPLY TO BILL OF COMPLAINT

On recital of the bill of complaint herein, it is ordered by the court and it is now so adjudged and ordered that the defendants, Samuel A. Beck, John A. Robinson, executor of estate of James M. Robinson, deceased, he, and are hereby enjoined and restrained from paying to Samuel A. Perry, Traveling Auditor in charge of Quapaw Indian Agency, or any other person than the plaintiff, an undivided 5/32 of the royalties accruing in and under a mining lease dated May 1929, covering the following described land:

Lots 1 & 2 of Section 2, Township 29 North, Range 23 East, and Lots 3 and 4, being the fractional 1/2 of SW/4 and E/2 of SW/4 of Section 19, Township 29 North, Range 23 East; and lot 1, being the fractional NW/4 of SW/4 of Section 30, Township 29 North, Range 23 East of the Indian Meridian, in Ottawa County, Oklahoma;

and the said defendants, J.A. Andrews aforesaid he, and he is hereby enjoined and restrained from paying over to the defendants, Samuel A. Perry or A.W. Lucas, or either of them, any such above described royalty now in his possession or which may hereafter be paid in the future, and which is more particularly described and set forth in the plaintiff's bill of complaint, and the said Samuel A. Perry and A.W. Lucas he, and are hereby restrained from receiving, claiming, trying or receiving any of said 5/32 royalty above described; and the said J. George Wright he, and he is hereby enjoined and restrained from paying the said Samuel A. Perry, or A.W. Lucas, or either of them, the equal 1/4 of the lease-right royalty carried on the books of said Agency in the name of Samuel A. Perry as an heir of Lucy Lotson Perry, deceased, now in his possession or which may hereafter come into his possession for distribution; and the said Samuel A. Perry and A.W. Lucas and each of

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA
OCTOBER 11, 1930

They are hereby enjoined from claiming, taking or collecting or receiving any of said 1/4 interest aforesaid.

This restraining order shall continue in full force and effect until a motion can be entered and heard for a temporary injunction and until the further order of this court, and this cause is now set down upon motion of the complainant to grant a temporary injunction in the terms of this restraining order and in the terms of the prayer in the bill of complaint for the 10 day of Sept 1930, at 9:30 o'clock P. M.; and that service of this order upon each of the above named defendants herein enjoined shall be sufficient notice thereof.

Done at chambers at Tulsa, Oklahoma, at 4 o'clock P.M. on the 11th day of September, 1930.

W. J. ...
Judge.

Filed Sept. 11, 1930
A. P. Garfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

WESTERN DISTRICT of OKLAHOMA, TULSA, OKLAHOMA, DECEMBER 12, 1930

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, TULSA, OKLAHOMA

JOHN W. BAA, Plaintiff, vs- PLYMOUTH OIL COMPANY, a corporation, and THE OKLAHOMA OIL COMPANY, a corporation, Defendants.

No. 348 Equity

ORDER

On this 12th day of September, 1930, upon application of the defendants, it appearing that the DEFTS of counts 1 & 2, IT IS ORDERED that defendants be given leave to file their supplemental answer herein forthwith.

United States District Judge.

W. P. BARFIELD Attorney for Plaintiff.

Filed Sept. 12, 1930 W.P. Barfield, Jr U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Oklahoma
City, Oklahoma, September 10, 1930.

Next court session adjourned to adjournment Monday, August 19th, 1930.

Respect: Wm. W. Weaver, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
W. W. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Whereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

Wm. W. Weaver,)
-vs-) Plaintiff,
Wm. W. Warfield, et al.,) Defendants.)
No. 321 - Equity. ✓

On or this 10th day of September, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be placed to rest for 1930.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE RICE TRUST COMPANY,) Plaintiff,)
a corporation, Trustee,))
-vs-)) No. 473 - Equity ✓
GUYTON TRUST COMPANY,) Defendant.)
a corporation,))

ORDER

On this 10th day of September, 1930, on application of the plaintiff herein, and for good cause shown, it is ordered that in the event which sale of the property of the defendant may be sold pursuant to the final decree entered July 1, 1930, and the order of August 10, 1930, the same is hereby extended to December 31, 1930.

F. B. HERRING
United States District Judge

Filed Oct. 1, 1930
W. W. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

WYOMING COAL CO.,) DEMANDANT,)
-vs-)) No. 537 ✓
R. H. PIERCE AND COMPANY,))
INC.,) DEFENDANT.)

WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS TO BE DENIED.

Now on this 10th day of September, A.D. 1930, the motion of R.H. Pierce and Company, Inc., and of R.H. Pierce to dismiss, coming before the Court for disposition and the Court having examined the motion and being fully advised in the premises finds, that said motion is over-ruled.

It is therefore, ORDERED AND DECREED that said motion to dismiss be and the same is over-ruled and denied to this motion.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT of OREGON
NEXT SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA NOV. 17, 1930. SEP. 15, 1930.

of the Court the defendant Company and R.M. Pierce, and each of them, except and exceptions allowed and the said defendant Company and R.M. Pierce are each given five days from this date within which to answer the Bill of Complaint.

W. J. FORTNER
JUDGE.

RECORDED: Filed Sept. 15, 1930
W.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Case No. 1000, captioned as above, do hereby certify that the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

On and before the 11th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be passed to calendar No. 1000.

On and before the 11th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be passed to calendar No. 1001.

On and before the 11th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be passed to calendar No. 1002.

