

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

1

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL TERM. TULSA, OKLAHOMA, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 1, 1925.

Court convened at 10 o'clock A. M., the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, presiding.

Public proclamation of the convening of the Court being duly made by Ransom Payne, Esq., the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

It appearing to the Court a United States Attorney and a United States Marshal have been appointed for the Northern District of Oklahoma, the Court announced that the organization of the Court would proceed, and thereupon the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER DIRECTING SPECIAL TERM.

It appearing that the accumulation of business in this District is of such a nature and volume as to require that a Special Term of this Court be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, beginning on the 1st day of April, 1925, at 10 o'clock A. M.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT A SPECIAL TERM of this Court be held at Tulsa, in said District, beginning on the 1st day of April, 1925, at 10 o'clock A. M. for the transaction of any business which might be transacted at a regular term, and to continue until adjourned by the Court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT THE spreading of this order upon the Court records shall be deemed sufficient notice of such Special Term.

Dated this 1st day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

Endorsed; Filed in open Court April, 1, 1925.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

APPOINTMENT OF FRANKLIN E. KENNAMER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

CALVIN COOLIDGE,

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF
AMERICA,

TO ALL WHO SHALL SEE THESE PRESENTS GREETING.

KNOW YE, That reposing special trust and confidence in the Wisdom, Uprightness and Learning of Franklin E. Kennamer, of Oklahoma, I have nominated and, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, do appoint him to be United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, and do authorize and empower him to execute and fulfill the duties of that Office according to the Constitution and Laws of the said United States and to Have and to Hold the said Office with all the powers, privileges and emoluments to the same of right appertaining unto him, the said Franklin E. Kennamer, during his good behavior.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have caused these Letters to be made patent and the Seal of the Department of Justice to be hereunto affixed.

DONE AT CITY OF WASHINGTON this eighteenth day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred twenty four and of the Independence of the United States of America the one hundred and forty eighth

By the President:
E. M. Daugherty
Attorney General

CALVIN COOLIDGE,

2
In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, APRIL, 1, 1925.

CATH OF OFFICE

I, F. E. Kennamer, do solemnly swear that I will administer justice without respect to persons, and do equal right to the poor and the rich, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as United States District Judge of the Eastern District of Oklahoma, according to the best of my abilities and understanding, agreeable to the Constitution and Laws of the United States: SO HELP ME GOD.

F. E. Kennamer.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of March, 1924.

R. L. Williams,

United States District Judge
for the Eastern District of Okla.

Endorsed Filed in open Court April, 1, 1925.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

APPOINTMENT OF H. P. WARFIELD, CLERK U. S. DISTRICT COURT.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

To all who shall see these presents, Greeting:

Know Ye, that I, F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, reposing special trust and confidence in the integrity, ability and fitness of H. P. Warfield, do hereby appoint him Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and do authorize and empower him to execute and discharge all the duties of said office according to law.

Given under my hand at the town of Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 1st day of April, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

Endorsed; Filed
in open Court, April, 1, 1925.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court.

ORDER FIXING BOND OF H. P. WARFIELD, CLERK OF U. S. DISTRICT COURT.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Now on this 1st day of April, 1925, A. D., it is by the Court ORDERED that the bond of H. P. Warfield as Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, heretofore ordered made in the sum of Twenty-Five Thousand (\$25,000) Dollars be, and the same is hereby approved.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge

Endorsed Filed: in open Court, April, 1, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

District of

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL, 1, 1925.

OATH OF OFFICE OF H. P. WARFIELD, CLERK OF U. S. DISTRICT COURT.

OATH OF OFFICE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA SS.

I, H. P. Warfield, duly appointed Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma do solemnly swear that I will truly and faithfully enter and record all the orders, decrees, judgments and proceedings of the said Court, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform the duties of my said office, according to the best of my ability and understanding. SO HELP ME GOD.

H. P. Warfield.

Sworn and subscribed to before me at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 1st day of April, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of
Oklahoma.

A D D I T I O N A L O A T H.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA SS.

I, H. P. Warfield, duly appointed Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, do solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States of America against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear full and true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; that I will well and truly and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter. SO HELP ME GOD.

H. P. Warfield.

Subscribed and sworn to before me at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 1st day of April, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of
Oklahoma.

Endorsed: Filed in open Court April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

BOND OF H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

CORPORATION BOND.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That we, H. P. Warfield, as principal, and Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company, a corporation, created and existing under the laws of the State of Connecticut, as surety, are held and firmly bound unto the United States of America in the full and just sum of Twenty-five Thousand Dollars, lawful money of the United States, to be paid to the United States; for which payment well and truly to be made, the said H. P. Warfield binds himself, his heirs, executors and administrators and the said Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company binds itself, its successors and assigns, firmly by these presents.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, that said H. P. Warfield, as principal, has hereunto set his hand and seal, and the said Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company, as surety, has caused these presents to be sealed with its corporate seal and signed by L. P. Oldham, its attorney in fact, this 1st day of April, 1925, in the year One Thousand nine hundred and twenty five.

4 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL, 1925.

THE CONDITION OF THE FOREGOING OBLIGATION IS SUCH, That whereas pursuant to law, the said E. P. Warfield has been appointed Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, to have and to hold the same, with all the rights, privileges, and emoluments thereunto lawfully appertaining, as by an appointment to him bearing date the 1st day of April, 1925, more fully appears, a certified copy of which is hereunto annexed.

NOW, THEREFORE, If the said E. P. Warfield by himself and his deputies, shall faithfully discharge the duties of his office, and seasonably record the decrees, judgments and determination of the said Court, and properly account for all moneys coming into his hands, as required by law, then this obligation to be void; otherwise, to remain in full force and virtue.

E. P. Warfield, Principal. (((SEAL)))

Signed and sealed and delivered in the presence of: J. M. Adkinson M. F. Meadows, as to Principal

HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY;
Surety.

By L. P. Oldham, Attorney in Fact.

(((SEAL)))

H. C. Littlefield
Mabel M. Bursell, as to surety.

Approved, April, 1, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, U. S. District Judge.

Sheet #2 Bond of H. P. Warfield.)

The rate of Premium on this bond is \$2.00 per thousand, the total amount of premium charged being \$50.00.

F. E. Kennamer

Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma:

TO ALL WHO SHALL SEE THESE PRESENTS, GREETINGS:

KNOW YE, That I, F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, reposing special trust and confidence in the integrity, ability and fitness of E. P. Warfield, do hereby appoint him Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and do authorize and empower him to execute and discharge all the duties of said office according to law.

Given under my hand at the town of Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 1st day of April, A. D. 1925.

(Signed) F. E. Kennamer
Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

Filed April 1st, 1925.
E. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Attest: A true Copy of the Original Order. E. P. Warfield, Clerk.
(((SEAL)))

(This form must be used in connection with the execution of all official bonds when the surety thereon is a guarantee or surety company, and this affidavit must be annexed to the bond)

STATE OF OKLAHOMA

SS

County of Tulsa,

Personally appeared before me E. C. Littlefield, on this 31st day of March 1925, L. P. Oldham, known to me to be the Attorney in Fact of the Hartford Acc. & Indemnity Company, the corporation described in and which executed the annexed bond of E. P. Warfield, as surety thereon, and who being by me duly sworn, deposes and says that he resides in the State of Oklahoma, that he is the Attorney in Fact of the said Hartford Acc. & Ind. Company and knows the corporate seal thereof, that said company is duly and legally incorporated under the laws of the State of Connecticut; that

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, APRIL, 1, 1925.

said Company has complied with the provisions of the Act of Congress of Aug 13th 1894 and March 23rd, 1910, allowing certain corporations to be accepted as surety on bonds; that the seal affixed to the annexed bond of H. P. Warfield is the corporate seal of the Hartford Acc. & Ind Company and was there to affixed by order and authority of the board of directors of said company; that he signed his name thereto by like order and authority, as Attorney in Fact of said Company; that he is acquainted with L. P. Oldham and knows him to be the Attorney in Fact of said Company, and that the signature of said L. D. Oldham subscribed to said bond is the genuine handwriting of said L. P. Oldham and was thereto subscribed by order and authority of said board of directors, and in the presence of said deponent; and that the assets of said company, unincumbered and liable to execution, exceed its claims, debts and liabilities, of every nature whatsoever, by more than the sum of _____ (\$ _____) dollars.

L. V. Oldham, Attorney in Fact.

All erasures and interlineations made before signing.

Sworn to, acknowledged before me, and subscribed in my presence this 31st day of March 1925.

H. B. Littlefield, Notary Public.

((SEAL))

My Commission expires the 30 day of October, 1927.

(Here give a certified copy of order of Court approving bond)

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Now on this 1st day of April, 1925, A. D. it is by the Court ORDERED that the bond of H. P. Warfield as Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, hereunto ordered made in the sum of Twenty five thousand (\$25,000.00) Dollars be, and the same is hereby approved.

(Signed) F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

United States of America

SS

Northern District of Oklahoma

I, H. P. Warfield, Clerk of the District Court of the United States of America for the Northern District of Oklahoma, do hereby certify the within and foregoing to be a true, full and correct copy of order approving bond of H. P. Warfield, Clerk United States Court in the sum of \$25,000.00.

((SEAL))

H. P. Warfield, Clerk

Endorsed: Filed, in open Court, April, 1, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

APPOINTMENT OF JOHN W. GOLDSBERRY UNITED STATES DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

CALVIN COOLIDGE,

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

TO ALL WHO SHALL SEE THESE PRESENTS, GREETING:

KNOW YE, That, reposing special trust and confidence in the Integrity, Ability and Learning of John W. Goldsberry, of Oklahoma, I have nominated, and by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, do appoint him Attorney of the United States, in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and do authorize and empower him to execute and fulfil the duties of that Office according to law, and to have and to hold the said Office, with all the powers and privileges, and emoluments to the same of right appertaining unto him the said John W. Goldsberry, for the term of four years, commencing with the date hereof, subject to the conditions prescribed by law.

IN TESTIMONY THEREOF, I have caused these Letters to be made Patent and the Seal of the Department of Justice to be Hereunto affixed

NORTHERN
SPECIAL, APRIL, 1925, TERMDistrict of
TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL, 1, 1925

Done at the City of Washington this eighteenth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and twenty five and of the Independence of the United States of America the one hundred and forty ninth.

By the President Calvin Coolidge
(((SEAL)))

John G. Sargent,
Attorney General.

QUALIFICATIONS OF JOHN M. GOLDSBERRY U. S. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IN RE: QUALIFICATIONS OF JOHN M. GOLDSBERRY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

It appearing to the Court that the commission issued by the President of the United States was issued to John W. Goldsberry, and that the letter "W" appearing in the name of said John M. Goldsberry was included through error, and it appearing further that the Attorney General of the United States directed the said John M. Goldsberry to qualify under his correct name, to-wit, John M. Goldsberry; that the oath of office was administered to John M. Goldsberry, and that he qualified under his correct name, and that he is the same person as was designated in the commission as John W. Goldsberry.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that John M. Goldsberry perform his duties as United States District Attorney in his correct name, and he is authorized to sign his name officially in the transaction of all official business in the name of John M. Goldsberry.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of
Oklahoma.

Endorsed; Filed, April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

OATH OF OFFICE OF JOHN M. GOLDSBERRY, U. S. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

I, John M. Goldsberry, do solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma on which I am about to enter. SO HELP ME GOD.

(Signed) John M. Goldsberry

Subscribed and sworn to before me this First day of April, A. D. 1925. Where born (State only) Missouri
Date of birth March 15, 1876
Whence appointed; State of Oklahoma
County of Tulsa,
Congressional District; First

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge for the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

Date of entry upon duty, April, first, 1925.

Residence 2628 East 10th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Endorsed: Filed, April, 1st, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. WEDNESDAY, APRIL 1, 1925

COMMISSION OF HENRY G. BEARD, UNITED STATES MARSHAL.

CALVIN COOLIDGE
PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
To all who shall see these presence GREETING:

KNOW YE; that, reposing special trust and confidence in the Integrity, Ability and Diligence of Henry G. Beard, of Oklahoma, I have Nominated and, by and with the advise and consent of the Senate, do appoint him Marshal of the United States, in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and do authorize and empower him to execute and fulfil the duties of the office according to law, and to have and to hold the office, with all the powers, privileges, and emoluments to the same of right appertaining, unto him, the said Henry G. Beard, for the term of four years, commencing with the date hereof, subject to the conditions prescribed by law.

In Testimony Whereof, I have caused these Letters to be made Patent and the Seal of the Department of Justice to be hereunto affixed.

Done at the City of Washington this seventeenth day of March, in the year of our Lord One Thousand Nine Hundred and Twenty Five, and of the Independence of the United States of America the one hundredth and forty ninth.

By the President Calvin Coolidge.
(((SEAL)))

James M. Beck
Acting Attorney General.

OATH OF OFFICE OF HENRY G. BEARD UNITED STATES MARSHAL

U. S. MARSHAL'S OATH OF OFFICE.

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

I, Henry G. Beard, do solemnly swear that I will faithfully execute all lawful precepts directed to the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, under the authority of the United States, and true returns make, and in all things well and truly, and without malice or partiality, perform the duties of the office of United States Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, during my continuance in said office, and take only my lawful fees; and that I will support and defend the Constitution against all enemies, foreign and domestic; and I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office upon which I am about to enter: SO HELP ME GOD.

Henry G. Beard

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this first day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

Date of birth March 16, 1866
Date of Entry on duty April first 1925.

Endorsed: Filed in open Court April 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Northern District of Oklahoma.
SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY April, 1, 1925.

BOND OF HENRY G. BEARD, UNITED STATES MARSHAL.

BOND - U. S. MARSHALS.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That we, Henry G. Beard as principal, and HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY, a corporation created and existing under the laws of the State of Connecticut, as sureties, are held and firmly bound unto the United States of America in the full and just sum of Twenty five thousand dollars, lawful money of the United States, to be paid to the United States; for which payment well and truly to be made, the said Henry G. Beard binds himself, his heirs, executors, administrators, and the said Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company binds itself, its successors, and assigns, firmly by these presents.

Signed with our hands and sealed with our seals this 28th day of March in the year one thousand nine hundred and twenty-five.

THE CONDITION OF THE FOREGOING OBLIGATION IS SUCH, that whereas, the President of the United States hath pursuant to law, appointed the said Henry G. Beard to be Marshal of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma for the term of four years commencing with the 17th day of March 1925, as by a commission to him bearing date of 17th day of March, 1925, more fully appears.

Now, Therefore, if the said Henry G. Beard by himself and by his Deputies, shall faithfully perform all the duties of the said office of Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma then this obligation to be void; otherwise to remain in full force and virtue.

Signed Sealed and delivered in the presence of

J. M. Adkinson L. G. Gillespie

(((SEAL)))

H. G. Beard,

As to Principal

(((SEAL)))

HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY CO

H. C. Littlefield,

L. P. Oldham,

Joe Young.

ATTORNEY-IN-FACT.

As to Surety.

Endorsed: Filed in open Court April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

APPOINTMENT OF ORION L. RIDER, REFEREE IN BANKRUPTCY.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IN RE: BANKRUPTCY DIVISION)
AND REFEREE)

O R D E R.

At Tulsa in said District of Oklahoma on the 1st day of April, 1925, before the HONORABLE F. E. KENNAMER, JUDGE OF SAID COURT.

Now, on this day comes on for consideration of the Court the matter of the appointment of the Referee in Bankruptcy for the said Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma; and

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, That Orion L. Rider be, and he is hereby, appointed Referee in Bankruptcy for the Northern District of Oklahoma, consisting of the following counties, to-wit:

Craig, Creek, Delaware, Hayes, Nowata,
Okfuskee, Osage, Ottawa, Pawnee, Rogers,
Tulsa, and Washington.

with his principal office at Tulsa, Oklahoma, for the term of two (2) years from and after April, 1st, 1925, and the said Orion L. Rider is hereby directed and authorized to act as Referee in either and all counties

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY APRIL 1, 1925.

named herein whenever the services of a Referee may be required therein, until otherwise ordered by the Court. The appointment of a Referee in any of the said Counties not being deemed necessary by the Court at this time, the said Orin L. Rider shall act as Referee in all the said counties in the said Northern District of Oklahoma. In the event any petition in bankruptcy is filed by or against any person residing in either of the counties constituting the said Northern District of Oklahoma, the same shall be referred to the said Orin L. Rider, as Referee, and he is hereby authorized and empowered to do and perform all acts and things in regard to such cases so referred as may be by law required of Referees in Bankruptcy.

It is further ordered that before entering upon his duties and within ten (10) days from this date, said Referee shall take the oath of office prescribed for Judges of the United States Courts and shall execute and file with the Clerk of this Court a good and sufficient bond to the United States of America in the principal sum of \$5,000.00, with such securities as shall be approved by the Clerk of this Court, said bond to be conditioned upon the faithful discharge and performance by said Referee of all the duties pertaining to his said office, which bond shall be subject to the approval of the undersigned Judge of this Court, or the Clerk thereof.

It is further ordered that all proceedings, matters and cases now pending which have been referred to and are in the hands of the Referee W. J. Melton in that part of the Eastern District of Oklahoma which has become a part of the Northern District of Oklahoma, and all proceedings, matters and cases now pending by reference to and now in the hands of the duly appointed Referee in Bankruptcy for that part of the Western District of Oklahoma which has become a part of the Northern District of Oklahoma shall be in all respects completed by said Referees, and by virtue of this order, all new matters in bankruptcy arising from and after this date shall be referred and be handled by the said Orin L. Rider.

DONE AT TULSA, OKLAHOMA AT 11:30 A. M. APRIL 1st., A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer
Judge of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of
Oklahoma

Endorsed: Filed in open Court, Apr., 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of
U. S. District Court.

OATH OF OFFICE OF ORIN L. RIDER, REFEREE IN BANKRUPTCY.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

OATH OF OFFICE.

I, Orin L. Rider, do solemnly swear that I will administer justice without respect to person, and do equal right to the poor and to the rich, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent on me as Referee in Bankruptcy, according to the best of my abilities and understanding, agreeable to the Constitution and laws of the United States: SO HELP ME GOD.

Orin L. Rider

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 1st day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

My Commission Expires _____, 19__

ENDORSED: Filed in open Court Apr. 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, APRIL, 1925.

BOND OF ORIN L. RIDER, AS REFEREE IN BANKRUPTCY.

SOUTHERN SURETY COMPANY.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: That we, Orin L. Rider, of Vineta, Oklahoma as Principal and Southern Surety Company, a corporation, with Home Offices in Des Moines, Iowa, as surety, are held and firmly bound unto the United States of America in the sum of Five Thousand and No/100 (\$5000.00) Dollars, in lawful money of the United States to be paid to the said United States, for which payment, well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves and our heirs, executors and administrators, successors or assigns, jointly and severally by these presents.

Signed and sealed this 1st day of April, A. D. 1925.

The conditions of this obligation is such, that whereas the above-named Orin L. Rider was, on the 1st day of April, A. D. 1925, appointed Referee in Bankruptcy for said Court, and he, the said Orin L. Rider, has accepted said trust will, all the duties and obligations pertaining thereunto.

NOW, THEREFORE, if the said Orin L. Rider, as aforesaid, shall obey such orders as said Court may make in relation to said trust, and shall faithfully and truly account for all moneys assets and effects, of the said office which shall come into his hands and possession, and shall in all respects faithfully perform all his official duties as said Referee in Bankruptcy then this obligation to be void; otherwise to remain in full force and virtue.

Orin L. Rider

Signed and sealed in the presence of:

E. W. Hendrick
P. J. Mack

(((SEAL)))

SOUTHERN SURETY COMPANY

By R. W. Wells,
Attorney-in-FactApproved: F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.ENDORSED: Filed, in open Court, April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk
of U. S. District Court.ORDER APPOINTING WILSON R. ROACH, COMMISSIONER AT TULSA, OKLA.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

In the Matter of the Appointment of
United States Commissioner at
Tulsa, Oklahoma.

On this 1st day of April, 1925, it appearing to the Court that the appointment of a United States Commissioner at Tulsa, Oklahoma, is advisable because of the vast amount of business in this section of said Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, and that Wilson R. Roach possesses the requisite integrity, ability and qualifications for the discharge of the duties of said office, as provided by law.

It is therefore by the Court ordered, that said Wilson R. Roach, and he is hereby appointed United States Commissioner at Tulsa, Oklahoma for the term of four (4) years commencing with the 1st day of April A.D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge, United States District Court
for the Northern District of OklahomaEndorsed: Filed, in open Court, April, 1, 1925.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

11

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA,
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, April, 1, 1925.

OATH OF OFFICE OF WILSON R. ROACH AS U. S. COMMISSIONER OF TULSA OKLA

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA) SS.

I, Wilson R. Roach, do solemnly swear that I will administer justice without respect to person, and do equal right to the rich and poor, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as a United States Commissioner, agreeable to the Constitution and Laws of the United States.

I further solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic, that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation and purpose of evasion; that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of which I am about to enter. SO HELP ME GOD.

WILSON R. ROACH

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

Where born: Nebraska
Whence appointed: State - Oklahoma
County - Tulsa
Congressional District: First
Date of Birth Sept. 19, 1901
Date of Entry on duty: April 1, 1925.
Impression of Seal of Commissioner ((SEAL))

ORDER APPOINTING LAURA G. DARROUGH AS U. S. COMMISSIONER AT VINITA.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

In the Matter of the Appointment
of United States Commissioner,
at Vinita, Okla.

On this 1st day of April, 1925, it appearing to the Court that the appointment of a United States Commissioner at Vinita, Oklahoma, is advisable, because of the vast amount of business in this section of said Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, and that Mrs. Laura G. Darrough possesses the requisite integrity, ability and qualifications for the discharge of the duties of said office, as provided by law.

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, that said Mrs. Laura G. Darrough be, and she is hereby appointed United States Commissioner at Vinita, Oklahoma, for the term of four (4) years commencing with the 1st day of April, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge, United States District
Court for the Northern District
of Oklahoma.

Endorsed: Filed in open Court April, 1, 1925.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. Court.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY, APRIL, 1925.

OATH OF OFFICE OF LAURA G. DARROUGH AS U. S. COMMISSIONER OF VINITA, OKLA.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.) SS.

I, Laura G. Darrough, do solemnly swear that I will administer justice without respect to person, and do equal right to the rich and poor and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as a United States Commissioner, agreeable to the Constitution and Laws of the United States.

I further solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation and purpose of evasion; that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of which I am about to enter. SO HELP ME GOD.

LAURA G. DARROUGH

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

Where born: Missouri,
Whence Appointed: State of Oklahoma.
County of Craig.
Congressional District: First
Date of birth: Sept. 29, 1879.
Date of entry on duty: April, 1, 1925
Impression of seal of Commissioner:
(((SEAL)))

Endorsed: filed in open Court, April, 1, 1925.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

ORDER APPOINTING EDWIN L. O'NEIL, U. S. COMMISSIONER OF BARTLESVILLE.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

In the Matter of the Appointment of United States Commissioner, At Bartlesville, Oklahoma.

On this 1st day of April, 1925, it appearing to the Court that the appointment of a United States Commissioner, at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, is advisable because of the vast amount of business in this section of said Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, and that Edwin L. O'Neil possesses the requisite integrity ability and qualifications for the discharge of the duties of said office, as provided by law.

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, that said Edwin L. O'Neil, he and he is hereby appointed United States Commissioner at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, for the term of four (4) years commencing with the 1st day of April, A.D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge, United States District Court
for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

Endorsed: Filed in open Court
Apr. 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY APRIL, 1, 1925.

OATH OF EDWIN L. O'NEIL AS U. S. COMMISSIONER, BARTLESVILLE.IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.)

I, Edwin L. O'Neil, do solemnly swear that I will administer justice without respect to person, and do equal right to the rich and to the poor, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as a United States Commissioner, agreeable to the Constitution and Laws of the United States.

I further solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic, that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation and purpose of evasion, that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of which I am about to enter. SO HELP ME GOD.

Edwin L. O'Neil.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of April, 1925

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

Where born: Illinois.
 Hence Appointed: State - Oklahoma.
 County - Washington.
 Congressional District: First
 Date of birth: July 18, 1873
 Date of entry on duty: April, 1st, 1925.
 Impression of Seal of Commissioner

((SEAL))

APPOINTMENT OF GEORGE B. MELLOTT U. S. COMMISSIONER, Pawhuska, Okla.IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.In the Matter of the Appointment of
United States Commissioner, at Pawhuska, Okla.

On this 1st day of April, 1925, it appearing to the Court that the appointment of a United States Commissioner, at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, is advisable, because of the vast amount of business in this section of said Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, and that George B. Mellott possesses the requisite integrity, ability and qualifications for the discharge of the duties of said office, as provided by law.

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, that said George B. Mellott be, and he is hereby appointed United States Commissioner at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, for the term of four (4) years commencing with the 1st day of April, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
 Judge United States District Court
 for the Northern District
 of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed in open Court, April, 1, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court.

14 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL TERM, APRIL 1925. TULSA, Okla. WEDNESDAY APRIL, 1, 1925.

OATH OF OFFICE OF GEORGE B. MELLOTT AS U. S. COMMISSIONER PAWUSKA.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.) SS.

I, George B. Mellett, do solemnly swear that I will administer justice without respect to person, and do equal right to the rich and the poor, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as United States Commissioner, agreeable to the Constitution and Laws of the United States.

I further solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same, that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation and purpose of evasion; that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of which I am about to enter. SO HELP ME GOD.

George Mellett.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of April, 1925

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

When born : Kansas.
Whence appointed: State - Oklahoma,
County - Osage.
Congressional District : First
Date of birth: October 2, 1874
Date of entry on duty: April, 1, 1925.
Impression of Seal of Commissioner

((SEAL))

ENDORSED. Filed in open Court April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk
of U. S. District Court.

ORDER APPOINTING HARRY JENNINGS, U. S. COMMISSIONER.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPOINTMENT OF A
UNITED STATES COMMISSIONER IN SAID DISTRICT.

On this 1st day of April, 1925, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in said District the undersigned judge of said District upon due consideration finding that Harry Jennings of Claremore, in Rogers County, Oklahoma, is a fit and suitable person for a United States Commissioner, does here by appoint the said Harry Jennings as a United States Commissioner at Claremore, Oklahoma, in said District, for a term of four years, and that the said Harry Jennings shall qualify by subscribing to the proper oath of Office.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge, United States District Court
for the Northern District of Oklahoma

ENDORSED: Filed in open Court. April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

NORTHERN
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

District of OKLAHOMA.

TULSA OKLAHOMA. WEDNESDAY April, 1, 1925.

OATH OF OFFICE OF HARRY JENNINGS, U. S. COMMISSIONER.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.) SS

* I, Harry Jennings, do solemnly swear that I will administer justice without respect to persons, and do equal right to the rich and poor, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as a United States Commissioner, agreeable to the Constitution and Laws of the United States.

I further solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation and purpose of evasion, that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of which I am about to enter. SO HELP ME GOD.

HARRY JENNINGS.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

Where born: England
Whence appointed: State of Oklahoma.
County of Rogers.
Congressional District: First
Date of Birth: July, 29, 1863
Date of entry on duty: April, 1, 1925.
Impression of Seal of Commissioner ((SEAL))

Endorsed: Filed in open Court April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

ORDER ADOPTING SEAL FOR THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

((S E A L))

It is ORDERED that the SEAL of this Court shall be circular in form, bearing the words "SEAL of the United States District Court" around the outer edge, and the words "Northern District of Oklahoma" in the center, of which said seal the following is a correct impression, to-wit:

((SEAL))

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 1st day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

Endorsed: Filed in open Court, April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield Clerk
U. S. District Court.

JOURNAL ENTRY FOR RULES AS TO ADMISSION TO BAR OF ATTORNEYS.

IN RE: ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS.

Now, at this time, to-wit; April, 1, 1925, it is ordered by the Court, as rule of Court, for the time being, that certain persons be admitted to the bar of this Court upon satisfactory evidence being made to appear that said persons are in good standing and are judges of any of the state Courts of Oklahoma or have been heretofore admitted to the bar of the

16 In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma or of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals of any circuit, or of the Supreme Court of the United States.

Thereupon it being made satisfactorily to appear that the following persons are qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath prescribed by the court is administered to said persons, and they are declared admitted to the bar of this Court namely.

H. D. Mason, M. S. Barnard, W. F. Schuermeyer,
Fred D. Oiler, Franklin H. Griggs, Harry Jennings,
Jarrel E. Ingersoll, Harry Campbell, L. W. Mason,
A. L. Harbison, W. I. Williams, Joe T. Dewberry,
W. J. Melton, George H. Lesslie, F. F. Nelson,
James S. Watson, William R. Kerr, Wyley L. Coffey,
Frank O. Cavitt, W. C. Peters.

OATH OF OFFICE OF LOUIS N. STIVERS ASSISTANT U. S. ATTORNEY.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OATH OF ASSISTANT UNITED STATES
DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

I, Louis N. Stivers, do solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, on which I am about to enter. SO HELP ME GOD.

LOUIS N. STIVERS.

Where born (State only) Kentucky

Date of birth: August 4, 1879

Whence appointed: State of Oklahoma,
County of Ottawa.

Congressional District: First

Date of entry upon duty, April, 1st, 1925.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this First day of April, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge for Northern
District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed, in open Court, April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of
U. S. District Court.

OATH OF OFFICE OF WILEY L. COFFEY AS ASSISTANT U. S. ATTORNEY.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OATH OF ASSISTANT UNITED STATES
DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

I, Wiley L. Coffey, do solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, on which I am about to enter: SO HELP ME GOD.

Wiley L. Coffey,

Where born (State only) Kentucky

Date of birth, April, 20, 1885

Whence appointed: State of Oklahoma. County of Tulsa.

Congressional District: First.

Date of Entry upon duty, April, First, 1925.

Residence. Sand Springs, Oklahoma.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925. TERM TULSA? OKLA. WEDNESDAY, APRIL, 1, 1925.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this First day of April, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge for the
Northern District of Oklahoma.

Endorsed: Filed, in open Court April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

Appointment of Levi W. Jones, as Deputy Clerk of U. S. District Court.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.) SS.

By virtue of the authority in me vested by the Laws of the United States of America, Levi W. Jones, of the City of Sapulpa and State of Oklahoma, is hereby appointed Deputy Clerk of the District Court of the Northern District of Oklahoma.

In testimony whereof, I the undersigned Clerk of the District Court of the United States, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, have hereunto set my hand and seal of said Court at Tulsa, in said District, this First day of April, A. D. 1925.

(((SEAL)))

H. P. Warfield, Clerk of United
States District Court, Northern
District of Oklahoma.

Endorsed: Filed, in open Court, April, 1st, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk
of U. S. District Court.

OATH OF OFFICE OF LEVI W. JONES, DEPUTY CLERK U. S. DISTRICT COURT.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA) SS

O A T H.

I, Levi W. Jones, being appointed a Deputy Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, do solemnly swear that I will truly and faithfully enter and record all the orders, decrees, judgments and proceedings of the said Court, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties of my said office, according to the best of my ability and understanding; That I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office which I am about to enter. SO HELP ME GOD.

Levi W. Jones,

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of April, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed in open Court April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of
U. S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL, APRIL, 1925. TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, April, 1, 1925.

APPOINTMENT OF MRS. MINNIE G. GAGLE, DEPUTY CLERK OF U. S. DISTRICT COURTIN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT.UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.) SS

By virtue of the authority in me vested by the Laws of the United States of America, Mrs Minnie G. Cagle, of the City of Tulsa, and State of Oklahoma, is hereby appointed Deputy Clerk of the District Court of the Northern District of Oklahoma.

In Witness whereof, I, the undersigned Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, have hereunto set my hand, and seal, at Tulsa, in said District, this 1st day of April A. D. 1925.

((SEAL)) H. P. Warfield, Clerk of the
United States Court Northern District.

ENDORSED: Filed in open Court April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

OATH OF OFFICE OF MRS MINNIE G. GAGLE, DEPUTY CLERK OF U. S. DISTRICT COURT.IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT.UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.) SS.O A T H.

I, Mrs Minnie G. Cagle, being appointed a Deputy Clerk of the District Court, of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, do solemnly swear that I will truly and faithfully enter and record all the orders, decrees, judgments and proceedings of the said Court, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties of my said Office, according to the best of my ability and understanding. That I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; That I take this ~~oath~~ freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of which I am about to enter, SO HELP ME GOD.

Mrs Minnie G. Cagle.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed in open Court, April, 1, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925. TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL, 1, 1925.

APPOINTMENT OF ROY B. CORNETT DEPUTY CLERK OF U. S. DISTRICT COURT.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA) SS

By virtue of the authority in vested by the Laws of the United States of American, Roy B. Cornett, of the City of Tulsa, and State of Oklahoma, is hereby appointed Deputy Clerk of the District Court of the Northern District of Oklahoma.

In Witness Whereof, I the undersigned Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, have hereunto set my hand and the seal at Tulsa, in said District, this 1st day of April, A. D. 1925.

((SEAL))

H. P. Warfield,
Clerk of the United States Court
Northern District.

ENDORSED: Filed, in open Court, April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

OATH OF OFFICE OF ROY B. CORNETT DEPUTY CLERK OF U. S. DISTRICT COURT.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.) SS

O A T H.

I, Roy B. Cornett, being appointed a Deputy Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, do solemnly swear that I will truly and faithfully enter and record all the orders, decrees, judgments and proceedings of the said Court, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties of the said office, according to the best of my ability and understanding. That I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of which I am about to enter. SO HELP ME GOD.

Roy B. Cornett

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 1st day of April, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: FILED, in open Court, April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

APPOINTMENT OF HENRY W. BACKUS CHIEF DEPUTY U. S. MARSHALL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

To All Who Shall see These Presents: GREETING.

KNOW YE, That reposing special trust and confidence in the INTEGRITY, ABILITY, and DILIGENCE of Henry W. Backus, I hereby appoint and commission him Chief Deputy United States Marshall in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and do authorize and empower him to execute and fulfill the duties of that office according to law, and to have and to hold

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLAHOMA WEDNESDAY April 1, 1925.

the said office, with all the power, privileges and emoluments to the same of right appertaining unto him, the said Henry W. Backus during my pleasure, subject to the conditions prescribed by law.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand at Tulsa, in the District aforesaid this first day of April, in the year one thousand nine hundred and twenty five.

Henry G. Beard,
United States Marshal

ENDORSED: Filed in open Court, April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. By, Minnie G. Cagle, Deputy.

OATH OF OFFICE OF CHIEF DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL HENRY W. BACKUS.

DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL'S OATH OF OFFICE.

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

I, Henry W. Backus, do solemnly swear that I will faithfully execute all lawful precepts directed to the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, under the authority of the United States and true returns make, and in all things well and truly, and without malice of partiality, perform the duties of the office of Deputy United States Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma during my continuance in said Office, and take only my lawful fees, and that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; and I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office upon which I am about to enter: SO HELP ME GOD.

Henry W. Backus.

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this first day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed in open Court, April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

APPOINTMENT OF ANDREW HENDERSON, AS DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

TO ALL WHO SHALL SEE THESE PRESENTS: GREETING:

KNOW YE, That reposing special trust and confidence in the INTEGRITY, ABILITY, AND DILIGENCE of Andrew Henderson, I, hereby appoint and commission him Deputy United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and do authorize and empower him to execute and fulfill the duties of that office according to law, and to have and to hold the said office, with all the powers, privileges, and emoluments to the same of right appertaining unto him, the said Andrew Henderson, during my pleasure, subject to the conditions prescribed by law.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at Tulsa in the District aforesaid, this first day of April, in the year one thousand nine hundred and twenty five.

Henry G. Beard,
United States Marshal

ENDORSED: Filed in open Court, Apr. 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. by, Minnie G. Cagle, Deputy.

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY April, 1, 1925.

OATH OF OFFICE OF ANDREW HENDERSON AS DEPUTY U. S. MARSHL.

DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL'S OATH OF OFFICE.

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

I, Andrew Henderson, do solemnly swear that I will faithfully execute all lawful precepts directed to the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma under the authority of the United States, and true returns make, and in all things well and truly, and without malice or partiality, perform the duties of the office of Deputy United States Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma during my continuance in office, and take only my lawful fees; and that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies foreign and domestic and I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office upon which I am about to enter. SO HELP ME GOD.

Andrew Henderson

Sworn and subscribed to before me, this first day of April, nineteen hundred twenty five.

