

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925, TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, APRIL, 7, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment this 7th day of April, 1925 at 9:30 A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennemer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. F. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER FOR PUBLICATION AND FOR PUBLICATION TO UNKNOWN HEIRS.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Jesse A. Tolerton,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 1, Equity.
)
R. C. Bartlett, et al.,)
Defendants.)

ORDER FOR PUBLICATION AND FOR PUBLICATION TO UNKNOWN HEIRS.

Now on this 7th day of April, 1925, the above matter comes on to be heard before the court on the affidavit of the above named plaintiff for an Order of Publication to non-resident defendants and for an Order of Publication against defendants who the plaintiff does not know and with due diligence is unable to ascertain whether they are living or dead and if dead who their heirs, executors, administrators, devisees, trustees or assigns are and for an Order of Publication to the unknown heirs, executor administrators, devisees, trustees or assigns of the above named defendants who are known to be dead; and the court, having considered the petition herein and having considered the affidavit for publication and being fully advised in the premises, finds that notice of the pendency of the above styled cause should be published for six (6) successive weeks in a newspaper; that notice of publication should be published in the Sapulpa Herald all of which is ordered and adjudged by the court.

F. E. Kennemer, Judge.

RECORDED: Filed Apr. 7, 1925. H. F. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. Court.
By L. W. Jones, Deputy.

Court adjourned until April, 10 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY APRIL 10, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A. M. this 10th day of April, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered:
to-wit:

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING AND RESTRAINING ORDER IN FULL FORCE & EFFECT.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

M. L. COOLEY, et al,)
Plaintiffs.)
vs.) No. 2 Equity.
CITY OF TULSA, et al.,)
Defendants.)

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING AND RESTRAINING ORDER
IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.

This cause being regularly set for hearing on this 10th day of April, 1925, upon the application of the plaintiffs for a temporary injunction and the defendants herein having filed a motion to dismiss, the plaintiffs appearing by Pratt & Springer, their attorneys and the defendants appearing by Allen & Underwood, their attorneys and a request for continuance of the hearing having been made on behalf of the defendant and for the convenience of the defendants' counsel,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the hearing on plaintiffs' application for temporary injunction and upon the motion of the defendant to dismiss be and is hereby continued until the 15th day of April, 1925, at 10 o'clock A. M., and,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the temporary restraining order heretofore issued in this cause on the 7th day of March, 1925, is hereby continued in full force and effect until the 15th day of April, 1925, all of which the defendants will take full notice and govern themselves accordingly.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Apr. 10, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court,
By L. W. Jones, Deputy.

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING AND RESTRAINING ORDER IN FULL FORCE & EFFECT.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OLIVE MORGAN, et al.,)
Plaintiffs.)
vs.) No. 3, Equity.
CITY OF TULSA, et al.,)
Defendants.)

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING AND RESTRAINING
ORDER IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.

This cause being regularly set for hearing on this 10th day of April, 1925, upon the application of the plaintiffs for a temporary injunction and the defendants herein having filed a motion to dismiss; the plaintiffs appearing by Pratt & Springer, their attorneys and the defendants appearing by Allen & Underwood, their attorneys and a request for

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY APRIL, 10, 1925.

continuance of the hearing having been made on behalf of the defendant and for the convenience of defendants' counsel,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the hearing on plaintiffs' application for temporary injunction and upon the motion of the defendants to dismiss be and is hereby continued until the 15th day of April, 1925, at 10 o'clock A. M. and,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the temporary restraining order heretofore issued in this cause on the 7th day of March, 1925, is hereby continued in full force and effect until the 15th day of April, 1925, all of which the defendants will take full notice and govern themselves accordingly.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed, Apr. 10, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
By L. W. Jones. Deputy.

Court adjourned until April, 11, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A. M.

Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ORDER APPOINTING RECEIVER, D. J. GONYER, COMPLAINANT.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

D. J. GONYER,	Complainant,)	
vs.)	
H. M. Clark, Isaac Shuler,)	No. 4 Equity.
and Clara B. Schuler,)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER APPOINTING RECEIVER.

Now on this the 3rd day of April, 1925, came on to be heard the application of the complainant herein for the appointment of a receiver upon the verified petition of the complainant, and it appearing that notice has been served upon said defendants, Isaac Shuler and Clara B. Schuler, and it further appearing to the Court that from the complainant's sworn bill of complaint that this is a cause for the partition of certain real estate, and that there are certain oil wells upon said property, and that pending the final determination of said cause a receiver should be appointed to collect the rents, revenues and proceeds of oil runs, and hold the same awaiting the final decision of said cause.

It is therefore by the Court ordered and adjudged that Peter Deichman be, and he is hereby receiver in this case, and upon qualifying as required by law, by taking the oath of office and executing bond in the sum of \$2500.00 he is authorized to take control and possession of said real estate, to-wit:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, APR. 15, 1925.

ORDER OF CONTINUANCE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OLIVE MORGAN, et al.,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 3, Eq.
CITY OF TULSA,)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER OF CONTINUANCE.

Now on this 15th day of April, 1925, this cause coming on to be heard upon the Motion to Dismiss, comes the Plaintiff and moves the court to postpone the hearing until Friday April, 17, 1925, at nine o'clock A.M. and same is granted.

It is therefore ordered, that this cause be and the same is set for hearing upon the Motion to Dismiss on the 17th day of April, 1925, until which date the restraining order is to remain in full force and effect

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

WIT BENE: Filed Apr. 15, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court
By, L. M. Jones, Deputy.

Court adjourned until April, 17, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A. M. this Friday April, 17, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, U. S. District Judge.
John H. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney
H. S. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal
H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court

Public proclamation being duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

M. L. COOLEY, et al.,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	Equity & L.
CITY OF TULSA,)	
Defendant.)	

Now at this time the above cause comes on for further hearing. Plaintiff represented by Pratt & Springer, attorneys and Defendant by R. C. Allen, its attorney. Case heard and continued until Monday April, 20th, 1925.

OLIVE MORGAN, et al.,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	Equity & 3.
CITY OF TULSA,)	
Defendant.)	

Now at this time the above cause comes on for further hearing. Plaintiff represented by Pratt & Springer their attorneys and the defendant by R. C. Allen, its attorney. Case heard and continued until April 20th, 1925.

Court adjourned until April, 20th, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHEN District of OREAHOM.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 22, 1925.

MONDAY, APRIL 20, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment at 9:30 A. M. Monday, April, 20th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
John W. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Public proclamation being duly made the following proceedings were had and entered.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OREAHOM.

MARTIN L. COOLEY, et al., Plaintiffs, vs. CITY OF TULSA, a Municipal Corporation, et al., Defendants, No. 3 Equity.

ORDER.

Now, on this 20th day of April, 1925, this cause coming on for hearing upon the Complaint in Equity and Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the same, plaintiffs appearing by their attorneys, Pratt & Springer, and the defendants appearing by their attorneys, Allen & Underwood, H. O. Bland and Harry Halley, and the Court heard the arguments of counsel and having duly considered the same and being now well advised in the premises, sustains said Motion and the plaintiffs having elected to stand upon their pleadings,

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the Complaint in Equity of the plaintiffs be, and the same is hereby dismissed at plaintiffs' costs for the reason and upon the ground that no federal question is involved.

To which action of the Court in sustaining said motion and decree of dismissal the plaintiffs except and exceptions are allowed.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.F. R.C. Allen. ENDORSED: Filed, Apr. 20, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk By H.W.J. Deputy.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OREAHOM.

OLIVE MORGAN, et al., Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF TULSA, A Municipal Corporation, et al., Defendants, No. 3, Equity.

ORDER.

Now, on this 20th day of April, 1925, this cause coming on for hearing upon the Complaint in Equity and defendants' Motion to Dismiss the same, plaintiffs appearing by their attorneys, Pratt & Springer, and the defendants appearing by their attorneys, Allen & Underwood, H. O. Bland and Harry Halley, and the Court having heard the arguments of counsel and having duly considered the same and being now well advised in the premises, sustains said motion and the plaintiffs having elected to stand upon their pleadings,

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the Complaint in Equity of the plaintiffs be, and the same is hereby dismissed at plaintiffs' costs for the reason and upon the ground that no federal question is involved.

To which action of the Court in sustaining said motion and decree of dismissal the plaintiffs except and exceptions are allowed.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.F. R.C. Allen. ENDORSED: Filed Apr. 20, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk, By F.W.J. Deputy

Court adjourned until April, 27, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday April, 27, 1925. at 9:30 A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court
H.P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of U.S. District Court

Thereupon the following proceedings were had.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OREAHOM.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL 27, 1925. THURSDAY, MONDAY, APRIL 27, 1925.

MIRVA JONES, et al. Plaintiff. }
 vs. } EQUITY # 7.
 The Prairie Oil & Gas Co. Defendant. }
 ORDER AND DECREE.

Now on this 27th day of April, 1925, the above cause came on for hearing by agreement of all parties upon the motion of the defendant herein filed to dismiss the second amended bill of complaint; and thereupon the plaintiffs prayed leave to further amend the said second amended bill of complaint by attaching hereto exemplified copies of an order transferring the guardianship proceeding involved herein from Wagoner County, Oklahoma, to Muskogee County, Oklahoma, of the order of the County Court of Wagoner County dated December, 16, 1910, setting the application for guardianship for hearing upon January 3, 1911, and of the notice of the said hearing, which prayer and request was by the court granted and the second amended bill of complaint further amended accordingly. And thereupon the said motion to dismiss the second amended bill of complaint as so amended was ordered refiled as of this date to the said second amended bill of complaint as so amended and proceeded to hearing; and the court having heard the argument of counsel, the said motion to dismiss is by the court sustained, to which the plaintiffs except, and thereupon the plaintiffs elect to stand upon their second amended bill of complaint as so amended this day.

Therefore, it is by the court **GRANTED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that the said motion to dismiss is sustained, and the second amended bill of complaint as amended this day be and the same is dismissed for want of equity at plaintiffs' costs; to all of which the plaintiffs except.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

C. H. as to form
 Wesley Atkins & Chandler
 for Relators.

C. F. Gest, Gibson, Sherman,
 Davidson & Hull,
 for Defendants.

Filed April 27, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk By, H.W. James, Deputy

Court adjourned until April, 28th, 1925.

Court Convened pursuant to adjournment Wednesday, April, 27, 1925, at 9:30 A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of N. O. District Court
 H. F. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of N. O. District Court

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered.

WALBRIDGE - LDRIDGE Co.)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 A. J. Radd, Et al.,) EQUITY # 19.
 Defendants.)

Now on this 29th day of April, 1925 it is ordered that the Mandate in the above cause be spread of record and same was affirmed at cost of defendant.

MANDATE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

((SEAL)) To the Honorable The Judge of the District Court of
 the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

WHEREAS:

WHE EAG, lately in the Northern District of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, was engaged in a cause between the Walbridge-Lidinger Company, Plaintiff, and A. J. Radd, A. H. McCollough, H. C. Arvey, Defendants, in the Northern District of Oklahoma.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SESSION, April, 19, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, APR 19, 1925.

Water Commission of the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and the City of Tulsa, a municipal corporation, Defendants No. 3108, in Equity, wherein the order of the said District Court in said cause, entered on the 6th day of February, A. D. 1924, was in the following words, viz:

" On this January 25, 1924, the plaintiff appearing herein and requesting the court to set down for hearing its application for a temporary injunction herein, the same is set down for hearing for January, 26th 1924, at 11 o'clock A.M. on said day. And now on this 28th day of January, 1924, at 11 O'clock A.M. the plaintiff being present in person and by its attorneys West & Petry, and the defendants, being present in person and by their attorneys W. B. Robinson, W. F. Tucker and Massengale and Duff, the application of the Plaintiff for a temporary injunction comes on to be heard and after proceeding with the same, for good cause the matter is postponed until Wednesday the 30th day of January, 1924. Thereupon the hearing is again resumed and had on said 30th day of January, and on the 31st day of January, 1924. Thereupon for good cause the further hearing of the same is postponed to the 6th day of February, 1924, at 11 o'clock A.M. and at said time the further hearing of said application is resumed and both parties offer oral evidence and documents and rest. And thereupon the court finds that the application of the plaintiff should be refused and to this the plaintiff at the time excepts.

It is thereupon, considered, ordered and adjudged that the application of the plaintiff for a temporary injunction against the defendants seizing its property used in the prosecution of the work under the contract involved herein be refused and denied to which the plaintiff excepts at the time and in open court at the time prays the court to allow it on appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals, and the court thereupon gives the plaintiff until Friday February 8th at 11 o'clock A.M. to file an application for such appeal, and thereupon the plaintiff prayed the court for an order of supersedeas of said order denying said injunction which application for a supersedeas was by the court denied.

(Signed) R. L. Williams, Judge. "

AND WHEREAS at the May term in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and twenty four the said cause came on to be heard before the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals, on the transcript of record from the District Court, and was argued by counsel.

On Consideration Thereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court, that the order of the said District Court appeal from, in this cause, be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs; and that A. J. Rudd, G. E. McCullough, G. S. Livery, R. L. Stevenson and A. L. Farmer, as the Water Commissioner of the City of Tulsa and the City of Tulsa, a municipal corporation, have and recover against the Walbridge-Aldinger Company, a corporation, the sum of twenty dollars for their costs herein and have execution thereof. ---July 21, 1924.0---

ENDORSED: Filed, in open Court Apr. 29, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court, By H.W.J.

ORDER ASSIGNING EQUITY MOTIONS FOR HEARING IN TULSA OKLAHOMA.

On this 29th. day of April, 1926, it is ordered by the Court that the following named and numbered cases be assigned for hearing in the Federal Court room in Tulsa, Oklahoma, on May 18th., 1926.

EQUITY MOTIONS

No. 5	The Sullivan Co., vs. James H. Thomas, et al	No. to strike
No. 6	Margaret Stegemiller, vs. United Savings & Loan Ass'n a corp., and W.B.Harris Intervenor.	No. to require Intervenor to re- cast pleadings.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL 1925. TULSA, OKLAHOMA. WEDNESDAY, APR. 29, 1925.

- | | | |
|--------|--|--|
| No. 6 | United States vs.
R. W. Bartlett, et al. | Various No. to dismiss |
| No. 9 | William Buck Self vs.
Prairie Oil & Gas Co. | No. to dismiss |
| No. 10 | The Carter Oil Co. vs.
Lewis Scott, et al | Various No. to dismiss |
| No. 14 | United States vs.
Sinclair Oil & Gas Co. | " " " " |
| No. 16 | The Wire Rope Appliance Co. vs.
Oklahoma Iron Works | Appl. for allowance of Special Master's Fees. |
| No. 17 | Mary L. Hutchinson, et al. vs.
Helen E. Purdy, et al | No. dismiss amended Bill of Complaint. |
| No. 21 | McGraw-Bushman-Beechler Lbr. Co. vs.
Guy T. Slater, | No. to require DFF file reply to one of defendant. |
| No. 24 | Lonzetta Candy, et al. vs.
Mountain State Oil & Gas Company, et al. | No. to dismiss |

ORDER ASSIGNING EQUITY CASES FOR FINAL HEARING.

On this 28th day of April, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that the following named and numbered cases be assigned for final hearing at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the days and dates hereinafter specified.

ASSIGNMENT OF EQUITY CASES FOR FINAL HEARING
AT TULSA, OKLAHOMA, MAY 1925, 1926.

MAY 19, 1925.
TUESDAY.

- | | |
|--------|---|
| No. 4. | D. J. Conyer, vs.
H. E. Clarke, et al. |
| No. 13 | Clark Watson, et al. vs.
E. R. Bennett, et al. |

MAY 20, 1925.
WEDNESDAY.

- | | |
|--------|--|
| No. 15 | Robert E. Alexander, vs.
W. C. Harris |
| No. 20 | Edward A. Kiewer, vs.
Brown Sulphur Co., et al. |

MAY 21, 1925.
THURSDAY.

- | | |
|--------|--|
| No. 22 | E. K. Taylor, vs.
Fred I. Brunson, et al. |
| No. 23 | Napoleon Hamilton, vs.
J. M. Hamilton, et al. |

MAY 22, 1925.
FRIDAY.

- | | |
|--------|--|
| No. 27 | Frederick W. Bailey, vs.
Oklahoma Company, et al. |
|--------|--|

Court adjourns until May 1st, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION,

TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, MAY 1, 1925.

SERVICE upon the respondent and appellee of a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing citation is hereby accepted and acknowledged this 1st. day of May, A.D. 1925.

T. J. Flannelly, Paul B. Mason
Nathan A. Gibson, West, Gibson,
Sherman, Davidson & Hull

Solicitors for the Respondent and
Appellee.

ENDORSED FILED May, 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. Court.
By H. W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

Mary L. Hutchison, in her individual capacity
and E. S. Hutchison, Jr., a minor, by his next
friend, Mary L. Hutchison,
Mary Eleanor Hutchison, a minor, by her next friend
Mary L. Hutchison,
James Herbert Hutchison, a minor, by his next friend
Mary L. Hutchison, and
Elizabeth Ann Hutchison, a minor, by her next friend,
Mary L. Hutchison.

Plaintiffs.

vs.

Helen P. Purdy, Lon Hutchison, Margery Hutchison,
E. R. Hutchison, Mrs. Lula Johnson, Mrs. H. L. Hutchison
L. L. Hutchison, Mary Williamson, Eson Newberry, Elmer
W. Jacobs and the Exchange Trust Company.

Defendants.

Equity No
17.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

BE IT REMEMBERED, That on the 16th day of February, A. D. 1925, the defendant, Helen P. Purdy, filed her motion to dismiss the bill of complaint herein and the defendants, Elmer Jacobs, individually and Elmer Jacobs, the duly acting and qualified guardian of E. S. Hutchison, Jr., and Margery Hutchison, and the defendant, Eson Newberry, filed their joint motion to dismiss the bill of complaint herein; and after this cause coming on to be heard before me in open Court in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, on the two motions of the defendants to dismiss the bill of complaint in equity and said defendant appearing by their respective counsel, Bland & Davis, Breckinridge & Bostick, and the Plaintiffs appearing by their counsel, W. E. McNeill, the court after hearing said motions takes the same under advisement; and on the 30th day of March, A. D. 1925, this cause coming on to be heard before me, in open court, on the respective motions of the defendants aforesaid, to dismiss the bill of complaint in equity in this cause, and the court being fully advised in the premises, both as to the law and the questions raised by said motions;

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED CONSIDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the court that each of said motions be and they hereby are in all things fully sustained and said bill of complaint is ordered dismissed, to which action on the part of the court in sustaining each of said motions and ordering the bill dismissed, the plaintiffs then and there duly excepts, and their exceptions are allowed by the court.

Thereupon, this cause coming on to be heard on the motion of the plaintiff for additional time in which to plead further, it is ordered by the court that the plaintiffs be and they hereby are given five days in which to file an amended bill of complaint in equity herein, and the defendants are allowed by the court ten days thereafter in which to plead, or twenty days thereafter in which to answer said amended bill of complaint. On further application plaintiffs were granted until the 15th day of April, to file amended bill and defendants were given until April 30th., 1925, in which to plead to the amended bill.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SESSION APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, MAY, 1, 1925.

The matters, orders and things herein set forth and contained and covered by this Journal Entry were had, done and obtained in this cause while this case was pending under No. 3279 Equity, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of the State of Oklahoma, sitting at Tulsa, and before me as Judge of the said Court for said Eastern District, and before the United States Court for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma had been created. This cause has been duly transferred by stipulation of parties to the United States Court for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, and all the files and records in said cause are now on file in the office of the Clerk for the United States Court for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, at Tulsa, Oklahoma. All and singular the matters orders and things contained in and covered by this Journal Entry are now made the matters, orders and things had, done and obtained herein in the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, up to and including March, 30th, 1925, and this Journal Entry is now declared to be the Journal Entry of this Court in this cause as to the same.

Done in open court this 1st day of May, A.D. 1925.

F. E. Kenamer,
Judge of the United States Court for
the Northern District of the State of
Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed May, 1, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.
By, H.W.J. Deputy.

ORDER ALLOWING DEFENDANT 30 DAYS ADDITIONAL TIME TO ANSWER.

UNITED STATES FIDELITY & GUARANTY COY)
PLAINTIFF.)
VS.) Number 32 Equity.
OTTAWA COUNTY NATIONAL BANK)
DEFENDENT.)

ORDER.

Upon the application of defendant and for good cause shown it is hereby ordered adjudged and decreed that defendant be and it is hereby granted and allowed thirty days additional time in which to answer the petition of plaintiff.

Dated this 1st day of May, 1925.

F. E. Kenamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May, 1, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
By L.W.J. Deputy.

Court adjourned until May, 4, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL PRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, MAY, 4, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A. M. Monday, May, 4, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Hennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs.
LOUISA BROWN, et al. Defendants.
EQUITY NO. 18.

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BILL.

This cause coming on to be heard on this the ___ day of April, 1925, upon the petition of the plaintiff for leave to file a supplemental bill in this cause, and it appearing to the Court that reasonable notice of such application has been served upon each of the defendants, stating the time of the presentation of the application, and after hearing argument of counsel, in support, in support of said petition, and no one appearing in opposition thereto:

It is ordered that said supplemental bill be filed.

F. E. Hennamer, Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May, 4, 1925, H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

Court adjourned until May 6, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. Friday, May 6, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Hennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court
H. P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FREDERICK W. BAILEY, Plaintiff.
vs.
R. W. Smith and Oklahoma Company. Defendants.
No. 27, Equity.

ORDER.

Upon application of defendants and for good cause shown, the above entitled cause is stricken from the docket for the 22nd day of May, 1925.

It is ORDERED that the above entitled cause be held for final hearing on the 22nd day of June, 1925.

F. E. Hennamer, Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May, 6, 1925, H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

WEEKLY SESSION.

CLERK, DISTRICT COURT.

WEDNESDAY, MAY, 8, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. May, 8, 1925. Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court. H. P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of said Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered.

GEORGE W. BECK, JR.) Plaintiff.) vs.) EQUITY 30.) THE EAGLE PITCHER LEAD CO.) Defendant.)

Now at this time the Court ordered the above entitled case set for May 18, 1925, for hearing on Motion to Dismiss Bill of Complaint.

Court adjourned until May, 16, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:30 A.M. Saturday, May, 16, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court. H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court. W. L. Coffey, Esq., Assistant U.S. District Attorney. H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal. W. F. Wolverton, Esq. Bailiff of U. S. District Court.

Public proclamation being duly had the following proceedings were had and entered:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Plaintiff.) vs.) NO. EQUITY 40.) D. H. HOOD,) Defendant.)

WARNING ORDER.

On this, the 16 day of May, 1925, came on to be heard in open Court the application of the plaintiff in the above styled cause for an order directing the absent and non-resident defendant, D. H. Hood, to answer herein, and it appearing to the Court that this suit is commenced by the plaintiff, seeking to set aside a certain instrument therein set forth and to remove cloud from the title to land situate in the Northern District of Oklahoma, described as follows, to-wit:

The Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section five (5) Township twenty-two (22) North, Range Fourteen (14) East,

situate in Rogers County, in the Northern District of Oklahoma, said land being a portion of the allotment of Mary Nelson, now Beamer, a restricted citizen of the Cherokee Nation; that diligent search for said defendant has been made by the Department of the Interior through the office of the Superintendent for the Five Civilized Tribes and its Field Clerks, and by the postoffice Department of the United States; that the whereabouts of said defendant is to this plaintiff unknown and that personal service upon said defendant is impracticable and impossible; that said defendant has not voluntarily appeared herein to answer Plaintiff's Bill of Complaint; and the Court being of the opinion that said order should be granted, it is ordered that the defendant, D. H. Hood, answer said Bill of Complaint on or before the 30th day of June, 1925; that a copy of this order be published once each week for six consecutive weeks in the "PROGRESS", a newspaper published at Claremore, in Rogers County, in the Northern District of Oklahoma.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May, 16, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

UNITY SESSION, TULSA, Oklahoma. Saturday, May 16, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ROBERT E. ALEXANDER, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 W. C. MORRIS, Defendant.) No. 18 Equity

ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE.

This cause coming on regularly for hearing this 16th day of May 1925, upon the application of the defendant, W. C. Morris, for continuance

Present the plaintiff by his attorney, James E. Cole, Present the defendant by his attorney, W. A. Daugherty; and the court having heard the evidence and the argument of counsel finds that the defendant will not be able to proceed to trial of this cause on May, 16th, due to his absence in Warren, Pennsylvania, on account of the illness of his mother

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED that said cause be continued until 27th May, 1925.

F. E. HARRISON, Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May, 16, 1925. F. J. Garfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court. By E.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

HAROLD HAMILTON, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 J. H. HAMILTON, et al. Defendants.) No. 13 Equity

C A R D

Now on this 16th day of May, 1925, this cause coming on for hearing on the application of the plaintiff for an Order for subpoena duces tecum for J. H. Hamilton to bring with him all papers, vouchers and records showing the receipt and disbursement by him of money received for the sale of plaintiff's land at Georgian sale, and the Court having considered said application, sustains the same, and the Clerk of this Court is hereby ordered to issue such subpoena duces tecum in accordance with the request therefor.

F. E. HARRISON, Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May, 16, 1925. F. J. Garfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court. By E.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JESSE A. TOLBERTON, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 W. C. BARTLETT, et al., Defendants.) No. 2 Equity

C A R D

By motion made in open court, and for good cause shown, it is hereby considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed, that the separate answer of Roy R. Poe, heretofore filed, be withdrawn, that prejudice, and the defendant Roy R. Poe, granted leave to come into court further in the above styled and numbered cause.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this cause be continued until the 27th day of May, 1925.

F. E. HARRISON, Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May, 16, 1925. F. J. Garfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court. By E.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

MAY 17 1925, 1925. MAY 16, 1925. THURSDAY, MAY 14, 1925

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF
OKLAHOMA.

JESSE A. TOLLERTON,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 1 Equity
E. C. BARTLESS, THOS. J.)
HORSLEY, C. GUY CUTLIP, et al.)
Defendants)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PLEAD.

Now on this 16th day of May, 1925, it being made to appear to the Judge of this Court that it is necessary that additional time be given the defendant Thos. J. Horsley and Guy Cutlip, in which to plead to the bill of plaintiff filed herein:

It is by the court ordered, considered, adjudged and decreed, that the said Thos. J. Horsley, and C. Guy Cutlip, defendants be and they are hereby granted twenty days additional time from the 17th day of May, 1925 in which to plead in the above entitled cause.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the United States District
Court for Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed May 16, 1925, F. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.
By, E. W. J. Deputy.

Court adjourned until May, 18, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 10 o'clock A. M. May 18th, 1925. Present:
Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court
H. P. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered:

THE SULLIVAN COMPANY,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) Equity #5.
JAS. H. THOMAS,)
Defendant.)

Now at this time hearing in the above cause came on and Plaintiff was represented by Mason S. Holland their attorneys and defendant by Clarence M. McRae his attorney. Arguments of counsel was heard and Motion to Strike was passed until Friday May 22, 1925.

MARGARET STEGEMILLER,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) Equity # 6.
U. S. LOAN ASSOCIATION, & Corp.)
Defendant.)
W. B. HARRIS,)
Intervenor.)

Now at this time Motion to require intervenor to recast pleadings was stricken from the present assignment.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

NORTHERN

CENTRAL

WEST SESSION

TOLEDO, OHIO.

NOVEMBER 16, 1934.

LOU E LINDSEY, Plaintiff,)
 vs.)
 W. L. BARKLEY, ROBERT C. L. COMPANY,) No. 24, 1934.
 ROBERT GLEESBY, HARRY E. ROGERS,)
 M. W. GILKINSON COMPANY,)
 E. R. TURNER,) Defendants.

ORDER OVERRULING MOTION TO DISMISS.

Now on this 15th day of Nov, 1934, at the regular judicial day of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio, to-wit: Toledo, Ohio, comes on for determination and decision on the several motions filed by the several defendants to dismiss the day certain's amended bill, the movement appearing by E. L. Graves, Special Assistant to the Attorney General of the United States, H. L. Bartlett and Robert Gleesby appearing by L. O. Lytle, their attorney, Harry E. Rogers, the Toledo Oil Company and the Magnolia Petroleum Company appearing by John Rogers, their attorney, E. R. Turner and E. L. Janewst appearing by their attorney, E. R. Turner and thereupon the Court having had said motions and the decision thereon under advisement and being fully advised in the premises, finds that the motions and in all respects be overruled, to which defendants and each of them except; and upon application of each of the defendants, said defendants and each of them, are allowed fifteen days from this date to file answer to the complainant's amended bill.

E. E. Deane, Judge.

E. L. Graves, Special United States Attorney,
 L. O. Lytle, Atty for Robert Gleesby, H. L. Bartlett,
 John Rogers, Atty for Magn Oil Co., Harry E. Rogers,
 E. R. Turner, Atty for E. R. Turner & L. E. Janewst

FILED: Filed Nov, 16, 1934. E. E. Deane, Clerk of U.S. Dist. Ct.

LOU E LINDSEY,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 W. L. BARKLEY, ROBERT C. L. CO.)
 Defendants.)

Exhibit 9

Now on this 15th day of Nov, 1934, at the judicial day and defendant appearing by counsel, there comes on for hearing motion to dismiss. After hearing argument of counsel the court finds that the motion should be overruled and same is hereby overruled to which the defense of exempt and void exceptions are hereby allowed and defendants credited with 15 days answer.

W. L. BARKLEY,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 ROBERT SCOTT,)
 Defendant.)

Exhibit 10

Now on this 15th day of Nov, 1934, at the judicial day and defendant appearing by counsel, there comes on for hearing motion to dismiss. After hearing argument of counsel the court finds that the motion should be overruled and same is hereby overruled.

