

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, SEPT. 22, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. Tuesday, Sept., 22, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. A. KUNKEL, Complainant,

vs.

TUCKER BARNETT, TUCKER BARNETT,
LEGAL GUARDIAN OF POLLY BARNETT AND
ROSANA BARNETT, MINORS, POLLY BARNETT,
ROSANA BARNETT, MAGGIE HARJO, WISEY
WINN, HANNAH BIRD AND LEWIS C. LAWSON.

Defendants.

No. 60 Equity.

LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED ANSWERS.

Upon application of the cross defendants W. A. Kunkel and The Prairie Oil & Gas Company:

IT IS ORDERED That the said cross defendants be and they are hereby given leave to file their respective amended answers in this cause.

Dated this 22nd. day of September, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. A. KUNKEL, Complainant,

vs.

TUCKER BARNETT, TUCKER BARNETT,
LEGAL GUARDIAN OF POLLY BARNETT AND
ROSANNA BARNETT, MINORS. POLLY BAR*
NETT, ROSANNA BARNETT, MAGGIE HARJO,
WISEY WINN, HANNAH BIRD AND
LEWIS C. LAWSON.

Defendants.

No. 60 Equity.

ORDER FOR SUBSTITUTION OF PLAINTIFF.

Upon the application of the Prairie Oil & Gas Company showing that since the institution of this suit it has purchased and taken conveyance from the complainant W. A. Kunkel all of his right, title, estate and interest in the lands involved:

IT IS ORDERED That said The Prairie Oil & Gas Company be and it is hereby substituted as complainant in said cause in the place and stead of W. A. Kunkel, and is hereby given leave to file supplemental bill of complaint.

Dated this 22nd. day of September, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 22, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court
H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION APRIL, 1925.

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 22, 1925

ROSA SPYBUCK, et al.,	Plaintiff.	} # 53 Equity.
vs.		
LEMUEL CHARLEY,	Defendant.	

On this 22nd. day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that the above entitled cause be, and same hereby is, stricken from present assignment.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MCGRAW-BANGHEMAN-BEARLY LUMBER	} In Equity, # 11.	
CO., a corporation,		Plaintiff.
vs.		
NATIONAL TURNBUCKLE DERRICK CO.,	} Under Patents Nos. 1,099,771	
Acorporation, and Gay P. Slater,		and 1,498,068.
Defendants.		

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now, to-wit, on this 22nd. day of September, 1925, this Cause comes regularly on for hearing upon the motion of Defendants to strike and for further and better statement; and defendant requests leave to file an amended motion, which it has served upon plaintiff, and the Court, grants such leave and the parties announcing ready to proceed upon said amended motion, the same is argued by the defendant, by counsel and by counsel for plaintiff; and it appearing to the Court that the motion should be sustained in some of the particulars complained of, and the plaintiff asking briefly to amend, IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, that the plaintiff have general leave to amend its bill and required to amend it so far as to separately state and number its different causes of action, to-wit: Its cause of action for infringement of patent No. 1,099,771 and its cause of action for infringement of patent No. 1,498,668 and by setting out the claims in said patents, which it alleges defendant has infringed, or is infringing, and the facts constituting such infringement and that the Court withheld further relying upon said motion and the matter and things complained of there in, and leave is given defendant to present same by further motion to the amended bill, as it shall be advised.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court on application of the defendant, that it be given twenty (20) days from the service upon it of the amended bill of complaint in this cause in which to answer or object to the interrogatories heretofore filed by plaintiff in this cause: and plaintiff is given fifteen (15) days from this date in which to amend its bill of complaint herein; defendant to have ten (10) days thereafter in which to plead thereto, or twenty (20) days in which to answer the same.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 22, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court, H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 22, 1925

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CLARK WASSON, Receiver of the First National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma

Plaintiff.

vs.

P. H. BENNETT, NORA BENNETT, T. W. DIX, R. B. FARRIS, J. H. PERRY, R. E. DIX, ANDIE MAGERS, L. R. JEWELL, GYPSY OIL COMPANY, A Corp., H. L. BLACKWELL, B. W. DUNN, E. C. DUNN, MOLLY BEREN, AND CECILIA RUBEN, AND PRAIRIE PIPE LINE COMPANY, A CORP. AND JOE T. DEWBERRY, TRUSTEE, AND O. R. BENNETT AND A. H. HORN,

Defendants.

Equity No. 13.

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

Now on this 22nd. day of September, 1925, this cause came on regularly for hearing and there appeared R. B. Keenan, Attorney for plaintiff; S. M. Cunningham, Attorney for P. H. Bennett, Nora Bennett, O. R. Bennett and A. H. Horn, defendants; and T. J. Flannelly and Paul E. Mason, attorneys for Prairie Pipe Line Co., a corporation, defendants; and James B. Diggs, William C. Liedtke and Redmond S. Cole, C. D. Billings, attorneys for Gypsy Oil Company, a corporation, defendants; and T. L. Blakemore and Van H. Albertson attorneys for J. F. Fuller, Ursulla Fuller, T. L. Blakemore and Van H. Albertson, defendants; and it being stipulated and agreed in open court that judgment be entered as follows:

That J. F. Fuller, Ursulla Fuller, T. L. Blakemore and Van H. Albertson, be made additional parties defendant herein; that defendants, J. F. Fuller, Ursulla Fuller, T. L. Blakemore and Van H. Albertson, have a valid and subsisting oil and gas lease dated May 27th, 1925, for a term of seven (7) years from said date, without the payment of any rent for delay in drilling, upon the following described land, situated in Creek county, Oklahoma, as follows, to-wit:

The NE 1/4 of the NW 1/4 of Sec. 11, T. 17 N. R. 10 E., containing forty (40) acres more or less;

that title to said oil and gas lease be superior to the mortgage of plaintiff sued on herein, and plaintiff's mortgage be foreclosed subject thereto.

That defendant, The Prairie Pipe Line Company, a corporation, have a decree, quieting its title in and to the right of way easement over and across the lands hereinafter described, and the right to lay, maintain, operate and remove a pipe line for the transportation of oil, and to erect, maintain, operate and remove a telegraph or telephone line, together with the right of ingress and egress in Creek County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

Lot 8, in Section 1, and Lot 5, in Section 12, T. 17 N. R. 6 E.

and that in addition thereto defendant have the right to lay, at any time, additional line or lines of pipe on said right of way alongside of the first pipe line laid by it. over and across said described land; that plaintiff be entitled to foreclose his mortgage against said land subject to the right of way of this defendant.

That defendant, the Gypsy Oil Company, a corporation, have a decree determining the validity of its oil and gas lease, subject only to the superior lien, claim and demands of the plaintiff upon the following described land, situate in Creek County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

The NE 1/4 of the SW 1/4, and the NE 1/4 of the SE 1/4 of the SW 1/4 of Sec. 33, T. 14, N., R. 8, E.,

10 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

QUIET SESSION, APRIL, 1925.

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 22, 1925.

that the decree of foreclosure provided that the lands mentioned in the petition, and not included in the leasehold estate of this defendant, be first sold, and that if said lands so sold be sold for a sum sufficient to satisfy the claim of the plaintiff herein, the lands above described being the lands covered by the lease of this defendant, be not sold; that if the proceeds derived from the sale of such lands be not sufficient to satisfy the claim of the plaintiff, then the lands covered by such lease be offered for sale:

1st, subject to the lease, and
2nd, free from the lease;

in the event that a sufficient offer is received for said lands, subject to the lease, to pay the claim of the plaintiff same shall be accepted, otherwise said land shall be sold free from said lease.

That O. R. Bennett be decreed the owner of an undivided one-half interest in and to the following described land, situated in Creek County, Oklahoma,

The $\frac{1}{2}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 11, T. 17 N., R. 10 E.,

that the mortgage of this plaintiff be foreclosed, subject to his interest in said land.

That Plaintiff have judgment against P. H. Bennett and Nora Bennett, defendants, in the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00), together with interest thereon at the rate of ten per cent. (10%) per annum from February 23rd., and the further sum of \$275.00 attorney's fees, and for the cost of this action.

That plaintiff have further judgment foreclosing the mortgage lien on real estate described as follows, to-wit:

$\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\frac{1}{2}$ of S $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 36, T. 18 N. 7; and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec 33, T. 14 N. 8; and Lot Eight (8) of Sec. 1, T. 17 N., R. 6; and Lot Five (5) of Sec 12, T. 17 N. R. 6; and undivided one-half interest in $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec 11, T. 17, R. 10; in Creek County, State of Okla.,

and forever barring said defendants from any lien, estate, interest or title thereto, except the title of J. F. Fuller, Ursula Fuller, T. L. Blakemore and Van H. Albertson, in the lease above mentioned; the right of way easement of the Prairie Pipe Line Company above mentioned; and that said mortgaged premises be ordered sold to satisfy said judgment.

The Court being further advised in the premises finds that, T. W. Dix, Molly Beren and Cecelia Ruben, defendants, have disclaimed all right, title and interest in and to all of the land involved herein.

The Court further finds that Joe T. Dewberry, is the duly appointed, acting and qualified trustee of Philander H. Bennett, and others, in a proceeding styled; In the Matter of B. A. P. Quality Show Store, a co-partnership composed of Philander H. Bennett and Nester Pitts, and Philander H. Bennett and Nester Pitts, as individuals, bankrupt, Bankruptcy No. 5107, in the U. S. District Court of the Eastern District of Oklahoma and has filed his disclaimer to all right, title and interest in and to the land involved in this suit.

Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to have his lien adjudged to be superior to any claim or lien that the said T. W. Dix, Molly Beren and Cecelia Ruben, and Joe T. Dewberry, Trustee, may claim, and their claims are forever barred.

IT IS THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that, defendants, J. F. Fuller, Ursula Fuller, T. L. Blakemore and Van H. Albertson, have judgment against all of the parties herein quieting the title in and to an oil and gas lease executed by P. H. Bennette and Nora Bennett, his wife, and O. R. Bennett and Ida Bennett, his wife, to E. F. Fuller, Ursula Fuller, Van H. Albertson and T. L. Blakemore, bearing date of May 28th., 1925, which lease is for a period of seven years from said date, without any rent for delay in drilling, upon the following described land, to-wit:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the 163

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLAHOMA. TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 22, 1925.

The NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 11, T. 17 N., R. 10 E.,

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that defendant, the Prairie Pipe Line Company, have judgment against all of the parties herein quieting the title in and to a right of way easement over and across the lands hereinafter described and the right to law, maintain, operate and remove a pipe line for the transportation of oil and to erect, maintain, operate and remove a telegraph or telephone line, together with the right of ingress and egress on, over and across the following described lands situated in Creek County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

Lot 8, in Section 1, and Lot 5, in Section 12,
T. 17 N., R. 6 E.,

and that defendant have the right to lay, at any time, additional line or lines of pipe on said right of way alongside of the first line laid by it over and across said described land.

It is further Ordered, Adjudged and decreed by the Court that defendant, the Gypsy Oil Company, have judgment determining the validity of its oil and gas mining leasehold estate, subject only to the superior lien, claim and demand of the plaintiff, said lease being dated April, 21, 1922, and signed by P. H. Bennett and Nora Bennett, his wife, upon the following described land, to-wit:

The NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$
of Sec. 23, T. 8 N., R. 8 E., Creek County, Oklahoma.

It is further Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed by the Court that O. R. Bennett, defendant, have judgment quieting the title in and to an undivided one-half (1/2) interest in the following described land, situate in Creek County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

The N $\frac{1}{2}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 11, T. 17 N., R. 10 E., and his title in and to said undivided interest is adjudged to be superior to that of the plaintiff.

It is further Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that plaintiff have judgment against P. H. Bennett and Nora Bennett in the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00), together with interest thereon at the rate of ten per cent. (10%) per annum from February 23, 1923, until paid, and the further sum of \$279.00 attorney's fees, and for the costs of this action.

It is further Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed by the Court that plaintiff have judgment foreclosing the lien of the First National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, against the property given as security for the payment of said amount, and that the Special Master advertise and sell, to the highest bidder, without appraisal, according to law, the lands and tenements in the petition, described herein, to-wit:

N $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ of N $\frac{1}{2}$ of S $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 36, T. 18, R. 7; and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 23, T. 14, R. 8; and Lot Eight (8) of Sec. 1, T. 17 N. R. 6; and Lot Five (5) of Sec 12, T. 17 N. R. 6; and an undivided one-half interest in N $\frac{1}{2}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 11, T. 17, R. 10; in Creek County, Oklahoma.

It is further ordered that the Special Master sell said land, subject to the pipe line right of way easement of the Prairie Pipe Line Company, defendant herein, over the following described land situate in Creek County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

Lot 8, in Section 1, and Lot 5, in Section 12, T. 17 N.,
R. 6 E.,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL 1925/ TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 1925.

It is further ordered that the Special Master sell said land subject to an oil and gas lease, executed by P. H. Bennett and Nora Bennett, and O. R. Bennett and Ida Bennett, his wife, to J. F. Fuller, Ursulla Fuller, T. L. Blakemore and Van H. Albertson, bearing date of May 28, 1925, for a period of seven (7) years from May 28th, 1925, without any rent for delay in drilling, on the following described land, situate in Creek County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

The NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec 11., T. 17 N., R. 10 E.,

It is further ordered that the Special Master offer all of the land for sale, except the land included in an oil and gas lease of the Gypsy Oil Company, dated April, 21, 1925, upon the following described land situate in Creek County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

The NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 33, T. 14 N., R. 6, E.

In the event that said lands bring enough to cover the claim of the plaintiff then said lands covered by said lease shall not be sold; in the event that said lands do not bring enough to cover the claims of this plaintiff, then the lands covered by this lease shall first be offered for sale subject to the lease of said Gypsy Oil Company, and if said bid is not sufficient to cover the plaintiff's claim, then said land shall be sold separately, free from said lease.

It is further ordered that said sale be made at public auction to the highest bidder, at 12: 00 o'clock noon, at the north door of the courthouse, of Creek County, Oklahoma, located at Sapulpa, Oklahoma, on a day to be named by the Special Master herein appointed, in his Notice of Sale that before making said sale, the special master shall publish a notice thereof once a week, for at least four weeks prior to such sale in one newspaper printed and regularly issued and having a general circulation in the County of Creek and State of Oklahoma, and by putting up an advertisement on the courthouse door, and in five (5) other public places in the County, and posting two (2) notices in each township where such lands and tenements lie.

It is further ordered and decreed that the funds derived from said sale shall be applied as follows:

- 1st - To the payment of taxes and assessments due on said land,
- 2nd.- To the payment of the costs of said sale and of this action,
- 3rd.- In payment of plaintiff of said sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00), together with interest thereon, and attorneys fees above recited.
- 4th- That the residue, if any there be, shall be paid into the Court and distributed among the defendants as the Court may direct.

It is further ordered that John R. Miller Esq., be and he hereby is designated and appointed, by agreement of all parties hereto, Special Master to make the sale hereby ordered and decreed, and to execute and deliver deed of conveyance of the property so to be sold to the purchaser or purchasers thereof on the order of the court or of a Judge thereof confirming such sale; The Court, however, reserving the right to appoint, in any term or at his chambers, another person as Special Master with like powers in case of the death or disability to act of the Special Master hereby designated, or in case of his resignation or failure to act or removal by the Court.

It is further ordered that any parties to this proceeding may apply to the Court any further orders and directions,

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

Van H. Albertson, F. L. Blakemore,
Attorneys for J. F. Fuller, Ursulla Fuller, T. L. Blakemore and Van H. Albertson, Defendants.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

169

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 1925.

T. J. Flennelly, Paul B. Mason, by Chas. Buford, Attorneys for Prairie Pipe Line Co., Defendant.

James B. Diggs, William C. Liedlke, Raymond S. Cole & C. L. Billings, Attorneys for the Gypsy Oil Co., Defendant,

Wilcox & Cunningham, Attorneys for O. R. Bennett, P. H. Bennett, Nora Bennett, Defendants,

Robert B. Keenan, Attorney for plaintiff, Clark Wasson, Receiver.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 22, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Cler U. S. District Court.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WALBRIDGE-ALDINGER COMPANY, Plaintiff.

vs.

A. J. HUDD, ET AL., Defendants.

No. 19, Equity.

O R D E R.

Comes now defendant Loak Joint Pipe Company and leave of court having been first obtained, withdraws its motion to dismiss the amended and supplemental petition filed by plaintiff Walbridge-Aldinger Company, and on motion of said defendant it is given fifteen days in which to file its answer.

This September, 22nd., 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 22, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. R. C.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WALBRIDGE-ALDINGER COMPANY, a corporation, Plaintiff.

vs.

A. J. HUDD, ET AL., Defendants.

No. 19 Equity.

O R D E R.

Comes now defendant Loak Joint Pipe Company and leave of court having been first obtained, withdraws its motion to dismiss the petition of intervention filed herein by intervenors John H. Pitts and Carter-Halls-Aldinger Company, comprising Pitts-Bateman Company, and defendant is given fifteen days in which to file answer to said petition of intervention.

This September, 22nd 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. R. C.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
EQUITY SESSION APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 22, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CLARK WASSON, RECEIVER OF THE
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF
SAPULPA, OKLAHOMA.

Plaintiff.

vs.

Equity No. 43.

F. B. REED, I. F. MCGEE, J. A. BOYD,
SAM DRYFUS, A. H. STONE, J. W. ADAMS,
W. F. MILLER AND ROSE F. OREGAN,
EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF
E. T. OREGAN, deceased;

Defendants.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now, to-wit, on this 22nd. day of September, 1925, this cause comes on for hearing pursuant to assignment upon the various motions, of the various defendants, to dismiss and make definite and certain; and the plaintiff confesses said motion as to the necessity of amendment to show that loans complained of were in excess, not only of ten per cent of the capital stock of the First National Bank of Sapulpa, but also in excess of ten per cent of the capital stock and surplus of said bank; and the court being of the opinion that the complaint in the case should be recast in other particulars,

IT IS ORDERED that the plaintiff recast the complaint herein so as to make it appear therefrom that the loans complained of as being in excess of ten per cent of the capital stock of said First National Bank of Sapulpa, were in fact in excess of ten per cent of the capital stock and unimpaired surplus thereof.

And, it is further made to appear, when the said loans were made to whom the loans were made, the amount of loan and the amount of indebtedness of the borrower to the said bank at the time said loan was made, and that amount of the capital stock and surplus of said bank, at such time.

AND, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in casting said complaint, complainant further make appear the various grounds of liability sought to be asserted against the various defendants, whether excessive loans found or otherwise together with facts showing the liability of defendants thereon, so far as it is claimed they are liable.

And that plaintiff recast its complaint in such other and further particulars as it shall be advised to meet such of the objections made there to as it shall see fit and that defendants be given leave to reassert said objections, so far as they are not met by such amendment.

Plaintiff is given 10 days in which to recast its complaint; defendants are given ten days thereafter to plead thereto, or twenty days in which to answer thereto.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

R. B. H.
Solicitor for Plaintiff.

O.K. B. & C.
Solicitor for defendants.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H. W. J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 22, 1925.

J. E. SHAFER, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
SMITH SEPARATOR CO., Defendant.) Equity # 45.

On this 22nd day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that defendants motion to dismiss be, and same hereby is sustained. And it is further ordered, that plaintiffs be allowed ten days to plead or twenty days to answer.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BURKBURNETT, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
RICHARD C. GECK, GEORGE HARRISON, TRUSTEE R. C. GECK LUMBER COMPANY, a corporation, MARSHAL STEVENS, TRUSTEE, H. C. MILLER, R. C. GECK Executor of the Estate of NELLIE GECK, deceased, and HONA C. KENNEDY, and SECURITY NATIONAL BANK.)
Defendants.) No. 33 Equity.

ORDER FOR SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM.

Now, on this 22nd. day of September, 1925, upon application of the plaintiff, it is by the Court ordered and decreed:

That the Honorable H. P. Warfield, United States District Clerk for the Northern District of Oklahoma, be and he hereby is authorized and directed to issue a subpoena duces Tecum in the above entitled cause to O. G. Weaver, County Clerk of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, directing him to appear in the above entitled cause on September, 24, 1925, to testify on behalf of the plaintiff and to bring with him book 344 and Book 371 of the records of the County Clerk and Ex-Officio Register of Deeds of Tulsa County, Oklahoma; and,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said Clerk issue a subpoena Duces Tecum to A. J. Lampkin, County Clerk of Ottawa County, Oklahoma, directing him to appear in this Court on September, 24, 1925, to testify in the above entitled cause on behalf of the plaintiff and to bring with him Book 83 of the Records of the County Clerk and Ex-Officio Register of Deeds of Ottawa County, Oklahoma.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Sep. 22, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

JOHNSON MARTIN, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
ROXANA PET. CO., Defendant.) Equity 50 Equity

On this 22nd. day of September, 1925., it is by the Court ordered, that hearing in above entitled cause be, and same hereby is continued to September, 23, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY SEPTEMBER, 22, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CHARLES A. LUDRY, Plaintiff.

vs.

PURE OIL COMPANY, a corporation, THE OHIO CITIES GAS COMPANY, a corporation, QUAKER OIL & GAS COMPANY, a corporation, EAGLE GASOLINE COMPANY, a corporation, and CHARLES C. TIBBENS,

Defendants.

No. 52 Equity.

ORDER REMANDING CAUSE.

This cause coming on to be heard on this the 22nd. day of September, 1925, upon the motion of the plaintiff to remand this cause to the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma, and counsel for the respective parties having been heard and the same having been duly considered by the court,

IT IS ORDERED that said motion be and same is hereby granted and the cause is hereby remanded to the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma.

F. E. Kennamer. Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court H.W.J.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.

vs.

R. C. DRUMMOND, Defendant.

55 Equity.

On this 22nd day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that defendant be and he is hereby permitted to withdraw his motion to dismiss. And it is further ordered, by the Court that the above entitled cause be, and same hereby is stricken from present assignment.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. WHITE JOHNSON, an incompetent, by J. F. Ayers, his guardian, Plaintiff.

vs.

ROXANA PETROLEUM CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.

No. 56 Equity.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 22nd. day of September, 1925, this matter coming on to be heard upon various motions filed heretofore herein, and all parties being present or represented in court by counsel, by leave of Court, all motions to make definite and certain and all motions to strike, and for further and better statement, are withdrawn; the motion to set aside and quash the purported service upon W. S. Markham, is sustained by consent, and the motion to remand is withdrawn by plaintiff.

Plaintiff is granted twenty (20) days within which to file an amended bill, and the defendants granted ten (10) days thereafter within which to plead, or twenty (20) days thereafter within which to answer.

F. E. Kennamer,

O.K. Shea & Shea. O.K. Koerner, Farley & Young. O.K. Stewart, Sharp Grace & Coakley. For Plaintiff.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 22, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 22, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs.
J. GARFIELD BUELL, et al., Defendants.
No. 66 Eq.,

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 22nd. day of September, 1925, there coming on to be heard herein, the motion of the defendant asking that the plaintiff's bill be dismissed for uncertainty, and the court after hearing the evidence offered, argument of Counsel, and upon the request of Counsel for the Plaintiff, grants permission to said Plaintiff to amend said bill of complaint according to the terms and conditions of said motion.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

JESSE A. TOLERTON, Plaintiff.
vs.
E. C. BARTLETT, ET AL., Defendants.
No. 1, Equity.