F. E. Kennamer.
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed, in open Court, April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

APPOINTMENT OF E. W. FOOY, DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

To All Who Shall See These Presents, GREETING:

KNOW YE, That, reposing special trust and confidence in the INTEGRITY, ABILITY AND DILIGENCE of E. W. Fooy, I hereby appoint and commission him Deputy United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma and do authorize and empower him to execute and fulfill the duties of that office according to law, and to have and to hold the said office, with all the powers, privileges and emoluments to the same of right appertaining unto him, the said E. W. Fooy, during my pleasure, subject to the conditions prescribed by law.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at Tulsa, in the District aforesaid, this first day of April, in the year one thousand nine hundred and twenty five.

Henry G. Beard
United States Marshal

April, 1, 1925.

ENDORSED: Filed in open Court, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court by, Minnie G. Cagle, Deputy.

OATH OF OFFICE, of E. W. FOOY DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL.

DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL'S OATH OF OFFICE.
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

I, E. W. Fooy, do solemnly swear that I will faithfully execute all lawful precepts directed to the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, under the authority of the United States and true returns make, and in all things well and truly, and without malice or partiality, perform the duties of the office of Deputy United States Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma during my continuance in office and take only my lawful fees; and that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; and I will bear true faith and allegiance

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. WEDNESDAY April, 1, 1925.

to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office upon which I am about to enter: SO HELP ME GOD.

Evertt W. Fooy.

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this first day of April, nineteen hundred twenty five.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed in open Court, April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court.

APPOINTMENT OF WILLIAM MEEKS AS DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICAN
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

To All Who Shall See These Presents: Greeting:

KNOW YE, That reposing special trust and confidence in the INTEGRITY, ABILITY AND DILIGENCE of William Meeks, I hereby appoint and commission him Deputy United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma and do authorize and empower him to execute and fulfill the duties of that office according to law, and to have and to hold said office, with all the powers, privileges and emoluments to the same of right appertaining unto him the said William Meeks during my pleasure, subject to the conditions prescribed by law.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at Tulsa, in the District aforesaid, this first day of April, in the year one thousand nine hundred and twenty five.

Henry G. Beard.
United States Marshal

ENDORSE: Filed, in open Court, April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court. By, Minnie G. Cagle, Deputy.

OATH OF OFFICE OF WILLIAM MEEKS AS DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL.

DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL'S OATH OF OFFICE
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

I, William Meeks, do solemnly swear that I will faithfully execute all lawful precepts directed to the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, under the authority of the United States, and true returns make, and in all things well and truly, and without malice or partiality, perform the duties of the office of Deputy United States Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma during my continuance in said office, and take only my lawful fees; and that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; and I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office upon which I am about to enter: SO HELP ME GOD.

WILLIAM MEEKS.

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this first day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed in open Court April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S.
District Court. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. WEDNESDAY, APRIL 1, 1925.

APPOINTMENT OF H. C. COATS, AS U. S. DEPUTY MARSHAL.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

To All Who Shall See These Presents: GREETINGS:

KNOW YE, That, reposing special trust and confidence in the INTEGRITY, ABILITY, and DILIGENCE of H. C. Coats, I hereby appoint and commission him DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma and do authorize and empower him to execute and fulfill the duties of that office according to law, and to have and to hold the said office, with all the powers, privileges and emoluments to the same of right appertaining unto him, the said H. C. Coats, during my pleasure, subject to the conditions prescribed by law.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at Tulsa, in the District aforesaid, this first day of April, in the year one thousand nine hundred and twenty five.

Henry G. Beard.
United States Marshal

ENDORSED: Filed in open Court April, 1, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. By Minnie G. Cagle, Deputy.

OATH OF OFFICE OF H. C. COATS, AS DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL.

DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL'S OATH OF OFFICE
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

I, H. C. Coats, do solemnly swear that I will faithfully execute all lawful precepts directed to the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, under the authority of the United States and true returns make, and in all things well and truly and without malice or partiality, perform the duties of the office of Deputy United States Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma during my continuance in said Office, and take only my lawful fees; and that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; and I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office upon which I am about to enter: SO HELP ME GOD.

H. C. COATS.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this first day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer
District Judge.

ENDORSED, Filed in open Court, April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

APPOINTMENT OF FRANK G. WALLING AS JURY COMMISSIONER

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPOINTMENT OF A JURY COMMISSIONER
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

On this 1st day of April, 1925, it appearing to the Court that the appointment of a Jury Commissioner for the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma is necessary for the carrying out the Judicial duties for the said Northern District, and it appearing to the Court that Frank G. Walling of Tulsa, Oklahoma, has resided within that portion of the State of Oklahoma which now constitute the Northern Judicial District for more than three years prior to this date, and that he is and has been for many years a member of the

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, Okla. WEDNESDAY APRIL, 1, 1925.

opposite political party to which the Clerk of this Court is affiliated, to-wit, the Democratic party, and it further appearing that the said Frank G. Walling is a man of integrity, ability and in possession of the qualifications necessary for the discharge of the duties of said office of Jury Commissioner.

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, that the said Frank G. Walling be, a and he is hereby appointed Jury Commissioner for the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma for the term of four(4) years commencing with the 1st day of April, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge, United States District Court for
the Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed in open Court April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

OATH OF OFFICE OF FRANK G. WALLING, AS JURY COMMISSIONER.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OATH OF OFFICE.

I, Frank G. Walling, do solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of Jury Commissioner for the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, on which I am about to enter: SO HELP ME GOD.

Frank G. Walling.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this the 1st day of April, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

Where born, (State only) Illinois
Whence appointed: Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma.
Date of entry on duty: April, 1st, 1925.
Date of birth: August 9, 1875.

ENDORSED: Filed, in open Court, April, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. By, Minnie G. Cagle, Deputy.

Now at this time it is ordered that Court adjourn subject to call, April, 2, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, APRIL, 2, 1925.

Court convened at Tulsa, Okla., pursuant to adjournment: at 9:30. A.M.
Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, United States District Judge;
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR

It being made satisfactorily to appear that the following persons are qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered to said persons, and they are declared admitted to the bar of this Court, namely:

John M. Winters, Henry M. Gray, W. T. Hunt,
P. L. Thurman, Edward W. Lotridge, George W. Boone
Robert E. Keenan, H. A. Groves, T. A. Chandler,
Parren D. Lindsey.

It is ordered that Court adjourn until April, 3, 1925.

Court convened at Tulsa, Oklahoma, April, 3rd., 1925, pursuant to adjournment at 9:30. A. M. with the following officers present.

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, United States District Judge,
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR

It being made satisfactorily to appear that the following persons are qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered to said persons, and they are declared admitted to the bar of this Court, namely;

Ray S. Fellows, Cerland D. Little, H. G. Fieldings.

It is ordered that Court adjourn until April, 4, 1925.

Court Convened at Tulsa, Oklahoma, April, 4th., 1925, pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A. M. with the following officers present.

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, United States District Judge,
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR

It being made satisfactorily to appear that the following persons are qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered to said persons, and they are declared admitted to the bar of this Court, namely:

Asberry Barkhead, F. L. Long, John H. Campbell
Allan R. Shaw, James P. McCabe, F. D. Leonard.

It is ordered that Court adjourn until April, 6, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925..TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY APR. 8, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER TO TO COMMISSIONERS TO PREPARE AND FILE CERTIFIED COPIES OF TRANSCRIP

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

O R D E R.

The United States Commissioners in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma are ordered to prepare and file with the Clerk of the Court a certified transcript of all proceedings in preliminary hearings in criminal cases, together with the original papers, in the following proceedings:

(a) Of preliminary hearings in which the defendant or defendants are bound over to the United States District Court.

(b) In cases where the defendant or defendants are discharged on preliminary hearing.

(c) The proceedings and original papers in the application and issuance of a search warrant in all cases in which the defendant or defendants are bound over to the United States District Court.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this April, 8, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed April, 8, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 8th day of April, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear Ebin L. Taylor, of Tulsa, Okla., is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court. The oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said Ebin L. Taylor is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

Ebin L. Taylor, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Court adjourned until Thursday April, 9, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment April, 9, 1925, at 9:30
A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

Court adjourned until April, 10, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL, APRIL, 1925. TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDAY, APRIL, 10, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, April, 10, 1925. at
9:30 A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered.

Court adjourned until April, 11, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, April, 11, 1925, at
9:30 A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

ORDER APPOINTING RECEIVER.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Fred H. Kelly and
Charles F. Hough,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Midwest and Gulf Oil
Corporation, a corporation

Defendants.

No. 3 Law.

ORDER APPOINTING RECEIVER.

Now on this 11th day of April, 1925, this matter came on for hearing in open court upon the application of the plaintiffs herein for the appointment of the marshal of this Court as Receiver of and for the following described property and the income accruing therefrom now held by said Marshal under order of attachment heretofore issued by this Court:

The Leasehold estate for oil and gas covering the North half of the Northeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter and the Northwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter and the Southeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 56, Township 28 North, Range 13 East, Washington County, Oklahoma.

and the Court having been fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that said application should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, CONSIDERED, AND ADJUDGED that Henry G. Beard, United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he hereby is appointed Receiver of and for the above described property, to manage, hold, operate, and pay the expenses of operation and conserve the same, subject to the further orders of this court; and said Receiver be, and he hereby is, authorized and directed to receive and collect from the pipe line company or companies running the oil and or gas from said property all moneys or payments now accruing or hereafter to accrue for the purchase of said oil and or gas therefrom, and said pipe line company or companies running said oil and or gas are hereby ordered and directed to make payment therefor to said Receiver until and unless otherwise ordered by this court; to which defendant excepts.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr. 11, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
By L. W. Jones, Deputy.

Court adjourned until April, 12, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL TERM, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, Okla. MONDAY, APRIL, 13, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment, April, 13, 1925, at 9:30 A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court
H. P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR

ON THIS 13th day of April, 1925, it being made to satisfactorily appear, that John T. Harley, Tulsa, Okla., is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court. The oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said John T. Harley is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

John T. Harley. Tulsa, Okla.

Court adjourned until April, 14, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment, April, 14th., 1925, at 9:30 A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the Following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

ORDER SETTING CASE FOR TRIAL.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

GEROGE E. BENNETT,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
RIVERLAND COMPANY)
A Corporation.)
Defendant.)

No. 43. Law.

O R D E R.

This cause coming on to be heard on this the ___ day of April 1925, upon the stipulation of the parties this day filed, and the Court being fully advised in the premises:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above entitled cause be and it is hereby set for trial at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the 17th day of April, 1925

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed April, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court

Court adjourned until April, 15, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment at 9:30 A. M. this 15th day of April, 1925, Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

Court adjourned until April, 126, 1925.

30
In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925. TERM. TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, APRIL 16, 1925

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment, April, 16, 1925, at 9:30 A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

Court adjourned until Friday, April, 17, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment on Friday April, 17th., 1925, at 9:30 A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Public proclamation being duly made the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this the 17th day of April, 1925, it being made to satisfactorily appear that Edgar A. de Meules, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court. The oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said Edgar A. de Meules is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

Edgar A. de Meules, Tulsa, Okla.

ADMISSION TO BAR

ON this the 17th day of April, 1925, it being made to satisfactorily appear that William O. Beall, Tulsa, Okla., is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court. The oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said William O. Beall is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

William A. Beall, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

GEORGE BENNETT,
Plaintiff.
vs.
RIVERLAND COMPANY,
Defendant.

LAW #43.

Now on this 17th day of April, 1925, this cause comes on for further hearing. Plaintiff is present in person and by attorney, F. E. Riddle. Defendant present by attorney, Edgar A. de Meules. All testimony having heretofore been heard, arguments of counsel are heard at this time and ruling of Court to be made at later date when attorneys for both parties are present.

Court adjourned until Saturday, April, 18, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment, Saturday April, 18th., 1925, at 9:30 A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

Court adjourned until Monday, April, 20, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL, APRIL, 1925. TERM. TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, APRIL, 20, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment Monday,
April, 20th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Public proclamation being duly made the following proceedings
were had.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	}	Crim. # 1.
Plaintiff.		
vs.	}	
FISHER WILLIAMS.		
Defendant.		

On this 20th day of April, 1925, plaintiff appears by
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. Attorney and Defendant in person and by
attorney, Walter E. Marks. The defendant is arraigned upon the informa-
tion herein and waives reading thereof and enters plea of guilty and is
fined \$50.00., and committed to Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla. until said
fine is paid.

Court adjourned until April, 21, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment Tuesday,
April, 21, 1925. at 9:30 A. M. Present;

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had, to-wit;

APPOINTMENT OF GLADYS V. ELLIOTT, U. S. DEPUTY MARSHAL.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

TO ALL WHO SHALL SEE THESE PRESENTS, GREETINGS:

KNOW YE, That reposing special trust and confidence in the
INTEGRITY, ABILITY and DILIGENCE of Gladys V. Elliott, I hereby appoint
and commission her office Deputy United States Marshal in and for the
Northern District of Oklahoma and do authorize and empower her to execute
and fulfill the duties of that office according to law, and to have and to
hold the said office with all the powers, privileges and emoluments to the
same of right appertaining unto her the said Gladys V. Elliott during my
pleasure, subject to the conditions prescribed by law.

In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand at Tulsa,
in the District aforesaid, this 2nd. day of April, in the year one thousand
nine hundred and 25.

Henry G. Beard,
United States Marshal.

ENDORSED: Filed April, 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

OATH OF OFFICE OF GLADYS V. ELLIOTT, DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL.

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL'S OATH OF OFFICE.
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

I, Gladys V. Elliott, do solemnly swear that I will faithfully
execute all lawful precepts directed to the Marshal of the Northern District
of Oklahoma, under the authority of the United States, and true returns

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

District of

OKLAHOMA.
TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

TUESDAY APRIL, 21, 1925.

make, and in all things well and truly, and without malice or partiality perform the duties of the office of Deputy United States Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, during my continuance in said office, and take only my lawful fees; and that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; and I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office upon which I am about to enter. SO HELP ME GOD.

Gladys V. Elliott

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 2nd. day of April

P. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

I certify that the above named Gladys V. Elliott, office Deputy Marshal entered upon the performance of her official duties the 2nd. day of April, _____

Henry G. Beard,
United States Marshal.

ENDORSED: Filed April, 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

Court adjourned until April, 22, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment, at 9:30 A.M.
Wednesday, April, 22, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had to-wit:

APPOINTMENT OF JOSE ALVARADO, DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

To all who shall see these presents, GREETINGS:

KNOW YE, That reposing special trust and confidence in the Integrity, Ability and Diligence of Jose Alvarado, I hereby appoint and commission him Field Deputy United States Marshal in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and do authorize and empower him to execute and fulfill the duties of that office according to law, and to have and to hold the said office with all the powers, privileges and emoluments to the same of right appertaining unto him, the said Jose Alvarado, during my pleasure subject to the conditions prescribed by law.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand at Tulsa, in the District aforesaid this 8th day of April, in the year one thousand nine hundred and 25.

Henry G. Beard,
United States Marshal.ENDORSED: Filed, Apr. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
Minnie G. Cagle, Deputy

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925. TERM. TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY APRIL 22, 1925.

OATH OF OFFICE OF JOSE ALVARADO U. S. DEPUTY MARSHAL.DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL'S OATH OF OFFICE.
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

I, Jose Alvarado, do solemnly swear that I will faithfully execute all lawful precepts directed to the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma under the authority of the United States and true returns make, and in all things well and truly, and without malice or partiality, perform the duties of the office of Deputy United States Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma during my continuance in said office and take only my lawful fees, and that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; and I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office upon which I am about to enter, SO HELP ME GOD.

JOSE ALVARADO.

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this April, 8, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge

I certify that the above named Jose Alvarado, Field Deputy Marshal entered upon the performance of his official duties the eighth day of April, 1925.

Henry G. Beard,
United States Marshal.

Endorsed: Filed Apr. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

APPOINTMENT OF ROY I. TROXEL, DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL.UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

To all who shall see these presents, GREETING:

KNOW YE, That reposing special trust and confidence in the INTEGRITY, ABILITY and DILIGENCE of Roy I. Troxel, I hereby appoint and commission him office DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma and do authorize and empower him to execute and fulfill the duties of that office according to law, and to have and to hold the said office with all the powers, privileges and emoluments to the same of right appertaining unto him, the said Roy I. Troxel during my pleasure subject to the conditions prescribed by law.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand at Tulsa in the District aforesaid this 21st day of April, in the year one Thousand and nine hundred and 25.

Henry G. Beard,
United States Marshal

ENDORSED: Filed Apr. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

OATH OF OFFICE OF ROY I. TROXEL, OFFICE DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL.DEPUTY U. S. MARSHAL'S OATH OF OFFICE
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

I, Roy I. Troxel, do solemnly swear that I will faithfully execute all lawful precepts directed to the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma under the authority of the United States, and true returns make and in all things well and truly and without malice or partiality, perform the duties of the office of Deputy United States Marshal of the Northern

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

APRIL, 1925. TERM. TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY APRIL, 22, 1925.

District of Oklahoma during my continuance in said office, and take only my lawful fees; and that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; and I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office upon which I am about to enter: SO HELP ME GOD.

ROY I TROXEL

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME, this 21st day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

I certify that the above named Roy I. Troxel, office Deputy Marshal entered upon the performance of his official duties the 21st day of April, 1925.

Henry G. Beard, United States Marshal

ENDORSED: Filed Apr. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.

ADMISSION TO BAR.

On this 22nd. day of April, 1925, it being made to satisfactorily appear that the following persons are qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered to said persons and they are declared admitted to the bar of this Court, namely;

- L. M. Poe, Attorney, Tulsa, Okla.
Horace Hagan, Attorney Tulsa, Okla.

ORDER OF COURT TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE WESTERN DISTRICT.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs.
TOM BROWN, Defendant.

ORDER.

Now on this 22nd day of April, 1925. it appearing to the Court that the above named defendant, Tom Brown, was on the 8th day of April 1925, at a preliminary held by George B. Mellott, a United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma, to the Grand Jury on a charge of violation of Chapter 109, Act Jan. 20, 1924 & Act of Congress approved May 25, 1925, said offense alleged to have been committed on the 30th day of March, 1925, and it further appearing that the court for the Northern District of Oklahoma was organized on April, 1st, 1925, and that the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, did not acquire jurisdiction of offenses committed within said District prior to April, 1st, 1925, and it appearing to the Court that said George B. Mellott, United States Commissioner for the said Northern District of Oklahoma, by error and mistake filed a transcript of the proceedings at said preliminary, in the Clerk's office at Tulsa for the Northern District of Oklahoma, when the same should have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Court for the Western District of Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that the Clerk of the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered and directed to transfer said transcript so filed in his office together with all the proceedings thereunder to the Clerk of the District for the Western District of Oklahoma, for action by said Court.

Given under my hand at Tulsa in the Northern District of Oklahoma, this the 22nd. day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

Endorsed Filed Apr. 22, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925. TERM. TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, APRIL 22, 1925.

ORDER OF COURT TRANSFERRING CASE TO WESTERN DISTRICT.IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	}
PLAINTIFF.	
VS.	}
OTIS WILSON,	
DEFENDANT.	

O R D E R.

Now on this 22nd day of April, 1925, it appearing to the Court that the above named defendant, Otis Wilson, was on the 2nd day of April 1925, having waived preliminary hearing, held by George B. Mellott, a United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma, to the Grand Jury on a charge of violation of Chapter 109, Act Jan. 30, 1897 & Act of Congress approved May 25, 1918, said offense alleged to have been committed on the 30th day of March 1925, and it appearing that the court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, was organized on April, 1, 1925, and that the court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, did not acquire jurisdiction of offenses committed within said District prior to April, 1st, 1925, and it appearing to the Court that said George B. Mellott, United States Commissioner for the said Northern District of Oklahoma, by error and mistake filed a transcript of the proceedings had in said cause, in the Clerk's office at Tulsa for the Northern District of Oklahoma, when same should have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Court for the Western District of Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that the Clerk of the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered and directed to transfer said transcript so filed in his office together with all the proceedings hereunder, to the Clerk of the District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma, for action by said Court.

Given under my hand at Tulsa in the Northern District of Oklahoma, this the 22nd day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed, Apr. 22, 1925, H. P. Watfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

ORDER OF COURT TRANSFERRING CASE TO WESTERN DISTRICT.IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT.
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	}
Plaintiff.	
vs.	}
M. R. Kessler and Nellie Kessler,	
Defendants.	

O R D E R.

Now on this the 22nd day of April, 1925, it appearing to the court that the above named defendants, M. R. Kessler and Nellie Kessler were on the 14th day of April, 1925, after having waived preliminary hearing held by George B. Mellott, a United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma, to the Grand Jury on a charge of violation of Chapter 109, Act Jan. 20, 1897, & Act of Congress approved May 25, 1918 said offense alleged to have been committed on the 29th day of March, A. D. 1925, and it further appearing that the court for the Northern District of Oklahoma was organized on April, 1, 1925, and that the Court for the Northern District

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL, 22, 1925.

of Oklahoma, did not acquire jurisdiction of offenses committed within said District prior to April, 1st, 1925, and it appearing to the Court that said George B. Mellott, United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma, by error and mistake filed a transcript of the proceedings had in said cause, in the Clerk's office at Tulsa, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, when same should have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Court for the Western District of Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that the Clerk of the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma be and he hereby is ordered and directed to transfer said transcript so filed in his office together with all the proceedings thereunder, to the Clerk of the District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma, for action by said Court.

Given under my hand at Tulsa in the Northern District of Oklahoma, this the 22nd day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed, April, 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

ORDER OF COURT TRANSFERRING CASE TO WESTERN DISTRICT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
NELLIE KESSLER,)
Defendant.)

O R D E R.

Now on this the 22nd. day of April, 1925, it appearing to the Court that the above named defendants Nellie Kessler, was on the 11th day of April, 1925, after having waived preliminary hearing, held by George B. Mellott, a United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma, to the Grand Jury on a charge of violation of the Harrison Narcotic Act, said offense alleged to have been committed on the 29th day of March, A. D. 1925, and it further appearing that the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma organized on April, 1st 1925, and that the court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, did not acquire jurisdiction of offenses committed within said District prior to April 1st, 1925, and it appearing to the Court that said George B. Mellott United States Commissioner for the said Northern District of Oklahoma, by error and mistake filed a transcript of the proceedings had in said cause, in the Clerk's office at Tulsa, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, when he same should have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Court for the Western District of Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that the Clerk of the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered and directed to transfer said transcript so filed in his office together with all the proceedings thereunder, to the Clerk of the District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma, for action by said Court.

Given under my hand at Tulsa, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, this the 22nd. day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

Endorsed: Filed, April, 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 22, 1925. TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY APR. 22 1925

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO WESTERN DISTRICTIN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
M. R. KESSLER, et al.,)
Defendant.s)

O R D E R.

Now on this 22nd day of April, 1925, it appearing to the Court that the above named defendants M. R. Kessler, and Nellie Kessler, were held to the Grand Jury, the said M. R. Kessler having had a preliminary hearing on the 16th day of April, 1925, and the said Nellie Kessler, having waived preliminary hearing on April, 6th 1925, on a charge of violation of Chapter 109, Act Jan. 30, 1897 & Act of Congress approved May 25, 1918, said offense alleged to have been committed on the 29th day of March A. D. 1925, and it further appearing that the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma was organized on April, 1st, 1925, and that the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, did not acquire jurisdiction of offenses committed within the district prior to April, 1st, 1925, and it appearing to the court that said George B. Mellott, United States Commissioner for the said Northern District of Oklahoma, by error and mistake filed a transcript of the proceedings had in said cause in the Clerk's office at Tulsa for the Northern District of Oklahoma, when same should have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Court for the Western District of Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that the Clerk of the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered and directed to transfer said transcript so filed in his office together with all the proceedings thereunder, to the Clerk of the District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma, for action by said Court.

Given under my hand at Tulsa in the Northern District of Oklahoma, this the 22nd day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

Endorsed, Filed, April, 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

ORDER OF COURT TRANSFERRING CASE TO WESTERN DISTRICT.IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
HARRY DANIELS,)
Defendant.)

O R D E R.

Now on this the 22nd day of April, 1925, it appearing to the that the above named defendant, Harry Daniels, was on the 6th day of April, 1925, after having waived preliminary hearing held by George B. Mellott, a United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma, to the Grand Jury on a charge of violation of Chapter 109, Act Jan. 30, 1897 & Act Congress approved May 25th, 1918, said offense alleged to have been committed on the 25th day of March, A. D. 1925, and it further appearing that the court for the Northern District of Oklahoma was organized on April, 1st, 1925, and that the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, did not acquire jurisdiction of offenses committed within said District prior to April, 1st., 1925, and it appearing to the Court that said George B. Mellott United States Commissioner for the said Northern District of Oklahoma, by error and mistake filed a transcript of the proceedings had in said cause, in the Clerk's office at Tulsa, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, when

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA, WEDNESDAY, APRIL, 22, 1925.

same should have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Court for the Western District of Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that the Clerk of the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered and directed to transfer said transcript so filed in his office together with all the proceedings thereunder, to the Clerk of the District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma, for action by said Court.

Given under my hand at Tulsa in the Northern District of Oklahoma, this the 22nd. day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed, April, 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

ORDER OF COURT TRANSFERRING CASE TO EASTERN DISTRICT.IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	}
Plaintiff.	
vs.	}
HERMAN CLANTON,	
Defendant.	}

O R D E R.

Now on this 22nd. day of April, 1925, it appearing to the Court that the above named defendant, Herman Clanton, was on the 22nd. day of April, 1925, at a preliminary held by Laura G. Darrough, a United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma, held to the Grand Jury on a charge of violation of the National Prohibition Act, said offense alleged to have been committed on the 22nd day of January A. D. 1925, and it further appearing that the court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, was organized on April, 1st 1925, and that the court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, did not acquire jurisdiction of offenses committed within said District prior to April, 1st, 1925, and it appearing to the Court that said Laura G. Darrough, United States Commissioner for the said Northern District, by error and mistake filed a transcript of the proceedings had at the preliminary hearing in the Clerk's office at Tulsa for the Northern District of Oklahoma, when same should have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that the Clerk of the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered and directed to transfer said transcripts so filed in his office together with all the proceedings thereunder, to the Clerk of the District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, for action by said Court.

Given under my hand at Tulsa in the Northern District of Oklahoma, this the 22 day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED Filed, April 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLAHOMA. WEDNESDAY APRIL, 22, 1925.

ORDER OF COURT TRANSFERRING CASE TO EASTERN DISTRICT.IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	}
Plaintiff.	
vs.	}
M. C. MCQUEEN,	
Defendant.	}

O R D E R.

Now on this the 22nd. day of April, 1925, it appearing to the Court that the above named defendant M. C. McQueen, was on the 10th day of April, 1925, after having waived preliminary hearing, was held by Wilson R. Roach, a United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma to the Grand Jury on a charge of violation of the National Prohibition Act said offense alleged to have been committed on the 28th day of March A.D. 1925, and it further appearing that the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma was organized on April, 1st, 1925, and that the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, did not acquire jurisdiction of offenses committed within said District prior to April, 1st, 1925, and it appearing to the Court that said Wilson R. Roach, United States Commissioner for the said Northern District of Oklahoma, by error and mistake filed a transcript of the proceedings had in said cause, in the Clerk's office at Tulsa, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, when same should have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that the Clerk of the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered and directed to transfer said transcript so filed in his office together with the proceedings thereunder, to the Clerk of the District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, for action by said Court.

Given under my hand at Tulsa in the Northern District of Oklahoma, this the 22nd. day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kemmerer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

ORDER OF COURT TRANSFERRING CASE TO EASTERN DISTRICT.IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	}
Plaintiff.	
vs.	}
CHESTER M. DYKES,	
Defendant.	}

O R D E R.

Now on this the 22nd. day of April, 1925, it appearing to the Court that the above named defendant, Chester Dykes, was on the 9th day of April, 1925, at a preliminary hearing had before Laura G. Darrough, by said commissioner discharged, and thereafter, to-wit, on the 16th day of April, 1925, a transcript of said proceedings was filed in the Court Clerk's office for the Northern District of Oklahoma, said offense alleged to have been committed on the 10th day of February A. D. 1925, and being in violation of the National Prohibition Act, and it further appearing that the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma was organized on April, 1st, 1925, and that the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma did not require jurisdiction of offense committed within said District prior to April, 1st, 1925, and it appearing to the Court that said Laura G. Darrough, United States

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. WEDNESDAY, April 22, 1925.

Commissioner for the said Northern District of Oklahoma, by error and mistake filed a transcript of the proceedings had in said cause, in the Clerk's office at Tulsa for the Northern District of Oklahoma, when same should have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma:

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that the Clerk of the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered and directed to transfer said transcript so filed in his office together with all the proceedings thereunder, to the Clerk of the District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, for action by said Court.

Given under my hand at Tulsa in the Northern District of Oklahoma, this the 22nd. day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. Court

ORDER OF COURT TRANSFERRING CASE TO EASTERN DISTRICT.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
OMER GULLY, B. M. ALFORD,)
NEAL KENNER, MITCHELL BUNKER,)
and TOM STANDRICK.)
Defendant.)

O R D E R.

NOW ON THIS 22nd. day of April, 1925, it appearing to the court that the above named defendants Omer Gully, B. M. Alford, Neal Kenner, Mitchell Bunker and Tom Standrick, were on the 4th day of April, 1925, at a preliminary hearing had before Edwin L. O'Neil, were by said Commissioner discharged and thereafter, to-wit, on the 18th day of April, 1925, a transcript of said proceedings was filed in the Court Clerk's office for the Northern District of Oklahoma, said offense alleged to have been committed on the 31st day of March 1925, and being in violation of Section 26, Title 2 of the Revised Statutes of the United States Penal Code and it further appearing that the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma was organized on April, 1st. 1925, and that the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, did not acquire jurisdiction of offenses committed within said district prior to April, 1st. 1925, and it appearing to the Court that said Edwin L. O'Neil, United States Commissioner for the said Northern District of Oklahoma, by error and mistake filed a transcript of the proceedings had in said cause in the Clerk's office at Tulsa for the Northern District of Oklahoma, when same should have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that the Clerk of the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered and directed to transfer said transcript so filed in his office together with all the proceedings thereunder, to the Clerk of the District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, for action by said Court.

Given under my had at Tulsa in the Northern District of Oklahoma, this the 22nd day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Court adjourned to April, 23, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

THURSDAY, APR. 16, 1925

(To carry out charge of April, 16, 1925.)

DEPOSITORY BOND - BANKRUPT ESTATES.

DEPOSITORY BOND

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: That we, the Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, a national banking corporation, organized under the national banking laws of the United States, as principal and the UNITED STATES FIDELITY AND GUARANTY COMPANY, of Baltimore, Maryland, as surety, are held and firmly bound unto the United States of America, in the sum of TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$20,000.00), in lawful money of the United States, for which payment well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves, successors and assigns, jointly and severally, by these presents:

Signed, sealed and dated this 14th day of April, 1925.
The Condition of this obligation is such that:

WHEREAS, the abovenamed THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, Tulsa, Oklahoma, has been designated as a depository for the money of bankrupt estates by the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and has accepted said trust with the duties and obligations pertaining thereunto; and an additional bond in the above sum, with a surety company as surety, is required by order of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma:

NOW THEREFORE, if the said THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, Tulsa, Oklahoma, shall truly and faithfully keep and account for all funds and moneys which may come into its possession or custody from estates in bankruptcy, and shall only disburse and pay out such funds and moneys in the way and manner provided by law and the orders in bankruptcy in force pursuant to law, and shall in all respects truly and faithfully discharge the duties and obligation imposed upon it by law and such orders in bankruptcy, then this obligation to be void; otherwise to remain in full force and true.

This bond may be terminated by the Surety by giving thirty days notice of such intention of termination, said notice to be filed with the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and presented to a Judge of said Court, and said thirty days to run from time said notice is brought to the attention of a Judge of said Court that he may enter an order requiring another bond to be made in lieu thereof, or such funds be withdrawn from such depository.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, The said THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA, Tulsa, Oklahoma, has caused its corporate signature and seal to be hereunto affixed, and the said surety has caused these presents to be sealed with its corporate seal, duly attested by the signature of its Attorney-in-fact and its Authorized Agent, the day and year first above written.

ATTEST:
W. A. Brownlee, ((SEAL)) THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA,
By F. M. Sowle
Vice-President.

UNITED STATES FIDELITY AND GUARANTY
COMPANY

WITNESSES: By Wm. F. Stahl,
Attorney-in-fact.

APPROVED: F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed, April, 16, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

42 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM, TULSA, OKLAHOMA, THURSDAY APRIL, 23, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment, Thursday April, 23, 1925, at 9:30 A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Public Proclamation having been duly had by H. C. Coats, Deputy U. S. Marshal, the following proceedings were had and entered:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs.
J. C. FOWLER, Defendant.
CRIM # 2.

Now at this time the Government is granted leave to file information in open court. Defendant present in person and by counsel, Thomas, I Monroe. Defendant waives arraignment and time to plea and enters plea of guilty to count two of information and not guilty as to count one. Upon motion of the United States Attorney Count one was dismissed. Judgment & Sentence: It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant J. C. Fowler be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail for the period of Thirty days, commencing April, 7th, 1925.

It is therefore order by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

ADMISSION TO BAR

Thereupon it being made to satisfactorily appear that W. M. Gullager, of Tulsa, Okla. is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath perscribed by the court is administered to said W. M. Gullager and he is thereby admitted to the bar of this Court.

W. M. Gullager, Tulsa, Okla.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs.
LEE LOVELESS, Defendant.
CRIM # 4.

Now at this time the Government is granted leave to file information in open court. Defendant is present in person and waives arraignment and time to plea and enters plea of guilty as charged.

Judgment: It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Lee Loveless be fined \$50.00 on execution and stand committed until said fine is paid.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, APR. 23, 1925.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff. vs. J. C. Fowler, Defendant. CRIM # 3.

Now at this time the Government is granted leave to file information in open Court. Defendant is present in person and by Counsel, Thomas I Monroe. Defendant waives arraignment and time to plea and enters plea of Information. Judgment:

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant, J. C. Fowler be fined in the sum of \$75.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid. \$50.00 fine on Count one and \$25.00 fine on count two.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

Court adjourned until April, 24, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment, Friday, April, 24, 1925. Present;

Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 24th. day of April, 1925 it being made satisfactorily to appear that John M. Goldsberry and Louis M. Stivers are qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and the said John M. Goldsberry and Louis M. Stivers are declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

John M. Goldsberry, Tulsa, Okla. Louis M. Stivers, Tulsa, Okla.

Court adjourned until April, 25, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment on this 25th day of April, 1925 at 9:30 A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge U. S. District Court. John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. Attorney, H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal. H. P. Watfield, Esq., U. S. Court clerk.

Public Proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had.

United States of America, Plaintiff. vs. Eddie Tucker, Defendant. Crim. # 5.

Now at this time Government is granted leave to file information in open Court. Defendant waives arraignment and time to plea and enters plea of guilty. Judgment:

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Eddie Tucker be fined in the sum of \$250.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

Northern District of Oklahoma.

Special April, 1925 Term.

Tulsa, Okla.

Thursday Apr. 25, 1925.

or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

Court adjourned until April, 27, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order at 9:30 A.M. Monday, April, 27, 1925. Present:

Hon. Fe. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Public Proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs.
Sadie Thurman, Defendant.
Crim. # 6.

Now at this time the Government is granted leave to file information in open Court. Defendant waives arraignment and time to plea and enters plea of guilty to counts one and three and not guilty as to count two. Upon motion of U. S. Attorney count two was dismissed. Judgement entered:

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Sadie Thurman be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma for a period of Four months (4 Months) on Count 3, and that she make her fine unto the United States in the sum of \$100.00 on Count 1 and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma. without delay.

APPOINTMENT OF HERBERT W. JAMES, DEPUTY CLERK U. S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Northern District of Oklahoma.
SS.

By virtue of the authority in me vested by the laws of the United States of America, Herbert W. James, of the City of Guthrie, and State of Oklahoma, is hereby appointed Chief Deputy Clerk of the District Court of the Northern District of Oklahoma.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court, in my office at Tulsa, in said District this 27th day of April, A.D. 1925.

((AEAL))
H. P. Warfield, Clerk
United States District Court,
Northern District of Oklahoma.

FILED April, 27, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, APRIL 27, 1925.

OATH OF OFFICE OF HERBERT W. JAMES, DEPUTY CLERK OF U. S. DISTRICT COURT.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.) SS.

O A T H .

I, Herbert W. James, being appointed Chief Deputy Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, do solemnly swear that I will truly and faithfully enter and record all the orders, decrees, judgments and proceedings of the said Court, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties of my said office according to the best of my ability and understanding: That I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office which I am about to enter. SO HELP ME GOD.