On and before the 11th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be passed to calendar No. 1003.

On and before the 11th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be passed to calendar No. 1004.

On and before the 11th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be passed to calendar No. 1005.

On and before the 11th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be passed to calendar No. 1006.

On and before the 11th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be passed to calendar No. 1007.

On and before the 11th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be passed to calendar No. 1008.

On and before the 11th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be passed to calendar No. 1009.

On and before the 11th day of Sept. 1930, this order was read to the Court before me, the undersigned Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma; and it appearing to the Court that the allegations contained in the application of the receiver were true; that L.J. Turner is the owner of all of Lot 9, in Block 8, Burnett's Addition to the City of Muskogee, Muskogee County, Oklahoma; that on June 13, 1917, W.A. Higgins and Martha Higgins, his wife, who, deceased, are delivered to the Oklahoma Union Bank, 200 East 1st Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma, a certain Warranty Deed cover all of the above described property, which said deed was recorded in the office of the County Clerk at Muskogee, Muskogee County, Oklahoma, in Book 100, Page 301,

and that said deed was executed by mistake, and that the said W.A. Higgins and Martha Higgins never had any right, title, or interest in said property; and that the Oklahoma Union Bank

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF ...

to pay never acquired any estate, title, interest, or equity, in or to said Lot 9, and that said said party ...

IT IS ORDERED THAT ...

Filed Sept. 12, 1938 ... District Court

... DISTRICT COURT ...

... TRUSTEE ...

-vs-

... CORPORATION ...

... DISTRICT COURT ...

On this 16th day of September, 1938, ... application of ...

IT IS ORDERED THAT ...

Filed Sept. 13, 1938 ... District Court

... DISTRICT COURT ...

... CORPORATION ...

... DISTRICT COURT ...

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff, vs. Defendant.
This is a bill of complaint filed by the plaintiff against the defendant...

Filed Sept. 11, 1930
U.S. District Court

Plaintiff, vs. Defendant.
This is a bill of complaint filed by the plaintiff against the defendant...

Plaintiff, vs. Defendant.
This is a bill of complaint filed by the plaintiff against the defendant...

Plaintiff, vs. Defendant.
This is a bill of complaint filed by the plaintiff against the defendant...

Plaintiff, vs. Defendant.
This is a bill of complaint filed by the plaintiff against the defendant...

Plaintiff, vs. Defendant.
This is a bill of complaint filed by the plaintiff against the defendant...

Plaintiff, vs. Defendant.
This is a bill of complaint filed by the plaintiff against the defendant...

Plaintiff, vs. Defendant.
This is a bill of complaint filed by the plaintiff against the defendant...

Filed Sept. 11, 1930
U.S. District Court
Court adjourned until Sept. 17, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of ... 1939.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Wednesday, September 27th, 1939.

Present: Hon. W.B. Kemmerer, Judge, U.S. Dist. Court. J. Langfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

The Security Benefit Association, a Corporation, Plaintiff, vs. ... Defendant.

ORDER

On this 17th day of September, 1939, this latter court on for hearing, it is ordered that within twenty days hereinafter to the receiver, Mr. Fred Patrick of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, be ordered to file his verified report herein setting forth the amount of funds in his hands, and reporting in detail the source or sources from which same were derived, and if any portion thereof shall have been derived from the proceeds of tax collections, showing in detail the year or years for which same may have been collected and the laws or laws producing the same, and the respective amounts received by him on the records of such levies.

It is further ordered that said receiver be directed to mail a copy of said report to Messrs. George L. Hart, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, Messrs. Kleinschmidt & Johnson, Tulsa, Oklahoma, J. J. Gifford, Sapulpa, Oklahoma, and Messrs. Deed & Martin, Wichita, Kansas.

It is further ordered that this court be continued for one other and further orders as may be appropriate in the premises.

W. B. KEMMERER, Judge, U.S. District Court.

J. W. ... Plaintiff, vs. ... Defendant.

For on this 17th day of September, 1939, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be, and is hereby dismissed.

J. W. ... Plaintiff, vs. ... Defendant.

For on this 17th day of September, 1939, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be, and is hereby dismissed.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
EQUITY, SESSION

District of
OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1930.

On this 22nd day of September, A. D. 1930, the District Court of the United States convened pursuant to adjournment.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. Dist. Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

C. D. WALKER, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 252 Equity.
A. W. LOHMAN, Defendant. }

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be set for trial on October 6, 1930 at 9:30 A.M.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 488 Equity.
RALPH HUGHES, County Treas., }
Osage County, Defendant. }

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be stricken from the trial assignment of this date.

JACOB A. SOLMON, Receiver, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 506 Equity.
J. S. SHAVER, ADM., et al, Defendants. }

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1930, judgment in the above entitled cause is rendered by the Court in favor of the plaintiff herein as per Journal Entry to be filed.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Walter Enochs, sole trader
doing business as Monarch
Plumbing Company, Plaintiff, }
-vs- } No. 518 - In Equity ✓
W. W. Johnson and Pierce Pipe
Line Company, a corporation, Defendants. }

O R D E R

Now on this 10th day of September, 1930, the court having heard and considered the motion of the defendant Pierce Pipe Line Company to require plaintiff to make his amended bill of complaint more definite and certain by furnishing said defendant a fuller and better bill of particulars and having also considered the argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that said motion be taken under advisement pending the filing herein by plaintiff of an amendment to the said amended bill of complaint and the plaintiff is hereby granted until September 30, 1930, in which to file said amendment.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 18 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1930.