ORDER

Now on this the 22nd. day of September, 1925, upon application of the defendants, s. t. palmer and Ful-ho-see Barney, it is ordered by the court that L. C. Lytle, H. U. Bartlett, J. Garfield Buell, The Takinap Oil Company and Young O. Mitchell as administrator of the estate of John O. Mitchell, deceased, be made defendants in this cause and are hereby required to answer the cross-bill of S. T. Palmer and Ful-ho-see Barney filed herein, and that subpoenas be issued accordingly,

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, September, 23, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, September, 23rd., 1925. Present:

Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. S. McCRAY, PLAINTIFF.)
VS.) No. 26.
SAPULPA PETROLEUM COMPANY,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

This matter coming on to be heard on the application of O'Meara & Silverman, as attorneys for the Receiver, on the appeal from the order granting the injunction and on the appeal from the order appointing the receiver and it appearing to the Court that this matter is still pending in the Supreme Court of the United States on application for certiorari and that the estate in the hands of the receiver is interested in having a judgment in the Circuit Court of Appeals approved and said certiorari denied.

It is hereby ordered that an allowance of \$400.00 is hereby made to the said O'Meara & Silverman to be charged against such final sum as may be ultimately allowed them and to be taxed as part of the cost in the injunction proceeding:

And it is further ordered that J. H. Knox, Receiver, shall pay on presentment of a certified copy of this order to O'Meara & Silverman the sum of \$400.00 and that copy of this order together with his voucher be to him a complete receipt and acquittance for said amount.

F. E. Kennamer. Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 23, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W. J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF
OKLAHOMA.

SOUTHERN SURETY COMPANY,)
a corporation, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 31 Equity.
LENA COSAR, a minor, and)
JOSEPH BRUNER, GUARDIAN OF)
LENA COSAR,)
Defendants.)

F I N A L D E C R E E.

Now on this 23rd day of September, 1925, at this term, this cause came on further to be heard, and the evidence having been heard on the part of both parties, and the cause having been argued by counsel, thereupon, upon consideration thereof, the court finds the issues against the plaintiff and in favor of the defendants, and finds the plaintiff has wholly failed to identify any property in the hands of defendants upon which to impress a trust, and finds that the plaintiff's bill is wholly without equity and should be dismissed.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 23, 1925

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff's bill of complaint be, and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice against another action upon the same ground, and that the defendants be allowed their costs herein expended; to all of which the plaintiff excepts and its exception is allowed.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. as to form
R. C. Allen, Counsel for plaintiff.

O.K. Hughes, Foster & Ellenhouse, Counsel for defendants.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 23, 1925. H. . Warfield, Clerk, By H.W. James, Deputy.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, et al.,
Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 17 Equity.
HELEN P. PURDY, et al.,
Defendants.)

On this 23rd. day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that hearing in the above entitled cause be, and same hereby is, passed.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, et al.,
Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 26 Equity.
HUTCHISON LUMBER CO., ET AL.
Defendants.)

On this 23rd. day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that hearing in the above entitled cause, be, and same hereby is passed.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BURKBURNETT,
Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 33 Equity.
RICHARD C. GECK, et al.,
Defendants.)

On this 23rd. day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that hearing in above entitled cause be, and same hereby is, continued to September, 26, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER, 24, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday September, 24th 1925. Present.

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK,)
Complainant.)
vs.) # 39 Eq.
NATIONAL HARDWOOD COMPANY, et al.,)
Defendants.)

ORDER PERMITTING JOE GROUNDHOG TO INTERVENE.

This matter coming on for hearing upon the petition of Joe Groundhog asking leave to intervene in the above entitled cause, and it appearing that he may have some right, and the Court being advised in the matter,

IT IS ORDERED, That the said Joe Groundhogbe, and he is hereby permitted to intervene herein and file such pleading as he deems necessary.

Done this 24 day of September, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 24, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H. W. J.

WM. J. KNUFF, et al.,)
Plaintiffs.) # 78 Eq.
vs.)
PETERS PET. CORPORATION,)
Defendant.)

On this 24th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that hearing in the above entitled cause be, and same hereby is, continued to Tuesday, Oct. '6, 1925. at 2 o'clock P.M.

Court adjourned until September, 25th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL 1925. TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER, 25, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, September, 25, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

D. G. BAILEY,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
THE OKLAHOMA COMPANY, a) Equity No. 36.
corporation, and R. H. SMITH,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

This cause coming on to be heard on this the 25th day of September, A. D. 1925, upon the stipulation of the parties herein filed, and the Court being fully advised in the premises;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the above entitled cause be dismissed with prejudice to the institution of another suit.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. de Muel, for defendant.

O.K. A. J. Swarts, for plaintiff.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 25, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
R.C.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF
OKLAHOMA.

PENNOK OIL CORPORATION,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
L. D. CHAMBERLIN,) No. 42 Equity.
Defendant.)

ORDER OF REFERENCE.

This cause coming on to be heard on this the 25th day of September, 1925, and both parties appearing by counsel and having stipulated and agreed in open court that the above entitled cause may be referred to a Master, and the Court having considered the stipulation and being of the opinion that it is necessary to take an account:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this cause be referred to Honorable Ben Conner as Special Master in Chancery to herein determine the issues of law and fact arising in this case, and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Special Master shall report his conclusions of law and fact and his judgment thereon, together with the evidence upon which he found his conclusions to the Court on or before the 24th day of November, A. D. 1925, and that same shall be filed to await the further action of this Court.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 25, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk By H.W. James Deputy.

112

175

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER, 25, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

SANTROCK OIL AND DEVELOPMENT
COMPANY, a corporation,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 37 Equity.
FOSTER INVESTMENT COMPANY,)
a corporation,)
Defendant.)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

This matter coming on regularly for trial upon application of the plaintiff for the reformation of a contract entered into on the 16th day of April, 1923, by and between the Santrock Oil and Development Company, a corporation, and Foster Investment Company, a corporation, seeking to have said contract reformed to show the correct description of the property conveyed and show the real intent of the parties, and the Court having heard the testimony finds,

IT IS ADJUDGED that the contract and conveyance executed by the Santrock Oil and Development Company, a corporation and Foster Investment Company, a corporation, on the 16th day of April, 1923, and recorded in the Office of the County Clerk for Beckham County, Oklahoma, on the 27th day of April, 1923, in Volume 20 of Misc on page 252 be and the same is hereby reformed and corrected according to the real intent of the parties, so the description therein which is as follows, to-wit:

The Southeast Quarter (SE $\frac{1}{4}$) of the
Southwest Quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section
Twenty-five (25), Township Nine (9)
North, Range Twenty Four (24W) West,
Beckham County, Oklahoma.

shall read as follows:

Southeast Quarter (SE $\frac{1}{4}$) of Northwest
Quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section TwentyFive
(25) Township Nine (9) North, Range
Twenty-four (24W) West, Beckham County,
Oklahoma.

and as reformed said instrument shall convey said last described premises as fully as if the same had originally been described therein. To which judgment said defendant excepts,

That the Plaintiff, Santrock Oil and Development Company, a corporation recover of the defendant, Foster Investment Company, the cost of this action, taxed at _____ Dollars.

Dated this 25 day of September, 1925,

F. E. Kennermer, Judge

O.K. W.D. Abbott,
M. C. Rodolf
Atty's for Plt.

O.K. as to form
C. H. Rosenstein,
Atty for Deft.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
TRUSTEES OF M. E. CHURCH PETTIE CHAPPLE) 46 Equity
Defendants.)

On this 25th day of September, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that above entitled cause be passed for the term.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER, 25, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

R. W. HART,	Plaintiff.	}	In Equity No. 59.
vs.			
GLADYS BELLE OIL COMPANY, et al.,	Defendants.		
INTERNATIONAL SUPPLY COMPANY, ET L.,	Interveners,	}	

O R D E R.

This cause coming on to be heard on the application of the International Supply Company, et al., to be made a party and the petition having been duly considered, and it appearing to the Court that the said petitioners have an interest in the subject matter of this suit sufficient to enable them to become parties to this suit.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the International Supply Company, et al., intervenors, be and they are hereby granted leave to intervene herein..

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 25, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. Roy Cornett.

M. A. DOYLE,	Plaintiff.	}	# 83 Eq.
vs.			
GLADYS BELLE OIL COMPANY,	Defendant.	}	

On this 25th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that hearing in above entitled cause be, and same hereby is continued to September, 26, 1925.

Court adjourned until September, 26, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER, 26, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, September, 26, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

FIRST NATIONAL BANK, BURKBURNETT, Plaintiff.
vs.
R. C. GECK, et al., Defendants. #33 Eq.

On this 26th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered hearing in above entitled cause be continued for term.

R. W. HART, Plaintiff.
vs.
GLADYS BELLE OIL COM. Defendant. 59 Eq.

On this 26th day of September, 1925, the above entitled cause comes on for further hearing. The Court being fully advised in the premises leave is granted J. Ray Stebbins and Mrs Kate Stebbins to file Bill of Intervention in above entitled cause.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ROBERT W. HART, Plaintiff.
vs.
GLADYS BELLE OIL COMPANY, a corporation, MARQUETTE OIL CORPORATION, a corporation, et al. Defendants.
and
WALTER E. DONLEVY, Wm. BRADEN, et al. Interveners. No. 59 Equity.
and
J. RAY STEBBINS AND MRS KATE C. STEBBINS as administratrix of the estate of GRANT C. STEBBINS, deceased. Interveners.
and
INTERNATIONAL SUPPLY COMPANY, et al., Interveners.

C R E B R.

On this 26th day of September, A. D. 1925 comes on the above styled and numbered cause for an order disposing of the application of the plaintiff, and certain of the intervenors herein for the appointment of a

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SEPTEMBER, 26, 1925.

and for other relief; the plaintiff R. W. Hart appearing herein in person and by his solicitors M. W. Eakes, Jean P. Day, and Eden L. Taylor; the intervenors Walter H. Donlevy, Wm. Braden and divers other stockholders uniting with the said Donlevy and Breeden in the petition of intervention herein, appearing by their solicitors Woodward & Westhafer; the intervenors E. Ray Stebbins and Kate C. Stebbins, administratrix, appearing by their solicitors W. A. Ledbetter and F. E. Riddle; the intervenors International Supply Company and the divers creditors uniting with them in their petition of intervention herein appearing by their solicitors R. C. Allen. The defendants Gladys Belle Oil Company, the Marquette Oil Corporation, Harrison M. Green, James Swindler J. W. Sloan (impleaded as James Sloan) John L. Shakely, Harry Slater, appearing by their solicitors Aby & Tucker and West, Gibson, Sherman, Davidson & Hall, said Harrison M. Green, John L. Shakely and Harry Slater also appearing in person; and E. D. Allen (plaintiff in a certain suit in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, No. 32824 on the docket of said court, wherein said Allen is plaintiff and Gladys Belle Oil Company, et al, defendants, by order of this court made a party to this suit) appearing by his solicitor Sam A. Neely, and John G. Catlett (who was appointed receiver in the last-mentioned suit, No. 32824, District Court of Tulsa County, and also by order of this Court made a party to this suit) appearing in person and by his solicitor James Davenport.

And it appearing to the court that heretofore, to-wit, on the 16th day of July, 1925, part of the evidence was taken herein and that by successive orders of continuance further hearing passed until September 25, 1925, and further evidence having been taken in the last-named date and not being concluded on said date, that the same was pursuant to agreement proceeded with on this 26th day of September until all of the parties on both sides desirous of introducing any testimony herein had rested: it further appearing to the court that one M. A. Doyle has also filed a bill in equity in this court asking for the appointment of a receiver and for other relief, in which cause the said M. A. Doyle is plaintiff and Gladys Belle Oil Company et al. are defendants, and is no 83 Equity upon the docket of this court, and that at the instance of counsel for plaintiff in said last named cause and by order of this court all of the testimony taken upon said hearings was so taken in both the instant case and said Equity No. 83, the hearing upon both of which causes was had at the same time.

And it further appearing to the court from the evidence adduced herein that there does not exist any necessity for the appointment of a receiver at this time and that the appointment of a receiver is not desired by any creditor of the defendant corporation, and is being actively opposed by all of the principal and larger creditors of said corporation, and that only a very small per cent of the stockholders of the defendant corporation are asking for a receiver, and that the principal stockholders of the Gladys Belle Oil Company and the Marquette Oil Corporation are opposing the appointment of any receiver herein, and that to make such appointment at this time would be detrimental to the interest of all persons concerned, and that the application of the plaintiff R. W. Hart and such of the intervening petitioners as have joined with him in the motion for the appointment of a receiver, including Walter H. Donlevy, Wm. Breeden, and the other who have intervened with them, as well as the said M. A. Doyle's application in Equity No. 83 hereinbefore referred to, should be denied;

And it further appearing to the court that it cannot be determined until the issues have been made up, and this cause has been heard upon its merits, as to what portion, if any, of the various matters complained of by plaintiff, and asserted by some of the intervenors herein as entitling them to relief at the hands of this court, will eventually justify affirmative relief by this court, or whether any relief can or should be decreed, and that this court should retain jurisdiction of this cause, and of the parties hereto to the end that after final hearing upon the merits, such relief as the facts may warrant, and the court may find appropriate and necessary in the premises, may be awarded; and the court, being well and sufficiently advised in the premises.

IT IS BY THE COURT CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED, ORDERED AND DECREED That the application of the plaintiff R. W. Hart, for the appointment of a receiver, and the motions of those of the intervening stockholders who have joined with him in said action, including also the plaintiff in the separate suit

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA. EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM Tulsa, Okla. SEPTEMBER, 26, 1925.

Equity No. 83 hereinbefore referred to and now pending in this court, be and the same are hereby overruled and denied; but this order is without prejudice to any subsequent application for a receiver if any application hereafter made sufficient grounds and reasons shall be made to appear justifying and requiring such appointment.

AND IT IS BY THE COURT FURTHER CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED, ORDERED AND DECREED that this court will, and does hereby retain full and complete jurisdiction of this cause for all purposes, to the end that all proper and adequate relief which may hereafter be rightfully awarded, after the cause shall have been fully heard as to all of the issues involved therein, may be awarded and decreed as to all the parties hereto, as their rights shall finally appear, and as equity may require.

AND IT IS BY THE COURT FURTHER CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED, ORDERED AND DECREED that the injunction granted on the 26th day of August, 1925, enjoining and restraining E. D. Allen, and John G. Catlett, and all persons acting by, for or under them, or either of them, from taking any further steps or proceedings in that certain cause pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, wherein E. D. Allen is plaintiff and Gladys Belle Oil Company, a corporation, Marquette Oil Corporation, a corporation, and others, are defendants, being case No. 32,824 in said District Court, from in any way interfering with the possession and control of the moneys, books, records, properties and assets of the said Gladys Belle Oil Company and Marquette Oil Corporation, or from taking any action of any kind or character under and pursuant to any order made by the court in said cause pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, be and the same is hereby continued in full force and effect.

AND IT IS BY THE COURT FURTHER CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED, ORDERED AND DECREED that until the further order of this court each and every party to this action and all persons acting by, through or under them, or by reason of any authority or direction from them or either of them, or acting for or in behalf or on account of them or either of them, be and they are hereby enjoined and restrained from interfering with the present officers and directors of the defendant Gladys Belle Oil Company and Marquette Oil Corporation in the possession, management and control of the business, properties, moneys, books, records and assets of the said two corporations and from instituting any other or further suits against them or any of them for the purpose, or with the effect, of interfering with or hindering them in the possession, management and control of the business, properties, assets, moneys, books and records of the said two corporations, the Gladys Belle Oil Company and the Marquette Oil Corporation.

Done at Tulsa this 26th day of September, A. D. 1925.

F.E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 26, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. H. W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

M. A. DOYLE, Complainant, vs. GLADYS BELLE OIL COMPANY, Et al. Defendants. No. 83 Equity.

ORDER.

Now on this 26th day of September, 1925, do hereby for hearing the above entitled cause upon the application of the plaintiff for the appointment of a receiver and for other relief, the plaintiff appearing by his

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SEPTEMBER, 26, 1925.

attorneys, Woodward & Westhafer, the defendants Gladys Belle Oil Company and Marquette Oil Corporation appearing by their solicitors Aby & Tucker and West Gibson, Sherman, Davidson & Hull and the defendants Harrison M. Green, James Swindler, John L. Shakely, I. F. Cross and J. Ray Stebbins appearing in person;

Thereupon by agreement of all parties the said application for appointment of a receiver was heard with a similar application in the case of Robert W. Hart, Complainant, vs. Gladys Belle Oil Company, et al., defendants, No. 59 Equity, and it was agreed between all parties that the evidence submitted should be treated as applicable to both of said causes.

And it appearing to the court from the evidence adduced therein that there does not appear any necessity for the appointment of a receiver at this time and that the appointment of a receiver is not desired by any creditor of the defendant corporation and is being actively opposed by all the larger creditors of said corporation and that only a very small per cent of the stockholders of the defendant corporations are asking for a receiver and that the principal stockholders of Gladys Belle Oil Company and Marquette Oil Corporation are opposing the appointment of a receiver herein and that to make such appointment at this time would be detrimental to the interests of all persons concerned and that the application for a receiver herein should be denied.

And it further appearing to the court that it cannot be determined until all the issues have been made and this cause has been heard upon its merits as to what portion if any of the various matters complained of by plaintiff and asserted by some of the intervenors herein as entitling them to relief at the hands of this court will eventually justify affirmative relief or whether any relief can or should be decreed, and that this court should retain jurisdiction of this cause and the parties hereto to the end that after final hearing upon the merits such relief as the facts would warrant and the court may find appropriate and necessary in the premises may be awarded, and the court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises,

IT IS BY THE COURT CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED ORDERED AND DECREED that the application of the plaintiff M. A. Doyle for the appointment of a receiver be, and the same is hereby overruled and denied, but this order is without prejudice to any subsequent application for receiver if, upon application hereafter made; sufficient grounds and reasons shall be made to appear justifying and requiring such appointment;

AND IT IS BY THE COURT FURTHER CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED, ORDERED AND DECREED that this court will and does hereby retain full and complete jurisdiction of this cause for all purposes to the end that all proper and adequate relief which may hereafter be rightfully awarded after the cause shall have been fully heard as to all of the issues involved therein may be awarded and decreed as to all parties hereto as their rights shall finally appear and as equity may require.

Done at Tulsa this 26th day of September, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 26, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until September, 28, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 28, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, September, 28, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs.
SINCLAIR OIL & GAS CO., et al., Defendants.
No. 14 In Equity.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

The United States of America to the Sinclair group of defendants, and especially to those defendants who move to dismiss the cross complaint of the Menahwee group of defendants:

WHEREAS, The Menahwee group of defendants have filed in this court on this date their application for a re-hearing and review of the Order of this court dismissing the Cross Complaint of the Menahwee group of defendants:

Now, Therefore, said application is set for hearing on the 2 day of Oct. 1925, at the hour of 10:00 A.M., in the United States Court room at Tulsa, Oklahoma, at which time and place you may show cause, if any you have, why said application should not be granted.

Witness the hand of said Court and the Judge thereof this 28 day of September, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 26, 1925. H.P. Warfield Clerk U.S. District Court H.W.J.

ALLWEER OIL CO., Plaintiff,
vs.
B. H. CRAWFORD, Defendant.
48 Equity.

On this 28th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be stricken from present assignment and continued until Friday October, 2, 1925.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
vs.
RICHARD LLOYD JONES, Defendant.
62 Equity.

On this 28th day of September, 1925, upon agreement of all parties thereto, the above entitled cause is stricken from present assignment and continued for the term.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION. APRIL, 1925, TULSA, OAKL. MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 28, 1925.

NATIONAL ROYALTIES CORPORATION, et al.,	}	#64 Equity.
Plaintiffs.,		
vs.		
F. L. FAIR, et al.,	}	#64 Equity.
Defendants.		

On this 28th day of September, 1925, the above entitled cause was stricken from present assignment and continued for term upon agreement of all parties thereto.

OLD HONESTY OIL COMPANY,	}	#89 Equity.
Plaintiff,		
vs.		
ISSAC SHULER,	}	#89 Equity.
Defendant.		

On this 28th day of September, 1925, above entitled cause is set for hearing on Wednesday, September, 30th, 1925.

ROBERT E. ALEXANDER,	}	#15 Equity.
Plaintiff.		
vs.		
W. C. MORRIS,	}	#15 Equity.
Defendants.		

On this 28th day of September, 1925, it is agreed that above entitled cause be, and same hereby is, continued to a day to be agreed upon by counsel.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	}	#47 Hq.
Plaintiff.		
vs.		
LUCY MARTIN, R. C. RAWLINGS,	}	#47 Hq.
LYDIA RAWLINGS, H. L. LOCKLAND		
AND G. L. LACKLAND,		
Defendants.		

D E C R E E.

Now on this 28th day of September, 1925, this matter coming on to be heard upon Bill of Complaint filed herein by the above named plaintiff, the United States of America in its own behalf and for and on behalf of one, Mary Threekiller, a fullblood Cherokee Indian properly enrolled as such, opposite Hall No. 1244, and who is a ward of said Plaintiff, and the Court after hearing the evidence offered and being fully advised in the premises finds:

That said defendants, Lucy Martin, R. C. Rawlings, Lydia Rawlings, G. L. Lockland and G. L. Lackland, and each of them are citizens of the United States of America.

That said defendants and each of them are non-residents of the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, but their whereabouts and location being unknown to said plaintiff, they were served by proper warning order herein dated July 1, 1925, commanding that they appear, plead, answer or demur herein on or before the 17th day of August, 1925; and, that each and every one of said defendants having failed to appear, after having been three times duly called in open court and having been three times duly called in open court and having failed to plead herein are adjudged in default, proof of publication of said warning order being filed herein and by the Court having been duly examined is hereby approved and referred to for far

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925.

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 28, 1925.

182

ther particulars, and that said Plaintiff, The United States of America now appears by its attorney, L. N. Stivers, Assistant United States Attorney, in and for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma.

That the said Mary Threkiller as aforesaid is a full-blood Cherokee Indian properly enrolled as such opposite Roll No. 1244 and by virtue of such blood and enrollment there was allotted to her in part the following described land, to-wit:

The W/2 of the SW/4 of the NE/4 of Section 19, Township 24 North, Range 14 East, Washington County, State of Oklahoma, and in the Northern District of Oklahoma.

Thatm the said land aforesaid allotted, patented and described, is, and was at all times hereinafter mentioned by Acts of Congress duly restricted against alienation and encumbrance and has not at any time since, and is not now subject to alienation and encumbrance by the Allottee, Mary Threkiller, or any other person, except by and with the approval of the Secretary of the Interior after removal of restrictions therefrom.

Thatm on the 21st day of March, 1905, the defendant, Lucy Martin executed and delivered to the defendant R. C. Rawlings her certain warranty deed covering the lands hereinbefore described, which said deed was filed for record on the 25th day of March, 1905, and is now of record in the County Clerk's office of Rogers County, State of Oklahoma, and recorded in Miscellaneous Record One, Page 401, which said Miscellaneous record of Rogers County, or the portion thereof showing the recording of this said deed having been transcribed and now on file in the County of Washington State of Oklahoma.

That on the 5th day of April, 1905, the defendants, R. C. Rawlings and Lydia Rawlings executed and delivered to the defendant, G. L. Lockland their certain Quit Claim Deed covering the lands herein described, which said deed last aforesaid was on the 8th day of April, 1905, duly filed for record and recorded in the Miscellaneous Records of Rogers County, Book 1, Page 342, which said Rogers County records have been transcribed and are now of record in the County of Washington, State of Oklahoma.

Thatm on the 20th day of October, 1909, the said defendant, R. C. Rawlings, as a single man having in the meantime been divorced from Lydia Rawlings executed and delivered to the defendant, G. L. Lockland his certain Quit Claim Deed covering the lands herein described, which said deed was filed for record on November 13, 1909, in the County of Washington, State of Oklahoma, and is now of record in the County Clerk's office of said County in Deed Book 9, Page 553.

That, each of said deeds aforesaid covering and purporting to convey the said 20 acres of land hereinbefore mentioned and allotted to the said MARY THREKILLER, for the reasons aforesaid, are null and void, and of no force and effect insofar as they attempt to, or convey the said allotted lands of the said Mary Threkiller.

