

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUEBBDAY, JUNE, 16, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, June, 16, 1925, at 9:0'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. United States District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., Bailiff
H. H. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation being duly had the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs.
JOHN GHORMLEY, Defendant.
CRIM # 154

On this 16th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the sentence of June, 5th, 1925 in above entitled cause, be and the same is hereby, set aside and fine and sentence to read as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant John Ghormley, for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from June 5, 1925, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Three Hundred (\$300.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa, County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver of cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

ORDER OF REMOVAL OF J. B. DRAKE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

To the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, Greeting:

Whereas, it has been made to appear that J. B. Drake is indicted in the Western District Court of the United States for the Western District of Oklahoma for the offense of possession of intoxicating liquor in an Indian Country and whereas the said J. B. Drake having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there had in the presence of said defendant, it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said indictment, and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged, and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before said District Court of the United States for the Western District of Oklahoma on the first day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said Court. And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required therefore:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said J. B. Drake hence to the said Western District of Oklahoma and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 16th day of June, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, U. S. District Judge for the Northern District of Oklahoma

ENDORSED: FILED June 16, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court. Roy Cornett, Deputy

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 1925.

ORDER EXCUSING PETIT JUROR.

On this 16th day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that J. C. Bixler, one of the petit jurors, heretofore empaneled, be and he is excused for the Special April Term of Court.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
)	
vs.)	CRIM #108
)	
OSCAR MORRIS AND)	
TOMMY CLYNE, whose true name)	
is EDWARD MITCHELL,	Defendant.)	

On this 16th day of June, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel. Defendant asks and is granted leave to withdraw former plea of guilty, and it is further ordered by the Court, that upon motion of the United States Attorney, judgment and sentence of June 3rd., 1925, be modified and same is hereby modified to read as follows:

IT IS THEREUPON BY THE Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant, Oscar Morris for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty (60) days, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by sue process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Oscar Morris, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the indictment, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Oscar Morris, for the crime by him committed as charged in the third count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from June, 3, 1925, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that said sentence of confinement shall run concurrently. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

At Tulsa, in said District, this 16th day of June, 1925. the following among other proceedings were had, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. 108 Criminal.
)	
vs.)	Indicted for Vio. N.P.A.
)	
EDWARD MITCHELL)	

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant, Edward Mitchell, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 1925

jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty (60) days, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa, County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law, And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Edward Mitchell, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the indictment, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed to the Tulsa, County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law, And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Edward Mitchell, for the crime by him committed as charged in the third count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from June, 3, 1925, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that said sentence of confinement shall run concurrently, And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 130 LAW.
ONE CADILLAC AUTOMOBILE)
MOTOR No. 61 Z 16775, Defendant.)

ORDER FOR WARRANT AND MONITION.

Now on this 16th day of June, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard on a libel filed in this Court for, and on behalf of the United States of America against one Cadillac automobile, Motor #61z16775, a more particularly and detailed description thereof being unknown to libellant, praying the usual process and monition of this Court for an order condemning and forfeiting said automobile to said plaintiff as provided by Section 3450 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, and it appearing therefrom that on or about the 16th day of May, 1925, in Ottawa County, State of Oklahoma, and within the Northern District of Oklahoma, said automobile was then and there being used in the removal, and for the deposit and concealment of certain intoxicating liquors, to-wit:

ONE-HALF (1/2) PINT CORN WHISKEY

upon which the tax had not been paid to the United States as provided by law, with the intent then and there to defraud the United States of such said tax, and that the same was duly seized within the said Northern District of Oklahoma, and is now held by the duly authorized officers of said plaintiff to abide the judgment of this Court, and it further appears that the following named persons, to-wit: Bob Hannah, Mrs Bob Hannah, and J. Frank Carlock, all of Bicher, Ottawa County, Oklahoma, claim an interest in said automobile.

It is therefore ordered that the usual process and monition of this Court in that behalf be made and issued, directing the United States Marshal in and for said Northern District of Oklahoma to attach and arrest said automobile and take the same into his custody, and there safely keep until the farther order of this Court, and that he give due notice by publication of a certified copy of this order in a newspaper and published in the County in which such seizure was made by one publication not less than 14 days before the day assigned for trial, and by notice posted in the most public manner for a period of 14 days at, or near, the place of the trial of this cause, and generally to all persons having or pretending to have any right, title or interest in or to said personal property, to appear before said Court in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on the

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

TUESDAY, JUNE, 16, 1925

20th day of July, 1925, at 10 o'clock A.M. of said day, and then and there make known their claims or allegations in such matters and that the said Bob Hannah, Mrs Bob Hannah, and J. Frank Cralock, if found in this district be served with warrant and monition by the United States Marshal and due return made thereof.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 16, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court L.W.J.

J. O. IRWIN, Plaintiff.)
vs.) #86 LAW.
GYPSEY OIL COMPANY, Defendant.)

On this 18th day of June 1925, the defendants in above entitled cause file motion to quash summons herein, and it is by the Court ordered that said motion be and same is hereby sustained and cause stricken from present assignment.

H. D. ROSENBERG, Plaintiff.)
vs.) #45 Law.
ISAAC SHULER, Defendant.)

On this 16th day of June, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be and same is hereby stricken from present assignment, and continued for term.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 146 Crim.
S&M STOKENBERRY, Defendant.)

On this 16th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be and same is hereby, deferred until June, 22, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 132 LAW.
One FORD ROADSTER, automobile,)
Motor # 768004, Defendant.)

ORDER FOR WARRANT AND MONITION.

Now on this 16th day of June, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard on a libel in this Court for, and on behalf of the United States of America against one Ford Roadster automobile, Motor #768004, a more particularly and detailed description thereof being unknown to libelant, praying the usual process and monition of this Court for an order condemning and forfeiting said automobile to said plaintiff as provided by Section 3450 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, and it appearing therefrom that on or about the 30th day of March, 1925, in Osage County, State of Oklahoma and within the Northern District of Oklahoma, said automobile was then and there being used in the removal, and for the deposit and concealment of certain intoxicating liquors, to-wit:

ONE HALF (1/2) GALLONS CHOCTAW BEER.

Upon which the tax has not been paid to the United States as provided by law, with the intent then and there to defraud the United States of such

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, JUNE, 16, 1925

said tax, and that the same was duly seized within the said Northern District of Oklahoma, and is now being held by the duly authorized officers of said plaintiff to abide the judgment of this Court, and it further appears that the following named persons, to-wit: Katherine Muncie and Frank Krebs claim an interest in said automobile.

It is therefore ordered that the usual process and monition of this Court in that behalf be made and issued, directing the United States in and for said northern District of Oklahoma to attach and arrest said automobile and take the same into his custody, and there safely keep until the further order of this Court, and that he give due notice by publication of a certified copy of this order in a newspaper printed and published in the County in which such seizure was made by one publication not less than 14 days before the day assigned for trial, and by notice posted in the most public manner for a period of 14 days at, or near, the place of the trial of this cause, and generally to all persons having or pretending to have any right, title or interest in or to said personal property, to appear before said Court in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on the 20th day of July, 1925, at 10 o'clock A.M. of said day, and then and there make known their claims or allegations in such matter, and that the said Katherine Muncie and Frank Krebs, if found in this district, be served with warrant and monition by the United States Marshal, and due return made hereof.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 16, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
E.W.J.

Court adjourned until June, 17, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY JUNE, 17, 1925.

On this 17th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

E. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
J. M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. Attorney.
E. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq. Bailiff.
H. H. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation haveing been duly made the following proceed- ings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

C. W. COMSTOCK AND PEARL COMSTOCK, Plaintiffs, vs. PRAIRIE OIL AND GAS COMPANY, a corporation. Defendant. No. 83 At Law.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

This matter coming on to be heard on this 17th day of June, 1925, upon the motion of the plaintiffs to dismiss the above styled cause without prejudice, and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that the said motion should be, and the same is hereby allowed and sustained, and said cause is hereby dismissed without prejudice, at the costs of the plain- tiff.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed, June, 17, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court L. W. J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FRED H. KELLY AND CHARLES F. HOUGH, Plaintiffs, vs. MIDWEST ND GULF CORPORATION, a corporation, Defendant. No. 3 Law

ORDER.

Now on this 17th day of June, 1925, this matter came on for hear- ing upon the application of the plaintiffs herein for the issuance and ser- vice of a subpoena duces tecum on E. S. Hammond and William P. Weichel, President and Secretary, respectively, of the defendant corporation, command ing them to appear herein at the trial of this cause on the 22nd day of June, at 9:00 o'clock A. M. and bring with them the documents or instruments, the inspection of which has been heretofore requested by plaintiffs of defendant by notice served on May 27, 1925, and filed in this court, and the court, having been advised in the premises is of the opinion that said application should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that plaintiffs herein be, and they are, granted permission to issue or cause to have issued said Subpoena Duces Tecum upon proper praecipe filed herein directed to the Clerk of this Court, and said clerk is hereby ordered to issue said Subpoena Duces Tecum and place the same in the hands of the Marshal of this Court for proper service upon said persons.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, /7, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court H.W.J. Deputy

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, JUNE, 17, 1925.

M. W. THOMPSON, Plaintiff,)
 vs.) # 97 Law.
 R. W. TOBBOT, et al., Defendants.)

On this 17th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that defendant be granted leave to file answer out of time in above entitled cause.

CLYDE A KING, Receiver, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 70 Law.
 W. S. KIRKWOOD, Defendant.)

On this 17th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that above entitled cause be stricken from present assignment and continued for the term.

CLYDE A KING, Receiver, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 71. Law.
 STATE BUILDING & LOAN ASSOCIATION Defendant.)

On this 17th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that above entitled cause be stricken from present assignment and continued for the term.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FRED H. KELLY AND CHARLES F. HOUGH, Plaintiffs.,)
 vs.) No. 3 Law.
 MIDWEST AND GULF OIL CORPORATION,)
 a corporation. Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 17th day of June, 1925, this matter came on for hearing in open court upon the motion of the plaintiffs to require the defendant to allow plaintiffs to inspect certain records heretofore requested of defendant on the 27th day of May, 1925, and it appearing to the Court that more than 4 days has elapsed since the serving of said notice upon the defendant herein and that defendant has filed a response to said notice refusing permission to the plaintiffs to inspect a portion of said requested documents or records, and the Court having been advised in the premises and having heard the argument of counsel, is of the opinion that the motion of the plaintiffs herein should be sustained insofar as a portion of said records or documents hereinafter more specifically set out is concerned and that said records or documents should be submitted for the inspection of plaintiffs herein:

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that the defendant herein be, and it is hereby is, ordered and directed to give to the plaintiffs or their attorneys of record an inspection and copy of permission to take a copy of the books, papers, records, or documents requested by plaintiffs in paragraphs one to ten, inclusive, twelve to eighteen, inclusive,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL PRIL, 1926 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, JUNE, 17, 1925

twenty, twenty-three and twenty-four of their notice heretofore served upon the defendant to allow the inspection of documents pertaining to this action, to all of which defendant: except and said exceptions were allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the inspection of said records or documents by plaintiff or their attorneys of record shall be granted and allowed at the offices of the defendant in the Mayo building in the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the 19th day of June, 1925, at 10:00 o'clock A. M.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O. K. Lashley & Rambo.
O.K. as to form, Rogers & Jones.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 17, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court. H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs. No. 134 Law.
ONE FORD COUPE, AUTOMOBILE, Motor #7626922, License # 132F768. 1924 Model, Defendant.

ORDER FOR WARRANT AND MONITION.

Now on this 17th day of June, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard on a libel of this Court for, and on behalf of the United States of America one Ford Coupe automobile, Motor #7626822, License #132F768, 1924 Model, a more particularly and detailed description thereof being unknown to libellant, praying the usual process and monition of this Court for an order condemning and forfeiting said automobile to said plaintiff as provided by Section 3450 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, and it appearing therefrom that on or about the 24th day of March, 1925, in Osage County, State of Oklahoma and within the Northern District of Oklahoma, said automobile was then and there being used in the removal, and for the deposit and concealment of certain intoxicating liquors, to-wit:

- (1/2) ONE HALF GALLON ALCOHOL, ONE GALLON, ONE QUART, ONE PINT JAMAICA GINGER EXTRACT,

upon which the tax had not been paid to the United States as provided by law, with the intent then and there to defraud the United States of such said tax and that the same was duly seized within the said Northern District of Oklahoma, and is now being held by the duly authorized officers of said plaintiff to abide the judgment of this Court, and it further appears that the following named persons, to-wit: Bill Farrell and Alberta Long, alias Mrs Ralph Long claim an interest in said automobile.

It is therefore ordered that the usual process and monition of this Court in that behalf be made and issued, directing the United States Marshal in and for the said Northern District of Oklahoma to attach and arrest said automobile and take the same into his custody, and there safely keep until the further order of this Court, and that he give due notice by publication of a certified copy of this order in a newspaper printed and published in the County in which such seizure was made by one publication not less than 14 days before the day assigned for trial, and by notice posted in the most public manner for a period of 14 days, at, or near, the place of the trial of this cause, and generally to all persons having or pretending to have any right, title or interest in or to said personal property, to appear before said Court in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on the 20th day of July, 1925, at 10 o'clock A.M. of said day, and then and there make known their claims or allegations in such matters, and that the said Bill Farrell and Alberta Long, alias Mrs Ralph Long, if found in this district, be served with warrant and monition by the United States Marshal, and due return made hereof.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: FILED JUNE, 17, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court L. W. J.

1925 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, JUNE, 17, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff.)	
)	
vs.)	No. 135 Law.
)	
ONE FORD COUPE, AUTOMOBILE,)	
Motor # 10978274, License # 111-577,)	
1925 Model,	Defendant.)	

ORDER FOR WARRANT AND MONITION

Now on this 17th day of June, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard on a libel filed in this Court for, and on behalf of the United States of America against one Ford Coupe automobile, Motor #10978274, License #111-577, 1925 Model, a more particularly and detained description thereof being unknown to libelant, praying the usual process and monition of this Court for an order condemning and forfeiting said automobile to said plaintiff as provided by Section 3450 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, and it appearing therefrom that on or about the 16th day of May, 1925, in Osage County, State of Oklahoma and within the Northern District of Oklahoma, said automobile was then and there being used in the removal, and for the deposit and concealment of certain intoxicating liquors, to-wit:

About 30 pints Corn Whiskey.

upon which the tax had not been paid to the United States as provided by law, with the intent then and there to defraud the United States of such said tax, and that the same was duly seized within the said Northern District of Oklahoma, and is now being held by the duly authorized officers of said plaintiff to abide the judgment of this Court, and it further appears that the following named persons, to-wit: Sam Elem and C. A. Gentry claim an interest in said automobile.

It is therefore ordered that the usual process and monition of this Court in that behalf be made and issued, directing the United States in and for said Northern District of Oklahoma, to attach and arrest said automobile and take the same into his custody, and there safely keep until the further order of this Court, and that he give due notice by publication of a certified copy of this order in a newspaper printed and published in the County in which such seizure was made by one publication not less than 14 days before the day assigned for trial, and by notice posted in the most public manner for a period of 14 days at, or near, the place of the trial of this cause, and generally to all persons having or pretending to have any right, title or interest in or to said personal property, to appear before said Court in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on the 20th day of July 1925, at 10 o'clock A.M. of said day, and then and there make known their claims or allegations in such matters and that the said Sam Elem and C. A. Gentry, if found in this district, be served with warrant and monition by the United States Marshal, and due return made hereof.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 17, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
L.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, JUNE, 17, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA,
TULSA DIVISION.

IN RE. ASSESSMENT FOR)
TAXATION OF THE CARTER) No. 42 Law.
OIL COMPANY,)

ORDER

On this 17 day of June, 1925, upon stipulation of counsel filed herein, and for good cause shown, this case is hereby ordered stricken from the trial assignment of June, 19, 1925, and continued until the next term of this Court at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge
United States District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 17, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
R. C.

Court adjourned until June, 18th., 1925.

1925 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM. TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, JUNE 18, 1925

On this 18th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April, 1925 session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
Jno. M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff
H. E. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER FOR TAILSMAN

On this 18th day of June, A. D. 1925, it appearing to the Court, that the regular Petit Jury Panel is insufficient for the trial of pending cases and that a Special Venire Facias should issue, it is ordered by the Court that the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, summon 6 Tailsmen from the bystanders to serve as Petit Jurors at the Special April, 1925 Term of said Court to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

It is further ordered by the Court, that a writ of Venire Facias be issued out of this Court, in due form, as provided by law, commanding the Marshal to summon said trailsman, summoned as aforesaid, to be and appear forthwith before said court, to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, forthwith, then and there to serve as Petit Jurors of the United States in and for said District at the Special April, 1925, term of said Court.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
TO THE MARSHAL OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Greeting:

We command you to summon without delay six (6) tailsman to be and appear before our District Court of the United States within and for the District aforesaid, at the United States Court room in the City of Tulsa Oklahoma, forthwith, then and there to serve as petit jurors for an during the Special April 1925, Term of said Court, and not depart the court without leave thereof.

WITNESS THE HONORABLE F. E. KENNAMER, Judge of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, this 18th day of June, A. D. 1925.

((SEAL)) H. P. Warfield, Clerk.,
By H.W. James, Deputy.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 18, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

TULSA, OKLAHOMA. JUNE 18, 1925.

In compliance with this order of the Court I have this day summoned the following persons for Petit Jury duty.

A. G. Marre, Perry Hodge, M. M. Shaver,
M. X. Kueler, R. L. De Bus, Waite Thomas.

Henry G. Beard,
United States Marshal.
By H. C. Coats, Deputy.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JUNE, 18, 1925.

CLARK WASSON, Receiver,	Plaintiff.)	
)	
vs.)	# 31 Law.
)	
MASSACHUSETTS BONDING AND)	
INSURANCE COMPANY,	Defendant.)	

On this 18th day of June, 1925, it was by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be stricken from present assignment.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CLARK WASSON, Receiver of)	
First National Bank of Sapulpa,	Plaintiff.)	
)	
vs.)	No. 33 Law.
)	
PERRY Mc KAY,)	
	Defendant.)	

ORDER.

Now, on this 18 day of June, 1925, this matter came on for hearing on the stipulation of parties to continue the cause from June, 18th,

And the Court having read the stipulation and being advised,

It is ordered that the cause be and the same hereby is stricken from the docket.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

Endorsed; Filed June, 18, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court, Roy Cornett,

MARY E. GRAYBILL,	Plaintiff.)	
)	
vs.)	# 1 Law.
)	
PACIFIC MUTUAL LIFE INS. Co.)	
	Defendant.)	

On this 18th day of June, 1925, it was ordered by the Court that the above entitled cause be stricken from present assignment.

CLARK WASSON, Receiver,	Plaintiff.)	
)	
vs.)	# 38 Law.
)	
A. N. WHITE,)	
	Defendant.)	

On this 18th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be stricken from present assignment.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

GENERAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, JUNE, 18, 1925.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	# 146 Crim.
vs.			
SAM STOKENBERRY,	Defendant.		

Comes now W. L. Coffey, Assistant United States District Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of one (1) year and one (1) day from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant Sam Stokenberry, unto the Warden of the said Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court, in my office at Tulsa, in said District, this 18th day of June, A. D. 1925.

((SEAL))

H. P. Warfield, Clerk,
By H. W. James, Deputy.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 18, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
HWJ.

Executed by delivering the body of the within named defendant to the Warden of the United States Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, July, 2nd. 1925.

Henry G. Beard, Marshal
Roy I Trozell, Deputy Marshal.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY E. GRAYBILL,	Plaintiff.	}	No. 1 Law.
vs.			
THE PACIFIC MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,	Defendant.		

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL.

The above styled and numbered action came on upon the dismissal, with prejudice of the plaintiff, and the Court finds that an order should be entered dismissing said cause with prejudice, at the cost of the plaintiff.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ordered, considered and adjudged that the above styled and numbered action be, and it is hereby dismissed, with prejudice at the cost of the plaintiff.

Dated this 18 day of June, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O. K. Moss & Farmer,
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 16, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court
L. W. J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM.

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, JUNE, 18, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GLADYS FRY,

Plaintiff.

vs.

PITTSBURG AND MIDWAY COAL
MINING COMPANY, a corporation.
Defendant.

No. 36 Law.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

On this June 18th, 1925, same being one of the regular judicial days of the Special April term of said Court, the above entitled action came on in due course to be heard upon the trial docket and the plaintiff appeared in person and by James S. Davenport, Esq., her attorney, and the defendant appeared by Judge A. J. Curran and James W. Cosgrove, its attorney and both parties announce ready for trial; thereupon a jury of twelve good and lawful men were duly chosen, qualified and sworn to hear the evidence and make a true deliverance according to law. Whereupon, counsel for the respective parties stated their contentions to the jury and the plaintiff offered evidence in support of the allegations of her amended petition and reply and the defendant offered evidence in support of the allegations of its answer and both parties rested. Thereupon counsel argued their respective contentions to the Jury and the Court delivered its instructions and the jury retired to consider their verdict. Thereafter the jury returned into court the following verdict:

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find the issues for the Defendant and against the Plaintiff.

Pursuant to said verdict in favor of the defendant, it is ordered and adjudged by the Court that plaintiff take nothing by her suit herein against the defendant and that the defendant go hence with its costs.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: FILED June, 18, 1925, H. P. Garfield, Clerk, By H. W. J. Deputy

Court adjourned until June, 19, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, JUNE, 19, 1925.

On this 19th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney.
W. F. Wolverson, Esq., Bailiff.
H. H. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER EXCUSING JURORS

On this 19th day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that C. C. Chisim, petit juror, heretofore empaneled, be excused from service for the term.

GUY PATTEN, Plaintiff.
vs.
ST. LOUIS SAN FRANCISCO RY. COMPANY. Defendant.
78 Law.

On this 19th day of June, 1925, defendant in above entitled cause was granted leave to withdraw its Special Appearance and Motion to Quash and was permitted to file its answer.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE DAYTON RUBBER MANUFACTURING COMPANY, of Delaware, a corporation
Plaintiff.
vs.
TULSA RUBBER CLEARING HOUSE, a copartnership composed of Harry M. Gorman and W. C. Berry, Defendants.
LAW No. 44.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

This cause came on to be heard on this 19th day of June, 1925, being the date regularly set for the trial hereof, upon the merits, and the plaintiff, Dayton Rubber Manufacturing Company, appeared by its counsel, Messrs. Rogers and Jones and Pickerel, and the Defendants, W. C. Berry and Harry M. Gorman, partners doing business under the name and style of Tulsa Rubber Clearing House, appeared by their counsel, W. E. Disney, Eugene O. Monett, and R. W. Stoutz, and both sides having announced ready, a jury was duly empaneled and evidence introduced and arguments of counsel heard.

And upon the conclusion of the evidence, the plaintiff asked leave to withdraw its amendment to the petition filed June, 17, 1925, which leave was granted, and said amendment to the petition was withdrawn.

Thereupon, the defendants asked leave to withdraw their counterclaim filed in this cause in and by their second defense incorporated in the amended answer heretofore filed, and leave having been granted as prayed for said counterclaim was withdrawn.

Thereupon, said issues having been submitted to said jury upon the instruction of the Court, said jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for the property sued for in the replevin action, and said verdict having been returned by said jury and filed in open court:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, JUNE, 19, 1925.

IT IS CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that the plaintiff do have and recover of the defendants the property sued for in the replevin action as described in the original petition in this cause and which was taken into possession by the writ of replevin issued in this cause, and the said writ of replevin is hereby sustained, and the property having been heretofore delivered to the possession of the plaintiff, the plaintiff is entitled to so retain the same.

It is further considered and adjudged that the plaintiff have and recover of the defendants the costs of this action, for which execution may issue.

It is further ordered by the Court that, in view of the withdrawal of litigated claims and the fact no exceptions are reserved by either party that now remain in the record, that all documentary evidence filed with the court stenographer in this cause may be returned to the parties who respectively filed the same.

Done in open court this 19th day of June, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the District Court of the
United States for the Northern District
of Oklahoma.

O. K. R.W. STOUTS, for Deft.
O. K. Rogers & Jones,
Atty's for Pltff.

ENDORSED Filed June, 19, 1925. H.P. Warfield. Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.F.

ROSE G. CREEGAN, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 52 Law.
EQUITABLE LIFE ASSURANCE CO.,)
Defendant.)

On this 19th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause was called for trial. All parties announce ready, Plaintiff is present in person and by Counsel, E. B. Hughes, Earl Foster, Streeter Speakman and W. H. Odell. Defendant is present by counsel, Snyder Owen and Lybrood. The following jury, to-wit: Oscar Anderson, R. E. Armstrong, Fred Bartle, H. C. Crider, Ed Dalton, Geo. Doty, Perry Hodge, T. E. Jesseph, Phil McMahan, M. X. Mueller, B. F. Mason, and A. R. Richards, is duly sworn and empaneled to try cause and a true verdict render. Now at this time it is by the Court ordered that cause be continued until June 20th., 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 137 Law.
ONE BUICK TOURING, Automobile,)
1925 Model, Motor #1286848. Defendant.)

ORDER FOR WARRANT AND MONITION.

Now on this 19th day of June, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard on a libel in this Court for, and on behalf of the United States of America against one Buick automobile, Motor #1286848, a more particular and detailed description thereof being unknown to libelant, praying the usual process and monition of this Court for an order condemning and forfeiting said automobile to said plaintiff as provided by Section 3450 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, and it appearing therefrom that on or about the 14th day of June, 1925, in Pawnee County, Oklahoma and within the

211 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, JUNE, 19, 1925.

Northern District of Oklahoma, said automobile was then and there being used in the removal, and for the deposit and concealment of certain intoxicating liquors, to-wit:

(2) PINTS CORN WHISKEY,

upon which the tax has not been paid to the United States as provided by law, with the intent then and there to defraud the United States of such said tax, and that the same was duly seized within the said Northern District of Oklahoma, and is now being held by the duly authorized officers of said plaintiff to abide the judgment of this Court, and it further appears that the following named persons, to-wit: Jeff Smith and W. C. Willard claim interest in said automobile.

It is therefore ordered that the usual process and monition of this Court in that behalf be made and issued, directing the United Marshal in and for said Northern District of Oklahoma to attach and arrest said automobile and take the same into his custody, and there safely keep until the further order of this Court, and that he give due notice by publication of a certified copy of this order in a newspaper printed and published in the County in which such seizure was made by one publication not less than 14 days before the day assigned for trial, and by notice posted in the most public manner for a period of 14 days at, or near, the place of the trial of this cause, and generally to all persons having or pretending to have any right, title or interest in or to said personal property, to appear before said Court in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on the 20th day of July 1925, at 10 o'clock A.M. of said day, and then and there make known their claims or allegations in such matter, and that the said Jeff Smith and W. C. Willard, if found in this district, be served with warrant and monition by the United States Marshal, and due return made thereof.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 19, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
L.W.J.

Court adjourned until June 20th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, JUNE 20, 1925.

On this 20th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April, session at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
 John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney.
 H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
 W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.
 H. H. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER EXCUSING PETIT JURORS.

On this 20th., day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court, that A. N. Eby, R. L. LeBus, R. E. Armstrong and C. H. Nash, four of the petit jurors heretofore empaneled be and they are excused from service for the term.

ROSE G. CREEGAN, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 52 Law.
 THE EQUITABLE LIFE ASSURANCE)
 COMPANY, Defendant.)

On this 20th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause comes on for further trial and all parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore, and the jury, except R. E. Armstrong, present, whereupon it is agreed by counsel that a new juror be qualified and sworn to fill the vacancy of R. E. Armstrong, whereupon M. M. Shaver, was qualified, empaneled and sworn to try said cause. Now at this time the Counsel for both plaintiff and defendant state their respective case to the jury and thereafter the plaintiff presents her evidence and proof and testimony of Earl Heilman, Dr. M.M. Emmett and Rose G. Creegan. The defendant presents its evidence and the testimony of Mike Geitsinger, and at this time the hour for adjournment of Court having arrived, the Court admonished the jury herein and further hearing is continued to June, 22, 1925.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK, Kansas City Mo., Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 53 Law.
 SECURITY STATE BANK, of Miami, Defendant.)

On this 20th., day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be stricken from present assignment.

Court adjourned until June, 22, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, JUNE, 22, 1925.

On this 22nd day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April session at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, E q., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.
H. H. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER THAT GRAND JURY RECONVENE.

On this 22nd day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that Grand Jury shall on this day reconvene, and said Grand Jury, as heretofore empaneled and sworn, are present in person as follows: R. A. Alexander, W. W. Banks, Lee Clinton, Leslie Courtney, Sam F. Campbell, F. F. Derbyshire, S. W. Doty, A. Drumright, C. F. Godby, L. Settle, John R. Hoskins, O. U. Hunter, Wm Johnston, Charles A. Nimerick, W. C. Spurgeon, and V. Wisdom.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs.
ONE CHRYSLER AUTOMOBILE, Motor No. 510511, Serial No. 10397 Defendant.
No. 104 Law.

ORDER.

Now on this 22 day of June, 1925, comes on for hearing the motion of W. C. Lawshaw, owner of the above described automobile involved in this action, and the Court being advised in the premises finds that said above action should be continued until the Fall Term of this Court, and set at a time subsequent to a trial on Criminal case No. 130, in this Court.

It is therefore ordered, that this cause be and the same is hereby continued until the fall term of this Court, at which time the same shall be set for trial subsequently to a trial on case No. 130 - Criminal.

Dated this 22 day of June, 1925,

F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the U. S. District Court in and for Northern District of Oklahoma.

O. K. Louis N. Stivers.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 22, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. by H.W.J.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs.
T. S. MORELAND, Defendant.
98 Crim.

On this 22nd. day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered sentence of defendant in above entitled cause be deferred until June 29, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL ARRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, JUNE, 22, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, Receiver for The
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LENAPAH,
OKLAHOMA. a corporation. Plaintiff.

vs.

J. H. McCONKEY, Defendant.

No. 90. Law.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 22nd. day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff for judgment, The plaintiff appears by his attorney E. E. Sams, and the defendant appears not, either in person or by attorney, and having been called three times in open court to answer of otherwise plead to plaintiff's petition, comes not and is by the court adjudged to be in default, and thereupon all parties present waive jury and the cause is tried to the court. The Court having examined the files and the service therein and having heard the evidence and the statement of counsel and being well and fully advised in the premises, finds:

That the defendant herein was duly and legally served with summons herein by the United States Marshal on the ___ day of May, 1925, more than thirty days prior to this date and has never filed answer or in any other way pled to the petition of plaintiff

The Court further finds that said defendant is indebted to plaintiff in the sum of \$1000.00, together with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from August 15, 1924, and for and on account of an assessment duly and legally made by the Comptroller of the Currency under Section 5151 of the Revised Laws of the United States, being a one hundred per cent assessment on all of the shares of the capital stock of the First National Bank of Lenapah, Oklahoma, including the assessment of Ten shares owned by said defendant at the time said The First National Bank of Lenapah, Oklahoma suspended payment and was taken over by a national bank examiner on or about the 20th day of November, 1923, and that said bank is now in process of liquidation by and through J. J. Houston, as receiver, plaintiff herein, under the direction of the Comptroller of the Currency that the defendant was duly and legally served with notice of said assessment and has failed and refused to pay the same.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that plaintiff, J. J. Houston, Receiver for the First National Bank of Lenapah, Oklahoma, a corporation, have and recover of the defendant, J. H. McConkey, judgment in the sum of \$1000.00 together with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from the 15th day of August, 1924, and for the costs of this suit.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
Roy Cornett Deputy

ROSE G. CREEGAN, Plaintiff.

vs.

THE EQUITABLE LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY.
Defendant.

62 Law.

On this 22nd. day of June, 1925, this cause comes on for further trial and all parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore, and the jury is each and every member present. The plaintiff present following witnesses W. S. McAtee, John Horton, Steven Ryan, May Brennon, Margaret Coyle, Jas. Loubrees, Ed Endon, Ola Longworth, Mary Gorman, W. H. Odell, R. W. Willis and Ralph Blake. Arguments of counsel are heard and at this time hour for adjournment of Court having arrived, the Court admonished the jury herein and the further hearing is continued to June, 23rd., 1925.

Court adjourned until June, 23, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM.

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, JUNE 23, 1925.

On this 23rd. day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma sitting in Special April Session, at Tulsa, Okla. met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. W. James, E q., U. S. Deputy Court Clerk
 John M. Goldsberry, E q. U. S. District Attorney,
 H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
 W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff
 H. H. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 73 CRIM
 MRS LEO CHASE, Defendant.)

On this 23rd. day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause, and defendant present by counsel, J. V. Long. And at this time it is ordered that the sentence heretofore imposed on June 5th., 1925, be vacated and set aside, and Judgment and Sentence be imposed whereby defendant be imprisoned for sixty days said confinement to run from date of imprisonment and fined \$100.00 .

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Mrs. Leo Chase, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the period of sixty (60) days from date of imprisonment, and that she make her fine unto the United States in the sum of \$100.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law,

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of said Court, at Tulsa, in said District, this 23rd. day of June, 1925.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk

((SEAL))

By H. W. James, Deputy

In accordance with the order of the Court I have committed Mrs Leo Chase to the custody of the Tulsa County Jailer this 23rd, day of June, 1925.

Henry G. Beard, Marshal.
 By H. W. Backus, Chief Deputy.