E. STEWART MATLOCK, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 565 Equity.
SUPERIOR OIL CORP., et al, Defendants. }

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that hearing in the above entitled cause be continued to September 26th, 1930.

CHAS. B. ROGERS, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 570 - Equity.
SAMUEL A. PERRY, et al, Defendants. }

Now on this 18th day of September, A. D. 1930, there is filed herein motion of plaintiff for leave to amend Bill of Complaint. After being advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion to amend be and the same is hereby sustained. And thereafter hearing is had on restraining order heretofore filed herein which is recorded in the following Journal Entry.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Chas. B. Rogers, Plaintiff, :
vs. : No. 570-Equity.
Samuel A. Perry, et al, Defendants. :

ORDER

Now on this 18th day of September, 1930, this cause having been previously set by order of the court, comes on for hearing upon application of plaintiff for temporary injunction against the defendants herein; and it appearing to the court that on the 11th day of September, 1930, a temporary restraining order was issued in this cause upon the application of the plaintiff against all of the said defendants; and now in response to said temporary restraining order the defendants, C. A. Beck and John A. Robinson, Executor of the Estate of James T. Robinson, deceased appear by A. Scott Thompson, their attorney; H. A. Andrews, Traveling Auditor in Charge of Quapaw Indian Agency, appears by A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma; Samuel A. Perry and A. W. Lucas appear by Sands & Campbell, their attorneys; defendant, J. George Wright, Superintendent of the Osage Indian Agency, not appearing; and the plaintiff appears by his counsel, Chas. B. Rogers and K. E. McNiell, and presents his motion for temporary injunction against the foregoing defendants, and asks that the temporary restraining order heretofore entered herein be continued as a temporary injunction.

It further appearing to the Court that the plaintiff voluntarily dismisses his application for temporary injunction as against all of said defendants save and except the defendants, Samuel A. Perry and A. W. Lucas, as his attorney in fact, and the court thereupon, and after hearing testimony and presentation of said cause by the attorneys, enters a dismissal of said application for temporary injunction as to all of said defendants except Samuel A. Perry and A. W. Lucas, and announces that he is not ready to pass upon the merits of the application for temporary injunction, and suggests that parties agree to a continuance of the restraining order for thirty (30) days from this date; that thereupon, attorneys for Samuel A. Perry and A. W. Lucas stated in open court that they would agree to a continuance of the temporary restraining order for the period of thirty days, during which time they would endeavor to prepare and present issues for an early determination of said cause.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

REGULAR SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 1930.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the temporary restraining order heretofore entered in this cause be continued to the 18th day of October, 1930, at nine o'clock A. M., as to the defendants, Samuel A. Perry and A. W. Lucas, his attorney in fact, and that during said time the said Samuel A. Perry and A. W. Lucas, defendants, be restrained from procuring or attempting to procure, or receiving or attempting to receive from the Osage Indian Agency any part of the one-fourth (1/4) interest in and to funds derived from the mineral interests of the said Samuel A. Perry, and from the said Osage Indian Agency the five-twenty-fourths (5/24) interest in the royalties from the mineral leases described in the bill hereto, which is claimed by the plaintiff; that the restraining order heretofore issued be dissolved and dismissed as to all of said defendants herein except the defendants, Samuel A. Perry and A. W. Lucas; that this order shall apply to and include any person acting or purporting to act with the authority of the defendants, Samuel A. Perry and A. W. Lucas, or either of them, or for their interest or benefit; that a copy of this order be transmitted by the clerk of this court to the said plaintiff and each of said defendants, or their agents.

F. E. KENNAMER

Judge of the United States District Court.

ENROUSED: Filed 9-12-1930
H. P. Farfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court. PM

Court adjourned until September 19, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, September 19th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. Dist. Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

MINNIE PFEIFER, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 432 Equity.
ROSA B. WRIGHT, Ex., Defendant. }

Now on this 19th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is by the Court ordered that the Clerk file and spread Mandate of Record, in the above entitled cause, same being in words and figures as follows:

MANDATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss:

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(SEAL)

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GREETING:

WHEREAS, lately in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, before you, or some of you in a cause between Minnie Pfeifer, Plaintiff, and Rosa B. Wright, as executrix of the Estate of James M. Wright, and in her personal capacity, Defendant, No. 432 Equity, the decree of the said District Court in said cause entered on July 13, 1929, was in the following words, viz:

"It is, therefore, ordered and decreed by the court that the motion to dismiss should be sustained, and it is so ordered. The appeal therefrom, if any, is allowed."

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record of the said District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, by virtue of an appeal by Minnie Pfeifer agreeably to the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the June term, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty, the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of the record from said District Court, as heretofore argued by counsel;

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this court that the decree of the said District Court, in this cause, be and the same is hereby affirmed, and that Rosa B. Wright, appellee, have and recover of and from Minnie Pfeifer, appellant, her costs herein and have execution therefor as at law.

-- J. M. [unclear] 1930.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such proceedings be had in said cause, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeal notwithstanding.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 1930.

WITNESS, the Honorable CHARLES EVANS HUGHES, Chief Justice of the United States, the 13th day of September, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty.

COSTS OF APPEAL.