IN RE ESTATE OF WILLIAM W. WILSON, DECEASED.

WILLIAM W. WILSON,)
 AS)
 ADMINISTRATOR OF ESTATE OF)

Now on this 15th day of Nov, 1934, at the judicial day and defendant appearing by counsel, there comes on for hearing motion to dismiss. After hearing argument of counsel the court finds that the motion should be overruled and same is hereby overruled.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of Oklahoma

-1-

That the motions to amend the cross-complaint, demand bill or counterclaim, of Cynda Konshwee, nee Thompson, Elmer Smith, Walter L. Lytle and C. B. Lytle, said motions being filed by defendants Shell Oil and Gas Company - Minnehoma Oil Company and Minnehoma Oil Company - R. W. Widner - Stillland Oil Company, Ferguson Oil Company, Southwest Oil Company, John W. Stillman, J. C. Stillman, Jr., W. T. Stillman and C. B. Hyde - H. B. Barnard, John B. Rowley and William Beck, and that they and each of them are hereby sustained, to which said defendants excepted.

-11-

That the motions to amend that part of the counter-complaint or counterclaim or cross-bill filed by Ellen Sunny, Walter Sunny, Josephine Ashby, Fred Branson, James J. Tolton, Seely Yurholz and Jimmie Ashby, et al, said motions being filed by Shell Oil and Gas Company - Minnehoma Oil Company - R. W. Widner - Stillland Oil Company, Ferguson Oil Company, Southwest Oil Company, John W. Stillman, J. C. Stillman, Jr., W. T. Stillman, Jr., Walter B. Templeman and C. B. Hyde - H. B. Barnard, John B. Rowley and William Beck, be and they and each of them are hereby sustained to which said defendants, and each of them excepted.

-111-

That the above named defendants, Cynda Konshwee, et al, and Ellen Sunny et al, be and they are hereby given Twenty (20) days from this date in which to file answer or amended answer to the amended bill of complaint of the above named plaintiff heretofore filed herein.

E. W. Kennerly, Clerk.

O.K. J. L. Benson, L. C. Lytle, Attorneys for Cynda Konshwee, et al, Linebaugh Finson Pitts and Elmer Smith, et al, Attorneys for Ellen Sunny, et al, Edward H. Chandler, Attorney for Shell Oil and Gas Company, Hunter Johnson, et al for Stillland Oil Co., et al

W. T. Stillman Filed May 18, 1936. E. W. Kennerly, Clerk E. W. J. Deputy

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE ROPE APPLIANCE COMPANY, Plaintiff.)
vs.) COURT No. 30
THE ROPE IRON WORKS CO., Defendant.)

ORDER

Now, on this 18th day of May, 1936, upon being one of the days of the special April 1936 term of this Court, this matter coming on for hearing upon the motion of the defendant, The Rope Iron Works Company, to dismiss the bill filed herein and the plaintiff appearing by his solicitors of record Ray McNaughton and Geo. B. Ramsel, and the answer appearing by the solicitor of record, A.C. Wallace, and a motion having been duly presented and argued and the court being fully advised in the premises;

IT IS ORDERED that said motion to dismiss be and the same is hereby overruled and the defendant is allowed an exception.

It is further ordered that said defendant be and it is hereby given twenty days from and after this date in which to file answer in said cause. O. K. A.C. Wallace, Ray McNaughton, ENCLOSED: Filed May 18th, 1936. E. W. Kennerly, Clerk E. W. J. Deputy

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA (SITTING AT TULSA, OKLAHOMA)

THE ROPE APPLIANCE COMPANY,)
A CORPORATION.....Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 16 Equity
THE ROPE IRON WORKS, A CORPORATION)
J.W. STOAN, its President)
A. F. BOURNE, its Secretary..Defendants)

JOURNAL ENTRY

This cause comes on to be heard upon the application of the Special Master for Allowance as follows;

- (1) To McKinney and Huff, Court Reporters in the sum of \$182.50
- (2) To Guyette & Company, law brief printers in the sum of \$1.50
- (3) To W. B. Humphrey, Special Master, in such sum as might be found just, reasonable and proper.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

Eastern

District of

Ohio.

Case No. 10000

FILED

FRIDAY, MAY 16, 1915

And thereupon, upon consideration of the petition, it was ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows, viz:

- (1) That the claim of McKinney and Jeff, Court Reporters, be and is hereby allowed in the sum of \$183.50.
- (2) That the claim of Guyette & Company, law brief printers, be and is hereby allowed in the sum of \$61.00.
- (3) That the request of W. L. Humphrey, Special Master, for allowance of fee be and is hereby allowed in the sum of \$750.00.

The court finds that the decree herein rendered was in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants, and that the accounting had before the Special Master was taken under said decree, and it is considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the allowances herein made to the respective parties above named should be paid by the parties according to their liability as to their costs. Should be taxed.

E. C. KENNEDY, Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 16, 1915. E. C. Kennedy, Clerk of U. S. District Court by A. S. Deady

THE STATE PRINTER COMPANY, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 10000
 GEORGE W. DEADY, Defendant.)

Now at this time, it was by the court ordered that the hearing in the above entitled cause be had this 16th day of May, 1915.

THE STATE PRINTER COMPANY, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 10000
 GEORGE W. DEADY, Defendant.)

Now at this time, the petition praying for the above entitled cause is returned for hearing on May 16, 1915.

THE STATE PRINTER COMPANY, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 10000
 GEORGE W. DEADY, Defendant.)

Now at this time according to the law relating to the hearing of the above entitled cause is returned for hearing on May 16, 1915.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of the Court at Columbus, Ohio, this 16th day of May, 1915.

THE STATE PRINTER COMPANY, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 10000
 GEORGE W. DEADY, Defendant.)

THE STATE OIL COMPANY, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 10000
 GEORGE W. DEADY, Defendant.)

THE STATE OIL COMPANY, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 10000
 GEORGE W. DEADY, Defendant.)

Now at this time, the petition praying for the above entitled cause is returned for hearing on May 16, 1915.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Nebraska

Lincoln

SECTION

May 17, 1925.

1925.

he filed, and the intervenors, George E. Jennings and Creekmore Wallace, appeared in person or by their attorney or counsel, F. E. Kennamer; and the defendant, the Prairie Pipe Line Company, appeared by Fred W. Bogan, its attorney; whereupon the intervenors and defendant filed their petition of intervention in conformity with the order of the court, The Prairie Pipe Line Company:

The Court, after reading the report of the counsel, finds that the petition of intervention of said intervenors, George E. Jennings and Creekmore Wallace, should be and is hereby dismissed, without prejudice as against the defendant, The Prairie Pipe Line Company, to which ruling the said intervenors assent.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of United States District Court.

G. E. Chandler,
Attorney for the intervenors,
George E. Jennings and Creekmore Wallace,
C. L. Mason,
Attorney for the defendant,
The Prairie Pipe Line Company.

ENDORSED: Filed May, 18, 1925. F. A. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court
By H.W.J. Bogaty.

LOUETTA CANADY, a Minor, et al.)
Earl Tankersley, Guardian.)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 14, Equity.
MOUNTAIN STATE OIL COMPANY,)
a corporation, et al.)
Defendant.)

Now at this time there being in the above entitled cause a plea of intervention is set for Friday May, 22, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT AND CLERK
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

A. S. McCray,)
Complainant,)
vs.) No. 16, Equity
LAMPUNA PETROLEUM COMPANY,)
a corporation, et al.,)
Defendants.)

ORDER APPROVING RECEIVERS ACCOUNT AND
IMPAIRING ITS PAYMENT.

Upon consideration of the application of Joe M. Knox, receiver in the above entitled and numbered cause, it appears to the court that his expense account and the amounts paid, or contracted to be paid, in discharge of his duties as receiver in said cause are reasonably necessary and just, including account for receiver's services.

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the court that said account as filed by approved and the said receiver is hereby directed and ordered to pay same, including the amount due the attorney for the receiver in the sum of \$100.00 per month for the months of March, April and May.

It is further considered and ordered by the Court that said receiver draw a check on receiver's account in payment of his own services for the months of March, April and May, at the rate of \$200.00 per month, and that same be charged as expense of receiver in operating said property, and to be allowed as a voucher and as a credit against the funds in the hands of the receiver. The question as to the allowances reserved on taxation as cost.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May, 18, 1925. F. A. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court
L. W. J. Deputy.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of

CITY OF CINCINNATI, OHIO. MONDAY, MAY 14, 1926

H. B. HILBY, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 OREGON COMPANY,) No. 56 Equity.
 W. C. SMITH, et al.,)
 Defendants.)

Now on this 14th day of May, 1926, it is ordered by the Court that the final hearing in above entitled cause be set for June 19, 1926.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES,
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO, CINCINNATI, OHIO.

JESSE W. VOLBERTON, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 1, 1926
 W. C. SMITH, et al., Defendants.)
 LENA SIMMONS, Intervenor.

GENERAL VERDICT.

This cause coming on to be heard on the application of Lena Simons Intervenor in this suit, to be made a party defendant, and the petition having been duly considered, and it appearing to the Court that the said Lena Simons, Intervenor is an heir to the estate of Andy Jessee and Harper Jessee, deceased, in this, to-wit:

First-- That the said Andy Jessee and Harper Jessee were duly enrolled members and citizens of the Creek Tribe of Indians, being duly enrolled opposite Roll Number, 8511 and 8509, respectively, of the approved Rolls of the said Creek Nation and died intestate and without issue in Chickasaw County, State of Arkansas, on or about the 15th day of April, 1890.

Second-- That the said Andy and Harper Jessee were full blood Creek Indians and at the time of their death left surviving them as their only heirs at law, their mother Hotkee Larney; that immediately after the death of the said Andy and Harper Jessee, their mother, Hotkee Larney died leaving as her only surviving heir at law, one Charley Simmons; that the said Charley Simmons died sometime during the year 1917, leaving surviving him, as his only heir at law, Lena Simmons, the intervenor; that the allotments of the lands as aforesaid, and each and every one of the deaths hereinafter set forth, occurred at a time when the laws of Descent and Distribution of Arkansas, as embraced in Chapter 49, Section 2531, of Henfield's Digest thereof, were in full force and effect, and governed and controlled the devolution of the estate of the said Andy and Harper Jessee.

Third-- That the maternal kin of the said Intervenor, Lena Simons, is as follows; to-wit: There were three sisters, Sarahkeke, Kameekee, and Martha Larney; Sarahkeke was the mother of Seaman Tully, and Seaman Tully was the father of Charley Simmons; Charley Simmons was the father of Lena Simons, the intervenor in this case; Charley Simmons was a citizen of the Creek Nation and received an allotment; Martha Larney was the mother of Hotkee Larney, and died under the Creek law and received her allotment under the Creek law, therefore at the death of Martha Larney, Hotkee Larney inherited as the nearest of kin all the allotment of her mother, Martha Larney; that Hotkee Larney was the mother of Harper, Andy and Nancy Jessee, and that upon the death of Hotkee Larney in addition to inheriting the entire estate of her mother, she inherited a one half interest in the estate of Andy, Harper and Nancy Jessee; that at the death of Hotkee Larney, her entire estate, which was ancestral, was inherited by her heirs on the paternal and maternal side; that the heirs of the said Hotkee Larney, at her death, on the paternal side were Charley Simmons, and on the maternal side were Seaman Tully and Nancy Jessee; that the said Charley Simmons inherited an equal interest in all of the lands of Andy and Harper Jessee, excepting the land of Martha Larney, who received her allotment and allotment under the law of law and also under the Creek law, the intervenor Lena Simons, being the only child of Charley Simmons, the next nearest of kin to the said Hotkee Larney.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OREGON.

REGULAR SESSION. JUDGE, CHARLES. MAY 11 1925.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that said summons the Intervenor, has leave in said suit and to that and may appear in said suit within 5 days from the date of this order in the same manner and with like effect as if named in the original bill as a party defendant.

This order to be without prejudice to any proceedings heretofore had in this case.

Done this 16th day of May, 1925.

F. S. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed, May, 16, 1925. E. L. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court By H.W.J. Deputy.

Court adjourned until May, 19, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9: O'clock A.M. Tuesday, May, 19, 1925. Present:

HON. F. S. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court. E. L. Warfield, Esq. Clerk of U.S. District Court. W. L. Coffey, Esq. Asst. U. S. District Attorney. H. C. Sears, Esq., U. S. Marshal. A. F. Wolverton, Bailiff. D. B. Livingston, Bailiff.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered.

D. J. Coyner,.....Complainant,) vs.) No. 4 Equity. H. E. Clark, Isaac Shuler,) and Clara B. Shuler,....Defendants.)

Now on this 16th day of May plaintiff in above entitled cause was granted leave to file reply in answer, and D. J. Coyner, plaintiff is sworn upon his oath as witness in said cause.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT AT PORTLAND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OREGON.

D. J. COYNER, Complainant,) vs.) No. 4 Equity. H. E. CLARK, ISSAC SHULER,) and CLARA B. SHULER, Defendants.)

DECREE OF PARTITION.

Now on this the 19th day of May, 1925, this cause came on for hearing, the plaintiff appearing in person and by his counsel, F. S. Middle and defendants appearing by their counsel, and both parties announced ready for trial, and the Court after hearing the pleadings read and the testimony, and both parties having rested and the Court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, finds that under the pleadings and the testimony that the plaintiff is the owner of an undivided one half interest in the premises, described, and that the defendant, H. E. Clark, is the owner of an undivided one fourth interest, and that the other defendants are the owners of an undivided one fourth interest.

It is therefore considered, ordered and decreed by the Court that their respective interest be confirmed.

It is further considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the partition if the said lands be made accordingly; that Peter Reichman, Chas. W. Brimes and C. H. Terwillaver are hereby appointed commissioners to make said partition, if the same may be equitably made, and if not then to appraise and report the same to this Court within 30 days from this date.

It is further adjudged that the defendant, Isaac Shuler, is entitled to no recovery from services rendered as alleged.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
EAST SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF. MAY 19, 1925.

It is further ordered and adjudged by the Court that the costs in this proceedings be apportioned against the parties as their interest may appear, including a reasonable attorney fee, the amount of which, are hereby reserved for further determination, after the report of the said commission and is filed.

F. E. Henderson, District Judge.

C. R. ... to form
E. M. Hinkle, Counsel for Complainant.
C. M. Nixon, Counsel for Defendants.

WRITING: Filed May 19, 1925. P. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

WILLIAM ... RECEIVER, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
J. E. ... et al., Defendants.)

Now at this time the Final Hearing in this cause was stricken from the assignment and continued for term.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

WILLIAM ... Plaintiff.)
vs.)
J. E. ... et al., Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Now on this 19th day of May, 1925, this Court came on for hearing on the Application of the Plaintiff for an order of Subpoena Duces Tecum for L. V. Thompson, Cashier of American National Bank of Bristol, Oklahoma, and C. D. Sroom, Cashier of First National Bank of Bristol, Oklahoma, to bring with them that part of the records of said respective banks with which they are connected with showing accounts and dealings of J. E. Hamilton, individually and as guardian of Napoleon Hamilton for the years of 1911, 1912 and 1913 with said respective banks, and

The Court having considered said application sustains the same and the order is hereby ordered to issue such subpoena duces tecum in accordance with the request therefor.

F. E. Henderson, Judge.

WRITING: Filed May 19, 1925. P. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Court adjourned until May 20, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Court convened pursuant to adjournment of ...
Wednesday, May, 20, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court,
H. B. Griffiths, C. G. Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered:

Louzetta Canady,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 24 Equity
Mountain State Oil Co. et al.,)
Defendant.)

Now at this time the Court granted plaintiff leave to file Motion to Dismiss Answer of Louzetta Canady and Motion to Dismiss answer of Mountain State Oil Co. and Motion to strike purported answer of Louzetta Canady and Motion to strike certain paragraphs of Answer of Mountain State Oil Co.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

LOUZETTA CANADY, a Minor, by
Earl Tankersley, her guardian.)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 24 Equity
Mountain State Oil Company,)
a foreign corporation, and)
the Prairie Pipe Line Company,)
a foreign corporation.) Defendants.
George E. Jennings, and)
Creekmore Wallace,)
Intervening Plaintiffs.)

ORDER FOR SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM.

Be it remembered that on this 10th day of May, 1925, came on to be considered by the Court the petition of the intervenors herein for the issuance of subpoena, duces tecum, directed to H. E. Coopman, Secretary of the Mountain State Oil Company, a corporation; and the Court having read said petition and deeming the petitioners entitled thereto;

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Clerk of this Court do forthwith issue to the said H. E. Coopman, Secretary of the Mountain State Oil Company, a corporation, a subpoena, duces tecum, commanding the said witness to be and appear before this Court at 9:30 o'clock A.M. on Friday May, 22, 1925, as a witness on behalf of the intervening petitioners, and that he then and there have with him and produce as evidence the following described instruments, to-wit:

All Records, memorandums, letters, correspondence instruments, and data showing the moneys received from oil runs and gas runs and production from the Louzetta Canady allotment situate in Creek County, Oklahoma, and described as follows, to-wit:

The Southeast quarter (SE4) of Section Fourteen (14) Township Sixteen (16) North, Range Eight (8) East; to the 26th day of October, 1923; also the data showing the expenses incurred in drilling, completing and operating the oil and gas wells upon said lease, the date of the commencement and completion of said wells, together with a correct Log of each and every of said wells upon said allotment; also all cancelled checks and other data and memorandums showing moneys paid out to Louzetta Canady, John Davis and J. V. Frazier and other persons in securing a ratification of the oil and gas lease covering said premises; also all documents, memorandums and other data executed by the said Louzetta Canady and the Mountain State Oil Company in the ratification of said oil and gas lease by the said Louzetta Canady, and also all correspondence between the Mountain State Oil Company and the Exchange Trust Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma, concerning said oil and gas lease and said Louzetta Canady and her trusteeship with the Exchange Trust Company of Tulsa, Oklahoma.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

OHIO.

IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF

WILLIAM HUTCHINSON,

DECEASED.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF OHIO, IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM HUTCHINSON, DECEASED.

WILLIAM HUTCHINSON,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
HUTCHINSON LUMBER COMPANY,)
a corporation, A. B. PATTERSON,)
AND WASHINGTON TRUST COMPANY, a)
corporation, SPECIAL ADMINISTRATOR)
OF THE ESTATE OF W. S. HUTCHINSON,)
Deceased.)
Defendants.)
No. 18 in Equity.

ORDER APPOINTING RECEIVERS

Now on this 20 day of May, 1934, the defendant, Exchange Trust Company, Special Administrator of the estate of W. S. Hutchinson, deceased, appears in open court and presents its application for the appointment of a receiver to take charge of the property and assets of defendant, Hutchinson Lumber Company, and protect and preserve the same pending this litigation. The plaintiff appears by W. E. McNeil, Attorney at Law, and defendant, Exchange Trust Company, Special Administrator, by W. S. Duff, Attorney at Law, its attorneys, and defendants, Hutchinson Lumber Company, and A. B. Patterson make no appearance.

Thereupon, the court hears the application, and the evidence presented in support thereof, and being fully advised in the premises finds: That all of the parties to this suit have had proper notice of this application, and that the affairs of defendant, Hutchinson Lumber Company are in such condition that they cannot be properly protected, and its assets preserved with out the appointment of a receiver, and that upon the showing made, the defendant is entitled to have said appointment made.

The Court further finds that Hugh Webster and Ed M. Kelly are proper and qualified persons and suitable to serve as receivers of defendant, Hutchinson Lumber Company.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, so judged and decreed by the court that Hugh Webster and Ed M. Kelly be, and they are hereby appointed receivers of defendant, Hutchinson Lumber Company, and all of its assets and property of said corporation, and the said receivers are hereby ordered and directed to take charge of all the assets of said corporation, immediately upon their qualifications as such receivers, and conduct the lumber business of said corporation, collect its notes and accounts, and preserve its property and property rights, and do such other things and perform such other service in connection therewith, as may be ordered by the court from time to time.

Before entering upon the discharge of their duties, the said receivers are ordered to execute a good and sufficient bond in the sum of Fifteen Thousand (\$15,000.00) Dollars, payable to United States Bank, which bond shall be approved by the Clerk of this Court.

W. S. Duff, District Judge.

W. E. McNeil, Attorney for Plaintiff.

W. S. Duff, Attorney for Defendant, Exchange Trust Company, Special Administrator.

Filed for filing May 20, 1934. E. J. Williams, U. S. District Court at W. S. Duff.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

MOBILE

ALABAMA

IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF EDWARD J. BROWN, DECEASED.

EDWARD J. BROWN,)
Complainant,)
vs.)
MORRIS H. BROWN,)
doing business as)
Brown Welding Machine Company,)
Defendant.)
No. 20, Equity

ORDER

This cause came on for trial on the merits on this 10th day of May, 1925, and both parties appeared ready; the plaintiff appeared by his attorneys, E. S. Boney, W. S. Booth and the defendant appeared by his attorneys

Thereupon the Court heard and read the evidence, whereupon the plaintiff asked leave to amend his answer by changing, in the 1st paragraph thereof the number of the patent issued to the defendant from the number as therein stated to a different number; the plaintiff claimed surprise and that the change mentioned makes a material change in the issues as to which the plaintiff was not ready for trial, and moved that the case be stricken from this docket, to be reset at a later date. and the said motion for a continuance having been granted by counsel and being understood by the court.

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this cause be stricken from the trial calendar at this time and be continued until a later date to be hereafter set by the court.

Done in open court this 10th day of May, 1925.

E. S. Boney,
Attorney at Law,
United States District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 20, 1925. E. S. Boney, Clerk of District Court.
W. S. Booth, Deputy.

EDWARD J. BROWN,)
Complainant,)
vs.)
MORRIS H. BROWN, doing business as)
Brown Welding Machine Company,)
Defendant.)
No. 20, Equity

Now at this time it was agreed by the court that defendant in above entitled cause file amendment to answer herein.

IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF THE NATIONAL BANK OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

NATIONAL BANK OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,)
Plaintiff)
vs.)
THE HARDWOOD COMPANY,)
Defendant.)
No. 20, Equity.
and)
THE NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY,)
THE NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY,)
Intervenor.)

ORDER CONCERNING RECEIVERS TO TAKE CARE OF THE ASSETS OF THE NATIONAL BANK OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

It appearing to the Court that the receiver for the National Bank of the District of Columbia has no funds on hand with which to pay the operating expenses of said company, and it further appearing to the Court that the said National Bank of the District of Columbia and the National Trust Company are interdependent corporations, both of which are being operated in the Counties of Hayes, Delaware and Moore, State of Oklahoma;

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
 District of

FOURTH DIVISION
 CITY SESSION
 TITLE, OKLAHOMA
 RECEIVERSHIP, MAY 20, 1925

and it appearing further to the Court that the receiver of the National Hardwood Company, pursuant to the orders of this court duly made and entered, has heretofore entered into certain contracts for the manufacture of lugs and other timbers from the holdings of the said National Hardwood Company; and that the only means of transportation of said manufactured products is the line of railroad of the said Oklahoma & Arkansas Railway Company, which was constructed for the express purpose of transporting the products of the said National Hardwood Company, and that neither of said corporations can operate except in conjunction with each other; that it is necessary for the preservation of the Oklahoma & Arkansas Railway Company that the same be continued as a common carrier in operation pending the termination of the above and foregoing cause and the determination of the issues involved therein;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court on this 10 day of May, A. D. that the Title Guaranty Trust Company, receiver of said Oklahoma & Arkansas Railway Company, be and it is hereby authorized to issue and deliver its ten certificates as receiver and hypothecate the same, each of said certificates to be in the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) or the total sum of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) bearing interest at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date the same are matured on or about the 1st day of June, 1926, and the said receiver is furthermore authorized to appropriate said certificates for the purpose of paying approximately Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) now due by said receiver for expenditures due and owing in the operation of said Railway Company since the same came into its hands as receiver, and to further hypothecate said certificates for the purpose of realizing moneys in the future for the purpose of keeping said Railway Company in operation during the pendency of this suit.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said certificates when so issued shall be first lien on all and singular the property of the said Intervenor, the said Oklahoma & Arkansas Railway Company, now by it owned or heretofore acquired, and upon the income thereof, and shall be prior in right to any mortgage (if any) which may have been heretofore executed for the purpose of securing the bonded indebtedness or any other indebtedness due by the said Oklahoma & Arkansas Railway Company, or any other indebtedness of whatsoever kind or nature, unless it be for the current running and operating expenses of the said Oklahoma & Arkansas Railway Company since and while in the hands of said Receiver, provided that the lien of certificates issued under this order shall be of equal rank with each other to the said amount of Ten Thousand Dollars hereunder authorized, and that neither of said certificates issued under this order shall have any priority the one over the other.

The certificates issued under this order shall be countersigned by the clerk of the court and registered by said receiver to be kept by him for that purpose and shall be in the following tenor:

RECEIVER'S CERTIFICATE.

OKLAHOMA & ARKANSAS RAILWAY COMPANY.

This to certify that the Title Guaranty Trust Company, receiver of the Oklahoma & Arkansas Railway Company, as receiver, and of individual capacity, is indebted unto _____, or the bearer hereof, in the sum of One Thousand Dollars, (\$1,000) payable on or before the 1st day of June 1926. Its interest from date at the rate of seven per cent per annum, out of the earnings of the said Oklahoma & Arkansas Railway Company, first after such payments as may be necessary for the operation of said railroad, or out of the proceeds of the sale of said Railroad Company, in any event the same is to be paid, before the bonds secured by mortgages (if there be any) on such bonded shall be paid.

This Certificate is one of ten of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) each, issued under the authority of the order of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Case No. _____, made in a cause pending in said court wherein the said Title Guaranty Trust Company is plaintiff and the National Hardwood Company is defendant, and the Oklahoma & Arkansas Railway Company is Intervenor.

The said ten certificates are, under the terms of this order a first lien on all and singular the property of the said Oklahoma & Arkansas Railway Company, owned by it at the date of the issuance of this certificate, and upon the income thereof, and shall be prior in right to any mortgage indebtedness (if any) or any other indebtedness, provided that the lien of the certificates issued under this order shall be of equal rank with the lien of the certificates issued under this order and shall have no priority the one over the other.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

_____ District of _____

RECEIVED THE _____

This Certificate of Title was solemnly and lawfully issued by the Clerk of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma and certified by him that the same had been duly issued under the order of the court.

This is to certify that the foregoing certificate was duly issued by the Title Guarantee & Trust Company, Receiver, under the order of the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of the State of Oklahoma, therein contained.

Witness the seal of said court, and the signature of the Clerk thereof this 20 day of May, A. D. 1925.

H. F. Garfield, Clerk
By L. W. J. Deputy

In witness whereof, the said Title Guarantee & Trust Company, as Receiver, acting by and through its duly constituted and authorized Vice-President, for and on behalf of said Trust Company, and not individually has signed this certificate this 20 day of May, A. D. 1925.

Judge

RECORDED: Filed May, 20, 1925. H. F. Garfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
By L. W. J. Deputy.

Court adjourned until May 21, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

Eastern District of Oklahoma

Regular Session Tulsa, Oklahoma Thursday, May 31, 1944

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, May 24, 1944. At 9:00 o'clock A.M. Present: Hon. F. E. Keene, Judge of U.S. District Court. E. P. Warfield, Sec. Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered.

WALTER W. FUCHSICH, Plaintiff. vs. WALTER LAWY FURBY, et al. Defendants. Equity # 17.

Now on this 31st day of May, 1944, both plaintiff and defendant appearing by counsel, there comes on for hearing Motion to Dismiss. After hearing the argument of counsel and being well and fully advised in the premises the Court takes said motion under advisement.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

E. P. WARFIELD, Complainant. vs. WALTER W. FUCHSICH, CLEM ALBORN and E. BRIDLEMAN, Defendants. No. 17-100-17

DECREE DISMISSING COMPLAINT FOR WANT OF JURISDICTION.

This cause coming on for trial on the 31st day of May, 1944 and the complainant appearing in person and by counsel and the defendants appearing in person and by counsel, and the defendants having denied the value of the complainant's interest in the property in controversy to be of the value of three thousand dollars, and the complainant having failed to prove the value of his interest in the property in controversy to be of the value of three thousand dollars, the court orders that said complaint be dismissed for want of jurisdiction, and without prejudice.

IT IS ORDERED, that the complaint and answer and cross-petition or cross-bill be and the same are hereby dismissed for want of jurisdiction and without prejudice to the right of any of the parties to this suit.

Done in open court this twenty-first day of May, 1944.

F. E. Keene, Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 31, 1944, E. P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court U.S.

W. W. FUCHSICH, Plaintiff. vs. J. W. Hamilton, Defendant. Equity # 17.

Now at this time it was considered and the court granted the intervenor's petition of intervenor.

W. W. FUCHSICH, Plaintiff. vs. J. W. Hamilton, Defendant. Equity # 17.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

PLAINT

REPLY

W. S. HAMILTON,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 J. W. WILLIAMS,)
 Defendant.)
 No. 13 Equity.

Now on this 21st day of May, 1925, both plaintiff and defendant appearing by counsel at which time defendant J. W. Williams was ordered to file amendment to answer heretofore filed and leave was granted in lieu of reply. Motion for judgment in above cause was heard and overruled. W. S. Hamilton and J. W. Williams were sworn as witnesses for defendant in above matter. Court continued to 9:30 A.M. May 22, 1925.