That, because of the restrictions of Congress imposed upon the allotted lands hereinbefore mentioned, and which have not been removed, each of said deeds aforesaid are null and void, and without force and effect, and are clouds upon the title of the said allottee, Mary Threkiller, because they have been, and now appear of record as hereinbefore mentioned, and have not been approved by the Secretary of the Interior.

That, said plaintiff, and the said Mary Threkiller are each without adequate remedy at law.

That because of the failure of said defendants to appear and plead herein pursuant to said warning order, an Order Pro Confesso was entered herein August, 25, 1925, and so days having elapsed since the entry of said order last aforesaid:

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that all issued herein be, and are hereby found in favor of said Plaintiff, and that said deeds and each of them hereinbefore mentioned appearing in Rogers County,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA, MONDAY SEPTEMBER, 28, 1925.

Miscellaneous Records One, Page 401, Page 343 of said Miscellaneous Records now on file and of record in the County of Washington, State of Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, in so far as they convey or attempt to convey the allotted lands of Mary Threkiller, be, and the same hereby are cancelled, set aside and held for naught, and that another deed in said petition described, recorded in Deed Record No. 9, at page 553 of the Records of said Washington County, State of Oklahoma, Northern District of Oklahoma be cancelled, set aside and held for naught, insofar, as said deed covers, conveys, or attempts to convey the allotted lands of the said Mary Threkiller, described as follows, to-wit:

The W/2 of the SW/4 of the NE/4 of Section 19, Township 24 North, Range 14 East, Washington County, State of Oklahoma and in the Northern District of Oklahoma.

and, it is further ordered that said defendants, Lucy Martin, R. C. Rawlings, Lydia Rawlings, G. L. Leckland and G. L. Laekland and each of them, or any one claiming by, through, or under them be, and are hereby enjoined from ever claiming or asserting any right, title or interest in and to said allotted lands, and for costs of this suit.

F. H. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 28, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

W. A. KUNKEL, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
TUCKER BARTLETT, ET AL Defendants.)
60 Equity.

On this 28th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that above entitled cause come on for hearing, and that Plaintiffs witnesses be heard. All parties present in person and by counsel. James McDermatt is sworn as interpreter for plaintiffs witnesses. Ten witnesses for Plaintiff are sworn and testimony taken. And it is further ordered that cause be continued, for the purpose of hearing defendants witnesses, to a date to be designated by the Court.

Court adjourned until September, 29, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY SEPTEMBER, 29, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, September, 29, 1925, Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

LONZETTA CANADY, A minor,
by EARL TANKERSLEY, her Guardian.
Plaintiff.

vs.

MOUNTAIN STATE OIL COMPANY, A
FOREIGN CORPORATION, AND THE
PRAIRIE PIPE LINE COMPANY,
A FOREIGN CORPORATION.
Defendants.

Equity No. 24.

GEORGE H. JENNINGS AND
CREEKMORE WALLACE.
Intervening Plaintiffs

ORDER CORRECTING ORDER GRANTING ENLARGEMENT OF TIME FOR FILING RECORD ON APPEAL.

It appearing to the Court that heretofore, on the 24th day of July, 1925, the Court entered its order in the above entitled cause granting an extension of time of sixty (60) days within which to file their record herein in the Circuit Court of Appeals, and it further appearing to the Court that it was the intention of the parties hereto, and the intention of the Court in entering said order to extend the time sixty (60) days from August 2nd, 1925; that through error, misadventance and mistake of the scrivener in preparing said order, said order purports to grant an enlargement of time of sixty (60) days from the 24th day of July, 1925, and that said order should be, and the same is hereby corrected to grant an enlargement of time to the Intervening Plaintiffs of sixty (60) days from the 2nd. day of August, 1925, within which to file the record herein on appeal in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit.

DONE in open Court this 29th day of September, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 29, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

Court adjourned until September, 30, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 30, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, September, 30th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BURKBURNETT,)
TEXAS, a corporation.)
Complainant,)
vs.) In Equity No. 33.
RICHARD C. GECK, et al.,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Now, on this 30th day of September, 1925, it appearing to the Court that there are taxes, penalties, interest and redemption fees in the amount of \$3377.97, and the further sum of \$157.83 representing taxes, interest and penalties for the side-walks, due and owing upon lots Five and Six (5 & 6) in Block One Hundred Fourteen (114) of the Original Town of Tulsa, which are involved in and constitute part of the subject matter of this suit; and,

It further appearing to the Court that unless such above named taxes, penalties, etc., are paid on or before the 1st day of November, 1925, said lots will be sold and their value lost to the parties to this action.

IT IS THEREFORE, BY THE COURT, ORDERED, that the defendant Ramona C. Kennedy pay the sum of \$3377.97, and the further sum of \$157.83 in satisfaction of the taxes, penalties, interest and redemption fees for the years 1921, 1922, 1923 and 1924 and in payment of side-walk tax installment, interest and penalties upon lots five and Six (5 & 6) in Block One Hundred Fourteen (114) of the Original Town of Tulsa and that upon such payment, the defendant Ramona C. Kennedy be, and she is hereby, given a first and prior lien upon the above described property for the amounts so paid by her for the discharge of the taxes, penalties, etc., and interest at the rate of eight (8%) per annum upon the said sums in the event the said action is determined adversely to her interests herein.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.

O.K. C. H. Rosenstein
Joe T. Dewberry
O.K. West.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. S. McCRAY, Complainant,)
vs.)
SAPULPA PETROLEUM COMPANY,)
a corporation, et al.,)
Defendants.)
No. 26 Equity.

On application of F. E. Riddle, attorney for the Receiver in the above entitled and numbered cause, it is hereby ordered that said receiver Joe H. Knox, on the presentation of this order pay to F. E. Riddle the sum of \$100.00 for legal services and advice rendered for the month of September

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 30, 1925

1925; and the further sum of \$100.00 for services rendered and to be rendered for the month of October, 1925, and that said order shall be filed and allowed as voucher and be credited to said receiver in his final accounting and taxes as part of the expenses in said cause.

F. E. Kennamer.
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 30, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H. W. J.

Court adjourned until October, 1, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA OKLA. THURSDAY, OCTOBER, 1, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, October, 1, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WALBRIDGE, ALDINGER COMPANY,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 19 Equity.
A. J. RUDD, ET AL.,)
Defendants.)

JOURNAL ENTRY OF ORDER.

Now on this 1 day of October, A. D. 1925, the same being one of the Judicial days of the Special April Term of said Court, the petition of the receiver for authority to sell all personal property now in his hands as such receiver came on for hearing, and upon presentation and full consideration of said petition of the receiver for an order to sell all personal property now in his hands as such receiver, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered that said receiver be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell either as a whole or in separate parcels at Public sale all personal property now in his hands as such receiver to the highest bidder for cash only and to hold the proceeds from said sale to be paid out only upon orders of this court.

In all the instances where the City of Tulsa, claims or an other intervener specific liens on parcels of said equipment said parcel shall be sold separate and the proceeds of said parcels shall be separately preserved.

It is further ordered that said receiver advertise said sale for a period of 15 days in some Newspaper with general circulation in this the Northern District of Oklahoma, and in such other publications there or elsewhere as shall seem to the receiver desirable.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O. K. W. B. Robinson,
Aby & Tucker
Massengale & Duff
Attorneys for Defts.

O.K. Stuart, Sharp, Cruce & Coakley
Attorneys for Pltff.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
L.W.J.

WILLIAM J. KNUPP, Plaintiff.)
vs.) 78 Eq.
PETERS PET. OIL CO. Defendant.)

On this 1st day of October, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that above entitled cause be set for trial Wednesday October, 7, 1925.

Court adjourned until October, 2, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, OCTOBER, 2, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, October, 2, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs.) 14 Eq.,
SINCLAIR OIL & GAS CO., Defendant.)

On this 2nd. day of October, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that hearing in above entitled cause be continued, and United States granted additional time of twenty days to file its second amended bill of Complaint and defendants granted twenty days thereafter to plead.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ALLWEE OIL COMPANY, a corporation, Complainant.)
vs.) No. 3199 Equity
E. H. CRAWFORD, Defendant.)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE.

This cause coming on for hearing on the stipulation of the parties for dismissal of plaintiff's cause of action with prejudice; and the Court having examined the stipulation it is ordered that plaintiff's cause of action be dismissed with prejudice at plaintiff's cost.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O. K. O'Mearer & Silverman,
Attorneys for Plaintiff.

C. A. Steele,
Attorney for Defendant.

Court adjourned until October, 5, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

NORTHERN OKLAHOMA.
EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, OCTOBER, 5, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, October, 5, 1925, Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

AT

THE BANK OF AMERICA, Successor Trustee
to the Franklin Trust Company,
Complainant,

vs.

NORTH AMERICAN OIL & REFINING
CORPORATION,
Defendant,

No. 89
In Equity.

- ANCILLARY TO-

THE BANK OF AMERICA, Successor Trustee,
to the Franklin Trust Company,
Complainant,

vs.

NORTH AMERICAN OIL & REFINING
CORPORATION, ET AL.,
Defendants.

PENDING IN THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF TEXAS AT FORT
WORTH.

No. 444
In Equity.

-3-

Upon reading and considering the Ancillary verified Bill of Complaint of the Bank of America, Successor Trustee to the Franklin Trust Company, Complainant in this cause, and motion of counsel for Complainant, and the defendant, North America Oil & Refining Corporation, having voluntarily appeared in this cause, and in open court having waived issuance of subpoena and entered its appearance, and admitted the material allegations in the Bill of Complaint sustained; and it appearing to the Court that on the 16th day of May, A.D. 1925, in a certain cause pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas at Fort Worth, Texas, styled The Bank of America, Successor Trustee to the Franklin Trust Company, Complainant, vs. North America Oil & Refining Corporation, et al, defendants, No. 444, in Equity, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, at Fort Worth, entered the following order and decree:

"IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH DIVISION"

THE BANK OF AMERICA, SUCCESSOR,
Trustee, to the
FRANKLIN TRUST COMPANY,
Complainant,

vs.

NORTH AMERICAN OIL & REFINING
CORPORATION ET AL.,
Defendants.

No. 444 In Equity.

On reading and considering the verified Bill of Complaint of the Bank of America, Successor Trustee to the Franklin Trust Company, in this cause, and on motion of counsel for complainant, and order to show cause

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

131

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1926 TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, OCTOBER, 5, 1926.

heretofore entered and served upon the defendant, North American Oil & Refining Corporation, and the defendant, North American Oil & Refining Corporation appearing by its Counsel, and making no objection thereto, and due deliberation being had, it is Ordered, adjudged and Decreed by the Court as follows:

1.

That T. C. McMurray be, and he is hereby appointed Receiver of all and singular the lands, property, assets, rights, franchises, oil and gas leases, leasehold estates, oil and gas wells and equipment, and all other property, real and personal, of every kind whatsoever of the North American Oil & Refining Corporation, a corporation, including all property and assets real, personal and mixed, of whatever kind or description and wherever situated, owned, leased or operated by said North American Oil & Refining Corporation, with all rights, claims, demands, choses in action or every kind, character and nature belonging unto the said North American Oil & Refining Corporation, offices, refineries, and all other buildings and appurtenances of every kind and character, and all oil wells, gas wells, oil, gas, and mineral leases, leasehold estates, and all tools, machinery, equipment, fixtures, material and supplies, and all books and accounts, records and other papers, cash in banks, or all monies, all debts, credits, stocks, bonds, securities, deeds, leases, contracts, muniments of title, bills receivable, rents, issues, profits, and income accruing or to accrue, as well as all leasehold interests and operating and other contracts, and all rights, interest, easements, privileges and franchises of said North American Oil & Refining Corporation, and all other assets of every kind and description of whatsoever nature, subject, however, to the proviso contained in Section 10 hereof.

11.

That said Receiver be and is hereby directed immediately to take possession of all of said properties, franchises, rights, properties and premises, whatsoever, and to run, manage, maintain, operate and control said properties of every kind, character, nature, and description wherever situated or found, whether in this judicial district or elsewhere, and to use, manage and conduct such business in such a manner in his judgment as will produce the best results and to this end to exercise the authority and franchises of said North American Oil & Refining Corporation, and discharge all the public duties obligatory upon it, and to preserve said properties and premises in proper condition and repair, and to manage and operate the same according to the requirements of all valid laws, and in the same manner that the said North American Oil & Refining Corporation would be bound to do if in possession thereof, and to employ and discharge and fix the compensation of all other officers, attorneys, managers, superintendents, agents and employees and make such payments and disbursements as may be needful and proper in so doing, and to collect and receive the income and tolls of said property and to retain the net revenues therefrom in such manner and to the end that the same may be applied under this order and such orders of this Court as may hereafter be made, and with further authority to proceed to recover by suit or otherwise all property in the hands of other parties belonging to said mortgage corporations and all monies justly due to it and unlawfully withheld by any person under any pretense whatsoever, and with all the other powers and duties of Receivers in such cases.

111.

That said receiver be, and is hereby authorized and empowered to institute and prosecute within the State of Texas, or elsewhere, and in his name as Receiver or in the name of the North American Oil & Refining Corporation, as he may be advised by counsel, and all such suits as may be necessary in his judgment, for the proper protection of said property and the discharge of his trust, and likewise to defend, compromise or settle all such actions instituted against him as Receiver, and also to appear in and conduct the prosecution or defense, of, or compromise or settle any actions, proceedings or suits now pending or which may hereafter be brought in any court or before any officer, department, commission or tribunal to which the defendant, North American Oil & Refining Corporation, is or shall be a party, and which, in the judgment of said Receiver, affect the property of which he is hereby appointed Receiver; but no payment shall be made by said Receiver in respect of any of such suits, actions or proceedings without further order or direction of the Court, and no action taken by the Receiver in the defense or settlement of any such actions or suits against the defendant, North American Oil

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY OCTOBER 5, 1925.

& Refining Corporation shall have the effect of establishing any claim upon or right in the property or funds in possession of said Receiver so as to alter or change the existing equities or rights of the parties.

IV.

That the Receiver, subject to the confirmation by this Court, may, at any time in his discretion, sell or convey any and all of the property and premises heretofore mentioned and described at private or public sale, either in bulk or in separate parcels for cash or terms, subject, however, in each instance to approval and confirmation by this Court.

V.

That within 10 days from this date the Receiver execute a bond in the sum of \$15,000.00, and file the same in the office of the Clerk of this Court, with one or more sureties, approved by the Clerk of this Court, for the benefit of whom it may concern, conditioned to the effect that he will well and truly perform the duties of his office and duly account for all monies and properties which may come into his hands, and abide by and perform all things which he may be directed by the Court to do.

VI.

That said Receiver is hereby authorized, and in his discretion from time to time out of the funds coming into his hands, to pay

(1) All current expenses incident to the creation and administration of his trust, and to the operation of the properties of which he is appointed Receiver.

(2) To pay or compromise all taxes and assessments due or to become due upon the properties concerning which he takes actual charge and possession of as Receiver under this order.

(3) All sums due or to become due to officers and employees of defendant, North American Oil & Refining Corporation, on account of labor performed or services rendered to the defendant, North American Oil & Refining Corporation, during the current month, or that may become due in the future by reason and on account of labor performed and services rendered to the Receiver.

(4) All sums due or to become due by way of rentals or royalties as in his judgment it may be deemed advisable in the proper conservation of the property.

VII.

That said Receiver shall open books of account and cause to be kept therein due and proper accounts of the earnings, expenses, receipts and disbursements made by him in the course of the administration of his trust, and all preserve proper vouchers for all payments made by him on account thereof, and shall deposit all monies coming into his hands in some bank or banks, and report to the Court the bank or banks so selected.

VIII.

That all persons, firms and corporations having in their possession any of said property and premises of which the Receiver is hereby appointed, and concerning which he is hereby authorized and directed to take actual charge and control of, shall deliver said property and premises to said Receiver, and each and every of the officers, directors, agents and employees of said defendant, North American Oil & Refining Corporation, he, and they are hereby required and commanded to forthwith turn over and deliver to said Receiver, or his duly constituted representative, any and all books, accounts, vouchers, papers, deeds, leases and contracts, bills, notes accounts, monies or other properties in his or their hands, or in his

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

132

or their control, belonging to or in the possession of said defendant, North American Oil & Refining Corporation, or to which it is or may become entitled, and each of said officers, directors, agents, and employees are hereby directed to abide by and conform to such orders as may be given from time to time by said Receiver, or his duly authorized representatives in conducting the operation of said property and in the discharge of his duties as Receiver, and the Complainant, or any other person, firm or corporation that may be in possession of any books, papers, deeds, leases, contracts, bills, notes, accounts, abstracts or other muniments of title belonging to the North American Oil & Refining Corporation, upon the order of the Receiver shall forthwith deliver the possession thereof to the Receiver.

LX.

That the defendant, North American Oil & Refining Corporation, and officers, directors, agents, and employees of said defendant, and all other persons claiming to act by virtue of or under said defendant, and all other persons, either in their individual, representative or official capacities, and firms and corporations whatsoever and wheresoever situated, located or domiciled, to, and are hereby restrained and enjoined, from interfering with, attaching, levying upon, or in any manner whatsoever disturbing any portion of the property and premises of which the Receiver is hereby appointed and directed to take actual charge and possession thereof, or from taking possession of or in any way interfering with the same, or any part thereof, or from interfering in any way to prevent the discharge of said Receiver of his duties or operation of the properties and premises under the orders of this Court, subject, however, to the provisions contained in paragraph X of this order.

X.

Provided, however, the properties and all appurtenances thereto mentioned and described in Section 5, Paragraph Two (Eleven) of the Complainant's Bill shall be exempted from all the general provisions of this Order, and the Receiver shall not assume possession of or control over, or exercise any control or management over said properties, such properties being commonly known as the joint properties of the North American Oil & Refining Corporation and the Roxana Petroleum Corporation concerning which there is now pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Wichita Falls Division, a certain suit in equity, styled Roxana Petroleum Corporation vs. North American Oil & Refining Corporation, et al, numbered & In Equity, on the dockets of said Court, said property being operated at this time by the Roxana Petroleum Corporation under contract. The Receiver, however, is hereby given the authority to defend said suit on behalf of the North American Oil & Refining Corporation, it being the purport and spirit of this order that the Receiver be, and he is hereby authorized to continue the defense of said suit on behalf of and in the name of the mortgagor corporation, and to take such appropriate action therein as in his judgment may be best suited to conserve and preserve for this estate whatever equities, rights, claims or properties there may be in said litigation belonging to the North American Oil & Refining Corporation; and providing that no action is said suit that may be taken by the Receiver under the provisions of this order on behalf of the North American Oil & Refining Corporation shall have the effect of establishing any claim or right in any of the properties, save and except the properties mentioned in sub-division 3, paragraph Eleven of the Complainant's Bill, and no action in said suit that may be taken by the Receiver under the provisions of this order shall have the effect of establishing any claim or right in the property or funds in the possession of said Receiver, or change the existing equities or legal rights of the parties.

XI.

Nothing herein contained shall require the assumption and continuance by the Receiver of any existing contracts or obligation of the North American Oil & Refining Corporation, which after his appointment, he shall deem it advisable to abandon.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL 1925. TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, OCTOBER, 15, 1925.

XII.

That the Receiver shall within a reasonable time forthwith make, or cause to be made and accurate inventory so far as possible of all properties of every kind and nature whatsoever coming into his possession under this order, and shall file the same within a reasonable time with the Clerk of this Court.

XIII.

That said Receiver shall take and retain possession of an continue to discharge the duties of trust aforesaid until the further orders of this Court, and shall, from time to time make reports of his doings in the premises, and may from time to time, apply to this court, for such other and further order and direction as he may deem necessary and requisite to the duty and administration of said trust.

XIV.

That the Complainant herein is authorized to apply to any other court of competent jurisdiction for such order or orders in the premises as the Complainant may deem necessary in aid of the orders issued by this court, and may take such further action as may be necessary or proper to make the appointment of said Receiver effective in other judicial Districts. The right is reserved to the parties hereto to apply to the Court for any other and further instruction to the Receiver, and this court reserves the right to make such further orders as may be proper and all respects regulate and control the conduct of the Receiver.

Done in open court at Fort Worth, Texas this the 16th day of May, A. D. 1925.

James C. Wilson,
United States District Judge.

APPROVED:

CAPPS, CANTY, HANGER & SHORT,
ATTORNEYS FOR COMPLAINANT,

MCLAURY & HOPPS,
Attorneys for Defendant,
North American Oil & Refining Corporation.

And it further appearing to the Court that this cause is properly ancillary to the said cause pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, and after due deliberation, and the defendant making no objections thereto,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that T. C. McMurray, be and he is hereby in all things appointed Ancillary Receiver herein over all properties, real, personal and mixed, situated within the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, of the North American Oil & Refining Corporation, more specifically set out in the order above set forth entered by the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, upon the same terms, provisions and conditions as in said order contained, and with the same power, duty and authority as is therein set forth, and said order, judgment and decree of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, above set forth, is in all things adopted by this Court, and is made effective within the State of Oklahoma, in this jurisdiction, and is hereby made the order, judgment and decree of this Court, save and except so much thereof as provides for the giving of a bond in ten days in the sum of Fifteen Thousand Dollars, by said Receiver, it appearing to this Court in this connection that such bond as provided for has been given by the Receiver and approved by the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, and that a certified copy of said bond is on file with the Clerk of this Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, OCTOBER, 15, 1925.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said bond be and the same is hereby accepted and approved by this Court.

Done in open court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this the 5 day of October, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.

APPROVED:

J. H. Miler,
Attorneys for Complaint.

McLaury & Hopps,
Attorneys for Defendant,
North American Oil & Refining
Corporation.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 5, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WALBRIDGE-ALDINGER COMPANY,)
a corporation,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 19 Equity.
A. J. RUDD, et al.,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Defendant Lock Joint Pipe Company is given up to and including October, 26th., 1925, in which to file answer to the amended and supplemental petition filed by plaintiff Walbridge-Aldinger Company.

This the 5th day of October, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 5, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WALBRIDGE-ALDINGER COMPANY,)
a corporation,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 19, EQUITY.
A. J. RUDD, et al.,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Defendant Lock Joint Pipe Company is given up to and including October, 26th 1925, in which to file answer to the petition of intervention filed by interveners John H. Pitts and Carter-Halls-Aldinger Company, comprising Pitts-Batement Company.

This the 5th day of October, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 5, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, OCTOBER, 5, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

D. J. GONYER,)	
Complainant.)	
vs.)	
H. E. CLARK, ISAAC SHULER)	No. 4 Equity.
AND CLARA B. SHULER,)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF CURRENT BILLS.

This matter coming on to be heard this 5th day of October, 1925, upon the application of Peter Deichman, receiver in the above entitled cause, showing that it is necessary to pay current bills in running the business involved herein, and the Court being fully advised,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, That said Receiver be, and he is hereby authorized to pay the following bills, to-wit:

Henry G. Beard,	U. S. Marshal	\$30.00
H. C. Tallman,	Pumper, September	65.00
George Bridgeman,	1/2 day with team	3.75
Glen Ompache,	3 1/2 days of labor	5.25
C. C. Childers,	State Auditor, Tax	25.48

Said receiver is also authorized to draw \$50.00 additional on account of his services rendered herein.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed October, 5, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until October, 6, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, October, 6, 1925.

Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer,	Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq.,	Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

WILLIAM J. KNUPP, et al.,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	# 78 Equity.
PETERS PETROLEUM CORPORATION,)	
Defendants.)	

On this 6th day of October, 1925. it is by the Court ordered, that hearing on Motion to Remand, in above entitled cause, be and same is continued to October, 13, 1925. at 2 P.M.

Court adjourned until October, 7, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER, 7, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, October, 7, 1925. Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court. H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

PURDY PETROLEUM CORPORATION, Plaintiff, vs. E. M. PURDY, et al., Defendants. Equity No. 61.