Clerk 4.00
Printing Record 1.00
Total 5.00

ALBERT TREGO
Clerk of the United States
Circuit Court of Appeals,
Fifth District.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 19 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court. ME

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. C. Dildine, Plaintiff,
vs.
W. C. Dildine, as Administrator
of the Estate of Ida McKinley,
Exec't., et al., Defendants.
No. 545 Equity.

ORDER APPOINTING GUARDIAN AD LITEM.

Now on this 10th day of September, 1930, this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the plaintiff herein for the appointment by the Court of a guardian for the minor defendants, Walter Iron and Eugene Iron, who have been regularly served with process in said cause, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, grants said motion.

It is therefore the order of the Court, for good cause shown, that George W. Boone, a regular practicing attorney in this court, and a fit and proper person, be and is hereby appointed and designated as Guardian Ad Litem for and on behalf of Walter Iron and Eugene Iron, minor defendants in the above cause, and directing that said Guardian Ad Litem prepare and file in said cause such pleadings as will protect the rights of said minors herein, said pleadings to be filed at such times as said Guardian may request, or the Court hereinafter order.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 19 1930 F. E. KENNAMER
H. P. Warfield, Clerk JUDGE.
U. S. District Court. W.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Bartlett Mortgage Company, Plaintiff,
a Corporation,
vs.
Frank Dowell, et al., Defendants.
EQUITY NO. 555

ORDER

Now on this 19 day of Sept., 1930, it appearing that the Complainant has filed its Dismissal in the above cause, and that the cause is in a condition to be dismissed,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by the Court that the above cause be and the same is hereby dismissed.

F. E. KENNAMER
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 19 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
 EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1930.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

HINDERLITER TOOL COMPANY,
 a corporation, Plaintiff,

vs.

In Equity No. 561.

LARKIN PACKER COMPANY,
 a corporation, Defendant.

ORDER

For good cause shown, the Stipulation by and between the parties to the above entitled cause, that the time for filing Motions for particulars, etc., interrogatories, and objections thereto, in the above matter, be extended to and including October 15, 1930, is hereby approved and said additional time hereby granted.

F. B. KENNAMER
 JUDGE

ENDORSE: Filed Sep 19 1930
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, September 20th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

REAL ESTATE MORTGAGE TRUST COMPANY,
A CORPORATION, TRUSTEE & OLIVER D.
SCHNAARE, Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. 522 - Equity.

LOUIS JACOBS, FANNIE JACOBS, DREYFUS
BROTHERS, A CORP., THE WHITE COMPANY,
A CORP., & NATIONAL BANK OF COMMERCE
OF TULSA, OKLAHOMA, A CORP., Defendants.

Now on this 20th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be reassigned for October 7th, 1930.

THE SILURIAN OIL COMPANY, A CORP., Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 525 Equity.

IRENE ESSLEY,

Defendant.

Now on this 20th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be stricken from the assignment of this date and reset for October 7th, 1930.

J. A. S. WRIGHT,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 554 Equity.

CHARLES J. EDDY,

Defendant.

Now on this 20th day of September, A. D. 1930, the above entitled cause came on for hearing and after being fully advised in the premises and upon due consideration of the facts herein, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be now entered for the plaintiff in the sum of \$41,000.00 commission, and for the defendant in the sum of \$16,000.00 commission. Expense accounts are now considered balanced. To this ruling of the Court exceptions are allowed. Execution of the foregoing judgment is stayed by order of the Court for the period of ten (10) days pending filing of a supersedeas bond by the defendant in the amount of \$7,000.00. The Utilities Company holding the \$57,000.00 involved herein is ordered by the Court to pay said amount into the Registry of the Court to await final decree. The losing party is to pay the poundage fee.

W. H. GRAY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 564 Equity.

IRA E. CORNELIUS,

Defendant.

Now on this 20th day of September, A. D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that hearing on motion to dismiss be taken under advisement. Plaintiff is given ten (10) days to file brief and defendant is given ten (10) days thereafter to file response brief.

Court adjourned until September 22, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 1930.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Monday, September 22nd, 1930.

Present. Hon. Edgar A. Vaught, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. Dist. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

THE OSAGE OIL & REFINING COMPANY, A CORP., Plaintiff,
vs. No. 222 Equity.
MAMIE AXELROD, ET AL, Defendants.

Now on this 22nd day of September, A. D. 1930, permission is granted by the Court to withdraw application for disqualification. Thereafter it is ordered by the Court that hearing on application to file supplemental bill be taken under advisement. Plaintiff is given ten days to file brief and defendant is given ten days thereafter to file answer brief.

POTENT SPECIALTIES CORPORATION, Plaintiff,
vs. No. 467 Equity.
WILLIAMS BROTHERS, INC., Defendant.

Now on this 22nd day of September, A. D. 1930, the above entitled case comes on for hearing. Said case is called and both sides announce ready. Opening statements of counsel are made and all witnesses are sworn in open court. Thereafter, the plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: W. Z. Allen, A. G. Blauzen. Thereupon, the hour for adjournment having arrived, court is recessed until 9:15 A. M. September 23, 1930.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff,
vs. No. 485 Equity.
Myrtle E. Hale, et al, Defendants.

PETITION FOR ALLOWANCE OF APPEAL.