Herbert W. James

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27th day of April 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed, Apr. 27, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff. vs. WADE HALL, Defendant.) Crim. # 7.

Now at this time the Government was given leave to file information in open Court. Defendant present in person waives arraignment and time to plea and enters plea of guilty. Judgment:

It is therefore now by the Court ordered and adjudged that said defendant Wade Hall pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$100.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, with out delay.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff. vs. A. WHITE, Defendant.) CRIM. # 8.

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file information in open court. Plaintiff represented by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney and Defendant present in person and by Counsel W. B. Day. Defendant waives arraignment and enters plea of guilty, and was fined \$50.00. Fine paid and Defendant released.

44) In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925. TERM TULSA? OKLA. MONDAY APRIL, 27, 1925.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	CRIM # 9/
J. G. Jones,)	
Defendant.)	

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file Information. Plaintiff represented by W. L. Coffey, Assistant U. S. Attorney and Defendant present in person and waives reading of information and enters plea of guilty. Judgment entered:

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that said defendant J. G. Jones pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$250.00, and stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	CRIM # 10.
B. TENNISON,)	
Defendant.)	

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file information. Plaintiff represented by W. L. Coffey, U. S. Assistant Attorney, and Defendant present in person and by attorney W. B. Day. Defendant waives arraignment and enters plea of guilty. Defendant fined \$75.00. Judgment entered.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered, and adjudged, that said defendant B. Tennison pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$75.00 and stand committed in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa, County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	CRIM. # 11.
STEVE ENRIQUES,)	
Defendant.)	

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file information in open court. Plaintiff represented by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney, and Defendant present in person waives reading of information and enters plea of guilty to counts one and three of information and not guilty to count two. Upon motion of U.S. Attorney count two was dismissed. Defendant fined \$50.00 on count one and sentenced to sixty days in Tulsa County Jail on count three. Judgment and Sentence:

It is thereupon now by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Steve Enriques be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, for a period of Sixty (60) days from this date on count three, and that he make his fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$50.00 on count one and stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

Court adjourned until April, 28, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, APR., 28, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment at 9:30, A. M. Tuesday, April, 28, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had.

ORDER OF COURT.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER TO ADJOURN.

It is this day ordered in pursuance of Section 12 of the Judicial Code, that the Marshal of this Court adjourn the Regular May 1925 Term of said Court, at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, on Monday May 4th, 1925. Sine Die.

Dated this 28th day of April, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Apr. 28, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. By H.W.J. Deputy.

Court adjourned until April, 29, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment at 9:30 A.M. Wednesday April, 29, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered:

ORDER ASSIGNING LAW MOTIONS FOR HEARING IN TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

ON THIS the 29th day of April, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that motions in the following named and numbered cases, be assigned for hearing in the Federal Court room in Tulsa, Oklahoma, on May 18th, 1925.

ASSIGNMENT OF LAW MOTIONS FOR HEARING AT TULSA, OKLAHOMA, MAY, 18, 1925.

LAW MOTIONS.

- No. 1 Mary E. Graybill, vs The Pacific Mutual Life Ins. Co. Mo. to Rem.
No. 2. Nannie Pogue, vs. The Texas & Pacific Ry. Co. Mo. to Quash
No. 36 William Ward, vs. Rainbow Gasoline Co. Mo. Make Def. & Cert.
No. 46 Rosa Spybuck, et al vs. Lemuel Charley, et al. Mo. to Quash & Mo. to Remand
No. 53 First Natl. Bk. K.C. Mo. vs. Sec. State Bk., Miami Mo. Make Def. & Cert.

Court adjourned until April, 30th., 1925.

48 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

NORTHERN

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR TERM, APRIL, 1925.

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY APRIL 30, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M.
Thursday April, 30, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
H. P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Public Proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
M. R. KESSLER and NELLIE)
KESSLER,)
Defendant.)

O R D E R.

Now on this the 30th day of April, 1925, same being one of the days of the Special April, 1925 term of this Court, upon the application of John M. Goldsberry, United States Attorney in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, for a transfer of the above named defendants to the Western District, and it appearing to the Court that said defendants, at a preliminary held before George B. Mellott, United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma on the 14th day of April, 1925, the said Defendants were held to the Grand Jury on a charge of violation of Chapter 109, Act Jan 30, 1907, and an Act of Congress approved May 25, 1919, and said offense alleged to have been committed on the 29 day of March, 1925, and prior to the date of the organization of the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and that said cause properly belongs in the Western District of Oklahoma, and it further appearing to the court that heretofore, to wit, on the 22nd. day of April, 1925, the transcript and all records in said cause was by an order of this court transferred to the Western District of Oklahoma, but that the said above named prisoners have been held and confined in the County Jail of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and that they should be transferred to the Western District of Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE by the court ordered, that Henry Beard, United States Marshal for the said Northern District of Oklahoma, be, and he is hereby ordered to immediately transfer the above named defendants, M. R. Kessler and Nellie Kessler to the keeper of the Federal Jail at Guthrie within the Western District of Oklahoma, to be there confined, pending the order of the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma, and the said Henry Beard is further ordered to make his return hereon, showing how he executed the above and foregoing order.

Given under my hand at Tulsa within the Northern District of Oklahoma, this 30th day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge for
the Northern District of Oklahoma.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, APRIL 30, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
OTIS WILSON,)
Defendant.)

ORDER.

Now on this the 30th day of April, 1925, same being one of the days of the Special April, 1925 term of this Court, upon the application of John M. Goldesberry, United States Attorney in and for the Northern District of Oklahoma, for a transfer of the above named defendant to the Western District, and it appearing to the court that said defendant, at a preliminary hearing had before George B. Mellott, United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma on the 2nd day of April, 1925, the said defendant was held to the Grand Jury on a charge of violation of Chapter 109, Act Jan. 30, 1907, and an Act of Congress approved May, 25, 1919, and said offense alleged to have been committed on the 29th day of March, 1925, and prior to the date of the organization of the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, and that said cause properly belongs in the Western District of Oklahoma, and it further appearing to the court that heretofore, to-wit, on the 22nd day of April, 1925, the transcript and all records in said cause was by an order of this court transferred to the Western District of Oklahoma, but that the said above named prisoner has been held and confined in the County Jail of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and that he should be transferred to the Western District of Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered, that Henry Beard, United States Marshal for the said Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered to immediately transfer the above named defendant, Otis Wilson, to the keeper of the Federal Jail at Guthrie within the Western District of Oklahoma, to be there confined, pending the orders of the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma, and the said Henry Beard is further ordered to make his return herein, showing how he executed the above and foregoing order.

Given under my hand at Tulsa, within the Northern District of Oklahoma this 30th day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge of
The Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr. 30, 1925. H. P. Watfield Clerk, H. W. James, Deputy

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
JAMES GOSNEY AND G. W. HENRY)
Defendants.)

ORDER.

Now on this th 30th day of April, 1925, it appearing to the Court that the above named defendants, James Gosney and G. W. Henry, were on the 23rd day of April, 1925, having had a preliminary hearing before George B. Mellott, a United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma, held to the Grand Jury on a charge of violation of Chapter 109 Act Jan. 30, 1897 & Act of Congress approved May 25, 1918, said offense alleged to have been committed on the 27th day of January 1925, and if further appearing that the court for the Northern District of Oklahoma was organized on April, 1st. 1925, and that the Court for the Northern District

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, APR. 30, 1925.

of Oklahoma did not acquire jurisdiction of offenses committed within said District prior to April, 1st, 1925, and it appearing to the Court that said George B. Mellott, United States Commissioner for the said Northern District of Oklahoma, by error and mistake, filed a transcript of the proceedings had in said cause, in the Clerk's office at Tulsa for the Northern District of Oklahoma, when same should have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Court for the Western District of Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE BY the Court ordered that the Clerk of the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered and directed to transfer said transcript so filed in his office together with all the proceedings thereunder, to the Clerk of the District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma, for action by said Court.

Given under my hand at Tulsa in the Northern District of Oklahoma, this the 30th day of April, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr. 30, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court
By H. W. James, Deputy

CLARK WASSON, Receiver,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 38 Law.
A. N. White,)
Defendant.)

Now at this time a hearing was had in above case, R. B. Keenan, attorney, appearing for plaintiff and Franklin B. Smith, Attorney for defendant. Case was submitted on agreement of counsel for final hearing, after arguments by counsel were heard the Court took case under advisement. Plaintiff granted ten days to file citation.

Court adjourned until May, 1, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A. M. Friday, May, 1st. 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court
John M. Goldesberry Esq. U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq. Baliff of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk of said Court.

Public Proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

JOURNAL ENTRY FIXING AMOUNT OF BONDS OF DEPUTY CLERKS.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER FIXING BOND OF
DEPUTY CLERKS.

On this 1st day of May, A. D. 1925, it is ordered by the Court that the Chief Deputy Clerk of this Court, make his bond unto the United States in the sum of \$5,000.00, and that all other deputies make their bond to the United States in the sum of \$2,500.00, said bonds to be of good and sufficient sureties, to be approved by the Court.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed, May, 1, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk, by, H.W. James, Deputy.

Court adjourned until May, 2, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. Saturday May, 2nd., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court
John M. Goldesberry Esq. U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Baliff of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk of said Court.

Public Proclamation being duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR.

On the 2nd. day of May, 1925, it being made to satisfactorily appear that Summers Hardy, Esq., of Tulsa, Okla., is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court the oath perscribed by the Court is administered and said Summers Hardy is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

Summers Hardy Att'y. Tulsa, Okla.

Court adjourned until May 4, 1925.

52 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MAY, 4, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. May, 4th., 1925. Present.

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, Esq., U.S. Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq. Baliff of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon public proclamation being duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

United States of America, Plaintiff.
vs.
M. Bagley, (Alias Blackley) Defendant.
Crim 57.

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file Information in above case. John M. Goldesberry, Esq., appears for Government and defendant appeared in person, waives reading of Information and enters plea of guilty. Judgment entered and defendant fined \$150.00.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs.
GOVERNOR THURMAN, Defendant
Crim 58.

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file Information in the above entitled case. John M. Goldesberry, Esq., U. S. Attorney appearing for Government and defendant appeared in person and waived reading of information and enters plea of guilty. Judgment entered and defendant fined \$150.00.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Governor Thurman, be fined unto the United States in the sum of \$150.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa Oklahoma without delay.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs.
Clarence Merryman and Virgil Sappington, Defendant.
Crim. 59.

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file Information in the above entitled case. John M. Goldesberry, Esq., U. S. Attorney appearing for the Government and defendant appeared in person and waived reading of Information and enters plea of guilty Judgment entered.

It is therefore now by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged that said defendants Clarence Merryman and Virgil Sappington be fined unto the United States, in the sums of - Clarence Merryman \$150.00 and Virgil Sappington \$100.00 - and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the bodies of said defendants unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA .

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. MAY 4, 1925.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim 60.
 L. W. PERKINS AND)
 OLIVER PERKINS,)
 Defendants.)

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file Information in the above entitled case. John M. Goldesberry, Esq., U. S. Attorney appearing for the Government and defendant appearing in persona dnwaives reading of information and enters plea of guilty. Judgment entered and defendant fined \$25.00 each.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that said defendants L. W. Perkins and Oliver Perkins be fined unto the United States in the sum of - L. W. Perkins \$25.00 and Oliver Perkins \$25.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim 61.
 C. JOHNSON,)
 Defendant.)

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file Information in the above entitled case. John M. Goldesberry, Esq., U. S. Attorney appearing for Plaintiff and defendant appeared in person and waived reading of information and entered plea of guilty. Judgment entered and defendant fined \$100.00.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant C. Johnson, make his fine unto the United States in the sum of \$100.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa, County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) CRIM 62.
 L. G. GARRETT,)
 Defendant.)

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file information in the above entitled case. John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney appearing for Plaintiff and defendant appearing in person and waives reading of information and enters plea of guilty. Judgment entered and defendant fined \$75.00.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that said defendant L. G. Garrett pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$75.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that he Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said Defendant unto the Tulsa, County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

54 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

~~Special~~ APRIL 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MAY 4, 1925.

OATH OF OFFICE OF LOUISE NELSON, CLERK IN OFFICE OF U. S. ATTORNEY

I, Louise Nelson, do solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of Excepted Clerk in office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of Oklahoma. on which I am about to enter; So Help me God.

(Sign Here) Louise Nelson

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of May, A.D.1925

F. E. Kennamer.
United States District Judge.

Where Born, State only, Arkansas
Date of birth September, 14, 1906
Whence appointed.
State Oklahoma, County of Tulsa,
Congressional District First

Date of entry upon duty April, 5, 1925.
Residence Tulsa, Oklahoma

Endorsed: Filed, May, 4, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
by, H.W.J. Deputy.

ORDER TO ADJOURN COURT. PAWHUSKA, OKLA.
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER TO ADJOURN COURT.

It is this day ordered in pursuance of Section 12 of the Judicial Code, that the Marshal of this Court adjourn the regular May 1925 Term of said Court, at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, on Monday May 4, 1925. Sin Die.

Dated this 28th day of April, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

Endorsed: Filed April, 28, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.

ATTEST: A true copy of the original order.

(((SEAL)))

H. P. Warfield, Clerk
By H. W. James, Deputy

I hereby certify that pursuant to the foregoing order, I did on May 4, 1925, adjourn the regular May, 1925 Term at Pawhuska, Oklahoma on Monday May 4, 1925. Sin Die.

Henry G. Beard,
United States Marshal.

ENDORSED : Filed May 4, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
By H.W.J. Deputy.

Court adjourned until May 5, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. Tuesday May, 5 1925. Present;

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
John. M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. Court.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq. Baliff. of U. S. Court.

Public Proclamation being duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

Court adjourned until May, 6, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

55

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925. TERM.

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 6, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. Wednesday,
May 6, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldesberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. Court.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Baliff of U. S. Court.

Public proclamation being duly made the following proceedings
were had and entered.

ORDER FOR GRAND JURY.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER FOR GRAND JURY

On this 6th day of May, 1925, it is ordered by the Court, that
there be publicly drawn by the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma,
or one of his deputies, in the presence of the Clerk, or one of his deputies
and the Jury Commissioner, in accordance with Law and the rules of this
Court, the names of twenty-three (23) persons, good and lawful men, from
said District; duly qualified to serve as grand jurors at the Special April
1925 Term of this Court to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

It is further ordered by the Court that a writ of Venire Facias
be issued out of this court, in due form as provided by law, commanding
the Marshal to summon said Grand Jurors, drawn as aforesaid, to be and ap-
pear before said court, to be held in Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern Dis-
trict of Oklahoma, on Monday, the 18th day of May, 1925, at 9 o'clock A. M.,
then and there to serve as Grand Jurors of the United States in and for
said District at the Special April, 1925 Term of said Court.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May, 5, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk, By, H.W. James, Deputy

Court adjourned until May, 7, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. Thursday,
May 7, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Assistant U. S. Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Baliff of U. S. Court.

Public proclamation being duly made the following proceedings
were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR.

It being made to satisfactorily appear that Chas. B. Rogers of
Tulsa, Okla. and Hugh Webster of Tulsa, Okla. are qualified for admission
to the bar of this court. The oath perscribed by the Court having been
administered the said Chas. B. Rogers and Hugh Webster are declared admit-
ted to the bar of this Court.

✓ Chas. B. Rogers, Tulsa, Okla.
✓ Hugh Webster, Tulsa, Okla.

Court adjourned until May, 8, 1925.

56 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL, APRIL, 1925. TERM. TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, May, 8, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30. A. M. Friday, May, 8, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. District Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff, U. S. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Public Proclamation being duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

ORDER OF REMOVAL OF LOUIS IVEY.

ORDER OF REMOVAL.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES.

To the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, GREETING:

Whereas, it has been made to appear that Louis Ivey, is indicted in the District Court of the United States for the District of New Mexico for the offense of violation of the National Motor Vehicle Theft Act of Oct., 29, 1918, and whereas the said Louis Ivey having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there had in the presence of said defendant, it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said indictment, and a certified copy thereof furnished probable cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged, and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of Five Thousand Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the District of New Mexico on the first day of next term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said Court, And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required therefore;

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said Louis Ivey hence to the said District of New Mexico, and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 8th day of May, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge for the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed May, 8, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
By H.W.J.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) CRIM # 3.
J. C. FOWLER,)
Defendant.)

Now at this time the Court ordered that the Judgment entered in the above entitled case be modified thereupon said judgment was modified to read follows: \$50.00 fine on count one on execution and \$25.00 fine on count two to be paid at once.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM.

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

FRIDAY, MAY 8, 1925.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 8th day of May, 1925, it being made to satisfactorily appear that the following named attorneys are qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and thereupon J. W. Simpson, A. H. Thomas, and John M. Hollimon are declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

✓ J. W. Simpson, Tulsa, Okla.
✓ A. H. Thomas, Tulsa, Okla.
✓ John M. Hollimon, Tulsa, Okla.

Court adjourned until May, 9, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment on Saturday, May 9, 1925, at 9:30 A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq. Asst. U. S. Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq. U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq. Baliff of U. S. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of U. S. Court.

Public Proclamation being duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR.

On this 9th day of May, 1925, it being made to satisfactorily appear that the following named attorney is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and J. H. Denny is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

✓ J. H. Denny, Tulsa, Okla.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
VIRGIL HOWARD WRIGHT.) Crim. #12
Defendant.)

Now at this time, upon motion of W. L. Coffey, Assistant U. S. Attorney, the above entitled cause was dismissed.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 9th day of May, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that B. F. Ingraham, of Tulsa, Okla., is qualified for admission to the bar of this court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and the said B. F. Ingraham is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

✓ B. F. Ingraham, Tulsa, Okla.

Court adjourned until May, 11, 1925.

58 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY MAY, 11, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A. M. Monday, May, 11th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court
John M. Goldesberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney
H. W. Backus, Esq. Deputy U. S. Marshal
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Baliff of U. S. Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. Court.

Public Proclamation being duly had the following proceedings were had and entered.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GEORGE E. BENNETT, Plaintiff.
vs.
RIVERLAND COMPANY, a Corporation. Defendant.
NO. 43 Law.

ORDER.

This cause coming on to be heard upon stipulation herein filed on this 11th. day of May, 1925, and the court being fully advised,

IT IS ORDERED that defendant be allowed to file instanter its second amended answer, the same to be considered as having been filed on the same day on which the amended answer of the defendant was filed, to-wit, the 27th day of September, 1924.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May, 11, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk of U.S.District Court. By, H.W.J. Deputy.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs.
HELEN CARROLL, Defendant.
CRIM #64.

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file information in the above entitled case. Plaintiff represented by United States Attorney and defendant appeared in person, and waives reading of information and enters plea of guilty. Judgment entered and defendant fined \$25.00.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs.
WALTER SMART, Defendant.
CRIM #65.

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file information in the above entitled case. Plaintiff represented by John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney and defendant appeared in person after the reading of the Information in open court defendant enters plea of not guilty.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA, MONDAY, MAY, 11, 1925

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 PLAINTIFF)
 vs.) CRIM # 66
 MAYIE SINGLETON,)
 Defendant.)

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file information in above entitled case. Plaintiff represented by John M. Goldesberry, U.S. Attorney and defendant appeared in person was arraigned and enters plea of guilty. Judgment entered and defendant fined \$200.00.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 67 Crim.
 WALTER MORROW,)
 Defendant.)

Now at this time the Government is granted leave to file information in above entitled case. Plaintiff represented by John M. Goldesberry, U.S. Attorney. Defendant appeared in person waived arraignment and enters plea of guilty to both counts. Defendant Fined \$250.00 on Count one and sentenced to six months in prison on count two. Judgment entered.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that said defendant Walter Morrow be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail for the period of six months and that he make his fine unto the United States in the sum of \$250.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

ENDORSED: Filed May, 11, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court
 By H.W.J. Deputy

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) CRIM #68
 Jack Osteen,)
 Defendant.)

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file to file information in above entitled case. Plaintiff was represented by John M. Goldesberry, U. S. Attorney. Defendant appeared in person and by counsel, waived arraignment and enters plea of guilty. Judgment entered and defendant fined \$25.00.

ORDER GRANTING GUARDIAN AD LITEM AND GRANTING AN EXTENTION OF TIME TO PLEAD

ALBERT THOMAS,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 69 Law.
 CHARLEY CLAYTON,)
 Defendant.)

ORDER APPOINTING GUARDIAN AD LITEM AND GRANTING AN EXTENTION OF TIME TO PLEAD.

The above entitled action comes on for hearing on this the 11 day of May, 1925, upon the application of W. H. Odell, the duly appointed, qualified and acting Guardian of the defendant, Charlie Clayton, an incompetent, for the appointment of a guardian ad litem in this action, for the said defendant and it appearing to the court that the defendant has been adjudged an incompetent by the County Court of Creek County, Oklahoma, the court having

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1926 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, MAY, 11, 1926.

jurisdiction thereof, and that service of summons has been duly made upon the said defendant, and the court being satisfied that sufficient grounds exist for the appointment of a guardian ad litem and that Streeter Speakman an attorney at law, is a fit and proper person to act as such guardian ad litem,

It is therefore, ORDERED that the said Streeter Speakman be and is hereby appointed Guardian ad Litem for the defendant Charlie Clayton, in this action, with full power and authority to plead for and represent the said defendant herein.

It is further ORDERED that the defendant have fifteen days from this day and date in which to plead to the petition of plaintiff filed herein.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May, 11, 1926. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court
By L.W.J. Deputy.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	}	CRIM # 69
PLAINTIFF.		
VS.		
H. F. CLINTON,	}	
Defendant.		

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file information in open court. Plaintiff represented by John M. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney and defendant appeared in person and waived reading of information and enters plea of guilty. Judgment entered and defendant fined \$50.00

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant H. F. Clinton pay a fine into the United States in the sum of \$50.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla, without delay.

Court adjourned until May, 12, 1926.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, at 9:30 A.M. Tuesday
May 12, 1926. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney
H. M. Beckus, Esq., Deputy U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Baliff.

Public proclamation being duly had the following proceedings were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 12th day of May, 1926, it being made to satisfactorily appear that E. A. Robinson and Wm. E. Foreman of Tulsa, Okla. are qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and the said E. A. Robinson and Wm. E. Foreman are admitted to the bar of this Court.

✓ E. A. Robinson, Tulsa, Okla.
✓ Wm. E. Foreman, Tulsa, Okla.

Court adjourned until May, 13, 1926.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 SESSION. TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, APRIL 13, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. Wednesday, April 13th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court
H. P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of U. S. District Court
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. Attorney
H. W. Bachus, Esq., Deputy U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Baliff.

Public proclamation being duly had the following proceedings were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 13th day of May, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that I. F. Long, is qualified for admission to the bar of this court and the oath prescribed by the Court is administered to the said I.F.Long and he is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

/ I. F. Long, Attorney, Hominy, Okla.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs.
B. TENNISON, Defendant.
CRIM # 10.

Now on this 13th day of May, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the judgment and sentence in the above entitled case of April, 27, 1925 be vacated and said defendant, B. Tennison, having served seventeen days in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla., owing to sickness he is hereby released upon the payment of \$25.00 fine.

Court adjourned until May, 14, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. Thursday, April 14th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal
W. F. Wolverton, Esq. Baliff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. W. WOODFORD, Receiver of the CONTINENTAL REFINING COMPANY, a corporation Plaintiff.
vs.
ILLINOIS REFINING COMPANY, a corporation Defendant.
No. 73 Law.

ORDER.

On this 14th day of May, 1925, the application of plaintiff for leave to amend his second amended petition as filed in the above cause in the Eastern District coming on for hearing, plaintiff appearing by his attorney, A. B. Honnold, and defendant appearing by its attorney, Preston C. West and Christy Russell, and the defendant, Illinois Refining Company, now and here in open court objects to the allowance of said leave to amend and objects to the entertaining of said motion or the making of said order or any order whatever in this cause by the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, for the reason and upon the

62 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, APR. 14, 1925.

ground that this cause has never been properly or legally transferred to the said District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma and is not properly or legally pending in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, which objection is by the Court overruled and defendant, Illinois Refining Company, excepts and its exceptions are allowed, and the court being fully advised in the premises, IT IS ORDERED:

That plaintiff be and is hereby given leave to amend his second amended petition by filing forthwith an amended petition drawn in accordance with the amendments offered and allowed at this time, it being stipulated by plaintiff in open court that all of the allegations of the amended petition, except such as have been admitted in the answer heretofore filed, shall be deemed denied by the defendant and that it shall be unnecessary for defendant to file any further answer in order to put said allegations in issue.

And the clerk of this court is directed to transmit the amended petition, together with a copy of this order, to Hugh Webster, Esquire, the referee heretofore appointed herein, for consideration by him as a part of the pleadings in this cause.

And the defendant, Illinois Refining Company, now and here in open court objects and excepts to all of said actions, rulings and orders of the court and its exceptions are allowed.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May, 14, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

Court adjourned until May, 16, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. Friday, May, 15, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court
H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Assistant U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Baliff.

Public proclamation being duly had the following proceedings were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR.

On this 15th day of May, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that Louis Cleveland Barry is qualified for admission to the bar of this court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and the said Louis Cleveland Barry is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

✓ Louis Cleveland Barry, Tulsa, Okla.

Court adjourned until May, 16, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A. M. Saturday May, 16, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq. U. S. Court Baliff.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

Court adjourned until May, 18, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

63

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 SESSION. TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, APRIL 18 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. Monday April, 18th., 1925. Present:

- Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
- H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
- W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. District Attorney
- H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. District Marshal.
- W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff of U.S. District Court.
- D. B. Livingston, Esq., Bailiff of U. S. District Court.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

ORDER EMPANELING GRAND JURY.

On this 18th day of May, 1925, comes the United States Marshal into open court and makes his return on the Venire heretofore issued out of this court for Grand Jurors for this April, 1925 term of Court. Thereupon on order of the court, the clerk calls the names of the Grand Jurors so summoned, and served and the following answer their names and are present; to-wit: J. W. Alexander, T. A. Atkinson, Baisel A. Bailey, W. W. Banks, Lee Clinton, Leslie Courtney, Sam Campbell, G. F. Darbyshire, W. O. Dildine, S. W. Doty, A. Drumright, J. J. Gordon, C. F. Godby, L. Gettle, John R. Hoskins, O. W. Hunter, Wm. Johnston, Ed Londrum, Charles Nimerick, W. C. Spurgin, F. D. Wilson, and V. Wisdom. Thereupon, said array of Grand Jurors are sworn by the Clerk upon their Voir Dire and are examined by the Court as to their qualifications, and it appearing to the court that H. K. Curtis, J. J. Gordon, Ed Londrum and F. D. Wilson be excused it is hereby ordered by the court that they be excused and their names be stricken from the jury roll.

Thereupon, the court offers the entire array to any and all persons or their counsel for challenge and no challenge being offered the court offers each individual of said array to any and all persons or their counsel for challenge, and no challenge being offered, it is ordered that said array be accepted as the Grand Jury for this April, 1925 Term of Court, to-wit: J. W. Alexander, R. A. Atkinson, Baisel A. Bailey, W. W. Banks, Lee Clinton, Leslie Courtney, Sam Campbell, G. F. Darbyshire, W. O. Dildine, S. W. Doty, A. Drumright, C. F. Godby, L. Gettle, John R. Hoskins, O. W. Hunter, Wm. Johnston, Charles Nimerick, W.C. Spurgin, and V. Wilson.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 18th. day of April, 1925 it being made satisfactorily to appear that the following named attorneys are qualified for admission to the bar of this court and the oath prescribed by the court is administered and the said following named attorneys are declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

- ✓ Chas. R. Bostick, Peter Deichman, Creekmore Wallace,
- ✓ Geo. W. Jennings, L. G. Owen, Edward J. Fleming,
- ✓ Y.P. Brown, W. P. McGinnis, Lee C. Misher, Wm. Neff,
- ✓ Roy McNaught, E. W. Hudson, J. A. Duff, John F. Davis
- ✓ Frank G. Anderson, Charles W. Wortman, L. A. Lytle,
- ✓ M. E. Turner, Frank Hickman, Bailey E. Bell and
- ✓ W. N. Denningberg.

Mary E. Graybill, et al.,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 1 Law.
 The Pacific Mutual Life Ins. Co.,)

Now at this time Motion to Remand was heard. Plaintiff represented by counsel, Moss and Farmer and defendant by its counsel Shipman & Lewis. Motion to Remand was overruled and exceptions allowed plaintiff.

GEORGE E. BENNETT, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 43 Law.
 RIVERLAND OIL COMPANY,)
 Defendant.)

Now at this time the plaintiff in above cause was granted leave to file reply to amended answer.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, MAY, 18, 1925.

JOURNAL ENTRY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

NAMIE FOGUE, Plaintiff. vs.

THE TEXAS AND PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation. Defendant.

No. 2 Law.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this the 18th day of May, 1925, both plaintiff and defendant appearing by counsel, there comes on for hearing defendant's motion to quash the summons in this action, and the Court, after hearing the argument of counsel and being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, finds that said motion should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the summons herein and the service thereof be and the same is hereby quashed, vacated, set aside, and held for naught, to all of which the plaintiff excepts and whose exceptions are allowed by the Court.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May, 18, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court. By H. W. J. Deputy

JOURNAL ENTRY

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

William Ward, Plaintiff. vs.

Rainbow Gasoline Company, a corporation. Defendant.

No. 36 Law.

JOURNAL ENTRY OVERRULING MOTION.

The motion of the defendant to make more definite and certain came on for hearing this 18th day of May, 1925. Plaintiff appeared by Edward J. Fleming, and defendant appeared by Moss & Farmer, After argument of counsel the Court finds that said motion should be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that the motion of the defendant be and it is hereby overruled, to which the defendant excepts, and which exception is hereby allowed.

IT IS FURTHER ordered that defendant have and it is hereby granted ten days to plead further or twenty days to answer. Plaintiff is granted five days thereafter to plead to the answer of the defendant.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 18 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk By H.W.J. Deputy.

ROSA SPYBUCK, PLAINTIFF. vs.

LEMUEL CHARLES, et al., Defendants..

No. 46 Law.

Now on this 18th day of May, 1925, both plaintiff and defendant appearing by counsel, there comes on for hearing defendant's Motion to Remand, and the Court after hearing the argument of counsel and being well and sufficiently advised in the premises takes said motion under advisement.

There came on for further hearing a motion to quash summons

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM. TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, MAY 13, 1925.

in said above entitled cause heretofore filed by Gulf Pipe Line Co. of Texas one of the defendants herein, and the Court after hearing the argument of Counsel and being well and fully advised in the premises, finds that said Motion should be sustained.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK,
Kansas City, Mo., Plaintiff. }
vs. } 56 L.
SECURITY STATE BANK,
Miami, Mo., Defendant. }

Now on this 18th day of May, 1925, the Motion of the defendant to make more definite and certain comes on for hearing. After argument of counsel the Court finds that said motion should be stricken from present assignment and case ordered set for trial.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GEORGE E. BENNETT,
Plaintiff. }
vs. } No. 43 Law.
RIVERLAND COMPANY, a
corporation. Defendant. }

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

This cause having come on regularly for trial on the 16th day of April, 1925, plaintiff appearing by his attorney, F. E. Riddle, and defend and appearing by its attorney, Edgar A. deMeules, a stipulation in writing expressly waiving the right to trial by jury was filed in open court and said cause thereupon came on to be heard by the court sitting without a jury; and the court having heard the allegations and the evidence of the parties, and having heard the arguments of the attorneys for the respective parties, took the above entitled cause under advisement:

The cause coming on for further hearing on this, the 18th day of May, 1925, and the court being fully advised in the premises, does find all of the issues upon both causes of action in favor of the defendant.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby rendered for the defendant upon both causes of action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be rendered against the plaintiff for the costs of this action, for all of which let execution issue.

The plaintiff excepts to the action and to the judgment and order of this court, which exception is hereby allowed.

G. K. as to form, F. E. Riddle. F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

DELLA A MCCALL,
Plaintiff. }
vs. } No. 41, Law.
Board of Education,
DEFENDANT. }

Now at this time, upon agreement of counsel in the above entitled case said trial is set for Friday, May, 22, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA MONDAY, MAY 18, 1925

ORDER OF DISMISSALIN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, SITTING
AT TULSA.HAZEL McCLAIN, a Minor, by her
next friend, Henry McClain,
Plaintiff.

vs.

ST. LOUIS-SAN FRANCISCO RAILWAY,
Company, a corporation,

Defendant.

51 Law.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Upon motion of the plaintiff by her attorneys, Thompson & Smith it is hereby ordered and adjudged that this cause be and is hereby dismissed without prejudice.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED FILED May, 18, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By H.W.J. Deputy.

Court adjourned until May, 19, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. Tuesday
May, 19, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Ass't U. S. Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff
D. B. Livingston, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER APPOINTING WM. M. JENKINS, US. COMMISSIONER AT SAPULPA, OKLA.IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.IN THE MATTER OF THE APPOINTMENT OF
UNITED STATES COMMISSIONER AT
SAPULPA, OKLAHOMA.

On this 19th day of May, 1925, it appearing to the Court that the appointment of a United States Commissioner, at Sapulpa, Oklahoma, is advisable because of the vast amount of business in this section of said Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, and that Wm. M. Jenkins possesses the requisite integrity, ability and qualifications for the discharge of the duties of said office, as provided by law.

It is by the court ordered, that said Wm. M. Jenkins, be and he is hereby appointed United States Commissioner at Sapulpa, Oklahoma, for the term of Four (4) years commencing with 19th day of May, A.D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.,
United States District Court for
the Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED. Filed May, 19, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By H.W.J. Deputy.

NOW on this 19th day of May, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that S. W. Doty, one of the Grand Jurors of the Special April Term of Grand Jury, be excused temporarily.

67

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925. TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, MAY 19, 1925.

ORDER OF REMOVAL - ERNEST WISHON.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
ERNEST WISHON,)
Defendant.)

ORDER.

Now on this the 19th day of April, 1925, it appearing to the Court that the above named defendant, Ernest Wishon, was on the 26th day of April, 1925, having had preliminary hearing held by Laura G. Darrough, a United States Commissioner for the Northern District of Oklahoma, to the Grand Jury on a charge of violation of the National Motor Vehicle Theft Act, said offense alleged to have been committed on the 3rd. day of January, 1925 and it further appearing that the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma was organized on April, 1, 1925, and that the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma did not acquire jurisdiction of offenses committed within said District prior to April, 1, 1925, and it appearing to the Court that said Laura G. Darrough, United States Commissioner for the said Northern District, by error and mistake filed transcript of the proceedings had in said cause, in the Clerk's office at Tulsa for the Northern District of Oklahoma, when same should have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that the Clerk of the Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby ordered and directed to transfer said transcript so filed in his office together with all the proceedings thereunder, to the Clerk of the District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, for action by said Court.

Given under my hand at Tulsa in the Northern District of Oklahoma this the 19th day of May, 1925.

F.E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED, Filed May 19, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk, H.W.J. Deputy.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) CRIM # 70.
BILL JOHNSON,)
Defendant.)

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file information in the above entitled cause and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that a warrant issue for the arrest of the defendant herein and that the bond of said defendant be fixed in the sum of \$2,500.00

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 19th, day of May, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that the following named attorneys are qualified for admission to the bar of this court the oath prescribed by the Court is administered to said attorneys and they are declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

John R. Woodward, F. E. Westhaver, H. R. Leaverton,
Redmond S. Cole, Charles Buford, S. M. Cunningham,
Lewis J. Bicking, Luther James, C. L. Byrd.

Court adjourned until May, 20, 1925.

68 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, MAY 20, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9 O'clock A.M. Wednesday, May, 20th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Assistant U. S. Attorney,
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Baliff of U. S. Court.
D. B. Livingston, Esq., Baliff of U. S. Court.

Thereupon public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 20th., day of May, 1925, it being made to appear to the court that Hugh C. Jones is duly qualified under the rules of this court for admission to the bar of this court, therefore it is ordered by the Court that the said Hugh C. Jones be declared admitted to the bar of this Court upon taking the oath prescribed by the court.

Hugh C. Jones.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs. CRIM 113.
BUD FERGUSON, DEFENDANT.

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file information in the above entitled cause. Plaintiff represented by Louis M. Stiver Asst. U. S. Attorney. Defendant appeared in person, waived reading of information and enters plea of guilty.

It is thereupon now by the Court here ordered considered and adjudged that the said defendant Bud Ferguson be fined unto the United States in the sum of \$50.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid.

OATH OF OFFICE OF WM. M. JENKINS.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.) SS.

I, Wm. M. Jenkins, do solemnly swear that I will administer justice without respect to person, and do equal right to the rich and the poor and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as a United States Commissioner, agreeable to the Constitution and Laws of the United States.