Court adjourned until May, 22, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Friday, May 22, 1925. At 9: A.M. Present:
 Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
 H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT AT WASHINGTON
 DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

O. J. GONYER,)
 Complainant,)
 vs.)
 H. P. CLARK, ISAAC SPULER)
 and CLARA B. SPULER,)
 Defendants.)
 No. 4 Equity.

ORDER

This matter coming on to be heard this 22nd day of May, 1925, upon the application of Peter Deichman, receiver in the above entitled cause, showing that he has collected the sum of \$262.40 from the Sinclair Grade Oil Purchasing Company, and that there is certain bills which should be paid and the court being advised in the matter.

IT IS ORDERED, Adjudged and decreed that said receiver is hereby authorized to pay H. O. Tallman, for April, salary \$65.00, W. T. Beach, for two days with team \$14.00, Wm. F. Stahl, premium on bond for receiver \$12.50. Said receiver is also authorized to draw the sum of \$50.00 on account of his services as receiver, and to pay any usual and necessary bills for the protection of said property.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed May 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk of U. S. District Court H.W.J.

THE SULLIVAN COMPANY,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 J. F. THOMAS, ET AL.,)
 Defendant.)
 Equity \$5.

Now at this time cause is continued until Saturday, May 23rd, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

J. E. Hamilton,
Plaintiff,
vs.
J. E. Hamilton,
Defendant.

Now at this time appearing both as Plaintiff and Defendant,
present and the following named persons were sworn to testify to the fact
of Plaintiff: Charles W. Mount, E. C. Piddell, W. F. White, W. C. Connor
and James Malle, and in behalf of defendant J. E. Hamilton, Plaintiff and
Phil Carmen.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON.

NAPOLEON HAMILTON,
Plaintiff,
vs.
J. E. HAMILTON, et al.,
Defendants,
No. 13 Equity.

W. F. White,

On this 22nd day of May, 1935, the plaintiff, Napoleon Hamilton
the defendants J. E. Hamilton and E. C. Mount, and the intervenors, Thompson
DeFord and Thompson, and the intervenors, E. A. Robinson and V. E. Misher,
being present in person and by counsel in open court and consenting thereto:

IT IS ORDERED that the Sinclair Crude Oil Purchasing Company
do pay into the Clerk of this Court out of any moneys due by it to the credit
of the royalty interest for oil run from the premises involved herein, to-wit:

The East Half of the Northeast Quarter
of Section 28, Township 16 North,
Range 9 East,

the sum of Nine Thousand (\$9000.00) Dollars, and any excess over and above
that amount which it may hold shall be paid to the defendant, E. C. Mount,
or to his order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, all the parties consenting thereto, that
Waite Phillips Company do pay out of any moneys withheld by it and now due to
the credit of the royalty interest out of any oil run from the premises last
above described to the Clerk of this Court the sum of Six Thousand (\$6,000.00)
Dollars, and any excess over and above such sum which may be due on aforesaid
shall be paid to the defendant, E. C. Mount, or to his order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall hold said
sum subject to the further order of this court.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O. H. J.E. Thrift, J. L. Hull, Attys for E.E. Mount
O.H. J.C. Helms and Chas E. Garnett, Atty's for plaintiff.
O.H. Napoleon Hamilton, Franklin E. Smith Atty's for Thompson DeFord and
Thompson Intervenor.
O.H. Quincy J. Jones, Atty for Robinson & Misher.

WITNESSED: Filed May 22, 1935. W. F. White, Clerk U.S. District Court.
by M.S.G.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

It is ordered that the following cases be referred to the Clerk of said court to be heard and reported on the day of the appointment of said judges.

J. S. [unclear],
George W. [unclear],
for the Clerk of said court at
Chicago.

Subscribed: William May 22, 1925, U. S. District Court, Chicago, Ill.

ORDER OF 1925.

Now on this 22nd day of May, 1925, it is ordered by the court that the following cases be heard and reported on the day of the appointment of said judges, on the days thereinafter specified; to-wit:

Chicago, Ill., May 24, 1925.

- No. 8 United States vs. [unclear], P. L. [unclear] et al.,
- " 9 William Cook [unclear], vs. [unclear] Oil & Gas Company
- " 10 The Carter Oil Company, vs. Leslie Scott et al.

Chicago, Ill., May 25, 1925.

- " 11 McGraw-Baughman Realty Bldg. Co. vs. [unclear] Building & Loan Co.
- " 12 Clark Wasson, vs. [unclear] Bennett, et al.,
- " 20 Edward A. Kiewer, vs. [unclear] Building Co.

Chicago, Ill., May 26, 1925.

- " 21 McGraw-Baughman Realty Bldg. Co. vs. Guy E. [unclear]
- " 22 Geo. A. [unclear] Jr., vs. The Eagle Richer Land Co. of [unclear]
- " 23 First National Bank of [unclear], vs. Richard B. [unclear]

Chicago, Ill., until May 28, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

Court convened at the Court House, New Orleans, Louisiana, this 22nd day of May, 1925. Present:

F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U. S. District Court, New Orleans, Louisiana.

Thereupon the court proceeded to hear the case.

IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF LONNETTA CANADY, a MINOR.

LONNETTA CANADY, a Minor, by Earl Tankersley, Plaintiff, vs. GEORGE H. JENNINGS and CREEKMORE WALLACE, Defendants.

MONTEIN STATE OIL CO., a Foreign Corporation, and PACIFIC PIPE LINE CO., a Foreign Corporation, Defendants.

George H. Jennings and Creekmore Wallace, Intervening Plaintiffs.

ORDER OF THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, IN REVERSING THE DECISION OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA, IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF LONNETTA CANADY, a MINOR.

Be it remembered that on the 22nd day of May, 1925, there was presented in open Court the motion of the intervening plaintiffs, George H. Jennings and Creekmore Wallace, to dismiss certain portions of the answer of the defendant, Mountain State Oil Company, such motion was taken in on the 20 day of May, 1925, and the motion of said intervening plaintiffs to dismiss all of the answer of the said Mountain State Oil Company, said Court, said motion filed herein on the 20 day of May, 1925, and said Court being fully advised in the premises both overrule such motions and to which action of the court the said intervening plaintiffs excepted and their exceptions are by the Court allowed.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May, 15, 1925. F. P. Fields, Clerk of U. S. District Court, N. O., La.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA.

LONNETTA CANADY, a MINOR, by Earl Tankersley, her Guardian, Plaintiff, vs. GEORGE H. JENNINGS and CREEKMORE WALLACE, Defendants.

MONTEIN STATE OIL COMPANY, A Foreign Corporation and PACIFIC PIPE LINE COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation, Defendants.

GEORGE H. JENNINGS and CREEKMORE WALLACE, Intervening Plaintiffs.

D E C R E E.

Now, on this 22nd day of May, 1925, the same being a regular judicial day of this the Special April, 1925, term of this Court, there came coming regularly on for hearing, in its order, upon the petition by additional Parties Plaintiff in intervention, filed herein by George H. Jennings and Creekmore Wallace, and the answer of the above named defendant Mountain State Oil Company thereto, the said Intervening Plaintiffs appearing in person and by their attorneys, Guyne Lassiter, T. J. Chandler, and H. W. Stoverson, and the said defendant Mountain State Oil Company appearing by its attorneys, H. H. Booth, Don Emery, and R. E. Hudson and both parties were ready for trial.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the further hearing of the said case is postponed, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time the said intervening plaintiffs having introduced their evidence and rested, and the said defendant, Houston State Oil Company, having introduced its evidence and rested, and the intervenor, John J. ... having introduced their rebuttal evidence and rested, the court being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

It is therefore the order of the court that the said intervenor, John J. ... be permitted to introduce his evidence in rebuttal to the evidence introduced by the said intervenor, John J. ... and that the further hearing of the case be postponed until the 11th day of June, 1915, at the hour of 10 o'clock a.m.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

And now at this time, to-wit, the 11th day of June, 1915, the court being regularly convened, pursuant to adjournment, the parties being present as before, the further hearing of the case is proceeded with.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

... in the ... to ... with prejudice, ...

It is ordered, that ... be, and the same hereby is, dismissed with prejudice.

To all of which judgment, order and decree the said Intervening Plaintiffs duly excepted ... their exceptions be allowed.

F. E. Kennaum, Judge.

W. H. Davis Frazier, Attorneys, for Conzetta Dandy

W. M. V. Stevenson & T. ... Chandler and Wayne E. Leaster, Attorneys for Intervening Plaintiffs.

W. H. Hanson, P. ... both and son Mary, Attorneys for Southern State Oil Company.

Advised; Filed May 26, 1933. ... Clerk of U.S. District Court. H.M.J.

CLARENCE BENTON, Plaintiff, vs. J. F. Hamilton, Defendant. City No. 10.

... on the 25th, day of ... 1933, ... had in above entitled cause on petition of additional intervenors, ... continued until 9 o'clock ... 1933.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ...

A. E. CONYER, Complainant, vs. W. B. CLARK, ISAAC SPYER, and CLARENCE E. SPULER, Defendant. No. 4 City.

C. S. ...

Upon the application of Peter Reichman, the receiver herein, showing the necessity for having abstracts brought down to date upon the properties involved in this cause, ... the receiver that it would be the desire of any one wanting to purchase the properties to be advised as to the status of said titles, and that said application should be granted.

It is therefore, considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the receiver herein is hereby authorized to have brought down to date the abstracts in his possession covering said properties, and that the expenses hereof be paid out of any funds in the hands of the receiver, the same to be allowed as a credit in his final report upon voucher furnished.

This the 26 day of May, 1933.

F. E. Kennaum, District Judge.

RECORDED: Filed May 26, 1933. ... Clerk of U.S. District Court. H.M.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
 District of

Court of Appeals
 May, 1917, 17, 1918.

Hon. J. C. ...
 E. C. ...

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and ordered.

IN SENATE ...
 DISTRICT OF ...

NAPOLEON HAMILTON,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 J. C. HAMILTON, & E. C. ...)
 Defendants.)
 No. 17 Equity.

ORDER.

This matter came on for further hearing on the 27th day of May, 1918, upon the application of the plaintiff, Napoleon Hamilton, of whom J. C. Helms for an order directed, and filed of this court to pay to said Napoleon Hamilton and J. C. Helms the sum of Twelve Thousand (\$12,000) Dollars of the moneys paid to the credit of this Court by the ... Co. and the Sinclair ... being fully advised by the ... should be paid to said Napoleon Hamilton and J. C. Helms.

It is therefore, ordered, that the ... be and is hereby ... to have ... to said Napoleon Hamilton and J. C. Helms out of the ...

Witness my hand and seal of said Court at the City of ...
 J. C. Helms, Clerk of Court.
 J. C. Helms, Plaintiff.
 J. C. Helms, Defendant.

IN SENATE ...
 DISTRICT OF ...

NAPOLEON HAMILTON,)
 Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 J. C. HAMILTON, et al.,)
 Defendants.)
 No. 18 Equity.

ORDER.

Now on this the 27th day of May, 1918, this court came on for a hearing between the plaintiff and the intervenors, Robinson, Decker, Thompson, Fisher and J. F. Gresson, and the plaintiff being present in person and by his attorneys J. C. Helms and E. C. ... and all parties being present in person and by counsel.

The intervenor, J. F. Gresson introduces evidence ... court having heard the evidence, and all parties in person and by counsel that the said intervenor, J. F. Gresson, is entitled to recover out of from the plaintiff the sum of ... and that the decree of the court regarding the intervenors, Robinson, Decker, Thompson, Fisher, and the intervenors, Robinson and Fisher, the sum of \$1500.00 should be ... and that in lieu of \$1500.00, out of the intervenors, should have a ... over of an from the plaintiff the sum of \$1150.00, and that all ... sums should be a lien on the ... as ordered to be ... The Clerk of this Court entered an order being entered in the case, and the same being rendered on the 28th day of May, 1918.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

Case No. 10,000
Plaintiff, vs. Defendant

John Doe,
Plaintiff,

vs.

J. M. HERRICK,
Defendant.

vs.

J. M. HERRICK,
Defendant.

Now on this 28th day of May, 1914, were admitted to the court for further hearing. All parties to this matter are hereby notified to be at the court at the time and place above stated. If either party fails to appear at the time and place above stated, the court will proceed to hear the case on the merits. Case file No. 10,000.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of said court at the City of Washington, D. C., this 28th day of May, 1914.

vs.

J. M. HERRICK,
Defendant.

This cause came on to be heard at the time and place above stated, and thereupon appeared the following parties, to-wit: JOHN DOE, Plaintiff; and J. M. HERRICK, Defendant.

That a temporary injunction was granted herein at the instance of said John Doe, Plaintiff, against said J. M. Herrick, Defendant, and his agents, servants, and employees, and those acting by or under his authority, to prevent said J. M. Herrick, Defendant, from interfering with, tearing up, mutilating or destroying any of the rod lines, equipment, fixtures, or other apparatus lawfully placed, erected, maintained, or kept on the premises hereinafter described by the said lessee or lessees of said property for the production of oil, and from interfering with, tearing up, mutilating or destroying any of the rod lines, equipment, fixtures, or other apparatus lawfully placed, erected, maintained, or kept on the premises hereinafter described by the said lessee or lessees of said property for the production of oil, and from interfering with, tearing up, mutilating or destroying any of the rod lines, equipment, fixtures, or other apparatus lawfully placed, erected, maintained, or kept on the premises hereinafter described by the said lessee or lessees of said property for the production of oil.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the said temporary injunction shall be in full force and effect and binding upon the parties to this cause from and after the date of this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the said temporary injunction herein granted shall remain in full force and effect until the final decision of this court.

J. M. Herrick,
Judge.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

WEST VIRGINIA, CHASO, W. VA. MAY 18, 1914.

ALBERT I. STUBBS, Plaintiff.
vs.
WYLLIE STUBBS, Defendant.
\$ 44.00 copy.

Now on this 18th day of May, 1914, the case in the above entitled cause and Great County Publishing Co. Inc. and I have set out to refer to the disbursements upon the writ of habeas corpus granted on the 10th day of May, 1914.

That referred to in the above.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of the Court at Charleston, West Virginia, this 18th day of May, 1914.

ALBERT I. STUBBS, Plaintiff.
vs.
WYLLIE STUBBS, Defendant.

COURT REPORTER

Now on this 18th day of May, 1914, the case in the above entitled cause and I have set out to refer to the disbursements upon the writ of habeas corpus granted on the 10th day of May, 1914.

That referred to in the above.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

District of

WILLIAM W. BARNER, et al.,
vs.
MILWAUKEE TRADING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendants.

1925

Now, on this 28th day of May, 1925, this court has heard and
having upon the Complaint in Barry and defendants' Motion to Dismiss the
same, plaintiffs appearing by their attorneys Pratt & Halley, and the de-
fendants appearing by their attorneys, Allan F. Underwood, H. H. Halley,
Harry Halley, and the Court has heard the arguments of counsel and has
only considered the same and has rendered judgment in the premises, to-wit:
said motion and the plaintiffs' Motion to stand upon their pleadings,

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the de-
fendants be liable for the plaintiffs' costs for the reasons herein stated, and the
plaintiffs' costs for the same be paid to the plaintiffs on the ground that a serious question
is involved.

To which action of the Court in granting and allowing the costs
of dismissal the plaintiffs consented, exceptions were allowed, and the
plaintiffs thereupon file their briefs of errors and costs. The Court
court of appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the 6th District, and the
appeal is allowed in open court, and the plaintiffs are granted 60 days within
in which to file an appeal bond in the sum of \$500.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.W. Allen & Underwood, P.O. Third
Harry Halley, Atty. for defendant.
By Wendell Johnson.

RECORDED: Filed May 28, 1925. Clerk of Court, W. H. Johnson, Jr.
H.A.S.

Court adjourned until May, 30th, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment at 9:00 A. M., May 31, 1925.
at 9:00'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered:

Court adjourned until June, 1, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, June, 1, 1925, at
9:0'clock A. M. Present.

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY - APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. MONDAY, JUNE, 1, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

D. J. CONYER, Complainant

vs.

H. E. CLARK, ISAAC SHULER, AND CLARA E. SHULER, Defendants.

No. 4 Equity

ORDER

Upon the application of Peter Deichman, receiver herein, for an order citing the defendant, Isaac Shuler, to appear and show cause why he should not deliver to the receiver all canceled checks, check stubs where checks have been drawn, vouchers, invoices and property purchased, relating to the trust funds and to make an itemized statement of the amount due by him to his associated, including any and all moneys collected by him since about March 1, 1925, and during the pendency of this suit, and the court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, is of the opinion that said order or motion should be granted.

It is therefore ordered that the defendant, Isaac Shuler, upon presentation to him of a certified copy of this order deliver to the receiver within five (5) days all of the data, information and papers herein above set out and referred to, and to make an itemized statement of any and all moneys due his association growing out of his trusteeship, including such moneys as may have been collected by him since March 1, 1925; that upon a failure to comply with this order within five (5) days after service that the said defendant, Isaac Shuler, appear before this Court on the 17 day of June, 1925, to show cause why this order has not been complied with.

This the 3rd day of June, 1925.

F. E. Kammner, Judge.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

ROSEETTA CANADY, a minor, by EARL FANKERSLEY, Guardian. Plaintiff.

vs.

No. 24 Equity

MOUNTAIN STATE OIL COMPANY, A Foreign Corporation and Prairie Oil and Gas Company, a Foreign Corporation. Defendants

Defendants

GEROGE E. JENNINGS AND CREEKMORE WALLACE.

INTERVENING PLAINTIFFS

ORDER MAKING AN ORIGINAL PARTIES DEFENDANT IN ERROR.

Now on this the 3 day of June, 1925, same to be considered the application of the intervening plaintiffs herein, George E. Jennings and Creekmore Wallace, to make the plaintiff, Roseetta Canady, party defendant herein for the reason that she desired to appeal from the judgment of the said Court rendered on May 28, 1925, to-wit, from which accrue the intervening plaintiffs desire to appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, sitting at St. Louis, Missouri, and

It appearing to the Court that the said original plaintiff, Roseetta Canady, is a proper and necessary party to the said appeal, and that she has desired to file therein,

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925 Tulsa, Okla. MONDAY JUNE, 3, 1925

It is ordered by the Court that the said original plaintiff, Lon-
zetta Canady, be, and she is hereby made and permitted to be made by the
said intervening plaintiffs an additional party defendant in said appeal.

Done this the 3 day of June, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 3, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF
OKLAHOMA.

LONZETTA CANADY, a minor, by EARL TANKERSLEY, Guardian.)	
)	
vs.	Plaintiff.	No. 24 Equity.
MOUNTAIN STATE OIL COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation, and PRAIRIE OIL AND GAS COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation.)	
)	
Defendants.)	
GEORGE H. JENNINGS AND CREEKMORE WALLACE.)	
INTERVENING PLAINTIFFS.)	

ORDER OF THE COURT OF INTERVENING
PLAINTIFFS PRAECIPE FOR A TRANS-
SCRIPT OF RECORD ON APPEAL .

Now on this 3rd day of June, 1925, came on to be considered by the
Court the praecipe of the intervening plaintiffs herein for a transcript of
the record in this cause for appeal to the United States Circuit of Appeals
for the Eighth Circuit, sitting at St. Louis, Missouri. A copy of which
said praecipe is shown to have been served upon the attorneys for the ad-
verse parties herein respectively, and the Court deeming the record, as
called for in said praecipe, necessary for a proper presentation of said
appeal,

It is ordered by the Court that the Clerk make such transcript of
the record as is called for in said praecipe upon the making of satisfactory
deposit by the appellants for clerical and stenographic fees.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 3, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court
H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

LONZETTA CANADY, a minor, by EARL TANKERSLEY, Guardian.)	
)	
vs.	Plaintiff.	No. 24 EQUITY.
MOUNTAIN STATE OIL COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation, and PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation.)	
)	
Defendant.)	
GEORGE JENNINGS AND CREEKMORE WALLACE,)	
INTERVENING PLAINTIFFS.)	

CITATION ON APPEAL.

To Lonzetta Canady, plaintiff, and her attorneys of record, Messrs
Davis and Frazier, and to Mountain State Oil Company, and it's attorney of
record, John E. Kane and R. H. Hudson, Greeting:-

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION. APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE, 3, 1925.

You are hereby cited and admonished to be and appear at the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, sitting and to be held at the City of St. Louis, Missouri, Sixty (60) days from and after the day this citation bears date, pursuant to an order allowing an appeal, filed and entered in the Clerk's office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, from a final decree, signed, filed and entered on the 26th day of May, 1925; that said suit being in Equity under 24 wherein Lon-zetta Canady is the original plaintiff and Mountain State Oil Company is defendant and George H. Jennings and Creekmore Wallace are intervening plain-tiffs and appellants, and the said Lonzetta Canady and the said Mountain State Oil Company are defendants, in error and appellees in said appeal, and that you then and there show cause, if any there be, why the decree rendered against the said appellants, as in said order allowing the appeal mentioned, should not be corrected, and why justice should not be done to the parties in that behalf.

Witness the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Oklahoma, this 3rd day of June, 1925, and of the Independence of the United States One Hundred Forty eight.

F. E. Kennamer, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 3, 1925. H.F. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

LONZETTA CANADY, A minor, EARL TANKERSLEY, Guardian, Plaintiff.

vs.

MOUNTAIN STATE OIL COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation, and Prairie Oil and Gas Company, a Foreign Corporation. No 24 Equity. Defendants.

GEORGE H. JENNINGS AND CREEKMORE WALLACE, INTERVENING PLAINTIFFS.

ORDER OF THE COURT GRANTING AN ENLARGEMENT OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO FILE A TRANSCRIPT OF THE RECORD HEREIN WITH THE CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS.

Be it remembered, that the petition of the Intervening plaintiffs herein, on this 3rd day of June, 1925, came on to be considered in open Court, for an order enlarging the time for the docketing and filing of the record on appeal herein with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit; and for good cause shown,

It is ordered by the Court that such time for the docketing and filing of the record herein on appeal to said Circuit Court of Appeals be, and the same is hereby enlarged and extended for a period of Sixty (60) days from and after the return day of such citation of appeal.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

Court adjourned until June 15, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL 1925. Tulsa, Okla., THURSDAY JUNE 4, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, at 9:30 a.m. This day, June, 4, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BURKBURNETT,)	
)	
Plaintiff.)	No. 33 EQUITY
vs.)	
RICHARD C. GECK, ET AL.,)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW
FILED.

Upon application, C. A. Steele, attorney for Arthur Newlin, Trustee in Bankruptcy of the Estate of R. C. Geck Lumber Company is given permission to withdraw the filed herein for a period of two days from this 4th day of June, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 4, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
E.W.J.

Court adjourned until June, 5th, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, June, 5, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, June, 5th, 1925, at 9: o'clock A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kenneman, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WILLIAM BUCK SELF,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
THE PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY,) No. 9 EQUITY
Defendant.)

O R D E R.

Upon application of the defendant and for good cause shown:

IT IS ORDERED That the time to answer be, and the same hereby is extended for a period of 10 days from this date, the same to be without prejudice to trial upon the date assigned.

Dated this 5th day of June, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Kenneman, Judge.

ENDORSED: FILED June, 5, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H. W. J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 38 EQUITY
MRS D. P. WASSON,)
Defendant.)

WARNING ORDER.

On this the 5th day of June, 1925, came on to be heard in open court the application of the plaintiff in the above styled and numbered case for an order directing the absent and non-resident defendant, Mrs D. P. Wasson, to answer herein and it appearing to the Court that this suit is commenced by the plaintiff, seeking to set aside a certain instrument there in set forth and to remove cloud from the title to land situate in the Northern District of Oklahoma, described as follows, to-wit:

The Northeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of the Southwest quarter of Section twenty-four (24), Township twenty-four (24) North, Range fourteen (14) East.

situate in Rogers County, in the Eastern District of Oklahoma, said land being a portion of the allotment of Sarah A. Lomic, now Drywater, a restricted citizen of the Cherokee Nation; that assigned award for said defendant had been made by the Department of the Interior through the office of the Superintendent for the Five Civilized Tribes at the Preakin Agency; that the whereabouts of said defendant is to said plaintiff unknown, and the expense of service upon said defendant is incurred; that the said defendant has not voluntarily appeared herein and that the plaintiff has complied; and the Court being of the opinion that the said defendant is

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

PROPERTY SESSION. APRIL, 1935. MILES, OKLA. EATON, OKLA. 1935.

granted, it is ordered that the captioned, Mrs. E. C. Wesson, answer said Bill of Complaint on or before the 31st day of July, 1935; that a copy of this order be published once each week for six consecutive weeks in the PROGRESS a newspaper published at Claremore, in Rogers County, in the Northern District of Oklahoma.

F. C. Keunamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 5, 1935. H. C. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L.W.J.

COURT ADJOURNED UNTIL June, 5, 1935.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

REGULAR SESSION APRIL, 1925 TUESDAY, OKLAHOMA, Saturday, JUNE, 6, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, June, 6, 1925, at 9: O'Clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

A. J. McCray, Complainant, vs. SACULPA PETROLEUM COMPANY, Defendant. No. 25 Equity.

ORDER

On the application of the receiver herein for an order sustaining the sale of the Special Master in the cause of Mark Wasson, Receiver of the First National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, as complainant, and Sapulpa Petroleum Company, a corporation R. C. Burnett, R. C. Burnett, E. F. Burnett, F. W. Abshire and Simpson Hurst as defendants, No. 25, on the order of this Court, and the parties appearing in open Court, and consented that said application might be granted.

It is therefore by the Court considered, ordered and adjudged that the order of sale issued herein to the Special Master, the Hon. Gray Carroll, to sell a certain oil and gas lease described as follows, to-wit:

The NE 1/4 of NE 1/4 of SEC. 33. Twp 19 North, Range 7 East.

and that the same is hereby revoked, set aside and held for naught.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

FILED ENDORSED: / June, 6, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. R.C.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

EDWARD A. KLIEWER, Complainant, vs. CHARLES H. BROWN AND CHARLES H. BROWN, doing business as BROWN WELDING & MACHINE CO., Defendant. No. 20 Equity.

ORDER TO TAKE DEPOSITIONS.

On this the 6th day of June, 1925, upon the verified application by Plaintiff for an Order to Take Depositions in the above entitled cause, it is ordered that the Plaintiff be permitted to take depositions of the witnesses named in the application, to-wit:

Walter David, James Danigan, Edward Murray and Lippitt, names of whom are known to parties hereto.

at Breckenridge, Texas, on the 20th day of June, 1925, before me, the undersigned, Notary Public, in and for the County of Garfield, State of Texas, who are duly sworn, and who depose that the above named witnesses are persons who are well known to the parties hereto.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

SATURDAY, JUNE 6, 1925

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. HUTCHINSON,

Plaintiff.)

vs.)

HUTCHISON LUMBER COMPANY,
a corporation, EXCHANGE TRUST
COMPANY, Special Administrator,
of the E. S. HUTCHISON, Deceased,
and A. B. PATTERSON,

Defendants.)

EQUITY No. 28.

ORDER OF SALE.

Now on this 6th day of June, 1925, the above cause comes on for hearing upon the joint application of the plaintiff, Mary L. Hutchinson, and the defendant, Exchange Trust Company, Special Administrator, praying for an order directing the receivers heretofore appointed in this cause, to sell the assets of the Hutchison Lumber Company, one of the Defendants, consisting of a remnant of lumber, certain office and lumber equipment, and a remnant of hardware located on the site of said lumber yard at 418 East Fifth Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

The Plaintiff appears by her attorney, N. E. McNeill, and the defendant, Exchange Trust Company, Special Administrator, appears by its attorney, J. A. Duff, and thereupon said petition for sale is presented in open court and the court had made examination of said application and heard the evidence in support thereof and finds; That the receivers are now in charge of the assets of the Hutchison Lumber Company consisting of a remnant of lumber of the invoice value of \$10,998.89; certain office and lumber yard equipment invoiced at \$2,513.97; and a remnant of hardware invoiced at \$773.08. That said remnants are what are left of the Hutchison Lumber Company yard which was destroyed by fire in 1924, and that said business cannot be maintained by the receivers as a going business, and it is running at a considerable daily loss.

The Court further finds that the lease on which said yard is being conducted will expire August 1st., 1925 and that the Midland Valley Railroad Company, the lessor has already attempted to forfeit said lease on account of inaction of said Company's business at said location, and that it will be to the best interest of all those interested in said property to direct the receivers to sell same.

The Court further finds that before making said sale the receivers shall advertise said property for a period of fifteen days in the Tulsa Daily Legal News and in said notice of sale the receivers shall specify that they will sell said property at private sale on or after a day to be named in the notice, and that bids for such sale may be filed with the receivers, and when a satisfactory bid has been obtained on or after the date mentioned, said receivers are directed to sell said property and report the sale to this Court, for confirmation: said receivers may sell said property on one bid, or may receive and sell in parcels on separate bids as they may find it to the best interests of those interested in said estate.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the District Court of the
Northern District of Oklahoma.

O. K. N. E. McNeill,
Attorney for plaintiff.

O.K. J. A. Duff,
Attorney for Exchange Trust
Company, Special Administrator of
The Estate of E.S.Hutchison, deceased,
Defendant.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 6, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court
H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

52

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, JUNE 6, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY E. HUTCHISON, Plaintiff.

vs.

HUTCHISON LUMBER COMPANY, a corporation, EXCHANGE TRUST COMPANY, special administrator of the ESTATE OF E. S. HUTCHISON, deceased, and A. B. PATTERSON, Defendants.

EQUITY NO. 26.