O R D E R.

This cause coming on to be heard on this the 7th day of October, 1925, upon the stipulation of the parties on this day filed;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the bill of complainat of the plaintiff be and the same is hereby amended as follows: By inserting on page seven, immediately after the ending of the first paragraph thereon contained, the following:

That at the time of the execution and delivery of said mortgage the defendant, E. M. Purdy, did receive from the defendant, The Exchange National Bank, the sum of Ten Thousand (\$10,000.00) Dollars, which the said defendant, E. M. Purdy, has converted to her own use.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 7, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FREDRICK W. BAILEY, Plaintiff, vs. R. H. SMITH, AND OKLAHOMA COMPANY, Defendants. No. 27 Equity.

D E C R E E .

This cause came on to be further heard at this term, and was argued by counsel and thereupon, upon consideration thereof, it was Ordered Adjudged and Decreed as follows, viz:

That the plaintiff, Fredrick W. Bailey, has no interest in, or title to, the oil and gas mining leases described in Schedule B. attached to and made a part of his bill of complainat, nor in any oil and gas mining leases upon lands in Township 8 North, Range 8 East, in Seminole County, Oklahoma, nor in the proceeds of any sale thereof by the defendants, nor in the income from any well or wells thereon, to which the plaintiff excepts and his exceptions are allowed.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION. APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER, 7, 1925.

That the plaintiff has and owns an undivided oneeighth interest and title in and to the oil and gas mining leases described in Schedule A attached to and made a part of his bill of complaint, to-wit, the following oil and gas mining leases in Seminole County, Oklahoma:

Northwest Quarter, Section 5, Twp. 6 N., Rg. 7 E.
West Half of Northeast Quarter, Section 5, Twp. 6 N., Rg. 7 E.
West Half of Northwest Quarter, Section 4, Twp. 6 N., Rg. 7 E.
Southwest Quarter, Section 4, Twp. 6 N., Rg. 7 E.
South Half of Northwest Quarter, Section 3, Twp. 6 N., Rg. 7 E.
Northeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter, Sec 3., Twp. 6 N., Rg. 7 E.
North Half of Northwest Quarter, Section 9, Twp. 6 N., Rg. 7 E.
Northeast of Northeast Quarter, Section 10, Twp. 6 N., Rg. 7 E.
Northeast of Northwest of Northeast, Sec 10, Twp. 6 N., Rg. 7 E.
East Half of Northeast Quarter, Sec. 8, Twp. 6 N., Rg. 7 E.
West Half of Southwest Quarter, Section 18, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 8 E.
South Half of Southwest Quarter of Southwest Quarter and
Southwest of Southwest Quarterm Sec. 19, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 8 E.
West Half of Southwest Quarter, Sec. 31, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Northwest Quarter of Southwest Quarter, Sec. 19, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
South Half of Northwest Quarter, and Northeast Quarter of North
west Quarter of Section 17, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
South Half of Northwest Quarter and Northeast of Northwest Quarter,
Section 20., Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Northeast Quarter of Section 29, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
West Half of Southeast Quarter of Section 29, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
South Half of Southwest Quarterm Sec. 28, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
North Half of Northwest Quarter, Sec 33, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Southeast Quarter of Section 33, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
North Half of Northwest Quarterm Sec. 16, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Southwest of Southwest Quarter, Sec 16, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
East Half of Northeast Quarter, Sec 16, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
West Half of Southwest Quarter, Sec. 3, Twp., 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Southwest Quarter, Section 15, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Southwest of Southeast Quarter, Section 15, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
North Half of Northwest Quarter, Sec. 27, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Southeast of Northeast Quarter, Sec. 22, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Southwest of Southeast Quarter, Sec. 13, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Southeast of Northeast Quarter, Section 11, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Northeast of Southeast Quarter, Sec. 11, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
West Half of Northeast Quarter, Section 14, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
South Half of Northwest Quarter, Section 23, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Northwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter, Sec. 23, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Southwest of Southwest Quarter, Section 23, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
West Half of Southeast Quarter, Section 23, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Southwest of Northeast Quarter, Section 23, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Northeast of Northeast Quarter, Section 23, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
East Half of Northwest of Northeast Quarter of Section 23,
Twp. 7 n., Rg. 7 E.
West Half of Southeast Quarter of Northeast Quarter, Section
23, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Northwest Quarter of Section 26, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
West Half of Northeast Quarter, Section 26, Twp., 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
North Half of Southeast Quarter of Section 24, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
West Half of Southeast Quarter, Section 25, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
South Half of Southwest of Northeast, and North Half of Northwest
of Southeast Quarter, Section 36, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Northwest of Southeast Quarter, Sec. 22, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
West Half of Northwest of Southwest, Sec. 14, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Southeast of Northwest Quarter, Sec. 22, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Northeast Quarter of Southwest Quarter, Sec. 23, Twp. 7 N., Rg. 7 E.
Northwest Quarter of Northeast Quarter, Sec. 7 Twp. 6 N., Rg. 7 E.
Northeast Quarter of Southeast Quarter, Sec. 8, Twp., 6 N., Rg. 7 E.

free and clear of all costs, charges and moneys expended in the purchase and acquisition of said leases and in the drilling and completion of the first two wells thereon, but subject only to the costs, charges and moneys expended in the operation of said two wells when completed and for one eighth of cost for all further development of said lands covered by said leases and the operation the eof for oil or gas.

115
139

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
EQUITY SESSION. APRIL, 1925. Tulsa, Okla. WEDNESDAY OCT. 7, 1925

Defendants accept all that part of decree except that part of decree except that part of decree that plaintiff has no interest leases in Township 8 - Range 8 E. in Seminole County.

Made and entered this 7 day of October, 1925,

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 7, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk by H.W. James Deputy

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE OIL WELL IMPROVEMENTS COMPANY,)
a corporation, Plaintiff.)
vs.) In Equity No. 65.
BENJAMINE F. PALMER,)
Defendant.)

O R D E R.

THE ABOVE ENTITLED CAUSE coming before the Court on plaintiff's petition for an order that a certified copy of the application of Benjamin F. Palmer, the defendant herein, for Letters Patent for an improvement in "OIL WELL CONTROL HEAD VALVE", filed February 23, 1924, Serial No. 694,678, be made available as evidence in this case, and it appearing from an affidavit filed by the defendant herein that the said defendant has stated that devices made by him and alleged by the plaintiff to be in infringement of the Hegge, patent No. 1,165,253 in suit are in fact constructed in accordance with the disclosures of his said pending application for Letters Patent, and not in accordance with the showing made by the plaintiff in its motion for preliminary injunction herein; and further that the said defendant in his said affidavit has recited citation of the Heggen patent in suit against claims made in his pending application for Letters Patent and certain actions taken by the Patent Office Examiner in view of said Heggen patent, it appears to this Court that the record of said application would be helpful to the Court in determining the issues of this case, and that such record is desirable as evidence herein.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that these facts be submitted to the Honorable Commissioner of Patents, with the respectful request that a certified copy of the file wrapper and contents, including drawings, of said application filed by Benjamin F. Palmer, the defendant herein, on February 23, 1924, Serial No. 694,687, for improvements in "Oil Well Control Head Valves", be deposited with the Clerk of this Court for use in such suit, and that a certified copy of this order be sent to the said Commissioner of Patents by the Solicitor for plaintiff, together with a certified copy of the affidavit of Mr. Benjamin F. Palmer herein.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge U. S. District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 7, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE OIL WELL IMPROVEMENTS COMPANY,)
a corporation. Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 65 In Equity.
B. F. PALMER,)
Defendant.)

O R D E R.

This cause having come on to be heard on motion of plaintiff for a preliminary injunction and on reading and filing notices of motion for an injunction herein and proof of service thereof and the affidavits on behalf

20. In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

of the plaintiff annexed hereto, and on reading and filing affidavits on behalf of the defendant, and on reading and filing answering affidavits on the part of the plaintiff, and counsel for defendant as well as for the plaintiff having been heard, the same having been duly considered by the Court, and it appearing that Letters Patent of the United States No. 1,165,253 were issued in due form of law on the 21st day of December, 1915, for an improvement in "CASINGHEAD" to Alfred G. Heggen, assignor to the Oil Well Improvements Company, and it further appearing as represented by counsel for plaintiff and from the proof submitted that the defendant B. F. Palmer has infringed on the rights secured by the aforesaid Letters Patent by selling to others casing heads embodying the invention set forth in said patent contrary to form of the statute in such case made and provided;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED; that a preliminary injunction be issued pursuant to the prayer herein directed, commanding and enjoining the defendant, B. F. Palmer, his clerks, agents, servants, workmen, and attorneys under the pains and penalties which may fall upon them and each of them in case of disobedience, that they forthwith and until the further order, judgment and decree of this Court, desist from making, using and selling any control heads as described and claimed in said Letters Patent.

Providing, however, that said plaintiff shall within three days from the date hereof furnish a good and sufficient bond in the amount of Seventy-five Hundred Dollars (\$7500.00), conditioned upon the plaintiff prosecuting said suit to effect, and for the payment to the defendant of any damages occasioned to the defendant because of the granting and wrongful issuance of said injunction should the Court find on final hearing that said injunction should not have been granted.

Dated this 7th day of October, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge United States District
Court.

O.K.

John M. Spellman.

Court adjourned until October, 8th, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1926.

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, OCTOBER, 8 1926.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, October, 8, 1926. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. F. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE CARTER OIL COMPANY,
a corporation,

Plaintiff.

vs.

LOUIS SCOTT, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 10 Equity.

O R D E R.

Upon application of plaintiff it is ordered that the time of filing briefs herein be extended to November, 15th, 1926, plaintiff's attorney to notify all attorneys in interest.

Dated October, 8, 1926.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 8, 1926. E.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H. W. J.

Court adjourned until October, 12, 1926.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925.

Tulsa, Okla. MONDAY, OCTOBER, 12, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, October, 12, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

D. J. GONYER,)
Complainant)
vs.)
H. E. CLARK, et al.,) No. 4 Equity.
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Now on this 12th day of October, came on to be heard the application of complainant herein to withdraw the sale advertised to be made on this date pursuant to a judgment of partition and order of sale heretofore made in this cause, all parties appearing in open court and being represented by counsel consenting to said order, and it appearing to the Court that said matter has been adjusted and settled out of court agreeably and satisfactorily to all parties concerned, substantially upon the basis of the conveyance of the complainant's interest within and to said property to the defendant, H. E. Clark, for a consideration to be agreed upon and to be paid in the manner agreed, and in addition thereto the said H. E. Clark is to pay all of the costs, and expenses of this proceeding, including reasonable counsel fees, the amount of same to be fixed by the court, and such additional fees as may be allowed by the court to the receiver.

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the order of sale heretofore issued directing the marshal of said court to sell said property on this date be vacated and held for naught.

It is further ordered that the sale advertised for this date be withdrawn by the Marshal of this court and that he make his return on said writ accordingly.

It is further ordered by the Court that the said H. E. Clark pay the costs, expenses and attorney fees, and that the fees for complainant's attorney fixed in the sum of \$750.00; that the receiver is allowed the additional sum of \$ in addition to the amount heretofore paid him. That said receiver shall file his final report herein and upon approval of same he shall stand discharged. Costs and expenses to be taxed to defendant, H. E. Clark, not to exceed the sum of \$1250.00.

Entered in open court this 12th day of October, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

O. K. F. K. Riddle,
Attorney for Pltf.
Rodolph, Haver & Shirk.
Attorney for H. E. Clark.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 12, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

1263

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, OCTOBER, 12, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF STATE OF
OKLAHOMA.

LIZZIE WILLIAMS, nee Lewis,)
and John Lewis.)
Plaintiffs.)

vs.)

No. 81 Equity.)

DARWIN FILSCH, PEARL GORDON,)
M. H. GORDON, L. B. WELCH,)
H. D. WOOLEN AND RACHEL A. SMITH.)
Defendants.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PLEAD.

Upon application of defendants Pearl Gordon and M. H. Gordon and
for good cause shown they are given ten days additional time within which
to plead in the above entitled cause.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 12, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S District Court.
L.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE
OF OKLAHOMA.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK,)
Plaintiff.)

vs.)

No. 77 Equity.)

J. W. THOMPSON,)
Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PLEAD.

Upon application of the defendant, J. W. Thompson, and for good
cause shown defendants hereby are given twenty days additional time within
which to plead in said above entitled cause.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 12, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
L.W.J.

Court adjourned until October, 13th, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, OCTOBER, 13, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, October, 13, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

WILLIAM J. KNUPP, et al.,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 78 Equity
PTERS PETROLEUM CO.,)
Defendants.)

On this 13th day of October, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that hearing in above entitled cause be, and same hereby is, continued to October, 20, 1925.

Court adjourned until October, 14th 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, October, 14th, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

McGRAU, BAUGHMAN, BEARLEY LBR., CO.,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 21. Eq.
GUY P. SLATER,)
Defendant.)

On this 14th day of October, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that Defendant in above entitled cause be granted 20 days in which to file his answer herein.

Court adjourned until October, 15th., 1925.

201
205

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, OCTOBER, 15, 1925

Present: Court convened pursuant to adjournment, October, 15, 1925.

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

EDWARD A. KLIEWER,	Plaintiff.	}	
	vs.	}	
CHAS. H. BROWN, et al.,	Defendant.	}	No. 20 Equity.
	and	}	
ROSS ELLIOTT,	Intervener.	}	

O R D E R.

This matter coming on to be heard on this the 15th day of October, 1925, upon the application of Ross Elliott, asking that he be permitted to introduce in this action and set up certain rights which he is asserting in the subject matter of this action; and,

The Court having considered such application, and further having considered a stipulation entered into between all the attorneys of record in this cause stipulating that such petition of intervention may be filed, with the understanding that plaintiff be given thirty days to plead to or answer such petition;

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that said applicant, Ross Elliott, be and he is hereby granted permission to intervene in this cause by filing his petition of intervention.

It is further ordered that the plaintiff be granted thirty days from date hereof within which to plead to or answer said petition of intervention.

It is further ordered that said intervener pay the sum of \$5.00 to be used as costs in this action.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 15, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

Court adjourned until October, 15, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

---EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, OCT. 16, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, October, 16, 1925, Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 54 Eq.
J. A. WOOD, otherwise known as)	
Mrs Josephine A. Wood,)	
Defendant.)	

D E C R E E.

Now on this the 16th day of October, 1925, this matter coming on to be heard upon bill of complaint herein filed, for and on behalf of the above named plaintiff, The United States of America, and who now appears by its Attorney, Louis N. Stivers, Assistant United States Attorney, in and for the Northern District of the States of Oklahoma, and said defendant, J. A. Wood, otherwise known as Mrs Josephine A. Wood, having been three times duly called in open court appeareth not, and having failed to plead herein is adjudged in default, and the court after hearing the evidence offered, and being advised in the premises finds:

That, said defendant was duly and regularly served herein by publication of a certain Warning Order herein entered and on file, proof of which said publication being also on file and hereby referred to for further particulars, and that after answer day mentioned in said Warning Order, said defendant failed to appear or plead, and because thereof, Order Pro Confesso was duly entered herein on September, 14, 1925, and that 30 days time had lapsed since the entering of said Order Pro Confesso.

The United States of America, by its solicitors, acting for and under the directors of the Attorney General of the United States, and at the request of the Secretary of the Interior of the United States, in its own behalf and acting for and on behalf of Lewis Deere, a full blood Creek Indian ward of the United States, brings this Bill of Complaint against J. A. Wood, otherwise known as Mrs Josephine A. Wood, a citizen of the United States who reside at Casa Grande, Pinal County, Arizona, and says:

I.

That, under the provisions of the law of the United States, the following described land, to-wit:

The East half of the Southwest Quarter and
the South half of the Southeast quarter of
Section Thirty-three (33), Township sixteen
(16) North, Range Seven (7) East.,

situate in Creek County, in the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, was fully allotted to one Lewis Deere, a full blood Creek Indian, entolled as such opposite Roll No. 7630, as his homestead and surplus allotments, and patents therefor, issued in favor of said Lewis Deere, was duly executed by the Principal Chief of the Creek Nation, and was approved by the Secretary of the Interior on March 27, 1903.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

207

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, OCTOBER, 16, 1925

II.

That the land so allotted, patented and described in Paragraph I is, and was, at all times hereinafter mentioned, reserved by law from alienation and encumbrance, and has not at any time been, and is not now, subject to alienation or encumbrance by the allottee or any other person, except by and with the approval of the Secretary of the Interior, after removal of restrictions therefrom.

III.

That, on or about the 15th day of December, 1908, there was filed for record and recorded Book 17, Page 334 in the office of the Register of Deeds (now County Clerk) of Creek County, Oklahoma, a certain instrument in writing, dated November 3, 1908, executed by Lewis Deere, purporting to be a General Warranty Deed, conveying to the said defendant, J. A. Wood, the certain described land set out in Paragraph I of this Bill.

IV.

That the plaintiff is without further knowledge of the claim or claims of the defendant to the land hereinbefore described in paragraph I, and calls upon him to disclose the same, if any he has; that the land described in Paragraph I was, at the time of the execution and recording of said pretended General Warranty Deed, and at all times heretofore, restricted and inalienable, and that the said pretended General Warranty Deed is void and of no force and effect, but that the same remains of record, as stated in Paragraph III, and that the execution and recording thereof constitutes a cloud upon the title of the Plaintiff herein, and of the said Lewis Deere, to the land described in Paragraph I, which cloud should, by decree of this Court, be forever, removed.

V.

That the plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law; that said allottee, Lewis Deere, for and in whose behalf this action is brought, is in possession of said above described land.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, and all issues in Law and in fact are known in favor of said Plaintiff, The United States of America and against said defendant, J.A. Wood, otherwise known as Mrs Josephine A. Wood, and

IT IS, THEREFORE, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said allottee, John Deere is the owner in fee simple of the lands herein described, subject only to the supervision of the said claimant, and that said defendant has no interest, right, title or claim in and to said land, and that the instrument under which said defendant claims is recorded in Book 17, at Page 335 of the records of the County Clerk of Creek County, be, and the same hereby is cancelled, set aside and held for naught, and it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that said defendant, J.A. Wood, otherwise known as Mrs Josephine A. Wood hereby is enjoined from ever retaining or claiming any right, title or interest of whatever nature in and to the lands herein described.

F. E. Kemmerer,
Judge.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

24

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, OCT. 16, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JOHNSON MARTIN,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 50 Equity.
ROXANA PETROLEUM COMPANY,)	
at al.,	Defendants.)	

O R D E R.

Upon application of plaintiff and for good cause shown, it is ordered that plaintiff be and he is hereby granted an extension of ten days from this date within which to comply with the order heretofore made herein to amend the bill herein.

Dated this 16th day of October, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 16, 1925. H. P. Warfield,, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JANE JEFFERSON, by her Guardian, J. C. VINCENT and A. L. WALLAVE.	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 29 Equity.
THE GYPSY OIL COMPANY, et al.)	
	Defendants.)	

O R D E R.

Upon application of plaintiff and for good cause shown, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that plaintiff be and she is hereby granted an extension of ten days from this date within which to comply with the order heretofore made to recast the pleadings in the above cause.

Dated this 16th day of October, 1925.

F. E. KENNAMER,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 16, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
L.W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 39 Equity.
NATIONAL HARDWOOD COMPANY,)	
a corporation,	Defendant.)	

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, OCTOBER, 16, 1925.

39 E.)

O R D E R.

On this 16th day of October, 1925, upon application of the Missouri Pacific Railroad Company for leave to file its intervening petition herein out of time,

IT IS ORDERED That said Missouri Pacific Railroad Company be permitted to file its intervention instanter and that the same be forthwith referred to the Special Master heretofore appointed in this cause, for consideration.

F. E. Kenamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 16, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court,
L. W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN
AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, for the use
of the MUSKOGEE, (Creek) NATION,
Complainant.

vs.

No. 49 Eq.

A. J. KENNEDY, L. E. JAMISON,
T. B. GOODWIN, MARY A. JAMISON,
W. A. KEASE, MRS W. A. KEASE, GEORGE
KILCOYNE AND THE BOARD OF COUNTY
COMMISSIONERS OF TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA,
AND THE CITY OF TULSA,
Defendants.

D E C R E E.

Now on this 16th day of October, 1925, this matter coming on to be heard on Bill of Complaint filed herein by said Complainant, The United States of America for the use of the Muskogee Creek Nation, and for and on behalf of one, W. E. Davis; and said complainant now appearing by its attorney Louis N. Stivers, Assistant United States Attorney, in and for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, and said defendant and each of them having been duly served with process as hereinafter enumerated, and each having been three times duly called in open court, appearth not, and having failed to plead herein are adjudged in default, and the Court after hearing the evidence offered and being fully advised in the premises finds:

That each of said defendants except the Board of County Commissioners of Tulsa County, Oklahoma and the City of Tulsa are non-residents of the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, and that each of said non-resident defendants, except George Kilcoyne, were duly served herein by publication of Warning Order, proof of such publication being filed herein, made a part hereof, and referred to for further particulars, and that the said defendant, George Kilcoyne was served personally with said Warning Order as shown by the Marshal's return thereon, and that the defendants, The Board of County Commissioners of Tulsa County and the City of Tulsa were each duly and regularly served with due process herein, and that each and every one of said defendants have failed to plead herein, and that after answer day for each of said defendants, and after such failure to plead, Order Pro Confesso was duly and regularly entered herein against each and everyone of said defendants, and that a period of 30 days has elapsed since the entry of said Order Pro Confesso.

That each and every one of said defendants are citizens of this United States. That the town of Tulsa, Indian Territory, now Oklahoma, was surveyed and platted into town lots under authority by an Act of Congress approved June 28, 1898, commonly known as the Curtis Act, and that within the townsite platted and surveyed were the Lots and Blocks involved in this suit described as follows, to-wit:

Lots 1 and 6, Block 35, City of Tulsa,
Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, OCT. 16, 1925.

and now located within the Northern District of Oklahoma, and that by virtue of an engagement entered into between the Government of the United States and the Muskogee (Creek) Nation of Indians on March 8, 1900, and the Act of Congress approved March 1, 1901, ratifying and adopting said agreement it became the duty of this Complainant, the United States of America to sell and dispose of the said Lots, aforesaid, for the use and benefit of the Muskogee (Creek) Nation of Indians to persons qualified and entitled to purchase same in accordance in all respects with the provisions of the said Creek Agreement, and the said Act of March 1, 1901, aforesaid,

That pursuant to said agreement aforesaid, your said Complainant, the United States of America, for and on behalf of the said Muskogee (Creek) Nation, and by and through George Washington Hill, Principal Chief of Muskogee Creek Nation on July, 31, 1924, executed and delivered to one, Bert G. Whiteis, a patent covering and conveying the said Lot 6, Block 35, in the City of Tulsa, which said patent was on Oct. 17, 1924, duly and regularly approved by Secretary of the Interior, and that by a mesne conveyance from the said Bert G. Whiteis said Lot last aforesaid was duly and regularly conveyed to the said W. E. Davis, who is now the owner in fee simple and in a quiet and peaceable possession of the same.

That your complainant, The United States of America for and on behalf of the said Muskogee (Creek) Nation has bargained and agreed to sell the said Lot 1, Block 35, City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, to the said W. E. Davis, who is now the owner in fee simple, and in the quiet and peaceable possession thereof subject only to the deferred payments thereon due your said Complainant for and on behalf of the said Muskogee (Creek) Nation.

That because of the premises and agreements aforesaid, your Complainant, The United States of America for and on behalf of the said Muskogee (Creek) Nation is obligated and duly bound to give to said grantee hereinbefore mentioned the said W. E. Davis a clear and marketable title to said Lots aforesaid.