I further solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic, that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation and purpose of evasion; that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of which I am about to enter. SO. HELP ME GOD.

Wm. M. Jenkins.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20th day of May, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, U.S. District Judge.

Where Born: Alexandra Ohio
Whence Appointed: Sapulpa Oklahoma.
Congressional District: Fourth
Date of Birth: April, 25, 1856
Date of entry on Duty: May 20, 1925.
Impression of Seal of Commissioner. (((SEAL)))

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, 20, 1925

RETURN OF GRAND JURY.

On this the 20th day of May, 1925, comes the Grand Jury into open court and upon being called, each answers his name and is present. Thereupon the Grand Jury being asked by the Court if they have anything to present and through their foreman answer they have and present to the court the thirty seven true bills, which are examined by the court, ordered filed and numbered in open court in the presence of the Grand Jury, and which said indictments are as follows; to-wit:

- 71 U. S. vs. J. H. Hicks.
- 72 U. S. vs. Bill Hill
- 73 U. S. vs. J. M. Thompson and Mrs Leo Chase.
- 74 U. S. vs. Frank Edwards
- 75 U. S. vs. G. L. Barnes
- 76 U. S. vs. M. W. Coleman, Francis Beam, and Allen Wright
- 77 U. S. vs. Carl Lawyer and Frankie Charlie
- 78 U. S. vs. Art Cody and H. C. Daugherty
- 79 U. S. vs. Zola Lett
- 80 U. S. vs. Charles Cowsert
- 81 U. S. vs. A. F. Finton
- 82 U. S. vs. Anty Kocoy
- 83 U. S. vs. E. L. Drake
- 84 U. S. vs. Lela Nolan
- 85 U. S. vs. Lee Montie
- 86 U. S. vs. H. Randolph
- 87 U. S. vs. Alonzo Bradley
- 88 U. S. vs. Roy Wilson, J. Clark and James Cornett.
- 89 U. S. vs. Ben Gains
- 90 U. S. vs. George Ray
- 91 U. S. vs. Price Boles
- 92 U. S. vs. Paul J. Cowans
- 93 U. S. vs. H. L. Wall, Lee Woodard and Jess Frailey
- 94 U. S. vs. Mrs Verna Jones
- 95 U. S. vs. Rose Johnson
- 96 U. S. vs. R. S. Jordon
- 97 U. S. vs. J. G. Merrit
- 98 U. S. vs. T. S. Moreland
- 99 U. S. vs. J. G. Merit
- 100 U. S. vs. G. R. Powell
- 101 U. S. vs. T. E. Mann
- 102 U. S. vs. M. H. Lanham
- 103 U. S. vs. Frank Sears
- 104 U. S. vs. Roosevelt Spencer and Arthur Jones
- 105 U. S. vs. Frank Cantley and Archie Horton
- 106 U. S. vs. H. L. Wall, Lee Woodward and Jess Frailey
- 107 U. S. vs. One Stevers

It is thereupon ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant not now on bond.

Thereupon the Grand Jury retires in charge of a sworn Bailiff to their Grand Jury Room to consider further their presentments.

ORDER FIXING BOND OF THE DEFENDANTS.

On this 20th day of May, 1925, it is ordered by the court that the bond of the following named defendants be fixed in the sum set opposite their respective names:

- 71. U. S. vs. J. M. Thompson, \$2500.00
- 74 U. S. vs. Frank Edwards \$2500.00
- 76 U.S. vs. M. W. Coleman \$2500.00
- " U. S. vs. Francis Beam \$2500.00
- " U. S. vs. Allen Wright \$2500.00
- 77 U. S. vs. Frankie Charlie \$2500.00
- 85 U. S. vs. Lee Montie \$2500.00
- 87 U. S. vs. Alonzo Bradley \$2500.00
- 88 U. S. vs. Roy Wilson \$2500.00
- " U. S. vs. J. Clark \$2500.00
- " U. S. vs. James Cornett \$2500.00
- 89 U. S. vs. Ben Gains \$2500.00
- 91 U. S. vs. Price Boles \$2500.00
- 92 U. S. vs. Paul J. Cowans \$2500.00
- 93 U. S. vs. H. L. Wall \$2500.00
- 95 U. S. vs. Rose Johnson \$2500.00
- 96 U. S. vs. R. S. Jordon \$2500.00
- 99 U. S. vs. J. G. Merrit \$2500.00
- 100 U. S. vs. G. R. Powell \$2500.00

70 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA MAY, 20, 1925.

102	U. S. vs.	H. M. Lanham	
104	U. S. vs.	Roosevelt Spencer	\$2500.00
"	U. S. vs.	Arthur Jones	\$2500.00
105	U. S. vs.	Archie Horton	\$2500.00
107	U. S. vs.	One Stevers	\$2500.00

Court adjourned until May, 21, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9: o'clock A.M. Thursday May 21, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer,	Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq.,	Clerk of U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq.,	Asst. U. S. Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq.,	Marshal
W. L. Wolverton,	Bailiff.
D. E. Livingston,	Bailiff.

Public proclamation being duly had the following proceedings were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR.

On this 21st day of May, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that the following named attorneys are qualified for admission to the bar of this court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and the said following named attorneys are declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

Sam A. Neeley,	J. E. Thrift,	R. K. Rodertson,
Ben Braden,	J. C. Helms,	H. S. Williams
Chas. H. Garnett,	D. F. Gore.	

ROSA SPYBUCK,	Plaintiff.	}	46 Law.
vs.			
LEMUEL CHARLES, et al.,	Defendant.	}	

Now at this time Plaintiffs Motion to Remand in above entitled case was overruled to which plaintiffs except and said exceptions are allowed.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JUNITA HARISTON,	Plaintiff.	}	59 Law.
vs.			
St. Louis & San Francisco.	Defendant.	}	
R. R. Company,			

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 21st day of May, 1925, there coming on for hearing the motion of the plaintiff to be allowed to dismiss her action with out prejudice; The court having heard the same, and being well advised in the premises, is of the opinion that the same should be sustained.

It is therefore the order and judgment of the court that this cause be and is hereby dismissed at plaintiffs costs and with out prejudice to plaintiffs rights in the premises.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

EMDORSED: Filed May 21, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court
H. W. J.

Court adjourned until May 22, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, MAY 22, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9: o'clock A. M. Friday, May, 22, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Bailiff.
D. B. Livingston, Bailiff

Public proclamation haveing been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR.

On this 22nd day of May, 1925, it being made satisfactofily to appear that the following attorneys are qualified for admission to the bar of this court, the oath perscribed by the court is administered and the said following named attorneys are declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

Tom Wallace, Don Emery, H. H. Booth, Wayne Basater,
Frank P. Smith, Conn Lynn, W. E. Tumlin, Hunter L. Johnson
I. H. Cox and J. V. Frazier.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER FOR PETIT JURY.

On this 20th day of May, A. D. 1925, it is ordered by the Court that there be publicly drawn by the Marshal for the Norther District of Oklahoma, or one of his deputies, in the presence of the Clerk, or one of his deputies, and the Jury Commissioner, in accordance with law and the rules of this Court, the names of fifty (50) persons, good and lawful men, from said District, duly qualified to serve as Petit Jurors at the Special April 1925 Term of this Court to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

It is further ordered by the Court that a writ of Venire Racias be issued out of this Court, in due form, as provided by law, commanding the said Marshal to summons said Petit Jurors, drawn as aforesaid, to be and appear before said Court, to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, on Monday, the 1st day of June, 1925, at 9 o'clock A. M., then and there to serve as Petit Jurors of the UNITED STATES in and for said District at the Special April 1925 Term of said Court.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May, 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER TO ADJOURN COURT

It is this day ordered in pursuance of Section 12 of the Judicial Code, that the Marshal of this Court, adjourn the Regular June 1925 Term of said Court, at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, on Monday, June 1st, 1925, Sine Die.

Dated this 22hd. day of May, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
DISTRICT JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed May 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court
H.W.J.

7^o In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 SPECIAL APRIL , 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, MAY 22, 1925.

On this 22nd. day of May, 1925, comes the Grand Jury into open Court and upon being called, each answers his name and is present. Thereup on the Grand Jury being asked by the Court if they have anything to present and through their foreman answer they have and present to the Court the fifty (50) true bills, which are examined by the Court, ordered filed and numbered in open court in the presence of the Grand Jury, and which said indictments are as follows; and the amount of bond set opposite each respective name as so ordered by the Court.

108	U. S.	Vs.	Oscar Morris and Tommy Clyne	Bond \$5000.00	
109	U. S.	"	Sterling Morgan	Bond \$1500.00	
110	U. S.	"	Lee Nichols	" 2500.00	
111	U. S.	"	John B. Henley	" 2500.00	
112	U. S.	"	C. O. Gabriel	" 1000.00	
114	U. S.	"	T. O. Grammer	" 2500.00	
115	U. S.	"	Pat Hurst	" 2500.00	
116	U. S.	"	Lee Horner	" 1000.00	
117	U. S.	"	Charley Kelley	" 3000.00	
118	U. S.	"	Myrtle Nelson	" 3000.00	
119	U. S.	"	W. T. Spencer	" 3000.00	
120	U. S.	"	Gene Mills	" 2000.00	
121	U. S.	"	Lon M. Brim	" 1500.00	
122	U. S.	"	Cliff G. Drew	" 2000.00	
123	U. S.	"	Alice Parker	" 3000.00	
124	U. S.	"	Jesse Jackson	" 3000.00	
125	U. S.	"	Bill Bonnett	" 2500.00	
126	U. S.	"	Jim Naifeh	" 1500.00	
127	U. S.	"	R. R. Terrill	" 2500.00	
128	U. S.	"	May Fields & Ed Cain	" 2500.00	Each
129	U. S.	"	George Hendrix, Ice Hendrix and One Hendrix	" 3000.00	Each
130	U. S.	"	W. C. Latschaw	" 1500.00	
131	U. S.	"	M. N. Ham	" 2500.00	
132	U. S.	"	Otto Dancer	" 3000.00	
133	U. S.	"	Silas Morrow	" 3000.00	
134	U. S.	"	Seth Lewis, Perry Lewis Glenn Broyles and John Doe, Alias Curley Lee, Alias Al Sisson	3000.00	Each
135	U. S.	"	Glen Broyles, Earl Hazen and Frank Hembree	3000.00	Each
136	U. S.	"	C. I. Wells,	2500.00	
137	U. S.	"	W. O. Chatman	1500.00	
138	U. S.	"	Leslie (Shorty) Lane	1000.00	
139	U. S.	"	J. L. Winsell	2500.00	
140	U. S.	"	W. E. Whitaker, Roy E. Harlan, Katherine Jamison, and Jean Pearl Evans	2500.00	Each
141	U. S.	"	G. E. Mills,	3000.00	
142	U. S.	"	Andrew Bagsby Alias Skimpy	\$5000.00	
143	U. S.	"	Ruben Pearce	5000.00	
144	U. S.	"	Charley Haynes	2500.00	
145	U. S.	"	Homer Topping	\$500.00	
146	U. S.	"	Sam Stokenberry	1500.00	
147	U. S.	"	H. C. Snook	1500.00	
148	U. S.	"	Liele (Shorty) Lane	1500.00	
149	U. S.	"	Lafe Lewellan	3000.00	
150	U. S.	"	C. I. Wells	2500.00	
151	U. S.	"	O. A. Sexton	1500.00	
152	U. S.	"	Jake Montgomery	1500.00	
153	U. S.	"	Jim Montgomery	1500.00	
154	U. S.	"	John Sherwood and John Ghormley	2500.00	
155	U. S.	"	Tom Spell	1500.00	
156	U. S.	"	Mike Hoffman	1000.00	
157	U. S.	"	Harrison Thompson	2500.00	
158	U. S.	"	Dave French	2500.00	
159	U. S.	"	V. T. Johnson and Bessie McAdams	2500.00	
160	U. S.	"	J. M. Johnson and J. N. Johnson	2500.00	
161	U. S.	"	John O'Fallen	2500.00	
175	U. S.	"	Roy alias Curley Haskel	2500.00	
176	U. S.	"	Roy (Alias Curley)	" 2500.00	

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

FRIDAY, MAY 22, 1925.

GRAND JURY TEMPORARILY DISCHARGED.

Now at this time it was ordered by the Court that the Grand Jury be temporarily excused until Monday June 8th., 1925.

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION.

Now on this 22nd day of May, 1925, comes the United States Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file information in the following named and numbered cases herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and that the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the sum of Twenty Five Hundred Dollars, each.

# 162	U. S.	vs.	J.L. Atkinson,	Bond fixed at	\$2500.00
163	U. S.	"	C. E. Griffith	" " "	2500.00
164	U. S.	"	Fred McSaud	" " "	2500.00
165	U. S.	"	Red Peck	" " "	2500.00
166	U. S.	"	A. F. Douglas	" " "	2500.00
167	U. S.	"	Odin Davis & George Calvin	" " "	2500.00
168	U. S.	"	Murtie Swan	" " "	2500.00
169	U. S.	"	Fred E. Smith	" " "	2500.00
170	U. S.	"	Dallas Morris and Carl Harris	" " "	2500.00
171	U. S.	"	Wilma Theall	" " "	2500.00
172	U. S.	"	Calvin (White) Wright	" " "	2500.00
173	U. S.	"	Lewis Graham & L. M. Terry	" " "	2500.00
173	U. S.	"	Jim Clayton	" " "	2500.00

FINAL DECREE.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

DELLA McCALL,	Plaintiff.	}	No. 41
vs.			
THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF SAPULPA, OKLAHOMA.	Defendant.	}	

FINAL DECREE.

This cause came on to be heard on this 22nd day of May, 1925, before the Hon. Court without the intervention of a Jury, (a jury having been waived) upon the pleadings, the proof and the former consent order made in this cause whereby the cause was to be tried by the Court without the intervention of a jury and the damages ascertained and fixed as in a proceeding for condemnation by the defendant under the laws of Eminent Domain; and both plaintiff and defendant being represented by counsel, and the Court having examined the pleadings, heard the proof introduced on the hearing and the argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds that the plaintiff, Della McCall, is and was the owner in fee of lots 23 and 24 in Block 11, Burnett's Addition to the City of Sapulpa, Okla. and being the property involved in this proceeding, and that the defendant, The Board of Education of the City of Sapulpa, Okla. has heretofore taken over said lots under the laws of Eminent Domain for the use of the Public Schools of Sapulpa, Okla. and erected thereon public Schools buildings for School purposes aforesaid.

And the Court further finds that the damage to the said Della McCall by the taking over her said property as aforesaid, is the sum of Nine Hundred Ninety Seven and 8/100 dollars, (\$997.08)

It is therefore, ordered and adjudged and decreed by the court that the said Della McCall have and recover off the Board of Education of the City of Sapulpa, Oklahoma the sum of \$997.08 and the cost of this cause.

It is further ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that on the payment of this sum to the Clerk of this court, or directly to the said Della McCall or her accredited attorneys, and the cost of this cause that all right, title interest or estate of the said Della McCall in and to said lots 23 and 24 Block 11 Burnett's Addition to the City of Sapulpa Oklahoma,

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

FRIDAY, MAY 22 1925.

be and the same is divested out of the said Della McCall and vested in the said Board of Education of the City of Sapulpa, Oklahoma and its successors in office so long as said property is or may be used for the use of the public in its public schools by said Board of Education or its successors or representatives in the office in event of said property being abandoned for school purposes title to reinvest in Della McCall, and this decree shall be and stand as a muniment of such title to said lots in the said Board of Education or its successors in office.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the United States District
court, Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed May 22, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court
H. W. J. Deputy

UNITED STATES,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	Crim. 168
MYRTLE SWAN,)	
Defendant.)	

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file information in above entitled cause. Plaintiff was represented by L. Stivers, Asst. U. S. Attorney and the Defendant by Dixie Gore. Defendant was arraigned and enters plea of guilty.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered, and adjudged, that the said defendant Myrtle Swan be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla. or pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$25.00 on Count one and stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the said Myrtle Swan be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla. or pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$25.00 on Count two and stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Okla without delay.

It is further ordered that said defendant Myrtle Swan be released on personal recognizance as witness in sum of \$500.00.

UNITED STATES,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	Crim 171.
WILMER THEALL,)	
Defendant.)	

Now at this time the Government was granted leave to file information in above entitled cause. Plaintiff was represented by L. Stivers, Asst. U.S. Attorney. Defendant was arraigned and enters plea of Guilty.

It is thereupon now by the Court ordered and adjudged that the said defendant Wilmer Theall be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla. or pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$50.00 on count one and stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the said Wilmer Theall be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla. or pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$50.00 on Count two and stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered that the said defendant Wilmer Theall be released on personal recognizance as witness in sum of \$500.00.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY MAY, 22, 1925.

United States of America,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) #174 Crim.
 Jim Clayton,)
 Defendant.)

On this 22nd day of May, 1925, plaintiff is represented by L. Stiver Asst. U. S. Attorney and defendant in person and is arraigned upon the information heretofore filed herein and enters plea of guilty as charged therein, and is fined \$100.00 on count one and sentenced to sixty days in Jail on count two.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Jim Clayton be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Okla. for a period of 60 days on count two and that he pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$100.00 on count one and that he stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 162 Crim.
 J. L. ATKINSON,)
 Defendant.)

On this 22nd. day of May, 1925, plaintiff is represented by L. Stiver Asst. U. S. Attorney and defendant is present in person and is arraigned upon the information heretofore filed herein and enters plea of Not Guilty as charged therein. Said defendants bond is fixed at \$1000.00.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 165 Crim.
 RED PECK,)
 Defendant.)

On this 22nd. day of May, 1925, plaintiff is represented by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney and defendant is present in person and is arraigned upon information heretofore filed herein and enters plea of Guilty to count two and Not Guilty to Count one. Upon motion of U. S. Attorney cause was dismissed as to count one.

It is further now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that said defendant Red Peck be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma for a period of 60 days.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail. with out delay.

UNITED STATES)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) #166 Crim.
 A. F. DOUGLAS,)
 Defendant.)

On this 22nd. day of May, 1925, plaintiff is represented by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney, and defendant is present in person and is arraigned upon the information heretofore filed herein and enters plea of Not Guilty as charged herein. Said defendants bond is fixed at \$1000.00

76 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

NORTHERN

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, MAY 22, 1925.

ORDER TO PAY GRAND JURORS' MILEAGE AND PER DIEM.

On this 22nd. day of May, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that the Marshal of this District pay the Grand Jurors for this Special April 1925 Term of Court, their mileage and attendance as shown by the Record of Attendance.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) 0 Crim #172.
)
 CALVIN WRIGHT,)
 Defendant.)

On this 22nd. day of May, 1925, plaintiff is represented by L. Stivers Asst. U. S. Attorney, and defendant by his attorney John Hawley. Defendant is arraigned upon the information heretofore filed herein and enters plea of Not Guilty to charge therein. Said defendants bond is fixed in amount of \$1000.00.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim #169
)
 FRED E. SMITH,)
 Defendant.)

On this 22nd. day of May, 1925, plaintiff is represented by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorneys and defendant is present in person was arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged herein and is fined \$100.00 on count one and sentenced to jail for 90 days on count two.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered, and adjudged, that said defendant Fred E. Smith be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla; for a period of 90 days on count two and that he pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$100.00 on count one and stand committed until said fine is paid. or until released by the process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim # 173.
)
 LEWIS GRAHAM, L. M. TERRY,)
 Defendant.s)

On this 22nd. day of May, 1925, plaintiff is represented by W.L Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney, and defendant present in person, arraigned and Bond fixed at \$2500.00.

Court adjourned until May 23, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, MAY, 23, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment at 9:30 o'clock A.M. Saturday, May, 23, 1925. Present:

- Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.
Ode Hammon, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 23rd day of May, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that R. Y. Steverson, is qualified for admission to the bar of this court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said R. Y. Steverson is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

R. Y. Steverson.

OFFICIAL BOND OF HERBERT W. JAMES, DEPUTY COURT CLERK

FIDELITY AND SURETY DEPARTMENT. FORM S-104
HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY CO.,
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT.

OFFICIAL BOND.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That we, Herbert W. James, as principal, and HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY, a corporation created and existing under the laws of the State of Connecticut, as sureties, are held and firmly bound unto Hanson P. Warfield, Clerk, Federal District Court, Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, in the full and just sum of FIVE THOUSAND AND NO/100 (\$5,000.00) DOLLARS, lawful money of the United States, to be paid to the said Hanson P. Warfield, for which payment, well and truly to be made, the said Herbert W. James binds himself, his heirs, executors, administrators, and the said Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company binds itself, its successors, and assigns firmly by these presents.

Signed with our hands and sealed with our seals this 20th day of May in the year one thousand nine hundred and twenty-five.

THE CONDITION OF THE FOREGOING OBLIGATION IS SUCH, That Whereas, Hanson P. Warfield, hath, pursuant to law, appointed the said Herbert W. James to be Chief Deputy Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma for the term of four years, commencing with the 27th day of April, 1925, as by a commission to him bearing date the 27th day of April, 1925, more fully appears.

NOW THEREFORE, if the said Herbert W. James, by himself shall faithfully perform all the duties of the said office of Chief Deputy Clerk, then this obligation to be void; otherwise, to remain in full force and virtue.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of L. W. Jones, J. M. Adkison, As to Principal.

Herbert W. James.

H. C. Littlefield, Mabel M. Burnell, As to Surety

HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY, By, L. P. Olhham, Attorney-in-Fact. ((SEAL))

Approved : F. E. Kennamer, Dist. Judge.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, MAY 23, 1925.

OFFICIAL BOND OF LEVI WOODWARD JONES, DEPUTY COURT CLERK FIDELITY AND SURETY DEPARTMENT. FORM S-104

HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY CO.

HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT.

OFFICIAL BOND.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That we, LEVI WOODWARD JONES, as principal, and HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY, a corporation created and existing under the laws of the State of Connecticut, as sureties, are held and firmly bound unto HANSON P. WARFIELD, Clerk, Federal District Court, Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, in the full and just sum of TWENTY FIVE HUNDRED AND NO/100 (\$2,500.00) DOLLARS, lawful money of the United States to be paid to the said Hanson P. Warfield, for which payment, well and truly to be made, the said Levi Woodward Jones, binds himself, his heirs, executors, administrators, and the said Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company binds itself, its successors, and assigns, firmly by these presents.

Signed with our hands and sealed with our seals this 20th day of May in the year one thousand nine hundred and twenty five.

THE CONDITION OF THE FOREGOING OBLIGATION IS SUCH, that Whereas Hanson P. Warfield, hath, pursuant to law, appointed the said Levi Woodward Jones to be Deputy Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma for the term of four years, commencing with the 1st day of April, 1925, as by a commission to him bearing date the 1st day of April, 1925, more fully appears.

NOW, THEREFORE, if the said Levi Woodward Jones, by himself shall faithfully perform all the duties of the said office of Deputy Clerk, then this obligation to be void; otherwise, to remain in full force and effect.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of

H. W. James,)
J. K. Adkison,) Levi Woodward Jones.
As to Principal)

H. C. Littlefield,)
Mabel M. Burnell) HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY CO.
As to surety)
By L. P. Oldham, Attorney - in Fact.

APPROVED: F. E. Kennaer, Dist. Judge. ((SEAL))

OFFICIAL BOND OF ROY BAKER CORNETT, DEPUTY COURT CLERK.

FIDELITY AND SURETY DEPARTMENT FORM S-104

HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY CO.

HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That we, ROY BAKER CORNETT, as principal, and HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY, A CORPORATION CREATED AND EXISTING UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT, AS SURETIES, ARE HELD AND FIRMLY BOUND UNTO HANSON P. WARFIELD, CLERK, FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, IN THE FULL AND JUST SUM OF TWENTY FIVE HUNDRED AND NO/100 (\$2,500.00) DOLLARS, lawful money of the United States, to be paid to the said Hanson P. Warfield, for which payment, well and truly to be made, the said Roy Baker Cornett binds himself, his heirs, executors and administrators, and the said Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company binds itself, its successors, and assigns firmly by these presents.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY MAY 23, 1925.

Signed with our hands and sealed with our seals this 20th day of May, in the year one thousand nine hundred and twenty-five.

THE CONDITION OF THE FOREGOING OBLIGATION IS SUCH, That, Whereas, Hanson P. Warfield, hath, pursuant to law, appointed the said Roy Baker Cornett to be Deputy Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, for the term of four years, commencing with the 1st day of April, 1925, as by a commission to him bearing date the 1st day of April, 1925, more fully appears.

NOW, THEREFORE, if the said Roy Baker Cornett, by himself shall faithfully perform all the duties of the said office of Deputy Clerk then this obligation to be void; otherwise, to remain in full force and virtue.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of

H. W. James,)
H. P. Warfield,) Roy Baker Cornett
as to Principal)

H. C. Littlefield,) HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY
Mabel M. Burnell) By L. P. Oldham,
as to Surety) Attorney-in-Fact.

(((SEAL)))

APPROVED: F. E. Kennamer, Dist. Judge.

ENDORSED Filed May 23, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

OFFICIAL BOND OF MRS. MINNIE G. CAGLE, DEPUTY COURT CLERK

FIDELITY AND SURETY DEPARTMENT FORM S-104

HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY CO.,
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT.

OFFICIAL BOND.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That we, MRS MINNIE G. CAGLE, as principal, and HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY COMPANY, a corporation created and existing under the laws of the State of Connecticut, as sureties, are held and firmly bound unto Hanson P. Warfield, Clerk, Federal District Court, Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma, in the full and just sum of TWENTY FIVE HUNDRED AND NO/100 (\$2500.00 DOLLARS, lawful money of the United States, to be paid to the said Hanson P. Warfield, for which payment, well and truly to be made, the said Mrs Minnie G. Cagle binds herself, her heirs, executors, administrators, and the said Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company binds itself, its successors, and assigns, firmly by these presents.

Signed with our hands and sealed with our seals this 20th day of May in the year one thousand nine hundred and twenty-five.

THE CONDITION OF THE FOREGOING OBLIGATION IS SUCH, that Whereas, Hanson P. Warfield, hath, pursuant to law, appointed the said Mrs Minnie G. Cagle to be Deputy Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma for a term of four years, commencing with the 1st day of April, 1925, as by a commission to him bearing date the 1st day of April, 1925, more fully appears.

NOW, THEREFORE, if the said Mrs Minnie G. Cagle, by herself shall faithfully perform all the duties of the said office of Deputy Clerk then this obligation to be void; otherwise, to remain in full force and virtue.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of

H. W. James,)
L. W. Jones,) MRS. MINNIE G. CAGLE.
As to Principal.)

H. C. Littlefield,)
Mabel M. Burnell) HARTFORD ACCIDENT AND INDEMNITY CO
At to Surety)

By L. P. Oldham, Attorney-in-Fact
(((SEAL)))

APPROVED: F. E. Kennamer, Dist. Judge.

80 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM.

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, MAY 23, 1925.

ORDER ASSIGNING CRIMINAL CASES FOR TRIAL AT TULSA, OKLA.

ON THIS 22nd. day of May, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that the following named and numbered cases be assigned for trial at Tulsa, Okla. on the days and dates hereinafter specified;

MONDAY JUNE 1, 1925.

CRIMINAL CASES FOR TRIAL.

#13	United States	vs.	Ab Meadows.
14	United States	vs.	Walter Townsend
15	United States	vs.	Tom Bradley
16	United States	vs.	Jack Ewers and W. Baker
17	United States	vs.	Mattie Nash
18	United States	vs.	Jap Degase
19	United States	vs.	Mrs Oscar (W.A.) McCowan.
20	United States	vs.	Edward Clanton
21	United States	vs.	J. R. Green
22	United States	vs.	W. A. Hammett and Chas Meek
23	United States	vs.	Alvin Goins
24	United States	vs.	Matthias Schrimpscher
25	United States	vs.	Ashley Scott
26	United States	vs.	Jim Sisson
27	United States	vs.	Isam Sheffield
28	United States	vs.	Ed Clavenger
29	United States	vs.	Dewey Roberson and George Robinson
30	United States	vs.	Marion Sturgis
31	United States	vs.	Charley Conner
32	United States	vs.	Albert Pooler
33	United States	vs.	Andy Rogers.
34	United States	vs.	Granville Harper
35	United States	vs.	Glen Fluty

TUESDAY JUNE 2, 1925.

36	United States	vs.	Fred Bishop
37	United States	vs.	Robert Crosby
38	United States	vs.	Glovie Sweet
39	United States	vs.	Oscar Thornton
40	United States	vs.	C. A. Cunningham
41	United States	vs.	Monroe Cole.
42	United States	vs.	Luke Qualls and J.M. Brewer
43	United States	vs.	M. Garrett
44	United States	vs.	Jim Seaborne
45	United States	vs.	Lester Rice
46	United States	vs.	Omer Paden
47	United States	vs.	Bert King, Wilber Jones and Pete Epps.
48	United States	vs.	Frank Chandler
49	United States	vs.	R. F. Holland
50	United States	vs.	J. J. Cagle.
51	United States	vs.	A. Stuges
52	United States	vs.	Callus Rowe
53	United States	vs.	Joe Cunningham, Rile Cunningham and C. J. Valerius
54	United States	vs.	James Morgan
55	United States	vs.	Frank Blair
56	United States	vs.	John Allsup and Herman Hensley
63	United States	vs.	C. W. Rogers.
65	United States	vs.	Walter Smart
80	United States	vs.	Bill Johnson

WEDNESDAY, June 3, 1925.

93	United States	vs.	H. L. Wall, Lee Woodward and Jess Frailey
106	United States	vs.	H. L. Wall, Lee Woodward and Jess Frailey
108	United States	vs.	Oscar Morris and Tommy Clyne
139	United States	vs.	J. L. Winsell.
154	United States	vs.	John Sherwood and John Ghormley

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, MAY 23, 1925.

THURSDAY, JUNE 4, 1925.

71 United States vs. J. H. Hicks.
 72 United States vs. Bill Hill
 73 United States vs. J. M. Thompson and Mrs Leo Chase.
 74 United States vs. Frank Edwards
 75 United States vs. G. L. Barnes
 76 United States vs. M. W. Coleman
 77 United States vs. Carl Lawyer and Frankie Charley
 78 United States vs. Art Cody and E.C. Daugherty
 79 United States vs. Zoia Lett
 80 United States vs. Charles Cowser

FRIDAY, JUNE 5, 1925.

81 United States vs. A. F. Finton
 82 United States vs. Anty Kocoy
 83 United States vs. E. L. Drake
 84 United States vs. Lela Nolan
 86 United States vs. H. Randolph
 90 United States vs. George Ray
 91 United States vs. Price Boles
 92 United States vs. Paul J. Cowan
 94 United States vs. Mrs Vera Jones
 97 United States vs. J. G. Merit
 98 United States vs. T. S. Moreland
 100 United States vs. G. R. Powell
 101 United States vs. T. E. Mann
 102 United States vs. M. H. Lanham

MONDAY JUNE 8, 1925.

103 United States vs. Frank Sears
 104 United States vs. Roosevelt Spencer and Arthur Jones
 105 United States vs. Frank Cantley and Archie Horton
 109 United States vs. Sterling Morgan
 110 United States vs. Leo Nichols
 111 United States vs. John B. Henley
 112 United States vs. C. O. Gabriel
 114 United States vs. T. C. Grammer
 115 United States vs. Pat Hurst
 116 United States vs. Leo Horner
 117 United States vs. Charley Kelley
 118 United States vs. Myrtle Nelson
 119 United States vs. W. T. Spencer
 120 United States vs. Gene Mills
 121 United States vs. Lon M. Brim
 122 United States vs. Cliff Drew
 123 United States vs. Alice Parker

WEDNESDAY JUNE 10, 1925.

124 United States vs. Jesse Jackson
 126 United States vs. Jim Naifeh
 127 United States vs. R. R. Ferrell
 128 United States vs. May Fields and Ed Cain
 129 United States vs. George Hendrix
 130 United States vs. W. C. Latschaw
 131 United States vs. M. N. Ham
 132 United States vs. Otto Dancer
 133 United States vs. Silas Morrow
 136 United States vs. C. I. Wells
 137 United States vs. W. O. Chatman
 138 United States vs. Lisle (Shorty) Lane
 141 United States vs. G. E. Mills.
 142 United States vs. Andrew (alias Skimpy) Bageby
 143 United States vs. Charley Haynes
 145 United States vs. Homer Topping
 146 United States vs. Sam Stokenberry
 147 United States vs. H. C. Snook

82 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY MAY 23, 1925.

FRIDAY JUNE 12, 1925.

148 United States vs. Lisle (Shorty) Lane
 149 United States vs. Lafe Lewellan
 150 United States vs. C. I. Wells
 151 United States vs. O. A. Sexton
 152 United States vs. Jake Montgomery
 153 United States vs. Jim Montgomery
 155 United States vs. Tom Spell
 156 United States vs. Mike Hoffman
 158 United States vs. Dave French
 159 United States vs. V. T. Johnson and Bessie McAdams
 160 United States vs. J. M. Johnson and J.N. Johnson
 162 United States vs. J. L. Atkison
 163 United States vs. C. E. Griffith
 164 United States vs. Fred McSaud
 166 United States vs. A. F. Douglas
 167 United States vs. Odis Davis and George Calvin
 170 United States vs. Dallas Morris
 172 United States vs. Clavin (White) Wright
 173 United States vs. Lewis Graham and L.M. Terry

MONDAY, JUNE 15, 1925.

LAW CASES

1. Mary E. Graybill vs. The Pacific Lutual Life Ins. C
 4 J. J. Houston, Rec'r vs. G. W. Patchett
 5 J. J. Houston Rec. vs. Fred Lowery
 6 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. J. W. Patchett
 7 H. J. Houston Rec'r vs. C. U. Owen
 8 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. Ed Strawn
 9 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. E. F. Young
 10 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. Ed Ballard
 11 J. J. Houston Rec'rs vs R. L. Compton
 12 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. H. E. Parker

TUESDAY JUNE 16, 1925.

13 J. J. Houston, Rec'r vs. M. R. Garnett
 14 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. Erns Patchett
 15 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. G. E. Trapp
 16 J. W. Houston Rec'r vs. H. E. Garnett
 18 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. E. P. Tharp
 19 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. Jasper Tharp
 20 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. E. Woods
 21 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. D. E. Pinnell
 22 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. Robert Patchett
 23 J. W. Houston Rec'r vs. R. A. Everett

WEDNESDAY JUNE 17, 1925.

24 J. J. Houston, Rec'r vs. R. W. Lowery
 25 J. J. Houston, Rec'r vs. W. T. Mills
 26 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. R. W. Skimmerhorn
 27 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. John Young
 28 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. W. M. Hook

THURSDAY JUNE 18, 1925.

29 J. J. Houston, Rec'r vs. James Eggleton
 31 Clark Wasson, Rec'r vs. Massachusetts Bonding
 and Ins. Co. a corp.
 33 Clark Wasson Rec'r vs. Perry McKay
 35 Gladys Fry vs. Pittsburg & Midway Coal Mining Co.
 38 Clark Wasson Rec'r vs. A. N. White.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925. TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, MAY 23, 1925

FRIDAY, JUNE 19, 1925.

- 42 Wayne L. Dickey, Co. Reass. Tulsa, Co. vs. The Carter Oil Co., a Corp.
- 43 The Dayton Rubber Mfg. Co., a corp. vs. Tulsa Rubber Clearing House
- 45 H. J. Rosenberg vs. Issac Schuler.
- 47 A. A. Sumter vs. Midland Valley Railroad Company
- 52 Rose G. Creegon vs. The Equitable Life Assurance Society etc.

SATURDAY, JUNE 20, 1925.

- 53 The First Natl Bank of K. C. vs. Security State Bank of Miami.
- 62 Walter D. Hines, DG.RR., vs. U. S. Jefferies Co. Treas Rogers Co.
- 63 St. L. S. F. Ry Co. vs. Joe Wier Co. Treas Ottawa County
- 64 St. L. S. F. Ry. Co. vs. James E. Payne Co. Treas, Creek Cocounty
- 65 St. L. S. F. Ry. Co. vs. James E. Payne Co. Treas Creek County

MONDAY JUNE 22, 1925.

- 67 Katherine T. Clemens vs. U. S. Cities Corporation a corp.
- 70 Clyde A. King, Rec'r vs. W. J. Kirkwood.
- 71 Clyde A. King, Rec'r vs. State Bldg. & Loan Assn a corp etc.
- 80 Joe Kosyacheck vs. St. L.S.F. Ry., Co. a corp.
- 82 J. J. Houston Rec'r vs. J. H. Keith.