ORDER

Now on this 6th day of June, 1925, the above matter comes on for further hearing upon the application of Hugh Webster and Ed. M. Kelley, receivers of the Hutchison Lumber Company, praying for an order directing them to pay certain claims which has been submitted and which are uncontested and due by the said Hutchison Lumber Company, and the Court, having examined said application, and being fully advised in the premises, finds, that the list of items set forth in said application are proper charges against the Hutchison Lumber Company and are uncontested and that the same are due and should be paid from the assets in the hands of the receivers.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said receivers do and they are hereby authorized and directed to issue checks against the funds, in their official account in payment of the following claims, to-wit:

Claim of H. E. Doulton, Special Accountant,	\$646.66
Claim of Buschow Lumber Company of Kansas City	461.07
Claim of M.K. & T. Railroad Company,	4.00
Claim of North Smith Lumber Company,	362.20
Claim of Elliott Lumber Company	525.97

F. E. Kammmer, Judge.

RECORDED: FILED June, 6, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

Court adjourned until June 7, 1925.

58 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925 OKLAHOMA, OKLAHOMA, Monday, June 8, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, June, 8th, 1925, at 10:30 A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

There upon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

FREDERICK W. BAILEY, Plaintiff.
vs.
OKLAHOMA COMPANY, ET AL. Defendant.
Equity #27

On this 8th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that Final Hearing in above entitled cause be stricken from to-days assignment and set for Monday June, 29th., 1925.

GEORGE W. BECK, Plaintiff.
vs.
EAGLE RICHER LEAD COMPANY, Defendant.
Equity # 30.

On this 8th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be stricken from present assignment and continued for the term.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CLARK WASSON, Receiver of the First National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma. Plaintiff.
vs.
F. B. REED, I. F. MCGEE, J. A. BOYD, SAM DREYNUS, A. H. STONE, E.W. ADAMS, W. J. MILLER, MD ROSE C. CREGGAN, Executrix of the Estate of E. T. Creggan Deceased. Defendants.
EQUITY NO. 43

ORDER.

On this the 8th day of June, 1925, this cause came on to be heard on the application of the defendant, W. J. Miller, by and through his counsel, Conn Linn and M. C. Spradling, for an enlargement of the time to file his answer or other defense to the Bill heretofore filed by the plaintiff herein and for good cause shown it is ordered that the defendant, W. J. Miller be and he is hereby granted ten days additional time from this date within which to file his answer or other defense to the Bill filed herein.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENFORCED: File June, 8th 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court. H.W.N.

Court adjourned until June, 9, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OHIO

WEST CLEVELAND, APRIL, 1925. TUESDAY, JUNE, 9, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, at 9 o'clock A.M., TUESDAY, June, 9, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO.

W. S. McCray, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
SAPULPA PETROLEUM COMPANY,)
Defendant.)
No. 20 Equity

ORDER

J. H. Knox, Receiver, is hereby ordered to file with the Clerk of this Court on or before Friday, June, 12th., 1925, a written report showing all amounts collected by him as receiver herein, from whom collected and on what account, all monies paid out by him, the person or corporation to whom paid and on what account paid together with vouchers for all payments and a statement of the amount still in his hands and the source from which same is derived and that he give notice to O'Meara & Oliverman, Attorneys at Law, Sapulpa Petroleum Company and for F. A. Falp, receiver of the filing of said report.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED Filed June, 9 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO.

W. S. McCray, Complainant,)
vs.)
SAPULPA PETROLEUM COMPANY,)
ET AL.,)
Defendants.)
No. 20 Equity.

ORDER

It is hereby ordered that W.S. McCray, the complainant in this action file with the Clerk of this Court on or before the 12th day of June 1925, a written statement showing the amount of oil and gas and other production, the amount, the amount of equipment and material and other assets of every kind whatsoever that he has taken from the Cedar and Timothy leases described in his Bill of Complaint herein, since the 1st day of July, 1922, together with the names of persons to whom he has sold any of said production or property the date of each sale and the price received or agreed to be paid therefor and the names and addresses of the purchasers of any such production or material.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 9, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk of U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

60 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SESSION APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLAHOMA. TUESDAY, JUNE 9, 1925.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MCGRAW - BRAUGHMAN-BEARLY LUMBER COMPANY)
a corporation. Plaintiff.)

vs.)

No. 11 in Equity.

NATIONAL TURNBUCKLE DERRICK COMPANY,)
a corporation, and Guy P. Slater,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Upon application of Biddison and Campbell, attorneys of Tulsa, Oklahoma, to be allowed to withdraw the court files in the above entitled cause, it is hereby

Ordered that permission is granted to the said Biddison and Campbell, Attorneys to withdraw said files for a period of 48 hours.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 9, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until June 12, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLAHOMA, FRIDAY, JUNE 12, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to order of adjournment, at 9: O'clock A. M. Friday, June, 12, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

D. J. GONYER, Complainant
vs.
H. E. CLARK, ISAAC SHULER AND CLARA B. SHULER, Defendants.
No. 4 Equity

ORDER AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF CERTAIN BILLS.

This matter coming on to be heard this 12th day of June, 1925, upon the application of Peter Deichman, receiver in the above entitled cause, showing that it is necessary to pay certain bills in running the business involved herein, and the court being fully advised in the premises.

It is ordered, adjudged and decreed, that said receiver is hereby authorized to pay the following bills, to-wit:

- H. C. Tallman, Salary, as pumper, and team \$68.50
Glen Cumpebaugh, Labor- 1.75
C. C. Childers, Auditor, gross production tax 23.80
Badger-McAllister Co., 50 gal. engine oil 16.50
Title Guarantee & Trust Co. Abstracts - 30.75
Said receiver is also authorized to draw \$50.00 additional for his services as such receiver.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 12, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L.W.J.

J. E. SHAFFER COMPANY, Plaintiff.
vs.
SMITH SEPARATOR COMPANY, Defendant.
45 Equity.

On this 12th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that defendant in above entitled cause be allowed to withdraw motion to quash.

And it is further ordered by the Court that defendant be permitted to file motion to dismiss above entitled cause.

Court adjourned until next day, 1925.

67 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

Equity SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, JUNE, 13, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, on Saturday, June, 13th, 1925, at 9: O'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. S. McCray, Complainant,
vs.
SAPULPA PETROLEUM COMPANY, ET AL., Defendants.
No. 26 Equity.

O R D E R.

It appearing to the Court that the Lease known as the Fern Miller lease is now in the possession of the receiver of this Court, J. N. Knox, and that an order of sale has been issued in the District Court of Creek County in the case of McCray vs. Miller et al., No. 6064, and that the property is advertised for sale on Monday, June, 15th., 1925, said property being as follows:

Certain existing oil and gas lease and leasehold estate executed on the 18th day of November, 1913, by Owen W. Bland and John H. Simmons and covering the Northeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 35, and Northwest quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 36, all in Township 19 North, Range 7 East, in Creek County, Oklahoma.

And it further appearing to the Court that it would be inequitable to sell said lease under said order at the present time.

It is therefore, ordered that H. J. Wells and W. S. McCray and the Sheriff of Creek County, Oklahoma, refrain from selling said lease until after the mandate from the Circuit Court of Appeals shall be filed in this Court.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 13, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, Plaintiff,
vs.
HUTCHISON LUMBER COMPANY, a corporation, A. B. PATTERSON, and the EXCHANGE TRUST COMPANY, a corporation. Special Administrator of the Estate of E. S. Hutchison. deceased. Defendants.
No. 28 in EQUITY

O R D E R.

Now on this 13th day of June, 1925, the above cause comes on for hearing upon the application of Hugh Webster and Ed M. Kelley, Receivers, praying for an order directing them to employ Counsel, to perform such legal service as is necessary in connection with said receivership, and among other things, to defend in a suit in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, wherein the Hutchison Lumber Company, the defendant, is sued for approximately Twenty-one Thousand (\$21,000.00) Dollars for damages.

The court having examined the application and being advised in the premises finds, that said Receivers have employed N. E. McNeill and

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLAHOMA. SATURDAY, JUNE, 13, 1925

J. A. Duff to perform such legal services as they have had up to this time, and now request that said employment be made general, and that said counsel be authorized to appear and defend in said damage suit.

IT IS THEREFORE, Ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said Receivers be, and they are hereby directed to employ N. E. McNeill and J. A. Duff to defend the Hutchison Lumber Company in the damage suit referred to, which is pending in the Northern District of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and to represent the Receivers generally in connection with their receivership.

F. E. Kenamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 13, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ALBERT E. THOMAS, Complainant,

vs.

ROY W. THOMAS, THE CREEK
COUNTY PUBLISHING COMPANY, a
corporation, AND CLYDE WALTON,
Defendants.

IN EQUITY
NUMBER 44.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now, on this 13th day of June, 1925, this cause came on to be heard upon the application of the complainant herein for a receiver for the assets of the Creek County Publishing Company, said complainant being present by his attorneys McGuire and Marshall, F.A. Bodovitz, and W. H. C. Taylor and the defendant Creek County Publishing Company being present by its attorneys Grady Lewis, J. W. Fields, and H. C. McKeever and the defendant Clyde Walton being present by his attorneys Grady Lewis, J. W. Fields and H.C. McKeever and the defendant Roy W. Thomas appearing not, and by leave of Court the attorneys for the defendant Creek County Publishing Company filed herein its motion to dismiss the bill of complaint as against it, the Court after being fully advised in the premises and hearing argument of counsel, finds:

That said motion to dismiss should be overruled and thereupon, the defendant Clyde Walton, by his attorneys, made a general appearance in the case and asked and was granted five (5) days in which to file an answer herein to the complainant and the Court further finds that the application for a receiver against the Creek County Publishing Company should be at this time denied, and the Court further finds, and by agreement of counsel, that an order of this Court should issue restraining the said defendants Clyde Walton and Creek County Publishing Company, its officers and agents, from selling, encumbering, disposing of, or transferring on the books of the company the capital stock in said Creek County Publishing Company standing in the name of the said Clyde Walton and further restraining the said Creek County Publishing Company and the said Clyde Walton from in any way disposing of any of the physical assets of the said Creek County Publishing Company during the pendency of this suit and the said Creek County Publishing Company asked leave and was granted ten (10) days in which to file herein its answer.

WHEREFORE, IT IS CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND DECREED, that the motion to dismiss of the Creek County Publishing Company be and hereby is overruled; that the said Clyde Walton and Creek County Publishing Company be and hereby are restrained during the pendency of this suit and until further order of this Court from selling, transferring, assigning, encumbering, or in any manner disposing of or changing the status of the capital stock of the said Creek County Publishing Company and the shares thereof standing in the name of the said Clyde Walton on the books of the said company and that they be further restrained and each of them from in any manner disposing of, encumbering or changing the physical assets of the said Creek County Publishing Com-

68 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION APRIL, 1925 TULSA, O. K. SATURDAY JUNE, 13, 1925.

pany and leave be and the same hereby is granted to the said Clyde Saited
to file herein his answer within five (5) days from this date and the said
Creek County Publishing Company be and is given ten days (10) from this date
in which to file its answer herein.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. Grady Lewis)
J. W. Fields,) Attys for Clyde Wasson and Creek County Pub. Co.
H. G. McKeever,)

O.K. McGuire and Marshall, for complainant.

Court adjourned until June, 15th, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION. APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE, 15, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, June, 15, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

CLARE WASSON, Receiver of the First National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma. Plaintiff.

vs.

F. D. REED, I. F. MCGEE, J. A. BOYD, SAM DREYFUS, A. H. STONE, J. W. ADAMS, W. J. MILLER, AND ROSE C. CREEGAN, Executrix of the Estate of E. T. Creegan. Defendant.

Equity No. 43.

C E D E A.

On this 15th day of June, 1925, this cause comes upon application of A. H. Stone, one of the defendants, for additional time to plead, and it appearing to the Court that same should be granted,

IT IS ORDERED that the defendant, A. H. Stone have until the 3rd day of July, 1925, to plead herein.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

EMDORSED: Filed June, 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.

vs.

SINCLAIR OIL & GAS CO. Defendant.

Equity # 14.

On this 15th day of June, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that above entitled cause be stricken from assignment and continued for the term.

Court adjourned until June, 16, 1925.

68 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925. OKLAHOMA, OKLA. TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, June 16, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

Wm. BUCKSELF, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Equity # 9.
PRAIRIE OIL & GAS CO. Defendant.)

On this 16th day of June, it is by the Court ordered that leave be granted defendant, in above entitled cause, to file answer out of time.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WILLIAM BUCK SELF, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 9 Equity.
THE PRAIRIE OIL & GAS CO, Defendant.)

ORDER.

Upon reading the verified petition of the defendant The Prairie Oil & Gas Company for an order directing the Clerk of this Court to issue a subpoena duces tecum for Florence Walker, as a witness in the above entitled cause, and directing that she bring with her as such witness certain documents referred to in said petition:

And the Court being advised that the same are material and necessary evidence for the defendant in establishing its defense, and that the same are not in its possession but are in the possession of the said Florence Walker,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk be, and he is hereby directed to issue a Subpoena Duces Tecum for said Florence Walker to be and appear before this court in said cause on June 24, 1925 to testify on behalf of defendant herein and to bring with her, to be used upon the trial thereof:

A certain deed dated November 11, 1911 purported to have been executed by William Buck Self to Florence Walker, conveying the South Half of the Northeast quarter and the North Half of the Southeast quarter of Section 21, township 17 North, range 12 East in Creek county, Oklahoma, and

The original, or duplicate original, or true copy of a writing or agreement, entered into on or about November 11, 1911, between William Buck Self and Florence Walker and referred to in said deed of November, 11, 1911 and said to be a part thereof.

Dated this 16th day of June, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 16, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until June, 17th., 1925.

That the defendants herein, the Oklahoma Iron Works, a corporation, its president and J. W. Sloan, its Secretary, have infringed and violated the claims of said letters patent and upon the merits of the case of the plaintiff by making and selling twelve bars and wire sockets containing and embodying the invention of said letters patent in suit.

4.

That a perpetual injunction pursuant to the prayer of the Bill of Complaint herein commanding said defendant, Oklahoma Iron Works, a corporation, J. W. Sloan its President, and H. F. Bourn, its Secretary, its Officers, its Directors, Clerks, Servants Agents, Attorneys and workmen and each and every of them to forthwith and for the remainder of the term of said letters patent to desist and refrain from directly or indirectly making, using or selling or causing to be made, used or sold, Socket bars, Wire Rope Sockets made in accordance with or containing or embodying said letters patent #1,045,863, except by the written license granted by the owner of said letters patent.

5.

That the report of W. E. Montgomery, the Special Master, to whom this cause was referred, be and the same is hereby confirmed.

6.

That the Defendants pay to the plaintiff the sum of \$42,000.00, which is the amount found by the Special Master as stated in his report above referred to, to be due to the plaintiff from the defendants.

7.

That the plaintiff recover from the defendants the cost to be taxed.

8.

That the plaintiff have judgment and execution for the sum herein referred to to be paid to it by the defendant.

Dated this 17th day of June, 1926.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the United States
District Court.

O. H. W. A. Sipe, Jr.,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Stewart, Sharp & Grace & E. J. Doherty,

ENDORSED: FILED June, 17, 1926, T. M. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
L.W.J.

Court adjourned until June, 18th, 1926.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, O.K.A. THURSDAY, JUNE, 18, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, June, 18th., 1925, at 9 o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. I. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. S. McCray, Complainant,
vs.
SAPULPA PETROLEUM COMPANY, Defendant.
No. 12,841 City.

ORDER.

The Court having considered the application of J. P. O'Meara & M. H. Silverman, attorneys heretofore employed under the order of this Court to represent the receiver, J. H. Knox herein on appeal:

It is hereby considered, ordered and decreed that said J. H. Knox, Receiver of the Sapulpa Petroleum Company, shall forthwith pay to the firm of O'Meara & Silverman the sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) to be credited on their fees herein. That a certified copy of this order shall be delivered to said receiver and the receipt of J. P. O'Meara or M. H. Silverman, be either member of said firm, shall be a complete voucher and acquittance to said receiver of said amount so paid and that said amount so paid shall be taxed as a part of the costs to this action.

DONE in Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 18th day of June, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
U. S. District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 16, 1925. H. I. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

Court adjourned until June, 19, 1925.

7: In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLAHOMA, FRIDAY, JUNE, 19, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, June, 19, 1925, at 10 o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA AT TULSA.

J. E. SHAFFER COMPANY, vs. SMITH SEPARATOR COMPANY. No. 45 IN EQUITY.

Upon the application of the complainant it is hereby ordered that the complainant have leave to amend the bill of complaint.

F. E. Kennamer, United States District Judge

ENDORSED: Filed June. 19, 1925. H.P. Warfield. Clerk U.S. District Court. L.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, Plaintiff. vs. HUTCHISON LUMBER COMPANY, a corporation, EXCHANGE TRUST COMPANY SPECIAL ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF E. S. HUTCHISON, Deceased, and L. B. PATTERSON. Defendants. No. 28 Equity.

In Re. Application of Midland Valley Railroad Company for Rule Against Receivers:

ORDER

On this 19th day of June, 1925, upon oral application of counsel, leave is granted to Midland Valley Railroad Company to file in this cause an application for a rule against the receivers herein requiring them to surrender possession of certain real property to said Midland Valley Railroad Company and to remove therefrom all property of every kind or character belonging to them or to the Hutchison Lumber Company, or in the alternative to appear herein on a day certain and show cause, if any there be, why such removal should not be made; and said application having been filed and presented and the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds that the same should be granted and accordingly:

It is by the Court Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that Hugh Webster and Ed Kelly, the duly appointed, qualified and acting receivers for said Hutchison Lumber Company be and they hereby are required to, on or before the 1st day of July, 1925, surrender possession of the following described property located in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, to-wit:

All of Lots 2 and 3 and that portion of Lot 1 lying between the southerly line of said lot and a line 25 feet north of and parallel to said line, all in block 144, City of Tulsa, Oklahoma.

unto the Midland Valley Railroad Company, and on or before said last named

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY JUNE, 19, 1925.

date remove from said property all property of every kind or character belonging to the said Hutchison Lumber Company, or the said receivers of said Hutchison Lumber Company, or, in the alternative and in the event of their failure so to do they will appear herein on the 1 day of July, 1925, at 10 o'clock A.M. to show cause, if any there be, why they should not be required to surrender possession of the aforesaid property and remove therefrom the property of the said Hutchison Lumber Company, or of them, the said receivers.

It is further ordered that this order may be served by counsel for said Midland Valley Railroad Company by delivering to said Receivers a true and correct copy hereof.

F. E. Kammmer, Judge.

C.R. N. E. McNeil, J. A. Duff, Atty's for Receiver

C.R. Christy Russell, Attorney for Midland Valley R.R.Co.

ENDORSED: Filed June 19, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

D. J. CONYER, Plaintiff.

vs.

H. M. CLARK, et al. Defendants.

4 Equity.

On this 19th day of June, 1925, it is ordered, that plaintiff, in above entitled cause, be permitted to file Supplemental Petition naming following additional parties defendants; Old Honesty Oil Co., A. C. Bailey, Samuel Kornfield and Julia "Frank".

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

McGRAN BUCHMAN-BEARLY LUMBER COMPANY, a corporation,

Plaintiff.

vs.

NATIONAL TURNBUCKLE BARRICK COMPANY, Defendant.

Case No. 11

C O R D E R

On this 19th day of June, 1925, upon request of the plaintiff herein made in open court, it is ordered that the above entitled cause be stricken from the present trial assignment, and being at issue.

F. E. Kammmer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 19, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court K.C.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION. APRIL, 1925

OKLA., OKLA.

FRIDAY, JUNE 19, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

McGraw Baughman Bearly Lumber Company,)
a corporation Plaintiff.)

vs.)

Guy P. Slater, et al.,)
Defendants.)

IN CASE NO. 21.

O R D E R

On this 19th day of June, 1925, by agreement of the parties hereto made in open court, it is ordered that the above entitled cause be stricken from the present trial assignment.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. Geo. Schwabe &
O.K. Mathew M. Eakes,
Atty's for Defendants.

O.K. Klineschmith & Johnson,
for Plaintiff.

Court adjourned until June, 22nd., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE, 22, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, at 9: o'clock A.M., Monday, June, 22, 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK of Tulsa, Oklahoma, Plaintiff.
vs.
NATIONAL HARDWOOD COMPANY, Defendant.
and
Kenwood Mercantile Company, Intervenor.
No. 30

ORDER AUTHORIZING SALE OF MERCHANDISE.

Now on this 22nd. day of June, A.D. 1925, this cause came on to be heard upon the application of the Title Guarantee & Trust Company, Receiver of the Kenwood Mercantile Company for an order authorizing it to sell and dispose of a stock of merchandise situated in the Company's store in the Town of Kenwood, State of Oklahoma, and the Court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that the Receiver appointed has used due diligence in his efforts to find a purchaser for said goods; that the same are now in the store room, and that the business of said mercantile company cannot be operated or carried on except at a loss, and that the Receiver is without funds to replenish said stock; and that the same is exposed to fire and theft and will greatly depreciate in value if left closed up in said store;

And the Court further finds that the said Receiver has been by one E. A. Potts a consideration of \$375.00 for the entire stock, and that the approximate inventory value placed on said stock is \$1860.00, but that said business is located in an isolated district, with but little retail business contributors thereto, and that it will be to the best interest of the said estate that said stock be sold and disposed of for said consideration aforesaid:

NOW THEREFORE, it is Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed by the said Title Guarantee & Trust Company, Receiver of the said Kenwood Mercantile Company be, and it is hereby authorized to sell and dispose of said goods, wares and merchandise aforesaid to the said E. A. Potts for the said consideration of Three Hundred and Seventy Five Dollars (\$375.00).

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 22, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925, ALISA, GARDNER, MOORE, JUNE, 22, 1925

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES FIDELITY AND GUARANTY COMPANY, a corporation.	Plaintiff.	}	No. 32 Equity.
vs.			
OTTAWA COUNTY NATIONAL BANK, a corporation,	Defendant.	}	

ORDER.

On this 22nd. day of June, 1925, came on for hearing the application of the defendant, Otta County National Bank, for permission to file herein its motion to vacate and set aside the former order of the United States Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, entered on March 19, 1925, and for an order staying proceedings pending a final hearing on such motion, and upon due consideration thereof, leave is granted defendant to file its motion to vacate; and it is ordered that pending a final hearing on the motion to vacate all further proceedings herein be stayed.

ENTER: F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 22, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

Court adjourned until June, 23, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, June, 23, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WILLIAM BUCK SELF,	Plaintiff.	}	No. 9 In Equity
vs.			
PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY,	Defendant.	}	

ORDER TO WITHDRAW FILES.

On this 23rd day of June, 1925, upon application of Chas. W. Rogers, attorney for the Complainant, to withdraw files for a period of 8 hours, it is

Ordered that said attorney be granted leave to withdraw said files for or until 5 P.M. June, 23, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 23, 1925, H.P. Warfield, U. S. District Court Clerk H.W.J.

Court adjourned until June, 24, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, JUNE, 24, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, June, 24, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
F. E. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

JESSE A. TOLERTON, Plaintiff.
vs. No. 1 Equity.
E. C. BARTLETT, et al. Defendants.

ORDER OVERRULING MOTIONS TO DISMISS BILL

Now, on this 24th day of June, A. D. 1925, the motions of the defendants, W. H. Turner, John A. Jacobs, F. H. Lee, R. A. Gitsen, Mitchell Compter, C. C. Owens, coming on for hearing, the Court heard the argument of the respective counsel and being fully advised in the premises, doth overrule each and all of said motions, and the moving defendants be and are hereby given thirty days from this day in which to answer plaintiff's bill and are hereby allowed exceptions to overruling of their several motions.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all of the motions of the defendants to dismiss the plaintiff's bill now on file herein are hereby overruled and that the defendants be and are hereby given thirty days from this day in which to answer plaintiff's bill and are hereby allowed exceptions to overruling of their several motions.

Signed at Tulsa, in Open Court, this 24th day of June, A. D. 1925,

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.

Witness: Filed June 24, 1925. F. E. Warfield, Clerk of District Court.
Ray Barnett.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

JESSE A. TOLERTON, Plaintiff.
vs. No. 1 Equity.
E. C. BARTLETT, et al., Defendants.

ORDER OVERRULING MOTION TO DISMISS BONA FIDE

INTERVENING PETITION.

Now, on this 24th day of June, A. D. 1925, the motion of the plaintiff, Jesse A. Tolerton, to dismiss the intervenor's petition of E. C. Bartlett, coming on for hearing, the Court, after hearing the argument of the respective counsel and being fully advised in the premises, doth overrule the said motion and to the action of the Court in overruling the said motion and to the action of the Court in granting the said motion and then and there at the time duly and properly except thereto and still so except thereto.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the motion of the plaintiff to dismiss the intervenor's petition of E. C. Bartlett, coming on for hearing, the Court, after hearing the argument of the respective counsel and being fully advised in the premises, doth overrule the said motion and to the action of the Court in overruling the said motion and to the action of the Court in granting the said motion and then and there at the time duly and properly except thereto and still so except thereto.

Signed at Tulsa, in Open Court, this 24th day of June, A. D. 1925,

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TWELVE, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, JUNE 24, 1925.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 18 Equity.
 LOUISA BROWN, et al., Defendants.)

On this 24th day of June, 1925, comes the defendant in above entitled cause, and files motion to strike certain numbered paragraphs of the Bill of Complaint and Supplemental Bill heretofore filed herein, and same is by the Court overruled. It is further ordered by the Court that the demurrer filed herein be heard at some future date upon agreement of counsel hereto.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, PLAINTIFF.)
 VS.) # 28 Equity
 HUTCHISON LUMBER Co. Defendant.)

On this 24th day of June, 1925, plaintiffs Motion to make more Definite and Certain was by the Court overruled and Exceptions allowed, and ten days granted defendants to plead.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

SANTROCK OIL & DEVELOPMENT COMPANY,)
 a Corporation.) Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 37 Equity.
 FOSTER INVESTMENT COMPANY,)
 a corporation.) Defendant.)

ORDER

Now on this 24th day of June, 1925, the above entitled matter coming on for hearing upon defendant's Motion to Transfer to the Law Docket, both parties being present by their attorneys, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, finds that said Motion should be sustained.

IT IS THEREFORE, BY THE COURT CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND DECREED, that the Second Cause of Action set forth in plaintiff's Petition heretofore filed herein, be, and the same hereby is, transferred to the Law Docket of this Court, and said Plaintiff is granted ten days from this date within which to file an Amended Bill of Complaint herein omitting therefrom any cause of action at law, and is also granted ten (10) days from this date within which to file its Petition on the law side of this Court, setting forth any cause of action which it may have at law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant have twenty (20) days after the time granted for the filing of said Amended Bill of Complaint and the filing of said Petition on the law side, within which to answer in each of said causes.

M. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. M.C. Rodolf,
 Attorney for Plaintiff.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 3, 1925, as of June 24th, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk
 U. S. District Court. H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, PRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLA., WEDNESDAY, JUNE 24, 1925.

JANE JEFFERSON, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 GYPSY OIL COMPANY, Defendant.) # 29 Equity.

On this 24th day of June, 1925, Motion to Dismiss, in above entitled cause came on for hearing and same was stricken from present assignment and continued for the term.

THE BROWN CRUISE INVESTMENT CO., Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 34 Equity.
 FIBBER & PLEASANT, Inc. et al. Defendants.)

On this 24th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause was ordered stricken from present assignment and continued for the term.

CENTER OIL COMPANY, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 10 Equity.
 ROSA KNIGHT (Nee Davis) et al. Defendant.)

On this 24th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause was ordered continued to June, 25, 1925.

WILLIAM BUCK SELF, Plaintiff,)
 vs.) # 9 Equity.
 PRIMA OIL & GAS COMPANY Defendant.)

On this 24th day of June, 1925, comes the Plaintiff in above entitled cause and files Motion to Dismiss parts of answer of Defendant. Whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises, said Motion is overruled and exceptions allowed Plaintiff. And now comes the Defendant and by leave of Court files its amended answer herein to which plaintiff excepts and said exceptions are by the Court allowed. Cause continued until June 25th, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 6 Equity
 H. E. BARTLETT, et al., Defendants.)

ORDER APPOINTING COMMISSIONER

Now on this 24th day of June, 1925, the above entitled matter having been regularly set for trial on this date, the United States appeared by its attorney, C.H. Graves, Special Assistant to the United States Attorney, John M. Goldenberry; the defendants, H. E. Bartlett and Robert Olesby appeared by their attorneys, L. G. Lytle; the Tulsa Oil Company appeared by its attorney, John Rogers, and Magnolia Petroleum Corporation appeared by its attorneys, Blakeney & Ambriester, Sherman, and the application of the plaintiff, said cause is continued, and, thereupon, in presence of all parties, and on the application of L. G. Lytle, the Special Representative

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULLY, ONE HOUR. WEDNESDAY, JUNE 24, 1925.

tion that numerous witnesses which the defense expected to use were very old, and that there was a possibility that they might not live until the cause was regularly set for trial in this Court, and for good cause shown, the Court finds that a commissioner to take evidence should be appointed, and that George H. Lessley, Court Reporter for this Court, is a fit and proper person to act as such Commissioner;

WHEREUPON, IT IS CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that the hearing of this cause be stricken from this assignment and continued until further setting by the Court; that George H. Lessley be, and he is hereby appointed Commissioner to take testimony at such places as may be agreed upon by counsel; that said Commissioner shall only take testimony and shall not pass upon either questions of law or fact, and that when such testimony is taken by said Commissioner, the same shall be under his oath reported to this Court and used with like force and effect as if adduced before this Court, subject to such objections for incompetency and materiality as counsel may interpose when same is adduced; that the form of questions shall be objected to at the time the same are propounded, if the parties desire to save exceptions thereto.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K. as to form:

O. H. Graves, Assistant United States Attorney,
for Plaintiff.
L. O. Lytle, Attorney for H. U. Bartlett & Robert Ogleby
and O. H. Graves.
John Rogers, Attorney for McMan Oil Company.
Blackeney & Ambrister, Attorney for Magnolia Pet. Corp.
and John Rogers.