To the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, United States District Judge:

Comes now the United States of America, plaintiff in the above styled cause, by and through its Attorneys, Jno. E. Goldesberry, United States Attorney in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and A. E. Williams, Assistant United States Attorney in and for said district, under authority of the Attorney General of the United States, at the request of the Secretary of the Interior of the United States, and feeling itself aggrieved at the decisions and judgment rendered and entered herein by the Court on June 23, 1930, and the final decree entered herein on the 29th day of August, 1930, that the title of the defendant, Myrtle E. Hale, to an undivided one-half interest in the real estate described in plaintiff's Bill of Complaint, to-wit:

The Southeast Quarter (SE4) of Section Ten (10), Township Twenty-four (24) North, Range Six (6) East, Osage County, Oklahoma,

be settled and quieted and decreed to be perfect as against the plaintiff and against the said Pearl Bightart, in whose behalf this suit was

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY SEPTEMBER 22, 1930.

instituted, and against any and all persons claiming by, through or under her since the commencement of this action; and wherein it was decreed on June 23, 1930, that the title to the other undivided one-half interest in and to the above described land be settled and quieted in the said Myrtle M. Hale unless the said Pearl Bigheart pay to the said Myrtle M. Hale the sum of \$1533.76, on or before 60 days from said date, June 23, 1930; and wherein on August 29, 1930, the Court entered its final decree in said cause, adjudging that because of the fact that the said Pearl Bigheart had not paid to the said Myrtle M. Hale, within the time ordered by the Court so to do, the aforesaid sum of money, said Court, on the said last named date, decreed that the title in and to said real estate be and the same is held to be perfect as against the plaintiff and against said Pearl Bigheart, in whose behalf this suit was instituted, and against all other persons claiming by, through or under her since the commencement of this action, in all of which adjudications and proceedings had in connection with said cause, and the entry thereof, certain errors were committed, prejudicial to the plaintiff, and from which said decisions, adjudications and decrees, by reason of said errors, the United States of America for the Tenth Circuit, for the reasons set forth in the assignments of error filed herein.

WHEREFORE, the United States of America, plaintiff herein, prays that an appeal herein may be allowed to the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, for the reasons and for the correction of said errors so complained of; and that citation be issued herein, as by law provided, and that a transcript of the records, proceedings, papers and documents involved in this appeal, and upon which said adjudication and decrees were based, duly authenticated, be sent to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the said Tenth Circuit, under and in conformity with the law and rulings of the Court, in such cases made and provided.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, September 22, 1930.

JNO. M. GOLDESBERY
Jno. M. Goldesberry,
United States Attorney.

A. E. WILLIAMS
A. E. Williams,
Assistant United States Attorney.

Appeal as prayed for is hereby allowed this 22nd day of September, 1930.

F. E. KERNAPFER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 22 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court MS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

United States of America, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 485 Equity.
Myrtle M. Hale, Et al, Defendants. }

ORDER ENLARGING TIME IN WHICH TO PREPARE AND SERVE RECORD.

Now on this 22nd day of September, 1930, the above named plaintiff, the United States of America, having filed its petition praying an appeal of the within cause to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and said appeal having been duly and regularly allowed, and upon allowance thereof said plaintiff having requested an enlargement of time in which to prepare and serve a record in said cause and to lodge same in said Circuit Court of Appeals, and after hearing the statement of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that good and sufficient cause exists for said enlargement of time.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

REGULAR SESSION

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 1930.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said plaintiff, the United States, be and hereby is allowed an extension of time of 60 days from this date in which to complete its record and lodge its appeal in this cause in the Circuit Court of Appeals, aforesaid.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE.

EMBOISED: Filed Sep 22 1930
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.
ME

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Tuesday, September 23, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.M. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. District Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

WARRANT ON GUARANTEE CORPORATIONS, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 467 - Equity ✓
WILLIAM BROTHERS, INCORPORATED. Defendant.)

Now on this 23rd day of September, A.D. 1930, the above entitled cause comes on for further hearing. All parties are present as heretofore and Plaintiff continues introduction of evidence and proof with the testimony of W.P. Myers and Fred Theimel. Thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the testimony of F.W. Stanley. Thereupon, the noon hour having arrived, court is recessed until 1:30 P.M.

And thereafter, on this same day, at 1:30 P.M. court reconvenes, all parties present as heretofore. Defendant continues with the introduction of evidence and proof with witness H.L. Stewart, Mr. Farris, H.C. Price and W.T. Graham. Thereupon, the hour for adjournment having arrived, court is adjourned until September 24, 1930.

J. C. HYNDS, TRUSTEE, Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 482 - Equity. ✓
DAVID WICHER, Defendant.)

Now on this 23d day of September, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that judgment for plaintiff for \$1735.00 with 6% interest from Feb. 5, 1929 until paid and costs of this action. Exceptions allowed as per journal entry to be furnished.

J. C. HYNDS, TRUSTEE, Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 483 - Equity. ✓
SAM HANS, Defendant.)

Now on this 23d day of September, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that judgment for Plaintiff in the amount of \$720.00 with 6% interest from Jan. 29, 1929 and cost of this action. Exceptions allowed as per journal entry to be furnished.

THEO. C. LAGRELEY, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 521 - Equity. ✓
H. U. BARTLETT, et al., Defendants.)

Now on this 23d day of September, A.D. 1930, the above entitled case comes on for hearing. Said case is called and both sides announce ready. And thereafter, it is ordered by the Court that case be passed to September 24, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
BANKRUPTCY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1930

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Hanna Anderson, Complainant, }
vs. } No. 562 Equity. ✓
O.K. Peck, as trustee for }
Jack Roberts, et al., Defendants. }

ORDER

Now on this 23 day of September, 1930, comes on to be heard the oral application of complainant for additional time within which to file her amended and supplemental bill of complaint herein, and the Court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that additional time to and including October 1, 1930, within which to file amended and supplemental bill of complaint should be granted.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that complainant be, and she is hereby granted to and including October 1, 1930, within which to file her amended and supplemental bill of complaint.