ENDORSED FILED June 25, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court,
 By H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FRED H. KELLY AND CHARLES)
 F. HOUGH, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 3 Law.
 MIDWEST AND GULF OIL CORPOR -)
 ATION, a corporation,)
 Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 23rd. day of June, 1925, being a day of the special April, 1925 term of this Court, this cause came on for hearing in open court pursuant to regular assignment thereof, and the plaintiff being present and represented by their counsel, Lashley & Rambo and I. H. Cox, and the defend-

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

ant being represented by its counsel, Rogers & Jones, and all parties having announced ready for trial and having waived a jury and the court having proceeded with the hearing of said case, the plaintiffs filed herein their motion suggesting that the burden of proof be upon the defendants, which motion was duly allowed and sustained by the Court and admitted by the defendant: and thereupon Mr. Remington Rogers, counsel for said defendant, made his opening statement for and in behalf of said defendant in this cause, after which the plaintiffs moved this Court for judgment herein in their favor and against the defendant upon the opening statement of counsel for the defendant; and the Court having been fully advised in the premises and having heard the argument of counsel, is of the opinion that said motion should be sustained and judgment granted in this cause in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendant as prayed for in plaintiffs' petition:

And thereupon the Court proceeded to hear the evidence and testimony submitted by the plaintiffs on the allowance to them of a reasonable attorney's fee for the bringing and filing of this action, and the Court having been advised in the premises and having heard the testimony and argument of counsel is of the opinion that the Plaintiffs should have and be granted a reasonable attorney's fee in this cause in the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1000.00):

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that plaintiffs have and recover of and from the defendant herein the sum of Ten Thousand, Five Hundred, Twenty-nine Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$10,529.50) together with interest on Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) thereof at the rate of seven per cent. (7%) per annum from January 1, 1924, until paid, and a reasonable attorney's fee in the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1000.00) and the costs of this action; to all of which judgment and order of the court the defendant herein excepts and it granted an exception by the Court:

And thereupon, upon the application of the defendant, it is ordered that execution in this cause be stayed for a period of 15 days from and after this date pending the making and filing of a superseadeas bond in the form and manner required by law and the rules of this court, and thereafter and in the event said bond is properly made, approved and filed, it is further ordered that said judgment and execution be further stayed, pending the final disposition of this cause on appeal.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. Lashley & Rambo,
I. H. Cox, Attorney's for Plaintiffs.

O. K. As to form.
Rogers & Jones, Attorney's for Deft.

ENDORSED: Filed June 23, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By L.W.J.

JOE KOSTOCHECK,	Plaintiff.)	
	vs.)	
ST. LOUIS SAN FRANCISCO RY. CO.	Defendant.)	# 80 Law.

On this 23rd. day of June, 1925, above entitled cause was passed.

ROSE G. CREEGAN,	Plaintiff.)	
	vs.)	
THE EQUITABLE LIFE ASSURANCE CO.	Defendant.)	# 52 Law.

On this 23rd. day of June, 1925, above entitled cause comes on for further hearing all parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore, and the jury is each and every member present. Arguments of

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, JUNE, 23, 1925.

counsel are continued from trial of June 22nd., 1925. The Court instructs the Jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn Bailiff to deliberate on their verdict herein.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OLD HONESTY OIL COMPANY, a corporation.	Complainant,)	
vs.)	No. 89.
ISAAC SHULER, et al.,	Defendants.)	

O R D E R.

Now on this the 23rd. day of June, 1925, came on to be heard the supplemental bill filed by complainant herein in aid of execution, and upon application of complainant the Court finds that a special master be appointed to take the testimony and report his conclusions of fact and recommendation of law to the Court.

It is therefore considered and ordered by the Court that Peter Deichman be and he is hereby appointed, by agreement of the parties, special master to hear the testimony in this cause upon the supplemental and amended petitions in aid of execution, and to report his conclusions of fact and recommendations of law to the court within 30 days from this date.

Done in open court this the 23rd day of June, 1925,

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 23, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk, By H.W.J. Deputy.

Court adjourned until June 24, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JUNE 24, 1925.

On this 24th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney,
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.
H. H. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation haveing been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 24th. day of June, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that Paul B. Mason, is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath perscribed by the Court is administered, and said Paul B. Mason is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GEORGE BONAHOON, Plaintiff.
vs. No. 40 Law.
ROY WICKHAM, ET AL Defendants.

BE IT REMEMBERED:

That on this 24th day of June, 1925, this cause regularly came on to be heard on the separate special appearance and motions to quash of the defendants, Roy Wickham, A. E. Moore, W. F. Wickham and Senus Graham, and at said time said defendants and each of them were present by their attorneys Andrews and Andrews, and said plaintiffs was present by his attorneys, Hagan and Gavin, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that said motions and each of them should be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE, Ordered, considered and adjudged by the Court that said separate special appearances and motions to quash of said defendants and each of them be and the same are hereby overruled, to which ruling and judgment of the Court said defendants and each of them excepted.

Whereupon, in open Court, said defendants, Roy Wickham, W. F. Wickham, A. E. Moore and Senus Graham, did each file a separate demurrer to the petition of the plaintiff herein, and the Court having considered said demurrers and each of them, is of the opinion that said demurrers and each of them should be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that said separate demurrers of said defendants be and the same are hereby overruled, to which ruling and judgment of the court, said defendants and each of them duly excepted.

Whereupon, instantner, said defendants filed their separate answers to the petition of the plaintiff herein.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.

O.K. Hagan & Gavin,
Attorneys for plaintiff.

O.K. Anderson & Anderson,
Attorneys for defendants.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JUNE, 24, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OLIVER MATTHEWS, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 THE CARTER OIL COMPANY, Defendant.)
 a corporation.) No. 54 Law.

O R D E R.

Now, on this 24th day of June, 1925, comes on for hearing the motion of the defendant to make the petition more definite and certain and to strike portions of the petition, and thereupon, counsel for plaintiff announced that he would confess the part of the motion requesting the petition to be made more definite and certain, and he asked and was given five days in which to file an amended petition.

Thereupon, the court, having heard the arguments of counsel upon the motion to strike portions of the petition, is of the opinion that the same should be sustained. It is, therefore, ordered that Paragraph 3 of the Third Cause of Action and the other matters contained in the petition to which the motion to strike is directed, be stricken from the petition, to which the plaintiff excepts, and defendant is given ten days in which to plead, to the amended petition.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ALBERT THOMAS, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 69 Law.
 CHARLEY CLAYTON, Defendant.)

On this 24th., day of June, 1925, defendants Motion to make more definite and certain is withdrawn, and exceptions allowed, and ten days granted defendants to answer.

ALBERT S. ROUTH, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 74 Law.
 ST. LOUIS SAN FRANCISCO RY. CO.)
 Defendant.)

On this 24th day of June, 1925, plaintiff's Motion to Remand is sustained.

MARY MOHAN, et al., Plaintiffs.)
 vs.) # 75 Law.
 ST. LOUIS SAN FRANCISCO, RY. CO.,)
 Defendants.)

On this 24th., day of June, 1925, it by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be stricken from present assignment.

104 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM.

TULSA, Okla.

THURSDAY, JUNE, 24, 1925

MOND CECIL, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 VILIE MINES, Defendant.) # 76 LAW.

On this 24th., day of June, 1925, defendants Motion to quash is withdrawn and ten days allowed defendants in which to plead.

TOY RIGGS, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 ST. LOUIS & SAN FRANCISCO RY. CO.) # 79 LAW.
 Defendants.)

On this 24th day of June, 1925, Motion to Strike is hereby sustained, and plaintiff is allowed ten day in which to amend his petition and defendants allowed twenty days to plead thereafter.

MARY ADAIR, Admr., Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 87 LAW.
 ST. LOUIS & SAN FRANCISCO RY. CO.)
 Defendants.)

On this 24th day of June, 1925, by agreement of counsel, above entitled cause is stricken from assignment.

LEO RITCHIE, a minor, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 81 LAW.
 MISSOURI PACIFIC RY. CO.,)
 Defendants.)

On this 24th day of June, 1925, Motion for new trial was taken under advisement, and time granted plaintiff to file Bill of Exceptions in event motion for new trial was overruled.

M. W. LEE, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 68 Law.
 TRANSCONTINENTAL OIL CO.,)
 Defendant.)

On this 24th day of June, 1925, demurrer, in above entitled cause, was taken under advisement.

ROSE G. CREEGAN, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 52 LAW.
 THE EQUITABLE LIFE ASSURANCE CO.,)
 Defendant.)

On this 24th day of June, 1925, the jury, in above entitled cause, return into open court in charge of sworn bailiff, and upon being called each answer and are present, all parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the jury report they are unable to agree upon a verdict. The Court orders said hearing a mistrial and the jury is discharged, and excused from further consideration of this cause.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL PRIL, 1925. TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, JUNE, 24, 1925.

GEROGE E. BENNETT, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 43 LAW.
 RIVERLAND COMPANY, Defendant.)

On this 24th day of June, 1925, Motion for New Trial, in above entitled cause, is overruled.

E. D. REED, Plaintiff)
 vs.) # 77 Law.
 CITY OF BARTLESVILLE, Defendant.)

On this 24th., day of June, 1925, demurrer, in above entitled cause, was by the Court, taken under advisement.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ANNA PROBASCO, Plaintiff.)
 Versus)
 BUSINESS MEN'S ASSURANCE) No. 106 LAW.
 COMPANY OF AMERICA, a)
 corporation. Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF RULING ON
 DEFENDANT'S DEMURRER.

Now on this 24th day of June, 1925, the demurrer filed by the defendant in this cause was presented, heard and considered by the Court, and by the Court overruled. To the overruling of the same the defendants excepts. Ten days to plead.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June 24, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
 H.W.J.

WM. K. HALE, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 95 LAW.
 CAPITOL LIFE INS. CO.,)
 Defendant.)

On this 24th day of June, 1925, plaintiffs motion to strike is overruled and demurrer to answer is hereby overruled and plaintiff allowed twenty days to reply.

W. FERRIER, PLAINTIFF.)
 VS.) # 37 Law.
 S. A. KENOYER AND)
 W. L. McWILLIAMS, Defendant.)

On this 24th day of June hearing on above entitled cause is by the court ordered passed.

388 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JUNE, 24, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ROSA SPYBUCK and NATHANIEL CHARLEY
an infant by her mother and next
friend, ROSA SPYBUCK, Plaintiffs,

vs.

LEMUEL CHARLEY, VERNON F. SEAMAN,
as guardian of LEMUEL CHARLEY, an in-
competent; THE BRITTON-JOHNSON OIL
COMPANY, a corporation; THE PRAIRIE OIL
AND GAS COMPANY, a corporation; THE
PRAIRIE PIPE LINE COMPANY, a corporation;
THE GULF PIPE LINE COMPANY, a corporation,
and THE SINCLAIR PIPE LINE COMPANY, a
corporation, Defendants.

No. 46 LAW.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

This cause coming on to be heard this 24th day of June, 1925, on the motion of defendant, Sinclair Pipe Line Company to transfer to the equity docket and to require plaintiffs to recast their pleadings, and plaintiffs appearing by their attorneys, Lewis and Wortman, and defendant Sinclair Pipe Line Company by its attorneys, Edw. H. Chandler, and R. W. Garrett, and the said motion being confessed by plaintiffs,

IT IS ADJUDGED BY THE COURT that the said motion be, and the same is hereby sustained, and that the equitable cause of action contained in plaintiffs petition be, and are hereby transferred to the equity docket of this court, to-wit, that praying for the cancellation of a cloud upon plaintiffs' title and for injunctive relief set forth in their first cause of action, and that set forth in plaintiffs' petition in their second cause of action, asking for an accounting against the defendants, and further that the plaintiffs' be required to recast their pleadings in said causes of action in accordance with the rules of equity and further that paragraph "EIGHT" in plaintiffs' first cause of action and paragraph "FIFTH" in their prayer for relief on their first cause of action, and their second cause of action be and are hereby stricken from plaintiffs' petition.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the plaintiffs' be given ten (10) days from this date to comply with this order and defendants be given fifteen (15) days after the expiration of said ten (10) days in which to plead.

F. E. Kennemer, Judge.

APPROVED:
LEWIS & WORTMAN,
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

EDW. H. CHANDLER,
RALPH W. GARRETT,
Attorneys for defendant,
Sinclair Pipe Line Company.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 24, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court Clerk
H.W.J. Deputy.

WILLIAM WARD, Plaintiff.

vs.

RAINBOW GASOLINE CO.,
Defendant.

36 LAW.

On this 24th day of June, 1925, demurrer, in above entitled cause is withdrawn and defendant allowed twenty days to answer.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

NORTHERN

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL PRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, JUNE, 24, 1925.

CLARK WASSON, Receiver, Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	# 39 Law.
EMERY JENNINGS, et al., Defendant.)	

On this 24th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause was stricken from present assignment.

H. S. ROBERTS, Admr. Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	# 120 Law.
A. T. & S. F. Ry. Co., Defendant.)	

On this 24th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause is hereby continued until June, 25, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MRS. OLIVER MATTHEWS, Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 55 Law.
THE CARTER OIL COMPANY, a corporation. Defendant.)	

O R D E R.

Now, on this 24th day of June, 1925, comes on for hearing the motion of the defendant to strike parts of the petition, and the court, having heard the arguments of counsel, is of the opinion that such motion should be overruled. It is, therefore, ordered that such motion be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling the defendant excepts, and defendant is given ten days in which to plead further.

F. E. Kennemer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 24, 1925, H. P. Watfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court Roy Cornett.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GEORGE E. BENNETT, Complainant,	{	
vs.	{	No. 43 LAW.
RIVERLAND COMPANY, a corporation. Defendant.	{	

ORDER OVERRULING MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.

Now on this the 24th day of June, 1925, being the day on which this cause was regularly set by an order of court for hearing the motion, and the amendment to the motion, for a new trial filed herein, the complainant appearing in person and by his attorney, and the defendant appearing by its officers and its attorneys; whereupon the complainant tendered to the Court witnesses and evidence for the purpose of substantiating the allegations of fact alleged in his amendment to the motion for new trial, and of newly discovered evidence, and announced to the Court that he had witnesses present to introduce testimony to prove said allegations, which tender and testimony the Court declined to hear on the ground that the same was immaterial, to which action and ruling of the court complainant excepted and

108 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JUNE, 24, 1925.

excepts. Whereupon, the Court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises finds that the said motion for a new trial, and the amendment thereto, are not well taken and that the same in all things should be overruled.

It is, therefore, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the motion for a new trial, and the amendment thereto, filed herein by leave of the Court, be and the same are hereby overruled, to which action and judgment of the Court complainant duly excepts, and exception allowed.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 24, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk, By H.W.J.

ORDER EXCUSING PETIT JURORS AND ORDER MARSHAL TO PAY PER DIAM & MILEAGE

On this 24th., day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that the petit jurors be excused for the term and that the Marshal of this District pay the Petit Jurors for the Special April Term of Court their mileage and attendance as shown by the Record of Attendance.

Court adjourned until June, 225th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, JUNE, 25, 1925.

On this 25th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, at Tulsa, Okla. met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U.S. District Court.
 John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney
 H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
 W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.
 H. H. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER EXCUSING GRAND JURORS FOR TERM AND TO PAY MILEAGE AND PER DIEM.

On this 25th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the Grand Jurors for the Special April 1925 Term of Court be excused and the Marshal of the Northern District shall pay said Grand Jurors their mileage and per diem as shown by the record of attendance.

RETURN OF GRAND JURY

On this 25th day of June, 1925, comes the Grand Jury into open Court and upon being called, each answer his name and is present. Thereupon the Grand Jury being asked by the Court if they have anything to present and through their foraman answer they have, and present to the Court the seventy four true bills, which are examined by the Court, ordered filed and numbered in open court in the presence of the Grand Jury, and which said indictments are as follows; and the amount of bond set opposite each respective name as so ordered by the Court.

#231	United States	vs.	Elmer Cox	\$ 5000.00
#232	"	"	J. J. Hull	2500.00
#233	"	"	Joe Cox	2500.00
#234	"	"	Gratt Rogers	1000.00
#235	"	"	J. Walter Henney	750.00
#236	"	"	Frank Williams	2500.00
#237	"	"	Jim Renfro	4000.00
#238	"	"	Oscar Olsen	1500.00
#239	"	"	Mrs Staggs	3500.00
#240	"	"	Roy Johnson	5000.00
#241	"	"	George Glover	2500.00
#242	"	"	Reese Thompson	1000.00
#243	"	"	R. L. Hampton	1000.00
#244	"	"	Homer Buchanan &	
			V. N. Church	1500.00
#245	"	"	Claude Couch,	3500.00
			R.W. Basey	1500.00
			Bill Daniels	1500.00
#246	"	"	Eli Vann and	
			Louis Mickle	2500.00
#247	"	"	Virgil Gilbert	500.00
#248	"	"	Alex McKay	2500.00
			Thomas Connell	1000.00
			William Brown	1000.00
#249	"	"	Pearl Spencer	3500.00
#250	"	"	Helen Anderson	3500.00
#251	"	"	Little Love	4000.00
#252	"	"	Luenis Morris	1000.00
#253	"	"	C. M. Bailey	2500.00
"			D. M. Rhodes	2500.00
"			C.F. Bailey	2500.00
"			Trigger Ware	5000.00
#254	"	"	Lafe Lewellen	1500.00
#255	"	"	Harry Sherrill	7500.00
#256	"	"	Maurice Anderson	
			& Jack Anderson	5000.00
#257	"	"	Jasper Cox &	3500.00
			William Cox	7500.00
#258	"	"	Jasper Cook &	3500.00
			William Cook	3500.00

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, JUNE 25, 1925.

#259	United States	vs.	Frank S. Hunt	5000.00
#260.	"	"	Walter Collins	1000.00
"	"	"	Carl Jones	1000.00
"	"	"	P. J. Becker	3500.00
"	"	"	Jess Jenkins	1000.00
#261	"	"	W. N. Jacobs & C. E. Eshleman	1000.00
#262	"	"	Harris Boyd	5000.00
#263	"	"	Fate Colohough & Clarence Cope	1500.00
#264	"	"	Henry Revis	3000.00
#265	"	"	Roy McClintock	1500.00
#266	"	"	O. C. Jefferson &	2500.00
"	"	"	Stella Wilson & Laura White	
#267	"	"	John Vann	5000.00
#268	"	"	J. J. French	2500.00
#269	"	"	Charles Holland	1500.00
#270	"	"	Joe Lynch Viola Lynch	1500.00 1000.00
#271	"	"	J. I. (Whitey) Barnett and M. T. Marso	5000.00
#272	"	"	R. E. Van Valken- burg	1000.00
#273	"	"	T. S. Moreland	2000.00
#274	"	"	Joe Murphy	2000.00
#275	"	"	Frank Perry & William Johnson	5000.00
#276	"	"	Ira Bolton	2500.00
#277	"	"	Jimmy Graham, Jessie Merrit, Audine Merrit, Seth Lewis, Deman Lewis, & John O'Fallon & Roy O'Fallon	5000.00
#278	"	"	Herman McDaniel	2500.00
#279	"	"	John Herneberger	3000.00
#280	"	"	J. P. Harding	5000.00
#281	"	"	John Ivers and Mrs John Ivers	3000.00
#282	"	"	Hohn Wadsworth Sr & John Wadsworth Jr.	3000.00
#283	"	"	Harry Neal Bob, Neal & Jack Neal	3000.00
#284	"	"	Harry Neal, Bob Neal & John Neal	5000.00
#285	"	"	Ray Armstage, Joe Ragsdale	2500.00
#286	"	"	Bill Cooper	5000.00
#287	"	"	Rich Sears & O.E. Robbins	2500.00
#288	"	"	G. W. Harding & Charles Bear	1000.00
#289	"	"	John Sanders	5000.00
#290	"	"	Lodie Reniek & Julia Reniek	1000.00
#291	"	"	Audine Merritt	5000.00
#292	"	"	Sam Ingram Paul Jones Murtle La Belle Mrs C.A. Palmer	500.00 500.00 .500.00 2500.00
#293	"	"	George Nelson & Rufe Rolland	3000.00
#294	"	"	J. I. Barnett & Mrs Annie Barnett	5000.00 3000.00
#295	"	"	Charlie Thomas	2500.00
#296	"	"	B. W. Adams Dewey Johnson	500.00 500.00
#297	"	"	W. M. Penick	500.00
#298	"	"	Minnie Edwards	2500.00
#299	"	"	Asm (Alias Slim) Elam and C. A. Gentry	5000.00 3000.00

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY JUNE, 25, 1925.

#300	United States	vs.	Albert Pooler	2500.00
#301	" "	"	J. C. Howell	2000.00
#302	" "	"	James Merritt and Audine Merritt	4000.00
#303	" "	"	Jim Graham	5000.00
#304	" "	"	John O'Fallon, Damon Lewis, Roy O'Fallon, Jess Merritt, Audine Merritt, Seth Lewis Jim Graham.	5000.00
305	" "	"	E. C. Neal and Myrtle Neal	3000.00

ENDORSED: Filed June, 25, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

UNITED STATES	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	#158 Crim.
DOVE FRENCE.	Defendant.)	

On this 25th day of June, 1925, the bond forfeiture heretofore entered is at this time ordered set aside and said bond reinstated and the defendant, in above entitled cause, released upon reinstatement of bond.

Court adjourned until June, 26th., 1925.

200 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY JUNE, 26, 1925.

On this 26th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in special April Session at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment, Hon F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., U. S. Court Clerk ;
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly had the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WORLD PUBLISHING COMPANY, Plaintiff.
vs. No. 56 Law.
JAMES C. DAVIS, AGENT Defendant.
TULSA PAPER COMPANY, Plaintiff.
vs. No. 57 Law.
JAMES C. DAVIS, AGENT Defendant.
DEMOCRAT PRINTING COMPANY Plaintiff.
VS. No. 58 Law.
JAMES C. DAVIS, AGENT Defendant.

ORDER.

Upon application of defendant and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered and adjudged that defendant have an extention of time up to and including August 15th, 1925, within which to prepare and file brief in the above numbered and styled causes.

Dated this 26th day of June, 1925,

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. Shea & Shea, Attorneys for Plaintiffs.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 26th day of June, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that G. R. Horner, and Lafayette Walker, are qualified for admission to the Bar of this Court, the oath perscribed by the court is administered and said G. R. Horner and Lafayette Walker are declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 SESSION

TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, JUNE 26, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WORLD PUBLISHING COMPANY,	Plaintiff)	
vs.)	
JAMES C. DAVIS, Agent,	Defendant.)	No. 56 Law.
TULSA PAPER COMPANY,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	
JAMES C. DAVIS, Agent.	Defendant.)	No. 57 Law.
DEMOCRAT PRINTING COMPANY,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	
JAMES C. DAVIS, Agent.	Defendant.)	No. 58 Law.

O R D E R.

Upon application of defendant and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered and adjudged that defendant have an extension of time up to and including August 15th, 1925, within which to prepare and file brief in the above numbered and styled causes.

Dated this 26th day of June, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O. K. Shea & Shea,
Attorneys for Plaintiffs.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 26, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WORLD PUBLISHING COMPANY,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	
JAMES C. DAVIS, AGENT,	Defendant.)	No. 56 Law.
TULSA PAPER COMPANY,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	
JAMES C. DAVIS, Agent.	Defendant.)	No. 57 Law.
DEMOCRAT PRINTING COMPANY,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	
JAMES C. DAVIS, Agent.	Defendant.)	No. 58 Law.

O R D E R.

Upon application of defendant and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered and adjudged that defendant have an extension of time up to and including August 15th, 1925, within which to prepare and file brief in the above numbered and styled causes.

Dated this 26th day of June, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O. K. SHEA & SHEA,
Attorneys for Plaintiffs.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 26, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until June, 27th, 1925.

204 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, JUNE, 27, 1925.

On this 27th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Session at Tulsa, Okla. met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., U. S. District Court Clerk
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation haveing been duly had the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Joe Kostachek, Plaintiff.
vs.
St. Louis-San Francisco Railway Company, Defendant.
No. 80 Law.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On this 27 day of June, 1925, the above numbered and styled cause coming on for hearing and it appearing to the court that plaintiff has filed his stipulation in writing herein that said cause has been in all things compromised, settled and adjusted by and between the parties, plaintiff and defendant, and agreeing that said cause may be dismissed at cost of plaintiff and with prejudice to the bringing of another action.

IT IS HEREFORRE ordered, adjudged and decreed that said cause be, and the same is hereby dismissed at cost of plaintiff, with prejudice to his right to bring a new action in this behalf.

Done in open court the day and year first above written.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 27, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs.
ONE FORD TOURING, Automobile, 140 Law.
Motor #9617012, License #68F940,
1924 model, Defendant.

ORDER FOR WARRANT AND MONITION.

Now on this 26th day of June, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard on a libel in this Court for, and on behalf of the United States of America against one Ford Touring automobile, Motor #7617012, License #68F940 a more particularly and detailed description thereof being unknown to libellant, praying the usual process and monition of this Court for an order condemning and forfeiting automobile to said plaintiff as provided by Section 3450 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, and it appearing therefrom that on or about the 24th day of June, 1925, in Creek County, Oklahoma, and within the Northern District of Oklahoma, said automobile was then and there being used in the removal, and for the deposit and concealment of certain intoxicating liquors, to-wit:

(2) TWO GALLONS OF CORN WHISKEY,

upon which the tax has not been paid to the United States as provided by law with the intent then and there to defraud the United States of such said tax and that the same was duly seized within the said Northern District of

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, JUNE, 27, 1925.

Oklahoma, and is now being held by the duly authorized officers of said plaintiff to abide the judgment of this Court, and it further appears that the following named persons, to-wit; J. D. Hornberger and George Hunter claim interest in said automobile.

It is therefore ordered that the unusual process and monition of this Court in that behalf he made and issued, directing the United States Marshal in and for said Northern District of Oklahoma to attach and arrest said automobile and take the same into his custody, and there safely keep until the further order of this Court, and that he give due notice by publication of a certified copy of this order in a newspaper printed and published in the County in which such seizure was made by one publication not less than 14 days before the day assigned for trial, and by notice posted in the most public manner for a period of 14 days at, or near, the place of the trial of this cause, and generally to all persons having or pretending to have any right, title or interest in or to said personal property, to appear before said Court in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on the 24th day of July, 1925, at 10 o'clock A.M. of said day, and then and there make known their claims or allegations in such matter, and that the said J. D. Hornberger and George Hunter, if found in this District, be served with warrant and monition by the United States Marshal, and due return made thereof.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 27th., 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L. W. Jones, Deputy.

H. S. ROBERTS, Plaintiff,)
vs.) # 120 Law.
A. T. & S. F. RY. CO. Defendant.)

On this 27th day of June, 1925, the Motion to Remand in above entitled cause was taken under advisement. And at this time is was ordered by the Court that a copy of Probate Proceedings in said cause be filed herein, viz; Letters of Administration and Order of appointment of Agent by non resident.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 27th, day of June, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that P. A. Choppell is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath perscribed by the Court is administered and said P. A. Choppell is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

W. FERRIER, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 37 Law.
S. A. KENOYER, &)
W. B. McWILLIAMS, Defendants.)

On this 27th day of June, 1925, the defendant in above entitled cause files Motion to require the Plaintiff to elect; whether he will affirm the alleged contracts described in the petition heretofore filed, and sue the defendant in law for damages or whether he will disaffirm said alleged contracts and sue in equity for rescision thereof, and said motion is hereby sustained as to both defendants and exceptions allowed. Whereupon thirty days is allowed plaintiff to amend petition and ten days allowed defendants to plead.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL BRIL, 1925 TERM.

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, JUNE, 29, 1925.

On this 29th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Session, at Tulsa, Okla. met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
 John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney
 E. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
 W. F. Wolverton, Esq., U. S. Bailiff.
 Marshal Moore, Esq., Bailiff.

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION.

On this 29th., day of June, 1925, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and that bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the sum of Twenty-Five Hundred Dollars each:

# 306	United States	vs.	Roy Bratton,
# 307	" "	"	Adolph Taylor.
# 308	" "	"	Lennie Dooley,
# 309	" "	"	W. A. Jones.
# 310	" "	"	Walter Morris.
# 311	" "	"	Park Magness.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff,	}	Crim #.308
vs.			
Lennie Dooley,	Defendant.		

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, U. S. District Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by Attorney, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty. Judgment and sentence as follows:

It is thereupon now by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Lonnie Dooley, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the Defendant Lonnie Dooley, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the information be imprisoned, in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from this date, or, until released by due process of law, And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	Crim 307.
vs.			
ADOLPH TAYLOR,	Defendant.		

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, United States Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause, defendant present in person and arraigned and enters plea of guilty to each count. Judgment and sentence is as follows:

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Adolph Taylor for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM.

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, JUNE 29, 1925.

said fine is paid or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered order and adjudged that the defendant Adolph Taylor for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Grim # 306
ROY BRATTON, Defendant.)

On this 29th, day of June, 1925, Comes John M. Goldsberry, United States District Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and arraigned and enters plea of guilty to each count therein. Judgment and sentence is as follows:

It is thereupon now by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Roy Bratton, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Roy Bratton for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or, cause to be delivered the body of the said defendant unto the Keeper of the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 122 Crim.
CLIFF A DREW, Defendant.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes U. S. District Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person whereupon judgment and sentence is passed and is as follows:

It is thereupon now by the Court, considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Cliff C. Drew, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of two (2) years from date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law. And it further

Considered, Ordered and adjudged that the defendant Cliff C. Drew, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas and confined for the term of two (2) years from date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law, and it is further

Ordered by the Court that said sentence of confinement shall run concurrently, and it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA
 SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE 29, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff,)
 vs.)
 CLAUD COUCH, Defendants) Crim # 245.
 RAY W. BASEY AND)
 BILL DANIELS.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendants present in person and arraigned and each enter pleas of guilty as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein. Judgment and sentence of defendants Roy W. Basey and Bill Daniels, deferred until Vinita term of Court. Judgment and sentence of Claud Couch is as follows:

It is thereupon now by the Court, considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant ~~Claud Couch~~, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from this date, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Claud Couch, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the indictment, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of the said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 249 Crim.
 PEARL SPENCER, Defendant.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty to count 1 and not guilty to count two. Whereupon the court being fully advised in the premises it is ordered that count two be dismissed. Judgment and sentence as to count one is as follows:

It is thereupon now by the court, considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Pearl Spencer, for the crime by her committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of five (5) months from this date, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further.

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff)
 vs.) # 256 CRIM.
 MAURICE ANDERSON, Defendant.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and is arraigned and enters plea of guilty, as charged in indictment heretofore filed herein. Judgment and sentence as follows:

IT IS THEREUPON NOW BY THE COURT, considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Maurice Anderson, for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at

200 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE 29, 1925.

Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of seven (7) years from date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Crim 262.
HORRIS BOYD, Defendant.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein. Judgment and sentence as follows:

It is thereupon now by the Court, considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Horris Boyd, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of five (5) years from the date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Horris Boyd for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of Five (5) Years from date of delivery, or,, until released by due process of law. And it is further

CONSIDERED, Ordered and adjudged that the defendant, Horris Boyd, for the crime by him committed as charged in the third count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of five (5) years from date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that said sentence of confinement shall run concurrently. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth Kansas, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Crim 267
JOHN VANN, Defendant.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person arraigned and enters plea of Guilty to counts one and two and not guilty as to count three. Whereupon Count three was dismissed by the Court and judgment and sentence is as follows:

It is thereupon now by the Court, considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant John Vann, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined the term of five (5) years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant John Vann, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of Five (5) years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY JUNE, 29, 1925.

Ordered by the Court that said sentences of confinement shall run concurrently, And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth Kansas, without delay.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
)	
vs.)	
)	#275 Crim.
FRANK PERRY,	Defendant.)	

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person and arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein. Judgment and sentence is as follows:

It is thereupon now by the Court, considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Frank Perry, for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of five (5) years from this date, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
)	
vs.)	No. 287 Criminal.
O. E. ROBBINS,	Defendant.)	

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes Jno.M. Goldsberry, United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty to each count as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein. Judgment and sentence as follows:

It is thereupon now by the Court, considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant O. E. Robbins, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of one (1) year and One (1) day from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant O. E. Robbins for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the indictment, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, orderer and adjudged that the defendant O. E. Robbins for the crime by him committed as charged in the third count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of One (1) year and One (1) day from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law, And it is further

Ordered by the Court that said sentence of confinement shall run Concurrently. And it is further.

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY JUNE, 29, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Crim # 295.
CHARLIE THOMAS, Defendant.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person enters plea of guilty as charged in indictment heretofore filed herein. Judgment and sentence as follows:

It is thereupon now by the Court, considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Charlie Thomas, for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty days (60) days from this date, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Keeper of the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 115 Law.
ONE DODGE AUTOMOBILE,)
Motor #A 263168 Car)
Number A 190361.)

ORDER RELEASING CAR AND DIRECTING THAT
SAME BE DELIVERED BACK TO OWNER FORTHWITH.

Now on this 29th day of June, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard upon the application of one Charles Brown, owner of one Dodge Automobile car No. A-190361, Motor #A- 263168. License # 277848, 1925, Oklahoma. And the Court having read said application, finds, that all the material allegations therein contained are true, that the Grand Jury sitting heretofore, to-wit: on the 23rd day of June, 1925, failed and refused to indict the said Charles Brown, driver of said car at time same was seized at Hominy Osage County, State of Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, and that said automobile should and of right ought to be returned to the said Charles Brown.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the said automobile be restored to the said Charles Brown forthwith, and the same is hereby restored to him, and the custodian thereof is directed to deliver possession of the above described Automobile to Charles Brown, or to M. E. Becker, his attorney at Cleveland, Oklahoma.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, June, 29, 1925, in the Northern District of Oklahoma.