That the said A. J. Kennedy, L. E. Jamison, T. B. Goodwin, Mary A. Jamison, W. A. Cease, Mrs. W. A. Cease and George Kilcoyne claim some right, title and interest in and to said Real Estate, the said Lots 1, and 6, Block 35, City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, the exact nature of which said claim being unknown to your Complainant, but being by virtue of certain conveyances on file and of record in the office of the County Clerk of the County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, and recorded respectively in Book 141, Page 459; Book 250, Page 342; Book 242, Page 620; book 249, Page 333 and Book 21, Page 349, all of the records of the said County Clerk of Tulsa County, and all of which said claims therein enumerated are null and void and of no force and effect, and because of the records aforesaid are clouds upon the title of the said claimant and its said grantee and prospective grantee the said W. E. Davis.

That the said Board of County Commissioners of the County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, for, and on behalf of the County of Tulsa, and the said defendant the "City of Tulsa," each claim some right, title and interest in and to said Lot 1 and 6, Block 35, City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, the exact nature of which said claim being unknown to your Complainant and that because thereof said two defendants last aforesaid are purporting to assess and levy thereon certain taxes, the exact amount and character of which said taxes being unknown to your Complainant, and that all of which said taxes are claims therein, and thereunto are null and void, and of no force and effect save and except the taxes levied and assessed against said Lot 6, Block 35, City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, for the year 1925, the title to both of said Lots being and remaining in the said Creek Nation up to July 31, 1924, on which date the said Lot 6, aforesaid, was conveyed as herein before mentioned and after which said time and for the year 1925, and not until then, the said lot 6, became liable to taxation, the said Lot 1, Block 35, aforesaid, not yet being liable to taxation, and that all the claims hereinbefore mentioned by and on behalf of said defendants, A. J. Kennedy, L. E. Jamison, T. B. Goodwin, Mary A. Jamison, W. A. Cease, Mrs. W. A. Cease, George Kilcoyne and the Board of County Commissioners of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and the City of Tulsa, " and each of them are null and void and of no force and effect, and are clouds upon the title of your complainant and its grantee and prospective grantee, the said W. E. Davis, and that the said Complainant is without an adequate remedy at law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ordered, adjudged and decreed, That said

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

211

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, OCT. 16, 1925.

Lots 1 and 6, Block 35, City of Tulsa,
Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma,

belonging to the said Muskogee Creek Nation, title thereto held in trust by said Complainant, The United States of America up to July, 31, 1924, at which time, and on which said date patent to Lot 6, Block 35 aforesaid, was duly issued and on October 17, 1924 said patent was duly and regularly approved by the Secretary of the Interior, and that the said W. E. Davis is owner in fee simple thereof, and that said Lot 1, Block 35, aforesaid, is now the property of said Muskogee Creek Nation, with title held in trust by said Complainant, The United States of America, and that said Complainant has agreed and bargained to sell said Lot 1, Block 35, City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma to the said W. E. Davis, who is now the owner in fee simple of both of said Lots aforesaid, subject only to the deferred payments due on said Lot 1, Block 35, City of Tulsa and that any and all claims held or assessed by said defendants herein are null and void and of no force and effect, and that the instruments under which said claims are asserted, duly recorded as herein enumerated are hereby canceled, set aside and held for naught, and that any claims for taxes levied or assessed and any ordinance or resolution as basis thereof or in support thereof, for and on behalf of the Board of Commissioners of Tulsa County, and the City of Tulsa, or either of them are null and void and are of no force and effect concerning Lot 6, Block 35, prior to July, 31, 1924; and that all of said such taxes of whatsoever nature concerning Lot 1, Block 35, aforesaid, are all null and void to the this date and that all of such said taxes so levied and assessed, and any ordinance or resolution pertaining thereto are hereby cancelled, set aside and held for naught in as far as they deal with said lots aforesaid, and each and every one of said defendants herein are hereby enjoined from ever claiming or asserting any right, title and interest in, and to, said Lots 1 and 6, Block 35, City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, save and except such taxes as may be duly and regularly levied and assessed by said defendants, the Board of County Commissioners and the City of Tulsa subsequent to the dates herein mentioned and in accordance with this decree, and for costs of this suit.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 16, 1925. H. . Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
L. W. J.

Court adjourned until October, 17, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, OCTOBER, 17, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, October, 17, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Werfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

FANNIE S. CARR, HELEN R. BAILEY, OLIVE R. REIS,)
C. H. BOSLER, ALAMAN, FUNKHOUSER & MURR, a co-)
partnership composed of D.W. ALAMA, C. A.)
FUNKHOUSER AND BYRON MURR, AND D. W. ALAMAN,)
Plaintiffs.) No. 91 IN Equity.
vs.)
THE TULSA STREET RAILWAY COMPANY,)
a corporation.)
Defendant.)

O R D E R.

Now, on this 17 day of October, 1925, this cause comes on for hearing upon the Bill of Complaint and the answer of the defendant thereto, this day filed, on motion of the complainants for the appointment of a receiver, and after hearing M. A. Breckinridge and Charles R. Bostick, for the complainants, and A. F. Moss and J. C. Farmer, for the defendants, and after consideration of said bill,

IT IS ORDERED. ADJUDGED AND DECREED that C. Kline of the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, be and he is hereby appointed Receiver of the defendants, The Tulsa Street Railway Company, and of all of the properties of the said defendant, real, personal and mixed of whatsoever kind and where soever situated, including its railroad lines, tracks, car barns, terminal facilities, office, shops, all buildings and appurtenances of every kind, and all rolling stock, equipment, tools, machinery, busses, furniture, fixtures, materials and supplies, books of account, records and all other books and records, papers and accounts, cash on hand, and cash on deposit in banks, and all moneys debts, choses in action, credits, stocks, bonds, securities, deeds, leases, contracts, muniments of title, bills receivable, rents, issues, profits and income accruing and to accrue, as well as all interest, easements and privileges, franchise and assets of every character and kind; and said Receiver be and he is hereby authorized immediately to take possession of all of the aforesaid properties of the defendant and to run, manage and operate said street railway company's busses and properties in such manner as will in his judgment produce the most satisfactory results on behalf of said company and its creditors, and the operation of said street railway system be continued in the same manner as at present, and the public duties obligatory upon said defendant be in all respects discharged, and to exercise the authority and franchise of said defendant, and discharge its public duties and to protect and preserve its system in proper condition and repair, and to protect the title and possession to secure and develop the property of said defendant company, and in his discretion to employ, discharge and fix the compensation of the officers, attorneys, managers, agents and employees, and to make such payments and disbursements which may be needful and proper in so doing.

Said Receiver is authorized to collect all rents, incomes, and profits of said defendant, and to make any and all payments therefrom on account of accruing rents and necessary charged, and shall have power to borrow money as needful in his judgment in order to comply with this order, and also so far as may be needful to pay off all current necessarys for labor and supplies, but for no otherpurpose without the further order of this Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

212

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, OCTOBER, 17, 1925.

Said Receiver is hereby authorized and empowered to institute and prosecute all suits as may be necessary in his judgment for the proper protection of the property and trust hereby imposed, and likewise to defend all actions instituted against him as Receiver, and to appear in and conduct the prosecution and defense in any suits now pending in any courts against said defendant, the prosecution and defense of which will in the judgment of said Receiver be necessary for the proper protection of the property placed in his charge, or in the interest and rights of creditors connected herewith, and said Receiver is hereby authorized in his discretion from time to time, out of the funds coming into his hands to pay the operating expenses of said properties in executing this trust, and to pay all taxes and assessments levied upon the properties of said defendant or any part thereof, and also to pay and discharge all claims arising from previous operation of said road and properties as in his judgment, on examination, are proper to be paid as expenses of operation, and the current and unpaid payroll, and supply accounts incurred in the operation of said street railway system; and said Receiver is hereby required to open proper books of account, wherein it shall be stated the earnings, expenses, receipts and disbursements of his said trust, and to preserve proper vouchers for all payments by him made on account thereof.

And it is further ordered that said Receiver shall execute a bond in the sum of \$25000.00, conditioned that he will well and truly perform the duties of his office, and duly account for all moneys or property which may come into his hands, and abide by and perform all things which he shall be directed to do with sufficient sureties, to be approved by the Judge of this Court, and filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court.

It is further ordered that the officers and directors, agents and employees of said defendant, and all other persons whomsoever, be and they are hereby required and commanded forthwith upon the demand of the said Receiver, or his duly authorized agent or attorneys, to turn over and deliver to said Receiver, or his duly authorized representative, any and all books of account, vouchers, papers, deeds, leases, contracts, bills, notes, accounts, moneys, or other property in his or their hands or under his or their control and each and all of said Directors and officers, agents and employees of said defendant are hereby commanded and required to obey and perform such orders as may be given to them from time to time by the said Receiver, or his duly constituted representative, in the conduct and operation of said property and in discharging his duty as Receiver, and said defendant, its officers and agents, and all other persons claiming to act by, or through or under said defendant, and all other persons whomsoever, are hereby enjoined from interfering in any way whatsoever with the possession and management of said property or any part thereof over which said Receiver is hereby appointed, or of interfering in any way to prevent or hamper the said Receiver in the discharge of his duties in operating the same, and all litigants plaintiff in any and all suits, their agents or attorneys are hereby enjoined and restrained from proceeding with the prosecution of any suit now pending or the issuing of any execution or process on any judgment now pending or the institution of any new suit or litigation against the said defendant, or its Receiver, without first having had and obtained the permission of this Court so to do.

Dated at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 17 day of October, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 17, 1925. H. F. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
L.W. J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925, TERM. TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, OCT. 17, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	
NATIONAL HARDWOOD COMPANY,)	In Equity No. 39
Defendant.)	
TITLE GUARANTEE & TRUST COMPANY.)	
ARMOUR & COMPANY,)	
Intervenor.)	

ORDER DIRECTING CERTAIN DEFENDANTS TO APPEAR, PLEAD OR ANSWER IN THE ABOVE ENTITLED CAUSE.

On this 17th day of October, 1925, comes on for hearing the above entitled and numbered cause in equity on the motion of the intervenor, Armour & Company, the movant appearing by its attorney, Fred Pfendler, and it appearing to the court from said motion that the intervenor has a claim against the Oklahoma and Arkansas Railway Company, one of the corporations for the assets of which a Receiver has been appointed in this cause; and

It further appearing to the court from said motion that the said Oklahoma and Arkansas Railway Company is the owner of a line of railroad in Mayes County, Oklahoma, and that its stock is owned by the National Hardwood Company, one of the defendants in this cause, and that said Stock was pledged by the latter company in part to secure an issue of bonds of the said National Hardwood Company; that said mortgage or deed of trust was made and executed by the National Hardwood Company to the Guaranty Trust Company of Detroit, Michigan, and that said Trust company is the trustee for the holders of said bonds, and by virtue of the mortgage entitled to represent them; it further appearing that Charles M. McPherson, A. G. Haysself, John B. MacNaughton, Norris L. Avery, Jacob Steketee, John J. Dale, and James Lynch are a committee representing certain of said bond holders of the National Hardwood Company and reside in Grand Rapids, Michigan; and it further appearing to the court that said bond holders assert or claim an interest in the assets of the Oklahoma and Arkansas Railway Company by virtue of said mortgage or deed of trust, and that the movant herein and others having claims against the said Oklahoma and Arkansas Railway Company have or claim a lien on the property of said company, and deny that said holders have any lien on the assets of said Oklahoma and Arkansas Railway Company by virtue of the pledging of said stock in said mortgage; and

It further appearing that the said Guaranty Trust Company of Detroit, Michigan, and said Charles M. McPherson, A. G. Haysself, John B. McNaughton, Norris L. Avery, Jacob Steketee, John J. Dale and James Lynch should be made parties to this proceeding and required to appear herein, or to show cause, if any there be, why the assets of said Oklahoma and Arkansas Railway Company should not be subjected to the payment of the claim of the movant and other creditors of said railway Company.

Now, therefore, it is ordered and decreed by the court that the said Guaranty Trust Company of Detroit, Michigan, and the said Charles M. McPherson, A. G. Haysself, John M. McNaughton, Norris L. Avery, Jacob Steketee, John J. Dale, and James Lynch be, and they are, hereby ordered and directed to appear, plead, answer or demur within thirty days from the date of the service of this order upon them, or to show cause, if any there be, why the assets of the Oklahoma and Arkansas Railway Company should not be sold and the proceeds applied to the payment of the claim of movant and other creditors of the said Railway Company, intervening herein and establishing their claims against said company.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

215

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, OCTOBER, 17, 1925.

And it is further ordered that said notice be served on the said proposed defendants, if practicable, and in the event it is impracticable to serve said notice on said absent defendants, that this order be published in the Tulsa Daily Legal News once a week for six consecutive weeks.

F. E. Kenamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 17, 1925. H. P. Warfiled Clerk. U. S. District Court.
H. P. W.

Court adjourned until October, 20, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM, MUSKA, OKLA. TUESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, October, 20th 1925, Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

HALLIE M. JONES TAYLOR, Plaintiff.
vs.
J. C. KINKEL, JR. Defendant.
No. 85 Law.

O R D E R

BE IT REMEMBERED:

That on this 20 day of October, 1925, this cause came on to be heard on the application of the plaintiff herein to have said cause docketed on the equity side of this Court, and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that said action was removed to this Court from the District Court of Okfuskee County, and that it is of an equitable nature, and should be docketed on the equity side of this Court.

It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and considered by the Court that the Clerk of this Court forthwith docket said action on the equity side of this Court.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Court,

O. K. HAGAN & GAVIN,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

O.K. Moss & Farmer,
Attorney for Defendant.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 20, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
F. W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WILLIAM J. KNUFF, original Plaintiff;
JAMES R. HIGGINS, AND GEORGE LABADIE,
Additional plaintiffs, Plaintiffs,
vs.
In Equity No. 78
PTERS PETROLEUM CORPORATION AND
WESTERN STATES OIL CORPORATION.
Defen. ents.

O R D E R.

Now upon this 20th day of October, 1925, there is set for hearing the Motions to Remand heretofore filed herein, but upon the application

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY OCTOBER, 20, 1925.

of James R. Higgins and George Labadie for continuance.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the hearing of said motions to Remand be, and it is hereby continued until the 27th day of October, at 2:00 P. M. on that date.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 20, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H. W. J.

Court adjourns until October, 21, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM. MUSKA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, OCT. 21, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, October, 21, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

HOPE CONSTRUCTION & REFINING COMPANY, A CORPORATION, plaintiff. vs. J. ARTHUR WILSON, AS SHERIFF OF CREEK COUNTY, OKLAHOMA, AND RALPH H. BLAKE, as COUNTY TREASURER OF CREEK COUNTY, OKLAHOMA. Defendants. No. 64 Equity.

D E C R E E.

On this 21 day of October, A. D. 1925, comes on for hearing the above entitled and numbered cause upon the bill of complaint filed herein by the complainant, Hope Construction & Refining Company, and the documentary and oral proofs offered in support thereof, the said complainant appearing by its solicitors, West, Gibson, Sherman, Davidson & Hull.

And it appearing to the court that the defendant J. Arthur Wilson, as Sheriff of Creek County, State of Oklahoma, and the defendant Ralph H. Blake, as County Treasurer of Creek County, Oklahoma, had, each of them, more than twenty days prior to this date, been duly served with subpoena herein and had each of them duly accepted service of said subpoena; and also that said defendants and each of them had, more than twenty days to this date, in writing, duly entered their respective appearances in this suit, but that neither said defendant J. Arthur Wilson, as Sheriff or Creek County, Oklahoma, nor the defendant Ralph H. Blake, as Treasurer of Creek County, Oklahoma, had either of them filed any pleading herein but that each of said defendants has wholly failed to either plead, answer or move to dismiss, and are now in default.

And the Court having heard and considered said matters, doth find:

That complainant, Hope Construction & Refining Company, is a corporation duly organized and incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and is a citizen and resident of the State of Delaware and not a citizen or resident of the State of Oklahoma or of the Northern Judicial District thereof; and that the defendants, J. Arthur Wilson and Ralph H. Blake, are each of them citizens and residents of the State of Oklahoma and of the Northern Judicial District thereof; and that the amount and value of the sum in controversy in this suit exceeds \$3,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs.

That the defendant, J. Arthur Wilson, is the duly elected, qualified and acting Sheriff of Creek County, State of Oklahoma, and that the defendant Ralph H. Blake is the duly elected, qualified and acting Treasurer of Creek County, State of Oklahoma.

That on, to-wit, the 15th day of October, A. D. 1924, the complainant, Hope Construction & Refining Company, because the purchaser of and acquired title to the following described real estate situate in Creek County, State of Oklahoma, to-wit:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, OCT. 31, 1925

All that certain parcel of land situated in said Creek County, State of Oklahoma, described by metes and bounds as follows: Commencing at a point seven hundred (700) feet west of the North-east corner of the west half of the Southwest quarter section thirty-five, township nineteen, range seven east; thence four hundred (400) feet north; thence four hundred (400) feet west; thence four hundred (400) feet south; thence four hundred (400) feet east, to the place of beginning.

Being the same property which was conveyed to Albert J. Walker, Jr., by deed from Fred Lillard guardian of Bessie Clayton; and which was conveyed by Albert J. Walker, Jr., to the Chieftain Gasoline Company by deed dated May 1916, and duly recorded in the records of Creek County, Oklahoma.

Together with all the improvements thereon and appurtenances thereunto belonging, including as a part of such improvements and appurtenances that certain plant erected thereon for the extraction of gasoline by compression and absorption, as well as the personal property, boilers engines, compressors, dynamos, machinery, fixtures fittings, and equipment thereof attached thereto, together with all the field and gatherin lines for the purpose of bringing gas to the said plant and returning residue gas to the said plant and returning residue gas to the several leases from which gas is brought, and the meters, regulators, drips, valves, fittings, gasoline line, water tanks, gasoline storage tanks, oil storage tanks and all personal property of any kind, sort and condition, whatsoever now located thereon or which may be hereafter put upon the same, excluding, however the gasoline in storage, or which may, from time to time, be manufactured and stored therein, and all such other fixtures, equipment and personal property which may hereafter be placed upon said tract of land in repair, betterment or extension of said plant and its equipment with the same force and effect as if the same had already been acquired and used or placed thereon by the said mortgagor at and before the delivery of this mortgage.

by purchasing the same at foreclosure sale made under order and decree of the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma in Equity No. 3149, wherein Hope Construction & Refining Company was complainant and Chieftain Gasoline Company, a corporation, was defendant, and that its title thereto was duly confirmed.

That prior to the acquisition of its title to the above described real estate Hope Construction & Refining Company had not been the owner of said described property but the same was owned by Chieftain Gasoline Company, and that the complainant herein acquired its title thereto without any knowledge or notice of any claim against the Chieftain Gasoline Company for an amount of the taxes the assertion of which is complained of in this suit.

That all of the real estate taxes on the aforesaid described property have been fully paid, but the taxes complained of in this suit are certain personal taxes assessed against the Chieftain Gasoline Company, the previous owner of the property hereinabove described, and that the defendant, J. Arthur Wilson, as Sheriff of Creek County, has in his hands warrants issued by his co-defendant, Ralph H. Blake, as Treasurer of Creek County, Oklahoma, for the said personal taxes of the Chieftain Gasoline Company as follows, to-wit:

			Int. war.	Penalty	Total
Case Half 1921, Warrant No. 227			\$308.76	\$231.16	\$540.00
All 1922	" "	590	1108.07	74.43	1482.10
All 1923	" "	420	1271.51	306.94	1478.45
All 1924	" "	474	1300.45	39.84	1330.00

Totals. \$4189.50 \$611.10 \$5000.49

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SESSION, APRIL, 1925

TULSA, OKLA.

OCTOBER, 21, 1925.

That complainant is not individually liable for any of said taxes and none of its property, including the property hereinbefore particularly described, is liable for any part thereof, or for any personal tax against the Cheiftain Gasoline Company save and except the sum of \$1478.45 for the year 1923 which by Section 9724 of the Compiled Statutes of Oklahoma, 1921, appears to be a lien against the particular property hereinbefore described and which amount the complainant Hope Construction & Refining Company is ready, willing and able to pay.

That defendant J. Arthur Wilson, as Sheriff of Creek County, Oklahoma, has threatened to seize the property of Complainant under the warrants hereinbefore recited; and defendant Ralph H. Blake, as Treasurer of Creek County, Oklahoma, has threatened that if said taxes be not collected under the said warrants he will issue alias warrants therefor; and that the complainant has now and here in open court paid into the hands of J. Arthur Wilson, as such sheriff of Creek County, Oklahoma, the sum of \$1478.45 the entire amount for which its property can be made liable on account of any taxes against the Cheiftain Gasoline Company, which said amount is full payment of all claims against the Hope Construction & Refining Company or its property on the part of the State of Oklahoma, the County of Creek in the said State of Oklahoma, or any subdivision or municipality therein, for and on account of any taxes against Cheiftain Gasoline Company, whether under warrant 397 for the year 1921, warrant 596 for the year 1922, warrant 436 for the year 1923, or warrant 474 for the year 1924, or any alias or other warrant for any of said years or for any other years; and the said sum so paid being taxed against Cheiftain Gasoline Company for the year 1923, represented by the hereinbefore recited warrant No. 436 now in the hands of the Sheriff; and that the complainant Hope Construction & Refining Company will suffer great and irreparable injury unless the defendants herein and their successors in office and all persons acting by, through or under them or their successors, be enjoined and restrained from enforcing or attempting to enforce either or any of the said warrants, or any other or alias warrants, or taking or interfering with complainant's property, for or on account of any of the taxes due from the Cheiftain Gasoline Company, the previous owner of the property herein particularly described.

And the Court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises It is by the Court CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED, ORDERED AND DECREED that the payment by the complainant, Hope Construction & Refining Company, of the said sum of \$1478.45 is in full satisfaction and discharge of all sums which might or could be lawfully collected from said complainant, or out of its property, for or on account of the taxes hereinbefore recited or any taxes due from the Cheiftain Gasoline Company, and that the defendant J. Arthur Wilson, as Sheriff of Creek County, Oklahoma, and the defendant Ralph H. Blake, as Treasurer of Creek County, State of Oklahoma, as well as their respective successors in office, and also any other person or persons acting as their deputies or by through or under them, or either of them, or in their behalf, or by, through or under, or in behalf of, any successor in office of either of them, be and they are hereby forever enjoined and restrained from seizing or selling or attempting to seize or sell the property hereinbefore particularly described or any of the equipment belonging and appertaining thereto, or any other property of the complainant Hope Construction & Refining Company to satisfy any part of the taxes in this decree mentioned, or any taxes whatsoever of the Cheiftain Gasoline Company, and are also enjoined and restrained from interfering with complainant or any of the persons to whom it may have sold or may hereafter sell any part of said property, in the free use, control and enjoyment thereof; and that all the said tax warrants mentioned herein, to-wit, 397, 596, 436 and 474, be cancelled insofar as concerns the complainant, Hope Construction & Refining Company, and the enforcement or attempted enforcement of same against Hope Construction and Refining Company perpetually enjoined.

Done at Tulsa this 21 day of October, 1925.

F. E. Vermamer, Judge.

O.H. W. F. Pardoe, Co. Atty Creek Co.,
Attorney for Defendants.

ENDORSED: File Oct. 21, 1925 H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L. W. J.

Court adjourned until October, 22, 1925

In the District Court of the United States in and for the 220

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY OCT. 22, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, October, 22, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Whereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

J. WHITE JOHNSON, an incompetent
by J. P. AYERS, his guardian,
Plaintiff.

vs.

ROXANA PETROLEUM COMPANY,
et al.,

Defendants.

No. 56 Equity.

O R D E R.

Upon application of plaintiff and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered that plaintiff be and he is hereby granted an extension of ten days from this date within which to file his amended bill herein.

Dated this 22nd day of October, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 22, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.
E. W. J.