It is further ordered that the defendants, C.W. Roodhouse, as guardian of the person and estate of Hanna Anderson, an incompetent, O.K. Peck, as trustee for Jack Roberts, Jack Roberts, D.H. Linebaugh, Paul C. Williams, Melvin Thomason, Bebe Stevens Hoaglin, and Wallace Cook be, and they are hereby granted fifteen (15) days after the filing of said amended and supplemental bill of complaint in which to plead, or thirty (30) days in which to answer thereto.

F. E. KESLER

RECORDED: Filed 9-23-1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court EM

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSIONS

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA
SEPTEMBER 24, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Wednesday, September 24
1930.

Present: Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. District Court.
H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

THE OSAGE OIL & REFINING COMPANY, Plaintiffs;
et al,)
vs.) No. 224 - Equity. ✓
MULBERG OIL COMPANY, et al, Defendants;

O R D E R

BE IT REMEMBERED:

On this 24th day of September, 1930, E.A. Babcock and Felix
Quinlan, having filed their application herein for an Order of this Court,
directing Gypsy Oil Company to pay over to them certain monies accumulated,
in its hands, and claimed by said applicants,

IT IS ORDERED BY THE COURT that said application be and the
same is hereby set for hearing, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the 6th day of
October, 1930; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED BY THE COURT that a copy of this
Order be sent by the Clerk to J.E. Whitehead, Esq., attorney for the
plaintiffs herein, and to Neal Sullivan, Esq., attorney of record for the
defendant herein Mulber Oil Company, and C.L. Billings, attorney of record
for the defendant, Gypsy Oil Company.

F. E. KENNAMER
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 24, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court JMR

PATENT SPECIALTIES CORPORATION, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 467 - Equity ✓
WILLIAM BROTHERS, INCORPORATED, Defendant.)

Now on this 24th day of September, A.D. 1930, the above
entitled cause comes on for further trial. All parties are present as
heretofore. Defendant continues with the introduction of evidence and
proof with the following witnesses: R.K. Ashen, A.E. Priabe. There-
after, the Defendant rests. Plaintiff offers rebuttal testimony of
witnesses, E.B. Evers, Ralph O. Moore, W.Z. Allen and Arthur O'Dell and
thereafter the Plaintiff rests. Both sides rest. Closing arguments of
counsel are made. Thereupon, after considering the facts and evidence in-
troduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that decree in favor of
Defendants be held, no infringement as per journal entry to be furnished.

637

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN EQUITY SESSION **District of** OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 24, 1930.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Theo.G. Lashley.	Plaintiff.	
vs.		
H.U. Bartlett;		
Bartlett Development Syndicate No. 1,		
an express trust, and H.U. Bartlett		In Equity ✓
and H.E. Whitehead, its Trustees;		No. 521
Central National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma,		
a banking corporation;		
Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma,		
a banking corporation; and		
Shell Petroleum Corporation,		
a corporation,	Defendants.	

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

This matter came on for hearing on this, the 24th day of September, 1930, pursuant to regular setting hereof upon the trial docket of this court, and complainant being present and represented by his counsel, Edmund Lashley and Hal F. Rambo, and the defendants, H.U. Bartlett, Bartlett Development Syndicate No. 1, an express trust, and H.U. Bartlett and H.E. Whitehead, its Trustees, being present and represented by their counsel, S.W. Maytubby, Jr., and the defendant, D.H. McMasters, Receiver for the Bartlett Development Syndicate No. 1, being present and represented by his counsel, W.H. Odell and L.O. Lytle, and the defendants, Central National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, being represented by their counsel, Chas. E. Bush, and the defendant, Shell Petroleum Corporation, being represented by its counsel, Joe T. Dickerson, and all parties having announced ready for trial, and the court having considered the evidence and testimony introduced and having been fully advised in the premises, finds that the matters and facts alleged and set forth in the bill of complaint filed herein, are true and correct and that judgment should be entered in favor of the complainant;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that the complainant have, and he hereby is, decreed a lien on, to and against the 5/128 portion of the oil produced, saved and run and the proceeds therefrom from the Etta Sewell Allotment, described as the Northeast Quarter of Section Eighteen (18), Township Nineteen (19) North, Range Nine (9) East, Creek County, Oklahoma, (the same being the royalty oil accruing to and for the 3/8 interest in and to the oil, gas and minerals in and to said lands owned and held by the defendant, Bartlett Development Syndicate No. 1 and or H.U. Bartlett, and heretofore covered by transfer order and run to the credit of the Central National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, or its successor, the Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma), and the defendant, Shell Petroleum Corporation, being the purchaser of said oil, be and it hereby is, ordered and directed to make payment for said portion of the oil heretofore and hereafter run from said premises, to the complainant herein, Theo. G. Lashley, until he shall have received therefrom the total sum of \$5200.00, together with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum from December 11, 1929, until paid, and for an attorney's fee of \$530.00, and the costs of this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, after complainant herein shall have received from the defendant, Shell Petroleum Corporation, payment in full for the said amount due and accruing to him from the proceeds of said portion of the oil purchased and run from the above lands, that payment for said portion of the oil thereafter run by the defendant, Shell Petroleum Corporation, shall be made by the latter to D.H. McMasters, Receiver for Bartlett Development Syndicate No. 1, or his successor in interest.