EMBORED: Filed July, 16, 1925 as of June 24th., 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk
U. S. District Court. H.S. J. Deputy.

Court adjourned until June, 25, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JUNE 25, 1925.

On this 25th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Leammer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
 John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney
 E. C. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
 W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.
 H. E. Davis, Esq., Bailiff.

Public proclamation having been duly made the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WILLIAM BUCK SELF, Plaintiff.)
 vs.)
 THE PRAIRIE OIL & GAS) No. 9 Equity.
 COMPANY, a corporation Defendant.)

D E C R E E

BE IT REMEMBERED That this cause came on to be heard at this term and was argued by counsel and upon consideration thereof;

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED That the plaintiff's bill of complaint be, and the same is, hereby dismissed for want of equity and that plaintiff pay the costs of suit, to which the plaintiff duly excepts and his exceptions are allowed.

DONE this 25th day of June, A. D. 1925.

F. E. Leammer,

ENDORSED: Filed June, 25th, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court
 By Roy Cornett

CLAREN WASSON, Receiver, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) Equity 13.
 P. E. BENNETT, et al., Defendant.)

On this 25th day of June, 1925, it was by the Court ordered, that above entitled cause be stricken from present docket and continued for the term.

EDMOND A. KLEINER, Plaintiff)
 vs.) Equity #23.
 BROWN WELDING CO., Defendant.)

On this 25th day of June, above entitled cause was continued until June, 26, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION APRIL, 1925. TERM, 1925. THURSDAY, JUNE 11, 1925.

CANTER OIL COMPANY,	Plaintiff)	
vs.)	\$10 Equity.
LEWIS SCOTT, et al.	Defendant.)	

On this 25th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause comes on for hearing. All parties are present by counsel and announce ready for trial. M. J. Kelly, was sworn for Interpreter for witnesses for plaintiff. Witnesses were sworn to testify in behalf of plaintiff. It is ordered by the Court that G.R. Horner be, and he is hereby, appointed Guardian ad-Litem, for Ellis Scott a minor Heir, whereupon said Guardian enters a denial to plaintiffs Petition heretofore filed herein; and at this time the hour for adjournment of court having arrived, said cause is ordered continued until June 26th., 1925.

Court adjourned until June, 26th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, JUNE 26, 1925.

On this 26th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, Okla. met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Tennamer, Judge, present and presiding,

H. E. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Es., U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Biliff

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

EDWARD A. FLIENER, Plaintiff.
vs.
BROWN HOLDING COMPANY, Defendant. # 20 Equity.

On this 26th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause was ordered stricken from this assignment and reset for June, 19th., 1925.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BURKBUNETT, Plaintiff.
vs.
RICHARD C. GECK, Defendant. # 33 Equity.

On this 26th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause was ordered stricken from present assignment and continued for the term.

CARTER OIL COMPANY, Plaintiff.
vs.
LEWIS SCOTT, et al., Defendants. # 10 Equity/

On this 26th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause comes on for further trial and all parties are present as heretofore. The plaintiff presents further testimony and proof and rests. Thereafter, the defendants present their testimony and proof and rests, and case is taken under advisement and counsel required to submit briefs by Sept. 16, 1925.

Court adjourned until June 27th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

Special Session, APRIL, 1925.

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

SATURDAY, JUNE, 27, 1925.

On this 27th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney.
H. C. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal
W. F. Solverson, Esq., Bailiff.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FREDERICK W. BAILEY, Plaintiff.)
vs.) EQUITY No. 27.
R. H. SMITH AND THE)
OKLAHOMA COMPANY, a)
corporation. Defendants.)

O R D E R

This cause coming on to be heard on this the 27th day of June, 1925, upon the application of Edgar A. de Meules of counsel for the defendants in the above entitled cause, for a subpoena duces tecum, addressed to the Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and the Court having examined the same and being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court issue a subpoena duces tecum, addressed to the Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, as per form hereto attached.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
HELEN P. PURDY, LON HUTCHISON) Equity No. 17.
MARGERY HUTCHISON, E. R. HUT*)
CEISON, MRS LULA JOHNSON, MRS. H. L.)
L. L. HUTCHISON, MARY WILLIAMSON,)
ISOM NEWBERRY, ELMER W. JACOBS,)
AND EXCHANGE TRUST COMPANY,)
Defendants.)

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now on this 27th day of June, 1925, the above cause comes on for hearing, upon the following motions:

FIRST: Motion of Exchange Trust Company, Special Administrator of the Estate of E. S. Hutchison, Deceased, to dismiss a portion of the second amended bill of complaint.

SECOND: Motion of Helen P. Purdy, to dismiss or to strike the second amended bill of complaint, certain parts thereof designated in said motion.

THIRD: Motion of Elmer W. Jacobs to strike from the second amended bill of complaint, the parts specified in said motion.

The plaintiff appeared by N. E. McNeill, her attorney, Exchange Trust Company appeared by J. A. Duff, its attorney. Helen P. Purdy appeared by Preston Davis, her attorney, and Elmer W. Jacobs appears by M. E. Breckenridge, his attorney. Thereupon all parties announce ready for the hear-

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

83

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL 1925, TULSA, OKLA., MONDAY, JUNE, 29, 1925.

ing of said motions, and the Court hears arguments thereon, and is fully advised in the premises, and finds that said motion and each of them should be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that said motions and each of one thereof, be, and the same are hereby overruled, to which each of said defendants excepted and now duly excepts and said exceptions are allowed.

F. E. Henneger, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 27, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By E.W.J. Deputy.

Court adjourned until June, 29, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE 8, 1925

On this 29th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special Session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kemmamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
John M. Goldsberry, Esq., U. S. District Attorney.
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolveston, Esq., Bailiff.
Marshall Moore, Esq., Bailiff.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FREDERICK W. BAILEY, Plaintiff.
vs.
R. B. SMITH, et al., Defendant.
No. 27 Equity.

ORDER.

Upon reading the verified petition of the plaintiff in the above entitled cause for an order directing the Clerk of this Court to issue a Subpoena Duces Tecum for Frank O. Larson as a witness in said cause, and directing that he bring with him as such witness the files of certain papers referred to in said petition; and the Court being advised that the same are material and necessary evidence for the plaintiff, and that the same are not in his possession, but are in the possession of said Frank O. Larson as Business Manager of the Tulsa Daily World:

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk be, and he is hereby directed to issue a Subpoena Duces Tecum for said Frank O. Larson to be and appear before this Court forthwith to testify on behalf of the plaintiff herein and to bring with him for use in the trial thereof the issues of the Tulsa Daily World of December, 9, 1920 and December 10, 1920.

DATED This 29th day of June, 1925.

F. E. Kemmamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 29, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court, By H. W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, PLAINTIFF.
vs.
HUTCHISON LUMBER COMPANY, Equity No. 28
a corporation, Exchange
Trust Company, Special
Administrator of the Estate
of E. S. Hutchison, Deceased,
and A. B. Patterson,
Defendants

ORDER CONFIRMING SALE.

Hugh Webster and Ed M. Kelley, receivers of the Hutchison Lumber Company, having duly returned and filed in this court account and report of sales made by them under the order of this Court, dated June 6th., 1925, representing that they had sold to the Crews Lumber Company a stock of lumber

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JUNE, 29, 1925.

and a stock of hardware of the Hutchison Lumber Company at private sale for the sum of \$7,000 less invoice price of lumber and hardware sold by said receivers since making said invoice, and it appearing that the said bid of the Crews Lumber Company was the highest and best bid obtainable after notice and publication of the notice of sale; and having filed herein their petition praying that said sale be approved and confirmed, and the matter coming regularly on to be heard this 29th day of June, 1925, and it duly appearing that said sale to the Crews Lumber Company and the proceedings in regard thereto were in all respects properly and regularly conducted and made:

It is ordered and decreed that the sale sale to the said Crews Lumber Company be and the same is hereby confirmed and approved and declared valid.

Dated June, 29, 1925.

F. E. ROEMER,

Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed June, 29, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court
By H.W.J.

D. G. BAILEY, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 36 Equity.
R. H. SMITH, et al. Defendant.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause is ordered stricken from present assignment and continued for the term.

FREDERICK W. BAILEY, Plaintiff.)
vs.) #27 Equity.
R. H. SMITH, et al. Defendants.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause came on for hearing and was continued to June, 30th., 1925.

EDWARD A. KLIEBER, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 30 Equity.
BROWN WELDING CO., Defendant.)

On this 29th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause came on for trial. All parties are represented by counsel and announce ready for trial. Counsel for plaintiff presents his case to the Court and thereafter presents his witnesses and proof and rests. The defendant presents its case to the Court, its witness and proof and rests. And the Court being fully advised in the premises said cause is taken under advisement and twenty days allowed plaintiff to file brief; then 4 days thereafter allowed defendant to answer and ten days thereafter allowed plaintiff to reply.

Court adjourned until July, 10th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM, TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, JUNE 30, 1925.

On this 30th day of June, 1925, the District Court of the United States, for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Hennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

A. L. THOMAS, Plaintiff.)
vs.) #44 Equity.
ROY W. THOMAS, Defendant.)

On this 30th day of June, 1925, defense in above entitled cause is granted until July 7th., 1925 to plead.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT
STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

A. L. THOMAS, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 44 Equity.
ROY W. THOMAS, et al.,)

Now on this 30th day of June, 1925, for good cause shown the defendant, The Creek County Publishing Company is hereby given until July 7th., 1925 within which to file Answer to the Amended Bill of Complaint filed herein by Plaintiff.

F. E. Hennamer, Judge.

EMDORSED: Filed June, 30th., 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
by L.W.J.

FREDERICK W. BAILEY, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 27 Equity.
R. H. SMITH AND OKLAHOMA)
COMPANY, Defendants.)

On this 30th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause comes on for further trial and all parties are present as heretofore. Opening statements of counsel are heard and at this time the hour of adjournment having arrived said cause is continued until July, 1, 1925.

Court adjourned until July, 1, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM. OKLAHOMA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, JULY, 1, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, July, 1, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Lehman, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. L. Garfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit

FREDERICK W. BAILEY, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 27 Equity.
H. H. SMITH, LED)
GILBERT COMPANY, Defendants.)

On this 1st day of July, 1925, the above entitled cause comes on for further hearing and it is agreed that cause be admitted to the Court.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 47 Equity.
LUCY MARTIN, R. C. RAWLINGS,)
LYDIA RAWLINGS, G. L. LOOK-)
LAND AND G. L. LACKLAND.)

WARNING ORDER.

On this, the 1st day of July, 1925, come on to be heard in open court the application of the plaintiff in the above styled and numbered cause for an order directing the absent and non-resident defendants, Lucy Martin, R. C. Rawlings, Lydia Rawlings, G. L. Lookland and G. L. Lackland to answer herein, and it appearing to the Court that this suit is commenced by the plaintiff, seeking to set aside certain instruments therein set forth and to remove cloud from the title to land situate in the Northern District of Oklahoma, described as follows, to-wit:

The W/2 of the SW/4 of the NE/4 of Sec.19.
Township 24 North, Range 14 East, Washington
County, State of Oklahoma, and in the Northern
District of Oklahoma.

said land being a portion of the allotment of Mary Threekiller, a fullblood, restricted citizen of the Cherokee Nation; that diligent search for said defendants has been made by the Department of the Interior through the office of the Superintendent for the Five Civilized Tribes and its various Field Clerks.

That whereabouts of said defendants is to this plaintiff unknown and that personal service upon said defendants or any of them is impracticable and impossible, and that said defendants, or any of them have not voluntarily appeared herein to answer plaintiff's bill of complaint, and the Court being of the opinion that said order should be granted;

It is therefore, ordered that the defendants, Lucy Martin, R.C. Rawlings, G. L. Lookland and G. L. Lackland, and each of them appear, plead, answer or demur to said Bill of Complaint, on or before the 17th day of August, 1925, and that a copy of this order be published once each week for six consecutive weeks in the Bartlesville Enterprise, a newspaper published at Bartlesville in Washington County, in the Northern District of Oklahoma.

F. E. Lehman, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 1, 1925, F. E. Lehman, Clerk U.S. District Court,
L. F. W. J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION APRIL, 1925 TERM REG. OKLA. BEGINS MONDAY, JULY 1, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

JESSIE A. TOLERTON, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 1. Equity.
A. C. BARTLETT, et al., Defendants.)

ORDER FOR SERVICE ON VANCE LIKELY AND
CLARA LIKELY IN THE STATE OF
-KANSAS-

Now, on this 1st day of July, A. D. 1925, comes the plaintiff and files herein his petition to the Court to make an order under Section 57 of the Judicial Code for service on Vance Likely and Clara Likely in the State of Kansas and it appearing from the original verified bill filed herein and the affidavit of the plaintiff filed therewith that the said defendants are not inhabitants of the State of Oklahoma or of this District and have not voluntarily appeared thereto, but are citizens and inhabitants of the State of Kansas and cannot be served in this State or in this District and that under the allegations of plaintiff's said verified bill and his affidavit filed therewith, that this is an action to remove a cloud from and upon the title to certain property within the District where such suit is brought, viz; of, in and to the oil and gas mining leasehold estates in the following described lots and lands in the State of Oklahoma, County of Creek, to-wit:

The East Half (E $\frac{1}{2}$) of the Southwest Quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) and the Northwest quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$) of the Southeast Quarter (SE $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section Thirty-Five (35), Township Nineteen (19) North, and Range Seven (7) East, of the Indian Base and Meridian, containing One Hundred Twenty (120) Acres, more or less. (Harper Jessie's Allotment).

The Southwest Quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northeast Quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section Thirty-Five (35), Township Nineteen (19) North, and Range Seven (7) East, of the Indian Base and Meridian, containing Forty (40) Acres, more or less, (Harper Jessie's Allotment).

The East Half (E $\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northeast Quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$) and the Southwest Quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northeast Quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section Twenty-six (26), Township Nineteen (19) North, and Range Seven (7) East, of the Indian Base and Meridian, containing One Hundred Twenty Acres (120) more or less, and now situated in Creek County, Oklahoma, (Andy Jessie's Allotment).

The Southwest Quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of the Southwest Quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section Thirty-Six (36), Township Nineteen (19) North, Range Seven (7) East, of the Indian Base and Meridian, containing Forty (40) Acres, more or less, and now situated in Creek County, Oklahoma, (Andy Jessie's Allotment).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND DIRECTED by the Court that the said Vance Likely and Clara Likely shall appear, plead, answer, or demur on or before Saturday, the 2nd day of August, A. D. 1925, to plaintiff's said bill and in case defendants shall not appear to plead, answer or demur with in the time so limited and upon proof of the service of this order upon said defendants, the Court will entertain jurisdiction and proceed to the hearing and adjudication of this suit in the same manner as if such defendants had been served with process within this District.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy hereof be served upon each of said defendants by the United States Marshal of the District of Kansas by delivering a copy copy hereof to each of said defendants personally, or by leaving a copy hereof at the dwelling house or usual place of abode of each of said defendants with some adult person who is a member of or resident in the family of each of said defendants.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the 89

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, JULY, 1, 1925.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court certify to three copies hereof and deliver same or cause same to be delivered to the said marshal, so that he can serve one of the copies on each of the defendants aforesaid, and make his return on the other copy to this Court within fifteen days after the service thereof.

Signed in open court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 1st day of July A. D. 1925.

F. E. Reininger, United States District Judge.

Filed in open Court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this ___ day of July, A. D. 1925.

United States District Clerk,

By Deputy Clerk.

EMBORED: Filed July, 1, 1925. H. I. Worfield, Clerk, U.S. District Court By H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

JESSE A. TOLERTON, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 1 Equity.
E. C. BARTLETT, et al., Defendants.)

ORDER FOR PUBLICATION AND FOR PUBLICATION TO UNKNOWN HEIRS.

On this 1st day of July, A. D. 1925, comes Jesse A. Tolerton, the plaintiff in the above entitled cause and asks leave to amend his bill so as to pray for publication against the defendants hereinafter named, if living, and if dead, their unknown heirs, executors, administrators, devisees or assigns, immediate or remote, and against the corporation hereinafter named, if in existence, and if not, against their officers, successors, trustees or assigns, immediate or remote, and files also her in his affidavit alleging that since the filing of his bill in the above entitled cause a writ of subpoena has been duly issued for service on the following defendants:

Cinda Tiger, Sarahilla Lewis, H. F. Berwell, G. C. Upton, and Ralph P. Welch, and the marshal has been unable to find said persons or either of them so as to serve said subpoenas on them or either of them and that the plaintiff has made and exercised due diligence to ascertain whether the above named persons and parties defendants herein are dead or alive, and if dead, to ascertain who are their heirs, executors, administrators, devisees or assigns, immediate or remote, or their whereabouts, and after making such diligent inquiry has been unable to ascertain the facts relating thereto.

And, also, alleges in said affidavit that subpoenas have been duly issued for service on the Moss Petroleum Company and the General Investment Company and that the marshal has been unable to find the said corporations or serve said subpoenas on them or either of them and that after making and exercising due diligence to ascertain whether the above named corporations are legally existing or dissolved, and if not in existence, to ascertain the names or whereabouts of their officers, successors, trustees or assigns, immediate or remote, and has been unable to ascertain the facts relating thereto.

And the Court, after considering the matter, grants leave to so amend said bill as an addition, without filing a separate amended bill.

And the Court, having considered the above affidavit and being fully advised in the premises, finds that said defendants should be served by publication, and that notice of the pendency of the above styled cause

In the District Court of the United States in and for the
District of

should be published for six consecutive weeks in a daily newspaper notifying the defendants to be and appear in this Court on or before Saturday, August 22nd, 1925, to plead, answer or demur to plaintiff's bill and the amendment thereto, and the notice of such publication should be published in the Sapulpa Weekly Herald, all of which is ordered and adjudged by the Court.

Signed in open Court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 1st day of July, A. D. 1925,

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.

Filed in open Court this ___ day of July, A. D. 1925,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT CLERK.

By _____ Deputy.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 1, 1925. H. P. Garfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
By H.W.J.

Court adjourned until July, 3, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM, TULSA, OKLA., FRIDAY, JULY 3, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, July, 3, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kenamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
)
vs.)
) No. 54 Equity.
J. A. WOOD (Otherwise known as Mrs.)
Josephine A. Wood,)
Defendant.)

WARNING ORDER

Now on this 3rd day of July, 1925, came on to be heard in open Court the application of said Plaintiff in the above entitled cause for and order directing the absence and non-resident defendant, J. A. Wood, otherwise known as Mrs Josephine A. Wood to appear, answer, plead or demur herein, and it appearing to the Court that this suit is commenced by the Plaintiff seeking to set aside a certain instrument therein set forth, and to remove cloud from the title of certain land situate in the Northern District of Oklahoma, more practically described as follows to-wit:

The E/2 of the SW/4 and the S/2 of the
SE/4 of Section 33, Twp, 16 North, Range 7
East, County of Creek, State of Oklahoma.

said land being a portion of the allotment of one Louis Deere a Full-blood Creek Indian and a ward of said Plaintiff.

That the whereabouts of said defendant is to the Plaintiff herein unknown further than said plaintiff is advised and verily believes that said defendant is a resident of Cassa Grand, Pinal County, State of Arizona, and that personal service upon said defendant is impossible within said District or within the State of Oklahoma, and that in the event personal service can be had upon said defendant, such service should be had by order of this Court and that said defendant has not voluntarily appeared herein, and that the Court is of the opinion that said order requested in said motion should be granted.

It is therefore ordered that the said defendant J. A. Wood, other wise known as Mrs. Josephine A. Wood, appear, answer, plead or demur to said Bill of Complaint herein filed on or before the 3rd day of September, 1925, and in the event that said defendant cannot be served with a copy of this order, that such a copy thereof be published once each week, for six consecutive weeks in the Sapulpa Herald, a newspaper at Sapulpa, in the County of Creek, State of Oklahoma, in the Northern District of Oklahoma.

F. E. Kenamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 3, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By L.W.J.

Adjourned until July, 3, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925. TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JULY, 6, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, July, 6, 1925, at 9:00'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. W. James, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.
W. L. Coffey, Esq., Asst. U. S. District Attorney
H. G. Beard, Esq., U. S. Marshal.
W. F. Wolverton, Esq., Bailiff.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.
vs. No. 49 Equity.
A. J. KENNEDY, L. E. JAMISON, T. B. GOODWIN, MARY A JAMISON, W. A. CEASE, MRS. W. A. CEASE AND GEORGE KILCOYNE, Defendants.

WARNING ORDER.

On this, the 6th day of July, 1925, came on to be heard in open Court the application of the plaintiff in the above styled and numbered cause for an order directing the absent and non-resident defendants, A. J. Kennedy, L. E. Jamison, T. B. Goodwin, Mary A. Jamison, W. A. Cease, Mrs. W. A. Cease and George Kilcoyne to answer herein, and it appearing to the Court that this suit is commenced by the plaintiff, seeking to set aside certain instruments therein set forth and to remove cloud from the title to land situate in the Northern District of Oklahoma, described as follows, to-wit:

Lot 1 and 6, Block 35, City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.

said land being the property of the Creek Nation at the time of the conveyance herein complained of; that diligent search for said defendants has been made by the Department of the Interior through the office of the Superintendent for the Five Civilized Tribes and its various Field Clerks.

That whereabouts of said defendants is to the plaintiff unknown, and that personal service upon said defendants or any of them is impracticable and impossible, and that said defendants, or any of them have not voluntarily appeared herein to answer plaintiff's bill of complaint and the Court being of the opinion that said order should be granted:

It is, therefore, ordered that the defendants, A. J. Kennedy, L. E. Jamison, T. B. Goodwin, Mary A. Jamison, W. A. Cease, Mrs W. A. Cease and George Kilcoyne, and each of them appear, plead, answer or demur to said Bill of Complaint, on or before the 14th day of September, 1925, and that a copy of this order be published once each week for six consecutive weeks in the Legal News a newspaper published at Tulsa in Tulsa County, in the Northern District of Oklahoma.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 6, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L.W.J.

Court adjourned until July, 7th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM, 1925, O.K. TUESDAY, JULY, 7, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, July, 7, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Hennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES FIDELITY AND GUARANTY COMPANY, A CORPORATION. Plaintiff.
vs.
OTTAWA COUNTY NATIONAL BANK, A CORPORATION. Defendant.
No. 38 Equity.

ORDER

On this 7 day of July, 1925, came on for hearing the application of the defendant, Ottawa County National Bank, for permission to file herein its motion to vacate and set aside the former order of the United States Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, entered on March 10, 1925, and for an order staying proceedings pending a final hearing on such motion, and upon due consideration thereof, leave is granted defendant to file its motion to vacate; and it is ordered that pending a final hearing on the motion to vacate, all further proceedings herein be stayed.

ENTER:

F. E. Hennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 7, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court by Roy Cornett.

Court adjourned until July, 9, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, July, 9, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Hennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
vs.
D. H. HOOD, Defendant.
EQUITY No. 40.

ORDER PRO CONFESSO

Now comes the complainant, the United States of America, by its solicitors, and elects to take order pro confesso against D. H. Hood, (address unknown) defendant, for failure to plead or answer.

Mary Nelson, now Beamer, Cherokee Nation.

((SEAL))) H. P. Warfield, Clerk
By L.W. Jones, Deputy.

To the Clerk of said Court: PRAECIPERE

Enter the above in the Order Book of Equity of said Court.

JOHN M. GULDBERRY, Attorney.
LOUIS E. SWEENEY, Assistant.

97 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

~~REGULAR~~ SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM 2013, OKLA. FRIDAY, JULY, 10, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, July, 10, 1925.
at 9: o'clock.A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, U.S.District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U.S.District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings we e had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, WITHIN AND
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff.)	
)	
vs.)	No. 58 Equity.
DAN WARD and the OSAGE DRUG)	
COMPANY,	Defendants.)	

ORDER TO MODIFY INJUNCTION.

This matter coming on to be heard, before me, the undersigned Judge of said Court, on this the 10 day of July, 1925, and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that for good cause shown the Order of Injunction entered in this cause should be modified as prayed for in the Application of Defendant, Dan Ward herein.

It is therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said Defendant, Dan Ward and his Surties, upon the Bond, filed in this cause, be and they are hereby released from any further liability thereon, from and after a period of Five Days from the signing of this Order. And the said Zim Greenwood, the present owner of the said Osage Drug Company is hereby ordered to file in this Court a Bond in the sum of One Thousand Dollars with sufficient Surties, within a period of Five Days from the execution of this Order. And if the said Zim Greenwood fails to comply with this order that said Osage Drug Company or its place of business be closed.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 10, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until July, 13, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JULY, 13, 1925.

95

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, July, 13, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

D. J. GONYER,	Complainant,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 4 Equity.
H. E. CLARK, et al.,	Defendants.)	

ORDER AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF CERTAIN BILLS.

This matter coming on to be heard this 13th day of July, 1925, upon the application of Peter Deichman, receiver in the above entitled cause, showing that it is necessary to pay certain bills in running the business involved herein, and the Court being fully advised in the premises,

It is ordered, adjudged and decreed, that said receiver is hereby authorized to pay the following bills;

R. C. Tallman, pumper, and teaming	\$75.50
Ed Gonyer, labor, pulling wells	5.50
Glen Cumpsache, labor and teaming	14.00
Olga A. Braddon, bookkeeping	60.00

Said receiver is also authorized to draw \$50.00 additional for his services as such receiver.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 13, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By L.W.J.

Court adjourned until July, 14, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

COUNTY SESSION, PR.L. 1925 TERM, TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, JULY 14, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, July, 14, 1925
at 9: o'clock, A. M. Present:

Hon. F. J. Hennemer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
vs.)	
A. J. KENNEDY, et al.,)	EQUITY No. 49
Defendants.)	
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,)	
TULSA COUNTY, AND CITY OF TULSA,)	
Special Defendants.)	

ORDER PRO CONFESSO.

Now comes the complainant, the United States of America, by its solicitors, and elects to take order pro confesso against Board of County Commissioners, Tulsa County and City of Tulsa, Special defendants for failure to plead or answer.

((SEAL)) H. P. Warfield, Clerk
By L. W. Jones, Deputy.

PRÆCIPUE

To the Clerk of said Court:

Enter the above in the Order Book in Equity of said Court.

Louis N. Stivers,
Solicitor for Complainant.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 14, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By L.W.J.

Court adjourned until July, 15, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, JULY, 15, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, July, 15, 1925 at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. I. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

R. W. HART,)
vs.) No. 59 In Equity
GLADYS BELLE OIL CORPORATION)

The Clerk of said Court will issue a subpoena duces tecum for H. J. Green, President Gladys Belle Oil Company, and C. E. Corn, Secretary of Gladys Belle Oil Company to bring with them the following documents:

1. Agreement of June 30 1924 between Gladys Belle Oil Company and Marquette Oil Corporation and John E. Mahon & Company covering the sale of \$600,000.00 of 7% Cumulative Gold Bonds of Marquette Oil Company.
2. Voting Trust agreement between stockholders and Grant C. Stebbins, J. Ray Stebbins, W. M. Foster, John E. Mahon, R. P. Brewer, Harry Slater and John Owen, Trustee and their successors.
3. First mortgage and Deed of Trust executed by Gladys Belle Oil Company and Marquette Oil Corporation to Pittsburg Trust Company, dated June, 1, 1924
4. Certified copy of Articles of Incorporation of Gladys Belle Oil Corporation.

It is ordered that subpoena duces tecum be issued according to the within precept.

This 15th day of July, 1925,

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of
Oklahoma.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

R. W. HART, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
GLADYS BELLE OIL CO. et al.) # 59 Equity.
Defendant.)

On this 15th day of June, 1925, above entitled cause came on for hearing. All parties present by counsel and the Complainant asks and is granted leave to file Motion for temporary Injunction and Receiver in above cause. Whereupon cause is continued until July, 16, 1925.

Court adjourned until July, 16, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION. APRIL, 1926 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JULY, 16, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, July, 16, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 28, Equity.
HUTCHISON LUMBER COMPANY)
a corporation. Defendant.)

ORDER AUTHORIZING RECEIVERS TO
SELL PROPERTY.

The above matter coming on for hearing on this 16th day of July, 1925, on the petition of Hugh Webster and Ed M. Kelly for authority to sell certain property belonging to this estate, to-wit: Small frame building, lumber sheds, two trucks, tractor and two trailers, and power saw, to Ed Smittle for the sum of \$650.00, payable \$100.00 in cash and the balance in two instalments of \$275.00 each due September 11th and September 26th, 1925, respectively, and to accept notes to cover same and chattle or real estate mortgage securing same, and it appearing that said bid is the best that said receivers have received on said property and that said receivers recommend its acceptance, and it appearing that said receivers should be authorized to sell said property for said sum and under the conditions set forth.

It is therefore ordered that the said receivers are hereby authorized to sell said above described property to Ed Smittle for the sum of \$650.00, payable \$100.00 in cash, balance in two instalments of \$275.00 each due September, 11th and September, 26th, 1925, respectively, and to accept notes and chattle or real estate mortgage to cover same.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 16, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court by H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 28 Equity.
HUTCHISON LUMBER COMPANY,)
a corporation. Defendant.)