Court adjourned until October, 26, 1925.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM Tulsa, OKLA. OCTOBER 26, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, October, 26, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were read and entered,
to-wit:

Wm. J. KNUPP, Plaintiff.)
vs.) #78 Eq
PETERS PETROLEUM CORP.,)
Defendants.)

On this 26th day of October, 1925, the Motion to Remand in above entitled cause comes on for hearing and it is by the Court ordered that said hearing be and same is hereby, continued to October, 30th, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHER DISTRICT
OF OKLAHOMA.

JANE JEFFERSON, ect., Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. ___ Eq.
THE GYPSY OIL COMPANY, et al.,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Upon application of plaintiff and for good cause shown it is ordered and adjudged that plaintiff be and she is hereby granted an extension of three days from date hereof within which to comply with the order of this court to recast the pleadings herein.

Dated this 25th day of October, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 26, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H. W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WALBRIDGE-ALDINGER COMPANY, Plaintiff.)
a corporation, vs.) No. 19 Equity.
A. J. RUDD, et al.,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Defendant Lock Joint Pipe Company is given up to and including November, 2, 1925, in which to file answer to the petition of intervention filed by interveners John N. Pitts and Carter-Halls-Aldinger Company, comprising Pitts-Bateman Company.

This the 26th day of October, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 26, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY OCTOBER, 26, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WALBRIDGE-ALDINGER COMPANY, a corporation,)	
vs.)	No. 19 EQUITY.
Plaintiff,)	
a. J. RUDD, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

O R D E R.

Defendant Lock Joint Pipe Company is given up to and including November, 2, 1925, in which to file answer to the petition of intervention filed by interveners John N. Pitts and Carter-Hall-Aldinger Company, comprising Pitts-Bateman Company.

This the 26th day of October, 1925.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 26, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
F. E. Keunamer, Judge.
L. W. J.

Court adjourned until October, 27, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

Equity SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, OCTOBER, 27, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, October, 27, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WALBRIDGE-ALDINGER COMPANY, a corporation, Plaintiff.

vs.

No. 19 Equity.

A. J. RUDD, C. R. McCULLOUGH, C. S. AVERY, H. L. STANDEVEN AND A. L. FARMER, as the Water Commission of the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, a municipal corporation.

Defendants.

O R D E R.

THE ABOVE ENTITLED MATTER coming on to be heard upon this 27th day of October, 1925, upon the application of the defendants for additional time within which to file an amended answer, and the court being fully advised is of the opinion that said motion should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the defendants in the above-entitled cause of action shall be given thirty days from this date within which to file its amended answer in said cause of action.

DONE at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 27th day of October, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer. Judge

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 27, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. L. W. J.

Court adjourned until October, 29, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

225

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, OCTOBER, 29, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, October, 29 1925. Present: .

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

W. A. KUNKLE, ET AL., Plaintiff.)
vs.) 60 Eq.,
TUCKER BARNETT, et al., Defendant.)

On this 29th day of October, 1925, the above entitled cause comes on for further hearing. Plaintiffs are present by counsel. Defendant is present in person and by counsel. Whereupon it is by the Court ordered that Plaintiff in Cross Bill be allowed thirty days to file brief and the plaintiff, in above entitled cause, allowed twenty days thereafter to file answer to brief.

Court adjourned until 31st day of October, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

~~EQUITY~~ SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, OCTOBER, 31, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, October, 31 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. S. McCRAY, Complainant,)
vs.) No. 26 Eq.
SAPULPA PETROLEUM COMPANY, s)
Corporation. Defendant.)

PARTIAL ALLOWANCE FOR ATTORNEYS FEES.

The matter coming on for hearing of J. P. O'Meara and M. H. Silverman for partial allowance of Attorney's fees in Equity cause No. 26, being the above stated case, and on consideration, it is considered, ordered and adjudged that the petitioners receive the sum of \$5000.00 as partial allowance for Attorney's fees in said case, and the same sum be paid out of the funds of the Receiver of said case, J. H. Knox on presentation of a certified copy of this order to the said J. H. Knox, Receiver, who is authorized to direct to pay said sum of \$5000.00 to O'Meara and Silverman this the 31st day of October, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge United States District
Court Northern District of
Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 31, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H.W J.

JESSE A. TOLERTON, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 1 Eq.
E. C. BARTLETT, et al. Defendants.)

On this 31st day of October, 1925, the motion to dismiss filed in above entitled cause, is taken under advisement.

W. J. KNUPP, et al., Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 78 Eq.
PETERS PET. CO., Defendant.)

On this 31st day of October, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be and same is hereby stricken from present assignment.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA,

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, OCT. 31, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

RUTH B. DEHANAS, and JESSE L. DeHANAS,	Plaintiffs.)	
	vs.)	In Equity
)	No. 69
CORTEZ-KING BRAND MINES COMPANY, a Corporation, et al.,	Defendants.)	

O R D E R.

Now, on this 31st day of October, 1925, this matter coming on for hearing in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, at Tulsa, Oklahoma, the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of said Court, presiding, upon a Motion of Plaintiffs herein for permission to file a Supplemental Bill, and upon their Motion resubmitting their application for a Temporary Injunction and Restraining Order, after the filing of Amendments, with leave of Court, to their original Bill of Complaint; and the plaintiffs appearing by their Solicitor of record, Joseph W. Howell, and the defendants, Cortez-King Brand Mines Company, a corporation, H. B. Church, Jr., J.C. Parrish, Wm. T. Nardin, F. S. Seagraves, W. L. McWilliams, and L. C. Church, by their Solicitor of Record, A. C. Wallace, and Bull Frog Mining Company, a corporation, and R. M. Wilkinson, Receiver of said Company, appearing by their Solicitor of Record, Dennis H. Wilson, and said matter having been duly presented to the Court, and the Court being fully advised in the premises:

It is the order and judgment of the Court that said Motion for permission to file a Supplemental Bill is hereby granted, but the Court rules that said Motion for a Temporary injunction and Restraining Order be held in abeyance and under consideration until after hearing and argument on the Several Motions to Dismiss pending herein; to which rulings of the Court the defendant, and each of them, duly excepted, in so far as the same are adverse to them, and said exceptions are allowed.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Oct. 31, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

W. A. KUNKLE,	Plaintiff.)	
	vs.)	# 60 Eq.
TUCKER BARNETT, ET AL.	Defendants.)	

On this 31st day of October, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that Plaintiff in above entitled case be allowed fifteen days in which to file brief and that Plaintiff in Cross Bill be allowed fifteen days thereafter to answer brief.

Court adjourned until November, 2, 1925.

23
In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, NOVEMBER, 2, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, November, 2nd., 1925. Present.

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

S. C. Fullerton and W. W. Dobson,	} Plaintiffs.	} No. 68 Equity.
vs.		
The Eagle Picher Lead Company, a corporation.	} Defendant.	}

O R D E R.

On Motion of counsel for the plaintiffs, plaintiffs' reply to the defendants amended answer and cross-bill is hereby amended of record to read as follows:

"That defendant, with actual notice and knowledge of all the extensions and renewals of leases obtained by plaintiffs, mentioned in defendant's answer and cross-bill, and with full knowledge of the status, condition and nature of plaintiffs' title to said extensions and renewals, wrote and delivered to plaintiffs, on October, 1st, 1921, the following letter stating defendant's reasons for its decision not to further recognize the validity of binding force or existence of the contract of December 20, 1920, shown by Exhibit No. 3, to Plaintiff's bill, said letter being in words as follows:

Cincinnati, Oct. 1, 1921.

Messrs. Fullerton & Dobson,
Miami, Okla.

Gentlemen:-

Referring to the lease agreement of December 20th, 1920, between The Eagle-Picher Lead Company and yourselves with reference to securing new leases or extensions of existing leases on the lands therein described from or through the Department of the Interior, beg to advise that the status of this agreement and the parties thereto has recently been called to the attention of our Directors and by them carefully considered:

The unexpired term of our present leases is extremely short, and we feel that if anything is to be done to protect our extensive investment in the district, it will have to be done at an early date.

As you are aware, in accordance with the agreement above referred to, we made an honest and diligent effort to carry out both the letter and spirit of the agreement, however, as it turned out, unsuccessfully. We have hoped that the Department might reconsider its decision of May 20th, 1921, so that the relation heretofore existing between us and as contemplated by the agreement, might be continued indefinitely. However, it appears to us now, from the utterances of different members of the Department, that the decision of May 20th., 1921 is final. If this be true, it is our opinion that the agreement of December 20th, 1920 has served its purpose and the status of the parties is now as it was before the execution of this agreement. We are of the opinion that this is both the spirit and letter of the agreement, and particularly so in view of the following quoted paragraph:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, NOV. 2, 1925.

"It is further agreed and understood by and between the parties heretofore that the settlements and adjustments of interests as provided herein on the several tracts shall not become effective except upon the renewal or extension of the lease or contract on such tract, and in the event of a failure to renew any particular tract or to secure an extension thereon, the status of the parties as to such tract shall remain as it now is."

At the time the opinion of May 20th., 1921, was handed to counsel for all parties interested, a member of the Department took occasion to publicly announce that The Eagle-Picher Lead Company would be taken care of in a re-leasing of the lands and seemed to take exception to the fact that we were trying to carry you for an interest. Of course no one is in a position to know just what was meant by this statement, however, the Company feels that in view of its investment in the district, that it should not leave any stone unturned to protect this investment, and having this in mind, and being of the opinion that the agreement of December, 20th., 1920 has been nullified by the action of the Department in refusing to approve the leases submitted pursuant to this contract, it will be the future policy of the Company to endeavor to procure extensions or new leases for its exclusive use and benefit on such lands as may be available of releasing.

We regret very much that we are forced to adopt this policy as our relation with you in the past has been both pleasant as well as profitable, however, we feel that it is the only thing left for us to do if we are to protect ourselves, and we trust that, viewing the situation from our standpoint, you will see the justness of our position, and that we will have your continued good will and co-operation so long as we remain in the district.

With kindest personal regards, we are,
Very Truly yours,

The Eagle-Picher Lead Company.
J. B. Swift
President.

JBS/ER

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs aver and plead that defendant, with full knowledge, having assigned its reasons in the aforesaid letter, for its decision not to comply with the contract of December, 20, 1920, is estopped to rely upon any of the grounds set up in its amended answer as a defense to the enforcement of said contract other than the grounds assigned in its aforesaid letter of October, 1st, 1921."

And on due consideration IT IS ORDERED that the Plaintiffs' reply to defendant's amended answer and cross-bill be, and the same is hereby amended to read as above stated.

Made and ordered entered this 2nd day of November, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 2, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
R.C.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, by the Court that hearing in the above entitled cause be and same is hereby continued to November, 3rd., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, NOV. 7, 1925.

And the Court further finds the defendants, and each of them, their agents, attorneys and associates, should be enjoined from collecting or attempting to collect, and from receiving or attempting to receive any of the monies or funds held on special account in the hands of the Cosden Pipe Line Company, or any Company which holds the proceeds from the sale of Oil runs from the above described leases from the 16th day of September, 1922, on which day judgment was rendered in the case of W. S. McCray vs. Sapulpa Petroleum Company in the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma, and that said defendants, and each of them, their agents, attorneys and associates, (and associates), and anyone for their use and benefit, from disposing of or selling or otherwise incumbering in any way, or in attempting to dispose of, sell or otherwise incumber, any of the 200,000 shares of preferred stock of the par value of \$5.00 issued by the Cushing Petroleum Corporation to the said defendants, B. B. Burnett and B. C. Burnett, or issued to other members of their families for their benefit, including 66,667 shares originally to have been issued in favor of the complainant herein, or so much thereof as is now in their possession or under their control.

It is further considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that said defendants herein and each of them, their agents, attorneys, representatives and associates, be and they are hereby enjoined from in any wise interfering with or disturbing plaintiff's possession of the premises and oil and gas leases or any of the property situated thereon, or with his operation of said leases, or to collecting or receiving or attempting to collect or receive any monies or funds held by Cosden Pipe Line Company or any other Company who holds the proceeds derived from the sale of oil and gas mined from said described leases and beginning with the 16th day of September, 1922.

It is further ordered and adjudged that this injunction shall not exist to or prevent the defendants, B. B. Burnett, B. C. Burnett or the Sapulpa Petroleum Company, from collecting funds found to be due them in the hands of the First National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, or Pierce Oil Corporation, as per the judgment rendered on the referee's report in the case of W. S. McCray vs. Sapulpa Petroleum Company affirmed by the Supreme Court of Oklahoma, and provided further that said funds shall be applied to the payment of costs, receiver's fees and attorneys' fees in accordance with the judgments and orders heretofore made in reference thereto in the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma.

The said defendants and each of them, their agents, attorneys, associates and representatives, personally or through others for their use and benefits, are hereby enjoined from disposing of, selling or otherwise incumbering, or from any attempt to dispose of, sell, or otherwise incumber any of the 200,000 preferred shares of the par value of \$5.00 now in their hands or in their possession and under their control and issued sometime in the spring or summer of 1920 by the defendant, Cushing Petroleum Corporation, to said B. B. Burnett, B. C. Burnett, or their families, including the 66,667 shares originally agreed to be issued for the use and benefit of the complainant herein until the further order of this Court.

It is further ordered that the bond heretofore executed and filed herein on the 25th day of June, 1924, stand as the bond for this injunction and that the obligors thereon consent thereto and that their liabilities under said bond shall be and remain the same as under the former injunction and this injunction as modified.

It is further ordered that this injunction shall not become effective for any purpose until the complainant has paid to J. P. O'Meara, as attorney for the Sapulpa Petroleum Company, the sum of \$6,326.84.

To the granting of this injunction the defendants, Sapulpa Petroleum Company, C. W. Wills, Receiver, B. B. Burnett and B. C. Burnett, except and pray an appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals, which is granted.

Done at Tulsa at the hour of 10 o'clock A. M. on the 19th day of July, 1924.

And therein the order of the said District Court appointing J.H. Knox Receiver of properties of Sapulpa Petroleum Company in said cause, entered on the 8th day of August, A. D. 1924, was in the following words, viz:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, NOV. 7, 1925.

Now on this the 8th day of August, 1924, came on to be heard the application of the complainant herein upon the original amendment and supplemental bill of complaint, and upon the testimony heretofore introduced upon the application for the injunction and the Court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises finds that the complainant is entitled to the appointment of a receiver in said cause.

It is therefore ordered, considered and adjudged by the Court that J. H. Knox of Claremore, Okla., be and he is hereby appointed receiver to take possession, control and charge of the following described oil properties, oil production and leases upon the following land, to-wit:

Owen Bland lease: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 25, Twp. 19 N. R. 7 E.
Hazel Bland Lease: E $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 25; Twp. 19 N. R. 7 E.
Arlie Bland Lease: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 30, Twp. 19 N. R. 7 E.

Fern Miller Lease: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 36; NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 36; Twp. 19 N. R. 7 E.

That upon presenting a certified copy of this order to the defendants or anyone in possession of the said properties including pipe line companies, the properties thereof shall be delivered to the said receiver, including all monies for oil runs; and he is hereby authorized to continue to operate said properties in the usual and ordinary manner and to receive the proceeds of the oil runs thereon, and hold the same together with all of said properties subject to the order of this Court.

It is further ordered that said receiver shall qualify by taking the oath of office and executing and filing with the Clerk of this Court a bond in the proper form in the sum of Twenty Thousand Dollars.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

as by the inspection of the transcript of the record -----
-----of the District Court, which was brought into the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit, by virtue of appeals prayed by and allowed to the defendants Sapulpa Petroleum Company, B. B. Burnett and B. C. Burnett, agreeably to the act of Congress in such cases made and provided, fully and at large appears;

AND WHEREAS, at the December Term, in the year of our Lord One thousand nine hundred and twenty four, the said causes came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of record from the said District Court, and was argued by counsel.

On Consideration Whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court, that the order for and the injunction of the said District Court made on July, 19, 1924, and the order of said District Court of August, 8, 1924, for the appointment of J. H. Knox as receiver of the property described in that order, be, and the same are each hereby, reversed with costs; and that the Sapulpa Petroleum Company, B. B. Burnett and B. C. Burnett have and recover against W. S. McCray the sum of One Hundred Ninety Eight and 70/100 Dollars for their costs in this behalf expended and have execution therefor.

It is further ordered that these causes be, and the same are hereby, remanded to the said District Court with directions to order that all the moneys and property in the possession or under the control of J. F. Knox, the receiver, W. S. McCray, the plaintiff, or in the District Court in this suit, including the two leaseholds estates in the possession of McCray and the proceeds derived by them or either of them from this property, be forthwith delivered by them to J. A. Fulp, the general receiver of the Sapulpa Petroleum Company appointed by the district court of Creek County, Oklahoma, or to such other party as that court may select and appoint to receive them, and that J. H. Knox, the receiver, and his bondsmen forthwith account to J. A. Fulp, the state court receiver or such receiver as the state court may appoint, for all the property and all the proceeds of the property he has received as such receiver and pay over to such state court receiver all the moneys and proceeds he has obtained, except such moneys and proceeds as have been necessarily expended by him for the beneficial use and preservation of the property he has received.

And it further ordered that further proceedings in these causes be taken in the said District Court in accordance with the views expressed in the opinion of this Court. March 14, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, NOV. 7, 1925.

You, therefore, are hereby commanded that such execution and further proceedings be had in said cause, in conformity with the opinion and decree of this Court, as according to right and justice, and the laws of the United States, ought to be had, the said appeals notwithstanding.

WITNESS, the Honorable William H. Taft, Chief Justice of the United States, the Fourth day of November, in the year of our Lord one Thousand nine hundred and twenty five.

Costs of Appellants

No. 6777	
Clerk	\$23.65
Printing Record	\$137.60
Attorney	\$20.00
	<hr/>
	\$191.45

No. 6778:	
Clerk	\$ 7.25
	<hr/>
	\$198.70

E. E. Koch,

Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 7, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. H. W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

COMMERCE TRUST COMPANY, a Corporation.	Complainant.)	
vs.)	No. 76 Equity.
H. H. BARKER, JOE K. BARKER, R. P. BARKER AND JOSEPHINE G. BARKER,	Defendants.)	

ORDER PERMITTING COMPLAINANT TO FILE ITS REPLY INSTANTER.

Now, on this 7th day of November, 1925, comes on for hearing the application of the complainant herein, COMMERCE TRUST COMPANY, of Kansas City, Missouri, upon oral motion of its attorney, R. Elmo Thompson, for leave to file its reply to the respective answers of the defendants heretofore filed in this cause:

And, it appearing that said application should be granted and said complainant should be permitted to file such reply,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Commerce Trust Company, of Kansas City, Missouri, complainant in the within cause, be, and it is, hereby granted permission to file its reply instanter to the answers of the defendants heretofore filed in this cause.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 7, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. L.W.J.

Court adjourned until November, 9, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, NOV. 9, 1925.

237

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, November, 9th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WILLIAM J. KNUPP, original Plaintiff,
JAMES R. HIGGINS AND GEORGE LABADIE,
Additional plaintiffs,
vs.
PETERS PETROELUM CORPORATION AND
WESTERN STATES OIL CORPORATION,
Defendants.
In Equity No. 76

ORDER REMANDING CAUSE.

Now on this 9th day of November, 1925, there coming on the motions heretofore filed herein for the remand of this cause to the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and all parties consenting in open court to the granting of said motions, and the court finding that the remand of the said cause to the said District Court of Tulsa County is proper,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this cause be, and the same hereby is, remanded to the District Court in and for Tulsa County in the State of Oklahoma.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 9th day of November, 1925,

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

O.K. Moss & Farmer
Atty's for William J. Knupp.

O.K. Mason, Honnold, Carter & Harper,
O.K. T. J. Leahy,
Attys for
Phillip J. Kramer,
Atty for Peters Corporation

O.K. R. P. Ironside,
Attorney for Receiver.

ENDORSED: Filed November, 9, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. S. McCray, COMPLAINANT,
vs.
SAPULPA PETROELUM COMPANY
et al., Defendants.
26 Equity.

It appearing to the Court that an appeal was prosecuted from the order of the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma made on the 19th day of July, 1924, in this cause, being then #3183 in Equity and it further appearing that this cause has been transferred from the Eastern District of Oklahoma to the Northern District of Oklahoma, and now it appears in this Court as # 26 Equity; and it further ap-

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, NOVEMBER, 9, 1925.

appearing that an appeal was also procured from the order made in said case in the Eastern District of Oklahoma on the 8th day of August, 1925, wherein J.H. Knox was appointed Receiver for the Owen Bland lease, being the Southwest quarter of the Southwest quarter of Section twenty-five (25) Township nineteen (19) North, Range seven (7) East, and for the Hazel Bland lease, being the East half of the Southwest quarter of Section twenty-five (25) Township nineteen (19) North, Range seven (7) East, and for the Arlie Bland lease, being the Northwest quarter of Northeast quarter of Southwest quarter and North half of Southwest quarter of Northeast quarter of Southwest quarter of Section thirty (30) Township nineteen (19) North Range seven (7) East; and for the Fern Miller lease, being the Northeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section Thirty-five (35), and Northwest quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section Thirty-six (36), Township nineteen (19) North, Range seven (7) East;

And it further appearing to the Court that on said temporary injunction was reversed and also the order appointing said Receiver, and it further appearing that mandate has issued from the Circuit Court of Appeals and has been duly filed in this Court:

It is, therefore, considered, ordered and decreed:

1st. That the injunction granted July 19th, 1924, be and the same is hereby vacated and set aside and that the same was at the time improvidently granted;

2nd. It is further considered, ordered and decreed that the order appointing said Receiver was improvidently granted.

3rd. That W. S. McCray, the complainant herein, shall forthwith upon presentation either to him, or his attorney, F. E. Riddle, of a copy of this order turn over to J. A. Fulp, Receiver of the Sapulpa Petroleum Company, appointed in the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma, the Timothy lease covering the South half of Northeast quarter of Section twenty-five (25), Township nineteen (19) North, range seven (7) East; and also lease known as the Ceds Lease covering the Northeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section twenty-five (25), Township Nineteen (19) North, Range seven (7); together with all the property and equipment there on and all equipment and property that were on said lease on the 10th day of June, 1924, the date on which the temporary restraining order was granted herein, and that he further turned over to said J. A. Fulp, Receiver as aforesaid, all monies and property in his possession or in the possession of anyone claiming under or through him, and the proceeds derived from the sale of any oil or gas or other production or property taken from said leases from the 10th day of June, 1924.

It is further considered, ordered and decreed that said J. H. Knox, Receiver, immediately turn over to J. A. Fulp, Receiver, the Iwen Bland lease, the Hazel Bland lease, the Harlie Bland lease and the Fern Miller lease, as hereinbefore described, together with all equipment there on, and that he file herein within 10 days from this date his final report showing in detail the amounts of property he has sold from said lease of every kind and character and the person or corporation to whom sold and the price paid there for and the sums he has collected from any persons or persons or corporations on account of said Receivership, and the amount he has paid out and for what purpose and to whom and the vouchers under which he has paid the same and the amount of money or property now in his hands as such Receiver in order that a complete accounting may be had and that said Receiver may be discharged.

It is further ordered that said W. S. McCray pay at once the costs recovered against him in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals as fixed by said mandate hereinbefore referred to, to-wit: the sum of \$198.70.

It is further considered ordered and adjudged that the Court retains jurisdiction of this cause for the purpose of winding up the receivership herein and for the purpose of paying costs and expenses of said receivership and entering a final decree in conformity with the opinion and mandate of the Circuit Court of Appeals.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge United States District Court.

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 9, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY CASE NO. APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, NOVEMBER, 10, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. S. McCray,	Complainant,)	
)	
va.)	No. 26 Equity.
SAPULPA PETROLEUM COMPANY,)	
a corporation, et al.,)	
	Defendants.)	

O R D E R.