TUESDAY JUNE 23, 1925

- 83 C. W Comstock et al., vs. Prairie Oil & Gas Co. a corp
- 84 James Stanton et al., vs. Pawhuska, City of
- 86 J. O. Orwin vs. Gypsy Oil Company, a corp. et al.,
- 89 The Old Honesty Oil Co., vs. Issac Schuler,

Court adjourned until May 25, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9: o'clock A.M. Monday May 25, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer,	Judge of the U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq.,	Clerk of U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq.,	Asst. U. S. Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq.,	U. S. Marshal
W. F. Wolverton,	Bailiff
Ode Hammon	Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION

On this 25th day of May, 1925, comes the United States Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file informations in the following named and numbered cases herein and to prosecute thereunder and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and that the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the sum of Twenty five Hundred Dollars each.

177	United States	vs.	Jonas Daniels.
178	United States	vs.	Willie Murphy
179	United States	vs.	Martin Logsdon
180	United States	vs.	Sadie Thomas
181	United States	vs.	Edward Love
182	United States	vs.	Orbra Brown

84 In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

NORTHERN OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY MAY 25, 1925.

UNITED STATES,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
BILL JOHNSON,)
Defendant.)
Crim. # 70

On this 25th day of May, 1925, plaintiff is represented by W. L. Coffey, asst. U. S. Attorney, and defendant is present in person and waives reading of information and enters plea of guilty as charged therein and defendant is fined \$150.00.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Bill Johnson, pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$150.00 and that he stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail until said is paid or, until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Keeper of the said Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
J. M. THOMPSON,)
Defendant.)
No. 73 Crim.

On this 25th day of May, 1925, Plaintiff is represented by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney, and defendant appeared in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty to count one and not guilty as to count two. Count two dismissed upon motion of W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney, and defendant sentenced to two years in Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kans. Said sentence reads as follows:

BE IT REMEMBERED, That heretofore to wit, on the 25th day of May A. D. 1925, the same being a day of the Special April, A.D. 1925 Term of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma the following proceedings were had in the above entitled cause, by said United States District Court, the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, presiding as appears of record in my office, to-wit:

The Prisoner, J. M. Thompson, having been indicted and arraigned and having entered a plea of guilty of the crime of Manufacturing and Possession intoxicating liquor in Indian County and the cause coming before the Court in open session 25th day of May A. D. 1925 upon motion of W. L. Coffey, Asst., U. S. District Attorney, for sentence upon said J. M. Thompson, upon the plea of guilty heretofore entered in said Cause, Defendant being present in Court in person, and the said J. M. Thompson, being asked by the Court to show cause, if any he hath why the sentence of the law should not be pronounced and the said J. M. Thompson failing to show any legal cause why the sentence of the law should not be pronounced:

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, as the sentence of the law, by the Court pronounced, that the said defendant J. M. Thompson, for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of two (2) years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law.

And it is further order that the Marshal or said District transport the said J. M. Thompson, to the said Federal Penitentiary, and deliver him to the keeper of the said Penitentiary and that said Keeper detain the said J. M. Thompson, according to the foregoing sentence, and that the Clerk of said Court immediately certify under the seal of said Court and deliver to the Marshal of said District two copies of this Sentence Judgment and Order, one to accompany the body of said J. M. Thompson and to be left therewith at the said Federal Penitentiary and the other copy to be warrant and authority for the transportation and imprisonment of the said J. M. Thompson, as hereinbefore provided and upon which said Marshal shall make due return.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

85

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY MAY, 25, 1925.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 74 Crim.
 FRANK EDWARDS,)
 Defendant.)

On this 25th day of May, 1925, Plaintiff is represented by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney, and defendant by counsel. Defendant present and arraigned and enters plea of Guilty to Counts one and two and sentenced to one year in Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas on each count.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED, AND ADJUDGED, as the sentence of the law, by the Court pronounced, that the said defendant Frank Edwards for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas and confined for a period of one year from date of delivery, and it is further CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as the sentence of the law by the Court pronounced, that the said defendant Frank Edwards for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas and confined for a period of one year, and it is further ordered that said sentences of confinement shall run concurrently.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 179 Crim.
 MARTIN LOGSDON,)
 Defendant.)

Now at this time W. L. Coffey, represents the Government in above entitled cause and asks and is granted leave to file information in open court. Defendant is present in person waives reading of information and enters plea of guilty and is fined \$50.00, on execution.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 178 Crim
 WILLIE MURPHY,)
 Defendant.)

Now at this time W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause asks and is granted leave to file information in open court. Defendant is present in person and waives reading of information and enters plea of guilty. Defendant is thereupon fined \$50.00.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 178 Crim.
 JONAS DANIELS,)
 Defendant.)

On this 25th day of May, 1925, Plaintiff is represented by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney, asks and is granted leave to file information in above entitled cause. Defendant appeared in person and enters plea of guilty to both counts and is fined \$100.00 on count one and sentenced to 90 days in Jail on count two.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Jonas Daniels, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma and confined for a period of ninety days from this date, on count one, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$100.00 on count two and that he stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa County Jail without delay.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, MAY 25, 1925

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 JOHN B. HENLEY,)
 Defendant.)

CRIM # 111

On this 25th day of May, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, A st. U. S. Attorney, representing the Government in above entitled cause. Defendant arraigned and enters plea of guilty to information filed herein and said defendant is fined \$250.00.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that said defendant John B. Henley pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$250.00, and that he stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa County Jail without delay.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FRED H. KELLY,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 MIDWEST AND GULF OIL CORPORATION,)
 a corporation.)
 Defendant.)

No. 3 Law.

O R D E R.

Now on this 25th day of May, 1925, plaintiffs herein having asked for permission to file their reply and answer to the answer and cross-petition of the defendant herein out of time, and the court having been fully advised in the premises, is of the opinion that said permission should be granted;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that the plaintiffs herein be, and they hereby are, given permission to file their reply and answer to the answer and cross-petition of the defendant on this the day and year first above set forth.

F. E. Kennamer,
 Judge.

ENDORSED : Filed May 25, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.
 L.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FRED H KELLY AND CHARLES F. HOUSH,)
 Plaintiffs.)
 vs.)
 MIDWEST AND GULF OIL CORPORATION)
 a corporation.)
 Defendants.)

No. 3 Law.

O R D E R.

Now on this 25th day of May, 1925, upon the application of the plaintiffs for leave to take depositions, it is hereby ordered that said plaintiffs be and they are hereby permitted and allowed to take the depositions of various and sundry witnesses outside the Northern District Of Oklahoma upon the notice and in the mode prescribed by the statutes of the State of Oklahoma and to offer and use the same at the trial of this suit.

F. E. Kennamer,
 Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 25, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
 L. W. J.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, MAY 25, 1925.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim. # 150
 C. I. WELLS,)
 Defendant.)

Now on this 25th. day of May, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst., U. S. District Attorney representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and arraigned and enters plea of guilty to information heretofore filed herein and is sentenced to two years in Federal Penitentiary.

IT IS THEREUPON now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant C. I. Wells, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for a period of Two (2) years from date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim # 136.
 C. I. WELLS,)
 Defendant.)

On this 25th. day of May, 1925, on motion of W. L. Coffey, Asst. United States Attorney counts one and two in above entitled cause is dismissed.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim #100.
 E. R. POWELL,)
 Defendant.)

Now on this 25th day of May, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst., United States Attorney representing the above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to count one. Sentence is deferred.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim #180
 SADIE THOMAS,)
 Defendant.)

On this 25th day of May, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Assistant United States District Attorney representing the above plaintiff. Defendant is present in person is arraigned and enters plea of guilty. Fined \$100. on Count one and sentenced to 90 days in jail on Count two.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Sadie Thomas, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of ninety (90) days on count two, and that she pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$100.00 on count one and that she stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa County Jail without delay.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, MAY, 25, 1925

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim. #181.
 EDWARD LOVE,)
 Defendant.)

On this 25th day of May, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. United States Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in information heretofore filed to counts one and two. Defendant fined \$500.00 on Count one and sentenced to six months on count two.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Edward Love, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of six (6) months from this date on count two, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars, on count one and that he stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa County Jail without delay.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim # 102.
 M. H. Lanham,)
 Defendant.)

On this 25th day of May, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Assistant United States Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty. Said defendant is fine \$100.00 and sentenced to Sixty days from April, 11, 1925.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant, M. H. Lanham, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for a period of sixty (60) days from April, 11, 1925, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$100.00 and that he stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail until said fine is paid, or, released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa County Jail without delay.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim # 126
 JIM NAIFEH,)
 Defendant.)

Now on this 25th day of May, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. United States Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to counts one and two, and is fined \$300.00 on count one and sentenced to sixty days in Jail on count two.

IT IS thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Jim Naifeh be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of Sixty (60) days from this date on count two (2) and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Three Hundred (\$300.00) Dollars, on count one, and that he stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa County Jail without delay.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY MAY 25, 1925.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 ALICE PARKER,)
 Defendant.)
 Crim # 123.

Now on this 25th day of May, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. United States Attorney representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty to count one and two and sentenced to six month in county jail on each count said sentence to run concurrently.

It is thereupon now by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged, that said defendant Alice Parker, for the crime by her committed as charged in the first count in the indictment be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of six months (6) months from this date.

And it is further, considered, ordered and adjudged, as the sentence of the law by the court pronounced that the said defendant Alice Parker for the crime by her committed as charged in the second count in the indictment be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of six (6) months,

And it is further ordered that said sentence of confinement shall run concurrently.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the keeper of the Tulsa County Jail, without delay.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 MYRTLE NELSON,)
 Defendant.)
 Crim # 118

On this 25th day of May, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. United States Attorney representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person and arraigned and enters plea of guilty. Sentence deferred.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 Glen Broyles, Earl Hazen,)
 and Frank Hembre,)
 Defendant.)
 Crim # 135.

On this 25th day of May, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. United States Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendants each present in person and arraigned. Defendant Frank Hembre, pleads not guilty to each count and cause as to said Frank Hembre is hereby continued until June 10th, 1925. Defendant Glen Broyles and Earl Hazen each enter pleas of guilty as charged and are each committed to the Federal Penitentiary and said commitment read as follows:

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, as the sentence of the law, by the court pronounced, that the said defendant Glen Boyles, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, for a period of Two (2) years from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) and stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law and it is further

CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as the sentence of the law by the Court pronounced, that the said defendant, Glen Broyles for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the indictment be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas for a period of Three (3) years, and it is further

90 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, MAY, 25, 1925.

CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, as the sentence of the law by the Court pronounced, that the said defendant, Glen Boyles, for the crime by him committed as charged in the third count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas for a period of one year and it is further

ORDERED, that said sentence of confinement shall run consecutively.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, as the sentence of the law by the court pronounced that the said defendant Earl Hazen, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, for a period of two (2) years from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) and stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law and it is further

CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, as the sentence of the law by the Court pronounced, that the said defendant, Earl Hazen for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, for a period of Three (3) years, and it is further

CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, as the sentence of the law, by the Court pronounced that the said defendant, Earl Hazen for the crime by him committed as charged in the third count in the indictment be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas for a period of One (1) year, and it is further

ORDERED that said sentence of confinement shall run consecutively.

UNITED STATES,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
SED GAIN,)
Defendant.)
Crim 128.

Now at this time it is ordered that defendant in above entitled cause sign his own recognizance in the sum of \$1500.00.

Court adjourned until May 26, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9: o'clock A. M. Friday May 26, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of U. S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq. Bailiff.
Ode Hammon, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

Court adjourned until May 27th., 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. WEDNESDAY, MAY 27, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A. M. Wednesday, May, 27, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of U. S. District Court,
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. District Attorney
E. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq. Bailiff.
Ode Hammon, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FRED H. KELLY AND CHARLES F. HOUGH, Plaintiff.
vs. No. 3 Law.
MIDWEST AND GULF CORPORATION, a corporation. Defendant.

ORDER

Now on this 27th day of May, 1925, upon the application of the plaintiffs for leave to inspect the records and documents of the defendant corporation and to serve the notice therefor upon the defendant within the time and in accordance with the provisions of the statutes of the State of Oklahoma, it is hereby ordered that said plaintiffs be, and they are hereby, permitted and allowed to make, serve and have said inspection of the records and documents of the defendant corporation in all things and in all respects upon the notice and in the mode prescribed by the statutes of the State of Oklahoma.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 27, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court By HW.J.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 27th day of May, 1925, it being made to satisfactorily appear that Edwin F. DeFord, is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath perscribed by the Court is administered and said Edwin F. DeFord is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

Edwin F. DeFord.

ORDER OF REMOVAL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

The President of the United States, To the Marshal of the Eastern District of Oklahoma, GREETING:

Whereas, it has been made to appear that Ernest Wishom is indicted in the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma for the offense of Violation of the National Motor Vehicle Theft Act and whereas the said Ernest Wishom having been brought before me, upon an examination then and there had in the presence of said defendant, it sufficiently appeared that he was the identical person named in said indictment, and certified copy thereof furnished probably cause to believe him guilty of the offense therein charged, and whereas it was thereupon ordered by me that said defendant enter into bond to the United States in the sum of Twenty Five Hundred Dollars, with one or more sufficient sureties, conditioned for his personal appearance before the said District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma on the first day of the next ensuing term thereof, to answer to said indictment, and depart not thence without the leave of said Court. And the said defendant having failed and refused to give bail as required, therefore:

92 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. WEDNESDAY, MAY 27, 1925

You are hereby commanded seasonably to remove the said Ernest W Wishon hence to the said Eastern District of Oklahoma and there surrender him to the Marshal of that District, there to be dealt with according to law.

And make due return of this warrant to the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma with a true statement of how you have executed the same.

Given under my hand this 27th day of May, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge for Northern
District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed May 27, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

Wm. K. HALE,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)

No. 95 Law.

CAPITOL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY)
OF COLORADO, and)
C. E. ASJBROOK, Administrator of)
the Estate of Henry Roan, Deceased.)

-- O R D E R --

Now on this 27th day of May, 1925, the same being a judicial day of the above court, the above matter comes on for hearing and the defendant, Capitol Life Insurance Company of Colorado, is given leave to file an amended reply to the separate answer of C. E. Ashbrook administrator.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 27, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
R.C.

Court adjourned until May 28, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

33

NORTH ERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925¹ TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. WEDNESDAY, MAY, 28, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9: o'clock A.M. Thursday, May, 28, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
 H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
 W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. Attorney
 H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
 W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.
 Ode Hammond Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim. # 115.
 PAT HURST,)
 Defendant.)

Now on this 28th, day of May, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in persona dm by counsel, waives reading of information and enters plea of guilty to count two and thereupon was fined \$150.00. Upon motion of U. S. Attorney cause was dismissed as to count one.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim # 180.
 SADIE THOMAS,)
 Defendant.)

On this 28th day of May, 1925, upon motion of U. S. Attorney, judgment and sentence in above entitled cause are hereby modified. And said defendant is hereby fined \$50.00 on Count one and sentenced to twelve days on Count two.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE BUICK - M. 1350902 S 1312275

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
 THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.) L. 98
 ONE BUICK, AUTOMOBILE))
 MOTOR # 1350902,)
 SERIAL 1312275)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 28 day of May, 1925, The United States having filed herein its application for a forfeiture of the property above described, to-wit: One certain

1925 Buick Sedan Model #2521 Motor #1350902
 Serial #1312275

because there was deposited and concealed therein certain distilled spirits and alcoholic liquors, to-wit:

ABOUT FIVE GALLONS OF CORN WHISKEY

upon which no Internal Revenue tax had been paid, the same being ~~ad~~ deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such tax.

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and it is hereby set down for hearing on the 29th day of June 1925, at which time any one interested in said property or apprehended therewith may appear and show cause, if any they have, why a forfeiture to said Plaintiff of said Property should not be granted.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

Northern District of Oklahoma.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY MAY 28, 1925.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to anyone known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

Filed May 28

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - M. 6881055, LICENSE -35441.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 99 - L.
ONE FORD, Automobile)	
MOTOR #6881055, LICENSE #35441.)	

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 28 day of May, 1925, The United States having filed herein its application for forfeiture of the property above described, to-wit: One Ford Touring Car, 1924 Model, Motor #6881055, License #35441, because there was deposited and concealed therein certain distilled spirits and alcoholic liquors, upon which no Internal Revenue tax has been paid, the same being so deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such said tax.

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 28 day of June, 1925, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended therewith may appear and show cause, if any they have, why a forfeiture to said Plaintiff of said property should not be granted.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to anyone known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

Filed May 28

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - HUPMOBILE M #52900

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 100
ONE HUPMOBILE, Automobile)	
MOTOR # 52900.)	

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 28 day of May, 1925, the United States having filed herein its application for a forfeiture of the property above described, to-wit: one certain 1925 Hupmobile Roadster, Motor #52900, because there was deposited and concealed therein certain distilled spirits and alcoholic liquors, upon which no Internal Revenue Tax had been paid, the same being so deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such said tax.

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 28th day of June, 1925, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended therewith may appear and show cause, if any they have why a forfeiture to said plaintiff of said property should not be granted.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. WEDNESDAY, MAY, 28, 1925

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to anyone known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed; May 28, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - M-#1179886 - Ford

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 101 L.
ONE FORD TOURING Automobile)
Motor #1179886)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 28 day of May 1925, The United States having filed herein its application for a forfeiture of the property above described, to-wit: One certain

FORD TOURING AUTOMOBILE, MOTOR #1179886

because there was deposited and concealed therein certain distilled spirits and alcoholic liquors, to-wit:

1 quart of Corn liquor.

upon which no Internal Revenue had been paid, the same being so deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such tax.

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 28th day of June, 1925, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended therewith may appear and show cause, if any they have, why a forfeiture to said Plaintiff of said property should be granted.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to anyone known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 28, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
R.C.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - One Ford. M #8722725

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 102 L.
ONE FORD AUTOMOBILE)
MOTOR #8722752)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 28th day of May 1925, The United States having filed herein its application for a forfeiture of the property above described, to-wit: One certain 1925 Ford Sedan, Motor #8722752, because there was deposited and concealed therein distilled spirits and alcoholic liquors, to-wit:

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, MAY, 28, 1925

About three quarts of Corn Whiskey upon which no Internal Revenue tax has been paid, the same being so deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such tax.

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 28th day of June, 1925, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended therewith may appear and show cause, if any they have, why a forfeiture to said plaintiff of said property should not be granted.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to anyone known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED : Filed May 28, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
R.C.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - One Ford M- 9477006

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 103.L
ONE FORD, Automobile)	
Motor #9477006)	

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 28th day of May, 1925, The United States having filed herein its application for a forfeiture of the property above described, to-wit: One certain 1924 Ford Roadster, Motor #9477006 because there was deposited and concealed therein certain distilled spirits and alcoholic liquors, to-wit:

About two and one-half gallons of Corn Whiskey

upon which no Internal Revenue tax has been paid, the same being so deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such tax.

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 28th day of June 1925, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended therewith may appear and show cause, if any they have, why a forfeiture to said Plaintiff of said property should not be granted.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to anyone known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May, 28, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By R.C.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE- ONE CHRYSLER, Automobile M 610511, S- 10397

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 104
ONE CHRYSLER, Automobile,)	
Motor #510511,)	
Serial 10397)	

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 28th day of May, 1925, The United States having filed

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1926 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, MAY, 28, 1926.

herein its application for a forfeiture of the property above described, to-wit: One certain 1925 Chrysler Roadster, License No. 271467 Motor 510511 because there was deposited and concealed therein certain distilled spirits and alcoholic liquors to-wit:

2 gallons of corn whiskey

upon which no Internal Revenue tax had been paid, the same being so deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such tax.

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 28th day of June, 1926, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended therewith may appear and show cause, if any they have, why a forfeiture to said plaintiff of said property should not be granted.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to any one known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 28, 1926. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court. By R.C.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE ¹ FORD Automobile M-10699003 L-26344

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. vs. ONE FORD, Automobile, Motor #10899003 License #26344 No. 105 L.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 28th day of May, 1926, The United States having filed herein its application for forfeiture of the property above described, to-wit:

One Ford Sedan Motor, #10899003 License #26344

upon which no Internal Revenue Tax had been paid, the same being so deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such said tax.

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 28 day of June, 1926, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended therewith may appear and show cause, if any they have, why a forfeiture to said Plaintiff of said property should not be granted.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to any one known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 28, 1926. H.P. Watfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court. R. C.

Court adjourned until May 29, 1926.

98 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, MAY 29, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, May, 29, 1925. at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. Attorney,
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff
Ode Hammon, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly had the following proceedings were had and entered.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. # 126 CRIM.
WIM NAIFEE, Defendant.

On this 29th day of May, 1925, upon Motion of the United States Attorney Judgment and Sentence in above entitled cause of May 25th., 1925, is modified to read as follows:

Count one is hereby dismissed and defendant is fined \$400.00 on Count two said fine to be paid to-day.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. CRIM #150
C. I. WELLS, Defendant.

On this 29th, day of May, 1925, upon recommendation of Dr. L. C. Presson, Federal Physician, the judgment and sentence in above entitled cause of May 25th., 1925 is hereby vacated and said defendant ordered to appear for sentence on Monday June 1, 1925 and to be released on personal recognizance.

Court adjourned until Saturday May, 30, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, May, 30th., 1925, at 9:30 A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff
Ode Hammon, Esq., Bailiff.

Court adjourned until June, 1st. 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

JUNE, 1, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, June, 1, 1925., at 9 o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. H. Kennamer, U. S. District Judge.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
John M. Galksherry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal
W. F. Wolberton, Esq., Bailiff.
E. E. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 1st day of June, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that E. E. Harvey and F. R. Burns are qualified for admission to the bar of this court. The oath prescribed by the Court is administered and the said E. E. Harvey and F. R. Burns are declared admitted to the bar of this court.

E. E. Harvey, Tulsa, Okla.
F. R. Burns, Miami, Okla.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA,

UNITED STATES,
Plaintiff.
vs.

No. 109

ONE FORD TRUCK & TRAILER,
Automobile Block No. 11607430 -
Tag No. 245691

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 1st day of June, 1925, the United States having filed herein its application for forfeiture of the property above described to-wit one certain

FORD TRUCK AND TRAILER automobile
Block No. 11607430, Tag No. 245691

because there was deposited and concealed therein certain distilled spirits and alcoholic liquors, to-wit:

4 pints Corn Whiskey

upon which no internal Revenue Tax had been paid, alleging and charging the same to have been so deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such said tax in violation of Section 6352, U. S. Compiled Statutes, Section 2450, U. S. Revised Statute.

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 29th day of June, 1925, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended therewith may appear and show cause, if any they have, why a forfeiture to said Plaintiff of said property should not be granted.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to anyone known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

F. H. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 1, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By H.W.J.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY JUNE 1, 1925.

ORDER EMPANELING PETIT JURY

On this 1st day of June, 1925, comes the Marshal and make return of the Venire heretofore issued out of this Court for Petit Jurors for this Special April, 1925 term of Court. Thereupon the Clerk calls the names of the Jurors so summoned and served, and the following answer their names and are present: Chas. Wardell, W. J. Patterson, J. B. Carson, Arthur Ellis, E. D. Cannon, Lester Jordan, W. C. Owen, Wm. King, George Bosworth, E. B. Swallum, John F. Jones, C. G. Law, C. T. Abbott, Max Randall, J. W. Daugherty, Wesley Jackson, George Smith, J. W. Walton, A. W. Brink, Fred Adams, Lee Burden, Mack Codd, Paul Dahlgren, A. E. Eby, Noah Harrison, Robert Jenkins, R. R. Detweiler, P. H. Craham, Will Bartley, C. F. Gulp, R. R. Smith, Wm W Wallace, A. J. Martin, E. E. Holeble, O. F. Hodgden, Percy S. Ambrose, A. W. Lehman, E. H. Chaffer, Eugene Sharp, Lewis Bixler, J. L. Schell, C. R. Montgomery, T. L. McFall, Kenneth E. Beall, A. J. Baker, D.A. Girman, A. E. Selby, C. L. Battle, Gentner Drummond, C. W. Morgan.

Thereupon the Court examined the said jurors as to their qualifications, and for good cause shown George Bosworth, Lewis Bixler, Paul Dahlgren, R. B. Detweiler, O. F. Hodgden, C. G. Law, W. C. Owen, R. R. Smith, A. E. Selby, and J. W. Walton, Excused for term. And J. B. Carson, P. H. Craham, A. W. Lehman excused to June 8th., 1925, and Gentner Drummond excused to June, 16, 1925. Thereupon the balance of the jurors for this Special April, 1925 term are hereby accepted as Petit Jurors.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. } Crim # 13.
 AB MEADOWS, Defendant. }

On this 1st day of June, 1925, cause in above entitled case was dismissed upon motion of the Court.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. } Crim # 15.
 TOM BRADLEY, Defendant. }

Now at this time above case was stricken from the present assignment and continued for the the term.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. } Crim # 16.
 JACK EWERS AND W. BAKER, Defendants. }

Now at this time above entitled case was continued until June 2, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. } Crim # 17.
 MATTIE NASH, Defendant. }

On this 1st day of June, 1925, defendant in above entitled cause waives Jury and case is submitted to the Court. Oath to witness is administered to Harry J. Campbell as witness for plaintiff and defendant testifies in own behalf.

It is thereupon now by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that said defendant Mattie Nash pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$50.00 and that she stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla. until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, JUNE, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
 Vs.
 JAP DEGASE, Defendant. } Crim # 18.

On this 1st day of June, 1925, plaintiff in above entitled cause appears by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney and defendant in person and by Counsel Judge Brewster. All parties announce ready for trial, and the following named jury, A. J. Baker, Kenneth E. Beall, A. W. Brink, Lee Burden, D. E. Chaffer, H. D. Cannon, Marsh Cobb, J. C. Daugherty, Arthur Ellis, Noah Harrison, Robert Jenkins and John T. Jones is sworn to try said cause and true verdict render. Counsel for plaintiff states in case and defendant states in case. Thereupon cause is taken from the Jury and information dismissed by the Court.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
 vs.
 Mrs. OSCAR (W.A.) McCOWAN Defendant. } CRIM # 19

On this 1st day of June, 1925, above cause was stricken for the term.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff,
 vs.
 EDWARD CLANTON, Defendant. } Crim # 20.

On this 1st day of June, 1925, above cause was stricken from present assignment and continued for the term.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
 vs.
 J. R. GREEN, Defendant. } Crim # 21.

On the 1st day of June, 1925, Plaintiff in above entitled cause appears by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney and Defendant in person and by counsel. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury to-wit: C. H. Culp, J. C. Daugherty, Harper Ellis, Noah Harrison, Wesley Jackson, Robert Jenkins, Lester Jordan, Wm. King, W. J. Pittison, Mac Randall, E. B. Swallow, C. H. Wardell, is sworn to try said cause and true verdict render. Counsel for plaintiff states its case, presents its evidence, proof and rests. The defendant states case to the Court, presents his proof. Plaintiff presents its evidence in rebuttal and rests and the taking of evidence is closed. Argument of counsel is heard and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on their verdict herein.

Now on the same day, to-wit: June 1, 1925, Jury returns into court in charge of a sworn bailiff, and upon being called each answer and are present and all parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the jury presents to the court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA }
 VS. } No. 21.
 J. R. GREEN, }
 Verdict.

We, the jury in the above entitled cause duly empaneled and sworn

102 In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

upon our oaths, find the defendant, J. R. Green guilty, as charged in the information.

We further find the defendant J. R. Green guilty as charged in the second count of the information.

J. C. Daugherty, Foreman

ENDORSED: Filed June, 1, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By H.W.J.

COMMITMENT * J. R. GREEN, DEFENDANT.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

At Tulsa, on the 1st day of June, 1925, the following among proceedings were had to-wit

United States	Plaintiff.	} No. 21 Information for violation of Volstead act.
vs.		
J. R. Green,	Defendant.	

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant J. R. Green, pay a fine unto the United States on count 1, in the sum of \$75.00, and stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law, and it is further,

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant J. R. Green pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$75.00 on count 2 and stand committed to the County, Tulsa Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of said court at Tulsa, in said District this 1st day of June, 1925.

((SEAL)))

H. P. Warfield, Clerk
By H.W. James, Deputy.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	} Crim. # 22
vs.		
W. A. HAMMETT AND CHAS. MECK.	Defendant	

On this 1st day of June, 1925, Motion to Strike and Remand in above entitled cause is overruled and Motion to quash and Dismiss is overruled to which defendant excepts. Defendant Chas. Meeks Pleads not guilty and hearing is continued to June 2nd. for trial.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	} CRIM # 25.
vs.		
ALVIN GOINS,	Defendant.	

On this 1st day of June, 1925, Plaintiff in above entitled cause appears by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, and defendant present in person. All parties announce ready for trial and the following

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

103

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE, 1, 1925.

Jury Percy S. Ambrose, Fred Adams, C. L. Abbott, C. L. Battle, A. J. Baker, Kenneth E. Beal, Will Bartley, A. W. Brink, Lee Burden, D. E. Shaffer, H. D. Cannon and Mack Cobb, is sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render. Counsel for plaintiff states its case, presents its evidence and proof and rests. The defendant states his case to the Jury thereafter presents his evidence and proof and rests. Arguments of counsel are heard. The Court instructs the Jury as to the law in the case, and the Jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on the verdict herein. Now on this same day to-wit: June, 1, 1925, the Jury returns into Court in charge of sworn bailiff, and upon being called each answer and are all present. All parties present in person and by counsel as heretofore, the Jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, } VERDICT.
vs. } No. 23.
ALVIN GOINS. }

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oaths find the defendant Alvin Goins, guilty, as charged in the information.

K. E. Beal, Foreman.

ENDORSED : Filed June, 1, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By R.C.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Defendant Alvin Goins, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$100.00 and stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION

On this 1st day of June, 1925, comes the United States Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the amount of \$2500.00, each.

#185 U. S. vs. Ed Crumbs.
#186 U. S. vs. Leon Perry
#187 U. S. vs. Brad Hallford
#187 U. S. vs. H. M. Solebay

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff }
vs. } Crim #185
ED CRUMBS, Defendant. }

On this 1st day of June, 1925, upon motion of the Court, information in above entitled cause is dismissed.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs. } Crim #186
LEON PERRY, Defendant. }

Now on this 1st day of June, 1925, Plaintiff in above entitled cause is represented by W.L. Coffey, Asst. U.S. Attorney, and defendant is present in person and is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to count one and not guilty to count two. Count two is hereby dismissed and defendant fined \$50.00 on count one.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Leon Perry pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$50.00 and stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa Oklahoma until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, JUNE, 1, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. } Crim. # 150
 C. I. WELLS, Defendant. }

On this 1st day of June, 1925, sentence in above entitled cause is hereby continued for the term.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
 UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. } THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLA.
 vs. } Crim. # 167
 BRAD HOLLFORD, Defendant. }

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Brad Hollford, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, for a period of ninety (90) days on count two, said sentence to run from date of confinement, and it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the said defendant Brad Hollford pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$100.00 on count one and stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of said Court at Tulsa, in said District, this 1st day of June, 1925.

((SEAL))) H.P. Warfield, Clerk
 By H.W. James, Deputy

ENDORSED: FILED June, 1, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
 By H.W.J.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. } CRIM # 168
 H. M. GOLOBAY, Defendant. }

On this 1st day of June, 1925, comes United States Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file information in above entitled cause and to prosecute thereunder. Defendant is present in person and is arraigned and enters plea of guilty and is fined \$25.00.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendant H. M. Golobay pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$25.00 and stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered that the Marshal deliver to Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla., or cause to be delivered the body of said H. M. Golobay, without delay.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE, 1, 1925.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	Crim # 14.
vs.			
WALTER TOWNSEND,	Defendant.		

On this 1st day of June, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. United States Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause, and defendant is present in person and by counsel W. F. Mason. Defendant is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty as charged in said information heretofore filed herein. Motion for continuance and transfer of above cause is overruled. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit: D. A. Gorman, S. E. Hobbie, T. L. McFall, C. R. Montgomery, J. L. Schole, Eugene Sharpe, Wm. Wallace, Percy S. Ambrose, Fred Adams, G. F. Abbott and C. L. Battle, is sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render according to evidence and testimony given. Counsel for plaintiff states it case presents its evidence, testimony and proof and rests, defendant presents its evidence, testimony and proof and rests. Arguments of counsel are heard. The Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case, and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on their verdict herein. Now on this same day to-wit; the Jury returns into Court in charge of a sworn bailiff, and upon being called each answer and are present. All parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the Jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES	}	No. 14.	VERDICT.
vs.			
WALTER TOWNSEND			

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oaths, find the defendant Walter Townsend not guilty, as charged in the information.

D. F. Gorman, Foreman.

ENDORSED : Filed June, 1, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By H.W.J.

The jury announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration of this cause.

UNITED STATES,	PLAINTIFF.	}	CRIM # 25.
vs.			
ASHLEY SCOTT,	DEFENDANT.		

On this 1st day of June, comes W.L. Coffey, Asst., United States Attorney, representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause, and defendant is present in person and by counsel. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit: Walter Jordon, W. J. Patterson, E. B. Swallen, A. J. Baker, Will Bartley, Lee Burdick, Wm. King, Max Randall, Chas. Wedell, Kenneth E. Beall, A. W. Brink, and D.H. Chaffer, is sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render. Arguments of counsel are heard, and the Court being well and fully advised in the premises dismissed said information in above cause.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, JUNE, 1, 1925.

UNITED STATES, PLAINTIFF. }
 VS. } Crim. #26.
 JIM SISSON, DEFENDANT. }

On this 1st day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst., United States Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause, and defendant is present in person and by counsel. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit: D. E. Chaffer, Marsh Cobb, J. C. Daugherty, Noah Harrison, Robt. Jenkins, Lester Jordan, C. L. Culp, H.D. Cannon Arthur Ellis, E.E. Hobbie, John F. Jones, & T. L. McFall, is empanelled and sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render. All parties present. Arguments of counsel are heard whereupon information in above entitled cause is dismissed by the Court.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. } CRIM # 29.
 DEWEY ROBINSON AND }
 GEORGE ROBINSON, Defendants. }

Asst.
 On this 1st day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, United States Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause, and asks and is granted leave of the court to file information in above case. Defendant is present in person and by counsel and is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to information filed herein, and said defendant Dewey Johnson is fined \$50.00, and said information is dismissed by the court as to George Robinson.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Dewey Johnson, pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$50.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. } Crim. #30.
 MARION STURGIS, Defendant. }

On this 1st day of June, 1925, cause is stricken and continued for the term.

UNITED STATES, PLAINTIFF. }
 VS. } Crim. # 35.
 GLEN FLUTY, Defendant. }

On this 1st day of June, comes W.L. Coffey, Asst. United States Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel. S. W. Seal. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit: C. W. Morgan, E. B. Swallow, Chas. Waddell, C. L. Abbott, W. J. Phillips, J. L. Scholl, Percy S. Ambrose, C. L. Battle, Max Randall, Eugene Sharr, Fred Adams, and Wm. Wallace is empanelled and sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render according to the evidence and testimony submitted. Counsel for plaintiff states its case, defendant states his case to the jury. Plaintiff submits its evidence testimony and proof and rests, thereafter the Defendant presents its testimony, evidence and proof and rests. Arguments of counsel are heard. The Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on their verdict herein. Now on this same day to-wit, June 1st, 1925, the Jury returns into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present. All parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the jury presents to the court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APPLIC. 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE 1, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs. GLEN FLUTY, No. 35.

VERDICT.

We, the Jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oaths, find the defendant Glen Fluty guilty as charged in the indictment.

We further find the defendant guilty as charged in the second count of the information. Fred E. Adams, Foreman.

Endorsed: Filed June 1, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. by H.W.J.

The jury announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration of this cause. Thereupon the sentence of law is passed upon the defendant as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Glenn Fluty be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa Oklahoma, on count one for the period of ninety (90) days from this date, and that he pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$250.00 and stand further committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. vs. ALBERT POOLER, Defendant. # 32 CRIM.

On this 1st day of June, 1925 the above entitled case was called, Defendant was three times called in open court and answers not. Sureties on bond for said defendant also three times called in open court but answers not thereupon said bond is declared forfeited. Defendant appears and announces ready for trial and bond forfeiture is hereby set aside and cause continued until June, 2, 1925 for trial.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. vs. Charley Conner, Defendant. # 31 CRIM.

On this 1st day of June, 1925, above entitled cause is called for trial. Defendant was three times called in open court and answers not. Sureties on bond for said defendant called three times in open court but answer not. Bond is ordered forfeited to the parties ordered for said bondsmen.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

MATTHIAS SCHRIMPSCHER, Defendant.

} # 74 Crim.