ORDER AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF CLAIMS.

The above matter coming on for hearing on the motion of Hugh Webster and Ed M. Kelly, receivers in the above entitled matter, for authority to pay certain claims, and it appearing that said receivers have incurred expense in connection with the administration of this estate, as follows, to-wit:

C. J. Harry, court reporter, for preparation of Case-made, in Cause No. 14167 District Court of Tulsa County, Okla.	\$155.00
Knight P. Douglas Co., bond premiums	85.00
Tulsa Dailey Legal News	20.25

and that said expenses were necessary to the proper administration of this estate and should be paid out of the funds in the hands of said receivers.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, JULY, 16, 1925.

It is therefore ordered and the said receivers are hereby authorized to pay said, above enumerated claims against this estate out of the funds in their hands.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 16, 1925, H.P. Warfield. Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 28 Equity
HUTCHISON LUMBER COMPANY,)
a corporation. Defendant.)

ORDER CONFIRMING SALE.

The above matter coming on for hearing on the motion of Hugh Webster and Ed M. Kelly, Receivers, for an order confirming sale of certain property belonging to this estate, and it appearing that said receivers have negotiated for the sale of and have sold, subject to the confirmation of the Court, the following property, to-wit:

One Ford Car to T. A. Chandler, \$225.00
One Buick car, to Ada Kunji 160.00
Office Furniture to Tulsa Sash & Door 130.00

and that the bids above enumerated are the best bids received by said receivers for this property, and it appearing that said receivers recommend the acceptance of said bids and the confirmation of sales to said parties, and it appearing that said sales should be confirmed.

It is therefore ordered, and the sales of the above described property, to the parties named at the sum named, are hereby confirmed.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 16, 1925. H.P. Warfield. Clerk U. S. District Court. By H.W.J.

R. W. HART, Plaintiff.)
vs.) #59 Equity.
GLADYS BELLE OIL COMPANY,)
et al., Defendant.)

On this 16th day of July, 1925, the above entitled cause came on for further hearing and all parties present as heretofore, whereupon the following named complainants, Della R. Allen, Bell R. Galloway, A. R. Gill, J. W. Galloway, Maude B. Smith, Bruce I. Keenan and W. T. Bennett ask leave of this Court to pass its order allowing complainants to file their bill of intervention in said cause as parties plaintiff therein. Whereupon it is by the Court ordered that said hearing be continued until July, 18, 1925.

Court adjourned until July, 18, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 Term. Tulsa, Okla. SATURDAY, JULY, 18, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, July, 18, 1925
 at 9:0'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. A. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
 H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ROS. SPYBUCK, et al., Plaintiff.)
 vs.) # 53 Equity.
 LEMUEL CHARLEY ET AL, Defendant.)

On this 18th day of July, 1925, permission was granted defendants
 Prairie Pipe Line Co., and Prairie Oil & Gas Co. to withdraw former answer
 and file new answer.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

EDWARD A. KIEWER, Plaintiff.)
 vs.) No. 20 E.
 CHARLES H. BROWN AND)
 CHARLES H. BROWN)
 doing business as Brown)
 Welding & Machine Co.,)
 Defendants.)

ORDER ALLOWING DEFENDANT TO TEMPORARILY
 WITHDRAW TWO EXHIBITS.

Now on this the 17th day of July, 1925, there appeared John R.
 Woodward, one of the attorneys of record for the defendant C. H. Brown, and
 orally made application to the Court to withdraw temporarily Exhibits No.
 10 and No. 7 filed in this cause at the time of the trial thereof; and

It appearing from the statement of said attorney that it is pro-
 per that permission be given to withdraw said exhibits temporarily, with the
 understanding that the same will be again filed within fifteen days from date
 hereof, with the Court Reporter, it is therefore ordered that permission be,
 and the same is hereby given to the said John R. Woodward, said attorney, to
 withdraw said exhibits.

It is further ordered that said attorney be required to refile
 or replace said exhibits with the Reporter of this Court not later than fif-
 teen days from date hereof.

F. A. Kennamer, Judge.

C.E. W. E. Disney

ENDORSED: Filed July, 18, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
 By R.C.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, JULY, 18, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT IN AND FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JANE JEFFERSON,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	
CYPSY OIL COMPANY, et al.,	Defendants.)	No. 29 Eq.

Permission hereby granted to withdraw files in the above numbered and styled cause for the purpose of making copies of pleadings.

Dated this 18th day of July, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED Filed July, 18, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE SULLIVAN COMPANY,	Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	
JAMES F. THOMAS AND EUGENIA THOMAS,	Defendants.)	Equity No. 5.

ORDER OVERRULING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE

Now on this 18 day of July, 1925, there came on regularly for hearing defendant's motion to strike irrelevant and redundant matter from plaintiff's amended bill of complaint filed herein. After argument of counsel and upon due consideration the Court finds that defendants' motion should be overruled.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that defendants' motion to strike matter from plaintiffs amended bill of complaint be and the same is hereby overruled, to which ruling the defendants and each of them in open court except.

The defendants are given twenty days from this date within which to plead or answer.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

O.K.
MASON HONNOLD CARTER & HARPER.
By R. E. Harper.

O. K.
Clarence M. McRae.
Attorney for Defendants.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 18, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
by E.W.J.

100 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, JULY, 18, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 28 Equity.
HUTCHISON LUMBER COMPANY, a corporation. Defendant.)

ORDER ALLOWING RECEIVERS COMPENSATION

The above matter coming on for hearing on the petition of Hugh Webster and Ed. M. Kelly, receivers herein, for the allowance of partial compensation, and the court having examined the report of said receivers, and being advised in the premises finds that said receivers are entitled to partial compensation at this time and that the sum of \$500.00 is a fair and reasonable sum as partial compensation at this time.

It is therefore ordered and the said receivers are hereby allowed a partial compensation at this time, the sum of \$1500.00 which sum they are authorized to deduct from the monies in their hands, and charge against the administration costs of said estate.

F. E. Kennamer,

Dated this 18 day of July, 1925.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 18, 1925. H.P.Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court. By H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

PURDY PETROLEUM CORPORATION a corporation, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Equity No. 61.
E. M. PURDY, PRIARIE OIL & GAS COMPANY, a corporation, and the EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF Tulsa, Oklahoma, a corporation. Defendants)

ORDER FOR SERVICE ON NON RESIDENT DEFENDANT.

On this 18th day of July, 1925, came on to be heard the application of the plaintiff in the above entitled and numbered cause for an order directing the absent defendant, E. M. Purdy, to appear, and plead, answer or demur herein by a day certain to be designated by the Court; and it appearing to the Court that this suit is commenced by the plaintiff who is a resident and citizen and inhabitant of the State of Delaware, to enforce an equitable claim to be land situated in Creek County, Oklahoma, being in the Northern Judicial District of the said State, the said suit being brought to have declared therein that defendant, E. M. Purdy, holds in trust for the plaintiff a certain oil and gas mining lease of date of March 26th 1921, by and between R. B. Morrison as Guardian of Ada Hill, as lessor, to John P. Brown, as lessee, covering the following described land, located in Creek County, State of Oklahoma, to-wit:

SW 1/4 of the WE 1/4 and South 40 Acres of Lot 2, and E 1/2 of NW 1/4 of Section 6, Township 16 North, Range 9 East;

which said lease is duly recorded in Book 225 at page 312 of the records of

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, JULY, 18, 1925

the office of the County Clerk in and for Creek County, Oklahoma; and to obtain an order upon the said E. M. Purdy to assign the said oil and gas mining lease to the plaintiff by good and sufficient assignment, and that the said E. M. Purdy, defendant therein named, is not an inhabitant of the State of Oklahoma, nor is he to be found in said State, and has not voluntarily appeared in said suit:

And the Court being of the opinion that said application should be granted, it is order that said E.M. Purdy, defendant, shall appear, plead, answer or demur to the bill of the plaintiff on or before the 1st day of September, 1925.

It is further ordered that a certified copy of this order be served on the said E. M. Purdy twenty days before the date above mentioned by the United States Marshal for the Northern District of the State of New York.

It is further ordered that a certified copy of this order be served on Ira Bethel who is in charge and in possession of the premises covered by said oil and gas mining lease within ten days from the date hereof.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 13, 1925. H.P. Garfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. By H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

PURDY PETROLEUM CORPORATION, a corporation, Plaintiff.

vs.

E. M. PURDY, et al., Defendants.

Equity No. 61.

RESTRAINING ORDER.

This cause coming on to be heard on this 18th day of July, 1925, upon the verified bill of complaint of the plaintiff, and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that unless a restraining order is issued against the defendants Prairie Oil & Gas Company, and the defendant, The Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, irreparable injury will be suffered by the plaintiff by reason of the fact that E. M. Purdy is insolvent and unable to respond in damages to the plaintiff and that any moneys paid by the defendants to said E. M. Purdy will be forever lost to the plaintiff, the Court also finds the giving of notice of the application for an order is impracticable because the defendant E. M. Purdy resides in the State of New York and cannot be found in this district.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the defendant, The Exchange National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma be and it is hereby restrained, until the further order of this Court, from paying to the defendant, E. M. Purdy, any part or portion of the funds received by it on account of the oil and gas produced and sold from that certain oil and gas mining lease of date of March 26th and John E. Brown, as lessee, covering the

Southwest quarter of the Northeast quarter and the South Forty acres of Lot 2, and the East Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 6, Township 16 North, Range 9 East; and

It is further ordered that the defendant, Prairie Oil & Gas Company, be and it is hereby restrained until the further order of this Court from paying to the defendant, E. M. Purdy, the proceeds or any part thereof of the oil and gas produced from the above described oil and gas mining estate.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL 1925 TERM, TULSA, OKLA., SATURDAY, JULY 18, 1925.

This order to become effective upon the plaintiff filing with the Clerk of this Court an approved bond in the sum of Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars, conditioned as required by law, with sufficient surety.

This order shall expire at the expiration of ten days hereof for good cause the same is extended.

It is further ordered that the 27th day of July, 1925, is hereby set as the date for hearing and allowing the application for a temporary injunction, the same to be heard in the United States District Court room in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ROSA SPYBUCK AND NATHANIEL CHARLEY, an infant, by his mother and next friend, Rosa Spybuck, Plaintiffs,

vs.

LEMUEL CHARLEY, VERNON F. SEAMAN, as guardian of Lemuel Charley, an incompetent, The Britten-Johnson Oil Company, a corporation, The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, The Prairie Pipe Line Company, a corporation, The Gulf Pipe Line Company, a corporation, and the Sinclair Pipe Line Company, a corporation. Defendants.

No. 53 Equity.

ORDER

On this 18th day of July, A. D. 1925, upon motion of Prairie Pipe Line Company and Prairie Oil & Gas Company praying leave to withdraw their separate answers filed herein July, 14, 1925, and to substitute separate answers answers now and here in open court tendered for filing; the court having considered the matter and being well and sufficiently advised in the premises,

IT IS ORDERED that permission be and the same is hereby granted to said defendants, Prairie Pipe Line Company and Prairie Oil & Gas Company to withdraw the answers filed July, 14, 1925, and to substitute therefor their separate answers now and here in open court tendered and by leave of court this day filed; and it is further ordered that said defendants furnish plaintiffs' counsel herein with copies of said substituted answers.

Done at Tulsa this 18th day of July, 1925,

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 18, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, JULY, 18, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

R. W. HART, Plaintiff.

vs.

GLADYS BELLE OIL COMPANY, a corporation, PITTSBURGH TRUST COMPANY, a corporation, MARQUETTE OIL CORPORATION, a corporation; JOHN E. MAHON, of Pittsburgh, Pa., doing business as John E. Mahon & Company. Defendants.

IN EQUITY, No. 59.

JOURNAL ENTRY.

This cause came on for hearing on this 16th day of July, 1925, upon the application of plaintiff for injunctive relief and appointment of receiver, the plaintiff appearing in person and by Solicitors, M. M. Eakes, Jean P. Day, and Eden L. Taylor, and the defendant, Gladys Belle Oil Company, appearing by its solicitors, P. C. West, N. A. Gibson, H. F. Aby and W. F. Tucker; and upon hearing, and after the introduction of testimony on the part of said plaintiff, objection is made by said defendant to the jurisdiction of this court to hear and determine said application upon the ground that the matter in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, is less than the sum of Three Thousand (\$3,000.00) Dollars: whereupon, the court continues said cause for hearing upon said objection to the jurisdiction to the 18th day of July, 1925.

And now, upon this 18th day of July, 1925, this cause came on for hearing upon said objection to the jurisdiction, the plaintiff appearing in person and by his solicitors, M. M. Eakes and Eden L. Taylor, and the defendant, Gladys Belle Oil Company, appearing by its solicitors P. C. West, H. F. Aby, and W. F. Tucker; and upon hearing, said defendant in open court concedes that the court properly has jurisdiction of said cause, suggesting that same could more clearly be shown by amendment to the plaintiffs petition herein filed; and upon consideration, it appearing to the court that this suit is one between citizens of different states, and that the matter in controversy herein exceeds in value, exclusive of interest and costs, the sum of Three Thousand (\$3,000.00) Dollars, and this court properly has jurisdiction to hear and determine said cause and said application of plaintiff, and the plaintiff having moved for leave of the Court to amend his petition herein.

It is ordered that said plaintiff is hereby granted leave of court to file an amendment to his petition or an amended petition, herein within fourteen (14) days herefrom, and that this cause be set for hearing upon plaintiff's said application for injunctive relief and for appointment of receiver, on the 15th day of September, 1925; PROVIDED, however, that upon motion of the plaintiff, for good cause shown, and upon notice to said defendant, Gladys Belle Oil Company, the court may hear said application at any time prior to said date.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: FILED July, 18, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

Court adjourned until July, 24, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, JULY, 24, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday, July, 24, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court. H. P. Warfield, Esq, Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

LONZETTA CANADY, a minor, By Earl Tankersley, Guardian, Plaintiff.

vs.

MOUNTAIN STATE OIL COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation, and Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a Foreign Corporation, Defendants.

GEORGE H. JENNINGS AND CREEKMORE WALLACE, Intervening Plaintiffs.

No. 24 Equity.

ORDER ENLARGING TIME FOR FILING THE RECORD ON APPEAL.

Be it remembered, that on this the 24th day of July, 1925, came on to be considered the oral application of the intervening plaintiffs, George H. Jennings and Creekmore Wallace, for an enlargement of time within which to file with the Clerk of the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals the record on appeal herein; and the Court Having heard the said petition for good cause shown in the same,

It is ordered by the undersigned Judge, who tried the said cause, that the said petition of the said intervening plaintiffs be, and the same, is hereby allowed and they are hereby given an extension of time of sixty (60) days from and after the 24th day of July, within which to file their record herein in the said Court on the appeal of said cause.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 24, 1925, H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court. H.W.J.

COURT ADJOURNED UNTIL JULY, 27, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, JULY, 27, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, July, 27, 1925.,
at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

PURDY PETROLEUM CORPORATION,	Plaintiff.	} No. 61 Equity.
vs.		
E. M. PURDY, et al.,	Defendants.	

ORDER EXTENDING RESTRAINING ORDER.

This cause coming on to be heard on this the 27th day of July, 1925, upon the application of the plaintiff and for good cause shown, the restraining order heretofore issued in the above entitled cause on the 18th day of July, 1925, is hereby extended for a period of ten (10) days.

It is further ordered that the 5th day of August, 1925, is hereby set as the date for hearing and allowing the application for a temporary injunction, the same to be heard in the United States District Court room in the City of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 27, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H. W. J.

Court adjourned until July, 29th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, JULY, 29, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, July, 29th., 1925 at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, te-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

D. J. GONYER, Complainant, }
va. } No. 4 Equity.
H. E. CLARK, et al. Defendants. }

ORDER CONFIRMING COMMISSIONERS' REPORT
AND DIRECTING THE SALE OF PROPERTY.

Now on this the 29 day of July, 1925, came on to be heard the application for an order confirming the report of the commissioners filed herein and directing the sale of said property involved and it appearing to the Court that the defendants have had notice of the hearing of this application, and complainant appearing by his attorney, F. E. Riddle, and announced ready for hearing, whereupon after presenting said motion and the court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises finds that the commissioners have complied with the law in such cases made and provided, and that they have filed their report to the effect that the said property involved can not be equitable partitioned, and in said report said commissioners made an appraisal of said property, subject to valid liens thereon.

The court further finds that there exists in the state district court in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, a deficiency judgment against the said defendants, Clara B. Shuler and Isaac Shuler, in case No. _____, styled, W. S. Bailey, Plaintiff, vs. Clara B. Shuler, and Isaac Shuler, defendants, in the sum of \$10,000.00, and attorney fees, and interest on said judgment; that said judgment creates a valid lien against the undivided one-fourth interest of the said Clara B. Shuler and Isaac Shuler in the land and property involved in this proceeding, but the court finds that said judgment lien does not attach or extend to the interest of the complainant herein or to the interest of the defendant, H. E. Clark.

The Court further finds that each of said parties interested have filed a written offer to purchase said property at the appraised value thereof, in opposition to each other.

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the report of the commissioners filed herein be and the same is hereby in all things confirmed.

It is further considered, ordered and decreed by the Court that the deficiency judgment rendered in the state district court, above referred to, creates no lien or encumbrance against the interest of the complainant herein, or the defendant, H. E. Clark, and that the interests of the said complainant and said H. E. Clark within and to the said property be and the same are hereby decreed to be free of any lien by virtue of any judgment rendered against the said defendants, Clara B. Shuler and Isaac Shuler,

It is further considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that the clerk issue an order directed to the marshal of this district commanding him to proceed to advertise for sale said property for a period of thirty (30) days, in the manner provided by law, and to sell the same to the highest bidder for cash in hand, subject to one certain first mortgage lien against said property in the sum of \$3,500.00, and free of any judgment

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, JULY, 29, 1925.

lien against the said defendants, Clara B. Shuler and Isaac Shuler, but that one-fourth of the proceeds for which said property may sell be paid to the clerk of this court to be held subject to any judgment lien existing against the said Clara B. Shuler and Isaac Shuler, and to be paid out under the order of this Court.

It is further ordered by the Court that in the event complainant becomes the purchaser of said property he may take credit for an undivided one-half of the purchase price thereof, and in the event the said H. E. Clark shall become the purchaser of the said property he may take credit for an undivided one-fourth of the purchase price thereof.

It is further ordered that the said marshal execute a deed of conveyance to the purchaser of said property, and make his return to the clerk of this court as to the manner in which he has executed said order of sale.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 29, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. By H.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. S. McGRAY, Complainant.
vs.
SAPULPA PETROLEUM COMPANY, a corporation, et al., Defendants.
No. 26 Equity.

ORDER.

Now upon this the 29th day of July, 1925, upon the application of the receiver herein, the court finds that said account of the receiver for legal services rendered, and to be rendered, by his attorney, F. E. Riddle, is reasonable and necessary and should be paid.

It is therefore considered, ordered and adjudged by the court that the said Joe H. Knox, receiver, be authorized and directed to pay said account in the sum of \$300.00 for services rendered and to be rendered for the months of June, July and August, 1925, and that the same be charged against the funds in the hands of the receiver and allowed as a voucher in his final account, but subject to be considered on the taxation of costs.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

Notice Accepted.

O'Mara & Silverman Attorneys.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, vs. MRS. D. P. WASSON, Defendant. EQUITY NO. 35

ORDER PRO CONFESSO.

Now comes the complainant, the United States of America, by its solicitors, and elects to take order pro confesso against Mrs. D.P.Wasson, special Defendant, for failure to plead or answer.

((SEAL))

H.P.Warfield, Clerk, By L.W.Jones, Deputy

To the Clerk of the said Court. PRÆCIPE Enter the above in the Order Book in Equity of said Court. John M. Goldsberry U.S. Attorney Louis N. Stivers, Special Assistant Solicitors for Complainant.

Court adjourned until July, 30, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, JULY, 30, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Thursday, July, 30, 1925,
at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE OIL WELL IMPROVEMENTS COMPANY, a Corporation.)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	
Benjamin P. Palmer,)	No. 65 Equity.
Defendant.)	

O R D E R .

The above entitled cause coming before the court on the application of the plaintiffs for an order to have the order to show cause why preliminary injunction should not issue against the defendant set down for hearing, and it appearing to the court that the United States Circuit Court of Appeals has rendered its decision in the appeal of Acme Foundry and Machine Company versus the Oil Well Improvements Company that the mandate to the United States District Court for the District of Kansas, has been sent down and entered in said case, and that the order of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit restraining the plaintiff herein, Oil Well Improvements Company, from proceeding with its motion for preliminary injunction in this case, has been vacated by the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit.

IT IS THEREFORE pursuant to the stipulation between the parties hereto filed in this court on March 22, 1924, hereby ordered that the defendant shall, on or before August 5, 1925, make its return to the order to show cause hereinbefore granted by filing any reply affidavits upon which it will rely, and serving copies thereof upon counsel for the plaintiff; that the plaintiff may have until August 15, 1925, within which to file affidavits in reply thereto; that the said order to show cause shall thereupon be set for hearing before this Court in the Federal COURT ROOMS at Tulsa, Oklahoma at 10:00 A. M. Thursday, August, 20, 1925.

A copy of this order shall be served upon the defendant herein not later than July 31, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the United States District
Court.

Service of a copy of above and foregoing order is hereby acknowledged this 30th day of July, 1925.

ENDORSED: Filed July, 30, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

Court adjourned until August, 3, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, AUGUST, 3, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, August, 3, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

OIL WELL IMPROVEMENTS COMPANY, Plaintiff.
vs.
B. F. PALMER, Defendant.
\$ 65 Equity.

On this 3rd. day of August, 1925, the defendant in above entitled cause granted ten days extension to show cause.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, Plaintiff.
vs.
HUTCHISON LUMBER COMPANY, a corporation, A. B. PATTERSON and the EXCHANGE TRUST COMPANY, a corporation, SPECIAL ADMINISTRATOR Of the Estate of E. S. HUTCHISON, Deceased. Defendant.
No. 28 in Equity.

ORDER MAKING PARTIAL ALLOWANCE OF ATTORNEYS' FEES.

Now on this 3rd. day of August, 1925, the above matter comes on for hearing before me at my chambers, upon the application of N. E. McNeill and J. A. Duff, attorneys for the Receivers in the above cause, praying for a partial allowance of attorneys' fees for services rendered and to be rendered herein, in the matters set out in the application, and the Court having heard said application and being fully advised in the premises finds: That a partial allowance of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars is a reasonable amount to be paid to said attorneys at this time, Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars of which is to be paid to N. E. McNeill and Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars to J. A. Duff.

IT IS THEREFORE ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that said attorneys be, and they are hereby allowed the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars or Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars each as partial compensation for their services rendered and to be rendered in the matters set out in the application, and the Receivers are directed to issue their checks to said attorneys for said amounts.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 3, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
.H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, AUGUST, 3, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

W. S. McGRAY, Plaintiff.
vs.
SAPULPA PETROLEUM COMPANY, et al., Defendants.
No. 26 Equity.

ORDER

It appearing to the Court that the mandate from the Circuit Court of Appeals has been stayed and the petition for certiorari has been filed in the Supreme Court of the United States and that O'Meara & Silverman, attorneys for the receiver and Sapulpa Petroleum Company on this branch of the litigation have filed response and brief in the Supreme Court of the United States and have incurred the expenses thereof:

It is hereby ordered that the sum of \$278.75 as a partial allowance be paid by said Receiver to said O'Meara & Silverman and taxed as a part of the costs of this action and a copy of this order shall be a complete receipt to said Receiver for any money so paid by him under the same.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 3, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court. H.W.N.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

D. J. GONYER, Complainant.
vs.
H. E. CLARK, et al., Defendants.
No. 4 Equity.

ORDER AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF CERTAIN BILLS.

This matter coming on to be heard this 3rd. day of August, 1925, upon the application of Peter Deichman, receiver in the above entitled cause, showing that it is necessary to pay certain bills in running the business involved herein, and the Court being full advised in the premises,

It is ordered, adjudged and decreed that said receiver is hereby authorized to pay the following bills, to-wit:

Table with 2 columns: Description of bills and Amount. Includes Gross production tax, appraisers, H. O. Tallman salary, and Glenn Ompache labor.

Said receiver is also authorized to draw \$50.00 additional for his services as such receiver.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 3, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court. H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, AUGUST, 3, 1925.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IN THE DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ROBERT W., HART, Plaintiff.)
vs)
GLADYS BELLE OIL COMPANY,) No. 59 Equity.
et al., Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO FILE AMENDMENT TO
PETITION, AND PETITION OF INTERVENTION.

Now on this 3rd. day of August, 1925, the same being a regular Court day of said court, on motion of R. W. Hart, plaintiff herein made in open court it is hereby ordered that the time given to said Plaintiff, in which to file an amended petition, or an amendment to the petition hereto fore filed in said cause, and also the time given within which to file petition of intervention, is extended for fifteen days from the day hereof.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

Court adjourned until August, 4, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, AUGUST, 4, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, August, 4, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

RUTH B. DeHANAS, AND
JESSE L. DeHANAS,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CORTEZ-KING BRAND MINES COMPANY
a corporation, H. B. CHURCH, Jr.; and

FORMER BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF UNION
ZINC COMPANY, a defunct and inoperative
corporation, (consisting of J.C.Farrish,
President; W. L. McWilliams, Vice-President;
Wm. F. Mardin, Secretary and Treasurer; and
L. C. Church and F. S. Seagrave, additional
members); and

BULL FROG MINING COMPANY, a corporation;
and R. M. WILKINSON, as Receiver of said
BULL FROG MINING COMPANY.

Defendants.

IN EQUITY
NO. 69

ORDER FOR SERVICE ON NON-RESIDENT DEFENDANT.

CORTEZ-KING BRAND MINES COMPANY.

Upon motion of the Solicitor for plaintiffs, it appearing to the Court that this is a suit to enforce a claim to real estate and personal property within this District, and to remove cloud from the title to said real estate, and that defendant,

CORTEZ-KING BRAND MINES COMPANY

is not an inhabitant of nor found within this District, and has not voluntarily appeared to this action:

IT IS ORDERED, that said defendant appear, plead, answer or demur to plaintiffs' bill of complaint by the 15th day of September, 1925, and in default thereof the Court will proceed to the hearing and adjudication of this suit; and that a certified copy of this order be served on the defendant named herein wherever found.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 4th day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 4, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S.District Court.
H.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, AUG. 4, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

RUTH B. DeHANAS, and
JESSE L. DeHANAS,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CORTES-KING BRAND MINES COMPANY,
a corporation; H. B. CHURCH, JR.: and

In Equity
No. 69.

FORMER BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF UNION
ZINC COMPANY, a defunct and inoperative
corporation, (consisting of J.C. PARRISH,
President; W. L. McWILLIAMS, Vice-President;
Wm. T. MARDIN, Secretary and Treasurer; and
L. C. CHURCH and F. S. SEAGRAVE, additional
members); and

BULL FROG MINING COMPANY, a corpo ation;
and R. M. WILKINSON, as Receiver of said
BULL FROG MINING COMPANY.

Defendants.

ORDER FOR SERVICE ON NON-RESIDENT DEFENDANT.

H. B. CHURCH, JR.,

Upon motion of the Solicitor for plaintiffs, it appearing to
the Court that this is a suit to enforce a claim to real estate and personal
property within this district and to remove cloud from the title to said
estate, and that defendant,

H. B. CHURCH, JR.,

is not an inhabitant of nor found within this District, and has not volun-
tarily appeared to this action;

IT IS ORDERED, That said defendant appear, plead, answer or
demur to plaintiffs' bill of complaint by the 15th day of September, 1925,
and in default thereof the Court will proceed to the hearing and adjudica-
tion of this suit; and that a certified copy of this order be served on the
defendant named wherever found.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 4th day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 4, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
E.W.J.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, Aug. 4, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

RUTH B. DeHANAS, and
JESSE L. DeHANAS,
Plaintiffs.

vs.

CORTES-KING BRAND MINES COMPANY,
a corporation; H. B. CHURCH, JR. and

FORMER BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF UNION
ZINC COMPANY, a defunct and inoperative
corporation, (Consisting of J. G. PARRISH,
President; W. L. McWILLIAMS, Vice-President;
Wm. T. Nardin, Secretary and Treasurer; and
L. C. Church and F. S. Seagrave, additional
members); and

BULL FROG MINING COMPANY, a corporation;
and R. M. Wilkinson, as Receiver of said
BULL FROG MINING COMPANY.

Defendants.

In Equity
No. 69

ORDER FOR SERVICE ON NON-RESIDENT DEFENDANT

WILLIAM T. NARDIN.

Upon motion of the Solicitor for plaintiffs, it appearing to
the Court that this is a suit to enforce a claim to real estate and person-
al property within this District, and to remove cloud from the title to
said real estate, and that defendant,

William T. Nardin,

is not an inhabitant of nor found within this District, and has not vol-
untarily appeared to this action:

IT IS ORDERED, That said defendant appear, plead, answer or
demur to plaintiffs' bill of complaint by the 15th day of September, 1925.
and in default thereof the Court will proceed to the hearing and adjudica-
tion of this suit; and that a certified copy of this order be served on
the defendant named herein wherever found.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 4th day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 4, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the 11

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, AUGUST 4, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

RUTH B. DeHANAS, and
JESSE L. DeHANAS,
Plaintiffs.

vs.