F. E. Kennamer,

Judge of said Court.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 29, 1925. H.P. Wafield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

244 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPRING L APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY JUNE, 29, 1925.

or, until released by due process of law, And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver of cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 241 Crim.
GEORGE GLOVER, Defendant.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty in true name of John Glover. Judgment and sentence is as follows:

It is thereupon now by the Court, considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant John Glover for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from this date, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Keeper of the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 311 Crim.
PARK MAGNESS, Defendant.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person and arraigned and enters plea of guilty. Judgment and sentence as follows:

It is thereupon now by the court, considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Park Magness for the crime by him committed as charged in the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of the said defendant unto the Keeper of the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 309 Crim.
W. A. JONES, Defendant.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant arraigned and enters plea of guilty. Judgment and sentence is as follows:

It is thereupon now by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant W. A. Jones, for the crime by him committed as charged in the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 SESSION, TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, JUNE 29, 1925

until released by due process of law, And it is further
Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be
delivered the body of the defendant unto the Keeper of the Tulsa County
Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Crim #310.
WALTER MORRIS, Defendant.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, United
States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause.
Defendant is present in person and arraigned and enters plea of guilty. It
is by the Court ordered that defendant be fined \$50.00 on execution.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Crim #250
HELEN ANDERSON, Defendant.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, comes Jon M. Goldsberry, United
States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause.
Defendant is present in person, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to
each count as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein, whereupon
judgment and sentence is passed upon said defendant which is as follows:

It is thereupon now by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged
that the defendant Helen Anderson, for the crime by her committed as charged
in the first count of the indictment, be imprisoned in House of Correction,
North Milwaukee, Wisconsin, (place designated by the Attorney General) and
confined for the term of One (1) year and One (1) day from date of delivery,
or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Helen Ander-
son for the crime by her committed as charged in the second count of the in-
dictment, be imprisoned in the House of Correction north Milwaukee, Wisconsin,
(place designated by the attorney General) and confined for the term of one
(1) year and one (1) day from date of delivery, or, until released by due
process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant, Helen Ander-
son, for the crime by her committed as charged in the third count of the in-
dictment be imprisoned in the House of Correction, North Milwaukee, Wisconsin,
(place designated by the Attorney General) and confined for the term of One
(1) year and One (1) day from date of delivery, or, until released by due
process of law. And it is further

ORDERED by the Court that said sentence of confinement shall run
concurrently. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be de-
livered the body of the said defendant unto the House of Correction, North
Milwaukee, Wisconsin, without delay.

Court adjourned until June, 30, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM.

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, JUNE, 30, 1925.

On this 30th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., United States District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., United States Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.
Marshall Moore, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff)
vs.) No. 142 Law.
ONE FORD COUPE, Automobile,)
Motor #825222, License #389F292,)
192 Model, Defendant.)

ORDER FOR WARRANT AND MONITION.

Now on this 29th day of June, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard on a libel in this Court for and on behalf of the United States of America against one Ford Coupe automobile, Motor #8252227, License #389F292, a more particularly and detailed description thereof being unknown to libellant, praying the usual process and monition of this Court for an order condemning and forfeiting said automobile to said plaintiff as provided by Section 3450 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, and it appearing therefrom that on or about the 9th day of June, 1925, in Osage County, State of Oklahoma and within the Northern District of Oklahoma, said automobile was then and there being used in the removal, and for the deposit and concealment of certain intoxicating liquors, to-wit:

About One-Half pint Corn Whiskey,

upon which the tax had not been paid to the United States as provided by law, with the intent then and there to defraud the United States of such said tax, and that the same was duly seized within the said Northern District of Oklahoma, and is now being held by the duly authorized officers of said plaintiff to abide the judgment of this Court, and it further appears that the following named person to-wit: H. A. Fortman claim an interest in said automobile.

It is therefore ordered that the usual process and monition of this Court in that behalf be made and issued, directing the United States in and for said Northern District of Oklahoma to attach and arrest said automobile and take the same into his custody, and there safely keep until the further order of this Court, and that he give due notice by publication of a certified copy of this order in a newspaper printed and published in the County in which such seizure was made by one publication not less than 14 days before the day assigned for trial, and by notice posted in the most public manner for a period of 14 days at, or near, the place of the trial of this cause, and generally to all persons having or pretending to have any right, title or interest in or to said personal property to appear before said Court in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on the 27th day of July, 1925, at 10 o'clock A.M. of said day, and then and there make known their claims or allegations in such matter and that the said H. A. Fortman if found in this District, be served with warrant and monition by the United States Marshal, and due return made thereof.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 30, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By L.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, JUNE, 30, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)

vs.)

No. 143 Law.)

ONE FORD TOURING, Automobile,)
Motor #8454696, License #165F218,)
1925 Model. Defendant.)

ORDER FOR WARRANT AND MONITION.

Now on this 29th day of June, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard on a libel in this Court for, and on behalf of the United States of America against one Ford Touring Automobile, Motor, #8454696, License # #165F218, a more particularly and detailed description thereof being unknown to libellant, praying the usual process and monition of this Court for an order condemning and forfeiting said Automobile to said plaintiff as provided by Section 3450 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, and it appearing therefrom that on or about the 29th day of March, 1925, in Osage County, State of Oklahoma and within the Northern District of Oklahoma, said automobile was then and there being used in the removal, and for the deposit and concealment of certain intoxicating liquors, to-wit:

ONE GALLON OF CHOCTAW BEER, A preparation capable of being used as a beverage and containing as much as 1/2 of 1% of alcohol, measured by volume,

upon which the tax had not been paid to the United States as provided by law, with the intent then and there to defraud the United States of such said tax, and that the same was duly seized within the said Northern District of Oklahoma, and is now being held by the duly authorized officers of said plaintiff to abide the judgment of this Court, and it further appears that the following named persons, to-wit: Edward Demarest and Donald McCormick claim an interest in said automobile.

It is therefore ordered that the usual process and monition of this Court in that behalf be made and issued, directing the United States in and for said Northern District of Oklahoma to attach and arrest said automobile and take the same into his custody, and there safely keep until the further order of this Court, and that he give due notice by publication of a certified copy of this order in a newspaper printed and published in the County in which such seizure was made by one publication not less than 14 days before the day assigned for trial, and by notice posted in the most public manner for a period of 14 days at, or near, the place of the trial of this cause, and generally to all persons having or pretending to have any right, title or interest in or to said personal property, to appear before said Court in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on the 27th day of July 1925, at 10 o'clock A.M. of said day, and then and there make known their claims or allegations in such matter, that the said Edward Demarest and Donald McCormick if found in this district, be served with warrant and monition by the United States Marshal, and due return made hereof.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June 30, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
by L.W.J.

Court adjourned until July, 1, 1925.

233 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 1, 1925

On this 1st day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kenemer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., United States District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., United States Marshal.
W. W. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATE, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
O. C. JEFFERSON, STELLA WILSON,) # 266 Crim.
and LAURA WHITE. Defendants.)

On this 1st day of July, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst., U. S. Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendants present in person and by counsel, arraigned and defendants Stella Wilson and Laura White enter pleas of not guilty and it is by the Court ordered that said cause as to said Stella Wilson and Laura White be, and same is, dismissed. Defendant, O. C. Jefferson is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein, whereupon it is by the Court ordered that judgment and sentence as to O. C. Jefferson be entered, which is as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant O. C. Jefferson, for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of 60 days from this date, and that he pay a fine unto the United States of America in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant O. C. Jefferson unto the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 298 Crim.
MINNIE EDWARDS, Defendant.)

On this 1st day of July, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty. Whereupon judgment and sentence is passed upon said defendant which is as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court, here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Minnie Edwards, for the crime by her committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from this date, and that she pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant Minnie Edwards unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APPLIC. 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, JULY, 1, 1925.

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION

On this 1st day of July, 1925, comes United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the sum of

#312 United States vs. Sam Cooper,
#313 " " " " Geo. O'Neal.

ORDER ASSIGNING R. L. WILLIAMS U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE to
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
EIGHTH CIRCUIT.

In my judgment the public interests require the designation and appointment of a District Judge of this Circuit to hold and assist in holding the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma in place or in aid of the District Judge of that District:

I do, therefore, by these presents, designate and appoint the Honorable R. L. Williams, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, to hold or assist in holding the District Court of the United States in and for the several divisions of the Northern District of Oklahoma at the times and place appointed by law for holding said Court from this date until January, 1, 1927 in place or in aid of the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, United States District Judge for the said Northern District of Oklahoma.

WITNESS my hand this 29th day of June, A. D. 1925,

Kimbrough Stone,
Senior Circuit Judge.

The above assignment is made without intending to determine the present jurisdiction of either Judge R. L. Williams or Judge Franklin E. Kennamer in the former Eastern District of Oklahoma but to remove all questions of the jurisdiction of either Judge to dispose of causes and matters filed therein before the organization of the Northern District of Oklahoma.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN
AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GEORGE E. BENNETT, Complainant,)
vs.)
RIVERLAND COMPANY,) No. 43 Law.
a corporation. Defendant.)

C I T A T I O N.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE RIVERLAND COMPANY,
A CORPORATION, GREETING:

You are hereby notified that in a certain case at law in the United States District Court in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, where in George E. Bennett is complainant and Riverland Company, a corporation is defendant, a writ of error has been allowed the complainant therein to the United States District Court within and for the Northern District, and you are hereby cited and admonished to appear in the United States Court of Appeals at St. Louis, Missouri, sixty (60) days after the date of this cita-

253 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925, TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, JULY, 1, 1925.

tion, to show cause, if any there be, why the judgment appealed from should not be corrected and speedy justice done the parties in that behalf.

Witness, the Hon. Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court within and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, this the 1st day of July, A.D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

Service of above citation is hereby accepted on this July, 13, th., 1925.

George S. Ramsey, Edgar A. de Muels,
William I. Martin,
Counsel for Deft. in Error.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 1, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H. J.

Court adjourned until July, 2, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JULY 2, 1925.

On this 2nd day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April, Session, at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

OFFICIAL BOND.

FORM P.O.515

HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, That we Herbert W. James of Tulsa, Oklahoma, as Principal and the Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Connecticut, having its principal office in the City of Hartford, Connecticut (hereinafter called Surety) as "Surety" are held and firmly bound unto UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Washington D. C. in the sum of ----Five Thousand and No/100 ---Dollars---(\$5000.00) lawful money of the United States, to the payment whereof, well and truly to be made and done, the "Principal" binds himself, his heirs, executors and administrators, and the "Surety" binds itself, its successors and assigns, jointly and severally, firmly by these presents:

SIGNED, sealed and dated this 2nd day of July, A. D. Nineteen Hundred and Twenty Five.

THE CONDITION OF THE FOREGOING OBLIGATION IS SUCH, that whereas, the "Principal" has been duly elected or appointed Deputy Clerk in the United States Dist. Court in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma for the term beginning April, 27th., 1925, and ending indefinitely 19__

Now, Therefore, if the said "Principal" shall, during the period beginning April, 27th, 1925, and ending indefinitely 19__, well and faithfully discharge all the duties and trusts imposed upon him by reason of his election or appointment as said Deputy Clerk except as hereinafter limited, and honestly account for all monies coming into his hands as said Deputy Clerk according to law, then this obligation shall be null and void, otherwise, to be and remain in full full force and virtue.

This Bond is executed by the "Surety" upon the following express conditions, which shall be conditions precedent to the right of recovery hereunder;

FIRST: That the "Surety" shall not be liable to the said United States of America for any loss occasioned by any act of omission of the said "Principal" nor for any shortage in the accounts of the said "Principal" existing at the commencement of the term for which this bond is given, nor for any default, the proceeds of which shall have applied to the payment of a pre-existing official debt or default.

SECOND: That the Surety shall not be liable hereunder for any loss sustained by the said United States of America by reason of any act or omission of any deputy or subordinate in the office of the said "Principal" whether such deputy or subordinate is the personal appointee of said "Principal" or not.

THIRD: That the "Surety" shall not be liable hereunder for the loss of any public monies or funds occurring through or resulting from the failure of, or default in payment by, any banks or depositories in which any public monies or funds have been deposited, or may be deposited by, or placed to the credit, or under the control, of the "Principal" or for any public monies or funds heretofore or hereafter placed in any banks or depositories of which public monies and funds he is or may be the custodian by virtue of his office, whether or not such banks or depositories were or may be selected or designated by the "Principal" or by other persons; or by reason of the allowance to or acceptance by the "Principal" of any interest on said public monies or funds, any law, decision, ordinance or statute to the contrary notwithstanding.

250 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JULY, 2, 1925.

FOURTH: That the total liability of the "Surety" under this or any and all bonds executed by the "Surety" on behalf of said "Principal" shall not exceed the penalty hereof.

FIFTH: that if the "Surety" shall so elect, this bond may be cancelled by giving thirty (30) days notice in writing to the said United States of America and this bond shall be deemed cancelled at the expiration of said thirty (30) days; the Surety remaining liable, however, subject to all the terms, conditions and provisions of this bond, for any act or acts covered by this bond which may have been committed by the "Principal" up to the date of such cancellation; and the "Surety" shall, upon surrender of this bond and its release from all liability hereunder, refund the premium paid, less a pro rata part thereof for the time this bond shall have been in force.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, the said "Principal" has set his hand and seal and the "Surety" has caused this bond to be signed by its duly authorized officers and its corporate seal to be hereunto affixed the day and year first above written.

Herbert W. James,

((SEAL))

HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY

By, L. P. Oldham - Attorney-in-fact

APPROVED this 2nd. day of July, 1925.
F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSEDE FILED July, 2, 1925. H.P.Warfield Clerk U.S. District Court.

BOND OF LEVI WOODWARD JONES

OFFICIAL BOND. HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY FORM P.O. 515
HARTFORD CONNECTICUT.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, That we Levi Woodward Jones, of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, (Hereafter called "Principal") as "Principal" and the Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Connecticut, having its principal office in the City of Hartford, Connecticut, (hereinafter called "Surety") as Surety, are held and firmly bound unto United States of America, Washington D. C. in the sum of--Twenty Five Hundred and No/100 Dollars ---(\$2,500.00) lawful money of the United States, to the payment whereof, well and truly to be made and done, the "Principal" binds himself, his heirs, executors and administrators, and the "Surety" binds itself, its successors and assigns, jointly and severally, firmly by these presents;

SIGNED, Sealed and dated this 2nd day of July, A. D. Nineteen Hundred and Twenty-five.

The Condition of the foregoing Obligation is Such, that whereas, the "Principal" has been duly elected or appointed Deputy Clerk in the United States Dist. Court in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma April, 1st 1925, and ending indefinitely, 19__

NOW, THEREFORE, if the said "Principal" shall, during the period begining April, 1st. 1925, and ending indefinitely, 19__ well and faithfully discharge all the duties and trusts imposed upon him by reason of his election or appointment as said Deputy Clerk except as hereinafter limited, and honestly account for all monies coming into his hands as said Deputy Clerk according to law, then this obligation shall be null and void; otherwise, to be, and remain in full force and virtue.

THIS BOND is executed by the "Surety" upon the following express conditions, which shall be conditions precedent to the right of recovery hereunder:

FIRST: That the "Surety" shall not be liable to the said United States of America for any loss occasioned by any act or omission of the said "Principal, nor for any shortage in the accounts of the said "Principal"

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL PRIL, 1925 TERM.

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, JULY 2, 1925.

existing at the commencement of the term for which this bond is given, nor for any default, the proceeds of which shall have applied to the payment of a pre-existing official debt or default.

SECOND: That the Surety shall not be liable hereunder for any loss sustained by the said United States of America by reason of any act or omission of any deputy or subordinate in the office of the said "Principal" whether such deputy or subordinate is the personal appointee of said "Principal" or not.

THIRD: That the Surety shall not be liable hereunder for the loss of any public monies or funds occurring through or resulting from the failure of, or default in payment by, any banks or depositories in which any public monies or funds have been deposited, or may be deposited by, or placed to the credit, or under the control, of the "Principal" or for any public monies or funds heretofore or hereafter placed in any banks or depositories of which public monies and funds he is or may be the custodian by virtue of his office, whether or not such banks or depositories were or may be selected or designated by the "Principal" or by other persons; or by reason of the allowance to or acceptance by the "principal" of any interest on said public monies or funds, and law, decision, ordinance or statute to the contrary notwithstanding.

FOURTH: That the total liability of the "Surety" under this or any and all bonds executed by the "Surety" on behalf of said "Principal" shall not exceed the penalty hereof.

FIFTH: That if the "Surety" shall so elect, this bond may be cancelled by giving thirty (30) days notice in writing to the said United States of America and this bond shall be deemed cancelled at the expiration of said thirty (30) days; and the Surety remaining liable, however, subject to all the terms, conditions and provisions of this bond, for any act or acts covered by this bond which may have been committed by the "Principal" up to the date of such cancellation; and the "Surety" shall, upon surrender of this bond and its release from all liability hereunder, refund the premium paid, less a pro rata part thereof for the time this bond shall have been in force.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, the said "Principal" has set his hand and seal and the "Surety" has caused this bond to be signed by its duly authorized officers and its corporate seal to be hereunto affixed the day and year first above written.

Levi Woodward Jones,

((SEAL))

HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY

By, L. P. Oldham - Attorney-in-fact.

APPROVED this 2nd day of July, 1925.
F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: FILED July, 2, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

BOND OF ROY BAKER CORNETT

OFFICIAL BOND.

FORM P.O. 515.

HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, That we Roy Baker Cornett of Tulsa, Oklahoma, (Hereinafter called "Principal") as "Principal" and the Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Connecticut, having its principal office in the City of Hartford, Connecticut (Hereinafter called "Surety") as "Surety" are held and firmly bound unto the United States of America, Washington D. C. in the sum of ---Twenty five Hundred and No/100 --Dollars-- (\$2,500.00) lawful money of the United States, to the payment whereof, well and truly to be made and done, the "Principal" binds himself, his heirs, executors and administrators, and the "Surety" binds itself, its successors, and assigns, jointly and severally, firmly by these presents:

SIGNED, sealed and dated this 2nd. day of July, A. D. Nineteen
Hundred and Twenty Five.

234 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA., THURSDAY JULY, 2, 1925.

THE CONDITION OF THE FOREGOING OBLIGATION IS SUCH, that whereas, the "Principal" has been duly elected or appointed Deputy Clerk in the United States Dist. Court in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma for the term beginning April, 27th., 1925, and ending indefinitely --19__

NOW, Therefore, if the said "Principal" shall, during the period beginning April, 1st., 1925, and ending indefinitely 19__, well and faithfully discharge all the duties and trusts imposed upon him by reason of his election or appointment as said Deputy Clerk except as hereinafter limited, and honestly account for all monies coming into his hands as said Deputy Clerk according to law, then this obligation shall be null and void, otherwise, to be and remain in full force and virtue.

This Bond is executed by the "Surety" upon the following express conditions, which shall be conditions precedent to the right of recovery hereunder:

FIRST: That the "Surety" shall not be liable to the said United States of America for any loss occasioned by any act of omission of the said "Principal" nor for any shortage in the accounts of the said "principal" existing at the commencement of the term for which this bond is given, nor for any default, the proceeds of which shall have applied to the payment of a pre-existing official debt or default.

SECOND: That the Surety shall not be liable hereunder for any loss sustained by the said United States of America by reason of any act or omission of any deputy or subordinate in the office of the said "Principal" whether such deputy or subordinate is the personal appointee of said "Principal" or not.

THIRD: That the "Surety" shall not be liable hereunder for the loss of any public monies or funds occurring through or resultin from the failure of, or default in payment by, any banks or depositories in which any public monies or funds have been deposited, or may be deposited by, or placed to the credit, or under the control, of the "principal" or for any public monies or funds heretofore or hereafter placed in any banks or depositories of which public monies and funds he is or may be the custodian by virtue of his office, whether or not such banks or depositories were or may be selected or designated by the "Principal" or by other persons; or by reason of the allowance to or acceptance by the "Principal" of any interest on said public monies or funds, any law, decision, ordinance or statute to the contrary notwithstanding.

FOURTH: That the total liability of the "Surety" under this or any and all bonds executed by the "Surety" on behalf of said "Principal" shall not exceed the penalty hereof.

FIFTH: That if the "Surety" shall so elect, this bond may be cancelled by giving thirty (30) days notice in writing to the said United States of America and this bond shall be deemed cancelled at the expiration of said thirty (30) days; the Surety remaining liable, however, subject to all the terms, conditions and provisions of this bond, for any act or acts covered by this bond which may have been committed by the "Principal" up to the date of such cancellation; and the "Surety" shall upon surrender of this bond and its release from all liability hereunder, refund the premium paid, less a pro rata part thereof for the time this bond shall have been in force.

IN TESTIMONY HEREOF, the said "Principal" has set his hand and seal and the "Surety" has caused this bond to be signed by its duly authorized officers and its corporate seal to be hereunto affixed the day and year first above written.

Roy Baker Cornett,

HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY

By L. P. Oldham, - Attorney-in-fact.

APPROVED this 2nd. day of July, 1925,
F. E. Mennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 2, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1926 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JULY, 2, 1925.

BOND OF MINNIE G. CAGLE.

OFFICIAL BOND.

FORM P.O. 515.

HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, That we Mrs. Minnie G. Cagle, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, (hereinafter called "Principal") as "Principal" and the HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Connecticut, having its principal office in the City of Hartford, Connecticut (hereinafter called "Surety") as "Surety" are held and firmly bound unto the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Washington, D. C. in the sum of --Twenty Five Hundred and No/100--Dollars--(\$2,500.00) lawful money of the United States, to the payment whereof, well and truly to be made and done, the "Principal" binds himself, his heirs, executors, and administrators, and the "Surety" binds itself, its successors and assigns jointly and severally, firmly by these presents:

SIGNED, sealed and dated this 2nd day of July, A. D. Nineteen Hundred and Twenty Five.

THE CONDITION OF THE FOREGOING OBLIGATION IS SUCH, that whereas, the "Principal" has been duly elected or appointed Deputy Clerk in the United States Dist. Court in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma for the term beginning April, 1, 1925, and ending indefinitely --19--

NOW, therefore, if the said "Principal" shall, during the period beginning April, 1st., 1925, and ending indefinitely --19--, well and faithfully discharge all the duties and trusts imposed upon him by reason of his election or appointment as said Deputy Clerk except as hereinafter limited, and honestly account for all monies coming into his hands as said Deputy Clerk according to law, then this obligation shall be null and void, otherwise, to be and remain in full force and virtue.

This Bond is executed by the "Surety" upon the following express conditions, which shall be conditions precedent to the right of recovery hereunder:

FIRST: That the "Surety" shall not be liable to the said United States of America for any loss occasioned by any act of omission of the said "Principal" nor for any shortage in the accounts of the said "Principal" existing at the commencement of the term for which this bond is given, nor for any default, the proceeds of which shall have applied to the payment of a pre-existing official debt or default.

SECOND: That the Surety shall not be liable hereunder for any loss sustained by the said United States of America by reason of any act or omission of any deputy or subordinate in the office of the said "Principal" whether such deputy or subordinate is the personal appointee of said "Principal" or not.

THIRD: That the "Surety" shall not be liable hereunder for the loss of any public monies or funds occurring through or resulting from the failure of, or default in payment by, any banks or depositories in which any public monies or funds have been deposited, or may be deposited by or placed to the credit, or under the control, of the "Principal" or of any public monies or funds heretofore or hereafter placed in any banks or depositories of which public monies and funds he is or may be the custodian by virtue of his office, whether or not such banks or depositories were or may be selected or designated by the "Principal" or by other persons; or by reason of the allowance to or acceptance by the "Principal" of any interest on said public monies or funds, any law, decision, ordinance or statute to the contrary notwithstanding.

FOURTH: That the total liability of the "Surety" under this or any and all bonds executed by the "Surety" on behalf of said "Principal" shall not exceed the penalty hereof.

254 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY JULY, 2, 1925.

FIFTH: That if the "Surety" shall so elect, this bond may be cancelled by giving thirty (30) days notice in writing to the said United States of America and this bond shall be deemed cancelled at the expiration of said thirty (30) days: the Surety remaining liable, however, subject to all the terms, conditions and provisions of this bond, for any act or acts covered by this bond which may have been committed by the "Principal" up to the date of such cancellation; and the "Surety" shall upon, surrender of this bond and its release from all liability hereunder, refund the premium paid, less a pro rata part thereof for the time this bond shall have been in force.

IF TESTIMONY WHEREOF, the said "Principal" has set his hand and seal and the "Surety" has caused this bond to be signed by its duly authorized officers and its corporate seal to be hereunto affixed the day and year first above written

Mrs Minnie G. Cagle.

HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INSURANCE COMPANY

((SEAL)))

By L. P. Oldham, Attorney-in-fact.

APPROVED this 2nd. day of July, 1925.
F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED. Filed July, 2, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

Court adjourned until July, 3, 1925.

On this 3rd. day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, Oklahoma, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney.
H. C. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. E. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) CRIM #100
G. R. POWELL, Defendant.)

On this 3rd. day of July, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person. It appearing to the Court that said defendant heretofore entered his plea of guilty, it is now by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged that the said Defendant, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, for a period of seventy five days, said imprisonment to date from April, 18, 1925 (Date of incarceration) and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$100.00, said fine to run on execution.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs)
WILLIAM STEWART AND)
MRS WILLIAM STEWART, Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Now on this the 3rd. day of July, 1925, this matter coming on for

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, JULY, 3, 1925.

hearing before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and it appearing to the Court that the alleged offense was committed on the 25th day of February 1925, and for that reason said case should be filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, and that all proceedings had herein up to this date is erroneous and incorrect and that a said cause should be transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma for further action in said cause.

It is therefore by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged that said cause be, and the same is hereby transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma for such action as that Court shall deem proper.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of
Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 3, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court,
By Roy Cornett. Deputy.

Court adjourned until July, 4, 1925.

On this 4th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment, Hon F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

Court adjourned until July 6, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, JULY, 6, 1925.

On this 6th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April, session at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Neenan, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. # 99 Crim.
J. C. MERRITT, Defendant.

On this 6th day of July, 1925; above entitled cause came on for hearing and the Court being fully advised in the premises, it was ordered that said cause be dismissed, for the reason it is a duplicate of cause #97, and same is hereby dismissed.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. CRIM # 276.
IRA BOLTON, Defendant.

On this 6th day of July, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, is arraigned and entered plea of Guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that said defendant Ira Bolton for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the period of Fifteen (15) Months from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$100.00, and stand committed until said fine is paid or, until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary, at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. Crim # 291.
AUDINE MERRITT, Defendant.

On this 6th day of July, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, arraigned and entered plea of guilty as charged in indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that said defendant Audine Merritt, for the crime by her committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the House of Correction, North Milwaukee, Wisconsin (Place to be designated by the Department of Justice) for the period of Three (3) years from date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the House of Correction, North Milwaukee, Wisconsin, without delay.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

indictment, and certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of _____ Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma on the first day of the next term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said Court. And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required therefor:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said William Sappington hence to the said Eastern District of Oklahoma and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 6th day of July, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,

U. S. District Judge for Northern
District of Oklahoma.

Filed July, 6, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. By R.C.

ATTEST:

A true copy of original order, H.P. Warfield, Clerk, By R.C. Deputy.

ORDER OF REMOVAL, JOHN REMINGTON.

ORDER OF REMOVAL.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

TO THE MARSHAL OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, GREETING:

Whereas, it has been made to appear that John Remington is indicted in the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma for the offense of Violation of National Prohibition Act and whereas the said John Remington having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there had in the presence of said defendant, it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said indictment, and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged and whereas, it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of _____ Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma on the first day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said Court, And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required, therefore:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said John Remington hence to the said Eastern District of Oklahoma and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 6th day of July, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge for Northern
District of Oklahoma

FILED July 6, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. By R.C.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JULY, 6, 1925.

ORDER OF REMOVAL EDWIN RUTLEDGE

ORDER OF REMOVAL.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

To the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, GREETING:

Whereas, it has been made to appear that Edwin Rutledge is indicted in the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma for the offense of Violation of Motor Vehicle Theft Act and whereas the said Edwin Rutledge having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there had in the presence of said defendant, it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person in said indictment, and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of Five Thousand Dollars Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma on the first day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said Court. and the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required therefore:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said Edwin Rutledge hence to the said Eastern District of Oklahoma and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 3 day of July, 1925.

F. E. Hennamer
U. S. District Judge for Northern District of Oklahoma.

FILED July 6, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court. by R. C.

ATTEST: A true copy of _____ H.P.Warfield, Clerk, By _____ Deputy

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Law # 111
ONE NASH ROADSTER, Defendant.)

On this 6th day of July, 1925, comes Louis Stivers, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Whereup on the above case, one Nash Roadster Motor # 57013 05 7151 as defendant, was called for hearing and Wallace & Wallace, counsel for Intervening defendant, was present, and the Court being well and fully advised in the premises said cause was taken under advisement.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, JULY, 6, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
ONE FORD COUPE, Automobile,) No. 151 Law.
Motor # 9022737, License #153973,)
1924 Model. Defendant.)

ORDER FOR WARRANT AND MONITION.

Now on this 5th day of July, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard on a libel in this Court for, and on behalf of the United States of America against one Ford Coupe automobile, Motor #9022737, License #153973 a more particularly and detailed description thereof being unknown to libellant, praying the usual process and monition of this Court, for an order condemning and forfeiting said automobile to said plaintiff as provided by Section 3450 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, and it appearing therefrom that on or about the 3rd day of July, 1925, in Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma and within the Northern District of Oklahoma, said automobile was then and there being used in the removal, and for the deposit and concealment of certain intoxicating liquors, to-wit:

15 Cases of Bonded Whiskey consisting of 144 Quarts.

upon which the tax had not been paid to the United States as provided by law, with the intent then and there to defraud the United States of such said tax, and that the same was duly seized within the said Northern District of Oklahoma, and is now being held by the duly authorized officers of said plaintiff to abide the judgment of this Court, and it further appears that the following named person, to-wit: C. R. Avery claim an interest in said automobile,

It is therefore ordered that the usual process and monition of this Court in that behalf be made and issued, directing the United States in and for said Northern District of Oklahoma to attach and arrest said automobile and take the same into his custody, and there safely keep until the further order of this Court, and that he give due notice by publication of a certified copy of this order in a newspaper printed and published in the County in which such seizure was made by one publication not less than 14 days before the day assigned for trial, and by notice posted in the most public manner for a period of 14 days at, or near, the place of the trial of this cause, and generally to all persons having or pretending to have any right, title or interest in or to said personal property, to appear before said Court in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on the 3rd. day of August, 1925, at 10 o'clock A.M., of this day, and there make known their claims or allegations in such matter, and that the said C. R. Avery if found in this district, be served with warrant and monition by the United States Marshal, and due return made hereof.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 6, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By L.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
ONE FORD COUPE AUTOMOBILE,) No. 152 Law.
Motor #5703126, License #639802,)
1923 Model Defendant.)

ORDER FOR WARRANT AND MONITION.

Now on this 6th day of July, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard on a libel of this Court for, and on behalf of the United States of America against one Ford Coupe automobile, Motor #5703126, License #639802,

264 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL SESSION PRIL 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JULY, 6 1925.

a more particularly and detailed description thereof being unknown to libellant, praying the usual process and monition of this Court for an order condemning and forfeiting said automobile to said plaintiff as provided by Section 3450 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, and it appearing therefrom that on, or about the 2nd. day of July, 1925, in the Osage County, State of Oklahoma, and within the Northern District of Oklahoma, said automobile was then and there being used in the removal, and for the deposit and concealment of certain intoxicating liquors, to-wit:

One Pint of Corn Whiskey.

upon which the tax had not been paid to the United States as provided by law, with the intent then and there to defraud the United States of said tax, and that the same was duly seized within the said Northern District of Oklahoma, and is now being held by the duly authorized officers of said plaintiff to abide the judgment of this Court, and it further appears that the following named person to-wit: Dode Paste, claim an interest in said automobile.

It is therefore ordered that the usual process and monition of this Court in that behalf be made and issued, directing the United States in and for said Northern District of Oklahoma to attach and arrest said automobile and take same into his custody, and there safely keep until the further order of this Court, and that he give due notice by publication of a certified copy of this order in a newspaper printed and published in the County in which such seizure was made by one publication not less than 14 days before the day assigned for trial, and by notice posted in the most public manner for a period of 14 days at, or near, the place of the trial of this cause, and generally to all persons having or pretending to have any right, title or interest in or to said personal property, to appear before said Court in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on the 3rd day of August, 1925, at 10 o'clock A.M. of said day, and then and there make known their claims or allegations in such matter, and that the said Dode Paste if found in this district, be served with warrant and monition by the United States Marshal and due return made hereof.

F. E. Kemmamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 6, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
by L.W.J.

Court adjourned until July, 6, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, JULY, 7, 1925

On this 7th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April, 1925 Session at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. C. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs.
ONE NASH, 1925 ROADSTER, MOTOR # 57015 of 7151, License #327843, Defendant.
THE MUSKOGEE NASH COMPANY, a corporation. Intervener
No. 111. Law.

DECREE

Be it remembered, that this cause came on to be heard on the 6th day of July, 1925, pursuant to an agreement of the parties hereto; and the plaintiff appeared by the United States District attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma; and the intervener, Muskogee Nash Company, appeared by its attorneys, Messrs. Wallace & Wallace and Wayne H. Lasater, and by its manager, Nat M. Mitchell; and both plaintiff and intervener waived a jury and agreed to submit the matters at issue hereinto the Court; and thereupon, the cause was duly argued by counsel for both parties and the further hearing of the said cause was postponed until the 7th day of July, 1925, at which time, upon consideration of the agreed statement of facts filed herein and argument of counsel:

It is ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the libelant of the information of plaintiff filed herein be, and the same is hereby denied.