Upon the application of J. H. Knox, receiver in the above entitled and numbered cause, for an order authorizing him to reimburse himself for expenses paid out in the operating of the said oil and gas lease in his possession for the months of August, September and October, and an order making a partial allowance for services rendered by the said receiver and the court being of the opinion that the said expenses paid for the said months, in the total sum of \$91.24 as per the itemized account attached to the said application are expenses necessary in the operation of said lease and should be paid and allowed as a voucher in the settlement of the receiver's accounts.

It is therefore ordered that said receiver is hereby authorized to draw a check in his own behalf to reimburse himself for said expenses so paid, as shown in the said account in the sum of \$91.24; that the same be charged to operating expenses of said lease and allowed as a credit to the said receiver in his accounts.

It is further ordered by the Court that an allowance in the way of an advance to the receiver for services rendered for the months of September and October in the sum of \$_____ and such further sum as may be allowed upon the final approval of the receiver's final account as the court may find reasonable and just.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 10, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until November, 12, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

NORTHERN

OKLAHOMA.

211

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, NOVEMBER, 12, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, November, 12th, 1925. Present.

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IRON MOUNTAIN OIL COMPANY,)
Complainant.)
vs.)
JOHN L. SMILEY, COUNTY TREASURER)
OF TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA,)
Defendant.)

No. 90 Equity.

D E C R E E

This cause came on for hearing before the court on this 12th day of November, 1925, for final trial, and the court, after hearing the testimony finds that the facts alleged in the complaint are true, and determined all the issues of fact in favor of the complainant, Iron Mountain Oil Company, against the defendant, John L. Smiley, County Treasurer of Tulsa, County, Oklahoma,

The Court adjudged and decrees that the entries upon the tax rolls and records of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, for the fiscal and taxable year of 1920 against the Iron Mountain Oil Company, which purport to assess the complainant upon property of the equalized value of \$100,000.00 in the total amount of \$3,930.00, upon an ad valorem basis, be and the same are hereby cancelled, set aside and held for naught, and the defendant herein is ordered and directed to enter upon the tax rolls and records where such entries appear on the records of his office, the fact that such entries are cancelled and set aside pursuant to the decree of this court, and the said defendant and his successors in office are hereby enjoined from issuing any tax warrant against the complainant based upon such entries upon the tax rolls and records of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and from endeavoring in any manner to enforce the collection of the said tax, and any part thereof, on account of the said entries so appearing upon the tax rolls and records of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, which are by this decree cancelled, set aside and held for naught.

The Court further decrees that the complainant have and recover from the defendant as County Treasurer of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, the costs of this action, in the amount expended by the complainant in the prosecution of this case, and as assessed by the Clerk of this Court.

Had and directed to be entered on this 12th day of November, 1925

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 12, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

~~EQUITY~~ SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER, 12, 1925

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CARRIE LINDLEY AND MARTHA HALL,
NEE LINDLEY, Plaintiffs,

vs.

T. E. BROTTON, C. R. KING, G. L. SUNDLIN,
E. W. SMITH, P. M. SANDLIN, WINEY CLINTON.
WILLIE COSER, SAMUEL SIMMER, WINEY POLK,
ELIZA TAYLOR, JIMMIE BARNETT, IDA BARNETT,
NELLIE BARNETT, ROBERT BARNETT, MARY BARNETT,
MILBA TAYLOR, The unknown Heirs, Administra-
tors, Executors, Devisees, Heirs, Trustees
or Assigns, of WAXIE HARJO, ABBIE HARJO,
YOPACHOLAHSEE, WADDIE HARJO, SOMDAVA HARJO,
PARCHESE OR OSCAR HARJO, SILLA HARJO, NANCY
BARNETT, DAVID BARNETT, WILLIE COSER,
MARY BARNETT, JAMES BARNETT, HOTKE THLOCCO,
HULLEY HOLMS, AND COSER HARJO.
Defendants.

No. 95 Equity.

ORDER FOR HEARING.

Now on this 12th day of November, 1925, the above matter comes on for hearing before the court on the presentation of the petition here in filed and the presentation of the affidavit for publication to unknown heirs, and the Court having considered said petition and having considered said affidavit finds that said cause should be set for hearing on the 14th day of January, 1926, at which time said unknown heirs, if any, or other persons having an interest in the quieting of the title to the following described lots and lands in Okfuskee County, States of Oklahoma, to-wit:

The Northwest Quarter; and the Northeast Quarter, all in Section 11, Township 10 North, Range 12 East, and

all these persons having an interest in the determination of who are the heirs of Waxie Harjo, and Abbie Harjo, at the time of their death, may appear and show cause, if any, that due notice of the hearing of this matter be published as required by law for three successive weeks in the Okfuskee County News, printed and published in the Northern District of the United States for Oklahoma; all of which is ordered by the Court.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 12, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until November, 13, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, NOVEMBER, 13, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, November, 13th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

McGROW, BAUGHMAN BEARLY LBR. CO., Plaintiff.
vs.
GUY P. SLATER, Defendant.
21 Equity.

On this 13th day of November, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that defendant be permitted to file his answer and cross petition out of time.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Complainant.
vs.
T. H. THOMPSON, otherwise known as JACK THOMPSON AND G. W. HENRY, Defendants.
No. 96 In Equity.

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER.

BE IT REMEMBERED,

That on this 13 day of November, 1925, at a stated term of this Court, this cause coming on to be heard on the application of the United States of America, Complainant, and it appearing to the Court that the defendants, W. H. Thompson, otherwise known as Jack Thompson, and G. W. Henry are interfering with and preventing the laying of a pipe line for the transportation of natural gas, under and across the

Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) of Section Thirty-Six (36) Township twenty-four (24) North, Range Eleven (11) East, in Osage County, State of Oklahoma,

by the Owen-Osage Oil and Gas Company, and that the Owen Osage Oil and Gas Company is duly authorized by law, and by the terms of its gas lease, and by the terms of the Rules and Regulations of the Secretary of the Interior of the United States, to lay the said pipe line, and that in its operations of producing and marketing gas from its said lease, the said Owen-Osage Oil and Gas Company is the agent of the Complainant, the United States of America, and that such interference and prevention of the laying of the said pipe line is against the interest of and in denial of the rights of the United States of America, and of the Osage Tribe of Indians, and that unless restrained and enjoined from longer continuing such interference with and prevention of the laying of the said pipe line, that the defendants will continue to prevent the laying of the said pipe line, to the irreparable injury of the United States of America, and of the Osage Tribe of Indians, and the Court, being well and sufficiently informed in the premises, upon mature consideration,

IT IS ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED, that W. H. Thompson, otherwise known as Jack Thompson, and G. W. Henry, and each of them, and their agents, servants and employees and each of them, be and they are hereby restrained and enjoined from preventing by force, threats, or intimidation of any kind or character, the Owen-Osage Oil and Gas Company from laying its gas pipe line across and under the

Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) of Section Thirty-Six (36) Township Twenty-Four (24) North, Range Eleven (11) East, in Osage County, State of Oklahoma.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

~~EQUITY~~ SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, NOVEMBER, 13, 1925.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED, that W. H. Thompson, otherwise known as Jack Thompson, and G. W. Henry, or either of them, and their agents, servants and employees, or any or either of them, be and they hereby are restrained and enjoined from instituting or further prosecuting any suit in any State Court, to restrain or enjoin or otherwise interfere with the Owen-Osage Oil and Gas Company, its agents, servants or employees, in laying the gas pipe line for the transportation of natural gas, across and under the

Northwest Quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section Thirty-Six (36),
Township Twenty Four (24) North, Range Eleven (11)
East, in Osage County, State of Oklahoma.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED, That this restraining order be in full force and effect up to and including the 23rd day of November, 1925, and the hearing of the Complainant's application for temporary injunction be and the same is hereby set for hearing at the City of Pawhuska, Oklahoma, on the 23rd day of November.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 13, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H. W. J.

Court adjourned until Nov. 14, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

215

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, NOV, 14, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, Nov. 14, th. 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H.P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WALBRIDGE-ALDINGER COMPANY,)
a corporation,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 19 Equity.
A. J. RUDD, ET AL.,)
Defendant.)

DECREE CONFIRMING SALE.
OF RECEIVER OF PERSONAL
PROPERTY.

This cause came on to be heard on the report of sale of the Receiver Charles F. Robertson designated for the purpose of selling certain properties involved in and constituting part of the subject matter of this cause, made pursuant to an order of the 1st day of October, A. D. 1925, said Receiver having filed his report of said sale and his petition praying that said sale be confirmed and approved; and the matter coming on regularly to be heard this the 14th day of November, A. D. 1925, and it appearing to the Court that said sale was made after due notice given of the time, place and terms of said sale and a brief description of the properties to be sold, as required by said order authorizing said sale, said notice having been duly published in the Tulsa Daily World, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and the Engineering News Record of New York City, N. Y. proof of publication having been duly filed with said Receiver's report.

The Court further finds that all of said property was offered for sale by said Receiver in separate parcels and that the said total amount bid upon same in separate parcels was the total sum of \$19,540.00,

The Court further finds that after said properties had been offered for sale in separate parcels that the receiver then offered all of said properties for sale as a whole and that a bid of \$27,400.00 was the highest and best bid received by said receiver and that all of the personal properties was by said receiver sold to Clapp Riley & Hull Equipment Company, of Chicago, Ill. for the total lump sum of \$27,400.00.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that all the right, title, interest and claim of the complainants and defendants and intervenors in and to the personal property sold by the Receiver Charles F. Robertson, be and the same is divested out of the complainants and defendants, and each of them, and that the same be vested in the purchaser Clapp Riley & Hull Equipment Company, their heirs and assigns forever.

It appearing to the Court that the purchaser has paid the purchase price in full, the Receiver is directed to make the purchaser's Bill of Sale in accordance with this decree.

Defendants object to the confirmation of said sale and the Court finds that said objection should be overruled. It is therefore ordered that said objection be and the same is hereby overruled and exceptions allowed.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 14, 1925. H. F. Warfield, Clerk U. S District Court.
H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

~~SPECIAL~~ APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, KLA.

SATURDAY, NOV. 14, 1925.

WALDRIDGE ALDINGER CO.,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	# 19 Equity.
A. J. RUDD, et al.,	Defendant.)	

On this 14th day of November, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that Leschen & Sons Rope Co., be, and they are hereby permitted to intervene in the above entitled cause.

ELIZABETH WILLIAMS,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	# 81 Eq.
DOMIN FILTSCH, ET AL.,	Defendant.)	

On this 14th day of November, 1925, plaintiff is present by Riley Cleveland her attorney and defendant by S. C. Domes their attorney. Whereupon it is ordered that the hearing on application for restraining order be and same is hereby temporarily postponed.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES,)	
VS.)	# 8 Equity.
H. U. BARTLETT, ETAL.)	

The defendant in above case is hereby granted permission to withdraw files in above case for ten days.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

We the undersigned hereby acknowledge receipt of files in above case and agree to return same to clerk within ten days.

Lytle & Field,
By J.W.Field

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 14, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.

Court adjourned until November, 18, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, NOV. 18, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, Nov. 18th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WILLIAM BUCK SELF,	Complainant,	}	Equity No. 9.
vs.			
THE PRAIRIE OIL AND GAS COMPANY, A CORPORATION,	Defendant.	}	

ORDER ENLARGING TIME TO FILE TRANSCRIPT.

Now on this 18th day of November, 1925, the above entitled cause comes on before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of said Court, upon the application of the complainant, William Buck Self, for an order enlarging the time in which the complainant appellant may file his transcript in said cause with the clerk of the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit. Upon good cause shown,

It is ordered that the time in which the appellant, William Buck Self, may file his transcript of the proceedings in this cause in the office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Appeals of the United States for the Eighth Circuit is hereby enlarged for a period of sixty days from the 20th day of November, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 18, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court
L.W.J.

Court adjourned until November, 20, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL-NOVEMBER, 1925 TERM PAWHUSKA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER, 20, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, November, 20th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

NOE-EQUAL TEXTILE MILLS, INC., Plaintiff.
vs.
TOWN OF OKEMAH, a Municipal corporation and W. L. PAYNE, Town Marshall of the the Town of Okemah, Defendants.
In Equity No. 99

ORDER.

The Plaintiff having presented its verified Bill of Complaint and application for temporary injunction, it is ordered and the defendants, Town of Okemah, a municipal corporation, and W. L. Payne, Town Marshall of the Town of Okemah, appear before the undersigned Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma in the Federal Court Room at Pawhuska, at the hour of 2 o'clock P.M. on the 24th day of November, 1925, and show cause why a temporary injunction should not be granted to the plaintiff in accordance with the application of the plaintiff.

It is further ordered that a copy of this Order and a copy of plaintiff's Bill of Complaint be served upon the defendants on or before the 23rd day of November, 1925.

WITNESS my hand this 20 day of November, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ATTEST

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 20, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

Court adjourned until November, 23, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL NOVEMBER, 1925 TERM PAWHUSKA, OKLA. MONDAY, NOVEMBER 23, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday November, 23rd., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Complainant,)
vs.) No. 96 In Equity.
W. H. THOMPSON, otherwise known)
as JACK THOMPSON, and G.W. HENRY,)
Defendants.)

ORDER CONTINUING RESTRAINING ORDER IN FORCE
AND SETTING CAUSE FOR HEARING.

BE IT REMEMBERED,

That on this 23rd. day of November, 1925, at a stated term of this court, this cause coming on to be heard upon the application of the United States of America, Complainant, and it appearing to the Court that by reason of the Court being engaged in the trial of Jury cases, and that the Owen-Osage Oil and Gas Company, the lessee, and the defendants, Thompson and Henry, are willing to arbitrate their differences, and the Court being well and sufficiently informed in the premises, upon mature consideration,

IT IS ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED that this cause be set for hearing in the United States District Court rooms in the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, at 9:00 O'clock A. M. on Tuesday, the 1st day of December, 1925.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER HERETOFORE ISSUED OUT OF THIS COURT ON THE 13TH DAY OF November, 1925, be, and the same is hereby continued in full force and effect up to and including the 1st day of December, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 23, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.P.W.

Court adjourned until November, 14, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA

NOVEMBER, 1925 TERM PAWBUKKA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER, 24, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, November, 24, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. S. McCray, Complainant,)
vs.)
S. PULPA PETROLEUM COMPANY) No. 26 Equity.
et al.,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

On the application of J. A. Fulp, Receiver, appointed by the District Court of Creek County, Oklahoma, to have certain monies turned over to him by J. H. Knox, receiver in this Court, and it appearing to the Court that the properties have been turned over to J. A. Fulp for operation and that he has no money in his hands for the purpose of taking care of said property, the receiver herein, J. H. Knox, is ordered to immediately pay to said J. A. Fulp, receiver, the sum of \$2500.00.

Done at Pawhuska this 24th day of November, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 24, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court L.W.J.

Court adjourned until November, 30, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

251

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
SPECIAL NOVEMBER, 1925 TERM PAWBUSKA, OKLA. MONDAY, NOVEMBER, 30, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, November, 30th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, SITTING AT TULSA.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, Plaintiff. }
vs. } Number 17*Equity.
HELEN P. PURDY, et al., Defendants. }

ORDER OF COURT.

IT APPEARING TO THE COURT, That a stipulation has been filed in this cause, signed by N. E. McNeill, attorney of record for the plaintiff and Bland & Davis attorneys of record for the defendant, Helen P. Purdy, by which it is agreed that a certain deposition taken at Oklahoma City before C. C. Christian, to have been used in evidence in this case between the plaintiff and the defendant, Helen P. Purdy, and filed in this cause in this court on September, 10, 1925, may be withdrawn as the cause is settled and closed and the said deposition has never been opened and published.

NOW, THEREFORE, it appearing to the court that said cause has been settled, adjusted and closed and that said deposition has never been ordered by this court opened, filed and published, and that the same will never be needed or used in this cause;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that said deposition may be withdrawn and the Clerk of this court is hereby directed to deliver the same to counsel of record for the defendant, Helen P. Purdy.

WITNESS MY HAND, this the 30th day of November, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the United States Court for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed Nov. 30, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. L.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN DISTRICT.

FRANCES LOUISE YARLOTTE, Complainant, }
vs. }
JOSEPH D. MITCHELL, L. A. JUSTUS, } In Equity
JUNIOR, H. P. WHITE AND FRANK G. } No. 100.
YARLOTTE, }
Defendants. }

RESTRAINING ORDER.

Now on this 30th day of November, 1925, the above entitled action coming on to be heard before the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of the District Court of the United States for the State of Oklahoma, Northern

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, DECEMBER, 4, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, December, 4th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WALBRIDGE - ALDINGER COMPANY,)
a corporation:) Plaintiff.) Equity No. 19.
vs.))
A. J. RUDD, ET AL.,) Defendants.)

D E C R E E.

This cause came on the be heard on the report of the receiver duly filed herein praying for authority to pay claims incurred by him as such receiver, and the matter coming on regularly to be heard this 4 day of December, 1925, and it appearing to the Court that said claims are just due and unpaid and are legal charges against said estate.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED BY THE COURT, that said receiver be directed to pay said claims out of the funds now on hand by him as such receiver.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that said receiver be directed to pay unto himself Charles F. Robertson the total sum of \$1800.00, as his compensation as such receiver, and that he pay unto the said W. C. Peters, Attorney of record for said receiver as his compensation as such Attorney the sum of \$1800.00 out of the funds now held by him as such receiver.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 4, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ROBINSON TOOL COMPANY, a)
Corporation,) Plaintiff.)
vs.)) IN EQUITY
A. B. CROWELL, ERD V. CROWELL,) NO. 72.
Individually and as Co-partners)
doing business as THE CROWELL)
COMPANY, AND THE LORRAINE CORPORATION,)
a Corporation.) Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Now, on this 4th day of December, A. D. 1925, it appearing to the Court that the firm of Westall and Wallace, attorneys for the defendants in the above entitled cause who were entrusted with the work of preparing the answer herein, are residents of the State of California in the City of

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

~~OFFICIAL~~ APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, DECEMBER, 4, 1925.

Los Angeles, and that by reason of said location, it has been impossible to file an answer in this cause within the said time allotted therefor.

IT IS THEREFORE, BY THE COURT, ORDERED, That the defendants herein be, and they are hereby, permitted to file their answer to the bill of complaint out of time and on this, the 4th day of December, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 4, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until December, 5th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

255

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, DECEMBER, 5, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, December, 5th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. S. McCray, Complainant,)
vs.)
SAPULPA PETROLEUM COMPANY,) No. 26 Equity.
a corporation, et al.,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Now on this the 5th day of December, 1925, the day upon which this matter was set for consideration and approval of the final report of the receiver, J. H. Knox, and both parties having had notice of said hearing and all interested parties being present either in person or by counsel, and the court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises finds that said final report has been on file with the clerk for more than two weeks prior to this hearing.

The Court further finds that there were certain items of expenses paid out by the Receiver not included in his final report on file, to the amount of \$48.00, which should be allowed.

The Court further finds that the said receiver should be allowed an additional compensation other than what has been paid him heretofore in the sum of \$800.00, and an additional sum for his attorney, F. E. Riddle, as shown by his report in the sum of \$250.00, which amounts the said receiver is hereby authorized to pay and to take credit for same.

Said Receiver is also directed to pay State Production Tax accrued during Receivership in sum of \$770.50, and balance to be paid to said J. A. Pulp, Receiver.

The court further finds that the account of L. R. Forum, filed with the receiver for services as foreman at the rate of \$50.00 per month is reasonable and necessary to the handling and preservation of said properties and should be allowed for a period of fifteen months, making a total sum of \$750.00.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that the final report of the receiver on file herein as supplemented by this order be and the same is hereby in all things allowed and approved, and the said receiver is hereby authorized to pay the amounts shown by the said report to be unpaid as hereinabove set out, and the same shall be allowed as a credit against the funds in his hands. The question of taxation of costs in said cause is hereby reserved for further consideration.

Done in open court this the 5th day of December, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

O. E. F. E. Riddle, Atty. for Complainant
Counsel for Defendants, J. P. O'Meara.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 5, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H. W. J.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
APRIL, 1925 TERM MUSKA, OKLA. SATURDAY, DECEMBER, 5, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

R. S. McCRAY, Plaintiff.)
)
 vs.)
) EQUITY
SAPULPA PETROLEUM CO.,) No. 26.
 et al.,)
 Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Now, on this 5th day of December, 1925, this cause came on for hearing upon the intervention of Clark Wasson, Receiver of the First National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, it appearing that the said Clark Wasson having filed a petition requesting the Court to direct the Receiver, in the above styled case, to pay over funds in his hands derived from the

NE $\frac{1}{4}$ OF THE NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 35, T. 19 N.,
Range 7 East.

The said Clark Wasson, Receiver, is denied the right to intervene in this cause and his petition is therefore dismissed.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 5, 1925. P. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until December, 7th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION,

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

MONDAY, DECEMBER, 7, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday December, 7th. 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN DISTRICT.

FRANCES LOUISE YARLOTTE, Complainant,
vs. No. 100.
JOSEPH D. MITCHELL, L. A. JUSTICE, JUNIOR, H. P. WHITE AND FRANK G. YARLOTTE, Defendants.

DECREE DENYING INJUNCTION.

This cause came on to be heard on the 7th day of December, 1925, at Vinita, Oklahoma, on the application of the complainant for temporary injunction against the defendants.

Evidence was introduced and the cause was argued by counsel, and thereupon, on consideration thereof;

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that the temporary restraining order hereinbefore granted be and the same is hereby set aside and the application for temporary injunction is denied, to which order of the court the complainant excepts and her exceptions are allowed.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 7, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN DISTRICT.

FRANCES LOUISE YARLOTTE, Complainant,
vs. In Equity, No. 100.
JOSEPH D. MITCHELL, L. A. JUSTUS, JUNIOR, H. P. WHITE AND FRANK G. YARLOTTE, Defendants.

ORDER DISMISSING

This cause came on further to be heard at Vinita, Oklahoma, on the 7th day of December, 1925. The Attorneys for the Defendants, Justice, Mitchell and White, in open court orally moved to dismiss this cause for the

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION.

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, DECEMBER, 7, 1925.

reason that the bill shows on its face thereof and that it is also shown by the affidavit of Frank G. Yarlotte, one of the defendants, that there is not a diversity of citizenship herein.

On consideration thereof:

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED THAT this cause be dismissed to which order of the court the complainant excepts and her exceptions are allowed.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 7, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

Court adjourned until December, 10th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
EQUITY SESSION.

District of
TULSA, OKLA.

OKLAHOMA.
FRIDAY, DECEMBER, 11, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, December, 11th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY, & corporation,)	
)	
Plaintiff.)	In Equity
vs.)	No 107.
)	
RUBY C. CARROLL AND)	
J. E. CARROLL,)	
Defendants.)	

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

On this 10th day of December, A. D. 1925, the Court having read the petition of the plaintiff herein wherein it prays for preliminary injunction in this cause, and the plaintiff having moved that the same be set for hearing:

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction herein is hereby set for hearing before me at Tulsa on the 20th day of December, A. D. 1925, at the hour of 10 o'clock A.M. and the defendants, Ruby C. Carroll and J. E. Carroll, are ordered to show cause before me at that time why said preliminary injunction should not be granted as prayed for.

And the plaintiff having further moved for a temporary restraining order, without notice, pending the hearing of said cause upon application for preliminary injunction; and it appearing to the Court that plaintiff will suffer irreparable injury if it awaits for notice of said hearing to be given.