On this 1st day of June, 1925, above cause is stricken from present assignment and continued for the term.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

ISON SHEFFIELD, Defendant.

} # 27 CRIM.

Now on this 1st day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file information in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person is arraigned and enters plea of guilty.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Ison Sheffield, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$250.00 and stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

ED CLEVINGER, Defendant.

} #28 Crim.

Now on this 1st day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst., U. S. Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file information in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and is arraigned and enters plea of guilty.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Ed Clevinger pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$100000 and stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla. without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

Granville Harper, Defendant.

} # 34 Crim.

On this 1st day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file information in above entitled cause. Defendant is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty whereupon cause is stricken from present assignment and continued for the term.

ORDER EXCUSING PETIT JUROR

On this 1st day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court, that Wm. King one of the petit jurors be excused for the term.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

Tuesday JUNE, 2, 1926.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, June, 2, 1926, at 9 O'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer,	Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq.,	Clerk of U. S. District Court
John M. Goldsberry, Esq.,	U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq.,	U. S. Marshal.
W. W. Wolverton, Esq.,	Bailiff.
H. H. Davis, Esq.,	Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff,
 vs
 GEORGE RAY, Defendant.

No. 90 *b*

ORDER

Now on this 2nd. day of June, 1925, the same being a regular court day of this Court this matter came on to be heard on the motion of defendant for an order allowing the above defendant, George Ray, to proceed in his preparations for trial without being required to give security for costs, and the court finds from defendants affidavit that he verily believes he has a good and sufficient defense and that he is without means of giving security for costs owing to his poverty.

THEREFORE it is hereby ordered that the said defendant shall be permitted to incur costs in this court for the purpose of serving witnesses with due process of subpoenas, and that the affidavit submitted with motion above referred to shall be permitted to be filed.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of Northern District.

ADMISSION TO BAR.

On this 2nd. day of June, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that A. W. Turner and W. E. Green are qualified for admission to the bar of this court and the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and the said A. W. Turner and W. E. Green are hereby declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

A. W. Turner
W. E. Green.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
 vs.
 W. A. HAMMETT, Et al. Defendant.

Crim # 20.

On this 2nd. day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey and asks and is granted leave to file information in above entitled cause and to prosecute thereunder. Said defendants, W. A. Hammett and Chas. Meeks are each present in person and by counsel and are arraigned and enter pleas of Not guilty Cause is dismissed by order of court.

UNITED STATES, PLAINTIFF.
 VS.
 EDWARD CLANTON, Defendant.

20 Crim.

On this 2nd day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file information in above entitled cause and to prosecute thereunder. Defendant enters plea of ~~not~~ guilty and is fined \$50.00.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY JUNE, 2, 1925.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Edward Clayton pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$150.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. Crim #52
COLLINS ROWE, Defendant.

Come now W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney and asks leave and is granted leave to file information in above entitled cause and to prosecute thereunder. Defendant is present in person and arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged therein and is fined \$75.00

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Callus Rowe, pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$75.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. Crim 49
R. F. HOLLAND, Defendant.

Come now W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel and arraigned and enters plea of guilty. Defendant is fined \$50.00.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered, adjudged, that the defendant R. F. Holland pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$50.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said Defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. Crim #40.
C. A. CUNNINGHAM, Defendant.

Come now W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information in above entitled cause and to prosecute thereunder. Defendant is present in person and by counsel and enters plea of guilty. Sentence is deferred until visita term of court.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. Crim #41.
MONROE COLE, Defendant.

Come now W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file information in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and enters plea of guilty. Sentence is deferred until visita term of court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL 1926 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, JUNE 2, 1926.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. } # 56 Crim.
Allsup & Herman Hensley, Defendants. }

On this 2nd day of June, 1926, comes John W. Goldsberry, U. S. Attorney. Defendant Herman Hensley, not present. John Allsup enters plea of guilty and is fined \$25.00, and defendant Herman Hensley fined \$25.00 on execution.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant John Allsup pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$25.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. } # 52 Crim.
ALBERT POOLER, Defendant. }

On this 2nd day of June, 1926, Plaintiff in above entitled case appears by W. J. Coffey, Esq., U. S. Atty. Attorney and the defendant in person and by counsel. Case is called for further hearing and all parties announce ready for trial and the following jury to-wit: Percy S. Ambrose, Fred Adams, C. J. Battle, J. C. Dancy, Kenneth Beall, Will Bartley, A. W. Brink, Lee Burden, B. E. Chaffet, H. D. Cannon, Mack Cole, and C. I. Culp, sworn to try cause and true verdict render according to the evidence and testimony given. Counsel for plaintiff states its case presents its evidence and proof and facts. The defendant states his case to the jury and thereafter presents his evidence and proof and facts and the taking of evidence is closed. Counsel of counsel are heard and the Court instructs the jury on the law of the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn verdict as indicated on their verdict sheets. Now on the same day, June 2, 1926, the Court returns into Court in charge of sworn verdict and upon being called shall answer and are present. All parties are present in person and represented by counsel. Thereupon the jury presents to the Court their verdict as indicated on their verdict sheets as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES,)
vs.) No. 52. Crim.
ALBERT POOLER,)

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly sworn, empaneled, upon our oaths find the defendant Albert Pooler guilty as charged in the information.

D. E. Chaffet, Foreman.

ENDORSE: Filed June 2, 1926. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. By R.C.

The jury announcing their true verdict are excused from further consideration of this case. Thereupon judgment and sentence is passed wherein defendant is fined \$25.00 and placed under \$2500.00 bond for jury.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Albert Pooler pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$25.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

274

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. TUESDAY, JUNE, 1, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs. }
FRED BISHOP. Defendant. } Crim. #36.

Come now W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause and defendant present in person and by counsel. Cause is stricken from present assignment and ordered placed on Vinita Calendar. Defendant being present in person at this time demanded trial.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs. }
ROBERT CROSBY, Defendant. } Crim # 37.

On this 2nd. day of June, 1925. above entitled cause is stricken from present assignment.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff }
vs. }
GLOVIE SWERT, Defendant. } Crim. # 38.

On this 2nd. day of June, 1925, above entitled cause is dismissed by order of Court.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs. }
OSCAR THORNTON, Defendant. } CRIM. # 39

On this 2nd day of June, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person and enters plea of Guilty as charged in information heretofore filed herein. Defendant fined \$25.00.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Oscar Thornton, pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$25.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid,

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

UNITED STATES, PLAINTIFF. }
vs. }
LUKE QUALLS AND J. M. BREWER, Defendant. } CRIM # 42.

On this 2nd. day of June, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendants each present in person and by counsel. J. M. Brewer one of the defendants in above entitled cause, was continued until Vinita term of Court. Luke Qualls one defendant in above entitled cause announced ready for trial, all parties present and the following jury, to-wit: Harry S. Adams, Fred Adams, C. L. Abbott, G. L. Battle, A. J. Baker, George Baskin, Will Baskin, A. W. Brink, Lee Burton, D. E. Gaffar, H. D. Gaffar, Harry Dehn is empaneled and sworn to try case and a true verdict returned according to the testimony and evidence submitted. Following witnesses were called, to-wit: J. C. Payne, John Booth, whereupon case is dismissed upon motion of the Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM. WULSA, OKLA. JUNE 2, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

No. 111

ONE NASH, 1925 ROADSTER
MOTOR # 57013 05 7151
License # 327843

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 2 day of June, 1925, The United States having filed herein its application for a forfeiture of the property above described to-wit: One certain

Nash 1925 Roadster, Motor # 57013 05 7151,
License No. 327843.

because there was deposited and concealed therein certain distilled spirits and alcoholic liquors, to-wit:

48 quarts of Cognac Brandy

upon which no Internal Revenue tax had been paid, alleging and charging the same to have been so deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such said tax, in violation of Section 6352, U. S. Compiled Statutes, Section 2480 U. S. Revised Statute.

It is therefore ordered that the said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 8th day of July, 1925, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended therewith may appear and show cause, if any they have, why a forfeiture to said plaintiff of said property should not be granted.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to anyone known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand this day and date first above written.

F. E. KENNAMER,
District Judge.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

No. 16 Crim.

JACK BAKERS AND
W. BAKER, Defendant.

On this 2nd day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant Baker present in person and by counsel and enter plea of guilty to information filed herein, and sentence deferred until next term of Court. Cause dismissed as to Jack Bakers.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs. J. J. CAGLE, Defendant. No. 50 Crim.

J. J. CAGLE, Defendant.

Trial in the above entitled cause is hereby postponed until June 3, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, JUNE, 1, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

No. 53 Crim.

FOR CUNNINGHAM,
RILE CUNNINGHAM, AND
C. J. VALERIUS, Defendants.

On this 2nd. day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendants present in person and by counsel. Case called and dismissed by order of Court as to all defendants.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

No. 51 Crim.

A. STURGESS, Defendant

On this 2nd. day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person and by Counsel, defendant arraigned and enters plea of guilty; sentence deferred till next term of Court.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

No. 55 Crim.

FRANK BLAIR, Defendant.

On this 2nd. day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney representing government in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person and by counsel. Case called and all parties announce ready for trial, the following jury, to-wit Arthur Ellis, D. A. Gorman, Neah Harrison, E. E. Hobble, Robert Jenkins, John T. Jones, Wesley Jackson, Max Randall, T. L. McFall, C. R. Montgomery, C. W. Morgan, W. J. Pattison, empaneled and sworn to try case and a true verdict render according to the law and evidence shown. The plaintiff presents its evidence and proof and rests. The defendant states his case to the jury and thereafter presents his evidence and proof and rests. Arguments of counsel are heard. The Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on the verdict herein. Now on this same day, June 2, 1925, the jury returns into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present. All parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS.

No. 55

FRANK BLAIR.

VERDICT.

We, the Jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, Frank Blair guilty as charged in the information.

We further find the defendant guilty as charged in the second count of the information.

C. W. Morgan, Foreman.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 2, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
R. C.

The Jury announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration of this cause. Thereupon the sentence of law is passed defendant which is as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Frank Blair pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$1250000 on count one, and that he stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law, and it is further considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Frank Blair, pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$125000 on count 2 and stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM

District of

OKLAHOMA,
TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

TUESDAY, JUNE 1, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs.

FRANK CHANDLER, Defendant.

48 Crim.

On this 2nd. day of June, 1925, above entitled cause is stricken from present assignment and ordered placed on Vinita Calander.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff,
vs.

G. W. ROGERS, Defendant.

65 Crim.

On this 2nd. day of June, 1925 Defendant in above entitled cause was three times called in open court and wholly made default. Bondsmen three times called in open court and wholly made default whereupon bond is ordered forfeited. Scire Facias ordered issued and new bond fixed at \$7500.00

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs.

JIM SEABORNE, Defendant.

44 Crim.

On this 2nd. day of June, 1925, above entitled cause is stricken from present assignment and ordered placed on Vinita Calander.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER OF ADJOURN COURT.

It is this day ordered in pursuance of Section 12 of the Judicial Code, that the Marshal of this Court, adjourn the Regular June 1925 term of said Court, at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, on Monday June, 1st., 1925, sine die.

Dated this 22nd. day of May, A. D. 1925,

F. B. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 22, 1925, H. P. Watfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H. W. J.

ATTEST: A true copy of the original order.

((SEAL)) H. P. Watfield, Clerk
By H. W. James, Deputy.

I, hereby certify that pursuant to the foregoing order, I did, on June, 1st 1925, adjourn the regular June 1925, term at Bartlesville, Oklahoma, on Monday, June, 1, 1925. sine die.

Henry C. Beard,
United States Marshal

By: Andy Henderson, Deputy.

ENDORSED: Filed June 2, 1925, H. P. Watfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
By H. W. James, Deputy.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL TERM, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY JUNE 2, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. } # 54 Crim.
 JAMES MORGAN, Defendant. }

Now on this 2nd. day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant presented a person and by counsel. Case called and all parties announce ready for trial, the following jury, to-wit: Percy S. Ambrose, Fred Adams, C. T. Abbott, C. L. Battle, A. J. Baker, Kenneth Beall, Will Bartley, A. W. Brink, Lee Burden, D. E. Chaffler, E. D. Cannon, Mack Cehle, is empanelled and sworn to try cause and a true verdict render according to the law and evidence shown. The Plaintiff presents its evidence, proof and rests. The defendant states his case to the Jury, presents his evidence testimony and rests. Argument of counsel is heard, whereupon the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case, and the Jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon the verdict herein. Now on this same day, June, 2, 1925, the Jury returns into Court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present. All parties are present as heretofore thereupon the jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, }
 VS. } No. 54.
 JAMES MORGAN. }

We, the Jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oaths find the defendant James Morgan guilty as charged in the information.

Chas. T. Abbott.

ENDORSED: Filed June 2, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
 R. C.

The Jury announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration of this cause, thereupon the sentence of law is passed upon defendant which is as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant James Morgan pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$150.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. } CRIM # 65.
 WALTER SMART, Defendant. }

On this 2nd day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present and by counsel. Case called and all parties announce ready for trial and the following Jury, to-wit: C. I. Gulp, J. C. Daugherty, Arthur Ellis, D. A. Gorman, Heath Harrison, E. E. Rebbie, Robert Jenkins, John F. Jones, Wesley Jackson, Lester Jordan, L. M. Kelly, R. Montgomery, is empanelled and sworn to try cause and a true verdict render according to the testimony and evidence submitted. Plaintiff presents its evidence, testimony and rests. Defendant presents his case to the Jury, his evidence testimony and proof and rests. Argument of counsel is heard, whereupon the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case, and the Jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon the verdict herein. Now on this same day June 2, 1925, the Jury returns into Court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present. All parties are present as heretofore, thereupon the jury present to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, JUNE 2, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES)
VS.) No. 65.
WALTER SMART)

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empanelled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant Walter Smart Guilty as charged in the information.

J. C. Daugherty, Foreman.

ENDORSED FILED JUNE 2, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court, R.C.

The jury announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration of this cause. Thereupon the sentence of law if passed upon said defendant which is as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Walter Smart pay unto the United States \$50.00 same to run on execution.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) #187 Crim.
Bred Hallford, Defendant.)

On this 2nd day of June, 1925, upon motion of United States Attorney, former sentence against above defendant is hereby modified to read as follows: Fine of \$50.00 run on execution and twenty day jail sentence to run from May 13th., 1925.

ORDER RECALLING GRAND JURORS

On this 2nd day of June, 1925, upon motion of United States Attorney, it is ordered by the Court that the Grand Jury be excused from service June 5th., 1925 subject to call.

Court adjourned until June, 3, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, JUNE 2, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, June, 3, 1925, at 9 o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
J. M. Goldsberry, Esq., United States Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., United States Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.
H. E. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Thereupon public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OLD HONESTY OIL COMPANY, Plaintiff,
vs. No. 69 -Law
ISAAC SHULER, Defendant.

C I T A T I O N.

To Isaac Shuler, Mrs Clara B. Shuler, H. E. Clark and Edward W. Fisher:

Whereas, the plaintiff, Old Honesty Oil Company, secured a judgment in this Court on the 16th day of April, 1924, in the sum of approximately \$12,000.00, including costs and interest.

And, Whereas, the complainant has heretofore filed its supplemental petition in this cause in aid of execution and its application praying for an order directed to the said defendant, and others, to appear and answer such questions as may be propounded touching any property of the defendant subject to execution.

And, Whereas, in said application and supplemental petition it is alleged that the defendant has property fraudulently concealed and that execution has been issued and returned nulla bona.

Now, therefore, you the said Isaac Shuler, Mrs Clara B. Shuler, H. E. Clark and Edward W. Fisher are cited to appear on the 23rd day of June, 1925, and submit to examination and answer such questions as may be propounded to you by counsel touching any property or interest in property owned by the said Isaac Shuler, defendant, and which he has concealed or covered up to prevent execution from being issued thereon, and this you will no wise fail under the penalty of the law.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. No. 114 L.
ONE FORD SEDAN Serial #26-2 License #61 F517, Black #4-2

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 3rd. day of June, 1925, the United States having filed herein its application for a forfeiture of the property above described to-wit: One certain

Ford Sedan Serial #26-2 License #61 F517, Black #4-2 with spare tire, rear and front bumper.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 3, 1925

because there was deposited and concealed therein certain distilled spirits and alcoholic liquors, to-wit:

WHISKEY

upon which no Internal Revenue tax had been paid alleging and charging that the same to have been so deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such said tax, in violation of Section 6352, U. S. Compiled Statutes, Section 3450 U. S. Revised Statutes,

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 6th day of July, 1925, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended same may appear and show cause, if any they have, why a forfeiture in said plaintiff of said property should not be granted.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to anyone known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

Filed June 3

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June 3, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court,

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

J. J. CAGLE, DEFENDANT.

Crim. # 50.

On this 3rd day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that above entitled case be dismissed.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

J. L. WISELL, Defendant.

Crim # 159

On this 3rd day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled case. Defendant is present in person is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to Count one, and not guilty to count two as charged in said information heretofore filed.

It is thereupon by the Court her considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant J. L. Wisell be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for a period of sixty (60) days from this date and that he pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$100.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

H. L. WALL, LEE WOODWARD,
AND JESS FRAILEY, Defendant.

CRIM # 95.

On this 3rd day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled case. Defendants present in person and enter the following pleas: Defendant Wall, enters plea of guilty to counts one and two and four, and not guilty as to count three. Defendant Lee Woodward enters plea of not guilty as to count one and guilty as to counts two, three and four. Defendant Jess Frailey enters plea of not guilty as to counts one, two, three and four, and case passed as to defendant Jess Frailey.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, JUNE 3, 1925.

UNITED STATES Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim #106
 H. L. Wall, Lee Woodward and)
 Jess Frailey, Defendants.)

On this 3rd. day of June, 1925 Comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendants present in person and without counsel, arraigned and enter pleas as follows: Defendant Wall, enters plea of guilty to count one and two, and not guilty as to counts three and four. Defendant Jess Frailey enters plea of not guilty as to counts one, two, three and four and case is passed as to Jess Frailey. Defendant Lee Woodward enters plea of not guilty as to count one and four, and guilty as to count two and three.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) CRIM #93
 H. L. WALL, Defendant.)

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant H. L. Wall, for the crime he committed as charged in the first count, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of two (2) years from date of delivery and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$1,000.00 and further stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law, and it is further

CONSIDERED, Ordered and adjudged as the sentence of the law, by the court pronounced, that the defendant, H. L. Wall, for the crime he committed as charged in the second count in the indictment be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary, at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of One (1) year from date of delivery and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$200.00 and further stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law, and it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged, as the sentence of the law, by the court pronounced, that the said defendant, H. L. Wall, for the crime by him committed as charged in the fourth count in the indictment, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$200.00 and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law, and it is further,

Ordered that said sentence of confinement shall run concurrently and it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant H. L. Wall unto the warden of the said Federal Penitentiary, at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court, at my office in Tulsa, in said District, this 3rd day of June, A. D. 1925.

((SEAL))

H. P. Warfield, Clerk
 By H. W. James, Deputy.

UNITED STATES, PLAINTIFF.)
 vs.) Crim 191
 W. H. COCHRAN, Defendant.)

On this 3rd. day of June, 1925 comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said information heretofore filed.

IT IS THEREUPON, by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant W. H. Cochran, pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$25.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, JUNE 3, 1925

UNITED STATES Plaintiff. }
 VS. } Crim #106
 H. L. HALL. DEFENDANT, }

On this 3rd. day of June, 1925, judgment and sentence in above entitled cause was passed. Count One is dismissed, and upon count two as charged in said indictment defendant is given one year in Federal Penitentiary and fined \$100.00. Counts three and four in said indictment is here by dismissed. Sentence in cause is to run concurrently with sentence in case No. 93 Crim.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant H. L. Hall, for the crimes by him committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary, at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of One (1) year from date of delivery and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$100.00 and in default thereof, further stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law, and it is further

Ordered that said sentence of confinement and jail run concurrently with sentence of confinement in case No. 93 Crim said sentence imposed June 3, 1925.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the said Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

UNITED STATES PLAINTIFF. }
 VS. } Crim # 108
 OSCAR MORSE AND TOMMY CLYNE Defendant. }

On this 3rd. day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney. Defendants present in person and by counsel. W. E. Foreman appears as counsel for Oscar Morse, and Franklin B. Griggs as counsel for Tommy Glyne. Thereupon defendants present motion to quash, said motion is hereby overruled to the benefit of defendants except and said exceptions are hereby allowed. Thereupon defendants file demurrer hereto and said demurrer is present to the court, arguments of counsel are heard thereon, and the Court being duly advised in the premises, it is ordered that said demurrer be and the same is hereby overruled and exceptions allowed said defendants. Defendant, Tommy Glyne pleads in the name of Edward Mitchell, same being his true name. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit: John Foreman, Lester Jordan, F. L. McFall, C. W. Morgan, Max Randall, E. B. Swallen, J. L. Schell, G. P. Abbott, C. L. Battle, Will Bartel, Kenneth Beall, A. W. Brink are duly empanelled and sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render. Counsel for plaintiff presents its case, counsel for defendants waive, thereupon the Court instruct the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on said verdict. Now on this same day, June 3, 1925, the jury returns into Court in charge of a sworn bailiff, and upon being called each answer and are present. All parties are present as heretofore, there upon the Jury presents to the Court their verdict, which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA }
 VS. } No. 108
 OSCAR MORSE AND TOMMY CLYNE, }
 (Pleading as Edward Mitchell) }
 VERDICT.

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empanelled and sworn upon our oaths find, we are unable to agree as to count one.

We further find the defendants guilty as charged in the second count of the indictment.

We further find the defendants guilty as charged in the third count of the indictment.

M. W. Randall, Foreman.
 ENDORSED Filed June 3, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. R. C.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

WARRANT APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, JUNE 3, 1925

The Jury announcing this to be their verdict are excused from further consideration of this cause. Thereupon the sentence of law is passed upon said defendants which is as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Oscar Morris, for the crime by him committed as charged in 3rd count in the indictment be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma and confined for a period of six months from this date, and that pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$500.00 on count 3, and stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Tommy Glynn, true name Edward Mitchell, for the crime by him committed as charged in the third count in the indictment be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma and confined for the period of six months from this date and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$500.00 on count two and stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs.
JOHN SHERWOOD AND JOHN SHERKLEY, Defendant.
#154 CRIM.

On this 3rd day of June, 1925, Plaintiff, in above entitled cause appears by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney. Defendant appears in person and by counsel, W. H. Hickey, for John Sherkley. John Sherwood, other defendant in above entitled cause, not having been apprehended is not present. Defendant, John Sherkley arraigned and enters plea of not guilty, as charged in indictment, and defendant John Sherkley moves for continuance of this cause, arguments of counsel are heard thereon and the court being duly advised in the premises it is ordered that said motion for continuance be overruled and same is overruled. Both parties announce ready for trial and the following jury is sworn: Percy S. Ambrose, Fred Adams, A. J. Baker, Kenneth Beall, Lee Barton, D. E. Chaffee, Mack Child, A. S. Culp, J. C. Daugherty, Ellis Arthur, Neal Harrison, E. E. Hebble, are duly empanelled and sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render. Counsel for plaintiff states its case to the jury, presents its evidence, proof and testimony and rests. The defendant states his case to the jury presents his evidence testimony and rests.

Now at this time cause is continued until 9: o'clock A.M. Thursday June, 4, 1925.

Court adjourned until Thursday June, 4, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JUNE 4, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, at 9: o'clock A.M. Thurs- day, June, 4, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer,	Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq.,	Clerk of U. S. District Court.
John W. Goldsberry, Esq.,	United States District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq.,	United States Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq.,	Bailiff.
H. H. Davis, Esq.,	Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceed- ings were had and entered.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 4th. day of June, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that the following named attorneys are qualified for admission to the bar of this court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorneys are declared admitted to the bar of this court.

Bert Van Leuven, W. T. Cleeton, Jac. W. Tillman

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	CRIM # 184.
vs.			
JOHN GEORMLY,	Defendant.		

On this 4th day of June, 1925, cause comes on for further trial, and all parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore, and the jury each and every member present. Both plaintiff and defendant presents further evidence and testimony. Arguments of counsel are heard at this time and thereafter the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case, whereupon the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on the verdict herein. Now, on this same day, to-wit 4th day of June, 1925 the jury returns in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present. All parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES	}	No. 184.
vs.		
JOHN GEORMLY.		

We, the Jury in the above entitled cause, duly empanelled and sworn upon our oaths, find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment.

J. C. Daugherty, Foreman.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 4, 1925, H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court. R. C.

The jury announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration of this cause. Sentence is deferred to June 5th, 1925.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	CRIM # 71.
vs.			
J. H. HICKS,	Defendant.		

On this 4th day of June, 1925, plaintiff, in above entitled cause, appears by W. L. Chiffey, Esq., District Attorney. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, defendant is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty. All parties announces ready for trial and the following jury to-wit: C. T. Abbott, A. W. Frank, John F. Jones, Wesley Jackson, Lester Jordon, F. L. McFall, C. Montgomery, C. W. Morgan, W. J. Pattison, Max Rendell, E. B. Swallum, J. E. Smith, is duly empanelled and sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render. Counsel for plaintiff states its case to the Jury, presents its evidence testimony and proof and rests, and Defendant states his case to the jury, presents his evidence testimony

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL PRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, June, 4, 1925.

and proof and rests, whereupon arguments of counsel are heard and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on said verdict. On this same day, June, 4th, 1925, the jury having reached a verdict return into Court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present, and all parties are present as heretofore. Thereupon the Jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES)
VS.) No. 71.
J. H. HICKS.)

We, the Jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant not guilty as charged in the indictment.

M. M. Brink, Foreman.

ENDORSED: Filed June 4, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

The Jury announcing t is to be their verdict are excused from further consideration of the cause.

UNITED STATES, PLAINTIFF.)
VS.) CRIM # 95.
H. L. HALL, LEE WOODWARD AND)
JESS FRILEY, Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that sentence of Lee Woodward, one of the defendants in above entitled cause be deferred to June, 8th., 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Crim # 106
H. L. HALL, LEE WOODWARD AND)
JESS FRILEY, Defendants.)

Now on this 4th day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that sentence of Lee Woodward, one of the defendants in above entitled cause be deferred until June 8th., 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 115 Law.
ONE DODGE AUTOMOBILE.)
MOTOR #263168 Serial #A 190661.)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 4th day of June, 1925, the United States having filed herein its application for forfeiture of the property above described to-wit: one certain

1925 DODGE ROADSTER, MOTOR 263168, SERIAL #A 190661, LICENSE 277648 - 1925

because there was deposited and concealed therein certain distilled spirits and alcoholic liquors, to-wit:

ABOUT ONE PINT OF CORN WHUSKEY

Upon which no internal Revenue tax has been paid, alleging and charging the

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, JUNE 4, 1925.

same to have been so deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such said tax, in violation of Section 6352 U. S. Compiled Statutes Section 3450 U. S. Revised Statutes.

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 6th day of July 1925, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended therewith may appear and show cause, if any they have, why a forfeiture to said Plaintiff of said property should not be granted.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to anyone known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 4, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

No. 116 -Law.

ONE HARMON, AUTOMOBILE
MOTOR #7200548

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 4th day of June, 1925, The United States having filed herein its application for forfeiture of the property above described, to-wit: One certain

HARMON TOURING CAR MOTOR #7200548, SEVEN PASSENGER
LICENSE #544-592 OKLAHOMA 1925.

because there was deposited and concealed certain distilled spirits and alcoholic liquors, to-wit:

ONE GALLON OF CHOCATAW BEER

which was then and there a fermented beverage containing more than one half of one percentum of alcohol measured by volume and capable and fit for use as a beverage, upon which no Internal Revenue Tax has been paid, alleging and showing the same to have been so deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such said tax, in violation of Section 6352, U. S. Compiled Statutes, Section 3450 U. S. Revised Statutes.

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 6th day of July, 1925, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended therewith may appear and show cause if any they have, why a forfeiture to said Plaintiff of said property should not be granted.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to anyone known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

June 4, 1925

120 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLAHOMA. THURSDAY, JUNE 4, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

ONE FORD AUTOMOBILE. MOTOR #10003714, LICENSE #577873.

No. 117 Law.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 4 day of June, 1925, the United States having filed herein its application for forfeiture of the property above described, to-wit: One certain

1924 FORD TOURING CAR, MOTOR #10003714, LICENSE #577873 OKLAHOMA 1925.

because there was deposited and concealed therein certain distilled spirits and alcoholic liquors, to-wit:

ABOUT ONE HALF GALLON FRUIT JAR OF RHODETAW BEER.

being then and there a fermented preparation containing more than one-half of one percentum of alcohol measured by volume and capable and fit for use as a beverage, upon which no internal Revenue tax has been paid, alleging and charging the same to have been so deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such said tax, in violation of Section 6352, U. S. Compiled Statutes, Section 3450 U. S. Revised Statutes.

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 6th day of July, 1925, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended therewith may appear and show cause, if any they have, why a forfeiture to said plaintiff of said property should not be granted.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to anyone known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 4, 1925. H. P. Newfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L. W. J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

ONE FORD, AUTOMOBILE MOTOR #11209850, LICENSE # 402 F577.

No. 118 Law.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 4th day of June, 1925, The United States having filed herein its application for forfeiture of the property above described, to-wit: One certain

1925 FORD COUPE, MOTOR #11209850, LICENSE # 402 F 577

because there was deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such tax, in violation of Section 6352 U. S. Compiled Statutes, Section 3450, U. S. Revised Statutes

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 6th day of July, 1925, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended therewith may appear and show cause, if any they have, why a forfeiture to said Plaintiff of said property should not be granted.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JUNE 4, 1925.

It is further order that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to anyone known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 4, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L. W. J.

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION

On this 4th day of June, 1925, Comes the United States Attorney and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest for said defendant Mrs. B. L. Blackwell and that the bond of said defendant be fixed at \$2500.00.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

VS.

No. 92 CRIM

PAUL J. COWANS,

Now on this 4th day of June, A. D. 1925, on motion of the United States Attorney, it is by the Court.

ORDERED THAT the following named federal prisoner now confined in the Osage County Jail at Pawhuska, Oklahoma, be transported by the United States Marshal to the Tulsa County Jail at Tulsa Oklahoma, and there confined until the further order of this Court, or released by due process of law:

Paul J. Cowans.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

CRIM # 73.

MRS LEO CHASE, Defendant.

On this 4th day of June, 1925, Plaintiff, in above entitled cause, appears by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney. Defendant present in person and by counsel. Defendant is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty. Thereupon defendants present motion to suppress, which is by the court order ed overruled. Thereupon defendants file motion to quash indictment herein, arguments of counsel are heard, thereupon the Court being duly advised in the premises, it is ordered that said motion to quash be and the same is overruled. All parties came on ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit: C. L. Battle, A. J. Baker, Kenneth Beall, Will Bartley, Lee Burden, C. I. Culp, Arthur Ellis, Hobbie, E. E., Lester Jordan, C. J. Montgomery, Pattison, W. J., Eugene Sharpe, is accepted, sworn to try cause and a true verdict render. Counsel for plaintiff and defendant state their respective case to the jury and thereafter the plaintiff presents its evidence, testimony and proof and rests, Defendant presents her testimony, evidence and proof and rests. Cause is submitted to the jury without argument and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case, whereupon the jury retires in charge of a sworn verdict to deliberate on the verdict herein.

Now on this same day, to-wit June 4, 1925, the jury returns into Court in charge of a sworn verdict, and upon being called each answer and are present. All parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JUNE 4, 1925

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES)
VS.) No. 73 Crim.
MRS LEO CHASE.)

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oaths, find the defendant guilty of second count as charged in the indictment.

E. C. Hobbie, Foreman.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 4, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
R. C.

The jury announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration of this cause, and sentence in above entitled cause is deferred till June, 5, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs.) CRIM #72
BILL HILL, Defendant }

Comes now W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel. Thereupon defendant presents motion to quash, arguments of counsel are heard thereon, and the Court being duly advised in the premises it is ordered that said motion to quash be and the same is overruled, whereupon copy of search warrant is filed herein. Defendant is arraigned and enters plea of guilty, and judgment and sentence is passed which is in words and figures as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Bill Hill, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary, at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of One (1) year and One (1) day from date of delivery, and it is further

Ordered that the defendant Bill Hill for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred (\$200.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed to the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law, and it is further

ORDERED by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs.) CRIM # 110
LEO NICHOLS, Defendant. }

Comes now W. L. Coffey, Asst. United States Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to counts one and two as charged in indictment heretofore filed herein. Judgment and sentence is passed which is in words and figures as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Leo Nichols, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary, at Leavenworth Kansas and confined for a term of one (1) year and One (1) day from date of delivery, and it is further

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

ORDERED that the defendant Leo Nichols, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed to the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Federal Penitentiary, at Leavenworth Kansas without delay.

UNITED STATES,	PLAINTIFF.	}	
	VS.	}	
MRS B. L. BLACKWELL,	Defendant.	}	# 192 Crim.

Come now W. L. Coffey, Asst. United States Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause, Defendant is present in person and by counsel and enters plea of guilty to counts one and two as charged in information heretofore filed. Judgment and sentence is passed which is in words and figures as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Mrs. B. L. Blackwell, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, on count one and confined for a period of 20 days from May 11, 1925 and that she pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$50.00 on count two and stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	
	VS.	}	
CARL LAWYER, AND FRANK CHARLEY,	Defendant.	}	Crim #77.

On this 4th day of June, 1925, it is ordered that above entitled cause be continued until June 5th., 1925.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	
	VS.	}	
ZOLA Lett.	Defendant.	}	CRIM # 79

On this 4th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty and cause is continued until June 5th, 1925 for trial.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	
	VS.	}	
M. W. COLEMAN,	Defendant.	}	CRIM #76

On this 4th day of June, plaintiff in above entitled cause is represented by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U.S. Attorney. Defendant is present in person and by counsel. Defendant is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit: Percy Ambrose, Fred Adams, C. T. Abbott, A. W. Brink, Mack Gehle, J. C. Daugherty, Nash Harrison, John E. Jones, Lester Jordan, T. L. McFall, C. W. Morgan, E. B. Swallum, empanelled and sworn to true cause and a true verdict render Plaintiff states its case to the Jury, presents its testimony, evidence and proof and rests. Defendant presents his case to the Jury, and thereafter presents his testimony, evidence and proof and rests. Cause is submitted to the jury without arguments, and the court instructs the jury

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JUNE 4, 1925.

as to the law in the case, whereupon the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on their verdict herein.

Now on this same day, June 4th., 1925, the Jury returns into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present. All parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the Jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES,)
VS.) No. 76 CRIM.
Mr. W. L. Coffey and)
Frankie Charles,)

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment. We further find the defendant not guilty as charged in the second count of the indictment.

C. W. Morgan Foreman.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 4, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
R.C.

The jury announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration of this cause.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 75 Crim.
G. L. BARNES, Defendant.)

On this 4th day of June, 1925, Plaintiff in above entitled cause is represented by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney. Defendant present in person and by counsel and is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty. Thereupon defendant presents motion to suppress, which is by the Court ordered overruled. Whereupon all parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit; J. L. Schell, Eugene Sharp, Ambrose Percy, Fred Adams, G. L. Abbott, G. L. Battle, J. J. Baker, Kenneth Beall, Will Bartley, A. W. Brink, Lee Burden and D. E. Chaffar, are empaneled and sworn to try cause and a true verdict render. Opening statements by counsel is waived; whereupon plaintiff presents its testimony evidence and proof and rests and the defendant presents its testimony, evidence and proof and rests. Cause is submitted to the Jury without argument of counsel and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on their verdict herein.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Crim # 78.
ART CODY AND)
H. C. DAUGHERTY, Defendant.)

On this 4th day of June, 1925, the plaintiff in above entitled cause is represented by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney. Defendants present in person and by counsel are arraigned and enter pleas of not guilty as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein, and said defendants file demurrer herein. Thereupon said demurrer is presented to said Court, arguments of counsel are heard thereon, and the Court being duly advised in the premises, it is ordered that said demurrer be and the same is hereby overruled. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit; Mack Conle, G. L. Coffey, H. C. Daugherty, Arthur Ellis, E. E. Hobble, John E. Jones, Lester Jordan, S. L. McFall, G. E. Montgomery, C. W. Morgan, W. J. Pattison, Max Randall, is empaneled and sworn to try cause and a true verdict render. Plaintiff presents its case to the Jury, and defendant presents his case to the Jury. Plaintiff presents its testimony, evidence and proof and defendant presents their testimony and evidence and proof and rest and at this time the hour for adjournment of court having arrived, the Court admonishes the jury herein and further hearing is continued until June 5, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

THURSDAY JUNE 4, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

Crim # 80.