It is further ordered by the Court that the property in controversy herein, described in the application of the plaintiff for a forfeiture to-wit;

One Nash, 1925 Roadster, Motor #57015 of 7151, License #327843.

be and the same is hereby ordered to be restored by the Marshal of this Court to the possession of the said intervener, Muskogee Nash Company, upon the payment by the said Muskogee Nash Company of all Court costs, storage fees and repairs due upon the said property.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. Louis N. Stivers, Attorney for Plaintiff.

O.K. Wallace & Wallace, Wayne H. Lasater, attorneys for defendant.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 8, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. by H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL SESSION PRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, JULY, 7, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 SAM COOPER, Defendant.) Crim # 312.

On this 7th day of July, 1925, comes W.L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person. It appearing to the Court that said defendant heretofore entered his plea of guilty, it is now by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged that said defendant, Sam Cooper, for the crime by him committed as charged in the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of the said defendant unto the Keeper of the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim # 313.
 GEORGE O'Neal, Defendant.)

On this 7th day of July, 1925, comes W.L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person. It appearing to the Court that said defendant heretofore entered his plea of guilty,

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant George O'Neal, for the crime by him committed as charged in the information pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$250.00 and stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim # 97.
 J. C. MERRITT, Defendant.)

On this 7th., day of July 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant J. G. Merit, for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary, at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the period of Five (5) Years from date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

107

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL SESSION APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, JULY, 7, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim #302.
 JESS MERRITT, Defendant.)

On this 7th day of July, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Jess Merritt, for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the period of Five (5) years from date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that said sentence of confinement shall run concurrent with sentence of confinement imposed in case No. 97 Criminal, U. S. vs. J. G. Merit July, 7, 1925.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim # 277
 JESS MERRITT, Defendant.)

On this 7th day of July, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in information heretofore filed herein.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim 305.
 E. C. NEAL, Defendant.)

On this 7th day of July, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and is arraigned and entered plea of guilty as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that said defendant E. C. Neal, for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary, at Leavenworth Kansas, and confined for the period of Five (5) Years from date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

ORDER TO FILE INFORMATION.

* On this 7th day of July, 1925, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and that the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars each:

#320 Cr. United States vs. Frank Looney
 #319 " " " " L. J. Potts.

NEWS In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, JULY, 7, 1925

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Crim #319
L. J. POTTS, Defendant.)

On this 7th day of July, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to counts one and two as charged in said information heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant L. J. Potts, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, for the period of sixty (60) days from this date, on count 2, and that he make his fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$100.00 on count one and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Crim # 320
FRANK LONEY, Defendant.)

On this 7th day of July, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, arraigned and enters plea of Guilty to counts one and two, as charged in said information heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Frank Lonie, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$75.00, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further,

Considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Frank Lonie for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$75.00 and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Keeper of the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

Court adjourned until July, 8, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

263

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

Tulsa, Okla.

WEDNESDAY JULY, 8, 1925.

On the 8th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
 John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney.
 H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
 W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

In the United States Court in and for the
 Northern District of Oklahoma.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 114 Law.
ONE FORD SEDAN, SERIAL #26-2)	
License # 61F. 317 etc.)	
AUTOMOBILE FINANCE CO,)	
a Corporation,	Intervenor.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY

This cause coming on for hearing before me F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, on stipulation of the Plaintiff and the Intervenor herein, and it appearing to the Court that the parties hereto have agreed to waive Jury trial and present the issues herein to the Court for determination and it further appearing that the parties have agreed on the facts in this cause and that the Intervenor Automotive Finance Co., a corp, claims the Ford Sedan in question herein by reason of a chattel mortgage held by the Intervenor on said Ford Sedan and it being recommended to this court by the Assistant United States Attorney that upon the Intervenor paying all costs and storage charges made in this cause by reason of the seizing of the Ford Sedan in question, that this libel of information in this matter be dismissed and that the property subject to the libel herein be turned to said Automotive Finance Co., the intervonor, under their claim, and said recommendation being approved by this Court,

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the libel of information in this cause be dismissed and that upon the Intervenor the Automotive Finance Co., paying all costs and charges of whatsoever kind that has accrued against the property, the subject of this action that the property described as One Ford Sedan, Serial #26-2 License #61 F 317---Block #c-2, be turned to said Intervenor under their claim; that all right of C. T. Harris, be declared forfeited in and to said property.

O.K. Louis N. Stivers, Asst.,
 U. S. District Attorney.
 July, 8th., 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
 Judge of the Northern District.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 8, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
 By H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 116 Law.
ONE MORMAN AUTOMOBILE)	
Motor #7200451,	Defendant.)	

ORDER OF RELEASE.

Now on this 8th day of July, this matter coming on to be heard, and said plaintiff appearing by its attorney, Louis N. Stivers, Assistant United States Attorney, and said defendant appearing by one John Hunter as

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA OKLA. WEDNESDAY JULY, 8, 1925.

the owner thereof, and after hearing the evidence offered, and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that on the preliminary hearing had wherein the said John Hunter, the owner of said Automobile was the defendant, and for lack of guilt on the part of the said John Hunter, he was released at the said preliminary hearing, and after investigation of said matter by the Grand Jury herein such case against the said John Hunter was endorsed "No Bill". It is therefore ordered that for the reasons aforesaid that the Libel herein be dismissed, and that the said Mormon automobile be delivered up to the said John Hunter upon presentation of a certified copy of this order,

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 8, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
Roy Cornett,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.) SS.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, AT THE SPECIAL APRIL A. D.
1925 TERM THEREOF, SITTING AT TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

O R D E R

Now on this 8th day of July, 1925, this matter comes on for hearing upon the application of the United States District Attorney, to have the above entitled matter continued to and presented to the next Grand Jury to be empaneled in the United States Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma; and it appearing to the Court that on the 11th day of June, 1925, the above named defendant, D. A. Curry, entered into an appearance bond in the sum of One Thousand (\$1000.00) Dollars for his appearance before the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, with J. E. Baker and O.O. Jobe as sureties thereon, and the Court after being well and sufficiently advised in the premises finds that said cause was on the 23rd day of June, 1925, submitted to the Grand Jury then in session within and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and that said Grand Jury returned a "No Bill" in said cause, and the court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises finds that said cause should be re-submitted.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged that said cause be, and the same is hereby continued to, and ordered submitted to the next Grand Jury to be empaneled in the Northern District of Oklahoma, to inquire into and due presentment make of all offenses committed and triable in the Northern District of Oklahoma, and that said defendant be permitted to stand on the appearance bond entered into on the 11th day of June, 1925, with the said J. E. Baker and O. O. Jobs, and the said bond be, and the same is hereby ordered held in full force and effect until such time as said cause can be presented to said Grand Jury as aforesaid, and from day to day and term to term thereafter until said cause is finally disposed of and until said bond is by the court ordered exonerated and the bondsmen discharged.

Done in open court this the 8th day of July, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge for
the Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 8, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk, H. W. James, Deputy

Court adjourned until July, 9, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the 174

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 9, 1925.

On this 9th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April, session at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjourned, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
 John W. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
 H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
 W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

POILY ISAACS, Administratrix of the)	
Estate of George R. Day, Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 156 Law.
LEE C. MOORE and Company,)	
a corporation, Defendant.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

The above styled and numbered action came on for trial on this 9th day of July, 1925, before the undersigned Judge. Plaintiff appeared in person and by her attorneys, Robinett & Ford, and the widow, Ethel Day, also appeared in person, and defendant appeared by its attorneys Moss & Farmer, and thereupon it is shown to the Court that this is an action for the alleged wrongful death of George R. Day, Deceased, who was killed at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on May 27th, 1925, while in the employ of the defendant; that the plaintiff is the duly appointed, qualified and acting Administratrix of the Estate of the Deceased; that the deceased was instantly killed on such date and left as his next of kin, Ethel Day, widow, and Marie Day, minor daughter.

It is the upon shown to the Court that the parties have agreed upon a settlement of such case in the sum of \$3250.00 to be paid by the defendant to the plaintiff.

Thereupon in Open Court plaintiff, her attorneys, and the widow asserted to the Court that such settlement had been made and requested that judgment be rendered in such case in such sum, and that all of the said parties were satisfied with the same and represent to the Court that it is to the best interest of the next of kin that said settlement be perfected; and after the introduction of evidence and being fully advised in the premises the Court finds:- that the plaintiff is the duly appointed, qualified and acting administratrix of the Estate of the deceased, George R. Day; that said George R. Day died on May 27th, 1925, and left as his next of kin Ethel Day, widow, and Marie Day, minor daughter; that the parties have agreed upon a settlement of this action in the sum of \$3250.00; that the plaintiff and her attorneys and the widow have appeared in Open Court and agreed to such settlement and have stated to the Court that it is a proper, fair and reasonable settlement and ask that the Court approve the same, and request that judgment be rendered in such sum. The Court further finds that such settlement is fair, just and reasonable should approve and is hereby approved.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED, that the settlement of this action in the sum of \$3250.00 should be and it is hereby in all things approved, and the act of the Administratrix in making such settlement is hereby in all things approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, Considered and Adjudged that plaintiff have and recover of and from the defendant a judgment in the sum of \$3250.00

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. Ethel Day, Widow.
 Polly (her x mark) Isaacs. Administratrix. Roy L. Ford, Witness to mark.

Robinett & Ford, Attorneys for Plaintiff

Moss & Farmer, Attorneys for Defendant.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 9, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk Roy Cornett.

272 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA THURSDAY JULY, 9, 1925.

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION

On this 9th day of July, 1925, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and that bond be fixed in the sum of \$_____

#321 United States vs. J. D. Harmsbuger

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim # 321.
 J. D. HARMSBURGER, Defendant.)

On this 9th day of July, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty to Counts one, two and three. And at this time leave was granted W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney to amend information in above cause.

It is thereupon by the Court, considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant J. D. Harmsburger, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant J. D. Harmsburger, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the information, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma and confined for the term of Six (6) Months from June, 25, 1925, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant J. D. Harmsburger, for the crime by him committed as charged in the third count of the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of the said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff)
 vs.) No. 76 Crim.
 FRANCIS BEAN, Defendant.)

On this 9th day of July, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty to counts one and two, as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon, now by the Court, considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Francis Bean, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the indictment, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, JULY, 9, 1925.

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Francis Boan for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of One (1) year and One (1) day from the date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, further stand committed to the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the keeper of the said Federal Penitentiary without delay.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
ONE FORD AUTOMOBILE Defendant.) 103 Law.

Now on this day comes on this cause for hearing, comes the plaintiff herein the United States by W. L. Coffey Asst. United States Attorney, and comes the defendant herein and interpleader Clyde Sloan with their attorney of record I. F. Long both sides announcing ready for trial, the cause is submitted to the Court upon the verified answer and interplea of Clyd Sloan owner of said automobile and upon the testimony of other witnesses and after hearing said testimony, the Court is of the opinion that the interpleader or Owner, Clyde Sloan is entitled to the relief prayed for in his interplea and answer.

Now therefore it is hereby ordered and decreed that the ford Automobile Motor No. 9477006 now held by the United States Marshal be and the said United States Marshal H. C. Beard, is hereby authorized to release said Automobile to its owner Clyde Sloan, upon the payment by him of all cost and storage.

This the 9th day of July, 1925,

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge for the
Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 9, 1925. H.P. Warfield. Clerk, U.S. District Court.
By H.W.J.

ORDER OF REMOVAL, JOHN STEVENS.

ORDER OF REMOVAL.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA)

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES.

To the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, GREETING:

Whereas, it has been made to appear that John Stevens has been complained against before James P. Mead, United States Commissioner, for the Western District of Missouri, Southwestern Division for the offense of violation of Motor Vehicle Theft Act and whereas the said John Stevens having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there had in the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JULY 9, 1925.

presence of said defendant, it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said indictment, and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged, and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of Three Thousand Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri, Southwestern Division on the first day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said Court, And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required therefore:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said John Stevens hence to the said Western District of Missouri, Southwestern Division and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with accordingly to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 9th day of July, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge for the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

FILED JULY, 9, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until July, 10, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

273

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, JULY, 10, 1925.

On this 10th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April, session at Tulsa, Okla. met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
Henry G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolvertón, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

Court adjourned until July, 11, 1925.

On this 11th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April session at Tulsa, Okla. met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
Henry G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolvertón, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER OF COURT APPROVING WILSON R. ROACH, U. S. COMMISSIONER, ACCT.

ORDER OF COURT APPROVING UNITED STATES
COMMISSIONERS ACCOUNT.

At a States Term of the District Court of the United States with in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma begun and held at the Special April, 1925, term on the 11th day of July, A. D. Nineteen hundred and Twenty Five

Present, the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge. Among the proceedings had were the following, to-wit:

Whereas, Wilson R. Roach, United States Commissioner, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, has forwarded an account for his official services for the quarter ended June 30th., 1925, duly certified by oath attached to the account, and the District Attorney submitted said account for approval in open court, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated; and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that in each of the cases wherein more than one per diem was charged the hearing could not be completed in one day, two per diems in each of said cases are specially approved and allowed by the Court; and whereas the charged in said account appear to be just and according to law, it is ordered that said account, amounting to Twelve Hundred Twenty Eight and 30/100 Dollars (\$1228.30) be, and the same is hereby approved this 11th day of July, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

UNITED STATES OF MERICA, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA. SS.

I, H. P. Warfield, Clerk of the District Court of the Northern District do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of an order entered upon the Journal of said Court.

WITNESS my official signature and the seal of said Court, at Tulsa this 11th day of July, 1925.

((SEAL))

H.P. Warfield, Clerk
By E.W. James Deputy Clerk

1925 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

MONDAY JULY, 13, 1925

On this 13th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April, 1925 Session, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennesaw, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. W. Backus, Esq., Deputy U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER OF COURT, TO MARSHAL.

On this 13th day of July, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the Marshal in the presence of the Clerk of said Court, destroy all contraband liquor not labeled in bottles and held for evidence in pending cases.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Crim # 137
W. O. CHATMAN, Defendant.)

On this 13th day of July, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that sentence in the above entitled cause be modified to read July, 13, 1925 instead of July, 6th., 1925.

It is thereupon now by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant W. O. Chatman, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of Sixty (60) days from this date and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred (\$200.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant W. O. Chatman, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from this date, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred (\$200.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further,

Ordered by the Court that said sentences of confinement shall run consecutively, and it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of the said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 322 Crim.
J. N. ANDERSON, Defendant.)

On the 13th day of July, 1925, comes the United States attorney and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for said defendant and the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed at _____

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, JULY, 13, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 322 Crim.
 J. N. ANDERSON, Defendant.)

On this 13th day of July, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person and is arraigned and enters plea of guilty.

It is thereupon by the Court ordered and adjudged that the defendant and J. N. Anderson, for the crime by him committed as charged in the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$25.00 and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid or, until released by due process of law. And it is further:

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 157 Crim.
 J. L. POTTS, and ONE FORD COUPE AUTOMOBILE,)
 MOTOR # 10967003, Defendant.)

ORDER FOR WARRANT AND MONITION.

Now on this the 13th day of July, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard on a libel in this Court for, and on behalf of the United States of America against one Ford Coupe automobile, Motor #10967003 a more particularly and detailed description thereof being unknown to libellant, praying the usual process and monition of this Court for an order condemning and forfeiting said automobile to said plaintiff as provided by Section 26, Title 2, of an Act of Congress of October, 28, 1919, commonly known as the National Prohibition Act, on or about the 7th day of July, 1925, in the County of Creek, State of Oklahoma and within the Northern District of Oklahoma said automobile was then and there being used in the removal, and for the deposit and concealment of certain intoxicating liquors, to-wit:

11 Soda Pop Bottles of Whiskey,

in violation of said Act of Congress and that the same was duly seized within the Northern District of Oklahoma, and was being held by the Duly authorized United States Marshal of said plaintiff, in and for said Northern District of Oklahoma to abide the judgment of this Court, and it further appears that the following named persons to-wit, L. J. Potts, S. A. Denyer, and the officers and directors and agents of the Commercial Loan & Mortgage Company claim an interest in said automobile, and without the permission of this court took said automobile from the possession and control of said United States Marshal.

It is therefore ordered that the usual process and monition of this Court in that behalf be made and issued, directing the United States Marshal in and for said Northern District of Oklahoma to attach and arrest said automobile and take the same into his custody, and there safely keep until the further order of this Court, and that he give due notice by publication of a certified copy of this order in a newspaper printed and published in the County in which such seizure was made by one publication not less than 14 days before the day assigned for trial, and by notice posted in the most public manner for a period of 14 days at, or near, the place of the trial of this cause, and generally to all persons having or pretending to have any right, title or interest in or to said personal property, to appear before said Court, in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on the 15th day of July, 1925, at 9 o'clock A.M. of said day, and then and there

73 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 Term. Tulsa, Okla. MONDAY, JULY, 13, 1925.

make known their claims or allegations in such matter and that the said L. J. Potts, and S. A. Denyer, and the officers directors and agents of the Commercial Loan & Mortgage Company, if found in this district, be served with warrant and monition by the United States Marshal, and due return made hereof.

F. E. Hennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 13, 1925. E.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By L.W.J.

Court adjourned until July, 14, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, JULY 14, 1925.

On this 14th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April, session at Tulsa, Okla. met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney
H. W. Backus, Esq., Deputy U. S. Marshal.
W. T. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceeding were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 105 Law.
ONE FORD AUTOMOBILE, Motor)
#10899003, Defendant.)

ORDER OF RELEASE

Now on this the 13th day of July, 1925, this matter coming on to be heard upon the petition of intervention of the Parrott Motor Company, and the Court after having heard the evidence offered, and being fully advised in the premises finds that the above styled and numbered automobile was seized while in the possession and control of one Bill Bennett, but that the same at the time of the seizure belonged to one George Lucas, subject to a mortgage in favor of the Parrott Motor Company, for deferred payments thereon.

That neither the said Parrott Motor Company, nor the said George Lucas had any guilty knowledge of the use of said automobile by the said Bill Bennett, for the removal, storage or concealment of intoxicating liquor found therein at the time of said seizure.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the United States Marshal in and for said Northern District, for the reasons aforesaid deliver said automobile, upon the payment of all costs herein, and all storage charged and repair bills thereon to the said George Lucas, or the said Parrott Motor Company, or any of their representatives.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 14, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 101.
ONE FORD TOURING CAR, Defendant)

ORDER

Now on this 14th day of July, 1925, this cause comes on for hearing before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the said Court, upon the Motion of H. C. Daugherty filed herein, and the plaintiff appearing by its attorney, Mr. Stivers, and the defendant appearing by his own proper person and his attorney, W. T. Cleeton,

188 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL TERM, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, JULY, 14, 1925.

And it appearing to the Court that one the 29th day of June, 1925, said W. C. Daugherty, interpleaded in said cause claiming to be the owner of one Ford Automobile, Motor Number 11,179,888, Tag No. 98,430 which said Ford automobile was seized by the officers of this Court on the 16th day of April 1925, in the County of Osage, State of Oklahoma; and that libel information was duly filed in this Court on the 28th day of May, 1925;

And it further appearing to the Court that said H. C. Daugherty together with one Art Cody was indicted in this Court charged with the transporting and possession of whiskey in said Osage County, State of Oklahoma, and that said defendant was tried on the 5th day of June, 1925, in cause No. 78 on said charge of transporting and possession of whiskey before a Jury of this Court, which said Jury returned a verdict in Court of "not Guilty" in both counts as to said H. C. Daugherty.

And it further appearing to this Court that said offense/charged was the only one against said car and said H. C. Daugherty, and the Jury having found the said H. C. Daugherty not guilty of said offense as charged, finds:

Said Libel Suit should be dismissed and said car returned to the owner, H. C. Daugherty.

IT IS THEREFORE, Considered, Ordered and Adjudged and Decreed by the Court that said Cause No. 101, United States vs. One Ford Automobile, Motor Number 11, 179.888, Tag Number 98,430 be dismissed and that said automobile be and the same is hereby ordered turned over to the said H. C. Daugherty.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

G. E. Louis N. Stivers.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 14, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

ORDER OF COURT APPROVING ACCOUNT OF HARRY JENNINGS, U. S. COM'R.

ORDER OF COURT APPROVING UNITED STATES COMMISSIONER'S ACCOUNT.

At A Special Term of the District Court of the United States within and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, begun and held at the Special April 1925 term on the 14 day of July, A. D. nineteen hundred and Twenty Five.

Present, the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge, Among the proceedings had were the following:

WHEREAS, Harry Jennings, United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma, has forwarded an account for his official services for the quarter ended June 30, 1925, duly certified by oath attached to the account, and the District Attorney has submitted said account for approval in open Court, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated; and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that in each of the cases wherein more than one per diem is charged the hearing could not be completed in one day, two per diem in each of said cases are specially approved and allowed by the Court; and whereas the charges in said account appear to be just and according to law, it is ordered that said account, amounting to Eighty Nine & 65/100 (\$89.65) be and the same is hereby approved this 14 day of July, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, SS.

I, H. P. Warfield, Clerk of the District Court for the said District do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of an order entered upon the Journal of this Court.

WITNESS my hand and official seal of said Court at Tulsa, this 16th day of July, 1925.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk
(((SEAL))) By H. W. James, Deputy Clerk

ENDORSED: Filed July, 14th., 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By F.W.J.

Court adjourned until July, 15, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, JULY, 15, 1925.

On this 15th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk, U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. W. Beckus, Esq., Deputy U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs. No. 157 Law.
L. J. POTTS, AND ONE FORD COUPE AUTOMOBILE, Motor No 10967003. Defendants.

ORDER

This matter coming on for hearing before the undersigned judge of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and the United States of America being present by the United State District Attorney, and the defendants, the Commercial Loan & Mortgage Company and S. A. Denyer, being present in person and by their attorneys, Owen Yancey & Fist, and the Court being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS THEREFORE, ordered, adjudged and decreed that The Commercial Loan & Mortgage Company and S. A. Denyer be, and they hereby are, purged from the order citing them for contempt.

AND IT IS ORDERED, That the Ford Coupe Automobile, Motor No. 10967003 be, and hereby is, ordered delivered to the Commercial Loan & Mortgage Company, of Drumright, Oklahoma, and the officers of the United States Government and whoever may have possession of the same at this time, are ordered to deliver said Ford Coupe to said Commercial Loan & Mortgage Company upon payment by said Commercial Loan & Mortgage Company of the costs in this case.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That the motion of the Commercial Loan & Mortgage Company and S. A. Deyner for possession of said car, as set out in their response filed herein, is granted and sustained.

Dated this 15th day of July, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, United States District Judge.

O. H. Louis N. Stivers.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court, H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FRED H. KELLY AND CHARLES F. HOUGH, Plaintiff.
vs. No. 3 Law.
MIDWEST AND GULF OIL CORPORATION a Corporation, Defendant.

ORDER

Now on this 15th day of July, 1925, this matter came on for hearing in open court upon the application of the plaintiffs herein for an order of

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APPEAL, 1925 CASE

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, JULY, 15, 1925.

this court requiring Henry G. Beard, Receiver herein, to pay over to plaintiffs the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1000.00) out of the funds in his hands derived from the production of oil from the properties held under attachment in this cause as payment on the judgment heretofore entered in this court in favor of plaintiffs and against the defendant, and the court having been fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that said application should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that Henry G. Beard, United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Receiver herein, pay over to plaintiffs herein, or their attorneys, the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1000.00) out of the moneys held by him as said Receiver, to be applied as payment on and for the Judgment heretofore entered in this cause in favor of plaintiffs and against the defendant.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 15, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court
By H.w.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 137 Law.
ONE BUICK TOURING AUTOMOBILE,)
Defendant.)

ORDER OF RELEASE.

Now on this 15th day of July, 1925, this matter coming on to be heard upon the motion of the United States District Attorney, and the Court after hearing the evidence offered, and being fully advised in the premises finds,

That said Buick automobile is subject to this action is, and was the property of one P. C. Meyers at the time of its seizure, and that one Jeff Smith was a passenger in said Automobile while the same was being driven by one W.C. Willard, an employee of the said P. C. Meyers.

That said whisky therewith apprehended was in the possession of the said Jeff Smith while he was a passenger in said car, and his possession of the liquor was unknown to the said W. C. Willard, or the said P. C. Meyers and said automobile was being used for the purpose of taking the said Jeff Smith to his home, while his car was being repaired at the garage of the said P. C. Meyers, and that said automobile was not being used for the transportation, deposit or concealment of said intoxicating liquor.

IT IS THEREFORE, ordered, adjudged and decreed that for the reasons herein stated, the Marshal is hereby directed to deliver to the said P. C. Myers of Pawnee, Oklahoma, the said Buick Automobile, Motor #1285846, and the libel herein filed is by the Court denied.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

C.H. Louis N. Stivers,
Assistant United States Attorney.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By L.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, JULY, 15, 1925.

ORDER OF COURT APPROVING ACCT. OF GEORGE B. MELLOTT.

ORDER OF COURT APPROVING UNITED STATES
COMMISSIONER'S ACCOUNT

At a stated term of the District Court of the United States within and for the Northern District of Oklahoma begun and held at the Special April, 1925, term on the 15th day of July, A. D. Nineteen Hundred and Twenty Five.

Present, the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge, among the proceedings had were the following, to-wit

WHEREAS, George B. Mellott, United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma has forwarded an account for his official services for the quarter ended June, 30, 1925, duly certified by oath attached to the account, and the District Attorney has submitted said account for approval in open court, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the services herein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated; and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that in each of the cases wherein more than one per diem is charged the hearing could not be completed in one day, two per diems in each of said cases are specially approved and allowed by the Court; and whereas the charges in said account appear to be just and according to law, it is ordered that said account, amounting to Five Hundred Nine and 95/100 (\$509.95) be and the same is hereby approved this 15 day of July, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA) SS.

I, H. P. Warfield, Clerk of the Court for the said District do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of an order entered upon the Journal of said Court.

WITNESS my official signature and the seal of said Court, at Tulsa, this 15th day of July, 1925.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk
By, H. W. James, Deputy Clerk

ENDORSED: Filed July, 15, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By H. W. J.

Court adjourned until July, 16, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, JULY, 16, 1925.

On this 16th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney.
H. W. Backus, Esq., Deputy U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
)	
vs.)	No. 118 Law.
)	
ONE FORD COUPE,)	
No. 11209850,	Defendant.)	

ORDER OF RELEASE.

Now on this the 16th day of July, 1925, the above styled cause is heard upon the affidavit of R. L. Wofford and other testimony in open Court. The Grand Jury having failed to indict R. L. Wofford after hearing the testimony in said cause. The Court is of the opinion that said cause should be dismissed and said Ford Coupe discharged, and it is so ordered.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.E. Louis N. Stivers.
Assistant United States Attorney.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MAUD CECIL,	Plaintiff.)	
)	
vs.)	No. 76 Law.
)	
VELIE MINES CORPORATION)	
	Defendant.)	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Pursuant to stipulation filed, the above entitled action is hereby dismissed with prejudice.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 16, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JULY, 16, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 117 Law.
ONE FORD AUTOMOBILE, Defendant.)

O R D E R.

On this 16 day of July, 1925, this cause came on regularly for trial upon the intervention of the First National Bank of Muskogee and J. D. Ramsey, and after hearing the evidence and statement of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that the matters and things involved herein have been investigated by the United States Grand Jury and no indictment returned on the facts therein shown, and that the intervention of said First National Bank of Muskogee and J. D. Ramsey should be sustained

IT IS THEREFORE, BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the prayer of the information of the government herein for the condemnation and libel of the property herein involved be denied, and that the intervention of the First National Bank of Muskogee and J. D. Ramsey be sustained, and that the said property herein involved, to-wit, one Ford automobile, Motor No. 10003714, be immediately delivered to said First National Bank of Muskogee.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. Louis N. Stivers, Assistant United States Attorney.

Everett Petry, Attorneys for intervenors.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 16, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. By H.W.J.

ORDER APPROVING ACCT. OF LAURA G. DARROUGH, COMMISSIONER.

ORDER OF COURT APPROVING UNITED STATES COMMISSIONER'S ACCOUNT.

At a Stated Term of the District Court of the United States within and for the Northern District of Oklahoma begun and held at the Special April 1925 Term on the 16 day of July, A. D. nineteen hundred and Twenty five.

Present, The Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge. Among the proceedings had were the following, to-wit:

WHEREAS Laura G. Darrough, United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma, has forwarded an account for her official services for the quarter ended June, 30, 1925, duly certified by oath attached to the account, and the District Attorney has submitted said account for approval in open court, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated; and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that in each of the cases wherein more than one per diem is charged the hearing could not be completed in one day, two per diems in each of said cases are specially approved and allowed by the Court; and whereas the charges in said account appear to be just and according to law, it is ordered that said account amounting to Four Hundred Twenty Three 65/100 (\$423.65) be and the same is hereby approved this 16th day of July, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA. SS.

I, H. P. Warfield, Clerk of the District Court for the said District, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of an order enter-

286 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APPLICANT APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, JULY, 16, 1925.

ed upon the Journal of said Court.

WITNESS my official signature and the seal of said Court, at Tulsa, this ___ day of July, A. D. 1925.

((SEAL))

H.P. Warfield, Clerk.
By H. W. James, Deputy Clerk.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 16, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
by H.W.J.

ORDER OF COURT AUTHORIZING MARSHAL TO MAKE COPIES OF PROCESS.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER OF THE COURT.

Now on this 16th day of July, A. D. 1925, it having been brought to the attention of the Court that a former order under date of April, 7, 1925, instructing the Clerk of said Court in and for said District, that until further order of the Court, should issue process in duplicate to the Marshal for said District, and make a charge of .10¢ per folio therefor for said copies, and it further appearing to the Court that a new fee bill for all United States District Court Clerks having become effective July, 1, 1925, whereby it is provided in said fee bill that the Clerk cannot make such charge of .10¢ per folio for making copies of any process, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, it is therefore

ORDERED by the Court, that the United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered to comply with Section 210, of the General Instructions to United States Marshals of June, 1, 1925.

Dated this 16th day of July, A. D. 1925,

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

ENDORSED. Filed July, 16, 1925, H.P. Warfield, clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

UNITED STATES,	PLAINTIFF.	}	Crim. # 154.
VS.			
JOHN GHORMLEY,	Defendant.		

On this 16th day of July, 1925, hearing on motion to modify sentence in above entitled cause was had and it is by the Court ordered that the sentence heretofore imposed be modified to read forty one days from June 5, 1925 and fined \$300.00, said defendant to be released upon payment of said fine.

Court adjourned until July, 17, 1925.

367

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, JULY, 17, 1925.

On this 17th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Hennamer, Judge present and presiding.

H. I. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
E. W. Backus, Esq., Deputy U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly had the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	
ONE HUPMOBILE, automobile,)	No. 100 Law.
Motor No. 52900,)	
W. G. BRYSON, and R. C. MOTT,)	
co-partners, doing business as)	
R. C. Mott Motor Company,)	
	Intervenor)	

ORDER OF RELEASE.

This cause coming on for hearing before Hon. F. E. Hennamer, Judge of the United States District Court in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on this 17th day of July, 1925, on the petition of the intervenors herein above named, and after being well and fully advised in the premises, the court finds as follows:

The Court finds that the intervenors herein are the authorized dealers and agents for the Hupmobile Automobile in this City and locality, and as such dealers and agents sold the above automobile to one Mrs Byrd Haverfield, on conditional sales contracted, title being retained in the said intervenors; that the lien of said intervenors is a valid and subsisting lien upon said automobile at this time.

The Court further finds that the said intervenors had no knowledge that said car was being used for any unlawful purpose, and that they did not give their consent to it being used, and that they had no knowledge of said car being used in violation of the laws of the State of Oklahoma or the United States, and are without fault in the premises.

The Court further finds that unpaid balance owing to the said intervenors is probably in excess of the true value of said automobile; that said automobile is in storage and that the storage charges are increasing, and said automobile is depreciating in value to the detriment of said intervenors; that the said intervenors have tendered the amount of storage charges and court costs herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the said automobile above described, be discharged and released to the said intervenors, herein, and that said intervenors be relieved of further liability herein, and that said intervenors pay the costs of this action, and the storage charges against said automobile.

So ordered this 17 day of July, 1925.

F. E. Hennamer,
Judge of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of Okla.

O.K. Louis N. Stivers,

ENDORSED: Filed July, 17, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APPEAL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, JULY, 17, 1925.

ORDER OF COURT APPROVING ACCT. OF EDWIN L. O'NEIL, Commr.

ORDER OF COURT APPROVING UNITED STATES COMMISSIONER'S ACCOUNT.

At a stated Term of the District Court of the United States within and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, begun and held at the Special April, 1925 term on the 17th day of July, A. D. nineteen hundred and twenty five.

Present, the Honorable F. E. Kemmerer, Judge. Among the proceedings had were the following, to-wit:

WHEREAS, Edwin L. O'Neil United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma, has forwarded an account for his official services for the quarter ended June 30, 1925, duly certified by oath attached to the account and the District Attorney has submitted said account for approval in open court, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated; and it being proved to the satisfaction of the Court that in each of the cases wherein more than one per diem is charged the hearing could not be completed in one day, two per diems in each of said cases are specially approved and allowed by the Court; and whereas the charges in said account appear to be just and according to law, it is ordered that said account, amounting to Three Hundred Three & 10/100 Dollars (\$303.10), be and the same is hereby approved this 17 day of July, 1925.