IT IS ORDERED that the defendants, Ruby C. Carroll and J. E. Carroll, and all persons acting by, through or for them, or either of them, be and are hereby enjoined and restrained from interfering with any operations of the plaintiff, The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, upon the

Southeast Quarter of Section Twenty One, (21),
Township Twenty-Five (25) North, Range Eight (8)
East of the Indian Meridian, in Osage County, Oklahoma.

in the drilling or development of said land for oil purposes, or from in any manner interfering with the plaintiff, its agents, servants or employees, in conducting operations for oil purposes upon said land, or from preventing or excluding from possession of said land, or attempting to prevent and exclude from the possession thereof, for the conducting of operations for oil mining purposes thereon the said plaintiff, its agents, servants and employees. That said temporary restraining order shall be in force and effect until the hearing of the application for preliminary injunction of the plaintiff in this cause.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

Issued at the hour of 9 o'clock A.M. on the 10th day of December, A. D. 1925.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 10, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION.

VINITA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, DECEMBER, 11, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, December, 11, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY,)
a corporation,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) In Equity No.
RUBY C. CARROLL AND) 107.
J. E. CARROLL,)
Defendants.)

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER.

Now on this 11th day of December, A. D. 1925, it being made to appear that the Court heretofore, to-wit, on the 10th day of December, 1925, made an order in this cause setting plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction for hearing at Tulsa on the 20th day of December, 1925, at the hour of 10 o'clock A.M. and that in so doing the Court inadvertently set said matter for hearing upon a Sunday; and application having been made for a resetting of said motion for preliminary injunction for another date;

IT IS ORDERED that said motion for preliminary injunction is hereby reset for hearing before me at the United States District Court room at Tulsa, on the 21st day of December, 1925, at the hour of 10 o'clock A.M. at which time the defendants, Ruby C. Carroll and J. E. Carroll, are ordered to show cause before me why said preliminary injunction should not be granted as prayed for.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said temporary restraining order, pending the hearing of said cause upon the application for preliminary injunction heretofore issued in this cause on the 10th day of December, A. D. 1925, be and remain in full force, effect and operation pending the hearing of said application for preliminary injunction at the time herein reset for hearing of the same.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 11, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until December, 14th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 EQUITY SESSION. VINITA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, DECEMBER, 14, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, December, 14th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
 H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 Plaintiff.

vs.

Sinclair Oil & Gas Company, a corporation;
 the Minnehoma Oil Company, a corporation;
 the Minnehoma Oil & Gas Company, a corporation;
 the Southwest Oil Company, a corporation;
 the Gilliland Oil Company, a corporation;
 the Badger Oil Company, a corporation;
 the Kolvin Oil Company, a corporation;
 the Paragon Oil Company, a corporation;
 Nancy Barnett, nee Grayson; Miley Asbury,
 Soser Grayson, John W. Gilliland, Vera G. Gil-
 liland, J. D. Boxley, B. H. Harrison, William
 Buck, Robert Oglesby, V. V. Harris, C. B. Hyde,
 N. B. Feagin, E. G. Barnard, Cynda Monahwee,
 nee Thompson; Tilda Smith, Walter L. Hanson,
 L. O. Lytle, W. W. Weddell, Walter E. Templeman,
 L. L. Hutchison, P. G. Walker, Jr., R. W. Wiemer,
 Ellen Sunny, Walter Sunny, Josephine Asbury, Fred
 Branson, James S. Watson, Yerhole and Jimmie Asbury,
 a minor.,
 Defendants.

No. 14 Equity

DECREE DISMISSING BILL WITH PREJUDICE.

Now on this 14 day of December, 1925, at this term, this cause came on for hearing, upon the motion of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma to dismiss with prejudice the above entitled suit, there being attached to said motion the letter from the office of the Attorney General of the United States to said United States Attorney, authorizing and directing such dismissal;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the bill of complaint of the above named plaintiff, heretofore filed herein, as amended, be and it is hereby dismissed, with prejudice to a future action.

F. E. Kennamer,
 Judge.

APPROVED:

Jno M. Goldsberry, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma for plaintiff. By Louis M. Stivers, Asst. U. S. Atty.
 Edw. E. Chandler, Wm. O. Beall, Summers Hardy, Attorneys for Defendant. Sinclair Oil & Gas Company.
 C. F. Rosenstien, Attorney for Defendants, Minnehoma Oil Company and Minnehoma Oil & Gas Company.
 J. E. Pacey and Hunter Johnson, Attorney for Defendants, Gilliland Oil Company, Southwest Oil Company, Kolvin Oil Company, Paragon Oil Company, John W. Gilliland, Vera G. Gilliland, C. B. Hyde, P. G. Walker, Jr., and Walter E. Templeman,
 P. C. Maons, Attorney for Defendant Badger Oil Company.
 Gray Carroll, Attorney for Defendant, Robert Oglesby.
 Frank L. Warren, John Rogers, Attorneys for Defendants, J. D. Boxley, William Buck, V. V. Harris and E. G. Barnard

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT SESSION.

District of
VINITA, OKLA.

OKLAHOMA.
MONDAY, DECEMBER, 14, 1925

Carter Smith, Attorney for Defendant, M. B. Fagin.
L. C. Lytle, W. L. Ransom, Attorneys for Defendants, Cynda Monbwee,
nee, Thompson, Tilda Smith, Walter L. Ransom and L. C. Lytle.
Owen Yancey & Fisk, Attorneys for Defendant R. W. Aldner.
Minebaugh, Pinson and Fite, Clarence M. McKee, Attorneys for Defendants,
Ellen Sunny, Walter Sunny, Josephine Asbury, Fred Branson,
James S. Watson, Sely Yurhola and Jimmie Asbury, a Minor.

Attorney for Defendant, Miley Asbury and Intervenor
R. L. Soddath.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 1, 1925. H.P. Garfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
R.C.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

J. WHITE JOHNSON, an Incompetent,)	
by J. F. Ayers, his guardian,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 56 Equity.
)	
ROYANA PETROLEUM COMPANY OF)	
OKLAHOMA, et al.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

O R D E R.

Now, on this 14 day of December, 1925, upon Motion of the de-
fendants, A. H. Hyden, Wm. Blake and C. W. Grimes, it is by the Court or-
dered that the said defendants and each of them be and they are hereby
granted five days from this time within which to plead in the above entit-
led cause.

F. E. Lemmer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 14, 1925. H.P. Garfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
R.C.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

LIZZIE WILLIAM NEE LEWIS,)	
AND JOHN LEWIS.)	
Plaintiffs.,)	
vs.)	Equity No. 81.
)	
DARWIN FILTSCH, ET ALS.)	
Defendants.)	

JOURNAL ENTRY.

On this 15th day of December, 1925, the Court sitting at Vinita,
Oklahoma, this cause came on regularly for trial. Whereupon counsel for
plaintiff announced ready for trial; also counsel for defendant Darwin
Filtsch announced ready for trial.

From statement of counsel for plaintiffs it is shown to the
Court that the plaintiff John Lewis appears not and fails and refuses to
prosecute this cause .

Court adjourned until December, 15th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION.

VINITA, OKLAHOMA.

TUESDAY, DECEMBER, 15, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, December, 15th, 1925. Present,

Hon. F. A. Kennafer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. F. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

LIZZIE WILLIAMS NEE LEWIS and JOHN LEWIS, Plaintiffs, vs. DARWIN FILTSCH, et als., Defendants. Equity No. 81.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

On this 15th day of December, 1925, the Court sitting at Vinita, Oklahoma, this cause came on regularly for trial. Whereupon counsel for plaintiffs announced ready for trial; also counsel for defendant Darwin Filtsch announced ready for trial.

From statement of counsel for plaintiffs it is shown to the Court that the plaintiff John Lewis appears not and fails and refuses to prosecute this cause.

It is therefore by the Court considered and hereby ordered that this cause in so far as any rights, interest or estate of said plaintiff John Lewis in the subject matter of same are shown by the Bill of Complaint filed herein by plaintiffs, be and the same is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution, without prejudice.

Counsel for plaintiff Lizzie Williams, nee Lewis stated his case, and being requested by the Court to produce his evidence, offered no evidence on any of the issues tendered in his Bill of Complaint, other than the patents to the lands involved in this cause, said patents covering the lands allotted to plaintiff Lizzie Williams, nee Lewis, to prove that the tract of thirty acres of said lands designated as the Homestead is restricted and inalienable. This fact is admitted by Darwin Filtsch. Thereupon counsel for plaintiff Lizzie Williams nee Lewis rested his case.

Counsel for defendant Darwin Filtsch in stating his defense admitted that the Homestead allotment of plaintiff Lizzie Williams nee Lewis is restricted, and disclaimed any interest or estate therein under the deed of trust or quitclaim deed executed by said plaintiff to said defendant Darwin Filtsch pleaded in and made an exhibit to plaintiffs said Bill. Defendant Filtsch by his counsel stated to the Court that the suit pending in the District Court of Rogers County, Oklahoma, as pleaded in his original answer filed herein, styled Darwin Filtsch, trustee for Lizzie Lewis, versus Pearl Gordon, M. H. Gordon and W. N. Turner, number 6064 on the docket of said court, which suit involved the title and right of possession of Darwin Filtsch, trustee, under the deed of trust and quitclaim deed pleaded by plaintiff Lizzie Williams nee Lewis herein, was tried by said Court on the 8th day of December, 1925, judgment rendered for Darwin Filtsch trustee, plaintiff therein, for possession of said lands, and quieting title thereto; that in its judgment said Court construed both said deed of trust and quitclaim deed pleaded by plaintiff herein, and held both of said instruments to be valid, but that they were inoperative to convey and did not convey to said Filtsch any interest in the portion of said lands designated as the Homestead of said Lizzie Williams nee Lewis, but awarded possession of same for use of said allottee. Counsel for defendant Filtsch then states that there was no issue of fact in the case to try and he had no evidence to offer, but that a single question of law was presented, to-wit, whether the said deed of trust and quitclaim deed executed by plaintiff Lizzie Williams nee Lewis to defendant Filtsch covering the lands allotted and patented to her, Cherokee citizen of the half blood, were void because the said restricted homestead tract of thirty acres was included in said instruments.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

205

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
EQUITY SESSION. VINITA, OKLAHOMA. WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER, 16, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment on Wednesday, December, 16th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

The UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 8 Equity.
H. U. BARTLETT, et al.,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Now on this 16th day of December, 1925, the above matter comes on to be heard before the Court on the application of H. U. Bartlett and Robert Oglesby for permission to file a supplemental answer to the complaint of the plaintiff and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that defendants should be allowed to file said supplemental answer.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

EMDORSED: Filed Dec. 16, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

DEWEY HAWKINS, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
LLOYD J. SEAY, J. ARTHUR WILSON,) In Equity
RALPH H. BLAKE AND RAY H. WEAKLEY,) No. 110.
Defendants.)

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

WHEREAS in the above entitled cause, a motion for the issuance of an interlocutory injunction has been duly filed, and it having been made to appear that there is danger irreparable injury being caused to the plaintiff before the hearing of said application for a writ of injunction unless the defendants are before such hearing restrained as herein set forth.

NOW THEREFORE, take notice that you Lloyd J. Seay, J. Arthur Wilson, Ralph H. Blake and Ray H. Weakley, defendants herein, your agents servants, deputies, assistants and attorneys, and each of you are hereby restrained and enjoined from taking any further steps towards issuing any further tax warrants or alias tax warrants, or levying upon, distraining or selling or offering for sale, any of the property of the plaintiff, either real or personal, within the State of Oklahoma, for the collection of any personal taxes due or claimed to be due by the said plaintiff to the State of Oklahoma and Creek County and the sub-divisions and municipalities thereof, until the further order of this court in the premises.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION.

VINITA, OKLAHOMA.

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER, 16, 1925.

It is further ordered that the plaintiff's application for an interlocutory injunction be, and the same is hereby set for hearing at the United States District Court room in the Federal Building, in the City of Tulsa, County, of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on the 26th day of December, 1925 at the hour of 10 o'clock A. M.

Done at chambers in the city of Vinita, in Craig County and within the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, this 16 day of December, 1925, at 5 o'clock P.M.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 16, 1925. H.P. Worfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until December, 19, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
EQUITY SESSION.

District of
TULSA, OKLA.

OKLAHOMA.

SATURDAY, DECEMBER, 19, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, December, 19th, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CARRIE LINDLEY,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 95
T. E. BRATTON, et al.,) In Equity.
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Upon application of the defendants, Winey Polk and Eliza Taylor, for extension of time in which to file an answer, it is ordered and decreed by the court that they be granted an extension of fifteen days in which to file an answer.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 19, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 106 Equity.
PAUL TUTT,)
Defendant.)

WARNING ORDER.

On this, the 19th day of December, 1925, came on to be heard in open court the application of the plaintiff in the above styled and numbered cause for an order directing the absent and non-resident defendant, Paul Tutt, to answer herein, and it appearing to the Court that this suit is commenced by the plaintiff, seeking to set aside a certain instrument therein set forth and to remove cloud from the titled to land situate in the Northern District of Oklahoma, described as follows, to-wit:

The Southwest Quarter of the Northeast
Quarter of the Northwest quarter and the
Southeast quarter of the Northwest Quarter
Section 19, Township 26 North, Range 17 East,
Nowata County, State of Oklahoma.

situate in Nowata County, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, said land being a portion of the allotment of Joshua Nofire, a restricted citizen of the Cherokee Nation; that diligent search for said defendant has been made by the Department of the Interior through the office of the Superintendent for the Five Civilized Tribes and his field clerks; that the whereabouts of said defendant is to this plaintiff unknown, and that personal service

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION.

MUSKA, OKLA.

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 16, 1925

upon said defendant is impracticable and impossible; that said defendant has not voluntarily appeared herein to answer plaintiff's Bill of Complaint; and the Court being of the opinion that said order should be granted.

IT IS ORDERED that the Defendant, Paul Tutt, answer said Bill of Complaint on or before the 8th day of February, 1926; that a copy of this order be published once each week for six consecutive weeks in the Nowata Star Times a newspaper published at Nowata, in Nowata County, in the Northern District of Oklahoma.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 19, 1925, H. F. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE
OF OKLAHOMA.

FANNIE S. CARR, et al.,)
Complainants,)
vs.)
THE MUSKA STREET RAILWAY) No. 91 Equity.
COMPANY, a corporation.)
Defendant.)

ORDER ALLOWING PAYMENT OF COMPENSATION TO
A. E. CRUMB

The above styled and numbered cause came on for hearing this 19 day of December, A. D. 1925, upon the application of the Receiver for an order authorizing the payment of compensation under the workmen's compensation law of the State of Oklahoma, to A. E. Crumb.

The Court being fully advised in the premises finds that such application is in proper form and should be approved, and it is hereby approved.

IT IS THEREFORE, ordered that C. Kline, Receiver, in the above styled and numbered action, pay out any available monies as compensation under the order of the State Industrial Commissioner of the State of Oklahoma, to A. E. Crumb at the rate of \$1.50 per week for the period commencing October, 12th., 1925, and to continue paying the said compensation until the further order of this Court and subject to the order of the State Industrial Commission.

Done in Open Court the day and year first above written.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 19, 1925. H.F. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H. W. J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN EQUITY SESSION. DISTRICT OF TULSA, OKLA. OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, DECEMBER 19th, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 28 Equity.
 HUTCHISON LIMBER COMPANY,)
 a corporation.)
 Defendant.)

ORDER AUTHORIZING RECEIVERS TO PAY TAXES.

The above matter coming on for hearing on this 19 day of December, 1925, on the petition of Hugh Webster and Ed M. Kelly, the duly appointed, qualified and acting receivers in the above entitled matter for authority to pay certain taxes owing by this estate, and it appearing that this estate is indebted to the Treasury Department of the United States on income taxes as follows:

For the year 1919	\$2,577.95
Interest	95.75
For the year 1920	762.30
Interest	25.40
Total	<u>3,462.40</u>
Less credit on 1918 overassessment	54.65
Amount due	<u>\$ 3,407.75</u>

and that warrants of Distrainment have been issued and it is imperative that said taxes be paid at once.

It further appearing that this estate is indebted to the Treasurer of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, in the sum of \$298.45, for 1925 personal taxes and that said taxes should be paid by said receivers.

It is therefore ordered and the said receivers are hereby directed to pay to the Collector of Internal Revenue Treasury Department of the United States, the sum of \$3,407.75 in payment of the above taxes, and to pay to the Treasurer of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, the sum of \$298.45 in payment of personal taxes, said cause to be paid from the moneys in their hands.

F. E. Kennemer,
 Judge.

O.K. N.E. McNeil,
 M.A. Breckenridge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 19, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
 H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

D. J. GONYER, Complainant,)
 vs.) No. 4 Equity.
 H. E. CLARK, ISAAC SHULER)
 AND CLARA B. SHULER,)
 Defendants.)

ORDER AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF CURRENT BILLS.

This matter coming on to be heard on the application of Peter Deichman, receiver herein, showing that it is necessary to pay certain

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION.

TULSA, OKLA.

SATURDAY, DEC. 19, 1925.

bills in running the business involved herein, and the Court being advised,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said receiver be, and he is hereby authorized to pay the following bills, to-wit:

H. O. Tellman, Pumper, November,.....	368.00
Alfred Buffington, Teaming.....	31.50
Ollie Griffin, labor	15.75
Bob Ashbill, labor.....	10.50
Taxes on land, involved	213.72
Said receiver is also authorized to draw.....	50.00

additional on account of his services rendered herein.

Done this....day of December, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 19, 1925. H.P. Warfield Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CLARK WASSON, RECEIVER OF
THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF
SAPULPA, OKLAHOMA.
Plaintiff.

vs.

J. W. THOMPSON, ORA THOMPSON,
JOHN M. GOLDSBERRY, TRUSTEE
FOR TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA,
a municipal corporation,
IRON MOUNTAIN OIL COMPANY, a
corporation, SKELLY OIL COMPANY,
a corporation. PHILLIPS PETROLEUM
COMPANY, a Corporation, and JOMAC
OIL COMPANY, a corporation.
Defendants.

No. 77 Equity.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Now, on this 19 day of December, 1925, this cause came on for hearing upon the motion of Clark Wasson, Receiver of the First National Bank, of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, plaintiff, to dismiss the above cause for the reason that the judgment sued on herein has been compromised and said compromise was approved by the Comptroller of the Currency, and the District Court for Creek County, Oklahoma, as required by law.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED that said suit be dismissed.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 19, 1925. H.P. Warfield. Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
EQUITY SESSION.

District of
TULSA, OKLA.

OKLAHOMA.
SATURDAY, DECEMBER, 19, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FANNIE S. CARR, et al.,)	
Complainants,)	
vs)	
THE TULSA STREET RAILWAY COMPANY,)	No. 91
a corporation.)	Equity.
Defendant.)	

O R D E R.

Now on this 19th day of December, 1925, this cause comes on for hearing upon the application of C. Kline, as Receiver, for an Order fixing his compensation as such Receiver. Said Receiver appears in person and by his attorneys Charles R. Bostick and A. F. Moss. Thereupon said application is presented and the Court, after hearing the evidence and considering said application finds that the compensation of said Receiver should be fixed at the sum of \$500.00 per month.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the compensation of the said C. Kline, as Receiver, aforesaid, be and is hereby fixed at the sum of \$500.00 per month, beginning on the 19th day of October, 1925, and said Receiver is hereby empowered and authorized to pay himself as Receiver out of the funds of said Company, the sum of \$500.00 per month.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 19, 1925. H. T. Garfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
F.W.J.

Court adjourned until December, 21, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION.

OKLA., OKLA.

MONDAY, DECEMBER, 21, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, December, 21st, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Fenner, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

DEWEY HASKINS, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
LLOYD J. SEAY, et al.,) 110 Equity.
Defendants.)

On this 21st day of December, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that hearing on Temporary Restraining Order be and same is hereby continued to January 4th., 1926, and that temporary restraining order remain in full force and effect to that date.

in the district court of the united states
for the northern district of Oklahoma.

THE PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY,)
a corporation.) Plaintiff.) 107 Equity.
vs.)

RUBY C. CARROLL AND J. E. CARROLL,)
Defendants.)

J. E. CARROLL AND RUBY C. CARROLL,)
Plaintiffs) No 111 Equity.
vs.)

THE PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY, a)
corporation.) Defendant.)

O R D E R.

The above entitled cause having come on for hearing on this the 21st day of December, 1925, upon application by the plaintiffs in each case for a preliminary injunction, The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, appearing by its attorneys, West, Gibson, Sherman, Davidson & Hull and Ruby C. Carroll and J. E. Carroll appearing in person and by their attorney, Frank McCoy, both parties announced ready for hearing.

Thereupon the defendants in cause No. 107 Equity Ruby C. Carroll and J. E. Carroll, filed their motion to dismiss the bill of complaint and the same is by the court heard and the court finds that the same should be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the Motion to dismiss the bill of complaint in case No. 107 Equity be, and the same is, overruled, to which the defendants and each of them except and the defendants are thereupon given twenty days within which to answer.

Thereupon, upon consent of the parties in each cause, the two cases are consolidated and the causes heard upon the application of the plaintiff The Prairie Oil & Gas Company for a preliminary injunction and

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

270

NORTHERN
EQUITY SESSION.

District of
TULSA, OKLA.

OKLAHOMA.
MONDAY, DECEMBER, 21, 1925.

upon the cross application of J. E. Carroll and Ruby C. Carroll for a preliminary injunction.

The Court after hearing the evidence offered by the parties took the matter under advisement and continued the cause to the 4th of January, 1926, at ten o'clock A. M.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that until such time the restraining order heretofore issued and granted in cause No. 107 Equity, viz., The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, vs. Ruby C. Carroll and J. C. Carroll be and remain in full force and effect.

F. E. Kennemer,
District Judge.

Court adjourned until December, 31, 1925.

NORTHERN
EQUITY SESSION.

District of
TULSA, OKLA.

OKLAHOMA.
DECEMBER, 23, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment on this 23rd day of
December, 1925. Present:

Hon. F.E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

SAND SPRINGS HOME, a
corporation, and Charles Page,
Complainants,)
vs.)
TITLE GUARANTEE & TRUST
COMPANY, GUARDIAN OF)
MILLIE MAHARKEY, AN IN*)
COMPETENT, WILLIE MAHARKEY,)
E. J. LUNDY, L. M. POE,)
OWEN OWEN, C. L. YANCY,)
HENRY PIST, JOHN E. MOSIER,)
EARL B. BOHANNAN, AND)
D. T. MOSIER, Respondents.)

No. 97 In Equity.

O R D E R.

This cause coming on to be heard this the 23rd day of December,
1925, before the Hon. John H. Cotteral, presiding judge, upon the bill
of complaint and the motion to dismiss filed thereto by the defendants,
and having been argued and submitted by counsel, and the court being
fully advised in the premises, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that
said motion is well taken, and is hereby sustained; to which action of
the court in sustaining said motion, the plaintiffs separately and sever-
ally excepted and except, and ask that their exceptions be allowed and
noted of record, which is accordingly done; and thereupon counsel for
the plaintiffs, in open court, announcing that they did not desire to
amend the bill elected to stand upon the same as drawn and filed, it is
ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the said bill of complaint
herein be and the same is hereby dismissed for want of equity. There-
upon, said order being made and the dismissal entered, the plaintiffs
separately and severally excepted and except, to the dismissing of said
bill, and ask that their exceptions be allowed and noted of record,
which is accordingly done, and gave notice of their intention to appeal
from the order and decision of said court.

John H. Cotteral.
Judge.

O. K. E.J. Lundy.
O.K. as to form
Stewart, Sharp Cruce & Coakley.

ENDORSED: Filed Dec. 30, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk, By H.W.J. Deputy

SAND SPRINGS HOME, a
Corporation, and Charles Page,
Complainants,
vs.
TITLE GUARANTEE & TRUST
COMPANY, ET AL.
Respondents.

No. 97. Equity.

On this 23rd. day of December 1925 it is ordered the Appeal
Cost Bond in above entitled case be and same is hereby set at \$300.00.

Court adjourned until January, 2, 1926