Charlie Cowbert. Defendant.

On this 4th day of June, 1925, Plaintiff is represented by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney. Defendant is present in person and by counsel and is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty. Cause is continued until June 5th 1925 for trial.

Court adjourns until June 5th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, JUNE 5, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, June 5, 1925, at 9 o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
W. M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., United States Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.
H. H. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit;

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FRED H. KELLY AND CHARLES F. HOUGH, Plaintiffs.
vs. No. 3 Law.
MIDWEST AND GULF OIL CORPORATION, Defendant.

ORDER

Now on this 5 day of June, 1925, it appearing to the court that the above entitled action is at issue and ready for trial and that through inadvertence the same was omitted from the trial docket for the special April, 1925 term of this court, it is ordered that said case be, and the same is, set and assigned for trial on the present trial docket of this court for the 22 day of June, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 5, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court, H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

At Tulsa, On the 5th day of June, 1925, the following, among other proceedings were had to-wit:

UNITED STATES VS. MRS. LEO CHASE. No. 73. Indicted for possession intoxicating liquor in Indian Country.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Mrs. Leo Chase, be imprisoned in the Hudson County Penitentiary Seesucus, N. J. and confined for the period of One (1) year and One (1) day from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Federal Penitentiary at Seesucus, N. J. without delay.

In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of said Court at Tulsa, in said District, this 5th day of June, 1925.

H.P. Warfield, Clerk
By H. W. James, Deputy.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY JUNE, 5, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff
 vs.
 JOHN GHERMLEY, Defendant. }
 CRIM # 73.

On this 5th day of June, 1925, the defendant in above entitled cause filed motion for new trial, whereupon it is by the Court ordered that said motion be and the same is hereby overruled, and exceptions allowed the defendant. At this time judgment and sentence is passed which is in words and figures as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant John Ghermley, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas and confined for a period of Two (2) years from the date of delivery and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$300.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Federal Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
 vs.
 MRS G. L. BAENES, Defendant. }
 No. 154 CRIM.

On this 5th day of June, 1925, the Jury returns into Court in charge of a sworn bailiff, and report to the Court that they are unable to agree upon a verdict in the above entitled cause. Thereupon it is by the Court ordered a mistrial and the jury is hereby discharged.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 5th day of June, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that J. Van Long, is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said J. Van Long is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

J. Van Long.

United States, Plaintiff.
 vs.
 Jesse Jackson, Defendant. }
 # 124 CRIM.

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U.S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said information. At this time, judgment and sentence is by the Court passed, which is in words and figures as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Jesse Jackson, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary, at Leavenworth, Kansas and confined for the term of Three (3) years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law, and it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged as the sentence of the law, by the Court pronounced, that the said defendant Jesse Jackson for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of Three (3) years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY JUNE, 5, 1925.

CONSIDERED, ordered and adjudged as the sentence of the law, by the Court pronounced, that the said defendant Jesse Jackson, for the crime by him committed as charged in the third count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of Three (3) years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law, and it is further

Ordered that said sentences of confinement shall run concurrently, and it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant Jesse Jackson, unto the Warden of the said Federal Penitentiary, at Leavenworth, Kansas without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. # 194
 Mrs Vera Jones, Defendant. }

On this 5th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above cause be, and same is hereby, stricken from present assignment and continued for the term.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. # CRIM #190
 HERMAN CLANTON, Defendant. }

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes United States Attorney, representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, and is arraigned and enters plea of guilty and is fined \$50.00 on execution.

ORDER TO FILE INFORMATION

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the sum of \$2500.00.

Crim # 193	United States	Vs.	Jake McComb and John McComb,
Crim # 194	"	"	Douglas Jeffrey, Floyd R. Baker
			and Bob Mason.
Crim #195	"	"	L. H. Jones,
Crim " 196	"	"	George Magness.
Crim " 197	"	"	George Simmons.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. Crim #193
 Jake McComb and John McComb Defendants. }

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W.L. Coffey, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendants is present in person and are arraigned and enter pleas of guilty as charged. It is by the Court ordered that each defendant be fined \$25.00 on execution.

UNITED STATES Plaintiff. }
 vs. Crim #194
 DOUGLAS JEFFREY, Defendant. }

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and is arraigned and enters plea of guilty. It is thereupon ordered by the Court that said defendant be fined \$50.00 on execution.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, JUNE 5, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

Crim # 195.

L. H. JONES, Defendant.

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W.L. Coffey, Asst. U.S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person and arraigned and enters plea of guilty to count one in indictment heretofore filed and not guilty as to count two. Judgment and sentence is by the Court passed which is in words and figures as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant L. H. Jones, pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law. And it is

Further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

Crim #196

GEORGE MAGNESS, Defendant.

On this 5th day of June, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, is arraigned and enters plea of Guilty as charged in said information.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant George Magness pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$50.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

UNITED STATES Plaintiff.

vs.

Crim # 197

J. R. SIMMONS, Defendant.

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said information heretofore filed herein. And it is by the Court ordered that said defendant pay a fine of \$25.00 by next week.

ORDER FOR TAILSMAN.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER FOR TAILSMAN

On this 5th day of June, A. D. 1925, it appearing to the Court that the regular Petit Jury panel is insufficient for the trial of pending cases and that a special Venire Facias should issue, it is ordered by the Court, that the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, summon 5 Tailsmen from the bystanders to serve as Petit Jurors at the Special April, 1925 Term of said Court to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

It is further ordered by the Court, that a writ of Venire Facias be issued out of this Court, in due form, as provided by law, commanding the Marshal to summon said tailsmen, summoned as aforesaid, to be and appear forthwith before said court, to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, forthwith, then and there to serve as Petit Jurors of the United States in and for said District at the Special April, 1925 Term of said Court.

F. E. Kennamer.
District Judge.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. JUNE 5, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs. }
ONE FORD TOURING, Automobile }
1925 Model, Motor #11749449 }

No. 119 Law.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

Now on this 5 day of June, 1925, The United States having filed its application for a forfeiture of the property above described to-wit: one certain

FORD TOURING, 1925 MODEL Automobile
MOTOR # 11749449

because there was deposited and concealed therein certain distilled spirits and alcoholic liquors, to-wit:

15 Gallons of Corn Whiskey.

upon which no Internal Revenue tax had been paid, alleging and charging the same to have been deposited and concealed therein with intent to defraud the United States of such said tax, in violation of Section 6342 U. S. Compiled Statutes, Section 8450 U. S. Revised Statutes.

It is therefore ordered that said matter be and is hereby set down for hearing on the 6th day of July, 1925, at which time anyone interested in said property or apprehended therein may appear and show cause, if any they have, why a forfeiture to said plaintiff of said property should not be granted.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be served upon or mailed to anyone known to be interested in said property or apprehended therewith.

Given under my hand the day and date first above written.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ORDER EXCUSING PETIT JURORS FOR TERM

On this 5th day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that D. A. Gorman, Noah Harrison, C. H. Culp, Mack Cobb, L. F. McFall, W. J. Pattison, John F. Jones, A. L. Thomas, P. H. Lane and C. T. Abbott, heretofore empaneled, be and they are hereby excused from service for the term.

ORDER EXCUSING PETIT JURORS TO JUNE 8, 1925

On this 5th day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that Fred E Adams, Max Randall and C. R. Montgomery, petit jurors heretofore empaneled, be excused until Monday June, 8, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs. }
A. T. FINTON. Defendant. }

CRIM # 81.

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W.L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing the plaintiff in above entitled case. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged. Judgment and sentence is passed by the Court which is in words and figures as follows:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 SPECIAL TERM, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, JUNE, 5, 1925.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant, A. F. Finton be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for a period of sixty (60) days from this date, and that he pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$100.00 and stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff,
 vs.
 ANTY KOCOY, Defendant. } CRIM # 62.

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said information heretofore filed herein. And at this time is by the Court ordered that sentence in above entitled case be deferred.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff,
 vs.
 E. L. Drake, Defendant. } Crim # 63.

On this 5th day of June, 1925, it is ordered that the above entitled case be stricken from the present assignment.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff,
 vs.
 ART CODY AND H. C. DAUGHERTY, Defendants. } Crim # 76

On this 5th day of June, 1925, this cause comes on for further hearing and all parties are present in person and by counsel heretofore, and the jury is each and every member present. Plaintiff presents further testimony and proof and reads. And the defendant presents further testimony and proof and reads. Argument of counsel is heard whereupon the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on their verdict herein. Now, on this same day, June, 5th, 1925, the jury returns into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present. All parties are present and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs. ART CODY & H. C. DAUGHERTY. No. 76.

VERDICT

We, the jury, in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oaths find the defendant Art Cody, guilty as charged in the first count of the indictment, and the defendant H. C. Daugherty, guilty as charged in the second count of the indictment, and defendant Daugherty not guilty as to the first count.

H. C. Daugherty Foreman.
 ENDORSER: Filed June, 5, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
 R. C.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

The jury in above entitled cause, announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration of this cause. Thereupon the sentence of law is passed upon said defendant which is in words and figures as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Art Cody be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for a term of sixty days from this date and that he pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$100.00 and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

It is further ordered that Art Cody, H. C. Daugherty, Goldie Brooks and Edith Alexander each be held for Grand Jury on perjury and that their bonds be fixed at \$2000.00 each.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

Crim # 77

Carl Lawyer, and
Frankie Charlie Defendants.

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendants present in person and by counsel, and said defendants are arraigned and enter pleas of not guilty. Case is called and all parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit: Percy Andrese, Fred Adams C. L. Battle, A. J. Baker, Kenneth Basil Will Bartley, A. W. Brink, Lee Burden, D. E. Chaffer, Wesley Jackson, R. B. Swanson Eugene Sharp, is empaneled and sworn to try cause and a true verdict render. Opening statements of Counsel is made, whereupon the plaintiff presents its testimony, evidence and proof and rests thereafter the defendant presents their testimony, evidence and proof and rests. Arguments of counsel are heard thereafter the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case, whereupon the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on their verdict herein. On this same day, June, 5, 1925, the Jury returns into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and states to the Court they are unable to agree upon a verdict in said cause, whereupon the Court orders a mistrial and orders case be retried June 10th, 1925. The Jury is therefore ordered excused from further consideration in said cause.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

Crim # 80.

Charles Cowser, Defendant.

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel is arraigned, waives reading of indictment and enters plea of not guilty. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit: C. F. Abbett, Mack Cobb C. I. Culp, Arthur Ellis, E. E. Hebble, John B. Jones, Lester Jordan, Phillip Loda, F. L. McFall, C. R. Montgomery, C. N. Morgan, W. E. Wallace, is empaneled and sworn to try cause and a true verdict render. Counsel make their opening statements, whereupon the plaintiff presents its testimony, evidence and proof and rests, thereafter the defendant presents his testimony, evidence and rests. Closing arguments of counsel are heard thereafter the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case, whereupon the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. Now on the same day, June, 5, 1925, the jury returns into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM Tulsa, Oklahoma. Friday June, 5, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
vs.) No. 80
CHARLES COWSERT,)
VERDICT.

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oaths, find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment.

We further find the defend nt guilty as charged in the second count of the indictment.

P. N. Landa, Foreman.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 5, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court R. C.

The Jury announcing this to be their true verdict in the above entitled cause, are excused from further consideration of said cause. There upon the Court orders sentence be deferred until June, 6th., 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Grim #84.
LELA NOLAN, Defendant)

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty. It is ordered by the Court that cause be continued until June 6th, 1925.

UNITED STATE, Plaintiff.)
vs.) GRIM # 86.
H. RANDOLPH, Defendant.)

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel and is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty as charged herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant H. Randolph pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Three hundred (\$300.00) Dollars and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES Plaintiff.)
vs.) Grim # 90.
GEOGE RAY, Defendant .)

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said information heretofore filed herein. Therefore, it is ordered that case be continued until June 6th, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, JUNE 5, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs. } CRIM # 91.
PRICE BOLES, Defendant }

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present by Counsel, J. V. Long. It is thereupon ordered by the Court that cause be and same is, hereby continued till June, 6th., 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs. } CRIM # 92.
PAUL J. COWANS, Defendant. }

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, arraigned and enters plea of not guilty. Defendant later comes into court and asks leave to withdraw his plea of not guilty and enter plea of guilty. Judgment and sentence is at this time passed by the court which is in words and figures as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Paul J. Cowans, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined 60 days said confinement to run from date placed in said jail, and that he pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$100.00 on execution, until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs. } CRIM. 97
J. B. MERRIT, Defendant. }

On this 5th day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that hearing on above entitled cause be continued until June, 6, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs. } CRIM 98.
T. S. MORELAND, Defendant. }

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel and enters plea of not guilty, whereupon cause is continued until June, 6, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs. } CRIM # 101.
T. B. MANN, Defendant. }

On this 5th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty as charged in information heretofore filed. Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that cause be continued until June 6, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, JUNE 5, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

ZOLA LETT, Defendant.

Crim. # 79

On this 5th day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that the hearing in above entitled cause be continued until June 6th., 1925.

Court adjourned until June, 6, 1925.

143 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925. TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, JUNE 6, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, June, 6, 1925. at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kammer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., United States District Attorney
E. W. Backus, Esq., Deputy U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.
H. H. Bavis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

AT Tulsa, on the 6th day of June, A. D. 1925, the following, among other proceedings were had to-wit:

UNITED STATES VS. CHARLES COWSEET, NO. 80 Criminal. Indicted for violation of sale to Indian and possession of Liquor to Indian Country.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Charles Cowseet for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of four (4) months from this date, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law, And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged as the sentence of the law, by the court pronounced that the said defendant, Charles Cowseet for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from this date, or, until released by due process of law, and it is further

Ordered that said sentence of confinement shall run concurrently and it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant, Charles Cowseet unto the Tulsa, County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court, in my office at Tulsa, in said District, on this 6th day of June, A. D. 1925.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk

By H.W. James, Deputy.

ENDORSED : Filed June 8, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk, U. S. District Court. By H. W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

At Tulsa, on the 6th day of June, 1925, the following among other proceedings were had to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA VS. MYRTLE NELSON, NO. 118 Criminal. Indicted for violation Harrison Anti Narcotic Act.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Myrtle Nelson, for the crime by her committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned in House of Correction, North Milwaukee, Wisconsin, place to be designated by the Department

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

SATURDAY, JUNE 6, 1925.

of Justice, and confined for the term of two (2) years from date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law, and it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged as the sentence of the law, by the Court pronounced that the said defendant Myrtle Nelson, for the crime by her committed as charged in the second count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the House of Correction, North Tulsa, Okla. place to be designated by the Department of Justice, and confined for the term of two (2) years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered that said sentences of confinement shall run concurrently And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of the said defendant Myrtle Nelson unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court, in my office at Tulsa, in said District this 6th day of June, A. D. 1925.

((SEAL))

H. P. Warfield, Clerk
By H. W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

AT Tulsa, on the 6th day of June, 1925, the following, among other proceedings were had to-wit:

UNITED STATES } No. 150
VS. } Indicted for possession intoxicating liquors
C. I. WELLS. } in Indian Country.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered ordered and adjudged that the defendant, C. I. Wells, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary, at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the period of one (1) year and One (1) day from the date of delivery or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Federal Penitentiary Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of said Court at Tulsa, in said District this 6th day of June, 1925.

((SEAL))

H. P. Warfield, Clerk
By H. W. J. Deputy.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
VS. } Grim # 79
ZOLA LETT, Defendant. }

On this 6th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause is by the Court ordered stricken from assignment:

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
VS. } Grim # 97
J. C. MERRITT, Defendant. }

On this 6th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause is by the Court ordered stricken from the assignment.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, JUNE 6, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim # 84.
 Lela Nolan, Defendant.)

On this 6th. day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. All parties present and announce ready for trial. Defendant present in person and by counsel and is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty. The following jury, to-wit; Percy S. Ambrose, C. L. Battle, A. J. Baker, Kenneth Beall, Will Bartley, A. W. Brink, Lee Burden, D. E. Chaffer, J. C. Daugherty, Asher Dreyfus, Arthur Ellis, E. E. Fehle, is empaneled and sworn to try cause and a true verdict render. Counsel make their opening statements, whereupon the plaintiff presents its testimony and evidence and rests, thereafter, the defendant presents her testimony and evidence and rests, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, cause is by the Court ordered dismissed.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) CRIM # 90.
 GEORGE RAY, Defendant.)

On this 6th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel as heretofore. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit: Wesley Jackson, Lester Jordon, C. W. Morgan, E. L. Swallow, Eugene Sharp, W. E. Wallace, Percy S. Ambrose, C. L. Battle, A. J. Baker, Kenneth Beall, Will Bartley, is empaneled and sworn to try cause and a true verdict render. Counsel make their opening statements, and the plaintiff presents its testimony its evidence and proof and rests, thereafter, the Defendant presents his testimony and proof and rests. Arguments of counsel are waived whereupon the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on their verdict herein. Now on this same day to-wit June 6, 1925, the Jury returns into Court in charge of a sworn bailiff, and upon being called each answer and are present in Court. All parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
 VS.) 80
 GEORGE RAY,)
 VERDICT.

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant guilty, as charged in the first count of indictment

We further find the defendant guilty on third count as charged in the second count of the indictment..

C. L. Battle, Foreman.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 6, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
 R. C.

The jury announcing this to be their true verdict in the above entitled cause are excused from further consideration of said cause. Thereupon count two was not submitted and is hereby dismissed. Defendants except to said verdict and said exceptions are allowed. Thereupon there is passed by the Court which is in words and figures as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant George Ray, for the crime by him committed as charged in the 3rd. count in the indictment be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of six (6) months, from date of delivery or until released by due process of law, And it is further

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, JUNE, 6, 1925.

Considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant George Ray, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment and that he make his fine into the United States, in the sum of \$100.00 and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. Crim. # 98.
T. S. MORELAND, Defendant.

On this 6th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit: A. W. Brink, Lee Burden, D. E. Chaffer, J. C. Daugherty, Asher Dwyer, Arthur Ellis, E. E. Hobbie, Wesley Jackson, Lester Jordan, C. W. Morgan, J. L. Schell, Eugene Sharp, is empaneled and sworn to try cause and a true verdict render. Plaintiff makes opening statement. Counsel for defendant waives opening statement, whereupon, Plaintiff presents its testimony and evidence and rests thereafter the defendant presents his testimony and proof and rests. The taking of evidence is closed and arguments of counsel is heard thereafter the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. Now on this same day the jury return into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present. All parties present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the jury presents to the Court the verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES,
vs. No. 98
T. S. MORELAND.

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment.

Eugene Sharp, Foreman.

ENDORSED: Filed, June, 6, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court. R. C.

The jury announcing this to be their true verdict in the above entitled cause are excused from further consideration of said cause. Judgment and sentence is passed upon said defendant, and 30 days allowed said defendant to file Bill of Exceptions.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant T. S. Moreland, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth Kansas and confined for a period of two (2) years from date of delivery or, until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs. Crim #91.
PRICE BOLES, Defendant.

On this 6th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled case be continued until June 8th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, JUNE 6, 1925.

United States, Plaintiff. }
vs. } CRIM #101.
T. E. Mann, Defendant. }

On this 6th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled case be stricken from the assignment.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs. } Crim #109.
STERLING MORGAN, Defendant. }

On this the 6th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person and by counsel. Defendant is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Sterling Morgan be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma and confined for a period of sixty (60) days from this date and that he pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of \$100.00 and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

ORDER EXCUSING PETIT JURORS.

On this 6th day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that Arthur Ellis, A. W. Brink, J. C. Daugherty, J. B. Carson, four petit jurors heretofore empaneled be and they are hereby are excused from service for the term.

Court adjourned until June, 8, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, JUNE 8, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, June, 8th., 1925,
at 9 o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., United States Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., United States Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.
H. H. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER EXCUSING PETIT JURORS FOR THE TERM

On this 6th day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that J. B. Carson, W. E. Pattison, Asher Dryfas and Max Rendell, four of the petit jurors heretofore empaneled be and they are excused from further service for the term.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.. Plaintiff. }
vs. } No. 49 Law.
SOUTHERN SURETY COMPANY, a corporation }
et al., } Defendant.

O R D E R

Now, on this 8th day of June, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard upon the application of the defendants, for additional time within which to plead herein, and it appearing to the Court that there is good cause for allowing said motion.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the time of the defendants to plead to the petition herein be, and the same is extended to the 15th day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June 8, 1925., H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court
By L.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES,
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff. }
vs. } No. 50 Law
SOUTHERN SURETY COMPANY, a }
Corporation, et al. } Defendant.

O R D E R

Now, on this 8th day of June, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard upon the application of the defendants, for additional time within which to plead herein, and it appearing to the Court that there is good cause for allowing said motion,

IT IS THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the time of the defendants to plead to the petition herein be, and the same is extended to the 15th day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June 8, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY JUNE 8, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PLAINTIFF.
VS.
SOUTHERN SURETY COMPANY, a corporation, et al. Defendant.
No. 48 Law.

ORDER

Now, on this 8th day of June, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard upon the application of the defendants, for additional time within which to plead herein, and it appearing to the Court that there is good cause for allowing said motion.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the time of the defendants, to plead to the petition herein be, and the same is extended to the 15th day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June 8, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L. W. J.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs.
FRANK SEARS, Defendant.
CRIM # 105

On this 8th day of June, 1925, the above entitled cause is ordered stricken from the assignment.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs.
FRANK CANTLEY AND Archie Horton, Defendants.
Crim. # 105

On this 8th day of June, 1925, comes W.L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendants each present in person and enter pleas of guilty to charges one and two as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendants, Archie Horton for the crime by him committed, as charged in the first count of the indictment, that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Fifty Dollars (\$50.00) and in default thereof, stand committed in the Tulsa County Jail until said fine is paid, or until he has been released under due process of law.

It is further Considered, Ordered, and Adjudged that the defendant Archie Horton for the crime by him committed, as charged in the Second Count of the Indictment, that he pay a fine unto the United States, in the sum of Fifty Dollars, (\$50.00) and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

And it is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail without delay.

COMMITMENT OF FRANK CANTLEY

It is therefore by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Frank Cantley for the crime by him committed, as charged in the First Count of the Indictment, that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$150.00) and in default thereof, stand committed in the Tulsa County Jail until said fine is paid, or until he has been released under due process of law.

And it is further ordered, Considered and adjudged, that the defendant Frank Cantley, for the crime by him committed, as charged in the Second Count of the Indictment, that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$150.00) and in default thereof

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL PRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, JUNE 8, 1925.

further stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law.

And it is further ordered by the Court that the Marshall deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail without delay.

BOND FORFEITURE

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

CRIM # 91.

PRICE BOLDS, Defendant.

ON this 8th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause is called for trial. Defendant was three times called in open court but answers not, whereupon Bondsmen for said defendant are three times called in open court but answer not, whereupon said bond is ordered forfeited, ~~and Scife Facias ordered issued for said bondsmen. awarded. new bond filed at \$2,000.00~~

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

CRIM # 112.

C. O. GABRIEL, Defendant.

On this 8th day of June, 1925, plaintiff in above entitled cause, is represented by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, waives reading of information and enters plea of guilty. Whereupon, it is ordered by the Court that said sentence in above entitled cause be deferred and same is deferred until Vinite term of Court.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

CRIM # 117

Charlie Kelly, Defendant.

On this 8th day of June, 1925, plaintiff in above entitled cause, is represented by W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney. Defendant is present in person and by counsel is arraigned and enters plea of guilty

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Charley Kelly, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of two (2) years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law, AND it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged as the sentence of the law by the Court pronounced, that the said defendant Charley Kelly for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of one (1) year from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law, and it is further

Ordered that said sentence of confinement shall run consecutively and it is further

Ordered that the Marshall deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth Kansas, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

CRIM #121.

LOU BRINN, Defendant.

On this 8th day of June, 1925, the above entitled cause is ordered stricken from the assignment.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE 8, 1925.

United States, Plaintiff.)
vs.) CRIM 106.
Jess Frailley, Defendant.)

On this 8th day of June, 1925, it is by the court ordered that hearing in above entitled cause be and same is stricken from assignment.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ORDER FOR TAILSMAN

On this 8th day of June, A. D. 1925, it appearing to the Court that the regular Petit Jury panel is insufficient for the trial of pending cases and that a special Venire Facias should issue, it is ordered by the Court that the Marshal of the Northern District of Oklahoma, summon 8 Tailsmen from the bystanders to serve as Petit Jurors at the Special April, 1925 Term of said Court to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

It is further ordered by the Court that a writ of Venire Facias be issued out of this court, in due form, as provided by law, commanding the Marshal to summon said tailsmen, summoned as aforesaid, to be and appear forthwith before said court, to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, forthwith, then and there to serve as Petit Jurors of the United States in and for said District at the Special April, 1925 term of said Court.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

ADMISSION TO BAR.

On this 8th day of June, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that M. C. Spradling, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, and the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said M. C. Spradling is declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

M. C. Spradling.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff)
vs.) CRIM # 127
R. R. TERRELL, Defendant.)

On this 8th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to counts one and two of said indictment heretofore filed.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant R. R. Ferrill, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from this date, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by the process of law. And it is further

considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant R.R. Ferrill, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from this date, or, until released by due process of law, And it is further

Ordered that said sentence of confinement shall run concurrently, and it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of the said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, JUNE 8, 1925.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	CRIM # 119
vs.			
W. T. SPENCER,	Defendant.		

Comes now W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and enters plea of guilty to Counts one, two and three as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant W. T. Spencer, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of five (5) years from date of delivery or, until released by due process of law, And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant W. T. Spencer, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of (5) five years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant W. T. Spencer, for the crime by him committed as charged in the third count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for term of five (5) years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that said sentence of confinement shall run concurrently. And it is further

Ordered by the court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	CRIM #120
vs.			
GENE MILLS,	Defendant.		

On this 8th day of June, 1925, comes W.L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing Plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person and is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to counts one and two as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Gene Mills, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of Five (5) years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered ordered and adjudged that the defendant, Gene Mills for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of five (5) years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the court that said sentence of confinement shall run concurrently. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	CRIM # 119
vs.			
W. T. SPENCER,	Defendant.		

On this 8th day of June, 1925,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, JUNE 8, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) CRIM # 141.
 GENE MILLS, Defendant.)

Comes now W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing Plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty to counts one and two. Sentence to run concurrently with sentence in case 120.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant, G. E. Mills, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned for the term of five (5) years from date of delivery or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant G. E. Mills, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of five (5) years from date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that said sentence of confinement shall run concurrently with sentence of confinement imposed June 8th 1925, in case No. 120 Criminal, U. S. vs. Gene Mills. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of said Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth Kansas, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) CRIM # 122.
 CLIFF DREW, Defendant.)

On this 8th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, ASST. United States District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and arraigned and enters plea of guilty to counts one and two. Whereupon sentence is deferred two weeks.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) CRIM # 194.
 FLAVEL R. BAKER, Defendants.)
 BOB MASON,)

On this 8th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst., U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendants present in person and defendant Bob Mason arraigned and enters plea of guilty to information herein filed and is fined \$50.00 on execution, and defendant Flavel R. Baker, enters plea of guilty to information herein filed and is fine \$50.00 on execution.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) CRIM # 116
 LEO HORNER, Defendant.)

On this 8th day of June, 1925, comes W.L.Coffey, Asst. U.S. District Attorney, representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty. All parties announce ready for trial, and the following jury, to-wit; Percy S. Ambrose, Fred Adams, C. L. Beville, A. J. Baker, Kenneth Beall, Will Bartley, Lee Burden, D. E. Chaffer, P. H. Graham, Fred Cuthbert, Asher Dryfus, E. E. Hobbie, is empaneled and sworn to try cause and true verdict render. Opening statements made by plaintiff and waived by counsel for defendant there after plaintiff presents its testimony, evidence and proof and rests. The defendant presents his case to the Jury and thereafter presents his evidence, testimony and proof and rests. Closing statements of counsel waived, the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retired in

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

charged of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on their verdict herein. And now on this same day, to-wit: June 8th., 1925, the jury returns into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present, and all parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the Jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ()
vs.) No. 116
LEE HORNER.)
VERDICT.

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant, guilty, as charged in the indictment for possession.

We further find the defendant not guilty as charged in the second count of the indictment for purchase.

We further find the defendant guilty as charged in the third count of the indictment for sale.

P. H. Graham. Foreman.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 8, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
R. C.

The jury announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration of this cause. It is ordered by the Court that the sentence of law be, and same is hereby deferred, until later date.

UNITED STATES. Plaintiff.)
vs.) CRIM 104
ROOSEVELT SPENCER, and)
ARTHUR JONES, Defendants.)

On this 8th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendants present in person and by counsel and arraigned and enter pleas of not guilty. All parties announcing ready for trial, the following jury, to-wit: Wesley Jackson, C. E. Montgomery, A. R. Richards, Eugene Sharp, J. E. Schell, W. E. Wallace, John H. Wilson, Percy Ambrose, Fred Adams, C. L. Battle, A. J. Baker, Kenneth Bell, is empaneled and sworn to try cause and a true verdict render. Counsel for plaintiff and defendant make their opening statements and thereafter the plaintiff presents its testimony, evidence and proof and rests, thereupon the Defendant presents their testimony evidence and proof and rest. The taking of evidence is closed, arguments of counsel are heard thereafter the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. Now on this same day to-wit; June 8th, 1925, the jury returns into Court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present and all parties are present as heretofore. Thereupon the jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNIT D STATES ()
vs.) No. 104
ROOSEVELT SPENCER AND ARTHUR JONES.)

We the jury in the above ~~entitled~~ cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths find the defendants not guilty as charged in the indictment.

Fred E. Allen, Foreman

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE 8, 1925.

The jury announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration of this cause .

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
vs. } Crim. # 114
T. C. GRAMMER, Defendant. }

On this 8th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause, and the defendant is present in person and by counsel is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit; Will Bartley, Lew Burden, D. E. Chaffer, P. H. Crahan, Fred Cutburth, Asher Dryfus, Ed Dalton, A. N. Eby, I. B. Quinn, E. E. Hobbie, A. W. Lewman, Phil W. McMahon, empaneled and sworn to try cause and a true verdict render. Opening statements of counsel waived thereafter the plaintiff presents his testimony, evidence and proof and rests, defendant presents his testimony, evidence and proof and rests, whereupon the taking of evidence is waived and the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case, and the jury retire in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. Now on this same day to-wit; June 8th, 1925, the jury having reached a verdict return into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present, and all parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the Jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES }
VS. } No. 104
T. C. GRAMMER, }

We, the jury empaneled and sworn, in above entitled cause, upon our oaths find the defendants not guilty as charged in the indictment.

Lew Burden, Foreman

Court adjourned until June, 9th., 1925.

139 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL PRIL 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. TUESDAY, JUNE 9, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, at 9; O'clock A.M.

Tuesday, June 9th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.
Jno. M. Goldsberry, United States District Attorney
E. G. Beard, Esq., United States Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.
H. H. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER EXCUSING PETIT JURORS

On this 9th day of June, 1925, it is ordered, by the Court that Gentner Drummond and S. G. Calvert, two petit jurors be and they are excused from service for the term.

ORDER TO RELEASE ONE FORD CAR.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff.
vs. O. C. DODD. Defendant. No. _____

COURT ORDER.

Now on this day comes on this cause to be heard among other things, the defendant herein O. C. Dodd by his attorney of record I. F. Long having filed a MOTION in open Court praying the discharge of his bondmen and the release of the Ford Touring Car Engine No. 7567428 from further liability and from custody

And the Court having been well and sufficiently advised in the premises, finds that the Federal Grand Jury having convened and adjourned in Tulsa, Oklahoma, during the month of May 1925 and having considered the above styled cause submitted to them by the U. S. Attorney for the Northern Dist. of Okla. and having failed to indict said defendant, but reported a No. Bill, said bondmen is hereby discharged from any further liability, and said Ford Touring Car is hereby discharged.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. Dist. Judge for the Northern
Dist.

Court adjourned until June 10th, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

WEDNESDAY JUNE, 10, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9 o'clock A. M. Wednesday, June 10th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer,	Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq.,	Clerk of U. S. District Court.
Jno. M. Goldsberry,	United States Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq.,	United States Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton,	Bailiff.
H. H. Davis,	Biliff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

A. A. SUMTER,	Plaintiff.)	
	vs.)	
MIDLAND VALLEY RAILROAD)	No. 47 Law.
COMPANY, a corporation.)	
	Defendant.)	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now on this 10th day of June, 1925, comes the application of the plaintiff for dismissal of said cause at its costs, and the court being fully and sufficiently advised in the premises, it is

Ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby dismissed, without prejudice, at Plaintiffs costs.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

ENDORSED: File June, 10, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 10th day of June, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that D. M. Battenfield, W. M. Hall, T. L. Brown, Don Vickers and Roy Thompson are qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath prescribed by the Court is administered and said attorneys are declared admitted to the bar of this Court.

D. M. Battenfield, Don Vickers, W. M. Hall,
Roy Thompson, and T. L. Brown.

WALTER D. HINES, D. G. R. R.	PLAINTIFF.)	
	vs.)	# 62 Law.
U. S. JEFFRIES, Co. Treas.	Rogers Co.)	
	Defendant.)	

On this 8th day of June, it is by the Court ordered that the above entitled cause be stricken from the assignment and continued for the term.

St. L. S. F. Ry. Co.	Plaintiff.)	
	vs.)	# 63 Law.
JOE WIER, Co. Treas.	Ottawa Co.)	
	Defendant.)	

On this 8th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the above entitled cause be stricken from the assignment and continued for the term.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, JUNE 10, 1925

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) CRIM # 128.
 MAY FIELDS, Defendant.)

On this 10th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit; Percy Ambrose, Fred Adams, C. L. Battle, A. J. Baker, Kenneth Beall, Lee Burden, D. E. Chaffer, P. H. Chaham, Fred Cutburth, Ed Dalton, A. N. Eby and E.E. Hobbie, are empaneled and sworn to try cause and a true verdict render. Counsel for plaintiff and defendant state their respective cases to the jury, and thereafter the plaintiff presents its testimony, evidence and proof and rests and the defendant presents its testimony, evidence and proof and rests, the taking of evidence is closed and arguments of counsel being waived the court instruct the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. Now, on this 10th day of June, 1925, the jury return into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present and all parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 vs.) No. 128.
 MAY FIELDS,)
 VERDICT.

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn, upon our oaths, find the defendant not guilty as charged in the indictment, possession material.

We further find the defendant guilty as charged in the second count of the indictment possession whiskey.

P. H. Crahan, Foreman.

ENDORSED: Filed June 10, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
 R. C.

The jury announcing this to be their true verdict are hereby excused by the Court from further consideration of said cause. Sentence and fine is at this time passed which is in words and figures as follows

It is thereupon by the Court here considered ordered and adjudged that the defendant May Fields, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail Tulsa, Oklahoma and confined for the period of four (4) months from this date or until released by due process of law. and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$100.00 On execution.

It is further ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff)
 vs.) CRIM #129.
 GEORGE HENDRIX, Defendant.)

On this 10th day of June, 1925, comes W.L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant arraigned and enters plea of not guilty. The Court being well and fully advised in the premises it is by the Court ordered that said cause be and same is hereby stricken from the assignment.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, JUNE, 10, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. }
 W. G. Lathshaw, Defendant. } GRIM # 130.

On this 10th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person and by counsel, waives reading of indictment and enters plea of not guilty. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit; Wesley Jackson, Lester Jordan, A. W. Solman, Phil W. McMahan, C. R. Montgomery, C. E. Nash, A. R. Rickards, Eugene Sharp J. L. Scholl E. B. Swallon, W. E. Wallace, Johnson M. Wilson, is empaneled and sworn to try cause and true verdict render. Counsel for Government states its case to the Jury and the defendant waives his opening statement, thereafter the plaintiff presents its testimony, evidence and proof and rests. The defendant presents his testimony evidence and proof and rests, the taking of evidence is closed whereupon arguments of counsel are heard, thereafter the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. Now on this same day, to-wit June 10, 1925, the jury returns into Court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present, all parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereafter the jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
 VS.) NO. 130.
 W. C. LATHSHAW.)

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oaths state we are unable to agree to first count in the indictment.

We further find the defendant not guilty as charged in the second count of the indictment.

Eugene Sharp, Foreman.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 10, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
 R. C.