F. E. Kemmerer, Judge.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) northern district of oklahoma. Q SS.

I, H. P. Warfield, Clerk of the District Court for the said District, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of an order entered upon the Journal of said Court.

Witness my official signature and the seal of said Court at Tulsa, this 17th day of July, A. D. 1925.

((SEAL)))

H. P. Warfield, Clerk. By E.W. James, Deputy Clerk.

Court adjourned until July, 18, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, JULY, 16, 1925.

On this 18th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney
H. W. Backus, Esq., Deputy U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. T. SMITH, AND W. L. RANSOM, et al., Plaintiffs.)
vs.) No. 138 Law.
CARPATHIA PETROLEUM COMPANY, et al. Defendants.)

ORDER.

Now on this 18th day of July, 1925, came on in its regular order to be heard application of plaintiffs to make Tidal Oil Company, a corporation and Midco Oil Corporation, a corporation, parties defendant in the above entitled cause; and for leave to amend complaint by inserting the names of said corporation as parties defendant therein; and this Court being fully advised in the premises finds that said application should be granted.

WHEREFORE it is ordered that Tidal Oil Company a corporation and Midco Oil Corporation, a corporation, be and the same are hereby made parties defendant in the above entitled cause, and that plaintiffs be and hereby are granted leave to amend their complaint by interlining the names of said corporations as parties defendant in plaintiffs bill of complaint, in said cause .

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 18, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court
By L.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. T. SMITH AND W. L. RANSON, Plaintiffs.)
vs) No. 138 Law.
CARPATHIA PETROLEUM COMPANY, et al., Defendants.)

ORDER.

Now on this 18th day of July, 1925, came on in its regular order to be heard the application of plaintiffs to dismiss the above entitled cause as to defendant, Pure Oil Company, a corporation, without prejudice, and this Court being fully advised in the premises find that said application should be granted.

WHEREFORE, it is ordered that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed as to defendant, Pure Oil Corporation without prejudice.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge for the United States District
of the Northern District of Oklahoma

ENDORSED: Filed July, 18, 1925. F.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By L.W.J.

233 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, JULY, 18, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff.)	
	vs.)	No. 130 Law.
ONE CADILLAC AUTOMOBILE,)	
MOTOR NO. 61 Z 16775)	

O R D E R.

Now on this 18th day of July, 1925, this cause coming on for hearing upon the answer and petition in intervention of Mrs Bob Hanna and J. Frank Carlock, alleging that they are the owner and the holder of a bona fide mortgage, respectively, upon said car, a copy of which is presented, that they did not consent to or have any knowledge of the intention to, nor the act of transporting said whiskey in said car by the defendant; And having formally offered the payment of the cost and storage in said cause against said car, which they acknowledge would be taxed in the event of the sale of said car,

Now therefore it is by the court ORDERED that upon the payment of said costs and storage the afore said car be delivered to the said Mrs Bob Hanna and J. Frank Carlock by William Meeks, Deputy United States Marshal at Vinita, Okla.

Done this 18th day of July, 1925,

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. Louis W. Stivers,
Assistant United States Attorney.

O.K. John T. Harley,
Attorney for Intervenor.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 18, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OLD HONESTY OIL COMPANY,	Complainant,)	
a corporation,)	
	vs.)	No. 89 Law.
ISAAC BEULER, et al.	Defendants.)	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME.

This matter coming on to be heard this 18th day of July, 1925, upon the petition of Peter Deichman, the Special Master heretofore appointed herein for extension of time in which to make and file his report as such Special Master,

And it appearing to the Court that it is practically impossible for said Special Master to file his report within the time mentioned in the order appointing him,

It is therefore ordered and adjudged that the time for filing said report be and it is hereby extending to 30 days from July, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 18, 1925. H. P. warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court
By L.W.J.

Court adjourned until July, 28, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, JULY 28, 1925.

On this 28th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April, 1925 Session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 28th. day of July, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that P. D. Busby, is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, The oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said P. D. Busby is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

P. D. Busby.

Court adjourned until July, 29, 1925.

232 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, JULY 29, 1925

On this 29th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John. M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER OF REMOVAL OF EDITH FOX.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. _____ Cr.
EDITH FOX,)
Defendant.)

Now on this the 29 day of July, 1925, this matter coming on to be heard upon the application of the United States of America for an order of removal of the above named defendant from the Northern District of Oklahoma to that of the Western District of Oklahoma, and the Court after hearing the evidence offered and being fully advised in the premises finds:

That the above named defendant Edith Fox was duly and regularly indicted, prosecuted and convicted in the Western District of the State of Oklahoma, charged with violation of Federal Motor Act. That after said conviction and from the judgment of conviction the said defendant prosecuted and appealed upon which appeal said judgment of conviction was by the Appellate Court affirmed, certified copy of the mandate affirming said conviction being filed herewith, made a part hereof, and marked "Exhibit A".

That as a condition precedent to the prosecution of said appeal, said defendant executed a certain bond conditioned that said defendant would be present at the trial court to abide the judgment of the Appellate Court rendered on said appeal, and that said defendant in breach of conditions of said bond, failed and refused to appear at said trial court, and said defendant because of such failure to appear was adjudged in default, and said bond duly forfeited and commitment duly issued for the arrest and confinement of said defendant.

That the United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma now has within the presence of this Court, the said same defendant hereinbefore mentioned apprehended and arrested on proper fugitive warrant denying bail, within the said Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, and desires that the Court enter its order of removal without bail authorizing and directing the transfer and delivery of said defendant from this the said Northern District of Oklahoma, to the United States District Court in and for the Western District of Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE, Ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the said defendant, Edith Fox be and hereby is ordered transferred and conveyed to the Western District of Oklahoma, to then and there abide the order of said Court, and without the privilege of bail during the interim of transfer.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 29, 1925. H.P.Warfield, U.S.District Court Clerk,
By H...J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, JULY, 29, 1925.

ORDER OF REMOVAL OF LEROY DEVERE.

ORDER OF REMOVAL.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA)

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

To the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, GREETING:

WHEREAS, it has been made to appear that Leroy Devere, alias, Leonard Earl Devaux is indicted in the District Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee for the violation of the White Slave Act and whereas the said Leroy Devere, alias Leonard Earl Devaux having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there had in the presence of said defendant, it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said indictment, and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of Five Thousand Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Middle District of Tennessee on the first day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said Court. And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required, therefore:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said Leroy Devere, alias Leonard Earl Devaux hence to the said Middle District of Tennessee and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 28th day of July, 1925,

F. E. Vannaman,
U. S. District Judge of the Northern
District of Oklahoma

ENDORSED: FILED July, 29, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

ORDER SETTING HEARING DATES FOR PETITIONS IN NATURALIZATION

Order establishing rule days for the hearing of petitions for naturalizations in the U. S. District Court for Tulsa, Oklahoma, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

In conformity with the terms of section 6 of the naturalization act of June 29, 1906, 34 U.S. Stat. L. 596, the following days are fixed as States days for the hearing of petitions for naturalization in the U. S. District Court at Tulsa, Okla. on which dates, and no other, final action will be taken on applications for citizenship in this Court. All rules heretofore existing fixing dates for final hearings in naturalization cases are hereby abrogated:

The third Monday in January and the first Wednesday after the first Monday in July of each year, respectively, all petitions being subject to call at 10 A.M.

Immediately following the filing of each and every petition for naturalization, the Clerk of this Court shall post the same for hearing to the first rule day that will admit of at least ninety days posted notice being given the public, and will, at the time, inform the applicant and his witnesses of the date of such final hearing.

Each and every applicant for citizenship shall, as a matter of right be entitled to one continuance in his case, but in the event he fails to prosecute his case to a final hearing on the second hearing day, said petition shall, without further notice to said applicant, be forthwith dismissed for non-prosecution. Continuance shall be from rule day to rule day

193 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

WINTER PARK, 1925 TERM.

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, JULY, 30, 1925.

On this 30th day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennemer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. F. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. S. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER TO TRANSFER LEN HICKS.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) ss.
LEN HICKS,)
Defendant.)

ORDER

Now on this 30th day of July, 1925, this matter coming on for hearing before the Honorable F. E. Kennemer, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and it appearing to the Court that the alleged offense was committed on the 19th day of August, 1924, and for that reason said case should be filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, and that all proceedings had herein up to this date are erroneous and incorrect and that said cause should be transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma for further action in said cause.

It is therefore by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged that said cause be, and the same is hereby transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma for such action as that Court shall deem proper.

F. E. Kennemer,

Judge of the United States District Court. Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 30, 1925. H.F. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.S.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 140 Law.
J. D. HORNBERGER,)
Defendant.)

ORDER DISMISSING LEVEL OF INFORMATION

Now on this the 30th day of July, 1925, this matter coming on to be heard upon the motion of the above named Plaintiff, and the Court after hearing the evidence offered and being fully advised in the premises

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1926 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, JULY, 30, 1925.

find that the automobile above described was duly seized at the time one J. D. Hornberger was apprehended and arrested, charged with transporting and possessing certain intoxicating liquor, and that pursuant to law the said J. D. Hornberger in Criminal Case No. 321 has been duly and regularly convicted, and that in said cause last aforesaid, motion by Plaintiff has been duly filed requesting the disposition of said automobile.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Libel of Information in the within cause be, and the same hereby is dismissed, without prejudice.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: FILED July 30, 1925. F.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. I.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
vs)	No. 98
ONE BUICK AUTOMOBILE,)	
Motor #1350902,	Defendant.)	
Serial #1312278,)	
MEYER & KISER	Intervenors.)	

ORDER.

Now on this the 29th day of July, 1925, this matter came on in regular order for hearing before the undersigned, Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the above entitled Court, upon the application, answer and petition of intervention of Meyer & Kiser; the plaintiff, United States, was present by the United States District Attorney, and the intervenors, Meyer & Kiser, were present by their attorneys, Edgerton & Vickers. After hearing the testimony of witnesses and examining the exhibits the Court finds that the intervenors have, and had at the time of said defendant automobile, a prior, first and valid chattle mortgage upon said defendant automobile, which said mortgage was given to secure the payment of certain promissory notes described in their petition of intervention, and that there was remaining unpaid on said notes the sum of \$990.26 together with attorney fees in the sum of \$148.54; and the Court further finds that no part of said sum has been paid; and the Court further finds that said intervenors had no knowledge, and had no means in their power with which they could reasonably obtain knowledge, that said defendant automobile was or had been used for unlawful purposes as set forth in the Libel of Information filed herein.

WHEREFORE, it is by the Court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the possession of said defendant automobile be turned over to Meyer & Kiser, said intervenors, under the terms of their said mortgage; and they are hereby instructed to immediately begin the foreclosure of their said mortgage under the laws of the State of Oklahoma, and satisfy themselves for their indebtedness out of the proceeds; and if there be any sum of money derived at said sale above the incumbrance of said intervenors, then they are to turn over the surplus to Clerk of this Court,

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

C.E. Louis N. Stivers, Assistant U. S. Att'y.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 30, 1925. F.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. I.W.J.

Court adjourned until July, 31, 1925.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA, FRIDAY, JULY, 31, 1925.

On this 31st day of July, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, met pursuant to adjournment at Tulsa, Okla., Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal,
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATES OF OKLAHOMA.

F. L. SAWYER AND MAY GEIGER, nee Christian, Plaintiff.
vs.
W. W. HASTINGS, JAMES T. NEAL, DAVE COHN, LOU JORGENSEN, C. E. HOLTZENDORFF, P. W. HOLTZENDORFF, PERCY WYLY AND IDA WYLY, Defendants.
Law Number 181.

ORDER OF COURT FOR WARNING ORDER.

On this the 31st day of July, A. D. 1925, at the United States Court for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, at Tulsa, within said District, came on to be heard the application of F. L. Sawyer and May Geiger, nee Christian, plaintiffs in the above styled and numbered cause, for an order directing the absent defendant, James T. Neal, to appear and plead, answer or demur herein by a day certain, to be designated by the Court.

And it appearing to the Court that this suit is commenced by the plaintiffs who are resident citizens and inhabitants of the State of Kansas, residing in the City of Independence, within the Third Division of the District of the State of Kansas, to try the title to certain real estate in ejectment at law and to determine their claim to lands situated in the counties of Rogers and Washington, respectively, in the State of Oklahoma, being in the Northern District of said state, said suit being one in ejectment and said James T. Neal, defendant therein named is not an inhabitant of the said Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, nor is he to be found in said state and has not voluntarily appeared in said suit, and the court being of the opinion that said application should be granted.

IT IS ORDERED that the said James T. Neal shall appear, plead, answer or demur to the petition of the plaintiffs on or before the 11th day of October A. D. 1925, the same being the ___ day in and at the next term of this court, at the Court Room thereof, in the City of Tulsa, in the County of Tulsa, and in the State of Oklahoma.

That certified copies of this order and plaintiffs' petition be served upon the said James T. Neal 10 days before the date above named and that service be made on said defendant, James T. Neal, by the United States Marshal for the Third Division of the District of the State of Kansas.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk of this Court enter this order of record and certify the same for record and observance.

Done in open Court, in the City of Tulsa, and State of Oklahoma, and within the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, this the 31st day of July, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the United State Court for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma.

ATTEST: H. P. WARFIELD, Clerk of the United States Court for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma.

RECORDED Filed July, 31, 1925 Court adjourned until August 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, AUG. 1, 1925.

On this 1st day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. District Marshal
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

Court adjourned until August, 3, 1925.

On this 3rd. day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FRED H. KELLY AND CHARLES F. HOUGH, Plaintiffs, }

vs. }

MIDWEST AND GULF OIL CORPORATION, a corporation. Defendant. }

No. 3 Law.

O R D E R.

Now on this 3 day of August, 1925, it being called to the attention of the court that in the judgment entered in this cause in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendant on June 23, 1925, the Court failed to make or render any order herein having for its purpose the sustaining of the attachment heretofore had by plaintiffs in this cause of the following described property situated in Washington County, Oklahoma;

The leasehold estate for oil and gas covering the North Half of the North East Quarter of the Southeast Quarter and the Northwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter and the Southeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 36, Township 28 North, Range 13 East.

which said property is and has been heretofore held under order of this Court by H. G. Beard, United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, and being operated by him as Receiver thereof, and it appearing to the court that just and valid grounds do and have heretofore existed of and for the issuance of said order of attachment and the retention of said property thereunder, the court is of the opinion that said attachment should be sustained.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL-APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY AUGUST, 3, 1925.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED by this court, upon motion by plaintiffs, that said attachment be, and it hereby is, sustained in all things and in all respects, and said Receiver is hereby authorized and directed to retain the above and foregoing property in his possession under and by virtue of said attachment and his appointment as Receiver and to manage, control and operate the same in accordance with the orders of this court;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this order shall be considered as supplemental to the judgment and journal entry thereof heretofore entered by this Court in this cause on June 23, 1925.

Done in open court this the day and year first above set forth.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 3, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MIDLAND VALLEY RAILROAD COMPANY,

Plaintiff.

vs.

No. 163 Law.

TULSA STREET RAILWAY COMPANY,

Defendant.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 3rd. day of August, 1925, the above entitled case coming on for hearing upon the motion of the plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings, the parties being present by their attorneys and the Court having see and heard said motion and the motion having been presented, the defendant asks leave to verify its answer filed herein, which application was denied and the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds that the motion for judgment on the pleadings should be sustained, execution to be stayed for ten days from this date, and defendant given leave to file within said ten days any proper motion in event it finds any of the items included in the verified account are excessive.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the plaintiff herein have and recover of and from the defendant the sum of \$4528.94 with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from October 1, 1924, together with the costs of this action, execution to be stayed herein for a period of ten days from this date. To all of which the defendant excepts and such exceptions are allowed and supersedeas fixed at double the amount of the judgment.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O. K. O. E. Swen and Christy Russell.
O. K. Moss & Farner.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 3, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H. W. J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

MONDAY, AUGUST, 3, 1925.

ORDER OF COURT APPROVING ACCT. OF Wm. M. JENKINS, COMM'R.

ORDER OF COURT APPROVING UNITED STATES
COMMISSIONER'S ACCOUNT.

At a Stated Term of the District Court of the United States within and for the Northern District of Oklahoma begun and held at the Special April, 1925 Term on the 3rd. day of August, A. D. 1925,

Present, the Honorable Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge. Among the proceedings had were the following, to-wit:

WHEREAS Wm. M. Jenkins, United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma, has forwarded an account for his official services for the quarter ended June 30, 1925, duly certified by oath attached to the account, and the District Attorney has submitted said account for approval in open Court and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the services therein charged have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated; and it being proved to the satisfaction of the court that in each of the cases wherein more than one per diem is charged the hearing could not be completed in one day, two per diems in each of said cases are specially approved and allowed by the court; and whereas the charges in said account appear to be just and according to law, it is ordered that said account, amounting to Two Hundred Twenty Three and 85/100 Dollars (\$223.85) be, and the same is hereby approved this 3 day of August 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

United States of America,
Northern District of Oklahoma, SS.

I, H. P. Warfield, Clerk of the District Court for the said District, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of an order entered upon the Journal of said Court.

WITNESS my official signature and the seal of said Court at Tulsa, this 3rd. day of August, A. D. 1925.

((SEAL))

H. P. Warfield, Clerk.
By H. W. James, Deputy.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 3, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION.

On this 3rd. day of August, 1925, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court, that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the sum of

#325	United States	vs.	Robert Warren & Burten Belford.
#326	"	"	Tom Stondridge
#327	"	"	H. McAdams & Fred Stephenson
#328	"	"	Sidney Hunt, Reno Wilkenson Lee Car.
#329	"	"	B. A. Burns.
#330	"	"	Leu Cottrell
#331	"	"	Mary Wesley
#332	"	"	E. C. Matthis
#333	"	"	Frank Kendall
#334	"	"	Andrew Alberta
#335	"	"	Roy Garrison.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. AUGUST, MONDAY, 3, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 FRANK KENDALL, Defendant.) No. 333 Crim.

On this 3rd. day of August, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said information heretofore filed herein.

IT IS THEREUPON, now by the Court here considered, ordered, and adjudged that the said defendant Frank Kendall pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$50.00, and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 ANDREW ALBERTA, Defendant.) No. 334 Crim.

On this 3rd. day of August, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said information heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Andrew Alberta pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$50.00, and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 ROY GARRISON, Defendant.) # 335 Crim.

On this 3rd. day of August, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, arraigned and enters plea of Guilty as charged in said information heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Roy Garrison pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$25.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 H. Mc Adams, and Defendants.) # 327 Crim.
 Fred Stephenson,

On this 3rd. day of August, 1925, comes United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to withdraw information in above entitled cause.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, AUGUST, 3, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	No. 119 Law.
vs.			
ONE FORD TOURING AUTOMOBILE 1925 Model, Motor # 11749449.			

O R D E R

Now on this 3rd. day of August, 1925, it appearing to the Court The Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, is a bona fide holder of a chattel mortgage covering one Ford Touring Automobile, 1925 Model, Motor #11749449, which is one and the same car described as defendant in the within cause; that said bank holds said chattel mortgage to secure the payment of a promissory note executed by Alex McKay upon which there is a balance due of Three Hundred and Eighty-five and 80/100 Dollars (\$385.80); it further appearing that said note is past due and unpaid and the terms and conditions of said chattel mortgage have been broken, but that said bank has no knowledge that said car was to be used or was being used for the transportation of intoxicating liquors, if such be the case; and it further appearing that it is to the best interest of the United States government and to The Exchange National Bank of Tulsa that the possession of said car be delivered unto said bank to the end that the lien of said bank may be satisfied and whatever balance remains after ~~payment~~ such satisfaction shall be surrendered to the clerk of the within court; Now, Therefore;

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above described car be delivered into the possession of the said The Exchange National Bank of Tulsa and the marshal of the within Court is hereby ordered to so deliver said car to said bank.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said bank will proceed to advertise and sell in the manner provided by the statutes of the State of Oklahoma for the foreclosure of chattel mortgages the above described car and from the proceeds of said sale satisfy in full the lien of said bank against said car, including such costs and expenses as may be incurred by reason of said sale, and to surrender such balance remaining on hand after such satisfaction of such lien and costs to the clerk of the within court to await the further orders of this Court.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. Louis N. Stivers, U.S. District Attorney.
R. Elmo Thompson, Attorney for Exchange Nat'l Bank.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 3, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court
H.W.J.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	# 350 Crim.
vs.			
LON COTTBELL,	Defendant.		

On this 3rd. day of August, 1925, comes United States Attorney and asks and is granted leave to withdraw the information in above entitled cause.

Court adjourned until August, 4, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM

District of

OKLAHOMA.

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, AUGUST, 4, 1925.

On this 4th day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

The UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff.

vs.

ONE FORD COUPE AUTOMOBILE,
MOTOR # 10978274, LICENSE
111-577, 1925 MODEL,
Defendant.

No. 135 LAW.

UNITED STATES MORTGAGE AND
DISCOUNT COMPANY,
Intervenor.

ORDER RELEASING AUTOMOBILE TO INTERVENOR.

FOR SALE AND ACCOUNTING.

This matter coming on for hearing before the Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, the United States was present by Louis N. Stivers, Assistant United States District Attorney; the Intervenor, The United States Mortgage and Discount Company, was present by Arthur Ingraham, and Bert Lawton and Hunt & Egleton, its attorneys. The Court having examined the files and having heard evidence, and being fully advised in the premises, found that said intervenor is the owner and holder of a good, sufficient, valid and subsisting chattel mortgage lien on said automobile, securing the unpaid balance due on the sale price of said car in the sum of \$267.78 with interest thereon at the rate of 10 per centum per annum from the 8th day of July, 1925. That on or about the 23rd. day of February, 1925, Bert Gaddis Ford Dealer, and Sam Elam made and entered into a conditional sale contract whereby Bert Gaddis Ford Dealer sold and Sam Elam bought on conditional sales contract said Ford Coupe, more particularly described as

One New Ford Coupe, Model "T", Number 10978274

for the total sum of \$676.82, which sales agreement was evidenced by written sales contract. That thereafter for good and valuable consideration Bert Gaddis Ford Dealer sold, assigned and transferred said contract and all its rights, title and interest in and to same, and the property covered thereby to the United States Mortgage and Discount Company, and that the United States Mortgage and Discount Company is now the owner and holder of said contract and all interest thereunder. That there remains an unpaid balance due under said contract in the sum of \$267.78, with interest at the rate of 10 per centum per annum from the 8th day of July, 1925. That the terms, stipulations and agreements of said conditional sales contract which was given to secure the payment of the unpaid balance of said car, have been broken and that the intervenor herein is entitled to the immediate possession and foreclosure on said Ford Automobile under the terms of its agreement.

The Court further found that said intervenor had declared said conditional sales contract and chattel mortgage breached because of the failure of Sam Elam to comply with his term and has asked and demanded possession for the purpose of foreclosure, and that said intervenor had a first prior and superior lien to that of the United States of America herein.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, AUGUST, 4, 1925.

IT IS THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the lien of the United States Mortgage and Discount Company is a good, valid and subsisting lien on said automobile for the unpaid balance of \$267.78, with interest thereon at the rate of 10 per centum per annum from the 8th day of July, 1925, and for costs of foreclosure; and that said lien is prior and superior to the right, claim and interest of the United States of America in this cause.

IT IS FURTHER CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Ford Coupe Automobile Motor # 10978274, be and hereby is ordered released to the United States Mortgage and Discount Company for the purpose of foreclosing its lien.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States Mortgage and Discount Company, after due and proper foreclosure of its lien, account to this Court for said sale, and pay in to the Clerk of this Court for the United States of America, plaintiff herein, and any and all sums received or obtained by it on said foreclosure in excess of those sums due it under its lien, and that due report thereof be made into this Court within thirty days from this date. Storage to be paid by intervenor.

Dated this 4th day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. LOUIS N. STIVERS, Assistant United States Attorney.

O.K. Birt Lawton, Hunt & Egleton, Attorneys for Intervenor.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 4, 1925. H.P. Warfield, U.S. District Court Clerk H.W.J.

ORDER OF REMOVAL - SAM HULL -

ORDER OF REMOVAL.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA .)

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

To the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, GREETING:

Whereas, it has been made to appear that Sam Hull is indicted in the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma for the offense of Violation of National Prohibition Act and whereas the said Sam Hull having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there had in the presence of said defendant, it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said indictment, and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense charged, and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of One Thousand Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma on the first day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said Court. And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required, therefore:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said Sam Hull hence to the said Eastern District of Oklahoma and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 4th day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge for Northern
District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 4, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, AUGUST, 6, 1925.

On this 6th day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF
OKLAHOMA.

MARJORIE DAYTON,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
MISSOURI, KANSAS & TEXAS)
RAILROAD COMPANY, a)
Corporation.)
Defendant.)
No. 125 Law.

O R D E R .

Now on this 6th day of August, 1925, the same being one of the judicial days of the regular Special April 1925 term of this Court, come the parties hereto and file and present stipulation, by the terms of which it appears that all matters and things in controversy in the above entitled and numbered cause have been fully and finally settled, and that this cause shall be dismissed, with prejudice, at the costs of the defendant.

And the Court having seen the stipulation and being fully advised in the premises:

IT IS THEREFORE, CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, with prejudice, at the costs of the defendant.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 6, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H. W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MISSOURI & KANSAS - TEXAS RAILROAD)
COMPANY,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
I. KARCHNER,)
Defendant.)
No. 131- LAW.

O R D E R .

Now on this 6th day of August, 1925, the same being one of the judicial days of the Special April, 1925, term of this Court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, comes the plaintiff and files its written dismissal and motion to dismiss this case, with prejudice, at its costs, alleging that all matters in controversy have been settled, and the Court being fully advised in the premises.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, AUGUST, 6, 1925.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that this case be and it hereby is dismissed, with prejudice, at plaintiff's costs.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 6, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) #307 Cr.
ADOLPH TAYLOR, Defendant.)

On this 6th day of August, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that judgment and sentence in above entitled cause of June 29, 1925, be modified to read to as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendant Adolph Taylor, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$250.00 and stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail until said Fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered that the Marshal deliver to Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla. or cause to be delivered the body of said Adolph Taylor, without delay.

It is further ordered by the Court, that for the crime committed by said defendant, Adolph Taylor, count two of said information, that said defendant pay a fine of \$250.00, said fine to run on execution.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) #151 Cr.
O. A. SEXTON, Defendant.)

On this 6th day of August, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that judgment and sentence in above entitled cause of June, 12, 1925, be and same is hereby, modified to read as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant O. A. Sexton pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$150.00 same to run on execution.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OLD HONESTY OIL COMPANY, a corporation. Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 89 LAW.
ISAAC SHULER, CLARA B. SHULER, et al., Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Now on this day the above cause coming on upon the application of the defendant, Clara B. Shuler, for permission to withdraw motion to make more definite and certain and to file a demurrer herein, it is ordered,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST, 6, 1925.

adjudged and decreed that the said defendant, Clara B. Shuler, be, and she is hereby permitted to withdraw her motion to make more definite and certain and to file in its stead a demurrer.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 6, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H. W. J.

Court adjourned until August, 7th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, AUGUST, 7, 1925.

On this 7th day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, at Tulsa met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER OF REMOVAL - RALPH KNIGHT

ORDER OF REMOVAL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.)

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

To the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, GREETING:

Whereas, it has been made to appear that Ralph Knight, is indicted in the District Court of the United States for the Western District of Oklahoma for the offense of Violation Harrison Narcotic Act and whereas the said Ralph Knight having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there had in the presence of said defendant, it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said indictment, and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged, and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of Twenty Five Hundred Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Western District of Oklahoma on the first day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said Court. And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required, therefore:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said Ralph Knight hence to the said Western District of Oklahoma and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 6th day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge of the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 7, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, AUGUST, 7, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, WITHIN
AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE
OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	
HARRY NEAL, BOB NEAL and JACK NEAL,	Defendants.)	No. 284 Cr.

O R D E R.

This matter coming on to be heard before me, the undersigned Judge on this the 7 day of August, 1925, upon the Application of Defendant, Jack Neal, and the Court being fully advised of the premises finds that the Application should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Bond heretofore set in this cause be reduced in the sum of Two Thousand (\$2000.00 Dollars: such bond to be conditioned for the appearance of the said Jack Neal at the next Criminal Jury Term of this Court at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 7, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until August, 8, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, AUGUST, 8, 1925.

On this 8th day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverson, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION

On this 8th day of August, 1925, comes the United States Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and the Bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the sum of \$_____

#336 Cr. United States vs. T. W. Green

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) #336 Cr.,
T. W. GREEN,)
Defendant.)

On this 8th day of August, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, United States Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause, Defendant is present in person and by counsel, is arraigned and enters plea of Guilty to counts one, two and three, as charged in said information heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant T. W. Green, for the crime by committed as charged in the 1st count of the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law, and it is further

CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that the defendant, T. W. Green for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, further stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law. And it is further

CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that the defendant T. W. Green for the crime by him committed as charged in the third count of the information, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of thirty (30) days from this date, or, until released by due process of law, And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa, County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court, in my office at Tulsa, in said District, this 8th day of August, A. D. 1925.

((SEAL))

H. P. Warfield, Clerk
by Roy Cornett, Deputy.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, AUGUST, 8, 1925.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA) SS.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR SAID DISTRICT.

IN RE: QUARTERLY REPORT OF THE UNITED STATES MARSHAL, OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

O R D E R .

WHEREAS, Henry G. Beard, United States Marshal, has rendered to this Court an account of his disbursements under the several appropriations mentioned in the account current during the period from April, 1, 1925, to June 30, 1925, with the vouchers and items thereof, and in presence of John M. Goldsberry, United States Attorney, has proved, on oath, to the satisfaction of the Court that the services therein mentioned as having been rendered by the United States Marshal and his deputies have been actually and necessarily performed as therein stated, and that all the disbursements charged have been fully paid in lawful money; and whereas said charges appear to be just and according to law-

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the said account, amounting to Twenty one thousand Eight Hundred Ninety Six Dollars and twenty-five cents, be and the same is hereby approved.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 8, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H. W. J.

Court adjourned until August, 15, 1925.

On this 15th day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U.S. District Court.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

ORDER OF REMOVAL - R. Y. YOUNG.

ORDER OF REMOVAL. ✓

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.)

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES.

TO THE MARSHAL OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, Greeting:

WHEREAS, it has been made to appear that R. H. Young is indicted in the District Court of the United States for the Western District of Oklahoma, for the offense of Violation of National Prohibition Act, and whereas the said R. H. Young having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there had in the presence of said defendant, it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said indictment, and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of _____ Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Western District of Oklahoma on the first day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said Court. And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required therefore:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said R. H. Young hence to the said Western District of Oklahoma and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, AUGUST, 15, 1925.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 15th day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, U.S. District Judge for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 15, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

COURT ADJOURNED UNTIL AUGUST, 17, 1925.

On this 17th day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court. W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. District Attorney H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered; tow-it:

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.

NO. 274, Original.

May Term, 1925. Wednesday, May, 27, 1925.

C. W. BLAND, Petitioner, vs FRANKLIN E. KENNAMER, ON Petition for Writ of Prohibition.

This matter came on to be heard on the petition praying for a writ of prohibition, prohibiting the respondent to sit and act as Judge of the United States District Court in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and was argued by counsel.

On Consideration Whereof, It is now here ordered by this Court that the relief sought by said petition, be, and is hereby denied.

It is further ordered that the petition for writ of prohibition be, and the same is hereby dismissed at petitioner's costs, and that the attorneys for respondent have and recover against the petitioner, the sum of Twenty Dollars for their costs herein and have execution therefor.

May, 27, 1925.

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.

NO. 275, Original.

May Term 1925. Wednesday, May, 27, 1925.

PANSY COATNEY, Petitioner, vs FRANKLIN E. KENNAMER, On Petition for Writ of Prohibition.

This matter came on to be heard on the petition praying for a writ of prohibition, prohibiting the respondent to sit and act as Judge of the United States District Court in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and was argued by counsel.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, AUGUST, 17, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff.	}	No. 50 Law.
vs.			
SOUTHERN SURETY COMPANY, a corporation, et al.	Defendants.		

O R D E R .

Now on this 15th day of August, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard, upon the application of the defendants, for additional time within which to plead herein, and it appearing to the Court that there is good cause for allowing said motion,

IT IS THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the time of the defendants to plead to the petition herein be, and the same is extended to the 15th day of October, 1925,

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

Court adjourned until August, 18, 1925.

On this 18th day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had.

Court adjourned until August, 19, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, AUGUST, 19, 1925.

On this 19th day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
W. L. Goffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CLARK WASSON, Receiver of the First National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma. Plaintiff.

vs.

Law No. 32.

ANDY W. POSEY, JOHN R. BROWN AND PERRY MCKAY, Defendants.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

NOW, on this 19th day of August, 1925 this cause came on for hearing upon the motion of plaintiff for judgment by default. The Court, being advised in the premises, finds:

That this suit was filed on November, 13th., 1924, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma; that said suit was duly transferred to the Northern District of Oklahoma, according to law: that the defendants have been duly served with process: that on January, 19th, 1925, motion to quash service of summons was over-ruled by the Court and defendants given time in which to plead; that defendants are in default: that defendants, Andy W. Posey, John R. Brown and Perry McKay, are indebted to plaintiff jointly and severally in the sum of Six Hundred Sixty-Two Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$662.50) upon a promissory note, dated February 23rd., 1922, due April 22nd, 1922, which sum includes interest at the rate of ten per cent (10%) per annum from April, 22nd. 1922, until July, 22nd. 1925, and the further sum of ten per cent. (10%) per annum from July, 22nd. 1925, until paid, and the further sum of Seventy-Seven Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$77.50) attorney's fees, as provided in the note.