CORTEZ-KING BRAND MINES COMPANY,
a corporation; H. B. CHURCH, JR., and

FORMER BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF UNION
ZINC COMPANY, a defunct and inoperative
corporation, (Consisting of J.C. Parrish,
President; W. L. McWILLIAMS, Vice-President;
Wm. T. Nardin, Secretary and Treasurer; and
L. C. CHURCH AND F. S. SEAGRAVE, Additional
members); and

BULL FROG MINING COMPANY, a corporation;
and R. M. Wilkinson, as Receiver of said
BULL FROG MINING COMPANY,
Defendants.

In EQUITY
No. 69

ORDER FOR SERVICE ON NON-RESIDENT DEFENDANT.

J. C. PARRISH.

Upon motion of the Solicitor for plaintiffs, it appearing to the Court that this is a suit to enforce a claim to real estate and personal property within this District, and to remove cloud from this title to said real estate, and that defendant,

J. C. PARRISH.

is not an inhabitant of nor found within this district, and has not voluntarily appeared to this action;

IT IS ORDERED, That said defendant appear, plead, answer or demur to plaintiffs' bill of complaint by the 15th day of September, 1925, and in default thereof the Court will proceed to the hearing and adjudication of this suit; and that a certified copy of this order be served on this defendant named herein wherever found.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 4th day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,

Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 4, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W. J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, AUG. 4, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

RUTH B. DeHANAS, and
JESSE L. DeHANAS,

Plaintiffs.

vs.

CORTEZ-KING BRAND MINES COMPANY,
a corporation: H. B. Church, Jr., and

FORMER BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF UNION
ZINC COMPANY, a defunct and inoperative
corporation, (consisting of J. C. PARRISH,
PRESIDENT; W. L. McWILLIAMS, Vice-president:
Wm. T. HARDIN, Secretary and Treasury; and
L. C. CHURCH AND F. S. SEAGRAVE, additional
members; and

BULL FROG MINING COMPANY, a corporation:
and R. M. WILKINSON, as Receiver of said
BULL FROG MINING COMPANY.

Defendants.

IN Equity
No. 69.

ORDER FOR SERVICE ON NON-RESIDENT DEFENDANT.

R. M. WILKINSON, AS RECEIVER OF THE
BULL FROG MINING COMPANY, A CORPORATION.

Upon motion of the Solicitor for plaintiffs, it appearing to the Court that this is a suit to enforce a claim to real estate and personal property within this District; and to remove cloud from the title to said real estate, and that defendant, R. M. WILKINSON, AS RECEIVER OF THE BULL FROG MINING COMPANY, a corporation, is not an inhabitant of nor found this District, and has not voluntarily appeared to this action.

IT IS ORDERED, That said defendant appear, plead, answer or demur to plaintiffs' bill of complaint by the 15th day of September, 1925, and in default thereof the Court will proceed to the hearing and adjudication of this suit; and that a certified copy of this order be served on the defendant named herein wherever found.

Done at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 4th day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,

Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 4, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until August, 5, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, AUGUST, 5, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment August, 5th., 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF
OKLAHOMA.

PURDY PETROLEUM CORPORATION,
a corporation.)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No. 61 Equity
E. M. PURDY, et al.,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R

This cause coming on to be heard on this the 5th day of August, 1925, upon the stipulation of the parties filed herein and the Court being fully advised in the premises;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the restraining order entered in the above entitled cause on the 18th day of July, 1925, be and the same is hereby continued in full force and effect until the 17th day of August, 1925, at the hour of ten o'clock A.M.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August. 5, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court
H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
OKLAHOMA.

W. S. McCray, Complainant,)
vs.) No. 86 Equity
SAPULPA PETROLEUM COMPANY,
a corporation, et al.,)
Defendants.)

O R D E R .

Now at this time, upon the application of Joe H. Knox as receiver in the above entitled and numbered cause came on to be heard the said application for approval of account, and from said application it would appear that the receiver has expended the total sum of \$66.76 in operating the properties for the months of May, June and July, 1925, and that said expenses were necessary and should be allowed. That said receiver is hereby allowed his salary for services rendered and to be rendered as such receiver for the months of June, July, and August, in the sum of \$123-1/3 per month, making a total sum of \$400.00 which said amount is hereby allowed and he is authorized to pay same to himself, and the same be charged as expenses of operation and allowed as a voucher in his final account.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 5, 1925 H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By H.W.J.

Court adjourned until August, 6, 1925.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. THURSDAY, AUGUST, 6, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment Thursday, August, 6, 1925, at 9 o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE ROBINSON TOOL COMPANY,
a corporation, Plaintiff.

vs.

A. B. CROWELL AND RED V. CROWELL,
individually and as a co-partnership
doing business as The Crowell Co.,
and Lorraine Corporation, a
corporation. Defendants.

No. 72
In Equity.

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER.

Upon reading the verified bill of complaint and the supporting affidavits of the plaintiff filed herein, it appearing to the Court that the plaintiff, upon facts stated in said complaint is entitled to the relief prayed for, it is ordered and adjudged that a temporary restraining order be granted herein enjoining the said defendants and each of them, their representatives, officers, agents, attorneys, clerks, servants, and employees, and all of them, from directly or indirectly interfering or causing others to interfere in any way whatever with the said plaintiff's business and dealings between plaintiff and its customers, and particularly from sending out notices or letters, or from giving notice orally, in writing, by telegram, telephone or otherwise, in person, or by agent or attorney, to the customers or prospective customers of the plaintiff that the cementing shoes for oil wells, or red hangers or combination drilling nipples and snuffers manufactured or sold, or offered to be sold, by the plaintiff constitute an infringement of any alleged patents or patent rights of the defendants or any of them, until further order of this Court; and from interfering with, or attempting in any way to interfere with, or prevent the performance by the Robinson Tool Company of a certain contract for the delivery of said cementing shoes to the Roxana Petroleum Company, or the Comar Oil Company. And it is further ordered that the 17th day of August, 1925 is hereby set as the time for hearing and determining said bill for a temporary injunction pending the final trial of this cause, at the United States District Court room for the Northern District of Oklahoma in the City of and County of Tulsa,

Witness my hand this 6 day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge of the U.S. District Court
of the Northern District of Oklahoma.

EMDORSED: Filed August, 6, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
By H.W.J.

ROBINSON TOOL COMPANY, Plaintiff.)
vs.)

A. B. CROWELL, ET AL. Defendants.)

72 Equity.

On this 6th day of August, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that defendants motion to dismiss above entitled cause be, and same is hereby overruled.

Court adjourned until August, 7, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. FRIDAY, AUGUST 7, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Friday August, 7, 1925, at 9:0'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, WITHIN AND FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Plaintiff.)	
)	
vs.)	No. 63 Equity.
DAN WARD AND THE SUN DRUG COMPANY.	Defendants.	(

ORDER.
TO MODIFY INJUNCTION.

This matter coming on to be heard before me, the undersigned judge of said Court on this the 7th day of August, 1925, upon verified application of the Defendant, Dan Ward, and one W. T. Crabtree and the Court being fully advised in the premises finds that for good cause shown that the Order of Injunction entered in this cause on the 23rd. day of March, 1925, should be modified as prayed for in the Application of Dan Ward filed herein.

IT IS THEREFORE, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said Dan Ward, Defendant and his Sureties, upon his Bond, filed in this cause, be and they are hereby released from any further liability thereon, from and after a period of Five (5) days from the signing of this Order or upon the filing of a new Bond by the said W. T. Crabtree, And the said W. T. Crabtree, the present owner of the said Sun Drug Company is hereby ordered to file in this Court a Bond in the sum of One Thousand (\$1000.00) Dollars with sufficient Sureties within a period of Five (5) days from the execution of this Order, conditioned as required by the original Order entered in this cause. And it is further ordered that in the event that the said W. T. Crabtree fail to comply with this order that the said Sun Drug Company, or its place of business be closed.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 7, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

Court adjourned until August, 14, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

108

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM. TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, AUGUST, 14, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, August, 14th., 1925.
at 9 O'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLA.

ROBINSON TOOL COMPANY, a corporation,)	
)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	
A, B. CROWELLS, ERD V. CROWELL,)	In Equity
individually and as copartners,)	No. 72.
doing business as the Crowell)	
Company, and the Lorraine Corp-)	
orations, a corporation,)	
Defendants.)	

O R D E R.

This matter having come to the attention of the Court and it appearing that the temporary restraining order herein issued on the 6th day of August, 1925, and returnable by order as therein stated on August, 17th., 1925; and it further appearing that the Court will not be able to hear the matter on the said 17th day of August, 1925, and it further appearing to the Court that the temporary restraining order so issued as hereinabove stated and the hearing thereon should be continued to such time as it may be possible for the Court to reasonably reach and hear the same; and it further appearing to the Court that the said temporary restraining order should remain in full force and effect until the Court shall have reached the same for hearing.

WHEREFORE, IT IS CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that said hearing hereinabove mentioned on the temporary restraining order heretofore issued by the Court in the above entitled cause be extended to the 8th day of September, 1925, and it is further ordered by the Court that said temporary restraining order remain in full force and effect until the said 8th day of September, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

Court adjourned until August, 19, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

122

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, AUGUST, 19, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, August, 19, 1925. at 9: o'clock A.M. Present.

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK of)
SAPULPA, OKLAHOMA, a corporation,) No. 74 E.
Clark Wasson, Receiver.)

ORDER APPROVING COMPROMISE OF INDEBTEDNESS
OF THE EUCHEE DRILLING COMPANY, A CORPORATION.
AND C. J. PHILLIPS.

Now, on this 19 day of August, 1925, this cause came on for hearing upon the petition of Clark Wasson, Receiver of the First National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, requesting authority to approve compromise of indebtedness of the Euchee Drilling Company, a Corporation and C. J. Phillips. The Court being advised in the premises finds:

That the Euchee Drilling Company is indebted to the First National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, upon a certain promissory note, dated May, 23, 1923, due June 30, 1923, amount \$5,300.00, together with interest at ten per cent. per annum from maturity until paid. That said note is secured by a chattel mortgage on one string of oil well drilling tools.

That C. J. Phillips is indebted to the First National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma, upon a certain promissory note, dated April, 21, 1923, due June 20, 1923, amount \$513.55, together with interest thereon at the rate of ten per cent. per annum until paid.

That the string of drilling tools included in the chattel mortgage, given as security for the note first above mentioned, constitutes all of the assets of the Euchee Drilling Company; that C. J. Phillips is the owner of the Euchee Drilling Company and assumes the payment of said indebtedness because of his endorsement of said note; that C. J. Phillips is insolvent.

That petitioner had an opportunity to compromise said indebtedness and accept the sum of \$2,700.00 in full settlement thereof; that the Comptroller of the Currency has approved settlement upon that basis; that the acceptance of said sum in full settlement of said debt is to the best interest of said estate.

It is, therefore, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND DECREED by the Court, that Clark Wasson, Receiver of the First National Bank, is authorized and directed to accept the sum of \$2,700.00 in full settlement of said indebtedness and his acts, in the premises, are hereby approved.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 19, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.P.W.

Court adjourned until August, 21, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SPECIAL APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

FRIDAY, AUGUST, 21, 1925.

On this 21st. day of August, 1925, the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma, sitting in Special April session at Tulsa, met pursuant to adjournment, Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge, present and presiding.

H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk U. S. District Court,
John. M. Goldsberry, Esq. U. S. District Attorney.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

IN THE MATTER OF THE FIRST NATIONAL)
BANK OF SAPULPA, OKLAHOMA,) No. 74 Equity.
CLARK WASSON,.....RECEIVER.)

O R D E R

Now, on this 21st day of August, 1925, this cause came on for hearing upon the Petition of Clark Wasson, Receiver above named, requesting authority to compromise indebtedness of Perry McKay, and the Court being advised in the premises finds;

That on September, 1, 1922, Perry McKay executed his certain promissory note to I. F. McGee, amount ~~Twenty-Five and No/100 Dollars~~ (\$2500.00) due December 31, 1922, together with interest thereon at ten per cent. per annum from maturity until paid: that I. F. McGee endorsed and transferred said note to the First National Bank of Sapulpa, Oklahoma; that at the time of the indorsement and transfer, said I. F. McGee was Cashier and Managing Officer of said bank; that there was no consideration in said note; that the said Perry McKay executed same for the purpose of permitting the said I. F. McGee to obtain credit for that amount; that at the time of the execution of said note, said I. F. McGee executed and delivered to the said Perry McKay a written agreement whereby he agreed to take up this note thereby saving the said McKay harmless: that the said Perry McKay offers to pay the sum of Twelve Hundred Fifty and no/100 Dollars (\$1250.00) and court costs expended in the suit styled Clark Wasson, Receiver, vs. Perry McKay, Northern District of Oklahoma, Law No. 33, in full settlement of this debt; that this proposition of compromise has been submitted to the Comptroller of Currency, who approves the same; that it will be to the best interest of said trust that the Receiver accept the same.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, AND the Receiver is authorized and directed to accept the sum of Twelve Hundred Fifty and no/100 Dollars, (\$1250.00) in full settlement of said indebtedness and said Receiver is authorized and directed upon the acceptance and payment of said amount, to dismiss, with prejudice, the suit styled Clark Wasson, Receiver, Vs. Perry McKay, Law No. 33.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 21, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
R. C

Court adjourned until August, 22, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, AUGUST, 22, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, August, 22nd. 1925, at 9 ; o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

A. B. C. DAGUE, Plaintiff.
vs.
AZTEC OIL COMPANY, a corporation, et al., Defendants.
No. 70 Equity.

ORDER.

On this 22nd day of August, 1925, upon application of the defendant, Aztec Oil Company, for an enlargement of time within which to plead.

IT IS ORDERED that said defendant's time to plead be extended to the 10th day of September, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 22, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. H.P.W.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

R. W. HART, Plaintiff.
vs.
GLADYS BELLE OIL COMPANY, a corporation, et al., Defendants.
No. 59 Equity.

ORDER SHOWING CAUSE AND TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER.

On this 22nd. day of August, A. D. 1926, the defendants in the above entitled cause present their motion to make E. D. Allen and John G. Catlett additional parties to this cause and also for a temporary injunction against said parties, and the Court having duly considered said motion found that same should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that said E. D. Allen and John G. Catlett be and are hereby made additional parties to this cause; that said parties show cause before me on the 26 day of August, A. D. 1926, at the hour of ten o'clock A. M. in court room of said Court in the City of Tulsa, why a temporary injunction should not be issued as prayed for in said motion, and it appearing to the court immediate and irreparable loss or damage will result to the applicants Gladys Belle Oil Company, a corporation, and the Marquette Oil Corporation before the matter can be heard upon notice and that therefore a temporary restraining order should be granted without notice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that E. D. Allen and John G. Catlett and all persons acting by, for or under them, or either of them, be and are restrained and enjoined until the hearing for said temporary injunction as aforesaid from taking any further steps or proceedings in the second cause pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, wherein E. D. Allen is plaintiff and the said two moving defendants herein are defendants, and further from in any way interfering with the possession and

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

---EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, AUGUST, 22, 1925

control of moneys, properties and assets of said two moving defendants, or from taking any action of any kind or character under and pursuant to any order made by the Court in said cause pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED That the said J. G. Catlett and any person acting by, under or for him be ordered to forthwith return to the custody and control of the officers of the defendant companies as aforesaid any books, papers, moneys or other properties of said defendant corporation taken into his or their custody and control under and by virtue of any orders issued in said cause in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 22, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L.W.J.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ROBERT W. HART, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
GLADYS BELLE OIL COMPANY,) No. 59 Equity.
et al., Defendant.)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO FILE AMENDMENT TO PETITION AND PETITION OF INTERVENTION.

Now on this 22nd. day of August, 1925, the same being a regular court day of said Court, on motion of R. W. Hart, Plaintiff herein made in open Court, it is hereby ordered that the time given to said plaintiff, in which to file an amended petition, or an amendment to the petition heretofore filed in said cause, and also the time given in which to file petition of intervention, is extended for fifteen days from the day hereof.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 22, 1925, H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.P.W.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JANE JEFFERSON, (An Incompetent), by her)
guardian R. C. Vincent and A. L. Wallace,)
Plaintiff)
-versus-) No. 29 Equity.
THE GYPSY OIL COMPANY, et al.)
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Upon application of the plaintiff and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered and adjudged that plaintiff be and is hereby granted permission to file her amended complaint herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED and adjudged that defendants and each of them be, and they are hereby, allowed 20 days from date hereof within which to plead to plaintiff's amended complaint.

Dated this 22 day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 22, 1925/ H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

Court adjourned until August, 24, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

107

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, AUGUST, 24, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, August, 24, 1925, at 9: o'clock A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 40 Equity
D. H. HOOD, Defendant.)

D E C R E E

On this, the 24th day of August, 1925, the above styled cause comes on for hearing upon the Bill of Complaint filed herein, and the plaintiff appears by its counsel, Louis N. Stivers, Assistant United States Attorney, but the defendant, D. H. Hood, appears not, neither has he heretofore made any appearance of filed herein any plea or answer, and he is adjudged to be in default. From said Bill of Complaint and from proof submitted, it appears to the Court and the Court finds that the defendant, D. H. Hood, has been duly and regularly served herein by order of publication, as required by law, and that the answer day therein named has long since passed; that order pro confesso was duly taken herein against the defendant, D. H. Hood, on the 10th day of July, 1925, and that more than thirty days have elapsed since the taking of said order pro confesso. The plaintiff herein, by its counsel, Louis N. Stivers, Assistant United States Attorney, having submitted strict proof of the allegations contained in its Bill of Complaint the Court further finds that the lands involved herein are now, and have at all times been, in the possession of the allottee, Mary Nelson, now Beamer, a full blood citizen of the Cherokee Nation, enrolled opposite Roll No. 30374. It also appears to the Court that title to said land is clouded by the instrument described in the Bill of Complaint.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the defendant, D. H. Hood, has no right, title or interest in or to the said allotment of Mary Nelson, described as follows, to-wit:

The Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section Five (5) Township twenty-two (22) North, Range fourteen (14) East,

situated in Rogers County, in the State of Oklahoma.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that that certain instrument of conveyance executed on the 13th day of August, 1909, purporting to be a General Warranty Deed from Gussie Hopkins, conveying to D. H. Hood, the certain land hereinbefore described in the instrument of record in Book 44, at page 586, of the records of the Register of Deeds (now County Clerk) at Claremore, Oklahoma, in so far as the same describes or effects any part of the land above described, be, and the same hereby is, set aside, cancelled of record, and held for naught.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that title in and to the said land hereinbefore described be, and the same is hereby, declared to be in the said Mary Nelson, now Beamer, aforesaid, and is quieted in her, and the defendant, D. H. Hood, and all persons claiming by, through or under him, is enjoined and restrained from asserting any right, title or interest therein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party pay his, her, or its own costs in this action.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 24, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.

Court adjourned until August, 25, 1925.

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, AUGUST, 25, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, August, 25, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 28 Equity.
HUTCHISON LUMBER COMPANY,)
a corporation.) Defendant.)

ORDER AUTHORIZING RECEIVERS TO PAY 1924 PERSONAL TAXES.

The above matter coming on for hearing on this day of August 1925, on the petition of Hugh Webster and Ed. M. Kelly, receivers in the above entitled matter, for authority to pay 1924 personal taxes of the said Hutchison Lumber Company, and it appearing that said receivers have been notified by the Sheriff of Tulsa County, that he holds tax warrant No. 4603 in the sum of \$2251.75 personal taxes for 1924, penalties and costs and demanding immediate payment of same and it appearing that said taxes are justly due and owing and should be paid at once.

It is therefore ordered and the said receivers are hereby authorized to pay to the Treasurer or Sheriff of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, personal taxes for 1924 of the Hutchison Lumber Company, in the sum of \$2251.75.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 25, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. L.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 28 Equity
HUTCHISON LUMBER COMPANY,)
a corporation) Defendant.)

ORDER AUTHORIZING RECEIVERS TO COMPROMISE CLAIM.

The above matter coming on for hearing on this Day of August, 1925, on the petition of Hugh Webster and Ed M. Kelly, receivers herein for authority to compromise and pay the claim of Tulsa Furniture Manufacturing Company, and it appearing that said company has a claim against this estate in the sum of \$304.62 for electric motor and other equipment sold to the Hutchison Lumber Company and that said company offers to settle said claim for \$250.00 and it appearing that this is a reasonable settlement and that the said receivers recommend same.

It is therefore ordered and the said receivers are hereby authorized to settle said claim for the sum of \$250.00 and to pay same from the moneys in their hands.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 25, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY, AUGUST, 25, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, August, 25, 1925, at 9: o'clock A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARY L. HUTCHISON, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 28 Equity.
HUTCHISON LUMBER COMPANY, Defendant.)
a corporation.

ORDER AUTHORIZING RECEIVERS TO PAY BLDG. & LOAN INSTALLMENTS AND TAXES ON LOT 16 HILCREST ADD.

The above matter coming on for hearing on this ___ day of August, 1925, on the petition of Hugh Webster and Ed M. Kelly receivers in the above entitled matter, for authority to pay certain claims against this estate, and it appearing that this estate is possessed of property described as Lot 16 Hillcrest Addition to the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma and that instalment payments on said peoperty in the sum of \$174.75 are due the Oklahoma City Building and Loan Association, and that paving taxes in the sum of \$44.03 on said property are due the City of Tulsa and that real estate taxes on said property in the sum of \$109.78 are due the Treasurer of Tulsa County, and it appearing that it is necessary that said claims be paid at once.

It is therefore ordered and the said receivers are hereby authorized to pay the Oklahoma City Building and Loan Association the sum of \$174.75, the City of Tulsa the sum of \$44.03, and the County Treasurer of Tulsa County, the sum of \$109.78 from the moneys in their hands.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 25, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U S. District Court. L.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
vs.) Equity No. 57
W. H. AARON, et al., Defendants.)
W. H. Aaron, Special Defendant.)

ORDER PRO CONFESSO.

Now comes the complainant, the United States of America, by its solicitors, and elects to take order pro confesso against W. H. Aaron, Special Defendant for failure to plead or answer.

((SEAL))

H.P. Warfield, Clerk
By L. W. Jones, Deputy.

PRAECIPE

To the Clerk of said Court

Enter the above in the Order Book in Equity of said Court.

Louis M. Stivers,
Solicitor for Complainant.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 25, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. L.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

13

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925.

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, AUGUST, 25, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WALDRIDGE, ALDINGER COMPANY,	Plaintiff.	}	No. 19 Eq.
vs.			
A. J. RUDD, et al.,	Defendants.	}	

O R D E R.

Upon application of Pitts-Bateman Company, intervenor herein, and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered and adjudged that hearing on the application of Pitts-Bateman Company for a receiver be continued from the 26th day of August, 1925, to the 31st day of August, 1925.

Dated this 25th day of August, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 25, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
L.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	}	EQUITY No. 47
VS.		
LUCY MARTIN, et al.,	}	
Defendants.		
LUCY MARTIN, R. C. RAWLINGS,	}	
LYDIA RAWLINGS, G. L. LOCKLAND		
AND G. L. LACKLAND,	}	
Special Defendants.		

ORDER PRO CONFESSO.

Now comes the complainant, the United States of America, by its solicitors, and elects to take order pro confesso against Lucy Martin, R. C. Rawlings, Lydia Rawlings G. L. Lockland and G. L. Lackland, Special Defendants for failure to plead or answer.

H. P. Warfield, Clerk
By L. W. Jones, Deputy.

PRÆCIPÈ.

TO THE CLERK OF SAID COURT:

Enter the above in the Order Book in Equity of said Court.

Louis N. Stivers,
Solicitor for Complainant.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 25, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
L.W.J.

Court adjourned until August, 26, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, APRIL, 26, 1925.

Court convened, pursuant to adjournment, on Wednesday, August, 26th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

ROBINSON TOOL COMPANY, Plaintiff.
A corporation,
vs.
A. B. CROWELL, et al., Defendants.
No. 72 in Equity.

On this 26th day of August, 1925, above entitled cause came on for hearing upon motion to dissolve a temporary restraining order heretofore filed herein. All parties present by counsel as heretofore.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

ROBINSON TOOL COMPANY, Plaintiff.
A CORPORATION,
vs.
A. B. CROWELL, ED V. CROWELL, Individually and as copartners doing business as the Crowell Company, and the Lorraine Corporation, a corporation. Defendants.
No. 72 In Equity.

ORDER.

Now on this 26th day of August, 1925, this cause comes on to be heard upon the motion of the above named defendants to dissolve the temporary restraining order heretofore issued in this case, upon the ground and for the reason, as stated by counsel for the defendants in open court, that the said temporary order was issued without security, and the Court having heard the argument of counsel and being fully advised in the premises

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that said plaintiff give security in the penal sum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00) conditioned upon the payment of such costs and damages as may be incurred by any party who may be found to have been wrongfully enjoined or restrained by the temporary restraining order heretofore issued in this cause in the event that it should ultimately be determined that the said temporary restraining order was wrongfully issued, and that the said plaintiff be required to file the said bond before the close of court on this 26th day of August 1925, and that in the event of the failure of the said plaintiff to file the said bond that then the said temporary restraining order should be dissolved; but that in the event that the said plaintiff should file the said bond within the time herein specified, that then the said temporary restraining order should remain and continue in full force and effect for the term therein provided and the further order of this Court extending the said temporary restraining order until the 8th day of September, 1925, and until the further orders of this Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the said motion to dissolve the said temporary restraining order be, and the same is hereby overruled.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

OK as to form Rogers & Jones, Attorneys for plaintiff.

McGuire & Marshall, Attorneys for Defendants.

ENDORSED: FILED Aug. 26, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. L.W.J.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925, TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, AUGUST, 26, 1925.

R. W. HART, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
GLADYS BELLE OIL CO, et al.,) 59 Equity.
Defendants.)

On this 26th day of August, 1925, above entitled cause came on for hearing. Whereupon leave is asked, and hereby granted by the Court permitting John G. Catlett and E. D. Allen to file special appearance and motion in above case.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

R. W. HART, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
GLADYS BELLE OIL COMPANY,) No. 59 Equity.
a corporation, MARQUETTE OIL)
CORPORATION, A corporation,)
et al., Defendants.)

O R D E R.

On this 26th day of August, A. D. 1925, this cause comes on for hearing pursuant to a rule to show cause heretofore issued upon motion of the Gladys Belle Oil Company, a corporation, and Marquette Oil Corporation, a corporation, defendants herein, for an injunction pending the final determination of this cause against E. D. Allen, and John G. Catlett; the said moving defendants appear by Aby & Tucker and West, Gibson, Sherman, Davidson & Hull, their attorneys, the said E. D. Allen appears in person and by his attorney, Sam A. Neely, Esquire, the said John G. Catlett appears in person and by his attorney, James S. Davenport, Esquire, and the said R. W. Hart, plaintiff in this cause, appears in person; the Court thereupon after hearing said motion and being duly advised in the premises finds that said injunction should issue as prayed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND DECREED that E. D. Allen and John G. Catlett, and all persons acting by, for or under them, or either of them, be and are restrained and enjoined until the further order of this court from taking any further steps or proceedings in a certain cause pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, wherein E. D. Allen is plaintiff and the said Gladys Belle Oil Company, a corporation, Marquette Oil Corporation, a corporation, and other, are defendants, being cause number 32824 in said Court, and from in any way interfering with the possession and control of moneys, books, records, properties and assets of the said two moving defendants, or from taking any action of any kind or character under and pursuant to any order made by the Court in said cause pending in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

And it appearing to the court that said John G. Catlett has taken from the custody of said defendants, acting pursuant to an order of said District Court of Tulsa County appointing him receiver, certain books, records, moneys and assets of said defendant companies, it is further ordered that the said John G. Catlett forthwith return all of the same to the custody and control of the said defendant companies.

It is further ordered that this injunction become effective upon the filing by the said moving defendants with the Clerk of this Court a bond, conditioned as required by law for such cases, in the penal sum of \$5,000.00, with sureties thereon to be approved by the Clerk of this court.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLAHOMA. WEDNESDAY, AUG. 26, 1925

It is further ordered that the motions of the said E. D. Allen and John G. Catlett to set aside certain orders heretofore entered in this cause on the 22nd day of August, 1925, be and the same are hereby overruled and denied.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 26, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L. W. J.

PURDY PETROLEUM CO.,	Plaintiff.	}	
vs.		}	No. 61 Equity.
E. M. PURDY, et al.,	Defendants.	}	

On this 26th day of August, 1925, it is ordered that above entitled cause be continued until August 27, 1925.

Court adjourned until August, 28, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. FRIDAY, AUGUST, 28, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, August, 28th., 1925.

Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA,

D. J. GONYER, Complainant,)
vs.)
H. E. CLARK, et al., Defendants.) No. 4 Equity.

O R D E R.

Upon application of the plaintiff in the above entitled cause, it is ordered that the Marshall of this court proceed to advertise and sell the property according to the order and decree of this Court heretofore made, and that an account of the expense thereof be presented to Peter Deichman, the receiver, and that the same be paid out of funds in his hands, and that he be allowed credit upon his final account for the amount thereof, and that said expense be taxed as part of the expenses in this case.

F. E. Kennamer,
District Judge.

ENDORSED: FILED August, 28, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court L.W.J.

Court adjourned until August, 31, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

135

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, AUGUST, 31, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, August, 31, 1925, at 9: O'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF
OKLAHOMA.

DREWY HAWKINS, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 38 Equity.
L. W. McEWEN, ED ABRAHAM,)
DEED SLYMAN AND USEPH)
ABRAHAM, Defendants:)

F I N A L D E C R E E.