Done in open court, this, the day and year above set forth.

W. H. HANCOCK
DISTRICT JUDGE

RECORDED: Filed Sep 25, 1930
W.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

District of

OKLAHOMA

TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 24, 1930

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Hanna Anderson,	Complainant,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 562 Equity ✓
O.K. Peck, as Trustee for)	
Jack Roberts, Jack Roberts, et al,)	
)	
	Defendants.)	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHICH TO INTERVENE.

Now on this 23rd day of September, 1930, it being made to appear to the Court that it is necessary for the United States to have additional time in which to make its decision as to its intervention in the above styled cause, because of certain facts that have not been fully ascertained, and the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma having applied to the court for an extension of time of twenty days from September 25, 1930, in which to file its said intervention, and the Court being advised in the premises, allows said extension of time.

IT IS THEREFORE THE ORDER AND JUDGMENT of the Court that the United States be allowed to intervene in said cause, if it so desires, said intervention to be filed on or before the 15th day of October, 1930.

Done in Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 23rd day of September, 1930.

F. E. KENNAMER
JUDGE

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 24, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court JMR

Court adjourned until September 25, 1930.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN EQUITY SESSION DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 1930

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, September 25th, 1930.

Present: Hon. F.W. Kennamer, Judge, U.S. District Court. H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JOHN M. DYKES, RECEIVER OF THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK AT COLLIERVILLE, OKLAHOMA, A NATIONAL BANKERS ASSOCIATION, PLAINTIFF, vs. J. O. COLBURN, DEFENDANT. No. 285 EQUITY

ORDER

NOW on this 25th day of September, 1930, above case coming on in its regular order, and the plaintiff appearing by his attorney George B. Schwabe, in open court moves that the above entitled numbered cause be dismissed without prejudice, at the cost of plaintiff. And the court being fully advised in the premises sustains the motion of plaintiff.

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the court that the above entitled and numbered cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice to a future action, at the cost of the plaintiff.

F. W. KENNAMER

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 25, 1930 H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Raymond Williams, and E. Cobb, guardian of Raymond Williams, incompetent,, Plaintiffs, vs. Darwin Wiltch, et al., Defendants. NO. 387 Equity

ORDER

Now on this the 25th day of September, 1930, this cause coming on to be heard upon the Supplemental complaint filed herein by the plaintiffs, and the plaintiffs appearing in person and by attorney, J.F. Bruce, and the defendants, Darwin Wiltch and Fannie B. Wiltch, appearing in person and by attorney, both sides announced ready for trial.

After hearing all the evidence adduced argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises the court is of the opinion and finds the issues in favor of the defendants and against the plaintiff. The Court further finds that Raymond Williams, is the sole and only heir at law of Lizzie Lewis Williams, deceased, and entitled to inherit such interest in the lands involved in this action as might be owned by the said Lizzie Lewis Williams, during her lifetime. The court further finds that the said defendants are the owners of the property involved in this action, except such as have been conveyed by said defendants, to the plaintiffs or their assignees or grantees, and that by reason thereof the said defendants are entitled to judgment quieting their title to said lands.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT

District of

OKLAHOMA

NO. 391 EQUITY

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 1930

It is Therefore, Considered, Ordered and Adjudged that the defendants, Fannie B. Filtsch and Darwin Filtsch, be, and they are hereby decreed to be the owners of the following described lands, to-wit:

One-eighth undivided interest in and to NE $\frac{1}{4}$; W $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$; NE NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 8 Township 13 North, Range 21 East, and

E $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW

One-fourth undivided interest in and to -/ of Section 8, and SE of SE of SE; and SW of SE of SE of Section 5 Township 13 North, Range 21 East;

One-third undivided interest in and to North 19.75 acres of Lot 1, South Half of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and South Half of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and North Half of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and Lots 3 and 4 in Section 3, Township 13 North, Range 21 East, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and East Half of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 4, Township 13 North, Range 21 East, all in Sequoyah County, Oklahoma, and

East Half of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 34, Township 14 North, Range 21 East, Cherokee County, Oklahoma.,

from and clear of any claim of the plaintiffs and those claiming by, through or under them, and to the title to said land is hereby quieted in the said defendants.

The Court finds for defendant, Darwin Filtsch, and against the plaintiffs on their claims for accounting and settlement of account of sales made by Darwin Filtsch, under said deed of trust of any lands described therein.

F. E. KEENHAMER
Judge of U.S. District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 25, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court EE

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. D. STRADFORD, COMPLAINANT,

VS

No. 391 Equity.

TULSA INVESTMENT COMPANY, A CORPORATION,
FARM AND HOME SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION
OF MISSOURI, A CORPORATION, ET AL,
RESPONDENTS.

O R D E R.

On a application of respondent, Farm and Home Savings and Loan Association of Missouri, a corporation, the time for said respondent to plead herein is hereby extended until on or before October 11, 1930.