That the original note sued on herein was duly offered in evidence and ordered cancelled by the Court.

It is, therefore, CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff have judgment against the defendants, Andy W. Posey, John R. Brown and Perry McKay, and each of them jointly and severally, for the sum of Six Hundred Sixty-Two Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$662.50), together with interest thereon, at the rate of ten per cent. (10%) per annum from July, 22nd, 1925, until paid, and the further sum of Seventy-Seven Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$77.50) attorneys fees, as provided in said note, and for the costs of this action taxed at _____ dollars.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 19, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

Court adjourned until August, 20th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, AUGUST, 20, 1925.

On this 20th day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April, session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered.

Court adjourned until August, 21, 1925.

On this 21st day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April, session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CLARK WASSON, Receiver of
First National Bank of
Sapulpa, Oklahoma,
Plaintiff.

vs.

PERRY McKAY,
Defendant.

No. 33 Law.

O R D E R .

Now on this 21st day of August, 1925, this matter came on for hearing on the motion of the plaintiff to dismiss the cause with prejudice, and it appearing to the Court that the matter has been settled pursuant to the order this day entered in case No. 74 Equity, and that the defendant has paid all costs and the note sued on has been filed with the Clerk and marked "cancelled."

It is ordered and adjudged that said cause be, and the same hereby is, dismissed with prejudice.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. B. C. Conner, Att'y for Deft.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 21, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1926 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, AUGUST, 21, 1925.

ORDER FIXING FILING FEES AND MISCELLANEOUS FEES.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

O R D E R .

At Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District, on this 21 day of August, 1925, before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of said Court;

It is ordered that the Clerk of this Court may at his discretion require in each civil case from the person docketing such case a deposit of Twenty-Five (\$25.00) Dollars, and such additional sum as he deems necessary where there are two or more defendants, and that all costs in such case may be taxed against such deposit; with the exception that the Clerk may require cross-petitioners, interveners, or parties, separately appearing or filing any papers or answers in such suit or proceeding to make a deposit of \$2.00 for each answer or paper so filed; And, provided further, that in any criminal case, upon the entering of a plea of not guilty by any defendant, there shall be charged and taxed in the costs of said case, a fee of \$5.00 for each defendant entering such plea, but the Clerk shall not be required to account for any such fee not collected by him.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of this Court may, at his discretion, require in each civil case where a receiver is appointed by a court of jurisdiction in said Northern District of Oklahoma a deposit for costs of \$25.00, or such additional sum as may appear necessary, and that all costs incurred by the Receiver or incident to the Receivership may be taxed against such deposit.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 21, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES,
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

H. C. HOCKADAY, as Administrator of the
estate of JOHN C. HOCKADAY, deceased, Plaintiff.

vs.

PETROLEUM DRILLING CORPORATION, a
corporation, and the PRAIRIE OIL &
GAS COMPANY, a corporation, Defendants.

No. 154 Law.

O R D E R .

Now, on this 21 day of August, A. D. 1925, the above matter coming on for hearing upon application of the Prairie Oil & Gas Company for additional time within which to file answer, and it appearing to the Court that the failure of the Prairie Oil & Gas Company to file answer within the time allowed by law was because of the pendency of negotiation between the plaintiff and its codefendant for a settlement of all matter involved in this controversy and that such settlement has been agreed upon and requires but the formal approval of the County Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, before becoming effective.

It is therefore Ordered that The Prairie Oil & Gas Company be and it is hereby given thirty (30) days additional time, to be computed from this date, within which to file answer in the above case.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of United States District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 21, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L.W. J.

Court adjourned until Aug. 22, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL SESSION

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, AUGUST, 22, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WORLD PUBLISHING COMPANY,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 56 Law. ✓
JAMES C. DAVIS, AGENT,	Defendant.)	
TULSA PAPER COMPANY,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 57 Law. ✓
JAMES C. DAVIS, AGENT.	Defendant.)	
DEMOCRAT PRINTING COMPANY,	Plaintiff)	
vs.)	No. 58 Law. ✓
JAMES C. DAVIS, AGENT.	Defendant.)	

O R D E R.

Upon application of defendant and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered and adjudged that defendant have an extention of time up to and including October, 1, 1925, within which to prepare and file brief in the above numbered and styled causes.

Dated this 22 day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H.P.W.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN
AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OLD HONESTY OIL COMPANY,)	
a corporation,)	
vs.)	No. 89 Law. ✓
ISAAC SHULER, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME.

This matter coming on to be heard this 22nd. day of August, 1925, upon the petition of Peter Deichman, Special Master herein for extention of time to file his report, and it appearing to the Court that it is necessary that said time be extended,

It is therefore ordered and adjudged that the time for filing said report be and the same is hereby extended 30 days from this date.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August. 22, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until August, 24, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, AUGUST, 24, 1925.

On this 24th day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U.S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
James Hudson, Esq., Bailiff, (1 day)

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

CHARLES PAGE, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 183 Law.
U. S. COMPRESSION INNER TUBE)
COMPANY, et al., Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Upon application of the plaintiff and for good cause shown, and it appearing to the court that defendants have not filed their answer, or other pleading, herein, it is hereby ordered and adjudged that plaintiff be and he is hereby granted permission to amend his petition in the above numbered and styled cause by endorsing thereon a verification by one of plaintiff's counsel, subscribed and sworn to before an officer authorized to administer oaths, that the allegations made in said petition are true and correct.

It is further ordered and adjudged that defendants and each of them be, and they are hereby, granted thirty days from date hereof within which to plead to plaintiff's petition as amended.

Dated this 18 day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States Judge.

O.K. Mason, Honnold, Carter and Harper,
Attys. for U. S. Compression Inner Tube Co.

Owen Yancey & Fiet,
Attys. for George Harrison, Trustee.

ENDORSED: Filed August 24, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.P.W.

UNITED STATES, PLAINTIFF.)
vs.) Crim # 337.
HENRY STARR, DEFENDANT.)

On this 24th day of August, 1925, plea of guilty of defendant, in above entitled cause, entered August, 22, 1925, is hereby rejected and case ordered referred to next session of Grand Jury.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, AUGUST, 24, 1925.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	Crim # 249
vs.			
PEARL SPENCER,	Defendant.		

On this 24th day of August, 1925, the sentence heretofore imposed, on defendant in above entitled cause, under date of June 29th., 1925, which read "Five months in Tulsa County Jail", is hereby modified to read as follows: "Ninety days in Tulsa County Jail" said confinement to run from date of imprisonment.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff	}	No. 99 law.
vs.			
ONE FORD AUTOMOBILE, Motor #6881055, License #35441.	Defendant.		

O R D E R.

Now on this 24 day of August, 1925, this cause coming on for hearing upon the application and answer in intervention of Walter Love, alleging that he is the owner and holder of a bona fide mortgage upon said car, a copy of which is presented; that he did not consent to or have any knowledge of the intention to, nor the act of transporting said whiskey in said car by the defendant; and having formally offered the payment of the cost and storage in said cause against said car, which said intervener acknowledges would be taxed in the event of the sale of the said car.

Now, therefore, it is by the court ordered that upon the payment of said cost and storage, the aforesaid car be delivered to the said Walter Love by the United States Marshal at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Done this 24 day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. Louis N. Stivers,
Assistant United States Attorney.

O.K. _____
Attorney for Interveners

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 24, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

CITATION FOR APPEARANCE OF JOHN WARD, DAN WARD AND HENRY STARR:

C I T A T I O N .

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO JOHN WARD, DAN WARD AND HENRY STARR, GREETINGS:

You and each of you are hereby cited and admonished to be and appear in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, at the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the 26th day of August, 1925, pursuant to a complaint filed in the Clerk's office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, wherein the United States of America is plaintiff and you are defendants, to show cause, if any there be, why you and each of you should not be adjudged in contempt of Court for and on account of conduct on the part of you and each of you as alleged and set forth in said Complaint.

WITNESS the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma this 24th day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge of United States Court
for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

Endorsed; Filed Aug. 24, 1925. H.P. Warfield Clerk U.S. District Court.

Court adjourned until August, 25, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL-APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, AUGUST, 25, 1925.

On this 25th day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April session, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
Marshal Moore, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs.
EDWARD LOVE, Defendant.
CRIM # 181.

On this 25th day of August, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause, and proper showing having been made to the Court the prisoner, Edward Love, was ordered paroled unto the custody of A. E. Scruggs, C/O of American Legion Service for the unexpired term of his sentence.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs.
HARRY NEAL, BOB NEAL AND JACK NEAL, Defendants.
No. 284

ORDER.

This matter coming on to be heard before me the undersigned Judge on this the 25 day of August, 1925, upon the application of the Defendant, Bob Neal to reduce his Bond and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that the Appearance Bond in this cause should be reduced.

IT IS THEREFORE, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Appearance Bond heretofore set in this cause be and the same is hereby reduced from the sum of Five Thousand (\$5000.00) Dollars to the sum of Two Thousand (\$2000.00) Dollars, to be conditioned as required by law in this character of cases.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 25, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until August, 26, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST, 26, 1925.

On this 26th day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
Marshal Moore, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. T. SMITH AND W. L. RANSOM, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 138 Law.
KATIE FIXICO, ET AL., Defendants.)

O r d e r.

Now on this 26 day of August, 1925, this matter came on for hearing upon the application of plaintiffs for leave to file an amended petition herein, and the Court being fully advised in the premises doth find that plaintiffs are entitled as matter of right to file said amended petition herein.

WHEREFORE, it is by the Court ordered, considered adjudged and decreed that plaintiffs be and they are hereby given leave to file an amended petition herein.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 26, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L. W. J.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Crim # 338.
JOHN WARD, DAN WARD AND HENRY STARR, Defendants.)

On this 26th day of August, 1925, above entitled cause came on for hearing upon demurrer filed herein. Thereupon said demurrer is presented to the Court and arguments of counsel are heard thereon, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, it is by the Court ordered that said demurrer be and same is overruled. Thereupon defendants move to quash said citation heretofore filed herein, whereupon it was by the Court overruled.

It is further ordered by the Court that the contempt case vs. above entitled defendants be, and same is hereby dismissed.

Court adjourned until August, 27, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, AUGUST, 27, 1925.

On this 27th day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq.,	Clerk U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq.,	Asst. U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq.,	U. S. Marshal.
Marshal Moore, Esq.,	Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER FOR GRAND JURY

On this the 27th day of August, 1925, it is ordered by the Court, that there be publicly drawn by the Marshall of the Northern District of Oklahoma, or one of his Deputies, in the presence of the Clerk, or one of his Deputies, and the Jury Commissioner, in accordance with law and the rules of this Court, the name of twenty-three (23) persons, good and lawful men, from said District, duly qualified to serve as Grand Jurors at the Special April, 1925, term of this Court to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

It is further ordered by the Court that a writ of Venire Facias be issued out of this Court, in due form as provided by law, commanding the Marshal to summon said Grand Jurors, drawn as aforesaid, to be and appear before said Court, to be held in Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, on Monday, the 21st day of September, 1925, at 9 o'clock A. M., then and there to serve as Grand Jurors of the United States in and for said District at the Special April, 1925, term of said Court.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 27, 1925, @ 4:30 P.M. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. Court.
H.P.W.

Court adjourned until August, 28, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, AUGUST, 28, 1925.

On this 28th day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
Marshal Moore, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FRED H. KELLY AND CHARLES F. HOUGH, Plaintiffs.)
vs.) No. 3 Law.
MIDWEST & GULF OIL CORPORATION,)
A CORPORATION.)
Defendant.)

O R D E R.

Now in this 28 day of August, 1925, upon application of the plaintiffs, it is ordered that Henry G. Beard, the receiver herein, be and he is hereby directed to continue in possession and operation of the Oil and Gas lease in his possession as such receiver; that he continue to collect the proceeds of the sale of oil therefrom and to pay the operating expenses of said lease as he has heretofore done, until the further order of this Court; that he forthwith pay all of the costs accrued in this proceeding in order that cash advancements heretofore made by the plaintiffs may be reimbursed; that he pay all outstanding bills for operating expenses, and that the balance remaining in his hands be paid over to the plaintiffs or their attorneys, to be applied upon the amount due the plaintiff under their judgment herein; and that hereafter, without further order from the Court, he pay to said plaintiffs or their attorneys, monthly, the balance remaining in his hands after the payment of all operating expenses, until such time as said judgment is satisfied in full or until the further order of this Court.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O. K. as to form, Rogers & Jones.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 28, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court.
L.W.J.

Court Adjourned until August, 31, 1925

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, AUGUST, 31, 1925.

On this 31st day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
Henry G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	Crim # 280.
vs.			
J. P. HARDING,	Defendant.		

On this 31st day of August, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to counts one and two as charged in the indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant J. P. Harding, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of Two (2) Years from date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT The defendant J. P. Harding for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas and confined for the term of One (1) year ~~from date of delivery~~, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

ORDERED, that said sentence of confinement shall run consecutively.

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION

On this 31st. day of August, 1925, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and the bond of each defendant be fixed in the sum of Twenty-five Hundred Dollars.

#339 Cr.	United States vs.	J. D. Chilcoat.
#340 "	" "	W. A. Rogers & M.L. Britton
#341 "	" "	Ralph Cheatham, Mark Johnson and Lem Mason.
#342 "	" "	Charlie Franklin
#343 "	" "	Richard Williams.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	#339 Cr.
vs.			
J. D. CHILCOAT,	Defendant.		

On this 31st day of August, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Thereupon it is by the Court ordered, that above entitled cause be, and same is hereby dismissed.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, AUGUST, 31, 1925.

to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law.

And it is further ordered that the Marshal of said District transport the said Mark Johnson, to the said Tulsa Co. Jail, at Tulsa, Okla. and deliver him to the keeper of the said Tulsa County Jail at Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	Crim. # 342.
CHARLIE FRANKLIN,	Defendant.)	

On this 31st day of August, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to Counts one and two as charged in the information heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered, and adjudged that the defendant Charlie Franklin, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred (\$200.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the defendant Charlie Franklin for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the information, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of Ninety (90) days from this date, or, until released by due process of law.

And it is further ordered that the Marshal of said District transport the said Charlie Franklin to the said Tulsa Co., jail, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, and deliver him to the keeper of the said Tulsa County Jail, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	Crim # 343.
RICHARD WILLIAMS,	Defendant.)	

On this 31st day of August, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person arraigned and enters plea of guilty to counts one and two as charged in said information heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered ordered, and adjudged that the defendant Richard Williams, for the crime by him committed as charged in the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Three Hundred Fifty (\$350.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law.

And it is further ordered that the Marshal of said District transport the said Richard Williams, to the said Tulsa Co. Jail, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, and deliver him to the keeper of the said Tulsa County Jail, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM. TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY AUGUST, 31, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GEORGE T. WOLFE, by his next)	
friend, CHARLES E. WOLFE,	Plaintiff.)
)	
vs.)	
)	No. 66 Law.
GYPSY OIL COMPANY, a corporation)	
Defendant.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now, on this the 31st day of August, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard on the demurrer of the defendant to the petition of the plaintiff pending hearing of demurrer, the plaintiff asks and is given permission to amend his petition in said cause by inserting by interlineation the allegation that plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Oklahoma and thereupon the plaintiff so amends his petition and the demurrer of defendant is overruled and defendant given five days in which to plead or twenty days from this date in which to answer said amended petition.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 31, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk By H. W. James, Deputy.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MINEERVA BRIDGES, ADMINISTRATRIX)	
OF THE ESTATE OF C. E. BRIDGES,)	
DECEASED.	Plaintiff.)
)	
vs.)	No. 129.
)	
COSDEN OIL & GAS COMPANY, A CORPOR)	
ATION, COSDEN PIPE LINE COMPANY, A)	
CORPORATION, COSDEN AND COMPANY, A)	
CORPORATION AND COSDEN & COMPANY, A)	
CORPORATION, AND ST. LOUIS-SAN FRAN)	
SISCO RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation.)	
Defendants.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 31st day of August, 1925, and in open Court, comes the plaintiff by Maben and Fulling, her attorneys, and comes also the defendant St. Louis-San Francisco Railway Company by B. F. Franklin its attorney, and the other defendants, though duly notified do not appear, and the Court having heard the argument of counsel upon plaintiff's motion to vacate the former order made in this cause over-ruling plaintiff's motion to remand and to now remand this cause to the District Court of Pawnee County, Oklahoma, and the plaintiff's counsel having announced in open court that the plaintiff is relying upon a common law action against the defendants and not upon the Federal Employer's Liability Act against any or either of the defendants. And the Court finds that the theory of plaintiff's petition is a common law action against the defendants and is not based upon the Federal Employer's Liability Act, and that the plaintiff's petition states a joint liability against the defendants and that the cause of action stated against the defendants is not separable, and that this cause is not removable from the State Court to the Federal Court and that this Court has no jurisdiction of the cause of action stated in the plaintiff's petition and the Court further finds that the plaintiff's motions should each be sustained.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

~~SPECIAL~~ APRIL, 1925 TER, M TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, AUGUST, 31, 1925.

IT IS THEREFORE, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court:

First, that the order made in this cause on September, 22, 1924, over-ruling plaintiff's motion to reman this cause be, and the same is, hereby vacated, set aside and held for naught, to which actions of the Court, defendants excepts and exceptions is allowed.

Second, That the plaintiff's said motion to remand this cause, filed on August 16, 1924 and over-ruled on September, 22, 1924, together with the motion of plaintiff now pending to remand this cause be, and the same are, hereby sustained, and this cause is now hereby remanded to the District Court of Pawnee County, Oklahoma, for further proceedings. To all of which the defendant Railway Co. excepts.

F. E. Kennamer,

Judge, United States District Court,

O. K. MABEN & FULLING, Atty's for Plff.
O. K. Ben Franklin, by E. J. Doenen.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 31, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk
By H. W. James, Deputy.

Court adjourned until September, 1, 1925.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM.

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 1, 1925.

On this 1st day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, met at Tulsa, pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq.,	Clerk of U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq.,	Assistant U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq.,	U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq.,	Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	# 245 Cr.
CLAUDE COUCH,	Defendant.)	

On this 1st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that judgment and sentence imposed June 29th., 1925, on Claude Couch, Defendant in above entitled cause, be, and same hereby is, modified to read as follows; Count 1, 60 days in Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla., and fined \$100.00 on execution and on count two, fine of \$100.00 on execution.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

A. J. WARDELL,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 113 Law.
MIDLAND VALLEY RAILROAD COMPANY,	Defendant.)	

O R D E R.

This cause came on to be heard this 1st day of September, 1925, on the motion to make more definite and certain heretofore filed by the defendant, the parties being present by their respective attorneys and said motion having been presented, the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that the same should be overruled, and accordingly:

It is by the Court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the motion to make more definite and certain heretofore filed by the defendant be and the same hereby is overruled.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant be allowed ten days from this date within which to plead herein, or fifteen days from this date within which to answer.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

O. K. W. N. Maben, Attorney for plaintiff.

O.K. Christy Russell Attorney for Defendant.

ENDORSED: Filed Sept. 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H. W. J.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER, 5, 1925

On this 5th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge present and presiding.

H. W. James, Deputy Court Clerk.

ORDER OF REMOVAL

ORDER OF REMOVAL.

UNITE STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

TO THE MARSHAL OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, GREETING:

Whereas, it has been made to appear that J. I. Haggard is indicted in the Western District Court of the United States for the Western District of Oklahoma for the offense of violation of Motor Vehicle Theft Act. and whereas the said J. I. Haggard having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there had in the presence of said defendant it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said indictment, and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged, and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of Dollars with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Western District of Oklahoma on the first day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said Court. And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required therefore:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said J. I. Haggard hence to the said Western District of Oklahoma and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dwelt with according to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 5th day of September, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge for Northern
District of Oklahoma.

endorsed; Filed Sep. 5, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H. W. J.

Court adjourned until September, 7, 1925.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 7, 1925.

on this 7th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. F. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
 W. L. Coffey, Esq., Assistant U. S. District Attorney
 H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal
 W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER ASSIGNING LAW MOTIONS AND DEMURRERS FOR HEARING IN TULSA, OKLA.

On this 7th day of September, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that Motions and Demurrers in the following named and numbered cases, be assigned for hearing in the Federal Court room in Tulsa, Okla. on Monday, September, 21, 1925.

MONDAY SEPTEMBER, 21, 1925.
 MOTIONS & DEMURRERS.

#.2	Nennis Pogue,	vs.	
	Texas & Pacific Ry. Co.		Special App & Mo. to Remand
37	W. Ferrier,	vs.	
	S. A. Kenyer, et al.		Demurrer.
53	First Natl. Bank of K. C.	vs.	
	Security State Bank of Miami		Mo. Make Def & Cert.
61	St. L. S. F. Ry. Co.	vs.	
	Joe Weir, Co. Treas. Ottawa Co.		Demurrer.
75	Mary Mahan, et al.,	vs.	
	St. L. S. F. Ry. Co.		Demurrer.
78	Guy Patten, et al.	vs.	
	St. L. S. F. Ry. Co.		Mo. to Dismiss.
79	Toy Riggs, Admx, etc.	vs.	
	St. L.S. F. Ry. Co.		Demurrer.
85	Hallie M. Jones,	vs.	
	J. C. Kinkel, Jr.,		Mo. Make Def. & Cert.
86	J. O. Irwin,	vs.	
	Gypsy Oil Co. et al.		Mo. Make Def & Cert. Mo. Make Specific Def & Cert.
87	Mary Adair, Admx. etc.	vs.	
	St. L. S. F. Ry. Co.		Mo. to Remand.
89	The Old Honesty Oil Co.	vs.	
	Isaac Shuler		Demurrer.
91	F. L. Johnson,	vs.	
	Minnehoma Oil Co.		Mo. to quash
96	Dora Morelan	vs.	
	Midland Valley Ry. Co.		Mo. to set aside former ruling on Motion to Remand Motion to Remand Motion to require security for costs and to stay proceed- ings.
101	U. S. of A.	vs.	
	One Ford Touring Auto		Mo, to vacate Order.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 7, 1925.

107	H. I. McClain, et al., Central Torpedo Co. et al.	vs.	Mo to Remand.
108	W. S. Smith, et al., Laurel Oil & Gas Co.	vs.	Mo. make Def. & Cert.
112	James A. Green, W. K. Hoagland, et al.	vs.	Mo. to Quash.
121	Cora Wass Laurel Oil & Gas Co.	vs.	Mo. make Def. & Cert.
122	J. G. Bennett, Prairie Oil & Gas Co.	vs.	Mo. Make Def. & Cert
124	James Stanton, et al., W. A. Crowe, et al.,	vs.	Mo. to Dismiss.
138	J. T. Smith, et al., Katie Fixico, et al.	vs.	Demurrer of Katie Fixico Demurrer of Riverside Oil & Refining Co. Demurrer of Carpathis Oil Co
139	Mary G. Daniel, Magnolia Pet. Co. et al.	vs.	Mo. to Remand.
142	U. S. of A. One Ford Coupe Auto	vs.	General Demurrer.
146	St. L. S. F. Ry. Co. B. A. Lehw, Co. Treas., Pawnee Co.	vs.	Demurrer.
147	St. L. S. F. Ry. Co. Noah Wisenhunt Co. Treas. Rogers, Co.	vs.	Demurrer.
148	St. L. S. F. Co. W. W. Stuckey, Co. Treas, Tulsa, Col., Okla.	vs.	Demurrer State Oklahoma Demurrer W. W. Stuckey.
149	Thomas R. Smith, Adms, etc. The Texas Company, a corp.	vs.	Spel. App. Motion.
153	J. F. McMarmon Thompson & Block, Inc.	vs.	Demurrer.
154.	H. C. Hockaday, Admx, etc. Petroleum Drilling Corp.	vs.	Sep. Mo. to Strike. Spl App. & Mo. to Quash
155	Walter G. Boling, Cosden & Co., et al.	vs.	Mo. Make Def. & Cert.
171	W. W. McCaules, Adms, etc., M. P. Ry. Co.	vs.	Demurrer & Mo. to Dismiss
181	F. L. Sawyer, W. W. Hastings, et al.	vs.	Spl. App. & Mo.
182	Sussie Hyall, U. S. Casualty Co.	vs.	Mo. to require Plff to make Def. & Cert.
193	Emma E. Priest, Admx, etc. St. L. S. F. Ry. Co.	vs.	Mo. to Remand.
197	C. W. Bradley, M. V. Ry. Co.	vs.	Mo. to require Security fo costs and to stay proceed- ings.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 7, 1925.

CRIMINAL MOTIONS & DEMURRERS
MONDAY SEPTEMBER, 21,
1925.

#91	United States, Price Boles,	vs.	Demurrer.
#257	United States, William Cook & Jasper Cox	vs.	Mo. to Quash
258	United States William Cook & Jasper Cox	vs.	Mo. to Quash
321	United States J. D. Harnsberger (George Hunter, Intervenor)	vs.	Mo. for Order of Sale.

Court adjourned until September, 8, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 8, 1925.

On this 8th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Assistant U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GUY PATTEN AND
G. H. MIDDLEKAMP, Plaintiffs.)
vs.) No. 78 Law.
THE ST. LOUIS SAN FRANCISCO)
RAILWAY COMPANY, a CORP.,)
Defendant.)

ORDER DISMISSING CAUSE AS TO G. H. MIDDLEKAMP.

Upon motion of attorney for plaintiffs, showing good reason therefor, it is ordered that this cause be dismissed as to G. H. Middlekamp, and retained unimpaired as to Guy Patten.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED Filed Sep. 8, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H. W. J.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 138 Cr.
LESLIE (SHORTY) LANE,)
Defendant.)

On this 8th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that the Judgment and Sentence of June 10th, 1925, imposed on Leslie (Shorty) Lane, defendant, in above entitled cause, be and same hereby is, modified to read as follows: Count one, \$150.00 fine to run on execution.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 148 Cr.
LESLIE (SHORTY) LANE,)
Defendant.)

On this 8th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that the Judgement & Sentence of June 10, 1925, imposed on Leslie (Shorty) Lane, defendant, in above entitled cause, be and same hereby is, modified to read as follows: Count one, 90 days in Jail and \$100.00 fine to run on execution.

Court adjourned until September, 9, 1925.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 9, 1925.

On this 9th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Assistant U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION.

On this 9th day of September, 1925, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and bond be fixed in the sum of \$2500.00.
344 Louis Kratzer

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. Cr. # 344.
LOUIS KRATZER, Defendant.

Now on this 9th day of September, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said information heretofore filed herein.

IT IS THEREUPON by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant, Louis Kratzer, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$100.00, and stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla., without delay.

Court adjourned until September, 10, 1925.

On this 10th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer Judge, present and presiding.

H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.
W.L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. # 336 Cr.
T. W. GREEN, Defendant.

On this 10th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the judgment and sentence of August, 8, 1925, in above entitled cause be, and same hereby is, modified to be as follows: Count 1, Fine 20.00 on execution, and Count two, fine of \$50.00, and Count three, thirty days in Tulsa County Jail, from August, 8, 1925.

Court adjourned until September, 11, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER, 11, 1925.

On this 11th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Assistant U.S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs. No. 90 Crim.
GEORGE RAY, Defendant.

Now comes L. J. Katz of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, and asks the Court to parole the above named defendant, George Ray, to his custody for the remainder of his sentence imposed June, 6, 1925, at which time said defendant was sentenced to serve six (6) months in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and to pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, and for good cause shown, it is

ORDERED, that the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, released the said defendant George Ray, unto the said L. J. Katz under parole on good behavior for remainder of sentence imposed June 6, 1925.

Done this 11th day of September, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 11, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. H. W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs. No. 142 Law.
ONE FORD COUPE, Automobile,
Motor #8252227, License # 389F292,
1923 Model. Defendant.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

This case coming on to be heard on motion of the plaintiff, filed herein, on this 10th day of September, 1925; and plaintiff being present by its attorney; and the court being advised and finding the facts stated in said motion to be true and as follows, to-wit:

That the within Libel was filed under Section 3450 of the Revised Statute, and that such section since then has been held by the Circuit Court of Appeals of the Fifth Circuit to be repealed and inoperative.

That the defendant, H. A. Fortman the owner of said car was apprehended in Osage County in the car possessing certain liquors, but at the time of his arrest said car was not in motion, and was not transporting the liquor seized.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRI , 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER, 11, 1925.

That the defendant is scheduled for indictment charged with the possession of liquor in Osage County, and that the basis for forfeiture under the Indian law is the introduction of liquor in the Indian Country in the vehicle seized and the facts in the case do not show that said liquor was introduced into the Indian Country or that the car was in the act of transporting liquor when seized; and that there remains no facts upon which said automobile can be forfeited.

THEREFORE, on finding the above facts to be true, it is hereby ordered and decreed by the court that the automobile above described, be released and returned to its owner, the said H. A. Fortman, and that the libel herein be dismissed.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O. K. Louis N. Stivers, Assistant U. S. Attorney.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 11, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. L. W. J.

H. J. ROSENBERGER, Plaintiff.)
vs.) #45 Law.
ISAAC SHULER, Defendant.)

On this 11th day of September, 1925, it is ordered that the above entitled cause be, and same hereby is, stricken from assignment of September, 14, 1925 and continued to October, 26, 1925 Jury term of Court.

It is further ordered that E. N. Fischer and W. J. Birch, witnesses in the above entitled cause, appear at that time.

Court adjourned until September, 12, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER, 12, 1925.

On this 12th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon F. E. Kemmer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
 W. L. Coffey, Esq., Assistant U. S. District Attorney.
 H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.

Thereupon public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION

On this 12th day of September, 1925, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

vs.

\$345	Mattie E. Long,	Bond \$1000.00
346	E. E. Riddle	500.00
347	Roger Hays,	1500.00
348	L. M. Bartley	1000.00
349	Cornelius Hunter	1000.00
350	Edward Love, Alias Mr. Frenchy	1000.00
351	Cornelius Love, Alias Mrs Frenchy	1000.00
352	Vina Bryant	1500.00
353	Will Bryant	1000.00
354	Luella Dixon	1000.00
355	Robert Farris	1000.00
356	Ed King	1500.00
357	C. T. Harris	500.00
358	Robert Falds	1000.00
359	Archie McClenahan	1000.00
360	Guessie Scruggs	1000.00
361	Chester McBride	1000.00
362	Asa Gibbs	500.00
363	Georgis Williams and Jim Williams	1000.00
364	Alice Wilson	1000.00
365	R. A. Davis	1000.00
366	Oscar Hunt	1000.00
367	Mike Drewniak, Eva Snaligo	1000.00
368	Offord Rollins	500.00
369	R. L. Gleason	1500.00
370	Will Humphrey	1000.00
371	W. E. Saunders,	1000.00
372	Georgia Williams	1000.00
373	Virgil Bowline & Harry Cox	2000.00
374	W. J. Thompson	2500.00
375	W. C. Willard Jeff Smith	1000.00
376	Sam Parish	500.00
377	Troy Johnson	1000.00
378	Fabo Clark	1000.00
379	P. W. Ward	1000.00
380	W. Thompson	1000.00
381	Edith Booth and Elizabeth King	1000.00
382	Riley Childers	1000.00
383	J. T. Gregg	1500.00
384	Orilla Coslin	1500.00
385	Joe Sullivan	1000.00
386	Curtis Jones	1000.00

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

vs.

#386	Curtis Jones	1000.00
387	J. F. Sexton	3000.00
388	Mahala Henry	1500.00
389	M. G. Simmons	1500.00
390	John Stephens	1500.00
391	George C. Hunter	2000.00
392	T. A. Porter	1500.00
393	Fred Sims	1500.00
394	A. Harris	2000.00
395	Elmer Green	1500.00
396	Bert Dygers	1000.00
397	W. R. Amcitt	1000.00
398	Irene Brott	1000.00
399	Bertha Lee Thompson	1500.00
400	John Gentry	1500.00
401	Benny Mayberry	1500.00
402	Garnette Maupins & Mack McElwee	1500.00
403	(Rolland Laws, L. E. McCowan Jackie McCowan F. W. Corn	2000.00
404	Itover Patton	2500.00
405	Howard Wilson	2500.00
406	Dude Baugh	1000.00
407	C. L. Chastine) E. J. Kellogg) W. W. Hamilton) A. W. Warren)	2500.00
408	H. Scott	2500.00
409	Vesta Darby	1000.00
410	Joe Johnson	2500.00
411	Robert Hoovermale	1000.00
412	Leo Lam	2000.00
413	Jeff Sullivan	2000.00
414	Joe Walker	1000.00
415	Chester Baker	1500.00
416	Gus Spess	1500.00
417	Maciej Drewniak	1500.00
418	L. C. May	1000.00
419	George O'Neal	2000.00
420	Ora Chambers	1500.00

court adjourned until September, 14, 1925.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 1925

On this 14th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April, 1925, session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Assistant U. S. District Attorney,
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ADMISSION TO BAR

ON this 14th day of September, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that the following named attorneys are duly qualified under the rules of this court for admission to the bar thereof. It is ordered by the Court that the following named attorneys be and they hereby are admitted to the bar of this Court upon taking the oath prescribed by the Court.

James D. Johnston,
J. H. N. Cobb.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OLD HONESTY OIL COMPANY,
a Corporation. Complainant,)
vs.) No. 89 Law.
ISAAC SHULER, et al., Defendants.)