Now, on this 31st day of August, 1925, this cause comes on for final hearing on the report of John R. Miller, Esquire, special master heretofore appointed herein, and upon the motion of the plaintiff herein to confirm said report; and it appearing to the Court that the said report was filed herein on the 12th day of May, 1925, and that more than twenty (20) days has elapsed since the filing of said report and that no exceptions have been filed thereto, and the said cause having been argued by counsel and due deliberation had thereon:

It is ordered, adjudged and decreed, and this court by virtue of the power therein vested, does order, adjudge and decree that the report of the said special master be, and the same is hereby approved and confirmed.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by this court that the plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendants, L. W. McEwen, Ed Abraham, Deed Slyman and Useph Abraham, and that the said defendants do pay unto the said plaintiff the principal sum of twenty-four thousand, Eight Hundred Eighty-nine Dollars and Eighty-nine cents, (\$24,889.89)

It is further orders, adjudged and decreed by the court that the said plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendants, and each of them, interest on said sum from the 20th day of January, 1924, at the rate of six per cent per annum until the 20th day of March, 1924, at which time the said defendants, according to said report, paid to the plaintiff, Six Thousand, Eight Hundred Fifty-nine Dollars and eight cents (\$6,859.08).

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said plaintiff do have and recover, and the said defendants pay to the plaintiff interest on the remainder of said principal sum after deducting the sum of Six Thousand, Eight Hundred Fifty-nine Dollars and Eight cents (\$6,859.08) at the rate of six per cent per annum from and after the 20th day of March 1924 until said judgment is paid.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that said defendants pay to the said plaintiff his costs in this action, to be taxed.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said special master be allowed the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) in full compensation for his services herein rendered, the same to be taxed as costs herein.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that there be allowed to George H. Lesley, official court reporter of this court, who reported the testimony taken before the special master, the sum of Five Hundred Thirty-three Dollars and Twenty-five Cents (\$533.25) the same to be taxed as a part of the costs herein.

12
In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, AUGUST, 31, 1925

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that Viola Quinn be allowed the sum of One Hundred and Seventy-five Dollars (\$175.00) for services rendered in transcribing the report of the special master herein, said sum to be taxed as a part of the costs in this suit.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said defendants pay to said plaintiff his costs in this suit, to be taxed, including the costs above allowed, and that said plaintiff be allowed said sum decreed to be paid as aforesaid.

F. E. Kennamer,

United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 31, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.) SS.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.....Complaint)
vs.)
JOSEPHINE JOHNSON, BELL CRAWFORD nee WOODS,) In Equity # 80.
R. A. DAVIS, GOLDIE MYERS AND)
SLAT ROBINSON, Defendants.)

TEMPORARY WRIT OF INJUNCTION.

And now on this the 31st day of August, A.D. 1925, this cause comes on to be heard upon the Bill of Complaint heretofore filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court and upon the affidavit of Marshall Moore and the Affidavit of Archie Schulz, duly filed in open Court; and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, by inspection of the Bill of Complaint and said affidavit and otherwise, that a nuisance exists as described in the said Bill of Complaint, on the premises therein mentioned, it is

ORDERED that, pending the final hearing and determination of this application and entry of an order thereon, the defendants above named, their agents, servants and employees are restrained and enjoined from manufacturing, selling, or bartering any intoxicating liquor, as defined in Section 1 of Title 11 of said "National Prohibition Act", upon the premises described in the Bill of Complaint, and from removing or in any way interfering with the liquor or fixtures or other things upon said premises, used, kept, or maintained in connection with the manufacturing, sale, keeping, or bartering of such liquor, and from conducting or permitting the continuance of a common and public nuisance upon said premises.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 31, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

131

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925, TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, AUGUST, 31, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

OIL WELL IMPROVEMENTS COMPANY,)
a Corporation.)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 65 In Equity.
B. P. PALMER,)
Defendant.)

O R D E R

Now on this 31st day of August, this matter coming on for hearing before the above named court upon application of the plaintiff herein to set a day for hearing the order to show cause why temporary injunction should not issue in the above entitled cause, and

It appearing to the Court that an order has heretofore been made by this court setting the 20th day of August, 1925, as the day for hearing said order to show cause, and it appearing to the court that the defendant has heretofore by the court been given additional time in which to file his return to said order,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, AND DECREED THAT said hearing upon the aforesaid order to show cause be and the same is hereby reset for hearing before the above named court on the 5th day of October, 1925, at the hour of 10:00 A.M.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.

O.K. Moss & Farmer,
Attorneys for Defendant.
8-17-25.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 31, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
H.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WALBRIDGE, ALDINGER COMPANY,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 19 Equity.
A. J. RUDD, et al.,)
Defendants.)

JOURNAL ENTRY.

Now, on this 31st day of August, 1925, the same being one of the judicial days of the Special April Term of said Court, the intervenor Pitts-Bateman Company was present by its attorneys, West & Petry, and the plaintiff was present by its attorneys Stuart, Sharp, Cruce & Coakley and West & Petry, and the defendants were present by their attorneys, Messing & Duff, and W. B. Robinson, and the Reed Railway Supply Company was present by its attorneys, Kleinschmidt & Johnson, and the Oklahoma Union Railway Company was present by its attorneys, Kleinschmidt & Johnson, and the Salina Oil Company was present by its attorney, Harry Seaton, and The W. A. Graham Company was present by its attorney, Harry Seaton; and thereupon the application of Pitts-Bateman Company for a receiver herein came on to be heard, and the evidence is heard by the court and the same submitted to the court; and the court finds that the same ought to be sustained, and that Charles F. Robertson, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, ought to be appointed receiver and his bond fixed in the sum of \$20,000.00 and he is accordingly ordered to take charge of all of the personal property not being used by said city in the

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, AUGUST, 31, 1925

strict sense of its municipal water plant, being, to-wit, a transportation and equipment plant, for the purpose of saving, preserving, storing, selling, renting or otherwise disposing of the same, and to make a full and just inventory of all of said property, and to report to this court at his earliest convenience the best and proper method of disposing of the same, whether by sale, rental, or other disposition.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed August, 31, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court. H.W.J.

WALBRIDGE, ADDINGTON CO., Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 19 Equity.
A. J. RUDD, ET AL., Defendant.)

On this 31st day of August, 1925, above entitled cause came on for hearing. Whereupon leave is asked and granted by the Court permitting the Reed Railway Supply Co., intervenors, to amend its petition of intervention.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 35 Equity.
MRS D. P. WASSON, Defendant.)

D E C R E E.

On this, the 31st day of August, 1925, the above styled cause comes on for hearing upon the Bill of Complaint filed herein, and the plaintiff appears by its counsel, Louis N. Stivers, Assistant United States Attorney, but the defendant, Mrs D. P. Wasson, appears not, neither has she heretofore made any appearance or filed herein any plea or answer, and she is adjudged to be in default. From said Bill of Complaint and from proof submitted, it appears to the Court and the Court finds that the defendant Mrs D. P. Wasson, has been duly and regularly served by order of publication as required by law, and that the answer day therein named has long since passed; that order pro confesso was duly taken herein against the defendant Mrs D. P. Wasson, on the 29th day of July, 1925m and that more than thirty days have elapsed since the taking of said order pro confesso. The plaintiff herein, by its counsel, having submitted strict proof of the allegations contained in its Bill of Complaint, the Court further finds that the lands involved herein are now, and have at all times been in the possession of the allottee, Sarah R. Gourd, now Drywater, a full blood citizen of the Cherokee Nation, enrolled opposite Roll No. 18338. It also appears to the Court that title to said land is clouded by the instrument described in the Bill of Complaint.

IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the defendant, Mrs. D. P. Wasson, has no right, title or interest in or to the said allotment of Sarah R. Gourd, now Drywater, described as follows, to-wit:

The Northeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section twenty-four (24) Township twenty-four (24) North, Range fourteen (14 East.

situate in Rogers County, in the State of Oklahoma.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that that certain instrument of conveyance executed on the 7th day of January, 1911 purporting to be a warranty

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

139

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION, APRIL, 1925 TERM TULSA, OKLA. MONDAY, AUGUST, 31, 1925.

deed from Jennie Hummingbird, Emma Spade, nee Hummingbird, and Ella Spade, nee Hummingbird, conveying to Mrs D. P. Wasson the certain land hereinbefore described in the instrument of record in book 74 at page 48 of the records of Rogers County, Oklahoma, in so far as the same describes or effects any part of the land above described, be, and the same, set aside, cancelled of record and held for naught.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that title in and to the said land hereinbefore described be, and the same hereby is, declared to be in the said Sarah R. Gourd, now Drywater, aforesaid, and is quieted in her, and the defendant is enjoined and restrained from asserting any right title or interest therein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each party pay his, her or its own costs in this action.

F. E. Kennamer. Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Aug. 31, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

Court adjourned until September, 1, 1925.

10 In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION.

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 1, 1925

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, September, 1, 1925, Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

W. J. KNUPP, et al.,
Plaintiffs,)
vs.)
PETERS PETROLEUM CORPORATION,
et al.,)
Defendants.)

No. 78 Equity.

ORDER.

For good cause shown it is hereby ordered that Moss & Farmer be permitted to take from the Clerk's office the original transcript in this case, the same to be returned by ten o'clock A.M. Wednesday, September, 2nd, 1925.

Dated this 1st day of September, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 1, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
H. W. J.

Court adjourned until September, 7, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

141

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
 EQUITY SESSION. TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY SEPTEMBER, 7, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, September, 7th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
 H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceeding were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

MARGARET STEGEMILLER,)	
Complainant,)	
vs.)	
UNITED SAVINGS AND LOAN)	No. 6 In Equity.
ASSOCIATION, A CORPORATION,)	
Defendant.)	
W. B. HARRIS, EXECUTOR OF THE)	
ESTATE OF JOHN ESHMAN, deceased.)	
Intervenor.)	

DECREE DISMISSING CAUSE.

On this 7th day of September, A. D. 1925, on dismissal filed herein by said complainant, and intervenor, with prejudice, it is

ORDERED that this cause be and the same is dismissed with prejudice, at Complainant's costs.

F. E. Kennamer,
 District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 7, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
 H. P. W.

ORDER ASSIGNING EQUITY MOTIONS FOR HEARING IN TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

On this 7th day of Septmeber, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that the following Motions and Demurrers, in the following numbered and styled cases be assigned for hearing in the Federal Court room in Tulsa, Oklahoma, on Monday September, 21, 1925.

EQUITY MOTIONS AND DEMURRERS.

- | | | | |
|--------|----------------------------------|-----|---|
| No. 11 | McGraw-Baughman, Bearly Lbr. Co. | vs. | |
| | National Turnbuckel Derrick Co. | | Mo. to Strike. |
| No. 18 | U. S. | vs. | |
| | Louisa Brown, et al, | | Demurrer. |
| No. 19 | Waldrige Aldinger Company, | vs. | |
| | A. J. Rudd, et al., | | Amended Mo. |
| No. 29 | Jane Jefferson, etc. | vs. | |
| | The Gypsy Oil Company, et al. | | Mo. to Dismiss |
| No. 32 | U. S. F. & G. Co., | vs. | |
| | Ottawa Co., Natl. Bank, a Corp. | | Mo. to vacate |
| No. 34 | Brown-Crummer Inv. Co. a Corp | vs. | |
| | Tibbets & Pleasant, Inc. et al. | | Mo. to make Def.
& Cer. Spl. App.
& Mo. to Quash. |

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

SESSION.

TULSA, OKLA.

MONDAY SEPTEMBER, 7, 1925.

No. 43	Clark Wasson, Recr., etc., F. B. Reed, et al.	vs.	Mo. to Dis., Read Demurrer. (Motion A.H. Stone (Motion W. J. Miller. Mo. to Quash I.F. McCoe. Mo. make Def & Cert.
			Separate
No. 45	J. E. Shaffer, Smith Separator Company,	vs.	Mo. to Dismiss
No. 50	Johnson Martin, Roxana Pet. Co. et al.	vs.	Mo. to Remand
No. 52	Charles A. Ludley, Pure Oil Company, et al.,	vs.	Mo. better State- ment of case. Mo. to Dismiss.
No. 55	U. S. R. C. Drummond,	vs.	Mo. to Dismiss
No. 56	J. W. Johnson, Roxanna Pet. Co., et al.	vs.	Mo. make Def & Cert Mo. strike & make Def. & Cert. 3 Mo. to Strike and for further and bet ter statement Mo. set aside & quash Mo. to Remand.
No. 66	U. S. J. Garfield Buell, et al.	vs.	Mo. to Dismiss.
No. 70	A. B. C. Dague Aztec Oil Company, a corp. et al.	vs.	Mo. to Dismiss
No. 78	William J. Knupp, et al Peters Pet. Co. et al.	vs	Mo. to Remand

ORDER ASSIGNING EQUITY CASES FOR FINAL HEARING

On this 7th day of September, 1925, it is ordered by the Court that the following named and numbered cases be assigned for final hearing at Tulsa, Oklahoma, on the days and dates hereinafter specified.

TUESDAY SEPTEMBER, 22nd. 1925.

1. Jesse A. Tolerton, vs. E. C. Bertlett, et al.,
13 Clark Wasson, Receiver, P. H. Bennett, et al.
14 United States vs. Sinclair Oil & Gas Co. et al.

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 23rd. 1925.

17 Mary L. Hutchison, et al. vs. Helen P. Purdy, et al.,
28 Mary L. Hutchison, vs. Hutchison Lumber Company.
21 McGraw-Baughman Bearly Co. vs. Guy P. Slater.
31 Southern Surety Company vs. Lena Cosar, a minor etc.

THURSDAY SEPTEMBER, 24, 1925.

33 First National Bank of
Burkburnett. vs. Richard C. Geck, et al.
30 Geo. W. Beck, Jr. vs. The Eagle Picher Lead Co. a corp.
67. S. C. Fullerton, et al. vs. The Eagle Picher Lead Co. a Corp.
68 S. C. Fullerton et al., vs. The Eagle Picher Lead Co. a Corp.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
EQUITY SESSION.

District of
TULSA, OKLA.

OKLAHOMA.
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 7, 1925.

143

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER, 25, 1925.

36. D. G. Bailey,	vs. Oklahoma Company, a corp. et al
37. Santrock Oil & Devlp. Co.	vs. Foster Inv. Co., a corp.
42 Pennok Oil Corporation,	vs. L. D. Chamberlin
46 United States	vs. Trustees of the M.E.Church, Pettie Chapel, colored, et al.

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 28th., 1925.

48 Alluwe Oil Company,	vs. B. H. Crawford,
53 Rosa Spybuck, et al.	vs. Lemuel Charley, et al.
60 W. A. Kunkel,	vs. Tucker Barnett, et al
62 United States	vs. Richard Lloyd Jones et al.,
64 National Royalties Corp.	vs. B. L. Fain, et al

Court adjourned until September, 8th., 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION.

TULSA, OKLA.

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 8, 1925.

8, 1925. Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, September, Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered,
to-wit:

ROBINSON TOOL COMPANY,)
Plaintiff.)
vs.)
A, B, CROWELL, et al.,)
Defendant.) Equity # 72.

On this 8th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that Plaintiff, in the above entitled cause, be, and it is hereby, permitted attach their Exhibits "D" and "E" to Original Complaint heretofore filed herein.

It is further ordered, that hearing in said cause be continued, and same hereby is continued, until September, 9th., 1925, at 9: A. M.

Court adjourned until September, 9, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA. EQUITY SESSION TULSA, OKLA. WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER, 9, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Wednesday, September, 9, 1925. Present: Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court. H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

D. J. GONYER, Complainant, vs. H. E. CLARK, ISAAC SHULER, AND CLARA B. SHULER, Defendants. No. 4 Equity.

ORDER AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF CERTAIN BILLS.

This matter coming on to be heard this 9th day of September, 1925, upon the application of Peter Deichman, receiver in the above entitled cause, showing that it is necessary to pay certain bills in running the business involved herein, and the Court being fully advised,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said receiver be, and he is hereby authorized to pay the following bills, to-wit:

H. O. Tallman, pumper, \$65.00
Glan Comapache, pabor 7.00

Said receiver is also authorized to draw \$50.00 additional on accpunt of his services rendered herein.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 9, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court. D.W.J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA. ROBINSON TOOL COMPANY, A CORPORATION. Plaintiff. vs. A. B. CROWELL, ERD V. CROWELL, Individually and as Co-partners doing business as The Crowell Company and the Lorraine Corporation, a corporation. Defendants. IN EQUITY NO. 72

Now, on this 9th day of September, 1925, this cause came on to be heard upon the application of the plaintiff to make the temporary injunction heretofore granted in this cause permanent and perpetual against the said defendants; and the plaintiff being present by F. E. Robinson, its president and Roger and Jones, its attorneys; and the defendant A. B. Crowell being present and by his attorneys McGuire and Marshall and F.A. Rodolits; and the Court proceeding to hear evidence and argument in this cause upon the 8th day of September, 1925, and concluding the hearing of the evidence and the arguments of counsel upon this 9th day of September, 1925, and the Court having carefully considered and weighed the evidence adduced and the arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises, finds and adjudges that the plaintiff is entitled to the relief prayed for; and,

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the application of the plaintiff for a permanent injunction, to-wit, that the same be made permanent; and that the temporary injunction heretofore granted in this cause on the 8th day of August, 1925, be made permanent; and that the same be made permanent; and that the plaintiff be allowed to set aside the order of the Court made on the 8th day of August, 1925, in which the plaintiff was enjoined from selling or disposing of its stock, which order of the Court the plaintiff is hereby allowed to set aside and which is by the Court allowed.

F. E. Kennamer, District Judge. Filed Sep. 9, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

REGULAR SESSION

TULSA, OKLA.

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment. Thursday, September, 10th., 1925. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

RUTH B. De HANNAS AND JESSE L. DeHANNAS,)	
)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	Equity No. 69
)	
CORTEZ-KING BRAND MINES COMPANY, a corporation, et als.)	
)	
Defendants.)	

O R D E R.

Now, on this 10th day of September, 1925, this matter coming on for hearing in the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Oklahoma at Tulsa, Oklahoma, the Honorable F. E. Kennamer, Judge of said Court presiding, upon the application of plaintiffs herein for temporary injunction and restraining order against the defendants and each of them, and the plaintiffs appearing by their Solicitor of record, Joseph W. Howell, and the defendants, Cortez-King Brand Mines Company, a corporation, H. B. Church, Jr., J. C. Parrish, W. T. Nardin and F. J. Seagrave, Directors and Trustees of the Union Zinc Company by their Solicitor of record, A. E. Wallace, and the Bull Frog Mining Company, a corporation appearing by its Solicitor of record, D. H. Wilson, and R. M. Wilkinson, Receiver, appearing by his Solicitor of record, F. W. Nesbitt, and said matter having been duly presented to the Court and being fully advised in the premises;

It is the order and judgment of the Court that said temporary injunction and restraining order requested by plaintiffs be and the same is hereby denied and refused, to which ruling of the Court the plaintiffs and each of them duly excepted and said exception is allowed.

F. E. Kennamer,
Judge.

C.K. Joseph W. Howell
A. C. Wallace.

ENDORSED: Filed Sept. 10, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk, By H. W. James, deputy

GEORGE W. BESK, JR.,)	
)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	30 Eq.
)	
EAGLE PICHER LEAD CO.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

On this 10th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that above entitled cause, be, and same hereby is, stricken from present assignment and continued until November, 2, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
EQUITY SESSION.

District of

TULSA, OKLA.

OKLAHOMA.

THURSDAY SEPTEMBER, 10, 1925.

S. C. FULLERTON, et al.,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 67 Eq.,
THE EAGLE PITCHER CO.,)	
Defendant.)	

On this 10th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be, and same hereby is, stricken from present assignment and continued to November, 2, 1925.

S. C. FULLERTON, et al.,)	
Plaintiff.)	
vs.)	No. 68 Eq.
THE EAGLE PITCHER LEAD CO.,)	
Defendant.)	

On this 10th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that above entitled cause be, and the same hereby is, stricken from present assignment and continued to November, 2, 1925.

Court adjourned until September, 11, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN
DISTRICT SESSION.

District of
TULSA, OKLA.

OKLAHOMA.
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 14, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, September, 14,
1925, at 9 o'clock A. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kenneker, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.

vs.

Equity No. 54.

J. A. WOOD (Otherwise known as
Mrs. Josephine A. Wood)

Defendant.

ORDER PRO CONFESSO.

Now comes the complainant, by its solicitors, and elects to take
order pro confesso against J. A. Wood (Otherwise known as Mrs Josephine
A. Wood) of Cassa Grand, Pinal County, Arizona, for failure to plead or
answer.

Dated this 14th day of September, 1925.

((SEAL))

H. P. Warfield, Clerk
By J. C. Jones, Deputy.

To the Clerk of said Court: PRAECIPE.

Enter the above in the Order Book in Equity of said Court.

Leslie N. Stivers,
Solicitor for Complainant.

RECORDED: Filed Sep. 14, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court
L.W.J.

Court adjourned until Sep. 15, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.

Equity Session. Tulsa, Okla. TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Tuesday, September, 15, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. KENNAMER, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
vs.)
A. J. KENNEDY, L. E. JAMISON,) Equity No. 49 Eq.
T. B. GOODWIN, MARY A. JAMISON,)
W. A. CEASE, MRS. W. A. CEASE)
& George Kilcoyne,)
Defendants.)

ORDER PRO CONFESSO.

Now comes the complainant, the United States of America, by its solicitors, and elects to take order pro confesso against A. J. Kennedy, L. E. Jamison, T. B. Goodwin, Mary A. Jamison, W. A. Cease, Mrs W. A. Cease and George Kilcoyne, Special defendant for failure to plead or answer.

(((SEAL))) H. P. Warfield, Clerk,
By L.W. Jones, Deputy.

PRACISE.

To the Clerk of said Court:

Enter the above in the Order Book in Equity of said Court.

Louis N. Stivers.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 15, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court.
L.W.J.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

R. W. HART, Complainant,)
vs.)
GLADYS BELLE OIL COMPANY, a corporation,) No. 59
PITTSBURG TRUST COMPANY, a Corporation;) In Equity.
MARQUETTE OIL CORPORATION, a Corporation;)
JOHN E. MAHON, doing business as John E.)
Mahon & Co.,)
Defendants.)
WALTER H. DONLEVY, Wm BREEDEN, etal.)
Intervenors.)

ORDER ALLOWING FILING OF PETITION
OF INTERVENTION.

This cause coming on to be heard on the application and petition of Walter H. Donlevy, Wm. Breedon, and various and sundry other stockholders of the Gladys Belle Oil Company, constituting about one hundred and ninety-five stockholders, all as set forth in the petition for intervention, who intervene in said suit and ask to be made parties plaintiff, and

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA.
EQUITY SESSION. TULSA, OKLA. TUESDAY SEPTEMBER 15, 1925

the petition having been fully and duly considered, and it appearing to the court that the said petitioners are each of them stockholders in the Gladys Belle Oil Company and that each has the same interest in said suits and in the relief sought which the original complainant has;

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that said Walter H. Donlevy, Wm. Breeden, and the various and sundry other stockholders of Gladys Belle Oil Company, constituting about one hundred and ninety five stockholders, all as set forth in the petition for intervention, have leave to intervene in said suit, and to that end may appear in said suit instantaneously in the same manner and with like effect as if named in the original bill as a party complainant; and that they be allowed to file their bill of intervention; this order, however, to be without prejudice to any proceedings heretofore had in this cause.

Thereupon, Messrs. West, Gibson, Sherman, Davidson & Hull, attorneys of record for Gladys Belle Oil Company, a corporation, and Marguette Oil Corporation, a corporation, two of said defendants, made their objection in open court to the filing of the petition to intervene on behalf of said intervenors, and did especially urge the objection that this court is without jurisdiction to hear and determine the matters complained of in complainant's petition, or to grant the relief asked for therein, and also made their objection to the court entering herein an order allowing the filing of the petition of intervention and also made their other objections to the Court permitting the petition of intervention of said intervenors to be filed in this cause; whereupon, the court overruled each and all of such objections, and thereupon said attorneys did request that their exceptions be noted in each instance to the ruling of the court in overruling such objections, and the court did thereupon allow and order that such objections and exceptions be made and entered of record in this cause.

Dated this 15th day of September, 1925.

F. E. Kemmerer,
Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sept. 15, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk
By H.W. James.

R. W. HART, Plaintiff.)
vs.)
GLADYS BELLE OIL CO. et al.,) # 59 Eq.
Defendants.)

On this 15th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered, that hearing in above entitled cause be, and same hereby is continued to Sept. 19, 1925 and that temporary injunction in said cause be continued in full force and effect.

Court adjourned until September 19, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

156

NORTHERN
CITY SESSION.

District of
OKLAHOMA.

OKLAHOMA.
FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, September, 1925, 1925,
at 9 o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
STATE OF OKLAHOMA,) THE NORTHERN DISTRICT.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Complainant,)
vs.) In Equity
LOUISA BROWN, WASH E. HUDSON, et al.) No. 18
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Now on this 18 day of September, 1925, this matter coming on for
hearing on demurrer of defendants, Louisa Brown, and Wash E. Hudson, and
the Court having heard and considered said demurrer and the arguments there
on finds that the same should be overruled and exceptions allowed.

IT IS THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said demurrer
of said defendants be and the same is hereby overruled, to which said de-
fendants and each of them excepts and said exception is hereby allowed and
defendants ask, and are hereby given 20 days from this date in which to
answer.

F. E. Kennamer,
United States District Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 18, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
L.W.J.

Court adjourned until September, 19, 1925.

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN EQUITY SESSION. District of OKLAHOMA. TULSA, OKLA. SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER, 19, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Saturday, September, 19, 1925, at 9: o'clock P. M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U. S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U. S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

R. W. HART, Plaintiff.)
vs.) #59 Eq.
GLADYS BELLE OIL CO, ET AL.,)
Defendant.)

On this 19th day of September, 1925, above entitled cause comes on for hearing. All parties present by counsel as heretofore, whereupon it is by the Court ordered said hearing be, and same hereby is, continued to September, 25, 1925.

M. A. DOYLE, Plaintiff.)
vs.) #83 Eq.,
GLADYS BELLE OIL CO., ET AL.,)
Defendants.)

On this 19th day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that plaintiff be, and plaintiff hereby is, permitted to amend their Exhibit "A", whereupon the Court ordered above entitled cause set for hearing on September, 25, 1925.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

JESSE A. TOLBERT, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Equity # 1.
F. C. BARTLETT, et al.,)
Defendant.)

Permission is hereby granted to attorneys for Plaintiff to withdraw the court files in the above entitled cause for a period of 10 days.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 19, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court, H. W. J.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF STATE OF OKLAHOMA.

WILLIAM BUCK SELF, Plaintiff.)
vs.) Equity # 1.
PRAIRIE OIL & GAS CO. DEFENDANT.)

Permission is hereby granted by the Court that attorneys for Plaintiff withdraw the court files in the above entitled cause by attorneys for Plaintiff for a period of 10 days.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 19, 1925. H.P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court, H. W. J.

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION

CHUSA, OKLA.

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 1925.

Court convened pursuant to adjournment, Monday, September, 21, 1925, at 9: o'clock A.M. Present:

Hon. F. E. Kennamer, Judge of U.S. District Court.
H. P. Warfield, Esq., Clerk of U.S. District Court.

Thereupon the following proceedings were had and entered, to-wit:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

WILLIAM BUCK SELF, Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 9 Equity.
THE PRAIRIE OIL & GAS)
COMPANY, A CORPORATION.)
Defendant.)

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL.

On Motion of William Buck Self, by L. O. Lytle, and W. M. Danden-
denburg, Stewart Cruce, Sharp and Coakley, his attorneys, it is hereby
ordered that an appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals of
the Eighth Circuit, from the decree heretofore filed and entered herein,
be, and the same is hereby allowed, and that a certified transcript of
the record, testimony, exhibits, stipulations, and all proceedings be
forthwith transmitted to said United States Court of Appeals of the Eighth
Circuit.

It is further ordered, that the Bond on Appeal be fixed at the
sum of \$500.00.

Dated this 21 day of September, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U. S. District Court.
H.W.J.

WILLIAM J. KNUPP, ET AL., Plaintiff.)
vs.) # 78 Eq.
W. C. MORRIS. Defendant.)

On this 21st day of September, 1925, it is by the Court ordered
that the above entitled cause be and same hereby is, continued to Septem-
ber 25, 1925.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

A. B. C. DAGUE, Plaintiff.)
vs.) No. 70 In Equity.
AZTEC OIL COMPANY, a)
corporation, and EXCHANGE TRUST)
COMPANY, a corporation.)
Defendants.)

O R D E R.

Now on this the 21st day of Septmeber, 1925, the defendant, Ex-
change Trust Company, a corporation, having asked leave of the Court to

In the District Court of the United States in and for the

NORTHERN

District of

OKLAHOMA.

EQUITY SESSION,

TULSA, OKLAHOMA.

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER, 21, 1925.

to withdraw the motion to dismiss heretofore filed herein on its behalf, and to be allowed twenty days in which to file its answer, the request is granted.

It is therefore ordered that the motion to dismiss be and it is hereby withdrawn, and defendant, Exchange Trust Company, is given twenty days in which to file its answer to the bill of complaint of the plaintiff

Witness my hand as Judge, this September, 21, 1925.

F. E. Kennamer, Judge.

C. K. Chas. E. Bush.

ENDORSED: Filed Sep. 21, 1925. H. P. Warfield, Clerk U.S. District Court
H.W.J.

ROBERT E. ALEXANDER, Plaintiff.

vs.

W. C. MORRIS,

Defendant.

}
} 15 Eq.
}

On this 21st day of Sept. 21, 1925, it is by the Court ordered that a Decree for Plaintiff be filed in above entitled cause.

Court adjourned until September, 22, 1925.