F. E. KEENHAMER
JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep 29, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court W

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
EQUITY SESSION

District of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

OKLAHOMA
THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 1930

MAUDE PAINTER KEMP LIVINGSTON, Plaintiff, }
vs. } No. 455 - Equity. ✓
M. A. YOUNGMAN, et al., Defendants. }

Now on this 25th day of September, A.D. 1930, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be rendered for Plaintiff as per Journal entry to be furnished.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

MISSOURI STATE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, Plaintiff, :
a corporation, :
vs. :
EDWIN H. ROLLESTONE; :
MILDRED E. ROLLESTONE; : IN EQUITY ✓
W. E. KRUMREI; : No. 465
ALBERT KELLY; :
THE DAVLEY TREE EXPERT CO., :
a corporation; :
ALF TILLMAN; :
REPUBLIC SUPPLY CO., :
a corporation. Defendants. :

ORDER

On this 25th day of September, 1930, same being one of the regular judicial days of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this cause came on to be heard on the oral application of the plaintiff's attorneys herein for an Order of court, permitting the plaintiff to withdraw from the files in the Clerk's office, the Notice of Special Master's Sale of Real Estate and Affidavit of Publication; which Notice and Affidavit of Publication were filed by The Democrat News, a weekly newspaper published in Sapulpa, Creek County, Oklahoma.

That said plaintiff desires to withdraw said Notice and affidavit of Publication for the purpose of attaching same to the Report of the Special Master, appointed by this court to sell the real estate described in said Notice of Sale; and it appearing to the court that an Order should issue permitting said plaintiff to withdraw said Notice and Affidavit of Publication for the purpose of attaching same to said Master's Report;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the plaintiff be and is hereby granted permission to withdraw from the files of the Clerk of this court the said Notice of Special Master's Sale of Real Estate and the Affidavit of Publication, filed by The Democrat News, on the 22nd day of September, 1930, to be used by said Special Master, to be attached to his Report in said cause.

SO ORDERED, this 25 day of September, 1930.

F. E. LEHMAN
Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 25, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
District of OKLAHOMA
TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 1930

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Franklin M. Warden, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 514 Equity. ✓
Big Three Welding & Equipment Co.,)
Defendant.)

ORDER.

Now on this 25 day of September, 1930, it is ordered by the Court that the above entitled case be and the same is hereby referred to a Master to hear the evidence and make and return his report, and Chas. R. Bostick is hereby appointed Special Master to hear the evidence in this case and to make and return his report herein.

It is further ordered that the hearing before the Master be not commenced until after November 15, 1930.

F. E. HILLMAN
JUDGE.

O.K.
W. F. SCHULENMEYER,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

B. I. J. HOLT
FRED W. KOPPLIN
Attorneys for Defendant.

RECORDED: Filed Sep 25, 1930
H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U.S. District Court

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 534 - Equity. ✓
D. E. TALBOTT, Defendant.)

Now on this 25th day of September, A.D. 1930, the above entitled cause comes on for hearing. Both sides announce ready and opening statements of counsel are made. Plaintiff introduces its evidence and proof in the form of a note and mortgage. Thereafter, the Plaintiff rests. Defendant introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: Mrs. D.E. Talbott, Mrs. O.E. Cox, O.E. Cox. And thereafter, the Defendant rests.

Thereupon, after considering the facts and evidence introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that decree be entered for the Plaintiff and against the Defendant.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 542 - Equity. ✓
M.A. WALKER, C. D. WALKER,)
JEANETTE MATTIE LAMBERT, W. I.)
REPPER, J. E. SAVAGE & CONSTANCE)
BRIGBT, Defendants.)

Now on this 25th day of September, A.D. 1930, there comes on for hearing Defendant's motion for judgment on pleadings. After being advised in the premises, it is ordered by the Court that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled. Thereupon, statements of counsel are made and note and mortgage are introduced as evidence.

Thereafter, after considering the facts and evidence as introduced herein, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be rendered in favor of the Plaintiff as per journal entry to be furnished later.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 1930

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff,)
vs.)
W. O. DILDINE, as administrator)
of the Estate of IDA MCKINLEY, de-) No. 543 - Equity. ✓
ceased, WILLIAMS CUMMINGS AND)
WALTER IRON, EUGENE IRON, and)
WILLIAM MCKINLEY, minors and heirs)
at law of IDA MCKINLEY, deceased.)
Defendants.)

Now on this 25th day of September, A.D. 1930, the above entitled cause is revived in the name of the administrator, W.O. Dildine. Opening statements of counsel are made and one note and mortgage is introduced as evidence herein. After being advised in the premises and considering the facts and evidence introduced, it is ordered by the Court that judgment be rendered for Plaintiff and against Defendants as per journal entry to be furnished later.

It is the further order of the Court that Guardian Ad Litem be allowed a fee in the sum of \$50.00.

AMIL STRELLA, Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 548 - Equity. ✓
DEVONIAN OIL COMPANY,)
et al., Defendants.)

Now on this 25th day of September, A.D. 1930, the above entitled cause comes on for hearing. Both sides having announced ready, opening statements of counsel are made. Plaintiff introduces evidence and proof with the following witnesses: A.E. Smith, E.D. Smith, C.D. Covey and Amil Strella. And thereafter the Plaintiff rests. Defendants introduce evidence and proof with the following witnesses: E.D. Smith, O.T. Lombe, Jno. A. Alspaugh, J.S. Miller, H.E. Geiger, H.R. Dean. Thereafter the Defendant rests. Both sides rest.

Thereupon, it is ordered by the Court that decree be entered, as follows: Defendants given twelve months from date to determine whether it profitable to drill more wells. If not, that part of lease not paying to be cancelled, all as per journal entry to be furnished later.