O R D E R .

Upon application of Plaintiff, W. S. Bailey is hereby made a defendant in this cause, upon condition that it will not prejudice the trial of this cause.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 14, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION

On this 14th day of September, 1925, comes the United States Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the sum of \$2500.00, each.

#421 Cr. U. S. vs. Marshal Cole
#422 " " " " Pete Pagani.
#423 " " " " Hiram McDaniel.

NORTHERN
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERMDistrict of
TULSA, OKLA.OKLAHOMA.
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 1925.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
	vs.)	Cr. # 421.
MARSHALL COLE,	Defendant.)	

On this 14th day of September, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst., U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause, Defendant is present in person and by counsel, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in Information heretofore filed herein.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff.)	
	vs.)	No. 421.
MARSHAL COLE,	Defendant.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this, the 14th day of September, A. D. 1925, this cause coming on for hearing at this Special April, A. D. 1925, term thereof, upon the information filed herein, and the defendant being brought before the Court and requested to plead to the information, entered a plea of guilty to possession of intoxicating liquor, as mentioned and described in the information filed herein, and the court after hearing defendant's plea, and being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, finds that the defendant is guilty as charged in the information, and fixes his punishment at a fine of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, to be paid to the plaintiff herein, United States of America.

And upon the application of the defendant herein, for a parole or a suspension of sentence for a period of thirty (30) days, the court after hearing said application and being fully advised in the premises, finds that that the defendant has, heretofore, borne a good reputation and this is his first offense of a violation of the National Prohibition Act, and that said defendant is a married man, has a family entirely dependent upon him for support, and that said defendant is worthy of a parole; it is by the court considered, ordered and adjudged that the said defendant, Marshal Cole, be, and he is hereby paroled to J. H. N. Cobb, for a period of thirty (30) days, in order that he may have an opportunity to raise the money with which to pay the fine, assessed against him, as aforesaid, upon the following conditions: That the said defendant engaged in some honest calling or employment, and that he abstained from the use of intoxicating liquor as a beverage, and that he not associated with persons bearing the reputation of bootleggers and other law violaters.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that a breach of any of the conditions last above named, by the said defendant, will forfeit and automatically revoke his said parole, and he will be ordered recommitted upon satisfactory evidence to the court of any act or conduct in violation of the terms of this parole.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 14, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H. W. J.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 14, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 422 Cr.,
PETER PAGANI, Defendant.)

Now on this 14th day of September, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to Counts one and two as charged in information heretofore filed herein.

IT IS THEREUPON BY THE COURT here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Peter Pagani, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid or, until released by due process of law, And it is further

CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that the defendant Peter Pagani, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the information, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and be confined for the term of six (6) months from this date, or, until released by due process of law.

And it is further ordered that the Marshal of said District transport the said Peter Pagani to the said Tulsa Co. Jail, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, and deliver him to the keeper of the said Tulsa, County Jail, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Cr. # 410.
JOE JOHNSON, Defendant.)

On this 14th day of September, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said information heretofore filed herein.

IT IS THEREUPON, by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Joe Johnson, pay a fine unto the United in the sum of \$50.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid. Said fine to run on Execution.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Cr. # 407.
E. J. KELLOUGH, Defendant.)

On this 14th day of September, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said information heretofore filed herein. It is by the Court ordered that said sentence in above cause be and same is hereby deferred and Bond fixed at \$1000.00.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Cr. # 404.
STOVER PATTON, Defendant.)

On this 14th day of September, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst., U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to Count one, and not guilty to count two. Whereupon said plea is ordered not accepted by the Court.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 14, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 278 Cr.
HERMAN McDANIEL, Defendant.)

On this 14th. day of September, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry, United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, and is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to counts one and two as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered, and adjudged that the defendant Herman McDaniel, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of One (1) year and One (1) day from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred (\$200.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that the defendant Herman McDaniel for the crime by him committed, as charged in the second count in the indictment, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law.

And it is further ordered that the Marshal of said District transport the said Herman McDaniel, to the said Federal Penitentiary, Leavenworth Kansas, without delay.

ST. LOUIS-SAN FRANCISCO RY. CO., Plaintiff.)
vs.) 61 Law.
JOE WEIR, CO. TREAS. OTTAWA CO., Defendant.)

On this 14th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that the hearing on the Motion in above entitled cause, be, and same hereby is, continued to September, 23, 1925.

St. LOUIS SAN-FRANCISCO RY., CO., Plaintiff.)
vs.) 146 Law.
B. A. LEHEW, CO., TREAS. PAWNEE CO. Defendant.)

On this 14th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that the hearing on the Demurrer be, and same hereby is, continued to September, 23, 1925.

ST. LOUIS SAN-FRANCISCO RY., CO., Plaintiff.)
vs.) 147 Law.
NoAH WISENHUNT, CO. TREAS. ROGERS CO. Defendant.)

On this 14th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that the hearing on the Demurrer, in above entitled cause, be and same hereby is, continued to September, 23, 1925.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 14, 1925.

ST. LOUIS SAN-FRANSISCO RY. CO.,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	# 148 Law.
W. W. STUCKEY, CO TREAS., TULSA, CO.)	
Defendant.)	

On this 14th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that the hearing on the demurrer in above entitled cause, be and same hereby is continued until September, 23, 1925.

Court adjourned until September, 15, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 15, 1925

On this 15th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. J. KIRKWOOD, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
ADAMS OIL & GAS COMPANY, No. 201. L.
a corporation. Defendant.)

O R D E R.

Now on this 15 day of September, 1925, this matter coming on to be heard upon the petition of the Colonial Trust Company as Executor of the estate of Glenn T. Breiden, deceased, for leave to file its tender intervening petition, and the Court having examined said petition, finds that same states facts entitling the said Executor to intervene herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that said The Colonial Trust Company, as such Executor be, and it is permitted to file said intervening petition.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 15, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
L. W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. J. KIRKWOOD, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
ADAMS OIL & GAS COMPANY, No. 201. L.
a Corporation. Defendant.)

ORDER ALLOWING INTERVENTION OF THE SECURITY
NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

Now on this 15 day of September, 1925, this matter coming on to be heard upon the petition of The Security National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, for leave to file its tendered intervening petition herein, and the Court having been fully advised in the premises and finding that The Security National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, is entitled to intervene herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Security National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, be and it is hereby granted leave to file its intervening petition herein.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Files Sep. 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
L.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. J. KIRKWOOD,	Plaintiff.	}	
	vs.	}	
ADAMS OIL AND GAS COMPANY,		}	No. 201. L.
a corporation.		}	
	Defendant.	}	

ORDER APPOINTING RECEIVER.

This matter coming on for hearing before me, the undersigned, judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, upon application of the plaintiff, W. J. Kirkwood, for the appointment of a Receiver for the assets of the Adams Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, defendant herein, and the Court being fully advised in the premises and finding that an emergency does exist and that a Receiver should be appointed forthwith for the assets of said defendant, Adams Oil and Gas Company, located within the State of Oklahoma.

NOW, THEREFORE, on motion of Owen, Yancey & Fist, attorneys for the applicant herein,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that R. H. Bartlett be and he is hereby appointed Receiver of all of the assets of every kind and nature of the defendant, Adams Oil and Gas Company, located within the Northern District of Oklahoma, and all assets which may be located within the State of Oklahoma, and said Receiver is hereby authorized to proceed in such a manner as may be necessary to have ancillary receivers appointed for any assets which said defendant may have in other jurisdictions.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said Receiver take charge and possession of all of the lands, oil leases, moneys, rights of action, and other property, both real and personal, located within this district, and also any which may be located within the State of Oklahoma, except an oil and gas lease in Creek County, Oklahoma, for which the District Court of said Creek County has already appointed a Receiver.

Said defendant is ordered to forthwith deliver to said Receiver all of its property, assets, and effects in its possession or under its control, and all other persons, firms, corporations and creditors of said defendant, as well as their agents and employes, and all officers, deputies, and their employes and hereby jointly and severally restrained and enjoined from removing, transferring, or otherwise interfering with the property, assets, or effects of the above named defendant, and from prosecuting, executing, or suing out of any court any process, attachment, replevin, or other writ for the purpose of taking possession, impounding, or interfering with any of the property, assets, or effects of the above named defendant, and from molesting, disturbing, or interfering with the Receiver herein appointed in the discharge of his duties, and the officers of any courts who may now have possession of any of the income from any of said properties or possession of any of the property of said defendant are hereby instructed to deliver the same to the Receiver herein appointed.

It is further ordered that all pipe line companies, oil companies, or anyone purchasing any of the oil or gas from any of the oil leases or oil lands or royalties of the defendant, Adams Oil and Gas Company, except the oil and gas lease in Creek County, Oklahoma, above referred to, are hereby ordered to pay for the same to the Receiver herein named.

It is further ordered that said Receiver give bond to the people of the United States in the sum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5000.00) conditioned for the faithful discharge of his duties as such Receiver.

It is further ordered that said Receiver make a full and complete inventory of all of the assets of said defendant corporation which he is able to find, and to take same into his possession and make a report thereof to this Court within thirty (30) days from this date.

Dated this 15 day of September, 1925.

ENDORSED L Filed Sep. 15, 1925. H. P. Warfield Clerk U.S. District Court. L.W.J.	F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for the Nor- thern District of Oklahom
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Court adjourned until Sep. 16, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 16, 1925.

On this 16th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April 1925 Session at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Keenan, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

Court adjourned until September, 17th, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER, 17, 1925

On this 17 day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April, 1925 Session, at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
E. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER ASSIGNING CRIMINAL CASES FOR TRIAL AT TULSA, OKLA.

On this 17th day of September, 1925, it is ordered by the Court, that the following named and numbered cases be assigned for trial at Tulsa, Okla. on the days and dates hereinafter specified.

MONDAY, OCTOBER 12, 1925.

#83	E. L. Drake	National Prohibition
116	Leo Horner, (for Sent)	Narcotic
121	Lon M. Brim	Narcotic
128	Ed Cain	National Prohibition
129	George Hendrix Arthur Hendrix,	National Prohibition Act.
130	W. C. Latschaw	National Prohibition
152	Jake Montgomery	National Prohibition
153	Jim Montgomery	National Prohibition
162	J. L. Atkinson	National Prohibition
167	Odis Davis George Calvin	National Prohibition
170	Dallas Morris Carl Morris	National Prohibition
184	Roy J. Ward	Nat'l Motor Vehicle Theft Act
202	Harry Goltz, alias D. Harry Goltz Joe Pruzen Paul Gotch Mrs Sadie Goltz Charles L. Silver Mrs L. Silver	Conspiracy to Violate National Bankruptcy Act.
203	Harry Goltz	Concealing Assets.
204	Lee Wray	White Slave Traffic Act.
212	Curley Lemon Art Mann	National Prohibition
213	Jess Stone	National Prohibition
221	Clayton P. Wilmott	National Prohibition
225	Ed L. Cather	Larceny Interstate Shipment
226	Dan Ward W. R. Clark	National Prohibition
228	G. R. Powell	National Prohibition
229	Bess Batson George Carr	National Prohibition
230	Bess Batson George Carr	National Prohibition
241	George Glover	Possession
243	R. L. Thompson	Possession
244	Homer Buchanan V. N. Church	Possession
245	R. W. Basey, (for Sent) Bill Daniels, (for Sent)	Possession
246	Alex McKay Thomas Connell William Brown	Possession
252	Luvonia Morris	Possession

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

357

NORTHERN
SPECIAL APRIL SESSION

District of
TULSA, OKLA.

OKLAHOMA.
THURSDAY SEPTEMBER, 17, 1925.

#253 C. M. Bailey Possession.
C. F. Bailey,
D. M. Rhodes,

TUESDAY, OCTOBER, 13, 1925.

255 Harry Sherrill Narcotic
257 William Cook, Conspiracy
Jasper Cox
258 Jasper Cox Conspiracy
William Cook
264 Henry Revis Possession
269 Charles Hollarn Possession
270 Joe Lynch Possession
Viola Lynch
271 J. I. (Whitey) Barnett Conspiracy
M. T. Marso
294 J. I. Barnett Conspiracy
Mrs Annie Barnett
272 R. E. Van Valkenburg Possession
274 Joe Murphy Conspiracy
279 John Hemsberger Possession
293 Rufe Rolland Possession
Geo Nelson

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 14, 1925.

300 Albert Pooler Perjury
301 J. C. Howell Possession
323 John Wells
Joe Connors National Prohibition
324 Walter M. Jones National Prohibition
337 Harry Starr National Prohibition
345 Mattie E. Long National Prohibition
346 E. E. Riddle National Prohibition
347 Roger Hays National Prohibition
348 L. M. Bartley National Prohibition
349 Cornelius Hunter National Prohibition
350 Edward Love, alias
Mr. Frenchy National Prohibition
351 Cornelius Love, alias
Mrs Frenchy National Prohibition
352 Vina Bryant National Prohibition
352 Will Bryant National Prohibition
354 Luella Dixon National Prohibition
355 Robert Farris National Prohibition
356 Ed King National Prohibition
357 C. T. Harris National Prohibition
358 Robert Folds National Prohibition
359 Archie McClanahan National Prohibition

THURSDAY OCTOBER 15, 1925.

360 Gussie Scruggs National Prohibition
361 Chester McBride National Prohibition
362 Asa Gibbs National Prohibition
363 George Williams
Jim Williams National Prohibition
364 Alice Wilson National Prohibition
365 R. A. Davis National Prohibition
366 Oscar Hunt National Prohibition
367 Mike Drowniak
Eva Snalige National Prohibition
417 Maciej Drowniak National Prohibition
368 Offord Rollins National Prohibition

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL SESSION,

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY SEPTEMBER, 17, 1925.

369	R. L. Gleason	National Prohibition
370	Will Humphrey	National Prohibition
371	W. E. Saunders	National Prohibition
372	Georgia Williams	National Prohibition
373	Virgil Bowline, Harry Cox	National Prohibition
375	W. C. Willard Jeff Smith	National Prohibition

FRIDAY OCTOBER, 16, 1925.

376	Sam Parish	National Prohibition
377	Troy Johnson	National Prohibition
378	Fabo Clark	National Prohibition
379	P. W. Ward	National Prohibition
380	W. Thomas	National Prohibition
381	Edith Booth Elizabeth King	National Prohibition
382	Riley Childers	National Prohibition
383	J. T. Gragg	National Prohibition
384	Orilla Coelin	National Prohibition
385	Joe Sullivan	National Prohibition
386	Curtis Jones	National Prohibition
387	J. F. Sexton	National Prohibition
388	Mahola Henry	National Prohibition
389	M. G. Simmons	National Prohibition
390	John Stephens	National Prohibition
391	George C. Hunter	National Prohibition
392	T. A. Porter	National Prohibition
393	Fred Sims	National Prohibition
394	A. Harris	National Prohibition
395	Elmer Greene	National Prohibition
396	Bert Dygers	National Prohibition
397	W. R. Amcott	National Prohibition
398	Irene Brott	National Prohibition
399	Bertha Lee Thompson	National Prohibition
400	John Gentry	National Prohibition
401	Benny Mayberry	National Prohibition
402	Garnett Maupins Mack McElwee	National Prohibition
403	L. E. McGowan Jackie McGowan F. W. Corn	National Prohibition
404	Itover Patton	National Prohibition
406	Dude Baugh	National Prohibition
407	E. J. Kelough (for Sent.) C. L. Chastine W. W. Hamilton A. W. Warren	National Prohibition
409	Vesta Darby	National Prohibition
410	Joe Johnson	National Prohibition
411	Robert Hoovermale	National Prohibition
412	Leo Lem	National Prohibition
413	Jeff Sullivan	National Prohibition
414	Joe Walker	National Prohibition
415	Chester Baker	National Prohibition
416	Guss Spess	National Prohibition
418	L. C. Ney	National Prohibition
419	George O'Neal	National Prohibition
420	Ora Chambers	National Prohibition

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER, 17, 1925.

ORDER FOR PETIT JURY

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER FOR PETIT JURY.

On this 17th day of September, A. D. 1925, it is ordered by the Court that there be publicly drawn by the Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, or one of his deputies, in the presence of the Clerk, or one of his deputies, and the Jury Commissioner, in accordance with law and the rules of this Court, the names of Fifty (50) persons, good and lawful men, from said District, duly qualified to serve as Petit Jurors at the Special April 1925 Term of this Court to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma,

It is further ordered by the Court that a writ of Venire Facias be issued out of this Court, in due form as provided by law, commanding the said Marshal to summon said Petit Jurors, drawn as aforesaid, to be and appear before said Court, to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, on Monday, the 12th day of October, 1925, at 9 o'clock A. M., then and there to serve as Petit Jurors of the United States in and for said District at the Special April 1925 Term of said Court.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

Endorsed; Filed Sep. 17, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H. W. J.

Court adjourned until September, 18, 1925.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY SEPTEMBER, 18, 1925.

On this 18th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. B. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. F. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
 John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
 H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
 W. F. Wolverson, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	#164 Cr.
FRED Mc SAND	Defendant.)	

On this 18th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that Motion for Judgment on Pleadings, in above entitled cause, be, and same hereby is, set for hearing on Monday, September, 21, 1925.

M. G. ZIMMERMAN,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	#173 Law.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Defendant.)	

On this 18th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that Demurrer in above entitled cause be, and same hereby is set for hearing on Monday, September, 18, 1925.

Court adjourned until September, 19, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER, 19, 1925.

On this 19th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. W. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
 John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney
 E. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
 W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Clyde A King as Receiver for The Nowata National Bank, a corporation.	Plaintiff.) No. 70 Law.
vs.)	
W. J. Kirkwood,	Defendant.	

ORDER SUBSTITUTING PARTY PLAINTIFF.

Now on this 19th day of September, 1925, comes John H. Dykes by his attorney of record and presents herein his motion, representing to the Court that the above entitled cause was filed by Clyde A. King as Receiver for the Nowata National Bank of Nowata, Oklahoma, while the said Clyde A. King was the duly qualified and acting Receiver of said bank; that on the 11th day of May, 1925, during the pendency of this action, the said Clyde A. King resigned and was relieved of the office of Receiver of said Bank, and the said John H. Dykes was on said date appointed as Receiver of said failed bank to succeed the said Clyde A. King, and that the said John H. Dykes is now and has ~~been~~ ever been since the 11th day of May, 1925, the duly appointed, qualified and acting Receiver of said bank, and the court finds that the name of said John H. Dykes as Receiver of said bank should be substituted for the name of Clyde A. King as such Receiver, as plaintiff herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the name of the said John H. Dykes as Receiver of the Nowata National Bank be substituted for that of Clyde A. King as such Receiver, and that said cause proceed with the said John H. Dykes as Receiver for The Nowata National Bank, a corporation, as plaintiff herein.

F. E. Kennamer,
 District Judge.

O.K. W. A. Chase.

ENDORSED: Filed Sept. 19, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
 H.F.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

John H. Dykes as Receiver for The Nowata National Bank, a corporation,	Plaintiff.) No. 71 Law.
vs.)	
State Savings and Loan Association, A Corporation of Nowata Oklahoma, as Successor to Nowata Building and Loan Association, a corporation.	Defendant.	

ORDER DISMISSING CAUSE.

Now on this 19th day of September, 1925, comes on regularly for hearing the motion of the plaintiff to dismiss the above entitled cause, and the court being fully advised in the premises finds:

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER, 19, 1925.

That said cause has been fully settled as per stipulation on file herein, and that this court has heretofore authorized the dismissal of this cause upon the stipulation of the parties and the approval thereof by the Comptroller of the Currency heretofore submitted, and that this cause should be dismissed with prejudice at the costs of the plaintiff.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND DECREED that the above entitled cause be and the same hereby is dismissed with prejudice at the cost of the plaintiff, as per stipulation of parties on file herein.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sept. 19, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CLYDE A KING AS RECEIVER FOR
THE NOWATA NATIONAL BANK a
corporation.

Plaintiff.

vs.

STATE SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION,
a corporation of NOWATA, OKLAHOMA,
as Successor to Nowata Building and
Loan Association, a corporation.

Defendant.

No. 71 Law.

ORDER SUBSTITUTING PARTY PLAINTIFF.

Now on this 19th day of September, 1925, comes John H. Dykes by his attorney of record and presents herein his motion, representing to the court that the above entitled cause was filed by Clyde A. King as Receiver for The Nowata National Bank of Nowata, Oklahoma, while the said Clyde A. King was the duly qualified and acting Receiver of said bank; that on the 11th day of May, 1925, during the pendency of this action, the said Clyde A. King resigned and was relieved of the office of Receiver of said Bank, and the said John H. Dykes was on said date appointed as Receiver of said failed bank to succeed the said Clyde A. King, and that the said John H. Dykes is now and has ever been since the 11th day of May, 1925, the duly appointed, qualified and acting Receiver of said bank, and the Court finds that the name of said John H. Dykes as Receiver of said bank should be substituted for the name of Clyde A. King as such Receiver, as plaintiff herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT the name of the said John H. Dykes as Receiver of the Nowata National Bank be substituted for that of Clyde A. King as such Receiver, and that said cause proceed with the said John H. Dykes Receiver for the Nowata National Bank, a corporation, as plaintiff herein.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 19, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APPEAL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER, 19, 1925

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JOHN H. DYMERS AS RECEIVER FOR THE NOWATA NATIONAL BANK, a corporation.)	
)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 71 Law.
STATE SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION a corporation of NOWATA, OKLAHOMA. as successor to Nowata Building and Loan Association, a corporation.)	
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER APPROVING COMPROMISE SETTLEMENT AND AUTHORIZING DISMISSAL OF ACTION.

Now on this 19th day of September, 1925, the above cause came on regularly for hearing upon the application of the plaintiff for the approval of compromise settlement of the controversies in this cause and for authority to dismiss this action; plaintiff appearing by his attorney of record and there being no other appearances; and after hearing the evidence offered in support of plaintiff's application and being fully advised in the premises, the court finds:

That all of the allegations and representations set forth in plaintiff's application are true and that it is to the best interest of the Trust of the plaintiff, that the plaintiff as Receiver of the Nowata National Bank, be authorized to dismiss this action with prejudice at the cost of the plaintiff, upon the compromise settlement stipulation presented, and that such compromise settlement be approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the application of the plaintiff be granted and that the stipulation of settlement and compromise filed herein be and the same hereby is approved and that the plaintiff be and he hereby is authorized and directed to dismiss this cause, with prejudice, at the cost of the plaintiff.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 19, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H. W. J.

ORDER OF REMOVAL - C. E. SUMMERS

ORDER OF REMOVAL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.)

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

To the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, GREETING:

Whereas, it has been made to appear that C. E. Summers is indicted in the District Court of the United States for the Western District of Oklahoma for the offense of VIOLATION OF NATIONAL PROHIBITION LAWS and whereas the said C. E. Summers having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there had in the presence of said defendant, it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said indictment, and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged, and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS with one or more sufficient sureties conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Western District of Oklahoma on the first day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment and depart not thence without the leave of said Court. And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required therefore;

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER, 19, 1925.

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said C. E. Summers hence to the said Western District of Oklahoma and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 19th day of September, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer

U. S. District Judge for the
Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 19, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H. W. J.

Court adjourned until September, 21, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SIXTHS TERM, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONTH, SEPTEMBER, 21, 1925.

On this 21st day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Civil Session, met pursuant to adjournment. Hall E. E. Warden, Judge present and presiding.

H. P. Worfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
 John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
 W. C. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
 W. F. Silverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

St. Louis St. Pa. Ry., Co., Plaintiff.
 vs. G. L. Law.
 Joe Weir, Co. Treas. Ottawa Co., Defendant.

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that hearing in above entitled cause, be, and same hereby is, continued until Saturday, September, 26, 1925.

GRAND EMANCIPING GRAND JURY

On this 21st day of September, 1925, under the United States Marshal into open Court and makes his return on the venire issued out of this Court for Grand Jurors for this Special April, 1925 Term of Court. Thereupon, on order of the Court, the Clerk calls the names of the Grand Jurors as summoned and served and the following answer their names and are present, to-wit: Raymond L. Austin, Berko A. Bayless, H. Ambrose Dieler, J. W. Fleischman, G. T. Bowman, H. E. Beckles, Geo. L. Colter, E. E. Copeland, J. I. Gilbert, Ed Kohler, C. O. Pence, J. E. Rice, C. H. Robinson, Gale Robinson, P. I. Royce, C. E. Sheppard, Geo. Shearaker, E. E. Small, J. W. Stewart, Ed Wells. Thereupon, said array of Grand Jurors are sworn by the Clerk upon their Vain Dira and are examined by the Court as to their qualifications, and it appearing to the Court that J. W. Mathews, Joe M. Smith and Earl Weible be excused, it is hereby ordered by the Court that they be and same hereby are excused and their names stricken from the Jury Roll.

Thereupon the Court offers the venire array to say and all persons on their behalf for challenge and no challenge being offered the Court offers each individual of said array to say and all persons on their behalf for challenge and no challenge being offered, it is ordered that said array be accepted as the Grand Jury for this Special April Term of Court for 1925.

IMMEDIATE COUNSEL:

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it being duly and satisfactorily ascertained that the following named attorneys are qualified for admission to the Bar of this Court, the Oath prescribed by the Court is administered and following named attorneys are admitted to the Bar of this Court, to-wit:

Ed Croach, ✓ Chas. L. Harris, ✓ Roy W. Lewis, ✓ A. R. Campbell
 ✓ Ray S. Woot, ✓ Richard K. Bridges, ✓ V. E. Mantray, ✓ W. H. Ivoy.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SEPTEMBER 21 1925 11:30 AM

HANIE POGUE, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 2 Law.
 TEXAS & PACIFIC RY. CO. Defendant.)

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that the Motion to Remand in above entitled cause, be, and same hereby is, stricken and continued for the term.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK, OF KANSAS CITY, MO. Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 53 Law.
 SECURITY STATE BANK OF MIAMI, Defendant.)

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the Motion to make More Def. and Certain in above entitled cause, be, and same hereby is, overruled, and the Motion to Transfer to Equity Docket is hereby sustained.

MARY MAHON, et al., Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 75 Law.
 ST. LOUIS ST. FB. Co. Defendant.)

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that plaintiff, in above entitled cause, be granted leave to withdraw and dismiss William Wilson, Mahon Wilson, Lydia Mahon Wimifrey as parties plaintiff, and that Defendants Demurrer, heretofore filed herein, be, and same is hereby sustained and Exceptions allowed plaintiff.

Toy Riggs, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 79 Law.
 St. L. S. F. Ry., Co. Defendant.)

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the Demurrer, heretofore filed herein, be, and same hereby is overruled, and Defendant granted 20 days to answer.

HALLIE M. JONES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 85 Law.
 J. C. KIMBLE, JR. Defendant.)

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the Motion to Make More Def. and Certain, heretofore filed, in above entitled cause, be and same hereby is overruled as to first ground and sustained as to second ground. It is further ordered by the Court that the Plaintiff in above entitled cause be, and he hereby is, granted leave to amend petition by interlineation, and defendant is granted 15 days to plead or answer.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 21, 1925.

J. O. IRWIN, Plaintiff. }
 vs. } # 86 Law.
 GYPSY OIL CO., ET AL. }
 Defendant. }

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the motion to Make more Def and Certain, and the Motion to Make Specific Definite and Certain each, be, and they are hereby, overruled, and exception allowed, and defendant given ten days to answer.

OLD HONESTY OIL COMPANY, Plaintiff. }
 vs. } # 89 Law.
 ISAAC SHULER, Defendant. }

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that the Demurrer, heretofore filed in above entitled cause, be, and same hereby is overruled and exceptions allowed and defendants granted ten days to answer.

F. L. JOHNSON, Plaintiff. }
 vs. } # 91 L.
 MINNEHOMA OIL COMPANY, Defendant. }

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that the defendant be granted leave to withdraw Motion to Quash and allowed twenty days to plead.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA COUNTY
 STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

WHEELER & MOTTER MERCANTILED COMPANY, a corporation, Plaintiff. }
 vs. } No. 92 Law.
 F. L. HAWKINS COMPANY, L. B. ALLEN, AND F. L. HAWKINS, Defendants. }

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Be it remembered that on this the 21st day of September, 1925, there came regularly on for hearing the motion of the plaintiff herein for an order adjudging the defendants and each of them in default and the Court after having examined the files in this action and being fully advised finds that said defendants and each of them have been legally served with summons for more than thirty days and are wholly in default herein.

It is therefore ordered that the said motion of plaintiff be and the same is hereby sustained, and the defendants and each of them are hereby adjudged in default herein.

Thereupon plaintiff moves the Court that it be permitted to introduce evidence in support of the allegations of its petition herein which motion is sustained.

Thereupon the plaintiff, with the consent of the Court, waives trial by jury, and offers its evidence in support of its petition from which the Court finds that the allegations of plaintiff's petition are true and that the defendants and each of them are indebted to plaintiff as alleged in its petition.

It is therefore by the Court ordered, ad judged and decreed that the plaintiff have and recover judgment of and from the defendants and each of them, F. L. Hawkins Company, L. B. Allen and F. L. Hawkins on the first cause of action set out in plaintiff's petition in the sum of \$3,128.00 with interest thereon from May 20, 1925 at the rate of 8% per annum and that it have judgment against the said defendants and each of them on the second cause of action set out in plaintiff's petition in the sum of \$2,306.35 with interest thereon from May 20, 1925 at the rate of 6% per annum and for the costs of this action for all of which let execution issue.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

O.K. M. S. Singleton
Attorney for Plaintiff

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 21, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

DORA MORELAN,	Plaintiff.	} No. 96 Law.
vs.		
MIDLAND VALLEY RAILROAD COMPANY, a corporation, et al.,	Defendants.	

O R D E R.

On this 21st day of September, 1925, there comes on for hearing herein in its regular order the motion of the plaintiff to set aside former rulings on motion to remand and motion to remand, the parties being present by their attorneys, the same having been presented and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that the same should be overruled. And thereupon there came on for hearing and was duly presented the motion of the defendant to require security for costs and to stay proceedings, and the court being fully advised in the premises finds that the same should be sustained and accordingly:

It is by the court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the motion to set aside former rulings on motion to remand and motion to remand be and the same hereby is overruled, to which the plaintiff excepts and exception is allowed.

It is by the Court further ordered that H. F. Fulling, attorney for the plaintiff herein, shall within ten days (10) from this date file with the Clerk of this court a good and sufficient bond in the sum of amount of two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250.00) conditioned as by law provided for the payment of costs herein, with surety to be approved by the Clerk of this Court that pending the filing thereof all further proceedings herein be stayed, the defendant to have ten days after the filing and approval thereof to plead herein, to all of which the plaintiff excepts and such exception is allowed.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. M.F. Fulling
Attorney for plaintiff.
O. E. Swan
Attorney for Defendant.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.C.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs.
ART CODY, Defendant.
No. 101 Law.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this the 21st day of September, this matter coming on to be heard upon the motion of Plaintiff to vacate a former order herein, and after hearing the evidence offered and being fully advised in the premises the Court finds:

That said order should be vacated and held for naught.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a certain former order herein releasing the above described automobile be vacated and set aside.

F. E. Kennamer
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H. W. J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

H. I. McClain, Fred Tiffany, J. A. Pancoast, Chas. L. Clark, Theo. Salter, Geo. W. McClain, Simplex Oil Company, A Corporation, and E. P. Humphreys, Plaintiffs.
vs.
Central Torpedo Company, A Corporation and Barton Torpedo Company, A Corporation. Defendants.
No. 107

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 21st day of September, 1925, this matter came on for hearing in open court upon the motion of the plaintiffs to remand this cause to the Superior Court of Okmulgee County, Oklahoma, and the court having been fully advised in the premises and having heard the argument of counsel is of the opinion that said motion to remand should be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED, that said motion to remand be, and the same hereby is, overruled in all things and in all respects, to which ruling and judgment of the court the plaintiffs, and each of them, duly except and are granted an exception by the court.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

O. K. Lashley & Hambe
Attys for Plfs.
O. K. G. W. Spillers.
Atty for Defts.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court H.W.J.

W. S. SMITH, ET AL.,
 vs. Plaintiff.)
 # 106 Law.
 LAUREL OIL & GAS CO., et al.,
 Defendant.)

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that the hearing on the Motion to Make More Def. and Certain, heretofore filed herein, be, and same hereby is passed.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES,
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JAMES A GREEN,
 vs. Plaintiff)
 No. 112 at Law.
 W. K. HOAGLAND, et al.,
 Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Now on this the 21st day of September, 1925, there coming on to be heard the motion of the plaintiff filed herein to quash the deposition filed in said cause by the defendant, and the defendant's attorney, in open court, agreeing that at the time the deposition is offered in evidence, if it is so offered, the plaintiff may make any objections to the competency, relevancy or materiality of the testimony, the plaintiff withdraws his motion to quash.

It is therefore by the court ordered that said motion to quash be and it is hereby withdrawn, in accordance with the stipulation made in open court by the attorney for the defendant, as above set forth.

Witness my hand as Judge, this September, 21, 1925 .

F. N. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. W. D. Humphrey,
 Atty. for Edson S. Williams.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
 H. W. J.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
 DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JAMES A GREEN, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 No. 112 Law.
 W. K. HOAGLAND, et al.,
 Defendants.)

JOURNAL ENTRY.

This cause came on to be heard on the motion of the defendant Edson S. Williams, praying for a rule of court upon the plaintiff, James A. Green, and his counsel, E. J. Lundy, requiring them to shew cause why they should not be required to file herein depositions of Burton A. Hewe and William J. Heald, taken in the City of Grand Rapids, Michigan, on or about November, 24, 1924, the plaintiff being present by E. J. Lundy, his

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 21, 1925.