Whereupon the Jury being unable to agree on Count one against said defendant, it is by the Court ordered a mistrial as to count one and stricken from this assignment.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. }
 vs. }
 OTTO DANCER, Defendant. } Crim # 132

On this 10th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as to counts one, two and three as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Otto Dancer, for the Crime by him committed as charged in the First Count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of Three (3) years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

CONSIDERED, Ordered and Adjudged, that the defendant Otto Dancer, for the crime by him committed, as charged in the second count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of three (3) years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Otto Dancer, for the crime by him committed as charged in the third count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of three (3) years from date of delivery, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, JUNE, 10, 1925.

defendant is present in person and by counsel and is arraigned and enters plea of guilty to Counts one and two, as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Charley Haynes for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of One (1) year and one (1) day from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed to Federal Penitentiary, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Charley Haynes, for the Crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof, stand committed to the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

UNITED STATES	PLAINTIFF.)	
VS.)	Crim # 146
SAM STOKENBERRY,	Defendant.)	

On this 10th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in said information heretofore filed herein. It is thereupon by the Court ordered that sentence in above entitled cause be deferred until June, 16, 1925.

UNITED STATES ,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	CRIM # 147.
H. C. SNOOK,	Defendant.)	

On this 10th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and enters plea of guilty to counts one and two as charged in said information heretofore filed herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant H. C. Snook, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of One (1) year and one (1) day from date of delivery or until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant H. C. Snook for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed to the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

JOE BOSTACHECK,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	# 80 Law.
St. L. & S.F. Ry Co	Defendant.)	

On this 10th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause is passed until June, 23, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY JUNE, 11, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, at 9:30 A.M. Thursday, June, 11, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer,	Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq.,	Clerk of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq.,	United States District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq.,	United States Marshal
W. F. Wolverson, Esq.,	Bailiff.
H. H. Davis, Esq.,	Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly had the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

AT Tulsa in said District, this 11th day of June, 1925, the following among other proceedings were had, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	No. 131 Criminal
VS.)	Indicted for violation
M. M. HAM,)	Harrison Narcotic Act.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant M. M. Ham, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count of the indictment be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of five (5) years from date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant M. M. Ham for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth Kansas, and confined for the term of Five (5) years from date of delivery, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that said sentence of confinement shall run concurrently, and it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Warden of the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, without delay.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	Crim #142
ANDREW BAGSLEY,	Defendant.)	
alias Skimpy,)	

On this 10th day of June, 1925, death being suggested to the Court, action in the above entitled cause is hereby abated.

UNITED STATES	Plaintiff)	
vs.)	CRIM #143.
RUBEN PEARCE,	Defendant.)	

On this 10th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing Plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel. Defendants move to quash the indictment herein, arguments of counsel are heard thereupon, and the court being duly advised in the premises it is ordered that said motion to quash be and the same is overruled. Defendants filed demurrer herein, thereupon said demurrer is presented to the Court, arguments of counsel are heard thereon and the court being duly advised in the premises, it is ordered that said demurrer be and same is hereby overruled. Thereupon all parties announce

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, JUNE, 11, 1925.

ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit: Wesley Jackson, Lester Jordan, A. W. Solomon, Phil McShen, C. R. Montgomery, C. H. Nash, A. R. Richards, Eugene Sharp, J. L. Shell, W. B. Swallun, W. E. Wallace, John M. Wilson, is empaneled and sworn to try cause and a true verdict render. Counsel for plaintiff states its case, and the defendant reserves his opening statement by leave of court, thereafter the plaintiff presents its testimony, evidence, proof and rests. The defendant presents his case to the Jury and thereafter presents his evidence, proof and rests. The taking of evidence is closed, arguments of counsel are heard, thereupon the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on their verdict herein. On this same day to-wit, June, 11, 1925, the jury return into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the jury announces they were unable to agree and the Court declared above cause a mistrial and same is stricken from present assignment.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	No. 145 Crim.
vs.			
HOMER TOPPING,	Defendant.		

On this 11th day of June, 1925, this cause comes on for further hearing and all parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore and the jury each and every member present. At this time the defendant presents further testimony thereafter the arguments of counsel are heard, and thereafter the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of sworn bailiff to deliberate on their verdict herein. And now on this same day, to-wit; June 11, 1925. the jury return into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present and all parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES)	}	No. 145
VS.)		
HOMER TOPPING.)		

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oaths, find the defendant not guilty as charged in the indictment.

E. E. Hobble, Foreman.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 11, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
R. C

The Jury announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration in above cause.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	Crim# 77.
vs.			
CARL LAWYER, AND FRANKIE CHARLIE,	Defendant.		

On this 11th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendants present in person and by Counsel, arraigned and enter pleas of guilty. Judgment and sentence is thereupon by the Court passed which is in words and figures as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered, and adjudged, that the defendant Carl Lawyer, for the crime by him committed as charged

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL PRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JUNE, 11, 1925.

in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from this date, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law, And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

IN RE. FRANKIE CHARLIE

It is thereupon by the Court here considered ordered and adjudged that the defendant Frankie Charlie, for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for a term of sixty (60) days from date of imprisonment, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

GEORGE E. BENNETT, Complainant.

vs.

RIVERLAND COMPANY, A CORPORATION Defendant.

No. 43 Law.

O R D E R.

On application of complainant, he is given leave to file an amendment to his motion for a new trial.

Dated this 11th day of June, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ORDER FOR ADDITIONAL PETIT JURORS

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ORDER FOR ADDITIONAL PETIT JURORS.

On this 11th day of June, A. D. 1925, it is ordered by the Court that there be publicly drawn by the Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, or one of his deputies, in the presence of the Clerk or one of his deputies and the Jury Commissioner, in accordance with law and the rules of this Court, the names of 20 persons good and lawful men, from said District, duly qualified to serve as Petit Jurors at the Special April 1925 Term of this Court to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

It is further ordered by the Court that a writ of Venire Facias be issued out of this Court, in due form, as provided by law, commanding the said Marshal to summon said Petit Jurors, drawn as aforesaid, to be and appear before said Court, to be held at Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, on Monday June, 15, 1925, at 9 o'clock A. M. and there to serve as Petit Jurors of the United States in and for said District at the Special April, 1925, Term of said Court.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June ,11, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk of U.S.District Court.
H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, JUNE, 11, 1925.

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION.

On this 11th day of June, 1925, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of Earl McAfee and that his bond be fixed in the sum of \$2500.00

UNITED STATES.	Plaintiff.	}	CRIM # 189
vs.			
JESSIE MC QUEEN, alias		}	
M. C. McQUEEN,	Defendant.		

On this 11th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person and enters plea of not guilty, thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises it is by the Court ordered that cause be, and same is, dismissed.

UNITED STATES	Plaintiff.	}	CRIM #198
vs.			
LEWIS GRAHAM,	Defendant.	}	

On this 11th day of June, 1925, comes W.L. Coffey, Asst. U.S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty. Judgement and sentence is thereupon passed by the Court which is in words and figures as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Lewis Graham, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One hundred (\$100.00) and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Lewis Graham, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the information, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa Oklahoma, and confined for the term of thirty days (30) from date of imprisonment, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.	}	Crim # 200
vs.			
EARL MCAFEE,	Defendant.	}	

ON this 11th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel and is arraigned and enters plea of guilty. Judgment and sentence is thereupon by the Court passed which is in words and figures as follows:

It is thereupon by the court ordered, that the defendant Earl McAfee pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

THURSDAY, JUNE 11, 1925

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	CRIM # 6.
SADIE THURMAN.	Defendant.)	

On this 11th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the sentence in above entitled cause of April, 27, 1925, be modified and same is hereby modified to read fine of \$100.00 on execution and sentence of forty five days in Tulsa County Jail dated from April, 27th, 1925 .

Court adjourned until June, 12, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, JUNE 12, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, June, 12, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of U.S. District Court.
H. G. Beard, Esq. United States Marshal.
John. M. Goldsberry, Esq. United States Attorney.
W. F. Wolvertch, Esq., Bailiff.
H. H. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA. AT LAW.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA. Plaintiff.
vs. G. E. THARP. Defendant.
No. 15

ORDER

Now on this 12 day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard upon motion of plaintiff to dismiss for the reason that defendant has paid the claims sued upon. It is therefore, by the Court ordered that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to future action.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED : Filed June, 12, 1925, H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court H.W.J

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA. AT LAW.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA. Plaintiff.
vs. H. G. GARNETT, Defendant.
No. 16

ORDER.

Now on this 12 day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard upon motion of plaintiff to dismiss for the reason that defendant has paid the claim sued upon. It is, therefore, by the court ordered that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to future action.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June 12, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, JUNE, 12, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA. AT LAW.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA. Plaintiff. vs. JASPER THARP, Defendant. No. 19

ORDER

Now on this 12 day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard upon motion of plaintiff to dismiss for the reason that defendant has paid the claim sued upon. It is, therefore, by the court ordered that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to future action.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 12, 1925 H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court. H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA, AT LAW.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA. Plaintiff. vs. D. E. PINNELL, Defendant. No. 21.

ORDER

Now on this 12 day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard upon motion of plaintiff to dismiss for the reason that defendant has paid the claim sued upon. It is therefore, by the court ordered that said cause be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to future action.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June 12, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court. H.W.J.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff. vs. LAFE LEWELLAN, Defendant. CRIM # 149

On this 12th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney. Defendant is present in person and by counsel is arraigned and enters plea of guilty. Thereupon judgments and sentence is by the court passed which is in words and figures as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Lafe Lewellan for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Federal Penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas, and confined for the term of one (1) year and one (1) day from date of delivery, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, JUNE, 12, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) CRIM # 151.
 O. A. SEXTON, Defendant.)

On this 12th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is arraigned and enters plea of guilty. Thereupon judgment and sentence is passed by the Court which is in words and figures as follows:

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant O. A. Sexton, for the crime by him committed as charged, in the second count of the indictment, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred Fifty (\$150.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Order by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant O. A. Sexton unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 152 Crim.
 JAKE MONTGOMERY, Defendant.)

On this 12th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from present assignment.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim # 153.
 JIM MONTGOMERY, Defendant.)

On this 12th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be, and the same is hereby, stricken from the present assignment,

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim # 155
 TOM SPELL, Defendant.)

On this 12th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant not appearing in person was three times called in open court but answers not whereupon, defendants bondmen, J. H. Hilt and J. D. Bullington, are thrice called in open court but answer not, whereupon warrant is ordered issued for said defendant and Scire Facias awarded for principal and sureties. And it is by the Court ordered that new bond in above entitled cause be fixed at \$3000.00.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM.

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

FRIDAY, JUNE, 12, 1925.

charged in the first count of the information pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Twenty Five (\$25.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law, And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Calvin White, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count of the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Fifty (\$50.00) and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) CRIM # 173
 LEWIS GRAHAM and)
 L. M. TERRY. Defendant.)

On this 12th day of June, 1925, comes W.L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel. Defendant, Lewis Graham is arraigned and enters plea of guilty as charged in information heretofore filed herein. Cause is dismissed as to Defendant, L. M. Terry.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Lewis Graham, for the crime by him committed as charged in the information, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) CRIM # 164
 FRED McSAND, Defendant.)

On this 12th day of June, 1925, comes W.L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant failing to appear in person was three times called in open court but answered not, whereupon defendants bondsmen, Joe McSaud and Charlie McSaud are thrice called in open court but answer not whereupon warrant is ordered issued for said defendant and scire facias awarded for principal and sureties.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Crim # 125.
 BILL BENNETT, Defendant.)

On this 12th day of June, 1925, comes W.L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, is arraigned and enters plea of Guilty to Counts one and two.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant Bill Bennett, for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from date of imprisonment, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, JUNE 12, 1925

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

It is further ordered by the Court that said defendant pay a fine of \$50.00 on execution on count two.

ORDER EXCUSING PETIT JUROR

On this 12th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that P. H. Crahan, petit juror for this Special April Term of Court, be and he is hereby excused for the term.

ORDER EXCUSING PETIT JURORS

On this 12th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the following named jurors be, and they are hereby excused for the term.

C. L. Battle, C. R. Montgomer, Lee Burden, A. W. Solomon, Will Bartley, Fred Cutberth, A. J. Baker, E. E. Hobbble, D. E. Chaffer, J. S. Csholl, P. S. Ambrose, Lester Jordan, Fred Adams, Eugene Sharp, Kenneth Beall, E. B. Swallum, Wesley Jackson, W. E. Wallace.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.
vs.
V. T. Jackson, and Bessie McAdams, Defendant.
159 Crim.

On this 12th day of June, 1925, comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U.S. District Attorney, representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendants are each present in person and by counsel and arraigned and enter pleas of Not guilty. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit: Percy Ambrose, Fred Adams, C. L. Battle, A. J. Baker, Kenneth Beall, Will Bartley, Lee Burden, D. E. Chaffer, P. H. Crahan, Fred Cutberth, Ed. Dalton, A. N. Eby, is accepted and sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render. Counsel for plaintiff and defendant state their respective cases to the jury, and thereafter the plaintiff presents its testimony, evidence and proof and rests. Thereafter the defendant presents its testimony, proof and rests and thereafter the taking of evidence is closed and arguments of counsel are heard. Thereupon the Court instructs the jury as to the law in the case, and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on their verdict herein. Now on this same day, to-wit; June, 12, 1925, the jury returns into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present, and all parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore. Thereupon the jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES
VS.
V. T. JOHNSON & BESSIE McADAMS.
No. 159 C.

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oaths find the defendant guilty as charged in the indictment.

P.H. Crahan, Foreman.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 12, 1925. H.P. Warfile, d Clerk U.S. District Court. R.C.

The jury announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration of above entitled cause. Whereupon judgment and sentence is passed by the Court which is as follows:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, JUNE, 12, 1925.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered, ordered and adjudged that the defendant, V. T. Johnson, for the crime by him committed as charged in the indictment, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of Three Hundred (\$300.00) Dollars, and in default thereof stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law, And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) CRIM # 159
 BESSIE McADAMS, Defendant.)

It is by the Court further ordered that defendant in above entitled cause pay a fine unto the United States in the Sum of \$25.00 said fine to run on execution.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) CRIM # 156
 MIKE HOFFMAN, Defendant.)

On this 12th day of June, 1925, comes W.L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing the plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel, and is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty as charged in said indictment heretofore filed herein. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit; E. E. Hobbie, Wesley Jackson, Lester Jordan, A. W. Solomon, P. W. McMillan, C. R. Montgomery, C. H. Nash, A. R. Richards, Eugene Sharp, J. E. Scholl, E. B. Swallon, W.E. Wallace, is accepted and sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render. Counsel for plaintiff and defendant state their respective case to the jury, and thereafter the plaintiff presents its evidence, testimony and proof and rests, and the defendant presents his testimony, evidence and proof and rests, thereafter the taking of evidence is closed, and arguments of counsel are heard. Thereupon the court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate on their verdict herein. Now on this same day, to-wit; the jury return into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer to their names and are present, and all parties are present in person and by counsel, as heretofore. Thereupon the jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
 VS.) NO. 156.
 MIKE HOFFMAN,)
 VERDICT.

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oaths, find the defendant Mike Hoffman guilty as charged in the indictment.

We farther find the defendant guilty as charged in the second count of the indictment.

P. W. McMahon, Foreman.

The jury announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration in above cause. Thereupon the Court passed judgment and sentence which is as follows;

It is thereupon by the Court here considered ordered and adjudged that the defendant Mike Hoffman, for the crime by him committed as charged in the first count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APPLIC. 1925 1 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, JUNE, 12, 1925.

Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from this date, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) dollars, and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or until released by due process of law. And it is further

Considered, ordered and adjudged, that the defendant Mike Hoffman, for the crime by him committed as charged in the second count in the indictment, be imprisoned in the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and confined for the term of sixty (60) days from this date, and that he pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars and in default thereof further stand committed until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that said sentence of confinement shall run concurrently. And it is further

Ordered by the Court that the Marshal deliver or cause to be delivered the body of said defendant unto the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Oklahoma, without delay.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Crim 162.
J. S. Atkinson, Defendant.)

On this 12th day of June, 1925, Comes W. L. Coffey, Asst. U. S. District Attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant is present in person and by counsel and is arraigned and enters plea of not guilty. All parties announce ready for trial and the following jury, to-wit: John M. Wilson, Percy Ambrose, Fred Adams, C. L. Battle, A. J. Baker, Kenneth Beall, Will Bartley, B. E. Chaffer, Fred Cutberth, Ed Dalton, A. N. Eby, is accepted and sworn to try said cause and a true verdict render. A full jury is waived by both counsel for both plaintiff and defendant. Counsel for defendant and plaintiff state their respective case to the jury, thereafter plaintiff presents its testimony, evidence and proof and rests, whereupon defendant presents his testimony, evidence and proof and rests, and the taking of evidence is at this time closed and the arguments of counsel heard the court instructs the jury as to the law in the case and the jury retires in charge of a sworn bailiff to deliberate upon their verdict herein. Now, on this same day to-wit, June, 12, 1925, the jury returns into court in charge of a sworn bailiff and upon being called each answer and are present, and all parties are present in person and by counsel as heretofore, whereupon the jury presents to the Court their verdict which is in words and figures as follows:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES)
VS.) NO. 162
W. L. ATKINSON,)

We, the jury in the above entitled cause, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oaths, find the defendant not guilty as charged in the information

K. E. Beall, Foreman.

ENDORSED: Filed, June 17, 1925. H.P. Waffield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H. C.

The jury announcing this to be their true verdict are excused from further consideration of above cause.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTH RN District of OKLAHOMA.
 SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, JUNE, 13, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, at 9: o'clock A. M. Saturday, June, 13, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer,	Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq.,	Clerk of U. S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq.	United States Attorney.
H. G. Backus, Esq.,	Deputy United States Marshal
W. F. Wolverton, Esq.	Bailiff.
E. H. Davis, Esq.,	Bailiff.

Thereupon public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff.	}	No. 155.
vs.			
TOM SPELL,	Defendant.		

ORDER SETTING ASIDE BOND FORFEITURE

This matter coming on to be heard before me, the undersigned Judge, of this said Court on this the 13th day of June, 1925, upon the application of the defendant. And the Court being advised in the premises and for good cause shown, finds that the Bond Forfeiture entered in this cause on the 12th day of June, 1925, should be set aside.

It is therefore Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed, by the Court that the Order made herein on the 12th day of June, 1925, forfeiting the Appearance Bond herein, be and the same is hereby set aside and held at naught; and considering the fact that the Defendant has been sentenced and is in custody of the Marshal that the Sureties on said Appearance Bond are hereby released from any further liability thereon.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 13, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
 L.W.J.

HENRY ROSENBERG,	Plaintiff.	}	Law # 45.
vs.			
ISAAC SCHULER,	Defendant.		

On this 13th day of June, 1925, it is ordered that defendant be permitted to withdraw answer for verification.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE DAYTON RUBBER MANUFACTURING COMPANY, of Delaware, a corporation.	Plaintiff.	}	LAW No. 44.
vs.			
TULSA RUBBER CLEARING HOUSE, a partnership composed of Harry M. Gorman and W. C. Berry.	Defendant.		

ORDER.

This matter having come on upon the hearing of Motions to strike filed herein by the defendants on this 13th day of June, 1925, and said Motions having been sustained by the Court and the Plaintiff, through its counsel, having in open court, asked leave to file an amendment to its petition and the Court being advised in the premises is of opinion that leave should be granted for the filing of an amendment to plaintiff's petition:

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff may within five days from the date hereof, file an amendment to its petition heretofore filed herein.

F.E. Kennamer, Judge.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL PRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, JUNE 13, 1925.

ORDER EXCUSING PETIT JUROR

On this 13th day of June, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that John M. Wilson, Petit Juror, for the Special April term of court be and he is hereby excused for the term.

IN THE UNITED STATES OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE DAYTON RUBBER MANUFACTURING
COMPANY, of Delaware, a corporation

Plaintiff.

vs.

TULSA RUBBER CLEARING HOUSE, a
copartnership composed of
Harry M. Gorman and W. C. Berry,
Defendant.

Law No. 44.

O R D E R.

This matter having come on for hearing before the Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge for the Northern District of the United States Court for the State of Oklahoma, on this 13th day of June, 1925, and the Court after having heard the argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises is of opinion that the Motions to strike filed herein by the defendants should be sustained.

It is therefore by the Court, considered, ordered and adjudged that the Motions should be and are hereby sustained.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 13, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)

vs.)

T. S. MORELAND, Defendant.)

§ 98 CRIM

On this 13th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that the judgment and sentence of June 8th, 1925, in above entitled cause be and the same hereby is vacated and further sentence is deferred until June 22, 1925, and defendant is ordered to stand on present bond.

Court adjourned until June, 15, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925. TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE 15, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, June, 15, 1925, at 9:0'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court
Jno. M. Goldsberry, Esq., United States Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., United States Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff
H. H. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Thereupon public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

ORDER EMPANELING PETIT JURY.

On this 15th day of June, 1925, comes the Marshal and makes return on the Venire heretofore issued out of this court for Petit Jurors for this Special April Term of Court. Thereupon, the Clerk calls the names of the Jurors so summoned and served, and the following answer their names and are present and are present:

Charles Jackson, Jay
John G. Gray, Bartlesville
J. C. Bimler, Bartlesville,
George Doty, Barnsdall
W. G. Williamson, Tulsa,
J. H. Van Ausdale, Welch
B. F. Mason, Pawhuska
W. O. Buck, Tulsa,
Fred Bortle, Claremore
R. E. Armstrong, Vinita
C. E. Chrisman, Webb City
J. R. Caudle, Collinsville
J. H. Meisch, Bushyhead
E. B. Payne, Pawhuska,
Mace Fortune, Pawhuska
H. C. Crider, Cleveland
Oscar A. Anderson, Bartlesville
G. K. Crichton, Inola
C. C. Chism, Okemah
F. H. Messeph, Skiatook

Thereupon the Court examines said Jurors as to their qualifications and for good cause shown, Fred Bortle, J. H. Meisch, E. B. Payne, W. O. Buck, C. E. Chrisman, J. R. Caudle, John C. Gray, Charles Jackson, C. W. Morgan, J. H. Van Ausdale, W. G. Williamson are excused from service as jurors for the term. Thereupon the balance of said array are accepted as Petit Jurors for this Special April Term of Court.

ADMISSION TO BAR

On this 15th day of June, 1925, it being made satisfactorily to appear that Villard Martin is qualified for admission to the bar of this Court, the oath perscribed by the Court is administered and said Villard Martin is declared admitted to the bar of this court.

Villard Martin.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER
FOR FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF
LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA. Plaintiff.
vs. G. W. PATCHETT, Defendant.
No. 4 AT LAW.

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff for judgment on pleadings. The plaintiff appears

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, JUNE 15, 1925.

in person and by attorney E. E. Sams, and the defendant appears by his attorney W. D. Humphrey.

The Court having examined the pleadings and having heard the statement of counsel, including a statement by counsel for defense, to the effect that he was of the opinion that the position of the defendant was untenable, which statement amounted practically to a confession of the motion and the Court being well and fully advised in the premises finds that the motion should be sustained for the reason that the answer of the defendant fails to state a legal defense to the action.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the motion of plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings be sustained and that the plaintiff J. J. Houston, Receiver for the First National Bank of Lenapah, Oklahoma, do have and recover judgment of and from the defendant G. W. Patchett for the sum of \$1300.00 and interest thereon from the 15th day of August, 1924, at the rate of six percent per annum and for the costs of this suit

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER)	
FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK)	
OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA.)	
)	Plaintiff.
vs.)	No. 5 AT LAW.
FRED LOWREY,)	
)	Defendant.

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff for judgment on pleadings. The plaintiff appears in person and by his attorney E. E. Sams, and the defendant appears by his attorney W. D. Humphrey.

The Court having examined the pleadings and having heard the statement of counsel, including a statement by counsel for defense, to the effect that he was of the opinion that the position of the defendant was untenable, which statement amounted practically to a confession of the motion and the court being well and fully advised in the premises finds that the motion should be sustained for the reason that the answer of the defendant fail to state a legal defense to the action.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the motion of plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings be sustained and that the plaintiff J. J. Houston, Receiver for the First National Bank of Lenapah, Oklahoma, do have and recover judgment of and from the defendant Fred Lowery for the sum of \$400.00 and interest thereon from the 15th day of August, 1924 at the rate of six per cent per annum and for costs of this suit.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE, 15, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA.)	
)	
vs.)	No. 6.
J. W. PATCHETT,)	
Plaintiff.)	
Defendant.)	

O R D E R.

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this matter comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff to dismiss the cause for the reason that defendant has settled claim in full.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to future action.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925 H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA.)	
)	
vs.)	No. 7 LAW
C. U. OWEN,)	
Plaintiff.)	
Defendant.)	

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff for judgment on pleadings. The plaintiff appears in person and by his attorney, E. E. Sams, and the defendant appears by his attorney, W. D. Humphrey.

The Court having examined the pleadings and having heard the statement of counsel, including a statement by counsel for defense, to the effect that he was of the opinion that the position of the defendant was untenable, which statement amounted practically to a confession of the motion and the court being well and fully advised in the premises finds that the motion should be sustained for the reason that the answer of the defendant fails to state a legal defense to the action.

IT IS THEREFORE by the court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the motion of plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings be sustained and that the plaintiff J. J. Houston, Receiver for the First National Bank of Lenapah Oklahoma, do have and recover judgment of and from the defendant C. U. Owen for the sum of \$500.00 and interest thereon from the 15th day of August 1924, at the rate of six per cent per annum and for the costs of this suit.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTH ERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY JUNE, 15 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA. Plaintiff. vs. H. E. PARKER, Defendant. No. 12 AT LAW.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff for judgment on pleadings. The plaintiff appears in person and by his attorney E. E. Sams, and the defendant appears by his attorney W. D. Humphrey.

The Court having examined the pleadings and having heard the statement of counsel, including a statement by counsel for defense, to the effect that he was of the opinion that the position of the defendant was untenable, which statement amounted practically to a confession of the motion and the court being well and fully advised in the premises finds that the motion should be sustained for the reason that the answer of the defendant fails to state a legal defense to the action.

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the motion of plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings be sustained and that the plaintiff J.J. Houston, Receiver for the First National Bank of Lenapah, Oklahoma, do have and recover judgment of and from the defendant H. E. Parker for the sum of \$200.00 and interest thereon from the 15th day of August 1924, at the rate of six per cent per annum and for the costs of this suit.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 16, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. E. W. J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA. Plaintiff. vs. M. R. GARRETT, Defendant. No. 13 AT LAW.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings. The plaintiff appears in person and by his attorney E.E. Sams, and the defendant appears by his attorney W. D. Humphrey.

The Court having examined the pleadings and having heard the statement of counsel, including a statement by counsel for defense, to the effect that he was of the opinion that the position of the defendant was untenable, which statement amounted practically to a confession of the motion and the court being well and fully advised in the premises finds that the motion should be sustained for the reason that the answer of the defendant fails to state a legal defense to the action.

IT IS THEREFORE by the court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the motion of plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings be sustained and that

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

RECEIVED APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, JUNE, 15, 1925.

the plaintiff J. J. Houston, Receiver for the First National Bank of Lenapah, Oklahoma, do have and recover judgment of and from the defendant M. R. Garnett for the sum of \$550.00 and interest thereon from the 15th day of August 1924, at the rate of six per cent per annum and for the costs of this suit.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSER, FILED June, 15, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA. Plaintiff. vs. ENOS PATCHETT, Defendant. No. 14 AT LAW.

ORDER

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this matter comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff to dismiss the cause for the reason that defendant has settled claim in full,

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to future action.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA. Plaintiff. vs. GEORGE C. MOSLEY, Defendant. No. 17 AT LAW.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff. The plaintiff appears in person and by his attorney E. E. Sams and the defendant appears not, either in person or by attorney, and is by the court adjudged to be in default and thereupon the jury is waived by all parties present and the case is tried to the Court.

The court having examined the pleadings and having heard the evidence find the issues in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant. The Court finds that the Defendant was duly and legally served with summons herein by the United States Marshal on the 6th day of June, 1924 and has never filed any answer or other pleading. The Court further finds that the material allegations of plaintiff's petition are true and that the defendant is indebted to plaintiff in the sum of \$100.00 and interest thereon from the 15th day of August, 1924, at the rate of six per cent per annum for an on account of an assessment duly and regularly made by the Comptroller of the Currency under Section 5151 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, being a one hundred per cent assessment on one share of the Capital stock of the First National Bank of Lenapah, Oklahoma, owned by said defendant on November 20, 1923, at which said time said bank suspended payment and was taken in charge by a National Bank Examiner and which bank is being liquidated by J. J. Houston, Receiver, Plaintiff herein.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE, 15, 1925.

IT IS THEREFORE by the court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff have and recover of and from the defendant judgment in the sum of \$100.00 and interest thereon from the 15th day of August, 1924, at the rate of six per cent per annum and for costs of this suit.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court. H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER)
FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK)
OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA. Plaintiff.) No. 20 AT LAW.
vs.)
E. WOODS. Defendant.)

ORDER

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this matter comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff to dismiss the cause for the reason that defendant has settled claim in full,

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to future action.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court. H.W. J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER)
FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK)
OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA. Plaintiff.) NO. 22 AT LAW
vs.)
ROBERT PATCHETT, Defendant.)

ORDER.

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this matter comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff to dismiss the cause for the reason that defendant has settled claim in full .

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to future action.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court. H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APPEAL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE, 15, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON,	RECEIVER)	
FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF)	
LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA.	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 23 AT LAW.
R. A. EVERETT,	Defendant.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff for judgment on pleadings. The plaintiff appears in person and by his attorney E. E. Sams, and the defendant appears by his attorney W. D. Humphrey.

The Court having examined the pleadings and having heard the statements of counsel, including a statement by counsel for defense, to the effect that he was of the opinion that the position of the defendant was untenable, which statement amounted practically to a confession of the motion and the court being well and fully advised in the premises finds that the motion should be sustained for the reason that the answer of the defendant fails to state a legal defense to the action.

IT IS THEREFORE by the court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the motion of plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings be sustained and that the plaintiff J. J. Houston, Receiver for the First National Bank of Lenapah, Oklahoma, do have and recover judgment of and from the defendant R. A. Everett for the sum of \$200.00 and interest thereon from the 15th day of August, 1924, at the rate of six per cent per annum and for the costs of this suit.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON,	RECEIVER)	
FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF)	
LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA.	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 24 AT LAW.
R. W. LOWERY,	Defendant)	

O R D E R

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this matter comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff to dismiss the cause for the reason that defendant has settled claim in full.

IT IS THEREFORE by the court ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to future action.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, JUNE, 15, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVED FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA. Plaintiff. vs. W. T. MILLS, Defendant. NO. 25 AT LAW.

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff for judgment on pleadings. The plaintiff appears in person and by his attorney E. E. Sams, and the defendant appears by his attorney W. D. Humphrey.

The Court having examined the pleadings and having heard the statement of counsel, including a statement by counsel for defense, to the effect that he was of the opinion that the position of the defendant was untenable, which statement amounted practically to a confession of the motion and the court being well and fully advised in the premises finds that the motion should be sustained for the reason that the answer of the defendant fails to state a legal defense to the action.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE Court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the motion of plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings be sustained and that the plaintiff J.J. Houston, Receiver for the First National Bank of Lenapah Oklahoma, do have and recover judgment of and from the defendant W. T. Mills for the sum of \$200.00 and interest thereon from the 15th day of August, 1924, at the rate of six per cent per annum and for the costs of this suit.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 16, 1925, H.P. Warfield. Clerk U.S. District Court. By H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA. Plaintiff. vs. R. W. SKIMMERHORN, Defendant. No. 26 AT LAW.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff for judgment on pleadings. The plaintiff appears in person and by his attorney E. E. Sams, and the defendant appears by his attorney W. D. Humphrey.

The Court having examined the pleadings and having heard the statement of counsel, including a statement by counsel for defense, to the effect that he was of the opinion that the position of the defendant was untenable, which statement amounted practically to a confession of the motion and the court being well and fully advised in the premises finds that the motion should be sustained for the reason that the answer of the defendant fails to state a legal defense to the action.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE, 15, 1925.

IT IS THEREFORE by the court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the motion of plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings be sustained and that the plaintiff J.J. Houston, Receiver for the First National Bank of Lenapah, Oklahoma, do have and recover judgment of and from the defendant R. W. Skimmerhorn for the sum of \$100.00 and interest thereon from the 15th day of August, 1924, at the rate of six per cent per annum and for the costs of this suit.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925, H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court. H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER)
FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF)
LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA.)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 27 AT L AW.
JOHN YOUNG,)
Defendant.)

ORDER.

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this matter comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff to dismiss the cause for the reason that defendant has settled claim in full.

IT IS THEREFORE by the court ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to future action.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925, H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court. H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER)
FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK)
OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA.)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 28 AT LAW.
W. M. HOOK,)
Defendant.)

ORDER

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this matter comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff to dismiss the cause for the the reason that defendant settled claim in full.

IT IS THEREFORE by the court ordered that this case be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to future action.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925, H.P.Warfield. Clerk U.S.District Court. H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, JUNE 15, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LENAPAH, OKLAHOMA.	Plaintiff.) No. 29 AT LAW.
vs.)	
JAMES EGGLETON,	Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard on motion of plaintiff for judgment on pleadings; The plaintiff appears in person and by his attorney E. E. Sams, and the defendant appears by his attorney W. D. Humphrey.

The Court having examined the pleadings and having heard the statement of counsel, including a statement by counsel for defense, to the effect that he was of the opinion that the position of the defendant was untenable, which statement amounted practically to a confession of the motion and the court being well and fully advised in the premises finds that the motion should be sustained for the reason that the answer of the defendant fails to state a legal defense to the action.

IT IS THEREFORE by the court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the motion of plaintiff for judgment on the pleadings be sustained and that the plaintiff J. J. Houston, Receiver for the First National Bank of Lenapah Oklahoma, do have and recover judgment of and from the defendant James Eggleton for the sum of \$100.00 and interest thereon from the 15th day of August, 1924, at the rate of six per cent per annum and for the costs of this suit.

E. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. J. HOUSTON, RECEIVER FOR THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BENAPAH, OKLAHOMA.	Plaintiff.) No. 30 AT LAW.
vs.)	
LENAPAH TRADING COMPANY,	Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 15th day of June, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff. The plaintiff appears in person and by his attorney E. E. Sams and the defendant appears not, either in person or by attorney, and is by the court adjudged to be in default and thereupon the jury is waived by all parties present and the case is tried to the court.

The court having examined the pleadings and having heard the evidence finds the issues in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant. The Court finds that the defendant was duly and legally served with summons here in by the United States Marshal on the 6th day of June, 1924, and has never filed any answer or other pleading. The Court further finds that the material allegations of plaintiff's petition are true and that the defendant is indebted to plaintiff in the sum of \$100.00 and interest thereon from the 15th day of August, 1924, at the rate of six per cent per annum for and on account of an assessment duly and regularly made by the comptroller of the Currency under Section 5151 of the Revised Statutes of the United States being a one hundred per cent assessment on one share of the capital stock of the First National Bank of Lenapah, Oklahoma, owned by said defendant on November, 20, 1923, at which said time said bank suspended payment and was taken in charge by a National Bank Examiner and which bank is being liquidated by J. J. Houston, Receiver, plaintiff herein.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, JUNE, 15, 1925

IT IS THEREFORE by the Court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff have and recover of and from the defendant judgment in the sum of \$100.00 and interest thereon from the 15th day of August, 1924, at the rate of six per cent per annum and for costs of this suit.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

ORDER LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION.

On this 15th, day of June, 1925, comes the United States Attorney, and asks and is granted leave to file information herein and to prosecute thereunder, and it is ordered by the Court that warrant issue for the arrest of each defendant and the bond of each defendant is hereby fixed in the sum of Twenty Five Hundred Dollars.

201 United States vs. Fred Thompson

MARY E. GRAYBILL, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 1 Law.
PACIFIC MUTUAL LIFE INS. CO.)
Defendant.)

On this 15th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be continued until June, 18, 1925.

KATHARINE T. CLEMENS, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 67 Law.
U. S. CITIES CORPORATIONS,)
Defendant.)

On this 15th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be stricken from present assignment, and it is further ordered that defendant be permitted to withdraw answer heretofore filed herein and twenty days allowed in which to file new answer.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Crim # 201
FRED THOMPSON, Defendant.)

On this 15th day of June, 1925, comes United States attorney, representing plaintiff in above entitled cause. Defendant present in person, arraigned and enters plea of guilty to charge herein.

It is thereupon by the Court here considered ordered and adjudged that the defendant, Fred Thompson, pay a fine unto the United States in the sum of \$25.00, and stand committed to the Tulsa County Jail, Tulsa, Okla. until said fine is paid, or, until released by due process of law.