W. W. McCaules, Admx, etc.,	}	§ 171. Law.
Plaintiff.		
vs.	}	
Missouri Pacific Ry., Co.,		
Defendant.		

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be stricken from present assignment and continued for the term.

IN THE U. S. COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

W. W. LEE, AND	}	No. 68 Law.
Wm. L. CHEATHAM,		
Plaintiffs.,	}	
vs.		
TRANSCONTINENTAL OIL	}	
COMPANY,		
Defendant.		

ORDER SUSTAINING DEMURRER.

Now, to-wit, on this the 21 day of September, 1925, this cause comes on for hearing upon the demurrer of the defendant on the petition of the plaintiffs, and the Court being fully advised, does sustain said demurrer. Whereupon, at the request of the plaintiffs, permission is granted to file an amended and supplemental petition herein within fifteen days from this date.

F. E. Kennemer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 21, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court L.W. J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CLARK WASSON, RECEIVER OF THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SAPULPA, OKLAHOMA.	}	Law No. 38
Plaintiff.		
vs.	}	
A. N. WHITE,		
Defendant.		

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now, on this 21st day of September, 1925, this cause came on further to be heard, the same having been submitted to the Court upon an agreed statement of facts, the Court being advised in the premises finds:

1.

That Clark Wasson is the duly appointed, acting and qualified receiver of the First National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma

11.

That the First National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, failed and closed its doors on June 23rd, 1923, and subsequently Clark Wasson was appointed such receiver.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY SEPTEMBER, 21, 1925.

III.

That on February, 1st, 1923, A. E. White leased from the First National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, the following described property:

"The rear room of the First National Bank building, on Lots one and two, Block 46, in the City of Sapulpa."

at a rental of \$100.00, beginning July 1st, 1923, payable in advance on the first day of each month.

IV.

That defendant is indebted to the plaintiff for rent on such building, in accordance with the petition filed herein, for the months of January, February, March, April, May and June, 1924, in the total sum of \$600.00, together with \$55.50 interest, which sum includes interest at the rate of six per cent, per annum from the due date of each rental installment, and the costs herein laid out and expended.

It is therefore, Considered, Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed, by the Court, that plaintiff have judgment against the defendant for the sum of \$600.00, together with \$55.50 interest, together with interest on the sum of \$600.00 at six per cent per annum until paid and his costs herein laid out and expended.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court H. W. J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF
OKLAHOMA.

CORA WASS, Plaintiff.

vs.

LAUREL OIL & GAS COMPANY,
a corporation, Defendant.

No. 121 Law.

O R D E R.

This cause coming on to be heard on this the 21st day of September, 1925, upon the motion of the defendant to make the petition of the plaintiff more definite and certain, and the Court having heard the argument of counsel thereupon, and being fully advised in the premises, does hereby overrule said motion to make more definite and certain, to which action of the Court the defendant duly excepts.

F. E. Kennamer,

ENDORSED. Filed Sep. 21, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. R. C.

J. G. BENNETT, Plaintiff.

vs.

PRAIRIE OIL & GAS CO.,
Defendant.

No. 122 Law.

On this 21st day of September, 1925. it is by the Court ordered that the Motion to Make More Def. and Certain, in above entitled cause be, and same hereby is overruled and ten days granted defendant to plead or twenty days to answer.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JAMES STANTON, ET AL.,
Plaintiff.
vs.
W. A. CROWE, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 124.

Now, on this 21st day of September, 1925, the same being a regular day of the April, 1925, term of the United States District Court in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, this matter coming on for hearing upon the motion on behalf of the defendants, J. A. Strauss, A. H. Reeves, W. O. Dildine, M. O. Stephens, J. B. Talbutt, Chas. R. Gray and Grace R. Gray, to dismiss the above entitled cause for failure of jurisdiction, and upon the special demurrer to the jurisdiction by H. J. Smith, B. F. Mason, F. G. Batten, S. S. Coehring and R. M. Grimes, and the plaintiffs appearing by their attorney, G. A. Paul, and the defendants by their attorneys, Chas R. Gray and A. B. Campbell, and the Court having heard the argument and being fully advised in the premises and upon consideration thereof, finds:

1. That this court has no jurisdiction of the above entitled cause for the reason that the sum which can be recovered against each of the defendants is less than \$3,000.00 and that this court does not have jurisdiction of the action.

It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that said motions to dismiss and said special demurrers be, and the same are, hereby sustained; and it is further ordered that this cause be, and the same is, hereby dismissed at the cost of plaintiffs, to which plaintiffs except and their exception is allowed by the court.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge

O.K. V. B. Campbell, Atty for Deft,
O.K. Chas R. Gray, Atty for Def.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H. W. J.

J. T. SMITH, et al., Plaintiff.
vs.
KATIE FIXICO, et al. Defendants.

138 Law.

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that the Riverside Oil & Refining Co. be, and they hereby are, permitted to withdraw demurrer heretofore filed in above entitled cause. And it is further ordered by the Court that the Carpathia Oil Co., be and they hereby are, permitted to withdraw their demurrer filed herein. And it is further ordered by the Court that plaintiff in above entitled cause be required to make more definite and certain their cause.

MARY G. DANIEL, Plaintiff.
vs.
MAGNOLIA PETROLEUM CO.,
Defendant.

139 Law.

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the Motion to Remand filed in above entitled cause be, and same hereby is overruled, and exceptions allowed.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA OKLA. MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 21, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

J. F. McMANMON, Plaintiff.
vs.
THOMPSON & BLACK, Inc.
a corporation. Defendant.
Law No. 153.

O R D E R.

This cause coming on to be heard on this the 21st day of September, 1925, upon the demurrer of the defendant to the second cause of action in plaintiff's petition contained, and the Court having heard the argument of counsel thereupon, and being fully advised in the premises;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that said demurrer be, and the same is hereby overruled, to which action of the Court the defendant duly excepts.

F. E. Kennamer,

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 21, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
R.C.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

J. F. McMANMON, Plaintiff,
vs.
THOMPSON & BLACK, Inc.,
a corporation, Defendant.
Law No. 153.

O R D E R.

This cause coming on to be heard on this the 21st day of September, 1925, upon the demurrer of the defendant to the first cause of action in plaintiff's petition contained, and the Court having heard the argument of counsel thereupon, and being fully advised in the premises;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that said demurrer be, and the same is hereby overruled, to which action of the Court the defendant duly excepts.

F. E. Kennamer,

ENDORSED: Filed September, 1, 1925, H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court.
R. C.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY SEPTEMBER, 21, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

H. C. HOCKADAY, AS ADMINISTRATOR
OF THE ESTATE OF John C. Hockaday,
deceased.

Plaintiff.

vs.

No. 154.

PETROLEUM DRILLING CORPORATION, a
corporation, and Prairie Oil and Gas
Company, a corporation.

Defendants.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 21st day of September, 1925, this matter comes on to be heard in its regular order. The plaintiff appeared by Bloomer & Boyle, Esqs., of Arkansas City, Kansas, his attorneys, and the defendants appeared by Sands & Campbell, Esqs., and Rogers & Jones, Esqs., their attorneys.

Thereupon both sides in open court waived the intervention of a jury and consented to the trial of this case to the Court and announced ready for trial. The plaintiff thereupon introduced in evidence proof of his due and regular appointment as administrator of the estate of John C. Hockaday, deceased, by virtue of orders and letters of administration issuing out of the County Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, and also introduced additional evidence in support of his petition, and the Court having heard the evidence introduced by both sides and the argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises finds that the plaintiff, H. C. Hockaday, is the administrator of the estate of John C. Hockaday, deceased, and that he is now the duly appointed, qualified and acting administrator of the said estate; that the plaintiff's decedent, the said John C. Hockaday, was during his life time in the employ of the Petroleum Drilling Corporation in the capacity of a tool dresser, and that on or about the 1st day of May, 1924, the said John C. Hockaday sustained certain injuries while in the employ of the said defendant, Petroleum Drilling Corporation, from which the said decedent died on or about the 2nd day of May, 1924, and that this action was instituted by the administrator of the estate of the said John C. Hockaday for the purpose of recovering the damages suffered by the said John C. Hockaday, by reason of the pain and suffering which he sustained during his lifetime, and also to recover the damages provided by statute in the event of wrongful death for the exclusive benefit of the widow and children, if any, or next of kin of the said decedent; that the liability of the defendants has been disputed and that the County Court of Osage County, Oklahoma, has heretofore, to-wit; on or about the 22nd day of August, 1925, authorized the said plaintiff to settle this action for the payment of twenty-two hundred and fifty dollars (\$2,250.00) and that the said defendant, Petroleum Drilling Corporation, without prejudice, to any of the defenses that it might have to the said action has agreed to cause the said sum of Twenty-Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$2,250.00) to be paid in full settlement and satisfaction of all of the claims of the said H. C. Hockaday, as administrator of the estate of John C. Hockaday, as aforesaid; that in view of the uncertainty of litigation and of the circumstances surrounding the death of plaintiff's decedent and the problems of proof, both as to the liability of the defendant and the extent of plaintiff's recovery, it would be for the best interest of the estate of the said John C. Hockaday, deceased, to settle an compromise the said action upon payment of the sum above specified.

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said plaintiff recover nothing from the Prairie Oil and Gas Company; and that plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Petroleum Drilling Corporation the sum of Twenty-two Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$2,250.00) in full settlement and satisfaction of all claims which the said H. C. Hockaday as the administrator of the estate of John C. Hockaday, deceased, may have by reason of the death of the said John C. Hockaday and the pain and suffering sustained by the said John C. Hockaday prior to his death, or any other circumstances or matters whatsoever in connection with the final injury and death of the said John C. Hockaday, now deceased.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

O.K. as to form

Boyle & Bloomer Attorneys for Plaintiff.

Rogers & Jones

Sands & Campbell, Attorneys for defendant,

Petroleum Drilling Corp.

ENDORSED FILED Sep. 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY SEPTEMBER, 21, 1925.

MARTHA GARSUCH ZIMMERMAN, Plaintiff. }
vs. }
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendant. } #173 Law.

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is ordered that the above entitled cause be and same hereby is passed.

IN THE UNITED STATES WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, SITTING AT TULSA,

F. L. SAWYER, et al., Plaintiffs. }
vs. }
W. W. HASTINGS, et al., Defendants. } Number 181 Law.

ORDER OF COURT.

Now on this 21st day of September, A. D. 1925, this cause coming on to be heard before me, Franklin E. Kennamer, Judge of the above styled court, in open court, on the motion docket regularly set for hearing on this date, the defendants having filed a special appearance and motion to dismiss the complaint of the plaintiffs, and it being made to appear to the court that counsel for the plaintiffs and counsel for the defendants had agreed that said motion might be sustained as to the defendant, James T. Neal, and overruled as to all other defendants, and that the defendants be given 30 days in which to file answer:

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED by the court that said motion to dismiss be and the same is hereby sustained as to the defendant, James T. Neal, and said motion as to said defendant is dismissed and said motion to dismiss is overruled as to each and all of the remaining defendants in this action and said defendants are given thirty days from this date in which to file answer.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the above styled court

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 21, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

W. FERRIER, Plaintiff. }
vs. }
S. A. KENYER, ET AL., Defendant. } # 37 Law.

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the demurrer, heretofore filed in above entitled cause be, and same is hereby sustained and plaintiff allowed thirty days to amend petition.

SUSIE MYATT, Plaintiff. }
vs. }
U. S. CASUALTY CO., Defendant. } # 182 Law.

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that above entitled cause be, and same hereby is, passed.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 21, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN
AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
FRED McSOUD, JOE McSOUD AND No. 164 Cr.
CHARLEY McSOUD, Defendants.)

JOURNAL ENTRY AND JUDGMENT.

WHEREAS, on this 21st day of Sept, 1925, this matter coming on to be heard upon a certain Scire Facias herein duly issued, directed to, and served upon each of the above named defendants, Fred McSoud, Joe McSoud and Charley McSoud and the day upon which answer by said defendants should be made showing cause why judgment herein should not be made absolute having passed, and said defendants and each of them having failed to show cause why said judgment should not be made absolute;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, adjudged and decreed that said Plaintiff, The United States of America do have and recover judgment against said defendants, Fred McSoud, Joe McSoud and Charley McSoud, and each of them, in the sum of Two Thousand Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum until paid, and for costs of this suit, for all of which let execution issue.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN
AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
PRICE BOLES, et al. Defendants.) No. 91 Cr.

JOURNAL ENTRY AND JUDGMENT.

WHEREAS, on this 21st day of Sept., 1925, this matter coming on to be heard upon a certain Scire Facias herein duly issued, directed to, and served upon each of the above named defendants, Price Boles, H. E. Mills and N. M. Mayfield, and the day upon which answer by said defendants should be made showing cause why, judgment herein should not be made absolute having passed, and said defendants, and each of them having failed to show cause why said judgment should not be made absolute:

IT IS THEREFORE, ordered, adjudged and decreed that said Plaintiff, The United States of America do have and recover judgment against said defendants, Price Boles, H. E. Mills and N. M. Mayfield, and each of them in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum until paid, and for costs of this suit, for all of which let execution issue.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM
District of
TULSA, OKLA.

OKLAHOMA.
MONDAY SEPTEMBER, 21, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY ADAIR, Administratrix,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 87 Law.
ST. LOUIS-SAN FRANCISCO RAILWAY COMPANY,)
Defendant.)

O R D E R.

Now on this 21st day of September, 1925, the above cause coming on for hearing on the motion to remand filed by plaintiff, plaintiff appearing by her attorneys, Messrs Robinett & Ford, and A. E. Montgomery, and defendant appearing by its attorneys, Messrs, Stuart, Sharp & Cruce and Ben Franklin, and the court having heard the argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds that said motion to remand should be overruled and that the removal of said cause heretofore issued out of the District Court of Tulsa County to this Court was proper.

IT IS THEREFORE ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the motion to remand filed by plaintiff be and the same is hereby overruled, to which action of the court the plaintiff excepts and exceptions are allowed.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sept. 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H. W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

EMMA E. PRIEST, ADMINISTRATRIX AND)
personal representative of W. H. PRIEST,)
deceased.) Plaintiff.) No. 193 Law.
vs.)
ST. LOUIS-SAN FRANCISCO RAILWAY COMPANY,)
a railway corporation,) Defendant.)

O R D E R.

Now on this 21st day of September, 1925, the above cause coming on for hearing on the action to remand filed by plaintiff, plaintiff appearing by her attorneys, Messrs, Robinett & Ford, and A. E. Montgomery, and defendant appearing by its attorneys, Messrs Stuart, Sharp & Cruce and Ben Franklin, and the Court having heard the argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises finds that said motion to remand should be overruled and that the removal of said cause heretofore issued out of the District Court of Tulsa County to this court was proper .

IT IS THEREFORE ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that

388 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 21, 1925.

the motion to remand filed by plaintiff be and the same is hereby over-ruled, to which action of the court the plaintiff excepts and exceptions are allowed.

Dated this 21st day of September, 1925.

F.E. Kennamer,

U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sept., 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W. J.

Court adjourned to September, 23, 1925.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKAL.

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 23, 1925

On this 23rd day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JOHN BARTON PAYNE, Federal Agent, and St. Louis-San Francisco Railway Company, Plaintiff.
vs.
U. S. Jefferson, County Treasurer of Rogers County, Oklahoma. Defendant.
No. 62 Law.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 23 day of September, 1925, the above cause coming on for decision, same having been submitted to the court on the 6th day of November, 1924, upon an agreed statement of facts, and memorandum brief filed by the plaintiffs herein,

Thereupon, in open court, it is agreed by and between the parties hereto, that James C. Davis shall be substituted as Federal Agent and as plaintiff instead of John Barton Payne, and it is further agreed in open court, that Noah Whisenhunt, has succeeded U. S. Jefferies as County Treasurer of Rogers County, Oklahoma.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, that the said Noah Whisenhunt, as County Treasurer of Rogers County, Oklahoma, be substituted as defendant in the above entitled cause.

Thereupon, after due consideration, the court finds that the plaintiffs herein are entitled to judgment upon their first cause of action herein, as contained in the original petition and in the supplemental petition, in the total sum of \$5,754.30.

The court further finds that under the agreed statement of facts filed herein, judgment on the third cause of action is confessed in favor of the plaintiffs in the total amount of \$19.08.

The court further finds that the plaintiffs herein have agreed to dismiss all of the second and fourth causes of action and all of the third cause of action sued for, above said sum of \$19.08,

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED And DECREED, that said causes of action, and said portions of the same be dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS, FURTHER, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, by the Court, that the plaintiffs herein, James C. Davis, Federal Agent, and St. Louis-San Francisco Railway Company, do have and recover, of and from the defendant herein, Noah Whisenhunt, as County Treasurer of Rogers County, Oklahoma, upon the first cause of action herein, the sum of \$5,754.30, and upon the third cause of action herein, the sum of \$19.08, or a total amount of \$5,773.38, without interest, together with all their costs herein expended.

IT IS, FURTHER, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, by the court, that the said County Treasurer of Rogers County, Oklahoma, upon presentation to him of a duly certified copy of this judgment, pay to the said plaintiffs, out of any funds in his possession held up for such purposes, the amount herein adjudged to be due to said plaintiffs.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the District Court.

O.K. Stewart, Sharp & Cruce, Attorneys for plaintiffs.

O.K. M. B. Robinson, Co. Attorney of Rogers County.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 SPECIAL APRIL SESSION, TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 23, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ST. LOUIS-SAN FRANCISCO RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation.)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 146 Law.
B. A. LEMEW, COUNTY TREASURER of RAWNEE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA.)	
Defendant.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 23rd day of September, 1925, the above entitled cause came on upon the demurrer of defendant herein, who made request in open Court for leave of Court to withdraw said demurrer, and to file in lieu thereof, a plea touching the jurisdiction of this Court, which said request was by the Court allowed, and leave given to file such pleadings as defendant may desire, touching the jurisdiction of this Court in said cause.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT, ordered, ad judged and decreed that the request of defendant in the above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby granted, and permission is hereby given said defendant to file such pleadings, touching the jurisdiction of this court in said cause as he may desire,

F. E. Kennemer,
 District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 23, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
 H.W.J.

ST. LOUIS-SAN FRANCISCO RAILWAY COMPANY,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 147 Law.
NOAH WISEHURST, CO TREAS., ROGERS COUNTY.)	
Defendant.)	

On this 23rd. day of September, 1925. it is by the court ordered that above entitled cause be, and same hereby is, stricken from this assignment upon agreement of counsel.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ST LOUIS-SAN-FRANCISCO RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation.)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 148 Law.
W. W. STUCKEY, COUNTY TREASURER OF TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA.)	
Defendant.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 23rd. day of September, 1925, the above entitled cause came on upon the demurrer of defendant herein, who made request in open court for leave of Court to withdraw said demurrer, and to file in lieu thereof, a plea touching the jurisdiction of this court, and a like request

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL SESSION

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY SEPTEMBER, 24, 1925.

On this 24th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of said Court, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq.,	Clerk of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq.,	U. S. Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq.,	U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq.,	Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER EXCUSING GRAND JUROR FOR TERM.

On this 24th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that J. M. Stewart, one of the Grand Juror heretofore empanelled be and he hereby is excused for the term.

Court adjourned until September, 25, 1925

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER, 25, 1925.

On this 25th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding:

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER EXCUSING GRAND JUROR FOR TERM.

On this 25th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that C. A. Pence, one of the Grand Jurors heretofore summoned, be, and he hereby is excused for the term.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 25th day of September, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that Carter Smith, of Tulsa, Oklahoma is qualified for admission to the Bar of this Court. The oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said Carter Smith is admitted to the bar of this Court.

ORDER OF REMOVAL--CHARLES POINTS--

FORM NO. 562.
ORDER OF REMOVAL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.)

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES,

TO THE MARSHAL OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, GREETING:

WHEREAS, it has been made to appear that Charles Points is indicted in the District Court of the United States for the District of Kansas for the offense of violating the Motor Vehicle Theft Act and whereas as the said Charles Points having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there had in the presence of said defendant, it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said complaint, and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged, and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of Fifteen Hundred Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the

District of Kansas on the first day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said court. And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required, therefore:

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said Charles Points hence to the said District Court of Kansas and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this ___ day of September, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge for the
Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 25, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H. W. J.

Court adjourned until September, 26, 1925.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL SESSION

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER, 26, 1925.

On this 26th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, at Tulsa, Okla., met pursuant to adjournment. Hon F. E. Kenamer, Judge present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court,
John M. Goldsberry, U. S. District Attorney.
E. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

RETURN OF GRAND JURY.

On this 26th day of September, 1925, comes the Grand Jury into open Court and upon being called, each answer his name and is present. Thereupon the Grand Jury being asked by the Court if they have anything to present and through their foreman answer they have, and present to the Court the ninety nine (99) true bills, which are examined by the Court, ordered filed and numbered in open court in the presence of the Grand Jury, and which said indictments are as follows and the amount of bond set opposite representative name as so order by the Court.

#	424	R. B. Newman,	\$ 2000.00
	425	G. O. Smith	2000.00
	426	Bub Camp	1500.00
	427	Oscar Sisson, & John Henry Sisson	2500.00
	428	Thomas P. Morrow	5000.00
	429	Fred Nelson, & Bessie Nelson	2000.00
	430	Williams Hancock & Lewis Rahn	2000.00
	431	Joe F. Thompson	500.00
	432	Jack Foreman	5000.00
	433	Marion Henson	5000.00
	434	Dory Pace	1500.00
	435	Nora Stanton	2000.00
	436	Ernest Carter	5000.00
	437	Emmett Culton	5000.00
	438	P. D. Goodson and Margaret Butler	2500.00
	439	Thomas Kads and M. O. Zackeny	3000.00
	440	C. L. Mitchell and Grover Willie	1000.00
	441	Preston Gan	500.00
	442	S. C. Morris and S.N. Young	1500.00
	443	Georgis Vaughn alias Love	3000.00
	444	John Sanders	3000.00
	445	Laura Phodes	3000.00
	446	Homer Smith	3000.00
	447	Eugene Walker	5000.00
	448	Charley Johnson	2500.00
	449	Walter Philpot, Mrs Walter Philpot & H. E. Davis	2500.00
	450	H. L. Latta	3000.00
	451	Alex Rogers	2500.00
	452	H. McAdams, and Fred Stephenson	2500.00
	453	W. H. Sims	3000.00
	454	John F. Capps	3000.00
	455	Rodney Jones	500.00
	456	Joe Millholland	1000.00
	457	David Ames	1500.00
	458	Robert O. Fines	5000.00
	459	Clarence Kearns	1000.00
	460	A. C. McElmurry	1000.00

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL SESSION, APRIL 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA., SEPTEMBER, 26, 1925.

461	E. M. McReynolds, Virgie McReynolds & Dock Trantham	2500.00
462	C. W. Williams, & Mrs C. W. Williams	2500.00
463	William Bratoher and John Nichols	1000.00
464	L. J. Melton	1500.00
465	Tennie Overton	500.00
466	Frank Henderson and Lena Henderson	1000.00
467	Wash Hunt	1000.00
468	G. B. McElays and Lorraine Dexter	1000.00
469	Burt Barham & Tina Barham	1000.00
470	W. G. Holland	1000.00
471	Ambretter Weems & Andrew Johnson	5000.00
472	Ambretter Weems and Nill Kelly	5000.00
473	John Falleaf and Sallie Falleaf	2500.00
474	Fred Hood	3000.00
475	Frank Walsh and Lena Walsh and Eck Hood	3000.00 1000.00 2000.00
476	George Curtis	2500.00
477	Pete Laselle and Paul Maine	2500.00
478	M. M. Wells and George Lucas	2000.00
479	C. J. Andrews	1500.00
480	Bill Chrismon	2500.00
481	J. F. Sexton and Cleo Holloway	3000.00
482	Harry Sherrell	4000.00
483	Harry Sherrell	4000.00
484	Harry Sherrell	4000.00
485	Harry Sherrell	4000.00
486	John R. Teal	1500.00
487	Harry Bryan	2500.00
488	Carl Templin	2000.00
489	Rich Lewis	5000.00
490	Edgar Allen	2500.00
491	George M. Bates	5000.00
492	A. L. Neff	1000.00
493	Bill Snyder and W.S. Hurst	5000.00
494	W. H. Gibson, John Smith, and Eloyd Smith	1000.00
495	Ellis R. Gourd, Jr.	5000.00
496	Arthur Wallace, Charles Harris and Frank alias Pistol Billings- ley	5000.00
497	Otto White	2500.00
498	Cy Campbell	2500.00
499	Dave McCrady, Lucile Wilson	2500.00 1000.00
500	G. A. Painter	2000.00
501	J. P. Kennedy, Nelson Straight C. E. Reynolds, and G. P. Poindexter	2500.00
502	Simon Lohoh, Hudson Ward, and Ed Bresette	2500.00
503	C. H. Mansfield	5000.00
504	B. B. Randall and Denny Marshall	3000.00
505	Orrel K. Nunn	5000.00
506	W. M. Terrell, and L. B. Brown	5000.00

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SEPTEMBER, 26, 1925.

507	Ed Riddle	3500.00
508	G. A. Gilbert and Charley White	3500.00
509	Cecilia Bigheart, alias Cecilia Selph	3000.00
510	Zola Lett	2500.00
511	William Lett	2500.00
512	R. Ralph Robertson	5000.00
513	J. H. Keene	5000.00
514	Robert C. Hicks, Len Hicks and Harvey Hicks	5000.00
515	Ethan Casey	5000.00
516	Wm. E. Galbreath	5000.00
517	Pete Pagoni	3000.00
518	A. R. Seaton	1000.00
519	Oscar Campbell Jr	5000.00
520	Will Tracy	5000.00
521	Made Dayton and Rosa Freeman	2500.00
522	Cowboy Morris	5000.00

UNITED STATES Plaintiff.)
 VS.) Misc.
 HARRY E. BEATTY, Defendant.)

On this 26th day of September, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that hearing in above entitled cause be and same hereby is continued until September, 28, 1925.

ST. LOUIS, SANFRANCISCO RY., CO.,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) 61 Law.
 JOE WEIR. CO. TREAS.)
 Defendant.)

On this 26th day of September, 1925, it is ordered that above entitled cause be stricken from present assignment and continued for the term.

Court adjourned until September, 28, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SEPTEMBER, 28, 1925.

On this 28th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ADMISSION TO BAR

ON this 28th day of September, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that John B. Johnson is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court. The oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said John B. Johnson is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

IN RE. APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEE TO DRAFT RULES

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPOINTMENT OF A
COMMITTEE TO DRAFT RULES OF COURT.

It is hereby Ordered, that the following members of the bar of the Northern Federal Judicial District of Oklahoma be, and they are hereby appointed and designated a committee to draft the General Rules and the Bankruptcy Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma:

Summers Hardy,
Charles A. Coakley,
Orin L. Rider and
Felix A. Bodovitz.

Dated this 28th day of September, A. D. 1925,

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.
Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 28, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE MATTER OF RULES OF COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IN THE MATTER OF RULES OF COURT.

IT is hereby ordered, that the following rule be, and it is hereby adopted as a General Rule of this Court, and that the same shall be in full force and effect on and after the 28th day of September, 1925;

"In cases at law, the plaintiff shall be entitled to similar remedies by attachment and other process against the property of the defen-

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SEPTEMBER, 29, 1925.

On this 29th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Misc.
HARRY E. BEATTY, Defendant.)

On this 29th day of September, 1925, above entitled cause came on for hearing. All parties announce ready for trial. John M. Goldsberry representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, W. A. Chase. Two witnesses are sworn and a certified copy of indictment and Warrant are admitted in evidence. Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that cause be passed until October, 2, 1925 for final decision.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. MAHAN, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 75 Law.
ST. LOUIS-SAN FRANCISCO RAILWAY)
COMPANY, a corporation,)
Defendant.)

LEAVE TO AMEND.

Leave to file an amended petition, in the above entitled cause is hereby given this 29th day of September, 1925, Ten days from this date granted.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

BRIDDLE & COUCH,
attorneys for Plaintiff.
320-21-22 Mayo Bldg.
Tulsa, Okla.

WINDORSED: Filed Sep. 29, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until September, 30, 1925.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

SEPTEMBER, 30, 1925.

On this 30th day of September, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
 John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney.
 H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 OR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. J. CLARK,	Plaintiff)	
vs.)	
THE OKLAHOMA PUBLISHING COMPANY)	No. 205 Law.
a corporation,)	
Defendant)	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

On this 30th day of September, 1925, comes on the dismissal filed herein by the plaintiff to dismiss said cause without prejudice to another suit, and the court be advised in the premises, it is

ORDERED, that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice to another suit at plaintiff costs.

F. E. Kennamer,
 District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sept. 30, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
 H. W. J.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	Misc.
JOHN DOE,	Defendant.)	

On this 30th day of September, 1925, comes John M. Goldsberry representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. John Doe is present in person, and it is ordered that the hearing on Contempt of Court herein, here tofore filed in above entitled cause be had. Whereupon it is by the Court ordered that the defendant be committed to the Tulsa County Jail until further ordered of this court, for refusing to answer the foreman of the Grand Jury.

IT is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant John Doe, for the crime by him committed as charged by the foreman of the Grand Jury, be committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa Oklahoma and confined until the further order of the Court.

and it is further ordered that the Marshal of said District transport the said John Doe to the said Tulsa Co. Jail, at Tulsa, Okla., and deliver him to the keeper of the said Tulsa County Jail, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

395

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

SEPTEMBER, 30, 1925.

RETURN OF GRAND JURY

On this 30th day of September, 1925, comes the Grand Jury into open Court and upon being called, each answer his name and is present. Thereupon the Grand Jury being asked by the Court if they have anything to present and through their foreman answer they have, and present to the Court the forty three (43) true bills, which are examined by the Court, ordered filed and numbered in open court in the presence of the Grand Jury, and which said indictments are as follows and the amount of bond set opposite defendants name as so ordered by the Court.

#523 D. A. Curry,	\$ 1000.00
524 Fernandis Williams	1500.00
525 Jim Meachman	2500.00
526 J. E. Glenn	2500.00
527 Lee Carr	2000.00
528 Charles M. Bailey,	
C. F. Bailey	1000.00
529 Daves Ames	2500.00
530 Baxter Ary	3000.00
531 Wiley Bagley	5000.00
532 Kent Goodmer	3000.00
533 Lon Cottrell	1000.00
534 Calvin Cohen	1500.00
535 John Whitaker and	
B. Cook	2500.00
536 Ellen Atkinson	2500.00
537 E. M. Oliver	2500.00
538 J. L. Clark and	
J. B. Howe	2500.00
539 Joe Forbe	1500.00
540 J. A. York	1500.00
541 F. E. Edwards and	
Pearl Harris	2500.00
542 Ollie Platt	2000.00
543 Earl Wright	1500.00
544 Fred Tindel	2000.00
545 T. P. Mayberry	1500.00
546 Bill Lightfoot	1000.00
547 J. A. Wilson and	
Walter Jones	2500.00
548 Georgis Brown	1000.00
549 N. P. Green and	
J. W. Lilley	2000.00
550 Dave Estell	3000.00
551 R.L. Stephens	2000.00
552 Douglas McAfee	1000.00
553 Sampson Sutherland	1500.00
554 E. W. Anderson	2000.00
Thomas Lockett	2500.00
555 Bill Lightfoot	1500.00
556 Pat Hennessey and	
Douglas McAfee	1500.00
557 W. M. Tyrell	2000.00
558 James Fyqua	1000.00
559 Okla Mitchell	1000.00
560 Harry Bright	3500.00
561 Frank Cole and Art	
Winshester	2500.00
562 Clair Adams and Earl	
Lankford	5000.00
563 H. B. Deverich	5000.00

Court adjourned until October, 1, 1925.

396 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, OCT. 1, 1925.

On this 1st day of October, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kemmerer, Judge present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs.
Rolland Laws, L. E. McGowan, Jackie McGowan, and F. W. Corn, Defendants.
403 Cr.

On this 1st day of October, 1925, it is ordered that the information heretofore filed in above entitled cause be and same hereby is withdrawn and same submitted to Grand Jury.

IN RE: C. H. WILLIAMS BEFORE GRAND JURY

On this 1st day of October, 1925, it is ordered, that C. H. Williams, heretofore confined in Jail as John Doe, go before the Grand Jury now in session with the District Attorney, and give all testimony or information in his possession.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs.
H. B. DEVERICK, Defendant.
563 Cr.

On this 1st day of October, 1925, it is ordered that the above entitled cause be assigned for hearing Monday October, 7, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

GEORGE T. WOLFE, by his next friend, Charles E. Wolfe, Plaintiff.
vs.
GYPSY OIL COMPANY, a corporation, Defendant.
No. 66

ORDER.

This the 1st day of October, 1925, the plaintiff appearing by his attorney's Maben & Joyce, and Day & Taylor, and having filed a certain written dismissal of the above entitled cause without prejudice to the filing of a future action at the cost of the plaintiff, and it appearing to the Court that no reason exists why plaintiff should not be permitted to so dismiss said cause of action:

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, OCTOBER, 2, 1925.

On this 2nd. day of October, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session, at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment. Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made, the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) 384 Cr.
ORILLA COELN, Defendant.)

On this 2nd. day of October, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Esq., Assistant U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said information heretofore filed herein. It is by the Court ordered that judgment and sentence in said cause be and same hereby is, deferred to October, 12, 1925.

Court adjourned until October, 3, 1925.